DOCUMENTS

CLOSED STACKS 5

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

VITA SINE LITERIS MORS EST

Book No. 1352 Sa52:7

Accession 285277

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRARY

GOVERNMENT INFORMATION CENTER
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Form No. 37-5M

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 90119 9381

#
(partial)

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 1

Tuesday, January 3, 1928.

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

X352
S a 52:7
285277

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, JANUARY 3, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, January 3, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 12, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Letter of Thanks, James D. Phelan.

The following was read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*:

December 29, 1927.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

I have a copy, transmitted by you, of a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on December twenty-seventh, paying me a very great compliment as one of the men who initiated the Hetch Hetchy water project, for which please accept my very sincere thanks.

I am very truly yours,

JAMES D. PHELAN.

Judah Street Rezoning Protested.

Communication, from R. A. McNeil, general manager Golden State Theater Circuit, advising that it will abandon a $100,000 building project contemplated on the northwest corner of Irving street and Fourteenth avenue if Judah street is rezoned for commercial purposes.

Ordered *filed*.

Ocean Avenue Rezoning Endorsed.

Communication, from Christian Buttman, favoring rezoning of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Lakewood avenue from First Residential to Commercial.

Ordered *filed*.

Remarks of His Honor Mayor Rolph.

The Mayor: Gentlemen of the Board and my Fellow Citizens: Our Charter was amended and, therefore, our inauguration ceremonies are not as they were four years ago. The newly elected and reelected public officials took office yesterday at noon. I was here, called the meeting to order, there being no quorum I adjourned the meeting until this morning at 10 o'clock. It is customary, of course, for the Mayor to present to the Board what is known as the inaugural or quadrennial message, and I have one, but before beginning, may I thank you all who so tirelessly, unceasingly and really so devotedly supported me during the last campaign.

* * * * *

I have felt very much sorrow during the past few days because of this City's loss, and, as Dr. Clampett said on the last day of the year, a giant oak of this City had fallen. It was not only the friendship that he had for me, but it was the friendship that he had for this City, that prompts me this morning to mention the name of Gavin McNab. Before the meeting adjourns, and I know the meeting when adjourned will adjourn out of respect to the memory of Gavin McNab, I will have a message here that will be spread upon the minutes. But let me say to the members of the Board that during my entire period as Mayor of this City I have had the good fortune to have had as advisors three outstanding men of this community, and when I say I had them as advisors, they were advisors of the City, in whom you

have all had the greatest confidence. And many of the great problems that we have had before us in the past, their settlement and their adjustment I owe, and you owe, to Judge Curtis H. Lindley, Gavin McNab and Matt I. Sullivan. No man in public life ever had more stalwart advisors than I have had in those three men. When I was first elected Mayor George Van Smith, Fred Myrtle and Bob Hamilton said to me, "Mayor, if you can hold, during your entire term of office, Judge Curtis H. Lindley, Gavin McNab and Matt I. Sullivan, you will be a success and the City itself will reap the benefit that comes from the fertile brains of those three men." Judge Lindley has passed away, Gavin McNab has just passed away, and I still have, as a warm personal friend, Matt I Sullivan. I mention this before proceeding with my message because I know the Board and the City have lost, in Gavin McNab, an outstanding figure of the world and brains that could not be purchased and which were employed for the advancement of San Francisco. And many of the great outstanding mighty problems of this City were fostered, aided and helped by those three men, one of whom was Gavin McNab, whom I mention specially this morning. I once asked Gavin McNab if there was anything we could do to perpetuate his memory in this City. He said, "Why, no, Mayor." Well, I said, "Could not we name a street after you, could not we name a school after you, could not we name a park after you, could not we name a playground after you, could not we name a drive after you?" "Oh, no," he said, "I am a modest man, but one thing I am interested in, I am interested in a zoo, and I think this City ought to have a zoo." And that is where the idea came to me, which is in my message, that this City should have a zoo. I wish, if you feel as I do, that, for what he has done for this City, we think of something where his memory may be perpetuated, and I give you that thought this morning and express the hope that, when this Board does adjourn today, that it will adjourn out of respect to the memory of that sterling, upright, honorable character of ours, Gavin McNab.

* * * * *

Supervisor Colman: Before proceeding with the business, might I add a word to what you said in regard to the memory of Gavin McNab? San Francisco sustained a most severe loss, one from which it will be very difficult to recover. The life of the City will go on as usual, but the unique position of leadership that Gavin McNab held, will, in my opinion, never be filled. He was a very close friend of mine, also, and I found him, as you did, a constructive, sound advisor, whose one thought was for the advancement of San Francisco.

Inaugural Address.

Whereupon, his Honor the Mayor read the following and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

San Francisco, Cal.,
January 3, 1928.

Gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors and my Fellow Citizens:

In assuming office for my fifth consecutive four-year term as Mayor of the great City and County of San Francisco, I am the proudest man in America. I am proud of the fact that I have been privileged by the confidence of the people of this City to break all records for length of continuous service as Mayor of one of the larger cities of the United States.

This pride I have expressed on each of the occasions when I have presented my inaugural messages to your Honorable Board. Today I feel the honor more keenly than I have ever felt it before, for the distinction is one that has come to the Mayor of no other city in our country. During the next four years I shall endeavor to conduct myself as Mayor in such a manner as to merit, in the highest possible degree, the distinguished honor paid me on the eighth day of last November, when, after a particularly bitter political struggle, I was re-elected by the greatest majority ever given me—a majority of 31,024 votes.

In the preparation of the platform which I submitted to the voters I was particularly impressed by the vast number of public accomplishments that have taken place since I was honored by election as Mayor in 1912. Only a few of these accomplishments could be outlined in that platform, which otherwise would have assumed too bulky proportions, as would this, my quadrennial message to your Honorable Board.

Not for one moment do I presume to claim credit for this City's marvelous accomplishments. This credit belongs to all the branches of the administration of which I am the head; to the men and women who have worked unselfishly and unremittingly for San Francisco's upbuilding. I compliment the members of your Honorable Board who

have held this City's welfare ever uppermost in their minds, for the cooperation which they, as legislators, have accorded the executive and administrative branches of our municipal government. I express the hope that we will go forward to even greater accomplishments as a united governmental force, subordinating personal aggrandizement to the general good of our splendid citizenry.

It is deeply to be regretted that we have recently lost by death one of the ablest members of this Board, Supervisor Edwin G. Bath, and that we must witness the retirement from public life, I hope but temporarily, of those other two sterling Supervisors, William H. Harrelson and Lewis F. Byington, who would not submit their names to the voters for reelection. We shall miss the wise counsel of these able gentlemen, and to you, Supervisor Harrelson and Supervisor Byington and Supervisor Herz, I extend my sincere and affectionate good wishes for your future welfare, happiness and prosperity.

It is my pleasant privilege to welcome today into membership on this Board Supervisor Fred Suhr, who has previously served this City faithfully and well in the same capacity; Supervisor Dr. Joseph M. Toner, who long has been a staunch advocate of the upbuilding of this City and the Sunset District in particular; and Supervisor Frank J. McGovern, leader of civic affairs in the southern part of San Francisco, who has been honored by elevation to a broader and more useful field of public endeavor. I am sure that the new members of the Board of Supervisors will acquit themselves honorably and well of the task which the people have entrusted to them.

I congratulate and pay my respects to those Supervisors who have been reelected for another term: Supervisors John B. Badaracco, Jesse C. Colman, Andrew J. Gallagher, J. Emmet Hayden, Alfred Roncovieri and Warren Shannon.

Hetch Hetchy Water and Electric Energy.

For a number of years the people of San Francisco have been particularly concerned with the development of an adequate water supply for this rapidly growing City. Vigorous effort has been directed, with available funds, toward the completion of the great Hetch Hetchy project.

Since August 21, 1925, the Moccasin Creek Hydro-Electric plant has been in continuous and successful operation, without being out of commission as much as one hour, and as a result the taxpayers have received a net annual revenue of over $2,000,000, representing 26 cents on the tax levy.

In the Foothill Division active work has been prosecuted, to the extent that 14 out of the 16 miles of tunnels have been drilled between Moccasin Creek and the San Joaquin Valley, while the five shafts on the Mount Diablo Coast Range, south of Livermore and Pleasanton, are rapidly being sunk.

As I have stated repeatedly, a direct municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy energy in the City is a logical and inevitable result of the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply System. A distributing system must be acquired as soon as possible by negotiation or condemnation. At the last election a proposition authorizing the issuance of $2,000,000 in bonds for the extension of the Hetch Hetchy distributing lines from their present terminus near Newark, Alameda County, into San Francisco was defeated. The City is committed to the policy of municipal retailing of the City's hydroelectric energy when it becomes possible so to do, and I trust that the people, in their wisdom, will give their consent when next the proposition is submitted to them.

However, in order that work may proceed without interruption on the development of Hetch Hetchy it is essential that the proposed additional bond issue of $24,000,000, to the support of which the Board of Supervisors pledged themselves, in 1924, be submitted to the voters with the least possible delay. I commend the outgoing Board upon its appreciation of the situation, and upon the steps already taken for the holding of a special election.

Purchase of Spring Valley.

Completion of the transbay pipe line from Irvington to Crystal Springs has removed immediate threats of water famine, with which we were confronted early in 1926, when we had only a 70-day supply of water on hand in the peninsular storage. The successful operation of the transbay pipe line, which delivers daily into Crystal Springs reservoir 34,000,000 gallons, has succeeded in raising the level of the water in this reservoir more than 27 feet despite the continuous draft made upon the supply by San Francisco and Peninsular consumers.

The building of the transbay line was, therefore, a very wise move on the part of the City. It cost a little less than $6,000,000, whereas the alternative route proposed by the Spring Valley Water Company on a high-level conduit would have cost about $12,000,000 and would not have been available as a unit of the future distributing system for Hetch Hetchy water.

The Spring Valley Water Company at present has storage reservoirs of a combined capacity of 125,000,000 gallons situated at various high levels inside the city limits. The City Engineer has prepared plans for the building of three large future reservoirs, to be located respectively at Amazon street, Glen Park and Ocean avenue, with a combined capacity of one billion gallons. All the property for the Amazon street reservoir has been acquired. The Ocean avenue site is held under option by the Spring Valley Water Company and about 80 per cent of the Glen Park property has been purchased. To forestall another disaster such as occurred in San Francisco in 1906 and in Santa Barbara in 1925, it is essential that these reservoirs be completed and kept filled with water so as to conserve public life and property in case of sudden, extraordinary need.

All of the natural reservoirs in San Mateo County and those reservoirs within the city limits must be brought together under the City's control through the purchase of the Spring Valley holdings, and at the proper moment I trust your Honorable Board will again submit to the voters for their approval a bond issue for this purpose. The income from the Spring Valley water system, from the date of purchase, will be more than sufficient to pay interest on the bonds and to redeem the bonds themseves as they fall due. Thus this system, like our Municipal Railways, will impose no burden upon the taxpayers.

Municipal Railways.

As I stated in my platform, prior to the recent election, "the acquisition and operation of the now privately-owned street railway lines and the consolidation of these lines with the Municipal Railway system are matters of transcendent importance."

During the past four years great development has taken place in our Municipal Railways. Up to June 30, 1927, there had been received from operation of the Municipal Railways the sum of $35,637,083.58, of which the operating expenses have been absorbed $25,789,767.20, leaving an excess of income over operating expenses of $9,847,316.38. No such a record of accomplishment has been made by any other railway or by any other city in the United States; so San Francisco takes leadership in the construction and operation of municipal railways.

From the net income of the Municipal Railways practically $2,000,000 of debt has been paid and $3,000,000 of current interest has been met. More than $3,500,000 has gone into extensions and betterments of the system. Today the system has 74.12 miles of single trackage and the total outstanding indebtedness is only $3,225,000, on property the reasonable value of which is at least $10,000,000. The taxpayers' profits, therefore, have amounted to some $6,775,000, which is a very creditable showing when one considers that our fare remains at 5 cents while the fare in Oakland today is 7 cents, in Portland 8 cents, in Seattle 8 1-3 cents and in Chicago 7 cents on surface lines and 10 cents on the elevated railways.

I am irrevocably committed to the continued maintenance of a 5-cent railway fare and half fare for school children in San Francisco. This City enjoys the proud distinction of being one of the two larges cities in the United States where a 5-cent fare prevails, and I will never consent to any plan which will raise the fare.

Since my last message to your Honorable Board, an extension has been made from St. Francis Circle into the Ocean View District. Temporarily this serves a local need, but ultimately it will be useful as the beginning of a trunk line for a future interurban extension into San Mateo County.

I am in hope that at an early date trackage will be laid through our magnificent new Duboce Tunnel so that those deserving people in the Sunset District will receive a transportation service requisite with their needs. It is to be regretted that two bond issues for this purpose, the last one of which was submitted at the election last November, did not receive the necessary two-thirds vote of our citizens, and I am in hope that next time such a bond issue is submitted it will be given an overwhelming majority.

The acquirement of the properties of the Market Street Railway Company remains as a problem of the first moment with our munici-

pal government. The municipal railway situation in San Francisco, as I stated in my platform, is not a question of public ownership, because that is settled by the Charter. It is merely a question of city operation. The issue that the voters must determine is whether it is more profitable to purchase the equipment in use by the Market Street Railway Company when its franchises expire, or to purchase new equipment. This is a matter which must be presented to the citizens in the form of a bond issue. Recently a large sum of money has been spent for expert advice on this point, and I hope that this Honorable Board will make full use of this expenditure in working out this vitally important problem.

Public Utilities Commission.

As I have already stated, not alone in this message but repeatedly heretofore, San Francisco is committed by the Charter to the public ownership of public utilities. For the efficient administration of all public utilities now owned or hereafter to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco there should be created an independent commission charged with the sole duty of controlling and operating these ultilities.

With this object in view there should be submitted to the voters, at the general election to be held this year, a Charter amendment creating such a public utilities commission.

The Rate Cases.

Every property owner in San Francisco, and every user of the now privately-owned public utilities are affected by the rate cases which are being prosecuted before the legally constituted judiciary boards of the State of California. The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company unjustly, in my opinion, is seeking to increase the rates that are charged to its consumers, and the case is being vigorously defended by the City Attorney under direction of the Board of Supervisors.

I trust that there will be no relaxing of vigilance in these cases on the part of your Honorable Board and that the rights of the consumer will be rigidly conserved.

Civic Center Development.

At the last election the people confirmed, by their votes, a resolution of intention having to do with the offering to the United States government of a site at the Civic Center, the site of the old Hall of Records, for a new Federal Building. The City Attorney advises me that the next step is the adoption by the people of a Charter amendment, making formal tender of the property to the government.

Once the necessary legal steps have been taken Congress will be asked to make an appropriation for the building, which has been needed in San Francisco for a number of years. I hope your Honorable Board will submit the Charter amendment to the voters without unnecessary delay and that the voters will approve it, thus opening the way to an appropriation for a building which not only has been a prime necessity for a number of years but which will add materially to the beauty of the Civic Center group.

Meanwhile formal offer has been made by Postmaster Harry L. Todd to one of the committees of your Board for the establishment of a sub-postoffice in the City Hall for the transaction of all forms of business handled at the general postoffice. I have been assured by Registrar J. Harry Zemansky that room could be found in his department for this, and I urge your Honorable Board to give approval, without delay, in order that this much-needed service may be made available to the municipal offices and to the other governmental departments grouped about the Civic Center.

Plans for the War Memorial are going forward satisfactorily and with the bond money made available by the voters some months ago, together with the sum raised by public subscription, actual work can soon be started. With the completion of the War Memorial group we will have not only a beautiful opera house, but also a war museum and headquarters for the various societies of veterans.

As I have recommended on previous occasions, in messages such as this, there should be erected on the vacant lot opposite Marshall Square, in the Civic Center, a commodious building to house our courts. Space in the City Hall is at premium, and when the Public Utilities Commission is created many more rooms and offices will be necessary for strictly City use, so that eventually the various departments of the Superior Court, now in the City Hall, must be housed elsewhere. Pending the construction of a courthouse I suggest the building of an underground garage beneath the future court-

house site, a garage to be owned and operated by the municipality, and which will accommodate City cars, which now are cared for in garages in different parts of the City.

Health Building.

Too long has the City delayed the construction of a building adequate to the needs of the Department of Public Health. The present unsightly and unsanitary quarters on Mission street, into which the Health Department is crowded, should be condemned forthwith and provision should be made by bond issue or otherwise for the construction of a new building which will enclose the present Central Emergency Hospital. With the construction of the magnificent new Fox Theater on the old St. Nicholas Hotel site, at the gore of Market, Larkin and Hayes, the Civic Center neighborhood is rapidly being developed, and we should complete the municipal group as soon as possible. One of the noblest traits in human character is the willingness to care for the sick and those less fortunate than ourselves, and every facility should be placed at the disposal of the Health Department to permit it to function properly and efficiently with a sufficiency of funds.

For the favorable consideration of your Honorable Board I likewise suggest the installation of a radio broadcasting station on our Municipal Auditorium. From this station could be broadcasted the splendid music and entertainments which almost daily and nightly take place in this great building. Matters of public moment could be broadcasted to the citizens of San Francisco and the surrounding communities, while the station would be kept forever free of the filthy politics which were heard from certain of our private broadcasting stations during the recent campaign.

The unsightly facade of the Pantages Theater Building must be beautified without further delay. Two years ago, in my message to your Honorable Board, I urged the completion of the Civic Center development along the lines laid out by the City's Board of Consulting Architects. The necessary appropriations for this work have not been forthcoming, and the result has been justifiable public criticism of the City's dilatory tactics. With the proper funds so that the City can do its part of the work, the theater building can be made to conform to the general scheme of Civic Center architecture, Fulton street can be opened straight through to Market and the unpaved portion of the plaza can be paved.

I recommend that the plans be broadened to include the widening of Grove street and the creation thereon of set-back lines to conform to the lines of the block in front of the Auditorium.

Streets and Boulevards.

A splendid program of highway construction and betterment was approved by the voters at the elections in June and November of last year, providing additional much-needed trunk line arteries out of San Francisco and facilitating the movement of crosstown traffic.

First comes the Bay Shore highway, starting in the vicinity of Army street and Potrero avenue and running parallel to the San Bruno road to the San Mateo County line, a roadway 125 feet wide and 3.01 miles long. The State Highway Commission is building northerly from South San Francisco to meet the City's road, and it is our hope that the highway will be finished throughout and in service before the end of 1929.

The new Alemany boulevard, 100 feet wide and 4.8 miles long, will follow the line of the old Ocean Shore Railway and will provide a main artery from the southerly Mission business section in a southwesterly direction to the county line.

The new Junipero Serra boulevard, following the present route from St. Francis Gate southward to the county line, 125 feet wide and 1.8 miles long, will be completed about the same time as the Alemany boulevard.

Nineteenth avenue will be extended southerly from Sloat boulevard, paralleling the Municipal Railway tracks to the Junipero Serra boulevard at Ocean View. It will be 1.25 miles long. with a width of 128 feet.

By their approval of the boulevard bond issue, the voters have given authority for a future great parked highway, to be known as Sunset boulevard, between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-seventh avenues, and stretching from Golden Gate Park to Lake Merced. The City will acquire a tier of blocks included in this area so as to create an artery 2.1 miles long and 240 feet in width. The Park Commission will be asked to park this in the same manner as is Funston avenue between Golden Gate Park and the Presidio.

Whether the park crossing to connect these two highways should

be made on the level, or whether a subway across the park should be built, will be decided when the proper time comes and when full consideration shall have been given the matter.

The concrete Esplanade, stretching from Sutro Heights southerly along the Ocean Beach, has been a decided engineering success. It will now be extended practically half a mile or so to cover all the frontage of Golden Gate Park. From its terminus it is planned to build over the high-level road next to the ocean an avenue 120 feet in width to Sloat boulevard.

Van Ness avenue will also be extended another block, from Mission and Twelfth streets to Howard street, and the Bernal Cut, involving an excavation 4200 feet long and 117 feet in width, will be completed during the present year.

All of these highway projects involve an expense of about $11,000,000. It speaks well for the progressive spirit of the people of San Francisco that the bond issues should have carried by a vote of practically four to one. We have 130,000 automobiles in San Francisco at present. With the construction of these new traffic outlets it is confidently expected that within five years the number of automobiles owned and operated here will have been doubled.

As Mayor I have in the past advocated other highway construction which San Francisco greatly needs. As soon as practicable, steps should be taken to extend the Golden Gate Park Panhandle easterly 4600 feet from its present terminus at Baker street to a junction with Market street near Duboce avenue, the necessary land for which will cost approximately $3,000,000. A tier of lots should be acquired on each side of Capp street, from the Van Ness avenue extension to Bernal Heights, a distance of 8600 feet, which would provide a great Mission traffic artery 305 feet wide and capable of being parked in the center. The Bernal Heights boulevard must also be carried through to completion without unnecessary delay, and I respectfully recommend it, with the others I have mentioned, to the favorable action of your Honorable Board.

Traffic Problem.

I very strongly oppose the diversion of San Francisco's share of the Good Roads Fund to the repaving of existing streets. The proper use of this fund is in the construction of new main highways. It could be very properly used in the treatment of the Market street improvements, which have been advocated by me for several years, and which one day will become not only desirable but vitally necessary. The new traffic law has aided greatly in solving the problem, but subway pedestrian crossings at the principal intersections are highly desirable for the protection of life and limb and sooner or later a subway under Market street, to handle the street railways, will be needed to maintain a speedy connection between downtown and the outlying residential districts.

In connection with traffic congestion, I recommend to your Honorable Board the setting of a fixed policy regarding garages and parking stations, more of which are constantly needed as the number of automobiles increase. It is my belief that the future will bring an ever-increasing number of garages, many stories in height, with parking stations giving way to valuable revenue-producing buildings on lots now occupied by these stations. It is necessary to encourage capital to invest, and this cannot be done if the builder of a costly garage, on which large sums in taxes are paid to the City, is constantly to be menaced by the possibility of his business prospects being ruined by the granting of a permit for a parking station a few feet away from his establishment.

Public Schools.

A comprehensive program of public school construction is actively going forward, and by the close of this year we will have made great strides toward solving the ever-present problem of adequately housing our school children. I trust that in the preparation of the 1928-29 budget your Honorable Board will deal generously with the Board of Education, toward the end that we may catch up with our building program and that our youth may have good, permanent school buildings and wholesome surroundings for their education. The Department of Education estimates that $1,500,000 a year is necessary if its building activities are to take care of the situation.

Bay Bridge.

There has recently been introduced in Congress, in both the Senate and the House, a bill which would give the City and County of San Francisco the right to build a transbay bridge, with its western terminus at Rincon Hill, the spot selected some months ago by our special consulting engineers as the

most feasible. At the last election the voters declared for a publicly-owned bridge and we should give our active support to the passage by Congress of the bill given Federal approval to the project.

Parks and Plagrounds.

San Francisco is a city justly famed for its parks and playgrounds, and we must continue to provide more as our population grows. Our nineteen playgrounds, comprising 61 acres, are even now taxed to the utmost to care for our children, while many districts have no playgrounds at all. May I urge that heed be given the entreaties of our citizens who seek playgrounds in their respective districts, and that as quickly as wisdom directs and finances permit, these outdoor recreation spots be provided.

The beautiful new McLaren Park, in the southerly section of the City, should house a zoo of a size and character worthy of San Francisco. No feature of publicly-owned entertainment is more popular than a zoo, and in this respect our City is woefully lacking in comparison to most of the other large cities of the country. There should be provided $2,000,000 for the acquirement of additional land for the John McLaren Park, and provision should then be made to establish a zoo that will reflect credit upon our people and will provide them with both education and entertainment. I strongly recommend that the offer of the Parkside Realty Company to deed to the City the property known as Pine Lake, in the Parkside District, be accepted, and that the lake be turned over to the Park Commission to be beautified and made into another recreation center for our people.

New County Jail.

For many years the people of the fast growing Ingleside District have complained of the presence there of the old County Jail, formerly known as the Industrial School, then as the House of Correction. At the time the jail was built, seventy years or more ago, there were no homes in the neighborhood, but today it has become one of the finest residential districts of our City and the institution has become both an eyesore and a bar to the rise of property values. Moreover, it is antiquated and in a ramshackle condition, and wholly unfit for the housing of prisoners.

The City and County Treasurer has in his keeping a fund of $113,800, which may be used only for the building of a new County Jail. Of this amount $50,000 was appropriated in the 1925-26 budget and the remainder came from the sale of the old Broadway Jail property.

Where the new jail should be erected is a matter for serious study and debate. I have a number of suggestions which I am ready to submit, and I strongly recommend that your Honorable Board at once appoint a committee of your members to confer with the Mayor and the Sheriff and to bring back a definite plan for approval.

The County Jail property comprises some 100 acres, with a few acres more contained in Balboa Park. This property should be transformed, after the razing of the old jail buildings, into a great public park and playground, to serve the present and future needs of the district surrounding it.

Municipal Airport.

Further development of our Municipal Airport is a matter worthy of our unceasing effort. Some 150 acres of land, about three miles south of South San Francisco and east of the Bay Shore highway, has been leased by the City from the Mills Estate. Hangars and other equipment have been built during the past eight months at an expense of $200,000 and the field has been in constant use. Here is where Colonel Lindbergh landed in his celebrated flight across the continent and here is whence he departed on his journey to the south. The site is far removed from hills and is free from local air currents. Lindbergh declared it to be one of the finest fields in the United States. There is every reason to hope that it will become one of the most popular airports in the country, and we should leave nothing undone to enhance its popularity and increase its use.

Street Lighting.

Although much has been accomplished in recent years in modernizing our system of street lighting, there still remains a vast work to be done. The antiquated gas lamps which disfigure many of our streets should be replaced without further delay by electric lamps, and in all districts where the underground conduit system has been installed ornamental electroliers should be liberally distributed, just as has been done recently on Geary street.

All overhead wires should be put underground. The public service corporations should be obliged to do this work with all possible speed, under legislation of the Board of Supervisors, so that not only will

our streets be made more sightly, but also safer for vehicular and pedestrian traffic.

Civil Service.

Due attention should be paid to the needs of the Civil Service Commission, and appropriations should be made in each annual budget of sufficient size to enable the Commission to increase its activities, and to hold examinations for the creation of eligible lists for all positions defined by the Charter as coming under Civil Service control.

During my administration of sixteen years as Mayor, nearly every department of the City and County government has been brought under civil service. Examinations for the few remaining positions, which have not been held because of lack of funds, should now be made possible.

Public Gifts.

This City has been particularly fortunate in receiving, from its public-spirited citizens, notable gifts of public institutions. The M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum, the California Academy of Sciences and the Steinhart Aquarium in Golden Gate Park, the Spreckels California Palace of the Legion of Honor in Lincoln Park, and Huntington Park, to mention but a few, are inspirations to all our people.

During the past year Mr. Carl Larsen donated to the City for park uses a wonderful piece of property of some six acres on Sunset Heights, and also two blocks of land along Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues from Ulloa to Wawona streets. I heartily commend Mr. Larsen and our other wealthy citizens who have made donations to the City, and I sincerely hope that their actions will be emulated by many more who are able and willing, if the suggestion were made to them, to offer similar gifts.

Greater San Francisco.

San Francisco is a prosperous city, and I predict an increasing prosperity for our City by the Golden Gate as each year passes. In the factory growth, in bank clearings and clearing house returns and in exports and imports, there is a continuous upward trend which makes us worthy of the title "Metropolis of the Pacific Coast." Building permits in 1927 numbered 9170 and totaled $47,000,000 in value, a substantial rise in number and value over 1926. Security transactions on the San Francisco Stock Exchange in 1927 went to more than $672,000,000, an increase of nearly $317,000,000 over the previous year. In every field were similar satisfying evidences of growth.

Our future lies in our own hands. The time is not far distant when our population will be numbered in millions, when a consolidation will have been effected between San Francisco and the peninsula communities, under the borough plan, and when "Greater San Francisco" will become a reality.

We should all bend our efforts toward the consummation of the plan, recently worked out, of reclaiming many hundreds of acres of industrial lands along our Bay front, extending from Islais Creek clear to Redwood City. Sites suitable for industries, which San Francisco has heretofore sadly lacked, will attract the industries themselves, and once the industries have been established here, a great growth in population will be the inevitable result.

Let us go forward, shoulder to shoulder, in building a bigger and better city. Let us maintain the spark that glowed in the breasts of our pioneers, who took San Francisco, a region of bleak hills and long reaches of sand, and transformed it into the great metroplis that it is today. Let us subordinate differences of opinion and personal ambition to the one great aim of building — building substantially, building pridefully, building optimistically, building for the happiness and welfare of those generations which are to tread the pathways where now we direct our steps.

Our present regard will be pride of accomplishment, our future reward the verdict of our children and our children's children: "They kept the faith and builded well. Their achievements do them honor."

Sincerely,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Communication from Mayor on Death of Gavin McNab.

San Francisco, Cal.,
January 3, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with my extemporaneous remarks before your Honorable Board today upon the sad death of that great civic leader, the Honorable Gavin McNab, I wish to make formal request that you do honor to Mr. McNab's memory in some one of the ways which I have suggested to you.

In the passing of Gavin McNab

San Francisco has lost one of its most brilliant intellects and one of the most public-spirited of its citizens. Ever since he was a young man Mr. McNab has taken an active part in public affairs and in matters having to do with the upbuilding of San Francisco. Particularly have I, since I was first honored with election as Mayor, been accorded the great privilege of consulting with him upon problems which from time to time have arisen to perplex your Honorable Board and myself. The value of his always ready advice is inestimable, and the people of this City owe to him a debt which can never be repaid.

Not only in matters of particular concern to San Francisco, but in affairs of the state and of the nation Gavin McNab has played a prominent though unassuming part. Time after time he had declined to accept honors which were bestowed upon him. His reward for his efforts was contained in personal satisfaction over the accomplishment of something of public good.

I am sure you will agree with me that the memory of the Honorable Gavin McNab should live always in the minds and hearts of the people of San Francisco. Therefore, I deem it most fitting that your Honorable Board name one of our parks or playgrounds or schools or streets with the name of Gavin McNab, so that the generations which are to follow will be aware of the worth of this eminent citizen who has been taken from us.

Sincerely,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Read and filed and ordered spread in the Journal.

The Mayor: When the resolution I referred to comes in and the Board adopts it, the resolution itself, with your remarks and my remarks, and any other remarks made by the Board today regarding Gavin McNab, be sent to Mrs. McNab. Any objection? So ordered.

Now, we have three new members here this morning, and, of course, the inauguration ceremonies will not be complete if we did not give this gathering an opportunity to take a look at them and congratulate them and see how they make their maiden speech at the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors consists of eighteen orators. And I hope that the three new members will likewise show by their brains and their ability that they, too, when called upon to deliver an oration, won't be found in the second place. Dr. Toner, you are such a jolly, fine, good fellow that we are proud to have you here, and, gentlemen of the Board, I present you, and my fellow citizens, I present to you, Dr. James M. Toner, whom you have elected to serve you during the next four years. Dr. Toner. (Applause.)

Supervisor Toner's Address.

Your Honor the Mayor, my associates on the Board of Supervisors and citizens of San Francisco: My first act as a Supervisor is to thank the voters of this City for the honor and distinction they have conferred upon me in electing me a member of this legislative body. I also wish to thank my friends for the loyal, enthusiastic support, both moral and financial, they gave me during the past spirited campaign. (Flowers). I wish to thank you, Mr. Mayor, and you, gentlemen of the Board, for the courtesy you have always extended my associates and myself during the past two years when we appeared before you, representing the Sunset-Parkside District, on such matters as boulevards, Duboce Tunnel, Esplanade and all projects which had to do with the development of that sparsely settled, but rapidly growing part of San Francisco. I wish to thank two committees that we came in close contact with, the Public Utilities, of which Mr. Supervisor Shannon was chairman, and the Street Committee, of which Mr. Supervisor Marks was chairman.

I wish to thank the City Engineer's office, especially Mr. Healy, for the cooperation he gave us in our development plans; also, Mr. O'Toole and his assistant, Mr. Dion Holm, who gave our attorney, Mr. John Riordan, splendid cooperation in working out a practical assessment and payment plan for the Duboce Tunnel assessment area. You, the members of the press, representing the large dailies, and also the community papers, I wish to thank you for the publicity you gave our Sunset programs, and also for the courtesy you have shown me personally during the late campaign.

You, my colleagues on the Board, I enter your midst with the friendliest feelings toward you all, and with a high personal regard of your integrity. I know you all, some of you gentlemen I have known for as many as twenty years, and I wish to assure you that it will be my earnest endeavor to cooperate with you in every way pos-

sible to make our administration a successful one.

Mr. Mayor, I wish to pledge you my support and my loyalty. By so doing I will not only be living up to my oath of office and my personal inclinations, but I will be carrying out the mandate of ninety thousand citizens who voted to retain and return you to office to fill out twenty years of continuous constructive city building. I consider that I am one of your aides, sent here by the voters of San Francisco to help you and assist you in every forward, constructive movement, which has for its object a bigger, better and more progressive San Francisco.

As a member of this Board I will have three major endeavors:

First, to do all in my power to help San Francisco in its entirety. I am for the advancement of all our public utilities. I will cast my vote for all municipal projects. If we spend the City's money in a businesslike manner, fostering and developing municipal projects, private capital will follow our lead, and we will get industries, manufacturing plants, and with these come employment, good wages, increased population and a large payroll, which always means abundant prosperity.

Number two, I feel myself to be the personal representative of the Sunset-Parkside District, the only undeveloped area in San Francisco, and I know that you, my colleagues, will, in the future, as you have in the past, do all in your power to help develop this district. If we are to keep San Franciscans in San Francisco and cater to our increasing population this territory must be developed. We need parks, schools, playgrounds, transportation, boulevards, completion of the Esplanade, which is now progressing rapidly, and all civic improvements which has to do with making up an ideal home district which this will be. I also wish to thank you Mr. Supervisor Havenner for the heroic efforts you are making to bring about a speedy completion of the Judah street car line, also for your action in making up the deficit for the Board of Health.

The third matter that will receive much of my study and attention is the subject of health. I wish to thank the Committee on Committees for making me chairman of Public Health Committee. I feel that with an experience of thirty years practicing medicine in San Francisco that I can be of service in fostering better health conditions and thereby preventing disease and epidemics. I will cooperate with the Health Officer, Dr. Massler, and by the way he is a very efficient executive, and with the Board of Health, and with all organizations that have to do with sanitation and health betterment. As a member of the County Medical Society I feel myself to be the personal representative of the medical men of San Francisco on this Board, and it will be my constant endeavor to merit their approval.

Public Health work, that is, our present understanding and conception of it, is a development of recent times. There must be a closer union between the Board of Supervisors and the Health authorities. The stock question asked of any community should not be altogether what of the schools, what the bank clearance, but what are death, the births and the morbidity statistics. People do not seem to understand that good health is the greatest thing in the world. The wealth of a nation is its health. The general public has not yet learned that good health is a commodity that can be bought and paid for like any other commodity. If you will spend the money and do the work you can have your community practically free from seventy per cent of all diseases. This is a startling statement to make, but medical men know it is true. There is enough scientific medical knowledge obtainable today, which, if properly applied and directed, would, in a short period of years, stamp out the infections and communicable diseases and render most of the others impotent.

The health authorities cannot do successful work without aid of the general public and the lawmakers of the community. The health authorities must depend upon the municipal authorities for the power and money to carry on their work.

When there is a saving policy the health budget is always the one to be cut. The City authorities will spend much money to protect homes from being burglarized or citizens from being held up or robbed on the street. It is right and just that they should do so, but it so grudgingly gives to protect the children who are daily in danger of being garroted or maimed and crippled by the murderous diseases of childhood—and remember, much of the sequale of diseases of childhood follow into adult life.

I shall endeavor to secure from the Finance Committee all the

money that is necessary to give comfort, happiness and restoration of health to those who need it.

I wish to take this occasion to say a word relative to Mr. Gavin McNab, who passed from our midst last week. In his passing San Francisco, the West, and the entire country has lost one of her outstanding statesmen. I feel that Mr. McNab has done much toward the upbuilding of San Francisco. His time, energy and money were always at the disposal of San Franciscans for the betterment of our City. A few of his epigrams and witticisms appeared in the San Francisco dailies the day after his death. I will take the liberty of reading you one of them. I think it very apropos to this occasion:

"Los Angeles has municipal union, San Francisco is perpetually divided. Los Angeles honors the men who do things. San Francisco honors those who prevent someone from doing something."

I hope that San Francisco takes heed of those prophetic words, and that a spirit of tolerance and cooperation will be brought about.

For a proper development of our City we must have the cooperation of the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, the different departments of our city government, the Downtown Associations, the Merchants Exchange, the health authorities and all civic organizations. Then, and not till then, will we properly develop and progress.

I am happy to be with you today to join in this unity celebration. I hope that the dove of peace which hovers o'er us today will continue with us for the next year. Let us be as the name of this celebration signifies, a united body of eighteen resolute representatives of San Francisco, under the leadership of his Honor the Mayor, working for the best interest of our City.

I wish you all a happy and prosperous New Year.

I know that when we assemble here a year from today, the people of San Francisco, whose servants we are, and who have entrusted into our care the legislation of this, our beloved city, will say to us: "Well done, my good and faithful servants, you have lived up to your oath of office, you have done good and big things for a big city, you are faithful and intelligent legislators, we commend you; go forth and do likewise for the next year." Let us so conduct ourselves.

I thank you.

The Mayor: I thank you, Doctor, for those very kind, able and cheering words that you have just expressed. They were food for thought, and I really think that the speech itself and the way you delivered it, and in the proper tone of voice, made an impression upon every Supervisor, as it did upon me, and I hope that we will get together and do big things for a big city. I congratulate you. (Applause.)

I now take the liberty of presenting to you, as a member of the Board of Supervisors, fellow citizens and fellow members of the Board, our really reelected Supervisor, Fred Suhr.

Supervisor Suhr: His Honor Mayor Rolph and my fellow members: I listened with a great deal of interest to the Mayor when he said there were eighteen orators here, but I rather disagree with him on the start. I believe there are eighteen members of the Board, but not eighteen orators. I, for one, have never been an orator. I believe that actions speak louder than words. And I want to say to you, Mr. Mayor, that I have listened with a great deal of interest to your inaugural address, and I, for one, want to pledge to you my hearty support, the same as I have in the past, and that I will be there 100 per cent behind you in all these projects. (Applause.) It seems good to be back, and a great deal of satisfaction to be back here in the Board after a lapse of six years, and I hope that many of the larger projects which you have mentioned will be carried through to their completion. In some cases I was a member of the Board when they were initiated. I also take this opportunity, if it is in order, to publicly thank the many friends who have seen fit to honor me by placing me back on the Board. I thank you. (Applause.)

The Mayor: I thank you, Supervisor Suhr. It is a pleasure, your smiling countenance and your able and lofty brain back here with us.

We have another welcomed member of this Board, one whom I personally welcome, one whom we all welcome, and, my fellow citizens, I wish to present to you the new Supervisor whom you have honored by placing him here as a member of this legislative body of our City. I present Supervisor Frank McGovern. (Applause.)

Supervisor McGovern: Mr. Mayor, members of the Board of Supervisors, and particularly the citizens of San Francisco who have honored me by placing me in such responsible position, let me say this: that

in the conduct of my affairs as a Supervisor, I would like the guiding of my vote and the guiding of my conduct to be determined on the same principles that have guided many of the things that are worth while in the past twenty years of my life, and that is on the basis of right and wrong. I have always believed in being independent in choosing the principles that will guide me in things that are of moment. I shall so try to conduct myself as a member of the Board of Supervisors.

I have heard your Honor mention some things in a particular district in which I am more than ordinarily interested, the large southern district, and particularly the removal of the jail. And I was glad to hear you so state. We have in that district, or we have grouped together, many of our people who believe that our wants are not known. Now, if in the conduct of my affairs as a Supervisor I may be over-zealous or may seem to take more of an interest in that particular district, remember that I shall always try to take into consideration the interest of all parts of the City and use the knowledge of things that I have gained for civic betterment. I shall always look at it in this light: that, when a group of people come from a district, they know the wants of that district better than the members of the Board of Supervisors. (Applause.) I shall let that weigh on one hand, and let weigh on the other those who have to pay the bill, the taxpayers, and in my vote and counsel I shall try to see them get the best that can be had. To your Honor I want to say this: In the main, the declaration that you have given of a policy to be followed the next four years is the declaration of the majority of the people of San Francisco. They are the thoughts that have governed me in my politics, and I will say to you, also, that, in furtherance of them, I shall be behind you all the way and wish you all the success in it, feeling that the next four years ought to be the most successful years that you have had in your administration as a Mayor of San Francisco. Thank you. (Applause.)

The Mayor: I thank you, Supervisor McGovern, and I am very much heartened in the words that you have just expressed, build and build and build for a greater city.

* * * * *

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Mayor, The Board has done me the honor of appointing me chairman of the Finance Committee. I have had experience enough on that Committee already to know that every day is going to be kicker's day for me in the future, but I want to say to you, your Honor, that I was very much impressed with your message today, and that I am heartily in sympathy with many of the constructive suggestions that you made there, and I feel that I can voice the attitude and the opinion of most of the members of this Board when I say to you that, so far as our activities are concerned, we hope, in the two years that are ahead of us, to be able to work with the single purpose and the single-hearted aim of trying to accomplish something constructive and progressive for San Francisco, and we hope, Mr. Mayor, to have the privilege of working in accord with you on that program. (Applause.)

* * * * *

Address by Supervisor Alfred Roncoveri.

To be placed in a position of trust by the people is one of the highest honors that can be conferred upon any citizen. I therefore esteem it a proud privilege to take my seat for a second term as a member of the Board of Supervisors of this City and County.

San Francisco has a distinctive character that is akin to a living thing—something with a soul. It is made up of the thoughts, dreams and visions of those to whose lives it gives something. All things considered, our future never was brighter than now, for San Francisco has caught a glimpse of her future greatness.

Great problems which will affect the people of San Francisco for weal or woe, according as they are solved, lie before us.

Never has a Board of Supervisors faced problems of such tremendous importance and magnitude, and their correct solution challenges the best thought and experience of the most enlightened of our City.

To solve these problems aright we need the heaven-born qualities of intelligence and moral courage, and above all, devotion to lofty ideals. Advice on all matters we will receive in plenty, but in the final analysis the responsibility for success or failure must of necessity rest upon the members of this Board. One thing I would suggest above all others, let us have less talk and more action, linked with sanity.

What we shall do, and not what we shall say, is the only thing that will count with the people. When inclined to talk upon any subject, let us remember the old Hindu proverb, "Wisdom is made up of ten parts—nine parts silence and one part brevity." Let us have good team-work, with unity of purpose and with courtesy and tolerance for each other's views. Let the City's business be carried on with dispatch and decorum—two D's worth remembering.

Let us not forget that behind us stand the 700,000 people of our great City, in all their might and majesty. They have signally honored us by selecting us to be members of the Board of Directors of the largest and richest corporation in California, and they expect, nay, they demand, that we shall do for them in good faith and honor all that the directors of any first-class corporation would do to advance the interests of their stockholders.

Let us then be forward-looking and exert the greatest care in all our undertakings in order to protect the interests of the people with the same shrewd finesse that the directors of a private corporation exercises on behalf of their stockholders.

I believe that our City's problems should be discussed in a frank and dignified manner. For my part, I shall cooperate and work harmoniously with my associates, but should it be necessary at any time for me to disagree, I shall disagree, agreeably. I assure you that I shall not be a majority member, nor a minority member of this Board, from choice—my pledges to the people and my conscience will be my only guides.

It is our duty as members of this Board once and for all to establish on a firm-set foundation the spirit of fair play and courteous conduct in all of our official relations one to the other, to be doers and not talkers, to be savers and not wasters of time, in order to expedite business, and thereby obtain public approval and confidence.

For my part, I shall keep the faith and give unstintingly of my strength in support of any constructive measure by whomsoever fathered, and in return I shall expect like consideration for any measure vital to San Francisco's interests which I may advocate.

When we took our oath of office we entered into the high obligation to serve the interests of all the people, and much will rightfully be expected of us. So I renew my pledge to work with whole heart and mind with you, Mr. Mayor, and with you my colleagues, to the end that the great problems that confront San Francisco at this time may be solved satisfactorily and without delay.

May God give us the light to see the right in coming to our decisions for the best interests of the people.

And now, as we are about to undertake our duties, let us day by day keep our eyes to the front, and upon Him:

"From out whose hands
The centuries roll like grains of sand,
Lord God of Hosts, be with us yet,
Lest we forget. lest we forget."

Former Supervisor Byington, being called upon by his Honor the Mayor, addressed the Board briefly, declaring that he had the privilege of knowing and working with Curtis Lindley, Gavin McNab and Matt I. Sullivan and had reason to appreciate their great worth as public-spirited, outstanding citizens of San Francisco. He thanked the members of the Board and the clerks for their cooperation during his incumbency and was highly complimented by the Mayor on his ability as a legislator and public-spirited citizen.

Former Supervisor James I. Herz also addressed the Board, thanking the Mayor for appointing him Supervisor, as well as the Board of Supervisors for its cooperation and spoke in high praise of the wonderful construction message of the Mayor.

Supervisor Colman spoke of former Supervisor Harrelson, who was unable to be present, in the terms of the highest praise as a public-spirited man of sterling character and ability, whose departure from the Board of Supervisors would be a distinct loss.

Supervisor McSheehy declared that it would be his policy during the ensuing year to insist on the enforcement of Rule 40, respecting the granting of the privilege of the floor.

Mayor Rolph declared that it would be his policy to establish a "Kickers' Night," whereat citizens might present the complaints on any subject of municipal interest.

Chief of Police Dan O'Brien, being called upon, wished all a happy and prosperous New Year.

Telegrams from Cardinal Hayes of New York and Eamon De Valera, Irish Republican leader, congratulating Mayor Rolph and San Francisco on his reelection were also read to the Board.

Citizens Participate.

Daniel Tobin, "Mayor of Parkside," Mrs. White of Sunset, a representative of David Scannell Club, Mrs. Edna Calhan, Miss V. Fowler of the Marina, S. Markowitz of Richmond, Mrs. Hogan of the 100% Woman's Club, Mrs. J. Shelly of the South of Market Girls, T. Reardon of South of Market Boys, E. J. Kenny, president of Geary Street Merchants Association and numerous others representative of various sections of the City were heard in congratulations and good wishes for the success of the coming administration.

The meeting room was jammed with spectators and even standing-room was at a premium. When the Mayor referred to his good wife, who was on the rostrum with him, she received the greatest ovation given any of those who took part. The Mayor called on a large number of the citizens present and each one responded in a happy and appropriate vein. Especially was this true of the women who had taken part in the recent campaign in the Mayor's behalf.

Report of Committee on Committees.

Supervisor Badaracco moved the adoption of the following committee assignments:

Committee Assignments.

Badaracco—Supplies, Streets, Public Welfare.

Colman—Civil Service, City Planning, Traffic.

Deasy—Fire, Public Buildings, City Planning.

Gallagher—Streets, Public Welfare, Fire.

Havenner—Finance, Civil Service, Auditorium.

Hayden—City Planning, Education, Judiciary.

Kent—Public Welfare, Finance, Police.

McGovern — Playgrounds, Fire, Health.

McSheehy—Auditorium, Health, Tunnels.

Marks—Judiciary, Tunnels, Concerts.

Powers—Public Buildings, Lighting, Finance.

Roncovieri—Public Concerts, Streets, Public Utilities.

Schmidt—Police, Traffic, Lighting.

Shannon—Public Utilities, Supplies, Auditorium.

Stanton—Lighting, Supplies, Public Utilities.

Suhr—Tunnels, Public Buildings, Civil Service.

Todd—Traffic, Police, Judiciary.

Toner—Health, Concerts, Education.

Standing Committees.

Auditorium — McSheehy, chairman; Havenner, Shannon.

Civil Service and Retirement—Colman, chairman; Havenner, Suhr.

City Planning—Hayden, chairman; Colman, Deasy.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds—McGovern, chairman; Hayden, Toner.

Finance — Havenner, chairman; Kent, Powers.

Fire — Deasy, chairman; Gallagher, McGovern.

Judiciary — Marks, chairman; Todd, Hayden.

Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity—Stanton, chairman; Powers, Schmidt.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations — Roncovieri, chairman, Marks, Toner.

Police and Licenses—Schmidt, chairman; Todd, Kent.

Public Buildings and Lands—Powers, chairman; Deasy, Suhr.

Public Health—Toner, chairman; McSheehy, McGovern.

Public Utilities—Shannon, chairman; Stanton, Roncovieri.

Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport—Kent, chairman, Gallagher, Badaracco.

Streets and Commercial Development—Gallagher, chairman; Badaracco, Roncovieri.

Supplies—Badaracco, chairman; Stanton, Shannon.

Traffic and Safety—Todd, chairman; Schmidt, Colman.

Tunnels and Assessments—Suhr, chairman; Marks, McSheehy.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

RULES OF PROCEEDINGS.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the rules hereinafter set forth be adopted as the Rules of Proceedings of this Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

Rules of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, 1928. Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor and Ex-officio President.

Members.

Badaracco, John B.
Colman, Jesse C.
Deasy, Cornelius J.
Gallagher, Andrew J.
Havenner, Franck R.

Hayden, J. Emmet
Kent, Milo F.
McGovern, Frank J.
McSheehy, Jas. B.
Marks, Milton
Powers, Chas. J.
Roncovieri, Alfred
Schmidt, Walter J.
Shannon, Warren
Stanton, Wm. P.
Suhr, Fred
Todd, Chas. F.
Toner, Dr. J. M.

Standing Committees.

Supervisor Badaracco moved that the Standing Committees of this Board be constituted as follows:

The first named member to be Chairman thereof:

Auditorium—McSheehy, Havenner, Shannon.

Civil Service and Retirement—Colman, Havenner, Suhr.

City Planning—Hayden, Colman, Deasy.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds—McGovern, Hayden, Toner.

Finance—Havenner, Kent, Powers.

Fire—Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern.

Judiciary—Marks, Todd, Hayden.

Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity—Stanton, Powers, Schmidt.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations — Roncovieri, Marks, Toner.

Police and Licenses—Schmidt, Todd, Kent.

Public Buildings and Lands—Powers, Deasy, Suhr.

Public Health—Toner, McSheehy, McGovern.

Public Utilities—Shannon, Stanton, Roncovieri.

Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport—Kent, Gallagher, Badaracco.

Streets and Commercial Development—Gallagher, Badaracco, Roncovieri.

Supplies—Badaracco, Stanton, Shannon.

Traffic and Safety—Todd, Schmidt, Colman.

Tunnels and Assessments—Suhr, Marks, McSheehy.

Rules of Proceedings.

1. The following shall constitute the Standing Committees of the Board:

Auditorium.
City Planning.
Civil Service and Retirement System.
Education, Parks and Playgrounds.
Finance.
Fire.
Judiciary.
Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity.
Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations.
Police and Licenses.
Public Buildings and Lands.
Public Health.
Public Utilities.
Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport.
Rules.
State Laws and Legislation, specially appointed by Finance Committee when occasion requires.
Streets and Commercial Development.
Supplies.
Traffic.
Tunnels and Assessments.

Every Committee shall set a stated time of meeting, or the Committee may meet at a time to be set by the chairman, and every member will be expected to attend every meeting of his Committee, and to be present promptly on time. The clerk of each Committee shall keep a record of the attendance of the members, and he shall report such record to the Clerk of the Board and the Clerk of the Board shall have the report of the attendance of members at committee meetings available at all times for the information of any or all members of the Board.

2. The respective duties of each of the foregoing Committees and the time of meetings are hereby defined as follows:

Auditorium—To have control and management of the Municipal Auditorium and entertainments held therein under the auspices of the City as provided in Ordinance No. 5320 (New Series); to lease said building and the several halls and apartments therein; to report and recommend on applications for leasing of said building for public assemblages and gatherings; to consider and report on all matters relating to the management, conduct and maintenance of said Auditorium.

City Planning—To consider matters relative to City Planning and all reports of the City Planning Commission shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

Civil Service and Retirement System—To consider all matters relating to Civil Service in the several departments and to promote efficiency and economy in expenditures; to consider matters relating to the Retirement System, and all

reports of the Board of Administration of the Retirement System shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds—To consider and report upon all matters relating to the Departments of Education, Parks and Playgrounds and recreation centers, including the Aquatic Park, and to cooperate with the Board of Education, Playground Commission and Park Commission regarding the development and increased usefulness of these departments.

Finance—To perform all duties required by the Charter; to audit all bills and report on all matters that may be referred to it by the Board of Supervisors; to act as a budget committee for the Board, hold hearings on budget estimates of and with all departments, receive recommendations from all other committees of the Board, and formulate a budget for submission to the Board on or before the second Monday of May. (Meets Friday at 2:30 p. m.)

Fire—To consider all matters relating to the Fire Department; to report on all applications for garage, boiler, laundry and other permits referred to it.

Judiciary—To consider and report upon the legality of all matters referred to it by the Board and to propose such amendments to the Charter as may be deemed advisable.

Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity—To attend to the proper lighting of streets, public parks and public buildings; to investigate and correct complaints of water service and extensions thereof, and telephone service; to recommend installation and removal of City telephones; to recommend from time to time extensions of underground wire system, and to have general charge of all matters pertaining to electricity other than public lighting and amendments to the building laws.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations—To have charge of the Municipal Band and conduct all concerts that are given under the auspices of the City and County of San Francisco (except those concerts under the management of the Park Commissioners and the Auditorium Committee of this Board); to assist in promotion of all semi-public celebrations, dedications, etc.

Police and Licenses—To consider legislation concerning the Police Department; to investigate the management and character of penal institutions; to consider all matters affecting public morals; to report upon applications for permits referred to it by the Board, including free licenses to those deserving them, and report on all licenses.

Public Buildings and Lands—To consider the erection of all public buildings and the purchase of sites for all public buildings upon recommendation of the respective departments; to consider and report upon the repairs to public buildings, and to recommend as to the janitorial, elevator and other service required for the proper conduct of all buildings of the City and County; also to assign to the various offices and departments the various rooms and places in the City Hall and Hall of Justice; to investigate and report upon proposed purchases of lands; to formulate plans for leasing City lands not needed for public purposes; to consider transfer of lands from one department to another, and all other matters pertaining to the realty of the City other than school property.

Public Health—To consider all matters relating to health and sanitation; to see that institutions under the control of the Board of Health are properly conducted; to establish and maintain a high standard of service in public hospitals and Relief Home; to consider and report upon all complaints of nuisances; to make recommendations upon applications for permits which may be referred to it by the Board; also removal and destruction of garbage.

Public Utilities—To consider and pass upon all matters relating to public utilities, their acquisition, construction, control and management, whether municipally or privately owned, including transbay bridges, transportation, lighting, power, water and steam heating.

Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport—To consider matters relating to the social well-being of the community other than those heretofore provided for, and to supervise the publication of the Municipal Record and other official documents and reports, and generally to act upon all matters of public advertising, and pass on all bills chargeable against the advertising fund; to consider all matters relating to the Bureau of Weights and Measures; to have control and management of the Municipal Airport; to report and recommend on applications for leasing of hangars and concessions in said Airport; to

consider and report on all matters relating to said Airport.

Rules—To consider amendments to the Rules and such other matters as may be referred to it by the Board.

State Laws and Legislation—To be appointed by the Finance Committee when occasion requires. To consider all matters pending before the Legislature and proposed legislation which affects the City and County of San Francisco, directly or indirectly, and to make such recommendations to the Board as may be deemed advisable, and to appear before the State Legislature in advocacy of any measures or in opposition to measures as the Board may advise.

Streets and Commercial Development—To consider all matters relating to the construction, improvement and maintenance of streets and sewers, including highways outside the County, for which the City and County is authorized to appropriate money, the closing, opening and widening of streets and the cleaning of streets; to designate the streets for the improvement and repair of which appropriations may be made in the budget and to allocate the same. Also direct the expenditure of money received from the State for the construction of public highways; to consider and report upon applications for spur and industrial tracks; to promote the commercial and industrial development of the City and foster the construction of traffic and seaboard terminals; to support legislation designed to promote world trade by the creation of Foreign Trade Zones in the United States and to bring about the location of a Foreign Trade Zone within the City and County of San Francisco; to inaugurate a movement for local ownership and control of the San Francisco Harbor. Also to reclaim tide lands for commerce and industry.

Supplies—To consider and have charge of the purchase of all supplies as provided by the Charter; to prepare schedules for general supplies and to recommend award of contracts; to inspect deliveries and quality and quantity of supplies; to pass on all requisitions for non-contract supplies; to supervise the purchase and distribution of all books, stationery, etc.

Tunnels and Assessment Districts—To consider all matters relating to the construction of tunnels; to consider all assessment plans except those relating to street and sewer assessments and assessments for the construction and extension of public utilities.

Traffic and Safety—To investigate and report on matters relative to traffic conditions in the City and propose ordinances regulating traffic and the promotion of safety in connection therewith.

It shall be the duty of each committee charged with the duty of cooperating with any particular department to investigate the financial needs of such department to be provided for in the annual budget; to consider such department's budget estimates and to recommend to the Finance Committee such modifications or changes thereof as it may deem proper.

The designations and duties of the foregoing committees are hereby made part of these rules.

Rules of Order.

3. The Mayor shall be President of the Board of Supervisors. He shall call each regular, adjourned or special meeting to order at the hour appointed and shall proceed with the order of business. In the absence of the Mayor, the Clerk shall call the roll and the Board shall appoint a presiding officer pro tempore from its own members, who shall have the same right to vote as other members.

The presiding officer shall preserve order and decorum.

The Clerk shall, immediately after the call to order, call the roll of members of the Board, and the record of those present and absent shall be entered upon the Journal.

4. Whenever it shall be moved and carried that the Board go into Committee of the Whole, the President shall leave the chair and the members shall appoint a chairman of the Committee of the Whole, who shall report the proceedings of said Committee.

5. The rules of the Board shall be observed in the Committee of the Whole except the rules regulating a call for ayes and nays and limiting the time of speaking.

6. A motion, in Committee of the Whole, to rise and report the question, shall be decided without debate.

7. The Clerk shall have clips, upon which shall be kept all bills, ordinances, resolutions and reports to be acted upon by the Board, except those not reported upon by a committee.

8. No bill, ordinance or resolution shall be considered by the Board unless it has been introduced by a member of the Board or by a Committee of the Board, and the bill, ordinance or resolution must be read by the Clerk in open meet-

ing before being referred to Committee. At the time of introduction the presiding officer shall first indicate to what Committee a bill, ordinance or resolution ought to be referred, and it shall be so referred unless, upon majority vote without devate, the Board shall order it referred to some other Committee.

Action by the Board shall not be taken upon any bill, ordinance or resolution until it has been referred to and acted upon by a Committee of the Board.

9. The Order of Business, which shall not be departed from except by the consent of twelve members, shall be as follows:

1. Roll Call.
2. Approval of Journal.
3. Calendar Business.
4. Communications and Reports from City and County Officers.
5. Reports of Committees.
6. Roll Call for the Introduction of Resolutions, Bills and Communications Not Considered or Reported on by a Committee.

10. When a bill, ordinance or resolution has been reported back to the Board by a Committee, all persons other than members of the Board will be presumed to have had a full hearing, but in case others desire to be heard, the bill, ordinance or resolution may, upon a majority vote of the Board, be rereferred to the Committee from which it was reported, where others than members of the Board may be heard further upon the subject matter. No one other than a member of the Board or an ex-Mayor shall be permitted to address the Board except in Committee of the Whole Board, or if otherwise provided by the Charter.

11. If any question under debate contains several points, any member may have the points segregated and acted upon separately.

12. When a motion has been made and carried or lost, it shall be in order for any member voting with the prevailing side to give notice that he will move for reconsideration thereof. Such notice shall be entered in the Journal and placed upon the Calendar at the succeeding meeting.

A member may change his vote before the result is announced in order to give such notice of reconsideration. The vote upon such motion to reconsider shall not be taken before the next regular meeting of the Board. No question shall be reconsidered more than once and notice of reconsideration shall apply only to the main question. Motion to reconsider shall have precedence over every other motion except a motion to adjourn. It shall require a majority vote to carry any motion to reconsider the vote by which any bill, ordinance or resolution has been passed or defeated. A Supervisor, after a notice to reconsider is given, may, on the reconsideration thereof, present the main question in his argument thereon, and the same may be debated by the Board, provided that the subject matter is debatable.

13. A motion to refer or lay on the table until decided shall preclude all amendments to the main question. A motion to lay on the table or to postpone indefinitely shall require a majority vote of all members of the Board.

14. It shall be the duty of the Clerk to issue such certificates as may be required by ordinances or resolutions and transmit copies of said ordinances or resolutions to the various departments affected thereby. It shall also be the duty of the Clerk to cause the publication in the official newspaper of all bills, ordinances, proposals and awards as required by the Charter.

15. All accounts and bills shall be referred to the Finance Committee, provided that any Committee having jurisdiction over subject of expenditures may request that bills be first sent to that Committee before being acted upon by the Finance Committee and the Board.

16. The President shall preserve order and decorum, and prevent demonstrations of approval or disapproval on the part of persons in the Chambers of the Board, and shall decide questions of order, subject to an appeal to the Board.

17. When a Supervisor desires to address the Board he shall arise in his place, address the presiding officer, and when recognized he shall proceed to speak. No Supervisor shall be recognized when seated or when away from his seat.

18. No Supervisor shall speak more than twice in any one debate on the same subject, and at the same stage of the bill, ordinance, resolution or motion without the consent of a majority of the Board, and Supervisors who have once spoken shall not again be entitled to the floor so long as any Supervisor who has not spoken desires to speak. No Supervisor shall be allowed to speak more than five minutes on any question except by permission of the Board, except that the author shall have five minutes to open and ten minutes to close.

19. No Supervisor shall be in-

terrupted when speaking without his consent, and no question shall be asked him except through the presiding officer.

20. The author of a bill, ordinance, resolution or motion shall have the opening and closing of the debate.

21. When two or more Supervisors arise at the same time to address the Board, the presiding officer shall designate the Supervisor who is entitled to the floor.

22. No motion shall be debated until the same *has been seconded* and distinctly announced by the presiding officer.

23. After a motion has been stated by the President, it shall be in the possession of the Board. It may be withdrawn by the mover thereof, with the consent of the second, before it is acted upon.

24. Upon a call of the Board the names of the members shall be called by the Clerk, and the absentees noted. Those for whom no excuse or insufficient excuses are made may, by order of those present, be sent for and be brought to the Chambers of the Board by the Sergeant-at-Arms or by special messengers appointed for the purpose.

25. When a question is under debate, no action shall be entertained except:

To adjourn.
Call of the Board.
To lay on the table.
The previous question.
To postpone.
To commit or amend.

which several motions shall have precedence in the order in which they are arranged; provided, however, that during a call of the Board it may consider and transact any matter or business that the Supervisors there present shall unanimously decide to consider.

26. A motion to adjourn is not debatable.

27. The previous question shall be put in the following form: "Shall the previous question be now put?" It shall only be admitted when demanded by three Supervisors, and its effect shall be to put an end to all debate except that the author of the bill, ordinance, resolution or motion or amendments shall have the right to close, and the question under discussion shall thereupon be immediately put to a vote. On a motion for the previous question prior to a vote being taken by the Board, a call of the Board shall be in order.

28. Every member present when a question is put shall vote for or against it unless disqualified by the Charter. No member shall be permitted to vote upon a question unless present when his name is called or before the vote is announced. A Roll Call shall not be interrupted for debate or personal privilege, but a member may file, in writing, an explanation of his vote.

29. If any member, in speaking, or otherwise, transgresses the rules of the Board, the presiding officer shall, or any member may, call him to order, in which case the member so called to order shall immediately sit down, and, if called to order by a member, such member shall immediately state the point of order. If the point of order be sustained by the Chair the member shall not be allowed to proceed, but if it be not sustained then he shall be permitted to go on. Every such decision from the Chair shall be subject to an appeal to the Board, but no discussion of a question of order shall be allowed, unless an appeal be taken from the decision of the Chair. The question on appeal shall be: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?"

30. After the Board has acted, the names of those who voted for and those who voted against the question shall be entered upon the Journal, not only in cases required by law, but when any member may require it, and on all bills, ordinances and resolutions on final passage the ayes and nays shall be recorded.

31. All appointments of officers and employees shall be made by a majority of the members of the Board. The Clerk shall assign the assistant clerks and chauffeur to their several duties, and shall immediately transmit to the Mayor all resolutions and ordinances which, under the law, require executive approval.

32. No member shall leave the Board during its session without permission from the Board.

33. All Committees shall be appointed by the Board unless otherwise ordered by the Board. Committees shall report on any subject referred to them by the Board and their recommendations thereon. *Unless otherwise ordered, a Committee shall report upon all subjects referred to it within thirty days thereafter.* It shall be the right of any member of a Committee to move a roll call (in Commit-

tee), on any pending motion, and the Chairman or Acting Chairman of said Committee shall, with or without debate, order the roll call. In Committees of three members or less a motion by a member thereof shall not require a second.

34. The Clerk shall perpare and cause to be printed and placed on the desks of the members on days of meeting, at least 30 minutes before such a meeting, a calendar of matters to be presented to the Board at said meeting. Every petition or other written instrument intended to be presented to the Board must be delivered to the Clerk not later than 12 o'clock noon on Saturday, or on the day preceding the meeting; upon the request of the President or of any member its contents shall be read in full.

35. All petitions, protests and communications of a routine character shall be referred by the Clerk to the proper Committee.

36. Ten members shall constitute a quorum to transact business, and no bill, ordinance, resolution or amendment thereto shall pass without the concurrence of at least that number of members, but a smaller number may adjourn from day to day.

37. Except when otherwise provided by these rules, the Charter or law, a majority vote of the members present shall be necessary for the adoption of any motion.

38. No smoking shall be permitted in the chambers of the Supervisors during the sessions of the Board.

39. The Clerk shall keep a record of all requests and instructions directed by the Board of Supervisors to any officer or board of the City and County and the action thereon of such officer or board. The record of such request and instructions, until acted upon by such officer or board, shall be read by the Clerk at each regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

40. The privilege of the floor to persons other than members of the Board shall be permitted only by suspension of the rule, and in the case of legislative matters pending before the Board this privilege shall be limited to ten minutes to each side to proponents and opponents of the measure. This time may only be extended by further suspension of the rule.

41. In debate a member must confine himself to the question before the Board.

42. On any questions or points of order not embraced in these rules the Board shall be governed by the rules contained in Roberts' Rules of Order.

43. No member of the Board of Supervisors, chairman of a Committee, or Committee of said Board, shall employ or engage the services of any person, or authorize or incur any charge, debt or liability against the City and County unless authority therefor shall have been first given by the Board of Supervisors by resolution or ordinance, except as otherwise provided by law.

44. No standing rule or order of the Board shall be suspended or amended without the affirmative vote of twelve members.

45. No special order shall be placed on the Calendar except by order of the Board.

Memorandum of Charter Provisions.

Page 4, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article II—Quorum consists of 10 members.

Page 5, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article II—No bill shall become an ordinance or resolution be adopted unless it receives 10 votes.

Page 7, Section 16, Chapter 1, Article II—14 votes necessary to override Mayor's veto of resolution or ordinance.

Page 13, Chapter 2, Article II—Lease of City lands requires two-thirds vote of Board (12 votes).

Page 19, Section 6, Chapter 2, Article II—Street railway franchises require three-fourths vote (14 votes) of all the members of the Board, while five-sixths vote (15 votes) of all the members of the Board is necessary to pass these ordinances if Mayor vetoes same.

Page 22, Section 9, Chapter 2, Article II—Sale of City lands requires 15 votes.

Page 30, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article III—Budget ordinance requires 10 votes.

Page 30, Section 4, Chapter 1, Article III—15 votes are necessary to override Mayor's veto of budget.

Page 31, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article III—15 votes necessary to appropriate from Urgent Necessity Fund.

Page 33, Section 13, Chapter 1, Article III—To suspend temporarily limit of taxation to meet emergency requires unanimous vote of 18 members of the Board and approval of the Mayor.

Page 76, Section 1, Chapter 1, Article VI—Contracts for street work require 14 votes.

Page 78, Section 2, Chapter 2,

Article VI—When cost of sewer or drain is in excess of $5 per linear front foot of abutting property and work is disapproved by Board of Works, it requires 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to pass ordinance ordering such work done.

If application for work is made, the expense of which is to be paid by City and County, and work is not recommended by the Board of Public Works, it requires 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to order such work done.

Page 98, Section 33, Chapter 2, Article VI—Ordinance providing for street improvements in 10-year installments requires 15 votes.

Page 100, Section 1, Chapter 3, Article VI—Opening and improvement of streets, etc., requires 12 votes.

Page 120, Section 17, Chapter 6, Article VI—15 votes are required to modify or change procedure as provided in the Charter for changing street grades and the performance of work in connection therewith.

Page 121, Section 1, Chapter 8, Article VI—Ordinance providing for tunnel, subway and viaduct construction requires 12 votes.

Page 218, Section 19, Article XVI—Suspension of an elected officer by the Mayor requires approval of 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to cause removal.

Page 223, Section 35, Article XVI—Appointments of additional deputies, clerks or employees require 14 votes.

Motion *carried January* 3, 1928, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

SET-BACK LINES HEARINGS AT 11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Beach street, Marina boulevard, Baker street and Lyon street fixed for the hour of 11 a. m. this day.

No objections being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8366, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Beach street, Marina boulevard, Baker street and Lyon street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 21st day of November, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 169 to establish set-back lines along portions of Beach street, Marina boulevard, Baker street and Lyon street, and fixed the 3d day of January, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northerly side of Beach street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Baker street, and running thence easterly 50 feet; said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence easterly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence easterly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 4½ feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; along the southerly side of Beach street, commencing at a point 50 feet easterly from Baker street and running thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence easterly 260 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the southerly side of Marina boulevard between Lyon and Baker streets, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the westerly side of Baker street, commencing at Jefferson street and running thence northerly to a point 160 feet southerly from Marina boulevard, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the easterly side of Lyon street, commencing at Jefferson street and running thence northerly to a point 100.068 feet southerly from Marina boulevard, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is

hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-seventh, Thirty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, fixed for the hour of 11 a. m. this day.

No objections being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8367, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-seventh, Thirty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 21st day of November, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 168 to establish set-back lines along portions of Twenty-seventh, Thirty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues and fixed the 3d day of January, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Cabrillo street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Forty-sixth avenue and Byxbee street, fixed for the hour of 11 a. m. this day.

No objections being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8368, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Forty-sixth avenue and Byxbee street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 21st day of November, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 170, to establish set-back lines along

portions of Forty-sixth avenue and Byxbee street, and fixed the 3rd of January, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Forty-sixth avenue between Quintara street and Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-sixth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Quintara street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-sixth avenue commencing at a point 69 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Byxbee street commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Shields street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Garfield street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week.*

Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 28196 (New Series), granting Peter McHugh certain extensions of time, to-wit:

Resolution No. 28196 (New Series).

Resolved, That Peter McHugh is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after January 4, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Forty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets where not already improved.

Ninety days' time from and after January 4, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Forty-third avenue and Kirkham street and Forty-third avenue and Lawton street.

These extensions of time are granted for the reason that the work is well under way.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, December 12, 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon—4.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved—San Francisco, December 23, 1927.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

The reasons given for granting the extensions of time are no reasons at all. The work should have been finished on time, as conditions were perfect. This contractor is notoriously slow in finishing his contracts and keeps continuously seeking extensions.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

December 27, 1927—Veto read. Action continued for one week.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Dry Goods and Wearing Apparel.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing dry goods and wearing apparel and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Rereferred.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up

and *rereferred to the Health Committee*:

Amending Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), Foodstuffs

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the manufacture, handling, care and sale of foodstuffs within the City and County of San Francisco," approved September 22, 1914.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, to read as follows:

Section 6. No person, firm or corporation engaged in the manufacture, handling or sale of foodstuffs shall require, permit or allow any person suffering from any communicable disease to work, lodge, sleep or remain within or upon the premises.

It shall be unlawful for any person to bring into, or for any person, firm or corporation to allow, any dog or dogs, or cat or cats, to enter any place of business designated in this ordinance.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to display on the street, or in the open air, food products liable to be injured, infected or polluted, without adequate protection from dirt, flies, animals or insects.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $40,491.04, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(1) Philip H. Sapiro, service of orchestra, including rehearsals, for Christmas eve observance (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $517.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(2) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $525.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(3) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chairs for Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $4,960.

Special School Tax.

(4) Park Commissioners, for planning the planting area of the Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $555.

(5) Wm. Bateman, millwork for schools (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $590.75.

(6) Wm. Bateman, millwork for schools (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $516.50.

(7) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints and glass for schools (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $593.95.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(8) Alvinza E. Gore, Municipal Railway painter, compensation insurance (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $1,716.98.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(9) J. G. Brill Co., fourth payment, street car trucks (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $4,712.50.

(10) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., sixth payment, street car motor equipment (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $22,824.45.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(11) Chas. R. M. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber furnished (claim dated Dec. 22, 1927), $655.10.

(12) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $566.06.

(13) Hill & Morton, Inc., lumber furnished (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $578.83.

(14) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber furnished (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $630.40.

(15) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement furnished (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $1,214.50.

(16) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machine and plumbing supplies (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $1,222.37.

Park Fund.

(17) Scott Company, installing heating system in Kezar Stadium

(claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $5,-464.50.

(18) A. Lettich Co., wrought iron pipe, etc., furnished (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $792.05.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(19) Wm. J. Quinn, police contingent expense (claim dated Jan. 1, 1927), $750.

(20) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $2,074.14.

(21) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $2,155.97.

(22) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $3,588.04.

(23) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $3,967.72.

(24) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $2,918.10.

(25) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for account of street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 22, 1927), $604.05.

(26) Thompson Bros., Inc., cushion wheels for street cleaning apparatus (claim dated Dec. 22, 1927), $1,183.20.

(27) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $2,-241.65.

(28) H. F. Dugan, drug supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $1,327.44.

(28a) Western Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $504.21.

(29) California Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $749.28.

(30) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $3,952.94.

(31) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $4,351.08.

(32) The Simmons Co., cots for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $950.

(33) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $14,745.

(34) Daniel Contracting Co., rock furnished for construction of the Yacht Harbor (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $1,135.75.

(35) Punnett, Parez & Hutchinson, engineering services in connection with construction of the Yacht Harbor; being for the months of September, October and November, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $922.54.

(36) Monson Bros., third payment, rehabilitation of Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $8,180.81.

Appropriation, $2,000, Payment to Charles A. Magistra et al. for Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to Charles A. Magistra and Mary Magistra; being payment for lands required for the opening of the Bernal Cut boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28255 (New Series), to-wit:

All of Lot 16 of Jones' Addition to Fairmount, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco, saving and excepting therefrom portions of said Lot 16 as described in said Resolution No. 28255, New Series. (Claim dated Dec. 29, 1927.)

Appropriations for Refund of Tax Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $6,313.12.

(2) To the Western Pacific Railroad (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $623.30.

(3) To Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $16,028.52.

(4) To Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $726.82.

Auditor to Cancel Assessments, McLaren Park Lands.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28272 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to cancel the assessments for taxation for the year 1927 appearing against the following parcels of land, the same having been purchased by the City and County for park (John McLaren Park) pur-

poses, from the California Pacific Title & Trust Company, deeds recorded August 1, 1927, Liber 1528, Official Records, page 7; also, November 9, 1927, Liber 1570, Official Records, page 30, to-wit:

Lot 4, Block 37, Sunnyvale Homestead Association; Assessor's Lot 4, Block 6264.

Lots 7 and 8, Block 13, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 36 and 37, Block 6187.

Lot 55, Block 13, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 27, Block 6187.

Lots 5-6, Block 13, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 34-35, Block 6187.

Part Lot 5, Block 93, University Mound; Assessor's Lot 5, Block 5995.

Lots 1 to 2, Block 170, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lots 1 to 8, Block 6070.

Lots 3-6, Block 175, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lots 3-6, Block 6099.

Lots 1-2-3-6-7 and 8, Block 176, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lots 1-2-3-6-7 and 8, Block 6100.

Lot 8, Block 169, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lots 12-13, Block 6069.

Portion Block 177, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 8, Block 6101.

Portion Block 83, University Mound Tract Survey; Assessor's Lot 8, Block 5970.

Part Lot 5, Block 177, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 10, Block 6101.

Portion Block 177, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 5, Block 6101.

Lots 4-5, Block 8, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 24-25, Block 6212.

Lot 5, Block 30, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 30, Block 6179.

Lots 1-2, Block 112, University Homestead Association; Assessor's Lots 1-2, Block 6143.

Part Lot 5, Block 177, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 9, Block 6101.

Part Lot 6, Block 162, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 8, Block 6030.

Lot 6, Block 157, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 6, Block 6001.

Lot 7, Block 165, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 16, Block 6065.

Lot 12, Block 3, Paul Tract; Assessor's Lot 7, Block 6118.

Lot 6, Block 65, University Mound; Assessor's Lot 8, Block 6039.

Lots 3-4-5 and 6, Block 178, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lots 3-4-5-6-7 and 8, Block 6102.

Part Lot 1, Block 107, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 8, Block 6137.

Lot 5, Block 162, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lots 5-6, Block 6030.

Lot 4, Block 105, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 6, Block 6064.

Lot 7, Block 180, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 14, Block 6104.

Lot 3, Block 162, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 3, Block 6030.

Lot 2, Block 178, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 2, Block 6102.

Lot 8, Block 182, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 18, Block 6138.

Lot 7, Block 106, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 9, Block 6106.

Lots 42-43, Block 16, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 37-38, Block 6241.

Lot 4, Block 181, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 5, Block 6105.

Part Lots 7-8, Block 47, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lots 8-9, Block 6114.

Lots 7-8, Block 182, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lots 13-14-15, Block 6138.

Lot 5, Block 65, University Mound Survey; Assessor's Lot 7, Block 6039.

Lots 3-4, Block 34, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 7-8, Block 6162.

Portion of Visitacion Rancho; Assessor's Lots 7-8, Block 6316.

Portion Block 3, Paul Tract; Assessor's Lot 13, Block 6118.

Lots 1 and 2, Block 34, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 5-6, Block 6162.

Lots 1-2-8, Block 61, University Mound Homestead; Assessor's Lots 1-2-12, Block 6130.

Portion Block 83, University Mound Homestead; Assessor's Lot 5, Block 5970.

Portion Block 83, University Mound Homestead; Assessor's Lot 6, Block 5970.

Lot 41, Block 14, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 27, Block 6211.

Lot 20, Block 16, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 8, Block 6241.

One-half Lot 4, Block 65, University Mound Survey; Assessor's Lot 6, Block 6039.

(Also for 1926 tax. Sold to the State June 27, 1927; Sale No. 1838.)

Lots 38-39-40, Block 14, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 28-29-30, Block 6211.

Lot 3, Block 76, University Homestead Association; Assessor's Lot 4, Block 6132.

Part Lot 5, Block 181, University

Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 9, Block 6105.

Part Lot 5, Block 93, University Mound; Assessor's Lot 6, Block 6995.

Part Lot 8, Block 93, University Mound; Assessor's Lot 13-A, Block 5995.

Lot 5, Block 182, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 11, Block 6138.

Lot 4, Block 103, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 4, Block 5996.

Part Lot 6, Block 63, University Mound; Assessor's Lot 6, Block 6112.

Part Lots 1-2, Block 95, University Mound; Assessor's Lots 1-2, Block 6063.

Lot 8, Block 95, University Mound; Assessor's Lot 10, Block 6063.

Lot 3, Block 166, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 8, Block 6066.

Lot 3, Block 166, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 6, Block 6066.

Lot 3, Block 166, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 5, Block 6066.

Lot 5, Block 167, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 5, Block 6067.

Part Lot 5, Block 171, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 7-D, Block 6071.

Part Lot 5, Block 171, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 7-B, Block 6071.

Part Lot 5, Block 171, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 7, Block 6071.

Block 172, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 1, Block 6071-A.

Part Lot 1, Block 97, University Mound; Assessor's Lots 14-15, Block 6136.

Part Lot 7, Block 97, University Mound; Assessor's Lot 9, Block 6136.

Lot 2, Block 117, University Mound; Assessor's Lot 2, Block 6150.

Lot 7, Block 88, University Homestead Association; Assessor's Lot 7, Block 6135.

Lot 7, Block 112, University Homestead Association; Assessor's Lot 8, Block 6135.

Lot 5, Block 111, University Mound; Assessor's Lot 5, Block 6144.

Part Lot 1, Block 174, University Homestead; Assessor's Lot 14, Block 6098.

Lot 6, Block 155, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 6, Block 5999.

Lot 18, Block 6, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 39, Block 6214.

Lot 20, Block 6, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 1, Block 6214.

Lot 4, Block 9, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 8, Block 6185.

Lot 8, Block 9, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 4, Block 6185.

Lot 1, Block 7, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 26, Block 6213.

Lot 18, Block 7, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 43, Block 6213.

Lots 43-44-45 and 46, Block 7, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 20-21-22-23, Block 6213.

Lot 22, Block 5, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 1, Block 6215.

Lot 22, Block 15, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 6, Block 6232.

Lots 43-44, Block 12, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 23-24, Block 6186.

Lots 9-10-11-12-13 and 14, Block 13, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 38-39-40-41-42 and 43, Block 6187.

Lots 30-31-32-33 and 34, Block 28, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 1-2-3-4 and 5, Block 6189.

Lots 5-6-8, Block 36, Sunnyvale Homestead; Assessor's Lots 3-2-14, Block 6263.

Lots 2-4-5-6-7-8-9-11 and 13, Block 41, Sunnyvale Homestead; Assessor's Lots 6-4-3-2-1-14-13-11-9, Block 6289.

Lots 1 to 14, Block 35, Sunnyvale Homestead; Assessor's Lots 7 to 1 and 14 to 8, Block 6293.

Lots 9 to 14, Block 37, Sunnyvale Homestead; Assessor's Lots 13 to 8, Block 6264.

Lot 5, Block 42, Sunnyvale Homestead; Assessor's Lot 3, Block 6288.

Lots 12-13, Block 40, Sunnyvale Homestead; Assessor's Lots 10-9, Block 6265.

Lots 3-9-10 and 14, Block 39, Sunnyvale Homestead; Assessor's Lots 5-13-12-8, Block 6291.

Lot 5, Block 39, Sunnyvale Homestead; Assessor's Lot 3, Block 6291.

Part Lot 88 of Visitacion Valley Homestead; Assessor's Lot 8, Block 6266.

Part Lot 88 of Visitacion Valley Homestead; Assessor's Lot 4, Block 6266.

Lot 90 of Visitacion Valley Homestead; Assessor's Lot 11, Block 6266.

Lot 92 of Visitacion Valley Homestead; Assessor's Lot 13, Block 6266.

Lot 18, Block 30, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lot 17, Block 6179.

Block 148, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 1, Block 5963-A.

Lot 97, Visitacion Valley Homestead; Assessor's Lot 4, Block 6285.

North one-half of Lot 6, Block 65, University Mound; Assessor's Lot 9, Block 6039.

Lots 11-12, Block 16, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 17-16, Block 6241.

Lot 8, Block 104, University Homestead Association; Assessor's Lot 9, Block 6033.

Lots 7 and 8, Block 4, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 17-16, Block 6242.

Lot 8, Block 181, University Extension Homestead; Assessor's Lot 15, Block 6105.

Lots 18 and 19, Block 15, Reis Tract; Assessor's Lots 10-9, Block 6232.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Potrero Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Nineteenth street with the westerly line of Potrero avenue, running thence northerly 275 feet along said westerly line of Potrero avenue; thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Hampshire street; thence southerly along Hampshire street 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 250 feet to the northerly line of Nineteenth street; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28273 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to remove, change and install street lights during street reconstruction as follows:

Remove Gas.

Mason and Vanderwater streets.

Vanderwater street between Mason and Powell streets.

Washington street, northwest and southeast corners, at Taylor street.

Washington street, northeast and southwest corners, at Jones street.

Washington street, first and second west of Taylor street.

Washington street, north side, first west of Taylor street.

Washington street, northwest and southeast corners, at Leavenworth street.

Washington street, south side, first and second west of Leavenworth street.

Washington street, north side, first west of Leavenworth street.

Francisco street, northwest and southeast corners, at Powell street.

Francisco street, northwest and southwest corners, at Mason street.

Francisco street, south side, first and second west of Powell street.

Francisco street, north and south sides, between Mason and Taylor streets.

Kearny street, east and west sides, between Broadway and Vallejo streets.

Kearny street between Union and Filbert streets.

Mason street, northeast and southwest corners, at Filbert street.

Mason street, east and west sides, between Union and Filbert streets.

Kent street, west of Mason street.

Mason street, corner of Greenwich street.

Pierce street, northeast and southwest corners, at Greenwich street.

Pierce street, east and west sides, between Greenwich and Filbert streets.

Pierce street, northeast and southwest corners, at Filbert street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Mason and Vanderwater streets.

Powell and Vanderwater streets.

Washington street at Taylor and Jones streets.

Washington street between Taylor and Jones streets.

Washington and Leavenworth streets.

Washington street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets.

Francisco street at Powell street and at Mason street.

Francisco street between Mason and Taylor streets.

Francisco street between Powell and Mason streets.

Kearny street between Broadway and Vallejo street.
Mason and Greenwich streets.
Mason and Filbert streets.
Mason and Kent streets.
Pierce and Greenwich streets.
Pierce and Filbert streets.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Vanderwater street between Mason and Powell streets.
Cherry street between California and Sacramento streets.
Kearny street between Union and Filbert streets.
Pierce and Pixley streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Also, Resolution No. 28274 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

North side Folsom street, first, second and third west of Fourth street.
South side Folsom street, first, second and third east of Fifth street.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Gambia and Pioche streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

North and south sides Folsom street between Fourth and Fifth streets.
Davis street and Broadway.
South side Lake street, west of Arguello boulevard, opposite Lake street, front of Temple.
West side Arguello boulevard, north of Lake street, front of Temple.
West side Arguello boulevard, southwest corner of Clay street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

City Attorney to Advise on Budget Appropriation for Judah Street Improvement.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28275 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, legal technicalities have been interposed to obstruct the immediate completion of the Judah street car line and the paving of the street, and the street having been torn up preparatory to doing this work, leaving an impassable highway to the immediate and constant damage of property, causing great inconvenience to citizens; and

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors at the next budget to provide by legal method sufficient money to cover the cost of laying of the tracks and restoring the street work, provided that moneys already appropriated for this work are not available by court action; and

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby requested to advise this Board if budget appropriation for the purpose of completing Judah street line may be legally made.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Flood Lighting Traffic Intersections.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Police officers directing traffic at street intersections are in imminent danger of their lives during the twilight hours before the regular street illumination is turned on and on foggy nights and in places where illumination is not of the best; and

Whereas, one officer has been in the hospital for thirteen weeks as a result of this condition; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Lighting Committee give consideration to the matter of flood lighting by a suspended lamp to be turned on and off as required by the officer detailed at each of the following intersections for this important traffic duty, to-wit:

Mission and Ninth streets; Mission and Tenth streets; Howard and Second streets; Howard and Sixth streets; Fourteenth and Market streets; Sanchez and Market streets; Seventeenth and Market streets; Van Ness avenue and Mission street; Sixteenth and Bryant streets; Sixteenth and Howard streets; Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue; Seventeenth and Howard streets; Seventeenth and Mission streets; Seventeenth and Valencia streets; Eighteenth and Castro streets; Twenty-fourth and Church streets; Bush and Polk streets; Geary street and Van Ness

avenue; Bush and Fillmore streets; Fell and Laguna streets; Seventh avenue and Irving street; Ninth avenue and Irving street; Nineteenth avenue and Irving street; Claremont boulevard and Ulloa street; Nineteenth avenue and Taraval street; First avenue and Geary street; Second avenue and Geary street; Eighth avenue and Geary street; Tenth avenue and Geary street; Park Presidio drive and Geary street; Twenty-fourth avenue and Geary street; Mission and Valencia streets; Twenty-ninth and Mission streets; Mission street and Cortland avenue; Mission and Bosworth streets; Valencia and Duncan streets; Mission street and Silver avenue; Van Ness avenue and Vallejo street; Van Ness avenue and Bay street; Post and Divisadero streets; Geary and Divisadero streets; Fell and Divisadero streets; Fulton and Divisadero streets; Haight and Scott streets; Nineteenth avenue and Lincoln way.

Referred to Lighting and Traffic Committee.

Proposed Enlargement of Kezar Stadium.

The Clerk presented for Supervisor Shannon:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is a growing demand in San Francisco that San Francisco be provided with a stadium of sufficient size to accommodate people who desire to attend great athletic contests; and

Whereas, San Francisco enjoys the finest winter climate in America and it would be a great advertisement to hold national and international athletic events in San Francisco; and

Whereas, Kezar Stadium is not of sufficient size and its capacity should be increased to measure up to the dignity and growth of our community; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds be requested to confer with the Board of Park Commissioners to work out plans for the enlargement of the Kezar Stadium.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing* under suspension of rules:

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. T. Carlyon be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property on the west side of Spear street between Folsom and Harrison streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1024 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said W. T. Carlyon, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Proposed Amendment to Rules.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the membership of all committees of the Board of Supervisors, save and except Finance, shall be increased to five, consisting of a chairman and four other members of the Board; and be it

Further Resolved, That three members of each committee shall constitute a quorum.

Referred to Committee on Rules.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 2 p. m., the Board took a recess until 3 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—3 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 3 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of the meeting of December 19, 1927, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER 3 P. M.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

The following bill, made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. this day, was taken up:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-second avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Gallagher's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twnty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Todd's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering proposed change of zone on Judah street from First Residential to Commercial District. All members hereinabove noted being present.

Supervisor Havenner in the chair.

Mary Swab, Francis Murphy and *Norman Wells* were heard in favor of the proposed change, and the following were heard in opposition: Attorney Harry S. Young, Aaron Cohn, Lawrence Livingston, R. D. Lang, Mrs. Stanton, Ray Stevens, secretary Sunset-Parkside District Association, Dr. Edwards and others.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the committee now rise and report.

Motion *carried.*

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the matter be *laid over one week* and made a special order of business for vote at 11 a. m., and that Clerk notify all members to be in attendance for this purpose.

Salary Increases.

In accordance with the instructions of the Board, the Clerk prepared and read:

Resolution No. 28276 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series) and in accordance with Section 2, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1928, to-wit:

Sheriff.

Budget Item No. 258—2 cooks, County Jail, at $2,340, $4,680.

Law Library.

Budget Item No. 332—Bookbinder, $2,400.

Bureau of Building Repair.

Budget Item No. 390—Foreman plumber, $3,300.

Budget Item No. 391—Cement finisher, $3,300.

Budget Item No. 392—Foreman carpenter, $3,300.

Budget Item No. 393—Tinner, $3,300.

Budget Item No. 394—Wireman, $3,300.

Budget Item No. 407—5 Assistant Chief Engineers at $2,640 each a year, $13,200.

Budget Item No. 408—Engineer, part time and vacation, $2,640.

Budget Item No. 421—2 tinners, at $9.50 per day, $5,700.

Budget Item No. 427—Steamfitter, $3,300.

Street Repair Department—Municipal Asphalt Plant—300 Days.

Budget Item No. 503—2 firemen, at $2,220, $4,440.

Budget Item No. 504—Foreman, at $10 per day, $3,000.

Budget Item No. 506—Asphalt Dryerman, at $8.50 per day, $2,550.

Budget Item No. 507—2 asphalt mixermen, at $8.50 per day, $5,100.

Budget Item No. 508—Asphalt mixerman, at $9 per day, $2,700.

Budget Item No. 509—2 asphalt finishers, at $8 per day, $4,800.

Budget Item No. 510—3 asphalt workers, at $7.50 per day, $6,750.

Asphalt Maintenance and Repair—Surface Heaters—Four Machines—280 Days.

Budget Item No. 512—2 foremen, 300 days, at $9 per day, $5,400.

Budget Item No. 513—16 finishers, at $8 per day, $35,840.

Budget Item No. 514—24 asphalt workers, at $7.50 per day, $50,400.

Budget Item No. 515—7 engineers, at $9.50 per day, $18,620.

Budget Item No. 516—10 chauffeurs, at $7.50 per day, $21,000.

Budget Item No. 520—2 granite cutters, at $9.50 per day, $5,320.

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Budget Item No. 527—9 engineers, at $2,640, $23,760.

Budget Item No. 528—2 engineers, relief and vacation, at $2,640, $5,280.

Street Cleaning Department.

Budget Item No. 540—19 chauffeurs, at $7.50 per day, $42,750.

Budget Item No. 541—14 gang foreman, at $7 per day, $29,400.

Budget Item No. 554—3 chauffeurs, at $7.50 per day, $6,750.

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Budget Item No. 555—25 sewermen, at $7 per day, $52,500.

Budget Item No. 557—18 sewer cleaners, at $8.50 per day, $45,900.

Department of Electricity.

Budget Item No. 580—Cable splicer, $2,850.

Budget Item No. 581—Batteryman, $2,880.

Budget Item No. 584—Foreman laborer, $2,340.

Budget Item No. 587—3 foreman linemen, at $2,880, $8,640.

Budget Item No. 588—14 linemen, at $2,580, $36,120.

Budget Item No. 591—Repairer, $2,640.

Police Department.

Budget Item No. 698—Cook, $2,340.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 978—Night cook, $1,380.

Budget Item No. 982—16 waiters and waitresses, at $1,320 each, $21,120.

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton moved that the resolution be adopted and the Finance Committee be requested to carry it into effect.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent—2.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Report of Supervisor Shannon on Hetch Hetchy Roads and Airport Mail Service.

The following statement, too late for last meeting, is inserted here for record purposes:

Supervisor Shannon: At this time I would like to be permitted to make a report of my visit with Mr. Edwards, the Assistant Secretary of the Interior. While in Washington I desired to take up with him the matter of the request

of the Department for improvement of the roads into Hetch Hetchy. Mrs. Kahn and Mr. Welch and myself visited Mr. Edwards to take up the matter with him in connection with the communication sent by the Department, and the answer sent by the City Attorney and a brief sent by the City Attorney's office. Mr. Edwards informed us that an answer was ready but it could not be released for ten days, therefore he could not discuss the matter with us. In consultation this morning with Mr. Eckart I find that the communication has not yet been sent, although that is over ten days ago, so I imagine it will be forthcoming. We had a general discussion about Hetch Hetchy conditions, and I find that the Department is very anxious to have us give them surface roads suitable for automobile traffic, and I showed him that the road from Hetch Hetchy to Lake Eleanor was as per the demand of the Raker Act, but he smiled and said that he thought it could be put in better shape than it was when he traveled over it. He adverted to the fact that they had been very lenient in permitting us to build but the trail on the north side of the lake when they could have demanded a road. We showed Mr. Edwards that there was little or no traffic up at that end of the lake, and we did not consider their action very magnanimous, and we had a general discussion, but, until this communication arrives, we will not be in a position to find out just what the attitude of the Department is. He did say, however, that they were making some concessions in this report that will be forthcoming soon as the result of the report sent by the City Attorney.

Mrs. Kahn, Mr. Welch and myself visited Postmaster-General New regarding the matter of the airport, as per the telegram received by me from you, Mr. Mayor, and also from the Down Town Association. And Mr. New was rather arbitrary. He was very set in the matter. He said that Mills Field was wet, soggy and fog-bound, that of 40 attempted flights 11 had been unsuccessful and they had to go to Concord. We told the Postmaster that it was on the other side of our fog-belt, that before using that site we had the advice of the Army fliers, not men that were discharged or men that were retired but men in the actual service. He said that their Department was entirely separate and distinct from the Army, and that he did not care anything about their opinion, that he had the opinion of the Boeing people that it was a poor field. When we asked him if he felt that the opinion of the man in the street was better than an arm of the Government he got just a little bit hostile and referred us to Mr. Glover, his assistant. Mr. Glover told us that he had been in consultation with Mr. New that very morning, and had told him of the conditions and that they were not going to take a chance on human life on a field of that kind. I wired the result of that interview immediately to your office, Mr. Mayor, and I judge that it has been taken up, but I was very skeptical about the statement that of 40 attempted flights 11 had been unsuccessful. I was very anxious to hear from Mr. Kent in regard to that matter, and surely, Mr. Mayor and members of the Board, if there is any statement that I have made, as made to me by the Postmaster-General that is not correct, I would like to know about it before I return to Washington, so that Mr. Hayden, Congressman Welch and Mrs. Kahn and myself can interview him again, because, personally, I felt that his attitude was just a little bit arbitrary. But his main thought, as represented to him, was a natural one, and that was that he wanted to save human life, he did not want to take any chance with the fliers landing in a field where he felt that their life was in jeopardy.

Supervisor Kent: I would say that we have forwarded from this Airport Committee to the Congressional representatives a vast amount of documentary evidence refuting any of these charges or bits of information that the Postmaster-General has received from this private corporation, and in every instance we can positively refute all their accusations and charges. We have a most complete case, which shows that the Municipal Airport is one of the finest in the United States. As to the weather conditions, as to its physical requirements, as to the operations by these people, over a twenty-day period, we anticipated that they went in there under prejudice, and we kept printed copies, which we recorded in triplicate each day of their operations, of departures and arrivals, as to time they departed, time they arrived, the pilot in charge, the names of the passengers that may have traveled from Chicago here, or passengers who had departed, and the weather conditions at the particular time. We have all those and they positively refute any of those accusations that

out of 40 attempts 11 were frustrated.

Supervisor Shannon: Out of 40 attempts 11 were what?

Supervisor Kent: They claim that some 11 were compelled to be abandoned, that they had to use other fields.

Supervisor Shannon: Is there any truth in that?

Supervisor Kent: Not a particle of truth in that. There was one foggy day, if you remember, that involved the whole coast from Vancouver down to San Diego and as far inland as Sacramento and Stockton. That was the day that the ship went on the rocks here at Lands End. And that was a general condition where a fog existed in every field in this Bay region, such as Concord and Bay Farm Island, Crissy and Mills fields. However, Mills Field, by a condition of air currents down there released from this fog tie-up sooner than any of the other fields, and the plane would have been allowed to proceed on its way in faster time than the taking and trucking of the mail, which they figure they have to do on those days where fields are tied up with fog. The aeroplane was able to depart from Mills Field and proceed to Reno faster than the trucking conditions and, in fact, we have also proven and made an analysis that if any of that haze condition would exist in this Bay region area that we can positively release an aeroplane at Mills Field quicker than in trucking from Bay Farm Island or from Crissy in or from any place they want to truck or to Concord. We can beat their time by waiting and being patient because the air or the fog condition, if it exists at all, is released at Mills Field quicker than at any other place.

Supervisor Shannon: Will you give us that data to take with us?

Supervisor Kent: I forwarded that to the Congressional representatives, they have that.

Supervisor Shannon: Will you give us duplicate copies?

Supervisor Kent: Yes, I have one more here. We had it in triplicate, one filed with the Chamber of Commerce, one in our own files and one at the field. You can rest assured that you can battle and you have got safe ground to battle on. There is no weak point in the Municipal Airport, not one. In none of its departments has it failed at any time. And since then we have demonstrated by the other fliers that are on the field and the tenants there that at all times and in any weather the field holds up better than any in existence, and we have pointed out, we have all that evidence in Washington now, and unless they are prejudiced and will not listen to that, of course, they cannot help but rescind the present order. They would have to be governed by the evidence that is in front of them. And it clearly shows Mills Field to be the most advantageous field in this territory. I sent meteorological data back there that took the weather bureau about four days to complete an analysis of each piece of data, such as an analysis on the wind condition, on the atmosphere, on observations on air currents or such as are termed "air pockets" that some of them were inclined to propaganda, and we have been able to refute all those things by absolute tests.

Supervisor Shannon: Are you in a position to refute the statement that of 40 attempted landings 11 were unsuccessful and they had to go to Concord?

Supervisor Kent: Absolutely.

Supervisor Shannon: Well, that is the big point. I think if we can show that to the Postmaster-General it will show that he has been imposed upon by the Boeing people.

Supervisor Schmidt: What did Colonel Lindbergh say about it?

Supervisor Kent: He compliments all the fields but we have had actual demonstration with every type of aeroplane and under every weather condition to show that the field has no detriment to it.

Supervisor Byington: I would like to know what answer could be made if they are asked, at the time of the flight to Honolulu, why none of the fliers would take off from our field, I am merely saying now, what answer will be made to that question?

Supervisor Kent: The only thing that you can say at this time you have nothing much to work on except the mental condition of the pilot at the time of the flight.

Supervisor Hayden: You weren't quite ready either.

Supervisor Kent: It has been proven that those pilots were not well equipped. While I do not want to depreciate their flying abilities yet, at the same time, they were under such a tension and likewise they were not wholly prepared.

Supervisor Byington: But what difference would that make, that is,

in taking off from a field? Now, the Mayor went down there for the purpose, as I recall, of seeing a flier off, and not one of the fliers took off from our field, that is, the Mills Field, at the time of the Honolulu flight. They were going to at first, I think they said two or three would go, but as it eventuated there was not a single flier that would start from that field.

Supervisor Kent: As I was going to say before you interrupted there, to complete, why it was the mental condition of the particular pilot at the time. He was going to lift off the ground an abnormal load, an endurance test, and each pilot figured that he would have to, if possible, go in a straight line without endeavoring to negotiate a banking or turning, and on the prevailing wind at Mills Field at that time, coming down from the north or northwest, they would have to take off into that wind, and they found an 800-foot rise in the San Bruno Hills. Now, for an endurance test of that kind, an abnormal proposition, why, they preferred the Bay Farm Island take-off because they have a 7000-foot runway there, and when they took off the ground they were merely over water, and they had no altitude to surmount, and as they flew down the Bay at no time were they over a hundred or 150 feet in altitude. In fact, they passed out the Golden Gate, with the exception of the Jack Frost plane, who took altitude and came over the City of San Francisco. However, Captain Giles has since substantiated the fact that a flier's skill enters into it and his mental hazard or his mental condition can prevail if he has confidence in his ship and in himself. Giles took off from Mills Field and only used 1750 feet of the runway, on one occasion used 1750 feet and 2000 feet on another occasion, with the maximum load. He had only gone about a mile and a half when he negotiated a turn and came back, all of which showed the superior skill of this British aviator. And he also substantiated the fastness of the ground or the runway at Mills Field as against any other field. He did not have to use its full length. He also proved that the currents down on the peninsula are of a calm variety. He experienced no difficulty there. He substantiated a great deal and offset any of that previous opinion that may have been in some minds. Then too, for another instance, as the recent chronicles "Spirit of California" endurance test showed that this tri-motored plane lifted 15,000 pounds off of the runway in a 2500 to 3000 foot distance. They did not have to use the entire mile runway. That proved the fastness of the field again, the fact that they could get up steam. Now, they were a little impatient on one occasion and they went over to another field and they got on that particular runway and were stuck in the mud 20 inches deep for 36 hours. And they came back to Mills Field and again took off and stayed in the air some 36 hours. That was their nearly successful flight. So, by patience and confidence we have been able to obviate all these various adverse opinions against us and as we go along we will still demonstrate a little more that we are perhaps slow but sure in our endeavor.

* * * * *

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 23, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

No. 2

Monday, January 9, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 9, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 9, 1928, 10 a. m.

His Honor Mayor Rolph appeared at 10 a. m. and there being no quorum retired at 10:05 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Badaracco was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of December 27, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Corona Heights Stadium Proposed.

Supervisor Suhr presented:

Communication, signed by himself, proposing Corona Heights, a rocky hill, situate south of Buena Vista Park as a site for proposed stadium to accommodate 120,000 people.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

San Rafael Ferry Service.

Resolution of Board of Supervisors, Marin County, favoring unanimously the establishment of ferry service between San Francisco and San Rafael by the Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Acquisition of Strip of Land and Widening of Junipero Serra Boulevard.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Petition, from Theodore Savage, attorney for petitioners in Ingleside (City Land Association) Tract, for the acquisition of the 20-foot strip of land on the easterly side of Junipero Serra boulevard from Ocean avenue to the County line.

Referred to the Streets Committee.

Report of Streets Committee on Sand-drifts in Sunset District.

The following was read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*:

January 9, 1928.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee on Streets and Commercial Development has had before it the problem of attempting to cope with the sand which drifts outside the property line on to the public streets in the Sunset area, and we had before us at our last regular meeting representatives of the improvement clubs of that district in the persons of Mrs. Thomas R. Best and Mr. Norton C. Wells.

This menace has afflicted the people of the district for many years, and the Committee decided to consider favorably the recommendation to the Finance Committee that the sum of $5,000 be placed in the next budget to establish a revolving fund under the terms of Ordinance No. 4324 (New Series) which, according to our City Engineer, Mr. Clyde Healey, and to other persons familiar with the difficulties surrounding this situation, will accomplish the purpose of clearing the streets of sand and come near to solving the problem.

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON STREETS AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT.

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER, Chairman.

J. B. BADARACCO, Member.

ALFRED RONCOVIERI, Member.

Mayor's Veto Defeated.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 28196 (New Series),

granting Peter McHugh certain extensions of time, to-wit:

Resolution No. 28196 (New Series).

Resolved, That Peter McHugh is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after January 4, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Forty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets where not already improved.

Ninety days' time from and after January 4, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Forty-third avenue and Kirkham street and Forty-third avenue and Lawton street.

These extensions of time are granted for the reason that the work is well under way.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, December 12, 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon.

J. S. DUNNIGAN.
Clerk.

Disapproved—San Francisco, December 23, 1927.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

The reasons given for granting the extensions of time are no reasons at all. The work should have been finished on time, as conditions were perfect. This contractor is notoriously slow in finishing his contracts and keeps continuously seeking extensions.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

The question being put, the roll was called and the Mayor's veto *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-second avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Gallagher's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Todd's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said pur-

poses, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Amendment Defeated.

The roll being called on Supervisor Todd's amendment. the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd—8.

Noes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Todd changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Lithographing Boulevard Bonds.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for lithographing boulevard bonds and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28277 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitor service (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $810.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,703.45.

(3) Technical Book Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $622.24.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $2,535.58.

(5) G. E. Stechert & Co., library periodicals (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,017.13.

(6) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $2,901.41.

Park Fund.

(7) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $788.87.

Auditorium Fund.

(8) Louise Bennett, for services of professional singers for "The Messiah" (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $690.

(9) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for Auditorium (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $1,123.28.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(10) The Eby Machinery Co., machinery for Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1927), $1,022.

(11) C. F. Weber & Co., chairs and cabinet for Commerce and Galileo High Schools (claim dated Dec. 20, 1927), $1,386.42.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(12) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, four double drum hoists (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $9,337.50.

(13) A. H. Gibbons, truck rental (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $681.

(14) Independent Lumber Co., cement, wedges, etc. (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $819.30.

(15) Kaiser Paving Co., machinery parts, work and rental (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $1,531.35.

(16) O. Rock, truck rental and concrete mix (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $685.50.

(17) San Joaquin Valley Meat Co., meats furnished (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $609.18.

(18) Shell Company of California, gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $689.04.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(19) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve for depreciation, according to Charter (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $14,584.

County Road Fund.

(20) Sewer Department, Board of Public Works, for materials and supplies furnished and used in reconstruction of Harrison street from

Sixth to Eleventh streets (claim dated Dec. 17, 1927), $681.72.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(21) Park Commissioners, planting grounds for the Edison School (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $600.

(22) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction of additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $1,000.

(23) S. Heiman, final payment, architectural services for additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $1,064.49.

(24) Spencer Elevator Co., first payment, elevator construction for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1927), $604.70.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(25) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric railway parts (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $982.68.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(26) Dorothy Hansen, in full payment for account of all claims and demands relating to the death of Katherine Hansen (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $750.

(27) J. P. Johnston, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries and damages arising out of accident (claim dated Dec. 20, 1927), $3,129.55.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(28) Patrick & Co., license plates (claim dated Dec. 26, 1927), $2,409.75.

(29) Kann & Keville, batteries and tires for Police Department (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $579.29.

(30) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $883.68.

(31) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $1,201.27.

(32) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 20, 1927), $747.50.

(33) Municipal Railway Operative Fund, materials furnished for reconstruction of railway tracks on West Portal avenue (claim dated Dec. 17, 1927), $4,297.63.

(34) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $671.97.

(35) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing by Lutz machines (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $1,154.92.

(36) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $4,860.07.

(37) James G. Stafford and associates, seventh payment on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $4,874.20.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Appropriation, $2,250, for Property Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28278 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Mildred A. Breitman; being payment for lands required for school purposes and situate on the easterly line of Funston avenue, commencing 200 feet southerly from Lawton street, running thence northerly on the easterly line of Funston avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28223 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Appropriations for Architectural Services and Boring Test-holes, Certain Schools.

Resolution No. 28279 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, for the expense of architectural services for the hereinafter named schools, and for boring of test-holes on school lands, to-wit:

(1) For the addition to the Edward Robeson Taylor School, $4,500.

(2) For the Marina Elementary School, $6,000.

(3) For the addition to the Polytechnic High School, $7,500.

(4) For the second unit of South Side (Balboa) High School, $15,000.

(5) For the Roosevelt Junior High School, $24,000.

(6) For boring test holes, $1,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Appropriation, $2,200, for Safety Zone Buttons.

Resolution No. 28280 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,200 be and the same is hereoy set aside. appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., for the installing of additional safety zone buttons under Contract No. 1, E. J. Treacy, contractor.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Supervisors Hayden and Shannon Delegated to Represent San Francisco in Securing 1928 Democratic Convention.

Resolution No. 28281 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisors J. Emmet Hayden and Warren Shannon be and are hereby authorized and directed to proceed to the City of Washington, District of Columbia, as representatives of the City and County of San Francisco to assist in securing the holding of the 1928 Democratic National Convention in San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved That the sum of $4,500 be and is hereby appropriated from funds in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco not otherwise appropriated, to defray the expenses of said representatives.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 28282 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Domestic Engineering Corporation, 340 Urbano drive, 500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Herzig, southeast corner of Urbano drive and Alviso way, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. O. Hoffman, east side of Octavia street, 150 feet south of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. P. Jorgensen, south side of North Point street, 118 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. McLean, 1562 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pring & Lesswing, east side of Pierce street, 200 feet south of Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, west side of Van Ness avenue, 72 feet 8 inches north of Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, west side of Van Ness avene, 72 feet south. of Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. W. Webber, 3663 Baker street, 720 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28283 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Brown & Rehs be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises on the northwest corner of Ellis and Pierce streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern. McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28284 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred E. Palmer be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Sacramento street, 55 feet west of Davis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28285 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Smith Bros. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted to Ed. E. Shapiro by Resolution No. 22688 (New Series) for premises at 527-529 Gough street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Stevenson Street Bridge Permit.

Resolution No. 28286 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sterling Furniture Company, a corporation, be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect and maintain a six (6) foot nine (9) inch clear width bridge eighteen (18) feet above the street, extending from the rear of the third floor of the building No. 1049 Market street to the second floor of the building No. 551 Stevenson street; provided, however, said bridge shall only be erected after a permit therefor is issued by the Board of Public Works and upon plans approved by and under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works. Provided, further, that any right or privilege hereby given to erect or maintain such bridge is given subject to the right of the Board of Supervisors to revoke the same at any time.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Nineteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 8360, Ordinance No. 7871 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at a point 110 feet northerly from Sloat boulevard, and running thence northerly to Wawona street and extending to a depth of 120 feet, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Lakewood avenue, extending to a depth of 200 feet along Fairfield way to a depth

of 202.388 feet along Lakewood avenue, also the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Lakewood avenue and Manor drive, extending to a depth of 105 feet along Lakewood avenue and to a depth of 110.167 feet along Manor drive, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Building Law Relative to Patent Chimneys.

Bill No. 8362, Ordinance No. 7872 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto and to be numbered 244-A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 244-A. All patent chimneys shall be built up from the floor on which they are used, and in no case shall a stovepipe enter the bottom of a patent chimney, and when erected on the inside of a building they shall rest upon an iron plate not less than one-quarter of an inch in thickness, covered by not less than eight inches of brickwork or concrete construction subject to approval of the Board of Public Works. Patent chimneys built on the inside of a building shall have a smoke and fireproof opening near the bottom, extending through to the finished face of the wall or partition, to permit the cleaning of the chimney.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m.*:

Fireproof Roofing Ordinance Amendment.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as "The Building Law," relating to the fireproof roonng limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Section 4. The roofs of all buildings hereafter constructed within the limits hereinafter in this section described shall consist of fire-resistant materials, and whenever the covering of the roof or roofs of any building or buildings heretofore constructed within the said limits, shall, in the judgment of the Board of Public Works, be or become damaged through fire, decay or otherwise, to the extent of twenty (20) per centum of the area of the said covering of the roof or roofs, then the covering of the roof or roofs shall be reconstructed of or replaced with fire-resistant materials. Said fire-resistant materials shall consist of the same materials required for the roof coverings of all buildings erected within the fire limits of the City and County.

Subsection 1. Said limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the easterly boundary of the Presidio Reservation; thence southerly and westerly along the boundary line of the Presidio Reservation to the shore line of the Pacific Ocean; thence along the shore line of the Pacific Ocean westerly and southerly to its intersection with the County line; thence easterly along said County line to its intersection with Mission street; thence along the center line of Mission street to its intersection with Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly along the center line of Twenty-fifth street to the center line of Potrero avenue; thence along the center line of Potrero avenue to the center line of Division street; thence along the center line of Division street to the center line of King street; thence northeasterly along the center line of King street to the center line of Seventh street; thence southerly along the center line of Seventh street to the center line of Channel street; thence northerly along the center line of Channel street to the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco; thence following the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco to the point of commencement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Rereferred.

The following matter, heretofore presented by Supervisor Shannon and passed for printing, was taken up and, on motion, *rereferred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

Declaratory Ordinance, Completion of Hetch Hetchy Project.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: Aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including the acquisition of lands necessary for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project for the conveyance of water from the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, situate within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and file plans and estimates of the cost of original construction and completion of such public utility.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby specifically declared and determined that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries situate within the State of California to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes. Said Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system is hereby designated as an available source of a sufficient supply of good pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed immediately to procure through the City Engineer and to file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion of said aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands.

Section 3. Said aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances to be constructed and completed, and said lands to be acquired as herein determined and declared, shall be used and operated as part of and in connection with said Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as and called the Hetch Hetchy project.

Section 4. This ordinance is the first of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein named.

Section 5. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for fourteen days after its approval.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Improvement of Holladay Avenue.

Bill No. 8365, Ordinance No. 7873 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta avenue to Adam street; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said work and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and enter into contract for the improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta avenue to Adams street in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, R o n c o v i e r i, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $62,434.38, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(1) J. A. Fay & Egan Co., machinery for Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $1,010.

(2) Woodstock Typewriter Co., typewriter machines for Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $2,520.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(3) H. H. Larsen Co., fifth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $9,133.87.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(4) Alta Electric Co., sixth payment, electrical work, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $2,343.75.

(5) R. W. Moller, sixteenth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $48,-847.03.

(6) Scott Company, seventh payment, mechanical equipment for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $2,622.75.

(7) A. Lettich, seventh payment, plumbing for Hearst Moulder School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928) $1,872.75.

(8) Reliable Plumbing Co., sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst Moulder School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $3,260.69.

(9) A. Lettich, seventh payment, plumbing for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $4,192.56.

(10) Pacific Electric Construction Co., fifth payment, electrical work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,-447.85.

(11) Scott Company, sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,481.25.

(12) Joseph & Stone, eighth payment, architectural services for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $770.31.

(13) R. Flatland, fourth payment, electrical work for Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $534.39.

(14) Mahony Bros., eleventh payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $22,354.92.

(15) O. Monson, ninth payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $16,080.

Playground Fund.

(16) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of tennis courts at University Mound Playground (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $4,920.

(17) Klawans & McMullin, basketballs (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,280.

(18) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $543.61.

Park Fund.

(19) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure furnished for parks (claim dated Jan. 6, 1928), $834.02.

Aquarium Fund—(Appropriation 57).

(20) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for December (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $3,938.29.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(21) J. H. Meyer et al., refund of 1927-1928 duplicate payment of taxes (claim dated Dec. 28, 1927), $1,111.50.

(22) Mary B. Angus, refund of 1927-1928 duplicate payment of taxes (claim dated Dec. 28, 1927), $598.50.

Publicity and Advertising.

(23) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for the celebration of the seventh centenary of St. Francis of Assisi (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,750.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(24) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $511.

(25) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for labor

payroll on improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $9,648.05.

(26) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $1,569.92.

(27) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $1,043.17.

(28) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $2,671.54.

(29) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $1,500.88.

(30) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for labor payroll on improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $4,041.04.

(31) Dalziel, Moller Co., pipe and fittings for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $528.36.

(32) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $613.25.

(33) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $1,733.75.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(34) (For account of Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(35) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $763.23.

(36) Fobes Supply Co., electric supplies (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $502.58.

(37) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $530.

(38) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $1,698.90.

(39) Ingersoll-Rand Co., freight charges on machinery and machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $736.61.

(40) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $505.92.

(41) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $787.75.

(42) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $683.20.

(43) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $1.340.38.

(44) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $1,898.15.

(45) Western Pipe & Steel Co., six steel air receivers (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $1,470.

(46) Wilsey, Bennett Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $604.80.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(47) Robt. W. Jamison, third payment, installing electric conductors and appurtenances for Municipal Railways, Sunset line (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $2,925.

(48) Enterprise Foundry Co., first and final payment, iron castings for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $1,342.71.

Special School Tax.

(49) A. Lettich, first payment, mechanical equipment for Paul Revere School annex (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $3,085.84.

(50) A. Lettich, fifth payment, plumbing for South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,302.38.

(51) MacDonald & Kahn, fifth payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $19,027.53.

(52) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., fourth payment, electric work for South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $1,301.25.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(53) Frank J. McHugh, tenth payment, sewer construction in Sunset District (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $12,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(54) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, books and printing for the Assessor. (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $739.75.

(55) T. M. Gallagher, first payment for furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $1,890.

(56) The Fay Improvement Co., sewer construction at Arguello boulevard and Edward street (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $1,189.13.

(57) J. A. Grant, first payment, general construction of chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $3,844.50.

(58) Stephenson Construction Co., second payment, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport, Contract 9, Hangar 2 (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $3,750.

(59) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, for impounding and feeding of animals (claim dated Dec. 10, 1927), $1,250.

(60) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,815.50.

(61) Sullivan Iron Works, furnishing and erecting smoke stack on Civic Center power house (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $1,175.

(62) James G. Stafford and associates, ninth payment on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements within the City and County (claim dated Jan. 6, 1928), $5,-818.25.

(63) A. P. Jacobs, rent of No. 333 Kearny street for two months,

December 3, 1927, to February 3, 1928 (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $2,372.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(63a) Pacific Highway Association of California, printing and distributing 50,000 96-page folders for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $4,978.50.

(64) Citizens' Discovery Day Committee (Thos. F. Boyle, treasurer), for expense of the 1927 Discovery Day celebration, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $983.75.

Special School Tax Fund.

(65) L. S. Case, Inc., for roofing west side roof of Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $1,276.

(66) Acme Iron and Bronze Works, wire fencing and gates for various schools (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,352.

(67) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., beaver board and metal strips for Mission High School annex (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $577.92

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(68) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, for filling in Alemany boulevard, Job No. 2 (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,200.

(69) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, for filling in Alemany boulevard, Job No. 3 (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $5,000.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(70) P. E. O'Hair & Co., for urinals furnished Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $962.

(71) Anderson & Ringrose, extra orders on general construction of additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $864.03.

Appropriation, $878.70, Payment to Crocker First National Bank, Fiscal Agents.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $878.77 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, and authorized in payment to the Crocker National Bank of San Francisco, being payment for account of expense as fiscal agents for the City and County of San Francisco.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., New Fender Piling, Third Street and Channel Bridge.

Also, Bill No. 8369, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the furnishing of new fender piling, together with repairs, at the Third street and Channel bridge, and ordering the said furnishing of new fender piling, together with repairs, at the Third street and Channel bridge, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the furnishing of said fender piling, together with repairs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the furnishing of new fender piling, together with repairs, at the Third street and Channel bridge, which is hereby ordered, and to enter into contract for the furnishing of new fender piling, together with repairs, at the Third street and Channel bridge, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

City Attorney Authorized to Accept Settlement for $400 in Satisfaction of Claims Against Martin Brothers Arising by Reason of Workmen's Compensation Due Joseph F. Reilly.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28287 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to accept, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the sum of four hundred ($400) dollars, in full payment and satisfaction of all claims and demands of the City and County of San Francisco against M. P. Martin and B. C. Martin, individually and as copartners, doing business under the name and style of Martin Bros., arising by reason of the payment of certain workmen's compensation due to Joseph F. Reilly, an employee of the Board of Public Works, and on the payment of said sum the said City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to execute, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a good and sufficient release and acquittance, releasing said M. P. Martin and B. C. Martin from all liability to the City and County of San Francisco by reason of said accident.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Accepting Offer of Solomon G. Camp to Sell for $150 Certain Property Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28288 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Heights boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Solomon G. Camp, $150—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Bradford street and the southerly line of Lot 1204 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B", page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 75 feet to the northerly line of Lot 1200 of said Gift Map No. 3; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 9.804 feet; thence southerly along a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected to the right 86 deg. 47 min. 23 sec. from the preceding course, with a radius of 125 feet and a central angle of 3 deg. 12 min. 37 sec., a distance of 7.004 feet to a point distant easterly at right angles 10 feet from the easterly line of Bradford street; thence southerly, tangent to said curve of preceding course and parallel to said easterly line of Bradford street 68 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 1204; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 10 feet to the easterly line of Bradford street and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 1200, 1202 and 1204 of said Gift Map No. 3.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Accepting Offer of Vincenzo Distefano et al. to Sell for $4,134 Certain Property Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28289 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets, southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Vincenzo Distefano and Frances Distefano, $4,134—Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Roanoke street, distant thereon 105 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 30 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 113 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 3 of Block 8, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "2 A and B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 37 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Ann E. Peters; thence at right angles southwesterly along said southeasterly line and a line parallel with the southeasterly line of Arlington street 113 feet to the northeasterly line of Roanoke street and the point of be-

ginning. Being a portion of Lot 2, Block 8, Fairmount Tract.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth opposite their names, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Accepting Offer of August Lang, Jr., to Sell Certain Property Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28290 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alemany boulevard, has offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Aug. Lang, Jr., $2—

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Arch street, distant southerly thereon 252.838 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence southerly along said easterly line 17.366 feet; thence northeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected to the left 111 deg. 07 min. 54 sec. from the preceding course, radius 1186.279 feet, central angle 0 deg. 50 min. 05 sec., a distance of 17.280 feet; thence southwesterly, westerly, northwesterly and northerly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 12 feet, central angle 110 deg. 17 min. 49 sec., a distance of 23.101 feet to tangency on the easterly line of Arch street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 8, Block 28, City Land Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 11, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Head street, distant southerly thereon 211.685 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence southerly along said westerly line 15.331 feet; thence westerly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the right 104 deg. 15 min. 58 sec. from the preceding course, radius 1186.279 feet, central angle 0 deg. 44 min. 12 sec., a distance of 15.254 feet; thence easterly, northeasterly and northerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 12 feet, central angle 103 deg. 31 min. 46 sec., a distance of 21.683 feet to tangency on the westerly line of Head street and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 36 and 37, Block 40 of City Land Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 11, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the properties owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

City Attorney Authorized to Compromise Claim of John P. Dolly for $3,850, Municipal Railway Accident.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28291 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, John P. Dolly has been awarded the sum of seventy-five hundred ($7500) dollars plus two hundred ($200) dollars costs for injuries resulting to him from an accident on March 1, 1927, by being caught between two Municipal Railway cars at Market street and Van Ness avenue; and

Whereas, notice of appeal of said verdict has been given by the City and County of San Francisco and in lieu of said appeal John P. Dolly has agreed to take the sum of thirty-eight hundred and fifty ($3850) dollars in full payment for damages suffered by him as aforesaid; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That John J. O'Toole, City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to fully settle and compromise said judgment of seventy-five hundred ($7500) dollars plus two hundred ($200) dollars, court costs, in the sum of thirty-eight hundred and fifty ($3850) dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

County Clerk to Appoint Three Additional Courtroom Clerks.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the County Clerk is hereby authorized to appoint three additional courtroom clerks to be detailed for duty to the recently created Traffic Courts; to be paid out of the General Fund in accordance with Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series).

The attention of the Auditor and Civil Service Commission is called to this resolution.

Appropriation, $300.12, Equipment for Laguna Honda Home.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28292 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $300.12 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Laguna Honda Home Equipment," Budget Item No. 60, for the purchase of equipment required for the Laguna Honda Home.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Transfer of Budget Items, Health Department, Reimbursements.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28293 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following sums be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated from Budget Item No. 798 (Stationery, postage, etc., Board of Public Health) to the credit of the hereinafter appropriations in the funds of the Department of Public Health; being reimbursements for expenditures therefrom for stationery, postage, etc., to-wit:

To the credit of Appropriation 43-D, sum of $5,344.25.

To the credit of Appropriation 44-B, sum of $506.91

To the credit of Appropriation 46-B, sum of $3,120.14.

To the credit of Appropriation 47-B, sum of $785.25.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Improvement of Grand View Avenue and Portola Drive.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Grand View avenue from Elizabeth street to Stanton street; additional to enable final payment, $7,500.

(2) For construction work in the

improvement of Portola drive, Market street, extended, $8,800.

Appropriation, $25,000, Engineering and Plans, Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $25,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Bernal Cut Bond Issue for expense of engineering and making detail plans of the Bernal Cut boulevard.

Appropriations, Equipment and Supplies, Preventorium; Equipment, Maternity Service, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated funds for the purposes mentioned, to-wit:

Preventorium, San Mateo County—Budget Item No. 57.

(1) For the purchase of equipment and supplies and payment of December payroll for the Tubercular Preventorium, San Mateo County, $5,162.33.

Establishing of Maternity Service—Budget Item No. 58.

(2) For the purchase of equipment and for payment of December payroll for maternity service, $787.50.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over two weeks*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Nineteenth Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Nineteenth street with the westerly line of Potrero avenue, running thence northerly 275 feet along said westerly line of Potrero avenue; thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Hampshire street; thence southerly along Hampshire street 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 250 feet to the northerly line of Nineteenth street; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

American Trust Company, northwest corner of Fifth and Folsom streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Anderson, west side of Fillmore street, 125 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Butler Bros., northeast corner of Fremont and Howard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Cereghino, southwest corner of Santa Rosa and Mission streets, 2000 gallons capacity.

L. De Lew, south side of Frederick street, 75 feet east of Masonic avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. A. Fox, 296 Twenty-sixth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. J. D. Healey, 1326 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Helbing Co., northwest corner of Green and Webster streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Helbing Co., northwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Masten, 3954 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Mezen, southwest corner of Eighteenth and Missouri streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pacific Gillespie Co., east side of Van Ness avenue, 118 feet north of Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pacific Gillespie Co., north side of Howard street, 174 feet west of Holland court, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. J. Stovel, northeast corner of Baker and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Thomas, east side of Mission street, 200 feet north of Fifteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. E. Travis, 2615 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed E. Young, southwest corner of Marina boulevard and Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Boudin Bakery Co., 387 Tenth avenue, 5 horsepower.

Mercantile Silk Co., 245 Natoma street, 10 horsepower.

C. Thomas, east side of Mission street, 200 feet north of Fifteenth street, 100 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bruce & Young be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them automobile supply station permit heretofore granted for premises on the northwest corner of Franklin and Page streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lawrence Barrett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Shell Company of California by Resolution No. 20730 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Ellis and Taylor streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Dyeing and Cleaning Establishment Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. Thomas be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a dyeing and cleaning establishment on the east side of Mission street, 200 feet north of Fifteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. S. Allen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Auto Maintenance Co. by Resolution No. 22664 (New Series) for premises at 1737 Jackson street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Public Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank O'Shea be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 359 Potrero avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28294 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Install 250 *Type C Electroliers*

North side Buena Vista avenue, 100 feet west of Park Hill avenue.

North side Buena Vista avenue, 460 feet west of Park Hill avenue.

North side Buena Vista avenue, 740 feet west of Park Hill avenue.

North side Buena Vista avenue, 150 feet east of Upper Terrace.

South side Buena Vista avenue, 160 feet west of Park Hill avenue.

South side Buena Vista avenue, 360 feet west of Park Hill avenue.

South side Buena Vista avenue, 480 feet west of Park Hill avenue.

South side Buena Vista avenue, 640 feet west of Park Hill avenue.

South side Buena Vista avenue, 800 feet west of Park Hill avenue.

South side Buena Vista avenue, 960 feet west of Park Hill avenue.

South side Buena Vista avenue, at east line of Upper Terrace.

South side Buena Vista avenue, 180 feet east of Upper Terrace.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

South side Buena Vista avenue, 200 feet west of Park Hill avenue.

South side Buena Vista avenue, 500 feet west of Park Hill avenue.

South side Buena Vista avenue, 200 feet east of Upper Terrace.

South side Buena Vista avenue, 410 feet east of Upper Terrace.

Install 200 *M. R.*

Northeast corner Kenwood way and Pinehurst way.

Southeast corner Kenwood way and Manor drive.

East side Fairfield way, south of Kenwood way.

Southeast corner Kenwood way and Keystone way.

South side Kenwood way, west of Upland drive.

Corner Kenwood way and Upland drive.

Remove Gas Lamps.

East side Gough street, first north of Broadway.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Taxicab Permits Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28295 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Blue Bird Cab, Company, 43 Sixth street.

Red Top Cab Company, 504 Geary street; 408-412 Broadway; 16 Larkin street.

Yellow-Checker Cab Company, 1044 Market street (transfer from 936 Market street); 2816 California street; 5029 Third street; 121 Sutter street; 2642 San Bruno avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Mayor Authorized to Sell Frame Buildings on Property Acquired for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28296 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain frame buildings situate on the following properties recently acquired by the City for school purposes, to-wit:

At east line of Thirty-first avenue, distant 100 feet southerly from Geary street, on land of dimensions 25 by 60 feet.

Also, at east line of Thirty-first avenue, distant 125 feet southerly from Geary street, on land of dimensions 62 by 120 feet.

Also, at east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 215 feet southerly from Geary street, on land of dimensions 102 by 120 feet.

Also, at east line of Thirteenth avenue, distant 162 feet southerly from Lawton street, on land of dimensions 38 by 120 feet.

The Board of Public Works is requested to prepare proper conditions for removal of buildings by the purchasers.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

U. S. Census Bureau to Include San Francisco Bay Region in Proposed Metropolitan Area for the 1930 Census.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 28297 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it seems desirable that the various municipalities comprising the Bay region about San Francisco Bay should be included as a portion of the Metropolitan Area; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is logically a part of the Metropolitan Area; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City of San Francisco hereby respectfully requests the United States Bureau of Census that it be included in the general census of the San Francisco Bay Region Metropolitan Area, and requests such Census Bureau to establish a Metropolitan Area, including this with other communities in such Bay region in the 1930 census.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Fixing Thursday, January 12, 1928, at 8 p. m., for Hearing to Determine Location of Sunset Boulevard.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28298 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thursday, January 12, 1928, at 8 p. m., is hereby set as the date on which the Board of Supervisors, in committee of the whole, will consider and determine the location of the Sunset boule-

vard included in the Highway Bond Issue approved by the electorate on November 8, 1927; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor, the City Engineer, the Park Superintendent and interested civic organizations and citizens be invited to submit their recommendations in connection therewith.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Appointment of Supervisor J. B. Badaracco Director of Proposed San Mateo-San Francisco Joint Highway District.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28299 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to proceedings heretofore taken by this Board of Supervisors in the matter of the creation of a Joint Highway District composed of the counties of San Mateo and San Francisco for the purpose of constructing a highway into San Mateo County connecting with the Junipero Serra boulevard, Supervisor J. B. Badaracco be and is hereby named and appointed as director of said proposed Joint Highway District to represent the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Excused — Supervisor Badaracco —1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Wawona Street.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. 8371, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section seven hundred and ninety-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office Decemmber 27, 1927, by amending Section seven hundred and ninety-seven thereof, to read as follows:

Section 797. The width of sidewalks on Wawona street between the first angle easterly from Fourteenth avenue and Taraval street shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Approving Map, Laguna Honda Addition.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28300 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100348 (Second Series), approve a map entitled "Laguna Honda Addition," San Francisco, California, sheets 1 to 4, inclusive; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the map entitled "Laguna Honda Addition," San Francisco, California, sheets 1 to 4, inclusive, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. 8372, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Armstrong avenue between Third and Keith streets, and crossing of Armstrong avenue and Keith street; Carolina street between Eighteenth and Ninteenth streets; De Haro street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets; De Haro street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets; De Haro street between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets, the crossings of De Haro and Mariposa streets and De Haro and Eighteenth streets; Fitzgerald avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets, and Ingalls street between

Fitzgerald and Gilman avenue; Grafton avenue between Ashton and Jules avenues; Hudson avenue between Lane and Mendell streets, and crossing of Hudson avenue and Mendell street; Italy avenue between Lisbon and Paris streets; Italy avenue between Edinburgh and Madrid streets; Italy avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets; Lawton street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues; Lane street between Quesada and Palou avenues; Lane street between Thomas and Underwood avenues; Mariposa street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets, and the intersection of Mariposa and Wisconsin streets; Mount Vernon avenue between San Miguel and Tara streets; Newhall street between Evans and Fairfax avenues; Nineteenth street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, and crossing of Nineteenth and Wisconsin streets; Thornton avenue between Reddy and Diana streets, and the intersection of Thornton avenue and Diana street; Twenty-second avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Thirty-second avenue between Anza and Balboa streets; Vesta street between Thornton and Williams avenues; intersection of Thornton avenue and Reddy street; crossing of Mount Vernon and San Miguel streets; crossing of Carolina and Nineteenth streets; crossing of Fortieth avenue and Lawton street; crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Santiago street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic pavement and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, and are in good condition throughout:

Armstrong avenue between Third and Keith streets, and crossing of Armstrong avenue and Keith street; Carolina street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets; De Haro street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets; De Haro street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets; De Haro street between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets, and the crossings of De Haro and Mariposa streets and De Haro and Eighteenth streets; Fitzgerald avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets and Ingalls street between Fitzgerald and Gilman avenues; Grafton avenue between Ashton and Jules avenues; Hudson avenue between Lane and Mendell streets, and crossing of Hudson avenue and Mendell street; Italy avenue between Lisbon and Paris streets; Italy avenue between Edinburgh and Madrid streets; Italy avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets; Lawton street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues; Lane street between Quesada and Palou avenues; Lane street between Thomas and Underwood avenues; Mariposa street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets and the intersection of Mariposa and Wisconsin streets; Mount Vernon avenue between San Miguel and Tara streets; Newhall street between Evans and Fairfax avenues; Nineteenth street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, and crossing of Nineteenth and Wisconsin streets; Thornton avenue between Reddy and Diana streets, and the intersection of Thornton avenue and Diana street; Twenty-second avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Thirty-second avenue between Anza and Balboa streets; Vesta street between Thornton and Williams avenues; intersection of Thornton avenue and Reddy street; crossing of Mount Vernon avenue and San Miguel street; crossing of Carolina and Nineteenth streets; crossing of Fortieth avenue and Lawton street; crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Santiago street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades, Wawona Street.

Also, Bill No. 8373, Ordinance No. —— (New Series). as follows:

Establishing grades on Wawona street between Fourteenth and Seventeenth avenues, and on Seventeenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona strets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Wawona street between Fourteenth and Seventeenth avenues, and on

Seventeenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed December 20, 1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades, Verna Street.

Also, Bill No. 8374, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Verna street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Kenyon avenue and a line parallel with Teresita boulevard and 100 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Verna street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Kenyon avenue and a line parallel with Teresita boulevard and 100 feet northerly therefrom, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendations of the Board of Public Works filed December 20, 1927.

Verna Street.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Kenyon avenue, 438.10 feet.

290 feet northerly from Teresita boulevard, 399.20 feet.

240 feet northerly from Teresita boulevard, 391.95 feet.

190 feet northerly from Teresita boulevard, 389.20 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

100 feet northerly from Teresita boulevard, 388.30 feet.

On Verna street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Kenyon avenue and a line parallel with Teresita boulevard and 100 feet northerly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades, Boutwell Street.

Also, Bill No. 8375, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Boutwell street between points respectively 117.04 feet and 550 feet northerly from Augusta street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Boutwell street between points respectively 117.04 feet and 550 feet northerly from Augusta street are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed December 20, 1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades, Crystal Street.

Also, Bill No. 8376, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Crystal street between De Long street and Palmetto avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Crystal street between De Long street and Palmetto avenue are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed December 20, 1927.

Crystal Street.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at De Long street northerly line, 298.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at De Long street northerly line, 299.00 feet.

50 feet northerly from De Long street, 294.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Palmetto avenue, 283.69 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Palmetto avenue southerly line, 279.20 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Palmetto avenue southerly line, 278.70 feet.

On Crystal street between De Long street and Palmetto avenue be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work, Twelfth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8377, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 20, 1927, having recommended the or-

dering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twelfth avenue between Kirkham street and Moraga street, and Funston avenue between Kirikham street and Lawton street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed, except at the intersection of public streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Twentieth Street.

Also, Bill No. 8378, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and ten.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 21, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and ten, to read as follows:

Section 1010. The width of sidewalks on Twentieth street between San Bruno avenue and Vermont street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Twentieth street between San Bruno avenue and Vermont street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7737 (New Series), Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Twentieth Street.

Also, Bill No. 8379, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7737 (New Series), approved October 7, 1927, regulating the width of sidewalks on Twentieth street between Vermont and Rhode Island streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7737 (New Series), approved October 7, 1927, regulating the widths of sidewalks on Twentieth street between Vermont and Rhode Island streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Mangels Avenue.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28301 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 24930 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon a portion of Mangels avenue; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said portion of Mangels avenue as described in Resolution of Intention No. 24930 (New Series) was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the closing of said portion of Mangels avenue as described in Resolution of Intention No. 24930 (New Series) was or were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Mangels avenue; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 24930 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Mangels avenue are nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines tha the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said portion of Mangels avenue shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing and abandonment of said portion of Mangels avenue, and it appearing to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of a portion of Mangels avenue as described in Resolution of Intention No. 24930 (New Series) be and the same is hereby ordered, and that the said portion of Mangels avenue be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street; and

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed therewith as may be required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Extension of Time, E. J. Treacy.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28302 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, viz.:

Sixty days' time from and after December 30, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue (widening). This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is well under way, a portion of the grading, curbing and concrete having been completed. Delay was caused by operations of public service corporations.

Ninety days' time from and after January 6, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Noe street between Army and Duncan streets. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is well under way; extension requested for completion of the work, acceptance and issuance of assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Extension of Time, C. B. Eaton.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28303 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after December 16, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Carolina street, Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, under a public contract. The work is about 70 per cent completed and has been delayed owing to rainy weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28304 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 100195 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted December 19, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed December 20, 1927, to-wit:

On Harkness street between

Mill street produced and Cowden street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grades or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28305 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 100233 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted December 21, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed December 23, 1927, to-wit:

On Oneida avenue between Otsego and Delano avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grades or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 12:30 p. m. the Board took a recess until 3 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—3 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 3 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Badaracco was elected to preside.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

"Spirit of California" Endurance Flight.

A communication in re endurance fight of airplane "Spirit of California" requesting an appropriation of $1,000 was read by the Clerk:

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $1000, Improvement of Municipal Airport.

On motion of Supervisor Kent:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the improvement of the Municipal Airport, Mills Field, San Mateo County, by graveling and rolling at the north end of the field.

Accepting Offer of Mrs. F. Hutton to Sell Land and Improvements on Cook Street Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28306 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mrs. F. Hutton to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the east line of Cook street, distant 415 feet north from

Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 415 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Cook street 25 feet 11 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Cook street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

New Charter Proposed.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco was ratified by the people thirty years ago and has been operative for exactly twenty-eight years; and

Whereas, the Charter was created to satisfy the governmental needs of a municipality pronouncedly smaller in population and resources than the San Francisco of the present day and was conceived during an era when many public functions, now exercised by this city, were not foreseen; and

Whereas, during the period of over a quarter of a century which has elapsed since its adoption, the City of San Francisco has more than doubled in population, and new civic functions, projects and enterprises have come into being, not existent at the time of the drafting of the Charter; and

Whereas, the provisions of the Charter have frequently been subjected to judicial interpretation and efforts have been made to meet new problems by the process of amendment rather than of thoroughgoing revision; and

Whereas, since the adoption of the Charter new systems of governmental administration have been developed in various cities of this nation and have been subjected to tests in practical operation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Judiciary Committee of the Board of Supervisors be and it is hereby instructed to undertake forthwith a study of the desirability of drafting a new Charter for the City and County of San Francisco and of the framework of government and administrative provisions of such new Charter; and be it

Further Resolved, That all officers, boards and commissions of the City and County be and they are hereby requested to assist the Judiciary Committee in its deliberations by providing such data and information as may be helpful with regard to the merits and demerits of the present Charter in actual operation in relation to their respective departments, and their recommendations with respect thereto; and be it

Further Resolved, That upon conclusion of its studies the said Judiciary Committee transmit to the Board of Supervisors its recommendations.

Referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Pedestrian Traffic Lanes Proposed, Montgomery Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the completion of the Russ Building and its occupancy has created a very heavy flow of pedestrian traffic between said building and the east side of Montgomery street between Bush and Pine streets, particularly between

said building and the Mills Building; and

Whereas, many hundreds of persons pass frequently during normal business hours between the west and east sides of said street within the said block; and

Whereas, the installation of traffic signals on Montgomery street at its intersections with Bush street and with Pine street, and the adoption of the ordinance regulating pedestrian traffic has resulted in stopping the convenient flow of pedestrian traffic in said block, other than at intersections; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Traffic and the Traffic Engineer be and they are hereby requested to give consideration at the earliest possible moment to the creation of a pedestrian traffic lane in the middle of the block on Montgomery street between Bush and Pine streets; and be it

Further Resolved, That in the event that the creation of said traffic lane will not interfere with the proper enforcement of traffic regulation, that such traffic lane be created.

Referred to the Traffic Committee.

Broadway Vehicular Tunnel Proposed.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the problem of providing well-located and convenient arteries for automobile traffic between the downtown and commercial district of San Francisco and the residential districts is increasing in intensity; and

Whereas, this problem is pressing with respect to transportation by automobile between the district in the vicinity of The Embarcadero, the financial and commerial district and the residential areas, in the Western Addition, Richmond and Marina districts; and

Whereas, in the judgment of many civic groups and of engineering and traffic authorities, the solution of this problem is to be found in the construction of a tunnel, commonly referred to as the Broadway vehicular tunnel, lying generally between Mason street and Larkin street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Tunnels be and it is hereby instructed to give immediate study to this problem in its relationship to the construction of the said Broadway vehicular tunnel; and be it

Further Resolved, That said Committee hold public hearings with respect thereto, for the purpose of receiving the views of interested civic organizations and citizens; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer be and he is hereby requested to assist said Committee on Tunnels in said study and in the compilation of data with respect thereto; and be it

Further Resolved, That upon the completion of its study, hearings and investigation, the said Committee on Tunnels report to this Board the result of its deliberations and its recommendations with regard to said proposed tunnel.

Referred to Tunnels Committee.

Examiner and South of Market Boys Commended for Christmas Celebration.

Supervisor Suhr presented:

Resolution No. 28310 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, through the courtesy and public spirit of the San Francisco Examiner and the South of Market Boys' Club, Incorporated, there was erected on the pinnacle of Twin Peaks the largest Christmas tree ever displayed in the City of San Francisco; and

Whereas, this tree was beautifully illuminated during the Christmas holiday season, giving pleasure and enjoyment to thousands of our citizens who witnessed the same, it being visible from all parts of the City, and particularly to the thousands of automobilists using Twin Peaks boulevard; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors expresses its sincere thanks and appreciation to the San Francisco Examiner and the South of Market Boys' Club, Incorporated, for the happy thought and foresight which prompted them to make this most unique and appropriate display during the Yuletide period.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Post Office Substation, Marina District.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 28311 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Postmaster of San Francisco has shown a desire to serve this City and County with the best obtainable postal service; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this

Board be directed to communicate with the Postmaster of San Francisco and urge that a more adequate and speedy service of the mails be rendered the residents of the great Marina District, by the establishment of a substation in that district.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

City Attorney to Execute Lease of Land Purchased From Charles B. Rumble for Hetch Hetchy Purposes.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 28308 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Upon recommendation of the City Engineer, that the Board of Public Works is authorized to enter into a lease of certain lands purchased from Charles B. Rumble, situated in the County of Stanislaus, State of California, for construction purposes on the Hetch Hetchy project, and temporarily not required for such purposes; said lease to run to C. J. Rumble for a period commencing January 1, 1928, and ending December 31, 1928, in consideration of a payment of a 25 per cent share in crop proceeds to the City and County of San Francisco.

The Stanislaus Land and Abstract Company is also authorized to execute said lease as to such portion of said lands to which said company holds title.

The City Attorney is authorized to prepare and supervise the execution of said lease. Rentals thereunder will be paid into the Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue of 1910.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Award of Contract, Lithographing Boulevard Bonds.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 28307 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing 9380 lithographed Boulevard Bonds be hereby made to A. Carlisle & Co. for the sum of $965 on bid submitted January 9, 1928 (Proposal No. 348).

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28309 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Auxiliary of the Children's Hospital to conduct a masquerade ball at Civic Auditorium, Saturday evening, February 21, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Assessor to Check on Stafford Appraisal.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication, from Assessor Wolden, requesting an appropriation for employment of clerks and for equipment for checking Stafford property appraisal.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the purpose of enabling the Assessor of the City and County to check the appraisement of all taxable real property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco and which has been made under the direction of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

For the employment of additional clerks at the rate of $160 per month	$24,960.00
For non-personal service, including additional equipment, accessories, etc.	5,000.00
	$29,960.00

(Being in accordance with Assessor's request dated January 9, 1928.)

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN.
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 23, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 3

Monday, January 16, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 16, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 16, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Rezoning Judah Street.

Communication, from Oceanside Community Council, favoring rezoning of Judah street from Tenth to Twenty-fifth avenues for commercial purposes.

Fireproof Roofing Ordinance.

Communication, from Haight and Ashbury Improvement Association, commending Board of Supervisors on its action in passing proposed fireproof roofing ordinance.

Read and *filed*.

Leave of Absence, Russell L. Wolden, Assessor.

San Francisco, Cal.,
January 13, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Russell L. Wolden, Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of two or three days some time between January 20 and February 8, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, on motion of Supervisor Todd, was *continued until January* 30, 1928:

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-second avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Gallagher's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings de-

signed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twnty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Todd's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

The roll being called on the foregoing proposed amendment offered by Supervisor Todd, the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Before the vote was announced Supervisor Todd changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration of the vote whereby the foregoing proposed amendment was defeated.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28312 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(1) Philip H. Sapiro, service of orchestra, including rehearsals, for Christmas eve observance (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $517.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(2) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $525.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(3) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chairs for Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $4,960.

Special School Tax.

(4) Park Commissioners, for planning the planting area of the Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $555.

(5) Wm. Bateman, millwork for schools (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $590.75.

(6) Wm. Bateman, millwork for schools (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $516.50.

(7) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints and glass for schools (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $593.95.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(8) Alvinza E. Gore, Municipal Railway painter, compensation insurance (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $1,716.98.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(9) J. G. Brill Co., fourth payment, street car trucks (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $4,712.50.

(10) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., sixth payment, street car motor equipment (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $22,824.45.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(11) Chas. R. M. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber furnished

(claim dated Dec. 22, 1927), $655.10.

(12) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $566.06.

(13) Hill & Morton, Inc., lumber furnished (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $578.83.

(14) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber furnished (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $630.40.

(15) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement furnished (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $1,214.50.

(16) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machine and plumbing supplies (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $1,222.37.

Park Fund.

(17) Scott Company, installing heating system in Kezar Stadium (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $5,464.50.

(18) A. Lettich Co., wrought iron pipe, etc., furnished (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $792.05.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(19) Wm. J. Quinn, police contingent expense (claim dated Jan. 1, 1927), $750.

(20) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $2,074.14.

(21) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $2,155.97.

(22) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $3,588.04.

(23) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $3,967.72.

(24) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $2,918.10.

(25) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for account of street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 22, 1927), $604.05.

(26) Thompson Bros., Inc., cushion wheels for street cleaning apparatus (claim dated Dec. 22, 1927), $1,183.20.

(27) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $2,241.65.

(28) H. F. Dugan, drug supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $1,327.44.

(28a) Western Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $504.21.

(29) California Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $749.28.

(30) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $3,952.94.

(31) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $4,351.08.

(32) The Simmons Co., cots for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $950.

(33) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $14,745.

(34) Daniel Contracting Co., rock furnished for construction of the Yacht Harbor (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $1,135.75.

(35) Punnett, Parez & Hutchinson, engineering services in connection with construction of the Yacht Harbor; being for the months of September, October and November, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $922.54.

(36) Monson Bros., third payment, rehabilitation of Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $8,180.81.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28313 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(1) J. A. Fay & Egan Co., machinery for Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $1,010.

(2) Woodstock Typewriter Co., typewriter machines for Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $2,520.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(3) H. H. Larsen Co., fifth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $9,133.87.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(4) Alta Electric Co., sixth payment, electrical work, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $2,343.75.

(5) R. W. Moller, sixteenth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $48,847.03.

(6) Scott Company, seventh payment, mechanical equipment for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $2,622.75.

(7) A. Lettich, seventh payment,

plumbing for Hearst Moulder School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928) $1,872.75.

(8) Reliable Plumbing Co., sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst Moulder School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $3,260.69.

(9) A. Lettich, seventh payment, plumbing for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $4,192.56.

(10) Pacific Electric Construction Co., fifth payment, electrical work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,447.85.

(11) Scott Company, sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,481.25.

(12) Joseph & Stone, eighth payment, architectural services for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $770.31.

(13) R. Flatland, fourth payment, electrical work for Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $534.39.

(14) Mahony Bros., eleventh payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $22,354.92.

(15) O. Monson, ninth payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $16,080.

Playground Fund.

(16) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of tennis courts at University Mound Playground (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $4,920.

(17) Klawans & McMullin, basketballs (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,280.

(18) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $543.61.

Park Fund.

(19) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure furnished for parks (claim dated Jan. 6, 1928), $834.02.

Aquarium Fund—(Appropriation 57).

(20) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for December (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $3,938.29.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(21) J. H. Meyer et al., refund of 1927-1928 duplicate payment of taxes (claim dated Dec. 28, 1927), $1,111.50.

(22) Mary B. Angus, refund of 1927-1928 duplicate payment of taxes (claim dated Dec. 28, 1927), $598.50.

Publicity and Advertising.

(23) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for the celebration of the seventh centenary of St. Francis of Assisi (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,750.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(24) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $511.

(25) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for labor payroll on improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $9,648.05.

(26) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $1,569.92.

(27) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $1,043.17.

(28) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $2,671.54.

(29) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $1,500.88.

(30) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for labor payroll on improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $4,041.04.

(31) Dalziel, Moller Co., pipe and fittings for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $528.36.

(32) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $613.25.

(33) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $1,733.75.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(34) (For account of Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(35) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $763.23.

(36) Fobes Supply Co., electric supplies (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $502.58.

(37) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $530.

(38) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $1,698.90.

(39) Ingersoll-Rand Co., freight charges on machinery and machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $736.61.

(40) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $505.92.

(41) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $787.75.

(42) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $683.20.

(43) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $1,340.38.

(44) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $1,898.15.

(45) Western Pipe & Steel Co., six steel air receivers (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $1,470.

(46) Wilsey, Bennett Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Dec. 30, 1927), $604.80.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(47) Robt. W. Jamison, third payment, installing electric conductors and appurtenances for Municipal Railways, Sunset line (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $2,925.

(48) Enterprise Foundry Co., first and final payment, iron castings for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $1,342.71.

Special School Tax.

(49) A. Lettich, first payment, mechanical equipment for Paul Revere School annex (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $3,085.84.

(50) A. Lettich, fifth payment, plumbing for South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,302.38.

(51) MacDonald & Kahn, fifth payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $19,027.53.

(52) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., fourth payment, electric work for South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $1,301.25.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(53) Frank J. McHugh, tenth payment, sewer construction in Sunset District (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $12,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(54) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, books and printing for the Assessor. (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $739.75.

(55) T. M. Gallagher, first payment for furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $1,890.

(56) The Fay Improvement Co., sewer construction at Arguello boulevard and Edward street (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $1,189.13.

(57) J. A. Grant, first payment, general construction of chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $3,844.50.

(58) Stephenson Construction Co., second payment, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport, Contract 9, Hangar 2 (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $3,750.

(59) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, for impounding and feeding of animals (claim dated Dec. 10, 1927), $1,250.

(60) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,815.50.

(61) Sullivan Iron Works, furnishing and erecting smoke stack on Civic Center power house (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $1,175.

(62) James G. Stafford and associates, ninth payment on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements within the City and County (claim dated Jan. 6, 1928), $5,818.25.

(63) A. P. Jacobs, rent of No. 333 Kearny street for two months, December 3, 1927, to February 3, 1928 (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $2,372.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(63a) Pacific Highway Association of California, printing and distributing 50,000 96-page folders for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $4,978.50.

(64) Citizens' Discovery Day Committee (Thos. F. Boyle, treasurer), for expense of the 1927 Discovery Day celebration, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $983.75.

Special School Tax Fund.

(65) L. S. Case, Inc., for roofing west side roof of Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $1,276.

(66) Acme Iron and Bronze Works, wire fencing and gates for various schools (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,352.

(67) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., beaver board and metal strips for Mission High School annex (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $577.92

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(68) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, for filling in Alemany boulevard, Job No. 2 (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $1,200.

(69) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, for filling in Alemany boulevard, Job No. 3 (claim dated Jan. 4, 1928), $5,000.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(70) P. E. O'Hair & Co., for urinals furnished Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $962.

(71) Anderson & Ringrose, extra orders on general construction of additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $864.03.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $878.70, Payment to Crocker First National Bank, Fiscal Agents.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $878.77 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, and authorized in payment to the Crocker National Bank of San Francisco, being payment for account of expense as fiscal agents for the City and County of San Francisco.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriations, Improvement of Grand View Avenue and Portola Drive.

Resolution No. 28314 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Grand View avenue from Elizabeth street to Stanton street; additional to enable final payment, $7,500.

(2) For construction work in the improvement of Portola drive, Market street, extended, $8,800.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Appropriation, $25,000, Engineering and Plans, Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 28315 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $25,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Bernal Cut Bond Issue for expense of engineering and making detail plans of the Bernal Cut boulevard.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Appropriations, Equipment and Supplies, Preventorium; Equipment, Maternity Service, San Francisco Hospital.

Resolution No. 28316 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated funds for the purposes mentioned, to-wit:

Preventorium, San Mateo County— Budget Item No. 57.

(1) For the purchase of equipment and supplies and payment of December payroll for the Tubercular Preventorium, San Mateo County, $5,162.33.

Establishing of Maternity Service— Budget Item No. 58.

(2) For the purchase of equipment and for payment of December payroll for maternity service, $787.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Appropriation, $29,960, Employment of Additional Clerks, Assessor's Office.

Resolution No. 28317 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the purpose of enabling the Assessor of the City and County to check the appraisement of all taxable real property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco and which has been made under the direction of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

For the employment of additional clerks at the rate of $160 per month	$24,960.00
For non-personal service, including additional equipment, accessories, etc.	5,000.00
	$29,960.00

(Being in accordance with Assessor's request dated January 9, 1928.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Privilege of the Floor.

Assessor Wolden appeared in connection with the foregoing matter and in reply to query of Supervisor McSheehy declared that real values was not the basis of his assessments,

but only one of the factors used to arrive at his assessment.

Appropriation, $1000, Improvement of Municipal Airport.

Resolution No. 28318 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the improvement of the Municipal Airport, Mills Field, San Mateo County, by graveling and rolling at the north end of the field.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Appropriation, $2,000, Payment to Charles A. Magistra et al. for Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 28319 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to Charles A. Magistra and Mary Magistra; being payment for lands required for the opening of the Bernal Cut boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28255 (New Series), to-wit:

All of Lot 16 of Jones' Addition to Fairmount, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco, saving and excepting therefrom portions of said Lot 16 as described in said Resolution No. 28255, New Series. (Claim dated Dec. 29, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Appropriations for Refund of Tax Judgments.

Resolution No. 28320 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $6,313.12.

(2) To the Western Pacific Railroad (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $623.30.

(3) To Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $16,028.52.

(4) To Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $726.82.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 28321 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

American Trust Company, northwest corner of Fifth and Folsom streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Anderson, west side of Fillmore street, 125 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Butler Bros., northeast corner of Fremont and Howard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Cereghino, southwest corner of Santa Rosa and Mission streets, 2000 gallons capacity.

L. De Cew, south side of Frederick street, 75 feet east of Masonic avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. A. Fox, 296 Twenty-sixth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. J. D. Healey, 1326 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Helbing Co., northwest corner of Green and Webster streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Helbing Co., northwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Masten, 3954 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Mezen, southwest corner of Eighteenth and Missouri streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pacific Gillespie Co., east side of Van Ness avenue, 118 feet north of Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pacific Gillespie Co., north side of Howard street, 174 feet west of Holland court, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. J. Stovel, northeast corner of Baker and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Thomas, east side of Mission

street, 200 feet north of Fifteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. E. Travis, 2615 Larkin street. 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed E. Young, southwest corner of Marina boulevard and Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Boudin Bakery Co., 387 Tenth avenue, 5 horsepower.

Mercantile Silk Co., 245 Natoma street, 10 horsepower.

C. Thomas, east side of Mission street, 200 feet north of Fifteenth street, 100 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28322 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bruce & Young be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them automobile supply station permit heretofore granted for premises on the northwest corner of Franklin and Page streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28323 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lawrence Barrett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Shell Company of California by Resolution No. 20730 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Ellis and Taylor streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Dyeing and Cleaning Establishment Permit.

Resolution No. 28324 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. Thomas be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a dyeing and cleaning establishment on the east side of Mission street, 200 feet north of Fifteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28325 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. S. Allen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Auto Maintenance Co. by Resolution No. 22664 (New Series) for premises at 1737 Jackson street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Public Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28326 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank O'Shea be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 359 Potrero avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent,

McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 28327 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. T. Carlyon be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property on the west side of Spear street between Folsom and Harrison streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1024 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said W. T. Carlyon, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued until January* 30, 1928:

Automobile Parking Station, Wm. W. Haley.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing Plans, Etc., New Fender Piling, Third Street and Channel Bridge.

Bill No. 8369, Ordinance No. 7874 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the furnishing of new fender piling, together with repairs, at the Third street and Channel bridge, and ordering the said furnishing of new fender piling, together with repairs, at the Third street and Channel bridge, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the furnishing of said fender piling, together with repairs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the furnishing of new fender piling, together with repairs, at the Third street and Channel bridge, which is hereby ordered, and to enter into contract for the furnishing of new fender piling, together with repairs, at the Third street and Channel bridge, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Establishing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8366, Ordinance No. 7875 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Beach street, Marina boulevard, Baker street and Lyon street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 21st day of November, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 169 to establish set-back lines along portions of Beach street, Marina boulevard, Baker street and Lyon street, and fixed the 3d day of January, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place

aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northerly side of Beach street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Baker street, and running thence easterly 50 feet; said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence easterly 125 feet, said setback line to be 7 feet; thence easterly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 4½ feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; along the southerly side of Beach street, commencing at a point 50 feet easterly from Baker street and running thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence easterly 260 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the southerly side of Marina boulevard between Lyon and Baker streets, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the westerly side of Baker street, commencing at Jefferson street and running thence northerly to a point 160 feet southerly from Marina boulevard, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the easterly side of Lyon street, commencing at Jefferson street and running thence northerly to a point 100.068 feet southerly from Marina boulevard, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Bill No. 8367, Ordinance No. 7876 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-seventh, Thirty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 21st day of November, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 168 to establish set-back lines along portions of Twenty-seventh, Thirty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues and fixed the 3d day of January, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Cabrillo street,

said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Bill No. 8368, Ordinance No. 7877 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Forty-sixth avenue and Byxbee street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 21st day of November, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 170, to establish set-back lines along portions of Forty-sixth avenue and Byxbee street, and fixed the 3rd of January, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Forty-sixth avenue between Quintara street and Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-sixth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Quintara street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-sixth avenue commencing at a point 69 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Byxbee street commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Shields street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Garfield street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Wawona Street.

Bill No. 8371, Ordinance No. 7878 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section seven hundred and ninety-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office Decemmber 27, 1927,

by amending Section seven hundred and ninety-seven thereof, to read as follows:

Section 797. The width of sidewalks on Wawona street between the first angle easterly from Fourteenth avenue and Taraval street shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8372, Ordinance No. 7879 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Armstrong avenue between Third and Keith streets, and crossing of Armstrong avenue and Keith street; Carolina street between Eighteenth and Ninteenth streets; De Haro street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets; De Haro street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets; De Haro street between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets, the crossings of De Haro and Mariposa streets and De Haro and Eighteenth streets; Fitzgerald avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets, and Ingalls street between Fitzgerald and Gilman avenue; Grafton avenue between Ashton and Jules avenues; Hudson avenue between Lane and Mendell streets, and crossing of Hudson avenue and Mendell street; Italy avenue between Lisbon and Paris streets; Italy avenue between Edinburgh and Madrid streets; Italy avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets; Lawton street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues; Lane street between Quesada and Palou avenues; Lane street between Thomas and Underwood avenues; Mariposa street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets, and the intersection of Mariposa and Wisconsin streets; Mount Vernon avenue between San Miguel and Tara streets; Newhall street between Evans and Fairfax avenues; Nineteenth street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, and crossing of Nineteenth and Wisconsin streets; Thornton avenue between Reddy and Diana streets, and the intersection of Thornton avenue and Diana street; Twenty-second avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Thirty-second avenue between Anza and Balboa streets; Vesta street between Thornton and Williams avenues; intersection of Thornton avenue and Reddy street; crossing of Mount Vernon and San Miguel streets; crossing of Carolina and Nineteenth streets; crossing of Fortieth avenue and Lawton street; crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Santiago street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic pavement and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, and are in good condition throughout:

Armstrong avenue between Third and Keith streets, and crossing of Armstrong avenue and Keith street; Carolina street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets; De Haro street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets; De Haro street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets; De Haro street between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets, and the crossings of De Haro and Mariposa streets and De Haro and Eighteenth streets; Fitzgerald avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets and Ingalls street between Fitzgerald and Gilman avenues; Grafton avenue between Ashton and Jules avenues; Hudson avenue between Lane and Mendell streets, and crossing of Hudson avenue and Mendell street; Italy avenue between Lisbon and Paris streets; Italy avenue between Edinburgh and Madrid streets; Italy avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets; Lawton street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues; Lane street between Quesada and Palou avenues; Lane street between Thomas and Underwood avenues; Mariposa street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets and the intersection

of Mariposa and Wisconsin streets; Mount Vernon avenue between San Miguel and Tara streets; Newhall street between Evans and Fairfax avenues; Nineteenth street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, and crossing of Nineteenth and Wisconsin streets; Thornton avenue between Reddy and Diana streets, and the intersection of Thornton avenue and Diana street; Twenty-second avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Thirty-second avenue between Anza and Balboa streets; Vesta street between Thornton and Williams avenues; intersection of Thornton avenue and Reddy street; crossing of Mount Vernon avenue and San Miguel street; crossing of Carolina and Nineteenth streets; crossing of Fortieth avenue and Lawton street; crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Santiago street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Establishing Grades, Wawona Street.

Bill No. 8373, Ordinance No. 7880 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Wawona street between Fourteenth and Seventeenth avenues, and on Seventeenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona strets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Wawona street between Fourteenth and Seventeenth avenues, and on Seventeenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed December 20, 1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Establishing Grades, Verna Street.

Bill No. 8374, Ordinance No. 7881 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Verna street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Kenyon avenue and a line parallel with Teresita boulevard and 100 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Verna street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Kenyon avenue and a line parallel with Teresita boulevard and 100 feet northerly therefrom, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendations of the Board of Public Works filed December 20, 1927.

Verna Street.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Kenyon avenue, 438.10 feet.

290 feet northerly from Teresita boulevard, 399.20 feet.

240 feet northerly from Teresita boulevard, 391.95 feet.

190 feet northerly from Teresita boulevard, 389.20 feet.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

100 feet northerly from Teresita boulevard, 388.30 feet.

On Verna street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Kenyon avenue and a line parallel with Teresita boulevard and 100 feet northerly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Establishing Grades, Boutwell Street.

Bill No. 8375, Ordinance No. 7882 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Boutwell street between points respectively 117.04 feet and 550 feet northerly from Augusta street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Boutwell street between points respectively 117.04 feet and 550 feet northerly from Augusta street are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in

accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed December 20, 1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Establishing Grades. Crystal Street.

Bill No. 8376, Ordinance No. 7883 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Crystal street between De Long street and Palmetto avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Crystal street between De Long street and Palmetto avenue are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed December 20, 1927.

Crystal Street.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at De Long street northerly line, 298.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at De Long street northerly line, 299.00 feet.

50 feet northerly from De Long street, 294.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Palmetto avenue, 283.69 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Palmetto avenue southerly line, 279.20 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Palmetto avenue southerly line, 278.70 feet.

On Crystal street between De Long street and Palmetto avenue be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Ordering Street Work, Twelfth Avenue.

Bill No. 8377, Ordinance No. 7884 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 20, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twelfth avenue between Kirkham street and Moraga street, and Funston avenue between Kirikham street and Lawton street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed, except at the intersection of public streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Twentieth Street.

Bill No. 8378, Ordinance No. 7885 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and ten.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 21, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and ten, to read as follows:

Section 1010. The width of sidewalks on Twentieth street between San Bruno avenue and Vermont street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Twentieth street between San Bruno avenue and Vermont street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7737 (New Series), Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Twentieth Street.

Bill No. 8379, Ordinance No. 7886 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7737 (New Series), approved October 7, 1927, regulating the width of sidewalks on Twentieth street between Vermont and Rhode Island streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7737 (New Series), approved October 7, 1927, regulating the widths of sidewalks on Twentieth street between Vermont and Rhode Island streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $80,826.63, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $34.71.

California School for Blind, clothing for S. F. ward, $10.21.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $810.

(2) Jas. A. Nelson, furnishing and installing motor vacuum pump in public library (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1,680.

(3) Mullen Mfg. Co., book shelving, counters, etc. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1,428.93.

(4) Foster & Futernick Co., library book binding (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1.557.15.

(5) San Francisco News Co., library periodicals (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $4,382.48.

(6) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $3,106.83.

(7) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1,682.86.

Park Fund.

(8) The McGilvray-Raymond Granite Co., repairing and repointing stone work, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $712.84.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(9) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., five centrifugal pumps (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $612.50.

(10) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $655.72.

(11) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $805.88.

(12) Independent Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $937.82.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $725.22.

(14) Pacific States Electric Co., copper wire (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $586.91.

(15) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium for account Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $4,268.07.

(16) St. Louis Power Shovel Co., one steel twelve-ton shovel, motor driven (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $7,117.65.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(17) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services, South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $603.45.

(18) John Reid, Jr., ninth payment, architectural services, Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $549.35.

(19) John Reid, Jr., fourteenth payment, architectural services, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,291.52.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(20) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., printing 700 Sunset tunnel bonds (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $725.

Special School Tax.

(21) Knittle Bros., third payment, mechanical equipment for South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $3,309.09.

(22) Park Commissioners, for care of school grounds (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,300.

Duplicate Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(28) Sol Getz & Sons, refund of duplicate payments of Sunset tunnel assessments (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $670.68.

Bernal Cut Bond Issue.

(24) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, part payment for legal services in matter of Bernal Cut Bond Issue (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $700.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(25) Railroad Commission of State of California, January expense of valuation of S. F. electric properties (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $2,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(26) Travers Surgical Co., supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $565.22.

(27) McCarthy Bros., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $535.

(28) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $679.40.

(29) P. J. Moliterno & Co., potatoes, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $618.99.

(30) Building Supplies Co., towels, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $703.70.

(31) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928). $597.55.

(32) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $586.85.

(33) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $732.43.

(34) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $2,409.59.

(35) California Meat Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $537.30.

(36) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $2,205.88.

(37) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $5,169.75.

(38) J. T. Freitas & Co., butter, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,442.07.

(39) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs, etc., Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,604.77.

(40) Hercules Equipment Co., rubber sheets, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,000.

(41) McCarthy Bros., coffee, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $535.

(42) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $970.12.

(43) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $2,481.14.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $559.53.

(45) St. Vincent's School, main-

tenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,929.99.

(46) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $521.07.

(47) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $944.98.

(48) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $3,264.60.

(49) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,387.28.

(50) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,383.68.

(51) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $33,880.47.

(52) Little Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $12,964.50.

(53) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $4,362.60.

(54) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $8,304.03.

(55) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $1,165.

(56) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $8,534.93.

(57) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $767.72.

(58) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $2,685.73.

(59) Peter Sorenson, first and final payment, for construction of concrete roadway at Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $2,285.

(60) Lottie M. Neil, final payment, installation of safety lane markers, Contract No. 2 (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $1,378.19.

(61) Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $1,075.

(62) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., December street lighting (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $56,199.39.

(63) City Coal Co., coal and wood for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $581.65.

(64) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $916.68.

(65) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $749.10.

(66) M. Greenberg's Sons, castings and hydrant parts for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $792.72.

(67) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $2,445.95.

(68) Shell Company, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $958.57.

(69) Phillips Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $2,167.25.

(70) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1,710.76.

(71) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $4,581.98.

(72) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,946.35.

(73) J. P. Holland, Inc., grading Thirty-eighth avenue, Taraval to Santiago streets (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,350.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(74) California Development Association, maintenance of San Francisco Exhibit and Information Bureau at Ferry Building (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $1,000.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(75) Bethlehem Steel Co., tie plates and spikes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $1,546.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(76) John P. Dolly, in full settlement of claim for injuries by Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $3,850.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(77) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $525.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(78) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of Revolving Fund, as per vouchers attached (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,317.21.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(79) A. Lettich Co., plumbing fixtures for Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,375.50.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(80) Rudolph G. Theurkauf & Bro., designing and building floral float for Pasadena Tournament of Roses, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $932.94.

Boulevard Bond Fund.

(81) Steam Shovel & Trucking Contractors, for dirt fill and fence construction in San Bruno avenue, for account of Bay Shore Highway (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $2,556.85.

County Road Fund.

(82) Schultz Construction Co., final payment for improvement of Grand avenue between Elizabeth and Stanton streets (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $3,187.35.

(83) I. M. Sommer & Co., for paving additional width of twenty feet on Silver avenue from Mission street to Alemany boulevard (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $6,000.

(84) Market Street Railway Co., for improvement of roadway at Sloat boulevard and Junipero Serra boulevard, City's portion (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $828.

Appropriation, Tax Refund Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments, Appropriation 59," and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being one-tenth of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) Percy E. Towne, fourth payment, as attorney for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Jan. 8, 1928), $1,844.76.

(2) Charles W. Slack and Edgar T. Zook, as attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $3,847.59.

(3) Southern Pacific Co., first payment (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $17,213.17.

Accepting Statement of City's Percentage of Gross Receipts, Market Street Railway.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28329 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of November and December, 1927, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

November—
Parkside Transit Co., etc., $528.90.
Gough Street Railway, $46.64.
Parnassus Avenue, etc., $324.13.
Total, $899.67.

December—
Parkside Transit Co., etc., $557.90.
Gough Street Railroad, $46.89.
Parnassus Avenue, etc., $343.71.
Total, $948.50.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Transfer of Police Department Funds.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28330 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside out of Police Department—Appropriation 42-A, to the credit of Police Department — Appropriation 42-D, Budget Item 705.

(The attention of the Auditor and Treasurer is directed to the foregoing.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Preventorium and Emergency Hospital.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter designated accounts and credited to Laguna Honda Home, Budget Item No. 844, Appropriation 44-B; being reimbursements for supplies furnished and work performed, to-wit:

From "Preventorium," San Mateo County, Budget Item No. 57, for meats and bread furnished, $745.

From "Emergency Hospitals," Budget Item No. 1012, for laundry work performed, $771.28.

Total, $1,516.28.

Accepting Deed of Frank O. Carlson et al. to Land Required for City Purposes.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28331 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed of Frank O. Carlson and Annie Carlson conveying to the City and County of San Francisco a portion of Lot 16, Block 3, De Boom Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G", page 5, records of the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby accepted, and a copy of this resolution be attached to said deed as evidence of its acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $750, for Constructing Bulkhead at Bridge, Beale and Harrison Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $750 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Repairs to Bridges, Budget Item No. 37, for the construction of bulkhead at the bridge, Beale and Harrison streets.

Appropriation, $5,000, Payment to Mrs. F. Hutton for Land and Improvements Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Land Fund, and authorized in payment to Mrs. F. Hutton; being payment for land and improvements commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 415 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly on the easterly line of Cook street 25 feet and 11 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28306 (New Series), required for school purposes.

Cancellation of Erroneous Assessments.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28332 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property was erroneously assessed and sold to the State of California on June 28, 1926, Sale No. 623, and recommends that said assessment and sale be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to concel, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804A of the Political Code, the following assessment and sale:

R. E. Vol. 14, page 43, Lot 7, Block 2130A, assessed to Francis A. McDonald.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 171.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 28333 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback line be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Cambridge street between Pioche street and Silliman street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at Irving street, and running thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 5 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Quintara street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet;

thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue between Rivera street and Quintara street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rivera street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 20th day of February, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 172.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 28334 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 20th day of Februarv, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 173.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 28335 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention

of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard, commencing at Thirty-ninth avenue and running thence westerly 484 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard betweeen Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Constanso way and Thirty-fourth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirty-fifth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Constanso way and El Mirasol place, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between El Mirasol place and Vale avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Vale avenue and Paraiso place, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Paraiso place and Crestlake drive, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Crestlake drive and Nineteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 20th day of February, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 174.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 28336 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly to Noriega street, said set-back line to be 10½ feet; along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5½ feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 2-3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 80 feet northery from Taraval street and running thence northerly 20 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 20th day of February, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent,

McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

H. R. Sorenson, south side of Grove street, 175 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Enterprise Oil Burner Co., west side of Fourteenth street, 360 feet north of Harrison street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sprague & Sprague, west side of West Portal avenue, south of Fifteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Rock, west side of Twenty-ninth avenue, 50 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Rude, 145 Commonwealth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Geo. E. Steninger, 291 Magellan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, south side of Clement street, 45 feet east of Fifteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Associated Charities, northeast corner of Eddy and Gough streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Oyens, east side of Twentieth avenue, 237 feet south of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Elliott Building Co., west side of Fillmore street, 125 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mercantile Silk Co.. 245 Natoma street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Bourdet, 1029 McAllister street, 2000 gallons capacity.

E. A. Cornely, Inc., 1452 Bush street, 750 gallons capacity.

Berendsen & Nahman, 1452 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That George C. Kuker be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Candido Lucci by Resolution No. 27840 (New Series) for premises at 520 Chestnut street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thos. M. Bynon be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted W. W. Sawdon for premises at 650 Divisadero street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Arguello boulevard and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Conlon be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the south side of Mission street, 275 feet east of Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Geo. Collopy be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Masonic avenue and St. Rose's street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Repealing Resolution No. 27524 (New Series), Granting Laundry Permit to Wo Lee at 1624 Larkin Street.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 28337 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Wo Lee has applied to this Board of Supervisors for a permit to maintain, operate and carry on the business of a public

laundry at 1624 Larkin street in this City and County; and

Whereas, the said Wo Lee has appeared in person and by counsel before the Fire Committee of the said Board of Supervisors and presented evidence and arguments as to why said permit should be granted to applicant at said location; and

Whereas, various persons owning property in the immediate vicinity of said premises appeared in person before the said Fire Committee at the same time and protested and adduced evidence against the granting of said permit; and

Whereas, a full and complete public hearing of all persons interested in said application having been held and all evidence and arguments for and against the granting of said permit having been duly considered by said Fire Committee; it is, therefore,

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Wo Lee to maintain, operate and carry on the business of a public laundry at 1624 Larkin street.

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 27524 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors July 18, 1927, is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Repealing Resolution No. 27525 (New Series), Granting Permission to Wah Ying Lung for Laundry Permit at 1626 Larkin Street.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 28338 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Wah Ying Lung has applied to this Board of Supervisors for a permit to maintain, operate and carry on the business of a public laundry at 1626 Larkin street in this City and County; and

Whereas, the said Wah Ying Lung has appeared in person and by counsel before the Fire Committee of the said Board of Supervisors and presented evidence and arguments as to why said permit should be granted to applicant at said location; and

Whereas, various persons owning property in the immediate vicinity of said premises appeared in person before the said Fire Committee at the same time and protested and adduced evidence against the granting of said permit; and

Whereas, a full and complete public hearing of all persons interested in said application having been held and all evidence and arguments for and against the granting of said permit having been duly considered by said Fire Committee; it is, therefore,

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Wah Ying Lung to maintain, operate and carry on the business of a public laundry at 1626 Larkin street.

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 27525 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors July 18, 1927, is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Loading Zone Locations Established.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 28339 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses in accordance with the provisions of Section 36, Paragraph "C" of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

Street Address	Length in feet
24-30 Battery street	45
36 Battery street	27
49-65 Battery street	63
77-87 Battery street	45
113 Battery street	36
120-122 Battery street	36
134-146 Battery street	45
135 Battery street	36
145-151 Battery street	63
126-130 Bush street	27
133-135 Bush street	36
134-146 Bush street	36
169 Bush street	18
200 Bush street	27
225 Bush street	36
234 Bush street	27
265-275 Bush street	72
270 Bush street	27
314 Bush street	27
321-325 Bush street	27
356 Bush street	45
382 Bush street	13
383 Bush street	36

424 Bush street..... 27
425 Bush street..... 27
444 Bush street..... 45
445 Bush street..... 36
461 Bush street..... 36
470 Bush street..... 27
530 Bush street..... 63
663-677 Bush street..... 54
1598 Bush street..... 18
24 California street..... 27
36-38 California street..... 27
60 California street..... 36
61 California street..... 27
100 California street..... 18
101 California street..... 45
140-142 California street..... 27
150-160 California street..... 18
157-161 California street..... 27
201-203 California street..... 27
206-210 California street..... 18
230-244 California street..... 36
233-241 California street..... 45
255 California street..... 27
260 California street..... 27
301-325 California street..... 45
304-314 California street..... 27
333-341 California street..... 36
340-350 California street..... 45
361 California street..... 18
401 California street..... 27
402 California street..... 36
427-429 California street..... 36
440 California street..... 18
454 California street..... 36
477 California street..... 54
482 California street..... 27
521 California street..... 36
522 California street..... 27
526 California street..... 36
541 California street..... 36
581 California street..... 27
582-584 California street..... 27
600 California street..... 18
619 California street..... 36
648 California street..... 27
565 Clay street..... 27
823 Clement street..... 27
637-647 Commercial street..... 45
630-632 Commercial street..... 27
655-659 Commercial street..... 36
670 Commercial street..... 36
683-685 Commercial street..... 27
26-30 Davis street..... 45
27 Davis street..... 36
38-48 Davis street..... 45
440 Dolores street..... 45
32 Eddy street..... 27
33 Eddy street..... 27
50-70 Eddy street..... 27
81-85 Eddy street..... 27
106 Eddy street..... 18
156 Eddy street..... 36
191-193 Eddy street..... 27
24 Ellis street..... 27
35 Ellis street..... 27
64 Ellis street..... 18
71-87 Ellis street..... 75
140-144 Ellis street..... 27
172-174 Ellis street..... 27
189-195 Ellis street..... 27
229 Ellis street..... 36
287-295 Ellis street..... 18
261 Fell street..... 36
29 Fifth street..... 36
40 Fifth street..... 27
53-55 Fifth street..... 36
105 Fifth street..... 18
147 Fifth street..... 36
167 Fifth street..... 36
191-195 Fifth street..... 27
194 Fifth street..... 18
25 First street..... 36
37-45 First street..... 45
50-60 First street..... 27
57 First street..... 36
62-76 First street..... 36
71-85 First street..... 36
88 First street..... 27
95-99 First street..... 27
104-110 First street..... 36
119 First street..... 36
148-150 First street..... 27
520-524 Folsom street..... 40
79-85 Fourth street..... 27
118-122 Fourth street..... 27
180-184 Fourth street..... 27
131-137 Franklin street..... 45
1500 Franklin street..... 45
18-26 Front street..... 45
33-37 Front street..... 27
105-115 Front street..... 126
122-126 Front street..... 36
136-140 Front street..... 36
150-152 Front street..... 45
95 Fulton street..... 27
47-57 Geary street..... 45
54-60 Geary street..... 27
85 Geary street..... 36
90 Geary street..... 30
101 Geary street..... 30
110 Geary street..... 27
136 Geary street..... 36
156-160 Geary street..... 27
179 Geary street..... 36
180-186 Geary street..... 30
201 Geary street..... 30
231-235 Geary street..... 36
291 Geary street..... 18
259-269 Geary street..... 45
301 Geary street..... 30
319-323 Geary street..... 45
324-334 Geary street..... 54
352-366 Geary street..... 45
369 Geary street..... 36
391-393 Geary street..... 18
401 Geary street..... 30
458-466 Geary street..... 27
465 Geary street..... 27
484-490 Geary street..... 27
828 Geary street..... 27
115 Geary street..... 27
5615 Geary street..... 27
27-31 Golden Gate avenue..... 36
30-50 Grant avenue..... 54
55 Grant avenue..... 27
105 Grant avenue..... 27
106 Grant avenue..... 36
136-144 Grant avenue..... 27
201 Grant avenue..... 27
224 Grant avenue..... 18
232 Grant avenue..... 27
239 Grant avenue..... 27

255-257 Grant avenue..... 27
315-321 Grant avenue..... 36
333 Grant avenue..... 36
347 Grant avenue..... 27
336-338 Grant avenue..... 18
415-425 Grant avenue..... 36
437-445 Grant avenue..... 36
453-455 Grant avenue..... 27
501-515 Grant avenue..... 42
541-547 Grant avenue..... 36
723 Grant avenue..... 27
1025 Grant avenue..... 27
649 Green street..... 36
500 Howard street..... 45
511-515 Howard street..... 27
527-531 Howard street..... 27
540-542 Howard street..... 36
568-570 Howard street..... 18
569-571 Howard street..... 27
592 Howard street..... 36
599 Howard street..... 24
606-608 Howard street..... 36
613-617 Howard street..... 27
635-637 Howard street..... 27
645 Howard street..... 27
648 Howard street..... 27
662-666 Howard street..... 36
683-689 Howard street..... 36
835 Howard street..... 27
851-853 Howard street..... 36
880-884 Howard street..... 36
893 Howard street..... 18
899 Howard street.....27, 20, 20
903 Howard street..... 27
914-920 Howard street..... 27
948-952 Howard street..... 36
1088 Howard street..... 27
20-38 Jones street..... 27
51 Kearny street..... 27
52 Kearny street..... 36
112-114 Kearny street..... 18
117-123 Kearny street..... 36
135 Kearny street..... 45
222 Kearny street..... 36
249-251 Kearny street..... 36
314-324 Kearny street..... 36
333 Kearny street..... 36
437 Kearny street..... 36
528 Kearny street..... 27
753 Leavenworth street..... 36
41 Market street..... 18
65 Market street..... 63
80 Market street..... 45
89 Market street..... 18
96 Market street..... 18
112 Market street..... 27
131 Market street..... 18
163 Market street..... 27
215-225 Market street..... 36
216-218 Market street..... 57
245 Market street..... 18
315 Market street..... 54
330 Market street..... 45
365 Market street..... 45
407-411 Market street..... 27
429-437 Market street..... 36
444 Market street..... 63
451-457 Market street..... 36
504-510 Market street..... 63
515 Market street..... 30
524 Market street..... 24
525 Market street..... 27
548-550 Market street..... 36
555 Market street..... 36
560-562 Market street..... 36
571 Market street..... 27
582 Market street..... 45
593 Market street..... 36
619-621 Market street..... 54
652-654 Market street..... 36
672-680 Market street..... 45
675 Market street..... 36
706 Market street..... 27
707-709 Market street..... 36
735-745 Market street..... 45
742 Market street..... 27
749 Market street..... 14
760 Market street..... 36
776-782 Market street..... 50
785 Market street..... 27
815-817 Market street..... 36
830 Market street..... 36
915 Market street..... 27
930 Market street..... 18
936 Market street..... 27
972-974 Market street..... 36
995 Market street..... 36
1034 Market street..... 27
1035 Market street..... 36
1118 Market street..... 45
1130 Market street..... 45
1161 Market street..... 36
1180 Market street..... 27
1214 Market street..... 36
1274-1276 Market street..... 36
1435-1437 Market street..... 45
1541 Market street..... 27
19-25 Mason street..... 36
30-40 Mason street..... 63
140 Mason street..... 27
157-167 Mason street..... 27
246-254 Mason street..... 27
251-253 Mason street..... 18
309-319 Mason street..... 36
341 Mason street..... 36
410-412 Mason street..... 27
425 Mason street..... 36
430 Mason street..... 27
551 Mason street..... 27
509 Mission street..... 27
516-520 Mission street..... 27
526 Mission street..... 27
546-552 Mission street..... 36
565 Mission street..... 27
587-591 Mission street..... 36
613 Mission street..... 27
639 Mission street..... 18
674 Mission street..... 27
683-685 Mission street..... 27
682-684 Mission street..... 27
693 Mission street..... 27
718 Mission street..... 63
734-740 Mission street..... 27
741 Mission street..... 27
775-777 Mission street..... 36
785-789 Mission street..... 36
788 Mission street..... 27
812 Mission street..... 63
818-822 Mission street..... 36
819 Mission street..... 18
830 Mission street..... 27
863 Mission street..... 27
891-893 Mission street..... 27
911-915 Mission street..... 36

935-937 Mission street..... 18
942-944 Mission street..... 27
959-969 Mission street..... 27
986 Mission street..... 27
991 Mission street..... 27
1013 Mission street..... 45
40 New Montgomery street..... 27
55 New Montgomery street..... 27
78-80 New Montgomery street.. 36
79 New Montgomery street..... 36
107 New Montgomery street.... 36
116-120 New Montgomery street. 36
140 New Montgomery street.... 72
151 New Montgomery street.... 18
180 New Montgomery street.... 36
1285 Oak street..... 18
26 O'Farrell street..... 36
47 O'Farrell street..... 36
73 O'Farrell street..... 54
85 O'Farrell street..... 18
98 O'Farrell street..... 36
111 O'Farrell street..... 36
165-169 O'Farrell street..... 36
181-187 O'Farrell street..... 27
216-224 O'Farrell street..... 36
219-235 O'Farrell street..... 36
255 O'Farrell street..... 27
275-279 O'Farrell street..... 27
326-330 O'Farrell street..... 27
340 O'Farrell street..... 18
351-365 O'Farrell street..... 36
354 O'Farrell street..... 18
365-376 O'Farrell street..... 36
385-395 O'Farrell street..... 18
418-428 O'Farrell street..... 36
517 O'Farrell street..... 27
2 Pine street..... 58
21 Pine street..... 45
43 Pine street..... 36
66 Pine street..... 36
104 Pine street..... 18
126 Pine street..... 18
127 Pine street..... 72
130 Pine street..... 18
135 Pine street..... 54
150-180 Pine street..... 36
216 Pine street..... 27
221-227 Pine street..... 36
228 Pine street..... 27
249 Pine street..... 27
250 Pine street..... 18
312-332 Pine street..... 45
315 Pine street..... 27
333 Pine street..... 27
356-374 Pine street..... 36
373 Pine street..... 36
403-429 Pine street..... 63
430 Pine street..... 27
444 Pine street..... 36
447-453 Pine street..... 18
460 Pine street..... 36
465 Pine street..... 18
468-480 Pine street..... 36
495 Pine street..... 18
526 Pine street..... 36
1548-1552 Polk street..... 27
1810-1836 Polk street..... 36
61-65 Post street..... 36
70 Post street..... 27
85-87 Post street..... 27
86 Post street..... 27
100 Post street..... 27
101 Post street..... 18
129 Post street..... 27
167 Post street..... 27
178 Post street..... 36
209-225 Post street..... 75
245-251 Post street..... 27
246-268 Post street..... 36
259 Post street..... 27
300-310 Post street..... 36
350 Post street..... 36
356-358 Post street..... 27
378 Post street..... 36
400 Post street..... 18
404 Post street..... 36
405 Post street..... 72
442-456 Post street..... 63
453 Post street..... 27
465 Post street..... 27
490 Post street..... 54
491 Post street..... 27
524 Post street..... 27
554 Post street..... 18
555 Post street..... 36
568 Post street..... 54
720-726 Post street..... 45
865 Post street..... 27
26 Powell street..... 27
37 Powell street..... 45
101-123 Powell street..... 36
137-141 Powell street..... 27
150 Powell street..... 36
151-159 Powell street..... 36
207-217 Powell street..... 27
222-228 Powell street..... 36
255 Powell street..... 27
402 Powell street..... 27
453-463 Powell street..... 45
623 Sacramento street..... 36
673-675 Sacramento street..... 36
674-686 Sacramento street..... 36
3 Sansome street..... 54
40 Sansome street..... 27
60 Sansome street..... 27
75 Sansome street..... 36
101-109 Sansome street..... 57
114 Sansome street..... 36
140 Sansome street..... 27
150 Sansome street..... 27
221-231 Sansome street..... 36
233-245 Sansome street..... 45
244-252 Sansome street..... 36
47-55 Sansome street..... 36
69-71 Second street..... 36
111 Second street..... 36
118 Second street..... 36
141-145 Second street..... 36
144-154 Second street..... 36
26 Seventh street..... 27
17-19 Sixth street..... 27
47-51 Sixth street..... 27
60 Sixth street..... 19
76-80 Sixth street..... 27
91-93 Sixth street..... 18
106 Sixth street..... 18
117 Sixth street..... 18
122-130 Sixth street..... 18
142-150 Sixth street..... 36
164-174 Sixth street..... 27
173-175 Sixth street..... 27
203-205 Sixth street..... 27
40 Stockton street..... 36

41-55 Stockton street..... 45
58 Stockton street..... 30
135-149 Stockton street..... 72
138 Stockton street..... 27
190 Stockton street..... 30
218-220 Stockton street..... 27
250 Stockton street..... 36
341-349 Stockton street..... 45
1343-1355 Stockton street..... 36
30-34 Sutter street..... 27
33-41 Sutter street..... 36
58 Sutter street..... 27
65-71 Sutter street..... 36
73 Sutter street..... 36
92 Sutter street..... 18
110 Sutter street..... 15
111 Sutter street..... 36
150-154 Sutter street..... 36
169 Sutter street..... 27
178 Sutter street..... 18
203 Sutter street..... 36
216-228 Sutter street..... 36
242-252 Sutter street..... 18
245 Sutter street..... 36
276 Sutter street..... 27
310-315 Sutter street..... 36
345 Sutter street..... 36
364-372 Sutter street..... 36
371-391 Sutter street..... 45
382 Sutter street..... 18
406-424 Sutter street..... 27
417-437 Sutter street..... 45
441 Sutter street..... 18
445 Sutter street..... 27
470-478 Sutter street..... 27
480 Sutter street..... 27
504-508 Sutter street..... 27
524-532 Sutter street..... 54
525 Sutter street..... 27
540 Sutter street..... 27
562-570 Sutter street..... 36
563-575 Sutter street..... 45
595 Sutter street..... 18
609 Sutter street..... 27
616-620 Sutter street..... 36
625 Sutter street..... 27
640 Sutter street..... 45
1168-1170 Sutter street..... 35
1241 Sutter street..... 12
1980 Sutter street..... 36
48-50 Taylor street..... 27
101-113 Taylor street..... 36
108 Taylor street..... 18
140-144 Taylor street..... 27
155-161 Taylor street..... 27
164 Taylor street..... 27
207-209 Taylor street..... 36
262 Taylor street..... 18
347-385 Taylor street..... 54
352 Taylor street..... 27
450 Taylor street..... 27
490 Taylor street..... 18
533 Taylor street..... 27
620 Taylor street..... 54
70-74 Third street..... 27
86 Third street..... 27
113-117 Third street..... 27
18-24 Turk street..... 36
42-48 Turk street..... 36
45 Turk street..... 27
57-71 Turk street..... 36
83-91 Turk street..... 36
50 Van Ness avenue South..... 45
512-514 Washington street..... 36

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

School Street Permit.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 28340 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to the Frank McCoppin School to rope off Seventh avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets between the hours of 8:30 and 9 a. m. and 12:30 and 1 p. m. on all school days.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28341 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it hereby is authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

South side Hill street, first and second west of Noe street.

North side Hill street between Noe and Sanchez streets.

North and south sides Sacramento street, first east of Powell street.

North side Washington street, first east of Powell street.

North side Washington street, first west of Stockton street.

South side Washington street between Stockton and Powell streets.

North side Twenty-fifth street between Dolores and Church streets.

South side Twenty-fifth street, first and second west of Dolores street.

Install 400 M. R.

North and south sides Twenty-fifth street between Dolores and Church streets.

North side Hill street between Noe and Sanchez streets.

Sacramento street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.

Gough street between Chestnut and Francisco streets.

Twenty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Judah street and Thirtieth avenue.

Washington street between Stockton and Powell streets.

Sixteenth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets.

Sixteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Sixteenth avenue and Rivera street.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Bosworth street between Marsilly and Mission streets.

Oneida avenue between Otsego and Delano avenues.

Southeast corner of Jessie and Annie streets.

North side Jessie street between Annie and New Montgomery streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Diamond street and Joost avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Award of Contract, Motor-Driven Tractors, Fire Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 28342 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing two motor-driven four-wheel tractors for Fire Department, equipped with either Sewell or equal cushion wheels, or equipped with artillery or disc wheels with pneumatic tires (style of wheel to be determined by the Board of Fire Commissioners), be hereby made, as per recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, to the Kleiber Motor Company on bid submitted October 24, 1927 (Proposal No. 331), at the price of $5,200 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $2,500 be required for the faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Award of Contract, Gymnasium Equipment, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 28343 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing gymnasium equipment for School Department on bids submitted December 27, 1927 (Proposal No. 347), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—149 sections bar stalls, installed in seventeen schools as follows, viz.:

Argonne School, Cabrillo street and Seventeenth avenue; group 1, section 6.

Crocker Junior High School, Page street near Broderick street; group 1, section 6.

Daniel Webster School, Missouri street near Nineteenth street; groups 1, 1, sections 3, 1.

Denman School, Hayes and Pierce streets; group 1, section 6.

Franklin School, Eighth street near Harrison street; groups 1, 1, sections 3, 1.

Galileo High School (girl's department), Bay street and Van Ness avenue; groups 3, 1, 1, sections 4, 5, 2.

Galileo High School (boy's department), Bay street and Van Ness avenue; groups 1, 2, 2, 1, sections 5, 5, 6, 1.

Grattan School, Shrader and Grattan streets; group 2, section 3.

Hawthorne School, Shotwell street near Twenty-third street; group 1, section 6.

Jean Parker School, Broadway near Powell street; group 1, section 6.

Laguna Honda School, Seventh avenue near Irving street; groups 1, 1, sections 4, 2.

Le Conte School, Army and Harrison streets; group 1, section 4.

Pacific Heights School, Jackson and Webster streets; group 1, section 2.

Redding School, Pine and Larkin streets; group 1, section 6.

Sanchez School, Sanchez street near Seventeenth street; group 1, section 6.

Sarah B. Cooper School, Lombard and Jones streets; group 1, section 1.

Washington Irving School, Broadway near Montgomery street; group 1, section 3.

Yerba Buena School, Greenwich street near Webster street (A. G. S. Gym. Catalog, page 13, No. 204): group 1, section 6; $21.65 per section; E. P. Finigan, contractor.

Item No. 2—15 dozen maple wands; 3½ feet by ¾-inch (A. G. S. Gym. Catalog, page 70, No. 1613), $2.76 per dozen; E. P. Finigan, contractor.

Item No. 3—2 dozen class clubs

(Medart), $3.40 per dozen; Fred Medart Mfg. Co., contractor.

Item No. 4—27 gymnasium mats, 5 x 10 feet x 2 inches. Mats to be sized and painted with three coats of gutta-percha mat paint, color, gray (A. G. S. Gym. Catalog, page 58, No. 1003), $52 each; E. P. Finigan, contractor.

Item No. 5—1 vaulting buck (A. G. S. Catalog, page 14, No. 305), $121.50 each; E. P. Finigan, contractor.

Item No. 6—1 beat board (A. G. S. Gym. Catalog, page 56, No. 925), $10 each; E. P. Finigan, contractor.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of contract be required as follows, viz.:

Fred Medart Mfg. Co., no bond required.

E. P. Finigan, amount of bond $1,000.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Award of Contract, Microscopes, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 28344 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing microscopes for School Department be hereby made to Spencer Lens Co. on bid submitted December 5, 1927 (Proposal No. 340), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—25 microscopes, compound, two objective, without cases (Spencer 64B), $60.79 each; total, $1.519.75; less 2 per cent.

Item No. 2—4 microscopes, binocular, wide field, with out cases (Spencer 51R), $86.85 each; total, $347.40; less 2 per cent.

Delivery: Galileo High School, Van Ness avenue and Bay street.

Resolved, That no bonds be required from said contractor.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Telegram.

Supervisor McSheehy declared that the following telegram had been sent to Washington:

San Francisco, January, 9, 1928.

Senator Hiram W. Johnson, Congressman Richard J. Welch, House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.

City and County of San Francisco, after exhaustive study extending over a period of years, and employment of best engineering advice obtainable, has, through its elected representatives, the Board of Supervisors, recommended the construction of a bridge across the central portion of San Francisco Bay, from Rincon Hill in San Francisco to a point near the south mole of the San Antonio Estuary in Alameda County. Our engineering advice is unanimously to the effect that a bridge which will serve the best interests of the great population around San Francisco Bay and of all Northern California must extend across the central portion of the Bay, and that any bridge which is remotely removed from this area will not solved the acute traffic problem with which we are confronted. We are advised that a bill has been introduced in Congress to provide for the construction of a bridge across the Bay at Hunter's Point. We desire respectfully to call your attention to the fact that several applications for a franchise to construct a bridge over approximately the same route have been pending before this Board of Supervisors for many months, and that no such franchise has been granted because our engineers have advised us that such a bridge will not meet the vital needs of the Bay community. We desire to be recorded as unalterably opposed to the construction of a bridge at this location until after a bridge has been constructed which will accommodate the great volume of commuter traffic which now flows daily back and forth across San Francisco Bay by means of ferry boats. Please file this formal objection, duly adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, with

the Senate and House of Representatives.

(Signed)
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Report of Supervisor James B. McSheehy, Chairman of Bridge Committee, Sent on to Washington on December 5, 1927, to Present Bridge Bill to Senator Johnson and Congressman Welch.

January 16, 1928.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

As chairman of the Bridge Committee, I was authorized by Resolution No. 28135 (New Series), December 5, 1927, to present to the Congress of the United States a bill granting consent to the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, its successors and assigns, to construct, maintain and operate a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco, State of California, from Rincon Hill to a point near the South Mole of the San Antonio Estuary, in the County of Alameda, in said State. On December 14, 1927, I presented this bill to Senator Hiram W. Johnson and to Congressman Richard J. Welch, and I am pleased to report that both of these gentlemen presented the same to the Senate and to the House of Representatives with a statement of the following facts:

1. The bridge is necessary for the safety and convenience of the public.

2. The bridge is indispensable to the economic growth of Northern California.

In amplification of the above, there was submitted the following argument:

"San Francisco Bay is overcrowded with ferries. Shipping in San Francisco Bay is second in point of volume only to that of the harbor of New York. Over 11,000 vessels passed into and out of the harbor last year. Eighteen lines of ferries, making an aggregate of over 850 crossings daily, convey 50,000 people over the bay twice a day across the main lines of commercial shipping. In 1926 ferry passengers of interurban type between San Francisco and the east bay cities numbered 43,550,678; main line passenger trips numbered 1,676,518. This creates a navigation menace. The menace is great and is constantly increasing. It is enhanced by frequent and sometimes very heavy fogs. The time required to cross the bay by ferry, 18 minutes, is unduly long. This bay ferry traffic constitutes a distinct detriment to business and a grave hardship upon business people. Automobile traffic, now so important to the growth of City and State, is impeded. In 1926 over 2,500,000 automobiles were transported by ferry between Oakland and San Francisco. Congestion of automobiles in highway approaches to and from the City is frequent and often acute. San Francisco and adjacent cities and towns of the peninsula suffer from lack of direct and unbroken road connections with highways across the bay.

"The bridge as planned by a Board of Engineers, the personnel of which was recommended by the presidents of four universities of the State of California, represents months of intensive study. It will be of double deck and will accommodate foot and vehicular travel, as well as suburban trains, to which may be diverted traffic from all main lines of passenger transportation. It will be 12,000 feet long, will have 20 spans, two of these with a horizontal clearance of 1250 feet each. It will have vertical clearance of 150 feet over 2½ miles of deep water of the bay. This vertical clearance is 15 feet greater than the four bridges over the East river at New York and the Philadelphia-Camden bridge over the Delaware river. There will be one movable span, which is expected will be used only on rare occasions.

"Shipping men are agreed that the bridge will not interfere with shipping. Indeed, they approve and urge the bridge on the ground that it will be an aid to shipping. The bridge will not interfere with the proposed United States naval base at Alameda. The City of Alameda, by proper resolution, has endorsed the proposed bridge and is joining in the application for a permit to construct the bridge at the location specified. There will be no interference with Oakland harbor or with present plans for the development of that harbor. On the San Francisco side of the bay most of the wharves and by far the largest lie north of the bridge and are not affected thereby. The Board of Engineers are emphatic in saying in their report that there will be 'no interference with shipping in any part of the bay.'

"San Francisco Bay, with its area of 463 square miles, is one of the

largest natural harbors on the globe; the area of deep water available for anchorage is sufficient to accommmodate the navies of the world. Clearances provided by the proposed bridge are more than sufficient to pass the largest ships in the United States Navy. The line of the bridge crosses over the northern portion of the United States fleet anchorage as that anchorage is depicted on paper; this can be obviated by moving the anchorage about 1000 yards southward, where ample deep water anchorage exists.

"Within the last two years twenty-six applications for a franchise to construct a bridge across the bay have been made to the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco. Perhaps every possible route and every possible type of bridge have been suggested. Voluminous maps, drawings, engineering digests and blue prints have been filed. Discussion of and arguments on these applications progressed before the Board of Supervisors for over six months. Many noteworthy engineers prepared or contributed to the plans and discussions. The entire subject matter, therefore, has been inquired into most exhaustively. Much money has been spent in research. San Francisco itself has spent some $50,000 of municipal monies in an endeavor to determine the very best type of bridge and the very best location. It is the sincere belief of City officials that the bridge as now planned between Rincon Hill and Alameda Mole represents the very best of engineering advice and legal counsel.

"This San Francisco transbay bridge enterprise is neither provincial in its conception nor local in its significance. San Francisco is the financial center of the great Pacific Coast. Her bank clearings are greater than those of any city west of Chicago. Nearly two millions of people are concentrated within 50 miles of her borders. With her destiny is bound up vitally the destiny of many, if not most, of the populous communities of the West. Her success is their success, her prosperity is their prosperity. The transbay bridge will promote the growth and serve the convenience of them all. Indeed, it is the expectation and the hope that it will be of service and advantage to the entire country. The Chief Executive of this nation in one of his first and most important utterances since the opening of the present Congress stressed the fact that: 'It cannot be too often said that this is all one country. Agriculture, industry, transportation and finance should realize,' he stated, 'that they are interdependent and that each may prosper by extending its services to the others.' San Francisco wants to extend its services to others in this matter of transportation. She wants to make straight and safe the path that leads to her door. She is not fearful of the financial burden that she may have to bear or the construction problems that she may have to solve. She is serene in her confidence that she can meet all these. She wants to be brought into closer contact with her sister cities and towns of the nation; she wants these cities and towns to be brought into closer contact with her. She aspires to make contribution to the convenience, safety and prosperity of the people of City, State and nation. Her aspirations have been fostered and encouraged by the entire State of California; they have received particular encouragement from the people of Northern California. The cities and towns of the entire San Francisco Bay region are in complete accord with her bridge plan and route. From no civic body, from no political subdivision, from no commercial organization has come any word of dissent. San Francisco has the hearty and undivided cooperation of her sister cities. All she now asks is Congressional permission as defined in the accompanying bill. Granted this she will proceed with all possible expedition to construct across San Francisco Bay a bridge which will serve millions of people of the present generation and anticipate the needs of added millions of people of generations to come.

"Very sincerely yours,

"JAMES B. McSHEEHY."

Senator Johnson and Congressman Welch were so impressed with this statement of facts that they had the same incorporated in the records, which is very unusual, as it takes the unanimous consent of both houses to incorporate in any record a statement of any kind pertaining to the subject matter set forth in any bill. This rule is rigidly enforced, and I feel that we were very fortunate in having it suspended so that our statement could be incorporated with the bill. It will allow us to have copies printed and sent personally to all of the Senators and Congressmen. In the Congressional Record of December 15, 1927, on page 685, you will find the printed statement as set forth in this report. The bill

was read twice and referred to the Committee on Commerce in the Senate and in the House it was referred to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, and is known as Bill S. 1762.

On December 22, 1927, Senator Johnson sent the following letter, to-wit:

December 22, 1927.

"My dear Senator Dale:

"On the 15th of December I introduced in the Senate a bill (S. 1762) providing for the construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay. This proposed structure is one of the largest in the United States, and, viewed from the standpoint of the people of San Francisco, a matter of the greatest importance. Permission to construct this bridge has been denied by the Navy Department, and San Francisco, and the people of San Francisco, and the territory adjacent to the Bay of San Francisco, are appealing to Congress for the requisite federal permission. As I stated to the Commerce Committee at its first meeting, my hope is that the bill will be presented to the appropriate department and appropriate government engineers with a request that a full and detailed report upon the construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay shall be made to the committee by the engineers and the Navy Department, and that thereafter San Francisco may have the opportunity to present evidence in support of the measure and in answer to any objections which may be stated by the departments. I would request, therefore, that the bill be transmitted by your Committee on Bridges, which was appointed by Chairman Jones at our first meeting, to the Navy Department, with the request that as full and detailed report be made thereon and thereafter the matter may be taken up in such fashion as you may deem appropriate.

"Sincerely yours,

"HIRAM W. JOHNSON."

This letter was received by Senator Dale, and the purport of the same was transmitted to the Agricultural and War Departments. On January 4, 1928, Senator W. L. Jones, chairman of the Committee on Commerce, received the following letter, to-wit:

"January 4, 1928.

"Honorable W. L. Jones, Chairman, Committee on Commerce, United States Senate.

"Dear Senator:

"Receipt is acknowledged of your letter of December 20, transmitting a copy of a bill (S. 1762) with the request that the committee be furnished with such suggestions touching its merits and the propriety of its passage as the department might deem appropriate.

"This bill would authorize the City and County of San Francisco, their successors and assigns, to construct, maintain and operate a bridge and approaches thereto across the Bay of San Francisco, from Rincon Hill in said City and County to a point near the south mole of San Antonio Estuary in the County of Alameda, State of California. The location of the proposed bridge is more specifically set out in Section 2 of the bill. Under the provisions of Sections 3, 4 and 5 the City and County of San Francisco would have the right to assign the authority to construct the bridge to a bridge and highway district organized under the laws of California, or to a governmental agency heretofore or hereafter created by the United States or the State of California, or to a person, firm or private corporation furnishing satisfactory guaranty that the construction of the bridge would be diligently prosecuted, and that after completion it would be maintained and operated in accordance with law. If any such assignment should be made to a person, firm or private corporation, the said City and County of San Francisco, or a bridge and highway district, or a governmental agency heretofore or hereafter created by the United States or the State of California, with authority to construct, maintain and operate a bridge, would have the right to purchase the bridge under conditions which the City and County of San Francisco may impose at the time of making the assignment. Before any such assignment shall be made, however, the proposition so to do shall be submitted to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco at a general or special election, and shall only be authorized if a majority of the voters voting upon the proposition shall favor the same.

"The bill contains no provision for regulation of the tolls or for controlling the financing and promotion charges in case assignment should be made to a person, firm or private corporation. It is suggested that a provision be inserted limiting the financing and promotion costs in case of such assignment to not exceed ten per cent of the sum of the cost of constructing the bridge and its approaches and the cost of acquiring such real

estate as may be necessary for the proper location, construction, operation and maintenance of the bridge. It is suggested also that the bill be amended to limit the encumbrances that may be placed against the bridge in case of assignment to a person, firm or private corporation, so that the total of all such encumbrances shall not exceed the sum of the cost of constructing the bridge and its approaches, and the cost of acquiring such necessary real estate, plus not to exceed ten per cent for financing and promotion costs.

"Aside from the foregoing, the bill is without objection so far as this department is concerned.

"Sincerely,

"Secretary's File Room,
"R. W. DUNLAP,
"Acting Secretary."

Senator Johnson transmitted copies of Senator Jones' letter from the Agricultural Department to Mayor James Rolph, Jr., City Attorney O'Toole and Judge Matt I. Sullivan, stating that to date, January 7, 1928, he had not received any reply from the War Department, and just as soon as he did receive a reply he would communicate with us. As chairman of the Bridge Committee, I will call a meeting and counsel with Mayor Rolph, City Attorney O'Toole and Judge Matt I. Sullivan just as soon as I receive a report from the War Department as to their objections to the bill. If the bill has to be amended, I will ask the sanction of this Board for said amendment.

On January 6, 1928, Congressman Morin introduced Bill No. H. R. 8712, giving permission to Frank E. Webb to erect a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco at or near the extension of Oakdale avenue, near Shag Rock, at or near Hunters Point, San Francisco County, on the north, and Visitacion Point, San Mateo County, on the south, to a point south of Park street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, California. Through the Clerk of this Board a telegram was sent to Senator Johnson and Congressman Welch on January 9 protesting against the granting of this permit to Frank E. Webb. On January 7, 1928, I received the following telegram from Congressman Richard J. Welch: "Bill introduced by Representative Morin, chairman of Committee on Military Affairs, on behalf of Colonel Webb, for a bridge at Hunters Point, is to my mind an idle act. As you know there is no objection to such a bridge. This action will in no way change our plan of campaign. Doran forwarding printed copy of Morin bill and other data air mail today. Richard J. Welch, M. C." I was more than pleased to see that it would in no way change our plan in the presentation of our bill.

On leaving San Francisco I took with me Mr. John Doran, a young San Francisco attorney, whom I appointed secretary of the Bridge Committee. He is doing good work there, and through the courtesy of Congressman Welch he has been allowed the privilege of using a desk in his office.

With Mr. Doran on the job in Washington, and with the cooperation we are receiving from our Senators and Congressmen, I feel that a permit will be granted to San Francisco to erect a bridge across the San Francisco Bay.

Respectfully,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
Supervisor.

Senate Bill 1762.

In the Senate of the United States, December 15, 1927, Mr. Johnson introduced the following bill, which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

A bill granting consent to the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, its successors and assigns, to construct, maintain and operate a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco from Rincon Hill to a point near the South Mole of San Antonio Estuary, in the County of Alameda, in said State.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, that the consent of Congress is hereby granted to the City and County of San Francisco, its successors and assigns, to construct, maintain and operate a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco, and approaches thereto, from Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco to a point near the South Mole of San Antonio Estuary in the County of Alameda, in said State.

Section 2. The bridge shall be placed on the location described as bridge location numbered 1 in the report and plans of Robert Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot and John D. Galloway, Board of Engineers, filed in the office of the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco on the 5th day of May, 1927, and a certified copy of which report is on file in the office of the Secretary of War of the United States; provided, that the bridge location may be varied

therefrom to any line within a zone of two thousand feet wide, the northerly limits of which shall be five hundred feet northerly from the said location numbered 1 and parallel thereto, and the southerly limits of which shall be one thousand five hundred feet southerly from said location numbered 1 and parallel thereto, depending upon the nature and location of adequate foundations, to be determined by soundings.

Section 3. The City and County of San Francisco may assign the right hereby granted to a bridge and highway district organized under the laws of the State of California, or to a governmental agency heretofore or hereafter created by the United States or the State of California, or to a person, firm or private corporation who will give satisfactory guaranty that the work of constructing said bridge shall be diligently prosecuted, and that after completion, said bridge shall be maintained and operated in accordance with law.

Section 4. In the event that the assignment of the right hereby granted to a person, firm or private corporation, and the construction of said bridge and appurtenances thereto by said assignee or successor in interest of the City and County of San Francisco, the said City and County of San Francisco, or a bridge and highway district or a governmental agency heretofore or hereafter created by the United States or the State of California, with authority to construct, maintain or operate a bridge, shall have the right to purchase the same under conditions that the City and County of San Francisco may impose at the time of making any assignment of the right herein granted to construct and maintain said bridge.

Section 5. Before an assignment by the City and County of San Francisco of the right hereby granted, the proposition to make such assignment shall be submitted to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco at a general election, or a special election called for the purpose. If a majority of the voters voting upon the proposition shall favor the assignment, such assignment shall be accordingly made, subject to the provisions of this act.

Section 6. Before commencing the construction of said bridge the builder thereof shall submit to the Secretary of War for his approval plans and specifications for its construction, together with such drawings of the proposed construction and such map of the proposed location as may be required for a full understanding of the subject. The height, length of spans, and location of said bridge shall be approved by the Secretary of War and Chief of Engineers if found to be in accordance with the report and plan of said Board of Engineers, hereinbefore referred to, subject to any reasonable modification thereof as may be found necessary after the nature of the foundations has been determined by borings.

Section 7. Upon the completion of said bridge and appurtenances thereto, in accordance with said report and plan of said Board of Engineers, the same shall be deemed and treated as a lawfully constructed bridge, notwithstanding the provisions of that certain Act of Congress, approved March 23, 1906, Chapter 1130, entitled "An Act to regulate the construction of bridges over navigable waters." The consent hereby given shall be a conclusive determination by Congress that said bridge, constructed in accordance with the report and plan of said Board of Engineers, is not an obstruction to the free navigation of the waters of the Bay of San Francisco.

Section 8. The work of constructing said bridge shall be commenced within three years from date of the passage of this act, and shall be completed within seven years from date of commencement; provided, however, that the Secretary of War may, in his discretion, extend the time for the commencement or completion of said work.

Death of Former Supervisor Charles A. Murdock.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 28345 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors learns with deep regret of the death of Charles A. Murdock, a former member of this Board, member of the Assembly of the State of California, member of the Board of Education and Civil Service Commission, in which capacities he served with ability and honor, possessing many characteristics which endeared him to his friends and made him a most worthy citizen; and

Whereas, it is fitting for us to express our sincere sorrow for his departure and the highest regard for all that he has done for his City and State; therefore, be it

Resolved, That we extend to those near and dear to him our

heartfelt sympathy; to them he has left the heritage of a good name; to us the pleasant memory of an earnest, sincere and kindly gentleman; and be it

Further Resolved, That when we adjourn we do so as a tribute of respect to his memory; that this memorial be spread on the minutes of the Board and that a copy be sent to the family.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that when the Board adjourns it do so out of respect to the memory of the sister-in-law of Supervisor Schmidt, who had recently passed away.

Motion *carried unanimously by rising vote.*

Community Chest Campaign Endorsed.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 28346 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Community Chest of San Francisco will conduct a campaign between the 27th day of February and the 9th day of March, 1928, for the purpose of securing funds to carry forward the work of that organization for the ensuing year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby endorse the work and efforts of said organization and urges the general public to support their campaign and to contribute generously toward the fund desired by said Community Chest.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Remarks of Supervisor McSheehy Relative to Tentative Plans for the War Memorial and Opera House.

Supervisor McSheehy: May I say this to the members, I have two matters that I would like to take up. May I say to the members of the Board and to the citizens present that I have here the plans of the Opera House, also the plans of the War Memorial, one on a large scale and one on a small scale. Some eight or nine months ago the City of San Francisco bonded itself for four million dollars, and you know through private subscriptions something like $1,800,000 or thereabouts was raised for the purpose of erecting and purchasing the land and so forth for these two buildings. The land has been purchased for practically in and around $800,000, and today we have practically five million dollars to erect these two buildings. Now, the War Memorial trustees have gone on—I happen to be a member of that body—and they have prepared these plans. They are in skelton form. The trustees had a meeting last Tuesday, and I was requested to present this matter to you, the Board of Supervisors, and these plans showing the progress that they are making. There has been no real criticism, but there has been, in a slight way, some criticism as to the marking of time in reference to the erection of these two buildings. We all know the necessity of the two buildings, we know what they mean to San Francisco, and I am more than pleased today to be able to present these plans. I am going to leave them here with the Clerk, they can be examined by any member of the Board, they are only in a skeleton form, remember, they are not permanent plans, but they are plans that have gone as far as the trustees have up to date. I invite every member of the Board to examine them, I invite any citizen in San Francisco to examine them, I invite the press to examine them, and if there is any criticism, criticize them as you see fit. But I particularly want to report the progress that we are making, and I feel that we are making great progress today in reference to the proper presentation of these plans to the Board. I have great hopes that within the next couple of months contracts will be let for the excavation and perhaps the foundations—and now remember I said, within a couple of months, and I say two months, not several—and during that time there are some legal difficulties that must be ironed out between this Board and the Board of Trustees, and so I hope that, inside of sixty days, those legal difficulties will be ironed out and that the work will be started to the satisfaction of all. I am going to leave the plans with the Clerk and I invite all to examine them.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Supervisor, are these plans now approved by the representatives of the veterans' organizations and the trustees of the War Memorial?

Supervisor McSheehy: No, Mr. Supervisor, they are not approved, they are simply in skeleton form, in a form that they can be altered in any shape or manner. They are

not approved by the trustees and they are not approved by the veterans' organizations, they are a basis to start something with.

Supervisor Todd: The preliminary sketch?

Supervisor McSheehy: Preliminary sketches.

Supervisor Toner: Who drew them up?

Supervisor McSheehy: They have been drawn up entirely under the jurisdiction of the trustees under the supervision of Bakewell & Brown, the architects who drew the plans for this wonderful building.

Supervisor Havenner: Are they ready now for a discussion and an agreement or disagreement on the part of the trustees and the veterans' organizations?

Supervisor McSheehy: I would say, to some degree, yes, Mr. Supervisor. They are in this tentative form so they can be gone over and so that we will have an idea about what will be the intention of the trustees in the matter.

Supervisor Todd: Did not we have an agreement with the veterans' organizations that we would take no action until after they have approved the plans?

Supervisor McSheehy: I would say, in answer to that, that I am not asking for any action tonight. A division of the veterans' organizations is to meet and I have been invited to attend that meeting and I feel that within the next four or five weeks that the veterans' organizations will approve of these plans or make certain alterations so that they will be approved by them. The trustees and all concerned are very anxious to get this started.

Supervisor Todd: Is it up to this Board to make the final approval of the plans?

Supervisor McSheehy: It is.

Supervisor Havenner: Cannot these proceedings be taken into the hands of this Board now? I find around the City a good deal of uncertainty as to just what is being done with this whole project. The people do not seem to understand it. This Board has been accused repeatedly of delay on various matters, and here is a delay for which we are not in any way responsible. I think we ought to assume the responsibility at the earliest possible moment and bring this matter into the hands of the Board and let any differences of opinion between the trustees and the veterans' organizations be thrashed out in our presence.

Supervisor Todd: That was what I was going to suggest, that we call a meeting.

Supervisor McSheehy: I would ask that this Board take no action whatsoever today. The trustees will meet again tomorrow. I will report as a member of this Board and as a member of the trustees, and perhaps a week from today we could be able to take some action that would mean something. In the meantime I want to leave these plans so that you can all familiarize yourselves with just what is being done in the matter.

Supervisor Stanton: I would like to make a little statement that has some relation to this. The other day some people called me up, music lovers, that happened to be at our concert the other night at the Civic Auditorium, and they began to criticize about us not having a decent place to hold those concerts. They went on to suggest that either the ventilating system or something was wrong in the building, and there was considerable pumping and hammering all night long, which disturbed the concert. After investigating I found that it was the pile driver working across the street, and I wanted to call your attention to the fact that, possibly, the next time, or whenever you have anything of that kind on again, it might be well to see if you could not stop those people from working while the concert was on.

Supervisor McSheehy: I happen to be chairman of the Auditorium Committee and I gladly acquiesce in that.

Supervisor Stanton: Have you been told about that?

Supervisor McSheehy: No, I did not attend the concert only for a short time, but I can say this, that there were eight or ten thousand people there.

Supervisor Stanton: A lot of those people thought that that noise was in the building.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will tell the superintendent and I think that the Bank of Italy, who are erecting the building across the street, will cooperate with us.

Relative to Golden Gate Bridge.

Supervisor Stanton inquired as to Golden Gate bridge project and the Clerk was directed to get information and make statement of status.

Supervisor Stanton's suggestion that a committee of three be appointed to consider the matter was met by Supervisor Roncovieri's statement that the Golden Gate bridge project was under the jurisdiction of the Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 1:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 23, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 4

Monday, January 23, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 23, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 23, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of January 3 and 9, 1928, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Proposed Building Law Amendment, Flat Steel Panels for Roofing.

Supervisor Suhr presented:

Communication, from Truscon Steel Company, requesting an amendment to the Building Law relative to the use of flat steel panels for roof-decking on certain types of buildings.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Conference on Motor Vehicle Operation on Highways.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Communication, from County Supervisors' Association, advising of meeting in Fresno, January 30, 1928, at 9 a. m. Commercial Club of committee of engineers to consider motor vehicle operation on highways and urging attendance of San Francisco delegates.

Read and *chairman authorized to appoint committee of three Supervisors and Assistant City Engineer Healey to attend.*

(*Supervisors Todd, Gallagher and Suhr appointed.*)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28347 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $810.

(2) Jas. A. Nelson, furnishing and installing motor vacuum pump in public library (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1,680.

(3) Mullen Mfg. Co., book shelving, counters, etc. (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1,428.93.

(4) Foster & Futernick Co., library book binding (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1.557.15.

(5) San Francisco News Co., library periodicals (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $4,382.48.

(6) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $3,106.83.

(7) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1,682.86.

Park Fund.

(8) The McGilvray-Raymond Granite Co., repairing and repointing stone work, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Jan. 13. 1928), $712.84.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(9) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., five centrifugal pumps (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $612.50.

(10) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Jan. 5, 1928), $655.72.

(11) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $805.88.

(12) Independent Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $937.82.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $725.22.

(14) Pacific States Electric Co., copper wire (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $586.91.

(15) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium for account Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $4,268.07.

(16) St. Louis Power Shovel Co., one steel twelve-ton shovel, motor driven (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $7,117.65.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(17) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services, South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $603.45.

(18) John Reid, Jr., ninth payment, architectural services, Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $549.35.

(19) John Reid, Jr., fourteenth payment, architectural services, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,291.52.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(20) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., printing 700 Sunset tunnel bonds (claim dated Dec. 29, 1927), $725.

Special School Tax.

(21) Knittle Bros., third payment, mechanical equipment for South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $3,309.09.

(22) Park Commissioners, for care of school grounds (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,300.

Duplicate Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(28) Sol Getz & Sons, refund of duplicate payments of Sunset tunnel assessments (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $670.68.

Bernal Cut Bond Issue.

(24) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, part payment for legal services in matter of Bernal Cut Bond Issue (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $700.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(25) Railroad Commission of State of California, January expense of valuation of S. F. electric properties (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $2,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(26) Travers Surgical Co., supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $565.22.

(27) McCarthy Bros., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $535.

(28) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $679.40.

(29) P. J. Moliterno & Co., potatoes, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $618.99.

(30) Building Supplies Co., towels, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $703.70.

(31) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928). $597.55.

(32) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $586.85.

(33) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $732.43.

(34) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $2,409.59.

(35) California Meat Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $537.30.

(36) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $2,205.88.

(37) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $5,169.75.

(38) J. T. Freitas & Co., butter, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,442.07.

(39) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs, etc., Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,604.77.

(40) Hercules Equipment Co., rubber sheets, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,000.

(41) McCarthy Bros., coffee, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $535.

(42) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $970.12.

(43) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $2,481.14.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $559.53.

(45) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,929.99.

(46) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $521.07.

(47) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $944.98.

(48) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $3,264.60.

(49) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,387.28.

(50) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,383.68.

(51) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $33,880.47.

(52) Little Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $12,964.50.

(53) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $4,362.60.

(54) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $8,304.03.

(55) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $1,165.

(56) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $8,534.93.

(57) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $767.72.

(58) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $2,685.73.

(59) Peter Sorenson, first and final payment, for construction of concrete roadway at Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $2,285.

(60) Lottie M. Neil, final payment, installation of safety lane markers, Contract No. 2 (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $1,378.19.

(61) Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $1,075.

(62) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., December street lighting (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $56,199.39.

(63) City Coal Co., coal and wood for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $581.65.

(64) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $916.68.

(65) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $749.10.

(66) M. Greenberg's Sons, castings and hydrant parts for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $792.72.

(67) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $2,445.95.

(68) Shell Company, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $958.57.

(69) Phillips Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $2,167.25.

(70) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1,710.76.

(71) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $4,581.98.

(72) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,946.35.

(73) J. P. Holland, Inc., grading Thirty-eighth avenue, Taraval to Santiago streets (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,350.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(74) California Development Association, maintenance of San Francisco Exhibit and Information Bureau at Ferry Building (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $1,000.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(75) Bethlehem Steel Co., tie plates and spikes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $1,546.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(76) John P. Dolly, in full settlement of claim for injuries by Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $3,850.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(77) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $525.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(78) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of Revolving Fund, as per vouchers attached (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,317.21.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(79) A. Lettich Co., plumbing fixtures for Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $1,375.50.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(80) Rudolph G. Theurkauf & Bro., designing and building floral float for Pasadena Tournament of Roses, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $932.94.

Boulevard Bond Fund.

(81) Steam Shovel & Trucking Contractors, for dirt fill and fence construction in San Bruno avenue, for account of Bay Shore Highway (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $2,556.85.

County Road Fund.

(82) Schultz Construction Co., final payment for improvement of Grand avenue between Elizabeth and Stanton streets (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $3,187.35.

(83) I. M. Sommer & Co., for paving additional width of twenty feet on Silver avenue from Mission

street to Alemany boulevard (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $6,000.

(84) Market Street Railway Co., for improvement of roadway at Sloat boulevard and Junipero Serra boulevard, City's portion (claim dated Jan. 10, 1928), $828.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, Tax Refund Judgments.

Resolution No. 28348 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments, Appropriation 59," and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being one-tenth of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) Percy E. Towne, fourth payment, as attorney for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Jan. 8, 1928), $1,844.76.

(2) Charles W. Slack and Edgar T. Zook, as attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $3,847.59.

(3) Southern Pacific Co., first payment (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $17,213.17.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Appropriations, Preventorium and Emergency Hospital.

Resolution No. 28349 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter designated accounts and credited to Laguna Honda Home, Budget Item No. 844, Appropriation 44-B; being reimbursements for supplies furnished and work performed, to-wit:

From "Preventorium," San Mateo County, Budget Item No. 57, for meats and bread furnished, $745.

From "Emergency Hospitals," Budget Item No. 1012, for laundry work performed, $771.28.

Total, $1,516.28.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $750, for Constructing Bulkhead at Bridge, Beale and Harrison Streets.

Resolution No. 28350 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $750 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Repairs to Bridges, Budget Item No. 37, for the construction of bulkhead at the bridge, Beale and Harrison streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $5,000, Payment to Mrs. F. Hutton for Land and Improvements Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28351 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Land Fund, and authorized in payment to Mrs. F. Hutton; being payment for land and improvements commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 415 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly on the easterly line of Cook street 25 feet and 11 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28306 (New Series), required for school purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued for one week*:

Appropriation, $878.70, Payment to Crocker First National Bank, Fiscal Agents.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $878.77 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, and authorized in payment to the Crocker National Bank of San Francisco, being payment for account of

expense as fiscal agents for the City and County of San Francisco.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over until February* 6, 1928:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Lakewood avenue, extending to a depth of 200 feet along Fairfield way to a depth of 202.388 feet along Lakewood avenue, also the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Lakewood avenue and Manor drive, extending to a depth of 105 feet along Lakewood avenue and to a depth of 110.167 feet along Manor drive, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 28352 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

H. R. Sorenson, south side of Grove street, 175 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Enterprise Oil Burner Co., west side of Fourteenth street, 360 feet north of Harrison street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sprague & Sprague, west side of West Portal avenue, south of Fifteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Rock, west side of Twenty-ninth avenue, 50 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Rude, 145 Commonwealth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Geo. E. Steninger, 291 Magellan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, south side of Clement street, 45 feet east of Fifteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Associated Charities, northeast corner of Eddy and Gough streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Oyens, east side of Twentieth avenue, 237 feet south of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Elliott Building Co., west side of Fillmore street, 125 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mercantile Silk Co., 245 Natoma street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Bourdet, 1029 McAllister street, 2000 gallons capacity.

E. A. Cornely, Inc., 1452 Bush street, 750 gallons capacity.

Berendsen & Nahman, 1452 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28353 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That George C. Kuker be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Candido Lucci by Resolution No. 27840 (New Series) for premises at 520 Chestnut street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Resolution No. 28354 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thos. M. Bynon be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted W. W. Sawdon for premises at 650 Divisadero street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 28355 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Arguello boulevard and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Conlon be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the south side of Mission street, 275 feet east of Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28356 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Geo. Collopy be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Masonic avenue and St. Rose's street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued for two weeks*:

Fireproof Roofing Ordinance Amendment.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as "The Building Law," relating to the fireproof roonng limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Section 4. The roofs of all buildings hereafter constructed within the limits hereinafter in this section described shall consist of fire-resistant materials, and whenever the covering of the roof or roofs of any building or buildings heretofore constructed within the said limits, shall, in the judgment of the Board of Public Works, be or become damaged through fire, decay or otherwise, to the extent of twenty (20) per centum of the area of the said covering of the roof or roofs, then the covering of the roof or roofs shall be reconstructed of or replaced with fire-resistant materials. Said fire-resistant materials shall consist of the same materials required for the roof coverings of all buildings erected within the fire limits of the City and County.

Subsection 1. Said limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the easterly boundary of the Presidio Reservation; thence southerly and westerly along the boundary line of the Presidio Reservation to the shore line of the Pacific Ocean; thence along the shore line of the Pacific Ocean westerly and southerly to its intersection with the County line; thence easterly along said County line to its intersection with Mission street; thence along the center line of Mission street to its intersection with Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly along the center line of Twenty-fifth street to the center line of Potrero avenue; thence along the center line of Potrero avenue to the center line of Division street; thence along the

center line of Division street to the center line of King street; thence northeasterly along the center line of King street to the center line of Seventh street; thence southerly along the center line of Seventh street to the center line of Channel street; thence northerly along the center line of Channel street to the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco; thence following the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco to the point of commencement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $70,332.88, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $34.71.

California School for Blind, clothing for S. F. ward, $10.21.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of George Enesco, violin soloist, Municipal Pop Concert of January 12 (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $1,000.

Park Fund.

(2) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $1,108.87.

(3) W. K. McMillan, "Caterpillar" hire at McCoppin Square (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $577.50.

(4) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $866.92.

(5) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $832.61.

(6) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream furnished for parks (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $613.56.

Playground Fund.

(7) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of tennis courts at West Portal Playground (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $950.

(8) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of tennis courts at the Julius Kahn Playground (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $2,266.60.

(9) Klawans & McMullin, playground supplies (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $1,605.

Highway-Boulevard Bond Issue.

(10) J. P. Holland, Inc., hauling of sand for the Great Highway (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $598.20.

(11) M. Stulsaft Co., pipe and valves for Great Highway (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $2,486.89.

Hetch Hetchy Operavtive Revenue Fund.

(12) John J. Dailey, legal services for January, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $850.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(13) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, for depreciation as per Charter requirements (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $14,583.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(14) Wiley B. Allen Co., piano for Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $1,766.20.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(15) Latourette-Fical Co., thirteenth payment, plumbing work, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $1,248.53.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(16) Robert W. Jamison, fourth payment, furnishing and installing concrete trolley poles, Sunset Line (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $10,039.40.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(For Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(17) C. F. Braun & Co., five water cooling towers (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $2,400.

(18) Degler & Jackson, gravel

furnished and hauled (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $770.

(19) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat furnished (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,208.07.

(20) A. H. Gibbons, concrete mix (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $909.

(21) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $509.40.

(22) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, six special rock buckets (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $545.06.

(23) Independent Lumber Co., cement and lumber (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $507.30.

(24) Kaiser Paving Co., rent of machinery (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $1,067.

(25) Livermore Meat Market, meat furnished (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $873.79.

(26) Peter Moy Co., land and easements in San Joaquin County (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $5,515.

(27) Safety Cable Co., copper wire (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $554.03.

(28) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $5,325.

(29) St. Louis Power Shovel Co., mucker parts (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $699.03.

(30) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, twelfth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $21,560.52.

(31) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., thirteenth payment, construcction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $30,276.42.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(32) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $531.78.

(33) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $7,522.17.

(34) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $1,764.94.

(35) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement, per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $1,578.16.

(36) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $3,426.49.

(37) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power furnished (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $38,918.51.

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59.

(38) Tobin & Tobin, attorneys for judgment creditors, fifth payment of one-tenth of amount of judgment, including interest, as per schedule attached, and as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $7,200.82.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(39) Hood & Strong, services rendered examining books of Board of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $850.

(40) The Wright Corporation, progress payment, dredging the Yacht Harbor (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $7,875.

(41) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of Civic Center (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $4,415.60.

(42) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of bridle path (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $976.66.

(43) The Wright Corporation, rock fill, Yecht Harbor (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $3,748.80.

(44) Monson Bros., repairs to Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $6,789.

(45) Sonoma State Home, December maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $8,945.

(46) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $600.

(47) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Jan 23, 1928), $1,095.84.

(48) James G. Stafford and associates, ninth payment, appraisal of taxable improvements, per contract (claim dated Jan. 19, 1928), $6,513.50.

(49) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $686.09.

(50) Dion R. Holm, January legal services, telephone rate case (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $850.

(51) N. Randall Ellis, January engineering services, telephone rate case (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $750.

(52) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $575.04.

(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $638.85.

(54) Municipal Railway Operative Fund, reimbursement to cover cost of material used in reconstruction of tracks on West Portal avenue (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $2,715.67.

(55) Clarence B. Eaton, first payment, installing extension to high-pressure water system, Butchertown District (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $6,600.

(56) Acme Card System Co., office equipment for Police Department,

account of Traffic Bureau (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $1,392.

(57) James Rolph, Jr., for Mayor's incidentals (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $523.75.

(58) A. J. Norman, M. D., room allowance for employees of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $2,887.43.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream for hospitals (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $9,333.58.

(60) Braun-Knecht-Heimann Co., laboratory equipment for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $690.17.

(61) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1,418.85.

(62) R. L. Scherer Co., fluoroscope, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $4,886.35.

(63) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $3,468.41.

(64) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs and butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $4,737.90.

(65) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruits, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $588.52.

(66) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $2,701.09.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Special School Tax.

(1) For expense of preliminary studies of site for the new Senior High School in the Richmond District, blocks bounded by Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, Geary and Balboa streets, $1,000.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 59.

(2) For expense of repairs to the Juvenile Detention Home, including repair and reinforcing of fence, cover over skylights with tin roof, etc., plumbing fixtures and painting, $1,200.

(3) For repairing roof to barn at Laguna Honda Home, $750.

(4) For resurfacing the decks, patients' quarters and corridor in the Infirmary Building, Laguna Honda Home, $1,035.

(5) For construction of counter with gates, partition, removal of wash basins, electric fixtures and painting in new room lightwell, Hall of Justice, $815.

(6) For expense of preparing plans and specifications in accordance with Resolution No. 28201 (New Series), for the Civic Center improvement, $1,500.

Extension of Sewers, Etc., Budget Item No. 38.

(7) For expense of installing and testing sewage pumping plant at Pine Lake Park (Ajax Construction Co. contract), $3,100.

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(8) For the construction and installation of traffic signals by the Department of Electricity, $5,000.

Appropriation for Property Required for Alemany Boulevard and Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and authorized in payment out of the hereinafter mentioned funds to the herein designated claimants, to-wit:

Highway Bond Issue.

(1) To George N. Parez, for property required for the Alemany boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27929 (New Series) (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $3,040.50.

Bernal Cut Bond Issue.

(2) To Vincenzo Distefano and Frances Distefano, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28289 (New Series) (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $4,134.

(3) Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., title reports and expenses incurred in the purchase of property for the opening of the Bernal Cut (claim dated Jan. 19, 1928), $588.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Appropriation, $5,000, for Additional and Emergency Supplies, Board of Health.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $1,211.72, Credit for Labor, Material and Supplies Furnished Traffic Bureau.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,211.72 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 69, to the credit of the hereinafter mentioned budget items; being reimbursements for labor, materials and supplies furnished and used in the painting of curbs for traffic regulation during the month of December, 1927:

To credit of Budget Item No. 411, labor.....	$720.00
To credit of Budget Item No. 433, materials.....	491.72
Total	$1,211.72

Appropriations in Satisfaction of Judgments, Etc., in Action for Acquisition of Land, Southeast Corner of Golden Gate Avenue and Gough Street for John Swett School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Attorney in that certain action entitled City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, vs. James Conlin Co. and Echlin & Echlin, Inc., defendants, numbered 178570, Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, wherein a judgment of dismissal upon the abandonment of the proceedings for the acquisition of that certain lot of land situate at the southeast corner of Golden Gate avenue and Gough street, for school purposes (John Swett School) in accordance with the request of the Board of Education of December 14, 1927, to abandon said proceedings, as said property is not required for school purposes, the following sums of money be and the same are hereby appropriated out of the Special School Tax Fund in full payment and satisfaction of said judgment for necessary expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by defendants in said action in preparation for said trial before the said abandonment of said proceedings by said Board of Education in the following amounts set opposite the respective defendants and attorneys hereinafter named, to-wit:

To Vincent E. Finnigan, appraiser for defendant James Conlin Co., the sum of $100.

To Fred C. Boechmann, appraiser for defendant James Conlin Co., the sum of $100.

To Philip Paschel, appraiser for defendant James Conlin Co., the sum of $100.

To James J. Harrington, attorney for defendant James Conlin Co., retainer fee and costs of services rendered as attorney for said defendant Conlin in preparation of trial, the sum of $752.

To Charles Baer, retainer fee and costs of services rendered in preparation of trial for defendant Echlin & Echlin, Inc., the sum of $353.

Appropriations of Various Amounts Out of Special School Tax Fund in Satisfaction of Judgments for the Acquisition of Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28357 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, upon recommendation of the City Attorney in that certain action, City and County of San Francisco vs. James Conlin Co. and Echlin & Echlin, Inc., defendants, numbered 178570 in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, wherein a judgment of dismissal was entered on January 11, 1928, after recommendation by the Board of Education of the abandonment of said proceedings because said Board does not now require the land described in said complaint for school purposes and said notice from said Board of Education was made within forty (40) days prior to the date of trial of said action; and

Whereas, the City Attorney, in preparation for said trial, has incurred these certain expenses as hereinafter mentioned in preparation of the trial of said action; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the following amounts of money be and the same are hereby appropriated and authorized to be paid out of the Special School Tax Fund to the persons hereinafter mentioned in the amounts set opposite their names, to-wit:

To Arthur Ladewig, services in appraising real property and improvements, southeast corner Golden Gate avenue and Gough street, the sum of $100.

To Daniel White, services in appraising real property and improvements, southeast corner Golden

Gate avenue and Gough street, the sum of $100.

To George Lovejoy, services in appraising real property and improvements, southeast corner Golden Gate avenue and Gough street, the sum of $100.

To Dodge A. Riedy, architect, services rendered in appraising cost of improvements from original plan of improvements, present day value of improvements and reproduction cost of improvements, $200.

To Andrew J. Knolle, architect, services rendered in appraising cost of improvements from original plan of improvements, present day value of improvements and reproduction cost of improvements, $200.

To James Fennell, builder, services rendered in appraising cost of improvements from original plan of improvements, present day value of improvements and reproduction cost of improvements, $200.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Construction in Various Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for construction in the following streets, to-wit:

(1) Twenty-seventh avenue from Fulton to Cabrillo streets, $1,425.

(2) Sloat boulevard terminus with the Great Highway, $2,728.60.

(3) Twenty-eighth street, Castro to Diamond streets, $777.19.

(4) Twentieth street, Rhode Island to Vermont streets, $698.65.

(5) Twenty-second avenue and Santiago street, $1,191.80.

(6) Thirty-second avenue, Anza to Balboa streets, $4,060.

(7) Twenty-second avenue, Rivera to Santiago streets, $3,000.

(8) Armstrong avenue, Third to Keith streets, $3,065.35.

(9) Mariposa street, Wisconsin to Arkansas streets, $2,240.

(10) Kansas street, Army to Marin streets, $1,170.

(11) Eighteenth avenue, Quintara to Rivera streets, $975.

(12) De Haro street, Mariposa to Eighteenth streets, $865.

(13) Cayuga avenue between Onondaga and Oneida avenues, $3,890.

Appropriations, Repairs to Tile Walls, Social Service Department, Board of Health, and Ironstone Pipe Culvert, Esmeralda Avenue.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28358 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 59.

(1) For expense of repairs to tile walls, Social Service Department, and for repair of gutter and down spouts and cleaning out same, San Francisco Hospital, $430.

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 38.

(2) For expense of construction of catchbasin and 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert in Elsie street between Coso street and Esmeralda avenue, $139.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Elizabeth J. Notter et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for the Bernal Cut.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28359 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Elizabeth J. Notter, $5,600—Lot 3 in Block 6690, as per the As-

sessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Violet Lipman, $5,000—Lot 18 of Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Henry J. Harvey, $4,450—Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Miguel street, distant thereon 85 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 25 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Lucia Saraceni; thence at right angles southwesterly along said northwesterly line 112 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James R. Vaughan; thence at right angles northwesterly along said northeasterly line 25 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Patrick McCarthy; thence at right angles northeasterly along said southeasterly line 112 feet to the southwesterly line of Miguel street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 8, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "2 A and B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Various Streets.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28360 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund for construction in the following streets, to-wit:

Diamond street, Surrey and Sussex streets, $50.

Cortland avenue and Folsom street, $75.

Bluxome street, Fourth to Sixth streets, $90.

Santiago street, Eighteenth to Nineteenth avenues, $96.75.

Tenth avenue and Ortega street, $76.90.

Seventeenth and Cole streets, $74.25.

Vallejo and Montgomery streets, $30.

Elizabeth street, $63.20.

Kirkham street and Forty-second avenue, $115.13.

Twenty-first and Eureka streets, $24.75.

Ward and Girard streets, $97.40.

Eighteenth avenue and Santiago street, $135.

Bauer street and Alemany avenue, $106.50.

Mariposa and Carolina streets, $370.92.

Detroit street from Monterey boulevard south, $499.

Eighteenth avenue, Santiago to Taraval streets, $144.

Third street, Fairfax to Hudson avenues, $82.50.

Potrero avenue, Eighteenth to Mariposa streets, $55.

Thirty-fourth avenue and Santiago street, $22.50.

Evans avenue, Army to Napoleon streets, $465.50.

Funston avenue and Lawton street, $128.80.

Elsie street, Coso street to Esmeralda avenue, $139.

Bay and Leavenworth streets, $99.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Transfer of Budget Funds to Cover Expenditures for Repairs to Street Equipment, Etc.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28361 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are here-

by set aside out of the hereinafter mentioned budget items to the credit of Appropriation 30-A (Budget Item No. 449) for reimbursement of Bureau of Stores and Yards for expenditures in connection with repairs to equipment for other bureaus of the Department of Public Works, to-wit:

From Appropriation 33-C, Budget Item 525, Street Repair, $636.75.

From Appropriation 35-B, Budget Item 545, Street Cleaning, $913.50.

From Appropriation 36-B, Budget Item 558, Sewer Repair, $128.25.

From Appropriation 38-A, Budget Item 565, Auto Maintenance, $49.50.

From Appropriation 32-B, Budget Item 495, Bureau Engineers, $4.50.

From Appropriation 30-B, Budget Item 456, Stores and Yards, $2.25.

Total, $1,734.75.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Vieno V. Wiljanen et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28362 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Vieno V. Wiljanen and Anna L. Wiljanen, $3,550—Lot 19, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Antonio Belle and Carrie M. Belle, $6,400—Lot 14-A, in Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of John Svabek et al. to Sell Certain Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28363 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

John Svabek and Verona Svabek, $4,500—

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 128 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Miguel street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 28 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by John J. and Annie Matthews; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 105 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 28 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank and Caroline Gyulik; thence northwesterly along

said northeasterly line 110 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel of land:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 128 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Miguel street; thence northeasterly 28 feet along said southeasterly line of Arlington street to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by John J. and Annie Matthews; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 43 feet; thence deflecting to the right 82 deg. 52 min. 30 sec. and running southwesterly 28.218 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank and Caroline Gyulik; thence deflecting to the right 97 deg. 07 min. 30 sec. and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 46.5 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot No. 3, Block No. 36, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 128 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Miguel street; thence northeasterly 28 feet along said southeasterly line of Arlington street to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by John J. and Annie Matthews; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 43 feet; thence deflecting to the right 82 deg. 52 min. 30 sec. and running southwesterly 28.218 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank and Caroline Gyulik; thence deflecting to the right 97 deg. 07 min. 30 sec. and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 46.5 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot No. 3, Block No. 36, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon the payment of the agreed purchase price; and be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2, purchased from said John Svabek and Verona Svabek, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, a corporation, to be held in trust by said company for the City and County of San Francisco and under the direction of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Millicent Kubek to Sell Certain Property Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28364 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extended from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Millicent Kubek, $3,250—

Parcel 1. All of Lot 17 of Jones' Addition to Fairmount, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco, saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel of land:

Beginning at the most northerly corner of Lot 17 of Jones' Addition to Fairmount, as per map herein-

above referred to, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of said Lot 17 11.832 feet to a point on the southeasterly prolongation of the northeasterly line of Wilder street; thence deflecting to the left 125 deg. 46 min. 50 sec. and running southeasterly along said prolongation 20.237 feet to the northeasterly line of said Lot 17; thence deflecting to the left 144 deg. 13 min. 10 sec. and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 16.417 feet to the most northerly corner of said Lot 17 and the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the most northerly corner of Lot 17 of Jones' Addition to Fairmount, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northwesterly along the northwesterly line of said Lot 17 11.832 feet to a point on the southeasterly prolongation of the northeasterly line of Wilder street; thence deflecting to the left 125 deg. 46 min. 50 sec. and running southeasterly along said prolongation 20.237 feet to the northeasterly line of said Lot 17; thence deflecting to the left 144 deg. 13 min. 10 sec. and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 16.417 feet to the most northerly corner of said Lot 17 and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 17.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price; and be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2, purchased from said Millicent Kubek, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the directions of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Mary McIntyre to Sell Certain Property Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Also, Resolution No. 28365 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Mary McIntyre, $20—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Head street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 6.330 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the right of 640-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 82 deg. 22 min. 4 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 2 deg. 15 min. 10 sec., a distance of 25.164 feet to a point on the easterly line of Head street, distant thereon 9.181 feet southerly from the southerly line of Garfield street; thence northerly along the easterly line of Head street 9.181 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of City Land Association Block No. 47.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and

County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Pauline Nager to Sell Certain Property Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28366 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Pauline Nager, $3,102.75—

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southwesterly line of Donner avenue, and running thence northeasterly along said southwesterly line 78.956 feet; thence northwesterly, westerly, southwesterly and southerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 143 deg. 45 min. 30 sec. a distance of 30.109 feet to a point which is distant at right angle easterly 25 feet from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence southerly parallel to said easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and tangent to said curve of preceding course a distance of 87.788 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence northerly along said easterly line 158 feet 6¾ inches to the southwesterly line of Donner avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 522, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 283 feet 6¾ inches from the southwesterly line of Donner avenue, running thence southerly along said easterly line 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 522, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Battistina Schiechl and Joseph Schiechl, $1,453.75—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 35 of Block "O" of Thornton and Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 29.09 feet, more or less, to the northerly line of said Lot 35; thence deflecting to the right 76 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running easterly 24.323 feet; thence at right angles southerly 29 feet to said southerly line of said Lot 35; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 35.

Albert O. Henderson and Myrtle Rose Henderson, $1,217.25—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 175 feet from the southerly line of Thornton avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 502, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Pauline Diggins and Minnie Nager, $2,250—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 158 feet 6¾ inches from the southwesterly line of Donner avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 522, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

As to all of the parcels mentioned above the amounts include

damages in full to the remaining portions of the above described lots caused by the establishment of the future grade of Charter Oak avenue as widened and to the buildings now partially located on the above described lands; said buildings to be removed by the owners within thirty (30) days after the recordation of the respective deeds to said City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title to said properties and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Battista Boeto et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28367 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Battista Boeto, $1,968—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 29 of Block "O" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 75 feet to the northerly line of Lot 33 of said Block "O"; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 75 feet to said southerly line of said Lot 29; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots Nos. 29, 31 and 33 of said Block "O."

George Hepp, $1,318—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 47 of Block "P" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said westerly line 25 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 47; thence at right angles westerly 27.672 feet; thence deflecting to the left 103 deg. 53 min. 30 sec. and running southerly 25.753 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 47; thence deflecting to the left 76 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running easterly along said southerly line 21.489 feet to the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 47.

A. J. Hingsbergen and R. O. Hingsbergen, $1,574—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 258 feet 6¾ inches from the southwesterly line of Donner avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 522, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Luigi Mussio and Maria Mussio, $2,090—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 9 of Block "O" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along the said easterly line

50 feet to the northerly line of Lot 11 of said Block "O"; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 50 feet to said southerly line of Lot 9; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of said Lots 9 and 11.

Francesco Bruso, $1,872—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 100 feet from the southerly line of Thornton avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 75 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 75 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 502, as per map entitled, "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Bernardo Fanucchi, $1,882—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly lin of Lot 37 of Block "O" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 41.09 feet; thence at right angles easterly 14.893 feet; thence deflecting to the right 76 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running southerly 36.313 feet to said southerly line of said Lot 37; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 24.323 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of said Lot 37.

Davino Del Carlo, $1,133—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 41 of Block "O" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 1.291 feet; thence deflecting to the right 76 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running southerly 25.753 feet to said southerly line of said Lot 41; thence deflecting to the right 103 deg. 53 min. 30 sec. and running westerly 7.474 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of said Lot 41.

Joseph Greck, $1,383—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 49 of Block "P" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said westerly line 25 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 49; thence at right angles westerly along said northerly line 33.855 feet; thence deflecting to the left 103 deg. 53 min. 30 sec. and running southerly 25.753 feet to said southerly line of said Lot 49; thence deflecting to the left 76 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running easterly along said southerly line 27.672 feet to the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 49.

Frances Borini, $1,397—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 13 of Block "O" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along the said easterly line 25 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 13; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 13; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of said Lot 13.

Mary Boscacci, $1.376 — Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 15 of Block "O" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 25 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 15; thence at right angles easterly

along said northerly line 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet to said southerly line of said Lot 16; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of said Lot 15.

As to all of the parcels mentioned above the amounts include damages in full to the remaining portions of the above described lots caused by the establishment of the future grade of Charter Oak avenue as widened and to the buildings now partially located on the above described lands; said buildings to be removed by the owners within thirty (30) days after the recordation of the respective deeds to said City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Repealing Portion of Resolution No. 28120 (New Series) Authorizing Payment to J. P. Holland, Inc.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28368 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 28120 (New Series), being items Nos. 14 and 15 therein, authorizing payments out of County Road Fund to J. P. Holland, Inc., in the sum of $511 and sum of $9,648.05 to the Board of Park Commissioners be and the same is hereby rescinded and repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Plans, Etc., for Sewers in Golden Gate Heights District.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Bill No. 8380, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District, and ordering the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of sewers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:.

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District, and to enter into contract for the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, Etc., for Construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, Together With Pedestrian Undercrossing Opposite the Beach Chalet.

Also, Bill No. 8381, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, at the Ocean Beach, together with a pedestrian undercrossing opposite the Beach Chalet, and ordering the construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, at the Ocean Beach, together with a pedestrian undercrossing opposite the Beach Chalet in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and which are hereby approved; authorizing and directing the Board of Public

Works to enter into contract for said construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, at the Ocean Beach, together with pedestrian undercrossing opposite the Beach Chalet. The cost of said construction to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, at the Ocean Beach, together with a pedestrian undercrossing opposite the Beach Chalet, and to enter into contract for the construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, at the Ocean Beach, together with a pedestrian undercrossing opposite the Beach Chalet, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, which are hereby approved. The cost of said construction to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

County Clerk to Appoint Three Additional Courtroom Clerks.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28369 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the County Clerk is hereby authorized to appoint three additional courtroom clerks to be detailed for duty to the recently created Traffic Courts; to be paid out of the General Fund in accordance with Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series).

(The attention of the Auditor and Civil Service Commission is called to this resolution.)

Privilege of the Floor.

Harry I. Mulcrevy, County Clerk, addressed the Board as to the necessity of the additional clerks.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), Nineteenth Street and Potrero Avenue.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Nineteenth street with the westerly line of Potrero avenue, running thence northerly 275 feet along said westerly line of Potrero avenue; thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Hampshire street; thence southerly along Hampshire street 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 250 feet to the northerly line of Nineteenth street; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

A large delegation of property owners appeared in protest.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing matter was *postponed for two weeks and made a Special Order for* 11 *a. m., February* 6, 1928. Clerk was directed to prepare statement as to the status of the application.

Supervisor Havenner said that he is about to prepare an ordinance providing for a new zone study. He informed the Board that it would be introduced as soon as drawn.

"The present system of zoning and rezoning", he told the Board, "is thoroughly unscientific. Rezoning done piecemeal and chiefly for political purposes does harm to the City and should be ended."

The City Planning Commission, he said, will be asked to make a complete study of San Francisco and definitely zone for residential or industrial and business purposes every section of the City in accordance with conditions as they exist.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. J. Wilbe, south side of San Jacinto avenue, 25 feet east of Monterey boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Rossitter Apartments, 945 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Telegraph Hill Neighborhood House, 1746 Stockton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. Rassmussen, east side of Scott street, 100 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Twenty-five Twenty-five Larkin street, 2525 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. A. Wood, 53 Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Barrett & Hilp, 54 Palm avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

A. Salari, 5131 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Hotel Brownell, 335 Larkin street, 600 gallons capacity.

City of Paris Dyeing & Cleaning Works, northwest corner of Florida and Twentieth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Simon Erlanger, 41 Mercedes way, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fialers, Inc., be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 840 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frederick W. Schmidt be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Clement street, 57 feet 6 inches east of Twenty-first avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. T. Reilly be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted McKeon & Son by Resolution No. 20080 (New Series) for premises at 3657 Sacramento street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lincoln Park Garage, Inc. (Chas. McManus) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Nott & Fleming by Resolution No. 22823 (New Series) for premises at 6620 Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Resolution No. 28076 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Cleaning and Dyeing Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City of Paris Dyeing and Cleaning Works be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to maintain and operate a dyeing and cleaning works on the northwest corner of Florida and Twentieth streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Planing Mill Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Edwin T. Peterson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a planing mill and lumber yard in block bounded by Davidson street, Evans avenue, Quint street and Rankin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28370 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

Northwest corner Stanyan and Frederick streets.

Southwest corner Stanyan street and Basketball Stadium.

Northwest corner Stanyan street at entrance to Golden Gate Park, opposite Waller street.

Southwest corner Stanyan street at entrance to Golden Gate Park, opposite Haight street.

Southwest corner Stanyan street at entrance to Golden Gate Park, opposite Page street.

Northwest corner Stanyan street at entrance to Golden Gate Park, opposite Oak street.

West side Stanyan street between Frederick and Beulah streets.

Northeast corner Stanyan and Beulah streets.

East side Stanyan street between Beulah and Waller streets.

Southeast corner Stanyan and Waller streets.

East side Stanyan street between Waller and Haight streets.

East side Stanyan street between Haight and Page streets.

Northeast corner Stanyan and Page streets.

East side Stanyan street between Page and Oak streets.

Northeast corner Stanyan and Oak streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

East side Stanyan street between Beulah and Waller streets.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Northeast corner Stanyan and Beulah streets.

Northeast corner Stanyan and Waller streets.

East side Stanyan street between Waller and Haight streets.

East side Stanyan street between Haight and Page streets.

Northeast corner Stanyan and Page streets.

East side Stanyan street between Page and Oak streets.

Northeast corner Stanyan and Oak streets.

Southwest corner Stanyan street, front of Basketball Stadium.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28371 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Corner Osage alley and Twenty-sixth street.

Corner Orange alley and Twenty-sixth street.

North and south sides Pollard place and Vallejo street.

East side Sanchez street, front of church, 1021 Sanchez street.

Pollard place, north of Vallejo street.

Southeast corner Pollard place and Vallejo street.

East side Margrave place, north of Vallejo street.

North side Vallejo street between Pollard and Margrave places.

West side Gough street, first south of Vallejo street.

North and south sides Broadway between Franklin and Gough streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Broadway and Gough street.

South and west sides Gough street, south of Broadway.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Corner Bartlett and Twenty-fifth streets.

Northwest corner Galvez avenue and Third street.

Southwest corner Hudson avenue and Third street.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Kimball place, north of Sacramento street.

Kimball place, south of Sacramento street.

Peralta street between Cortland and Jarboe avenues.

Anderson street between Tompkins avenue and Ogden street.

North side Victoria street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street.

North and south sides Beverly street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street.

North and south sides Arch street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street.

Anderson street between Jarboe and Tompkins avenues.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

Twenty-second avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.

Fourteenth avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Corner Twenty-first avenue and Lawton street.

Twenty-second avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.

Corner Twenty-first avenue and Wawona street.

Vallejo and Lyon streets.

Corner Talbot street and Visitacion avenue.
Bay Shore avenue and Gillett way.
Teddy avenue between Delta street and Rutland avenue.
Teddy avenue between Delta and Elliott streets.
South corner Pollard place and Vallejo street.
North corner Margrave place and Vallejo street.
Broadway and Gough street.
Broadway between Gough and Franklin streets.
Gough street between Broadway and Vallejo street.
Gough street between Pacific street and Broadway.

Install 400 C. P. O. B. With Refractor.

South side Twenty-sixth street between Valencia and Bartlett streets, opposite Orange alley.
Northwest corner Twenty-sixth and Bartlett streets.
South side Twenty-sixth street, opposite Osage alley.
Front of Lebanon Church, 1021 Sanchez street.
Northwest corner Galvez avenue and Third street.
East side Third street between Galvez and Hudson avenues.
Northwest corner Hudson avenue and Third street.
East side Third street between Hudson and Innes avenues.
Northwest corner Innes avenue and Third street.
South side California street, front of church, between Fillmore and Steiner streets.
Northwest corner Twenty-first and Church streets.
East side Church street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets.
Northeast corner Hill and Church streets.
Southwest corner Twenty-second and Church streets.
Northeast corner Twenty-second and Church streets.
East side Church street, south of Twenty-second street.
Northwest corner Church and Twenty-third streets.
East side Church street, south of Twenty-third street.
East side Church street, north of Twenty-fourth street.
Northeast corner Elizabeth and Church streets.
East side Diamond street, first trolley pole north of Joost avenue.

Install 600 C. P. Ornamental Brackets.

Southwest corner Irving street and Twelfth avenue.
North side Irving street between Twelfth and Thirteenth avenues.
Northeast corner Irving street and Thirteenth avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.
Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Taxicab Stand Permits Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28372 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:
Home Cab Company, 305 Third street, 702 Folsom street; Yellow Checker Cab Company, 606 Hayes street, 99 Geary street; Western Cab Company, 296 Third street, Red Top Cab Company, 1396 California street; Eagle Cab Company, 1306 Stockton street; Leong Sing You, 805-807 Grant avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.
Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Masquerade Ball Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28373 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Bayern Bund to conduct a masquerade ball at California Hall, Polk and Turk streets, Saturday evening, January 28, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.
Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28374 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted United Swiss Societies of California to conduct a masquerade ball at California Hall, Turk and Polk streets, Saturday evening, February 4, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent,

McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28375 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Hermann Sons to conduct a masquerade ball at Civic Auditorium, Saturday evening, February 11, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28376 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Danish Brotherhood to conduct a masquerade ball at California Hall, Polk and Turk streets, Saturday evening, February 11, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28377 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Blue Bell Lodge No. 172, Daughters of Scotia, to conduct a masquerade ball at Druid's Hall, 44 Page street, Saturday evening, February 11, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28378 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Independent Rifles to conduct a masquerade ball at California Hall, Polk and Turk streets, Saturday evening, January 21, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Mayor to Sell Frame Buildings on Property Acquired for McLaren Park.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28379 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, accordance with provisions of the Charter, the following frame buildings situate on lands recently acquired by the City for Park (John McLaren) purposes, to-wit:

On the east line of Dartmouth street, distant 100 feet south from Woolsey street, in Block 6114, Assessor's Map Book.

Also, on the east line of Hamilton street, distant 150 feet north from Mansell street, in Block 6151, Assessor's Map Book.

Also, on the west line of Bowdoin street, distant 50 feet south from Mansell street, in Block 6164 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, on the north line of Bacon street, distant 120 feet west from Cambridge street, in Block 5995 on Assessor's Map Book.

The buildings are to be immediately razed or removed from the premises by the purchasers thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Dedicating Intersection of Point Lobos Avenue and Geary Street Property for Fire Department Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28380 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain City property situate and being the gore corner formed by the intersection of Point Lobos avenue and Geary street, of dimensions 43.82 feet in width at its westerly extremity on a line with the easterly side of Forty-second avenue by a depth of 194.42 feet easterly on and along the northerly line of Geary street and along the southerly line of Point Lobos avenue to its termination, which termination has a width of 14 feet be and the same is hereby set aside and dedicated to the Fire Department as a site whereon to erect a fire house.

The dedication of the said land

is in accordance with the request of the Board of Fire Commissioners and the approval and recommendation of the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Wilhelm Weder to Sell Land on Blake Street, North of Geary Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28381 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Wilhelm Weder to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the west line of Blake street, distant 435 feet northerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 435 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Blake street 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Blake street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Edward M. Greene to Sell Certain Land on Blake Street, North of Geary Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28382 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Edward M. Greene to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the westerly line of Blake street, 385 feet and 485 feet northerly from Geary street; required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $6,250 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 385 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Blake street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

Also, commencing at a point on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 485 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Blake street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said

land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Robert and Helene Gahard to Sell Certain Land and Improvements on Blake Street, North of Geary Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28383 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an ofier has been received from Robert and Helene Gahard to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the westerly line of Blake street, 360 feet northerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $6,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 360 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Blake street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7471 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of Mississippi Street.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. 8382, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7471 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Mississippi street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, Wisconsin street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, Twentieth street between Connecticut and Arkansas streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous rock sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7471 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Mississippi street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, Wisconsin street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, Twentieth street between Connecticut and Arkansas streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous rock sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7821 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of South Side of Hayes Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Polk Street.

Also, Bill No. 8383, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7821 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south side of Hayes street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street, by the construction of sidewalks where not already improved.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7821 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south side of Hayes street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street, by the construction of sidewalks where not already improved, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7464 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of Forty-fifth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8384, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7464 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, where not already improved, by the construction of asphalt pavements, etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7464 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, etc., where not already improved, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7818 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of Portion of Larkin Street.

Also, Bill No. 8385, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7818 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the west side of Larkin street from the north line of Turk street to a line parallel with and one hundred and thirty-seven feet six inches north of the north line of Turk street, etc., by the construction of sidewalks where not already constructed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7818 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the west one-half of Larkin street from the north line of Turk street to a line parallel with and one hundred and thirty-seven feet six inches north of the north line of Turk street, etc., by the construction of sidewalks where not already constructed, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 6884 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of Castro Street.

Also, Bill No. 8386, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 6884 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, etc., by the construction of sidewalks where not already constructed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6884 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, etc., where not already constructed, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Supervisor Gallagher Appointed Official Representative of San Francisco on Redwood Empire Field Survey.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28384 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher be and is hereby appointed as the official representative of the City and County of San Francisco to serve as a member of a Field Survey Committee to make a complete inspection and survey of the entire highway system within the nine counties comprising the Redwood Empire Association.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. 8387, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The removal of sand from the street and the construction of bulkheads along the south side of Judah street from a line parallel with and 100 feet west of the west line of Thirty-second avenue to a line parallel with and 100 feet east of the east line of Forty-third avenue, except where public streets intercept, or where improvements have been made upon the property to prevent the drifting of sand on to the street or sidewalk.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8388, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the westerly one-half of Laidley street between Fairmount street and the southerly line of Harry street produced, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8389, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board

of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Byxbee street between Garfield and Shields streets, and of Vernon street between Garfield and Shields streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of side sewers, by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8390, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Innes avenue between Newhall street and Mendell street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with Y branches, side sewers and one brick manhole along the center line of Innes avenue from a point 20 feet westerly from Mendell street to the existing manhole easterly from Newhall street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of Innes avenue between Lane street and Mendell street, including the crossings of Innes avenue and Lane street and Innes avenue and Mendell street, and Mendell street between Hudson avenue and Innes avenue by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: an 8-inch with Y branches, side sewers and 4 brick manholes along the center line of Innes avenue between the easterly line of Lane street and the center line of Mendell street; an 8-inch along the center line of Mendell street between the center and easterly lines of Innes avenue; a 12-inch along the center line of Lane street between the southerly and center lines of Innes avenue; a 15-inch along the center line of Lane street between the center and northerly lines of Innes avenue, and a 12-inch with Y branches, side sewers and one brick manhole along the center line of Mendell street between the center line of Innes avenue and the southerly line of Hudson avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8391, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the easterly one-half of Lisbon street between the southerly line of Russia avenue and a line 100 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Russia avenue by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an artificial stone sidewalk 6 feet in width to conform to the existing sidewalks; by the construction of a side sewer; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of vitrified brick pavement adjacent to the center line, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8392, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with tne provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of La Salle avenue between Lane and Mendell streets, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks: by the construction of the necessary side sewers; by the construction of a concrete stairway, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8393, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco,

approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ralston street between Sargent and Randolph streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of sidesewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8394, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding instaliments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Beverly treet between Garfield and Shields streets, and of Beverly street between Shields street and Worcester avenue, and of Monticello street between Garfield and Shields streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of sidesewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the rodway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8395, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improve-

ment Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Clarendon avenue between Stanyan street and Bigler avenue, and Twin Peaks boulevard, respectively, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying brick manholes and Y branches between the existing manhole in Twin Peaks boulevard and Stanyan street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8396, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

For the removal of sand from the sidewalk area and street, and the construction of a bulkhead on the west side of Twenty-sixth avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and twenty (120) feet south of Anza street to a line parallel with and one hundred and ninety-five (195) feet south of Anza street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8397, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

For the removal of sand from the sidewalk area and street, and the construction of bulkheads on the west side of Twentieth avenue from the north line of Moraga street to a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet north of the north line of Moraga street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8398, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the

ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, made be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of La Salle avenue between Lane and Mendell streets, where not already improved, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of the necessary side sewers; by the construction of a concrete stairway, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8399, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first in stallment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Morse street between Pope street and Concord street, including the intervening alleys, and excepting the crossing of Allison street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with Y branches, side sewers and brick manhole along the center line of Morse street from a point 20 feet easterly from the easterly line of Concord street produced southerly to the existing sewer connection at the westerly line of Allison street produced northerly; by the construction of a concrete pavement between Pope street and a line 132 feet westerly from Pope street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8400, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San

Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lower Terrace between Saturn street and the westerly line of Levant street produced, including the intersections of Lower Terrace and Levant street, by grading to official line and grade, and by the construction of the following:

Class "A" Portland cement concrete (1:2:4 mix) in walls, copings, steps and structures, including all excavation and backfill therefor, and including the furnishing and placing of concrete reinforcing steel for same; standard six (6) inch concrete curbs; artificial stone sidewalks; concrete pavement; asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface; brick catchbasins with castiron frames, gratings and traps; the necessary six (6) inch ironstone pipe side sewers; ten (10) inch ironstone pipe culverts.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28385 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 100478 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted January 11, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed January 13, 1928, to-wit:

On Jennings street between Palou and Quesada avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplted, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28386 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 100408 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted January 6, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed January 8, 1928, to-wit:

On Vernon, Ramsell, Victoria and Head streets between Randolph and Stanley streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplted, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Ron-

covieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Land Acquired for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28387 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 155-155A and 157 Arlington street, 41 Arlington street, 25-27 Arlington street, 75 College avenue, 109-111 College avenue, 57 College avenue, 101 College avenue, 67 College avenue, 105-107 College avenue, 315 Mateo street, 415 Miguel street, 7 Richland avenue, 12 Richland avenue, 118 St. Mary's avenue, 116 St. Mary's avenue.

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and known as 15 Ware street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Land Acquired for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28388 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 383 Arlington street, 29 Arlington street, 31 Arlington street, 29 Academy street, 3588 Mission street, 3590 Mission street, 37 College avenue, 45 College avenue, 49 College avenue, 1 Park street, 4 Park street, 6 Park street, 4 Highland avenue, 6-8-10-12 Highland avenue.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28389 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work under public contract:

Sixty days' time from and after January 5, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Kirkham street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues.

Sixty days' time from and after January 5, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Kirkham street between Forty-eighth avenue and the Great Highway.

These extensions of time are granted upon the recommendations of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is completed; this extension is necessary pending the issuance of the assessments.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28390 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank J. McHugh be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after December 29, 1927, within which to complete the construction of Section B, Forty-third avenue sewer, Sunset District.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that work has been delayed due to the inaccessibility of the job, thereby causing delay in transporting materials; also time granted

under original contract not sufficient to complete the work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28391 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time:

Sixty days' time from and after January 6, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Garfield and Beverly streets, Monticello and Shields streets, and Beverly and Shields streets.

Sixty days' time from and after January 6, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossings of Bixby and Shields streets, Bixby street from Sargent to Worcester streets, and Sargent street from Bixby to Ralston streets.

These extensions of time are granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is practically completed, extensions requested for the completion of the work, acceptance and issuance of assessments.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks. Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28392 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 6, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Andover street between Eugenia street and Powhattan avenue; also, Wood street, Bocana street and Gates street. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work has been delayed on account of weather conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28393 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. M. Gallagher is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 19, 1928, within which to complete Street Sign Contract No. 7, fiscal year 1927-1928. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is proceeding rapidly and is about 85 or 90 per cent completed, delay in completion being due to the necessity of awaiting material from eastern shipment. This is the first extension of time.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Intention to Abandon Chelsea Place.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest requires that a certain portion of Chelsea place be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon:

All that certain portion of Chelsea place south of a line parallel with and 114 feet 6 inches at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Bush street.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Chelsea place in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The San Francisco Bulletin" as required by law.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts at the foot of Twenty-third street for the purpose of cutting off to grade the tops of concrete piles after the same are driven. This is in connection with the construction of a new salt water circulating supply conduit or pipe to the company's plant at the foot of Twenty-third street. Providing said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficinet bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Pacific Gas and Electric Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Approval of Map, Subdivision No. 5, Miraloma Park

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28394 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100557 (Second Series), approve Map of Subsdivision No. 5, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Map of Subdivision No. 5, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Approval of Map, Resubdivision of Lots in Golden Gate Heights.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28395 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100534 (Second Series), approve Map of resubdivision of Lot 8 of Block 1860A, Lot 4 of Block 1861B, all of Block 1928A, Lot 1 of Block 1929A and Lots 1 and 5 of Block 2034A of "Map of Golden Gate Heights, being a resubdivision of a portion of the Sunset District, San Francisco, California," filed September 8, 1923, in Book J of Official Records, at pages 30 to 38, inclusive, in the Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of resubdivision of Lot 8 of Block 1860A, Lot 4 of Block 1861B, all of Block 1928A, Lot 1 of Block 1929A and Lots 1 and 5 of Block 2034A of "Map of Golden Gate Heights, being a resubdivision of a portion of the Sunset District, San Francisco, California," filed September 8, 1923, in Book J of Official Records, at pages 30 to 38, inclusive, in the Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Designating Location of Sunset Boulevard.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. 8401, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordinance designating and selecting route for "Sunset Boulevard" and providing for the acquisition of lands therefor from money realized from sale of bonds authorized November 8, 1927.

Whereas, at a special election held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 8th day of November. 1927, in accordance with the requirements of Ordinance No. 7738 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27924 (New Series), giving notice of said election, the people of the City and County of San Francisco authorized the issuance of bonds to the amount of $9,380,000 for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of certain routes and public highways and boulevards in the City and County of San Francisco, among which said routes and boulevards was a boulevard known and designated as "Sunset Boulevard," which said boulevard is to be constructed from Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction at

a point between said avenues to Sloat boulevard; and

Whereas, the most feasible route for said "Sunset Boulevard" is between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue from Lincoln way in a southerly direction to said Sloat boulevard; now, therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the said Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby declare that said "Sunset Boulevard" should be constructed between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and from Lincoln way in a southerly direction to Sloat boulevard and hereby designates all of the land from the west line of Thirty-sixth avenue to the easterly line of Thirty-seventh avenue between Lincoln way and Sloat boulevard as the land to be acquired for said "Sunset Boulevard," which said lands above mentioned shall be acquired for said "Sunset Boulevard."

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Proposed Five Million Dollar Bond Issue for Playgrounds.

Mayor Rolph: I think the Board is always glad and willing to drop other matters for the purpose of hearing from you, Senator Phelan. What are you here for today? (Applause.)

Mr. Phelan: Mr. Mayor and gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors: I feel, for reasons which you understand, that I am not trespassing upon your rule by claiming your attention for a few minutes because, by the fundamental law, I believe I have the right to the floor without the right to vote. (Applause.) I would like you to extend that privilege——

Supervisor McSheehy (interrupting): Law is ahead of the rules, Senator.

Mr. Phelan: And while I am here I cannot refrain from thanking you for the very handsome compliment you paid me recently, under motion of Mr. Byington. I have already written to the Clerk. The object of my call this morning is to explain to you the request of the Playground Commission to include a bond project of five million dollars on the ballot for the next election, which I think is called for May. It is a large sum of money, but it seems to be required for the acquisition of land. The town is growing under your good administration so rapidly the people are flocking in here and building their homes, that it is not right to them to condemn land except for their benefit, and the practice of picking out a piece of land here and there and saying, "That will ultimately be acquired by the Playground Commission or the Park," prevents them from considering the question of making improvements. The thing should be done properly or not at all, in the interest of the home builders. Now, the home builders, I understand, are of one mind as to the need of playgrounds for their children. Since my day in this Board things have changed very much. The automobile has a practical monopoly of the streets and children cannot play without imperiling their lives. So there is a real need in the interest of the children, and, therefore, in a broad sense, the interest of the community, in providing playgrounds where they may go after school hours. And the Playground Commission is a new creation, made necessary by these very conditions. And the policy of the Playground Commission is to have supervised play, as you know. We employ a director to supervise the play so the children will not be unnecessarily rough in their play, and that their safety will be assured in the employment of exercising apparatus. However, the parents are of one mind on this subject, too, and there is a very potent parents' association which feels that they want supervised play in the interest of their children. We have done the best we could with the funds which you have put at our disposal. We have bought, on the installment plan, lots which, when finally acquired at the end of five or six years probably, when pieced together, will make an adequate playground. We are having that experience now in the Potrero. The real estate expert of the Board of Public Works reports to us on the values and then we have independent sources of information, so we think we are acting with much prudence in acquiring the small parcels of land, appraised first by Mr. Philips and then by our own agents. We have worked with the Board of Public Works as we are

working with the Board of Education, as contemplated by the Charter, where the President of the Board of Education is a member of our body and the Superintendent of Parks. Now, isn't it wise, we propose to ourselves, to acquire this land while the City is yet able to pay for it, and before values have inordinately increased? We have selected not any particular site, but neighborhoods where the playgrounds should be acquired. As in the Richmond District, the Lake Merced District, Sloat District, Ingleside District, Oceanview District, Longfellow School District, Crocker-Amazon District, Visitacion Valley District, south of Bayview District, Bayview District, St. Mary's Park District, south of Army District, Cape Kennedy School District, Noe Valley District, Mission District, Potrero Hill District, Eureka Valley District, near the cemeteries district, Pacific Heights District, Polk-Van Ness-Larkin District, Russian Hill District. And besides playgrounds two baseball fields. None of these have been selected. I understand some objection was made by people who said they did not want to sell their land, feeling it was going to be taken. We will find that always. Indeed, it is one of the reasons why we should act promptly because they should not have that threat held over them. They want to use their land, and if they are paid for it, they can acquire other land and build their homes elsewhere.

Now, all that land is estimated to cost $3,750,000, a real estate investment which becomes a great asset for the City, and it will grow with the City. And to develop that property it is estimated it will take $1,250,000, and that is the basis of the request for a five million dollar bond issue. I sincerely believe that there is a general demand for playgrounds, and that this is the only logical way to acquire them.

And then the other question is, whether you should propose it now, or at some later time. For the reasons I have stated I think it is public policy to make the acquisitions as soon as possible. Acquire the land, put the people at rest, and let the town grow around the playgrounds. It will be very desirable to have the town grow around the playgrounds. They are a focal center of tremendous interest to people who build their homes and raise families. I understand you propose to authorize bonds for the May election for Hetch Hetchy and possibly for the acquisition of the Spring Valley. All I know is that there is abundant money under the tax limit, provided by the passage of the amendment which lifted out of the limitation the bonds issued for water works. So you have ample money, there is no question of that. And then the only question that might occur to you who are interested, first, in acquiring a water supply, whether putting a five million bond issue on the ballot, would in any way embarrass you in acquiring the water properties. I am informed, by Chief Engineer O'Shaughnessy, and none more than he is interested in the acquisition of the properties necessary and the work to be done in the acquisition of a water supply, and he says he believes that so popular is this measure for playgrounds that it would help the public and put them in a good humor to vote with two-thirds majority for the water bonds and thus carry the project which you have so very close to your hearts. I do not know whether there is anything more to say. The Playground Commission, augmented by the School Board and the Park Commission, is willing to do this work, and if we had five million dollars we would start at once to acquire the lands. We would settle that question forever, and on the map of the City it would appear that this plot is reserved for playgrounds, and with the money voted at the same time for the development, we can put them in shape for use. I thank you very much, gentlemen.

* * * * *

Supervisor Havenner: If that is agreeable to the members of the Board, I think it is a very wise proceeding that a hearing be held in the immediate future and that, after discussing the matter thoroughly with the members of the Playground Commission, the Board arrive at its decision concerning the date at which this matter be submitted.

Supervisor Gallagher: What is the motion? To refer to the Committee on Education and Parks?

* * * * *

Supervisor McSheehy: May I suggest that the Chairman of the Committee make a motion setting a date?

Supervisor McGovern: I move you to that effect.

* * * * *

Supervisor McGovern: The meeting will be called, I might say,

your Honor and Senator, sometime the latter part of this week. I will get in touch with the Secretary of the Playground Commission and find the most suitable time that you and your Commission will be at leisure.

Mr. Phelan: Our time will be your time.

The Mayor: Then you propose to hold a meeting here in these chambers some night towards the end of this week on this matter?

Supervisor McGovern: Yes.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will second that motion.

The Mayor: Moved and seconded that such a procedure be carried out. Any objections? So ordered.

* * * * *

In Memory of Honorable Jeremiah F. Sullivan.

Mayor Rolph: Gentlemen of the Board, I am detaining the Senator for a few moments, because I feel sad when I announce to you the death, at 4:45 this morning, of Judge Jeremiah F. Sullivan. Judge Jeremiah F. Sullivan is a brother of Matt I. Sullivan. Both have occupied positions on the Supreme Bench of this State, Judge Matt I. Sullivan being the former Chief Justice and his brother, Jeremiah F. Sullivan, a Supreme Justice of the State. He was a young man, raised in this City, and has practiced law up to the time of his death. He was one of the outstanding young men of this City in his day, and he was always for good government. You would always find him out fighting for the best interests of San Francisco. As a member of the bar he became the leader of the bar, and he became the president of the Bar Association of this City, which position he held and made it what it is, for a period of three and a half or four years. His death removes from us another character of the early days of this City when, as Gavin McNab said, he rang the door bells in the City of San Francisco, as did Amadeo Giannini, as did Matt I. Sullivan and as did Senator Phelan, for good government in San Francisco. And when we adjourn today, I hope that we will adjourn out of respect to the memory of Judge Jeremiah F. Sullivan, and I am going to ask the distinguished ex-Senator, the distinguished ex-Mayor of this City, one who knew him intimately, who grew up with him in the Mission, I am going to ask him if he will pay a tribute to the memory of Judge Jeremiah F. Sullivan before he leaves.

Supervisor McSheehy: May I offer this as a motion, that your Honor the Mayor appoint a committee of three to draft the proper resolutions, as stated by you, and to attend the funeral, also representing the City, and that when we adjourn we adjourn out of respect to the memory of Hon. Jeremiah F. Sullivan.

The Mayor: All in favor of that motion will please rise. It is unanimously carried. Senator, will you do the memory of Judge Jeremiah F. Sullivan the honor of a tribute from you.

Mr. Phelan: This is very sad news to hear because I was let to believe that Judge Sullivan was recovering rapidly from his recent illness. He has lived a very long life, but that long life has been used for the benefit of the community in which he was born. He never flagged in doing good. I remember him first when he was a handsome young man, probably twenty years of age, as president of a debating society we had at St. Ignatius College, of which he is an alumnus, and it is vividly in my mind because on one occasion when I was present listening to a debate he was taken with an indisposition and there was great fear felt that there had something serious happened. And as a young boy, I remember that he was tenderly borne out of the room. But it was only, as I say, a passing indisposition and what was feared then you see has not come to pass until he attained a ripe age. I think that Judge Sullivan must be seventy-six years of age, which is more than the allotted time of man, according to the Bible, although, in another part of the Bible there is an assurance that men will live to be a hundred and five years of age, that the rule is five times the date for a person to mature and the maturity comes to man at twenty-one years of age, and five times that would give him an expectancy of life of a hundred and five years of age. So when a man is cut off, we might, in view of that fact, feel sorry that at seventy-six he has not been given the opportunity to attain the full possibility of physical age. But we are living in times of great stress and strain and the Judge, having been active all his life, doubtless felt, in exceptional degree, that stress and strain, and hence, when he dies he dies the victim possibly of our civilization. His services have all been of an invaluable character. There has never been any question

about the worthiness of his motives in all his public contacts. And he leaves a family which will carry his name, and his progeny will increase and multiply and they will look back to this day when the Board of Supervisors adjourned out of respect to the memory of this distinguished citizen. And I trust that we all feel that, though dead, he has not lived in vain.

The Mayor: What is the pleasure of the Board?

Supervisor McSheehy: I want to say a word about Judge Sullivan because I feel this, and I feel it on account of my acquaintance with his family. May I say this, to the members of the Board, Senator Phelan and your Honor the Mayor: There is such a thing in life as character, and as a small boy going to school I remember well when the newspapers every day, column after column, day in and day out, referred to what was then known as the Sharon divorce case in which Judge Sullivan was the presiding judge. And if there ever was a judge who was placed on trial, he was placed on trial, and he stood the trial well. And after he decided that case the papers of this City, the people of this City, went on record testifying to the wonderful character of the man in deciding the case and deciding it the way he did. And he has lived from that day to this day in the minds of all San Franciscans. And I am more than sorry to hear that we lost such an outstanding and such an estimable man.

* * * * *

Meeting of Bridge Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy: May I just make a short statement as Chairman of the Special Bridge Committee, that a meeting was held last Wednesday night in which twenty-two persons, you might say men representing all of the organizations in San Francisco participated, and the consensus of opinion was that all of the civic organizations here in San Francisco, almost to a man, are behind bridge project No. 1, and that they will endeavor to appoint delegates to go to Washington with us at the time that we are requested to appear there before the Congressional Committee. In other words, I can report, as Chairman of the Committee, that I was more than elated at the meeting last Wednesday night, in which an expression of opinion was given by all of these gentlemen representing these various civic bodies.

* * * * *

Statement as to Status of Golden Gate Bridge Project.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and *referred to the Streets Committee*:

San Rafael, California,
January 16, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California—Attention Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk.

Dear Sirs:

Confirming my telephone conversation with Mr. Dunnigan today I beg leave to state that the proceedings for the formation of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District have reached the following stage:

Protests were received by the Secretary of State until May 31, 1927, after which time these protests were referred to the Superior Courts in the counties from which they came, to-wit, San Francisco, Marin, Sonoma, Napa and Mendocino. No protests were received from Del Norte County. The protests in Sonoma County were heard during the months of November and December, 1927, but no ruling has been made upon them. Since that time the Judicial Council has assigned Judge C. J. Luttrell of Siskiyou County, who heard the protests in Sonoma County, to hear those in all of the other counties. I am in communication with Judge Luttrell as to the setting of the cases, and while definite dates have not been finally agreed upon dates have been agreed upon which will fall between February first and March fifteenth for the hearing of all of the four remaining cases. It is therefore probable that a disposition of all protests will have been finally made by the first of April.

The next step in the proceedings for the formation of the district will be the issuance of the certificate of incorporation by the Secretary of State, and the notification to the Boards of Supervisors of the various counties that they must appoint the direcotrs to represent them on the board. San Francisco's quota of directors will be six.

Trusting that this will give you the information desired, I am

Yours very truly,
GEORGE H. HARLAN.

San Rafael, Cal., Jan. 17, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Sirs:

Supplementing my letter to you of yesterday, and by reason of hav-

ing notice given me in this morning's San Francisco Chronicle that inquiry had been made by a member of the Board of Supervisors as as to whether or not the Golden Gate bridge proceedings had been "dropped," I beg leave to state that only those who have kept in close touch with the matter can know how very intensive has been the work of pressing forward the Golden Gate bridge proceedings. As stated in my former letter, protests were received by the Secretary of State up to May 31, 1927. Under the act he then was required to transmit these protests to the Superior Court in each county. This he did. The protests which were transmitted to Sonoma County were refused filing by the County Clerk on the ground that filing fees of seven dollars for each protest should be paid. The failure to file would cause delay. Therefore, on behalf of the advocates of the bridge, I brought a proceeding in mandamus before the Supreme Court to compel the County Clerk of Sonoma County to file the protests. This matter was heard and determined on July 6, 1927, the Supreme Court deciding that no fees were necessary. The protests were then filed by the County Clerk and were regularly set for trial before Judge Rolff Thompson of Sonoma County. Judge Thompson, however, deemed that he was disqualified and called upon the Judicial Council to assign an outside judge to try the cases. Judge Waste, as chairman of the Judicial Council, announced that Judge C. J. Luttrell of Siskiyou County would be assigned to try the cases. They were set for a day certain, but at that time Judge Luttrell's mother was so seriously ill that he did not wish to leave Siskiyou County, and the cases were finally postponed until November 24th, when they were commenced. The hearings lasted for two full weeks, and were contested with extreme vigor. The decision has not yet been handed down in those cases. Witnesses for the further hearings will have to be brought from the East, and it is owing to the fact that these witnesses have certain engagements and times within which they can testify that it is necessary to place the hearings on the calendar for such times as they may be present. The hearing in San Francisco will probably be held about February 1 and the hearing in Marin County about February 3. Council for the protestants has announced that he will introduce no evidence in either of these cases, but there are probably one or two independent protestants who will introduce some evidence. Consequently one day each for these counties should be sufficient for the hearings. The hearings in Napa and Mendocino counties, however, involve about eight hundred protests each, and I do not believe that either of these hearings will take less than two weeks.

After all of these hearings have been had it becomes the duty of the County Clerks to transmit to the Secretary of State a certified copy of the judgment, after which the Secretary of State proceeds to call upon the Supervisors to appoint directors and to organize the district.

From the foregoing you will see that everything possible has been done to advance the formation of the district, and that we may reasonably expect that the district can be organized this spring.

Yours very truly,

GEORGE H. HARLAN.

Condemnation of Lands, Boulevard Purposes.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Outside Land Blocks Nos. 637, 708, 733, 804, 829, 896, 921, 981, 1006, 1062, 1086, 1138, 1161 and 1209.

Also all of Block 2460-A, as per map of Pinelake Park, filed October 8, 1926, in Block "K", pages 79 to 82, inclusive, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Also all of Block 2505-A, as per map of Pinelake Park, filed October 8, 1926, in Book "K" of Maps, pages 79 to 82, inclusive, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being bounded on the west by Thirty-seventh avenue, on the north by Wawona street, on the east by Thirty-sixth avenue and on the south by Yerba street, as delineated on said map.

Also all of Block 2520-A, as per map of Pinelake Park, filed October 8, 1926, in Book "K" of Maps, pages 79 to 82, inclusive, in the office of

the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, except that portion thereof lying westerly of the westerly line of Thirty-seventh avenue extended southerly.

Also all that portion of Block 1278-A, as per map of Market Street Extension, filed October 13, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, page 161, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the westerly line of Block 2520-A, as per map of Pinelake Park, filed October 8, 1926, in Book "K" of Maps, pages 79 to 82, inclusive, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; running thence westerly along the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 50 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of Thirty-seventh avenue extended southerly; thence northerly along said line of Thirty-seventh avenue so extended 93.855 feet to the boundary line of said Block 2520-A; thence north 86 degrees 50 minutes east along the last named line 50 feet to an angle point in the boundary line of said Block 2520-A, and thence south 3 degrees 10 minutes east 93.855 feet to the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: for boulevard purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby orderede and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tract of land, of any and all interests therein, or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

Policy Regarding Lighting of New Subdivisions.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, acting within the provisions of the Charter governing the acceptance and approval of gift maps, and the street layout of subdivisions, in the outlying sections of our City, that the Committee on Streets, the City Engineer's Department and the Committee on Lighting be requested to outline a general policy in reference to said subdivisions, providing the type of light standards and lights on same, and by whom, and for what length of time they will be maintained as erected; also the proper marking at street intersections and along the proposed streets, avenues or boulevards therein.

Referred to Streets and Lighting Committee.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

The following matters, laid over from the meeting of November 21, 1927, were taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is, however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

Motion.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy further consideration of the foregoing matters were *continued until February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

SPECIAL ORDERS—11 A. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is

65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets. San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1¼ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper

is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approxi-

mately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence

easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the water-

front line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and acress San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbell and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length

of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from the outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continua-

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet (200′) of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty degrees (80°) east nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400′), more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred feet (600′) south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400′), and that the breadth thereof is to be sixty feet (60′), more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Amended application of Allan C. Rush for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Oakland, Alameda County.

Said bridge to be located at the starting point at or near what is known as Rincon Hill, in the City of San Francisco, and from which named point extending over and across what is known as San Francisco Channel of the Bay of San Francisco, this channel having an approximate width of 11,088 feet, to Goat Island—Yerba Buena—in a suspension bridge with a height of not less than 200 feet, measuring from the floor and base of said bridge down *to* and *above* high tide, supported in its entirety by four cables resting over five bridge piers, one pier at or near Rincon Hill, three piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, and one pier on the westerly shore end of Goat Island—Yerba Buena—numbered, respectively, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, with Pier No. 5 ending on said island.

Filed by E. L. Vandair Nailen, November 7, 1927, and *continued for hearing until November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, Mc-

Sheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Alternative design, Inter-Cities Bridge Company, for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, as follows:

On a line from near the foot of Broadway, in San Francisco, to the northern slope of Goat Island, along this northerly slope to the eastern end of the island, and thence to and along the northerly edge, or near the same, of the Key Route Mole to the entrance into Oakland, fully described in the original application.

Filed December 21, 1927.

December 27, 1927—Read and continued until January 23, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, February 6, 1928, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon—6.

Eamonn De Valera Presented to Board.

His Honor Mayor Rolph, at this point in the proceedings requested that he be permitted to go to his office to escort to the chambers of the Board Eamonn De Valera, representative of the Republican party in Ireland.

Mr. De Valera and his committee, consisting of Rev. Father Hunt, Thos. O'Dowd and M. Harkness, were presented to the Board and were welcomed by the Mayor as San Francisco's honorary citizens.

Supervisor Gallagher declared that Mr. De Valera was here on a private mission and preferred no public reception, that at 8 p. m. this evening at a meeting in the Whitcomb Hotel he would address a committee appointed by the Mayor to which the members of the Board of Supervisors are invited.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, it was directed that the dome of the City Hall be illuminated in honor of the distinguished visitor and the City automobile and chauffeur of the Board of Supervisors be placed at his disposal during his stay in San Francisco.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 1:07 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 30, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 5

Monday, January 30, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 30, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 30, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph sent word that he would not be at this morning's session, as he was attending the funeral of Miss Julia Sullivan, sister of Hon. Matt I. and the late Hon. Jeremiah F. Sullivan.

Whereupon, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 23, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Convention of Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen Endorsed.

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council, urging that everything possible be done to afford adequate accommodation for the holding of the convention of the Brotherhood of Railway Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen in the Exposition Auditorium from June 7, 1928 to July 10, 1928.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Telegram from Supervisors Todd, Gallagher and Suhr from Fresno, California, to the same effect.

Proposed Park Development, $6,450,000 Bond Issue.

Resolution of Board of Park Commissioners submitting program of park developments in the amount of $6,450,000 to be financed by a proposed bond issue in that amount.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Death of Raymond P. McIntosh.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Colman and *adopted unanimously by rising vote*:

Whereas, death has called Raymond P. McIntosh, who, for the past sixteen years, has served the City of San Francisco as hydraulic engineer in the Bureau of Engineering; and

Whereas, during these years of service he was identified with the Hetch Hetchy project in the design of a number of major units of the project, including the O'Shaughnessy Dam, Eleanor Dam, Early Intake Diversion Works and the Dumbarton Bridge, to all of which work he brought a high degree of professional skill combined with fine ethical standards; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is with profound regret that this Board regards his passing; that the City's loss cannot be adequately measured, and that when this Board adjourns it does so as a tribute of respect to his memory; and be it

Further Resolved, That this resolution be spread upon the minutes and that a copy of the same, suitably engrossed, be presented to the family of the deceased.

Death of Miss Julia Sullivan.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that when this Board adjourns it do so out of respect to the memory of Miss Julia Sullivan, teacher in the San Francisco public schools and sister of the Hon. Matt I. Sullivan and the late Hon. Jeremiah F. Sullivan.

Motion *carried unanimously by rising vote.*

Bridge Franchise, John Goulding Little.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Notice of application for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, in the State of California.

Notice is hereby given by John Goulding Little, that he will apply to the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Monday, the 30th day of January, 1928, at the hour of two o'clock p. m. of said day, at the meeting place of said Board, at the City Hall, located in said City and County of San Francisco, for a franchise and/or authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of 50 years after the date of the granting of said franchise and/or said authority; that the said bridge is to be located along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the County of Alameda, State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 7 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 20 min. 49 sec., which point is about 120 feet northerly from the Key System Transit Company's Ferry House in the City of Oakland, and extending thence westerly to a point in the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 2 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 21 min. 31 sec., which point is about 700 feet northerly from the northeast point of Goat Island; thence westerly by the most direct available route with suitable grades and curvature to the intersection of the center line of Market street produced with the waterfront line on The Embarcadero in the City and County of San Francisco.

That the length of said bridge is 16,000 feet and that the breadth of said bridge is 200 feet.

JOHN GOULDING LITTLE.

In accordance with foregoing notice, formal application for a toll bridge franchise from the foot of Market street, San Francisco, via Goat Island to Key System Transit Company's Ferry House on the Alameda County shore was presented, and, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *continued for further hearing until February* 6, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Franchise Application, Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

Application of the Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, a corporation, for authority to erect, maintain, operate and take tolls on a public ferry between the City and County of San Francisco and Point San Quentin, in the County of Marin, State of California.

Together with blank form of bond and draft of bill granting said franchise.

(Filed January 30, 1928, 10:05 a. m.)

Protest of Richmond-San Rafael Ferry and Transportation Company, a corporation, and North Bay Realty and Development Company, a corporation, to the granting of the franchise.

(Filed January 30, 1928, 10:15 a. m.)

Protest of Northwestern Pacific Railroad Company against granting of application of Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company for a ferry franchise from San Francisco to Point San Quentin, Marin County.

(Filed January 30, 1928, 11:40 a. m.)

Hearing of above matter *continued in Board for one week.*

Subject matter *referred to Public Utilities Committee for hearing at meeting Wednesday, February* 8, 1928.

Report of Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee on Proposed $5,000,000 Bond Issue for Playgrounds.

The following report was presented, read and *approved*:

San Francisco, January 30, 1928.

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds has given careful consideration and public hearing to the recommendation of the Playground Commission that a five million dollar bond issue be placed before the people for the purchase and improvement of various playgrounds.

The Committee respectfully recommends to the Board of Supervisors that action on this recommendation be deferred, without prejudice, to the playground projects, until vital financial problems confronting the City shall have been solved. The completion of the water project, the construction of boulevards recently ordered by the

people, the building of the War Memorial group will increase the interest and redemption charges of the public debt very materially.

Kezar Stadium.

Another important problem presented to your Committee is the enlargement of the Kezar Stadium. Universal demand is made for a stadium that will seat 65,000 people and permit holdings of the great football games and other athletic events in this City. The Park Commission emphatically recommends this project. Your Parks and Playgrounds Committee approved it and recommends that the Board request the Finance Committee to find ways and means to provide sufficient money for the enlargement and completion of a suitable stadium commensurate with the importance of San Francisco.

Respectfully submitted,

F. J. McGOVERN,
J. M. TONER,
Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Senator James D. Phelan appeared in support of the proposed bond issue and was heard at length as to the said matter.

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued two weeks*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-second avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Gallagher's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twnty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Todd's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

The roll being called on the foregoing proposed amendment offered by Supervisor Todd, the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Before the vote was announced Supervisor Todd changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration of the vote whereby the foregoing proposed amendment was defeated.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Bathing Suits and Towels.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing bathing suits and towels for Municipal Swimming Pool and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

X-Ray Films for San Francisco Hospital.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over until* 11 *a. m., Monday, February* 6, 1928:

Auction Sale of Lease of City Property.

Bids to be received in accordance with notice and pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision 32 of Section 1, Chapter II, Article II and Section 3, Chapter IV, Article XI, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a lease of certain real property of the City and County to be offered for sale at public auction on the 30th day of January, 1928, at 3 p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Description of Property.

That certain parcel of land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, and situate in the County of San Mateo, State of California, described as follows:

Beginning at Engineer Station "PD" 1043+42.22 of the center line survey of the existing Hetch Hetchy aqueduct 60-inch pipe line; thence running south 45 degrees west 390 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly side line of Pulgas road; thence northwesterly, northerly, easterly and southeasterly along the side line of Pulgas road, following the curvature thereof, to a point which bears north 45 degrees east 60 feet, more or less, from said Engineer Station; thence south 45 degrees west 60 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Containing 5.8 acres, more or less.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28396 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of George Enesco, violin soloist, Municipal Pop Concert of January 12 (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $1,000.

Park Fund.

(2) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $1,108.87.

(3) W. K. McMillan, "Caterpillar" hire at McCoppin Square (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $577.50.

(4) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $866.92.

(5) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $832.61.

(6) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream furnished for parks (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $613.56.

Playground Fund.

(7) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of tennis courts at West Portal Playground (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $950.

(8) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of tennis courts at the Julius Kahn Playground (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $2,266.60.

(9) Klawans & McMullin, playground supplies (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $1,605.

Highway-Boulevard Bond Issue.

(10) J. P. Holland, Inc., hauling of sand for the Great Highway (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $598.20.

(11) M. Stulsaft Co., pipe and valves for Great Highway (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $2,486.89.

Hetch Hetchy Operavtive Revenue Fund.

(12) John J. Dailey, legal services for January, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $850.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(13) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, for depreciation as per Charter requirements (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $14,583.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(14) Wiley B. Allen Co., piano for Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $1,766.20.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(15) Latourette-Fical Co., thirteenth payment, plumbing work, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $1,248.53.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(16) Robert W. Jamison, fourth payment, furnishing and installing concrete trolley poles, Sunset Line (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $10,039.40.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(For Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(17) C. F. Braun & Co., five water cooling towers (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $2,400.

(18) Degler & Jackson, gravel furnished and hauled (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $770.

(19) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat furnished (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $1,208.07.

(20) A. H. Gibbons, concrete mix (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $909.

(21) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $509.40.

(22) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, six special rock buckets (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $545.06.

(23) Independent Lumber Co., cement and lumber (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $507.30.

(24) Kaiser Paving Co., rent of machinery (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $1,067.

(25) Livermore Meat Market, meat furnished (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $873.79.

(26) Peter Moy Co., land and easements in San Joaquin County (claim dated Jan. 11, 1928), $5,515.

(27) Safety Cable Co., copper wire (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $554.03.

(28) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $5,325.

(29) St. Louis Power Shovel Co., mucker parts (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $699.03.

(30) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, twelfth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $21,560.52.

(31) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., thirteenth payment, construcction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $30,276.42.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(32) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Jan. 7, 1928), $531.78.

(33) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Jan. 9, 1928), $7,522.17.

(34) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $1,764.94.

(35) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement, per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $1,578.16.

(36) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $3,426.49.

(37) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power furnished (claim dated Jan. 14, 1928), $38,918.51.

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59.

(38) Tobin & Tobin, attorneys for judgment creditors, fifth payment of one-tenth of amount of judgment, including interest, as per schedule attached, and as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $7,200.82.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(39) Hood & Strong, services rendered examining books of Board of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $850.

(40) The Wright Corporation, progress payment, dredging the Yacht Harbor (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $7,875.

(41) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of Civic Center (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $4,415.60.

(42) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of bridle path (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $976.66.

(43) The Wright Corporation, rock fill, Yecht Harbor (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $3,748.80.

(44) Monson Bros., repairs to Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $6,789.

(45) Sonoma State Home, December maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $8,945.

(46) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $600.

(47) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Super-

visors (claim dated Jan 23, 1928), $1,095.84.

(48) James G. Stafford and associates, ninth payment, appraisal of taxable improvements, per contract (claim dated Jan. 19, 1928), $6,813.50.

(49) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $686.09.

(50) Dion R. Holm, January legal services, telephone rate case (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $850.

(51) N. Randall Ellis, January engineering services, telephone rate case (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $750.

(52) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $575.04.

(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $638.85.

(54) Municipal Railway Operative Fund, reimbursement to cover cost of material used in reconstruction of tracks on West Portal avenue (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $2,715.67.

(55) Clarence B. Eaton, first payment, installing extension to high-pressure water system, Butchertown District (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $6,600.

(56) Acme Card System Co., office equipment for Police Department, account of Traffic Bureau (claim dated Jan. 16, 1928), $1,392.

(57) James Rolph, Jr., for Mayor's incidentals (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $523.75.

(58) A. J. Norman, M. D., room allowance for employees of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $2,887.43.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream for hospitals (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $9,333.58.

(60) Braun-Knecht-Heimann Co., laboratory equipment for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $690.17.

(61) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $1,418.85.

(62) R. L. Scherer Co., fluoroscope, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $4,886.35.

(63) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $3,468.41.

(64) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs and butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $4,737.90.

(65) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruits, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $588.52.

(66) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $2,701.09.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Setting Aside $77,000 Out of School Land Fund in Satisfaction of Certain Judgment.

Resolution No. 28397 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $77,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and made available out of School Land Fund in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, vs. Oscar M. Heuter, Wilhelmina Heuter, Ernest C. Heuter and Loretta B. Heuter, defendants, and numbered 189152 of the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of lands for school purposes. Said sum of $77,000 is subject, however, to a first lien of Fireman's Fund Insurance Company, a corporation, mortgagee, in the sum of $140,000.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Appropriations.

Resolution No. 28398 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Special School Tax.

(1) For expense of preliminary studies of site for the new Senior High School in the Richmond District, blocks bounded by Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, Geary and Balboa streets, $1,000.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 59.

(2) For expense of repairs to the Juvenile Detention Home, including repair and reinforcing of fence, cover over skylights with tin roof, etc., plumbing fixtures and painting, $1,200.

(3) For repairing roof to barn at Laguna Honda Home, $750.

(4) For resurfacing the decks, patients' quarters and corridor in the Infirmary Building, Laguna Honda Home, $1,035.

(5) For construction of counter with gates, partition, removal of wash basins, electric fixtures and painting in new room lightwell, Hall of Justice, $815.

(6) For expense of preparing plans and specifications in accordance with Resolution No. 28201 (New Series), for the Civic Center improvement, $1,500.

Extension of Sewers, Etc., Budget Item No. 38.

(7) For expense of installing and testing sewage pumping plant at Pine Lake Park (Ajax Construction Co. contract), $3,100.

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(8) For the construction and installation of traffic signals by the Department of Electricity, $5,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Appropriation for Property Required for Alemany Boulevard and Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 28399 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and authorized in payment out of the hereinafter mentioned funds to the herein designated claimants, to-wit:

Highway Bond Issue.

(1) To George N. Parez, for property required for the Alemany boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27929 (New Series) (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $3,040.50.

Bernal Cut Bond Issue.

(2) To Vincenzo Distefano and Frances Distefano, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28289 (New Series) (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $4,134.

(3) Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., title reports and expenses incurred in the purchase of property for the opening of the Bernal Cut (claim dated Jan. 19, 1928), $588.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Appropriation, $1,211.72, Credit for Labor, Material and Supplies Furnished Traffic Bureau.

Resolution No. 28400 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,211.72 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 69, to the credit of the hereinafter mentioned budget items; being reimbursements for labor, materials and supplies furnished and used in the painting of curbs for traffic regulation during the month of December, 1927:

To credit of Budget Item No. 411, labor.....	$720.00
To credit of Budget Item No. 433, materials.....	491.72
Total	$1,211.72

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Appropriations in Satisfaction of Judgments, Etc., in Action for Acquisition of Land, Southeast Corner of Golden Gate Avenue and Gough Street for John Swett School.

Resolution No. 28401 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Attorney in that certain action entitled City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, vs. James Conlin Co. and Echlin & Echlin, Inc., defendants, numbered 178570, Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, wherein a judgment of dismissal upon the abandonment of the proceedings for the acquisition of that certain lot of land situate at the southeast corner of Golden Gate avenue and Gough street, for school purposes (John Swett School) in accordance with the request of the Board of Education of December 14, 1927, to abandon said proceedings, as said property is not required for school purposes, the following sums of money be and the same are hereby appropriated out of the Special School Tax Fund in full payment and satisfaction of said judgment for necessary expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by defendants in said action in preparation for said trial before the

said abandonment of said proceedings by said Board of Education in the following amounts set opposite the respective defendants and attorneys hereinafter named, to-wit:

To Vincent E. Finnigan, appraiser for defendant James Conlin Co., the sum of $100.

To Fred C. Boechmann, appraiser for defendant James Conlin Co., the sum of $100.

To Philip Paschel, appraiser for defendant James Conlin Co., the sum of $100.

To James J. Harrington, attorney for defendant James Conlin Co., retainer fee and costs of services rendered as attorney for said defendant Conlin in preparation of trial, the sum of $752.

To Charles Baer, retainer fee and costs of services rendered in preparation of trial for defendant Echlin & Echlin, Inc., the sum of $353.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Construction in Various Streets.

Resolution No. 28402 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for construction in the following streets, to-wit:

(1) Twenty-seventh avenue from Fulton to Cabrillo streets, $1,425.

(2) Sloat boulevard terminus with the Great Highway, $2,728.60.

(3) Twenty-eighth street, Castro to Diamond streets, $777.19.

(4) Twentieth street, Rhode Island to Vermont streets, $698.65.

(5) Twenty-second avenue and Santiago street, $1,191.80.

(6) Thirty-second avenue, Anza to Balboa streets, $4,060.

(7) Twenty-second avenue, Rivera to Santiago streets, $3,000.

(8) Armstrong avenue, Third to Keith streets, $3,065.35.

(9) Mariposa street, Wisconsin to Arkansas streets, $2,240.

(10) Kansas street, Army to Marin streets, $1,170.

(11) Eighteenth avenue, Quintara to Rivera streets, $975.

(12) De Haro street, Mariposa to Eighteenth streets, $865.

(13) Cayuga avenue between Onondaga and Oneida avenues, $3,890.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued until meeting of February* 14, 1928:

Plans, Etc., for Sewers in Golden Gate Heights District.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District, and ordering the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of sewers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District, and to enter into contract for the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Condemnation of Lands, Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 28403 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Outside Land Blocks Nos. 637, 708, 733, 804, 829, 896, 921, 981, 1006, 1062, 1086, 1138, 1161 and 1209.

Also all of Block 2460-A, as per map of Pinelake Park, filed Oc-

tober 8, 1926, in Block "K", pages 79 to 82, inclusive, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Also all of Block 2505-A, as per map of Pinelake Park, filed October 8, 1926, in Book "K" of Maps, pages 79 to 82, inclusive, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being bounded on the west by Thirty-seventh avenue, on the north by Wawona street, on the east by Thirty-sixth avenue and on the south by Yerba street, as delineated on said map.

Also all of Block 2520-A, as per map of Pinelake Park, filed October 8, 1926, in Book "K" of Maps, pages 79 to 82, inclusive, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, except that portion thereof lying westerly of the westerly line of Thirty-seventh avenue extended southerly.

Also all that portion of Block 1278-A, as per map of Market Street Extension, filed October 13, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, page 161, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the westerly line of Block 2520-A, as per map of Pinelake Park, filed October 8, 1926, in Book "K" of Maps, pages 79 to 82, inclusive, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; running thence westerly along the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 50 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of Thirty-seventh avenue extended southerly; thence northerly along said line of Thirty-seventh avenue so extended 93.855 feet to the boundary line of said Block 2520-A; thence north 86 degrees 50 minutes east along the last named line 50 feet to an angle point in the boundary line of said Block 2520-A, and thence south 3 degrees 10 minutes east 93.855 feet to the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: for boulevard purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tract of land, of any and all interests therein, or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Appropriation, $878.70, Payment to Crocker First National Bank, Fiscal Agents.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $878.77 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, and authorized in payment to the Crocker National Bank of San Francisco, being payment for account of San Francisco.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 28404 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. J. Wilbe, south side of San Jacinto avenue, 25 feet east of Monterey boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Rossitter Apartments, 945 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Telegraph Hill Neighborhood House, 1746 Stockton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. Rassmussen, east side of Scott street, 100 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Twenty-five Twenty-five Larkin street, 2525 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. A. Wood, 53 Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Barrett & Hilp, 54 Palm avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

A. Salari, 5131 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Hotel Brownell, 335 Larkin street, 600 gallons capacity.

City of Paris Dyeing & Cleaning Works, northwest corner of Florida

and Twentieth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Simon Erlanger, 41 Mercedes way, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28405 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fialers, Inc., be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 840 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28406 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frederick W. Schmidt be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Clement street, 57 feet 6 inches east of Twenty-first avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28407 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. T. Reilly be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted McKeon & Son by Resolution No. 20080 (New Series) for premises at 3657 Sacramento street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Resolution No. 28408 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lincoln Park Garage, Inc. (Chas. McManus) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Nott & Fleming by Resolution No. 22823 (New Series) for premises at 6620 Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Resolution No. 28076 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Cleaning and Dyeing Permit.

Resolution No. 28409 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City of Paris Dyeing and Cleaning Works be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to maintain and operate a dyeing and cleaning works on the northwest corner of Florida and Twentieth streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Planing Mill Permit.

Resolution No. 28410 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Edwin T. Peterson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a planing mill and lumber yard in block bounded by Davidson street, Evans avenue, Quint street and Rankin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 28411 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gas and Electric Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts at the foot of Twenty-third street for the purpose of cutting off to grade the tops of concrete piles after the same are driven. This is in connection with the construction of a new salt water circulating supply conduit or pipe to the company's plant at the foot of Twenty-third street. Providing said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficinet bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said Pacific Gas and Electric Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over until meeting of February 14, 1928*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Conlon be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the south side of Mission street, 275 feet east of Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Plans, Etc., for Construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, Together With Pedestrian Undercrossing Opposite the Beach Chalet.

Bill No. 8381, Ordinance No. 7887 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, at the Ocean Beach, together with a pedestrian undercrossing opposite the Beach Chalet, and ordering the construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, at the Ocean Beach, together with a pedestrian undercrossing opposite the Beach Chalet in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and which are hereby approved; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, at the Ocean Beach, together with pedestrian undercrossing opposite the Beach Chalet. The cost of said construction to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, at the Ocean Beach, together with a pedestrian undercrossing opposite the Beach Chalet, and to enter into contract for the construction of Section "E" of the Esplanade, at the Ocean Beach, together with a pedestrian undercrossing opposite the Beach Chalet, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the

plans and specifications prepared therefor, which are hereby approved. The cost of said construction to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Foodstuffs Ordinance Amendment.

Bill No. 8303, Ordinance No. 7888 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the manufacture, handling, care and sale of foodstuffs within the City and County of San Francisco," approved September 22, 1914.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, to read as follows:

Section 6. No person, firm or corporation engaged in the manufacture, handling or sale of foodstuffs shall require, permit or allow any person suffering from any communicable disease to work, lodge, sleep or remain within or upon the premises.

It shall be unlawful for any person to bring into, or for any person, firm or corporation to allow, any dog or dogs, or cat or cats, to enter any place of busines designated in this ordinance.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to display on the street, or in the open air, food products liable to be injured, infected or polluted, without adequate protection from dirt, flies, animals or insects.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7471 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of Mississippi Street.

Bill No. 8382, Ordinance No. 7889 (New Series), as folows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7471 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Mississippi street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, Wisconsin street between T w e n t i e t h and Twenty-second streets, Twentieth street between Connecticut and Arkansas streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous rock sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7471 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Mississippi street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, Wisconsin street between T w e n t i e t h and Twenty-second streets, Twentieth street between Connecticut and Arkansas streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous rock sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7821 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of South Side of Hayes Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Polk Street.

Bill No. 8383, Ordinance No. 7890 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7821 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south side of Hayes street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street, by the construction of sidewalks where not already improved.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7821 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south side of Hayes street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street, by the construction of sidewalks where not already improved, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7464 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of Forty-fifth Avenue.

Bill No. 8384, Ordinance No. 7891 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7464 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, where not already improved, by the construction of asphalt pavements, etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7464 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, etc., where not already improved, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7818 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of Portion of Larkin Street.

Bill No. 8385, Ordinance No. 7892 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7818 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the west side of Larkin street from the north line of Turk street to a line parallel with and one hundred and thirty-seven feet six inches north of the north line of Turk street, etc., by the construction of sidewalks where not already constructed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7818 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the west one-half of Larkin street from the north line of Turk street to a line parallel with and one hundred and thirty-seven feet six inches north of the north line of Turk street, etc., by the construction of sidewalks where not already constructed, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Repealing Ordinance No. 6884 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of Castro Street.

Bill No. 8386, Ordinance No. 7893 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 6884 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, etc., by the construction of sidewalks where not already constructed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6884 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, etc., where not already constructed, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8387, Ordinance No. 7894 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The removal of sand from the

street and the construction of bulkheads along the south side of Judah street from a line parallel with and 100 feet west of the west line of Thirty-second avenue to a line parallel with and 100 feet east of the east line of Forty-third avenue, except where public streets intercept, or where improvements have been made upon the property to prevent the drifting of sand on to the street or sidewalk.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8388, Ordinance No. 7895 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the westerly one-half of Laidley street between Fairmount street and the southerly line of Harry street produced, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8389, Ordinance No. 7896 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on

PUBLIC LIBRARY

all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Byxbee street between Garfield and Shields streets, and of Vernon street between Garfield and Shields streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of side sewers, by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8390, Ordinance No. 7897 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Innes avenue between Newhall street and Mendell street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with Y branches, side sewers and one brick manhole along the center line of Innes avenue from a point 20 feet westerly from Mendell street to the existing manhole easterly from Newhall street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of Innes avenue between Lane street and Mendell street, including the crossings of Innes avenue and Lane street and Innes avenue and Mendell street, and Mendell street between Hudson avenue and Innes avenue by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: an 8-inch with Y branches, side sewers and 4 brick manholes along the center line of Innes avenue between the easterly line of Lane street and the center line of Mendell street; an 8-inch along the center line of Mendell street between the center and easterly lines of Innes avenue; a 12-inch along the center line of Lane street between the southerly and center lines of Innes avenue; a 15-inch along the center line of Lane street between the center and northerly lines of Innes avenue, and a 12-inch with Y branches, side sewers and one brick manhole along the center line of Mendell street between the center line of Innes avenue and the southerly line of Hudson avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8391, Ordinance No. 7898 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the easterly one-half of Lisbon street between the southerly line of Russia avenue and a line 100 feet southerly from and parallel with the southerly line of Russia avenue by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construlction of an artificial stone sidewalk 6 feet in width to conform to the existing sidewalks; by the construction of a side sewer; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of vitrified brick pavement adjacent to the center line, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman. Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern. McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton. Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8392, Ordinance No. 7899 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of La Salle avenue between Lane and Mendell streets, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks: by the construction of the necessary side sewers; by the construction of a concrete stairway, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman. Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern. McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton. Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8393, Ordinance No. 7900 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ralston street between Sargent and Randolph streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of sidesewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8394, Ordinance No. 7901 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Beverly treet between Garfield and Shields streets, and of Beverly street between Shields street and Worcester avenue, and of Monticello street between Garfield and Shields streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of sidesewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the rodway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8395, Ordinance No. 7902 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Clarendon avenue between Stanyan street and Bigler avenue, and Twin Peaks boulevard, respectively, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying brick manholes and Y branches between the existing manhole in Twin Peaks boulevard and Stanyan street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8396, Ordinance No. 7903 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

For the removal of sand from the sidewalk area and street, and the construction of a bulkhead on the west side of Twenty-sixth avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and twenty (120) feet south of Anza street to a line parallel with and one hundred and ninety-five (195) feet south of Anza street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8397, Ordinance No. 7904 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in

accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

For the removal of sand from the sidewalk area and street, and the construction of bulkheads on the west side of Twentieth avenue from the north line of Moraga street to a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet north of the north line of Moraga street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8398, Ordinance No. 7905 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, made be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of La Salle avenue between Lane and Mendell streets, where not already improved, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of the necessary side sewers; by the construction of a concrete stairway, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8399, Ordinance No. 7906 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Morse street

between Pope street and Concord street, including the intervening alleys, and excepting the crossing of Allison street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with Y branches, side sewers and brick manhole along the center line of Morse street from a point 20 feet easterly from the easterly line of Concord street produced southerly to the existing sewer connection at the westerly line of Allison street produced northerly; by the construction of a concrete pavement between Pope street and a line 132 feet westerly from Pope street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. Tnis ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Bill No. 8400, Ordinance No. 7907 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Januarv 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lower Terrace between Saturn street and the westerly line of Levant street produced, including the intersections of Lower Terrace and Levant street, by grading to official line and grade, and by the construction of the following:

Class "A" Portland cement concrete (1:2:4 mix) in walls, copings, steps and structures, including all excavation and backfill therefor, and including the furnishing and placing of concrete reinforcing steel for same; standard six (6) inch concrete curbs; artificial stone sidewalks; concrete pavement; asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface; brick catchbasins with castiron frames, gratings and traps; the necessary six (6) inch ironstone pipe side sewers; ten (10) inch ironstone pipe culverts.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Designating Location of Sunset Boulevard.

Bill No. 8401, Ordinance No. 7908 (New Series), as follows:

Ordinance designating and selecting route for "Sunset Boulevard" and providing for the acquisition of lands therefor from money realized from sale of bonds authorized November 8, 1927.

Whereas, at a special election held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 8th day of November, 1927, in accordance with the requirements of Ordinance No. 7738 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27924 (New Series), giving notice of said election, the people of the City and County of San Francisco authorized the issuance of bonds to the amount of $9,380,000 for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of certain routes and public highways and boulevards in the City and County

of San Francisco, among which said routes and boulevards was a boulevard known and designated as "Sunset Boulevard," which said boulevard is to be constructed from Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction at a point between said avenues to Sloat boulevard; and

Whereas, the most feasible route for said "Sunset Boulevard" is between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue from Lincoln way in a southerly direction to said Sloat boulevard; now, therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the said Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby declare that said "Sunset Boulevard" should be constructed between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and from Lincoln way in a southerly direction to Sloat boulevard and hereby designates all of the land from the west line of Thirty-sixth avenue to the easterly line of Thirty-seventh avenue between Lincoln way and Sloat boulevard as the land to be acquired for said "Sunset Boulevard," which said lands above mentioned shall be acquired for said "Sunset Boulevard."

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern. McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton. Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $59,589.26, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern. McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton. Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $34.71.

California School for Blind, clothing for S. F. ward, $10.21.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for "The Messiah" (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $3,125.

(2) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Orchestra for concert of Jan. 12 (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $2,000.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(3) General Fund, Appropriation 3, Budget Item 76 (Board of Works —Truck rental), reimbursement for hauling charges (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $1,140.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(For account of Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(4) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber furnished (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $863.35.

(5) R. A. Hansen, stove and fuel oil (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $998.70.

(6) Kaiser Paving Co., machinery rental (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $788.26.

(7) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $700.80.

(8) Shell Company, gasoline, oil and grease (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $678.80.

(9) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 21, 1928), $956.13.

Park Fund.

(10) Montague Range & Furnace Co., oil range (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $1,380.

(11) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $836.38.

Playground Fund.

(12) Atlas Hauling & Grading Co., tanbark for playgrounds (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,328.64.

(13) Department of Elections, 25 election booths for playgrounds (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $625.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(14) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., hardware for Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Jan. 21, 1928), $875.

(15) Reinhart Lumber & Planing Mill Co., millwork for Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Jan. 21, 1928), $3,600.

(16) Van Fleet Freear Co., linoleum, cement, etc., for Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Jan. 21, 1928), $527.80.

(17) Robert A. Smith, Inc., one Chevrolet Roadster for Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Jan. 21, 1928), $514.50.

Special School Tax.

(18) Pacific Gasteam Co., equipment for Cabrillo School (claim dated Jan. 19, 1928), $676.82.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Jan. 19, 1928), $761.76.

(20) Joseph J. Rankin, first payment, architectural service for addition to Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Jan. 24, 1928), $1,152.

(21) James J. Harrington, retainer fee and cost of service as attorney for defendant J. Conlin, in action 178570, and in accordance with judgment of Superior Court (claim dated Jan. 29, 1928), $752.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(22) Anderson & Ringrose, ninth payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Jan. 24, 1928), $22,072.50.

(23) Frigidaire Corporation, payment for refrigeration for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $950.

(24) Spencer Elevator Co., second payment, elevator construction for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Jan. 24, 1928), $1,683.97.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(25) Pacific States Electric Co., second payment, electric cables for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $2,841.61.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(26) California Printing Co., printing statements for Assessor (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $1,917.60.

(27) St. Charles Market, meat for County Jails (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $598.96.

(28) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas service, County Jails (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $715.32.

(29) Phillips Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $989.27.

(30) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for County Jails (clam dated Jan. 18, 1928), $1,674.19.

(31) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $904.63.

(32) Standard Underground Cable Co., cable for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $3,425.

(33) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil, Civic Center Power House (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $1-481.47.

(34) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $747.50.

(35) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $564.77.

(36) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $4,405.75.

(37) T. M. Gallagher, second payment, furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,260.

(38) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 24, 1928), $736.

(39) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $2,485.79.

(40) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,780.47.

(41) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil for Hall of Justice (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $593.03.

Special School Tax.

(42) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $547.97.

(43) Pacific Pipe Company, pipe for schools (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $507.92.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(44) C. Voight, refund of duplicate payment of taxes, first instalment, 1927-1928 (claim dated Jan. 19, 1928), $2,204.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(45) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing, advertising, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 30, 1928), $2,126.91.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(46) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., seventh payment, motor equipment for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $4,-841.55.

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Property Required for the Construction of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Elizabeth J. Notter, for property as approved and described by Resolution No. 28359, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $5,600.

(2) To Violet Lipman, for property as described and approved by Resolution No. 28359, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $5,000.

(3) To Henry J. Harvey, for property as described and approved by Resolution No. 28359, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $4,450.

(4) To Vieno V. Wiljanen and Anna L. Wiljanen, for property as described and approved by Resolution No. 28362, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $3,550.

(5) To Antonio Belle and Carrie M. Belle, for property as described and approved by Resolution No. 28362, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $6,400.

(6) To John Svabek and Verona Svabek, for property as described and approved by Resolution No. 28363, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $4,500.

(7) To Millicent Kubeck, for property as described and approved by Resolution. No. 28364, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $3,250.

Appropriations, Acquisition of Property Required for the Site of Roosevelt School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Land Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for property required for the Roosevelt School to-wit:

(1) To Wilhelm Weder, for land and improvements commencing on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 435 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Blake street 50 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 50 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28381 (New Series), $10,000.

(2) To Edward M. Greene, for land and improvements commencing at point on westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 385 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28382 (New Series), $6,250.

(3) To Robert and Helene Gahard, for land and improvements commencing at point on westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 360 feet northerly from northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28383 (New Series, $6,000.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Appropriation, $5,000, for Additional and Emergency Supplies, Board of Health.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Accepting Offer of Martin Nelson et al. to Sell for $6,337 Certain Property Required for the Construction of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28412 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets, southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Martin Nelson and Anna C. Nelson, $6,337—Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 25 feet to the

easterly boundary line of the property of Frank and Fanny Machkota; thence along said easterly line the following courses and distances: at right angles northerly 63 feet; deflecting to the left 90 deg. 15 min. 36 sec. and running westerly 11.667 feet; deflecting to the right 79 deg. 45 min. 36 sec. and running northerly 82.432 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 165 feet, more or less, to the westerly boundary line of the property of the W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co. and the easterly line of Rousseau street produced northerly; thence southerly along said westerly line 33 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho; thence deflecting 51 deg. 27 min. 32 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 58.741 feet; thence deflecting to the left 74 deg. 27 min. 37 sec. and running southeasterly 24.654 feet to a point on the northeasterly prolongation of the northwesterly boundary line of the property of the W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co. in Block 5 of the De Boom Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "1," pages 171 and 172, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 87 deg. 45 min. and running southwesterly along said prolongation and said northwesterly line 70.417 feet to the westerly boundary line of said W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co. property in said Block 5; thence deflecting 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. to the left and running southerly along said westerly line 88.667 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning. Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 25 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property of Frank and Fanny Machkota; thence along said easterly line the following courses and distances: at right angles northerly 63 feet; deflecting to the left 90 deg. 15 min. 36 sec. and running westerly 11.667 feet; deflecting to the right 79 deg. 45 min. 36 sec. and running northerly 45.979 feet; thence leaving said easterly line and deflecting to the right 76 deg. 44 min. 36 sec. and running northeasterly 103.846 feet to a point distant westerly at right angles 50 feet from the easterly line of Rousseau street; thence deflecting to the right 113 deg. 45 min. 24 sec. and running southerly and parallel with said easterly line of Rousseau street 37.743 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property of the W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co. in Block 5 of the De Boom Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "I", pages 171 and 172, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. and running southwesterly along said northwesterly line 55.282 feet to the westerly boundary line of said W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co.'s property in said Block 5; thence deflecting 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. to the left and running southerly along said westerly line 88.667 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

The above amount of $6,337 includes, in addition to the payment for the above-described parcel of land, all damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above parcel.

The above sum also includes payment in full for the loss of the spur track now partially located on the above-described parcel.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon the payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Clerk to Advertise Sale of Boulevard Bonds.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28413 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that on the 27th day of February, 1928, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

Two million five hundred thousand dollars of boulevard bonds, issue of November 1, 1927, comprising one hundred and twenty-five bonds of $1,000 denomination, four and one-half per cent, maturing each year from 1932 to 1951, inclusive.

The Finance Committee shall fix the terms and conditions of sale.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

C. J. Burt, 2437 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Norman Eisner, 555 Camino Del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. W. Morton, east side of Scott street, 54 feet south of Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Kendrick, 125 San Fernando way, 600 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

City of Paris Dyeing and Cleaning Works, northwest corner of Twentieth and Florida streets, 50-horsepower.

Golden West Plating Works, 183 Steuart street, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over until February* 14, 1928:

Automobile Parking Station Permit, H. J. Mallen.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 112 feet 8 inches north of Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Removal and Destruction of Poison Oak Shrub, Vacant Lots.

On motion of Supervisor Toner:

Bill No. 8402, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance requiring the removal and destruction of poison oak or poison ivy shrub (rhus toxicodendron) from vacant lots and open spaces in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Owners of all vacant lots and open spaces in the City and County of San Francisco, which become infested with poison oak or poison ivy shrub (rhus toxicodendron), hereafter referred to in this ordinance as poisonous growth, are hereby required to cause the removal and destruction of such poisonous growth within three (3) days after the receipt of notice to remove same. Notice to remove such growth shall be given by the Department of Public Health and served by delivering a copy thereof to the woner or his agent personally, or if such owner or agent be not known, then by posting same in a conspicuous place on the lot or space to be described in the notice.

Section 2. The presence of poisonous growth, commonly known as poison oak or poison ivy shrub, is hereby declared to be a nuisance and a detriment to public health.

Section 3. The Department of Public Health is hereby charged with the proper enforcement of this ordinance.

Section 4. Any person, firm, association or corporation neglecting or refusing to remove and destroy such poisonous growth within three (3) days after receipt of notice so to do shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be subpect to a fine of not more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than fifteen (15) days,

or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28414 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northwest corner Essex and Harrison streets.

South side Harrison street between Sterling street and Rincon place.

North side Harrison street between First and Essex streets.

West side Rincon place, first south of Harrison street.

South side Harrison street between Rincon place and First street.

Northwest and southeast corners First and Harrison streets.

Corner Valencia and Mission streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Blake street, south side of Geary street.

Sixteenth avenue and Vicente street.

Northeast corner Essex and Harrison streets.

Rincon place and Harrison street.

First street and Harrison street.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Leese street between Mission and Park streets.

East side Van Buren street, second pole south of Surrey street.

Install 250 *C. P. O. B.*

Front of 65 Fairfield avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Accepting Offer of William F. Altvater to Sell Certain Land at Twenty-second Avenue and Rivera Street Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28415 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Wm. F. Altvater to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land at the northwest corner of Twenty-second avenue and Rivera street, and on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, 180 feet north from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $15,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Rivera street with the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Rivera street 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 155 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue; thence southerly along the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue 155 feet to the northerly line of Rivera street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 1048, also known as Block 2195 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 180 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 26 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 26 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 1048, also known as Block 2195 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Accepting Offer of Arthur Frank to Sell for $2,500 Certain Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28416 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Arthur Frank to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 110 feet 6 inches southerly from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 110 feet 6 inches southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 52 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 52 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1100, also known as Block 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Accepting Offer of Elizabeth Herester Huie to Sell for $6,000 Certain Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28417 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Elizabeth Herester Huie to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the southerly line of Geary street, distant 90 feet westerly from Thirtieth avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $6,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Geary street, distant thereon 90 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly along said southerly line of Geary street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 125 feet to the southerly line of Geary street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 254, also known as Block No. 1514 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Accepting Offer of Hannah Gallagher to Sell for $1,400 Certain Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28418 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Hannah Gallagher to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the westerly line of Worden street, distant thereon 68 feet 6 inches southerly from Francisco street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,400 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Worden street, distant thereon 68 feet 6 inches southerly from Francisco street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Worden street 56 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westrely 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 56 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet to the westerly line of Worden street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 125 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Accepting Offer of Dolores T. Barry to Sell Certain Land on Stockton Street Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28419 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Dolores T. Barry to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant 50 feet northerly from Francisco street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances for the sum of $3,250 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 50 feet northerly from the northerly line of Francisco street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Stockton street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 68 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Worden street; thence southerly along said easterly line of Worden street 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 68 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 125 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a goid nad sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Accepting Offer of Alma Hoop to Sell Certain Land and Improvements Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28420 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Alma Hoop to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 510 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,250 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 510 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Blake street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Accepting Offer of Honora A. Quigley to Sell Certain Land on Twenty-third Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28421 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Honora A. Quigley to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the east line of Twenty-third avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 213 feet 2 inches southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 75 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 1048, also known sa Block 2195 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commencing on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 206 feet 10 inches northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1048, also known as Block 2195 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of

Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Lease of Land on Bush Street With Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for Fire Department Purposes.

Supervisors Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28422 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into a lease with the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for that certain property owned by said company, situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Bush street, distant thereon one hundred and ten (110) feet easterly from the easterly line of Dupont street, running thence easterly along the northerly line of Bush street twenty-seven (27) feet six (6) inches; thence at right angles northerly one hundred and fifteen (115) feet; thence at a right angle westerly twenty-seven (27) feet six (6) inches, and thence at a right angle southerly one hundred and fifteen (115) feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Fifty (50) Vara Lot No. Ninety-four (94).

That said lease shall be upon the following terms and conditions: That the term of said lease shall be for a period of five (5) years from and after the date of the commencement thereof, and that the City shall have the right to renew said lease for a further term of five (5) years, upon the same terms and conditions as are contained in the lease hereby authorized, and that the rental to be paid to said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for said property shall be one hundred ($100) dollars per month payable in advance on the first day of each and every month of the term of said lease, or of the extension thereof, and that in addition to the rental reserved in said lease, the City and County of San Francisco will pay all taxes assessed against said real property, or on the improvements thereon, and at the expiration of the term of said lease, or on the termination thereof, the said City and County shall have the privilege of removing such improvements as may be now on said property or may hereafter be constructed thereon by the said City and County; provided, however, that no improvements shall be made to the building on said property which will increase the present height of said building; and that said lease shall contain a further provision that the same may be canceled by the City and County upon giving to the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, or whoever may hereafter become the owner of said property, ninety (90) days' notice in writing of its intention to terminate said lease.

And that the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to prepare, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a lease in conformity with this resolution, containing all and singular the conditions herein set forth and such other conditions as may be deemed advisable by said City Attorney, and that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Clerk and Mayor to Lease Land on St. George Alley and Bush Street.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28423 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is the owner of that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, together with the improvements thereon, described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Bush street, distant thereon twenty-four (24) feet westerly from the northwesterly corner of St. George alley and Bush street, running thence westerly and along said northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet; thence at a right angle northerly and par-

allel with the westerly line of St. George alley fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches; thence at a right angle easterly and parallel with the northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet, and thence at a right angle southerly fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Fifty Vara Lot No. 94.

And whereas, it will be to the advantage and best interests of the said City and County of San Francisco that said above described property, together with the improvements thereon, be leased to the highest responsible bidder therefor, at the highest monthly rental obtainable therefor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby directed to give notice by publication for at least three weeks, that the said above described property will be leased to the highest responsible bidder therefor, at the highest monthly rental obtainable therefor, and that bids or offers for the leasing of said property, and the said improvements thereon will be received in open meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on Monday, the 27th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m. of said day, in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, in the City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, and that on said date and at said time and place sealed bids in writing will be received by the said Board of Supervisors for the leasing of the above described property, for a term of ten (10) years, and that said lease will be made at said time and place to the highest and best responsible bidder therefor, and that upon the opening of said sealed bids in writing and before the acceptance of any bid so made, any responsible bidder may make an increased bid or offer for the leasing of said property, and said bid so made in open board will be considered as if made in writing. That all bids or offers made for the leasing of said property must be accompanied by a certified check or certificate of deposit, or lawful money of the United States, in an amount of at least five hundred dollars, which said sum shall be held as security; that the successful bidder will enter into a lease in conformity with the terms of his bid, and on failure to so do, the same will be forfeited to the City and County of San Francisco. That bids or offers for the renting of said premises shall specify the total amount of rent to be paid for the said term of ten years, which said rental shall be payable in equal monthly installments, commencing on the first day of each and every month during the term of said lease.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Accepting Offer of Hannah Dempsey to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28424 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Hannah Dempsey to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,200 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 1100, also known as Block 2327 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for

said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Closing Portion of Chelsea Place.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28425 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest requires that a certain portion of Chelsea place be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon:

All that certain portion of Chelsea place south of a line parallel with and 114 feet 6 inches at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Bush street.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Chelsea place in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The San Francisco Bulletin" as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman. Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. McGovern. McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton. Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Award of Contract, Dry Goods, Etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 28426 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing dry goods and wearing apparel be hereby made on bids submitted January 3, 1928 (Proposal No. 343), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 1—Normandin Bros. Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 3—Greenebaum, Weil & Michels; bond $100.

Bid No. 5—Leighton-Jellett Co., Inc.; no bond required.

Bid No. 6—D. N. & E. Walter & Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 8—L. Dinkelspiel Co., Inc.; bond $1,000.

Bid No. 9—Eloesser-Heynemann Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 10—N. & S. E. Kalisher; no bond required.

Bid No. 12—Levi-Strauss & Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 13—Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., Inc.; bond $1,000.

Bid No. 14—Carl Munter & Co.; bond $500.

Bid No. 15—Pendleton Woolen Mills; bond $300.

Bid No. 16—Philadelphia Shoe Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 17—Doctors & Nurses Outfitting Co., Inc.; no bond required.

Bid No. 20—Goldstone Bros.; bond $100.

Bid No. 21—J. B. Crowley Inc.; no bond required.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern. McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Award of Contract, American La France Fire Engine Company.

Also, Resolution No. 28427 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to American La France Fire Engine Co. of Calif. on bid submitted October 24, 1927 (Proposal No. 332), for furnishing the following: To gasoline motor-driven combined pumping engines and hose wagons, equipped with pneumatic tires and disc or artil-

lery wheels as specified by Fire Department at $10,740 each.

Resolved, That said contractor shall furnish a bond in the sum of $2,000 for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Award of Contract, Linseed Oil, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. 28428 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made for furnishing linseed oil, putty, shellac, turpentine and lead that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing January 1, 1928, and ending April 30, 1928, on bids opened November 28, 1927 (Proposal No. 336), and tabulation thereof in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 1—National Lead Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 2—W. P. Fuller & Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 4—Dan P. Maher Paint Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 5—Yates & Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 6—The Glidden Co. of Calif.; no bond required.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Award of Contract, Paint, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. 28429 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made for furnishing supplies in Class 9—paints, painters' supplies and glass—that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing January 1, 1928, and ending December 31, 1928, on bids opened November 28, 1927 (Proposal No. 335), and tabulation thereof in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matters having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 1—Certainteed Products Corp.; no bond required.

Bid No. 2—W. P. Fuller & Co.; bond $200.

Bid No. 3—Tyre Bros. Glass Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 4—Paraffine Companies, Inc.; no bond required.

Bid No. 7—Dan P. Maher Paint Co.; bond $1000.

Bid No. 8—Yates & Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 9—The Glidden Co. of Calif.; no bond required.

Bid No. 12—Bass-Hueter Paint Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 13—Excelsior Chemical Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 15—D. H. Rhodes & Co.; no bond required.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28430 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Unione Sportiva I'Taliana Virtus Club, Inc., to conduct a masquerade ball at Sportiva Hall, 1451 Stockton street, Saturday evening, February 11, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Accepting Offer of Helen E. Corwell to Sell for $1,200 Certain Land on Twenty-fourth Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28431 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Helen E. Corwell to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 100 feet north from Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,200 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 1299, also known as Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title of said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Relative to Use of Auditorium for Convention of Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Engineermen.

The following report was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco,
January 30, 1928.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

As Chairman of the Auditorium Committee, I find that a serious misunderstanding has occurred in leasing the Auditorium to the International Firemen and Locomotive Engineers' Association.

On or about August 1, 1926, Mr. Ford, representative of the Local Chapter of the International Firemen and Locomotive Engineers' Association, called at the office of the Board of Supervisors and the clerk in charge of the booking of the Auditorium tentatively reserved the use of the Polk Hall of the Auditorium for a period extending from June 10 to July 10, 1928, for the purpose of holding a convention for said organization.

Subsequently, in September, 1927, there appeared at the office of the Board of Supervisors, Mr. Ford, accompanied by Mr. Hawkins, eastern representative, who declared that Polk Hall wouldn't be sufficient for their purpose, that they would require the Main Auditorium.

The Superintendent of the Auditorium called my attention to the fact that the Committee had allotted the Main Hall of the Audi- June 14th, B. P. O. Elks (tentative); June 26th, Summer Symphony; June 30th, Islam Temple, Shriners (tentative); July 3d, Summer Symphony; July 4th, pageant and celebration; July 10th, Summer Symphony.

An appointment was made to meet the President and Secreatry of the International Firemen and Locomotive Engineers' Association in the Tourists' League office, and, in company with Mr. Foley, the Superintendent, we all met, and the Secretary and President notified us tha+ if they were not granted the Main Hall of the Auditorium and Polk Hall for the time originally asked for, i. e., June 10 to July 10, that they would have to seek convention quarters in some other city. They would not consent to allow-

ing us to use the Auditorium for the Fourth of July celebration or any of the above dates mentioned.

On January 25th a delegation from the Summer Symphony, with a Mr. Thompson, their president, waited on me and notified me that if we cancelled their summer symphony dates that he, personally, would resign as president of the Summer Symphony, and he felt that the Summer Symphony would be disbanded.

Now, gentlemen of the Board, this is a serious matter. I am your representative, as chairman of the Auditorium Committee, and I could not take it upon myself to agree to the terms asked by the International Firemen and Locomotive Engineers' Association, as I felt that that organization, representing, as they do, some one hundred fifteen thousand members throughout the entire United States, and with delegates to this convention of nine hundred fifty-odd, were asking for more than I, personally, feel they are entitled to. Polk Hall will seat fifteen hundred; Larkin Hall will seat fifteen hundred. We have a couple of large rooms upstairs that will seat a couple of hundred that could be used for their delegates. Either of the side halls are commensurate as far as capacity is concerned with the number of delegates to be brought to this City by the association, and ample accommodations are offered by either or both of the side halls.

At the time that Mr. Hawkins appeared he was told that solicitations were being made for the Republican and Democratic conventions, and that if either of those conventions came here that they would have preference, and for that reason no definite assurance was given to him that they could have the Main Hall.

The problem before the Board is: Should the International Firemen and Locomotive Engineers' Association be given the Auditorium from the 10th of June to the 10th of July, or, as they state they might require it, from June 7 to July 15, 1928?

As chairman of the Auditorium Committee I feel that the use of Polk Hall, Larkin Hall, two of the upstairs halls, free of rent, including light, heat and power and paraphernalia, should be tendered to the association. Or, in other words, the Auditorium is at the entire disposal of the International Firemen and Locomotive Engineers' Association during their stay in San Francisco between the 7th of June and the 15th of July except the use of the Main Auditorium.

If the Main Auditorium is given to this association for convention purposes it will mean the cancellation of the Summer Symphony concerts, the Fourth of July celebration and other options that have been given for the use of the Auditorium. The cancellation of the Summer Symphony concerts will mean the discontinuance of these popular concerts that have been enjoyed by thousands of our citizens. The cancellation of the Fourth of July celebration, which has been one of our outstanding features for many years, will work a like hardship on thousands of our citizens.

I am placing these facts before you, gentlemen, just as they appear to me. You have the right to allot the Auditorium to this association as per their request, or you have the right to allot the side halls to them if you think they are adequate for their purpose. The Main Hall of the Auditorium will seat twelve thousand people, whereas this convention does not require a seating capacity for convention purposes of over a thousand and for visiting delegates of over twenty-five hundred.

Personally I think that the greater good will be accomplished for the greater number if Polk and Larkin Halls are tendered to this convention and the Auditorium allowed to be used for other necessary functions.

Respectfully submitted,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,

Chairman Auditorium Committee.

Resolution.

Supervisor Colman presented the following resolution and moved its adoption under suspension of the rules in lieu of the foregoing report:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen be granted permission to occupy Main and Polk Halls of the Civic Auditorium without charge from June 6, 1928, until July 10, 1928, for the purpose of holding a convention.

Referred.

At the request of Supervisor McSheehy the matter was taken into the hands of the Auditorium Committee, which subsequently reported out the following resolution, which was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution Adopted.

Resolution No. 28432 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen be granted permission to oc-

cupy Main and Polk Halls of the Civic Auditorium and necessary committee rooms without charge from June 6, 1928, until July 10, 1928, for the purpose of holding a convention.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—4.

Death of Hon. Jeremiah F. Sullivan.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28433 (New Series), as follows:

The people of San Francisco learn with deep sorrow of the death of the Honorable Jeremiah F. Sullivan. As a jurist and a citizen Judge Sullivan set a great example by devoting his splendid attainments to the cause of justice and good government. His service on the bench of the Supreme Court of the State of California and the Superior Court of San Francisco was marked by integrity and ability; his indefatigable efforts for clean government, national, State and municipal, stamped him as an honorable and patriotic citizen.

Judge Sullivan earned and held the respect and admiration of the public; his friends and associates regarded him with affection and confidence. In his professional life he had the veneration and esteem of members of the bar.

Therefore, it is fitting that the Board of Supervisors, representing the people of San Francisco, express regret that an honorable and long career has come to an end. As a mark of respect to the memory of Judge Sullivan the Board does adjourn.

To his family we extend our sincere sympathy.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 1:10 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 6, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 6

Monday, February 6, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1928, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Todd, Toner—12.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Gallagher was elected to preside.

Motion to Recess.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Board take a recess until 2 p. m. in order that the members of the Board might attend the funeral of Rev. D. O. Crowley, late Playground Commissioner; also, that the office be closed from 10 a. m. to 12 o'clock out of respect to the memory of the deceased.

Motion *carried.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 6, 1928, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Gallagher was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 30, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Unemployment Problem.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from District Executive Council Committee, Workers Communist Party of America, District 13, requesting privilege of making a statement on the question of unemployment.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Zone Change, Nineteenth Street and Potrero Avenue.

Communication, from Down Town Association, urging Board of Supervisors to act favorably on measure of rezoning a portion of the block on the west side of Potrero avenue between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets, otherwise San Francisco will lose an important industry giving employment to many people.

Read by the Clerk.

Death of Rev. D. O. Crowley.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28434 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has pleased Almighty God to call to Himself the Reverend Father D. O. Crowley, founder and for forty-three years the spiritual director of the Youths' Directory, a home for orphan boys of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Reverend Father D. O. Crowley was also for many years identified with the public life of San Francisco, serving in the capacity of Playground Commissioner for upwards of thirteen years, in which capacity he served with distinction and outstanding ability; and

Whereas, outside of his great work as spiritual director of the Youths' Directory and Playground Commissioner, he gave his time and talent to many movements for the betterment of civic welfare and the

uplifting of the community; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors expresses its deep sorrow at the passing of a true priest, civic patriot and practical humanitarian, whose entire life was given for the youth of San Francisco; be it

Further Resolved, That this resolution be spread upon the records of this City, and that when this Board adjourns it does so out of respect to his memory.

Read and *adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Andrew J Gallagher.

The following was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28435 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher, member of the Board of Supervisors, oe and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty days from February 8, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Kezar Stadium Enlargement.

William Humphrey, Park Commissioner, was granted the privilege of the floor in reference to an adequate stadium for San Francisco and declared that the Park Commissioners were of the opinion that instead of building a new stadium and scrapping the Kezar, it was deemed advisable that the Kezar be enlarged to a capacity of 60,000 or 65,000 and that the Park Commission would proceed to do the work at a cost of $250,000, providing the Board of Supervisors would pledge itself to reimburse them to that amount in the coming budget.

Over one week—Subject matter to be considered in Finance Committee Friday.

Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Franchise.

The following matter was *continued for hearing until February 14, 1928, at 11 a. m.*:

Consideration of application for ferry franchise from foot of Market street, San Francisco, to Point San Quentin by Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company.

January 30, 1928—Read and laid over one week, subject matter to be considered by Public Utilities Committee.

Judge Devlin appeared in support of foregoing application.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Mayor's Veto—Automobile Supply Station Permit, B. E. Johnson.

The following resolution, heretofore on January 23, 1928, finally passed and sent to the Mayor for his approval, was on this day, January 27, 1928, returned disapproved without prejudice at the request of the Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 28355 (New Series):

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Arguello boulevard and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Finally passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, January 23, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved—San Francisco, January 26, 1928.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Without prejudice at request of Fire Committee.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

Auction Sale of Lease of City Property.

Bids to be received in accordance with notice and pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision 32 of Section 1, Chapter II, Article II and Section 3, Chapter IV, Article XI, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a lease of certain real property of the City and County to be offered for sale at public auction on the 30th day of January, 1928, at 3 p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Description of Property.

That certain parcel of land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, and situate in the County of San Mateo, State of California, described as follows:

Beginning at Engineer Station "PD" 1043+42.22 of the center line survey of the existing Hetch Hetchy aqueduct 60-inch pipe line; thence running south 45 degrees west 390 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly side line of Pulgas road; thence northwesterly, northerly, easterly and southeasterly along the side line of Pulgas road, following the curvature thereof, to a point which bears north 45 degrees east 60 feet, more or less, from said Engineer Station; thence south 45 degrees west 60 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Containing 5.8 acres, more or less.

Bid.

San Francisco Bulletin presented a bid of $1 per year, which was *ordered referred to the Public Buildings Committee.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Foodstuffs, During Four-months' Term.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing foodstuffs during four-months' term, viz.: March, April, May, June, 1928, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28436 (New Series), as follows,

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for "The Messiah" (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $3,125.

(2) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Orchestra for concert of Jan. 12 (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $2,000.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(3) General Fund, Appropriation 3, Budget Item 76 (Board of Works—Truck rental), reimbursement for hauling charges (claim dated Jan. 13, 1928), $1,140.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(For account of Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(4) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber furnished (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $863.35.

(5) R. A. Hansen, stove and fuel oil (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $998.70.

(6) Kaiser Paving Co., machinery rental (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $788.26.

(7) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $700.80.

(8) Shell Company, gasoline, oil and grease (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $678.80.

(9) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machinery parts (claim dated Jan. 21, 1928), $956.13.

Park Fund.

(10) Montague Range & Furnace Co., oil range (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $1,380.

(11) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $836.38.

Playground Fund.

(12) Atlas Hauling & Grading Co., tanbark for playgrounds (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,328.64.

(13) Department of Elections, 25 election booths for playgrounds (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $625.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(14) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., hardware for Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Jan. 21, 1928), $875.

(15) Reinhart Lumber & Planing Mill Co., millwork for Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Jan. 21, 1928), $3,600.

(16) Van Fleet Freear Co., linoleum, cement, etc., for Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Jan. 21, 1928), $527.80.

(17) Robert A. Smith, Inc., one Chevrolet Roadster for Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Jan. 21, 1928), $514.50.

Special School Tax.

(18) Pacific Gasteam Co., equipment for Cabrillo School (claim dated Jan. 19, 1928), $676.82.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Jan. 19, 1928), $761.76.

(20) Joseph J. Rankin, first payment, architectural service for addition to Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Jan. 24, 1928), $1,152.

(21) James J. Harrington, retainer fee and cost of service as attorney for defendant J. Conlin, in action 178570, and in accordance with judgment of Superior Court (claim dated Jan. 29, 1928), $752.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(22) Anderson & Ringrose, ninth payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Jan. 24, 1928), $22,072.50.

(23) Frigidaire Corporation, payment for refrigeration for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $950.

(24) Spencer Elevator Co., second payment, elevator construction for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Jan. 24, 1928), $1,683.97.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(25) Pacific States Electric Co., second payment, electric cables for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $2,841.61.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(26) California Printing Co., printing statements for Assessor (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $1,917.60.

(27) St. Charles Market, meat for County Jails (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $598.96.

(28) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas service, County Jails (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $715.32.

(29) Phillips Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $989.27.

(30) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for County Jails (clam dated Jan. 18, 1928), $1,674.19.

(31) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $904.63.

(32) Standard Underground Cable Co., cable for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $3,425.

(33) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil, Civic Center Power House (claim dated Jan. 18, 1928), $1,481.47.

(34) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 17, 1928), $747.50.

(35) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Jan. 20, 1928), $564.77.

(36) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $4,405.75.

(37) T. M. Gallagher, second payment, furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,260.

(38) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 24, 1928), $736.

(39) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $2,485.79.

(40) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,780.47.

(41) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil for Hall of Justice (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $593.03.

Special School Tax.

(42) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $547.97.

(43) Pacific Pipe Company, pipe for schools (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $507.92.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(44) C. Voight, refund of duplicate payment of taxes, first instalment, 1927-1928 (claim dated Jan. 19, 1928), $2,204.

Publicity and Advertising (*Appropriation* 55).

(45) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing, advertising, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 30, 1928), $2,126.91.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(46) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., seventh payment, motor equipment for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 23, 1928), $4,841.55.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Property Required for the Construction of Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 28437 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Elizabeth J. Notter, for property as approved and described by Resolution No. 28359, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $5,600.

(2) To Violet Lipman, for property as described and approved by Resolution No. 28359, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $5,000.

(3) To Henry J. Harvey, for property as described and approved by Resolution No. 28359, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $4,450.

(4) To Vieno V. Wiljanen and Anna L. Wiljanen, for property as described and approved by Resolution No. 28362, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $3,550.

(5) To Antonio Belle and Carrie

M. Belle, for property as described and approved by Resolution No. 28362, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $6,400.

(6) To John Svabek and Verona Svabek, for property as described and approved by Resolution No. 28363, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $4,500.

(7) To Millicent Kubeck, for property as described and approved by Resolution No. 28364, New Series (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $3,250.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Appropriations, Acquisition of Property Required for the Site of Roosevelt School.

Resolution No. 28438 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Land Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for property required for the Roosevelt School, to-wit:

(1) To Wilhelm Weder, for land and improvements commencing on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 435 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Blake street 50 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 50 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28381 (New Series), $10,000.

(2) To Edward M. Greene, for land and improvements commencing at point on westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 385 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28382 (New Series), $6,250.

(3) To Robert and Helene Gahard, for land and improvements commencing at point on westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 360 feet northerly from northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28383 (New Series, $6,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Appropriation, $878.70, Payment to Crocker First National Bank, Fiscal Agents.

Resolution No. 28439 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $878.77 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, and authorized in payment to the Crocker National Bank of San Francisco, being payment for account of expense as fiscal agents for the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue.

Bill No. 8361, Ordinance No. 7909 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Lakewood avenue, extending to a depth of 200 feet along Fairfield way to a depth of 202.388 feet along Lakewood avenue, also the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Lakewood avenue and Manor drive, extending to a depth of 105 feet along Lakewood avenue and to a depth of 110.167 feet along Manor drive, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that the foregoing matter be rereferred to the City Planning Committee.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Suhr, Toner—8.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McGovern, Powers—4.

Final Passage.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 28440 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

C. J. Burt, 2437 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Norman Eisner, 555 Camino Del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. W. Morton, east side of Scott street, 54 feet south of Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Kendrick, 125 San Fernando way, 600 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

City of Paris Dyeing and Cleaning Works, northwest corner of Twentieth and Florida streets, 50-horsepower.

Golden West Plating Works, 183 Steuart street, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *rereferred to the Fire Committee*:

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Conlon be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the south side of Mission street, 275 feet east of Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofor passed for printing, was taken u and *finally passed* by the followin vote:

Removal and Destruction of Poiso Oak Shrub, Vacant Lots.

Bill No. 8402, Ordinance No. 791 (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance requiring the re moval and destruction of poiso oak or poison ivy shrub (rhus toxi codendron) from vacant lots an open spaces in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People o the City and County of San Fran cisco as follows:

Section 1. Owners of all vacant lots and open spaces in the City and County of San Francisco, which become infested with poison oak o poison ivy shrub (rhus toxicoden-dron), hereafter referred to in this ordinance as poisonous growth, are hereby required to cause the removal and destruction of such poisonous growth within three (3) days after the receipt of notice to remove same. Notice to remove such growth shall be given by the Department of Public Health and served by delivering a copy thereof to the woner or his agent personally, or if such owner or agent be not known, then by posting same in a conspicuous place on the lot or space to be described in the notice.

Section 2. The presence of poisonous growth, commonly known as poison oak or poison ivy shrub, is hereby declared to be a nuisance and a detriment to public health.

Section 3. The Department of Public Health is hereby charged with the proper enforcement of this ordinance.

Section 4. Any person, firm, association or corporation neglecting or refusing to remove and destroy such poisonous growth within three (3) days after receipt of notice so to do shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be subpect to a fine of not more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than fifteen (15) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Fireproof Roofing Ordinance Amendment.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up:

Bill No. 8363, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as "The Building Law," relating to the fireproof roofing limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Section 4. The roofs of all buildings hereafter constructed within the limits hereinafter in this section described shall consist of fire-resistant materials, and whenever the covering of the roof or roofs of any building or buildings heretofore constructed within the said limits, shall, in the judgment of the Board of Public Works, be or become damaged through fire, decay or otherwise, to the extent of twenty (20) per centum of the area of the said covering of the roof or roofs, then the covering of the roof or roofs shall be reconstructed of or replaced with fire-resistant materials. Said fire-resistant materials shall consist of the same materials required for the roof coverings of all buildings erected within the fire limits of the City and County.

Subsection 1. Said limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the easterly boundary of the Presidio Reservation; thence southerly and westerly along the boundary line of the Presidio Reservation to the shore line of the Pacific Ocean; thence along the shore line of the Pacific Ocean westerly and southerly to its intersection with the County line; thence easterly along said County line to its intersection with Mission street; thence along the center line of Mission street to its intersection with Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly along the center line of Twenty-fifth street to the center line of Potrero avenue; thence along the center line of Potrero avenue to the center line of Division street; thence along the center line of Division street to the center line of King street; thence northeasterly along the center line of King street to the center line of Seventh street; thence southerly along the center line of Seventh street to the center line of Channel street; thence northerly along the center line of Channel street to the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco; thence following the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco to the point of commencement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Powers moved to lay over one week.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner—11.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Suhr—7.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $44,448.32, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $34.71.

California School for Blind, clothing for S. F. ward, $10.21.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for January (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $4,230.59.

California Palace Legion of Honor —(Appropriation 61.)

(2) P. W. French & Co., Inc., tapestries for the California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $25,000.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(3) O. Aaron, third payment, plumbing and heating for Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $943.59.

(4) Edward F. Dowd, first payment, electrical work, Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $829.46.

(5) H. H. Larsen Co., sixth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $5,894.63.

Special School Tax.

(6) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint for schools (claim dated Jan. 30, 1928), $501.70.

(7) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Jan. 30, 1928), $1,661.91.

(8) The Richmond Roofing Co., roofing for Marshall School (claim dated Jan. 30, 1928), $1,965.

(9) MacDonald & Kahn, sixth payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $25,832.59.

(10) Frank Reilly, first payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $11,164.87.

County Road Fund.

(11) Louis J. Cohn, grading at terminus of Sloat boulevard and Great Highway (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $2,728.60.

(12) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Kansas street between Army and Marin streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $997.25.

(13) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Twentieth street between Rhode Island and Vermont streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $698.65.

(14) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Diamond streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $777.19.

(15) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Armstrong avenue between Third and Keith streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $3,065.35.

(16) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of De Haro street between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $865.

(17) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Mariposa street between Wisconsin and Arkansas streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $2,240.

(18) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Santiago street (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,191.80.

(19) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Twenty-second avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $3,000.

(20) Heyman Bros., improvement of Twenty-seventh avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,335.

(21) Pacific States Construction Co., improvement of Eighteenth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $975.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(22) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $12,571.40.

(23) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $2,983.18.

(24) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, fill for construction of Alemany boulevard (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,869.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue, 1923.

(25) R. W. Moller, seventeenth payment for general construction of the Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $28,670.16.

(26) Scott Company, eighth payment, mechanical equipment for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,695.

(27) A. Lettich, eighth payment, plumbing for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,429.56.

(28) Scott Company, seventh payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,950.

(29) R. Flatland, fifth payment, electrical work for Sherman School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $763.13.

(30) Mahony Bros., twelfth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $12,031.60.

(31) Scott Company, fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,035.13.

(32) D. Zelinsky & Sons, first payment, painting of Sherman

School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,184.

(33) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $652.94.

(34) Alta Electrical Co., seventh payment, electric work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $4,537.50.

(35) Latourette-Fical Co., fourteenth payment, plumbing on Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,248.53

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(36) The Pelton Water Wheel Co., parts for pumps (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $1,536.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(For expense of Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(37) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $6,158.26.

(38) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $510.27.

(39) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $611.23.

(40) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $956.44.

(41) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,007.75.

Playground Fund.

(42) John J. Carmody, final payment, for plumbing at Excelsior Playground (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $711.60.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(43) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding and feeding of animals (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $1,250.

(44) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $1,104.06.

(45) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for February (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $750.

(46) Louis J. Cohn, construction of sewer, Baden and Congo streets (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,115.50.

(47) Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, materials furnished for general repairs of buildings (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $2,090.27.

(48) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper for Bureau of Engineering (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $526.80.

(49) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $1,807.57.

(50) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $705.65.

(51) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $2,445.38.

(52) J. A. Grant, second payment, general construction of chapel at the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $3,557.63.

(53) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $644.48.

(54) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,975.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $14,752.40.

(56) Wm. L. Hughson Co., one Ford chassis for Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $659.20.

(57) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity service for Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $965.01.

(58) James G. Stafford and associates, tenth payment, contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $7,238.25.

County Road Fund.

(59) J. P. Holland, improvement of Harrison street between First and Sterling streets (claim dated Jan. 15, 1928), $1,000.

(60) Owen McHugh and Piombo Bros., 10,000 cubic yards fill on Portola drive (Market street extended) (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $3,000.

Appropriations for Property Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned Bond Funds, and authorized in payment to the following named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

Boulevard Bond Issue. 1927.

(1) To Battista Boeto, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,968.

(2) To George Hall, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2 1928), $1,318.

(3) To A. J. Hingsbergen and

Rose C. Hingsbergen, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,574.

(4) To Luigi Mussio and Maria Mussio, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $2,090.

(5) To Francesco Bruso, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,872.

(6) To Bernardo Fanucchi, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,882.

(7) To Davino Del Carlo, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,133.

(8) To Joseph Greck and Angela Greck, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,383.

(9) To Francis Borini, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,397.

(10) To Mary Boscacci, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,376.

(11) To Albert C. Henderson and Myrtle Rose Henderson, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28366, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,217.25.

(12) To Pauline Biggins and Minnie Mager, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28366, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $2,250.

(13) To Battistina Schiechl, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28366, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,453.75.

(14) To Pauline Nager, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28366, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $3,102.75.

Bernal Cut Bonds, 1927.

(15) Martin Nelson and Anna Nelson, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28412, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $6,337.

Appropriations for Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Land Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Dolores T. Barry, for land on westerly line of Stockton street, commencing 50 feet northerly from northerly line of Francisco street, running thence northerly on westerly line of Stockton street 25 feet; thence at right angle westerly 68 feet 6 inches to easterly line of Worden street; being of uniform dimensions 25 feet by 68 feet 6 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28419 (New Series), $3,250.

(2) To Hannah Gallagher, for land on westerly line of Worden street, commencing 68 feet 6 inches southerly from Francisco street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Worden street 56 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 50 feet; being of uniform dimensions 56 feet 6 inches by 50 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28418 (New Series), $1,400.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Alma Hoop, for land and improvements situate on westerly line of Blake street, commencing 510 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly on the west line of Blake street 25 feet; thence at right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28420 (New Series), $4,250.

(2) To Elizabeth Herester Huie, for land on southerly line of Geary street, commencing 90 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth

avenue, running thence westerly on the southerly line of Geary street 30 feet; thence at right angle southerly 125 feet; being of uniform dimensions 30 x 125 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28417 (New Series), $6,000.

(3) To Wm. F. Altvater, for land commencing at point formed by intersection of northerly line of Rivera street with westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, running thence westerly along northerly line of Rivera street 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 155 feet; being of uniform dimensions 120 x 155 feet; also, land on easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 180 feet northerly from northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 26 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 26 feet 10 inches by 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28415 (New Series), $15,000.

(4) To Honora A. Quigley, for land on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 213 feet 2 inches southerly from southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly on easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 75 feet; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet; being of uniform dimensions 75 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28421 (New Series). $5,000.

(5) To Arthur Frank, for land on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 110 feet 6 inches southerly from southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly on easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 52 feet; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet; being of uniform dimensions 52 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28416 (New Series), $2,500.

(6) To Helen E. Corwell, for land on easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 100 feet northerly from northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly on easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet; being of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28431 (New Series), $1,200.

(7) To Hannah Dempsey, for land on east line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 100 feet north of north line of Santiago street, running thence northerly on east line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet; uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28424 (New Series), $1,200.

Ordering Construction of Bay Shore Boulevard From Silver to Paul Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8403, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Bay Shore Highway, Section B, Contract No. 3, from Silver avenue to Paul avenue; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the Bay Shore Highway, Section B, Contract No. 3, from Silver avenue to Paul avenue, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Storm Drain for Bay Shore Highway Crossing at Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Bill No. 8404, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a storm drain for the Bay Shore Highway crossing Alemany boulevard, Contract No. 2; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public

Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of a storm drain for the Bay Shore Highway crossing Alemany boulevard, Contract No. 2, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Construction of Alemany Boulevard From Ocean Avenue to Mission Viaduct.

Also, Bill No. 8405, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "B", Contract No. 4, from Ocean avenue to the Mission viaduct, by grading, sewering and part-paving, and ordering the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "B", Contract No. 4, from Ocean avenue to the Mission street viaduct in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said construction of Alemany boulevard, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said construction. The cost of said construction to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of Alemany boulevard Section "B", Contract No. 4, from Ocean avenue to the Mission street viaduct, and to enter into contract for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "B", Contract No. 4, from Ocean avenue to the Mission street viaduct, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said construction to be borne out of funds of the Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said Alemany boulevard conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Offer of Frank O. Carlson et ux. to Sell Parcel of Land in De Boom Tract.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28441 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed of Frank O. Carlson and Annie Carlson conveying to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum of $25 a portion of Lot 16, Block 3, De Boom Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 5, records of the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby accepted, and a copy of this resolution be attached to said deed as evidence of its acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Accepting Offer of George B. Walcott et al. to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28442 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

George B. Walcott and Lavinia Walcott, $1,597—All of Lot 36, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G", page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco, saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of College avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 25

feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 35 of said Block 1; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 49.2 feet; thence deflecting 62 deg. 6 min. 11 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 27.877 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 39 of said Block 1; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line and the northeasterly boundary lines of Lots 38 and 37 of said Block 1, 58 feet to the northwesterly line of College avenue and the point of beginning.

As a further consideration for said conveyance it is hereby agreed that the parcel of land described below shall become the property of George B. Walcott and Lavinia Walcott; said property being described as follows:

A portion of Lot 35, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as per map thereof filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, August 3, 1905, and recorded in Book "G" of Maps, page 18, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of College avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 34 of said Block 1; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 40.4 feet; thence deflecting 62 deg. 6 min. 11 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 27.877 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 36 of said Block 1; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 49.2 feet to the northwesterly line of College avenue and the point of beginning.

The above mentioned amount of $1,597 also includes damages in full to the building now wholly or partially located on the above mentioned Lot 36; said building to be relocated by the said George B. Walcott and Lavinia Walcott within thirty (30) days after recordation of deed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon the payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Also, Resolution No. 28443 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and Couunty of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Vessie Romaine Brooks, $4,500—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Academy street and the northeasterly line of Charles street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Academy street 30 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed from James A. and Catherine R. Johnson; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 93 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 30 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly line of Charles street; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of Charles street 92 feet to the southeasterly line of Academy street and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots Nos. 27, 28, 29 and 30 of Block 17, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accord-

ance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Also, Resolution No. 28444 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Minnie R. Day, $9,000—All of Lots 15 and 28, Block 3, De Boom Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G", page 5, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Mayme E. Kimball, $250—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 91 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along the said southeasterly line 22 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Charles G. Arnold; thence at right angles southeasterly along the said southwesterly line 99.833 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Bruno and Madeliene Bandoni; thence at right angles southwesterly along said northwesterly line 22 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Sidney H. and Annie Smith; thence at right angles northwesterly along the said northeasterly line and the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Theresa M. Wilson 99.833 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginnig.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 91 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence 22 feet northeasterly along said southeasterly line to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now of formerly owned by Charles G. Arnold; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 76.811 feet; thence deflecting 86 deg. 54 min. 21 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 22.032 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Theresa M. Wilson; thence deflecting 93 deg. 05 min. 39 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 78 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots 1 and 2, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file them same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the Ciity and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Also, Resolution No. 28445 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City

and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Daniele Bertucelli, $1,136—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 43 of Block "P" of Thornton and Williams Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said westerly line 25 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 43; thence at right angles westerly along said northerly line 15.306 feet; thence deflecting to the left 103 deg. 53 min. 30 sec. and running southerly 25.753 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 43; thence deflecting to the left 76 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running easterly 9.123 feet to the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of said Lot 43.

Narciso Bertucelli, $1,185—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 45, Block "P" of Thornton and Williams Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running northerly along said westerly line 25 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 45; thence at right angles westerly along the said northerly line 21.489 feet; thence deflecting to the left 103 deg. 53 min. 30 sec. and running southerly 25.753 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 45; thence deflecting to the left 76 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running easterly 15.306 feet to the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of said Lot 45.

Edvige K. Ferrando, $1,909—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 21 of Block "O" of Thornton and Williams Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 50 feet to the northerly line of Lot 23 of said Block "O"; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 50 feet to said southerly line of Lot 21; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of said Lots 21 and 23 of Block "O".

Antonio Campana, $1,177—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 42 of Block "O" of Thornton and Williams Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 5.219 feet; thence deflecting to the right 166 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running southerly 5.376 feet to said southerly line of Lot 42; thence deflecting to the right 103 deg. 53 min. 30 sec. and running westerly 1.291 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of said Lot 42.

Angela Delucchi, $1,025—Lot 8 of Block "O," as per map of Thornton and Williams Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

As to all of the parcels mentioned above, the amounts include damages in full to the remaining portions of the above described lots caused by the establishment of the future grade of Charter Oak avenue as widened, and to the improvements now partially located on the above described lands; said improvements to be removed by the owners within thirty (30) days after the recordation of the respective deeds to said City and County of San Francisco.

Andres O. Nylund, $400—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 25 of Block "O" of Thornton and Williams Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 50 feet to the northerly line of Lot 27 of said Block "O"; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 50 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 25; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the

point of beginning. Being portions of Lots Nos. 25 and 27 of said Block "O".

The above amount includes damages in full to the remaining portion of the above described lot caused by the establishment of the future grade of Charter Oak avenue as widened.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Accepting Offer of Guiseppe Arata to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28446 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Guiseppe Arata, $1,330.75—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southeasterly line of Lot 47 of Block 524, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running northerly along said easterly line 62.082 feet; thence deflecting to the right 53 deg. 45 min. 30. sec. and running northeasterly 30.997 feet: thence deflecting to the right 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running southerly 62.083 feet to said southeasterly line of said Lot 47; thence deflecting to the right 53 deg. 45 min. 30 sec. and running southwesterly 30.997 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 46 and 47 of said Block 524.

As a further consideration Guiseppe Arata is to receive the parcel of land described now held in trust for the City and County of San Francisco by the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, and the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company is hereby authorized to convey the below described parcel of land to the said Guiseppe Arata as a further consideration for the parcel purchased by the City and County of San Francisco from said Guiseppe Arata:.

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Egbert avenue, distant thereon 109.917 feet southeasterly from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 30.960 feet; thence northwesterly, westerly, southwesterly and southerly along a curve to the left tangent to preceding course, radius 12 feet, central angle 143 deg. 45 min. 30 sec., a distance of 30.109 feet to a point which is distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence southerly along a line tangent to said curve of preceding course, parallel to said easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, a distance of 47.237 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Guiseppe Arata; thence deflecting to the left 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running northeasterly along said northwesterly line 49.003 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly line of Egbert avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 44 and 45 of Block 524 of Haley Purchase, recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount includes damages in full to the remaining portion of the above described Lots 46 and 47 caused by the establishment of the future grade of Charter Oak avenue as widened, and to the buildings and tank structure now partially located on the above described land; said buildings to be removed by the owner within thirty (30) days after the recordation of the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Accepting Offer of Louis Depaoli et al. to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28447 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alemany boulevard, have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Louis Depaoli, $12,000—

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue and the southwesterly line of Tingley street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 787.775 feet; thence deflecting 11 deg. 34 min. 17 sec. to the left and continuing southwesterly along said northwesterly line 117.19 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot G of Bernal Tract; thence deflecting 100 deg. 52 min. 01 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 50.913 feet; thence deflecting 79 deg. 07 min. 59 sec. to the right and running northeasterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue 56.930 feet to a point of curve to the right; thence northeasterly along said curve to the right, radius 550 feet, central angle 11 deg. 34 min. 17 sec., a distance of 111.077 feet to a point of tangency; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve and parallel with the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue and distant 50 feet at right angles therefrom a distance of 718.379 feet to a point of curve to the right; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right, radius 1050 feet, central angle 1 deg. 30 min. 43 sec., a distance of 27.708 feet to the southwesterly line of Tingley street; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Tingley street 50.431 feet to the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot G of Bernal Tract, as recorded in Map Book H, pages 27 and 28, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue and the northeasterly line of Tingley street, thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 41.24 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right, tangent to a line which deflects 5 deg. 44 min. 35 sec. to the right from the preceding course, said curve having a radius of 1177.50 feet and a central angle of 6 deg. 56 min. 32 sec., a distance of 142.671 feet to a point on the southwesterly boundary line of Pioche's Piece of Islais and Salinas Tract, distant thereon 67.00 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 41.191 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left, tangent to a line which deflects 84 deg. 16 min. 04 sec. to the left from the preceding course, said curve having a radius of 1050 feet and a central angle of 9 deg. 56 min. 18 sec., a distance of 182.131 feet to the northeasterly line of Tingley street; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 47.858 feet to the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot H of Bernal Tract, as recorded in Map Book H, pages 27 and 28, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

As a further consideration the grading, paving and curbing on the proposed Alemany boulevard fronting the remaining property of the above named owner adjoining the above described parcels will be done at the sole expense of the City and County of San Francisco.

Josephine T. Depaoli, $1,000—

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Mission street with the westerly line of Lot 261 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along said westerly line 13.180 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 21.125 feet to the northwesterly line of Mission street; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Mission street 25.048 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 261.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Mission street, distant thereon 1260.834 feet northwesterly from the northeasterly line of Tingley street, said point being that formed by the intersection of the northwesterly line of Mission street with the southeasterly line of a parcel of land deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the Ocean Shore Railroad Company; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Mission street 41.815 feet; thence deflecting 31 deg. 44 min. 40 sec. to the right and running westerly 248.245 feet; thence deflecting 58 deg. 15 min. 20 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 25.870 feet to the southerly line of lands deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the Ocean Shore Railroad Company; thence deflecting 121 deg. 44 min. 40 sec. to the right and running easterly along said southerly line 297.415 feet to the northwesterly line of Mission street and the point of beginning.

As a further consideration the grading, paving and curbing on the proposed Alemany boulevard fronting the remaining property of the above named owner adjoining the above described parcels will be done at the sole expense of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Accepting Offer of Matilda M. Mitchell to Sell Subsurface Easement Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Also, Resolution No. 28448 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described subsurface right of way easement, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce tunnel, has offered to convey said easement desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Matilda M. Mitchell, $64—Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Duboce avenue, distant thereon seventy-seven (77) feet six (6) inches westerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said southerly line of Duboce avenue with the westerly line of Castro street, and running thence westerly along the said southerly line of Duboce avenue twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-seven and four hundred and eighty-eight thousandths (27.488) feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly twenty-five and three hundred and seventy thousandths (25.370) feet; thence northerly at right angles twenty-three and one hundred and sixty-eight thousandths (23.168) feet to the southerly line of Duboce avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block Number 120.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the easement owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraise-

ment of the easement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said easement and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolutiin attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

County Clerk to Appoint Additional Register Clerks.

Also, Resolution No. 28449 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the County Clerk is hereby authorized to appoint two additional Assistant Register Clerks for assignment, one each to the department of the Presiding Judge and to the department known as the Law and Motion Department, Superior Court. Said clerks to be paid out of the General Fund in accordance with Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series),

The attention of the Auditor and of the Civil Service Commission is called to this resolution.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Transfer of Board of Health Funds to Board of Public Works.

Also, Resolution No. 28450 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside from Department of Public Health Appropriations to the credit of Department of Public Works Appropriations; being for labor and materials furnished the Department of Public Health by the Department of Public Works, as follows:

From Department of Public Health: Appropriation 43D, the sum of $185.45; Appropriation 44B, the sum of $2,895.61; Appropriation 46B, the sum of $4,561.38, and from Budget Item No. 57 (San Mateo Preventorium), the sum of $760.27. (Total, $8,402.71.)

To the credit of Department of Public Works: Budget Item No. 411, labor, $6,990.75; Budget Item No. 433, materials, $1,411.96. (Total, $8,402.71.)

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Appropriation, $123.11, Maternity Service, Reimbursement for Instruments.

Also, Resolution No. 28451 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $123.11 be and the same is hereby set aside out of "Maternity Service," Budget Item No. 58. to the credit of San Francisco Hospital Appropriation 46-B; being reimbursement for instruments furnished the outpatient maternity service.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Appropriation, Work in Front of City Property, Improvement of Islais Creek Bridge at Trumbull Street.

Also, Resolution No. 28452 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 35.

(1) For the improvement of Worcester avenue between Randolph street and Orizaba avenue at City property, $359.67.

County Road Fund.

(2) For the improvement of the roadway between the pavement and the bridge over Islais Creek on Trumbull street, east of Congdon street, by the placing of six inches of broken rock, $150.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Equipment, Supplies, Etc., Preventorium and Outpatient Maternity Service.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts for the following mentioned purposes, to-wit:

Preventorium, San Mateo County, Budget Item No. 57.

(1) For the purchasing of equipment and supplies and payroll for the Tubercular Preventorium, during month of January, 1928, $5,-290.86.

Establishing of Maternity Service, Budget Item No. 58.

(2) For the purchasing of equipment, stationery and payroll for the out-patient maternity service, month of January, 1928, $2,380.16.

Appropriations for Reconstruction of Seventh Street, Market Street Extension and Jerrold Avenue Between Third and Phelps Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the hereinafter mentioned purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the reconstruction of Seventh street from Mission to Brannan streets, additional to complete, $9,333.35.

(2) For the paving of the Market street extension from Merritt to Mono streets and from Mono to Ord streets, $23,000.

(3) For the improving of Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelp streets in accordance with agreement with the property owners to widen and raise the grade on the street, $8,000.

Appropriation, $14,000, Steel Tie Road Anchorages at Third and Channel Street Bridge.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $14,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund to cover the cost of placing steel tie road anchorages at Third street and Channel bridge, including engineering, inspection and extras.

Appropriation, $5,000, Additional and Emergency Supplies by the Board of Health.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Supervisor James B. McSheehy to Appear Before Congress on Bridge Bill.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. James B. McSheehy, chairman of the Special Bridge Committee, is hereby authorized and directed to proceed to the City of Washington, District of Columbia, and to appear before committees of Congress in behalf of the bill granting permission to the City and County of San Francisco to construct a transbay bridge from San Francisco to the County of Alameda; and

Further Resolved, That Chairman James B. McSheehy be and is hereby authorized to appoint engineers, witnesses and experts to present arguments before said committees of Congress and to use all honorable means and efforts to obtain favorable action on said bill; and

Further Resolved, that the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) be and is hereby appropriated from the money in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco not otherwise appropriated to defray the expense of said James B. McSheehy, engineers, witnesses and experts to and from Washington and while remaining at said city and engaged in the presentation or in the urging or the passage of said bill.

Supervisor Shannon Reports on Bridge Matters.

Supervisor Shannon declared that while in the East endeavoring to obtain the Republican and Democratic conventions for San Francisco that he had been in close touch with Senator Hiram Johnson and Congressman Welch, who suggested that a strong representation be sent to Washington, D. C., to appear before the Commerce Committee at its hearing on February 21st relative to proposed Bay bridge bill.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon,

seconded by Supervisor Todd, moved that a committee be appointed by the Mayor consisting of the Mayor, City Engineer, City Attorney, three members of the Board of Supervisors, with Supervisor McSheehy, three engineers who selected the site and such other expert advice as may be necessary.

Supervisor McSheehy opposed the motion on the ground that he was working night and day as chairman of the Special Bridge Committee to the same identical purpose.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon withdrew his motion and moved the amendment of the foregoing resolution as follows:

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Marks, moved to amend first paragraph "authorizing and directing his Honor Mayor Rolph, City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Attorney J. J. O'Toole and Supervisor McSheehy, chairman of the Special Bridge Committee, to proceed to Washington," also, that second paragraph be amended, "that his Honor Mayor Rolph, Supervisor James B. McSheehy, chairman of the Special Bridge Committee, City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Attorney John J. O'Toole and such others as in the opinion of the engineers may be necessary.

Amendment *accepted.*

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, amended as follows, was *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., Hon. James B. McSheehy, chairman of the Special Bridge Committee, City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy and City Attorney John J. O'Toole are hereby authorized and directed to proceed to the City of Washington, District of Columbia, and to appear before committees of Congress in behalf of the bill granting permission to the City and County of San Francisco to construct a transbay bridge from San Francisco to the County of Alameda; and

Further Resolved, That Chairman James B. McSheehy, Mayor Rolph, City Engineer O'Shaughnessy and City Attorney O'Toole be and are hereby authorized to appoint engineers, witnesses and experts to present arguments before said committees of Congress and to use all honorable means and efforts to obtain favorable action on said bill; and

Further Resolved, That the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) be and is hereby appropriated from the money in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco not otherwise appropriated, to defray the expenses of said officials, engineers, witnesses and experts to and from Washington and while remaining at said city and engaged in the presentation or in the urging of the passage of said bill.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Nineteenth Street and Potrero Avenue.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Bill No. 8406, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Nineteenth street with the westerly line of Potrero avenue, running thence northerly 275 feet along said westerly line of Potrero avenue; thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Hampshire street; thence southerly along Hampshire street 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 250 feet to the northerly line of Nineteenth street; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

Motion to Postpone Lost.

Supervisor Stanton moved to postpone one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Noes — Supervisors Colman,

Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Stanton—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis Anderson, west side Fillmore street, 225 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Granfield, 320 Magellan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Griffin & Sons, 1051 Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, north side of Pacific avenue, 105 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. H. Hughes, 1309 Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. A. Janssen, south line of Marina boulevard, 260 feet east of Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. A. Johnson, north side of Taraval street, 150 feet east of Nineteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Aronab Products Co., 580 Bryant street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lang Realty Co., east side of Baker street, 87 feet south of Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Harry Rice, 496 Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, north side of Green street, 53 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. White, west side Webster street, 110 feet north of McAllister street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under these permits shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. Marrace be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a French laundry at 3426 Mission street.

The rights granted under this permit shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Edward Hofen and Arthur Sumner be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 1270 Twentieth street.

The rights granted under this permit shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Georges H. Bos be and is hereby granted permission to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted S. E. Bernard by Resolution No. 18388 (New Series) for premises at 1731 Clay street.

The rights granted under this permit shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sibley Grading and Teaming Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property at the northwest corner of Union and Leavenworth streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000 as fixed by the Boord of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution is violated by said Sibley Grading and Teaming Company then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property on the

northeast corner of Pacific and Laguna streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immedately become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28453 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove, change and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northwest and southeast corners Laguna street and Hickory avenue.

West side Laguna street, south of Page street.

Southeast corner Laguna and Rose streets.

South side Lily avenue, west of Laguna street.

Northeast and southwest corners Twentieth and Harrison streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Broderick and McAllister streets.

Athens street between France and Russia avenues.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Wool street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues.

Ashbury street between Fulton and Grove streets.

West side Dolores street between Thirtieth and Day streets.

Boyce street between Geary street and St. Rose's avenue.

Install 250 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Front of 625 Darien way.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Twenty-second avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Laguna street and Hickory avenue.

Laguna street between Page and Oak streets.

Laguna and Rose streets.

Lily avenue, west of Laguna street.

Rivoli street between Cole and Belvedere streets.

Rivoli street between Cole and Shrader streets.

South side Golden Gate avenue between Scott and Divisadero streets.

Twenty-third avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets.

Twenty-first avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets.

Hampshire and Twenty-sixth streets.

On pole 250 feet from intersection of Gerald avenue and Napoleon street.

Twentieth and Harrison streets.

McAllister and Broderick streets.

South side of Lombard street (in front of 1212) between Polk and Larkin streets.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 600 *C. P. O. B.*

East side Sixth avenue, north of Geary street, to east side 200 feet south of Clement street.

East side Sixth avenue, north of Clement street, to 200 feet south of California street.

Change 400 *M. R. to* 600 *C. P. O. B.*

South side Clement street, at southeast corner Presidio driveway.

Install 600 *C. P. Pendant Lights and Refractor No.* 4254.

East side Great Highway, 200 feet south, 900 feet south and 1200 feet south of Fulton street, and 400 feet north and 700 feet north of Lincoln Highway.

Move 600 M. R., on northeast corner Nineteenth avenue and Taraval street to southeast corner.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Masquerade Ball Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28454 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted United Slovenian Society to conduct a masquerade ball at Eagles Hall, 273 Golden Gate avenue, Sunday evening, February 12, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Also, Resolution No. 28455 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Germania Club to conduct a masquerade ball at California Hall, Polk and Turk streets, Saturday evening, February 18,

1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Also, Resolution No. 28456 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Green Valley Grove No. 145, United Ancient Order of Druids, to conduct a masquerade ball at Corinthian Hall, 4793 Mission street, Saturday evening, February 26, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Amusement Park License Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Bill No. 8407, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), "Imposing a license tax on the business of conducting an amusement park and providing a penalty for a violation thereof," approved September 27, 1920.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of conducting an amusement park shall pay to the Tax Collecter of the City and County or San Francisco an annual tax in the sum of five hundred dollars per block or portion thereof, as follows:

$125 on the 1st day of July;

$125 on the 1st day of October;

$125 on the 1st day of January; and

$125 on the 1st day of April of each year.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amusement Park Permit, John Friedle.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted John Friedle to maintain and operate an amusement park in strict conformity with the provisions of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), on premises comprising all of Blocks Nos. 1592, 1595 and 1692, as delineated on the latest official map of this City and County, and more particularly described as follows:

Block No. 1592—Commencing at the northeast corner of Balboa street and the Great Highway, running thence northwesterly along the Great Highway 728.257 feet; thence easterly 329.97 feet; thence southerly 246.10 feet; thence easterly 143.38 feet; thence southerly along La Playa 381.5 feet; thence westerly along Balboa street 229.1⅛ feet to the point of commencement.

Block No. 1595—Commencing at the northeast corner of Cabrillo street and the Great Highway, running thence northerly along the Great Highway 357.6 feet; thence easterly 102 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; thence westerly 102 feet; thence northerly along the Great Highway 143 feet; thence easterly along Balboa street 165.10 feet; thence southerly 400 feet; thence easterly 60 feet; thence southerly along La Playa 200 feet; thence westerly along Cabrillo street 201.2 feet to the point of commencement.

Block No. 1692—Commencing at the northeast corner of Fulton street and the Great Highway, running thence northerly along the Great Highway 520.5⅛ feet; thence easterly 193.10 feet; thence southerly along La Playa 520 feet; thence westerly along Fulton street 172 feet to the point of commencement.

Stable Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Toner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That N. Weidman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a stable to accommodate forty (40) horses for a period of one year from the date of approval of this resolution, in premises at 617 Grove street; provided, that said N. Weidman comply with all requirements and regulations of the Department of Public Health and of the Fire Marshal.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Accepting Offer of Barbara Heuster to Sell Land on Geary Street Repuired for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28457 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Barbara Heuster to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the southerly line of Geary street, distant 60 feet westerly from Thirtieth avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $6,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Geary street, distant thereon 60 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly along said southerly line of Geary street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 125 feet to the southerly line of Geary street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 254, also known as Block 1514 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Accepting Offer of Mary E. Porter to Sell Land on Cook Street Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28458 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mary E. Porter to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Cook street, distant 514 feet 4 inches northerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,200 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant 514 feet 4 inches northerly from Geary street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Cook street 24 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 24 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Cook street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Accepting Offer of Mrs. C. Bacelli to Sell Land on Cook Street Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28459 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mrs. C. Bacelli to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Cook street, distant 465 feet 11 inches northerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said

parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $6,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 465 feet 11 inches northerly from Geary street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Cook street 49 feet 5 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 49 feet 5 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Cook street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Accepting Offer of Geo. G. Drew to Sell Land on Balboa Street for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28460 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Geo. G. Drew to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant 70 feet west from Thirtieth avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,750, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 70 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Balboa street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Balboa street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 313, also known as Block 1573 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Closing and Abandoning Italy Avenue, Amazon Avenue, Munich Street, Prague Street, Dublin Street, Griffin Street, Pierson Street and La Grande Avenue in Part.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28461 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board, by Resolution No. 28225 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon portions of Italy avenue, Amazon avenue, Munich street, Prague street, Dublin street, Griffin street, Pierson street and La Grande avenue, hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, the proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said parts of said public streets was duly given by the Board of Public

Works of said City and County by publication and posting in the manner and for the period provided by Section 3, Chapter III, Article VI, of the Charter of this City and County; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed since the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection to said closing and abandonment of said parts of said public streets was made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the judgment of this Board that public interest and convenience will be conserved and that it is advisable that said parts of said public streets as hereinafter particularly described be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing up and abandoning said parts of said public streets, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary therefor and that there will be no damages, costs or expenses in connection with said closing and abandoning of said parts of said public streets;

Resolved, That the said parts of said public streets hereinabove referred to and hereinafter more particularly bounded and described, are hereby closed and abandoned as public streets; that the said parts of said public streets so closed and abandoned are more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

(1) Italy avenue between the southeasterly line of Moscow street and its present southeasterly termination.

(2) Amazon avenue between the southeasterly line of Moscow street, northeast of Amazon avenue produced southwesterly, and its present southeasterly termination.

(3) Munich street between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue.

(4) Prague street between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue.

(5) Dublin street between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue.

(6) Griffin street between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue.

(7) Pierson street between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue.

(8) La Grande avenue between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue.

Said closing of said streets and avenues shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the section following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Taraval Street.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. 8408, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and thirteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office January 30, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and thirteen, to read as follows:

Section 1013. The width of sidewalks on Taraval street (the northerly side of) between Twelfth avenue and Fifteenth avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Taraval street (the southerly side of) between Forestside avenue and Fifteenth avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused

by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Mill Street.

Also, Bill No. 8409, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twelve.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office January 31, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twelve, to read as follows:

Section 1012. The width of sidewalks on Mill street between Harkness street and Ankeny street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Ankeny Street.

Also, Bill No. 8410, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fourteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office January 30, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fourteen, to read as follows:

Section 1014. The width of sidewalks on Ankeny street between Cowden street and Sparta street shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ordering Street Work, Thirty-eighth Avenue and Ulloa Street.

Also, Bill No. 8411, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Thirty-eighth avenue and Ulloa street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch

ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying brick manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8412, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Bay Shore avenue between Gillette avenue and the westerly line of Wheeler avenue, including the crossing of Bay Shore avenue and Neuva avenue, Acacia avenue, Peninsula avenue and Wheeler avenue and Wheeler avenue between Lathrop and Bay Shore avenues; Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Burnham street, and intersection of Elizabeth and Burnham streets; Fowler avenue between Portola drive and Teresita boulevard, including the crossings of Juanita way and Fowler avenue, and Teresita boulevard and Fowler avenue; Teresita boulevard between Fowler avenue and Agua way, including the crossing of Evelyn way and Teresita boulevard and the intersection of Agua way; Evelyn way between Portola drive and Teresita boulevard, including the crossing of Evelyn way and Juanita way and the intersection of Evelyn way and Chaves avenue; Del Sur avenue between Portola drive and Chaves avenue, including the crossing of Juanita way; Juanita way between Del Sur avenue and Evelyn way and between Evelyn way and Fowler avenue; Chaves avenue between Evelyn way and Rockdale drive, including the intersections of Chaves avenue and Agua way, Chaves avenue and Del Sur avenue and Chaves avenue and Rockdale avenue; Agua way between Chaves avenue and Teresita boulevard; Frederick street between Buena Vista avenue and Masonic avenue; Pacheco street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Diamond streets; Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Noe streets and crossing of Twenty-eighth and Castro streets; Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets; Twentieth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets and the crossing of Twentieth street and Kansas street; Twentieth street between Vermont and Kansas streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Bay Shore avenue between Gillette avenue and the westerly line of Wheeler avenue, including the crossings of Bay Shore avenue and Neuva avenue, Acacia avenue, Peninsula avenue and Wheeler avenue, and Wheeler avenue between Lathrop and Bay Shore avenue; Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Burnham street and intersection of Elizabeth and Burnham streets; Fowler avenue between Portola drive and Teresita boulevard, including the crossings of Juanita way and Fowler avenue and Teresita boulevard and Fowler avenue; Teresita boulevard between Fowler avenue and Agua way, including the crossings of Evelyn way and Teresita boulevard and the intersection of Agua way; Evelyn way between Portola drive and Teresita boulevard, including the crossing of Evelyn way and Juanita way and the intersection of Evelyn way and Chaves avenue; Del Sur avenue between Portola drive and Chaves avenue, including the crossing of Juanita way; Juanita way between Del Sur avenue and Evelyn way and between Evelyn way and Fowler avenue; Chaves avenue between Evelyn way and Rockdale drive, including the intersections of Chaves avenue and Agua way, Chaves avenue and Del Sur avenue and Chaves avenue and Rockdale drive; Agua way between Chaves avenue and Teresita boulevard; Frederick street between Buena Vista avenue and Masonic avenue; Pacheco street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Diamond streets; Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Noe streets, and crossing of Twenty-

eighth and Castro streets; Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets; Twentieth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets and the crossing of Twentieth street and Kansas street; Twentieth street between Vermont and Kansas streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8413, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Brussels street between Wilde and Campbell avenues; Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue; Forty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Lawton street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues; Pacheco street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues; Prentiss street between Powhattan and Eugenia avenues; Peralta avenue between York street and Holladay avenue, including the intersections of York street, Hampshire street and Montcalm streets; York street between Peralta and Montcalm streets; Keith street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues; Keith street between Oakdale and Palou avenues; Kirkham street between Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues; Kirkham street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, and crossing of Kirkham street and Thirtieth avenue; Orizaba avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues; crossing of Thirty-first avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Funston avenue and Lawton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein to-wit:

Brussels street between Wilde and Campbell avenues; Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue; Forty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Lawton street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues; Pacheco street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues; Prentiss street between Powhattan and Eugenia avenues; Peralta avenue between York street and Holladay avenue, including the intersections of York street, Hampshire street and Montcalm street; York street between Peralta and Montcalm streets; Keith street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues; Keith street between Oakdale and Palou avenues; Kirkham street between Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues; Kirkham street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, and crossing of Kirkham street and Thirtieth avenue; Orizaba avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues; crossing of Thirty-first avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Funston avenue and Lawton street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7855 (New Series), Geary Street Between Ninth and Tenth Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8414, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7855 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south one-half of Geary street from Ninth to Tenth avenues; the west one-half of Broderick street from McAllister street to Golden Gate avenue, and the north one-half of McAllister street from Pierce to Scott streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7855 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south one-half of Geary street from Ninth to Tenth avenues; the west one-half of Broderick street from McAllister street to Golden Gate avenue, and the north one-half of McAllister street from Pierce to Scott streets, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Conditional Acceptance, Army Street.

Also, Bill No. 8415, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Army street between Diamond and Douglass streets, and Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets; Diamond street between

Army and Twenty-sixth streets; Boylston street between Hale and Sweeny streets, and crossing of Boylston and Sweeny streets; Eighteenth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets; Fourteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; crossing of Forty-third avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Forty-third avenue and Lawton street; Ingalls street between Gilman and Hollister avenues; Keith street between Armstrong and Yosemite avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between San Jose avenue and San Miguel street; crossing of Prentiss street and Eugenia avenue; intersection of Peralta avenue and York street; Seventeenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets; Thirty-second avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Thornton avenue between the easterly line of Neptune street and the westerly line of Vesta street, including the intersections of Thornton avenue and Neptune street, Venus street, Apollo street, Scotia avenue and Vesta street; crossing of Funston avenue and Moraga street; intersections of Peralta avenue and Florida street, and Peralta avenue and Franconia street; crossing of Jerrold avenue and Phelps street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein:

Army street between Diamond and Douglass streets, and Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets; Diamond street between Army and Twenty-sixth streets; Boylston street between Hale and Sweeny streets, and crossing of Boylston and Sweeny streets; Eighteenth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets; Fourteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; crossing of Forty-third avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Forty-third avenue and Lawton street; Ingalls street between Gilman and Hollister avenues; Keith street between Armstrong and Yosemite avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between San Jose avenue and San Miguel street; crossing of Prentiss street and Eugenia avenue; intersection of Peralta avenue and York street; Seventeenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets; Thirty-second avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Thornton avenue between the easterly line of Neptune street and the westerly line of Vesta street, including the intersection of Thornton avenue and Neptune street, Venus street, Apollo street, Scotia avenue and Vesta street; crossing of Funston avenue and Moraga street; intersection of Peralta avenue and Florida street, and Peralta avenue and Franconia street; crossing of Jerrold avenue and Phelps street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Monday, February 20, 1928, for Hearing Appeal of Property Owners, Kirkham Street Between Sixteenth and Seventeenth Avenues.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28462 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, February 20, 1928, is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on January 3, 1928, for the improvement of Kirkham street between Sixteenth avenue and the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue and other streets in the district known as Golden Gate Heights, Contract No. 2051 (New Series) of the Board of Public Works, dated October 11, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28463 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 29, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets, under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the extension is requested in order that the contract may be protected during the period of acceptance and issuance of certificate. The work has been completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Also, Resolution No. 28464 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after February 3, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossings of Vicente street with Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work was delayed owing to inaccessibility as the adjacent street work has only recently been paved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Also, Resolution No. 28465 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Lynch is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after January 24, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of France avenue between Mission and London streets under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that contractor has been delayed by weather conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Condemnation of Lands Required for Alemany Boulevard.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the public interest and necessity requires the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Cuvier street and the northwesterly line of Mission street, thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Mission street 8.458 feet to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; thence deflecting to the right 32 deg. 30 min. 42 sec. and running southwesterly along said northwesterly line 114.898 feet; thence northeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 75 feet, central angle 32 deg. 30 min. 40 sec., a distance of 42.557 feet to a point distant 50 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mission street; thence northeasterly parallel to said northwesterly line of Mission street and tangent to preceding course, a distance of 69.483 feet; thence northeasterly, northerly and northwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to preceding course, radius 50 feet, central angle 57 deg. 29 min. 20 sec., a distance of 50.169 feet to tangency on the southwesterly line of Cuvier street; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 86.176 feet to the northwesterly line of Mission street and the point of beginning, and containing 3342 square feet. Being portions of Lots 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Mission street with the easterly line of Lot 260 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco, thence running southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Mission street 9.925 feet to the northerly line of the property deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the Ocean Shore Railway Company; thence deflecting 32 deg. 30 min. 40 sec. to the right and running westerly along said northerly line 16.630 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 260; thence at right angles northerly along said westerly line 18.180 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. 00 sec. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. 00 sec. to the right and running southerly 13.180 feet along said easterly line to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 260, and containing 434 square feet, more or less.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 259, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 17.845 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 18.180 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 259, and containing 450 square feet.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 258, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 149 records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 17.502 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 17.845 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 258, and containing 442 square feet.

Parcel 5. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 257, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149 records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running nortnerly along the westerly line of said lot 17.176 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 17.502 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 257, and containing 433 square feet.

Parcel 6. Commencing at the southwesterly corner of Lot 253 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149 records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 11.500 feet to the point of beginning; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 4.338 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 85.722 feet; thence deflecting 170 deg. 00 min. 15 sec. to the right and running westerly 34.726 feet; thence deflecting 11 deg. 52 min. 22 sec. to the right and running westerly 51.609 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots 253, 254, 255 and 256 of said Spring Valley Homestead, and containing 370 square feet, more or less.

Parcel 7. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 251, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco, thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 15.169 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 252; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 15.838 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 251 and 252, and containing 775 square feet.

Parcel 8. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 250, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 14.834 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running

southerly 15.169 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 250, and containing 375 square feet.

Parcel 9. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 248, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 14.165 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 14.500 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 248, and containing 358 square feet.

Parcel 10. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 246, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 13.496 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 247; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 14.165 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lots Nos. 246 and 247, and containing 691 square feet.

Parcel 11. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 242, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 12.158 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 243; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 12.827 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lots Nos. 242 and 243, and containing 625 square feet.

Parcel 12. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 240, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 11.489 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 241; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 12.158 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 240 and 241, and containing 591 square feet.

Parcel 13. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 239, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 11.154 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 11.489 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 239, and containing 283 square feet.

Parcel 14. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 237, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 10.485 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 238; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 11.154 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 237 and 238, and containing 271 square feet.

Parcel 15. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 234, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 9.482 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 75.006 feet to the easterly line of Lot 236; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 10.485 feet; thence at right angles westerly 75.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 234, 235 and 236, and containing 749 square feet.

Parcel 16. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 233, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 9.147 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running southerly 9.482 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 233, and containing 233 square feet.

Parcel 17. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 232, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 8.812 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 9.147 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 232, and containing 224 square feet.

Parcel 18. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 231, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 8.478 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 8.812 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 231, and containing 216 square feet.

Parcel 19. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 230, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 8.143 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 14 min. to the right and running southerly 8.478 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 230, and containing 208 square feet.

Parcel 20. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 229, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 7.809 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 8.143 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 229, and containing 200 square feet.

Parcel 21. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 222, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 5.467 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 175.014 feet to the easterly line of Lot 228; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 7.809 feet; thence at right angles westerly 175.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a porion of Lots Nos. 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227 and 228, and containing 1161 square feet.

Parcel 22. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 221, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 5.132 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running thence southerly 5.467 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 221, and containing 133 square feet.

Parcel 23. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 219, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 4.463 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 220; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 5.132 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lots Nos. 219 and 220, and containing 240 square feet.

Parcel 24. Beginning at the southwest corner of Lot 216 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149. records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly on westerly line of said lot a distance of 3.051 feet; thence northeasterly on

a curve to the right tangent to a line deflecting 87 deg. 38 min. 07 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1050 feet and a central angle of 1 deg. 21 min. 53 sec., a distance of 25.012 feet to a point on the easterly line of said lot; thence southerly along said easterly line 3.785 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 216; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 216, and containing 85 square feet, more or less.

Parcel 25. Beginning at the southwest corner of Lot 215 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly on the westerly line of said lot a distance of 1.720 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to a line deflecting 86 deg. 16 min. 09 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1050 feet and a central angle of 1 deg. 21 min. 58 sec., a distance of 25.036 feet to a point on the easterly line of said lot; thence southerly along said easterly line 3.051 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 215; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 215, and containing 60 square feet, more or less.

Parcel 26. Beginning at the southeast corner of Lot 214 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running westerly along the southerly line of said lot 22.612 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to a line deflecting 175 deg. 01 min. 54 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1050 feet and a central angle of 1 deg. 14 min. 15 sec., a distance of 22.678 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence southerly along said easterly line a distance of 1.720 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of said Lot 214, and containing 19.0 square feet, more or less.

Parcel 27. Beginning at the most southerly corner of Lot 208, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; running thence southerly along the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of said Lot 208, 24.638 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the right 76 deg. 36 min. 02 sec. from the preceding course, radius 1050 feet, central angle 8 deg. 08 min. 54 sec., a distance of 149.324 feet to the northwesterly prolongation of the northeasterly line of the Academy Tract; thence deflecting to the left 95 deg. 00 min. 15 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running southeasterly along said northwesterly prolongation 31.791 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line, being a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected to the left 86 deg. 32 min. 30 sec. from the preceding course, radius 1186.3 feet, central angle 11 deg. 59 min. 40 sec., a distance of 248.343 feet to a point which is distant southerly at right angles 25 feet from the southerly line of said Spring Valley Homestead; thence northerly at right angles to said southerly line of the Spring Valley Homestead 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 108.832 feet to the most southerly corner of said Lot 208 and the point of beginning, and containing 8242 square feet.

Parcel 28. All of Lots 1 and 2 of Block "F," Bernal Tract, as recorded in Map Book "H," pages 27 and 28, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 29. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, distant southwesterly thereon 73.668 feet from the southwesterly line of Francis street; thence northeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent to said southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, radius 450 feet, central angle 9 deg. 20 min. 34 sec., a distance of 73.377 feet to the southwesterly line of Francis street; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 0.338 feet to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 73.668 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Female Academy Block, as per map "Survey of Academy Tract," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 123, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 30. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue and the southwesterly line of Santa Rosa avenue; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 702.39 feet to the northeasterly line of Bauer street; thence deflecting to the right 97 deg. 39 min. and running northwesterly along said northeast-

erly line 19.22 feet; thence continuing northwesterly along said northeasterly line on a curve to the left tangent to preceding course, radius 498.44 feet, central angle 3 deg. 34 min. 38 sec., a distance of 31.119 feet; thence deflecting to the right 85 deg. 55 min. 38 sec. from a line tangent to said curve of preceding course and running northeasterly and parallel with said northwesterly line of Alemany avenue 706.25 feet to the southwesterly line of Santa Rosa avenue; thence deflecting to the right 100 deg. 52 min. and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line 50.91 feet to the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue and the point of beginning, containing 35,208 square feet.

Parcel 31. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of San Juan avenue (formerly Bauer street) with the westerly line of Alemany avenue; thence southwesterly along the said line of Alemany avenue 153.74 feet; thence deflecting 97 deg. 16 min. to the right and running northwesterly 2.000 feet; thence deflecting 97 deg. 16 min. 00 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 237.150 feet to a point on the northerly line of Ocean avenue; thence deflecting 61 deg. 34 min. 05 sec. to the right and running westerly along said line of Ocean avenue a distance of 38.926 feet; thence easterly and northeasterly on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course, radius 50.000 feet, central angle 73 deg. 42 min. 35 sec., a distance of 64.324 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve a distance of 73.832 feet; thence on a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, radius 1050 feet, central angle 12 deg. 08 min. 30 sec., a distance of 222.508 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 68.434 feet to a line which formerly was the southwesterly line of Bauer street; thence southeasterly along said line of Bauer street 50.449 feet to the westerly line of Alemany avenue and the point of beginning.

Excepting therefrom that portion thereof conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded in Book 1373, page 195, Official Records of said City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 32. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Ocean avenue, distant thereon 441.061 feet from the northwesterly line of Mission street; thence southwesterly, northwesterly and northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to the said line of Ocean avenue, radius 50.000 feet central angle 108 deg. 51 min. 40 sec., a distance of 94.999 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding course 15.193 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, radius 950 feet, central angle 4 deg. 41 min. 04 sec., a distance of 77.672 feet to a boundary line of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting from the tangent to the preceding course 172 deg. 32 min. 34 sec. to the left and running southwesterly along said boundary line 173.197 feet to the northerly line of Ocean avenue; thence deflecting 118 deg. 25 min. 55 sec. to the left and running easterly along the northerly line of Ocean avenue 98.330 feet; thence deflecting 2 deg. 34 min. 15 sec. to the left and running 5.211 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 33. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, distant thereon 153.400 feet southwesterly from its intersection with the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue (formerly Bauer street); thence deflecting 82 deg. 44 min. to the left from the said line of Alemany avenue produced southwesterly and running southeasterly 5.221 feet; thence on a curve to the right northwesterly whose tangent deflects 103 deg. 15 min. 07 sec. to the left from the preceding course, radius 950 feet central angle 1 deg. 34 min. 33 sec., a distance of 26.130 feet; thence deflecting 78 deg. 19 min. 26 sec. to the left from the tangent of the preceding course and running northwesterly 2.834 feet to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue; thence deflecting 97 deg. 16 min. to the left and running southerly along said line of Alemany avenue 25.72 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 34. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, distant thereon 102.460 feet southwesterly from its intersection with the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue (formerly Bauer street); thence southwesterly along said line of Alemany avenue 25.22 feet; thence deflecting 82 deg. 44 min. to the left and running easterly 2.834 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflecting 101 deg. 40 min. 34 sec. to left from the preceding course, radius 950 feet, central angle 1 deg. 32 min. 12 sec., a distance of 25.479 feet; thence deflecting 79 deg. 51 min. 38 sec. to the left from the tangent to the preceding course and running west-

erly 1.204 feet to the southwesterly line of Alemany avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 35. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, distant thereon 55.002 feet southwesterly from its intersection with the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue (formerly Bauer street); thence southwesterly on a curve to the left tangent to the said line of Alemany avenue, radius 950 feet, central angle 2 deg. 52 min. 22 sec., a distance of 47.630 feet; thence deflecting 100 deg. 08 min. 22 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running westerly 1.204 feet to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue; thence deflecting 82 deg. 44 min. to the right and running northerly along said line of Alemany avenue 47.458 feet to the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening of Alemany boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Approval of Map, Widening Moscow Street at France Avenue.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28466 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100670 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Moscow street at France avenue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Moscow street at France avenue is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Approval of Map of Bay Street Between Fillmore and Webster Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 28467 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100670 (Second Series), approve a map showing the opening of Bay street between Fillmore and Webster streets; now, therefore

Resolved, That the map showing the opening of Bay street between Fillmore and Webster streets is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Approval of Map of Elk Street From Chenery to Bosworth Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 28468 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100670 (Second Series), approve a map showing the extension of Elk street from Chenery street to Bosworth street; now, therefore

Resolved, That the map showing the extension of Elk street from Chenery street to Bosworth street is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Approval of Map of Mangels Avenue at Hazelwood Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 28469 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100670 (Second Series), approve a map showing the closing of a portion of Mangels avenue at the northeasterly intersection of Hazelwood avenue; now, therefore

Resolved, That the map showing the closing of a portion of Mangels avenue at the northeasterly intersection of Hazelwood avenue is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Award of Contract, Bathing Suits, Etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 28470 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted January 30, 1928 (Proposal No. 351), as follows, viz.:

Gantner & Mattern for furnishing: 60 dozen men's bathing suits, Oxford gray, as per City sample

(20 dozen each sizes 36, 38, 40), stenciled in white "P. C.," at $22.50 per dozen; 40 dozen women's bathing suits (10 dozen size 34, 15 dozen each sizes 36, 38), Oxford gray, as per City sample, stenciled in white "P. C.," at $22.50 per dozen. Delivery on or before March 15, 1928.

Carl Munter & Co. for furnishing: 250 dozen honeycomb towels, 21x44 inches, as per City sample, with legend "Fleishhacker Playfield" woven in white letters on red stripe, at $2.785 per dozen. Delivery on or before April 15, 1928.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be as follows, viz.: Gantner & Mattern Co., $500; Carl Munter & Co., $100.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Award of Contract, X-Ray Supplies.

Also, Resolution No. 28471 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted January 30, 1928 (Proposal No. 350), for furnishing the following X-Ray supplies for San Francisco Hospital:

Item No. 1—36 Packages radiotized dental films at $0.80 per package; Kahn & Co.

Item No. 2—180 dozen X-Ray films, Eastman superspeed 80x10, at $2.24 5/6 per dozen; Victory X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 3—216 dozen X-Ray films, Eastman superspeed 11x14, at $4.05½ per dozen; Victory X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 4—216 dozen X-Ray films, Eastman superspeed 14x17, at $6.85 1/3 per dozen; Victory X-Ray Corporation.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be not required, as above is for definite quantities for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Extension of Time on Contract, Pioneer Rubber Mills.

Also, Resolution No. 28472 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of time of 30 calendar days be hereby granted, upon recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, to Pioneer Rubber Mills upon contract awarded on Proposal No. 330 by Resolution No. 27983 (New Series), approved November 4, 1927, for furnishing 10,000 feet of 2¾-inch fire hose.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Declaratory Ordinance, Completion of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 8416, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: Aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including the acquisition of lands necessary for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project for the conveyance of water from the Lake Eleanor-Tuolumne system, situate within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and file plans and estimates of the cost of original construction and completion of such public utility.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby specifically declared and determined that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: Aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor-Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne River and its tributaries, situate within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes. Said Lake Eleanor-Tuolumne system is hereby desig-

nated as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed immediately to procure, through the City Engineer, and to file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion of said aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands.

Section 3. Said aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances to be constructed and completed and said lands to be acquired as herein determined and declared shall be used and operated as part of and in connection with said Lake Eleanor-Tuolumne system, also known as and called the Hetch Hetchy project.

Section 4. This ordinance is the first of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein named.

Section 5. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for fourteen days after its approval.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman—2.

Declaratory Ordinance, Acquisition of Spring Valley Water Company.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 8417, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: A water supply and works, water rights, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure from the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of original construction, completion and acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the Spring Valley Water Company, its water supply, water works, pumping plants, lands, rights of way, storage reservoirs and distributing system or such portions thereof as may be deemed necessary.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necesisty demand the acquisition of all of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants and continuing the water supply system of the said Spring Valley Water Company as an existing public utility.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of acquisition, original construction and completion by said City and County of the public utility herein named, which is designated as the Spring Valley Water Company and as having available a supply of good, pure water for the uses of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for a period of fourteen days in the official newspaper and take effect immediately after its approval.

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd; Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman—2.

Statement of Supervisor Havenner.

Supervisor Havenner gave notice that next week he will ask City Attorney J. J. O'Toole as to the legal manner in which a stipulation may be made on the Spring Valley issue whereby, if it is ratified by the voters, the system will not be taken over by the City until San Francisco has a public utilities commission. He added that he believes this step will win additional support from the voters.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Proceedings, City and County of San Francisco vs. Wells Fargo & Company et al.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 28473 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "City and County of San Francisco v. Wells Fargo & Company et al.," numbered 43686 in the files thereof, in so far as said suit relates to the real property described in said complaint and hereinafter described and as to the defendants hereinafter named.

All that certain real property, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Rancho San Miguel with the southerly line of Portola drive as said Portola drive is shown upon the map of Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park, filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, August 23, 1927, in Book "L" of Maps, pages 37 to 41, inclusive; thence north 42 deg. 39 min. 41 sec. east along the said southeasterly line of Portola drive to the most northerly corner of Block 2901C of Subdivision No. 4 of Miraloma Park, as shown on the map above referred to; thence continuing north 42 deg. 39 min. 41 sec. east 56.759 feet; thence north 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. west 1.955 feet; thence north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east along the southeasterly line of said Portola drive 359.115 feet; thence south 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. east .492 feet; thence north 57 deg. 48 min. 26 sec. east 93.204 feet; thence south 39 deg. 35 min. 04 sec. east 43.647 feet; thence north 66 deg 04 min. 03 sec. east 66.499 feet to the northerly corner of Lot 26 Block 2901A, as shown on the map above referred to; thence south 33 deg. 24 min. east along the northeasterly line of said Block 2901A 294.071 feet; thence continuing along said northeasterly line along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 330 feet, tangent to the preceding course, 87.139 feet to the former center line of Stanford Heights avenue as shown on the map of Stanford Heights recorded July 18, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, pages 154 and 155, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; thence south 4 deg. 35 min. 04 sec. east along the said center line of Stanford Heights avenue to the former center line of Valley street as said street is shown on the map of Stanford Heights, above referred to; thence along the said center line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 206.599 feet; thence south 58 deg. 36 min. east 199.731 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left, tangent with the preceding course, with a radius of 545 feet and a central angle of 23 deg. 58 min., southeasterly 227.972 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 82 deg. 34 min. east 300 feet; thence at a right angle south 7 deg. 26 min. west 40 feet; thence at a right angle south 82 deg. 34 min. east 152 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears south 27 deg. 07 min. 36 sec. west 300 feet from last named point and whose central angle is 52 deg. 42 min. 40 sec. southeasterly 276.009 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears south 80 deg. 28 min. east 310 feet from last named point and whose central angle is 89 deg. 32 min. southeasterly 484.422 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 80 deg. east 182 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 250 feet and central angle of 23 deg. 28 min. southeasterly 102.393 feet; thence south 26 deg. 16 min. east 249.131 feet; thence south 44 deg. 36 min. east 294.107 feet to the point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of Thirty-first street with the easterly line of Burnett avenue; thence southerly along said easterly line of Burnett avenue to its intersection with the northerly line of Surrey street; thence easterly along said northerly line of Surrey street to its intersection with the easterly boundary line of Sunnyside Addition No. 1; thence southerly along the easterly boundary line of Sunnyside Adidtion No. 1 to the northerly line of Melrose avenue; thence westerly along the said northerly line of Melrose avenue and its extension westerly to the easterly boundary line of the Rancho San Miguel; thence northerly along the easterly boundary line of the

Rancho San Miguel to the point of beginning.

The above mentioned and described property includes the land described in paragraphs 42, 53, 69, 121, 127, 129, 130, 138, 139, 141, 142 and 179 of the complaint and owned by the following named defendants: Ella Brandenberg and Frederick H. Bamman; Wells Fargo & Company, a corporation; Frances Murphy Le Gonidec, Henry P. Bowie, Frances Murphy Le Gonidec, Frances J. M. Le Gonidec, Margaret R. Crossley, Laura A. Storm, Frances Murphy Le Gonidec, Thos. B. Bishop Company, a corporation; Wells Fargo & Company, a corporation; Frances Murphy Le Gonidec; Wells Fargo & Company, a corporation, and all other defendants claiming an interest in and to any of said described real property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

City Pledges Anew $50,000 for Republican Convention.

Supervisors Hayden and Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 28474 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco extended an invitation to the Republican National Committee to hold the 1928 Republican National Convention in San Francisco and this Board of Supervisors pledged itself to provide $50,000 toward the expense of holding the convention; and

Whereas, San Francisco was second choice of the National Committee in the balloting for the selection of the convention city; and

Whereas, the daily press carries rumors that the committee is seriously considering abandoning Kansas City as the convention city and selecting some other city; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco reextends its former invitation and again pledges itself to provide, at the proper time, $50,000 towards defraying the expenses of the Republican National Convention should it be held in San Francisco, and assures the National Committee that the necessary facilities will be provided for making the convention a complete success.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Mayor to Appoint Committee of Arrangements to Welcome Italian Hotel Men's Association.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28475 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor James Rolph be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of Supervisors and citizens to make the necessary arrangements to welcome to San Francisco a delegation from the Italian Hotel Men's Association who are coming from Europe to study hotel conditions in this country.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Congressional Bill Approved, Survey of San Francisco Bay Channel From Hunter's Point to Ravenswood Point.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28476 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a measure has been introduced in the Congress of the United States by Representative Richard J. Welch and designated H. J. R. No. 170, which provides for the survey of the channel of San Francisco Bay from Hunter's Point to Ravenswood Point, for the establishment of bulkhead lines and other work so as to enable the development of the harbor; and

Whereas, such work will be important in providing for the expansion of San Francisco's port facilities necessary to handle the growing commerce that will come to this commercial center and promote the industrial development of San Francisco and the Peninsula area; therefore,

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the resolution of Congressman Welch be given its hearty endorsement and approval and that Congress be urged to adopt the same at the earliest moment; that a copy of this resolution be transmitted to Congressman Welch.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Relative to County Supervisors' Convention in San Francisco.

Supervisor Todd requested that his Honor the Mayor officially invite County Supervisors of eleven western states to attend convention of County Supervisors' Association of the State of California, to be held in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, March 6, 7 and 8, 1928. Also, that the chambers be made available for said convention. Also, that Mayor appoint five members of the Board of Supervisors as delegates representing San Francisco's quota at said convention.

So ordered.

Unemployment Problem.

Supervisor Havenner requested Clerk suggest to Mayor conference of representatives of industrial and construction projects looking to relief for the unemployment situation.

So ordered.

Portola Festival Proposed.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Portola Festival reawakened an interest in California history, created a spirit of community enthusiasm and resulted in substantial benefit to merchants, hotel proprietors and the citizenry in general; and

Whereas, the revival of such festival will be beneficial to San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors looks with favor upon the revival in 1928 of the Portola Festival; and be it

Further Resolved, That a special committee of three of the Board of Supervisors be selected to give immediate consideration to the desirability of reviving the Portola Festival and ways and means with respect thereto, and to report at the earliest possible opportunity to this Board in connection therewith.

Over one week.

Plans, Etc., Arterial Stop Signs.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to prepare plans and specifications, approve such plans and specifications and enter into a contract for the lighting of 500 arterial stop signs now installed and to be installed.

Referred to Finance Committee.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

The following matters were taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is, however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

Motion.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy further consideration of the foregoing matters were *continued until April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

SPECIAL ORDERS—11 A. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Fran-

cisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-

two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern. McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attornevs.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *con-*

tinued until Monday, April 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1¼ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1¼ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suit-

able connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty

(60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April 2, 1928, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April 2, 1928, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April 2, 1928, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April 2, 1928, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *con-*

tinued until Monday, April 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and acress San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbell and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise nd for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California: said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Mc-

Govern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April 2, 1928, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from the outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continua-

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet (200') of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty degrees (80°) east nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400'), more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred feet (600') south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400'),

and that the breadth thereof is to be sixty feet (60'), more or less.
Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Amended application of Allan C. Rush for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Oakland, Alameda County.

Said bridge to be located at the starting point at or near what is known as Rincon Hill, in the City of San Francisco, and from which named point extending over and across what is known as San Francisco Channel of the Bay of San Francisco, this channel having an approximate width of 11,088 feet, to Goat Island—Yerba Buena—in a suspension bridge with a height of not less than 200 feet, measuring from the floor and base of said bridge down *to* and *above* high tide, supported in its entirety by four cables resting over five bridge piers, one pier at or near Rincon Hill, three piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, and one pier on the westerly shore end of Goat Island—Yerba Buena—numbered, respectively, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, with Pier No. 5 ending on said island.

Filed by E. L. Vandair Nailen, November 7, 1927, and *continued for hearing until November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Alternative design, Inter-Cities Bridge Company, for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, as follows:

On a line from near the foot of Broadway, in San Francisco, to the northern slope of Goat Island, along this northerly slope to the eastern end of the island, and thence to and along the northerly edge, or near the same, of the Key Route Mole to the entrance into Oakland, fully described in the original application.
Filed December 21, 1927.

December 27, 1927—*Read and continued until January* 23, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Application of John Goulding Little for authority to erect, maintain and operate, and to take tolls on a toll bridge to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the County of Alameda, State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 7 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 20 min. 49 sec., which point is about 120 feet northerly from the Key System Transit Company's Ferry House in the City of Oakland, and extending thence westerly to a point in the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 2 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 21 min. 31 sec., which point is about 700 feet northerly from the northeast point of Goat Island; thence westerly by the most direct available route with suitable grades and curvature to the intersection of the center line of Market street produced with the waterfront line on The Embarcadero in the City and County of San Francisco.

That the length of said bridge is 16,000 feet and that the breadth of said bridge is 2000 feet.
Filed January 30, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy further consideration of the foregoing matter was *continued until April* 2, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 14, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 7

Tuesday, February 14, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, February 14, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 6, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Convention of Redwood Highway Association.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication, from Redwood Empire Association, inviting the Board of Supervisors to attend, on Monday, at 10 a. m., February 20, 1928, session of the General Nine-Counties Highways Committee of the Redwood Empire Association in San Francisco for the purpose of discussing and considering recommendatory program relating to highway system within and serving the nine counties of the Redwood Empire.

Read and *accepted*.

Schumann-Heink Day.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Communication, from United Veterans' Council, requesting Board of Supervisors to declare Sunday, February 19, 1928, Schumann-Heink Day in San Francisco and to cooperate in its observance.

Read by the Clerk.

Resolution.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 28503 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Mme. Ernestine Schumann-Heink, California's most distinguished and illustrious citizen, will sing her farewell concert in San Francisco on Sunday, February 19, 1928, and

Whereas, it is fitting and proper that the City of San Francisco, which she loves so devotedly, should honor this internationally famous artist, not alone because of her more than half a century of musical success in the principal cities of the world, not alone because she has been signally honored by presidents, kings and emperors, but also we must honor her because of her steadfast and unwavering loyalty to this, the country of her adoption, and because of her continuous active work among and in behalf of the disabled American veterans of the World War and which devotion has won her the title of "Mother of Disabled Veterans"; therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in regular session assembled this 14th day of February, 1928, that Sunday, February 19, 1928, is set aside and officially designated as Schumann-Heink Day. Be it

Further Resolved, That a properly engrossed copy of this resolution be presented to Mme. Ernestine Schumann-Heink.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Unemployment Problem.

Communication, from San Francisco Labor Council, transmitting resolution of said Council calling upon all labor and civic organizations and the press to unite in such plans for relieving the unemploy-

ment situation as will maintain the integrity of existing charter provisions for the protection of labor, and that will quickly restore the normal earning and purchasing power of labor.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Also, *communication*, from Civil Service Per Diem Men's Association, advising that several of its members are unemployed and requesting that all possible City work be performed under supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Shingle Ordinance.

Communication, from Eureka Valley Promotion Association, endorsing the amending of Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), to-wit: the proposed shingle ordinance, but with recommendation that the 20 per cent damage requirement be changed to 40 per cent, and to extend throughout San Francisco.

Read and *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from Corporal Harold W. Roberts, Unit No. 6, United Veterans of the Republic, approving the changes proposed in the so-called roofing ordinance.

Read and *filed.*

Mount Davidson Park.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Communication, from Commodore Sloat School Parent-Teachers' Association, urging action in the matter of an appropriation for Mount Davidson Park.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Death of Mrs. Margaret Curtis.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 28504 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has called Mrs. Margaret Curtis, mother of former Supervisor and Sheriff Peter J. Curtis and mother-in-law of Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden, to her eternal rest after a long and useful life; therefore, be it

Resolved, That when the Board of Supervisors adjourns it does so out of respect to her memory.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Water-Softening Mineral, San Francisco Hospital.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing water-softening mineral for San Francisco Hosiptal and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

3/0 Round Hard Drawn Copper Trolley Wire, Municipal Railway.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing five miles of 3/0 round hard drawn copper trolley wire for Municipal Railway and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28447 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for January (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $4,230.59.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(3) O. Aaron, third payment, plumbing and heating for Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $943.59.

(4) Edward F. Dowd, first payment, electrical work, Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $829.46.

(5) H. H. Larsen Co., sixth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $5,894.63.

Special School Tax.

(6) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint for schools (claim dated Jan. 30, 1928), $501.70.

(7) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Jan. 30, 1928), $1,661.91.

(8) The Richmond Roofing Co., roofing for Marshall School (claim dated Jan. 30, 1928), $1,965.

(9) MacDonald & Kahn, sixth payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $25,832.59.

(10) Frank Reilly, first payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $11,164.87.

County Road Fund.

(11) Louis J. Cohn, grading at terminus of Sloat boulevard and Great Highway (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $2,728.60.

(12) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Kansas street between

Army and Marin streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $997.25.

(13) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Twentieth street between Rhode Island and Vermont streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $698.65.

(14) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Diamond streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $777.19.

(15) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Armstrong avenue between Third and Keith streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $3,065.35.

(16) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of De Haro street between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $865.

(17) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Mariposa street between Wisconsin and Arkansas streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $2,240.

(18) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Santiago street (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,191.80.

(19) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Twenty-second avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $3,000.

(20) Heyman Bros., improvement of Twenty-seventh avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,335.

(21) Pacific States Construction Co., improvement of Eighteenth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $975.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(22) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $12,571.40.

(23) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $2,983.18.

(24) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, fill for construction of Alemany boulevard (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,869.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue, 1923.

(25) R. W. Moller, seventeenth payment for general construction of the Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $28,670.16.

(26) Scott Company, eighth payment, mechanical equipment for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,695.

(27) A. Lettich, eighth payment, plumbing for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,429.56.

(28) Scott Company, seventh payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,950.

(29) R. Flatland, fifth payment, electrical work for Sherman School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $763.13.

(30) Mahony Bros., twelfth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $12,031.60.

(31) Scott Company, fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,035.13.

(32) D. Zelinsky & Sons, first payment, painting of Sherman School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,184.

(33) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $652.94.

(34) Alta Electrical Co., seventh payment, electric work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $4,537.50.

(35) Latourette-Fical Co., fourteenth payment, plumbing on Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,248.53

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(36) The Pelton Water Wheel Co., parts for pumps (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $1,536.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(For expense of Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(37) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $6,158.26.

(38) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $510.27.

(39) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $611.23.

(40) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $956.44.

(41) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,007.75.

Playground Fund.

(42) John J. Carmody, final payment, for plumbing at Excelsior Playground (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $711.60.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(43) San Francisco Society for

Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding and feeding of animals (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $1,250.

(44) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $1,104.06.

(45) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for February (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $750.

(46) Louis J. Cohn, construction of sewer, Baden and Congo streets (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $1,415.50.

(47) Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, materials furnished for general repairs of buildings (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $2,090.27.

(48) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper for Bureau of Engineering (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $526.80.

(49) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $1,807.57.

(50) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $705.65.

(51) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $2,445.38.

(52) J. A. Grant, second payment, general construction of chapel at the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $3,557.63.

(53) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $644.48.

(54) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,975.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $14,752.40.

(56) Wm. L. Hughson Co., one Ford chassis for Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $659.20.

(57) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity service for Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 26, 1928), $965.01.

(58) James G. Stafford and associates, tenth payment, contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $7,238.25.

County Road Fund.

(59) J. P. Holland, improvement of Harrison street between First and Sterling streets (claim dated Jan. 15, 1928), $1,000.

(60) Owen McHugh and Piombo Bros., 10,000 cubic yards fill on Portola drive (Market street extended) (claim dated Jan. 25, 1928), $3,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Appropriations for Property Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 28478 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned Bond Funds, and authorized in payment to the following named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(1) To Battista Boeto, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,968.

(2) To George Hall, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,318.

(3) To A. J. Hingsbergen and Rose C. Hingsbergen, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,574.

(4) To Luigi Mussio and Maria Mussio, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $2,090.

(5) To Francesco Bruso, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,872.

(6) To Bernardo Fanucchi, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,882.

(7) To Davino Del Carlo, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,133.

(8) To Joseph Greck and Angela Greck, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,383.

(9) To Francis Borini, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,397.

(10) To Mary Boscacci, for prop-

erty required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28367, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,376.

(11) To Albert C. Henderson and Myrtle Rose Henderson, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28366, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,217.25.

(12) To Pauline Biggins and Minnie Mager, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28366, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $2,250.

(13) To Battistina Schiechl, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28366, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $1,453.75.

(14) To Pauline Nager, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28366, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $3,102.75.

Bernal Cut Bonds, 1927.

(15) Martin Nelson and Anna Nelson, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28412, New Series (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928). $6,337.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Appropriations for Land for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28479 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Land Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Dolores T. Barry, for land on westerly line of Stockton street, commencing 50 feet northerly from northerly line of Francisco street, running thence northerly on westerly line of Stockton street 25 feet; thence at right angle westerly 68 feet 6 inches to easterly line of Worden street; being of uniform dimensions 25 feet by 68 feet 6 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28419 (New Series), $3,250.

(2) To Hannah Gallagher, for land on westerly line of Worden street, commencing 68 feet 6 inches southerly from Francisco street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Worden street 56 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 50 feet; being of uniform dimensions 56 feet 6 inches by 50 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28418 (New Series), $1,400.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Resolution No. 28480 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Alma Hoop, for land and improvements situate on westerly line of Blake street, commencing 510 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly on the west line of Blake street 25 feet; thence at right angle westerly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28420 (New Series), $4,250.

(2) To Elizabeth Herester Huie, for land on southerly line of Geary street, commencing 90 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly on the southerly line of Geary street 30 feet; thence at right angle southerly 125 feet; being of uniform dimensions 30 x 125 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28417 (New Series), $6,000.

(3) To Wm. F. Altvater, for land commencing at point formed by intersection of northerly line of Rivera street with westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, running thence westerly along northerly line of Rivera street 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 155 feet; being of uniform dimensions 120 x 155 feet; also, land on easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 180 feet northerly from northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 26 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 26 feet 10 inches by 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by

Resolution No. 28415 (New Series), $15,000.

(4) To Honora A. Quigley, for land on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 213 feet 2 inches southerly from southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly on easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 75 feet; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet; being of uniform dimensions 75 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28421 (New Series), $5,000.

(5) To Arthur Frank, for land on the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 110 feet 6 inches southerly from southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly on easterly line of Twenty-third avenue 52 feet; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet; being of uniform dimensions 52 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28416 (New Series), $2,500.

(6) To Helen E. Corwell, for land on easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 100 feet northerly from northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly on easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet; being of uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28431 (New Series), $1,200.

(7) To Hannah Dempsey, for land on east line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 100 feet north of north line of Santiago street, running thence northerly on east line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet; uniform dimensions 25 x 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28424 (New Series), $1,200.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Ordering Construction of Bay Shore Boulevard From Silver to Paul Avenues.

Bill No. 8403, Ordinance No. 7911 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Bay Shore Highway, Section B, Contract No. 3, from Silver avenue to Paul avenue; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the Bay Shore Highway, Section B, Contract No. 3, from Silver avenue to Paul avenue, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Storm Drain for Bay Shore Highway Crossing at Alemany Boulevard.

Bill No. 8404, Ordinance No. 7912 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a storm drain for the Bay Shore Highway crossing Alemany boulevard, Contract No. 2; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of a storm drain for the Bay Shore Highway crossing Alemany boulevard, Contract No. 2, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said

work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Construction of Alemany Boulevard From Ocean Avenue to Mission Viaduct.

Bill No. 8405, Ordinance No. 7913 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "B", Contract No. 4, from Ocean avenue to the Mission viaduct, by grading, sewering and part-paving, and ordering the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "B", Contract No. 4, from Ocean avenue to the Mission street viaduct in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said construction of Alemany boulevard, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said construction. The cost of said construction to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "B", Contract No. 4, from Ocean avenue to the Mission street viaduct, and to enter into contract for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "B", Contract No. 4, from Ocean avenue to the Mission street viaduct, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said construction to be borne out of funds of the Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said Alemany boulevard conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Appropriations, Equipment, Supplies, Etc., Preventorium and Outpatient Maternity Service.

Resolution No. 28481 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts for the following mentioned purposes, to-wit:

Preventorium, San Mateo County, Budget Item No. 57.

(1) For the purchasing of equipment and supplies and payroll for the Tubercular Preventorium, during month of January, 1928, $5,290.86.

Establishing of Maternity Service, Budget Item No. 58.

(2) For the purchasing of equipment, stationery and payroll for the out-patient maternity service, month of January, 1928, $2,380.16.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Appropriations for Reconstruction of Seventh Street, Market Street Extension and Jerrold Avenue Between Third and Phelps Streets.

Resolution No. 28482 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the hereinafter mentioned purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the reconstruction of Seventh street from Mission to Brannan streets, additional to complete, $9,333.35.

(2) For the paving of the Market street extension from Merritt to Mono streets and from Mono to Ord streets, $23,000.

(3) For the improving of Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelp streets in accordance with agreement with the property owners to widen and raise the grade on the street, $8,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Mc-

Govern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Appropriation, $14,000, Steel Tie Road Anchorages at Third and Channel Street Bridge.

Resolution No. 28483 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $14,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund to cover the cost of placing steel tie road anchorages at Third street and Channel bridge, including engineering, inspection and extras.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Appropriation, $5,000, Additional and Emergency Supplies by the Board of Health.

Resolution No. 28484 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Plans, Etc., for Sewers in Golden Gate Heights District.

Bill No. 8380, Ordinance No. 7914 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District, and ordering the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of sewers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District, and to enter into contract for the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Nineteenth Street and Potrero Avenue.

Bill No. 8406, Ordinance No. 7915 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Nineteenth street with the westerly line of Potrero avenue, running thence northerly 275 feet along said westerly line of Potrero avenue; thence at right angles westerly 200 feet to the easterly line of Hampshire street; thence southerly along Hampshire street 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 250 feet to the northerly line of Nineteenth street; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Appropriation, $5,000, Additional and Emergency Supplies by the Board of Health.

Resolution No. 28486 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 28487 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis Anderson, west side Fillmore street, 225 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Granfield, 320 Magellan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Griffin & Sons, 1051 Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, north side of Pacific avenue, 105 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. H. Hughes, 1309 Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. A. Janssen, south line of Marina boulevard, 260 feet east of Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. A. Johnson, north side of Taraval street, 150 feet east of Nineteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Aronab Products Co., 580 Bryant street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lang Realty Co., east side of Baker street, 87 feet south of Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Harry Rice, 496 Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, north side of Green street, 53 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. White, west side Webster street, 110 feet north of McAllister street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under these permits shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 28488 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. Marrace be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a French laundry at 3426 Mission street.

The rights granted under this permit shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28489 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Edward Hofen and Arthur Sumner be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 1270 Twentieth street.

The rights granted under this permit shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28490 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That George H. Bos be and is hereby granted permission to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted S. E. Bernard by Resolution No. 18388 (New Series) for premises at 1731 Clay street.

The rights granted under this permit shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28491 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Conlon be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the south side of Mission street, 275 feet east of Fourth street.

The rights granted under this

resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

Blasting Permits.

Resolution No. 28492 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sibley Grading and Teaming Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property at the northwest corner of Union and Leavenworth streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000 as fixed by the Boord of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution is violated by said Sibley Grading and Teaming Company then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Resolution No. 28493 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property on the northeast corner of Pacific and Laguna streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immedately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Stable Permit.

Resolution No. 28494 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That N. Weidman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a stable to accommodate forty (40) horses for a period of one year from the date of approval of this resolution, in premises at 617 Grove street; provided, that said N. Weidman comply with all requirements and regulations of the Department of Public Health and of the Fire Marshal.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Amending Amusement Park License Ordinance.

Bill No. 8407, Ordinance No. 7916 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), "Imposing a license tax on the business of conducting an amusement park and providing a penalty for a violation thereof," approved September 27, 1920.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of conducting an amusement park shall pay to the Tax Collecter of the City and County or San Francisco an annual tax in the sum of five hundred dollars per block or portion thereof, as follows:

$125 on the 1st day of July;
$125 on the 1st day of October;
$125 on the 1st day of January; and
$125 on the 1st day of April of each year.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Amusement Park Permit, John Friedle.

Resolution No. 28495 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted John Friedle to maintain and operate an amusement park in strict conformity with the provisions of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), on premises comprising all of Blocks Nos. 1592, 1595 and 1692, as delineated on the latest official map of this City and County, and more particularly described as follows:

Block No. 1592—Commencing at the northeast corner of Balboa street and the Great Highway, running thence northwesterly along the Great Highway 728.257 feet; thence easterly 329.97 feet; thence southerly 246.10 feet; thence easterly 143.38 feet; thence southerly along La Playa 381.5 feet; thence westerly along Balboa street 229.1⅛ feet to the point of commencement.

Block No. 1595—Commencing at the northeast corner of Cabrillo street and the Great Highway, running thence northerly along the Great Highway 357.6 feet; thence easterly 102 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; thence westerly 102 feet; thence northerly along the Great Highway 143 feet; thence easterly along Balboa street 165.10 feet; thence southerly 400 feet; thence easterly 60 feet; thence southerly along La Playa 200 feet; thence westerly along Cabrillo street 201.2 feet to the point of commencement.

Block No. 1692—Commencing at the northeast corner of Fulton street and the Great Highway, running thence northerly along the Great Highway 520.5⅛ feet; thence easterly 193.10 feet; thence southerly along La Playa 520 feet; thence westerly along Fulton street 172 feet to the point of commencement.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Fireproof Roofing Ordinance Amendment.

Bill No. 8363, Ordinance No. 7917 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as "The Building Law," relating to the fireproof roonng limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Section 4. The roofs of all buildings hereafter constructed within the limits hereinafter in this section described shall consist of fire-resistant materials, and whenever the covering of the roof or roofs of any building or buildings heretofore constructed within the said limits, shall, in the judgment of the Board of Public Works, be or become damaged through fire, decay or otherwise, to the extent of twenty (20) per centum of the area of the said covering of the roof or roofs, then the covering of the roof or roofs shall be reconstructed of or replaced with fire-resistant materials. Said fire-resistant materials shall consist of the same materials required for the roof coverings of all buildings erected within the fire limits of the City and County.

Subsection 1. Said limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the easterly boundary of the Presidio Reservation; thence southerly and westerly along the boundary line of the Presidio Reservation to the shore line of the Pacific Ocean; thence along the shore line of the Pacific Ocean westerly and southerly to its intersection with the County line; thence easterly along said County line to its intersection with Mission street; thence along the center line of Mission street to

its intersection with Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly along the center line of Twenty-fifth street to the center line of Potrero avenue; thence along the center line of Potrero avenue to the center line of Division street; thence along the center line of Division street to the center line of King street; thence northeasterly along the center line of King street to the center line of Seventh street; thence southerly along the center line of Seventh street to the center line of Channel street; thence northerly along the center line of Channel street to the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco; thence following the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco to the point of commencement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Marks, Stanton—5.

Declaratory Ordinance, Completion of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

Bill No. 8416, Ordinance No. 7918 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: Aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including the acquisition of lands necessary for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project for the conveyance of water from the Lake Eleanor-Tuolumne system, situate within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and file plans and estimates of the cost of original construction and completion of such public utility.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby specifically declared and determined that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: Aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor-Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne River and its tributaries, situate within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes. Said Lake Eleanor-Tuolumne system is hereby designated as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed immediately to procure, through the City Engineer, and to file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion of said aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands.

Section 3. Said aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances to be constructed and completed and said lands to be acquired as herein determined and declared shall be used and operated as part of and in connection with said Lake Eleanor-Tuolumne system, also known as and called the Hetch Hetchy project.

Section 4. This ordinance is the first of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein named.

Section 5. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for fourteen days after its approval.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Declaratory Ordinance, Acquisition of Spring Valley Water Company.

Bill No. 8417, Ordinance No. 7919 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: A water supply and works, water rights, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure from the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of original construction, completion and acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the Spring Valley Water Company, its water supply, water works, pumping plants, lands, rights of way,

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

storage reservoirs and distributing system or such portions thereof as may be deemed necessary.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necesisty demand the acquisition of all of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants and continuing the water supply system of the said Spring Valley Water Company as an existing public utility.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of acquisition, original construction and completion by said City and County of the public utility herein named, which is designated as the Spring Valley Water Company and as having available a supply of good, pure water for the uses of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for a period of fourteen days in the official newspaper and take effect immediately after its approval.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Taraval Street.

Bill No. 8408, Ordinance No. 7924 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and thirteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office January 30, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and thirteen, to read as follows:

Section 1013. The width of sidewalks on Taraval street (the northerly side of) between Twelfth avenue and Fifteenth avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Taraval street (the southerly side of) between Forestside avenue and Fifteenth avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Mill Street.

Bill No. 8409, Ordinance No. 7921 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twelve.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office January 31, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twelve, to read as follows:

Section 1012. The width of sidewalks on Mill street between Harkness street and Ankeny street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Ankeny Street.

Bill No. 8410, Ordinance No. 7922 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fourteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office January 30, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fourteen, to read as follows:

Section 1014. The width of sidewalks on Ankeny street between Cowden street and Sparta street shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Ordering Street Work, Thirty-eighth Avenue and Ulloa Street.

Bill No. 8411, Ordinance No. 7923 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first instalment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Thirty-eighth avenue and Ulloa street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying brick manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8412, Ordinance No. 7920 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Bay Shore avenue between Gillette avenue and the westerly line of Wheeler avenue, including the crossing of Bay Shore avenue and Neuva avenue, Acacia avenue, Peninsula avenue and Wheeler avenue and Wheeler avenue between Lathrop and Bay Shore avenues; Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Burnham street, and intersection of

Elizabeth and Burnham streets; Fowler avenue between Portola drive and Teresita boulevard, including the crossings of Juanita way and Fowler avenue, and Teresita boulevard and Fowler avenue; Teresita boulevard between Fowler avenue and Agua way, including the crossing of Evelyn way and Teresita boulevard and the intersection of Agua way; Evelyn way between Portola drive and Teresita boulevard, including the crossing of Evelyn way and Juanita way and the intersection of Evelyn way and Chaves avenue; Del Sur avenue between Portola drive and Chaves avenue, including the crossing of Juanita way; Juanita way between Del Sur avenue and Evelyn way and between Evelyn way and Fowler avenue; Chaves avenue between Evelyn way and Rockdale drive, including the intersections of Chaves avenue and Agua way, Chaves avenue and Del Sur avenue and Chaves avenue and Rockdale avenue; Agua way between Chaves avenue and Teresita boulevard; Frederick street between Buena Vista avenue and Masonic avenue; Pacheco street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Diamond streets; Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Noe streets and crossing of Twenty-eighth and Castro streets; Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets; Twentieth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets and the crossing of Twentieth street and Kansas street; Twentieth street between Vermont and Kansas streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Bay Shore avenue between Gillette avenue and the westerly line of Wheeler avenue, including the crossings of Bay Shore avenue and Neuva avenue, Acacia avenue, Peninsula avenue and Wheeler avenue, and Wheeler avenue between Lathrop and Bay Shore avenue; Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Burnham street and intersection of Elizabeth and Burnham streets; Fowler avenue between Portola drive and Teresita boulevard, including the crossings of Juanita way and Fowler avenue and Teresita boulevard and Fowler avenue; Teresita boulevard between Fowler avenue and Agua way, including the crossings of Evelyn way and Teresita boulevard and the intersection of Agua way; Evelyn way between Portola drive and Teresita boulevard, including the crossing of Evelyn way and Juanita way and the intersection of Evelyn way and Chaves avenue; Del Sur avenue between Portola drive and Chaves avenue, including the crossing of Juanita way; Juanita way between Del Sur avenue and Evelyn way and between Evelyn way and Fowler avenue; Chaves avenue between Evelyn way and Rockdale drive, including the intersections of Chaves avenue and Agua way, Chaves avenue and Del Sur avenue and Chaves avenue and Rockdale drive; Agua way between Chaves avenue and Teresita boulevard; Frederick street between Buena Vista avenue and Masonic avenue; Pacheco street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Diamond streets; Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Noe streets, and crossing of Twenty-eighth and Castro streets; Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets; Twentieth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets and the crossing of Twentieth street and Kansas street; Twentieth street between Vermont and Kansas streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8413, Ordinance No. 7925 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Brussels street between Wilde and Camp-

bell avenues; Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue; Forty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Lawton street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues; Pacheco street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues; Prentiss street between Powhattan and Eugenia avenues; Peralta avenue between York street and Holladay avenue, including the intersections of York street, Hampshire street and Montcalm streets; York street between Peralta and Montcalm streets; Keith street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues; Keith street between Oakdale and Palou avenues; Kirkham street between Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues; Kirkham street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, and crossing of Kirkham street and Thirtieth avenue; Orizaba avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues; crossing of Thirty-first avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Funston avenue and Lawton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein to-wit:

Brussels street between Wilde and Campbell avenues; Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue; Forty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Lawton street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues; Pacheco street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues; Prentiss street between Powhattan and Eugenia avenues; Peralta avenue between York street and Holladay avenue, including the intersections of York street, Hampshire street and Montcalm street; York street between Peralta and Montcalm streets; Keith street between Newcomb and Oakdale avenues; Keith street between Oakdale and Palou avenues; Kirkham street between Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues; Kirkham street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, and crossing of Kirkham street and Thirtieth avenue; Orizaba avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues; crossing of Thirty-first avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Funston avenue and Lawton street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7855 (New Series), Geary Street Between Ninth and Tenth Avenues.

Bill No. 8414, Ordinance No. 7926 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7855 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south one-half of Geary street from Ninth to Tenth avenues; the west one-half of Broderick street from McAllister street to Golden Gate avenue, and the north one-half of McAllister street from Pierce to Scott streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7855 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south one-half of Geary street from Ninth to Tenth avenues; the west one-half of Broderick street from McAllister street to Golden Gate avenue, and the north one-half of McAllister street from Pierce to Scott streets, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Conditional Acceptance, Army Street.

Bill No. 8415, Ordinance No. 7927 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Army street between Diamond and Douglass streets, and Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets; Diamond street between Army and Twenty-sixth streets; Boylston street between Hale and Sweeny streets, and crossing of Boylston and Sweeny streets;

Eighteenth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets; Fourteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; crossing of Forty-third avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Forty-third avenue and Lawton street; Ingalls street between Gilman and Hollister avenues; Keith street between Armstrong and Yosemite avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between San Jose avenue and San Miguel street; crossing of Prentiss street and Eugenia avenue; intersection of Peralta avenue and York street; Seventeenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets; Thirty-second avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Thornton avenue between the easterly line of Neptune street and the westerly line of Vesta street, including the intersections of Thornton avenue and Neptune street, Venus street, Apollo street, Scotia avenue and Vesta street; crossing of Funston avenue and Moraga street; intersections of Peralta avenue and Florida street, and Peralta avenue and Franconia street; crossing of Jerrold avenue and Phelps street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein:

Army street between Diamond and Douglass streets, and Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets; Diamond street between Army and Twenty-sixth streets; Boylston street between Hale and Sweeny streets, and crossing of Boylston and Sweeny streets; Eighteenth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets; Fourteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; crossing of Forty-third avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Forty-third avenue and Lawton street; Ingalls street between Gilman and Hollister avenues; Keith street between Armstrong and Yosemite avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between San Jose avenue and San Miguel street; crossing of Prentiss street and Eugenia avenue; intersection of Peralta avenue and York street; Seventeenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets; Thirty-second avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Thornton avenue between the easterly line of Neptune street and the westerly line of Vesta street, including the intersection of Thornton avenue and Neptune street, Venus street, Apollo street, Scotia avenue and Vesta street; crossing of Funston avenue and Moraga street; intersection of Peralta avenue and Florida street, and Peralta avenue and Franconia street; crossing of Jerrold avenue and Phelps street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Condemnation of Lands Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 28496 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the public interest and necessity requires the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Cuvier street and the northwesterly line of Mission street, thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Mission street 8.458 feet to the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue; thence deflecting to the right 32 deg. 30 min. 42 sec.

and running southwesterly along said northwesterly line 114.898 feet; thence northeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 75 feet, central angle 32 deg. 30 min. 40 sec., a distance of 42.557 feet to a point distant 50 feet at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mission street; thence northeasterly parallel to said northwesterly line of Mission street and tangent to preceding course, a distance of 69.483 feet; thence northeasterly, northerly and northwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to preceding course, radius 50 feet, central angle 57 deg. 29 min. 20 sec., a distance of 50.169 feet to tangency on the southwesterly line of Cuvier street; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 86.176 feet to the northwesterly line of Mission street and the point of beginning, and containing 3342 square feet. Being portions of Lots 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Mission street with the easterly line of Lot 260 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco, thence running southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Mission street 9.925 feet to the northerly line of the property deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the Ocean Shore Railway Company; thence deflecting 32 deg. 30 min. 40 sec. to the right and running westerly along said northerly line 16.630 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 260; thence at right angles northerly along said westerly line 18.180 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. 00 sec. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. 00 sec. to the right and running southerly 13.180 feet along said easterly line to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 260, and containing 434 square feet, more or less.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 259, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 17.845 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 18.180 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 259, and containing 450 square feet.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 258, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 149 records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 17.502 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 17.845 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 258, and containing 442 square feet.

Parcel 5. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 257, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149 records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running nortnerly along the westerly line of said lot 17.176 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 17.502 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 257, and containing 433 square feet.

Parcel 6. Commencing at the southwesterly corner of Lot 253 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149 records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 11.500 feet to the point of beginning; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 4.338 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 85.722 feet; thence deflecting 170 deg. 00 min. 15 sec. to the right and running westerly 34.726 feet; thence deflecting 11 deg. 52 min. 22 sec. to the right and running westerly 51.609 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots 253, 254, 255 and 256 of said Spring Valley Homestead, and containing 370 square feet, more or less.

Parcel 7. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 251, Spring

Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco, thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 15.169 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 252; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 15.838 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 251 and 252, and containing 775 square feet.

Parcel 8. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 250, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 14.834 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 15.169 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 250, and containing 375 square feet.

Parcel 9. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 248, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 14.165 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 14.500 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 248, and containing 358 square feet.

Parcel 10. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 246, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 13.496 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 247; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 14.165 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lots Nos. 246 and 247, and containing 691 square feet.

Parcel 11. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 242, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 12.158 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 243; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 12.827 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lots Nos. 242 and 243, and containing 625 square feet.

Parcel 12. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 240, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 11.489 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 241; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 12.158 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 240 and 241, and containing 591 square feet.

Parcel 13. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 239, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 11.154 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 11.489 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 239, and containing 283 square feet.

Parcel 14. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 237, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 10.485 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 238; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 11.154 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a

portion of Lots Nos. 237 and 238, and containing 271 square feet.

Parcel 15. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 234, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 9.482 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 75.006 feet to the easterly line of Lot 236; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 10.485 feet; thence at right angles westerly 75.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots Nos. 234, 235 and 236, and containing 749 square feet.

Parcel 16. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 233, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 9.147 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running southerly 9.482 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 233, and containing 233 square feet.

Parcel 17. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 232, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 8.812 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 9.147 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 232, and containing 224 square feet.

Parcel 18. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 231, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 8.478 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 8.812 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 231, and containing 216 square feet.

Parcel 19. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 230, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 8.143 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 14 min. to the right and running southerly 8.478 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 230, and containing 208 square feet.

Parcel 20. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 229, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 7.809 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 8.143 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 229, and containing 200 square feet.

Parcel 21. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 222, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 5.467 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 175.014 feet to the easterly line of Lot 228; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 7.809 feet; thence at right angles westerly 175.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a porion of Lots Nos. 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227 and 228, and containing 1161 square feet.

Parcel 22. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 221, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 5.132 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running thence southerly 5.467 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a

portion of said Lot No. 221, and containing 133 square feet.

Parcel 23. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 219, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 4.463 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 50.004 feet to the easterly line of Lot 220; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 5.132 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lots Nos. 219 and 220, and containing 240 square feet.

Parcel 24. Beginning at the southwest corner of Lot 216 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149. records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly on westerly line of said lot a distance of 3.051 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to a line deflecting 87 deg. 38 min. 07 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1050 feet and a central angle of 1 deg. 21 min. 53 sec., a distance of 25.012 feet to a point on the easterly line of said lot; thence southerly along said easterly line 3.785 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 216; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 216, and containing 85 square feet, more or less.

Parcel 25. Beginning at the southwest corner of Lot 215 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running northerly on the westerly line of said lot a distance of 1.720 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to a line deflecting 86 deg. 16 min. 09 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1050 feet and a central angle of 1 deg. 21 min. 58 sec., a distance of 25.036 feet to a point on the easterly line of said lot; thence southerly along said easterly line 3.051 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 215; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25.000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 215, and containing 60 square feet, more or less.

Parcel 26. Beginning at the southeast corner of Lot 214 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence running westerly along the southerly line of said lot 22.612 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to a line deflecting 175 deg. 01 min. 54 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1050 feet and a central angle of 1 deg. 14 min. 15 sec., a distance of 22.678 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence southerly along said easterly line a distance of 1.720 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of said Lot 214, and containing 19.0 square feet, more or less.

Parcel 27. Beginning at the most southerly corner of Lot 208, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; running thence southerly along the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of said Lot 208, 24.638 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the right 76 deg. 36 min. 02 sec. from the preceding course, radius 1050 feet, central angle 8 deg. 08 min. 54 sec., a distance of 149.324 feet to the northwesterly prolongation of the northeasterly line of the Academy Tract; thence deflecting to the left 95 deg. 00 min. 15 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running southeasterly along said northwesterly prolongation 31.791 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line, being a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected to the left 86 deg. 32 min. 30 sec. from the preceding course, radius 1186.3 feet, central angle 11 deg. 59 min. 40 sec., a distance of 248.343 feet to a point which is distant southerly at right angles 25 feet from the southerly line of said Spring Valley Homestead; thence northerly at right angles to said southerly line of the Spring Valley Homestead 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 108.832 feet to the most southerly corner of said Lot 208 and the point of beginning, and containing 8242 square feet.

Parcel 28. All of Lots 1 and 2 of Block "F," Bernal Tract, as recorded in Map Book "H," pages 27 and 28, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 29. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, distant southwesterly thereon 73.668 feet from the southwesterly line of Francis street; thence northeasterly along a curve

to the right, tangent to said southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, radius 450 feet, central angle 9 deg. 20 min. 34 sec., a distance of 73.377 feet to the southwesterly line of Francis street; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 0.338 feet to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 73.668 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Female Academy Block, as per map "Survey of Academy Tract," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 123, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 30. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue and the southwesterly line of Santa Rosa avenue; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 702.39 feet to the northeasterly line of Bauer street; thence deflecting to the right 97 deg. 39 min. and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 19.22 feet; thence continuing northwesterly along said northeasterly line on a curve to the left tangent to preceding course, radius 498.44 feet, central angle 3 deg. 34 min. 38 sec., a distance of 31.119 feet; thence deflecting to the right 85 deg. 55 min. 38 sec. from a line tangent to said curve of preceding course and running northeasterly and parallel with said northwesterly line of Alemany avenue 706.25 feet to the southwesterly line of Santa Rosa avenue; thence deflecting to the right 100 deg. 52 min. and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line 50.91 feet to the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue and the point of beginning, containing 35,208 square feet.

Parcel 31. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of San Juan avenue (formerly Bauer street) with the westerly line of Alemany avenue; thence southwesterly along the said line of Alemany avenue 153.74 feet; thence deflecting 97 deg. 16 min. to the right and running northwesterly 2.000 feet; thence deflecting 97 deg. 16 min. 00 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 237.150 feet to a point on the northerly line of Ocean avenue; thence deflecting 61 deg. 34 min. 05 sec. to the right and running westerly along said line of Ocean avenue a distance of 38.926 feet; thence easterly and northeasterly on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course, radius 50.000 feet, central angle 73 deg. 42 min. 35 sec., a distance of 64.324 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve a distance of 73.832 feet; thence on a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, radius 1050 feet, central angle 12 deg. 08 min. 30 sec., a distance of 222.508 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 68.434 feet to a line which formerly was the southwesterly line of Bauer street; thence southeasterly along said line of Bauer street 50.449 feet to the westerly line of Alemany avenue and the point of beginning.

Excepting therefrom that portion thereof conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded in Book 1373, page 195, Official Records of said City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 32. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Ocean avenue, distant thereon 441.061 feet from the northwesterly line of Mission street; thence southwesterly, northwesterly and northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to the said line of Ocean avenue, radius 50.000 feet central angle 108 deg. 51 min. 40 sec., a distance of 94.999 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding course 15.193 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, radius 950 feet, central angle 4 deg. 41 min. 04 sec., a distance of 77.672 feet to a boundary line of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting from the tangent to the preceding course 172 deg. 32 min. 34 sec. to the left and running southwesterly along said boundary line 173.197 feet to the northerly line of Ocean avenue; thence deflecting 118 deg. 25 min. 55 sec. to the left and running easterly along the northerly line of Ocean avenue 98.330 feet; thence deflecting 2 deg. 34 min. 15 sec. to the left and running 5.211 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 33. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, distant thereon 153.400 feet southwesterly from its intersection with the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue (formerly Bauer street); thence deflecting 82 deg. 44 min. to the left from the said line of Alemany avenue produced southwesterly and running southeasterly 5.221 feet; thence on a curve to the right northwesterly whose tangent deflects 103 deg. 15 min. 07 sec. to the left from the preceding course, radius 950 feet central angle 1 deg. 34 min. 33 sec., a distance of 26.130 feet; thence deflecting 78 deg. 19 min. 26 sec. to the left from the tangent of the preceding course and running

northwesterly 2.834 feet to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue; thence deflecting 97 deg. 16 min. to the left and running southerly along said line of Alemany avenue 25.72 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 34. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, distant thereon 102.460 feet southwesterly from its intersection with the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue (formerly Bauer street); thence southwesterly along said line of Alemany avenue 25.22 feet; thence deflecting 82 deg. 44 min. to the left and running easterly 2.834 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflecting 101 deg. 40 min. 34 sec. to left from the preceding course, radius 950 feet, central angle 1 deg. 32 min. 12 sec., a distance of 25.479 feet; thence deflecting 79 deg. 51 min. 38 sec. to the left from the tangent to the preceding course and running westerly 1.204 feet to the southwesterly line of Alemany avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 35. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, distant thereon 55.002 feet southwesterly from its intersection with the southwesterly line of San Juan avenue (formerly Bauer street); thence southwestesly on a curve to the left tangent to the said line of Alemany avenue, radius 950 feet, central angle 2 deg. 52 min. 22 sec., a distance of 47.630 feet; thence deflecting 100 deg. 08 min. 22 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running westerly 1.204 feet to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue; thence deflecting 82 deg. 44 min. to the right and running northerly along said line of Alemany avenue 47.458 feet to the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening of Alemany boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $53,297.73, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Company, water, horse troughs, $32.29.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machinery parts (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $764.

(2) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $607.25.

(3) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $616.58.

(4) California Corrugated Culvert Co., culverts, etc. (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $815.66.

(5) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, parts for rock bin gates (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $685.

(6) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $925.75.

(7) United Commercial Co., com-

pletion of spur track at Livermore (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $4,-198.50.

(8) Youdall Construction Co., rail, pipe, etc. (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,305.02.

(9) H. B. Squires Co., third and final payment, for furnishing and delivering switch gear and lighting arresters for Seco substation (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $4,060.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(10) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,174.67.

(11) Hancock Bros., printing transfers (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $720.

(12) Standard Steel Works Company, 100 rolled steel car wheels (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $3,825.

(13) R. D. Nuttall Company, gears, pistons, etc., for Municipal Railway cars (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $2,284.89.

(14) Otis Elevator Co., furnishing and installing elevator and doors for stations in Twin Peaks tunnel (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $2,065.

Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund.

(15) Robert W. Jamison, fourth payment, installing electric conductors, etc., for Sunset line (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $1,500.

Park Fund.

(16) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for parks (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $538.01.

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59.

(17) Oscar Samuels and J. Samuels, as attornevs for and in behalf of judgment creditors, as per schedule attached, and as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $1,062.22.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(18) J. and P. E. Tonningsen, refund of duplicate payment of first installment, 1927-1928 (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $4,954.25.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(19) John J. Dailey, legal services for month of February, 1928, in matter of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $850.

Special School Tax.

(20) H. Ankers, first payment, painting South Side High School (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $1,-537.50.

(21) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for January (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $1,300.

County Road Fund.

(22) E. J. Treacy, first payment, improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue and at Balboa Park (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $5,100.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) A. Lettich, eighth payment, plumbing work for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,710.75.

(24) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electric fixtures for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $3,660.

(25) John Reid, Jr., fifteenth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $920.39.

(26) O. Monson, tenth payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $17,232.49.

(27) John Reid, Jr., fifth payment, architectural service for South Side High School (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $699.40.

Auditorium Fund.

(28) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services for Geniamino Gigli, tenor soloist, Pop Concert of February 6, 1928 (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $3,500.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(29) A. P. Jacobs, rent for premises No. 333 Kearny street, February 3 to March 3, 1928, $1,186.

(30) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing the Law-Motion-Trial Calendar for January (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $1,075.

(31) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded, for January (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $9,365.

(32) California Agencies, Inc., premium on official bond of John H. Thieler, Treasurer, for year ending January 4, 1929 (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $2,000.

(33) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for January (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $56,784.93.

(34) Levison Printing Co., printing for Department of Elections (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $668.47.

(35) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $8,186.50.

(36) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $1,114.48.

(37) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $8,576.70.

(38) Dion R. Holm, legal services, month of February, in matter of telephone rates (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $850.

(39) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for February, in matter of telephone rates (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $750.

(40) Dudley B. Perkins, fourteen motorcycles and equipment, for Police Department (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $6,121.25.

(41) E. J. Treacy, final payment, installing safety zone buttons (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $2,-173.45.

(42) C. B. Eaton, second payment, installation of auxiliary high-pressure water system from Harrison and Twenty-fifth streets (Butchertown District) (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $6,000.

(43 Stephenson Construction Co., third payment, construction of hangar at Municipal Airport, Contract No. 9 (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $9,000.

(44) Frank J. McHugh, eleventh payment, sewer construction in westerly Sunset District, Section "B" (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $10,-500.

(45) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $680.49.

(46) Healy & Donaldson, tobacco for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,455.

(47) James G. Stafford and associates, eleventh payment, on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $8,155.80.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(48) Railroad Commission of State of California, for expense of proceedings in valuation of San Francisco electric properties, for month of February, 1928 (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $1,500.

Appropriations, Land for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) George B. Walcott and Lavina Walcott, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28442, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,597.

(2) Vessie Romaine Brooks, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28443, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $4,500.

(3) Minnie R. Day, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28444, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $9,000.

Appropriation, Lands, Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Louis Depaoli, for property required for the Alemany boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28447, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $12,000.

(2) Josephine T. Depaoli, for property required for the Alemany boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28447, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,000.

(3) Guiseppe Arata, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28446, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,-330.75.

(4) Daniele Bertucelli, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,-136.

(5) Narciso Bertucelli, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,-185.

(6) Angela Delucchi, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,025.

(7) Anders O. Nylund, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $400.

(8) Evige K. Ferrando, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,909.

(9) Antonio Compana, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,177.

Pledge of Appropriation to Enlarge Kezar Stadium.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28497 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby declares its intention to include in the annual budget for the fiscal year 1928-1929 an appropriation of two hundred and fifty thousand dollars for the enlargement and completion of the Kezar Stadium, in accordance with an agreement whereby the Park Commission is to begin immediate construction work upon this project and promises to provide a completed stadium with a seating capacity of sixty thousand not later than September, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

Appropriation, Repairs, etc., Hall of Justice.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28498 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For furnishing and installing one set rolling steel shutter safety doors in office of Property Clerk, Hall of Justice, $340.

(2) For furnishing and installing bars in skylight in office of Property Clerk, Hall of Justice, $195.

(3) For removing partition in bureau of identification, Hall of Justice, $175.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $1,000, Shelving, etc., Police Department, Hall of Justice.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 55, for the furnishing and installing of shelving, bookcases and pigeon hole cases in general office of the Police Department, Hall of Justice.

Appropriation, Widening San Jose Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the widening and improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue and between Havelock street and Ottawa avenue, including inspection and possible extras (E. J. Treacy contract), $25,000.

(2) For the improvement of the southerly one-half of Judson avenue between Foerster street and Phelan avenue, including inspection and possible extras (A. G. Raisch contract), $4,000.

(3) For the improvement of the easterly one-half of Orizaba avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues, $2,000.

Cancellation of Assessments.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28499 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property, now owned by the City, was sold to the State June 27, 1927, for 1926 delinquent taxes, and that said assessments and sales be cancelled and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804a of the Political Code, the following assessments and sales:

Vol. 5, Block 841, Lot 10—Della A. O'Connell; Sale No. 211.

Vol. 9, Block 1513, Lot 2—Lillian Metz; Sale No. 405.

Vol. 9, Block 1513, Lot 3—Frank H. Metz; Sale No. 406.

Vol. 9, Block 1513, Lot 8—Harriet Knighart; Sale No. 407.

Vol. 9, Block 1513, Lot 9—Cath. Stack; Sale No. 408.

Vol. 9, Block 1513, Lot 10—Mary J. Holling; Sale No. 409.

Vol. 9, Block 1513, Lot 19—Frank H. Metz; Sale No. 410.

Vol. 9, Block 1513, Lot 22a—H. F. and I. F. Wobig; Sale No. 411.

Vol. 9, Block 1514, Lots 5 and 6—Wm. H. Greeley; Sale No. 412.

Vol. 9, Block 1514, Lot 17—F. and H. Knighart; Sale No. 413.

Vol. 9, Block 1514, Lot 21—F. and H. Knighart; Sale No. 414.

Vol. 10, Block 1574, Lot 15—R. A. and W. Bradley; Sale No. 444.

Vol. 12, Block 1797, Lot 8—Grace Soetje; Sale No. 570.

Vol. 12, Block 1797, Lot 9—Jno. and L. Kingston; Sale No. 571.

Vol. 12, Block 1797, Lot 25—Bettie Mehlwitz et al.; Sale No. 572.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 2a—Muriel T. Girtaner; Sale No. 761.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 2b—Ben F. Leuffert; Sale No. 762.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 2c—A. E. Girtaner; Sale No. 763.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 4a—Muriel T. Girtaner; Sale No. 764.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 4b—W. B. Trotman; Sale No. 765.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 7—Maud E. Burtis; Sale No. 766.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 10—J. S. and J. T. Hennessey; Sale No. 767.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 11—W. and E. M. Loos; Sale No. 768.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 11c—W. and L. J. Edminster; Sale No. 769.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 12—Patrick J. Crowley; Sale No. 770.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 13—H. Guyer; Sale No. 771.

Vol. 14, Block 2194, Lot 15—Geo. H. Sesnon; Sale No. 772.

Vol. 14, Block 2195, Lot 2e—Elizabeth A. Fuidge; Sale No. 773.

Vol. 15, Block 2326, Lots 2, 3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 20, 27, 30 to 35, inclusive—J. S. Hennessey et al.; Sales Nos. 801 to 815, inclusive.

Vol. 15, Block 2327, Lots 2a, 3, 4, 5, 6b, 6d, 6g, 6j, 8, 9, 11, 13—J. S. Hennessey et al.; Sales Nos. 816 to 826, inclusive.

Vol. 16, Block 2420, Lot 1—Carl G. Larsen; Sale No. 891.

Vol. 19, Block 3106, Lot 16a—Residential Development Company of San Francisco; Sale No. 1079.

Vol. 19, Block 3121, Lots 20 to 23, inclusive—First Bank of Jamestown; Sale No. 1093.

Vol. 19, Block 3256, Lot 2—Chas. W. Sutro; Sale No. 1130.

Vol. 24, Block 4163-4196, Lot 5—J. Q. A. Ballou; Sale No. 1316.

Vol. 30, Block 5560, Lot 30—J. W. Vosburgh; Sale No. 1600.

Vol. 31, Block 5607, Lots 11, 12—Olga Fagerstrom; Sale No. 1608.

Vol. 31, Block 5607, Lots 13, 14—I. C. Scharff; Sale No. 1609.

Vol. 31, Block 5610, Lot 6—Margaret Merillion; Sale No. 1612.

Vol. 31, Block 5610, Lot 9—F. H. Hoops; Sale No. 1613.

Vol. 33, Block 5984, Lots 18, 19—I. J. and A. L. Sears; Sale No. 1802.

Vol. 37, Block 6661, Lot 2—Mary W. Bannon; Sale No. 2148.

Vol. 37, Block 6662, Lot 1—S. E. and C. W. Cummings; Sale No. 2150.

Vol. 37, Block 6688, Lot 19—C. A. and G. F. Jones; Sale No. 2160.

Vol. 37, Block 6725, Lot 18—H. and E. Krohn; Sale No. 2184.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28500 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following described property has been acquired by the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California and the Auditor has recommended that assessments for 1927 be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804a of the Political Code, the following assessments:

Vol. 3, Lot 32, Block 462a—A. S. and E. Guano.

Vol. 10, Lot 13b, Block 1464—A. M. and M. C. Gilhuly.

Vol. 10, Lot 18, Block 1506—Jos. G. and S. S. Tausig.

Vol. 11, Lot 32a, Block 1567—Jos. D. Tregenza.

Vol. 11, Lot 26, Block 1582—F. B. and S. R. Surryhne.

Vol. 11, Lot 9, Block 1602—A. and E. Peterson.

Vol. 12, Lot 22a, Block 1620—R. E. and L. Boussum.

Vol. 12, Lot 16b, Block 1677—W. M. Kenney.

Vol. 12, Lot 32, Block 1680—S. and T. Friedenberg.

Vol. 13, Lot 15, Block 1715—H. F. and A. I. McCrea.

Vol. 13, Lot 28, Block 1716—W. J. and C. M. O'Neill.

Vol. 14, Lot 29, Block 1789—M. D. and M. A. Hardiman.

Vol. 14, Lot 15, Block 1794—W. and A. E. Thompson.

Vol. 14, Lot 40, Block 1808—A. E. and L. G. Norris.

Vol. 14, Lot 20a, Block 1866—August Hallgren.

Vol. 14, Lot 2, Block 1867—J. F. and M. C. Krutmeyer.

Vol. 14, Lot 7, Block 1874—Boston Investment Company.

Vol. 15, Lot 28, Block 1922—H. S. Nelson.

Vol. 15, Lot 29, Block 1922—H. S. Nelson.

Vol. 15, Lot 38, Block 1922—S. A. Cheilek.
Vol. 15, Lot 7a, Block 1931—R. and I. Cohen.
Vol. 15, Lot 13, Block 2029—F. and A. Desmond.
Vol. 16, Lot 4b, Block 2125—G. W. and L. B. Garther.
Vol. 16, Lot 1e, Block 2201—F. Baldochi et al.
Vol. 17, Lot 6, Block 2328—Conrad Johnson.
Vol. 17, Lot 12, Block 2329—L. S. and H. R. Moore.
Vol. 17, Lot 1b, Block 2360—E. M. and L. B. Donegan.
Vol. 17, Lot 17, Block 2397—Sadie L. Barker.
Vol. 18, Lot 6, Block 2424—E. J. N. Berg.
Vol. 18 Lot 5, Block 2473—C. H. and L. Smith.
Vol. 18, Lot 23, Block 2476—Mercantile Trust Co.
Vol. 18, Lot 46, Block 2476—Mercantile Trust Co.
Vol. 19, Lot 6, Block 2629—Mrs. Margaret C. Musante.
Vol. 20, Lot 36, Block 2985—Meyer Bros.
Vol. 21, Lot 14, Block 3086—A. and H. Pierson.
Vol. 21, Lot 4, Block 3111—L. E. and E. V. Spencer.
Vol. 21, Lot 8, Block 3114—H. and S. Windt.
Vol. 21, Lot 26, Block 3118—R. E. and H. L. Tay.
Vol. 21, Lot 45, Block 3119—N. E. Johnson.
Vol. 22, Lot 48, Block 3153—J. E. and G. E. Rosberg.
Vol. 22, Lot 1a, Block 3154—H. M. and H. Hackmaier.
Vol. 22, Lot 19, Block 3159—G. L. and I. E. Plov.
Vol. 22, Lot 6, Block 3160—C. A. and A. C. Reinecker.
Vol. 22, Lot 1, Block 3209—F. R. and B. Hackmaier.
Vol. 24, Lot 17, Block 3621—A. and B. Quinn.
Vol. 27, Lot 24, Block 4038—E. C. and M. Nonnenman.
Vol. 29, Lot 34, Block 4759—O. C. and E. E. Taylor.
Vol. 34, Lot 31, Block 5660—T. and D. T. Gavigan.
Vol. 35, Lot 27, Block 5984—R. and J. Mercier.
Vol. 36, Lot 13, Block 5922—L. and R. Silverstein.
Vol. 38, Lot 40, Block 6280—Mary E. Wynn.
Vol. 38, Lot 3, Block 6339—J. B. and E. S. Hamill.
Vol. 38, Lot 29, Block 6339—E. and M. Le Finec.
Vol. 38, Lot 26, Block 6344—Frederick Dawson.
Vol. 38, Lot 2, Block 6351—M. T. and Irene Wayman.
Vol. 38, Lot 10, Block 6402—A. M. and E. Samuelson.
Vol. 38, Lot 5, Block 6415—J. and T. Perasso.
Vol. 38, Lot 27, Block 6417—A. M. and E. Samuelson.
Vol. 38, Lot 55b, Block 6463—F. G. Pfeifer.
Vol. 38, Lot 13b, Block 6467—R. and A. Engelmen.
Vol. 38, Lot 13, Block 6468a—W. L. and E. G. Johnson.
Vol. 38, Lot 10, Block 6477—David Olson.
Vol. 38, Lot 29, Block 6478—F. Fisher.
Vol. 41, Lot 17, Block 6771—Lindsay Construction Co.
Vol. 41, Lot 34, Block 6937—L. and G. J. Sartorius.
Vol. 41, Lot 19, Block 6938—C. A. and A. Rhode.
Vol. 41, Lot 5, Block 6940—J. A. and M. B. Arnott.
Vol. 41, Lot 14, Block 6943—E. A. and M. Rhode.
Vol. 42, Lot 18a, Block 6974—Lizzie Sauffregon.
Vol. 42, Lot 19, Block 6974—B. E. and A. George.
Vol. 42, Lot 39, Block 6993—Hattie Mitchell.
Vol. 42, Lot 42, Block 6993—F. J. and G. Monella.
Vol. 42, Lot 28, Block 6995—E. W. Daniels.
Vol. 42, Lot 30, Block 6995—E. and A. Dahlberg.
Vol. 42, Lot 28, Block 6997—M. D. and L. Fuller.
Vol. 42, Lot 7, Block 7014—G. and M. Storti.
Vol. 42, Lot 22, Block 7020—B. L. and O. L. Powell.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28501 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following described property has been acquired by the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California, and has been sold to the State for delinquent taxes, and the Auditor has recommended that said sales and assessments be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804a

of the Political Code, the following sales and assessments:

Taxes 1924—*Sold June* 25, 1925.

Vol. 19, Block 3087, Lot 3—James C. Edwards; Sale No. 753; also assessed for the year 1925.

Taxes 1925—*Sold June* 28, 1926.

Vol. 12, Block 1811, Lot 41—T. J. and M. T. Slack; Sale No. 538.

Vol. 13, Block 1925, Lot 16—Ida Kahler; Sale No. 582.

Vol. 15, Block 2330, Lot 5r—M. R. and G. C. Monroe; Sale No. 653.

Vol. 15, Block 2364, Lot 6—Elmer Johnson; Sale No. 762.

Vol. 15, Block 2392, Lot 27—Emily C. Thomas; Sale No. 692.

Vol. 15, Block 2394, Lot 9—C. and F. Gilbert; Sale No. 693.

Vol. 15, Block 2395, Lot 4—Eliza O. Coleman; Sale No. 696.

Vol. 39, Block 6984, Lot 47—W. and G. Donaldson; Sale No. 2225.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis R. Anderson, north side of Chestnut street, 112 feet 6 inches west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Atlas Heating and Ventilating Co., 557 Fourth street, 750 gallons capacity

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Birch street, 100 feet west of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of Fillmore street, 200 feet south of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. J. Gardner, south side of Hermann street, 160 feet east of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. M. Kent, 1215 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., east side of Sanfranbank avenue, 200 feet north of Ocean avenue, 500 gallons capacity.

Magnuson & Peterson, north side of Lombard street, 175 feet west of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. Maneggie, north side of Green street, 100 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, 1350 Monterey boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Elite Garage (L. Hiestand and J. Rieschel) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 460 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charlotte M. Flood be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to her public garage permit heretofore granted Chas. Schwarz by Resolution No. 26114 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Sixth and Folsom streets (1001 Folsom street).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Furnace Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. J. Wertheimer be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a furnace for melting brass at 56 Sheridan street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

H. J. Mallen, permit for auto-parking station, corner Taylor street, between Turk and Eddy, laid over one week.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode

blasts on the west side of Pope street, corner of Brunswick street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor, the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Accepting Offers to Sell Property Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28505 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from B. Fogalia to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 440 feet 11 inches northerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4.000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 440 feet 11 inches northerly from Geary street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Cook street 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Cook street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28506 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been receivtd from Patrick J. Murray to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the easterly line of Cook street, distant 539 feet 11 inches, more or less, northerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 539 feet 11 inches, more or less, northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence easterly along said easterly line of Cook street 56 feet 10 inches; thence in a southeasterly direction 212 feet 5 inches to a point; thence westerly 90 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Cook street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28507 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Margaret C. Sutton to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the westerly line of Blake street, distant 535 feet northerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $5,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 535 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence northwesterly 20 feet, more or less, to a point; thence westerly 90 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 29 feet 4 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Blake street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Lease of Pulgas Tunnel Land to "Bulletin."

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 8418, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the lease of certain land situated in San Mateo County to The Bulletin Publishing Co., and authorizing the Mayor to execute such lease.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that, pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 28238 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, notice was given as required by the Charter that a lease of the real property of the City, situate in San Mateo County, would be offered for for sale at public auction on the 30th day of January, 1928, at 3 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, and that at said time and place said auction sale was had in the manner stated in said notice and in accordance with the provisions of said Charter, and upon said date The Bulletin Publishing Co. was the highest bidder at said sale, and the lease of said property was struck off and awarded to it.

Section 2. A lease for the term of three years from the expiration of sixty days from the taking effect of this ordinance of that certain parcel of land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, and situate in the County of San Mateo, State of California, described as follows:

Beginning at Engineer Station "PD" 1043+42.22 of the center line survey of the existing Hetch Hetchy aqueduct 60-inch pipe line, thence running south 45 deg. west 390 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly side line of Pulgas road; thence northwesterly, northerly, easterly and southeasterly along the side line of Pulgas road, following the curvature thereof, to a point which bears north 45 deg. east 60 feet, more or less, from said En-

gineer Station; thence south 45 deg. west 60 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Containing 5.8 acres, more or less, is hereby awarded to The Bulletin Publishing Co. for the annual rental of one (1) dollar, that being the highest and best bid therefor, and the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to enter into a contract of lease with said Bulletin Publishing Co. in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in said notice of sale and pursuant to the provisions of the City and County.

The City and County shall reserve the right and authority to reenter the said premises, without process of condemnation, in the event of the City and County being authorized at any future time by law to sell or utilize the said property before the expiration of the lease, and upon payment to said The Bulletin Publishing Co. of a reasonable value by the City and County to equitably compensate said lessee for any damage or expense entailed through and by said cancellation of said lease.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28508 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from J. Karsky to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the northerly line of Lawton street, distant 95 feet westerly from Twelfth avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,250, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Lawton street, distant thereon 95 feet westerly from the westerly line of Twelfth avenue, running thence westerly and along said line of Lawton street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 90 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 90 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 780.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28509 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Frank Hess to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the easterly line of Cook street, distant 365 feet northerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $7,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 365 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Cook street 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Cook street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that

the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28510 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to remove, install and change street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

East side Taylor street, first north of Sacramento street.

Northwest corner Taylor and Pleasant streets.

North and south sides Pleasant street between Taylor and Jones streets.

East side Powell street, first north of Jackson street.

West side Powell street, first north of John street.

North and south sides John street between Powell and Mason streets.

Northeast corner John street and Mason street.

East and west sides Eleventh avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

West side Church street, first south of Sixteenth street.

East side Church street, first and second south of Sixteenth street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Eleventh avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Southwest corner Taylor and Pleasant streets.

North side Pleasant street between Taylor and Jones streets.

North side John street between Powell and Mason streets.

East side Powell street opposite John street.

Southeast corner Mason and John streets.

South side Castenada avenue, pole opposite 516.

Duncan street between Castro and Diamond streets.

North and south sides of Church street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

Install 400 *C. P. Iron Pole With Refractor.*

North side Bush street between Grant avenue and Stockton street (two in front of church).

South side Pine street about 175 feet and 275 feet west of Grant avenue.

North side Pine street, east of Stockton street.

Change 400 *M. R.*

East side Jones and Pleasant streets to north side Pleasant and Jones streets.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

South side Pine street, first east of Stockton street.

North side Pine street, west of Grant avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Changing Name of Fout Avenue to Graystone Terrace.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28511 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100705 (Second Series), recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the name of Fout avenue be changed to Graystone Terrace; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the name of Fout avenue as it is laid down and delineated on the official map of the City and County of San Francisco, be changed to Graystone Terrace.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—4.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week* on motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Amendment to Rules.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Rules Committee of the Board of Supervisors has recommended that the present Book of Rules be amended in the following respect:

In the fourth line of Rule 40, page 26, the words "suspension of the rules" be stricken out and the words "consent of fifteen members" be substituted therefor;

In the tenth line of Rule 40, that the word "further" be stricken out and the word "the" be substituted therefor;

On page 13, Rules, third line, after the word "Board," the words "and to have supervision over all employees that are directly under the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors" be added.

Therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said amendments be hereby approved and the Book of Rules as amended be adopted.

Portola Festival.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the following matter was *referred to the Public Welfare Committee* by the following vote:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Portola Festival reawakened an interest in California history, created a spirit of community enthusiasm, and resulted in substantial benefit to merchants, hotel proprietors and the citizenry in general; and

Whereas, the revival of such festival will be beneficial to San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors looks with favor upon the revival in 1928 of the Portola Festival; and be it

Further Resolved, That a Special Committee of three of the Board of Supervisors be selected to give immediate consideration to the desirability of reviving the Portola Festival and ways and means with respect thereto, and to report at the earliest possible opportunity to this Board in connection therewith.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Shannon, Suhr, Toner—7.

Absent — Supervisors McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon the Board at 11:50 a. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28515 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Touring Club Italiano to conduct a costume ball at Fugazi Hall, 678 Green street, Saturday evening, February 18, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Kent, McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt—5.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 28514 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and are hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after February 15, 1928, within which to complete the construction of the Sunset Line from Market street to the Ocean Beach via the Duboce Tunnel. This is the first extension. Contractor has been delayed by litigation in the courts.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Kent, McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt—5.

Father D. O. Crowley Playground.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28513 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Playground Commission be requested to commemorate the memory of the late Father D. O. Crowley, who devoted his life to the welfare of children, by naming a playground for him.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Kent, McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt—5.

City Attorney to Employ Additional Help in Telephone Rates Investigation.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28512 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it would be for the best interest and benefit of all the people of the City and County of San Francisco that the accounting and engineering forces employed by the City Attorney be increased for the purpose of opposing the application of the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company before the Railroad Commission of the State of California for an increase in telephone rates; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in telephone rates applied for by said The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed and authorized to retain and employ, in addition to those assistants designated in Resolution No. 27580 (New Series), the following:

One public utility accountant, at a salary of $350 per month.

One assistant accountant, at a salary of $250 per month.

Two assistant engineers, each to be paid a salary of $250 per month. And be it

Further Resolved, That all of the salaries provided for herein shall be paid out of the appropriation made under Resolution No. 27580 (New Series).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Kent, McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt—5.

Mayor to Execute Lease Agreement With Western Pacific Railway Co.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 28502 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has reported the necessity of constructing the high-pressure water mains across the property of the Western Pacific Railway Company at Evans avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the said Western Pacific Railway Company is willing to permit the City and County of San Francisco to construct said high-pressure pipe line under and across its aforesaid property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized to execute, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a license agreement with said Western Pacific Railway Company, providing for the acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco of the privilege of constructing the aforesaid high-pressure pipe line.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Kent, McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt—5.

Report of Committee on County Supervisors Convention.

Supervisor Todd presented:

San Francisco, Cal.,
February 9, 1928.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Your Special Committee appointed by the Mayor, consisting of Chairman Todd, Supervisors Gallagher and Suhr, and Assistant City Engineer Healy, attended the third meeting of the Special Committee of the County Supervisors' Association of the State of California in Fresno on January 30 and 31, 1928.

There, in conjunction with the Special Engineering Committee, consisting of R. H. Morton, State Highway Engineer; Chris. P. Jensen, County Engineer, Fresno County; E. E. East, Engineer for the Automobile Clubs of Southern California; Lloyd Bowman, County Engineer of Santa Cruz County, and George W. Jones, Supervisor of Los Angeles County, we entered into a study of the revision of the present motor vehicle operation on the highways and city streets.

There were also present J. H. Hunter, President, State Supervisors' Association; Stanley Abel, Secretary, State Supervisors' Association; William Haman, Chairman, Special Motor Vehicle Committee of the Supervisors' Association; Thomas Hickey, Supervisor, San Mateo County; H. P. Howe, member of the Supervisors' Vehicle Committee; Supervisors W. A. Collins, Robert Lochead and P. H. McMurtry, and R. H. Stalmaker, Equipment Engineer for the State Division of Highways.

On Monday a general discussion was had relative to the weights on motor trucks, both with four and six wheel equipment and also as to making more stringent the present Motor Vehicle Act dealing with the character and type of brakes on vehicles on highways. These

discussions were general in character and were entered into by all those present, and covered virtually all phases of truck transportation, including impact, weights, operation, speeds, brakes, police control, legislation, trailers and penalties.

On Tuesday, January 31, the Engineering Committee, with the addition of Assistant City Engineer C. E. Healy and Mr. Stalmaker, sat in executive session for the entire day, whipping into general shape the various ideas that had been advanced by the interested Supervisors and the engineers, and brought out in the discussions of the previous day.

The committee did not finish its work, and adjourned to meet in this City at the Hotel Whitcomb on February 20, 1928, at 9:30 a. m., to make further investigations and studies, in order that a complete and comprehensive report may be made to the annual State convention of Supervisors, which will be held in this City on March 6, 1928.

Your committee feels that the legislation under way is of vital importance to the State as a whole, and especially to San Francisco, and it urges that other members of the Board join with the committee at the next meeting in order to help in formulating this legislation, which will be of great benefit to the City if adopted.

Very truly yours,
CHAS. F. TODD.
FRED SUHR.
ANDREW J. GALLAGHER.

Ordered *filed*.

Unemployed Problem.

David McC. McKell, representing the American Legion, was granted the privilege of the floor on motion of Supervisor Havenner. He addressed the Board on the proposition of affording relief for the unemployment situation by speeding up work on civic projects and to that end declaring that the American Legion will give its entire support to any measures proposed.

Supervisor Havenner declared that the Finance and Streets Committees had already given the matter consideration and that work had been ordered expedited on all public projects, and that the committees have been promised fullest cooperation by the City Engineer's department in getting work under way at the very earliest possible date.

He declared that they were taking up and continuing work on the Great Highway where the Park Commissioners had left off; that it has been suggested that the Hetch Hetchy staff be increased if that can be properly done and that every boulevard bond project is being pushed ahead as rapidly as possible.

Supervisor Gallagher coincided with all that was said with respect to expediting street and boulevard construction and added that $200,000 had been released in order that work might be commenced as soon as possible and that by the 27th of the month three-fourths of a million will be available.

He called attention to Supervisor Havenner's suggestion last week that the Mayor be requested to call a conference of representatives of industrial and construction projects to see what can be done to relieve the situation.

His Honor the Mayor declared that he knew nothing of the proposed conference but that as soon as he receives notice he would call the conference.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Mayor's Veto—Automobile Supply Station Permit, B. E. Johnson.

The following resolution, heretofore on January 23, 1928, finally passed and sent to the Mayor for his approval, was on this day, January 27, 1928, returned disapproved without prejudice at the request of the Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 28355 (New Series):

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Arguello boulevard and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Finally passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, January 23, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—4.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved—San Francisco, January 26, 1928.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Without prejudice at request of Fire Committee.

Question: Shall the resolution

pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

SPECIAL ORDER.

11 a. m.—Ferry Franchise, Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company.

Consideration of application for ferry franchise from foot of Market street, San Francisco, to Point San Quentin by Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing matter lay over one week and that a copy of the bill be sent each member.

Supervisor Shannon moved that with respect to rates the words "these rates are subject to adjustment by the Railroad Commission at any time during the life of the franchise" be inserted.

Thereupon, the following proposed bill, amended by insertion of the italic lines in Section 10, was, on Supervisor McSheehy's motion *laid over one week* and ordered sent to each member, to-wit:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting a franchise to Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, a corporation, and its successors and assigns, to erect, maintain, operate and take tolls on a public ferry between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and a point in the County of Marin, State of California, generally known and designated as Point San Quentin, across the Bay of San Francisco, a navigable body of water dividing the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Marin, and fixing the amount of the penal bond and license tax, the rate of tolls to be collected and the term of said franchise.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, a corporation, having on the 30th day of January, 1928, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m. of said day, presented to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, its application in writing under oath praying for authority to erect, maintain, operate and take tolls on a public ferry across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and a point in the County of Marin, State of California, generally known and designated as Point San Quentin, and said application having come on regularly for hearing on said day before the Board of Supervisors and said hearing having been duly and regularly continued from time to time to ....., the ..... day of ....., 1928, at the hour of ..... o'clock ... m. of said day, and it having been made to appear by the necessary affidavits presented to said Board and filed in the office of the Clerk thereof, that Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company has given notice of its intention to apply to said Board of Supervisors, on the 30th day of January, 1928, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m. of said day, for authority to erect, maintain, operate and take tolls upon a public ferry as hereinbefore stated, and that said notice has been given in the manner and form and for the time required by law; and it further appearing that said notice was served more than ten (10) days prior to said 30th day of January, 1928, upon all the owners (other than said Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company) of the lands upon which Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company expects to locate its landings, which said lands are hereinafter more particularly described; and

Section 2. It further appearing that Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company has served notice of its intention to apply to said Board of Supervisors as aforesaid, more than ten (10) days prior to said 30th day of January, 1928, upon the proprietors of all ferries already established within one mile above or below the said public ferry, authority for which was applied for as aforesaid, and that no toll-bridge is already established within one mile above or below said public ferry; and

Section 3. It further appearing that Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company has in all things complied with the law in making application for said ferry franchise and in giving notice of said application; and

Section 4. It further appearing that said ferry is a public necessity and convenience and for the best interest of said City and County of San Francisco and County of Marin and the traveling public; and that the situation of said City and County of San Francisco, of the City of San Rafael and of the town or village of San Quentin in said County of Marin, the crossing of a public highway, and the intersection of creeks and ravines render it necessary for public convenience that such ferry be established; and

Section 5. It further appearing that Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company is a proper and suitable

person or corporation to which to grant such franchise and authority; and that said Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company is the owner of land on the Point San Quentin side or bank of the waters to be crossed, including the landing of said ferry at said Point San Quentin in the County of Marin, State of California, hereinafter described, and that said land owned by said Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the County of Marin, State of California, particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the southeast corner of that certain tract of land which was conveyed by David Porter to Wm. T. Coleman by a deed, recorded on May 29th, 1871, in Liber "J" of Deeds, at page 99, of Marin County Records, said point of beginning being on the northerly boundary line of the State Prison Tract, running thence along said northerly boundary line south 43 deg. 45 min. east .093 chains, south 75 deg. 15 min. east 9.60 chains, north 87 deg. 30 min. east 13.45 chains to the northeast corner of said State Prison Tract, thence along the easterly boundary line of said last-mentioned tract, south 6 deg. 30 min. east 10.50 chains to a pile of rocks on the shore line of San Francisco Bay, thence along the shore line of San Francisco Bay at mean high tide, commencing in a generally easterly direction and following said shore line to the border of the salt marsh at the post marked T. S. 775, as follows: north 73 deg. 15 min. east 0.90 chains, south 69 deg. 45 min. east 3.30 chains, south 35 deg. 15 min. east 1.50 chains, south 55 deg. 15 min. east 1.40 chains, south 79 deg. 30 min. east 0.60 chains, north 51 deg. 30 min. east 0.90 chains, north 15 deg. 45 min. west 3.40 chains, north 35 deg. east 4.60 chains, north 72 deg. 30 min. east 3.00 chains, north 45 deg. 15 min. east 5.50 chains, north 81 deg. 45 min. east 1.30 chains to Point San Quentin, north 1 deg. 45 min. west 2.00 chains, north 32 deg. 45 min. west 2.80 chains, north 4 deg. 15 min. east 2.70 chains, north 38 deg. 15 min. west 1.70 chains, north 12 deg. 15 min. west 1.50 chains, north 28 deg. east 1.20 chains, north 20 deg. west 2.10 chains, south 75 deg. 15 min. west 2.30 chains, south 88 deg. 45 min. west 1.60 chains, north 59 deg. 45 min. west 3.60 chains, north 69 deg. 30 min. west 5.00 chains, north 3 deg. 30 min. west 1.00 chain, south 75 deg. west 2.90 chains, south 39 deg. 15 min. west 2.90 chains to said border of the salt marsh at said post marked T. S. 775; thence from said border of the salt marsh at said post marked T. S. 775, and along the inner line of the salt marsh south 43 deg. 45 min. west 3.00 chains, south 74 deg. 30 min. west 9.30 chains, north 61 deg. 15 min. west 5.00 chains, north 46 deg. 45 min. west 0.20 chains to a post marked "C. 32" at the most easterly corner of the tract of land conveyed by David Porter to Wm. T. Coleman and first hereinabove mentioned; running thence along the easterly boundary line of said last-mentioned tract south 39 deg. 15 min. west 10.68 chains to the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting from the above-described property the following:

Exception No. 1: All that portion of Rancho Punta de Quentin, in the County of Marin, State of California, described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of said Rancho Punta de Quentin and the southerly line of the road or causeway to Agnes Island (at Point San Quentin), as said road or causeway is shown on "Map No. 8 of Salt Marsh and Tide Lands situate in the County of Marin, State of California," filed in the office of the Surveyor-General of the State of California, running thence south 1 deg. 45 min. east 118 feet; thence south 81 deg. 45 min. west 85.8 feet, more or less, to the point of intersection of the easterly boundary line of said Rancho Punta de Quentin with a due east and west line passing twenty (20) feet southerly from the southermost part of a structure located adjacent to said road or causeway to Agnes Island and known as the "Old Mill"; thence proceeding along said east and west line to the intersection thereof with the easterly boundary line of the County Road leading from the State Prison grounds to the said road or causeway to Agnes Island; thence proceeding along the said easterly boundary line of said County Road in a northeasterly direction to the intersection thereof with the southerly boundary line of the said road or causeway to Agnes Island; thence proceeding along said last-mentioned line to the point of beginning.

Exception No. 2: All portions of said above-described property heretofore conveyed by E. A. Walcott and/or G. P. Kelsey, or their prede-

cessors in interest, to persons other than said E. A. Wolcott, G. P. Kelsey or any of their predecessors in interest, as the conveyances thereof appear of record in the office of the County Recorder of Marin County, State of California, being certain parcels of land lying south of San Quentin Terrace, as shown on the map of Point San Quentin filed October 26th, 1897, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, at page 99, in the office of said County Recorder, and lying west of the westerly line (and the southerly extension thereof) of the land conveyed by H. C. Christofferson and wife to Cache D'oro Live Stock Co. by deed, recorded in Book 211 of Deeds, at page 283.

Exception No. 3: All roads, avenues and lanes heretofore dedicated to public use.

and it further appearing that all of the other owners of land at either landing of said ferry and on either side or bank of the waters to be crossed have failed and neglected, within a reasonable time after the necessity therefor arose, or at all, to apply to this Board of Supervisors for the authority herein granted, or for any authority to erect, maintain, operate or take tolls on a public ferry between the City and County of San Francisco and said Point San Quentin, and it further appearing that Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company is, by reason of its said ownership of land as aforesaid, entitled to preference in procuring the authority herein granted, and is, by reason of said ownership and the failure of the other owners to apply as aforesaid, a suitable person to whom to grant this authority and franchise, and is, by reason of said ownership and said failure of other owners thereof to apply as aforesaid, entitled to the authority herein granted; and

Section 6. It further appearing that no toll-bridge or ferry has been established within one mile immediately above or below said ferry, authority for which was applied for, as aforesaid, within the meaning of Section 2853 of the Political Code of the State of California, and that no franchise or authority therefor has been granted by this Board;

Section 7. Now, therefore, this Board of Supervisors does hereby grant to Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, a corporation, and its successors and assigns, for a period of fifty years from and after the date of the final passage of this ordinance, the franchise and authority to erect, maintain, operate and take tolls on a public ferry across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and a point in the County of Marin, State of California, generally known and designated as Point San Quentin, with ferry landings or terminals located in said City and County of San Francisco and Point San Quentin on the following described real property:

San Francisco Landing.

The landing of said ferry in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, is located on the waterfront adjoining the Embarcadero at the foot of Clay street, and near the northerly end of the Ferry Building, in the said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, the pier and slip now known and designated as Slip A, and/or such pier and/or slip at said point as may hereafter be constructed and used for said ferry landing.

Point San Quentin Landing.

The landing of said ferry at Point San Quentin is located on the following described land on said Point San Quentin in the County of Marin, State of California, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of the Rancho Punta de Quentin (being the shore line of San Francisco Bay at mean high tide) with a due east and west line passing twenty (20) feet southerly from the southernmost part of a structure known as the "Old Mill" located adjacent to the road or causeway to Agnes Island (at Point San Quentin) as said road or causeway is shown on "Map No. 8 of Salt Marsh and Tide Lands situate in the County of Marin, State of California," filed in the office of the Surveyor-General of the State of California; thence proceeding along said easterly line of said Rancho (or said shore line of San Francisco Bay) to the intersection thereof with a due east and west line passing two hundred (200) feet southerly from the southernmost part of said structure known as the "Old Mill"; thence proceeding west along said last-mentioned line to a point thereon five (5) feet due west from the intersection thereof with the westerly boundary line of the County Road running from the State Prison grounds to said road or causeway to Agnes Island; thence proceeding in a generally northeasterly direction, along a line parallel to said westerly boundary line of said County Road above mentioned, to the intersection of said parallel line with said due east and west line passing twenty (20) feet south-

erly from the southernmost part of said structure known as the "Old Mill"; thence along said last-mentioned line to the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting from the above-described property the following:

(1) Any portion thereof lying within the boundaries of said County Road; and

(2) Any portion thereof lying to the west of both said County Road and the easterly line (and the southern extension thereof) of the land conveyed by H. C. Christofferson and wife to Cache D'oro Live Stock Co., by deed recorded in Book 211 of Deeds, at page 283, in the office of the County Recorder of said County of Marin.

(3) Any portion thereof lying within the boundaries of the property conveyed by James C. Pennie to Annie M. Le Cante by deed dated April 4, 1908, and recorded in Book 114 of Deeds at page 101 of Marin County records.

Commencing at said landing Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, its successors and assigns may, in the manner provided by law, build a pier running in a generally easterly or southeasterly direction across the lands of said Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company and across the lands of North Bay Realty and Development Company, a corporation, and of the State of California, and may use said pier for a terminal for its ferry boats.

Section 8. The amount of the penal bond to be given by Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, or its successors or assigns, as required by subdivision (1) of Section 2845 of the Political Code of the State of California, is hereby fixed at the sum of ten thousand dollars, which said bond shall be given and renewed annually for said sum.

Section 9. The amount of the license tax to be paid by Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, or its successors or assigns, for taking tolls on said ferry is hereby fixed at the sum of one hundred dollars per month, payable annually.

Section 10. The rates of tolls which may be collected for crossing said ferry, *which tools are subject to adjustment by the Railroad Commission of the State of California at any time during the life of this franchise*, and the rules and regulations for the operation of said ferry are hereby fixed as follows:

RATES FOR ANIMALS, TEAMS AND VEHICLES HANDLED BY PASSENGERS, ALSO FREIGHT TRANSPORTED ON VEHICLES.

Automobiles.

Automobiles	$ .70
Ambulances	1.00
Hearses (with or without casket)	1.00
Automobile passenger busses.	1.00

Automobile Commutation Fares.

Automobile (passenger car and driver), sixty (60) trips per calendar month	$25.00
Commercial or delivery automobile and motor trucks, with driver, sixty (60) trips per calendar month	30.00
Automobile passenger busses, with driver, sixty (60) trips per calendar month	22.50

Commercial Vehicles and Trucks.

Commercial or delivery automobiles and motor trucks, regardless of size	$ .90
Ditchers, harvesters, steam rollers, tractors and all similar conveyances, machines and vehicles not otherwise specified, per ton of 2000 lbs.	2.00

Freight.

Freight of all kinds on vehicles, per 100 lbs. (minimum charge 20c), see Note 1	*$.07½

Note 1. If charge based on 6000 lbs. at 5 cents per 100 lbs. makes less than charge at actual weight at 7½ cents per 100 lbs., the 5-cent rate will apply subject to minimum charge of $3.00.

Freight of all kinds on vehicles, per 100 lbs. (minimum 6000 lbs.)	* .05

*Includes return of empty carriers used in transportation of property and return to shipper when Golden Gate San Rafael Ferry Company receives original haul.

Horses and Wagons.

One horse and wagon or cart	$ 1.50
Two horses and wagon	2.00
Two horses and dray	2.25

Livestock.

One horse or mule, if accompanied by attendant (attendant carried free)	$ .75
Each additional horse or mule	.50

Motorcycles.

Motorcycles	$ .30
Motorcycles with side car	.50

Trailers.

Two-wheel trailers attached to automobile	$ .50
Four-wheel trailers attached to automobile	.65
Four-wheel trailers attached to trucks	.85

RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Rule 1. All baggage, freight, goods and merchandise charged for at freight rates (except personal handbags carried by passengers), shipper to give satisfactory proof of weight.

Rule 2. Rates on commercial or delivery vehicles or motor trucks apply only on stated sizes or capacity. The rates on motor trucks of a size or capacity not specifically provided will be the same rate as charged for motor trucks of the next higher size or capacity. Motor busses of a passenger capacity not specified apply rate of next higher classification on motor busses.

Rule 3. Livestock when uncrated will not be carried on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays. When crated and on conveyances will be carried on all trips and charged as general freight.

Rule 4. Shipper to pay all war or other taxes now in force or hereafter to be levied in addition to the regular rate.

Rule 5. The company reserves the right to refuse any and all freight or vehicles of excessive size or weight or which will jeopardize the safe passage of the boats or which it is not equipped to handle.

Rule 6. Articles not taken: Benzine, camphene, coal oil, crude or refined petroleum, loose cotton, hay or hemp, loose rags, naphtha, nitric or sulphuric acid, nitro-glycerine or any other articles of highly combustible or inflammable nature (except gasoline in tanks of automobiles in transit), when prohibited by law.

Rule 7. Flag poles, telegraph poles, ladders and all other articles of a similar nature as to length, will be charged for at the rate of 10 cents per lineal foot for every foot over twenty. No such articles will be carried on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays.

Rule 8. Commutation rates and /or fares, except as otherwise provided, are good only for passage of original purchaser. The purchaser must sign his or her name in proper place on the contract. Monthly commutation rates and/or fares, except as otherwise provided, will include one round-trip daily for the calendar month for which sold.

Rule 9. Any freight requiring the turning of the boat either to load or unload, additional charge for each turning of the boat, $10.

ABSORPTIONS.

Golden Gate San Rafael Ferry Company will absorb State toll not to exceed 5 cents per ton or 2000 pounds on shipments moved over ferry slips at San Francisco when Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company receives haul from San Francisco to Point San Quentin or vice versa.

PASSENGER FARES.

Passengers in Vehicles.

One way, adult	$ .15
Children, 7 years and under 12	.10
Children under 7 years of age, when accompanied by parent or guardian, free.	

Passengers Not in Vehicles.

One way, adult	$ .25
Round trip	.40
Children, 7 years and under 12	.15
Children under 7 years of age, when accompanied by parent or guardian, free.	

Commutation Tickets.

Individual calendar month commutation fare, good daily, including Sunday	$ 4.50

RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Rule 1. Commutation tickets not otherwise specified are good for passage for original purchase only. Purchaser must sign his or her name in proper place on the back, which is considered a contract.

Rule 2. Tickets of this company's issue will be redeemed to the original purchaser at fare paid when no portion of the trip has been made and at the difference between the fare paid and the published tariff between the terminals if round trip was not completed.

Rule 3. Baggage will not be checked.

Rule 4. Children under 7 years of age, when accompanied by parent, guardian or other adult person, will be carried free.

Rule 5. Children between 7 and 12 years of age, inclusively, will be carried for $0.10.

Section 11. The term for the operation of said ferry is hereby fixed at fifty (50) years from and after the date of the final passage of this ordinance. Provided, however, that if the owner of this franchise shall not have vessels in actual operation between the terminals herein described within eighteen (18) months from the date of the pas-

sage of this ordinance, this franchise may de declared forfeited by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon such declaration shall absolutely cease and determine.

Section 12. This franchise shall not be assigned, nor shall the same be assignable by operation of law or otherwise, without the consent of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner —13.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Schmidt—4.

Absent—Supervisor Suhr—1.

Special Order 11 a. m.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the foregoing bill be made a Special Order for 11 a. m.

So ordered.

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

The following bill, together with proposed amendments of Supervisors Gallagher and Todd, and Supervisor Todd's notice of reconsideration thereon, were taken up.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-second avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Gallagher's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twnty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Todd's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

The roll being called on the foregoing proposed amendment offered by Supervisor Todd, the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Before the vote was announced Supervisor Todd changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration of the vote whereby the foregoing proposed amendment was defeated.

Amendment Carried.

Reconsideration being had by unanimous consent, the question was again put on Supervisor Todd's amendment, the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Powers—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the bill as amended and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—9.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Powers—5.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt, Stanton—3.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Thereupon, before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Badaracco changed his vote from "aye" to "no" and gave notice that he would move for the reconsideration of the vote whereby the foregoing bill as amended was refused passage to print.

Supervisor James B. McSheehy to Appear Before Congress on Bridge Bill.

Resolution No. 28485 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., Hon. James B. McSheehy, chairman of the Special Bridge Committee, City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy and City Attorney John J. O'Toole are hereby authorized and directed to proceed to the City of Washington, District of Columbia, and to appear before committees of Congress in behalf of the bill granting permission to the City and County of San Francisco to construct a transbay bridge from San Francisco to the County of Alameda; and

Further Resolved, That Chairman James B. McSheehy, Mayor Rolph, City Engineer O'Shaughnessy and City Attorney O'Toole be and are hereby authorized to appoint engineers, witnesses and experts to present arguments before said committees of Congress and to use all honorable means and efforts to obtain favorable action on said bill; and

Further Resolved, That the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) be and is hereby appropriated from the money in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco not otherwise appropriated, to defray the expenses of said officials, engineers, witnesses and experts to and from Washington and while remaining at said city and engaged in the presentation or in the urging of the passage of said bill.

Statement of Supervisor Stanton.

San Francisco, Cal.,
February 14, 1928.

Mr. Mayor and Gentlemen:

I am going to vote against the appropriation of any more of the people's money to carry on this useless fight against the United States government in the matter of bridging the bay. This thing has been going on for nearly two and a half years and we have not gotten anywhere. We have spent much more than fifty thousand dollars for engineers and for trips to Washington, not to say anything of thousands of dollars more that have been wasted in the time of officials who should have had this bridge matter behind them so that they could be devoting themselves to other important matters that require our attention.

Last summer the Mayor and several other distinguished gentlemen were sent to Washington and we were told then that the decision of the Washington authorities against a bridge north of Hunters Point would not be changed. The commission of three eminent engineers went along on that trip. All the evidence possible has been presented to the government officials and their ruling remains the same today as it was when they first announced it, in 1921, and later when they reaffirmed it, in 1925. Senator Hiram W. Johnson has informed us, I believe, that it will be useless to try to take the bill that is before Congress out of the committee unless San Francisco can make a much more convincing showing than has already been made. I do not believe that we can make that

showing. In other words, I believe that in two and a half years we have gathered every scrap of evidence available, to bring about a modification of the War Department rulings and we have failed. If the gentlemen who believe another trip to Washington is necessary have any more evidence than that we have already assembled and presented they should tell us. Otherwise it is just throwing good money after bad, and I believe that it will not be long before the people of San Francisco will want an accounting.

I am informed that one of the engineers, at least, drew $150 a day from the City while he was at Washington, and I am informed that the City is now employing a young man to remain in Washington to look after our interests.

I want the fullest investigation of these bridge matters, of course, and I believe that the City should have every opportunity to present its case in this matter or in any other matter. But it must be apparent now to everybody that we are losing very valuable time in these bridge matters and that the big amounts of money we are spending are being practically thrown away.

In the meantime San Mateo County actually has a bridge under way from Coyote Point over to a point near Hayward, on the other side of the bay, and only last Friday the Board of Supervisors of Contra Costa County granted a franchise for a bridge from a point above Richmond to a point near San Rafael, on the other shore, over which the traffic from the Redwood Empire Highway will pass from British Columbia and northern points to the Coast Highway and Los Angeles, through the City of Oakland. It seems to me that we should get busy and do what Washington will allow us to do if we really want a bridge.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks—4.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 20, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 8

Monday, February 20, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 1928, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph appeared at 10 a. m., ordered the roll call and retired at 10:10 a. m.

Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Unemployment Problem.

The following was presented:

Communication, from Northern Federation of Civic Organizations, opposing appropriation of $5,000 for expense of bridge delegation to Washington in view of present condition of unemployment.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Bernal Cut Nuisance.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Protest, of Mrs. N. F. Munson, Publicity and Sunshine Chairman, Glen Park Parent-Teachers' Association, and numerous others, against maintenance of alleged ramshackle warehouse on Bernal Cut improvement.

Read by the Clerk.

Supervisor Gallagher declared that the Board of Public Works was cognizant of conditions and was handling the matter.

Coyote Point Bay Bridge.

Hon. John McGrath, representing San Mateo County, addressed the Board of Supervisors and spoke of the bridge that is about to be built from Coyote Point, in San Mateo County, to Hayward, in Alameda County, connecting the San Joaquin Valley with San Francisco and the peninsula. He said that work had been commenced already, and that the bridge across the Bay would be finished in November, 1929. He pointed out the advantage this bridge would be to San Francisco and asked that the Board of Supervisors attend the dedication to be held April 15, 1928, at San Mateo.

Mrs. McGinn, president of the Women's Club, and *Mr. Drake*, president of the Chamber of Commerce, also addressed the Board.

Special Committee Authorized.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the chair was authorized to appoint a special committee of five to attend the dedication.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, *laid over two weeks*:

Hearing of Appeal, Golden Gate Heights.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on January 3, 1928, for the improvement of Kirkham street between Sixteenth avenue and the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue, and other streets in the district known as Golden Gate Heights, Contract No. 2051 (New Series), of the Board of Public Works, dated October 11, 1926.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Fire Hydrants, Fire Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing fire hydrants for Fire Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Plumbing Supplies.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing plumbing supplies and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Lacquering Traffic Signals and Fire Alarm Boxes.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for lacquering traffic signals and fire alarm boxes and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 20, 1928, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Gallagher was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 14, 1928, was considered read and approved.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28517 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amount be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned account in payment to the following named claimant, to-wit:

California Palace Legion of Honor —(Appropriation 61).

P. W. French & Co., Inc., tapestries for the California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Jan. 27, 1928), $25,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over two weeks*:

Auto Parking Station Permit, W. W. Haley.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $56,879.07, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at Pop Concert of February 6, 1928 (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $2,000.00.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(2) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, six crank shafts for shovel section (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $516.

(3) Bodinson Manufacturing Co., Inc., machine parts (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $617.25.

(4) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., battery cells (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $2,477.

(5) Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital), for care of Hetch Hetchy patients for year ending Dec. 31, 1927 (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $595.

(6) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $1,208.10.

(7) J. H. McCallum, lumber

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $1,-143.84.

(8) Standard Fence Co., fence, posts and gates (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $661.57.

(9) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $3,962.64.

(10) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc., (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $558.98.

(11) The Giant Powder Co., construction explosives (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $530.

(12) The Worthington Co., Inc., one power pump (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $644.

(13) Youdall Construction Co., used rail furnished (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $1,167.83.

(14) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, 13th payment, construction of Aqueduct Tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $21,645.29.

(15) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., 14th payment, construction of Aqueduct Tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $36,283.14.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) American Painting & Decorating Co., second payment for painting Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 11, 1928), $3,388.27.

(17) Pacific Electric Construction Co., sixth payment, electric work for Potola Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 11, 1928), $694.64.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(18) M. Rosenthal, refund of duplicate payment of first installment of 1927-1928 taxes (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $1,209.46.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(19) Otis Elevator Co., elevator installed at Forest Hill Station, Twin Peaks Tunnel (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928, $8,920.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $1,766.72.

(21) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric parts (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $751.10.

(22) Market Street Railway Co., repairs to tracks of Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $1,208.61.

(23) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished for January (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $38,-884.85.

(24) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $2,369.91.

Library Fund.

(25) American Building Maintenance Co., janitorial services for public libraries (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $810.

(26) Foster & Futernick Co., binding of library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,006.60.

(27) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $577.75.

(28) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,719.38.

County Road Fund.

(29) James M. Smith, tenth payment, improvement of Market street extension, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $13,-000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $1,196.35.

(31) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $950.55.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $750.79.

(33) City Coal Co., coal for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $548.70.

(34) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,-620.91.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrants installed, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,980.57.

(36) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $759.

(37) Roman Catholic Orphanage maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10 1928), $3,126.44.

(38) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $692.49.

(39) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $1,340.

(40) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $538.41.

(41) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $1,840.35.

(42) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $1,178.86.

(43) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $33,609.14.

(44) Eureka Benevolent Society,

maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $3,899.98.

(45) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $12,743.23.

(46) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $576.15.

(47) Herbert F. Dugan, chemical and surgical supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,128.69.

(48) McCarthy Bros., coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $535.

(49) Western Meat Co., meat and oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $551.73.

(50) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., room allowance for employees, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,778.50.

(51) Herbert F. Dugan, chemical and surgical supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,160.47.

(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,538.87.

(53) Volunteers of America, emergency supplies furnished under direction of Superintendent of Relief Home, per Resolutions Nos. 28484 and 28486, New Series (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,080.37.

(54) California Meat Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $584.99.

(55) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,042.63.

(56) Del Monte Meat Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $5,344.91.

(57) J. T. Freitas Co., butter and eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,691.97.

(58) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs and cheese, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,825.53.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,510.91.

(60) Otis Elevator Co., repairs to elevators at Hall of Justice (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $524.

(61) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil furnished to Hall of Justice and Civic Center Power House (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $2,208.48.

(62) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., battery cells for sewer repair (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $506.07.

(63) Ajax Construction Co., first payment, furnishing, installing and testing sewage pumping plant at Pine Lake Park (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,020.

(64) T. M. Gallagher, final payment, furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $5,137.50.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28518 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Mary R. Hyde, $3,300—Portion of Lot 24, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28519 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set opposite his name, viz.:

J. D. Heise, $500—

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly boundary of Jones' Addition to Fairmount, distant southwesterly thereon 41.962 feet from the westerly line of Natick street and running southwesterly along said northwesterly line 111.491 feet; thence deflecting to the right 125 deg. 02 min. 40 sec. and

running northerly 95.761 feet to the southeasterly end of the northeasterly line of Wilder street; thence deflecting to the right 109 deg. 10 min. 50 sec. and running southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Wilder street produced southeasterly 96.640 feet to the northwesterly line of Jones' Addition to Fairmount and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Fairmount Extension Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G," page 21, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

As a further consideration for said conveyance, it is hereby agreed that the parcel of land below described as Parcel "A" shall become the property of said J. D. Heise; said property being described as follows:

Parcel "A." Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Natick street and the northwesterly line of Jones' Addition to Fairmount, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 41.962 feet to the southeasterly prolongation of the northeasterly line of Wilder street; thence deflecting to the left 125 deg. 46 min. 50 sec. and running southeasterly along said prolongation 22.41 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly, northeasterly and northerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 10 feet and a central angle of 109 deg. 25 min. 40 sec., a distance of 19.099 feet to its point of tangency with the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of Natick street; thence northerly along said prolongation and the westerly line of Natick street 21.967 feet to the northwesterly line of Jones' Addition to Fairmount and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots 16 and 17 of said Jones' Addition.

It is understood that the said parcel of land described as Parcel "A" will not be assessed for the improvement of Wilder street and the intersection of said street with Natick street, as said streets are improved and extended.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon the payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28520 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and county of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the interesction of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the prpoerty desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

John Peerson and Caroline Peerson, $5,700—Lot 46, Block 6692, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Agnes E. Erickson, $4,150—Lot 30 of Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F", page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evi-

dence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28521 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz:

F. R. Webb, $944—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 308 feet 6¾ inches from the southwesterly line of Donner avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 30 feet to the northeasterly line of Egbert avenue; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 30 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 522, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Stefano Gazzola, $2,206—Beginning at a point formed by the intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue with the southerly line of Thornton avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line of Charter Oak avenue 75 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 75 feet to the southerly line of Thornton avenue; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet along said southerly line of Thornton avenue to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 502, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Frank Uschmann, $1,125—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 75 feet from the southerly line of Thornton avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 25 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 502, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Pauline Des Roches, $1,246—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 166.854 feet from the southwesterly line of Fitzgerald avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 124.910 feet; thence deflecting to the left 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running northeasterly 30.997 feet; thence deflecting to the left 52 deg. 45 min. 30 sec. and running northerly 124.910 feet; thence deflecting to the left 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running southwesterly 30.997 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 544, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

As to all of the parcels mentioned above the amounts include damages in full to the remaining portions of the above described lots caused by the establishment of the future grade of Charter Oak avenue as widened, and to the improvements now partially located on the above described lands; said improvements to be removed by the owners within thirty (30) days after the recordation of the respective deeds to said City and County of San Francisco.

Adolf Balkovich, $6—Beginning at the first angle point in the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue north of Thornton avenue, and running northerly along said westerly line 11.888 feet to the northerly line of Lot 38 of Block "P" of Thornton and Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence westerly along said northerly line 2.854 feet; thence at right angles southerly 11.54 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of said Lot 38.

The above amount includes damages in full to the remaining portion of the above described lot caused by the establishment of the

future grade of Charter Oak avenue as widened.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Municipal Railway Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 28522 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for a right of way for the Municipal Railways of said City and County, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Elenora L. Elvin. $5,000—

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of La Playa, distant southerly thereon 37.5 feet from the southerly line of Judah street, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 25 feet; thence deflecting to the left 126 deg. 39 min. 04 sec. and running northeasterly 41.880 feet to a point which is distant 33.600 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of La Playa; thence deflecting to the left 143 deg. 20 min. 56 sec. and running westerly 33.600 feet to the easterly line of La Playa and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Outside Lands Block No. 721.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Judah street, distant easterly thereon 84 feet from the easterly line of La Playa, and running thence westerly along said southerly line 84 feet to said easterly line; thence at right angles southerly along said easterly line 37.5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 33.600 feet; thence deflecting to the left 36 deg. 39 min. 04 sec. and running northeasterly 62.820 feet to the southerly line of Judah street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Outside Lands Block No. 721.

It is understood and agreed that the replacement of the street work fronting the above described parcels will be done at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon the payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Reconstruction of Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) Broderick street, Ellis to O'Farrell streets, $2,970.

(2) Broderick street, Vallejo to Green streets, $3,600.

(3) Clement street, First to Fifth avenues, $5,760.

(4) Drumm street, Jackson to Pacific street, $4,500.

(5) Fillmore street, Sutter to Fulton streets, $9,000.

(6) Front street, Pacific street to Broadway, $3,600.

(7) Fulton street, First avenue to Stanyan street, $3,150.

(8) Hermann street, Laguna to Buchanan streets, $1,800.

(9) Jackson street, Montgomery to Sansome streets, $3,150.

(10) Lyon street, Washington to Jackson streets, $3,600.

(11) Mission street, Fourteenth to Army streets (resurfacing), $9,000.

(12) Oak street, Divisadero to Baker streets, $7,200.

(13) Page street, Scott to Divisadero streets, $3,600.

(14) Sacramento street, Hyde to Jones streets, $8,100.

(15) Steuart street, Market to Mission streets, $3,420.

(16) Scotland street, Columbus avenue to Green street, $2,600.

Total, $75,050.

Appropriation, $750, Construction of Six-Inch Pipe Line, Laguna Honda Home.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $750 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, for the construction of a six-inch pipe line between the pump line and lower tank at the Laguna Honda Home, to utilize water from well.

Appropriation, $150, Construction of Manhole in Washington Street.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28523 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $150 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, for cost of constructing a manhole in Washington street between Kearny and Montgomery streets; to remedy sewer conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Cancellation of Assessments.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28524 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector and Auditor have reported that the taxes on the following described property were paid, but through error were not stamped "paid" on the Assessment Roll, and that the property was sold to the State of California on June 27, 1927, Sales Nos. 852, 2085 and 2358; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel Sales Nos. 852, 2085 and 2358 of June 27, 1927, in accordance with the provisions of Sections 3776 and 3805 of the Political Code, of the following property:

Vol. 15, page 108, Block 2364, Lot No. 44, assessed to Joseph Johnson and Larus Erlendson, Sale No. 852.

Vol. 36, page 105, Block 6555, Lot No. 44, assessed to Wm. E. and M. M. Madden, Sale No. 2085.

Vol. 39, page 88, Block 7002, Lot No. 23, assessed to Jos. A. Gendotti, Sale No. 2358.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Temporary Office Building Permit.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28525 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. V. Campbell be and is hereby granted permission to erect and maintain for a period of one year a temporary office building on the northeast corner of Ulloa street and Laguna Honda boulevard for the purpose of real estate development.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 175.

Supervisor Hayden presented: Resolution No. 28526 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the

City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at Balboa street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet.

Along the easterly side of Eleventh avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet.

Along the southerly side of Randolph street, commencing at Chester avenue, and running thence westerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet.

Along the westerly side of Chester avenue, commencing at Randolph street, and running thence northerly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Chester avenue, commencing at Stanley street, and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Randolph street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 19th day of March, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 176.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28527 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northeasterly side of San Juan avenue between Cayuga avenue and Alemany avenue, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along the easterly side of Ridgewood avenue between Flood avenue and Hearst avenue, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the westerly side of Genesee street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Monterey boulevard, and running thence northerly to Joost avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Genesee street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Monterey boulevard, and running thence northerly to Joost avenue, said set-back line to be 7½ feet.

Along the easterly side of Foerster street between Joost avenue and Mangels avenue, said set-back line to be 11½ feet.

Along the southerly side of Mangels avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Ridgewood avenue, and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Genesee street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 19th day of March, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Set-back Line on Thirtieth Avenue North of Kirkham Street.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8420, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6492 (New Series), approved January 28, 1925, entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions

of Thirty-second avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirtieth avenue."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6492 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along Thirtieth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Along both sides of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Amending Set-back Line on Twenty-ninth Avenue North of Kirkham Street.

Also, Bill No. 8421, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6491 (New Series), approved January 28, 1925, entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-ninth avenue, Twenty-eighth avenue, Twenty-seventh avenue, Avila street and Thirty-fifth avenue."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6491 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along Twenty-ninth avenue, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Carolina, Nineteenth and Wisconsin Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8422, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the property bounded on the west by Carolina street, on the north by Nineteenth street, on the east by Wisconsin street, and on the south by a line running between Carolina street and Wisconsin street 137½ feet northerly from and parallel to the northerly line of Twentieth street, in the Light Industrial instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

Automobile Parking Station, Howard J. Mallen.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 112 feet 8 inches north of Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28528 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northeast and southwest corners Buchanan and Waller streets.

East and west sides Buchanan street between Hermann and Waller streets.

Northwest and southwest corners Larkin and Filbert streets.

North side Filbert street between Polk and Larkin streets.

South side Filbert street, first and second west of Larkin street.

Corner Julian avenue and Fourteenth street.

South side Fourteenth street between Julian avenue and Valencia street.

Install 250 *M. R.*

North side Thirtieth street between Noe and Laidley streets.

North side Thirtieth street between Noe and Sanchez streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Twenty-first avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Corner Buchanan and Waller streets.

Buchanan street between Hermann and Waller streets.

Southeast corner Dolores and Army streets.

Pole west side Dolores street, 50 feet north of Twenty-seventh street.

Southeast corner Twenty-seventh and Dolores streets.

Filbert and Larkin streets.

Filbert street between Polk and Larkin streets.

North side Oregon street between Front and Davis streets.

North side Oregon street between Davis and Drumm streets.

Thirtieth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets.

Thirtieth avenue and Anza street.

Oakdale avenue and Rankin street.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Corner Woodward avenue and Fourteenth street.

Stevenson and Fourteenth streets.

South side Fourteenth street between Mission street and Julian avenue.

Corner Julian avenue and Fourteenth street.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Corner Woodward avenue and Fourteenth street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28529 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted San Francisco Maennercher to conduct a masquerade ball at California Hall, Saturday evening, February 25, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Circus Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28530 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Al G. Barnes Circus be granted permission to give an exhibition (circus) on property situated northeast corner Sixteenth and Bryant streets, April 26, 27, 28 and 29, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Fixing March 5, 1928, Hearing Appeal, Rhode Island Street.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28531 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, March 5, 1928, 10 a. m., be fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of Dyer Brothers Golden West Iron Works, a corporation, and the Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of Dyer Brothers Golden West Iron Works, a corporation, and the Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, against the ordering of the improvement of Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets as set forth in Resolution of Intention of the Board of Public Works numbered 100417 (Second Series).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28532 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Co. is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from

and after February 20, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Burrows and Hamilton streets; and of Burrows street between Hamilton and Holyoke streets, where not already improved.

This extension is granted on the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is well under way. Contractor was delayed in completion of work due to laying of gas and water mains by corporations.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28533 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Co. is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after February 10, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossings of Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth, Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues with Ulloa street.

This extension is granted on the recommendation of the Board of Public Works in order that the contract may be protected during the period of acceptance and the issuance of the assessments.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7866 (New Series), Willard Street.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. 8423, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7866 (New Series), ordering the improvement of west half of Willard street between McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue, by the construction of sidewalks where not already done.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7866 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Willard street between McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue by the construction of sidewalks, where not already done is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Gallagher presented Resolution No. 28534 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Delano avenue between Seneca and Mt. Vernon avenues; and on Niagara and Mt. Vernon avenues between Delano and San Jose avenues as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of a portion of Geneva Terraces," showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Delano avenue between Seneca and Mt. Vernon avenues; and on Niagara and Mt. Vernon avenues between Delano and San Jose avenues, approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 100886 (Second Series) adopted on the 10th day of February, 1928, and written recommendation filed February 11, 1928.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets, upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. 8424, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Stanyan street between the northerly line of McAllister street produced easterly and the southerly line of Fulton street; on McAllister street between the easterly line of Stanyan street produced northerly and a line parallel with Parsons street and 67.50 feet easterly therefrom, and on Fulton street at Stanyan street.

Also, Bill No. 8425, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and a line parallel with and 100 feet westerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 18th day of November, 1927, by Resolution No. 28058 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and a line parallel with and 100 feet westerly therefrom; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notice of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Woolsey Street.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Charter Oak avenue westerly line, 40.35 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Charter Oak avenue westerly line, 39.97 feet.

100 feet westerly from Charter Oak avenue, 45.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and a line parallel with and 100 feet westerly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8426, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Vallejo street between Kearny and Montgomery streets.

Also, Bill No. 8427, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Persia avenue between the easterly line of Dublin street and the easterly line of Prague street, and on Dublin street between lines parallel with Persia avenue and, respectively, 118.01 feet northerly therefrom and 300 feet southerly therefrom.

Also, Bill No. 8428, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Roanoke street between Bemis and Laidley streets.

Accepting Deed to Land for Widening of Wawona Street.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28535 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 8th day of December, 1927, between Arden Wood Company, a California corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands for the widening of Wawona street between Sixteenth and Eighteenth avenues is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land covered by said deed is hereby declared an open public street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Resolution of Intention to Close Parts of Stanford Heights Avenue.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28536 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a petition has been filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, petitioning that certain parts of Stanford Heights avenue, hereinafter more particularly described, be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, new streets to be approved by the Board of Supervisors will be opened up and deeded by the owners of the adjoining property to the City and County of San Francisco, conforming to the contours of said land adjoining said streets to be closed; and

Whereas, public interest and convenience require and would be conserved by said closing and abandoning of said parts of Stanford Heights avenue, hereinafter particularly described; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of said Board of Supervisors to close and abandon those certain parts of Stanford Heights avenue, which are particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel No. 1—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly

line of Stanford Heights avenue with the northerly line of Clarkson street, thence south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue 200.382 feet; thence north 87 deg. 34 min. west 12.445 feet; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 15 feet, tangent to the preceding course, 22.365 feet; thence northwesterly along the arc of curve to the left with a radius of 487 feet, tangent to the preceding course, 186.52 feet to said northerly line of Clarkson street; thence north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east along said northerly line of Clarkson street 52.985 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 2—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Thirty-first street with the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue, thence south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west along said southerly line of Thirty-first street 61.148 feet; thence north 11 deg. 38 min. east 59.83 feet; thence northerly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 487 feet, tangent to the preceding course 39.352 feet; thence northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 15 feet, tangent to the preceding course 22.365 feet; thence south 87 deg. 34 min. east, tangent to the preceding course 18.599 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue 105.24 feet to the point of beginning.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declare that the said closing and abandoning should be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and succeeding section of Chapter 3, Article 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended; be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of said parts of Stanford Heights avenue are nominal and shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said closing and abandonment of said parts of said streets in the manner provided by law, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Mayor to Sell Dwelling on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28537 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land required for opening of Bernal Cut and known as 45 Academy street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling house and appurtenances to be removed by the purchaser within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Dedicating Land for Street Purposes, Regent Street.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28538 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That portions of the parcels of land acquired by the City and County of San Francisco from the Ocean Shore Railroad Company and recorded in Volume 1053 of Official Records, page 61, and more particularly described as follows:

1—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwest line of San Jose avenue with the northeast line of Regent street, thence northwest along the northeast line of Regent street 212 feet to its intersection with the south line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company; thence east along said right of way 12.33 feet; thence southeast parallel with and 10 feet at right angles from the northeast line of Regent street to the northwest line of San Jose avenue; thence southwest along the northwest line of San Jose avenue 10 feet to the point of beginning.

2—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwest line of San Jose avenue with the southwest line of Regent street, thence southwest along the northwest line

of San Jose avenue 10 feet; thence northwest parallel with and 10 feet at right angles from the southwest line of Regent street 251.20 feet to the south line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company; thence east along said right of way 12.93 feet to the southwest line of Regent street; thence southeast along the southwest line of Regent street 243 feet to the point of beginning.

The same are hereby set apart for street purposes to be known as Regent street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. 8429, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of the crossing of La Salle avenue and Mendell street to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same, and repealing Ordinance No. 7905 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of La Salle avenue and Mendell street by resetting the granite curb; by constructing granite curb, where necessary; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by resetting the existing catchbasins; by the construction of 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7905 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8430, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements re-

spectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Rodeo avenue between the existing pavement at the intersection of Arleta avenue and San Bruno avenue and a line at right angles with the westerly line of Rodeo avenue at its intersection with the northerly line of Teddy avenue, including that portion of the intersection of Teddy avenue that lies between the property lines of San Bruno avenue and excepting that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned intersection; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the intersection of Rodeo avenue and Teddy avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8431, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Huron avenue and Whipple avenue by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8432, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part

II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Roanoke street between Chenery street and Laidley street, where not already so improved, by the construction of granite curbs; by the construction of a side sewer; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of basalt block pavement adjacent to the center line of the roadway, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8433, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Brussels street between Olmstead street and Mansell street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall taken effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8434, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding in-

stallment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Nineteenth street between Arkansas street and Wisconsin street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8435, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Nineteenth street between Carolina street and De Haro street, including the crossing of Nineteenth street and De Haro street; and the improvement of De Haro street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, where not already so improved; by the construction of side-sewers; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the reconstruction of concrete curbs on the crossing of Nineteenth and De Haro streets; by resetting and reconstructing the existing catchbasins on the crossing of Nineteenth and De Haro streets; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the crossing of Nineteenth and De Haro streets; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadways of the crossing of Nineteenth and De Haro streets, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8436, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding in-

stallment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Sussex street between Diamond and Castro streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordanince shall take effect immediately.

Approving Map, Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28539 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100784 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of San Jose avenue from Ottawa avenue to Sickles avenue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of San Jose avenue from Ottawa avenue to Sickles avenue be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Changing Grades on Elmira Street.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28540 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 100791 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted February 3, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board, filed February 7, 1928, to-wit:

On Elmira street between lines parallel with Augusta street and, respectively, 200 feet and 420 feet northerly therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Fixing Monday, March 5, 1928, Hearing of Appeal, Evans Avenue.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28541 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, March 5, 1928, at 10 a. m., in chambers of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby fixed as time for hearing the appeal of E. J. Treacy from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Evans avenue between Phelps and Quint streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Declaring Intention to Close Portions of Ingalls Street, Davidson Avenue and Custer Avenue.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28542 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that Ingalls street, Davidson avenue and Custer avenue be closed up, in part as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up in part the streets hereinbefore mentioned, the parts thereof to be closed up being described as follows, to-wit:

Ingalls street between the southwesterly line of Burke avenue and the northeasterly line of Evans avenue.

Davidson avenue between the southeasterly line of Jennings street and the westerly line of India and Hawes streets.

Custer avenue between the southeasterly line of Jennings street and the westerly line of India street.

Said closing and abandonment of said streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the

Charter as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2; be it

Further Resolved, That the damages, costs and expense of said closing and abandoning of said portions of Ingalls street, Davidson avenue and Custer avenue as above described be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said streets in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7714 (New Series), Farragut Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. 8437, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7714 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the northeasterly one-half of Farragut avenue between Huron avenue and a line parallel with and 404 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7714 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the northeasterly one-half of Farragut avenue between Huron avenue and a line parallel with and 404 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue be and the same is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Clerk to Advertise for Bids for Official Advertising.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 28543 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received on Monday, the 5th day of March, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m. for publishing the official advertising for the year commencing April 1, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Clerk to Advertise for Bids for Publishing Delinquent Tax List.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 28544 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to advertise that sealed proposals for printing, publishing and distributing the delinquent tax list, index to delinquent real estate taxpayers and printing the sales list and other matters incidental thereto for the fiscal year 1927-1928 will be received on Monday, March 5, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Soliciting Offers for Sale of Existing Water Supply

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28516 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby solicit offers for the sale to said City and County of San Francisco of any existing public utility, to-wit, a system of water supply and works for supplying water to said City and County and its inhabitants, or any portion thereof, and any owner or owners of such system of such water supply and works are hereby invited to submit proposals or offers in writing to the Board of Supervisors for the sale of the same to the City and County of San Francisco, and such proposals shall be filed with the Clerk of said Board at any time prior to the 5th day of March, 1928.

And it is hereby announced and declared to be the purpose and intention of said Board of Supervisors to consider any and all proposals or

PUBLIC LIBRARY

offers that may be made for the sale of said described public utility to the said City and County on said 5th day of March, 1928, and before submitting propositions to the electors for the acquisition by original construction or condemnation of said described public utility in order that the electors may have the benefit of acquiring the same at the lowest possible cost thereof.

2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper for the period of ten days a notice in substantially the following form:

Notice soliciting offers for the sale of any existing public utility, to-wit: A system of water supply and works to the City and County of San Francisco.

Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, February 21, 1928.

To all owners of any existing public utility, to-wit: A water supply and works for supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and its inhabitants or any portion thereof.

You are hereby solicited and invited to submit to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, prior to the 5th day of March, 1928, an offer or offers in writing to sell to the said City and County any existing public utility, to-wit, a system of water supply and works for supplying water to said City and County and its inhabitants or any portion thereof, and that said Board of Supervisors will consider any and all such offers that may be submitted on the 5th day of March, 1928, at its regular meeting on said day.

This notice is given in compliance with the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of Resolution No. 28516 (New Series), adopted February 20, 1928, and your attention is called to said resolution for further particulars.

3. The Clerk of said Board is hereby directed to cause a copy of the aforesaid notice to be mailed to the Spring Valley Water Company, addressed to it at its place of business in San Francisco, California.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to publish this resolution in the official newspaper.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

The following matters were taken up on notice of reconsideration given by Supervisor Badaracco at last meeting:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-second avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Gallagher's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Supervisor Todd's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

The roll being called on the foregoing proposed amendment offered by Supervisor Todd, the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Absent — Supervisors Hayden, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Before the vote was announced Supervisor Todd changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration of the vote whereby the foregoing proposed amendment was defeated.

February 14, 1928—Reconsideration being had by unanimous consent the question was again put on Supervisor Todd's amendment, the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Powers—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the bill as amended and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—9.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Powers—5.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt, Stanton—3.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Thereupon, before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Badaracco changed his vote from "aye" to "no" and gave notice that he would move for the reconsideration of the vote whereby the foregoing bill as amended was refused passage to print.

February 20, 1928—Reconsideration being given by unanimous consent, Supervisor Badaracco moved passage to print of the Todd amendment.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Powers, Stanton—5.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Whereupon the roll was called and the bill as amended was *passed for printing* by the following vote, to-wit:

Bill No. 8438, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Powers, Stanton—5.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Protest Against Military Objections to Bay Bridge, Bay Accident.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28546 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on Friday, February 17, 1928, a score of persons were swept into the waters of San Francisco Bay, and five lives are reported to have been lost, as a result of a wall of water breaking over the deck of a crowded ferry boat; and

Whereas, less than a year ago another ferry boat was sunk in San Francisco Bay as a result of a collision in a fog; and

Whereas, the commuter traffic across San Francisco Bay now amounts to approximately 60,000 persons daily and will increase steadily with the growth of the communities around the bay; and

Whereas, serious accidents similar to those herein described are narrowly averted on almost every occasion when high winds or fogs prevail upon San Francisco Bay; and

Whereas, the all-important consideration of safety for human lives necessitates the construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay which will accommodate the great volume of commuter traffic; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco solemnly protests against the purely military objections advanced by the Navy Department against the construction of such a bridge and respectfully directs the attention of the Secretary of War, the Secretary of the Navy, and the members of the Congress of the United States to the fact that the technical military objections, if allowed to prevail, will perpetuate indefinitely the present menace to the lives of many thousands of people living in this community; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors respectfully urges the Congress of the United States to take cognizance of these accidents and the ever-existing menace to human lives under the present condition on San Francisco Bay as an additional argument why permission should be granted in the immediate future for the construction of a bridge across this body of water; and be it

Further Resolved, That the representatives of San Francisco in the Senate and the House of Representatives be requested to spread this resolution upon the pages of the Congressional Record.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner the Clerk was directed to send copy of the foregoing resolution to the Secretary of War, the Secretary of the Navy, Senator Hiram Johnson and Congressman Welch, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Marks—2.

Estimate of Cost, Unified Plan, Market Street Railway and California Street Railway Systems.

Supervisor Shannon presented a communication from City Engineer in re report on estimates of cost of plan for a unified street railway system, including Market Street Railway system and California Street Railway system.

Appropriation, $10,000 for Detailed Investigation of Value of Physical Properties of Market Street Railway and California Street Railway.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors, in conjunction with the City Engineer and the City Attorney and the Superintendent of the Municipal Railways, cause to be made a detailed valuation of the physical properties of the California Street Railway Company, and also of the Market Street Railway Company, looking towards the acquisition of said properties by the City and County of San Francisco, and further, that said Public Utilities Committee, in conjunction with said City Engineer, said City Attorney and said Superintendent of the Municipal Railways, cause to be made a detailed study of street railway traffic requirements and for the development of a complete plan of re-

routing the cars and rearrangement of car yards and car houses, so as to utilize to the best advantage the street railway facilities of the city, and eliminate superfluous trackage and unnecessary overhead expense. That said study be made with a view of operating both the California Street Railway line and the Market Street Railway lines in conjunction with the municipally owned lines of the City and County. And further, that a study be made as to the amount of wage increase which will be necessary on account of the employees in the operating departments of said California and said Market Street Railway companies being paid the wage now paid to the employees of the Municipal Railway; and be it

Further Resolved, That there be and there is hereby appropriated from ————, the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars, to defray the cost of said investigation and study, until July 1, 1928; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board that an additional sum of thirty thousand ($30,000) dollars be included in the 1928-1929 budget to complete said study and report; and be it

Further Resolved, That when said evaluation and study is completed, that said Public Utilities Committee negotiate with said respective railway companies for the purchase of their respective physical properties, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary for the operation of said roads by the city, and report the result of said negotiations to this Board.

Referred to the Finance Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $12,500, Satisfaction of Judgment, Stella Delucchi, School Lands.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of twelve thousand five hundred ($12,500) dollars be and the same is authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the School Land Fund, and payable to Stella Delucchi, and Stella Delucchi, as guardian of the estates Erma Delucchi and Esther Delucchi, minors, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, v. Stella Delucchi, and Erma Delucchi, a minor, and Esther Delucchi, a minor, by Stella Delucchi, as guardian of the estates of said minors, defendants, and numbered 185149 of the records thereof, in eminent domain for the acquisition of lands for school purposes; be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Appropriation, $11,500, Payment to Charles Wolf, Jr., Satisfaction of Judgment, School Land.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of eleven thousand five hundred ($11,500) dollars be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and to be payable as hereinafter mentioned, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that action entitled City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, v. Charles Wolf, Jr., and Edna W. Wolf, his wife, Bank of Italy National Trust and Savings Association, defendants, and numbered 173383 of the records thereof, in eminent domain for the acquisition of land for school purposes. That said sum of $11,500, under the terms and provisions of said judgment, to be payable to County Clerk, and ex-officio Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, for the benefit of said Charles Wolf, Jr., and Edna W. Wolf, subject to the lien of Bank of Italy National Trust and Savings Association in the sum of $3,500, with interest thereon at 6 per cent per annum from January 29, 1928; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Board of Public Works to Prepare Plans, Etc., Wiring 500 Carter Arterial Signs.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. 8443, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and requesting the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications, and to advertise for bids for wiring 500 Carter arterial stop signs throughout the city.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and requested to prepare plans and specifications, and to advertise for bids for wiring 500 Carter arterial stop signs throughout the city.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Board of Public Works to Prepare Plans, Traffic Lane Markers.

Also, Bill No. 8444, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and requesting the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications, and to advertise for bids for installing 60,000 traffic lane markers for use throughout the city.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and requested to prepare plans and specifications, and to advertise for bids for installing throughout the city 60,000 traffic lane markers.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Leave of Absence, A. I. Esberg, School Director.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Feb. 14, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. A. I. Esberg, member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of fourteen days, commencing February 22.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28547 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. A. I. Esberg, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fourteen days, commencing February 22, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Masquerade Ball Permit, Benevolent Society of the Garibaldi Guard.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28548 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Benevolent Society of the Garibaldi Guard to conduct a masquerade ball at Garibaldi Hall, 441 Broadway, Saturday evening, February 25, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Award of Contract, Trolley Wire for Municipal Railway.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 28549 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to John A. Roeblings Sons Co. on bid submitted February 14, 1928 (Proposal No. 353), for furnishing the following, viz.: Trolley wire for Municipal Railway—five miles 3/0 round hard drawn copper trolley wire, $17.20 per hundred pounds, f. o. b. car barn, 2600 Geary street. Allowance for reels f. o. b. car barn, $7.50 each.

Material.

All of the wire furnished hereunder shall conform to requirements of the American Society for testing materials, standard specifications for hard drawn copper wire, serial designation B 1-23.

It shall be round in form and shall have a cross sectional area of 168,100 circular mills.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 shall be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Wm. P. Stanton.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
February 20, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. William P. Stanton, mem-

ber of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing February 22.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28550 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. William P. Stanton, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty days from and after February 22, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Reception to Governer I. L. Patterson of Oregon, and Mayor Geo. L. Baker of Portland.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28551 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee make the necessary arrangements for the reception of Governor I. L. Patterson of Oregon and Mayor Geo. L. Baker of Portland, on their arrival in San Francisco on Friday, February 24th on their good will trip to San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Reception to French Aviators.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 28552 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee make the necessary arrangements for the reception of the two French aviators, Dieudonné Costes and Joseph Le Brix, who are traveling around the world and will arrive in San Francisco in the very near future.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

C. O. Clausen and A. D. Disston Appointed to Advisory Committee to the Board of Equalization.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28553 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. O. Clausen and A. D. Disston be appointed members of the Advisory Committee to the Board of Equalization, City and County of San Francisco, to fill vacancies in said committee caused by the resignations of Philip Paschel and Paul Sinsheimer.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Marks—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Board of Public Works to Construct Sewers in Golden Gate Heights District.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. 8445, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco to construct sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District, and repealing Ordinance No. 7914 (New Series).

Whereas, the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, by resolution duly passed, has recommended the passage of an ordinance authorizing the said Board of Public Works to construct sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District; and

Whereas, said construction is of great public necessity; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and empowered to immediately construct sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District.

Section 2. That the construction of said sewers is necessary to carry out the general system of sewers for the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. That the said construction of sewers is of great public necessity.

Section 4. That the Board of Public Works of the City and

County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and empowered to take such steps and perform such duties as in their judgment may be necessary to expedite the completion of said sewers.

Section 5. Ordinance No. 7914 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS—
11 A. M.

The following matters were taken up:

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Cambridge street, Thirtieth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue set for 11 a. m.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8439, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Cambridge street, Thirtieth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 16th day of January, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 171, to establish set-back lines along portions of Cambridge street, Thirtieth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue, and fixed the 20th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Cambridge street between Pioche street and Silliman street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at Irving street, and running thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Quintara street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue between Rivera street and Quintara street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues set for 11 a. m.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8440, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-eighth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 16th day of January, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 172, to establish set-back lines along portions of Thirty-eighth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, and fixed the 20th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was

published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Sloat boulevard set for 11 a. m.

No objection being offererd, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8441, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sloat boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 16th day of January, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 173, to establish set-back lines along portions of Sloat boulevard, and fixed the 20th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard, commencing at Thirty-ninth avenue and running thence westerly 484 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Constanso way and Thirty-fourth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirty-nfth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Vale avenue and Paraiso place, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Paraiso place and Crestlake drive, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Crestlake drive and Nineteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth, Eighteenth and Thirty-third avenues set for 11 a. m.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8442, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth avenue, Eighteenth avenue and Thirty-third avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 16th day of January, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 174, to establish set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth avenue, Eighteenth avenue and Thirty-third avenue, and fixed the 20th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly to Noriega street, said set-back line to be 10½ feet; along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5½ feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 2/3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 80 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 20 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Condition of Laidley Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented a resolution regarding the deplorable condition of Laidley street, which was *referred to the Streets Committee.*

Dog and Cat Hospital.

Supervisor Toner presented a report of the Health Committee on a proposed cat and dog hospital on Geary street between Third and Fourth avenues calling for a hearing in committee of the whole, which report was subsequently *withdrawn.*

Proposal to Rezone City.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by resaon of the growth of the City conditions relative to building and the location of business and manufacturing enterprises having materially changed since the City was originally zoned pursuant to the provisions of the zoning ordinance; and

Whereas, the efforts of the City Planning Commission and of the Board of Supervisors to meet said changed conditions by individual or piecemeal changes in the zoning ordinance are not for the best interests of all of the City, and that the said interests of all property owners in the City will be best served by a comprehensive plan of rezoning, where necessary, of all property within the City and Coun-

ty of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be requested to make a comprehensive study of the needs of the entire City in so far as the rezoning of property may be necessary and to report the result of said study to this Board with its recommendation in regard thereto.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 5 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, February 27, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

No. 9

Monday, February 27, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 27, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

In the absence of his Honor Mayor Rolph, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

ROLL CALL.

On calling the name of Supervisor Badaracco the members of the Board of Supervisors and those present in the chambers arose and remained standing in silent tribute to the memory of Supervisor John B. Badaracco, who was killed Sunday morning in an automobile accident near Castroville.

The roll was then resumed and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Powers, Stanton—4.

Quorum present.

Death of Supervisor Badaracco.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, death has suddenly and tragically invaded the membership of this Board, and I know that every member of the Board is very deeply shocked by the passing of our colleague and friend, Supervisor John Badaracco, whom we all loved. His Honor the Mayor has just telephoned from Los Angeles expressing his deep regret at his absence from the City at this time, and assuring the members of the Board that he will return to San Francisco to be present at the funeral of our colleague, and extending to us the assurance of his fullest cooperation and the cooperation of his office in the arrangement for the funeral. Supervisor Badaracco left San Francisco on Saturday afternoon with two friends on a pleasure trip down the Peninsula with the objective of visiting the practice grounds of the San Francisco baseball field. As we all know, Supervisor Badaracco was an enthusiastic baseball fan. It had been his custom for years to pay visits to the training camps during the spring season. He invited a number of his friends to go with him on this trip. Several of them were unable to accept the invitation, and finally he left with two young men living in his district of the City. I find it very difficult in view of the shock which I, myself, have experienced to pay a proper tribute to our colleague at this time. I had known him intimately as a personal friend for years before I came on this Board. I was extremely fond of him. He was a man who had the rare quality of being able to retain his boyhood spirit through all the years that he has served in official capacities as an elected representative of the people of the State and of the people of the City and County of San Francisco. His record has been an honorable one. He has served the people as a whole and the people of his district with fidelity and efficiency. His name will go down in history among his neighbors as well as among the people at large and signed with affection. I believe that it will be the desire of the Board to take a recess at this time in respect to the memory of our colleague. Unfortunately, by reason of legal requirements, it is our duty today to pass upon the sale of bonds which has been advertised for a fixed hour on this day. Therefore, when we recess at this time it will be necessary for us to meet briefly this afternoon in order to comply with the legal requirements of the bond sale.

Resolution.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and *adopted by rising vote*:

Resolution No. 28554 (New Series), as follows:

The untimely death of Supervisor John B. Badaracco is a distinct loss to the people of San Francisco. His passing is a misfortune to his colleagues, the members of the Board of Supervisors, and a shock to his thousands of friends in this City.

John Badaracco served the municipality faithfully, efficiently and loyally. He also, as a member of the Legislature of the State of California, made a record of which any official might be proud.

In his activities as a legislator of the State and the City and County he gained and held the respect and confidence of all persons with whom he came in contact and he was always mindful of the public interest in voting for those measures that made for the common good of the public and the betterment of government.

To his bereaved mother and brothers and sisters is extended the deepest expressions of sympathy of the members of this Board. Be it

Resolved, That when this Board adjourns today it do so in respect to the memory of John B. Badaracco and that all members of the Board attend the funeral. That during the funeral ceremonies the office of the Board of Supervisors be closed as a further mark of respect.

Further Resolved, That as a mark of esteem and respect for the services as a public official of the late Supervisor Badaracco the rotunda of the City Hall be set aside for a period of time agreeable to his family during which the remains may lay in state.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner: It is the desire of the family of Supervisor Badaracco that his casket be brought to the City Hall tomorrow afternoon. The exact hour has not yet been set, but it will be determined by his relatives. I would move at this time that the entire membership of the Board, as soon as the hour of the arrival of the body is announced, be present in the City Hall to receive the casket and to pay to our colleague the respect which is his due and which we all desire to pay to him.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Supervisor McSheehy: I move at this time that we recess until 3 p. m.

The Chairman: If no objection such will be the order. (Recess.)

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—3 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 3 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Powers, Stanton—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS

Boulevard Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, February 27, 1928, and opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

Two million five hundred thousand dollars four and one-half per cent Boulevard Bonds, Issue of November 1, 1927, comprising one hundred and twenty-five bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1932 to 1951, inclusive.

The said described bonds bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, and shall not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

Bidders may bid for the whole or any part of the bonds here offered, and when a less amount of the whole amount offered is bid on, the bidder shall state the year or years of maturity thereof.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

Delivery of the bonds to the purchaser will be made within ten days from the date of award, or within such time thereafter as may be agreed upon by the purchaser and Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

All proposals for the purchase of such bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check, payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County, for a like sum, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000 and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood and Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds is on file in the Clerk's office.

Notice of this sale is given pur-

suant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted January 30, 1928.

Bids.

The proposals for purchase of $2,500,000 4½ per centum per annum Boulevard Bonds, Issue of November 1, 1927, received, were opened and read as follows:

(1) Guaranty Company of New York, $2,605,675; certified check, Bank of California, $10,000.

(2) Blair & Co., Inc., Hallgarten & Co., Roosevelt & Son, Phelps, Fenn & Co., Anglo California Trust Company, Schwabacher & Co., Continental National Co., E. R. Gundelfinger, Inc., by Blair & Co., $2,588,725; certified check, Bank of California, $10,000.

(3) Heller, Bruce & Co., $2,599,027; certified check, Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., $10,000.

(4) Drake, Riley & Thomas, $2,592,475; certified check, Los Angeles First National Bank, $10,000.

(5) Anglo London Paris Company, Bank of Italy, First National Bank, New York, Eldredge & Company, The Detroit Company, Inc., Kissel, Kinnicutt & Co., Redmond & Co., by Anglo London Paris Company, $2,605,055; certified check, Anglo London Paris Company, $10,000.

(6) R. H. Moulton & Co., Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Bankers Trust Co., American National Co., Security Co., by R. H. Moulton & Co., $2,602,137.50; certified check, Bank of California, $10,000.

(7) The National City Company, Old Colony Corporation, Boston, Security Bank & Trust Co. of California, California Securities Co., William Cavalier & Co., by The National City Co., $2,601,975; certified check, Bank of California, $10,000.

(8) Halsey, Stuart & Co. Inc., E. H. Rollins & Sons, A. G. Becker & Co., Geo. B. Gibbons & Co., by Halsey, Stuart & Co., $2.601,400; certified check, Crocker First National Bank, $10,000.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Subsequently, the Finance Committee, which had previously retired to consider the bids, reported the following resolution, which was *adopted* on motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Award of Boulevard Bonds.

Resolution No. 28558 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Boulevard Bonds, Issue of November 1, 1927, to the amount of $2,500,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 27th day of February, 1928; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of Guaranty Company of New York for said $2,500,000 Boulevard Bonds, comprising one hundred and twenty-five bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1932 to 1951, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Guaranty Company of New York for the price bid therefor, to-wit: $2,605,675, and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—3.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Orthophonic Victrolas.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing orthophonic victrolas and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Hospital Supplies, Roller Bandages, Absorbent Cotton, Gauze, Lint, Oiled Muslin and Adhesive Plaster.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing hospital supplies, roller bandages, absorbent cotton, gauze, lint, oiled muslin and adhesive plaster and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Sugar.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing sugar and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued until Thursday, March* 1, 1928:

Auction Sale of Lease of City Property.

Pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision 32 of Section 1, Chapter II, Article II and Section 3, Chapter IV, Article XI, of the Charter of the City and County of San

Francisco, a lease of certain real property of the City and County is offered for sale at public auction on the 27th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m. this day, in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Description of Property.

That certain parcel of land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, and situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Bush street, distant thereon twenty-four (24) feet westerly from the northwesterly corner of St. George alley and Bush street, running thence westerly and along said northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet; thence at a right angle northerly and parallel with the westerly line of St. George alley fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches; thence at a right angle easterly and parallel with the northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet, and thence at a right angle southerly fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Fifty Vara Lot No. 94.

Terms and Conditions of Sale.

1. The award of lease shall be made for a period of ten (10) years.

2. The ordinance awarding the lease and the entering into said lease by the Board of Supervisors shall be in accordance with the Charter and be subject to the approval of the Mayor of the City and County.

3. The successful bidder shall be liable for, and shall be obliged to pay the rental offered monthly in advance and the first payment shall be made on the first day of the month next succeeding sixty (60) days after the date upon which the award is made.

4. The lessee shall not assign said lease without the written consent of the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor.

5. The premises leased shall not be used for any immoral or unlawful purposes.

6. The lessee shall pay all taxes and assessments that may be issued or levied against the property leased or against the buildings and improvements erected or to be erected thereon.

7. The successful bidder within ten (10) days after the said lease shall be awarded, shall execute and file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors a bond in the penal sum equal to two years' rental of the premises leased, to secure the performance of the conditions and obligations of such lease, in form satisfactory to the City Attorney and the sureties satisfactory to the Mayor.

8. A failure to pay the monthly rent agreed to be paid or a breach on the part of the lessees of any of the conditions of the lease shall, by reason of such failure or breach, operate as a forfeiture, and the said lease shall thereupon be terminated and the improvements erected thereon shall revert to and become the property of the City and County of San Francisco, and the sureties on the bond shall be liable to the City and County for any damage that may result from such forfeiture.

9. Should the above described premises be required for public use at any time before the termination of the period of the lease, the City shall have the right to cancel the said lease upon the payment of just compensation for improvements thereon, and for such damages suffered by the lessee by reason of such cancellation, the amount thereon to be determined by arbitrators, one to be appointed by the City and County and one by the lessee, and a third to be appointed by the arbitrators so appointed in case of disagreement.

Manner Bidding.

At the time and place herein stated the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors will read this notice, and thereupon the presiding officer of the Board of Supervisors will call for bids for the lease of the property described herein. Any person may offer a bid in writing, sealed, and each bid shall be for the monthly rental to be paid during the term of the lease. Each bid made shall be subject to be raised by any other person, and the bidding shall continue until no increased bid shall be made, and said bid so made in open board will be considered as if made in writing, when the lease shall be struck off and awarded to the highest bidder. The said bidder to whom the award is made shall thereupon deposit with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors the sum of $500 or a certified check made payable to the Clerk of said Board in said amount, as a condition that within ten (10) days after the passage of an ordinance of award he will enter into a written lease of said property in accordance with the terms and conditions stated in this notice; in the event of a failure so to do, such

sum or check will be forfeited to and become the property of the City and County. In case any bidder shall fail to make such deposit, his bid shall be then and there rejected and the lease shall be struck off and awarded to the next highest bid, provided that no person desires to increase the same.

The Board of Supervisors at any time prior to the passage of the ordinance of award may reject any bid.

Notice of this sale is given pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 28423 (New Series).

Accepting Offer of California Pacific Realty Company to Convey Land for Spur Track Purposes.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented: Resolution No. 28555 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the California Pacific Realty Company, the owner of the following described lands, has offered to convey to the City and County of San Francisco for spur track purposes for the sum of one (1) dollar. The following is the description of the lands to be acquired:

Parcel No. 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Jerrold avenue, distant thereon 166.590 feet westerly from the westerly line of proposed Upton street or distant 1380.590 feet westerly from the westerly line of Selby street; thence at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Jerrold avenue a distance of 642.788 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left of 279.439-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 24 deg. 48 min. 1 sec. to a point on the northerly line of proposed McKinnon avenue (which line is 560 feet perpendicularly northerly from and parallel with the northerly line of Oakdale avenue), said point being 140.818 feet perpendicularly westerly from the westerly line of proposed Upton street or 1354.818 feet perpendicularly westerly from the westerly line of Selby street, a distance of 120.954 feet; thence deflecting to the right 114 deg. 48 min. 1 sec. from the tangent to the preceding course and running westerly along said northerly line of McKinnon avenue a distance of 18.616 feet; thence northerly on a curve to the right of 296.439-foot radius parallel to the second preceding course and tangent to a line deflected 66 deg. 42 min. 31 sec. to the right from the northerly line of proposed McKinnon avenue, central angle 23 deg. 17 min. 29 sec., a distance of 120.501 feet; thence northerly tangent to the preceding course to a point on the southerly line of Jerrold avenue, a distance of 642.788 feet; thence at right angles easterly along said southerly line of Jerrold avenue, a distance of 17 feet, to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 2. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of proposed Newcomb avenue (which line is 260 feet perpendicularly northerly from and parallel with the northerly line of Oakdale avenue), distant thereon 415.496 feet westerly from the westerly line of proposed Toland street or distant 1079.496 feet westerly from the westerly line of Selby street; thence northerly on a curve to the right of radius 279.439 feet, tangent to a line deflected 10 deg. 51 min. 18 sec. to the right from the northerly line of proposed Newcomb avenue, central angle 51 deg. 39 min. 32 sec., a distance of 251.947 feet; thence northerly tangent to the preceding course to a point on the southerly line of proposed McKinnon avenue (which line is 480 feet perpendicularly northerly from and parallel with the northerly line of Oakdale avenue), said point being 649.542 feet perpendicularly westerly from the westerly line of proposed Toland street or 1313.542 feet perpendicularly westerly from the westerly line of Selby street, a distance of 84.015 feet; thence deflecting to the left from the preceding course 62 deg. 30 min. 50 sec. and running westerly along the said southerly line of proposed McKinnon avenue, a distance of 19.163 feet; thence deflecting to the left 117 deg. 29 min. 10 sec. and parallel to the second preceding course a distance of 92.859 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left of 296.439-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 40 deg. 18 min. 4 sec. to a point on the southerly line of proposed Newcomb avenue, a distance of 208.511 feet; thence deflecting to the left from the tangent to the preceding course 22 deg. 12 min. 46 sec. and running easterly along said northerly line of proposed Newcomb avenue 59.443 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which price is nominal; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found

in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—3.

Accepting Offer of California Pacific Realty Company to Convey Land for Opening of Upton Street and Extension of Toland Street.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented: Resolution No. 28556 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the California Pacific Realty Company, the owner of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Upton street and the extension of Toland street, McKinnon avenue and Newcomb avenue, has offered to convey the lands desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum of one (1) dollar. The following is the description of the lands to be acquired:

Parcel No. 1—Upton street—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Jerrold avenue, distant thereon one thousand one hundred and sixty-four (1,164) feet perpendicularly westerly from the westerly line of Selby street; thence southerly on a line parallel with said westerly line of Selby street seven hundred and sixty (760) feet; thence westerly on a line parallel with the southerly line of Jerrold avenue fifty (50) feet; thence northerly on a line parallel with the westerly line of Selby street seven hundred and sixty (760) feet to the southerly line of Jerrold avenue; thence easterly along said southerly line of Jerrold avenue fifty (50) feet to the point of beginning; excepting therefrom such portions as are portions of public streets.

Parcel No. 2—Toland street—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Jerrold avenue, distant thereon six hundred (600) feet perpendicularly westerly from the westerly line of Selby street, running southerly on a line parallel with said westerly line of Selby street to intersection with the northerly line of Oakdale avenue; thence westerly along said line of Oakdale avenue sixty-four (64) feet; thence northerly on a line parallel with the westerly line of Selby street to intersection with the southerly line of Jerrold avenue; thence easterly along said line of Jerrold avenue sixty-four (64) feet to the point of beginning; excepting therefrom such portions as are portions of public streets.

Parcel No. 3—McKinnon avenue—Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Selby street, distant thereon four hundred and eighty (480) feet perpendicularly northerly from the northerly line of Oakdale avenue and running westerly on a line parallel with said northerly line of Oakdale avenue to its intersection with the easterly line of Barneveld avenue; thence northerly along said easterly line of Barneveld avenue to a point five hundred and sixty (560) feet perpendicularly northerly from the northerly line of Oakdale avenue; thence easterly on a line parallel with said northerly line of Oakdale avenue to its intersection with the westerly line of Selby street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Selby street eighty (80) feet to the point of beginning; excepting therefrom such portions as are portions of open public streets.

Parcel No. 4—Newcomb avenue—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Selby street, distant thereon 220 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue, and running thence northwesterly parallel with the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue and distant 220 feet at right angles northeasterly therefrom, a distance of 1277.177 feet; thence northwesterly on a curve to the right of 314.439-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 26 degrees 31 minutes 49 seconds, a distance of 145.598 feet to a point on the easterly line of Barneveld avenue, distant thereon 285.317 feet northerly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of Barneveld avenue a distance of 81.902 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left of 274.429-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 157 degrees 59 minutes 27 seconds to the right from the preceding course, central angle 40 degrees 30 minutes 17 seconds, a distance of 194.012 feet to a point distant 260 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue; thence southeasterly, tangent to the

preceding curve, and parallel with Oakdale avenue, a distance of 1277.117 feet to a point on the northwesterly line of Selby street, distant thereon 260 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Selby street a distance of 40 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which price is nominal; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying the title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—3.

Clerk to Advertise for Proposals for $2,600,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 28557 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that on the 26th day of March, 1928, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

Two million six hundred thousand dollars Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, issue of January 1, 1925, comprising 65 bonds of $1,000 denomination maturing each year from 1930 to 1969, inclusive.

The Finance Committee will fix the terms and conditions of sale.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board, out of respect to the memory of Supervisor John B. Badaracco, took a recess until 10 a. m., Thursday, March 1, 1928.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 1, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 10

Thursday, March 1, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, MARCH 1, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, March 1, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Todd excused on account of illness.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 27, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Letter of Sympathy, Death of Supervisor John B. Badaracco.

The following was read by the Clerk and, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, *ordered spread in the minutes*:

February 28, 1928.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

The members of the Twenty-ninth and Castro District Improvement Club wish to extend to the members of the Board of Supervisors their deepest and heartfelt sympathy for the sudden death of Supervisor John B. Badaracco, who was their friend from the beginning of this club when it was first organized two years and a half ago.

Sincerely,

HELEN D. CROWELL,
Secretary.

Unemployment Situation.

The Clerk read a communication from the Building Trades Council under date of February 27th requesting cooperation of City officials in relieving unemployment situation.

Supervisor Havenner: I suppose there is nothing we can do other than to receive the communication. I think the representatives of the Building Trades Council should know, however, that every step that can legally be taken by this Board to expedite the construction projects before us has been taken, and during the past week, by arrangement with the Treasurer, one hundred men have been put to work on the Great Highway project, and on the other projects for which bids have been called for it has been absolutely mandatory upon us to wait until the legal procedure is carried through, but I think in every instance where there has been the possibility of expediting construction work the necessary steps have been taken.

The Chairman: Would it not be advisable for the Clerk to answer that letter?

Supervisor Havenner: I would be glad if the Clerk would address a letter to the Building Trades Council based upon the remarks that I have just made.

The Chairman: So ordered.

Plans and Estimates of Cost of Completion of Hetch Hetchy Project.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Board of Public Works, transmitting Resolution No. 101037 (Second Series), approving and transmitting to the Board of Supervisors for its approval three copies of plans and estimates of cost of the original construction and completion of aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor-Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Plans and Estimates of Cost of the Acquisition and of the Original Construction and Completion of the Water Supply System of the Spring Valley Water Company.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Board of Public Works, transmitting copy of its Resolution No. 101038 (Second Series), approving and transmitting to the Board of Supervisors for its approval three copies of plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition and of the original construction and completion of the water supply system of the Spring Valley Water Company, and also one copy of book entitled "Properties of Spring Valley Water Company to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco."

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Relative to Accidents Due to Autos Being Parked Too Near a Corner.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Communication, from Adolph Uhl, declaring that the Traffic Committee was not furnished by the Traffic Survey Committee of a record of accidents due to autos being parked too near street corners, and that such evidence has not been compiled either by the Traffic Survey Committee or by the Police Department, and requesting that no deviation be made from the five feet recommendation of Dr. McClintock.

Read and *referred to Traffic Committee.*

Telegram re Ferry Accident.

I beg to acknowledge receipt of your February 21st communication containing resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. The subject matter thereof is receiving my careful consideration. Thank you for giving me the benefit of the views of the Board of Supervisors as expressed in the resolution mentioned.

SAMUEL M. SHORTRIDGE.

Read and ordered *filed.*

California Club of California Commends Board of Supervisors.

The following was read and *ordered spread in Journal*:

San Francisco, February 8, 1928.

My Dear Mayor Rolph:

The California Club voted at its last meeting to heartily commend the splendid effort you and the Board of Supervisors are making on behalf of the unemployed of our City.

Very sincerely yours,
Mrs. Frank Rowland Ritchie,
Corresponding Secretary.

Auction Sale of Lease of City Property.

The following matter was taken up at 11 a. m.:

Pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision 32 of Section 1, Chapter II, Article II and Section 3, Chapter IV, Article XI, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, a lease of certain real property of the City and County is offered for sale at public auction on the 27th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m. this day, in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Description of Property.

That certain parcel of land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, and situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Bush street, distant thereon twenty-four (24) feet westerly from the northwesterly corner of St. George alley and Bush street, running thence westerly and along said northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet; thence at a right angle northerly and parallel with the westerly line of St. George alley fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches; thence at a right angle easterly and parallel with the northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet, and thence at a right angle southerly fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Fifty Vara Lot No. 94.

Terms and Conditions of Sale.

1. The award of lease shall be made for a period of ten (10) years.

2. The ordinance awarding the lease and the entering into said lease by the Board of Supervisors shall be in accordance with the Charter and be subject to the approval of the Mayor of the City and County.

3. The successful bidder shall be liable for, and shall be obliged to pay the rental offered monthly in advance and the first payment shall be made on the first day of the month next succeeding sixty (60) days after the date upon which the award is made.

4. The lessee shall not assign said lease without the written consent of the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor.

5. The premises leased shall not be used for any immoral or unlawful purposes.

6. The lessee shall pay all taxes and assessments that may be issued or levied against the property leased or against the buildings and improvements erected or to be erected thereon.

7. The successful bidder within ten (10) days after the said lease shall be awarded, shall execute and file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors a bond in the penal sum equal to two years' rental of the premises leased, to secure the performance of the conditions and obligations of such lease, in form satisfactory to the City Attorney and the sureties satisfactory to the Mayor.

8. A failure to pay the monthly rent agreed to be paid or a breach on the part of the lessees of any of the conditions of the lease shall, by reason of such failure or breach, operate as a forfeiture, and the said lease shall thereupon be terminated and the improvements erected thereon shall revert to and become the property of the City and County of San Francisco, and the sureties on the bond shall be liable to the City and County for any damage that may result from such forfeiture.

9. Should the above described premises be required for public use at any time before the termination of the period of the lease, the City shall have the right to cancel the said lease upon the payment of just compensation for improvements thereon, and for such damages suffered by the lessee by reason of such cancellation, the amount thereon to be determined by arbitrators, one to be appointed by the City and County and one by the lessee, and a third to be appointed by the arbitrators so appointed in case of disagreement.

Manner Bidding.

At the time and place herein stated the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors will read this notice, and thereupon the presiding officer of the Board of Supervisors will call for bids for the lease of the property described herein. Any person may offer a bid in writing, sealed, and each bid shall be for the monthly rental to be paid during the term of the lease. Each bid made shall be subject to be raised by any other person, and the bidding shall continue until no increased bid shall be made, and said bid so made in open board will be considered as if made in writing, when the lease shall be struck off and awarded to the highest bidder. The said bidder to whom the award is made shall thereupon deposit with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors the sum of $500 or a certified check made payable to the Clerk of said Board in said amount, as a condition that within ten (10) days after the passage of an ordinance of award he will enter into a written lease of said property in accordance with the terms and conditions stated in this notice; in the event of a failure so to do, such sum or check will be forfeited to and become the property of the City and County. In case any bidder shall fail to make such deposit, his bid shall be then and there rejected and the lease shall be struck off and awarded to the next highest bid, provided that no person desires to increase the same.

The Board of Supervisors at any time prior to the passage of the ordinance of award may reject any bid.

Notice of this sale is given pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 28423 (New Series).

Bid.

A bid was presented by T. Mosco of $100 per month, which was *ordered referred to the Public Buildings Committee*, there being no other bids.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28559 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machinery parts (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $764.

(2) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $607.25.

(3) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $616.58.

(4) California Corrugated Culvert Co., culverts, etc. (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $815.66.

(5) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, parts for rock bin gates (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $685.

(6) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $925.75.

(7) United Commercial Co., completion of spur track at Livermore (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $4,198.50.

(8) Youdall Construction Co., rail, pipe, etc. (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,305.02.

(9) H. B. Squires Co., third and final payment, for furnishing and delivering switch gear and lighting arresters for Seco substation (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $4,060.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(10) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,174.67.

(11) Hancock Bros., printing transfers (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $720.

(12) Standard Steel Works Company, 100 rolled steel car wheels (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $3,825.

(13) R. D. Nuttall Company, gears, pistons, etc., for Municipal Railway cars (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $2,284.89.

(14) Otis Elevator Co., furnishing and installing elevator and doors for stations in Twin Peaks tunnel (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $2,065.

Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund.

(15) Robert W. Jamison, fourth payment, installing electric conductors, etc., for Sunset line (claim dated Feb. 3, 1928), $1,500.

Park Fund.

(16) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for parks (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $538.01.

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59.

(17) Oscar Samuels and J. Samuels, as attorneys for and in behalf of judgment creditors, as per schedule attached, and as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $1,062.22.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(18) J. and P. E. Tonningsen, refund of duplicate payment of first installment, 1927-1928 (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $4,954.25.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(19) John J. Dailey, legal services for month of February, 1928, in matter of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $850.

Special School Tax.

(20) H. Ankers, first payment, painting South Side High School (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $1,537.50.

(21) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for January (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $1,300.

County Road Fund.

(22) E. J. Treacy, first payment, improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue and at Balboa Park (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $5,100.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) A. Lettich, eighth payment, plumbing work for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,710.75.

(24) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electric fixtures for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $3,660.

(25) John Reid, Jr., fifteenth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $920.39.

(26) O. Monson, tenth payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $17,232.49.

(27) John Reid, Jr., fifth payment, architectural service for South Side High School (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $699.40.

Auditorium Fund.

(28) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services for Geniamino Gigli, tenor soloist, Pop Concert of February 6, 1928 (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $3,500.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(29) A. P. Jacobs, rent for premises No. 333 Kearny street, February 3 to March 3, 1928, $1,186.

(30) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing the Law-Motion-Trial Calendar for January (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $1,075.

(31) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded, for January (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $9,365.

(32) California Agencies, Inc., premium on official bond of John H. Thieler, Treasurer, for year ending January 4, 1929 (claim dated Jan. 3, 1928), $2,000.

(33) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for January (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $56,784.93.

(34) Levison Printing Co., printing for Department of Elections (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $668.47.

(35) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $8,186.50.

(36) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $1,114.48.

(37) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $8,576.70.

(38) Dion R. Holm, legal services, month of February, in matter of telephone rates (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $850.

(39) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for February, in matter of telephone rates (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $750.

(40) Dudley B. Perkins, fourteen motorcycles and equipment, for Police Department (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $6,121.25.

(41) E. J. Treacy, final payment, installing safety zone buttons (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $2,173.45.

(42) C. B. Eaton, second payment, installation of auxiliary high-pressure water system from Harrison and Twenty-fifth streets (Butchertown District) (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $6,000.

(43) Stephenson Construction Co., third payment, construction of hangar at Municipal Airport, Contract No. 9 (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $9,000.

(44) Frank J. McHugh, eleventh payment, sewer construction in westerly Sunset District, Section "B" (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $10,500.

(45) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $680.49.

(46) Healy & Donaldson, tobacco for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,455.

(47) James G. Stafford and associates, eleventh payment, on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Feb. 2, 1928), $8,155.80.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(48) Railroad Commission of State of California, for expense of proceedings in valuation of San Francisco electric properties, for month of February, 1928 (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $1,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Resolution No. 28560 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at Pop Concert of February 6, 1928 (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $2,000.00.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(2) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, six crank shafts for shovel section (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $516.

(3) Bodinson Manufacturing Co., Inc., machine parts (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $617.25.

(4) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., battery cells (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $2,477.

(5) Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital), for care of Hetch Hetchy patients for year ending Dec. 31, 1927 (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $595.

(6) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $1,208.10.

(7) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $1,143.84.

(8) Standard Fence Co., fence, posts and gates (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $661.57.

(9) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $3,962.64.

(10) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc., (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $558.98.

(11) The Giant Powder Co., construction explosives (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $530.

(12) The Worthington Co., Inc., one power pump (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $644.

(13) Youdall Construction Co., used rail furnished (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $1,167.83.

(14) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, 13th payment, construction of Aqueduct Tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $21,645.29.

(15) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., 14th payment, construction of Aqueduct Tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $36,283.14.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) American Painting & Decorating Co., second payment for painting Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 11, 1928), $3,388.27.

(17) Pacific Electric Construction Co., sixth payment, electric work for Potola Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 11, 1928), $694.64.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(18) M. Rosenthal, refund of duplicate payment of first installment

of 1927-1928 taxes (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $1,209.46.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(19) Otis Elevator Co., elevator installed at Forest Hill Station, Twin Peaks Tunnel (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928, $8,920.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $1,766.72.

(21) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric parts (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $751.10.

(22) Market Street Railway Co., repairs to tracks of Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $1,208.61.

(23) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished for January (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $38,-884.85.

(24) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Feb. 6, 1928), $2,369.91.

Library Fund.

(25) American Building Maintenance Co., janitorial services for public libraries (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $810.

(26) Foster & Futernick Co., binding of library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,006.60.

(27) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $577.75.

(28) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,719.38.

County Road Fund.

(29) James M. Smith, tenth payment, improvement of Market street extension, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $13,-000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $1,196.35.

(31) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $950.55.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $750.79.

(33) City Coal Co., coal for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $548.70.

(34) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,-620.91.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrants installed, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,980.57.

(36) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 7, 1928), $759.

(37) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $3,126.44.

(38) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $692.49.

(39) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $1,340.

(40) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $538.41.

(41) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $1,840.35.

(42) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $1,178.86.

(43) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $33,609.14.

(44) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $3,899.98.

(45) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $12,743.23.

(46) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 10, 1928), $576.15.

(47) Herbert F. Dugan, chemical and surgical supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,128.69.

(48) McCarthy Bros., coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $535.

(49) Western Meat Co., meat and oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $551.73.

(50) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., room allowance for employees, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,778.50.

(51) Herbert F. Dugan, chemical and surgical supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,160.47.

(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,538.87.

(53) Volunteers of America, emergency supplies furnished under direction of Superintendent of Relief Home, per Resolutions Nos. 28484 and 28486, New Series (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,080.37.

(54) California Meat Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $584.99.

(55) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,042.63.

(56) Del Monte Meat Co., meat,

Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $5,344.91.

(57) J. T. Freitas Co., butter and eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,691.97.

(58) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs and cheese, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,825.53.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,510.91.

(60) Otis Elevator Co., repairs to elevators at Hall of Justice (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $524.

(61) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil furnished to Hall of Justice and Civic Center Power House (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $2,208.48.

(62) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., battery cells for sewer repair (claim dated Feb. 14, 1928), $506.07.

(63) Ajax Construction Co., first payment, furnishing, installing and testing sewage pumping plant at Pine Lake Park (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,020.

(64) T. M. Gallagher, final payment, furnishing and erecting street signs (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $5,137.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Reconstruction of Certain Streets.

Resolution No. 28561 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) Broderick street, Ellis to O'Farrell streets, $2,970.

(2) Broderick street, Vallejo to Green streets, $3,600.

(3) Clement street, First to Fifth avenues, $5,760.

(4) Drumm street, Jackson to Pacific street, $4,500.

(5) Fillmore street, Sutter to Fulton streets, $9,000.

(6) Front street, Pacific street to Broadway, $3,600.

(7) Fulton street, First avenue to Stanyan street, $3,150.

(8) Hermann street, Laguna to Buchanan streets, $1,800.

(9) Jackson street, Montgomery to Sansome streets, $3,150.

(10) Lyon street, Washington to Jackson streets, $3,600.

(11) Mission street, Fourteenth to Army streets (resurfacing), $9,000.

(12) Oak street, Divisadero to Baker streets, $7,200.

(13) Page street, Scott to Divisadero streets, $3,600.

(14) Sacramento street, Hyde to Jones streets, $8,100.

(15) Steuart street, Market to Mission streets, $3,420.

(16) Scotland street, Columbus avenue to Green street, $2,600.

Total, $75,050.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Appropriation, $750, Construction of Six-Inch Pipe Line, Laguna Honda Home.

Resolution No. 28562 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $750 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, for the construction of a six-inch pipe line between the pump line and lower tank at the Laguna Honda Home, to utilize water from well.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Appropriations, Land for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 28563 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) George B. Walcott and Lavina Walcott, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28442, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,597.

(2) Vessie Romaine Brooks, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28443, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $4,500.

(3) Minnie R. Day, for property as per acceptance of offer by Reso-

lution No. 28444, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $9,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Appropriation, Lands, Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 28564 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Louis Depaoli, for property required for the Alemany boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28447, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $12,000.

(2) Josephine T. Depaoli, for property required for the Alemany boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28447, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,000.

(3) Guiseppe Arata, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28446, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,330.75.

(4) Daniele Bertucelli, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,136.

(5) Narciso Bertucelli, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,185.

(6) Angela Delucchi, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,025.

(7) Anders O. Nylund, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $400.

(8) Evige K. Ferrando, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,909.

(9) Antonio Compana, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28445, New Series (claim dated Feb. 8, 1928), $1,177.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Appropriation, $1,000, Shelving, etc., Police Department, Hall of Justice.

Resolution No. 28565 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 55, for the furnishing and installing of shelving, bookcases and pigeon hole cases in general office of the Police Department, Hall of Justice.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Appropriation, Widening San Jose Avenue.

Resolution No. 28566 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the widening and improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue and between Havelock street and Ottawa avenue, including inspection and possible extras (E. J. Treacy contract), $25,000.

(2) For the improvement of the southerly one-half of Judson avenue between Foerster street and Phelan avenue, including inspection and possible extras (A. G. Raisch contract), $4,000.

(3) For the improvement of the easterly one-half of Orizaba avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues, $2,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy Todd—3.

Amending Set-back Line on Thirtieth Avenue North of Kirkham Street.

Bill No. 8420, Ordinance No. 7928 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6492 (New Series), approved January 28, 1925 entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-second avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirtieth avenue."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6492 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along Thirtieth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Along both sides of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Amending Set-back Line on Twenty-ninth Avenue North of Kirkham Street.

Bill No. 8421, Ordinance No. 7929 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6491 (New Series), approved January 28, 1925, entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-ninth avenue, Twenty-eighth avenue, Twenty-seventh avenue, Avila street and Thirty-fifth avenue."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6491 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along Twenty-ninth avenue, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Carolina, Nineteenth and Wisconsin Streets.

Bill No. 8422, Ordinance No. 7930 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the property bounded on the west by Carolina street, on the north by Nineteenth street, on the east by Wisconsin street, and on the south by a line running between Carolina street and Wisconsin street 137½ feet northerly from and parallel to the northerly line of Twentieth street, in the Light Industrial instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Cambridge Street, Thirteenth and Forty-seventh Avenues.

Bill No. 8439, Ordinance No. 7931 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Cambridge street, Thirtieth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 16th day of January, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 171, to establish set-back lines along portions of Cambridge street, Thirtieth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue, and fixed the 20th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Cambridge street between Pioche street and Silliman street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at Irving street, and running thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Quintara street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue between Rivera street and Quintara street, said setback line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth Avenues.

Bill No. 8440, Ordinance No. 7932 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-eighth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 16th day of January, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 172, to establish set-back lines along portions of Thirty-eighth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, and fixed the 20th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street

and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Sloat Boulevard.

Bill No. 8441, Ordinance No. 7933 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sloat boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 16th day of January, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 173, to establish set-back lines along portions of Sloat boulevard, and fixed the 20th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard, commencing at Thirty-ninth avenue and running thence westerly 484 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Constanso way and Thirty-fourth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirty-fifth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Vale avenue and Paraiso place, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Paraiso place and Crestlake drive, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Sloat boulevard between Crestlake drive and Nineteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Seventeenth, Eighteenth and Thirty-third Avenues.

Bill No. 8442, Ordinance No. 7934 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth avenue, Eighteenth avenue and Thirty-third avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 16th day of January, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 174, to establish set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth avenue, Eighteenth avenue and Thirty-third avenue, and fixed the 20th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly to Noriega street, said set-back line to be 10½ feet; along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5½ feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 2/3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 80 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 20 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over until March* 5, 1928:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

Bill No. 8438, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 28567 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis R. Anderson, north side of Chestnut street, 112 feet 6 inches

west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Atlas Heating and Ventilating Co., 557 Fourth street, 750 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Birch street, 100 feet west of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west side of Fillmore street, 200 feet south of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. J. Gardner, south side of Hermann street, 160 feet east of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. M. Kent, 1215 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., east side of Sanfranbank avenue, 200 feet north of Ocean avenue, 500 gallons capacity.

Magnuson & Peterson, north side of Lombard street, 175 feet west of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. Maneggie, north side of Green street, 100 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, 1350 Monterey boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28568 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Elite Garage (L. Hiestand and J. Rieschel) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 460 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Resolution No. 28569 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charlotte M. Flood be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to her public garage permit heretofore granted Chas. Schwarz by Resolution No. 26114 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Sixth and Folsom streets (1001 Folsom street).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Furnace Permit.

Resolution No. 28570 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. J. Wertheimer be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a furnace for melting brass at 56 Sheridan street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 28571 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on the west side of Pope street, corner of Brunswick street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor, the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Lease of Pulgas Tunnel Land to "Bulletin."

Bill No. 8418, Ordinance No. 7935 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the lease of certain land situated in San Mateo County to The Bulletin Publishing Co., and authorizing the Mayor to execute such lease.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that, pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 28238 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, notice was given as required by the Charter that a lease of the real property of the City, situate in San Mateo County, would be offered for for sale at public auction on the 30th day of January, 1928, at 3 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, and that at said time and place said auction sale was had in the manner stated in said notice and in accordance with the provisions of said Charter, and upon said date The Bulletin Publishing Co. was the highest bidder at said sale, and the lease of said property was struck off and awarded to it.

Section 2. A lease for the term of three years from the expiration of sixty days from the taking effect of this ordinance of that certain parcel of land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, and situate in the County of San Mateo, State of California, described as follows:

Beginning at Engineer Station "PD" 1043+42.22 of the center line survey of the existing Hetch Hetchy aqueduct 60-inch pipe line, thence running south 45 deg. west 390 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly side line of Pulgas road; thence northwesterly, northerly, easterly and southeasterly along the side line of Pulgas road, following the curvature thereof, to a point which bears north 45 deg. east 60 feet, more or less, from said Engineer Station; thence south 45 deg. west 60 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Containing 5.8 acres, more or less, is hereby awarded to The Bulletin Publishing Co. for the annual rental of one (1) dollar, that being the highest and best bid therefor, and the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to enter into a contract of lease with said Bulletin Publishing Co. in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in said notice of sale and pursuant to the provisions of the City and County.

The City and County shall reserve the right and authority to reenter the said premises, without process of condemnation, in the event of the City and County being authorized at any future time by law to sell or utilize the said property before the expiration of the lease, and upon payment to said The Bulletin Publishing Co. of a reasonable value by the City and County to equitably compensate said lessee for any damage or expense entailed through and by said cancellation of said lease.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Appropriation, $12,500, Satisfaction of Judgment, Stella Delucchi, School Lands.

Resolution No. 28572 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of twelve thousand five hundred ($12,500) dollars be and the same is authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the School Land Fund, and payable to Stella Delucchi, and Stella Delucchi, as guardian of the estates Erma Delucchi and Esther Delucchi, minors, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, v. Stella Delucchi, and Erma Delucchi, a minor, and Esther Delucchi, a minor, by Stella Delucchi, as guardian of the estates of said minors, defendants, and numbered 185149 of the records thereof, in eminent domain for the acquisition of lands for school purposes; be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Appropriation, $11,500, Payment to Charles Wolf, Jr., Satisfaction of Judgment, School Land.

Resolution No. 28573 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of eleven thousand five hundred ($11,500) dollars be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and to be payable as hereinafter mentioned, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that action entitled City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, v. Charles Wolf, Jr., and Edna W. Wolf, his wife, Bank of Italy National Trust and Savings Association, defendants, and numbered 173383 of the records thereof, in eminent domain for the acquisition of land for school purposes. That said sum of $11,500, under the terms and provisions of said judgment, to be payable to County Clerk, and ex-officio Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, for the benefit of said Charles Wolf, Jr., and Edna W. Wolf, subject to the lien of Bank of Italy National Trust and Savings Association in the sum of $3,500, with interest thereon at 6 per cent per annum from January 29, 1928; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Granting Franchise to Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company.

Bill No. 8419, Ordinance No. 7936 (New Series), as follows:

Granting a franchise to Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, a corporation, and its successors and assigns, to erect, maintain, operate and take tolls on a public ferry between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and a point in the County of Marin, State of California, generally known and designated as Point San Quentin, across the Bay of San Francisco, a navigable body of water dividing the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Marin, and fixing the amount of the penal bond and license tax, the rate of tolls to be collected and the term of said franchise.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, a corporation, having on the 30th day of January, 1928, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m. of said day, presented to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, its application in writing under oath praying for authority to erect, maintain, operate and take tolls on a public ferry across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and a point in the County of Marin, State of California, generally known and designated as Point San Quentin, and said application having come on regularly for hearing on said day before the Board of Supervisors and said hearing having been duly and regularly continued from time to time to ....., the ..... day of ....., 1928, at the hour of ..... o'clock ... m. of said day, and it having been made to appear by the necessary affidavits presented to said Board and filed in the office of the Clerk thereof, that Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company has given notice of its intention to apply to said Board of Supervisors, on the 30th day of January, 1928, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m. of said day, for authority to erect, maintain, operate and take tolls upon a public ferry as hereinbefore stated, and that said notice has been given in the manner and form and for the time required by law; and it further appearing that said notice was served more than ten (10) days prior to said 30th day of January, 1928, upon all the owners (other than said Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company) of the lands upon which Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company expects to locate its landings, which said lands are hereinafter more particularly described; and

Section 2. It further appearing that Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company has served notice of its intention to apply to said Board of Supervisors as aforesaid, more than ten (10) days prior to said 30th day of January, 1928, upon the proprietors of all ferries already established within one mile above or below the said public ferry, authority for which was applied for as aforesaid, and that no toll-bridge is already established within one mile above or below said public ferry; and

Section 3. It further appearing that Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company has in all things complied with the law in making application for said ferry franchise and in giving notice of said application; and

Section 4. It further appearing that said ferry is a public necessity and convenience and for the best interest of said City and County of San Francisco and County of Marin and the traveling public; and that the situation of said City and County of San Francisco, of the City of San Rafael and of the town or village of San Quentin in said County of Marin, the crossing of a public highway, and the intersection of creeks and ravines render it necessary for public convenience that such ferry be established; and

Section 5. It further appearing that Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company is a proper and suitable person or corporation to which to grant such franchise and authority; and that said Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company is the owner of land on the Point San Quentin side or bank of the waters to be crossed, including the landing of said ferry at said Point San Quentin in the County of Marin, State of California, hereinafter described, and that said land owned by said Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the County of Marin, State of California, particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the southeast corner of that certain tract of land which was conveyed by David Porter to Wm. T. Coleman by a deed, recorded on May 29th, 1871, in Liber "J" of Deeds, at page 99, of Marin County Records, said point of beginning being on the northerly boundary line of the State Prison Tract, running thence along said northerly boundary line south 43 deg. 45 min. east .093 chains, south 75 deg. 15 min. east 9.60 chains, north 87 deg. 30 min. east 13.45 chains to the northeast corner of said State Prison Tract, thence along the easterly boundary line of said last-mentioned tract, south 6 deg. 30 min. east 10.50 chains to a pile of rocks on the shore line of San Francisco Bay, thence along the shore line of San Francisco Bay at mean high tide, commencing in a generally easterly direction and following said shore line to the border of the salt marsh at the post marked T. S. 775, as follows: north 73 deg. 15 min. east 0.90 chains, south 69 deg. 45 min. east 3.30 chains, south 35 deg. 15 min. east 1.50 chains, south 55 deg. 15 min. east 1.40 chains, south 79 deg. 30 min. east 0.60 chains, north 51 deg. 30 min. east 0.90 chains, north 15 deg. 45 min. west 3.40 chains, north 35 deg. east 4.60 chains, north 72 deg. 30 min. east 3.00 chains, north 45 deg. 15 min. east 5.50 chains, north 81 deg. 45 min. east 1.30 chains to Point San Quentin, north 1 deg. 45 min. west 2.00 chains, north 32 deg. 45 min. west 2.80 chains, north 4 deg. 15 min. east 2.70 chains, north 38 deg. 15 min. west 1.70 chains, north 12 deg. 15 min. west 1.50 chains, north 28 deg. east 1.20 chains, north 20 deg. west 2.10 chains, south 75 deg. 15 min. west 2.30 chains, south 88 deg. 45 min. west 1.60 chains, north 59 deg. 45 min. west 3.60 chains, north 69 deg. 30 min. west 5.00 chains, north 3 deg. 30 min. west 1.00 chain, south 75 deg. west 2.90 chains, south 39 deg. 15 min. west 2.90 chains to said border of the salt marsh at said post marked T. S. 775; thence from said border of the salt marsh at said post marked T. S. 775, and along the inner line of the salt marsh south 43 deg. 45 min. west 3.00 chains, south 74 deg. 30 min. west 9.30 chains, north 61 deg. 15 min. west 5.00 chains, north 46 deg. 45 min. west 0.20 chains to a post marked "C. 32" at the most easterly corner of the tract of land conveyed by David Porter to Wm. T. Coleman and first hereinabove mentioned; running thence along the easterly boundary line of said last-mentioned tract south 39 deg. 15 min. west 10.68 chains to the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting from the above-described property the following:

Exception No. 1: All that portion of Rancho Punta de Quentin, in the County of Marin, State of California, described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of said Rancho Punta de Quentin and the southerly line of the road or causeway to Agnes Island (at Point San Quentin), as said road or causeway is shown on "Map No. 8 of Salt Marsh and Tide Lands situate in the County of Marin, State of California," filed in the office of the Surveyor-General of the State of California, running thence south 1 deg. 45 min. east 118 feet; thence south 81 deg. 45 min. west 85.8 feet, more or less, to the point of intersection of the easterly boundary line of said Rancho Punta de Quentin with a due east and west line

passing twenty (20) feet southerly from the southermost part of a structure located adjacent to said road or causeway to Agnes Island and known as the "Old Mill"; thence proceeding along said east and west line to the intersection thereof with the easterly boundary line of the County Road leading from the State Prison grounds to the said road or causeway to Agnes Island; thence proceeding along the said easterly boundary line of said County Road in a northeasterly direction to the intersection thereof with the southerly boundary line of the said road or causeway to Agnes Island; thence proceeding along said last-mentioned line to the point of beginning.

Exception No. 2: All portions of said above-described property heretofore conveyed by E. A. Walcott and/or G. P. Kelsey, or their predecessors in interest, to persons other than said E. A. Wolcott, G. P. Kelsey or any of their predecessors in interest, as the conveyances thereof appear of record in the office of the County Recorder of Marin County, State of California, being certain parcels of land lying south of San Quentin Terrace, as shown on the map of Point San Quentin filed October 26th, 1897, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, at page 99, in the office of said County Recorder, and lying west of the westerly line (and the southerly extension thereof) of the land conveyed by H. C. Christofferson and wife to Cache D'oro Live Stock Co. by deed, recorded in Book 211 of Deeds, at page 283.

Exception No. 3: All roads, avenues and lanes heretofore dedicated to public use.

and it further appearing that all of the other owners of land at either landing of said ferry and on either side or bank of the waters to be crossed have failed and neglected, within a reasonable time after the necessity therefor arose, or at all, to apply to this Board of Supervisors for the authority herein granted, or for any authority to erect, maintain, operate or take tolls on a public ferry between the City and County of San Francisco and said Point San Quentin, and it further appearing that Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company is, by reason of its said ownership of land as aforesaid, entitled to preference in procuring the authority herein granted, and is, by reason of said ownership and the failure of the other owners to apply as aforesaid, a suitable person to whom to grant this authority and franchise, and is, by reason of said ownership and said failure of other owners thereof to apply as aforesaid, entitled to the authority herein granted; and

Section 6. It further appearing that no toll-bridge or ferry has been established within one mile immediately above or below said ferry, authority for which was applied for, as aforesaid, within the meaning of Section 2853 of the Political Code of the State of California, and that no franchise or authority therefor has been granted by this Board;

Section 7. Now, therefore, this Board of Supervisors does hereby grant to Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, a corporation, and its successors and assigns, for a period of fifty years from and after the date of the final passage of this ordinance, the franchise and authority to erect, maintain, operate and take tolls on a public ferry across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and a point in the County of Marin, State of California, generally known and designated as Point San Quentin, with ferry landings or terminals located in said City and County of San Francisco and Point San Quentin on the following described real property:

San Francisco Landing.

The landing of said ferry in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, is located on the waterfront adjoining the Embarcadero at the foot of Clay street, and near the northerly end of the Ferry Building, in the said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, the pier and slip now known and designated as Slip A, and/or such pier and/or slip at said point as may hereafter be constructed and used for said ferry landing.

Point San Quentin Landing.

The landing of said ferry at Point San Quentin is located on the following described land on said Point San Quentin in the County of Marin, State of California, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of the Rancho Punta de Quentin (being the shore line of San Francisco Bay at mean high tide) with a due east and west line passing twenty (20) feet southerly from the southernmost part of a structure known as the "Old Mill" located adjacent to the road or causeway to Agnes Island (at Point San Quentin) as said road or causeway is shown on "Map No. 8 of Salt Marsh and Tide Lands situate in the County of Marin, State of California," filed

in the office of the Surveyor-General of the State of California; thence proceeding along said easterly line of said Rancho (or said shore line of San Francisco Bay) to the intersection thereof with a due east and west line passing two hundred (200) feet southerly from the southernmost part of said structure known as the "Old Mill"; thence proceeding west along said last-mentioned line to a point thereon five (5) feet due west from the intersection thereof with the westerly boundary line of the County Road running from the State Prison grounds to said road or causeway to Agnes Island; thence proceeding in a generally northeasterly direction, along a line parallel to said westerly boundary line of said County Road above mentioned, to the intersection of said parallel line with said due east and west line passing twenty (20) feet southerly from the southernmost part of said structure known as the "Old Mill"; thence along said last-mentioned line to the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting from the above-described property the following:

(1) Any portion thereof lying within the boundaries of said County Road; and

(2) Any portion thereof lying to the west of both said County Road and the easterly line (and the southern extension thereof) of the land conveyed by H. C. Christofferson and wife to Cache D'oro Live Stock Co., by deed recorded in Book 211 of Deeds, at page 283, in the office of the County Recorder of said County of Marin.

(3) Any portion thereof lying within the boundaries of the property conveyed by James C. Pennie to Annie M. Le Cante by deed dated April 4, 1908, and recorded in Book 114 of Deeds at page 101 of Marin County records.

Commencing at said landing Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, its successors and assigns may, in the manner provided by law, build a pier running in a generally easterly or southeasterly direction across the lands of said Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company and across the lands of North Bay Realty and Development Company, a corporation, and of the State of California, and may use said pier for a terminal for its ferry boats.

Section 8. The amount of the penal bond to be given by Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, or its successors or assigns, as required by subdivision (1) of Section 2845 of the Political Code of the State of California, is hereby fixed at the sum of ten thousand dollars, which said bond shall be given and renewed annually for said sum.

Section 9. The amount of the license tax to be paid by Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company, or its successors or assigns, for taking tolls on said ferry is hereby fixed at the sum of one hundred dollars per month, payable annually.

Section 10. The rates of tolls which may be collected for crossing said ferry, *which tools are subject to adjustment by the Railroad Commission of the State of California at any time during the life of this franchise*, and the rules and regulations for the operation of said ferry are hereby fixed as follows:

RATES FOR ANIMALS, TEAMS AND VEHICLES HANDLED BY PASSENGERS, ALSO FREIGHT TRANSPORTED ON VEHICLES.

Automobiles.

Automobiles .. ..$	.70
Ambulances	1.00
Hearses (with or without casket)	1.00
Automobile passenger busses.	1.00

Automobile Commutation Fares.

Automobile (passenger car and driver), sixty (60) trips per calendar month..$	25.00
Commercial or delivery automobile and motor trucks, with driver, sixty (60) trips per calendar month.....	30.00
Automobile passenger busses, with driver, sixty (60) trips per calendar month.....	22.50

Commercial Vehicles and Trucks.

Commercial or delivery automobiles and motor trucks, regardless of size.....$	.90
Ditchers, harvesters, steam rollers, tractors and all similar conveyances, machines and vehicles not otherwise specified, per ton of 2000 lbs.	2.00

Freight.

Freight of all kinds on vehicles, per 100 lbs. (minimum charge 20c), see Note 1 .....*$	.07½

Note 1. If charge based on 6000 lbs. at 5 cents per 100 lbs. makes less than charge at actual weight at 7½ cents per 100 lbs., the 5-cent rate will apply subject to minimum charge of $3.00.

Freight of all kinds on vehicles, per 100 lbs. (minimum 6000 lbs.) .....*	.05

*Includes return of empty carriers used in transportation of property and return to shipper when Golden Gate San Rafael Ferry Company receives original haul.

Horses and Wagons.

One horse and wagon or cart	$ 1.50
Two horses and wagon.....	2.00
Two horses and dray.....	2.25

Livestock.

One horse or mule, if accompanied by attendant (attendant carried free) .....$	.75
Each additional horse or mule	.50

Motorcycles.

Motorcycles .....$	.30
Motorcycles with side car....	.50

Trailers.

Two-wheel trailers attached to automobile.....$	.50
Four-wheel trailers attached to automobile.....	.65
Four-wheel trailers attached to trucks	.85

RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Rule 1. All baggage, freight, goods and merchandise charged for at freight rates (except personal handbags carried by passengers), shipper to give satisfactory proof of weight.

Rule 2. Rates on commercial or delivery vehicles or motor trucks apply only on stated sizes or capacity. The rates on motor trucks of a size or capacity not specifically provided will be the same rate as charged for motor trucks of the next higher size or capacity. Motor busses of a passenger capacity not specified apply rate of next higher classification on motor busses.

Rule 3. Livestock when uncrated will not be carried on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays. When crated and on conveyances will be carried on all trips and charged as general freight.

Rule 4. Shipper to pay all war or other taxes now in force or hereafter to be levied in addition to the regular rate.

Rule 5. The company reserves the right to refuse any and all freight or vehicles of excessive size or weight or which will jeopardize the safe passage of the boats or which it is not equipped to handle.

Rule 6. Articles not taken: Benzine, camphene, coal oil, crude or refined petroleum, loose cotton, hay or hemp, loose rags, naphtha, nitric or sulphuric acid, nitro-glycerine or any other articles of highly combustible or inflammable nature (except gasoline in tanks of automobiles in transit), when prohibited by law.

Rule 7. Flag poles, telegraph poles, ladders and all other articles of a similar nature as to length, will be charged for at the rate of 10 cents per lineal foot for every foot over twenty. No such articles will be carried on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays.

Rule 8. Commutation rates and /or fares, except as otherwise provided, are good only for passage of original purchaser. The purchaser must sign his or her name in proper place on the contract. Monthly commutation rates and/or fares, except as otherwise provided, will include one round-trip daily for the calendar month for which sold.

Rule 9. Any freight requiring the turning of the boat either to load or unload, additional charge for each turning of the boat, $10.

ABSORPTIONS.

Golden Gate San Rafael Ferry Company will absorb State toll not to exceed 5 cents per ton or 2000 pounds on shipments moved over ferry slips at San Francisco when Golden Gate-San Rafael Ferry Company receives haul from San Francisco to Point San Quentin or vice versa.

PASSENGER FARES.

Passengers in Vehicles.

One way, adult .....$	.15
Children, 7 years and under 12	.10

Children under 7 years of age, when accompanied by parent or guardian, free.

Passengers Not in Vehicles.

One way, adult .....$	.25
Round trip	.40
Children, 7 years and under 12	.15

Children under 7 years of age, when accompanied by parent or guardian, free.

Commutation Tickets.

Individual calendar month commutation fare, good daily, including Sunday....$	4.50

RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Rule 1. Commutation tickets not otherwise specified are good for passage for original purchase only. Purchaser must sign his or her name in proper place on the back, which is considered a contract.

Rule 2. Tickets of this company's issue will be redeemed to the original purchaser at fare paid

when no portion of the trip has been made and at the difference between the fare paid and the published tariff between the terminals if round trip was not completed.

Rule 3. Baggage will not be checked.

Rule 4. Children under 7 years of age, when accompanied by parent, guardian or other adult person, will be carried free.

Rule 5. Children between 7 and 12 years of age, inclusively, will be carried for $0.10.

Section 11. The term for the operation of said ferry is hereby fixed at fifty (50) years from and after the date of the final passage of this ordinance. Provided, however, that if the owner of this franchise shall not have vessels in actual operation between the terminals herein described within eighteen (18) months from the date of the passage of this ordinance, this franchise may de declared forfeited by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon such declaration shall absolutely cease and determine.

Section 12. This franchise shall not be assigned, nor shall the same be assignable by operation of law or otherwise, without the consent of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt. Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7866 (New Series), Willard Street.

Bill No. 8423, Ordinance No. 7937 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7866 (New Series), ordering the improvement of west half of Willard street between McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue, by the construction of sidewalks where not already done.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7866 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Willard street between McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue by the construction of sidewalks, where not already done, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt. Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8424, Ordinance No. 7938 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Stanyan street between the northerly line of McAllister street produced easterly and the southerly line of Fulton street; on McAllister street between the easterly line of Stanyan street produced northerly and a line parallel with Parsons street and 67.50 feet easterly therefrom, and on Fulton street at Stanyan street.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Bill No. 8425, Ordinance No. 7939 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and a line parallel with and 100 feet westerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 18th day of November, 1927, by Resolution No. 28058 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and a line parallel with and 100 feet westerly therefrom; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notice of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Woolsey Street.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Charter Oak avenue westerly line, 40.35 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Charter Oak avenue westerly line, 39.97 feet.

100 feet westerly from Charter Oak avenue, 45.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and a line parallel with and 100 feet westerly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Bill No. 8426, Ordinance No. 7940 (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Vallejo street between Kearny and Montgomery streets."

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Bill No. 8427, Ordinance No. 7941 (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Persia avenue between the easterly line of Dublin street and the easterly line of Prague street, and on Dublin street between lines parallel with Persia avenue and respectively 118.01 feet northerly therefrom and 300 feet southerly therefrom."

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Bill No. 8428, Ordinance No. 7942 (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Roanoke street between Bemis and Laidley streets."

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8429, Ordinance No. 7943 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of the crossing of La Salle avenue and Mendell street to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same, and repealing Ordinance No. 7905 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of La Salle avenue and Mendell street by resetting the granite curb; by constructing granite curb, where necessary; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by resetting the existing catchbasins; by the construction of 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7905 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Bill No. 8430, Ordinance No. 7944 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Rodeo avenue between the existing pavement at the intersection of Arleta avenue and San Bruno avenue and a line at right angles with the westerly line of Rodeo avenue at its intersection with the northerly line of Teddy avenue, including that portion of the intersection of Teddy avenue that lies between the property lines of San Bruno avenue and excepting that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned intersection; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the intersection of Rodeo avenue and Teddy avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Bill No. 8431, Ordinance No. 7945 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of in-

terest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Huron avenue and Whipple avenue by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Bill No. 8432, Ordinance No. 7946 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Roanoke street between Chenery street and Laidley street, where not already so improved, by the construction of granite curbs; by the construction of a side sewer; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of basalt block pavement adjacent to the center line of the roadway, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Bill No. 8433, Ordinance No. 7947 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the

said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Brussels street between Olmstead street and Mansell street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall taken effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Bill No. 8434, Ordinance No. 7948 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Nineteenth street between Arkansas street and Wisconsin street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Bill No. 8435, Ordinance No. 7949 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid

is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Nineteenth street between Carolina street and De Haro street, including the crossing of Nineteenth street and De Haro street; and the improvement of De Haro street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, where not already so improved; by the construction of side-sewers; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the reconstruction of concrete curbs on the crossing of Nineteenth and De Haro streets; by resetting and reconstructing the existing catchbasins on the crossing of Nineteenth and De Haro streets; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the crossing of Nineteenth and De Haro streets; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadways of the crossing of Nineteenth and De Haro streets, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Bill No. 8436, Ordinance No. 7950 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Sussex street between Diamond and Castro streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordanince shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7714 (New Series), Farragut Avenue.

Bill No. 8437, Ordinance No. 7951 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7714 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the northeasterly one-half of Farragut avenue between Huron avenue and a line parallel with and 404 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7714 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the northeasterly one-half of Farragut avenue between Huron avenue and a line parallel with and 404 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue be and the same is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Board of Public Works to Construct Sewers in Golden Gate Heights District.

Bill No. 8445, Ordinance No. 7952 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco to construct sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District, and repealing Ordinance No. 7914 (New Series).

Whereas, the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, by resolution duly passed, has recommended the passage of an ordinance authorizing the said Board of Public Works to construct sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District; and

Whereas, said construction is of great public necessity; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and empowered to immediately construct sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District.

Section 2. That the construction of said sewers is necessary to carry out the general system of sewers for the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. That the said construction of sewers is of great public necessity.

Section 4. That the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and empowered to take such steps and perform such duties as in their judgment may be necessary to expedite the completion of said sewers.

Section 5. Ordinance No. 7914 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Board of Public Works to Prepare Plans, Traffic Lane Markers.

Bill No. 8444, Ordinance No. 7953 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and requesting the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications, and to advertise for bids for installing 60,000 traffic lane markers for use throughout the city.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and requested to prepare plans and specifications, and to advertise for bids for installing throughout the city 60,000 traffic lane markers.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over until Monday, March* 5, 1928:

Board of Public Works to Prepare Plans, Etc., Wiring 500 Carter Arterial Signs.

Bill No. 8443, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and requesting the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications, and to advertise for bids for wiring 500 Carter arterial stop signs throughout the city.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and requested to prepare plans and specifications, and to advertise for bids for wiring 500 Carter arterial stop signs throughout the city.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $63,076.08, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following

amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $1,031.87.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $844.37.

(3) Scott Company, final payment, heating system for Kezar Stadium (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $1,821.50.

(4) The Wright Corporation, final payment, dredging and pile driving, Yacht Harbor (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $8,438.10.

Playground Fund.

(5) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick coupe and one Buick sedan, less allowances, for Playground Commission (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,875.

(6) Standard Fence Co., installation of fences at playgrounds (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $3,477.

(7) Spalding & Bros., recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $515.96.

Tax Judgments.

(8) Goldman, Nye & Spicer, attorneys for judgment creditors, fifth payment, one-tenth final judgment and interest, as approved by City Attorney (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,235.88.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(9) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $525.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(10) Pelton Water Wheel Co., water pump parts (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $818.

(11) Robert A. Smith, Inc., one Chevrolet roadster (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $644.50.

(12) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance for Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $555.23.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(For account of Hetch Hetchy Water Construction.)

(13) The Giant Powder Co., construction explosives (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $811.13.

(14) Hales & Symons, Inc., mining wedges (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $758.55.

(15) Independent Lumber Co., cement, etc. (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $976.80.

(16) Kaiser Paving Co., machine work, parts and repairs (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $506.58.

(17) O. Rock, hauling gravel, etc. (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,434.37.

(18) Shell Company of California, gasoline and oils (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $527.69.

(19) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat and foodstuffs (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,545.84.

(20) The Giant Powder Co., construction explosives (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $5,823.97.

(21) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, partial payment, four double drum hoists and parts (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $7,326.26.

(22) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machinery parts (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $587.93.

(23) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $1,051.20.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(24) N. Clark & Sons, tiling of roofs, Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $1,800.

(25) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, reserve for depreciation, per Charter (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $14,583.

(26) R. A. Hanna, special disbursing agent, Yosemite National Park, tenth annual payment, required under provisions of Act of December, 1913, known as the Hetch Hetchy Grant (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $15,000.

Park Fund.

(27) Piombo Bros., loam for park (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $188.40.

(28) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $896.19.

(29) Pacific Manure and Fertilizer Co., fertilizer for parks (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $595.60.

Special School Tax.

(30) George H. Tay Co., plumbing fixtures for schools (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $1,328.65.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(31) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Feb. 16, 1928), $1,564.86.

(32) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated Feb. 16, 1928), $3,426.77.

(33) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway

employees (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $7,529.47.

Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund.

(34) St. Louis Car Co., fifth payment, furnishing street car bodies (claim dated Feb. 18, 1928), $38,648.50.

County Road Fund.

(35) Frank J. McHugh, improvement of Thirty-second avenue between Anza and Balboa streets, by the construction of curbs, etc. (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $3,997.50.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1928.

(36) Monson Bros., extra work, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $909.20.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(37) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas service, County Jails (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $530.81.

(38) Phillips Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,018.53.

(39) Del Monte Meat Co., meat, County Jails (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,884.79.

(40) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for bridle path expenditures (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $1,476.93.

(41) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for Civic Center beautification (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $500.92.

(42) Monson Bros., final payment, repairs to Palace of Fine Arts, first contract (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $12,100.

(43) Monson Bros., second payment, second contract for repairs to Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $13,516.50.

(44) Punnett, Parez & Hutchison, engineering services for December, in connection with Yacht Harbor construction (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $574.61.

(45) The Wright Corporation, extra work on Yacht Harbor, pile driving (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $647.03.

(46) The Wright Corporation, partial payment, for dredging and pile driving at the Yacht Harbor (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $6,416.06.

(47) The Wright Corporation, final payment, for dredging and pile driving at the Yacht Harbor (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $9,325.59.

(48) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $758.64.

(49) A. F. Heuer, printing Auditor's annual report (claim dated Feb. 27, 1928), $795.

(50) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Feb. 27, 1928), $1,216.62.

(51) Frank J. McHugh, twelfth payment, construction of Section 3, Sunset sewers (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $35,000.

(52) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Department of Public Health (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $1,345.25.

(53) Louis Straus, men's suits for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $675.

(54) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruits and vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $549.60.

(55) E. R. Squibb & Sons, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $510.65.

(56) Associated Charities, supplies, etc., furnished for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $5,000.

(57) Volunteers of America, supplies, etc., furnished for account, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $1,042.24.

(58) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Park street between Leese and Mission streets (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $1,800.

(59) James G. Stafford and associates, twelfth payment, appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $9,139.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter named funds, and authorized in payment to the following named persons, to-wit:

Municipal Railway (Old) Operation Fund.

(1) Eleonora L. Elvin, payment for land required for right of way for Municipal Railways; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28522, New Series (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $5,000.

Bernal Cut Bond Fund.

(2) Mary R. Hyde, for property required for Bernal Cut opening, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28518, New Series (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $3,300.

(3) Agnes E. Erickson, for property required for Bernal Cut opening; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28520, New Series (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $4,150.

(4) John Peerson and Caroline Peerson, for property required for

Bernal Cut opening; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28520, New Series (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $5,700.

Boulevard Bonds, 1927.

(5) F. R. Webb, property required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28521, New Series (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $944.

(6) Stefano Gazzola, for property required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28521, New Series (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $2,206.

(7) Frank Uschmann, for property required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28521, New Series (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $1,125.

(8) Pauline Des Roches, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28521, New Series (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $1,246.

Appropriations, Setting Back Property Line, Corporation Yard, Treat Avenue, Etc.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28574 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For defraying cost of setting back to property line the fence of the Corporation Yard of the Board of Public Works, in connection with the improvement of Treat avenue and of Division street between Bryant and Harrison streets, $290.

(2) For rebuilding brick and terra cotta pier located on Potrero avenue near Twenty-third street, at the San Francisco Hospital, $133.

(3) For taking out granite base and bumper and resetting same; rebuilding pier of brick and resetting granite cap, and resetting pier at entrance adjoining on Twenty-first street, at the Emergency Hospital, $222.

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 38.

(4) For expense in connection with litigation, Heyman v. The City and County, for alleged water damage due to overflow of Islais Creek, $56.50.

County Road Fund.

(5) For payment of judgment and expense in Superior Court action, Mord vs. The City and County, in connection with the improvement of Thirtieth and Castro streets, $200.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

City Attorney to Settle for $250, Litigation, Newell v. City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28575 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby authorized to settle for the sum of $250 that certain Superior Court Action No. 186484, Newell v. The City and County of San Francisco, and which said action involves possession of a parcel of real property now traversed by Evans avenue and required by the City and County; and

Further Resolved, That the sum of $250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the County Road Fund and authorized in payment to said Newell in full settlement and satisfaction of said action hereinabove recited.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Transfer of Funds, Board of Public Works.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of the hereinafter designated accounts in the Department of Public Works to the credit of the Bureau of Stores and Yards, Budget Item No. 449, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for expense of repairs to equipment for the several bureaus mentioned, to-wit:

From Appropriation 33C, Budget Item 525 (street repair), $669.38.

From Appropriation 35B, Budget Item 545 (street cleaning), $510.75.

From Appropriation 36B, Budget Item 558 (sewer repairs), $360.

From Appropriation 38A, Budget Item 565 (miscellaneous), $124.87.

From Appropriation 32B, Budget Item 495 (Bureau of Engineering), $19.13.

Transfer of Funds, Traffic Signal Accounts.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 69, to the credit of the hereinafter designated budget items, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for labor and material furnished in the painting of curbing for traffic regulation, to-wit:

To the credit of Budget Item No. 411 (labor), $526.50.

To the credit of Budget Item No. 433 (material), $358.68.

(Approved by Traffic Committee, Board of Supervisors.)

Appropriation, Payment for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Land Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To J. Karsky, for land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Lawton street, distant thereon 95 feet westerly from the westerly line of Twelfth avenue, running thence westerly and along said line of Lawton street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 90 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 90 feet to the point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28508 (New Series), $2,250.

(2) To Frank Hess, for land and improvements commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 365 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Cook street 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Cook street; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28509 (New Series), $7,500.

Plans, Etc., Sewers, Laguna Honda Boulevard.

Also, Bill No. 8446, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of sewers in the Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly, and ordering the construction of sewers in Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of sewers in the Laguna Honda boulevard in accordance with the said plans and specifications.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of sewers in the Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly, and to enter into contract for said construction of sewers in the Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Auditor to Cancel Certificate of Sale No. 379.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28576 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector and Auditor have reported that the taxes on both installments for the year 1925 on the following described property were paid, but through error were not stamped "Paid" on the assessment roll, and that the property was sold to the State of California on June 28, 1926, Sale No. 379.

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel Sale No. 379, in accordance with the provisions of Sections 3776 and 3805 of the Political Code, of the following property:

Lot 17, Block 1515, Volume 9, page 143, assessed to B. Getz Corporation.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued until meeting of March* 12, 1928:

Amending Zoning Law, Southwest Corner Jackson and Gough Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets, for a distance of sixty (60) feet on Jackson street and for a distance of 125 feet 8¼ inches on Gough street, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Amending Zoning Law, Northwest Corner Eddy and Laguna Streets.

Also, Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Eddy and Laguna streets, for a distance of thirty-six (36) feet on Eddy street and for a distance of sixteen (16) feet on Laguna street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8447, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6425 (New Series) as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along both sides of Bay street between Broderick and Divisadero streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6425 (New Series) as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along both sides of Bay street between Broderick and Divisadero streets is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Along the northerly side of Bay street, commencing at a point 102 feet easterly from Broderick street, and running thence easterly to a point 87 feet 6 inches westerly from Divisadero street, said set-back line to be 6 feet; along the southerly side of Bay street, commencing at a point 93 feet 9 inches easterly from Broderick street and running thence easterly to a point 93 feet 9 inches westerly from Divisadero street, said set-back line of be 6 feet.

Establishing Set-back Lines Along Portions of Eighteenth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8448, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Eighteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 16th day of January, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 174, to establish set-back lines along portions of Eighteenth avenue, and fixed the 20th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street,

in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly to Noriega street, said set-back line to be 10½ feet; along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5½ feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 2-3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chestnut Street.

Also, Bill No. 8449, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the south side of Chestnut street, commencing 100 feet easterly from Steiner street and running thence easterly 22 feet 2¾ inches; thence southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence westerly 16 feet 0½ inch; thence southerly 37 feet 6 inches; thence westerly 6 feet 2¼ inches, and thence northerly 175 feet to the point of beginning in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis R. Anderson, southwest corner of Mallorca way and Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Capital Company, junction Market, Hayes and Polk streets, 4000 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Bessie Cooley, northeast corner of Haight and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thomas Day Co., north side of South Entrada Court, 250 feet west of Borica street, 500 gallons capacity.

Thomas Day Co., south side of North Entrada Court, 250 feet west of Borica street, 500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, northwest corner of Twentieth avenue and California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Warren Hooper, 478 Thirty-seventh avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

La Mirada Apartments, north west corner of Union and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Loy, 315 Broderick street, 600 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., southwest corner of Alviso and Urbano drive, 750 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., 115 Moncado way, 750 gallons capacity.

Manning & Baldwin, southeast corner of Forty-first avenue and Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Martin Perich, 2247 Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. H. Pinkerton, west side of Broderick street, 93 feet north of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. H. Pinkerton, west side of Broderick street, 100 feet north of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Reding & McHibben, north side of Tehama street, 100 feet west of Fourth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop, Inc., southwest corner of Twenty-fourth

and Guerrero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Edna Stemple, west side of Scott street, 70 feet north of Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. A. Thayer, 3326 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank W. Warren, 100 Garcia avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Russell Werner, 12 Sea Cliff avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Dairy Dale Co., 1410 Divisadero street, 200-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. A. Caldwell and C. A. Wiseman be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 1223 Ninth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That C. E. Hancock and R. W. Smith be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Sam Sadowskipi by Resolution No. 25553 (New Series) for premises at 1663 Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. L. Silliman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted F. F. Levesay by Resolution No. 18414 (New Series) for premises at 3855 Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. R. Copeland be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Alberigi & Sorini by Resolution No. 25129 (New Series) for premises at 240 Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That O. Hamilton be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 247 Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Robert F. Berman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 1375 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jesse H. Taylor be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a public garage at 2141 Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. G. Galet be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Joseph O'Brien by Resolution No. 26001 (New Series) for premises on the west side of Divisadero street, 79 feet 7 inches south of Sacramento street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. R. Lobeck and O. Mergenthaler be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Shell Company of California for

premises at Third avenue, California street and Cornwall street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on the north side of Oak street between Pierce and Steiner streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28577 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from E. H. McManus to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 200 feet northerly from Balboa street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $7,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 102 feet 2 inches; thence southeasterly 75 feet, more or less; thence westerly 109 feet 9¾ inches to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 314, also known as Block 1574 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Also, Resolution No. 28578 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Alice M. Hayes to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the west line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 100 feet north from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,200 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a

portion of Outside Land Block 1049, also known as Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Also, Resolution No. 28579 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Achille Bianchi to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the northerly line of Franklin street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from Worden street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $6,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Worden street, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Francisco street 45 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 45 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Francisco street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 125 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28580 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Install 400 *M. R.*

North side Greenwich street, opposite 1325, between Polk and Larkin streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Duncan street and San Jose avenue.

Eleventh avenue and Ortega street.

Front of No. 7 Guerrero street.

Pole opposite 4331 Twentieth street.

Ellert street between Bennington and Andover streets.

Gates street between Powhattan and Eugenia streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Northwest corner Duncan street and San Jose avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued until Monday, March* 5, 1928:

Amendments to Traffic Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections 1, 2, 7, 24, 26,

29, 32, 35 and 45 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section Nos. 1, 2, 7, 24, 26, 29, 32, 35 and 45 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them in this section:

Street—Every way set apart for public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A street with a roadway of less than twenty (20) feet.

Blind Alley—An alley which has only one end available for ingress or egress.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the roadway included within the prolongation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles during the loading or unloading of passengers or materials.

Vehicle—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District — That portion of this city bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from the east line of First street to the west line of Sixth street; Sixth street from the south line of Mission street to the south line of Market street; the intersection of Sixth street, Market street, Golden Gate avenue and Taylor street; Taylor street from the north line of Golden Gate avenue to the north line of Sutter street; Sutter street from the west line of Taylor street to the east line of Grant avenue; Grant avenue from the south line of Sutter street to the north line of California street; California street from the west line of Grant avenue to the south line of Market street; Market street from the north line of California street to the west line of First street; First street from the north line of Market street to the south line of Mission street.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also, any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2 is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE II.

Authority of Police Traffic Signs and Signals.

Section 2. Obedience to Police—Officers of the Police Department are hereby authorized to direct all traffic by means of visible or audible signal, and it shall be unlawful for any person to refuse or fail to

comply with any lawful order, signal or direction of a traffic or police officer. It shall be unlawful for any person to direct or attempt to direct traffic unless authorized to do so by order of the Chief of Police.

Any police officer may, when traffic conditions so require, remove, or cause to be removed, any parked vehicle or vehicles, whether occupied or not, from any street or alley, to the nearest place to accommodate the necessity for such removal.

Section 7 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 7. Display of Unauthorized Signs Prohibited—It shall be unlawful for any person to place or maintain or to display any device, other than an official warning or direction sign or signal erected under competent authority, upon or in view of a street, which purports to be, or is an imitation of, or resembles, an official warning or direction sign or signal, or which attempts to direct the movement of traffic or the actions of operators and any such prohibited device shall be a public nuisance, and the Police Department may remove it, or cause it to be removed, without notice.

It shall be unlawful for any person to wilfully deface, injure, move or interfere with any official warning or direction sign or signal.

It shall be unlawful for any person to place or cause to be placed or to maintain any object which obstructs the visibility of any official warning or direction sign or signal or device used for the regulation of traffic.

Section 24 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. Arterial Streets—The following streets or parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Van Ness avenue from the north line of Market street to the south line of North Point street; Valencia street from the south line of Market street to the midline of Mission street; Mission street from the west line of Valencia street to the County line of San Francisco; Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to Portola drive; thence on Portola drive to St. Francis Circle; Bush street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue; Pine street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue; Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Presidio avenue; Geary street from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary street to the Great Highway; Fulton street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Great Highway; Fell street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street; Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the south line of Sloat boulevard; Sloat boulevard from the east line of Great Highway to the west line of Portola drive; Junipero Serra boulevard from the County line of San Francisco to the west line of Portola drive; Ocean avenue from the midline of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of Mission street; Division street from the east line of Eleventh street to the west line of Potrero avenue; Eleventh street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street; Potrero avenue from the south line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue; San Bruno avenue from the south line of Potrero avenue to the County line; Silver avenue from the east line of Mission street to the west line of San Bruno avenue; Third street from the south line of Channel street to the east line of San Bruno avenue; Lincoln way from the east line of the Great Highway to Frederick street, thence along Frederick street to the west line of Stanyan street; Army street from the west line of Third street to the east line of Potrero avenue, and from the west line of Potrero avenue to the east line of Mission street; Great Highway from Point Lobos avenue to the north line of Sloat boulevard; Lombard street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Lyon street; Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary street to the south line of Fulton street; Twenty-fourth street from the east line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue; Cortland avenue from the east line of Mission street to the west line of San Bruno avenue.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearer property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accord-

ance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to place and maintain or cause to be placed and maintained upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the words "Arterial Stop."

Section 26 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. One Way Streets—Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street; easterly line Jessie street between First street and Ninth street; westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street; westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street; southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street; westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street; westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue; westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street; westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street; easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street; westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street; easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue; westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street; southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street; westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Stockton street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 29 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 29. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to sound any horn or other device for any purpose other than that of warning, when necessary to the safe operation of a vehicle, or to sound any such horn or device or make any loud, unusual or unnecessary noise within the distance of one block from any public or private hospital; provided further, that the operators of those vehicles permitted, under the law, to be equipped with sirens shall not sound such sirens except when absolutely necessary.

Section 32 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 32. Unlawful to drive through processions. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive between the vehicles comprising an authorized parade, or to drive between the vehicles comprising a funeral procession, providing the characters of such vehicles are reasonably discernible.

Section 35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Section 35. Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police offices or triffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the police department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Section 45 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 45. Driving on Street Car Tracks, Passing Safety Zones—(a) It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle proceeding upon any street car tracks in front of a street car to fail to remove

said vehicle from said tracks as soon as practicable after signal from the operator of said street car.

(b) On Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue it shall be unlawful to drive any vehicle to the left of any safety zone established at a regular street car stop.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Parades and Processions Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four (24) hours previous to its forming or marching, and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass, and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges and the apparatus of the Fire Department responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department, and Fire Marshal and auxiliary fire apparatus belonging to any person, firm or corporation when such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment, and the United States mail wagons, shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 4. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession shall not be established until the head of the parade or procession is within one (1) block of said crossing and the intersections, and said lines shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 5. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession, the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert sadi parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over and along the nearest street from such fire, accident or emergency, and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 6. Provided, however, that no parade or procession, except funeral processions, shall be permitted to occupy or march upon any street in the Central Traffic District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any days excepting Sundays and legal holidays, unless special permit has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 7. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 8. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 9. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Establishment of Loading Zones.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28581 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses in accordance with the provisions of Section

36, Paragraph "C" of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

Street addresses.	Length in feet.
643 Broadway	27
568 Bush street	36
253-257 Commercial street	36
472-476 Castro street	36
514-518 Castro street	27
670-678 Chenery street	54
685-691 Chenery street	45
23-25 Drumm street	36
746 Ellis street	15
2 Excelsior avenue (south side)	27
3 Excelsior avenue (north side)	45
210-215 First street	72
76 Fremont street	36
152-160 Fremont street	27
670-676 Geary street	27
134 Golden Gate avenue	36
855-867 Grant avenue	27
486-498 Haight street	36
480 Haight street	27
489 Haight street	36
1504 Haight street	36
1644 Haight street	36
415 Howard street	45
1563 Hyde street	18
724 Irving street	36
1906 Irving street	18
1949 Irving street	18
1506-1516 Market street	15
514 McAllister street	27
542 McAllister street	18
558 McAllister street	27
1085-1091 Mission street	31
2345 Mission street	27
2397 Mission street	18
2593 Mission street	18
3300 Mission street	18
116-122 Ninth street	27
6 Ocean avenue	18
1314 Ocean avenue	27
1504 Ocean avenue	18
1580 Polk street	18
501 Powell street	45
560 Powell street	45
603 Powell street	45
664 Powell street	40
701 Powell street	45
768 Powell street	45
2 Silver avenue (south side)	45
3 Silver avenue (north side)	45
3102 Sixteenth street	27
21 Spear street	36
1548 Stockton street	36
1050 Taraval street	36
109-115 Turk street	27
114-119 Turk street	36
130-132 Turk street	27
162 Turk street	36
2198 Union street	36
513 Valencia street	27
1-15 West Portal avenue	36
61 West Portal avenue	18
1623-1633 Fillmore street	36
893 Folsom street	15
248-253 Fremont street	27
235-237 Front street	36
5[illegible] Geary street	27
1737 Geary street	18
885 Market street	18
268 Mission street	36
460 Montgomery street	18
509 Montgomery street	36
571-599 Sacramento street	27
692 Sutter street	18
601 Turk street	18
31 Golden Gate avenue	9

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Suhr presented:

Resolution No. 28582 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Youdall Construction Company be and is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after December 24, 1927, in which to complete its contract for the construction of the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route). This extension of time is recommended by the Board of Public Works for the reason that delays were caused by unforeseen damage claims arising from construction, and further in order that all claims may be adjusted and project turned over to the City without any obstructions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Award of Contract, Sugar.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 28583 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Sussman, Wormser & Co. on bid submitted February 27, 1928 (Proposal No. 357), for furnishing sugar to the following, viz.:

	Sacks
Dept. of Public Health	614
County Jails 1, 2, and 3	64
City Prison	12
Juvenile Detention Home	10
Total	700

Price per 100 pounds, $5.439.

Resolved, That this contract not being a term award no bond is required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Street Car Advertising Permit, Mission Baseball Club.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 28584 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Baseball Club and the Mission Baseball Club be and are hereby granted permission to advertise on the outside of the street cars of the Market Street Railway Company for the period covering the baseball season in San Francisco; provided said cars when used for said advertising purposes are not used to carry passengers.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Cleaning and Dyeing Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lewis Rosenthal be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cleaning and dyeing works on the east side of Stevenson street, 341 feet north of Fourteenth street, with an entrance on the west side of Woodward street between Duboce avenue and Fourteenth street; also to store 1500 gallons of crude petroleum; also to maintain a 35-horsepower boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Leave of Absence, Hon. F. Dohrmann, Jr., Member of the Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 1, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to the Mayor by Hon. F. Dohrmann, Jr., member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of fifteen days, commencing March 1.

In behalf of the Mayor I request that your Honorable Board join with him in granting this leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,

WM. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28586 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. F. Dohrmann, Jr., member of the Board of Education, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of fifteen days, commencing March 1, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Todd—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 12:10 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 5, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 11

Monday, March 5, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 5, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 5, 1928, at 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Todd—2.

Quorum present.

(Supervisor Todd excused on account of illness.)

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of February 27 and March 1, 1928, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Offer of Spring Valley Water Company to Sell Its Properties to the City.

Communication, from Spring Valley Water Company transmitting certified copy of resolution of its Board of Directors authorizing the President and Secretary to execute and deliver a written offer to the City and County of San Francisco to sell for $37,000,000 as of March 1, 1920, as per report of said Commission of November 21, 1920, together with additions, betterments and changes brought down from said date of March 1, 1920, to date of actual transfer to the City amounting to $43,505,814.76.

(Bond volume including descriptions of all properties comprised in offer accompanying.)

Read and ordered *filed*.

Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Shannon for the Public Utilities Committee:

Resolution No. 28587 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Spring Valley Water Company did, on the 2d day of March, 1928, in conformity with Resolution No. 28516 (New Series), file with the Board of Supervisors an offer for the sale of the necessary water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco, and distributing system owned by that company, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

That the offer of the Spring Valley Water Company for the sale of the necessary water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco, and distributing system of the said Spring Valley Water Company, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants be and the same is hereby submitted to the Board of Public Works for study and report in conjunction with the plans and estimates of the City Engineer and option to purchase Spring Valley Water Company as now held by the City and County of San Francisco, and to report to this Board the result of its study.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Todd—2.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Delinquent Tax List.

A sealed proposal was received by the Board of Supervisors from

Twin Peaks Sentinel at $0.05^{19}/_{20}$ cents per line for the printing, publishing and distributing of the Delinquent Tax List, Index of Delinquent Real Estate Taxpayers and printing the Sales List and other matters incidental thereto, for the fiscal year 1927-1928, in strict accordance with the Charter and the specifications, a copy of which may be had at the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and *referred to the Publicity and Public Welfare Committee.*

Official Advertising.

A sealed proposal was received by the Board of Supervisors from the San Francisco Bulletin at 7½c per line for publishing of the official advertising for the year commencing April 1, 1928, as required by the Charter and subject to the conditions and specifications, which, together with forms of proposals, may be obtained at the office of the Clerk of said Board, and *referred to the Publicity and Public Welfare Committee.*

HEARING OF APPEALS.

Golden Gate Heights.

Hearing of appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on January 3, 1928, for the improvement of Kirkham street between Sixteenth avenue and the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue and other streets in the district known as Golden Gate Heights. Contract No. 2051 (New Series) of the Board of Public Works, dated October 11, 1926.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Morris, representing, he declared, 85 per cent of the property owners, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board at length.

Whereupon, the following resolution was, on recommendation of the Streets Committee, *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28621 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on January 3, 1928, for the improvement of Kirkham street between Sixteenth avenue and the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue and other streets in the district known as Golden Gate Heights, Contract No. 2051 (New Series) of the Board of Public Works, dated October 11, 1926, be and the same is hereby denied and the assessment confirmed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Todd—2.

Evans Avenue.

Hearing of appeal of E. J. Treacy from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for improvement of Evans avenue between Phelps and Quint streets.

Whereupon, the following resolution was, on recommendation of the Streets Committee, *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28588 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of E. J. Treacy from the assessment issued for the improvement of Evans avenue between Phelps and Quint streets be and the same is hereby sustained and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to issue a new assessment.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Todd—2.

Rhode Island Street.

Hearing of appeal of Dyer Bros. Golden West Iron Works, a corporation, and The Western Pacific Railroad Company from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of Dyer Bros. Golden West Iron Works, a corporation, and The Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, against the ordering of the improvement of Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets as set forth in Resolution of Intention of the Board of Public Works numbered 100417 (Second Series).

Privilege of the Floor.

C. J. Dooling, attorney representing Dyer Bros. and Western Pacific Railroad, was heard in opposition to the proposed improvement at this time.

C. J. Healy, Assistant City Engineer, was heard in regard to its present necessity.

Appeal Denied.

Whereupon, the following resolution was, on recommendation of the Streets Committee, *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28589 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of Dyer Brothers Golden West Iron Works, a corporation, and The Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections

of Dyer Brothers Golden West Iron Works, a corporation, and The Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, against the ordering of the improvement of Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, as set forth in Resolution of Intention of the Board of Public Works, No. 100417 (Second Series), be denied and the work ordered.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Todd—2.

Passed for Printing.

Thereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8450, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, except that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of one brick manhole with appurtenances; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic ,concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

Communication From N. A. Eckart, Chief Assistant Enginer, re "Bulletin" Article on Municipal Railway Situation.

The following was presented by Supervisor Gallagher, read by the Clerk and *ordered filed*:

March 2, 1928.

Mr. William M. Hines, Editor The Bulletin, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir:

In an article on the Municipal Railway situation appearing in The Bulletin of March 1st, I have been misquoted, particularly in the paragraph:

"'Politics is a factor,' said Assistant City Engineer Eckart, who was present. 'Repeatedly you hear the statement made in the Board of Supervisors, 'Let the people have the extensions they want, whether the City loses money or not. I have

heard Supervisor Gallagher say that more than once.' "

In order that an erroneous impression may not remain, I would appreciate your giving equal publicity to the following, which is the statement I made:

"It has been the policy of the City to build extensions into the outlying districts and give a service of rather frequent headway, often a through service, even where these particular lines would not initially pay their operating expenses. This policy was well enough when the system as a whole was earning a revenue sufficient to meet all operating expense, pay bond interest and redemption charges, set up a proper depreciation reserve and still show a surplus. We have now come to a point where it is not possible to furnish this high standard of service in the lean districts and operate our bus lines on a transfer and pay all operating expense, bond interest and redemption charges and provide fully for depreciation out of current revenues, and it is a question of policy as to whether expenses shall be curtailed to meet the revenues, or whether any operating deficits should be otherwise made up, possibly as I have heard Supervisor Gallagher state in a public meeting that he felt it was the purpose of the Municipal Railway to give service rather than to make a profit and if a loss was necessary to do this the City could well afford to make it up."

Very truly yours,

N. A. ECKART,
Chief Assistant City Engineer.

San Francisco Proposes a New Plan for Municipal Operation.

By Delos F. Wilcox.

(Reprinted from National Municipal Review, Vol. XVII, No. 2, February, 1928.)

The following was presented by Supervisor Havenner and *referred to Judiciary Committee*:

(The Board of Supervisors have presented a charter amendment to consolidate all her utility enterprises under a single utility commission.)

Alone among the great cities of the country, the City of San Francisco has been committed by its charter for many years to the general policy of municipal ownership. It has been operating a system of municipal railways since 1912, in partial competition with the privately owned systems, and has committed itself politically and otherwise to complete municipal ownership and operation of street railways, water supply and electric lights and power, at least.

After fifteen years of experience with municipal operation by the Board of Public Works under the more or less minute control of the Board of Supervisors, the City has become conscious of the need for a more effective plan of municipal operation, and there seems to be practical unanimity in public sentiment for the establishment of a non-political utility commission to administer all the City's utility enterprises. A charter amendment providing for such a commission has been drafted, and has been approved by the Board of Supervisors to go on the ballot in November, 1928. After being ratified by the people, all city charter amendments in California are subject to approval by the Legislature; but thus far, such approval has always been given without question or delay.

The Charter Amendment.

The San Francisco plan for the administration of municipal utilities, if adopted, will set a landmark for the entire country. The comprehensive nature of the plan is expressed in the first section of the proposed charter amendment as follows:

The construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties, used, owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the City and County of San Francisco, as well as all extensions, additions and betterments thereto, for the purpose of supplying to the said City and County and its residents and inhabitants, and territory outside the limits of said City and County and the residents and inhabitants thereof, with water, light, heat, power or transportation shall be exclusively vested in a utility commission of three members, anything in this charter to the contrary notwithstanding. The commission shall have power to make all rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions of this article which it deems necessary or proper for the conduct of its business and the regulation of the matters herein committed to its charge.

The commission will consist of three members appointed by the Mayor subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors. The terms of office will be six years, except that the first appointees will hold office for two, four and six years, respectively. Commissioners will be removable in the same way as elective officers.

The commission must appoint, as

its chief executive and administrative official, a manager of utilities, who need not be, at the time of his appointment, a resident of the City. The commission may create departments or bureaus, with submanagers or directors. Neither the manager nor the submanager will be subject to civil service rules, and they will hold their positions at the pleasure of the commission. An auditor and all the other employees, subordinates and assistants required for the conduct of its business may be appointed by the commission subject to civil service rules, except that persons employed on construction work outside of the City and one personal secretary or confidential clerk to the manager and each submanager will be exempt. Also the civil service rules will not apply to "persons employed for temporary service in positions requiring high technical skill." The operating employees of any utility taken over by the City will retain their positions and acquire a status in the civil service, if they have been employed for at least a year before the utility is taken over.

Powers of the Commission.

Salaries, wages and working conditions are to be fixed by the commission subject to the limitation of the hours of labor prescribed by law and by the charter. The charter contains the following provisions for platform men and bus operators on the Municipal Railway:

The basic hours of labor shall be eight hours, to be completed within ten consecutive hours; there shall be one day of rest in each week of seven days; all labor performed in excess of eight hours in any one day or six days in any one week shall be paid for at the rate of time and one-half.

The manager of utilities will have the power of suspension over the employees of the commission for cause or for disciplinary purposes. The commission may continue a suspension for disciplinary purposes for a time not to exceed thirty days, and may order the manager to prefer charges against a suspended employee. Removals by the commission will be subject to the appeals provided for in the civil service rules.

The commission will have power "to make contracts for work, supplies, materials, or equipment" when the cost can be met out of current revenues or from the funds appropriated for its use or derived from the sale of bonds authorized for the construction or acquisition of public utilities. Construction work is to be done by public contract unless, upon recommendation of the manager, the commission decides that the work can be more advantageously carried on by its own employees. The commission may make its own rules in regard to public letting, but they must provide for at least five days' advertising for bids and award to the lowest responsible bidder, with the right to reject all bids and readvertise, or to reject the proposal of any bidder who in the opinion of the commission is not competent to fulfill the contract.

One of the greatest problems in municipal operation of street railways is the control of extensions. In this matter the commission will be supreme.

Accounts are to be kept separately for each utility in the form prescribed by the railroad commission for utilities of like character, and other necessary accounts, accounting forms, and procedure are to be maintained as prescribed by the utility commission. Monthly reports of receipts and expenditures for each utility, and annual reports, are to be filed with the Board of Supervisors and printed for public distribution.

Financial Provisions.

The manager of utiltiies must prepare a separate annual budget for each utility. After public hearings the commission may adopt this budget, or modify it. Copies of the budget as adopted must be filed with the Mayor and with the Board of Supervisors, and expenditures are not to exceed the appropriations for the respective items in the budget as adopted. Each utility is to be self-sustaining unless funds for its relief are appropriated by the Board of Supervisors.

The funds of one utility may not be diverted to any other utility, but any surplus accumulated in excess of a maximum fixed by the commission will be automatically transferred to the city's general fund. However, by unanimous vote the commission may adopt "a program for the accumulation of a surplus to cover a period not exceeding ten years, for the purpose of financing extensions and additions to existing utilities out of said accumulation." No funds accumulated from one utility may be used to pay for additions or extensions of another utility, and no surplus accumulated for additions and extensions may be used for any other purpose un-

less authorized by the Board of Supervisors by ordinance.

The commission will have authority to capitalize interest during construction and for a period of not more than six months after the completion of construction.

The receipts from the operation of each utility are to be paid into the city treasury and kept in a separate fund from which the commission may make appropriations for the following purposes in the order named:

(a) For payment of the operating expenses of such utility, including pension charges and compensation insurance;

(b) For repairs and maintenance;

(c) For payment of interest and sinking funds on the bonds issued for the acquisition or construction of such utility and extensions thereto;

(e) For reserve funds out of which to construct or acquire extensions and betterments to said utility;

(f) For a surplus reserve.

The commission must maintain pension and compensation insurance accounts, with annual estimates of the proper reserves required therefor. The manager must appraise the lines of the several classes of property for each utility and determine the amount of a reasonable reserve for depreciation and obsolescence as they accrue, subject to reappraisal once in five years. Pending such appraisal the monthly appropriations to the reserve are to be on the basis of four per cent per annum on the historical cost of the utility property. Reasonable accident reserves also must be maintained out of revenues subject to a reappraisal every five years.

Rates and Fares.

The commission will have power to fix and adjust rates and fares. Rates may be fixed at varying scales for different classes of service or consumers; and the rates so fixed "must include rates for services rendered any department or other utilities of the City and County." The rates fixed must be such that the aggregate revenue derived from them for each specific utility "shall represent not less than the actual total cost of the service of that utility as determined by the commission; provided further, that when the utility commission on the basis of a financial analysis in writing by the manager of utilities, may recommend to the Board of Supervisors a scale of rates under which estimated aggregate revenues from a specific utility may represent less than the actual cost of the service rendered by such utility, the Board of Supervisors, by a two-thirds vote of all members, may authorize such scale of rates, and must, at the same time, specify the manner in which the estimated annual operating deficits will be met. Such rate schedule may then be adopted by the commission."

The commission may provide for the rendition of utility services outside of the city limits at rates which may include proportionate compensation for interest during construction paid out of taxes.

Each utility must pay out of its revenues the cost of services rendered to it by other departments and utilities, and in its use of the streets the commission must obey all ordinances, and regulations of the board of public works in regard to street openings, and must pay the same fees in connection therewith that privately owned utilities would have to pay.

The commission, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, will have authority to lease lands under its control for periods of not to exceed ten years, and will have power to acquire by purchase, lease, condemnation, or otherwise, property within or without the city limits which it may deem necessary for the construction, maintenance, extension or operation of the works under its control.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of the City Planning Committee was *laid over until next meeting*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

Bill No. 8438, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby

ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Automobile Parking Station Permit, W. W. Haley.

The following recommendation of the Fire Committee was *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Board of Public Works to Prepare Plans, Etc., and Advertise for Bids for Wiring Carter Arterial Stop Signs.

The following matter heretofore passed for printing was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Bill No. 8443, Ordinance No. 7954 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and requesting the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications, and to advertise for bids for wiring 500 Carter arterial stop signs throughout the city.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and requested to prepare plans and specifications, and to advertise for bids for wiring 500 Carter arterial stop signs throughout the city.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Employment of Symphony Artists.

The following resolution was *adopted on recommendation of the Auditorium Committee*:

Resolution No. 28590 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Auditorium Committee, authorize his Honor the Mayor to enter into contract with the following named persons for musical entertainment to be held in the Auditorium as hereinafter set forth:

1. With Max Panteleieff for artistic services as baritone for two appearances with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra on the 6th and 10th days of April, 1928, and that the said Max Panteleieff be paid the sum of $500 as full payment for both appearances.

2. With Kathryn Meisle for artistic services as contralto for two appearances with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra on the 6th and 10th days of April, 1928, and that said Kathryn Meisle be paid the sum of $1200 as full payment for both appearances.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for February (claim dated Mar. 5, 1928), $3,617.88.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(2) H. H. Larsen Co., general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18, seventh payment (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $5,613.31.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(3) Spencer Lens Co., microscopes for Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $1,829.81.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(4) Alta Electric Co., second payment, electric fixtures for the Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $1,140.

(5) Alta Electric Co., eighth payment, electrical work for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $2,122.50.

(6) Scott Co., ninth payment, mechanical equipment for Mission

(Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $1,245.

(7) Scott Co., sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $757.50.

(8) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction of the Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $70,623.07.

(9) A. Lettich, acceptance payment, plumbing work on Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $3,600.

(10) Latourette-Fical Co., fifteenth payment, plumbing work on Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $541.76.

(11) R. W. Moller, eighteenth payment, general construction on Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $22,877.11.

(12) A. Lettich, ninth payment, plumbing work on Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,417.80.

(13) O. Monson, eleventh payment, general construction of Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $13,361.06.

Park Fund.

(14) Masten & Hurd, architectural services for account of Kezar Staduim addition (claim dated Mar. 2, 1928), $4,800.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(15) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Mar. 5, 1928), $4,247.78.

County Road Fund.

(16) Clarence B. Eaton, first payment, improvement of Roosevelt way from Buena Vista to Seventeenth, etc. (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $15,000.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(17) General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials returned to pipe yard, withdrawn for reconstruction of West Portal avenue, and unused (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $3,484.07.

Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund.

(18) St. Louis Car Co., sixth payment, for street car bodies furnished (claim dated Feb. 27, 1928), $14,488.50.

Special School Tax.

(19) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $600.

(20) MacDonald & Kahn, seventh payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $8,920.70.

(21) Frank Reilly, second payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $12,681.95.

(22) A. Lettich, second payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,029.82.

(23) A. Lettich, sixth payment, plumbing for South Side High School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,227.98.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(24) General Machinery & Supply Co., pipe and fittings (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $553.51.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(25) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $5,805.50.

(26) O. Rock, truck hire, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $919.50.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(27) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Mar. 5, 1928), $813.12.

(28) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Mar. 5, 1928), $1,250.

(29) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, books for the County Clerk (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $654.40.

(30) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidental expense for month of February and March (claim dated Mar. 5, 1928), $1,047.50.

(31) Spring Valley Water Company, water service through hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $14,773.30.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(32) The Elliott-Horne Co., installation of sanitary sewers in South Redwood District, San Mateo County, in connection with the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $845.50.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(33) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $736.

(34) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $1,979.25.

(35) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $528.25.

(36) A. Ginocchio & Son, coal for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $579.75.

(37) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street re-

pair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $536.03.

(38) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street repair (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $1,456.20.

(39) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $684.07.

(40) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $647.10.

(41) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $5,118.83.

(42) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $2,034.70.

(43) Roy Lind, final payment, construction of Hangar No. 1, Municipal Airport (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,414.06.

(44) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service, Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Feb. 25, 1928), $600.73.

(45) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $838.15.

(46) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., sheeting for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,053.50.

(47) A. Dinkelspiel Co., drygoods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,140.84.

(48) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,833.64.

(49) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $3,414.65.

(50) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., butter and eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $4,256.31.

Settlement of Claim, Cornelius J. Fitzgerald and Wife, Municipal Railway.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated persons; being payments as per agreements with the City Attorney, and being in full settlement of all claims against the City and County, its agents and officers as result of injuries sustained by said persons due to collision between a street car of the Municipal Railways and an automobile in which said persons were riding, to-wit:

(1) To Cornelius J. Fitzgerald and Laura Fitzgerald, his wife, $2,500.

(2) To Cornelius J. Fitzgerald, Laura Fitzgerald, his wife, and Frances Fitzgerald, a minor, $5,000.

(Claims dated Feb. 28, 1928.)

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

To E. H. McManus for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 102 feet 2 inches; thence southeasterly 75 feet, more or less; thence westerly 109 feet 9¾ inches to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28577 (New Series); said land being required for school purposes, $7,500.

To Alice M. Hayes for land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28578 (New Series), $1,200.

To Achille Bianchi for land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Worden street, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Francisco street 45 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence easterly 45 feet 10 inches; thence southerly 137 feet 6 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28579 (New Series), $6,500.

Transfer of Funds, Payment for Side Sewer Work, Parker Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, to the

credit of the hereinafter designated Budget Items, Department of Public Works; being reimbursement for work performed by its side sewermen in Parker avenue between Euclid avenue and California street, to-wit:

To the credit of Budget Item No. 555, the sum of. $2,449.77
To the credit of Budget Item No. 559, the sum of. 817.85
To the credit of Budget Item No. 76, the sum of. 162.00

Total $3,429.62

Appropriation, $49,246, Construction of Fillmore Street Main Sewer.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $49,246 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, for the cost of constructing the Fillmore Street Main Sewer, Contract No. 1, in Tenth street from Harrison to Division street; as per award of contract to Clarence B. Eaton.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Street Improvements.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the cost of widening Parker avenue southerly from California street $4,500.

(2) For the purchase of rights of way for the extension of Nineteenth street to Mono street, $5,000.

(3) For the purchase of rights of way to complete the widening of Garfield street from Junipero Serra boulevard to a connection with Grafton avenue, $5,000.

(4) For repairs and special improvement at Chestnut, Larkin and Francisco streets, including necessary repairs to steps at Chestnut street from Polk to Larkin streets, $945.

Appropriations, Supplies for Preventorium and Out-Patient Maternity Service.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts for the following purposes, to-wit:

Preventorium, San Mateo County, Budget Item No. 57.

(1) For the purchase of supplies and for the payroll, month of February, for the Tubercular Preventorium, San Mateo County, $4,970.52.

Maternity Service, Budget Item No. 58.

(2) For expense of Out Patient Maternity Service, for the month of February, including supplies and payroll, $1,170.06.

Appropriation, $2,000, Twenty Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, Appropriation 46-A, Budget Item No. 921, for the employment of twenty additional nurses at the San Francisco Hospital during the month of March, 1928. (Request of Board of Health, dated March 1, 1928.)

Accepting Offer of Ocean Shore Railroad Company to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor Havener presented:

Resolution No. 28591 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

Ocean Shore Railroad Company, $8,350—

Parcel 1. A portion of Block 13, as shown in West End Map 1, in Map Book "2 A and B," page 45, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. A portion of Lot 18, Block 13, as shown in West End Map 1, in Map Book "2 A and B," page 45, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 3. A portion of Lot 1, Block 6786A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 4. A portion of Block 5 of College Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 63, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept in behalf of

the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Accepting Offer of Jeremiah O'Leary et al. to Sell Lands Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor Havener presented:

Resolution No. 28592 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, viz.:

Jeremiah O'Leary and Katherine O'Leary, $5,500—Lot 2, Block 6690, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Patrick J. Daly and Minnie Daly, $5—Portion of Lot 22, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "B," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Joseph Francis Callaghan, $8—Portion of Lot 14, Block 3, DeBoom Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 5, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Robert Kelly, $1,167—

Parcel 1. Portion of Lots 6 and 7, Block 6747, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lot 9, Block 6747, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Accepting Offer of Susan Dawson to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28593 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Susan Dawson, $4,759—

Parcel 1. Portion of Lot 24, Block 6662, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lot 24, Block 6662, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2 purchased from said Susan Dawson, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the directions of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 28594 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 325 Roanoke street, 310 Mateo street, 63 College avenue, 25 Park street, 65 Arlington street,

378 Arlington street, 384 Arlington street, 8 Arlington street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Authorizing the Execution of a Deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Afronting and Adjacent Property Owners to Portion of Street Closed by Resolution No. 28227 (New Series), and Parcels of Land Purchased for Street Purposes and no Longer Required for Street Purposes in Exchange for Property in Lieu of said Closed Street and said Parcels, as Per Terms of Agreement Authorized by Resolution No. 27767 (New Series).

Also, Resolution No. 28595 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board, by Resolution No. 27767 (New Series), adopted September 6, 1927, authorized an agreement with I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer, his wife, for the acquisition of certain lands necessary for the widening of Alemany boulevard, and the exchange of parcels of land not required for street purposes; and

Whereas, this Board, by Resolution No. 28057 (New Series), adopted November 14, 1927, declared its intention to close and abandon a portion of Alemany avenue not required for street purposes, and by Resolution No. 28227 (New Series), adopted December 30, 1927, closed and abandoned the aforesaid parcel of Alemany avenue; and

Whereas, I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer have, according to the terms of the above mentioned agreement, deeded to the City and County of San Francisco the parcel of land required for the widening of Alemany boulevard, as per the terms of the agreement authorized by Resolution No. 27767 (New Series), and said deed has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, in Liber 1579, Official Records, page 342;

Now, therefore, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in the name of said City and County, are hereby authorized and instructed to execute a deed conveying all of the right, title and interest of said City and County to the said I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer, his wife, to the aforesaid parcels of land and aforesaid portion of closed and abandoned street, described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, distant thereon 10.126 feet northeasterly from the angle point in said southeasterly line, which is northeast of Tingley street, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 156.057 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract; thence deflecting to the left 114 deg. 57 min. 31 sec. and running northwesterly along said southwesterly line 55.150 feet to the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue; thence deflecting to the left 65 deg. 02 min. 29 sec. and running southwesterly along said northwesterly line 22.062 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the left 20 deg. 38 min. 13 sec. from the preceding course, with a radius of 950 feet and a central angle of 7 deg. 19 min. 56 sec., a distance of 121.573 feet, to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. All of those parcels of land now property of the City and County of San Francisco, designated as 36A and 37 in that certain deed, recorded at page 55 of Volume 1083, Official Records, records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which are lying southeasterly from a circular curve of 950-foot radius, tangent to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, south of Tingley street, and also tangent to a line parallel with and distant 22 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly boundary of the aforesaid parcel 36A.

And, inasmuch as it is not now necessary to include a reservation for street purposes, said reservation in Resolution No. 27767 (New Series) shall not be included in the conveyance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Streets Committee:

Repealing Portion of Ordinance No. 6470 (New Series), Cole Street.

Bill No. 8451, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Ordinance No. 6470 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the westerly side of Cole street, commencing at the southerly line of Grove street and running thence southerly 37 feet 6 inches.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6470 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the westerly side of Cole street, commencing at the southerly line of Grove street and running thence southerly 37 feet 6 inches is hereby repealed.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

The following matter was *passed for printing* on recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

G. C. Blumenthal, 739 Miramar avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

G. J. Brunet, 1170 Sutter street, 750 gallons capacity.

O. W. Butt, east side of Twelfth avenue, 50 feet north of Moraga street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ira W. Coburn, southeast corner of Van Ness avenue and Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Emerson, 1 San Lorenzo way, 600 gallons capacity.

Phil Harris, northeast corner of California and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Albert Kroll, north side of Jefferson street, 100 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Lundy, east side of Leavenworth street, 150 feet north of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. Maneggie, southeast corner of Brazil and London streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., southwest corner of O'Farrell and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Penyner, north side of Jackson street, 34 feet 6 inches west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Scoble, south side of Beach street, 50 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

California Commercial Products Co., 21-23 Drumm street, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Automobile Parking Station, Howard J. Mallen.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 112 feet 8 inches north of Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Accepting Offer of Michael Reilly to Sell Property on Blake Street Required for School Purposes.

On recommendation of the Public Buildings Committee the following resolutions were *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28596 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Michael Reilly to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the westerly line of Blake street, distant 410 feet northerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $6,250 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 410 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Blake street and point of commencement. Be-

ing a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Correcting Resolution No. 28306 (New Series), Accepting Offer of Ellen Hutton et al. for School Land.

Also, Resolution No. 28597 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 28306 (New Series) accepting the offer of sale of certain land to the City for school purposes be and the same is hereby amended and corrected as to name of owner and description of property, as follows:

From Ellen Hutton, also known as Mrs. F. Hutton.

Description of property—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 414 feet 10 inches northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Cook street 25 feet 11 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet 11 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Correcting Resolution No. 28418 (New Series), Accepting Offer to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28598 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 28418 (New Series) accepting the offer of sale of certain land to the City for school purposes be and the same is hereby amended and the description as recited therein corrected to read as follows:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Worden street, distant thereon 68 feet and 6 inches northerly from the northerly line of Francisco street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Worden street 56 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 56 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 125, vested in Hannah Gallagher.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Mayor Authorized to Sell Frame Buildings on School Site.

Also, Resolution No. 28599 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, to sell at public auction the certain following buildings located on the former Edison School site, corner of Church and Hill streets, to-wit:

The main frame school building; also a frame cottage building known as No. 3614 Twenty-second street.

It is further understood that the additional cottage nor any iron or wooden fences surrounding the site is to be sold, and shall include only the main school building and one cottage. Recommendation of the Board of Education, February 29, 1928.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28600 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Castro street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets produced, and on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with Resolution No. 100927 (Second

Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 15, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board, filed February 16, 1928:

Castro Street.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sixteenth street southerly line of, 190 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at States street northerly line, 170.48 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at States street northerly line, 170.48 feet.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 9 feet southerly from States street northerly line, 169.45 feet.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 9 feet northerly from States street southerly line, 165.77 feet.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at States street southerly line produced, 165.77 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at States street southerly line produced, 164.74 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at States street southerly line, 163.74 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 49.16 feet northerly from Market street, 143.58 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 30 feet northerly from Market street, 141.40 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10.84 feet northerly from Market street, 140.00 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 13.70 feet southwesterly from last described point (measured along curb line), 139.37 feet.

13.70 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb line), 139.50 feet.

(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 378.59 feet southerly from Sixteenth street, 142.35 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 396 feet southerly from Sixteenth street, 140.44 feet.

Easterly curb line of, 17.41 feet (measured along the curb line) southeasterly from the last described point, 139.40 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Seventeenth street southerly line produced, 138.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

States Street.

9 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 7 feet easterly from Castro street westerly line, 169.45 feet.

9 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 7 feet easterly from Castro street westerly line, 165.77 feet.

Northerly line of, at Castro street westerly line, 170.48 feet.

60 feet westerly from Castro street, 175.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Castro street between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street produced, and on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

It is further declared to be the intention of the Board of Supervisors to order the following street work to be done on the said portions of the mentioned streets, to-wit:

The regrading, repaving, recurbing, relaying of artificial stone sidewalks, replacing catchbasins, culverts and necessary side sewers, on Castro street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at the southerly line of Sixteenth street; on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom; and Market street between the northerly line of and a line parallel with and 40.30 feet southerly therefrom, extending from the center line of Castro street to a line at right angles with the northerly line of Market street 100 feet easterly from Castro street.

The district to be benefited by such changes of grades and to be assessed for the payment of damages caused thereby, and also the expense of regrading and resewering and street improvements on the above mentioned streets as above specified is hereby defined and established as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Sixteenth street 87 feet 6 inches easterly from Castro street, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Sixteenth street produced to the westerly line of Castro street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Castro street to a point 27 feet southerly from Sixteenth street; thence at right angles westerly 65 feet; thence at right angles southerly 3 feet; thence at right angles westerly 35 feet; thence at right angles southerly to the dividing line between Lot 5 and Lot 6, Assessor's Block 2623, produced northwesterly;

thence southeasterly along the dividing line between Lot 5 and Lot 6, Assessor's Block 2623, produced westerly, to the northwesterly line of Market street; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Market street to a point 118 feet 4¼ inches northeasterly from Castro street; thence at right angles northwesterly 90 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 74 feet 4¼ inches; thence northerly and parallel to Castro street 28 feet 9 inches; thence at right angles easterly 33 feet 7½ inches; thence at right angles northerly 50 feet; thence at right angles westerly 8 feet 7½ inches; thence at right angles northerly 30 feet; thence at right angles westerly 27 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northerly to the southerly line of Sixteenth street and point of beginning.

The actual cost of performing the work of regrading and sewering and otherwise improving said portions of said streets, as above specified, shall be assessed upon said district which is above declared to be benefited by such changed or modified grade (except that the Board of Supervisors reserves the right in its discretion to order by ordinance that the whole or any part of the cost and expense of the work aforesaid or the damages resulting therefrom be paid out of the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, from such funds as the Board of Supervisors may designate).

Any person owning property fronting upon said portions of the street or streets where such grade is to be changed and such street work is to be done, may file a petition with the Board of Public Works within sixty (60) days after the first publication of this resolution of intention, showing the fact of such ownership, a description and situation of the property claimed to be damaged, its market value and the estimated amount of damages over and above all benefits which the property would sustain by the proposed change if completed. Such petition shall be verified by the oath of the petitioner or his agent, and failure so to petition shall be deemed and treated as a waiver of any claim for such damage or damages. The Board of Public Works shall cause to be conspicuously posted along all streets within the district defined in this resolution notices of the passage of this resolution, in the manner and in the form as required by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28601 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Noe street between Twentieth street and Liberty street at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with Resolution No. 101055 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 27, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 29, 1928:

Noe Street.

Southwest corner of Twentieth street, 246 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeast corner of Twentieth street, 250 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 251.80 feet.

Easterly line of, 16 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 276.50 feet. (For upper roadway.)

Easterly line of, 58 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 270.70 feet.

48.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 60 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 269.50 feet. (For upper roadway.)

77.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 69.50 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 267.50 feet.

38 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 85.5 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 266 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 85.50 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 265 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 114 feet northerly from Liberty street, 270.55 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 114 feet northerly from Liberty street, 268 feet.

Northeast corner Liberty street, 293.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northwest corner Liberty street, 287.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Noe street between Twentieth street and Liberty street be changed and established to conform to true

gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

It is further declared to be the intention of the Board of Supervisors to order the following street work to be done on Noe street between Twentieth street and Liberty street: Grading, paving, sidewalking, curbing, sewer appurtenances, and the construction of a concrete retaining wall.

The district to be benefited by such changes of grades and to be assessed for the payment of damages caused thereby, and also the expense of grading, paving, sidewalking, curbing, sewer appurtenances, and the construction of a concrete retaining wall on the above-mentioned streets as above specified, is hereby defined and established as follows:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Sanchez street 30 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twentieth street, and running thence southerly along the westerly line of Sanchez street to a point 25 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twentieth street; thence at right angles westerly 80 feet; thence at right angles southerly 75 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 14 feet; thence at right angles westerly to the easterly line of Noe street; thence northerly along the easterly line of Noe street to a point 85.50 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twentieth street; thence at right angles easterly 105 feet; thence at right angles northerly 28.50 feet; thence at right angles easterly 375 feet; thence at right angles southerly 84 feet; thence at right angles easterly 80 feet to the westerly line of Sanchez street and point of beginning.

The actual cost of performing the work of regrading and sewering and otherwise improving said portions of said streets as above specified shall be assessed upon said district which is above declared to be benefited by such changed or modified grade (except that the Board of Supervisors reserves the right in its discretion to order by ordinance that the whole or any part of the cost and expense of the work aforesaid or the damages resulting therefrom be paid out of the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, from such funds as the Board of Supervisors may designate).

Any person owning property fronting upon said portions of the street or streets, where such grade is to be changed and such street work is to be done, may file a petition with the Board of Public Works within sixty (60) days after the first publication of this resolution of intention, showing the fact of such ownership, a description and situation of the property claimed to be damaged, its market value and the estimated amount of damages over and above all benefits which the property would sustain by the proposed change if completed. Such petition shall be verified by the oath of the petitioner or his agent, and failure so to petition shall be deemed and treated as a waiver of any claim for such damage or damages. The Board of Public Works shall cause to be conspicuously posted along all streets within the district defined in this resolution, notices of the passage of this resolution, in the manner and in the form as required by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Pipe Line Permit.

Also, Resolution No. 28602 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland and The Pacific Petroleum Products Co. Inc. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to lay a 12-inch pipe line from the property on Rankin street and McKinnon avenue, thence along Rankin street from McKinnon avenue to the intersection of Rankin street and Islais street for the purpose of conveying crude oil or petroleum. Said pipe line shall be used exclusively by said J. P. Holland and no lateral connections shall be made thereto, and shall be laid under the supervision and direction of the Board of Public Works and the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco, and in conformity with the provisions of the Charter and the ordinances of said City and County of San Francisco. J. P. Holland shall at all times, while exercising such privilege, keep in repair the streets over said pipe line, and if said streets are paved, said J. P. Holland shall pay the expense of paving said streets over

said pipe line and keep said pavement in repair to said extent.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Extensions of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 28603 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after March 15, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Carolina street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets under a public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is well under way, being practically 90 per cent completed and has been delayed on account of weather conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28604 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Cohn is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after February 28, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Thor avenue between Surrey and Chenery streets. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is well under way, the grading and sewers having been completed, the contractor was delayed on account of weather conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28605 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after November 28, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of San Jose avenue between Goethe street and the County line. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the extension is necessary in order to keep the contract alive pending issuance of acceptance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28606 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Theodore Cohn is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work under public contract. These extensions are granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work has been completed and the extensions are necessary pending the issuance of assessments and the acceptance of the work:

Ninety days' time from and after March 1, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Oliver street between Mission and Brunswick streets.

Ninety days' time from and after March 1, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Wilde avenue between San Bruno avenue and Delta street.

Ninety days' time from and after March 1, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Goethe street between De Long street and San Jose avenue.

Ninety days' time from and after March 1, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Silver avenue between Craut and Madison streets.

Ninety days' time from and after March 1, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Folsom street between Cortland and Powhattan avenues.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Anchorage for Brdige, Third and Channel Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 28607 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into a contract with the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway, permitting the City to construct, install and maintain an anchorage for the street bridge, on the premises occupied by said railway company at Third and Channel streets in the City and County of San Francisco, which said contract shall be conditional that the City and County will

pay all costs incident to the construction and maintenance of said anchorage, as well as any damages sustained by said railway company, its servants, patrons or licensees, by reason of the construction of said anchorage. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be directed to execute said contract on behalf of the City.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Closing and Abandoning Portion of Bay Street.

Also, Resolution No. 28608 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that all that portion of Bay street lying between Baker and Lyon streets be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Bay street lying between Baker and Lyon streets, said closing up and abandonment of said portion of Bay street, above described, shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2; be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Bay street as above described be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Closing and Abandoning Portion of San Aleso Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 28609 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that all that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Upland drive and Kenwood way be closed and abandoned. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Upland drive and Kenwood way, said closing up and abandonment of said portion of San Aleso avenue above described shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2; be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of San Aleso avenue, as above described, be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Streets Committee:

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8452, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 18, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public

Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of six feet artificial stone sidewalks on the northerly one-half of Newcomb avenue between Mendell street and Third street, and on the northerly one-half of Newcomb avenue between Lane and Mendell streets, and on Edinburgh street between Peru avenue and Silver avenue, and on Edinburgh street between Peru avenue and Avalon avenue, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Approval of Map, Cunningham Place Widening.

The following resolutions were *adopted* on recommendation of the Streets Committee:

Resolution No. 28610 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100915 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Cunningham place at its present westerly terminus; also, the portion of Cunningham place closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 26685 (New Series); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Cunningham place at its present westerly terminus; also, the portion of Cunningham place closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 26685 (New Series), is hereby approved.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Intention to Change Grades.

Also, Resolution No. 28611 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 100928 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 15, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 16, 1928, to-wit:

On Burnside avenue between Bosworth and Chenery streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28612 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 101014 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 24, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 27, 1928, to-wit:

On Margaret avenue between the westerly line of and a line parallel with and 20 feet easterly therefrom between Lakeview avenue and Summit aveue.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades

is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28613 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 101015 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 24, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 27, 1928, to-wit:

On Cowden street between Harkness avenue and Ankeny street; on Ankeny street between Cowden and Mill streets, and on Hamilton street between Mansell and Cowden streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28614 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 101016 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 24, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 27, 1928, to-wit:

On Arch street between Randolph street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Worcester avenue northeasterly line, and on Stanley street between Ramsell and Vernon streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28615 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 101056 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 27, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 29, 1928, to-wit:

On Sanchez street between lines respectively 10 feet northerly and 9.63 feet southerly from Twentieth street, and on Twentieth street between Sanchez and Noe streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Traffic Law Amendment.

The following recommendations of Traffic Committee were taken up:

Bill No. 8453, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections 1, 2, 7, 24, 26, 29, 32, 35 and 45 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An

ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section Nos. 1, 2, 7, 24, 26, 29, 32, 35 and 45 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them in this section:

Street—Every way set apart for public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A street with a roadway of less than twenty (20) feet.

Blind Alley—An alley which has only one end available for ingress or egress.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the roadway included within the prolongation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles during the loading or unloading of passengers or materials.

Vehicle—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District — That portion of this city bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from the east line of First street to the west line of Sixth street; Sixth street from the south line of Mission street to the south line of Market street; the intersection of Sixth street, Market street, Golden Gate avenue and Taylor street; Taylor street from the north line of Golden Gate avenue to the north line of Sutter street; Sutter street from the west line of Taylor street to the east line of Grant avenue; Grant avenue from the south line of Sutter street to the north line of California street; California street from the west line of Grant avenue to the south line of Market street; Market street from the north line of California street to the west line of First street; First street from the north line of Market street to the south line of Mission street.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also, any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2 is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE II.

Authority of Police Traffic Signs and Signals.

Section 2. Obedience to Police—Officers of the Police Department are hereby authorized to direct all traffic by means of visible or audible signal, and it shall be unlawful for any person to refuse or fail to comply with any lawful order, signal or direction of a traffic or police officer. It shall be unlawful for any person to direct or attempt to direct traffic unless authorized to

do so by order of the Chief of Police.

Any police officer may, when traffic conditions so require, remove, or cause to be removed, any parked vehicle or vehicles, whether occupied or not, from any street or alley, to the nearest place to accommodate the necessity for such removal.

Section 7 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 7. Display of Unauthorized Signs Prohibited—It shall be unlawful for any person to place or maintain or to display any device, other than an official warning or direction sign or signal erected under competent authority, upon or in view of a street, which purports to be, or is an imitation of, or resembles, an official warning or direction sign or signal, or which attempts to direct the movement of traffic or the actions of operators and any such prohibited device shall be a public nuisance, and the Police Department may remove it, or cause it to be removed, without notice.

It shall be unlawful for any person to wilfully deface, injure, move or interfere with any official warning or direction sign or signal.

It shall be unlawful for any person to place or cause to be placed or to maintain any object which obstructs the visibility of any official warning or direction sign or signal or device used for the regulation of traffic.

Section 24 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. Arterial Streets—The following streets or parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Van Ness avenue from the north line of Market street to the south line of North Point street; Valencia street from the south line of Market street to the midline of Mission street; Mission street from the west line of Valencia street to the County line of San Francisco; Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to Portola drive; thence on Portola drive to St. Francis Circle; Bush street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue; Pine street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue; Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Presidio avenue; Geary street from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary street to the Great Highway; Fulton street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Great Highway; Fell street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street; Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the south line of Sloat boulevard; Sloat boulevard from the east line of Great Highway to the west line of Portola drive; Junipero Serra boulevard from the County line of San Francisco to the west line of Portola drive; Ocean avenue from the midline of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of Mission street; Division street from the east line of Eleventh street to the west line of Potrero avenue; Eleventh street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street; Potrero avenue from the south line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue; San Bruno avenue from the south line of Potrero avenue to the County line; Silver avenue from the east line of Mission street to the west line of San Bruno avenue; Third street from the south line of Channel street to the east line of San Bruno avenue; Lincoln way from the east line of the Great Highway to Frederick street, thence along Frederick street to the west line of Stanyan street; Army street from the west line of Third street to the east line of Potrero avenue, and from the west line of Potrero avenue to the east line of Mission street; Great Highway from Point Lobos avenue to the north line of Sloat boulevard; Lombard street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Lyon street; Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary street to the south line of Fulton street; Twenty-fourth street from the east line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue; Cortland avenue from the east line of Mission street to the west line of San Bruno avenue.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearer property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to place and maintain or cause to be placed

and maintained upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the words "Arterial Stop."

Section 26 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. One Way Streets—Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street; easterly line Jessie street between First street and Ninth street; westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street; westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street; southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street; westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street; westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue; westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street; westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street; easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street; westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street; easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue; westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street; southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street; westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Stockton street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 29 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 29. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to sound any horn or other device for any purpose other than that of warning, when necessary to the safe operation of a vehicle, or to sound any such horn or device or make any loud, unusual or unnecessary noise within the distance of one block from any public or private hospital; provided further, that the operators of those vehicles permitted, under the law, to be equipped with sirens shall not sound such sirens except when absolutely necessary.

Section 32 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 32. Unlawful to drive through processions. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive between the vehicles comprising an authorized parade, or to drive between the vehicles comprising a funeral procession, providing the characters of such vehicles are reasonably discernible.

Section 35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Section 35. Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police offices or triffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the police department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Section 45 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 45. Driving on Street Car Tracks, Passing Safety Zones—(a) It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle proceeding upon any street car tracks in front of a street car to fail to remove said vehicle from said tracks as soon as practicable after signal from the operator of said street car.

(b) On Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue it shall be unlawful to drive any

vehicle to the left of any safety zone established at a regular street car stop.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Marks moved that the second sentence in Section 2, Article 2, be stricken from the amendment and referred back to the Committee.

So ordered.

Supervisor Roncovieri requested Committee to provide for a "stop" sign placed on Van Ness avenue on the east and west sides of the street at Fulton street.

So ordered.

Supervisor Marks moved to insert a semicolon in Section 29, last line, after the word "vehicle", in order to make it clear.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Committee consider an amendment to the section on loading zones in places in front of public assemblages, hotels, hospitals, etc., and where materials are being unloaded, that the space for *loading zones* be limited to one and a half car length.

Rereferred.

Whereupon, the proposed bill and the foregoing proposed amendments were *referred to the Traffic Committee.*

Action Deferred.

The following was presented and *laid over one week*:

Parades and Processions Ordinance.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four (24) hours previous to its forming or marching, and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass, and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges and the apparatus of the Fire Department responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department, and Fire Marshal and auxiliary fire apparatus belonging to any person, firm or corporation when such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment, and the United States mail wagons, shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 4. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession shall not be established until the head of the parade or procession is within one (1) block of said crossing and the intersections, and said lines shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 5. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession, the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert sadi parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over and along the nearest street from such fire, accident or emergency, and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 6. Provided, however, that no parade or procession, except funeral processions, shall be permitted to occupy or march upon any street in the Central Traffic District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any days excepting Sundays and legal

holidays, unless special permit has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 7. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 8. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 9. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Supervisors Pledge Cooperation in "Aircrafts 25th Anniversary" at Mills Field.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 28616 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is planned as a tribute to aviation development achievement to hold a celebration to be known as "Aircrafts 25th Anniversary" at Mills Field Municipal Airport from April 11 to 15, 1928, inclusive; and

Whereas, the army and navy as well as commercial aviation concerns have been invited to participate in this aircraft exhibition for the demonstration and exhibition of aircraft and accessories; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors pledges its active cooperation and support to the success of this celebration which is designed to awaken further public interest in aviation and the Airport Committee is hereby authorized to take full supervision and control of the details of this exhibition and to make whatever arrangements are necessary for its success.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Award of Contract, Hospital Supplies.

The following resolution was *adopted* on recommendation of the Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 28617 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on hospital supplies for Department of Public Health on bids submitted February 27, 1928 (Proposal No. 358), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—1600 rolls bandages, roller gauze, 44 x 40 mesh, 1 x 10 yards, 80 cents per roll; Seabury & Johnson.

Item No. 2—1725 pounds, cotton, absorbent, plain, best grade, long fiber, absolutely free from seeds and other particles in 1-pound packages (emergency), 31 cents per pound; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 3—105 rolls gauze, plain, absorbent, in 100-yard rolls, 24 x 20 mesh, $3.58 per roll; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 4—1350 rolls gauze, plain, in 100-yard rolls, 16 x 20 mesh, $2.85 per roll; Lewis Mfg. Co.

Item No. 5—472 pounds lint, absorbent, in 1-pound cartons, 95 cents per carton; Seabury & Johnson.

Item No. 6—55 rolls muslin, oiled, in 5-yard rolls, $3.55 per roll; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 7—520 rolls plaster, adhesive, zinc oxide; in rolls 12 inches by 5 yards, 79 cents per roll; Seabury & Johnson.

Item No. 8—100 rolls gauze, 4 inches wide, 4-ply, 100 yards long, $3.05 per roll; Lewis Mfg. Co.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That no bonds be required, as said supplies are for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Communications re Bay Bridge.

The following was presented and *ordered filed*:

March 1, 1928.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir:

Receipt is acknowledged of your letter of 21 February, 1928, inviting the attention of the Navy Department to the resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors at its meeting February 20, 1928. Your letter has been placed on file.

Very respectfully,

CURTIS D. WILBUR,
Secretary of the Navy.

February 28, 1928.
Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, S. F. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan:

I am in receipt of your communication dated February 21st, transmitting Resolution No. 28546, adopted by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, favoring the passage of H. R. 7467, granting consent to the City and County of San Francisco to construct, maintain and operate a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco.

As requested by you I have filed the resolution and it has been made a part of the Congressional Record.

Very truly yours,
RICHARD J. WELCH, M. C.

Read and *filed*.

In re Death of Supervisor Badaracco.

The following was presented by the Clerk:

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: It is with deepest regret that the members of our Association learned of the passing on of our late Supervisor John B. Badaracco, and our members realize that your Honorable Board and all of us have lost a good and faithful friend and citizen, who was always ready to assist when asked to improve and better conditions of our City.

Sincerely yours,
PARK PRESIDIO IMP. ASSN.
GEO. R. MEYERDERKS,
Secretary.

Read and *filed*. (Send copy to family.)

Vacation for Employees in Army and Navy Reserves.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 28618 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco grant a two weeks' leave of absence each year to City employees who are members of the Organized Reserves of either the Army, Navy or Marine Corps, upon the satisfactory production of Army orders affecting such members, for the purpose of attending the necessary drills and maneuvers of their units;

Further Resolved, That this resolution in no way is to affect the regular vacation period granted each year to said employees.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Todd—2.

Drama "Appearances" at Women's Club.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28619 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the drama "Appearances," written by a colored bellboy of San Francisco, Garland Anderson, will be presented to a San Francisco audience for the first time in the Community Theater of the Women's Club Building on Tuesday, March 19; and

Whereas, this drama was successfully played in the Frolic Theater in New York in October, 1925, and was most favorably praised by the New York critics; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board commends the talent of our fellow citizen of San Francisco, Garland Anderson, in writing his wonderful drama, "Appearances," and further recommends "Appearances" to the theater going public as worthy of their support.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor Todd—1.

Committee Changes.

On the recommendation of the Committee on Committees, the following was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28620 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following changes in membership of committees of the Board of Supervisors be adopted, to-wit:

Supervisor Walter J. Schmidt be transferred from Chairman of Committee on Police and License to the chairmanship of Committee on Supplies;

Supervisor Frank J. McGovern be transferred from membership in the Health Committee to membership in the Committee on Public Welfare;

Supervisor Fred Suhr, Jr., be transferred from membership in the Committee on Civil Service and Retirement to membership in the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden—2.

Absent — Supervisors Shannon, Todd—2.

Acceptance of Offer to Lease 333 Kearny Street.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City entered into a lease in writing with Louis T. Samuels on the 3d day of May, 1926, for the premises known and designated No. 333 Kearny street for a term of five years two and one-half months from the 15th day of April, 1926, at a monthly rental of $1,185.30, containing 7902 square feet on the 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th floors of said premises, for the purpose of housing the offices of the District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury; and

Whereas, it is the intention to remove the offices of the District Attorney to the Hall of Justice, thereby decreasing the footage area occupied by City officials in said building, No. 333 Kearny street, from 7902 square feet, as now contained in the lease of said premises, to the number of 3118 square feet, being the space required to be retained for the respective officials remaining therein; and

Whereas, the owner, Al Jacobs, successor in interest to said Louis T. Samuels, has by communication offered and agreed to a modification of the present lease to the extent only that the said lease recite the amount of 3118 square feet situate on the 4th and 5th floors of said building to be retained by the City for the accommodation of the Public Defender and the Adult probation Officer, in lieu of 7902 square feet as set forth in the present lease, and to be charged for at the rate of 21 cents per square foot, amounting to a monthly rental in the sum of $654.78 during the balance of the period of time expressed in the present lease; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the owner of said premises, Al Jacobs, be and is hereby accepted and the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to confer with said owner and have a modification to said lease of premises No. 333 Kearny street legally prepared in accordance with the said offer and agreement.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Relative to San Mateo County Bridge Franchise From Candlestick Point.

Supervisor Havenner called attention to the action of the San Mateo County Supervisors in granting a bay bridge franchise from Candlestick Point, notwithstanding the objections of San Francisco.

Motions.

Supervisor Havenner thereupon moved that the City Attorney investigate and determine if San Mateo Canal is a public waterway under control of the United States Government and whether a franchise can properly be granted at that point—a point which apparently obtrudes on the right of way of the San Mateo Canal, (Seconded by Supervisor Shannon.)

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Shannon moved that the State Engineer be communicated with, the information that Mr. Havenner is asking of the City Engineer, be asked of the State Engineer, calling attention of State Engineer to the desire of San Francisco for a bridge across the Bay, of our endeavors to obtain a centrally located bridge and of our appointment of eminent engineers to select that point, and to ask him to assist San Francisco in any legitimate manner to obtain a franchise that will be of benefit to the City and County of San Francisco. (Seconded by Supervisor Deasy.)

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Special Bridge Committee and the Public Utilities Committee, jointly, be authorized to take such steps as in their judgment may be proper between now and the next meeting to conserve San Francisco's interest in the situation confronting us relative to the trans-bay bridge and to add such other members as is deemed advisable to said committees. (Seconded by Supervisor Powers.)

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney be authorized and instructed to make a study of this route for its possible passage across any portion of San Francisco County and to report to this Board whether, under the State law, we have any rights in connection with the exercise of the franchise. (Seconded by Supervisor Gallagher.)

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Judiciary Committee be requested to prepare such legislation as it deems proper looking to the joining of the two counties—San Mateo and San Francisco.

Motion *carried.*

Mothers' Day Committee.

Supervisor Havenner moved that his Honor the Mayor be authorized

to appoint a citizens' committee for the proper observance of Mothers' Day, ceremonies to be held in Golden Gate Park.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 12, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 12

Friday, March 9, 1928.

Monday, March 12, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, MARCH 9, 1928, 3 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Friday, March 9, 1928, 3 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors, pursuant to call of his Honor the Mayor, met in extra session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, McGovern—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Call for Extra Session.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, March 7, 1928.

To the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and to each and every member thereof:

By virtue of the power and authority vested in me under and pursuant to Section 5 of Chapter 1 of Article IV of the Charter, I hereby call for an extra session and meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on Friday, the 9th day of March, 1928, at 3 o'clock p. m. of said day, in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall of the City and County of San Francisco.

The matters to be considered at said meeting shall be any and all matters pertaining to the granting of any franchise for a toll bridge from the City and County of San Francisco to the County of Alameda, as well as any and all matters pertaining to the bridging of the Bay of San Francisco, as well as any and all matters which may pertain to certain bills now pending before the Congress of the United States relative to the granting of permission to the City and County of San Francisco or to its assigns to construct a trans-bay bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Witness my hand this 7th day of March, 1928.

(Sgd) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Ordered spread in Journal.

San Mateo Asked to Reconsider Action on Proposed Candlestick Point Bridge.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28622 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo did, on the 5th day of March, 1928, grant authority to one Albert Mansfield to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge from a point in San Mateo County almost immediately adjoining the boundary line of the City and County of San Francisco to the foot of Webster street in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; and

Whereas, the said matter of the granting of said franchise to said Albert Mansfield will be reconsidered by the said Board of Supervisors on the 12th day of March, 1928; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco at the present time has pending before it various applications for authority to construct toll bridges from various points in the City and County of San Francisco to various points in the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, the said Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did heretofore appoint a board of three engineers, to-wit, Robert N. Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot and John D. Galloway, who, with M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, have made a careful study and survey as to which of the various locations, from which it was proposed to construct said bridge, would be the most feasible and best serve all of the people residing on the westerly and easterly sides of the Bay of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said engineers have reported that a bridge from Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco to a point near the South Mole in Alameda County is the most feasible route for the construction of a trans-bay bridge and the route which will best serve all of the people on the westerly and easterly sides of said Bay; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has made application to the Congress of the United States for permission to construct a trans-bay bridge in conformity with the aforesaid report and bills granting said permission are now pending passage before the Congress; and

Whereas, the War Department of the United States has heretofore announced as a policy that it would approve and permit the construction of only one trans-bay bridge north of San Mateo, between San Francisco and Alameda County; and

Whereas, a bridge constructed in conformity with the application of said Albert Mansfield will be of no benefit to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, and the westerly terminus thereof is so far distant from the centers of population of the City and County of San Francisco, that said bridge cannot be used for interurban rapid transit as can the said bridge proposed to be constructed by the City and County of San Francisco from Rincon Hill to the said Alameda Mole; and

Whereas, the granting of any franchise or permit by the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo will seriously embarrass the City and County of San Francisco in the obtaining of any permit to construct a trans-bay bridge from Rincon Hill, in conformity with its present plans; and

Whereas, the interests of the people of the City and County of San Francisco and the people of the County of San Mateo are so intimately connected and associated that the success of one is the success of the other, and the City and County of San Francisco has at all times in the past cooperated to the fullest extent with the County of San Mateo in all matters that have been for the benefit and improvement of said county; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in special meeting assembled, do hereby respectfully protest against the granting of any franchise or authority to Albert Mansfield, or to any other person, to construct a trans-bay bridge at or near the point commonly known as Candlestick Point, and respectfully requests the said Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo, and each and every member thereof, to reconsider its vote granting said franchise to said Albert Mansfield, and on the reconsideration of said vote to deny said application; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board forthwith transmit a copy of this resolution to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County, and to each and every member thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, McGovern—2.

Motions.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Board of Supervisors as a whole attend the meeting of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County Monday morning at 10 a. m. to protest in a body against the granting of a franchise for a bay bridge from Candlestick Point.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, McGovern—2.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the hearings on the applications for a franchise to construct a bay bridge now before this Board of Supervisors and set for hearing on April 2 be heard commencing April 2, 1928, and continued until all applicants have made their presentations.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, McGovern—2.

Bay Bridge to Be Publicly Owned.

The following resolution, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors by the following vote, was read into the record by Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. 27754 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That any trans-bay

bridge from the City and County of San Francisco to the County of Alameda shall be financed, constructed, maintained and operated under public ownership, and that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall take such steps as may be necessary for such construction, operation and ownership of said bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That the foregoing declaration of policy be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco, for their approval or disapproval, at the general municipal election to be held on Tuesday, November 8, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

MONDAY, MARCH 12, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 12, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

The Clerk declared that the other members of the Board were attending a meeting of the San Mateo Board of Supervisors to protest proposed bridge at Candlestick Point.

RECESS.

There being no quorum, Supervisor Deasy moved that the Board recess until 2 p. m.

So ordered.

REASSEMBLED.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 12, 1928, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 5, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Islais Creek Reclamation District Plans and Estimates.

Plans of the Board of Trustees of the Islais Creek Reclamation District, together with its estimates of cost of the contemplated works of said district in the sum of $1,620,152, and a list of property assessed for the project heretofore, on March 9, 1928, filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, was presented to the Board and *referred to the Streets and Commercial Development Committee.*

Board of Public Works Confirms City Engineer's Estimate of the Cost of the Acquisition of the Spring Valley Water Company.

The following was read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

Board of Public Works, Resolution No. 101269 (Second Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board confirms the estimate of the City Engineer of $41,000,000 of the cost of acquisition of the water supply system of the Spring Valley Water Company, required by the City and County of San Francisco.

Reference is made to Resolution No. 28587 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors March 5, 1928, submitting to the Board of Public Works for study and report the offer of the Spring Valley Water Company, filed with the Board of Supervisors on March 2, 1928, for the sale of water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco, and distributing system owned by that company, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants.

The plans and estimates of the City Engineer totaling $41,000,000, mentioned above, were transmitted to the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 101038 (Second Series), passed February 27, 1928.

Copy of letter from City Engineer, dated March 9, 1928, is transmitted to the Board of Supervisors.

(Copy of resolution to Board of Supervisors, signed; files of Secretary, signed. L. W. Stocker, Bureau of Engineering.)

Passed March 12, 1928, by the following vote:

Ayes — Commissioners Meyer, Stanton and Reardon.

Offer of Spring Valley Water Company to Sell Certain Properties to the City and County of San Francisco.

March 9, 1928.

To the Honorable the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The Board of Supervisors, by its Resolution No. 28516 (New Series), has submitted to you for study and report the offer of the Spring Valley Water Company, dated March 3, 1928, for the sale of certain of its properties to the City and County of San Francisco, and you have referred the matter to me.

The offer sets forth the net price of the property as of December 31, 1927, in the amount of $39,047,595.81, and indicates the method of bringing the price down to the actual time of transfer of the property to the City.

On April 14, 1922, the company gave to the City, for the period ending December 31, 1933, an option for the purchase of certain of the company's properties. The net price to be paid by the City is to be determined in accordance with the terms of this option and of certain orders of the Railroad Commission which have a bearing on the matter.

Presumably the conditions of the present offer of the company represent the company's interpretation of the terms of the option and of the Railroad Commission's order. I find that the price set forth in the offer and the method of bring this price down to the actual time of transfer of the property to the City are in accordance with the terms of the option and Railroad Commission orders, with certain possible exceptions which need not be given consideration until the time arrives for finally determining upon the price to be paid by the City for the company's property, after the voters have signified their approval of the proposed purchase.

In my report to you, dated February 27, 1928, I indicated that the estimated cost of acquisition of the water supply system of the Spring Valley Water Company required by the City and County of San Francisco was $41,000,000, which figure includes certain additions and betterments and other capital expenditures to be made during the year 1928.

I hereby reaffirm my estimate of the cost of acquisition of the water supply system of the Spring Valley Water Company, required by City and County of San Francisco, as $41,000,000.

Respectfully,
M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
City Engineer.

Communication from War Department in re Resolution of Board of Supervisors on Bay Bridge.

March 6, 1928.

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, Room 235, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir:

The receipt is acknowledged of your letter of February 21, 1928, inviting attention to resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, relative to the construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay, California.

Yours very truly,
C. B. ROBBINS,
Acting Secretary of War.

Leave of Absence, Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 12, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In case of necessity, I hereby make application for leave of absence with permission to leave the State for a period of sixty days, commencing March 15th. I am very anxious to be as helpful as I can in connection with the bridge problems we have at Washington and while I cannot see my way clear, at the present moment to leave with the delegation on that date, I am asking for this leave of abense should events so adjust themselves that I might be able to go and, of course, in going I must have this leave of absence.

As heretofore explained to you, I have the boulevard bonds to sign, together with $600,000 of other bonds, and the law does not permit of any other person signing the

onds than the Mayor himself. Besides these, I am swamped with problems here which require my attention.

Yours very respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28668 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of sixty days, commencing March 15, 1928, with permission to leave the State; and be it

Further Resolved, That during the absence of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor Franck R. Havenner be and is hereby appointed Acting Mayor.

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor James B. McSheehy.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 9, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. James B. McSheehy, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing March 15, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.
Mayor.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28669 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. James B. McSheehy, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of sixty days, commencing March 15, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

City Attorney to Participate in Bridge Hearings Before Congress.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28623 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That City Atotrney John J. O'Toole be and he is hereby directed to proceed to the City of Washington to then and there participate in the hearings upon the San Francisco Bay Bridge bills now pending before the Congress of the United States; and for the purpose of attending said hearings permission is hereby granted to said John J. O'Toole to absent himself from the State of California for a period of sixty (60) days from and after March 15, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Mayor and Board of Supervisors to Appoint Campaign Committee for Spring Valley and Hetch Hetchy Bonds.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28624 (New Series), s follows:

Whereas, there will be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the presidential primary election to be held on May 1, 1928, two proposed bond issues, providing for the purchase of the Spring Valley Water Company and the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project; and

Whereas, it is of the utmost importance that an intensive, systematic educational campaign be inaugurated at once to acquaint the people with the necessity of passing both these proposed bond issues in the interest of the City's welfare; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors be and they are hereby authorized to appoint a Citizens' Committee to promote the interests of the City in urging the passage of both these proposed bond issues; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors extend an invitation to all civic, commercial and labor organizations to send representatives to a meeting to be held in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, Friday evening, March 16, 1928, at 8 o'clock, for

the purpose of organizing said Citizens' Committee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28625 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $1,031.87.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $844.37.

(3) Scott Company, final payment, heating system for Kezar Stadium (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $1,821.50.

(4) The Wright Corporation, final payment, dredging and pile driving, Yacht Harbor (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $8,438.10.

Playground Fund.

(5) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick coupe and one Buick sedan, less allowances, for Playground Commission (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,875.

(6) Standard Fence Co., installation of fences at playgrounds (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $3,477.

(7) Spalding & Bros., recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $515.96.

Tax Judgments.

(8) Goldman, Nye & Spicer, attorneys for judgment creditors, fifth payment, one-tenth final judgment and interest, as approved by City Attorney (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,235.88.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(9) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $525.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(10) Pelton Water Wheel Co., water pump parts (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $818.

(11) Robert A. Smith, Inc., one Chevrolet roadster (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $644.50.

(12) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance for Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $555.23.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(For account of Hetch Hetchy Water Construction.)

(13) The Giant Powder Co., construction explosives (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $811.13.

(14) Hales & Symons, Inc., mining wedges (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $758.55.

(15) Independent Lumber Co., cement, etc. (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $976.80.

(16) Kaiser Paving Co., machine work, parts and repairs (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $506.58.

(17) O. Rock, hauling gravel, etc. (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,434.37.

(18) Shell Company of California, gasoline and oils (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $527.69.

(19) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat and foodstuffs (claim dated Feb. 15, 1928), $1,545.84.

(20) The Giant Powder Co., construction explosives (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $5,823.97.

(21) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, partial payment, four double drum hoists and parts (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $7,326.26.

(22) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machinery parts (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $587.93.

(23) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $1,051.20.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(24) N. Clark & Sons, tiling of roofs, Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $1,800.

(25) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, reserve for depreciation, per Charter (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $14,583.

(26) R. A. Hanna, special disbursing agent, Yosemite National Park, tenth annual payment, required under provisions of Act of December, 1913, known as the Hetch Hetchy Grant (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $15,000.

Park Fund.

(27) Piombo Bros., loam for park (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $788.40.

(28) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $896.19.

(29) Pacific Manure and Fertil-

izer Co., fertilizer for parks (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $595.60.

Special School Tax.

(30) George H. Tay Co., plumbing fixtures for schools (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $1,328.65.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(31) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Feb. 16, 1928), $1,564.86.

(32) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated Feb. 16, 1928), $3,426.77.

(33) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Feb. 9, 1928), $7,529.47.

Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund.

(34) St. Louis Car Co., fifth payment, furnishing street car bodies (claim dated Feb. 18, 1928), $38,648.50.

County Road Fund.

(35) Frank J. McHugh, improvement of Thirty-second avenue between Anza and Balboa streets, by the construction of curbs, etc. (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $3,997.50.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1928.

(36) Monson Bros., extra work, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $909.20.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(37) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas service, County Jails (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $530.81.

(38) Phillips Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,018.53.

(39) Del Monte Meat Co., meat, County Jails (claim dated Feb. 1, 1928), $1,884.79.

(40) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for bridle path expenditures (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $1,476.93.

(41) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for Civic Center beautification (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $500.92.

(42) Monson Bros., final payment, repairs to Palace of Fine Arts, first contract (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $12,100.

(43) Monson Bros., second payment, second contract for repairs to Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $13,516.50.

(44) Punnett, Parez & Hutchison, engineering services for December, in connection with Yacht Harbor construction (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $574.61.

(45) The Wright Corporation, extra work on Yacht Harbor, pile driving (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $647.03.

(46) The Wright Corporation, partial payment, for dredging and pile driving at the Yacht Harbor (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $6,416.06.

(47) The Wright Corporation, final payment, for dredging and pile driving at the Yacht Harbor (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $9,325.59.

(48) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $758.64.

(49) A. F. Heuer, printing Auditor's annual report (claim dated Feb. 27, 1928), $795.

(50) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Feb. 27, 1928), $1,216.62.

(51) Frank J. McHugh, twelfth payment, construction of Section 3, Sunset sewers (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $35,000.

(52) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Department of Public Health (claim dated Feb. 17, 1928), $1,345.25.

(53) Louis Straus, men's suits for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $675.

(54) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruits and vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $549.60.

(55) E. R. Squibb & Sons, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $510.65.

(56) Associated Charities, supplies, etc., furnished for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $5,000.

(57) Volunteers of America, supplies, etc., furnished for account, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $1,042.24.

(58) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Park street between Leese and Mission streets (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $1,800.

(59) James G. Stafford and associates, twelfth payment, appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $9,139.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Resolution No. 28626 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for February (claim dated Mar. 5, 1928), $3,617.88.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(2) H. H. Larsen Co., general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18, seventh payment (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $5,613.31.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(3) Spencer Lens Co., microscopes for Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $1,829.81.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(4) Alta Electric Co., second payment, electric fixtures for the Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $1,140.

(5) Alta Electric Co., eighth payment, electrical work for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $2,122.50.

(6) Scott Co., ninth payment, mechanical equipment for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $1,245.

(7) Scott Co., sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $757.50.

(8) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction of the Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $70,623.07.

(9) A. Lettich, acceptance payment, plumbing work on Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $3,600.

(10) Latourette-Fical Co., fifteenth payment, plumbing work on Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $541.76.

(11) R. W. Moller, eighteenth payment, general construction on Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $22,877.11.

(12) A. Lettich, ninth payment, plumbing work on Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,417.80.

(13) O. Monson, eleventh payment, general construction of Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $13,361.06.

Park Fund.

(14) Masten & Hurd, architectural services for account of Kezar Staduim addition (claim dated Mar. 2, 1928), $4,800.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(15) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Mar. 5, 1928), $4,247.78.

County Road Fund.

(16) Clarence B. Eaton, first payment, improvement of Roosevelt way from Buena Vista to Seventeenth, etc. (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $15,000.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(17) General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials returned to pipe yard, withdrawn for reconstruction of West Portal avenue, and unused (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $3,484.07.

Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund.

(18) St. Louis Car Co., sixth payment, for street car bodies furnished (claim dated Feb. 27, 1928), $14,488.50.

Special School Tax.

(19) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $600.

(20) MacDonald & Kahn, seventh payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $8,920.70.

(21) Frank Reilly, second payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $12,681.95.

(22) A. Lettich, second payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,029.82.

(23) A. Lettich, sixth payment, plumbing for South Side High School (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,227.98.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(24) General Machinery & Supply Co., pipe and fittings (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $553.51.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(25) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $5,805.50.

(26) O. Rock, truck hire, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $919.50.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(27) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Mar. 5, 1928), $813.12.

(28) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Mar. 5, 1928), $1,250.

(29) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, books for the County Clerk (claim dated Jan. 12, 1928), $654.40.

(30) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidental expense for month of February and March (claim dated Mar. 5, 1928), $1,047.50.

(31) Spring Valley Water Company, water service through hy-

drants, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $14,773.30.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(32) The Elliott-Horne Co., installation of sanitary sewers in South Redwood District, San Mateo County, in connection with the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $845.50.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(33) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $736.

(34) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $1,979.25.

(35) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $528.25.

(36) A. Ginocchio & Son, coal for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $579.75.

(37) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $536.03.

(38) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street repair (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $1,456.20.

(39) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 20, 1928), $684.07.

(40) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $647.10.

(41) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $5,118.83.

(42) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Feb. 24, 1928), $2,034.70.

(43) Roy Lind, final payment, construction of Hangar No. 1, Municipal Airport (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,414.06.

(44) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service, Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Feb. 25, 1928), $600.73.

(45) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $838.15.

(46) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., sheeting for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,053.50.

(47) A. Dinkelspiel Co., drygoods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,140.84.

(48) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $2,833.64.

(49) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $3,414.65.

(50) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., butter and eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $4,256.31.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Appropriations.

Resolution No. 28627 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter named funds, and authorized in payment to the following named persons, to-wit:

Municipal Railway (Old) Operation Fund.

(1) Eleonora L. Elvin, payment for land required for right of way for Municipal Railways; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28522, New Series (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $5,000.

Bernal Cut Bond Fund.

(2) Mary R. Hyde, for property required for Bernal Cut opening, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28518, New Series (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $3,300.

(3) Agnes E. Erickson, for property required for Bernal Cut opening; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28520, New Series (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $4,150.

(4) John Peerson and Caroline Peerson, for property required for Bernal Cut opening; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28520, New Series (claim dated Feb. 21, 1928), $5,700.

Boulevard Bonds, 1927.

(5) F. R. Webb, property required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28521, New Series (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $944.

(6) Stefano Gazzola, for property required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28521, New Series (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $2,206.

(7) Frank Uschmann, for property required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28521, New Series (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $1,125.

(8) Pauline Des Roches, for property required for Bay Shore boulevard; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28521, New Series (claim dated Feb. 23, 1928), $1,246.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Transfer of Funds, Board of Public Works.

Resolution No. 28628 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of the hereinafter designated accounts in the Department of Public Works to the credit of the Bureau of Stores and Yards, Budget Item No. 449, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for expense of repairs to equipment for the several bureaus mentioned, to-wit:

From Appropriation 33C, Budget Item 525 (street repair), $669.38.

From Appropriation 35B, Budget Item 545 (street cleaning), $510.75.

From Appropriation 36B, Budget Item 558 (sewer repairs), $360.

From Appropriation 38A, Budget Item 565 (miscellaneous), $124.87.

From Appropriation 32B, Budget Item 495 (Bureau of Engineering), $19.13.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Transfer of Funds, Traffic Signal Accounts.

Resolution No. 28629 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 69, to the credit of the hereinafter designated budget items, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for labor and material furnished in the painting of curbing for traffic regulation, to-wit:

To the credit of Budget Item No. 411 (labor), $526.50.

To the credit of Budget Item No. 433 (material), $358.68.

(Approved by Traffic Committee, Board of Supervisors.)

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Appropriation, Payment for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28630 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Land Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To J. Karsky, for land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Lawton street, distant thereon 95 feet westerly from the westerly line of Twelfth avenue, running thence westerly and along said line of Lawton street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 90 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 90 feet to the point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28508 (New Series), $2,250.

(2) To Frank Hess, for land and improvements commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 365 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Cook street 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Cook street; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28509 (New Series), $7,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Plans, Etc., Sewers, Laguna Honda Boulevard.

Bill No. 8446, Ordinance No. 7955 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of sewers in the Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly, and ordering the construction of sewers in Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of sewers in the Laguna Honda boulevard in accordance with the said plans and specifications.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of sewers in the Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly, and to enter into contract for said construction of sewers in the Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Settlement of Claim, Cornelius J. Fitzgerald and Wife, Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. 28631 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated persons; being payments as per agreements with the City Attorney, and being in full settlement of all claims against the City and County, its agents and officers as result of injuries sustained by said persons due to collision between a street car of the Municipal Railways and an automobile in which said persons were riding, to-wit:

(1) To Cornelius J. Fitzgerald and Laura Fitzgerald, his wife, $2,500.

(2) To Cornelius J. Fitzgerald, Laura Fitzgerald, his wife, and Frances Fitzgerald, a minor, $5,000.

(Claims dated Feb. 28, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28632 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

To E. H. McManus for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 102 feet 2 inches; thence southeasterly 75 feet, more or less; thence westerly 109 feet 9¾ inches to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28577 (New Series); said land being required for school purposes, $7,500.

To Alice M. Hayes for land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28578 (New Series), $1,200.

To Achille Bianchi for land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Worden street, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Francisco street 45 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence easterly 45 feet 10 inches; thence southerly 137 feet 6 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28579 (New Series), $6,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Transfer of Funds, Payment for Side Sewer Work, Parker Avenue.

Resolution No. 28633 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, to the credit of the hereinafter designated Budget Items, Department of Public Works; being reimbursement for work performed by its side sewermen in Parker avenue between Euclid avenue and California street, to-wit:

To the credit of Budget Item No. 555, the sum of.	$2,449.77
To the credit of Budget Item No. 559, the sum of.	817.85
To the credit of Budget Item No. 76, the sum of.	162.00
Total	$3,429.62

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Appropriation, $49,246, Construction of Fillmore Street Main Sewer.

Resolution No. 28634 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $49,246 be and the same is hereby set

aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, for the cost of constructing the Fillmore Street Main Sewer, Contract No. 1, in Tenth street from Harrison to Division street; as per award of contract to Clarence B. Eaton.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Street Improvements.

Resolution No. 28635 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the cost of widening Parker avenue southerly from California street $4,500.

(2) For the purchase of rights of way for the extension of Nineteenth street to Mono street, $5,000.

(3) For the purchase of rights of way to complete the widening of Garfield street from Junipero Serra boulevard to a connection with Grafton avenue, $5,000.

(4) For repairs and special improvement at Chestnut, Larkin and Francisco streets, including necessary repairs to steps at Chestnut street from Polk to Larkin streets, $945.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Appropriations, Supplies for Preventorium and Out-Patient Maternity Service.

Resolution No. 28636 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts for the following purposes, to-wit:

Preventorium, San Mateo County, Budget Item No. 57.

(1) For the purchase of supplies and for the payroll, month of February, for the Tubercular Preventorium, San Mateo County, $4,970.52.

Maternity Service, Budget Item No. 58.

(2) For expense of Out Patient Maternity Service, for the month of February, including supplies and payroll, $1,170.06.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Appropriation, $2,000, Twenty Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.

Resolution No. 28637 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, Appropriation 46-A, Budget Item No. 921, for the employment of twenty additional nurses at the San Francisco Hospital during the month of March, 1928. (Request of Board of Health, dated March 1, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Repealing Portion of Ordinance No. 6470 (New Series), Cole Street.

Bill No. 8451, Ordinance No. 7956 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Ordinance No. 6470 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the westerly side of Cole street, commencing at the southerly line of Grove street and running thence southerly 37 feet 6 inches.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6470 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the westerly side of Cole street, commencing at the southerly line of Grove street and running thence southerly 37 feet 6 inches is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance.

Bill No. 8447, Ordinance No. 7957 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6425 (New Series) as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along both sides of Bay street between Broderick and Divisadero streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6425 (New Series)

as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along both sides of Bay street between Broderick and Divisadero streets is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Along the northerly side of Bay street, commencing at a point 102 feet easterly from Broderick street, and running thence easterly to a point 87 feet 6 inches westerly from Divisadero street, said set-back line to be 6 feet; along the southerly side of Bay street, commencing at a point 93 feet 9 inches easterly from Broderick street and running thence easterly to a point 93 feet 9 inches westerly from Divisadero street, said set-back line of be 6 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Establishing Set-back Lines Along Portions of Eighteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 8448, Ordinance No. 7958 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Eighteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 16th day of January, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 174, to establish set-back lines along portions of Eighteenth avenue, and fixed the 20th day of February, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly to Noriega street, said set-back line to be 10½ feet; along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5½ feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 2-3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chestnut Street.

Bill No. 8449, Ordinance No. 7959 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the south side of Chestnut street, commencing 100 feet easterly from Steiner street and running thence easterly 22 feet 2¾ inches; thence southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence westerly 16 feet 0½ inch; thence southerly 37 feet 6 inches; thence westerly 6 feet 2¼ inches, and thence northerly 175 feet to the point of beginning in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, on motion of Supervisor Todd, was *laid over four weeks*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

Bill No. 8438, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 28658 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis R. Anderson, southwest corner of Mallorca way and Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Capital Company, junction Market, Hayes and Polk streets, 4000 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Bessie Cooley, northeast corner of Haight and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thomas Day Co., north side of South Entrada Court, 250 feet west of Borica street, 500 gallons capacity.

Thomas Day Co., south side of North Entrada Court, 250 feet west of Borica street, 500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, northwest corner of Twentieth avenue and California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Warren Hooper, 478 Thirty-seventh avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

La Mirada Apartments, north west corner of Union and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Loy, 315 Broderick street, 600 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., southwest corner of Alviso and Urbano drive, 750 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., 115 Moncado way, 750 gallons capacity.

Manning & Baldwin, southeast corner of Forty-first avenue and Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Martin Perich, 2247 Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. H. Pinkerton, west side of Broderick street, 93 feet north of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. H. Pinkerton, west side of Broderick street, 100 feet north of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Reding & McHibben, north side of Tehama street, 100 feet west of Fourth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop, Inc., southwest corner of Twenty-fourth and Guerrero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Edna Stemple, west side of Scott street, 70 feet north of Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. A. Thayer, 3326 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank W. Warren, 100 Garcia avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Russell Werner, 12 Sea Cliff avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Dairy Dale Co., 1410 Divisadero street, 200-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 28639 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

G. C. Blumenthal, 739 Miramar avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

G. J. Brunet, 1170 Sutter street, 750 gallons capacity.

O. W. Butt, east side of Twelfth avenue, 50 feet north of Moraga street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ira W. Coburn, southeast corner of Van Ness avenue and Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Emerson, 1 San Lorenzo way, 600 gallons capacity.

Phil Harris, northeast corner of California and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Albert Kroll, north side of Jefferson street, 100 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Lundy, east side of Leavenworth street, 150 feet north of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. Maneggie, southeast corner of Brazil and London streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., southwest corner of O'Farrell and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Penyner, north side of Jackson street, 34 feet 6 inches west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Scoble, south side of Beach street, 50 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

California Commercial Products Co., 21-23 Drumm street, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28640 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. A. Caldwell and C. A. Wiseman be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 1223 Ninth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Resolution No. 28641 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. E. Hancock and R. W. Smith be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Sam Sadowskipi by Resolution No. 25553 (New Series) for premises at 1663 Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Resolution No. 28642 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. L. Silliman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted F. F. Levesay by Resolution No. 18414 (New Series) for premises at 3855 Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Resolution No. 28643 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. R. Copeland be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Alberigi & Sorini by Resolution No. 25129 (New Series) for premises at 240 Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Resolution No. 28644 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That O. Hamilton be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 247 Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Resolution No. 28645 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Robert F. Berman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 1375 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Resolution No. 28646 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jesse H. Taylor be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a public garage at 2141 Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Resolution No. 28647 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. G. Galet be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Joseph O'Brien by Resolution No. 26001 (New Series) for premises on the west side of Divisadero street, 79 feet 7 inches south of Sacramento street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Resolution No. 28648 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. R. Lobeck and O. Mergenthaler be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Shell Company of California for premises at Third avenue, California street and Cornwall street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Automobile Parking Station Permit, W. W. Haley.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Cleaning and Dyeing Permit.

Resolution No. 28649 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lewis Rosenthal be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cleaning and dyeing works on the east side of Stevenson street, 341 feet north of Fourteenth street, with an entrance on the west side of Woodward street between Duboce avenue and Fourteenth street; also to store 1500 gallons of crude petroleum; also to maintain a 35-horsepower boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 28650 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on the north side of Oak street between Pierce and Steiner streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be

iolated by said Granfield, Farrar & arlin, then the privileges and all ights accruing thereunder shall mmediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, allagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, cGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powrs, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, tanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8450, Ordinance No. 7960 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, except that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of one brick manhole with appurtenances; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic ,concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8452, Ordinance No. 7961 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 18, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of six feet artificial stone sidewalks on the northerly one-half of Newcomb avenue between Mendell street and Third street, and on the northerly one-half of Newcomb avenue between

Lane and Mendell streets, and on Edinburgh street between Peru avenue and Silver avenue, and on Edinburgh street between Peru avenue and Avalon avenue, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $73,721.90, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $104.15.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $35.96.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Harold Bauer, soloist at Municipal Pop Concert of March 6 (claim dated March 12, 1928), $1,000.

(2) Moshe Menuhin, for services of Yehudi Menuhin, soloist at Municipal Pop Concert of Feb. 23, 1928 (claim dated March 12, 1928), $2,000.

Library Fund.

(3) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,134.55.

(4) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for libraries (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $810.

(5) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,800.74.

(6) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,115.20.

(7) Technical Book Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $527.02.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) Hazard Wire Rope Co., cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 2, 1928), $510.14.

(9) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated March 2, 1928), $535.61.

(10) Safety Cable Co., copper cable (claim dated March 2, 1928), $611.50.

(11) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, etc. (claim dated March 5, 1928), $930.29.

(12) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil, etc. (claim dated March 5, 1928), $1,049.70.

(13) Shell Company of California, gasoline (claim dated March 5, 1928), $597.60.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) F. F. Bodler, railway supplies (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $604.37.

(15) Market Street Railway Co., repairs for account Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,156.63.

(16) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., railway supplies (claim dated March 1, 1928), $636.79.

(17) Bureau Street Repairs (Board of Public Works), asphalt repairs to railway right of way (claim dated March 1, 1928), $664.58.

(18) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., varnish, etc., for railway cars (claim dated March 6, 1928), $864.30.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(19) Robert W. Jamison, fifth payment, installing electric conductors for Sunset line (claim dated March 6, 1928), $900.

Water Works Fund.

(20) Enterprise Foundry Co., cast iron boxes and covers for Municipal Water Works (claim dated March 5, 1928), $637.10.

County Road Fund.

(21) James M. Smith, final payment for improvement of Market street extension, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated March 7, 1928), $9,566.36.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) Edward F. Dowd, final payment, electrical work, Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $6,211.42.

(24) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $1,822.41.

(25) John Reid, Jr., sixteenth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $758.08.

(26) Mahony Bros., thirteenth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $10,515.59.

(27) D. Zelinsky & Sons, second payment, painting of Sherman School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,391.

Special School Tax.

(28) Knittle Bros., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for South Side High School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $3,171.62.

(29) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., fifth payment, electrical work for South Side High School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,771.25.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated March 12, 1928), $9,730.

(31) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated March 12, 1928), $1,075.

(32) A. P. Jacobs, rent of offices, No. 333 Kearny street, March 3 to April 3, 1928 (claim dated March 12, 1928), $1,186.

(33) James G. Stafford and associates, thirteenth payment, appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated March 10, 1928), $8,523.

(34) Safety Cable Co., wire for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $738.02.

(35) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated March 1, 1928), $750.

(36) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $3,005.02.

(37) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $526.50.

(38) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $4,940.17.

(39) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $3,557.98.

(40) Clarence B. Eaton, third payment, construction of extension to high-pressure water system, Islais Creek District (claim dated March 7, 1928), $9,300.

(41) Federal Construction Co., first payment, construction of extension to Thirtieth avenue main sewer, Sunset District (claim dated March 7, 1928), $4,200.

(42) Charles L. Harney, construction of outlet sewer in Forty-eighth avenue from Taraval street northerly (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,632.50.

(43) Travers Surgical Company, surgical supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $564.28.

(44) Phillips Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,049.24.

(45) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $5,-0[illegible].09.

(46) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $2,-[illegible].89.

(47) Eloesser Heynemann Co., men's clothing, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $540.

(48) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $983.62.

(49) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,067.25.

(50) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, dry goods for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 27, 1928), $522.56.

(51) McCarthy Bros., coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $535.

(52) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $1,296.

(53) California Meat Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $554.52.

(54) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $5,730.56.

(55) J. T. Freitas Co., butter and eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,-756.57.

(56) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $515.55.

(57) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,-405.18.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $938.83.

(59) Pacific Gas and Electric Co.,

for February street lighting (claim dated March 12, 1928), $53,509.61.

Appropriations for Properties Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Geo. G. Drew, for land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 70 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Balboa street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28460, New Series (claim dated March 12, 1928), $3,750.

(2) To Michael Reilly, for land and improvements commencing at a point on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 410 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28596, New Series (claim dated March 12, 1928), $6,250.

(3) To Margaret C. Sutton, for land and improvements on westerly line of Blake street, distant 535 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street; thence northerly on west line of Blake street 25 feet, and being of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28507, New Series (claim dated March 12, 1928), $5,500.

(4) To B. Fogalia, for land and improvements on the easterly line of Cook street, commencing 440 feet 11 inches northerly from Geary street, running thence northerly on easterly line of Cook street 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28505, New Series (claim dated March 12, 1928), $4,000.

(5) To Patrick J. Murray, for land and improvements on easterly line of Cook street, commencing 539 feet 11 inches, more or less, northerly from northerly line of Geary street, running thence easterly on easterly line of Cook street 56 feet 10 inches; thence southeasterly 212 feet 5 inches; being of irregular dimensions, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28506, New Series (claim dated March 12, 1928), $10,000.

Appropriations for Property Required for Playground Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for playground purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Cornelius Hyde and Mary Hyde, for Lot 6, Block 7093, as per Assessor's Map Book, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $4,450.

(2) To Henry Falke, for Lots 16 and 17, Block 7093, as per Assessor's Map Book, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $4,000.

(3) To William George and Florence George, for Lots 37 and 38, as per Assessor's Map Book, Block 7068, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $3,500.

(4) To Marion H. Ames, Lot 4, Block 7068, as per Assessor's Map Book, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,250.

(5) To William Nicholas, for Lot 9, Block 7093, as per Assessor's Map Book, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,450.

(6) To Sarah Farber, for Lot 9, Block 7068, as per Assessor's Map Book, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $475.

Appropriations, Repairs, Assessor's Office, Chapel at San Francisco Hospital and Fillmore Street Sewer.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 59.

(1) For the construction of an oak partition with glass top and one door with transom, in the office of the Assessor, $570.

(2) For renewing sections of the Civic Center steam lines, $500.

Chapel at San Francisco Hospital, Budget Item No. 63.

(3) For the furnishing and installing of electrical fixtures in chapel at the San Francisco Hospital (E. F. Dowd contract), $770.

Extension of Sewers, Etc., Budget Item No. 38.

(4) For inspection and possible extras in connection with the construction of the Fillmore street main sewer, Contract 1, Tenth street from Harrison to Division streets, $3,754.

Appropriation, $10,000, Emergency Supplies, Relief Home Superintendent.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Transfer of Funds, Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there now exists in the interest account of the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route) Assessment Fund a sum of money not required for the payment of interest on bonds issued pursuant to Section 26a of Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series); and

Whereas, there are accounts due and owing, resulting from the construction of the Sunset Tunnel; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Auditor and the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby directed to transfer from the interest account of the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route) Assessment Fund, any surplus not required to meet the interest charges on bonds issued pursuant to Section 26a of Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), to the Special Fund of the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route) Assessment Fund, and used to discharge the obligations incurred by reason of the construction of the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route).

Section 2. That all moneys transferred pursuant to Section 1 of this resolution are to be applied to discharging current liabilities of the said assessment district.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $1,803.38, Reimbursements, Repair of Equipment, Various Bureaus.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts, Department of Public Works, to the credit of Bureau of Stores and Yards, Budget Item No. 449; being reimbursements for account of expenditures in connection with repairs to equipment for the various bureaus, to-wit:

From Budget Item No. 525, Street Repair Dept.....	$914.63
From Budget Item No. 545, Street Cleaning Dept....	657.00
From Budget Item No. 558, Sewer Repair Dept.....	176.62
From Budget Item No. 565, Auto Maintenance.....	25.88
From Budget Item No. 495, Bureau of Engineering..	29.25
Total	$1,803.38

Appropriation, $350,000, Section E, Ocean Beach Esplanade.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, for the construction of Section E of the Ocean Beach Esplanade, to-wit:

For the construction work (Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co. contract)	$329,948.00
For the cost of engineering, inspection and extras	20,052.00
Total	$350,000.00

Accepting Offer of L. F. Brichetto et al. for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 28651 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land situated in the County of Stanislaus, State of California, required as a right of way for the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct and electric transmission line for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

L. F. Brichetto, Anna M. Brichetto and George Brichetto, $1,687—Portion of South ½ of Section 28, Township 2 S., Range 10 East, M. D. B. and M., containing 13.496 acres. (As per written offer on file.)

be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying said property to said City and County, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of Stanislaus County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28652 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

City Industrial Land Company, $45,372—A portion of a parcel of Gift Map No. 4 lying between Dickinson street, Marengo street, Waterloo street and the northwesterly line of Barneveld avenue as it was formerly laid down. (As per detailed description on file.)

be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Also, Resolution No. 28653 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, viz.:

Manuel Zaragoza and Beatrice Zaragoza, $5,500—A portion of Lots 65 and 66, Block "P," Thornton and Williams Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Frank Imhof and Josephine Imhof, $35.05—A portion of Block 525, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Sarah Weinstein, $1—A portion of Lot 152 of Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as per map thereof, recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the titles to said properties, and if the same are found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Also, Resolution No. 28654 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Maria S. Anderson, $6,215—

Parcel 1. Portion of Lots 60 and 61 and the southerly one-half of Lot 62 in Block "P" of Thornton and Williams Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lots 60 and 61 and the southerly one-half of Lot 62 in Block "P" of Thornton and Williams Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2 purchased from said Maria S. Anderson, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title and Trust Company for the City and County of San Francisco and under the directions of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28655 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Lorenzo Ferreiros and Louis Depaoli, $67—Portion of Lot No. 258, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company.

Also, Resolution No. 28656 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of January, 1928, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Company, etc., $533.19.

Gough Street Railroad Company, $45.44.

Parnassus Avenue, etc., $332.49.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

City Attorney to Settle Claim of Joseph E. Haverlandt for $6,000.

Also, Resolution No. 28657 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it appearing from a communication from Honorable John J. O'Toole, City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, that Joseph E. Haverlandt was, on May 13, 1925, injured while a passenger on a Municipal car, at or near Division street, and that said injury was caused by the front gate of said street car being negligently left open; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended a settlement of all claims for damages of said Joseph E. Haverlandt in the sum of six thousand ($6,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, is hereby authorized to fully compromise and settle said claim of Joseph E. Haverlandt for the sum of six thousand ($6,000) dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28658 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, viz.:

Adeline C. H. Husar, $100—Portion of Lot 5, Block 18, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco. The above amount includes damages to concrete wall and iron fence located on above described property. (As per written offer on file.)

Biaggio Locario and Vita D. Locario, $900—Portion of Lot 5, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," at pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco (As per written offer on file.)

Edward J. Mulcahy and Pauline A. Mulcahy, $3,200—Lot 28 of Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

L. F. Armknecht, $1,100—Portion of Block 1, Mission and Thirtieth Street Extension Homestead Union, as recorded in Map Book "G," pages 20 and 21, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Peter Parrinello and Josephine Parrinello, $6,000—Lot 28, Block 6686, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. The building located on the above described land is to remain the property of said Peter and Josephine Parrinello.

be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 177.

Also, Resolution No. 28659 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Ridgewood avenue and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Genesee street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Genesee street and running thence easterly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence easterly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 5½ feet; thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the southerly side of Joost avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Genesee street and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Foerster street, said setbacn line to be 7 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue, commencing at Edna street and running thence easterly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence easterly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Detroit street and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Congo street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Congo street and running thence easterly to Baden street, said set-back line to be 15½ feet; along the southerly side of Joost avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet easterly from Congo street and running thence easterly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 5½ feet.

Along the southeasterly side of Bemis street, commencing at a point 113 feet northeasterly from Mateo street and running thence northeasterly to a point 112 feet southwesterly from Miguel street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

And notice is hereoy given that Monday, the 9th day of April, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, allagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, cGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powrs, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, tanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed or printing* on recommendation of inance Committee:

osition Created, Assistant Superintendent of Civic Auditorium.

Bill No. 8454, Ordinance No. —— New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance o. 5460 (New Series), known as he "Ordinance of Additional Posiions," by adding a new subdivision o said section to be known as Subdivision (y).

Be it ordained by the People of he City and County of San Franisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended by adding a new subdivision thereto to be known as Subdivision (y), and to read as follows:

(y). One assistant superintendent of the Auditorium at a salary of $2,400 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of March 1, 1928.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *continued until meeting of March* 19, 1928:

Amending Zoning Law, Southwest Corner Jackson and Gough Streets.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets, for a distance of sixty (60) feet on Jackson street and for a distance of 125 feet 8¼ inches on Gough street, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Amending Zoning Law, Northwest Corner Eddy and Laguna Streets.

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Eddy and Laguna streets, for a distance of thirty-six (36) feet on Eddy street and for a distance of sixteen (16) feet on Laguna street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Motion.

Supervisor Schmidt moved to lay over one week.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden—4.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing matter *was made a Special Order for* 11 *a. m.*

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Automobile Parking Station, Howard J. Mallen.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor

street, 112 feet 8 inches north of Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Oil Tank Permits.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Archie Snow, east side of Folsom street, 100 feet north of Fourteenth street, 1000 gallons capacity.

John Mowdt, southwest corner of Church and Hermann streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gas Heating Company, 1510 Portola drive, 750 gallons capacity.

R. W. Moller, west side of Hyde street, 100 feet north of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. H. Pinkerton, south side of Eighteenth street, 150 feet west of Church street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Matheson, southwest corner of Cedro and Moncada streets, 600 gallons capacity.

J. D. Ball, 2566 Vallejo street, 750 gallons capacity.

Dr. Harold A. Fletcher, 12 West Clay Park, 750 gallons capacity.

Templeton Crocker, northwest corner of Post street and Grant avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. J. Enright, 2120 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Arthur Jonas, 14 Atalaya street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edith Griffith, east side of Divisadero street, 200 feet south of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Martinoni, 2240 Broderick street, 600 gallons capacity.

P. H. McCarthy, 153 Upper Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. James A. Levensaler, 3398 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed Grant, 100 Clipper street, 600 gallons capacity.

Frank Hill, 40 Dorantes avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Frank Hill, 145 Pacheco street, 600 gallons capacity.

C. M. Langdon, 2459 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Daniel Hayes, east side of Guerrero street, 100 feet south of Market street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry H. Simon, east side of Lyon street, 40 feet south of Greenwich street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McCormack Realty Co., east side of Octavia street, 109 feet north of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. Pasqualettie, north side of Greenwich street, 140 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilde, south side of San Jacinto street, 25 feet west of San Filipe street, 600 gallons capacity.

Wm. F. Lange, east side of Forty-first avenue, 150 feet north of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. L. Tiernan, 462 Funston avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bell & Sylvester, west side of Monterey boulevard, 170 feet south of Westgate drive, 600 gallons capacity.

Dr. H. E. Ruggles, 285 San Anselmo avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Penziner, south side of Bush street, 40 feet west of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Penziner, north side of Fern avenue, 40 feet west of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Claus Lornell, Jr., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 145 Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. Beinert be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted H. O. Little by Resolution No. 18990 (New Series) for premises at 1419 Pacific street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alberigi & Sorini be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore

granted for premises at 1520 Stockton street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Franz Wolff be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Henry J. Grieb by Resolution No. 23482 (New Series) for premises at 66 Page street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. W. Alpers be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 2375 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Milton Huot be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Pine street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Davis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Stanyan and Frederick streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Julius Brunton & Sons Co. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Nineteenth and Valencia streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Oil Tank Permit, Golden Gate Ferry Company.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Golden Gate Ferry Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to install and maintain a fuel oil storage tank on premises situated on the north side of Jefferson street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets under the following conditions: Said storage tank shall not exceed a capacity of 210,000 gallons and it shall be used only by the Golden Gate Ferry Company for the purpose of storing fuel oil for the use of their equipment. All oil stored therein shall have a flash point of over 110 degrees Fahrenheit. Said tank and appurtenances shall be constructed, erected and placed in position to the satisfaction of the Fire Marshal and shall be enclosed by a solid brick or reinforced concrete wall of such height and thickness as the Fire Marshal shall prescribe. Fire extinguishing equipment shall be installed of such type as required by said officer. This permit is not transferable.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Land Required for School Purposes.

On recommendation of Public Buildings Committee:

Resolution No. 28660 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 375 feet southerly from the intersection of the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue with the southerly line of Kirkham street, running thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue 225 feet; thence at right angles westerly 240 feet; thence at right angles northerly 225 feet;

thence at right angles easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 1876.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco for school purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceeding in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land, and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Accepting Offer of Emily M. Pfister to Sell Property Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28661 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Emily M. Pfister to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 50 feet southerly from Quintara street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,150 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 50 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 95 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 95 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 1049.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Also, Resolution No. 28662 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of the easterly line of Thirty-ninth avenue with the northerly line of Ulloa street, running thence easterly along the northerly line of Ulloa street 240 feet; thence at right angles northerly 325 feet; thence at right angles westerly 240 feet; thence at right angles southerly 325 feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2387.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco for school purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Rejecting Bid of T. Mosco for City Lot on Bush Street.

Also, Resolution No. 28663 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bid of T. Mosco ...r lease of the certain City prop...ty situate north line of Bush ...reet, distant 24 feet westerly from ...t. George alley, in the sum of ...100 rental per month be and the ...me is hereby rejected; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk ...e and he is hereby directed to re...dvertise a proposal notice inviting ...ids for the lease of said property ...or a period of ten years, in ac...ordance with provisions of the ...harter.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, ...allagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, ...cGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Pow...rs, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, ...tanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Accepting Offer of California Pacific Title and Trust Company to Sell Certain Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28664 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been re...eived from the California Pacific ...itle and Trust Company to con...vey to the City and County of San ...rancisco certain lands hereinafter ...escribed, required for school pur...poses; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described lands, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $16,065, be and the same is hereby accepted; said land being described as follows, to-wit:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue and the southerly line of Lot 7 in Block 15, as per West End Map No. 1, filed May 1, 1863, in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", page 45, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, running thence southwesterly along the prolongation of said northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue 116 feet to a point distant thereon southwesterly 215 feet from the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue; thence southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 340 feet, tangent to the preceding course a distance of 293.739 feet; thence southwesterly, tangent to the preceding curve at this point 199.488 feet; thence westerly along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 20 feet, tangent to the preceding course a distance of 28.766 feet, to tangency with a curve drawn from an initial point on the southeasterly line of Lot 2 in Block 14, as per said West End Map No. 1, distant thereon northeasterly 42.407 feet from the most southerly corner of said Lot 2 (the center of which curve bears north 79 deg. 41 min. 16 sec. east 370 feet from said initial point); thence northwesterly along the curve so drawn 43.326 feet to said initial point on the southeasterly line of said Lot 2; thence northeasterly along the last mentioned line 31.838 feet to the most easterly corner of said Lot 2; thence northeasterly 73.901 feet to the most easterly corner of Lot 1 in said Block 14; thence northeasterly 61.392 feet to the most southerly corner of Lot 5 in said Block 15; thence northeasterly 82.225 feet to the most easterly corner of said Lot 5; thence northeasterly 40.31 feet to the southwesterly corner of Lot 6 in said Block 15; thence northeasterly 165.17 feet to the most easterly corner of said Lot 6, and thence easterly 142.64 feet to the point of beginning.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the titles to said lands and, if the same are found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and the the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney titles have been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause good and sufficient deeds for said lots, pieces or parcels of land to be executed and delivered to the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deeds to said land are hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was, on motion, *referred to the Traffic Committee*:

Parades and Processions Ordinance.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the

public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four (24) hours previous to its forming or marching, and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass, and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges and the apparatus of the Fire Department responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department, and Fire Marshal and auxiliary fire apparatus belonging to any person, firm or corporation when such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment, and the United States mail wagons, shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 4. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession shall not be established until the head of the parade or procession is within one (1) block of said crossing and the intersections, and said lines shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 5. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession, the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert sadi parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over and along the nearest street from such fire, accident or emergency, and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 6. Provided, however, that no parade or procession, except funeral processions, shall be permitted to occupy or march upon any street in the Central Traffic District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any days excepting Sundays and legal holidays, unless special permit has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 7. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 8. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 9. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Lighting Committee:

Underground Ordinance, Geary Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Fillmore Street.

Bill No. 8455, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 1t.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1t. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after September 30, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 25—Geary street from the west line

Van Ness avenue to east line Fillmore street, 3341 feet, wires to be placed underground during reconstruction of street.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 28665 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

West side Church street, first and second south of Fifteenth street.
East side Church street, first and second south of Fifteenth street.
West side Church street, first south of Sixteenth street.
East side Church street, first and second south of Sixteenth street.
Southwest corner Church and Dorland streets.
East side Church street, first and second south of Seventeenth street.
West side Church street, first and second south of Liberty street.
Northeast and southwest corners Church and Twenty-first streets.
West side Church street, first and second south of Twenty-first street.
East side Church street, first and second south of Twenty-first street.
West side Church street, first and second south of Twenty-second street.
East side Church street, first south of Twenty-second street.
Northeast corner Church and Twenty-third streets.
West side Church street, first and second south of Twenty-third street.
East side Church street, first south of Twenty-third street.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Southwest corner Church and Seventeenth streets.
Southwest corner Church and Eighteenth streets.
Southwest corner Church and Hancock streets.
Southwest corner Church and Cumberland streets.
West side Church street between Twentieth and Liberty streets.
Southwest corner Church and Liberty streets.
Southwest corner Church and Twentieth streets.

Install 250 *M. R.*

East side Ada lane, off Jackson street, between Stockton and Powell streets.
Broad street between Capitol and Plymouth avenues.

Install 250 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, front of church.

Install 250 *C. P. O. B.*

North side Rockdale drive, 170 feet west of Chaves way.
North side Rockdale drive, 195 feet east of Chaves way.
North side Agua way, 135 feet east of Chaves way.
Gore of Del Sur and Chaves way.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

East side Masonic avenue, south of Geary street.
East side Masonic avenue, opposite St. Rose avenue.
East side Masonic avenue, south of St. Rose avenue.
St. Rose avenue, east of Masonic avenue.
Mangels avenue between Foerster and Ridgewood avenues.
Laura street between Huron and Alemany avenues.
Opposite 390 Arguello boulevard.
East side Scott street between Vallejo street and Broadway.
East side Scott street between Vallejo and Green streets.
Jessie street between Annie and Third streets.
Southeast corner San Bruno avenue and Meade street.
London street between Amazon and Geneva avenues.
Paris street between Amazon and Geneva avenues.
Lisbon street between Amazon and Geneva avenues.
Madrid street between Amazon and Geneva avenues.
Edinburgh street between Amazon and Geneva avenues.
Naples street between Amazon and Geneva avenues.
Athens street between Amazon and Geneva avenues.
Broderick street between Ellis and Eddy streets.
Front of 3473 Seventeenth street, between Guerrero and Dearborn streets.
Mangels avenue between Baden and Congo streets.
East side Ashbury street, at bend between Clifford Terrace and Piedmont street.
North side Clifford Terrace, about 90 feet east of Ashbury street.
Fifth avenue and Judah street.
Southwest corner Twenty-fourth avenue and Fulton street, entrance to Golden Gate Park.
Twenty-fifth avenue and Lawton street.
Silliman and Oxford streets.
Peralta street between Powhattan and Cortland avenues.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

East and west sides Church street between Market and Fifteenth streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Fifteenth streets.

East and west sides Church street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Sixteenth streets.

East and west sides Church street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Seventeenth streets.

West side Church street between Seventeehth and Dorland streets.

Church and Dorland streets.

East side Church street between Eighteenth and Dorland streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Eighteenth streets.

West side Church street between Eighteenth and Hancock streets.

East side Church street, opposite Hancock street.

West side Church street between Nineteenth and Hancock streets.

Northwest corner Church and Nineteenth streets.

West side Church street between Nineteenth and Cumberland streets.

Church and Cumberland streets.

West side Church street between Twentieth and Cumberland streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Twentieth streets.

West side Church street between Liberty and Twentieth streets.

Church and Liberty streets.

Church street between Twenty-first and Liberty streets.

East side Church street, south of Twenty-second street.

Southeast corner Church and Twenty-third streets.

South side Fourteenth street, second trolley pole east of Valencia street.

Repeal portion of Resolution No. 28089 (New Series), Hyde street between Broadway and Union street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Award of Contract, Water Softening Mineral.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 28666 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to California Filter Co. on bid suomitted February 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 355), for furnishing the following:

Water softening mineral for San Francisco Hospital for the sum of $750.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $250 be required for the faithful performance of said contract and guarantee of upkeep and replacement of mineral.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Rejecting Bids for Orthophonic Victrolas.

Also, Resolution No. 28667 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore on February 27, 1928 (Proposal No. 359), submitted for furnishing orthophonic victrolas for School Department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Home Coming Reception, "Empress of Australia."

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 28670 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on March 25th the Canadian Pacific steamship "Empress of Australia" will make a port call on a round-the-world cruise; and

Whereas, Aunt Dolly, of the San Francisco Chronicle is completing her official world tour to the delight of all the children of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee be authorized to make suitable arrangements for her homecoming.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Streets Committee:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Geary Street Between Van Ness avenue and Divisadero Street.

Bill No. 8456, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and sixteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communica- of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 10, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and sixteen, to read as follows:

Section 1016. The width of sidewalks on Geary street between Van Ness avenue and Divisadero street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Appropriation, $1,500, Payment to Mills Estate, Rental for Airport Site.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 55, and authorized in payment to the Mills Estate, Incorporated; being payment of one year's rental of property situate in San Mateo County, in accordance with leáse entered into and as per Resolution No. 26816 (New Series); and being for the year March 15, 1928, to March 15, 1929.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Industrial Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on private property at the northeast corner of Howard and Tenth streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Industrial Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediatley become null and void.

Ordinance Regulating the Keeping of Household Pets.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance regulating the keeping of household pets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to house, keep or maintain any animal which is liable to cause alarm or disturb the peace or convenience of any property owner or resident of this City and County without having first secured a permit in writing from the Captain of the particular Police District in which such animal is to be housed or maintained; such permit shall be revocable by the same authority. It is understood that this ordinance is designed to prevent the keeping of dogs, cats and other domesticated animals which have a tendency to annoy or disturb the peace of neighborhoods.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Police Committee.

Correction of Traffic Congestion During Street Repair Work.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, due to the fact that traffic congestion is occasioned by necessary repairs to streets, and also due to work being performed by the various utility corporations, that the Streets Committee attempt to arrange that in future the Police Department and the Board of Public Works cooperate to the end that this traffic congestion be corrected.

Referred to Streets Committee.

In re Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City entered into a lease in writing with Louis T. Samuels on the 3rd day of May, 1926, for the premises known and designated No. 333 Kearny street for a term of five years two and one-half months from the 15th day of April, 1926, at a monthly rental of $1,-185.30, containing 7902 square feet on the 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th floors of said premises, for the purpose of housing the offices of the District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury; and

Whereas, it is the intention to remove the offices of the District Attorney to the Hall of Justice, thereby decreasing the footage area occupied by City officials in said building, No. 333 Kearny street, from 1902 square feet as now contained in the lease of said premises to the number of 3118 square feet, being the space required to be retained for the respective officials remaining therein; and

Whereas, the owner, Al Jacobs, successor in interest to said Louis T. Samuels has by communication offered and agreed to a modification of the present lease to the extent only that the said lease recite the amount of 3118 square feet situate on the 4th and 5th floors of said building to be retained by the City for the accommodation of the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer, in lieu of 7902 square feet as set forth in the present lease, and to be charged for at the rate of 21 cents per square foot, amounting to a monthly rental in the sum of $654.78 during the balance of the period of time expressed in the present lease; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the owner of said premises, Al Jacobs, be and is hereby accepted and the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to confer with said owner and have a modification to said lease of premises No. 333 Kearny street legally prepared in accordance with the said offer and agreement; and his Honor the Mayor is hereby authorized and requested to enter into a lease with said owner in accordance with the modifications herein set forth.

Supervisor Powers: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board: A few months ago I was instructed by the Board of Supervisors to have a modification made of the lease of No. 333 Kearny street. I went down personally on two different occasions to the owner of the building, which is now Mr. Jacobs. When the City and County of San Francisco entered into this lease it was owned by former Supervisor McLeran and leased from Mr. Samuels who was handling his estate at that time, and it caused a terrible controversy in the Board of Supervisors here because I had always claimed that the District Attorney belonged in the Hall of Justice, where he properly belonged, and every man in the District Attorney's office will tell you that he rightfully belongs in the Hall of Justice in place of five blocks away where he is at the present time, and every Supervisor here, outside of the new Supervisors, are very well versed with this bill because it has been before this Board of Supervisors for practically two years. I asked the Board of Supervisors when the bill was first brought before the Board, if they would allow me, as chairman of the Building and Lands Committee, to make some alterations in the Hall of Justice. I have spent $40,000 in the Hall of Justice, and I want any citizen in San Francisco to go down and inspect the improvements that have been made in that building, and they will come back praising your Building Committee for the work we have accomplished in that building. And I want to tell the members of the Board of Supervisors here this afternoon that your Building Committee will make more necessary repairs in the Hall of Justice, which is an old building, but I claim that I can make a very substantial building and plenty of room for the District Attorney and the Police Department in that building. I first insisted on the whole three departments, which was the District Attorney, the Public Defender and the Probation Officer, moving from 333 Kearny street. I first insisted, with one of my colleagues at that time, former Supervisor Byington, who had previously been a District Attorney in the City and County of San Francisco, who concurred with me right straight along on every move that was taken on that bill, that the District Attorney and the Probation Officer and the Public Defender belonged in the Hall of Justice. The Board of Supervisors asked me if I would go down and compromise with the owner of that building and allow two departments to stay at 333 Kearny street, the Public Defender, who has about eight employees in his department, and the Probation Officer, who has about fourteen employees in his department. I studied the bill over and went down and met the property

owner on two or three different occasions. I asked him how many feet of floor space the District Attorney was using at that time, the Public Defender and the Probation Officer, and before he had a death in his family we were almost up to an agreement two months ago. When that occurred I let the bill go along. There was only a few dollars separating us at that time and within the last two weeks I have communications here from the attorney of the owner of the building, representing him, and the Public Defender and the Probation Officer will need some 3000 odd feet of floor space. I agreed on the 21 cents per square foot for that floor space, which amounts to six hundred and some odd dollars. We are now paying $1,100 rent for the space and when the District Attorney moves out the City and County of San Francisco will be saving five hundred and some odd dollars per month. And there is plenty of room, which every Supervisor knows. I have always claimed that there is plenty of room in the Hall of Justice for all of the departments. I was willing to compromise this bill and allow two departments to stay at 333 Kearny street. I have made—well, I do not know just offhand how many more square feet for the Police Department, but they are now occupying that space down there, and your Building Committee is being commended by the Police Department for the wonderful job which went on there. The photograph gallery on the roof and the two lightwells, I had two rooms constructed there. And I know that every Supervisor that heard this bill argued in this Board of Supervisors for practically two years, and from a financial standpoint the City and County of San Francisco will save over $500 a month, and the District Attorney does belong in the Hall of Justice. Any attorney in San Francisco will tell you that. All the employees in the District Attorney's office will tell you that. He belongs in the Hall of Justice, and the floor space is waiting for him. Your Building Committee will clean up those rooms and put them into better shape than ever they were since the building has been constructed. It is immaterial to me whether the Board turns me down on this vote, but I want to say that I have been consistently right on this bill from the first day that I objected to it. I always knew that the District Attorney belonged in the Hall of Justice, and I knew that he should never have been moved out of the Hall of Justice, and the City and County of San Francisco on this lost over $500 a month. And the rooms are waiting for the District Attorney to move in. As soon as I get the sanction of this Board, which takes ninety days after we pass it before he can move back, I will have the rooms cleaned up for him in the Hall of Justice, and he will have a far better place than he now has at 333 Kearny street, because the men who are working for him have told me that the elevator service is very bad because they have to go to their offices in the night time and they have to walk five or six flights of stairs, when there is no elevator running. I have been told that right straight from the men who are working there. And I would like to see the Board of Supervisors get this behind them this afternoon so this controversy will not be coming up in the Board of Supervisors month in and month out.

* * * * *

Supervisor Shannon: This was put on our calendar as a recommendation of the Public Buildings and Land Committee, and it is not the recommendation of that committee and there is nothing in that communication or any of those communications to show that this owner is ready or willing, and by communication has offered or agreed to any reduction. And I move that the matter go over two weeks. Personally, I want to go down to the Hall of Justice and make an inspection and see just exactly what has been done down there and see if the space that has been built in there by the committee is sufficient to acommodate the several departments that are supposed to go down there.

Motion.

I move a continuance for two weeks.

Supervisor Hayden: Second the motion.

* * * * *

Supervisor Suhr: I just explained to you I did not sign the report, and I will vote that it go back to committee in order that we have it explained. I did not sign the report.

Supervisor Hayden: Therefore it is not a majority report. I rise to a point of order. My point of order is, unless a recommendation of the committee is here, agreed to

by a majority of the committee, it is not properly before the Board, that is my point of order.

* * * * *

Supervisor Suhr: I did concur in the chairman of the Building Committee's report until the owner of the building made the request that the matter go over that he could come before the committee.

* * * * *

I did not sign the report.

The Chairman: Then I have to sustain Supervisor Hayden's point of order. The whole matter is out of order.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will gladly second the motion made by Supervisor Powers, to-wit, that we cancel the lease at 333 Kearny street for the reasons, one——

Supervisor Hayden (interrupting): I rise to a point of order. You have sustained my point of order which takes this matter out of the Board's hands and it automatically goes back to the committee from which it originated.

* * * * *

The Chairman: Now we are on the report of the Building Committee.

Supervisor Gallagher: I wish to rise to a privileged question. As a member of the Board, exercising my privilege under the rules of the Board, I call out now out of the Building Committee the proposition relative to the lease of 333 Kearny street which has been pending there for more than thirty days, and I direct the Clerk to put it on the calendar, to cancel the lease.

Supervisor Hayden: That is properly in order.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you the cancellation of the lease.

Supervisor Powers: Second the motion.

* * * * *

Supervisor Shannon: I am not taking any exception to Mr. Gallagher's motion. I simply wanted to endeavor to conserve time that I moved postponement for two weeks and it is for the purpose of permitting me, and I dare say many other members of the Board who have not seen the Hall of Justice since it has been remodeled, a continuance of two weeks for the purpose of making an inspection.

* * * * *

The Chairman: The cancellation of the lease is before the house.

Supervisor Shannon: A motion to postpone can be considered.

Supervisor Gallagher: Have you made another one since I made it?

Supervisor Shannon: Yes.

The Chairman: Was Supervisor Shannon's motion to postpone for two weeks seconded?

Supervisor Hayden: Yes, I seconded it.

The Chairman: The question before the house is to postpone this matter on Supervisor Shannon's motion for two weeks.

* * * * *

The Chairman: Roll call. Read the motion before the house.

The Clerk: The question is on postponement for two weeks.

(Roll call).

Supervisor Colman, aye; Supervisor Deasy, aye; Supervisor Gallagher, no; Supervisor Havenner, no; Supervisor Hayden, aye; Supervisor Kent, no; Supervisor McGovern, no; Supervisor McSheehy, no; Supervisor Marks, no; Supervisor Powers, no; Supervisor Roncovieri, no; Supervisor Schmidt, aye; Supervisor Shannon, aye; Supervisor Stanton, no; Supervisor, Suhr, aye; Supervisor Todd, aye; Supervisor Toner, aye.

Supervisor Shannon: I desire to change my vote from aye to no and give notice of reconsideration at the next meeting.

Supervisor McSheehy: I rise to a point of order and my point of order is that the motion to reconsider this vote is out of order, Mr. Chairman, and it has been so decided by our City Attorney.

* * * * *

The Chairman: I am sorry I will have to rule Supervisor Shannon's motion out of order.

Supervisor Gallagher: The question is on the main motion.

* * * * *

Supervisor Gallagher: Put in the proper wording, that notice is hereby given and so forth.

Supervisor Kent: I would make an amendment, that the Clerk be directed to write a letter and register it to the owner, under date of tomorrow, that this Board will cancel the lease of 333 Kearny street, to take effect July 10, 1928.

Supervisor Gallagher: I will second that.

Supervisor Powers: I will second that.

The Chairman: You accept it as the original motion?

Supervisor Gallagher: Yes.

* * * * *

The Chairman: Roll call.

Supervisor Colman, no; Supervisor Deasy, no; Supervisor Gallagher, aye; Supervisor Havenner,

Aye; Supervisor Hayden, no; Supervisor Kent, aye; Supervisor McGovern, aye; Supervisor McSheehy, aye; Supervisor Marks, aye; Supervisor Powers, aye; Supervisor Ronovieri, aye; Supervisor Schmidt, aye; Supervisor Shannon, no; Supervisor Stanton, aye; Supervisor Suhr, no; Supervisor Todd, no; Supervisor Toner, no.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Supervisor Shannon: Mr. Chairman, I desire to change my vote from no to aye, and give notice of reconsideration.

The Chairman: Such will be the order.

Committee Chairmen.

Supervisor McSheehy requested that Supervisor Gallagher be authorized to act as chairman of the "Rules Committee," and Supervisor Hayden, chairman of the Auditorium Committee during his absence in Washington.

So ordered.

Gartland Anderson, Negro Dramatist.

Supervisor Hayden introduced and the Chair presented to the Board Mr. Gartland Anderson, a San Francisco negro damatist, who has met with singular success in New York with his drama "Appearances," which is now being produced in San Francisco and which has received the highest praise of our national dramatic critics.

Mr. Anderson responded to the encomiums of the Chair and Supervisor Hayden in a brief address. He invited all the members and their wives to be present at the Community Playhouse, Sutter and Mason streets, where "Appearances" is being presented. Acting Mayor Havenner to represent the City in case Mayor Rolph goes to Washington on bridge matters.

Letter of Thanks to San Mateo Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk be directed to express the thanks of this Board to the Supervisors of San Mateo County for reversing action on the proposed Candlestick Point Bridge.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 19, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 13

Monday, March 19, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 19, 1928, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Certificate of Appointment of Sylvester Andriano as Supervisor.

The following was read by the Clerk:

The City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

To all to whom these presents shall come—Greeting:

Reposing special confidence in the fidelity and ability of Sylvester N. Andriano, I do, by these presents, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, appoint him a member of the Board of Supervisors in and for the City and County of San Francisco, he to hold said office for the term ending the first Monday after the first day of January, 1932, from and after the 14th day of March, 1928, vice John B. Badaracco, deceased.

In testimony whereof, I have signed my name and have caused the seal of my office to be affixed hereto this 14th day of March, 1928.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Attest:
EDWARD RAINEY,
Executive Secretary to the Mayor.

Official Bond of Supervisor Sylvester Andriano.

March 19, 1928.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

This is to certify that the official bond of Sylvester Andriano was filed for record in my department on March 15, 1928, at 23 minutes past 3 o'clock p. m. This bond is in the sum of five thousand dollars, executed by the National Surety Company of New York, and guarantees the proper and faithful performance of all official duties on the part of said Sylvester Andriano, appointee member of the Board of Supervisors.

Very truly yours,
EDMOND GODCHAUX,
Recorder.

Oath of Office of Supervisor Sylvester Andriano.

State of California, City and County of San Francisco—ss.

I, Sylvester N. Andriano, do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States of America and the Constitution of the State of California, and that I will faithfully discharge the duties of Supervisor in and for the City and County of San Francisco according to the best of my ability.

SYLVESTER ANDRIANO.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 14th day of March, 1928.

(Seal) H. I. MULCREVY,
County Clerk of San Francisco and ex officio Clerk of the Superior Court thereof.

Induction Into Office.

Sylvester Andriano, attorney and resident of the North Beach section, was welcomed to the Board and given an enthusiastic ovation by friends and a cordial reception by members of the Board.

Supervisor Andriano was presented to the Board by Mayor Rolph, who made a brief announcement, stating that the appointment was for the remainder of the term of the late Supervisor John B. Badaracco, who began his second four-year term the first of the year.

His Honor the Mayor spoke of Andriano's career in San Francisco and his work as an attorney, expressing the opinion that the new Supervisor would not only "adequately take the place of the late Supervisor Badaracco, and represent that great North Beach dis-

trict, but will be a Supervisor for all the City, and become one of the best authorities on municipal affairs in the City."

The new member was escorted to his seat by a delegation of three members. The seat was surrounded by huge floral pieces and the desk heaped with letters and telegrams of congratulations.

Supervisor Andriano paid tribute to the memory of "Jack" Badaracco and expressed the hope that he himself would become as representative of the citizens of Italian descent as the late member. He declared that he had "everything to learn about the business affairs of the City, and that he was willing to be a hard student."

Supervisor Havenner said, in part:

"I wish to congratulate Mayor Rolph on his excellent selection in naming the new member of this Board."

Others who spoke were Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon, Marks and Toner. Dr. Robert Grosso and Assemblyman Charles A. Oliva also joined in the tributes to the new Supervisor.

At the afternoon session of the Board Supervisor Andriano was appointed chairman of the Police Committee, recently made vacant by the transfer of Supervisor Schmidt to head the Supplies Committee. Supervisor Andriano also will serve on the Public Health and Civil Service committees.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved that the remarks and proceedings be transcribed and a bound copy forwarded to Supervisor Andriano.

Motion *carried.*

Whereupon, Supervisor Andriano was declared duly inducted in the office of Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Memorial, the Late William Kent.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, unanimously adopted by rising vote, the following was *ordered printed in the Journal of Proceedings*:

William Kent, former member of Congress from the Second District of California, a resident of Marin County, passed away on March 13, 1928, at his home.

The Board of Supervisors, representing the people of San Francisco, here records that this city is under deepest obligation to William Kent for his great aid in procuring from Congress the grant of the Hetch Hetchy permit. He labored unselfishly and indefatigably to bring about the passage of the legislation. In this and in many other civic matters William Kent displayed an unwavering fealty to the cause of humanity whenever and wherever he had an opportunity to serve.

His life was characterized by unselfishness, generosity and the highest patriotism.

The City of San Francisco has lost a genuine friend and the people of California have lost a noble citizen.

Providing for Equipment and Maintenance of Adjustment School for Minors.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Organizing and establishing and providing for the equipment and maintenance of an adjustment school in the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of furnishing to certain minors under the age of eighteen years, care, custody, education, training and adjustment to good citizenship, which shall be continuous and uninterrupted during the period that such minors shall remain in such school, and to provide for a governing board for such school, and for the manner of the appointment of the members thereof, and specifying their powers and duties, and providing for the support of said minors therein, all pursuant to the provisions of an Act of the Legislature of the State of California, approved May 23, 1927, and entitled, "An Act to authorize the creation of County Adjustment Schools for the care of minors under eighteen years of age; to provide for the maintenance and management of the same, and the making of commitments and transfer of said minors thereto, and the support of said minors therein."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows, to-wit:

Section 1. That pursuant to the authority and provisions of an Act of the Legislature of the State of California, approved May 23, 1927, entitled, "An Act to authorize the creation of County Adjustment Schools for the care of minors under eighteen years of age; to provide for the maintenance and management of the same, and the making of commitments and transfers of said minors thereto, and the support of said minors therein," there is hereby organized and established in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, an adjustment school for the purpose of furnishing to certain minors under the age of eighteen years, in the manner prescribed in said Act above mentioned, care, custody, ed-

ucation, training and adjustment to good citizenship, which shall be continuous and uninterrupted during the period that such minors shall remain in said school.

Section 2. That said school shall be organized and established by the City and County of San Francisco, without the aid of another county.

Section 3. The government and management of said Adjustment School shall be vested in a board of seven trustees, four of whom shall be members of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, and three of whom shall be members of the County Probation Committee of the Juvenile Court of the said City and County of San Francisco. That said trustees shall be chosen and appointed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by resolution of said Board duly adopted by a majority of said Board. The said trustees shall hold office for the term of six years, provided that the seven trustees first appointed as herein provided shall so classify themselves by lot that two shall hold office for two years, two for four years and three for six years. That upon any of the said trustees appointed as aforesaid from said Board of Education ceasing to be members thereof, and upon any of said trustees appointed from said County Probation Committee ceasing to be members of said committee, said member or members shall ipso facto cease to be a member of said board of trustees of said Adjustment School. Whenever a vacancy shall exist on said board of trustees, either by expiration of term of office or otherwise, said vacancy shall be filled by said Board of Supervisors in the manner above specified, said appointment to be made so that the number of members on said board of trustees from said Board of Education and from said County Probation Committee shall remain as in this ordinance provided.

Section 4. That said board of trustees shall have all of the powers given to or provided for boards of trustees of said Adjustment Schools by the aforesaid Act of the Legislature, and shall be subject to all of the responsibilities and perform and discharge all of the duties provided in said Act to be borne, performed or discharged by said trustees.

Section 5. That such male minors shall be received into said Adjustment Schools as are provided by said Act of the Legislature to be so received, and the manner of their reception therein and transfer or discharge therefrom shall be as provided in said Act. Provided further, that there may be received into said school minors from other counties otherwise eligible for entrance thereto, upon such conditions and upon the payment of such per capita charges as may be fixed by the board of trustees, and the said board of trustees is hereby given the power to fix the conditions and per capita charges upon which said minors from other counties will be received into said school.

Section 6. That no female minors shall be received into said Adjustment School.

Section 7. That the course of study for said minors who may be received into said Adjustment School shall be that provided by the common elementary and high schools of the State of California, and in addition thereto there shall be provided such vocational and trade training as is provided by said Act of the Legislature, and the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to organize said course of study and vocational training and supply the necessary teachers and supervisors therefor, and make provision in its annual budget of an amount sufficient to defray the cost of said study and training and education.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its passage.

Referred to Finance and Public Welfare committees jointly.

Reciting That Plans, Estimates of Cost, Etc., Have Been Filed for Acquisition of Spring Valley Water Company.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee:

Resolution No. 28671 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of all of the property or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and that Spring Valley Water Company has made an offer to sell, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that

all of said estimate cost and offer to sell will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 27th day of February, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of all of the property or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, and continuing the water supply system of the said Spring Valley Water Company as an existing public utility. That the total estimated cost of the properties of the said Spring Valley Water Company is the sum of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars; that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to secure the said described public utility and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. That in conformity with Resolution No. 28516 (New Series), the Spring Valley Water Company did, on the 2d day of March, 1928, file with the Board of Supervisors an offer for the sale of that portion of the said Spring Valley Water Company's properties used or useful in supplying water for the uses of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco. That said offer was, by Resolution No. 28587 (New Series), referred to the Board of Public Works for study and report, and on the 12th day of March, 1928, said Board of Public Works filed with the Board of Supervisors its report showing that the cost of the properties, described in Section 1 of this resolution, plus all additions and betterments and other capital expenditures to be made to the Spring Valley Water Company system, if acquired, would require the sum of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars, no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Reciting That Plans, Estimates of Cost, Etc., Have Been Filed for Completion of the Hetch Hetchy Project.

Also, Resolution No. 28672 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of the cost of the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: Aqueduct tunnels, pipelines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries situated within the State of California to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 27th day of February, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipelines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries situated within the State of California to the City and County of

San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants. That the total estimated cost of such water supply and works is the sum of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars; that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to secure said described public utility and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the sum of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for the Issuance of Bonds for Completion of Hetch Hetchy Project.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee:

Bill No. 8457, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the first day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars, for the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipelines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne System, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne River and its tributaries situated within the State of California to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the first day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipelines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne System, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne River and its tributaries, situated within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco, for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 27th day of February, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 28672 (New Series) in the sum of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 28672 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San

Francisco to the amount required therefor, and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Hetch Hetchy—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of twenty-four million ($24,000,00) dollars for the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipelines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries, situated within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco, for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such elections, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in

the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1928, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Hetch Hetchy Bond."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 24,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars thereof ten years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and six hundred thousand ($600.-000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Hetch Hetchy Bond."

No. —— $1,000.

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as be-

fore, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1928.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. —— $——

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1928, number ——.

....., Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

..... Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one million eighty thousand ($1,080,000) dollars for the first ten years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the eleventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million fifty-three thousand ($1,053,000) dollars to pay, and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars thereof due ten years from their date have been paid, and for the twelfth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million twenty-six thousand $1,026,000) dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the six hundred thousand ($600.000) dollars thereof due eleven years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-seven succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of twenty-seven thousand ($27,000) dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning ten years from the date of said bonds of six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars of said bonds, and the sum of six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars each year beginning nine years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for thirty-nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for the Issuance of Bonds for the Acquisition of the Properties of the Spring Valley Water Company.

Also, Bill No. 8458, Ordinance

No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the 1st day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco, a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars, for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, all of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 1st day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was, by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works, procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 27th day of February, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 28671 (New Series) in the sum of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 28671 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Spring Valley—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and

County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying good, pure water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such elections, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1928, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Spring Valley Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose

stated shall be numbered from 1 to 41,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof two years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Spring Valley Bond."

No. ——— $1,000

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco ,a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1928.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ——— $———

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1928, number ———.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one million eight hundred forty-five thousand ($1,845,000) dollars for the first two years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the third year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million eight hundred thousand ($1,800,000) dollars to pay, and in season to pay the interest on such bonds as remain outstanding after the one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof due two years from their date have been paid, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million seven hundred fifty-five thousand ($1,755,000) dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-eight succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of forty-five thousand ($45,000) dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning two years from the date of said bonds of one million ($1,000,000) dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one million ($1,000,000) dollars each year beginning one year from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for forty years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

333 Kearny Street.

March 12, 1928—Supervisor Kent moved as an amendment that the Clerk be directed to write a letter and register it to the owner, under date of tomorrow, that this Board will concel the lease of 333 Kearny street, to take effect July 10, 1928.

Carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Shannon, Suhr, Todd Toner—7.

Thereupon, before the vote was announced, Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the said vote at this meeting.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the foregoing matter be laid over one week and be made a Special Order for 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor Powers moved as an amendment that the matter be taken up this afternoon.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—8.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Andriano—1.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon's motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, Powers, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Andriano—1.

Telegram.

Mayor James Rolph, Jr., Twenty-fifth and Fair Oaks streets, San Francisco, California.

Telegram 16th inst. received. Come and bring Judge Sullivan. He will be a tower of strength. Regards from entire delegation. Will keep you informed.

JAS. B. McSHEEHY.

Read to the Board.

Re Survey of Parking Stations and Garages.

March 15, 1928.

To the Honorable the Fire Committee, Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen:

Attached hereto please find file in connection with the above survey.

The Traffic Committee, at its meeting this date, approved the report by Traffic Engineer Geo. D. Burr in its entirety, and recommends to the Fire Committee that the Mallen permit for automobile parking station, now pending before the Board, be granted.

Very truly yours,

CHAS. F. TODD,
Chairman.
JESSE C. COLMAN,
Traffic Committee.

Leave of Absence, Chief Engineer O'Shaughnessy.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

March 15, 1928.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I hereby make formal request for permission from your Honorable Board to leave the State for a period of sixty days in order to appear at Washington in connection with transbay bridge matter.

Respectfully,
M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
City Engineer.

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 14, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing this day.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28673 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing March 15, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28674 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. Matt I. Sullivan, president City Planning Commission, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of sixty days, commencing this date, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the fol-

lowing Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of the meetings of March 9 and March 12, 1928, were considered read and approved.

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS—11 A. M.

The following matters continued from 11 a. m. were taken up:

Hearing of objections to the establishing of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-eighth avenue and Eleventh avenue, Randolph street and Chester avenue fixed for the hour of 11 a . m. this day.

Passed for Printing.

No objections being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8460, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-eighth avenue, Eleventh avenue, Randolph street and Chester avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 20th day of February, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 175 to establish set-back lines along portions of Twenty-eighth avenue, Eleventh avenue, Randolph street and Chester avenue, and fixed the 19th day of March, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution was posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at Balboa street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet.

Along the easterly side of Eleventh avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Irving street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet.

Along the southerly side of Randolph street, commencing at Chester avenue and running thence westerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet.

Along the westerly side of Chester avenue, commencing at Randolph street and running thence northerly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Chester avenue, commencing at Stanley street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Randolph street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishing of set-back lines along portions of San Juan avenue, Ridgewood avenue, Genesee street, Foerster street and Mangels avenue, fixed for the hour of 11 a. m. today.

Passed for Printing.

No objections being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8461, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of San Juan avenue, Ridgewood avenue, Genesee street, Foerster street and Mangels avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 20th day of February, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 176 to establish set-back lines along portions of San Juan avenue, Ridgewood avenue, Genesee street, Foerster street and Mangels avenue, and fixed the 19th day of March, 1928,

at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northeasterly side of San Juan avenue between Cayuga avenue and Alemany avenue, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along the easterly side of Ridgewood avenue between Flood avenue and Hearst avenue, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the westerly side of Genesee street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Monterey boulevard and running thence northerly to Joost avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Genesee street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Monterey boulevard and running thence northerly to Joost avenue, said set-back line to be 7½ feet.

Along the easterly side of Foerster street between Joost avenue and Mangels avenue, said set-back line to be 11½ feet.

Along the southerly side of Mangels avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Ridgewood avenue and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Genesee street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further partciulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28675 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Harold Bauer, soloist at Municipal Pop Concert of March 6 (claim dated March 12, 1928), $1,000.

(2) Moshe Menuhin, for services of Yehudi Menuhin, soloist at Municipal Pop Concert of Feb. 23, 1928 (claim dated March 12, 1928), $2,000.

Library Fund.

(3) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,134.55.

(4) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for libraries (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $810.

(5) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,800.74.

(6) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,115.20.

(7) Technical Book Co., library books (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $527.02.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) Hazard Wire Rope Co., cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 2, 1928), $510.14.

(9) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated March 2, 1928), $535.61.

(10) Safety Cable Co., copper cable (claim dated March 2, 1928), $611.50.

(11) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, etc. (claim dated March 5, 1928), $930.29.

(12) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil, etc. (claim dated March 5, 1928), $1,049.70.

(13) Shell Company of California, gasoline (claim dated March 5, 1928), $597.60.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) F. F. Bodler, railway supplies (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $604.37.

(15) Market Street Railway Co., repairs for account Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,156.63.

(16) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., railway supplies (claim dated March 1, 1928), $636.79.

(17) Bureau Street Repairs (Board of Public Works), asphalt repairs to railway right of way (claim dated March 1, 1928), $664.58.

(18) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., varnish, etc., for railway cars (claim dated March 6, 1928), $864.30.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(19) Robert W. Jamison, fifth payment, installing electric conductors for Sunset line (claim dated March 6, 1928), $900.

Water Works Fund.

(20) Enterprise Foundry Co., cast iron boxes and covers for Municipal Water Works (claim dated March 5, 1928), $637.10.

County Road Fund.

(21) James M. Smith, final payment for improvement of Market street extension, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated March 7, 1928), $9,566.36.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) Edward F. Dowd, final payment, electrical work, Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $6,211.42.

(24) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $1,822.41.

(25) John Reid, Jr., sixteenth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $758.08.

(26) Mahony Bros., thirteenth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $10,515.59.

(27) D. Zelinsky & Sons, second payment, painting of Sherman School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,391.

Special School Tax.

(28) Knittle Bros., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for South Side High School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $3,171.62.

(29) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., fifth payment, electrical work for South Side High School (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,771.25.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated March 12, 1928), $9,730.

(31) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated March 12, 1928), $1,075.

(32) A. P. Jacobs, rent of offices, No. 333 Kearny street, March 3 to April 3, 1928 (claim dated March 12, 1928), $1,186.

(33) James G. Stafford and associates, thirteenth payment, appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated March 10, 1928), $8,523.

(34) Safety Cable Co., wire for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $738.02.

(35) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated March 1, 1928), $750.

(36) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $3,005.02.

(37) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $526.50.

(38) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $4,940.17.

(39) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $3,557.98.

(40) Clarence B. Eaton, third payment, construction of extension to high-pressure water system, Islais Creek District (claim dated March 7, 1928), $9,300.

(41) Federal Construction Co., first payment, construction of extension to Thirtieth avenue main sewer, Sunset District (claim dated March 7, 1928), $4,200.

(42) Charles L. Harney, construction of outlet sewer in Forty-eighth avenue from Taraval street northerly (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,632.50.

(43) Travers Surgical Company, surgical supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $564.28.

(44) Phillips Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $1,049.24.

(45) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1928), $5,-047.09.

(46) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $2,-380.89.

(47) Eloesser Heynemann Co., men's clothing, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $540.

(48) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $983.62.

(49) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,067.25.

(50) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, dry goods for Laguna Honda Home

(claim dated Feb. 27, 1928), $522.56.

(51) McCarthy Bros., coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $535.

(52) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1928), $1,296.

(53) California Meat Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $554.52.

(54) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $5,730.56.

(55) J. T. Freitas Co., butter and eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,-756.57.

(56) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $515.55.

(57) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $2,-405.18.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $938.83.

(59) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., for February street lighting (claim dated March 12, 1928), $53,509.61.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations for Properties Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28676 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Geo. G. Drew, for land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 70 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Balboa street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28460, New Series (claim dated March 12, 1928), $3,750.

(2) To Michael Reilly, for land and improvements commencing at a point on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 410 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Blake street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28596, New Series (claim dated March 12, 1928), $6,250.

(3) To Margaret C. Sutton, for land and improvements on westerly line of Blake street, distant 535 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street; thence northerly on west line of Blake street 25 feet, and being of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28507, New Series (claim dated March 12, 1928), $5,500.

(4) To B. Fogalia, for land and improvements on the easterly line of Cook street, commencing 440 feet 11 inches northerly from Geary street, running thence northerly on easterly line of Cook street 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28505, New Series (claim dated March 12, 1928), $4,000.

(5) To Patrick J. Murray, for land and improvements on easterly line of Cook street, commencing 539 feet 11 inches, more or less, northerly from northerly line of Geary street, running thence easterly on easterly line of Cook street 56 feet 10 inches; thence southeasterly 212 feet 5 inches; being of irregular dimensions, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28506, New Series (claim dated March 12, 1928), $10,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations for Property Required for Playground Purposes.

Resolution No. 28677 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for playground purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Cornelius Hyde and Mary Hyde, for Lot 6, Block 7093, as per Assessor's Map Book, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $4,450.

(2) To Henry Falke, for Lots 16

and 17, Block 7093, as per Assessor's Map Book, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $4,000.

(3) To William George and Florence George, for Lots 37 and 38, as per Assessor's Map Book, Block 7068, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $3,500.

(4) To Marion H. Ames, Lot 4, Block 7068, as per Assessor's Map Book, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,250.

(5) To William Nicholas, for Lot 9, Block 7093, as per Assessor's Map Book, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,450.

(6) To Sarah Farber, for Lot 9, Block 7068, as per Assessor's Map Book, for Ocean View Playground (claim dated March 7, 1928), $475.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations, Repairs, Assessor's Office, Chapel at San Francisco Hospital and Fillmore Street Sewer.

Resolution No. 28678 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 59.

(1) For the construction of an oak partition with glass top and one door with transom, in the office of the Assessor, $570.

(2) For renewing sections of the Civic Center steam lines, $500.

Chapel at San Francisco Hospital, Budget Item No. 63.

(3) For the furnishing and installing of electrical fixtures in chapel at the San Francisco Hospital (E. F. Dowd contract), $770.

Extension of Sewers, Etc., Budget Item No. 38.

(4) For inspection and possible extras in connection with the construction of the Fillmore street main sewer, Contract 1, Tenth street from Harrison to Division streets, $3,754.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $10,000, Emergency Supplies, Relief Home Superintendent.

Resolution No. 28679 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Transfer of Funds, Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

Resolution No. 28680 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there now exists in the interest account of the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route) Assessment Fund a sum of money not required for the payment of interest on bonds issued pursuant to Section 26a of Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series); and

Whereas, there are accounts due and owing, resulting from the construction of the Sunset Tunnel; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Auditor and the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby directed to transfer from the interest account of the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route) Assessment Fund, any surplus not required to meet the interest charges on bonds issued pursuant to Section 26a of Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), to the Special Fund of the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route) Assessment Fund, and used to discharge the obligations incurred by reason of the construction of the Sunset Tunnel (Duboce avenue route).

Section 2. That all moneys transferred pursuant to Section 1 of this resolution are to be applied to discharging current liabilities of the said assessment district.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Col-

man, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $1,803.38, Reimbursements, Repair of Equipment, Various Bureaus.

Resolution No. 28681 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts, Department of Public Works, to the credit of Bureau of Stores and Yards, Budget Item No. 449; being reimbursements for account of expenditures in connection with repairs to equipment for the various bureaus, to-wit:

From Budget Item No. 525, Street Repair Dept.....	$914.63
From Budget Item No. 545, Street Cleaning Dept....	657.00
From Budget Item No. 558, Sewer Repair Dept.....	176.62
From Budget Item No. 565, Auto Maintenance.....	25.88
From Budget Item No. 495, Bureau of Engineering..	29.25
Total	$1,803.38

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $350,000, Section E, Ocean Beach Esplanade.

Resolution No. 28682 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, for the construction of Section E of the Ocean Beach Esplanade, to-wit:

For the construction work (Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co. contract)	$329,948.00
For the cost of engineering, inspection and extras	20,052.00
Total	$350,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Position Created, Assistant Superintendent of Civic Auditorium.

Bill No. 8454, Ordinance No. 7962 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to said section to be known as Subdivision (y).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended by adding a new subdivision thereto be known as Subdivision (y), and to read as follows:

(y) One assistant superintendent of the Auditorium at a salary of $2,400 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of March 1, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $1,500, Payment to Mills Estate, Rental for Airport Site.

Resolution No. 28683 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 55, and authorized in payment to the Mills Estate, Incorporated; being payment of one year's rental of property, situate in San Mateo County, in accordance with lease entered into and as per Resolution No. 26816 (New Series); and being for the year March 15, 1928, to March 15, 1929.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 28684 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Archie Snow, east side of Folsom street, 100 feet north of Fourteenth street, 1000 gallons capacity.

John Mowdt, southwest corner of Church and Hermann streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gas Heating Company, 1510 Portola drive, 750 gallons capacity.

R. W. Moller, west side of Hyde street, 100 feet north of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. H. Pinkerton, south side of Eighteenth street, 150 feet west of Church street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Matheson, southwest corner of Cedro and Moncada streets, 600 gallons capacity.

J. D. Ball, 2566 Vallejo street, 750 gallons capacity.

Dr. Harold A. Fletcher, 12 West Clay Park, 750 gallons capacity.

Templeton Crocker, northwest corner of Post street and Grant avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. J. Enright, 2120 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Arthur Jonas, 14 Atalaya street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edith Griffith, east side of Divisadero street, 200 feet south of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Martinoni, 2240 Broderick street, 600 gallons capacity.

P. H. McCarthy, 153 Upper Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. James A. Levensaler, 3398 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed Grant, 100 Clipper street, 600 gallons capacity.

Frank Hill, 40 Dorantes avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Frank Hill, 145 Pacheco street, 600 gallons capacity.

C. M. Langdon, 2459 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Daniel Hayes, east side of Guerrero street, 100 feet south of Market street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry H. Simon, east side of Lyon street, 40 feet south of Greenwich street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McCormack Realty Co., east side of Octavia street, 109 feet north of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. Pasqualettie, north side of Greenwich street, 140 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, south side of San Jacinto street, 25 feet west of San Felipe street, 600 gallons capacity.

Wm. F. Lange, east side of Forty-first avenue, 150 feet north of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. L. Tiernan, 462 Funston avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bell & Sylvester, west side of Monterey boulevard, 170 feet south of Westgate drive, 600 gallons capacity.

Dr. H. E. Ruggles, 285 San Anselmo avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Penziner, south side of Bush street, 40 feet west of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Penziner, north side of Fern avenue, 40 feet west of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28685 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Claus Lornell, Jr., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 145 Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Resolution No. 28686 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. Beinert be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted H. O. Little by Resolution No. 18990 (New Series) for premises at 1419 Pacific street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Resolution No. 28687 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alberigi & Sorini be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 1520 Stockton street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Resolution No. 28688 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Franz Wolff be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Henry J. Grieb by Resolution No. 23482 (New Series) for premises at 66 Page street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Resolution No. 28689 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. W. Alpers be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 2375 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28690 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Milton Huot be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Pine street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Davis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 28691 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Stanyan and Frederick streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Resolution No. 28692 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Julius Brunton & Sons Co. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Nineteenth and Valencia streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Oil Tank Permit, Golden Gate Ferry Company.

Resolution No. 28693 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Golden Gate Ferry Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to install and maintain a fuel oil storage tank on premises situated on the north side of Jefferson street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets under the following conditions: Said storage tank shall not exceed a capacity of 210,000 gallons and it shall be used only by the Golden Gate Ferry Company for the purpose of storing fuel oil for the use of their equipment. All oil stored therein shall have a flash point of over 110 degrees Fahrenheit. Said tank and appurtenances shall be constructed, erected and placed in position to the satisfaction of the Fire Marshal

and shall be enclosed by a solid brick or reinforced concrete wall of such height and thickness as the Fire Marshal shall prescribe. Fire extinguishing equipment shall be installed of such type as required by said officer. This permit is not transferable.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 28694 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Industrial Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on private property at the northeast corner of Howard and Tenth streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Industrial Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediatley become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *continued two weeks*:

Automobile Parking Station Permit, W. W. Haley.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Underground Ordinance, Geary Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Fillmore Street.

Bill No. 8455, Ordinance No. 7963 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 1t.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1t. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after September 30, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 25—Geary street from the west line Van Ness avenue to east line Fillmore street, 3341 feet, wires to be placed underground during reconstruction of street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Geary Street Between Van Ness avenue and Divisadero Street.

Bill No. 8456, Ordinance No. 7964 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and sixteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communica- of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 10, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and sixteen, to read as follows:

Section 1016. The width of sidewalks on Geary street between Van Ness avenue and Divisadero street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths

shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $75,249.06, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for the Auditorium (claim dated March 13, 1928), $1,311.05.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(2) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, twentieth payment, construction of Sunset tunnel (claim dated March 13, 1928), $90,000.

County Road Fund.

(3) Federal Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Bay street from Laguna to Fillmore streets (claim dated March 14, 1928), $3,464.30.

(4) Department of Public Works (Budget Item No. 559), reimbursement for materials furnished for improvement of Roosevelt way and Park Hill (claim dated March 6, 1928), $1,020.05.

(5) Municipal Construction Fund, improvement of Cayuga avenue between Onondaga and Oneida avenues (claim dated March 14, 1928), $3,890.

(6) Federal Construction Co., reconstructing crossing of Russell and Ramsell streets (claim dated March 7, 1928), $842.02.

Park Fund.

(7) The McGilvray-Raymond Granite Co., work performed in Golden Gate Park (claim dated March 9, 1928), $728.31.

(8) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., Golden Gate Park (claim dated March 9, 1928), $713.06.

Memorial Hall Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1927.

(9) Knight-Counihan Co., lithographing 4000 Memorial Hall bonds (claim dated March 7, 1928), $789.

Boulevard Bond Fund.

(10) A. Carlisle & Co., lithographing 9380 boulevard bonds (claim dated March 7, 1928), $965.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(11) Elliott-Horne Co., improvements at Hetch Hetchy water property in San Mateo County (claim dated March 9, 1928), $1,138.64.

Special School Tax.

(12) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for month of February (claim dated March 7, 1928), $1,300.

(13) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint supplies for schools (claim dated March 9, 1928), $590.55.

(14) Joseph Rankin, second payment, architectural services for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated March 14, 1928), $2,304.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(15) John J. Dailey, legal services for March in matter of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated March 10, 1928), $850.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(16) Chas. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 7, 1928), $614.12.

(17) Safety Cable Co., cable (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,358.

(18) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated March 8, 1928), $5,067.84.

(19) Independent Lumber Co., lumber and cement (claim dated March 8, 1928), $538.95.

(20) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated March 8, 1928), $749.55.

(21) Kaiser Paving Co., machinery rental, sand, etc. (claim dated March 8, 1928), $2,998.

(22) Leo Rosasco, oak wood (claim dated March 8, 1928), $37.88.

(23) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, fourteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels

(claim dated March 13, 1928), $28,883.31.

(24) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., fifteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated March 13, 1928), $28,193.11.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(25) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for account of employees' pensions (claim dated March 2, 1928), $538.43.

(26) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated March 9, 1928), $732.

(27) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated March 9, 1928), $1,769.21.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(28) Board of Public Works, reimbursement for account of expenditures in replacing of ties on West Portal avenue, for account of Municipal Railways (claim dated March 13, 1928), $1,249.50.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(29) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated March 13, 1928), $736.27.

(30) American Studios, first payment, stage fittings and drapes for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated March 14, 1928), $3,000.

(31) Pacific Electrical Construction Co., seventh payment, electrical work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated March 14, 1928), $5,138.30.

Auditorium Fund.

(32) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at Muni Pop Concerts of February 23 and March 6, 1928 (claim dated March 15, 1928), $4,000.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(33) J. P. Holland, Inc., hauling sand for improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated March 16, 1928), $718.35.

(34) J. P. Holland, Inc., hauling sand for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated March 16, 1928), $672.60.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(35) Dion R. Holm, legal services rendered in matter of telephone rates (claim dated March 13, 1928), $850.

(36) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services in matter of telephone rates (claim dated March 13, 1928), $750.

(37) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated March 15, 1928), $8,368.20.

(38) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated March 16, 1928), $1,098.88.

(39) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated March 16, 1928), $8,307.79.

(40) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated March 6, 1928), $2,155.32.

(41) Gilmartin Company, Inc., printing 500 copies Engineers' Transbay Bridge Report (claim dated March 19, 1928), $1,125.

(42) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasin frames, etc, for sewers (claim dated March 6, 1928), $726.36.

(43) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for sewer repair (claim dated March 7, 1928), $1,791.31.

(44) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated March 15, 1928), $3,028.40.

(45) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,029.92.

(46) Haas Bros., groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $707.05.

(47) McCarthy Bros., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $668.75.

(48) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruit for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $587.49.

(49) Travers Surgical Co., surgical supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $644.13.

(50) Phillips Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,086.94.

(51) Western Meat Co., supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $544.38.

(52) Del Monte Meat Co., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $3,624.20.

(53) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $739.46.

(54) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $2,273.78.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,383.71.

(56) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished, account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,193.38.

(57) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,845.17.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co.,

water for public buildings (claim dated March 9, 1928), $1,854.52.

(59) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated March 10, 1928), $690.

(60) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for public buildings (claim dated March 10, 1928), $4,709.30.

(61) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for street sprinkling (claim dated March 13, 1928), $584.42.

(62) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $1,272.43.

(63) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $3,020.

(64) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $1,938.79.

(65) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $540.

(66) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $1,340.

(67) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $3,882.61.

(68) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $12,646.21.

(69) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $33,570.39.

(70) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $639.35.

(71) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $648.97.

(72) Stephenson Construction Co., fourth payment, construction of Hangar No. 2, Municipal Airport (claim dated March 14, 1928), $42,000.

(73) Federal Construction Co., third payment, improvement of Bay street from Laguna to Fillmore streets (claim dated March 14, 1928), $3,262.50.

(74) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $839.07.

(75) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of expenditures for account of convenience station (claim dated March 16, 1928), $686.36.

(76) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of expenditures for account of bridle path (claim dated March 16, 1928), $1,068.90.

(77) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department houses and hydrants installed (claim dated February 29, 1928), $2,149.05.

(78) California Metal and Radiator Works, apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated February 29, 1928), $567.50.

(79) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated February 29, 1928), $696.03.

(80) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated February 29, 1928), $725.35.

(81) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated February 29, 1928), $2,180.81.

(82) Tire Service Co., tires for Fire Department (claim dated February 29, 1928), $1,051.93.

Park Fund.

(83) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated March 16, 1928), $991.04.

(84) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated March 16, 1928), $979.20.

(85) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated March 16, 1928), $1,083.11.

(86) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated March 16, 1928), $544.59.

Appropriation, $16,065, Payment to California Pacific Title and Trust Company for School Lands on Cayuga Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $16,065 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax and authorized in payment to the California Pacific Title and Trust Company; being payment for lands situate on Cayuga avenue and particularly described by Resolution No. 28664 (New Series), accepting offer for said lands and which are required for school purposes. (Claim dated March 19, 1928.)

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28695 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named parties to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, viz.:

James J. Lee, Inc., $2,700—All of Lots 1 and 2 of Block "F", Bernal Tract, as recorded in Map Book "H", pages 27 and 28, records of the City and County of San Fran-

cisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Al Langsley and May Langsley, $160—

Parcel 1. Portions of Lots Nos. 246 and 247, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lot 250, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Jessie Neilson, $1,221—Portion of Lot 230, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the titles to said properties and, if the same are found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28696 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Lillie C. O'Connell, $3—Portion of Lot 214 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Anna E. Hopkins and James E. Hopkins, $30—Portion of Lot No. 229, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Francisco P. da Silva Neves, $278—

Parcel 1. Portion of Lots Nos. 219 and 220, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portions of Lots Nos. 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227 and 228, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 3. Portion of Lot 259, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the titles to said properties and, if the same are found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28697 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, viz.:

Herman Vogel, Oscar Vogel and Adolph Vogel, $49—Portion of Lot 40 of Block "P" of Thornton and Williams Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and offer on file.)

Paulina Nager, $346.52—Portion of Block 522 of Haley Purchase, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount includes, in addition to the payment of the above

described parcel, payment in full for the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described parcel.

be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the titles to said properties and, if the same are found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28698 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, viz.:

Carrie Hansen Bicais, $4,025—Portion of Lots 23 and 24, Block "C," French & Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

The improvements located on the above described land are to remain the property of said Carrie Hansen Bicais; same to be removed within 30 days from recordation of deed to above described land.

Walter R. Helliker and Florence Helliker, $4,250—Lot 31 of Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The improvements located on above described land are to remain the property of said Walter R. Helliker and Florence Helliker; same to be removed within 30 days from recordation of deed to above described land.

John D. Allen and Cecelia V. Allen, $4,250—Lot 27 of Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The improvements located on above described land are to remain the property of said John D. and Cecelia V. Allen; same to be removed within 30 days from recordation of deed to above described land.

be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the titles to said properties and, if the same are found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Ordering Construction of Guttenberg Main Sewer.

Bill No. 8462, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Guttenberg main sewer extending from Morse to Hanover streets; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the Guttenberg main sewer, extending from Morse to Hanover streets, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Construction of Wawona Street Main Sewer.

Also, Bill No. 8463, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Wawona street main sewer, located approximately between Twenty-fifth and Thirty-fourth avenues; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the Wawona street main sewer, located approximately between Twenty-fifth and Thirty-fourth avenues, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Transfer of Funds, Traffic Regulation Expenditures.

Also, Resolution No. 28699 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 69, to the credit of the hereinafter designated budget items, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for labor and materials furnished and used in painting curbs for traffic regulation, month of February, 1928, to-wit:

To the credit of Budget Item 411, for labor.....$499.50
To the credit of Budget Item No. 433, for materials..... 230.64

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Appropriation, $3,300, Westerly Sunset District.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,300 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 38, for the construction of the westerly Sunset District sewer, Section "B," Forty-third avenue main, Contract No. 3; being additional to enable final payment on contract.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Various Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Portola drive (Market street extended) from Fowler avenue to O'Shaughnessy boulevard, $15,000.

(2) For the improvement of Steiner street from Haight to Waller streets, $1,500.

(3) For the improvement of Jackson street from Presidio avenue to Walnut street, $6,000.

(4) For the improvement of Tehama street from First to Second streets, $3,100.

(5) For cost of removing sand from the roadway of Twenty-sixth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, $435.

(6) For the improving of Carolina street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets, $3,160.

(7) For the furnishing and repairing of fender piling at the Third street and Channel Bridge, including engineering, inspection and extras (A. W. Kitchen contract at $1,182), $1,800.

(8) For paving of additional width of Teresita boulevard from Portola drive to Foerster street, $6,000.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

(9) For improvement of Jackson

street, Hyde to Larkin streets, $3,000.

Appropriations Out of Boulevard Bonds for Storm Drain, Bay Shore Boulevard Crossing Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, to defray cost of constructing storm drain at the Bay Shore boulevard crossing Alemany boulevard, Contract No. 2, to-wit:

(1) For cost of construction, per award to Louis J. Cohn	$59,594.40
(2) For engineering, inspection and extras	5,405.60
Total	$65,000.00

Appropriating $114,800 Out of Boulevard Bonds for Improvement of Section "B" of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, for the improvement of Section "B" of the Alemany boulevard, from Mission street to Ocean avenue, Contract No. 4, to-wit:

(1) For improvement, per contract awarded to Eaton & Smith	$106,763.41
(2) For engineering, inspection and possible extras	8,036.59
Total	$114,800.00

Appropriations Out of Boulevard Bonds for Section "B" of Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, to defray the cost of the construction of Section "B" of the Bay Shore boulevard, from Silver avenue to Paul avenue, Contract No. 3, to-wit:

(1) For construction, per award to Federal Construction Company	$139,909.58
(2) For engineering, inspection and extras	8,090.42
Total	$148,000.00

Appropriation, $500, Out of County Road Fund for Street Improvement.

Also, Resolution No. 28700 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the cost of improvements to streets, as directed by the Street Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing* on recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Amending Set-back Lines, Eighteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 8464, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7047 (New Series) as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Eighteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7047 (New Series) as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Eighteenth avenue is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly line of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Jackson and Gough Streets.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets, for a distance of sixty (60) feet on Jackson street and for a distance of 125 feet 8¼ inches on Gough street, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Eddy and Laguna Streets.

The following matter, recommended by the City Planning Committee, made a Special Order for 11 a. m. this day, was taken up:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Eddy and Laguna streets, for a distance of thirty-six (36) feet on Eddy street and for a distance of sixteen (16) feet on Laguna street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Motion Lost.

Supervisor Powers moved that the Board resolve itself into Committee of the Whole.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Bill No. 8459, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Eddy and Laguna streets, for a distance of thirty-six (36) feet on Eddy street and for a distance of sixteen (16) feet on Laguna street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner —10.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—6.

Excused — Supervisor Andriano —1.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

City Attorney to Submit Appropriate Amendments to the City Planning Ordinance.

Thereupon, Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the City Attorney be requested to prepare and submit to the Board of Supervisors appropriate amendments to the City Planning Ordinance of this City and County as follows:

First: For the filing by any applicant for rezoning of a statement under oath relative to the cost, character and nature of the structure sought to be constructed in the event of such rezoning, accompanied by plans and specifications of such structure likewise verified under oath by such applicant.

Second: For a prohibition against the granting of any building permit for the erection of any structure upon the property sought to be rezoned, pending the determination of such application.

Third: For a method whereby a limit of time may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors within which the erection of such structure shall be commenced following such rezoning and for an automatic classification of such property to the classification existing before such rezoning, in the event of failure to commence the erection thereof within the time limit fixed.

Fourth: For a method whereby building permits issued by the Board of Public Works shall be restricted to the general character of structure indicated by the plans and specifications filed with the Board of Supervisors.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Engdahl & Munson, southwest corner of Fifteenth and Castro streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Bernhardt, northwest corner of Ulloa street and Sixteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capactiy.

W. R. Voorhies, north side of Jackson street, 125 feet east of First avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cox Bros., north side of Hayes street, 166 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cox Bros., north side of Hayes street, 126 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Manning & Baldwin, south side of Twenty-seventh street, 75 feet west of Guerrero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Latourette-Fical Co., east side of Fifth street, 60 feet north of Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. D. Tyler, east side of Broderick street, 126 feet north of Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. V. Rothschild, south side of Beach street, 30 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. B. M. Curtis, 2501 Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, south side of Kensington way, 400 feet east of Merced avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, south side of Kensington way, 450 feet east of Merced avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Archie M. Snow, south side of Folsom street, 100 feet east of Fourteenth street, 25-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Roberts be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at 740 La Playa, to be known as "Chutes Service Station."

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Del Prete and A. R. Fry be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of San Bruno avenue and Woolsey street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and vodi.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. A. Smith be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted B. E. Johnson for premises on the northeast corner of Thirty-third avenue and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Roberts be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 740 La Playa, to be known as "Chutes Garage."

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Smith be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 435 Larkin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. R. Reid be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop at 260 Clementina street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Amending Resolution No. 28507 (New Series), Accepting Offer of Margaret C. Sutton, School Land.

On recommendation of Public Buildings Committee:

Resolution No. 28701 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 28507 (New Series), accepting the offer of purchase of land for school purposes from Margaret C. Sutton be amended and corrected to read as follows:

From Marguerite C. Sutton, all of Lot No. 22 in Block No. 641, as per map of the lands of the Point Lobos Avenue Homestead Association, filed June 28, 1871, in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", page 119, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Excepting therefrom the following described parcel, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Blake street (formerly Ferrie street), distant thereon 535 feet and 9 inches northerly from the northerly line of Geary street (formerly Point Lobos avenue), and running thence westerly at a right angle to said westerly line of Blake street 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 9 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Blake street, and thence at a right angle northerly along said line of Blake street 9 inches to the point of beginning.

Resolution No. —— (New Series) is also corrected and amended in so far as it affects this resolution.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Amending Resolution No. 28509 (New Series), Accepting Offer of Frank Hess, School Lands.

Also, Resolution No. 28702 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 28509 (New Series), accepting the offer of purchase of land for school purposes from Frank Hess, be amended and corrected to read as follows:

From Frederick M. Hess and Lillias A. Hess, his wife, property described as follows: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 364 feet 10 inches northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Cook street 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Resolution No. 28630 (New Series) is also corrected and amended in so far as it affects this resolution.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 28703 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be instructed to remove, install and change street lights as follows:

Ellis Street From Mason Street to Van Ness Avenue.

Install 600 C. P. O. B. With Refractor.

South side Ellis street, west of Mason street.

North side Ellis street, 137 feet west of Mason street.

South side Ellis street, 275 feet west of Mason street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ellis and Taylor streets.

North side Ellis street, 137 feet west of Taylor street.

South side Ellis street, 275 feet west of Taylor street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ellis and Jones streets.

North side Ellis street, 137 feet west of Jones street.

South side Ellis street, 275 feet west of Jones street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ellis and Leavenworth streets.

North side Ellis street, 137 feet west of Leavenworth street.

South side Ellis street, 275 feet west of Leavenworth street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ellis and Hyde streets.

North side Ellis street, 137 feet west of Hyde street.

South side Ellis street, 275 feet west of Hyde street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ellis and Larkin streets.

North side Ellis street, 137 feet west of Larkin street.

South side Ellis street, 275 feet west of Larkin street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ellis and Polk streets.

North side Ellis street, 137 feet west of Polk street.

South side Ellis street, 275 feet west of Polk street.

North side Ellis street, east of Van Ness avenue.

Remove Gas Lamps.

North side Ellis street, first west of Taylor street.

South side Ellis street, first and second west of Taylor street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ellis and Jones streets.

North side Ellis street, first and second west of Jones street.

North and south sides Ellis street, first west of Jones street.

Northwest corner Ellis and Leavenworth streets.

North side Ellis street, first west of Leavenworth street.

South side Ellis street, first and second west of Leavenworth street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ellis and Hyde streets.

North side Ellis street, first and second west of Hyde street.

South side Ellis street, first west of Hyde street.

North side Ellis street, first west of Larkin street.

South side Ellis street, first west of Larkin street.

Remove 600 C. P. O. B.

South side Ellis street, 131 feet west of Mason street.

North side Ellis street, 152 feet east of Taylor street.

Post Street, Mason Street to Van Ness Avenue.

Install 600 C. P. R. on Standards of Design to Be Submitted for Approval.

South side Post street, west of Mason street.

North side Post street, first and second west of Mason street.

South side Post street, first and second west of Mason street.

Northeast and southwest corners Post and Taylor streets.

North side Post street, first and second west of Taylor street.

South side Post street, first and second west of Taylor street.

Northeast and southwest corners Post and Jones streets.

North side Post street, first and second west of Jones street.

South side Post street, 275 feet west of Jones street.

Northeast and southwest corners Post and Leavenworth streets.

North side Post street, first and second west of Leavenworth street.

South side Post street, first and second west of Leavenworth streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Post and Hyde streets.

North side Post street, first and second west of Hyde street.

South side Post street, first and second west of Hyde street.

Northeast and southwest corners Post and Larkin streets.

North side Post street, first and second west of Larkin street.

South side Post street, first and second west of Larkin street.

Northeast and southwest corners Post and Polk streets.

North side Post street, first and second west of Polk street.
South side Post street, first and second west of Polk street.
North side Post street, east of Van Ness avenue.

Remove Gas Lamps.

North side Post street, first west of Taylor street.
South side Post street, first west of Taylor street.
Northeast and southwest corners Post and Jones streets.
North side Post street, first and second west of Jones street.
South side Post street, first west of Jones street.
Northwest and southeast corners Post and Leavenworth streets.
North side Post street, first west of Leavenworth street.
South side Post street, first and second west of Leavenworth street.
Northeast and southwest corners Post and Hyde streets.
North side Post street, first and second west of Hyde street.
South side Post street, first west of Hyde street.
North side Post street, first west of Larkin street.
South side Post street, first and second west of Larkin street.
North side Post street, first west of Polk street.
South side Post street, first west of Polk street.
East side Seymour street between Golden Gate avenue and Turk street.

Remove 600 *C. P. O. B.*

Front of 362 Capp street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Morse street between Curtis and Pope streets.
Fourth avenue, front of 1425.
Seymour street between Golden Gate avenue and Turk street.

O'Farrell Street From Mason Street to Van Ness Avenue.

Install 600 *C. P. on Standards of Design to Be Submitted for Approval.*

South side O'Farrell street, west of Mason street.
North side O'Farrell street, 137 feet west of Mason street.
South side O'Farrell street, 275 feet west of Mason street.
Northeast and southwest corners O'Farrell and Taylor streets.
North side O'Farrell street, 137 feet west of Taylor street.
South side O'Farrell street, 275 feet west of Taylor street.
Northeast and southwest corners O'Farrell and Jones streets.
North side O'Farrell street, 137 feet west of Jones street.
South side O'Farrell street, 275 feet west of Jones street.
Northeast and southwest corners O'Farrell and Leavenworth streets.
North side O'Farrell street, 137 feet west of Leavenworth street.
South side O'Farrell street, 275 feet west of Leavenworth street.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Northeast and southwest corners O'Farrell and Hyde streets.
North side O'Farrell street, west of Hyde street.
South side O'Farrell street, west of Hyde street.
Northeast and southwest corners O'Farrell and Larkin streets.
North side O'Farrell street, west of Larkin street.
South side O'Farrell street, west of Larkin street.
Northeast and southwest corners O'Farrell and Polk streets.
North side O'Farrell street, west of Polk street.
South side O'Farrell street, west of Polk street.
North side O'Farrell street, east of Van Ness avenue.

Remove Gas Lamps.

North side O'Farrell street, first west of Taylor street.
South side O'Farrell street, first and second west of Taylor street.
Northeast and southwest corners O'Farrell and Jones streets.
North side O'Farrell street, first and second west of Jones street.
South side O'Farrell street, first west of Jones street.
Southeast and northwest corners O'Farrell and Leavenworth streets.
North side O'Farrell street, first west of Leavenworth street.
South side O'Farrell street, first west of Leavenworth street (D. I.)
South side O'Farrell street, second west of Leavenworth street.
Northeast and southwest corners O'Farrell and Hyde streets.
North side O'Farrell street, first and second west of Hyde street.
South side O'Farrell street, first west of Hyde street.
North side O'Farrell street, first west of Larkin street.
South side O'Farrell street, first and second west of Larkin street.
North side O'Farrell street, first west of Polk street.
Southeast corner Harriet and Bryant streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 8466, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Salmon street between Pacific street and Broadway, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks of the official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8467, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Chestnut street between Grant avenue and Stockton street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks of the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8468, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the

Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Trumbull street between Craut and Congdon streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks nine (9) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks nine (9) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8469, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Cabrillo street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues; Anza street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues; west one-half of Stanyan street between McAllister and Turk streets, and south one-half of Monterey boulevard between Northgate drive and Faxon avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8470, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications pre-

pared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Gilman avenue between Third street and Hawes street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8471, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the south one-half of Harrison street between Sixth street and Seventh street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks of the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8472, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding in-

stallment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Tioga avenue between Rutland street and a line 765 feet easterly from Rutland street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8473, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ulloa street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete gutters 2 feet in width adjacent to the above mentioned curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of the following, where not already so improved: Thirty-first avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Thirty-second avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Thirty-ninth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Kirkham street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof, and the improvement of Twenty-second avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 2 side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also Bill No. 8474, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does

hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Huron avenue between Foote avenue and Naglee avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8475, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby ap proved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Victoria street between Garfield and Shields streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8476, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessmenht to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the proceeding installment and the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-ninth avenue between Judah street and Kirkham street; Twenty-first avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Forty-fourth avenue between Geary street and Anza street; Hugo street between First avenue

and Second avenue; Cabrillo street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues; Cabrillo street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues; Twenty-third avenue between Judah street and Kirkham street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8477, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments, that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent per annum.

The improvement of Twentieth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, and Ulloa street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8478, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specification therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-eighth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Also, Bill No. 8479, Ordinance No —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061,

entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office February 9, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifteen, to read as follows:

Section 1015. The width of sidewalks on Oakdale avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Lane street and Jennings street, shall be thirty (30) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Oakdale avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Lane street and Jennings street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Also, Bill No. 8480, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and eighteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 8, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and eighteen, to read as follows:

Section 1018. The width of sidewalks on Taraval street between Fifteenth avenue and Forty-eighth avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Also Bill No. 8481, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and seventeen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 8, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and seventeen, to read as follows:

Section 1017. The width of sidewalks on Kate street, the southwesterly side of, between Bryant street and its southeasterly termination, shall be five (5) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kate street, the northeasterly side of, between Bryant street and its southeasterly termination, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Approving Plans, Islais Creek Reclamation District.

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 28704 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Trustees of the Islais Creek Reclamation District, a reclamation district created by an act of the Legislature of the State of California, known as the Islais Creek Reclamation Act, approved April 26, 1925, heretofore reported to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in which said City and County of San Francisco said district is wholly situated, their plans for the reclamation of the lands of said district, together with their estimates of the cost of contemplated works of said district, as required by law, necessary for the reclamation of the lands of the district in pursuance of such plans; and

Whereas, said plans contemplate the filling and raising of streets lying in said district to the official street grades as the same are now legally established at the cost of the district and without cost to said City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, by the provisions of said act, the Islais Creek Reclama-

tion District has no authority to fill or raise any streets lying in said district to said official street grades unless the plans of said district therefor are first submitted to and approved by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the plans for filling and raising said streets to said official street grades are contained in the plans heretofore reported to said Board of Supervisors, as aforesaid; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the plans of said Islais Creek Reclamation District for the filling and raising of the streets lying in said district to the official street grades, as the same are now legally established, as heretofore reported to said Board of Supervisors as aforesaid, be and the same are hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Hearing of Objections to Assessments, Islais Creek Reclamation District.

Also Resolution No. 28705 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by Resolution No. 27656 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 15th day of August, 1927, and approved by the Mayor of said City and County on the 26th day of August, 1927, three commissioners were appointed to view and assess upon the land within the Islais Creek Reclamation District, in the City and County of San Francisco, the sum of one million six hundred twenty thousand one hundred and fifty-two dollars ($1,620,152), which was the estimated cost of the contemplated works of said district necessary for the reclamation of the lands of said district in pursuance of the plans of the Board of Trustees of said Islais Creek Reclamation District theretofore reported to the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, said commissioners, having duly qualified by taking their respective oaths of office, as required by law, and having viewed and assessed upon said lands the said sum of one million six hundred twenty thousand one hundred and fifty-two dollars ($1,620,152), and having apportioned the same according to the benefits that will accrue to each tract of land in said district, thereupon filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, their first assessment list as required by law and by said Resolution No. 27656 (New Series), containing a description of each tract assessed, the names of the owners of each tract, if known, the amount of the charge assessed against each tract and the other matters as required by law; and

Whereas, it becomes the duty of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, under the law and under the circumstances aforesaid, to appoint a time and place when it will meet for the purpose of hearing objections to said assessment and to give notice of such hearing by publication for two weeks in some newspaper of general circulation published in said City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco; that Monday, the 9th day of April, 1928, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m. of said day, in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, be and the same are hereby appointed as the time and place when said Board of Supervisors will meet for the purpose of hearing objections to said assessment, and that the Clerk of said Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby authorized and directed to give notice of such hearing by publication for two weeks daily (Sundays excepted) in the Bulletin, a newspaper of general circulation, published in said City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Map Approval, Niagara Avenue Extension.

Also, Resolution No. 28706 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 101225 (Second Series), approve a "Map showing the extension of Niagara avenue to Edgar avenue"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the "Map showing

the extension of Niagara avenue to Edgar avenue" is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Private Garages Permit, Greenwich Terrace Householding Association.

Also, Resolution No. 28707 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 101087 (Second Series), recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the Greenwich Terrace Householding Association be granted permission to construct private garages on the westerly line of Leavenworth street at its intersection with Greenwich street, in accordance with a plan submitted; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Greenwich Terrace Householding Association be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct private garages on the westerly line of Leavenworth street at its intersection with Greenwich street, in accordance with plan approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 101087 (Second Series).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Closing and Abandoning That Certain Portion of Chelsea Place.

Also, Resolution No. 28708 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 28425 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon a portion of Chelsea place; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said portion of Chelsea place, as described by Resolution of Intention No. 28425 (New Series), was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the closing of said portion of Chelsea place, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 28425 (New Series), was or were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Chelsea place; and

Whereas, in and by Resolution No. 28425 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of said closing of said portion of Chelsea place are nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said portion of Chelsea place shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing and abandonment of said portion of Chelsea place, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of a portion of Chelsea place, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 28425 (New Series), be and the same is hereby ordered, and that the said portion of Chelsea place be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street; and

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed therewith as may be required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Intention to Change Grades.

Also, Resolution No. 28709 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at

the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 101096 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted February 29, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed March 2, 1928, to-wit:

On Lawrence avenue between San Jose avenue and the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades, Montague Place.

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 8482, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Montague place between Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet westerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Montague place between Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet westerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendations of the Board of Public Works filed March 2, 1928.

Montague Place.

4 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Montgomery street westerly line, 214.53 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

4 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Montgomery street westerly line, 211.69 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

50 feet westerly from Montgomery street, 211.22 feet.

137.50 feet westerly from Montgomery street, 210.50 feet.

On Montague place between Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet westerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extensions of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 28710 New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Cohn is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after March 29, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Thor avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that contractor has been delayed by continuous rains.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28711 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after February 28, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Ottawa avenue under a public contract. The work is well under way and has been delayed on account of moving poles, buildings, etc.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Crescent Grading Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode

blasts while grading property on Lakeview avenue between Plymouth and Capitol avenues, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Crescent Grading Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Supervisor Gallagher Appointed Director of Joint Highway District for Junipero Serra Boulevard Extension.

Also, Resolution No. 28712 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher be and is hereby appointed as director of the proposed Joint Highway District, composed of the counties of San Francisco and San Mateo, for the purpose of extending Junipero Serra boulevard southerly into San Mateo County, vice Supervisor Badaracco, deceased.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Supervisor Gallagher Appointed Director of Joint Highway District for San Francisco-Santa Cruz.

Resolution No. 28713 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher be and is hereby appointed as director on the proposed Joint Highway District, composed of the counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz, for the purpose of constructing a highway from San Francisco to Santa Cruz along the ocean shore, vice Supervisor Badaracco, deceased.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Supervisor Suhr to Represent San Francisco at Daly City in re Widening of San Jose Avenue Southerly From County Line.

Resolution No. 28714 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor Fred Suhr be and is hereby appointed to represent the City and County of San Francisco and to confer with the City Council of Daly City with regard to the widening of San Jose avenue from the County Line southerly to Bepler street, Daly City.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appointment of Portola Festival Committee.

Resolution No. 28715 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas the Portola Festival reawakened an interest in California history, created a spirit of community enthusiasm and resulted in substantial benefit to merchants, hotel proprietors and the citizenry in general; and

Whereas, the revival of such festival will be beneficial to San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors looks with favor upon the revival in 1928 of the Portola Festival; and, be it

Further Resolved, That a special committee of two of the Board of Supervisors be selected to give immediate consideration to the desirability of reviving the Portola Festival and ways and means with respect thereto, and to report at the earliest possible opportunity to this Board in connection therewith.

Adopted by hte following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Supervisors Kent and Marks appointed pursuant to above.

Award of Contract, Official Advertising.

On recommendation of Welfare and Publicity Committee.

Resolution No. 28716 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for doing official advertising for the City and County of San Francisco for one year from April 1, 1928, to

and including April 1, 1929, in a daily newspaper in the City and County of San Francisco, which has a bona fide daily circulation of at least 8000 copies and has been in existence at the time of letting such contract for at least two years, and to deliver daily to the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to any other office or department of the City and County authorized to advertise, as many copies of the "official newspaper", not to exceed one hundred and fifty, as may be directed by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and must also deliver as directed by said Clerk at least ten and not to exceed one hundred and twenty-five copies of slips of all orders, ordinances, resolutions or notices published by order of the Board of Supervisors, or by any other department or officer of the municipal government authorized or permitted to advertise in said "official newspaper", also deliver at least one hundred copies of all resolutions, orders, ordinances or notices published by order of any of the officers or departments of the City and County of San Francisco (other than the Board of Supervisors) to such office or department causing said publication, in strict accordance with the specifications and the advertisement inviting proposals thereon, is hereby awarded to San Francisco Publishing Company, the lowest responsible bidder, to be published in the Bulletin, which is hereby designated as the "official newspaper", at the price bid therefor, viz.:

For each insertion in six-point type, per one column line, seven and one-half cents (.07½) per line, provided the sureties on its bond, which is hereby fixed at ten thousand (10,000) dollars, are satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor, who is hereby authorized to enter into said contract.

The Bulletin newspaper is hereby declared and designated to be the "official newspaper" of the City and County for one year, from April 1, 1928, to and including April 1, 1929.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Award of Contract, Delinquent Tax List, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. 28717 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for publishing the Delinquent Tax List, Index of Delinquent Real Estate Taxpayers and Sales List and other matters incidental thereto, for the fiscal year 1927-1928, is hereby awarded to Twin Peaks Sentinel at the price bid therefor, viz.:

Five and nineteen-twentieths (5 19/20) cents per line (the same being the lowest bid submitted), in accordance with the specifications therefor and according to law, and the Mayor is hereby authorized to enter into such contract upon the filing of a good and sufficient bond in the sum of five thousand (5,000) dollars, conditioned upon the faithful performance of such contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Award of Contract, Plumbing Supplies.

Also, Resolution No. 28718 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made for furnishing plumbing supplies that may be ordered from time to time, on bids opened February 20, 1928 (Proposal No. 352), and tabulation thereof in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part thereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 1, George H. Tay Co.; No. 2, Walworth California Company; No. 3, Dalziel-Moller Co.; No. 4, Federal Pipe & Supply Co.; No. 5, M. Stulsaft Co.; 6, A. Lettich; No. 7, Steam & Plumbing Service Corporation; No. 9, Richmond Sanitary Co.; No. 10, Crane Co.; No. 11, Grinnell Company of the Pacific; No. 12, Great Western Supply Co.; No. 13, P. E. O'Hair & Co.; No. 14, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson; No. 15, Pacific Pipe Company.

Resolved, That as the requirements under the above awards cannot be determined, and as the awards are revocable, that no bonds be required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Award of Contract, Foodstuffs.

Also, Resolution No. 28719 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing foodstuffs during the four months' period, March, April, May, June, 1928, be made on bids submitted February 6, 1928 (Proposal 349), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 18, Edw. P. McAuliffe, bond required $500; No. 19, Haas Brothers, no bond; No. 20, Baumgarten Brothers, $500; No. 21, F. E. Booth Co., $200; No. 22, Western Meat Company, $300; No. 24, M. J. B. Co., no bond; No. 25, Smith, Lynden & Co., $100; No. 26, H. E. Teller Co., $500; No. 27, Morris & Co., branch of North American Provision Co., $1,000; No. 29, California Supply Co., no bond; No. 30, Swift & Co., $200; No. 1, The Cudahy Packing Co., no bond; No. 2, The Best Foods, Inc., no bond; No. 5, Sussman, Wormser & Co., no bond; No. 8, California Meat Co., $2,000; No. 9, Del Monte Meat Co., $1,000; No. 10, Standard Fisheries, $300; No. 11, O'Brien, Spotorno, Mitchell & Compagno Bros., no bond; No. 12, St. Charles Market, $100; No. 13, S. H. Tyler & Son, no bond; No. 14, South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., $300; No. 16, Sherry Bros., Inc., $100; No. 17, Bay City Market, $200.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except where award is made in consideration of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing* on recommendation of Health Committee:

Dog and Cat Hospital Permit.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Gustave B. Henno is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, operate and maintain a dog and cat hospital on the east side of Arguello boulevard, 150 feet south of Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Suhr, Toner—3.

Robert P. Drady Appointed Assistant Superintendent, Civic Auditorium.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28720 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with Ordinance No. 7962 (New Series), Robert P. Drady be and is hereby appointed Assistant Superintendent of the Civic Auditorium at a salary of $200 per month.

This resolution to take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Suhr, Toner—3.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 28721 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove 250 *C. P. M. R.*

East side Twenty-ninth street between Noe and Sanchez streets.

West side Twenty-ninth street between Dolores street and San Jose avenue.

Northwest corner Twenty-ninth street and San Jose avenue.

Northeast corner Twenty-ninth street and Tiffany avenue.

Remove 400 *C. P. M. R.*

Southeast corner Twenty-ninth and Noe streets.

Northwest corner Twenty-ninth and Sanchez streets.

East side Twenty-ninth street between Sanchez and Church streets.

Northwest corner Twenty-ninth street and San Jose avenue.

Remove 600 *C. P. M. R.*

Southeast corner Twenty-ninth and Sanchez streets.

Northeast corner Twenty-ninth and Church streets.

East side Twenty-ninth street between Church and Dolores streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Twenty-ninth and Dolores streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With R.*

Northwest and southeast corners Twenty-ninth and Noe streets.

East and west sides Twenty-ninth street between Sanchez and Noe streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Twenty-ninth and Sanchez streets.

East and west sides Twenty-ninth street between Sanchez and Church streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Twenty-ninth and Church streets.

East and west sides Twenty-ninth street between Church and Dolores streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Twenty-ninth and Dolores streets.

East and west sides Twenty-ninth street between San Jose avenue and Dolores street.

Northwest and southeast corners Twenty-ninth street and San Jose avenue.

East side Twenty-ninth street between San Jose avenue and Mission street.

Northwest corner Twenty-ninth street and Tiffany avenue.

Southeast corner Twenty-ninth and Mission streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Suhr, Toner—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Streets Committee:

Spur Track Permit, Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company.

Bill No. 8483, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carroll avenue between Jennings and Keith streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to the Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carroll avenue between Jennings and Keith streets, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the center line of the existing spur track in Carroll avenue, said point being distant 9 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Carroll avenue and 206 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Keith street; thence southeasterly 100 feet to a point distant 4.5 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Carroll avenue; thence southeasterly parallel to said southwesterly line of Carroll avenue 134 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part thereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company.

Provided, that the Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Suhr, Toner—3.

Mayor to Appoint Citizens Committee on Reception to Pacific Fleet, United States Navy.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28722 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Rolph be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make the necessary arrangements for the reception of the Pacific Fleet, United States Navy, which will be in the Bay from April 10 to 18.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner

Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Suhr, Toner—3.

Standardization of Salaries and Classification of Employments.

The following was presented by Supervisor Colman and *copies ordered sent to the members of the Board*:

The Civil Service and Retirement Committee and the Finance Committee have recently held two lengthy meetings with representatives of the Civil Service Commission and the Bureau of Governmental Research to discuss the progress of the work of classifying all municipal employments, which work has been actively under way since last April. We submit the following report:

Salary standardization is provided for by a Charter amendment adopted by the people on November 4, 1924. Under the amendments the Civil Service Commission is directed to cause all employments for which the Board of Supervisors are to fix compensations to be classified and graded for the purpose of fixing compensations, to the end that like compensation shall be paid for like services.

The present study was begun in April, 1927, after two conferences between members of the Finance Committee, the Civil Service Commission and representatives of the Bureau of Governmental Research. At these conferences it was agreed that employment classification should be taken up without reference in any way to existing salaries of incumbents. The Bureau of Governmental Research agreed to assign two members of its staff to the study, and after numerous conferences between representatives of the Bureau and the Civil Service Commission, for the purpose of developing plans and procedure, the work of studying individual positions involving actual contact with the incumbent or with his department head was started.

The work has since taken the full time of two Bureau staff members and a large part of the time of Mr. W. H. Nanry, director of the Bureau, and Messrs. Maher and Talbert, representing the Civil Service Commission.

During this time the Employment Classification Board held 48 meetings with Bureau representatives for the purpose of reviewing the progress of the work and determining policies to govern the classification. The Finance Committee, the Civil Service and Retirement Committee and the Civil Service Commission have been kept informed of progress through monthly reports and have attended four joint meetings.

The information concerning duties, which was submitted on a questionnaire form by each employee two years ago, was used as a basis in studying the duties of incumbents. Individual employees were interviewed in most cases and in every case where it was thought advisable or if the employee requested that he be interviewed. In departments where large groups of employees perform identical duties, such as conductors, motormen court clerks or copyists, etc., a statement of duties was supplied by the executive of the department or by one or more of the employee group. In certain small departments it was not necessary to interview all employees. In every case the department head has checked over and approved the information when this was compiled in final form. Present titles were not considered for the purpose of defining and classifying duties, actual duties of incumbents only being considered and recorded.

The field study of duties of incumbents was completed a week or ten days ago and the work is now progressing in developing definitions of the approximately 350 classes of positions found. These classes are segregated into 16 major services, such as clerical, custodial, mechanical, electrical, legal, medical, etc.

The Civil Service Committee hopes to be able to report the proposed classification to the Board for adoption within the next few weeks. This will represent the first step toward modernizing and equalizing the City's compensation provisions, under a plan that will not adversely affect the civil service standing or the duties of any employee and that will not reduce any existing salary or wage.

Supervisor Gallagher Appointed Director of Joint Highway District No. 1.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28723 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher be and is hereby appointed as director of Joint Highway District No. 1, composed of the counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Clara, vice Supervisor Milton Marks, who has resigned as director of said highway district.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Col-

man, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Suhr, Toner—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, March 26, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN.
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 14

Monday, March 26, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 26, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 26, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

Acting Mayor Havenner presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 19, 1928, was considered read and approved.

Relative to Judah Street Litigation.

Supervisor Gallagher announced that Governor Young would be here or in the State Building between 10 and 11 a. m. to meet a delegation of Sunset property owners who are seeking his good offices in having Judge Waste move up on his calendar the litigation relative to Judah street which is liable to delay improvement of said street for a year or more unless it is advanced on the calendar.

He moved that Acting Mayor Havenner and a committee of two members of the Board wait on the Governor in furtherance of the matter.

So ordered.

Supervisors Toner and Suhr were appointed.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Constant J. Auger.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 21, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Constant J. Auger, member of the Playground Commission, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days commencing April 5th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28724 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Constant J. Auger, member of the Playground Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing April 5, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Classroom Supplies and Paper.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for classroom supplies and paper for the School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and, on recommendation of the Finance Committee, *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28725 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for the Auditorium (claim dated March 13, 1928), $1,311.05.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(2) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, twentieth payment, construction of Sunset tunnel (claim dated March 13, 1928), $90,000.

County Road Fund.

(3) Federal Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Bay street from Laguna to Fillmore streets (claim dated March 14, 1928), $3,464.30.

(4) Department of Public Works (Budget Item No. 559), reimbursement for materials furnished for improvement of Roosevelt way and Park Hill (claim dated March 6, 1928), $1,020.05.

(5) Municipal Construction Fund, improvement of Cayuga avenue between Onondaga and Oneida avenues (claim dated March 14, 1928), $3,890.

(6) Federal Construction Co., reconstructing crossing of Russell and Ramsell streets (claim dated March 7, 1928), $842.02.

Park Fund.

(7) The McGilvray - Raymond Granite Co., work performed in Golden Gate Park (claim dated March 9, 1928), $728.31.

(8) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., Golden Gate Park (claim dated March 9, 1928), $713.06.

Memorial Hall Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1927.

(9) Knight-Counihan Co., lithographing 4000 Memorial Hall bonds (claim dated March 7, 1928), $789.

Boulevard Bond Fund.

(10) A. Carlisle & Co., lithographing 9380 boulevard bonds (claim dated March 7, 1928), $965.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(11) Elliott-Horne Co., improvements at Hetch Hetchy water property in San Mateo County (claim dated March 9, 1928), $1,138.64.

Special School Tax.

(12) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for month of February (claim dated March 7, 1928), $1,300.

(13) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint supplies for schools (claim dated March 9, 1928), $590.55.

(14) Joseph J. Rankin, second payment, architectural services for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated March 14, 1928), $2,304.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(15) John J. Dailey, legal services for March in matter of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated March 10, 1928), $850.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(16) Chas. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 7, 1928), $614.42.

(17) Safety Cable Co., cable (claim dated March 7, 1928), $2,358.

(18) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated March 8, 1928), $5,067.84.

(19) Independent Lumber Co., lumber and cement (claim dated March 8, 1928), $538.95.

(20) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated March 8, 1928), $749.55.

(21) Kaiser Paving Co., machinery rental, sand, etc. (claim dated March 8, 1928), $2,998.

(22) Leo Rosasco, oak wood (claim dated March 8, 1928), $937.88.

(23) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, fourteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated March 13, 1928), $26,883.31.

(24) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., fifteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated March 13, 1928), $28,193.11.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(25) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for account of employees' pensions (claim dated March 2, 1928), $538.43.

(26) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated March 9, 1928), $732.

(27) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated March 9, 1928), $1,769.21.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(28) Board of Public Works, reimbursement for account of expenditures in replacing of ties on West Portal avenue, for account of Municipal Railways (claim dated March 13, 1928), $1,249.50.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(29) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated March 13, 1928), $736.27.

(30) American Studios, first payment, stage fittings and drapes for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated March 14, 1928), $3,000.

(31) Pacific Electrical Construction Co., seventh payment, electrical

work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated March 14, 1928), $5,-138.30.

Auditorium Fund.

(32) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at Muni Pop Concerts of February 23 and March 6, 1928 (claim dated March 15, 1928), $4,000.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(33) J. P. Holland, Inc., hauling sand for improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated March 16, 1928), $718.35.

(34) J. P. Holland, Inc., hauling sand for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated March 16, 1928), $672.60.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(35) Dion R. Holm, legal services rendered in matter of telephone rates (claim dated March 13, 1928), $850.

(36) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services in matter of telephone rates (claim dated March 13, 1928), $750.

(37) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated March 15, 1928), $8,368.20.

(38) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated March 16, 1928), $1,098.88.

(39) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated March 16, 1928), $8,307.79.

(40) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated March 6, 1928), $2,155.32.

(41) Gilmartin Company, Inc., printing 500 copies Engineers' Transbay Bridge Report (claim dated March 19, 1928), $1,125.

(42) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasin frames, etc, for sewers (claim dated March 6, 1928), $726.36.

(43) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for sewer repair (claim dated March 7, 1928), $1,791.31.

(44) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated March 15, 1928), $3,028.40.

(45) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,029.92.

(46) Haas Bros., groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $707.05.

(47) McCarthy Bros., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $668.75.

(48) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruit for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $587.49.

(49) Travers Surgical Co., surgical supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $644.13.

(50) Phillips Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,086.94.

(51) Western Meat Co., supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $544.38.

(52) Del Monte Meat Co., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $3,624.20.

(53) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $739.46.

(54) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $2,-273.78.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,-383.71.

(56) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished, account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,193.38.

(57) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,-845.17.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated March 9, 1928), $1,854.52.

(59) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated March 10, 1928), $690.

(60) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for public buildings (claim dated March 10, 1928), $4,709.30.

(61) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for street sprinkling (claim dated March 13, 1928), $584.42.

(62) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $1,272.43.

(63) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $3,020.

(64) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $1,938.79.

(65) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $540.

(66) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $1,340.

(67) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $3,882.61.

(68) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $12,646.21.

(69) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $33,570.39.

(70) Preston School of Industry,

maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $639.35.

(71) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $648.97.

(72) Stephenson Construction Co., fourth payment, construction of Hangar No. 2, Municipal Airport (claim dated March 14, 1928), $42,000.

(73) Federal Construction Co., third payment, improvement of Bay street from Laguna to Fillmore streets (claim dated March 14, 1928), $3,262.50.

(74) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 13, 1928), $839.07.

(75) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of expenditures for account of convenience station (claim dated March 16, 1928), $686.36.

(76) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of expenditures for account of bridle path (claim dated March 16, 1928), $1,068.90.

(77) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department houses and hydrants installed (claim dated February 29, 1928), $2,149.05.

(78) California Metal and Radiator Works, apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated February 29, 1928), $567.50.

(79) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated February 29, 1928), $696.03.

(80) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated February 29, 1928), $725.35.

(81) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated February 29, 1928), $2,180.81.

(82) Tire Service Co., tires for Fire Department (claim dated February 29, 1928), $1,051.93.

Park Fund.

(83) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated March 16, 1928), $991.04.

(84) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated March 16, 1928), $979.20.

(85) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated March 16, 1928), $1,083.11.

(86) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated March 16, 1928), $544.59.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $16,065, Payment to California Pacific Title and Trust Company for School Lands on Cayuga Avenue.

Resolution No. 28726 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $16,065 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax and authorized in payment to the California Pacific Title and Trust Company; being payment for lands situate on Cayuga avenue and particularly described by Resolution No. 28664 (New Series), accepting offer for said lands and which are required for school purposes. (Claim dated March 19, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Ordering Construction of Guttenberg Main Sewer.

Bill No. 8462, Ordinance No. 7965 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Guttenberg main sewer extending from Morse to Hanover streets; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the Guttenberg main sewer, extending from Morse to Hanover streets, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Ordering Construction of Wawona Street Main Sewer.

Bill No. 8463, Ordinance No. 7966 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Wawona street main sewer, located approximately between Twenty-fifth and Thirty-fourth avenues; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the Wawona street main sewer, located approximately between Twenty-fifth and Thirty-fourth avenues, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications, and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $3,300, Westerly Sunset District.

Resolution No. 28751 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,300 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 38, for the construction of the westerly Sunset District sewer, Section "B," Forty-third avenue main, Contract No. 3; being additional to enable final payment on contract.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Various Streets.

Resolution No. 28727 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Portola drive (Market street extended) from Fowler avenue to O'Shaughnessy boulevard, $15,000.

(2) For the improvement of Steiner street from Haight to Waller streets, $1,500.

(3) For the improvement of Jackson street from Presidio avenue to Walnut street, $6,000.

(4) For the improvement of Tehama street from First to Second streets, $3,100.

(5) For cost of removing sand from the roadway of Twenty-sixth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, $435.

(6) For the improving of Carolina street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets, $3,160.

(7) For the furnishing and repairing of fender piling at the Third street and Channel Bridge, including engineering, inspection and extras (A. W. Kitchen contract at $1,182), $1,800.

(8) For paving of additional width of Teresita boulevard from Portola drive to Foerster street, $6,000.

(9) For improvement of Jackson street, Hyde to Larkin streets, $3,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations Out of Boulevard Bonds for Storm Drain, Bay Shore Boulevard Crossing Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 28728 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, to defray cost of constructing storm drain at the Bay Shore boulevard crossing Alemany boulevard, Contract No. 2, to-wit:

(1) For cost of construction, per award to Louis J. Cohn.....$59,594.40
(2) For engineering, inspection and extras.... 5,405.60

Total $65,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriating $114,800 Out of Boulevard Bonds for Improvement of Section "B" of Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 28729 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, for the improvement of Section "B" of the Alemany boulevard, from Mission street to Ocean avenue, Contract No. 4, to-wit:

(1) For improvement, per contract awarded to Eaton & Smith.....$106,763.41
(2) For engineering, inspection and possible extras 8,036.59

Total $114,800.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations Out of Boulevard Bonds for Section "B" of Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 28730 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, to defray the cost of the construction of Section "B" of the Bay Shore boulevard, from Silver avenue to Paul avenue, Contract No. 3, to-wit:

(1) For construction, per award to Federal Construction Company.$139,909.58
(2) For engineering, inspection and extras... 8,090.42

Total $148,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Amending Set-back Lines, Eighteenth Avenue.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8464, Ordinance No. 7968 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7047 (New Series) as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Eighteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7047 (New Series) as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Eighteenth avenue is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly line of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Establishing Set-back Lines, San Juan and Ridgewood Avenues, Genesee and Foerster Streets and Mangels Avenue.

Bill No. 8461, Ordinance No. 7969 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of San Juan avenue, Ridgewood avenue, Genesee street, Foerster street and Mangels avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 20th day of February, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 176 to establish set-back lines along portions of San Juan avenue, Ridgewood avenue, Genesee street, Foerster street and Mangels avenue, and fixed the 19th day of March, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northeasterly side of San Juan avenue between Cayuga avenue and Alemany avenue, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along the easterly side of Ridgewood avenue between Flood avenue and Hearst avenue, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the westerly side of Genesee street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Monterey boulevard and running thence northerly to Joost avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Genesee street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Monterey boulevard and running thence northerly to Joost avenue, said set-back line to be 7½ feet.

Along the easterly side of Foerster street between Joost avenue and Mangels avenue, said set-back line to be 11½ feet.

Along the southerly side of Mangels avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Ridgewood avenue and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Genesee street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Twenty-eighth and Eleventh Avenues, Randolph Street and Chester Avenue.

Bill No. 8460, Ordinance No. 7970 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-eighth avenue, Eleventh avenue, Randolph street and Chester avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 20th day of February, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 175 to establish set-back lines along portions of Twenty-eighth avenue, Eleventh avenue, Randolph street and Chester avenue, and fixed the 19th day of March, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution was posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at Balboa street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet.

Along the easterly side of Eleventh avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Irving street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet.

Along the southerly side of Randolph street, commencing at Chester avenue and running thence westerly

100 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet.

Along the westerly side of Chester avenue, commencing at Randolph street and running thence northerly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Chester avenue, commencing at Stanley street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Randolph street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Eddy and Laguna Streets.

Bill No. 8459, Ordinance No. 7967 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Eddy and Laguna streets, for a distance of thirty-six (36) feet on Eddy street and for a distance of sixteen (16) feet on Laguna street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Andriano—1.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 28731 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Engdahl & Munson, southwest corner of Fifteenth and Castro streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Bernhardt, northwest corner of Ulloa street and Sixteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capactiy.

W. R. Voorhies, north side of Jackson street, 125 feet east of First avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cox Bros., north side of Hayes street, 166 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cox Bros., north side of Hayes street, 126 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Manning & Baldwin, south side of Twenty-seventh street, 75 feet west of Guerrero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Latourette-Fical Co., east side of Fifth street, 60 feet north of Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. D. Tyler, east side of Broderick street, 126 feet north of Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. V. Rothschild, south side of Beach street, 30 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. B. M. Curtis, 2501 Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, south side of Kensington way, 400 feet east of Merced avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, south side of Kensington way, 450 feet east of Merced avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Archie M. Snow, south side of Folsom street, 100 feet east of Fourteenth street, 25-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 28732 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Roberts be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at 740 La Playa, to be known as "Chutes Service Station."

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Resolution No. 28733 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Del Prete and A. R. Fry be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of San Bruno avenue and Woolsey street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28734 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. A. Smith be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted B. E. Johnson for premises on the northeast corner of Thirty-third avenue and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28735 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Roberts be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 740 La Playa, to be known as "Chutes Garage."

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Resolution No. 28736 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Smith be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 435 Larkin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Resolution No. 28737 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. R. Reid be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop at 260 Clementina street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Dog and Cat Hospital Permit.

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Resolution No. 28738 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Gustave B. Henno is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, operate and

maintain a dog and cat hospital on the east side of Arguello boulevard, 150 feet south of Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Ordering Street Work.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8466, Ordinance No. 7971 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Salmon street between Pacific street and Broadway, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks of the official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8467, Ordinance No. 7972 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Chestnut street between Grant avenue and Stockton street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks of

the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8468, Ordinance No. 7973 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Trumbull street between Craut and Congdon streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks nine (9) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks nine (9) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8469, Ordinance No. 7974 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Cabrillo street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues; Anza street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues; west one-half of Stanyan street between McAllister and Turk streets. and south one-half of Monterey boulevard between Northgate drive and Faxon avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in

width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8470, Ordinance No. 7975 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Gilman avenue between Third street and Hawes street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8471, Ordinance No. 7976 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the south one-half of Harrison street between Sixth street and Seventh street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks of the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8472, Ordinance No. 7977 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Tioga avenue between Rutland street and a line 765 feet easterly from Rutland street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8473, Ordinance No. 7978 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ulloa street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete gutters 2 feet in width adjacent to the above mentioned curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of the following, where not already so improved: Thirty-first avenue be-

tween Taraval and Ulloa streets; Thirty-second avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Thirty-ninth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Kirkham street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof, and the improvement of Twenty-second avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 2 side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8474, Ordinance No. 7979 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Huron avenue between Foote avenue and Naglee avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8475, Ordinance No. 7980 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of

ime after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is o be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest o be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Victoria street between Garfield and Shields streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8476, Ordinance No. 7981 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessmenht to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the proceeding installment and the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-ninth avenue between Judah street and Kirkham street; Twenty-first avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Forty-fourth avenue between Geary street and Anza street; Hugo street between First avenue and Second avenue; Cabrillo street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues; Cabrillo street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues; Twenty-third avenue between Judah street and Kirkham street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8477, Ordinance No. 7982 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for

the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments, that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent per annum.

The improvement of Twentieth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, and Ulloa street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8478, Ordinance No. 7983 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specification therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-eighth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Bill No. 8479, Ordinance No. 7984 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office February 9, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifteen, to read as follows:

Section 1015. The width of sidewalks on Oakdale avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Lane street and Jennings street, shall be thirty (30) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Oakdale avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Lane street and Jennings street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks,

owers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8480, Ordinance No. 7985 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and eighteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 8, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and eighteen, to read as follows:

Section 1018. The width of sidewalks on Taraval street between Fifteenth avenue and Forty-eighth avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8481, Ordinance No. 7986 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and seventeen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office March 8, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and seventeen, to read as follows:

Section 1017. The width of sidewalks on Kate street, the southwesterly side of, between Bryant street and its southeasterly termination, shall be five (5) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kate street, the northeasterly side of, between Bryant street and its southeasterly termination, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Establishing Grades, Montague Place.

Bill No. 8482, Ordinance No. 7987 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Montague place between Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet westerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Montague place between Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet westerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendations of the Board of Public Works filed March 2, 1928.

Montague Place.

4 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Montgomery street westerly line, 214.53 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

4 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Montgomery street westerly line, 211.69 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

50 feet westerly from Montgomery street, 211.22 feet.

137.50 feet westerly from Montgomery street, 210.50 feet.

On Montague place between Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet westerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 28739 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Crescent Grading Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading property on Lakeview avenue between Plymouth and Capitol avenues, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Crescent Grading Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Spur Track Permit, Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company.

Bill No. 8483, Ordinance No. 7988 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carroll avenue between Jennings and Keith streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carroll avenue between Jennings and Keith streets, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the center line of the existing spur track in Carroll avenue, said point being distant 9 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Carroll avenue and 206 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Keith street; thence southeasterly 100 feet to a point distant 4.5 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Carroll avenue; thence southeasterly parallel to said southwesterly line of Carroll avenue 134 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part thereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company.

Provided, that the Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Amending Traffic Ordinance.

On recommendation of Traffic Committee:

Bill No. 8453, Ordinance No. 7989 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections 1 of Article I, 2 and 7 of Article II, 24, 26, 29 and 32 of Article IV, 35 of Article V, and 45 of Article VI, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section Nos. 1 of Article I, 2 and 3 of Article II, 24, 26, 29 and 32 of Article IV, 35 of Article V, and 45 of Article VI, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Article I is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them in this section:

Street—Every way set apart for

public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A street with a roadway of less than twenty (20) feet.

Blind Alley—An alley which has only one end available for ingress or egress.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the roadway included within the prolongation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles during the loading or unloading of passengers or materials.

Vehicle—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District — That portion of this city bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from the east line of First street to the west line of Sixth street; Sixth street from the south line of Mission street to the south line of Market street; the intersection of Sixth street, Market street, Golden Gate avenue and Taylor street; Taylor street from the north line of Golden Gate avenue to the north line of Sutter street; Sutter street from the west line of Taylor street to the east line of Grant avenue; Grant avenue from the south line of Sutter street to the north line of California street; California street from the west line of Grant avenue to the south line of Market street; Market street from the north line of California street to the west line of First street; First street from the north line of Market street to the south line of Mission street.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also, any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Sections 2 and 7 of Article II are hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE II.

Authority of Police Traffic Signs and Signals.

Section 2. Obedience to Police—Officers of the Police Department are hereby authorized to direct all traffic by means of visible or audible signal, and it shall be unlawful for any person to refuse or fail to comply with any lawful order, signal or direction of a traffic or police officer. It shall be unlawful for any person to direct or attempt to direct traffic unless authorized to do so by order of the Chief of Police.

Any police officer may, when traffic conditions so require, remove, or cause to be removed, any parked vehicle or vehicles, whether occupied or not, from any street or alley, to the nearest place to accommodate the necessity for such removal.

Section 7 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 7. Display of Unauthorized Signs Prohibited—It shall be unlawful for any person to place or maintain or to display any device, other than an official warning or direction sign or signal erected under competent authority, upon or

in view of a street, which purports to be, or is an imitation of, or resembles, an official warning or direction sign or signal, or which attempts to direct the movement of traffic or the actions of operators and any such prohibited device shall be a public nuisance, and the Police Department may remove it, or cause it to be removed, without notice.

It shall be unlawful for any person to wilfully deface, injure, move or interfere with any official warning or direction sign or signal.

It shall be unlawful for any person to place or cause to be placed or to maintain any object which obstructs the visibility of any official warning or direction sign or signal or device used for the regulation of traffic.

Section 3. Sections 24, 26, 29 and 32 of Article IV are hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

Section 24. Arterial Streets—The following streets or parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Van Ness avenue from the north line of Market street to the south line of North Point street; Valencia street from the south line of Market street to the midline of Mission street; Mission street from the west line of Valencia street to the County line of San Francisco; Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to Portola drive; thence on Portola drive to St. Francis Circle; Bush street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue; Pine street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue; Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Presidio avenue; Geary street from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary street to the Great Highway; Fulton street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Great Highway; Fell street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street; Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the south line of Sloat boulevard; Sloat boulevard from the east line of Great Highway to the west line of Portola drive; Junipero Serra boulevard from the County line of San Francisco to the west line of Portola drive; Ocean avenue from the midline of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of Mission street; Division street from the east line of Eleventh street to the west line of Potrero avenue; Eleventh street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street; Potrero avenue from the south line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue; San Bruno avenue from the south line of Potrero avenue to the County line; Silver avenue from the east line of Mission street to the west line of San Bruno avenue; Third street from the south line of Channel street to the east line of San Bruno avenue; Lincoln way from the east line of the Great Highway to Frederick street, thence along Frederick street to the west line of Stanyan street; Army street from the west line of Third street to the east line of Potrero avenue, and from the west line of Potrero avenue to the east line of Mission street; Great Highway from Point Lobos avenue to the north line of Sloat boulevard; Lombard street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Lyon street; Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary street to the south line of Fulton street; Twenty-fourth street from the east line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue; Cortland avenue from the east line of Mission street to the west line of San Bruno avenue.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearer property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to place and maintain or cause to be placed and maintained upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the words "Arterial Stop."

Section 26 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. One Way Streets—Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of

he following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street; easterly line Jessie street between First street and Ninth street; westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street; westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street; southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street; westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street; westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue; westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street; westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street; easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street; westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street; easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue; westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street; southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street; westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Stockton street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 29 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 29. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to sound any horn or other device for any purpose other than that of warning, when necessary to the safe operation of a vehicle, or to sound any such horn or device or make any loud, unusual or unnecessary noise within the distance of one block from any public or private hospital; provided further, that the operators of those vehicles permitted, under the law, to be equipped with sirens shall not sound such sirens except when absolutely necessary.

Section 32 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 32. Unlawful to drive through processions. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive between the vehicles comprising an authorized parade, or to drive between the vehicles comprising a funeral procession, providing the characters of such vehicles are reasonably discernible.

Section 4. Section 35 of Article V is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Section 35. Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police offices or triffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the police department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Section 5. Section 45 of Article VI is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE VI.

Street Cars.

Section 45. Driving on Street Car Tracks, Passing Safety Zones—(a) It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle proceeding upon any street car tracks in front of a street car to fail to remove said vehicle from said tracks as soon as practicable after signal from the operator of said street car.

(b) On Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue it shall be unlawful to drive any vehicle to the left of any safety zone established at a regular street car stop.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for Bonding City for Completion of Hetch Hetchy Project.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee:

Bill No. 8457, Ordinance No. 7990 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the first day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars, for the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipelines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne System, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne River and its tributaries situated within the State of California to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the first day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipelines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne System, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne River and its tributaries, situated within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco, for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 27th day of February, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 28672 (New Series) in the sum of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 28672 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor, and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Hetch Hetchy—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of twenty-four million ($24,000,00) dollars for the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipelines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries, situated within the State of Cali-

fornia, to the City and County of San Francisco, for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such elections, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1928, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Hetch Hetchy Bond."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 24,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars thereof ten years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers,

and six hundred thousand ($600.000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Hetch Hetchy Bond."

No. —— $1,000.

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1928.

....,
Mayor.
....,
Treasurer.

Countersigned:
....,
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. —— $——

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1928, number ——.

....,
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one million eighty thousand ($1,080,000) dollars for the first ten years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the eleventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million fifty-three thousand ($1,053,000) dollars to pay, and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars thereof due ten years from their date have been paid, and for the twelfth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million twenty-six thousand $1,026,000) dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the six hundred thousand ($600.000) dollars thereof due eleven years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-seven succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of twenty-seven thousand ($27,000) dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning ten years from the date of said bonds of six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars of said bonds, and the sum of six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars each year beginning nine years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for thirty-nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for the Acquisition of the Properties of the Spring Valley Water Company.

Bill No. 8458, Ordinance No. 7991 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the 1st day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco, a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars, for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, all of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San

Francisco on Tuesday, the 1st day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was, by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works, procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 27th day of February, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 28671 (New Series) in the sum of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 28671 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Spring Valley—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying good, pure water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per

centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such elections, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1928, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Spring Valley Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 41,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof two years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Spring Valley Bond."

No. —— $1,000

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco ,a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County,

or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1928.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. —— $——

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1928, number ———.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one million eight hundred forty-five thousand ($1,845,000) dollars for the first two years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the third year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million eight hundred thousand ($1,800,000) dollars to pay, and in season to pay the interest on such bonds as remain outstanding after the one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof due two years from their date have been paid, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million seven hundred

fifty-five thousand ($1,755,000) dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-eight succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of forty-five thousand ($45,000) dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning two years from the date of said bonds of one million ($1,000,000) dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one million ($1,000,000) dollars each year beginning one year from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for forty years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $76,555.48, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) California Nursery Co., plants and shrubs for parks (claim dated Mar. 22, 1928) $558.95.

(2) C. C. Morse & Co., grass for parks (claim dated Mar. 23, 1928), $647.06.

Playground Fund.

(3) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, for construction of sewer at the Glen Park Playground (claim dated Mar. 21, 1928), $2,985.

Boulevard Bonds, 1927.

(4) J. P. Holland, hauling of sand for Great Highway improvement (claim dated Mar. 23, 1928), $928.50.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(5) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, second payment, architectural services for Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Mar. 21, 1928), $787.80.

County Road Fund.

(6) Henry J. and Annie J. Beckmann, in payment for all claims for account of street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14 1928), $962.

(7) E. Duncan, payment in full for all claims for account of street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $1,363.

(8) Refugio A. Echeverria, payment in full for all claims for account of street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $850.

(9) San Francisco and Fresno Land Co., payment in full for all claims for account of street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $750.

(10) Wm. J. Sweeney, payment

in full for account of all clai- for street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $900.

(11) Mary C. Ward et al., payment in full of all claims for account of street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $750.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for February under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $1,475.46.

(13) Market Street Railway Co., electric service furnished for February, Market street from Sansome to Ferries (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $3,220.69.

(14) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service furnished for month of February (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $36,749.51.

(15) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Mar. 8, 1928), $87,043.18.

(16) United States Steel Products Co., rails, bolts, etc., for Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $14,609.49.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(17) Joseph E. Haverlandt, settlement of claim as result of accident May 13, 1925 (claim dated Mar. 15, 1928), $6,000.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(18) St. Louis Car Company, seventh payment, Municipal Railway car bodies, contract 149A (claim dated Mar. 20, 1928), $33,806.50.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(19) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, as reserve for depreciation for March (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $14,584.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Mar. 17, 1928), $707.30.

(21) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Mar. 17, 1928), $529.07.

Special School Tax.

(22) H. Ankers, second payment, painting of South Side High School (claim dated Mar. 20, 1928), $3,075.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(23) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 18, 1928), $881.36.

(24) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $607.25.

(25) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $4,195.17.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(26) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., blankets for County Jails (claim dated Mar. 7, 1928), $664.50.

(27) Phillips Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Mar. 7, 1928), $1,120.14.

(28) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Mar. 7, 1928), $2,062.57.

(29) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Mar. 19, 1928), $942.91.

(30) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Mar. 15, 1928), $1,384.17.

(31) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for asphalt plant (claim dated Mar. 15, 1928), $528.51.

(32) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Mar. 15, 1928), $1,815.70.

(33) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing for January (claim dated Mar. 15, 1928), $949.36.

(34) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Mar. 26, 1928), $720.18.

(35) James G. Stafford and associates, fourteenth payment, contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Mar. 22, 1928), $9,808.40.

(36) J. H. Zemansky, postage for primary election (claim dated Mar. 22, 1928), $3,000.

(37) Victor X-Ray Corporation, X-ray film plates, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $2,858.10.

(38) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $4,835.09.

(39) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs and butter, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $3,609.50.

(40) Carl Munter & Co., towels, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,443.94.

(41) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., sheets, gowns, etc., San Fran-

cisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $898.23.

(42) Pendleton Woolen Mills, blankets for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,620.

(43) W. R. Ballinger & Son., prepaid freight on 100 voting machines (claim dated Mar. 22, 1928), $1,936.74.

(44) W. R. Ballinger & Son, drayage on 100 voting machines (claim dated Mar. 22, 1928), $528.55.

Appropriations for Lands for Ocean View Playground.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the Ocean View Playground, to-wit:

(1) To G. L. Autagne, for Lot 46, Block 7093, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County (claim dated March 20, 1928), $1,450.

(2) To Agnes Widmer, for Lots 7 and 8, Block 7093, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County (claim dated March 20, 1928), $3,200.

(3) To Frederick George Munzenmaier, for Lots 12 and 13, Block 7093, as per the Assessor's Map Books of the City and County (claim dated March 20, 1928), $3,675.

Appropriations, Repairs, Etc., Central Police Station.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of rearranging Assembly Room, Central Police Station, into three offices, furnishing of lockers for each room, electric fixtures, reconstruction of lavatory, painting of upper floor, etc., $5,446.30.

(Said $5,446.30 to be credited to Board of Public Works, as follows: $4,023 to credit of Budget Item No. 411, and $1,423.30 to credit of Budget Item No. 433.)

(2) For cost of constructing a lavatory on first floor of Central Police Station, adjoining Police Department Assembly Room, $2,060.

Plans, Etc., Roosevelt Junior High School.

Also, Bill No. 8484, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the Roosevelt Junior High School, and ordering the construction of the Roosevelt Junior High School, to be erected on City property at Arguello boulevard and Geary street; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said Roosevelt Junior High School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to prepare plans and specifications for the Roosevelt Junior High School, the construction of which Roosevelt Junior High School is hereby ordered, to be erected on City property at Arguello boulevard and Geary street, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the said Roosevelt Junior High School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, Etc., Balboa Elementary School.

Also, Bill No. 8485, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the Balboa Elementary School, and ordering the construction of the Balboa Elementary School, to be erected on City property bounded by San Jose avenue, Seneca and Delano streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said Balboa Elementary School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to prepare plans and specifications for the Balboa Elementary School, the construction of which Balboa Elementary School is hereby ordered, to be erected on City property

bounded by San Jose avenue, Seneca and Delano streets, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the said Balboa Elementary School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, Etc., Park-Presidio Junior High School.

Also, Bill No. 8486, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the Park-Presidio Junior High School, and ordering the construction of the Park-Presidio Junior High School, to be erected on City property bounded by Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, Geary and Clement streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said Park-Presidio Junior High School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to prepare plans and specifications for the Park-Presidio Junior High School, the construction of which Park-Presidio Junior High School is hereby ordered, to be erected on City property bounded by Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, Geary and Clement streets, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the said Park-Presidio Junior High School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriations, Repairs, Etc., City Prison Kitchen.

Also, Resolution No. 28740 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of reconstructing cement flooring in City Prison kitchen, Hall of Justice, $290.

(2) For cost of furnishing and installing three new galvanized iron sinks, including plumbing, in City Prison kitchen, Hall of Justice, $355.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Appropriation, Expenses, Music Week.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the "Publicity and Advertising" Fund (Appropriation 55) for expenses in connection with Music Week, May 6 to 13, 1928.

Appropriation, $10,000, Main Sewer, Golden Gate Heights.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, for construction of main sewer in Golden Gate Heights.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Boilers.

W. Tappenbeck, 3119 Twenty-fourth street, 10-horsepower.

Joseph P. Bareilles, 1259 Mason street, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Denial of Automobile Parking Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. 28741 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Edward W. Nelson to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on

the south side of Mission street, 185 feet east of Fifth street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28742 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of a sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied R. A. Dillon and Ralph McKay to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Mission street, 255 feet east of Sixth street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28743 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Somerton Investment Company to maintain and operate a parking station on the west side of Mason street, 87 feet 6 inches south of Post street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Automobile Parking Station Permit, W. H. Manaton.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. H. Manaton be and he is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the southeasterly line of City Hall avenue, 82 feet 11 inches southwesterly from the intersection of the southerly line of McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Repealing Portion of Resolution No. 27800 (New Series), F. E. Morgan Garage, 450 Sutter Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 27800 (New Series), granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to F. E. Morgan to maintain and operate a public garage at 450 Sutter street, reading as follows: "The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void" is hereby repealed.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of the Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 28744 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to remove, install and change street lights as follows:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Front of 2065 San Jose avenue.

Lombard street between Baker and Lyon streets.

Texas street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets.

Texas street between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets.

Freelon and Zoe streets.

Zoe and Welsh streets.

Campbell avenue between San Bruno avenue and Somerset street.

Edinburgh street between France and Italy avenues.

Install 300 *W. Washington Globe.*

Poles Nos. 311 and 312, Fourth street.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Southwest corner Thirty-first avenue and Clement street (front of church).

Twenty-ninth street, Mission to Noe streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Bay street between Buchanan and Webster streets.

Bay street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

Jefferson street between Scott and Divisadero streets.

West side Lyon street, opposite Francisco street.

Broderick street between Beach and Jefferson streets.

South side Beach street between Baker and Broderick streets.

Jefferson street between Broderick and Divisadero streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Southwest corner Fulton and Shrader streets.

Move 600 *M. R.*

Northwest and northeast corners Fulton and Baker streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Proceedings, P. McDonough.

On recommendation of the Public Buildings Committee:

Resolution No. 28745 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by resolution, has determined to abandon the eminent domain proceedings hereinafter mentioned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in eminent domain proceedings pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "City and County of San Francisco v. McDonough et al.," numbered 186419 on the files of the clerk of the said court in so far as it relates to the following named defendants:

Peter McDonough, Penie McDonough, George A. Young, Charlotte Young, Charles F. Mead, Emma Jane Mead, Anna I. Walsh, Carlo Rottaro, Emilio Rottaro, William Stapleton, Agnes Stapleton, Ragner Monson, William M. Staid, Elizabeth Staid and Walter Edward Scott, and in so far as it affects the lands described in paragraphs VI, VII, VIII, IX, XVII XVIII, XIX, XXI, XXII and XXIII of the complaint on file therein.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, ordered *rereferred to the Traffic Committee*:

Loading Zone Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses in accordance with the provisions of Section 26, Paragraph "C" of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

Street Address	Feet
25 California street	27
485 California street	27
27-29 Clay street	18
333-345 Clay street	45
340 Commercial street	45
634 Commercial street	18
72 Eddy street	45
230 Eddy street	18
1509-1511 Eddy street	27
42 Ellis street	36
186 Ellis street	18
25 Front street	27
423 Geary street	72
451 Geary street	72
61 Golden Gate avenue	27
109-117 Golden Gate avenue	27
224 Golden Gate avenue	18
201 Halleck street	18
42 Hyde street	27
787 Market street	27
932 Market street	27
965 Market street	27
982 Market street	54
1060-1070 Market street	45
1075 Market street	36
1123 Market street	36
1127 Market street	36
1192 Market street	36
427 Montgomery street	27
84 Ninth street	27
200-220 Pacific street	30
545 Post street	27
1841 Post street	18
125 O'Farrell street	72
160 O'Farrell street	45
260 O'Farrell street	36
420 Sacramento street	27
624 Sacramento street	27
321 Sansome street	18
400 Sansome street	27
509-519 Sansome street	36
1150 Sutter street	18
1065 Sutter street	27
6-8 Valencia street	27
477 California street	45
51 Kearny street	18
101 Post street	27
239 Grant avenue	36
66 Pine street	18

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Award of Contract, Fire Hydrants.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Rincon Foundry Co. on bid submitted February 20, 1928 (Proposal No. 354), for furnishing fire hydrants for Fire Department, more particularly described as follows, viz.:

Fire Hydrants.

One hundred (100) double five

(5) inch hydrants with three (3) inch standard outlets, and five (5) inch gate valves complete in every respect, f.o.b. San Francisco Fire Dept., $79.40 each.

Extra Parts.

Six (6) or more elbows, $11.50 each.

Lots of fifty (50) or more hydrant bodies, complete, $37.50 each.

Twelve (12) or more stuffing boxes, $2 each.

Twelve (12) or more gland bushings and nuts, 50 cents each.

Twelve (12) or more caps, complete, $1 each.

Twelve (12) or more cap chains, 15 cents each.

Twelve (12) or more valve carriers, $2.45 each.

Twelve (12) or more sets of galvanized forgings, $3 each.

W. I. galvanized bolts and galvanized C. I. washers, in lots of fifty (50) or more, 75 cents each.

W. I. galvanized bands in lots of twenty-five (25) or more, $1.25 each.

Eight (8) or more 5-inch gate valves, complete, $32 each.

Four (4) or more 4-inch gate valves, as shown on drawing No. 2, $28 each.

Twelve (12) or more stuffing boxes, etc., 5-inch, $1.50 each.

Twelve (12) or more stuffing boxes, etc., 4-inch, $1.40 each.

Twelve (12) or more spindles for hydrants, $3.10 each.

Six (6) or more spindles for 5-inch gate valves, $3.20 each.

Six (6) or more spindles for 4-inch gate valves, $3 each.

Twelve (12) or more tapers, 5-inch, $8.50 each.

Twelve (12) or more tapers, 4-inch, $7.50 each.

Twelve (12) or more discharge seats and outlets, $3.60 each.

Three (3) or more sets of gate valve discs, 4-inch, $4 each.

Three (3) or more sets of gate valve discs, 5-inch $4.60 each.

Fifty (50) six (6) inch flanged raisers machined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $4.15 each.

Twenty-five (25) eight (8) inch flanged raisers machined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $5.15 each.

Twelve (12) twelve (12) inch flanged raisers machined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $6.15 each.

Twelve (12) sixteen (16) inch flange raisers machined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $7.15 each.

Twenty-five (25) or more flat tops (bonnets) for hydrant body, faced and drilled, $1.40 each.

Twenty-five (25) or more figure 4 tops (bonnets) for hydrant body, faced and drilled, $1.40 each.

Twenty-five (25) or more bells tops (bonnets) for hydrant body, faced and drilled, $2.15 each.

Credit Allowance.

Credit allowance on single 2½-inch outlet bodies complete to apply on the purchase of double 3-inch outlet bodies complete, in lots of twenty-five (25) or more, $1 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $2,000 be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Award of Contract, Lacquering Traffic Signals, Etc.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 28746 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on bids submitted February 20, 1928 (Proposal No. 356), for lacquering traffic signals and fire alarm boxes as follows:

Item No. 1—Traffic signal hoods, yellow; Standard Plating Works, $1.70 each.

Item No. 2—Traffic Signal B. I. baskets, yellow; Standard Plating Works, $1.70 each.

Item No. 3—Traffic signal control boxes, green; Standard Plating Works, $1.50 each.

Item No. 4—Pipe bowls, 4 in., green; Standard Plating Works, 75c each.

Item No. 5—Fire alarm shells, outside, red; National Lacquer Co., 75c each.

Item No. 6—Fire alarm shells, inside, white; National Lacquer Co., 75c each.

Item No. 7—Fire alarm shells, round, white; National Lacquer Co., 25c each.

Resolved, That no bonds be required on the above awards.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Extension of Time on Contract for Fire Hose.

Also, Resolution No. 28747 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of time of 30 calendar days be hereby granted, upon recommendation of

the Board of Fire Commissioners, to Pioneer Rubber Mills upon contract awarded on Proposal No. 330 by Resolution No. 27983 (New Series), approved November 4, 1927, for furnishing 10,000 feet 2¾-inch fire hose.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution, on recommendation of the Fire Committee, was *passed for printing*:

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. .——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Standard Oil Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted F. J. Driscoll by Resolution No. 23622 (New Series) for premises at 4060 Mission street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

Acting Mayor Havenner presiding.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 28748 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Southwest corner West Portal avenue and Ulloa street.

West side West Portal avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Southeast corner West Portal avenue and Ulloa street.

South side Ulloa street between West Portal avenue and Claremont street.

Install 400 *C. P. on Type "C" Standard.*

Four each, on east and west sides West Portal avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

South side Ulloa street, opposite Lenox way.

North side Ulloa street between West Portal avenue and Claremont street.

Southwest corner Ulloa and Claremont streets.

Southeast corner West Portal avenue and Vicente street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Observance of Good Friday.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28749 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby requested to declare a recess on Good Friday, April 6, 1928, between the hours of 12 noon and 3 o'clock p. m., to permit employees of the City who desire to participate in religious exercises that day to do so between the hours mentioned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Notice of Reconsideration, 333 Kearny Street.

March 12, 1928—Supervisor Kent moved as an amendment that the Clerk be directed to write a letter, and register it, to the owner, under date of tomorrow, that this Board will cancel the lease of 333 Kearny street, to take effect July 10, 1928.

Carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors ,Gallagher Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy,

Hayden, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—7.

Thereupon, before the vote was announced, Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the said vote at this meeting.

Privilege of the Floor.

Captain Matheson, representing the Chief of Police, was heard at length as to the necessity of the Police Department for additional space in the Hall of Justice.

Communication From Grand Jury.

The following was read by the Clerk:

March 22, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The committee of the Grand Jury on the Police Department, accompanied by Foreman John Whicher and Secretary Edward F. Baron, on this date made an inspection of the executive offices of the Department at the Hall of Justice.

After seeing the chaotic condition of this building, brought about by the congestion and crowding of all of the offices therein occupied by the personnel of the Police Department, the Grand Jury recommends that the entire fourth floor of the Hall of Justice be utilized for the executive offices of the Department.

We believe that a personal inspection by the members of the Board of Supervisors of the conditions at the Hall of Justice would not only verify the facts as above stated, but would bring their unanimous endorsement of this recommendation.

Yours very truly,

HARRY H. COSGRIFF,
Chairman Committee on Police Department.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that, under the circumstances, rather than force this Board to embarrass any member of the Board (Supervisor Andriano, who asked to be excused from voting), and particularly not to embarrass the newly elected Supervisor, that this matter be laid over one week and made a Special Order for 11 a. m.

Motion *carried*.

The district attorney to be requested to attend.

Motion.

Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that a "rider" be placed upon the lease cancelling the space not being used by the Grand Jury.

Referred to City Attorney.

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT.

Supervisor Marks moved that the following proposed Charter Amendment be submitted at the August election instead of the November election as heretofore ordered:

Charter Amendment No. XII-A.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new article thereto to be designated as Article XII-A, relating to the operation, maintenance, control and construction of municipally owned public utilities.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general primary election to be held on the 28th day of August, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

That a new article be added to said Charter to be designated Article XII-A, to read as follows:

ARTICLE XII-A.

Utilities Commission.

Section 1. The construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties, used, owned, acquired. leased or constructed by the City and County of San Francisco, as well as all extensions, additions and betterments thereto, for the purpose of supplying to the said City and County and to its residents and inhabitants, and to territory outside the limits of said City and County and to the residents and inhabitants thereof, with water, light, heat, power or transportation shall be exclusively vested in a Utility Commission of three members, anything in this Charter to the contrary notwithstanding. The Commission shall have power to make all rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions of this Article which it deems necessary or proper for the conduct of its business and the regulation of the matters herein committed to its charge. The Commission shall observe all City and County ordinances, and the regulation of the Department of Public Works relative to utility openings, in streets or public places, structures and poles in streets and other

public places, as well as all ordinances and regulations relative to barricades, construction lights, refilling excavations and replacing and maintaining street pavements; and in connection with all of such matters, the said Commission shall be subject to the same inspection rules and pay fees to the proper department in the same manner and at the same rates as any private person or corporation.

Appointment and Compensation.

Section 2. Members of the Utility Commission shall be appointed by the Mayor and said appointment shall be confirmed by the Board of Supervisors as herein provided, and may be removed from office in the same manner as elective officers of the City and County, and not otherwise. The terms of members of the Commission shall be six years from and after the date of their respective appointments, provided that the persons first appointed as members of said Commission shall by lot classify their respective terms of office so that the term of one of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1931, and that the term of another of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1933, and that the term of the third of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1935, and upon the expiration of the term of each of said Commissioners, the Mayor shall appoint his successor to serve for the full term of six years from the said first Monday after the first day of January of said year in which said appointment is made. Vacancies occurring on said Commission from any cause shall be filled by appointment to be made in the same manner as are full-term appointments, provided that those appointed to fill a vacancy on said Commission shall serve only for the unexpired term of the person whom they succeed.

Appointments shall be made as follows: Within fifteen days after this amendment becomes effective, the Mayor shall appoint the three members of said Commission, and shall at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors held next after making said appointment submit in writing to the said Board the names of the persons appointed to said Commission, and the said Board shall by a vote of a majority of all of its members at a meeting of said Board to be held within thirty days thereafter, by resolution confirm or reject the appointment of any or all of said persons so appointed. Should said appointment or appointments be confirmed as aforesaid by said Board of Supervisors said person or persons so confirmed shall become a member or members of said Commission for the respective term for which they may have been appointed, but should said persons or any of them be rejected by said Board, the said Board shall cause written notice of its action to be given to the Mayor, who shall within fifteen days thereafter make a new appointment or appointments in the place or places of the one or ones failing to receive the confirmation of said Board of Supervisors, and in writing certify said appointment or appointments to said Board, and said Board shall either confirm or reject said appointment or appointments as hereinbefore set forth. That in filling any vacancy occurring on said Commission either by resignation, expiration of term, or otherwise, the same procedure shall be had by the Mayor and by the Board of Supervisors as in the case of original appointment. That should the said Board of Supervisors fail or neglect, for a period of thirty days after receiving written notice from the Mayor of any appointment or appointments to said Commission, to reject said appointment or appointments the said failure shall be deemed to be a confirmation of said appointment or appointments. That during the time intervening between the appointment of a member of said Commission and his rejection by the Board of Supervisors, he shall be deemed to be a member of said Commission and shall be vested with all of the powers incident thereto. Any person appointed to said Commission whose appointment shall be rejected by said Board of Supervisors, shall not be eligible for appointment to said Commission for one year after said rejection.

The compensation of members of said Commission shall be twenty dollars for each meeting of the Commission, or of a committee thereof actually attended by said members, provided that the aggregate amount paid to any member for his service as a member of said Commission shall not exceed two thousand dollars per year. Each member of the Commission shall

furnish and file an official bond in the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars, duly conditioned as in this Charter elsewhere provided. The premium on said bonds so furnished as well as the compensation to be paid to the members of said Commission shall be paid out of public utility revenues.

Organization and Meetings.

Section 3. Immediately after it is first constituted and thereafter on the first Monday after the first day of January of each year, the Utility Commission shall meet for the purpose of organization. At such meeting the Commission shall elect one of its members as president, who shall hold such office for the ensuing year and until the election of his successor. The Commission shall thereafter hold regular weekly public meetings at a designated time and place. The Commission shall adopt its own rules of procedure and shall keep a record of its proceedings and the name of each member attending each meeting held. A majority of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. The Commission may appoint a secretary, subject to the civil service provisions of this Charter and shall prescribe the duties of such secretary and fix his compensation. Special meetings may be called by the president or by two members of the Commission on twelve hours' written notice, which shall be served personally on each member or left at his usual place of residence, which notice shall state the subject to be considered at the special meeting, and no other subject shall be there considered.

Subordinates, Assistants and Employees, and Their Compensation.

Section 4-a. The Commission shall appoint a Manager of Utilities, who shall be the chief executive and administrative official of the Commission, and may create such departments or bureaus as it deems necessary, and appoint a submanager or directing head of each of said departments or bureaus. The Manager of Utilities need not at the date of his appointment be a resident of the City and County of San Francisco, and said manager, as well as the managers of said bureaus or departments, shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter, and shall hold office at the pleasure of the Commission. The Manager of Utilities shall, subject to the control of the Commission, have the management of all public utilities operated, owned or controlled by the City and County, and each submanager or directing head of each bureau or department shall have sole executive control of his department and subordinates.

b. The Commission may also, subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter, appoint an auditor and prescribe his duties; provided that nothing herein contained shall be construed as abrogating or interfering with the authority and control under the Charter of the City Auditor and City Treasurer over the receipt and disbursement of public funds which may come under the Commission's jurisdiction; provided, further, that all demands on funds in the City and County Treasury which are placed under the jurisdiction of the Utility Commission by the provisions of this article shall require the approval of the Commission, which shall be evidenced by the signatures of its secretary and auditor, or of such other officials of each utility as the Commission may designate before being allowed by the City and County Auditor and paid by the Treasurer.

c. The Commission shall appoint such other employees, subordinates and assistants as may be necessary for the proper conduct of the affairs and properties hereby committed to said Commission, and all of such appointments shall be made in conformity with, and the appointees shall be entitled to the benefit of the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter, except as in this article specifically excepted. All employees of the Board of Public Works who are engaged in public utility work at the time this amendment becomes effective shall be taken over by the Commission, under the classification now held by them, or under classification equivalent thereto, and all employees employed in the operating service of any public utility which may be or may have been acquired by the City and County shall be governed by the provisions of Subsection 2, Subdivision B of Section 11 of Article XIII of the Charter. Provided, that employees engaged in construction work outside of the limits of the City shall be exempt from civil service regulations, and all employees whose duties are performed outside of the limits of the City and County shall not be sub-

ject to the Charter provisions regarding residence within said City and County; and provided further, that the Manager of Utilities and the submanager or directing head of each department or bureau may appoint one personal secretary or confidential clerk who shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter; and provided further, that said civil service provisions of the Charter shall not apply to persons employed for temporary service in positions requiring high technical skill.

d. The salaries, wages or other compensation of all persons employed by the Commission or engaged in work under its direction shall be fixed by the Commission, and the Commission may also fix and determine working conditions of said employees, provided that all regulations provided by law and by this Charter relative to hours of labor shall apply to all employees of said Commission.

e. The Commission may require a bond in such amount as it shall deem proper, from any employee, which said bond shall be duly conditioned for the faithful performance of the duties of such employee, and the Commission may provide that the premium on said bond be paid out of the revenues of the particular utility in which said employee is serving, and where said employee is rendering service to more than one utility, the cost of said bond shall be equitably prorated among the utilities receiving the benefit of said service.

Powers and Duties of Managers and Department Heads.

Section 5-a. The Manager of Utilities shall possess the necessary executive, administrative and technical qualifications, and shall hold office and may be removed at the pleasure of the Commission. He shall have full power to administer the affairs of his department as chief executive officer, and may with the consent of the Commission act as the head of any department or bureau created by the Commission. He shall, when possible, attend all meetings of the Commission, and shall at least once in each month, and more frequently if required by the Commission, make a report in writing to the Commission of all matters connected with the work of the Commission. He shall execute all orders of the Commission when directed to do so and supervise the work of all departments under the jurisdiction of the Commission. He may suspend any employee of the Commission save the secretary and auditor, for cause or for disciplinary purposes, and shall report said suspension and the reasons therefor to the Commission at its meeting immediately following said suspension, and said Commission may on the report of said manager order that charges be filed against said person so suspended, or may continue said suspension in force for a period of not more than thirty days from the date thereof, for disciplinary purposes and said order as to said suspension shall be final. Should charges be ordered filed against said suspended employee, the said Manager shall file the same, and the same shall be heard and determined by the Commission, after reasonable opportunity to the accused to be heard, and all of Article XIII of the Charter relative to trials and appeals shall apply to said hearing. Provided, however, that any and all employees who are not entitled to the benefits of said Article XIII may be dismissed by the Commission or by the Manager on the order of the Commission without trial.

b. Submanagers and department heads shall possess the necessary executive and technical qualifications to qualify them for their respective departments or bureaus, and shall conduct the affairs of their respective bureaus or departments under the order and direction of the Manager of Utilities, and shall as often as required by said Manager or by the Commission make reports in writing to said Manager or to the Commission on all matters pertaining to said departments.

Legal Department.

Section 6. The City Attorney shall be the legal adviser of the Commission and shall render such legal advice and services as the Commission may deem necessary, and shall prosecute and defend, as the Commission may require, any and all actions and proceedings involving matters under its jurisdiction. He shall have authority, with the prior approval of the Commission, to compromise, settle or dismiss any litigation or proceedings which may be pending for, or on behalf, or against said Commission relative to any matter or property under its jurisdiction.

The City Attorney shall detail such assistants or deputies as may be necessary to conduct the legal

work of said Commission, and the compensation of said deputies and assistants so detailed shall be fixed by the Commission and shall be paid from the revenues of the utilities under the jurisdiction of said Commission, and said deputies or assistants so detailed shall be in addition to those now allowed to said City Attorney by the Charter or ordinance.

Purchases and Contracts.

Section 7. The Commission shall have power to make contracts for work, supplies, materials or equipment when the cost of these can be met from current public utility revenues or from other moneys appropriated for the use of the Commission by the Board of Supervisors or from the proceeds of bonds authorized for the construction or acquisition of public utilities. The Manager of Utilities shall have the power to make requisition for or order supplies, material or equipment required for the operation or maintenance of any utility under the control of the Commission; and, on the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, the Commission may, by resolution, delegate such power with such restrictions as it may deem necessary, to the directing head of each utility.

The Commission shall organize a purchasing department. All materials, supplies and equipment shall be purchased under contract; provided, however, that upon the certification of the head of a utility that the necessities of construction or operation so require, and with the approval of the Manager of Utilities, the materials, supplies or equipment may be purchased in the open market at the lowest price obtainable. The Commission shall also have the right to call upon the general purchaser of supplies of the City and County government for the purchase of supplies which are included within the general supply contracts of the City and County, payment for the same to be made out of appropriate public utility funds.

The Commission, on the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, may authorize the sale or transfer of any materials, supplies or equipment under its control when no longer used or useful for its purposes, and the proceeds of said sale or transfer shall be placed to the credit of the utility controlling the same.

All construction work shall be done by public contract unless the Commission upon recommendation of the Manager of Utilities shall determine that the work in question can be more advantageously carried on by the Commission's employees.

The Commission may prescribe rules of procedure which it shall follow in letting contracts for the purchase of materials, supplies and equipment and for construction work and amend the same from time to time as it may deem proper; provided, that such rules shall provide for not less than five days' public advertisement, for sealed bids on such contracts, for the award of contracts to the lowest responsible bidder, and shall require of all contractors adequate surety bonds in an amount to be fixed by the Commission in each case for the protection of the City and County in the performance of such contracts and for the protection of all persons, firms or corporations furnishing labor or materials in connection with the same. Suitable provision may also be made for the rejection of all bids and readvertisement and for the rejection of the proposal of any bidder, who in the opinion of the Commission is not competent to fulfill the contract if awarded. All contracts shall be signed by the president or acting president, and secretary or acting secretary of the Commission.

Additions, Betterments and Extensions.

Section 8. The Commission shall locate and determine the character and type of all construction and additions, betterments and extensions to utilities under its control, and shall determine the policy for such construction or the making of such additions, betterments and extensions out of public funds under its jurisdiction; provided, that in each such case it shall secure the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, which recommendation shall be presented in writing and shall include analyses of cost, service and estimated revenues of all proposed or feasible alternatives in cases where it is deemed that such alternatives exist.

Accounts, Revenues and Expenditures.

Section 9. Proper accounts shall be maintained in the form prescribed by the State Railroad Commission for public utilities of like character, and other necessary accounts, and accounting forms and procedure shall be maintained as prescribed by the Commission. Such accounts shall be kept separately

for each utility under the control of the Commission. Monthly statements of receipts and expenditures shall be submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Utility Commission, not later than its second regular meeting in the next succeeding month. Annual reports in the form required by the Railroad Commission of the State for privately-owned utilities of like character shall be prepared separately for each utility and filed with the Board of Supervisors and in the office of the Utility Commission. Such reports shall contain such other information, and be in such detail, as the Board of Supervisors may request, and shall be printed by the Commission for public distribution. The salaries and general expenses of the Commission, not chargeable to a specific utility, shall be apportioned fairly among the utilities under its charge, in such manner as the Commission shall deem proper.

Budget and Appropriations.

Section 10. The Manager of Utilities shall, not later than April 15th of each year, file with the Utility Commission a budget estimate for the ensuing fiscal year, of anticipated revenues and needed appropriations, separately for each utility or service under the control of the Commission. These shall be submitted in such detail as to furnish a basis and guide for accounting and expenditures during the succeeding fiscal year. The Commission may modify such budget estimates in any manner that it may deem proper and shall by public advertisement give all interested persons an opportunity to be heard at one or more meetings of the Commission, with reference to the amounts and purposes of expenditure to be included in such budget. Upon completion of said hearings the Commission shall by resolution adopt a budget for each utility under its jurisdiction and transmit a copy thereof to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and another copy to the Mayor. After adoption of said budget, expenditures for the fiscal year covered thereby shall not be in excess of the budget appropriations for the respective items thereof. Under no circumstances shall the budget of any utility include a total of items of expenditure and reserves in excess of the estimated revenues to be derived from said utility during the succeeding fiscal year, unless after written request to the Board of Supervisors from the Commission, the latter tribunal shall authorize by ordinance a specified amount to be transferred to the Commission's jurisdiction from appropriate municipal funds under control of the Board of Supervisors; provided, also, that this limitation on expenditures shall not apply to expenditures for new construction or acquisitions which may be lawfully paid out of the proceeds of bond sales or accumulated surpluses under the Commission's jurisdiction. The funds of one utility shall not be diverted to any other utility, but if a surplus is accumulated out of the revenues of a given utility in excess of the maximum surplus which may be lawfully retained to its credit as in this article provided, such surplus shall automatically pass to and become part of the General Fund of the City and County and shall be so credited on the books of the Commission and of the City and County Auditor; provided that the Commission may with the consent of all of its members adopt a program for the accumulation of a surplus to cover a period not exceeding ten years, for the purpose of financing extensions and additions to existing utilities out of said accumulation, and when such program is adopted by said Commission, no transfer of surplus to the General Fund shall be made until said surplus fund exceeds the total sum estimated in said program as being necessary for such extensions or additions; provided that no funds accumulated from one utility shall be used for financing extensions or additions to another, and provided further that when said program is adopted pursuant to the consent of said Commission, and a fund or any part thereof is accumulated, neither said fund nor any part thereof shall be used for any purpose other than that provided for in said program without the approval of the Board of Supervisors expressed by ordinance authorizing such other use.

Bond Sales.

Section 11. The Utility Commission is hereby vested with full and exclusive authority to sell or order the sale of bonds which have heretofore been or may hereafter be authorized by the voters of the City and County for the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of any public utility or utilities; provided, that such sale shall otherwise be conducted in accordance with the procedure specified by this Charter for the sale of bonds. For the purpose of such sales the Secretary of the Commission is hereby vested with the authority and duties conferred upon the Clerk of the

Board of Supervisors by Section 10 of Article XII of this Charter and the Commission is authorized to perform all acts and exercise all powers conferred upon the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor by Article XII of the Charter, with reference to the sale of such public utility bonds, and where the concurrence of fourteen votes of the Supervisors is required, such act shall require the unanimous vote of the Commission. Each of such public utility bonds shall be signed by the Mayor, the President of the Utility Commission and the City Treasurer, and shall be countersigned by the City Auditor. The signature of the Mayor and the President of the Public Utility Commission may be by facsimile. The provisions of Section 11a of Article XII of the Charter shall apply to all such signatures.

Provision for Interest and Sinking Fund.

Section 12. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter, where bonds have been authorized for the acquisition, construction or completion of a public utility or of extensions thereto, and have been sold by the Commission, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of said utility, or of extensions to an existing utility as the case may be, and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same, may by a unanimous vote of the Commissioners be ordered paid out of the proceeds of sale of the bonds authorized and sold for such construction purposes. No sale of bonds shall be made by the Commission when there are insufficient revenues on hand in the Treasury to the credit of the bond interest and redemption fund of the utility for which said bonds have been authorized from which to meet the interest and redemption charges on said bonds during the ensuing twelve months, unless and until the Board of Supervisors shall authorize such sale by ordinance, or, unless and until the Commission shall have appropriated and set aside out of the proceeds to be realized from the sale of said bonds a sum sufficient to meet the interest and sinking fund requirements as hereinabove provided for; provided, further, that nothing contained in this section shall in any way relieve the City and County from liability to the holders of said bonds for the payment of interest and principal thereof when and as the same become due, and in the event of any failure, refusal or neglect on the part of the Commission to make provision for payment of bond interest and redemption as hereinabove provided for, they shall be forthwith removed from office and the Board of Supervisors shall provide for the same as required under Sections 12 and 13 of Article XII of said Charter, and subject to the penalties prescribed in said sections.

Operating Expenses and Reserves.

Section 13. The receipts from each utility operated by the Commission shall be paid daily into the City Treasury and maintained in a special fund set aside for such utility. The Commission may from time to time make appropriations from said fund to the following purposes, in the order named, viz.:

(a) For payment of the operating expenses of such utility, including pension charges and compensation insurance;

(b) For repairs and maintenance;

(c) For depreciation and accident reserves as hereinafter described;

(d) For payment of interest and sinking fund on the bonds issued for the acquisition or construction of such utility and extensions thereto;

(e) For reserve funds out of which to construct or acquire extensions and betterments to said utility;

(f) For a surplus reserve.

Whenever said surplus reserve shall amount to the equivalent of the estimated operating expenses, reserve allotments and interest charges for the current fiscal year, the excess shall be subject to automatic transfer to the General Fund, as provided in Section 10 hereof, and subject to the exception therein mentioned.

The Commission shall maintain pension and compensation insurance accounts for each utility, and shall cause an estimate to be made annually of the proper reserves required for each such account, and any surpluses in any such account may be transferred to the surplus account of the utility concerned.

The Commission shall, on the written recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, which recommendation shall be based on an appraisal of the life of the several classes of property for each utility, determine the amount of a reasonable depreciation reserve for each utility to be set up out of the reve-

nues of such utility to provide for depreciation and obsolescence as they accrue. There shall be credited monthly to the depreciation reserve for each utility, one-twelfth of the amount required annually, as so determined. Pending such appraisal, the monthly appropriations to be made to the depreciation reserve shall be at the rate of not less than four per cent per annum of the historical cost of the utility. Such depreciation reserves shall be used only for replacement due to depreciation or obsolescence; provided, however, that every five years the Commission shall cause a reappraisal to be made of depreciation reserves, replacement expenditures therefrom, and probable useful life of each of the several kinds of property, and on the basis of such reappraisal may increase or diminish the amount to be appropriated to the depreciation reserve.

The Commission shall cause a reasonable accident reserve to be created for each utility by monthly credits thereto from the revenues of such utility, and shall reappraise this at five-year intervals, and may increase or diminish the amount of payments thereto on the basis of such reappraisal. The accident reserve fund for every utility shall be used only for the payment of accident and damage claims against such utility.

Rates.

Section 14. The Commission shall have the power to fix and adjust rates or fares for the furnishing of public utility service by the City and County; to collect, and by appropriate means, including the discontinuation of service to delinquent consumers, or by civil action in the name of the City and County, enforce the collection of such rates and charges; and to adjust and settle all complaints and claims of consumers or the public with reference to service credits or refunds; provided, that such rates may be fixed at varying scales, for different classes of service or consumers, which said rates must include rates for services rendered any department or other utilities of the City and County, the aggregate revenue from which for each specific utility shall represent not less than the actual total cost of the service of that utility as determined by the Commission; provided further, that when the Utility Commission on the basis of a financial analysis in writing by the Manager of Utilities, may recommend to the Board of Supervisors a scale of rates under which estimated aggregate revenue from a specific utility may represent less than the actual cost of the service rendered by such utility, the Board of Supervisors, by a two-thirds vote of all members, may authorize such scale of rates, and must, at the same time, specify the manner in which the estimated annual operating deficits will be met. Such rate schedule may then be adopted by the Commission. The Commission may provide for the rendition of utility services without the limits of the City and County at rates which may include proportionate compensation for interest during construction of the utility which may have been paid out of the revenues derived from taxation in the City and County. Services rendered by any other City and County department to any publicly-owned utility under the jurisdiction of the Commission shall be paid for by the Commission at cost, out of the revenues of the utility served. Rates for services rendered by any publicly-owned utility to the City and County or any department thereof or to any other utility of the City and County, shall be paid into the fund of the specific utility rendering such services, out of appropriate funds of the City and County, or of the department or utility using such services.

Lease and Sale of Lands.

Section 15. The Commission may, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, lease, for purposes not in conflict with public utility uses, any lands controlled by it or placed in its charge, for terms not exceeding ten years. The Commission may, in the name of the City and County, acquire and take by purchase, lease, condemnation or otherwise, and hold any or all property situated within or without the limits of the City and County that it may determine to be necessary for the construction, maintenance, extension or operation of the works under its jurisdiction and control. It shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors upon its receipt of a resolution of the Commission that the acquisition of any property or properties is necessary for the conduct, operation, maintenance or extension of any utility under the jurisdiction of the Commission to take the necessary legislative action by resolution or ordinance determining that public interest and necessity require the taking of said property or properties for the pur-

ose specified in said resolution of aid Commission and that said roperty or properties are neces- ary for said utility, and the said esolution of said Commission shall e deemed to be conclusive proof o the Board of Supervisors as to he necessity of said property for he said use specified therein.

The Commission may with the rior approval of the Board of Su- ervisors, expressed by ordinance, n terms to be expressed in said rdinance, sell such lands or other roperty under its control as are o longer used or useful for its urposes, or may, upon like author- ty, transfer such lands or other roperty to any other department f the City and County government, pon there being transferred to the pecial fund of the utility con- erned such funds as will represent roper and adequate consideration or the land or other property trans- erred, as determined in the ordi- ance authorizing the same. The ommission shall have full power nd authority to enter into such rrangements and agreements as it hall deem proper for the joint use with any other person, firm or cor- oration owning the same, or hav- ng jurisdiction of the same, of oles, conduits, towers, stations, queducts, reservoirs and tracks for he operation of any of the utilities nder its jurisdiction, and may nake such arrangements as it shall eem proper for the exchange of ransfer privileges with any pri- vately-owned transportation com- pany or system which will tend for the bettrement of its service.

Pensions.

Section 16. All employees of the utilities under the jurisdiction of the Commission shall be entitled to the benefits of the provisions of Article XVII of the Charter, rela- tive to the retirement of aged and disabled employees, and for the pur- pose of enjoying the benefits pro- vided for in said article all em- ployees of said utilities shall be deemed to be public employees of the City and County, and all amounts to be contributed to said fund to equal the amounts con- tributed by said employees thereto shall be paid from the particular utility to which said employee is attached, and should any employee be rendering service to more than one utility, the amount contributed to equal said employee's contribu- tion shall be divided among the utilities in which he is serving, in such proportion as the Commission shall determine.

Additional Powers.

Section 17. Nothing in this article contained shall be construed to be a limitation upon the power which may be conferred upon said Utility Commission or upon the powers which may be exercised by said Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, by ordinance adopted in the manner provided in the Charter, may confer additional and other powers on said Commission incident to those herein conferred, and may place under the control of said Commission the duty of light- ing all public streets, lanes, places, parks and public buildings, any other provision of the Charter to the contrary notwithstanding.

Deposit of Surplus Money.

Section 18. At any time that there is on deposit in the office of the Treasurer of the City and County funds belonging to said Commission or to the utilities un- der its control, in an amount greater than is necessary for the immediate needs of said utilities, the said Treasurer shall, upon the direction of the Commission, offer so much of said funds as said Com- mission shall direct for deposit in such bank or banks as shall accept the same, said deposits to be made only upon the security provided for in and pursuant to the provisions of Section 2, Chapter III of Article IV of the Charter, and all interest received by the said Treasurer upon the said funds so deposited shall be credited to the particular utility to which the said funds de- posited may belong.

Constitutionality.

Section 19. If any section, sub- section, sentence, clause or phrase of this article is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or in- valid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining por- tions of this article. The people of the City and County of San Fran- cisco hereby declare that they would have ratified and adopted and the Legislature hereby declares that it would have approved this article and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof as an amendment to the Charter of the City and County of San Fran- cisco, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, sub- sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitu- tional.

Name and Service of Process.

Section 20. The Commission created herein shall be known as "Utility Commission of San Francisco" and may sue or be sued under said name. Service of process in all matters affecting said Commission, or the utilities under its jurisdiction, may be made by service upon any one Commissioner and on the Secretary of said Commission.

Repeal of Conflicting Provisions.

Section 21. All provisions of the City Charter in conflict with this article are superseded by the provisions hereof to the extent of such conflict. Subdivision 8 of Section 9 of Chapter I of Article VI and Section 16 of Article XII of this Charter are hereby repealed. All functions now required to be performed under Article XII of the Charter by the Board of Public Works shall, upon ratification of this amendment, devolve upon and be performed thereafter by the Utility Commission. All duties required to be performed by the City Engineer under the provisions of Article VI and Article XII, in so far as they relate to public utilities, shall, upon ratification of this amendment, devolve upon and be performed by the Manager of Utilities herein provided for.

Ordered and *submitted* by the Board of Supervisors May 16, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, copies of the foregoing were ordered sent to each member and the matter made a Special Order of Business for 10 a. m. next Monday.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sale of Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, March 26, 1928, and were opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

Two million six hundred thousand dollars five per cent Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue of January 1, 1925, comprising 65 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1930 to 1969, inclusive.

The said described bonds bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually and shall not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest thereon to date of delivery.

Bidders may bid for the whole or part of the bonds here offered, and when a less amount of the whole amount offered is bid on, the bidder shall state the year or years of maturity thereof.

The bonds offered are tax exempt State and Federal.

Delivery of the bonds to the purchaser will be made within ten days from the date of award, or within such time thereafter as may be agreed upon by the purchaser and the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

All proposals for the purchase of such bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of certified check payable to J. J. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County for a like sum, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York as to the legality of these bonds is on file in the Clerk's office.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted February 27, 1928.

Bids.

The following bids were presented, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

(1) R. H. Moulton & Co. (Syndicate Manager), $2,880,280. R. H. Moulton & Co., Harris Trust & Savings Bank, American National Co. Bankers Trust Co. and Security C.

(2) Heller, Bruce & Co., $2,883,062. Lehman Bros., New York; Kountze Bros., New York; Wells Dickey Co., Minneapolis; Dean Witter & Co., San Francisco; Bond Goodwin & Tucker, Inc., San Francisco; Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., San Francisco, and Heller, Bruce & Co.

(3) Halsey, Stuart & Co. Inc. (Syndicate Managers), $2,865,20 Halsey, Stuart & Co. Inc., E. H. Rollins & Sons, Geo. B. Gibbons

Co., R. W. Pressprich & Co., A. G. Becker & Co. and Crocker First National Bank.

(4) Guaranty Co. of New York (Syndicate Managers), $2,878,668. Illinois Merchants Trust Co., Remick, Hodges & Co., R. L. Day & Co., Ames, Emerick & Co., Keane, Taylor & Co. and H. L. Allen & Co.

(5) Anglo London Paris Co., $2,892,529. Bank of Italy, First National Bank, New York; Eldredge & Co., Redmond & Co., Kissel, Kinnicutt & Co., The Detroit Co. Inc. By Anglo London Paris Co.

(6) The National City Co. (Joint Account Managers), $2,881,034. The National City Co., Old Colony Corporation, Boston; Security Bank & Trust Co., California Securities Co. and Wm. Cavalier & Co.

(7) By Blair & Co. Inc., $2,888,340. For Blair & Co. Inc., Hallgarten & Co., Phelps, Fenn & Co., Anglo California Trust Co., Schwabacher & Co. and E. R. Gundelfinger, Inc.

(8) William R. Compton & Co. and Easterbrook & Co., $2,862,054. Stone, Webster & Blodget & Co., Northern Trust Co. and Drake, Riley & Thomas.

Recess.

Whereupon the Board took a recess for 10 minutes to permit the Finance Committee to consider the foregoing proposals.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 4.45 p. m.; all members heretofore noted being present.

Acting Mayor Havenner in the chair.

The following resolution was presented on recommendation of the Finance Committee and *adopted*:

Award of Contract, Water Bonds.

Resolution No. 28750 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue of January 1, 1925, to the amount of $2,600,000 would be opened and considered on Monday, the 26th day of March, 1928; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale and the same having been duly considered, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of Anglo London Paris Company; Bank of Italy; First National Bank, New York; Eldredge & Company; Redmond & Company; Kissel, Kinnicutt & Company; The Detroit Company, Inc., for said $2,600,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, comprising sixty-five bonds of $1,000 denomination of each year's maturity, 1930 to 1969, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Anglo London Paris Company, Bank of Italy, First National Bank, New York; Eldredge & Company, Redmond & Company, Kissel, Kinnicutt & Company, The Detroit Company, Inc., for the price bid therefor, to-wit: $2,892,529, and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

Resolved, That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds. And be it

Further Resolved, That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Employment of Chief Engineer for Bureau of Building Inspection.

The following was presented with the recommendation of the Finance Committee and *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,875 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the General Fund, 1927-1928, and authorized to be expended by the Department of Public Works for the employment of a chief engineer for the Bureau of Building Inspection, from April 1 to June 30, 1928, at the rate of $625 per month.

Welfare Committee to Provide for Financing Entertainment of Pacific Fleet April 7 to 18.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee make the necessary provisions for financing the expenses of the Mayor's Citizens Committee in providing entertainment for the Pacific Fleet, United States Navy, during their stay in San Francisco from April 9 to 18.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Revoking Furnace Permit.

The following was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and *referred to the Fire Committee*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted The Alloys Company by Resolution No. 23036 (New Series), approved October 17, 1924, to maintain closed melting furnaces at 550-560 Fourth street, is hereby revoked.

Redwood Empire Association Celebration.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Redwood Empire Association, requesting that Board of Supervisors send an official delegation to Redwood Empire Day Celebration, to be held March 29, at noon luncheon at St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the chair appoint a committee of five to attend.

So ordered.

Supervisors Hayden, Shannon, Todd and Toner appointed to attend.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 5:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, April 2, 1926.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 15

Monday, April 2, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 2, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 2, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

Acting Mayor Havenner presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 26, 1928, was considered read and approved.

Relative to San Francisco Bridge Bill.

William F. Benedict, Assistant Secretary of his Honor Mayor Rolph, addressed the Board, saying that he was in receipt of a telegram from the Mayor in which he presents his compliments to the Board of Supervisors wishing all a happy Easter and declaring that he believes that the Commerce Committee will report out the San Francisco bridge bill favorably.

Music Appropriations Appreciation.

Communication, from Chester W. Rosekrans, transmitting resolutions adopted at meeting arranged by San Francisco Civic Association of the members of San Francisco's Eighth Annual Music Week Committee, held at Whitcomb Hotel, Thursday, March 22, 1928, comprising fifty chairmen of various committees, expressing appreciation and approval of attitude of Board of Supervisors in fostering music in San Francisco.

Read and *filed*.

Mallen Parking Station Favored.

Communication, from Down Town Association, favoring the application of Howard Mallen for permit for parking station on west side of Taylor street, north of Turk street.

Ordered *filed*.

Invitation to Sunrise Easter Service.

Communication, from Sunrise Easter Service Committee, inviting attendance at Sixth Annual Sunrise Easter Service on Mt. Davidson Sunday, April 8, 1928, at 5:44 a. m.

Read and *filed*.

SPECIAL ORDER—10 A. M.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved submission of the following proposed Charter amendment to the electors at the general primary election to be held on the 28th day of August, 1928:

Charter Amendment No. XII-A.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new article thereto to be designated as Article XII-A, relating to the operation, maintenance, control and construction of municipally owned public utilities.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general primary election to be held on the 28th day of August, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

That a new article be added to said Charter to be designated Article XII-A, to read as follows:

ARTICLE XII-A.

Utilities Commission.

Section 1. The construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties, used, owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the City and County of San Francisco, as well as all extensions, additions and betterments thereto, for the purpose of supplying to the said City and County and to its resi-

dents and inhabitants, and to territory outside the limits of said City and County and to the residents and inhabitants thereof, with water, light, heat, power or transportation shall be exclusively vested in a Utility Commission of three members, anything in this Charter to the contrary notwithstanding. The Commission shall have power to make all rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions of this Article which it deems necessary or proper for the conduct of its business and the regulation of the matters herein committed to its charge. The Commission shall observe all City and County ordinances, and the regulation of the Department of Public Works relative to utility openings, in streets or public places, structures and poles in streets and other public places, as well as all ordinances and regulations relative to barricades, construction lights, refilling excavations and replacing and maintaining street pavements; and in connection with all of such matters, the said Commission shall be subject to the same inspection rules and pay fees to the proper department in the same manner and at the same rates as any private person or corporation.

Appointment and Compensation.

Section 2. Members of the Utility Commission shall be appointed by the Mayor and said appointment shall be confirmed by the Board of Supervisors as herein provided, and may be removed from office in the same manner as elective officers of the City and County, and not otherwise. The terms of members of the Commission shall be six years from and after the date of their respective appointments, provided that the persons first appointed as members of said Commission shall by lot classify their respective terms of office so that the term of one of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1931, and that the term of another of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1933, and that the term of the third of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1935, and upon the expiration of the term of each of said Commissioners, the Mayor shall appoint his successor to serve for the full term of six years from the said first Monday after the first day of January of said year in which said appointment is made. Vacancies occurring on said Commission from any cause shall be filled by appointment to be made in the same manner as are full-term appointments, provided that those appointed to fill a vacancy on said Commission shall serve only for the unexpired term of the person whom they succeed.

Appointments shall be made as follows: Within fifteen days after this amendment becomes effective, the Mayor shall appoint the three members of said Commission, and shall at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors held next after making said appointment submit in writing to the said Board the names of the persons appointed to said Commission, and the said Board shall by a vote of a majority of all of its members at a meeting of said Board to be held within thirty days thereafter, by resolution confirm or reject the appointment of any or all of said persons so appointed. Should said appointment or appointments be confirmed as aforesaid by said Board of Supervisors said person or persons so confirmed shall become a member or members of said Commission for the respective term for which they may have been appointed, but should said persons or any of them be rejected by said Board, the said Board shall cause written notice of its action to be given to the Mayor, who shall within fifteen days thereafter make a new appointment or appointments in the place or places of the one or ones failing to receive the confirmation of said Board of Supervisors, and in writing certify said appointment or appointments to said Board, and said Board shall either confirm or reject said appointment or appointments as hereinbefore set forth. That in filling any vacancy occurring on said Commission either by resignation, expiration of term, or otherwise, the same procedure shall be had by the Mayor and by the Board of Supervisors as in the case of original appointment. That should the said Board of Supervisors fail or neglect, for a period of thirty days after receiving written notice from the Mayor of any appointment or appointments to said Commission, to reject said appointment or appointments the said failure shall be deemed to be a confirmation of said appointment or appointments. That during the time intervening between

the appointment of a member of said Commission and his rejection by the Board of Supervisors, he shall be deemed to be a member of said Commission and shall be vested with all of the powers incident thereto. Any person appointed to said Commission whose appointment shall be rejected by said Board of Supervisors, shall not be eligible for appointment to said Commission for one year after said rejection.

The compensation of members of said Commission shall be twenty dollars for each meeting of the Commission, or of a committee thereof actually attended by said members, provided that the aggregate amount paid to any member for his service as a member of said Commission shall not exceed two thousand dollars per year. Each member of the Commission shall furnish and file an official bond in the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars, duly conditioned as in this Charter elsewhere provided. The premium on said bonds so furnished as well as the compensation to be paid to the members of said Commission shall be paid out of public utility revenues.

Organization and Meetings.

Section 3. Immediately after it is first constituted and thereafter on the first Monday after the first day of January of each year, the Utility Commission shall meet for the purpose of organization. At such meeting the Commission shall elect one of its members as president, who shall hold such office for the ensuing year and until the election of his successor. The Commission shall thereafter hold regular weekly public meetings at a designated time and place. The Commission shall adopt its own rules of procedure and shall keep a record of its proceedings and the name of each member attending each meeting held. A majority of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. The Commission may appoint a secretary, subject to the civil service provisions of this Charter and shall prescribe the duties of such secretary and fix his compensation. Special meetings may be called by the president or by two members of the Commission on twelve hours' written notice, which shall be served personally on each member or left at his usual place of residence, which notice shall state the subject to be considered at the special meeting, and no other subject shall be there considered.

Subordinates, Assistants and Employees, and Their Compensation.

Section 4-a. The Commission shall appoint a Manager of Utilities, who shall be the chief executive and administrative official of the Commission, and may create such departments or bureaus as it deems necessary, and appoint a submanager or directing head of each of said departments or bureaus. The Manager of Utilities need not at the date of his appointment be a resident of the City and County of San Francisco, and said manager, as well as the managers of said bureaus or departments, shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter, and shall hold office at the pleasure of the Commission. The Manager of Utilities shall, subject to the control of the Commission, have the management of all public utilities operated, owned or controlled by the City and County, and each submanager or directing head of each bureau or department shall have sole executive control of his department and subordinates.

b. The Commission may also, subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter, appoint an auditor and prescribe his duties; provided that nothing herein contained shall be construed as abrogating or interfering with the authority and control under the Charter of the City Auditor and City Treasurer over the receipt and disbursement of public funds which may come under the Commission's jurisdiction; provided, further, that all demands on funds in the City and County Treasury which are placed under the jurisdiction of the Utility Commission by the provisions of this article shall require the approval of the Commission, which shall be evidenced by the signatures of its secretary and auditor, or of such other officials of each utility as the Commission may designate before being allowed by the City and County Auditor and paid by the Treasurer.

c. The Commission shall appoint such other employees, subordinates and assistants as may be necessary for the proper conduct of the affairs and properties hereby committed to said Commission, and all of such appointments shall be made in conformity with, and the appointees shall be entitled to the benefit of the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter, except as in this article specifically ex-

cepted. All employees of the Board of Public Works who are engaged in public utility work at the time this amendment becomes effective shall be taken over by the Commission, under the classification now held by them, or under classification equivalent thereto, and all employees employed in the operating service of any public utility which may be or may have been acquired by the City and County shall be governed by the provisions of Subsection 2, Subdivision B of Section 11 of Article XIII of the Charter. Provided, that employees engaged in construction work outside of the limits of the City shall be exempt from civil service regulations, and all employees whose duties are performed outside of the limits of the City and County shall not be subject to the Charter provisions regarding residence within said City and County; and provided further, that the Manager of Utilities and the submanager or directing head of each department or bureau may appoint one personal secretary or confidential clerk who shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter; and provided further, that said civil service provisions of the Charter shall not apply to persons employed for temporary service in positions requiring high technical skill.

d. The salaries, wages or other compensation of all persons employed by the Commission or engaged in work under its direction shall be fixed by the Commission, and the Commission may also fix and determine working conditions of said employees, provided that all regulations provided by law and by this Charter relative to hours of labor shall apply to all employees of said Commission.

e. The Commission may require a bond in such amount as it shall deem proper, from any employee, which said bond shall be duly conditioned for the faithful performance of the duties of such employee, and the Commission may provide that the premium on said bond be paid out of the revenues of the particular utility in which said employee is serving, and where said employee is rendering service to more than one utility, the cost of said bond shall be equitably prorated among the utilities receiving the benefit of said service.

Powers and Duties of Managers and Department Heads.

Section 5-a. The Manager of Utilities shall possess the necessary executive, administrative and technical qualifications, and shall hold office and may be removed at the pleasure of the Commission. He shall have full power to administer the affairs of his department as chief executive officer, and may with the consent of the Commission act as the head of any department or bureau created by the Commission. He shall, when possible, attend all meetings of the Commission, and shall at least once in each month, and more frequently if required by the Commission, make a report in writing to the Commission of all matters connected with the work of the Commission. He shall execute all orders of the Commission when directed to do so and supervise the work of all departments under the jurisdiction of the Commission. He may suspend any employee of the Commission save the secretary and auditor, for cause or for disciplinary purposes, and shall report said suspension and the reasons therefor to the Commission at its meeting immediately following said suspension, and said Commission may on the report of said manager order that charges be filed against said person so suspended, or may continue said suspension in force for a period of not more than thirty days from the date thereof, for disciplinary purposes and said order as to said suspension shall be final. Should charges be ordered filed against said suspended employee, the said Manager shall file the same, and the same shall be heard and determined by the Commission, after reasonable opportunity to the accused to be heard, and all of Article XIII of the Charter relative to trials and appeals shall apply to said hearing. Provided, however, that any and all employees who are not entitled to the benefits of said Article XIII may be dismissed by the Commission or by the Manager on the order of the Commission without trial.

b. Submanagers and department heads shall possess the necessary executive and technical qualifications to qualify them for their respective departments or bureaus, and shall conduct the affairs of their respective bureaus or departments under the order and direction of the Manager of Utilities, and shall as often as required by said Manager or by the Commission make reports in writing to said Manager or to the Commission on all matters pertaining to said departments.

Legal Department.

Section 6. The City Attorney shall be the legal adviser of the Commission and shall render such legal advice and services as the Commission may deem necessary, and shall prosecute and defend, as the Commission may require, any and all actions and proceedings involving matters under its jurisdiction. He shall have authority, with the prior approval of the Commission, to compromise, settle or dismiss any litigation or proceedings which may be pending for, or on behalf, or against said Commission relative to any matter or property under its jurisdiction.

The City Attorney shall detail such assistants or deputies as may be necessary to conduct the legal work of said Commission, and the compensation of said deputies and assistants so detailed shall be fixed by the Commission and shall be paid from the revenues of the utilities under the jurisdiction of said Commission, and said deputies or assistants so detailed shall be in addition to those now allowed to said City Attorney by the Charter or ordinance.

Purchases and Contracts.

Section 7. The Commission shall have power to make contracts for work, supplies, materials or equipment when the cost of these can be met from current public utility revenues or from other moneys appropriated for the use of the Commission by the Board of Supervisors or from the proceeds of bonds authorized for the construction or acquisition of public utilities. The Manager of Utilities shall have the power to make requisition for or order supplies, material or equipment required for the operation or maintenance of any utility under the control of the Commission; and, on the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, the Commission may, by resolution, delegate such power with such restrictions as it may deem necessary, to the directing head of each utility.

The Commission shall organize a purchasing department. All materials, supplies and equipment shall be purchased under contract; provided, however, that upon the certification of the head of a utility that the necessities of construction or operation so require, and with the approval of the Manager of Utilities, the materials, supplies or equipment may be purchased in the open market at the lowest price obtainable. The Commission shall also have the right to call upon the general purchaser of supplies of the City and County government for the purchase of supplies which are included within the general supply contracts of the City and County, payment for the same to be made out of appropriate public utility funds.

The Commission, on the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, may authorize the sale or transfer of any materials, supplies or equipment under its control when no longer used or useful for its purposes, and the proceeds of said sale or transfer shall be placed to the credit of the utility controlling the same.

All construction work shall be done by public contract unless the Commission upon recommendation of the Manager of Utilities shall determine that the work in question can be more advantageously carried on by the Commission's employees.

The Commission may prescribe rules of procedure which it shall follow in letting contracts for the purchase of materials, supplies and equipment and for construction work and amend the same from time to time as it may deem proper; provided, that such rules shall provide for not less than five days' public advertisement, for sealed bids on such contracts, for the award of contracts to the lowest responsible bidder, and shall require of all contractors adequate surety bonds in an amount to be fixed by the Commission in each case for the protection of the City and County in the performance of such contracts and for the protection of all persons, firms or corporations furnishing labor or materials in connection with the same. Suitable provision may also be made for the rejection of all bids and readvertisement and for the rejection of the proposal of any bidder, who in the opinion of the Commission is not competent to fulfill the contract if awarded. All contracts shall be signed by the president or acting president, and secretary or acting secretary of the Commission.

Additions, Betterments and Extensions.

Section 8. The Commission shall locate and determine the character and type of all construction and additions, betterments and extensions to utilities under its control, and shall determine the policy for such construction or the making of such additions, betterments and extensions out of public funds under its jurisdiction; provided, that

in each such case it shall secure the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, which recommendation shall be presented in writing and shall include analyses of cost, service and estimated revenues of all proposed or feasible alternatives in cases where it is deemed that such alternatives exist.

Accounts, Revenues and Expenditures.

Section 9. Proper accounts shall be maintained in the form prescribed by the State Railroad Commission for public utilities of like character, and other necessary accounts, and accounting forms and procedure shall be maintained as prescribed by the Commission. Such accounts shall be kept separately for each utility under the control of the Commission. Monthly statements of receipts and expenditures shall be submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Utility Commission, not later than its second regular meeting in the next succeeding month. Annual reports in the form required by the Railroad Commission of the State for privately-owned utilities of like character shall be prepared separately for each utility and filed with the Board of Supervisors and in the office of the Utility Commission. Such reports shall contain such other information, and be in such detail, as the Board of Supervisors may request, and shall be printed by the Commission for public distribution. The salaries and general expenses of the Commission, not chargeable to a specific utility, shall be apportioned fairly among the utilities under its charge, in such manner as the Commission shall deem proper.

Budget and Appropriations.

Section 10. The Manager of Utilities shall, not later than April 15th of each year, file with the Utility Commission a budget estimate for the ensuing fiscal year, of anticipated revenues and needed appropriations, separately for each utility or service under the control of the Commission. These shall be submitted in such detail as to furnish a basis and guide for accounting and expenditures during the succeeding fiscal year. The Commission may modify such budget estimates in any manner that it may deem proper and shall by public advertisement give all interested persons an opportunity to be heard at one or more meetings of the Commission, with reference to the amounts and purposes of expenditure to be included in such budget. Upon completion of said hearings the Commission shall by resolution adopt a budget for each utility under its jurisdiction and transmit a copy thereof to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and another copy to the Mayor. After adoption of said budget, expenditures for the fiscal year covered thereby shall not be in excess of the budget appropriations for the respective items thereof. Under no circumstances shall the budget of any utility include a total of items of expenditure and reserves in excess of the estimated revenues to be derived from said utility during the succeeding fiscal year, unless after written request to the Board of Supervisors from the Commission, the latter tribunal shall authorize by ordinance a specified amount to be transferred to the Commission's jurisdiction from appropriate municipal funds under control of the Board of Supervisors; provided, also, that this limitation on expenditures shall not apply to expenditures for new construction or acquisitions which may be lawfully paid out of the proceeds of bond sales or accumulated surpluses under the Commission's jurisdiction. The funds of one utility shall not be diverted to any other utility, but if a surplus is accumulated out of the revenues of a given utility in excess of the maximum surplus which may be lawfully retained to its credit as in this article provided, such surplus shall automatically pass to and become part of the General Fund of the City and County and shall be so credited on the books of the Commission and of the City and County Auditor; provided that the Commission may with the consent of all of its members adopt a program for the accumulation of a surplus to cover a period not exceeding ten years, for the purpose of financing extensions and additions to existing utilities out of said accumulation, and when such program is adopted by said Commission, no transfer of surplus to the General Fund shall be made until said surplus fund exceeds the total sum estimated in said program as being necessary for such extensions or additions; provided that no funds accumulated from one utility shall be used for financing extensions or additions to another, and provided further that when said program is adopted pursuant to the consent of said Commission, and a fund or any part thereof is accumulated, neither said fund nor any part thereof shall be used for any purpose other than that provided for in said program

without the approval of the Board of Supervisors expressed by ordinance authorizing such other use.

Bond Sales.

Section 11. The Utility Commission is hereby vested with full and exclusive authority to sell or order the sale of bonds which have heretofore been or may hereafter be authorized by the voters of the City and County for the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of any public utility or utilities; provided, that such sale shall otherwise be conducted in accordance with the procedure specified by this Charter for the sale of bonds. For the purpose of such sales the Secretary of the Commission is hereby vested with the authority and duties conferred upon the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors by Section 10 of Article XII of this Charter and the Commission is authorized to perform all acts and exercise all powers conferred upon the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor by Article XII of the Charter, with reference to the sale of such public utility bonds, and where the concurrence of fourteen votes of the Supervisors is required, such act shall require the unanimous vote of the Commission. Each of such public utility bonds shall be signed by the Mayor, the President of the Utility Commission and the City Treasurer, and shall be countersigned by the City Auditor. The signature of the Mayor and the President of the Public Utility Commission may be by facsimile. The provisions of Section 11a of Article XII of the Charter shall apply to all such signatures.

Provision for Interest and Sinking Fund.

Section 12. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter, where bonds have been authorized for the acquisition, construction or completion of a public utility or of extensions thereto, and have been sold by the Commission, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of said utility, or of extensions to an existing utility as the case may be, and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same, may by a unanimous vote of the Commissioners be ordered paid out of the proceeds of sale of the bonds authorized and sold for such construction purposes. No sale of bonds shall be made by the Commission when there are insufficient revenues on hand in the Treasury to the credit of the bond interest and redemption fund of the utility for which said bonds have been authorized from which to meet the interest and redemption charges on said bonds during the ensuing twelve months, unless and until the Board of Supervisors shall authorize such sale by ordinance, or, unless and until the Commission shall have appropriated and set aside out of the proceeds to be realized from the sale of said bonds a sum sufficient to meet the interest and sinking fund requirements as hereinabove provided for; provided, further, that nothing contained in this section shall in any way relieve the City and County from liability to the holders of said bonds for the payment of interest and principal thereof when and as the same become due, and in the event of any failure, refusal or neglect on the part of the Commission to make provision for payment of bond interest and redemption as hereinabove provided for, they shall be forthwith removed from office and the Board of Supervisors shall provide for the same as required under Sections 12 and 13 of Article XII of said Charter, and subject to the penalties prescribed in said sections.

Operating Expenses and Reserves.

Section 13. The receipts from each utility operated by the Commission shall be paid daily into the City Treasury and maintained in a special fund set aside for such utility. The Commission may from time to time make appropriations from said fund to the following purposes, in the order named, viz.:

(a) For payment of the operating expenses of such utility, including pension charges and compensation insurance;

(b) For repairs and maintenance;

(c) For depreciation and accident reserves as hereinafter described;

(d) For payment of interest and sinking fund on the bonds issued for the acquisition or construction of such utility and extensions thereto;

(e) For reserve funds out of which to construct or acquire extensions and betterments to said utility;

(f) For a surplus reserve.

Whenever said surplus reserve shall amount to the equivalent of the estimated operating expenses, reserve allotments and interest charges for the current fiscal year, the excess shall be subject to automatic transfer to the General Fund, as provided in Section 10 hereof.

and subject to the exception therein mentioned.

The Commission shall maintain pension and compensation insurance accounts for each utility, and shall cause an estimate to be made annually of the proper reserves required for each such account, and any surpluses in any such account may be transferred to the surplus account of the utility concerned.

The Commission shall, on the written recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, which recommendation shall be based on an appraisal of the life of the several classes of property for each utility, determine the amount of a reasonable depreciation reserve for each utility to be set up out of the revenues of such utility to provide for depreciation and obsolescence as they accrue. There shall be credited monthly to the depreciation reserve for each utility, one-twelfth of the amount required annually, as so determined. Pending such appraisal, the monthly appropriations to be made to the depreciation reserve shall be at the rate of not less than four per cent per annum of the historical cost of the utility. Such depreciation reserves shall be used only for replacement due to depreciation or obsolescence; provided, however, that every five years the Commission shall cause a reappraisal to be made of depreciation reserves, replacement expenditures therefrom, and probable useful life of each of the several kinds of property, and on the basis of such reappraisal may increase or diminish the amount to be appropriated to the depreciation reserve.

The Commission shall cause a reasonable accident reserve to be created for each utility by monthly credits thereto from the revenues of such utility, and shall reappraise this at five-year intervals, and may increase or diminish the amount of payments thereto on the basis of such reappraisal. The accident reserve fund for every utility shall be used only for the payment of accident and damage claims against such utility.

Rates.

Section 14. The Commission shall have the power to fix and adjust rates or fares for the furnishing of public utility service by the City and County; to collect, and by appropriate means, including the discontinuation of service to delinquent consumers, or by civil action in the name of the City and County, enforce the collection of such rates and charges; and to adjust and settle all complaints and claims of consumers or the public with reference to service credits or refunds; provided, that such rates may be fixed at varying scales, for different classes of service or consumers, which said rates must include rates for services rendered any department or other utilities of the City and County, the aggregate revenue from which for each specific utility shall represent not less than the actual total cost of the service of that utility as determined by the Commission; provided further, that when the Utility Commission on the basis of a financial analysis in writing by the Manager of Utilities, may recommend to the Board of Supervisors a scale of rates under which estimated aggregate revenue from a specific utility may represent less than the actual cost of the service rendered by such utility, the Board of Supervisors, by a two-thirds vote of all members, may authorize such scale of rates, and must, at the same time, specify the manner in which the estimated annual operating deficits will be met. Such rate schedule may then be adopted by the Commission. The Commission may provide for the rendition of utility services without the limits of the City and County at rates which may include proportionate compensation for interest during construction of the utility which may have been paid out of the revenues derived from taxation in the City and County. Services rendered by any other City and County department to any publicly-owned utility under the jurisdiction of the Commission shall be paid for by the Commission at cost, out of the revenues of the utility served. Rates for services rendered by any publicly-owned utility to the City and County or any department thereof or to any other utility of the City and County, shall be paid into the fund of the specific utility rendering such services, out of appropriate funds of the City and County, or of the department or utility using such services.

Lease and Sale of Lands.

Section 15. The Commission may, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, lease, for purposes not in conflict with public utility uses, any lands controlled by it or placed in its charge, for terms not exceeding ten years. The Commission may, in the name of the City and County, acquire and take by purchase, lease, condemnation or

otherwise, and hold any or all property situated within or without the limits of the City and County that it may determine to be necessary for the construction, maintenance, extension or operation of the works under its jurisdiction and control. It shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors upon its receipt of a resolution of the Commission that the acquisition of any property or properties is necessary for the conduct, operation, maintenance or extension of any utility under the jurisdiction of the Commission to take the necessary legislative action by resolution or ordinance determining that public interest and necessity require the taking of said property or properties for the purpose specified in said resolution of said Commission and that said property or properties are necessary for said utility, and the said resolution of said Commission shall be deemed to be conclusive proof to the Board of Supervisors as to the necessity of said property for the said use specified therein.

The Commission may with the prior approval of the Board of Supervisors, expressed by ordinance, on terms to be expressed in said ordinance, sell such lands or other property under its control as are no longer used or useful for its purposes, or may, upon like authority, transfer such lands or other property to any other department of the City and County government, upon there being transferred to the special fund of the utility concerned such funds as will represent proper and adequate consideration for the land or other property transferred, as determined in the ordinance authorizing the same. The Commission shall have full power and authority to enter into such arrangements and agreements as it shall deem proper for the joint use with any other person, firm or corporation owning the same, or having jurisdiction of the same, of poles, conduits, towers, stations, aqueducts, reservoirs and tracks for the operation of any of the utilities under its jurisdiction, and may make such arrangements as it shall deem proper for the exchange of transfer privileges with any privately-owned transportation company or system which will tend for the betterment of its service.

Pensions.

Section 16. All employees of the utilities under the jurisdiction of the Commission shall be entitled to the benefits of the provisions of Article XVII of the Charter, relative to the retirement of aged and disabled employees, and for the purpose of enjoying the benefits provided for in said article all employees of said utilities shall be deemed to be public employees of the City and County, and all amounts to be contributed to said fund to equal the amounts contributed by said employees thereto shall be paid from the particular utility to which said employee is attached, and should any employee be rendering service to more than one utility, the amount contributed to equal said employee's contribution shall be divided among the utilities in which he is serving, in such proportion as the Commission shall determine.

Additional Powers.

Section 17. Nothing in this article contained shall be construed to be a limitation upon the power which may be conferred upon said Utility Commission or upon the powers which may be exercised by said Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, by ordinance adopted in the manner provided in the Charter, may confer additional and other powers on said Commission incident to those herein conferred, and may place under the control of said Commission the duty of lighting all public streets, lanes, places, parks and public buildings, any other provision of the Charter to the contrary notwithstanding.

Deposit of Surplus Money.

Section 18. At any time that there is on deposit in the office of the Treasurer of the City and County funds belonging to said Commission or to the utilities under its control, in an amount greater than is necessary for the immediate needs of said utilities, the said Treasurer shall, upon the direction of the Commission, offer so much of said funds as said Commission shall direct for deposit in such bank or banks as shall accept the same, said deposits to be made only upon the security provided for in and pursuant to the provisions of Section 2, Chapter III of Article IV of the Charter, and all interest received by the said Treasurer upon the said funds so deposited shall be credited to the particular utility to which the said funds deposited may belong.

Constitutionality.

Section 19. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this article is for any reason

held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this article. The people of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declare that they would have ratified and adopted and the Legislature hereby declares that it would have approved this article and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof as an amendment to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Name and Service of Process.

Section 20. The Commission created herein shall be known as "Utility Commission of San Francisco" and may sue or be sued under said name. Service of process in all matters affecting said Commission, or the utilities under its jurisdiction, may be made by service upon any one Commissioner and on the Secretary of said Commission.

Repeal of Conflicting Provisions.

Section 21. All provisions of the City Charter in conflict with this article are superseded by the provisions hereof to the extent of such conflict. Subdivision 8 of Section 9 of Chapter I of Article VI and Section 16 of Article XII of this Charter are hereby repealed. All functions now required to be performed under Article XII of the Charter by the Board of Public Works shall, upon ratification of this amendment, devolve upon and be performed thereafter by the Utility Commission. All duties required to be performed by the City Engineer under the provisions of Article VI and Article XII, in so far as they relate to public utilities, shall, upon ratification of this amendment, devolve upon and be performed by the Manager of Utilities herein provided for.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Rubber Hose for Park Commissioners.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing rubber hose for Park Commissioners and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Linseed Oil, Shellac, Turpentine, Lead, Etc.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing linseed oil, shellac, turpentine, lead, etc., and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up on recommendation of the Finance Committee and *finally passed* by the following:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28752 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) California Nursery Co., plants and shrubs for parks (claim dated Mar. 22, 1928) $558.95.

(2) C. C. Morse & Co., grass for parks (claim dated Mar. 23, 1928), $647.06.

Playground Fund.

(3) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, for construction of sewer at the Glen Park Playground (claim dated Mar. 21, 1928), $2,985.

Boulevard Bonds, 1927.

(4) J. P. Holland, hauling of sand for Great Highway improvement (claim dated Mar. 23, 1928), $928.50.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(5) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, second payment, architectural services for Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Mar. 21, 1928), $787.80.

County Road Fund.

(6) Henry J. and Annie J. Beckmann, in payment for all claims for account of street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14 1928), $962.

(7) E. Duncan, payment in full for all claims for account of street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $1,363.

(8) Refugio A. Echeverria, payment in full for all claims for account of street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and

Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $850.

(9) San Francisco and Fresno Land Co., payment in full for all claims for account of street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $750.

(10) Wm. J. Sweeney, payment in full for account of all claim for street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $900.

(11) Mary C. Ward et al., payment in full of all claims for account of street construction in Jerrold avenue between Third and Phelps streets (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $750.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for February under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $1,475.46.

(13) Market Street Railway Co., electric service furnished for February, Market street from Sansome to Ferries (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $3,220.69.

(14) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service furnished for month of February (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $36,749.51.

(15) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Mar. 8, 1928), $7,043.18.

(16) United States Steel Products Co., rails, bolts, etc., for Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 14, 1928), $14,609.49.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(17) Joseph E. Haverlandt, settlement of claim as result of accident May 13, 1925 (claim dated Mar. 15, 1928), $6,000.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(18) St. Louis Car Company, seventh payment, Municipal Railway car bodies, contract 149A (claim dated Mar. 20, 1928), $33,806.50.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(19) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, as reserve for depreciation for March (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $14,584.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Mar. 17, 1928), $707.30.

(21) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Mar. 17, 1928), $529.07.

Special School Tax.

(22) H. Ankers, second payment, painting of South Side High School (claim dated Mar. 20, 1928), $3,075.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(23) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 18, 1928), $881.36.

(24) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $607.25.

(25) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $4,195.17.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(26) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., blankets for County Jails (claim dated Mar. 7, 1928), $664.50.

(27) Phillips Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Mar. 7, 1928), $1,120.14.

(28) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Mar. 7, 1928), $2,062.57.

(29) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Mar. 19, 1928), $942.91.

(30) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Mar. 15, 1928), $1,384.17.

(31) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for asphalt plant (claim dated Mar. 15, 1928), $528.51.

(32) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Mar. 15, 1928), $1,815.70.

(33) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing for January (claim dated Mar. 15, 1928), $949.36.

(34) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Mar. 26, 1928), $720.18.

(35) James G. Stafford and associates, fourteenth payment, contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Mar. 22, 1928), $9,808.40.

(36) J. H. Zemansky, postage for primary election (claim dated Mar. 22, 1928), $3,000.

(37) Victor X-Ray Corporation, X-ray film plates, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 16, 1928), $2,858.10.

(38) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $4,835.09.

(39) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs and butter, San Francisco Hos-

pital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $3,609.50.

(40) Carl Munter & Co., towels, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,443.94.

(41) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., sheets, gowns, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $898.23.

(42) Pendleton Woolen Mills, blankets for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,620.

(43) W. R. Ballinger & Son, prepaid freight on 100 voting machines (claim dated Mar. 22, 1928), $1,936.74.

(44) W. R. Ballinger & Son, drayage on 100 voting machines (claim dated Mar. 22, 1928), $528.55.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations for Lands for Ocean View Playground.

Resolution No. 28753 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the Ocean View Playground, to-wit:

(1) To G. L. Autagne, for Lot 46, Block 7093, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County (claim dated March 20, 1928), $1,450.

(2) To Agnes Widmer, for Lots 7 and 8, Block 7093, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County (claim dated March 20, 1928), $3,200.

(3) To Frederick George Munzenmaier, for Lots 12 and 13, Block 7093, as per the Assessor's Map Books of the City and County (claim dated March 20, 1928), $3,675.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations, Repairs, Etc., Central Police Station.

Resolution No. 28754 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of rearranging Assembly Room, Central Police Station, into three offices, furnishing of lockers for each room, electric fixtures, reconstruction of lavatory, painting of upper floor, etc., $5,446.30.

(Said $5,446.30 to be credited to Board of Public Works, as follows: $4,023 to credit of Budget Item No. 411, and $1,423.30 to credit of Budget Item No. 433.)

(2) For cost of constructing a lavatory on first floor of Central Police Station, adjoining Police Department Assembly Room, $2,060.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Plans, Etc., Roosevelt Junior High School.

Bill No. 8484, Ordinance No. 7992 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the Roosevelt Junior High School, and ordering the construction of the Roosevelt Junior High School, to be erected on City property at Arguello boulevard and Geary street; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said Roosevelt Junior High School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to prepare plans and specifications for the Roosevelt Junior High School, the construction of which Roosevelt Junior High School is hereby ordered, to be erected on City property at Arguello boulevard and Geary street, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the said Roosevelt Junior High School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-

non, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Plans, Etc., Balboa Elementary School.

Bill No. 8485, Ordinance No. 7993 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the Balboa Elementary School, and ordering the construction of the Balboa Elementary School, to be erected on City property bounded by San Jose avenue, Seneca and Delano streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said Balboa Elementary School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to prepare plans and specifications for the Balboa Elementary School, the construction of which Balboa Elementary School is hereby ordered, to be erected on City property bounded by San Jose avenue, Seneca and Delano streets, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the said Balboa Elementary School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Plans, Etc., Park-Presidio Junior High School.

Bill No. 8486, Ordinance No. 7994 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the Park-Presidio Junior High School, and ordering the construction of the Park-Presidio Junior High School, to be erected on City property bounded by Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, Geary and Clement streets: authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works tc enter into contract for the construction of said Park-Presidio Junior High School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to prepare plans and specifications for the Park-Presidio Junior High School, the construction of which Park-Presidio Junior High School is hereby ordered, to be erected on City property bounded by Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, Geary and Clement streets, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the said Park-Presidio Junior High School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $1,049.70, Payment to R. A. Hansen for Fuel Oil, Etc.

Resolution No. 28755 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,049.70 be and the same is hereby appropriated out of Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925, in payment to R. A. Hansen for fuel oil, etc., furnished for account of Hetch Hetchy Water Construction (claim dated March 5, 1928).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, Expenses, Music Week.

Resolution No. 28756 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the "Publicity and Advertising" Fund (Appropriation 55) for expenses in connection with Music Week, May 6 to 13, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $10,000, Main Sewer, Golden Gate Heights.

Resolution No. 28757 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, for construction of main sewer in Golden Gate Heights.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 28758 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Boilers.

W. Tappenbeck, 3119 Twenty-fourth street, 10-horsepower.

Joseph P. Bareilles, 1259 Mason street, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Automobile Parking Station Permit, W. H. Manaton.

Resolution No. 28759 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. H. Manaton be and he is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the southeasterly line of City Hall avenue, 82 feet 11 inches southwesterly from the intersection of the southerly line of McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Repealing Portion of Resolution No. 27800 (New Series), F. E. Morgan Garage, 450 Sutter Street.

Resolution No. 28760 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 27800 (New Series), granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to F. E. Morgan to maintain and operate a public garage at 450 Sutter street, reading as follows: "The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void" is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28761 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Standard Oil Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted F. J. Driscoll by Resolution No. 23622 (New Series) for premises at 4060 Mission street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Automobile Parking Station Permit, W. W. Haley.

Resolution No. 28762 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $51,714.23, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) National Steel Fabric Co., 2000 yards steeltex, etc., for park (claim dated March 30, 1928), $620.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(2) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated March 23, 1928), $525.

Special School Tax.

(3) Western Asbestos Magnesia Co., furnishing and installing celotex in schools (claim dated March 26, 1928), $680.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(4) Joseph & Stone, ninth payment, architectural services for the Portola Junior High School (claim dated March 20, 1928), $1,682.45.

(5) Reliable Plumbing Co., final payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst Moulder School (claim dated March 21, 1928), $5,209.62.

(6) Mahony Bros., conditional acceptance payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated March 27, 1928), $67,253.02.

(7) John Reid Jr., sixth payment, architectural services for first unit of South Side High School (claim dated March 27, 1928), $520.89.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity).

(8) A. R. Edwards & Co., lenses for Department of Electricity (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,194.31.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(9) Ellen Murtle, first payment, compensation insurance for account of death of Thos. Murtle, conductor (claim dated March 20, 1928), $1,159.94.

County Road Fund.

(10) Market Street Railway Co., for removal of tracks in Montgomery street, Post to Washington streets (claim dated March 27, 1928), $5,460.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(11) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick touring auto for Bureau of Engineering (claim dated March 27, 1928), $1,422.83.

(12) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for labor and materials furnished and used for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated March 30, 1928), $10,260.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(13) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated April 2, 1928), $1,390.80.

(14) A. F. Heuer, printing City Purchaser's report (claim dated April 2, 1928), $652.

(15) The Electric Corporation, supplies for Department of Electricity (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $570.40.

(16) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated April 1, 1928), $1,113.76.

(17) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for April (claim dated April 1, 1928), $750.

(18) Edward Lowe Motors Co., one Lincoln touring car for Police Department (claim dated March 26, 1928), $4,813.39.

(19) Acme Gravel Co., Acme mix, gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated March 23, 1928), $712.71.

(20) Acme Gravel Co., Acme mix, gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated March 23, 1928), $1,717.88.

(21) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated March 24, 1928), $713.

(22) Acme Gravel Co., Acme mix and gravel for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1928), $684.71.

(23) Union Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1928), $1,500.36.

(24) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil furnished for Hall of Justice (claim dated March 24, 1928), $527.73.

(25) Spring Valley Water Co., water service through fire hyrants (claim dated April 2, 1928), $14,806.50.

(26) L. Dinkelspiel Co., drygoods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,630.01.

(27) Associated Charities, emergency supplies for account of La-

guna Honda Home (claim dated March 20, 1928), $5,000.

(28) Volunteers of America, emergency supplies for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 20, 1928), $1,039.63.

(29) Market Street Railway Co., for land bounded by Frederick and Willard streets, Arguello boulevard and Golden Gate Park (claim dated April 2, 1928), $7,750.

(30) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for taxes, 1927-1928, paid on property at Frederick and Willard streets purchased by City and County under agreement of March 12, 1923 (claim dated April 2, 1928), $1,282.50.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Biaggio Locario and Vita D. Locario, for property as per Resolution No. 28568, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $900.

(2) To Edward Mulcahy and Pauline A. Mulcahy, for property as per Resolution No. 28658, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $3,200.

(3) To L. M. Armknecht, for property as per Resolution No. 28658, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,100.

(4) To Peter Parrinello and Josephine Parrinello, for property as per Resolution No. 28658, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $6,000.

(5) To Robert Kelly, for property as per Resolution No. 28592, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,167.

(6) To Jeremiah O'Leary and Katherine O'Leary, for property as per Resolution No. 28592, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $5,500.

(7) To Susan Dawson, for property as per Resolution No. 28593, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $4,750.

(8) To Walter R. Helliker and Florence Helliker, for property as per Resolution No. 28698, New Series (claim dated March 22, 1928), $4,250.

(9) To John D. Allen and Cecelia D. Allen, for property as per Resolution No. 28698, New Series (claim dated March 22, 1928), $4,250.

(10) To Carrie Hansen Bicais, for property as per Resolution No. 28698, New Series (claim dated March 22, 1928), $4,025.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named claimants; being payments for properties required for the construction of boulevards, to-wit:

(1) To James J. Lee, Inc., for property required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28695, New Series (claim dated March 21, 1928), $2,700.

(2) To James Nielson, for property required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28695, New Series (claim dated March 21, 1928), $1,221.

(3) To Ocean Shore Railroad Co., for property required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28591, New Series (claim dated March 14, 1928), $8,350.

(4) To City Industrial Land Co., for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28652, New Series (claim dated March 14, 1928), $45,372.

(5) To Maria S. Anderson, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28654, New Series (claim dated March 14, 1928), $6,215.

(6) To Manuel and Beatrice Zaragoza, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28653, New Series (claim dated March 14, 1928), $5,500.

Compromise of Litigation, Claire Kapphahn.

Also, Resolution No. 28763 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Claire Kapphahn, plaintiff, has sued the City and County of San Francisco for the sum of twenty-five thousand ($25,000) dollars, in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, said action being numbered 179304 on the files of said court, alleging that on February 12, 1927, she sustained a spiral fracture of her right leg and other bruises as a result of being thrown from one of our Municipal cars at the corner of Oak

street and Van Ness avenue while she was attempting to board said street car; and

Whereas, Honorable John J. O'Toole, attorney for the City and County of San Francisco, has recommended a compromise and settlement of said suit for the sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars, as being favorable to the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Honorable John J. O'Toole, attorney for the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby given authority to fully settle and compromise said suit herein referred to for the sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Condemnation of Lands for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant thereon 106 feet southerly from the southerly line of Silver avenue; thence southerly along said westerly line of Charter Oak avenue 62 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles westerly 61.679 feet to the southwesterly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence deflecting to the right 76 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running northwesterly along said southwesterly line 7.566 feet; thence continuing northwesterly along said southwesterly line being a curve to the left, having a radius of 2937.5 feet and a central angle of 1 deg. 06 min. 39 sec., a distance of 56.957 feet to a point in a line drawn westerly at right angles to Charter Oak avenue through the point of beginning; thence easterly along said last mentioned line 77.705 feet to the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 62 and 63 of Block "P," Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 51 of Block "P" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said westerly line 50 feet to the northerly line of Lot 53 of said Block "P"; thence at right angles westerly 46.221 feet; thence deflecting to the left 103 deg. 53 min. 30 sec. and running southerly 51.506 feet to said southerly line of said Lot 51; thence deflecting to the left 76 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running easterly along said southerly line 33.855 feet to the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of said Lots 51 and 53.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 17 of Block "O" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 50 feet to the northerly line of Lot 19 of said Block "O"; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 50 feet to said southerly line of Lot 17; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of said Lots 17 and 19 of Block "O."

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 225 feet from the southerly line of Thornton avenue, running thence southerly along said easterly line 60.31 feet to the northeasterly line of Donner avenue; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 5.92 feet to the northwesterly line of Quint street; thence at right angles northeasterly along the said northwesterly line 50.332 feet; thence southwesterly, westerly, northwesterly and northerly along a curve to the right, tangent to the

preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec., a distance of 26.440 feet to a point which is distant 25 feet easterly at right angles from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence northerly parallel to the said easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and tangent to said curve of preceding course, a distance of 25.650 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 502, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 5. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Burrows street and the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and running thence northerly along said westerly line 50 feet; thence deflecting to the left 164 deg. 21 min. 28 sec. and running southerly 25.962 feet to a point which is distant 7 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence deflecting to the left 15 deg. 38 min. 32 sec. and running southerly parallel to said westerly line 25 feet to the northerly line of Burrows street; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 7 feet to the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 39 and 40 of Block "B," as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the northeasterly line of Egbert avenue, and running thence southeasterly along the said northeasterly line 42.287 feet; thence deflecting to the left 143 deg. 45 min. 30 sec. and running northerly 34.106 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 522, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 7. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southwesterly line of Fitzgerald avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 98.615 feet; thence deflecting to the left 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running northeasterly 30.997 feet; thence deflecting to the left 53 deg. 45 min. 30 sec. and running northerly 9.514 feet; thence northerly, northeasterly, easterly and southeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 143 deg. 45 min. 30 sec., a distance of 30.109 feet to its point of tangency with the southwesterly line of Fitzgerald avenue; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 78.956 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 544, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 8. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 98.615 feet from the southwesterly line of Fitzgerald avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 68.240 feet; thence deflecting to the left 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running northeasterly 30.997 feet; thence deflecting to the left 53 deg. 45 min. 30 sec. and running northerly 68.240 feet; thence deflecting to the left 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running southwesterly 30.997 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 544, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 9. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Gilman avenue and the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, and running thence southerly along said Charter Oak avenue 89.645 feet to the northwesterly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Frances P. and Lester L. Morse by deed dated Nov. 11, 1926, and recorded in Volume 1371, page 193, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 45.285 feet to a point which is distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence northerly parallel to said easterly line 17.781 feet to Gilman avenue; thence deflecting to the left 36 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running northwesterly along said Gilman avenue 42.287 feet to Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of the Haley Purchase, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening of Bay Shore boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Mayor to Sell Dwellings on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 28764 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 345 Arlington street, 385 Arlington street, 2 Cuvier street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28765 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 69 Arlington street, 77 Arlington street, 382 Arlington street, 100 Charles street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Deed, I. M. Sommer, Sewer Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 28766 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed from I. M. Sommer for a parcel of land necessary for a subsurface drainage sewer located on Lot No. 245, as per map of the Spring Valley Homestead filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, August 31, 1876, recorded in Book "C" and "D", page 149 of Maps, be and the same is hereby accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to file the said deed for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Approval of Judgment Demand of Deuse D. Bragg.

Also, Resolution No. 28767 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it appears from a letter from Honorable John J. O'Toole, City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, that Deuse D. Bragg has recovered a judgment against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of twenty-one hundred and fifty-one ($2,151) dollars; and

Whereas, the City Attorney advises us that said judgment was not excessive under the circumstances, and in fact was a great deal less than said sum could have been settled for before trial; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That we hereby approve the demand of Deuse D. Bragg for the sum of twenty-one hundred and fifty-one ($2151) dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28768 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Terence O'Connor, $562—Portion of Lot 8, Block 6747, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Gertrude S. Titus and William C. Titus, $500—Portion of Lots 11 and 12, Block 18, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

The above amount of $500 includes payment in full for the building now wholly or partially on the above described parcel of land.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to deed to said Gertrude S. Titus and William C. Titus a portion of Lot 12, Block 18, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28769 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Mary Elizabeth Reno, $4,800—Lot 26, Block "C," French & Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Felix Cerini and Sarah Cerini, $1,450—Portion of Lot 5, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

George Pellegrino and estate of Virginia Pellegrino, $4,600—Lot 4, Block "D," French & Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

William R. Segurson, James Segurson and George M. Segurson, $975—Lot 24 of Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28770 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite her name, be accepted:

Maude C. Crosby, $2,500—

Parcel 1. Portion of Lot 3, Block 6662, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lot 3, Block 6662, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together

with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2 purchased from said Maude C. Crosby, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the direction of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28771 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Aladino Luchesi and Rose Luchesi, $4,500—Lot 37, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Bessie O'Brien, $648—Portion of the Islais and Salinas Tract, San Francisco, California.

(As per written offer on file.)

William J. Sullivan, $1,600—Portion of Lot 12, Block 16, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

The above amount includes, in addition to payment for above described land, damages in full to improvements now wholly or partially located on above described land, caused by the establishment of proposed grade of Arlington street.

Thomas Vizzard and Frances Vizzard, $6,000—Lot 14, Block "B," French & Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The building located on the above described lot is to remain the property of said Thomas and Frances Vizzard, and is to be removed by them within 30 days from date of recordation of deed to City of San Francisco for above described lot.

Edward Moss and Margaret Moss, $3,650—Portion of Lot 4, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on above described land; said improvements to be relocated by said Edward and Margaret Moss within 30 days from date of recordation of deed to the City of San Francisco for above described lot.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Also, Resolution No. 28772 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the extension of Nineteenth street, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Frank General and Kate General, $78—Portion of Lot 6 of Block 11 of Market Street Homestead Association. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Opening Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28773 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Frederico Mangini and Rosa Mangini, $1,288—Portion of Block 502, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Alessio del Carlo, $1,872—Portion of Lot 39 of Block "O" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above amounts include damages in full to the remaining portions of the above described lots caused by the establishment of the future grade of Charter Oak avenue as widened, and to the improvements now partially located on the above described lands; said improvements to be removed by the owners within thirty (30) days after the recordation of the respective deeds to said City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28774 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Henrietta Holzberger, $144—Portion of Lots 242 and 243, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Camilla Otter, $75—Portion of Lot No. 57, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

H. J. Braas and Cecelia Braas, $99—Portion of Lots 240 and 241, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28775 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted:

The McCarthy Company, $7,739—Being a strip of land fifty (50) feet wide lying on the southeasterly side of Block 3149 of the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28776 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Wm. H. Gerren and Nellie Gerren, $34—Portion of Lot 232, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Bertha Heim, $82.00—Portions of Lots Nos. 237 and 238, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Elizabeth Fontanier and Christian Stockmeyer, $973—Portion of Lot 239, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount includes damages in full to the building now partially located on the above described parcel; said building to be removed by the owners within thirty (30) days after the recordation of the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

A. J. Donzel and David Donzel, $2,160—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Sagamore street and the westerly line of Capitol avenue, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Sagamore street a distance of 150 feet; thence at right angles southerly 40 feet; thence at right angles easterly 150 feet to the westerly line of Capitol avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Capitol avenue 40 feet to the point of beginning.

It is understood and agreed that the widening of Sagamore street, including the extending of the present side sewers, will be done at the sole expense of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Reinvestment of Robinson Bequest Fund.

Also, Resolution No. 28777 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Trustees of the Robinson Bequest Fund, consisting of the Mayor and the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, be and they are hereby authorized to sell forty-one (41) One Thousand Dollar, 6% Serial Gold Bonds (maturities 1931-1935—numbered .36/45, 81/90, 131/140, 180/9 and 250) of the Fourth and Market Realty Company, San Francisco, in amount forty-one thousand dollars ($41,000) par value, for the sum of Forty-one thousand six hundred and fifteen dollars ($41,615) and accrued interest to date on said forty-one (41) $1,000 bonds. And be it

Further Resolved, That said Trustees are authorized and empowered to reinvest all surplus moneys (resulting from the foregoing sale of bonds) belonging to Robinson Bequest Fund in the purchase of First Mortgage 5½% Fairmont Hotel Corporation Bonds in the amount of forty-two thousand dollars ($42,000) plus accrued interest. Maturities to be selected by the City and County Treasurer; said bonds to be purchased at par.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Clerk to Collect Advertising Rates.

Also, Resolution No. 28778 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby authorized and directed to collect the following rates for advertising for publications made in the official newspaper from April 1, 1928, to April 1, 1929, to-wit:

For proposal notices inviting bids, resolutions of award of contract, bills and ordinances granting franchises, ten (10) cents per line.

For resolutions granting extension of time to complete contract, the sum of three dollars and fifty

cents shall be paid to cover the cost of advertising.

For resolutions granting permits for engines and boiler and oil storage, the sum of five dollars shall be paid to cover the cost of advertising.

For resolutions granting permits for blasting, dyeing and cleaning works, garages, automobile supply stations, parking stations, furnaces, hospitals, gas works, laundries, medical colleges, planing mills and wood-working establisments, stables and undertaking establishments, or for masked balls, or for any other permit which requires license fee in connection therewith, the sum of ten dollars shall be paid to cover the cost of advertising.

Resolved, That all sums of money so collected shall be immediately paid into the treasury by said Clerk, as provided by Chapter III, Article III of the Charter, and the Treasurer of this City and County is hereby directed to issue to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors his receipt for the money so collected and paid to said Treasurer.

Resolved, That the above rates of advertising so far as they are applicable shall be collected by all officers, offices and departments of this City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriating $500 for Work in Front of City Property.

Also, Resolution No. 28779 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 35, for the improvement of frontages at city property as directed by the Street Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations, Improvement of Laidley Street and Cayuga Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 28780 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Laidley street between Roanoke and Mateo streets, $500.

(2) For the improving in front of city property on the south side of Cayuga avenue opposite Lamartine street, $330.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $3,500, Satisfaction of Judgment, City and County of San Francisco v. Alice Cummings.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of three thousand five hundred ($3,500) dollars be and the same is authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the School Bond Fund, Issue 1923, and payable as follows: To Alice Cummings, individually, the sum of one thousand seven hundred and fifty ($1,750) dollars thereof, and to Alice Cummings as administratrix of the estate of Truman G. Cummings, deceased, the sum of one thousand seven hundred and fifty ($1,750) dollars thereof, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment entered in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, v. Alice Cummings and Alice Cummings as administratrix of the estate of Truman G. Cummings, deceased, defendants," numbered 160503 of the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of lands for school purposes.

That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Appropriation, $12,000, Satisfaction of Judgment, City and County of San Francisco v. Margaret McDougall.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of twelve thousand ($12,000) dollars be and the same is authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the School Bond Fund, Issue 1923, and payable as follows: To Margaret McDougall the sum of twelve thousand ($12,000) dollars in full satisfaction of that certain

judgment entered in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, vs. Margaret McDougall, defendant," numbered 187525 on the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of lands for school purposes. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Appropriation, $7,000, Construction of Traffic Signals.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 69, for the construction and installation of traffic signals by the Department of Electricity.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Street Improvements.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the hereinafter mentioned purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improving of Golden Gate Heights; being the amount of assessment against City property, $30,859.76.

(2) For the improving of the City's portion of Mariposa street between Wisconsin and Carolina streets, $1,320.

(3) For the cost of constructing tunnel extension under the Skyline boulevard at Fleishhacker Pool, $14,500.

(4) For the reconstruction of Haight street from Scott to Baker streets, $13,700.

(5) For the reconstruction of Lyon street from California to Sacramento streets, $3,500.

(6) For the acquiring of the necessary lands for the opening of Charter Oak avenue into Silver avenue, $7,500.

Condemnation of Lands for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 26 feet southerly from the southerly line of Brook street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Jose avenue 51 feet to the southerly boundary of the property of Johanne Fritzschen; thence deflecting 111 deg. 51 min. 29 sec. to the left and running easterly along said southerly boundary 7.631 feet to the proposed easterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 73 deg. 35 min. 22 sec. to the left and running northerly along said proposed easterly line 49.333 feet to the northerly boundary of the property of Johanne Fritzschen; thence deflecting 106 deg. 14 min. 54 sec. to the left and running westerly along said northerly boundary 2.571 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 394, Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 102 feet southerly from the southerly line of Brook street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Jose avenue 26 feet to the southerly boundary of the property of Johanne Fritzschen; thence deflecting 112 deg. 48 min. 18 sec. to the left and running easterly along said southerly boundary 12.731 feet to the proposed easterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 72 deg. 38 min. 33 sec. to the left and running northerly along said proposed easterly line 25.020 feet to the northerly boundary of the property of Johanne Fritzschen; thence deflecting 106 deg. 52 min. to the left and running westerly along said northerly boundary 10.118 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 393, Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 154 feet southerly from the southerly line of Brook street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Jose avenue 76.285 feet to the northerly line of Randall street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Randall street 51.373 feet to a point distant thereon 54.052 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Mission street and to the proposed northeasterly line of San Jose avenue; thence northwesterly along said proposed northeasterly line on a curve to the right of 20-foot radius,

tangent to the preceding course, central angle 109 deg. 19 min. 21 sec., a distance of 38.161 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, along the proposed easterly line of San Jose avenue, 44.787 feet to the northerly boundary of the property of Johanne Fritzschen; thence deflecting 107 deg. 53 min. 5 sec. to the left and running westerly along said northerly boundary 15.362 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 393, Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Mission street, distant thereon 124 feet 6 inches southwesterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said northwesterly line of Mission street with the southerly line of Randall street, which point is the southeast corner of the land heretofore conveyed by one Morrison to one Dempsey by deed recorded in Liber 739 of Deeds, page 251, and running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Mission street 1 foot and ½ inch to a point; thence continuing in a southwesterly direction along said northwesterly line of Mission street 70 feet 7 inches to the lands now or formerly owned by Rose Leddy; thence running westerly along the northerly line of the lands now or formerly owned by Rose Leddy 59 feet 7½ inches to the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company; thence at a right angle northerly 70 feet ¾ of an inch to the southwesterly corner of the lands now or formerly owned by said Dempsey; thence at a right angle easterly along the southerly line of said lands of Dempsey 74 feet 1 3/16 inches to the northwesterly line of Mission street and the point of beginning. Being portion of the tract of land known as "Sam Brannan's piece of Islais and Salinas Tract."

Parcel 5. Beginning on the westerly line of Mission street or old San Jose road, at a point distant one hundred thirty (130) feet northerly from a stake situated 4 miles, more or less, from the City Hall and 400 feet southerly, more or less, from the Four-Mile House, and distant southerly 184 feet 6 inches, more or less, from the southerly line of Randall street if projected and 446 feet 6 inches, more or less, northerly from the northerly line of French street, which point of beginning is at the corner of Morrison's fence, which fence forms the boundary line between Morrison's land and the land now or formerly owned by Thomas Leddy and Rose Leddy, and running thence westerly along said boundary line and fence 60 feet, more or less, to line fence boundary excavation of the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company; thence southerly and nearly parallel with said Mission street 26 feet; thence easterly and parallel with said Morrison's fence 60 feet, more or less, to said westerly line of Mission street, and thence northerly along said westerly line of Mission street 26 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of "Sam Brannan's piece of Islais and Salinas Tract."

Parcel 6. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Mission street, distant thereon 390.90 feet northerly from the northerly line of Charles street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Mission street 18.36 feet to a point; running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Mission street 6.64 feet to the southerly line of the lot of land now or formerly owned by Thomas Leddy and Rose Leddy; running thence westerly along said last mentioned line 53.28 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company; running thence southerly along said last mentioned line 24.79 feet, more or less, to a line drawn westerly parallel with the northerly line of French and Gilman Tract from the point of beginning; thence easterly along said last-named line 54 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning. Being part of "Sam Brannan's piece of Islais and Salinas Tract."

Parcel 7. All of Lot 1, Block "A", French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F", page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 8. All of Lot 2, Block "A", French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F", page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 9. All of Lot 3, Block "A", French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F", page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 10. All of Lot 4, Block "A", French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F", page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 11. All of Lot 5, Block "A", French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F" page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 12. Beginning at a point

on the northerly line of Fairmount street, distant thereon 292.00 feet easterly from the southeasterly line of Chenery street, thence easterly along said northerly line 30 feet, more or less, to the westerly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northerly along said westerly line 134 feet, more or less, to the southerly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Sarah E. Cummings and Carrie W. Cummings; thence westerly along said southerly line and the southerly boundary lines of the property now or formerly owned by Susan Dawson and Gertrude S. Titus 80 feet, more or less, to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Mary E. Rise; thence at right angles southerly along said easterly line 125 feet to the northerly line of Fairmount street and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 6, 11 and 12 of Block 18, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 13. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Fairmount street, distant thereon 232 feet easterly from the southeasterly line of Chenery street, thence easterly along said northerly line 30.00 feet to the westerly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Mary E. Rise; thence at right angles northerly along said westerly line 82.966 feet; thence deflecting 158 deg. 18 min. 35 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 75.719 feet to a point of curve to the right; thence southwesterly along said curve to the right, radius 20.00 feet, central angle 12 deg. 22 min. 12 sec., a distance of 4.318 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Adeline C. H. Husar; thence southerly along said easterly line 8.799 feet to the northerly line of Fairmount street and the point of beginning. Being a part of Lot 5, Block 18, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 14. All of Lot 21, Block 17, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Book "E and F" of Maps, page 145, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 15. All of Lot 25, Block 17, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Book "E and F" of Maps, page 145, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 16. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 96.938 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Charles street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Arlington street 26.000 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 12, Block 16, Fairmount Tract; thence deflecting 73 deg. 21 min. to the right and running northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary line a distance of 35.968 feet to a line which is the northwesterly line of Academy street produced southwesterly; thence deflecting 78 deg. 03 min. 55 sec. to the right and running northeasterly along said northwesterly line a distance of 25.460 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Elizabeth J. Notter; thence deflecting 101 deg. 56 min. 05 sec. to the right and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line a distance of 48.683 feet to the northwesterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 13, Block 16, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 17. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 147.938 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Charles street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Arlington street 75.014 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 12, Block 16, Fairmount Tract; thence northeasterly along a curve to the left with a radius of 108 feet, central angle of 28 deg. 01 min. 41 sec., a distance of 52.832 feet to a point of tangency on the northwesterly line of Academy street produced southwesterly; thence continuing northeasterly along said northwesterly line a distance of 20.028 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank Suter; thence deflecting 101 deg. 56 min. 05 sec. to the right and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line a distance of 23.742 feet to the northwesterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 12, Block 16, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 18. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Lot 13 of Block "B," French & Gilman Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records

of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 68 feet northerly from the northerly line of Highland avenue and running thence northerly along said westerly line 32 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 13; thence deflecting to the right 92 deg. 54 min. and running easterly along said northerly line 12.320 feet to the southeasterly line of the proposed Bernal Cut; thence deflecting to the right 108 deg. 30 min. 45 sec. and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 33.703 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 13 and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 13.

Parcel 19. All of Lot 14, Block "B," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Highland avenue, distant thereon 150 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said northerly line 22 feet; thence deflecting to the right 108 deg. 30 min. 45 sec. and running northeasterly 60.184 feet to the easterly boundary line of said Lot 14; thence deflecting to the right 158 deg. 35 min. 15 sec. and running southerly 57.143 feet to the northerly line of Highland avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 20. All of Lot 15, Block "B," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 21. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 100 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of San Miguel street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 28 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by John Svabeck; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 110 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 28 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James Fraser; said northeasterly line being 28 feet at right angles southwesterly from the second preceding course; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary line and the northeasterly boundary lines of the property now or formerly owned by Frank Joseph Sebanc, Percy J. Crouch, Annie Toohey and Thomas H. and Alfred W. B. Crouch 112 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 100 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of San Miguel street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 28 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by John Svabeck; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 46.5 feet; thence deflecting to the right 82 deg. 52 min. 30 sec. and running southwesterly 28.218 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Annie Toohey; thence deflecting to the right 97 deg. 07 min. 30 sec. and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line and the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Thomas H. Crouch and Alfred W. B. Crouch 50 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots Nos. 1, 2 and 3, Block No. 36, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 22. All of Lot 4, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 23. All of Lot 3, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 24. All of Lot 35, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Richland avenue, distant thereon 400 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said northerly line of Richland avenue 25 feet to the westerly boundary line of Lot 35; thence deflecting 99 deg. 28 min. to the right and running northerly along said westerly line 61.905 feet; thence deflecting 29 deg. 22 min. 58 sec. to the right and run-

ning northeasterly 48.249 feet to the northerly boundary line of Lot 35; thence deflecting 51 deg. 09 min. 02 sec. to the right and running easterly along said northerly line 1.000 foot to the easterly boundary line of Lot 35; thence deflecting 99 deg. 28 min. to the right and running southerly along said easterly line 100.00 feet to the northerly line of Richland avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 25. All of Lot 36, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Richland avenue, distant thereon 425 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said northerly line 25 feet to the westerly boundary line of Lot 36; thence deflecting 99 deg. 28 min. to the right and running northerly along said westerly line 22.222 feet; thence deflecting to the right 29 deg. 22 min. 58 sec. and running northeasterly 50.260 feet to the easterly line of Lot 36; thence deflecting 150 deg. 37 min. 02 sec. to the right and running southerly along said easterly line a distance of 61.905 feet to the northerly line of Richland avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 26. All of Lot 37, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Richland avenue, distant thereon 450 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said northerly line 14 feet; thence deflecting to the right 128 deg. 50 min. 58 sec. and running northeasterly 28.145 feet to the easterly boundary line of Lot 37; thence deflecting 150 deg. 37 min. 02 sec. to the right and running southerly along said easterly line 22.222 feet to the northerly line of Richland avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 27. All of Lot 38, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 28. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Miguel street, distant thereon 85 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 25 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Lucia Saraceni; thence at right angles southwesterly along said northwesterly line 112 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James R. Vaughan; thence at right angles northwesterly along said northeasterly line 25 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Patrick McCarthy; thence at right angles northeasterly along said southeasterly line 112 feet to the southwesterly line of Miguel street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 8, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 29. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 288 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Patrick D. Dwyer; thence southeasterly along the said southwesterly line and the southwesterly boundary lines of the property now or formerly owned by Patrick McCarthy, Henry J. and Alfareta Harvey and Lucia Saraceni 146 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along the said northwesterly line 25 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by William F. and Elizabeth Eckhardt; thence northwesterly along the said northeasterly line 147 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 288 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along the said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Patrick D. Dwyer; thence southeasterly along the said southwesterly line and the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Patrick McCarthy 66 feet; thence deflecting 86 deg. 54 min. 21 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 25.037 feet to the northeasterly boundary

line of the property now or formerly owned by William F. and Elizabeth Eckhardt; thence northwesterly along the said northeasterly line 67.351 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 6, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 30. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 263 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James R. Vaughan; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 147 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 25 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Biaggio and Vita D. Locario; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 148 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 263 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James R. Vaughan; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 67.351 feet; thence deflecting 86 deg. 54 min. 21 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 25.037 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Biaggio and Vita D. Locario; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 68.703 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 6, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 31. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 213 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along the said southeasterly line of Arlington street 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Biaggio and Vita D. Locario; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 131.25 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 25 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank W. and Jessamine B. Dierking; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 135.417 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 213 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Biaggio and Vita D. Locario; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 70.054 feet; thence deflecting 86 deg. 54 min. 21 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 25.037 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank W. and Jessamine B. Dierking; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 71.405 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 5, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 32. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 163 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary of the property now or formerly owned by Frank W. and Jessamine B. Dierking; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 105 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank W. and Jessamine B. Dierking; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 25 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Emanuel Krull; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 105 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the south-

easterly line of Arlington street 163 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank W. and Jessamine B. Dierking; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 72.757 feet; thence deflecting 86 deg. 54 min. 21 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 25.037 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Emanuel Krull; thence deflecting to the right 93 deg. 05 min. 39 sec. and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 74.108 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 4, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 33. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Richland avenue, distant thereon 523 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said southerly line 26.78 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Alice Gray Jewell; thence deflecting to the left 68 deg. 57 min. 27 sec. and running southerly along said easterly line 102.529 feet; thence deflecting to the left 158 deg. 53 min. 59 sec. and running northeasterly 69.428 feet to the easterly boundary line of Lot 44, Block 1, College Hill Tract; thence deflecting to the left 21 deg. 06 min. 01 sec. and running northerly along said easterly line 47.372 feet to the southerly line of Richland avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 43 and 44, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 34. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 25 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 150 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 25 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by George Marzolf; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line and a line parallel with the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue 151 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 25 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence southwesterly along southeasterly line 25 feet to the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 124 feet; thence deflecting 96 deg. 11 min. 47 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 25.147 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by George Marzolf; thence deflecting 83 deg. 48 min. 13 sec. to the left and running northwesterly along said southwesterly line and a line parallel with the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue 121.286 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 5 and 6, Block 8, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 35. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Milton street, distant thereon 265 feet northerly from the northerly line of Bosworth street; thence northerly along said westerly line 18.08 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence along said southeasterly line of the right of way the following courses and distances: Southwesterly 83.351 feet; thence northwesterly 10.14 feet; thence southwesterly 8 feet; thence northwesterly 10 feet; thence southwesterly 118 feet, more or less, to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Martin and Anna Nelson, being the easterly line of Rousseau street produced northerly; thence southerly along said easterly line 39 feet, more or less, to a point distant northerly thereon 172 feet from the northerly line of Bosworth street; thence deflecting 114 deg. 56 min. 18 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 220.565 feet to the westerly line of Milton street and the point of beginning. Being portions of De Boom Tract, Block No. 4, and the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

Parcel 36. Beginning at a point

on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 25 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank Machkota and Fanny Machkota; thence along said easterly line the following courses and distances: at right angles northerly 63 feet; deflecting to the left 90 deg. 15 min. 36 sec. and running westerly 11.667 feet; deflecting to the right 79 deg. 45 min. 36 sec., and running northerly 82.432 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 165 feet, more or less, to the westerly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., a corporation, and the easterly line of Rousseau street produced northerly; thence southerly along said westerly line 33 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho; thence deflecting 51 deg. 27 min. 32 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 58.741 feet; thence deflecting to the left 74 deg. 27 min. 37 sec. and running southeasterly 24.654 feet to a point on the northeasterly prolongation of the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., a corporation, in Block 5 of the De Boom Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "1," pages 171 and 172, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 87 deg. 45 min. and running southwesterly along said prolongation and said northwesterly line 70.417 feet to the westerly boundary line of said property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., a corporation, in said Block 5; thence deflecting 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. to the left and running southerly along said westerly line 88.667 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 25 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank and Fanny Machkota; thence along said easterly line the following courses and distances: at right angles northerly 63 feet; deflecting to the left 90 deg. 15 min. 36 sec. and running westerly 11.667 feet; deflecting to the right 79 deg. 45 min. 36 sec. and running northerly 45.979 feet; thence leaving said easterly line and deflecting to the right 76 deg. 44 min. 36 sec. and running northeasterly 103.846 feet to a point distant westerly at right angles 50 feet from the easterly line of Rousseau street; thence deflecting to the right 113 deg. 45 min. 24 sec. and running southerly and parallel with said easterly line of Rousseau street 37.743 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., a corporation, in Block 5 of the De Boom Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "1," pages 171 and 172, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. and running southwesterly along said northwesterly line 55.282 feet to the westerly boundary line of said property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., a corporation, in said Block 5; thence deflecting 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. to the left and running southerly along said westerly line 88.667 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

Parcel 37. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 170 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street, and running thence southwesterly along the said line of Bosworth street 30 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 109 feet 11 5-8 inches to the southeasterly line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company; thence northeasterly along said right of way 30 feet 1½ inches, more or less, to a line drawn at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Bosworth street through the point of beginning; thence southeasterly along said last described line 113 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 170 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street, and running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 30 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 74.284 feet to the

southeasterly line of the proposed Bernal Cut; thence deflecting to the right 81 deg. 42 min. 41 sec. and running northeasterly along said southeasterly line 30.317 feet to a line drawn at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Bosworth street through the point of beginning; thence deflecting to the right 98 deg. 17 min. 19 sec. and running southeasterly along said last mentioned line 78.654 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Tract.

Parcel 38. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant westerly thereon 301.947 feet from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 92.126 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 50 deg. 01 min. 39 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 94.637 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence along said southeasterly line the following courses and distances: Northeasterly 30.789 feet; northwesterly 5.096 feet; northeasterly 124.935 feet to the westerly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Max Binghammer; thence southerly along said westerly line 96.714 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

Parcel 39. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 213 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 42; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 67.40 feet; thence deflecting 78 deg. 15 min. to the left and running northeasterly 25.535 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot 42; thence deflecting 101 deg. 45 min. to the left and running northwesterly 72.60 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 42, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 40. All of Lot 38, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 41. All of Lot 37, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 42. All of Lot 36, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 43. All of Lots 20 and 21, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 44. All of Lots 22 and 23, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 45. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 300.002 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Diamond street; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 53.325 feet; thence deflecting 53 deg. 21 min. 04 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 144.140 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left tangent to a line deflected to the left 163 deg. 29 min. 29 sec. from the preceding course radius 150 feet, central angle 2 deg. 09 min. 27 sec., a distance of 5.648 feet; thence southwesterly tangent to said curve 160.186 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the right tangent to preceding course radius 51 feet, central angle 13 deg. 50 min. 58 sec., a distance of 12.328 feet; thence deflecting 64 deg. 50 min. 58 sec. to the left from the tangent to said curve and running southwesterly, perpendicular to the northeasterly line of Bosworth street 4.835 feet to said northeasterly line and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 14, of the Mission and Thirtieth Street Extension Homestead, as recorded in Map Book "G," pages 20 and 21, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 46. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 278.327 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Diamond street; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 21.675 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property of the Spring Valley Water Company; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 4.835 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the right,

tangent to a line deflected 115 deg. 09 min. 02 sec. to the left from the preceding course radius 51 feet, central angle 25 deg. 09 min. 02 sec., a distance of 22.387 feet to a point of tangency on the northeasterly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 12, Block 14, Mission and Thirtieth Street Extension Homestead, as recorded in Map Book "G," pages 20 and 21, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 47. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way, with the southeasterly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho, said point being on the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way, distant thereon 132.210 feet northeasterly from the easterly line of Diamond street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 368 feet and ¼ inch to the southerly line of Bosworth street; thence easterly along said southerly line 8 feet 10 inches to the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence along said right of way line the following courses and distances: Southwesterly 27 feet 9¾ inches; southeasterly 70 feet; southwesterly 339 feet to its intersection with the southeasterly line of the San Miguel Rancho and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Tract.

Parcel 48. Beginning at a point which is distant 104.088 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street from a point thereon 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of Bosworth street, said point being on the southeasterly line of the San Miguel Rancho, distant thereon 8.002 feet northeasterly from the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 135.788 feet; thence deflecting 167 deg. 57 min. 27 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 165.038 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by L. F. Armknecht; thence deflecting 138 deg. 41 min. 30 sec. to the left and running southeasterly along said northeasterly line 42.918 feet to the southeasterly line of San Miguel Rancho and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 1, Mission and Thirtieth Street Extension Homestead Union, as recorded in Map Book "G," pages 20 and 21, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 49. Lots Nos. 1 and 2, as the same are laid down and designated on that certain map entitled "Salomon's Map of a portion of Rock Rancho," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 1st day of May, 1891, and recorded in Liber "E and F" of Maps, at page 147.

Parcel 50. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of San Miguel street, distant thereon 60 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 25 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Henry J. and Alfarata Harvey; thence at right angles southwesterly along said northwesterly line 112 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James R. Vaughan; thence at right angles northwesterly along said northeasterly line 19 feet; thence deflecting to the right 86 deg. 56 min. and running northeasterly 112.161 feet to the southwesterly line of San Miguel street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 8, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening of Bernal Cut. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Oil Tank Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. T. Moris, west side of Eighth avenue, 280 feet south of Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., 310 Urbano drive, 750 gallons capacity.

J. B. Gilman, 3158 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop, east side of Eighteenth avenue, 150 feet north of Vicente street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. B. Pasqualetti, northeast corner of Mission and Rolph streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. T. Stubo, east side of Harrison street, 35 feet north of Twenty-fifth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis R. Anderson, east side of Broderick street, 100 feet north of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. Lowe, No. 9 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

American Trust Co., northeast corner of Twenty-fourth and Castro streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hastings Estate, 32 Battery street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Murphy, east side of West Portal avenue, 175 feet south of Ulloa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Dempnick, west side of Jones street, 100 feet south of Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bell & Sylvester, west side of Monterey boulevard, 260 feet south of West Gate drive, 600 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., northeast corner of Pacific avenue and Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mr. Collins, west side of Forty-seventh avenue, 242 feet north of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lincoln Investment Co., north side of Pine street, 60 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, south side of Geary street, 187 feet 6 inches east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up:

Automobile Parking Station, H. J. Mallen.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 112 feet 8 inches north of Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Burr, representing the City Engineer's office and assigned to traffic matters, was sent for and addressed the Board. He declared that it would take two or three weeks, with the limited facilities of his office, to make a survey of traffic conditions in vicinity of Taylor and Turk streets.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Toner, moved that the matter be continued three weeks in order to give Mr. Burr an opportunity to present that data (relative to survey of traffic conditions in immediate vicinity of Turk and Taylor streets) to the Board so that it will be able to handle the matter intelligently.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—9.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Stanton—6.

Absent — Supervisors Deasy, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 28781 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be authorized and requested to remove, install and change street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

South side Waller street, first west of Masonic avenue (front of church).

North side Waller street, first west of Masonic avenue.

North side Washington street, first and second west of Powell street.

South side Washington street, first and second west of Powell street.

Northeast and southwest corners Washington and Mason streets.

Cademan street, south of Washington street.

Wetmore street, south of Washington street.

East and west sides Howard street, first and second south of Twenty-third street.

Northeast and southwest corners Twenty-third and Howard streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Geneva avenue and London street.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Geneva avenue and Paris street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

North Point street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street.

Northeast corner Washington and Mason streets.

Washington street, opposite Cademan street.

Washington street, east of Mason street.

Wetmore street, east of Washington street.

Judson avenue and Genesee street.

Judson avenue, third pole east of Genesee street.

Chenery street, 150 feet west of Thirtieth street.

Flood avenue between Detroit and Edna streets.

Orizaba avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues.

Orizaba and Grafton avenues.

Twenty-third and Howard streets.

East side Howard street, south of Twenty-third street.

West side Howard street, north of Twenty-fourth street.

Corner Hinckley alley and Kearny street.

Balceta street and Laguna Honda boulevard.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

Waller street between Ashbury street and Masonic avenue (front of church).

Waller street between Masonic avenue and Delmar street.

Install 600 *M. R.*

California street and Thirty-third avenue (at stairway).

Change Locations.

Chestnut street, west of Van Ness avenue (one pole east).

Change southeast corner Thirty-first avenue and Clement street to northeast corner.

Lower light at Ashbury and Waller streets.

Change southeast corner Chestnut and Lyon streets to west side, opposite Chestnut street.

Change from corner Prado and Scott streets to west side of Scott street, opposite Prado street.

Change from southeast to southwest corner Broderick and Beach streets.

Turn light on Jefferson and Broderick streets to light both streets.

Lower light at northeast corner Buchanan and Bay streets.

Change to south side Laguna and Bay streets.

Change 250 M. R. to 400 M. R., Plymouth avenue and Montana street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offer of John W. Slater to Sell Land on Geary Street Required for School Purposes.

On recommendation of Public Buildings Committee:

Resolution No. 28782 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John W. Slater to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land at the intersection of the southerly line of Geary street and the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $16,250 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Geary street and the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly and along said line of Geary street 60 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 60 feet to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said line of Thirtieth avenue 125 feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 254.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owned, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said

deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offer of Geo. H. Terheme to Sell Certain Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28783 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Geo. H. Terheme to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the northerly line of Anza street, 75 feet westerly from Thirty-first avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 75 feet 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Anza street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 253.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Plans for Improvement of Marina Boulevard.

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 8487, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of the northerly side of Marina boulevard between Webster and Lyon streets, and ordering the improvement of the northerly side of the Marina boulevard between Webster and Lyon streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of the northerly side of the Marina boulevard between Webster and Lyon streets, and to enter into contract for the improvement of the northerly side of the Marina boulevard between Webster and Lyon streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 8488, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its of-

fice, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-second avenue between Clement and Geary streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8489, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Cambridge, Oxford, Harvard, Gambier and Madison streets between Felton and Burrows streets, and on Burrows street between Cambridge and Madison streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Cambridge, Oxford, Harvard, Gambier and Madison streets between Felton and Burrows streets, and on Burrows street between Cambridge and Madison streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed March 23, 1928.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Jennings Street.

Also, Bill No. 8490, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Nineteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 14, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Nineteen, to read as follows:

Section 1019. The sidewalk widths on Jennings street between Carroll avenue and Donner avenue are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Montague Place.

Also, Bill No. 8491, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twenty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 23, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twenty, to read as follows:

Section 1020. The sidewalk widths on Montague place between Montgomery street and its westerly termination shall be four (4) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8492, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Vernon, Ramsell, Victoria and Head streets between Randolph and Stanley streets."

Also, Bill No. 8493, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Harkness street between Mill street produced and Cowden street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation

of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of January, 1928, by Resolution No. 28304 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Harkness street between Mill street produced and Cowden street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Harkness Street.

Northerly line of, at Mill street, 241.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Mill street produced, 239.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 130 feet easterly from Cowden street, 245.34 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Cowden street, 247.99 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 70 feet easterly from Cowden street, 251.94 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 130 feet easterly from Cowden street, 244.00 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Cowden street, 246.65 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 70 feet easterly from Cowden street, 250.60 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, at Cowden street easterly line, 263.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Cowden street easterly line, 261.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Harkness street between Mill street produced and Cowden street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8494, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Oneida avenue between Otsego and Delano avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of January, 1928, by Resolution No. 28305 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Oneida avenue between Otsego and Delano avenues; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Oneida Avenue.

Otsego avenue, 160.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

275 feet westerly from Otsego avenue, 162.32 feet.

300 feet westerly from Otsego avenue, 162.96 feet.

325 feet westerly from Otsego avenue, 164.46 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Delano avenue, 176.00 feet.

On Oneida avenue between Otsego and Delano avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8495, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-eighth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervis-

ors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 30th day fo December, 1927, by Resolution No. 28226 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Twenty-eighth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Twenty-eighth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 306.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 303.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Rivera street, 296.61 feet.

330 feet southerly from Rivera street, 278.44 feet.

380 feet southerly from Rivera street, 275.06 feet.

430 feet southerly from Rivera street, 272.80 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Santiago street, 267.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-eighth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also. Bill No. 8496, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Jennings street between Palou and Quesada avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 27th day of January, 1928, by Resolution No. 28385 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Jennings street between Palou and Quesada avenues; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Jennings Street.

Palou avenue southerly line, 86.00 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 145 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 72.80 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 110 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 66.05 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 75 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 62.61 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 145 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 72.80 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 110 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 66.01 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 75 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 62.44 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 58.77 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 58.23 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Jennings street between Palou and Quesada avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extensions of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 28784 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. L. Harney is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 26, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-sixth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is under way, the grading, curbs, sidesewers and concrete base having been completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28785 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 1, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossings of Mangels avenue with Baden street and Congo street, under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractors have been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Intention to Change Grades.

Also, Resolution No. 28786 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 101287 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted March 12, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed March 14, 1928, to-wit:

On Teddy avenue between Rodeo avenue and Rutland street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades in contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Establishment of Loading Zones.

On recommendation of the Traffic Committee:

Resolution No. 28787 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses in accordance with the provisions of Section 26, Paragraph "C," of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

Street addresses.	Feet.
25 California street	27
485 California street	27
27-29 Clay street	18
333-345 Clay street	45
340 Commercial street	45
634 Commercial street	18
72 Eddy street	45
230 Eddy street	18
1509-1511 Eddy street	27
42 Ellis street	36
186 Ellis street	18
25 Front street	27
423 Geary street	72
451 Geary street	72
61 Golden Gate avenue	27
109-117 Golden Gate avenue	27
224 Golden Gate avenue	18
201 Halleck street	18
42 Hyde street	27
787 Market street	27
932 Market street	27
965 Market street	27
982 Market street	54
1060-1070 Market street	45
1075 Market street	36
1123 Market street	36
1127 Market street	36
1192 Market street	36
427 Montgomery street	27
84 Ninth street	27
200-220 Pacific street	30
545 Post street	27
1841 Post street	18
125 O'Farrell street	72
160 O'Farrell street	45
260 O'Farrell street	36
420 Sacramento street	27
624 Sacramento street	27
321 Sansome street	18
400 Sansome street	27

509-519 Sansome street.....36
1150 Sutter street.....18
1065 Sutter street.....27
6-8 Valencia street.....27
248-250 Fremont street.....27

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Rescinding and Reestablishing Loading Zones.

Also, Resolution No. 28788 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be rescinded and reestablished in front of or near the following addresses in accordance with the provisions of Section 26, Paragraph "C," of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

477 California street, existing length, 54 feet; recommended length 45; 51 Kearny street, existing length, 27 feet; recommended length, 18 feet; 101 Post street, existing length, 18 feet; recommended length, 27 feet; 239 Grant avenue, existing length, 27 feet; recommended length, 36 feet; 66 Pine street, existing length, 36 feet; recommended length, 18 feet.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Abolishing Loading Zones.

Also, Resolution No. 28789 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be abolished in front of the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Section 26, Paragraph "C," of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

246-268 Post street, existing length, 36 feet; 248-253 Fremont street, existing length, 27 feet.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Traffic Ordinance Amended.

Also, Bill No. 8497, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections 2 of Article II and 37 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section Nos. 2 of Article II and 37 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE II.

Authority of Police—Traffic Signs and Signals.

Section 2. Obedience to Police—Officers of the Police Department are hereby authorized to direct all traffic by means of visible or audible signal, and it shall be unlawful for any person to refuse or fail to comply with any lawful order, signal or direction of a traffic or police officer. It shall be unlawful for any person to direct or attempt to direct traffic unless authorized to do so by order of the Chief of Police.

Any police officer may remove or cause to be removed any standing vehicle or vehicles, whether occupied or not, from any street or alley to the nearest street or alley to accommodate the necessity for such removal, in the following cases:

(1) When a public emergency requires such removal;

(2) When a vehicle is unlawfully parked so as to obstruct the free and lawful passage of vehicular or pedestrian traffic.

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Section 37. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places: Ade court, Adelaide street, Agate alley, Aldrich alley, Amity alley, Annie street, Anson place, Anthony street, Antonio street, Ashburton place, Austin street, Beldon street, Bren place, Burritt street, Campton place, Carlos street, Cedar street, Chatham place, Chelsea place, Claude lane, Cohen place, Colin place, Cosmos place, Cortland avenue on the north side between Mission street and Folsom street, Dale place, Derby street, Dikeman place, Dodge street, Ecker street, Elim alley, Elm street, Emma street, Fells or Fella

place, Fern street, Gardner street or place, Glasgow street or Anna Lane street, Grant avenue on the east side between Bush street and Broadway, Grant avenue on the west side between Bush street and Pine street, Hardie place, Harlan street, Harlem alley, Hemlock street, Hobart alley, Holland court, Hooker alley, Hunt street, Ivy street, Jessie street, Julia street, Latham place, Laskie street, Linden street, Mable alley, Maggie alley, Maiden lane, Mark lane, Mary street, Meacham place, Minna street, Mint street, Monroe street, Montgomery street between Market and California streets, Mulford alley, Myrtle street, Natoma street, Olive street, Opal place, Opera alley, Ophir alley, Pacific avenue on the north side between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue, Petrarch place, Quincy street, Redwood street, Russ street, Shannon street, Shaw alley, Sherwood place, St. Ann street, St. George alley, Steveloe place, Stevenson street, Tillman alley, Touchard street, Treasury place, Trinity street, Vermehr place, Wagner alley, Willow street.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amendments Lost.

Supervisor Marks moved to strike out Subdivision 2, Section 2, Article II.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Marks—1.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to amend Section 2, to read "to the nearest *convenient* street or alley."

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri—3.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Havenner expressed himself in favor of the ordinance generally but believed that the language of Subdivision 2, Section 2, Article II should be clarified.

Supervisor Marks declared that he favored the ordinance generally but still objects to Subdivision 2, Section 2, Article II.

Supervisor Roncovieri said he would vote for the ordinance, but still believed that it should be amended to read "to the nearest convenient street or alley."

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Traffic Committee:

Board of Public Works to Prepare Plans, Etc., and Advertise for Bids for Painting Curbs.

Bill No. 8498, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and requesting the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications and to advertise for bids for the painting of curbs in connection with street traffic regulation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and requested to prepare plans and specifications and to advertise for bids for the painting of curbs in connection with street traffic regulation.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Fire Hydrants.

The following resolution, laid over from last meeting, was taken up on recommendation of the Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 28790 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Rincon Foundry Co. on bid submitted February 20, 1928 (Proposal No. 354), for furnishing fire hydrants for Fire Department, more particularly described as follows, viz.:

Fire Hydrants.

One hundred (100) double five (5) inch hydrants with three (3) inch standard outlets, and five (5) inch gate valves complete in every respect, f.o.b. San Francisco Fire Dept., $79.40 each.

Extra Parts.

Six (6) or more elbows, $11.50 each.

Lots of fifty (50) or more hydrant bodies, complete, $37.50 each.

Twelve (12) or more stuffing boxes, $2 each.

Twelve (12) or more gland bushings and nuts, 50 cents each.

Twelve (12) or more caps, complete, $1 each.

Twelve (12) or more cap chains, 15 cents each.

Twelve (12) or more valve carriers, $2.45 each.

Twelve (12) or more sets of galvanized forgings, $3 each.

W. I. galvanized bolts and galvanized C. I. washers, in lots of fifty (50) or more, 75 cents each.

W. I. galvanized bands in lots of twenty-five (25) or more, $1.25 each.

Eight (8) or more 5-inch gate valves, complete, $32 each.

Four (4) or more 4-inch gate valves, as shown on drawing No. 2, $28 each.

Twelve (12) or more stuffing boxes, etc., 5-inch, $1.50 each.

Twelve (12) or more stuffing boxes, etc., 4-inch, $1.40 each.

Twelve (12) or more spindles for hydrants, $3.10 each.

Six (6) or more spindles for 5-inch gate valves, $3.20 each.

Six (6) or more spindles for 4-inch gate valves, $3 each.

Twelve (12) or more tapers, 5-inch, $8.50 each.

Twelve (12) or more tapers, 4-inch, $7.50 each.

Twelve (12) or more discharge seats and outlets, $3.60 each.

Three (3) or more sets of gate valve discs, 4-inch, $4 each.

Three (3) or more sets of gate valve discs, 5-inch, $4.60 each.

Fifty (50) six (6) inch flanged raisers machined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $4.15 each.

Twenty-five (25) eight (8) inch flanged raisers machined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $5.15 each.

Twelve (12) twelve (12) inch flanged raisers machined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $6.15 each.

Twelve (12) sixteen (16) inch flange raisers machined and drilled to match hydrant body flange (C. I.), $7.15 each.

Twenty-five (25) or more flat tops (bonnets) for hydrant body, faced and drilled, $1.40 each.

Twenty-five (25) or more figure 4 tops (bonnets) for hydrant body, faced and drilled, $1.40 each.

Twenty-five (25) or more bells tops (bonnets) for hydrant body, faced and drilled, $2.15 each.

Credit Allowance.

Credit allowance on single 2½-inch outlet bodies complete to apply on the purchase of double 3-inch outlet bodies complete, in lots of twenty-five (25) or more, $1 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $2,000 be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Privilege of the Floor.

Frank Kennedy, secretary of the Fire Commission, and *M. Gerlack*, Hydrant Inspector, were heard with reference to the pending matter.

Leonard Leavy, Purchaser of Supplies, declared that the bids were a tie and was awarded to Rincon Foundry Co. because it makes the hydrant in its entirety, whereas the Greenberg Company sublets part of the work. Moreover, he said, there is a strict rule requiring contractors to notify the committee five days in advance before subletting any portion of the contract, which rule the Greenberg Company failed to observe.

Mr. Greenberg and *Mr. McCormick*, representing the Rincon Foundry were also heard.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Marks—4.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bridge Hearing Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the Bridge Hearings, fixed for 11 a. m., were *continued for hearing until* 2:30 *p. m.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:55 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Powers presiding.

Approval of Argument for Proposed Bond Issues.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28791 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors have ordered the following two bond issues to be submitted to the people at the Presidential primary election to be held May 1, 1928, to-wit:

$24,000,000 for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project;

$41,000,000 for the purchase of the Spring Valley Water Company properties; and

Whereas, it is of the utmost importance that the people be acquainted with the reasons and necessity of passing both these proposed bond issues; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors approve and endorse the attached argument favoring the passage of both these proposed bond issues; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar be and he is hereby authorized and directed to include, on behalf of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, these arguments in favor of both these projects with the sample ballots mailed to the voters, as provided in Section 10, Article XI, Chapter 3, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher a committee, consisting of Supervisors Havenner, Shannon and Marks, Assistant City Engineer Eckert and Chief Assistant Clerk Rogers were appointed to revise the argument, which was done and the resolution *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Commendation for Bridge Advocates.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28792 (New Series) as follows:

Whereas, the Honorable Hiram W. Johnson, United States Senator, representing the State of California, devoted a great deal of his time and effort to facilitate the work of the representatives of the City of San Francisco in presenting to the Commerce Committee of the United States Senate arguments in favor of passing legislation that would aid San Francisco in erecting a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the work of Honorable Hiram W. Johnson has been highly efficient and effective in an endeavor to bring about favorable legislation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco highly commend United States Senator Hiram W. Johnson for his splendid efforts in aiding and assisting the representatives of the City and County of San Francisco to procure from Congress favorable legislation to aid in the erection of a bridge across San Francisco Bay; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Senator Hiram W. Johnson at his address in Washington, D. C.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Stanton explained his vote by saying that while he held the gentlemen mentioned in the highest esteem, it would not be consistent for him to vote in favor of the resolution.

Also, Resolution No. 28793 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Honorable Richard J. Welch, Representative in Congress from the Fifth Congressional District of California, devoted a great deal of his time and effort to facilitate the work of the representatives of the City of San Francisco in presenting to the Commerce Committee of the House of Representatives of the United States arguments in favor of passing legislation that would aid San Francisco in erecting a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the work of Honorable Richard J. Welch has been highly efficient and effective in an endeavor to bring about favorable legislation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco highly commend the Honorable Richard J. Welch for his splendid efforts in aiding and assisting the representatives of the City

and County of San Francisco to procure from Congress favorable legislation to aid in the erection of a bridge across San Francisco Bay; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Representative Richard J. Welch at his address in Washington, D. C.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28794 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Honorable James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, devoted a great deal of his time and effort to facilitate the work in presenting to the Commerce Committee of the House of Representatives of the United States arguments in favor of passing legislation that would aid San Francisco in erecting a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the work of Honorable James Rolph, Jr., has been highly efficient and effective in an endeavor to bring about favorable legislation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco highly commend the Honorable James Rolph, Jr., for his splendid efforts in aiding and assisting the City and County of San Francisco to procure from Congress favorable legislation to aid in the erection of a bridge across San Francisco Bay; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Honorable James Rolph, Jr.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28795 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Supervisor James B. McSheehy, chairman of the Special Bridge Committee, City Attorney John J. O'Toole and City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy devoted a great deal of time and effort to facilitate the work in presenting to the Commerce Committee of the House of Representatives of the United States arguments in favor of passing legislation that would aid San Francisco in erecting a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the work of these men has been highly efficient and effective in an endeavor to bring about favorable legislation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco highly commend Supervisor James B. McSheehy, City Attorney John J. O'Toole and City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy for their splendid efforts in aiding and assisting the City and County of San Francisco to procure from Congress favorable legislation to aid in the erection of a bridge across San Francisco Bay; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Supervisor James B. McSheehy, City Attorney John J. O'Toole and City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Rescinding Intention to Establish Set-back Lines, Chestnut Street.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Resolution No. 28796 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 22544 (New Series), being Resolution of Intention No. 44, as declares it to be the intention to establish set-back lines along the northerly side of Chestnut street between Divisadero and Scott streets, be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week and made a Special Order for 2 p. m.*:

Notice of Reconsideration, 333 Kearny Street.

March 12, 1928—Supervisor Kent moved as an amendment that the Clerk be directed to write a letter and register it to the owner, under date of tomorrow, that this Board will cancel the lease of 333 Kearny street, to take effect July 10, 1928.

Carried by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Mc-

Sheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—7.

Thereupon, before the vote was announced, Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the said vote at this meeting.

March 19, 1928—*Over one week. Special Order*, 2 *p. m.*

A motion of Supervisor Schmidt to place a rider in the lease cancelling the space not being used by the Grand Jury was agreed to by Attorney Eastman, representing the lessor, and referred to the City Attorney so that the lease might not be invalidated by the change.

A motion of Supervisor Roncovieri that the fourth floor of the Hall of Justice be turned over to the Police Department was continued one week.

March 26, 1928—*Over one week. Special Order*, 11 *a. m.*

District Attorney to be requested to attend.

Unemployment Investigation.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, His Honor, Governor C. C. Young of the State of California, is about to appoint a commission to study the fundamental reasons for unemployment; and

Whereas, due to the unprecedented number of unemployed, it is becoming apparent to our people that some constructive steps should be taken locally, by this and other cities of its kind, by the different states, and by the nation, leading to the enactment of such measures as will, if possible, eliminate forever the so-called involuntary unemployment for millions of our people; be it, therefore

Resolved, That we commend the action of the Governor of this State, as well as commend the action of these Senators and Congressmen looking to study and relief of this situation, and we express the hope that this city and others will reach a national aspect to the end that the candidates of the national parties will be, by the forthcoming national conventions, pledged to measures calculated to be a solution of this unnecessary evil of our national life.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Twin Peaks Memorial.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, during the last Christmas season there was installed in the vicinity of Twin Peaks, under the auspices of the "Examiner" and the South of Market Boys, an enormous Christmas tree, viewable from every part of this city, and which was the inspiration for thousands upon thousands of our citizens to visit that point of interest; and

Whereas, it has been the hope and desire of nearly all our citizenship that at some time there be constructed at that location something significant of forward-looking San Francisco which might be of use from a sight-seeing standpoint to our citizens and tourists from all parts of the world; and

Whereas, the average San Franciscan takes great pride in escorting visitors to this point, from which he pridefully points out the great and growing city below; and

Whereas, various suggestions have been made for a memorial to the memory of the late and Reverend D. O. Crowley; and

Whereas, various suggestions have been made from time to time for a memorial as a tribute to our mothers; and

Whereas, there is a nearly unanimous request that the present roadway to Twin Peaks be continued to the very highest point; and

Whereas, there is a possibility of a combination of road and memorial which would embody all these features, as well, as noted above; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Street Committee of this Board be instructed to give this matter consideration, and be empowered to call to its assistance architects, artists, sculpturers, etc., to the end that they may recommend plans and means by which this idea may be carried to fulfillment.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 28797 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

East side, first and second, and west side, first and second, Hoff avenue between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

South side Bryant street between Harriet and Sixth streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

North side Geneva avenue between Mission and London streets.

North side Geneva avenue, near London street.

North side Geneva avenue, west of Paris street.

Three lights south side of Geneva avenue between Mission and Paris streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

East and west sides of Hoff avenue between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

Install 300 *Watt.*

On electroliers on east side Sansome street between Sutter and Bush streets.

West side Sansome street, 65 feet north of Pine street.

Install 250 *Iron Pole, Washington Type.*

West side Silver avenue between Mission street and Camellia avenue.

Northeast corner Silver and Camellia avenues.

West side Silver avenue between Camellia avenue and Alemany boulevard.

South side Camellia avenue between Silver avenue and Castle Manor avenue.

North side Camellia avenue, opposite Castle Manor avenue.

South side Camellia avenue between Castle Manor and Admiral avenues.

Northwest corner Camellia and Admiral avenues.

West side Castle Manor avenue between Mission street and Camellia avenue.

West side Admiral avenue between Mission street and Camellia avenue.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

Parker avenue, north of Fulton street.

North and south sides of Fulton street, east of Shrader street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

The following matters, laid over from the meeting of February 6, 1928, and continued from 11 a. m. this day were taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is, however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

Motion.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing matters were *continued until April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

SPECIAL ORDERS—11 A. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

(1)

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Ala-

neda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(2)

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(3)

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(4)

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(5)

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(6)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(7)

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(8)

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll

bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(9)

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(10)

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1¼ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(11)

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner,

Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(12)

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(13)

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(15)

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(18)

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to

Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

Filed August 16, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Tunnels.

(19)

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(20)

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(21)

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty

(750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(22)

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(23)

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(24)

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(25)

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(26)

Application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for permission to construct a toll bridge along an alternative line between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

Filed May 29, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(27)

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(28)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(29)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbell and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(22)

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(23)

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(24)

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(25)

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(26)

Application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for permission to construct a toll bridge along an alternative line between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

Filed May 29, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(27)

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(28)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(29)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbell and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

tice to conform to the lenth of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(35)

Amended application of Allan C. Rush for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Oakland, Alameda County.

Said bridge to be located at the starting point at or near what is known as Rincon Hill, in the City of San Francisco, and from which named point extending over and across what is known as San Francisco Channel of the Bay of San Francisco, this channel having an approximate width of 11,088 feet, to Goat Island—Yerba Buena—in a suspension bridge with a height of not less than 200 feet, measuring from the floor and base of said bridge down *to* and *above* high tide, supported in its entirety by four cables resting over five bridge piers, one pier at or near Rincon Hill, three piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, and one pier on the westerly shore end of Goat Island—Yerba Buena—numbered, respectively, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, with Pier No. 5 ending on said island.

Filed by E. L. Vandair Nailen, November 7, 1927, and *continued for hearing until November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday. April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(36)

Alternative design, Inter-Cities Bridge Company, for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, as follows:

On a line from near the foot of Broadway, in San Francisco, to the northern slope of Goat Island, along this northerly slope to the eastern end of the island, and thence to and along the northerly edge, or near the same, of the Key Route Mole to the entrance into Oakland, fully described in the original application.

Filed December 21, 1927.

December 27, 1927—*Read and continued until January* 23, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(37)

Application of John Goulding Little for authority to erect, maintain and operate, and to take tolls on a toll bridge to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the County of Alameda, State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 7 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 20 min. 49 sec., which point is about 120 feet northerly from the Key System Transit Company's Ferry House in the City of Oakland, and extending thence westerly to a point in the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 2 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 21 min. 31 sec., which point is about 700 feet northerly from the northeast point of Goat Island; thence westerly by the most direct available route with suitable grades and curvature to the intersection of the center line of Market street produced with the waterfront line on The Embarcadero in the City and County of San Francisco.

That the length of said bridge is 16,000 feet and that the breadth of said bridge is 2000 feet.

Filed January 30, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday. April* 16, 1928, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 4:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, April 16, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 16

Monday, April 9, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

The Bancroft Library
University of California
Berkeley, California

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 9, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 9, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 2, 1928, was laid over for approval until next meeting.

Mayor Rolph's Remarks in re Transbay Bridge.

The Mayor: Gentlemen of the Board and my fellow citizens: I am glad to be back in this chair this morning after a short visit to the eastern cities and, while a trip East is always educating, and I think that no public official should hold a public office of legislation who has not at least visited the great and mighty city of New York to get an idea of what they are doing with hundreds of millions of dollars of public moneys that they are expending for parks, improvements, tunnels, subways and parkways and everything that you can think of, to go and see the capital city of the world, see Wall Street, and see the mighty Stock Exchange, get an idea of what it means to live in a city of six and a half million people. It is an education to every American youth to go and visit that great city, and I hope they will all live long enough to see what New York is and get an idea of what we ourselves can do in our home cities in every town and every hamlet of the country.

You sent me on by resolution to join Mr. McSheehy, chairman of the Bridge Committee, and his faithful associates who are there, who had the hearing before the House Committee. And then you directed that Chief Justice Sullivan and myself go on to be present at the hearing before the Senate Committee on Commerce. We left here on the 24th of March, and the first hearing took place in the Senate Committee of Commerce on the morning of March 29th and the hearing lasted for three days, the 29th of March, the 30th of March and the 31st of March, when the hearing terminated at about 5 o'clock in the afternoon. Judge Sullivan and myself had the advantage of having had sent to us, at North Platte, Nebraska, the hearing that took place before the House Committee, and we therefore had the opportunity of reading all the points raised and all the answers given, and the cross-examination of the only objectors, the officers of the Army and the officers of the Navy. Our advocate, after all our representatives had presented reasons why the permit should be granted for the Rincon Hill bridge had finished, why Mr. Lea, Congressman of Santa Rosa, pleaded our cause and did the cross-examination of the admirals and the Army engineers. They did well there, but they were up against what they think was a hostile committee. I think the situation is very much brighter now after the hearing before the Senate Committee because we, before the Senate Committee, had the advantage of having Senator Johnson there, and he was never in better form, and he never cross-examined a witness better, and he never handled a case better nor acquitted himself with greater glory than he did in handling that case for us before the Senate Committee of nineteen members on the Commerce Committee. Senator Johnson made, to my mind, one of the best pleas, and gave his reasons why that bridge and that permit should be granted. I followed Senator Johnson, other mem-

bers of our committee followed during the three days. But on the second day the Army and the Navy were called before the committee, and General Jadwin and Admiral Hughes and some other members of the Army and Navy corps were called in, and, as a listener, I must say to you that I never have listened to cross-examination of witnesses to a greater degree of perfection than to Senator Johnson's cross-examination of the officers of the Army and the officers of the Navy. And the officers of the Army and the officers of the Navy turned right about face from the testimony that they gave in the House Committee, and I do not think we have better witnesses for our cause than the officers of the Army and the officers of the Navy. In my opinion, gentlemen, while six or eight or ten months ago I was somewhat skeptical in my mind as to whether we would ever get a permit to bridge the bay from Rincon Hill to Alameda, believing that the Army and and the Navy's views would be carried out, from the testimony adduced at that hearing I think that we will get the bridge from Rincon Hill to Alameda, and there will be no bridge at Hunter's Point. Take the distance between this building here, the City Hall in San Francisco, and to the City Hall in Oakland, which is the center part of both cities, it is nine miles from here to the City Hall at Oakland, and it is seventeen and four-tenths miles to the same point across the bay. Who is going to go in rapid travel, either by interurban trains or by vehicular traffic, or by pedestrian traffic, to go 8 miles farther to the same point when you can cross the bay and it does not interfere with the Navy, in fact, it is a help to the Army in times of so-called war, in a direct line? But who are we going to have war with? Where will this city be if we are going to have war with somebody, and who are we going to have war with? I pointed out and said so in plain, bold statements, that the only nation that we could possibly have war with would be Japan, and our Navy would not be bottled up in the Bay of San Francisco, with a bomb in time of war blowing up the bridge in San Francisco Bay, why, this City Hall and its dome would be gone and the whole city would be gone before they would ever knock down the bridge. And from the argument there, I say to you, with all the feeling of confidence that a man can express, I believe that the bill will come out favorably from the Committee of the Senate at an early date. I doubt whether it can pass this session. The Colorado Boulder Dam bill is before the Senate this week, and you read, by the papers, the filibuster that is going to take place over that. That will ultimately win. We must get our bill out of the House Committee, and we must get it on the floor of the House, but I am convinced, after speaking with some of the Congressmen and the chairman of the Committee of the House, I am convinced that that bill will not come out unfavorably reported after the hearings before the Senate and House Committee. They are going to take a long time before they will report unfavorably on that bill. There may have been some laughter given some time ago to Mr. McSheehy, thinking he was going on a wild-goose chase. I think Mr. McSheehy is going to come home in time, maybe not this session, because politics will stop Congress and they will adjourn some time in May, the presidential nominations will take place the first week in June and Congress will adjourn, but I think there will be a victory for us when Congress reconvenes and that Mr. McSheehy won't have the laugh on him at all. I think he is going to come home, as he said, he is going to come home with the bacon. That is my personal opinion about the situation there in Washington.

And you did me the honor of passing a resolution thanking me for what little I did. I express my thanks for that. I think that Judge Sullivan should be given a letter of thanks. That man worked on that train. He and I took books with us, he worked on that train from 6 o'clock in the morning until he went to bed at night. He worked on that train all the way down from Chicago to Washington. He spent all the time he could getting facts together, and he delivered one of the most convincing statements. It has not been published in the press, but you will be proud of it some day when the record of the hearings before the Committee are read by you, and you will be illuminated in the wonderful presentation he also made. Congressman Welch, Congresswoman Kahn, Mr. Lea, our friends from San Mateo, our friends from Alameda, Senator Shortridge, were at the hearings and took a deep and keen interest in this matter. And I believe they all feel now, as I am expressing to you, that we are a unit, that we are going to get a permit to build a bridge across San Francisco Bay at Rincon Hill, and it is not going

to be the only bridge. There are going to be more bridges. And the time is not far distant when work is going to start on the first one. But the first one, in my opinion, and after all I heard there, is not going to be at Hunter's Point, it is going to be a direct, straight course, and the Army and the Navy are going to withdraw their objection to it.

Listen to what President Coolidge said. I took this little book with me, "Have Faith in Massachusetts." I thought some epigrams from President Coolidge might help the situation, might let the American people and might let the members of Congress and the Senate know what the President himself was thinking of when Governor of Massachusetts. Listen to this: "Wherever we can read human history the answer is always the same. Where commerce has flourished, there civilization has increased. It has not sufficed that men should tend their flocks and maintain themselves in comfort on their industry alone, however great. It is only when the exchange of products begins that development follows. This was the case in ancient Babylon whose records of trade and banking we are just beginning to read. Their merchandise went by canal and caravan to the ends of the earth. It was not the war galleys, but the merchant vessels of Phoenicia, of Tyre and Carthage that brought them civilization and power. Today it is not the battlefield but the mercantile marine which, in the end, will determine the destiny of nations. The advance of our own land has been due to our trade and the comfort and happiness of our people are dependent on our general business conditions." Listen to this, if this is not an argument in favor of our bridge. "And above all, let us not, in our haste to prepare for war, forget to prepare for peace." Here is the very issue: In our haste to prepare for war, forgetting the necessity of preparing for peace. It would do your heart good to have heard the speeches of Senator Johnson, Matt Sullivan and others picturing this city, picturing Oakland, in a few years from now, picturing the population here on this peninsula, picturing the population in the cities around the bay, picturing the future of this great port of San Francisco, hearing that out of 500,000,000 tons of water-borne tonnage out of the United States last year 100,000,000 was out of the Pacific Coast and 40,000,000 of that hundred out of San Francisco Bay itself—second to New York. It would do your heart good to hear people talking of the great and mighty West. Capital, untold millions of capital, coming out here. And when the President said, "Let us not, in our haste to prepare for war, forget to prepare for peace," he was speaking when he was the Governor of Massachusetts. And do you know that every shipowner out of this port and on the Pacific Coast, with the exception of three, favored this bridge. Do you know that Mr. Franklyn of the International Mercantile Marine, building a seven-million ship a year for this trade between San Francisco and New York, favors the bridge? Do you know the representatives of foreign commerce favor the bridge? Do you know we are going to have that mighty ship, launched by the Germans in Hamburg a few months ago, which will arrive in the port of San Francisco here about the 17th or 18th of this month? Why, they do not think anything at all about going through a bridge. Do you know that Hellgate is only 1018 feet wide, and they have a bridge over it and the government sends the Navy's ships through it? Do you know the entrance to New York Harbor is only 2000 feet wide? Do you know the British fleet went into the Falkland Islands, and do you remember the memorable naval battle which took place off the Falkland Islands, when the British ships were inside and the German ships were coming around Cape Horn, and the British ships got out of Port Stanley and got out into the open and had to fight out there? Do you know the entrance to Port Stanley is only 715 feet wide? And they kick about a bridge which stands 1250 feet wide and 150 feet clearance in the air. Why, Brooklyn Bridge is only 135 feet in the air. Williamsburg Bridge is 135 feet, and the entire battleship fleet can go under both of those bridges to the New York Navy Yard that is above those two bridges. I do not see where the argument is against it. For the life of me, I cannot understand why it has not been granted long ago. But it is because the Army and the Navy have objected for reasons which, as Senator Johnson pointed out, were from scare more than anything else, and the picturing of our fleet tied up behind a bridge in San Francisco Bay, when they only have to move 1000 yards or 3000 feet and have all the anchorage, enough for the United States Navy, south of the bridge, and around in front of Hunter's Point. Do you know that

there is a greater area above, and the Army admit it, north of the bridge than there is south of the bridge?

I will only be brief. I have the hearings before the House, I have the first two days' hearings before the Senate. I will have, in a day or two, the final hearing before the Senate Committee. All the data is here. All the information is here. All the views of the shipowners are here. Everybody is in favor of the bridge, and I really think that it won't be long before we will get a permit. Senator Jones is sticking on the permit of public ownership of that bridge. We can get a permit, in my opinion, out of the Senate right away if we can guarantee how we will finance it. Now, that is the feeling at the present time. So I think we are close to the permit for the bridge. When it once passes the Senate it will pass the House. I give praise to every member of the Committee, to the engineers, I give praise to that fine captain that we have on our fireboat out there, Captain Morrison, he made a wonderful presentation. He can answer any question about the depths of water, the shoals, any hidden rocks, as a pilot licensed to go in and out of this port at any season, day or night, unlimited pilotage, up the rivers and everywhere else. I think your case was well handled, I think Senator Johnson can pull it out very soon, and if we get it out of the Committee, you can be sure we are going to get the bridge. (Applause.)

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Banquet to Supervisor Sylvester Andriano.

Communication from Unione Sportiva Italiana Virtus inviting members of the Board of Supervisors and wives to attend a banquet in honor of newly appointed Supervisor Hon. Sylvester Andriano at Fior d'Italia Restaurant, Thursday, evening, April 19, 1928, at 7 o'clock.

Read and *accepted.*

Report of Ocean Shore Joint Highway District.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication, from Board of Directors Joint Highway District of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz counties, transmitting for approval preliminary report of engineers of Ocean Shore Joint Highway District fixing route of proposed highway between San Francisco and Santa Cruz at estimated cost of $5,300,000.

Read and City Attorney to prepare resolution of approval.

Relative to Revocation of Furnace Permit.

Protest, from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce against revocation of furnace premit under which Alloys Company, Fourth and Freelon streets, now operates its plant.

Protest, of the Alloys Company against revocation of its permit to operate furnace at 550 Fourth street.

Broadway Tunnel.

Communication, from Divisadero District Merchants and Improvement Association, transmitting copy of resolution of said organization urging Board of Supervisors to take necessary action looking to construction of Broadway tunnel, connecting Presidio and Marina with North Beach District.

Referred to Tunnels Committee.

Letter of Thanks, Purchasing Agents' Association.

Communication, from Purchasing Agents' Association, extending thanks for cooperation of Board of Supervisors in matter of its annual convention in San Francisco, March 15, 1928.

Read and *filed.*

Telegram.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Washington, April 6, 1928.

John Dunnigan, Clerk of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Easter Greetings to the entire Board and your office force. Bridge permit looks good. Have great hopes we will get same. Kindness and personal regards to all.

Sincerely,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,

Read and *filed.*

Invitation From Redwood Empire Highway Association.

April 9, 1928.

In the matter of the invitation from the Redwood Empire Highway Association, which invitation asks San Francisco to join in a Good Will Expedition and visit from San Francisco, north, your Public Welfare Committee respectfully recommends that the invitation be accepted and that they be notified that we will participate, and that the chair appoint a committee of public officials to represent San Francisco in the premises.

Public Welfare Committee,
MILO F. KENT, Chairman;
ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
Member.
F. J. McGOVERN,
Member.

The following committee was appointed: Supervisors Roncovieri,

McGovern, Suhr, Gallagher and Auditor Boyle.

Hon. Matt I. Sullivan Commended for Assistance on Bridge Site.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28820 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Honorable Matt I. Sullivan, of the City and County of San Francisco, devoted a great deal of his time and effort to facilitate the work in presenting to the Commerce Committee of the House of Representatives of the United States arguments in favor of passing legislation that would aid San Francisco in erecting a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the work of Honorable Matt I. Sullivan has been highly efficient and effective in an endeavor to bring about favorable legislation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco highly commend the Honorable Matt I. Sullivan for his splendid efforts in aiding and assisting the City and County of San Francisco to procure from Congress favorable legislation to aid in the erection of a bridge across San Francisco Bay; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Honorable Matt I. Sullivan.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Hearing—Islais Creek Reclamation—10 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the assessment issued by the Board of Commissioners of the Islais Creek Reclamation District for the Islais Creek development project fixed for 10 a. m. today.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that further consideration of the objections to the assessment be continued until April 17, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Auction Sale of Lease of City Property.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, a lease of certain real property of the City and City and County was offered for sale at public auction on the 9th day of April, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Description of Property.

That certain parcel of land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, and situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Bush street, distant thereon twenty-four (24) feet westerly from the northwesterly corner of St. George alley and Bush street, running thence westerly and along said northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet; thence at a right angle northerly and parallel with the westerly line of St. George alley fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches; thence at a right angle easterly and parallel with the northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet, and thence at a right angle southerly fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Fifty Vara Lot No. 94.

Terms and Conditions of Sale.

1. The award of lease shall be made for a period of ten (10) years.

2. The ordinance awarding the lease and the entering into said lease by the Board of Supervisors shall be in accordance with the Charter and be subject to the approval of the Mayor of the City and County.

3. The successful bidder shall be liable for, and shall be obliged to pay the rental offered monthly in advance.

4. The lessee shall not assign said lease without the written consent of the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor.

5. The premises leased shall not be used for any immoral or unlawful purposes.

6. The lessee shall pay all taxes and assessments that may be issued or levied against the property leased or against the buildings and improvements erected or to be erected thereon.

7. The successful bidder within ten (10) days after the said lease shall be awarded shall execute and file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors a bond in the penal sum equal to two years' rental of the premises leased, to secure the performance of the conditions and obligations of such lease, in form satisfactory to the City Attorney

and the sureties satisfactory to the Mayor.

8. A failure to pay the monthly rent agreed to be paid or a breach on the part of the lessees of any of the conditions of the lease shall, by reason of such failure or breach, operate as a forfeiture, and the said lease shall thereupon be terminated and the improvements erected thereon shall revert to and become the property of the City and County of San Francisco, and the sureties on the bond shall be liable to the City and County for any damage that may result from such forfeiture.

9. Should the above described premises be required for public use at any time before the termination of the period of the lease, the City shall have the right to cancel the said lease upon the payment of just compensation for improvements thereon, and for such damages suffered by the lessee by reason of such cancellation in eminent domain proceedings or the amount thereon to be determined by arbitrators, one to be appointed by the City and County, and one by the lessee, and a third to be appointed by the arbitrators so appointed in case of disagreement.

Manner of Bidding.

At the time and place herein stated the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors will read this notice, and thereupon the presiding officer of the Board of Supervisors will call for bids for the lease of the property described herein. Any person may offer a bid in writing, sealed, and each bid shall be for the monthly rental to be paid during the term of the lease. Each bid made shall be subject to be raised by any other person, and the bidding shall continue until no increased bid shall be made, and said bid so made in open board will be considered as if made in writing, when the lease shall be struck off and awarded to the highest bidder. The said bidder to whom the award is made shall thereupon deposit with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors the sum of $500 or a certified check made payable to the Clerk of said Board in said amount, as a condition that within ten (10) days after the passage of an ordinance of award he will enter into a written lease of said property in accordance with the terms and conditions stated in this notice; in the event of a failure so to do, such sum or check will be forfeited to and become the property of the City and County. In case any bidder shall fail to make such deposit, his bid shall be then and there rejected and the lease shall be struck off and awarded to the next highest bidder, provided that no person desires to increase the same.

The Board of Supervisors at any time prior to the passage of the ordinance of award may reject any bid.

Bid.

The bid of F. Mosco, in the sum of $100 per month, was received and *referred to the Public Buildings Committee.*

Set-back Line Hearing—11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Joost avenue and Bemis street fixed for 11 a. m. today.

Passed for Printing.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Set-back Lines Along Portions of Joost Avenue and Bemis Street.

Bill No. 8499, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Joost avenue and Bemis street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 12th day of March, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 177, to establish set-back lines along portions of Joost avenue and Bemis street, and fixed the 9th day of April, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m. at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that, thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Ridgewood avenue and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Genesee street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost

avenue commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Genesee street and running thence easterly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence easterly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 5½ feet; thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the southerly side of Joost avenue commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Genesee street and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Foerster street, said set-back line to be 7 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue commencing at Edna street and running thence easterly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence easterly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Detroit street and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Congo street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Congo street and running thence easterly to Baden street, said set-back line to be 15½ feet; along the southerly side of Joost avenue commencing at a point 25 feet easterly from Congo street and running thence easterly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 5½ feet.

Along the southeasterly line of Bemis street commencing at a point 113 feet northeasterly from Mateo street and running thence northeasterly to a point 112 feet southwesterly from Miguel street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Pianos for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing pianos for School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Annual Supplies.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing annual supplies and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Storage Eggs—Sept. 1, 1928, to Jan. 31, 1929.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing 23,000 dozen storage eggs from September 1, 1928, to January 31, 1929, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Tardy Bids.

Ten minutes after the appointed hour Supervisor Schmidt called to the attention of the Board that there were a number of bidders in the room who had not noticed that bids had been called and that the time for bidding had passed.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the reception of bids be reopened and the bidders in the room be permitted to file their bids inasmuch as they could not hear the announcement due to confusion in the Board.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up on recommendation of the Finance Committee and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28798 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) National Steel Fabric Co., 2000 yards steeltex, etc., for park (claim dated March 30, 1928), $620.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(2) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated March 23, 1928), $525.

Special School Tax.

(3) Western Asbestos Magnesia Co., furnishing and installing celotex in schools (claim dated March 26, 1928), $680.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(4) Joseph & Stone, ninth payment, architectural services for the Portola Junior High School (claim dated March 20, 1928), $1,682.45.

(5) Reliable Plumbing Co., final payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst Moulder School (claim dated March 21, 1928), $5,209.62.

(6) Mahony Bros., conditional acceptance payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated March 27, 1928), $67,253.02.

(7) John Reid Jr., sixth payment, architectural services for first unit of South Side High School (claim dated March 27, 1928), $520.89.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity).

(8) A. R. Edwards & Co., lenses for Department of Electricity (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,194.31.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(9) Ellen Murtle, first payment, compensation insurance for account of death of Thos. Murtle, conductor (claim dated March 20, 1928), $1,159.94.

County Road Fund.

(10) Market Street Railway Co., for removal of tracks in Montgomery street, Post to Washington streets (claim dated March 27, 1928), $5,460.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(11) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick touring auto for Bureau of Engineering (claim dated March 27, 1928), $1,422.83.

(12) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for labor and materials furnished and used for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated March 30, 1928), $10,260.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(13) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated April 2, 1928), $1,390.80.

(14) A. F. Heuer, printing City Purchaser's report (claim dated April 2, 1928), $652.

(15) The Electric Corporation, supplies for Department of Electricity (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $570.40.

(16) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated April 1, 1928), $1,113.76.

(17) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for April (claim dated April 1, 1928), $750.

(18) Edward Lowe Motors Co., one Lincoln touring car for Police Department (claim dated March 26, 1928), $4,813.39.

(19) Acme Gravel Co., Acme mix, gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated March 23, 1928), $712.71.

(20) Acme Gravel Co., Acme mix, gravel and sand for street repair (claim dated March 23, 1928), $1,717.88.

(21) Symon Bros., teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated March 24, 1928), $713.

(22) Acme Gravel Co., Acme mix and gravel for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1928), $684.71.

(23) Union Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated March 24, 1928), $1,500.36.

(24) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil furnished for Hall of Justice (claim dated March 24, 1928), $527.73.

(25) Spring Valley Water Co., water service through fire hyrants (claim dated April 2, 1928), $14,806.50.

(26) L. Dinkelspiel Co., drygoods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $1,630.01.

(27) Associated Charities, emergency supplies for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 20, 1928), $5,000.

(28) Volunteers of America, emergency supplies for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 20, 1928), $1,039.63.

(29) Market Street Railway Co., for land bounded by Frederick and Willard streets, Arguello boulevard and Golden Gate Park (claim dated April 2, 1928), $7,750.

(30) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for taxes, 1927-1928, paid on property at Frederick and Willard streets purchased by City and County under agreement of March 12, 1923 (claim dated April 2, 1928), $1,282.50.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 28799 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Biaggio Locario and Vita D. Locario, for property as per Resolution No. 28568, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $900.

(2) To Edward Mulcahy and Pauline A. Mulcahy, for property as per Resolution No. 28658, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $3,200.

(3) To L. M. Armknecht, for property as per Resolution No. 28658, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,100.

(4) To Peter Parrinello and Josephine Parrinello, for property as per Resolution No. 28658, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $6,000.

(5) To Robert Kelly, for property as per Resolution No. 28592, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $1,167.

(6) To Jeremiah O'Leary and Katherine O'Leary, for property as per Resolution No. 28592, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $5,500.

(7) To Susan Dawson, for property as per Resolution No. 28593, New Series (claim dated March 15, 1928), $4,750.

(8) To Walter R. Helliker and Florence Helliker, for property as per Resolution No. 28698, New Series (claim dated March 22, 1928), $4,250.

(9) To John D. Allen and Cecelia D. Allen, for property as per Resolution No. 28698, New Series (claim dated March 22, 1928), $4,250.

(10) To Carrie Hansen Bicais, for property as per Resolution No. 28698, New Series (claim dated March 22, 1928), $4,025.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Boulevards.

Resolution No. 28800 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named claimants; being payments for properties required for the construction of boulevards, to-wit:

(1) To James J. Lee, Inc., for property required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28695, New Series (claim dated March 21, 1928), $2,-700.

(2) To James Nielson, for property required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28695, New Series (claim dated March 21, 1928), $1,221.

(3) To Ocean Shore Railroad Co., for property required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28591, New Series (claim dated March 14, 1928), $8,350.

(4) To City Industrial Land Co., for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28652, New Series (claim dated March 14, 1928), $45,372.

(5) To Maria S. Anderson, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28654, New Series (claim dated March 14, 1928), $6,-215.

(6) To Manuel and Beatrice Zaragoza, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per Resolution No. 28653, New Series (claim dated March 14, 1928), $5,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Condemnation of Lands for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 28801 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant thereon 106 feet southerly from the southerly line of Silver avenue; thence southerly along said westerly line of Charter Oak avenue 62 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles westerly 61.679 feet to the southwesterly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence deflecting to the right 76 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running northwesterly along said southwesterly line 7.566 feet; thence continuing northwesterly along said southwesterly line being a curve to the left, having a radius of 2937.5 feet and a central angle of 1 deg. 06 min. 39 sec., a distance of 56.957 feet to a point in a line drawn westerly at right angles to Charter Oak avenue through the point of beginning; thence easterly along said last mentioned line 77.705 feet to the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 62 and 63 of Block "P," Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point

of intersection of the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 51 of Block "P" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said westerly line 50 feet to the northerly line of Lot 53 of said Block "P"; thence at right angles westerly 46.221 feet; thence deflecting to the left 103 deg. 53 min. 30 sec. and running southerly 51.506 feet to said southerly line of said Lot 51; thence deflecting to the left 76 deg. 06 min. 30 sec. and running easterly along said southerly line 33.855 feet to the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of said Lots 51 and 53.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southerly line of Lot 17 of Block "O" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 50 feet to the northerly line of Lot 19 of said Block "O"; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 50 feet to said southerly line of Lot 17; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of said Lots 17 and 19 of Block "O."

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 225 feet from the southerly line of Thornton avenue, running thence southerly along said easterly line 60.31 feet to the northeasterly line of Donner avenue; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 5.92 feet to the northwesterly line of Quint street; thence at right angles northeasterly along the said northwesterly line 50.332 feet; thence southwesterly, westerly, northwesterly and northerly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec., a distance of 26.440 feet to a point which is distant 25 feet easterly at right angles from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence northerly parallel to the said easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and tangent to said curve of preceding course, a distance of 25.650 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 502, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 5. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Burrows street and the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and running thence northerly along said westerly line 50 feet; thence deflecting to the left 164 deg. 21 min. 28 sec. and running southerly 25.962 feet to a point which is distant 7 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence deflecting to the left 15 deg. 38 min. 32 sec. and running southerly parallel to said westerly line 25 feet to the northerly line of Burrows street; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 7 feet to the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 39 and 40 of Block "B," as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the northeasterly line of Egbert avenue, and running thence southeasterly along the said northeasterly line 42.287 feet; thence deflecting to the left 143 deg. 45 min. 30 sec. and running northerly 34.106 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 522, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 7. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the southwesterly line of Fitzgerald avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 98.615 feet; thence deflecting to the left 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running northeasterly 30.997 feet; thence deflecting to the left 53 deg. 45 min. 30 sec. and running northerly 9.514 feet; thence northerly, northeasterly, easterly and southeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course,

with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 143 deg. 45 min. 30 sec., a distance of 30.109 feet to its point of tangency with the southwesterly line of Fitzgerald avenue; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 78.956 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 544, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 8. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, distant southerly thereon 98.615 feet from the southwesterly line of Fitzgerald avenue, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 68.240 feet; thence deflecting to the left 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running northeasterly 30.997 feet; thence deflecting to the left 53 deg. 45 min. 30 sec. and running northerly 68.240 feet; thence deflecting to the left 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running southwesterly 30.997 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 544, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 9. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Gilman avenue and the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, and running thence southerly along said Charter Oak avenue 89.645 feet to the northwesterly line of the property conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Frances P. and Lester L. Morse by deed dated Nov. 11, 1926, and recorded in Volume 1371, page 193, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 45.285 feet to a point which is distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence northerly parallel to said easterly line 17.781 feet to Gilman avenue; thence deflecting to the left 36 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running northwesterly along said Gilman avenue 42.287 feet to Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Haley Purchase, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening of Bay Shore boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $3,500, Satisfaction of Judgment, City and County of San Francisco v. Alice Cummings.

Resolution No. 28802 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of three thousand five hundred ($3,500) dollars be and the same is authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the School Bond Fund, Issue 1923, and payable as follows: To Alice Cummings, individually, the sum of one thousand seven hundred and fifty ($1,750) dollars thereof, and to Alice Cummings as administratrix of the estate of Truman G. Cummings, deceased, the sum of one thousand seven hundred and fifty ($1,750) dollars thereof, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment entered in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, v. Alice Cummings and Alice Cummings as administratrix of the estate of Truman G. Cummings, deceased, defendants," numbered 160503 of the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of lands for school purposes.

That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $12,000, Satisfaction of Judgment, City and County of San Francisco v. Margaret McDougall.

Resolution No. 28803 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of twelve thousand ($12,000) dollars be and the same is authorized and directed

to be set aside and appropriated out of the School Bond Fund, Issue 1923, and payable as follows: To Margaret McDougall the sum of twelve thousand ($12,000) dollars in full satisfaction of that certain judgment entered in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, vs. Margaret McDougall, defendant," numbered 187525 on the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of lands for school purposes. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $7,000, Construction of Traffic Signals.

Resolution No. 28804 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 69, for the construction and installation of traffic signals by the Department of Electricity.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Street Improvements.

Resolution No. 28805 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the hereinafter mentioned purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improving of Golden Gate Heights; being the amount of assessment against City property, $30,859.76.

(2) For the improving of the City's portion of Mariposa street between Wisconsin and Carolina streets, $1,320.

(3) For the cost of constructing tunnel extension under the Skyline boulevard at Fleishhacker Pool, $14,500.

(4) For the reconstruction of Haight street from Scott to Baker streets, $13,700.

(5) For the reconstruction of Lyon street from California to Sacramento streets, $3,500.

(6) For the acquiring of the necessary lands for the opening of Charter Oak avenue into Silver avenue, $7,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Condemnation of Lands for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 28806 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 26 feet southerly from the southerly line of Brook street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Jose avenue 51 feet to the southerly boundary of the property of Johanne Fritzschen; thence deflecting 111 deg. 51 min. 29 sec. to the left and running easterly along said southerly boundary 7.631 feet to the proposed easterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 73 deg. 35 min. 22 sec. to the left and running northerly along said proposed easterly line 49.333 feet to the northerly boundary of the property of Johanne Fritzschen; thence deflecting 106 deg. 14 min. 54 sec. to the left and running westerly along said northerly boundary 2.571 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 394, Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 102 feet southerly from the southerly line of Brook street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Jose avenue 26 feet to the southerly boundary of the property of Johanne Fritzschen; thence deflecting 112 deg. 48 min. 18 sec. to the left and running easterly along said southerly boundary 12.731 feet to the proposed easterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 72 deg. 38 min. 33 sec. to the left and running northerly along

said proposed easterly line 25.020 feet to the northerly boundary of the property of Johanne Fritzschen; thence deflecting 106 deg. 52 min. to the left and running westerly along said northerly boundary 10.118 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 393, Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 154 feet southerly from the southerly line of Brook street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Jose avenue 76.285 feet to the northerly line of Randall street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Randall street 51.373 feet to a point distant thereon 54.052 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Mission street and to the proposed northeasterly line of San Jose avenue; thence northwesterly along said proposed northeasterly line on a curve to the right of 20-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 109 deg. 19 min. 21 sec., a distance of 38.161 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, along the proposed easterly line of San Jose avenue, 44.787 feet to the northerly boundary of the property of Johanne Fritzschen; thence deflecting 107 deg. 53 min. 5 sec. to the left and running westerly along said northerly boundary 15.362 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 393, Precita Valley Lands.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Mission street, distant thereon 124 feet 6 inches southwesterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said northwesterly line of Mission street with the southerly line of Randall street, which point is the southeast corner of the land heretofore conveyed by one Morrison to one Dempsey by deed recorded in Liber 739 of Deeds, page 251, and running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Mission street 1 foot and ½ inch to a point; thence continuing in a southwesterly direction along said northwesterly line of Mission street 70 feet 7 inches to the lands now or formerly owned by Rose Leddy; thence running westerly along the northerly line of the lands now or formerly owned by Rose Leddy 59 feet 7½ inches to the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company; thence at a right angle northerly 70 feet ¾ of an inch to the southwesterly corner of the lands now or formerly owned by said Dempsey; thence at a right angle easterly along the southerly line of said lands of Dempsey 74 feet 1 3/16 inches to the northwesterly line of Mission street and the point of beginning. Being portion of the tract of land known as "Sam Brannan's piece of Islais and Salinas Tract."

Parcel 5. Beginning on the westerly line of Mission street or old San Jose road, at a point distant one hundred thirty (130) feet northerly from a stake situated 4 miles, more or less, from the City Hall and 400 feet southerly, more or less, from the Four-Mile House, and distant southerly 184 feet 6 inches, more or less, from the southerly line of Randall street if projected and 446 feet 6 inches, more or less, northerly from the northerly line of French street, which point of beginning is at the corner of Morrison's fence, which fence forms the boundary line between Morrison's land and the land now or formerly owned by Thomas Leddy and Rose Leddy, and running thence westerly along said boundary line and fence 60 feet, more or less, to line fence boundary excavation of the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company; thence southerly and nearly parallel with said Mission street 26 feet; thence easterly and parallel with said Morrison's fence 60 feet, more or less, to said westerly line of Mission street, and thence northerly along said westerly line of Mission street 26 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of "Sam Brannan's piece of Islais and Salinas Tract."

Parcel 6. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Mission street, distant thereon 390.90 feet northerly from the northerly line of Charles street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Mission street 18.36 feet to a point; running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Mission street 6.64 feet to the southerly line of the lot of land now or formerly owned by Thomas Leddy and Rose Leddy; running thence westerly along said last mentioned line 53.28 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company; running thence southerly along said last mentioned line 24.79 feet, more or less, to a line drawn westerly parallel with the northerly line of French and Gilman Tract from the point of beginning; thence easterly along said last-named line 54 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning. Being part of "Sam Brannan's piece of Islais and Salinas Tract."

Parcel 7. All of Lot 1, Block "A", French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F", page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 8. All of Lot 2, Block "A", French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F", page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 9. All of Lot 3, Block "A", French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F", page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 10. All of Lot 4, Block "A", French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F", page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 11. All of Lot 5, Block "A", French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F", page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 12. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Fairmount street, distant thereon 292.00 feet easterly from the southeasterly line of Chenery street, thence easterly along said northerly line 30 feet, more or less, to the westerly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northerly along said westerly line 134 feet, more or less, to the southerly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Sarah E. Cummings and Carrie W. Cummings; thence westerly along said southerly line and the southerly boundary lines of the property now or formerly owned by Susan Dawson and Gertrude S. Titus 80 feet, more or less, to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Mary E. Rise; thence at right angles southerly along said easterly line 125 feet to the northerly line of Fairmount street and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 6, 11 and 12 of Block 18, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 13. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Fairmount street, distant thereon 232 feet easterly from the southeasterly line of Chenery street, thence easterly along said northerly line 30.00 feet to the westerly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Mary E. Rise; thence at right angles northerly along said westerly line 82.966 feet; thence deflecting 158 deg. 18 min. 35 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 75.719 feet to a point of curve to the right; thence southwesterly along said curve to the right, radius 20.00 feet, central angle 12 deg. 22 min. 12 sec., a distance of 4.318 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Adeline C. H. Husar; thence southerly along said easterly line 8.799 feet to the northerly line of Fairmount street and the point of beginning. Being a part of Lot 5, Block 18, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 14. All of Lot 21, Block 17, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Book "E and F" of Maps, page 145, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 15. All of Lot 25, Block 17, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Book "E and F" of Maps, page 145, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 16. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 96.938 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Charles street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Arlington street 26.000 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 12, Block 16, Fairmount Tract; thence deflecting 73 deg. 21 min. to the right and running northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary line a distance of 35.968 feet to a line which is the northwesterly line of Academy street produced southwesterly; thence deflecting 78 deg. 03 min. 55 sec. to the right and running northeasterly along along said northwesterly line a distance of 25.460 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Elizabeth J. Notter; thence deflecting 101 deg. 56 min. 05 sec. to the right and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line a distance of 48.683 feet to the northwesterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 13, Block 16, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 17. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 147.938 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Charles street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Arlington street 75.014 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 12, Block 16, Fairmount Tract; thence northeasterly along a curve to the left with a radius of 108 feet, central angle of 28 deg. 01 min. 41 sec., a distance of 52.832 feet to a point of tangency on the northwesterly

line of Academy street produced southwesterly; thence continuing northeasterly along said northwesterly line a distance of 20.028 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank Suter; thence deflecting 101 deg. 56 min. 05 sec. to the right and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line a distance of 23.742 feet to the northwesterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 12, Block 16, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 18. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Lot 13 of Block "B," French & Gilman Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 68 feet northerly from the northerly line of Highland avenue and running thence northerly along said westerly line 32 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 13; thence deflecting to the right 92 deg. 54 min. and running easterly along said northerly line 12.320 feet to the southeasterly line of the proposed Bernal Cut; thence deflecting to the right 108 deg. 30 min. 45 sec. and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 33.703 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 13 and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 13.

Parcel 19. All of Lot 14, Block "B," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Highland avenue, distant thereon 150 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said northerly line 22 feet; thence deflecting to the right 108 deg. 30 min. 45 sec. and running northeasterly 60.184 feet to the easterly boundary line of said Lot 14; thence deflecting to the right 158 deg. 35 min. 15 sec. and running southerly 57.143 feet to the northerly line of Highland avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 20. All of Lot 15, Block "B," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 21. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 100 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of San Miguel street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 28 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by John Svabeck; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 110 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 28 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James Fraser; said northeasterly line being 28 feet at right angles southwesterly from the second preceding course; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary line and the northeasterly boundary lines of the property now or formerly owned by Frank Joseph Sebanc, Percy J. Crouch, Annie Toohey and Thomas H. and Alfred W. B. Crouch 112 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 100 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of San Miguel street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 28 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by John Svabeck; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 46.5 feet; thence deflecting to the right 82 deg. 52 min. 30 sec. and running southwesterly 28.218 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Annie Toohey; thence deflecting to the right 97 deg. 07 min. 30 sec. and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line and the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Thomas H. Crouch and Alfred W. B. Crouch 50 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots Nos. 1, 2 and 3, Block No. 36, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B." pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 22. All of Lot 4, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 23. All of Lot 3, Block

"D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 24. All of Lot 35, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Richland avenue, distant thereon 400 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said northerly line of Richland avenue 25 feet to the westerly boundary line of Lot 35; thence deflecting 99 deg. 28 min. to the right and running northerly along said westerly line 61.905 feet; thence deflecting 29 deg. 22 min. 58 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 48.249 feet to the northerly boundary line of Lot 35; thence deflecting 51 deg. 09 min. 02 sec. to the right and running easterly along said northerly line 1.000 foot to the easterly boundary line of Lot 35; thence deflecting 99 deg. 28 min. to the right and running southerly along said easterly line 100.00 feet to the northerly line of Richland avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 25. All of Lot 36, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Richland avenue, distant thereon 425 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said northerly line 25 feet to the westerly boundary line of Lot 36; thence deflecting 99 deg. 28 min. to the right and running northerly along said westerly line 22.222 feet; thence deflecting to the right 29 deg. 22 min. 58 sec. and running northeasterly 50.260 feet to the easterly line of Lot 36; thence deflecting 150 deg. 37 min. 02 sec. to the right and running southerly along said easterly line a distance of 61.905 feet to the northerly line of Richland avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 26. All of Lot 37, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Richland avenue, distant thereon 450 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said northerly line 14 feet; thence deflecting to the right 128 deg. 50 min. 58 sec. and running northeasterly 28.145 feet to the easterly boundary line of Lot 37; thence deflecting 150 deg. 37 min. 02 sec. to the right and running southerly along said easterly line 22.222 feet to the northerly line of Richland avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 27. All of Lot 38, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 28. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Miguel street, distant thereon 85 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 25 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Lucia Saraceni; thence at right angles southwesterly along said northwesterly line 112 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James R. Vaughan; thence at right angles northwesterly along said northeasterly line 25 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Patrick McCarthy; thence at right angles northeasterly along said southeasterly line 112 feet to the southwesterly line of Miguel street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 8, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 29. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 288 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Patrick D. Dwyer; thence southeasterly along the said southwesterly line and the southwesterly boundary lines of the property now or formerly owned by Patrick McCarthy, Henry J. and Alfareta Harvey and Lucia Saraceni 146 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along the said northwesterly line 25 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by William F. and Elizabeth Eckhardt; thence northwesterly along

the said northeasterly line 147 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 288 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along the said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Patrick D. Dwyer; thence southeasterly along the said southwesterly line and the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Patrick McCarthy 66 feet; thence deflecting 86 deg. 54 min. 21 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 25.037 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by William F. and Elizabeth Eckhardt; thence northwesterly along the said northeasterly line 67.351 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 6, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 30. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 263 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James R. Vaughan; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 147 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 25 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Biaggio and Vita D. Locario; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 148 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 263 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James R. Vaughan; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 67.351 feet; thence deflecting 86 deg. 54 min. 21 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 25.037 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Biaggio and Vita D. Locario; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 68.703 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 6, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 31. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 213 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along the said southeasterly line of Arlington street 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Biaggio and Vita D. Locario; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 131.25 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 25 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank W. and Jessamine B. Dierking; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 135.417 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 213 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Biaggio and Vita D. Locario; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 70.054 feet; thence deflecting 86 deg. 54 min. 21 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 25.037 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank W. and Jessamine B. Dierking; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 71.405 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 5, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 32. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 163 feet northeasterly from the northeast-

erly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary of the property now or formerly owned by Frank W. and Jessamine B. Dierking; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 105 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank W. and Jessamine B. Dierking; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 25 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Emanuel Krull; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 105 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington strect and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street 163 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Mateo street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Arlington street 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank W. and Jessamine B. Dierking; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 72.757 feet; thence deflecting 86 deg. 54 min. 21 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 25.037 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Emanuel Krull; thence deflecting to the right 93 deg. 05 min. 39 sec. and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 74.108 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 4, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 33. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Richland avenue, distant thereon 523 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said southerly line 26.78 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Alice Gray Jewell; thence deflecting to the left 68 deg. 57 min. 27 sec. and running southerly along said easterly line 102.529 feet; thence deflecting to the left 158 deg. 53 min. 59 sec. and running northeasterly 69.428 feet to the easterly boundary line of Lot 44, Block 1, College Hill Tract; thence deflecting to the left 21 deg. 06 min. 01 sec. and running northerly along said easterly line 47.372 feet to the southerly line of Richland avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 43 and 44, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 34. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 25 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 150 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 25 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by George Marzolf; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line and a line parallel with the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue 151 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 25 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence southwesterly along southeasterly line 25 feet to the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 124 feet; thence deflecting 96 deg. 11 min. 47 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 25.147 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by George Marzolf; thence deflecting 83 deg. 48 min. 13 sec. to the left and running northwesterly along said southwesterly line and a line parallel with the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue 121.286 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 5 and 6, Block 8, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 35. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Milton street, distant thereon 265 feet northerly from the northerly line of Bosworth street; thence northerly along said westerly line 18.08 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence along said southeasterly line of the right of way the following courses and

distances: Southwesterly 83.351 feet; thence northwesterly 10.14 feet; thence southwesterly 8 feet; thence northwesterly 10 feet; thence southwesterly 118 feet, more or less, to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Martin and Anna Nelson, being the easterly line of Rousseau street produced northerly; thence southerly along said easterly line 39 feet, more or less, to a point distant northerly thereon 172 feet from the northerly line of Bosworth street; thence deflecting 114 deg. 56 min. 18 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 220.565 feet to the westerly line of Milton street and the point of beginning. Being portions of De Boom Tract, Block No. 4, and the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

Parcel 36. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 25 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank Machkota and Fanny Machkota; thence along said easterly line the following courses and distances: at right angles northerly 63 feet; deflecting to the left 90 deg. 15 min. 36 sec. and running westerly 11.667 feet; deflecting to the right 79 deg. 45 min. 36 sec., and running northerly 82.432 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 165 feet, more or less, to the westerly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., a corporation, and the easterly line of Rousseau street produced northerly; thence southerly along said westerly line 33 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho; thence deflecting 51 deg. 27 min. 32 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 58.741 feet; thence deflecting to the left 74 deg. 27 min. 37 sec. and running southeasterly 24.654 feet to a point on the northeasterly prolongation of the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., a corporation, in Block 5 of the De Boom Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "1," pages 171 and 172, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 87 deg. 45 min. and running southwesterly along said prolongation and said northwesterly line 70.417 feet to the westerly boundary line of said property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., a corporation, in said Block 5; thence deflecting 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. to the left and running southerly along said westerly line 88.667 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 25 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank and Fanny Machkota; thence along said easterly line the following courses and distances: at right angles northerly 63 feet; deflecting to the left 90 deg. 15 min. 36 sec. and running westerly 11.667 feet; deflecting to the right 79 deg. 45 min. 36 sec. and running northerly 45.979 feet; thence leaving said easterly line and deflecting to the right 76 deg. 44 min. 36 sec. and running northeasterly 103.846 feet to a point distant westerly at right angles 50 feet from the easterly line of Rousseau street; thence deflecting to the right 113 deg. 45 min. 24 sec. and running southerly and parallel with said easterly line of Rousseau street 37.743 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., a corporation, in Block 5 of the De Boom Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "1," pages 171 and 172, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. and running southwesterly along said northwesterly line 55.282 feet to the westerly boundary line of said property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., a corporation, in said Block 5; thence deflecting 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. to the left and running southerly along said westerly line 88.667 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

Parcel 37. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 170 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street, and running thence southwesterly along the said line of Bosworth street 30 feet; thence at a right angle northwest-

erly 109 feet 11 5-8 inches to the southeasterly line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company; thence northeasterly along said right of way 30 feet 1½ inches, more or less, to a line drawn at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Bosworth street through the point of beginning; thence southeasterly along said last described line 113 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 170 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street, and running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 30 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 74.284 feet to the southeasterly line of the proposed Bernal Cut; thence deflecting to the right 81 deg. 42 min. 41 sec. and running northeasterly along said southeasterly line 30.317 feet to a line drawn at right angles northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Bosworth street through the point of beginning; thence deflecting to the right 98 deg. 17 min. 19 sec. and running southeasterly along said last mentioned line 78.654 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Tract.

Parcel 38. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant westerly thereon 301.947 feet from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 92.126 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 50 deg. 01 min. 39 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 94.637 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence along said southeasterly line the following courses and distances: Northeasterly 30.789 feet; northwesterly 5.096 feet; northeasterly 124.935 feet to the westerly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Max Binghammer; thence southerly along said westerly line 96.714 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract

Parcel 39. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 213 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 42; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 67.40 feet; thence deflecting 78 deg. 15 min. to the left and running northeasterly 25.535 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot 42; thence deflecting 101 deg. 45 min. to the left and running northwesterly 72.60 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 42, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 40. All of Lot 38, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 41. All of Lot 37, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 42. All of Lot 36, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 43. All of Lots 20 and 21, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 44. All of Lots 22 and 23, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 45. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 300.002 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Diamond street; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 53.325 feet; thence deflecting 53 deg. 21 min. 04 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 144.140 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left tangent to a line deflected to the left 163 deg. 29 min. 29 sec. from the preceding course radius 150 feet, central angle 2 deg. 09 min. 27 sec., a distance of 5.648 feet; thence southwesterly tangent to said curve 160.186 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the right tangent to preceding course radius 51 feet, central angle 13 deg. 50 min. 58 sec., a distance of 12.328 feet; thence deflecting 64 deg. 50 min. 58 sec. to the left from the tangent to said curve and running south-

westerly, perpendicular to the northeasterly line of Bosworth street 4.835 feet to said northeasterly line and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 13, 14 and 15, Block 14, of the Mission and Thirtieth Street Extension Homestead, as recorded in Map Book "G," pages 20 and 21, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 46. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 278.327 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Diamond street; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 21.675 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property of the Spring Valley Water Company; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 4.835 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 115 deg. 09 min. 02 sec. to the left from the preceding course radius 51 feet, central angle 25 deg. 09 min. 02 sec., a distance of 22.387 feet to a point of tangency on the northeasterly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 12, Block 14, Mission and Thirtieth Street Extension Homestead, as recorded in Map Book "G," pages 20 and 21, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 47. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way, with the southeasterly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho, said point being on the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way, distant thereon 132.210 feet northeasterly from the easterly line of Diamond street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 368 feet and ¼ inch to the southerly line of Bosworth street; thence easterly along said southerly line 8 feet 10 inches to the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence along said right of way line the following courses and distances: Southwesterly 27 feet 9¾ inches; southeasterly 70 feet; southwesterly 339 feet to its intersection with the southeasterly line of the San Miguel Rancho and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Tract.

Parcel 48. Beginning at a point which is distant 104.088 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street from a point thereon 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of Bosworth street, said point being on the southeasterly line of the San Miguel Rancho, distant thereon 8.002 feet northeasterly from the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 135.788 feet; thence deflecting 167 deg. 57 min. 27 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 165.038 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by L. F. Armknecht; thence deflecting 138 deg. 41 min. 30 sec. to the left and running southeasterly along said northeasterly line 42.918 feet to the southeasterly line of San Miguel Rancho and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 1, Mission and Thirtieth Street Extension Homestead Union, as recorded in Map Book "G," pages 20 and 21, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 49. Lots Nos. 1 and 2, as the same are laid down and designated on that certain map entitled "Salomon's Map of a portion of Rock Rancho," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 1st day of May, 1891, and recorded in Liber "E and F" of Maps, at page 147.

Parcel 50. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of San Miguel street, distant thereon 60 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 25 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Henry J. and Alfarata Harvey; thence at right angles southwesterly along said northwesterly line 112 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James R. Vaughan; thence at right angles northwesterly along said northeasterly line 19 feet; thence deflecting to the right 86 deg. 56 min. and running northeasterly 112.161 feet to the southwesterly line of San Miguel street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 8, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening of Bernal Cut. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 28807 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. T. Moris, west side of Eighth avenue, 280 feet south of Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., 310 Urbano drive, 750 gallons capacity.

J. B. Gilman, 3158 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop, east side of Eighteenth avenue, 150 feet north of Vicente street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. B. Pasqualetti, northeast corner of Mission and Rolph streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. T. Stubo, east side of Harrison street, 35 feet north of Twenty-fifth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis R. Anderson, east side of Broderick street, 100 feet north of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. Lowe, No. 9 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

American Trust Co., northeast corner of Twenty-fourth and Castro streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hastings Estate, 32 Battery street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Murphy, east side of West Portal avenue, 175 feet south of Ulloa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Dempnick, west side of Jones street, 100 feet south of Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bell & Sylvester, west side of Monterey boulevard, 260 feet south of West Gate drive, 600 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., northeast corner of Pacific avenue and Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mr. Collins, west side of Forty-seventh avenue, 242 feet north of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lincoln Investment Co., north side of Pine street, 60 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, south side of Geary street, 187 feet 6 inches east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Plans for Improvement of Marina Boulevard.

Bill No. 8487, Ordinance No. 7995 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of the northerly side of Marina boulevard between Webster and Lyon streets, and ordering the improvement of the northerly side of the Marina boulevard between Webster and Lyon streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into con-

tract for said improvement in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of the northerly side of the Marina boulevard between Webster and Lyon streets, and to enter into contract for the improvement of the northerly side of the Marina boulevard between Webster and Lyon streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8488, Ordinance No. 7996 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-second avenue between Clement and Geary streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Establishing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8489, Ordinance No. 7997 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Cambridge, Oxford, Harvard, Gambier and Madison streets between Felton and Burrows streets, and on Burrows street between Cambridge and Madison streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Cambridge, Oxford, Harvard, Gambier and Madison streets between Felton and Burrows streets, and on Burrows street between Cambridge and Madison streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed March 23, 1928.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Jennings Street.

Bill No. 8490, Ordinance No. 7998 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Nineteen.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 14, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Nineteen, to read as follows:

Section 1019. The sidewalk widths on Jennings street between Carroll avenue and Donner avenue are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Montague Place.

Bill No. 8491, Ordinance No. 7999 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twenty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 23, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twenty, to read as follows:

Section 1020. The sidewalk widths on Montague place between Montgomery street and its westerly termination shall be four (4) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8492, Ordinance No. 8000 (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Vernon, Ramsell, Victoria and Head streets between Randolph and Stanley streets."

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8493, Ordinance No. 8001 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Harkness street between Mill street produced and Cowden street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of January, 1928, by Resolution No. 28304 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Harkness street between Mill street produced and Cowden street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Harkness Street.

Northerly line of, at Mill street, 241.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Mill street produced, 239.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 130 feet easterly from Cowden street, 245.34 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Cowden street, 247.99 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 70 feet easterly from Cowden street, 251.94 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet northerly from the south-

erly line of, 130 feet easterly from Cowden street, 244.00 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Cowden street, 246.65 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 70 feet easterly from Cowden street, 250.60 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, at Cowden street easterly line, 263.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Cowden street easterly line, 261.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Harkness street between Mill street produced and Cowden street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8494, Ordinance No. 8002 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Oneida avenue between Otsego and Delano avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of January, 1928, by Resolution No. 28305 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Oneida avenue between Otsego and Delano avenues; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Oneida Avenue.

Otsego avenue, 160.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

275 feet westerly from Otsego avenue, 162.32 feet.

300 feet westerly from Otsego avenue, 162.96 feet.

325 feet westerly from Otsego avenue, 164.46 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Delano avenue, 176.00 feet.

On Oneida avenue between Otsego and Delano avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8495, Ordinance No. 8003 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-eighth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 30th day fo December, 1927, by Resolution No. 28226 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Twenty-eighth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Twenty-eighth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 306.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Rivera street,

303.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Rivera street, 296.61 feet.

330 feet southerly from Rivera street, 278.44 feet.

380 feet southerly from Rivera street, 275.06 feet.

430 feet southerly from Rivera street, 272.80 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Santiago street, 267.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-eighth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Bill No. 8496, Ordinance No. 8404 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Jennings street between Palou and Quesada avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 27th day of January, 1928, by Resolution No. 28385 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Jennings street between Palou and Quesada avenues; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Jennings Street.

Palou avenue southerly line, 86.00 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 145 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 72.80 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 110 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 66.05 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 75 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 62.61 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 145 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 72.80 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 110 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 66.01 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 75 feet northeasterly from Quesada avenue, 62.44 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 58.77 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Quesada avenue northeasterly line, 58.23 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Jennings street between Palou and Quesada avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Traffic Ordinance Amended.

Bill No. 8497, Ordinance No. 8005 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections 2 of Article II and 37 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section Nos. 2 of Article II and 37 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE II.

Authority of Police—Traffic Signs and Signals.

Section 2. Obedience to Police—

Officers of the Police Department are hereby authorized to direct all traffic by means of visible or audible signal, and it shall be unlawful for any person to refuse or fail to comply with any lawful order, signal or direction of a traffic or police officer. It shall be unlawful for any person to direct or attempt to direct traffic unless authorized to do so by order of the Chief of Police.

Any police officer may remove or cause to be removed any standing vehicle or vehicles, whether occupied or not, from any street or alley to the nearest street or alley to accommodate the necessity for such removal, in the following cases:

(1) When a public emergency requires such removal;

(2) When a vehicle is unlawfully parked so as to obstruct the free and lawful passage of vehicular or pedestrian traffic.

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Section 37. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places: Ade court, Adelaide street, Agate alley, Aldrich alley, Amity alley, Annie street, Anson place, Anthony street, Antonio street, Ashburton place, Austin street, Beldon street, Bren place, Burritt street, Campton place, Carlos street, Cedar street, Chatham place, Chelsea place, Claude lane, Cohen place, Colin place, Cosmos place, Cortland avenue on the north side between Mission street and Folsom street, Dale place, Derby street, Dikeman place, Dodge street, Ecker street, Elim alley, Elm street, Emma street, Fells or Fella place, Fern street, Gardner street or place, Glasgow street or Anna Lane street, Grant avenue on the east side between Bush street and Broadway, Grant avenue on the west side between Bush street and Pine street, Hardie place, Harlan street, Harlem alley, Hemlock street, Hobart alley, Holland court, Hooker alley, Hunt street, Ivy street, Jessie street, Julia street, Latham place, Laskie street, Linden street, Mable alley, Maggie alley, Maiden lane, Mark lane, Mary street, Meacham place, Minna street, Mint street, Monroe street, Montgomery street between Market and California streets, Mulford alley, Myrtle street, Natoma street, Olive street, Opal place, Opera alley, Ophir alley, Pacific avenue on the north side between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue, Petrarch place, Quincy street, Redwood street, Russ street, Shannon street, Shaw alley, Sherwood place, St. Ann street, St. George alley, Steveloe place, Stevenson street, Tillman alley, Touchard street, Treasury place, Trinity street, Vermehr place, Wagner alley, Willow street.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Supervisor Marks requested to be recorded as voting no on Section 2, Subdivision 2, Article II.

Repealed.

On motion of Supervisor Todd the following bill was *repealed*:

Board of Public Works to Prepare Plans, Etc., and Advertise for Bids for Painting Curbs.

Bill No. 8498, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and requesting the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications and to advertise for bids for the painting of curbs in connection with street traffic regulation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and requested to prepare plans and specifications and to advertise for bids for the painting of curbs in connection with street traffic regulation.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $64,149.87, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for March, 1928 (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $3,628.94.

Library Fund.

(2) Foster & Feuternick Co., library book-binding (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,542.35.

(3) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitorial service (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $834.

(4) Technical Book Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $672.97.

(5) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $2,764.77.

(6) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $3,718.46.

California Palace Legion of Honor —Appropriation 61.

(7) A. F. Heuer, printing catalogues of Carnegie Institute Exhibition (claim dated Apr. 6, 1928), $900.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) The Giant Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Mar. 26, 1928), $1,325.

(9) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery and parts (claim dated Mar. 26, 1928), $1,952.06.

(10) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., two motors and freight (claim dated Mar. 26, 1928), $3,501.43.

(11) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., crusher parts (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $616.

(12) The Giant Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $792.83.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $1,038.05.

(14) Makins Produce Co. Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $538.66.

(15) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $632.42.

(16) United Materials Co., cement (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $995.

(17) United States Rubber Co., rubber boots (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $594.

(18) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Mar. 28, 1928), $3,738.31.

(19) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $964.58.

(20) Shell Company of California, gasoline, oil, etc. (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $964.50.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(21) O. Aaron, fourth payment, plumbing, etc., for Fire Dept. Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $1,189.69.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(22) N. Clark & Sons, furnishing and laying roof tile, Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928). $895.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(23) Railroad Commission of State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties for month of March, 1928 (claim dated Mar. 19, 1928), $1,500.

County Road Fund.

(24) J. P. Holland, for "fill" on Portola drive (Market street extended) (claim dated Mar. 28, 1928), $5,000.

Special School Tax.

(25) Pacific Steel Boiler Corporation, boiler for Parkside School (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $750.

(26) Alta Electric Co., second payment, electrical work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $675.

(27) Frank Reilly, third payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $16,336.12.

(28) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $941.85.

(29) MacDonald and Kahn, eighth payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Apr. 4, 1928), $13,982.20.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(30) Claire Kapphahn, in full settlement of all claims for personal injuries sustained Feb. 12, 1927 (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $1,000.

(31) Mrs. S. E. Seguin and Mrs. Blanche E. Petersen, in full settlement of all claims for personal injuries sustained by either or both on December 2, 1927 (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $3,500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(32) Alta Electric Co., conditional acceptance payment, electrical work for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $10,725.35.

(33) Alta Electric Co., final payment, electric fixtures for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $4,025.

(34) Latourette-Fical Co., acceptance payment, plumbing work for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $14,181.76.

(35) R. W. Moller, final payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $192,176.79.

(36) Scott Company, conditional acceptance payment, mechanical equipment for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $13,907.50.

(37) American Painting & Decorating Co., painting work for Portola Jr. High School, third payment (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $1,239.14.

(38) O. Monson, twelfth payment, general construction of Portola Jr., High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $10,792.50.

(39) Scott Company, acceptance payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $5,754.75.

(40) R. Flatland, final payment, electric work for Sherman School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $4,241.37.

(41) John Reid, Jr., eleventh payment, architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $1,614.07.

(42) Scott Company, conditional acceptance payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $4,196.75.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(43) Hancock Bros., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $744.

(44) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing conductors' day cards (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $526.63.

(45) Bureau of Street Repair, Dept. Public Works, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway right of way (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $667.80.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(46) Charles F. Todd, as chairman of Supervisors' Committee, for the expense of convention of the County Supervisors' Association of the State of California held in San Francisco, March, 1928 (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $1,976.91.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(47) Edward Barry Co., printing and books for the Assessor (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $1,654.50.

(48) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $1,807.92.

(49) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding and feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $1,250.

(50) James G. Stafford and Associates, fifteenth payment on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Apr. 8, 1928), $9,442.50.

(51) Monson Brothers, third payment on contract for repairs to Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Apr. 6, 1928), $3,845.25.

(52) Federal Construction Co., second payment, construction of Thirtieth avenue, Sunset, sewer, Contract 5 (claim dated Apr. 4, 1928), $6,900.

(53) J. A. Grant, construction of Chapel at San Francisco Hospital, extra work (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $629.85.

(54) J. A. Grant, third payment, construction of Chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $6,445.12.

(55) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $2,824.04.

(56) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $3,134.75.

(57) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $5,095.84.

(58) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $3,073.59.

(59) Dodge-Sweeney & Co., groceries, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $562.50.

(60) Garcia & Maggini Co., dried fruits, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $588.80.

(61) Haas Brothers, canned goods, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,427.85.

(62) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,450.

(63) Edw. P. McAuliffe, coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $597.38.

(64) Pratt Low Preserving Co., canned fruits, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $747.79.

(65) Sussman Wormser & Co., sugar for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,631.70.

(66) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,140.92.

Appropriation, $5,089.67, Supplies and Payroll, Tubercular Preventorium.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,089.67 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Preventorium in San Mateo County," Budget Item No. 57, for purchase of supplies and for payroll at the Tubercular Preventorium for month of March, 1928.

Appropriations for Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named, being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To John W. Slater, for lands commencing at point of intersection of southerly line of Geary street and westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly on southerly line of Geary street 60 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 60 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 125 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28782, New Series (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $16,250.

(2) To George A. Trenerne, for lands commencing at a point on the northerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 75 feet 9 inches westerly from westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Anza street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28783, New Series (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $4,750.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company.

Also, Resolution No. 28808 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of February, 1928, upon which percentages in the following amounts, under terms of franchises, are due the City and County, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit: Parkside Transit Company, etc., $529.04; Gough Street Railroad Company, $43.20; Parnassus Avenue, etc., $316.58.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offer of Clarence Rosasco to Sell Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Easement.

Also, Resolution No. 28809 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described easement over land situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Clarence Rosasco, $75—The right to construct, maintain, patrol and operate a telephone line along, upon and over the surface of the ground in and through the west one-half of Section 6, Township 2 South, Range 14 East, M. D. B. and M.; the northeast one-quarter of Section 1, Township 2 South, Range 13 East, M. D. B. and M., and the southeast one-quarter of Section 36, Township 1 South, Range 13 East, M. D. B. and M. (As per written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said easement, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying said easement to said City and County, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of Tuolumne County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $6,500, Architectural Services, Balboa Elementary School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for architectural services for the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of the Balboa Elementary School, to be erected on San Jose avenue between Seneca and Delano avenues.

Appropriation, $1,875, Chief Engineer for Bureau of Building Inspection.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,875 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the Board of Public Works out of the General Fund, 1927-1928, for the employment of a Chief Engineer for the Bureau of Building Inspection from April 1 to June 30, 1928, at the rate of $625 per month.

Tract Office Permit.

On recommendation of Public Buildings Committee:

Also, Resolution No. 28810 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Lang Realty Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect and maintain an addition to the present real estate tract office for a period of one year only on the north side of Chestnut street, 91 feet 3 inches west of Mallorca way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Bernal Boulevard.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Bernal boulevard between Milton street and Rousseau street, extending to a line 125 feet northerly from and parallel with the northerly line of Bosworth street, in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Broad Street and San Jose Avenue.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Bill No. 8500, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Broad street and San Jose avenue, for a distance of 107½ feet along Broad street and a distance of 169 feet 3½ inches along San Jose avenue, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Amending Set-back Lines, Twenty-fourth Avenue Between Vicente and Wawona Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8501, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7755 (New Series) as relates to the establishment of set-back lines along Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7755 (New Series) as relates to the establishment of set-back lines along Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at Wawona street, and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly to Vicente street, said set-back line to be 5 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at Wawona street, and running thence northerly to a point 87 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 14½ feet.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was on motion *laid over two weeks*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Jackson and Gough Streets.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets, for a distance of sixty (60) feet on Jackson street and for a distance of 125 feet 8¼ inches on Gough street, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Harry Scott, south side of Washington street, 40 feet east of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

General Hunter Liggett, 2760 Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Polly Ann Bakery, 1000 Guerrero street, 750 gallons capacity.

S. Steinman, north side of Greenwich street, 70 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Strand, west side of Broderick street, 30 feet south of North Point street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Weinholz, northeast corner of McAllister street and Masonic avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. M. Christman, 237 Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. G. Taylor, 1261 Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. M. Camiccia, 1811 Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, east side of Monterey boulevard, 550 feet south of San Jacinto avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Geo. Filiatrault, west side of Justin avenue, 80 feet west of Murray street, 750 gallons capacity.

Martin Ship Service, 2051 Third street, 500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Mordell, west side of Fillmore street, 324 feet south of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. W. Barton, 121 Dorantes boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

W. C. Cohen, east side of Santa Ana avenue, 400 feet north of Ocean avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ross Meader, west side of Justin drive, 40 feet west of Murray street, 750 gallons capacity.

Cherry Bakery, 4619 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Joseph W. Salz, 132 Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, north side of San Jacinto avenue, 300 feet east of Monterey boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, east side of Monterey boulevard, 500 feet south of San Jacinto avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

A. and E. Winter, 4747-49 Mission street, 5-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit, James E. Power Company.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James E. Power Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at Twelfth street and Van Ness avenue and Otis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 11 *a. m.*:

Revocation of Furnace Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted The Alloys Company by Resolution No. 23036 (New Series), approved October 17, 1924, to maintain closed melting furnaces at 550-560 Fourth street, is hereby revoked.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Winward, Follman & Winward be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted C. G. Weck by Resolution No. 24053 (New Series) for premises at the gore corner of Ellington street, Mission street and Farragut avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That O. and L. Cima be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Wayland street and San Bruno avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mikkelsen & Anderson be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Mohawk Oil Company by Resolution No. 22688 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Baker and Hayes streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. A. Cooley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 27546 (New Series) for premises on the southeast corner of Geary and Johnson streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ray McGrath and Mrs. N. Maloney be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the the southeast corner of Twenty-eighth avenue and Judah street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. F. Moulton be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Sam Ganci by Resolution No. 21837 (New Series) for premises on the east side of Diamond street, 50 feet north of Bosworth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Haubrich & Jones be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 1595 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. W. MacLaughlin and J. F. Minehan (Seal's Garage) be and are hereby granted permission to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Paul Schainman by Resolution No. 20938 (New Series) for premises at 351-361 Valencia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred Brose be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 4050 Twenty-fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Library Garage (J. F. Wedel) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted McLachlan & Finley by Resolution No. 28003 (New Series) for premises at 3512 Sixteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. W. Bryant be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted R. H. Rinehart by Resolution No. 27905 (New Series) for premises at 727 Valencia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Approval of Taxicab Stand Permits.

On recommendation of Police Committee:

Resolution No. 28811 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved for one cab:

Gray Cab Company, 1512 Stockton street.

Yellow-Checker Cab Company, 688 Broadway; 603 Laguna street; 5835 Geary street; 909 Hyde street; 24 Post street.

Red Top Cab Company, 610 Stanyan street; 2539 Mission street; 45 Eddy street; 24 Turk street; 168 O'Farrell street; 997-999 Mission street.

William F. Hendricks, 715 Broadway.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Rereferred.

The following matter was *rereferred to the Police Committee*:

Amendment to License Ordinance, Second-hand Automobile Dealers.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 4406 (New Series), entitled "Requiring dealers in second-hand automobiles, or automobile accessories, to keep a record of all purchases, sales or exchanges of said articles, deliver such report to Chief of Police and providing penalty for violation."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 4406 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling, exchanging, storing or dealing in used or second-hand bicycles shall pay a license to the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of $20 per annum, payable quarterly in advance.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Rubber Hose.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 28814 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pioneer Rubber Mills on bid submitted April 2, 1928 (Proposal No. 365), for furnishing rubber hose for Park Commissioners, more particularly described as follows, viz.: 9000 feet rubber water hose, best grade; 2-braid, molded; 1 inch; coupled in 50-foot lengths with screw and nuts brass band; 1-inch male coupling on one end only, and warranted for one year. Price per foot, $0.13.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $300 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Giving Notice of Special Election, Bonds for Completion of Hetch Hetchy.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee:

Resolution No. 28812 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 1st day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars for the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne River and its tributaries situated within the State of California to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Bill No. 8457, Ordinance No. 7990 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors, passed March 26, 1928, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the 1st day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: Aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries, situated within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars for the construction and completion of the public utility described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1928, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Hetch Hetchy Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 24,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars thereof ten years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and six hundred thousand ($600.-000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Hetch Hetchy Bond."

No. —— $1,000.

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a mu-

nicipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1928.

.....,
Mayor.

.....,
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....,
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. —— $——

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1928, number ——.

.....,
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said twenty-four million ($24,000,000) dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one million eighty thousand ($1,-

080,000) dollars for the first ten years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the eleventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million fifty-three thousand ($1,053,000) dollars to pay, and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars thereof due ten years from their date have been paid, and for the twelfth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million twenty-six thousand $1,026,000) dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars thereof due eleven years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-seven succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of twenty-seven thousand ($27,000) dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning ten years from the date of said bonds of six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars of said bonds, and the sum of six hundred thousand ($600,000) dollars each year beginning nine years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for thirty-nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Hetch Hetchy—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of twenty-four million ($24,000,00) dollars for the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries, situated within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco, for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front

of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such elections, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Giving Notice of Special Election, Acquisition of Spring Valley Water Company Properties.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee:

Resolution No. 28813 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 1st day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars, for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: all of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco, for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Bill No. 8458, Ordinance No. 7991 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors, passed March 26, 1928, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the first day of May, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed neces-

sary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distrfbuting system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1928, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Spring Valley Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 41,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof two years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Spring Valley Bond."

No. —— $1,000

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written

upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1928.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. —— $——

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1928, number ———.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one million eight hundred forty-five thousand ($1,845,000) dollars for the first two years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the third year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million eight hundred thousand ($1,800,000) dollars to pay, and in season to pay the interest on such bonds as remain outstanding after the one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof due two years from their date have been paid, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million seven hundred fifty-five thousand ($1,755,000) dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-eight succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of forty-five thousand ($45,000) dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning two years from the date of said bonds of one million ($1,000,000) dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one million ($1,000,000) dollars each year beginning one year from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for forty years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes cast thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San

Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Spring Valley—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of forty-one million ($41,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying good, pure water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such elections, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall

be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:10 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

Leave of Absence, Andrew J. Mahoney.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 4, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Andrew J. Mahoney, member of the Police Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing April 7, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28815 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of Hon. Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor, Hon. Andrew J. Mahoney is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing April 7, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Notice of Reconsideration, 333 Kearny Street.

March 12, 1928—Supervisor Kent moved as an amendment that the Clerk be directed to write a letter and register it to the owner, under date of tomorrow, that this Board will cancel the lease of 333 Kearny street, to take effect July 10, 1928.

Carried by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—7.

Thereupon, before the vote was announced, Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the said vote at this meeting.

March 19, 1928—*Over one week. Special Order, 2 p. m.*

A motion of Supervisor Schmidt to place a rider in the lease cancelling the space not being used by the Grand Jury was agreed to by Attorney Eastman, representing the lessor, and referred to the City Attorney so that the lease might not be invalidated by the change.

A motion of Supervisor Roncovieri that the fourth floor of the Hall of Justice be turned over to the Police Department was continued one week.

March 26, 1928—*Over one week. Special Order, 11 a. m.*

April 2, 1928—*Over one week. Special Order, 2 p. m.*

Motions.

Supervisor Roncovieri renewed his motion made at a previous meeting that the entire fourth floor of the Hall of Justice be turned over to the Police Department.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, Powers, Stanton—4.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Supervisor Shannon moved to

take up the matter of the reconsideration of Supervisor Kent's motion.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

The question being on Supervisor Kent's motion, Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment that the City Attorney be directed to write into the lease a modification providing for a reduction of $65.25 per month.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, McSheehy—2.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Havenner changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the vote at next meeting.

Supervisor Shannon objected that under the rules reconsideration could not be had on a subsidiary motion and called for a vote on the main question.

Supervisor Gallagher raised point of order that main question could not be put until reconsideration was had.

Chair (Supervisor Havenner) ruled that while a motion to amend is before the Board the main question cannot be put.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon appealed from the decision of the chair.

The roll being called on the question "Shall the ruling the Chair be the decision of the Board?" The Chair was *overruled* by the following vote:

The Chair was *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Stanton—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Chair declared that the *amendment* should be considered as a "substitute for the whole," as it entirely changes the question.

Thereupon, the question being put on the main question as modified the roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—7.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Before the result was announced, Supervisor Havenner changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice of reconsideration for next meeting.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 28817 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove, change, move and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

Northwest corner Battery street and Broadway.

North side Broadway between Battery and Sansome streets.

East side Battery between Vallejo and Broadway.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

Montana and Plymouth streets.

Vallejo street between Baker and Lyon streets.

Carolina street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.

Move 600 *C. P.*

Portola drive east of Marne way.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Tenth avenue and Quintara street.

Collins avenue between St. Roses and Geary streets, opposite pole 134.

Dolores and Day streets.

Day street between Dolores street and San Jose avenue.

Elgin Park north of Duboce avenue.

Thirtieth avenue between Cabrillo and Balboa streets.

Fifteenth avenue between Santiago and Rivera streets.

Bixby and Randolph streets.

Bixby street between Sargent and Randolph streets.

Corner Elim and Ecker streets.

Southwest corner Battery street and Broadway.

North side Broadway between Battery and Sansome streets.

East side Taylor street between Bay and North Point streets.

East side Taylor street between North Point and Beach streets.

East side Taylor street between Beach and Jefferson streets.
Southeast corner Taylor street and The Embarcadero.
Oak street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.
San Jose avenue between Elizabeth and Twenty-third streets.
North side Grove street between Shrader and Stanyan streets.
Larkin street between California and Union streets.

Install 600 *C. P.*

Junction Miraloma drive and Portola drive.
Dorland street between Church and Dolores streets.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Gates street between Eugenia and Powhattan streets.
Folsom street between Eugenia and Powhattan streets.

Install 250 *C. P.*

Pole 12, Miraloma drive.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28816 (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

Frederick street between Stanyan and Arguello boulevard:
North and south sides, first west of Stanyan.
Northwest and southeast corners Frederick and Willard streets.
North side, first, second and third west of Willard street.
South side, first, second, third and fourth west of Willard street.
West side Willard, first south of Lincoln way.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Fillmore and Francisco streets.
Fillmore and Bay streets.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Frederick street and Arguello boulevard.
Frederick street opposite Arguello boulevard.
Fillmore and North Point streets.
Fillmore and Beach streets.
Fillmore street between Beach and Retiro way.
Fillmore street and Retiro way.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Frederick street between Stanyan and Arguello boulevard:
South side, second trolley pole west of Stanyan street.
North side, fourth pole west of Stanyan street.
South side, second trolley pole east of Willard street.
Northeast and southwest corners Frederick and Willard streets.
South side, second, fourth and sixth west of Willard street.
North side, third, fifth and seventh west of Willard street.
North side, opposite Arguello boulevard.
Southwest corner Arguello boulevard.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. R. on Trolley Poles.*

Fillmore street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard:
East side, second, fourth and sixth pole south Marina boulevard.
West side, second and fourth south of Retiro way.
East side, first and third south of Beach street.
Northwest corner Beach street.
West side, second south of Beach street.
Northwest corner Cervantes way.
Northeast corner Bay street.
East side, second south of Bay street.
East side, second south of Chestnut street.
West side, first and third south of Cervantes way.
West side, second north of Chestnut street.

Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Mayor to Appoint Committee to Attend Third Annual California City Plan Conference.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28818 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee of three members of this Board to attend the Third Annual California City Plan Conference to be held in Pasadena on April 26, 27, 28, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Mayor to Contract With Musical Association of San Francisco for Spring Music Festival.

On recommendation of the Auditorium Committee:

Resolution No. 28819 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, on recommendation of the Auditorium Committee his Honor the Mayor is authorized to enter into contract with the Musical Association of San Francisco, the terms and conditions as follows:

This agreement, made and entered into this —— day of April, 1928, between the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, the party of the first part, and the Musical Association of San Francisco, party of the second part:

Witnesseth: The party of the second part, in consideration of the promises of the party of the first part herein contained, promises and agrees to give a Spring Music Festival of two performances on the evenings of April 10 and April 13, 1928, in Exposition Auditorium, San Francisco.

The said concerts will be given by the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra under the direction of Alfred Hertz, or if in his absence or owing to his disability, under the direction of Michel Penha or Dr. Hans Leschke, as may be found expedient. The programmes to be approximately two hours in length, the numbers of same to be mutually agreed upon by the respective parties hereto.

It is mutually understood and agreed that the Musical Association of San Francisco is to be paid the sum of seven thousand dollars ($7,000) which sum is to include all cost for the services of the orchestra, conductor, all necessary extra musicians, and all extra rehearsals whether held in the daytime or evening.

It is hereby mutually understood and agreed that after all expenses have been paid, the party of the first part shall retain one-half the net profits from said performances as and for the rental of said Auditorium and pay over one-half the net profits to the party of the second part, and the party of the second part in consideration of the promises of the party of the first part herein contained agrees to indemnify the party of the first part against any loss resulting from said performances to the extent of fifty per cent of any such loss, and to pay to said party of the first part a sum amounting to fifty per cent of any such loss upon the amount thereof being definitely ascertained and pay such sum to the party of the first part on or before the 15th day of May, 1928.

It is further agreed that for the benefit of both parties printed matter to be furnished shall be posted at least five days in advance of each performance and shall not be covered until the concerts are given.

The party of the first part agrees to reserve the Auditorium for use by the second party from the morning of April 1, 1928, to and including the night of April 13, 1928, with the exception of the evening of Tuesday, April 3, 1928.

The prices of admission for each performance shall be from $1 to $2. Either or both parties to this contract are to have free access to the box office where tickets are sold at all times.

The parties hereto agree to cause a surety bond to be issued in the amount of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars in favor of the City and County of San Francisco, covering the accurate selling and handling of the ticket sale and accounting therefor by Peter D. Conley of San Francisco, who shall have charge of the same, said bond to be subject to the approval of the Auditor of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is also agreed that the number of free admissions to be issued shall be mutually agreed upon.

It is further agreed that during this engagement (April 1 to April 13, 1928, inclusive), no performance or rehearsal shall take place in the above mentioned building without the consent of the respective parties hereto except as hereinabove stated.

As the money collected from the advance sale of tickets shall total the sum of $500, said amount, or any sum in addition thereto, shall be deposited with the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

A statement of the amount received from ticket sellers and box office receipts for the sale of tickets shall be furnished to the respective parties upon the termination of the performances.

In witness whereof, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, having by Resolution No. —— of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, being duly authorized so to do, has caused the name of the party of the first part to be hereunto subscribed, and the great seal of said City and County of San Francisco to be hereunto

attached, and the party of the second part has caused this agreement to be executed by its Secretary-Manager, duly and regularly authorized thereto, the day and year first above written.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Luigi Stagi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Taraval street, 32 feet 6 inches east of Eighteenth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Board of Public Works to Contract for Painting Curbs.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and requesting the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications, advertise for bids and award contract for the painting of curbs in connection with street traffic regulation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and requested to prepare plans and specifications, advertise for bids and award contract for the painting of curbs in connection with street traffic regulation.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Board of Public Works to Prepare Plans for Widening of Scott Street.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be requested to prepare plans and specifications for the widening of Scott street between Duboce avenue and Fell street by cutting down the sidewalks on both sides of said street five feet.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Pacific Southwest Exposition, San Francisco's Participation.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Long Beach, California, invites the world July 22 to August 13 to participate in the Pacific Southwest Exposition; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors looks with favor and approval of San Francisco's participation and co-operation in projects of this character; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee is requested to make the necessary arrangements in connection with San Francisco's participation in the Pacific Southwest Exposition.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Automobile Supply Station Permit Revoked, California Petroleum Corporation.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted the California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 28691 (New Series), approved March 27, 1928, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northwest corner of Stanyan and Frederick streets is hereby revoked.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the chairman of the Fire Committee and the chairman of the Streets Committee be authorized to see that the work is stopped pending investigation.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, April 23, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 17

Monday, April 16, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

Clay and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 16, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 16, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 2, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Delegation to Attend Dedicatory Services of New Los Angeles City Hall.

Supervisor Shannon moved that a committee be appointed to represent the Board of Supervisors at the dedicatory services of the new Los Angeles City Hall, Thursday, April 26, 1928.

So ordered.

(Supervisors Shannon, Deasy, Hayden, Powers, Stanton, Todd and Toner indicated their intention to go.)

Supervisor Shannon was authorized to make all necessary arrangements.

Mayor's Veto, Haley Parking Station.

The following was presented, read and, on motion, *laid over one week*:

April 11, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am returning to you herewith, without my approval, Resolution No. 28762 (New Series), by reason of protests from various individuals and associations directly concerned who have bombarded my office with objections to the granting of this permit to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

Respectfully,

(Sgd.) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Resolution No. 28762 (New Series):

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Finally passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 2, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved, San Francisco, April 11, 1928.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Reasons set forth in attached message to your Honorable Board.

J. R., JR.

Mayor's Veto, Manaton Parking Station.

The following was presented, read and, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. 28759 (New Series):

Resolved, That W. H. Manaton be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the southeasterly line of City Hall avenue, 82 feet 11 inches southwesterly from the intersection

of the southerly line of McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Finally passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 2, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved, San Francisco, April 11, 1928.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Reasons are: Because of strenuous objections of adjacent property owners and of various associations in the district where this permit applies.

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Mayor Withdraws Veto of Manaton Permit.

Subsequently the following was read by the Clerk and *laid over one week*:

April 16, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I find that I have been misinformed regarding protests against the permit granted by your Honorable Board for a parking station on City Hall avenue in the name of Wm. H. Manaton.

The situation was called to my attention upon my return from Los Angeles, where I went on Thursday to escort some guests of the city for a daylight trip through California and to see them away in their sailing Friday from Los Angeles Harbor, and also to discuss with Los Angeles officials arrangements for the dedication of their new City Hall on April 26th, at which I have been invited to make an address.

The protests were not against the Manaton permit, but against the Haley permit on McAllister street, which I have also returned to your Honorable Board without my approval.

I present my compliments and ask you to kindly disregard my disapproval of the Manaton permit and to reinstate this permit in the form in which you originally sent it to me. Please bear in mind that my disapproval of the Haley permit still stands as given.

Very respectfully yours,
(Sgd.) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Congressman R. J. Welch Thanks Board of Supervisors for Resolution of Commendation.

April 11, 1928.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My dear Mr. Dunnigan:

Please accept this acknowledgment of your gracious letter of April 3d containing a resolution passed by the Board of Supervisors commending my work in behalf of the trans-bay bridge as provided for in H. R. 7467.

Kindly convey to the Board my sincere thanks for this communication. There is nothing I prize so highly as the confidence of my old associates and collaborators in the advancement of San Francisco.

Yours sincerely,
RICHARD J. WELCH,
Member Congress.

Read and *filed*.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 12, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing April 14th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28820 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing April 14, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Leave of Absence.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 16, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the following members of your Board for leaves of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing this day:

Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri.
Supervisor Fred Suhr.
Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher.
Supervisor Frank McGovern.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leaves of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Read and *filed*.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28821 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Frank J. McGovern, Hon. Fred Suhr, Hon. A. J. Gallagher, Hon. Alfred Roncovieri, Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, are hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing April 23, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Projectors for Flood Lighting City Hall.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 o'clock a. m. for furnishing 70 projectors for flood lighting City Hall and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Traffic Turning Buttons.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 o'clock a. m. for furnishing 1000 traffic turning buttons and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up on recommendation of the Finance Committee and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28822 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for March, 1928 (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $3,628.94.

Library Fund.

(2) Foster & Feuternick Co., library book-binding (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,542.35.

(3) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitorial service (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $834.

(4) Technical Book Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $672.97.

(5) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $2,764.77.

(6) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $3,718.46.

California Palace Legion of Honor —Appropriation 61.

(7) A. F. Heuer, printing catalogues of Carnegie Institute Exhibition (claim dated Apr. 6, 1928), $900.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) The Giant Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Mar. 26, 1928), $1,325.

(9) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery and parts (claim dated Mar. 26, 1928), $1,952.06.

(10) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., two motors and freight (claim dated Mar. 26, 1928), $3,501.43.

(11) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., crusher parts (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $616.

(12) The Giant Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $792.83.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $1,038.05.

(14) Makins Produce Co. Inc., foodstuffs (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $538.66.

(15) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $632.42.

(16) United Materials Co., cement (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $995.

(17) United States Rubber Co.,

rubber boots (claim dated Mar. 27, 1928), $594.

(18) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Mar. 28, 1928), $3,738.31.

(19) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $964.58.

(20) Shell Company of California, gasoline, oil, etc. (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $964.50.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(21) O. Aaron, fourth payment, plumbing, etc., for Fire Dept. Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $1,189.69.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(22) N. Clark & Sons, furnishing and laying roof tile, Moccasin Power Plant (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928). $895.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(23) Railroad Commission of State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties for month of March, 1928 (claim dated Mar. 19, 1928), $1,500.

County Road Fund.

(24) J. P. Holland, for "fill" on Portola drive (Market street extended) (claim dated Mar. 28, 1928), $5,000.

Special School Tax.

(25) Pacific Steel Boiler Corporation, boiler for Parkside School (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $750.

(26) Alta Electric Co., second payment, electrical work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $675.

(27) Frank Reilly, third payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $16,336.12.

(28) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $941.85.

(29) MacDonald and Kahn, eighth payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Apr. 4, 1928), $13,982.20.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(30) Claire Kapphahn, in full settlement of all claims for personal injuries sustained Feb. 12, 1927 (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $1,000.

(31) Mrs. S. E. Seguin and Mrs. Blanche E. Petersen, in full settlement of all claims for personal injuries sustained by either or both on December 2, 1927 (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $3,500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(32) Alta Electric Co., conditional acceptance payment, electrical work for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $10,725.35.

(33) Alta Electric Co., final payment, electric fixtures for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $4,025.

(34) Latourette-Fical Co., acceptance payment, plumbing work for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $14,181.76.

(35) R. W. Moller, final payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $192,176.79.

(36) Scott Company, conditional acceptance payment, mechanical equipment for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $13,907.50.

(37) American Painting & Decorating Co., painting work for Portola Jr. High School, third payment (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $1,239.14.

(38) O. Monson, twelfth payment, general construction of Portola Jr., High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $10,792.50.

(39) Scott Company, acceptance payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $5,754.75.

(40) R. Flatland, final payment, electric work for Sherman School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $4,241.37.

(41) John Reid, Jr., eleventh payment, architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $1,614.07.

(42) Scott Company, conditional acceptance payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman School (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $4,196.75.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(43) Hancock Bros., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $744.

(44) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing conductors' day cards (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $526.63.

(45) Bureau of Street Repair, Dept. Public Works, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway right of way (claim dated Apr. 3, 1928), $667.80.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(46) Charles F. Todd, as chairman of Supervisors' Committee, for the expense of convention of the County Supervisors' Association of the State of California held in San

Francisco, March, 1928 (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $1,976.91.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(47) Edward Barry Co., printing and books for the Assessor (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $1,654.50.

(48) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $1,807.92.

(49) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding and feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $1,250.

(50) James G. Stafford and Associates, fifteenth payment on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Apr. 8, 1928), $9,442.50.

(51) Monson Brothers, third payment on contract for repairs to Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Apr. 6, 1928), $3,845.25.

(52) Federal Construction Co., second payment, construction of Thirtieth avenue, Sunset, sewer, Contract 5 (claim dated Apr. 4, 1928), $6,900.

(53) J. A. Grant, construction of Chapel at San Francisco Hospital, extra work (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $629.85.

(54) J. A. Grant, third payment, construction of Chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Apr. 2, 1928), $6,445.12.

(55) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $2,824.04.

(56) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $3,134.75.

(57) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $5,095.84.

(58) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Mar. 30, 1928), $3,073.59.

(59) Dodge-Sweeney & Co., groceries, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $562.50.

(60) Garcia & Maggini Co., dried fruits, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $588.80.

(61) Haas Brothers, canned goods, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,427.85.

(62) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,450.

(63) Edw. P. McAuliffe, coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $597.38.

(64) Pratt Low Preserving Co., canned fruits, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $747.79.

(65) Sussman Wormser & Co., sugar for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,631.70.

(66) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,140.92.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $5,089.67, Supplies and Payroll, Tubercular Preventorium.

Resolution No. 28823 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,089.67 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Preventorium in San Mateo County," Budget Item No. 57, for purchase of supplies and for payroll at the Tubercular Preventorium for month of March, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriations for Land for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28824 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named, being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To John W. Slater, for lands commencing at point of intersection of southerly line of Geary street and westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly on southerly line of Geary street 60 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 60 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 125 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28782, New Series (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $16,250.

(2) To George A. Trenerne, for lands commencing at a point on the northerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 75 feet 9 inches westerly from westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Anza street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28783, New Series (claim dated Apr. 9, 1928), $4,750.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Appropriation, $6,500, Architectural Services, Balboa Elementary School.

Resolution No. 28825 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for architectural services for the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of the Balboa Elementary School, to be erected on San Jose avenue between Seneca and Delano avenues.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $1,875, Chief Engineer for Bureau of Building Inspection.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,875 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the Board of Public Works out of the General Fund, 1927-1928, for the employment of a Chief Engineer for the Bureau of Building Inspection from April 1 to June 30, 1928, at the rate of $625 per month.

(*On motion of Supervisor Stanton the above matter was referred to the City Attorney for opinion as to legality of procedure.*)

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing were taken up on recommendation of the City Planning Committee and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Broad Street and San Jose Avenue.

Bill No. 8500, Ordinance No. 8006 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Broad street and San Jose avenue, for a distance of 107½ feet along Broad street and a distance of 169 feet 3½ inches along San Jose avenue, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Amending Set-back Lines, Twenty-fourth Avenue Between Vicente and Wawona Streets.

Bill No. 8501, Ordinance No. 8007 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7755 (New Series) as relates to the establishment of set-back lines along Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7755 (New Series) as relates to the establishment of set-back lines along Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at Wawona street, and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly to Vicente street, said set-back line to be 5 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at Wawona street, and running thence northerly to a point 87 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 14½ feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner,

Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Establishing Set-back Lines Along Portions of Joost Avenue and Bemis Street.

Bill No. 8499, Ordinance No. 8008 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Joost avenue and Bemis street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 12th day of March, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 177, to establish set-back lines along portions of Joost avenue and Bemis street, and fixed the 9th day of April, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m. at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that, thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Ridgewood avenue and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Genesee street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Genesee street and running thence easterly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence easterly 75 feet, said setback line to be 5½ feet; thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the southerly side of Joost avenue commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Genesee street and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Foerster street, said setback line to be 7 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue commencing at Edna street and running thence easterly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence easterly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Detroit street and running thence easterly to a point 100 feet westerly from Congo street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the northerly side of Joost avenue commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Congo street and running thence easterly to Baden street, said set-back line to be 15½ feet; along the southerly side of Joost avenue commencing at a point 25 feet easterly from Congo street and running thence easterly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 5½ feet.

Along the southeasterly line of Bemis street commencing at a point 113 feet northeasterly from Mateo street and running thence northeasterly to a point 112 feet southwesterly from Miguel street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a

part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing were taken up on recommendation of the Fire Committee and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 28826 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Harry Scott, south side of Washington street, 40 feet east of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

General Hunter Liggett, 2760 Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Polly Ann Bakery, 1000 Guerrero street, 750 gallons capacity.

S. Steinman, north side of Greenwich street, 70 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Strand, west side of Broderick street, 30 feet south of North Point street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Weinholz, northeast corner of McAllister street and Masonic avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. M. Christman, 237 Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. G. Taylor, 1261 Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. M. Camiccia, 1811 Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, east side of Monterey boulevard, 550 feet south of San Jacinto avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Geo. Filiatrault, west side of Justin avenue, 80 feet west of Murray street, 750 gallons capacity.

Martin Ship Service, 2051 Third street, 500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Mordell, west side of Fillmore street, 324 feet south of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. W. Barton, 121 Dorantes boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

W. C. Cohen, east side of Santa Ana avenue, 400 feet north of Ocean avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ross Meader, west side of Justin drive, 40 feet west of Murray street, 750 gallons capacity.

Cherry Bakery, 4619 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Joseph W. Salz, 132 Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, north side of San Jacinto avenue, 300 feet east of Monterey boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, east side of Monterey boulevard, 500 feet south of San Jacinto avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

A. and E. Winter, 4747-49 Mission street, 5-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Garage Permit, James E. Power Company.

Resolution No. 28827 (New Series), as follows:

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James E. Power Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at Twelfth street and Van Ness avenue and Otis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28828 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Winward, Follman & Winward be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted C. G. Weck by Resolution No. 24053 (New Series) for premises at the gore corner of Ellington street, Mission street and Farragut avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-

non, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28829 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That O. and L. Cima be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Wayland street and San Bruno avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28830 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Haubrich & Jones be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 1595 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Resolution No. 28831 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. W. MacLaughlin and J. F. Minehan (Seal's Garage) be and are hereby granted permission to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Paul Schainman by Resolution No. 20938 (New Series) for premises at 351-361 Valencia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 28832 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mikkelsen & Anderson be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Mohawk Oil Company by Resolution No. 22688 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Baker and Hayes streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Resolution No. 28833 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. A. Cooley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 27546 (New Series) for premises on the southeast corner of Geary and Johnson streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28834 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ray McGrath and Mrs. N. Maloney be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the the southeast corner of Twenty-eighth avenue and Judah street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-

non, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28835 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred Brose be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 4050 Twenty-fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28836 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. F. Moulton be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Sam Ganci by Resolution No. 21837 (New Series) for premises on the east side of Diamond street, 50 feet north of Bosworth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28837 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Library Garage (J. F. Wedel) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted McLachlan & Finley by Resolution No. 28003 (New Series) for premises at 3512 Sixteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Resolution No. 28838 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. W. Bryant be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted R. H. Rinehart by Resolution No. 27905 (New Series) for premises at 727 Valencia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28839 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Luigi Stagi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Taraval street, 32 feet 6 inches east of Eighteenth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $55,794.43, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $35.78.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-

non, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Relief Home Bond Fund.

(1) Chas. Brown & Sons, refrigerator for the Relief Home (claim dated April 12, 1928), $621.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(2) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, proportion of fee due for professional services passing on Boulevard Bonds (claim dated April 6, 1928), $2,250.

County Road Fund.

(3) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, construction of tunnel extensions under Skyline boulevard (claim dated April 10, 1928), $9,900.

(4) Federal Construction Co., assessment against City property for the improvement of Golden Gate Heights (claim dated April 11, 1928), $30,500.

(5) United Improvement Co., grading, curbing, etc., of Orizaba avenue, Holloway to Grafton avenues (claim dated April 11, 1928), $1,498.86.

(6) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, steel tie rod anchorage for Third and Channel bridge (claim dated April 11, 1928), $1,875.

(7) A. W. Kitchen, furnishing and repairing fender piling for Third and Channel bridge (claim dated April 11, 1928), $1,182.

Special School Tax.

(8) Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs to yard of Polytechnic High School (claim dated April 5, 1928), $642.50.

(9) George H. Tay Co., plumbing fixtures for Crocker Junior High School (claim dated April 5, 1928), $637.50.

(10) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for March, 1928 (claim dated April 5, 1928), $1,300.

(11) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electrical fixtures for South Side High School (claim dated April 10, 1928), $1,649.25.

(12) Jas. W. Reid, first payment, architectural services for Marina Elementary School (claim dated April 11, 1928), $2,136.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(13) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., library equipment for the Mission High School (claim dated April 3, 1928), $858.50.

(14) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chairs for Galileo High School (claim dated April 10, 1928), $620.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(15) John Reid, Jr., seventeenth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated April 11, 1928), $5,712.39.

(16) Park Commissioners, planting to planting area of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated April 11, 1928), $850.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(17) H. H. Larsen Co., eighth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated April 10, 1928), $3,711.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(18) Deuse D. Bragg and M. A. Bragg, her husband, in settlement of claim against the Municipal Railways (claim dated April 4, 1928), $2,151.

(19) George P. Brannen, in settlement of claim for personal injuries against Municipal Railways (claim dated April 11, 1928), $8,000.

(20) Ethel J. George, in settlement of claim for account of death of mother, Mrs. Elizabeth James (claim dated April 11, 1928), $650.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(21) J. G. Brill Co., fifth and final payment for supplying of street car trucks (claim dated April 11, 1928), $4,695.57.

(22) Robert W. Jamison, sixth payment, installing electric conductors, etc., for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated April 10, 1928), $1,350.

(23) St. Louis Car Co., eighth and final payment, supplying street car bodies (claim dated April 11, 1928), $14,341.25.

(24) Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., third and final payment, supplying street car air brakes (claim dated April 11, 1928), $1,498.25.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(25) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated April 10, 1928), $531.98.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(26) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, fifteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated April 11, 1928), $24,286.73.

(27) A. Guthrie & Co., sixteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated April 11, 1928), $27,294.74.

(28) Loop Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated April 10, 1928), $1,560.93.

(29) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated April 10, 1928), $567.94.

(30) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils and grease (claim dated April 10, 1928), $564.85.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(31) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing the Law-Motion-Trial Calendar for March (claim dated April 16, 1928), $1,075.

(32) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded for March (claim dated April 16, 1928), $9,770.

(33) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for March (claim dated April 16, 1928), $57,559.54.

(34) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated April 13, 1928), $8,316.46.

(35) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claims dated April 13, 1928), $1,140.

(36) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated April 13, 1928), $8,295.43.

(37) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $820.

(38) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $546.31.

(39) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $1,340.

(40) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $3,795.75.

(41) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $12,871.31.

(42) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $34,618.24.

(43) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $1,298.35.

(44) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $2,075.48.

(45) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $3,008.93.

(46) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated April 7, 1928), $764.75.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated April 9, 1928), $2,039.83.

(48) Enterprise Foundry Co., manhole frames, etc., for sewers (claim dated April 6, 1928), $519.25.

(49) A. S. Gough, first payment, seats for chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 11, 1928), $1,171.25.

(50) Clarence B. Eaton, fourth payment, installation of auxiliary high-pressure water system (claim dated April 11, 1928), $10,000.

(51) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., tomatoes, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $750.

(52) Haas Bros., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $2,724.99.

(53) H. E. Teller, coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $620.

(54) L. M. Wilbor, M.D., allowance for room rent for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated March 31, 1928), $3,385.40.

(55) Seabury & Johnson, drug sundries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $563.20.

(56) Chas. Brown & Sons, crockery for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $658.08.

(57) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,081.97.

(58) Carl Munter & Co., towels for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $791.80.

(59) Baumgarten Bros., meat, for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,052.20.

(60) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $3,603.61.

(61) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,811.48.

(62) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $3,248.06.

(63) J. T. Freitas Co. Inc., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,299.69.

(64) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., supplies for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $636.33.

(65) Edw. P. McAuliffe, coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $590.

(66) Morris & Company, butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,276.02.

(67) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home

(claim dated March 31, 1928), $2,-557.27.

(68) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $774.24.

(69) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,116.93.

(70) Dion R. Holm, legal services for April, telephone litigation (claim dated April 12, 1928), $850.

(71) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for April, telephone litigation (claim dated April 12, 1928), $750.

(72) California Printing Co., printing for Dept. of Elections (claim dated April 12, 1928), $984.75.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(73) John J. Dailey, legal services for month of April, in the valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated April 12, 1928), $850.

Auditorium Fund.

(74) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Paul Althouse, tenor soloist, April 10 and 13, 1928, Spring Music Festival (claim dated April 12, 1928), $1,650.

(75) Wolfsohn Musical Bureau of New York, Inc., for services of Kathryn Meisle, soloist in two appearances, Spring Music Festival, April 10 and 13, 1928 (claim dated April 12, 1928), $1,200.

(76) Wolfsohn Musical Bureau of New York, Inc., for services of Florence Austral, April 10 and 13, Spring Music Festival (claim dated April 12, 1928), $1,750.

Park Fund.

(77) C. F. Bishop Company, one Toro golf machine (claim dated April 6, 1928), $985.

(78) Haskins & Sells, professional services auditing accounts of Park Commission for five years ended June 30, 1927, and preparation of report (claim dated April 6, 1928), $3,289.95.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Terence O'Connor, for portion of Lot 8, Block 6747, as per the Assessor's Map Book; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28768, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $562.

(2) To Mary Elizabeth Reno, for Lot 26, Block "C," French and Gilman Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28769, New Series (claim dated April 4, 1928), $4,800.

(3) To Felix Cerini and Sarah Cerini, for portion of Lot "f," Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28769, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $1,450.

(4) To George Pellegrino and Estate of Virginia Pellegrino for Lot 4, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28769, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $4,600.

(5) To Wm. R. Segurson, Jas. Segurson and Geo. M. Segurson, for Lot 24, Jones' addition to Fairmount Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28769, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $975.

(6) To Maude C. Crosby, for Lot 3, Block 6662, as per Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28770, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $2,500.

(7) To Aladino Luchesi and Rose Luchesi, for Lot 37, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28771, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $4,500.

(8) To Bessie O'Brien, for portion of the Islais and Salinas Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28771, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $648.

(9) To Wm. J. Sullivan, for portion of Lot 12, Block 16, Fairmount Tract, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28771, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $1,600.

(10) To Thomas Vizzard and Frances Vizzard, for Lot 14, Block "B," French & Gilman Tract, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28771, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $6,000.

(11) To Edward Moss and Margaret Moss, for Lot 4, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28771, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $3,650.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out

of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Barbara Hernster, for land commencing at a point on the southerly line of Geary street, distant thereon 60 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly on the southerly line of Geary street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 125 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28457, New Series (claim dated April 16, 1928), $6,000.

(2) To Mrs. C. Bacelli, for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 465 feet 10 inches northerly from Geary street, running thence northerly on said easterly line of Cook street 49 feet 5 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 49 feet 5 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28459, New Series (claim dated April 16, 1928), $6,500.

Appropriation, $2,200, Payment to Mary E. Porter for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Land Fund and authorized in payment to Mary E. Porter; being payment for land required for school purposes and situate, commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant 515 feet 3 inches northerly from Geary street, running thence northerly on said easterly line of Cook street 24 feet 4 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 24 feet 4 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Cook street; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28458, New Series (claim dated April 16, 1928).

Appropriation, $2,750, Payment to Thos. J. Dunne for Land for Potrero Playground.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund and authorized in payment to Thos. J. Dunne; being payment for Lot 26, Block 4163-4196 as per Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco, and required for Potrero Playground (claim dated April 4, 1928).

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To the McCarthy Company, for strip of land 50 feet wide lying on the southeasterly line of Block 3149 of the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28775 (New Series); required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated April 5, 1928), $7,739.

(2) To Frederico Mangini, for portion of Block 502, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28773 (New Series); required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated April 5, 1928), $1,288.

(3) To Alessio Del Carlo, for portion of Lot 39 of Block "O" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28773 (New Series); required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated April 5, 1928), $1,872.

(4) To Elizabeth Fontanier and Christian Stockmeyer, for portion of Lot 239, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28776 (New Series); required for the Alemany boulevard (claim dated April 5, 1928), $973.

(5) To A. J. Donzel and David Donzel, for land beginning at point of intersection of the southerly line of Sagamore street and the westerly line of Capitol avenue, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Sagamore street a distance of 150 feet; thence at right angles southerly 40 feet; thence at right angles easterly 150 feet; thence northerly on the westerly line of Capitol avenue 40 feet, as per ac-

ceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28776 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated April 5, 1928), $2,160.

Appropriations, Reimbursement to Board of Health for Supplies Furnished.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned Budget items to the credit of Appropriations, Department of Public Health; being reimbursement for supplies furnished, to-wit:

(1) From Budget Item No. 57 (Preventorium, San Mateo County) to credit of Budget Item No. 844 (Laguna Honda Home), for meat and bread furnished Preventorium during months of January, February and March, 1928, $1,464.61.

(2) From Budget Item No. 1012 (Emergency Hospitals) to the credit of Budget Item No. 844 (Laguna Honda Home) for laundry work furnished emergency hospitals, $801.12.

(3) From Budget Item No. 58 (Maternity Service) to the credit of Budget Item No. 995 (San Francisco Hospital), for supplies furnished for maternity service, $500.

Appropriation, Maternity Service for Month of March, and Alterations to High Pressure Service, Vallejo Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Maternity Service, Budget Item No. 58.

(1) For payroll and expense in connection with out patient maternity service for the month of March, 1928, $907.50.

County Road Fund.

(2) For making of necessary alterations to existing high-pressure water mains, required in connection with the improvement of Vallejo street between Kearny and Montgomery streets, $600.

Appropriation, $10,000, Additional and Emergency Supplies for Board of Health.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Appropriation, $2,000, Twenty Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, Appropriation 46-A, Budget Item No. 921, for the employment of twenty additional nurses at the San Francisco Hospital during the month of April, 1928.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Opening of Bay Shore Boulevard.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 28841 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted, viz.:

Thomas C. Fry, $2,685—All of Lot 32 and a portion of Lot 33, Haley's Subdivision No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots 319 to 333, inclusive.

Bernardo Berri, $7,353—Portion of Lot 865 of Gift Map No. 4.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Sophie M. Schmalholz, $6,023—All of Lots Nos. 27 and 28, according to map entitled, "Haley's Subdivision Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive." (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-

non, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28842 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted, viz.:

Alexander Hauser et al., $3,810.20—Lot 12, Block 5474, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Opening of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28843 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted, viz.:

Ocean Shore Railroad Company, $650—Portions of Lots 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Thomas McCormick and Jennie McCormick, $35—Portion of Lot No. 233, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28844 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their names be accepted, viz.:

George E. Larsen and S. Syvertsen, $112—Portions of Lots Nos. 234, 235 and 236, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Therese A. Thompson, Hugh O'Neill and Jos. A. Olson, $32—Portion of Lot No. 231, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Marie Loustalot, Arthur Hirth and Madeleine O. Hirth, $69—Portion of Lot No. 248, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan-

non, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Extension of Nineteenth Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 28845 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the extension of Nineteenth street, for the sum set forth opposite their names be accepted, viz.:

May Burke and John J. Burke, $159—Portion of Lot 6 of Block 11 of Market street Homestead Association. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

It is understood and agreed that the City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to fill the adjoining property of the said May Burke and John J. Burke to meet the grade of the proposed Nineteenth street extension.

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28846 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite their names be accepted, viz.:

John C. McCulloch and Elizabeth McCulloch, $6,750—Lot 38, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28847 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted, viz.:

S. Sanfilippo, $16,800—Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

John Svabek and Verona Svabek, $4,250—Lots 6 and 7, Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

H. H. Van Bilderbeek and Thedora J. Van Bilderbeek, $4,813—Lot 7a, Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

C. Bernini and Emilia Bernini, $3,642—Lot 11, Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the titles to said properties and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Quitclaim Deed From Agnes E. Erickson.

Also, Resolution No. 28848 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the quitclaim deed of Agnes E. Erickson conveying to the City and County of San Fran-

cisco her interest in the southwesterly 6 inches of Lot 31 of Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as per map thereof filed July 27, 1892, and recorded in Map Book "E" and "F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby accepted, and a copy of this resolution be attached to said deed, as evidence of its acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Condemnation of Lands, Extension of Rousseau Street.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Lots Nos. 251 and 252 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further, that said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the extension of Rousseau street as an approach to the Alemany boulevard. It is necessary that a fee-simple title be taken for such use.

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

City Attorney to Compromise Claim of Adelaide Kern, Municipal Railway Accident.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 28850 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Adelaide Kern was injured on the 15th day of September, 1925, while a passenger on a Municipal street car; and

Whereas, the liability because of negligence is clear and absolute against the City and County of San Francisco, and, as a result of said accident, Adelaide Kern has sustained serious permanent injuries; and

Whereas, Honorable John J. O'Toole, City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco, has arrived at a compromise and settlement of a suit filed by said Adelaide Kern against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of sixty-two hundred and fifty ($6,250) dollars and has requested that he be given permission to compromise and settle said suit for said sum; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That John J. O'Toole, City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to fully compromise and settle said claim of Adelaide Kern against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of sixty-two hundred and fifty ($6,250) dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Boiler Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Columbia Soap and Chemical Co. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a 10-horsepower boiler at 221 Clara street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Lease of Bush Street Fire Lot.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the lease of certain land situate at the northerly line of Bush street, distant 24 feet westerly from St. George alley to T.

Mosco, and authorizing the Mayor to execute such lease.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that, pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 28663 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, notice was given as required by the Charter, that a lease of the real property of the City, situate on the northerly line of Bush street, distant 24 feet westerly from St. George alley, would be offered for sale at public auction on the 9th day of April, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, and that at said time and place said auction sale was had in the manner stated in said notice and in accordance with the provisions of said Charter, and upon said date T. Mosco was the highest bidder at said sale and the lease of said property was struck off and awarded to him.

Section 2. A lease for the term of ten years from the taking effect of this ordinance, of the property described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Bush street, distant thereon twenty-four (24) feet westerly from the northwesterly corner of St. George alley and Bush street, running thence westerly and alongside northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet; thence at a right angle northerly and parallel with the westerly line of St. George alley fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches; thence at a right angle easterly and parallel with the northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet, and thence at a right angle southerly fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Fifty Vara Lot No. 94.

is hereby awarded to T. Mosco for the monthly rental of one hundred ($100) dollars, that being the highest and best bid therefor, and the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to enter into a contract of lease with said T. Mosco in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in said notice of sale and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County.

The City and County shall reserve the right and authority to cancel the said lease in the event of the City being authorized at any future time by law to sell or utilize the said property before the expiration of the lease, upon payment to said T. Mosco of a reasonable value by the City and County to equitably compensate said lease for any damage or expense entailed through and by the cancellation of said lease.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Mayor to Sell Frame Building in Mission Park.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 28851 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with Charter provisions, the certain unused frame building situate in Mission Park, Eighteenth and Dolores streets, heretofore used for school purposes and now vacated and recommended by the Board of Education for sale and removal therefrom.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Abandonment of Condemnation Proceedings, Frank Quadrelli.

Also, Resolution No. 28852 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by resolution has determined to abandon the eminent domain proceedings hereinafter mentioned. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in eminent domain proceedings pending in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco entitled *City and County of San Francisco* v. *Frank Quadrelli*, and numbered 179306 on the files of the Clerk of said Court; the real property affected in said proceedings is situated on the north line of Lombard street, 101 feet 6 inches east from the easterly line of Mason street 36 feet by 137 feet 6 inches.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Condemnation Proceedings of Land for School Purposes.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described land and any and all rights and claims thereto, for school purposes, to-wit: All the lots pieces or parcels of land included in the following description, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arguello boulevard, distant thereon 465 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Geary street; running thence northerly along said easterly line of Arguello boulevard 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Arguello boulevard and Point of Commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 1061 on Assessor's Map Book.

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby instructed to commence proceedings against the owner or owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land enclosed within said hereinabove description, and any and all rights and claims thereto and interest therein, for the condemnation thereof for the use of the City and County as aforesaid and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

Accepting Offer of J. Harold Johnson to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 28852 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, An offer has been received from J. Harold Johnson to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 150 feet southerly from Anza street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,100 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street; running thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 86 feet 11⅛ inches, more or less, to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; running thence northwesterly along said last-described line 25 feet 2 inches, more or less, to a line drawn easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Anza street from the point of commencement and thence westerly along said last-described line 84 feet 4¾ inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Establishing Loading Zones.

On recommendation of the Traffic Committee:

Resolution No. 28854 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses in accordance with the provisions of Section 36, Paragraph "C" of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

Street Address	Length in Feet
730 Bush street	27
315-325 California street	27
241-249 Clay street	45
216-220 Fifth street	18
77 Fremont street	27
107-109 Front street	45
117 Front street	27
45 McAllister street	18
941 Mission street	27
1121 Mission street	27
636 Van Ness avenue	27
327 Washington street	45

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Rescinding and Reestablishing Loading Zones.

Also, Resolution No. 28855 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be rescinded and reestablished in front of or near the following addresses in accordance with the provisions of Section 36, Paragraph "C" of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

Street Address	Existing Length in Feet	Recommended Length in Feet
38-48 Davis street	45	27
95 Fulton street	27	9
509 Montgomery st	36	54
301-325 California st	45	0
105-115 Front street	126	0
935-937 Mission street	18	0

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee:

Spur Track Permits.

Bill No. 8502, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors of The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from its existing tracks and along the easterly side of Potrero avenue from Twenty-fifth street southerly, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from its existing tracks and along the easterly side of Potrero avenue from Twenty-fifth street southerly, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of existing side track of The Western Pacific Railroad Company, distant southerly thereon 300 feet from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street; thence northerly along a turnout to the right a distance of 70 feet, crossing the easterly line of Potrero avenue approximately 240 feet southerly from the southerly line of said Twenty-fifth street; thence continuing northerly along a 20-foot curve to the right, having a radius of 287.94 feet, a distance of 51.67 feet to a point distant 10 feet, measured westerly at a right angle from the easterly line of said Potrero avenue; thence continuing northerly 10 feet from and parallel with said easterly line of Potrero avenue, 128.33 feet to a point 50 feet southerly from the southerly line of said Twenty-fifth street and point of termination.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part thereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Provided, that girder rail be used, that the existing sidewalk be restored, and that proper clearances be allowed for.

Provided, that The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8503, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to I. L. Borden to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Greenwich street between Sansome and Battery streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to I. L. Borden to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Greenwich street between Sansome and Battery streets, described as follows:

Commencing at the center line of the existing track on Greenwich street, 37 feet west of the west line of Battery street and 31 feet 6 inches north of the south line of Greenwich street, with a radius of 477 feet and an angle of 14 degrees 30 minutes, more or less, to the center line, 188 feet west of the west line of Battery street, 8 feet 6 inches north of the south line of Greenwich street; thence parallel to the south line of Greenwich street 87 feet to the easterly line of Sansome street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part thereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the said I. L. Borden.

Also provided, that girder rail be laid within the roadway, that a catchbasin with accompanying ten-inch ironstone pipe culvert be constructed on the gutters and westerly from the proposed location, and that all curbs, pavement and sidewalks be restored to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Also provided, that the said I. L. Borden shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. So much of Ordinance No. 693, approved April 8, 1903, as conflicts with the provisions of this ordinance is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance Providing for the Improvement of Rhode Island Street.

Also, Bill No. 8504, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7960 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets by grading, construction of asphaltic concrete pavement, etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7960 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, by grading, construction of asphaltic concrete pavement, etc., is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 8505, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time

of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Foerster street between the southerly line of Melrose avenue and the southerly line of Kenyon avenue, including the intervening crossings and intersections, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 18-inch ironstone pipe sewer in the crossing of Foerster street and Melrose avenue; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width adjacent to the curb, except on the northeast and southeast angular corners of the crossing of Melrose avenue, where sidewalks will be of the full official width; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof from a line 416 feet northerly from Melrose avenue to Kenyon avenue; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8506, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement of Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments, that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Jules and Grafton avenues and of Grafton avenue between Jules and Faxon avenues, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8507, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that

the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Rivera street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Also, Bill No. 8508, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments, that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Polk street from the northerly line of North Point street to the northerly line of Beach street, including the crossing of Polk and Beach streets, except that portion occupied by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of 4 brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway the crossing of Polk street and Beach street; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on Polk street between North Point and Beach streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8509, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements,

respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Moscow street between the existing pavement at the northerly line of Amazon avenue and the northerly line of France avenue, including the crossings of Moscow street and Italy avenue, and Moscow street and France avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the westerly angular corners of Italy avenue, and on the angular corners of Moscow street and France avenue; the curb is to be extended across Italy avenue on the easterly side; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8510, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Potrero avenue between Eighteenth street and Nineteenth street, Eighteenth street between Utah street and San Bruno avenue, Vermont street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, Twentieth street between Wisconsin street and Arkansas street, Wisconsin street between Twentieth street and Twenty-third street, Twenty-second street between Wisconsin street and Carolina street by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8511, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part

II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Eighteenth avenue between Lawton and Santiago streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8512, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of ,Supervisors April 10 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ellington avenue between Foote avenue and Ottawa avenue, and of Foote avenue between Mission street and Ellington avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8513, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirtieth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, Forty-first avenue between

Geary and Clement streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8514, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Baker street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8515, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Sadowa street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues, Capitol avenue between Sadowa and Farallones streets, Lobos street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not alread been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8516, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twentieth avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets, Nineteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Seventeenth avenue between Rivera and Taraval streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

On recommendation of the Streets Committee:

Resolution No. 28856 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Harrison street between Second and Third streets; on Vassar place between Harrison street and a line parallel with and 175 feet southeasterly therefrom, and on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with Resolution No. 101507 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted March 30, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed Apr 3, 1928, to-wit:

Harrison Street.

Second street, 50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of Hawthorn street produced, 39.06 feet.

118 feet northeasterly from Third street, 18.29 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Third street, 13 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Vassar Place.

Northeasterly line of, at Harrison street, 46 feet.

Southwesterly line of, at Harrison street, 45 feet.

50 feet southeasterly from Harrison street, 43.43 feet.

175 feet southeasterly from Harrison street, 45 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Hawthorne Street.

Northeasterly line of, at Folsom street southeasterly line, 31.97 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, at Folsom street southeasterly line, 30.03 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

50 feet southeasterly from Folsom street, 32.25 feet.

194.50 feet southeasterly from Folsom street, 35.86 feet.

100 feet northwesterly from Harrison street, 38.61 feet.

Northeasterly line of, at Harrison street, 40.31 feet.

Southwesterly line of, at Harrison street, 39.06 feet.

On Harrison street between Second and Third streets; on Vassar place between Harrison street and a line parallel with and 175 feet southeasterly therefrom, and on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

It is further declared to be the intention of the Board of Supervisors to order the following street work to be done on the said portions of the mentioned streets, to-wit:

The regrading, resewering, curbing, paving and the laying of artificial stone sidewalks on Harrison street between Second and Third streets: on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets,

and on Vassar place from Harrison street 175 feet southeasterly.

The district to be benefited by such changes of grades and to be assessed for the payment of damages caused thereby, and also the expense of regrading and resewering and street improvements on the above mentioned streets as above specified is hereby defined and established as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Second street, 175 feet southeasterly from Harrison street, running thence southwesterly and parallel to Harrison street 275 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly to the northwesterly line of Perry street; thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Perry street 150 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 75 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 50 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 5 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 50 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 5 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 5 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 197 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 77 feet 6 inches to the northeasterly line of Third street; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Third street to a point 85 feet northwesterly from Harrison street; thence at right angles northeasterly 139 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 15 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 113 feet 4 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly 70 feet to the southeasterly line of Verona place; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Verona place 22 feet 8 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly 267 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northeasterly 9 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly 28 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 20 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly to the northwesterly line of Folsom street; thence at right angles southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Folsom street to a point 232 feet 6 inches southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Hawthorne street; thence at right angles northwesterly to the southeasterly line of Clementina street; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Clementina street 120 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 277 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northeasterly 70 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly 112 feet 6 inches to the southeasterly line of Howard street; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Howard street to a point 62 feet 6 inches northeasterly from Hawthorne street; thence at right angles southeasterly 112 feet 9 inches; thence at right angles northeasterly 50 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly to the southeasterly line of Tehama street; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Tehama street to the southwesterly line of Second street; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Second street 215 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 275 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly to the southeasterly line of Folsom street; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Folsom street 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southeasterly to the northwesterly line of Dow place; thence southwesterly along the northeasterly line of Dow place 85 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southeasterly to a point 110 feet 6 inches southeasterly from Dow place; thence at right angles northeasterly to the southwesterly line of Second street; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Second street to the point of beginning.

The actual cost of performing the work of regrading and resewering and otherwise improving said portions of said streets as above specified shall be assessed upon said district which is above declared to be benefited by such changed or modified grade (except that the Board of Supervisors reserves the right in its discretion to order by ordinance that the whole or any part of the cost and expense of the work aforesaid or the damages resulting therefrom be paid out of the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco from such funds as the Board of Supervisors may designate).

Any person owning property fronting upon said portions of the street or streets where such grade is to be changed, and such street work is to be done may file a petition with the Board of Public Works within sixty (60) days after the first publication of this resolution of intention showing the fact of such ownership, a description and situation of the property claimed to be damaged, its market value and the estimated amount of

damages over and above all benefits which the property would sustain by the proposed change if completed. Such petition shall be verified by the oath of the petitioner, or his agent, and failure so to petition shall be deemed and treated as a waiver of any claim for such damage or damages. The Board of Public Works shall cause to be conspicuously posted along all streets within the district denned in this resolution, notices of the passage of this resolution, in the manner and in the form as required by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28857 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 101638 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 9, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed April 10, 1928, to-wit:

On Green street between Sansome and Montgomery streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Accepting Deed to Land for Opening of Rae Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 28858 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 30th day of March, 1928, between Mohrs' Incorporated, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, conveying land for street purposes as shown on map entitled "Map showing the opening of Rae avenue between Whipple and Naglee avenues," is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared an open public street to be known as Rae avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Approval of Maps, Opening of Rae Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 28859 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 101598 (Second Series), approve a map showing the opening of Rae avenue between Whipple and Naglee avenues; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the "Map showing the opening of Rae avenue between Whipple and Naglee avenues" is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Extensions of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 28860 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. Verano be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after April 20, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Florence street between Broadway and Vallejo street under a public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the rea-

son that contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28861 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Christensen Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 28, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Faith street between San Bruno and Holladay avenues, and Holladay avenue between Faith and Costa streets under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28862 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Federal Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete work under public contracts:

Ninety days' time from and after April 1, 1928, within which to complete the Thirtieth avenue sewer extension, Sunset District, Contract No. 5.

Ninety days' time from and after April 18, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Division street between Bryant and Florida streets, Treat avenue between Florida and Alabama streets and Alameda street between Harrison street and Treat avenue.

These extensions of time are granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28863 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Lynch is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 24, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of France avenue between Mission and London streets under a public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that work is well under way, and extension is requested pending completion of the work and the issuance of the assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Also, Resolution No. 28864 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after April 5, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Andover street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues, Bocana street between Eugenia avenue and Wool street, Gates street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues and Wool street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues where not already improved, under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that contractor has been delayed by weather conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Closing and Abandoning that Portion of Bay Street Lying Between Baker and Lyon Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 28865 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 28608 (New Series), approved March 10, 1928, declared its intention to close and abandon that portion of Bay street lying between Baker and Lyon streets; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of that portion of Bay street lying between Baker and Lyon streets, was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San

Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the closing of said portion of Bay street lying between Baker and Lyon streets was or were made to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience will be conserved and that it is advisable that said portion of Bay street lying between Baker and Lyon streets be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing up and abandonment of said portion of said public street, and it appears to this Board that no assessment district is necessary therefor and that there will be no damages, costs or expenses in connection with said closing and abandonment of said portion of said public street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of that portion of Bay street lying between Baker and Lyon streets be and the same is hereby ordered, and that the said portion of Bay street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed therewith as may be required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Closing and Abandoning That Portion of San Aleso Avenue Lying Between Upland Drive and Kenwood Way.

Also, Resolution No. 28866 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 28609 (New Series), approved March 16, 1928, declared its intention to close and abandon that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Upland drive and Kenwood way; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Upland drive and Kenwood way was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the closing of said portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Upland drive and Kenwood way was or were made to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Upland drive and Kenwood way; and

Whereas, in and by Resolution No. 28609 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of San Aleso avenue are nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, and the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said portion of San Aleso avenue shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing and abandonment of said portion of San Aleso avenue, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Upland drive and Kenwood way be and the same is hereby ordered, and that the said portion of San Aleso avenue be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street; and

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby instructed to advertise this resolution in the Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Stanford Heights Avenue as Described in Resolution of Intention No. 28536 (New Series), Approved March 2, 1928.

Also, Resolution No. 28867 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 28536 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon certain portions of Stanford Heights avenue; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said portions of Stanford Heights avenue as described in Resolution of Intention No. 28536 (New Series) was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection to said closing and abandonment of said portions of Stanford Heights avenue was or were made to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience will be conserved and that it is advisable that said portions of Stanford Heights avenue, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 28536 (New Series), be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing up and abandonment of said portions of said public street, and it appears to this Board that no assessment district is necessary therefor and that there will be no damages, costs or expenses in connection with said closing and abandoning of said portions of said public street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of portions of Stanford Heights avenue, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 28536 (New Series), be and the same is hereby ordered, and that the said portions of Stanford Heights avenue be and the same are hereby closed and abandoned as a public street; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed therewith as may be required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Temporary Wharf Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted the Quong Sang Shrimp Company to construct a temporary wharf from the shore line on Donahue street produced northerly to deep water, a distance of approximately 300 feet, said wharf to be kept within the lines of the street, and to be removed upon thirty (30) days' notice from the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Fixing Monday, April 23, 1928, at 10 A. M., Hearing Appeal From Assessment for Improvement of Treat Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 28869 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, April 23, 1928, at 10 o'clock a. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on February 15, 1928, for the improvement of Treat avenue between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was presented and made a *Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m., next Monday*:

Mayor to Enter Into Agreement With Southern Pacific Company in re Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor is herebe authorized to enter into agreements with the Southern Pacific Railway Company, terms and conditions as follows:

This agreement, made this —— day of ————, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

The parties hereto entered into a certain agreement dated March 23, 1914, relating to rights of way through Bernal Cut, in the City and County of San Francisco, and also entered into a certain agreement of even date herewith modifying and changing said agreement of March 23, 1914, and enlarging the plan of construction of rights of way, tracks and a public street or roadway through said Bernal Cut.

In connection with said work it will be necessary that the Southern Company remove its existing team track near Bosworth street, in said City and County of San Francisco, from the southeasterly side of its track to the northwesterly side of proposed location of its main track through Bernal Cut.

Said agreement of March 23, 1914, provided, among other things, that Southern Company would convey certain land to the City, which land was conveyed pursuant to said agreement by deed dated March 23, 1914. Said deed contained a reservation on behalf of Southern Company to use a certain strip of land 8½ feet wide and a certain strip of land 13½ feet wide, both of which are hereinafter described. In the event that the agreement of even date herewith is carried out the City desires that Southern Company quitclaim to the City all of its right, title and interest in and to said strip of land covered by said reservation above mentioned.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their understandings and agreements relative to the matters hereinabove mentioned.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual and dependent promises of the parties hereto, it is hereby agreed as follows, to-wit:

First: That the City will pay to Southern Pacific Company the entire cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor, to cover supervision of removing said existing team track and replacing and reconstructing said team track in kind and in good condition upon the property hereinafter described, to be acquired by the City and conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

Concurrently with the delivery to it by the City of the deed hereinafter specified the Southern Company will deliver to the City a quitclaim deed conveying all the right, title and interest of the Southern Company in and to those certain parcels of land described as follows, being those certain strips of land 8½ feet in width and 13½ feet in width, respectively, covered by said reservation contained in said deed dated March 23, 1914, to-wit:

All those certain strips of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: A strip of land 8.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles and radially southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno Branch, between Engineer's Station 78+48.5 and 92+40.

Parcel 2: A strip of land 13.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno branch, between Engineer's Station 92+40 and 101+20.

The above described parcels of land being the same property as reserved in part III, page 3, of that certain deed dated March 23, 1914, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Southern Pacific Company and the City and County of San Francisco.

The City hereby covenants and agrees to acquire and convey to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, without cost to Southern Company, by good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances, to that certain parcel of land described as follows, to-wit:

That certain piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the

City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Roanoke street with the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence along said southeasterly line of Arlington street south 47 deg. 16 min. 17 sec. west a distance of 663.843 feet to a point; thence south 30 deg. 41 min. .09 sec. east a distance of 22.104 feet to a point, said point being distant radially 58.77 feet northwesterly from the original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way; thence in a northeasterly direction on the arc of a curve, concave to the left, having a radius of 5670.826 feet (the tangent to said curve at last mentioned point bears north 58 deg. 57 min. 20 sec. east) an arc distance of 675.82 feet to a point on said southwesterly line of Roanoke street (last described arc course is concentric with and distant northwesterly and radially 58.77 feet from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way); thence north 42 deg. 43 min. 43 sec. west along said southwesterly line of Roanoke street a distance of 118.768 feet to the point of beginning and containing an area of 51401 square feet (equals 1.180 acres), more or less.

Upon delivery of the conveyance by the City mentioned in the last preceding paragraph of this agreement, and upon completion of the work of grading, to be done by the City as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, the Southern Company will, simultaneously with the shifting of the location of its main track, as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, remove its said existing team track from its present location and construct said team track as aforesaid upon said property above described, to be conveyed by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

This agreement is subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, and if not approved by said Railroad Commission it shall be of no force or effect.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed this agreement in quadruplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By.....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By.....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By.....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made this——— day of———, 1928, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

Under the terms of an agreement entered into on the 23rd day of March, 1914, and subsequent deed bearing even date therewith, recorded June 16, 1914, in Vol. 794 of Deeds, pages 276 and following, the City acquired, for street purposes, a portion of the railroad right of way of the Southern Company through the so-called Bernal Cut, in said City and County of San Francisco, being the easterly portion of said right of way from the westerly line of Dolores street produced, to the center line of San Jose avenue produced, near Diamond street.

It was stipulated in said agreement that the Southern Company shall grade the strip of land described in section 1 thereof, so as to provide a uniform roadway for the proposed street at least forty (40) feet in width or thirty-five (35) feet, according to the width of said strip, according to the directions and under the supervision of the City Engineer of the City, and said City shall cooperate in such work to the extent of removing encroachments and also by the erection of retaining walls wherever necessary along the line of said grading; and Southern Company agreed to deposit the material excavated as aforesaid upon such portions of the land described in said agreement and deed as may be indicated by said City Engineer, and to entirely remove all surplus material; said grading and filling to be at the expense of Southern Company. It was further understood

and agreed and so provided in said agreement that the construction of retaining walls along said grading and the paving of the roadway upon said strip of land shall be at the cost and expense of the City and without cost to the Southern Company.

The City now desires to acquire additional rights of way in said Bernal Cut for the purpose of constructing a better roadway than at first contemplated and offering better facilities for the construction of its double-track street railroad adjacent to the northerly and westerly line of the land conveyed to the City for street purposes by the hereinbefore mentioned deed. Such construction would be facilitated by the railroad of the Southern Company being moved northerly and westerly not exceeding 31.27 feet.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their agreement as to their rights and obligations in connection with acquisition and exchange of certain parcels of land to provide additional rights of way for each of the parties hereto, reconstruction of the railroad and appurtenances of Southern Company, construction of the municipal railway and the proposed street, and other matters in relation thereto.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises, it is hereby mutually agreed as follows; to-wit:

ARTICLE I.

1. The location plan, showing the changes proposed and the parcels of land to be acquired and exchanged by and between the parties hereto, for the purpose of carrying out the plans of the parties hereto as hereinafter set forth, is shown on the attached copy of Southern Pacific Company's Drawing entitled, "Proposed Improvements in Bernal Cut, San Francisco," and numbered M W D Drawing 5806, Sheet 2, which is hereby made a part hereof.

2. Southern Company hereby agrees to execute and place in escrow a conveyance to said City of all of Southern Company's right, title and interest in and to that certain portion of Southern Company's right of way in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, particularly described as follows, to-wit:

A strip of land 32.77 feet in width lying between the southerly line of Randall street and the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street), said strip being bounded and described as follows:

Bounded on the northeast by the southerly line of Randall street; on the west and northwest by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 22.77 feet westerly and northwesterly, measured radially or at right angles from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line between San Francisco and Colma; on the southwest by the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street) and on the southeast and east by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 10.00 feet southeasterly and easterly, measured radially or at right angles from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line, said line coinciding with the northerly and westerly boundary line of the strip of land heretofore conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company by deed dated March 23, 1914.

Also a triangular shaped parcel of land, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the westerly line of Dolores street, distant 100.00 feet northerly from the northerly line of Randall street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Dolores street and its prolongation a distance of 150.00 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Randall street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Randall street a distance of 22.50 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a northeasterly direction on a direct line to the point of beginning.

Said parcel of land above described are more particularly shown tinted in pink on said Drawing No. 5806, Sheet 2.

Said conveyance shall contain and be subject to the following express covenants, reservations and exceptions, to-wit:

(a) "Reserving nevertheless to the first parties (Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company), their successors and assigns, the right to use the northwesterly eighteen (18) inches in width of said strip of land 32.77 feet in width for the erection and maintenance of poles, wires, and any and all other necessary appliances, appendages and adjuncts, in the event that said first parties, their successors and assigns, should at any time operate their railroad by electricity, it being expressly understood and agreed, however, that first parties, their successors or assigns, may, instead of erecting and maintaining said poles, wires, appliances, appendages and adjuncts upon and along said eighteen (18)

inch strip herein described, at their option fasten their wires, fixtures, appliances and appurtenances to any poles erected by second party along or near the northwesterly boundary line of said strip of land whenever the first parties, their successors and assigns, operate their said railroad by electricity and such right is hereby granted by second party to first parties; but in the event that said first parties do attach their wires to any poles erected by the second party, as aforesaid, then said first parties shall pay to second party one-half (½) of the then value of said poles so used, at the time the attachments are made by said first parties, and upon receipt of such payment second party shall execute and deliver to Southern Pacific Railroad Company a bill of sale conveying an undivided one-half (½) interest in said poles to Southern Pacific Railroad Company. First parties shall thereafter pay one-half (½) of the cost of maintaining said poles so used, as aforesaid, from time to time as such costs are incurred and bills rendered by second party."

(b) "Excepting and reserving unto said first parties all of those certain rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company for themselves, their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from said companies to said City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco, also those certain rights reserved by Southern Pacific Railroad Company in that certain deed from said Southern Pacific Railroad Company to said City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco."

(c) "The foregoing conveyance is subject to all the terms, conditions, restrictions and reservations contained in that certain deed, dated October 5, 1863, from H. G. Livermore et al. to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, recorded October 6, 1863, in Book 215 of Deeds, at page 276, and subject to the rights of any and all industries located upon or adjacent to said right of way between St. Mary's avenue and Bosworth street, and subject to first parties' right to maintain such spur, team or station tracks as may be required to be maintained under any subsisting agreement, recorded or otherwise, or deed, or by law. The Southern Company hereby reserves the right to maintain and operate existing industrial spur tracks upon the land hereinabove described and to maintain and operate such spur tracks in such new locations to which any of said spurs may be moved, as hereinafter provided. The City covenants and agrees that if it is necessary to reconstruct or change the location of any or all of said existing industrial spur tracks by reason of or in connection with the use of said land by the City, the City will pay the entire cost of the work of reconstruction and relocation of said tracks, including paving, and the cost and construction of crossings of said tracks by the municipal railway, if any. Nothing herein contained shall in anywise impair the right of the first parties to use, for railroad purposes, the remaining portion of their right of way adjoining the strip of land hereinabove described on the northwest, or in anywise prejudice their rights therein or thereto."

The above mentioned conveyance shall be executed by Southern Company and placed in escrow with the California Pacific Title & Trust Company of San Francisco, California, if and when this agreement has been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to be delivered to the City when the City shall have (a) acquired the lands described in Section 1 of Article II hereof and executed and delivered to the above mentioned escrowee for account of Southern Pacific Railroad Company the conveyances to Southern Pacific Railroad Company specified in said Section 1, Article II, and (b) performed, or caused to be performed, all of the construction and reconstruction work by it to be performed or contracted for, as provided in Article II hereof.

ARTICLE II.

1. In consideration of the covenants herein agreed to be performed by the Southern Company, and without further consideration or payment, the City shall at its own expense acquire and convey or cause to be conveyed to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, by a good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances to (1) a strip of land along and adjacent to the northerly and westerly boundary line of Southern Company's right of way between Randall and Diamond streets, in the City and

County of San Francisco, State of California, sufficient in width, and which, together with that portion of the Southern Company's existing right of way which will remain after the conveyance to the City of the portion heretofore described, will provide a right of way sufficient in width so that a standard gauge double track railroad may be constructed for Southern Company, and so that clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California shall be provided; (2) strips of land sufficient in width and adjoining the additional right of way for the proposed location of the proposed double track railroad of the Southern Company, to provide a sufficient area for proper slopes, not exceeding 1¼ horizontal to one vertical in the cuts and not less than two horizontal to one vertical in the fills; provided, however, that in lieu of providing such additional land for slopes, the City may construct at its sole cost and expense adequate concrete or masonry retaining walls to provide for the full and unobstructed use of land of sufficient width to permit of the construction and operation of said standard gauge double track railroad, with clearances not less than those prescribed by said Railroad Commission, and at the grade of the Southern Company's now existing track between Randall and Diamond streets, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company. The land required for said right of way for said proposed double track railroad is shown tinted in green and the land required for said slopes is shown tinted in purple upon said drawing MWD 5806, Sheet 2.

2. In the event that it shall be necessary or advisable for the City to institute condemnation proceedings to acquire any land which is to be acquired in order to comply with the terms of this agreement, and to be conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, such proceedings may be had in the name of Southern Company, or either of them, but the City shall pay all expense thereof and all judgments and costs therein and hold Southern Company harmless therefrom.

3. The City shall, at its own expense, make all necessary excavations and construct embankments and waterways, and, if deemed necessary by Southern Company, retaining walls to support slopes on the existing right of way of Southern Company, and the said land to be acquired for the Southern Company, as hereinabove provided, so as to provide for the construction of the said double track railroad of the Southern Company, as aforesaid, and at the grade specified in Section 1 of this Article II, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company, and the City shall also pay the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of moving Southern Company's existing single track rails, ties, ballast and appurtenances to such new position, not exceeding 31.27 feet northerly and westerly of the present location, and the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of placing Southern Company's single track in such new location in at least as good condition as the existing track was at the time of being so moved, it being understood that the Southern Company will furnish all necessary ties which, in its judgment, are required to replace those unfit for further service.

4. The City shall, at its own expense, remove and reconstruct Southern Company's present telephone, telegraph and signal lines and the poles and fixtures thereof to such new position upon and along said relocated railroad line and right of way as may be required by Southern Company.

5. The City shall, at its own cost and expense, reconstruct and thereafter maintain, at clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, all bridges or other structures and foundations and appurtenances of same (including the subway at Bosworth street) located between and at Randall and Diamond streets so that the double track railroad of Southern Company may be constructed and operated without further change in said bridges, structures or appurtenances, and, in order to accomplish such works, the City shall be granted the right to the use of any land to be conveyed by the City hereunder to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, for the purpose of erecting thereon any portion of the above-mentioned structures or appurtenances thereto that may be necessary; provided, however, that the plans for any such bridges and structures and appurtenances shall be subject to the approval of the Southern Company and of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. It is also agreed that the City shall have the right to construct and maintain at its sole cost and expense drainage constructions of such character as may be required, to be placed upon or beneath the lands to be conveyed

hereunder by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company; provided, however, that such drainage constructions meet with the approval of Southern Company and will in no way interfere with Southern Company's operations.

6. The City shall include in the contracts which it is to let for the grading work to be performed by it under this contract, the grading work provided to be done at the expense of Southern Company in said agreement of March 23, 1914, and Southern Company shall have the right of access at all reasonable times to the books, accounts, contracts, records and papers relating to said work, for the purpose of checking the bill or bills rendered by the City to Southern Company for the cost of said work. The Southern Company shall reimburse the City in full for all of the yardage removed or excavated and graded at the contract price or rate covering the work included in said contract for the account of the Southern Company. All of the work herein provided for to be done by the City shall be in substantial conformity with the City's Cross Section plan or map entitled "Bernal Cut," numbered A-7328, hereto attached and made a part hereof.

7. It is understood and agreed that the City may enter upon said land above described for the purpose of carrying out and complying with the terms and conditions of this contract as soon as this contract becomes effective.

8. All the expenses in connection with the construction of the future second track of said Southern Company's railroad, which the Southern Company may construct now or in the future at its option, except the work herein provided to be done by and at the sole cost and expense of the City, shall be borne by the Southern Company, as this is a facility in addition to its present trackage.

9. All work required to be done by and at the expense of the City hereunder upon or affecting the property and operations of Southern Company shall be performed by it in a good and workmanlike manner to the satisfaction of the Southern Company, according to plans approved by Southern Company or such lawful authority as may have jurisdiction in the premises, and at such times and in such manner as to interfere as little as possible with the operations of Southern Company.

10. It is expressly understood and agreed that all expense of paving and otherwise improving the roadway and constructing the municipal railway and appurtenances upon the roadway upon said strip of land 32.77 feet in width, hereinabove referred to, and upon the land heretofore conveyed by the Southern Company to the City by said deed dated March 23, 1914, recorded in Volume 794 of Deeds, at pages 276 and following, County Records of the City and County of San Francisco (except the grading and filling work as herein otherwise provided for in the previous agreement) shall be borne by the City; and in the event that any improvements upon said roadway should be done upon the local assessment plan, then and in that event the City shall pay out of its treasury any and all assessments therefor levied upon or assessed against any rights, properties or franchises of Southern Company, or either of them.

ARTICLE III.

1. It is understood and agreed that the City shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad beneath either one of the bridges carrying the railroad of the Southern Company over Thirtieth street or over Day street, and that the City shall, at its own sole cost and expense, provide the necessary clearances therefor under said bridge. The plans for said crossing and any necessary changes in the said bridge shall be subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. The City, subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad at grade across the track or tracks of Southern Company's railroad at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, subject to the terms and conditions of an agreement to be executed by the parties hereto establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings; and if the parties are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then upon such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the City. The expense of maintaining said crossings and any and all such protective device or devices, except interlocking plant, after completion, shall be borne solely by said City, but the expense

of maintenance and operation of interlocking plant, if any, shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

2. The Southern Company shall likewise have the right, subject to the approval of said Railroad Commission, to construct a track or tracks at grade across the track or tracks of the municipal railway, subject to the terms and conditions of agreements in each case to be executed by the parties hereto, establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings, and if the parties hereto are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then subject to such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such track crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the Southern Company. The expense of maintaining said crossings and such protective devices, except interlocking plants, after completion, shall be borne solely by Southern Company and the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plants shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

ARTICLE IV.

1. Nothing contained in this agreement shall affect the rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and/or Southern Pacific Company, for themselves and their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from the said companies to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco; and in that certain deed from the Southern Pacific Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco.

2. Said agreement dated March 23, 1914, hereinabove referred to, between the parties hereto, shall be and remain in full force and effect and shall not be affected hereby unless and until the City shall comply with said conditions of the escrow hereinabove set forth; but upon full compliance with the conditions of said escrow, then and in that event this agreement shall, in so far as it changes or modifies said agreement of March 23, 1914, supersede the same.

ARTICLE V.

The City hereby agrees to forever indemnify and hold Southern Company harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, liabilities and judgments, and loss, damage and injury resulting from any damages caused or claimed in the acquisition by said City of any of said lands, or occurring in or caused by or claimed to have been caused by any and all of the work covered by this agreement. In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as here in this paragraph provided.

ARTICLE VI.

1. This agreement shall not become effective and the parties hereto shall not be bound hereby unless and until it shall have been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

2. Time is of the essence of this agreement, and if this agreement is approved by said Railroad Commission and the City does not comply with and perform all the covenants and conditions hereof to be complied with and performed by it within said term of five (5) years, this agreement and any and all rights of the parties hereto hereunder shall forthwith upon the expiration of said term of five (5) years cease and determine as completely as if this agreement had never been made.

3. This agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the respective parties hereto.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in quadruple the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By .....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made and entered into this ——— day of ———, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and Southern Pacific Company, its lessee, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties (herein collectively called "Railroad Company"), and ———, second party (herein sometimes called "Contractor").

Witnesseth:

That, whereas, on the ——— day of ———, 1928, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company as first parties, and the City and County of San Francisco, California, as second party, entered into an agreement covering the exchange of certain parcels of land between the Railroad Company and the City and County of San Francisco, for rights of way through the so-called Bernal Cut in the said City and County of San Francisco, and covering, among other things, certain construction work more specifically set forth in said agreement dated the ——— day of ———, 1928; and

Whereas, said agreement, dated the ——— day of ———, 1928, contains the following provision:

"In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as herein this paragraph provided," and

Whereas, said City and County of San Francisco did, on the ——— day of ———, 19—, enter into a contract with contractor to do and perform certain work pursuant to a compliance with the terms of said agreement dated the ——— day of ———, 19—, above referred to:

Now, therefore, it is understood and agreed that the contractor shall, in advance of performing any work under said contract of the ——— day of ———, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, give to said Railroad Company a bond in the sum of twenty thousand (20,000) dollars, in form and of good and sufficient surety to be approved by the Assistant Treasurer of the Railroad Company, conditioned that the contractor will well and truly perform and fulfill all of the covenants, conditions, stipulations and obligations on his part in this agreement set forth and contained, to-wit:

In consideration of the Railroad Company permitting contractor to enter upon its property and perform said work, contractor agrees to indemnify and save Railroad Company harmless from and against any and all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever may be caused, resulting directly or indirectly from the performance of any or all work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, contemplated by said agreement dated ———, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and the said contractor; also from all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever same may be caused resulting directly or indirectly, made or suffered by said contractor, contractor's agents and/or employees while engaged in the performance of said work, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise.

Contractor expressly releases and discharges Railroad Company from all liability of whatsoever character for any and all personal injuries sustained by contractor while engaged in the performance of work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, whether

caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise, and whether resulting in death or otherwise.

Contractor expressly waives any and all claims arising from said death or injury to contractor.

The contractor agrees that the license hereby given him to enter upon the premises of the Railroad Company for the purpose of performing the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, shall not give nor be deemed or construed to give said contractor a right to do or perform any work whatsoever at any time upon or in connection with the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, that will render unsafe the use by said Railroad Company of its real estate, tracks, structures and other appurtenances now or hereafter existing, or weaken or injure the same for the operation of its trains, engines or cars or for its other purposes.

Contractor further agrees that should the Railroad Company deem it necessary to engage any inspectors, watchmen or flagmen, or do anything to protect its engines, trains or cars during the performance by said contractor of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between the said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, then and in that event contractor agrees to fully reimburse Railroad Company promptly for all cost and expense of such inspectors, watchmen, flagmen and other expenses that may be incurred by said Railroad Company incident to or in connection with work contemplated to be performed by said contractor.

Contractor further agrees that upon completion of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, contractor will promptly remove from the premises of the Railroad Company all of contractor's tools, implements and other materials and leave said premises clean and presentable.

This agreement shall not be assigned, sublet or transferred in whole or in part by contractor, except with the previous written consent of Railroad Company.

Except as otherwise provided herein, the terms and conditions of this agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of contractor and the successors and assigns of Railroad Company.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in triplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
.....
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
Contract Attorney.

Approval of Report, Joint Highway District.

Also, Resolution No. 28870 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of Santa Cruz County initiated proceedings for the creation of a joint highway district to be composed of the counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Boards of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and of Santa Cruz and San Mateo counties have heretofore duly adopted resolutions agreeing that said highway district should be formed and that the same would be to the public interest of said counties; and

Whereas, a board of directors has been duly appointed, consisting of a representative from each of said counties, and said board of directors so duly appointed have compiled and returned to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, a report setting forth a tentative route of the proposed district highway, determining the approximate total cost thereof, and the portion thereof that should be borne by each county, respectively, a copy of said report being now on file with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approves the report of said board of directors of said highway district submitted

herewith, and signifies its approval of the formation of such district, and declares its intention to join with the counties of San Mateo and Santa Cruz in the creation and formation of said joint highway district; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Boards of Supervisors of the counties of San Mateo and Santa Cruz.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Award of Contract, Linseed Oil, Etc.

On recommendation of the Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 28871 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted April 2, 1928 (Proposal No. 363), for furnishing linseed oil, shellac, turpentine, lead, litharge, mineral brown and putty, that may be ordered from time to time during the tri-annual term commencing May 1 and ending August 31, 1928, as follows, viz.:

Item No. 935—Linseed oil: (a) boiled, 1. In 1-gallon cans, including container, 98 cents gallon, Dan P. Maher Paint Co.; 2. in 5-gallon cans, including container, $0.885 gallon, W. P. Fuller & Co.; 3. in barrels, including container, 80 cents gallon, Dan P. Maher Paint Co. b. Raw:1. in 1-quart cans, including container, 33 cents quart, W. P. Fuller & Co.; 2. in 5-gallon cans, including container, $0.855 gallon, W. P. Fuller & Co.; 3. in barrels, including container, 77 cents gallon, Dan P. Maher Co.

Item No. 939—Putty: a. white, 1. in 2-lb. packages, $.069 lb., Yates & Co., Inc.; 2. in 85-lb. tins, $0.036 lb., W. P. Fuller & Co.; b. commercial, $0.03 lb., W. P. Fuller & Co.

Item No. 943—Shellac, in denatured grain alcohol: a. orange, in 1s, $1.88 gallon, W. P. Fuller & Co.; b. orange, in 5s, $1.78 gallon, W. P. Fuller & Co.; c. white, in 1s, $2.08 gallon, W. P. Fuller & Co.; d. white, in 5s, $1.98 gallon, W. P. Fuller & Co.

Item No. 944—Turpentine, shall be gum spirits of turpentine: a. in 5-gallon cans, including container, 71 cents gallon, W. P. Fuller & Co.; b. in drums, containers to remain property of contractor, $0.635 gallon. W. P. Fuller & Co.

Paints.

Item No. 950—Dry pigments: a. Lead: 1. red, $0.1035 lb., National Lead Co.; 2. white, $0.1035 lb., National Lead Co.; b. litharge, in 25-lb. kegs, $0.1060 lb., National Lead Co.; c. Prince's mineral brown, double label, $0.0318 lb., W. P. Fuller & Co.

Item No. 955—Paints in oil; 1, 2 or 5-lb cans, as ordered, except as indicated otherwise under the subitem: h. lead, 1. red: aa. in 1, 2 or 5-lb cans, as ordered, $0.145 lb., W. P. Fuller & Co.; bb. in 25 or 50-lb. containers, as ordered, $0.119 lb., W. P. Fuller & Co.; cc. in 100-lb. containers $0.1165 lb., W. P. Fuller & Co. 2. White: aa. in 1, 2 or 5-lb. cans, as ordered, $0.1285 lb., National Lead Co.; bb. 12½-lb containers, $0.1025 lb., National Lead Co.; cc. in 25 or 50-lb. containers, as ordered, $0.106 lb., National Lead Co.; dd. in 100-lb. containers, $0.1035 lb., National Lead Co.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows:

W. P. Fuller & Co., amount of bond $100.

National Lead Company, amount of bond $100.

Dan P. Maher Paint Co., amount of bond $100.

Yates & Co., Inc., no bond required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Award of Contract, Eggs.

Also, Resolution No. 28872 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Sherry Bros., Inc., on bid submitted April 9, 1928 (Proposal No. 364), for furnishing the following, viz.:

For Laguna Honda Home, 26,000 dozen storage eggs, oil processed, to be delivered as ordered during September, October, November, December, 1928, and January, 1929.

Buyer to have option of increasing above stated quantity not exceeding 10 per cent.

Specifications: California white eggs; U. S. No. 1 extras, retail grade.

Inspections: Eggs to be inspected before shipment. The inspector's fees to be cared for by the buyer.

Delivery: F. O. B. vendor's establishment.

Price per dozen, $0.3149.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $1,000 be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Auto Supply Station Permits.

The following resolutions were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. S. Rhodes, Jr., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Portola drive and Fowler avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Portola drive and Teresita boulevard.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Relative to Seating Capacity of War Memorial Opera House.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Communication, from Redfern Mason urging that in construction of proposed War Memorial Opera House that the seating capacity not exceed 3000, declaring that the essence of opera is intimacy and you can't have it in a huge house.

Read and *referred to the Public Buildings, Welfare and Music Committees.*

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Committees meet during this week and inquire into the reasons for delay and report to this Board.

So ordered.

Licensing Awning Repair Business.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "An ordinance providing for the licensing of persons, firms or corporations engaged in the business of hanging, constructing, selling and repairing awnings within the City limits of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof. This is an emergency ordinance rendered necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health and safety."

Referred to the Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Relative to Supervisor McSheehy's Alleged "Tube Compromise" for Bay Bridge.

Supervisor Stanton presented a copy of today's "Daily News" giving an account of Supervisor McSheehy's alleged advocacy before the officials of the War and Navy departments of a "tube" across San Francisco Bay in lieu of bridge permit asked for by the Special Committee.

The article was read by the Clerk.

Motion.

Whereupon Supervisor Stanton moved that the chair or the Clerk be authorized to send a telegram or wire immediately to Supervisor McSheehy asking him just what he is doing in regard to a tube, and if he is offering any compromise, and to inform him that the Board has taken no action on a tube, and that he is not speaking with the authority of the Board.

Supervisor Gallagher moved, as an amendment, that Supervisors Havenner, Shannon and Stanton, as a committee of three, draft a telegram during recess along the lines suggested and submit same to the Board before being sent to Washington.

Supervisor Marks moved, as an amendment to the amendment, the sending of the following telegram, which amendment was *carried* unanimously, to-wit:

Telegram.

11:25 a. m., April 16, 1928.

Hon. James B. McSheehy, Willard Hotel, Washington, D. C.

The San Francisco News of Monday, April 16th, makes the statement that Supervisor James B. Mc-

Sheehy, chairman of the Special Bridge Committee, is advocating a tube crossing, and has called upon Secretary of the Navy Curtis D. Wilbur, Admiral Hughes, General Deakyne, Major Daily, army engineers, and laid before them plans for a tube crossing at or near Rincon Hill. The Board of Supervisors, in session this morning, by motion, requests that you wire immediately in time for receipt of telegram at today's session full details concerning this report, and that you advise the Board of the date of your return to San Francisco.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
By J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Marks was elected to preside.

Notice of Reconsideration, 333 Kearny Street.

March 12, 1928—Supervisor Kent moved as an amendment that the Clerk be directed to write a letter and register it to the owner, under date of tomorrow, that this Board will cancel the lease of 333 Kearny street, to take effect July 10, 1928.

Carried by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—10.

Noes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—7.

Thereupon, before the vote was announced, Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the said vote at this meeting.

March 19, 1928—*Over one week. Special Order*, 2 *p. m.*

A motion of Supervisor Schmidt to place a rider in the lease cancelling the space not being used by the Grand Jury was agreed to by Attorney Eastman, representing the lessor, and referred to the City Attorney so that the lease might not be invalidated by the change.

A motion of Supervisor Roncovieri that the fourth floor of the Hall of Justice be turned over to the Police Department was continued one week.

March 26, 1928—*Over one week. Special Order*, 11 *a. m.*

April 2, 1928—*Over one week. Special Order*, 2 *p. m.*

Motions.

April 9, 1928—Supervisor Roncovieri renewed his motion, made at a previous meeting, that the entire fourth floor of the Hall of Justice be turned over to the Police Department.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, Powers, Stanton—4.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Supervisor Shannon moved to take up the matter of the reconsideration of Supervisor Kent's motion.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Noes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

The question being on Supervisor Kent's motion, Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment that the City Attorney be directed to write into the lease a modification providing for a reduction of $65.25 per month.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, McSheehy—2.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Havenner changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the vote at next meeting.

Supervisor Shannon objected that

under the rules reconsideration could not be had on a subsidiary motion and called for a vote on the main question.

Supervisor Gallagher raised point of order that main question could not be put until reconsideration was had.

Chair (Supervisor Havenner) ruled that while a motion to amend is before the Board the main question cannot be put.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon appealed from the decision of the chair.

The roll being called on the question "Shall the ruling of the Chair be the decision of the Board?" The Chair was *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Stanton—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Chair declared that the *amendment* should be considered as a "substitute for the whole," as it entirely changes the question.

Thereupon, the question being put on the main question as modified the roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—7.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Before the result was announced, Supervisor Havenner changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice of reconsideration for next meeting.

April 16, 1928.

Privilege of the Floor.

District Attorney Matthew Brady was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that he was satisfied with his present quarters at 333 Kearny street, but did not want to be misunderstood. He believed that a large city like San Francisco should have all its departments together with the courts in one building.

Reconsideration Lost.

Pursuant to notice given by Supervisor Havenner at last meeting, the roll was called on a motion to reconsider the vote whereby the City Attorney was directed to write into the lease of 333 Kearny street a modification providing for a reduction of $65.25 per month.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—6.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Absent—Supervisors Kent, McSheehy—2.

Zone Change, Bernal Boulevard.

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Bernal boulevard between Milton street and Rousseau street, extending to a line 125 feet northerly from and parallel with the northerly line of Bosworth street, in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Communications and Resolutions.

Resolution of mass meeting of residents in vicinity of W. S. Ray Manufacturing Company protesting proposed zoning change from residential to commercial, applied for by Ray Manufacturing Company.

Communication, from Excelsior People's Improvement Association, protesting against rezoning of Bernal boulevard at Rosseau street from residential to commercial, as applied for by Ray Manufacturing Company.

Communication, from Central Council Greater Excelsior District, also protesting zone change.

Communication, from Church of St. John the Evangelist, protesting

rezoning of Bernal boulevard at Rousseau street.

Communication, from Bosworth District Home Protective League, protesting Bernal boulevard zone change.

Communication, from Mayor Jas. Rolph, Jr., transmitting protests of Geo. R. Reilly, M. M. Coffee, Mrs. J. F. Planbeck, Mrs. Elizabeth Sobbe, Mrs. Wm. Mundwelley, Mrs. Jacob Bearman and Bosworth District Home Protective League; also, transmitting communications from Bowman & Plimley, John A. Roebling's Sons Co. of California, A. H. Coates Company, Diamond State Fibre Company, Century Electric Company, R. N. Nason & Company, California Metal Trades Association, Hubert's Machinery & Supply Company, Crucible Steel Company of America, Morton & Company, Coast Electric Supply Company, W. E. Mushet Company, Chase Brass & Copper Company and the Kellogg Express Company and R. G. Durr & Company favoring the proposed zone change applied for by Ray Manufacturing Company.

Motion.

Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Stanton, moved the privilege of the floor for both sides.

Supervisor Gallagher moved, as an amendment, the privilege of the floor for both sides and that one hour be given for hearing them.

Supervisor Shannon asked for a call of the Board.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Marks—2.

Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board sit as a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing both sides.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Shannon, Toner—6.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Marks—2.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors proceeded to sit as a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing both sides as to the proposed zone change on Bernal boulevard between Milton and Rousseau streets.

Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

Thereupon, C. H. Uznay, engineer of the W. S. Ray Manufacturing Company, and Mr. C. A. Fleming, representing the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, were heard at length in favor of the proposed change.

Mrs. Edna Calhan, *Alfred Berriessa*, representing the Sunnyside Improvement Club and Excelsior Council of Improvement Clubs; *Frank Olmo*, *James F. Kelly*, representing Central Council of Improvement Clubs, and *Captain Munson*, resident of the district, were heard at length in opposition.

Committee of the Whole Arises.

Thereupon, the Committee of the Whole, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, arose. Supervisor Shannon relinquished the chair to Supervisor Havenner and Supervisor Hayden reported that the City Planning Committee unanimously recommends the passage of the foregoing bill:

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor McGovern, moved rereference to the Committee on City Planning.

Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved, as an amendment, that Joseph Phillips, City's right of way agent, negotiate for the purchase of the plant of the Ray Manufacturing Company.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—6.

Noes—Supervisors Andiarno, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8517, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is

above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Bernal boulevard between Milton street and Rousseau street, extending to a line 125 feet northerly from and parallel with the northerly line of Bosworth street, in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Noes — Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt, Stanton—3.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Railroad Commission to Pass on the Fairness of the Price of Spring Valley Water Company Properties.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 28840 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, under date of November 24, 1920, the Railroad Commission of the State of California, in a report "In the Matter of the Valuation of the Spring Valley Water Company's Properties," established the value of the company's properties by the City as of that date, after a thorough and comprehensive study of the entire water situation in San Francisco and an exhaustive investigation of the properties of the Spring Valley Water Company; and

Whereas, in that same report the Railroad Commission stated:

"We are convinced that there can be no question of the wisdom on the part of the City of San Francisco of this purchase, if the properties can be secured at the price herein named.

"The City has definitely, and we believe wisely, committed itself to a municipally owned water supply. This program, as a practical proposition, cannot satisfactorily be carried out unless the Spring Valley properties are first acquired. Theoretically it may seem possible to duplicate the water distribution system within the city limits, but an actual duplication is almost an impossibility. Not only is this true for the reason that, with the present system in place, the cost would be very large (much larger than the total of any reproduction cost estimate of the Spring Valley distributing system), but the legal complications, the delay and time required in the completion of a duplicate system, and the technical difficulties involved, to say nothing of the general destruction of the streets of the City and the interference with traffic, would make such a duplication a very serious undertaking. The waste resulting from such duplication would be, in every sense of the word, unjustifiable.

"The matter of the duplication of the distribution system is, however, not the most important or serious one. It is absolutely essential that the City, if it own its source of water supply, be also in ownership and control of storage and general distribution reservoirs in the close neighborhood of the City. Such reservoirs will be required for the storage and distribution of water from Hetch Hetchy. The only suitable reservoirs actually in existence, together with potential reservoir sites, are the property of the Spring Valley Water Company.

"If a condition could be imagined where the City could duplicate the Spring Valley distributing system, could find the necessary reservoir sites sufficiently close to the City, and did bring Hetch Hetchy water into San Francisco, there would then be two competing water systems serving San Francisco consumers. Even if city water were available in San Francisco, there is no legal power to compel discontinuance of service by Spring Valley Water Company to consumers. This could but result in wasteful competition and higher cost to the entire community."

* * * * *

"The outlay on the part of the City for the purchase of the Spring Valley system need not result in an added tax burden on the people of San Francisco. With efficient operation and under reasonable water rates the purchase of the Spring Valley system will carry itself."

* * * * *

"If the property is purchased as recommended by us, the element of severance damage will disappear. If, on the other hand, the Spring Valley were left with a portion of its property, which portion, however, could not be devoted to the purposes of a water utility, the matter of severance damage would unquestionably come up and assume

large proportions in terms of money."

And whereas, the people of the City of San Francisco will be called upon on May 1st to vote upon a bond issue for the purchase of the Spring Valley properties by the City in the estimated sum of $41,000,000, which price is based on the fair value put upon the properties of the Spring Valley Company by the Railroad Commission in their report of November 24, 1920, increased by actual expenditures for additions and betterments since that time, with proper allowance for depreciation and certain amortization out of revenues on returns allowed by the Railroad Commission; and

Whereas, the Railroad Commission in its report at that time offered their continued friendly cooperation in the working out of detailed arrangements for acquisition or in other ways; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby submit to the Railroad Commission the copy of the purchase proposition to be submitted to the voters, together with the estimate of prospective earnings of the properties under City ownership and ask that the Commission take notice of the same, and thereafter, if the Railroad Commission so conclude, that they reaffirm the opinion expressed in the report of November 24, 1920, as to the fairness of the price and the desirability of the purchase of the Spring Valley system by the City.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Mayor Appoints Committee to Attend City Planning Conference.

The following was read by the Clerk:

April 16, 1928.

Mr. John Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

At the request of the Mayor I am advising you that, in accordance with resolution adopted by your Board, he has appointed the following Supervisors as a committee to attend the Annual California City Plan Conference to be held in Pasadena on April 26, 27 and 28, 1928.

Hon. J. Emmet Hayden.
Hon. Cornelius J. Deasy.
Hon. J. M. Toner.

Will you kindly advise these Supervisors of their appointment by the Mayor?

Yours very truly,
(Sgd.) W. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

Vance Breeze, Aviator, Commended.

Resolution No. 28849 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has come to the notice of this Board that on Sunday, April 15, 1928, an airplane, operating from our Municipal Airport, "Mills Field," and being handled and controlled by Mr. Vance Breese, expert aviator, met with a singular accident when, in midair, by the sudden breaking of its propeller and disengagement of the engine, imperiling the lives of seven occupants, including the aviator; and

Whereas, by reason of the expert knowledge, coolness and judgment of the aviator, Vance Breese, the plane was brought to earth in safety under these most trying and dangerous conditions, thereby saving the lives of the occupants to the extent of not even the slightest injury to them, which appears to be an unprecedented act; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board extends to Aviator Vance Breese its most sincere congratulations and praise for his expert and cool behavior in bringing to safety the plane and its occupants.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

The following matters were taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is, however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the pro-

posed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

Motion.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing matters were *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Todd—5.

SPECIAL ORDERS—11 A. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

(1)

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Todd—5.

After the roll call on the foregoing application Colonel Rand was granted the privilege of the floor on motion of Supervisor Shannon.

Colonel Rand addressed the Board and opposed postponement for four weeks. He said an emergency might arise as a result of the communication from Supervisor McSheehy or other advices from Washington that might make desirable prompt action on the matter of the franchise; that if the matters are set for tomorrow or next week they would be in the hands of the Board.

Motion Lost.

Supervisor Marks moved to rescind motion postponing action for four weeks.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Shannon, Todd, Toner—6.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McGovern, McSheehy—4.

(2)

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(3)

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(4)

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(5)

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(6)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll

bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(7)

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(8)

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(9)

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width

of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(10)

Application of Edward A. Bert- operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(11)

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(12)

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(13)

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(15)

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(18)

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

Filed August 16, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

Tunnels.

(19)

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued*

until May 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(20)

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(21)

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(22)

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(23)

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(24)

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(25)

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(26)

Application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for permission to construct a toll bridge along an alternative line between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

Filed May 29, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(27)

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(28)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(29)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbell and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(30)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(31)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(32)

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(33)

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hun-

dred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(34)

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from the outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continuation of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama, Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quarters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the lenth of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(35)

Amended application of Allan C. Rush for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Oakland, Alameda County.

Said bridge to be located at the starting point at or near what is known as Rincon Hill, in the City of San Francisco, and from which named point extending over and across what is known as San Francisco Channel of the Bay of San Francisco, this channel having an approximate width of 11,088 feet, to Goat Island—Yerba Buena—in a suspension bridge with a height of not less than 200 feet, measuring from the floor and base of said bridge down *to* and *above* high tide, supported in its entirety by four cables resting over five bridge piers, one pier at or near Rincon Hill, three piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, and one pier on the westerly shore end of Goat Island—Yerba Buena—numbered, respectively, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, with Pier No. 5 ending on said island.

Filed by E. L. Vandair Nailen, November 7, 1927, and *continued for hearing until November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(36)

Alternative design, Inter-Cities Bridge Company, for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, as follows:

On a line from near the foot of Broadway, in San Francisco, to the northern slope of Goat Island, along this northerly slope to the eastern end of the island, and thence to and along the northerly edge, or near the same, of the Key Route Mole to the entrance into Oakland, fully described in the original application.

Filed December 21, 1927.

December 27, 1927—*Read and continued until January* 23, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

(37)

Application of John Goulding Little for authority to erect, maintain and operate, and to take tolls on a toll bridge to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the County of Alameda, State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 7 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 20 min. 49 sec., which point is about 120 feet northerly from the Key System Transit Company's Ferry House in the City of Oakland, and extending thence westerly to a point in the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 2 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 21 min. 31 sec., which point is about 700 feet northerly from the northeast point of Goat Island; thence westerly by the most direct available route with suitable grades and curvature to the intersection of the center line of Market street produced with the waterfront line on The Embarcadero in the City and County of San Francisco.

That the length of said bridge is 16,000 feet and that the breadth of said bridge is 2000 feet.

Filed January 30, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until May* 14, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd—4.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour 7:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, April 30, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY No. 18

Tuesday, April 17, 1928.

Monday, April 23, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, APRIL 17, 1928, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, April 17, 1928, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met for the purpose of hearing protests and objections to the assessment for the Islais Creek Reclamation District.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd, Toner—7.

Excused—Supervisor Marks—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

Privilege of the Floor.

Allen G. Wright, attorney representing the Islais Creek Reclamation District, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board.

He declared that the Board of Commissioners of the Islais Creek Reclamation District were as anxious as the Board of Supervisors that the assessments be in proper form and properly prorated. If an attack against the legality of the proceedings develops he said that the district will want to be heard and represented. Furthermore, he said, we wish to be as helpful to the Board in conducting the inquiry as we may be. He said that the commissioners had addressed a letter to the Board of Supervisors that gives the background out of which this proceeding arises, and gives certain information with regard to the procedure that I think will be helpful and I would like to file that at this time. We have a table also, he said, that shows how this assessment has been spread on all of the property of the protestants in this district.

Theodore J. Savage said in part: Mr. Chairman, I represent two of the protestants in this matter, the Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Co. and William Taaffe & Co. They are the principal protestants here, and I am prepared to offer our evidence on behalf of the protests that are filed. I do not know whether the protests have been read. But I will briefly state the grounds of protest. I do not intend to make you a speech at this time. The proper method of presenting a matter of this kind is for the protestants to offer their evidence to the Board, after having stated the grounds of their objections and then to sum up that evidence and ask the Board for such action as they think the facts warrant. The protestants that I represent protest this assessment, and they protest the plan and estimates of cost upon which it is based, upon various grounds which are stated in our written objections which are on file here, that I will briefly summarize as follows: that the plans and estimates are not made in accordance with law; that the plan is not clear or definite; that it cannot be ascertained from the plan where, or what area, or what materials, the method, manner of quality of the proposed filling; that the plan involves the improvement of State property and the assessment of the cost on private property; that the plan includes a sewer which is not within the purview of the Reclamation Act, and which has been assessed otherwise than as provided by law; that no provision has been made for damages to private property; that no permission has been acquired from the owners of private property that their property may be filled; that the commissioners appointed by this Board were appointed without authority, and that the assessment list was not made in accordance with law, nor was the property in the assessment district assessed in accordance with benefits, but on another and different scheme, and in another and different way; that lands near the boundary of the district slightly

benefited are assessed as much as other lands in the district greatly benefited; that the City land has not been assessed; that, moreover, the cost of improving the land has been assessed on private property; that the assessment, by its size, is clearly confiscatory; that the lands of the petitioners are assessed in excess of their benefits; that the Board should modify and amend its report in those particulars; that Sections 3455 and 3462 of the Islais Creek Reclamation Act, the Act of the Assessment District, is unconstitutional and void, for which reasons the proceedings should be abandoned.

Witnesses Examined.

The following witnesses were duly sworn and testified: Edw. J. Taaffe, vice-president of William Taaffe & Co., wholesale butchers, protestant; Chas. E. Reinhart, president of the Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Company, protestant; M. H. Levy, Assistant Engineer for Board of Public Works, witness for protestants.

Motion.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the hearing of protests against the assessment for the Islais Creek Reclamation District project was continued until Monday, April 23, 1928, at the hour of 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

MONDAY, APRIL 23, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 23, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 9, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

In re Supervisor McSheehy's Tube Compromise.

A resolution by Supervisor Shannon and a substitute therefor offered by Supervisor Havenner condemnatory of Supervisor McSheehy's 'tube compromise for a bay bridge' was *referred to his Honor the Mayor and the remaining members of the Special Bridge Committee.*

Telegram, in re Bridge Permit.

Washington, D. C., 16.

J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.:

Telegram received too late to reach you in time for session. Will report in full on my return home about middle of next week.

JAMES B. McSHEEHY.

Read by Clerk.

April 17, 1928.

Honorable Hiram W. Johnson, U. S. Senator from California, Senate Building, Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator Johnson:

Congratulations on your success in securing favorable committee report. You have earned the appreciation and gratitude of the whole city for the splendid work you have done. What steps can you suggest the city take to bring about expeditious passage of bill? Warmest regards.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.
JOHN J. O'TOOLE.
MATT I. SULLIVAN.

Read

Road District Resolution.

Resolution, of Board of Supervisors of Mendocino County, declaring that public interest and necessity requires construction of public highway in Humboldt, Mendocino, Sonoma, Marin and San Francisco, declaring that said counties will be benefited and that it is proposed to create a joint highway district for the purpose of constructing same.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Protest Against Zone Change on Twenty-eighth Street.

Protest, of C. F. Collonan and numerous others against proposed rezoning of northeast corner of Twenty-eighth street and San Jose avenue and northwest corner of Twenty-eighth and Guerrero streets preparatory to granting gasoline station permit at location indicated.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Protest Against Abolishment of Set-back Lines, Twenty-second Avenue.

Protest, of L. R. Morcom against proposed abolishment of set-back

lines on east side of Twenty-second avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets with proper reimbursement for damages to all affected.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Report of Bureau of Engineering on Traffic Conditions, Mallen Permit.

April 23, 1928.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In response to the request of April 2d of your Honorable Board for a survey of the traffic conditions on Taylor street between Turk and Eddy streets, relative to the application of Howard J. Mallen for a parking station permit, the following report is submitted:

The report consists of two parts—Map P-10 revised to April 20, 1928, for this purpose, and this written report.

A check to ascertain the destination was made of all persons parking automobiles at the curb within one block of the proposed parking lot location. This investigation was carried on from 8 a. m. to 9 p. m. of a typical week day. In addition to this investigation a check of the garages and parking lots in this area was made for one typical week day from midnight to midnight to ascertain the destination of transient patronage. The check of garages also shows the total business for the day segregated as to weekly or monthly storage and transient business, the time of arrival and departure of the transient patronage and the length of time that the transient vehicle remained in storage.

The check shows that persons parking automobiles either at the curb, in parking lots or in garages for day storage prefer not to walk more than approximately 600 feet to their destination. The distance that people are willing to walk is greatly influenced by automobile storage facilities. From this particular location it was found that in no case did a person walk more than 400 feet in a northerly or westerly direction, but that several parties walked as far as 1200 feet in a southerly or easterly direction into the more congested districts. This directional effect is caused by the fact that there are very limited storage facilities in the central district.

The fact that people prefer to park or store their cars on the line of travel to their destinations was also noted. It will be seen then that demand for storage space is far greater on a direct line of travel than on streets with the same amount of business enterprises, but off the line of travel of persons whose destinations are within the central district.

A line approximately 600 feet in radius, having its center midway between the two proposed parking lots fronting on Taylor street between Turk and Eddy streets has been drawn on the map. The storage facilities in the district enclosed within this circle are those most vitally affected by these proposed parking lots.

There are certain classes of business that create a demand for temporary off-street automobile storage more than others. These are theaters, restaurants, hotels and department stores. Certain hotels, however, have a tendency to create a demand for weekly or monthly automobile storage more than for temporary or day storage. Theaters are particularly noticeable as causing a demand for temporary off-street automobile storage.

Table I summarizes the study of the business done by garages and parking lots located within or partially within the area most vitally affected by these proposed parking lots. There are five parking lots with a combined stall capacity of 400 vehicles and six garages with a combined stall storage capacity of 1298 vehicles. The daily business for the parking lots is 500 vehicles, and that of the garages 735 vehicles. It will be noted that the class of business done by the garages is of a different nature from that done by the parking lots, as the bulk of the garage storage is weekly or monthly storage, while the bulk of the parking lot business is transient daily storage. The length of time the average transient vehicle remains in a garage is very materially longer than the average length of time a transient vehicle remains in a parking lot. The result is that if a garage were used to capacity it could accommodate 100 to 200 per cent of its stall capacity, whereas a parking lot could accommodate from 200 to 300 per cent of its stall capacity.

Very few automobiles enter garages and parking lots in this district for temporary storage before 10 a. m. or after 10 p. m. This is significant, as it limits the turnover that can be expected in any one given day to not over a twelve-hour period for transient day stor-

age, plus over-night storage. It is observed, however, that parking lots have very little over-night storage.

It is quite noticeable that for any given day the off-street transient storage does not begin to be patronized to any marked extent until the curb space in the vicinity is completely occupied.

For the time being, the garage storage capacity of this particular locality seems to exceed the demand. There seems to be a demand on the part of the public for additional parking lots. The existing parking lots are well patronized, and those located closer to groups of theaters could expect even better patronage.

The exact extent to which garages and parking lots are direct competitors is difficult to state. It is certain that parking lots will not take the permanent or semi-permanent storage, which forms the bulk of the present garage business in this district, away from the garages. Parking lots have very little over-night storage, while garages are favored by this class of business. On the other hand, the public seems to greatly prefer parking lots to garages for temporary day storage and in this respect they are competitors.

The report may be summarized as follows:

1. From a purely street traffic point of view, it would be beneficial to have a parking lot in this locality, as it would provide temporary off-street automobile storage of a nature for which there is a demand, and thus relieve street traffic congestion.

2. There are other factors concerned in locating parking lots to be considered in the effect upon the City, other than purely street traffic. Among these are the effect on adjacent business, such as stores, hotels and theaters; the effect upon the future building of structures on property having parking lots, and the effect of competition by introducing new parking lots, on garages and parking lots now established. These items are of importance. They involve factors that are beyond the scope of information of the Division of Street Traffic Engineering.

Respectfully submitted,

M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
City Engineer.

Table I: Summary of check on business done by garages and parking lots in the vicinity of Taylor street between Turk and Eddy streets April 10, 1928, for twenty-four hours. Column 1—Stall capacity. Column 2—Total business. Column 3—Monthly or weekly storage. Column 4—Transient storage. Column 5—Average length of time (hours and minutes) in station for transient storage.

Name and address.	1	2	3	4	5
Edelles Park, 252 Eddy street and 333 Ellis street	70	62	40	22	8:09
Bell Auto Park, 201 Turk street	100	105	7	98	....
Down Town Parking Station, northeast corner Taylor and Ellis streets	100	164	27	137	....
Down Town Parking Station, northwest corner Taylor and Ellis streets	100	97	35	62	....
J. N. Hughes, 210 Taylor street	70	72	45	27	4:20
Totals for auto parks	440	500	154	346	6:15+
Bell Garage, 175 Turk street	500	154	106	48	....
Olympic Hotel Garage, 230 Eddy street	33	35	9	26	12:00
Dalma Garage, 265 Eddy street	250	165	100	65	....
Golden Gate Garage, 64 Golden Gate avenue	125	65	13	52	....
Star Garage, 150 Turk street	130	119	90	29	....
Flood Garage, 261 Ellis street	260	197	145	52	....
Totals for garages	1298	735	463	272	....
Grand totals	1738	1235	617	618	....

Read by Clerk.

Communication, from Marshall Hale favoring use of vacant lots in downtown district, with particular reference to pending application of H. J. Mallen for a parking station on Taylor street between Turk and Eddy streets.

Report of Chairman Toner, of Health Committee, re Refusal of Emergency Hospital Service.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

April 23, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I desire to call the Board's attention to the recent case of a child being refused emergency attention at one of our leading hospitals, due to a lack of funds on the part of the child's parents. This case has received widespread publicity and has forcibly called attention to our lack of proper legislative regulations governing the handling of such emergencies at private hospitals. It is my thought that we should pass an ordinance requiring all hospitals to give emergency treatment to all cases presented to them, regardless of the lack of funds, and I have requested the City Attorney to prepare the necessary legislation in accordance therewith. I have called a special meeting of the Health Committee for May 8th to consider this highly important matter, and I would appreciate any suggestions that the members of the Board might make. I am inviting representatives of all the hospitals in the City, as well as our Department of Public Health, to be present at this meeting and I would like to have as many members of the Board as can do so also to attend.

Sincerely yours,

DR. J. M. TONER,
Chairman Health Committee.

Report of French Hospital.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Communication, from French Hospital, reporting case of Clara McGarry, who was brought to the hospital on April 15, 1928.

Referred to Health Committee hearing May 15, 1928, 10 *a. m.*

Produce Terminal at Marina.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication, from Marina District Improvement Association, calling attention to building permit granted April 20 to the San Francisco Produce Terminal and Service Company by the Board of Public Works, and protesting against this action looking to commercial development along the City's valuable scenic marine front.

Referred to Finance and Parks and Playgrounds Committees.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued two weeks*:

Mayor's Veto, W. W. Haley Parking Station.

Mayor's Office.

San Francisco, April 11, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am returning to you herewith, without my approval, Resolution No. 28762 (New Series), by reason of protests from various individuals and associations directly concerned who have bombarded my office with objections to the granting of this permit to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

Respectfully,

(Sgd.) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

April 16, 1928—*Over one week.*

Resolution No. 28762 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Finally passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 2, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved — San Francisco, April 11, 1928.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Reasons set forth in attached message to your Honorable Board.

J. R., Jr.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

Mayor's Veto Withdrawn, W. H. Manaton Parking Station.

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. 28759 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. H. Manaton be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the southeasterly line of City Hall avenue, 82 feet 11 inches southwesterly from the intersection of

the southerly line of McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Finally passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 2, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved — San Francisco, April 11, 1928.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Reasons are: Because of strenuous objections of adjacent property owners and of various associations in the district where this permit applies.

Respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Communication From Mayor.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, April 16, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I find that I have been misinformed regarding protests against the permit granted by your Honorable Board for a parking station on City Hall avenue in the name of Wm. H. Manaton.

The situation was called to my attention upon my return from Los Angeles, where I went on Thursday to escort some guests of the City for a daylight trip through California and to see them away in their sailing Friday from Los Angeles harbor, and also to discuss with Los Angeles officials arrangements for the dedication of their new City Hall on April 26th, at which I have been invited to make an address.

The protests were not against the Manaton permit, but against the Haley permit on McAllister street, which I have also returned to your Honorable Board without my approval.

I present my compliments and ask you to kindly disregard by disapproval of the Manaton permit and to reinstate this permit in the form in which you orginally sent it to me. Please bear in my that my disapproval of the Haley permit still stands as given.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the question was put: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor, and the veto was overruled by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were, on recommendation of the Finance Committee, taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28873 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Relief Home Bond Fund.

(1) Chas. Brown & Sons, refrigerator for the Relief Home (claim dated April 12, 1928), $621.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(2) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, proportion of fee due for professional services passing on Boulevard Bonds (claim dated April 6, 1928), $2,250.

County Road Fund.

(3) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, construction of tunnel extensions under Skyline boulevard (claim dated April 10, 1928), $9,900.

(4) Federal Construction Co., assessment against City property for the improvement of Golden Gate Heights (claim dated April 11, 1928), $30,500.

(5) United Improvement Co., grading, curbing, etc., of Orizaba avenue, Holloway to Grafton avenues (claim dated April 11, 1928), $1,498.86.

(6) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, steel tie rod anchorage for Third and Channel bridge (claim dated April 11, 1928), $1,875.

(7) A. W. Kitchen, furnishing and repairing fender piling for Third and Channel bridge (claim dated April 11, 1928), $1,182.

Special School Tax.

(8) Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs to yard of Polytechnic High School (claim dated April 5, 1928), $642.50.

(9) George H. Tay Co., plumbing fixtures for Crocker Junior High School (claim dated April 5, 1928), $637.50.

(10) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for March, 1928 (claim dated April 5, 1928), $1,300.

(11) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electrical fixtures for South Side High School (claim dated April 10, 1928), $1,649.25.

(12) Jas. W. Reid, first payment, architectural services for Marina Elementary School (claim dated April 11, 1928), $2,136.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(13) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., library equipment for the Mission High School (claim dated April 3, 1928), $858.50.

(14) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chairs for Galileo High School (claim dated April 10, 1928), $620.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(15) John Reid, Jr., seventeenth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated April 11, 1928), $5,712.39.

(16) Park Commissioners, planting to planting area of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated April 11, 1928), $850.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(17) H. H. Larsen Co., eighth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated April 10, 1928), $3,711.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(18) Deuse D. Bragg and M. A. Bragg, her husband, in settlement of claim against the Municipal Railways (claim dated April 4, 1928), $2,151.

(19) George P. Brannen, in settlement of claim for personal injuries against Municipal Railways (claim dated April 11, 1928), $8,000.

(20) Ethel J. George, in settlement of claim for account of death of mother, Mrs. Elizabeth James (claim dated April 11, 1928), $650.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(21) J. G. Brill Co., fifth and final payment for supplying of street car trucks (claim dated April 11, 1928), $4,695.57.

(22) Robert W. Jamison, sixth payment, installing electric conductors, etc., for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated April 10, 1928), $1,350.

(23) St. Louis Car Co., eighth and final payment, supplying street car bodies (claim dated April 11, 1928), $14,341.25.

(24) Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., third and final payment, supplying street car air brakes (claim dated April 11, 1928), $1,498.25.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(25) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated April 10, 1928), $531.98.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(26) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, fifteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated April 11, 1928), $24,286.73.

(27) A. Guthrie & Co., sixteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated April 11, 1928), $27,294.74.

(28) Loop Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated April 10, 1928), $1,560.93.

(29) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated April 10, 1928), $567.94.

(30) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils and grease (claim dated April 10, 1928), $564.85.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(31) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing the Law-Motion-Trial Calendar for March (claim dated April 16, 1928), $1,075.

(32) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded for March (claim dated April 16, 1928), $9,770.

(33) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for March (claim dated April 16, 1928), $57,559.54.

(34) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated April 13, 1928), $8,316.46.

(35) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claims dated April 13, 1928), $1,140.

(36) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated April 13, 1928), $8,295.43.

(37) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $820.

(38) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $546.31.

(39) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $1,340.

(40) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $3,795.75.

(41) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $12,871.31.

(42) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $34,618.24.

(43) Boys' Aid Society, mainte-

nance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $1,298.35.

(44) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $2,075.48.

(45) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 10, 1928), $3,008.93.

(46) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated April 7, 1928), $764.75.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated April 9, 1928), $2,039.83.

(48) Enterprise Foundry Co., manhole frames, etc., for sewers (claim dated April 6, 1928), $519.25.

(49) A. S. Gough, first payment, seats for chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 11, 1928), $1,171.25.

(50) Clarence B. Eaton, fourth payment, installation of auxiliary high-pressure water system (claim dated April 11, 1928), $10,000.

(51) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., tomatoes, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $750.

(52) Haas Bros., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $2,724.99.

(53) H. E. Teller, coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $620.

(54) L. M. Wilbor, M.D., allowance for room rent for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated March 31, 1928), $3,385.40.

(55) Seabury & Johnson, drug sundries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $563.20.

(56) Chas. Brown & Sons, crockery for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $658.08.

(57) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,081.97.

(58) Carl Munter & Co., towels for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Feb. 29, 1928), $791.80.

(59) Baumgarten Bros., meat, for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,052.20.

(60) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $3,603.61.

(61) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,811.48.

(62) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $3,248.06.

(63) J. T. Freitas Co. Inc., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $1,299.69.

(64) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., supplies for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $636.33.

(65) Edw. P. McAuliffe, coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $590.

(66) Morris & Company, butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,276.02.

(67) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $2,557.27.

(68) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Mar. 31, 1928), $774.24.

(69) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,116.93.

(70) Dion R. Holm, legal services for April, telephone litigation (claim dated April 12, 1928), $850.

(71) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for April, telephone litigation (claim dated April 12, 1928), $750.

(72) California Printing Co., printing for Dept. of Elections (claim dated April 12, 1928), $984.75.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(73) John J. Dailey, legal services for month of April, in the valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated April 12, 1928), $850.

Auditorium Fund.

(74) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Paul Althouse, tenor soloist, April 10 and 13, 1928, Spring Music Festival (claim dated April 12, 1928), $1,650.

(75) Wolfsohn Musical Bureau of New York, Inc., for services of Kathryn Meisle, soloist in two appearances, Spring Music Festival, April 10 and 13, 1928 (claim dated April 12, 1928), $1,200.

(76) Wolfsohn Musical Bureau of New York, Inc., for services of Florence Austral, April 10 and 13, Spring Music Festival (claim dated April 12, 1928), $1,750.

Park Fund.

(77) C. F. Bishop Company, one Toro golf machine (claim dated April 6, 1928), $985.

(78) Haskins & Sells, professional services auditing accounts of Park Commission for five years ended June 30, 1927, and preparation of report (claim dated April 6, 1928), $3,289.95.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 28874 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Terence O'Connor, for portion of Lot 8, Block 6747, as per the Assessor's Map Book; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28768, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $562.

(2) To Mary Elizabeth Reno, for Lot 26, Block "C," French and Gilman Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28769, New Series (claim dated April 4, 1928), $4,800.

(3) To Felix Cerini and Sarah Cerini, for portion of Lot "f," Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28769, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $1,450.

(4) To George Pellegrino and Estate of Virginia Pellegrino for Lot 4, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28769, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $4,600.

(5) To Wm. R. Segurson, Jas. Segurson and Geo. M. Segurson, for Lot 24, Jones' addition to Fairmount Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28769, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $975.

(6) To Maude C. Crosby, for Lot 3, Block 6662, as per Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28770, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $2,500.

(7) To Aladino Luchesi and Rose Luchesi, for Lot 37, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28771, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $4,500.

(8) To Bessie O'Brien, for portion of the Islais and Salinas Tract, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28771, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $648.

(9) To Wm. J. Sullivan, for portion of Lot 12, Block 16, Fairmount Tract, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28771, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $1,600.

(10) To Thomas Vizzard and Frances Vizzard, for Lot 14, Block "B," French & Gilman Tract, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28771, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $6,000.

(11) To Edward Moss and Margaret Moss, for Lot 4, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28771, New Series (claim dated April 5, 1928), $3,650.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28875 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Barbara Hernster, for land commencing at a point on the southerly line of Geary street, distant thereon 60 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly on the southerly line of Geary street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 125 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28457, New Series (claim dated April 16, 1928), $6,000.

(2) To Mrs. C. Bacelli, for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 465 feet 10 inches northerly from Geary street, running thence northerly on said easterly line of Cook street 49 feet 5 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 49 feet 5 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28459, New Series (claim dated April 16, 1928), $6,500.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Appropriation, $2,200, Payment to Mary E. Porter for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28876 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2.200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Land Fund and authorized in pay-

ment to Mary E. Porter; being payment for land required for school purposes and situate, commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant 515 feet 3 inches northerly from Geary street, running thence northerly on said easterly line of Cook street 24 feet 4 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 24 feet 4 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Cook street; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28458, New Series (claim dated April 16, 1928).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Appropriation, $2,750, Payment to Thos. J. Dunne for Land for Potrero Playground.

Resolution No. 28877 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund and authorized in payment to Thos. J. Dunne; being payment for Lot 26, Block 4163-4196 as per Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco, and required for Potrero Playground (claim dated April 4, 1928).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 28878 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To the McCarthy Company, for strip of land 50 feet wide lying on the southeasterly line of Block 3149 of the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28775 (New Series); required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated April 5, 1928), $7,739.

(2) To Frederico Mangini, for portion of Block 502, as per map entitled "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28773 (New Series); required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated April 5, 1928), $1,288.

(3) To Alessio Del Carlo, for portion of Lot 39 of Block "O" of Thornton & Williams' Subdivision of Silver Terrace Survey, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28773 (New Series); required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated April 5, 1928), $1,872.

(4) To Elizabeth Fontanier and Christian Stockmeyer, for portion of Lot 239, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28776 (New Series); required for the Alemany boulevard (claim dated April 5, 1928), $973.

(5) To A. J. Donzel and David Donzel, for land beginning at point of intersection of the southerly line of Sagamore street and the westerly line of Capitol avenue, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Sagamore street a distance of 150 feet; thence at right angles southerly 40 feet; thence at right angles easterly 150 feet; thence northerly on the westerly line of Capitol avenue 40 feet, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28776 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated April 5, 1928), $2,160.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Appropriations, Reimbursement to Board of Health for Supplies Furnished.

Resolution No. 28879 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned Budget items to the credit of Appropriations, Department of Public Health; being reimbursement for supplies furnished, to-wit:

(1) From Budget Item No. 57 (Preventorium, San Mateo County) to credit of Budget Item No. 844 (Laguna Honda Home), for meat and bread furnished Preventorium during months of January, February and March, 1928, $1,464.61.

(2) From Budget Item No. 1012 (Emergency Hospitals) to the credit of Budget Item No. 844 (Laguna Honda Home) for laundry work furnished emergency hospitals, $801.12.

(3) From Budget Item No. 58 (Maternity Service) to the credit of Budget Item No. 995 (San Francisco Hospital), for supplies furnished for maternity service, $500.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Appropriation, Maternity Service for Month of March, and Alterations to High Pressure Service, Vallejo Street.

Resolution No. 28880 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Maternity Service, Budget Item No. 58.

(1) For payroll and expense in connection with out patient maternity service for the month of March, 1928, $907.50.

County Road Fund.

(2) For making of necessary alterations to existing high-pressure water mains, required in connection with the improvement of Vallejo street between Kearny and Montgomery streets, $600.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Appropriation, $10,000, Additional and Emergency Supplies for Board of Health.

Resolution No. 28881 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Appropriation, $2,000, Twenty Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.

Resolution No. 28882 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, Appropriation 46-A, Budget Item No. 921, for the employment of twenty additional nurses at the San Francisco Hospital during the month of April, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Condemnation of Lands, Extension of Rousseau Street.

Resolution No. 28883 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Lots Nos. 251 and 252 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further, that said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the extension of Rousseau street as an approach to the Alemany boulevard. It is necessary that a fee-simple title be taken for such use.

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Bernal Boulevard.

Bill No. 8517, Ordinance No. 8009 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Bernal boulevard between Milton street and Rousseau street, extending to a line 125 feet northerly from and parallel with the northerly line of Bosworth street, in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Noes — Supervisors Havanner, Schmidt—2.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued for three weeks*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up on recommendation of the Fire Committee and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Boiler Permit.

Resolution No. 28884 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Columbia Soap and Chemical Co. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors to maintain and operate a 10-horse power boiler at 221 Clara street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Auto Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 28885 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. S. Rhodes, Jr., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Portola drive and Fowler avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Resolution No. 28886 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Portola drive and Teresita boulevard.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Condemnation Proceedings of Land for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28887 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described land and any and all rights and claims thereto, for school purposes, to-wit: All the lots pieces or parcels of land included in the following description, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arguello boulevard, distant thereon 465 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Geary street; running thence northerly along said easterly line of Arguello boulevard 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Arguello boulevard and Point of Commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 1061 on Assessor's Map Book.

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby instructed to commence proceedings against the owner or owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land enclosed within said hereinabove description, and any and all rights and claims thereto and interest therein, for the condemnation thereof for the use of the City and County as aforesaid and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Marks—1.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Appropriation, $1,875, Chief Engineer for Bureau of Building Inspection.

Resolution No. 28888 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,875 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the Board of Public Works out of the General Fund, 1927-1928, for the employment of a Chief Engineer for the Bureau of Building Inspection from April 1 to June 30, 1928, at the rate of $625 per month.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Spur Track Permits.

Bill No. 8502, Ordinance No. 8010 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors of The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from its existing tracks and along the easterly side of Potrero avenue from Twenty-fifth street southerly, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from its existing tracks and along the easterly side of Potrero avenue from Twenty-fifth street southerly, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of existing side track of The Western Pacific Railroad Company, distant southerly thereon 300 feet from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street; thence northerly along a turnout to the right a distance of 70 feet, crossing the easterly line of Potrero avenue approximately 240 feet southerly from the southerly line of said Twenty-fifth street; thence continuing northerly along a 20-foot curve to the right, having a radius of 287.94 feet, a distance of 51.67 feet to a point distant 10 feet, measured westerly at a right angle from the easterly line of said Potrero avenue; thence continuing northerly 10 feet from and parallel with said easterly line of Potrero avenue, 128.33 feet to a point 50 feet southerly from the southerly line of said Twenty-fifth street and point of termination.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part thereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision

and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Provided, that girder rail be used, that the existing sidewalk be restored, and that proper clearances be allowed for.

Provided, that The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8503, Ordinance No. 8011 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to I. L. Borden to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Greenwich street between Sansome and Battery streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to I. L. Borden to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Greenwich street between Sansome and Battery streets, described as follows:

Commencing at the center line of the existing track on Greenwich street, 37 feet west of the west line of Battery street and 31 feet 6 inches north of the south line of Greenwich street, with a radius of 477 feet and an angle of 14 degrees 30 minutes, more or less, to the center line, 188 feet west of the west line of Battery street, 8 feet 6 inches north of the south line of Greenwich street; thence parallel to the south line of Greenwich street 87 feet to the easterly line of Sansome street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part thereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the said I. L. Borden.

Also provided, that girder rail be laid within the roadway, that a catchbasin with accompanying ten-inch ironstone pipe culvert be constructed on the gutters and westerly from the proposed location, and that all curbs, pavement and sidewalks be restored to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Also provided, that the said I. L. Borden shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. So much of Ordinance No. 693, approved April 8, 1903, as conflicts with the provisions of this ordinance is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Repealing Ordinance Providing for the Improvement of Rhode Island Street.

Bill No. 8504, Ordinance No. 8012 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7960 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets by grading, construction of asphaltic concrete pavement, etc.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7960 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, by grading, construction of asphaltic concrete pavement, etc., is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8505, Ordinance No. 8013 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Foerster street between the southerly line of Melrose avenue and the southerly line of Kenyon avenue, including the intervening crossings and intersections, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 18-inch ironstone pipe sewer in the crossing of Foerster street and Melrose avenue; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width adjacent to the curb, except on the northeast and southeast angular corners of the crossing of Melrose avenue, where sidewalks will be of the full official width; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof from a line 416 feet northerly from Melrose avenue to Kenyon avenue; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8506, Ordinance No. 8014 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement of Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments, that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Jules and Grafton avenues and of Grafton avenue between Jules and Faxon avenues, where not al-

ready so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8507, Ordinance No. 8015 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Rivera street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8508, Ordinance No. 8016 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments, that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred

payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Polk street from the northerly line of North Point street to the northerly line of Beach street, including the crossing of Polk and Beach streets, except that portion occupied by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of 4 brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway the crossing of Polk street and Beach street; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on Polk street between North Point and Beach streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8509, Ordinance No. 8017 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Moscow street between the existing pavement at the northerly line of Amazon avenue and the northerly line of France avenue, including the crossings of Moscow street and Italy avenue, and Moscow street and France avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the westerly angular corners of Italy avenue, and on the angular corners of Moscow street and France avenue; the curb is to be extended across Italy avenue on the easterly side; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8510, Ordinance No. 8018 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said

City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Potrero avenue between Eighteenth street and Nineteenth street, Eighteenth street between Utah street and San Bruno avenue, Vermont street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, Twentieth street between Wisconsin street and Arkansas street, Wisconsin street between Twentieth street and Twenty-third street, Twenty-second street between Wisconsin street and Carolina street by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8511, Ordinance No. 8019 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Eighteenth avenue between Lawton and Santiago streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8512, Ordinance No. 8020 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the

provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ellington avenue between Foote avenue and Ottawa avenue, and of Foote avenue between Mission street and Ellington avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8513, Ordinance No. 8021 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirtieth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, Forty-first avenue between Geary and Clement streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8514, Ordinance No. 8022 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Pub-

lic Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Baker street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8515, Ordinance No. 8023 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Sadowa street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues, Capitol avenue between Sadowa and Farallones streets, Lobos street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not alread been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Bill No. 8516, Ordinance No. 8024 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifi-

cations are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twentieth avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets, Nineteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Seventeenth avenue between Rivera and Taraval streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd. Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $76,555.48, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were, on recommendation of Finance Committee, *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for parks (claim dated April 20, 1928), $1,177.30.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated April 20, 1928), $1,100.87.

(3) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated April 20, 1928), $1,057.61.

(4) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated April 20, 1928), $528.79.

(5) Masten & Hurd, architectural services for Kezar Stadium addition (claim dated April 13, 1928), $1,200.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(6) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated April 16, 1928), $546.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(7) Edward F. Dowd, final payment, electrical work for Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated April 18, 1928), $1,640.54.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(8) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for amount expended for improvement of Great Highway, March, 1928 (claim dated April 13, 1928), $7,692.67.

School Construction Fund. Bond Issue 1923.

(9) Alta Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $2,000.

(10) O. Monson, conditional acceptance payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $95,715.

(11) A. Lettich, conditional acceptance payment, plumbing, etc., for Portola Junior High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $9,720.20.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 16, 1928), $1,964.26.

(13) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement for March, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated April 13, 1928), $1,605.32.

(14) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated April 13, 1928), $3,386.76.

(15) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished (claim dated April 13, 1928), $38.886.83.

(16) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for account pensions, etc. (claim dated April 6, 1928), $1,459.39.

(17) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for account pensions, etc. (claim dated April 11, 1928), $7,461.62.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(18) Adelaide Kern and William H. Kern, in full settlement of all claims against Municipal Railways, for account of damages sustained September 15 1925 (claim dated April 13, 1928), $6,250.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(19) Robert W. Jamison, seventh payment, installing electric conductors, etc., for Sunset line (claim dated April 18, 1928), $4,350.

Special School Tax.

(20) W. P. Fuller & Co., glass and lead for schools (claim dated April 11, 1928), $532.40.

(21) MacDonald & Kahn, conditional acceptance payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $62,351.35.

(22) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., sixth payment, electrical work for South Side High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $991.88.

(23) A. Lettich, conditional acceptance payment, plumbing work for South Side High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $4,529.07.

Playground Fund.

(24) J. E. French Co., one auto truck for playgrounds (claim dated April 18, 1928), $1,135.

(25) J. H. McCallum Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated April 18, 1928), $601.21.

(26) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated April 18, 1928), $569.04.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(27) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated April 23, 1928), $2,663.25.

(28) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, April 3 to May 3, 1928 (claim dated April 23, 1928), $1,186.

(29) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending March 31, 1928 (claim dated April 23, 1928), $600.

(30) Welded Products Co., 60,000 pedestrian lane markers (claim dated April 23, 1928), $4,680.

(31) Acme Card System, six cabinets, stand and printed cards for Police Department, Traffic Bureau (claim dated April 23, 1928), $842.50.

(32) James G. Stafford and associates, sixteenth payment on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated April 22, 1928), $7,910.60.

(33) A. S. Gough, final payment for seats, chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 18, 1928), $623.75.

(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for public buildings (claim dated April 12, 1928), $4,394.58.

(35) Acme Gravel Co., sand, gravel, etc., for street repair (claim dated April 12, 1928), $742.97.

(36) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 12, 1928), $1,764.21.

(37) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated April 12, 1928), $1,514.05.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated April 12, 1928), $683.06.

(39) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1928), $762.16.

(40) McGee Sales Agency, repairs to Fire Department Pumping Station No. 1 (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,550.

(41) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1928), $2,002.82.

(42) Spring Valley Water Co., water and hydrant installation for Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1928), $2,667.06.

(43) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for amount expended in construction of Balboa Park (claim dated April 13, 1928), $823.13.

(44) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for amount of construction of convenience stations (claim dated April 13, 1928), $1,142.55.

(45) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated April 16, 1928), $879.52.

(46) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., room allowance for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated March 31, 1928), $3,500.

(47) Pratt-Low Preserving Co., canned fruits for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,965.06.

(48) Smith, Lynden & Co., foodstuffs for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $558.40.

(49) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruits and vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $860.36.

(50) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $709.02.

(51) California Filter Co., water softening mineral for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $750.

(52) Sussman, Wormser Co., sugar, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $2,334.20.

(53) Seabury & Johnson, hospital supplies for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $574.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,592.87.

Appropriation, $809.25, Payment to Crocker First National Bank, Fiscal Agent.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $809.25 be and the same is hereby set aside out of "Urgent Necessity." Budget Item No. 25, and authorized in payment to the Crocker First National Bank, being payment for services as fiscal agent for the City and County, and including New York Exchange, clerical services, etc., for period October, 1927, to April, 1928.

Recommitted.

The following resolution was, on motion, *rereferred to the Finance Committee*:

Investment of Robinson Bequest Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved That the sum of $42,012.84 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Robinson Bequest Fund," and authorized in payment to John H. Thieler, Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, for the purchase by him for the City and County of San Francisco Fairmont Hotel Company bonds bearing interest at five and one-half per centum per annum; being forty-one bonds and accrued interest, as authorized by Resolution No. 28777, New Series (claim dated April 18, 1928).

(*Treasurer John H. Thieler was heard in explanation of the foregoing matter.*)

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $2,100, Payment to J. Harold Johnson for Lands Required for School Purposes.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,100 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax and authorized in payment to J. Harold Johnson; being payment for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 86 feet 11⅛ inches, more or less, to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, running thence northerly along said last described line 25 feet 2 inches, more or less, to a line drawn easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Anza street from the point of commencement, and thence westerly along said last described line 84 feet 4¾ inches to the point of commencement. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28853 (New Series).

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over until meeting of May* 28, 1928:

Repealing Set-back Lines, Twenty-second Avenue and Taraval Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6471 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Taraval street and running thence southerly 33 feet 4 inches.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6471 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Taraval street and running thence southerly 33 feet 4 inches, is hereby repealed.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Block Bounded by Hawes Street, Thomas Avenue, Ingalls street and Shafter Avenue.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Bill No. 8519, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of

trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 10 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Hawes street, Thomas avenue, Ingalls street and Shafter avenue in the Light Industrial District instead of in the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Pine Street Between Larkin and Polk Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8520, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, where not already in the Commercial District, the northerly side of Pine street between Larkin and Polk streets.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Boutwell Street.

Also, Bill No. 8521, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Boutwell street, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Augusta street and running thence northerly 50 feet, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over until May* 21, 1928:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Twenty-eighth Street Between Guerrero Street and San Jose Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Twenty-eighth street between Guerrero street and San Jose avenue, for a distance of 79 feet 9 inches along Guerrero street and a distance of 85 feet 11 inches along San Jose avenue, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Repealing Set-back Lines, Balboa Street Between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth Avenues.

Bill No. 8522, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6136 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Balboa street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6136 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Balboa street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues is hereby repealed.

Re-referred.

The following matter was *rereferred to the City Planning Committee*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Jackson and Gough Streets.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Jackson and Gough streets, for a distance of sixty (60) feet on Jackson street and for a distance of 125 feet 8¼ inches on Gough street, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and they are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bell & Sylvester, west side Monterey boulevard, 300 feet south of Westgate drive, 600 gallons capacity.

A. Boitano, south line Filbert street, 100 feet east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Braunwarth, northeast corner of Fourteenth and Dolores streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Enterprise Oil Burner Co., west side Nineteenth avenue, 250 feet south of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Fisher, south side Lake street, 390 feet west of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

General Cigar Company, 601 Third street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. K. Holt, south side Taraval street, 100 feet west of Nineteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. W. Hoxie, 84 Commonwealth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Company, north side Pacific avenue, 80 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. A. Meussdorffer, south side of Pacific avenue, 60 feet east of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Progress Enameling Co., 442 Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Salvation Army College, 801 Silver avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hibernia Bank, southwest corner Mission and Norton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Silver Avenue Wet Wash Laundry, 904 Silver avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Strohime, south side Bay street, 200 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tasty Bakery, 1914 Irving street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. H. Threlkeld, 611 Camino Del Mar, 750 gallons capacity.

Tiedemann & Harris, south side Langton street, 275 feet east of Bryant street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Daniel Volkman, north side of Broadway, 200 feet west of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, north side of Jackson street, 175 feet east of First avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, north side of Jackson street, 150 feet east of First avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. Wallgren, 3521 Eighteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. George Wienholz, south side of Sacramento street, 154 feet west of Steiner, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. George Wienholz, 1230 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Parking Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Furniture Exchange be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain an automobile parking station on the northeast corner of Howard and New Montgomery streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. A. Whicher be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile parking station permit heretofore granted J. O. Wardrup for premises on the south side of Mission street, 73 feet 3½ inches east of Fifth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles F. Miller be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted A. P. Chapuis by Resolution No. 19838 (New Series), for premises at 834 Divisadero street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That McMichael & Schliter be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of San Jose avenue and Broad street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Extension of Time.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after April 3, 1928, within which to complete contract for the Auxiliary Water Supply Extension No. 6, from Harrison and Twenty-fifth streets to Evans avenue and Rankin street, under a public contract. This extension is granted on the recommendation of the Board of Public Works. The work has been practically completed, with the exception of minor details still remaining to be done. This is a first extension.

Garage Permit Denied.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 28893 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Nat Harband to maintain and operate a public garage on the northwest corner of Irving street and Twenty-third avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Andriano the following resolution was *laid over four weeks*:

Automobile Parking Station, H. J. Mallen.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 112 feet 8 inches north of Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 28894 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northeast and southwest corners Fifth avenue and Judah street.

Polk street, first and second west of Fillmore street.

Zoe and Freelon streets.

Zoe and Walsh streets.

Remove Electrolier.

Mission street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets (front of theater).

Install 400 *M. R.*

Corner Arguello boulevard and Turk street.

Hale and Bowdoin streets.

Thirty-ninth avenue and Kirkham street.

Twenty-third avenue and Wawona street.

Laura street and Alemany avenue.

Poplar street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Accepting Deed of W. H. Spaulding and Others.

Also, Resolution No. 28895 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed, executed on the 18th day of April, 1928, between W. H. Spaulding and Viola B. Spaulding, his wife, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to those certain lands in Assessor's Blocks, 4627A, 4628A and 4648A and portions of Blocks 4626A, 4647A, 4651 and 4649A and more particularly described as Parcels Nos. 1 and 2 in said deed, are hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Ingalls street, Davidson and Custer Avenues, as Hereinafter Described.

Also, Resolution No. 28896 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board by Resolution No. 28542 (New Series) declared its intention to close and abandon portions of Ingalls street, Davidson avenue and Custer avenue, hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said parts of said public streets was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner and for the period provided by Section 3, Chapter III, Article VI of the Charter of this City and County; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed since the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection to said closing and abandonment of said portions of said public streets was made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the judgment of this Board that public interest and convenience will be conserved and that it is advisable that said parts of said public streets as hereinafter particularly described be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing up and abandoning said parts of said public streets, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary therefor and that there will be no damages, costs or expenses in connection with said closing and abandoning of said parts of said public streets; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the said parts of said public streets, hereinabove referred to and hereinafter more particularly bounded and described are hereby closed and abandoned as public streets; that the said parts of said public streets so closed and abandoned are more particularly bounded and described as follows:

(1) Ingalls street between the southwesterly line of Burke avenue and the northeasterly line of Evans avenue.

(2) Davidson avenue between the southeasterly line of Jennings street and the westerly line of India and Hawes streets.

(3) Custer avenue between the southeasterly line of Jennings street and the westerly line of India street.

Said closing of said streets and avenues shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8523, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-one to ten hundred and twenty-four, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 14, 1928, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-one to ten hundred and twenty-four, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 1021. The width of sidewalks on Castle Manor avenue between Mission street and Camellia avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 1022. The width of sidewalks on Admiral avenue between Mission street and Camellia avenue shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 1023. The width of sidewalks on Silver avenue between Mission street and Alemany boulevard shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1024. The width of sidewalks on Camellia avenue between Silver avenue and Alemany boulevard shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Also, Bill No. 8524, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 14, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-five, to read as follows:

Section 1025. The width of sidewalks on Goethe street between San Jose avenue and the County Line shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Closing Portions of Winnipeg Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 28897 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest requires that a certain portion of Winnipeg avenue be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Winnipeg avenue described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue, distant thereon 6 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue, and running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 74,454 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 14 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 74.454 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 14 feet to the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue and the point of beginning.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2.

Be it Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Winnipeg avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San

Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Closing Certain Alley.

Also, Resolution No. 28898 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a petition has been filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, petitioning that a certain alley be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, a new alley to be approved by said Board of Supervisors will be opened up and deeded by the owners of the adjoining property to the City and County of San Francisco, conforming to the contour and topography of said land adjoining said alley; and

Whereas, the area of the alley to be opened and deeded by the owners of said property to the City and County of San Francisco, exceeds the area of said alley to be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, public interest and convenience require and would be conserved by said closing and abandoning of said alley; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of said Board of Supervisors to close and abandon said alley lying between said Blocks 3005C and 3005D, said alley being particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Hazelwood avenue with the easterly line of Lot 2 of Block 3005D, as shown upon map of "Blocks 3004B, 3005B, 3005C, 3005D and part of Blocks 2973, 3038 and 3054, Westwood Highlands, San Francisco, California", which was filed October 6, 1927, in Map Book "L" at pages 50 to 53, inclusive, in the Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; thence north along the easterly boundary line of Lots 2 and 1 of said Block 3005D 264.109 feet to the southerly boundary line of Cresta Vista drive; thence north 75 deg. 54 min. east along said southerly boundary line of Cresta Vista drive 20.621 feet to the northwesterly corner of Lot 22, in Block 3005C, as shown upon said recorded map; thence south along the westerly line of Lots 22 and 21 of said Block 3005C 269.921 feet to the northerly line of Hazelwood avenue; thence westerly along said northerly line of Hazelwood avenue along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears south 3 deg. 17 min. 37 sec. west 552 feet from last named point a distance of 20.018 feet to the point of beginning.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declare that the said closing and abandoning shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and succeeding section of Chapter 3, Article 6 of the Charter, as amended; be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said alley in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Closing Portion of Charles Street.

Also, Resolution No. 28899 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Charles street, as hereinafter described, be closed and abandoned; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon that portion of Charles street described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Mission street and the northerly line of Charles street, and running thence westerly along said northerly line 69 feet to the southeasterly line of the proposed Bernal Cut; thence deflecting to the left 69 degrees 01 minute 54 seconds and running southwesterly 64.173 feet to the southerly line of Charles street; thence deflecting to the left 110 degrees 58 minutes 06 seconds and running easterly along said southerly line 95 feet to the westerly line of Mission street; thence deflecting to the left 92 degrees 54 minutes and running northerly along said westerly line 60 feet to the northerly line of

Charles street and the point of beginning; being a portion of Charles street.

Said closing and abandonment of the said portion of Charles street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter III of Article IV of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said charter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Charles street be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Closing Portion of Kenwood Way.

Also, Resolution No. 28900 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Kenwood way, as hereinafter described, be closed and abandoned; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon that portion of Kenwood way described as follows:

All that portion of Kenwood way situated in the City and County of San Francisco, between Aptos avenue and the westerly line of Mt. Davidson Manor, and including the intersection of San Aleso avenue, as shown on map entitled, "Map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition," and recorded in Map Book "L," pages 29 to 33, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco, being a portion of Kenwood way.

Said closing and abandonment of the said portion of Kenwood way shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said charter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Kenwood way be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was presented and made a *Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m. May* 21, 1928:

Mayor to Enter Into Agreement With Southern Pacific Company in Re Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor is herebe authorized to enter into agreements with the Southern Pacific Railway Company, terms and conditions as follows:

This agreement, made this —— day of ————, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

The parties hereto entered into a certain agreement dated March 23, 1914, relating to rights of way through Bernal Cut, in the City and County of San Francisco, and also entered into a certain agreement of even date herewith modifying and changing said agreement of March 23, 1914, and enlarging the plan of construction of rights of way, tracks and a public street or roadway through said Bernal Cut.

In connection with said work it will be necessary that the Southern Company remove its existing team track near Bosworth street, in said City and County of San Francisco, from the southeasterly side of its track to the northwesterly side of proposed location of its main track through Bernal Cut.

Said agreement of March 23, 1914, provided, among other things, that Southern Company would convey certain land to the City, which land was conveyed pursuant to said agreement by deed dated March 23, 1914. Said deed contained a reservation on behalf of Southern Company to use a certain strip of land 8½ feet wide and a certain strip of land 13½ feet wide, both of which are hereinafter described. In the event that the agreement of even date herewith is carried out the City desires that Southern Company quitclaim to the City all of its

right, title and interest in and to said strip of land covered by said reservation above mentioned.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their understandings and agreements relative to the matters hereinabove mentioned.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual and dependent promises of the parties hereto, it is hereby agreed as follows, to-wit:

First: That the City will pay to Southern Pacific Company the entire cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor, to cover supervision of removing said existing team track and replacing and reconstructing said team track in kind and in good condition upon the property hereinafter described, to be acquired by the City and conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

Concurrently with the delivery to it by the City of the deed hereinafter specified the Southern Company will deliver to the City a quitclaim deed conveying all the right, title and interest of the Southern Company in and to those certain parcels of land described as follows, being those certain strips of land 8½ feet in width and 13½ feet in width, respectively, covered by said reservation contained in said deed dated March 23, 1914, to-wit:

All those certain strips of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: A strip of land 8.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles and radially southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno Branch, between Engineer's Station 78+48.5 and 92+40.

Parcel 2: A strip of land 13.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno branch, between Engineer's Station 92+40 and 101+20.

The above described parcels of land being the same property as reserved in part III, page 3, of that certain deed dated March 23, 1914, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Southern Pacific Company and the City and County of San Francisco.

The City hereby covenants and agrees to acquire and convey to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, without cost to Southern Company, by good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances, to that certain parcel of land described as follows, to-wit:

That certain piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Roanoke street with the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence along said southeasterly line of Arlington street south 47 deg. 16 min. 17 sec. west a distance of 663.843 feet to a point; thence south 30 deg. 41 min. .09 sec. east a distance of 22.104 feet to a point, said point being distant radially 58.77 feet northwesterly from the original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way; thence in a northeasterly direction on the arc of a curve, concave to the left, having a radius of 5670.826 feet (the tangent to said curve at last mentioned point bears north 58 deg. 57 min. 20 sec. east) an arc distance of 675.82 feet to a point on said southwesterly line of Roanoke street (last described arc course is concentric with and distant northwesterly and radially 58.77 feet from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way); thence north 42 deg. 43 min. 43 sec. west along said southwesterly line of Roanoke street a distance of 118.768 feet to the point of beginning and containing an area of 51401 square feet (equals 1.180 acres), more or less.

Upon delivery of the conveyance by the City mentioned in the last preceding paragraph of this agreement, and upon completion of the work of grading, to be done by the City as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, the Southern Company will, simultaneously with the shifting of the location of its main track, as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, remove its said existing team track from its present location and construct said team track as aforesaid upon said property above described, to be conveyed by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

This agreement is subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, and if not approved by said Railroad Commission it shall be of no force or effect.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed this agree-

ment in quadruplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By.....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By.....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By.....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made this——— day of———, 1928, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

Under the terms of an agreement entered into on the 23rd day of March, 1914, and subsequent deed bearing even date therewith, recorded June 16, 1914, in Vol. 794 of Deeds, pages 276 and following, the City acquired, for street purposes, a portion of the railroad right of way of the Southern Company through the so-called Bernal Cut, in said City and County of San Francisco, being the easterly portion of said right of way from the westerly line of Dolores street produced, to the center line of San Jose avenue produced, near Diamond street.

It was stipulated in said agreement that the Southern Company shall grade the strip of land described in section 1 thereof, so as to provide a uniform roadway for the proposed street at least forty (40) feet in width or thirty-five (35) feet, according to the width of said strip, according to the directions and under the supervision of the City Engineer of the City, and said City shall cooperate in such work to the extent of removing encroachments and also by the erection of retaining walls wherever necessary along the line of said grading; and Southern Company agreed to deposit the material excavated as aforesaid upon such portions of the land described in said agreement and deed as may be indicated by said City Engineer, and to entirely remove all surplus material; said grading and filling to be at the expense of Southern Company. It was further understood and agreed and so provided in said agreement that the construction of retaining walls along said grading and the paving of the roadway upon said strip of land shall be at the cost and expense of the City and without cost to the Southern Company.

The City now desires to acquire additional rights of way in said Bernal Cut for the purpose of constructing a better roadway than at first contemplated and offering better facilities for the construction of its double-track street railroad adjacent to the northerly and westerly line of the land conveyed to the City for street purposes by the hereinbefore mentioned deed. Such construction would be facilitated by the railroad of the Southern Company being moved northerly and westerly not exceeding 31.27 feet.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their agreement as to their rights and obligations in connection with acquisition and exchange of certain parcels of land to provide additional rights of way for each of the parties hereto, reconstruction of the railroad and appurtenances of Southern Company, construction of the municipal railway and the proposed street, and other matters in relation thereto.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises, it is hereby mutually agreed as follows, to-wit:

ARTICLE I.

1. The location plan, showing the changes proposed and the parcels of land to be acquired and exchanged by and between the parties hereto, for the purpose of carrying out the plans of the parties hereto as hereinafter set forth, is shown on the attached copy of Southern Pacific Company's Drawing entitled, "Proposed Improvements in Bernal Cut, San Francisco," and numbered M W D Drawing 5806, Sheet 2, which is hereby made a part hereof.

2. Southern Company hereby agrees to execute and place in escrow a conveyance to said City of all of Southern Company's right, title and interest in and to that certain portion of Southern Company's right of way in the City and County of San Francisco, State of Califor-

nia, particularly described as follows, to-wit:

A strip of land 32.77 feet in width lying between the southerly line of Randall street and the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street), said strip being bounded and described as follows:

Bounded on the northeast by the southerly line of Randall street; on the west and northwest by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 22.77 feet westerly and northwesterly, measured radially or at right angles from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line between San Francisco and Colma; on the southwest by the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street) and on the southeast and east by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 10.00 feet scutheasterly and easterly, measured radially or at right angles from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line, said line coinciding with the northerly and westerly boundary line of the strip of land heretofore conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company by deed dated March 23, 1914.

Also a triangular shaped parcel of land, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the westerly line of Dolores street, distant 100.00 feet northerly from the northerly line of Randall street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Dolores street and its prolongation a distance of 150.00 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Randall street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Randall street a distance of 22.50 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a northeasterly direction on a direct line to the point of beginning.

Said parcel of land above described are more particularly shown tinted in pink on said Drawing No. 5806, Sheet 2.

Said conveyance shall contain and be subject to the following express covenants, reservations and exceptions, to-wit:

(a) "Reserving nevertheless to the first parties (Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company), their successors and assigns, the right to use the northwesterly eighteen (18) inches in width of said strip of land 32.77 feet in width for the erection and maintenance of poles, wires, and any and all other necessary appliances, appendages and adjuncts, in the event that said first parties, their successors and assigns, should at any time operate their railroad by electricity, it being expressly understood and agreed, however, that first parties, their successors or assigns, may, instead of erecting and maintaining said poles, wires, appliances, appendages and adjuncts upon and along said eighteen (18) inch strip herein described, at their option fasten their wires, fixtures, appliances and appurtenances to any poles erected by second party along or near the northwesterly boundary line of said strip of land whenever the first parties, their successors and assigns, operate their said railroad by electricity and such right is hereby granted by second party to first parties; but in the event that said first parties do attach their wires to any poles erected by the second party, as aforesaid, then said first parties shall pay to second party one-half (½) of the then value of said poles so used, at the time the attachments are made by said first parties, and upon receipt of such payment second party shall execute and deliver to Southern Pacific Railroad Company a bill of sale conveying an undivided one-half (½) interest in said poles to Southern Pacific Railroad Company. First parties shall thereafter pay one-half (½) of the cost of maintaining said poles so used, as aforesaid, from time to time as such costs are incurred and bills rendered by second party."

(b) "Excepting and reserving unto said first parties all of those certain rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company for themselves, their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from said companies to said City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco, also those certain rights reserved by Southern Pacific Railroad Company in that certain deed from said Southern Pacific Railroad Company to said City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco."

(c) "The foregoing conveyance is subject to all the terms, conditions, restrictions and reservations contained in that certain deed, dated October 5, 1863, from H. G. Livermore et al. to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company,

recorded October 6, 1863, in Book 215 of Deeds, at page 276, and subject to the rights of any and all industries located upon or adjacent to said right of way between St. Mary's avenue and Bosworth street, and subject to first parties' right to maintain such spur, team or station tracks as may be required to be maintained under any subsisting agreement, recorded or otherwise, or deed, or by law. The Southern Company hereby reserves the right to maintain and operate existing industrial spur tracks upon the land hereinabove described and to maintain and operate such spur tracks in such new locations to which any of said spurs may be moved, as hereinafter provided. The City covenants and agrees that if it is necessary to reconstruct or change the location of any or all of said existing industrial spur tracks by reason of or in connection with the use of said land by the City, the City will pay the entire cost of the work of reconstruction and relocation of said tracks, including paving, and the cost and construction of crossings of said tracks by the municipal railway, if any. Nothing herein contained shall in anywise impair the right of the first parties to use, for railroad purposes, the remaining portion of their right of way adjoining the strip of land hereinabove described on the northwest, or in anywise prejudice their rights therein or thereto."

The above mentioned conveyance shall be executed by Southern Company and placed in escrow with the California Pacific Title & Trust Company of San Francisco, California, if and when this agreement has been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to be delivered to the City when the City shall have (a) acquired the lands described in Section 1 of Article II hereof and executed and delivered to the above mentioned escrowee for account of Southern Pacific Railroad Company the conveyances to Southern Pacific Railroad Company specified in said Section 1, Article II, and (b) performed, or caused to be performed, all of the construction and reconstruction work by it to be performed or contracted for, as provided in Article II hereof.

ARTICLE II.

1. In consideration of the covenants herein agreed to be performed by the Southern Company, and without further consideration or payment, the City shall at its own expense acquire and convey or cause to be conveyed to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, by a good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances to (1) a strip of land along and adjacent to the northerly and westerly boundary line of Southern Company's right of way between Randall and Diamond streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, sufficient in width, and which, together with that portion of the Southern Company's existing right of way which will remain after the conveyance to the City of the portion heretofore described, will provide a right of way sufficient in width so that a standard gauge double track railroad may be constructed for Southern Company, and so that clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California shall be provided; (2) strips of land sufficient in width and adjoining the additional right of way for the proposed location of the proposed double track railroad of the Southern Company, to provide a sufficient area for proper slopes, not exceeding 1¼ horizontal to one vertical in the cuts and not less than two horizontal to one vertical in the fills; provided, however, that in lieu of providing such additional land for slopes, the City may construct at its sole cost and expense adequate concrete or masonry retaining walls to provide for the full and unobstructed use of land of sufficient width to permit of the construction and operation of said standard gauge double track railroad, with clearances not less than those prescribed by said Railroad Commission, and at the grade of the Southern Company's now existing track between Randall and Diamond streets, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company. The land required for said right of way for said proposed double track railroad is shown tinted in green and the land required for said slopes is shown tinted in purple upon said drawing MWD 5806, Sheet 2.

2. In the event that it shall be necessary or advisable for the City to institute condemnation proceedings to acquire any land which is to be acquired in order to comply with the terms of this agreement, and to be conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, such proceedings may be had in the name of Southern Company, or either of them, but the City shall pay all expense thereof and all judgments and costs therein and hold Southern Company harmless therefrom.

3. The City shall, at its own expense, make all necessary excavations and construct embankments and waterways, and, if deemed necessary by Southern Company, retaining walls to support slopes on the existing right of way of Southern Company, and the said land to be acquired for the Southern Company, as hereinabove provided, so as to provide for the construction of the said double track railroad of the Southern Company, as aforesaid, and at the grade specified in Section 1 of this Article II, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company, and the City shall also pay the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of moving Southern Company's existing single track rails, ties, ballast and appurtenances to such new position, not exceeding 31.27 feet northerly and westerly of the present location, and the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of placing Southern Company's single track in such new location in at least as good condition as the existing track was at the time of being so moved, it being understood that the Southern Company will furnish all necessary ties which, in its judgment, are required to replace those unfit for further service.

4. The City shall, at its own expense, remove and reconstruct Southern Company's present telephone, telegraph and signal lines and the poles and fixtures thereof to such new position upon and along said relocated railroad line and right of way as may be required by Southern Company.

5. The City shall, at its own cost and expense, reconstruct and thereafter maintain, at clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, all bridges or other structures and foundations and appurtenances of same (including the subway at Bosworth street) located between and at Randall and Diamond streets so that the double track railroad of Southern Company may be constructed and operated without further change in said bridges, structures or appurtenances, and, in order to accomplish such works, the City shall be granted the right to the use of any land to be conveyed by the City hereunder to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, for the purpose of erecting thereon any portion of the above-mentioned structures or appurtenances thereto that may be necessary; provided, however, that the plans for any such bridges and structures and appurtenances shall be subject to the approval of the Southern Company and of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. It is also agreed that the City shall have the right to construct and maintain at its sole cost and expense drainage constructions of such character as may be required, to be placed upon or beneath the lands to be conveyed hereunder by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company; provided, however, that such drainage constructions meet with the approval of Southern Company and will in no way interfere with Southern Company's operations.

6. The City shall include in the contracts which it is to let for the grading work to be performed by it under this contract, the grading work provided to be done at the expense of Southern Company in said agreement of March 23, 1914, and Southern Company shall have the right of access at all reasonable times to the books, accounts, contracts, records and papers relating to said work, for the purpose of checking the bill or bills rendered by the City to Southern Company for the cost of said work. The Southern Company shall reimburse the City in full for all of the yardage removed or excavated and graded at the contract price or rate covering the work included in said contract for the account of the Southern Company. All of the work herein provided for to be done by the City shall be in substantial conformity with the City's Cross Section plan or map entitled "Bernal Cut," numbered A-7328, hereto attached and made a part hereof.

7. It is understood and agreed that the City may enter upon said land above described for the purpose of carrying out and complying with the terms and conditions of this contract as soon as this contract becomes effective.

8. All the expenses in connection with the construction of the future second track of said Southern Company's railroad, which the Southern Company may construct now or in the future at its option, except the work herein provided to be done by and at the sole cost and expense of the City, shall be borne by the Southern Company, as this is a facility in addition to its present trackage.

9. All work required to be done by and at the expense of the City hereunder upon or affecting the property and operations of Southern Company shall be performed by it in a good and workmanlike manner to the satisfaction of the Southern Company, according to plans ap-

proved by Southern Company or such lawful authority as may have jurisdiction in the premises, and at such times and in such manner as to interfere as little as possible with the operations of Southern Company.

10. It is expressly understood and agreed that all expense of paving and otherwise improving the roadway and constructing the municipal railway and appurtenances upon the roadway upon said strip of land 32.77 feet in width, hereinabove referred to, and upon the land heretofore conveyed by the Southern Company to the City by said deed dated March 23, 1914, recorded in Volume 794 of Deeds, at pages 276 and following, County Records of the City and County of San Francisco (except the grading and filling work as herein otherwise provided for in the previous agreement) shall be borne by the City; and in the event that any improvements upon said roadway should be done upon the local assessment plan, then and in that event the City shall pay out of its treasury any and all assessments therefor levied upon or assessed against any rights, properties or franchises of Southern Company, or either of them.

ARTICLE III.

1. It is understood and agreed that the City shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad beneath either one of the bridges carrying the railroad of the Southern Company over Thirtieth street or over Day street, and that the City shall, at its own sole cost and expense, provide the necessary clearances therefor under said bridge. The plans for said crossing and any necessary changes in the said bridge shall be subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. The City, subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad at grade across the track or tracks of Southern Company's railroad at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, subject to the terms and conditions of an agreement to be executed by the parties hereto establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings; and if the parties are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then upon such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the City. The expense of maintaining said crossings and any and all such protective device or devices, except interlocking plant, after completion, shall be borne solely by said City, but the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plant, if any, shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

2. The Southern Company shall likewise have the right, subject to the approval of said Railroad Commission, to construct a track or tracks at grade across the track or tracks of the municipal railway, subject to the terms and conditions of agreements in each case to be executed by the parties hereto, establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings, and if the parties hereto are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then subject to such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such track crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the Southern Company. The expense of maintaining said crossings and such protective devices, except interlocking plants, after completion, shall be borne solely by Southern Company and the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plants shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

ARTICLE IV.

1. Nothing contained in this agreement shall affect the rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and/or Southern Pacific Company, for themselves and their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from the said companies to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco; and in that certain deed from the Southern Pacific Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco.

2. Said agreement dated March 23, 1914, hereinabove referred to,

between the parties hereto, shall be and remain in full force and effect and shall not be affected hereby unless and until the City shall comply with said conditions of the escrow hereinabove set forth; but upon full compliance with the conditions of said escrow, then and in that event this agreement shall, in so far as it changes or modifies said agreement of March 23, 1914, supersede the same.

ARTICLE V.

The City hereby agrees to forever indemnify and hold Southern Company harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, liabilities and judgments, and loss, damage and injury resulting from any damages caused or claimed in the acquisition by said City of any of said lands, or occurring in or caused by or claimed to have been caused by any and all of the work covered by this agreement. In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as here in this paragraph provided.

ARTICLE VI.

1. This agreement shall not become effective and the parties hereto shall not be bound hereby unless and until it shall have been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

2. Time is of the essence of this agreement, and if this agreement is approved by said Railroad Commission and the City does not comply with and perform all the covenants and conditions hereof to be complied with and performed by it within said term of five (5) years, this agreement and any and all rights of the parties hereto hereunder shall forthwith upon the expiration of said term of five (5) years cease and determine as completely as if this agreement had never been made.

3. This agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the respective parties hereto.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in quadruple the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By .....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made and entered into this ——— day of ———, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and Southern Pacific Company, its lessee, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties (herein collectively called "Railroad Company"), and ———, second party (herein sometimes called "Contractor").

Witnesseth:

That, whereas, on the ——— day of ———, 1928, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company as first parties, and the City and County of San Francisco, California, as second party, entered into an agreement covering the exchange of certain parcels of land between the Railroad Company and the City and County of San Francisco, for rights of way through the so-called Bernal Cut in the said City and County of San Francisco, and covering, among other things, certain construction work more specifically set forth in said agreement dated the ——— day of ———, 1928; and

Whereas, said agreement, dated the ——— day of ———, 1928, contains the following provision:

"In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with

Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as herein this paragraph provided, and

Whereas, said City and County of San Francisco did, on the ——— day of ————, 19—, enter into a contract with contractor to do and perform certain work pursuant to a compliance with the terms of said agreement dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, above referred to:

Now, therefore, it is understood and agreed that the contractor shall, in advance of performing any work under said contract of the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, give to said Railroad Company a bond in the sum of twenty thousand (20,000) dollars, in form and of good and sufficient surety, to be approved by the Assistant Treasurer of the Railroad Company, conditioned that the contractor will well and truly perform and fulfill all of the covenants, conditions, stipulations and obligations on his part in this agreement set forth and contained, to-wit:

In consideration of the Railroad Company permitting contractor to enter upon its property and perform said work, contractor agrees to indemnify and save Railroad Company harmless from and against any and all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever may be caused, resulting directly or indirectly from the performance of any or all work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, contemplated by said agreement dated ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and the said contractor; also from all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever same may be caused resulting directly or indirectly, made or suffered by said contractor, contractor's agents and/or employees while engaged in the performance of said work, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise.

Contractor expressly releases and discharges Railroad Company from all liability of whatsoever character for any and all personal injuries sustained by contractor while engaged in the performance of work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise, and whether resulting in death or otherwise.

Contractor expressly waives any and all claims arising from said death or injury to contractor.

The contractor agrees that the license hereby given him to enter upon the premises of the Railroad Company for the purpose of performing the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, shall not give nor be deemed or construed to give said contractor a right to do or perform any work whatsoever at any time upon or in connection with the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, that will render unsafe the use by said Railroad Company of its real estate, tracks, structures and other appurtenances now or hereafter existing, or weaken or injure the same for the operation of its trains, engines or cars or for its other purposes.

Contractor further agrees that should the Railroad Company deem it necessary to engage any inspectors, watchmen or flagmen, or do anything to protect its engines, trains or cars during the performance by said contractor of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between the said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, then and in that event contractor agrees to fully reimburse Railroad Company promptly for all cost and expense of such inspectors, watchmen, flagmen and other expenses that may be incurred by said Railroad Company incident to or in connection with work contemplated to be performed by said contractor.

Contractor further agrees that upon completion of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, contractor will promptly remove from the premises of the Railroad Company all of contractor's tools, implements and other materials and leave said premises clean and presentable.

This agreement shall not be assigned, sublet or transferred in whole or in part by contractor, except with the previous written consent of Railroad Company.

Except as otherwise provided herein, the terms and conditions of this agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of contractor and the successors and assigns of Railroad Company.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in triplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
.....
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
Contract Attorney.

Award of Contract, Pedestrian Lane Markers.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 28901 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That contract is awarded to E. J. Treacy on bid submitted April 4, 1928, for the following, to-wit:

Installing 56,000, more or less, pedestrian lane markers, in asphalt, at $.072	$4,032.00
Installing 4,000, more or less, pedestrian lane markers, in concrete, at $.08	320.00
Total	$4,352.00

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Establishment of Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic Committee:

Resolution No. 28902 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses in accordance with the provisions of Section 26, Paragraph "C," of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

(Street address; length in feet; principal firms served)

9 City Hall avenue, 9 feet; Book Concern building. Bender Moss Co.

222-236 Front street, 36 feet; J. A. Lombard Co.; Curtis-Tomkins Co., Marine Building.

242-246 Front street, 27 feet; California Co-Operative Canneries; O'Conner & Harrison Co., Universal Remedies Co.

491 Geary street, 45 feet; Clift Hotel.

731 Market street, 27 feet; Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., Bancroft Building, mail storage boxes.

60 McAllister street, 36 feet; President Theatre.

725 Mission street, 18 feet; Thomas Day Company.

405 Montgomery street, 27 feet; Financial Center Building.

406 Montgomery street, 27 feet; Kohl Building.

708 Montgomery street, 18 feet; Canessa Printing Co.

325-333 Sacramento street, 27 feet; Albert's Food Co., Great Pacific Co.

642 Washington street, 27 feet; George Bros. & Co.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Rescinding and Reestablishing Loading Zones.

Also, Resolution No. 28903 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be rescinded and reestablished in front of or near the following addresses in accordance with the provisions of Section 26, Paragraph "C" of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series:

(Street address; existing length in feet; recommended length in feet; principal firms served.)

425 Bush street, 27 feet, 0 feet; Hotel Flower, five small business concerns.

735-745 Market street, 45 feet, 0 feet; Bancroft Building, Schwabacher-Frey Co.

613 Mission street, 27 feet, 36 feet; Owl Drug Company.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

Award of Contract, Class Room Supplies.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 28904 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids and samples submitted March 26, 1928 (Proposal No. 360), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Class Room Supplies and Paper for School Department Requirements for 1928-1929.

Delivery—To the Board of Education storeroom on or before July 1, 1928.

Wrapping — Paper must be wrapped and sealed securely enough so that packages will not break open in handling.

Part I—Classroom Supplies.

Item No. 1—Baskets, waste; approximately 14 inches high, Dan Dee No. 4, 900; price, $0.5575 each; T. J. Cardoza Co.

Item No. 2 — Brushes, artist Fitch; ¾-inch, black Chinese bristles, stock cut 1¼ inches length about 13 inches over all; delivery August 15, 350; price, $0.99 per dozen; T. J. Cardoza Co.

Item No. 3—Camel's hair marker; 8 inches over all; on Sample No. 2, 1,500; price, $0.99 per dozen; Dan P. Maher Paint Co.

Item No. 4—Crayons, chalk, white dustless. "Alpha," 6,000; price $0.35 per gross; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 5—Crayons, chalk, colored; American Crayon Co.'s No. 510, 1,800; price, $1.275 per gross; Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 6—Crayons, large wax marking; hexagonal, six colors; black, red, orange, yellow, blue, green; one dozen of one color in package; "Crayonex," 1,000; price, $0.1666 per dozen; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 7—Erasers, wool felt; size 5x2 inches. "Royal," 1,500; price $1.25 per dozen; Ink Ribbon Manufacturing Co.

Item No. 8—Ink powder; blue, black, permanent record. In packages of proper quantity to make one gallon of liquid ink, 2,000; price $0.095 per package; T. J. Cardoza Co.

Item No. 9—Ink Stands. "Victor" No. 3, 500; price, $0.40 each; Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 10—Ink vents, "Perfection," 50; price $1.89 per dozen; T. J. Cardoza Co.

Item No. 11 — Paste, photo-library; with brush and well; size 5-oz. "Quicksilver," 600; price, $2 per dozen jars; Ink Ribbon Manufacturing Co.

Item No. 12—Paste, dry powder; in one-pound sacks, 2,500; price, $0.099 per sack; Snow & Galgiani.

Item No. 13—Pencils, lead; practice; in one-half gross boxes; American Pencil Co. No. 81, 450; price, $4.12 per gross; Pacific School Supply House.

Item No. 14—Pencils, lead, school quality; in one gross boxes. U. S. No. 504, 2,000; price, $1.60 per gross; Pacific School Supply House.

Item No. 16—Pens, steel; Easterbrook No. 556, 6,000; price, $0.40 per gross; Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 17—Penholders, wooden, Eberhard Faber No. 1331, 900; price, $2.25 per gross; Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 18—Pointers, hardwood, rubber tipped; length, 3 feet, 125; price, $1.20 per dozen; Milton Bradley Company.

Item No. 19—Scissors, 4½-inch, round pointed, cast steel, nickel plated, on Sample No. 820, 1,200; price, $1.57 per dozen; T. J. Cardoza Co.

Item No. 20—Scissors, 5-inch, sharp pointed, cast steel, fine quality finish, heavy nickel plated, adjusted with steel screws, on Sample No. 824, 700; price, $1.53 per dozen; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 21—Scissors, 10-inch; no award.

Item No. 22—Thumb tacks; 100 to a box; No. 3 solid head. Lietz No. 3977, 6,000; price, $0.066 per box; A. Lietz Co.

Item No. 23—Yard sticks, hardwood, varnished; width 11⅛x¼ inches, 150; price, $0.89 per dozen; Milton Bradley Co.

Part II—Paper Products.

Item No. 24—Envelopes, No. 6¾; 3⅝x6½; No. 1 manila; substance No. 20, 375; price, $0.83 per 1,000; General Paper Co.

Item No. 25—Envelopes, No. 10; 4⅛x9½; No. 1 manila; substance No. 28, 125; price, $1.55 per 1,000; General Paper Co.

Item No. 27—Envelopes, Scarf No. 6; 5½x8¼; No. 1 manila; substance No. 20, 100; price, $1.78 per 1,000; Pacific Coast Paper Co.

Item No. 28—Paper, binder; size 8x10½, No. 1 azure tint writing paper; substance 20; ruled two sides and punched as City sample; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; on sample No. 1, 2,000; price, $0.3949 per ream; Carter Rice Co.

Item No. 29—Paper, construction, colored, 12x18; cut from 24x36-80 pound; colors red, yellow, blue, orange, green, black and violet; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, assorted colors, 2,500; price, $1.674 per ream; Carter Rice Co.

Item No. 30—Paper, crepe; rolls 10 feet long, 20 inches wide; equal quantities, baby blue, coral, canary, violet, holly green, American Beauty, holly red, orange, 10,000; price, $0.035 per roll; Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 31—Paper, drawing, gray; 12x18; cut from 24x36-40 pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, 2,500; price, $0.555 per ream; Carter Rice Co.

Item No. 32a—Paper, drawing, gray; 9x12, cut from 24x36-60 pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, 3,000; price, $0.4159 per ream; Carter Rice Co.

Item No. 32b—Paper, drawing, gray; 12x18, cut from 24x36-60 pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, 2,000; price, $0.8318 per ream; Carter Rice Co.

Item No. 32c—Paper, drawing, gray; 18x24, cut from 24x36-60 pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, 1,000; price, $1.663 per ream; Carter Rice Co.

Item No. 33—Paper, drawing, manila; 12x18, cut from 24x36-60 pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces on Sample No. 1, 5,000; price, $1.237 per ream; Blake, Moffitt & Towne.

Item No. 34—Paper, drawing, white; 9x12, cut from 24x36-60 pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, 2,000; price, $0.70 per ream; Milton Bradley Co.

Item No. 35—Paper, foolscap; size 8x12½, weight 12 pounds per 1,000 single sheets, ruled as City sample; 1 type of ruling; delivered in packages of 1,000 sheets; on Sample No. 3, of Everett Mills, 20 substance, 6,000; price, $1.08 per package; Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 36—Paper, foolscap; size 8x12½; unruled; weight 12 pounds per 1,000 single sheets; delivered in packages of 1,000 sheets; on Sample No. 3 of Everett Mills, 20 substance, 6,500; price, $1.02 per package; Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 37—Paper, 500 rolls No. 1 manila wrapping, 24-inch, 60 pound; 50 rolls No. 1 manila wrapping, 36-inch, 60 pound, approximately 24,000; price $0.025 per pound; Zellerbach Paper Co.

Item No. 38—Paper, 1,000 rolls No. 2 unglazed manila wrapping, 4-inch, 30 pound, approximately 5,000; price, $0.0538 pound; Haas Brothers. 1,200 rolls No. 2 unglazed manila wrapping, 6-inch, 30 pound; 1,200 rolls No. 2 unglazed manila wrapping, 24-inch, 40 pound, approximately 45,000; price, $0.04885 pound; Haas Brothers.

Item No. 39—Paper, manila sheets for binders; no award.

Item No. 40a—Paper, newsprint, 9x12; cut from 36x48-64 pound, "Rex News," delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; 2,000; price, $0.194 ream; Haas Brothers.

Item No. 40b—Paper, size 12x18, cut from 36x48-64 pound "Rex News," delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, 4,000; price, $0.675 per ream; Haas Brothers.

Item No. 40c—Paper, size 18x24; cut from 36x48-64 pound "Rex News," delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, 4,000; price, $0.675 per ream; Haas Brothers.

Item No. 41—Paper, tracing parchment bleached, 9x12, delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, 1,000; price, $0.385 per ream; Zellerbach Paper Co.

Item No. 42a—Paper, pattern black, 9x12, cut from 24x36-35 pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, 2,000; price, $0.3516 per ream; Carter Rice Co.

Item No. 42b—Paper, pattern black, 12x18, cut from 24x36-35 pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, 1,000; price, $0.7032 per ream; Carter Rice Co.

Item No. 43—Paper, poster, colored; 9x12, cut from 24x36-40 pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; assorted colors, 3,500; price, $0.4352 per ream; Carter Rice Co.

Item No. 44—Paper, poster, colored; red, blue, green, yellow, orange, violet; 1x24 inch strips, cut from 24x36-40 pound; banded in packages of 100 strips, like colors, 2,000; price, $0.028 package; Pacific School Supply House.

Item No. 45—Paper, tissue, 20x30, No. 1 grade; equal quantities, white, light blue, pink, yellow, orange, lavender, scarlet, apple green, 2,500; price, $0.062 per quire; Pacific Coast Paper Co.

Item No. 46—Tagboard, manila, 2½x28½ inch strips, cut from 22½x28½-130 pound; banded in packages of 200 strips, 1,500; price, $0.367 package; Pacific Coast Paper Co.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows, viz.:

Bid No. 1—T. J. Cardoza Co.; bond $500.

Bid No. 3—Pacific Coast Paper Co.; bond $200.

Bid No. 5—Ink Ribbon Mfg Co.; bond $500.

Bid No. 9—Pacific School Supply House; bond $1,000.

Bid No. 11—Dan P. Maher Paint Co.; bond $200.

Bid No. 12—Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.; bond $1,000.

Bid No. 13—C. F. Weber & Co.; bond $500.

Bid No. 14—Snow & Galgiani; no bond required.

Bid No. 15—Carter Rice Co.; bond $2,000.

Bid No. 16—Milton Bradley Co.; bond $200.

Bid No. 18—Blake, Moffitt & Towne; bond $1,000.

Bid No. 21—General Paper Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 22—Haas Brothers; bond $1,000.

Bid No. 25—Zellerbach Paper Co.; bond $200.

Bid No. 26—A. Lietz Co.; no bond required.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Stanton—2.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Cablegram.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, April 19, 1928.

Dr. Wilhelm Cuno, Hamburg, Germany.

Motorship San Francisco and Captain Walther given official welcome, rotunda City Hall this morning, expressive of honor felt by our citizens at naming ship for our City. German Consul General, Chamber of Commerce and various societies heartily participated. The City thanks you and your directors for beautiful painting. San Francisco outward bound from her home port with your good wishes to San Francisco. Whole City appreciates compliment paid us in name of ship. Thanks you and wishes the San Francisco long and prosperous career with full cargoes on smiling seas. Sincere regards.

JAMES ROLPH,
Burgomaster.

Reply.

Hamburg, April 23, 1928.

James Rolph, Mayor, San Francisco, Calif.

Sincere thanks for telegram relative reception our new motorship San Francisco. Am overwhelmed with the cordiality of welcome given to vessel and her master by the authorities and general public of the city whose name she is proud to carry on the highways of the sea. My fellow directors join me in the expression of our gratitude for all your good wishes for their prosperous career.

(Signed) CUNO.

Gift Suggestion.

His Honor the Mayor declared that it was a universal custom where such ships are named for some particular city that that city make a suitable gift, such as a silver sword, to the ship as a mark of appreciation for the honor so conferred, but that our Charter does not permit the appropriation of money for such purpose. He suggested, however, that a committee be appointed to cooperate with Phil Fay, president of the Chamber of Commerce, and a number of private citizens who were willing to subscribe to a fund for that purpose.

Referred to the Public Welfare Committee.

Appeal From Assessment, Islais Creek Reclamation District.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the hearing of appeal from assessment

of Board of Commissioners of the Islais Creek Reclamation District, fixed for 2:30 p. m. this day, was *continued as a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m., Monday, May* 21, 1928.

Committee Changes.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28905 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following changes in membership of committees of the Board of Supervisors be adopted, to-wit:

Supervisor Sylvester Andriano be transferred from membership of Committee on Public Health to membership of the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden be transferred from membership on the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds to membership on the Committee on Public Health.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Suhr, Toner—4.

Relative to San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminals Permit and Marina Property Owners Protest.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28906 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there has been brought out in hearings before the California Railroad Commission that a ferry company plans to develop a terminal north of Fort Mason and west of Van Ness avenue adjacent to the City's proposed Aquatic Park; and

Whereas, there has been granted to San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminals, Incorporated, by the Board of Public Works, a permit to construct foundations for the San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminals, Incorporated, between the foot of Webster street and Fort Mason; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors requests a joint investigation by the City Planning Commission, the Park Commission, the City Planning Committee and the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee as to the purposes to which the land in question may be put and the effect it may have upon the City's park and residential development in that section of the City; and be it

Further Resolved, That the chairman of the City Planning Committee call a meeting so that a report may be made to the Board of Supervisors within thirty days; and be it

Further Resolved, That pending this report the Board of Public Works be requested to rescind its action in the granting of permit to San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminals, Incorporated, and hold the same in abeyance.

Protest.

Communication, from City and County Federation of Women's Clubs, San Francisco, transmitting resolution opposing use of Marina District for industrial or wholesale commercial purposes and urging that property be condemned for park purposes.

Privilege of the Floor.

Arthur Dunn, attorney for the San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminals, Incorporated, was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that the company, headed by W. H. Kearney, was a California corporation, in existence about two years. That three blocks of land were held in fee simple by the Kearney Investment Company, which has made deed to the San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminals, Incorporated, although said deed is not yet filed of record in the Recorder's office. The corporation, he said, held options on the remainder of the land.

T. Reardon, President of the Board of Public Works, declared that the original application for a permit was filed more than a year ago. The matter was presented to the City Planning Commission and an effort made to get the Park Commission interested in acquiring land for park purposes, but nothing was done. Finally, in December last, the matter was dropped from the Commission calendar. Whereupon, the Board of Public Works did the only thing it could do that was to grant the permit. We have no power to stop work or hold up private enterprises when they comply with the law. We are responsible on our bond. The power to stop this, he said, lies in this Board of Supervisors.

City Attorney O'Toole confirmed the statement of Commissioner Reardon that the action of the Board of Public Works was legal and that had it refused the permit it could have been mandamused.

Amendment.

Supervisor Andriano offered the following amendment:

Resolved, That it be the sense of this Board that if the City Attorney should determine that the

building permit heretofore granted to San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminals, Incorporated, is illegal the same should be revoked by the Board of Public Works.

Supervisor Kent offered as a substitute for the whole a proposed amendment to the Zoning Law placing the blocks bounded by the Marina boulevard, Webster street, Laguna street and Lewis street in the residential district, which substitute was subsequently *withdrawn.*

Motion Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Andriano's amendment and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Hayden—4.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Suhr, Toner—4.

Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, Supervisor Stanton's resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden—3.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Suhr, Toner—3.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Andriano explained his vote by saying that he voted *no* not because he was not in sympathy with the subject matter of the resolution, but that he did not think it in the province of this Board to request the Board of Public Works to rescind a permit once granted unless same is illegal.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the City Attorney inquire into the validity of the permit granted by the Board of Public Works and that company be asked to suspend work for sixty days to permit the Finance Committee to do something.

So ordered.

Re-referred.

The following bill, laid over from a previous meeting was taken up and, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, ordered *rereferred to the Public Buildings Committee with instructions to arrange for a month to month rental or better terms, to-wit:*

Lease of Bush Street Fire Lot.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the lease of certain land situate at the northerly line of Bush street, distant 24 feet westerly from St. George alley to T Mosco, and authorizing the Mayor to execute such lease.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that, pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 28663 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, notice was given as required by the Charter, that a lease of the real property of the City, situate on the northerly line of Bush street, distant 24 feet westerly from St. George alley, would be offered for sale at public auction on the 9th day of April, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, and that at said time and place said auction sale was had in the manner stated in said notice and in accordance with the provisions of said Charter, and upon said date T. Mosco was the highest bidder at said sale and the lease of said property was struck off and awarded to him.

Section 2. A lease for the term of ten years from the taking effect of this ordinance, of the property described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Bush street, distant thereon twenty-four (24) feet westerly from the northwesterly corner of St. George alley and Bush street, running thence westerly and alongside northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet; thence at a right angle northerly and parallel with the westerly line of St. George alley fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches; thence at a right angle easterly and parallel with the northerly line of Bush street twenty-four (24) feet, and thence at a right angle southerly fifty-nine (59) feet six (6) inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Fifty Vara Lot No. 94.

is hereby awarded to T. Mosco for the monthly rental of one hundred ($100) dollars, that being the highest and best bid therefor, and the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to enter into a contract of lease with said T. Mosco in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in said notice of sale and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County.

The City and County shall reserve the right and authority to cancel the said lease in the event

of the City being authorized at any future time by law to sell or utilize the said property before the expiration of the lease, upon payment to said T. Mosco of a reasonable value by the City and County to equitably compensate said lease for any damage or expense entailed through and by the cancellation of said lease.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Leaves of Absence.

The following resolution was presented:

Resolution No. 28907 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisors Warren Shannon, William P. Stanton, Charles F. Todd, Charles J. Powers, Cornelius J. Deasy, members of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, are hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing April 25, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Suhr, Toner—4.

Leave of Absence, Alfred Ehrman, Board of Fire Commissioners.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 20, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Honorable Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of fifteen days, beginning April 29, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28908 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing April 29, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Suhr, Toner—4.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 19, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Honorable Jesse C. Colman, member of your Board, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing May 5, 1928.

I hereby recommend that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28909 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of sixty days, commencing May 5, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Suhr, Toner—4.

Aviator Vance Breese Commended.

Supervisor Suhr presented:

Resolution No. 28910 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in appreciation of the valor and knowledge displayed by Aviator Vance Breese who recently brought to a safe landing his airplane which met with singular mishap in midair; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee consisting of three members to draft a proper testimonial to be presented to Vance Breese for

his expert action in saving the lives of the occupants of the airplane.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Taxicab Stands Approved.

Supervisor Andriano presented:

Resolution No. 28911 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Yellow Cab Company, 6 California street, one cab; Eagle Cab Company, 16 and 16A Sacramento street, one cab; Red Crown Cab Company, 565 Kearny street, one cab.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Reception Committee for James Walker, Mayor of New York.

Supervisor Hayden moved that his Honor the Mayor appoint a committee of reception to Mayor Jas. Walker, Mayor of New York, who is expected to visit San Francisco after the Houston convention in July.

So ordered.

Redwood Highway Association.

A communication fom Redwood Highway Association inviting attendance at a caravan luncheon at Palace Hotel Wednesday at noon.

Invitation *accepted.*

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the following matter was continued until May 14, 1928:

Hearing of Appeal, 10 a. m., Treat Avenue.

Hearing of appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Treat avenue between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour 7:28 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, May 7, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 19

Monday, April 30, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 30, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 30, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 16, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Relative to San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminal.

Communication, from the Board of Public Works, acknowledging copy of Resolution No. 28906 (New Series), pertaining to terminal north of Fort Mason, and also to the proposed structure to be erected by the San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminal between foot of Webster street and Fort Mason.

Also, *communication*, from City and County Federation of Women's Clubs, requesting that condemnation proceedings be instituted for the acquisition of land proposed to be used as a produce and provision terminal.

Also, *communication*, from Marina Home Owners' Protective Association, expressing appreciation of action of the Board of Supervisors in forestalling erection of proposed Produce and Provision Terminal at Marina.

Also, *communication*, from San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminal, acknowledging resolution of Board of Supervisors relative to rescinding permit and declaring that it will be necessary for them to protect their rights in the premises.

Western Aircraft Show.

Communication, from San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce, expressing appreciation for cooperation in making Western Aircraft Show a success.

Leave of Absence, Thos. Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 30, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Thos. Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing May 15, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28912 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Honorable Thos. Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty (30) days from May 15, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of supply station permit to May McGrath and Mrs. N. Maloney at Twenty-eighth avenue and Judah street was continued one week.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Scavenger Service, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing scavenger service, School Department, 1928-29, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Window Cleaning, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hour of 10 and 11 a. m. for cleaning windows, School Department, 1928-29, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Leonard Leavy, Purchaser of Supplies, declared, in reference to foregoing, that proposal blanks were sent out, but did not get into the paper, so will have to be advertised.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Schmidt, it was directed that bids be not opened until next Monday.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and, on recommendation of Finance Committee, *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28913 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for parks (claim dated April 20, 1928), $1,177.30.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated April 20, 1928), $1,100.87.

(3) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated April 20, 1928), $1,057.61.

(4) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated April 20, 1928), $528.79.

(5) Masten & Hurd, architectural services for Kezar Stadium addition (claim dated April 13, 1928), $1,200.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(6) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated April 16, 1928), $546.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(7) Edward F. Dowd, final payment, electrical work for Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated April 18, 1928), $1,640.54.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(8) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for amount expended for improvement of Great Highway, March, 1928 (claim dated April 13, 1928), $7,692.67.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(9) Alta Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $2,000.

(10) O. Monson, conditional acceptance payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $95,715.

(11) A. Lettich, conditional acceptance payment, plumbing, etc., for Portola Junior High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $9,720.20.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(12) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 16, 1928), $1,964.26.

(13) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement for March, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated April 13, 1928), $1,605.32.

(14) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished (claim dated April 13, 1928), $3,386.76.

(15) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished (claim dated April 13, 1928), $38,886.83.

(16) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for account pensions, etc. (claim dated April 6, 1928), $1,459.39.

(17) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for account pensions, etc. (claim dated April 11, 1928), $7,461.62.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(18) Adelaide Kern and William H. Kern, in full settlement of all claims against Municipal Railways, for account of damages sustained September 15 1925 (claim dated April 13, 1928), $6,250.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(19) Robert W. Jamison, seventh payment, installing electric conductors, etc., for Sunset line (claim dated April 18, 1928), $4,350.

Special School Tax.

(20) W. P. Fuller & Co., glass and lead for schools (claim dated April 11, 1928), $532.40.

(21) MacDonald & Kahn, conditional acceptance payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $62,351.35.

(22) Newberry-Pearce Electric

Co., sixth payment, electrical work for South Side High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $991.88.

(23) A. Lettich, conditional acceptance payment, plumbing work for South Side High School (claim dated April 18, 1928), $4,529.07.

Playground Fund.

(24) J. E. French Co., one auto truck for playgrounds (claim dated April 18, 1928), $1,135.

(25) J. H. McCallum Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated April 18, 1928), $601.21.

(26) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated April 18, 1928), $569.04.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(27) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated April 23, 1928), $2,663.25.

(28) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, April 3 to May 3, 1928 (claim dated April 23, 1928), $1,186.

(29) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending March 31, 1928 (claim dated April 23, 1928), $600.

(30) Welded Products Co., 60,000 pedestrian lane markers (claim dated April 23, 1928), $4,680.

(31) Acme Card System, six cabinets, stand and printed cards for Police Department, Traffic Bureau (claim dated April 23, 1928), $842.50.

(32) James G. Stafford and associates, sixteenth payment on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated April 22, 1928), $7,910.60.

(33) A. S. Gough, final payment for seats, chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 18, 1928), $623.75.

(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for public buildings (claim dated April 12, 1928), $4,394.58.

(35) Acme Gravel Co., sand, gravel, etc., for street repair (claim dated April 12, 1928), $742.97.

(36) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 12, 1928), $1,764.21.

(37) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated April 12, 1928), $1,514.05.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated April 12, 1928), $683.06.

(39) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1928), $762.16.

(40) McGee Sales Agency, repairs to Fire Department Pumping Station No. 1 (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,550.

(41) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1928), $2,002.82.

(42) Spring Valley Water Co., water and hydrant installation for Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1928), $2,667.06.

(43) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for amount expended in construction of Balboa Park (claim dated April 13, 1928), $823.13.

(44) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for amount of construction of convenience stations (claim dated April 13, 1928), $1,142.55.

(45) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated April 16, 1928), $879.52.

(46) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., room allowance for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated March 31, 1928), $3,500.

(47) Pratt-Low Preserving Co., canned fruits for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,965.06.

(48) Smith, Lynden & Co., foodstuffs for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $558.40.

(49) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruits and vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $860.36.

(50) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $709.02.

(51) California Filter Co., water softening mineral for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $750.

(52) Sussman, Wormser Co., sugar, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $2,334.20.

(53) Seabury & Johnson, hospital supplies for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $574.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,592.87.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $809.25, Payment to Crocker First National Bank, Fiscal Agent.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $809.25

be and the same is hereby set aside out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, and authorized in payment to the Crocker First National Bank, being payment for services as fiscal agent for the City and County, and including New York Exchange, clerical services, etc., for period October, 1927, to April, 1928.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and on recommendation of Finance Committee, *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation, $2,100, Payment to J. Harold Johnson for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28914 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,100 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax and authorized in payment to J. Harold Johnson; being payment for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 86 feet 11⅛ inches, more or less, to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, running thence northerly along said last described line 25 feet 2 inches, more or less, to a line drawn easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Anza street from the point of commencement, and thence westerly along said last described line 84 feet 4¾ inches to the point of commencement. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28853 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Appropriation, $525, Services of Traffic Engineer, Etc.

Resolution No. 28915 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $525 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated to be expended out of General Fund, 1927-1928, to the credit of Bureau of Engineering, Appropriation 32A, for payment for services of City Traffic Engineer, $300, and Assistant Traffic Engineer, $225, for the month of April, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Appropriation, $1,200, Improvement of Turk Street Between Masonic and Parker Avenues.

Resolution No. 28916 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of the northerly side of Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks. Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Appropriation for Construction of Edward Robeson Taylor School.

Resolution No. 28917 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax to defray cost of construction of the Edward Robeson Taylor School, as follows, to-wit:

General construction (Amoroso & Filippis contract), $68,500.

Finish carpentry (O. Monson contract), $10,280.

Electrical work (R. Flatland contract), $8,950.

Painting work (American Painting and Decorating Co. contract), $3,086.35.

Mechanical equipment (A. Lettich), $8,283.

Plumbing work (A. Lettich contract), $5,270.

Architectural fees, $1,762.16.

Extras, incidentals and inspection, $5,000.

Total, $111,131.51.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy. Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher,

McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Ordering Construction of Section A, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Bill No. 8518, Ordinance No. 8025 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, Section "A," from Potrero to Silver avenues; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, Section "A," from Potrero to Silver avenues, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and said plans and specifications are hereby approved.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Block Bounded by Hawes Street, Thomas Avenue, Ingalls street and Shafter Avenue.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Bill No. 8519, Ordinance No. 8026 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 10 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Hawes street, Thomas avenue, Ingalls street and Shafter avenue in the Light Industrial District instead of in the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Pine Street Between Larkin and Polk Streets.

Bill No. 8520, Ordinance No. 8027 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, where not already in the Commercial District, the northerly side of Pine street between Larkin and Polk streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Boutwell Street.

Bill No. 8521, Ordinance No. 8028 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said pur-

poses, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Boutwell street, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Augusta street and running thence northerly 50 feet, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Repealing Set-back Lines, Balboa Street Between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth Avenues.

Bill No. 8522, Ordinance No. 8029 (New Series) as follows:

Repealing so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6136 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Balboa street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6136 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Balboa street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Oil Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 28918 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and they are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bell & Sylvester, west side Monterey boulevard, 300 feet south of Westgate drive, 600 gallons capacity.

A. Boitano, south line Filbert street, 100 feet east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Braunwarth, northeast corner of Fourteenth and Dolores streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Enterprise Oil Burner Co., west side Nineteenth avenue, 250 feet south of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Fisher, south side Lake street, 390 feet west of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

General Cigar Company, 601 Third street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. K. Holt, south side Taraval street, 100 feet west of Nineteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. W. Hoxie, 84 Commonwealth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Company, north side Pacific avenue, 80 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. A. Meussdorffer, south side of Pacific avenue, 60 feet east of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Progress Enameling Co., 442 Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Salvation Army College, 801 Silver avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hibernia Bank, southwest corner Mission and Norton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Silver Avenue Wet Wash Laundry, 904 Silver avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Strohime, south side Bay street, 200 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tasty Bakery, 1914 Irving street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. H. Threlkeld, 611 Camino Del Mar, 750 gallons capacity.

Tiedemann & Harris, south side Langton street, 275 feet east of Bryant street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Daniel Volkman, north side of Broadway, 200 feet west of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, north side of Jackson street, 175 feet east of First avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, north side of Jackson street, 150 feet east of First avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. Wallgren, 3521 Eighteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. George Wienholz, south side of Sacramento street, 154 feet west of Steiner, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. George Wienholz, 1230 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner. Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Parking Station Permits.

Resolution No. 28919 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Furniture Exchange be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain an automobile parking station on the northeast corner of Howard and New Montgomery streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy. Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Resolution No. 28920 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. A. Whicher be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile parking station permit heretofore granted J. O. Wardrup for premises on the south side of Mission street, 73 feet 3½ inches east of Fifth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28921 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles F. Miller be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted A. P. Chapuis by Resolution No. 19838 (New Series), for premises at 834 Divisadero street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28922 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That McMichael & Schliter be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of San Jose avenue and Broad street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Extension of Time.

Resolution No. 28923 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after April 3, 1928, within which to complete contract for the Auxiliary Water Supply Extension No. 6, from Harrison and Twenty-fifth streets to Evans avenue and Rankin street, under a public contract. This extension is granted on the recommendation of the Board of Public Works. The work has been practically completed, with the exception of minor details still remaining to be done. This is a first extension.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deas Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Certain Streets.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee:

Bill No. 8523, Ordinance No. 8030 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sec-

tions to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-one to ten hundred and twenty-four, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 14, 1928, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-one to ten hundred and twenty-four, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 1021. The width of sidewalks on Castle Manor avenue between Mission street and Camellia avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 1022. The width of sidewalks on Admiral avenue between Mission street and Camellia avenue shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 1023. The width of sidewalks on Silver avenue between Mission street and Alemany boulevard shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1024. The width of sidewalks on Camellia avenue between Silver avenue and Alemany boulevard shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Bill No. 8524, Ordinance No. 8031 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 14, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-five, to read as follows:

Section 1025. The width of sidewalks on Goethe street between San Jose avenue and the County Line shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $59,139.51, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at Spring Festival Concerts April 10 and 13, 1928, per contract (claim dated April 20, 1928), $7,000.

(2) Louise Bennett, secretary of Municipal Chorus, for payment to professional singers at Spring Festival Concerts April 10 and 13, 1928 (claim dated April 20, 1928), $1,437.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(3) Appropriation 3, Budget Item 76, reimbursement for hauling charges (claim dated April 23, 1928), $1,758.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(4) Elizabeth Romo, for full settlement of claim against Municipal Railways for damages arising out of accident of Sept. 26, 1925 (claim dated April 21, 1928), $1,000.

Special School Tax.

(5) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., blackboard for Sunnyside School (claim dated April 23, 1928), $673.92.

(6) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for South Side High School (claim dated April 24, 1928), $1,209.58.

(7) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, first payment, architectural services for second unit of South Side (Balboa) High School (claim dated April 24, 1928), $4,800.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(8) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., black pipe (claim dated April 13, 1928), $4,453.28.

(9) Gunn, Carle & Co., corrugated steel (claim dated April 13, 1928), $715.49.

(10) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper (claim dated April 13, 1928), $526.80.

(11) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated April 13, 1928), $995.

(12) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated April 13, 1928), $1,333.50.

(13) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat and fish (claim dated April 23, 1928), $577.65.

(14) Columbia Steel Corporation, special steel sheets (claim dated April 19, 1928), $1,613.61.

(15) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated April 19, 1928), $600.88.

Boulevard Bond Fund, 1927.

(16) J. P. Holland, rock for Great Highway improvement (claim dated March 30, 1928), $610.50.

(17) J. P. Holland, loam for Great Highway improvement (claim dated March 30, 1928), $721.97.

(18) J. P. Holland, rock for Great Highway improvement (claim dated March 30, 1928), $1,011.12.

(19) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam for Great Highway improvement (claim dated April 27, 1928), $1,205.23.

(20) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock for Great Highway improvement (claim dated April 27, 1928), $1,354.32.

(21) Municipal Construction Co., asphaltic construction on Alemany boulevard at San Jose avenue and at Sickles avenue (claim dated April 19, 1928), $1,212.96.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(22) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment architectural services for first unit of South Side High School (claim dated April 24, 1928), $794.51.

County Road Fund.

(23) E. A. Treacy, second payment, improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue and in front of Balboa Park, etc. (claim dated April 19, 1928), $10,800.

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59.

(24) William F. Humphrey, attorney for judgment creditors, fifth installment on final judgments and interests, as per attached schedule and approved by the City Attorney (claim dated April 26, 1928), $2,509.21.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(25) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925, reimbursement for materials, supplies and equipment furnished and service rendered Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, January 1, 1927, to February 29, 1928 (claim dated April 23, 1928), $26,559.28.

(26) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, for depreciation reserve as per Charter (claim dated April 23, 1928), $14,583.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(27) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Department of Public Works (claim dated April 20, 1928), $519.30.

(28) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated April 30, 1928), $1,015.05.

(29) Clarence B. Eaton, fifth payment, installing of Section 6, High Pressure Water System (claim dated April 24, 1928), $6,500.

(30) Frank J. McHugh, final payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances in westerly Sunset District, Section B, Contract 3 (claim dated April 24, 1928), $5,360.50.

(31) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated April 18, 1928), $1,383.26.

(32) Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for materials furnished during January, February and March, 1928 (claim dated April 16, 1928), $883.32.

(33) Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for materials furnished during January, February and March, 1928 (claim dated April 16, 1928), $520.52.

(34) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated April 18, 1928), $524.56.

(35) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $2,338.69.

(36) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for San Francisco Hospital

(claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,-090.17.

(37) Smith, Lynden Co, vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,-433.52.

(38) Standard Fisheries, fish for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $730.55.

(39) Western Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,738.57.

(40) California Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $3,012.44.

(41) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,832.84.

(42) Seabury & Johnson, bandages for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,-162.

(43) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $2,131.65.

(44) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $530.81.

(45) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $5,-200.41.

(46) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,134.41.

(47) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 18, 1928), $1,068.66.

(48) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated April 18, 1928), $5,125.97.

(49) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated April 18, 1928), $2,565.28.

(50) Ajax Construction Co., second payment, furnishing, installing and testing sewage pumping plant at Pinelake Park (claim dated April 19, 1928), $1,400.

(51) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated April 26, 1928), $707.03.

(52) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for Fire Department (claim dated April 26, 1928), $6,975.

(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated April 26, 1928), $14,833.60.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(54) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, for payment of expense in connection with celebration of Seventh Centenary of the death of St. Francis Assisi; per vouchers (claim dated April 30, 1928), $3,459.64.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Street Improvements.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of streets, by resolutions as hereinafter designated, to the credit of Appropriation 33-C, Budget Item No. 526, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for materials and supplies furnished and used in the reconstruction of said designated streets, to-wit:

(1) Beale street, Mission to The Embarcadero, Resolution No. 27652 (New Series), $9,244.21.

(2) Broderick street, Vallejo to Green, Resolution No. 28561 (New Series), $1,206.60.

(3) Bryant street, Ninth to Eleventh, Resolution No. 27756 (New Series), $2,364.25.

(4) Cherry street, Sacramento to California, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $747.47.

(5) Folsom street, Fourteenth to Fifteenth, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $1,224.10.

(6) Fourth street, Market to Mission, Resolution No. 27652 (New Series), $1,308.20.

(7) Jackson street, Sansome to Montgomery, Resolution No. 28561 (New Series), $768.15.

(8) Kearny street, Broadway to Vallejo, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $687.45.

(9) Kearny street, Union to Filbert, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $730.80.

(10) Larkin street, California to Jackson, Resolution No. 27652 (New Series), $4,035.40.

(11) Lyon street, Washington to Jackson, Resolution No. 28561 (New Series), $1,272.60.

(12) Maple street, Sacramento to Clay, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $1,433.86.

(13) Montgomery street, Post to Washington, Resolution No. 28211 (New Series), $2,211.60.

(14) Pierce street, Greenwich to Filbert, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $1,441.36.

(15) Seventh street, Mission to Brannan, Resolutions Nos. 27652 and 28482 (New Series), $8,383.74.

(16) Spruce street, California to Sacramento, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $626.60.

(17) Twenty-ninth street, Castro to Diamond, Resolution No. 27996 (New Series), $1,588.10.

(18) Twenty-third avenue, Anza to Cabrillo, Resolution No. 27996 (New Series), $2,519.20.

(19) Walnut street, Washington to Jackson, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $1,491.27.

(20) Washington street, Montgomery to Sansome, Resolution No. 27996 (New Series), $830.32.

(21) Webster street, Sutter to Pine, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $2,988.08.

Accepting Market Street Railwy's Statement of City's Percentage of Gross Receipts.

Also, Resolution No. 28924 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of March, 1928, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County of San Francisco under terms of franchises be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc., $579.43.

Gough Street Railroad, $45.28.

Parnassus and Ninth Avenue, etc., $342.89.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Appropriations Out of Boulevard Bonds for Rights of Way.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Bernardo Berri, for portion of Lot 30, Block 5598, as per the Assessor's Map Books of the City and County of San Francisco (70 x x38 feet, irregular); required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28841, New Series (claim dated April 19, 1928), $7,353.

(2) To Thomas C. Fry, for Lot 31, Block 5560, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28841, New Series, and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated April 19, 1928), $2,685.

(3) To Sophie M. Schmalholz, for Lots 26 and 27 in Block 5560, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28841, New Series; required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated April 19, 1928), $6,023.

(4) To Alexander Hauser et al., for Lot 12, Block 5474, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28842, New Series; required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated April 19, 1928), $3,750.

(5) To Ocean Shore Railroad Company, for portions of Lots 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 in Block 6750, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (146 x 86 feet, irregular); required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated April 19, 1928), $650.

Appropriations Out of Bernal Cut Bonds for Rights of Way.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To John C. McCulloch and Elizabeth McCulloch, for Lot 12 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28846, New Series (claim dated April 17, 1928), $6,750.

(2) To S. Sanfilippo, for Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28847, New Series (claim dated April 17, 1928), $16,800.

(3) To John Svabek and Verona Svabek, for Lots 6 and 7 in Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28847, New Series (claim dated April 17, 1928), $4,250.

(4) To H. H. Van Bilderbeek and Theodora J. Van Bilderbeek, for Lot 7-A in Block 6725, as per the

Assessor's Block Books of the City and County. and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28847, New Series (claim dated April 17, 1928), $4,813.

(5) To C. Bernini and Emilia Bernini, for Lot 11 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28847, New Series (claim dated April 17, 1928), $3,642.

Appropriation, $1,125, Payment to Bay View Building and Loan Association for Land for Widening of Silver Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,125 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Bay View Building and Loan Association; being payment for Lots 9 and 10 as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28889 (New Series); said lands being required for the widening and extending of Silver avenue (claim dated April 24, 1928).

City Attorney to Compromise Litigation, Margaret Hogan v. City and County of San Francisco.

Also, Resolution No. 28925 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended to the Board of Supervisors that the action of Margaret Hogan against the City and County of San Francisco for $25,000 for damages sustained while alighting from a Municipal Railway car on June 22, 1926, be compromised and settled for the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars; be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle the said action of Margaret Hogan v. City and County of San Francisco, by the payment to her of the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Opening and Widening of Worcester Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 28926 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Worcester avenue, for the sum set forth opposite his name be accepted, viz.:

Ray T. Higgins, $1—Portion of Lot 1 in Block 7126A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

As a further consideration it is understood and agreed that the street work on Worcester avenue adjoining the remaining portion of the aforesaid Lot 1 and adjoining Lot 2 of Block 7126 will be done at the sole expense of the City and County of San Francisco, said street work to include sewers, curbs and pavements.

It is also understood and agreed that in the event that the above mentioned portion of Lot 1 is not used for street purposes it will revert to Ray T. Higgins, his heirs or assigns.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Improvement of Holladay Avenue and York Street.

Also, Resolution No. 28927 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the improvement of the intersection of Holladay avenue and York street, for the sum set forth opposite their names be accepted, viz.:

Charles C. Funke and Ellie M. Funke, $1—The southeasterly corner of Lot 11. Block 1. Geo. Ryan's Subdivision of the "Franconia," as recorded on July 18, 1903, in Map Book 1, page 238, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Silver Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 28928 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Silver avenue, for the sum set forth opposite its name be accepted, viz.:

Roman Catholic Orphan Asylum, $1—Portions of O'Neill & Haley Tract and also Silver Terrace Survey, as per map entitled "Plat of the Silver Terrace," recorded in Map Book 1, page 78, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco agrees to do all of the necessary street work in front of the property adjoining the above described parcel at its own expense; said street work to include paving, grading, curbs and sewer, and also agrees to restore the present fence on the new line of Silver avenue.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Opening of Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28929 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted, viz.:

John E. Creeley, $16—All of Assessor's Lot No. 38A in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Opening of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28930 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named parties to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their names, be accepted, viz.:

Ocean Shore Railroad Company, $10—Portion of Lot 15, Block 5801, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Sarah Wafford, $19—Portion of Lot 215 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record

said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Also, Resolution No. 28931 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted, viz.:

William B. McKinnon, $9,535—

Parcel 1. Portion of Lot 1 in Block 3208A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lot S in Block 3208A. as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed descriptions and written offer on file.)

It is understood and agreed that William B. McKinnon is to receive a deed to a small portion of Lot 2 of Block 3208A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, which is no longer required for street purposes.

Michael J. Dillon and Johanna Dillon, $600—Portion of Lot 18, Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28932 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted, viz.:

Josephine Darilek, $450—Portion of Lot 13, Block "B," French and Gilman Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Henry W. Boning, $1,000—Portion of Lot 1, Block "E," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

James Donnelly, $6,000—Portions of Lots 43 and 44, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this recolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Also, Resolution No. 28933 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted, viz.:

Henry H. Krohn and Emma Krohn, $7,000—Lot 8, Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The building located on the above described land is to remain the property of said Henry H. and Emma Krohn, and is to be removed

by them within 30 days after recordation of deed to the above described land.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Street Improvements.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

Scott street, from Filbert to Greenwich streets, $5,000.

Buchanan street, from Bush to California streets, $8,000.

Walnut street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $1,500.

Appropriation, Window Shades, Portola Junior High School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved. That the sum of $920.15 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax to defray cost of installing window and door shades in the Portola Junior High School; per award of contract to D. N. and E. Walter & Co.

Appropriation, $400, Street Work, Quint Street.

Also, Resolution No. 28934 (New Series). as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $400 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for alterations to the High Pressure Water System in connection with the improvement of the intersection of Quint street and Evans avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Rejecting Bid for Lease of Bush Street Fire Lot.

On recommendation of Public Buildings Committee:

Resolution No. 28935 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bid of T. Mosco, presented in open session of the Board on April 9, 1928, for lease of the certain city property situate north line of Bush street, distant 24 feet westerly from St. George alley, in the sum of $100 rental per month, be and the same is hereby rejected, and the Clerk is hereby directed to return to said bidder the certified check filed with said bid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Accepting Offer of Havelock Fourness to Sell Land on Thirty-second Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28936 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Havelock Fourness to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the southeasterly line of Thirty-second avenue and Anza street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $9,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Anza street and the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, running thence southerly along said line of Thirty-second avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 79 feet 3⅞ inches to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-

second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence northwesterly along said first described line 100 feet 6¼ inches, more or less, to the southerly line of Anza street, and thence westerly along said line of Anza street 69 feet 2 inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is fuond to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Accepting Offer of Andrew Wilkie to Sell Land on Twenty-second Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28937 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Andrew Wilkie to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, distant 180 feet northerly from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $14,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, distant thereon 180 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly and along said line of Twenty-second avenue 206 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 206 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 1048.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner —5.

Approval of Joint Highway District.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28938 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of Santa Cruz County initiated proceedings for the creation of a joint highway district to be composed of the counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Boards of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and of Santa Cruz and San Mateo counties, have heretofore duly adopted resolutions agreeing that said highway district should be formed, and that the same would be to the public interest of said counties; and

Whereas, a board of directors has been duly appointed consisting of a representative from each of said counties, and said board of directors so duly appointed have compiled and returned to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco a report setting forth a tentative route of the proposed district highway, determining the approximate total cost thereof,

and the portion thereof that should be borne by each county, respectively, a copy of said report being now on file with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approves the report and the amendment thereto of said board of directors of said highway district submitted herewith, and signifies its approval of the formation of such district, and declares its intention to join with the counties of San Mateo and Santa Cruz in the creation and formation of said joint highway district; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Boards of Supervisors of the counties of San Mateo and Santa Cruz; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 28870 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

No—Supervisor Marks—1.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr, Toner—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Astor Apartments, north side Jackson street, 20 feet west of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bessie Cooley, southwest corner Beach and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Foster's Lunch, 345 Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. B. Fowler, 2733 Broderick street. 1500 gallons capacity.

E. R. Guinasso, southeast corner Greenwich and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Hechter, northwest corner Fell and Buchanan streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Keenan, southwest corner of Sacramento and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Latourette-Fical Co., east side of Powell street, 20 feet south of Sutter street, two oil storage tanks of 1500 gallons capacity each.

Lincoln Investment Co., southwest corner Sixteenth avenue and Lincoln way, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. L. McCormick, 3424 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, 438 Urbano drive, 500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, 444 Urbano drive, 500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, 245 Moncada way, 750 gallons capacity.

Chas. Monson, west side Laguna Honda boulevard, 150 feet south of Plaza, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. E. O'Brien, north side California street, 50 feet west of Twenty-fifth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. T. Offutt, 326 Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. V. Rothschild, east side Baker street, 150 feet south of Beach street, 750 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That P. Montague is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts in lot on west line of Castro street, 25 feet north of Fifteenth street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said P. Montague, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Hon. Frank Edwards, Mayor of Seattle.

Hon. Frank Edwards. Mayor of Seattle, was presented by his Honor Mayor Rolph. He addressed the Board commending City on its achievement and expressing thanks for his reception.

Supervisor Havenner responded.

Death of Justice A. T. Barnett.

Supervisor Marks moved that when we adjourn we do so out of respect to the memory of Justice of Peace A. T. Barnett, recently deceased.

So ordered.

San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminal.

City Attorney O'Toole was heard in the matter of the building permit granted by the Board of Public Works for the construction of a provision terminal at the Marina. He discussed the possibility of the City bringing action in case that the permit was found invalid.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 12:20 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, May 14, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 20

Monday, May 7, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 7, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 7, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

At 11:15 a. m. his Honor the Mayor was in attendance and presided.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of April 17 and 23, 1928, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

San Francisco Supervisors Thanked, Los Angeles City Hall Dedication.

Communication, from the City Council of the City of Los Angeles, transmitting copy of resolution expressing its appreciation for the flowers and personal attendance of the members of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco on the occasion of the dedication of the new Los Angeles City Hall.

Read and *filed*.

Communication, from Hon. Geo. E. Cryer, Mayor of Los Angeles, thanking Board of Supervisors and Mayor Rolph for flowers and attendance at dedication of Los Angeles' new City Hall.

Read and *filed*.

Mothers' Day Commemoration Service.

Communication, from National Memorial Grove Committee, Mothers' Day Celebration, inviting attendance at Mothers' Day Commemoration Service at the National Memorial Grove to the mothers of the sons and daughters of all the wars of the United States to be held at the Grove in Golden Gate Park at 10:35 a. m. Sunday, May 13, 1928.

Read and *invitation accepted*.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 28834 (New Series), granting supply station permit to Ray McGrath and Mrs. N. Maloney at southeast corner of Twenty-eighth avenue and Judah street.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Mayor's Veto, W. W. Haley Parking Station.

Mayor's Office.

San Francisco, April 11, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am returning to you herewith, without my approval, Resolution No. 28762 (New Series), by reason of protests from various individuals and associations directly concerned who have bombarded my office with objections to the granting of this permit to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

Respectfully,

(Sgd.) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

April 16, 1928—*Over one week*.

Resolution No. 28762 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Finally passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 2, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner,

Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved — San Francisco, April 11, 1928.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Reasons set forth in attached message to your Honorable Board.

J. R., Jr.

April 23, 1928—*Over until May* 7, 1928.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Printed Forms and Blanks for School Department, 1928-29.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing printed forms and blanks for School Department, 1928-1929, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Class 7, Hardware and Castings, and Class 11, Lumber.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing Class 7, hardware and castings, and Class 11, lumber, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Enameled Wares, Aluminum Ware, Tinware, Japanned and Galvanized Wares and Kitchen Utensils.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing enameled wares, aluminum ware, tinware, japanned and galvanized wares and kitchen utensils, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $78,533.58, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the City Planning Committee:

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Sixteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 8525, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Ordinance No. 6950 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along both sides of Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6950 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along both sides of Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly to Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Ulloa Street.

Also, Bill No. 8526, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Ordinance No. 6581 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along both sides of Ulloa street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6581 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along both sides of Ulloa street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the northerly side of Ulloa street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, said set-back line to be 6 feet; along the southerly side of Ulloa street, commencing at Seventeenth avenue and running thence easterly 140 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8527, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows;

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6421 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along Thirty-eighth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6421 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along Thirty-eighth avenue between Irving and Judah streets is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly to Irving street, said set-back lines to be 2½ feet.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Thirty-second Avenue and Other Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8528, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Ordinance No. 6492 (New Series), "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-second avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirtieth avenue," approved January 28, 1925, as pertains to Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirty-fifth avenue between Judah and Irving streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6492 (New Series), "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-second avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirtieth avenue," approved January 28, 1925, as pertains to Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirty-fifth avenue between Judah and Irving streets is hereby amended to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 87½ feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 285 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 87½ feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet.

Appropriations.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for April (claim dated May 7, 1928), $3,886.43.

Library Fund.

(2) Sydney B. Mitchell, expense of survey of San Francisco Public Library (claim dated April 30, 1928), $1,171.21.

(3) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitor service (claim dated April 30, 1928), $810.

(4) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., cabinets, etc., for Public Library (claim dated April 30, 1928), $729.20.

(5) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1928), $2,935.05.

(6) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1928), $3,500.66.

Park Fund.

(7) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium (claim dated May 4, 1928), $671.81.

Playground Fund.

(8) Klawans & McMullin, recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated May 2, 1928), $1,861.

(9) James F. Smith, stucco work for South Side Playground (claim dated May 2, 1928), $550.

(10) A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, recreational supplies (claim dated May 2, 1928), $1,727.60.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(11) H. H. Larsen Co., final payment, general construction of Fire Dept. Engine House No. 18 (claim dated May 2, 1928), $13,814.25.

County Road Fund.

(12) Clarence B. Eaton, second payment, improvement of Roosevelt way from Buena Vista Terrace to Seventeenth street and Seventeenth street from Roosevelt way to Clayton street (claim dated May 2, 1928), $15,000.

(13) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, construction of tunnel under Skyline boulevard (claim dated April 25, 1928), $3,750.

Special School Tax.

(14) H. Ankers, final payment, painting of South Side High School (claim dated April 30, 1928), $3,587.50.

(15) Frank J. Reilly, fourth payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated April 30, 1928), $13,180.87.

(16) Thos. Skelly, second payment, plumbing work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated April 30, 1928), $1,071.84.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(17) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co. of Cal., brake shoes (claim dated April 24 1928), $2,136.35.

(18) Lambert Sales Corporation, Municipal Railway bus tires (claim dated April 24, 1928), $597.31.

(19) Market Street Railway Co., Municipal Railway proportion of track repairs (claim dated April 24, 1928), $563.30.

(20) John A. Roebling's Sons Company of California, trolley wire (claim dated April 24, 1928), $2,417.44.

General Fund—1927-1928.

(21) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated April 24, 1928), $1,250.

(22) James G. Stafford and associates, seventeenth payment for appraisal of taxable improvements, per contract (claim dated May 5, 1928), $6,124.75.

(23) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 7, 1928), $1,668.

(24) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidental expense for months of April and May, 1928 (claim dated May 7, 1928), $1,047.50.

(25) Monson Bros., fourth payment, repairs to Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated May 4, 1928), $4,679.13.

(26) Baumgarten Bros., meats for County Jail No. 1 (claim dated April 16, 1928), $622.46.

(27) Haas Bros., groceries for County Jail No. 3 (claim dated April 16, 1928), $637.79.

(28) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for County Jails (claim dated April 16, 1928), $690.43.

(29) California Meat Co., meat for County Jail No. 1 (claim dated April 16, 1928), $755.73.

(30) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated April 16, 1928), $982.74.

(31) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated May 1, 1928), $750.

(32) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Dept. (claim dated May 1, 1928), $1,360.83.

(33) The National Cash Register Co., one cash register for Traffic Fines Bureau (claim dated April 23, 1928), $513.

(34) Reo Motor Car Co. of California, one Reo speedwagon for Dept. of Elections (claim dated May 3, 1928), $1,800.

(35) Phillips & Van Orden, printing election ballots (claim dated May 3, 1928), $1,825.

(36) California Printing Co., printing election circulars (claim dated May 3, 1928), $1,190.40.

(37) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated April 23, 1928), $644.

(38) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated April 24, 1928), $3,256.75.

(39) J. H. McCallum, lumber for street repair (claim dated April 23, 1928), $732.56.

(40) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil, street cleaning (claim dated April 23, 1928), $655.45.

(41) J. A. Grant, final payment, construction of chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 2, 1928), $4,874.75.

(42) Associated Charities, supplies furnished for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated April 20, 1928), $5,000.

(43) Morris & Company, butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,974.54.

(44) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., for room allowances to employees of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1928), $3,500.

(45) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1928), $620.

(46) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1928), $518.17.

(47) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $810.

(48) Western Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated April 24, 1928), $1,257.32.

(49) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service, Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated April 30, 1928), $628.13.

(50) Volunteers of America, sup-

plies furnished for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,093.56.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(51) Auditorium Fund, rent of Auditorium for account of occupancy by Pacific Foreign Trade and Travel Exposition (claim dated May 7, 1928), $1,417.

(52) Pacific Foreign Trade and Travel Exposition, expense for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 7, 1928), $1,583.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard, to-wit:

(1) To William B. McKinnon, for purchase of portions of Lots 1 and 2 in Block 3208A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28931, New Series (claim dated May 3, 1928), $9,535.

(2) To Michael J. and Johanna Dillon, for purchase of portion of Lot 18 in Block 7148 (50x100), as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28931, New Series (claim dated May 3, 1928), $600.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Henry H. Krohn and Emma Krohn, for Lot 8 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28933, New Series (claim dated May 3, 1928), $7,000.

(2) To Henry W. Boning, for portion of Lots 49 and 50, size 20.5x49, of Block 6692, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28932, New Series (claim dated May 3, 1928), $1,000.

(3) To James Donnelly, for portion of Lot 48 in Block 6692, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28932, New Series (claim dated May 3, 1928), $6,000.

Appropriation, $2,000, Payment to Walter Hausner et al. for Land Required for Potrero Playground.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized in payment out of Playground Fund to Walter Hausner and Mary Hausner; being payment for Lot 6 of Block 4163-4196, according to the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the Potrero Playground (claim dated May 2, 1928.)

Condemnation of Properties for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28939 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. All of Lots 23 and 24 of Block "C," French & Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Park street, distant thereon 250 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street, thence westerly along said northerly line 50 feet to the westerly line of Lot 24; thence northerly along said westerly line a distance of 10.526 feet; thence deflecting to the right 23 deg. 29 min. 20 sec. and running northeasterly 105.179 feet to the northerly boundary line of Lot 23; thence easterly along said northerly line 7.5 feet to the easterly boundary line of said Lot 23; thence southerly along said easterly line 100 feet to the northerly line of Park street and the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way with the southeasterly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho, said point being on

the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way, distant thereon 132.210 feet northeasterly from the easterly line of Diamond street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 368 feet and ¼ inch to the southerly line of Bosworth street; thence easterly along said southerly line 8 feet 10 inches to the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence along said right of way line the following courses and distances: southwesterly 27 feet 9¾ inches; southeasterly 70 feet; southwesterly 339 feet to its intersection with the southeasterly line of the San Miguel Rancho and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Tract.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue and the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 107.716 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 150 feet, central angle 22 deg. 46 min. 15 sec., a distance of 59.570 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve and parallel with the southeasterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way and distant 30 feet at right angles therefrom 617.433 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 30 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence at right angles southwesterly along said southeasterly line 576.170 feet to the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening of Bernal Cut. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Accepting Offer of Thomas Horgan et al. to Sell Land for Widening of Garfield Street.

Also, Resolution No. 28940 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Garfield street, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Thomas Horgan and James Gillam, $1,200—All of Lot 19 in Block 6989, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 28941 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

James D. Rodney, Sr., $900—Portion of Lot 4, Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Adelina Capri, $300—Portion of Lot 16, Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City

and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Pius Haberthauer, $900—Portion of Lot 14, Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

J. Varni and Mary Varni, $3,600—Portions of Lots 1 and 2 in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

William Varni and Virginia Varni, $1,520—Portion of Lot 5, Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Peyton G. Newlon and Marie Newlon, $1,200—Portion of Lot 19, Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now partially located on the above described land, said improvements to be removed by the owners within thirty (30) days after the recordation of the deed to said City and County of San Francisco.

Domenico Capri, $975—Portion of Lot No. 17, Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books and the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now partially located on the above described land, said improvements to be removed by the owner within thirty (30) days after the recordation of the deed to said City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Accepting Offer of Fredericka Meon to Sell Land Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28942 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite her name, be accepted, viz.:

Fredericka Meon, $3,200—Portion of Lot 41, Jones' Addition to the Fairmount Tract, as delineated on page 169, in Map Book "E and F," in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Appropriation for Reimbursement for Painting Curbs.

Also, Resolution No. 28943 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 69, to the credit of the designated Budget items, Department of Public Works; being reimbursement for expenditures for the painting of curbs for traffic regulation during month of March, 1928, to-wit:

To credit of Budget Item 411, for labor, the sum of $375.

To credit of Budget Item No. 433, for materials and supplies, $219.88.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Appropriations for Reimbursements, Board of Health.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated appropriations, to the credit of Budget Items Nos. 411 and 433, Bureau of Repair, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for expenditures for account of the Department of Public Health, to-wit:

From Budget Item 796, Appropriation 43-D, the sum of $140.81.

From Budget Item 843, Appropriation 44-B, sum of $41.83.

From Budget Item 995, Appropriation 46-B, sum of $3,001.60.

From Budget Item 1012, Appropriation 47-B, sum of $10.

From Budget Item 57, Appropriation 3, sum of $5.25.

Appropriation by Resolution No. 28562 (New Series) out of Budget Item No. 59, for installation of six-inch pipe at Laguna Honda Home, sum of $750.

Total, $3,949.49.

To the credit of Budget Item 411, sum of $2,584.50.

To the credit of Budget Item No. 433, sum of $1,364.99.

Appropriations, Preventorium, Out-Patient Maternity Service, Traffic Signals.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Preventorium, San Mateo County, Budget Item No. 57.

(1) For the purchasing of supplies and for the payroll of the Tubercular Sanitarium, San Mateo County, month of April, 1928, $4,-910.62.

Maternity Service. Budget Item No. 58.

(2) For the expense of Out-patient Maternity Service, including payroll, for month of April, 1928, $1,424.11.

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(3) For the purchase of 2000 traffic citation books, for Traffic Bureau, Police Department, $640.

Action Deferred:

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $1,000, for Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, and authorized credited to Appropriation 46-A, San Francisco Hospital, for the employment of ten additional nurses in the San Francisco Hospital for the month of May, 1928.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Farnocchia & Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop at No. 15 Water street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. H. Marshal be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile parking station permit heretofore granted F. E. Vanderburg by Resolution No. 23271 (New Series) for premises at 58 McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. J. Comolo (Vallejo Garage No. 2) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted F. E. Palmer by Resolution No. 23704 (New Series) for premises at 2715 Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Beale Street Garage (L. W. Donlin) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted William

Lohmann by Resolution No. 16852 (New Series) for premises at 133 Beale street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. De Hart be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted C. Tanzer for premises at 1355 Fulton street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John H. Rieck be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Dolson & Anderson by Resolution No. 18023 (New Series) for premises at 36 Clay street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Robert Shanks (Eagle Garage) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Otto Von Barstel by Resolution No. 18128 (New Series) for premises at 1625 Leavenworth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Fourteenth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Oscar Werner and V. D. Ryan be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Walter Mohns by Resolution No. 26051 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Jackson street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 126 Jones street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alta Hand Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 1323 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That New Domestic French Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a French laundry at 1221 Fell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Boiler Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Henry W. Dea be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a 10-horsepower boiler at 943 Stockton street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following matters was *laid over one week*:

Closing and Abandoning Portion of San Aleso Avenue.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest

and necessity requires that all that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way, said closing up and abandonment of said portion of San Aleso avenue above described shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damages, cost and expenses of said closing and abandonment of said portion of San Aleso avenue as above described be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Award of Contract Amended.

On recommendation of the Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 28944 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract heretofore made by Resolution No. 28871 (New Series), approved April 24, 1928, be amended in reference to Item 950 (c), Proposal 363: Prince's mineral brown, double label, which is hereby awarded to Dan P. Maher Paint Co. at $0.275 per pound.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Leave of Absence, Leonard S. Leavy, Purchaser of Supplies.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
May 2, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mr. Leonard S. Leavy, Purchaser of Supplies, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of twenty (20) days, commencing May 23, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28945 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Leonard S. Leavy, Purchaser of Supplies, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty days, commencing May 23, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Leave of Absence, Lawrence Arnstein, Jr., Member Department of Public Health.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
May 3, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Hon. Lawrence Arnstein, Jr., member of the Department of Public Health, for a leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing April 30, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfull yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

April 28, 1928.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of

San Francisco, I herewith respectfully request permission to absent myself from the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California for a period of one month from April 29, 1928.

In conformity with Charter provisions I have this day forwarded a similar communication to his Honor James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Very truly yours,
LAWRENCE ARNSTEIN,
Member Board of Health.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28946 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Lawrence Arnstein, Jr., member of the Department of Public Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing May 3, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Validity of Permit Granted to San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminal to Erect Building at Foot of Laguna Street.

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

April 27, 1928.

Dear Sirs:

I am in receipt of a copy of a resolution of your Honorable Board adopted on April 23, 1928, asking that both your Board and the Honorable Board of Public Works be advised as to the legality of the permit issued and the right of the Board of Public Works to revoke the same.

Opinion.

I understand the facts in this matter to be as follows:

(a) That on the 18th day of April, 1928, a permit was issued by the Board of Public Works to construct the foundation for a Class "C" concrete building on the property bounded on the north by the waters of the Bay, on the east by Laguna street, on the south by Marina boulevard and on the west by Webster street.

(b) That at the time that this permit was issued the applicant was not the owner or lessee of all the property to be covered by the building mentioned in the permit, nor was the applicant the architect, engineer, contractor or other agent of such portions of the property as it did not own.

(c) That the said application did not give the residence address of the actual owners of the property.

(d) That the plans and specifications are not complete, the specifications especially being only of a general character.

(e) That at the time the said permit was issued there was pending before the City Planning Commission an application to change the said property in question from the Light Commercial Zone to Second Residential Zone.

Questions to Be Determined.

1. Is the said permit issued valid?

2. Irrespective of its validity, has the Board of Public Works the right to revoke the same?

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 9, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter, the Board of Public Works has charge, superintendence and control, under such ordinances as the Board of Supervisors may adopt (Subd. 5), of all building construction in the City and County.

On December 22, 1909, the Board of Supervisors passed a comprehensive building ordinance regulating the construction, etc., of all buildings in the City and County, which ordinance is numbered 1008 (New Series) and commonly known as the "Building Law."

Section 5 of the ordinance makes it unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to proceed with the erection or construction of any building unless a permit to do so has been first obtained from the Board of Public Works.

Section 6 provides that the permit may be applied for and obtained by the owner or lessee direct, or through an architect, engineer, contractor or other agent; and provides further that the application shall give the name and residence address of the actual owner or owners of the land and of the building or structure; also, the name and residence address of the lessee or lessees. It further provides that the application shall be filed in duplicate and shall be accompanied with two complete sets of plans and specifications, which shall clearly show all parts of the construction, including a plan of each floor of the new building.

Section 7 provides that upon the filing of an application in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 the Board of Public Works shall issue a permit, if the plans and specifications embody all the

requirements provided by law and ordinance.

The first question which will receive consideration is: Does the application for the permit comply with Section 6 of the ordinance, and if it does not, what is the effect of its failure to so comply?

The ordinance in question is a grant of power to the Board of Public Works by the Board of Supervisors, and being a grant of power it must be strictly construed. In other words, the Board of Public Works has power to act under the ordinance only when those asking the benefit of its provisions comply with the conditions prerequisite to the granting of those provisions. In New York & N. J. Water Co. v. North Arlington, 74 Atl. 974, the Supreme Court of New Jersey said: "I think, however, that the unreasonable nature of the conditions imposed will not relieve the complainant from making its application in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance."

In the instant case, as we have stated, the Board of Supervisors have set forth what the application for the permit should contain. The Board had the right to legislate to whom and under what conditions a permit should be issued, and as long as those conditions are not unreasonable the permit can be granted only in conformity with the terms of the ordinance.

In the case of Krieger v. Scott, 134 Atl. 901, the Supreme Court of New Jersey also held:

"Our examination of the record discloses that neither the alternative writ, nor the agreed state of facts, show that the relators are the owners of the property on which they proposed to erect the building referred to in their application, or that they had any interest whatever therein. It is hardly necessary to say, that unless they were the owners of the property, or had such an interest therein as would entitle them to erect the proposed building thereon, the inspector was justified in refusing to issue a permit."

In Corpus Juris, Vol. 43, par. 263, we find the following concise statement of the law:

"The regulations or ordinances providing for the granting of permits generally regulate the procedure to be pursued to obtain a permit, and this procedure must be complied with."

In view of the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, the application for the permit not having complied with the mandates of the ordinance, and the applicant not being the owner or the agent of the owner, and there being no showing that said applicant was acting on behalf of the owner of the property, the permit should not have been issued, and having been issued without authority, that the same is void and should be revoked.

See Nolan v. Blackwell, 212 Pac. 1048. The Supreme Court of Washington has said:

"The permit being in violation of the ordinance, and having been issued under a mistake of fact, it conferred no rights on the respondent."

In view of the conclusion reached relative to the validity of the permit, ordinarily it would be unnecessary to comment on the effect of an application to rezone the property in question, but in view of the request of the Board of Supervisors that it be advised as to the effect of the adoption of an ordinance pending such an application, I will advert to it.

While there seems to have been considerable delay by the City Planning Commission in reporting on the rezoning of the property covered by the application, still the matter having been referred to the Commission by the Board of Supervisors, it must be considered to be pending until disposed of.

In City of Des Moines v. Manhattan Oil Co., 184 N. W. 823, the Supreme Court of Iowa gave expression to the following views:

"Referring first to the last proposition above mentioned, we are disposed to hold that the adoption of the resolution to grant the building permit did not have the effect to confer upon the property owner or his lessees a vested right which could not be canceled or recalled, under the circumstances here shown. The lessor had not yet acquired title to the property, and did not acquire it until after the permit had been rescinded. The proposed filling station had not been constructed, though it is claimed certain material intended for that use had been deposited there. When the application for permit was made there was then pending and undisposed of before the council, as the applicant well knew, the petition of the property owners within this area to make it a restricted residence district, and if the council, having adopted the resolution to grant the permit under such circumstances, concluded it had acted inadvertently or improvidently, or without proper regard for the rights of the petitioners for a restricted district, rescinded the resolution with rea-

sonable promptness, we are of the opinion it did not exceed its authority or abuse its discretion. (Salem v. Maynes, 123 Mass. 372.)"

I am therefore of the opinion that it would lie within the discretionary powers of the Board of Public Works to revoke the permit pending the settlement of a proper zoning of the property.

Respectfully,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved that the foregoing matter be made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner —9.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Todd—3.

Absent—Supervisors Colman Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Subsequently, at 2:30 p. m., the foregoing matter was discussed and the following motion by Supervisor Marks was *carried*:

That the City Attorney draft for the use of this Board an ordinance providing for the issuance of permits for stock yards, freight yards, produce terminals and kindred industries and prohibiting the conduct of such business without a permit from the Board of Supervisors.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph, presiding.

Supervisor McSheehy's Report on Proposed Tube-Bridge Plan.

Supervisor McSheehy presented his report on a proposed Bay "tube-bridge" plan and was heard at length in advocacy of same in lieu of proposed bridge from Rincon Hill to Alameda Point. He alleged that his tube-bridge plan would overcome the objections of the War Department and could be constructed at a saving of $60,000,000.

Supervisor Havenner protested against Supervisor McSheehy's action in offering a compromise tube-bridge plan while the bridge project as approved by the Board was still pending before the congressional committee.

"The argument advanced by Supervisor McSheehy is entirely erroneous. I would never have voted to send you to Washington had I known that you favored this tube plan or intended to take the action you did.

"This Board and the bridge committee agreed to fight out the matter to the last ditch, to demand from Congress the right to build this bridge, which San Francisco needs, but you have not lived up to that agreement."

His Honor Mayor Rolph declared that Supervisor McSheehy had violated his instructions and gone back on the City.

"Obey orders," he said, "is the first rule of every ship captain. Mr. McSheehy, you had orders to take this bridge to Washington. Did you follow instructions or seek some other port?

"Of course, Admiral Gregory congratulated you. You fell into a trap and became the tool of the navy officers who don't want this bridge.

"One can't compromise with enemies. We could have done that back in 1910 when we had the big fight to win Hetch Hetchy.

"We might have compromised with the nature-fakers and others at that time. Did we do it? No! We fought it out to a finish and although it took years of time we finally won, and we will soon have Hetch Hetchy in San Francisco. But we won't get a bridge if we try to compromise every objection."

City Engineer O'Shaughnessy also joined in condemning Supervisor McSheehy's action.

Chief O'Shaughnessy declared that the tube plan was impractical for San Francisco Bay, and as far as the difference in cost is concerned, he pointed out that it takes "416 men a day to operate the Holland tunnel in New York," and cost of operation must be taken into consideration.

Referred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, Supervisor Mc-

Sheehy's "Tube-Bridge" report was ordered *referred to the Special Bridge Committee.*

Mayor to Sign Modification of Lease for 333 Kearny Street.

The following was presented:

Resolution No. 28947 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to sign the modification of the lease of the premises No. 333 Kearny street, presented this day to the Board of Supervisors, wherein is provided that the rental for said premises is reduced $65.25 per month.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Taking Over of Spring Valley Water Company Property.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28948 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney and the Board of Public Works, through its Engineering Department, be and they are hereby directed to proceed forthwith with all preliminary work necessary for the taking over by the City of all and singular the properties to be acquired from the Spring Valley Water Company, the said City Attorney to examine or arrange for the examination of the title of all lands, properties, easements and rights of way which the City and County is to receive from the said Spring Valley Water Company, and to inquire into the legality of all claims, demands, charges and offsets which may exist between the City and said Spring Valley Water Company by virtue of said option. That said Board of Public Works, through its Engineering Department, examine, inquire into and report as to a correct list, statement and inventory of all properties which the City and County is entitled to acquire by virtue of said option, and to the correct amount to be paid therefor, and that said Board of Public Works and said City Attorney cooperate one with the other to the end that all and singular the properties which the said City and County is entitled to acquire by virtue of its said option be acquired and taken over, at the option price, at the earliest possible date.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of the Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 28949 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northeast and southwest corners Broadway and Taylor street.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Clarion alley between Mission, Valencia, Seventeneth and Eighteenth streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Twelfth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets.

Corner Broadway and Taylor street.

Crescio court off Whittier street between Mission and Brunswick streets.

Lexington street between Sycamore and Eighteenth streets.

North side Anza street between Twelfth avenue and Funston avenue (center of block).

Install 600 *C. P.*

Seventh avenue and Dewey boulevard.

Remove 300-*Watt.*

Northwest corner Howard and New Montgomery streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Hyde and Chestnut streets.

Chestnut street between Hyde and Larkin streets.

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northeast and southwest corners Hyde and Chestnut streets.

North and south sides Chestnut street, west of Hyde street.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor, Type C.*

South side Post street, 137 feet west of Jones street.

Adopted by the following vote

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Letter of Thanks, Bond Election.

Supervisor Powers moved that the Clerk be directed to send a letter of thanks to the press and to all citizens instrumental in the successful outcome of the Hetch Hetchy and Spring Valley Water Company bond election.

So ordered.

War Memorial Opera House.

Supervisor McSheehy presented a report of the War Memorial Trustees on the proposed capacity of the new War Memorial Opera House, which matter *was ordered placed on the calendar for next meeting.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, May 21, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 21

Monday, May 14, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 14, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 14, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 30, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Statement of Votes, Hetch Hetchy and Spring Valley Water Company Election.

May 14, 1928.

The following was read:

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

By direction of the Honorable Board of Election Commissioners, I herewith respectfully submit statement of votes cast at the special election held May 1, 1928.

J. H. ZEMANSKY,
Registrar of Voters.

Filed May 14, 1928, 2:50 p. m.
J. S. DUNNIGAN.

(Statement on file in Clerk's office.)

Letter of Thanks.

May 3, 1928.

Board of Supervisors of San Francisco County, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Please accept my thanks for the very beautiful flowers sent by your Board and Mayor Rolph in honor of the dedication of our new City Hall.

I assure you that we all appreciated the thoughtfulness of our neighbors. With best wishes I beg leave to remain,

Yours very truly,
GEO. E. CRYER,
Mayor.

Read and *filed.*

Control of Business Detrimental to Residential Parks.

Communication, from Marina District Improvement Association and other organizations, urging adoption of an ordinance that will create legislation enabling the Board of Supervisors to govern permits for the establishment and conduct of business of a nature that may be detrimental to a residential or park area of San Francisco.

Read and *filed.*

Relative to Bridge Permit.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, May 8, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

At the direction of the Mayor, I enclose herewith letter, dated May 1st, from Congressman Clarence F. Lea relative to the bridge permit, which is self-explanatory.

Very sincerely yours,
(Sgd.) W. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

Clarence F. Lea,
First District, California.
House of Representatives.
Washington, May 1, 1928.

Mayor James Rolph, Jr., San Francisco, California.

Dear Mayor:

I received your wire of the thirtieth. I believe the bridge permit should be granted. I will be very glad to endeavor to secure favorable action by the House Committee. It will perhaps be some days before the Committee takes it up.

With kind regards, I am

Sincerely yours,
(Sgd.) CLARENCE F. LEA.

Ordered *filed.*

Action Deferred.

Hearing of Appeal, Treat Avenue.

The hearing of appeal from assessment for the improvement of Treat avenue between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, fixed for 10 a. m. this day, was, on motion, *laid over two weeks.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Books, Printing and Stationery.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing books, printing and stationery and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

War Memorial Buildings.

Consideration of report and resolution of trustees of San Francisco War Memorial on proposed Municipal Opera House was *laid over one week.*

MAYOR'S VETO.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy the following matter was *filed*:

Mayor's Office.

San Francisco, April 11, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am returning to you herewith, without my approval, Resolution No. 28762 (New Series), by reason of protests from various individuals and associations directly concerned who have bombarded my office with objections to the granting of this permit to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

Respectfully,

(Sgd.) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

April 16, 1928—*Over one week.*

Resolution No. 28762 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Finally passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 2, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved — San Francisco, April 11, 1928.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Reasons set forth in attached message to your Honorable Board.

J. R., Jr.

April 23, 1928—*Over until May* 7, 1928.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing were taken up on recommendation of the Finance Committee and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28950 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at Spring Festival Concerts April 10 and 13, 1928, per contract (claim dated April 20, 1928), $7,000.

(2) Louise Bennett, secretary of Municipal Chorus, for payment to professional singers at Spring Festival Concerts April 10 and 13, 1928 (claim dated April 20, 1928), $1,437.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(3) Appropriation 3, Budget Item 76, reimbursement for hauling charges (claim dated April 23, 1928), $1,758.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(4) Elizabeth Romo, for full settlement of claim against Municipal Railways for damages arising out of accident of Sept. 26, 1925 (claim dated April 21, 1928), $1,000.

Special School Tax.

(5) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., blackboard for Sunnyside School (claim dated April 23, 1928), $673.92.

(6) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for South Side High School (claim dated April 24, 1928), $1,209.58.

(7) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, first payment, architectural services for second unit of

South Side (Balboa) High School (claim dated April 24, 1928), $4,800.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(8) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., black pipe (claim dated April 13, 1928), $4,453.28.

(9) Gunn, Carle & Co., corrugated steel (claim dated April 13, 1928), $715.49.

(10) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper (claim dated April 13, 1928), $526.80.

(11) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated April 13, 1928), $995.

(12) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated April 13, 1928), $1,333.50.

(13) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat and fish (claim dated April 23, 1928), $577.65.

(14) Columbia Steel Corporation, special steel sheets (claim dated April 19, 1928), $1,613.61.

(15) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated April 19, 1928), $600.88.

Boulevard Bond Fund, 1927.

(16) J. P. Holland, rock for Great Highway improvement (claim dated March 30, 1928), $610.50.

(17) J. P. Holland, loam for Great Highway improvement (claim dated March 30, 1928), $721.97.

(18) J. P. Holland, rock for Great Highway improvement (claim dated March 30, 1928), $1,011.12.

(19) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam for Great Highway improvement (claim dated April 27, 1928), $1,205.23.

(20) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock for Great Highway improvement (claim dated April 27, 1928), $1,354.32.

(21) Municipal Construction Co., asphaltic construction on Alemany boulevard at San Jose avenue and at Sickles avenue (claim dated April 19, 1928), $1,212.96.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(22) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment architectural services for first unit of South Side High School (claim dated April 24, 1928), $794.51.

County Road Fund.

(23) E. A. Treacy, second payment, improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue and in front of Balboa Park, etc. (claim dated April 19, 1928), $10,800.

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59.

(24) William F. Humphrey, attorney for judgment creditors, fifth installment on final judgments and interests, as per attached schedule and approved by the City Attorney (claim dated April 26, 1928), $2,509.21.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(25) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925, reimbursement for materials, supplies and equipment furnished and service rendered Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, January 1, 1927, to February 29, 1928 (claim dated April 23, 1928), $26,559.28.

(26) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, for depreciation reserve as per Charter (claim dated April 23, 1928), $14,583.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(27) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Department of Public Works (claim dated April 20, 1928), $519.30.

(28) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated April 30, 1928), $1,015.05.

(29) Clarence B. Eaton, fifth payment, installing of Section 6, High Pressure Water System (claim dated April 24, 1928), $6,500.

(30) Frank J. McHugh, final payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances in westerly Sunset District, Section B, Contract 3 (claim dated April 24, 1928), $5,360.50.

(31) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated April 18, 1928), $1,383.26.

(32) Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for materials furnished during January, February and March, 1928 (claim dated April 16, 1928), $883.32.

(33) Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for materials furnished during January, February and March, 1928 (claim dated April 16, 1928), $520.52.

(34) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated April 18, 1928), $524.56.

(35) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $2,338.69.

(36) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,090.17.

(37) Smith, Lynden Co, vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,433.52.

(38) Standard Fisheries, fish for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $730.55.

(39) Western Meat Co., meat for

San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,738.57.

(40) California Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $3,012.44.

(41) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,832.84.

(42) Seabury & Johnson, bandages for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,-162.

(43) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $2,131.65.

(44) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $530.81.

(45) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 26, 1928), $5,-200.41.

(46) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,134.41.

(47) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 18, 1928), $1,068.66.

(48) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated April 18, 1928), $5,125.97.

(49) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated April 18, 1928), $2,565.28.

(50) Ajax Construction Co., second payment, furnishing, installing and testing sewage pumping plant at Pinelake Park (claim dated April 19, 1928), $1,400.

(51) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated April 26, 1928), $767.03.

(52) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for Fire Department (claim dated April 26, 1928), $6,975.

(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated April 26, 1928), $14,833.60.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropropriation 55.

(54) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, for payment of expense in connection with celebration of Seventh Centenary of the death of St. Francis Assisi; per vouchers (claim dated April 30, 1928), $3,459.64.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Resolution No. 28951 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for April (claim dated May 7, 1928), $3,886.43.

Library Fund.

(2) Sydney B. Mitchell, expense of survey of San Francisco Public Library (claim dated April 30, 1928), $1,171.21.

(3) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitor service (claim dated April 30, 1928), $810.

(4) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., cabinets, etc., for Public Library (claim dated April 30, 1928), $729.20.

(5) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1928), $2,935.05.

(6) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1928), $3,500.66.

Park Fund.

(7) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium (claim dated May 4, 1928), $671.81.

Playground Fund.

(8) Klawans & McMullin, recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated May 2, 1928), $1,861.

(9) James F. Smith, stucco work for South Side Playground (claim dated May 2, 1928), $550.

(10) A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, recreational supplies (claim dated May 2, 1928), $1,727.60.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(11) H. H. Larsen Co., final payment, general construction of Fire Dept. Engine House No. 18 (claim dated May 2, 1928), $13,814.25.

County Road Fund.

(12) Clarence B. Eaton, second payment, improvement of Roosevelt way from Buena Vista Terrace to Seventeenth street and Seventeenth street from Roosevelt way to Clayton street (claim dated May 2, 1928), $15,000

(13) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, construction of tunnel under Skyline boulevard (claim dated April 25, 1928), $3,750.

Special School Tax.

(14) H. Ankers, final payment, painting of South Side High School (claim dated April 30, 1928), $3,-587.50.

(15) Frank J. Reilly, fourth payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated April 30, 1928), $13,180.87.

(16) Thos. Skelly, second pay-

ment, plumbing work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated April 30, 1928), $1,071.84.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(17) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co. of Cal., brake shoes (claim dated April 24 1928), $2-136.35.

(18) Lambert Sales Corporation, Municipal Railway bus tires (claim dated April 24, 1928), $597.31.

(19) Market Street Railway Co., Municipal Railway proportion of track repairs (claim dated April 24, 1928), $563.30.

(20) John A. Roebling's Sons Company of California, trolley wire (claim dated April 24, 1928), $2,-417.44.

General Fund—1927-1928.

(21) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated April 24, 1928), $1,250.

(22) James G. Stafford and associates, seventeenth payment for appraisal of taxable improvements, per contract (claim dated May 5, 1928), $6,124.75.

(23) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 7, 1928), $1,668.

(24) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidental expense for months of April and May, 1928 (claim dated May 7, 1928), $1,047.50.

(25) Monson Bros., fourth payment, repairs to Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated May 4, 1928), $4,-679.13.

(26) Baumgarten Bros., meats for County Jail No. 1 (claim dated April 16, 1928), $622.46.

(27) Haas Bros., groceries for County Jail No. 3 (claim dated April 16, 1928), $637.79.

(28) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for County Jails (claim dated April 16, 1928), $690.43.

(29) California Meat Co., meat for County Jail No. 1 (claim dated April 16, 1928), $755.73.

(30) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated April 16, 1928), $982.74.

(31) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated May 1, 1928), $750.

(32) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Dept. (claim dated May 1, 1928), $1,360.83.

(33) The National Cash Register Co., one cash register for Traffic Fines Bureau (claim dated April 23, 1928), $513.

(34) Reo Motor Car Co. of California, one Reo speedwagon for Dept. of Elections (claim dated May 3, 1928), $1,800.

(35) Phillips & Van Orden, printing election ballots (claim dated May 3, 1928), $1,825.

(36) California Printing Co., printing election circulars (claim dated May 3, 1928), $1,190.40.

(37) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated April 23, 1928), $644.

(38) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated April 24, 1928), $3,256.75.

(39) J. H. McCallum, lumber for street repair (claim dated April 23, 1928), $732.56.

(40) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil, street cleaning (claim dated April 23, 1928), $655.45.

(41) J. A. Grant, final payment, construction of chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 2, 1928), $4,874.75.

(42) Associated Charities, supplies furnished for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated April 20, 1928), $5,000.

(43) Morris & Company, butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1928), $1,974.54.

(44) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., for room allowances to employees of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1928), $3,500.

(45) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1928), $620.

(46) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1928), $518.17.

(47) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated March 31, 1928), $810.

(48) Western Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated April 24, 1928), $1,257.32.

(49) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service, Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated April 30, 1928), $628.13.

(50) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account of Laguna Honda Home (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,093.56.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(51) Auditorium Fund, rent of Auditorium for account of occupancy by Pacific Foreign Trade and Travel Exposition (claim dated May 7, 1928), $1,417.

(52) Pacific Foreign Trade and Travel Exposition, expense for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 7, 1928), $1,583.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Street Improvements.

Resolution No. 28952 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of streets, by resolutions as hereinafter designated, to the credit of Appropriation 33-C, Budget Item No. 526, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for materials and supplies furnished and used in the reconstruction of said designated streets, to-wit:

(1) Beale street, Mission to The Embarcadero, Resolution No. 27652 (New Series), $9,244.21.

(2) Broderick street, Vallejo to Green, Resolution No. 28561 (New Series), $1,206.60.

(3) Bryant street, Ninth to Eleventh, Resolution No. 27756 (New Series), $2,364.25.

(4) Cherry street, Sacramento to California, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $747.47.

(5) Folsom street, Fourteenth to Fifteenth, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $1,224.10.

(6) Fourth street, Market to Mission, Resolution No. 27652 (New Series), $1,308.20.

(7) Jackson street, Sansome to Montgomery, Resolution No. 28561 (New Series), $768.15.

(8) Kearny street, Broadway to Vallejo, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $687.45.

(9) Kearny street, Union to Filbert, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $730.80.

(10) Larkin street, California to Jackson, Resolution No. 27652 (New Series), $4,035.40.

(11) Lyon street, Washington to Jackson, Resolution No. 28561 (New Series), $1,272.60.

(12) Maple street, Sacramento to Clay, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $1,433.86.

(13) Montgomery street, Post to Washington, Resolution No. 28211 (New Series), $2,211.60.

(14) Pierce street, Greenwich to Filbert, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $1,441.36.

(15) Seventh street, Mission to Brannan, Resolutions Nos. 27652 and 28482 (New Series), $8,383.74.

(16) Spruce street, California to Sacramento, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $626.60.

(17) Twenty-ninth street, Castro to Diamond, Resolution No. 27996 (New Series), $1,588.10.

(18) Twenty-third avenue, Anza to Cabrillo, Resolution No. 27996 (New Series), $2 519.20.

(19) Walnut street, Washington to Jackson, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $1,491.27.

(20) Washington street, Montgomery to Sansome, Resolution No. 27996 (New Series), $830.32.

(21) Webster street, Sutter to Pine, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), $2,988.08.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations Out of Bernal Cut Bonds for Rights of Way.

Resolution No. 28953 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To John C. McCulloch and Elizabeth McCulloch, for Lot 12 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28846, New Series (claim dated April 17, 1928), $6,750.

(2) To S. Sanfilippo, for Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28847, New Series (claim dated April 17, 1928), $16,800.

(3) To John Svabek and Verona Svabek, for Lots 6 and 7 in Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28847, New Series (claim dated April 17, 1928), $4,250.

(4) To H. H. Van Bilderbeek and Theodora J. Van Bilderbeek, for Lot 7-A in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28847, New Series (claim dated April 17, 1928), $4,813.

(5) To C. Bernini and Emilia Bernini, for Lot 11 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28847, New Series (claim dated April 17, 1928), $3,642.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriation, $1,125, Payment to Bay View Building and Loan Association for Land for Widening of Silver Avenue.

Resolution No. 28954 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,125 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Bay View Building and Loan Association; being payment for Lots 9 and 10 as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28889 (New Series); said lands being required for the widening and extending of Silver avenue (claim dated April 24, 1928).

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Street Improvements.

Resolution No. 28955 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

Scott street, from Filbert to Greenwich streets, $5,000.

Buchanan street, from Bush to California streets, $8,000.

Walnut street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $1,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations Out of Boulevard Bonds for Rights of Way.

Resolution No. 28956 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Bernardo Berri, for portion of Lot 30, Block 5598, as per the Assessor's Map Books of the City and County of San Francisco (70 x x38 feet, irregular); required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28841, New Series (claim dated April 19, 1928), $7,353.

(2) To Thomas C. Fry, for Lot 31, Block 5560, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28841, New Series, and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated April 19, 1928), $2,685.

(3) To Sophie M. Schmalholz, for Lots 26 and 27 in Block 5560, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28841, New Series; required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated April 19, 1928), $6,023.

(4) To Alexander Hauser et al., for Lot 12, Block 5474, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28842, New Series; required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated April 19, 1928), $3,750.

(5) To Ocean Shore Railroad Company, for portions of Lots 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 in Block 6750, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (146 x 86 feet, irregular); required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated April 19, 1928), $650.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriation, $809.25, Payment to Crocker First National Bank, Fiscal Agent.

Resolution No. 28957 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $809.25 be and the same is hereby set aside out of "Urgent Necessity." Budget Item No. 25, and authorized in payment to the Crocker First National Bank, being payment for services as fiscal agent for the City and County, and including New York Exchange, clerical services, etc., for period October, 1927, to April, 1928.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriation, Window Shades, Portola Junior High School.

Resolution No. 28958 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That the sum of $920.15 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax to defray cost of installing window and door shades in the Portola Junior High School; per award of contract to D. N. and E. Walter & Co.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 28959 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard, to-wit:

(1) To William B. McKinnon, for purchase of portions of Lots 1 and 2 in Block 3208A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28931, New Series (claim dated May 3, 1928), $9,535.

(2) To Michael J. and Johanna Dillon, for purchase of portion of Lot 18 in Block 7148 (50x100), as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28931, New Series (claim dated May 3, 1928), $600.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 28960 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Henry H. Krohn and Emma Krohn, for Lot 8 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28933, New Series (claim dated May 3, 1928), $7,000.

(2) To Henry W. Boning, for portion of Lots 49 and 50, size 20.5x49, of Block 6692, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28932, New Series (claim dated May 3, 1928), $1,000.

(3) To James Donnelly, for portion of Lot 48 in Block 6692, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28932, New Series (claim dated May 3, 1928), $6,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriation, $2,000, Payment to Walter Hausner et al. for Land Required for Potrero Playground.

Resolution No. 28961 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized in payment out of Playground Fund to Walter Hausner and Mary Hausner; being payment for Lot 6 of Block 4163-4196, according to the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the Potrero Playground (claim dated May 2, 1928.)

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations for Reimbursements, Board of Health.

Resolution No. 28962 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated appropriations, to the credit of Budget Items Nos. 411 and 433, Bureau of Repair, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for expenditures for account of the Department of Public Health, to-wit:

From Budget Item 796, Appropriation 43-D, the sum of $140.81.

From Budget Item 843, Appropriation 44-B, sum of $41.83.

From Budget Item 995, Appropriation 46-B, sum of $3,001.60.

From Budget Item 1012, Appropriation 47-B, sum of $10.

From Budget Item 57, Appropriation 3, sum of $5.25.

Appropriation by Resolution No.

28562 (New Series) out of Budget Item No. 59, for installation of six-inch pipe at Laguna Honda Home, sum of $750.

Total, $3,949.49.

To the credit of Budget Item 411, sum of $2,584.50.

To the credit of Budget Item No. 433, sum of $1,364.99.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations, Preventorium, Out-Patient Maternity Service, Traffic Signals.

Resolution No. 28963 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Preventorium, San Mateo County, Budget Item No. 57.

(1) For the purchasing of supplies and for the payroll of the Tubercular Sanitarium, San Mateo County, month of April, 1928, $4,-910.62.

Maternity Service, Budget Item No. 58.

(2) For the expense of Out-patient Maternity Service, including payroll, for month of April, 1928, $1,424.11.

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(3) For the purchase of 2000 traffic citation books, for Traffic Bureau, Police Department, $640.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Sixteenth Avenue.

On recommendation of the City Planning Committee:

Bill No. 8525, Ordinance No. 8032 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Ordinance No. 6950 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along both sides of Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6950 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along both sides of Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly to Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly to Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Ulloa Street.

Bill No. 8526, Ordinance No. 8033 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Ordinance No. 6581 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along both sides of Ulloa street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6581 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along both sides of Ulloa street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the northerly side of Ulloa street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, said set-back line to be 6 feet; along the southerly side of Ulloa street, commencing at Seventeenth avenue and running thence easterly 140 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Bill No. 8527, Ordinance No. 8034 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6421 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along Thirty-eighth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6421 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along Thirty-eighth avenue between

Irving and Judah streets is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly to Irving street, said set-back lines to be 2½ feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Thirty-second Avenue and Other Streets.

Bill No. 8528, Ordinance No. 8035 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Ordinance No. 6492 (New Series), "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-second avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirtieth avenue," approved January 28, 1925, as pertains to Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirty-fifth avenue between Judah and Irving streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6492 (New Series), "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-second avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirtieth avenue," approved January 28, 1925, as pertains to Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirty-fifth avenue between Judah and Irving streets is hereby amended to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 87½ feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 285 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 87½ feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Judah Street.

Bill No. 8438, Ordinance No. 8036 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—10.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Powers, Stanton—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Oil Tank Permits.

On recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 28964 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Astor Apartments, north side Jackson street, 20 feet west of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bessie Cooley, southwest corner Beach and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Foster's Lunch, 345 Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. B. Fowler, 2733 Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. R. Guinasso, southeast corner Greenwich and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Hechter, northwest corner Fell and Buchanan streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Keenan, southwest corner of Sacramento and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Latourette-Fical Co., east side of Powell street, 20 feet south of Sutter street, two oil storage tanks of 1500 gallons capacity each.

Lincoln Investment Co., southwest corner Sixteenth avenue and Lincoln way, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. L. McCormick, 3424 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, 438 Urbano drive, 500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, 444 Urbano drive, 500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, 245 Moncada way, 750 gallons capacity.

Chas. Monson, west side Laguna Honda boulevard, 150 feet south of Plaza, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. E. O'Brien, north side California street, 50 feet west of Twenty-fifth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. T. Offutt, 326 Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. V. Rothschild, east side Baker street, 150 feet south of Beach street, 750 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 28965 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That P. Montague is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts in lot on west line of Castro street, 25 feet north of Fifteenth street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said P. Montague, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Resolution No. 28966 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Farnocchia & Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop at No. 15 Water street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28967 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Fourteenth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Transfer of Public Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28968 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Beale Street Garage (L. W. Donlin) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted William Lohmann by Resolution No. 16852 (New Series) for premises at 133 Beale street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Transfer of Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28969 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. H. Marshal be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile parking station permit heretofore granted F. E. Vanderburg by Resolution No. 23271 (New Series) for premises at 58 McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28970 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Oscar Werner and V. D. Ryan be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Walter Mohns by Resolution No. 26051 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Jackson street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Transfer of Public Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28971 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. De Hart be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted C. Tanzer for premises at 1355 Fulton street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28972 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. J. Comolo (Vallejo Garage No. 2) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted F. E. Palmer by Resolution No. 23704 (New Series) for premises at 2715 Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Resolution No. 28973 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John H. Rieck be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Dolson & Anderson by Resolution No. 18023 (New Series) for premises at 36 Clay street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Resolution No. 28974 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Robert Shanks (Eagle Garage) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at

will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Otto Von Barstel by Resolution No. 18128 (New Series) for premises at 1625 Leavenworth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Laundry Permits.

Resolution No. 28975 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 126 Jones street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Resolution No. 28976 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alta Hand Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 1323 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Resolution No. 28977 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That New Domestic French Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a French laundry at 1221 Fell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Boiler Permit.

Resolution No. 28978 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Henry W. Dea be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a 10-horsepower boiler at 943 Stockton street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $46,450.38, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, $35.14.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(1) Citizens' Committee, United States Fleet Reception, Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, expense for account of reception to officers and

men of visiting U. S. Fleet (claim dated May 14, 1928), $2,973.58.

Park Fund.

(2) M. Boden, rental of truck for use in Golden Gate Park (claim dated May 11, 1928), $540.

(3) Sevin-Vincent Seed Co., amonium sulphate for parks (claim dated May 11, 1928), $640.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(4) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work, plastering on the Sunset-Duboce Avenue Tunnel (claim dated May 8, 1928), $4,335.91.

(5) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work, Sunset-Duboce Avenue Tunnel (claim dated May 8, 1928), $4,777.26.

(6) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, twenty-first payment, construction of Sunset-Duboce Avenue Tunnel (claim dated May 8, 1928), $10,000.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) American Studios, stage fittings and draperies for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated May 7, 1928), $4,050.

(8) Heywood-Wakefield Co., auditorium chairs, Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated May 8, 1928), $11,841.48.

(9) Latourette-Fical Co., plumbing and gas-fitting for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated May 8, 1928), $1,000.

(10) Scott Co., final payment, mechanical equipment, Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated May 8, 1928), $1,000.

(11) Joseph & Stone, tenth payment, architectural services for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated May 9, 1928), $2,957.32.

Special School Tax.

(12) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for South Side High School (claim dated May 8, 1928), $1,000.

(13) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for month of April (claim dated May 9, 1928), $1,300.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) Market Street Railway Co., Municipal Railway's proportion of cost of repairs, etc., at Ferry loop (claim dated April 27, 1928), $1,395.16.

(15) Panama Lamp and Commercial Co., mazda lamps and headlight lamps (claim dated April 27, 1928), $590.63.

(16) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 8, 1928), $1,935.58.

(17) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., electric supplies (claim dated May 5, 1928), $860.57.

County Road Fund.

(18) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, furnishing and placing steel tie-rod anchorage at Third and Channel bridge (claim dated May 9, 1928), $5,100.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(19) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,753.13.

(20) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated April 30, 1928), $1,123.20.

(21) Shell Company of California, gasoline, oils and grease (claim dated April 30, 1928), $507.27.

(22) United States Steel Products Co., copper cable (claim dated April 30, 1928), $2,728.03.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(23) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam for the Great Highway (claim dated May 11, 1928), $2,079.77.

(24) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure for Great Highway (claim dated May 11, 1928), $584.26.

(25) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam for the Great Highway (claim dated May 11, 1928), $694.23.

(26) Federal Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section B, Contract 3 (claim dated May 9, 1928), $2,100.

(27) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, construction of storm drain for Bay Shore boulevard crossing Alemany boulevard, Contract 2 (claim dated May 9, 1928), $6,900.

(28) Eaton & Smith, first payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Section B, Contract 4 (claim dated May 9, 1928), $8,700.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(29) The Pelton Water Wheel Co., repairs to Early Intake turbines (claim dated May 4, 1928), $798.

(30) State Compensation Insurance Fund, employees insurance premium (claim dated May 3, 1928), $514.05.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(31) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated May 11, 1928), $8,037.55.

(32) Eureka Benevolent Association, widows' pensions (claim dated May 11, 1928), $1,158.10.

(33) Little Children's Aid, widows' (claim dated May 11, 1928), $8,519.29.

(34) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 2, 1928), $1,164.52.

(35) Enterprise Foundry Co., manhole frames, covers, etc. (claim dated May 2, 1928), $725.37.

(36) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe (claim dated May 3, 1928), $869.50.

(37) Bureau of Sewer Repair, Appropriation 36-A-1, reimbursement for labor furnished during February and March for construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer (claim dated April 26, 1928), $4,-282.60.

(38) Bureau of Sewer Repair, Appropriation 36-B, reimbursement for materials furnished during February and March for construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer (claim dated April 26, 1928), $2,-656.54.

(39) Federal Construction Co., third payment, construction of extension of Thirtieth avenue, Sunset. sewer (claim dated May 9, 1928), $6,000.

(40) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, for improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta avenue to Adam street, extended (claim dated May 9, 1928), $11,400.

(41) Board of Public Works, for 100 traffic signal hoods furnished (claim dated March 31, 1928), $568.99.

(42) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for April (claim dated May 14, 1928), $56,096.61.

(43) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated May 14, 1928), $1,075.

(44) Chas. Brown & Sons, cups, dishes, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1928), $2,784.98.

(45) Dion R. Holm, legal services for May, telephone litigation (claim dated May 9, 1928), $850.

(46) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, telephone litigation (claim dated May 9, 1928), $750.

Appropriations for Lands for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit

(1) To Andrew Wilkie, for land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, distant thereon 180 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly and along said line of Twenty-second avenue 206 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 206 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1048, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28937, New Series (claim dated May 14, 1928), $14,000.

(2) To Havelock Fourness, for land commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Anza street and the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, running thence southerly along said line of Thirty-second avenue 100 feet; thence at a right easterly 79 feet 3⅞ inches to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence northwesterly along said last described line 100 feet 6¼ inches, more or less, to the southerly line of Anza street, and thence westerly along said line of Anza street 69 feet 2 inches to point of commencement; being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28936, New Series (claim dated May 14, 1928), $9,500.

(3) To Emily M. Pfister, for land commencing at point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 50 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 95 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 95 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28661, New Series (claim dated May 14, 1928), $1,150.

Appropriations, Furniture, Etc., for School Department.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Special School Tax.

(1) For the purchase of furniture and equipment for the Everett Junior High School, $47,850.95.

(2) For cost of installing electrical fixtures in the Portola Junior High School, $2,200.

(3) For engineering expenses in connection with the remodeling of the Harrison School for warehouse purposes, in accordance with preliminary plans approved by the Board of Education, $500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(4) For purchase of office furniture and equipment, gymnasium and shop equipment and microscopes for the Galileo High School, $7,000.

(5) For purchase of office furniture and equipment, gymnasium, general shop and electric shop equipment and microscopes for the Mission High School, $10,000.

Appropriations, Reimbursement for Expenditures, Street Department Equipment.

Also, Resolution No. 28979 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside from the hereinafter designated Budget items, Department of Public Works, to the credit of Budget Item No. 449, Stores and Yards, Department of Public Works; being reimbursement for expenditures in connection with repairs to equipment, to-wit:

From Budge Item No. 525, Street Repair Department, $1,030.13.

From Budget Item No. 545, Street Cleaning Department, $406.12.

From Budget Item No. 558, Sewer Repair Department, $170.62.

From Budget Item No. 565, auto maintenance, $212.88.

From Budget Item No. 495, Bureau of Engineering, $3.37.

Total, $1,823.12.

And to the credit of Budget Item No. 439, Department of Public Works, from the hereinafter designated Budget items, Department of Public Works, the following amounts, being reimbursement to the Sewer Repair Department for labor and material furnished in connection with repair work on Civic Center steam lines for March, to-wit:

To Budget Item No. 555, sewermen, $84.

To Budget Item No. 552, bricklayers, $11.

To Budget Item No. 553, hodcarriers, $18.

Total, $113.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriation, $5,000, Transfer From "Housemovers' Fund" to "Installation Fund."

Also, Resolution No 28980 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Housemovers' Fund," Department of Electricity, to the credit of "Installation Fund," Department of Electricity.

Adopted by the following vote

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Accepting Offer of H. S. Thomson to Sell for $7,500 for Removal of Planing Mill From Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No 28981 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer made by H. S. Thomson to release the City and County of San Francisco, upon the payment to him by said City and County of the sum of $7,500, and the further consideration mentioned below, from any claim for damages sustained by said H S. Thomson (in connection with the opening of Bernal Cut) under his leasehold interest with William J. Sullivan, to those certain improvements situated wholly or partially on Lots Nos. 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 in Block 6661, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and Lots Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 15, 16 and 17 in Block 6687, as per the Assessor's Block Books, that lie within the boundary lines of the proposed Bernal Cut; said premises being also known as Planing Mill No. 12-14 Charles street, and Office and Lumber Shed No. 2 and No. 6 Charles street. (As per written offer on file.)

The above amount of $7,500 includes damages to all machinery and lumber racks.

It is understood that said H. S. Thomson will relocate all of the above mentioned improvements within 30 days after receiving said sum of $7,500 from said City and County of San Francisco.

As the further consideration above mentioned, it is hereby understood that this offer is contingent upon the acceptance on the part of the City and County of San Francisco of the offer of said William J. Sullivan to deed to the City and County of San Francisco certain property described in the above referred to lease, the closing by said City and County of a certain portion of Charles street, and the deeding by said City and County to William J. Sullivan of said portion of Charles street.

Adopted by the following vote

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Accepting Offer of William J. Sullivan et al. to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 28982 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

William J. Sullivan, $13,666, and the further consideration mentioned below.

Parcel 1. All of Lots 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Block "A" of French & Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Mission street and the northerly line of Charles street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Mission street 100 feet to the southerly boundary line of Lot 8, Block "A," French & Gilman Tract; thence westerly along said southerly line parallel with Charles street 38.714 feet; thence deflecting to the left 75 deg. 49 min. 27 sec. and running southwesterly 102.509 feet to the northerly line of Charles street; thence easterly along said northerly line 69 feet to the westerly line of Mission street and the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. All of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 of Block "B" of French & Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Mission street with the southerly line of Charles street, thence westerly along said southerly line of Charles street to a point distant thereon 95 feet from said westerly line of Mission street; thence deflecting to the left 71 deg. 29 min. 15 sec. and running southwesterly 105.322 feet to a point on the southerly boundary line of Lot 2 of said Block "B," which is distant thereon 33.500 feet from the easterly boundary line of Lot 3; thence deflecting to the left 108 deg. 30 min. 45 sec. and running easterly along said southerly lines of Lots 2 and 3, 33.500 feet to the easterly boundary line of Lot 3; thence deflecting to the left 92 deg. 54 min. and running northerly along said easterly line 75 feet to the southerly boundary line of Lot 4; thence deflecting to the right 92 deg. 54 min. and running easterly along said southerly line 100 feet to the westerly line of Mission street; thence deflecting 92 deg. 54 min. to the left and running northerly along said westerly line a distance of 25 feet to the point of intersection of the westerly line of Mission street with the southerly line of Charles street and the point of beginning.

The above amount includes payment in full for the dwelling house known as No. 9 Charles street, and the small garage building which is located on the southwest corner of Lot 20, Block 6661 of the Assessor's Block Book.

As the further consideration above mentioned, the City is to close a portion of Charles street and deed to said William J. Sullivan the following parcel of land:

Beginning at the intersection of the westerly line of Mission street and the northerly line of Charles street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Charles street 69 feet; thence southwesterly along the easterly line of the proposed Bernal Cut to a point on the southerly line of Charles street, distant thereon 95 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Charles street 95 feet to the westerly line of Mission street; thence northerly 60 feet to the point of beginning.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over four weeks*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted The Alloys Company by Resolution No. 23036 (New Series), approved October 17, 1924, to maintain closed melting furnaces at 550-560 Fourth street, is hereby revoked.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the City Planning Committee:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chestnut Street, West of Fillmore Street.

Bill No. 8529, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Chestnut street, distant thereon 110 feet westerly from Fillmore street and running thence westerly for a distance of 27 feet 6 inches and to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Thirty-second Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8530, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6641 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along Thirty-second avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6641 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along Thirty-second avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly to a point 75 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 12 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly 425 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Thirty-first Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8531, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6848 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along the westerly side of Thirty-first avenue between Fulton street and Cabrillo street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6848 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along the westerly side of Thirty-first avenue between Fulton street and Cabrillo street is hereby amended to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly to Cabrillo street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Thirty-sixth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8532, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6526 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa street and Taraval street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6526 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa street and Taraval street is hereby amended to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at Ulloa street and running thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence

northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Cox Bros., west side of Fillmore street, 236 feet south of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cox Bros., west side of Fillmore street, 199 feet south of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Crothers, east side of Broderick street, 150 feet north of Bush street, 1500 gallons capactiy.

Enterprise Oil Burner Co., No. 1 Rockdale drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Haas & Sons, west side of Grace street, 100 feet north of Howard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

La Salle Dairy Lunch, 1172 Market street, 600 gallons capacity.

McCormack Realty Co., northwest corner of Jones and Bernard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Olson, west side of Noe street, 120 feet south of Market street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. B. Russi, southwest corner of London street and Geneva avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stein & Kreig, north side of Page street, 50 feet west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Ed L. Trevett, 2414 San Bruno avenue, 20-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Le Roy De Marta be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 1723 Union street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. von Borstel be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Otto A. Rohde and H. Norman by Resolution No. 11576 (New Series) for premises on the south side of Market street, 238 feet east of Castro street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. D. Campbell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 256 Turk street, known as "Granada Garage."

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas J. Beales be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile parking station permit heretofore granted Finneran and Cissell by Resolution No. 25063 (New Series) for premises at Ninth and Stevenson streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eva Rosenstein is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 103 Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situated on the southeast corner of Bryant and Ala-

meda streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Guerin Bros. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property bounded by Twenty-second, Twenty-third, Arkansas and Missouri streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Guerin Bros., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Approval of Taxicab Stand Permits.

On recommendation of the Police Committee:

Resolution No. 28953 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Gray Cab Company, 108 Turk street, one cab; Yellow Checker Cab Company, 1195 Sutter street, one cab; 606 Laguna street, one cab; 605 Hyde street, one cab; Peerless Cab Company, 3118 Sixteenth street, one cab; 110 Eddy street, one cab; 282 O'Farrell street, one cab; Lo Fare Cab Company, 39 Polk street, one cab; 548 Jones street, one cab.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Police Committee:

Amending Ordinance Requiring Second-hand Automobile Dealers to Keep Record of Purchases.

Bill No. 8533, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 4406 (New Series), entitled "Requiring dealers in second-hand automobiles, or automobile accessories, to keep a record of all purchases, sales or exchanges of said articles, deliver such report to Chief of Police, and providing penalty for violation."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 4406 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling, exchanging, storing or dealing in used or second-hand bicycles shall pay a license to the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of $20 per annum, payable quarterly in advance.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Rereferred.

The following bill was *rereferred to the Police Committee*:

Second-hand Dealers Ordinance.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either as pawnbrokers, or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm, or corporation dealing in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either as pawnbroker or otherwise, shall keep a record of all such articles sold or purchased (including the signature of the person selling the same), which shall at all times during business hours be open to the inspection of the Chief of Police, or of any police officer. Such person, firm, or corporation shall at least

once a day make and deliver to the Chief of Police on a form to be furnished by said Chief of Police for that purpose, a full, true and complete report of all dealings in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, by such person, firm, or corporation within the City and County of San Francisco, during the twenty-four (24) hours preceding said report, together with the time (meaning the hour of the day) when purchased or sold or otherwise dealt in or with, and description of the person or persons from whom bought or to whom sold, or with whom dealt, and also the true name as nearly as the same is known to the person making such report. Said report shall be written in the English language, in a clear, legible manner.

Section 2. The Chief of Police shall immediately upon adoption and publication of this Ordinance, cause such a number of blanks to be printed as may be necessary for that purpose, and shall thereafter, from time to time, cause such additional blanks to be printed as may be required, which said blanks shall be so printed and subdivided that they shall have space for writing in the following matter, to-wit:

Description of the article purchased, description of the article sold, description of article otherwise dealt with, name and residence of person, firm or corporation from whom purchased, name and place of residence of person, firm or corporation to whom sold, name and place of residence of person, firm or corporation with whom otherwise dealt, showing true name as nearly as known, age, sex, complexion, color of mustache or beard, or where both are worn, style of dress, height, also the time when the articles were purchased, sold or otherwise dealt with.

Said blanks shall also bear a caption providing blank spaces in which to fill in dates of said report, the name and place of residence of the person making the sale, and the hour of day when made.

Section 3. The Chief of Police shall deliver said blanks to the person from whom said reports are required from time to time, free of charge, and shall upon receipt of said report file the same in some secure place in his office, and the same shall be open to inspection only to the Police Department of said City and County, or upon the order of some Court, duly made for that purpose.

Section 4. Before any person shall engage in the business of dealing in any second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, he must make application to the Board of Police Commissioners for a permit therefor, which said Board may, by resolution, grant permission to said applicant to receive a license from the Tax Collector upon payment of the license fee required; provided, however, that said Board shall have the power to revoke said permission upon good cause being shown.

Section 5. Any person, buying or receiving in pledge, or otherwise, any second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, shall not in any way dispose of the same, but keep it on the premises for twenty (20) days, for the inspection by the Chief of Police, or any other police officer, except in cases of conditional transactions when the true owner shall have redeemed, repurchased, or recovered the article.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provisions of this Ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 7. Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), approved July 17, 1913, is hereby repealed.

Section 8. This Ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Offer of Frank Taylor to Sell for $4,200 Land Required for School Purposes.

On recommendation of the Public Buildings Committee:

Resolution No. 28984 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, An offer has been received from Frank J. Taylor to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, 175 feet southerly from Anza street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City

and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,200 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 175 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street; running thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-second avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 92 feet and ⅛ of an inch to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street; distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street; distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from the said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence northwesterly along said last described line 50 feet 3 inches, more or less, to a line drawn easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Anza street from the point of commencement; and thence westerly along said last described line 86 feet 11⅛ inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Accepting Offer of Emma J. Barber to Sell for $2,500 Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28985 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, An offer has been received from Emma J. Barber to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land at the southwesterly corner of Twenty-third avenue and Quintara street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,500, be and the same is hereby accepted; said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Quintara street and the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue; running thence westerly and along said line of Quintara street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 30 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said line of Twenty-third avenue 100 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 1049.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Closing and Abandoning Portion of San Aleso Avenue.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee:

Resolution No. 28986 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest and necessity requires that all that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way, said closing up and abandonment of said portion of San Aleso avenue above described shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damages, cost and expenses of said closing and abandonment of said portion of San Aleso avenue as above described be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of the Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 28987 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove, change and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

East and west sides Fair Oaks street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Taylor street and Broadway.

South side Twenty-first street, first and second east of Noe street.

North side Twenty-first street, east of Noe street.

Northeast and southwest corners Twenty-first and Noe streets.

Army street between Castro and Noe streets.

White street, off Vallejo street.

Southeast corner Broadway and Laguna street.

Northeast and southwest corners Nineteenth and Capp streets.

First and second, east side Capp street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.

First and second, west side Capp street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Jefferson and Leavenworth streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Army street between Castro and Noe streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Front of 368 Fell street.

Change 100 *C. P. to* 400 *C. P.*

Ulloa street and Kensington way.

Install 400 *M. R.*

East and west sides Fair Oaks street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets.

Twelfth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets.

Twenty-sixth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets.

Corner Taylor street and Broadway.

Twenty-first street, opposite Reyburn street.

Twenty-first street, opposite 3748.

Twenty-first and Noe streets.

Front of 744 Castro street.

De Haro street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.

De Haro street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets.

Twenty-ninth avenue and Kirkham street.

Thirtieth avenue and Kirkham street.

Thirtieth avenue between Kirkham and Judah streets.

Southwest corner Nineteenth and Capp streets.

East and west sides Capp street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.

Army street, second pole west of Castro street.

Army street between Castro and Noe streets.

Northwest corner Broadway and Laguna street.

South side California street between Fifth and Sixth avenues, opposite No. 4330.

South side California street between Sixth and Ninth avenues, front No. 4431.

Install 250 *M. R.*

White street, off Vallejo street.

Light 100 C. P. electroliers in Balboa Terrace as of May 1, 1928, at the following locations:

Standards Nos. 223 and 225, westerly line of San Fernando way.

Standards Nos. 222, 224 and 226, easterly line of San Fernando way.

Standards Nos. 218 and 220, westerly line of San Leandro way.

Standards Nos. 217, 219 and 221, easterly line of San Leandro way.

Standards Nos. 211, 213 and 215, westerly line of Santa Ana avenue.

Standards Nos. 210, 212, 214 and 216, easterly line of Santa Ana avenue.

Standards Nos. 202, 204, 206 and 208, westerly line of San Benito way.

Standards Nos. 201, 203, 205, 207 and 209, easterly line of San Benito way.

Standards Nos. 227 and 249, northerly line of Darien way.

Standards Nos. 229 and 231, westerly line of Aptos avenue.

Standards Nos. 243 and 248, southerly line of Darien way.

Standards Nos. 228, 230 and 232, on the easterly line of Aptos avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Lighting Committee:

Underground Ordinance, Geary Street Between Fillmore and Divisadero Streets.

Bill No. 8534, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1u.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1u. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after October 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 26—Geary street from the west side of Fillmore street to the east side of Divisadero street, approximately 1850 feet; wires to be placed underground during reconstruction of street.

Clerk Directed to Advertise for Lighting Proposals.

Also, Resolution No. 28988 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be and he is hereby directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received by this Board and opened between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m., Monday, May 28, 1928, for lighting public streets, public buildings and parks with gas and electricity, and for furnishing heat, light and power to the City and County of San Francisco for the year ending June 30, 1929.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Award of Contract, Projectors for Flood Lighting City Hall.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to General Electric Company on bid submitted April 16, 1928 (Proposal No. 374), for furnishing the following, viz.:

70 projectors for flood lighting the City Hall, for the sum of $1,-837.50.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That no bond be required as same are for a definite quantity for prompt shipment.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that action be rescinded whereby foregoing resolution was adopted.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy—2.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Waulkins, representing the "Baby Kitten" lamp, protested the award on the ground that his was a local industry and had no opportunity to bid.

Paul Oest,, Assistant City Engineer, was heard in defense of the award to the General Electric Company at higher price, on the ground of the greater desirability of the product of the General Electric.

Rereference.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the resolution was *rereferred to the Supplies Commit-*

tee, with instructions that an inspection be made of the materials in the camps of all bidders and a detailed report be made to the Board.

So ordered.

Closing Lower End of Van Ness Avenue.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has heretofore committed itself to the definite policy of establishing an aquatic park; and

Whereas, the said park is to be located on the property situated between the west line of Hyde street and Fort Mason military reservation, and on the Bay of San Francisco; and

Whereas, large sums of the taxpayers' money have already been, and more will be required to be, expended and also certain properties have been deeded by the State of California to be used by the City and County of San Francisco exclusively for aquatic purposes; and

Whereas, the Northwestern Pacific Railroad has, through the public press and through its officials, announced that it proposes to establish at or near the foot of Van Ness avenue a public ferry with ingress and egress from Van Ness avenue; and

Whereas, the plans of the aquatic park heretofore presented to the Board of Supervisors show a recreation pier extending from the foot of Van Ness avenue out to the northerly end of said park; and

Whereas, the lower end of Van Ness avenue from North Point street to the Bay offers the only direct route for pedestrians and vehicular traffic to said aquatic park and pier; and

Whereas, a public ferry using the lower end of Van Ness avenue as an approach to its terminals would be a serious menace and hazard to the lives of thousands of men, women and children visiting said pier and park and for whose exclusive benefit the aquatic park is being established; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, To prevent the injury and damage that would result to this City and to the public through the encroachment of a public ferry upon property purchased for aquatic park purposes and approaches thereto, and to the end that the Northwestern Pacific Railroad or any other corporation or individual seeking said right may have timely notice before expending any substantial sums of money, that the City and County of San Francisco will oppose and resist the establishment of a public ferry within the boundaries of or adjacent to the aquatic park or the approaches thereto; and be it

Further Resolved, To prevent the use of the lower end of Van Ness avenue as an approach to a ferry terminal that Van Ness avenue from the northerly line of North Point street to Lewis street be closed as a public street and be included within and as a part of the aquatic park.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Fort Mason as an Addition to Aquatic Park.

His Honor Mayor Rolph declared that on a recent trip to Washington he was approached on the train by a grandson of General J. C. Fremont and was informed that the heirs of General Fremont were seeking to establish title to the property now occupied by the federal government at Black Point and known as Fort Mason. He told Mayor Rolph that if title was restored that there was a possibility of it being deeded to San Francisco for aquatic park purposes.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the City Attorney look into the matter of the title of Fort Mason and that if possible the proper legislation be prepared by him and sent to Washington with a view to having the property deeded to the City.

Referred to City Attorney.

Motor Bus Service on Marina.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service in the Marina District as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service suitable for the transportation of passengers in the Marina District as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway system over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Scott and Chestnut streets, thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street, thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard, thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street, thence southerly on

Scott street to the place of beginning.

Section 2. After 9:30 p. m. the motor bus service authorized in this ordinance shall be maintained and operated over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Avila and Scott streets, thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street, thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard, thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street, thence southerly on Scott street to Alhambra street, thence easterly on Alhambra street to Avila street, thence southerly on Avila street to the place of beginning.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Providing for Issuance of Revocable Permits for Wholesale Markets or Food Terminals, Etc.

The following, proposed by the City Attorney, was presented and on motion of Supervisor McSheehy *referred to Joint Committee on City Planning and Public Health*: (Copies to all members.)

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance of revocable permits by the Board of Supervisors for the operation, construction or maintenance of wholesale markets or food terminals for the receipt, sale or disposition of fresh fruits, vegetables, meats, eggs, poultry, butter or other farm produce within the City and County of San Francisco, defining the same and regulating the conduct thereof, and providing penalties for violation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful to operate, construct or maintain any market or food terminal for the receipt, sale or disposition in wholesale quantities of fresh fruits, vegetables, meat, eggs, poultry, butter or other farm produce without first obtaining a permit to so do from the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. A wholesale market or food terminal is hereby defined as a place, building or enclosure where fresh fruits, vegetables, meat, eggs, poultry, butter or other farm products are received, kept or disposed of in wholesale quantities to persons, firms, corporations or associations for the purposes of resale.

Section 3. Any person, firm, corporation or association now conducting, operating or maintaining a wholesale food terminal or market, as herein defined, must secure a permit to continue the conduct, operation and maintenance thereof within a reasonable time, not to exceed six months after the adoption of this ordinance, and the said Board of Supervisors shall not refuse a permit for the conduct, operation or maintenance of any wholesale food terminal or market to any person, firm, corporation or association now operating, conducting or maintaining the same, except upon satisfactory evidence that said food terminal or market is conducted in an unsanitary manner, or is a menace to the health or physical comfort of the persons living, working or lawfully congregating in the vicinity thereof.

Section 4. No permit shall be granted for the conduct, operation or maintenance of any wholesale food terminal or market, as herein defined, when in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors the same would be a menace to the health or physical comfort of the residents, workers or those lawfully congregating in the vicinity thereof, or when the traffic to or from said food terminal or market would, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, unduly congest, delay or interfere with the vehicular traffic on any street, lane or alley in the vicinity thereof or leading to or from said food terminal or market.

Section 5. Any permit granted pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall be subject to revocation by the Board of Supervisors at any time that it shall appear to said Board that the conduct, operation or maintenance of said wholesale food terminal or market is unsanitary or is a menace to the health or physical comfort of the residents, workers or those lawfully congregating in the vicinity thereof, or when the traffic to or from said food terminal or market does, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, unduly congest, delay or interfere with vehicular traffic on any street, lane or alley in the vicinity thereof or leading to or from said food terminal or market.

Section 6. No permit shall be refused or revoked by the Board of Supervisors except after a full hearing, and then only in the exercise of a sound and reasonable discretion of the said Board.

Section 7. All wholesale food terminals or markets shall from time to time be inspected by the Board of Health as to the sanitary conditions under which the same are conducted, and any person, firm, corporation or association

operating, conducting or maintaining any food terminal or market shall abide by all lawful rules, regulations and orders of said Board of Health.

Section 8. Any person, firm or corporation or association violating any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 9. All ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they may conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Section 10. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation to Remove Gate at Twentieth and Illinois Streets.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Limited, was by Resolution No. 15854 (New Series), approved July 12, 1918, granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect and maintain a gate at Twentieth and Illinois streets as an emergency measure; and

Whereas, said emergency has ceased to exist; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Limited, is hereby directed to remove said gate at Twentieth and Illinois streets; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 15854 (New Series) be and is hereby repealed; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Limited.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Accepting Offer of John Jurras et al. to Sell for $45,000 Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented: Resolution No. 28989 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John Jurras and Ella M. Jurras, his wife, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 150 feet northerly from Anza street, also situate on the northerly line of Anza street from Thirtieth to Thirty-first avenues, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $45,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Anza street and the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly and along said line of Anza street 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence at a right angle southerly along said line of Thirtieth avenue 125 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 254.

Also, commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 316 feet 10 inches southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence at a right angle southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 133 feet 2 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 33 feet 2 inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 253.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result

of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price, as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

New York Port Authority Financing to Be Investigated.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors be now authorized by the Board to incur whatever expense may be necessary to obtain from Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, San Francisco's bond attorneys in New York, a brief on the subject of port authority in New York City and elsewhere, together with their recommendation to us as to the method of adopting this plan in California.

Supervisor McSheehy declared that he had the data and would gladly give it to the Board. Supervisor Havenner said he would gladly accept the data of Supervisor McSheehy and give it consideration, but that he wanted the advice of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, who have handled such matters for New York City.

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner's motion was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

The following matters were taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is, however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

* * * * *

Supervisor Shannon: Through the Chair, I would like to ask Supervisor Gallagher and also Supervisor Marks, if you do not think that something should be done regarding action on these, or taking them off the calendar? (Referring to Supervisor Gallagher's and Marks' motion above.)

Supervisor Gallagher: I have no objection to taking mine off the calendar.

* * * * *

Supervisor Havenner: As I understand the question here before us now is merely a suggestion that this matter be removed from the calendar and filed.

Supervisor Gallagher: I have no objection as far as my motion goes.

Supervisor Havenner: So I think it is perfectly proper at this time to remove this from the calendar and allow it to be filed.

Supervisor Marks: I was asked by Mr. Shannon whether I would object to the removal of my proposition which immediately follows it, which is the matter of public ownership. And I think, in view of the vote, that Mr. Gallagher's motion should be removed from the file. And I think, in view of the fact of the technicality that surrounds the motion that I made, that merely as a matter of safeguard, while we should not take any action on it at the present time, it should be kept intact in the same way in which we have been keeping it for months.

* * * * *

Motion.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing matters were *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

SPECIAL ORDERS—11 A. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

(1)

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(2)

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(3)

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano,

Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(4)

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(5)

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attornevs.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(6)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(7)

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on

less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(8)

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(9)

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(10)

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(11)

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(12)

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(13)

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(15)

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or

southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(18)

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

Filed August 16, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Tunnels.

(19)

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(20)

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued*

until Monday, May 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(21)

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(22)

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(23)

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(24)

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano,

Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(25)

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(26)

Application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for permission to construct a toll bridge along an alternative line between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

Filed May 29, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(27)

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(28)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(29)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine

tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbell and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(30)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday. July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(31)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(32)

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(33)

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(34)

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in

Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from the outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continuation of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama, Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quarters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the lenth of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(35)

Amended application of Allan C. Rush for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Oakland, Alameda County.

Said bridge to be located at the starting point at or near what is known as Rincon Hill, in the City of San Francisco, and from which named point extending over and across what is known as San Francisco Channel of the Bay of San Francisco, this channel having an approximate width of 11,088 feet, to Goat Island—Yerba Buena—in a suspension bridge with a height of not less than 200 feet, measuring from the floor and base of said bridge down *to* and *above* high tide, supported in its entirety by four cables resting over five bridge piers, one pier at or near Rincon Hill, three piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, and one pier on the westerly shore end of Goat Island—Yerba Buena—numbered, respectively, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, with Pier No. 5 ending on said island.

Filed by E. L. Vandair Nailen, November 7, 1927, and *continued for hearing until November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(36)

Alternative design, Inter-Cities Bridge Company, for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, as follows:

On a line from near the foot of Broadway, in San Francisco, to the northern slope of Goat Island, along this northerly slope to the eastern end of the island, and thence to and along the northerly edge, or near the same, of the Key Route Mole to the entrance into Oakland, fully described in the original application.

Filed December 21, 1927.

December 27, 1927—*Read and continued until January* 23, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(37)

Application of John Goulding Little for authority to erect, maintain and operate, and to take tolls on a toll bridge to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the County of Alameda, State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 7 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 20 min. 49 sec., which point is about 120 feet northerly from the Key System Transit Company's Ferry House in the City of Oakland, and extending thence westerly to a point in the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 2 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 21 min. 31 sec., which point is about 700 feet northerly from the northeast point of Goat Island; thence westerly by the most direct available route with suitable grades and curvature to the intersection of the center line of Market street produced with the waterfront line on The Embarcadero in the City and County of San Francisco.

That the length of said bridge is 16,000 feet and that the breadth of said bridge is 2000 feet.

Filed January 30, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 28, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

N. S. G. W. Invited to Hold Next Annual Session in San Francisco.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28990 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby respectfully extend to the order of the Native Sons of the Golden West an invitation to hold its next annual session of the Grand Parlor in 1929 in this City; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee to present this invitation to the Grand Parlor during its session which will be held at Redding May 21st to 25th of May this year.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Relative to Report of Special Bridge Committee.

Supervisor Gallagher: Mr. Chairman, what is the status of the report presented by Supervisor McSheehy relative to the bridge matters?

The Chairman: Referred by the Board to the Bridge Committee.

Supervisor Gallagher: You referred the matter to the Bridge Committee? When, might I ask, are they going to make a report?

Supervisor Shannon: You might ask the Chairman of the Committee.

Supervisor Gallagher: I do not recollect which; there are two kinds. There is a delegation to Washington and a Bridge Committee. Who is Chairman? Supervisor McSheehy, when will you make the report?

Supervisor McSheehy: I will make the report in the very near future. I want to say that this week I have been rather a busy fellow. During the past week I gave considerable time to Music Week as the Chairman of the Auditorium Committee. That is one reason why I asked the passage of this resolution here today. I now can bring the report in to the committee any time, bring it in to this Board. May I also say this to you, Supervisor: I will gladly send you the report that I made to this Board last week. It was rather a lengthy report, containing I don't know how many thousand words, but it was rather a lengthy report. I will gladly give you a copy.

Supervisor Gallagher: I am going to be perfectly frank. I would like to say to the Bridge Committee, through you, Mr. Chairman, that, in view of the advocacy of the tube proposition by the Chairman of that Committee, I suggest that a new committee be formed. I suggest the set-up be changed. I say that in all kindness of spirit to avoid a ruction in this Board that is sure to come. I do not want to be considered over-zealous. I have talked with a number of members of this Board privately, and they are all concerned that nothing be done now to disturb the situation; but they are all vitally concerned in the fact that this advocacy of a bridge and tube by one of the members of that Committee, has, in their judgment, put him without the pale of the right to be longer Chairman of that Committee. That is a difficult and a hard thing to say, but that is my position and I want it known; and I think you ought to get into caucus very quickly. You ought to go over the ground work very frankly. I

think you ought to bring back to the Board a new set-up relative to the Bridge Committee, because the public mind is disturbed.

I have examined as far as I could into the situation that has been created. I am not indulging in personal criticism, but if any member of this Board—he may have his own views, as has Mr. Stanton, and express them openly, but if within his keeping is a policy outlined by this Board and he finds himself committed to another route than that along which we have declared we shall travel, the obvious obligagation resting upon that person or persons is to get off that Committee. He does not belong on it. This is too vital to let go too far.

I say this in all kindness of spirit and with no desire to cause any feeling. I notice the laugh on the part of some of your faces, but you are hiding behind a bush. Why don't you tell the truth, you fellows that are sitting there smirking? You haven't the nerve to say that somebody stole a march on this thing that you don't like. You haven't the courage to do the thing that obviously has to be done, and I am saying, I think, something timely now and something I think ought to be said at this time. I say to you that if there is any part of this delegation or any part of the Bridge Committee that is out of line with the absolute declared pclicy of this Board, I want them, as gentlemen, to get out of the way, get off the Committee. Let that be understood, that is my position.

Supervisor Shannon: Mr. Chairman, I was the maker of the motion that brought the Special Bridge Committee into existence. The Committee was sent to Washington for a specific purpose. They have made several trips; they have presented the case to the War and Navy departments, and they have presented the case to the Senators and to the Congressmen, and have come back to this Board and have made their report. I feel the duties of the Special Bridge Committee are at an end, and I move now that they be discharged.

Supervisor Gallagher: Second the motion—with thanks.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board: I am placed in a rather embarrassing position at this time for two reasons: First of all, one week ago I came here and I came prepared and I brought forth, you might say, a report, and I brought forth maps and plans showing exactly my reasons for doing what I did in Washington. This Board very attentively heard what I had to say, and they listened to me for an hour and ten minutes in the morning session. I could not perhaps repeat—it would be impossible for me to repeat to the two men that have made this motion this afternoon, because I haven't the data with me.

This matter has been referred back to the Committee. I have only this to say: that during the week I promise this Board that a meeting will be held by that Committee and that Committee can report back to this Board. That Committee has not reported to this Board as yet in any manner or form. I will tell you frankly that that Committee will report; that they can report back to this Board, because I will call the meeting during the week. And they can do what they wish in reference to the report, whether it will be a minority or a majority report, and this Board will receive it.

The two members that have made the motion—I say two because one made it and one seconded it—were not here last week. Just how their minds are functioning at this particular time I do not know, but I do know that we have a set of rules on this Board, and I do know that a report is due this Board from the Committee, and I do know that as Chairman of this Bridge Committee that you will receive that report next week. You can do just what you wish with the report; I don't care. Whether it is a minority report or a majority report, next week will tell the tale, and you will receive it here one week from today. At this time I am simply going to ask you—the motion has been made and seconded—I ask you to follow the policy that you laid down one week ago today. You referred the statement that I made to the Bridge Committee, and I ask you today to vote down this motion. And I simply will give you my word that you will have a report in here next week. And when that report comes in, you, as members of this Board, do with it just as your conscience, you might say, will dictate for you to do. But today, I am saying to you that it is impossible for me to give you the report, and I promise it to you one week from today.

Supervisor Gallagher: Mr. McSheehy, would you answer a question—might it not be better to discharge the Special Bridge Committee with thanks now than to bring about a situation that might bring in a minority and a majority report?

Supervisor McSheehy: Now, Mr. Gallagher——

Supervisor Gallagher: I say, might it not be better, all things

considered, that the Committee be discharged with the thanks of the Board for the services they have performed to date? They have completed their work up to date.

Supervisor McSheehy: I would answer that question, Mr. Gallagher, they have not completed their work; you have no report from the Committee. You know, without my telling you, that I have been more or less severely criticized by some members of this Board and by the press of San Francisco, and I have felt it to some degree. I wish you had been here one week ago today; perhaps you may have changed your mind. I don't think your mind is set. Perhaps Supervisor Shannon might have changed his mind, I don't know; but I do know that the members of this Board as a whole —a motion similar to yours was made and the motion never received a second. It was not entirely in that line but it was something similar.

So I say to you, members of this Board, I have served this Board eleven years, and no act of mine has ever discredited this Board. What I have done in Washington was because my conscience told me to do what I did, and I did so, and I have no apologies to make. But I would like to present the report to you and to you, Mr. Shannon, in an orderly manner, and perhaps you might change your mind in the matter.

Supervisor Shannon: We didn't send you there to follow the dictates of your conscience; we sent you there with instructions.

Supervisor McSheehy: I don't want to enter into a debate, but I think that at this time at least I am due—I am sort of pleading for myself; I could do it much better for somebody else, but I say to you, Supervisor Gallagher, at this time, that I hope and trust you will not press that motion entirely because I will say to you that I am asking for only one week's time.

Supervisor Gallagher: Could I ask this question: do you consider this action any reflection on you?

Supervisor McSheehy: I positively do.

Supervisor Gallagher: I withdraw the second.

Supervisor McSheehy: I positively do, and one week from today you can do just as you please in the matter.

Supervisor Shannon: I made the motion, Mr. Chairman, for this reason: the Committee has had that already. There were originally nine members on the Committee—the Mayor, City Attorney O'Toole, City Engineer O'Shaughnessy, yourself, Mr. Havenner, Mr. McSheehy, Mr. Harrelson and the three engineers. These three engineers we can not call on at any time to attend meetings; we have no right to do it, and Mr. Harrelson is off the Board. Of the nine original members there are only five that are available that can sit. We know what the report of the Committee is, and we know what instructions we sent the Committee to Washington with. Mr. McSheehy tells us he acted according to the dictates of his conscience. There is where he made his mistake. We didn't send him to test his own conscience and to follow the dictates of his conscience, we sent him there to represent San Francisco and to endeavor to get a bill through Congress for a bridge.

Supervisor Kent: I rise to a point of order. May I ask this question: that if I rise to make a motion that there is nothing before us now, would it be sustained by the Chair?—for the reason that we thrashed this thing out last week, and if the gentleman had been in his seat last week he would not have had to make the speech and reopen it today. We had our attention on this last week, and I think it is very unfair to come in with a grand-stand play now and make a great big speech that we had last week.

Supervisor Shannon: Is that a point of order?

Supervisor Kent: That is my point of order. I think you ought to sit down. (Applause.)

Supervisor Shannon: In making the motion, Mr. Chairman, I am having it withdrawn by the second —withdrawn by Mr. Gallagher—I feel that I have a perfect right to make an explanation without being interrupted by an excitable young man.

Supervisor Kent: I didn't start to interrupt until the man raised his voice to the skies and the minute I thought he was going to ——— my colleague here I figured it was time to have him sit down. I rise to a point of order that there is nothing before the house and I move that he sit down.

Supervisor Toner: I second the motion.

Supervisor Shannon: I want to continue to a conclusion without being interrupted by the excitable young man in the real estate business. This motion of mine, you know, is before us notwithstanding the fact that the second has been withdrawn. As far as my motion is concerned I could plead for a second, but I have no intention of doing that. I simply wanted to make the statement that I did, and

it is a fact that we all must acknowledge that the Committee is half dead already, and there are only five members remaining. With that explanation I will withdraw my motion.

The Chairman: I am glad that the motion has been withdrawn, Supervisor; but I certainly do not subscribe to your statement that the Committee is half dead. The Committee has been working regularly every day during the last week and has done some very effective work in support of the bridge bill and have not been asleep.

Supervisor Shannon: I am glad to hear that.

The Chairman: Yes, I want you to know that, because they have been here and they have been working, and they have brought to bear on Washington I think some very important influence in the interests of the bill and in the interests of action this session. I think it would be most unfortunate, a very serious technical blunder and a direct blow at the welfare of this bill if we discharged our Bridge Committee now, because this Bridge Committee was appointed for the purpose of working to the finish for the success of this bill. The bill is still pending before Congress and the dismissal of the Committee at this time could not but raise a question-mark there as to the attitude of this Board. The attitude of this Board is that it wants that bill passed and it wants everything done that can be done for the passage of that bill. If the Board sees fit to make a change in the membership of the Committee, all well and good, but I certainly hope that it will not dismiss the Committee until the fate of the bill is determined.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 1:30 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

Relative to Ordinance for Protection of Marina District.

Communication, from Marina District Improvement Association and other organizations, declaring that ordinance providing for the issuance of revocable permits by the Board of Supervisors of the operation, construction, etc., of wholesale markets or food terminals within the City and County of San Francisco does not afford the protection to the Marina District that was petitioned for and requesting that an ordinance sufficient for the purpose intended be prepared.

Referred to City Attorney to prepare appropriate legislation.

Resolutions of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz Counties Joint Highway District.

The following matters were presented, read and *filed*:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of Santa Cruz County initiated proceedings for the creation of a joint highway district to be composed of the counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Boards of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and of Santa Cruz and San Mateo counties, have heretofore duly adopted resolutions agreeing that said highway district should be formed, and that the same would be to the public interest of said counties; and

Whereas, a board of directors has been duly appointed, consisting of a representative from each of said counties, and said board of directors so duly appointed have compiled and returned to the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo a report setting forth a tentative route of the proposed district highway, determining the approximate total cost thereof, and the portion thereof that should be borne by each county, respectively, a copy of said report being now on file with the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo hereby approves the report and the amendment thereto of said board of directors of said highway district submitted herewith, and signifies its approval of the formation of such district, and declares its intention to join with the counties of San Francisco and Santa Cruz in the creation and formation of said joint highway district; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk

of this Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Boards of Supervisors of the counties of San Francisco and Santa Cruz.

Roll call on said resolution resulting as follows:

Ayes and in favor of the passage and adoption of said resolution—Supervisors Brown, Francis, Thompson and Poole.

Noes and against the passage and adoption of said resolution—Supervisor Hickey.

Absent—Supervisors—None.

Said resolution was thereupon ordered and declared passed and adopted.

(Endorsed.) Board of Supervisors. Filed May 7, 1928. Elizabeth M. Kneese, Clerk.

State of California, County of San Mateo—ss.

I, Elizabeth M. Kneese, County Clerk of the County of San Mateo, State of California, and ex-officio Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a resolution entered in the minutes of said Board.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of said Board this 7th day of May, A. D. 1928. (Seal.) Elizabeth M. Kneese, Clerk.

On motion of Supervisor Pinkham, duly seconded by Supervisor Reanier, the following resolution is adopted:

Resolved, That this, the Board of Supervisors of Santa Cruz County, have heretofore, on this date, passed a resolution entering a joint highway district with the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo; and

Whereas, it is desired to have a mutual understanding and agreement between the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County and this Board of Supervisors regarding the future proceedings thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, First. that during no fiscal year shall the assessment to be levied by the board of directors of this joint highway district be greater than five cents on the one hundred dollars of assessed value of property within the respective counties without the consent of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County and the Board of Supervisors of Santa Cruz County.

Second:. The total cost of this project shall not exceed the estimated cost of $5.300.000 without the consent of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County and the Board of Supervisors of Santa Cruz County.

Third: It shall be understood that construction work shall proceed at or near each end of the project simultaneously.

Passed by the Board of Supervisors of Santa Cruz County this seventh day of May, 1928, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Lewis, Ley, Pinkham, Reanier and Rostron.

Noes—Supervisors—None.

Absent—Supervisors—None.

(Signed) G. H. Rostron, Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Santa Cruz County.

Attest: H. E. Miller, County Clerk.

State of California, County of Santa Cruz—ss.

I, H. E. Miller, County Clerk and ex-officio Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz, State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution passed and adopted by and entered in the minutes of the said Board.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the said Board on May 7, 1928. (Seal) H. E. Miller, County Clerk.

On motion of Supervisor Pinkham, duly seconded by Supervisor Reanier, the following resolution is adopted:

Resolution.

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz, State of California, hereby resolves as follows:

Whereas, a joint highway district, comprising the counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco has been formed; and

Whereas, a report of the directors of said joint highway district was filed with this Board of Supervisors on April 7, 1928, wherein it was shown, among other things, the proposed route of a certain highway mentioned in said report as "Ocean Shore Joint Highway District", the cost of which is estimated in said report to be $5,300,000, and in which said report it is proposed that the cost of constructing said proposed road should be apportioned on the following basis. to-wit: San Francisco County. fifty per cent; San Mateo County. thirty per cent; Santa Cruz County, twenty per cent: and

Whereas, an amendment to said report was, on the 25th day of April. 1928, duly made and adopted by the board of directors of said joint highway district at a regular meeting of said board and which said amendment declares and provides that the cost of constructing

said proposed road shall be apportioned on the following basis, to-wit: San Francisco County, fifty-five per cent; San Mateo County, thirty per cent; Santa Cruz County, fifteen per cent, and which said proportions as in said amended report contained shall be in lieu of the proportions contained in said original report and to which said report and amendment thereof reference is hereby made for further particularities. Therefore, be it

Resolved, That said report as amended be and is by this Board adopted fixing the cost as therein apportioned to the various counties comprising said joint highway district as in said amended report provided, and that certified copies of this resolution be transmitted to the Boards of Supervisors of said counties of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco.

Passed this 7th day of May, 1928, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ley, Pinkham, Reanier and Rostron.

Noes—Supervisor Lewis.

Absent—Supervisors—None.

G. H. Rostron,

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of Santa Cruz County, State of California. Attest: H. E. Miller, Clerk of said Board.

State of California, County of Santa Cruz—ss.

I, H. E. Miller, County Clerk and ex-officio Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz, State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution passed and adopted by and entered in the minutes of the said Board.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the said Board on May 7, 1928. (Seal) H. E. Miller, County Clerk.

Mayor to Appoint Fourth of July Committee.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 28991 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make arrangements for and prepare a suitable celebration of the one hundred fifty-second anniversary of the signing of the Declaration of Independence of the United States of America, July 4, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Leave of Absence, Judge Sylvan J. Lazarus.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 10, 1928.

Honorable, the Board of Supervisors of City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I hereby request that, by appropriate resolution, you grant me permission to be absent from the State of California for the period of thirty days from and after June 1, 1928.

Yours respectfully,
SYLVAN J. LAZARUS,
Judge of the Police Court.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28992 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Sylvan J. Lazarus, Judge of the Police Court, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 1, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Commendation of Chester Rosekrans.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28993 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, for the past eight years, between the seventh and the thirteenth days of May, which is Mothers' Day, under the direction of Chester Rosekrans, Music Week has been held in the Civic Auditorium each year, the attendance increasing to such an extent that even our Civic Auditorium at times has not been large enough to accommodate our citizens, and as a tribute to the great success that we have made out of this event, some 222 cities throughout the United States have adopted the days above stated as Music Week as a glowing tribute to Mothers' Day; and

Whereas, during the week more than 150,000 people attended our Civic Auditorium and competition was created throughout the schools, not alone of San Francisco but of the entire State, so that school bands from the extreme northern end of our State and from the extreme southern end of our State paid their own expenses to compete

in a school band contest, in which prizes were given, and the entire supervision and direction was under the sole management of Chester Rosekrans, one of our public-spirited citizens; therefore, be it

Resolved, That a committee of three be appointed by the Chair to draft proper resolutions in recognition of the great work done by this man, and that copies of the resolution be properly engrossed and sent to him in recognition of the great work that he has done in commemoration of Mothers' Day in establishing an entire week in behalf of music.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Underground District, Buena Vista Terrace.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton:

Bill No. 8535, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1v.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1v. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after July 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 27, Buena Vista Terrace from Roosevelt way to Fourteenth street, 250 feet, wires to be placed underground during reconstruction of street.

Widening of Parker Avenue.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Streets and Commercial Development Committeen of this Board be instructed to include in their recommendations for the ensuing year the widening of Parker avenue on its easterly side between Euclid avenue and Geary street.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Executive Committee for Portola Festival.

Supervisor Marks presented the following list of members of the Executive Committee for the proposed Portola Festival:

James D. Phelan, Thornwall Mullally, Paul T. Carroll, Angelo F. Rossi, Mrs. Ludwig Frank, Frank C. McDonald, Hon. M. C. Sloss, Halsey Manwaring, LeRoy Linnard, James McCabe, Mortimer Samuel, Michael Casey, Mrs. D. E. F. Easton, W. N. Burkhardt, William Hines, Eric Cullinward, George Cameron, Charles Young, Mrs. Alfred McLaughlin, A. P. Giannini, Herbert Fleishhacker, George Tourny, John Drumm, Charles C. Moore, George Panario, A. J. Cloud, W. D. Egilbert, Robert Roos, Marshall Hale, Ralph Pincus, Paul Shoup, Phil T. Clay, Henry Bostwick, David F. Supple, Milton Esberg, Sr., George Filmer, W. D. Fennimore, William G. Marvin, H. L. Van Winkle, Michel Weil, Frank E. Carroll, Phil C. Fay, Joseph Aurreoch, Mayor Rolph and all members of the Board of Supervisors.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 28, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 22

Monday, May 21, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 21, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 21, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 7, 1928, was considered read and approved.

FINANCE COMMITTEE BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1928-1929.

The following was presented by Supervisor Havenner and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California, May 21, 1928.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your Finance Committee presents to you herewith its recommendations for Budget appropriations for the fiscal year 1928-29, totaling $25,656,362.

The Committee has been advised by the City Attorney that, in accordance with the decision of the Supreme Court of California in the case of Esberg vs. Badaracco, entered September 12, 1927, the Budget of the School Department has no place in the Budget to be prepared by the Supervisors in conformity with section 3 of chapter I of article III of the Charter. In accordance with this advice, the School Department Budget has not been included in the Budget for the Municipal Government which we now present to you. We submit at this time the written opinion of the City Attorney which guided our action in this matter.

The recent growth of our city and the consequent additional requirements of the public service have made it necessary to provide increased appropriations for a number of the important departments of our Municipal Government. We believe that the Budget we have prepared is thoroughly constructive in these respects and will provide amply for the needs and the progress of San Francisco during the next fiscal year. The increases recommended for the Departments of Public Health, Police and Fire Protection are all considerably in excess of the average annual increases allowed for these departments in recent years. We have recommended the employment of 66 additional men in the Police Department and 50 additional men in the Fire Department. Provision has been made for the construction of a new fire house near the Ocean Beach, and it is anticipated that funds will be available during the year for a new

police station. The enlarged appropriation for the Department of Health will not only provide sufficient funds to meet the unusual demands made upon the department during the present year, but will provide for the creation of a new Health Center as well as for the maintenance of the Tubercular Sanitarium at Pulgas, and the continuance of the Maternity Service.

After consultation with officials of the Department of Public Works your Committee recommends that more trucks be purchased and operated in place of the larger trucks and many of the horse teams now rented for use in the Streets Department. This substitution, we are informed by the operating heads of the department, will result in important economies and increased efficiency in the immediate future.

A very large program of boulevard construction now in progress in San Francisco makes it possible to reduce the burden upon the taxpayers for street work this year and at the same time set up a very extensive fund for necessary street reconstruction, widening and surfacing, as well as for important extensions to existing thoroughfares. It is estimated that more than $1,500,000 will be available for these purposes.

Widespread public attention has been concentrated recently upon the need for enlarged and improved traffic control in the congested sections of the City. Your Committee has recommended appropriations, amounting to approximately $250,000, for traffic control, to be expended through the Departments of Electricity, Engineering and Police. These appropriations, the Committee believes, will make full provision for the Budgets recommended by experts who have recently studied the local traffic problems.

Recognition has been given to the popular demand for more adequate playground facilities for the children of our city, and the appropriation for the Playground Department has been increased to the full amount allowed by the Charter. Your Committee has also made complete provision for the so-called children's budget by recommending the full amounts requested for the maintenance of minors, widows' pensions and for the support of various institutions devoted to the care of children. We have also included the necessary appropriation to provide for the taking over of the Boys' Aid Society by the City and County as a public adjustment school, as provided by State law, on the first of the next calendar year.

The increased allotment recommended for the lighting of our streets is the most extensive in the history of San Francisco.

The important work of equalizing the Assessment Roll, which is now in progress, is provided for by recommendation for an increase of $50,000 in the appropriation for the Assessor's office.

In preparation for the construction of a transbay bridge your Committee recommends an appropriation of $100,000 for investigation of the structure of the bottom of the bay. Provision has been made for the continuation of the telephone rate case and for the evaluation of the privately owned local street-car systems prior to the expiration of their franchises.

Insistent demand for the construction of a civic stadium of sufficient capacity to enable our citizens to enjoy the greatest athletic attractions in the country has been met by a recommended appropriation of $250,000, heretofore pledged by this Board, for the enlargement of Kezar Stadium.

The long list of special appropriations for important public improvements submitted to your Honorable Body includes two items, totaling $90,000, for the improvement of the Fine Arts Palace and grounds; $10,000 for the improvement of the Heroes' Memorial Grove in Golden Gate Park, and $30,000 for the improvement of Twin Peaks. We have also recommended an appropriation of $50,000, which, with $25,000 now available, will provide for the construction of a new building for the De Young Memorial Museum.

In compliance with the mandate of the people, as expressed in

the Charter amendment providing for re-classification of positions and standardization of salaries of all City employees, the Civil Service Commission has been engaged for two years in making the necessary surveys to complete this work. The Board of Supervisors has appropriated more than $15,000 for this purpose. The survey is now complete, and ordinances embodying the recommendations of the Civil Service Commission will be presented to the Board of Supervisors for adoption during the next few weeks. In view of this fact, your Finance Committee recommends that no changes in existing salaries be made until a complete readjustment is undertaken in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

Respectfully submitted,

FINANCE COMMITTEE,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
Chairman,
MILO F. KENT,
CHARLES J. POWERS.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series).

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, and making a Budget of the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter 1, of the Charter of the City and County.

Section 2. No department, officer, board, commission or employee shall expend, agree to expend or incur any liability which shall exceed the amount of any appropriation herein made or which may be hereafter appropriated for any specific purpose, and no such appropriation shall be used or subjected to any liability for any purpose other than that for which it is herein specifically made, unless otherwise authorized by the Board of Supervisors.

All balances of appropriations unexpended, or against which no liabilities have been incurred, at the end of each calendar month, shall be reported to the Board of Supervisors by the department, board, commission, officer or employee within ten days after the expiration of such previous month, and at the end of the fiscal year all such balances shall revert to and become a part of the unappropriated general fund unless otherwise ordered by the Board of Supervisors.

The Auditor shall not audit or issue any warrant contrary to the express provisions of this section.

Section 3. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 1-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	401 A	18 Supervisors at $2,400 each.....	$43,200	
2	402 A	Clerk	6,000	
3	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk.....	4,500	
4	"	1 Expert Accountant	6,000	
5	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,600.....	7,200	
6	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000	
7	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,100	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
8	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600	
9	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
10	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
11	"	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,700	
12	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
13	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400	
14	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	3,000	
15	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector	2,400	
16	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium	3,000	
16-A		1 Assistant Superintendent of Auditorium	2,400	
			$98,100	
		TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.		
17	433 A	Chief Operator	$1,980	
18	"	5 Operators at $1,800	9,000	
19	"	Relief Operators	675	
		Total Personal Services		$109,755

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 2-20 to 2-73)

20	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses	$5,000
21	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses	9,000
22	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	C0,000
23	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000
24	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000
25	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar	8,000
26	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July	2,500
27	"	Memorial Day Observance	500
28	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band	20,000
29	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000
30	425 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals	7,500
31	529 B	Lighting Streets	765,000
32	402 H	Premiums on Official Bonds	6,000
33	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	50,000
34	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000
35	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges	5,000
36	1093	Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers	200,000
37	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit	140,000
38	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen	5,000
39	678 K	Auditorium	10,000
40	467 H	Public Pound	18,000
41	553 B	Feeble-minded Home	100,000
42	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	740,000
43	553 H	Widows' Pensions	220,000
44	"	St. Catherine's Training School	6,500
45	"	State Schools	20,000
46	419 K	City Planning Commission Including Auto at $40 a month and Including Stationery, Postage and Printing, $90	6,970

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
47	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Railroad	8,940	
48	1091	Yacht Harbor Improvement.....	10,000	
49	1091	Civic Center Beautification.....	15,000	
50	1090	Municipal Airport	90,000	
51	478 A 478 1091	For Personal and Non-Personal Services, Preventorium in San Mateo County	75,000	
52	466	For Maternity Service	12,500	
53	432 BO	Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000	
54		City Hall Repairs and Painting....	15,000	
55	1091	Convenience Stations	30,000	
56	"	Improvements, de Young Museum..	50,000	
57	1092	Traffic Signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control	150,000	
58	1091	Kezar Stadium	250,000	
59	"	Heroes' Grove	10,000	
60	"	Bay Street Opening Land Purchase..	15,000	
61	"	Fine Arts Building Repairs.....	75,000	
62	410	Telephone Rate Investigation Expense (City Attorney)	50,000	
63	"	Bay Bridge Investigations.....	100,000	
64	1091	Boys' Aid	15,000	
65	"	Fire House (Cliff House)	30,000	
66	"	Sutro Monument Grounds, Repairs to Stairway	5,000	
67	——	Market Street Railway Valuation and Report	30,000	
68	——	Improvement of Twin Peaks.....	30,000	
69	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District	150,000	
70	1093	Street Signs	10,000	
71	434	Payment for Rental 333 Kearny Street Lease	13,450	
72	401 CL	Stationery, Postage and Printing....	1,800	
		Total		$3,800,660

Street Reconstruction.

73	1091	Bernal Park and Boulevard Broderick, McAllister to Fulton Chenery, 30th to Diamond Clay, Larkin to Hyde and crossing of Hyde Duboce avenue, Noe to Market, widening Elizabeth, Hoffman to Douglass Eureka, 18th to 21st Folsom, 11th to 14th, surface Fulton, Masonic to Stanyan, widening Green, Polk to Van Ness Hayward street Hillway, Carl to Parnassus Jones, Pine to California Kearny, Geary to Columbus, surface Lincoln way, 1st avenue westerly	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		Miguel, Laidley to Chenery		
		Pierce, Filbert to Greenwich		
		Scott, Clay to Jackson		
		Scott, Fell to Duboce, widening		
		Seventh avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton		
		Seventeenth, Douglass to Roosevelt way		
		Sixth, Harrison to Brannan		
		Sixteenth, Castro to Flint		
		Shipley, 4th to Eliza place		
		Shipley, 5th to 6th		
		Taylor, Pine to California		
		Tehama, 8th to 9th		
		Total		$200,745

Bureau of Supplies.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3-A)

74	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000	
75	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600	
76	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000	
77	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000	
78	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery	2,700	
79	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
80	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools.....	2,700	
81	"	1 Stenographer File Clerk	1,680	
82	"	2 Tabulators, at $1,680 a year.....	3,360	
83	"	1 Chief Storekeeper	3,900	
		Total Personal Services.....	$36,040	
84	634 BC	Non-Personal (Appropriation 3-B)..	3,300	
85	"	Stationery, printing books, postage (Appropriation 3-C)	10,000	
86	"	Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc. (Appropriation 3-D)...	20,000	
87	"	License tags and blanks (Appropriation 3-E)	6,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$39,000	
		Total Bureau of Supplies.....		$75,340

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

88	404 A	Mayor	$6,000	
89	"	Executive Secretary	4,800	
90	"	Assistant Secretary	4,200	
91	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400	
92	"	Male Night Clerk	2,100	
93	"	Telephone Operator	1,800	
94	"	Messenger	1,980	
95	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each.....	4,800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$34,080	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
96	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter)	$3,600	
97	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	8,785	
98	404 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 4-C)	1,215	
99	1090	Purchase of Automobile	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$18,600	
		Total Mayor		$52,680

AUDITOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)

100	405 A	Auditor	$8,000	
101	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
102	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each.....	10,800	
103	"	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760	
104	"	4 Deputies at $2,700 each	10,800	
105	"	1 Deputy	2,580	
106	"	5 Deputies at $2,400 each	12,000	
107	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580	
108	"	1 General Clerk	2,220	
109	"	4 Clerks, at $1,920 a year.....	7,680	
110	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,200	
111	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099A	2,580	
112	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
113	"	Attorney	3,000	
114	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	6,400	
		Total Personal Services	$82,320	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)

115	405 B	Contingents	$500	
116	405 CL	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 5-C)	5,400	
		Total Auditor		$88,220

TAX COLLECTOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)

117	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000	
118	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
119	"	Cashier	3,300	
120	"	Accountant	3,300	
121	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each ..	15,480	
122	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880	
123	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each..	5,160	
124	"	26 Deputies at $2,400 each	62,400	
125	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
126	"	Extra Clerical Help	20,000	
127	408 A	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000	
128	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$131,320	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
129	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List	$3,700	
130	408 D	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
131	408 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 6-C)	4,500	
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$10,360	
		Total Tax Collector.....		**$141,680**

TREASURER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)

132	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000
133	"	Chief Deputy	2,880
134	"	Cashier	5,100
135	"	Bank and Bond Deputy	3,900
136	"	1 Deputy	3,900
137	"	1 Deputy	3,000
138	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880
139	"	1 Deputy	3,300
140	"	Bookkeeper	3,600
141	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400
142	"	2 Clerks, at $2,880 each	5,760
143	"	1 Clerk	1,980
		Total Personal Services	$46,700

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)

144	406 K	Non-Personal Services	$200	
145	406 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 7-C)	900	
		Total Treasurer		**$47,800**

ASSESSOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)

146	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000
147	"	Chief Deputy Assessor	2,400
148	"	Executive Secretary	3,600
149	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers	4,500
150	"	Cashier Auditor	2,400
151	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400
152	"	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800
153	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	48,000
154	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	9,000
155	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser	2,400
156	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200
157	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
158	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
159	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,800	
160	"	Cartographer Temporary	3,000	
161	407 AB	Temporary Asst Deputies—General Clerks at $160 per month for time Employed	98,000	
		Total Personal Services	$251,800	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)		
162	407 AB	Personal and Non-Personal Service for Adjustments of Assessments	$43,400	
163	407 B	Non-Personal Services, Including Autos at $40 a month	6,000	
164	407 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 8-C)	13,500	
		Total Assessor		**$314,700**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)		
165	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	$5,000	
166	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400	
167	"	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
168	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each	13,500	
169	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220	
170	"	Typo Operator Mechanic	2,460	
171	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant	2,280	
172	"	2 Voting Machine Adjusters $1,920 each	3,840	
173	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280	
			$59,180	
		ELECTION EXPENSES.		
		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)		
174	431 AC	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9 a day	$2,700	
175	"	Outside Registration	15,000	
176	431 AB	Temporary Clerks, office and petitions	50,000	
177	"	Election Officers	65,000	
		Total Personal Services	$132,700	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)		
178	431 B	Contractual Services	$62,000	
179	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000	
180	"	Rental of Polling Places	22,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$234,000	
		Total Elections		**$425,880**

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
181	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000	
182	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each	22,500	
183	"	1 Assistant	3,600	
184	"	5 Assistants at $3,000 each	15,000	
185	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each	7,200	
186	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk	3,300	
187	"	1 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerk.	2,400	
188	"	6 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680	
189	"	Chief Clerk	3,000	
190	"	Assistant Chief Clerk	2,100	
192	412 A	Bookkeeper	1,800	
193	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
194	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
195	"	Messenger	1,800	
196	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$90,980	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-B)

197	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500	
198	412 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 10-C)	1,350	
		Total District Attorney		**$99,830**

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10½A)

199	411	Public Defender	$8,000	
200	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800	
201	"	1 Deputy Public Defender	3,600	
202	"	Clerk	1,800	
203	411 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 10½C)	90	
		Total Public Defender		**$21,290**

CITY ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)

204	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000	
205	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200	
206	"	1 Deputy	7,200	
207	"	1 Deputy	6,000	
208	410	1 Assistant	4,200	
209	"	2 Assistants at $3,000 each	6,000	
210	"	1 Assistant	2,700	
211	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200	
212	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$47,600	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—
(Appropriation 11-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
213	410 K	General and Rate Litigation.....	$9,370	
214	410 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 11-C)	630	
		Total City Attorney.....		**$57,600**

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)

215	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each....	$3,600	
216	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	
217	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
218	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
219	"	Assistant Inspector	2,400	
220	417 A	1 Assistant Secretary	2,400	
221	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
222	"	1 General Clerk	2,100	
223	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,220	
224	"	Examiners and Clerks	8,500	
		Total Personal Services	$33,520	
225	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	$1,250	
226	417 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 12-C)	1,800	
		Total Civil Service Commission		**$36,570**

COUNTY CLERK.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

227	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
228	"	Chief Registry Clerk.....	3,600	
229	"	Cashier	3,000	
230	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each..	14,400	
231	"	12 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	28,800	
232	"	19 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	45,600	
233	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each	9,600	
234	"	38 Copyists at $2,400 each.....	91,200	
		Total Personal Services	$204,200	
235	426 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 13-B)	150	
236	426 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 13-C)	11,025	
		Total County Clerk.....		**$215,375**

SHERIFF.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

237	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000	
238	"	Cashier	3,300	
239	"	Deputy	2,700	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
240	"	Attorney	1,800	
241	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper	3,000	
242	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each	36,120	
243	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,364 each	139,476	
244	430 A	Stenographer	2,220	
245	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400	
246	430 A	Office Superintendent	3,600	
247	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000	
248	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640	
249	"	Chief Jailer	2,700	
250	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,580	
251	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,580	
252	"	1 Matron	2,400	
253	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,364 each	16,548	
254	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each	4,800	
255	"	2 Cooks at $2,340 each	4,680	
256	"	1 Jailer	2,400	
257	"	1 Female Deputy	1,920	
258	"	16 Keepers at $1,920 each	30,720	
		Total Personal Services	$279,584	
		Other than Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-B)		
259	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$72,000	
260	557 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 14-C)	2,520	
		Total Sheriff		**$354,104**

RECORDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)

	454 AA	Personal Services—		
261	"	Recorder	$8,000	
262	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
263	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
264	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
265	"	7 Deputies at $2,400 each	16,800	
266	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
267	"	1 Special Copyist	2,580	
268	"	Machinist	2,700	
269	"	Stenographer	2,100	
270	454 AB	24 Copyists at $2,400 each	57,600	
		Total Personal Services	$116,600	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-B)		
271	454 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing	$5,400	
		Total Recorder		**$122,000**

SUPERIOR COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)

272	425 A	16 Judges	$80,000
273	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner	6,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
274	425 A	Assistant Secretary	2,400	
275	"	Jury Examiner	2,400	
276	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
277	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
278	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each	16,800	
279	"	Jury and Witness Fees (Appropriation 16-A-1)	35,000	
280	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses (Appropriation 16-A-2)	8,000	
281	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees) (Appropriation 16-A-3)	30,000	
		Total Personal Services	$184,800	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)		
282	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
283	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 16-C)	2,250	
		Total Superior Courts		**$195,050**

JUSTICES' COURTS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)		
284	428 A	5 Justices of the Peace at $5,400 each	$27,000	
285	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200	
286	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
287	"	Cashier	3,000	
288	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each	8,100	
289	"	Messenger	2,700	
290	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
291	"	1 Clerk	2,400	
292	"	2 Clerks at $1,920 each	3,840	
		Total Personal Services	$57,240	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-B)		
293	428 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing	$2,700	
		Total Justices' Courts		**$59,940**

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)		
294	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100	
295	"	Matron	1,500	
296	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620	
297	"	Night Superintendent	1,620	
298	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200	
299	"	8 Nurses at $960 each	7,680	
300	"	Cook	1,200	
301	"	1 Orderly	1,620	
302	"	2 Orderlies at $1,200 each	2,400	
		Total Personal Services	$20,940	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)		
303	558-Var	Maintenance and Subsistence	$15,000	
		Total Juvenile Detention Home		**$35,940**

JUVENILE COURT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
304	559 A	Probation Officer	$4,200	
305	"	Chief Assistant Probation Officer	3,300	
306	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Collector	2,880	
307	"	3 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,700 each	8,100	
308	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Cashier-Bookkeeper	2,700	
309	"	12 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	30,240	
310	"	4 Assistant Probation Officers who shall act as Stenographers at $2,220 each	8,880	
311	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Stenographer	1,980	
312	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as File and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$64,380	
313	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B)	3,785	
314	559 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 19-C)	1,215	
		Total Juvenile Court		**$69,380**

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)

315	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$4,200	
316	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer	3,300	
317	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	17,640	
318	"	1 Assistant Cashier and Clerk	2,280	
		Total Personal Services	$27,420	
319	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	250	
320	5590 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 20-C)	450	
		Total Adult Probation Dept.		**$28,120**

WIDOW'S PENSION BUREAU.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)

321	553 A	Director	$3,000	
322	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
323	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
324	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$13,500	
325	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	640	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
326	553 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 21-C)	360	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau.		**$14,500**

LAW LIBRARY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

327	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
328	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
329	"	Bookbinder	2,400	
		Total Personal Services	$10,200	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-B)

330	427 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$270	
		Total Law Library.....		**$10,470**

POLICE COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

331	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each.....	$14,400	
332	"	4 Stenographers at $3,900 each.....	15,600	
		Total Personal Services	$30,000	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-B)

333	423 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing....	$90	
		Total Police Court.....		**$30,090**

CORONER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

334	429 A	Coroner	$8,000	
335	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
336	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000	
337	"	3 Deputies at $2,580 each	7,740	
338	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940	
339	429 A	4 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	9,600	
340	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
341	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100	
342	"	Toxicologist	1,800	
343	"	Relief	800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$44,680	
344	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000	
345	1092	Purchase of Ambulance	5,000	
346	429 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 24-C)	900	
		Total Coroner		**$53,580**

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
347	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	
348	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
349	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$24,300	
350	460 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 25-B)	1,500	
351	1092	Purchase of Automobiles	3,000	
352	460 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 25-C)	270	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		**$29,070**

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½A)

353	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner	$4,800	
354	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8.00 a day	4,800	
355	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$11,400	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-B)

356	464 A	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
357	464 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 25½-C)	135	
		Total Horticultural Commission		**$13,095**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Commissioners and General Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

358	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000
359	"	Chief Deputy Commissioner	4,200
360	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
361	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
362	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400
363	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400
364	"	Stenographer	2,400
365	"	Stenographer	2,400
366	"	Chauffeur	2,400
367	"	Messenger	2,280
368	"	General Clerk	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$39,780

Bureau of Accounting.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

369	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge	$4,300
370	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper	3,120

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
371	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000	
372	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 a year	28,800	
373	417 A	2 Experienced Clerks at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
374	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300	
375	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier	3,300	
376	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
377	"	Clerk	2,700	
378	"	3 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	6,300	
379	"	5 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	9,900	
380	"	Inspector of House Numbers	2,700	
		Total Personal Services	$73,420	

Bureau of Architecture.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

381	416 A	Superintendent of Construction	$4,200
382	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000
383	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700
384	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200
385	"	Stenographer	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$13,200

Bureau of Building Repair.

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

386	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
387	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,600
388	"	Superintendent of Painters	3,360
389	"	Foreman Plumber	3,300
390	"	Foreman Cement Finisher	3,300
391	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,300
392	"	Foreman Steamfitter	3,300
393	"	Foreman Tinner	3,300
394	432 AA	Foreman Wireman	3,300
395	"	Head Janitor	3,120
396	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320
397	"	Night Foreman, Janitor	2,160
398	"	57 Janitors, at $1,860 a year	106,020
399	432 AA	4 Window Cleaners, at $2,040 each a year	8,160
400	"	Foreman Window Cleaner	2,220
401	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation	3,765
402	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall	2,160

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
403	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,860 a year	31,620	
404	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year	3,720	
405	432 AB	Relief and Vacation, all Departments	1,840	
406	"	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
407	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,640 a year	13,200	
408	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,640	
409	"	5 Firemen at $2,220 each a year	11,100	
410	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation	435	
		Total Personal Services	$234,640	
		Employment for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings (Appropriation 29½-A).		
411	432 AC	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day	$13,500	
412	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day	3,000	
413	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day	2,100	
414	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650	
415	"	6 Plumbers, at $10 per day	18,000	
416	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10 per day	6,000	
417	"	6 Painters, at $9 per day	16,200	
418	"	Foreman Painter, at $10 per day	3,000	
419	"	Painters, Shop Men, $7 per day	2,100	
420	432 AA	Painters' Apprentice, at $6 per day	1,800	
421	"	2 Tinners, $9.50 per day	5,700	
422	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day	1,950	
423	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day	1,200	
424	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
425	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day	2,400	
426	"	Glazier, at $9 per day	2,700	
427	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day	2,100	
428	"	Wireman, at $9 per day	2,700	
		Total Personal Services	$88,800	
		Non-Personal Services—		
		(Appropriation 29-B and C)		
429	432 B	Contractual Services	$3,600	
430	432 BA	Transportation Autos Rental at $40 per month	2,500	
431	432 4A	Transportation Carfare	600	
432	432 C	Materials and Supplies	19,000	
433	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	180	
		SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.		
434	432 BC	Towel Service	2,575	
435	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900	
		MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.		
436	432 CB	Fuel Oil	16,000	
437	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	6,000	
438	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$56,355	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
439	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	45,000	
440	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	10,000	

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)

441	4142 AC	Experienced Clerk	$2,700
442	"	Watchman	2,640
443	"	Watchman	2,340
444	"	Watchman	1,980
445	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year	3,720
446	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,920 each a year	3,840
447	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000
448	"	Machinist, at $9 a day	2,700
449	"	2 Blacksmiths, at $9 a day	5,400
450	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 a day	6,372
451	"	Vulcanizer, at $7 a day	2,100
452	"	Carriage Painter, at $8.50 a day	2,550
453	"	Painter's Apprentice, at $5.50 a day	1,650
454	4142 AC	2 Laborers, 365 days, at $6 a day	4,380
455	"	2 Laborers, at $6 a day	3,600
		Total Personal Services	$48,972

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

456	4142 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	$1,000
457	4142 B 4a	Single team, at $10.75 per day	3,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$4,000

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

458	455 A	Chief Engineer Building Inspection	$7,500
459	"	1 Inspector	3,300
460	"	1 Inspector	3,000
461	"	Boiler Inspector	3,000
462	"	2 Experienced Clerks, at $2,700 each	5,400
463	"	1 Inspector	2,550
464	"	10 Inspectors, at $2,700 a year	27,000
465	"	Messenger	1,560
466	"	2 Engineers, at $3,600 each	7,200
		Total Personal Services	$60,510

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

467	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000
468	"	Assistant City Engineer	4,800
469	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $3,300 a year	6,600
470	"	Surveyor	3,600
471	"	2 Surveyors at $3,300 a year	6,600

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
472	"	6 Surveyors at $3,000 a year.....	18,000	
473	"	2 Field Assistants at $3,000 a year..	6,000	
474	"	19 Field Assistants at $2,700 a year	51,300	
475	"	Draftsman	3,600	
476	"	Draftsman	3,480	
477	"	2 Draftsmen at $3,300 a year.....	6,600	
478	"	5 Draftsmen at $3,000 a year.....	15,000	
479	"	4 Draftsmen at $2,880 a year.....	11,520	
480	"	2 Draftsmen at $2,700 a year.....	5,400	
481	"	Inspector	3,300	
482	"	Inspector	3,000	
483	"	4 Inspectors at $2,700 a year.....	10,800	
484	"	Chemist	3,600	
485	"	Photographer	2,880	
486	"	Blueprinter	2,400	
487	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 a year....	4,200	
488	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
489	"	H. and P. Engineer (Seasonal) at $9.50 per day	1,000	
		Total Personal Services.....	$181,080	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)				
490	415 B	Non-personal Services	$8,000	
491	"	Block Books (Appropriation 32-C)..	4,000	
		Total Engineer's Department ..	$193,080	

Street Repair Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

492	527 AA	Superinendent	$4,500	
493	"	Assist. Superintendent	3,900	
494	"	2 Assist. Superintendents at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
495	"	Experienced Clerk	3,000	
496	"	Stenographer, 4 months	600	
		MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.		
		(Appropriation 33-B)		
497	527 A	Engineer	$2,640	
498	"	2 Firemen at $2,220 a year	4,440	
499	"	Foreman at $10 a day	3,000	
500	"	Machinist at $9 a day	2,700	
501	"	Asphalt Dryerman at $8.50 a day...	2,550	
502	"	Asphalt Mixerman at $8.50 a day...	2,550	
503	"	Asphalt Mixerman at $9.00 a day...	2,700	
504	"	2 Asphalt Finishers at $8 a day...	4,800	
505	"	3 Asphalt Workers at $7.50 a day...	6,750	
506	"	Telephone Operator	1,620	
		SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.		
507	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher at $9 a day...	1,350	
508	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers at $8 a day	2,400	
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.		
509	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters at $9.50 a day...	5,320	
510	"	5 Laborers at $6 a day.....	8,400	

BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—200 DAYS.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
511	527 AC	Foreman at $9 a day	1,800	
512	"	6 Pavers at $8 a day	9,600	
513	"	3 Rammers at $7 a day	4,200	
514	"	10 Laborers at $6 a day	12,000	
		Non-Personal Services—		
515	527	Contractual	$35,000	
516	"	Materials and Supplies	10,000	
			$141,220	

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)

517	527 A	9 Engineers, at $2,640 each a year	$23,760	
518	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,640 each a year	5,280	
519	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,860 each a year	18,600	
520	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,860 a year	3,720	
521	"	1 Carpenter, at $9 per day	2,700	
522	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day	1,950	
		Total Personal Services	$56,010	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)

523	527 B	Contractual	$1,000	
524	527 C	Material and Supplies	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$2,000	

Street Cleaning Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A)

525	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
526	"	3 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 a year	8,100	
527	"	1 Experienced Clerk	3,000	
		(Appropriation 35-A-1)		
528	502 AA	6 Watchmen at $1,860 each a year	$11,160	
529	502 AC	220 Sweepers at $6 per day	396,000	
530	"	25 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per day	56,250	
531	"	14 Gang Foremen at $7 per day	29,400	
532	"	1 Night Gang Foreman	2,250	
			$510,360	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-B)

533	502 BA	Transportation	$1,500	
534	465	10 Double Teams, Street Cleaning, at $11.50 per day	34,500	
535	502 BL	Water	3,500	
536	502 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment	10,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
537	502 C	Material and Supplies	20,000	
538	1092	Street Cans	1,200	
			$70,700	

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

539	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
540	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000
541	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700
542	501 AC	Asst Superintendent in Charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550

(Appropriation 36-A-1)

543	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day	16,500
544	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day	24,300
545	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per day	6,750
546	"	25 Sewermen at $7 per day	52,500
547	"	21 Laborers at $6 per day	37,800
548	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8.50 per day	45,900
		Total Personal Services	$196,200

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-B)

549	B	Repairs to equipment	$2,500
550	C	Materials and Supplies	26,000
551	501 AC	3 Double Teams, Sewer Repair, at $11.50 per day	10,350
552	501 BN	Rental 7 1½-ton Trucks, at $12 per day	25,200
553	501 BN	Rental 1 3-ton Truck, at $20 per day	6,000
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$70,050

Sewage Pumping Station.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)

554	501 AA	Watchman in Charge	$2,160
555	501 AB	Relief and Vacation	90
		Total Personal Services	$2,250

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)

556	501 BH	Power	$400
557	501 C	Materials and Supplies	500
558	"	Repairs and Equipment	1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$1,900

MISCELLANEOUS.
(Appropriation 38-A)

559	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200
560	555 BM	Rental 16 Autos, at $40 per month.	7,680
561	501 BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	3,000
562	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment.....	2,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
563	501 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (all Departments) (Appropriation 38-B)	12,000	
			$25,880	
		Total Board of Works		**$1,944,327**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)

564	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000
565	"	Secretary	3,000
566	"	1 Clerk	2,700
567	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100
568	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800
569	"	Chief Inspector	3,300
570	"	20 Inspectors at $3,000 each	60,000
571	"	Chief Operator	3,000
572	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600
573	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200
574	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750
575	"	Superintendent of Plant	3,600
576	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9.50 per diem	2,850
577	"	Batteryman	2,880
578	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000
579	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each	13,500
580	"	Foreman Laborer	2,340
581	"	3 Laborers at $6 per diem	5,400
582	"	2 Machinists at $2,700 each	5,400
583	"	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,880 each	8,640
584	"	14 Linemen at $2,580 each	36,120
585	"	Inside Wireman at $9 per diem	2,700
586	"	Commissary	2,100
587	"	Repairer	2,640
588	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each	4,560
		Total Personal Services	$206,180

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)

587	465 Var	Material, Supplies and Equipment, Stationery, Postage and Printing, Underground Conduit System	$30,000	
		Total Department of Electricity		**$236,180**

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)

588	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800
589	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary	4,500
590	"	Physician	2,820
591	"	Stenographer	2,580
592	452	Stenographer Clerk	1,800
593	"	Chief Engineer	7,200
594	"	2 First Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
595	"	2 Second Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
596	"	21 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	88,200	
597	"	26 Operators at $2,520 a year	65,520	
598	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440	
599	"	Fire Prevention 7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	15,120	
			$213,180	
		ENGINE COMPANIES.		
600	452 AA	48 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$135,360	
601	"	92 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	245,640	
602	"	26 Engineers at $2,640 each a year	68,640	
603	"	92 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	220,800	
604	"	340 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	816,000	
605	"	51 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	116,280	
606	"	45 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	97,200	
607		Automatic increase in salaries	4,335	
			$1,704,255	
		CHEMICAL COMPANIES.		
608	452 AA	11 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$31,020	
609	"	12 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	32,040	
610	"	22 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	52,800	
611	"	15 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	36,000	
612	"	5 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	11,400	
613	"	10 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	21,600	
614		Automatic increase in salaries	930	
			$185,790	
		TRUCK COMPANIES.		
615	452 AA	15 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$42,300	
616	"	23 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	61,410	
617	"	27 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	64,800	
618	"	1 Driver	2,280	
619	"	28 Tillermen at $2,400 each a year.	67,200	
620	"	139 Truckmen at $2,400 each a year.	333,600	
621	"	8 Truckmen at $2,280 each a year.	18,240	
622	"	11 Truckmen at $2,160 each a year.	23,760	
623		Automatic increase in salaries	660	
			$614,250	
		WATER TOWER COMPANIES.		
624	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
625	"	2 Lieutenants at $2,670	5,340	
626	452 AA	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	4,800	
627	"	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
628	"	7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	15,120	
			$35,280	
		RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.		
629	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
630	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
631	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	4,800	
632	"	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
633	"	2 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	4,560	
634	"	Automatic increase	210	
			$22,260	

PUMPING STATIONS.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
635	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720	
636	"	5 Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800	
637	"	7 Firemen at $2,340 each a year	16,380	
638	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805	
639	"	Vacation Firemen	685	
			$38,390	

DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.

640	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
641	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880	
642	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman	2,730	
643	"	11 Gatemen Hydrantmen at $2,580 each a year	28,380	
644	452 AC	1 Calker at $7.50 per day	2,250	
645	"	3 Laborers, at $6 per day each	5,400	
			$45,840	

CORPORATION YARD.

646	425 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
647	"	Clerk and Commissary	2,400	
648	"	Bookkeeper	2,400	
649	"	3 Watchmen, at $2,040 each a year	6,120	
650	425 A	1 Drayman	2,220	
651	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
652	"	Batteryman	2,700	
653	"	1 General Foreman	3,300	
654	525 AC	12 Machinists, at $9 per day	32,400	
655	"	5 Machinists' Helpers, at $6.58 per day	9,870	
656	"	4 Blacksmiths, at $9 per day	10,800	
657	"	4 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 per day	8,496	
658	"	2 Woodworkers, at $9 per day	5,400	
659	"	1 Brass Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
660	"	1 Boilermaker, at $9 per day	2,700	
661	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper, at $6.58 per day	1,974	
662	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter, at $9.50 per day	2,850	
663	525 AC	2 Carriage Painters, at $9 per day	5,400	
664	"	1 Leather Worker, at $8.50 per day	2,550	
			$110,280	
665	"	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item (Appropriation 41-A-1)	$86,430	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)

666	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$150,000
667	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings (Appropriation 41-C)	90,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
668	452 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 41-D)	2,250	
669	1092	Equipment (Appropriation 41-E)...	90,000	
			$332,250	
		Total Fire Department		**$3,388,205**

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)

670	451 AA	4 Commissioners, at $1,200 each a year	$4,800
671	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
672	"	Confidential Clerk	2,400
673	"	Stenographer	3,000
674	"	Chief of Police	7,200
675	"	Chief Clerk	3,600
676	"	1 Property Clerk	3,600
677	"	Stenographer	1,800
678	"	Physician	2,400
679	"	6 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	10,800
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.	
680	"	Captain of Detectives.....	$5,000
681	"	6 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	18,000
682	"	68 Detective Sergeants, at $2,760 a year	187,680
683	"	Stenographer	2,760
684	"	Photographer	2,700
685	"	3 Women Protective Officers, at $2,400 each a year	7,200
		UNIFORM FORCE.	
681	"	Captain of Traffic.....	$4,000
687	"	14 Captains, at $3,600 each a year...	50,400
688	"	35 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	105,000
689	"	88 Sergeants, at $2,640 each a year	232,320
690	"	119 Corporals, at $2,580 each a year	307,020
691	"	950 Patrolmen, at $2,400 each a year	2,280,000
692	451 AA	27 Patrol Drivers, at $2,400 each a year	64,800
693	"	10 Hostlers, at $2,160 each a year ...	21,600
694	"	4 Matrons, at $2,100 each a year ...	8,400
695	"	Matron's Relief	1,312
696	"	Cook	2,340
697	"	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year	7,200
698	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760
699	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760
700	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760
		Total Personal Services	$3,359,212

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)

701	451 B	Contractual Service	$25,000
702	451 C	Material and Supplies	50,000
703	1092	Equipment Teletype, Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-D)	42,500

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
704	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
705	1092 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 42-E)	12,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services..	$138,500	
		Total Police Department.....		**$3,497,712**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)

706	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000
707	"	Chief Clerk	4,200
708	"	Stenographer	2,580
709	"	3 Stenographers, at $2,280 a year	6,840
710	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560
711	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800
712	"	2 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	3,600
713	467 AB	Relief Telephone Operator	400
		ACCOUNTING.	
714	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200
715	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560
		STATISTICS.	
716	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600
717	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280
718	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280
719	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.	
720	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector	$2,700
721	"	7 Veterinarians, at $2,400 each a year	16,800
722	"	16 Market Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	38,400
		OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.	
723	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	$10,800
724	"	1 Disinfector	2,400
725	"	1 Disinfector	2,220
726	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.	1,200
		CLINICS.	
727	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000
728	"	Psychologist	1,800
729	"	2 Assistant Psychologists at $1,500 each	3,000
730	"	Assistant Psychologist	900
731	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200
732	"	Psychologist	600
733	"	Social Service Worker	1,800
734	"	Physician	2,100
735	"	Janitress	1,500
		BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.	
736	476 AA	Director of Laboratories	$3,600
737	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
738	"	2 Bacteriologists, at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
739	"	1 Bacteriologist	2,100	
740	"	Technician	1,500	
741	"	Clerk	2,280	
742	"	2 Helpers at $720 each a year	1,440	

Conservation of Child Life.

SCHOOL INSPECTION.

743	476 AA	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000	
744	"	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
745	"	4 School Inspectors (part time) at $1,800 each a year	7,200	
746	"	Optometrist	1,500	

DENTAL.

747	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000	
748	"	3 Dental Hygienists at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
749	"	11 Dentists at $1,200 each a year	13,200	
750	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600	

CHILD WELFARE.

751	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000	
752	"	Pediatrician	480	
753	"	Pediatrician	240	
754	"	Physician	1,500	

Food Inspection.

MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.

755	476 AA	2 Veterinarians at $3,600 each a year	$7,200	
756	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000	

OTHER FOOD CONTROL.

757	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	3,600	
758	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	16,800	

CHEMICAL LABORATORY.

759	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000	
760	"	Chemist	2,700	
761	"	Technician	1,080	

Protection of Life and Property.

PLUMBING INSPECTION.

762	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector	$3,600	
763	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors, at $2,820 each a year	16,920	

HOUSING INSPECTION.

764	457 A	Tenement House Inspector	$3,000	
765	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
766	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	6,300	

FACTORY INSPECTION.

767	458 A	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	
768	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980	

REFUSE COLLECTION.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
769	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each.....	$4,200	

CHARITIES AND RELIEF.

770	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year..	$7,200	
771	"	1 City Physician	3,000	

FIELD NURSING.

772	476 A	Director	$3,600	
773	"	2 Supervisors School Medical Inspection at $2,280 each.....	4,560	
774	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280	
775	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280	
776	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau	4,560	

Nurses.

TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.

777	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year.....	$15,840	
778	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800	

SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.

779	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year...	$59,400	
780	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100	

CHILD WELFARE.

781	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes	$1,980	
782	"	10 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year.....	19,800	

SOCIAL SERVICE.

783	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980	
784	"	4 Social Service Workers at $1,980.	7,920	
785	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620	

TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.

786	476 A	Director	$4,200	
787	"	Physician	600	
788	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020	
789	"	Cook—Michael Angelo School.....	720	
		Total Personal Services.....	$436,900	
790	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	$6,250	
791	"	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	14,000	

RAT EXTERMINATION.

(Appropriation 43-D)

792	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280	
793	476 B	Carfare	225	
Non-Personal—				
794	476 C	Material and Supplies.....	525	
795	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-E)	33,850	
796	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment (Appropriation 43-F)	50,000	
797	476 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 43-G)	15,800	
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$125,930	

Laguna Honda Home.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
798	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
799	"	Clerk	3,000	
800	"	Stenographer	2,280	
801	"	Telephone Operator	900	
802	"	Watchman	1,500	
803	"	Physician	2,400	
804	"	Physician	1,800	
805	"	Pharmacist	2,400	
806	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800	
807	"	20 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	24,000	
808	"	1 Practical Nurse	840	
809	"	4 Practical Nurses at $600 each	2,400	
810	"	2 Vocational Therapeutic Nurses at $1,500 each	3,000	
811	"	Dietician	1,500	
812	"	Orderly	720	
813	"	Orderlies as required	16,740	
814	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation	100	
815	"	Commissary	2,400	
816	"	Laundryman	2,268	
817	"	Laundress	1,524	
818	552 AA	Laundryman	600	
819	"	Chief Steward	2,400	
820	"	3 Stewards, at $1,680 each a year	5,040	
821	"	1 Steward	1,380	
822	"	Head Matron	2,400	
823	"	Matron	1,020	
824	"	Seamstress	1,380	
825	"	Seamstress	1,080	
826	"	Practical Nurse	1,080	
826a	"	4 Wardmen at $480 each a year	1,920	
827	"	Chief Cook	2,280	
828	"	Cook	2,100	
829	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940	
830	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
831	"	Butcher	2,520	
832	"	Butcher, Relief	105	
833	"	Baker	1,980	
833a	"	Dining Room Steward	1,680	
834	"	Farmer	1,920	
835	"	Farmer	1,800	
836	"	Engineer	2,520	
837	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,520 each a year	5,040	
838	"	Driver	1,800	
839	552 AA	Gardener	1,800	
840	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900	
841	"	Inmate Help	28,484	
		Total Personal Services	$159,141	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)

842	552 B	Contractual Services	6,000	
843	552 C	Materials and Supplies	284,750	
844	"	Equipment	10,000	
			$300,750	

Isolation Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
845	544 AA	Superintendent	$2,400	
846	"	Office Attendant	840	
847	"	Watchman	1,740	
848	"	Night Watchman	1,320	
849	504 AC	Relief Night Watchman	207	
850	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $540 each	1,620	
851	"	Resident Physician	4,200	
852	"	House Officer	300	
853	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year	240	
854	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400	
855	"	Practical Nurse	600	
856	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600	
857	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year	2,160	
858	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160	
859	554 AA	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152	
860	"	Seamstress	1,080	
861	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200	
862	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,240	
863	"	7 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,780	
864	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460	
865	544 AA	Cook	2,100	
866	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175	
867	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720	
868	"	3 Kitchen Helpers at $540 each a year	1,620	
869	"	Dishwasher	540	
870	"	Waitress	1,140	
871	544 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45	
872	544 AA	Gardener	1,200	
		Total Personal Services	$55,239	

San Francisco Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

873	554 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
874	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700	
875	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B.	2,400	
876	"	Clerk	3,000	
877	"	Stenographer	1,920	
878	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each	1,800	
879	"	Statistician	2,280	
880	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200	
881	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
882	"	Office Attendant, T. B.	900	
883	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
884	"	Night Telephone Operator	1,080	
885	"	Night Telephone Operator	840	
886	"	Telephone Operator	1,500	
887	"	Watchman	1,620	
888	"	Watchman, T. B.	1,320	
889	"	Watchman	720	
890	"	Teamster	2,040	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
891	"	Messenger	540	
892	"	Institutional Help	3,000	
893	"	Resident Physician	1,500	
894	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600	
895	"	30 Internes at $120 a year	3,600	
896	"	Pharmacist	3,000	
897	"	Assistant Pharmacist	2,700	
898	"	Stockman	900	
899	"	Institutional Help	480	
900	"	Dentist	1,200	
901	"	Radiologist	3,300	
902	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800	
903	"	2 X-Ray Technicians at $1,200 each	2,400	
904	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,200	
905	"	Operating Room Nurse	2,100	
906	544 AA	Asst. Operating Room Nurse	1,320	
907	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
908	"	Anaesthetist	1,800	
909	"	2 Anaesthetists at $1,500 each	3,000	
910	"	Plaster Cast Maker	900	
911	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year	3,240	
912	"	Superintendent of Nurses	3,000	
913	"	3 Asst. Supt of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
914	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T B	1,380	
915	"	Supervisor of Admissions	1,380	
916	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year	6,000	
917	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500	
918	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900	
919	"	Assistant Practical Nurse	720	
920	"	76 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	91,200	
921	"	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each	2,640	
922	"	Practical Nurses	18,000	
923	"	Orderlies	30,000	
924	"	Orderlies, T. B.	1,450	
925	"	Office Assistant Supt of Nurses	540	
926	"	Medical Advisor	1,500	
927	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000	
928	"	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500	
929	"	4 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	4,800	
930	"	2 Practical Nurses at $600 each a year	1,200	
931	"	2 Practical Nurses at $540 each	1,080	
932	"	Porter	540	
933	"	Pantrymaid	540	
934	"	4 Orderlies at $600 each a year	2,400	
935	"	Orderly	540	
936	"	Commissary	3,600	
937	"	Storekeeper	1,800	
938	"	5 Storekeeper Helpers	2,000	

LAUNDRY.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
939	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300	
940	"	Washer	1,830	
941	"	Wringer	1,636	
942	"	Starcher	1,416	
943	"	Washer's Helper	1,380	
944	"	5 Ironers at $1,176 each a year	5,880	
945	"	3 Ironers at $1,128 each a year	3,384	
946	"	4 Dry Room Workers at $1,104 each a year	4,416	
947	"	Deliveryman	480	
948	"	Deliveryman	540	
949	554 AA	Marker	1,524	
950	"	Sorter	1,524	
951	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116	
952	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104	
953	"	13 Mangle Hands at $1,020 each a year	13,260	
954	"	2 Porters	1,020	
955	"	Seamstress	540	

HOUSEKEEPING.

956	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,320	
957	"	4 Seamstresses at $660 each	2,640	
958	"	Surgery Porter	900	
959	"	Lockerman	600	
960	"	Morgueman	600	
961	"	Morgueman	540	
962	"	Mattress Maker	600	
963	"	Incineratorman	540	
964	"	Sterilizerman	600	
965	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000	
966	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080	
967	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600	
968	"	Window Washer	600	
969	"	Window Washer	720	
970	"	25 Wardmen	15,000	
971	"	40 Porters	19,200	
972	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500	

CULINARY.

973	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280	
974	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100	
975	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100	
976	"	5 Cooks at $1,980 each	9,900	
977	"	Night Cook	1,380	
978	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100	
979	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500	
980	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
981	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,320 each a year	21,120	
982	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000	

POWER PLANT.

983	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,420	

MAINTENANCE.

984	"	4 Engineers at $2,520 each a year	10,080	
985	"	3 Firemen at $2,220 each a year	6,660	
986	554AB	Firemen Relief	2,220	
987	554 AA	Helper	540	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
988	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year	5,700	
989	"	Gardener	1,680	
990	"	Assistant Gardener	720	
991	"	7 Yardmen	4,200	
992	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,500	
		Total Personal Services	$488,500	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)

993	554 B	Contractual	$55,000	
994	554 C	Materials and Supplies	155,000	
995	554 CO	Foodstuff	265,000	
996	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225	
997	1092	Equipment	10,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$487,225	

Emergency Hospitals.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)

998	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000	
999	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700	
1000	"	Chief Steward	3,000	
1001	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1002	"	10 Surgeons at $2,400 each a year	24,000	
1003	"	23 Stewards at $2,400 each a year	55,200	
1004	"	13 Nurses at $1,980 each a year	25,740	
1005	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1006	"	16 Ambulance Drivers at $2,400 each a year	38,400	
1007	"	Messenger	1,200	
1008	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	
1009	"	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1010	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	$167,640	
1011	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	6,750	
1012	5541 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	18,250	
1013	5541 H	Fixed Charges (Appropriation 47-C)	500	
		Total	$25,500	
		Total Board of Health		$2,246,825

CHARTER FUNDS AND STATE LAWS.

1014	612	For Playground Fund, .07 in tax rate (Appropriation 48)	$560,000
1015	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 49)	$800,000
1016	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 50)	$4,444,684
1017	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 51)	$430,000
1018	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 52)	$300,000
1019	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 53)	$519,230

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1020	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 54)		$160,000
1021	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 55)		$17,000
1022	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Appropriation 56)		$50,000
1023	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 57).		$218,735
1024	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 58)		$70,000
1025	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 59)		$70,000
		TOTAL BUDGET.....		$25,656,362

Public Hearing.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Board of Supervisors meet on Wednesday, May 23, 1928, at 10 a. m for the purpose of hearing the public on the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1928-1929, and to proceed and consider the items thereof if it is deemed advisable.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk get in touch with absent members by letter or wire advising that on Friday at 10 a. m. the budget will be taken up on passage to print.

Motion *carried.*

MAYOR'S VETO.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 28834 (New Series), granting supply station permit to Ray McGrath and Mrs. N. Maloney at southeast corner of Twenty-eighth avenue and Judah street, was *continued one week.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Laundering Towels for School Department During Fiscal Year 1928-29.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for laundering towels for School Department during Fiscal Year 1928-29 and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Machinery Equipment and Tools for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing machinery equipment and tools for School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Committee on N. S. G. W. Session in San Francisco.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
May 15, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the authority conferred upon me by Resolution 28990 (New Series) I hereby appoint Supervisors Charles J. Powers, Dr. J. M. Toner and J. Emmet Hayden as a committee to present an invitation to the Grand Parlor of the Native Sons of the Golden West at its session in Redding from May 21st to 25th to hold its next annual session in 1929 in this city.

Respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Ordered *filed.*

ISLAIS CREEK RECLAMATION HEARING—11 A. M.

At 11 a. m. further consideration was given to protests against the assessment for Islais Creek Reclamation Project.

Theo. Savage, attorney representing Wm. Taaffe & Co., Reinhart Lumber Co. and P. J. Reilly, examined M. J. Levy, engineer for the Reclamation District, and H. W. Crozier, commissioner of the Islais Creek Reclamation District, who, being duly sworn, testified as to the method of assessment of damages and benefits.

Allan G. Wright, attorney for the Islais Creek Reclamation District, was also heard.

Exhibits Filed.

The following exhibits were filed by Attorney Savage:

Exhibit 1: Statement of City property showing area in square feet of certain lots in the assessment district.

Exhibit 2: Detailed assessment sheet showing properties of Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Co.,

Henry Windt, Wm. Taaffe & Co. and Sterling Investment Co.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further hearing of the foregoing matter was *continued until May 28, at 2 p. m.*

War Memorial Opera House.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

Dr. Swan's Report.

San Francisco, California,
May 1, 1928.

Mr. John S. Drum, Chairman of S. F. War Memorial Committee, American Trust Company, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir:

Confirming my verbal report made to your committee yesterday afternoon regarding the acoustics of the proposed new opera house, let me present herewith my views of the problem as it now stands.

There are three chief acoustical problems which must be carefully studied with regard to this auditorium. These consist of (1) reverberation, (2) echo, (3) intensity.

By reverberation we mean prolongation and general confusion of sound existing in a room where the interior surfaces are so reflecting and little absorbent of sound as to cause a given sound wave to be reflected back and forth several hundred times before losing its energy. This is somewhat analogous to the diffuse reflection of light in a room with light-colored surfaces.

Echo is a focusing of the sound waves chiefly by curved surfaces in such a way as to produce a more or less distinct sound image which is heard at an interval after the original sound has reached the ear. It is a condition existing in localized spots and may exist as a distinctly-heard repetition of the original sound or may reach the ear at so short an interval as to overlap the original sound and produce a blur. In the latter case it is sometimes loosely termed "interference" although it is not strictly such in the scientific meaning of the word. This condition is, however, chiefly responsible for the so-called "dead spots" in an auditorium. Echo is analogous to the specular reflection of light from mirrors.

By intensity we mean the loudness of the sound. In an open field the loudness of sound diminishes very rapidly with increasing distance from the source. In an auditorium, on account of the reflection from the enclosing surfaces of walls and ceiling, sound does not die out as rapidly with the distance, but if the auditorium is very large and if there is considerable absorption of sound by the clothing of the audience and the furnishings, it may become a serious problem in comfortable hearing at a distance from the stage. Much depends in this respect on the proper design of the building. Its analogy in light is the amount of illumination.

The factors affecting the conditions outlined above are the size and shape of the auditorium, the character of the interior finish and furnishings and the number of persons present.

Size affects all of the conditions mentioned. The reverberation is directly proportional to the volume of the room, consequently the larger an auditorium the greater the amount of reverberation and the amount of confusion produced. If echoes exist they become more marked with increasing dimensions. As already noted, the carrying power of sound becomes a more and more serious problem the larger the auditorium. Furthermore, if the increased reverberation is cut down by absorption, the problem of maintaining the intensity is correspondingly made more difficult, and vice versa. In every auditorium there must be a compromise, or a mean condition established, whereby the reverberation is kept down to a reasonable amount without too seriously affecting the loudness. The smaller the auditorium the more nearly perfect this adjustment becomes. With increasing size, however, it becomes more and more difficult to reach a solution which is perfectly satisfactory on both counts. For this reason it is desirable to keep the seating capacity and consequent size of the proposed opera house as small as is consistent with considerations other than the acoustics.

The shape of the auditorium will also affect all of the acoustical considerations previously outlined. The amount of the reverberation will depend to a large degree upon the design and resultant distribution of the audience. The elimination of echoes will evidently be dependent upon the proper contour and arrangement of the walls and ceiling. The carrying power of the sound will depend on the proper disposition of the interior surfaces in such a way as to reflect the sound to those portions of the auditorium where it is most needed. On account of all of these considerations it is desirable to avoid as far as possible curved surfaces, and, wherever they must be used, to study their curvature so as to elimi-

nate any possible echoes arising from them. It is also desirable to keep the height and width as small as is consistent with other considerations.

The design with the curved ceiling which you have considered and which has been approved by Professor Knudsen was developed, I understand, through the necessity of providing sufficient carrying power for the sound in an auditorium of unusually large seating capacity. It has, however, certain inherent dangers arising from the problem of echo. The curvature of that portion of the ceiling in front of the proscenium will produce a strong reflected secondary wave which will reach that portion of the audience seated on the floor in front of the boxes at an appreciable interval after the primary wave has passed and is likely to produce a serious "dead spot" in this region. Furthermore, the upper part of the ceiling will converge the sound waves and concentrate their energy on the audience in the rear of the upper balcony, tending to produce a megaphonic quality to the sound heard there. The curvature of these walls is also of a dangerous radius and the width is also far too great. I think you would be taking an unwarranted risk in building an auditorium of this sort.

I have talked with Professor Knudsen concerning these points and he agrees with me that if the size of the house can be reduced another type and design can be used with greater certainty of ultimate success. He has previously placed himself on record as favoring a smaller house.

With the idea of producing a more nearly ideal auditorium acoustically, I have made certain suggestions which have been embodied to the tentative sketch submitted to you at the meeting yesterday. Through reducing the width of the auditorium to a safe limit it has been necessary to eliminate a portion of the seats, producing a house of capacity of 3500 to 3600. This is still a very large house for opera but I feel that it will be safe for you to proceed on this basis with the type of design which I have recommended. This design adheres to forms which have been proven by long experience to be satisfactory. It keeps the volume down to a reasonable minimum for a house of large capacity, it reduces the width to the limit of safety, it keeps the ceiling reasonably low, it distributes the audience adequately and keeps it within reasonable distance of the stage and it has no curved surfaces to produce echo. It will produce a house which for its size will have a far more intimate quality than any of the designs hitherto proposed and it lends itself particularly well to monumental architectural effect. Considering the problems of reverberation, echo and intensity, it is a solution with respect to size and shape which is probably the best which can be reached with such a large seating capacity. If the capacity must be maintained at 4000 seats, a radical change will be necessary in the design involving an increase in height and in depth with the addition of a third balcony, all of which will seriously affect the problems involved and will result in a house not so intimate. The gain in seating capacity will be far more than counterbalanced by the loss in acoustical quality and to my mind is not justified. The cost of a larger house will also be considerably greater.

As regards the question of interior finish, this is a matter which must be takes up at a later stage in order to adjust the reverberation to a proper degree. It will be largely a matter of deciding upon the materials to be used for walls and ceiling and the quality and type of furnishings used.

I also wish to direct attention to the cyclorama proposed for the stage. If anything of this sort is used, care must be taken as to its form. It must not have a curvature which is segmental, that is the arc of a circle. If such a device is used it must consist of a plain rear wall and side walls connected by an arc of short radius. It should not be built of lath and plaster in permanent form which will necessitate its being raised into the flies but should be of painted canvas which can be rolled on a vertical axis and removed through the wings. The shape is important as affecting the acoustics of the house through the reflected sound. Its construction is important as avoiding the necessity of a high gridiron. If the cyclorama is of permanent construction which must be raised, the gridiron will be forced to such a height as to increase the stage volume too greatly.

To sum up, I recommend a house of conservative architectural design, of width not greater than 125 feet and of seating capacity not over 3600. Should it be decided to reduce either the width or the seating capacity still further, such reduction will be in the direction of

still better conditions for intimate effects, and are to be commended.

Very truly yours,
(Signed)
CLIFFORD M. SWAN.

Communication From Redfern Mason.

Do you want the War Memorial to be built of stone, matching the City Hall, or of cheap terra cotta?

If the 3500 size is adopted, terra cotta must be used, or more money will be needed.

Vote for an opera house of 3000 capacity and you will enable San Francisco eventually to become the operatic capital of the United States. Vote for a larger house and you take away her chance.

Fifty years from now San Francisco will have a population of two millions.

We want opera sung by Californians. The finest voices in the world are here in the West. They should be heard in a normal sized house.

The compromise of 3500 is artistically wrong. It is impossible to make first-class opera in a house of over 3000 capacity that conforms with the American fire laws.

More than that, the idea is financially unsound. It is impossible to count on a succession of large audiences. Even New York, with its seven million people only fills the Metropolitan Opera (3500) on gala nights.

The only house which people will make a habit of attending, as they attended the old Tivoli, is one in which the man in the gallery can see and hear as well as the man in the stalls.

Why do Americans spend thousands of dollars annually to hear opera in Munich and Berlin? Because the houses are intimate, because the audience is one great artistic family. That is impossible in a house of 3500.

We are asked for a large house in order that our opera may pay its way. The idea is basically vicious. The San Francisco opera of today is good as a beginning, but public taste is demanding something better. We have expensive artists from abroad and we pay them by economising on rehearsals. The result is imperfect ensemble.

Good opera does not pay, cannot pay; it is education and education only pays as culture.

The taxpayers have voted their four millions; they are entitled to consideration.

The Supervisors are today the guardians of the artistic conscience of the community. It is their chance to be wise for the whole City.

To come to a right decision they should have before them the findings of the acousticians and the architects and the figures furnished by the Opera Association.

REDFERN MASON.

War Memorial Trustees' Resolution.

The following resolution was passed by the Trustees of San Francisco War Memorial on May 3, 1928:

Whereas, the War Memorial Committee, acting upon the suggestion of Arthur Brown and G. Albert Lansburgh, the architects of the new Opera House in the Memorial Group, requested Clifford M. Swan, the recognized national expert on acoustics, at present engaged in planning the new Metropolitan Opera House in New York, to come to San Francisco to collaborate with the architects in designing the size, shape and seating arrangement most suitable for insuring perfect acoustic properties in the San Francisco Opera House; and

Whereas, Mr. Swan has made a detailed study and examination in conjunction with the architects and has submitted a comprehensive report and recommendation in which the opinion is expressed that with the area available for the Opera House, perfect acoustic properties can only be assured in an interior of the shape and dimensions set out in the report, with a seating capacity of 3500 and standing room for 700, providing a total capacity of 4200; therefore,

Resolved, That the Trustees of the War Memorial, acting upon the report and advice of Mr. Swan and the architects, approve the plan as set out in their report and recommend its adoption; and that the Trustees submit the plan and report to the Board of Supervisors for adoption by them; and

Further Resolved, That Mr. McSheehy, one of the members of the War Memorial Committee, is authorized and requested, on behalf of the Trustees, to present the recommendation and report of Mr. Swan and the architects to the Board of Supervisors at their next meeting and to recommend its adoption by them.

Progress Report on Plans.

Opera House.

During the past week the plans of the Opera House have been subjected to considerable revision on account of the recommendations of Mr. Swan regarding the acoustic problem. These recommendations

called for a reduction of the auditorium room width from 144 feet to 123 feet and length from 156 feet to 147 feet and a lowering of the ceiling from about 80 feet to 65 feet. The gross width of the Opera House will now be either 10 or 15 feet less than formerly, i. e., 210 or 215 feet instead of 225 feet.

There will be a corresponding reduction in width in the Veterans' Building of 10 or 15 feet, and this reduction will be added to the Memorial Court, making it 150 or 160 feet wide instead of 130 feet. In making this reduction in the size of the Opera House an attempt has been made to retain the vital elements of the plans and exterior as they were developed in the larger building. In this we have been completely successful, and in several respects our plan now is superior in arrangement to the former one.

The exact reduction in seating capacity as compared to the 4045 seat plan is as follows:

	Old Plan	New Plan	Difference
Orchestra seats	1456	1244	−212
Boxes	25 @ 8= 200	27 @ 8= 216	+ 16
Dress circle—			
Below cross aisle	1115	310	−189
Above cross aisle		616	
Balcony—			
Below cross aisle	1274	448	
Above cross aisle		622	−204
Total	4045	3456	−589

It may be mentioned that each and every box will have immediately to its rear a retiring room or vestibule entering from the private box foyer on the mezzanine level. These boxes will form a complete horseshoe, extending practically to the proscenium wall.

The rear stage arrangements will not be materially changed from Mr. Agninis and Mr. Ansaldo's recommendations except perhaps for the height of the stage gridiron, which may be lowered.

The increased width of the Memorial Court renders much more feasible the possibility of using the sub-court space for automobile access to the Opera basement under cover and at the same time without detracting from the monumental character of the Memorial Court. The accompanying plan shows the arrangement proposed. The extra cost of this subway, including excavation and reinforced concrete construction, would be about $60,000.

This scheme has been examined and favorably received by the Traffic Police Department and also by Mr. McClintock's engineers.

Our small scale studies of the revised Opera plan are almost complete and we are ready to resume ⅛-inch scale plans.

Veterans' Building.

The small scale plans of this building are being studied now based on the reduction called for by the revised Opera plan. On account of this reduction the floor area usable now by the Legion would be 98,020 square feet instead of 105,760, as was agreed upon March 16, 1928. If this loss has to be made up it will be necessary to continue the building northwards on Franklin street up to and including the corner return on McAllister street. The gain of $83,000, approximately, on the reduction of width would therefore be about offset by the additional cubage.

John S. Drum, chairman of San Francisco War Memorial Committee, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board at length relative to the foregoing matter.

Resolution Deferred.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and, on his motion, *made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m., Monday, May* 28, 1928:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendation of the War Memorial Trustees, that the proposed Opera House to be erected as part of the War Memorial group of buildings shall have a seating capacity of thirty-five hundred is hereby approved.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that in accordance with the suggestion of Mr. Drum that the Mayor be authorized to appoint two members of the Board of Supervisors to membership on the San Francisco War Memorial Committee and that the Clerk notify veteran organiza-

tions to attend next hearing in Board Monday, May 28, 1928.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing on recommendation of the Finance Committee, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28994 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(1) Citizens' Committee, United States Fleet Reception, Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, expense for account of reception to officers and men of visiting U. S. Fleet (claim dated May 14, 1928), $2,973.58.

Park Fund.

(2) M. Boden, rental of truck for use in Golden Gate Park (claim dated May 11, 1928), $540.

(3) Sevin-Vincent Seed Co., ammonium sulphate for parks (claim dated May 11, 1928), $640.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(4) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work, plastering on the Sunset-Duboce Avenue Tunnel (claim dated May 8, 1928), $4,335.91.

(5) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work, Sunset-Duboce Avenue Tunnel (claim dated May 8, 1928), $4,777.26.

(6) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, twenty-first payment, construction of Sunset-Duboce Avenue Tunnel (claim dated May 8, 1928), $10,000.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) American Studios, stage fittings and draperies for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated May 7, 1928), $4,050.

(8) Heywood-Wakefield Co., auditorium chairs, Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated May 8, 1928), $11,841.48.

(9) Latourette-Fical Co., plumbing and gas-fitting for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated May 8, 1928), $1,000.

(10) Scott Co., final payment, mechanical equipment, Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated May 8, 1928), $1,000.

(11) Joseph & Stone, tenth payment, architectural services for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated May 9, 1928), $2,957.32.

Special School Tax.

(12) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for South Side High School (claim dated May 8, 1928), $1,000.

(13) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for month of April (claim dated May 9, 1928), $1,300.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) Market Street Railway Co., Municipal Railway's proportion of cost of repairs, etc., at Ferry loop (claim dated April 27, 1928), $1,395.10.

(15) Panama Lamp and Commercial Co., mazda lamps and headlight lamps (claim dated April 27, 1928), $590.63.

(16) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 8, 1928), $1,935.58.

(17) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., electric supplies (claim dated May 5, 1928), $860.57.

County Road Fund.

(18) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, furnishing and placing steel tie-rod anchorage at Third and Channel bridge (claim dated May 9, 1928), $5,100.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(19) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated April 26, 1928), $1,753.13.

(20) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated April 30, 1928), $1,123.20.

(21) Shell Company of California,

gasoline, oils and grease (claim dated April 30, 1928), $507.27.

(22) United States Steel Products Co., copper cable (claim dated April 30, 1928), $2,728.03.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(23) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam for the Great Highway (claim dated May 11, 1928), $2,079.77.

(24) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure for Great Highway (claim dated May 11, 1928), $584.26.

(25) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam for the Great Highway (claim dated May 11, 1928), $694.23.

(26) Federal Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section B, Contract 3 (claim dated May 9, 1928), $2,100.

(27) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, construction of storm drain for Bay Shore boulevard crossing Alemany boulevard, Contract 2 (claim dated May 9, 1928), $6,900.

(28) Eaton & Smith, first payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Section B, Contract 4 (claim dated May 9, 1928), $8,700.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(29) The Pelton Water Wheel Co., repairs to Early Intake turbines (claim dated May 4, 1928), $798.

(30) State Compensation Insurance Fund, employees insurance premium (claim dated May 3, 1928), $514.05.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(31) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated May 11, 1928), $8,037.55.

(32) Eureka Benevolent Association, widows' pensions (claim dated May 11, 1928), $1,158.10.

(33) Little Children's Aid, widows' (claim dated May 11, 1928), $8,519.29.

(34) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 2, 1928), $1,164.52.

(35) Enterprise Foundry Co., manhole frames, covers, etc. (claim dated May 2, 1928), $725.37.

(36) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe (claim dated May 3, 1928), $869.50.

(37) Bureau of Sewer Repair, Appropriation 36-A-1, reimbursement for labor furnished during February and March for construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer (claim dated April 26, 1928), $4,282.60.

(38) Bureau of Sewer Repair, Appropriation 36-B, reimbursement for materials furnished during February and March for construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer (claim dated April 26, 1928), $2,656.54.

(39) Federal Construction Co., third payment, construction of extension of Thirtieth avenue, Sunset, sewer (claim dated May 9, 1928), $6,000.

(40) Schultz Construction Co., first payment, for improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta avenue to Adam street, extended (claim dated May 9, 1928), $11,400.

(41) Board of Public Works, for 100 traffic signal hoods furnished (claim dated March 31, 1928), $568.99.

(42) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for April (claim dated May 14, 1928), $56,096.61.

(43) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated May 14, 1928), $1,075.

(44) Chas. Brown & Sons, cups, dishes, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1928), $2,784.98.

(45) Dion R. Holm, legal services for May, telephone litigation (claim dated May 9, 1928), $850.

(46) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, telephone litigation (claim dated May 9, 1928), $750.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon—5.

Appropriations for Lands for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28995 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit

(1) To Andrew Wilkie, for land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, distant thereon 180 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly and along said line of Twenty-second avenue 206 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 206 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1048, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28937, New Series (claim dated May 14, 1928), $14,000.

(2) To Havelock Fourness, for land commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line

of Anza street and the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, running thence southerly along said line of Thirty-second avenue 100 feet; thence at a right easterly 79 feet 3⅞ inches to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence northwesterly along said last described line 100 feet 6¼ inches, more or less, to the southerly line of Anza street, and thence westerly along said line of Anza street 69 feet 2 inches to point of commencement; being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28936, New Series (claim dated May 14, 1928), $9,500.

(3) To Emily M. Pfister, for land commencing at point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 50 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 95 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 95 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28661, New Series (claim dated May 14, 1928), $1,150.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Appropriations, Furniture, Etc., for School Department.

Resolution No. 28996 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Special School Tax.

(1) For the purchase of furniture and equipment for the Everett Junior High School, $47,850.95.

(2) For cost of installing electrical fixtures in the Portola Junior High School, $2,200.

(3) For engineering expenses in connection with the remodeling of the Harrison School for warehouse purposes, in accordance with preliminary plans approved by the Board of Education, $500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(4) For purchase of office furniture and equipment, gymnasium and shop equipment and microscopes for the Galileo High School, $7,000.

(5) For purchase of office furniture and equipment, gymnasium, general shop and electric shop equipment and microscopes for the Mission High School, $10,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $1,000, for Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, and authorized credited to Appropriation 46-A, San Francisco Hospital, for the employment of ten additional nurses in the San Francisco Hospital for the month of May, 1928.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing on recommendation of the City Planning Committee were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chestnut Street, West of Fillmore Street.

Bill No. 8529, Ordinance No. 8037 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Chestnut street, distant thereon 110 feet westerly from Fillmore street and running thence westerly for a distance of 27 feet 6

inches and to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Thirty-second Avenue.

Bill No. 8530, Ordinance No. 8038 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6641 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along Thirty-second avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6641 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along Thirty-second avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly to a point 75 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 12 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly 425 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Thirty-first Avenue.

Bill No. 8531, Ordinance No. 8039 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6848 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along the westerly side of Thirty-first avenue between Fulton street and Cabrillo street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6848 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along the westerly side of Thirty-first avenue between Fulton street and Cabrillo street is hereby amended to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly to Cabrillo street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Amending Set-back Line Ordinance, Thirty-sixth Avenue.

Bill No. 8532, Ordinance No. 8040 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6526 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa street and Taraval street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 6526 (New Series), as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along Thirty-sixth avenue between Ulloa street and Taraval street is hereby amended to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at Ulloa street and running thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 11 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 28997 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Cox Bros., west side of Fillmore street, 236 feet south of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cox Bros., west side of Fillmore street, 199 feet south of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Crothers, east side of Broderick street, 150 feet north of Bush street, 1500 gallons capactiy.

Enterprise Oil Burner Co., No. 1 Rockdale drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Haas & Sons, west side of Grace street, 100 feet north of Howard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

La Salle Dairy Lunch, 1172 Market street, 600 gallons capacity.

McCormack Realty Co., northwest corner of Jones and Bernard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Olson, west side of Noe street, 120 feet south of Market street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. B. Russi, southwest corner of London street and Geneva avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stein & Kreig, north side of Page street, 50 feet west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Ed L. Trevett, 2414 San Bruno avenue, 20-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28998 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Le Roy De Marta be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 1723 Union street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Resolution No. 28999 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. von Borstel be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Otto A. Rohde and H. Norman by Resolution No. 11576 (New Series) for premises on the south side of Market street, 238 feet east of Castro street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Resolution No. 29000 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. D. Campbell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 256 Turk street, known as "Granada Garage."

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 29001 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas J. Beales be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile parking station permit heretofore granted Finneran and Cissell by Resolution No. 25063 (New Series) for premises at Ninth and Stevenson streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 29002 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eva Rosenstein is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 103 Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Blasting Permits.

Resolution No. 29003 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situated on the southeast corner of Bryant and Alameda streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Resolution No. 29004 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Guerin Bros. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property bounded by Twenty-second, Twenty-third, Arkansas and Missouri streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Guerin Bros., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Underground District, Buena Vista Terrace.

On recommendation of the Lighting Committee:

Bill No. 8535, Ordinance No. 8041 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1v.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1v. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after July 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 27, Buena Vista Terrace from Roosevelt way to Fourteenth street, 250 feet, wires to be placed underground during reconstruction of street.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Underground Ordinance, Geary Street Between Fillmore and Divisadero Streets.

Bill No. 8534, Ordinance No. 8042 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second

Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1u.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1u. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after October 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 26—Geary street from the west side of Fillmore street to the east side of Divisadero street, approximately 1850 feet; wires to be placed underground during reconstruction of street.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Amending Ordinance Requiring Second-hand Automobile Dealers to Keep Record of Purchases.

On recommendation of the Police and Licenses Committee:

Bill No. 8533, Ordinance No. 8043 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 4406 (New Series), entitled "Requiring dealers in second-hand automobiles, or automobile accessories, to keep a record of all purchases, sales or exchanges of said articles, deliver such report to Chief of Police, and providing penalty for violation."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 4406 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling, exchanging, storing or dealing in used or second-hand bicycles shall pay a license to the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of $20 per annum, payable quarterly in advance.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $72,217.52, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

California School for the Deaf and the Blind, shoes, etc., for Edward Brown, committed from San Francisco, $8.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters, on recommendation of Finance Committee, were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Pacific States Electric Co., copper wire, etc. (claim dated May 3, 1928), $640.70.

(2) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 8, 1928), $522.

(3) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated May 3, 1928), $3,808.80.

(4) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, professional services, final opinion on Hetch Hetchy Bonds (claim dated May 4, 1928), $650.

(5) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, sixteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnel under proposition "E" (claim dated May 12, 1928), $28,749.06.

(6) A. Guthrie & Co. Inc., seventeenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnel under proposition "C" (claim dated May 12, 1928), $10,227.02.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund

(8) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve depreciation per Charter provision (claim dated May 16, 1928), $14,583.

(9) Hetch Hetchy Water Con-

struction Fund, services and materials furnished and rendered for account of Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund (claim dated May 16, 1928), $28,430.96.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund

(10) Robert W. Jamison, fifth and final payment, furnishing and installing concrete trolley poles for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated May 14, 1928), $1,196.47.

(11) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., eighth and final payment for street motor car equipment (claim dated May 12, 1928), $13,716.35.

Municipal Railway Fund

(12) Board of Public Works, Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway right of way (claim dated May 14, 1928), $832.30.

(13) Market Street Railway Co., electric service, proportion of expense for account of ferry terminal and April reimbursement, per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated May 14, 1928), $5,161.39.

(14) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service for April (claim dated May 14, 1928), $37,524.40.

(15) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for account of pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated May 4, 1928), $563.41.

(16) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated May 9, 1928), $7,113.07.

(17) The White Company, auto parts for Municipal Railway busses (claim dated May 14, 1928), $611.26.

School Bonds, Issue 1918

(18) E. P. Finigan, bar stalls, etc., for Galileo High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $1,728.83.

Bernal Cut Bond Issue

(19) The A. Lietz Co., two transit theodolites, Department of Public Works (claim dated May 9, 1928), $554.74.

Park Fund

(20) Eng-Skell Co., syrups, etc., for parks (claim dated May 18, 1928), $554.02.

(21) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for parks (claim dated May 18, 1928), $679.95.

(22) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc. (claim dated May 18, 1928), $773.52.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927

(23) J. P. Holland, Inc., red rock for the Great Highway (claim dated May 18, 1928), $1,059.96.

(24) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures made for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated May 18, 1928), $5,878.51.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(25) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $834.35.

(26) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $2,703.72.

(27) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,810.44.

(28) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $2,653.54.

(29) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,324.80.

(30) Morris & Co., butter, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,351.08.

(31) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $2,478.27.

(32) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $616.28.

(33) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,192.33.

(34) Western Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $902.42.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,501.97.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $938.83.

(37) H. F. Dugan, surgical supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,041.19.

(38) Tiedemann & McMorran, supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,063.50.

(39) Scatena Galli Fruit Co., fruit, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $858.10.

(40) Lewis Manufacturing Co., gauze for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $3,725.

(41) Standard Fisheries, fish for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $641.96.

(42) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $717.87.

(43) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,881.68.

(44) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,012.41.

(45) Western Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,480.73.

(46) California Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $2,497.69.

(47) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $551.65.

(48) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $2,372.77.

(49) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of Civic Center, month of April (claim dated May 18, 1928), $725.75.

(50) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement amount expended in construction of convenience stations (claim dated May 18, 1928), $2,570.41.

(51) Preston School of Industry, care of minors for March (claim dated May 16, 1928), $620.02.

(52) Preston School of Industry, care of minors for April (claim dated May 16, 1928), $631.33.

(53) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $2,253.65.

(54) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $517.10.

(55) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $1,236.39.

(56) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $938.41.

(57) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $1,291.56.

(58) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $3,275.74.

(59) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $12,621.07.

(60) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $33.673.91.

(61) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $3,829.34.

(62) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 21, 1928), $1,104.60.

(62a) Spring Valley Water Co., furnishing and installing pipe and fittings in San Bruno avenue; being an extension to the Municipal Water Works (claim dated May 11, 1928), $2,236.57.

(63) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated May 11, 1928), $1,317.83.

(64) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated May 11, 1928), $4,568.06.

(65) Acme Gravel Co., rock for street repair (claim dated May 11, 1928), $1,469.43.

(66) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 11, 1928), $1,807.57.

(67) Union Oil Co. of Calif., asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 11, 1928), $659.72.

(68) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated May 11, 1928), $650.66.

(69) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated May 8, 1928), $2,081.14.

(70) Symon Brothers, teams for street cleaning (claim dated May 4, 1928), $747.50.

(71) Union Oil Co. of Calif., asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 4, 1928), $875.61.

(72) Playground Commission, reimbursement of Playground Fund for expenditures made in the development of playground at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets (claim dated May 16, 1928), $1,702.20.

(73) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing election index (claim dated May 14, 1928), $9,234.87.

(74) W. R. Ballinger & Son, hauling voting machines (claim dated May 14, 1928), $2,857.82.

(75) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Dept. of Elections (claim dated May 14, 1928), $524.70.

(76) J. H. Zemansky, amount advanced for auto hire for account of election (claim dated May 14, 1928), $768.80.

Playground Fund.

(77) Atlas Hauling and Grading Co., tanbark for playgrounds (claim dated May 16, 1928), $968.80.

(78) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated May 16, 1928), $1,044.82.

(79) C. F. Weber & Co., 10 dozen racket frames for playgrounds (claim dated May 16, 1928), $540.

Special School Tax.

(80) Alta Electric Co., final payment, electric fixtures for South Side High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $899.75.

(81) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., final payment, electric work for South Side High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $6,876.87.

(82) Knittle Bros., final payment, mechanical equipment for South Side High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $6,692.07.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(83) Pacific Electric Construc-

tion Co., final payment, electric work for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $2,000.

(84) Scott Co., mechanical equipment for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $1,000.

(85) Spencer Elevator Co., final payment, elevator construction for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $936.33.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(86) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1928), $761.

(87) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1928), $2,060.40.

(88) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1928), $800.

(89) Spring Valley Water Co., water and hydrant installation for Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1928), $4,946.15.

Referred to City Attorney.

The following item was, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, referred to City Attorney for report as to necessity of continuance of employment:

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(7) John J. Dailey, legal services for May, in matter of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated May 14, 1928), $850.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $766.58, Payment to Spring Valley Water Co., Extension of Municipal Water Works, Alpha Street.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $766.58 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the Spring Valley Water Co. for the furnishing and laying of pipe and connections in Alpha street; being an extension of the Municipal Water Works (claim dated May 11, 1928.) Appropriation by Resolution No. 27848 (New Series).

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Appropriations for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To James D. Rodney, Sr., for portion of Lot No. 4 in Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (75x40 ft.) and required for opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $900.

(2) To Pius Haberthauer, for a portion of Lot No. 14 in Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (75x40 ft.) and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $900.

(3) To J. Varni and Mary Varni, for a portion of Lots Nos. 1 and 2 in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (180x40 ft.), and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $3,600.

(4) To William Varni and Virginia Varni, for a portion of Lot No. 5 in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (60x40 ft.), and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $1,520.

(5) To Peyton G. Newlon and Marie Newlon, for a portion of Lot No. 19 in Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (40x40 ft.), and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $1,200.

(6) To Domenico Capri, for a portion of Lot No. 17 (25x40 ft.) in Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $975.

Appropriation, $1,200, Payment to Thomas Horgan et al. for Land for Widening Garfield Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,200 be and the same is hereby set aside

and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Thomas Horgan and James Gillam; being payment for Lot No. 19 in Block 6989, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the widening of Garfield street; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28940, New Series (claim dated May 10, 1928).

Appropriations for Properties Required for Bernal Cut Rights of Way.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated; being payments for account of the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Fredericka Meon, for Lot No. 9 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; and required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28942, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $3,200.

(2) To Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., for title certificates covering properties purchased for the opening of the Bernal Cut (claim dated May 10, 1928), $640.90.

Appropriations for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Frank J. Taylor, for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 175 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-second avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 92 feet and ⅛ of an inch to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence northwesterly along said last described line 50 feet 3 inches, more or less, to a line drawn easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Anza street from the point of commencement, and thence westerly along said last described line 86 feet 11⅛ inches to point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28984, New Series (claim dated May 21, 1928), $4,200.

(2) To Emma J. Barber, for land commencing at point of intersection of the southerly line of Quintara street and the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly on said line of Quintara street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly on Twenty-third avenue 100 feet to point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28985, New Series (claim dated May 21, 1928), $2,500.

(3) To John Jurras and Ella M. Jurras, his wife, land commencing at point of intersection of the northerly line of Anza street and the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly and along said line of Anza street 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence at a right angle southerly on Thirtieth avenue 125 feet to point of commencement; and also land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 316 feet 10 inches southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence at a right angle southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 133 feet 2 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 33 feet 2 inches to the point of commencement; per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 28989, New Series (claim dated May 21, 1928), $45,000.

Accepting Offers of Mary Rodrigues and Others to Sell Easements Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Also, Resolution No. 29005 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County

of San Francisco the following described easements over land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sums set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Mary Rodrigues and Rosie Rodrigues, $75—

Parcel 1. Subsurface right of way easement for construction, maintenance and use of aqueduct tunnel or tunnels through portion of that certain 100-acre tract conveyed by James Muldoon and wife to Constant Sigrist by deed dated October 23, 1885, and recorded in Liber 297 of Deeds, page 161, Alameda County records.

Parcel 2. Right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission and telephone lines upon and over surface of lands described as Parcel 1.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Joseph R. Telles, Jr., $500—

Parcel 1. Subsurface right of way easement for construction, maintenance and use of aqueduct tunnel or tunnels through portion of Ex-Mission Survey No. 31.

Parcel 2. Right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission and telephone lines upon and over surface of lands described as Parcel 1.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Jose S. Escobar et al., $200—

Parcel 1. Subsurface right of way easement for construction, maintenance and use of aqueduct tunnel or tunnels through portion of Ex-Mission Survey No. 30.

Parcel 2. Right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission and telephone lines upon and over surface of lands described as Parcel 1.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said easements, and if the same are found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying said easements to said City and County, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Mayor to Sell Frame Buildings on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29006 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 6 Arlington street, 359 Arlington street, 365 Arlington street, 15 Park street, 10 Richland avenue and 53 Randall street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Accepting Offers of Emma Burk et al. to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29007 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

Emma Burk, $56—Portions of Lots Nos. 253, 254, 255 and 256 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 145, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Joseph Donovan, $300—All of Lot 6 of Block 7133, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on be-

half of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Condemnation of Lands Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Mission street with the easterly line of Lot 260 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco, thence running southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Mission street 9.925 feet to the northerly line of the property deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the Ocean Shore Railway Company; thence deflecting 32 deg. 30 min. 40 sec. to the right and running westerly along said northerly line 16.630 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 260; thence at right angles northerly along said westerly line 18.180 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. 00 sec. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. 00 sec. to the right and running southerly 13.180 feet along said easterly line to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 260.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 221, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco, thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 5.132 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 5.467 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25,000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 221.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening of Alemany boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Resolution No. 28496 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Accepting Offer of A. Hirschberg to Sell Certain Land Required for Widening Stanyan Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29008 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Stanyan street, for the sum set forth opposite his name be accepted:

A. Hirschberg, $10,267.85 (subject to the condition hereinafter set forth)—Lot 1, Block 1263, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $267.85 is to be paid by said A. Hirschberg to California Petroleum Corporation in full payment for the release of the lease of said corporation with said A. Hirschberg.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office

of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Accepting Offer of Bridget E. Leonard et al. to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29009 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Bridget E. Leonard and Patrick J. Leonard, $880—Portion of Lot 3, Block B, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 111, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29010 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

Commercial Centre Realty Company, $25—Portion of Lot 1511 of Gift Map No. 2, as recorded in Map Book "2A and B", page 13, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Also, Resolution No. 29011 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

James Finegan and Susan Finegan, $9,750—

Parcel 1. The easterly portion of Lots 28 and 29 in Block 5464, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. The westerly portion of Lots 28 and 29 in Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The improvements located on the above described land are to remain the property of said James Finegan and Susan Finegan; same to be removed within thirty (30) days from recordation of deed to above described land.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2 purchased from said James Finegan and Susan Finegan, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the City Title Insurance Company for the

City and County of San Francisco and under the direction of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Also, Resolution No. 29012 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Mary E. Herman, $2,520—

Parcel 1. Westerly portion of Lot 35, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Easterly portion of Lot 35, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2 purchased from said Mary E. Herman, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the City Title Insurance Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the direction of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Repealing Appropriation for Improvement of Junipero Serra Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29013 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 27996 (New Series), Item (1) thereof, as sets aside and appropriates $100,000 out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Junipero Serra boulevard, be and the same is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Appropriation, $450, Out of County Road Fund for Repairs to Third and Islais Creek Bridge.

Also, Resolution No. 29014 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $450 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for repairs to the Third and Islais Creek bridge.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Appropriation, $988.59, Reimbursement for Labor, Etc., Curb Painting for Traffic Regulation.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $988.59 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 69, to the credit of the hereinafter designated Budget items, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for labor and material furnished and used during month of April, 1928, in the painting of curbing for traffic regulation, to-wit:

To the credit of Budget Item No. 411	$657.00
To the credit of Budget Item No. 433	331.59
Total	$988.59

Appropriation, $2,500, Expense of Preliminary Surveys, San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz Joint Highway District.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of County

Road Fund (appropriated and set aside by Resolution No. 28210, New Series) in payment to John W. Poole, Supervisor of San Mateo County and Treasurer of the board of directors of the proposed joint highway district composed of the counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz, to be used for expense of making preliminary surveys, etc., in connection with proposed highway extensions.

Appropriations, Sewers in Golden Gate Heights, Cable, Etc., Municipal Railway.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For the construction of sewers in Golden Gate Heights, additional, $20,000.

Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund.

(2) For the purchase of cable and splicing material for the Municipal Railway, to be installed in conduits in certain sections of Guerrero street, $2,300.

Appropriation, $40,000, Furniture, Etc., Balboa High School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $40,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the purchase of furniture and equipment for the Balboa High School (first unit).

Mills Field Selected as Permanent Airport.

On recommendation of the Airport Committee:

Resolution No. 29015 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after eleven months of observation by the United States Weather Bureau it is proven conclusively that Mills Field is a most ideal site for airport development and activities; therefore, be it

Resolved, That Mills Field be and it is hereby selected as the permanent site for a municipal airport.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

Repealing Set-back Lines, Twenty-second Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6471 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Taraval street and running thence southerly 33 feet 4 inches.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6471 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Taraval street and running thence southerly 33 feet 4 inches, is hereby repealed.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Twenty-eighth Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title to which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Twenty-eighth street between Guerrero street and San Jose avenue, for a distance of 79 feet 9 inches along Guerrero street, and a distance of 85 feet 11 inches along San Jose avenue, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Mayor to Call Conference in re Issuance of Bonds Proposed by Park and Playground Commissions.

On recommendation of Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee:

Resolution No. 29016 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to call a conference at an early date of the Park Commission, Playground Commission, Board of Health, Finance Committee, Education, Parks and Playgrounds and Health Committees of the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of considering various proposals for the issuance of bonds proposed by the Park and Playground Commissions and the Board of Health, in order to determine the various amounts for these proposed bond issues and the question as to when would be the best and most appropriate time to submit them to the people.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were, on recommendation of the Fire Committee, *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and they are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis R. Anderson, north side Moncada way, 300 feet east of Junipero Serra boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Aninger, 443 Seventh avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Bell & Sylvester, west side Monterey boulevard, 340 feet south of Westgate drive, 600 gallons capacity.

Canadian Bank Building, northeast corner Pine and Leidesdorff streets, 1600 gallons capacity.

Bessie Cooley, north side Sutter street, 100 feet east of Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bessie Cooley, northeast corner Presidio avenue and Sutter street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bessie Cooley, north side Sutter street, 50 feet east of Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Exchange Block, 369 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hopkins Estate, northeast corner Spruce and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lang Realty Company, northwest corner Pacheco and Alton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Lawson, north side O'Farrell street, 175 feet west of Stockton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Lawson, south side Vallejo street, 100 feet west of Polk street, two tanks, 5300 gallons capacity.

Herman Lawson, north side Jackson street, 120 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Eleanor Martin, 2040 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Native Daughters' Club Building, west side Baker street, 200 feet south of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. F. Nielsen, 2869 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Paul Revere School (City and County of San Francisco), southeast corner Banks street and Tompkins avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. A. Weidenmuller, east side Lyon street, 74 feet 6 inches north of Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Geo. Haas & Sons, northeast corner Tenth and Howard streets, 50-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Auto Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mrs. J. S. Revoy be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile parking station at the northeast corner of Fifteenth street and Julian avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Yellow Checker Cab Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the west side of Jones street, 77 feet 6 inches south of Turk street, running through to the south side of Turk street, 100 feet west of Jones street, thence 175 feet westerly on Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Auto Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. W. Bonds be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to O. F. Werner by Resolution No. 26833 (New Series) for premises at southwest corner of Eddy and Webster streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be extrcised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Auto Parking Station Permit, H. J. Mallen.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 112 feet 8 inches north of Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Accepting Offer of S. Brizzolara to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

On recommendation of the Public Buildings and Lands Committee:

Resolution No. 29017 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from S. Brizzolara to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the west line of Stockton street, 75 feet northerly from Francisco street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $7,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 75 feet northerly from the northerly line of Francisco street; running thence northerly along said westerly line of Stockton street 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 68 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Worden street; thence at a right angle southerly along the easterly line of Worden street 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 68 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Fifty Vara Block No. 125.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Accepting Offer of Carmelo Patane et al. to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29018 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Carmelo and Giovannina Patane to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the northerly line of Francisco street, distant 183 feet 4 inches west of Stockton street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $7,900, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 183 feet and 4

inches westerly from the point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Francisco street with the westerly line of Stockton street, and running thence westerly along said line of Francisco street 22 feet and 11 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 22 feet and 11 inches, and thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being part of Fifty Vara Block No. 125.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Accepting Offer of Luciano Sabella to Sell Property Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29019 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Luciano Sabella to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the northerly line of Francisco street, distant 87 feet 6 inches westerly from Stockton street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $5,100, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 87 feet and 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Stockton street; running thence westerly along the northerly line of Francisco street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 68 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle southerly 68 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning. Being portion of Fifty Vara Block No. 125.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Mayor to Sell Frame Buildings on School Site.

Also, Resolution No. 29020 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the following frame buildings, located on properties recently acquired by the City for school purposes, to-wit:

Buildings No. 17, 21, 23 and 35 Blake street, also building No. 28 Cook street.

In accordance with request of the Bureau of Architecture.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 3:30 *p. m.*:

Mayor to Enter Into Agreement With Southern Pacific Company in re Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor is herebe authorized to enter into agreements with the Southern Pacific Railway Company, terms and conditions as follows:

This agreement, made this —— day of ————, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

The parties hereto entered into a certain agreement dated March 23, 1914, relating to rights of way through Bernal Cut, in the City and County of San Francisco, and also entered into a certain agreement of even date herewith modifying and changing said agreement of March 23, 1914, and enlarging the plan of construction of rights of way, tracks and a public street or roadway through said Bernal Cut.

In connection with said work it will be necessary that the Southern Company remove its existing team track near Bosworth street, in said City and County of San Francisco, from the southeasterly side of its track to the northwesterly side of proposed location of its main track through Bernal Cut.

Said agreement of March 23, 1914, provided, among other things, that Southern Company would convey certain land to the City, which land was conveyed pursuant to said agreement by deed dated March 23, 1914. Said deed contained a reservation on behalf of Southern Company to use a certain strip of land 8½ feet wide and a certain strip of land 13½ feet wide, both of which are hereinafter described. In the event that the agreement of even date herewith is carried out the City desires that Southern Company quitclaim to the City all of its right, title and interest in and to said strip of land covered by said reservation above mentioned.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their understandings and agreements relative to the matters hereinabove mentioned.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual and dependent promises of the parties hereto, it is hereby agreed as follows, to-wit:

First: That the City will pay to Southern Pacific Company the entire cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor, to cover supervision of removing said existing team track and replacing and reconstructing said team track in kind and in good condition upon the property hereinafter described, to be acquired by the City and conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

Concurrently with the delivery to it by the City of the deed hereinafter specified the Southern Company will deliver to the City a quitclaim deed conveying all the right, title and interest of the Southern Company in and to those certain parcels of land described as follows, being those certain strips of land 8½ feet in width and 13½ feet in width, respectively, covered by said reservation contained in said deed dated March 23, 1914, to-wit:

All those certain strips of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: A strip of land 8.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles and radially southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno Branch, between Engineer's Station 78+48.5 and 92+40.

Parcel 2: A strip of land 13.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno branch, between Engineer's Station 92+40 and 101+20.

The above described parcels of land being the same property as reserved in part III, page 3, of that certain deed dated March 23, 1914, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Southern Pacific Company and the City and County of San Francisco.

The City hereby covenants and agrees to acquire and convey to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, without cost to Southern Company, by good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances, to that certain parcel of land described as follows, to-wit:

That certain piece or parcel of

land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Roanoke street with the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence along said southeasterly line of Arlington street south 47 deg. 16 min. 17 sec. west a distance of 663.843 feet to a point; thence south 30 deg. 41 min. .09 sec. east a distance of 22.104 feet to a point, said point being distant radially 58.77 feet northwesterly from the original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way; thence in a northeasterly direction on the arc of a curve, concave to the left, having a radius of 5670.826 feet (the tangent to said curve at last mentioned point bears north 58 deg. 57 min. 20 sec. east) an arc distance of 675.82 feet to a point on said southwesterly line of Roanoke street (last described arc course is concentric with and distant northwesterly and radially 58.77 feet from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way); thence north 42 deg. 43 min. 43 sec. west along said southwesterly line of Roanoke street a distance of 118.768 feet to the point of beginning and containing an area of 51401 square feet (equals 1.180 acres), more or less.

Upon delivery of the conveyance by the City mentioned in the last preceding paragraph of this agreement, and upon completion of the work of grading, to be done by the City as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, the Southern Company will, simultaneously with the shifting of the location of its main track, as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, remove its said existing team track from its present location and construct said team track as aforesaid upon said property above described, to be conveyed by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

This agreement is subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, and if not approved by said Railroad Commission it shall be of no force or effect.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed this agreement in quadruplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,

By.....
Third Vice-President.

Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,

By.....
General Manager.

Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

By.....

Attest:
.....

Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made this——— day of———, 1928, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

Under the terms of an agreement entered into on the 23rd day of March, 1914, and subsequent deed bearing even date therewith, recorded June 16, 1914, in Vol. 794 of Deeds, pages 276 and following, the City acquired, for street purposes, a portion of the railroad right of way of the Southern Company through the so-called Bernal Cut, in said City and County of San Francisco, being the easterly portion of said right of way from the westerly line of Dolores street produced, to the center line of San Jose avenue produced, near Diamond street.

It was stipulated in said agreement that the Southern Company shall grade the strip of land described in section 1 thereof, so as to provide a uniform roadway for the proposed street at least forty (40) feet in width or thirty-five (35) feet, according to the width of said strip, according to the directions and under the supervision of the City Engineer of the City, and said City shall cooperate in such work to the extent of removing encroachments and also by the erection of retaining walls wherever necessary along the line of said grading; and Southern Company agreed to deposit the material excavated as aforesaid upon such portions of the land described in said agreement and deed as may be indicated by said City Engineer, and

to entirely remove all surplus material; said grading and filling to be at the expense of Southern Company. It was further understood and agreed and so provided in said agreement that the construction of retaining walls along said grading and the paving of the roadway upon said strip of land shall be at the cost and expense of the City and without cost to the Southern Company.

The City now desires to acquire additional rights of way in said Bernal Cut for the purpose of constructing a better roadway than at first contemplated and offering better facilities for the construction of its double-track street railroad adjacent to the northerly and westerly line of the land conveyed to the City for street purposes by the hereinbefore mentioned deed. Such construction would be facilitated by the railroad of the Southern Company being moved northerly and westerly not exceeding 31.27 feet.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their agreement as to their rights and obligations in connection with acquisition and exchange of certain parcels of land to provide additional rights of way for each of the parties hereto, reconstruction of the railroad and appurtenances of Southern Company, construction of the municipal railway and the proposed street, and other matters in relation thereto.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises, it is hereby mutually agreed as follows, to-wit:

ARTICLE I.

1. The location plan, showing the changes proposed and the parcels of land to be acquired and exchanged by and between the parties hereto, for the purpose of carrying out the plans of the parties hereto as hereinafter set forth, is shown on the attached copy of Southern Pacific Company's Drawing entitled, "Proposed Improvements in Bernal Cut, San Francisco," and numbered M W D Drawing 5806, Sheet 2, which is hereby made a part hereof.

2. Southern Company hereby agrees to execute and place in escrow a conveyance to said City of all of Southern Company's right, title and interest in and to that certain portion of Southern Company's right of way in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, particularly described as follows, to-wit:

A strip of land 32.77 feet in width lying between the southerly line of Randall street and the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street), said strip being bounded and described as follows:

Bounded on the northeast by the southerly line of Randall street; on the west and northwest by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 22.77 feet westerly and northwesterly, measured radially or at right angles from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line between San Francisco and Colma; on the southwest by the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street) and on the southeast and east by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 10.00 feet southeasterly and easterly, measured radially or at right angles from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line, said line coinciding with the northerly and westerly boundary line of the strip of land heretofore conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company by deed dated March 23, 1914.

Also a triangular shaped parcel of land, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the westerly line of Dolores street, distant 100.00 feet northerly from the northerly line of Randall street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Dolores street and its prolongation a distance of 150.00 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Randall street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Randall street a distance of 22.50 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a northeasterly direction on a direct line to the point of beginning.

Said parcel of land above described are more particularly shown tinted in pink on said Drawing No. 5806, Sheet 2.

Said conveyance shall contain and be subject to the following express covenants, reservations and exceptions, to-wit:

(a) "Reserving nevertheless to the first parties (Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company), their successors and assigns, the right to use the northwesterly eighteen (18) inches in width of said strip of land 32.77 feet in width for the erection and maintenance of poles, wires, and any and all other necessary appliances, appendages and adjuncts, in the event that said first parties, their successors and assigns, should at any time operate their railroad by electricity, it being expressly understood and agreed, however,

that first parties, their successors or assigns, may, instead of erecting and maintaining said poles, wires, appliances, appendages and adjuncts upon and along said eighteen (18) inch strip herein described, at their option fasten their wires, fixtures, appliances and appurtenances to any poles erected by second party along or near the northwesterly boundary line of said strip of land whenever the first parties, their successors and assigns, operate their said railroad by electricity and such right is hereby granted by second party to first parties; but in the event that said first parties do attach their wires to any poles erected by the second party, as aforesaid, then said first parties shall pay to second party one-half (½) of the then value of said poles so used, at the time the attachments are made by said first parties, and upon receipt of such payment second party shall execute and deliver to Southern Pacific Railroad Company a bill of sale conveying an undivided one-half (½) interest in said poles to Southern Pacific Railroad Company. First parties shall thereafter pay one-half (½) of the cost of maintaining said poles so used, as aforesaid, from time to time as such costs are incurred and bills rendered by second party."

(b) "Excepting and reserving unto said first parties all of those certain rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company for themselves, their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from said companies to said City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco, also those certain rights reserved by Southern Pacific Railroad Company in that certain deed from said Southern Pacific Railroad Company to said City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco."

(c) "The foregoing conveyance is subject to all the terms, conditions, restrictions and reservations contained in that certain deed, dated October 5, 1863, from H. G. Livermore et al. to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, recorded October 6, 1863, in Book 215 of Deeds, at page 276, and subject to the rights of any and all industries located upon or adjacent to said right of way between St. Mary's avenue and Bosworth street, and subject to first parties' right to maintain such spur, team or station tracks as may be required to be maintained under any subsisting agreement, recorded or otherwise, or deed, or by law. The Southern Company hereby reserves the right to maintain and operate existing industrial spur tracks upon the land hereinabove described and to maintain and operate such spur tracks in such new locations to which any of said spurs may be moved, as hereinafter provided. The City covenants and agrees that if it is necessary to reconstruct or change the location of any or all of said existing industrial spur tracks by reason of or in connection with the use of said land by the City, the City will pay the entire cost of the work of reconstruction and relocation of said tracks, including paving, and the cost and construction of crossings of said tracks by the municipal railway, if any. Nothing herein contained shall in anywise impair the right of the first parties to use, for railroad purposes, the remaining portion of their right of way adjoining the strip of land hereinabove described on the northwest, or in anywise prejudice their rights therein or thereto."

The above mentioned conveyance shall be executed by Southern Company and placed in escrow with the California Pacific Title & Trust Company of San Francisco, California, if and when this agreement has been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to be delivered to the City when the City shall have (a) acquired the lands described in Section 1 of Article II hereof and executed and delivered to the above mentioned escrowee for account of Southern Pacific Railroad Company the conveyances to Southern Pacific Railroad Company specified in said Section 1, Article II, and (b) performed, or caused to be performed, all of the construction and reconstruction work by it to be performed or contracted for, as provided in Article II hereof.

ARTICLE II.

1. In consideration of the covenants herein agreed to be performed by the Southern Company, and without further consideration or payment, the City shall at its own expense acquire and convey or cause to be conveyed to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, by a good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances to (1) a strip of

land along and adjacent to the northerly and westerly boundary line of Southern Company's right of way between Randall and Diamond streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, sufficient in width, and which, together with that portion of the Southern Company's existing right of way which will remain after the conveyance to the City of the portion heretofore described, will provide a right of way sufficient in width so that a standard gauge double track railroad may be constructed for Southern Company, and so that clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California shall be provided; (2) strips of land sufficient in width and adjoining the additional right of way for the proposed location of the proposed double track railroad of the Southern Company, to provide a sufficient area for proper slopes, not exceeding 1¼ horizontal to one vertical in the cuts and not less than two horizontal to one vertical in the fills; provided, however, that in lieu of providing such additional land for slopes, the City may construct at its sole cost and expense adequate concrete or masonry retaining walls to provide for the full and unobstructed use of land of sufficient width to permit of the construction and operation of said standard gauge double track railroad, with clearances not less than those prescribed by said Railroad Commission, and at the grade of the Southern Company's now existing track between Randall and Diamond streets, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company. The land required for said right of way for said proposed double track railroad is shown tinted in green and the land required for said slopes is shown tinted in purple upon said drawing MWD 5806, Sheet 2.

2. In the event that it shall be necessary or advisable for the City to institute condemnation proceedings to acquire any land which is to be acquired in order to comply with the terms of this agreement, and to be conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, such proceedings may be had in the name of Southern Company, or either of them, but the City shall pay all expense thereof and all judgments and costs therein and hold Southern Company harmless therefrom.

3. The City shall, at its own expense, make all necessary excavations and construct embankments and waterways, and, if deemed necessary by Southern Company, retaining walls to support slopes on the existing right of way of Southern Company, and the said land to be acquired for the Southern Company, as hereinabove provided, so as to provide for the construction of the said double track railroad of the Southern Company, as aforesaid, and at the grade specified in Section 1 of this Article II, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company, and the City shall also pay the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of moving Southern Company's existing single track rails, ties, ballast and appurtenances to such new position, not exceeding 31.27 feet northerly and westerly of the present location, and the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of placing Southern Company's single track in such new location in at least as good condition as the existing track was at the time of being so moved, it being understood that the Southern Company will furnish all necessary ties which, in its judgment, are required to replace those unfit for further service.

4. The City shall, at its own expense, remove and reconstruct Southern Company's present telephone, telegraph and signal lines and the poles and fixtures thereof to such new position upon and along said relocated railroad line and right of way as may be required by Southern Company.

5. The City shall, at its own cost and expense, reconstruct and thereafter maintain, at clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, all bridges or other structures and foundations and appurtenances of same (including the subway at Bosworth street) located between and at Randall and Diamond streets so that the double track railroad of Southern Company may be constructed and operated without further change in said bridges, structures or appurtenances, and, in order to accomplish such works, the City shall be granted the right to the use of any land to be conveyed by the City hereunder to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, for the purpose of erecting thereon any portion of the above-mentioned structures or appurtenances thereto that may be necessary; provided, however, that the plans for any such bridges and structures and appurtenances shall be subject to the approval of the Southern Company and of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. It is also agreed that the City shall have the right to

construct and maintain at its sole cost and expense drainage constructions of such character as may be required, to be placed upon or beneath the lands to be conveyed hereunder by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company; provided, however, that such drainage constructions meet with the approval of Southern Company and will in no way interfere with Southern Company's operations.

6. The City shall include in the contracts which it is to let for the grading work to be performed by it under this contract, the grading work provided to be done at the expense of Southern Company in said agreement of March 23, 1914, and Southern Company shall have the right of access at all reasonable times to the books, accounts, contracts, records and papers relating to said work, for the purpose of checking the bill or bills rendered by the City to Southern Company for the cost of said work. The Southern Company shall reimburse the City in full for all of the yardage removed or excavated and graded at the contract price or rate covering the work included in said contract for the account of the Southern Company. All of the work herein provided for to be done by the City shall be in substantial conformity with the City's Cross Section plan or map entitled "Bernal Cut," numbered A-7328, hereto attached and made a part hereof.

7. It is understood and agreed that the City may enter upon said land above described for the purpose of carrying out and complying with the terms and conditions of this contract as soon as this contract becomes effective.

8. All the expenses in connection with the construction of the future second track of said Southern Company's railroad, which the Southern Company may construct now or in the future at its option, except the work herein provided to be done by and at the sole cost and expense of the City, shall be borne by the Southern Company, as this is a facility in addition to its present trackage.

9. All work required to be done by and at the expense of the City hereunder upon or affecting the property and operations of Southern Company shall be performed by it in a good and workmanlike manner to the satisfaction of the Southern Company, according to plans approved by Southern Company or such lawful authority as may have jurisdiction in the premises, and at such times and in such manner as to interfere as little as possible with the operations of Southern Company.

10. It is expressly understood and agreed that all expense of paving and otherwise improving the roadway and constructing the municipal railway and appurtenances upon the roadway upon said strip of land 32.77 feet in width, hereinabove referred to, and upon the land heretofore conveyed by the Southern Company to the City by said deed dated March 23, 1914, recorded in Volume 794 of Deeds, at pages 276 and following, County Records of the City and County of San Francisco (except the grading and filling work as herein otherwise provided for in the previous agreement) shall be borne by the City; and in the event that any improvements upon said roadway should be done upon the local assessment plan, then and in that event the City shall pay out of its treasury any and all assessments therefor levied upon or assessed against any rights, properties or franchises of Southern Company, or either of them.

ARTICLE III.

1. It is understood and agreed that the City shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad beneath either one of the bridges carrying the railroad of the Southern Company over Thirtieth street or over Day street, and that the City shall, at its own sole cost and expense, provide the necessary clearances therefor under said bridge. The plans for said crossing and any necessary changes in the said bridge shall be subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. The City, subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad at grade across the track or tracks of Southern Company's railroad at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, subject to the terms and conditions of an agreement to be executed by the parties hereto establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings; and if the parties are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then upon such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the City. The expense of main-

taining said crossings and any and all such protective device or devices, except interlocking plant, after completion, shall be borne solely by said City, but the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plant, if any, shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

2. The Southern Company shall likewise have the right, subject to the approval of said Railroad Commission, to construct a track or tracks at grade across the track or tracks of the municipal railway, subject to the terms and conditions of agreements in each case to be executed by the parties hereto, establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings, and if the parties hereto are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then subject to such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such track crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the Southern Company. The expense of maintaining said crossings and such protective devices, except interlocking plants, after completion, shall be borne solely by Southern Company and the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plants shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

ARTICLE IV.

1. Nothing contained in this agreement shall affect the rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and/or Southern Pacific Company, for themselves and their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from the said companies to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco; and in that certain deed from the Southern Pacific Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco.

2. Said agreement dated March 23, 1914, hereinabove referred to, between the parties hereto, shall be and remain in full force and effect and shall not be affected hereby unless and until the City shall comply with said conditions of the escrow hereinabove set forth; but upon full compliance with the conditions of said escrow, then and in that event this agreement shall, in so far as it changes or modifies said agreement of March 23, 1914, supersede the same.

ARTICLE V.

The City hereby agrees to forever indemnify and hold Southern Company harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, liabilities and judgments, and loss, damage and injury resulting from any damages caused or claimed in the acquisition by said City of any of said lands, or occurring in or caused by or claimed to have been caused by any and all of the work covered by this agreement. In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as here in this paragraph provided.

ARTICLE VI.

1. This agreement shall not become effective and the parties hereto shall not be bound hereby unless and until it shall have been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

2. Time is of the essence of this agreement, and if this agreement is approved by said Railroad Commission and the City does not comply with and perform all the covenants and conditions hereof to be complied with and performed by it within said term of five (5) years, this agreement and any and all rights of the parties hereto hereunder shall forthwith upon the expiration of said term of five (5) years cease and determine as completely as if this agreement had never been made.

3. This agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the respective parties hereto.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in quadruple the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By .....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made and entered into this ——— day of ———, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and Southern Pacific Company, its lessee, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties (herein collectively called "Railroad Company"), and ———, second party (herein sometimes called "Contractor").

Witnesseth:

That, whereas, on the ——— day of ———, 1928, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company as first parties, and the City and County of San Francisco, California, as second party, entered into an agreement covering the exchange of certain parcels of land between the Railroad Company and the City and County of San Francisco, for rights of way through the so-called Bernal Cut in the said City and County of San Francisco, and covering, among other things, certain construction work more specifically set forth in said agreement dated the ——— day of ———, 1928; and

Whereas, said agreement, dated the ——— day of ———, 1928, contains the following provision:

"In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as herein this paragraph provided," and

Whereas, said City and County of San Francisco did, on the ——— day of ———, 19—, enter into a contract with contractor to do and perform certain work pursuant to a compliance with the terms of said agreement dated the ——— day of ———, 19—, above referred to:

Now, therefore, it is understood and agreed that the contractor shall, in advance of performing any work under said contract of the ——— day of ———, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, give to said Railroad Company a bond in the sum of twenty thousand (20,000) dollars, in form and of good and sufficient surety to be approved by the Assistant Treasurer of the Railroad Company, conditioned that the contractor will well and truly perform and fulfill all of the covenants, conditions, stipulations and obligations on his part in this agreement set forth and contained, to-wit:

In consideration of the Railroad Company permitting contractor to enter upon its property and perform said work, contractor agrees to indemnify and save Railroad Company harmless from and against any and all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever may be caused, resulting directly or indirectly from the performance of any or all work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, contemplated by said agreement dated ———, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and the said contractor; also from all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever same may be caused resulting directly or indirectly, made or suffered by said contractor, contractor's agents and/or employees while engaged in the performance of said work, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise.

Contractor expressly releases and discharges Railroad Company from all liability of whatsoever character for any and all personal in-

juries sustained by contractor while engaged in the performance of work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise, and whether resulting in death or otherwise.

Contractor expressly waives any and all claims arising from said death or injury to contractor.

The contractor agrees that the license hereby given him to enter upon the premises of the Railroad Company for the purpose of performing the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, shall not give nor be deemed or construed to give said contractor a right to do or perform any work whatsoever at any time upon or in connection with the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, that will render unsafe the use by said Railroad Company of its real estate, tracks, structures and other appurtenances now or hereafter existing, or weaken or injure the same for the operation of its trains, engines or cars or for its other purposes.

Contractor further agrees that should the Railroad Company deem it necessary to engage any inspectors, watchmen or flagmen, or do anything to protect its engines, trains or cars during the performance by said contractor of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between the said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, then and in that event contractor agrees to fully reimburse Railroad Company promptly for all cost and expense of such inspectors, watchmen, flagmen and other expenses that may be incurred by said Railroad Company incident to or in connection with work contemplated to be performed by said contractor.

Contractor further agrees that upon completion of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————. 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, contractor will promptly remove from the premises of the Railroad Company all of contractor's tools, implements and other materials and leave said premises clean and presentable.

This agreement shall not be assigned, sublet or transferred in whole or in part by contractor, except with the previous written consent of Railroad Company.

Except as otherwise provided herein, the terms and conditions of this agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of contractor and the successors and assigns of Railroad Company.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in triplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
.....
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
Contract Attorney.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*, and everyone invited who could inform Board on subject matter:

Bus Service in Marina District.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service in the Marina District as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service, suitable for the transportation of passengers, in the Marina District, as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway system, over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Scott and Chestnut streets; thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street; thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard; thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street; thence southerly on Scott street to the place of beginning.

Section 2. After 9:30 p. m. the motor bus service authorized in this

ordinance shall be maintained and operated over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Avila and Scott streets; thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street; thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard; thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street; thence southerly on Scott street to Alhambra street; thence easterly on Alhambra street to Avila street; thence southerly on Avila street to the place of beginning.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

City Attorney to Appear Before Railroad Commission in re Application of Southern Pacific Company to Curtail Service on Five-cent Creek Route.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee:

Resolution No. 29021 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is pending before the State Board of Railroad Commissioners an application by the Southern Pacific Company for authority to curtail the service on the five-cent Creek Route ferry between Broadway, Oakland, and San Francisco; and

Whereas, if this application for a reduction in the number of schedules on this ferry is granted it will work a hardship and be a detriment to many residents of San Francisco who patronize the Creek Route ferry; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby requested to appear before the State Board of Railroad Commissioners when this matter comes before said Board for hearing, on May 26, 1928, at 9:30 a. m., and oppose the application of the Southern Pacific Company for a curtailment of the service on the Creek Route ferry.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7961 (New Series), Newcomb Avenue.

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 8536, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7961 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Mendell and Third streets and other streets mentioned in said ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7961 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Mendell and Third streets and other streets mentioned in said ordinance, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7976 (New Series), Harrison Street.

Also, Bill No. 8537, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7976 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Harrison street from Sixth to Seventh streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7976 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Harrison street from Sixth to Seventh streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8538, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Burnside avenue between Bosworth and Chenery streets.

Also, Bill No. 8539, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Cowden street between Harkness avenue and Ankeny street; on Ankeny street between Cowden and Mill streets, and on Hamilton street between Mansell and Cowden streets.

Also, Bill No. 8540, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Arch street between Randolph street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Worcester avenue northeasterly line, and on Stanley street between Ramsell and Vernon streets.

Also, Bill No. 8541, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Delano avenue between Seneca and Mt. Vernon avenues, and on Niagara and Mt. Vernon avenues between Delano and

San Jose avenues, as shown on map entitled grade map of a portion of Geneva Terraces.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 2d day of March, 1928, by Resolution No. 28534 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Delano avenue between Seneca and Mt. Vernon avenues, and on Niagara and Mt. Vernon avenues between Delano and San Jose avenues, as shown on map entitled grade map of a portion of Geneva Terraces.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

On Delano avenue between Seneca and Mt. Vernon avenues, and on Niagara and Mt. Vernon avenues between Delano and San Jose avenues, as shown on map entitled grade map of a portion of Geneva Terraces.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8542, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Elmira street between lines parallel with Augusta street and respectively 220 feet and 420 feet northerly therefrom.

Also, Bill No. 8543, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Margaret avenue between the westerly line of and a line parallel with and 20 feet easterly therefrom between Lakeview avenue and Summit avenue.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 8544, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Josiah avenue and Ridge Lane between the northerly line of Lakeview avenue and the southerly termination of Howth street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8545, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Keith street between Revere avenue and Shafter avenue, and the improvement of Keith street between Thomas avenue and Underwood avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1¼-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8546. Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Moraga street between the easterly line of Forty-sixth avenue and the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue, including the crossings of Moraga street with Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue, respectively, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 18-inch and 21-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole; by the construction of 6-inch Y branches on 21-inch ironstone pipe sewer; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8547, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the

City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirty-eighth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of three (3) side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8548, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following streets, where not already so improved: San Miguel street between Mount Vernon avenue and Ridge lane; Romain street between Grand View avenue and Douglass street; Avalon avenue between Athens and Moscow streets; Ramsell street between Garfield and Shields streets; Head street between Garfield and Shields streets, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8549, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street

work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, made be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Banks street between Crescent avenue and Tompkins avenue, where not already so improved, and the improvement of the crossing of Banks street and Ogden avenue, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of brick catchbasins and appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the above mentioned crossing, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8550, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Shafter avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8551, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed

under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Rousseau street between Bosworth street and Cayuga avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8552, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Santiago street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues; of the crossing of Santiago street and Thirty-fifth avenue, and of Santiago street between the westerly line of Thirty-eighth avenue and the westerly line of Forty-first avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-ninth, Fortieth and Forty-first avenues with Santiago street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch, 15-inch and 21-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches, side sewers and manholes, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8553, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public

Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the westerly portion of San Jose avenue between the southerly line of Ridge lane and the existing pavement southerly from Ridge lane, except that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8554, Ordinance No. —— (New Series). as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Castle Manor avenue between Mission street and Camillia avenue; Camillia avenue between Silver avenue and Admiral avenue, and the intersections of Camillia avenue and Admiral avenue, Camillia avenue and Castle Manor avenue; Admiral avenue between Camillia avenue and Alemany boulevard; Admiral avenue between Mission street and Camillia avenue; Constanso way between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive and the intersections of Constanso way and Sloat boulevard and Constanso way and Escondido avenue; Delta street between Wilde avenue and Tioga avenue; Escondido avenue between Thirty-fourth avenue and Constanso way; Treat avenue between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets and the intersection of Treat avenue and Harrison street; Twenty-third street between Third and Georgia streets; Twenty-third street between Illinois street and the easterly line of Georgia street and the crossing of Twenty-third and Illinois streets; Yorba street between Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8555, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of the following streets:

Brunswick street between Pope and Allison streets; Forty-first avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Forty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Forty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Foerster street between Mangels and Melrose avenues; Goettingen street between Olmstead and Mansell streets; Kirkham street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues, and the crossing of Kirkham street and Forty-first avenue; Kirkham street between Forty-eighth avenue and the Great Highway; Kirkham street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues; Moraga street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues; Morse street between Guttenberg and Concord streets, and the intersections of Morse and Florentine streets and Morse and Concord streets; Park street between Mission and Leese streets; Sussex street between Diamond and Conrad streets; San Jose avenue between the northerly line of Goethe street and the county line; Goethe street between San Jose avenue and the county line; Santiago street between Forty-eighth avenue and the Great Highway; Sixteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets; Quintara street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues; Twenty-seventh avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; crossing of Byxbee and Shields streets; Byxbee street between Sargent and Randolph streets; Sargent street between Ralston and Byxbee streets; crossings of Garfield and Ralston streets, Garfield and Beverly streets, Monticello and Shields streets and Beverly and Shields streets; crossings of Ulloa street and Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Forty-fifth avenue and Forty-sixth avenue; crossing of Fortieth avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Thirty-third avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Phelps street and Carroll avenue; crossing of Vicente street and Thirty-fifth ave-

nue, Thirty-sixth avenue, Thirty-seventh avenue, Thirty-eighth avenue, Thirty-ninth avenue, Fortieth avenue, Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue; crossing of Phelps street and Donner avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8556, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Shields street between Monticello street and Byxbee street; Genesee street between Joost avenue and Mangels avenue and the crossing of Genesee street and Joost avenue; Goettingen street between Wayland street and Woolsey street and Somerset street between Wayland street and Woolsey street; Brooklyn place between Sacramento street and its southerly termination; Ralston street between Shields street and Sargent street; Congdon street between Maynard street and Silver avenue; Otsego avenue between Onondaga avenue and Oneida avenue; Otsego avenue between Oneida avenue and Seneca avenue; intersection of Otsego avenue and Oneida avenue; Circular avenue between Staples avenue and the westerly line of Marston avenue, including the intersection of Circular avenue and Detroit street, and Circular avenue and Marston avenue; Cayuga avenue between Onondaga avenue and Oneida avenue and intersection of Cayuga avenue and Oneida avenue; Moultrie street between Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue; Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; crossing of Twenty-first and Vermont streets and Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue; crossing of Vermont street and Twentieth street; Twenty-sixth avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street; Burrows street between Holyoke street and Hamilton street and Hamilton street between Burrows street and Felton street; crossing of Burrows and Hamilton streets; France avenue between Vienna street and Athens street; Girard street between Ward street and Harkness avenue; Rivera street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; crossing of Thirty-fourth avenue and Rivera street.

Full Acceptance, Great Highway, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8557, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Great Highway (lower road) between Vicente and Wawona streets, and the crossing of the Great Highway and Wawona street; Great Highway (lower road) between Ulloa and Vicente streets, and the crossing of the Great Highway and Vicente street; intersection of the Great Highway (lower road) and Ulloa street; Noe street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets and the crossings of Noe and Twenty-seventh streets, and Noe and Duncan streets; Noe street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets.

Full Acceptance, Brentwood Avenue and Other Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8558, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Brentwood avenue between Mangels avenue and Maywood drive, including the crossings of Brentwood avenue and Fernwood drive, Brentwood avenue and Rosewood drive, Brentwood avenue and Yerba Buena avenue and Brentwood avenue and Hazelwood avenue and the intersections of Brentwood avenue and Colon avenue, Brentwood avenue and Valdez avenue and Brentwood avenue and Maywood drive; Mangels avenue between Ridgewood avenue and Plymouth avenue, including the crossings of Mangels and Colon avenues, Mangels and Valdez avenues and Mangels and Hazelwood avenues and the intersections of Mangels avenue and Plymouth avenue and Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue; Joost avenue between Ridgewood avenue and Hazelwood avenue, including the crossing of Joost avenue and Ridgewood avenue and the intersection of Joost avenue and Hazelwood avenue; Ridgewood avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue, including the intersection of Mangels avenue; Hazelwood avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue and between Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue and between Brentwood avenue and Yerba Buena avenue, including the intersection of Hazelwood avenue and Yerba Buena avenue; Valdez avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue and between Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue; Colon avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue and between Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue; Plymouth avenue between Monterey boulevard and Yerba Buena avenue, including the crossing of Plymouth avenue, Yerba Buena avenue and St. Elmo way; Yerba Buena avenue between Monterey boulevard and Plymouth avenue, Plymouth and Brentwood ave-

nues and between Brentwood and Hazelwood avenues; St. Elmo way between Monterey boulevard and Yerba Buena avenue, including the intersection of St. Elmo way and El Verano way; El Verano way between Monterey boulevard and St. Elmo way, including the intersections of El Verano way and Maywood drive and El Verano way and Fernwood drive; San Felipe avenue between Monterey boulevard and San Jacinto way, including the intersections of San Felipe avenue and El Verano way and San Felipe avenue and San Jacinto way; San Jacinto way between Monterey boulevard and San Felipe avenue; Maywood drive between El Verano way and Brentwood avenue; Fernwood drive between El Verano way and Brentwood avenue; Monterey boulevard between Ridgewood avenue and San Aleso avenue, including the crossings of Monterey boulevard with Hazelwood avenue, Valdez avenue, Colon avenue, Plymouth avenue and Ridgewood avenue and the intersections of Monterey boulevard and Montecito avenue, Miramar avenue, Yerba Buena avenue, Faxon avenue, St. Elmo way, Northgate drive, El Verano way, Westgate drive, San Jacinto way and San Aleso avenue; Juanita way between Del Sur and Marne avenues, including the intersections of Juanita way and Rex avenue, Marne avenue, Lansdale way and Miraloma drive between Portola drive and Juanita way; Juanita way between Marne avenue and Miraloma drive, Rex avenue between Portola drive and Juanita way and Marne avenue between Portola drive and Juanita way.

Accepting Deeds of Easement, Golden Gate Heights.

Also, Resolution No. 29022 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following deeds of easement from

Theophilus Allen;
Karl M. Anderson;
S. W. and Antonette Band;
William Barrett;
Mary Boland;
Edith M. Bonelli;
Edward E. Brownell;
G. S. Chamberlin;
Lazar S. Churich;
Sarah C., Grace M., Samuel M. and W. H. Jr. Crim;
Geo. S., Grace M., Sarah C., Samuel M. and Wm. H. Jr. Crim;
George S. Crim;
Wm. B. Dunning;
Ida Eberhard;
Harriette E. Ely;
Jessie Ewald;
Emily W. P. Ewald;
Anna Fick;
O. Fleissner;
Jeanie C. and Tibbe, Wilhelmina Fleissner;
Ernest P. Gendotti;
Sylvia Gendotti;
Phillip and Anna M. Hack;
Marie E. Hammond;
David and Linda S. and Meyer, S. T. and Emma Hirschler;
Maude G. Hupp;
Martha Agnew Graham;
Everett T. Grimes;
Carl F. Haas;
Elmer E. and Annie G. Kelly;
Geo. T. Kilham;
Theresa M. McCullough;
Francis A. McDonald;
Mary A. Morton;
Josephine and Steven, Henry Moynihan;
Honoria and Ellen Murphy;
Phillip and Emma L. Nicholson;
Margaret T. O'Keefe;
Margaret T. O'Keefe;
Mary O'Shaughnessy;
Pacific Orient Company;
Nellie Reilly;
Geary Rich;
Salarac Land Company;
Wm. L. Smith;
Sterling Realty Company;
Sterling Realty Company;
Fanny M. Winter;
Wm. J. and Annie S. and Noonan, Joseph E. and Mae H. White;
Albert F. and Anna M. Wolter;
Josephine V. Yost;
San Francisco and Los Angeles Realty Company;
Lieuella Tisdale,

to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, over property in the district known as the Golden Gate Heights District as described in said deeds are hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Accepting Deeds to Lands for Streets in Miraloma Park.

Also, Resolution No. 29623 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deeds executed on the 11th day of January, 1928, by the California Pacific Title and Trust Company (a corporation) and California Pacific Title and Trust Company (a corporation) executed on the 28th day of April, 1928, to the City and County of

San Francisco, California, a municipal corporation, to lands for street purposes as shown on map entitled "Subdivision No. 6, Miraloma Park, San Francisco," approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 102060 (Second Series) is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deeds are hereby declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Approval of Bond, Miraloma Park.

Also, Resolution No. 29024 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Meyer Bros. (a corporation), as principal, and Theodore G. Meyer and G. H. Winter, as sureties, in the sum of two thousand dollars, which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against the property as shown on map entitled "Subdivision No. 6, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Approval of Map, Miraloma Park.

Also, Resolution No. 29025 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 102060 (Second Series), approved a map entitled "Subdivision No. 6, Miraloma Park"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That map of "Subdivision No. 6, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Extensions of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 29026 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after May 21, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Pennsylvania avenue between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets under a public contract. This extension is made upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is well under way and has been delayed on account of weather conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Also, Resolution No. 29027 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after April 28, 1928, within which to complete the widening of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Ottawa avenue under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is practically completed and the contractor has been delayed due to obstructions in the street, such as houses, etc.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Also, Resolution No. 29028 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Cohn is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after April 28, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Thor avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets, under public contract. This recommendation is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is practically completed and an extension is required pending acceptance of the work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent,

McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Condemnation Proceedings for Lands for Widening and Extension of Adam Street.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Eve street, distant thereon 66 feet westerly from the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence westerly along said line of Eve street 53.839 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right, radius 80 feet, tangent to a line deflected 157 deg. 38 min. 09 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 22 deg. 21 min. 51 sec., a distance of 28.434 feet; thence easterly tangent to the preceding curve 26 feet; thence at right angles southerly 5 feet to the northerly line of Eve street and the point of commencement; being portion of Precita Valley Lands.

Said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening and extension of Adam street and Eve street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land, and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Intention to Change Grades.

Resolution No. 29029 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 102068 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted May 14, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board, filed May 16, 1928, to-wit:

On Wilder street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 270.13 feet easterly from Carrie street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Also, Resolution No. 29030 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street; and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom, and Diamond street as shown on map entitled "Grade Map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street; and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom and Diamond street," approved by Resolution No. 101944 (Second Series) adopted May 4, 1928.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano,

Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill, on recommendation of the Streets Committee, was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades, Cunningham Place.

Bill No. 8559, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Cunningham place between Valencia street and a line parallel with and 179 feet west therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

The grades on Cunningham place between Valencia street and a line parallel with and 179 feet westerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed April 27, 1928.

Cunningham Place.

Northerly line of, at Valencia street, 44.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Valencia street, 45.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Two feet six inches southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Valencia street, 45.20 feet.

Southerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Valencia street, 45.40 feet.

Southerly line of, 159 feet westerly from Valencia street. 45.00 feet.

Two feet six inches southerly from the northerly line of, 179 feet westerly from Valencia street, 44.10 feet.

22 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 179 feet westerly from Valencia street, 44.60 feet.

On Cunningham place between Valencia street and a line parallel with and 179 feet westerly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Ash Street Lying Between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street as Hereinafter Described.

Also, Resolution No. 29031 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 26027 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon a portion of Ash street, lying between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Ash street lying between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 3, Chapter 3, Article 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Ash street lying between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of a portion of Ash street lying between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 26027 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for closing of a portion of said Ash street lying between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of a portion of Ash street lying between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street be and the same is hereby ordered, and that the said portion of Ash street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The said portion of Ash street hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Ash street lying between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street in Western Addition Block No. 76.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as

required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Birch street Lying West of the West Line of Van Ness Avenue in Western Addition Block No. 75 as Hereinafter Described.

Also, Resolution No. 29032 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has by Resolution No. 26028 (New Series) declared its intention to close up and abandon a portion of Birch street situated in the City and County of San Francisco as hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Birch street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication in the manner provided by Section 3, Chapter 3, Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Birch street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Birch street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 26028 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said street is nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing of a portion of Birch street and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of a portion of Birch street be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of Birch street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The said portion of Birch street, hereinabove referred to, is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

All of that portion of Birch street lying west of the west line of Van Ness avenue in Western Addition Block No. 75.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters, on recommendation of the Streets Committee, were *passed for printing:*

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Venard Alley.

Also, Bill No. 8560, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 10, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty, to read as follows:

Section 1040. The width of sidewalks on Venard alley, the westerly side of, between Chestnut street and its southerly termination shall be two (2) feet six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Venard alley, the easterly side of, between Chestnut street and its

southerly termination are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Brentwood Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8561, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-six to ten hundred and thirty-nine, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 20, 1928, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-six to ten hundred and thirty-nine, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 1026. The width of sidewalks on Brentwood avenue between Mangels avenue and Hazelwood avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brentwood avenue between Hazelwood avenue and Yerba Buena avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brentwood avenue between Yerba Buena avenue and Maywood drive shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1027. The width of sidewalks on Mangels avenue (the southerly side of) between Ridgewood avenue and Hazelwood avenue shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Mangels avenue (the northerly side of) between Ridgewood avenue and Hazelwood avenue shall be fourteen (14) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Mangels avenue between Hazelwood avenue and Plymouth avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1028. The width of sidewalks on Joost avenue between Ridgewood avenue and Hazelwood avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 1029. The width of sidewalks on Hazelwood avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hazelwood avenue between Mangels avenue and Yerba Buena avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1030. The width of sidewalks on Valdez avenue between Monterey boulevard and Brentwood avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1031. The width of sidewalks on Colon avenue between Monterey boulevard and Brentwood avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1032. The width of sidewalks on Plymouth avenue between Monterey boulevard and Yerba Buena avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 1033. The width of sidewalks on Yerba Buena avenue between Monterey boulevard and Hazelwood avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 1034. The width of sidewalks on St. Elmo way between Monterey boulevard and El Verano way shall be eight (8) feet.

The width of sidewalks on St. Elmo way between El Verano way and Yerba Buena avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1035. The width of sidewalks on El Verano way between Monterey boulevard and St. Elmo way shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1036. The width of sidewalks on San Felipe avenue between Monterey boulevard and San Jacinto way shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1037. The width of sidewalks on Fernwood drive between El Verano way and Brentwood avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1038. The width of sidewalks on Maywood drive between El Verano way and Brentwood avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1039. The width of sidewalks on Monterey boulevard (the northerly side of) between Ridgewood avenue and San Jacinto way shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Monterey boulevard (the southerly side of) between Ridgewood avenue and San Aleso avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Monday, May 28, 1928, for Hearing of Objections to Improvement of Geneva Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29033 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, May 28, 1928, at 10 a. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public

Works in overruling the objections of property owners against the improvement of Geneva avenue between Delano avenue and the easterly line of San Jose avenue by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with Y branches, side sewers, and brick manholes along the center line of Geneva avenue from a point 20 feet easterly from San Jose avenue to the westerly line of Delano avenue; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Boulevard Program Endorsed.

Also, Resolution No. 29034 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has an extensive program under way for the construction of boulevards and highways, which will constitute a valuable and important resource to the entire City and surrounding communities; and

Whereas, the territory bordering highways throughout this State and other states is being marred and destroyed in many cases by the erection of unsightly vending stands and other enterprises, and by promiscuous and indiscriminate advertising, to the detriment of the purpose for which said highways are constructed; and

Whereas, the State Highway Commission has endorsed a movement to prevent further marring of the beauty and attractiveness of our highways, and this Board has been requested to concur in this endorsement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board hereby endorses the said movement and pledges its support and assistance in the plan for the protection of the highways; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby requested and urged to exercise extreme caution in the granting of building permits along all highways within the City and County, and all other departments are requested to assist said Board of Public Works to the end that this plan will be furthered.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters, on recommendation of the Streets Committee, were *passed for printing*:

Authorizing Plans, Etc., Alemany Boulevard.

Bill No. 8562, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "E", Contract 5, from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard a distance of about 2710 feet with a width of 100 feet and 10-foot sidewalks, by grading, sewering and part paving, and ordering the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "E", Contract 5, from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard a distance of about 2710 feet with a width of 100 feet and 10-foot sidewalks, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said construction of Alemany boulevard, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said construction, the cost of said construction to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "E", Contract 5, from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard a distance of about 2710 feet with a width of 100 feet and 10-foot sidewalks, by grading, sewering and part paving, and to enter into contract for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "E", Contract 5, from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard a distance of about 2710 feet with a width of 100 feet and 10-foot sidewalks, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

The cost of said construction to be borne out of funds of the Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Section 2. The Board of Public

Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said Alemany boulevard conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., Great Highway.

Also, Bill No. 8563, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of Great Highway from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Contract No. 8, by paving and drainage for two fifty-foot roadways for a distance of approximately two miles, and the construction of three pedestrian subways; ordering the construction of Great Highway from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Contract No. 8, by paving and drainage for two fifty-foot roadways for a distance of approximately two miles, and the construction of three pedestrian subways, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of Great Highway from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said construction, the cost of said construction to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of Great Highway from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Contract No. 8, by paving and drainage for two fifty-foot roadways for a distance of approximately two miles, and the construction of three pedestrian subways, and to enter into contract for the construction of Great Highway from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Contract No. 8, by paving and drainage for two fifty-foot roadways for a distance of approximately two miles and the construction of three pedestrian subways, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

The cost of said construction to be borne out of funds of the Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said Great Highway conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Extend Minna Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29035 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the extension of Minna street between Tenth and Eleventh streets. The land and improvements deemed necessary to be taken for said extension of Minna street is particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Eleventh street, distant thereon 160 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mission street, and running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Eleventh street 35 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 100 feet to the southwesterly terminus of Minna street in Mission Block 6; thence at right angles northwesterly along said southwesterly terminus 35 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 100 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Mission Block 6.

And said Board of Supervisors does hereby determine and declare that said proposed extension of Minna street between Tenth and Eleventh streets is of more than ordinary public benefit and will affect and benefit the lands and district hereinafter described and which said district is hereby declared to be the district affected and benefited by said extension. The City and County of San Francisco to pay the sum of four thousand five hundred ($4,500) dollars to defray one-half of the cost of the land and improvements and therefore the remaining cost and expenses of said extension shall be and are hereby made chargeable against and shall be assessable upon said lands and district, which lands and district are within the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the exterior boundaries of said lands and district affected and benefited by

said extension are particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Eleventh street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mission street, and running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Eleventh street 125 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 50 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 231 feet 9 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly 20 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 78 feet 3 inches to a point on the southwesterly line of Tenth street, distant thereon 60 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Minna street; thence at right angles northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Tenth street 123 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 80 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 30 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 169 feet 4 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly 22 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 27 feet 4 inches; thence at right angles southeasterly 20 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 133 feet 4 inches to the point of beginning. Being portion of Mission Block 6.

Said extension of Minna street between Tenth and Eleventh streets shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and sections following Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of said Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill, on recommendation of the Streets Committee, was *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, Stonetex Corporation.

Bill No. 8564, Ordinance No. —— (New Series) as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Stonetex Corporation to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Carroll avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets in the City and County of San Francisco as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Stonetex Corporation to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Carroll avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets as follows:

Beginning at a point in existing track in Carroll avenue, approximately 287 feet west of west line of Ingalls street; thence southeasterly and crossing portion of Carroll avenue to a point in the southerly line thereof approximately 113 feet from the west line of Ingalls street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Stonetex Corporation.

Provided, that Stonetex Corporation shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Printed Forms.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 29036 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing printed forms and blanks for school department during the fiscal year 1928-29 be hereby made on bids submitted May 14, 1928 (Proposal No. 370), and tabulation thereof on file in the Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee, and the awards herein approved by it, same are made to the following:

Bid No. 4—Buckley & Curtin, amount of bond required $100.
Bid No. 5—Phillips & Van Orden Co., no bond required.
Bid No. 6—Western Loose Leaf Co., amount of bond required $100.
Bid No. 8—A. Carlisle & Co., amount of bond required $100.
Bid No. 9—California Printing Co., amount of bond required $500.
Bid No. 10—Margaret Mary Morgan Co., no bond required.
Bid No. 11—The Jenkins Hall Index Card Co., amount of bond required $100.
Bid No. 12—Wilcox & Co., amount of bond required $100.
Bid No. 13—Neal, Stratford & Kerr, amount of bond required $200.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

All other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Award of Contract, Window Cleaning.

Also, Resolution No. 29037 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to American Building Maintenance Company on bid submitted April 30, 1928 (Proposal No. 368), for furnishing the following, viz: Window cleaning for the School Department during the fiscal year 1928-29, for the sum of $8,370.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $2,000 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Award of Contract, Scavenger Service, School Department.

Also, Resolution No. 29038 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Domenico Fontana on bid submitted April 30, 1928 (Proposal No. 369), for furnishing scavenger service for School Department during the fiscal year 1928-29 at a monthly payment of $773.

Resolved, That bond in the sum of $2,000 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Declaring Result of Special Election, Acquisition of Spring Valley Water Company Properties.

Resolution No. 29039 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring the result of the special election held on the first day of May, 1928, for the purpose of authorizing the incurring of a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty-one million dollars ($41,000,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 7991 (New Series), calling a special election, and Resolution No. 28813 (New Series), giving notice of the same, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the first day of May, 1928, whereat was submitted to and determined by the qualified voters of said City and County the following proposition:

To incur a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of forty-one million dollars ($41,000,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion

thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying good, pure water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Whereas, said election was held and conducted in form and manner and in every respect as required by law, and the returns thereof canvassed, an dthe result thereof determined and declared as required by law; and

Whereas, the Board of Election Commissioners of said City and County filed on May 14, 1928, in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, its certificate showing the facts above recited; and setting forth in detail the total number of votes cast at such election, and the number of votes cast and counted for and against the aforementioned proposition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors as follows:

1. It is hereby declared that the special election held on the first day of May, 1928, was held and conducted and the votes cast and counted thereat, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof determined and declared, in all respects, and in time, form and manner as required by the laws of the State of California and the Charter and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

2. It is hereby declared that the total number of votes cast at said special election was 103,665.

3. It is hereby declared that of the votes so cast, 82,490 were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, and that 21,175 votes were cast and counted as be-in gagainst and not in favor of said proposition herein set forth.

4. It is hereby declared and determined that more than two-thirds of all the votes cast at said special election were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, and were sufficient to authorize nad did authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition.

5. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Declaring Result of Special Election, Hetch Hetchy Bonds.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 29040 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring the result of the special election held on the first day of May, 1928, for the purpose of authorizing the incurring of a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of twenty-four million dollars ($24,000,000) for the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: Aqueduct tunnels, pipelines and other structures and appurtenances, including the acquisition of lands necessary for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveyance of water from the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, situated within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes.

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 7990 (New Series), calling a special election, and Resolution No. 28812 (New Series), giving notice of the same, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the first day of May, 1928, whereat was submitted to and determined by the qualified voters of said City and County the following proposition:

To incur a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of twenty-four million dollars ($24,000,000) for the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: aqueduct tunnels, pipelines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne System, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries, situated within the

State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants.

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Whereas, Said election was held and conducted in form and manner and in every respect as required by law, and the returns thereof canvassed, and the result thereof determined and declared as required by law; and

Whereas, The Board of Election Commissioners of said City and County filed on May 14, 1928, in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, its certificate showing the facts above recited; and setting forth in detail the total number of votes cast at such election, and the number of votes cast and counted for and against the aforesaid proposition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors as follows:

It is hereby declared that the special election held on the first day of May, 1928, was held and conducted and the votes cast and counted thereat, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof determined and declared, in all respects, and in time, form and manner as required by the laws of the State of California and the Charter and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

2. It is hereby declared that the total number of votes cast at said special election was 106,240.

3. It is hereby declared that of the votes so cast 94,859 were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, and that 11,381 votes were cast and counted as being against and not in favor of said proposition herein set forth.

4. It is hereby declared and determined that more than two-thirds of all the votes cast at said special election were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, and were sufficient to authorize and did authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition.

5. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution, on recommendation of the Streets Committee, was *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, $94,230, Reconstruction of Certain Streets.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

Broadway, Scott to Divisadero streets, $6,500.

Divisadero street, Union to Filbert streets, $6,000.

Eighth street, Market to Mission streets (widening), $2,200.

Eighth street, Brannan to Townsend streets, $4,000.

Eighteenth avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton streets, $2,300.

Frederick street, Masonic to Buena Vista avenues, $3,500.

Genessee street, Joost to Mangels avenues, $1,500.

Gough street, Washington to Jackson streets, $4,000.

Gough street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $1,500.

Guerrero street, 18th street to San Jose avenue, $10,800.

Harriet street, Harrison to Bryant streets, $6,000.

Jackson street, Taylor to Jones streets, $4,000.

Montgomery street, Post street to Columbus avenue, $5,000.

Page street, Octavia to Buchanan streets, $11,500.

Polk street, crossing at Broadway, $2,000.

Sixteenth avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton streets, $3,000.

Sixteenth street, Castro to Flint streets, $3,400.

Sutter street, Fillmore to Divisadero streets, $5,000.

Taylor street, Columbus avenue to Bay street, $2,000.

Third street, Brannan street to Third street bridge, $7,000.

Twenty-second street, Vicksburg to Sanchez streets, $2,030.

Washington street, Kearny to Montgomery streets, $1,000.

Total, $94,230.

N. S. G. W. in San Francisco in May, 1929.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Redding, Cal., May 21, 1928.

Mayor James Rolph, City Hall:

San Francisco was unanimously selected for the meeting of the next Grand Parlor, Native Sons, in May, 1929.

J. EMMET HAYDEN,
CHAS. J. POWERS.

Read and filed.

Leave of Absence, Chief of Police D. J. O'Brien.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, May 21, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Chief of the Police Department, D. J. O'Brien, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing June 18, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

San Francisco, May 18, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Pursuant with the provisions of Section 3, Article 16, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I respectfully request permission to leave the state for a period of not more than sixty days, commencing June 18, 1928.

Respectfully,
D. J. O'BRIEN,
Chief of Police.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29041 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. D. J. O'Brien, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 18, 1928, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Leave of Absence, Dr. J. M. Toner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, May 21, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Dr. J. M. Toner, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing May 25.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29042 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Dr. J. M. Toner, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing May 25, 1928, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott.

The following was presented and read:

San Francisco, May 21, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent herself from the State of California from May 21 to May 24.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29043 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, be and she is hereby granted a leave of absence from May 21 to May 24, 1928, inclusive, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano,

Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5

Cemetery Ordinances.

Supervisor Andriano presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Declaring that the further maintenance of Masonic cemetery in the City and County of San Francisco, as a burial place for the human dead, threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public, and demands the disinterment and removal beyond the limits of the City and County of San Francisco of the human bodies interred therein; prescribing the time within which the removal of such bodies must be made; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; and requiring and empowering the Board of Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterment and removal."

Also, Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Declaring that the further maintenance of the Odd Fellows cemetery in the City and County of San Francisco, as a burial place for the human dead, threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public, and demands the disinterment and removal beyond the limits of the City and County of San Francisco of the human bodies interred therein; prescribing the time within which the removal of such bodies must be made; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; and requiring and empowering the Board of Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterment and removal."

Referred to Public Welfare and Health Committee.

Employment of Superintendent of Building Inspection.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $907.25 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the General Fund, 1927-1928, and authorized to be expended by the Department of Public Works for the employment of a Superintendent for the Bureau of Building Inspection from May 18 to June 30, 1928, at the rate of $625 per month; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 28888 (New Series), setting aside and appropriating $1,875 for the employment by the Board of Public Works of a Chief Engineer for the Bureau of Building Inspection, at the rate of $625 per month, be and the same is hereby repealed.

Recommendation of Board of Public Works.

Resolution No. 102159 (Second Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board recommends that the Board of Supervisors repeal Resolution No. 28888 (New Series), and adopt a resolution setting aside and appropriating the sum of $907.25 from the General Fund, 1927-1928, to be expended by the Department of Public Works for the employment of a Superintendent for the Bureau of Building Inspection from May 18 to June 30, 1928, at the rate of $625 per month, this position being designated by the Civil Service Commission in accordance with Section 2, Article XIII of the Charter.

(Signed copy of resolution to Board of Supervisors. Files of Secretary.)

Passed May 21, 1928, by the following vote:

Ayes — Commissioners Meyer, Stanton and Reardon.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Little, Consulting Engineer of the Bureau of Building Inspection, declared that he was first on the eligible list of engineers and felt that he was entitled to the position made vacant by the death of Chief Building Inspector John Horgan. He declared as evidence of his ability to fill the position that he had passed upon the structural work of the Telephone Building, the Russ Building, in fact all such structures for the past ten years.

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton moved that the resolution *lay over one week.*

Ayes — Supervisors McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—6.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Suhr, Toner—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Resolution Defeated.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Havenner's resolution and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Suhr, Toner—7.

Noes — Supervisors McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon —5.

Supervisor McSheehy's Bridge Report.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Supervisor McSheehy's Special Bridge Committee report be made a Special Order of Business for 11 a. m., June 11, 1928.

So ordered.

Produce Terminal Ordinance.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Communication, from Marina Improvement Association and other organizations in re ordinance requiring permits from the Board of Supervisors for the establishment of produce terminals and kindred businesses.

Referred to City Attorney.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 7 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 11, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 23

Wednesday, May 23, 1928
Friday, May 25, 1928
Monday, May 28, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WEDNESDAY, MAY 23, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Wednesday, May 23, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment for the purpose of hearing the public on the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the ensuing fiscal year 1928-1929.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—12.

Absent — Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Powers, Shannon, Todd—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

Privilege of the Floor.
Justices' Courts.

Robt. Dennis, Clerk of the Justices' Court, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He declared that his department was allowed the same as last year and objected on the ground that business was increasing, necessitating additional help, filing cabinets and other equipment, and an additional court. He requested salary increases for two clerks, and stated that about $2,000 additional was absolutely needed.

Kezar Stadium.

C. Henning, representing Citizens' Stadium Committee, opposed the item in the budget for the enlargement of Kezar Stadium. He recited reasons for his opinion that Kezar Stadium was not suitable as a major stadium project for San Francisco and would be unable to attract the big games to this city. Fault was found with the prevailing weather conditions with respect to wind and fog and the fact that records made on the field would not be accepted by the Amateur Athletic Association. He quoted coaches of St. Mary's, St. Ignatius and Santa Clara colleges as his authority for the statement that they are unable to play in Kezar Stadium the type of game that is necessary for an interesting football game, and if a football team is coached along the lines of an open game they cannot play at Kezar Stadium. He declared that Kezar Stadium was intended as a high school stadium and if it was proposed to make it a major project it would deter certain citizens who were prepared to finance a suitable stadium to hold 100,000, with ample auto parking accommodations and first-class location in the Mission District where ingress and egress and adequate transportation to handle large crowds would be ideal. This, he said, was the sole object of his objection to an appropriation for enlarging the Kezar Stadium.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the remarks of Mr. Henning be transcribed and sent to the Park Commission so that said commission may be prepared to answer at next meeting.

So ordered.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that matter go over until 11 a. m. tomorrow and that one-half hour be given to hearing same.

So ordered.

J. Kelly, representing Central Federation of Improvement Clubs, declared that the additional $250,000 for Kezar Stadium is illegal and, if passed, is going to be tested in the courts.

Jno. A. O'Connell, representing San Francisco Labor Council, was heard in advocacy of certain increases in wages for city employees who are not now getting union scale.

College Hill Sewer.

Benj. Norton, representing Southeasterly Mission District, urged an appropriation for the College Hill sewer. He declared that that section of the city had been neglected too long and that the open sewer condition just east of the Mission viaduct was a menace to the health of the entire city and poor publicity for San Francisco to visitors who might come that way to attend the Portola Festival.

ganda and excursion trips on *State* matters.

8. A committee of the Board of Supervisors *cannot* possibly *include* anybody but Supervisors that are chosen as the legislative representatives of the people and *cannot* share their authority with others, nor can they escape responsibility for lack of action which they should take, by purporting to add to their own membership *non-members* whose votes in any capacity might control their action.

9. This authority to act for the *State* relates *solely to the waters which lie between land areas of different counties*, i. e., in the case of a Transbay Bridge, San Francisco Bay, and *does not* include a possible crossing of Alameda Estuary, a stream lying entirely in a completely different County. All proposed study of crossing the Estuary *is merely an idle act and therefore expenditures involved are a flagrant waste of public funds.*

10. Similarly the Bridge authority goes solely to the opposite bank and justifies *no inland invasion of a neighboring County* by the officials of *our* County, even in their *State* capacity.

11. The subject of the inland terminals in San Francisco County from the Bridge is an entirely *different matter* from the Bridge and should be handled by our municipal officials as a separate affair. It is entirely improper to attach as a feature of the Bridge any details as to routing through the City's streets at some distance from the Bridge of rail traffic coming off the Bridge.

12. The grant to Supervisors of the State's authority in this respect, *being a grant*, has to be strictly construed within the exact terms of the grant and *cannot* be extended *to include a Tunnel or Tube*. Regardless, therefore, of the fact that a Tube is physically and economically an impossibility, all discussion of the Tunnel or Tube is totally irrelevant; even if a Tunnel of any form were the best solution physically and economically for the problem, it is completely *beyond the powers of the* San Francisco Supervisors and is merely a time-consuming element in the discussions. To obtain the Tube authority would require a complete revision of the State's laws which, under existing conditions, is utterly hopeless to expect.

13. A *private* operating company would have to *pay taxes* to *both* Counties and *both* Cities at the termini of the Bridge, to the State, and income Tax to the *Nation*. Aside from the loss of revenues to the City and County from lack of such tax payments, the rural authorities have, by the results of the recent years' extension of the *Tax-exempt public ownership of utilities* to urban areas become so thoroughly aroused against the loss to the State revenues, the deficiencies caused in which have to be made up by rural population in undue proportion, that in their present control of the Legislature under recent Constitutional amendments, they will positively defeat any further effort to procure such changes in State Laws as would be needed to make possible a publicly owned (and therefore tax exempt) structure of the magnitude and service of a Bay Bridge.

14. The Federal Laws as interpreted by Chief Justice Taft, of the U. S. Supreme Court, when he was Secretary of War, on this identical subject, *have not been since amended* and clearly provide that the determination of State officials, in this case our Board of Supervisors, is final as to the *site* of a Bridge, the War Department's approval of the location being merely to see that the Bridge is *built on the site designated in the franchise grant* and their approval of the plans being required to accommodate itself to reasonable adjustment for the two interfering classes of traffic, *commercial maritime* vs. the *land traffic* of the Bridge crossing.

15. Despite the assertion of War Department officials to the contrary which can only be overturned when a specific concrete case has been established by the initiating State Officials (our Board of Supervisors) this can be fully demonstrated and enforced promptly when these officials (our Board of Supervisors) discharge their own and *unshareable* responsibility of determining the grant.

16. The *Congress* of the United States cannot extend in any way the power of the corporate instrumentality of the *State* of California, i. e., the City and County organization, and the proposed legislation in Congress would be utterly futile, if it actually meant anything anyhow.

17. The proposed Congressional legislation doesn't mean anything because it is obvious that as far as this legislation has as yet pretended to go, it is carefully drafted to avoid opposition and grant nothing.

18. The proposed Congressional legislation makes a grant *solely* to the *City and County of San Francisco*, which, the testimony before

the Congressional Committees showed, *could not finance the project* to erect the structure and it was easier to grant a permit with conditions incorporated which insured that it could not be availed of than it was bluntly to refuse. That is the sole effect of anything that has as yet come out of Congress on the subject.

19. It is clearly established in Federal practice that such a grant is completely *non-transferable to any agency whether public or private*, and all the allegation that Congress has determined that it must be a publicly owned structure in the general sense of the term "public" is mere folly. If the Bill should pass, in the most liberal and favorable form as yet proposed, *it could not be made available to any combination of Counties, to any Bridge District, to any Authority, or to the State.*

20. There is therefore the double ban that the City and County *cannot* either legally or financially proceed under the Act of Congress, assuming it passed, or transfer it to anybody who could so proceed. *The whole proposition is thoroughly idle*, is not going to get anywhere, and if it did get anywhere, that place would be found inaccessible.

21. There is just one way to accomplish a result, and that is *to grant a franchise to somebody* whose interests will be served only by building a Bridge and not by prolonging the discussion of the building of the Bridge.

22. That the endorsement of the Women's Civic Center of resolutions urging the Supervisors and others to grant or support the grant of a franchise for a Bridge at *Site No. One*, as approved by our Board of Supervisors after the report of a specially selected Board of Engineers recommending it, should be complied with since that is the best judgment as to the suitable site for a Bridge, and we are advised that there are numerous applications on file before the Board of Supervisors which fall within the scope of that recommendation and determination.

There would thus be saved the heavy expenditure from *City funds* for Bay Bottom exploratory work, for that work would then be conducted by the franchise holder *at his own risk and expense*, without cost to the public funds and without the risk of complete loss to public funds should the results prove a structure impossible.

That the franchise grant should be made *NOW* regardless of the progress or lack of progress of any proposed Congressional legislation relative to the Bridge subject.

(Signed) ANNIE A. SCANLON,
President, Women's Civic Center.

War Department, Washington
In reply refer to
6371 (San Francisco Bay) 92
March 10, 1928.

Mr. John J. O'Toole,
City Attorney, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to your request of February 15, 1928, that the Attorney-General of the United States be asked to pass upon the question raised by you in a telegram of December 13, 1927, as to the authority of the Secretary of War and Chief of Engineers to refuse approval of the plans of a bridge when local authorities had determined that a bridge should be built on a particular site.

The facts are as stated in my telegram of December 20, 1927. Inasmuch as the Department has no *concrete question* to place before the Attorney-General and since the *presentation to him of a hypothetical question is prohibited by the rules governing the action of his Department*, I am unable to comply with your request.

Yours very truly,
DWIGHT F. DAVIS,
Secretary of War.

Western Union Telegram.
Dec. 13th, 1927.

Honorable Dwight Davis,
Secretary of War,
Washington, D. C.

In Nineteen Hundred and Five Chief Justice Taft, then Secretary of War, in construing Eighteen Ninety-nine bridge enactment in regard to situation arising in Boston Harbor, decided that act mentioned was not broad enough to permit your department to prohibit a bridge on navigable water wholly within a single State, and that when local authorities had determined that a bridge should be built on a particular site, your department should approve the location. Stop. This ruling was based on decision of Supreme Court, volume one sixty-five, page seven sixty-two, United States Supreme Court Reports. Stop. Act of Eighteen Ninety-nine remains unchanged. Stop. Will you advise me if you have any court decision or ruling of Judge Advocate or Attorney-General changing Judge Taft's decision. Stop. In Nineteen Twenty-three Attorney-General decided in matter of erec-

tion of dolphin at Santa Barbara, that your department in passing on bridge location and construction could only give consideration as to whether or not proposed bridge interferes with navigability of waters to such an extent as to affect commerce. Stop. in view of consideration given by you to objections made by Navy Department to San Francisco application, will you advise if there has been any change of ruling of Attorney-General?

JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney of San Francisco.

Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that copies of the document be furnished to the members and to the City Attorney. *So ordered.*

College Hill Sewer and Emergency Hospital.

Frank Olmo, representing Excelsior People's Association, asked for $350,000 for the College Hill sewer, and an emergency hospital for the Excelsior District at Onondaga avenue and Alemany boulevard at an estimated cost of $100,000. He protested the appropriation of $250,000 for Kezar Stadium and asked for $150,000 for McLaren Park. He urged the removal of the County Jail at Ingleside and declared that $113,000 had been turned over to the Sheriff for that purpose, being the proceeds of the sale of the Broadway Jail property.

D. Coglan, representing the Excelsior People's Improvement Association, urged the establishment of an emergency hospital in the Excelsior District and cited an example of its necessity in the recent case of a woman injured in an auto accident who lay in the street for half an hour before the nearest ambulance responded.

Marina.

Mrs. Leon, representing Marina Home Owners' Association, Thirty-first Assembly District Club and Golden Gate Valley Improvement Association, requested that sufficient money be provided to purchase land east of Webster street to Laguna street from the Marina to the Bay.

Grace Perego, representing the Home Builders' Association, favored appropriation for purchase of land for extension of Aquatic Park and opposed the establishment of a proposed produce terminal at that point. She opposed the appropriation for the Kezar Stadium, declaring that it is not urgent at this time.

Office Employees' Association.

Wm. C. Bonsor, representing the Office Employees' Association, asked for consideration of a number of salary increases without waiting for standardization, which he declared to be very unsatisfactory.

Thomas Robinson, representing the Pt. Lobos Improvement Club and the Park Presidio Club, urged adequate appropriations for street repaving and playgrounds for the children of the Richmond District. As representing the Civic League he spoke for the Aquatic Park, urging generous appropriation for its improvement and extension.

Per Diem Men Revolving Fund.

J. F. Kelly, representing Civil Service per diem men, urged an appropriation in the budget of $20,000 or $25,000 for the establishment of a revolving fund to cover accidents to per diem men. Now, he said, if a man is injured his companions are penalized and deprived of work to pay his benefits.

Mr. Mull, representing Electrical Workers' Union No. 151, requested an increase of salary for the electrical department, particularly for the electrical department of the Fire Department. He asked that fire alarm operators be given an increase of $25 per month, making their salary $250 per month.

Mrs. Josephine S. Bauer requested that sixteen keepers in the Sheriff's office be increased from $160 to $175 per month.

Mrs. D. E. F. Easton requested that an adequate appropriation be made for the Aquatic Park. The said plans were all ready and construction could begin at once.

Mr. Steimner urged provision for the construction of an Emergency Hospital in the Excelsior District.

Edw. Scully, representing Aquatic Park Association and Rowing and Yachting Clubs, urged adequate appropriation for completion of Aquatic Park.

Telegram.

A telegram addressed to Supervisor Gallagher from several residents in the Excelsior District requesting an appropriation for an Emergency Hospital in said district, was read by the clerk and ordered filed.

Removal of Sand From Street in Sunset District.

Norman Wells, representing a Sunset District improvement organization, urged an appropriation of $5000 to be used as a revolving fund to care for the problem of clearing streets in Sunset District of wind-blown sand.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Suhr, the Board at 5:20 p. m. adjourned to meet Friday, May 25, 1928, at 10 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

FRIDAY, MAY 25, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors Friday, May 25, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment for the purpose of considering the budget of municipal expenditures for the ensuing fiscal year 1928-1929.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

Kezar Stadium.

In accordance with motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, made at the last meeting, the matter of the appropriation for the Kezar Stadium was taken up for discussion.

Mr. B. P. Lamb, Secretary of the Park Commission, was heard as to the plans of the Park Commission for the improvement and enlargement of the Kezar Stadium.

Jos. R. Hickey, Director of Public Recreation for the Park Commission, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board at length.

T. F. Chase, engineer for the Kezar Stadium, declared that while the Kezar Stadium was not ideal, and the orientation of the field was not perfect, still he believed that to all practical purposes this condition could be corrected by the construction of a high structure which would also act as a windbreak.

C. Henning, representing the Citizens' Stadium Committee, urged that nothing be done at this time to enlarge Kezar Stadium, as best results could not be obtained by such action, and that if money is so appropriated it would preclude a much more desirable and adequate stadium being built by private capital.

Communications from the University of California and Stanford, being on the points at issue, were presented by Supervisor Havenner and read by the Clerk.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that Item No. 58 be changed to read: 58 (1091)—For the construction of a stadium, $250,000.

No second.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented a resolution relative to a proposed privately constructed stadium and moved that all interested persons be heard.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Kezar Stadium matter be taken into the hands of the Board.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Toner—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Hayden moved to take a recess until 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner moved as a substitute, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, that the Clerk be instructed to order lunch for the members and that the Board remain in session until the Budget is passed.

Approved.

Subsequently Item No. 58 was approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Marks, Schmidt, Stanton—3.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

RECESS.

Whereupon the Board, at the hour of 12:15 p. m., agreed to a recess until 1:45 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 1:45 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:
Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.
Quorum present:
His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

BUDGET, 1928-1929.

The following was read by the Clerk:
Bill No. 8565, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:
An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, and making a budget of the same.
Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:
Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter I, of the Charter of the City and County.
Section 2. No department, officer, board, commission or employee shall expend, agree to expend or incur any liability which shall exceed the amount of any appropriation herein made or which may be hereafter appropriated for any specific purpose, and no such appropriation shall be used or subjected to any liability for any purpose other than that for which it is herein specifically made, unless otherwise authorized by the Board of Supervisors.
All balances of appropriations unexpended, or against which no liabilities have been incurred, at the end of each caldenar month, shall be reported to the Board of Supervisors by the department, board, commission, officer or employee within ten days after the expiration of each previous month, and at the end of the fiscal year all such balances shall revert to and become a part of the unappropriated general fund unless otherwise ordered by the Board of Supervisors.
The Auditor shall not audit or issue any warrant contrary to the express provisions of this section.
Section 3. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Motion having been made and duly carried that the budget be considered seriatim, the following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 1-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	401 A	18 Supervisors at $2,400 each.....	$43,200	
2	402 A	Clerk	6,000	
3	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk.....	4,500	
4	"	1 Expert Accountant	6,000	
5	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,600.....	7,200	
6	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000	
7	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,100	
8	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600	
9	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
10	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
11	402 A	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,700	
12	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
13	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400	
14	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	3,000	
15	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector	2,400	
16	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium	3,000	
16a		1 Assistant Superintendent of Auditorium	2,400	
			$98,100	
		TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.		
17	433 A	Chief Operator	$1,980	
18	"	5 Operators at $1,800	9,000	
19	"	Relief Operators	675	
		Total Personal Services		$109,755

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Todd moved the following amendments:

7—1 Assistant Clerk be increased from $2,100 to $2,400 per annum.

10—1 Stenographer be increased from $2,100 to $2,400 per annum.

15—1 Gas and Water Inspector be increased from $2,400 to $2,700 per annum.

Supervisor Hayden moved as an amendment:

16—1 Superintendent of Auditorium be increased from $3,000 to $3,600 per annum.

Supervisor Stanton moved as an amendment:

17—Chief Operator be increased from $1,980 to $2,400 per annum.

18—5 Operators be increased from $1,800 each per annum to $2,100 each per annum.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the foregoing proposed amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 1 to 19, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

The following items were taken up:

(Appropriations 2-20 to 2-73)

20	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses	$5,000
21	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses	9,000
22	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	60,000
23	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000
24	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000
25	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar	8,000
26	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July	2,500
27	"	Memorial Day Observance	500
28	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band	20,000
29	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000
30	425 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals	7,500
31	529 B	Lighting Streets	765,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
32	402 H	Premiums on Official Bonds.....	6,000	
33.	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	50,000	
34	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000	
35	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges.....	5,000	
36	1093	Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers	200,000	
37	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit	140,000	
38	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen.....	5,000	
39	678 K	Auditorium	10,000	
40	467 H	Public Pound	18,000	
41	553 B	Feeble-minded Home	100,000	
42	553 H	Maintenance of Minors.....	740,000	
43	553 H	Widows' Pensions	220,000	
44	"	St. Catherine's Training School....	6,500	
45	"	State Schools	20,000	
46	419 K	City Planning Commission, Including Auto at $40 a month and Including Stationery, Postage and Printing, $90	6,970	
47	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Railroad	8,940	
48	1091	Yacht Harbor Improvement.....	10,000	
49	1091	Civic Center Beautification.....	15,000	
50	1093	Municipal Airport	90,000	
51	478 A 478 1091	For Personal and Non-Personal Services, Preventorium in San Mateo County	75,000	
52	466	For Maternity Service.....	12,500	
53	432 BO	Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000	
54		City Hall Repairs and Painting....	15,000	
55	1091	Convenience Stations	30,000	
56	"	Improvements, de Young Museum..	50,000	
57	1092	Traffic Signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control	150,000	
58	1091	Kezar Stadium	250,000	
59	"	Heroes' Grove	10,000	
60	"	Bay Street Opening Land Purchase	15,000	
61	"	Fine Arts Building Repairs.....	75,000	
62	410	Telephone Rate Investigation Expense (City Attorney)	50,000	
63	"	Bay Bridge Investigations; provided that no part of this item shall be expended but for this purpose unless and until the Federal Government has granted a permit for a Bay Bridge	100,000	
64	1091	Boys' Aid	15,000	
65	"	Fire House (Cliff House)	30,000	
66	"	Sutro Mosument Grounds, Repairs to Stairway	5,000	
67	——	Market Street Railway Valuation and Report	30,000	
68	——	Improvement of Twin Peaks.....	30,000	
69	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District.....	150,000	
70	1093	Street Signs	10,000	
71	434	Payment for Rental of 333 Kearny Street Lease	13,450	

Budget Item No.	Acct No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
72	401 CL	Stationery, Postage and Printing..	1,800	
72a		Aquatic Park Improvements.....	100,000	
		Total		$3,800,660

Bay Bridge Investigation.

Supervisor Marks moved that the following proviso be added to Item No. 63:

"Provided, that no part of this item shall be expended but for this purpose unless and until the Federal Government has granted a permit for a bay bridge."

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

AQUATIC PARK.

Supervisor Hayden moved to insert:

72a—Aquatic Park Improvements, $50,000.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Marks, moved as an amendment that the amount be fixed at $100,000 for Aquatic Park Improvements.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved as an amendment to the amendment that $80,000 be taken from Item 1014 for Aquatic Park Improvements.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Kent, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—5.

Whereupon, the roll being called on Supervisor Stanton's motion, the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers—5.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

PORTOLA FESTIVAL.

Supervisor Marks, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved to insert:

72b—For the holding of Portola Festival, $100,000.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, Marks, Stanton, Suhr—4.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Supervisor Marks, seconded by Supervisor Stanton, moved to insert:

72b—For holding Portola Festival, $75,000.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, Marks, Stanton, Suhr—4.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt—10.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Todd, Toner—4.

(See later proceedings relative to foregoing matters.)

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 20 to 72a, as amended, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

(Supervisor McSheehy requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on Item No. 71—Payment for rental of 333 Kearny street lease, $13,450.)

Chairman Retires.

At 4:30 p. m. the chairman, Supervisor Toner, who was about to leave for the East, relinquished the chair and Supervisor Gallagher was elected to preside.

Street Reconstruction.

The following items were taken up:

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
78	1091	Bernal Park and Boulevard		
		Broderick, McAllister to Fulton		
		Chenery, 30th to Diamond		
		Clay, Larkin to Hyde and crossing of Hyde		
		Duboce avenue, Noe to Market, widening		
		Elizabeth, Hoffman to Douglass		
		Eureka, 18th to 21st		
		Folsom, 11th to 14th, surface		
		Fulton, Masonic to Stanyan, widening		
		Green, Polk to Van Ness		
		Hayward street		
		Hillway, Carl to Parnassus		
		Jones, Pine to California		
		Kearny, Geary to Columbus, surface		
		Lincoln way, 1st avenue westerly		
		Miguel, Laidley to Chenery		
		Pierce, Filbert to Greenwich		
		Scott, Clay to Jackson		
		Scott, Fell to Duboce, widening		
		Seventh avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton		
		Seventeenth, Douglass to Roosevelt way		
		Sixth, Harrison to Brannan		
		Sixteenth, Castro to Flint		
		Shipley, 4th to Eliza place		
		Shipley, 5th to 6th		
		Taylor, Pine to California		
		Tehama, 8th to 9th		
		Total		$200,745

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Bureau of Supplies.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3-A)

74	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000
75	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600
76	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000
77	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000
78	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery.....	2,700
79	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
80	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools.....	2,700
81	"	1 Stenographer-File Clerk	1,680
82	"	2 Tabulators, at $1,680 a year.....	3,360
83	"	1 Chief Storekeeper	3,900
		Total Personal Services	$36,040
84	634 BC	Non-Personal (Appropriation 3-B)..	3,300

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
85	634 BC	Stationery, printing, books, postage (Appropriation 3-C)	10,000	
86	"	Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc. (Appropriation 3-D)...	20,000	
87	"	License tags and blanks (Appropriation 3-E)	6,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services.....	$39,300	
		Total Bureau of Supplies.....		$75,340

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

88	404 A	Mayor	$6,000
89	"	Executive Secretary	4,800
90	"	Assistant Secretary	4,200
91	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400
92	"	Male Night Clerk	2,100
93	"	Telephone Operator	1,800
94	"	Messenger	1,980
95	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each.....	4,800
		Total Personal Services	$34,080

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)

96	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter).....	$3,600
97	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	8,785
98	404 C	Stationery, postage and printing (Appropriation 4-C)	1,215
99	1090	Purchase of automobile	5,000
		Total Non-Personal Services.....	$18,600
		Total Mayor	$52,680

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

AUDITOR.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)

100	405 A	Auditor	$8,000
101	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
102	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each.....	10,800
103	"	2 Deputies at $2,880 each.....	5,760
104	"	4 Deputies at $2,700 each.....	10,800

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
105	405 A	1 Deputy	2,580	
106	"	5 Deputies at $2,400 each	12,000	
107	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580	
108	"	1 General Clerk	2,220	
109	"	4 Clerks at $1,920 each	7,680	
110	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,220	
111	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099A	2,580	
112	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
113	"	Attorney	3,000	
114	"	Service, assessment, State and local compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	6,400	
		Total Personal Services	$82,320	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)		
115	405 B	Contingents	$500	
116	405 CL	Stationery, postage and printing (Appropriation 5-C)	5,400	
		Total Auditor		$88,220

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved the following amendments:

105—1 Deputy be increased from $2,580 to $2,700 per annum.

108—1 General Clerk be increased from $2,220 to $2,400 per annum.

109—4 Clerks be increased from $1,920 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

110—1 Stenographer-typist be increased from $2,220 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner the foregoing proposed amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 100 to 116, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

TAX COLLECTOR.

The following items were taken up:

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)	
117	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000
118	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
119	"	Cashier	3,300
120	"	Accountant	3,300
121	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480
122	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880
123	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each	5,160
124	"	26 Deputies at $2,400 each	62,400
125	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
126	"	Extra Clerical Help	20,000
127	408 A	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000
128	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$131,320

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
129	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List.....	$3,700	
130	408 D	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
131	408 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 6-C)	4,500	
		Total Non-Personal Services.....	$10,360	
		Total Tax Collector		$141,680

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved the following amendments:

121—6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each per annum be increased to $2,700 each per annum.

128—Tunnel Accountant be increased from $2,100 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the foregoing proposed amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 117 to 131, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

TREASURER.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)

132	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000
133	"	Chief Deputy	2,880
134	"	Cashier	5,100
135	"	Bank and Bond Deputy.....	3,900
136	"	1 Deputy	3,900
137	"	1 Deputy	3,000
138	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880
139	"	1 Deputy	3,300
140	"	Bookkeeper	3,600
141	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400
142	"	2 Clerks at $2,880 each.....	5,760
143	"	1 Clerk	1,980
		Total Personal Services.....	$46,700

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)

144	406 K	Non-Personal Services	$200	
145	406 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 7-C)	900	
		Total Treasurer		$47,800

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

ASSESSOR.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
146	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000	
147	"	Chief Deputy Assessor.....	2,400	
148	"	Special Deputy	3,600	
149	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers.	4,500	
150	"	Cashier Auditor	2,400	
151	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400	
152	"	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800	
153	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year.	48,000	
154	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year.....	9,000	
155	"	1 Asst. Personal Prop. Appraiser..	2,400	
156	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
157	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100	
158	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
159	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,800	
160	"	Cartographer Temporary	3,000	
161	407 AB	Temporary Asst. Deputies—General Clerks at $160 per month for time employed	98,000	
		Total Personal Services.....	$251,800	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)

162	407 AB	Personal and Non-Personal Service for Adjustments of Assessments..	$43,400	
163	407 B	Non-Personal Services, Including Autos at $40 a month.....	6,000	
164	407 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 8-C)	13,500	
		Total Assessor		$314,700

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor McGovern moved the following amendments:

158—15 Assistant Appraisers at $2,280 each per annum be increased to $2,400 each per annum.

159—Stenographer-Typist be increased from $1,800 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner the foregoing proposed amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 146 to 164, inclusive, were *approved* without objection by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
165	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	$5,000	
166	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400	
167	"	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
168	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each	13,500	
169	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220	
170	"	Typo Operator Mechanic	2,460	
171	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Asst	2,280	
172	"	2 Voting Machine Adjusters, $1,920 each	3,840	
173	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280	
			$59,180	

ELECTION EXPENSES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)

174	431 A	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9 a day	$2,700	
175	"	Outside Registration	15,000	
176	431 AB	Temporary Clerks, office and petitions	50,000	
177	"	Election Officers	65,000	
		Total Personal Services	$132,700	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)

178	431 B	Contractual Services	$62,000	
179	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000	
180	431 B	Rental of Polling Places	22,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$234,000	
		Total Elections		$425,880

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved the following amendments:

168—5 Deputy Registrars be increased from $2,700 each per annum to $3,000 each per annum.

172—2 Voting Machine Adjusters be increased from $1,920 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

173—Stenographer-Typewriter be increased from $2,280 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner the foregoing proposed amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Item Nos. 165 to 180, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

(Supervisor Andriano inquired whether or not the foregoing included men requested by the chairman of the Civil Service Committee, and was answered in the affirmative by Supervisor Havenner.)

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
181	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000	
182	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each	22,500	
183	"	1 Assistant	3,600	
184	"	5 Assistants at $3,000 each	15,000	
185	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each	7,200	
186	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk	3,300	
187	"	1 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerk	2,400	
188	"	6 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680	
189	"	Chief Clerk	3,000	
190	"	Assistant Chief Clerk	2,100	
192	412 A	Bookkeeper	1,800	
193	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
194	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
195	"	Messenger	1,800	
196	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$90,980	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-B)

197	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500	
198	412 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 10-C)	1,350	
		Total District Attorney		$99,830

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10½A)

199	411	Public Defender	$8,000	
200	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800	
201	"	1 Deputy Public Defender	3,600	
202	"	Clerk	1,800	
203	411 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 10½c)	90	
		Total Public Defender		$21,290

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

CITY ATTORNEY.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
204	410 A	City Attorney	8,000	
205	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200	
206	"	1 Deputy	7,200	
207	"	1 Deputy	6,000	
208	410	1 Assistant	4,200	
209	"	2 Assistants at $3,000 each	6,000	
210	"	1 Assistant	2,700	
211	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200	
212	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$47,600	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-B)		
213	410 K	General and Rate Litigation	9,370	
214	410 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 11-C)	630	
		Total City Attorney		$57,600

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)

215	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each	$3,600	
216	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	
217	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
218	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
219	"	Assistant Inspector	2,400	
220	417 A	1 Assistant Secretary	2,400	
221	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
222	"	1 General Clerk	2,100	
223	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,220	
224	"	Examiners and Clerks	8,500	
		Total Personal Services	$33,520	
225	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	$1,250	
226	417 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 12-C)	1,800	
		Total Civil Service Commission		$36,570

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

COUNTY CLERK.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
227	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
228	"	Chief Registry Clerk	3,600	
229	"	Cashier	3,000	
230	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each	14,400	
231	"	12 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	28,800	
232	"	19 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	45,600	
233	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each	9,600	
234	"	38 Copyists at $2,400 each	91,200	
		Total Personal Services	$204,200	
235	426 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 13-B)	150	
236	426 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 13-C)	11,025	
		Total County Clerk		$215,375

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor McGovern moved the following amendments:

228—Chief Registry Clerk be increased from $3,600 per annum to $3,900 per annum.

229—Cashier be increased from $3,000 per annum to $3,300 per annum.

230—5 Registry Clerks be increased from $2,280 each per annum to $3,000 each per annum.

231—12 Assistant Registry Clerks be increased from $2,400 each per annum to $2,700 each per annum.

232—19 Superior Court Clerks be increase from $2,400 each per annum to $2,700 each per annum.

233—4 Police Court Clerks be increased from $2,400 each per annum to $2,700 each per annum.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the foregoing amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 227 to 236, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stan-*referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

SHERIFF.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

237	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000
238	"	Cashier	3,300
239	"	Deputy	2,700
240	"	Attorney	1,800
241	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper	3,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
242	430 A	14 Deputies at $2,580 each	36,120	
243	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,364 each	139,476	
244	430 A	Stenographer	2,220	
245	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400	
246	430 A	Office Superintendent	3,600	
247	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000	
248	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640	
249	"	Chief Jailer	2,700	
250	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,580	
251	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,580	
252	"	1 Matron	2,400	
253	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,364 each	16,548	
254	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each	4,800	
255	"	2 Cooks at $2,340 each	4,680	
256	"	1 Jailer	2,400	
257	"	1 Female Deputy	1,920	
258	"	16 Keepers at $1,920 each	30,720	
		Total Personal Services	$279,584	
		Other than Personal Services—		
		(Appropriation 14-B)		
259	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$72,000	
260	557 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 14-C)	2,520	
		Total Sheriff		$354.104

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved the following amendments:

238—Cashier be increased from $3,300 per annum to $3,600 per annum.

242—14 Deputies be increased from $2,580 each per annum to $2,700 each per annum.

243—59 Jailers be increased from $2,364 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

244—Stenographer be increased from $2,220 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

253—7 Female Jailers be increased from $2,354 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

257—1 Female Deputy be increased from $1,920 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

258—16 Keepers be increased from $1,920 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the foregoing proposed amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 237 to 260, inclusive, were approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Removal of County Jail.

Supervisor McGovern moved that the subject matter of the removal of the County Jail from Ingleside be referred to the Public Welfare Committee. *So ordered.*

RECORDER.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
	454 AA	Personal Services—		
261	"	Recorder	$8,000	
262	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
263	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
264	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
265	"	7 Deputies at $2,400 each	16,800	
266	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
267	"	1 Special Copyist	2,580	
268	"	Machinist	2,700	
269	"	Stenographer	2,100	
270	454 AB	24 Copyists at $2,400 each	57,600	
		Total Personal Services	$116,600	

Non-Personal Services—

(Appropriation 15-B)

271	454 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing	$5,400	
		Total Recorder		$122,000

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor McGovern moved the following amendments:

263—2 Deputies (Cashiers) be increased from $2,580 each per annum to $2,700 each per annum.

264—6 Deputies be increased from $2,580 each per annum to $2,700 each per annum.

266—Chief Copyist be increased from $2,580 per annum to $2,700 per annum.

267—1 Special Copyist be increased from $2,580 per annum to $2,700 per annum.

269—Stenographer be increased from $2,100 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the foregoing proposed amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 261 to 271, inclusive, were approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

SUPERIOR COURTS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)

272	425 A	16 Judges	$80,000
273	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner	6,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
274	425 A	Assistant Secretary	2,400	
275	"	Jury Examiner	2,400	
276	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
277	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
278	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each	16,800	
279	"	Jury and Witness Fees (Appropriation 16-A-1)	35,000	
280	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses (Appropriation 16-A-2)	8,000	
281	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees) (Appropriation 16-A-3)	30,000	
		Total Personal Services	$184,800	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)		
282	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
283	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 16-C)	2,250	
		Total Superior Court		$195,050

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved the following amendments:

276—Information Deputy be increased from $1,800 to $2,400 per annum.

278—8 Translators be increased from $2,100 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the foregoing proposed amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 272 to 283, inclusive, were approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

JUSTICES' COURTS.

The following items were taken up:

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)	
284	424 A	5 Justices of the Peace at $5,400 each	$27,000
285	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200
286	"	Chief Deputy	3,300
287	"	Cashier	3,000
288	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each	8,100
289	"	Messenger	2,700
290	"	1 Clerk	2,700
291	"	1 Clerk	2,400
292	"	2 Clerks at $1,920 each	3,840
		Total Personal Services	$57,240

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
293	424 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$2,700	
		Total Justices' Courts		$59,940

Proposed Amendments.

287—Cashier be increased from $3,000 to $3,300 per annum.

288—3 Deputy Clerks be increased from $2,700 each per annum to $3,000 each per annum.

289—Messenger be increased from $2,700 per annum to $3,000 per annum.

290—1 Clerk be increased from $2,700 per annum to $3,000 per annum.

291—1 Clerk be increased from $2,400 to $2,700 per annum.

292—2 Clerks be increased from $1,920 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the foregoing proposed amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 284 to 293, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)

294	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100
295	"	Matron	1,500
296	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620
297	"	Night Superintendent	1,620
298	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200
299	"	8 Nurses at $960 each.....	7,680
300	"	Cook	1,200
301	"	1 Orderly	1,620
302	"	2 Orderlies at $1,200 each.....	2,400
		Total Personal Services.....	$20,940

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)

303	558-Var	Maintenance and Subsistence.....	$15,000
		Total Juvenile Detention Home..	$35,940

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

JUVENILE COURT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)

304	559 A	Probation Officer	$4,200
305	"	Chief Assistant Probation Officer...	3,300

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
306	559 A	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Collector.....	2,880	
307	"	3 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,700 each	8,100	
308	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Cashier-Bookkeeper..	2,700	
309	"	12 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	30,240	
310	"	4 Assistant Probation Officers who shall act as Stenographers at $2,220 each	8,880	
311	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Stenographer.....	1,980	
312	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as File and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services.....	$64,380	
313	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B)	3,785	
314	559 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 19-C)	1,215	
		Total Juvenile Court.....		$69,380

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved the following amendments:

310—4 Assistant Probation Officers who shall act as stenographers be increased from $2,220 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

311—1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as stenographer be increased from $1,980 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

312—1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as File and Information Clerk be increased from $2,100 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the foregoing proposed amendments were *referred to the Finance Committee*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 304 to 320, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)

315	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer.....	$4,200
316	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer...	3,300
317	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	17,640
318	"	1 Assistant Cashier and Clerk.....	2,280
		Total Personal Services.....	$27,420

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
319	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	250	
320	5590 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 20-C)	450	
		Total Adult Probation Dept.		$28,120

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)

321	553 A	Director	$3,000	
322	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
323	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
324	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$13,500	
325	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	640	
326	553 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 21-C)	360	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau		$14,500

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

LAW LIBRARY.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

327	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
328	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
329	"	Bookbinder	2,400	
		Total Personal Services	$10,200	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-B)		
330	427 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing	$270	
		Total Law Library		$10,470

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

POLICE COURTS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
331	423 A 4	Police Judges at $3,600 each.....	$14,400	
332	" 4	Stenographers at $3,900 each.....	15,600	
		Total Personal Services.....	$30,000	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-B)		
333	423 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing..	$90	
		Total Police Court.....		$30,090

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

CORONER.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

334	429 A	Coroner	$8,000
335	"	Chief Deputy	3,300
336	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000
337	"	3 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	7,740
338	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940
339	"	4 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	9,600
340	"	1 Stenographer	2,400
341	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100
342	"	Toxicologist	1,800
343	"	Relief	800
		Total Personal Services.....	$44,680
344	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000
345	1092	Purchase of Ambulance.....	5,000
346	429 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 24-C)	900
		Total Coroner	$53,580

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor McGovern moved that:

Item 337—3 Deputies be increased from $2,580 each per annum to $2,700 each per annum.

Item 340—1 Stenographer be increased from $2,400 per annum to $3,900 per annum.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 334 to 346, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
347	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	
348	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
349	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$24,300	
350	460 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 25-B)	$1,500	
351	1092	Purchase of Automobiles	3,000	
352	460 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 25-C)	270	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		$29,070

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that:

Item 347—Sealer be increased from $4,800 per annum to $6000 per annum.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 347 to 352, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

353	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner	$4,800	
354	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8 a day	4,800	
355	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$11,400	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-B)

356	464 A	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
357	464 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 25½-C)	135	
		Total Horticultural Commission		$13,095

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

The following items were taken up:

Commissioners and General Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
358	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000	
359	"	Chief Deputy Commissioner	4,200	
360	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
361	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
362	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
363	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400	
364	"	Stenographer	2,400	
365	"	Stenographer	2,400	
366	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
367	"	Messenger	2,280	
368	"	General Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$39,780	

Bureau of Accounting.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

369	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge	$4,300	
370	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper	3,120	
371	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000	
372	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 a year	28,800	
373	417 A	2 Experienced Clerks at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
374	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300	
375	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier	3,300	
376	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
377	"	Clerk	2,700	
378	"	3 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	6,300	
379	"	5 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	9,900	
380	"	Inspector of House Numbers	2,700	
		Total Personal Services	$73,420	

Bureau of Architecture.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

381	416 A	Superintendent of Construction	$4,200	
382	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000	
383	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700	
384	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200	
385	"	Stenographer	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$13,200	

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved that:

Item 362—Experienced Clerk be increased from $2,400 per annum to $2,700 per annum.

Item 363—Ordinary Clerk be increased from $2,400 per annum to $2,700 per annum.

Item 368—General Clerk be increased from $2,100 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Item 373—2 Experienced Clerks be increased from $1,800 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Item 376—Experienced Clerk be increased from $2,400 per annum to $2,700 per annum.

Item 378—3 Stenographers be increased from $2,100 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Item 379—5 Stenographers be increased from $1,980 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Item 385—Stenographer be increased from $2,100 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 358 to 385, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Bureau of Building Repair.

The following items were taken up:

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
386	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
387	"	Assistant Superintendent	3,600	
388	"	Superintendent of Painters	3,360	
389	"	Foreman Plumber	3,300	
390	"	Foreman Cement Finisher	3,300	
391	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,300	
392	"	Foreman Steamfitter	3,300	
393	"	Foreman Tinner	3,300	
394	"	Foreman Wireman	3,300	
395	"	Head Janitor	3,120	
396	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320	
397	"	Night Foreman, Janitor	2,160	
398	"	57 Janitors, at $1,860 a year	106,020	
399	"	4 Window Cleaners at $2,040 each a year	8,160	
400	"	Foreman Window Cleaner	2,220	
401	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation	3,765	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
402	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall.....	2,160	
403	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,860 a year	31,620	
404	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year..	3,720	
405	432 AB	Relief and Vacation, all Departments	1,840	
406	432 AA	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
407	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,640 a year	13,200	
408	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,640	
409	"	5 Firemen, at $2,220 each a year....	11,100	
410	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation.....	435	
		Total Personal Services.....	$234,640	
		Employment for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings (Appropriation 29½-A).		
411	432 A	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day....	$13,500	
412	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day....	3,000	
413	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day.....	2,100	
414	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650	
415	"	6 Plumbers, at $10 per day.....	18,000	
416	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10 per day.....	6,000	
417	"	6 Painters, at $9 per day.....	16,200	
418	"	Foreman Painter, at $10 per day....	3,000	
419	"	Painters, Shop Men, $7 per day.....	2,100	
420	"	Painters' Apprentice, at $6 per day..	1,800	
421	"	2 Tinners, $9.50 per day.....	5,700	
422	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day....	1,950	
423	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day.....	1,200	
424	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
425	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day.	2,400	
426	"	Glazier, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
427	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day.....	2,100	
428	"	Wireman, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
428a	"	Miscellaneous Labor	500	
428b	"	Reimbursements	500	
		Total Personal Services.....	$89,800	
		Non-Personal Services— (Appropriation 29-B and C)		
429	432 B	Contractual Services	$3,600	
430	432 BA	Transportation Autos Rental at $40 per month	2,500	
431	432 B 4a	Transportation Carfare	600	
432	432 C	Materials and Supplies.....	19,000	
433	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	180	
		SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.		
434	432 BC	Towel Service	2,575	
435	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900	
		MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.		
436	432 CB	Fuel Oil	16,000	
437	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	6,000	
438	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services.....	$56,355	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
439	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	45,000	
440	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	10,000	

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor McGovern moved that:

Item 396—2 Assistant Head Janitors be increased from $2,160 each per annum to $2,280 each per annum.

Item 397—Night Foreman Janitor be increased from $2,160 per annum to $2,280 per annum.

Item 398—57 Janitors be increased from $1,860 each per annum to $1,980 each per annum.

Item 399—4 Window Cleaners be increased from $2,040 each per annum to $2,160 each per annum.

Item 400—Foreman Window Cleaner be increased from $2,220 to $2,340 per annum.

Item 402—Elevator Starter, City Hall, be increased from $2,160 to $2,340 per annum.

Item 403—17 Elevator Operators be increased from $1,860 each per annum to $2,040 each per annum.

Item 404—2 Watchmen be increased from $1,860 to $1,980 each per annum.

Insert new item:

Item 410 A—1 Plant Electrician at $2,580 per annum.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that:

Item 411—5 Carpenters at $9 per day be amended to read 8 Carpenters at $9 per day.

Item 414—Carpenter's Apprentice be increased from $5.50 per day to $6.50 per day.

Item 415—6 Plumbers at $10 per day be amended to read 9 Plumbers at $10 per day.

Item 416—2 Steamfitters at $10 per day be amended to read 5 Steamfitters at $10 per day.

Item 417—6 Painters at $9 per day be amended to read 8 Painters at $9 per day.

Item 420—Painters' Apprentice be increased from $6 per day to $7 per day.

Item 421—2 Tinners be increased from $9.50 per day to $10 per day.

Item 422—Tinner's Helper be increased from $6.50 per day to $7 per day.

Item 423—Tinner's Apprentice be increased from $4 per day to $6 per day.

Item 426—Glazier be increased from $9 per day to $9.50 per day.

Item 428—Wireman at $9 per day be amended to read 3 Wiremen at $9 per day.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing Items Nos. 386 to 440, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
441	4142 AC	Experienced Clerk	$2,700	
442	"	Watchman	2,640	
443	"	Watchman	2,340	
444	"	Watchman	1,980	
445	"	2 Watchmen at $1,860 each a year	3,720	
446	"	2 Watchmen at $1,920 each a year	3,840	
447	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000	
448	"	Machinist at $9 a day	2,700	
449	"	2 Blacksmiths at $9 a day	5,400	
450	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers at $7.08 a day	6,372	
451	"	Vulcanizer at $7 a day	2,100	
452	"	Carriage Painter at $8.50 a day	2,550	
453	"	Painter's Apprentice at $5.50 a day	1,650	
454	"	2 Laborers, 365 days, at $6 a day	4,380	
455	"	2 Laborers at $6 a day	3,600	
		Total Personal Services	$48,972	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

456	4142 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	$1,000	
457	4142 B 4a	Single team at $10.75 per day	3,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$4,000	

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor McGovern moved that:

Item 441—Experienced Clerk be increased from $2,700 per annum to $3,000 per annum.

Item 442—Watchman be increased from $2,640 per annum to $2,760 per annum.

Item 443—Watchman be increased from $2,340 per annum to $2,460 per annum.

Item 444—Watchman be increased from $1,980 per annum to $2,100 per annum.

Item 445—2 Watchmen be increased from $1,860 each per annum to $1,980 each per annum.

Item 446—2 Watchmen be increased from $1,920 each per annum to $2,040 each per annum.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that:

Item 452—Carriage Painter be increased from $8.50 per day to $9 per day.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 441 to 457, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Bureau of Building Inspection.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
458	455 A	Chief Engineer Building Inspection	$7,500	
459	"	1 Inspector ..	3,300	
460	"	1 Inspector ..	3,000	
461	"	Boiler Inspector	3,000	
462	"	2 Experienced Clerks at $2,700 each	5,400	
463	"	1 Inspector ..	2,550	
464	"	10 Inspectors at $2,700 a year	27,000	
465	"	Messenger ..	1,560	
466	"	2 Engineers at $3,600 each	7,200	
		Total Personal Services	$60,510	

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

467	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000
468	"	Assistant City Engineer	4,800
469	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $3,300 a year	6,600
470	"	Surveyor ..	3,600
471	"	2 Surveyors at $3,300 a year	6,600
472	"	6 Surveyors at $3,000 a year	18,000
473	"	2 Field Assistants at $3,000 a year	6,000
474	"	19 Field Assistants at $2,700 a year	51,300
475	"	Draftsman ..	3,600
476	"	Draftsman ..	3,480
477	"	2 Draftsmen at $3,300 a year	6,600
478	"	5 Draftsmen at $3,000 a year	15,000
479	"	4 Draftsmen at $2,880 a year	11,520
480	"	2 Draftsmen at $2,700 a year	5,400
481	"	Inspector ..	3,300
482	"	Inspector ..	3,000
483	"	4 Inspectors at $2,700 a year	10,800
484	"	Chemist ..	3,600
485	"	Photographer ..	2,880
486	"	Blueprinter ..	2,400
487	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 a year	4,200
488	"	Chauffeur ..	2,400
489	"	H. and P. Engineer (Seasonal) at $9.50 per day	1,000
		Total Personal Services	$181,080

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)

490	415 B	Non-Personal Services	$8,000
491	"	Block Books (Appropriation 32-C) ..	4,000
		Total Engineer's Department	$193,080

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved that:

Item 463—1 Inspector be increased from $2,550 per annum to $3,000 per annum.

Item 464—10 Inspectors be increased from $2,700 each per annum to $3,000 each per annum.

Item 469—2 Assistant Engineers be increased from $3,300 each per annum to $3,600 each per annum.

Item 472—6 Surveyors be increased from $3,000 each per annum to $3,300 each per annum.

Item 478—5 Draftsmen be increased from $3,000 each per annum to $3,300 each per annum.

Item 479—4 Draftsmen be increased from $2,880 each per annum to $3,000 each per annum.

Item 480—2 Draftsmen be increased from $2,700 each per annum to $3,000 each per annum.

Item 486—Blueprinter be increased from $2,400 per annum to $2,700 per annum.

Item 487—2 Stenographers be increased from $2,100 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 458 to 491, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Street Repair Department.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
492	527 AA	Superintendent	$4,500	
493	"	Assistant Superintendent	3,900	
494	"	2 Assistant Superintendents at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
495	"	Experienced Clerk	3,000	
496	"	Stenographer, 4 months	600	
		MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.		
		Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-B)		
497	527 A	Engineer	$2,640	
498	"	2 Firemen at $2,220 a year.....	4,440	
499	"	Foreman at $10 a day.....	3,000	
500	"	Machinist at $9 a day.....	2,700	
501	"	Asphalt Dryerman at $8.50 a day..	2,550	
502	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen at $8.50 a day..	5,100	
503	"	Asphalt Mixerman at $9 a day....	2,700	
504	"	2 Asphalt Finishers at $8 a day....	4,800	
505	"	3 Asphalt Workers at $7.50 a day...	6,750	
506	"	Telephone Operator	1,620	
		SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.		
507	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher at $9 a day....	$1,350	
508	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers at $8 a day	2,400	
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.		
509	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters at $9.50 a day....	$5,320	
510	"	5 Laborers at $6 a day.....	8,400	

BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—200 DAYS.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
511	527 AC	Foreman at $9 a day.....	$1,800	
512	"	6 Pavers at $8 a day.....	9,600	
513	"	3 Rammers at $7 a day.....	4,200	
514	"	10 Laborers at $6 a day.....	12,000	
Non-Personal Services—				
515	527	Contractual	$35,000	
516	"	Materials and Supplies.....	10,000	
			$143,770	

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton moved that:

Item 495—Experienced Clerk be increased from $3,000 per annum to $3,300 per annum.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no.*

Correction—*Supervisor Havenner* corrected Item No. 502 to read: 2 Asphalt Mixermen at $8.50 per day.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 492 to 516, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)

517	527 A	9 Engineers at $2,640 each a year..	$23,760
518	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,640 each a year.....	5,280
519	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,860 each a year.....	18,600
520	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,860 a year.....	3,720
521	"	1 Carpenter, at $9 per day.....	2,700
522	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day	1,950
		Total Personal Services.....	$56,010

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)

523	527 B	Contractual	$1,000
524	527 C	Material and Supplies.....	1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services.....	$2,000

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor McGovern moved that:

Item 519—10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, be increased from $1,860 each per annum to $1,980 each per annum.

Item 520—2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, be increased from $1,860 each per annum to $1,980 each per annum.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no.*

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 525 to 538, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Street Cleaning Department.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
525	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
526	"	3 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 a year	8,100	
527	"	1 Experienced Clerk	3,000	
		(Appropriation 35-A-1)		
528	502 AA	6 Watchmen at $1,860 each a year	$11,160	
529	502 AC	220 Sweepers at $6 per day	396,000	
530	"	25 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per day	56,250	
531	"	14 Gang Foremen at $7 per day	29,400	
532	"	1 Night Gang Foreman	2,250	
			$510,360	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-B)

533	502 BA	Transportation	$1,500	
534	465	10 Double Teams, Street Cleaning, at $11.50 per day	34,500	
535	502 BL	Water	3,500	
536	502 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment	10,000	
537	502 C	Material and Supplies	20,000	
538	1092	Street Cans	1,200	
			$70,700	

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

539	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
540	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000
541	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700
542	501 AC	Asst. Superintendent in Charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550
		(Appropriation 36-A-1)	
543	501 AC	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day	16,500
544	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day	24,300
545	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per day	6,750
546	"	25 Sewermen at $7 per day	52,500
547	"	21 Laborers at $6 per day	37,800
548	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8.50 per day	45,900
		Total Personal Services	$196,200

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
549	B	Repairs to Equipment	$2,500	
550	C	Materials and Supplies	26,000	
551	501 AC	3 Double Teams, Sewer Repair, at $11.50 per day	10,350	
552	501 BN	Rental 7 1½-ton Trucks, at $12 per day	25,200	
553	"	Rental 1 3-ton Truck at $20 per day	6,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services		$70,050

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that:

Item 543—5 Bricklayers at $11 a day be amended to read 7 Bricklayers at $11 a day.

Item 544—9 Hodcarriers at $9 a day be amended to read 11 Hodcarriers at $9 a day.

Item 545—3 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per day be amended to read 4 Chauffeurs at $8 a day.

Item 546—25 Sewermen at $7 per day be amended to read 30 Sewermen at $7 per day.

Supervisor McGovern moved that a new item be inserted—Item 553 A, Compensation Insurance, $25,000.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing Items Nos. 539 to 553, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Sewage Pumping Station.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)

554	501 AA	Watchman in Charge	$2,160
555	501 AB	Relief and Vacation	90
		Total Personal Services	$2,250

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)

556	501 BH	Power	$400
557	501 C	Materials and Supplies	500
558	"	Repairs and Equipment	1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$1,900
559	501 BA	Carfare	1,200
560	501 BM	Rental 16 Autos, at $40 per month	7,680
561	501 BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	3,000
562	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment	2,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
563	501 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (all departments) (Appropriation 38-B)	12,000	
			$25,880	
		Total Board of Works.....		$1,947,877

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor McGovern moved that:

Item 554—Watchman in charge be increased from $2,160 per annum to $2,280 per annum.

Item 555—Relief and vacation be increased from $90 to $100 per annum.

Supervisor Marks moved to insert a new item—Item 553 A, Compensation Insurance (Revolving Fund), $25,000.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy, Stanton and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing Items Nos. 554 to 563, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)

564	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000
565	"	Secretary	3,000
566	"	1 Clerk	2,700
567	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100
568	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800
569	"	Chief Inspector	3,300
570	"	20 Inspectors at $3,000 each.....	60,000
571	"	Chief Operator	3,000
572	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600
573	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200
574	"	Relief Telephone Operator.....	750
575	"	Superintendent of Plant.....	3,600
576	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9.50 per diem.....	2,850
577	"	Batteryman	2,880
578	"	Foreman Instrument Maker.....	3,000
579	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each.	13,500
580	"	Foreman Laborer	2,340
581	"	3 Laborers at $6 per diem.....	5,400
582	"	2 Machinists at $2,700 each.....	5,400
583	"	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,880 each..	8,640
584	"	14 Linemen at $2,580 each.....	36,120
585	"	Inside Wireman at $9 per diem.....	2,700
586	"	Commissary	2,100
587	"	Repairer	2,640
588	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each.....	4,560
		Total Personal Services.....	$206,180

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
587	465 Var	Material, Supplies and Equipment, Stationery, Postage and Printing, Underground Conduit System.....	$30,000	
		Total Dept. of Electricity.....		$236,180

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved that:

Item 564—Office Superintendent be increased from $5,000 to $6,000 per annum.

Item 565—Secretary be increased from $3,000 to $3,300 per annum.

Item 566—1 Clerk be increased from $2,700 to $3,000 per annum.

Item 567—1 Stenographer-Typewriter be increased from $2,100 to $2,400 per annum.

Item 568—1 Helper Messenger be increased from $1,800 to $1,980 per annum.

Item 569—Chief Inspector be increased from $3,300 to $3,480 per annum.

Item 571—Chief Operator be increased from $3,000 to $3,300 per annum.

Item 572—8 Fire Alarm Operators be increased from $2,700 each per annum to $3,000 each per annum.

Item 578—Foreman Instrument Maker be increased from $3,000 to $3,180 per annum.

Item 585—Inside Wireman at $9 per diem, $2,700 per annum, be increased to $2,850 per annum.

Item 586—Commissary be increased from $2,100 per annum to $2,280 per annum.

Item 588—2 Clerks be increased from $2,280 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 564 to 588, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)

588	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800
589	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary.	4,500
590	"	Physician	2,820
591	"	Stenographer	2,580
592	452	Stenographer Clerk	1,800
593	"	Chief Engineer	7,200
594	"	2 First Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600
595	"	2 Second Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
596	452	21 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	88,200	
597	"	26 Operators at $2,520 a year.....	65,520	
598	"	Superintendent of Assignment.....	1,440	
599	"	Fire Prevention, 7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year.....	15,120	
			$213,180	
		ENGINE COMPANIES.		
600	452 AA	48 Captains at $2,820 each a year..	$135,360	
601	"	92 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	245,640	
602	"	26 Engineers at $2,640 each a year.	68,640	
603	"	92 Drivers at $2,400 each a year...	220,800	
604	"	340 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year.	816,000	
605	"	51 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year..	116,280	
606	"	45 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year..	97,200	
607		Automatic increase in salaries.....	4,335	
			$1,704,255	
		CHEMICAL COMPANIES.		
608	452 AA	11 Captains at $2,820 each a year..	$31,020	
609	"	12 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	32,040	
610	"	22 Drivers at $2,400 each a year...	52,800	
611	"	15 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	36,000	
612	"	5 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year..	11,400	
613	"	10 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year..	21,600	
614		Automatic increase in salaries.....	930	
			$185,790	
		TRUCK COMPANIES.		
615	452 AA	15 Captains, at $2,820 each a year..	$42,300	
616	"	23 Lieutenants, at $2,670 each a year	61,410	
617	"	27 Drivers, at $2,400 each a year..	64,800	
618	"	1 Driver	2,280	
619	"	28 Tillermen, at $2,400 each a year.	67,200	
620	"	139 Truckmen, at $2,400 each a year	333,600	
621	"	8 Truckmen, at $2,280 each a year	18,240	
622	"	11 Truckmen, at $2,160 each a year	23,760	
623		Automatic increase in salaries.....	660	
			$614,250	
		WATER TOWER COMPANIES.		
624	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
625	"	2 Lieutenants, at $2,670.....	5,340	
626	"	2 Drivers, at $2,400 each a year...	4,800	
627	"	3 Hosemen, at $2,400 each a year.	7,200	
628	"	7 Hosemen, at $2,160 each a year.	15,120	
			$35,280	
		RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.		
629	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
630	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
631	"	2 Drivers, at $2,400 each a year..	4,800	
632	"	3 Hosemen, at $2,400 each a year.	7,200	
633	"	2 Hosemen, at $2,280 each a year.	4,560	
634		Automatic increase	210	
			$22,260	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		PUMPING STATIONS.		
635	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,360 each a year	$6,720	
636	"	5 Assistant Engineers, at $2,760 each a year	13,800	
637	"	7 Firemen, at $2,340 each a year	16,380	
638	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805	
639	"	Vacation Firemen	685	
			$38,390	
		DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.		
640	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
641	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880	
642	"	Assistant Foreman Gateman	2,730	
643	"	11 Gatemen Hydrantmen, at $2,580 each a year	28,380	
644	452 AC	1 Calker, at $7.50 per day	2,250	
645	"	3 Laborers, at $6 per day each	5,400	
			$45,840	
		CORPORATION YARD.		
646	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
647	"	Clerk and Commissary	2,400	
648	"	Bookkeeper	2,400	
649	"	3 Watchmen, at $2,040 each a year	6,120	
650	452 A	1 Drayman	2,220	
651	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
652	"	Batteryman	2,700	
653	"	1 General Foreman	3,300	
654	452 AC	12 Machinists, at $9 per day	32,400	
655	"	5 Machinists' Helpers, at $6.58 per day	9,870	
656	"	4 Blacksmiths, at $9 per day	10,800	
657	"	4 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 per day	8,496	
658	"	2 Woodworkers, at $9 per day	5,400	
659	"	1 Brass Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
660	"	1 Boilermaker, at $9 per day	2,700	
661	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper, at $6.58 per day	1,974	
662	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter, at $9.50 per day	2,850	
663	"	2 Carriage Painters, at $9 per day	5,400	
664	"	1 Leather Worker, at $8.50 per day	2,550	
			$110,280	
665	"	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item (Appropriation 41-A-1)	$86,430	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)		
666	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$150,000	
667	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings (Appropriation 41-C)	90,000	
668	452 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 41-D)	2,250	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
669	1092	Equipment (Appropriation 41-E)..	90,000	
			$332,250	
		Total Fire Department.....		$3,388,205

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor McGovern moved that:

Item 651—1 Clerk be increased from $1,800 to $2,400 per annum.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing Items Nos. 588 to 669, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)

670	451 AA	4 Commissioners, at $1,200 each a year	$4,800
671	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
672	"	Confidential Clerk	2,400
673	"	Stenographer	3,000
674	"	Chief of Police.....	7,200
675	"	Chief Clerk	3,600
676	"	1 Property Clerk.....	3,600
677	"	Stenographer	1,800
678	"	Physician	2,400
679	"	6 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	10,800
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.	
680	"	Captain of Detectives.....	5,000
681	"	6 Lieutenants at $3,000 each a year.	18,000
682	"	68 Detective Sergeants at $2,760 a year	187,680
683	"	Stenographer	2,760
684	"	Photographer	2,700
685	"	3 Women Protective Officers at $2,400 each a year.....	7,200
		UNIFORM FORCE.	
686	451 AA	Captain of Traffic.....	4,000
687	"	14 Captains at $3,600 each a year..	50,400
688	"	35 Lieutenants at $3,000 each a year	105,000
689	"	88 Sergeants at $2,640 each a year..	232,320
690	"	119 Corporals at $2,580 each a year..	307,020
691	"	950 Patrolmen at $2,400 each a year.	2,280,000
692	"	27 Patrol Drivers at $2,400 each a year	64,800
693	"	10 Hostlers at $2,160 each a year...	21,600
694	"	4 Matrons at $2,100 each a year....	8,400
695	"	Matron's Relief..	1,312

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
696	451 AA	Cook	2,340	
697	"	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
698	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760	
699	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment.	2,760	
700	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760	
		Total Personal Services		$3,359,212
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)		
701	451 B	Contractual Service	$25,000	
702	451 C	Material and Supplies	50,000	
703	1092	Equipment, Teletype, Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-D)	52,500	
704	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
705	451 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 42-E)	12,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$148,500	
		Total Police Department		$3,507,712

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

The following items were taken up:

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)	
706	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000
707	"	Chief Clerk	4,200
708	"	Stenographer	2,580
709	"	3 Stenographers at $2,280 a year	6,840
710	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each a year	4,560
711	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800
712	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600
713	476 AB	Relief Telephone Operator	400
		ACCOUNTING.	
714	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200
715	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each a year	4,560
		STATISTICS.	
716	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600
717	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280
718	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280
719	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.	
720	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector	$2,700
721	"	7 Veterinarians at $2,400 each a year	16,800
722	"	16 Market Inspectors at $2,400 each a year	38,400

OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
723	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	$10,800	
724	"	1 Disinfector	2,400	
725	"	1 Disinfector	2,220	
726	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.	1,200	
727	"	Clinician	3,000	
728	"	Psychologist	1,800	
729	"	2 Assistant Psychologists at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
730	"	Assistant Psychologist	900	
731	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200	
732	"	Psychologist	600	
733	"	Social Service Worker	1,800	
734	"	Physician	2,100	
735	"	Janitress	1,500	

BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.

736	476 AA	Director of Laboratories	$3,600	
737	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000	
738	"	2 Bacteriologists at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
739	"	1 Bacteriologist	2,100	
740	"	Technician	1,500	
741	"	Clerk	2,280	
742	"	2 Helpers at $720 each a year	1,440	

Conservation of Child Life.

SCHOOL INSPECTION.

743	476 AA	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000	
744	"	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
745	"	4 School Inspectors (part time) at $1,800 each a year	7,200	
746	"	Optometrist	1,500	

DENTAL.

747	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000	
748	"	3 Dental Hygienists at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
749	"	11 Dentists at $1,200 each a year	13,200	
750	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600	

CHILD WELFARE.

751	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000	
752	"	Pediatrician	480	
753	"	Pediatrician	240	
754	"	Physician	1,500	

Food Inspection.

MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.

755	476 AA	2 Veterinarians at $3,600 each a year	$7,200	
756	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000	

OTHER FOOD CONTROL.

757	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	3,600	
758	"	7 Food Inspectors at $2,400 each a year	16,800	

CHEMICAL LABORATORY.

759	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000	
760	"	Chemist	2,700	
761	"	Technician	1,080	

Protection of Life and Property.

PLUMBING INSPECTION.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
762	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector	$3,600	
763	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors at $2,820 each a year	16,920	

HOUSING INSPECTION.

764	457 A	Tenement House Inspector	$3,000	
765	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
766	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	6,300	

FACTORY INSPECTION.

767	458 A	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	
768	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980	

REFUSE COLLECTION.

769	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each	$4,200	

CHARITIES AND RELIEF.

770	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year	$7,200	
771	"	1 City Physician	3,000	

FIELD NURSING.

772	476 A	Director	$3,600	
773	"	2 Supervisors School Medical Inspection at $2,280 each	4,560	
774	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280	
775	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280	
776	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau.	4,560	

Nurses.

TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.

777	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year	$15,840	
778	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800	

SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.

779	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year	$59,400	
780	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100	
781	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes	1,980	
782	"	10 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year	19,800	

SOCIAL SERVICE.

783	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980	
784	"	4 Social Service Workers at $1,980	7,920	
785	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620	

TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.

786	476 A	Director	$4,200	
787	"	Physician	600	
788	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020	
789	"	Cook—Michael Angelo School	720	
		Total Personal Services	$436,900	
790	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	6,250	
791	"	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	14,000	

RAT EXTERMINATION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-D)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
792	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280	
793	476 B	Carfare	225	
Non-Personal—				
794	476 C	Material and Supplies	525	
795	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-E)	33,850	
796	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment (Appropriation 43-F)	50,000	
797	476 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 43-G)	15,800	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$125,930	

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved that:

Item 709—3 Stenographers be increased from $2,280 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Item 710—2 Clerks be increased from $2,280 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Item 711—1 Clerk Personal be increased from $1,800 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Item 715—2 Clerks be increased from $2,280 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Item 717—1 Clerk—Mortuary be increased from $2,280 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Item 718—1 Clerk—Births be increased from $2,280 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Item 719—1 Clerk—Statistician Cancer Survey be increased from $1,500 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Item 741—Clerk be increased from $2,280 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Item 773—2 Supervisors School Medical Inspection be increased from $2,280 each per annum to $2,700 each per annum.

Item 774—Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse be increased from $2,280 per annum to $2,700 per annum.

Item 775—Supervisor Social Service be increased from $2,280 per annum to $2,700 per annum.

Item 776—2 Supervisors Tuberculosis Bureau be increased from $2,280 each per annum to $2,700 each per annum.

Item 777—8 Field Nurses be increased from $1,980 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Item 779—30 Field Nurses be increased from $1,980 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Item 780—Nutrition Worker be increased from $2,100 per annum to $2,520 per annum.

Item 781—Field Nurse—Foster Homes be increased from $1,980 per annum to $2,400 per annum.

Item 782—10 Field Nurses—Health Centers be increased from $1,980 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Item 783—Field Nurse be increased from $1,980 to $2,400 per annum.

Item 784—4 Social Service Workers be increased from $1,980 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Supervisor Suhr, for Supervisor Todd, moved that:

Item 712—2 Telephone Operators be increased from $1,800 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 706 to 797, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Laguna Honda Home.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
798	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
799	"	Clerk	3,000	
800	"	Stenographer	2,280	
801	"	Telephone Operator	900	
802	"	Watchman	1,500	
803	"	Physician	2,400	
804	"	Physician	1,800	
805	"	Pharmacist	2,400	
806	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800	
807	"	20 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	24,000	
808	"	1 Practical Nurse	840	
809	"	4 Practical Nurses at $600 each	2,400	
810	"	2 Vocational Therapeutic Nurses at $1,500 each	3,000	
811	"	Dietician	1,500	
812	"	Orderly	720	
813	"	Orderlies as required	16,740	
814	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation	100	
815	"	Commissary	2,400	
816	"	Laundryman	2,268	
817	"	Laundress	1,524	
818	"	Laundryman	600	
819	"	Chief Steward	2,400	
820	"	3 Stewards, at $1,680 each a year	5,040	
821	"	1 Steward	1,380	
822	"	Head Matron	2,400	
823	"	Matron	1,020	
824	"	Seamstress	1,380	
825	"	Seamstress	1,080	
826	"	Practical Nurse	1,080	
826a	"	4 Wardmen at $480 each a year	1,920	
827	"	Chief Cook	2,280	
828	"	Cook	2,100	
829	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940	
830	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
831	"	Butcher	2,520	
832	"	Butcher, Relief	105	
833	"	Baker	1,980	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
833a	552 AA	Dining Room Steward	1,680	
834	"	Farmer	1,920	
835	"	Farmer	1,800	
836	"	Engineer	2,640	
837	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,640 each a year	5,280	
838	"	Driver	1,800	
839	"	Gardener	1,800	
840	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900	
841	"	Inmate Help	28,484	
		Total Personal Services	$159,501	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)		
842	552 B	Contractual Services	$6,000	
843	552 C	Materials and Supplies	284,750	
844	"	Equipment	10,000	
			$300,750	

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Isolation Hospital.

The following items were taken up:

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)	
845	554 AA	Superintendent	$2,400
846	"	Office Attendant	840
847	"	Watchman	1,740
848	"	Night Watchman	1,320
849	554 AC	Relief Night Watchman	207
850	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $540 each	1,620
851	"	Resident Physician	4,200
852	"	House Officer	300
853	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year	240
854	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400
855	"	Practical Nurse	600
856	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600
857	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year	2,160
858	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160
859	"	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152
860	"	Seamstress	1,080
861	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200
862	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,240
863	"	7 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,780
864	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460
865	544 AA	Cook	2,100
866	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175
867	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720
868	"	3 Kitchen Helpers at $540 each a year	1,620
869	"	Dishwasher	540
870	"	Waitress	1,140

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
871	554 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45	
872	554 AA	Gardener	1,200	
		Total Personal Services	$55,239	

Approved by the following vote

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

San Francisco Hospital.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

873	554-AA	Superintendent	$6,000
874	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700
875	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B.	2,400
876	"	Clerk	3,000
877	"	Stenographer	1,920
878	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each	1,800
879	"	Statistician	2,280
880	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200
881	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year	2,400
882	"	Office Attendant, T. B.	900
883	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600
884	"	Night Telephone Operator	1,080
885	"	Night Telephone Operator	840
886	"	Telephone Operator	1,500
887	"	Watchman	1,620
888	"	Watchman, T. B.	1,320
889	"	Watchman	720
890	"	Teamster	2,040
891	"	Messenger	540
892	"	Institutional Help	3,000
893	"	Resident Physician	1,500
894	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600
895	"	30 Internes at $120 a year	3,600
896	"	Pharmacist	3,000
897	"	Assistant Pharmacist	2,700
898	"	Stockman	900
899	"	Institutional Help	480
900	"	Dentist	1,200
901	"	Radiologist	3,300
902	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800
903	"	2 X-Ray Technicians at $1,200 each	2,400
904	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,200
905	"	Operating Room Nurse	2,100
906	"	Asst. Operating Room Nurse	1,300
907	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400
908	"	Anaesthetist	1,800
909	"	8 Anaesthetists at $1,500 each	3,000
910	"	Plaster Cast Maker	900
911	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year	3,240

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
912	554 AA	Superintendent of Nurses	3,000	
913	"	3 Asst. Supt. of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
914	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T. B	1,380	
915	"	Supervisor of Admissions	1,380	
916	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year	6,000	
917	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500	
918	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900	
919	"	Assistant Practical Nurse	720	
920	"	76 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	91,200	
921	"	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each	2,640	
922	"	Practical Nurses	18,000	
923	554 AB	Orderlies	30,000	
924	"	Orderlies, T. B	1,450	
925	"	Office Assistant Supt. of Nurses	540	
926	"	Medical Adviser	1,500	
927	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000	
928	"	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500	
929	"	4 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	4,800	
930	"	2 Practical Nurses at $600 each a year	1,200	
931	"	2 Practical Nurses at $540 each	1,080	
932	"	Porter	540	
933	"	Pantrymaid	540	
934	"	4 Orderlies at $600 each a year	2,400	
935	"	Orderly	540	
936	"	Commissary	3,600	
937	"	Storekeeper	1,800	
938	"	5 Storekeeper Helpers	2,000	
		LAUNDRY.		
939	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300	
940	"	Washer	1,830	
941	"	Wringer	1,636	
942	"	Starcher	1,416	
943	"	Washer's Helper	1,380	
944	"	5 Ironers at $1,176 each a year	5,880	
945	"	3 Ironers at $1,128 each a year	3,384	
946	"	4 Dry Room Workers at $1,104 each a year	4,416	
947	"	Deliveryman	480	
948	"	Deliveryman	540	
949	"	Marker	1,524	
950	"	Sorter	1,524	
951	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116	
952	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104	
953	"	13 Mangle Hands at $1,020 each a year	13,260	
954	"	2 Porters	1,020	
955	"	Seamstress	540	
		HOUSEKEEPING.		
956	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,320	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
957	554 AA	4 Seamstresses at $660 each	2,640	
958	"	Surgery Porter	900	
959	"	Lockerman	600	
960	"	Morgueman	600	
961	"	Morgueman	540	
962	"	Mattress Maker	600	
963	"	Incineratorman	540	
964	"	Sterilizerman	600	
965	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000	
966	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080	
967	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600	
968	"	Window Washer	600	
969	"	Window Washer	720	
970	"	25 Wardmen	15,000	
971	"	40 Porters	19,200	
972	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500	
		CULINARY.		
973	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280	
974	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100	
975	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100	
976	"	5 Cooks at $1,980 each	9,900	
977	"	Night Cook	1,380	
978	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100	
979	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500	
980	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
981	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,320 each a year	21,120	
982	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000	
		POWER PLANT.		
983	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,600	
		MAINTENANCE.		
984	"	4 Engineers at $2,640 each a year	$10,560	
985	"	3 Firemen at $2,220 each a year	6,660	
986	554 AB	Firemen, Relief	2,220	
987	554 AA	Helper	540	
988	"	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year	5,700	
989	"	Gardener	1,680	
990	"	Assistant Gardener	720	
991	"	7 Yardmen	4,200	
992	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,500	
		Total Personal Services	$489,160	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)				
993	554 B	Contractual	$55,000	
994	554 C	Materials and Supplies	155,000	
995	554 CO	Foodstuffs	265,000	
996	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225	
997	1092	Equipment	10,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$487,225	

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor McGovern moved that:

Item 876—Clerk be increased from $3,000 to $3,300 per annum.

Item 877—Stenographer be increased from $1,920 to $2,400 per annum.

Item 879—Statistician be increased from $2,280 to $2,400 per annum.

Item 881—2 Clerks be increased from $1,200 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Supervisor Suhr for Supervisor Todd moved that:

Item 883—2 Telephone Operators be increased from $1,800 each per annum to $2,400 each per annum.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that:

Item 885—Night Telephone Operator be increased from $840 per annum to $960 per annum.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 873 to 997, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Emergency Hospitals.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
998	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000	
999	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700	
1000	"	Chief Steward	3,000	
1001	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1002	"	10 Surgeons at $2,400 each a year	24,000	
1003	"	23 Stewards at $2,400 each a year	55,200	
1004	"	13 Nurses at $1,980 each a year	25,740	
1005	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1006	"	16 Ambulance Drivers at $2,400 each a year	38,400	
1007	"	Messenger	1,200	
1008	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (half time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	
1009	"	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1010	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	$167,640	
1011	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	$6,750	
1012	5541 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	18,250	
1013	5541 H	Fixed Charges (Appropriation 47-C)	500	
		Total	$25,500	
		Total Board of Health		$2,247,845

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton moved that:

Item 1006—16 Ambulance Drivers be increased from $2,400 each per annum to $2,700 each per annum.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried*, Supervisors Deasy and Marks voting *no*.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing Items Nos. 998 to 1013, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

EMERGENCY HOSPITAL.

Supervisor McGovern, seconded by Supervisor Suhr, moved that the Finance Committee be requested to provide a sum sufficient for the installation and equipment and operation of an emergency hospital in the Excelsior District at a site to be selected by the Board of Health and by themselves.

Referred to Finance Committee.

CHARTER FUNDS AND STATE LAWS.

The following items were taken up:

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1014	612	For Playground Fund, .07 in tax rate (Appropriation 48).....		$560,000
1015	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 49).....		$800,000
1016	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 50)		$4,444,684
1017	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 51)		$430,000
1018	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 52)...		$300,000
1019	629	Board of Administration, San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 53).....		$519,230
1020	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 54)		$160,000
1021	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 55)		$17,000
1022	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Appropriation 56)		$50,000
1023	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 57)..		$218,735
1024	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 58)		$70,000
1025	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 59)		$70,000
		TOTAL BUDGET		$25,770,932

PORTOLA FESTIVAL.

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Marks, moved that:

Item 1020—Publicity and Advertising be amended by providing one cent additional, amounting to $80,000, for the Portola Festival Celebration this year.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—5.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the subject matter be referred to the Finance Committee with the pledge of this Board to an appropriation of 25 per cent in addition to all funds raised from all sources for the Portola Festival.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Suhr, moved to make it 50 per cent.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that it be stipulated that in no case would the City's appropriation exceed $80,000.

Motion *carried* on Supervisor Schmidt's motion by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—11.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Kent, Powers—4.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri's motion as amended was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—11.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Kent, Powers—4.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

BUDGET PASSED FOR PRINTING.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, the Budget, in words and figures as follows, was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

BUDGET
FOR MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES
City and County of San Francisco, California, For Fiscal Year 1928-1929

Bill No. 8565, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, and making a Budget of the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter 1, of the Charter of the City and County.

Section 2. No department, officer, board, commission or employee shall expend, agree to expend or incur any liability which shall exceed the amount of any appropriation herein made or which may be hereafter appropriated for any specific purpose, and no such appropriation shall be used or subjected to any liability for any purpose other than that for which it is herein specifically made, unless otherwise authorized by the Board of Supervisors.

All balances of appropriations unexpended, or against which no liabilities have been incurred, at the end of each calendar month, shall be reported to the Board of Supervisors by the department, board, commission, officer or employee within ten days after the expiration of such previous month, and at the end of the fiscal year all such balances shall revert to and become a part of the unappropriated general fund unless otherwise ordered by the Board of Supervisors.

The Auditor shall not audit or issue any warrant contrary to the express provisions of this section.

Section 3. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 1-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	401 A 18	Supervisors at $2,400 each.....	$43,200	
2	402 A	Clerk	6,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
3	402 A	1 Chief Assistant Clerk	4,500	
4	"	1 Expert Accountant	6,000	
5	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,600	7,200	
6	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000	
7	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,100	
8	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600	
9	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
10	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
11	"	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,700	
12	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
13	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400	
14	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	3,000	
15	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector	2,400	
16	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium	3,000	
16-A		1 Assistant Superintendent of Auditorium	2,400	
			$98,100	
		TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.		
17	433 A	Chief Operator	$1,980	
18	"	5 Operators at $1,800	9,000	
19	"	Relief Operators	675	
		Total Personal Services		$109,755

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 2-20 to 2-73)

20	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses	$5,000
21	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses	9,000
22	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	60,000
23	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000
24	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000
25	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar	8,000
26	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July	2,500
27	"	Memorial Day Observance	500
28	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band	20,000
29	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000
30	425 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals	7,500
31	529 B	Lighting Streets	765,000
32	402 H	Premiums on Official Bonds	6,000
33	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	50,000
34	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000
35	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges	5,000
36	1093	Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers	200,000
37	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit	140,000
38	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen	5,000
39	678 K	Auditorium	10,000
40	467 H	Public Pound	18,000
41	553 B	Feeble-minded Home	100,000
42	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	740,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
43	553 H	Widows' Pensions	220,000	
44	"	St. Catherine's Training School.....	6,500	
45	"	State Schools	20,000	
46	419 K	City Planning Commission Including Auto at $40 a month and Including Stationery, Postage and Printing, $90	6,970	
47	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Railroad	8,940	
48	1091	Yacht Harbor Improvement.....	10,000	
49	1091	Civic Center Beautification.....	15,000	
50	1093	Municipal Airport	90,000	
51	478 A 478 1091	For Personal and Non-Personal Services, Preventorium in San Mateo County	75,000	
52	466	For Maternity Service	12,500	
53	432 BO	Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000	
54		City Hall Repairs and Painting....	15,000	
55	1091	Convenience Stations	30,000	
56	"	Improvements, de Young Museum..	50,000	
57	1092	Traffic Signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control	150,000	
58	1091	Kezar Stadium	250,000	
59	"	Heroes' Grove	10,000	
60	"	Bay Street Opening Land Purchase..	15,000	
61	"	Fine Arts Building Repairs.....	75,000	
62	410	Telephone Rate Investigation Expense (City Attorney)	50,000	
63	"	Bay Bridge Investigations.....	100,000	
64	1091	Boys' Aid	15,000	
65	"	Fire House (Cliff House)	30,000	
66	"	Sutro Monument Grounds, Repairs to Stairway	5,000	
67	——	Market Street Railway Valuation and Report	30,000	
68	——	Improvement of Twin Peaks.....	30,000	
69	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District	150,000	
70	1093	Street Signs	10,000	
71	434	Payment for Rental 333 Kearny Street Lease	13,450	
72	401 CL	Stationery, Postage and Printing....	1,800	
72a	"	Aquatic Park Improvements.....	100,000	
				$3,900,660

Street Reconstruction.

73	1091	Bernal Park and Boulevard Broderick, McAllister to Fulton Chenery, 30th to Diamond Clay, Larkin to Hyde and crossing of Hyde Duboce avenue, Noe to Market, widening Elizabeth, Hoffman to Douglass Eureka, 18th to 21st

Folsom, 11th to 14th, surface	
Fulton, Masonic to Stanyan, widening	
Green, Polk to Van Ness	
Hayward street	
Hillway, Carl to Parnassus	
Jones, Pine to California	
Kearny, Geary to Columbus, surface	
Lincoln way, 1st avenue westerly	
Miguel, Laidley to Chenery	
Pierce, Filbert to Greenwich	
Scott, Clay to Jackson	
Scott, Fell to Duboce, widening	
Seventh avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton	
Seventeenth, Douglass to Roosevelt way	
Sixth, Harrison to Brannan	
Sixteenth, Castro to Flint	
Shipley, 4th to Eliza place	
Shipley, 5th to 6th	
Taylor, Pine to California	
Tehama, 8th to 9th	
Total	**$200,745**

Bureau of Supplies.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
74	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000	
75	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600	
76	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000	
77	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000	
78	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery	2,700	
79	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
80	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools.....	2,700	
81	"	1 Stenographer File Clerk	1,680	
82	"	2 Tabulators, at $1,680 a year.....	3,360	
83	"	1 Chief Storekeeper	3,900	
		Total Personal Services.....	$36,040	
84	634 BC	Non-Personal (Appropriation 3-B)..	3,300	
85	"	Stationery, printing books, postage (Appropriation 3-C)	10,000	
86	"	Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc. (Appropriation 3-D)...	20,000	
87	"	License tags and blanks (Appropriation 3-E)	6,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$39,300	
		Total Bureau of Supplies.....		**$75,340**

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

88	404 A	Mayor	$6,000
89	"	Executive Secretary	4,800

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
90	404 A	Assistant Secretary	4,200	
91	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400	
92	"	Male Night Clerk	2,100	
93	"	Telephone Operator	1,800	
94	"	Messenger	1,980	
95	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each	4,800	
		Total Personal Services	$34,080	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)		
96	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter)	$3,600	
97	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	8,785	
98	404 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 4-C)	1,215	
99	1090	Purchase of Automobile	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$18,600	
		Total Mayor		$52,680

AUDITOR.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)		
100	405 A	Auditor	$8,000	
101	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
102	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each	10,800	
103	"	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760	
104	"	4 Deputies at $2,700 each	10,800	
105	"	1 Deputy	2,580	
106	"	5 Deputies at $2,400 each	12,000	
107	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580	
108	"	1 General Clerk	2,220	
109	"	4 Clerks, at $1,920 a year	7,680	
110	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,220	
111	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099A	2,580	
112	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
113	"	Attorney	3,000	
114	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	6,400	
		Total Personal Services	$82,320	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)		
115	405 B	Contingents	$500	
116	405 CL	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 5-C)	5,400	
		Total Auditor		$88,220

TAX COLLECTOR.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)		
117	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000	
118	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
119	408 A	Cashier	3,300	
120	"	Accountant	3,300	
121	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
122	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880	
123	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each	5,160	
124	"	26 Deputies at $2,400 each	62,400	
125	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
126	"	Extra Clerical Help	20,000	
127	408 A	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000	
128	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$131,320	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)		
129	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List	$3,700	
130	408 D	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
131	408 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 6-C)	4,500	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$10,360	
		Total Tax Collector		**$141,680**

TREASURER.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)		
132	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000	
133	"	Chief Deputy	2,880	
134	"	Cashier	5,100	
135	"	Bank and Bond Deputy	3,900	
136	"	1 Deputy	3,900	
137	"	1 Deputy	3,000	
138	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880	
139	"	1 Deputy	3,300	
140	"	Bookkeeper	3,600	
141	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400	
142	"	2 Clerks, at $2,880 each	5,760	
143	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
		Total Personal Services	$46,700	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)		
144	406 K	Non-Personal Services	$200	
145	406 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 7-C)	900	
		Total Treasurer		**$47,800**

ASSESSOR.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)		
146	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000	
147	"	Chief Deputy Assessor	2,400	
148	"	Special Deputy	3,600	
149	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers	4,500	
150	"	Cashier Auditor	2,400	
151	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
152	407 AA	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800	
153	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	48,000	
154	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	9,000	
155	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser	2,400	
156	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
157	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100	
158	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
159	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,800	
160	"	Cartographer Temporary	3,000	
161	407 AB	Temporary Asst Deputies—General Clerks at $160 per month for time Employed	98,000	
		Total Personal Services	$251,800	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)		
162	407 AB	Personal and Non-Personal Service for Adjustments of Assessments	$43,400	
163	407 B	Non-Personal Services, Including Autos at $40 a month	6,000	
164	407 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 8-C)	13,500	
		Total Assessor		**$314,700**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)		
165	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	$5,000	
166	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400	
167	"	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
168	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each	13,500	
169	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220	
170	"	Typo Operator Mechanic	2,460	
171	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant	2,280	
172	"	2 Voting Machine Adjusters $1,920 each	3,840	
173	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280	
			$59,180	
		ELECTION EXPENSES.		
		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)		
174	431 A	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9 a day	$2,700	
175	"	Outside Registration	15,000	
176	431 AB	Temporary Clerks, office and petitions	50,000	
177	"	Election Officers	65,000	
		Total Personal Services	$132,700	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
178	431 B	Contractual Services	$62,000	
179	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000	
180	431 B	Rental of Polling Places.....	22,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services...	$234,000	
		Total Elections		**$425,880**

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)

181	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000
182	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each.....	22,500
183	"	1 Assistant	3,600
184	"	5 Assistants at $3,000 each.....	15,000
185	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each.....	7,200
186	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk.....	3,300
187	"	1 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerk.	2,400
188	"	6 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680
189	"	Chief Clerk	3,000
190	"	Assistant Chief Clerk.....	2,100
192	412 A	Bookkeeper	1,800
193	"	1 Stenographer	2,400
194	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
195	"	Messenger	1,800
196	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator.....	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$90,980

Personal and Non-Personal Services—

(Appropriation 10-B)

197	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500	
198	412 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 10-C)	1,350	
		Total District Attorney.....		**$99,830**

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10½A)

199	411	Public Defender	$8,000	
200	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800	
201	"	1 Deputy Public Defender	3,600	
202	"	Clerk	1,800	
203	411 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 10½C)	90	
		Total Public Defender.....		**$21,290**

CITY ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
204	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000	
205	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200	
206	"	1 Deputy	7,200	
207	"	1 Deputy	6,000	
208	410	1 Assistant	4,200	
209	"	2 Assistants at $3,000 each	6,000	
210	"	1 Assistant	2,700	
211	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200	
212	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$47,600	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-B)		
213	410 K	General and Rate Litigation	$9,370	
214	410 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 11-C)	630	
		Total City Attorney		**$57,600**

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)

215	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each	$3,600	
216	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	
217	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
218	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
219	"	Assistant Inspector	2,400	
220	417 A	1 Assistant Secretary	2,400	
221	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
222	"	1 General Clerk	2,100	
223	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,220	
224	"	Examiners and Clerks	8,500	
		Total Personal Services	$33,520	
225	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	$1,250	
226	417 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 12-C)	1,800	
		Total Civil Service Commission		**$36,570**

COUNTY CLERK.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

227	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
228	"	Chief Registry Clerk	3,600	
229	"	Cashier	3,000	
230	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each	14,400	
231	"	12 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	28,800	
232	"	19 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	45,600	
233	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each	9,600	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
234	426	38 Copyists at $2,400 each.....	91,200	
		Total Personal Services	$204,200	
235	426 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 13-B)	150	
236	426 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 13-C)	11,025	
		Total County Clerk.....		**$215,375**

SHERIFF.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

237	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000	
238	"	Cashier	3,300	
239	"	Deputy	2,700	
240	"	Attorney	1,800	
241	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper....	3,000	
242	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	36,120	
243	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,364 each.....	139,476	
244	430 A	Stenographer	2,220	
245	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400	
246	430 A	Office Superintendent	3,600	
247	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000	
248	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640	
249	"	Chief Jailer	2,700	
250	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,580	
251	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,580	
252	"	1 Matron	2,400	
253	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,364 each....	16,548	
254	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each.....	4,800	
255	"	2 Cooks at $2,340 each.....	4,680	
256	"	1 Jailer	2,400	
257	"	1 Female Deputy	1,920	
258	"	16 Keepers at $1,920 each.....	30,720	
		Total Personal Services	$279,584	

Other than Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-B)

259	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$72,000	
260	557 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 14-C)	2,520	
		Total Sheriff		**$354,104**

RECORDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)

	454 AA	Personal Services—		
261	"	Recorder	$8,000	
262	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
263	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
264	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
265	"	7 Deputies at $2,400 each	16,800	
266	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
267	"	1 Special Copyist	2,580	
268	"	Machinist	2,700	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
269	454 AA	Stenographer	2,100	
270	454 AB	24 Copyists at $2,400 each	57,600	
		Total Personal Services	$116,600	
		Non-Personal Services— (Appropriation 15-B)		
271	454 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing..	$5,400	
		Total Recorder		**$122,000**

SUPERIOR COURTS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)		
272	425 A	16 Judges	$80,000	
273	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner..	6,000	
274	425 A	Assistant Secretary	2,400	
275	"	Jury Examiner	2,400	
276	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
277	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
278	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each	16,800	
279	"	Jury and Witness Fees (Appropriation 16-A-1)	35,000	
280	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses (Appropriation 16-A-2)	8,000	
281	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees) (Appropriation 16-A-3)	30,000	
		Total Personal Services	$184,800	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)		
282	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
283	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 16-C)	2,250	
		Total Superior Courts		**$195,050**

JUSTICES' COURTS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)		
284	424 A	5 Justices of the Peace at $5,400 each	$27,000	
285	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200	
286	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
287	"	Cashier	3,000	
288	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each	8,100	
289	"	Messenger	2,700	
290	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
291	"	1 Clerk	2,400	
292	"	2 Clerks at $1,920 each	3,840	
		Total Personal Services	$57,240	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-B)		
293	424 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$2,700	
		Total Justices' Courts		**$59,940**

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
294	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100	
295	"	Matron	1,500	
296	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620	
297	"	Night Superintendent	1,620	
298	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200	
299	"	8 Nurses at $960 each	7,680	
300	"	Cook	1,200	
301	"	1 Orderly	1,620	
302	"	2 Orderlies at $1,200 each	2,400	
		Total Personal Services	$20,940	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)		
303	558-Var	Maintenance and Subsistence	$15,000	
		Total Juvenile Detention Home		**$35,940**

JUVENILE COURT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)

304	559 A	Probation Officer	$4,200	
305	"	Chief Assistant Probation Officer	3,300	
306	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Collector	2,880	
307	"	3 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,700 each	8,100	
308	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Cashier-Bookkeeper	2,700	
309	"	12 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	30,240	
310	"	4 Assistant Probation Officers who shall act as Stenographers at $2,220 each	8,880	
311	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Stenographer	1,980	
312	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as File and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$64,380	
313	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B)	3,785	
314	559 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 19-C)	1,215	
		Total Juvenile Court		**$69,380**

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)

315	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$4,200	
316	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer	3,300	
317	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	17,640	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
318	5590 A	1 Assistant Cashier and Clerk.....	2,280	
		Total Personal Services.....	$27,420	
319	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	250	
320	5590 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 20-C)	450	
		Total Adult Probation Dept....		**$28,120**

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)

321	553 A	Director	$3,000	
322	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
323	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
324	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$13,500	
325	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	640	
326	553 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 21-C)	360	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau.		**$14,500**

LAW LIBRARY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

327	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
328	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
329	"	Bookbinder	2,400	
		Total Personal Services	$10,200	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-B)

330	427 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$270	
		Total Law Library.....		**$10,470**

POLICE COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

331	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each.....	$14,400	
332	"	4 Stenographers at $3,900 each.....	15,600	
		Total Personal Services	$30,000	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-B)

333	423 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing....	$90	
		Total Police Court.....		**$30,090**

CORONER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

334	429 A	Coroner	$8,000	
335	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
336	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
337	429 A	3 Deputies at $2,580 each	7,740	
338	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940	
339	429 A	4 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	9,600	
340	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
341	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100	
342	"	Toxicologist	1,800	
343	"	Relief	800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$44,680	
344	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000	
345	1092	Purchase of Ambulance	5,000	
346	429 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 24-C)	900	
		Total Coroner		**$53,580**

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

347	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	
348	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
349	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each....	16,200	
		Total Personal Services.....	$24,300	
350	460 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 25-B)	1,500	
351	1092	Purchase of Automobiles	3,000	
352	460 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 25-C)	270	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		**$29,070**

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½A)

353	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner.....	$4,800	
354	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8.00 a day	4,800	
355	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$11,400	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-B)

356	464 A	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
357	464 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 25½-C)	135	
		Total Horticultural Commission		**$13,095**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Commissioners and General Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

358	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
359	414 A	Chief Deputy Commissioner	4,200	
360	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
361	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
362	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
363	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400	
364	"	Stenographer	2,400	
365	"	Stenographer	2,400	
366	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
367	"	Messenger	2,280	
368	"	General Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services....	$39,780	

Bureau of Accounting.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

369	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge.....	$4,300	
370	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper..	3,120	
371	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000	
372	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 a year	28,800	
373	417 A	2 Experienced Clerks at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
374	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300	
375	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier.....	3,300	
376	"	1 Experienced Clerk.....	2,400	
377	"	Clerk	2,700	
378	"	3 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	6,300	
379	"	5 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	9,900	
380	"	Inspector of House Numbers.....	2,700	
		Total Personal Services.....	$73,420	

Bureau of Architecture.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

381	416 A	Superintendent of Construction.....	$4,200	
382	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000	
383	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700	
384	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200	
385	"	Stenographer	2,100	
		Total Personal Services.....	$13,200	

Bureau of Building Repair.

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

386	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
387	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,600	
388	"	Superintendent of Painters.....	3,360	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
389	432 AA	Foreman Plumber	3,300	
390	"	Foreman Cement Finisher	3,300	
391	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,300	
392	"	Foreman Steamfitter	3,300	
393	"	Foreman Tinner	3,300	
394	432 AA	Foreman Wireman	3,300	
395	"	Head Janitor	3,120	
396	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320	
397	"	Night Foreman, Janitor	2,160	
398	"	57 Janitors, at $1,860 a year	106,020	
399	432 AA	4 Window Cleaners, at $2,040 each a year	8,160	
400	"	Foreman Window Cleaner	2,220	
401	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation	3,765	
402	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall	2.160	
403	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,860 a year	31,620	
404	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year	3,720	
405	432 AB	Relief and Vacation, all Departments	1,840	
406	432 AA	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
407	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,640 a year	13,200	
408	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,640	
409	"	5 Firemen at $2,220 each a year	11,100	
410	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation	435	
		Total Personal Services	$234,640	
		Employment for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings (Appropriation 29½-A).		
411	432 A	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day	$13,500	
412	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day	3,000	
413	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day	2,100	
414	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650	
415	"	6 Plumbers, at $10 per day	18,000	
416	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10 per day	6,000	
417	"	6 Painters, at $9 per day	16,200	
418	"	Foreman Painter, at $10 per day	3,000	
419	"	Painters, Shop Men, $7 per day	2,100	
420	432 AA	Painters' Apprentice, at $6 per day	1,800	
421	"	2 Tinners, $9.50 per day	5,700	
422	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day	1,950	
423	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day	1,200	
424	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
425	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day	2,400	
426	"	Glazier, at $9 per day	2,700	
427	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day	2,100	
428	"	Wireman, at $9 per day	2,700	
428a	"	Miscellaneous Labor	500	
428b	"	Reimbursements	500	
		Total Personal Services	$89,800	

Non-Personal Services—

(Appropriation 29-B and C)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
429	432 B	Contractual Services	$3,600	
430	432 BA	Transportation Autos Rental at $40 per month	2,500	
431	432 B4A	Transportation Carfare	600	
432	432 C	Materials and Supplies	19,000	
433	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	180	
		SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.		
434	432 BC	Towel Service	2,575	
435	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900	
		MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.		
436	432 CB	Fuel Oil	16,000	
437	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	6,000	
438	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services		$56,355
439	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	45,000	
440	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	10,000	

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)

441	4142 AC	Experienced Clerk	$2,700
442	"	Watchman	2,640
443	"	Watchman	2,340
444	"	Watchman	1,980
445	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year	3,720
446	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,920 each a year	3,840
447	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000
448	"	Machinist, at $9 a day	2,700
449	"	2 Blacksmiths, at $9 a day	5,400
450	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 a day	6,372
451	"	Vulcanizer, at $7 a day	2,100
452	"	Carriage Painter, at $8.50 a day	2,550
453	"	Painter's Apprentice, at $5.50 a day	1,650
454	4142 AC	2 Laborers, 365 days, at $6 a day	4,380
455	"	2 Laborers, at $6 a day	3,600
		Total Personal Services	$48,972

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

456	4142 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	$1,000
457	4142 B 4a	Single team, at $10.75 per day	3,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$4,000

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

458	455 A	Chief Engineer Building Inspection	$7,500
459	"	1 Inspector	3,300
460	"	1 Inspector	3,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
461	455 A	Boiler Inspector	3,000	
462	"	2 Experienced Clerks, at $2,700 each	5,400	
463	"	1 Inspector	2,550	
464	"	10 Inspectors, at $2,700 a year	27,000	
465	"	Messenger	1,560	
466	"	2 Engineers, at $3,600 each	7,200	
		Total Personal Services		$60,510

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

467	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000	
468	"	Assistant City Engineer	4,800	
469	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $3,300 a year	6,600	
470	"	Surveyor	3,600	
471	"	2 Surveyors at $3,300 a year	6,600	
472	"	6 Surveyors at $3,000 a year	18,000	
473	"	2 Field Assistants at $3,000 a year	6,000	
474	"	19 Field Assistants at $2,700 a year	51,300	
475	"	Draftsman	3,600	
476	"	Draftsman	3,480	
477	"	2 Draftsmen at $3,300 a year	6,600	
478	"	5 Draftsmen at $3,000 a year	15,000	
479	"	4 Draftsmen at $2,880 a year	11,520	
480	"	2 Draftsmen at $2,700 a year	5,400	
481	"	Inspector	3,300	
482	"	Inspector	3,000	
483	"	4 Inspectors at $2,700 a year	10,800	
484	"	Chemist	3,600	
485	"	Photographer	2,880	
486	"	Blueprinter	2,400	
487	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 a year	4,200	
488	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
489	"	H. and P. Engineer (Seasonal) at $9.50 per day	1,000	
		Total Personal Services		$181,080

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)

490	415 B	Non-personal Services	$8,000	
491	"	Block Books (Appropriation 32-C)	4,000	
		Total Engineer's Department		$193,080

Street Repair Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

492	527 AA	Superinendent	$4,500	
493	"	Assist. Superintendent	3,900	
494	"	2 Assist. Superintendents at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
495	"	Experienced Clerk	3,000	
496	"	Stenographer, 4 months	600	

MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.

(Appropriation 33-B)

497	527 A	Engineer	$2,640	
498	"	2 Firemen at $2,220 a year	4,440	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
499	527 A	Foreman at $10 a day	3,000	
500	"	Machinist at $9 a day	2,700	
501	"	Asphalt Dryerman at $8.50 a day	2,550	
502	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen at $8.50 a day	5,100	
503	"	Asphalt Mixerman at $9.00 a day	2,700	
504	"	2 Asphalt Finishers at $8 a day	4,800	
505	"	3 Asphalt Workers at $7.50 a day	6,750	
506	"	Telephone Operator	1,620	
		SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.		
507	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher at $9 a day	1,350	
508	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers at $8 a day	2,400	
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.		
509	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters at $9.50 a day	5,320	
510	"	5 Laborers at $6 a day	8,400	
		BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—200 DAYS.		
511	527 AC	Foreman at $9 a day	1,800	
512	"	6 Pavers at $8 a day	9,600	
513	"	3 Rammers at $7 a day	4,200	
514	"	10 Laborers at $6 a day	12,000	
Non-Personal Services—				
515	527	Contractual	$35,000	
516	"	Materials and Supplies	10,000	
			$143,770	

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)

517	527 A	9 Engineers, at $2,640 each a year	$23,760	
518	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,640 each a year	5,280	
519	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,860 each a year	18,600	
520	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,860 a year	3,720	
521	"	1 Carpenter, at $9 per day	2,700	
522	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day	1,950	
		Total Personal Services	$56,010	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)

523	527 B	Contractual	$1,000	
524	527 C	Material and Supplies	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$2,000	

Street Cleaning Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A)

525	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
526	"	3 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 a year	8,100	
527	"	1 Experienced Clerk	3,000	

(Appropriation 35-A-1)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
528	502 AA	6 Watchmen at $1,860 each a year..	$11,160	
529	502 AC	220 Sweepers at $6 per day.....	396,000	
530	"	25 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per day.....	56,250	
531	"	14 Gang Foremen at $7 per day....	29,400	
532	"	1 Night Gang Foreman	2,250	
			$510,360	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-B)

533	502 BA	Transportation	$1,500
534	465	10 Double Teams, Street Cleaning, at $11.50 per day	34,500
535	502 BL	Water	3,500
536	502 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment..	10,000
537	502 C	Material and Supplies	20,000
538	1092	Street Cans	1,200
			$70,700

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

539	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
540	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000
541	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700
542	501 AC	Asst Superintendent in Charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550

(Appropriation 36-A-1)

543	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day	16,500
544	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day	24,300
545	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per day	6,750
546	"	25 Sewermen at $7 per day	52,500
547	"	21 Laborers at $6 per day	37,800
548	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8.50 per day	45,900
		Total Personal Services	$196,200

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-B)

549	B	Repairs to equipment	$2,500
550	C	Materials and Supplies	26,000
551	501 AC	3 Double Teams, Sewer Repair, at $11.50 per day	10,350
552	501 BN	Rental 7 1½-ton Trucks, at $12 per day	25,200
553	501 BN	Rental 1 3-ton Truck, at $20 per day	6,000
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$70,050

Sewage Pumping Station.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)

554	501 AA	Watchman in Charge	$2,160
555	501 AB	Relief and Vacation	90
		Total Personal Services	$2,250

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
556	501 BH	Power	$400	
557	501 C	Materials and Supplies	500	
558	"	Repairs and Equipment	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$1,900	

MISCELLANEOUS.
(Appropriation 38-A)

559	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200	
560	501 BM	Rental 16 Autos, at $40 per month.	7,680	
561	501 BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	3,000	
562	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment.....	2,000	
563	501 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (all Departments) (Appropriation 38-B)	12,000	
			$25,880	
		Total Board of Works		$1,947,877

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)

564	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000	
565	"	Secretary	3,000	
566	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
567	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100	
568	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800	
569	"	Chief Inspector	3,300	
570	"	20 Inspectors at $3,000 each.....	60,000	
571	"	Chief Operator	3,000	
572	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600	
573	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200	
574	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750	
575	"	Superintendent of Plant	3,600	
576	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9.50 per diem.....	2,850	
577	"	Batteryman	2,880	
578	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000	
579	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each	13,500	
580	"	Foreman Laborer	2,340	
581	"	3 Laborers at $6 per diem.....	5,400	
582	"	2 Machinists at $2,700 each.....	5,400	
583	"	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,880 each..	8,640	
584	"	14 Linemen at $2,580 each.....	36,120	
585	"	Inside Wireman at $9 per diem....	2,700	
586	"	Commissary	2,100	
587	"	Repairer	2,640	
588	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each.....	4,560	
		Total Personal Services.....	$206,180	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)

587	465 Var	Material, Supplies and Equipment, Stationery, Postage and Printing, Underground Conduit System.....	$30,000	
		Total Department of Electricity		$236,180

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
588	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
589	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary	4,500	
590	"	Physician	2,820	
591	"	Stenographer	2,580	
592	452	Stenographer Clerk	1,800	
593	"	Chief Engineer	7,200	
594	"	2 First Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
595	"	2 Second Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
596	"	21 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	88,200	
597	"	26 Operators at $2,520 a year	65,520	
598	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440	
599	"	Fire Prevention 7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	15,120	
			$213,180	
		ENGINE COMPANIES.		
600	452 AA	48 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$135,360	
601	"	92 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	245,640	
602	"	26 Engineers at $2,640 each a year	68,640	
603	"	92 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	220,800	
604	"	340 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	816,000	
605	"	51 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	116,280	
606	"	45 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	97,200	
607		Automatic increase in salaries	4,335	
			$1,704,255	
		CHEMICAL COMPANIES.		
608	452 AA	11 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$31,020	
609	"	12 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	32,040	
610	"	22 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	52,800	
611	"	15 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	36,000	
612	"	5 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	11,400	
613	"	10 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	21,600	
614		Automatic increase in salaries	930	
			$185,790	
		TRUCK COMPANIES.		
615	452 AA	15 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$42,300	
616	"	23 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	61,410	
617	"	27 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	64,800	
618	"	1 Driver	2,280	
619	"	28 Tillermen at $2,400 each a year.	67,200	
620	"	139 Truckmen at $2,400 each a year.	333,600	
621	"	8 Truckmen at $2,280 each a year.	18,240	
622	"	11 Truckmen at $2,160 each a year.	23,760	
623		Automatic increase in salaries	660	
			$614,250	
		WATER TOWER COMPANIES.		
624	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
625	"	2 Lieutenants at $2,670	5,340	
626	452 AA	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	4,800	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
627	452 AA	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year...	7,200	
628	"	7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year...	15,120	
			$35,280	
		RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.		
629	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
630	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
631	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	4,800	
632	"	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	7,200	
633	"	2 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year...	4,560	
634	"	Automatic increase	210	
			$22,260	
		PUMPING STATIONS.		
635	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720	
636	"	5 Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800	
637	"	7 Firemen at $2,340 each a year...	16,380	
638	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805	
639	"	Vacation Firemen	685	
			$38,390	
		DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.		
640	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
641	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880	
642	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman	2,730	
643	"	11 Gatemen Hydrantmen at $2,580 each a year	28,380	
644	452 AC	1 Calker at $7.50 per day.....	2,250	
645	"	3 Laborers, at $6 per day each.....	5,400	
			$45,840	
		CORPORATION YARD.		
646	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
647	"	Clerk and Commissary	2,400	
648	"	Bookkeeper	2,400	
649	"	3 Watchmen, at $2,040 each a year	6,120	
650	452 A	1 Drayman	2,220	
651	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
652	"	Batteryman	2,700	
653	"	1 General Foreman	3,300	
654	452 AC	12 Machinists, at $9 per day.....	32,400	
655	"	5 Machinists' Helpers, at $6.58 per day	9,870	
656	"	4 Blacksmiths, at $9 per day.....	10,800	
657	"	4 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 per day	8,496	
658	"	2 Woodworkers, at $9 per day.....	5,400	
659	"	1 Brass Finisher, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
660	"	1 Boilermaker, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
661	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper, at $6.58 per day	1,974	
662	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter, at $9.50 per day	2,850	
663	"	2 Carriage Painters, at $9 per day..	5,400	
664	"	1 Leather Worker, at $8.50 per day	2,550	
			$110,280	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
665	452 AC	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item (Appropriation 41-A-1)	$86,430	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)		
666	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$150,000	
667	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings (Appropriation 41-C)	90,000	
668	452 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 41-D)	2,250	
669	1092	Equipment (Appropriation 41-E)...	90,000	
			$332,250	
		Total Fire Department		$3,388,205

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)		
670	451 AA	4 Commissioners, at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
671	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
672	"	Confidential Clerk	2,400	
673	"	Stenographer	3,000	
674	"	Chief of Police	7,200	
675	"	Chief Clerk	3,600	
676	"	1 Property Clerk	3,600	
677	"	Stenographer	1,800	
678	"	Physician	2,400	
679	"	6 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	10,800	
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.		
680	"	Captain of Detectives.....	$5,000	
681	"	6 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	18,000	
682	"	68 Detective Sergeants, at $2,760 a year	187,680	
683	"	Stenographer	2,760	
684	"	Photographer	2,700	
685	"	3 Women Protective Officers, at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
		UNIFORM FORCE.		
681	"	Captain of Traffic.....	$4,000	
687	"	14 Captains, at $3,600 each a year...	50,400	
688	"	35 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	105,000	
689	"	88 Sergeants, at $2,640 each a year	232,320	
690	"	119 Corporals, at $2,580 each a year	307,020	
691	"	950 Patrolmen, at $2,400 each a year	2,280,000	
692	451 AA	27 Patrol Drivers, at $2,400 each a year	64,800	
693	"	10 Hostlers, at $2,160 each a year ...	21,600	
694	"	4 Matrons, at $2,100 each a year ...	8,400	
695	"	Matron's Relief	1,312	
696	"	Cook	2,340	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
697	451 AA	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
698	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760	
699	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760	
700	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760	
		Total Personal Services		$3,359,212
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)		
701	451 B	Contractual Service	$25,000	
702	451 C	Material and Supplies	50,000	
703	1092	Equipment Teletype, Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-D)	52,500	
704	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
705	451 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 42-E)	12,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$148,500	
		Total Police Department		**$3,507,712**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)

706	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000
707	"	Chief Clerk	4,200
708	"	Stenographer	2,580
709	"	3 Stenographers, at $2,280 a year	6,840
710	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560
711	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800
712	"	2 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	3,600
713	476AB	Relief Telephone Operator	400
		ACCOUNTING.	
714	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200
715	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560
		STATISTICS.	
716	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600
717	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280
718	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280
719	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.	
720	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector	$2,700
721	"	7 Veterinarians, at $2,400 each a year	16,800
722	"	16 Market Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	38,400
		OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.	
723	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	$10,800
724	"	1 Disinfector	2,400
725	"	1 Disinfector	2,220
726	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.	1,200

CLINICS.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
727	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000	
728	"	Psychologist	1,800	
729	"	2 Assistant Psychologists at $1,500 each	3,000	
730	"	Assistant Psychologist	900	
731	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200	
732	"	Psychologist	600	
733	"	Social Service Worker	1,800	
734	"	Physician	2,100	
735	"	Janitress	1,500	

BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.

736	476 AA	Director of Laboratories	$3,600	
737	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000	
738	"	2 Bacteriologists, at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
739	"	1 Bacteriologist	2,100	
740	"	Technician	1,500	
741	"	Clerk	2,280	
742	"	2 Helpers at $720 each a year	1,440	

Conservation of Child Life.

SCHOOL INSPECTION.

743	476 AA	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000	
744	"	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
745	"	4 School Inspectors (part time) at $1,800 each a year	7,200	
746	"	Optometrist	1,500	

DENTAL.

747	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000	
748	"	3 Dental Hygienists at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
749	"	11 Dentists at $1,200 each a year	13,200	
750	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600	

CHILD WELFARE.

751	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000	
752	"	Pediatrician	480	
753	"	Pediatrician	240	
754	"	Physician	1,500	

Food Inspection.

MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.

755	476 AA	2 Veterinarians at $3,600 each a year	$7,200	
756	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000	

OTHER FOOD CONTROL.

757	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	3,600	
758	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	16,800	

CHEMICAL LABORATORY.

759	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000	
760	"	Chemist	2,700	
761	"	Technician	1,080	

Protection of Life and Property.

PLUMBING INSPECTION.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
762	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector.....	$3,600	
763	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors, at $2,820 each a year	16,920	

HOUSING INSPECTION.

764	457 A	Tenement House Inspector.....	$3,000	
765	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
766	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	6,300	

FACTORY INSPECTION.

767	458 A	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	
768	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980	

REFUSE COLLECTION.

769	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each.....	$4,200	

CHARITIES AND RELIEF.

770	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year..	$7,200	
771	"	1 City Physician	3,000	

FIELD NURSING.

772	476 A	Director	$3,600	
773	"	2 Supervisors School Medical Inspection at $2,280 each.....	4,560	
774	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280	
775	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280	
776	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau	4,560	

Nurses.

TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.

777	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year.....	$15,840	
778	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800	

SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.

779	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year...	$59,400	
780	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100	

CHILD WELFARE.

781	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes	$1,980	
782	"	10 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year.....	19,800	

SOCIAL SERVICE.

783	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980	
784	"	4 Social Service Workers at $1,980.	7,920	
785	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620	

TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.

786	476 A	Director	$4,200	
787	"	Physician	600	
788	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020	
789	"	Cook—Michael Angelo School.....	720	
		Total Personal Services.....	$436,900	
790	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	$6,250	
791	"	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	14,000	

RAT EXTERMINATION.

(Appropriation 43-D)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
792	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280	
793	476 B	Carfare	225	
Non-Personal—				
794	476 C	Material and Supplies	525	
795	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-E)	33,850	
796	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment (Appropriation 43-F)	50,000	
797	476 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 43-G)	15,800	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$125,930	

Laguna Honda Home.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)

798	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000
799	"	Clerk	3,000
800	"	Stenographer	2,280
801	"	Telephone Operator	900
802	"	Watchman	1,500
803	"	Physician	2,400
804	"	Physician	1,800
805	"	Pharmacist	2,400
806	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800
807	"	20 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	24,000
808	"	1 Practical Nurse	840
809	"	4 Practical Nurses at $600 each	2,400
810	"	2 Vocational Therapeutic Nurses at $1,500 each	3,000
811	"	Dietician	1,500
812	"	Orderly	720
813	"	Orderlies as required	16,740
814	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation	100
815	"	Commissary	2,400
816	"	Laundryman	2,268
817	"	Laundress	1,524
818	552 AA	Laundryman	600
819	"	Chief Steward	2,400
820	"	3 Stewards, at $1,680 each a year	5,040
821	"	1 Steward	1,380
822	"	Head Matron	2,400
823	"	Matron	1,020
824	"	Seamstress	1,380
825	"	Seamstress	1,080
826	"	Practical Nurse	1,080
826a	"	4 Wardmen at $480 each a year	1,920
827	"	Chief Cook	2,280
828	"	Cook	2,100
829	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940
830	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400
831	"	Butcher	2,520
832	"	Butcher, Relief	105
833	"	Baker	1,980

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
833a	552 AA	Dining Room Steward	1,680	
834	"	Farmer	1,920	
835	"	Farmer	1,800	
836	"	Engineer	2,640	
837	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,520 each a year	5,280	
838	"	Driver	1,800	
839	552 AA	Gardener	1,800	
840	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900	
841	"	Inmate Help	28,484	
		Total Personal Services		$159,501

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)

842	552 B	Contractual Services	6,000	
843	552 C	Materials and Supplies	284,750	
844	"	Equipment	10,000	
				$300,750

Isolation Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)

845	554 AA	Superintendent	$2,400	
846	"	Office Attendant	840	
847	"	Watchman	1,740	
848	"	Night Watchman	1,320	
849	554 AC	Relief Night Watchman	207	
850	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $540 each	1,620	
851	"	Resident Physician	4,200	
852	"	House Officer	300	
853	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year	240	
854	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400	
855	"	Practical Nurse	600	
856	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600	
857	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year	2,160	
858	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160	
859	554 AA	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152	
860	"	Seamstress	1,080	
861	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200	
862	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,240	
863	"	7 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,780	
864	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460	
865	544 AA	Cook	2,100	
866	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175	
867	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720	
868	"	3 Kitchen Helpers at $540 each a year	1,620	
869	"	Dishwasher	540	
870	"	Waitress	1,140	
871	554 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45	
872	554 AA	Gardener	1,200	
		Total Personal Services		$55,239

San Francisco Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
873	554 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
874	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700	
875	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B.	2,400	
876	"	Clerk	3,000	
877	"	Stenographer	1,920	
878	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each	1,800	
879	"	Statistician	2,280	
880	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200	
881	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
882	"	Office Attendant, T. B.	900	
883	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
884	"	Night Telephone Operator	1,080	
885	"	Night Telephone Operator	840	
886	"	Telephone Operator	1,500	
887	"	Watchman	1,620	
888	"	Watchman, T. B.	1,320	
889	"	Watchman	720	
890	"	Teamster	2,040	
891	"	Messenger	540	
892	"	Institutional Help	3,000	
893	"	Resident Physician	1,500	
894	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600	
895	"	30 Internes at $120 a year	3,600	
896	"	Pharmacist	3,000	
897	"	Assistant Pharmacist	2,700	
898	"	Stockman	900	
899	"	Institutional Help	480	
900	"	Dentist	1,200	
901	"	Radiologist	3,300	
902	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800	
903	"	2 X-Ray Technicians at $1,200 each	2,400	
904	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,200	
905	"	Operating Room Nurse	2,100	
906	"	Asst. Operating Room Nurse	1,320	
907	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
908	"	Anaesthetist	1,800	
909	"	2 Anaesthetists at $1,500 each	3,000	
910	"	Plaster Cast Maker	900	
911	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year	3,240	
912	"	Superintendent of Nurses	3,000	
913	"	3 Asst. Supt of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
914	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T B	1,380	
915	"	Supervisor of Admissions	1,380	
916	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year	6,000	
917	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500	
918	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900	
919	"	Assistant Practical Nurse	720	
920	"	76 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	91,200	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
921	554 AA	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each	2,640	
922	"	Practical Nurses	18,000	
923	554 AB	Orderlies	30,000	
924	"	Orderlies, T. B.	1,450	
925	"	Office Assistant Supt of Nurses	540	
926	"	Medical Advisor	1,500	
927	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000	
928	"	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500	
929	"	4 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	4,800	
930	"	2 Practical Nurses at $600 each a year	1,200	
931	"	2 Practical Nurses at $540 each	1,080	
932	"	Porter	540	
933	"	Pantrymaid	540	
934	"	4 Orderlies at $600 each a year	2,400	
935	"	Orderly	540	
936	"	Commissary	3,600	
937	"	Storekeeper	1,800	
938	"	5 Storekeeper Helpers	2,000	
		LAUNDRY.		
939	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300	
940	"	Washer	1,830	
941	"	Wringer	1,636	
942	"	Starcher	1,416	
943	"	Washer's Helper	1,380	
944	"	5 Ironers at $1,176 each a year	5,880	
945	"	3 Ironers at $1,128 each a year	3,384	
946	"	4 Dry Room Workers at $1,104 each a year	4,416	
947	"	Deliveryman	480	
948	"	Deliveryman	540	
949	554 AA	Marker	1,524	
950	"	Sorter	1,524	
951	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116	
952	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104	
953	"	13 Mangle Hands at $1,020 each a year	13,260	
954	"	2 Porters	1,020	
955	"	Seamstress	540	
		HOUSEKEEPING.		
956	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,320	
957	"	4 Seamstresses at $660 each	2,640	
958	"	Surgery Porter	900	
959	"	Lockerman	600	
960	"	Morgueman	600	
961	"	Morgueman	540	
962	"	Mattress Maker	600	
963	"	Incineratorman	540	
964	"	Sterilizerman	600	
965	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000	
966	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080	
967	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600	
968	"	Window Washer	600	
969	"	Window Washer	720	
970	"	25 Wardmen	15,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
971	554 AA	40 Porters	19,200	
972	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500	
		CULINARY.		
973	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280	
974	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100	
975	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100	
976	"	5 Cooks at $1,980 each	9,900	
977	"	Night Cook	1,380	
978	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100	
979	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500	
980	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
981	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,320 each a year	21,120	
982	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000	
		POWER PLANT.		
983	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,600	
		MAINTENANCE.		
984	"	4 Engineers at $2,520 each a year	10,560	
985	"	3 Firemen at $2,220 each a year	6,660	
986	554AB	Firemen Relief	2,220	
987	554 AA	Helper	540	
988	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year	5,700	
989	"	Gardener	1,680	
990	"	Assistant Gardener	720	
991	"	7 Yardmen	4,200	
992	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,500	
		Total Personal Services	$489,160	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)				
993	554 B	Contractual	$55,000	
994	554 C	Materials and Supplies	155,000	
995	554 CO	Foodstuff	265,000	
996	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225	
997	1092	Equipment	10,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$487,225	

Emergency Hospitals.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)

998	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000	
999	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700	
1000	"	Chief Steward	3,000	
1001	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1002	"	10 Surgeons at $2,400 each a year	24,000	
1003	"	23 Stewards at $2,400 each a year	55,200	
1004	"	13 Nurses at $1,980 each a year	25,740	
1005	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1006	"	16 Ambulance Drivers at $2,400 each a year	38,400	
1007	"	Messenger	1,200	
1008	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1009	5541 AB	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1010	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	$167,640	
1011	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	6,750	
1012	5541 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	18,250	
1013	5541 H	Fixed Charges (Appropriation 47-C)	500	
		Total	$25,500	
		Total Board of Health		$2,247,845
		CHARTER FUNDS AND STATE LAWS.		
1014	612	For Playground Fund, .07 in tax rate (Appropriation 48)		$560,000
1015	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 49)		$800,000
1016	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 50)		$4,444,684
1017	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 51)		$430,000
1018	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 52)		$300,000
1019	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 53)		$519,230
1020	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 54)		$160,000
1021	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 55)		$17,000
1022	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Appropriation 56)		$50,000
1023	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 57)		$218,735
1024	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 58)		$70,000
1025	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 59)		$70,000
		TOTAL BUDGET		$25,765,932

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 8:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, MAY 28, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 28, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 7, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Report of Streets Committee on Reconstruction and Improvements.

Supervisor Gallagher presented the following, which was read and *ordered filed*:

San Francisco, May 25, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee on Streets and Commercial Development is pleased to present to you this report of actions taken on street and road matters referred to us:

In view of an unusual lack of employment, you recently asked that all departments of our City government use every effort to speed up all public and private improvements to relieve the pressure upon the community. We are glad to report that due to your cooperation and to the cooperation of the Board of Public Works, particularly the Engineering Department, the following actions have resulted:

There has been completed street reconstruction to the amount of $465,903 on 84 streets—a total of 135 blocks—for a distance of 13 miles.

There is under way at this moment street reconstruction to the amount of $233,900 on 31 streets—a total of 84 blocks—a distance of 8 miles. This makes a total for street reconstruction and resurfacing of $699,803, for which money has been appropriated.

Due to the voting of bonds for the proposed boulevards, which provided for all work thereon, you released for street and road work the sum of $480,000. This enabled us to deal with so-called special projects, i. e., requests by property owners for assistance in the matter of street improvements in various parts of the City where the cost was excessive, and where, obviously, the assessments, if applied to the property thereon, would almost be confiscatory. Some of these projects have been pending for as long as four or five years. Special projects have, therefore, been put under way to the amount of $452,407, and an additional sum of $125,840 has been pledged for work of this character. In addition to these amounts we have pending for early action two of these so-called special projects which will require approximately $100,000.

In addition you have approved legislation for work under private and public contracts to the amount of $4,000,000.

You have also authorized contracts for boulevard and highway projects as follows:

Alemany boulevard, $174,800; Bayshore boulevard, $213,000; Esplanade and highway at the beach, $508,000; a total of $895,800.

The work ordered, under way and proposed for the immediate future will have provided fork for at least 1000 people.

There are submitted herewith details as follows:

(1) List of street reconstruction which has been completed since July 1, 1927.

(2) List of street reconstruction for which appropriations have been made and work is under way.

(3) Appropriations made under contract and for assistance to property owners, special projects, etc.

(4) List of pledges made for special projects, etc.

The Committee expects to submit a tentative set-up for the expenditure of the $1,500,000 that will be available for the coming fiscal year within the next week or two.

We wish to express our gratitude for your cooperation and your faith in the Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

A. J. GALLAGHER,
FRED SUHR,
A. RONCOVIERI,

Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.

Street Reconstruction.

Appropriations made since July 1, 1927; work completed:

Alpine Terrace, Waller street to Duboce avenue, $1,350.

Bay street, Laguna to Fillmore streets, $6,500.
Beale street, Mission to Embarcadero, $16,200.
Broderick street, Ellis to O'Farrell streets, $2,970.
Broderick street, Vallejo to Green streets, $3,600.
Bryant street, Ninth to Eleventh streets, $9,900.
Buchanan street, Green to Union streets, $1,350.
Buchanan street, Bush to California streets, $8,000.
Clay street, Taylor to Jones streets, $4,860.
Clay street, Stockton to Powell streets $3,600.
California street, Van Ness avenue to Hyde street, $9,000.
California street, Hyde to Jones streets, $12,000.
California street, Mason to Jones streets, $7,000.
Cherry street, Sacramento to California streets, $3,150.
Clark street, Drumm to Front streets, $3,600.
Davis street, Pacific street to Broadway, $3,600.
Divisadero street, Green to Union streets, $4,500.
Drumm street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $4,500.
Ellis street, Polk street to Van Ness avenue, $3,600.
Filbert street, Hyde to Leavenworth streets, $4,500.
Filbert street, Polk street to Van Ness avenue, $4,500.
Fillmore street, Broadway to Green street, $6,300.
Folsom street, Fourteenth to Fifteenth streets, $4,500.
Fourth street, Market to Mission streets, $9,000.
Francisco street, Powell to Taylor streets, $3,600.
Front street, Pacific street to Broadway, $3,600.
Grant avenue, Greenwich to Chestnut streets, $4,050.
Greenwich street, Hyde street easterly, $4,050.
Jackson street, Stockton to Powell streets, $4,050.
Jackson street, Montgomery to Sansome streets, $3,150.
Jackson street, Hyde to Larkin streets, $3,000.
Jackson street, Presidio avenue to Walnut street, $6,000.
Jefferson street, Leavenworth to Hyde streets, $1,350.
Jones street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $1,800.
Kearny street, Broadway to Vallejo street, $2,700.
Kearny street, Union to Filbert streets, $2,700.
Laguna street, Bay street northerly, $2,700.
Larkin street, Bay to North Point streets, $4,000.
Larkin street, California to Jackson streets, $6,840.
Larkin street, Pacific to Union streets, $16,000.
Leavenworth street, Columbus avenue, to Jefferson street, $3,600.
Lyon street, Jackson to Washington streets, $3,600.
Lyon street, California to Sacramento streets, $3,500.
Maiden Lane, Kearny to Stockton streets, $1,350.
Main street, Folsom street to The Embarcadero, $20,000.
Maple street, Sacramento to California street, $3,150.
Mason street, Union to Greenwich streets, $6,480.
Mission street, Fourteenth to Army streets, $10,000.
Mission street viaduct, $5,000.
Ninth street, Market street southerly, $8,100.
North Point street and Columbus avenue, intersection, $450.
Octavia street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $3,600.
Oregon street, Drumm to Front streets, $3,600.
Pierce street, Greenwich to Filbert streets, $3,600.
Pine street, Leavenworth to Taylor streets, $4,500.
Polk street, Broadway to Vallejo street, $3,600.
Polk street, Golden Gate avenue to McAllister street, $3,600.
Presidio avenue, Geary to California streets, $10,000.
Sacramento street, Hyde to Jones streets, $8,100.
Seventh street, Mission to Brannan streets, $24,633.
Spruce street, Sacramento to California streets, $3,150.
Steiner street, Haight to Oak streets, $10,800.
Steiner street, Grove to Fulton streets, $3,150.
Steiner street, Haight to Waller streets, $1,500.
Steuart street, Market to Mission streets, $3,420.
Stockton street, Lombard to Bay streets, $10,800.
Scott street, Filbert to Greenwich streets, $5,000.
Sutter street, Broderick street to Presidio avenue, $11,250.
Taylor street, Green to Lombard streets, $13,500.
Tehama street, First to Second streets, $3,100.
Twenty-eighth street, Noe to Sanchez streets, $3,060.
Twenty-fifth street, Fair Oaks to Dolores streets, $3,150.
Twenty-first avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton streets, $3,600.

Twenty-ninth street, Castro to Diamond streets, $7,000.
Twenty-second street, Church to Dolores streets, $5,850.
Twenty-third avenue, Anza to Cabrillo streets, $6,000.
Valparaiso street, Mason to Taylor streets, $3,240.
Walnut street, Washington to Jackson streets, $3,150.
Walnut street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $1,500.
Washington street, Jones to Taylor streets, $3,150.
Washington street, Leavenworth to Hyde streets, $3,600.
Washington street, Montgomery to Sansome streets, $3,500.
Washington street, Kearny to Stockton streets, $8,100.
Webster street, Sutter to Pine streets, $7,200.
Total, $465,903.

Street Reconstruction.

Appropriations made, work under way or not completed May 25, 1928:
Broadway, Scott to Divisadero streets, $6,500.
Clement street, First to Fifth avenues, $5,760.
Davis street, Boardway to Vallejo street and crossing, $5,000.
Divisadero street, Union to Filbert streets, $6,000.
Eighth street, Market to Mission streets (widening), $2,200.
Eighth street, Brannan to Townsend streets, $4,000.
Eighteenth avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton streets, $2,300.
Fillmore street, Sutter to Fulton streets, $9,000.
Frederick street, Masonic to Buena Vista avenues, $3,500.
Fulton street, First avenue to Stanyan street, $3,150.
Genesee street, Joost to Mangels avenues, $1,500.
Gough street, Washington to Jackson streets, $4,000.
Gough street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $1,500.
Guerrero street, Eighteenth street to San Jose avenue, $10,800.
Haight street, Buchanan to Scott streets. $10,560.
Haight street, Scott to Baker streets, $13,700.
Hayes street, Franklin to Laguna streets, $8,000.
Hermann street, Buchanan to Laguna streets, $1,800.
Harriet street, Harrison to Bryant streets, $6,000.
Jackson street, Taylor to Jones streets. $4,000.
Montgomery street, Post street to Columbus avenue, $5,000.
Oak street, Divisadero to Baker streets, $7,200.
Page street, Scott to Divisadero streets, $3,600.
Page street, Octavia to Buchanan streets, $11,500.
Polk street, crossing at Broadway, $2,000.
Potrero avenue, Sixteenth street southerly, $67,500.
Sixteenth avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton streets, $3,000.
Sixteenth street, Castro to Flint streets, $3,400.
Scotland street, Columbus avenue to Greenwich street, $2,600.
Sutter street, Fillmore to Divisadero streets, $5,000.
Taylor street, Columbus avenue to Bay street, $2,000.
Third street, Brannan street to Third street bridge, $7,000.
Twenty-second street, Vicksburg to Sanchez streets, $2,030.
Vanderwater street, Mason to Powell streets, $1,800.
Washington street, Kearny to Montgomery streets, $1,000.
Total, $233,900.

Appropriation Made.

Work under contract and for assistance to Property owners:
Bay and Lyon streets crossing, $367.
Bayshore highway, $20,000.
Buena Vista Terrace, Buena Vista avenue to Roosevelt way, $3,200.
Bernal Heights boulevard, $19,000.
Capitol avenue, Lakeview avenue to Thrift street, $1,000.
Carolina street, Seventeenth to Mariposa streets, $3,160.
Castro street, Market to Sixteenth streets, grade change, $7,020.
Charter Oak avenue, widening, $7,500.
Chestnut street, Polk to Larkin streets, handrails, etc., $945.
Emergency repairs, $10,000.
Faith street, Holladay to San Bruno avenues, $5,250.
Golden Gate Heights, $30,860.
Grand View avenue, $24,500.
Garfield and Ramsell streets, crossings, $1,300.
Garfield street, widening Junipero Serra boulevard to Grafton avenue, $5,000.
Harrison street, First to Sterling streets, $1,000.
Holladay avenue, Peralta avenue to Adam street, extended, $25,000.
Jerrold avenue, Third to Phelps streets, $8,000.
Judson avenue, Foerster street to Phelan avenue, $4,000.
Lyon street, Chestnut to Bay streets, $5,669.
Mount Vernon avenue extension, $4,000.
Marina boulevard, removal of curbs and paving, $13,000.
Market street extension, $46,800.

Montgomery street, removal of tracks and paving, $9,460.

Montgomery street, Green to Union streets, $7,256.

Nineteenth street, Mono street extension, $5,000.

Orizaba avenue, Holloway to Grafton avenues, $2,000.

Park street, Leese to Mission streets, $1,800.

Parker avenue, widening southerly from California street, $4,500.

Roosevelt way, $45,000.

San Jose avenue, widening, $61,346.

Skyline boulevard, underpasses at Fleishhacker Pool, $14,500.

Sloat and Junipero Serra boulevards, crossing, $1,346.

Teresita boulevard, additional width, $6,000.

Third street and Channel bridge, $16,250.

Twenty-fifth street, Rhode Island to De Haro streets, $2,260.

Work in front of City property, $29,118.

Total, $452,407.

Amounts Pledged by Streets Committee.

Crossing of Thirteenth avenue and Moraga street, $128.70.

Crossings of Noriega street and Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth, Thirtieth, Thirty-first, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Forty-second and Forty-fourth avenues, $1,330.

Thirtieth avenue, Moraga to Noriega streets, $1,882.20.

Coso avenue, Prospect avenue to Winfield street, $15,000.

Lower Terrace, Saturn to Levant streets, $1,500.

Wisconsin street, Twenty-third to Twenty-fifth streets, $17,000.

Moscow street, Amazon to France avenues, $6,825.

Oakwood street, opening of to Nineteenth street, $10,000.

Minna street opening of into Eleventh street, $4,500.

Carolina street, Twenty-second to Twenty-third streets, $21,406.

Laidley street, Thirtieth to Noe streets, $15,900.

San Jose avenue and Ridge Lane, intersection, $100.

Stanyan and Frederick street, acquisition of property, $10,267.85.

Vermont street, Twentieth to Twenty-second streets (former committee recommended this amount), $20,000.

Total, $125,839.75.

Recapitulation.

Street reconstruction, completed, $465,903.

Street reconstruction, under way and appropriated, $233,900.

Total street reconstruction, $699,803.

Contract work and assistance to property owners, $452,407.

Total appropriations, July 1, 1927, to date, $1,152,210.

Pledges, $125,840.

Grand total, $1,278.050.

IN RE MAYOR'S VETO OF JUDAH STREET REZONING.

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco was held on Monday, the 28th day of May, 1928, beginning at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m. of said date, in the chambers of the Board, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Mayor James Rolph, Jr. presided during the morning session. The following proceedings were had, after the transaction of other business in relation to the veto by the Mayor of an ordinance rezoning a portion of Judah street:

Supervisor Gallagher: I desire to ask permission now to rise to a question of personal privilege, and I would request Your Honor's attention, if I am in order, on a subject matter that to me personally appears of moment, and on which some action by this Board is necessary. I am calling Your Honor's attention and the Board's attention to various articles appearing in the San Francisco newspapers, beginning with Saturday morning last, and continuing to and including this morning. I have here a copy of the Examiner of May 27, 1928, and some other copies of papers that carry about the same line of news and comment. Under date of May 27th the San Francisco Examiner contains this—and I will read the whole article if anybody desires it, I am reading those parts that to me, in a personal sense, seem important, "While the Supervisors on all sides are demanding such an investigation the Mayor was busy making public such statements as these—'Reports have come to me that a member of the Board received $2000 in the Judah street'. 'There have been too many rumors going around on other matters as well as this one'. 'Entire city heard rumors of graft about the bay bridge franchise applications'. 'Rumors are going the rounds in real estate circles concerning city land

deals'. 'And I heard a rumor that money passed on the Golden Gate Ferry franchise'. Formal statements. He declined to go into detail, insisted that he is making no definite charges. He had merely heard 'rumors', but he declared that he is conducting extensive investigation into all these nebulous accusations."

Under date of this morning, I believe, it is under the heading of "Investigation of charges in 'rezoning' deal begun," I quote from the San Francisco Examiner of today. "Duncan Matheson and his detectives start work on case as new veto is revealed. Lawyer, five supervisors understood to have been named in report given to probers." And then the article goes on. "Mayor James Rolph, Jr., placed in the hands of the police department yesterday the whole investigation of the rumors and intimations that money had been passed in the Judah street rezoning proposition and in other city projects." I will file this article. "Captain Duncan Matheson and his detectives were at work on the case.

At the same time Mayor Rolph revealed that he would veto a proposal to buy for the city a $10,000 lot at Frederick and Stanyan streets. He declined to make any statement beyond this, but it was recalled that among the rumors which he listed Saturday were those respecting city land deals.

From other sources came more detailed information of these rumored charges that provoked the Mayor's action in vetoing the Judah street rezoning last Friday.

The Reasons.

Summarized here are the reports which the Mayor is understood to have placed in the hands of Captain Duncan Matheson for investigation:

1—That a lawyer, formerly associated with one of the Supervisors, got $2,000 for 'legal services' in the campaign for rezoning Judah street into a business district.

2—That five members of the Board were involved in the Judah affair; that one member was to get all the insurance on the new business section; another was supposed to share the lawyer's fee, and the three others were presumed to profit through the enhanced value of realty holdings that would follow the rezoning.

3—That A. Hirschberg, nicknamed 'Murphy,' was reported to have control of ten votes in the Board of Supervisors and to have advance knowledge of all city land deals.

'Murphy' Again.

The new land deal, which Rolph is scrutinizing, and upon which he announced that he will withhold his approval, involves a lot, 32½ feet frontage by 58 feet deep, at Frederick and Stanyan streets. Its value under the Stafford appraisal is fixed at $5,710 and the City Assessor has appraised it at $5,000, it was declared.

Joseph J. Phillips, right of way man for the Board of Public Works, said he was authorized to negotiate for the lot by the finance committee. He said Hirschberg at first demanded $17,500. Phillips estimates the value of the lot as $8,750, but concedes that several real estate experts had appraised it at $10,000. The measure now before the Mayor commits the city to a price of $10,000." And so on. There are before me here copies of the Daily News, copies of the Chronicle, copies of the Examiner, I repeat, the Call and the Bulletin, all of which indicate that His Honor the Mayor in respect, first, to the rezoning of Judah street and second, in respect to the purchase of a lot at Frederick and Stanyan streets, thirdly, in respect to the Golden Gate Ferry franchise, has given to the public statements indicating that he is investigating matters surrounding those propositions.

Your Honor, ten members of this Board of Supervisors voted for the Judah street rezoning, and did so after a long consideration and a pendency in this Board longer than the average subject matter that is up for consideration. I am vitally concerned, as a member of this Board in two things: One is that, if there be any evidence or indications of evidence that members of this Board, as per these rumors, have been recreant to their trust, it should be developed in the very earliest possible moment, for the benefit of all concerned. The other reason I have is that, if there has been a recreant attitude on the part of some of the members of the Board, it would be advisable that it be developed, and whatever conse-

quences may be, they shall have to stand them. But it is also obvious that, in the matter of statements made relative to Frederick and Stanyan streets, and in the matter of the rezoning of Judah street, that, in one case ten members of this Board—and I happen to be one of them—stand, in a sense, accused in the public eye. I suppose the public is withholding its judgment until such information as you have indicated that you have is made known to the proper authorities and they run it down, but, at the same time, there is not much left to a man in this world except it be his reputation.

In respect to the deal for land at Frederick and Stanyan streets, in view of your announced intention in the papers to withhold your approval of the appraisal, in defense of myself, and I should say, not in defense of my committee, because they have not spoken to me, nor in defense of the Finance Committee, I desire to ask a question or two, if you will permit me. Mr. Phillips, you are the right of way agent of the City and County of San Francisco?

The Mayor: Now, Mr. Gallagher, when you are talking on matters of personal privilege, of course I cannot stop you.

Supervisor Gallagher: Granted.

The Mayor: But when you are investigating Mr. Phillips, whom I am going to call upon in a few moments——

Supervisor Gallagher (interrupting): You are going to call upon him?

The Mayor: Yes, you have not even received my veto message on this yet.

Supervisor Gallagher: I grant you that, but, to be perfectly frank with you. I should wait until you call on Mr. Phillips, because I am quite sure that he will show you a deal in those premises, which has been handled by his office, as clean as a hound's tooth. That is my judgment.

Now, here is what I have to say with reference to myself: I want to ask you, sir, as a fellow public official, to indicate, if you can, how long this investigation would take; in other words, I am vitally concerned in how soon it might be concluded to the point of either disabusing the public mind, or indicating that there were some facts behind the rumors. I am, as a member of the Board, vitally concerned in that. I am suggesting to Your Honor that perhaps you might invoke the service of the Grand Jury in the premises now or later—as soon as possible. I am suggesting further, that if there is any action this Board can take relative to funds or relative to assisting in this investigation and concluding it at the earliest date. I want to stand willing and ready, as one member of the Board, to assure you that it will be a pleasure to assist in every way, and I think I bespeak, although I have not consulted them, the minds of the members of this Board when I say that, if funds are needed and in any way that they can do in assisting in the quick clearing away of these matters, they will be glad to do so. Further than that, I am going to respectfully urge you, Mr. Mayor, that, neither yourself—and I do not wish to seem bold in this—that neither yourself nor any member of this Board leave this city on a vacation tour, or for any other reason, if it is possible to avoid it, at least for any length of time, until this investigation is concluded. My idea in that is this: That, as I say, as a member of the Board, I feel very keenly these rumors. I feel very keenly the condition of the cloudy atmosphere so far as the honesty of the members of the Board is concerned, that must exist in the public mind at this moment, and I am asking, as a fellow public official, that you shall unceasingly and intensively, as you have indicated here in one of the articles, that you shall, unceasingly and intensively, push this investigation, not only to the limit, but to a conclusion. I do not know whether I recite the feelings of the other members of the Board—I have not even consulted them except very briefly—but I know my own feelings, and I am asking you, first, to acquiesce in that request that this investigation be pushed to a conclusion at the earliest moment, and to tell us if there is anything that the Board can do to help you. Thirdly, I would like you to permit Mr. Phillips a word to say on this Frederick and Stanyan streets deal.

Supervisor Marks: I desire to make a motion, Mr. Mayor: I move you that the Finance Committee of this Board of Supervisors be requested,

out of any funds that may be available, to recommend to this Board immediately an appropriation of $5,000, to be placed at the disposal of the Mayor, or such public agency as he may designate, for the purpose of a complete and thorough investigation of the charges made by the Mayor's office.

Supervisor Gallagher: I second that motion, if you will ask the Finance Committee to report today before the meeting is over.

The Mayor: All in favor. Carried. (Dropping the gavel).

Supervisor Andriano: I wish to be recorded as voting "no" on that, Mr. Mayor.

The Mayor: Call the roll, Mr. Clerk.

Supervisor Stanton: It seems to me that this is sort of somewhat premature. I do not know that there is really anything, as far as I can see, to investigate. It seems to me, Mr. Mayor, that the better part of judgment, that is, if you would use the right judgment, that before making these statements to the papers, that you would have made the investigation. You have cast a shadow, a doubt, on every member of the eighteen of this Board of Supervisors by the statements that you have given out to the papers. Possibly you did not mean it, but, nevertheless, that is the fact. I was down yesterday to the South of Market picnic, the South of Market Girls, and there was hardly a person that I met, or was introduced to, that did not say, "Well, how about the $2000?" Some of them jokingly, but there is a certain amount of it that you cannot shake off from anybody. I do not think it would make any difference what the investigation would show, some people would still think that every member of the Board was whitewashed by an investigation, that there was still something wrong in Judah street. I happen to be one of the members that voted against it but, at the same time, you did not stop at Judah street. There is still a further statement that has been given to the press, that there was money passed in the Golden Gate Ferry franchise, that there has been money passed in the bridge. It does not say how the money was passed in the Golden Gate Ferry, whether it was passed by the Golden Gate Ferry, or whether it was passed by the Northwestern not to give a franchise, but they are vicious rumors. And they are not rumors that any public official should broadcast without first giving a proper and fair investigation of his own to see if there was anything that would substantiate it. It seems to me that it is something that every member of this Board should be interested in. As far as an investigation goes, I welcome any investigation, to any limit. But if every member, Mr. Mayor, if every member of this Board is judged by some rumor, and have some other official come out and say that rumors are afloat that certain things are happening, we are going to have a whole lot to account for. Many things might be said, Mr. Mayor, and many rumors might be broadcasted about yourself. Many things might be said. Some member of this Board, for instance, would get up and say to the members of the press, it has been rumored so and so, that the Mayor is interested in so and so, one way or the other. You would not feel that it was fair. You would say to the member, "Why didn't you come and see me; why didn't you investigate before you made the rumor public?" And it seems to me that that would have been the proper way for you to do. It is simply a hornet's nest started up here. And apparently you say to the press, according to the articles in the press, that you have no proof. Those things do not seem fair. And I do not want to do anything to block any investigation, if there is any investigation to be made, the fullest investigation should be made of all of San Francisco's affairs, and let us see just where everybody stands. That is perfectly agreeable to me. But I do not think that we ought to start out here. Five names have been mentioned in the papers, five names, and those five members are going to have a hard time to clear their names. They are going to have a mighty hard time to clear their names. Some of them are coming up for election. Supervisor Gallagher said, if they have done anything wrong, it is up to them to suffer. But, at the same time, I feel that it is the duty of any city official, particularly the Mayor of the city, if he feels that anything is wrong, to

first investigate and tell them, after his investigation, just what it amounts to.

Supervisor Andriano: Mr. Mayor.

The Mayor: Mr. Andriano.

Supervisor Andriano: I voted "no" on that motion that you carried——

Supervisor McSheehy (interrupting): Mr. Mayor, you never carried the motion, did you, Mayor?

The Mayor: No.

Supervisor Andriano: Well, I wanted to speak on the motion. My voice was the only one that I heard voting "no." I want to state, Mr. Mayor, that I see no reason for appropriating money at this stage of the proceedings. We have a district attorney's office, we have a police department, and we have a detective bureau, all of which are paid for the purpose of making investigations where investigations are required. And unless Your Honor requested an appropriation of this Board, for the purpose of making this investigation, I see no reason in the world for appropriating money at this time to make such an investigation.

Supervisor Marks: Mr. Mayor, if I may explain the motion: my motion is that that sum be made available so that it may be employed by the Mayor, or such public agency as he may designate, if it be necessary. I think I made that clear in the motion.

Supervisor Stanton: Why not make it the district attorney?

Supervisor Marks: I said, "or any public agency."

Supervisor Stanton: Let them use the money any way they see fit to make as broad an investigation as possible.

Supervisor Marks: I said that, that is included in my motion, to be available to the Mayor, or to any public agency, for the purpose of this investigation, or anybody, or everybody.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Does that include the Grand Jury?

Supervisor Marks: That is a public agency.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I would like to give the money to the Grand Jury and the district attorney and leave the Mayor out of it. The Mayor has made the statements. Let the Grand Jury and the district attorney call the Mayor on the carpet and make him prove it. He has made the statements. Why give the Mayor the money?

Supervisor Powers: Mr. Mayor and members of the Board: As one member of the Finance Committee, I will speak for myself only. I am not afraid of any investigation which you, as the executive head of the City and County of San Francisco, make. You have all the tools and the material under your hand, to order them in, not this afternoon, but this morning, if necessary. I, as a member of the Finance Committee, will cast my vote for any amount of money to investigate any such serious charges as have been proclaimed to the people in San Francisco, within the last two or three days. I did not vote on the prevailing side of the Judah street rezoning. I voted with the minority, but this has been a sting on every one of the eighteen Supervisors in this Board here. I appeared at two picnics yesterday, the Noe Valley Merchants as well as the South of Market Girls, and it does hurt me to walk up to my friends and they grab me by the hand and they say, "Charlie, how much did you get of the $2000?" That is not a very fair condition to put a supervisor in, when he is walking through the streets of San Francisco. I simply told them I knew nothing about it. I know nothing about the Golden Gate Ferry. I know nothing of the bribes on the bridge end of it, and I know that the Board of Supervisors should be protected. If there is any supervisor in here that is guilty of this deed, it is up to the whole official family of San Francisco to see that it is brought out in the public light. And let us have a show down of it and not have these rumors week in and week out, because it is a sting on your whole administration, Mayor Rolph, as well as it is on every member of the Board of Supervisors. And I am not afraid to vote any amount of money, but I know, full well, that you have the control of the workings of every department in San Francisco, and you can call them and send them out this morning just as well as any

time in the near future. And I would like to see this investigation finished and not have it drag along too long.

Supervisors Roncovieri: I want to amend the motion to make the money available to the district attorney and the Grand Jury, the proper authorities to make investigations of this kind. After the investigation we will then know, from a responsible body and responsible officer, charged with the duty of making such investigations, the right or the wrong of these rumors, alleged rumors, which the Mayor has set afloat. Let the chips fall where they may. They may fall upon the Mayor's office, or upon any one of us, and it is not up to the Mayor, nor up to this Board of Supervisors, to make investigations. It is up to an independent, I shall assume, a very fair, body, the Grand Jury, and I believe the Grand Jury is limited in its funds, and I believe they should have, not five, but ten thousand dollars, or any amount of money they want, to make a complete investigation in their own way, and in their own time, and until that has been done, we will sit quietly and forget it.

The Mayor: In the first place, both motions are out of order. The charter provides that all investigations upon matters brought to the attention of the Mayor shall be investigated by him, and that is what he is doing.

Supervisor Marks: That motion is to make available to you funds, if you require them. It does not direct you to make any investigation. I assume, if you make the charges, you are going to make them specific and go through with your investigation, and the public is going to demand that you do it, and every man that has come under the cloud of your aspersions is going to demand that you do it. Now, just get that straight.

The Mayor: You cannot scare me with that. Anybody else desirous of talking?

Supervisor Stanton: I think that the motion would be out of order for this reason: Were you investigating and had you not brought the names out, or broadcast them to the world, it would be a different matter, but every member of this Board is entitled to a certain amount of protection. And I notice in an article there by Mr. Stewart wherein he denies, absolutely, that he ever gave, or anybody ever solicited from him—Supervisor Gallagher has it there.

Supervisor Gallagher: No, I have not that, Supervisor.

Supervisor Stanton: If you are quoted right, further on in the article you say, "These are rumors and let them prove that they are not guilty." Why, that is an awful thing, Mr. Mayor, if that is your statement. The common law of England, the law of this country, judges every man innocent until he is proven guilty, and for somebody to say there has been a rumor that you have done something, now go out and prove that you have not, why, I would not think that anybody would be subject to anything of the kind.

Supervisor Gallagher: Do you know that the Mayor was correctly quoted in that statement?

Supervisor Stanton: I am saying, if he made the statement. It seems to me that it is an awful thing. If somebody would say something about you, that you did something, or it has been rumored that you did something, then it is up to you to go out and disprove it, it is not up to anybody to prove that you have been wrong. No court of the land, no just person, ever demands anything of the kind.

Supervisor Gallagher: Mr. Mayor, I believe, frankly, that the motion is out of order at this time. However, that is not of moment because, Mr. Marks, before the day is over, the Finance Committee shall be personally requested by me, at least if by nobody else, to bring in, before the day is over, an offer of funds to His Honor, or to any other agency, to investigate. The matter came before the Board on my rising to a question of personal privilege. I would say that I think that the motion can come any time during the day, and I think the Finance Committee will acquiesce. I think, at the moment, it might be out of order. You said you were going to call on Mr. Phillips.

Supervisor Marks: I want to know whether the motion is declared out of order.

The Mayor: Yes, it is out of order.

Supervisor Marks: I want to know whether the Mayor of this city will attempt, for his purposes, or any purpose, to cast a cloud upon the reputations of men and then, when an offer is made by those upon whom the reflection is cast, to place in his hands available funds, so that he may carry on the investigation that he has been threatening, he declares that motion out of order. Is that your attitude, Mr. Mayor?

The Mayor: Why certainly it is out of order, the Mayor has not asked you for any funds. Why don't you listen to the Mayor's veto, at least show that much courtesy to it. The question is not before the Board yet of my veto.

Supervisor Marks: You have made statements and the charges in the public press, and we are offering you, by virtue of this motion, funds, if they be necessary, to carry on your investigation, and I understand that, having made the charges, you refuse to entertain a motion to investigate.

The Mayor: When I want the funds I will get them, if I need them.

Supervisor Schmidt: Mr. Mayor, in repeating your statements in the daily press that the rumors that you have heard with reference to your veto, if they are proven truthful, then you have done a great deal of good for San Francisco. I stand with you on that point. I feel that, if the rumors are not proven, San Francisco's good name has been harmed again, like when I was a small boy in the graft days, that scandalous thing that happened at that time. San Francisco probably will be then quoted the entire breadth of this land that our city is composed of grafters. As one casting a vote upon this matter, I was consistent in trying to bring about a complete unity of rezoning along the entire street. I stated, at the time when the zoning committee brought in two blocks, or three blocks to be rezoned, that I thought they were making fish out of one and fowl out of another, and that I would support a proposition whereby the entire street would be rezoned, or I could not support one or two blocks that were involved in the recommendation of the zoning committee.

Supervisor Gallagher: I rise to ask the Supervisor a question: Why are you going into explaining your attitude on this matter when you have not been asked to do it? I have risen to a question of personal privilege here. Will you answer me this question: Why should the Board listen to your personal explanation any more than anybody else's, as to why you voted for this or for that? Your conscience was your guide, no explanation is necessary now.

Supervisor Schmidt: I am merely trying to lead up to this: The Mayor has made charges or repeated rumors of charges, and I do not think it is fair for him to say that our motion to appropriate money is out of order. He is trying to stop the hand that is desirous of carrying out funds to make this investigation.

Supervisor Gallagher: Is there anything to prevent the Finance Committee—let the Mayor have his way for the moment—is there anything to prevent the Finance Committee, at any time during the day, voluntarily bringing in a resolution offering $5000?

Supervisor Schmidt: I will be very glad. I do not want to see this thing stopped today.

Supervisor Gallagher: Do not worry, brother, it is not going to be stopped, you can be assured of that.

Supervisor Kent: I came in a little late. Did you make a statement on this subject this morning?

The Mayor: No, I have not had a chance to have my veto message read yet.

Supervisor Kent: Will you make a statement?

The Mayor: Yes, I will, after the message is read.

Supervisor Kent: I ask that you make it now.

The Mayor: No, I will have the veto message read first, I insist upon that.

The Clerk (reading): "I am returning to you herewith, without my approval, ordinance No. 8036, adopted by your honorable board May 14, 1928, and providing for a rezoning of a portion of Judah street from second residential to commercial.

"This ordinance is disapproved by me without prejudice and for the sole reason that certain rumors have come to me of alleged improper practices by which certain members of the Board of Supervisors are supposed to have profited. I do not believe these rumors, but I do feel that for the sake of the honor and integrity of your Board they should be thoroughly investigated.

"During the hearings on this ordinance, which I held in my office as well as in the neighborhood involved, these rumors were so insistent that I felt I could do nothing other than veto the measure, without prejudice, so that the entire matter can go back to the Board of Supervisors for further proceedings, in the course of which the light of publicity could be directed at what I believe to be false and malicious reports.

"I am making no accusations against any member of the Board of Supervisors, nor am I casting suspicion on anyone; I have no proof of any wrongdoing.

"But I would be derelict in my duty as Mayor of San Francisco if, in the face of all these rumors, I approved the rezoning ordinance. I am sure the members of your honorable Board will welcome the opportunity of an investigation which should stop these whispering innuendoes once and for all."

The Mayor: The message will take its usual course. I feel, as you all do, keenly at the publicity which has been given this matter. With two exceptions all that appears in the press is correct. I made no charge in the Golden Gate Ferry matter. I know nothing about the Golden Gate Ferry matter. That statement should be withdrawn. So far as telling you to clear yourselves, I am trying to do that for you, and there is no such report as that in any part of the newspapers. This Judah street rezoning has been before this Board for ten months or more. On Valentine's Day I pinned on here, or it was pinned on me, a valentine "Zone Judah Street." And for months since this Board has been struggling with the problems of zoning Judah street, I will admit that I tentatively, at least, or led myself to believe, and led members of the Board to believe, that if the resolution was passed that I would approve it. My word is the only thing I have in public life, and I have never broken my word. The Judah street people came in to see me after this resolution was passed by the Board of Supervisors, and my rooms were crowded, and I listened to them carefully. I said, "I must give the Irving street people an opportunity to be heard," and on the following Wednesday, upon my return from Merced, the Irving street people came into my office in the afternoon of that day. They gave me rumors and they mentioned names, they made charges, and I said, "I will go out and see the place." I met them at half past eight on Thursday morning at the corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Judah street. There were several machines there waiting. We came down Judah street to Twentieth avenue and down Twentieth avenue to Irving street. I sat in my machine at times, and I got out at times, at the corner of Irving street and Twentieth avenue. The committee met me there and the crowd became almost a convention. I listened to them, and charges were made there right out in the open that Supervisors were interested in this matter; that $2,000 for attorneys' fees had been paid; that one Supervisor had promised to get all the insurance business on Judah street, and these statements were made right out there in the open, and I was startled. Friday came along and I began to ask the newspapers about these things and how they stood on this matter, and every newspaper was against the passage of that resolution. I rang up former Chief Justice Matt I. Sullivan, president of the City Planning Commission, and I asked him about it. He said he was sick and tired of this thing, and that he and his commission were going to resign because every time they passed anything in the City Planning Commission it was overridden by the City Planning Committee of the Board of Supervisors. That night, without invitation from me, my room was crowded with proponents of Judah street, and I

sat there and I stood listening to arguments why Judah street should be zoned. The statement was made by another party in my office that $2,000 had been paid, all of which I knew nothing about, and at twenty minutes past eleven, everybody looking at the clock, and I had until 12 o'clock that night, or the measure went through without my approval, it became a law. I could not, in the face of the rumors, of which I have no proof, at half past 11 o'clock at night, investigate them, prove any of them, and in view of the fact that I only had until 12 o'clock, I brought Mr. Murphy, or he came into my office, Mr. Todd came into my office, after all who were in my office of my official family were there, and I asked them what they thought about the discussions which had taken place out in the big room. They seemed to think there was nothing else for me to do but to veto it. The charge was made in my room, which Mr. Murphy heard, that this $2,000 had been paid. To whom and for what I did not know. I felt, and I feel now, that they are false. I feel that the whispering rumors that were going around in the Sunset District were detrimental to the honor and to the integrity of this Board. I could not, in view of the charges that were made, or the rumors made, I could not put my signature to it at five minutes to 12 o'clock at night, without at least casting the rumors aside in permitting rumors to go on, and the Irving street people charging several members of this Board with wrongdoings, and I thought even though I had practically agreed to sign that ordinance, I could not, in view of these rumors that had come to me, do anything but veto it, for the honor and the integrity of the Board of Supervisors. I did that and have sent you a message. So far as this statement in the Examiner this morning is concerned, I made that statement to a private individual yesterday with the pledge of honor that it would not be used. I have not a thing against any member of the Board of Supervisors, as I tell you there. I know nothing of the Golden Gate Ferry, nor am I concerned in it, but, so far as these rumors are concerned you ought to thank me, and you ought to welcome an investigation to clear this whole situation up. We have thirty days on this measure. It has to lie over five days and must be completed within thirty days. I have not employed any outside help, I have not employed any gumshoe men, I have done nothing but the right and honorable thing toward this Board, and to myself. I asked Captain Duncan Matheson, the head of the detective department of San Francisco, to run these rumors down, which I gave to him, and if they are false he will come in here with a clean bill of health, and he will save the honor of the Board and he will save the honor of myself. That is the situation that confronts us today. Of course, it is a fine newspaper story. I have kept out of the press as much as I could. And I want to clear the name of every member of this Board, and clear up this Judah street business and have the witnesses appear before Captain Matheson, and if the head of the department of detectives cannot come in here with a clean bill of health, you will get a report of what Duncan Matheson brings to me. Now, Mr. Stanton, you say, why didn't I come to you.

Supervisor Stanton: I say, not to me, any member.

The Mayor: All right, I asked Mr. Todd, I said, "Mr. Supervisor, I will have to ask you to relieve me on this thing," at five minutes to 12 that night. And I was not scared to go out and meet the Judah street people in the front room and tell them what I have done. I had no chance to come to you.

Supervisor Marks: Every member of the Board was in session that night considering the budget, in this very room, and we did not leave until 1 o'clock in the morning, and you know that.

Supervisor Stanton: I did not mean that you should come to me or any other member on the Judah street business. What I had in mind, before you gave to the press statements that there were certain nasty rumors that you should have investigated them, that you should have

taken that to the Police Department, or whomever you wanted to investigate it, quietly.

The Mayor: I could not do it; I was right up to the last minute. Why, these rumors are all around. Why don't you get them cleared up?

Supervisor Stanton: Go as far as you like, as far as I am concerned.

The Mayor: You cannot sit like an ostrich with your head in the sand ignoring these rumors all around. Why not clear them up?

Supervisor Roncovieri: No, we do not hear these rumors. I never heard them until I heard them from you.

The Mayor: Supervisor Powers said he had heard them.

Supervisor Gallagher: I suggest the Mayor be permitted to finish his statement.

The Mayor: I just want to say one thing to you, Mr. Stanton: It never flashed across my mind until you said it just now, why didn't you come to me instead of bringing charges against me as being interested at 333 Kearny street, why didn't you come to me instead of taking it to the Grand Jury?

Supervisor Stanton: Why didn't I?

The Mayor: Not you.

Supervisor Stanton: If anybody says that I took any charges to the Grand Jury, they told you a deliberate lie and I defy anybody to step forth and say so.

The Mayor: I am not talking to you personally, I am talking to the Board. Why did not the Board come to me instead of taking it to the Grand Jury on 333 Kearny street?

Supervisor Stanton: I do not know that any member of the Board did it.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I do not know what the others did, I did not.

Supervisor Marks: I desire, Mr. Mayor, to ask a question: I rise to a question of personal privilege——

Supervisor Gallagher (interrupting): I think I am entitled to ask the Mayor a few questions after he has finished his statement. I wish you would permit me to finish my line of thought. I rose to a question of privilege, I have no objection to your doing it later.

Supervisor Marks: I thought you were through. You have been sitting down for ten minutes.

Supervisor Gallagher: Have you finished your statement, Mr. Mayor?

The Mayor: Well, I may have something to say afterwards.

Supervisor Gallagher: Now you say, sir, and I am not anxious to get any information, you said, "The charge was made in my office Friday night that $2,000 was paid an attorney in the Judah street matter." I think I have quoted your language correct.

The Mayor: Yes, it was.

Supervisor Gallagher: That was made in public, was it not?

The Mayor: Made with Mr. Murphy present.

Supervisor Gallagher: Who made that statement?

The Mayor: Well, I would rather tell Captain Matheson, it was made publicly in my office.

Supervisor Gallagher: That is all right, I do not care; let that go.

Supervisor Roncovieri: No, do not let it go.

Supervisor Gallagher: You will have to permit me my own line of questioning, I do not want to catechise the Mayor. Did you make the statement, Mayor, that you had heard that money was passed in the Golden Gate Ferry matter?

The Mayor: No.

Supervisor Gallagher: You never made it?

The Mayor: No.

Supervisor Marks: You made it to somebody with a pledge of secrecy?

The Mayor: No, that is this statement that appears in this paper this morning that he has just read.

Supervisor Marks: That is in there.

The Mayor: No, he means on last Friday that appeared.

Supervisor Gallagher: Did you, your Honor—and this I am not catechising you, I am trying to clear the atmoshphere——

The Mayor (interrupting): Go ahead and ask anything you want.

Supervisor Gallagher: Did the Mayor make the statement that the entire City heard rumors of graft about the bay bridge applications?

The Mayor: Why certainly, it was printed in the Bulletin.

Supervisor Gallagher: You made that statement?

The Mayor: Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: Did the Mayor make the statement that rumors are going the rounds in real estate circles concerning certain city land deals, and did you make the statement, "And I heard a rumor"—this is quoting you—"that money passed on the Golden Gate Ferry franchise?"

The Mayor: The Golden Gate Ferry—no. They got that mixed up with this big bay bridge here.

Supervisor Gallagher: Now, I would like to ask if you made this statement: "Supervisors Alfred Roncovieri, Milton Marks and Andrew J. Gallagher were among the proponents declared by the Mayor to have been most active in favor of rezoning?"

The Mayor: I made that statement in privacy because somebody wanted to know what there was in connection with this whole business, and I was simply betrayed, that is all. I would never have said so publicly.

Supervisor Gallagher: Of course, I have nothing to do with that except I simply want to say to you, you must be in error for two reasons: I was active, but the other two members were inactive, as a matter of fact.

The Mayor: I only mentioned this because your names were mentioned right out in the open on the corner of Twentieth avenue and I street.

Supervisor Gallagher: Did you make this statement, your Honor, "To my mind it is a rotten state of affairs if a private realtor has inside information on every piece of property the city intends to buy or sell. Such whisperings should not be allowed to go unchallenged. If they are false, let us find it out." I am calling your attention to this statement, that "It is a rotten state of affairs if a private realtor has inside information on every piece of property the city intends to buy or sell?"

The Mayor: With the exception of the word "rotten," I think I used the word "bad," yes, that statement I made, though not for publication.

Supervisor Gallagher: Now, I think I could help your investigation very materially, sir. You said you would call on Mr. Phillips.

The Mayor: Yes, that is what I want to do.

Supervisor Gallagher: I wish you would call on him now for the purpose of the record and to help your investigation on the matter of the proposed purchase now pending before you, of the lot at Frederick and Stanyan streets. I do that, sir, I ask you to do that for me at this time.

The Mayor: All right. Mr. Phillips is here this morning by permission of the Superior Court of Amador County, at Jackson, the capital of the county seat, where he was to go and testify in some land and water matters for the city. Mr. Phillips called my attention to this resolution, No. 29008. And I would like to know if Mr. A. Hirschberg is in the room? I would like to have permission of this Board to interrogate Mr. A. Hirschberg at any time that it is convenient to him and to me because Mr. Phillips has to leave at 12 o'clock to be in Jackson in time

for the case tomorrow morning. He called my attention to a resolution passed by the Board of Supervisors last Monday.

Supervisor Gallagher: Recommended by whom?

The Mayor: Well, I do not know, I have just got the resolution, it was on my desk, "Resolved, that the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Stanyan street, for the sum set forth opposite his name, being accepted. A. Hirschberg, $10,267.85, subject to the conditions hereinafter set forth: Lot 1, Block 1263, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco. It is hereby understood and agreed that $267.85 is to be paid by said A. Hirschberg to California Petroleum Corporation in full payment for the release of the lease of said corporation with said A. Hirschberg. And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the city, a deed conveying said property to the city, free and clear of all incumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco." I asked Mr. Phillips to remain over, as I told you. He had that permission. I will ask him to tell you what he told me and then I will tell you what I found out by further investigation myself. Mr. Phillips, will you please tell the Board?

Supervisor Havenner: At this time I would like to have the Clerk of the Finance Committee bring in the transcript of the record in detail which was made of this transaction at the time it came before the Finance Committee.

* * * * *

The Mayor: Go ahead, Mr. Phillips.

Mr. Phillips: Mr. Mayor, in justice to myself I would like to correct one statement that you made, that I called your attention to this resolution. If you will remember, you sent for me on account of it being a land purchase and did ask me for a statement. I did not originally call your attention to this matter at all.

The Mayor: I think it came up when you were talking to me about that Eureka matter, probably at that moment.

Mr. Phillips: But you spoke to me about it first, I did not call your attention to it.

The Mayor: Well, which ever way it was.

Mr. Phillips: You sent for me a couple of days ago and asked me for a statement with regard to this transaction, and I will make the same statement to the Board, as nearly as I can remember the way I made it to you. I informed you that the first knowledge that I had of this transaction was from the Chairman of the Streets Committee, who requested me to appraise and purchase the corner of Stanyan and Frederick streets, that it was necessary for the widening of Stanyan street. That afternoon a man by the name of Abraham Hirschberg rang me up and said that he understood that I had been told to purchase this piece of property, and that he expected $17,500 for it. He insisted on knowing about what my figure would be, and I told him that I had not had time to appraise it, but I thought that it was possibly around about $5,000. At that time I, of course, had not made my appraisal. I then made a further investigation and found that the Market Street Railway Company had deeded this property to the California Pacific Title & Trust Company, dated February 15, 1928, giving the dimensions of the property. And it was recorded in 1620 of Deeds, page 164, at thirty minutes past 8 a. m.

Supervisor Kent: What were the dimensions then at that time?

Mr. Phillips: The same as now, 32 feet 6 inches on Frederick street, 59 feet 1 inch on the side line to Golden Gate Park, 32 feet 7½ inches frontage on Golden Gate Park, to the west line of Stanyan street, south along Stanyan street 56 feet 1½ inches to the point of beginning, being a

portion of Western Addition Block 713. I also found that, on the same day and at the same minute, the California Pacific Title & Trust Company had deeded to the Market Street Railway Company, under date of February 24, 1928, the corner of Sutter street and Presidio avenue, 53 feet 11 inches on Sutter street and 65 feet 5 inches on Presidio avenue. It appeared to me that it was a matter of a trade. I went to the Market Street Railway Company and one of the head officials of that company told me that they had refused a cash offer of $15,000 for this property.

Supervisor Stanton: What property?

Mr. Phillips: The property at Stanyan and Frederick, that the matter was a proposition of an even trade, that they needed the other lot for the rounding out of their holdings. They told me that the original street railway company had purchased this lot a great number of years ago as a part of a right of way, so that the steam trains could turn around that corner. I was not satisfied with the statement of the Market Street Railway officials, and from confidential sources, which are open to me whenever I desire them, I found that last fall the Market Street Railway Company had accepted a cash offer of $7,500 for the corner of Stanyan and Frederick. The man purchasing it had paid a deposit, and when he found that there was no official sidewalk on the Stanyan street frontage he forfeited his deposit. The Board of Supervisors, by Ordinance 1061, Section 145, adopted December 18, 1903, had this language: "The width of sidewalks on Stanyan street, the westerly side of, between Oak street and Frederick street, is hereby dispensed with and abolished. The width of sidewalks, the westerly side of, between Oak street and Frederick street, shall be 15 feet." In the meantime, I was carrying on negotiations with Mr. Hirschberg, and he told me that there was a lease in existence for this property for the sum of $10,500, at the rate of $87.50 per month, commencing with the 1st of May, 1928. I have that lease in my hands. It is signed by Ruth Lamberton, a widow, and the California Petroleum Corporation. It has been properly acknowledged with a notarial acknowledgment, as far as Ruth Lamberton is concerned, and F. C. Van Deinse, known to me to be the vice-president, and D. E. O'Brien, known to be the secretary of the California Petroleum Corporation. The lease, however, has been signed by Mrs. Lamberton, and in spite of the notary having the acknowledgment as having witnessed the signatures, those signatures are not on the lease.

The Mayor: How long was that lease to run?

Mr. Phillips: That lease was to run for ten years from the 1st day of May, 1928, to the 30th of April, 1938, for the sum of $10,500, payable monthly in advance, in current lawful money of the United States of America, in instalments as follows: $87.50 a month from May 1, 1928, to April 30, 1938. I could not get together on the matter of price with Mr. Hirschberg. He told me that that he could refer me to five or six real estate men who would vouch for the statement that he had refused cash offers of $11,500 and $12,500 for this property, based on this lease. I found that this Board of Supervisors in March of this year had granted to the California Petroleum Corporation a permit for a gasoline station on this property. That was finally approved on March 27, 1928. I then went to the Chairman of the Finance Committee and made practically the same statement that I have made here, and asked for permission to employ Mr. Philip Paschel, the president of Baldwin & Howell, and a very competent real estate man, to make an appraisal on this property, as I could not see Mr. Hirschberg's value. I was granted that permission. I went to Mr. Paschel. He told me that Mr. Hirschberg had already spoken to him about the matter and that consequently he was not liberty to make the appraisal for the city, but he did go so far as to tell me that he thought the price of about $10,860 could be justified on the basis only of the gasoline station permit, and the lease which he said he had read. I then came back to the Chairman of the Finance Committee, relating those facts to him, and said that Mr. Hirschberg had said to

me that he was willing to name some twenty real estate men in San Francisco, and that I could select any one of those twenty, and that he would agree to the price. I was then authorized by the Chairman of the Finance Committee to employ Mr. Vincent Finigan, the manager of Buckbee & Thorne, and twenty-five years, I understand, in that position, a man of unquestioned ability and unquestioned integrity. We employed Mr. Finigan to make that appraisal and Mr. Finigan reported under date of May 8, 1928, "In accordance with your request, I have inspected the premises consisting of the lot in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and described as follows," then giving the same description. "In my opinion, the value of the said lot is the sum of $10,000, subject, however, to there being an existing lease to a responsible oil company of the said premises for a period of ten years from May 1, 1928, at a monthly rental of $87.50 for use as a gasoline service station. Yours very truly,

VINCENT F. FINIGAN,"

Mr. Hirschberg again came to my office and I showed his this appraisal and he refused to sign the option. He told me that a member of the firm of Coldwell, Cornwall & Banker had offered him, I am not positive, it was eleven five or twelve five, but one of those two figures for this property, based on the permit and the lease. He said, "You can get on the telephone and listen while I talk to him." I have two phones in my office, under one local, and, at Mr. Hirschberg's request, I took the other phone, and he rang up that firm. The man he wanted was not in. Mr. Pfau, a member of the firm and the president of the Real Estate Board, came on the telephone, and Mr. Hirschberg asked him if he had any knowledge of the property. He said he did not. Mr. Hirschberg then made a statement to him in regard to the size of the property, it was zoned commercially, that a permit had been granted, and that the lease was in existence. He said, "In your opinion, under those circumstances, what do you think I could get for the property?" Mr. Pfau's answer was, "$10,000." We then hung up and Mr. Hirschberg offered to give me the names of some four or five other real estate men whom, he said, could secure more money for it. In the mean time, he had told me on a previous occasion that he was a man of his word, that he had sold property worth $120,000 for $70,000, that he had never seen, though he owned it, because he had given his word to a real estate man that he would sell it. I called his attention to that, and, after considerable discussion, he did sign the option. The letter of transmittal to the Board of Supervisors stated that, "The above sum is in accordance with the appraisal of Mr. Vincent F. Finigan, employed by the city for the purpose of making this appraisal." Mr. Hirschberg told me that, if I could show him anything in San Francisco with three frontages, the third frontage on Golden Gate Park, at anything like this price that he would buy all that I could bring to him. And he told me that, in my experience, I had never purchased anything of this character for as low as $10,000. I called his attention to the fact that about two years ago we purchased in our office for the city the corner of Mission and Randall, 65 feet on Mission, 135 feet on Randall, narrowing in width as it approached the Southern Pacific right of way, but having three frontages, and an oil station permit on that property that was leased to a responsible oil company for $100 per month, and that we had paid $10,000 for that property. That, in the breaking of the bottle neck the Union Oil Company had a long lease on a corner at $75 per month, and that we had paid $7500 for that based entirely on the gas station permit and the lease to a responsible company. I showed him those papers and I said, "Under those circumstances, and entirely on the fact that there is a permit and this lease, $8750 is what I consider all that you should get. However, we had agreed to leave it to outside men and the figure was $10,000 and Mr. Hirschberg finally signed for that figure. I believe, Mr. Mayor, that that covers everything and that is as near as I can remember the statement that I made to you.

Supervisor Gallagher: Just one question or two. You are an employee of the Board of Public Works?

Mr. Phillips: I am.

Supervisor Gallagher: You are not influenced by any member of this Board of Supervisors, or any public official, in your recommendation to the committee?

Mr. Phillips: I am not.

Supervisor Gallagher: You recommend the price of $10,267.85.

Mr. Phillips: I should explain that $267.85 inasmuch as the appraisal was $10,000. I thank you for calling my attention to that. The California Petroleum Corporation who had this signed lease spent a certain amount of money on that property. I have the itemized statement here. Superintendent for 2½ days, the foreman, a carpenter and the labor, the lumber, the cartage, the freight and materials and the total is $267.85. You will remember, Mr. Supervisor, in speaking to me about this property, that you understood that there had been some money spent on it and you asked me what our policy would be and I said that, on a legitimate proposition where a company had spent money, based on their lease, and based on their permit, that, in order to get that lease revoked, it would be necessary to pay that expenditure, and that is the reason why the $267.85 is added to this figure, and the resolution passed by your Board carries a clause——

Supervisor Gallagher: You read that.

Mr. Phillips: No, I did not: "It is hereby understood and agreed that $267.85 is to be paid by said A. Hirschberg to California Petroleum Corporation, in full payment for the release of the lease of said corporation with said A Hirschberg." At the time that resolution was drawn we did not know whether that was another name on the lease, but Mr. Hirschberg had told me that he did not take property in his own name, but that he was the owner.

Supervisor Gallagher: The letter of transmittal was signed by the city attorney, was it not?

Mr. Phillips: At my request. I explained to Mr. O'Toole the matter and the statement was made in here and I read that, "I respectfully recommend that the attached resolution be adopted by your honorable Board, provided the purchase price meets with your approval." In other words, the city attorney took no responsibility whatever on the price.

Supervisor Gallagher: That is all.

Supervisor Roncovieri: A lady here has whispered to me that there was something wrong about your statement. I wish this lady would have the privilege of the floor and explain what it is that is wrong with your statement.

Supervisor Gallagher: Wait a minute. What is wrong? Let her tell you.

Supervisor Roncovieri: My God, let us crush them right now, right here. If the lady has a rumor, or if she knows, let her say it.

Supervisor Stanton: Mr. Phillips, is there anything in the transaction that you consider wrong, in any way or shape?

Mr. Phillips: No, I do not.

Supervisor Stanton: Was there any undue influence brought upon you to fix a price, or anything?

Mr. Phillips: None that I know of.

Supervisor Stanton: Is there any difference in this than there is in any other real estate transaction where you buy land?

Mr. Phillips: No, I find this same state of affairs where people lie to me and misrepresent values. That does not mean anything because we expect that on almost every transaction.

Supervisor Stanton: Then you went out and bought this piece of property on what you consider the best terms?

Mr. Phillips: I did, I considered that, under the circumstances, as long as Mr. Paschel and Mr. Finnegan and Mr. Pfau had said $10,000 was a fair price, even though I did not agree with them, and I do not agree with them yet, that it would be impossible, under eminent domain proceedings, to secure it for a less price and consequently it would be foolish to put the city to the expense of a condemnation suit, if there was no hope of getting it for a lower price.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I ask that this lady be given the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor Gallagher: The statement that this lady makes is that somebody went out there and dug a hole in the ground, took down the billboard and moved away. The detailed statement of what the California Petroleum Corporation is there, and it is only $267.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Supervisor Gallagher misunderstands, this is a rumor.

Supervisor Gallagher: And now you are putting yourself in the hands of the women, go ahead and see where you land.

Supervisor Havenner: Now Mr. Mayor.

Supervisor Roncovieri (interrupting): I have not relinquished the floor. The lady says that Foster & Kleiser had a sign up there and they took it down and a hole was dug in the ground, and that was all that was done on the property, and that it was not worth the $200 and some that you spoke of.

Mr. Phillips: I have the itemized statement submitted by the California Petroleum Corporation.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Would you mind reading that itemized statement to the Board?

Mr. Phillips: I want to say that it is very hard, of course, after the labor is put in, for me to determine how they spent it. I notice that the sidewalk has been removed on Frederick street, evidently in preparation for putting in their oil tank. Supervisor Gallagher says, in closing a lease of this kind, if it is a small matter, we naturally would have to accept the figures of a responsible company, unless we could find something wrong with them. The figures are as follows: This is submitted to me by the California Petroleum Corporation. "Cost on Stanyan and Frederick streets service station, labor, R. E. Yeoman, superintendent 2½ days, $30. L. Patch, foreman, 4 days, $44. E. Pickler, carpenter one day, $9. P. Johnson, carpenter 2 days, $18. S. Stevenson, labor 4 days, $20. E. Neil, laborer, 2 days, $12. Tim McNaughton, laborer 2 days, $10. Material, lumber, $34.85. Cartage $5, freight on tool box from Santa Cruz $5, detailing and plan in connection with cut special type building, $25, engineering and location work $50; application for permit $5, total $267.85.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Is there anything wrong with that?

A Lady: Yes, it is.

The Mayor: You have heard Mr. Phillips' statement, and Mr. Phillips told me that he thought the price was entirely too high, but what was he going to do when he had an appraisement from Mr. Paschel. So I took the matter up, acting upon the suggestion given to me by him, and I asked what the assessed value of this property was, from the Assessor himself. He sent back word to me, $2210. He sent back to me Stafford's sale value of the lot at $5140. And he sent back to me his own appraisement of this property, $5000, and he sent me back Stafford's true value at the very utmost of $5711. Now, there is a difference between the appraisements which I have and $10,000 at which the city is purchasing this lot.

Supervisor Gallagher: Do they know about the lease?

The Mayor: The lease is of no value, that is the point I am going to bring up to you. Why should a lease have been made on that property when the city knew that the city ought to have that property for the widening of Stanyan street?

Supervisor Gallagher: Could I answer you there?

The Mayor: Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: At the time the lease was made and at the time it appeared that the Board had granted the permit—was voting on it—there was no knowledge on the part of anybody concerned, that I know of, and it developed, I think, in the course of your investigations that there was not. The first knowledge that we had that there was a lease, if there was one, or that there was a permit, was when the City Engineer called my attention to the fact that the matter was on the calendar in the Board, and it is entirely to be presumed that the Fire Committee of the Board, of which I happen to be a member, proceeded with the matter unknowingly. And I think our action in

the Street Committee came after the action of the Fire Committee of the Board.

The Mayor: Now, what value is there to any lease for an oil station on any piece of property? It is a revocable permit, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors. How, therefore, can Mr. A. Hirschberg claim a value for a cancellation of a lease which has not been recorded, nor have I seen the lease. Now, the property was transferred from the Market Street Railway Company to the California Pacific Title Insurance Company. And I have been trying to get some information out of them this morning, but the thing, they say, has got so muddled up they do not care to give any. I asked Mr. Samuel Kahn, president of the Market Street Railway Company, over the phone, what price did he get for this property. He said, "Mr. Mayor, it was a simple trade, Mr. Hirschberg approached us and thought that we had something out there at Frederick and Stanyan streets that they might want to get rid of and he had a piece up here at Sutter and Presidio avenue that he obtained in a trade. There was not a dollar's worth of money passed between in the transaction. It was simply a trade of piece for piece." I said, "Well, what did you value that at?" "Well," he said, "You know we have a valuation for every piece of property we have got," and he said, "Wait a minute." And he went and got his book and came back to the telephone and told me that "Our full value for that property, according to our books, is $7,500." Now, so far as a clean trade is concerned, it might have been $25,000 each, or $10,000 each, as long as there was no money paid for the property. Now, the lot is only 32 by 58. It has a great building there along the side of it, and I believe, and I have no proof, that the dates will show it, that Mr. Hirschberg, knowing that he would get a lease for that property before he made the trade, he got the lease, made the trade, and did the transaction all at once. Now, am I justified as the Mayor here?—I am supposed to investigate everything that comes over to me from the Board of Supervisors—not to take cognizance of the fact of this transaction, these appraisements, and the fact of the lease being granted to Mr. Hirschberg when the city knew that it was going to widen Stanyan street? Why, therefore, should we pay him anything? Why should it be over the $5,000 or the $5,700? And if you want to go to the full value that he traded it for Mr. Kahn, $7,500 is the utmost value that was on the books of the Market Street Railway Company. Am I justified in throwing away the people's money? I came here today purposely to tell you this thing, not veto it first and tell you afterwards about it. I will give you some rumors and I can prove some of these: That Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg goes along Montgomery street and says he is the whip of the Board of Supervisors. I can bring testimony in here that Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg says that he controls ten votes of the Board of Supervisors: I can bring not rumors but facts that he says that he takes members of the Board of Supervisors up into his 823 Geary street, and the whole real estate row is talking about the Board of Supervisors for having anything to do with the company of "Murphy" Hirschberg. Now, those are not rumors, those are facts. And when he talks of deals in land, Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg tried to buy the block of land on "N" and Ortega. The City Planning Commission zoned 100 feet on the north side of "N" and 100 feet on the south side of "N," right in the very block that the city is purchasing for that grand boulevard through the Sunset. He offered to make a purchase, and then it was to be purchased by the City of San Francisco for the acquirement of that boulevard. Now, if those are not real facts, based more on fact than on rumor, I think it is about time that the Board of Supervisors heard of some of these things that are going on, instead of flying off the handle when I am trying to help the Board of Supervisors to clear up some of these rotten rumors. I use "rotten" this time, that are going on around this town. Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg claims that he can do business with the city. Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg does traffic in lands that the city is going to buy. Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg is active in garage permits.

Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg is interested in oil stations, Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg is so close to the administration that he says he is the whip of the Board of Supervisors. I do not make those rumors, I do not make those statements, but they are clear as a bell, and I can prove them all, and here I am, trying to clear up these other rumors for you that are going around. And you know them yourself, you ought to thank me for bringing them to your attention, you ought to thank me for trying to clear up the atmosphere because I get it from the boys of the press, I get it from all the people that come in to see me. And it is my duty. I cannot any more sign my name to that—giving Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg $10,287 for that property—it is not worth it. (Applause.) You know what Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg did in 1923 when the newspapers were all full of it, when he bought the Sussex Apartment House and raised the rents, bought up leases, during the war, and after the war interested in all those things. Why, it is the talk down on California street and the real estate row the influence that Mr. Hirschberg claims he has got here in the administration.

Supervisor Stanton: Mr. Mayor, let me correct you: Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg volunteered the second day that war was declared and served his country throughout the war, so that Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg did not buy land and raise rents during the war because he was in Europe.

The Mayor: I did not say he did, I said in 1923.

Supervisor Stanton: You said when this country was at war.

The Mayor: I say in 1923. Now, I am going to clear, if it takes an awful lot of my time, I am going to clear, out of this Board of Supervisors and the Mayor's office the influence of "Murphy" Hirschberg prove what ten of the Board of Supervisors he controls, I am going to stop his loquacious tongue on Montgomery street, and I am going to kick him out of here, and I have several members of the Board of Supervisors with me. And I have several members of the Board of Supervisors who have brought to my attention the question of purchasing land for the city. I am going to look those up, too. But the Board ought to stand with me and help me clear up these rumors, to clear all our good names. Now, I bring this to you today because I am going to veto it, and if anybody can show me cause why I should not veto it I want to know it now.

Supervisor Gallagher: I do not know what Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg is claiming, and God bless him, if he claimed he owned the whole Board, we have no objection to that. I did not hear any great speeches when the Hon. Mr. George Skaller used to go around this Board and talk to members right in voting time. Mr. George Skaller, late lamented president of the Civic League, was supposed to be the boss of the Board, and so rumored in the public press. I do not pay any attention to the rumor that members of your staff in your office are participants in fight privileges and get their dough from the fight promoters—I do not believe it. And I give no credence to rumors of that kind. I do not pay any attention to the rumor that a son of an executive officer of this city and county is selling stock in a lumber company and that before permits can be signed by duly accredited officials, that stock has to be bought in the lumber company. I do not pay any attention to them, I do not believe them.

The Mayor: Well, that is all right.

Supervisor Gallagher: Very good. Now you cannot ask the public to put a cloud on the Board because a gentleman goes somewhere along Montgomery street or anywhere else and says he controls ten votes of the Board of Supervisors. The facts are, and the thing that ought to be developed here, has there been anything done in the Board to indicate that this gentleman's statement is correct? The Emperor Norton used to go up and down Montgomery street and claim he was the Emperor of France and some place else, but I do not think he needed an official investigation. Now, I am serious about this because, Mayor, I will tell you, your Board of Public Works, your Police Com-

mission, you know yourself you had word of the rumor that a member of your Police Commission was a participant and an owner in a fight pavilion and participated in the receipts, but we did not pay any attention to it because we trusted that public official and we did not think it was fair. You know those rumors were brought to you, do you not?

The Mayor: But that it not doing business with the city.

Supervisor Gallagher: It is the same thing.

The Mayor: No, it is an entirely different proposition.

Supervisor Gallagher: It is a rumor.

The Mayor: I think he used bad judgment, but nobody can stop him going into any legitimate line of business that he wants to.

Supervisor Gallagher: I do not think so and I think he is a very honest man. For instance, there was a rumor all over the public streets on 333 Kearny street, that a committee of this Board desired to investigate. That is not to be charged to the entire Board. And I think that in view of the fact that that rumor reached a stage of charges in the public press, it was incumbent upon the Board and yourself to clear it up. That had some validity. I do not care to believe that. I am going to ask you, as a business man, Mr. Phillips is your appointee, through your Board of Public Works. We have, I want to say to you, implicit faith in him. I have, as chairman of the Streets Committee, in the purchase, I think, of over a million dollars worth of land, checked his values in the last analysis. And he has only been brought into court, I think it is, three times in sixteen years, isn't that so?

Mr. Phillips: In San Francisco.

Supervisor Gallagher: In San Francisco. He has been brought into court on the purchase of millions of dollars worth of property. Now, here is a man who does what? You say you are going to veto this. Let us see if you are fair about the thing—veto it if you want to. How long have you been our right of way man?

Mr. Phillips: Sixteen years.

Supervisor Gallagher: Whose integrity has never been questioned, and he feeling that he cannot go into court and get the value that you say there ought to be in the lot, he proceeds to select whom? Mr. Paschel. Is there any question about his integrity, is there any question about Vincent Finigan's integrity? He proceeds to select them. After he selects them they name a price. He considers he is bound by that, and the owner of the property desires not to sell even then. This thing can be simply dealt with and justified through your figures, or those recommended by your department, not by any committee of the Board, except from your department. Let the Mayor veto it, let us put condemnation on this property and let us see where we will land. We have got to have it.

The Mayor: Sure we have got to have it.

Supervisor Gallagher: I do not want to go much further except to say this: that the Judah street matter went to your office on a given date. Now, you are very busy undoubtedly. I do not believe criminations and recriminations are going to do any good here. I do not know how much time you had to investigate. We knew you had ten days. That at the last moment you saw fit to veto it was not of moment except for the reasons recited. Now I say to you very well, I want to go with you the whole route. I am satisfied myself at least as to what the outcome will be, but I want it to be quickly taken. In respect to this property, as far as members of the Board are concerned, the answer has been had here this morning. No. 1, your appointee, your employee, the employee of the Board of Public Works, your department, not ours, has recommended this price for this property. Your employee has stated there has been no influence of any kind or character in his office, or by any public official on behalf of anybody connected with this deal. Your employee now, Mr. Mayor, has relieved the Board of responsibility for the rumors. And I hate to say it, because I trust the man more than you have any idea of, but now you have pinned the ribbon of so-called suspicion upon Mr. Phillips, your own employee. And I tell you, if you stop to go on down in the real estate market, take any one of the thousands of real estate men and put the facts before

them, and ask them what they think the city ought to pay for this property, you will come back, I think, Mr. Mayor, and tell Mr. Phillips that you are sorry that these statements got out into the light. I admire your carefulness in surveying the actions of this board relative to land purchases. How many million dollars worth of property, Mr. Phillips, have you bought?

Mr. Phillips: $22,000,000.

Supervisor Gallagher: This is the first time that ever the department has been questioned. Now, do not forget this: the rumor is abroad this morning that because—now, this is a rumor—that because, first, Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg is a very dear friend of the editor of the Daily News—he happens to be one of mine, not in the same regard though—and because of the rumor too, Mr. Mayor, that Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg had something to do with trying to elect Mr. Power for Mayor, and because of the fact that Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg spent his money trying to elect Mr. Power Mayor and lost it, that therefore the rumor is abroad this morning, and it is all over Montgomery street, as Mr. Kent I think might testify if he has been to his office, that you are punishing Mr. "Murphy" Hirschberg and hurting the Board because of his political activities. I do not believe it. I believe this: that certain information was brought to you and that because Mr. Hirschberg claimed certain things you assumed that perhaps there was something wrong about this transaction. And you were right in investigating it. But, sir, when you find that your employees, that your department has gone out and investigated, and that your employee in your department has recommended this price, and all we have done is to approve it, it seems to me, Mr. Mayor, that your investigation in that respect is pretty nearly concluded.

The Mayor: If my attention had not been called to this matter I would have approved it. I would not stop anybody from getting their legitimate price for a piece of property any time. But it was not my employee but your employee because I do not pay him any money and you do through the funds of the Finance Committee.

Supervisor Gallagher: You mean Mr. Phillips?

The Mayor: Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: He is your appointee, you recommended his appointment, ask him.

The Mayor: Well, I think I did sixteen years ago. Now, let me tell you, I would not have brought this up if Mr. Phillips had not told me that he thought the price was altogether too high, and Mr. Paschel and Mr. Finigan and Mr. Phillips have allowed in the price here something for the lease. Do you think a man is going to give up a ten year lease, $10,500 or $87.50 a month, for a paltry $10,000 for the piece of property?

Supervisor Gallagher: He would have had to do it.

The Mayor: But Mr. Paschel and Mr. Finigan and Mr. Phillips have figured something in there for the lease. I was out there yesterday; there had nothing been done, the lady is right. But there is the itemized account, I am not kicking about $287.85. But I am saying it is right for me as Mayor, with the appraisement of the Assessor, the Stafford appraisement and the value of the property as figured by the Market Street Railway to investigate a price of $10,000 for that property.

Supervisor Gallagher: In the light of what you have heard this morning what do you think?

The Mayor: Well I think the same thing, it is too high, and Mr. Phillips thinks it is too high, and I think the Chairman of the Finance Committee will tell you it is too high.

Supervisor Havenner: Well, Mr. Mayor, I do not know anything about any members of this Board over whom Mr. Hirschberg may claim to crack a whip. If he cracks any whip at the Finance Committee it is a surreptitious and a hostile whip. When the Finance Committee heard that, in regular form, the recommendation had come for the purchase of this land for the widening of the street, I talked to Mr. Phillips. Mr. Phillips told me that Mr. Hirschberg was demanding $17,000 approximately for this land. I told Mr. Phillips that I did not want any concessions made to Mr. Hirschberg that were improper in any way, or that could not be

substantiated, that I did not want an extravagant price paid for this land, and that I wanted him to be most careful in his procedure. He will justify that statement.

Mr. Phillips: That is correct.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Phillips then asked me, as he has told you, whether I would consent to the appointment of Mr. Paschel as a referee. I know Mr. Paschel. I would consent to the appointment of Mr. Paschel. I would consent to the appointment of Mr. Paschel as a referee. I know Mr. Paschel, know his reputation and I said yes. He advised me subsequently that, because of some business relations between Mr. Paschel and Mr. Hirschberg, Mr. Paschel did not care to serve. He then recommended the name of Mr. Vincent Finigan whom I did not know personally. He told me that he would guarantee personally Mr. Finigan as a real estate man of outstanding integrity and ability and experience.

Mr. Phillips: Correct.

Supervisor Havenner: I said, "On the strength of your recommendation then we will accept Mr. Finigan as the arbitrator in this dispute." Now, the thing has been done, Mr. Mayor, with utmost pains to guard against the possibility of any suspicion as to the integrity of this whole deal. Mr. Phillips brought back to me Mr. Finigan's appraisal, over his signature, in which he did, to be sure, make some allowance of value for the existence of this lease. But it was done and done in the most regular fashion, and I cannot see where there is any possibility of any odium attaching to anybody. No other member of this Board spoke to me about the deal when the transaction finally came in, and Mr. Phillips signed up Mr. Hirschberg at the appraised figure, the Finance Committee went to the trouble to have a detailed record made a part of the official records of that hearing, and I would like to have the clerk read this now. I say that we have gone to extra efforts to preserve the integrity of this whole deal, and now the only question can be the personal opinion as to whether the value fixed by this expert is right or not. It is common procedure in disputes to employ appraisers of good reputation here, to fix a value, and I think that it is probably Mr. Phillips' opinion that, if we did go into court, and had brought men like Mr. Finigan and Mr. Paschel into court, that we would have to pay this price. I do not see how we would expect to get away from it. It may be that it is a higher price than the land would be worth without this permit, but I think courts do allow added value for contracts of that sort which are a guarantee of income producing ability over a period of years. So, Mr. Mayor, so far as the Finance Committee is concerned, I say that we went to extra efforts to safeguard this transaction against the possibility of criticism. And, very frankly, I went to those efforts because I have heard those rumors that this gentleman had boasted on the streets of some influence somewhere. I can assure you that he has no influence with the Finance Committee.

The Mayor: Thank you.

The Clerk: (Reading).

"Before the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors,
Friday, May 18, 1928.

Finance Committee (Present) Havenner, Kent, Powers.

Supervisor A. J. Gallagher also present. No. 15 on Calendar:

'City Attorney's resolution accepting offer of A. Hirschberg at $10,267.85 for property required for widening of Stanyan street.'

Supervisor Kent: What is that No. 15?

Supervisor Gallagher: A. That is that corner of Stanyan and Frederick.

Supervisor Kent: What is the appraisal?

Supervisor Gallagher: A. Finigan recommended a price of $10,000, and there was a California Petroleum Oil station going in there which had some expense, $267.85.

Supervisor Kent: Q. 32 feet by what?

Supervisor Gallagher: A. 32-7¼ x 56-1½.

(Supervisor Kent here requested a record be made of the transaction for future reference).

Supervisor Gallagher: For the record—If you want it I will dictate

it—(continuing) That the Street Committee determined to recommend the purchase of the lot at the northwest corner of Frederick and Stanyan streets, A. Hirschberg owner. That Joseph Phillips, right of way agent, commenced negotiations for the purchase, as per the request of the Street Committee, and that he and the owner, Hirschberg, disagreed on the price. It was then suggested that Philip Paschel's services be secured, to which the Chairman of the Finance Committee consented, to make a valuation for all parties concerned. Mr. Philip Paschel refused for personal reasons —reasons not stated to me; and the chief of the right of way department, Joseph Phillips, then selected Vincent Finigan, connected with Buckbee-Thorne & Company, who, on the date of May 8, 1928, filed a recommendation addressed to Joseph J. Phillips, recommending, quote——

'In my opinion the value of the said lot is the sum of Ten Thousand ($10,000) dollars, subject however, to there being an existing lease to a responsible oil company, of the said premises, for a period of ten years from May 1, 1928, at a monthly rental of $87.50, for use as a gasoline service station.' (Continuing) And there was filed with the Finance Committee, as of this date, from the California Petroleum Corporation, the cost on the Stanyan and Frederick service station, a list in detail of labor, engineering, detail and plan, etc., in the sum of $267.85. And the Street Committee, on yesterday, recommended to the Finance Committee the purchase of the property in the sum of $10,267.85. Is that complete enough?

Supervisor Kent: A. Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: (to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk) You take these (papers)—(A. Hirschberg agreement to sell at $10,267.85. Letter to Jos. J. Phillips, valuing the lot at $10,000, signed Vincent F. Finigan, and hereinabove referred to; California Petroleum Corporation cost bill in sum $267.85; copy of City Attorney's letter transmitting resolution authorizing acceptance of offer at $10,267.85; and copy of resolution accepting offer of A. Hirschberg at $10,267.85.) (Continuing) And that record will be signed by Phillips, Finigan, Paschel and myself, if you want it, Milo.

Supervisor Kent: It is necessary for the widening?

Supervisor Gallagher: Yes. It clears that wall, etc.

Supervisor Kent: No objection.

(No. 15 approved)."

The Mayor: What is the pleasure of the Board?

Supervisor Gallagher: Well, I have a motion to make and it is as follows, dealing with the rezoning ordinance and his Honor's reasons for vetoing it. I desire the privilege of asking, through the Mayor and the Board, that the Clerk of the Board develop the following information: How many meetings of the City Planning Commission have been held since January 1, 1927, to date? Two. The name and number of members present at each meeting. Three. The number of matters referred to this Board by the City Planning Commission, and in how many instances have the recommendations been sustained or reversed. I would like permission to have the Clerk develop that information. I do not need to explain my reasons, I think they are obvious.

The Mayor: Any objection? (Bringing down the gavel).

So ordered.

MONDAY, MAY 28, 1928, 2 O'CLOCK P. M.

Supervisor Marks: I desire to know as to the disposition of the motion made by me this morning that $5,000 be appropriated in connection with the investigation of certain rumors.

Supervisor Gallagher: The Mayor declared it out of order.

Supervisor Marks: My understanding is the Mayor declared the motion carried and subsequently declared it out of order.

The Chairman: It was declared out of order.

The Clerk: Yes, the Mayor declared it out of order.

Supervisor Marks: I will ask that Mr. Girvin be sent for to read his notes.

The Clerk: Mr. Girvin has gone to his office.

Supervisor Gallagher: Let's go ahead with this Islais Creek matter.

Supervisor Marks: Mr. Chairman, I desire to interrupt these proceedings, because this is a vastly more important matter than these particular matters. I desire to appropriate the sum of $5,000 to be placed at the disposal, if requested by the Mayor, or any other public official or body, for the purpose of conducting an investigation into the rumors against the members of this Board.

Supervisor Andriano: I rise to a point of order.

Supervisor Stanton: I second the motion, that the money be given to the Grand Jury. I can't see for the life of me why the money should be placed at the disposal of the Mayor.

Supervisor Marks: My motion is a request to the Finance Committee that, upon the request of the Mayor or any public body, the money be made available for the purpose of an investigation. It doesn't designate anybody. I assume the investigation is to be made, and I insist upon an investigation being made, and this is to make the money available.

Supervisor Stanton: I second the motion, but it doesn't seem to me it is up to the Mayor to make the investigation, or the Police Department, who are directly under him. It seems to me if there is an investigation it should be by some impartial person or body, and if you will make your motion read that way, I will second it.

Supervisor Marks: It does include that, with respect to both the Mayor and District Attorney, and the Grand Jury. In other words, Mr. Stanton, I think it is improper for this Board to direct who should make the inquiry, but I think it is proper for the Board to place available to such body as makes the investigation such funds as may be necessary, and then to see that the funds be used for that investigation. I shall be glad to have the suggestion of the Finance Committee, Mr. Havenner or Mr. Powers, as to whether they look with favor upon such an appropriation if it be called for.

Supervisor Powers: I explained my position this morning. I am not afraid to vote any amount of money to thresh this thing down right where it belongs. If there is any truth to the rumors, they should be found; if they are not true, that should be found out and the parties exonerated.

Supervisor Stanton: I would like to have this article read, first, in this connection.

Supervisor Marks: Let me just restate my motion. My motion is a request to the Finance Committee that they hold in readiness, or that they make an appropriation, if requested by anybody, the Grand Jury, the Mayor, or the District Attorney, of $5,000 for this purpose. Do you find anything objectionable in that?

The Chairman: You have heard Mr. Marks' motion; is it seconded as he words it?

Supervisor Stanton: If you will permit the Clerk to read the article first.

The Chairman: Then I will have to declare the motion lost for the time being for lack of a second.

Supervisor Marks: I serve notice at this time that I will make it again and again until it is acted upon.

Supervisor Gallagher: What is the motion; I will second the motion.

Supervisor Marks: I will withdraw the motion for the time being.

The Chairman: Mr. Stanton has requested that an article be read into the record.

Supervisor Schmidt: I believe that Mr. Marks withdrew his motion until a statement is made by Supervisor Stanton.

Supervisor Andriano: I rise to a point of order, that there is nothing before the Board at the present time.

The Chairman: The point of order is well taken. Proceed with the next matter.

Supervisor Marks: I move you that the sum of $5,000, that the

Finance Committee appropriate $5,000, so that it may be available for the purpose of investigating the matters which the Mayor has before him.

Supervisor Gallagher: If you will use the words "rumors given expression to by the Mayor," I will second the motion.

Supervisor Marks: I don't care what you call it, call it statements as to the rumors made by the Mayor which he has under investigation.

Supervisor Stanton: I want to have that statement read before you vote on it.

Supervisor McSheehy: When the statement is read I will proceed.

The Clerk (reading): "Why not investigate some more rumors?

Editorial.

"Mayor Rolph has given voice to two ugly rumors of graft in the City Hall. He has asked his Police Department to investigate:

"1—The rumor that 'money has passed' to some supervisors to vote for the rezoning of Judah street.

"2—The rumor that somebody was bribed in the Golden Gate Ferry franchise negotiations.

"Now, while we're at it, why not declare open season on City Hall rumors? Why not hunt out the truth in some other rumors that have been floating around town for months and years?

"Why not investigate:

"1—The rumor that the son of a high city official sells to people who do business with the city, stock in a Philippine lumber company before his father approves the business.

"2—The rumor that a certain political banker carries a $1,000,000 insurance policy on the life of a high city official, to protect said banker in case the official dies before he repays loans.

"3—The rumor that gambling places and bootleg joints flourish in San Francisco, under protection of the police and high city officials.

"4—The rumors about Hollywood trips.

"5—The rumors about graft in the Board of Public Works.

"6—The rumor about that $20,000 plus paid for appraisals of the McLaren Park property.

"7—The rumor that a certain political banker 'carries' a high city official for 10,000 shares of Standard Oil stock.

"8—The rumor about that loss of $3,000,000 in Hetch Hetchy bonds in 1921.

"9—The rumor about 'protection privileges' dealt out for taxi stands and sidewalk flower stands.

"10—The rumor about lotteries operated under protection of the police.

"11—The rumor about the bail bond graft involved in the monopoly on bail bond business given to McDonough Brothers.

"12—The rumor about 333 Kearny street.

"13—The rumor that Supervisor McSheehy was not the only official interested in and working for a tube across the Bay, instead of a bridge.

"14—The rumor about a certain bootlegging joint on Market street operated in a building owned by a city official.

"15—The rumor that a certain high city official, who is in the insurance and surety bond business, compels people who get contracts from the Board of Works to patronize his surety bond department.

"These rumors, all of them, are of much longer standing than the two that Mayor Rolph has asked the police to investigate. They persist through the years. If our Police Department is to be used to run down rumors, it certainly should not confine its activities to the two that Rolph has voiced.

"It is a dangerously irresponsible person who gives publicity to rumors that he does not substantiate, who assails indiscriminately the character of eighteen Supervisors. Rolph has done this. So he should be compelled to either prove his insinuations or apologize, not only to those he has accused, but to the public.

"The public has not forgotten that rumors of graft were circulated

in this city 25 years ago, and that one result was the conviction of a mayor for felony."

Supervisor Gallagher: Why not investigate some more rumors, the Mayor has asked the Police Department to investigate this matter; why not now investigate all of them?

Supervisor McSheehy: I seem to be the only one interested in these rumors, I am apparently the only one named.

The Chairman: Mr. Stanton, you have the floor.

Supervisor Stanton: Mr. Chairman, I just want to say that when we are taking up the matters of rumors, that we have a lot of them, and why stop at investigating one particular thing if there is so much wrong in San Francisco; and according to the newspapers the last few days there are a lot of things wrong. Reflection has been cast on this Board of Supervisors by rumors, the people walk up and feel of your pocket to see if they can feel the money jingling and pull off a lot of comedy along that order, and a certain amount of that sticks, you can't get away from it, and I for one, am for as full and complete and impartial an investigation as it is possible to have. I am not willing to have the thing go along and then stop, it doesn't seem to me that this investigation should be in the hands or in the control of any particular individual. There have been too many things said, too many nasty rumors broadcast. Let somebody outside of this Board, or the Mayor, do the investigating. Somebody that is free from any influence or any connection with him, or any connection with the Mayor or this Board, make the investigation, otherwise there might just as well not be any investigation. We should have this investigation by all means; either find somebody around here guilty of the things charged with or exonerate them. If they are right and upstanding and fair they can have nothing to fear from any investigation. But I say go all the way as long as this matter has gone as far as it has, we should see it all the way through.

Supervisor McSheehy: I said that I might not be unwilling, if that paper was read, to make a statement in this connection myself. I didn't know what was in that, and I was surprised to a great degree. However, I find that someone is abrogating a tube besides myself. I am not the original tube man, tubes have been advocated by the Board of Engineers, I have only advocated one tube myself, the one on which we could get a permit.

Members of the Board, I cannot understand why we should make an appropriation of this kind at this particular time. We made up a budget here last Friday, and in that budget there were a couple of commitments, one commitment for $250,000 for the Kezar Stadium, the appropriation of $100,000 for the Marco Polo celebration was also brought up. The Finance Committee chairman was very fair in his position, and he seems to have a lot of merit to his views on the matter, his views, as I see them, were really very sound. He said to "bring me and show me that the merchants of San Francisco will contribute $100,000 for this celebration, and I will recommend it". We met and agreed to a commitment of $80,000 if the merchants would come forward with their share. Now, you are asking here this afternoon to make an appropriation of $5,000. We don't know what department wants the money, some say that we have no right to appropriate this money for this purpose, that the Mayor is already investigating it. Why not get the matter in an orderly manner, go to the assistant City Attorney and ask him to draw up a proper resolution and present it in an orderly manner, and then have the proper department go into the matter and if these rumors are not well founded, of course, the parties accused will be exonerated. But why make an appropriation at this time——

Supervisor Hayden (interrupting): I would like——

The Chairman: Supervisor McSheehy has the floor.

Supervisor McSheehy (continuing): When I have finished I can be asked questions, or the Supervisor can reply. It seems to be the

habit of this particular Supervisor to try to destroy the continuity of a man's thought at times.

May I say this, I don't know any reason why this appropriation should be made, and my name was mentioned, even in this rumor. I voted for the Judah street rezoning, I voted for it, and I have no apologizes to make, none whatever. There is an old Biblical saying: "The guilty seeketh where no man findeth." I have no apologies to make, and I say to you here, members of this Board, and I have been on this Board quite a few years, this is the first time I ever heard of an appropriation for money for a purpose of this kind—I ask that I be not interrupted until I have finished—I say to you, members of this Board, and particularly to the mover of this motion, to bring in a proper resolution. This resolution should prescribe for some department of the city government, and I imagine that the Grand Jury or the District Attorney would be the proper parties to run down these matters. We know that you can present a matter of this kind to the District Attorney's office and they will make all of the necessary investigations, and then if the District Attorney feels that the matter requires the action of the Grand Jury, an investigation by the Grand Jury, that will follow. Then if they wish $5,000 for this investigation, either the District Attorney or the Grand Jury, they will get one vote on this Board for it, and that will be mine. But until we have something more definite to act upon I don't believe we ought to appropriate this money at this time. I am guilty of nothing, I am willing to have any investigation made of any of my actions that anyone may see fit. You can have rumors every day, you can send rumors from here to Oakland by tube.

Supervisor Hayden: I move that this subject matter be referred to the Finance Committee.

Supervisor Havenner: The Finance Committee, I am sure, is willing to provide funds if they be necessary for any duly authorized investigation. If the Board wants it, they should direct us to make the appropriation and the Finance Committee will not hold it up one minute, but the Finance Committee does not wish to act on its own responsibility in the matter. If it be desired to have an investigation now I think the Board should decide who should make this investigation.

The Chairman: Do I hear any second to the amendment of the motion of Mr. Hayden?

Supervisor Andriano: Both the original motion and the amended motion are out of order, for the reason that the Grand Jury has funds at its disposal for the making of any investigation or investigations it desires; the Police Department has funds at its disposal for the making of investigations, and the District Attorney's office has funds and officers for the making of investigations, and the Mayor, who is the only one, the only other agent of the city who can make an investigation, has funds at his disposal; consequently I believe that both the original motion and the amendment to the motion are out of order.

The Chairman: The chair will recognize the protest and so rule.

Supervisor Marks: I understand that the motion and the amendment to the motion are ruled out of order. I would like to ask, Mr. Chairman, whether or not if requests come from the Mayor, the District Attorney or the Grand Jury for appropriations in addition to their normal funds for the purpose of making such investigation, whether ample funds for such purpose will be provided by this Board?

Supervisor Havenner: I think so, yes. If the Board will recommend us to appropriate money for that purpose we will gladly do it.

Supervisor Stanton: It seems to me that the matter of ruling this out of order is not altogether fair procedure. It is very evident, and a well known fact, that certain members of this Board of Supervisors are the Mayor's personal friends. It is also perfectly well known that the Mayor himself, sitting in that very pulpit there, pointed to me and said: "I will get you." And the Mayor or anybody else who

has made any such statement as that should not attempt to investigate anybody that he has made those statements against, and anybody who has made those statements is not going out and make an impartial investigation. Or is he going out to try and pass something onto a few people? I don't believe he is in a frame of mind to make a fair and impartial investigation. Therefore, this investigation should, at least, not be by a member of the Board of Supervisors or by the Mayor, and not particularly by somebody who right from that rostrum has made the statement that there are certain members of this Board of Supervisors that he is going to get. It should be made by some impartial person or body.

Supervisor Schmidt: It has already been in my mind that this Board could authorize that if any of these agencies mentoned, the District Attorney or the Grand Jury, that this Board could authorize the Finance Committee to give them any funds they so desired. Now, I am making a motion that the Finance Committee be authorized, if request is made from the Grand Jury or the District Attorney, so that no loss of time will be had in the investigation, that we, the Board of Supervisors, recommend this motion be passed, and you be allowed to give them any funds to carry this investigation to completion.

The Chairman: Well, don't you appreciate that we meet every Monday, and between now and the next meeting of this Board, if any request is made to the Finance Committee, and the Finance Committee will ask the Board, I am sure that the Board will act upon it in plenty of time. I don't see what you accomplish by asking for any appropriation of funds for the Grand Jury or District Attorney or anybody else now. We have the machinery and if there is any call it can be done without the loss of any time.

Supervisor Havenner: If the Board wants an investigation it should call on the body it wants to make the investigation. I will move then that the District Attorney be requested to investigate these rumors in the public press, at the instigation of the Mayor, and that he call upon the Mayor if there are any additional funds required.

Supervisor Marks: Second the motion.

Supervisor McSheehy: My name was the only one mentioned in this article: I would like to see this bridge question investigated, I would certainly like to see that investigated. I suppose the mover of the motion has no objection to including that?

Supervisor Havenner: You can make it as large as you please, the scope of the investigation.

Supervisor McSheehy: That he be asked to investigate the rumors as made by the Mayor, and made by this newspaper—I don't know what paper it is, what paper is it?

The Clerk: The Daily News.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Why not the Grand Jury also? It is the proper body.

Supervisor Todd: The District Attorney's office handles all of those things, and if he thinks, after his investigation, that it warrants, it goes to the Grand Jury.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I move to amend the motion by adding to it "the Grand Jury". The Grand Jury is the inquisitorial power, with the right to call any citizen before it. The Grand Jury, consisting of nineteen persons, nineteen citizens, has the right to call anybody before it that it desires in its investigations. It is the inquisitorial body of the government and is the proper one to handle a matter of this kind. The District Attorney is a single individual, and he might have certain friends and might want to whitewash some of his personal friends. Let's have the Grand Jury investigate these rumors and investigate the Mayor and everybody else concerned.

The Chairman: Is there any second to Mr. Roncovieri's amendment?

Supervisor Roncovieri: How does it read?

The Clerk: The memorandum I have, that Supervisor Havenner has moved that the District Attorney be directed to investigate these

rumors in the public press and that he call upon the Board of Supervisors if any funds are necessary.

Supervisor Havenner: The District Attorney and the Grand Jury.

Supervisor Roncovieri: At the earliest possible moment.

Supervisor McSheehy: And the words "rumors" should be "all rumors".

(The Board then took up the matter of the sealed proposal for lighting the public streets and public buildings and parks.)

After the transaction of other business, the following proceedings were had:

(Supervisor Havenner acted as Chairman).

The Clerk (reading):

"San Francisco, Calif., May 28, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Please furnish me as soon as convenient with a full transcript of all proceedings today in connection with the re-zoning of Judah Street and all associated matters.

Please have read into the record the accompanying editorial of The San Francisco News: 'Use the Veto, Mr. Mayor.'

In view of the editorial of The San Francisco News this afternoon, I think this editorial might just as well be included in the transcript of proceedings today.

Very respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor."

Supervisor Roncovieri: Will you read that again? I didn't get that.

(The Clerk re-read the letter).

Supervisor Roncovieri: I move that every member of the Board be furnished with the same transcript.

Supervisor Gallagher: Those that want them. I want one. I move that the request be complied with and that those who desire the transcript procure them from the Clerk.

Supervisor Stanton: I want one.

The Chairman: You want this editorial that he sends here?

Supervisor Gallagher: The editorial was already read.

The Clerk: Not this one.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I am going to move also that a copy of the transcript be sent to all the newspaper editors and to the Grand Jury.

The Chairman: So ordered.

The Clerk: Under date of May 19th in the San Francisco News, the title "Use the Veto, Mr. Mayor.

"The Mayor should veto the ordinance re-zoning Judah Street from 10th to 25th Avenue for commercial purposes.

"Judah Street is not needed as a business street. One block north Irving Street has for years been in the commercial zone. It has not reached its limit of development. The limit will not be reached for many years. It offers sufficient space for the building of stores which would serve the same district that stores on Judah Street would serve.

"As a matter of public convenience, the re-zoning is not needed. As a means of allowing property owners on Judah Street to realize higher prices for real estate, the re-zoning defeats the purpose of the zoning law and makes of that law a thing to be juggled at will by people desiring to make money.

"Owners of property on Judah Street may anticipate higher values. They have nothing to lose and everything to gain. On the other hand, property owners on Irving Street have vested rights which should be protected. They bought and built without suspicion that owners on a parallel street a block away soon would obtain the same privilege, but without the same justification.

"The argument that business should follow a car line, advanced in behalf of Judah Street, is not sound. Even with the widening of Judah Street auto and street car traffic with necessary parking to permit stopping, will present a traffic problem and traffic hazards with which San Francisco should be so familiar that another experiment of the kind in

a district where we may plan 'from the ground up' should not be attempted. So close was the vote on the Board of Supervisors—10 in favor of the re-zoning, 6 opposed, with one not voting and one absent—that the ordinance could not be passed over the veto."

Supervisor Roncovieri: I would like also to ask that the District Attorney be furnished with one of those transcripts.

The Chairman: Any objection? (Dropping the gavel). So ordered.

Hearing of Appeal, Geneva Avenue.

Hearing of appeal from action of Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners against improvement of Geneva avenue between Delano avenue and San Jose avenue.

No objection being offered, the following was presented:

Denying Appeal of Property Owners, Geneva Avenue.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 29065 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of the property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners against the improvement of Geneva avenue between Delano avenue and the easterly line of San Jose avenue be and the same is hereby denied and the work ordered.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8567, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Geneva avenue between Delano avenue and the easterly line of San Jose avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with Y branches, side sewers and brick manholes along the center line of Geneva avenue from a point 20 feet easterly from San Jose avenue to the westerly line of Delano avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following hearing was *continued one week*:

Hearing of Appeal, Treat Avenue.

Hearing of appeal from assessment for the improvement of Treat avenue between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Lighting Public Streets, Buildings and Parks.

A sealed proposal from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for lighting public streets, public buildings and parks with gas and electricity and for furnishing heat, light and power to the City and County of San Francisco for the year ending June 30, 1929, was received and

opened between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m. this date.

Referred to Committee on Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity.

SPECIAL ORDER, 11 A. M.

Relative to War Memorial Opera House.

Consideration of approval of resolution approving seating capacity of proposed Opera House as recommended by War Memorial Trustees.

Privilege of the Floor.

John S. Drum, Mr. Lansburgh, architect, and *Mr. Kilsby*, War Memorial Trustee, were granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board relative to the necessity of determining on a seating capacity of the proposed War Memorial Opera House that will not interfere with its acoustical properties and thus render the building unsuitable for the purposes of its construction.

Mr. Boyd, Chairman of the War Memorial Committee of the United Veterans Council, said the veterans' organizations have no opinion to express regarding the capacity of the Opera House.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Seating Capacity of Opera House Approved.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 29069 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendation of the War Memorial trustees, that the proposed Opera House to be erected as part of the War Memorial group of buildings shall have a seating capacity of thirty-five hundred, is hereby approved.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:55 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

Hearing of Protests Against Assessment for Islais Creek Reclamation Project.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move that we proceed with the Islais Creek matter.

The Chairman: Are you ready to proceed with the hearing of protests against the assessment for Islais Creek Reclamation Project?

Mr. Savage: At the last hearing I concluded the taking of testimony. I understand that Colonel Wright wishes to produce some testimony, after which I desire to address the Board in argument.

(At this point the hearing on the Islais Creek Reclamation matter was interrupted by a discussion on investigation of rumors.)

Supervisor Gallagher: I move that Colonel Wright be given the floor.

The Chairman: Colonel Wright has the floor in Islais Creek Reclamation matters.

Colonel Allen G. Wright: The Islais Creek Reclamation District, in connection with the protest now before the Board, wrote the Board a letter of information on April 14, 1928, and I should like to commence the presentation of the case from the viewpoint of the district by having that letter read to the Board at this time.

(Whereupon, the letter to the Board from the Islais Creek Reclamation District was read to the Board by the Clerk.)

Colonel Wright: I would now like to call on Chief O'Shaughnessy.

M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer, was duly sworn.

Colonel Wright: Q. You are the City Engineer? A. I am.

Q. You are one of the trustees of the Islais Creek Reclamation District? A. I am.

Q. Will you give to the Board, briefly, a statement of what the proposed works of reclamation will consist and of the benefit to the public and property which will come from this reclamation work?

Supervisor Gallagher: What was that question?

(Question read.)

A. The proposal is to fill 280 acres of land in the Islais Creek Basin, which is a mud or tidal basin, the tides flow in and out of

there now. The general level of this basin is eight or ten feet below the street grades. The improvement will consist of two elements, the first making a wall on the north side of Islais Creek from the Third Street Bridge westerly of the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks, then placing mud or earth in behind this wall to fill in the land west of the Southern Pacific tracks down as far as Oakdale avenue on the south and San Antonio road on the west.

At the present time that hole is in a very unsanitary condition, because in days gone by the pest house was down in it, and all this filth is still in it, and from a sanitation point as well as from an economical point of view it is very important that this be done.

As you know the harbor extension from Third street to Evans avenue is already in use, and every foot of that space is occupied by docks and wharves. The State Harbor Board cannot extend a rail extension south of Channel street. We are now working with them to make a large bridge across Channel street which will carry locomotives and cars. At the present time there is a bridge across the street there which carries street cars, which weigh about thirty tons, but the plan is to make a bridge which will carry locomotives weighing 150 tons or more, and then extend The Embarcadero down along Illinois street as far as this basin. As I told you, every inch of space in control of the Harbor Board is taken up, and the Harbor Board also owns land which is in process of reclamation.

The filling in of this spot remedies and does away with a nuisance in the very heart of our City.

Is that all you wanted, Mr. Wright?

Colonel Wright: That is all.

Supervisor Gallagher: May I ask a question, Mr. Wright?

Colonel Wright: Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: Q. In the matter of the assessments on these properties named in these protests, are you familiar with any of the details of them? A. I am.

Q. As to the properties involved, or rather three, one of whose case has not been presented, do you think the assessment apportioned upon them should be the same as the others in the district? A. Absolutely.

Q. Were they assured before they gave their consent to this project that their share, their price, would be somewhere in the neighborhood of two or three cents per square foot for their property? A. No, no guarantee was given to any proponents or opponents as to the price, because we didn't know what it would cost.

Q. Do you think that the assessments in all cases are commensurate with the benefits which will accrue to the property? A. I believe they are absolutely fair, Mr. Supervisor.

Supervisor Gallagher: I think that is all.

Colonel Wright: I would now like to have the Clerk read a letter to the Board from the District, under date of May 23d.

(Whereupon, the Clerk read a letter from the Reclamation District to the Board under date of May 23, 1928.)

Colonel Wright: That is all we have to present on behalf of the District.

Supervisor Gallagher: You are through presenting your witnesses?

Colonel Wright: Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: Mr. Savage, I would like to ask Mr. Taaffe a few questions.

Mr. Savage: Mr. Taaffe, will you please come forward again.

Edward Taaffe recalled.

Supervisor Gallagher: Q. At any time or any place where your approval or disapproval, or that of your people, was asked for the proceedings preliminary to this reclamation, and at any time or place or by any officials was a price indicated for your assessment or named to you and if so what? A. At no time in the history of the making of this Islais Creek Reclamation District were we invited to attend any meeting or any hearing, nor were we at any time during the course of these proceedings ever invited by writing or telephone or any other way. The only knowledge I had or my firm had of this Islais Creek proposition going over was the publication in The Bulletin that I saw and immediately filed a protest. I rang up the City Engineer's office and asked him what he thought the assessment would be and he said about two cents a foot. Several days later he called up and said he thought they had made a mistake. Is that what you wanted?

Q. You say that prior to this assessment coming up you talked with no public official with reference to your assessment. A. No.

Q. You had no knowledge of what it might be? A. No.

Q. You say you were never called in, incidentally to these proceed-

ings, in any way, shape or form? A. Yes, sir.

Q. You say you found out only through a newspaper publication? A. Yes.

Q. I had understood that some of you people had been given a guarantee, or there had been indicated to you a very small price per foot that you would pay? A. No.

Supervisor Gallagher: That is all. I would suggest that these gentlemen be allowed to refresh themselves and come back next Monday morning prepared to present their cases before the Board.

Mr. Wright: Very well.

Mr. Savage: I wish to say that if the Board would give us the time today we would be very pleased to do it.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would like very much to give you the time, but the Board is in a very complex situation, and I think that you would get more leg-room, so to speak, in your argument, if you would let your argument go until eleven o'clock.

Motion.

I move that the further hearing or consideration of protests against the assessment for the Islais Creek Reclamation Project be continued until Monday, June 4, at eleven a. m. I make that as a motion.

The Chairman: Is there any objection? There being no objection, it is so ordered.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Mayor to Enter Into Agreement With Southern Pacific Company, Bernal Cut.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that following matter be continued until June 4, 1928, and made a Special Order for 11 a. m.:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor is herebe authorized to enter into agreements with the Southern Pacific Railway Company, terms and conditions as follows:

This agreement, made this —— day of ————, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

The parties hereto entered into a certain agreement dated March 23, 1914, relating to rights of way through Bernal Cut, in the City and County of San Francisco, and also entered into a certain agreement of even date herewith modifying and changing said agreement of March 23, 1914, and enlarging the plan of construction of rights of way, tracks and a public street or roadway through said Bernal Cut.

In connection with said work it will be necessary that the Southern Company remove its existing team track near Bosworth street, in said City and County of San Francisco, from the southeasterly side of its track to the northwesterly side of proposed location of its main track through Bernal Cut.

Said agreement of March 23, 1914, provided, among other things, that Southern Company would convey certain land to the City, which land was conveyed pursuant to said agreement by deed dated March 23, 1914. Said deed contained a reservation on behalf of Southern Company to use a certain strip of land 8½ feet wide and a certain strip of land 13½ feet wide, both of which are hereinafter described. In the event that the agreement of even date herewith is carried out the City desires that Southern Company quitclaim to the City all of its right, title and interest in and to said strip of land covered by said reservation above mentioned.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their understandings and agreements relative to the matters hereinabove mentioned.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual and dependent promises of the parties hereto, it is hereby agreed as follows, to-wit:

First: That the City will pay to Southern Pacific Company the entire cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor, to cover supervision of removing said existing team track and replacing and reconstructing said team track in kind and in good condition upon the property hereinafter described, to be acquired by the City and conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

Concurrently with the delivery to it by the City of the deed hereinafter specified the Southern Company will deliver to the City a quitclaim deed conveying all the right, title and interest of the Southern Company in and to those certain parcels of land described as follows, being those certain strips of land 8½ feet in width and 13½ feet in

width, respectively, covered by said reservation contained in said deed dated March 23, 1914, to-wit:

All those certain strips of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: A strip of land 8.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles and radially southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno Branch, between Engineer's Station 78+48.5 and 92+40.

Parcel 2: A strip of land 13.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno branch, between Engineer's Station 92+40 and 101+20.

The above described parcels of land being the same property as reserved in part III, page 3, of that certain deed dated March 23, 1914, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Southern Pacific Company and the City and County of San Francisco.

The City hereby covenants and agrees to acquire and convey to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, without cost to Southern Company, by good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances, to that certain parcel of land described as follows, to-wit:

That certain piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Roanoke street with the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence along said southeasterly line of Arlington street south 47 deg. 16 min. 17 sec. west a distance of 663.843 feet to a point; thence south 30 deg. 41 min. .09 sec. east a distance of 22.104 feet to a point, said point being distant radially 58.77 feet northwesterly from the original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way; thence in a northeasterly direction on the arc of a curve, concave to the left, having a radius of 5670.826 feet (the tangent to said curve at last mentioned point bears north 58 deg. 57 min. 20 sec. east) an arc distance of 675.82 feet to a point on said southwesterly line of Roanoke street (last described arc course is concentric with and distant northwesterly and radially 58.77 feet from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way); thence north 42 deg. 43 min. 43 sec. west along said southwesterly line of Roanoke street a distance of 118.768 feet to the point of beginning and containing an area of 51401 square feet (equals 1.180 acres), more or less.

Upon delivery of the conveyance by the City mentioned in the last preceding paragraph of this agreement, and upon completion of the work of grading, to be done by the City as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, the Southern Company will, simultaneously with the shifting of the location of its main track, as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, remove its said existing team track from its present location and construct said team track as aforesaid upon said property above described, to be conveyed by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

This agreement is subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, and if not approved by said Railroad Commission it shall be of no force or effect.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed this agreement in quadruplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By.....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By.....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By.....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made this——— day of———————, 1928, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of

San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

Under the terms of an agreement entered into on the 23rd day of March, 1914, and subsequent deed bearing even date therewith, recorded June 16, 1914, in Vol. 794 of Deeds, pages 276 and following, the City acquired, for street purposes, a portion of the railroad right of way of the Southern Company through the so-called Bernal Cut, in said City and County of San Francisco, being the easterly portion of said right of way from the westerly line of Dolores street produced, to the center line of San Jose avenue produced, near Diamond street.

It was stipulated in said agreement that the Southern Company shall grade the strip of land described in section 1 thereof, so as to provide a uniform roadway for the proposed street at least forty (40) feet in width or thirty-five (35) feet, according to the width of said strip, according to the directions and under the supervision of the City Engineer of the City, and said City shall cooperate in such work to the extent of removing encroachments and also by the erection of retaining walls wherever necessary along the line of said grading; and Southern Company agreed to deposit the material excavated as aforesaid upon such portions of the land described in said agreement and deed as may be indicated by said City Engineer, and to entirely remove all surplus material; said grading and filling to be at the expense of Southern Company. It was further understood and agreed and so provided in said agreement that the construction of retaining walls along said grading and the paving of the roadway upon said strip of land shall be at the cost and expense of the City and without cost to the Southern Company.

The City now desires to acquire additional rights of way in said Bernal Cut for the purpose of constructing a better roadway than at first contemplated and offering better facilities for the construction of its double-track street railroad adjacent to the northerly and westerly line of the land conveyed to the City for street purposes by the hereinbefore mentioned deed. Such construction would be facilitated by the railroad of the Southern Company being moved northerly and westerly not exceeding 31.27 feet.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their agreement as to their rights and obligations in connection with acquisition and exchange of certain parcels of land to provide additional rights of way for each of the parties hereto, reconstruction of the railroad and appurtenances of Southern Company, construction of the municipal railway and the proposed street, and other matters in relation thereto.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises, it is hereby mutually agreed as follows, to-wit:

ARTICLE I.

1. The location plan, showing the changes proposed and the parcels of land to be acquired and exchanged by and between the parties hereto, for the purpose of carrying out the plans of the parties hereto as hereinafter set forth, is shown on the attached copy of Southern Pacific Company's Drawing entitled, "Proposed Improvements in Bernal Cut, San Francisco," and numbered M W D Drawing 5806, Sheet 2, which is hereby made a part hereof.

2. Southern Company hereby agrees to execute and place in escrow a conveyance to said City of all of Southern Company's right, title and interest in and to that certain portion of Southern Company's right of way in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, particularly described as follows, to-wit:

A strip of land 32.77 feet in width lying between the southerly line of Randall street and the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street), said strip being bounded and described as follows:

Bounded on the northeast by the southerly line of Randall street; on the west and northwest by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 22.77 feet westerly and northwesterly, measured radially or at right angles from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line between San Francisco and Colma; on the southwest by the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street) and on the southeast and east by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 10.00 feet southeasterly and easterly, measured radially or at right angles from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line, said line coinciding with the northerly and westerly boundary line of the strip of land heretofore conveyed to the City and County of San Fran-

cisco by Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company by deed dated March 23, 1914.

Also a triangular shaped parcel of land, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the westerly line of Dolores street, distant 100.00 feet northerly from the northerly line of Randall street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Dolores street and its prolongation a distance of 150.00 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Randall street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Randall street a distance of 22.50 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a northeasterly direction on a direct line to the point of beginning.

Said parcel of land above described are more particularly shown tinted in pink on said Drawing No. 5806, Sheet 2.

Said conveyance shall contain and be subject to the following express covenants, reservations and exceptions, to-wit:

(a) "Reserving nevertheless to the first parties (Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company), their successors and assigns, the right to use the northwesterly eighteen (18) inches in width of said strip of land 32.77 feet in width for the erection and maintenance of poles, wires, and any and all other necessary appliances, appendages and adjuncts, in the event that said first parties, their successors and assigns, should at any time operate their railroad by electricity, it being expressly understood and agreed, however, that first parties, their successors or assigns, may, instead of erecting and maintaining said poles, wires, appliances, appendages and adjuncts upon and along said eighteen (18) inch strip herein described, at their option fasten their wires, fixtures, appliances and appurtenances to any poles erected by second party along or near the northwesterly boundary line of said strip of land whenever the first parties, their successors and assigns, operate their said railroad by electricity and such right is hereby granted by second party to first parties; but in the event that said first parties do attach their wires to any poles erected by the second party, as aforesaid, then said first parties shall pay to second party one-half (½) of the then value of said poles so used, at the time the attachments are made by said first parties, and upon receipt of such payment second party shall execute and deliver to Southern Pacific Railroad Company a bill of sale conveying an undivided one-half (½) interest in said poles to Southern Pacific Railroad Company. First parties shall thereafter pay one-half (½) of the cost of maintaining said poles so used, as aforesaid, from time to time as such costs are incurred and bills rendered by second party."

(b) "Excepting and reserving unto said first parties all of those certain rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company for themselves, their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from said companies to said City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco, also those certain rights reserved by Southern Pacific Railroad Company in that certain deed from said Southern Pacific Railroad Company to said City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco."

(c) "The foregoing conveyance is subject to all the terms, conditions, restrictions and reservations contained in that certain deed, dated October 5, 1863, from H. G. Livermore et al. to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, recorded October 6, 1863, in Book 215 of Deeds, at page 276, and subject to the rights of any and all industries located upon or adjacent to said right of way between St. Mary's avenue and Bosworth street, and subject to first parties' right to maintain such spur, team or station tracks as may be required to be maintained under any subsisting agreement, recorded or otherwise, or deed, or by law. The Southern Company hereby reserves the right to maintain and operate existing industrial spur tracks upon the land hereinabove described and to maintain and operate such spur tracks in such new locations to which any of said spurs may be moved, as hereinafter provided. The City covenants and agrees that if it is necessary to reconstruct or change the location of any or all of said existing industrial spur tracks by reason of or in connection with the use of said land by the City, the City will pay the entire cost of the work of reconstruction and relocation of said tracks, including paving, and the cost and construction of crossings of said tracks by the municipal railway, if any. Nothing herein contained shall in anywise impair the right of the

first parties to use, for railroad purposes, the remaining portion of their right of way adjoining the strip of land hereinabove described on the northwest, or in anywise prejudice their rights therein or thereto."

The above mentioned conveyance shall be executed by Southern Company and placed in escrow with the California Pacific Title & Trust Company of San Francisco, California, if and when this agreement has been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to be delivered to the City when the City shall have (a) acquired the lands described in Section 1 of Article II hereof and executed and delivered to the above mentioned escrowee for account of Southern Pacific Railroad Company the conveyances to Southern Pacific Railroad Company specified in said Section 1, Article II, and (b) performed, or caused to be performed, all of the construction and reconstruction work by it to be performed or contracted for, as provided in Article II hereof.

ARTICLE II.

1. In consideration of the covenants herein agreed to be performed by the Southern Company, and without further consideration or payment, the City shall at its own expense acquire and convey or cause to be conveyed to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, by a good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances to (1) a strip of land along and adjacent to the northerly and westerly boundary line of Southern Company's right of way between Randall and Diamond streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, sufficient in width, and which, together with that portion of the Southern Company's existing right of way which will remain after the conveyance to the City of the portion heretofore described, will provide a right of way sufficient in width so that a standard gauge double track railroad may be constructed for Southern Company, and so that clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California shall be provided; (2) strips of land sufficient in width and adjoining the additional right of way for the proposed location of the proposed double track railroad of the Southern Company, to provide a sufficient area for proper slopes, not exceeding 1¼ horizontal to one vertical in the cuts and not less than two horizontal to one vertical in the fills; provided, however, that in lieu of providing such additional land for slopes, the City may construct at its sole cost and expense adequate concrete or masonry retaining walls to provide for the full and unobstructed use of land of sufficient width to permit of the construction and operation of said standard gauge double track railroad, with clearances not less than those prescribed by said Railroad Commission, and at the grade of the Southern Company's now existing track between Randall and Diamond streets, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company. The land required for said right of way for said proposed double track railroad is shown tinted in green and the land required for said slopes is shown tinted in purple upon said drawing MWD 5806, Sheet 2.

2. In the event that it shall be necessary or advisable for the City to institute condemnation proceedings to acquire any land which is to be acquired in order to comply with the terms of this agreement, and to be conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, such proceedings may be had in the name of Southern Company, or either of them, but the City shall pay all expense thereof and all judgments and costs therein and hold Southern Company harmless therefrom.

3. The City shall, at its own expense, make all necessary excavations and construct embankments and waterways, and, if deemed necessary by Southern Company, retaining walls to support slopes on the existing right of way of Southern Company, and the said land to be acquired for the Southern Company, as hereinabove provided, so as to provide for the construction of the said double track railroad of the Southern Company, as aforesaid, and at the grade specified in Section 1 of this Article II, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company, and the City shall also pay the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of moving Southern Company's existing single track rails, ties, ballast and appurtenances to such new position, not exceeding 31.27 feet northerly and westerly of the present location, and the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of placing Southern Company's single track in such new location in at least as good condition as the existing track was at the time of being so moved, it being understood that the Southern Company will furnish all necessary

ties which, in its judgment, are required to replace those unfit for further service.

4. The City shall, at its own expense, remove and reconstruct Southern Company's present telephone, telegraph and signal lines and the poles and fixtures thereof to such new position upon and along said relocated railroad line and right of way as may be required by Southern Company.

5. The City shall, at its own cost and expense, reconstruct and thereafter maintain, at clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, all bridges or other structures and foundations and appurtenances of same (including the subway at Bosworth street) located between and at Randall and Diamond streets so that the double track railroad of Southern Company may be constructed and operated without further change in said bridges, structures or appurtenances, and, in order to accomplish such works, the City shall be granted the right to the use of any land to be conveyed by the City hereunder to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, for the purpose of erecting thereon any portion of the above-mentioned structures or appurtenances thereto that may be necessary; provided, however, that the plans for any such bridges and structures and appurtenances shall be subject to the approval of the Southern Company and of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. It is also agreed that the City shall have the right to construct and maintain at its sole cost and expense drainage constructions of such character as may be required, to be placed upon or beneath the lands to be conveyed hereunder by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company; provided, however, that such drainage constructions meet with the approval of Southern Company and will in no way interfere with Southern Company's operations.

6. The City shall include in the contracts which it is to let for the grading work to be performed by it under this contract, the grading work provided to be done at the expense of Southern Company in said agreement of March 23, 1914, and Southern Company shall have the right of access at all reasonable times to the books, accounts, contracts, records and papers relating to said work, for the purpose of checking the bill or bills rendered by the City to Southern Company for the cost of said work. The Southern Company shall reimburse the City in full for all of the yardage removed or excavated and graded at the contract price or rate covering the work included in said contract for the account of the Southern Company. All of the work herein provided for to be done by the City shall be in substantial conformity with the City's Cross Section plan or map entitled "Bernal Cut," numbered A-7328, hereto attached and made a part hereof.

7. It is understood and agreed that the City may enter upon said land above described for the purpose of carrying out and complying with the terms and conditions of this contract as soon as this contract becomes effective.

8. All the expenses in connection with the construction of the future second track of said Southern Company's railroad, which the Southern Company may construct now or in the future at its option, except the work herein provided to be done by and at the sole cost and expense of the City, shall be borne by the Southern Company, as this is a facility in addition to its present trackage.

9. All work required to be done by and at the expense of the City hereunder upon or affecting the property and operations of Southern Company shall be performed by it in a good and workmanlike manner to the satisfaction of the Southern Company, according to plans approved by Southern Company or such lawful authority as may have jurisdiction in the premises, and at such times and in such manner as to interfere as little as possible with the operations of Southern Company.

10. It is expressly understood and agreed that all expense of paving and otherwise improving the roadway and constructing the municipal railway and appurtenances upon the roadway upon said strip of land 32.77 feet in width, hereinabove referred to, and upon the land heretofore conveyed by the Southern Company to the City by said deed dated March 23, 1914, recorded in Volume 794 of Deeds, at pages 276 and following, County Records of the City and County of San Francisco (except the grading and filling work as herein otherwise provided for in the previous agreement) shall be borne by the City; and in the event that any improvements upon said roadway should be done upon the local assessment plan, then and in that event the City shall pay out of its treasury any and all assessments therefor levied upon or assessed against any rights, properties or franchises of

Southern Company, or either of them.

ARTICLE III.

1. It is understood and agreed that the City shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad beneath either one of the bridges carrying the railroad of the Southern Company over Thirtieth street or over Day street, and that the City shall, at its own sole cost and expense, provide the necessary clearances therefor under said bridge. The plans for said crossing and any necessary changes in the said bridge shall be subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. The City, subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad at grade across the track or tracks of Southern Company's railroad at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, subject to the terms and conditions of an agreement to be executed by the parties hereto establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings; and if the parties are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then upon such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the City. The expense of maintaining said crossings and any and all such protective device or devices, except interlocking plant, after completion, shall be borne solely by said City, but the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plant, if any, shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

2. The Southern Company shall likewise have the right, subject to the approval of said Railroad Commission, to construct a track or tracks at grade across the track or tracks of the municipal railway, subject to the terms and conditions of agreements in each case to be executed by the parties hereto, establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings, and if the parties hereto are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then subject to such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such track crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the Southern Company. The expense of maintaining said crossings and such protective devices, except interlocking plants, after completion, shall be borne solely by Southern Company and the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plants shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

ARTICLE IV.

1. Nothing contained in this agreement shall affect the rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and/or Southern Pacific Company, for themselves and their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from the said companies to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco; and in that certain deed from the Southern Pacific Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco.

2. Said agreement dated March 23, 1914, hereinabove referred to, between the parties hereto, shall be and remain in full force and effect and shall not be affected hereby unless and until the City shall comply with said conditions of the escrow hereinabove set forth; but upon full compliance with the conditions of said escrow, then and in that event this agreement shall, in so far as it changes or modifies said agreement of March 23, 1914, supersede the same.

ARTICLE V.

The City hereby agrees to forever indemnify and hold Southern Company harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, liabilities and judgments, and loss, damage and injury resulting from any damages caused or claimed in the acquisition by said City of any of said lands, or occurring in or caused by or claimed to have been caused by any and all of the work covered by this agreement. In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as

in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as here in this paragraph provided.

ARTICLE VI.

1. This agreement shall not become effective and the parties hereto shall not be bound hereby unless and until it shall have been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

2. Time is of the essence of this agreement, and if this agreement is approved by said Railroad Commission and the City does not comply with and perform all the covenants and conditions hereof to be complied with and performed by it within said term of five (5) years, this agreement and any and all rights of the parties hereto hereunder shall forthwith upon the expiration of said term of five (5) years cease and determine as completely as if this agreement had never been made.

3. This agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the respective parties hereto.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in quadruple the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By .....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made and entered into this ——— day of ———, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and Southern Pacific Company, its lessee, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties (herein collectively called "Railroad Company"), and ———, second party (herein sometimes called "Contractor").

Witnesseth:

That, whereas, on the ——— day of ———, 1928, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company as first parties, and the City and County of San Francisco, California, as second party, entered into an agreement covering the exchange of certain parcels of land between the Railroad Company and the City and County of San Francisco, for rights of way through the so-called Bernal Cut in the said City and County of San Francisco, and covering, among other things, certain construction work more specifically set forth in said agreement dated the ——— day of ———, 1928; and

Whereas, said agreement, dated the ——— day of ———, 1928, contains the following provision:

"In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as herein this paragraph provided," and

Whereas, said City and County of San Francisco did, on the ——— day of ———, 19—, enter into a contract with contractor to do and perform certain work pursuant to a compliance with the terms of said agreement dated the ——— day of ———, 19—, above referred to:

Now, therefore, it is understood and agreed that the contractor shall, in advance of performing any work under said contract of the ——— day of ———, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor,

give to said Railroad Company a bond in the sum of twenty thousand (20,000) dollars, in form and of good and sufficient surety to be approved by the Assistant Treasurer of the Railroad Company, conditioned that the contractor will well and truly perform and fulfill all of the covenants, conditions, stipulations and obligations on his part in this agreement set forth and contained, to-wit:

In consideration of the Railroad Company permitting contractor to enter upon its property and perform said work, contractor agrees to indemnify and save Railroad Company harmless from and against any and all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever may be caused, resulting directly or indirectly from the performance of any or all work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, contemplated by said agreement dated ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and the said contractor; also from all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever same may be caused resulting directly or indirectly, made or suffered by said contractor, contractor's agents and/or employees while engaged in the performance of said work, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise.

Contractor expressly releases and discharges Railroad Company from all liability of whatsoever character for any and all personal injuries sustained by contractor while engaged in the performance of work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise, and whether resulting in death or otherwise.

Contractor expressly waives any and all claims arising from said death or injury to contractor.

The contractor agrees that the license hereby given him to enter upon the premises of the Railroad Company for the purpose of performing the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, shall not give nor be deemed or construed to give said contractor a right to do or perform any work whatsoever at any time upon or in connection with the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, that will render unsafe the use by said Railroad Company of its real estate, tracks, structures and other appurtenances now or hereafter existing, or weaken or injure the same for the operation of its trains, engines or cars or for its other purposes.

Contractor further agrees that should the Railroad Company deem it necessary to engage any inspectors, watchmen or flagmen, or do anything to protect its engines, trains or cars during the performance by said contractor of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between the said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, then and in that event contractor agrees to fully reimburse Railroad Company promptly for all cost and expense of such inspectors, watchmen, flagmen and other expenses that may be incurred by said Railroad Company incident to or in connection with work contemplated to be performed by said contractor.

Contractor further agrees that upon completion of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, contractor will promptly remove from the premises of the Railroad Company all of contractor's tools, implements and other materials and leave said premises clean and presentable.

This agreement shall not be assigned, sublet or transferred in whole or in part by contractor, except with the previous written consent of Railroad Company.

Except as otherwise provided herein, the terms and conditions of this agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of contractor and the successors and assigns of Railroad Company.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in triplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
.....
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
Contract Attorney.

City Attorney to Report on Franchises.

Supervisor Stanton moved that the foregoing agreement be referred to the City Attorney for his opinion, requesting that he make a thorough investigation as to whether or not the Southern Pacific Company has any valid franchise through the Mission District and that he advise the Board of Supervisors as to the effect of the agreement in validating the operation of the Southern Pacific Railroad through the Mission District where franchises may not now exist.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINES.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 29044 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Pacific States Electric Co., copper wire, etc. (claim dated May 3, 1928), $640.70.

(2) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 8, 1928), $522.

(3) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated May 3, 1928), $3,808.80.

(4) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, professional services, final opinion on Hetch Hetchy Bonds (claim dated May 4, 1928), $650.

(5) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, sixteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnel under proposition "E" (claim dated May 12, 1928), $28,749.06.

(6) A. Guthrie & Co. Inc., seventeenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnel under proposition "C" (claim dated May 12, 1928), $10,227.02.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund

(8) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve depreciation per Charter provision (claim dated May 16, 1928), $14,583.

(9) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, services and materials furnished and rendered for account of Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund (claim dated May 16, 1928), $28,430.96.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund

(10) Robert W. Jamison, fifth and final payment, furnishing and installing concrete trolley poles for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated May 14, 1928), $1,196.47.

(11) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., eighth and final payment for street motor car equipment (claim dated May 12, 1928), $13,716.35.

Municipal Railway Fund

(12) Board of Public Works, Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway right of way (claim dated May 14, 1928), $832.30.

(13) Market Street Railway Co., electric service, proportion of expense for account of ferry terminal and April reimbursement, per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated May 14, 1928), $5,161.39.

(14) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service for April (claim dated May 14, 1928), $37,524.40.

(15) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for account of pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated May 4, 1928), $563.41.

(16) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated May 9, 1928), $7,113.07.

(17) The White Company, auto parts for Municipal Railway busses (claim dated May 14, 1928), $611.26.

School Bonds, Issue 1918

(18) E. P. Finigan, bar stalls, etc., for Galileo High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $1,728.83.

Bernal Cut Bond Issue

(19) The A. Lietz Co., two transit theodolites, Department of Public Works (claim dated May 9, 1928), $554.74.

Park Fund

(20) Eng-Skell Co., syrups, etc., for parks (claim dated May 18, 1928), $554.02.

(21) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for parks (claim dated May 18, 1928), $679.95.

(22) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc. (claim dated May 18, 1928), $773.52.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927

(23) J. P. Holland, Inc., red rock for the Great Highway (claim dated May 18, 1928), $1,059.96.

(24) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures made for improvement of

Great Highway (claim dated May 18, 1928), $5,878.51.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(25) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $834.35.

(26) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $2,703.72.

(27) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,810.44.

(28) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $2,653.54.

(29) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,324.80.

(30) Morris & Co., butter, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,351.08.

(31) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $2,478.27.

(32) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $616.28.

(33) Volunteers of America, supplies furnished for account Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,192.33.

(34) Western Meat Co.. meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $902.42.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,501.97.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 17, 1928), $938.83.

(37) H. F. Dugan, surgical supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,041.19.

(38) Tiedemann & McMorran, supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,063.50.

(39) Scatena Galli Fruit Co., fruit, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $858.10.

(40) Lewis Manufacturing Co., gauze for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $3,725.

(41) Standard Fisheries, fish for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $641.96.

(42) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $717.87.

(43) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,881.68.

(44) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,012.41.

(45) Western Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $1,480.73.

(46) California Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $2,497.69.

(47) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $551.65.

(48) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 17, 1928), $2,372.77.

(49) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of Civic Center, month of April (claim dated May 18, 1928), $725.75.

(50) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement amount expended in construction of convenience stations (claim dated May 18, 1928), $2,570.41.

(51) Preston School of Industry. care of minors for March (claim dated May 16, 1928), $620.02.

(52) Preston School of Industry, care of minors for April (claim dated May 16, 1928), $631.33.

(53) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $2,253.65.

(54) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $517.10.

(55) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $1,236.39.

(56) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $938.41.

(57) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $1,291.56.

(58) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $3,275.74.

(59) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $12,621.07.

(60) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $33,673.91.

(61) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 16, 1928), $3,829.34.

(62) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 21, 1928), $1,104.60.

(62a) Spring Valley Water Co., furnishing and installing pipe and fittings in San Bruno avenue; being an extension to the Municipal Water Works (claim dated May 11, 1928), $2,236.57.

(63) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated May 11, 1928), $1,317.83.

(64) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated May 11, 1928), $4,568.06.

(65) Acme Gravel Co., rock for street repair (claim dated May 11, 1928), $1,469.43.

(66) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 11, 1928), $1,807.57.

(67) Union Oil Co. of Calif., asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 11, 1928), $659.72.

(68) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated May 11, 1928), $650.66.

(69) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated May 8, 1928), $2,081.14.

(70) Symon Brothers, teams for street cleaning (claim dated May 4, 1928), $747.50.

(71) Union Oil Co. of Calif., asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 4, 1928), $875.61.

(72) Playground Commission, reimbursement of Playground Fund for expenditures made in the development of playground at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets (claim dated May 16, 1928), $1,702.20.

(73) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing election index (claim dated May 14, 1928), $9,234.87.

(74) W. R. Ballinger & Son, hauling voting machines (claim dated May 14, 1928), $2,857.82.

(75) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Dept. of Elections (claim dated May 14, 1928), $524.70.

(76) J. H. Zemansky, amount advanced for auto hire for account of election (claim dated May 14, 1928), $768.80.

Playground Fund.

(77) Atlas Hauling and Grading Co., tanbark for playgrounds (claim dated May 16, 1928), $968.80.

(78) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated May 16, 1928), $1,044.82.

(79) C. F. Weber & Co., 10 dozen racket frames for playgrounds (claim dated May 16, 1928), $540.

Special School Tax.

(80) Alta Electric Co., final payment, electric fixtures for South Side High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $899.75.

(81) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., final payment, electric work for South Side High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $6,876.87.

(82) Knittle Bros., final payment, mechanical equipment for South Side High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $6,692.07.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(83) Pacific Electric Construction Co., final payment, electric work for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $2,000.

(84) Scott Co., mechanical equipment for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $1,000.

(85) Spencer Elevator Co., final payment, elevator construction for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated May 15, 1928), $936.33.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(86) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1928), $761.

(87) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1928), $2,060.40.

(88) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1928), $800.

(89) Spring Valley Water Co., water and hydrant installation for Fire Dept. (claim dated April 30, 1928), $4,946.15.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 29045 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To James D. Rodney, Sr., for portion of Lot No. 4 in Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (75x40 ft.) and required for opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $900.

(2) To Pius Haberthauer, for a portion of Lot No. 14 in Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (75x40 ft.) and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $900.

(3) To J. Varni and Mary Varni, for a portion of Lots Nos. 1 and 2 in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (180x40 ft.), and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $3,600.

(4) To William Varni and Virginia Varni, for a portion of Lot No. 5 in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (60x40 ft.), and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $1,520.

(5) To Peyton G. Newlon and Marie Newlon, for a portion of Lot No. 19 in Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (40x40 ft.), and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $1,200.

(6) To Domenico Caprj, for a portion of Lot No. 17 (25x40 ft.) in Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28941, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $975.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriation, $1,200, Payment to Thomas Horgan et al. for Land for Widening Garfield Street.

Resolution No. 29046 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Thomas Horgan and James Gillam; being payment for Lot No. 19 in Block 6989, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the widening of Garfield street; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28940, New Series (claim dated May 10, 1928).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Bernal Cut Rights of Way.

Resolution No. 29047 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated; being payments for account of the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Fredericka Meon, for Lot No. 9 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; and required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28942, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1928), $3,200.

(2) To Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., for title certificates covering properties purchased for the opening of the Bernal Cut (claim dated May 10, 1928), $640.90.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriations for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 29048 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Frank J. Taylor, for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 175 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-second avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 92 feet and ⅛ of an inch to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence northwesterly along said last described line 50 feet 3 inches, more or less, to a line drawn easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Anza street from the point of commencement, and thence westerly along said last described line 86 feet 11⅛ inches to point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28984, New Series (claim dated May 21, 1928), $4,200.

(2) To Emma J. Barber, for land commencing at point of intersection of the southerly line of Quintara street and the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly on said line of

Quintara street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly on Twenty-third avenue 100 feet to point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28985, New Series (claim dated May 21, 1928), $2,500.

(3) To John Jurras and Ella M. Jurras, his wife, land commencing at point of intersection of the northerly line of Anza street and the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly and along said line of Anza street 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence at a right angle southerly on Thirtieth avenue 125 feet to point of commencement; and also land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 316 feet 10 inches southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence at a right angle southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 133 feet 2 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 33 feet 2 inches to the point of commencement; per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 28989, New Series (claim dated May 21, 1928), $45,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Condemnation of Lands Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29049 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Mission street with the easterly line of Lot 260 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco, thence running southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Mission street 9.925 feet to the northerly line of the property deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the Ocean Shore Railway Company; thence deflecting 32 deg. 30 min. 40 sec. to the right and running westerly along said northerly line 16.630 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 260; thence at right angles northerly along said westerly line 18.180 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. 00 sec. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. 00 sec. to the right and running southerly 13.180 feet along said easterly line to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 260.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 221, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco, thence running northerly along the westerly line of said lot 5.132 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. to the right and running easterly 25.002 feet to the easterly line of said lot; thence deflecting 90 deg. 46 min. to the right and running southerly 5.467 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25,000 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot No. 221.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening of Alemany boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Resolution No. 28496 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano,

Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriation, $988.59, Reimbursement for Labor, Etc., Curb Painting for Traffic Regulation.

Resolution No. 29050 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $988.59 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 69, to the credit of the hereinafter designated Budget items, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for labor and material furnished and used during month of April, 1928, in the painting of curbing for traffic regulation, to-wit:

To the credit of Budget
Item No. 411.....$657.00
To the credit of Budget
Item No. 433..... 331.59

Total $988.59

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriation, $2,500, Expense of Preliminary Surveys, San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz Joint Highway District.

Resolution No. 29051 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund (appropriated and set aside by Resolution No. 28210, New Series) in payment to John W. Poole, Supervisor of San Mateo County and Treasurer of the board of directors of the proposed joint highway district composed of the counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz, to be used for expense of making preliminary surveys, etc., in connection with proposed highway extensions.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriations, Sewers in Golden Gate Heights, Cable, Etc., Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. 29052 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For the construction of sewers in Golden Gate Heights, additional, $20,000.

Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund.

(2) For the purchase of cable and splicing material for the Municipal Railway, to be installed in conduits in certain sections of Guerrero street, $2,300.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriation, $40,000, Furniture, Etc., Balboa High School.

Resolution No. 29053 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $40,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the purchase of furniture and equipment for the Balboa High School (first unit).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriations, $94,230, Reconstruction of Certain Streets.

Resolution No. 29054 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

Broadway, Scott to Divisadero streets, $6,500.

Divisadero street, Union to Filbert streets, $6,000.

Eighth street, Market to Mission streets (widening), $2,200.

Eighth street, Brannan to Townsend streets, $4,000.

Eighteenth avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton streets, $2,300.

Frederick street, Masonic to Buena Vista avenues, $3,500.

Genessee street, Joost to Mangels avenues, $1,500.

Gough street, Washington to Jackson streets, $4,000.

Gough street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $1,500.

Guerrero street, 18th street to San Jose avenue, $10,800.

Harriet street, Harrison to Bryant streets, $6,000.

Jackson street, Taylor to Jones streets, $4,000.

Montgomery street, Post street to Columbus avenue, $5,000.

Page street, Octavia to Buchanan streets, $11,500.

Polk street, crossing at Broadway, $2,000.

Sixteenth avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton streets, $3,000.

Sixteenth street, Castro to Flint streets, $3,400.

Sutter street, Fillmore to Divisadero streets, $5,000.

Taylor street, Columbus avenue to Bay street, $2,000.

Third street, Brannan street to Third street bridge, $7,000.

Twenty-second street, Vicksburg to Sanchez streets, $2,030.

Washington street, Kearny to Montgomery streets, $1,000.

Total, $94,230.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 29055 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and they are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis R. Anderson, north side Moncada way, 300 feet east of Junipero Serra boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Aninger, 443 Seventh avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Bell & Sylvester, west side Monterey boulevard, 340 feet south of Westgate drive, 600 gallons capacity.

Canadian Bank Building, northeast corner Pine and Leidesdorff streets, 1600 gallons capacity.

Bessie Cooley, north side Sutter street, 100 feet east of Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bessie Cooley, northeast corner Presidio avenue and Sutter street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bessie Cooley, north side Sutter street, 50 feet east of Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Exchange Block, 369 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hopkins Estate, northeast corner Spruce and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lang Realty Company, northwest corner Pacheco and Alton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Lawson, north side O'Farrell street, 175 feet west of Stockton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Lawson, south side Vallejo street, 100 feet west of Polk street, two tanks, 5300 gallons capacity.

Herman Lawson, north side Jackson street, 120 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Eleanor Martin, 2040 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Native Daughters' Club Building, west side Baker street, 200 feet south of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. F. Nielsen, 2869 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Paul Revere School (City and County of San Francisco), southeast corner Banks street and Tompkins avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. A. Weidenmuller, east side Lyon street, 74 feet 6 inches north of Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Geo. Haas & Sons, northeast corner Tenth and Howard streets, 50-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Auto Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 29056 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mrs. J. S. Revoy be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile parking station at the northeast corner of Fifteenth street and Julian avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 29057 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Yellow Checker Cab Company be and is hereby

granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the west side of Jones street, 77 feet 6 inches south of Turk street, running through to the south side of Turk street, 100 feet west of Jones street, thence 175 feet westerly on Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Transfer of Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 29058 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. W. Bonds be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to O. F. Werner by Resolution No. 26833 (New Series) for premises at southwest corner of Eddy and Webster streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be extrcised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Condemnation Proceedings for Lands for Widening and Extension of Adam Street.

Resolution No. 29059 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Eve street, distant thereon 66 feet westerly from the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence westerly along said line of Eve street 53.839 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right, radius 80 feet, tangent to a line deflected 157 deg. 38 min. 09 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 22 deg. 21 min. 51 sec., a distance of 28.434 feet; thence easterly tangent to the preceding curve 26 feet; thence at right angles southerly 5 feet to the northerly line of Eve street and the point of commencement; being portion of Precita Valley Lands.

Said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening and extension of Adam street and Eve street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land, and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7961 (New Series), Newcomb Avenue.

Bill No. 8536, Ordinance No. 8044 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7961 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Mendell and Third streets and other streets mentioned in said ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7961 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Newcomb avenue between Mendell and Third streets and other streets mentioned in said ordinance, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7976 (New Series), Harrison Street.

Bill No. 8537, Ordinance No. 8045 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7976 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Harrison street from Sixth to Seventh streets.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7976 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Harrison street from Sixth to Seventh streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8538, Ordinance No. 8046 (New Series) as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Burnside avenue between Bosworth and Chenery streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 16th day of March, 1928, by Resolution No. 28611 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Burnside avenue between Bosworth and Chenery streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Burnside Avenue

Easterly line of, at Bosworth street, 231.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Bosworth street, 234.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Center line of, 79 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 192.00 feet.

Center line of, 85 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 192.00 feet.

Easterly line of, 110 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 191.50 feet.

Easterly line of, 135 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 191.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 145 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 192.00 feet.

Westerly line of, 175 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 192.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 190 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 192.00 feet.

Easterly curb line of, 190 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 192.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 225 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 195.21 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 197.37 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 275 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 201.27 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 225 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 195.21 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 197.87 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 275 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 201.25 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly curb line of, at the southerly line of Chenery street, 211.90 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly curb line of, at the southerly line of Chenery street, 212.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Burnside avenue between Bosworth and Chenery streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8539, Ordinance No. 8047 (New Series) as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Cowden street between Harkness avenue and Ankeny street; on Ankeny street between Cowden and Mill streets, and on Hamilton street between Mansell and Cowden streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works,

did on the 16th day of March, 1928, by Resolution No. 28613 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Cowden street between Harkness avenue and Ankeny street; on Ankeny street between Cowden and Mill streets, and on Hamilton street between Mansell and Cowden streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Cowden Street

Westerly line of, at Harkness avenue northerly line, 265 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Harkness avenue northerly line, 263 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 271.33 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 282.33 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 287.18 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 293.41 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 282.33 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 287.18 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet northerly from Harkness avenue, 294.39 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 23.78 feet southerly from Ankeny street, 304.53 feet.

40 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 29.89 feet southerly from Ankeny street, 305.96 feet.

40 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 8.78 feet southerly from Ankeny street, 308.87 feet.

21.11 feet northeasterly from the last-described point (measured along the westerly curb), 310.55 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Ankeny Street

7 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 15 feet northeasterly from Cowden street, 313.47 feet.

33 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Cowden street easterly line, 311.60 feet.

7 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 12.38 feet southwesterly from the first angle westerly from Mill street, 321.94 feet.

33 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, (from the southwest). at the first angle, westerly from Mill street, 324.87 feet.

33 feet northerly from the southerly line of (from the east), at the first angle westerly from Mill street, 327.22 feet.

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 123.50 feet westerly from Mill street, 327.90 feet.

33 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 75 feet westerly from Mill street, 336.02 feet.

33 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 50 feet westerly from Mill street, 338.77 feet.

33 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 25 feet westerly from Mill street, 339.81 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 75 feet westerly from Mill street, 335.64 feet.

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 50 feet westerly from Mill street, 338.67 feet.

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 25 feet westerly from Mill street, 339.81 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Mill street westerly line, 340 feet.

Grades on Hamilton street between Mansell and Cowden streets be abolished.

On Cowden street between Harkness avenue and Ankeny street; on Ankeny street between Cowden and Mill streets; and on Hamilton street between Mansell and Cowden streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients be-

tween the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8540, Ordinance No. 8048 (New Series) as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Arch street between Randolph street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Worcester avenue northeasterly line, and on Stanley street between Ramsell and Vernon streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 16th day of March, 1928, by Resolution No. 28614 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Arch street between Randolph street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Worcester avenue northeasterly line; and on Stanley street between Ramsell and Vernon streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for 10 days, and the Board of Public Works within 10 days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Arch Street.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Worcester avenues northeasterly line, 220 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Stanley street, 205 feet.

100 feet southerly from Randolph street, 259.40 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street southerly line, 271.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street southerly line, 270 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Arch street between Randolph street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Worcester avenues northeasterly line; and on Stanley street between Ramsell and Vernon streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Vernon and Ramsell streets at Stanley street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8541, Ordinance No. 8049 (New Series) as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Delano avenue between Seneca and Mt. Vernon avenues, and on Niagara and Mt. Vernon avenues between Delano and San Jose avenues, as shown on map entitled grade map of a portion of Geneva Terraces.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 2d day of March, 1928, by Resolution No. 28534 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Delano avenue between Seneca and Mt. Vernon avenues, and on Niagara and Mt. Vernon avenues between Delano and San Jose avenues, as shown on map entitled grade map of a portion of Geneva Terraces.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

On Delano avenue between Seneca and Mt. Vernon avenues, and on Niagara and Mt. Vernon avenues between Delano and San Jose avenues, as shown on map entitled grade map of a portion of Geneva Terraces.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8542, Ordinance No. 8050 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Elmira street between lines parallel with Augusta street and respectively 220 feet and 420 feet northerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 2d day of March, 1928, by Resolution No. 28540 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Elmira street between lines parallel with Augusta street and respectively 220 feet and 420 feet northerly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Elmira Street.

420 feet northerly from Augusta street, 96.06 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

320 feet northerly from Augusta street, 104.25 feet.

220 feet northerly from Augusta street, 100.94 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Elmira street between lines parallel with Augusta street and respectively 220 feet and 420 feet northerly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8543, Ordinance No. 8051 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Margaret avenue between the westerly line of and a line parallel with and 20 feet easterly therefrom between Lakeview avenue and Summit avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 16th day of March, 1928, by Resolution No. 28612 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Margaret avenue between the westerly line of, and a line parallel with and 20 feet easterly therefrom between Lakeview avenue and Summit avenue.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Margaret Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Summit avenue northerly line, 451.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Summit avenue northerly line, 451.50 feet. (The same beiug the present official grade.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Summit avenue northerly line, 445.74 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 385 feet south-

erly from Lakeview avenue, 431.42 feet.

Westerly line of, 335 feet southerly from Lakeview avenue, 420.75 feet.

Westerly line of, 285 feet southerly from Lakeview avenue, 412.61 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 385 feet southerly from Lakeview avenue, 431.42 feet.

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 335 feet southerly from Lakeview avenue, 420.75 feet.

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 285 feet southerly from Lakeview avenue, 412.61 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 385 feet southerly from Lakeview avenue, 427.08 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 335 feet southerly from Lakeview avenue, 417.05 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 285 feet southerly from Lakeview avenue, 409.11 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Lakeview avenue, 380.23 feet.

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Lakeview avenue, 380.23 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Lakeview avenue, 376.72 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Lakeview avenue, 371.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Lakeview avenue southerly line, 369.83 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Margaret avenue between the westerly line of, and a line parallel with and 20 feet easterly therefrom between Lakeview avenue and Summit avenue be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8544, Ordinance No. 8052 (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Josiah avenue and Ridge Lane between the northerly line of Lakeview avenue and the southerly termination of Howth street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8545, Ordinance No. 8053 (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Keith street between Revere avenue and Shafter avenue, and the improvement of Keith street between Thomas avenue and Underwood avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8546, Ordinance No. 8054 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Moraga street between the easterly line of Forty-sixth avenue and the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue, including the crossings of Moraga street with Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue, respectively, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompany-

ing 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 18-inch and 21-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole; by the construction of 6-inch Y branches on 21-inch ironstone pipe sewer; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8547, Ordinance No. 8055 (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirty-eighth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of three (3) side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8548, Ordinance No. 8056 (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first in stallment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following streets, where not already so improved: San Miguel street between Mount Vernon avenue and Ridge lane; Romain street between Grand View avenue and Douglass street; Avalon avenue between Athens and Moscow streets; Ramsell street between Garfield and Shields streets; Head street between Garfield and Shields streets, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8549, Ordinance No. 8057 (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, made be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Banks street between Crescent avenue and Tompkins avenue, where not already so improved, and the improvement of the crossing of Banks street and Ogden avenue, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of brick catchbasins and appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the above mentioned crossing, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8550, Ordinance No. 8058 (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first

installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Shafter avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8551, Ordinance No. 8059 (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Rousseau street between Bosworth street and Cayuga avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8552, Ordinance No. 8060 (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the

time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Santiago street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues; of the crossing of Santiago street and Thirty-fifth avenue, and of Santiago street between the westerly line of Thirty-eighth avenue and the westerly line of Forty-first avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-ninth, Fortieth and Forty-first avenues with Santiago street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch, 15-inch and 21-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches, side sewers and manholes, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8553, Ordinance No. 8061 (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the westerly portion of San Jose avenue between the southerly line of Ridge lane and the existing pavement southerly from Ridge lane, except that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8554, Ordinance No. 8062 (New Series) as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Castle Manor avenue between Mission street and Camillia avenue; Camillia avenue between Silver avenue and Admiral avenue, and the intersections of Camillia avenue and Admiral avenue, Camillia avenue and Castle Manor avenue; Admiral avenue between Camillia avenue and Alemany boulevard; Admiral avenue between Mission street and Camillia avenue; Constanso way between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive and the intersections of Constanso way and Sloat boulevard and Constanso way and Escondido avenue; Delta street between Wilde avenue and Tioga avenue; Escondido avenue between Thirty-fourth avenue and Constanso way; Treat avenue between Sixteenth and Sev-

enteenth streets and the intersection of Treat avenue and Harrison street; Twenty-third street between Third and Georgia streets; Twenty-third street between Illinois street and the easterly line of Georgia street and the crossing of Twenty-third and Illinois streets; Yorba street between Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railway company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good conditions throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid thereon, to-wit:

Castle Manor avenue between Mission street and Camillia avenue.

Camillia avenue between Silver avenue and Admiral avenue and the intersections of Camillia avenue and Admiral avenue, Camillia avenue and Castle Manor avenue.

Admiral avenue between Camillia avenue and Alemany boulevard.

Admiral avenue between Mission street and Camillia avenue.

Constanso Way between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake Drive and the intersections of Constanso Way and Sloat boulevard, and Constanso Way and Escondido avenue.

Delta street between Wilde avenue and Tioga avenue.

Escondido avenue between Thirty-fourth avenue and Constanso Way.

Treat avenue between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets and the intersection of Treat avenue and Harrison street.

Twenty-third street between Third and Georgia streets.

Twenty-third street between Illinois street and the easterly line of Georgia street and the crossing of Twenty-third and Illinois streets.

Yorba street between Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8555, Ordinance No. 8063 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of the following streets:

Brunswick street between Pope and Allison streets; Forty-first avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Forty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Forty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Foerster street between Mangels and Melrose avenues; Goettingen street between Olmstead and Mansell streets; Kirkham street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues, and the crossing of Kirkham street and Forty-first avenue; Kirkham street between Forty-eighth avenue and the Great Highway; Kirkham street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues; Moraga street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues; Morse street between Guttenberg and Concord streets, and the intersections of Morse and Florentine streets and Morse and Concord streets; Park street between Mission and Leese streets; Sussex street between Diamond and Conrad streets; San Jose avenue between the northerly line of Goethe street and the county line; Goethe street between San Jose avenue and the county line; Santiago street between Forty-eighth avenue and the Great Highway; Sixteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets; Quintara street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues; Twenty-seventh avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; crossing of Byxbee and Shields streets; Byxbee street between Sargent and Randolph streets; Sargent street between Ralston and Byxbee streets; crossings of Garfield and Ralston streets. Garfield and Beverly streets, Monticello and Shields streets and Beverly and Shields streets; crossings of Ulloa street and Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue, Forty-fourth avenue, Forty-fifth avenue and Forty-sixth avenue; crossing of Fortieth avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Thirty-third avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Phelps street and Carroll avenue; crossing of Vicente street and Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-sixth avenue, Thirty-

seventh avenue, Thirty-eighth avenue, Thirty-ninth avenue, Fortieth avenue, Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue; crossing of Phelps street and Donner avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic pavements and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Brunswick street between Pope and Allison streets.

Forty-first avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Forty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Forty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Foerster street between Mangels and Melrose avenues.

Goettingen street between Olmstead and Mansell streets.

Kirkham street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues, and the crossing of Kirkham street and Forty-first avenue.

Kirkham street between Forty-eighth avenue and the Great Highway.

Kirkham street beween Fortieth and Forty-first avenues.

Moraga street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues.

Morse street between Guttenberg and Concord streets and the intersections of Morse and Florentine streets and Morse and Concord streets.

Park street between Mission and Leese streets.

Sussex street between Diamond and Conrad streets.

San Jose avenue between the northerly line of Goethe street and the County line.

Goethe street between San Jose avenue and the County line.

Santiago street between Forty-eighth avenue and the Great Highway.

Sixteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets.

Quintara street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

Twenty-seventh avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets.

Crossing of Byxbee and Shields streets.

Byxbee street between Sargent and Randolph streets.

Sargent street between Ralston and Byxbee streets.

Crossing of Garfield and Ralston streets, Garfield and Beverly streets, Monticello and Shields streets and Beverly and Shields streets.

Crossing of Ulloa street and Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue, Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue and Forty-sixth avenue.

Crossing of Fortieth avenue and Kirkham street.

Crossing of Thirty-third avenue and Santiago street.

Crossing of Phelps street and Carroll avenue.

Crossing of Vicente street and Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-sixth avenue, Thirty-seventh avenue, Thirty-eighth avenue, Thirty-ninth avenue, Fortieth avenue, Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue.

Crossing of Phelps street and Donner avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8556, Ordinance No. 8064 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Shields street between Monticello street and Byxbee street; Genesee street between Joost avenue and Mangels avenue and the crossing of Genesee street and Joost avenue; Goettingen street between Wayland street and Woolsey street and Somerset street between Wayland street and Woolsey street; Brooklyn place between Sacramento street and its southerly termination; Ralston street between Shields street and Sargent street; Congdon street between Maynard street and Silver avenue; Otsego avenue between Onondaga avenue and Oneida avenue; Otsego avenue between Oneida avenue and Seneca avenue; intersection of Otsego avenue and Oneida avenue; Circular

avenue between Staples avenue and the westerly line of Marston avenue, including the intersection of Circular avenue and Detroit street, and Circular avenue and Marston avenue; Cayuga avenue between Onondaga avenue and Oneida avenue and intersection of Cayuga avenue and Oneida avenue; Moultrie street between Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue; Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; crossing of Twenty-first and Vermont streets and Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue; crossing of Vermont street and Twentieth street; Twenty-sixth avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street; Burrows street between Holyoke street and Hamilton street and Hamilton street between Burrows street and Felton street; crossing of Burrows and Hamilton streets; France avenue between Vienna street and Athens street; Girard street between Ward street and Harkness avenue; Rivera street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; crossing of Thirty-fourth avenue and Rivera street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete pavement and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Shields street between Monticello and Byxbee streets, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Genesee street between Joost and Mangels avenues, concrete curbs and cobblestone pavements have been laid therein, crossing of Genesee street and Joost avenue; concrete curbs and asphalt pavement, and asphalt pavement with fourteen-foot central strips of vitrified brick and cobblestones have been laid therein, sewers have been laid in this block and crossing, no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Goettingen street between Wayland and Woolsey streets and Somerset street between Wayland and Woolsey streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Brooklyn place between Sacramento street and its southerly termination, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ralston street between Shields and Sargent streets, paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Congdon street between Maynard street and Silver avenue, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Otsego avenue between Onondaga avenue and Oneida avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Otsego avenue between Oneida avenue and Seneca avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Intersection of Otsego and Oneida avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Circular avenue between Staples avenue and the westerly line of Marston avenue, including the intersections of Circular avenue and Detroit street, and Circular avenue and Marston avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Cayuga avenue between Onondaga and Oneida avenues, and the intersection of Cayuga avenue and Oneida avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Moultrie street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues, paved with concrete, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, crossing of Twenty-first and Vermont streets and Twenty-first street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue, paved with concrete, crossing of Vermont street and Twentieth street, paved with

asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid in these blocks and crossings, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-sixth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Burrows street between Holyoke and Hamilton streets, and Hamilton street between Burrows and Felton streets, paved with concrete, crossing of Burrows and Hamilton streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid in these blocks and crossings, no water mains have been laid therein.

France avenue between Vienna and Athens street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Girard street between Ward street and Harkness avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and asphaltic concrete pavement with a fourteen-foot central strip of concrete pavement, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rivera street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Thirty-fourth avenue and Rivera street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Full Acceptance, Great Highway, Etc.

Bill No. 8557, Ordinance No. 8065 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Great Highway (lower road) between Vicente and Wawona streets, and the crossing of the Great Highway and Wawona street; Great Highway (lower road) between Ulloa and Vicente streets, and the crossing of the Great Highway and Vicente street; intersection of the Great Highway (lower road) and Ulloa street; Noe street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets and the crossings of Noe and Twenty-seventh streets, and Noe and Duncan streets; Noe street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter II, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic pavement and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers, water and gas mains have been laid therein, and are in good condition throughout:

Great Highway (lower road) between Vicente and Wawona streets, and the crossing of the Great Highway and Wawona street.

Great Highway (lower road) between Ulloa and Vicente streets, and the crossing of the Great Highway and Vicente street.

Intersection of the Great Highway (lower road) and Ulloa street.

Noe street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets, and the crossings of Noe street and Twenty-seventh street and Noe street and Duncan street.

Noe street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Full Acceptance, Brentwood Avenue and Other Streets.

Bill No. 8558, Ordinance No. 8066 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Brentwood avenue between Mangels avenue and Maywood drive, including the crossings of Brentwood avenue and Fernwood drive, Brentwood avenue and Rosewood drive, Brentwood avenue and Yerba Buena avenue and Brentwood avenue and Hazelwood avenue and the intersections of Brentwood avenue and Colon avenue, Brentwood avenue and Val-

dez avenue and Brentwood avenue and Maywood drive; Mangels avenue between Ridgewood avenue and Plymouth avenue, including the crossings of Mangels and Colon avenues, Mangels and Valdez avenues and Mangels and Hazelwood avenues and the intersections of Mangels avenue and Plymouth avenue and Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue; Joost avenue between Ridgewood avenue and Hazelwood avenue, including the crossing of Joost avenue and Ridgewood avenue and the intersection of Joost avenue and Hazelwood avenue; Ridgewood avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue, including the intersection of Mangels avenue; Hazelwood avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue and between Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue and between Brentwood avenue and Yerba Buena avenue, including the intersection of Hazelwood avenue and Yerba Buena avenue; Valdez avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue and between Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue; Colon avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue and between Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue; Plymouth avenue between Monterey boulevard and Yerba Buena avenue, including the crossing of Plymouth avenue, Yerba Buena avenue and St. Elmo way; Yerba Buena avenue between Monterey boulevard and Plymouth avenue, Plymouth and Brentwood avenues and between Brentwood and Hazelwood avenues; St. Elmo way between Monterey boulevard and Yerba Buena avenue, including the intersection of St. Elmo way and El Verano way; El Verano way between Monterey boulevard and St. Elmo way, including the intersections of El Verano way and Maywood drive and El Verano way and Fernwood drive; San Felipe avenue between Monterey boulevard and San Jacinto way, including the intersections of San Felipe avenue and El Verano way and San Felipe avenue and San Jacinto way; San Jacinto way between Monterey boulevard and San Felipe avenue; Maywood drive between El Verano way and Brentwood avenue; Fernwood drive between El Verano way and Brentwood avenue; Monterey boulevard between Ridgewood avenue and San Aleso avenue, including the crossings of Monterey boulevard with Hazelwood avenue, Valdez avenue, Colon avenue, Plymouth avenue and Ridgewood avenue and the intersections of Monterey boulevard and Montecito avenue, Miramar avenue, Yerba Buena avenue, Faxon avenue, St. Elmo way, Northgate drive, El Verano way, Westgate drive, San Jacinto way and San Aleso avenue; Juanita way between Del Sur and Marne avenues, including the intersections of Juanita way and Rex avenue, Marne avenue, Lansdale way and Miraloma drive between Portola drive and Juanita way; Juanita way between Marne avenue and Miraloma drive, Rex avenue between Portola drive and Juanita way and Marne avenue between Portola drive and Juanita way.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon) in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete pavement and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers, water and gas mains have been laid therein, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Brentwood avenue between Mangels avenue and Maywood drive, including the crossings of Brentwood avenue and Fernwood drive, Brentwood avenue and Rosewood drive, Brentwood avenue and Yerba Buena avenue and Brentwood avenue and Hazelwood avenue and the intersections of Brentwood avenue and Colon avenue, Brentwood avenue and Valdez avenue and Brentwood avenue and Maywood drive.

Mangels avenue between Ridgewood avenue and Plymouth avenue, including the crossings of Mangels and Colon avenues, Mangels and Valdez avenues and Mangels and Hazelwood avenues and the intersections of Mangels avenue and Plymouth avenue and Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue.

Joost avenue between Ridgewood avenue and Hazlewood avenue, including the crossing of Joost avenue and Ridgewood avenue and the intersection of Joost avenue and Hazelwood avenue.

Ridgewood avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels ave-

nue, including the intersection of Mangels avenue.

Hazelwood avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue and between Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue and between Brentwood avenue and Yerba Buena avenue, including the intersection of Hazelwood avenue and Yerba Buena avenue.

Valdez avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue and between Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue.

Colon avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue and between Mangels avenue and Brentwood avenue.

Plymouth avenue between Monterey boulevard and Yerba Buena avenue, including the crossing of Plymouth avenue, Yerba Buena avenue and St. Elmo way.

Yerba Buena avenue between Monterey boulevard and Plymouth avenue, Plymouth and Brentwood avenues, and between Brentwood and Hazelwood avenues.

St. Elmo way between Monterey boulevard and Yerba Buena avenue, including the intersection of St. Elmo way and El Verano way.

El Verano way between Monterey boulevard and St. Elmo way, including the intersections of El Verano way and Maywood drive and El Verano way and Fernwood drive.

San Felipe avenue between Monterey boulevard and San Jacinto way, including the intersections of San Felipe avenue and El Verano way and San Felipe avenue and San Jacinto way.

San Jacinto way between Monterey boulevard and San Felipe avenue.

Maywood drive between El Verano way and Brentwood avenue.

Fernwood drive between El Verano way and Brentwood avenue.

Monterey boulevard between Ridgewood avenue and San Aleso avenue, including the crossings of Monterey boulevard with Hazelwood avenue, Valdez avenue, Colon avenue, Plymouth avenue and Ridgewood avenue and the intersections of Monterey boulevard and Montecito avenue, Miramar avenue, Yerba Buena avenue, Faxon avenue, St. Elmo way, Northgate drive, El Verano way, Westgate drive, San Jacinto way and San Aleso avenue.

Juanita way between Del Sur and Marne avenues, including the intersections of Juanita way and Rex avenue, Marne avenue, Lansdale way and Miraloma drive, and Miraloma drive between Portola drive and Juanita way.

Juanita way between Marne avenue and Miraloma drive, Rex avenue between Portola drive and Juanita way and Marne avenue between Portola drive and Juanita way.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Establishing Grades, Cunningham Place.

Bill No. 8559, Ordinance No. 8067 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Cunningham place between Valencia street and a line parallel with and 179 feet west therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

The grades on Cunningham place between Valencia street and a line parallel with and 179 feet westerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed April 27, 1928.

Cunningham Place.

Northerly line of, at Valencia street, 44.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Valencia street, 45.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Two feet six inches southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Valencia street, 45.20 feet.

Southerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Valencia street, 45.40 feet.

Southerly line of, 159 feet westerly from Valencia street. 45.00 feet.

Two feet six inches southerly from the northerly line of, 179 feet westerly from Valencia street, 44.10 feet.

22 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 179 feet westerly from Valencia street, 44.60 feet.

On Cunningham place between Valencia street and a line parallel with and 179 feet westerly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Venard Alley.

Bill No. 8560, Ordinance No. 8068 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 10, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty, to read as follows:

Section 1040. The width of sidewalks on Venard alley, the westerly side of, between Chestnut street and its southerly termination shall be two (2) feet six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Venard alley, the easterly side of, between Chestnut street and its southerly termination are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Brentwood Avenue.

Bill No. 8561, Ordinance No. 8069 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-six to ten hundred and thirty-nine, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 20, 1928, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered ten hundred and twenty-six to ten hundred and thirty-nine, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 1026. The width of sidewalks on Brentwood avenue between Mangels avenue and Hazelwood avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brentwood avenue between Hazelwood avenue and Yerba Buena avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brentwood avenue between Yerba Buena avenue and Maywood drive shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1027. The width of sidewalks on Mangels avenue (the southerly side of) between Ridgewood avenue and Hazelwood avenue shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Mangels avenue (the northerly side of) between Ridgewood avenue and Hazelwood avenue shall be fourteen (14) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Mangels avenue between Hazelwood avenue and Plymouth avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1028. The width of sidewalks on Joost avenue between Ridgewood avenue and Hazelwood avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 1029. The width of sidewalks on Hazelwood avenue between Monterey boulevard and Mangels avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hazelwood avenue between Mangels avenue and Yerba Buena avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1030. The width of sidewalks on Valdez avenue between Monterey boulevard and Brentwood avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1031. The width of sidewalks on Colon avenue between Monterey boulevard and Brentwood avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1032. The width of sidewalks on Plymouth avenue between Monterey boulevard and Yerba Buena avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 1033. The width of sidewalks on Yerba Buena avenue between Monterey boulevard and Hazelwood avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 1034. The width of sidewalks on St. Elmo way between Monterey boulevard and El Verano way shall be eight (8) feet.

The width of sidewalks on St. Elmo way between El Verano way and Yerba Buena avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1035. The width of side-

walks on El Verano way between Monterey boulevard and St. Elmo way shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1036. The width of sidewalks on San Felipe avenue between Monterey boulevard and San Jacinto way shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1037. The width of sidewalks on Fernwood drive between El Verano way and Brentwood avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1038. The width of sidewalks on Maywood drive between El Verano way and Brentwood avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1039. The width of sidewalks on Monterey boulevard (the northerly side of) between Ridgewood avenue and San Jacinto way shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Monterey boulevard (the southerly side of) between Ridgewood avenue and San Aleso avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., Alemany Boulevard.

Bill No. 8562, Ordinance No. 8070 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "E", Contract 5, from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard a distance of about 2710 feet with a width of 100 feet and 10-foot sidewalks, by grading, sewering and part paving, and ordering the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "E", Contract 5, from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard a distance of about 2710 feet with a width of 100 feet and 10-foot sidewalks, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said construction of Alemany boulevard, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said construction, the cost of said construction to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "E", Contract 5, from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard a distance of about 2710 feet with a width of 100 feet and 10-foot sidewalks, by grading, sewering and part paving, and to enter into contract for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "E", Contract 5, from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard a distance of about 2710 feet with a width of 100 feet and 10-foot sidewalks, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

The cost of said construction to be borne out of funds of the Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said Alemany boulevard conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., Great Highway.

Bill No. 8563, Ordinance No. 8071 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of Great Highway from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Contract No. 8, by paving and drainage for two fifty-foot roadways for a distance of approximately two miles, and the construction of three pedestrian subways; ordering the construction of Great Highway from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Contract No. 8, by paving and drainage for two fifty-foot roadways for a distance of approximately two miles, and the construction of three pedestrian subways, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of Great Highway from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said construction, the cost of said con-

struction to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of Great Highway from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Contract No. 8, by paving and drainage for two fifty-foot roadways for a distance of approximately two miles, and the construction of three pedestrian subways, and to enter into contract for the construction of Great Highway from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, Contract No. 8, by paving and drainage for two fifty-foot roadways for a distance of approximately two miles and the construction of three pedestrian subways, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

The cost of said construction to be borne out of funds of the Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of said Great Highway conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Spur Track Permit, Stonetex Corporation.

Bill No. 8564, Ordinance No. 8072 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Stonetex Corporation to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Carroll avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets in the City and County of San Francisco as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Stonetex Corporation to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Carroll avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets as follows:

Beginning at a point in existing track in Carroll avenue, approximately 287 feet west of west line of Ingalls street; thence southeasterly and crossing portion of Carroll avenue to a point in the southerly line thereof approximately 113 feet from the west line of Ingalls street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by Stonetex Corporation.

Provided, that Stonetex Corporation shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $1,000, for Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, and authorized credited to Appropriation 46-A, San Francisco Hospital, for the employment of ten additional nurses in the San Francisco Hospital for the month of May, 1928.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $75,135.92, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Urgent Necessity.

California School for the Deaf and the Blind, shoes, etc., for Edward Brown, committed from San Francisco, $8.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters, on recommendation of Finance Committee, were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Fairbanks, Morse & Co., power pump (claim dated May 18, 1928), $692.

(2) Gunn, Carle & Co., steel bars (claim dated May 18, 1928), $897.55.

(3) Hercules Powder Co., Inc., explosives (claim dated May 18, 1928), $5,237.50.

(4) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat (claim dated May 11, 1928), $629.27.

(5) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated May 9, 1928), $799.88.

(6) Hales & Symons, Inc., mining wedges (claim dated May 11, 1928), $609.45.

(7) Pioneer Rubber Mills, belting (claim dated May 11, 1928), $913.37.

(8) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, etc. (claim dated May 11, 1928), $508.69.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(9) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated May 17, 1928), $525.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(10) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., railway brake shoes (claim dated May 22, 1928), $1,116.19.

County Road Fund.

(11) Board of Public Works, Appropriation 33B, to reimburse Street Repair Department for labor performed in reconstruction of Tehama street (claim dated May 16, 1928), $711.92.

(12) Board of Public Works, Appropriation 33C, to reimburse Street Repair Department for material furnished and used in reconstruction of Tehama street (claim dated May 16, 1928), $1,514.05.

(13) T. M. Gallagher, improvement of Turk street between Masonic avenue and Park street, by removal of dirt (claim dated May 23, 1928), $1,200.

(14) Meyer Bros., additional paving for widening of Terresita boulevard (claim dated May 23, 1928), $6,000.

(15) E. J. Treacy, third payment, improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue (claim dated May 23, 1928), $5,000.

Park Fund.

(16) Chas. A. Borgeson, sprinklers, couplings, etc., for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $925.

(17) L. Devincenzi & Co., clay for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $1,318.50.

(18) Landau Economic Syphon Co., supplies for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $995.81.

(19) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $1,440.17.

(20) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $2,394.73.

(21) A. Lettich Co., galvanized pipe for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $747.90.

(22) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $709.01.

(23) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $2,461.74.

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(24) J. P. Holland, Inc., red rock for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated May 25, 1928), $1,518.66.

(25) The Fay Improvement Co., filling in Alemany boulevard from Orizaba avenue westerly (claim dated May 23, 1928), $1,450.

Special School Tax.

(26) W. P. Fuller & Co., oil, lead, etc., for school buildings (claim dated May 18, 1928), $632.40.

(27) Hockwald Chemical Co., soap dispensers for schools (claim dated May 18, 1928), $1,119.76.

(28) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated May 18, 1928), $751.28.

Tubercular Trust Fund.

(29) S. S. White Dental Mfg. Co., dental equipment for Department of Public Health (claim dated May 21, 1928), $1,234.41.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) James G. Stafford and associates, eighteenth payment, contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated May 17, 1928), $5,114.25.

(31) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded for April (claim dated May 28, 1928), $9,870.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 28, 1928), $516.98.

(33) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated May 21, 1928), $972.29.

(34) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated May 21, 1928), $1,335.49.

(35) N. Clark & Sons, furnishing and laying tile for Marina convenience station (claim dated May 25, 1928), $501.

(36) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated May 21, 1928), $2,798.31.

(37) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 18, 1928), $2,589.76.

(38) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 18, 1928), $1,320.06.

(39) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated May 18, 1928), $3,740.84.

(40) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated May 21, 1928), $650.35.

(41) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 24, 1928), $4,790.45.

Accepting Offers to Sell Properties Required for Francisco School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the Francisco School, to-wit:

(1) To S. Brizzolara, for land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 75 feet northerly from the northerly line of Francisco street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Stockton street 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 68 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Worden street; thence at a right angle southerly along the easterly line of Worden street 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 68 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29017, New Series (claim dated May 28, 1928), $7,000.

(2) To Carmelo and Giovannina Patane, for land and improvements beginning at a point on the northerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 183 feet and 4 inches westerly from the point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Francisco street with the westerly line of Stockton street, and running thence westerly along said line of Francisco street 22 feet 11 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 22 feet and 11 inches, and thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet and 6 inches, to point of beginning; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29018, New Series (claim dated May 28, 1928), $7,900.

(3) To Luciano Sabella, for land and improvements beginning at a point on the northerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 87 feet and 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Stockton street, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Francisco street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 68 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle southerly 68 feet and 6 inches to point of beginning; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29019, New Series (claim dated May 28, 1928), $5,100.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To James Finegan and Susan Finegan, for all of Lots Nos. 28 and 29 in Block 5464, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29011 (New Series) and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated May 23, 1928), $9,750.

(2) To Mary E. Herman, for all of Lot No. 35 in Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by

Resolution No. 29012 (New Series) and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated May 23, 1928), $2,520.

(3) To Bridget E. Leonard and Patrick J. Leonard, for a portion of Lot No. 19 in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29009 (New Series), and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated May 23, 1928), $880.

Appropriation, $13,666, to Wm. J. Sullivan for Lands Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $13,666 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to William J. Sullivan; being payment for all of Lot 20 and portion of Lots 16, 17, 18 and 19 in Block 6661, irregular and approximately 100 by 70 feet; all of Lot 15 and portion of Lots 16, 17 and 1 in Block 6687, irregular and approximately 100 by 75 feet; all as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28982 (New Series), and required for the opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated May 17, 1928); and

Resolved, That the sum of $7,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to H. S. Thomson; being payment for release of damages to improvements situate on Lots 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 in Block 6661, and Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 15, 16 and 17 in Block 6687, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; said premises being known as Planing Mill No. 12-14 Charles street, and Office and Lumber Shed Nos. 2 and 6 Charles street; as approved by Resolution No. 28981, New Series (claim dated May 17, 1928).

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Appropriation, $766.58, Spring Valley Water Company Pipe Connections, Alpha Street.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $766.58 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the Spring Valley Water Co. for the furnishing and laying of pipe and connections in Alpha street; being an extension of the Municipal Water Works (claim dated May 11, 1928.) Appropriation by Resolution No. 27848 (New Series).

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Twenty-eighth Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the locations of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title to which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Twenty-eighth street between Guerrero street and San Jose avenue, for a distance of 79 feet 9 inches along Guerrero street, and a distance of 85 feet 11 inches along San Jose avenue, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Referred.

The following bill was *referred to the City Planning Committee*:

Set-back Lines, Twenty-second Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6471 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Taraval street and running thence southerly 33 feet 4 inches.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6471 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines

along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Taraval street and running thence southerly 33 feet 4 inches, is hereby repealed.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was *rereferred to the Fire Committee*:

Garage Permit, Joseph O'Brien.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joseph O'Brien be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at premises located on east line of Larkin street, 93 feet 6 inches north of O'Farrell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution, on recommendation of Streets Committee, was *passed for printnig*:

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on private property situate on the east side of Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Denial of Laundry Permit.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 29060 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, Wong Yooey be and is hereby denied permission to operate a public laundry at 3635 Eighteenth street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Automobile Parking Station, H. J. Mallen.

The following resolution, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up and *refused passage* by the following vote:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 112 feet 8 inches north of Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton —8.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Marks, Todd, Toner—4.

Marina Bus Service.

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. 8566, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service in the Marina District as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service, suitable for the transportation of passengers, in the Marina District, as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway system, over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Scott and Chestnut streets; thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street; thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard; thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street; thence southerly on Scott street to the place of beginning.

Section 2. After 9:30 p. m. the motor bus service authorized in this ordinance shall be maintained and operated over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Avila and Scott streets; thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street; thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard; thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street; thence southerly on Scott street to Alhambra street; thence easterly on Alhambra street to Avila street; thence southerly on Avila street to the place of beginning.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Privilege of the Floor.

Fred Boeken, Superintendent of Municipal Railways, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, pointing out loss suffered by the operation of the Marina bus line. He presented a report of the operation of the Municipal Railways as of May 1, 1928, He declared that details of the operation of the Marina bus line was contained therein.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that a copy of the report be sent each member.

So ordered.

Supervisor Stanton moved the privilege of the floor for representatives of the Marina District.

Supervisor McSheehy objected to the granting of the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor Shannon seconded Supervisor Stanton's motion for the purpose of hearing representatives of the Marina District.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy—6.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the ordinance be amended to read, first section:

"The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, for a period of ninety days" and the rest remain as it is.

Shannon: No objection. I will accept that.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was passed for printing as amended by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—11.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Powers —5.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Award of Contract, Pianos, School Department.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 29061 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing Pianos for School Department be hereby made on bids submitted April 9, 1928 (Proposal No. 366), as follows, viz.:

To Kohler & Chase:

Item No. 1—3 Strauss pianos at $198, $594. Item No. 2—3 Super 4-1 pianos at $395, $1,185. Total, $1,779. Credit allowance: 1 Bloomfield & Otis square, $20; 1 Curtaz upright, $35; total $55; balance $1,724.

To J. Raymond Smith Co.:

Item No. 1—2 Keller & Co. pianos at $198; total, $396.

Resolved, That no bonds be required, as above goods are for immediate delivery.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Water Main Installation Policy.

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Resolution No. 29062 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, miles of street work have been constructed under private contract in San Francisco and water mains have been omitted in the construction; and

Whereas, this condition results, first, in only conditional acceptance of said streets when finished according to specifications, and, second, necessitates the ripping up of said streets in the future and further disintegration of the surface of the pavement and its foundation; and

Whereas, the law under which said street work is done permits the Board of Public Works to speci-

fy that water mains shall be laid, and the size of same; and

Whereas, the people have recently voted bonds sufficient to purchase Spring Valley Water Company; and

Whereas, said company has heretofore announced its financial inability to install proper water mains in the streets of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board hereby declares for the policy of installation of water mains in all streets hereafter to be constructed in San Francisco, and requests the Board of Public Works to include same in the specifications; and be it further

Resolved, That wherever a water main of larger size than ordinarily used is necessitated, the City and County assist the property owners on any proposed street in the matter of the additional expense involved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Shannon, Todd, Toner—5.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 29063 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove, change and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

Southwest corner Church and Twenty-third streets.

East side Church street, 245 feet south of Twenty-third street.

Front of 433 Elizabeth street.

North and south sides Green street east of Grant avenue.

Northeast and southwest corners Green and Kearny streets.

North side Green street, first east of Kearny street.

South side Green street, first and second east of Kearny street.

Northeast and southwest corners Gough and Green streets.

East and west sides Gough street between Vallejo and Green streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Green and Kearny streets.

Green street opposite No. 344.

Green street east of Grant avenue.

Green street west of Kearny street.

Corner Gough and Green streets.

Gough street between Vallejo and Green streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

East side Church street north of Twenty-second street.

North side Twenty-ninth street between Noe and Castro streets.

South side Twenty-ninth street between Noe and Castro streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Twenty-ninth and Castro streets.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

Fourteenth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

Repeal part of Resolution No. 28721 (New Series):

Remove 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Northwest and southeast corners Twenty-ninth and Church streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Twenty-ninth street between Castro and Noe streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Twenty-ninth and Castro streets.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Northwest corner Church and Nineteenth streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Shannon, Todd, Toner—5.

Mills Field, San Francisco's Permanent Airport.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, the Clerk was directed to communicate with the Mills Estate, looking to a conference for the purpose of negotiating the acquisition of Mills Field as San Francisco's permanent airport.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 178.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Resolution No. 29064 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the easterly side of Baker street, commencing at Jefferson street and running thence northerly 137½ feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 35 feet 10½

inches, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 36 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly to Marina boulevard, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Nineteenth avenue between Vicente street and Wawona street, said set-back lines to be 15 feet.

Along both sides of Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, said set-back lines to be 15 feet.

Along the northerly side of Golden Gate avenue between Central avenue and Masonic avenue, said set-back line to be 11 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 25th day of June, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Invitation to Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee to Visit San Francisco.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 29066 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, public opinion in San Francisco is solidly committed to the necessity of a bridge across the central portion of San Francisco Bay; and

Whereas, we, the duly elected representatives of the people of San Francisco, are convinced that the arguments against the construction of such a bridge will be dispelled in the minds of all fair-minded persons if they can make a first-hand inspection of San Francisco Bay and an investigation of the tremendous traffic problem centering here; therefore, be it

Resolved, That in the name of the people of San Francisco the Board of Supervisors hereby extends a very cordial and urgent invitation to the members of the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee of the House of Representatives to visit San Francisco during the present summer and to make an inspection of the bay and the bridge problem as our guests; be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution of invitation be transmitted immediately to the representatives of San Francisco in the House of Representatives and the Senate, and that they be requested to transmit copies of this invitation immediately to all of the members of the House Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(*Clerk was directed to wire foregoing resolution to our representatives at Washington.*)

Leave of Absence, Constant Auger, Playground Commissioner.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29067 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Constant Auger, member of the Board of Playground Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 5, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Shannon, Todd, Toner—5.

Entertainment Committee, Citizens' Military Training Camp.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 29068 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the United States Government has established the custom of annually holding citizens' military training camps for the purpose of inviting eligible young men and American citizens to become interested in the importance of military training and the teaching of self-discipline and obedience, and particularly to develop the physical standard of our American youth; and

Whereas, such camp is now being established for the present year at Del Monte, California, and Fort Winfield Scott, San Francisco, as well as in many of the other States,

inviting young men who are physically and morally eligible to take advantage of the opportunities extended to them; and

Whereas, it has become customary in many of the larger cities to tender to those enlisted for attendance at the camps some sort of entertainment before their embarcation to the camp, for the specific purpose of showing an interest and encouragement by the citizens of such worthy cause; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to apoint an appropriate committee to prepare a fitting entertainment or testimonial to those who may enlist in the membership in the citizens' military training camp, thereby expressing the approval of this Board of the great good that has been and is being done to our American youth at these camps by promoting their physical condition and moral conduct, constituting good citizenship.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks, Shannon, Todd, Toner—5.

Opinion of City Attorney on Marina Food Terminal Ordinance.

May 28, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sirs:

On the 21st inst., you referred to me a letter addressed to you by the Marina District Improvement Association and kindred organizations of the Marina District, relative to the adoption by your Board of an ordinance preventing the establishment of commercial and industrial business along the Bay front west of Hyde street, and requested that I prepare appropriate ordinance to this end.

Until you advise me as to the exact character of ordinance which you desire prepared, it is impossible for me to submit to you any ordinance covering the subject. If it is your desire to prohibit the establishment of a food or freight terminal or warehouse in this particular district, and you desire to enact an ordinance less comprehensive than the one which I submitted to you on the 14th inst., it will be possible to prepare an ordinance which would prevent the establishment of wholesale food terminals, freight yards and warehouses within specified districts in the city, and should you desire to enact such legislation, if you would advise me as to the particular districts which you desire excepted, I will be pleased to prepare such an ordinance.

I am of the opinion that it will not be possible to prepare any ordinance which will prevent the establishment of all commercial and industrial business along the Bay front west of Hyde street where any of the property is not in the First or Second Residential Zones as provided in the Zoning Ordinance. It will lie within the power of your Board to place in the First or Second Residential Zone any property which may be utilized for residential purposes, but the placing in either of these zones of property which cannot be used for residential purposes will not be sustained by the courts. Under ordinary conditions property that is covered by water, unless the same might be filled in, cannot be utilized for residential purposes and, therefore, the placing of such property in a residential zone would be practically preventing it from being used by the owner and such zoning would be looked upon as unreasonable.

On the other hand, it will also lie within the power of your Board to modify the presenting Zoning Ordinance by changing the character of business which might be conducted in zones other than the so-called First and Second Residential. The prevention of the conduct of certain lines of business in certain zones might accomplish your purpose. Should you desire to rezone any portion of the property in question which is capable of rezoning or to change the provision of the ordinance which would prevent the establishment of certain lines of business in the already existing zone, it will be well for you to refer the matter to the City Planning Commission for study and investigation.

Sincerely yours,
JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton *read and filed and request made of City Attorney that he prepare new ordinance.*

Garage Construction Ordinance, Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Andriano presented proposed bill providing for the existing garage construction ordinance, which was *referred to the City Attorney and to the Buildings and Fire Committee.*

In re Reestablishment of Set-back Lines on Twenty-second Avenue.

Supervisor Gallagher presented petition of property owners for the reestablishment of set-back lines in Twenty-second avenue from Ulloa to Taraval streets.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

BRIDGE MATTERS—11 A. M.

Motions.

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing motions was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

The following application, made a special order of business for 11 a. m. this day, and laid over until the afternoon session, was taken up at 4 p. m.:

(1)

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the hearing of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, June 11, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment that the hearing of the application be continued until June 25, 1928, at 11 a. m.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Shannon—3.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion as amended and the hearing of the application was continued until Monday, June 25, 1928, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(2)

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and

running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(3)

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and water front; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned. is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(4)

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(5)

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda,

County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attornevs.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(6)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(7)

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(8)

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued*

until Monday, June 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(9)

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(10)

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(11)

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill: from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(12)

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line ap-

proximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(13)

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(15)

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(18)

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson,

Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

Filed August 16, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Tunnels.

(19)

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(20)

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(21)

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 48 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the

foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(22)

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(23)

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(24)

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(25)

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(26)

Application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for permission to construct a toll bridge along an alternative line between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

Filed May 29, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(27)

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(28)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(29)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbell and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(30)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(31)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(32)

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less,

on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(33)

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(34)

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from the outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continuation of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama,

Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quarters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the lenth of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(35)

Amended application of Allan C. Rush for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Oakland, Alameda County.

Said bridge to be located at the starting point at or near what is known as Rincon Hill, in the City of San Francisco, and from which named point extending over and across what is known as San Francisco Channel of the Bay of San Francisco, this channel having an approximate width of 11,088 feet, to Goat Island—Yerba Buena—in a suspension bridge with a height of not less than 200 feet, measuring from the floor and base of said bridge down *to* and *above* high tide, supported in its entirety by four cables resting over five bridge piers, one pier at or near Rincon Hill, three piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, and one pier on the westerly shore end of Goat Island—Yerba Buena—numbered, respectively, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, with Pier No. 5 ending on said island.

Filed by E. L. Vandair Nailen, November 7, 1927, and *continued for hearing until November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(36)

Alternative design, Inter-Cities Bridge Company, for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, as follows:

On a line from near the foot of Broadway, in San Francisco, to the northern slope of Goat Island, along this northerly slope to the eastern end of the island, and thence to and along the northerly edge, or near the same, of the Key Route Mole to the entrance into Oakland, fully described in the original application.

Filed December 21, 1927.

December 27, 1927—*Read and continued until January* 23, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

(37)

Application of John Goulding Little for authority to erect, maintain and operate, and to take tolls on a toll bridge to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the County of Alameda, State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 7 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 20 min. 49 sec., which point is about 120 feet northerly from the Key System Transit Company's Ferry House in the City of Oakland, and extending thence westerly to a point in the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 2 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 21 min. 31 sec., which point is about 700 feet northerly from the northeast point of Goat Island; thence westerly by the most direct available route with suitable grades and curvature to the intersection

of the center line of Market street produced with the waterfront line on The Embarcadero in the City and County of San Francisco.

That the length of said bridge is 16,000 feet and that the breadth of said bridge is 2000 feet.

Filed January 30, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, June* 25, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Committee on Interstate Commerce Invited to Investigate San Francisco Bridge Project During Recess.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner the Clerk was instructed to forward the following telegram:

San Francisco, May 28, 1928.

Richard J. Welch, Representative, House Office, Washington, D. C.

Suggest you present resolution to House authorizing Committee on Interstate Commerce to come here during recess to investigate San Francisco Bay bridge project.

FRANCK R. HAVENNER.
Charge Board of Supervisors.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 2. 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 24

Monday, June 4, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 4, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 4, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Telegram.

Los Angeles, Calif.,
June 4, 1928.

John J. Dunnigan, care Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Delayed in Los Angeles. Back for Public Utility Committee meeting Wednesday. SHANNON.

Read and filed.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Gallagher was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 14, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Bay Bridge Application.

The following matter was read by the Clerk:

(38)

Application of Lochiel M. King to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said bridge and tunnel is to extend easterly from the easterly line of the intersection of Army street with Illinois street, in the City and County of San Francisco, along said Army street to the waterfront line as established by the Board of State Tide Land Commissioners, and thence in a general northeasterly direction to connect with the City of Oakland at Seventh and Bay streets and with the City of Alameda at the westerly end of Pacific avenue.

Filed June 4, 1928.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor McSheehy moved further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until June 25, 1928, at 11 a. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Relative to Inspection of San Francisco Bay Bridge Project by Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sirs:

I have been advised by my assistant, Mr. Chas. J. McDonnell, who was in Washington during the early part of last week, that when the invitation extended by your Board to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce of the House of Representatives to make a personal inspection of San Francisco Bay during the present summer was received by Mrs. Florence Kahn and Mr. Richard J. Welch, that most of the Committee had already left Washington. Mr. McDonnell, however, advises me that the secretary to Mr. Welch is to forward to me a list of the home addresses of the members of the Committee, and that it is the suggestion of Mr. Welch that the invitation of your Board be extended to these members at their home addresses.

Mr. McDonnell further advises me that he had the opportunity of conferring with Representative Cooper, the ranking member of the Committee, and Mr. Dennison, the chairman of the Subcommittee on Bridges, and both of them ex-

pressed a desire to visit San Francisco and make a personal inspection of the Bay during the summer, but neither could at this time fix a definite date for their visit.

I will be pleased to cooperate with your Board in any way to the end that the purpose of the resolution adopted on last Monday may be carried out.

Sincerely yours,

(Sgd) JNO J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Referred to Supervisor Havenner.

Fire Commission Expresses Thanks for Budget Consideration.

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 25, 1928.

To the Honorable the Finance Committee, Board of Supervisors. Attention Franck R. Havenner, Chairman.

Gentlemen:

At the last regular meeting of the Board of Fire Commisisoners, held on the 21st instant, I was directed to send a communication to your Honorable Committee expressing the appreciation of the Fire Commission for the constructive way in which this Department has been treated in the Budget for the Fiscal Year 1928-1929.

The additional men allowed will fill a much-needed want in the Department and permit of greater efficiency.

The appropriation made for the Fire Prevention Bureau is in line with similar work done in other large cities and the Board believes will be a big step towards the best possible way of fighting fires, namely, their prevention.

The new fire house at the Cliff House will be an attractive addition to that part of the city and will do away with the building that has been an "eye-sore" to the city for so many years.

Aside from the constructive manner in which the problems of the Department have been handled, the cooperative, progressive and friendly spirit in which your Committee received the various members of this Commission and its officers is also appreciated.

Assuring you of our cooperation at all times, we remain,

Very truly yours,

Board of Fire Commissioners,
By Frank T. Kennedy,
Secretary.

Read and made a part of the record.

Reply.

The Clerk was instructed to send the following reply:

June 2, 1928.

Board of Fire Commissioners, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I am directed by the Finance Committee to acknowledge receipt of your letter under date of May 25, 1928, in which your Honorable Board expresses its appreciation for the constructive and generous manner in which the Fire Department has been treated in the Budget for the Fiscal Year 1928-29.

The receipt of such a letter from a City Department expressing its satisfaction and gratification of its budget allowance is unusual and refreshing.

The Finance Committee desires to thank the Fire Department for its expression of approval and its assurance of cooperation at all times.

Sincerely yours,

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Addition to War Memorial Committee.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 4, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In consonance with your letter of May 22, 1928, and at the suggestion of the War Memorial Trustees, I hereby appoint Supervisors Franck R. Havenner and Jesse Colman to act with the War Memorial Trustees on the building of the Opera House and Soldiers' Building.

Respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Read and *filed.*

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Hearing of protests against assessment for Islais Creek reclamation project.

Privilege of the Floor.

Theo. Savage, representing the Reinhart Company and William Taaffe & Company and others in the matter of protesting assessment levies on property in the Islais Creek Reclamation District, declared that all his evidence was in, and thereupon made his argument as to the justification of protests against assessment levied on his clients.

Wm. Taaffe, protestant, was also heard in the pending matter.

Allan Wright, attorney representing the Board of Commissioners, Islais Creek Reclamation District, was also heard in support of report of the Board of Commissioners.

Resolution Approving Report of Commissioners.

Whereupon, Supervisor Suhr presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, H. C. Crozier, Walter H. Sullivan and Louis A. Weidenmuller, as Commissioner of Assessment, heretofore appointed by Resolution No. 27656 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the purpose of viewing the lands within the Islais Creek Reclamation District, a reclamation district created by an act of the Legislature of the State of California, known as the Islais Creek Reclamation District Act, approved April 6, 1925, and assessing thereon a reclamation assessment of $1,620,152, same to be apportioned according to the benefits that will accrue to each tract of land within said district, respectively, by reason of the expenditure of said sum of money, estimated in gold coin of the United States, did, on the 9th day of March, 1928, in accordance with law, file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, their completed assessment list, and the said Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco having appointed Monday, the 9th day of April, 1928, at the hour of ten o'clock a. m., in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, in the City Hall in said City and County of San Francisco, as the time and place when and where the said Board of Supervisors would meet for the purpose of hearing objections to said assessment, and notice of such hearing having heretofore been given by publication for two weeks daily (Sundays excepted) in The Bulletin, a newspaper of general circulation, published in said City and County of San Francisco, and the matter of objections to said assessment coming on regularly on said day, to-wit, the 9th day of April, 1928, to be heard, and having thereafter been regularly continued for hearing, from time to time (the actual taking of testimony having commenced on April 17, 1928), and it appearing to the said Board of Supervisors that the said assessment had been made in accordance with the provisions of law and should be approved, and that the only written objections to said assessment filed at any time before the date of said hearing by any person interested in any land upon which any charge has been assessed, were the following: the verified written objections of Henry A. Whitley, Wm. Taaffe & Co., Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Company, Anderson Bros. Planing Mill and Mfg. Co., and Henry Windt, and it appearing that after April 9, 1928, the following written objections to said assessment were filed as follows: verified objection by Chas. E. Reinhart, filed April 11, 1928; additional verified objections by Wm. Taaffe & Co., filed April 16, 1928; additional verified objections by Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Company, filed April 16, 1928; unverified objections by Edward Wenzel, filed April 16, 1928; unverified objections by John K. Bulger, filed April 19, 1928, and verified objections by P. F. Reilly, filed April 23, 1928.

And whereas, the said Board of Supervisors, after hearing the evidence offered in support of said objections, hereby finds that the same are not well taken, and do not warrant a reapportionment of the entire assessment aforesaid, or a modification or amendment of said assessment in any particular.

Now, therefore, it is ordered and resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that the aforesaid assessment list be, and the same is hereby, approved and that an order of such approval shall be endorsed upon said assessment list, which endorsement shall be signed by the Chairman of said Board of Supervisors and attested by the Clerk thereof. It is

Further ordered and resolved that the said assessment list shall be filed with the County Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

After recess the foregoing matter was taken up and on motion of Supervisor McSheehy the hearing was *continued until June* 18, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*

On motion of Supervisor Marks, the Clerk was directed to make provision to take the entire Board on a tour of inspection to the Islais Creek District.

Mayor's Veto, Hirschberg Property, Frederick and Stanyan Streets.

On motion duly made and carried, consideration of the Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 29008 (New Series) accepting offer of A. Hirschberg to sell property at Frederick and Stanyan streets was *continued one week.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Foodstuffs.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco between 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing foodstuffs during four months' term, viz.: July, August, September, October, 1928, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Athletic Goods.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco between 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing athletic goods for School Department and for Playground Commissioners and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, on recommendation of the Finance Committee, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

BUDGET
FOR MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES
City and County of San Francisco, California, For Fiscal Year 1928-1929

Bill No. 8565, Ordinance No. 8073 (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, and making a Budget of the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter 1, of the Charter of the City and County.

Section 2. No department, officer, board, commission or employee shall expend, agree to expend or incur any liability which shall exceed the amount of any appropriation herein made or which may be hereafter appropriated for any specific purpose, and no such appropriation shall be used or subjected to any liability for any purpose other than that for which it is herein specifically made, unless otherwise authorized by the Board of Supervisors.

All balances of appropriations unexpended, or against which no liabilities have been incurred, at the end of each calendar month, shall be reported to the Board of Supervisors by the department, board, commission, officer or employee within ten days after the expiration of such previous month, and at the end of the fiscal year all such balances shall revert to and become a part of the unappropriated general fund unless otherwise ordered by the Board of Supervisors.

The Auditor shall not audit or issue any warrant contrary to the express provisions of this section.

Section 3. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 1-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	401 A	18 Supervisors at $2,400 each.....	$43,200	
2	402 A	Clerk	6,000	
3	402 A	1 Chief Assistant Clerk	4,500	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
4	"	1 Expert Accountant	6,000	
5	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,600	7,200	
6	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000	
7	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,100	
8	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600	
9	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
10	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
11	"	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,700	
12	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
13	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400	
14	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	3,000	
15	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector	2,400	
16	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium	3,000	
16-A		1 Assistant Superintendent of Auditorium	2,400	
			$98,100	
		TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.		
17	433 A	Chief Operator	$1,980	
18	"	5 Operators at $1,800	9,000	
19	"	Relief Operators	675	
		Total Personal Services		$109,755

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 2-20 to 2-73)

20	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses	$5,000
21	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses	9,000
22	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	60,000
23	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000
24	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000
25	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar	8,000
26	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July	2,500
27	"	Memorial Day Observance	500
28	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band	20,000
29	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000
30	425 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals	7,500
31	529 B	Lighting Streets	765,000
32	402 H	Premiums on Official Bonds	6,000
33	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	50,000
34	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000
35	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges	5,000
36	1093	Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers	200,000
37	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit.	140,000
38	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen	5,000
39	678 K	Auditorium	10,000
40	467 H	Public Pound	18,000
41	553 B	Feeble-minded Home	100,000
42	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	740,000
43	553 H	Widows' Pensions	220,000
44	"	St. Catherine's Training School	6,500
45	"	State Schools	20,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
46	419 K	City Planning Commission Including Auto at $40 a month and Including Stationery, Postage and Printing, $90	6,970	
47	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Railroad	8,940	
48	1091	Yacht Harbor Improvement	10,000	
49	1091	Civic Center Beautification	15,000	
50	1093	Municipal Airport	90,000	
51	478 A 478 1091	For Personal and Non-Personal Services, Preventorium in San Mateo County	75,000	
52	466	For Maternity Service	12,500	
53	432 BO	Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000	
54		City Hall Repairs and Painting	15,000	
55	1091	Convenience Stations	30,000	
56	"	Improvements, de Young Museum	50,000	
57	1092	Traffic Signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control	150,000	
58	1091	Kezar Stadium	250,000	
59	"	Heroes' Grove	10,000	
60	"	Bay Street Opening Land Purchase	15,000	
61	"	Fine Arts Building Repairs	75,000	
62	410	Telephone Rate Investigation Expense (City Attorney)	50,000	
63	"	Bay Bridge Investigations	100,000	
64	1091	Boys' Aid	15,000	
65	"	Fire House (Cliff House)	30,000	
66	"	Sutro Monument Grounds, Repairs to Stairway	5,000	
67	——	Market Street Railway Valuation and Report	30,000	
68	——	Improvement of Twin Peaks	30,000	
69	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District	150,000	
70	1093	Street Signs	10,000	
71	434	Payment for Rental 333 Kearny Street Lease	13,450	
72	401 CL	Stationery, Postage and Printing	1,800	
72a	——	Aquatic Park Improvements	100,000	
				$3,900,660

Street Reconstruction.

73	1091	Bernal Park and Boulevard Broderick, McAllister to Fulton Chenery, 30th to Diamond Clay, Larkin to Hyde and crossing of Hyde Duboce avenue, Noe to Market, widening Elizabeth, Hoffman to Douglass Eureka, 18th to 21st Folsom, 11th to 14th, surface Fulton, Masonic to Stanyan, widening Green, Polk to Van Ness Hayward street	

Hillway, Carl to Parnassus
Jones, Pine to California
Kearny, Geary to Columbus, surface
Lincoln way, 1st avenue westerly
Miguel, Laidley to Chenery
Pierce, Filbert to Greenwich
Scott, Clay to Jackson
Scott, Fell to Duboce, widening
Seventh avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton
Seventeenth, Douglass to Roosevelt way
Sixth, Harrison to Brannan
Sixteenth, Castro to Flint
Shipley, 4th to Eliza place
Shipley, 5th to 6th
Taylor, Pine to California
Tehama, 8th to 9th

Total $200,745

Bureau of Supplies.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
74	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000	
75	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600	
76	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000	
77	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000	
78	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery	2,700	
79	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
80	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools.....	2,700	
81	"	1 Stenographer File Clerk	1,680	
82	"	2 Tabulators, at $1,680 a year.....	3,360	
83	"	1 Chief Storekeeper	3,900	
		Total Personal Services.....	$36,040	
84	634 BC	Non-Personal (Appropriation 3-B)..	3,300	
85	"	Stationery, printing books, postage (Appropriation 3-C)	10,000	
86	"	Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc. (Appropriation 3-D)...	20,000	
87	"	License tags and blanks (Appropriation 3-E)	6,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$39,300	
		Total Bureau of Supplies.....		$75,340

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

88	404 A	Mayor	$6,000
89	"	Executive Secretary	4,800
90	404 A	Assistant Secretary	4,200
91	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400
92	"	Male Night Clerk	2,100
93	"	Telephone Operator	1,800

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
94	"	Messenger	1,980	
95	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each	4,800	
		Total Personal Services	$34,080	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)		
96	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter)	$3,600	
97	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	8,785	
98	404 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 4-C)	1,215	
99	1090	Purchase of Automobile	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$18,600	
		Total Mayor		**$52,680**

AUDITOR.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)		
100	405 A	Auditor	$8,000	
101	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
102	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each	10,800	
103	"	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760	
104	"	4 Deputies at $2,700 each	10,800	
105	"	1 Deputy	2,580	
106	"	5 Deputies at $2,400 each	12,000	
107	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580	
108	"	1 General Clerk	2,220	
109	"	4 Clerks, at $1,920 a year	7,680	
110	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,220	
111	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099A	2,580	
112	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
113	"	Attorney	3,000	
114	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	6,400	
		Total Personal Services	$82,320	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)		
115	405 B	Contingents	$500	
116	405 CL	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 5-C)	5,400	
		Total Auditor		**$88,220**

TAX COLLECTOR.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)		
117	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000	
118	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
119	408 A	Cashier	3,300	
120	"	Accountant	3,300	
121	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
122	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880	
123	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each	5,160	
124	"	26 Deputies at $2,400 each	62,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
125	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
126	"	Extra Clerical Help	20,000	
127	408 A	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000	
128	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$131,320	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)		
129	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List	$3,700	
130	408 D	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
131	408 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 6-C)	4,500	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$10,360	
		Total Tax Collector		**$141,680**

TREASURER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)

132	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000	
133	"	Chief Deputy	2,880	
134	"	Cashier	5,100	
135	"	Bank and Bond Deputy	3,900	
136	"	1 Deputy	3,900	
137	"	1 Deputy	3,000	
138	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880	
139	"	1 Deputy	3,300	
140	"	Bookkeeper	3,600	
141	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400	
142	"	2 Clerks, at $2,880 each	5,760	
143	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
		Total Personal Services	$46,700	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)		
144	406 K	Non-Personal Services	$200	
145	406 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 7-C)	900	
		Total Treasurer		**$47,800**

ASSESSOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)

146	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000	
147	"	Chief Deputy Assessor	2,400	
148	"	Special Deputy	3,600	
149	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers	4,500	
150	"	Cashier Auditor	2,400	
151	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400	
152	407 AA	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800	
153	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	48,000	
154	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	9,000	
155	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser	2,400	
156	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
157	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100	
158	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
159	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,800	
160	"	Cartographer Temporary	3,000	
161	407 AB	Temporary Asst Deputies—General Clerks at $160 per month for time Employed	98,000	
		Total Personal Services	$251,800	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)		
162	407 AB	Personal and Non-Personal Service for Adjustments of Assessments	$43,400	
163	407 B	Non-Personal Services, Including Autos at $40 a month	6,000	
164	407 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 8-C)	13,500	
		Total Assessor		$314,700

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)		
165	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	$5,000	
166	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400	
167	"	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
168	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each	13,500	
169	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220	
170	"	Typo Operator Mechanic	2,460	
171	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant	2,280	
172	"	2 Voting Machine Adjusters $1,920 each	3,840	
173	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280	
			$59,180	
		ELECTION EXPENSES.		
		Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)		
174	431 A	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9 a day	$2,700	
175	"	Outside Registration	15,000	
176	431 AB	Temporary Clerks, office and petitions	50,000	
177	"	Election Officers	65,000	
		Total Personal Services	$132,700	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)		
178	431 B	Contractual Services	$62,000	
179	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000	
180	431 B	Rental of Polling Places	22,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$234,000	
		Total Elections		$425,880

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
181	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000	
182	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each	22,500	
183	"	1 Assistant	3,600	
184	"	5 Assistants at $3,000 each	15,000	
185	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each	7,200	
186	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk	3,300	
187	"	1 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerk.	2,400	
188	"	6 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680	
189	"	Chief Clerk	3,000	
190	"	Assistant Chief Clerk	2,100	
192	412 A	Bookkeeper	1,800	
193	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
194	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
195	"	Messenger	1,800	
196	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$90,980	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—

(Appropriation 10-B)

197	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500	
198	412 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 10-C)	1,350	
		Total District Attorney		**$99,830**

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10½A)

199	411	Public Defender	$8,000	
200	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800	
201	"	1 Deputy Public Defender	3,600	
202	"	Clerk	1,800	
203	411 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 10½C)	90	
		Total Public Defender		**$21,290**

CITY ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)

204	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000	
205	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200	
206	"	1 Deputy	7,200	
207	"	1 Deputy	6,000	
208	410	1 Assistant	4,200	
209	"	2 Assistants at $3,000 each	6,000	
210	"	1 Assistant	2,700	
211	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200	
212	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$47,600	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—

(Appropriation 11-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
213	410 K	General and Rate Litigation.....	$9,370	
214	410 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 11-C)	630	
		Total City Attorney.....		$57,600

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)

215	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each....	$3,600	
216	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	
217	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
218	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
219	"	Assistant Inspector	2,400	
220	417 A	1 Assistant Secretary	2,400	
221	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
222	"	1 General Clerk	2,100	
223	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,220	
224	"	Examiners and Clerks	8,500	
		Total Personal Services	$33,520	
225	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	$1,250	
226	417 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 12-C)	1,800	
		Total Civil Service Commission		$36,570

COUNTY CLERK.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

227	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
228	"	Chief Registry Clerk.....	3,600	
229	"	Cashier	3,000	
230	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each..	14,400	
231	"	12 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	28,800	
232	"	19 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	45,600	
233	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each	9,600	
234	426	38 Copyists at $2,400 each.....	91,200	
		Total Personal Services	$204,200	
235	426 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 13-B)	150	
236	426 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 13-C)	11,025	
		Total County Clerk.....		$215,375

SHERIFF.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

237	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000
238	"	Cashier	3,300
239	"	Deputy	2,700
240	"	Attorney	1,800

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
241	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper....	3,000	
242	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	36,120	
243	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,364 each.....	139,476	
244	430 A	Stenographer	2,220	
245	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400	
246	430 A	Office Superintendent	3,600	
247	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000	
248	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640	
249	"	Chief Jailer	2,700	
250	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,580	
251	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,580	
252	"	1 Matron	2,400	
253	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,364 each....	16,548	
254	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each.....	4,800	
255	"	2 Cooks at $2,340 each.....	4,680	
256	"	1 Jailer	2,400	
257	"	1 Female Deputy	1,920	
258	"	16 Keepers at $1,920 each.....	30,720	
		Total Personal Services	$279,584	
		Other than Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-B)		
259	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$72,000	
260	557 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 14-C)	2,520	
		Total Sheriff		**$354,104**

RECORDER.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)		
	454 AA	Personal Services—		
261	"	Recorder	$8,000	
262	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
263	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
264	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
265	"	7 Deputies at $2,400 each	16,800	
266	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
267	"	1 Special Copyist	2,580	
268	"	Machinist	2,700	
269	454 AA	Stenographer	2,100	
270	454 AB	24 Copyists at $2,400 each	57,600	
		Total Personal Services	$116,600	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-B)		
271	454 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing..	$5,400	
		Total Recorder		**$122,000**

SUPERIOR COURTS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)		
272	425 A	16 Judges	$80,000	
273	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner..	6,000	
274	425 A	Assistant Secretary	2,400	
275	"	Jury Examiner	2,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
276	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
277	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
278	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each.....	16,800	
279	"	Jury and Witness Fees (Appropriation 16-A-1)	35,000	
280	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses (Appropriation 16-A-2)	8,000	
281	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees) (Appropriation 16-A-3)	30,000	
		Total Personal Services.....	$184,800	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)		
282	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
283	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 16-C)	2,250	
		Total Superior Courts....		**$195,050**

JUSTICES' COURTS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)		
284	424 A	5 Justices of the Peace at $5,400 each	$27,000	
285	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200	
286	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
287	"	Cashier	3,000	
288	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each.....	8,100	
289	"	Messenger	2,700	
290	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
291	"	1 Clerk	2,400	
292	"	2 Clerks at $1,920 each	3,840	
		Total Personal Services.....	$57,240	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-B)		
293	424 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$2,700	
		Total Justices' Courts.....		**$59,940**

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)		
294	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100	
295	"	Matron	1,500	
296	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620	
297	"	Night Superintendent	1,620	
298	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200	
299	"	8 Nurses at $960 each	7,680	
300	"	Cook	1,200	
301	"	1 Orderly	1,620	
302	"	2 Orderlies at $1,200 each.....	2,400	
		Total Personal Services.....	$20,940	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)		
303	558-Var	Maintenance and Subsistence.....	$15,000	
		Total Juvenile Detention Home		**$35,940**

JUVENILE COURT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
304	559 A	Probation Officer	$4,200	
305	"	Chief Assistant Probation Officer	3,300	
306	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Collector	2,880	
307	"	3 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,700 each	8,100	
308	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Cashier-Bookkeeper	2,700	
309	"	12 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	30,240	
310	"	4 Assistant Probation Officers who shall act as Stenographers at $2,220 each	8,880	
311	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Stenographer	1,980	
312	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as File and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$64,380	
313	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B)	3,785	
314	559 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 19-C)	1,215	
		Total Juvenile Court		**$69,380**

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)

315	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$4,200	
316	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer	3,300	
317	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	17,640	
318	5590 A	1 Assistant Cashier and Clerk	2,280	
		Total Personal Services	$27,420	
319	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	250	
320	5590 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 20-C)	450	
		Total Adult Probation Dept.		**$28,120**

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)

321	553 A	Director	$3,000	
322	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
323	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
324	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$13,500	
325	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	640	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
326	553 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 21-C)	360	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau.		**$14,500**

LAW LIBRARY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

327	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
328	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
329	"	Bookbinder	2,400	
		Total Personal Services	$10,200	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-B)

330	427 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$270	
		Total Law Library.....		**$10,470**

POLICE COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

331	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each.....	$14,400	
332	"	4 Stenographers at $3,900 each.....	15,600	
		Total Personal Services	$30,000	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-B)

333	423 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing....	$90	
		Total Police Court.....		**$30,090**

CORONER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

334	429 A	Coroner	$8,000	
335	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
336	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000	
337	429 A	3 Deputies at $2,580 each	7,740	
338	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940	
339	429 A	4 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	9,600	
340	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
341	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100	
342	"	Toxicologist	1,800	
343	"	Relief	800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$44,680	
344	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000	
345	1092	Purchase of Ambulance	5,000	
346	429 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 24-C)	900	
		Total Coroner		**$53,580**

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
347	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	
348	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
349	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$24,300	
350	460 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 25-B)	1,500	
351	1092	Purchase of Automobiles	3,000	
352	460 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 25-C)	270	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		**$29,070**

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½A)

353	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner	$4,800	
354	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8.00 a day	4,800	
355	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$11,400	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-B)

356	464 A	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
357	464 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 25½-C)	135	
		Total Horticultural Commission		**$13,095**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Commissioners and General Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

358	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000
359	414 A	Chief Deputy Commissioner	4,200
360	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
361	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
362	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400
363	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400
364	"	Stenographer	2,400
365	"	Stenographer	2,400
366	"	Chauffeur	2,400
367	"	Messenger	2,280
368	"	General Clerk	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$39,780

Bureau of Accounting.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

369	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge	$4,300
370	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper	3,120

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
371	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000	
372	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 a year	28,800	
373	417 A	2 Experienced Clerks at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
374	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300	
375	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier	3,300	
376	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
377	"	Clerk	2,700	
378	"	3 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	6,300	
379	"	5 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	9,900	
380	"	Inspector of House Numbers	2,700	
		Total Personal Services	$73,420	

Bureau of Architecture.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

381	416 A	Superintendent of Construction	$4,200	
382	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000	
383	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700	
384	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200	
385	"	Stenographer	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$13,200	

Bureau of Building Repair.

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

386	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
387	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,600	
388	"	Superintendent of Painters	3,360	
389	432 AA	Foreman Plumber	3,300	
390	"	Foreman Cement Finisher	3,300	
391	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,300	
392	"	Foreman Steamfitter	3,300	
393	"	Foreman Tinner	3,300	
394	432 AA	Foreman Wireman	3,300	
395	"	Head Janitor	3,120	
396	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320	
397	"	Night Foreman, Janitor	2,160	
398	"	57 Janitors, at $1,860 a year	106,020	
399	432 AA	4 Window Cleaners, at $2,040 each a year	8,160	
400	"	Foreman Window Cleaner	2,220	
401	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation	3,765	
402	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall	2,160	
403	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,860 a year	31,620	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
404	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year..	3,720	
405	432 AB	Relief and Vacation, all Departments	1,840	
406	432 AA	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
407	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,640 a year	13,200	
408	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,640	
409	"	5 Firemen at $2,220 each a year...	11,100	
410	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation.....	435	
		Total Personal Services.....	$234,640	
		Employment for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings (Appropriation 29½-A).		
411	432 A	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day....	$13,500	
412	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day....	3,000	
413	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day.....	2,100	
414	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650	
415	"	6 Plumbers, at $10 per day.....	18,000	
416	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10 per day.....	6,000	
417	"	6 Painters, at $9 per day.....	16,200	
418	"	Foreman Painter, at $10 per day....	3,000	
419	"	Painters, Shop Men, $7 per day.....	2,100	
420	432 AA	Painters' Apprentice, at $6 per day..	1,800	
421	"	2 Tinners, $9.50 per day.....	5,700	
422	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day...	1,950	
423	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day....	1,200	
424	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day....	2,700	
425	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day	2,400	
426	"	Glazier, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
427	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day.....	2,100	
428	"	Wireman, at $9 per day.....	2,700	
428a	"	Miscellaneous Labor	500	
428b	"	Reimbursements	500	
		Total Personal Services.....	$89,800	
		Non-Personal Services—		
		(Appropriation 29-B and C)		
429	432 B	Contractual Services	$3,600	
430	432 BA	Transportation Autos Rental at $40 per month	2,500	
431	432 B4A	Transportation Carfare.....	600	
432	432 C	Materials and Supplies.....	19,000	
433	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	180	
		SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.		
434	432 BC	Towel Service	2,575	
435	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900	
		MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.		
436	432 CB	Fuel Oil	16,000	
437	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	6,000	
438	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$56,355	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
439	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	45,000	
440	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	10,000	

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)

441	4142 AC	Experienced Clerk	$2,700
442	"	Watchman	2,640
443	"	Watchman	2,340
444	"	Watchman	1,980
445	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year	3,720
446	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,920 each a year	3,840
447	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000
448	"	Machinist, at $9 a day	2,700
449	"	2 Blacksmiths, at $9 a day	5,400
450	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 a day	6,372
451	"	Vulcanizer, at $7 a day	2,100
452	"	Carriage Painter, at $8.50 a day	2,550
453	"	Painter's Apprentice, at $5.50 a day	1,650
454	4142 AC	2 Laborers, 365 days, at $6 a day	4,380
455	"	2 Laborers, at $6 a day	3,600
		Total Personal Services	$48,972

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

456	4142 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	$1,000
457	4142 B 4a	Single team, at $10.75 per day	3,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$4,000

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

458	455 A	Chief Engineer Building Inspection	$7,500
459	"	1 Inspector	3,300
460	"	1 Inspector	3,000
461	455 A	Boiler Inspector	3,000
462	"	2 Experienced Clerks, at $2,700 each	5,400
463	"	1 Inspector	2,550
464	"	10 Inspectors, at $2,700 a year	27,000
465	"	Messenger	1,560
466	"	2 Engineers, at $3,600 each	7,200
		Total Personal Services	$60,510

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

467	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000
468	"	Assistant City Engineer	4,800
469	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $3,300 a year	6,600
470	"	Surveyor	3,600
471	"	2 Surveyors at $3,300 a year	6,600
472	"	6 Surveyors at $3,000 a year	18,000
473	"	2 Field Assistants at $3,000 a year	6,000
474	"	19 Field Assistants at $2,700 a year	51,300

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
475	"	Draftsman	3,600	
476	"	Draftsman	3,480	
477	"	2 Draftsmen at $3,300 a year	6,600	
478	"	5 Draftsmen at $3,000 a year	15,000	
479	"	4 Draftsmen at $2,880 a year	11,520	
480	"	2 Draftsmen at $2,700 a year	5,400	
481	"	Inspector	3,300	
482	"	Inspector	3,000	
483	"	4 Inspectors at $2,700 a year	10,800	
484	"	Chemist	3,600	
485	"	Photographer	2,880	
486	"	Blueprinter	2,400	
487	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 a year	4,200	
488	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
489	"	H. and P. Engineer (Seasonal) at $9.50 per day	1,000	
		Total Personal Services	$181,080	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)		
490	415 B	Non-personal Services	$8,000	
491	"	Block Books (Appropriation 32-C)	4,000	
		Total Engineer's Department	$193,080	

Street Repair Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

492	527 AA	Superinendent	$4,500	
493	"	Assist. Superintendent	3,900	
494	"	2 Assist. Superintendents at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
495	"	Experienced Clerk	3,000	
496	"	Stenographer, 4 months	600	
		MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.		
		(Appropriation 33-B)		
497	527 A	Engineer	$2,640	
498	"	2 Firemen at $2,220 a year	4,440	
499	527 A	Foreman at $10 a day	3,000	
500	"	Machinist at $9 a day	2,700	
501	"	Asphalt Dryerman at $8.50 a day	2,550	
502	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen at $8.50 a day	5,100	
503	"	Asphalt Mixerman at $9.00 a day	2,700	
504	"	2 Asphalt Finishers at $8 a day	4,800	
505	"	3 Asphalt Workers at $7.50 a day	6,750	
506	"	Telephone Operator	1,620	
		SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.		
507	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher at $9 a day	1,350	
508	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers at $8 a day	2,400	
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.		
509	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters at $9.50 a day	5,320	
510	"	5 Laborers at $6 a day	8,400	
		BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—200 DAYS.		
511	527 AC	Foreman at $9 a day	1,800	
512	"	6 Pavers at $8 a day	9,600	
513	"	3 Rammers at $7 a day	4,200	
514	"	10 Laborers at $6 a day	12,000	

Non-Personal Services—

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
515	527	Contractual	$35,000	
516	"	Materials and Supplies	10,000	
			$143,770	

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)

517	527 A	9 Engineers, at $2,640 each a year	$23,760
518	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,640 each a year	5,280
519	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,860 each a year	18,600
520	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,860 a year	3,720
521	"	1 Carpenter, at $9 per day	2,700
522	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day	1,950
		Total Personal Services	$56,010

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)

523	527 B	Contractual	$1,000
524	527 C	Material and Supplies	1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$2,000

Street Cleaning Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A)

525	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
526	"	3 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 a year	8,100
527	"	1 Experienced Clerk	3,000

(Appropriation 35-A-1)

528	502 AA	6 Watchmen at $1,860 each a year	$11,160
529	502 AC	220 Sweepers at $6 per day	396,000
530	"	25 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per day	56,250
531	"	14 Gang Foremen at $7 per day	29,400
532	"	1 Night Gang Foreman	2,250
			$510,360

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-B)

533	502 BA	Transportation	$1,500
534	465	10 Double Teams, Street Cleaning, at $11.50 per day	34,500
535	502 BL	Water	3,500
536	502 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment	10,000
537	502 C	Material and Supplies	20,000
538	1092	Street Cans	1,200
			$70,700

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

539	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
540	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
541	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700	
542	501 AC	Asst Superintendent in Charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550	
		(Appropriation 36-A-1)		
543	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day	16,500	
544	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day	24,300	
545	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per day	6,750	
546	"	25 Sewermen at $7 per day	52,500	
547	"	21 Laborers at $6 per day	37,800	
548	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8.50 per day	45,900	
		Total Personal Services	$196,200	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-B)		
549	B	Repairs to equipment	$2,500	
550	C	Materials and Supplies	26,000	
551	501 AC	3 Double Teams, Sewer Repair, at $11.50 per day	10,350	
552	501 BN	Rental 7 1½-ton Trucks, at $12 per day	25,200	
553	501 BN	Rental 1 3-ton Truck, at $20 per day	6,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$70,050	
		Sewage Pumping Station.		
		Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)		
554	501 AA	Watchman in Charge	$2,160	
555	501 AB	Relief and Vacation	90	
		Total Personal Services	$2,250	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)		
556	501 BH	Power	$400	
557	501 C	Materials and Supplies	500	
558	"	Repairs and Equipment	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$1,900	
		MISCELLANEOUS. (Appropriation 38-A)		
559	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200	
560	501 BM	Rental 16 Autos, at $40 per month.	7,680	
561	501 BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	3,000	
562	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment.....	2,000	
563	501 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (all Departments) (Appropriation 38-B)	12,000	
			$25,880	
		Total Board of Works		$1,947,877
		DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.		
		Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)		
564	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000	
565	"	Secretary	3,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
566	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
567	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100	
568	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800	
569	"	Chief Inspector	3,300	
570	"	20 Inspectors at $3,000 each	60,000	
571	"	Chief Operator	3,000	
572	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600	
573	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200	
574	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750	
575	"	Superintendent of Plant	3,600	
576	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9.50 per diem	2,850	
577	"	Batteryman	2,880	
578	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000	
579	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each	13,500	
580	"	Foreman Laborer	2,340	
581	"	3 Laborers at $6 per diem	5,400	
582	"	2 Machinists at $2,700 each	5,400	
583	"	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,880 each	8,640	
584	"	14 Linemen at $2,580 each	36,120	
585	"	Inside Wireman at $9 per diem	2,700	
586	"	Commissary	2,100	
587	"	Repairer	2,640	
588	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each	4,560	
		Total Personal Services	$206,180	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)		
587	465 Var	Material, Supplies and Equipment, Stationery, Postage and Printing, Underground Conduit System	$30,000	
		Total Department of Electricity		**$236,180**

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)		
588	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
589	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary	4,500	
590	"	Physician	2,820	
591	"	Stenographer	2,580	
592	452	Stenographer Clerk	1,800	
593	"	Chief Engineer	7,200	
594	"	2 First Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
595	"	2 Second Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
596	"	21 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	88,200	
597	"	26 Operators at $2,520 a year	65,520	
598	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440	
599	"	Fire Prevention 7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	15,120	
			$213,180	
		ENGINE COMPANIES.		
600	452 AA	48 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$135,360	
601	"	92 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	245,640	
602	"	26 Engineers at $2,640 each a year	68,640	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
603	"	92 Drivers at $2,400 each a year..	220,800	
604	"	340 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	816,000	
605	"	51 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year..	116,280	
606	"	45 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year..	97,200	
607		Automatic increase in salaries....	4,335	
			$1,704,255	
		CHEMICAL COMPANIES.		
608	452 AA	11 Captains at $2,820 each a year...	$31,020	
609	"	12 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	32,040	
610	"	22 Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	52,800	
611	"	15 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year...	36,000	
612	"	5 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year...	11,400	
613	"	10 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year...	21,600	
614		Automatic increase in salaries.....	930	
			$185,790	
		TRUCK COMPANIES.		
615	452 AA	15 Captains at $2,820 each a year..	$42,300	
616	"	23 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	61,410	
617	"	27 Drivers at $2,400 each a year...	64,800	
618	"	1 Driver	2,280	
619	"	28 Tillermen at $2,400 each a year.	67,200	
620	"	139 Truckmen at $2,400 each a year.	333,600	
621	"	8 Truckmen at $2,280 each a year.	18,240	
622	"	11 Truckmen at $2,160 each a year.	23,760	
623		Automatic increase in salaries.....	660	
			$614,250	
		WATER TOWER COMPANIES.		
624	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
625	"	2 Lieutenants at $2,670.....	5,340	
626	452 AA	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year...	4,800	
627	452 AA	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year...	7,200	
628	"	7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year...	15,120	
			$35,280	
		RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.		
629	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
630	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
631	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	4,800	
632	"	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	7,200	
633	"	2 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year...	4,560	
634	"	Automatic increase	210	
			$22,260	
		PUMPING STATIONS.		
635	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720	
636	"	5 Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800	
637	"	7 Firemen at $2,340 each a year...	16,380	
638	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805	
639	"	Vacation Firemen	685	
			$38,390	
		DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.		
640	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
641	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880	
642	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman	2,730	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
643	"	11 Gatemen Hydrantmen at $2,580 each a year	28,380	
644	452 AC	1 Calker at $7.50 per day	2,250	
645	"	3 Laborers, at $6 per day each	5,400	
			$45,840	
		CORPORATION YARD.		
646	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
647	"	Clerk and Commissary	2,400	
648	"	Bookkeeper	2,400	
649	"	3 Watchmen, at $2,040 each a year	6,120	
650	452 A	1 Drayman	2,220	
651	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
652	"	Batteryman	2,700	
653	"	1 General Foreman	3,300	
654	452 AC	12 Machinists, at $9 per day	32,400	
655	"	5 Machinists' Helpers, at $6.58 per day	9,870	
656	"	4 Blacksmiths, at $9 per day	10,800	
657	"	4 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 per day	8,496	
658	"	2 Woodworkers, at $9 per day	5,400	
659	"	1 Brass Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
660	"	1 Boilermaker, at $9 per day	2,700	
661	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper, at $6.58 per day	1,974	
662	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter, at $9.50 per day	2,850	
663	"	2 Carriage Painters, at $9 per day	5,400	
664	"	1 Leather Worker, at $8.50 per day	2,550	
			$110,280	
665	452 AC	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item (Appropriation 41-A-1)	$86,430	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)

666	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$150,000	
667	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings (Appropriation 41-C)	90,000	
668	452 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 41-D)	2,250	
669	1092	Equipment (Appropriation 41-E)	90,000	
			$332,250	
		Total Fire Department		$3,388,205

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)

670	451 AA	4 Commissioners, at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
671	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
672	"	Confidential Clerk	2,400	
673	"	Stenographer	3,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
674	"	Chief of Police	7,200	
675	"	Chief Clerk	3,600	
676	"	1 Property Clerk	3,600	
677	"	Stenographer	1,800	
678	"	Physician	2,400	
679	"	6 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	10,800	
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.		
680	"	Captain of Detectives	$5,000	
681	"	6 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	18,000	
682	"	68 Detective Sergeants, at $2,760 a year	187,680	
683	"	Stenographer	2,760	
684	"	Photographer	2,700	
685	"	3 Women Protective Officers, at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
		UNIFORM FORCE.		
681	"	Captain of Traffic	$4,000	
687	"	14 Captains, at $3,600 each a year	50,400	
688	"	35 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	105,000	
689	"	88 Sergeants, at $2,640 each a year	232,320	
690	"	119 Corporals, at $2,580 each a year	307,020	
691	"	950 Patrolmen, at $2,400 each a year	2,280,000	
692	451 AA	27 Patrol Drivers, at $2,400 each a year	64,800	
693	"	10 Hostlers, at $2,160 each a year	21,600	
694	"	4 Matrons, at $2,100 each a year	8,400	
695	"	Matron's Relief	1,312	
696	"	Cook	2,340	
697	451 AA	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
698	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760	
699	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760	
700	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760	
		Total Personal Services	$3,359,212	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)		
701	451 B	Contractual Service	$25,000	
702	451 C	Material and Supplies	50,000	
703	1092	Equipment Teletype, Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-D)	52,500	
704	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
705	451 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 42-E)	12,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$148,500	
		Total Police Department		$3,507,712

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)

706	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000
707	"	Chief Clerk	4,200

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
708	"	Stenographer	2,580	
709	"	3 Stenographers, at $2,280 a year	6,840	
710	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560	
711	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800	
712	"	2 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
713	476AB	Relief Telephone Operator	400	
		ACCOUNTING.		
714	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200	
715	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560	
		STATISTICS.		
716	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600	
717	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280	
718	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280	
719	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500	
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.		
720	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector	$2,700	
721	"	7 Veterinarians, at $2,400 each a year	16,800	
722	"	16 Market Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	38,400	
		OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.		
723	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	$10,800	
724	"	1 Disinfector	2,400	
725	"	1 Disinfector	2,220	
726	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.	1,200	
		CLINICS.		
727	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000	
728	"	Psychologist	1,800	
729	"	2 Assistant Psychologists at $1,500 each	3,000	
730	"	Assistant Psychologist	900	
731	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200	
732	"	Psychologist	600	
733	"	Social Service Worker	1,800	
734	"	Physician	2,100	
735	"	Janitress	1,500	
		BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.		
736	476 AA	Director of Laboratories	$3,600	
737	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000	
738	"	2 Bacteriologists, at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
739	"	1 Bacteriologist	2,100	
740	"	Technician	1,500	
741	"	Clerk	2,280	
742	"	2 Helpers at $720 each a year	1,440	

Conservation of Child Life.

SCHOOL INSPECTION.

743	476 AA	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000	
744	"	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
745	"	4 School Inspectors (part time) at $1,800 each a year	7,200	
746	"	Optometrist	1,500	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		DENTAL.		
747	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000	
748	"	3 Dental Hygienists at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
749	"	11 Dentists at $1,200 each a year	13,200	
750	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600	
		CHILD WELFARE.		
751	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000	
752	"	Pediatrician	480	
753	"	Pediatrician	240	
754	"	Physician	1,500	
		Food Inspection.		
		MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.		
755	476 AA	2 Veterinarians at $3,600 each a year	$7,200	
756	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000	
		OTHER FOOD CONTROL.		
757	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	3,600	
758	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	16,800	
		CHEMICAL LABORATORY.		
759	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000	
760	"	Chemist	2,700	
761	"	Technician	1,080	
		Protection of Life and Property.		
		PLUMBING INSPECTION.		
762	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector	$3,600	
763	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors, at $2,820 each a year	16,920	
		HOUSING INSPECTION.		
764	457 A	Tenement House Inspector	$3,000	
765	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
766	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	6,300	
		FACTORY INSPECTION.		
767	458 A	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	
768	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980	
		REFUSE COLLECTION.		
769	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each	$4,200	
		CHARITIES AND RELIEF.		
770	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year	$7,200	
771	"	1 City Physician	3,000	
		FIELD NURSING.		
772	476 A	Director	$3,600	
773	"	2 Supervisors School Medical Inspection at $2,280 each	4,560	
774	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280	
775	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280	
776	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau	4,560	

Nurses.

TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
777	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year.....	$15,840	
778	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800	
		SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.		
779	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year...	$59,400	
780	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100	
		CHILD WELFARE.		
781	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes	$1,980	
782	"	10 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year.....	19,800	
		SOCIAL SERVICE.		
783	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980	
784	"	4 Social Service Workers at $1,980.	7,920	
785	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620	
		TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.		
786	476 A	Director	$4,200	
787	"	Physician	600	
788	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020	
789	"	Cook—Michael Angelo School.....	720	
		Total Personal Services.....	$436,900	
790	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	$6,250	
791	"	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	14,000	
		RAT EXTERMINATION.		
		(Appropriation 43-D)		
792	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280	
793	476 B	Carfare	225	
		Non-Personal—		
794	476 C	Material and Supplies.....	525	
795	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-E)	33,850	
796	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment (Appropriation 43-F)	50,000	
797	476 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 43-G)	15,800	
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$125,930	

Laguna Honda Home.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)

798	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000
799	"	Clerk	3,000
800	"	Stenographer	2,280
801	"	Telephone Operator	900
802	"	Watchman	1,500
803	"	Physician	2,400
804	"	Physician	1,800
805	"	Pharmacist	2,400
806	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800
807	"	20 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	24,000
808	"	1 Practical Nurse	840
809	"	4 Practical Nurses at $600 each.....	2,400

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
810	"	2 Vocational Therapeutic Nurses at $1,500 each	3,000	
811	"	Dietician	1,500	
812	"	Orderly	720	
813	"	Orderlies as required	16,740	
814	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation	100	
815	"	Commissary	2,400	
816	"	Laundryman	2,268	
817	"	Laundress	1,524	
818	552 AA	Laundryman	600	
819	"	Chief Steward	2,400	
820	"	3 Stewards, at $1,680 each a year	5,040	
821	"	1 Steward	1,380	
822	"	Head Matron	2,400	
823	"	Matron	1,020	
824	"	Seamstress	1,380	
825	"	Seamstress	1,080	
826	"	Practical Nurse	1,080	
826a	"	4 Wardmen at $480 each a year	1,920	
827	"	Chief Cook	2,280	
828	"	Cook	2,100	
829	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940	
830	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
831	"	Butcher	2,520	
832	"	Butcher, Relief	105	
833	"	Baker	1,980	
833a	552 AA	Dining Room Steward	1,680	
834	"	Farmer	1,920	
835	"	Farmer	1,800	
836	"	Engineer	2,640	
837	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,520 each a year	5,280	
838	"	Driver	1,800	
839	552 AA	Gardener	1,800	
840	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900	
841	"	Inmate Help	28,484	
		Total Personal Services	$159,501	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)		
842	552 B	Contractual Services	6,000	
843	552 C	Materials and Supplies	284,750	
844	"	Equipment	10,000	
			$300,750	

Isolation Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)

845	554 AA	Superintendent	$2,400	
846	"	Office Attendant	840	
847	"	Watchman	1,740	
848	"	Night Watchman	1,320	
849	554 AC	Relief Night Watchman	207	
850	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $540 each	1,620	
851	"	Resident Physician	4,200	
852	"	House Officer	300	
853	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year	240	
854	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
855	"	Practical Nurse	600	
856	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600	
857	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year	2,160	
858	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160	
859	554 AA	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152	
860	"	Seamstress	1,080	
861	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200	
862	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,240	
863	"	7 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,780	
864	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460	
865	544 AA	Cook	2,100	
866	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175	
867	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720	
868	"	3 Kitchen Helpers at $540 each a year	1,620	
869	"	Dishwasher	540	
870	"	Waitress	1,140	
871	554 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45	
872	554 AA	Gardener	1,200	
		Total Personal Services	$55,239	

San Francisco Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

873	554 AA	Superintendent	$6,000
874	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700
875	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B.	2,400
876	"	Clerk	3,000
877	"	Stenographer	1,920
878	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each	1,800
879	"	Statistician	2,280
880	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200
881	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year	2,400
882	"	Office Attendant, T. B.	900
883	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600
884	"	Night Telephone Operator	1,080
885	"	Night Telephone Operator	840
886	"	Telephone Operator	1,500
887	"	Watchman	1,620
888	"	Watchman, T. B.	1,320
889	"	Watchman	720
890	"	Teamster	2,040
891	"	Messenger	540
892	"	Institutional Help	3,000
893	"	Resident Physician	1,500
894	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600
895	"	30 Internes at $120 a year	3,600
896	"	Pharmacist	3,000
897	"	Assistant Pharmacist	2,700
898	"	Stockman	900
899	"	Institutional Help	480
900	"	Dentist	1,200
901	"	Radiologist	3,300
902	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800
903	"	2 X-Ray Technicians at $1,200 each	2,400
904	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,200
905	"	Operating Room Nurse	2,100

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
906	"	Asst. Operating Room Nurse.....	1,320	
907	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
908	"	Anaesthetist	1,800	
909	"	2 Anaesthetists at $1,500 each.....	3,000	
910	"	Plaster Cast Maker	900	
911	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year	3,240	
912	"	Superintendent of Nurses.....	3,000	
913	"	3 Asst. Supt of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
914	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T B.....	1,380	
915	"	Supervisor of Admissions.....	1,380	
916	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year.....	6,000	
917	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500	
918	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900	
919	"	Assistant Practical Nurse	720	
920	"	76 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year.....	91,200	
921	554 AA	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each	2,640	
922	"	Practical Nurses	18,000	
923	554 AB	Orderlies	30,000	
924	"	Orderlies, T. B.	1,450	
925	"	Office Assistant Supt of Nurses.....	540	
926	"	Medical Advisor	1,500	
927	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000	
928	"	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500	
929	"	4 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	4,800	
930	"	2 Practical Nurses at $600 each a year	1,200	
931	"	2 Practical Nurses at $540 each....	1,080	
932	"	Porter	540	
933	"	Pantrymaid	540	
934	"	4 Orderlies at $600 each a year.....	2,400	
935	"	Orderly	540	
936	"	Commissary	3,600	
937	"	Storekeeper	1,800	
938	"	5 Storekeeper Helpers	2,000	
		LAUNDRY.		
939	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300	
940	"	Washer	1,830	
941	"	Wringer	1,636	
942	"	Starcher	1,416	
943	"	Washer's Helper	1,380	
944	"	5 Ironers at $1,176 each a year.....	5,880	
945	"	3 Ironers at $1,128 each a year....	3,384	
946	"	4 Dry Room Workers at $1,104 each a year	4,416	
947	"	Deliveryman	480	
948	"	Deliveryman	540	
949	554 AA	Marker	1,524	
950	"	Sorter	1,524	
951	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116	
952	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
953	"	13 Mangle Hands at $1,020 each a year	13,260	
954	"	2 Porters	1,020	
955	"	Seamstress	540	
		HOUSEKEEPING.		
956	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,320	
957	"	4 Seamstresses at $660 each	2,640	
958	"	Surgery Porter	900	
959	"	Lockerman	600	
960	"	Morgueman	600	
961	"	Morgueman	540	
962	"	Mattress Maker	600	
963	"	Incineratorman	540	
964	"	Sterilizerman	600	
965	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000	
966	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080	
967	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600	
968	"	Window Washer	600	
969	"	Window Washer	720	
970	"	25 Wardmen	15,000	
971	554 AA	40 Porters	19,200	
972	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500	
		CULINARY.		
973	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280	
974	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100	
975	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100	
976	"	5 Cooks at $1,980 each	9,900	
977	"	Night Cook	1,380	
978	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100	
979	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500	
980	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
981	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,320 each a year	21,120	
982	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000	
		POWER PLANT.		
983	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,600	
		MAINTENANCE.		
984	"	4 Engineers at $2,520 each a year	10,560	
985	"	3 Firemen at $2,220 each a year	6,660	
986	554AB	Firemen Relief	2,220	
987	554 AA	Helper	540	
988	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year	5,700	
989	"	Gardener	1,680	
990	"	Assistant Gardener	720	
991	"	7 Yardmen	4,200	
992	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,500	
		Total Personal Services	$489,160	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)

993	554 B	Contractual	$55,000	
994	554 C	Materials and Supplies	155,000	
995	554 CO	Foodstuff	265,000	
996	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225	
997	1092	Equipment	10,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$487,225	

Emergency Hospitals.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
998	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000	
999	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700	
1000	"	Chief Steward	3,000	
1001	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1002	"	10 Surgeons at $2,400 each a year	24,000	
1003	"	23 Stewards at $2,400 each a year	55,200	
1004	"	13 Nurses at $1,980 each a year	25,740	
1005	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1006	"	16 Ambulance Drivers at $2,400 each a year	38,400	
1007	"	Messenger	1,200	
1008	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	
1009	5541 AB	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1010	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	$167,640	
1011	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	6,750	
1012	5541 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	18,250	
1013	5541 H	Fixed Charges (Appropriation 47-C)	500	
		Total	$25,500	
		Total Board of Health		$2,247,845
		CHARTER FUNDS AND STATE LAWS.		
1014	612	For Playground Fund, .07 in tax rate (Appropriation 48)		$560,000
1015	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 49)		$800,000
1016	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 50)		$4,444,684
1017	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 51)		$430,000
1018	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 52)		$300,000
1019	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 53)		$519,230
1020	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 54)		$160,000
1021	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 55)		$17,000
1022	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Appropriation 56)		$50,000
1023	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 57)		$218,735
1024	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 58)		$70,000
1025	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 59)		$70,000
		TOTAL BUDGET		$25,765,932

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Appropriation, $850, Payment to John J. Dailey.

Resolution No. 29070 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $850 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund in payment to John J. Dailey; being payment for legal services in the matter of evaluation of San Francisco electric properties for the month of May, 1928.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Appropriation, $1,000, for Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.

Resolution No. 29101 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, and authorized credited to Appropriation 46-A, San Francisco Hospital, for the employment of ten additional nurses in the San Francisco Hospital for the month of May, 1928.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $73,533.58, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Urgent Necessity.

California School for the Deaf and the Blind, shoes, etc., for Edward Brown, committed from San Francisco, $8.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

The following bill was, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, *referred to the City Planning Committee*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Twenty-eighth Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title to which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Twenty-eighth street between Guerrero street and San Jose avenue, for a distance of 79 feet 9 inches along Guerrero street and a distance of 85 feet 11 inches along San Jose avenue in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters, on recommendation of the Finance Committee, were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Aquarium for month of May, 1928 (claim dated June 4, 1928), $4,683.80.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity).

(2) Ducommon Corporation, aluminal and steel for Department of Electricity (claim dated April 30, 1928), $521.78.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(3) Board of Public Works, Budget Item 555, reimbursement to Sewer Repair Department for cost of operating compressor on side sewer trenches during July, August and September, 1927 (claim dated May 21, 1928), $536.37.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(4) The Chares Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated May 24, 1928), $631.85.

(5) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 24, 1928), $522.

(6) United Lumber Rubber Co., rubber boots, etc. (claim dated May 24, 1928), $865.83.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) O. Monson, construction of Portola Junior High School, extra work (claim dated May 25, 1928), $6,548.85.

(8) American Painting and Decorating Co., final payment, painting of Portola Junior High School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,050.68.

(9) R. W. Moller, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School, extra work (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,026.34.

(10) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural service for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $682.15.

(11) D. Zelinsky & Sons, acceptance payment, painting of Sherman School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,775.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(12) Eaton & Smith, second payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated May 28, 1928), $3,015.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(13) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, construction of Duboce avenue Sunset tunnel, extra work providing drains (claim dated May 31, 1928), $670.40.

Special School Tax.

(14) Alta Electric Co., third payment, electric work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,012.50.

(15) Frank Reilly, fifth payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $12,122.63.

(16) Thos. Skelly, third payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $986.24.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(17) Mission Florist, flowers, ferns, etc., furnished for account of San Francisco's exhibit at the San Jose Rose Festival, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 24, 1928), $686.

(18) Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor, for payment of Music Week expenses, per attached vouchers (claim dated June 4, 1928), $2,500.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(19) Buckley & Curtin, 2000 traffic citation books for Police Department (claim dated May 28, 1928), $640.

(20) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated June 1, 1928), $750.

(21) California Meat Co., meat for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1928), $601.65.

(22) Edw. P. McAuliffe, coffee and oil for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1928) $618.80.

(23) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1928), $716.02.

(24) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated May 17 1928), $719.73.

(25) James Rolph, Jr., expense to and from Washington, D. C., in matter of application of the City and County of San Francisco for permit to construct transbay bridge (claim dated April 9, 1928), $1,144.68.

(26) Electric Corporation, materials for Department of Electricity (claim dated April 30, 1928), $560.28.

(27) San Francisco Society for the Pevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated June 4, 1928), $1,250.

(28) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 4, 1928), $1,669.50.

(29) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidental expense (claim dated June 4, 1928), $523.75.

(30) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated May 25, 1928), $724.31.

(31) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 25, 1928), $1,319.92.

(32) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sewer repair (claim dated May 25, 1928), $726.45.

(33) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., June room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated May 30, 1928), $3,500.

(34) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $620.

(35) H. K. Mulford Co., drug sup-

plies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $561.09.

(36) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated May 25, 1928), $1,013.50.

(37) Edw. P. McAuliffe, coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 25, 1928), $590.

Appropriation, $89,434, Additions and Alterations, Jefferson School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the cost of constructing the additions and alterations to the Jefferson School, to be erected on the east side of Nineteenth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, to-wit:

General construction (Meyer Bros. contract), $63,564.

Mechanical equipment (Scott Co. contract), $8,335.

Plumbing and gas-fitting (Thos. Skelly contract), $4,360.

Electrical work (Newberry-Pearce Elec. Co. contract), $5,300.

Painting work (H. Ankers contract), $2,875.

Extras, incidentals and inspection, $5,000.

Total, $89,434.

Appropriation, $26,200, Sewers in Wawona Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $26,200 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, for the cost of constructing sewers and appurtenances in Wawona street between Twenty-fifth and Thirty-fourth avenues.

Contract, Frank J. McHugh, $24,268.

Engineering, inspection and extras, $1,932.

Appropriation, $1,858.72, Repair Dome of City Hall.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,858.72 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, for roof repairs on the dome of the City Hall.

To the credit of Budget Item No. 411, $239.50.

To the credit of Budget Item No. 433, $1,617.22.

Appropriation for Improvement of Laguna Honda Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the improvement of Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly, to-wit:

From the County Road Fund the sum of $15,743.

From "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, $16,257.

Appropriation Out of County Road Fund for Various Street Improvements.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Forty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, $1,200.

(2) For the improvement of Otsego avenue between Onondaga and Seneca avenues, $6,794.

(3) For miscellaneous street improvements, under direction of the Board of Public Works, $5,465.76.

(4) For the paving of Castro street between Market and Sixteenth streets, additional to $7,020, $8,980.

(5) For the improvement of Vermont street from Twentieth to Twenty-second streets, being for City's assessment and contribution, $20,000.

Clerk to Advertise Sale of Boulevard and Bernal Cut Bonds.

Also, Resolution No. 29071 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that on the 9th day of July, 1928, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

$2,500,000 4½ Boulevard Bonds, issue of November 1, 1927, comprising 125 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1932 to 1951, inclusive.

$700,000 4½ per cent Bernal Cut Bonds, issue of July 1, 1927, comprising 35 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1932 to 1951.

The Finance Committee shall fix the terms and conditions of sale.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano,

Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Appropriation, $304.78, Plumbing at Juvenile Detention Home.

Also, Resolution No. 29072 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $304.78 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, and credited to the hereinafter designated budget items, for the cost of plumbing work required at the Juvenile Detention Home, to-wit:

To the credit of Budget Item No. 411, $273.50.

To the credit of Budget Item No. 433, $31.28.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Williams Avenue and Ramsell Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29073 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on Williams avenue and Newhall street, $400.

(2) For the improvement of Ramsell street between the center line of Stanley street and a point 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Stanley street, $325.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for the Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29074 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the extension of Nineteenth street, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted:

Anglo American Land Company, $300—Portion of Lot No. 56 in Block 2702, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Widening Silver Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29075 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Silver avenue, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Thomas Horn, $1—Portion of Lot 19, as per map "Plat of the Silver Terrace," recorded in Map Book "I", page 78, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

As a further consideration the street work on Silver avenue in front of the remaining property of Thomas Horn will be done at the sole expense of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy,

Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Also, Resolution No. 29076 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Silver avenue, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Carl Stange, $1,256—Portions of Lots 12 and 19, as shown on map entitled "Plat of the Silver Terrace," recorded in Map Book "1," page 78, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden. Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29077 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

John Martorella and Antonietta Martorella, $4,770—

Parcel 1. Portions of Lots Nos. 2, 3 and 4 in Block 7032, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lot 5A in Block 7029, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Max Grace, $2,500—All of Lots Nos. 7 and 8 in Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Also, Resolution No. 29078 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Pietro Magri and Agnese Magri, $1,591—Portion of Lot No. 18, Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Antonio Pescie and Louisa Pescie, $1,196—Portion of Lot 17A, Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Paul Nagel and Jeanne Nagel, $2,110—Portion of Lot No. 3, Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now partially located on the above described parcel, said improvements to be relocated by the said Paul Nagel and Jeanne Nagel within thirty (30) days from date of notice by the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $125 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 10x25 feet in the rear of the remaining property of Paul Nagel and Jeanne Nagel adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and Paul Nagel and Jeanne Nagel hereby agree to pay the said sum of $125 for the same, and this offer is contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the said sum of $125 the above mentioned parcel of land.

Joseph Fregosi and Virginia Fregosi, $1,200—Portion of Lot No. 4,

Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Gabe F. Jiesta and Stella Jiesta, $380—Portion of Lot No. 20, Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Josephine T. Depaoli and Louis Depaoli, $750—Portion of Lot No. 2, Block 6786A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Also, Resolution No. 29079 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Daniel J. Hagan, $23—Portion of Lot 216 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29080 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

G. B. Ratto et al., $489.30—Commencing at the southeasterly corner of Charter Oak avenue (formerly Potrero avenue) and Gilman avenue (formerly Thirty-first avenue), thence running southeasterly and along Gilman avenue (formerly Thirty-first avenue) 52 feet 9 inches to the southeasterly boundary line of the plan known as the "Haley Purchase"; thence southwesterly along said boundary line 56 feet 6⅞ inches to Charter Oak avenue (formerly Potrero avenue), and thence northerly along said Charter Oak avenue (formerly Potrero avenue) 89 feet 7¾ inches to the point of commencement.

Being a fraction of Block 2 of that certain tract of land known as the "Haley Purchase," the map of the same entitled "Plan of Haley Purchase, west of and adjoining the Hudson Tract," was duly recorded in the office of the Recorder of said City and County of San Francisco, May 6, 1869.

Ella J. Darland, $2,749—Portions of Lots 4 and 5, Block 5693, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Catherine J. Johnson, $674—Lots Nos. 2 and 3, Block 5374, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

John Tierney, $2,720—Lot No. 21, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Henrietta Brink, Rosa Gonzales and Felix Gonzales, $3,187—

Parcel 1. Portions of Lots Nos. 39, 40 and 41, Block 5607, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lot 6, Block 5693, as per the Assessor's Block

Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Antonio Ruo, $236—Portion of Lot No. 7, Block 5439, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Also, Resolution No. 29081 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

Patrick McKenna, $5,138—Lot No. 32 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Henry Loose and Mary Loose, $1—Portion of Lot No. 9 in Block 5693, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Accepting Offer of Joseph P. McGrath to Sell for $4,450 Property Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29082 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite their names be accepted:

Joseph P. McGrath et al., $4,450—Lot 28, Block 6691, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Mayor to Sell Buildings on Bay Shore Highway Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29083 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and known as 332 Charter Oak avenue, 1656 Silver avenue and 2003 San Bruno avenue.

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the widening of Silver avenue, and known as 2018 Quesada avenue and 2020 Quesada avenue.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution, on recommendation of the Finance Committee, was *passed for printing*:

Condemnation Proceedings, Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Mayflower street and the easterly line of Bradford street, and running northerly along said easterly line 25 feet to the northerly line of Lot 1208 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 10 feet; thence at right angles southerly, parallel to said easterly line of Bradford street 25 feet to the northerly line of Mayflower street; thence at right angles westerly along said northerly line 10 feet to the easterly line of Bradford street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 1208 of said Gift Map No. 3.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Bradford street and the southerly line of Lot 1204 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 75 feet to the northerly line of Lot 1200 of said Gift Map No. 3; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 9.804 feet; thence southerly along a curve to the right tangent to a line deflected to the right 86 deg. 47 min. 23 sec. from the preceding course, with a radius of 125 feet and a central angle of 3 deg. 12 min. 37 sec., a distance of 7.004 feet to a point distant easterly at right angles 10 feet from the easterly line of Bradford street; thence southerly tangent to said curve of preceding course and parallel to said easterly line of Bradford street 68 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 1204; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 10 feet to the easterly line of Bradford street and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 1200, 1202 and 1204 of said Gift Map No. 3.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Bradford street and the southerly line of Lot 1198 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 41.990 feet; thence southerly along a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected to the right 156 deg. 55 min. 34 sec. from the preceding course, with a radius of 125 feet and a central angle of 19 deg. 51 min. 49 sec., a distance of 43.336 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 1198; thence deflecting to the right 93 deg. 12 min. 37 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running easterly along said southerly line 9.804 feet to the easterly line of Bradford street and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 1196 and 1198 of said Gift Map No. 3.

Parcel 4. All of Lot 1142 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening, widening, construction and maintenance of Bernal Heights boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Employment of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, Bond Attorneys.

Resolution No. 29084 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by the vote of the people the bonds in the sum of forty-one million dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the Spring Valley Water Company have been authorized to be issued; and

Whereas, to make the bonds attractive to purchasers in the eastern market, and to do so requires the approval of the bond issue by a recognized eastern law firm specializing in such matters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the law firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, of New York City, State of New York, be and it is hereby employed to pass upon the validity of the proceedings authorizing the issuance of the Spring Valley bonds; and be it

Further Resolved, That out of any moneys realized from the sale of said bonds the firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman be paid for their services in passing upon the proceedings of said bond issue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Also, Resolution No. 29085 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by the vote of the people the bonds in the sum of twenty-four million dollars for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project have been authorized to be issued; and

Whereas, to make the bonds attractive to purchasers in the eastern market, and to do so requires the approval of the bond issue by a recognized eastern law firm specializing in such matters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the law firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, of New York City, State of New York, be and it is hereby employed to pass upon the validity of the proceedings authorizing the issuance of the Hetch Hetchy bonds; and be it

Further Resolved, That out of any moneys realized from the sale of said bonds the firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman be paid for their services in passing upon the proceedings of said bond issue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were, on recommendation of the Streets Committee, *passed for printing*:

Construction of Section D, Bay Shore Highway.

Bill No. 8568, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Bay Shore Highway, section "D," from Third street easterly and southerly to San Bruno avenue; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contracts for the construction of the Bay Shore Highway, section "D," from Third street easterly and southerly to San Bruno avenue, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and said plans and specifications are hereby approved.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, Spring Valley Water Company, Connections Municipal Water Works.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $766.58 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the Spring Valley Water Co. for the furnishing and laying of pipe and connections in Alpha street; being an extension of the Municipal Water Works (claim dated May 11, 1928.) Appropriation by Resolution No. 27848 (New Series).

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were, on recommendation of the Fire Committee, *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Elizabeth Achard, 2735 Green street, 750 gallons capacity.

R. Detert, 2645 Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Enterprise Oil Burner Company, 1228 Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 925 Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Peterson Co., north side of Chestnut street, 140 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Peterson Co., east side of Lyon street, 25 feet south of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Peterson Co., north side of Chestnut street, 85 feet east of Divisidero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wilbur McKay, east side of Sotello avenue, 83 feet 6 inches north of Lopez street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., 2700 Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Spivock & Spivock, north side of North Point street, 170 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Delray Corporation, 923 Harrison street, 10 horsepower.

Tiedemann & Harris, south side of Langton street, 275 feet east of Bryant street, 25 horsepower.

Western California Products Co., Davidson and Lane streets, boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That David Gibbs be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Tennant & Lanning by Resolution No. 27551 (New Series) for premises at 545 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on the southwest corner of Cortland and San Bruno avenues, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of the Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 29086 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby authorized and instructed to remove, install and change street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Lexington street between Sycamore avenue and Eighteenth street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Corner Fifteenth avenue and Vicente street.

Eighteenth street between Eureka and Douglass streets.

Corner Diamond and Hillaritas streets.

Arbor street, 100 feet west of Conrad street.

Winding way between Rolph and Naylor streets.

East side South Hill boulevard and Winding way.

Northeast corner Navajo and Delano avenues.

Northeast corner Geneva and Delano avenues.

Southwest corner Cayuga and Geneva avenues.

South side Geneva avenue between Cayuga and Delano avenues.

Install 250 *C. P.*

East side Genebern avenue, north of Justin drive.

Genebern avenue and Justin drive.

North side and south side Justin drive, east of Genebern avenue.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

Fourteenth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

Light fixtures on bulkhead at Eighteenth and Market streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy,

Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were, on recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee, *passed for printing*:

Amending Carroll Avenue Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 8569, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6774 (New Series), approved September 12, 1925, entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Carroll avenue and across Third street, Keith street, Jennings street and Ingalls street in the location hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6774 (New Series), approved September 12, 1925, the title of which is as recited above, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 2. Provided, that the Southern Pacific Company shall be required to maintain and repair the sewer in Carroll avenue east of Third street if necessary.

Provided, that no locomotive, car or cars shall be switched over and across Third street at Carroll avenue except between the hours of 12 m. and 1 p. m., and between the hours of 7 p. m. and 3 a. m., and that this crossing be flagged before a locomotive, car or cars cross same.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades, Chilton and Hamerton Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8570, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Establishing grades on Chilton and Hamerton avenues between Chenery and Bosworth streets."

Establishing Grades, Louisa Alley.

Also, Bill No. 8571, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Louisa alley between Shipley street and a line parallel with and 80 feet northwesterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Louisa alley between Shipley street and a line parallel with and 80 feet northwesterly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed May 17, 1928:

Louisa Alley.

80 feet northwesterly from Shipley street, 10.50 feet.

On Louisa alley between Shipley street and a line parallel with and 80 feet northwesterly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Shipley street at Louisa alley.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades, Castro Street.

Also, Bill No. 8572, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Castro street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets produced and on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom and ordering certain street work as hereinafter described.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 16th day of March, 1928, by Resolution of Intention No. 28600 (New Series), declare its intention to change and establish grades on Castro street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets produced and on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom and to order the regrading, repaving, recurbing, relaying of artificial stone walks, replacing catchbasins, culverts and necessary side sewers on Castro street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at the southerly line of Sixteenth street; on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom, and Market street between the northerly line of and a line parallel with and 40.30 feet southerly therefrom, extending from the center line of Castro street to a line at right angles with the northerly line of Market street 100 feet easterly from Castro street, and defining and establishing the district benefited and to be assessed for the payment of damages and for the expense of doing said street work and directed the Clerk to publish for ten (10) days in the official newspaper, said resolution of intention; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days and the

Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Castro Street.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sixteenth street southerly line, 190 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at States street northerly line, 170.48 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at States street northerly line, 170.48 feet.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 9 feet southerly from States street northerly line, 169.45 feet.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 9 feet northerly from States street southerly line, 165.77 feet.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at States street southerly line produced, 165.77 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at States street southerly line produced, 164.74 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at States street southerly line, 163.74 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 49.16 feet northerly from Market street, 143.58 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 30 feet northerly from Market street, 141.40 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10.84 feet northerly from Market street, 140.00 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 13.70 feet southwesterly from last described point (measured along curb line), 139.37 feet.

13.70 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb line), 139.50 feet.

(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 378.59 feet southerly from Sixteenth street, 142.35 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 396 feet southerly from Sixteenth street, 140.44 feet.

Easterly curb line of, 17.41 feet (measured along the curb line) southeasterly from the last described point, 139.40 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Seventeenth street, southerly line produced, 138.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

States Street.

9 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 7 feet easterly from Castro street westerly line, 169.45 feet.

9 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 7 feet easterly from Castro street westerly line, 165.77 feet.

Northerly line of, at Castro street westerly line, 170.48 feet.

60 feet westerly from Castro street, 175.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Castro street between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street produced, and on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. The following street work is hereby ordered to be done, viz.:

The regrading, repaving, recurbing, relaying of artificial stone walks, replacing catchbasins, culverts and necessary side sewers on Castro street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at the southerly line of Sixteenth street; on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom, and Market street between the northerly line of and a line parallel with and 40.30 feet southerly therefrom, extending from the center line of Castro street to a line at right angles with the northerly line of Market street 100 feet easterly from Castro street.

Section 3. The actual cost of performing the above mentioned street work shall be assessed upon the district described in Resolution of Intention No. 28600 (New Series), which is declared to be benefited by such changed or modified grade, except that the Board of Supervisors reserves the right in its discretion to order by ordinance that the whole or any part of the cost and expense of the work

aforesaid or the damages resulting therefrom be paid out of the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco from such funds as the Board of Supervisors may designate.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades, Swiss avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8573, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Swiss avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Swiss avenue are hereby established at points hereinafter named and heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed May 26, 1928.

Establishing Grades, Taraval and Other Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8574, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Taraval street, Cortes street, Madrone avenue and Forestside avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Taraval street, Cortes street, Madrone avenue and Forestside avenue are hereby established at points hereinafter named and heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed May 26, 1928.

Establishing Grades, Grand View Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8575, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Grand View avenue from Stanton street to Elizabeth street, as shown on Grade Map of Grand View avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Grand View avenue from Stanton street to Elizabeth street, as shown on Grade Map of Grand View avenue, approved by Resolution No. 102236 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed May 26, 1928.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades, Teddy Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8576, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Teddy avenue between Rodeo avenue and Rutland street."

Changing Grades, Lawrence Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8577, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lawrence avenue between San Jose avenue and the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks."

Ordering Street Work, Niagara Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8578, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the southerly one-half of Niagara avenue between San Jose avenue and San Miguel street, where not already so improved, from the westerly line of San Jose avenue to the existing pavement westerly from San Jose avenue, by the construction of con-

crete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Closing and Abandoning a Certain Alley Lying Between Block 3005C and 3005D, as Hereinafter Described.

Also, Resolution No. 29087 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has by Resolution No. 28898 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon a certain alley situated in the City and County of San Francisco and hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of said certain alley was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said alley were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said alley; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 28898 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said alley shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing of said alley, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of said alley be and the same is hereby ordered, and that the said alley be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public alley.

The said alley hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Hazelwood avenue with the easterly line of Lot 2 of Block 3005D, as shown upon map of "Blocks 3004B, 3005B, 3005C, 3005D and part of Blocks 2973, 3028 and 3054, Westwood Highlands, San Francisco, Cal.", which was filed October 6, 1927, in Map Book L, at pages 50 to 53, inclusive, in the Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; thence north along the easterly boundary line of Lots 2 and 1 of said Block 3005D 264.109 feet to the southerly boundary line of Cresta Vista Drive; thence north 75 deg. 54 min. east along said southerly boundary line of Cresta Vista Drive 20.621 feet to the northwesterly corner of Lot 22, in Block 3005C, as shown upon said recorded map; thence south along the westerly line of Lots 22 and 21 of said Block 3005C 269.921 feet to the northerly line of Hazelwood avenue; thence westerly along said northerly line of Hazelwood avenue along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears south 3 deg. 17 min. 37 sec. west 552 feet from last named point a distance of 20.018 feet to the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation to Remove Gate.

Also, Resolution No. 29088 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, was by Resolution No. 15854 (New Series), approved July 12, 1918, granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect and maintain a gate at Twentieth and Illinois streets as an emergency measure; and

Whereas, said emergency has ceased to exist; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Limited, is hereby directed to remove said gate at Twentieth and Illinois streets; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 15854 (New Series) be and is hereby repealed; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Limited.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Charles Street as Hereinafter described.

Also, Resolution No. 29090 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has by Resolution No. 28899 (New Series) declared its intention to close up and abandon a portion of Charles street, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Charles street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Charles street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of a portion of Charles street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 28899 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for closing of a portion of said Charles street and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of a portion of Charles street be and the same is hereby ordered, and that the said portion of Charles street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street.

The said portion of Charles street hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Mission street and the northerly line of Charles street, and running thence westerly along said northerly line 69 feet to the southeasterly line of the proposed Bernal Cut; thence deflecting to the left 69 deg. 01 min. 54 sec. and running southwesterly 64.173 feet to the southerly line of Charles street; thence deflecting to the left 110 deg. 58 min. 06 sec. and running easterly along said southerly line 95 feet to the westerly line of Mission street; thence deflecting to the left 92 deg. 54 min. and running northerly along said westerly line 60 feet to the northerly line of Charles street and the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Extensions of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 29089 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James R. McElroy be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 21, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by weather conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Also, Resolution No. 29091 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Cohn is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after April 21, 1928, within which to complete contract for the tie-rod anchorages at the Third street and Channel bridge. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is progressing satisfactorily, except for unavoidable delay in the fabrication of steel, which prevented completion of the work on time.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Closing and Abandoning a Certain Portion of Winnipeg Avenue as Hereinafter Described.

Also, Resolution No. 29092 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 28897 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon a certain portion of Winnipeg avenue, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, as hereinafter more particularly described: and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a certain portion of Winnipeg avenue was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portions of Winnipeg avenue were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of a portion of Winnipeg avenue; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 28897 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for closing of a portion of said Winnipeg avenue and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of Winnipeg avenue be and the same is hereby ordered, and that the said portion of Winnipeg avenue be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The portion of Winnipeg avenue hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue, distant thereon 6 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue, and running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 74.454 feet; thence at right angle southeasterly 14 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 74.454 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 14 feet to the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue and the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Kenwood Way as Hereinafter Described.

Also, Resolution No. 29093 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 28900 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon a portion of Kenwood way, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Kenwood way was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of

the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Kenwood way were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of a portion of Kenwood way; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 28900 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for closing of a portion of said Kenwood way and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of a portion of Kenwood way be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of Kenwood way be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The said portion of Kenwood way herein above referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Kenwood way situated in the City and County of San Francisco between Aptos avenue and the westerly line of Mt. Davidson Manor, and including the intersection of San Aleso avenue, as shown on map entitled, "Map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition," and recorded in Map Book "L," pages 29 to 33, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of the Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 29094 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby authorized and instructed to install street lights as follows:

Install 400 *O. B. With Refractor.*

Southwest corner Geary street and Van Ness avenue.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Franklin streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Gough streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Octavia streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Laguna streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Buchanan streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Webster streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Fillmore streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Steiner streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Scott streets.

Northeast corner Geary and Divisadero streets.

North and south sides Geary street between Van Ness avenue and Divisadero street.

Install 12 *o'Clock Lamps.*

North side Geary street, first and third west of Webster street.

South side Geary street, second and fourth west of Webster street.

North side Geary street, first and third west of Fillmore street.

South side Geary street, second and fourth west of Fillmore street.

Remove Double Inverted Gas Lamps.

North and south sides Geary street, west of Van Ness avenue.

North side Geary street, first and second west of Franklin street.

South side Geary street, first west of Franklin street.

Northwest and southeast corners Geary and Gough streets.

North side Geary street, first and second west of Gough street.

South side Geary street, first west of Gough street.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Octavia streets.

North side Geary street, first and second west of Octavia street.

South side Geary street, first west of Octavia street.

Northwest and southeast corners Geary and Laguna streets.

North side Geary street, first west of Laguna street.

South side Geary street, first and second west of Laguna street.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Buchanan streets.

North side Geary street, first and second west of Buchanan street.

South side Geary street, first and second west of Buchanan street.

Northwest and southeast corners Geary and Webster streets.

North and south sides Geary street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

North and south sides Geary street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Geary and Steiner streets.

North side Geary street, first, second, third and fourth west of Steiner street.

South side Geary street, first second, third and fourth west of Steiner street.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

North and south sides Geary street between Scott and Divisadero streets.

Southeast corner Geary and Scott streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Cancellation of Erroneous Assessments.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 29095 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor, in a communication dated May 28, 1928, the following property being erroneously assessed in 1927 be withdrawn from sale and reassessed in 1928, in accordance with Section 3806, Political Code, and the Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer same for sale:

Vol. 1, page 198, Block 153, Lot 18A.

Vol. 2, page 82, Block 211, Lot 12.

Vol. 3, page 102, Block 479, Lot 2D.

Vol. 3, page 128, Block 496, Lot 11.

Vol. 3, page 185, Block 528, Lot 1A.

Vol. 4, page 72, Block 589, Lot 18.

Vol. 4, page 155, Block 657, Lot 7.

Vol. 4, page 198, Block 686, Lot 10.

Vol. 7, page 31, Block 1062, Lots 51 and 52.

Vol. 8, page 127, Block 1269, Lot 50.

Vol. 13, page 141, Block 1759, Lot 47.

Vol. 14, page 14, Block 1795, Lot 10.

Vol. 15, page 34, Block 1920, Lot 3.

Vol. 15, page 34, Block 1920, Lot 4.

Vol. 15, page 34, Block 1920, Lot 5.

Vol. 15, page 34, Block 1920, Lot 6.

Vol. 15, page 104, Block 2029, Lot 6.

Vol. 15, page 188, Block 2084, Lot 23.

Vol. 16, page 46, Block 2127, Lot 2.

Vol. 16, page 140, Block 2208, Lot 2.

Vol. 16, page 143, Block 2303, Lot 12.

Vol. 22, page 124, Block 3257, Lot 9.

Vol. 23, page 70, Block 3534, Lot 26.

Vol. 24, page 44, Block 3587, Lot 5.

Vol. 24, page 76, Block 3596, Lot 34.

Vol. 25, page 19, Block 3636, Lot 8.

Vol. 25, page 19, Block 3636, Lot 8A.

Vol. 25, page 116, Block 3720, Lot 6.

Vol. 26, page 25, Block 3753, Lot 24.

Vol. 26, page 56, Block 3759, Lot 20.

Vol. 26, page 103, Block 3777, Lot 38.

Vol. 29, page 108, Block 4792, Lot 17A.

Vol. 31, page 149, Block 4995, Lot 13.

Vol. 33, page 79, Block 5519, Lots 21 and 24.

Vol. 33, page 115, Block 5536A, Lots 10 and 12.

Vol. 34, page 159, Block 5690, Lots 8 and 9

Vol. 36, page 50, Block 5949, Lot 18B.

Vol. 36, page 53, Block 5955, Lot 1B.

Vol. 36, page 177, Block 6073, Lot 5.

Vol. 36, page 177, Block 6073, Lot 6.

Vol. 38, page 173, Block 6477, Lot 1A.

Vol. 39, page 129, Block 6569, Lots 3 and 4.

Vol. 40, page 133, Block 6688, Lot 24.

Vol. 41, page 56, Block 6765, Lot 19.
Vol. 41, page 142, Block 6920, Lot 15.
Vol. 41, page 152, Block 6927, Lot 12.
Vol. 43, page 75, Block 7106, Lot 42A.
Vol. 43, page 168, Block 7159, Lot 44.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Daylight Saving Ordinance.

Supervisor Todd presented at request of Mayor:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "An ordinance to establish daylight saving time in City and County of San Francisco, declaring that Pacific standard time shall hereafter be advanced one hour for the purpose of daylight saving and declaring a time when the same shall take effect."

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

(Clerk was instructed to communicate with City Attorney as to our legislative powers with reference to the foregoing.)

Loading Zones.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 29096 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

22 Battery street, 18 feet; Postal Telegraph Co., one elevator.

555 Bush street, 27 feet; Court Hotel, one elevator.

510 Clay street, 18 feet; Van Winkle Coffee Co. and Petaluma Poultry Co.

635 Clay street, 27 feet; Pacific Fleet Cafe, Clay Street Laundry and Wm. Tell.

664 Clay street, 27 feet; Service Lunch, Hop Lung Co. and Royal Hotel, three shops.

120 Ellis street, 27 feet; Wood Stock Hotel, two elevators.

125-129 Ellis street, 27 feet; Continental Hotel.

157-161 Ellis street, 36 feet; Hotel St. Clair and Hotel Willard, two elevators.

210 Ellis street, 27 feet; Hotel Larne, two elevators and small stores.

6-10 Fourth street, 18 feet; States Restaurant and Kingston Radio Co., one elevator.

54 Fourth street, 18 feet; Hotel Keystone, one elevator.

80 Fremont street, 27 feet; Nippon Dry Goods Co.

353 Geary street, 36 feet; Hotel Stewart, two elevators.

386 Geary street, 27 feet; Hotel Fielding and Fielding Pharmacy.

509 Geary street, 45 feet; Hotel Bellevue, two shops and one elevator.

935 Geary street, 27 feet; President Hotel, Geary Arm Apartments and North End Co., entire block.

50 Golden Gate avenue, 27 feet; Riverside Apartments.

43 Grove street, 27 feet; Sperry-Hutchinson Co.

47 Kearny street, 18 feet; Conn Co. and Tummy Yum Co.

142-146 Kearny street, 27 feet; Sutter Hotel.

248 Kearny street, 18 feet; Hotel Stanford.

60 Market street, 18 feet; Hotel Terminal.

1229-1235 Market street, 27 and 18 feet; Whitcomb Hotel.

208-210 Mission street, 36 feet; Eng-Skell Co. and United Groceries, one elevator.

935-937 Mission street, 18 feet; National Light and Fixture Co.

55 Mason street, 27 feet; Ambassador Hotel.

125 Mason street, 18 feet; Hotel Wilson, one elevator.

312 Mason street, 18 feet; Hotel Virginia and Mason Cleaners.

334 Mason street, 36 feet; Hotel King George and Martha Jean, Inc., one elevator.

114-120 Powell street, 27 feet; Golden State Hotel, one elevator.

227 Powell street, 27 feet; Manx Hotel.

242 Powell street, 27 feet; Hotel Stratford, five shops and one oil intake.

245 Powell street, 18 feet; Hotel Henry George, one elevator.

431-435 Powell street, 27 feet; Chancellor Hotel, two elevators, store and apartment house.

444 Sansome street, 45 feet; Mutual Sup. Co., Dalmatia Lunch. six printing firms, Sampson Art Co., two elevators.

340 Stockton street, 27 feet; Wiltshire Hotel.

750 Sutter street, 27 feet; Hotel Canterbury, 6 stores, one elevator and one oil intake.

405 Taylor street, 36 feet; Hotel Californian.

520 Taylor street, 27 feet; Clifton Apartments, entire block.

165 Third street, 18 feet; Hotel Alta, two elevators.

660 Third street, 36 feet; Terminal Warehouse, one elevator.

155 Turk street, 18 feet; apartments at 155 Turk street and El Crest Apartments.

188 Turk street, 18 feet; Hotel Governor.

426 Valencia street, 18 feet; Golden Gate Grocery.

556 California street, 18 feet; Pacific States Hotel.

1087 Market street, 27 feet; Federal Hotel, Grant Building and Postoffice Market, two elevators.

418 Montgomery street, 18 feet; American Trust Co.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Also, Resolution No. 29102 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be rescinded and reestablished as specified herewith, in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C", Section 36, of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

444 Bush street, existing length, 45 feet; new length, 36 feet; Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company business office, 1 elevator.

568 Bush street, existing length, 36 feet; new length, 0 feet; Victoria Hotel, oil delivery point and freight door.

191 and 193 Eddy street, existing length, 27 feet; new length, 0 feet; 3 shops.

76 Fremont street, existing length, 36 feet; new length, 18 feet; Nippon Dry Goods Company and Neal, Stratford & Kerr Company.

639 Mission street, existing length, 18 feet; new length, 45 feet; freight elevator for Rialto Building and auto accessory store.

66 Pine street, existing length, 18 feet; new length, 0 feet; Western Pacific Building and wholesale firm on ground floor.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Waiting Station Permit, Market Street Railway.

A *communication* from property owners, requesting that the Market street Railway Company be permitted to construct a waiting station at the southwest corner of Lincoln way and Funston avenue.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 29097 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect and maintain a public waiting station on the southwest corner of Lincoln way and Funston avenue, where directed by the Board of Public Works, in accordance with the petition and for the convenience of property owners in this vicinity.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Use of Chambers, Municipal Traffic League.

Supervisor Todd requested that the Municipal Traffic League be permitted to use the chambers on July 18, 19 and 20, 1928.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:45 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Toner—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph, presiding.

Mayor's Veto, Judah Street Rezoning.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of ordinance providing for rezoning of

Judah street between Tenth and Twenty-fifth avenues.

Question: Shall the ordinance pass notwithstanding the objection of his Honor the Mayor?

Communication from His Honor the Mayor Transmitting Report of Captain Matheson of Detective Bureau in the Rumors That Corrupt Methods Had Been Employed to Pass Judah Street Rezoning Ordinance.

The following matters were presented, read, ordered *filed and spread in the Journal*:

San Francisco, Cal., June 4, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

As I informed your Honorable Board at your meeting of Monday, May 28th, during the proceedings which followed my veto of the so-called Judah street rezoning ordinance, I placed in the hands of the San Francisco Police Department the entire investigation of reports which had come to me that corrupt influence had been exerted to secure the passage of this ordinance.

I am today in receipt of a report made to Chief of Police Daniel J. O'Brien by Captain of Detectives Duncan Matheson, and in turn transmitted to me, and I attach a copy herewith. You will note in Captain Matheson's report the statement that his investigation has disclosed no basis whatever for the reports which came to me and which I transmitted to you.

You will likewise note in this report that the purported payment of $2,000 has been found to be a legal fee contracted for by Judah street property owners with Mr. Francis W. Murphy, their regularly employed attorney—$500 paid as a retainer and $1,500 to be paid subsequently should the ordinance secure passage. I am convinced that no member of the Board of Supervisors was corruptly influenced in any way whatsoever to support the Judah street measure, and I am pleased to announce this publicly.

I have already stated that in the face of the reports which came to me only a short time before, it was necessary for me to act upon this ordinance. I believed that the best interests of the City, as well as of the members of your Honorable Board, required that I veto the ordinance.

Captain Matheson's report, indicating, as it does, that the statements upon which I felt obliged to act in attaching my veto are wholly without foundation, I take this means of expressing my gratification that such has been the outcome and that the good name of the Board of Supervisors is maintained in full integrity.

Respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Bureau of Detectives.
Captain's Office.

June 4, 1928.

D. J. O'Brien, Esq., Chief of Police.

Sir: On May 25, 1928, his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., requested me to come to his office, and on arrival the Mayor informed me that there were rumors that money was improperly used in an endeavor to rezone Judah street. The Mayor stated that he had no information how or by whom the money was handled or who was to accept or receive it.

The Mayor gave orders to have these rumors traced to their origin, for the purpose of fixing responsibility and to determine if any money was collected, and if so, how it was used. The Mayor also called my attention to the proposed purchase of a lot by the City from Mr. A. Hirschberg, for the purpose of widening Stanyan street. He directed me to investigate all the circumstances connected with the proposed purchase. He also directed me to investigate any and all rumors from any source that in any way related to the conduct of the business of the city. The Mayor said that he had no direct or indirect information of wrongdoing on the part of anyone, but that he wanted these rumors cleared up.

In compliance with said order Lieutenant Charles W. Dullea, Detective Sergeants Thomas Hyland, Fred Bohr, Otto Frederickson, Sergeant Alexander E. McDaniell and Detective Officer Clarence Herlitz were detailed to make a thorough and complete investigation of these rumors.

The investigation shows that there are two associations—one for Irving street and one for Judah street—to further their own interests in the rezoning of Judah street. The Judah Street Association employed Attorney Francis W. Murphy to represent them and paid him a retainer of $500, with the understanding that he would be paid $1,500 more if he was successful. Seventy property owners on Judah street pledged $8,801, and up to date $1,068.45 was collected. The balance, $568.45, was paid for help, stationery, postage, steno-

graphic and incidental expenses. The investigation shows that not one cent was spent illegally and that the employment of an attorney to represent them was perfectly honorable and open and above-board.

The Irving Street Association was represented through Mr. Henry C. Witt, 1259 Ninth avenue, as president, and Mr. R. R. Stephens, 305 Chancery Building, as secretary. Mr. Aaron Cohen, 369 Pine street, was their attorney. A committee, consisting of Mr. Michael Hughes, 1346 Pine street; Mr. Charles Robison, 926 Irving street, and Mr. Julius Berendsen, 1631 Twenty-first avenue, waited on the San Francisco daily papers, requesting them to publish editorials against the rezoning of Judah street.

Mr. Charles Robison had in mind the collection of a special fund of $3,000 and employing special counsel to oppose the rezoning, but on advice of his friends he abandoned the plan. There is absolutely no business or political association between Attorney Aaron Cohen and any member of the Board of Supervisors. Everything done by the Irving Street Association to defeat the rezoning of Judah street was perfectly proper and in their own interest.

The only independent transaction that occurred in connection with the rezoning of Judah street was the retaining of Mrs. Mary Schwab, attorney-at-law, 351 California street, by Mrs. Selina Ferris, 1319 Twenty-fifth avenue. Mrs. Ferris was interested in the extension of the rezoning to include her property. She paid Mrs. Schwab $100 on account and agreed to pay $400 more if Mrs. Schwab was successful. Mrs. Schwab acted as an attorney in the application and did not attempt to influence any person.

The history of the proposed purchase of a lot by the City for the widening of Stanyan street is as follows: The lot in question was exchanged for another lot at an appraised value of $7,500. The deed does not show any money transaction other than a property exchange of lots. The title to the lot is held in trust for Mr. A. Hirschberg by the California Title and Trust Company. The deed for the lot was recorded on February 28, 1928, and permit for an oil station was filed February 20, 1928, by the California Petroleum Company and was approved March 8, 1928.

The proposed monthly rental of the oil station was $87.50. On May 21, 1928, a resolution was passed by the Board of Supervisors authorizing the purchase of the lot for $10,267.85. This price was approved by Mr. Joseph R. Phillips, right-of-way agent for the City. All negotiations for the purchase of the lot were conducted in the open and without secrecy. The only question involved is whether or not the value of the lot was enhanced from $7,500 to $10,000 by a long-term lease at a profitable rental for an oil station, revocable at the pleasure of the Board. The sum of $267.85 was spent on the lot after it changed hands, to put it in shape for the equipment of the oil station.

The rumors arising out of the controversy evidently had their origin on the occasion of the Mayor's visit to the Sunset District on his tour of inspection on May 24, 1928, when he stopped at Twenty-first avenue and Irving street. A crowd gathered by his automobile and some person said that money had been used by the Judah street people to put their proposition over. When a remark of that kind is passed in a crowd it immediately becomes a by-word and common gossip.

On May 25, 1928, Mr. Frank A. Clarvoe, a reporter on the Daily News, spoke to the Mayor and asked him if he heard about $2,000 being passed in the case, and the Mayor stated that something was said about money on the 24th, but no specific amount was mentioned. Mr. Clarvoe stated that he heard this rumor from an unknown man that he met in the corridor of the City Hall. The rumor emanated, no doubt, from the crowd about the Mayor's car on Irving street on the 24th of May, and are merely gossip, without any foundation whatever.

On May 30th Mr. Norton C. Wells, 1310 Third avenue, stated that, although strongly in favor of the Judah street rezoning, and one of the most interested and one of the hardest workers on account of being the third largest property owner affected, he still felt that Mayor Rolph did the proper thing in vetoing, and explained this statement by saying that he had on the day previous, the 29th, heard rumors which, if true, would cause him, if he were in the Mayor's place, to have taken the same action.

Diligent inquiry was made to locate the person responsible for the instigation of the rumors, without success. People are reticent to even talk about, much less discuss, their origin. The department is not in possession of any facts or evidence of any kind that would warrant investigation by the Grand Jury.

Attached please find the reports

of Lieutenant Dullea on the finances of the Judah Street Association and the statement of Mr. Clarvoe; the report of Detectives Hyland and McDaniell on the Irving Street Association, and the report of Detective Bohr on the history of the sale of the lot.

Respectfully submitted,
DUNCAN MATHESON,
Captain of Detectives.

Police Department.
San Francisco, Cal.,
May 29, 1928.

Duncan Matheson, Esq., Captain of Detectives.

Sir:

I hereby submit the report of my investigation of the activities of the Judah Street Improvement Association in their efforts to have Judah street rezoned for business from Tenth avenue to Twenty-fifth avenue.

I called on Francis W. Murphy, attorney for the Judah Street Improvement Association, and he stated to me that he had been retained early in the year of 1927 by the association to present their measure before the Board of Supervisors. For this service he was to receive $500 as a retaining fee and a further sum of $1,500 in the event that he was successful in the rezoning. Mr. Murphy showed me his bank deposit slips and his bank account, which records showed two deposits of $250 each, made in April and May of 1927 and recorded in his cash book on the days corresponding to the bank deposits as having been received from the Judah Street Improvement Association. Mr. Murphy invited me to his home and tendered the books of the association for my inspection.

At 8:15 p. m. May 28, 1928, I went to the residence of Mr. Murphy, at 330 San Benito way, and there met Mr. Murphy and Norton C. Wells, secretary of the Judah Street Improvement Association. Mr. Wells had the records of the association and I inspected them and obtained the following information: That the total sum of $8,801 had been pledged by 70 property owners on Judah street within the proposed rezoning limits. This amount was to be collected in one-fifth installments, and up to date one installment had been collected, for a total amount of $1,068.45. Of this amount $500 was paid to Mr. Murphy as attorney fees and the balance was used in employing stenographic help, postage, stationery and incidental expenses over a period of approximately one year, and at the present time the fund is exhausted. The money in this fund was deposited, when collected, in the American Bank, and the account is open for our inspection. The funds of the association, independent of the rezoning fund, are deposited in the Bank of Italy, and this account is likewise open to our inspection.

I was informed that the Judah Street Improvement Association has a membership of 350, but that only 70 of them would be affected by the rezoning, and it was this latter class that had contributed to the fund to further their measure, so two bank accounts were maintained and the funds kept separate. The dues in the association are set at 2 cents a front foot, and the assessment for the rezoning measure was set at $2 a front foot. (Pledge card is attached.)

Mr. Murphy and Mr. Wells cooperated with me and stated that they would produce their books and records at my request at any time.

Respectfully submitted,
CHARLES W. DULLEA,
Lieutenant of Police.

Police Department.
San Francisco, Cal.,
May 29, 1928.

Duncan Matheson, Esq., Captain of Detectives.

Sir:

Relative to the investigation ordered by yourself in connection with the rezoning of Judah street, I interviewed Mrs. Mary R. Schwab, attorney-at-law, No. 351 California street, and she stated to me that on January 2, 1927, she was retained by Mrs. Selina Ferris, 1319 Twenty-fifth avenue, to represent her interests in the then pending rezoning of Judah street. The circumstances were as follows: At that time Judah street was zoned from Eighth to Tenth avenues and from Twenty-fifth avenue to the beach. Mrs. Ferris was the owner of property on the east side of Twenty-fifth avenue and Judah street and on the west side of Twenty-second avenue and Judah street, both pieces being corners. The proposed rezoning territory at that time was to take in Judah street from the west side of Nineteenth avenue to the east side of Twenty-second avenue, and prior to that time, when the street was zoned from Twenty-fifth avenue to the beach, the rezoning started from the west side of Twenty-fifth avenue, thus excluding both pieces of Mrs. Ferris' property. Upon Mrs. Schwab being retained by Mrs. Ferris, she (Mrs. Schwab) sought to have the

street rezoned so as to include Judah street from Twenty-second avenue to Twenty-fifth avenue; in other words, making the zone extend from Nineteenth avenue to the beach.

For the above services Mrs. Schwab received $100 from Mrs. Ferris, and it was agreed that should she be successful in the measure she would receive an additional $400. Up to the present time Mrs. Schwab has received $100, and she will produce the cancelled check to support this statement whenever so desired by this department. Mrs. Schwab stated that she has appeared before the Board of Supervisors on a number of occasions in support of her measure and denies that she has attempted in any way to unduly influence any Supervisor in his vote.

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES W. DULLEA,
Lieutenant of Police.

Police Department.
San Francisco, Cal.,
June 1, 1928.

Duncan Matheson, Esq., Captain of Detectives.

Sir:

In obedience to your orders calling for an investigation of the rumors in connection with the rezoning of Judah street, I called on his Honor the Mayor, James Rolph, Jr., at the City Hall, at 1 p. m. May 28, 1928, and he stated to me that a few days previous, and prior to his exercising his veto on the Judah street measure, he had driven his automobile into the Sunset District and personally inspected the proposed rezoning territory and the adjacent business district, and while he was in the district a crowd of Irving street merchants surrounded his automobile and urged him to veto the proposed bill. He stated that, in the heat of their arguments, someone made the remark that money had been passed in order to further the Judah street cause. The Mayor was at that time unable to locate the person who made the remark, and after an inspection of the district he returned to his office. On the following day, Friday, May 25, 1928, Frank A. Clarvoe, a reporter for the Daily News, spoke to the Mayor and asked him concerning the action he intended taking in the Judah street matter. The Mayor stated to him that he was giving it a great deal of attention and that he had heard some disagreeable rumors in connection with the same. Mr. Clarvoe asked if the Mayor had heard the same rumor as he (Clarvoe) had heard—that there was $2,000 passed to put the deal over. The Mayor stated that he had heard some remark on the day previous regarding the passing of money, but that no specific amount had been named.

While I was talking to the Mayor and he was relating the above incidents Mr. Clarvoe came into the office and I asked him what he knew about the matter, and he stated to me that a man had stopped him in the corridor of the City Hall and asked him if he had heard about the Judah street ordinance, and Clarvoe asked him what he meant, and the man stated that $2,000 had been passed in the case. Mr. Clarvoe stated that he did convey this information to the Mayor in a subsequent conversation. Mr. Clarvoe stated that he did not know the name of the man, but that he had seen him in the City Hall on two prior occasions and that he would know him if he saw him again. I requested him to keep a lookout for this man and to notify me should he make his appearance. On this date, accompanied by Sergeant Alexander McDaniell and Detective Sergeant Thomas M. Hyland, I went to the City Hall and met Mr. Clarvoe and asked him if the man had been around the City Hall since, and he stated that he had not, but that he was on the lookout for him and would cooperate with us should he see him again.

The Mayor stated to me that this was his source of information that $2,000 had been passed, and up to the present time I have been unable to make further progress along this particular line.

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES W. DULLEA,
Lieutenant of Police.

Bureau of Detectives.
San Francisco, Cal.,
June 2, 1928.

Duncan Matheson, Esq., Captain of Detectives.

Sir:

Regarding the controversy over the Judah street rezoning project, our investigation develops the following:

That the Irving Street Association was formed to further the interests of Irving street property owners, with Henry C. Witt, address 1259 Nineteenth avenue, as president, and R. R. Stephens, 305 Chancery Building, as secretary, and that at one time Aaron Cohen, 369 Pine street, was acting as their attorney.

We interviewed a great many persons regarding this matter—partisans on both sides—and while many state that rumors of a suspicious nature were being circulated, we found no person that could or would in any way give us anything tangible or concrete.

On May 30, at his home at 1310 Third avenue, Mr. Norton C. Wells, secretary of the Judah Street Association, stated to Sergeant A. E. McDaniell and I that he, although strongly in favor of the Judah street rezoning, and one of those most interested, and one of the hardest workers, on account of being the third largest property owner affected, still felt that Mayor Rolph did the proper thing in vetoing, and explained this statement to us by saying that he (Wells) had on the day previous (May 29th) heard rumors which, if true, would cause him, if he were in the Mayor's place, to have taken the same action.

We also interviewed the members of the committee that called upon the different daily papers in the interest of the Irving street owners. This committee was composed of Mr. Michael Hughes, 1346 Pine street, who was the spokesman; Mr. Charles Robison, 926 Irving street, and Mr. Julius Berendsen, 1631 Twenty-first avenue.

Mr. Hughes stated to us that he had on different occasions heard rumors of money having been passed, but could not name a single person who had made the statement. He further stated that on the occasion of Mayor Rolph's visit to the Sunset District on his tour of inspection on May 24, 1928, and while the Mayor's automobile was stopped at Twenty-first avenue and Irving street, a crowd gathered around the auto, and Hughes states he heard someone from the crowd, in the confusion, tell Mayor Rolph that money had been used by Judah street partisans to put their proposition over.

Both Mr. Robison and Mr. Berendsen stated to us that they had also heard that money was passed, but could not give us any lead through which these rumors might be substantiated or refuted.

We have made diligent inquiry in following up these different rumors, but have been unable to verify any of them.

Respectfully submitted,

ALEX. E. McDANIELL,
Sergeant of Police.

THOMAS M. HYLAND,
Detective Sergeant.

Detective Bureau.
San Francisco, Cal.,

June 1, 1928.

Captain Duncan Matheson, Detective Bureau.

Sir:

I respectfully submit to you the following information taken from the records of the Board of Supervisors' meetings at the City Hall regarding certain resolutions, permits and other transactions in question, as follows:

Regarding the widening of Stanyan street: Investigation showed that no resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors for the widening of Stanyan street, and that the Streets Committee of the Board of Supervisors have had the matter under consideration for the relief of traffic congestion at the Kezar Stadium. Conference was held with John McLaren, Superintendent of Parks, and the widening of the street was approved by McLaren and the Streets Committee, but never went to print on account of McLaren changing his opinion recently and opposing cutting off the frontage of the park.

When the permit was granted by the Board of Supervisors for oil station on lot: The permit was filed on February 20, 1928, and approved March 8, 1928. Applicant was the California Petroleum Company, 311 California street.

When Hirschberg exchanged property located at Stanyan and Frederick streets with the Market Street Railway Company: Records of the Assessor's office (Block 1263, Lot 1) shows new registration of lot on February 15th, 1928, from the Market Street Railway Company to the California Title and Trust Company, 148 Montgomery street. Further investigation showed the deed for the lot was recorded in the Recorder's office on February 28, 1928, in Book 1620, page 164, showing the purchaser to be the California Title and Trust Company, and sold by the Market Street Railway Company. The records of the California Title and Trust Company showed that the said lot was being held in trust for Mr. Abraham Hirschberg and was purchased by Hirschberg on an exchange for a lot located at California and Presidio avenue to the Market Street Railway Company. The deal does not show any money transaction other than a property exchange of lots.

When resolution was passed by the Board of Supervisors authorizing the purchase of lot at Stanyan and

Frederick streets by the City: The resolution was adopted and passed on May 21, 1928, for the purchasing of the lot from Abraham Hirschberg at a fixed sum of $10,267.85.

The resolution for the rezoning of Judah street: The resolution for the rezoning of Judah street was passed to print on February 20, 1928, and finally passed on May 14, 1928. (Board of Supervisors.)

Respectfully submitted,

FRED F. BOHR,
Detective Sergeant.

In re Veto of Judah Street Rezoning Ordinance.

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors was held on Monday, the 4th day of June, 1928, beginning at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m. of said date, in the chambers of the Board, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

After the transaction of other business, and during the afternoon session of the Board, with the Mayor presiding, the following proceedings were had with relation to the veto of the ordinance rezoning a portion of Judah street:

The Mayor: May I ask, as Mayor, the right to submit to the Board now my letter of transmission and the report of the Police Department regarding the so-called Judah street zoning? Are there any objections?

(The Clerk reads the Mayor's letter dated June 4th.)

Supervisor Roncovieri: I ask the indulgence of the Board and ask to have it read just once more. I did not hear the beginning.

(The Clerk rereads the Mayor's letter.)

The Mayor: Now please read the report of Captain of Detectives Matheson.

(The Clerk reads the report of Captain of Detectives Matheson.)

The Clerk: Do you want the other reports read?

The Mayor: Yes.

(The Clerk reads three reports of Lieutenant of Detectives Charles W. Dullea, one dated May 29, one dated June 1, and one dated June 2.)

The Mayor: What is the pleasure of the Board?

Supervisor Gallagher: Does that report show whether the Bureau interviewed Mr. Hirschberg?

The Clerk: It does not say so.

Supervisor Stanton: I do not believe it said.

Supervisor Gallagher: I was wondering whether the report showed that the Bureau had interviewed Mr. Hirschberg or not.

The Clerk: The report does not say that they did.

Supervisor Andriano: I move the report be received and filed, Mr. Mayor.

Supervisor Todd: I move it be made a part of the record, Mr. Mayor.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Mayor, I would like to ask concerning the report that $8,000 was pledged by the Judah Street Association. Is that the name of the organization? Does that mean that this $8,000 was pledged for the purpose of assisting in the passage of this rezoning? Can I ask that somebody who is in a position to answer reply to that question?

Mr. Norman Wells: That is true, that was the amount subscribed. When we began the work of rezoning Judah street we understood that there had never been a Supreme Court decision of the United States on the question, and we were prepared—we had been told that we were going to meet strong opposition on Irving street, and that their influence would be pitted against our influence, and we were not so sure of our influence, because no one ever is. So we took it up with our members and they determined that as long as the car line was to run over Judah street it made it a commercial street in fact, even though it was not in law, therefore they were going to prepare for a case, a test case, before the Supreme Court of the United States. To do that we figured that it would cost us between five and six thousand dollars. So we felt that as long as we might have to take it to the Supreme Court of the United States that we would prepare a sum sufficiently large to carry it up, because we were determined to have it done. In the interim, however, a decision was handed down, about three months after our meeting in which we determined to go on with the fight, a case was decided in Ohio which covered the point that we were prepared to make a fight on. So, while that sum was subscribed on paper, demand was never made for the full amount. Only one thousand some odd dollars—I have not that at my fingers' ends—was really paid in, of which the attorney fee was $500, and was paid, and the balance went for incidental expenses, as the report shows.

Supervisor Havenner: So, then, it was the intention of the association merely to use a sufficient amount of money to reimburse your attorney for his fee, plus the incidental clerical expenses in pressing

this case for rezoning before the Board of Supervisors?

Mr. Wells: Exactly.

Supervisor Havenner: The balance of that $8,000 subscribed was to be used in the event that you decided to take a test case in the court?

Mr. Wells: Exactly.

Supervisor Havenner: Well, Mr. Mayor, I find myself in a rather peculiar position. I have opposed the rezoning of Judah street consistently. I felt that two years ago, when this Board voted a program for the rezoning of the Sunset District, that we committed ourselves publicly by our action and our votes at that time to the belief that we had provided a rezoning program for the Sunset District that would cover a period of years. It had been very carefully worked out by the people interested and by the representatives of this Board. We were informed that the action we then took was in the nature of a permanent rezoning, so far as any rezoning can be permanent; permanent, at least, until the development of the Sunset District had taken place. I was not convinced that the proposal now, on the heels of that rezoning, to rezone another section of Judah street, in view of the fact that Irving street was already zoned for business and had been developed for business, was justified, and I have not seen any reason to change that opinion. I have voted consistently against this rezoning of Judah street. But, Mr. Mayor, if I understand your message aright, after you appeared here in this chamber, indicating publicly your sympathy with the rezoning of Judah street, as this Board finally approved it, you vetoed the action of this Board in approving that rezoning, on the ground that certain rumors had come to you tending to indicate that improper methods had been used to bring about the vote of this Board. Now, the investigation ordered by your Honor has been completed, I take it; at least there is no suggestion that there is any reason for continuing it. It has been completed thus far, and these reports indicate that the rumors that reached you were groundless. It seems to me, therefore, your Honor, that your veto falls of its own accord, you having indicated previously that you were in favor of this rezoning, which I opposed and still oppose, saw fit to change your attitude because of these rumors. It seems to me there can be no argument, your Honor, that the rumors must be proven in order to make your veto valid, from your own standpoint. The rumors have not been proved. The report of the Police Department indicates that there is no truth in the rumors. They have been unable to find any truth in these rumors. Now, I am in a peculiar position. I do not wish to vote to override your veto, because, if I vote in that fashion, I will be voting to reverse my previous position. I am still opposed to the rezoning of Judah street on its merits. I do not wish to reverse myself and put myself on record as approving this rezoning merely because I do not happen to approve of your Honor's veto. It seems to me that something should be done here to withdraw this veto and allow this thing to go ahead on its merits. The veto, your Honor, cannot stand on the reasons which you advanced, because the reasons have been proven to be without weight. I say, with all respect, your Honor, that we cannot subscribe to the theory of vetoing legislative actions of this Board on the strength of rumors, because, if we do, your Honor would be justified in vetoing almost every action of this Board in which important property interests were involved, because it seems to be a rule of human nature that the defeated side in a conflict of interest is always willing to hurl insinuations of improper influence on the other side. I have heard those rumors, your Honor, over and over again, about all kinds of actions in this Board and in other legislative bodies with which I have been connected in some capacity or other. And if we adopt the rule of veto based on rumors, uninvestigated, we will set up a government, your Honor, by falsification, by slander. We cannot do that sort of thing. This veto, I submit with all respect, should be withdrawn. We should not be compelled to vote against your veto merely because we do not happen to approve of it in this instance. But I think you would be thoroughly justified in withdrawing it. Of course, you would not have vetoed it if you had known the rumors were groundless. You vetoed it at the moment because the rumors had been placed before you and you thought them of sufficient moment to warrant this investigation. The investigation has been made, the rumors have fallen, and I submit, with all respect, that the veto has fallen and should be withdrawn, and this issue should be decided on its merits.

The Mayor: Well, I have cer-

tainly give a great deal of thought, Mr. Havenner, to this matter. The points you raise are legal ones. I find no way whereby that veto of mine can be withdrawn. There is nothing can take it away from the Board. I have not the power myself to bring it back, and it is with the Board. I am certainly giving most of my time studying over this matter, to see what can be done. And it may have to take its course and it may have to come up again. But there is no legal way where I can bring it back onto my desk, the time having expired. I think the Board will have to study and discuss it with the City Attorney and find by what law the matter can get back again to my desk.

Supervisor Gallagher: Could I ask your Honor if, in the light of the statements just made by you and the statement made by Mr. Havenner, would you advise the Board to sustain your veto, or would you advise them not to sustain it? It would seem to me that many members' minds might be determined by that one factor or your statement. You, as I understand it, will probably leave the city, and the matter will have to be considered during your absence, very likely. Would you advise the Board, in view of the situation, to sustain your veto, or are you ready to say that you advise them not to sustain it? I would like an answer to my question.

Supervisor Andriano: It seems to me that the question is improper. The only case in which the Mayor has ever asked this Board to override his veto was when he had vetoed a bill thinking that it was another bill. I recall that a few weeks back your Honor did request this Board to override your veto for the reason that you thought that you were vetoing another bill at the time that you vetoed the bill in question. But I think it would be highly improper for the Mayor to tell this Board what he desires the members of this Board to do, and I for one, wish to serve notice upon the Mayor and upon all the members of the Board that notwithstanding his wishes in the case, should he declare his wish, I am going to vote as I see fit, as the arguments presented or the merits of the question warrant. I think that the question of Supervisor Gallagher is improper.

Supervisor Gallagher: Would the Supervisor yield to a question?

Supervisor Andriano: Certainly.

Supervisor Gallagher: Do you think there is any better authority in the world, at the moment, to advise us on what the Mayor thinks on the question than the Mayor himself? And do you think, in view of the fact that there are precedents set where the Mayor has given such advice, do you think, in further view of the way the matter rests here, that there is anything improper in that question?

Supervisor Andriano: Can you cite a single instance, Supervisor, where the Mayor has asked this Board to override a veto where it was not a case of a mistake?

Supervisor Gallagher: I think there are two instances, the one you speak of and one formerly—I have not the records.

Supervisor Andriano: I do not think it is a case of mistake.

Supervisor Havenner: May I ask Mr. Andriano—the veto was issued by his Honor on the ground of rumors having reached him which he desired to be investigated. The rumors have been investigated by the Police Department, they have been declared by these reports on file here to be without foundation. Was not, therefore, the veto a mistake?

Supervisor Andriano: The veto may have been a mistake, but I say that the question is improper for the reason that it would make members of the Board of Supervisors mere rubber stamps, and I, for one, refuse to be made a rubber stamp, to vote on a question simply because a member or any number of the members of the Board of Supervisors, or the Mayor, desires me to vote on a certain question in a certain manner.

Supervisor Powers: Mr. Mayor, and members of the Board: I, for one member of this Board of Supervisors, do not have to ask the Mayor or any other member of the Board of Supervisors how I am going to vote on a bill, and, furthermore, I want no instructions from anybody about how I am going to vote on a bill. I do not believe in legislation by veto. But I know I have been consistent in my vote on the Judah street rezoning, and I have on all rezoning throughout the City and County of San Francisco, and I will not sit in my seat here and take anybody's advice whether I will vote for or against a bill. I will use my own judgment the same as I have in the past on my votes.

Supervisor Havenner: I would ask that the City Attorney be brought here at this time, if you please.

Supervisor Gallagher: While you

are sending for the City Attorney could I ask, with your permission, a few questions of Captain Matheson?

The Mayor: If he has no objection, certainly.

Supervisor Gallagher: Captain, the papers have quoted you—I notice your report there—the papers have quoted you as saying—whether they have done so correctly or not I cannot say—the papers have quoted you as saying that you are investigating the Judah street matter, that you are investigating the real estate matter, and that you are investigating the Golden Gate Ferry matter. Have the papers quoted you correctly?

Captain Matheson: No, that is a mistake about the Golden Gate ferry.

Supervisor Gallagher: You never made a statement to that effect?

Captain Matheson: No.

Supervisor Gallagher: You were not asked to investigate that?

Captain Matheson: No.

Supervisor Gallagher: Do you know, Captain, from any information that you have, the name or names of any parties who, at any time—and the Mayor says it was made in his presence twice—the name or names of any parties that are authors of the statement that $2,000 was to have been used, passed or paid in the Judah street matter?

Captain Matheson: The only inference was made was on the report—through the report of Lieutenant Dullea—that, in speaking with Mr. Clark of the Daily News, the sum of $2,000 was mentioned, and that is the only time that a specific sum was mentioned.

Supervisor Gallagher: That is the only person to whom there is any accredited information about having made the rumor?

Captain Matheson: That is all.

Supervisor Gallagher: Were you asked to investigate a rumor that somebody was to get all the insurance, or some of the insurance, or a part of the insurance incident to the rezoning on Judah street?

Captain Matheson: No.

Supervisor Gallagher: You were not?

Captain Matheson: No.

Supervisor Gallagher: Were you asked to investigate the information that rumors or information of land deals being made by the City and County—advance information of these land deals—was given out?

Captain Matheson: No.

Supervisor Gallagher: I presume the Mayor does not care to answer. That is all, Captain, thank you. You do not care to answer my question on the subject of the veto?

Mr. Mayor: Well, I do not see what you could do about it. You could either override the veto or sustain it.

Supervisor Gallagher: You do not care to offer any advice on the subject?

The Mayor: I only got the Captain's report at half past 11 this morning, and I have written my message and sent the report to you. You know as much about it as I do.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I would like to ask you a question, Mr. Chairman: Do you feel regarding the rezoning of Judah street, do you feel today as you felt on the 14th of February when you stood up there, with the rezoning tag upon your coat and urged us to vote for it? Do you still feel that we should vote for it?

The Mayor: Excuse me, I never opened my mouth. I did wear the valentine.

Supervisor Roncovieri: You forget that you certainly urged us at that time. There were certain indications in your statement that urged a favorable vote upon the rezoning and you certainly placed a heart, a red heart on yourself on Valentine's Day "Rezone Judah Street." That was upon the breasts of several, well, perhaps, a hundred or two hundred people here. Now, you seemed to be on that side, favorable to r e z o n i n g. Whether you said anything on that I cannot quote you. But as you feel now, would you wear that badge today? Are you in favor of rezoning it in other words? (Laughter.)

The Mayor: There is no laughing at that matter. I believe that government is a sacred trust, and the question of every act of a government official should be just like a good woman's name, above reproach and suspicion, and I have tried to clear up here any of the rumors that were of a suspicious nature, as I have told you before, for not only your good but for my good. I am friendly to Judah street. I gave to one person on Judah street every hope to believe that I would approve Judah street until this matter came to my attention two days before the time of action. I am deeply grateful for Mr. Wells for the statement he has made, which I had never heard of before except through these police reports, and for other members of the Judah Street Association, who have sent me word that, if they had been in my place, under the circum-

stances, they would have done just exactly as I did. I think this matter requires some thought for the benefit of all concerned. I think to jump at this thing hastily, without giving it another hearing, or taking it back into the Board and rehearing this thing over—and if it comes to me for a rehearing by the Board and all the circumstances that have been brought out in this investigation, I will sign it. But I do not think it is fair to let this police investigation, these rumors, groundless as they have proven to be, not remarks of mine, but rumors that have come to me, of such a nature that the administration was at stake, a fight between Irving street and a fight between Judah street and the good name of the City and the good name of the Board of Supervisors at stake, newspaper articles denouncing the very act that they asked to be done. The San Francisco News wrote a veto editorial, urging me, "Use the Veto, Mr. Mayor," and what have they done ever since? I believe they wrote the editorial "Use the Veto, Mr. Mayor," hoping that I would not pay any attention to them and that I would approve it. Now, I think the whole thing ought to go back to the Board. It should be heard again in committee, and if it comes to me, I will sign it. I cannot take it out of the Board, nor no city attorney can take it out of the Board. It is in your possession. The Charter is clear and the law is clear, and I admire Mr. Andriano for saying what he did. that he is no rubber stamp. I never asked him for a thing. I never asked him for one single moment to give any consideration to his actions on this Board except as his own conscience dictated. Is that right, Mr. Andriano?

Supervisor Andriano: Absolutely correct, sir.

The Mayor: Did I ever appoint a Supervisor and ask a Supervisor to do a single thing? Did I ever ask any one of you to vote for anything here in sixteen years, did I ever ask a Supervisor? Never once. And I want to maintain that relationship between the Board and the Mayor's office that the Board is the legislative branch of the City government, and the veto comes, and the Mayor certainly has a right, if he finds things as I found them in this legislative act, to clear them up, and I have cleared them up. I paid my compliments to the members of the Board of Supervisors, which can be heralded everywhere. The good name of the Board of Supervisors is still maintained. But you cannot do anything, in my opinion, but either override the veto or sustain it.

Supervisor Gallagher: So that the record may read right—it has no relation to this now—you asked a question there, did I ever—I cannot repeat your words—did I ever ask a member of this Board to vote for a measure before the Board? I cannot repeat your words. So that the record may not be left there to hit me in the wrong spot at times, I wish to make a reservation there, for events that are to come, not related to this, and I do not want the record to say that I assented to the statements. I have been an appointee of the Mayor, but I do not want the record to prove that I sat here and that I assented to the statement that no Supervisor was ever asked to vote on a question before or coming before the Board. It has no relation to this. I would just like the privilege of letting that go in the record at this time.

The Mayor: I am glad it is in the record.

Supervisor Havenner: I want to ask the City Attorney whether there is any method, provided or allowed by the Charter, whereby this veto could be withdrawn?

Mr. O'Toole: You mean, withdrawn by the Mayor?

Supervisor Havenner: Withdrawn by the Mayor.

Mr. O'Toole: I do not think there is, in fact, I would say there is not, Mr. Supervisor. The Mayor is charged with the duty of approving or disapproving any ordinance, and when he affixes his signature to the approval or disapproval of that particular ordinance, why, as far as he is concerned, he is done with it and the matter then comes back and remains in the hands of the Board until they take action on it.

Supervisor Havenner: Does the action of the Mayor in disapproving an ordinance passed by this Board, preclude the Board, subsequently, while that veto is still before the Board, from rescinding its prior action on the ordinance itself?

Mr. O'Toole: I would not want to answer that, Mr. Supervisor, without making some investigation for it is a doubtful question on that as to how far the Board, the powers of the Board of Supervisors go to undo what they have done after another branch of the government has acted upon it. Now, I presume the matter might rest in the Board

until the next meeting. You do not have to act on the veto today—no, you have ample time, and I would not want to answer that question offhand, it is too doubtful.

Supervisor Havenner: I would like to be advised then, Mr. City Attorney, if you please, whether, in a situation of this sort, after the Board has finally passed an ordinance, after his Honor has returned the ordinance with his disapproval, prior to the final action on the disapproval, whether this Board may rescind its previous action and throw the matter back into the hands of the Board. I would like to have that answered because I do not wish to vote to sustain this veto on the grounds on which it was made. On the other hand, I do not wish to reverse my previous vote on the merits of the question, and I think there are perhaps others here who may be in the same situation.

Mr. O'Toole: How soon do you have to be advised on that?

Supervisor Havenner: How long is that veto to run?

City Attorney O'Toole: I can advise you by next week.

Supervisor Havenner: I want to know whether this Board now, by a vote, if it so desires, could rescind the final passage of this ordinance, thereby invalidating the ordinance and putting this ordinance back to its previous position here on passage to print, rescind our vote on final passage and bring this ordinance back to its previous position on passage to print, thereby nullifying the veto and allowing the Board to use its own judgment on final passage again?

Supervisor Gallagher: Isn't it a fact, Mr. City Attorney, that there can be no rescinding, in the ordinary sense of the word, and the only way the Board could accomplish what Mr. Havenner is speaking about if that was to be accomplished, is by repealing the ordinance which was adopted? Does it occur to you that this is a continuing legislative act? The Board has passed the ordinance and the legislative action is, in that sense, final; the Mayor has acted within the law and has temporarily, at least, withheld the action until the Board sustains or refuses his veto, and the only way that that action could be recommenced is by repeal of the ordinance by which it was accomplished.

Supervisor Havenner: I think not, the ordinance is not yet a law, it is still awaiting final action by this Board, either in sustaining or overriding the Mayor's veto.

City Attorney O'Toole: There is only one action this Board can take regarding that ordinance, as far as the veto is concerned, either to override it or to sustain it, and I am inclined to have the views that Supervisor Gallagher expresses at this time, but I would not want to state positively because it is a question that should be examined into, and the Board should have the benefit of any investigation I could make on the matter.

The Clerk: This veto was filed May 24, you have thirty days after that date, within thirty days after that date.

Supervisor Gallagher: What is the parliamentary situation? The motion is to file the communication by his Honor the Mayor.

The Clerk: And made a part of the record.

Supervisor Gallagher: I have no objection to filing it, but before it is filed, having brought this matter to the attention of the Board on a question of personal privilege, I should like to be permitted or indulged in just a few words. No action of any city government anywhere, even probably reaching into the confines of the national capital itself, on matters of great public interest and on measures on which there is conflict, is free from statements made, idly or sometimes with a foundation of fact, relative to the integrity of the action itself, or the honesty, sincerity, integrity or advisability of the policy involved. This is the first time that there is recorded, that I recollect, within the annals of this city government—and I have spoken to a few people on the subject—wherein there has been brought to public attention and given sustenance by so doing, by a responsible public officer, that sort of thing that is known as "rumor". It is the obvious duty of an executive public officer such as yourself, of course, to investigate rumors, even if it is so desired, if they appear to be at all well founded in any respect. It is a question, in my mind, but what this record ought not to be a guide. Whether we like it or not—and we do not like it, that is apparent—every member of this Board of Supervisors, including those whom the executive numbers among his close personal political friends, and those whom he might consider to be the contrary, whether well founded or not, has been, in a sense, put under a cloud. Whether the Mayor's letter today and the reports of the

members of the police department will clear the suspicion that has been raised and the cloud that has gathered is a very large question in my mind. There are some who believe that his Honor the Mayor has not gone far enough, and I have been advised that this communication of his is already published in the daily papers, this afternoon's papers. I am not one who desires to carry this much further than it has gone, and I am one of those who feels that it went to a point that is discouraging and almost disgusting in its public sense. I do know that I have felt very, very keenly the sting of the statements made in the published articles relative to his Honor, and to which he himself has centered, except in the Golden Gate Ferry matter. I do not know whether this will be the lesson it ought to be nor do I understand that it may end here because, after all is said and done, it is the most serious thing, except one, that I know of, that has happened since I have been a member of the city government, and that extends over some years. It is the first time, I repeat, that any public officer, except in a political campaign, which is outside the official circles, has given credence and importance to the rumors that reach him or them in connection with public matters. It is altogether too bad and very sad indeed that, in view of the fact that you said, in the stenographic record here, that on two occasions, once in your office and once on Irving street, that these statements were made to you, I repeat, it is altogether too bad and disappointing, except as to one name, we are without a name on which to plant the responsibility for this rumor in the Judah street case. You have not, apparently, carried out your first thought in the matter of the statement that information, inside information or advance information of city land deals has been had by somebody. I think if you did investigate you will find, except as rumors or statements drip from offices of the city government, or in any manner that they get about, that there is no foundation in them. In fact, I have found, in talking with the member of the right of way staff who purchased this property, and who are under the jurisdiction at the present of the Board of Public Works, that very little if any information is given out. The only piece of information I have ever seen in anybody's hands, outside of that department, relative to appraisals made on city property, was where I saw the five sets of appraisals, or six, on the subject of the Sunset boulevard property. And there is something yet left, it seems to me, in the question of this insurance. I do not know who the lucky broker or insurance man was to have been on Judah street. I would not have minded it if it had been myself, but, at the same time, in consideration of the fact that practically three or four or five members of this Board are people, wholly or partly in the insurance business, that sting is still left. I do not believe there is anything in it myself. I just merely want to express this thought now, and this is not said critically of yourself, and it is not said in the spirit of advice, but it is said in the spirit of the give and take, that there ought to be, despite the quarrels that may ensue in public life, there ought to be a give and take among those who are honored by election by the people. I said, in the first instance here, that the only thing that a man had left, if he had that when he retired from public office, was as much of a good name as any person can retain. There is not, nor will there be, within my lifetime or recollection at least, nor do I think will there be in yours, or anybody else, any public officer anywhere, that I know of, in a responsible position, whose name at least does not suffer as time moves on in the channels of legislation. That is true of me; it is true of you; it is true of everybody. And I hope that the result of this investigation, so fortunately ended, at least for the time being, will have its effect in this: that hereafter those who accuse shall be known, and those who give responsibility to the statements shall know who makes them, and if they are to be given for public consumption there will be a hesitancy at least to find out if they are well founded. This has been a most unfortunate incident.

Addressing myself to the veto, my friend, Mr. Andriano, said my question to the Mayor was unfair. On the very contrary, I think it is the fairest question that I could put, with no desire to heckle his Honor, or with no desire to confuse him, or with no desire to compromise him. I read, from his Honor's message, that the sole reason on which he vetoed this Judah street ordinance—and I will read it if anybody wishes—the sole reason he says that, "This ordinance is disapproved by me, without prejudice, for the sole reason that certain ru-

mors had come to me of alleged improper practices by which certain members of the Board of Supervisors are supposed to have profited. I do not believe these rumors, but I do feel that, for the sake of the honor and integrity of your Board, they should be thoroughly investigated." It was on the sole basis of rumor brought to him that the Mayor decided to veto this. I do not know by what method of reasoning you attorneys arrive at the points that you come to. But it would seem to me to be the most obvious fact that, the Mayor himself having indicated before and again now, that he is not opposed to the rezoning of Judah street, we can let that rest for the time being, that having said, "I have used the power of veto solely because of these rumors," and now having found, to his satisfaction apparently, by the responsible officer appointed to investigate that the sole reason upon which he based this veto has been dissipated into thin air, why, it seems to be the obvious thing for a public official to say to the people that he is associated with, and by whose action he has used the veto power, is this: I have found myself mistaken, my sole reason was not a reason, and therefore the only reason that I have for vetoing this having been disapproved and having been dissipated, the obvious thing, gentlemen, is to renew the action. You do not have to go through it, it would seem to me——

Supervisor Andriano (interrupting): Do you wish me to answer that question? I am perfectly willing to answer it.

Supervisor Gallagher: I shall be very glad when I am through. Now, I do not say that, in any case, that you should change your views regardless of the veto, but I do say that the strength of the veto, at least by the action of his Honor, is lessened, in a legislative sense. I said a little bit more than I thought I would on the subject. I trust that, as far as this matter is concerned, it will have proven the futility and undesirability of anybody, anywhere, when men's characters are involved, giving credence to mere rumor and publication to statements that put us under a cloud.

Supervisor Andriano: I say that the question was improper, for the reason that it implies that we, sitting here as representatives of the people, are going to vote in a certain way, simply because the Mayor may desire us to vote in that particular way. As I view the question, we are here sitting as representatives of the people of San Francisco. And the first consideration, in our minds, should be the rights and the interests of the people involved in this particular question. I am convinced that a very serious injustice is being done to the people of Irving street, that they are being deprived of their rights and of their vested interests by means of an expensive lobby. And I would feel, and I do feel that it would be improper for the Mayor to try to dissuade me from voting according to my likes on that question, and that is why I say that the question was improper because I feel that I am here to express my views, as I see them, not the views of any other person. I have to answer for my own action and not for the action of the other members of this Board or of the Mayor.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Mayor, without rancor, and without disrespect, I desire to add to what Mr. Gallagher has said. My conviction that the reason advised by your Honor for the veto of this measure would always be an improper reason on any legislative action. I submit to you that it is always improper to overturn the action of a legislative body on the score of unproven rumors of wrongdoing. If those rumors of wrongdoing reach you, Mr. Mayor, or anyone in your position, at an hour too late to enable you to investigate them before final action is taken on the measure, then I submit to you, if you have no reasons for vetoing the measure on its merits, that you should permit it to go to passage, that you should then conduct your investigations independently, and if that investigation developed facts which justified the accusations involved in those rumors, there is no legislative body in the land which, upon presentation of those facts, facts which would serve as a basis for charges involving the integrity of a member or members of the legislative body, there is no legislative body in the land which would not repeal its former action and throw it back on its merits where it was before. Mr. Mayor, you have used the simile of the honor of a good woman. You have said that it is the duty of a gentleman, when he hears rumors or whisperings against the honor of a good woman, to investigate those rumors and clear her name. That is true, Mr. Mayor, but it is not part of the code of the gentleman to give those rumors to the police department, to put them in the newspapers and publish them broadcast to the

world, and then hope, ultimately, to repair the damage that has been done to the name of that good woman by those actions. (Applause.) Mr. Mayor, I know, as surely as I stand here, that my own name has never been involved in any of these rumors, but I am jealous of the name of the whole Board, I am jealous of the reputation of this group of which I am a member, and I say to your Honor that, having investigated these things, and having made what, I respectfully submit, was a grievous error and a mistake, and taken the action of submitting these charges to public opinion and to public investigation by the police department before you had any conclusions regarding them, I submit that it is your Honor's duty to make some further and public reparation of the injury that has been done to this Board. (Applause.)

Supervisor Suhr: What becomes of my motion there?

The Chairman: The motion before the Board is that the message and the report of the police department be filed and placed in the record. Call the roll, Mr. Clerk.

Supervisor Stanton: I think each member of the Board should have a copy of the report of the police department, I think that we should be able to see just what the police department has had to say.

The Mayor: Well, there is no objection to that.

Supervisor Stanton: I felt last week that possibly your investigation by the police department, or anybody else, would have been the proper thing before the matter would be given wide publicity and before the sting was left on most every member of the Board of Supervisors. But that not having been the case, we are left in somewhat a different position. There were a number of things said at the last meeting of the Board. There were a number of things published in the paper. One was that there were certain members to get some insurance. Another was that certain people were given advance information of all real estate deals. Those matters have not been cleared up. I do not know whether the police department have looked into them, or whether they have inquired into that particular phase of the matter or not, but it surely is something that should be looked into and some sort of a report made. We cannot say these things one day and forget about them the next day, without leaving some part of a sting or a slur on the members who are directly responsible for passing these different ordinances. We are going to sit here from time to time, and pass ordinances affecting San Francisco and its people, and we must have some protection against people just starting rumors and then sending them broadcast. From your own statements, from the statements of the police department there was very little to work on. Somebody in a crowd said that some money was passed, or that they understood some money was passed. A newspaper man, looking for news, looking for a story, approached you and said that he had heard or that some stranger had come to him and said something about money being passed. It is mighty little evidence, Mr. Mayor, to start an investigation on. It is might little evidence to broadcast that certain rumors have been circulated. It has not done San Francisco any good, it has not done the Board of Supervisors any good, and I am positive that it has not done you any good. And, really, I do not know just what could be done. I do not know how the thing could ever be taken back or how it could ever be straightened out. There is a certain amount of damage that has been done that will never be righted. For the matter of your veto I voted against Judah street rezoning, tried to keep out of it as much as possible, regardless of whether you vetoed or did not veto. When you seemed to be in favor of Judah street I was against it, I voted against it, did not make any particular fight against it and, regardless of what you do at this time, I have not changed my opinion one iota. But I feel that there is a certain amount of sting been left on the members of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, been left on the good name of San Francisco. It has been sort of broadcast throughout the country that another scandal was about to break in San Francisco, and those things do not tend to do San Francisco any good, and particularly those whose names, through inference, have been dragged into it.

The Mayor: What is the pleasure of the Board? Call the roll on filing it and making it a part of the record. All in favor will please say aye. (Ayes.)

Supervisor Stanton: Now, as I understand it, and I think Supervisor Gallagher asked the question, I would like to ask it again: there is no further investigation, is there, or isn't there, of the Golden Gate Ferry at this time?

The Mayor: No, I never heard of one.

Supervisor Stanton: And Mr. Matheson has not investigated?

Captain Matheson: We are through with our investigation.

The Mayor: Any objections? (Bringing down the gavel.)

Supervisor Todd: I want to make a statement for the record, being as I was one who was very active in this Judah street rezoning, and being as there has been a lot of talk about insurance. I have never solicited any insurance from anyone owning any property on any part of Judah street, nor have I received any business from any of them. It has been said to me several times, "Well, you have got an office in the Sunset District that is very active." That office belongs to a man by the name of C. E. Todd & Son, he is no relation of mine, I have never seen the man and know nothing about him and have no connection with any real estate office in the Sunset District whatsoever.

Supervisor Powers: We have a special order of business for 3 o'clock, and it is now 4 o'clock—rezoning of 28th and San Jose avenue.

Supervisor Havenner: I move that the consideration of the Mayor's veto be continued for one week.

Supervisor Andriano: Second the motion.

The Mayor: Are there any objections? (Bringing down the gavel.)

Leave of Absence, Mayor Rolph.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 4, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of the Charter, I hereby make application to your Honorable Board for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of forty (40) days, beginning June 6, 1928.

The purpose of this leave is to attend the Republican National Convention at Kansas City. I have been elected by the Republican voters of the State of California as one of the delegates from this State to that convention, the delegation being pledged to vote for the nomination of Mr. Herbert Hoover, of California, as Republican candidate for President of the United States.

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Supervisor Havenner, Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29098 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of forty days, commencing June 6, 1928, with permission to leave the State; and be it

Further Resolved, That during the absence of his Honor the Mayor Supervisor Franck R. Havenner be and is hereby appointed Acting Mayor.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 1, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of fifteen (15) days, beginning June 1, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29099 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, be and she is hereby granted a leave of absence of fifteen days from and after June 1, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—4.

Leave of Absence, Timothy A. Reardon.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 1, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mr. Timothy A. Reardon, president of the Board of Public Works, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of fifteen (15) days, beginning June 21, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29100 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Timothy A. Reardon, president of the Board of Public Works, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing June 21, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Schmidt Shannon, Toner—4.

Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Twenty-eighth Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), rezoning Twenty-eighth street from Guerrero street to San Jose avenue."

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Regulating Establishment of Food Terminals.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Regulating the establishment of food terminals, etc."

Referred to Committee on Public Buildings and Public Health.

Dinner at Moss Beach.

Supervisor Gallagher announced that the Board of Supervisors was invited to be the dinner guests of the Supervisors of San Mateo and Santa Cruz counties at Moss Beach, Thursday evening, June 7, 1928, at 6:30.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 4:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 2, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 25

Monday, June 11, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 11, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 11, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Quorum present.

Acting Mayor Havenner presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 21, 1928, was considered read and approved.

Death of Assemblyman Leland R. Jacobson.

Supervisors Schmidt and Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 29103 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board learns with sincere sorrow of the sudden and untimely death of Assemblyman Leland R. Jacobson, who for a number of years has been San Francisco's able representative from the Twenty-seventh Assembly District (Sunset) in the State Legislature; and

Whereas, Leland R. Jacobson, as Chairman of the very important Judiciary Committee in the Legislature, has been identified with a great many progressive movements that have redounded to the benefit of San Francisco; and

Whereas, as senior lieutenant in the Naval Air Forces of the United States during the World's War, he gave a splendid account of himself in the service of his country; and

Whereas, through his conspicuous ability he was marked for high honors at the hands of the Governor of our State as the successor to the late Honorable A. T. Barnett, Justice of the Peace; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors deeply deplores the loss of Leland R. Jacobson and expresses its sincere sympathy to the sorrowing family in the loss of a loving father and a man, though young in years, whose distinguished ability gave every promise of a brilliant and successful future in the political and professional life of his native city; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board adjourns, it does so out of respect to the memory of Leland R. Jacobson, and that copies of this resolution be sent to the bereaved family of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Remarks: Supervisors Schmidt, Stanton and Acting Mayor Havenner spoke in feeling terms of the high character and ability of the deceased.

Mayor's Vetoes.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Ordinance No. 8036 (New Series) providing for the rezoning of Judah street from Tenth to Twenty-fifth avenues, from Second Residential to Commercial.

Opinion of City Attorney on Power of Board to Rescind or Reconsider Ordinance After Veto by Mayor.

The following was read by the Clerk:

June 11, 1928.

Dear Sirs:

On motion made by Supervisor Havenner at your meeting of June 4th, I was requested to advise your Board as to whether or not after an ordinance had been finally passed and returned to your Board, vetoed by the Mayor, the action of your Board adopting the ordinance could be rescinded or reconsidered.

Opinion.

Section 12, Chapter I, Article II, of the Charter provides: "That when a bill is put upon its final passage and a motion is made to reconsider, the vote upon such motion shall not be acted upon before the expiration of twenty-four hours after adjournment."

Rule 12 of Rules of Order of your Honorable Board provides that

when a motion has been made and carried or lost, it shall be in order for any member voting with the prevailing side to give notice that he will move for a reconsideration thereof. In the instant case I understand that no such notice has been given, and I am, therefore, of the opinion that under the rules of your Board, and well settled parliamentary procedure, a motion to reconsider would not now be in order.

As to your power to rescind: Section 16, Chapter I, Article II, provides "that every bill and every resolution as hereinbefore provided, which shall have passed the Board and shall have been duly authenticated, shall be presented to the Mayor for his approval. The Mayor shall return such bill or resolution to the Board within ten days after receiving it. If he approves it, he shall sign it and it shall then become an ordinance. If he disapproves, it he shall specify his objections thereto in writing. If he does not return it with such disapproval within the time specified, it shall take effect as if he had approved it, etc." In passing the ordinance and transmitting it to the Mayor, you have exhausted your powers over that particular ordinance.

See Kendell v. City Council of Camden, 47 N. J. Law 64, where the court held: "A deliberate assembly has the right of reconsideration, yet where there is no reconsideration during the session at which final determination is had, it cannot, in the absence of special powers conferred upon it, take further action."

Also, Hadley v. Mayor of Albany, 88 Am. Dec. 412, the court said: "The City Council having by ballot determined who had been elected, and having examined and decided the question, could take no further steps to reverse its action at a subsequent meeting."

Also, City of Kanakee v. Small, 147 N. E. 404. In this case the court held: "Where no motion to reconsider or other motion on proposition finally voted on by the City Council is pending, Council cannot reconsider action after adjournment of its meeting, especially if rights of others have intervened."

As a matter of fact, I am of the opinion that at the present time there is nothing for you to rescind. As the ordinance now stands vetoed by the Mayor, it leaves the matter legislated on in the same condition as if the ordinance had not been passed. If the veto be not sustained by your Board, it becomes a valid ordinance and can only be repealed by an ordinance repealing same. Section 18, Chapter I, Article II, Charter.

Therefore, you are advised, that if the veto be sustained you may legislate anew on the subject covered by the ordinance, and if overriden the ordinance must stand until repealed.

Yours sincerely,
JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further consideration of the foregoing matter was *laid over one week.*

Mayor's Veto, Lot Stanyan and Frederick.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 29008 (New Series), accepting the offer of A. Hirschberg to sell for $10,267.85 certain land at the corner of Frederick and Stanyan streets required for the widening of Stanyan street was *continued one week.*

Civil Service Examinations.

Mayor's Veto—Budget Item No. 224.

Consideration of Mayor's message vetoing Budget Item No. 224, Civil Service Examiner and Clerk, was *continued one week.*

Communication From Mayor.

June 6, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am returning to you herewith Ordinance No. 8073 (New Series), Bill No. 8565, representing the Budget of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1928-1929.

This ordinance has my approval with the exception of Item No. 224 under the Civil Service Commission, appropriation 12-A. This item I reduce from $8,500 to $3,500. My reason for this reduction is that $5,000 has been added to the amount given the Civil Service Commission in a similar item last year, the additional $5,000 being for the purpose of holding civil service examinations for certain positions in the City government which are not now filled from civil service eligible lists.

There are a number of employees in the various departments of the City government who have served for a great many years in such positions, which they now occupy. These, including both men and women, are trained to the work which they are performing. In the past the employees of various de-

partments have, by special Charter amendment, submitted to the voters and adopted by them, been "blanketed in," and have since enjoyed full civil service standing. I feel that such employees as have not a civil service status, but who have rendered long and faithful service, should be given the opportunity of having their cause submitted to the voters by Charter amendment at the election next November, and if the voters in their wisdom so decide, they should be "blanketed in" under civil service.

What in the past has been fair for some employees is still fair for other employees. There should be equal opportunity for all City employees to receive the boon which the people have in their power to bestow as a reward for the long and faithful service which I have mentioned.

In my inaugural message directed to your honorable Board under date of January 3, 1928, I used the following language:

"Due attention should be paid to the needs of the Civil Service Commission, and appropriations should be made in each annual budget of sufficient size to enable the Commission to increase its activities and to hold examinations for the creation of eligible lists for all positions defined by the Charter as coming under civil service control.

"During my administration of sixteen years as Mayor nearly every department of the City and County government has been brought under civil service. Examinations for the few remaining positions, which have not been held because of lack of funds, should now be made possible."

I do not recede in any degree whatsoever from the position I took at that time. Should the voters decide upon the matter being presented to them, that these employees whom I have in mind should not be granted civil service standing, thereafter examinations for these positions should be held with all possible speed. Not until the voters have expressed their will should these examinations proceed, and I respectfully urge that this appropriation of $5,000 be deferred until one year hence.

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Southern Pacific Suisun Bay Bridge Commended.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company has publicly announced its intention to construct a bridge over a mile long across Suisun Bay, connecting Benicia and Port Costa, to replace the train ferry service between these two points; and

Whereas, the construction of this bridge will reduce the running time and bring all cities and towns in the Sacramento Valley in closer touch with the City of San Francisco; and

Whereas, all progressive communities are constructing bridges wherever possible for the improvement of transportation facilities; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is deeply interested in all bridge projects, and particularly in the problem of bridging San Francisco Bay; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors commend and congratulate the Southern Pacific Railroad Company for its enterprise and progressiveness in its decision to build this bridge, which will be of such great benefit to Northern California, and particularly to San Francisco and the communities around the Bay.

Referred to the Public Welfare Committee.

Leave of Absence, Dr. John E. Bohm.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 7, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Dr. John E. Bohm, member of the Board of Election Commissioners, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty (60) days, beginning July 3, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29104 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. John E. Bohm, member of the Board of Election Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing

July 3, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sand.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing sand for playgrounds during the Fiscal Year 1928-29 and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Linoleum.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing linoleum during the Fiscal Year 1928-29 and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Gymnasium Equipment for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing gymnasium equipment for School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

X-Ray Films for San Francisco Hospital.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Stock Furniture for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing stock furniture for School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Lithographed Bonds.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing 24,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds and 41,000 Spring Valley Bonds and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Supervisor McSheehy's Report of Bridge Committee.

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco was held on Monday, the 11th day of June, 1928, beginning at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m. of said day, at the chambers of the Board, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Acting Mayor Havenner presided.

* * * * *

After the transaction of other business the following proceedings were had in relation to the report of Bridge Committee:

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board: Mr. Dunnigan has my report; I wish he would read it. Time could be saved.

Supervisor Todd: Is this the same report we had before?

Supervisor McSheehy: No, sir, it is not.

(Clerk reads Supervisors McSheehy's report.)

San Francisco, Calif.,
June 8, 1928.

To the Members of the Special Bridge Committee:

I submit the following report as Chairman of the Special Bridge Committee:

On the 8th day of December, 1927, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco passed the following resolution:

"Resolution No. 28135.

"Resolved, That Supervisor James B. McSheehy be and is hereby authorized and directed to proceed to the City of Washington, in the District of Columbia, and then and there present to the Congress of the United States a bill presented to the Board of Supervisors granting permission to the City and County of San Francisco to construct a transbay bridge from the City of San Francisco to the County of Alameda; and, be it

"Further Resolved, That the said James B. McSheehy be and is hereby given authority to consent to all minor changes in the wording of said bill which he may deem proper, provided that the said changes do not change the tenor or effect of said bill; and, be it

"Further Resolved, That James B. McSheehy be and is hereby authorized and directed to use all honorable means and efforts to obtain favorable action of said Congress on said bill; and, be it

"Further Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be hereby appropriated from the money in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, not otherwise appropriated, to defray his expenses to and from the City of Washington, and while remaining in said city and engaged in the presentation of or in the urging of the passing of said bill.

"*Finally passed* by the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, December 5, 1927.

"Ayes — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Haven-

ner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd.

"No—Supervisor Byington.

"Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon.

"J. S. DUNNIGAN,
"Clerk.

"Approved: San Francisco, December 8, 1927.

"JAMES ROLPH, JR.
"Mayor."

As instructed by this resolution, I presented to Senator Hiram W. Johnson and Congressman Richard J. Welch this bill, which is known as Senate Bill 1762, and House Bill 7467.

The plans and specifications of the proposed bridge are on file in booklet form, 90 pages, in the War Department, and they call for a combination high level, low level tube and bridge. Two tubes are called for under the Estuary. The cost of the structure and the estimated tolls are not given.

On March 21 and 22 the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committees of the House of Representatives heard the applications for bridge permits to cross San Francisco Bay: Rincon Hill, City of San Francisco, Bridge Bill 7467; Telegraph Hill, Knutson Bill, No. 10760; near Hunter's Point, Webb Bill, No. 8712. The hearings consumed ten hours, eight hours of which were taken up by the City of San Francisco. Nineteen witnesses were heard. The committee consists of twenty-three members, seventeen of whom are lawyers and only six have ever visited San Francisco. There was an average attendance of fourteen members. I counted twenty-one members present the first day.

The following facts were very forcibly brought out in the hearing:

1. San Francisco, Oakland, Berkeley and Alameda are united on our proposed site.

2. It is the shortest route between San Francisco, Oakland, Berkeley and Alameda.

3. It will serve all four cities from isolated points where there is no congestion.

4. Sixty-five thousand people cross the Bay twice a day.

5. The vehicular traffic in 1920 was 775,000; in 1927 3,500,000, and in 1934 it will be 6,000,000.

6. In the peak hours of the mornings and evenings ferry boats leave on an average of one every minute from the ferry slips. The average is 35 trips an hour, 24 hours a day.

7. It took the same time 60 years ago to cross the bay in the ferry boats as it does today.

8. It takes 30 minutes to go from the City Hall in Oakland to the Ferry Building in San Francisco, a distance of about eight miles. By the proposed Rincon-Hill-Alameda Mole bridge route it will take 18 minutes.

9. Fogs are prevalent in San Francisco Bay the year round and especially in the summer time. These are generally what are known as the tule fogs. They are often so dense you cannot see 50 feet ahead of you. Picture a steamer going through our bay with ferry boats running at right angles to it every minute. Consider the danger to the steamer and the ferry boat, which, in peak hours, carries 3000 people. Accidents have happened and accidents will happen if the congestion of the San Francisco Bay is not minimized.

Regarding the crossing of San Francisco Bay, on October 7, 1921, the War Department announced a policy dealing with the construction of bridges or tubes in which it was stated:

(1) That no bridge of any kind will be approved north of Hunter's Point.

(2) That no low bridge will be approved north of San Mateo.

(3) That a tunnel crossing of the bay will be approved in any location, provided that the highest part of the structure is placed at least 50 feet below mean lower low water, and proper compensation is made for obstruction of cross-section as affecting tidal flow.

(4) That a combined bridge and tunnel will be approved at a location south of the proposed Alameda Naval Base site, provided that the tunnel is so placed as to leave the channel along the San Francisco side unobstructed to a depth of 50 feet at mean lower low water for a width of 3000 feet measured from the nearest point of the pierhead line, and provided that proper compensation is made for obstruction of cross-section as affecting tidal flow.

(5) That not more than one crossing will be approved at present in any location north of San Mateo.

Favorable consideration will be given to a plan conforming to these requirements and having the necessary financial backing and the support of the local authorities of the cities and counties affected.

General Jadwin and Admirals Hughes and Gregory were very firm in reference to this policy and they quoted the same in their writ-

ten statement in opposition to our bill.

Congressman Denison, vice-chairman of the Committee, stated that 172 bridge permits were issued by Congress and the War Department from December 5, 1927, to March 22, 1927. They are issued in two forms: one by Congress direct, known as the interstate permit, and the other by the War Department, known as the intrastate permit. The passing of our Bridge Bill would mean that Congress would have to repeal the act of March 23, 1906, regulating the construction of bridges over navigable waters. In other words, our bill is asking for the repeal of an act that would destroy one of the most important functions of the United States War Department, the department in charge of bridges over navigable waters. This department has officers and functions in every important harbor in this country. Here in San Francisco we have District No. 1, under the command of Colonel T. H. Jackson.

The hearing in the Senate Committee was somewhat similar to that in the House. There are nineteen members in the Commerce Committee of the Senate. It took ten hours to hear all the witnesses. The Knutson and the Webb bills were not heard, as they had not been presented in the Senate. There was an average of four Senators in attendance. Eleven witnesses were heard.

Senator Johnson conducted the entire proceedings.

During the hearing, Senator Jones, chairman of the Commerce Committee, stated that the assignment of construction to private companies was dangerous.

On April 17, 1928, the Committee on Commerce in the Senate reported a bill out just as suggested by Senator Jones, striking out three entire sections, or almost cutting the bill in half.

While riding to and from Washington I carefully read and analyzed the engineers' booklet report for a combination bridge and tube. Here are three sections from said report:

"Page 89—Cost Estimate: Owing to lack of information regarding foundations, it was believed inadvisable to make any estimate of the cost of the bridge, as such estimate, if made, would be a little more than guess and would be misleading. For this reason no discussion of the financial program is possible.

"Page 72—Proposals have been submitted to the Board of Supervisors to construct tunnels or tubes under the bay or under the deep channel portion of it. Engineers of high standing have advocated such a solution of the transbay problem. This type of structure has not been given consideration in the report, as the language of the instruction limits consideration to bridges."

These instructions were given by the Board of Supervisors and as Chairman of the Supervisors' Committee I feel that I am safe in saying that the same body may modify its instructions.

"Page 82—Railroad and highway tubes will cross the Estuary about at right angles. The railroad tubes will be designed to provide for two tracks. If in the future a third track is needed, a single-track tube may be constructed adjacent to the first one. It is not wise to provide for it at this time, as it will probably not be needed for the first ten years of bridge operation. No pedestrian walk need be provided, but there should be refuge niches in the walls for the use of men working in the tube.

"The highway tube should be wide enough for a 20-foot clear roadway and a narrow foot walk for use in emergencies. This would provide for two lanes of vehicles. Facilities for adequate ventilation must be made in the design. It is estimated that a 20-foot roadway will be sufficient for the first installation, since not all of the automobile bridge traffic will use this tube. Some will use the Harrison street tube, now under construction, and a considerable number will go through Alameda. Should traffic through the new tube grow at a more rapid rate than is anticipated, and it becomes necessary to do so, additional tube may then be constructed, either alongside the first one or at some other location that may be selected.

"The tops of both the railroad and highway tubes must be 40 feet below mean low water and the approach grades will not exceed 4 per cent and 6 per cent for the railroad and highway tubes, respectively. The approaches at both ends will be open as they descend until they reach a depth sufficient for a roof below the surface of the ground."

On the 3d day of January I received a statement, accompanied by a set of plans, from C. M. King, engineer in charge of the construction of the tube under the Estuary, showing that a combination tube

and low-level bridge between Oakland and Alameda could be built for $33,000,000.

The Construction Company of North America filed the following summary of cost and tolls for their high-level bridge from Rincon Hill to Oakland Mole:

Superstructure—

2 decks, 6 railroad tracks, 6 auto lanes, including Oakland terminal, $28,750,000.

Deck flooring, tracks, lighting and signals, $9,000,000.

San Francisco terminal, including land purchases and damages, $7,500,000.

Foundations, $35,000,000.

Contingencies, engineering, and so forth, $8,750,000.

Financing, $11,000,000.

Total, $100,000,000.

Also the following is an estimate of the tolls that will be collected: In 1933—

Vehicular, 6,000,000, at 80 cents, $4,800,000.

Passengers (local), 54,000,000, at 6 cents, $3,240,000.

Auto freight, 1,000,000 tons, at $1.20 per ton, $1,200,000.

Passengers (main line), 2,000,000, at 15 cents, $300,000.

Power lines, tables and concessions, $250,000.

Total, $9,790,000.

This proposed bridge was shorter than the one offered by our board of engineers. The company spent a large sum of money in gathering data and preparing plans and specifications. It was represented by three prominent local engineers, one of whom served as junior engineer for a number of years under the late Howard Holmes, who designed most of our harbor improvements for the State. R. C. Hackley and E. D. Bronson filed statements with their applications for high-level bridge franchise over almost the same route as called for in our bill which would cost $100,000,000. It seems to be the unanimous opinion that the high-level tube and bridge, recommended by our board of engineers, will cost $100,000,000.

Congress adjourned on the 29th day of May to reconvene on the first Monday in December, 1928. Our bridge bill would have been reported out favorably by the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee of the House if the author of the bill would eliminate that portion of the bill making it mandatory that the Secretary of the Navy approve the plan as called for. This the author properly refused to do because if such section of the bill is omitted the bill would not mean anything; in other words, it would be an idle act. This is the crux of the situation as far as our legislation is at present concerned. The bill will not come out of the House Committee unless it is agreed that the Secretary of the Navy be given power to approve plans; it will not be voted upon by the Senate unless it is reported favorably by the House Committee. In other words, we face the necessity either of conforming to the War Department's wishes or of getting no bridge. The net result of our labors, therefore, is that we find ourselves again confronted by the Navy's objections, which, try as we might, we have not been able to overcome. It is chiefly for this reason, as well as others I shall set forth, that I now propose and ask you to sanction plans for a bay crossing that will meet the Navy's objections and will be economically feasible.

As Chairman of the Bridge Committee, I now suggest a modification of the bridge plan submitted by our board of engineers. Enclosed you will find four drawings showing in detail this modification. The plans do not call for any change in route, either on the San Francisco or the Alameda side. They simply call for a low-level bridge and tube instead of a high-level bridge and tube recommended by our board of engineers. The ruling of the War Department, dated October 7, 1921, paragraph 4, states that a combination bridge and tunnel will be approved at a location south of the proposed Alameda Naval Base site, provided that the tunnel is so placed as to leave the channel along the San Francisco side unobstructed to a depth of 50 feet at mean lower low water for a width of 3000 feet, measured from the nearest point of the pierhead line, and provided that proper compensation is made for the obstruction of cross-section as affecting tidal flow. This modification that I am suggesting will meet this requirement and will enable us to get a permit to erect a bridge across San Francisco Bay.

There are three fundamentals that govern all such enterprises: cost, receipts and disbursements. The cost of the bridge was not given because, as stated in the engineer's report, on page 89, information regarding foundations was not obtainable. Statements have been made that it will cost $300,000 to $500,000 for this information, and in this year's budget we have placed

an item of $100,000 for "Bay Bridge Investigation," provided that no part of this item shall be expended but for this purpose unless and until the federal government has granted a permit for a bay bridge. In my opinion this money will never be spent for the present bay bridge as recommended by the board of engineers because the War Department will never give a permit.

Soundings were made in the bay close to Goat Island and they show that bed rock is 245 feet below the bottom of the bay. There is every reason to believe that the same condition exists at Rincon Hill, and if such is the case, it would be impossible to erect a high-level bridge because pressure is so great at 245 feet that it would be impractical to put a foundation down at that depth.

The present high-level, low-level tube and bridge called for in the engineers' report, dated May 5, 1927, will cost at least $100,000,000. I challenge anyone to contradict this statement. I have positive figures showing the cost. An investment of such a huge sum of money would require a toll of at least $1 per automobile. This is economically impractical. Now, why continue advocating the erection of a bridge that is impossible to construct? Why continue to advocate something for which we cannot get a permit? Gentlemen, do we want a bridge, or do we not? If we want a bridge, why, then, continue to advocate this impossibility? If we do want a bridge, let us look at it in a practical light and advocate something that is practical and something that we can receive a permit to construct.

It is nothing uncommon for a committee to change plans, especially where certain objections arise that they cannot overcome. We are in that position in reference to our bridge plans. We have accepted a set of plans that we find we cannot receive a permit to erect. We also find that the cost is prohibitive. As business men, what are we going to do? We know a bridge must be erected across San Francisco Bay. We know that the proper location on the San Francisco side is Rincon Hill, and we know that the proper location on the Alameda side is the site designated by our board of engineers. Then, why not change the plan?

The following is the cost of the modified plan that I am submitting to you:

Tube and Bridge.

Depot building, purchase of property, damage to property, $3,000,000.

Beale street cut, 1190 lineal feet, $595,000.

Beale street tunnel, 2250 lineal feet, $3,130,000.

Tube, 6000 lineal feet, $12,000,000.

Steel bridge, 6000 lineal feet, $4,050,000.

Trestle bridge, 5000 lineal feet, $2,000,000.

Tube bridge, 6400 lineal feet, $5,120,000.

Roadway, 17,600 lineal feet, $4,400,000.

Engineering and so forth, $3,429,500.

Total, $37,724,500.

Year 1934.

6,000,000 automobiles at 50c, $3,000,000.

12,000,000 passengers, two to the machine, $600,000.

55,000,000 interurban passengers at 2c, $1,100,000.

1,000.000 tons freight at 50c, $500,000.

Total receipts, $5,200,000.

Disbursements.

Operating expense, $300,000.

Maintenance, 2 per cent, $754,490.

Interest, 5 per cent, $1,886,225.

Depreciation, 2 per cent, $754,490.

Total, $3,695,205.

There seems to be a grave doubt in the minds of some as to the feasibility of tube construction. Let me call your attention to the fact that the engineers in their plan advocate the erection of two tubes that are longer than the tube that I am advocating. The two tubes as called for in the engineers' plan were placed under the Estuary, so as not to block the Oakland harbor. Is there anything unreasonable in the same request being made by the War Department, which has charge of our harbor? The Department has stated that they will give us a permit if we will meet with that request. I have quoted that section to you: paragraph 4 of their policy of October 7, 1921.

The cost of maintenance of a tube is far less than the cost of maintenance of a high-level bridge. I have figures here from the city of Pittsburgh showing that the cost of maintenance of two tubes 1⅛ miles long is a little less than $90,000 a year. I have an itemized statement from the superintendent in charge of those tubes.

The modified plan that I am suggesting will have a terminal at Beale and Mission streets. Within a radius of one-half mile of this

point 100,000 people are working; at least 50,000 of them reside across the bay and commute daily. It is an ideal point for a terminal. Beale street is an isolated street with very few buildings. It is at the foot of Rincon Hill; it is of a rock formation that lends itself readily to tunnel construction. It leads right into Pier 34, which is the pier that the engineers designate as the point to take off their high-level bridge. Tube construction is not new, but tube construction for automobile usage is new, and New York has solved that problem for us. An estimated maximum, daily, of 46,000 machines pass through the Holland tubes, and the ventilation is so perfect that the carbon monoxide has been reduced to four ten thousandths parts of air. As I have stated, the City of Pittsburgh has two tubes running through the city 1⅛ miles long that are perfectly ventilated. The City of Oakland is just about to complete the tube that will connect Oakland and Alameda and its engineers have followed the New York plan of ventilation. This tube is 4600 feet long, a little less than one mile, and it has cost four and one-half millions of dollars, the exact amount allowed in the bond issue for the construction of the tube. It will be completed and ready for service about the first of September of this year. I honestly believe that if the board of engineers had the information that I am submitting to you here today in reference to this low-level bridge and tube, that they would have recommended that in their report instead of the high-level bridge and tube that they have recommended, assuming they did not have specific instructions otherwise.

As chairman of the Bridge Committee I have come to these conclusions:

1. That a bridge is a positive necessity for the future welfare and prosperity of the cities of San Francisco, Oakland, Berkeley and Alameda.

2. That the Rincon Hill and the Alameda terminii, as designated in the engineers' report, are the sites at which the bridge should be erected.

3. That the cost should be such that it would be practical to place a toll of not more than 50 cents per automobile and not a toll of $1, that would have to be charged in case we should make an investment of $100,000,000. The modified plan that I am suggesting will cost $38,000,000, and you have an itemization of that cost before you.

In closing, I desire to call your attention to pragraph 5 of the War Department's policy of October 7, 1921, in which it is stated that no more than one crossing will be approved at any location north of San Mateo. Now, gentlemen, we know the state of mind of our sister county to the south. Three members have stood loyally by us in reference to our wishes that a franchise be denied, but two have advocated the giving of a franchise. If one member should be won over to those two, a franchise would be given and San Francisco would be high and dry with no bridge across our bay.

In this situation we are faced with the necessity of speedy and decisive action. I am honestly convinced, and I have letters from scores of our citizens who agree with me, that the only means of overcoming the War Department's objections, of bridging our bay and of securing for our great communities the adequate transportation facilities they need and must have, is the modification of the plans along the lines I have suggested and the construction at once of a low-level bridge that will be legally possible, practical and economical. In this conclusion I trust I may have your agreement and in our future plans I shall seek your cooperation.

Supervisor Roncovieri: What is the bottom of the Bay? Silt, mud?

Supervisor McSheehy: Yes.

Supervisor Roncovieri: 245 feet below that?

Supervisor McSheehy: Yes.

(At this point Supervisor Todd acts as chairman.)

Supervisor Shannon: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask, through the chair, a question of Mr. McSheehy: Mr. McSheehy, do you think it is useless for us to attempt to proceed further in the attempt to get the Welch and Johnson bills through Congress?

Supervisor McSheehy: I do. Members of the Board, you have just heard my report. I am sorry that there is not a full attendance of the Board, but, of course, I cannot govern that no more than any other man can. The necessity of the bridge I do not think requires any argument on my part whatsoever. I do not think it requires any argument on the part of any member of this Board. I think San Francisco as a whole are united that we need a bridge across San Francisco Bay. We cannot continue the way we are going on. Now, members of the Board, we have

had this matter before us, and we have sat here, some of us older members, for twenty-odd weeks, listening to some twenty-eight applicants. Up to that time there were twenty-eight applicants, and since then there have been a number more come in. We listened for twenty odd weeks, and we came to the conclusion that the Rincon Hill site is the proper site for a bridge from the San Francisco side. We have come to the conclusion that the Alameda side, as recommended by our engineers, is the proper site from the other side. Now, in the engineers' report they said that they did not have certain data. This plan—this is not a plan, it is a perspective bird's eye view of the bridge. I did not draw this. I drew every line of every other plan that will be presented to you in the small booklet form except this particular plan, and this is a sort of a bird's eye or an artist's design of it. I am going to ask the Clerk to pass this around.

Now, members of the Board, as I stated, the cost of this bridge—consideration should be given, first, to the cost of the bridge. The cost has never been given to you on account, as the engineers said, that they have not data in reference to the foundations of the Bay. I do not deny that fact entirely. There is certain data which they have had and I think they can get and which they have today and that is in reference to certain borings which were made at Goat Island, showing 245 feet depth.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Who made those borings?

Supervisor McSheehy: They were made by a construction company under Mr. Harrelson, who was formerly a member of this Board, and some construction company made the borings and paid for them individually. And Mr. Harrelson made the borings for the construction company, a former member of this Board. And they show 245 feet. If those same borings reflect into the Rincon Hill site, it is impracticable to build a bridge at that site, a high-level bridge, and that has been so stated by the engineers, not once but several times. Now, we made an appropriation of $100,000 for the purpose of making studies, and we very wisely placed a rider on that appropriation, stating that not one dollar of that money can be used until a permit is given to us by the War Department. And that was a very wise provision to make.

(At this point Acting Mayor Havenner acted as chairman.)

Supervisor McSheehy (continuing): Why did we make that? Because the War Department, on October 7, 1921, very carefully prepared a statement, in the form of a policy, and submitted it to the City and County of San Francisco, stating under what conditions they would give a permit for a bridge. And we went back to them in June last year, almost this time of the year, and we presented our case to them and they reaffirmed their rulings of October 7, 1921. And then we came back home here and we asked and we presented two bills to the Congress of the United States, and they appeared before the Congressional committees and reaffirmed their same stand. Now, what does that stand mean? It means simply this: The War Department is an arm of the United States government that functions all over the United States. It does not function in one particular locality. Right here in San Francisco we have Colonel Jackson in charge of the rivers and harbors here, representing the United States government, and you are asking the rescinding of the law that allows that man and allows his whole department to function. Well, what is the outcome of it? You find that the Senate passes the first portion of it, but under certain conditions. Now, what has the House told you? Members of the House have told you that they will pass our particular bridge bill if we rescind that particular section of the bill. If we do that the bill is an idle act and it is meaningless—it does not mean anything. Now, what are we going to continue to do? Are we going to continue to ask for something that it is impossible to get? Are we going to chase rainbows all over the United States, or are we going to treat this as practical men should treat it? It was only two weeks from today that this very Board was called upon to change the plans of the opera house over here. As a member of the Board of Trustees I came in here and recommended a resolution to this Board to change the plans for that opera house from 4000 to 3000, which would shrink the entire building and which netted a saving of $250,000 which will be reflected in other parts of the building.

Now, members of the Board, I want to give you just a little history about that, which I can, as a member of the Board of Trustees. Mr. John Drum—and I do not like

mentioning the gentleman's name and I mention it in all due respect —Mr. John Drum was very firm, as chairman of that committee, that the opera house could be erected with a seating capacity of 4000, and he stayed very firm on that subject, but he received such advice from so many people who had knowledge of opera houses and what acoustics meant pertaining to them, that he changed his mind, and the entire Board of Trustees changed their mind, and they brought in here a report asking this Board to countenance a change of the plans so that that opera house could be erected with a seating capacity of 3500 instead of 4000. Now, members of the Board, we are in that same position here today. We have started out with a plan to erect a bridge across San Francisco Bay, and we are confronted with two obstacles: Obstacle No. 1: The government will not give us a permit for that plan because it obstructs the navigation of San Francisco Bay. The city of New York, for fifty years, asked the government for a permit to erect a bridge from New York to New Jersey over the lower section of the river—and New York is no mean city—and the government positively refused, and the outcome of that refusal was the erection of two tubes under the river today, connecting New York and New Jersey, known as the Holland tubes, and those tubes have been erected and those tubes are functioning today, and they are carrying 46,000 people. I do not want to talk about what happened some weeks ago. But I was contradicted in that statement, and I stated I would look up the data, and I have, and I say 46,000 and I have the estimate from the department of New York, showing that amount of automobiles that crossed under those tubes.

Now, what position do we find ourselves in, gentlemen? We want a bridge and we want it badly because San Francisco demands a bridge, San Francisco needs a bridge for her prosperity in the future. She should have a bridge. Now, how are we going to get the bridge? We have got to get a permit from our government. We must get a permit. And therefore we must comply with the orders of our government, and they have described certain orders laid down on October 7, 1921. Now, what are those orders asking for? They are asking for you to erect a combination tube and bridge under this portion of the bay, and I have read to you the rule and I will read it to you again. If any man is in doubt of it I will read you Section 4 again, and it is as clear as clear can be. They will give you a permit, under certain conditions. Now, we will go into the financial aspect, and what do we find? What do we find in regard to the financial aspect? We find in the financial aspect—and I will give you figures, I will go into details with any engineer, I will go into details with any man with reference to those figures because I have carefully compiled those figures, and I have sought a great deal of advice in those figures, and I could not make them public if I did not feel that I was right. Now, what do those figures show? They show that a combination bridge and tube can be erected for practically $38,000,000. There is a great difference between $100,000,000 and $38,000,000. Now, we are not taking any risk, we are not doing any pioneering in this matter. Here is a tube. Now, that tube comes over from the city of Oakland, connecting Oakland and Alameda. The same identical tube is over there only smaller. Now, I never took this from the clouds. This is no visionary matter with me. I practically went into this entire matter, and that tube is over there today, only it is a smaller tube, and the same engineer that drew that tube over there and the same engineer that erected that tube—and I never met the man before in my life until I met him the 3rd day of January of this year—that same engineer has submitted to you a combination tube and bridge plan here a week ago, from 16th street. Now, I am not in accord at all with the man's location. I am not in any manner or form in accord with the man's location. But the man has a standing as an engineer and his work speaks for itself.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Who is he?

Supervisor McSheehy: His name is King, he is the engineer in charge of the tube which crosses from Alameda to Oakland. And that man erected that tube in 200-foot lengths, by simply going in the bay and trenching it out, and we have the tube like one would erect any type of pipe. And he was told by one of our own Board of Engineers that it was impracticable and impossible, but he erected the tube and it speaks for itself, it is there today, and automobiles will be passing through it along about the 1st of September of this year.

Now, members of the Board, I do

not want to get off on any tangent, but I am going to take you first, as shortly as possible, over our own engineers' report. This map here was the map that was presented in Washington, the exact map. And what does it show? It shows that our engineers here start, we will say, at First and Fremont streets and run along Tehama street to Seventh, down Seventh to Bryant and back Bryant to Rincon Hill and from there over to Oakland. Now, that was to be an elevated railroad. And that served that section, and you gentlemen can visualize that section, it is a section where very few people work and very few people travel on the railroads. The Folsom street line and the Howard street line are two units of our present Market street roads that are not paying. Now, that section started in there and it went right over and crossed over from Rincon Hill, or Pier 34 to the Alameda Mole and ran just as you see over here, with these two tubes here (indicating on map). I see some of the members that were not here before. I want you to remember clearly that the engineer called for two tubes in his report, and those two tubes are under the Estuary there, and they are practically called for on the drawings, 3600 feet the engineer says, and they are about 4000 feet long, they will be closer to 4000 than they will to 3600, but the drawings which I have here show exactly that amount. Now, why were those two tubes placed there? They were placed there to meet with the objection of the city of Oakland, so as not to block their harbor. Now, that is the only consideration our government is asking for. They ask you not to block our harbor in San Francisco, and they prescribe for you certain rules for you to follow, and if you follow them you do not block the harbor.

Now, I have changed that route to go out Beale and Mission streets. Why did I do that? Beale street, if you will visualize it, members of the Board, is an isolated street. There are two blocks on Beale street that there is not even one building on. It is an isolated street, about 80 feet wide. As I said in my report, it is at the foot of Rincon Hill, it is of a sort of a rock formation all through and lends itself to tunnel construction. Now, that is our own street. We tunnel underneath there and we condemn only one block between Beale and Mission street, or between Beale and Mission and Howard and Mission street; there is one block and one block only. And there is not a pretentious building on that entire block. And that is the only block of land that we have to condemn or purchase with reference to this particular route. Now, within a radius of one-half a mile of Beale and Mission streets there are about 100,000 people working. 100,000 people working! So that terminal there would serve that entire number of people. About 50,000 of those people are commuters. There are 65,000 commuters a day cross our bay. So we are serving these people, we are giving something and we are rendering a service. Now, I have made this as a suggestion clear through in the modification of this plan.

Now, members of the Board, may I simply say this to you: you have been over this a great many times, you have heard a great deal about it. My modification of the plan has not met with the approval, perhaps to some degree, of our newspapers here in San Francisco. For what reason I do not know. I cannot, for the life of me understand. I have not attempted to and I will not attempt to go to the papers. I do not know whether they have received the reports I have made or not. But it has not met, as we would term it, with any particular popular approval. And therefore I know the attitude of this Board. I have been here a great many years. But let me say this to you, members of the Board: that, when the plans were changed for the War Memorial, that Mr. Redfern Mason, a writer on one of our papers, was the principal advocator for the change of the plans of the War Memorial. He wrote to me and he called on me in person and he asked me to do what I could to help. And he went on to show me why the plans of the War Memorial, the building, should be shrunk, and that the opera house should have a seating capacity of 3500 and not 4000. I said to Mr. Mason, "It is a wonder the papers do not take this up, and especially the paper that you are connected with." He said, "I cannot get a line in the paper, I have written articles and I have had friends of mine write articles, and it won't take, and there is nothing doing, that is all, I cannot do anything only to appeal to you as a member of the Board of Supervisors and appeal to your colleagues on the Board." And he did that, and the outcome of it was that we changed the plans. Now, I am appealing to you gentlemen. Do you

want to build a bridge across San Francisco Bay? If you want to build a bridge across San Francisco Bay, I tell you, as Chairman of this committee, a man who had gone to Washington, a man who has been actually crucified by the newspapers of San Francisco in reference to the advocacy of this modification of the plan that I am offering, I tell you that you cannot build the present bridge projected, for the reason that your government will not give you a permit, and secondly, I tell you that the cost is prohibitive. The engineer is not here this morning. The Engineer cannot come here and defend his stand on this matter. The Engineer never attended the meeting the other day, no, because he cannot defend his stand. When he was here three weeks ago—I have here the record, showing certain questions that I asked the Engineer, and you know the manner and form that he answered——.

Supervisor Shannon (interrupting): Whom are you referring to?

Supervisor McSheehy: I am referring to Chief Engineer O'Shaughnessy, that is the gentleman I am referring to, sir.

Supervisor Shannon: I would like to ask a question of Mr. McSheehy, through the Chair; as long as you have referred to the matter of three weeks ago, I was not here at that time.

Supervisor McSheehy: I wish you were.

Supervisor Shannon: I notice that your report is continued until this date. Are you making the report at this time that you made at that time?

Supervisor McSheehy: No, I am making an entirely different report.

Supervisor Shannon: How do you get before the Board with this new report? There is something I do not understand. It is continued from May 21st to this date. How are you making an entirely new report?

Supervisor McSheehy: I will tell you, Supervisor: Supervisor Gallagher, by motion here on the 21st day of May, instructed me, as Chairman, his motion instructed me and it was adopted unanimously by this Board, to report this matter in here today as Chairman of the committee.

Supervisor Shannon: To report what matter?

Supervisor McSheehy: This bridge matter in here today.

Supervisor Shannon: Your report of May 21st, I recall when Mr. Gallagher requested that, due to the fact that he did not hear the report, he would like to hear it and he wanted to hear from you on the subject. Now, you are not making the report of the Special Bridge Committee that went to Washington?

Supervisor McSheehy: I am, sir.

Supervisor Shannon: You are reporting Supervisor McSheehy's report, on the matter of tubes, that is what you are doing. You are not giving us a report on what took place in Washington when the Special Bridge Committee went there to make the presentation that San Francisco sent you there on. That is the point that I am making. And I am not finding fault with you on your own opinion, get that straight, but I do not think you are before the Board properly. And I appeal to the chair that Mr. McSheehy is not in here in proper form. Mr. Chairman, I am making this point, that, according to this calendar, it says, "May 21, 1928, continued" to this date. Now, as I understood it, Mr. McSheehy was to make a report to the Board as to what transpired in Washington when the Special Bridge Committee made its presentation to the departments and the Congress of the United States. Now, I contend that he is not doing that, that he is making an appeal to the membership of this Board to adopt his plan of tubes. Now, I think that the proper method of procedure for any plan of that kind is to do what has been done with the twenty odd other applications that are before the Board, file, advertise, let them go six weeks, when the time of advertisement has expired, then make the presentation to the Board. But just because he is a member of the Board and making a presentation of this kind, I feel that it is all out of order. I do not believe Mr. McSheehy is properly before the Board in any respect. If he wants to make a presentation to the Board of the report of the Special Bridge Committee that went to Washington, of which he has been honored as being Chairman, that is something I think the Board should listen to. But, for a member of the Board to take advantage of his position as Chairman of the Special Committee and come in here and make an appeal to the Board, I feel it is all out of order, and I so make the point.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman, may I reply to the Supervisor? The Supervisor attends the meetings so seldom that, of course, it is rather hard——

Supervisor Shannon (interrupt-

ing): Now, that is not right, Mr. McSheehy. I have been very fair and for you to say that I attend meetings so seldom is all wrong, and I challenge you, here and now, to make good that statement that I am so seldom at meetings. I was absent from the meeting last Monday, I was absent in Los Angeles, from the meeting three weeks ago. Now tell me some other dates when I have been absent?

Supervisor McSheehy: I will make this statement, Supervisor: that, if you will take the record here, you will find you have been absent more from this Board since the first day of January than any other member of the Board.

Supervisor Shannon: Now I do not think that you can say that when you have been absent more yourself than any other member of the Board, away on official business. Now, you have made the statement and I challenge you—you do not need to tell me to take the calendar —I challenge you to get the calendar of the Board and show that I have been away more than any other member of the Board.

Supervisor McSheehy: All right, sir.

Supervisor Shannon: I want it brought in, and I would like to have a ruling on my point of order.

Supervisor McSheehy: I hope the Chair will not allow this to get into any acrimonious debate.

Supervisor Shannon: You are bringing on the acrimonious debate.

Supervisor McSheehy: I would ask the order of the Chair on the matter. First of all, I will accept the Supervisor's challenge and, before the day is over, I will have a record in here showing the attendance of every member of the Board. If I am wrong, I will apologize, if I am not wrong I am going to ask your apology, Supervisor. No. 2, I have here, which you know, Mr. Chairman, Supervisor Gallagher made this motion, which I will read to you. And it was under that motion and under that motion only that I submitted this report to the committee last Friday.

Supervisor Shannon: Let us hear the motion.

Supervisor McSheehy: Here is the motion of Supervisor Gallagher —I have not the motion here, I will read you the letter——

Supervisor Shannon (interrupting): No, we want the motion.

Supervisor McSheehy: Will you kindly look up that motion of Supervisor Gallagher of three weeks ago. I have here the letter that I addressed to Honorable James Rolph, Jr., Hon. M. M. O'Shaughnessy and Hon. John J. O'Toole, City Attorney. "I have been instructed by Supervisor McSheehy" (reading).

Supervisor Shannon: Did you do it?

Supervisor McSheehy: I will answer the question after I have finished. This does not require any such questions of that kind. "This meeting is being called for the purpose of receiving" (concluding reading of the letter).

Supervisor Shannon: I rise to a question of information. The letter just read by the Supervisor states that the meeting was to be called on the 8th of June. I would like to ask if Mr. Martin was present, I would like to have Mr. Martin sent for.

(Mr. Martin, Assistant Clerk of the Board, comes into the chambers of the Board.)

Supervisor Shannon: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask, through the Chair, some questions of the Clerk of the committee: Mr. Martin, did you send out notices for a meeting of the Special Bridge Committee called for the 8th of June?

Mr. Martin: Yes, sir.

Supervisor Shannon: How many notices did you send out?

Mr. Martin: Four.

Supervisor Shannon: To whom?

Mr. Martin: The Mayor, City Attorney, City Engineer, Mr. O'Shaughnessy.

Supervisor Shannon: Did you ignore Mr. Havenner?

Mr. Martin: Sir?

Supervisor Shannon: Did you ignore Mr. Havenner?

Mr. Martin: I sent them as requested by Supervisor McSheehy.

Supervisor Shannon: He did not request that that be sent to the full membership of the committee?

Mr. Martin: Just those four.

Supervisor Shannon: And how many were present at the meeting?

Mr. Martin: One, Supervisor McSheehy.

Supervisor Shannon: And that is the report that we are receiving this morning, I should judge, Mr. Chairman, and if such, I fail to see how we can receive a report from a committee when only one member of the committee was present at the meeting, and we know that, in addition to yourself and Mr. McSheehy, that the engineer and the Mayor and the City Attorney and the three engineers are members of that committee. Some eight or nine members of the committee and one man attended. So I move the further point of order, that the mat-

ter is not properly before us, as there was not a quorum present at the meeting.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman, in reply to same, I do not want to differ with the Chair, the committee consisting of only four members and there were only four members that went to Washington on this entire matter. I say that, with all due respect to the Chair.

The Chairman (Supervisor Havenner): The Chair would like to remind the Supervisor that the Bridge Committee, originally appointed by this Board, has never been dismissed and has never been changed, and was not recreated at the time that the last mission went to Washington, and that would have to be the ruling of the Chair because the Chair is absolutely certain that the original Bridge Committee has not been dismissed, and that no change has been made in its personnel.

Supervisor Shannon: There have attempts been made to dismiss them but unsuccessfully.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will merely say this: if there has been such an attempt, the motion was entirely made by the gentleman who just made the statement, and every statement that is being made in that line I will positively bring to this Board. My memory is rather fair in that matter. I am not differing with the Chair, but I do know that the present member who is raising the points of order made several attempts to have the committee discharged.

Supervisor Shannon: And unsuccessfully, which proves the Chair's contention.

Supervisor McSheehy: All right, sir. Now, with reference to this matter, Mr. Chairman, I will simply say this: that I brought this report in. The Chairman of the Finance Committee just states that it is no report. You, as Chairman of the Finance Committee, at your Finance Committee hearing last Friday, entirely alone, transacted the entire business of that committee. And the Chairman of the Utilities Committee, to my knowledge, not once but dozens of times, has transacted the business of that committee.

Supervisor Shannon: I challenge that statement.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will never forget one day, I was five minutes late in attending that committee and the committee had adjourned. So that is a common occurrence here, of individual members transacting the city's business where other members of the committee do not attend the meeting. If we did not do that, we would find, especially in various committees, we would find a lot of the city's business being held up and not properly attended to. So the Chairman of the committee invariably, after calling a meeting and sending out notices to members of the committee, calls the meeting to order and transacts the business. I am not here today in any shape, manner or form trying to be technical——

Supervisor Shannon (interrupting): Now, I think it is all right for a man to make a statement on a point of order here but we do not want him to make a long speech on it. And I want to make the further point, Mr. Chairman, that the committee was not notified to attend the meeting. There is my particular point, that the Special Bridge Committee was not notified to attend the meeting, that the Chairman of the Special Bridge Committee notified the Clerk to send notices to three members of the committee other than himself, and that the full Bridge Committee was not notified to attend. That is my particular point.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman, the point of order—I do not want to differ with the Chair at all, I do not see how the point of order has any particular bearing at this particular time. I have been instructed to bring a report in here. I have brought the report in here. If I made any mistake in not notifying a member of that committee, I did not do it wilfully. As I said, the committee that went to Washington, and the committee that this Board sent to Washington —and I have the entire resolution here—with all due respect, it did not include yourself. You are the last man in the world that I would think of not sending a notice to. So therefore I feel that the point of order is not well taken. And I hope the Chair will so decide so that I can complete this entire matter.

The Chairman: The Chair cannot rule that he is not a member of the Bridge Committee because the Chair is conscious of the fact that he is still a member of the Bridge Committee and will so continue until such time as that committee is dismissed and he is removed from it.

Supervisor McSheehy: I am not going to contend that you are not a

member, for a minute, I will tell you that much, because I have no objection to you as a member of any committee. I simply make this statement, gentlemen——

Supervisor Shannon: What is the ruling on my point of order?

The Chairman: I think, technically, that the point of order is good because I did not receive any notice of a meeting on Friday. I think, furthermore, that the Chairman made a mistake of procedure, at least, in holding a meeting when he knew that the Mayor had left the city, and I do not think that this particular report ought to come before the Board as the committee report when a quorum of the committee was not present.

Supervisor McSheehy: May I simply reply to that. I was ordered by this Board, as Chairman of the committe, to make a report. I was more or less somewhat criticized here a couple of weeks ago for not making a report and the motion was made and a specific time was set, a special order of business was all made for this report, and I am making the report now and I am making it in good faith, and with but one thought in mind and I cannot understand Supervisor Shannon entirely, only as Supervisor Shannon does not want a bridge to cross San Franicso Bay, he has a perfect right to contend on the technicalities that he is contending on, but if Supervisor Shannon is sincere, and wishes a bridge to cross San Francisco Bay, he would at least give some consideration to the report that I am making. Now, he is his own keeper and I am not his keeper and I am making no apologies for him whatsoever.

The Chairman: The Chair, in order that the argument may not be prolonged indefinitely, will have to rule that no report emanating from a committee, which has not received the votes of the majority of the members of the committee, or does not come with the signatures affixed of a majority of the members of the committee, is a committee report.

Supervisor McSheehy: All I can say to that is that——

Supervisor Stanton (interrupting): Was that motion read of Supervisor Gallagher's?

The Clerk: Monday, May 21. 1928. Supervisor Gallagher moved "That Supervisor McSheehy's special bridge committee's report be made a special order of business for 11 a. m. June 11, 1928. So ordered."

Supervisor McSheehy: Gentlemen, after receiving that order from the Board I proceeded to hold a meeting of the committee. I have here a long resolution authorizing the committee and so forth, which I do not want to read, and especially where the Chair contends that he is a member of the committee, and I am not denying it. There is no man on this Board I would accept quicker on any committee because when I had the original appointment of the committee —and by the way, that motion was made, and I want to especially address myself to some of the new members, that motion was made by Supervisor Shannon, and I appointed Supervisor Havenner and Supervisor Harrelson on that committee. So you can understand my position with Supervisor Havenner, it has not changed at all, we might differ——

Supervisor Schmidt (interrupting): There seems to be an order from the Board directing Supervisor McSheehy to bring in a report. The significant point is that, through probably the failure of Supervisor McSheehy or some one, the entire committee was not called to order. Now, surely he cannot bring in a report at the same time. I think what we ought to do is to rescind our action taken for the report this morning, and set it over to another date, asking that the entire committee be communicated with to be present at the hearing, and then have the matter brought into the Board in the proper way. But surely Supervisor McSheehy is between two fires. The Board dictates one policy to him to bring in a report today at 11 o'clock, and through his failure probably, or someone's, the entire committee has not been notified of the meeting and therefore the full attendance was not present. So I think to really get the thing in shape we ought to rescind our action making the request that Supervisor McSheehy bring in his report today and set it over for another date and then let him, as Chairman of the Committee, call the entire committee together.

Supervisor Todd: You do not have to rescind it, extend the time two weeks.

Supervisor Schmidt: We can rescind our action asking that the report be brought in here at 11 o'clock. I move that we rescind the action taken.

The Chairman: If the Chair may make a statement, I think the proper procedure would be to refer this report that Supervisor McSheehy has submitted here back to the Special Bridge Committee, with a request that the Special Bridge Committee report on this matter as

soon as the members can be convened. And, obviously, that cannot be done until the Mayor returns to the city.

Supervisor Powers: I will make that as a motion.

Supervisor Schmidt: I will second that motion.

Supervisor Stanton: It seems to me that there is a whole lot in the contention of Supervisor Shannon; that a committee was sent to Washington for a specific purpose. Supervisor McSheehy, in making the report for the committee that went to Washington, is entirely ignoring the thing for which they were sent and advocating an entirely different proposition. Nobody figured on a tube when Supervisor McSheehy went to Washington. And the thing that he is doing is taking the place of a bridge or tube proponent and setting forth his plans, which does not seem to me to be the proper procedure. He should either report whether the bridge was feasible, whether we were going to get the bridge across San Francisco Bay, whether there was a possibility and whether we should continue the fight to put the bridge across there, or tell us that there is no chance, and that his idea is a tube. It seems to me that that is as far as he should go, and not get up here and take the place of a proponent. I do not feel that he has any more right to get up here than Mr. Greene, or whoever the gentleman was that wanted to come in at Sixteenth street, or any other bridge proponent who wants to come in here and put a bridge or a tube across. He is going wide afield from the purpose entirely for which he was sent, and coming back with another proposition.

Supervisor McSheehy: I do not want to reply to the Supervisor, but my report entirely refers to just exactly what he has stated. He could not state it any clearer.

Supervisor Schmidt: Supervisor Powers made the motion and I seconded it that the matter be referred back to the Bridge Committee and that they hold a meeting this coming week.

The Chairman: They cannot hold a meeting until the Mayor returns.

Supervisor Schmidt: That the matter be referred back to the Bridge Committee.

The Chairman: Is there any objection? (Dropping the gavel.)

Hearing of Appeal, Treat Avenue.

The hearing of appeal from assessment for the improvement of Treat avenue between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, fixed for 10 a. m. this day, was, on motion, *continued for one week.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing on recommendation of the Finance Committee were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 29105 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Fairbanks, Morse & Co., power pump (claim dated May 18, 1928), $692.

(2) Gunn, Carle & Co., steel bars (claim dated May 18, 1928), $897.55.

(3) Hercules Powder Co., Inc., explosives (claim dated May 18, 1928), $5,237.50.

(4) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat (claim dated May 11, 1928), $629.27.

(5) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated May 9, 1928), $799.88.

(6) Hales & Symons, Inc., mining wedges (claim dated May 11, 1928), $609.45.

(7) Pioneer Rubber Mills, belting (claim dated May 11, 1928), $913.37.

(8) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, etc. (claim dated May 11, 1928), $508.69.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(9) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated May 17, 1928), $525.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(10) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., railway brake shoes (claim dated May 22, 1928), $1,116.19.

County Road Fund.

(11) Board of Public Works, Appropriation 33B, to reimburse Street Repair Department for labor performed in reconstruction of Tehama street (claim dated May 16, 1928), $711.92.

(12) Board of Public Works, Appropriation 33C, to reimburse Street Repair Department for material furnished and used in reconstruction of Tehama street (claim dated May 16, 1928), $1,514.05.

(13) T. M. Gallagher, improvement of Turk street between Masonic avenue and Park street, by removal of dirt (claim dated May 23, 1928), $1,200.

(14) Meyer Bros., additional paving for widening of Terresita boulevard (claim dated May 23, 1928), $6,000.

(15) E. J. Treacy, third payment, improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue (claim dated May 23, 1928), $5,000.

Park Fund.

(16) Chas. A. Borgeson, sprinklers, couplings, etc., for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $925.

(17) L. Devincenzi & Co., clay for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $1,318.50.

(18) Landau Economic Syphon Co., supplies for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $995.81.

(19) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $1,440.17.

(20) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $2,394.73.

(21) A. Lettich Co., galvanized pipe for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $747.90.

(22) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $709.01.

(23) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated May 25, 1928), $2,461.74.

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(24) J. P. Holland, Inc., red rock for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated May 25, 1928), $1,518.66.

(25) The Fay Improvement Co., filling in Alemany boulevard from Orizaba avenue westerly (claim dated May 23, 1928), $1,450.

Special School Tax.

(26) W. P. Fuller & Co., oil, lead, etc., for school buildings (claim dated May 18, 1928), $632.40.

(27) Hockwald Chemical Co., soap dispensers for schools (claim dated May 18, 1928), $1,119.76.

(28) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated May 18, 1928), $751.28.

Tubercular Trust Fund.

(29) S. S. White Dental Mfg. Co., dental equipment for Department of Public Health (claim dated May 21, 1928), $1,234.41.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) James G. Stafford and associates, eighteenth payment, contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated May 17, 1928), $5,114.25.

(31) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded for April (claim dated May 28, 1928), $9,870.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 28, 1928), $516.98.

(33) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated May 21, 1928), $972.29.

(34) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated May 21, 1928), $1,335.49.

(35) N. Clark & Sons, furnishing and laying tile for Marina convenience station (claim dated May 25, 1928), $501.

(36) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated May 21, 1928), $2,798.31.

(37) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 18, 1928), $2,589.76.

(38) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 18, 1928), $1,320.06.

(39) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated May 18, 1928), $3,740.84.

(40) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated May 21, 1928), $650.35.

(41) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 24, 1928), $4,790.45.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 29106 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Aquarium for month of May, 1928 (claim dated June 4, 1928), $4,683.80.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity).

(2) Ducommon Corporation, aluminal and steel for Department of Electricity (claim dated April 30, 1928), $521.78.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(3) Board of Public Works, Budget Item 555, reimbursement to Sewer Repair Department for cost of operating compressor on side sewer trenches during July, August and September, 1927 (claim dated May 21, 1928), $536.37.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(4) The Chares Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated May 24, 1928), $631.85.

(5) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated May 24, 1928), $522.

(6) United Lumber Rubber Co., rubber boots, etc. (claim dated May 24, 1928), $865.83.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) O. Monson, construction of Portola Junior High School, extra work (claim dated May 25, 1928), $6,548.85.

(8) American Painting and Decorating Co., final payment, painting of Portola Junior High School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,050.68.

(9) R. W. Moller, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School, extra work (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,026.34.

(10) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural service for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $682.15.

(11) D. Zelinsky & Sons, acceptance payment, painting of Sherman School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,775.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(12) Eaton & Smith, second payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated May 28, 1928), $3,015.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(13) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, construction of Duboce avenue Sunset tunnel, extra work providing drains (claim dated May 31, 1928), $670.40.

Special School Tax.

(14) Alta Electric Co., third payment, electric work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,012.50.

(15) Frank Reilly, fifth payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $12,122.63.

(16) Thos. Skelly, third payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated May 31, 1928), $986.24.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(17) Mission Florist, flowers, ferns, etc., furnished for account of San Francisco's exhibit at the San Jose Rose Festival, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 24, 1928), $686.

(18) Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor, for payment of Music Week expenses, per attached vouchers (claim dated June 4, 1928), $2,500.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(19) Buckley & Curtin, 2000 traffic citation books for Police Department (claim dated May 28, 1928), $640.

(20) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated June 1, 1928), $750.

(21) California Meat Co., meat for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1928), $601.65.

(22) Edw. P. McAuliffe, coffee and oil for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1928) $618.80.

(23) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1928), $716.02.

(24) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated May 17 1928), $719.73.

(25) James Rolph, Jr., expense to and from Washington, D. C., in matter of application of the City and County of San Francisco for permit to construct transbay bridge (claim dated April 9, 1928), $1,144.68.

(26) Electric Corporation, materials for Department of Electricity (claim dated April 30, 1928), $560.28.

(27) San Francisco Society for the Pevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated June 4, 1928), $1,250.

(28) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 4, 1928), $1,669.50.

(29) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidental expense (claim dated June 4, 1928), $523.75.

(30) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated May 25, 1928), $724.31.

(31) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 25, 1928), $1,319.92.

(32) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sewer repair (claim dated May 25, 1928), $726.45.

(33) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., June room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated May 30, 1928), $3,500.

(34) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $620.

(35) H. K. Mulford Co., drug supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $561.09.

(36) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated May 25, 1928), $1,013.50.

(37) Edw. P. McAuliffe, coffee

for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 25, 1928), $590.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Accepting Offers to Sell Properties Required for Francisco School.

Resolution No. 29107 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the Francisco School, to-wit:

(1) To S. Brizzolara, for land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 75 feet northerly from the northerly line of Francisco street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Stockton street 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 68 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Worden street; thence at a right angle southerly along the easterly line of Worden street 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 68 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29017, New Series (claim dated May 28, 1928), $7,000.

(2) To Carmelo and Giovannina Patane, for land and improvements beginning at a point on the northerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 183 feet and 4 inches westerly from the point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Francisco street with the westerly line of Stockton street, and running thence westerly along said line of Francisco street 22 feet 11 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 22 feet and 11 inches, and thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet and 6 inches, to point of beginning; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29018, New Series (claim dated May 28, 1928), $7,900.

(3) To Luciano Sabella, for land and improvements beginning at a point on the northerly line of Francisco street distant thereon 87 feet and 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Stockton street, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Francisco street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 68 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle southerly 68 feet and 6 inches to point of beginning; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29019, New Series (claim dated May 28, 1928), $5,100.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 29108 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To James Finegan and Susan Finegan, for all of Lots Nos. 28 and 29 in Block 5464, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29011 (New Series) and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated May 23, 1928), $9,750.

(2) To Mary E. Herman, for all of Lot No. 35 in Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29012 (New Series) and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated May 23, 1928), $2,520.

(3) To Bridget E. Leonard and Patrick J. Leonard, for a portion of Lot No. 19 in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29009 (New Series), and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated May 23, 1928), $880.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Appropriation, $13,666, to Wm. J. Sullivan for Lands Required for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 29109 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $13,666 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to William J. Sullivan; being payment for all of Lot 20 and portion of Lots 16, 17, 18 and 19 in Block 6661, irregular and approximately 100 by 70 feet; all of Lot 15 and portion of Lots 16, 17 and 1 in Block 6687, irregular and approximately 100 by 75 feet; all as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28982 (New Series), and required for the opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated May 17, 1928); and

Resolved, That the sum of $7,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to H. S. Thomson; being payment for release of damages to improvements situate on Lots 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 in Block 6661, and Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 15, 16 and 17 in Block 6687, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; said premises being known as Planing Mill No. 12-14 Charles street, and Office and Lumber Shed Nos. 2 and 6 Charles street; as approved by Resolution No. 28981, New Series (claim dated May 17, 1928).

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Appropriation, $89,434, Additions and Alterations, Jefferson School.

Resolution No. 29110 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the cost of constructing the additions and alterations to the Jefferson School, to be erected on the east side of Nineteenth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, to-wit:

General construction (Meyer Bros. contract), $63,564.

Mechanical equipment (Scott Co. contract), $8,335.

Plumbing and gas-fitting (Thos. Skelly contract), $4,360.

Electrical work (Newberry-Pearce Elec. Co. contract), $5,300.

Painting work (H. Ankers contract), $2,875.

Extras, incidentals and inspection, $5,000.

Total, $89,434.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Appropriation, $26,200, Sewers in Wawona Street.

Resolution No. 29111 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $26,200 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, for the cost of constructing sewers and appurtenances in Wawona street between Twenty-fifth and Thirty-fourth avenues.

Contract, Frank J. McHugh, $24,268.

Engineering, inspection and extras, $1,932.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Appropriation, $1,858.72, Repair Dome of City Hall.

Resolution No. 29112 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,858.72 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, for roof repairs on the dome of the City Hall.

To the credit of Budget Item No. 411, $239.50.

To the credit of Budget Item No. 433, $1,617.22.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Appropriation for Improvement of Laguna Honda Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29113 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the

improvement of Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly, to-wit:

From the County Road Fund the sum of $15,743.

From "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, $16,257.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Appropriation Out of County Road Fund for Various Street Improvements.

Resolution No. 29114 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Forty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, $1,200.

(2) For the improvement of Otsego avenue between Onondaga and Seneca avenues, $6,794.

(3) For miscellaneous street improvements, under direction of the Board of Public Works, $5,465.76.

(4) For the paving of Castro street between Market and Sixteenth streets, additional to $7,020, $8,980.

(5) For the improvement of Vermont street from Twentieth to Twenty-second streets, being for City's assessment and contribution, $20,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Condemnation Proceedings, Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29115 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Mayflower street and the easterly line of Bradford street, and running northerly along said easterly line 25 feet to the northerly line of Lot 1208 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 10 feet; thence at right angles southerly, parallel to said easterly line of Bradford street 25 feet to the northerly line of Mayflower street; thence at right angles westerly along said northerly line 10 feet to the easterly line of Bradford street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 1208 of said Gift Map No. 3.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Bradford street and the southerly line of Lot 1204 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 75 feet to the northerly line of Lot 1200 of said Gift Map No. 3; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 9.804 feet; thence southerly along a curve to the right tangent to a line deflected to the right 86 deg. 47 min. 23 sec. from the preceding course, with a radius of 125 feet and a central angle of 3 deg, 12 min. 37 sec., a distance of 7.004 feet to a point distant easterly at right angles 10 feet from the easterly line of Bradford street; thence southerly tangent to said curve of preceding course and parallel to said easterly line of Bradford street 68 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 1204; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 10 feet to the easterly line of Bradford street and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 1200, 1202 and 1204 of said Gift Map No. 3.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Bradford street and the southerly line of Lot 1198 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 41.990 feet; thence southerly along a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected to the right 156 deg. 55 min. 34 sec. from the preceding course, with a radius of 125 feet and a central angle of 19 deg. 51 min. 49 sec., a distance of 43.336 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 1198; thence deflecting to the right 93 deg. 12 min. 37 sec. from

the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running easterly along said southerly line 9.804 feet to the easterly line of Bradford street and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 1196 and 1198 of said Gift Map No. 3.

Parcel 4. All of Lot 1142 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening, widening, construction and maintenance of Bernal Heights boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Construction of Section D, Bay Shore Highway.

Bill No. 8568, Ordinance No. 8074 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Bay Shore Highway, section "D," from Third street easterly and southerly to San Bruno avenue; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contracts for the construction of the Bay Shore Highway, section "D," from Third street easterly and southerly to San Bruno avenue, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and said plans and specifications are hereby approved.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *continued one week*:

Appropriation, $766.58, Spring Valley Water Company Pipe Connections, Alpha Street.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $766.58 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the Spring Valley Water Co. for the furnishing and laying of pipe and connections in Alpha street: being an extension of the Municipal Water Works (claim dated May 11, 1928.) Appropriation by Resolution No. 27848 (New Series).

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 29116 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Elizabeth Achard, 2735 Green street, 750 gallons capacity.

R. Detert, 2645 Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Enterprise Oil Burner Company, 1228 Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 925 Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Peterson Co., north side of Chestnut street, 140 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Peterson Co., east side of Lyon street, 25 feet south of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Peterson Co., north side of Chestnut street, 85 feet east of Divisidero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wilbur McKay, east side of Sotello avenue, 83 feet 6 inches north of Lopez street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., 2700 Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Spivock & Spivock, north side of North Point street, 170 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Delray Corporation, 923 Harrison street, 10 horsepower.

Tiedemann & Harris, south side of Langton street, 275 feet east of Bryant street, 25 horsepower.

Western California Products Co., Davidson and Lane streets, boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 29117 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That David Gibbs be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Tennant & Lanning by Resolution No. 27551 (New Series) for premises at 545 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 29118 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on the southwest corner of Cortland and San Bruno avenues, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 29119 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on private property situate on the east side of Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Marina Bus Service.

Bill No. 8566, Ordinance No. 8075 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service in the Marina District as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, directed and empowered, for a period of ninety days, to establish, main-

tain and operate a motor bus service, suitable for the transportation of passengers, in the Marina District, as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway system, over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Scott and Chestnut streets; thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street; thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard; thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street; thence southerly on Scott street to the place of beginning.

Section 2. After 9:30 p. m. the motor bus service authorized in this ordinance shall be maintained and operated over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Avila and Scott streets; thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street; thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard; thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street; thence southerly on Scott street to Alhambra street; thence easterly on Alhambra street to Avila street; thence southerly on Avila street to the place of beginning.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8567, Ordinance No. 8076 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments, that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Geneva avenue between Delano avenue and the easterly line of San Jose avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with Y branches, side sewers and brick manholes along the center line of Geneva avenue from a point 20 feet easterly from San Jose avenue to the westerly line of Delano avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Amending Carroll Avenue Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 8569, Ordinance No. 8077 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6774 (New Series), approved September 12, 1925, entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Carroll avenue and across Third street, Keith street, Jennings street and Ingalls street in the location hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6774 (New Series), approved

September 12, 1925, the title of which is as recited above, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 2. Provided, that the Southern Pacific Company shall be required to maintain and repair the sewer in Carroll avenue east of Third street if necessary.

Provided, that no locomotive, car or cars shall be switched over and across Third street at Carroll avenue except between the hours of 12 m. and 1 p. m., and between the hours of 7 p. m. and 3 a. m., and that this crossing be flagged before a locomotive, car or cars cross same.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Establishing Grades, Louisa Alley.

Bill No. 8571, Ordinance No. 8078 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Louisa alley between Shipley street and a line parallel with and 80 feet northwesterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Louisa alley between Shipley street and a line parallel with and 80 feet northwesterly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed May 17, 1928:

Louisa Alley.

80 feet northwesterly from Shipley street, 10.50 feet.

On Louisa alley between Shipley street and a line parallel with and 80 feet northwesterly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Shipley street at Louisa alley.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Changing Grades, Castro Street.

Bill No. 8572, Ordinance No. 8079 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Castro street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets produced and on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom and ordering certain street work as hereinafter described.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 16th day of March, 1928, by Resolution of Intention No. 28600 (New Series), declare its intention to change and establish grades on Castro street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets produced and on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom and to order the regrading, repaving, recurbing, relaying of artificial stone walks, replacing catchbasins, culverts and necessary side sewers on Castro street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at the southerly line of Sixteenth street; on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom, and Market street between the northerly line of and a line parallel with and 40.30 feet southerly therefrom, extending from the center line of Castro street to a line at right angles with the northerly line of Market street 100 feet easterly from Castro street, and defining and establishing the district benefited and to be assessed for the payment of damages and for the expense of doing said street work and directed the Clerk to publish for ten (10) days in the official newspaper, said resolution of intention; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter

stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Castro Street.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sixteenth street southerly line, 190 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at States street northerly line, 170.48 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at States street northerly line, 170.48 feet.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 9 feet southerly from States street northerly line, 169.45 feet.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 9 feet northerly from States street southerly line, 165.77 feet.

7 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at States street southerly line produced, 165.77 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at States street southerly line produced, 164.74 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at States street southerly line, 163.74 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 49.16 feet northerly from Market street, 143.58 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 30 feet northerly from Market street, 141.40 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10.84 feet northerly from Market street, 140.00 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 13.70 feet southwesterly from last described point (measured along curb line), 139.37 feet.

13.70 feet southwesterly from the last described point (measured along the curb line), 139.50 feet.

(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 378.59 feet southerly from Sixteenth street, 142.35 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 396 feet southerly from Sixteenth street, 140.44 feet.

Easterly curb line of, 17.41 feet (measured along the curb line) southeasterly from the last described point, 139.40 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Seventeenth street, southerly line produced, 138.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

States Street.

9 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 7 feet easterly from Castro street westerly line, 169.45 feet.

9 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 7 feet easterly from Castro street westerly line, 165.77 feet.

Northerly line of, at Castro street westerly line, 170.48 feet.

60 feet westerly from Castro street, 175.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Castro street between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street produced, and on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. The following street work is hereby ordered to be done, viz.:

The regrading, repaving, recurbing, relaying of artificial stone walks, replacing catchbasins, culverts and necessary side sewers on Castro street between Market street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at the southerly line of Sixteenth street; on States street between Castro street and a line parallel with and 60 feet westerly therefrom, and Market street between the northerly line of and a line parallel with and 40.30 feet southerly therefrom, extending from the center line of Castro street to a line at right angles with the northerly line of Market street 100 feet easterly from Castro street.

Section 3. The actual cost of performing the above mentioned street work shall be assessed upon the district described in Resolution of Intention No. 28600 (New Series), which is declared to be benefited by such changed or modified grade, except that the Board of Supervisors reserves the right in its discretion to order by ordinance that the whole or any part of the cost and expense of the work aforesaid or the damages resulting therefrom be paid out of the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco from such funds as the Board of Supervisors may designate.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Establishing Grades, Swiss Avenue.

Bill No. 8573, Ordinance No. 8080 (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Swiss avenue."

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Establishing Grades, Taraval and Other Streets.

Bill No. 8574, Ordinance No. 8081 (New Series), entitled "Establishing grades on Taraval street, Cortes street, Madrone avenue and Forestside avenue."

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Establishing Grades, Grand View Avenue.

Bill No. 8575, Ordinance No. 8082 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Grand View avenue from Stanton street to Elizabeth street, as shown on Grade Map of Grand View avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Grand View avenue from Stanton street to Elizabeth street, as shown on Grade Map of Grand View avenue, approved by Resolution No. 102236 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed May 26, 1928.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Changing Grades, Teddy Avenue.

Bill No. 8576, Ordinance No. 8083 (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Teddy avenue between Rodeo avenue and Rutland street."

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Bill No. 8577, Ordinance No. 8084 (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lawrence avenue between San Jose avenue and the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks."

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Ordering Street Work, Niagara Avenue.

Bill No. 8578, Ordinance No. 8085 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the southerly one-half of Niagara avenue between

San Jose avenue and San Miguel street, where not already so improved, from the westerly line of San Jose avenue to the existing pavement westerly from San Jose avenue, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $65,873 were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Action Deferred.

The following items were *continued one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $104.15.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, $37.79.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Providing for the Issuance and Redemption of Bonds, Acquisition of Spring Valley Water Company, Properties.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Bill No. 8579, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty-one million dollars ($41,000,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the first day of May, 1928.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 1st day of May, 1928, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7991 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 28813 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 29039 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat;

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 1st day of May, 1928, and the provisions of said charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7991 (New Series), and Resolution No. 28813 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of forty-one million dollars ($41,000,000) for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall

be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or ueful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

That such bonds shall be called "Spring Valley Bonds," shall be 41,000 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 41,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable one million dollars thereof two years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and one million dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1928, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half percentum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the city and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

SPRING VALLEY BOND.

No. $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the city and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the constitution and statutes of the State of California and the charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the constitution or statutes of said State or charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the constitution and statutes of said State and the charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this

bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1928.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. (Seal.)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No. —— $————

On —— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the city and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Spring Valley Bond" dated July 1, 1928, number ————.

....., Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ..., 19..

This bond is registered pursuant to charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7991 (New Series), and Resolution No. 28813 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "Spring Valley Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Spring Valley Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Spring Valley Bond Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this Bill and Ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gal-

lagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Providing for the Issuance and Redemption of Bonds, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Bill No. 8580, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of twenty-four million dollars ($24,000,000) for the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries, situated within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the first day of May, 1928.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 1st day of May, 1928, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7990 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 28812 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 29040 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat:

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 1st day of May, 1928, and the provisions of said charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7990 (New Series) and Resolution No. 28812 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of twenty-four million dollars ($24,000,000) for the purpose of the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries situated within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

That such bonds shall be called "Hetch Hetchy Bonds," shall be 24,000 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 24,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable six hundred thousand dollars thereof ten years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and six hundred thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1928, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the city and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.

HETCH HETCHY BOND.

No. —— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ——, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the constitution and statutes of the State of California and the charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the constitution or statutes of said State or charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the constitution and statutes of said State and the charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1928.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

(Seal.)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No. —— $——

On —— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the

City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Hetch Hetchy Bond" dated July 1, 1928, number ———.

....., Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ..., 19...

This bond is registered pursuant to charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7990 (New Series), and Resolution No. 28812 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to- wit: "Hetch Hetchy Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Hetch Hetchy Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this Bill and Ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Duncanson-Harrelson Co., furnishing and driving piles in Yacht Harbor (claim dated June 1, 1928), $1,561.71.

(2) Duncanson-Harrelson Co., furnishing and driving piles, etc., in Yacht Harbor (claim dated June 1, 1928), $1,342.60.

(3) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for parks (claim dated June 1, 1928), $1,170.

(4) Cement Gun Construction Co., gunite work at Sutro Cliff, Ocean Beach (claim dated June 8, 1928), $1,095.

(5) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for parks (claim dated June 8, 1928), $547.95.

Boulevard Bond Fund, 1927.

(6) H. E. Albach, hauling sand for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated June 1, 1928), $556.20.

(7) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated June 1, 1928), $622.31.

(8) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, construction of storm drain for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $14,700.

(9) Eaton & Smith, second payment, construction of Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $7,200.

(10) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., first payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, Section E (claim dated June 6, 1928), $25,500.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(11) O. Aaron, final payment for plumbing, etc., for Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated June 5, 1928), $1,476.06.

(12) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, final payment for architectural services, Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated June 5, 1928), $648.49.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(13) Harry G. Bannon, settlement in full for account of injuries sustained due to accident on or about August 24, 1927 (claim dated June 4, 1928), $750.

Special School Tax.

(14) Miller & Pflueger, first payment, architectural services for Roosevelt Jr. High School (claim dated June 5, 1928), $8,640.

(15) A. Lettich, third payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated June 5, 1928), $1,930.99.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) O. Monson, final payment, general construction of Portola Jr. High School (claim dated June 5, 1928), $1,000.

County Road Fund.

(17) The Fay Improvement Co., City's portion of improving Montgomery street between Green and Union streets (claim dated June 6, 1928), $7,256.52.

(18) Pacific States Construction Co., Inc., second payment, construction of pavement on Portola drive and Terresita boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $14,315.40.

(19) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta to Adam street, extended (claim dated June 6, 1928), $4,800.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(20) R. M. Gardiner Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated May 31, 1928), $609.95.

(21) Gunn, Carle & Co., corrugated steel (claim dated May 31, 1928), $759.73.

(22) Pacific States Electric Co., copper wire (claim dated May 31, 1928), $846.04.

(23) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., gasoline, oil, etc. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $644.15.

(24) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated June 5, 1928), $5,183.75.

(25) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machine parts (claim dated June 5, 1928), $578.35.

(26) Loop Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated June 5, 1928), $1,002.63.

(27) Mrs. Lucille McIntosh, one Nash coupe (claim dated June 5, 1928), $1,250.

(28) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated June 5, 1928), $4,032.39.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(29) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, to reimburse Revolving Fund (claim dated May 31, 1928), $708.86.

(30) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Issue 1925, for material, equipment and services rendered for account of Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, months of March and April, 1928 (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,530.04.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(31) Sevin Vincent Seed Co., grass seed for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated June 8, 1928), $656.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(32) Dion R. Holm, legal services for June, in telephone rate litigation (claim dated June 6, 1928), $850.

(33) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, in telephone rate litigation, month of June (claim dated June 6, 1928), $750.

(34) Crane Company, convenience station lavatory equipment, at the Marina (claim dated June 1, 1928), $1,192.94.

(35) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 11, 1928), $1,778.10.

(36) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated June 11, 1928), $1,075.

(37) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, May 3 to June 3, 1928, $1,186.

(38) E. L. Soister, fourteen Acme tray cabinets and two cabinet stands, for Traffic Bureau, Police Dept. (claim dated June 4, 1928), $1,745.

(39) Federal Construction Co., fourth payment, extension of Thirtieth avenue sewer, Sunset District, Contract 5 (claim dated June 6, 1928), $3,900.

(40) Acme Gravel Co., rock for street repair (claim dated May 29, 1928), $1,006.37.

(41) Acme Gravel Co., rock for street repair (claim dated May 29, 1928), $655.98.

(42) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 1, 1928), $1,780.47.

(43) Board of Public Works (Budget Item 555), to reimburse Sewer Repair Dept., for labor performed during April on Golden Gate Heights sewer (claim dated May 29, 1928), $4,070.62.

(44) Board of Public Works (Budget Item 559), for reimbursement of Sewer Repair Dept., for material and supplies furnished for construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer (claim dated May 29, 1928), $3,524.13.

(45) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, corrugated iron and roofing fasteners for repairs to buildings (claim dated June 1, 1928), $727.36.

(46) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $654.12.

(47) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,128.

(48) Kohler Co., electric light plants, batteries, etc., for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $3,284.40.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated May 31, 1928), $14,849.90.

(50) Union Machine Co., repairs to Fire Boat (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,456.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(51) A. Lettich & Co., pipe for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated June 1, 1928), $3,933.78.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(52) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions and gratuities for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 4, 1928), $1,435.70.

Appropriation, $4,500, Payment to Joseph P. McGrath et al., Property for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to Joseph P. McGrath et al., being payment for Lot 28 in Block 6691, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29126 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bernal Cut (claim dated June 6, 1928).

Appropriation $1,256, Carl Stange, Land for Widening Silver Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,256 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to Carl Stange; being payment for Lots Nos. 12 and 19, as shown on map entitled "Plat of the Silver Terrace," and required for the widening of Silver avenue; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29076, New Series (claim dated June 5, 1928).

Appropriation for Land Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) John Martorella and Antonietta Martorella, for portions of Lots 2, 3 and 4 (40 x 120 ft.), Block 7032, and portion of Lot 5A (40 x 40 ft.) in Block 7029, as per Assessor's Block Books, and accepted by Resolution No. 29077 (New Series); required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $4,770.

(2) Max Grace, for Lots Nos. 7 and 8 (50 x 100 ft.) in Block 7137, as per the Assessor's Block Books, accepted by Resolution No. 29077 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $2,500.

(3) Pietro Magri and Agnese Magri, for portion of Lot 18 (50 x 40 ft.) in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and required for Alemany boulevard; accepted by Resolution No. 29078 (New Series) (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,591.

(4) Antonio Pescie and Louisa Pescie, for portion of Lot 17A (25 x 40 ft.) in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29078 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,196.

(5) Paul Nagel and Jeanne Nagel, for portion of Lot 3 (25 x 40 ft.) in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29078 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $2,110.

(6) Joseph Fregosi and Virginia Fregosi, for a portion of Lot 4 (100 x 40 ft.) in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29078 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,200.

(7) Josephine T. Depaoli, for portion of Lot 2 (81 x 50 irregular) in

Block 6786A, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29078 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $750.

(8) Ella J. Darland, for portions of Lots 4 and 5 (51.09 x 27.7 ft.) in Block 5693, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29080 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $2,749.

(9) Catherine J. Johnson, for Lots 2 and 3 (75 by about 58 ft.) in Block 5374, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29080 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $674.

(10) Henrietta Brink et al., for portions of Lots 39, 40 and 41 (72 by 57 feet, irregular) in Block 5607, and portion of Lot 6 in Block 5693, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29080 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $3,187.

(11) Mary T. Wright, for Lot 34 in Block 2389, as per Assessor's Block Books as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29128 (New Series), required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,500.

(12) John Tierney, for portion of Lot 21 in Block 5392, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29080 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $2,720.

(13) Patrick McKenna, for Lot 32 in Block 5392, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29081 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $5,138.

(14) M. F. Taylor and Marie I. Taylor, for portion of Lot 17 (40 x 40 ft.) in Block 7147, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29125 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,055.

(15) Pietro Rosaia, for portion of Lot 5 (40 x 40 ft.) in Block 7029, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29125 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $640.

(16) Pietro Rosaia, for portion of Lot 1 (40 x 40 ft.) in Block 7032, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29125 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $640.

(17) Henry W. Hildebrand, for Lot 19 (39 ft. frontage—irregular) in Block 5392, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29124 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $3,673.

(18) Bertha Kalinowsky,for portions of Lots 1 and 2 (25.336 x 75 ft.) in Block 5391, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29124 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $537.

(19) Solomon Lipschitz, for Lot 22 in Block 5465, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29124 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $720.

(20) Solomon Lipschitz, for Lot 24 in Block 5465, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29124 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $921.

(21) Lydia Hunter, as administratrix of estate of James Keegan, deceased, for portion of Lot 9 in Block 5451, as per Assessor's Block Books, and being in accordance with satisfaction of judgment by Superior Court, Action No. 194191, required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated May 31, 1928), $800.

(22) Ingeborg K. Stevens, for Lot 30 in Block 1714, as per Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29128 (New Series), required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 6, 1928), $7,400.

(23) James F. George and Erma F. George, for Lot 33, Block 1717, as per Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29128 (New Series), required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 6, 1928), $7,250.

Appropriation $376, Elevator Interlocks, City Morgue.

Also, Resolution No. 29121 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $376 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item 59, for cost of furnishing and installing electromechanical interlocks on elevator No. 2108 at city morgue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Appropriations Repairs to Equipment.

Also, Resolution No. 29122 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside from the hereinafter designated Budget Items, Department of Public Works, to the credit of the Bureau of Stores and Yards, Budget Item 449, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for account of expenditures in connection with repairs to various equipment, to-wit:

From Budget Item 525, Street Repar Department, $1,211.63.

From Budget Item 545, Street Cleaning Department, $430.37.

From Budget Item 558, Sewer Repair Department, $112.13.

From Budget Item 565, auto maintenance, $52.75.

From Budget Item 495, Bureau of Engineering, $9.32.

From Budget Item 563, maintenance sewage pump stations, $450.

(Request of Department of Public Works by Resolution No. 102380, Second Series.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriations Architectural Services, South Side High School and Aptos Elementary School.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax to cover costs of architectural services for the hereinafter designated schools, to-wit:

For third unit of South Side (Balboa) High School, $24,000.

For the Aptos Elementary School, $18,000.

Accepting Deed of Crocker Estate Company for Land for Realignment of Rodeo Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29123 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed of Crocker Estate Company, a corporation, granting, dedicating and conveying to the City and County of San Francisco, for the sum of $1, the following land, required by said City and County for the realignment of Rodeo avenue, be accepted.

Parcel 1. A triangular shaped piece of land, two sides of which are 47.007 feet long and the third side being 91.96 feet along an arc of a curve of 180 feet radius, lying along the easterly side of Rodeo avenue and being a portion of Assessor's Block No. 5066A.

Parcel 2. A triangular shaped piece of land, two sides of which are 28.808 feet long and the third side being 53.715 feet along an arc of a curve of 50 feet radius, lying along the easterly side of Rodeo avenue and being a portion of Assessor's Block No. 5066A.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Opening of Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29124 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Henry W. Hildebrand, $3,673—Lot 19, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Bertha Kalinowski, $537—Portion of Lots 1 and 2, Block 5391, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Solomon Lipschitz, $720—Lot 22, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Solomon Lipschitz, $921—Lot 24, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29125 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

M. F. Taylor and Marie I. Taylor, $1,055—Portion of Lot 17, Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now partially located on the above described parcel, said improvements to be relocated by the said M. F. Taylor and Marie I. Taylor within thirty (30) days from date of recordation of the deed to the said City and County of San Francisco.

M. F. Taylor and Marie I. Taylor, $70—Portion of Lot 17, Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Pietro Rosaia, $640—Portion of Lot 5, Block 7029, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Pietro Rosaia, $640—Portion of Lot 1, Block 7032, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Eva O'Gara, $200—Portion of Lot 24, Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount does not include damages to the remaining property of the said Eva O'Gara or the improvements located thereon.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Accepting Offer of Joseph P. McGrath to Sell Property Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29126 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Joseph P. McGrath et al., $4,500 —Lot 28, Block 6691, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolution No. 29082 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Accepting Offer of Charles J. Riley to Sell Right of Way for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29127 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale

made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Charles J. Riley, $50—Portion of Lot No. 5, Block 6745, as per the Assessor's Map of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Accepting Offer of I. K. Stevens et al. to Sell Property Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29128 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Ingeborg K. Stevens, $7,400—Lot 30, Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

James F. George and Erma M. George, $7,250—Lot 35, Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the above named owners of the above described premises shall have the use thereof, without charge, until September 1, 1928.

Mary T. Wright, $1,500—Lot 34, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Accepting Offer of James J. Lee et al. to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29129 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named parties to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

James J. Lee, Inc., $2,700—All of Lots 1 and 2 of Block "F," Bernal Tract, as recorded in Map Book "H," pages 27 and 28, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Al Langsley and May Langsley, $160—

Parcel 1. Portions of Lots Nos. 246 and 247, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portions of Lot 250, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Jessie Neilson, $1,221—Portion of Lot 230, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolution No. 28695 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Set-back Lines, Fourteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 8581, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along Fourteenth avenue between Geary and Clement streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Geary street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 475 feet, said set-back line to 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 2. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines.

Resolution No. 29130 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Tenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line of be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Tenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 16th day of July, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Bush and Steiner Streets.

Bill No. 8582, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Bush street and Steiner street, for a distance of 100 feet on Bush street and 55 feet on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of 90 days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bell & Sylvester, west side Mon-

terey boulevard, 380 feet south of Westgate drive, 600 gallons capacity.

John Exnicious, northwest corner Thirty-eighth avenue and Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. J. Frank, 121 Jordan avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gas Heating Company, northeast corner Santa Paula and San Jacinto way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Haley Bros., west side Broderick street, 112 feet south of Jefferson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindemann, northeast corner Thirty-ninth avenue and Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marcus Marcussen, west line Stockton street, 200 feet north of Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Owen McCusker, 75 San Rafael way, 750 gallons capacity.

M. O'Connell, south line Geary street, 40 feet west of Fortieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop, south line of Broadway, 200 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. A. Savage, north side Chestnut street, 100 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. Sterling, 107 Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Pacific Can Company, Newhall street and Williams avenue, 100 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permit, Standard Oil Company.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Standard Oil Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Plymouth avenue and Sagamore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That S & L Hand Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 530 O'Farrell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard Street Hand Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 505 Howard street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 406 Third street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. T. Perry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Taraval street, 32 feet 6 inches west of Thirty-first avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joseph O'Brien be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at premises located on east line of Larkin street, 93 feet 6 inches north of O'Farrell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Re-referred.

The following matter was *rereferred to the Fire Committee*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted The Alloys Company by Resolution No. 23036 (New Series), approved October 17, 1924, to maintain closed melting furnaces at 550-560 Fourth street, is hereby revoked.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Taxicab Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following

taxicab permits are hereby approved:

Yellow Checker Cab Co., 2021 Chestnut street, one cab; 709 Jackson street, one cab; 1101 Leavenworth street, one cab; 629 Green street, one cab; 1401 Green street, one cab; 808 Washington street, one cab; 783 Sacramento street, one cab; 2380 Clay street, one cab; 3284 Twenty-second street, one cab; 400 Pine street, one cab; 382 Ellis street, one cab; 134 California street; one cab.

Peerless Cab Company, 178 Third street, one cab; 210 Turk street, one cab; 330 O'Farrell street, one cab; 955 Larkin street, one cab; 1492 Eddy street, one cab; 1251 Grant avenue, one cab; 301 Mason street, one cab (transfer); 300 O'Farrell street, one cab (transfer).

Sam Warshawsky, 691 Broadway, one cab (transfer); 715 Broadway, one cab (transfer).

Red Top Cab Company, 487 Ellis street, one cab; 208 Eddy street, one cab; 495 Valencia street, one cab.

Home Cab Company, 1174 McAllister street, one cab; 108 Sixth street, one cab; 107 Jones street, one cab.

Gray Cab Company, 107 Turk street, one cab.

Blue Bird Cab Company, 1971 Sutter street, one cab.

Thomas J. Oliver, 3399 Fulton street, one cab.

California Cab Company, 651 Kearny street, one cab.

William Gormley, 698 Vallejo street, one cab.

Yosemite-Grayline Limousines, Inc., northwest corner Powell and Sutter streets, four cabs.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over until Monday, June* 18, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*:

Mayor to Enter Agreement With Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Bernal Cut.

Consideration of resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into agreement with the Southern Pacific Railroad with respect to proposed opening of Bernal Cut.

Communication From City Attorney.

The following was read by the Clerk:

June 11, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

I regret that I am not able to advise you today relative to the rights of the Southern Pacific Company to operate trains from 17th and Division streets to the county line. It was only on last Friday that I was able to obtain the requisite data to make this examination and the time intervening between that day and today was too short to complete the investigation. An opinion will be rendered to you on Monday, the 18th instant, covering this matter.

Sincerely yours,
JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was *continued until June* 18, 1928, *and made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m.*

Phelan Plaque on Hetch Hetchy Dam.

Supervisor Powers announced that the N. S. G. W. would hold dedicatory services at Hetch Hetchy Dam next Sunday when a plaque would be placed on the O'Shaughnessy Dam commemorating the services to the city in the acquisition of its water plant rendered by former Mayor James D. Phelan.

Acting Mayor Havenner and Supervisors indicated their intention to attend.

Announcement.

Supervisor Havenner announced a meeting of the Special Joint Committee on completion of Hetch Hetchy project Wednesday at 2.30 p. m. All members to be notified.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Fell and Broderick Streets.

Bill No. 8583, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2. Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby

ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Fell street and Broderick street, for a distance of 100 feet on Fell street and 50 feet on Broderick street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Amendment to Retirement Ordinance.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Amending Subdivisions (g), (h), (i) and (n) of Section 2; Paragraph 3, Subdivision (a) and Paragraphs 9 and 10, Subdivision (b) of Section 4; Subdivision (d) and (e) of Section 5; Section 6; Section 7 by changing the designations of Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) to (b), (c) and (d), respectively, and by adding a new Subdivision (a); Section 10; Section 11; Section 12; Subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 13; Subdivision (b) of Section 14; Subdivision (a) of Section 16; Subdivision (a) of Section 17; Option No. 1 of Section 18; Section 19 by adding a Subdivision (c); Section 21 and Section 24, all of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), entitled 'Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco providing for the payment of retirement allowance to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing the rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits, and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter.'"

Referred to Finance Committee.

Commendation of "Southern Cross" Pacific Flight.

Supervisor Andriano presented:

Resolution No. 29130 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the world has been entranced by the greatest monoplane flight in the history of aviation; and

Whereas, this flight from San Francisco to Sydney, Australia, in the giant monoplane "Southern Cross" has been accomplished without mishap; and

Whereas, a part of the flight covered one of the longest nonstop flights, of 3144 miles, in history; and

Whereas, those who accomplished the wonderful record-breaking air journey — Captain Charles Kingsford-Smith and Charles Ulm, the Australians, and Navigator Captain Lyon and James Warner, Americans—have shown intrepid courage and remarkable endurance as well as an excellent knowledge of all the intricate details of the science of navigation; be it therefore

Resolved, That the Mayor and Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco do hereby laud the epoch-making feat of the men who piloted the "Southern Cross" to Australia; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, California, do hereby extend to the intrepid flyers an invitation to visit their City of San Francisco and hereby offer them the hospitality of said City in order to publicly manifest their admiration at the greatest feat in the history of aviation and to show their high regard for the courage, endurance and knowledge exhibited by the flyers who successfully performed this wonderful feat.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner—7.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board adjourned at 12:50 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 26

Monday, June 18, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 18, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 18, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Suhr —6.

Quorum present.

His Honor Acting Mayor Havenner presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the preceding meetings were laid over for approval until next week.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Thanks for Fire Prevention Bureau Appropriation.

Communication, from San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce, expressing appreciation for appropriation made for Fire Prevention Bureau.

Read and *filed*.

Relative to Mayor's Veto of Civil Service Appropriation.

Communication, from Grover O'Connor, attorney for the San Francisco Municipal Civil Service Association, requesting that the Board override the veto of the Mayor of that portion of Ordinance No. 8073 (New Series) appropriating $5,000 for civil service examinations.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Islais Creek Reclamation District Endorsed.

Communications, from the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, San Francisco Real Estate Board, Retail Merchants' Association, Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, the Transportation Club of San Francisco, the Builders' Exchange, Down Town Association, S. and H. Lachman Estate and J. A. Simpson, endorsing proposed Islais Creek reclamation project and requesting approval of assessment.

Ordered *filed*.

Telegram, Pacific Southwest International Exposition.

Long Beach, California,
June 22, 1928.

J. E. Hayden, Supervisor, City of San Francisco, San Francisco, Calif.:

Pacific Southwest International Exposition held Long Beach July Twenty Seventh to September Third exceedingly anxious for City of San Francisco exhibit have continued hold reserved space indicated may wire withdrawal San Francisco would be very embarrassing to this big enterprise your assistance will be very helpful appreciate efforts our behalf.

Oscar Hauge,
Mayor City of Long Beach.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Santa Cruz Water Pageant.

Communication, from Santa Cruz Chamber of Commerce, inviting attendance at water pageant, June 28, 29, 30 and July 1, celebrating cleansing of the San Lorenzo river.

Referred to Public Welfare Committe.

Leave of Absence, Andrew F. Mahony, Police Commission.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 11, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of one week, commencing June 13.

I hereby request that you concur

with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 29133 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of Hon. Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor, Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing June 13, 1928, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Leave of Absence, Miss Alice Rose Power, School Director.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 13, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Miss Alice Rose Power, member of the Board of Education, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of three weeks, commencing June 21, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29132 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, Acting Mayor Franck R. Havenner, Miss Alice Rose Power, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing June 21, 1928, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Leave of Absence, Ida W. Coburn, Board of Education.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 13, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Ira W. Coburn, member of the Board of Education, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of four weeks, commencing June 16, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29131 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, Acting Mayor Franck R. Havenner, Hon. Ira W. Coburn, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of four weeks, commencing June 16, 1928, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Leave of Absence, Joseph Marr Gwinn, Superintendent of Schools.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 14, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mr. Joseph Marr Gwinn, Superintendent of Schools, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing June 16, 1928. Mr. Gwinn is asking for leave of absence for the purpose of attending the N. E. A. convention, in Minneapolis.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29134 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance

with the recommendation of his Honor, Acting Mayor Franck R. Havenner, Hon. Joseph Marr Gwinn, Superintendent of Schools, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 16, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Spanish-American War Veterans' Convention—Leave With Pay.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 29136 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in recognition of valiant services rendered and sacrifices made by the American soldier of the World War, very graciously granted the members of the American Legion who were in the employ of the City and County of San Francisco a sixty-day leave of absence, with pay, that they might attend the National Convention of the American Legion held in Paris, France, in 1927; and

Whereas, it would appear that the Spanish War Veterans are entitled to a like consideration for services rendered and sacrifices made in 1898 and 1899; therefore, be it

Resolved, That any Spanish War Veteran employed in any capacity by the City and County of San Francisco be likewise granted a sixty-day leave of absence, with pay, when presenting credentials showing that he is a duly accredited representative of the United Spanish War Veterans and will attend the National Encampment of the United Spanish War Veterans to be held in Havana, Cuba, in the month of October, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Extension of Leave of Absence, Jesse C. Colman, Supervisors.

Resolution No. 29135 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Honorable Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted an extension to the leave of absence granted by Resolution No. 28909 (New Series) for a period of ninety days, commencing July 5, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Tobacco, Cigars and Cigarettes.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing tobacco, cigars and cigarettes and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Dry Goods and Wearing Apparel.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing dry goods and wearing apparel and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Providing for the Issuance and Redemption of Bonds, Acquisition of Spring Valley Water Company, Properties.

Bill No. 8579, Ordinance No. 8086 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty-one million dollars ($41,000,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the first day of May, 1928.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 1st day of May, 1928, in accordance with the provi-

sions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7991 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 28813 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 29039 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat;

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 1st day of May, 1928, and the provisions of said charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7991 (New Series), and Resolution No. 28813 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of forty-one million dollars ($41,000,000) for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

That such bonds shall be called "Spring Valley Bonds," shall be 41,000 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 41,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable one million dollars thereof two years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and one million dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1928, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the city and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
SPRING VALLEY BOND.

No. $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the city and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the constitution and statutes of the State of California and the charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and

declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the constitution or statutes of said State or charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the constitution and statutes of said State and the charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1928.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

(Seal.)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No. —— $————

On —— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the city and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Spring Valley Bond" dated July 1, 1928, number ————.

....., Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ..., 19..

This bond is registered pursuant to charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of

principal and interest of said bonds, providing for the payment of the taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7991 (New Series), and Resolution No. 28813 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "Spring Valley Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Spring Valley Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Spring Valley Bond Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this Bill and Ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Providing for the Issuance and Redemption of Bonds, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

Bill No. 8589, Ordinance No. 8087 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of twenty-four million dollars ($24,000,000) for the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries, situated within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the first day of May, 1928.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 1st day of May, 1928, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7990 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 28812 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 29040 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat:

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 1st day of May, 1928, and the provisions of said charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7990 (New Series) and Resolution No. 28812 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of twenty-four million dollars ($24,000,000) for the purpose of the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries, situated within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, to be used as an available source of a sufficient supply of good, pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

That such bonds shall be called "Hetch Hetchy Bonds," shall be 24,000 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 24,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable six hundred thousand dollars thereof ten years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and six hundred thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1928, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the city and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
HETCH HETCHY BOND.

No. —— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the constitution and statutes of the State of California and the charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the constitution or statutes of said State or charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the constitution and statutes of said State and the charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco; in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1928.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

(Seal.)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ——— $———

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Hetch Hetchy Bond" dated July 1, 1928, number ———.

....., Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ..., 19...

This bond is registered pursuant to charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7990 (New Series), and Resolution No. 28812 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to- wit: "Hetch Hetchy Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Hetch Hetchy Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this Bill and Ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 29137 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Duncanson-Harrelson Co., furnishing and driving piles in Yacht Harbor (claim dated June 1, 1928), $1,561.71.

(2) Duncanson-Harrelson Co., furnishing and driving piles, etc., in Yacht Harbor (claim dated June 1, 1928), $1,342.60.

(3) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for parks (claim dated June 1, 1928), $1,170.

(4) Cement Gun Construction Co., gunite work at Sutro Cliff, Ocean Beach (claim dated June 8, 1928), $1,095.

(5) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for parks (claim dated June 8, 1928), $547.95.

Boulevard Bond Fund, 1927.

(6) H. E. Albach, hauling sand for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated June 1, 1928), $556.20.

(7) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated June 1, 1928), $622.31.

(8) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, construction of storm drain for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $14,700.

(9) Eaton & Smith, second payment, construction of Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $7,200.

(10) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., first payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, Section E (claim dated June 6, 1928), $25,500.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(11) O. Aaron, final payment for plumbing, etc., for Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated June 5, 1928), $1,476.06.

(12) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, final payment for architectural services, Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated June 5, 1928), $648.49.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(13) Harry G. Bannon, settlement in full for account of injuries sustained due to accident on or about August 24, 1927 (claim dated June 4, 1928), $750.

Special School Tax.

(14) Miller & Pflueger, first payment, architectural services for Roosevelt Jr. High School (claim dated June 5, 1928), $8,640.

(15) A. Lettich, third payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated June 5, 1928), $1,930.99.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) O. Monson, final payment, general construction of Portola Jr. High School (claim dated June 5, 1928), $1,000.

County Road Fund.

(17) The Fay Improvement Co., City's portion of improving Montgomery street between Green and Union streets (claim dated June 6, 1928), $7,256.52.

(18) Pacific States Construction Co., Inc., second payment, construction of pavement on Portola drive and Terresita boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $14,315.40.

(19) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta to Adam street, extended (claim dated June 6, 1928), $4,800.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(20) R. M. Gardiner Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated May 31, 1928), $609.95.

(21) Gunn, Carle & Co., corrugated steel (claim dated May 31, 1928), $759.73.

(22) Pacific States Electric Co., copper wire (claim dated May 31, 1928), $846.04.

(23) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., gasoline, oil, etc. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $644.15.

(24) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated June 5, 1928), $5,183.75.

(25) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machine parts (claim dated June 5, 1928), $578.35.

(26) Loop Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated June 5, 1928), $1,002.63.

(27) Mrs. Lucille McIntosh, one Nash coupe (claim dated June 5, 1928), $1,250.

(28) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated June 5, 1928), $4,032.39.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(29) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, to reimburse Revolving Fund (claim dated May 31, 1928), $708.86.

(30) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Issue 1925, for material, equipment and services rendered for account of Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, months of March and April, 1928 (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,530.04.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(31) Sevin Vincent Seed Co., grass seed for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated June 8, 1928), $656.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(32) Dion R. Holm, legal services for June, in telephone rate litigation (claim dated June 6, 1928), $850.

(33) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, in telephone rate litigation, month of June (claim dated June 6, 1928), $750.

(34) Crane Company, convenience station lavatory equipment, at the Marina (claim dated June 1, 1928), $1,192.94.

(35) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 11, 1928), $1,778.10.

(36) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated June 11, 1928), $1,075.

(37) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, May 3 to June 3, 1928, $1,186.

(38) E. L. Soister, fourteen Acme tray cabinets and two cabinet stands, for Traffic Bureau, Police Dept. (claim dated June 4, 1928), $1,745.

(39) Federal Construction Co., fourth payment, extension of Thirtieth avenue sewer, Sunset District,

Contract 5 (claim dated June 6, 1928), $3,900.

(40) Acme Gravel Co., rock for street repair (claim dated May 29, 1928), $1.006.37.

(41) Acme Gravel Co., rock for street repair (claim dated May 29, 1928), $655.98.

(42) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 1, 1928), $1,780.47.

(43) Board of Public Works (Budget Item 555), to reimburse Sewer Repair Dept., for labor performed during April on Golden Gate Heights sewer (claim dated May 29, 1928), $4,070.62.

(44) Board of Public Works (Budget Item 559), for reimbursement of Sewer Repair Dept., for material and supplies furnished for construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer (claim dated May 29, 1928), $3,524.13.

(45) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, corrugated iron and roofing fasteners for repairs to buildings (claim dated June 1, 1928), $727.36.

(46) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $654.12.

(47) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,128.

(48) Kohler Co., electric light plants, batteries, etc., for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $3,284.40.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated May 31, 1928), $14,849.90.

(50) Union Machine Co., repairs to Fire Boat (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,456.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(51) A. Lettich & Co., pipe for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated June 1, 1928), $3,933.78.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(52) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions and gratuities for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 4, 1928), $1,435.70.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Appropriation, $4,500, Payment to Joseph P. McGrath et al., Property for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 29138 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to Joseph P. McGrath et al., being payment for Lot 28 in Block 6691, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29126 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bernal Cut (claim dated June 6, 1928).

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Appropriation $1,256, Carl Stange, Land for Widening Silver Avenue.

Resolution No. 29139 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,256 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to Carl Stange; being payment for Lots Nos. 12 and 19, as shown on map entitled "Plat of the Silver Terrace," and required for the widening of Silver avenue; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29076, New Series (claim dated June 5, 1928).

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Appropriation for Land Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 29140 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) John Martorella and Antonietta Martorella, for portions of Lots 2, 3 and 4 (40 x 120 ft.), Block 7032, and portion of Lot 5A (40 x 40 ft.) in Block 7029, as per Assessor's Block Books, and accepted by Resolution No. 29077 (New Series); required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $4,770.

(2) Max Grace, for Lots Nos. 7 and 8 (50 x 100 ft.) in Block 7137, as per the Assessor's Block Books, accepted by Resolution No. 29077 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $2,500.

(3) Pietro Magri and Agnese Magri, for portion of Lot 18 (50 x 40 ft.) in Block 7147, as per the

Assessor's Block Books, and required for Alemany boulevard; accepted by Resolution No. 29078 (New Series) (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,591.

(4) Antonio Pescie and Louisa Pescie, for portion of Lot 17A (25 x 40 ft.) in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29078 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,196.

(5) Paul Nagel and Jeanne Nagel, for portion of Lot 3 (25 x 40 ft.) in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29078 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $2,110.

(6) Joseph Fregosi and Virginia Fregosi, for a portion of Lot 4 (100 x 40 ft.) in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29078 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,200.

(7) Josephine T. Depaoli, for portion of Lot 2 (81 x 50 irregular) in Block 6786A, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29078 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $750.

(8) Ella J. Darland, for portions of Lots 4 and 5 (51.09 x 27.7 ft.) in Block 5693, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29080 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $2,749.

(9) Catherine J. Johnson, for Lots 2 and 3 (75 by about 58 ft.) in Block 5374, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29080 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $674.

(10) Henrietta Brink et al., for portions of Lots 39, 40 and 41 (72 by 57 feet, irregular) in Block 5607, and portion of Lot 6 in Block 5693, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29080 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $3,187.

(11) Mary T. Wright, for Lot 34 in Block 2389, as per Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29128 (New Series), required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,500.

(12) John Tierney, for portion of Lot 21 in Block 5392, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29080 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $2,720.

(13) Patrick McKenna, for Lot 32 in Block 5392, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29081 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $5,138.

(14) M. F. Taylor and Marie I. Taylor, for portion of Lot 17 (40 x 40 ft.) in Block 7147, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29125 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,055.

(15) Pietro Rosaia, for portion of Lot 5 (40 x 40 ft.) in Block 7029, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29125 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $640.

(16) Pietro Rosaia, for portion of Lot 1 (40 x 40 ft.) in Block 7032, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29125 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $640.

(17) Henry W. Hildebrand, for Lot 19 (39 ft. frontage—irregular) in Block 5392, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29124 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $3,673.

(18) Bertha Kalinowsky,for portions of Lots 1 and 2 (25.336 x 75 ft.) in Block 5391, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29124 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $537.

(19) Solomon Lipschitz, for Lot 22 in Block 5465, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29124 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $720.

(20) Solomon Lipschitz, for Lot 24 in Block 5465, as per Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29124 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 6, 1928), $921.

(21) Lydia Hunter, as administratrix of estate of James Keegan, deceased, for portion of Lot 9 in Block 5451, as per Assessor's Block Books, and being in accordance with satisfaction of judgment by Superior Court, Action No. 194191, required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated May 31, 1928), $800.

(22) Ingeborg K. Stevens, for Lot 30 in Block 1714, as per Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29128 (New Series), required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 6, 1928), $7,400.

(23) James F. George and Erma F. George, for Lot 33, Block 1717, as per Assessor's Block Books; per

acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29128 (New Series), required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 6, 1928), $7,250.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Appropriations, Architectural Services, South Side High School and Aptos Elementary School.

Resolution No. 29141 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax to cover costs of architectural services for the hereinafter designated schools, to-wit:

For third unit of South Side (Balboa) High School, $24,000.

For the Aptos Elementary School, $18,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Appropriation, Spring Valley Water Company, Connections Municipal Water Works.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $766.58 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the Spring Valley Water Co. for the furnishing and laying of pipe and connections in Alpha street; being an extension of the Municipal Water Works (claim dated May 11, 1928). Appropriation by Resolution No. 27848 (New Series).

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Establishing Set-back Lines, Fourteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 8581, Ordinance No. 8088 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along Fourteenth avenue between Geary and Clement streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Geary street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 475 feet, said set-back line to 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 2. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Bush and Steiner Streets.

Bill No. 8582, Ordinance No. 8089 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Bush street and Steiner street, for a distance of 100 feet on Bush street and 55 feet on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of 90 days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Fell and Broderick Streets.

Bill No. 8583, Ordinance No. 8090 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2. Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Fell street and Broderick street, for a distance of 100 feet on Fell street and 50 feet on Broderick street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 29142 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bell & Sylvester, west side Monterey boulevard, 380 feet south of Westgate drive, 600 gallons capacity.

John Exnicious, northwest corner Thirty-eighth avenue and Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. J. Frank, 121 Jordan avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gas Heating Company, northeast corner Santa Paula and San Jacinto way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Haley Bros., west side Broderick street, 112 feet south of Jefferson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, northeast corner Thirty-ninth avenue and Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marcus Marcussen, west line Stockton street, 200 feet north of Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Owen McCusker, 75 San Rafael way, 750 gallons capacity.

M. O'Connell, south line Geary street, 40 feet west of Fortieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop, south line of Broadway, 200 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. A. Savage, north side Chestnut street, 100 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. Sterling, 107 Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Pacific Can Company, Newhall street and Williams avenue, 100 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Auto Supply Station Permit, Standard Oil Company.

Resolution No. 29143 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Standard Oil Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Plymouth avenue and Sagamore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Laundry Permits.

Resolution No. 29144 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That S & L Hand Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 530 O'Farrell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Resolution No. 29145 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard Street Hand Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 805 Howard street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 29146 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. T. Perry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Taraval street, 32 feet 6 inches west of Thirty-first avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Laundry Permit.

Reslution No. 29147 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 406 Third street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 29148 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joseph O'Brien be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at premises located on east line of Larkin street, 93 feet 6 inches north of O'Farrell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Establishing Grades on Chilton and Hamerton Avenues.

Bill No. 8570, Ordinance No. 8091 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Chilton and Hamerton avenues between Chenery and Bosworth streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Chilton and Hamerton avenues between Chenery and Bosworth streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of Board of Public Works filed May 21, 1928:

Chilton Avenue.

13.08 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Chenery street southerly line, 198.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

13.08 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Chenery street southerly line, 199.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

13 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50.64 feet southerly from Chenery street, 187.50 feet.

13 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 75.64 feet southerly from Chenery street, 183.37 feet.

13 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100.64 feet southerly from Chenery street, 182.00 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

13 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 56.71 feet southerly from Chenery street, 186.99 feet.

13 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 81.71 feet southerly from Chenery street, 183.25 feet.

13 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 106.71 feet southerly from Chenery street, 182.00 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

13 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 130 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 182.00 feet.

13 feet easterly from the westerly

line of, 105 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 183.11 feet.

13 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 80 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 186.46 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

13 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 130 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 182.00 feet.

13 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 105 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 183.08 feet.

13 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 80 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 186.35 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Bosworth street northerly line, 200.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Bosworth street northerly line, 201.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Hamerton Avenue.

15.19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Chenery street southerly line, 206.25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15.19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Chenery street southerly line, 206.75 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 36.83 feet southerly from Chenery street, 198.78 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 61.83 feet southerly from Chenery street, 193.44 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 86.83 feet southerly from Chenery street, 189.00 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 111.83 feet southerly from Chenery street, 185.90 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 136.83 feet southerly from Chenery street, 184.62 feet.

(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 27.37 feet southerly from Chenery street, 199.05 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 52.37 feet southerly from Chenery street, 193.51 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 77.37 feet southerly from Chenery street, 189.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 102.37 feet southerly from Chenery street, 185.90 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 127.37 feet southerly from Chenery street, 184.62 feet.

(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

135 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 184.00 feet.

85 feet northerly from Bosworth street, 184.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Bosworth street northerly line, 208.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Bosworth street northerly line, 210.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Chilton and Hamerton avenues between Chenery and Bosworth streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $79,128.97 were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Action Deferred.

The following items were *continued one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $37.79.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation award, $104.15.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for Public Libraries (claim dated May 31, 1928), $810.

(2) San Francisco News Co., li-

bary books (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,015.49.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,313.08.

Playground Fund.

(4) Fred H. Kernan, construction work and alterations to equipment at San Francisco Municipal Camp, Mather, Cal. (claim dated June 6, 1928), $3,310.

(5) Daniel C. McCabe, first payment, construction of playground at Madrid and Russia avenues (claim dated June 6, 1928), $2,117.

(6) Spring Valley Water Co., writer for playgrounds (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,790.89.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(7) John J. Dailey, legal services for month of June in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated June 11, 1928), $850.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(8) Department of Public Works, repairs to roads at Relief Home (claim dated June 14, 1928), $1,832.69.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(9) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, twenty-second payment, construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset tunnel (claim dated June 13, 1928), $10,000.

(10) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work in moving curbs on Duboce avenue (claim dated June 13, 1928), $2,889.49.

Special School Tax.

(11) G. Albert Lansburgh, first payment, architectural services for addition to Polytechnic High School (claim dated June 12, 1928), $1,740.

(12) Dodge A. Reidy, first payment, architectural services for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated June 12, 1928), $3,096.

(13) Park Commission, for care of school grounds during May, 1928 (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,300.

(14) George H. Tay Co., toilet fixtures for Hancock School (claim dated June 6, 1928), $525.42.

Park Fund.

(15) Landau Economic Syphon Co., soda water for parks (claim dated June 15, 1928), $594.33.

(16) Carl Munter & Co., bath towels for parks (claim dated June 15, 1928), $731.06.

(17) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on park employments (claim dated June 15, 1928), $616.66.

(18) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated June 15, 1928), $2,833.66.

(19) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated June 15, 1928), $798.09.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(20) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for insurance of Hetch Hetchy employments (claim dated June 7, 1928), $524.04.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(21) Robert W. Jamison, first payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for Sunset extension (claim dated June 7, 1928), $900.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(22) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for account Municipal Railways (claim dated June 7, 1928), $1,950.27.

(23) Hancock Bros., Inc., printing transfers (claim dated June 7, 1928), $720.

(24) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished for May (claim dated June 11, 1928), $38,553.03.

(25) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for account Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 8, 1928), $7,461.55.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(26) Lee Otis, in full settlement of all claims and demands for account of personal injuries and damages sustained due to accident of July 28, 1926 (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,375.

County Road Fund.

(27) Clarence B. Eaton, third payment, improvement of Roosevelt way, Buena Vista Terrace to Seventeenth street and Seventeenth street from Roosevelt way to Clayton street (claim dated June 13, 1928), $7,500.

(28) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalties for pavement resurfacing by Lutz Heaters (claim dated June 7, 1928), $631.

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(29) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated June 15, 1928), $6,977.61.

(30) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, filling in of Alemany boulevard, Orizaba avenue to S. P. bridge (claim dated June 13, 1928), $9,705.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(31) Gunn, Carle & Co., corrugated steel (claim dated June 7, 1928), $603.

(32) Jasper Brothers, oak wood (claim dated June 11, 1928), $525.80.

(33) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated June 7, 1928), $522.

(34) Soule Steel Co., corrugated iron (claim dated June 7, 1928), $886.62.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(35) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated June 11, 1928), $963.41.

(36) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for convenience stations (claim dated June 15, 1928), $1 051.50.

(37) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for account of Civic Center beautification (claim dated June 15, 1928), $3,292.91.

(38) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $2,221.81.

(39) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,409.99.

(40) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,221.55.

(41) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $3,067.08.

(42) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $858.80.

(43) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $12,576.60.

(44) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $33,051.45.

(45) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $3,679.20.

(46) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated June 15, 1928), $8,337.25.

(47) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated June 15, 1928), $1,157.50.

(48) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated June 15, 1928), $8,556.04.

(49) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated June 18, 1928), $9,850.

(50) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 18, 1928), $1,575.15.

(51) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for May (claim dated June 18, 1928), $58,779.64.

(52) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repairs (claim dated June 6, 1928), $3,582.62.

(53) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repairs (claim dated June 6, 1928), $5,166.63.

(54) John Cassaretto, rock for street repairs (claim dated June 8, 1928), $641.27.

(55) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repairs (claim dated June 8, 1928), $1,802.15.

(56) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 8, 1928), $667.97.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated June 8, 1928), $711.86.

(58) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 11, 1928), $4,216.73.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated June 11, 1928), $2,158.84.

(60) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,023.20.

(61) F. E. Booth Co., fish for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $560.70.

(62) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $3,078.92.

(63) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,948.18.

(64) Department of Public Works, repairs to roads at Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 29, 1928), $1,832.69.

(65) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,871.44.

(66) Morris & Co., butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,651.32.

(67) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,513.96.

(68) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $972.

(69) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,071.02.

(70) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $805.45.

(71) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished the Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,931.07.

(72) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated May 31, 1928), $772.80.

(73) Shell Co., fuel oil for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $952.38.

(74) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished, removing and installing hydrants for Fire Dept.

(claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,144.70.

(75) The Voleske Tool Co., six bar-cutters for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $576.38.

Appropriation, Louis J. Cohn, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bond Fund, Issue 1927, for improvement of Bay Shore Boulevard, Section "A," Potrero avenue to Silver avenue, to-wit:

Amount of contract awarded to Louis J. Cohn	$224,347.98
Engineering, inspection and possible extras...	13,652.02
Total	$238,000.00

Appropriations, Portola Drive and Napoleon Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of constructing an asphaltic concrete conform surface on Portola drive opposite Miraloma Park, $2,500.

(2) For cost of grading and shaping up Napoleon street to a roadway of 20 feet in width, $2,500.

Appropriations, Maternity Service, Traffic Signals, Sewers.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Establishing of Maternity Service—Budget Item No. 58.

(1) For payroll, equipment and incidentals for maternity service during months of May and June, 1928, $2,483.03.

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(2) To the credit of Budget Item No. 411, Department of Public Works, for labor furnished for the painting of curbing for traffic regulation during month of May, 1928, $706.50.

(3) To the credit of Budget Item No. 433, Department of Public Works, for material furnished for the painting of curbing for traffic regulation during month of May, 1928, $324.03.

(4) To the credit of Bureau of Engineering, Appropriation 32-A, Budget Items 491-A and 491-B (new), for payment of salaries of City Traffic Engineer at $300 and his assistant at $225 for month of June, 1928, $525.

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 38.

(5) For the construction of sewer known as Guttenberg street sewer extension (McGill contract at $6,481), and for engineering, inspection and possible extras (at $519) $7,000.

Appropriations, Payments for Land for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the Boulevard Bond Fund in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Fred Christiansen for portion of Lot 21 in Block 5465, 22.6 feet by 100 feet, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29158 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $716.

(2) Paul Irwin Ittner for Lots 23 and 24 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29159 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $2,400.

(3) Ida Lee Ballister and Thomas Lee Ballister for Lot 28 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29159 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $4,191.

(4) William R. Copeland and Alma Copeland for portions of Lots 36 and 37 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29159 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $3,500.

(5) P. Grassi and A. Minutoli for portions of Lots 305 and 306, Precita Valley Lands; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29162 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $11,879.

(6) John Brandt, John P. Cosgrove and Richard J. Welch for portions of Lots 37 and 38 in Block 5607 (50 by 77 feet), as per As-

sessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29161 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $2,906.25.

(7) John Brandt, John P. Cosgrove and Richard J. Welch for portions of Lots 7 and 8 in Block 5607 (50 by 70 feet), as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29161 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $2,625.

(8) Harry Van Noorden for Lot 5 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29157 (New Series), and required for opening of Sunset Parkway (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,625.

(9) Harry Nicholson and Gertrude M. Nicholson for portions of Lots 8 and 21 in Block 7147 (43.4 by 40 feet), as per the Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29151 (New Series), and required for opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $1,151.

Appropriations, Lands for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To John A. Weimert and Jennie Weimert for Lot 22 in Block 6691 as per the Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29156 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 12, 1928), $6,800.

(2) Frank B. Kelleher and Carrie Kelleher for Lot 23 in Block 6691 as per the Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29156 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 12, 1928), $7,000.

(3) To W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co. for portions of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 17 in Block 6724 (200 by 45 feet), as per the Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29155 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 12, 1928), $8,700.

Accepting Statement of Gross Receipts From Street Car Fares.

Resolution No. 29149 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company, showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of April, 1928, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transportation, etc. $587.34.

Gough street, $44.94.

Parnassus avenue, etc., $337.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to deposit the hereinabove mentioned sums with the Treasurer, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Plans, etc., Addition to Daniel Webster School.

Also, Bill No. 8584, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for an addition to the Daniel Webster School, and ordering the construction of an addition to the Daniel Webster School, to be erected on city property and located on the east side of Missouri street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, and to enter into contract for the construction of said addition in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for an addition to the Daniel Webster School, and to enter into contract for the construction of an addition to the Daniel Webster School, which construction is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education; said addition to be erected on city property and located on the east side of Missouri street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Construction of Aptos Elementary School.

Also, Bill No. 8585, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Aptos Elementary School, to be erected on city property bounded by Upland drive, Aptos, Kenwood and Westgate avenues; authorizing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications for said Aptos Elementary School, and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said Aptos Elementary School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of the Aptos Elementary School, to be erected on city property bounded by Upland drive, Aptos, Kenwood and Westgate avenues, is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of said Aptos Elementary School, and to enter into contract for the construction of said Aptos Elementary School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Retirement Ordinance Amendment.

Also, Bill No. 8586, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Subdivisions (g), (h), (i) and (n) of Section 2; Paragraph 3, Subdivision (a) and Paragraphs 9 and 10, Subdivision (b) of Section 4; Subdivisions (d) and (e) of Section 5; Section 6; Section 7 by changing the designations of Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) to (b), (c) and (d), respectively, and by adding a new Subdivision (a); Section 10; Section 11; Section 12; Subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 13; Subdivision (b) of Section 14; Subdivision (a) of Section 16; Subdivision (a) of Section 17; Option No. 1 of Section 18; Section 19 by adding a Subdivision (c); Section 21 and Section 24, all of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), entitled "Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco providing for the payment of retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits, and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivisions (g), (h), (i) and (n) of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, are hereby amended to read as follows:

(g) "City-service" shall mean service rendered as an employee of the City for compensation, and for the purposes of this ordinance, a member shall be considered as being in the "city-service" only while he is receiving compensation from the City for such service.

(h) "Prior Service" shall mean the city-service of a member rendered before the first day of April, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, except that, for members employed as teachers by the San Francisco School Department at the time of their entry into the Retirement System, "prior service" shall mean the city-service, excluding service as a member, rendered before the first day of October, nineteen hundred and twenty-five.

(i) "Continuous Service" as applied to prior service shall mean all prior service, regardless of interruptions in such service, and as applied to service as a member shall mean uninterrupted employment by the City, except that discontinuance of city-service of a member from any cause whatever followed by reentrance into city-service within three years from the date of such discontinuance shall not be considered as a break in the continuity of service.

(n) "Regular Interest" shall mean interest at four per centum per annum, compounded annually, plus such additional interest as the Board of Administration may declare from year to year in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Paragraph 3, Subdivision (a) and Paragraphs 9 and 10, Subdivision (b) of Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) are hereby amended to read as follows:

(a). (3) Every other employee who shall enter city-service after April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, shall become a member of the Retirement System upon the completion of six months of continuous service; except that

every other employee who shall enter city-service after October first, nineteen hundred and twenty-five, as a teacher employed by the San Francisco School Department shall become a member of the Retirement System upon the completion of six months of continuous service; and except, further, that any employee who shall enter city-service by reason of his status as an employee, for not less than one year, of a public utility at the time such public utility is acquired by the City, shall become a member upon such entry.

(b). (9) Employees engaged outside the City on the Hetch Hetchy project, provided that if any employee so excluded shall later become a member of the Retirement System through any change in status occasioned by transfer or assignment to other employment or by amendment to this ordinance, he shall receive credit for service with the City rendered prior to the date he enters the Retirement System, including service as an employee of the Hetch Hetchy project, in the same manner as credit for prior service is granted to employees who become members on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and provided, further, that on and after July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-six, employees of the Hetch Hetchy project on units certified, from time to time, to the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis, shall not be excluded from membership in the Retirement System under this paragraph, and, also, that on and after July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-eight, employees who were transferred prior to July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, to the Hetch Hetchy project outside the City from city-service within the City, and employees who have completed or shall complete ten years of continuous service on the Hetch Hetchy project, shall not be prevented under this paragraph from becoming members of the Retirement System.

(10) Persons in city-service on June twenty-eighth, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, who have not at that time affirmatively exercised the option of becoming members of the Retirement System as provided in Paragraph (1), Subdivision (a) of this section, and whose compensation equals or exceeds five hundred ($500) dollars per month.

Section 3. Subdivisions (d) and (e) of Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) are hereby amended to read as follows:

(d) Any member may elect to contribute at rates in excess of those provided for in Subdivision (a) of this section, for the purpose of providing additional benefits, but the exercise of this privilege by a member shall not place on the City any additional financial obligation. The Board of Administration, upon application, shall furnish to such member information concerning the nature and amount of additional benefits to be provided by such additional contribution.

(e) At the close of each month the Board of Administration shall determine the aggregate amount of the normal contributions for such month and the portions of such aggregate amount deducted from compensation paid from: (a) funds of operating public utilities, which shall include units of the Hetch Hetchy project certified from time to time by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis; (b) bond funds not included under the preceding item (a); (c) funds of the San Francisco School Department; (d) County Roads Fund, and (e) all other sources. There shall be paid to the Retirement Fund from each of the funds included under items (a), (b), (c) and (d), as set forth in the preceding sentence, an amount equal to the total normal contributions deducted from compensation paid from such fund during and subsequent to the fiscal year ending June thirtieth, nineteen hundred and twenty-eight, in the case of funds included in items (b) and (d), and on and after July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-eight, in the case of the funds of the San Francisco School Department, included in item (c). The Board shall certify to the Auditor the amount of normal contributions deducted from compensation paid from all other sources as set forth in item (e), and the Auditor shall transfer an equal amount to the Retirement Fund from the appropriation for the Retirement System in the Budget for the then current year.

Section 4. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Subject to the following and to all other provisions of this ordinance, including such rules and regulations as the Board of Administration may adopt in pur-

suance thereof, the said Board shall determine and may modify allowances for service and disability.

It shall fix and determine how much service rendered in any fiscal year shall be the equivalent of a year of service and of parts thereof, but shall credit one year for two hundred and fifty or more days of service rendered by employees on a per diem basis and one year for ten months or more of service rendered by employees on a monthly basis, but not more than one year for all service in any fiscal year.

Time during which a member was absent from service without pay shall not be allowed in computing service.

Each employee shall file with the Board of Administration such information affecting his status as a member of the Retirement System as the Board may require.

The Board of Administration shall grant credit for prior service to each member who has rendered such service as defined in this ordinance and who has entered the Retirement System prior to January first, nineteen hundred and twenty-eight. However, prior service so credited shall be the basis for a retirement allowance or benefit as provided in this ordinance only if membership continues until retirement on a retirement allowance or until the granting of such other benefit.

Section 5. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended by changing the designation of the present Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) to (b), (c) and (d), respectively, and by the addition of a new subdivision, to be designated (a), and to read as follows:

(a) Contributions of members, of beneficiaries and of the City shall be improved with interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, compounded annually. The Board, however, at the end of each fiscal year, beginning with the fiscal year 1927-1928, may credit to all contributions held in the Retirement Fund at June 30th of the then current fiscal year, such additional interest as it may deem proper in the light of the earnings on the Retirement Fund during such fiscal year, provided that the total interest credited to contributions during any fiscal year shall not exceed the earnings on the Retirement Fund during that year; and provided, further, that interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, compounded annually, shall be used in the calculation of benefits under any mortality table adopted by the Board, regardless of any additional interest allowed on contributions under this paragraph.

Section 6. Section 10 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 10. There shall be paid into the Retirement Fund, by contributions of the City, the amounts necessary to pay all pensions and all other benefits allowable under this ordinance to members on account of prior service. Until the amount accumulated in the Retirement Fund becomes not less than the present value of all amounts thereafter payable from the Retirement Fund, the amount annually due to the said Fund under this section shall be the amount payable from said Fund in the ensuing fiscal year on account of prior service. Such portion of the amount as is annually due to the Retirement Fund on account of prior service rendered by persons as employees of municipally owned operating public utilities, which shall include units of the Hetch Hetchy project certified from time to time by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis, or on account of prior service rendered by persons as employees of the San Francisco School Department, shall be paid from the funds of such public utilities or from the funds of the San Francisco School Department, respectively, provided that no amount due to the Retirement Fund on account of prior service rendered by persons retired under the provisions of Section 9, Article XVII of the Charter shall be paid from the funds of the San Francisco School Department.

Section 7. Section 11 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 11. The payments of the City into the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, as provided in Subdivision (e), Section 5 of this ordinance, and the payments into the said fund, as provided in Section 10 of this ordinance, are hereby made obligations of the City. The Board of Supervisors shall appropriate, in the budget for each fiscal year, such amounts as are necessary to make such payments, less the portions to be paid from the several funds set forth in the said Subdivision (e) of Section 5 and Section 10, and the amounts as ap-

propriated shall be included in the tax levy. The Board of Supervisors, also, shall make provision for the payment from the said several funds of such amounts as shall be necessary to meet the obligations of the City under the Retirement System on account of employees whose compensation is or has been paid from such funds.

Section 8. Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 12. Should the city-service of a member be discontinued, except by death or retirement, he shall be paid, six months after the date of discontinuance, such part of his accumulated contributions as he shall demand; provided that, if in the opinion of the Board of Administration, said member is permanently separated from city-service by reason of such discontinuance, he shall be paid forthwith all of his accumulated contributions, and provided, also, that the Board of Administration may, in its discretion, withhold for not more than one year after a member last rendered city-service all or part of his accumulated normal contributions if after a previous discontinuance of city-service he withdrew all or a part of his accumulated normal contributions and failed to redeposit such withdrawn amount in the Retirement Fund as provided in this Section.

Any member may redeposit in the Retirement Fund, in one sum or in not to exceed six monthly or twelve semi-monthly payments, an amount equal to that which he withdrew therefrom at the last termination of his membership. If a member upon reentering the Retirement System after a termination of his membership shall not make such redeposit, he shall reenter as a new member without credit for any service and the rate of his contributions for future years shall be the normal rate provided for in Subdivision (a), Section 5 of this ordinance at his age of reentrance; otherwise his rate of contribution for future years shall be the same as his rate prior to the last termination of his membership, and his membership shall be the same as if unbroken by such last termination. In the event such redeposit is made by a member, an amount equal to the accumulated normal contributions so redeposited shall again be held for the benefit of the said member and shall no longer be included in the amounts available to meet the obligations of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

Section 9. Subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 13 are hereby amended to read as follows:

(a) Each member in the city-service who has attained the age of seventy years at the time of his entrance into the Retirement System shall be retired forthwith, and each member in city-service, or within four months after discontinuance of city-service, or while physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of his duty, if such incapacity has been continuous from discontinuance of city-service, who attains the age of seventy years, shall be retired on the first day of the calendar month next succeeding that in which the said member shall have attained the age of seventy years.

(b) Any member in the city-service or within four months after discontinuance of city-service, or while physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of his duty, if such incapacity has been continuous from discontinuance of city-service, may retire upon written application to the Board of Administration, stating what time, not less than thirty days subsequent to the filing of such application in case one of the options set forth in Section 18 of this ordinance is selected, he desired to be retired, provided that said member, at the time so specified for his retirement, shall have

(1) Completed ten years of continuous service as defined in this ordinance and attained the age of sixty-two years; or

(2) Completed thirty years of continuous service as defined in this ordinance and attained the age of fifty-seven years.

Section 10. Subdivision (b) of Section 14 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

(b) Any member who entered the Retirement System on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, or who entered after said date and receives credit for prior service, and who is retired by reason of attaining the age of seventy years, shall receive on account of prior service such additional pension, purchased by the contributions of the City, as will make his total retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additional contributions, not less than four hundred and eighty (480) dollars per year unless such four hundred and eighty (480) dollars exceeds one-half of his final compensation, in which

event his total retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additional contributions, shall be not less than one-half of his final compensation.

Section 11. Subdivision (a) of Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

(a) Upon retirement for disability a member who has attained the age of sixty-two years shall receive a service retirement allowance as provided in Subdivision (a), Section 14 of this ordinance; otherwise he shall receive a retirement allowance which shall consist of

(1) An annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of his retirement; and, if, in the opinion of the Board of Administration, such disability is not due to intemperance, wilful misconduct or violation of law on the part of this member;

(2) A pension purchased by the contributions of the City which, together with the annuity provided by his accumulated normal contributions, shall make the retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additional contributions, equal to (a) one and one-fourth (1¼) per centum of his final compensation multiplied bv the number of years of service credited to him, if such retirement allowance exceeds one-fourth of his final compensation; otherwise (b) one and one-fourth (1¼) per centum of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of service which would be creditable to him were his service to continue until attainment by him of age sixty-two, but such retirement allowance shall not exceed one-fourth of such final compensation. In the calculation of a retirement allowance under this paragraph in the case of a member having credit for more than one class of service, that is, service as a teacher in the day schools, as a teacher in the evening schools or as an employee in any other position, separate retirement allowances shall be calculated, in the manner prescribed, for each class of service, the final compensation in each case being that for the respective class of service; provided, that the final compensation upon which the minimum total retirement allowance is calculated in such case shall be based on the compensation earnable by the member in the classes of service rendered by him during the ten years immediately preceding his retirement.

Section 12. Subdivision (a) of Section 17 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

(a) The Board of Administration may, at its pleasure, require any disability beneficiary, under age sixty-two, to undergo medical examination, such examination to be made by a physician or surgeon, appointed by the Board of Administration, at the place of residence of said beneficiary or other place mutually agreed upon. Upon the basis of such examination the Board shall determine whether said disability beneficiary is still incapacitated, physically or mentally, for service in the office or department of the City where he was employed and in the position held by him when retired for disability. If he Board of Administration shall determine that said beneficiary is not so incapacitated, his retirement allowance shall be cancelled forthwith, and he shall be reinstated to the position held by him when retired for disability.

Section 13. Option No. 1 of Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Option 1. If he die before he receives in annuity payments provided for in Paragraph (1), Subdivision (a), Section 14 and Paragraph (1), Subdivision (a), Section 16 of this ordinance, the amount of his accumulated contributions as it was at his retirement, the balance of such accumulated contributions shall be paid to his estate or to such person, having an insurable interest in his life, as he shall nominate by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration.

Section 14. Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended by the addition of a subdivision to be designated (c) and to read as follows:

(c) Upon the death of any person after retirement and while receiving a retirement allowance from the Retirement System, there shall be paid to his estate, or to such person having an insurable interest in his life as he shall have nominated by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration, the sum of five hundred dollars ($500), the funds for such payment to be derived from the accumulated contributions of the City held to meet the obligation of the City on account of benefits that

have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

Section 15. Section 21 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 21. A pension, an annuity or retirement allowance granted under the provisions of this ordinance shall be payable in equal monthly installments or in smaller pro rata amounts when the pension, annuity or retirement allowance begins after the first day of the month or ends before the last day of the month.

Section 16. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. No person who has been retired for service or disability and who receives a retirement allowance under the Retirement System shall be paid for any service, except as a juror or as an election officer, rendered by him to the City after the date of his retirement.

Section 17. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Extension of Fitzgerald Avenue Sewer.

Also, Resolution No. 29150 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for a subsurface sewer right of way for the extension of the Fitzgerald avenue sewer, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted.

Kroehler Manufacturing Company, $1—Portion of Lot 1T, Block 5431A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29151 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Harry Nicolson and Gertrude M. Nicolson, $1,151—Portions of Lots 8 and 21 in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29152 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy Project, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted.

Fred Cavagnaro, $110—Portion of the southwest quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 27, Township 1 South, Range 15 East, M. D. B. and M. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolu-

tion, in the office of the County Recorder of Tuolumne County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29153 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of Frank Gyulik and Caroline Gyulik to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a portion of Lot 17, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, in exchange for a portion of Lot 16, Block 6689, as per said Block Books (all as per written offer on file), which latter property is appraised by the City's right of way agent to be of equal value with the property first hereinabove described, be accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, in whose name the property to be conveyed to said Frank and Caroline Gyulik has been taken, is hereby authorized and directed to convey the same to said Frank and Caroline Gyulik upon receipt of a deed conveying to the City and County of San Francisco the property required for said opening of Bernal Cut; be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title of said property to be acquired, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Mayor to Sell Frame Buildings, Bernal Cut Site.

Also, Resolution No. 29154 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances, situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 323, 329, 335, 337 and 339 Arlington street, and 14 Richland avenue.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days after purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands, Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29155 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named corporation to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted:

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., a corporation, $8,700—Portions of Lots Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 17, Block 6724, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29156 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums

set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

John A. Weimert and Jennie Weimert, $6,800—

Parcel 1. The northerly portion of Lot 22, Block 6691, according to the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel "A". The southerly portion of Lot 22, Block 6691, according to the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Frank B. Kelleher and Carrie Kelleher, $7,000—

Parcel 1. The northerly portion of Lot 23, Block 6691, according to the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel "B". The southerly portion of Lot 23, Block 6691, according to the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco; be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of said Parcel "A", purchased from said John A. and Jennie Weimert, and Parcel B", purchased from said Frank B. and Carrier Kelleher, the deeds to said Parcels "A" and "B" be taken in the name of the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the direction of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands, Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29157 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Harry Van Noorden, $1,625—Lot 5, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29158 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Fred Christiansen, $716—

Parcel 1. The southwesterly portion of Lot 21, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. The northeasterly portion of Lot 21, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco; be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2, purchased from said Fred Christiansen, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the City Title Insurance Company.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29159 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Paul Edwin Ittner, $2,400—Lots Nos. 23 and 24, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The improvements located on the above described land are to remain the property of said Paul Erwin Ittner; same to be removed within 30 days from date of recordation of deed to the above described land.

Ida Le Ballister and Thomas Le Ballister, $4,191—Lot 28, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

William R. Copeland and Alma Copeland, $3,500—Portion of Lots 36 and 37, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Mayor to Sell Frame Buildings, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29160 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances, situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and known as 1799 and 1781 San Bruno avenue.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days after purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29161 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

John Brandt, John P. Cosgrove and Richard Welch, $2,906.25—All of Lots Nos. 406 and 407, according to map entitled "Gift Map No. 4," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 31, 1861, and recorded in Book 2 A and B of Maps, at pages 16 and 17.

John Brandt, John P. Cosgrove and Richard Welch, $2,625—All of Lots Nos. 413 and 414, according to map entitled "Gift Map No. 4," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 31, 1861, and recorded in Book 2 A and B of Maps, at pages 16 and 17.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco; be it

Further Resolved, That, in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of small portions of the above described parcels of land lying outside of the boulevard right of way, title to the same be taken in the name of Title Insurance and Guaranty Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the direction of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29162 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names be accepted:

P. Grassi and A. Minutoli, $11,879—Portions of Lots Nos. 305 and 306, Precita Valley Lands. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Appropriations, Sewer Crossing Holladay Avenue and Faith Street; Pavement, Trumbull Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29163 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated accounts for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension of Sewers, Etc., Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For cost of constructing a sewer wing in the crossing of Holladay avenue and Faith street, $108.

County Road Fund.

(2) For cost of improvement of Trumbull street by the smoothing of grade from existing pavement to the bridge and the placing of a 6-inch surface of rock, $200.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $1,244.50, A. E. Hennessey, Golden Gate Heights.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,244.50 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to A. E. Hennessey, contractor, for improvement of roadways in the Golden Gate Heights District; being in full settlement of final adjustment of assessment claims for which the City may be liable.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *continued one week*:

Appropriation, $4,891.91, Fay Improvement Company, West Portal Avenue.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,891.91 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to The Fay Improvement Company; being full payment for account of concrete work incident to changing of rails and lowering of tracks of the Municipal Railways in connection with the improvement of West Portal avenue between Ulloa street and Fifteenth avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that a written report be obtained from the Board of Public Works giving full particulars of this transaction.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters, on recommendation of the Fire Committee, were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

J. Bjorkman, northeast corner of Nineteenth and Rosemont streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Boardman, northeast corner of Pacific avenue and Lyons street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Allen Cline, 2730 Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Cohen, 1272 Golden Gate avenue. 1500 gallons capacity.

Dixwell Davenport, 195 San Leandro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. M. Ferry Seed Co., north side of Paul avenue, 450 feet east of San Bruno avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. M. Ganz, 3900 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. V. Gullmes, north side of Oak street, 162 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. F. Helms, north side of Balboa street, 60 feet east of Forty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. C. Kincannon, east side of Fillmore street, 80 feet north of Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Monson, east side of Polk street, 40 feet north of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., 149 Urbano drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., southwest corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sbarboro & Jorgensen, south side of Marina boulevard, 35 feet west of Scott street, 750 gallons capacity.

Sbarboro & Jorgensen, south side of Marina boulevard, 60 feet west of Scott street, 750 gallons capacity.

S. Schwartz, 4 Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Scoble, south side of Jefferson street, 81 feet 6 inches west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Scoble, west side of Casa way, 100 feet north of Rico way, 1500 gallons capacity.

St. Francis Yacht Club, foot of Baker street, 150 feet north of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Maurice Salomon, south side of Balboa street, 35 feet west of Fourteenth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

H. P. Sorenson, east side of Larkin street, 50 feet south of Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stalder Hinkelbein Co., 680 Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stewart Smith, 19 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. McSweeney, east side of Noe street, 50 feet north of Twenty-ninth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. J. Wade, northwest corner of Franklin and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. Block be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Otto Buehler by Resolution No. 24052 (New Series) for premises on the southeast corner of Polk and Eddy streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Shell Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Lawrence Barrett by Resolution No. 28323 (New Series), for premises on the southwest corner of Ellis and Taylor streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. H. Frietzsche be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted R. Del Prete by Resolution No. 23867 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Third and Revere streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sibley Grading & Teaming Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situated on the west side of San Bruno avenue, 400 feet north of Cortland avenue, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor, the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Sibley Grading & Teaming Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 8587, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7511 (New Series), granting

permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon First street, Bryant street and Beale street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7511 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Said tracks shall be used for the transportation of freight only, and shall not be used as a main line or a part thereof.

Said tracks shall be laid level with the street and must be operated under such restrictions as to interfere to the least possible degree with the use of said streets by the public.

The Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, however, that it is the intention of this Board that said track shall be equally available to all railroads, but in order to promote safety and economy in the operation thereof it is hereby expressly provided that the State Belt Railway, or any railroad operating in San Francisco, shall have the right to make physical connection with the tracks above described, and Southern Pacific Company shall promptly and without discrimination switch to any industry on said track any car or cars which such other carriers may place on proper interchange tracks properly constructed to handle necessary business. It is understood that Southern Pacific Company is to do all of the switching on the trackage known as Beale street spur or industrial tracks.

Provided, that no locomotive, car or cars shall be taken over the spur tracks on Beale street from Howard street to Mission street between the hours of 7 a. m. and 12 m. and 1 p. m. and 4 p. m.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Approval of Map, Widening Noriega Street.

Resolution No. 29165 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 102484 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Noriega street from Thirty-first avenue to Forty-eighth avenue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Noriega street from Thirty-first avenue to Forty-eighth avenue be and the same is hereby approved.

Further Resolved, That Parcels 32-36, as shown on said map, are declared to be an open public street and to be known as Noriega street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Accepting Deeds to Land for Widening Noriega Street.

Also, Resolution No. 28164 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 1st day of July, 1927, between Heyman Brothers, formerly Oscar Heyman and Brother, formerly Jacob Heyman Co., a corporation, and Joe Brignetti and Ida Brignetti, his wife, and the City any County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) to lands for the widening of Noriega street is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29166 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 17th day of March, 1927, between Lucy Steele, a widow, and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) to lands for the widening of Noriega street is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29167 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 27th day of April 1928, between Edward J. and Violet A. Lynch (his wife) and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) to lands for the

widening of Noriega street is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29168 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 2d day of March, 1927, between Mary G. O'Kane and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) to lands for the widening of Noriega street is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Resolution No. 29169 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 6th day of June, 1928, between Theresa Walsh, a single woman, and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) to lands for the widening of Noriega street is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Twenty-sixth Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29170 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest requires that a certain portion of Twenty-sixth avenue be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Twenty-sixth avenue described as follows:

Twenty-sixth avenue from a line drawn parallel with and distant southerly at right angles 352 feet from the southerly line of Vicente street, southerly 185 feet to the southerly termination of Twenty-sixth avenue, as established December 28, 1925, by Resolution 24988.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said Chapter and Article following said Section 2.

Be it Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

The Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Twenty-sixth avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Portion of Tulare Street Changed to Marin Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29171 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 102423 (Second Series) recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the name of that portion of Tulare street dedicated for street purposes by Ordinance No. 5271 (New Series), approved November 18, 1920, be changed to Marin street, said portion of Tulare street being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Texas street and the northerly line of Tulare street, if extended and produced easterly, and running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Texas street 101.097 feet to the southerly line of Tulare street, if extended and produced easterly; thence deflecting to the left an angle of 43 degrees 49 minutes 14 seconds and running easterly along the southerly line of Tulare street, if extended and produced easterly, 306.015 feet; thence at right angles northerly 70 feet; thence at right angles westerly 378.958 feet to the northeasterly line of Texas street and the point of commencement.

Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That the name of that portion of Tulare street as dedicated by Ordi-

nance No. 5271 (New Series) and as described above, be and is hereby changed to Marin street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8588, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Brussels street between Olmstead and Mansell streets, Carolina street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, Florence street between Broadway and Vallejo streets, Jennings street between Palou and Quesada avenues, La Salle avenue between Lane and Mendall streets, Olmstead street between Brussels and Goettingen streets, Oxford street between Silver avenue and Pioche street, Prague street between Russia avenue and its southerly termination, Roanoke street between Laidley and Bemis streets, York street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Brussels street between Olmstead and Mansell streets, Carolina street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, Florence street between Broadway and Vallejo streets, Jennings street between Palou and Quesada avenues, La Salle avenue between Lane and Mendall streets, Olmstead street between Brussels and Goettingen streets, Oxford street between Silver avenue and Pioche street, Prague street between Russia avenue and its southerly termination, Roanoke street between Laidley and Bemis streets, York street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8589, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Alladin Terrace between Taylor street and the concrete wall 160.6 feet easterly from Taylor street, Cayuga avenue between Rotteck and Lytell streets and the intersection of Cayuga avenue and Lytell streets, Cayuga avenue between Badger and Danton streets and the intersection of Cayuga avenue and Lamartine street, Donner avenue between Phelps and Quint street, Danton street between Cayuga avenue and its northerly termination, Forty-fifth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, Noriega street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues and crossing of Twenty-eighth avenue and Noriega street, Noriega street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenue and crossing of Twenty-ninth avenue and Noriega street, Noriega street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues and the crossing of Thirtieth avenue and Noriega street, Persia avenue between Dublin and Prague streets, Thornton avenue between Pomona and Flora streets and the intersection of Thornton avenue and Ceres street, Twenty-sixth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, Vicente street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues, Vicente street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues, Woolsey street between San Bruno and Charter Oak avenues, crossing of Charter Oak avenue and Augusta street, crossing of Oxford and Pioche streets.

Also, Bill No. 8590, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Augusta street between Charter Oak avenue and Elmira street, Augusta street between Elmira and Waterville streets, Alabama street between Norwich and Ripley streets, including the intersection of Alabama and Rutledge streets, Geneva avenue between Howth and Louisburg streets, Judson avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets, between Genesee street and Phelan avenue and

the intersection of Judson avenue and Genesee street, Noriega street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues and the crossings of Noriega street and Twenty-third avenue and Noriega street and Twenty-fourth avenue, Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Twenty-fifth avenue, Noriega street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues, Noriega street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Twenty-seventh avenue, Noriega street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-second avenue, Noriega street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-third avenue, Noriega street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-fourth avenue, Noriega street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-fifth avenue, Noriega street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-sixth avenue, Noriega street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-seventh avenue, Noriega street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, Ortega street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues and the crossing of Ortega street and Twenty-eighth avenue, Twenty-eighth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, crossing of Elmira and Augusta streets, intersection of Geneva avenue and Louisburg street.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Also, Bill No. 8591, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Forty-Two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 2, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Forty-two.

Section 1042. The width of sidewalks on Wilder street between Diamond street and its northwesterly termination, and between Diamond street and its southeasterly termination shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Also, Bill No. 8592, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Forty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 5, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Forty-three, to read as follows:

Section 1043. The width of sidewalks on Clarendon avenue, the southerly side of, between Twin Peaks boulevard and its westerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Clarendon avenue, the northerly side of, between Bigler avenue and its westerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Intention to Change Grades.

Also, Resolution No. 29172 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 102402 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted June 6, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed June 6, 1928, to-wit:

On Thirty-fifth avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets, on Thirty-sixth avenue between Quintara street and a line parallel with Rivera street and 300 feet south-

erly therefrom, on Thirty-seventh avenue between Pacheco and Rivera streets, on Quintara street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-eighth avenues, on Rivera street between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-seventh avenues, at points and to elevations above city base.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters, on recommendation of Streets Committee, were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8593, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 2, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, Sixteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Twenty-first avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8594, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 2, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the

time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lane street from McKinnon avenue to Newcomb avenue, Lane street from Palou avenue to Oakdale avenue, Lane street from Quesada avenue to Revere avenue, Newcomb avenue from Keith street to Lane street, Mendell street from Palou avenue to Oakdale avenue, Oakdale avenue from Mendell street to Lane street, Palou avenue from Lane street to Mendell street, Palou avenue from Jennings street to Keith street, Quesada avenue from Keith street to Ingalls street, Quesada avenue from Lane street to Third street, Revere avenue from Lane street to Keith street, Revere avenue from Jennings street to Ingalls street, Shafter avenue from Keith street to Ingalls street, Thomas avenue from Lane street to Keith street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8595, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 2, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fifth avenue between Parnassus avenue and Irving street, Chestnut street between Broderick and Baker streets, Divisadero street between North Point and Bay streets, east one-half of Buchanan street between Market and Page streets, Thirty-seventh avenue between Anza and Balboa streets, west one-half of Twenty-eighth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, Noe street between Duncan and Twenty-ninth streets, Valley street between Sanchez and Noe streets, Twenty-eighth street between Noe and Castro streets, Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth streets, West Portal avenue between Fourteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

Also, Resolution No. 29173 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 102329 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted June 1, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed June 4, 1928, to-wit:

On Valley street between Castro street and a line parallel with the easterly line of Diamond street and 19 feet westerly therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is

contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Extensions of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 29174 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Cohn is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after June 14, 1928, wihin which to complete the improvement of the storm drain for the Bay Shore boulevard, crossing Alemany boulevard (Contract No. 2). This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor was delayed because of slow delivery of material.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29175 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Schultz Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after June 8, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Holladay avenue between Peralta avenue and Adam street extended. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29176 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 20, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Lisbon street from Russia avenue to a line 100 feet southerly. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that it is proposed to change the grade at this location.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. 29177 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Co. is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Foerster street between Melrose and Kenyon avenues while grading said street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Municipal Construction Co., then the privilege and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ade Alley Changed to Adele Court.

Also, Resolution No. 29178 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 102209 (Second Series) recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the name of Ade Alley be changed to Adele Court; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the name of Ade Alley as it is laid down and delineated on the official map of the City and County of San Francisco be and is hereby changed to Adele Court.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Extension of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 29179 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 23, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Trumbull street from Congdon street to a line

300 feet easterly, where not already improved. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks. Toner—5.

Accepting Deed to Land for the Extension of Cayuga Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29180 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 17th day of April, 1928, between the California Pacific Title & Trust Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francsico, a municipal corporation, conveying land for the extension of Cayuga avenue from its present southerly termination south of Oneida avenue, southwesterly to Mohawk avenue, is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared an open public street, to be known as Cayuga avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher. Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks. Toner—5.

Street Lighting Contract.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 29182 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract to light the streets and the outlying districts and all public buildings (except school buildings) of the City and County of San Francisco with gas and electricity and for supplying power for all purposes for the term of one year, commencing July 1, 1928, and ending June 30, 1929, in strict accordance with the specifications and advertisement inviting proposals thereon is hereby awarded to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company at the hereinafter designated prices, said company being the lowest responsible bidder, to-wit:

1. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations, using bracket (other than ornamental), mast arm or center suspension construction and supplied from overhead lines by direct overhead services to lamps; where the contractor furnishes and maintains the entire equipment—

Rate per lamp per night, lighted all night:

100	c. p. series Mazda unit with refractor	$.060
250	same	.094
400	same	.115
600	same	.137
1000	same	.176
1500	same	.22
200	watt—1 light multiple Mazda unit with refractor	.105
300	same	.126
400	same	.143

Rate per lamp per night, lighted until midnight:

250	c. p. series Mazda unit, with refractor	$.081
400	same	.097
600	same	.114
1000	same	.141
1500	same	.173

2. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations, using standard ornamental brackets and supplied from overhead lines by direct overhead services to lamps; where the contractor furnishes the entire equipment—

Rate per lamp per night, lighted all night:

250	c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor	$.102
400	same	.115
600	same	.137
1000	same	.176
1500	same	.22

2a. Rates for all units listed above equipped with both globe and refractor:

Above rates increased by 2 mills.

3. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations using standard highly ornamental brackets (one or two lamps per standard) and supplied from overhead lines by direct overhead services to lamps; where the contractor furnishes the entire equipment—

No bid.

4. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations supplied by underground service direct to bases of lamp posts, electroliers or ornamental posts; distribution system only furnished by contractor, lamps and globes maintained by contractor—

Rate per lighting unit per night, lighted all night:

100	c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor	$.095
250	same	.115
400	same	.135
600	same	.160
1000	same	.191
1500	same	2.38
100	watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor	$.104
200	same	.125

210 watt 5-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .146
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .146
400 same ..... .168
500 same ..... .194

Rate per lighting unit per night, lighted until midnight:

400 c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor..$.110
600 same ..... .130
1000 same ..... .155
1500 same ..... .192
100 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor .....$.087
200 same ..... .102
210 watt 5-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .123
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor 1.20
400 same ..... .137
500 same ..... .158

4a. Rates for all units listed above equipped with both globe and refractor—

Above rates increased by 5 mills.

5. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations supplied by underground services direct to lamps; distribution system and lighting equipment, including standard supporting posts and brackets, to be furnished by the contractor; all equipment to be maintained by the contractor—

Rate per lighting unit per night, lighted all night:

100 c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor..$.120
250 same ..... .140
400 same ..... .158
600 same ..... .183
1000 same ..... .216
1500 same ..... .263
100 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor .....$.128
200 same ..... .150
210 watt 5-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .171
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .169
440 same ..... .191
500 same ..... .212

Rate per lighting unit per night, lighted until midnight:

400 c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor..$.133
600 same ..... .153
1000 same ..... .170
1500 same ..... .217
200 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .127
210 watt 5-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .148
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor ..... .143
440 same ..... .160
500 same ..... .176

5a. Rates for all units listed above equipped with both globe and refractor:

Above rates increased by 2 mills.

6. For all electric public outdoors lighting installations supplied by underground service direct to lamps; distribution system and lighting equipment including standard highly ornamental, supporting posts and brackets (one or two lamps per standard), to be furnished by contractor; all equipment to be maintained by the contractor.

Rate per lamp per night, lighted all night:

400 c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor..$.193
600 same ..... .215
1000 same ..... .254
1500 same ..... .298

Rate per lamp per night, lighted until midnight:

400 c. p series Mazda with globe or refractor.....$.175
600 same ..... .192
1000 same ..... .226
1500 same ..... .258

6a. Rates per all units listed above equipped with both globe and refractor:

Above rates increased by 2 mills.

7. For all electric safety station, traffic and signal lighting installations supplied by underground service direct to lamps or supporting fixtures; distribution system furnished by contractor; lamps maintained by contractor—

Rate per lighting unit per night, lighted all night:

60 c. p. series Mazda unit....$.092
80 same ..... .094
100 same ..... .095
25 watt multiple Mazda unit..$.087
40 same ..... .090
50 same ..... .092
60 same ..... .095
75 same ..... .099
100 same ..... .104

8. For Stockton street tunnel lighting; equipment owned by the City and maintained by the contractor—

Rate per lighting unit per light, lighted all night:

60 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit .....$.045
200 same ..... .069

Rate per lighting unit per day, lighting continuously:

100 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit .....$.091

9. Path-of-Gold system—3 lamps per standard; underground distri-

bution system and ornamental fixtures owned and maintained by the contractor; lighting units mounted on Market Street Railway Company poles—

Rate per lamp per night, lighted all night:

6.6 amp. D. C. luminous magnetic arc lamps.....$.265

Rate per lamp per night, lighted until midnight:

6.6 amp. D. C. luminous magnetic arc lamps.....$.215

Alternate Bids:

For 3 lamps per standard, underground distribution system and ornamental fixtures; owned and maintained by the contractor; mounted on Market Street Railway Company poles—

Rate per lamp per night, lighted all night:

10,000 Lumen Mazda lamps, with globes and refractors to be approved by the City.....$.221

15,000 same 265

Rate per lamp per night, lighted until midnight:

10,000 Lumen Mazda lamps with globes and refractors to be approved by the City.....$.183

15,000 same 215

10. For Triangle District system —2 lamps per standard; underground distribution and ornamental standards and fixtures owned and maintained by the contractor. The "Triangle District" is defined as that section of the City of San Francisco bounded by Bush, Mason and Market streets (excluding Market street)—

6.6 am. D. C. Luminous Magnetite arc lamps.....$.30

Rate per lamp per night, lighted until midnight:

6.6 amp. D. C. Luminous Magnetite arc lamps.....$.26

Alternate Bids:

For 2 lamps per standard; underground distribution and ornamental standards and fixtures owned and maintained by the contractor—

Rate per lamp per night, lighted all night:

10,000 Lumen Mazda lamps with globes and refractors to be approved by the City....$.256

15,000 same 30

Rate per lamp per night, lighted until midnight:

10,000 Lumen Mazda lamps with globes and refractors to be approved by the City.....$.228

15,000 same 26

11. For all public outdoor metered street lighting service where the distribution system and lighting equipment is owned and maintained by the City or property owners—

Electroliers.

Rate per kw. hr. lighted all night:

No bid.

Rate per kw. hr. lighted until midnight:

No bid.

Alternate Bid:

Electroliers.

Energy Charge:

For the first 20 kw. of connected load, 3.5c per kwh. for first 150 kwh. per month per kw. connected; .75c per kwh. for all in excess.

For all connected load in excess of 20 kw., 2.6c per kwh. for first 150 kwh. per month per kw. connected; .75c per kwh. for all in excess.

Service charge (including switching), $3 per month per point of delivery.

Special Conditions:

This rate is applicable to alternating current series street lighting service delivered to the consumer's system but metered on the primary side of constant current transformers.

The connected load will be determined by taking the rated wattage of all lamps connected to the metered system after dividing by the rated efficiency of its individual transformer, if one is used.

Delivery will be made at the nearest point of contact with the consumer's system and at such additional points as may be necessary, provided the consumer has arranged its system for the least practicable number of delivery points.

Constant current transformers will be located at the nearest convenient points back from the points of delivery, or, at the option of the Company, in the Company's substation. Two or more circuits may be combined on a single transformer.

Meters will be located at the nearest convenient point back of constant current transformers. In case of more than one transformer, the service may be metered at more than one point, or, at the option of the Company, any two or more transformers may be served through a single meter located at the nearest convenient point back on the line or at the substation.

A point of delivery is any point where energy is delivered from a circuit of said Pacific Gas and Electric Company to a circuit of the consumer even though two or more such deliveries may be made at the same location; as, for example, when midnight service and all-night service are both delivered over separate circuits at the same location.

The energy to be delivered under this schedule will be what is commonly designated as 6.6 ampere constant (series) current, reasonable fluctuations consistent with good commercial practice to be permitted.

12. For all public outdoor lighting where distribution system and lighting equipment is owned and maintained by the City or property owners—

Electroliers.

Rate per lighting unit per night, lighted all night:

250 c.p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor	$.031
400 same	.044
600 same	.063
1000 same	.099
1500 same	.140

Rate per lighting unit per night, lighted until midnight:

250 cp. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor	$.024
400 same	.034
600 same	.048
1000 same	.077
1500 same	.109

13. For all public outdoor lighting where distribution system and lighting equipment is owned by the City or property owners, but maintained by the contractor—

Electroliers.

Rate per light per night, lighted all night:

250 c.p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor	$.057
400 same	.072
600 same	.095
1000 same	.136
1500 same	.185

Rate per light, per night, lighted until midnight:

250 c. p. series Mazda unit with globe or refractor	$.043
400 same	.054
600 same	.071
1000 same	.102
1500 same	.137

13a. Rate of all units listed above equipped with both globe and refractor.

Electroliers.

Above rates increased 2 mills.

Note: Rates quoted on all 400-watt multiple Mazda lamps are for existing installations only. This size is now obsolete.

14. For all gas street lighting, lighted all night:

Single burner gas lamps, per lamp per night	$.065
Double inverted burner gas lamp, per lamp per night	.10
Double globe gasolier, two mantles per globe, per globe per night	.10
Single globe gasolier, two mantles per globe, per gasolier per night	.10
Single globe gasolier, three mantles per globe, per gasolier per night	.12

Lighting Public Buildings.

(a) For furnishing gas to all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places:

Rate per 100 cubic feet.....$.75

(b) For furnishing electric energy for lighting purposes (including power where served through the same meter) to all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places:

Rate per kwh.....$.0275

(c) For furnishing electric energy for power purposes for all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places:

Rate per kwh.....$.02

(d) For furnishing standby or auxiliary electric service for lighting and power purposes for all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places that are normally supplied with electric energy of an isolated plant:

For lighting purposes: Rate per kwh.....$.0275

For power purposes: Rate per kwh..... .02

Minimum monthly charge—Schedule P-7, a copy of which is annexed to contract on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that the sureties on the bond of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, which bond is hereby fixed at $35,000, shall be satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor, who is hereby authorized to enter into said contract, subject to the following conditions, to-wit:

The time of lighting and extinguishing shall be as follows:

Electric lamps shall be lighted three-quarters of an hour after sunset and shall be extinguished not earlier than three-quarters of an hour before sunrise, except that from November 15 to March 1 of each year the lamps shall be lighted one-half hour after sunset until one-half hour before sunrise.

In the case of gas lamps, the last lamp shall be lighted not later than one hour after sunset and the first gas lamp shall be extinguished not earlier than forty-five minutes before sunrise; provided, that the last lamp shall not be extinguished earlier than fifteen minutes before sunrise.

It is hereby provided that in no case where there is necessity for the removal of a lamp post, where it interferes with the entrance to a building, or where a change is to be made from gas to electric service, shall there be any charge against the City.

Loading Zone Permits.

On recommendation of the Traffic Committee:

Resolution No. 29183 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Section 36, Paragraph "C" of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

20 Beale street, 18 feet, L. R. Markell Co., 1 elevator.

167 Bush street, 27 feet, N. Y. Underwriters Ins. Co., Continental Bldg., 2 oil intakes, 1 elevator.

942 California street, 36 feet, Hotel Fairmont Guest Entrance.

978 California street, 27 feet, Hotel Fairmont Freight Entrance.

618 Clay street, 27 feet, Everwear Overall Factory.

764 Clay street, 27 feet, King Dray Co., Sun Imp. Co., Ling Kai Co.

217-225 Eddy street, 27 feet, Clark Hotel, Calif. Wall Bed Co.

25-27 Fell street, 27 feet, H. L. Whitehead Co., Pacific Motor Co., J. M. Dewar Co., 1 oil intake.

1827-1833 Fillmore street, 27 feet, Reinert & Riley Furniture Co., S. Sato Co.

610 Folsom street, 36 feet, Collins-Hencke Candy Co., Oil Delivery.

115-119 Fourth street, 27 feet, Crest Hotel, 6 shops.

432 Geary street, 18 feet, Hotel Paisley.

440 Geary street, 27 feet, Hotel Somerton.

601 Geary street, 18 feet, Bank of Italy, Beaux Arts Co., Katz Co., Tailors, 1 elevator, assists street car loading.

610 Geary street, 18 feet, Hotel Geary, Wellington Restaurant, oil delivery.

342 Grant avenue, 18 feet, Hotel Washington.

39 Grove street, 27 feet, Eureka Cleaner Co., California Mercantile Co., 2 elevators.

951 Howard street, 18 feet, Masonic World Publishing Co.

1174-1188 Howard street, 45 feet, P. Lorillard Co., Spratts Patent Co.

215-223 Jackson street, 45 feet, Bissinger & Co.

9 Jones street, 18 feet, Imperial Grill, Hotel Boyd, 1 elevator.

70 Leavenworth street, 27 feet, W. G. Preddy Co., Educational Pictures Co., Leatherby Organ Co., Columbia Pictures Co.

625 Leavenworth street, 36 feet, Winsor Apartments, Rita Apartments, Matsonia Apartments.

914 Market street, 18 feet, Leighton Lunch.

240 O'Farrell street, 18 feet, Spaulding Hotel.

304 Pacific street, 27 feet, N. Abraham & Co.

521 Post street, 18 feet, Cordova Hotel, Art Store, Optometrist, Kohler & Kohler.

766 Sacramento street, 27 feet, Sun Yuen Hing Co., Mays Studio, Kwong Sing Leng Co.

22 Second street, 36 feet, Burroughs Adding Machine Co., Dictaphone Sales Co., Santa Fe Office, Community Chest, 2 elevators.

1179 Sutter street, 27 feet, Polk Food Palace, H. & H. Hardware Co., Vieira Furniture Co.

53-59 Taylor street, 36 feet, Golden Gate Grill, Grand Hotel, 2 elevators.

615 Taylor street, 18 feet, Hotel Springer.

450 Broadway, 27 feet, Firenze Bakery.

537-539 Clay street, 36 feet, Arata & Peters, Gordon Press, West Coast Leather Co., 1 elevator.

161 Commercial street, 27 feet, Carl Shallinger Co.

508-516 Commercial street, 45 feet, J. H. Nash, Trade Press, Periodical Press, Uri & Co.

520-530 Commercial street, 63 feet, Uri & Co., Butchers; Habenicht & Howlett Glass Co.

129 Davis street, 27 feet, F. L. Hilmer Co. (groceries).

146-152 Davis street, 45 feet, Jacobson-Reimers Co., 1 elevator, S. H. Tyler Co.

1605 Ellis street, 18 feet, Geo. E. Haas & Sons, freight entrance.

1480 Gough street, 18 feet, Hotel Normandie, 1 elevator.

8 Grant avenue, 27 feet, Union Trust Co.

501-507 Howard street, 45 feet, Morgan & Sampson Co.

620 Howard street, 36 feet, H. S. Rapp Drayage Co., street car loading.

112 Jones street, 18 feet, McCoy Co., 1 elevator.

430 Mission street, 18 feet, Simon Morris Drayage Co., Clayburgh Bros.

632 Mission street, 36 feet, Call News Paper Co., 1 elevator.

2574 Mission street, 18 feet, Cohen Furniture Co.

11-15 O'Farrell street, 27 feet, several retail stores.

184-190 O'Farrell street, 27 feet, Hotel St. Marleau, B & G Sandwich Co., 2 elevators, oil intake.

425 Pacific street, 25 feet, Tea Garden Co.

1331-1345 Pacific avenue, 36 feet, Rathjen & Sons, 1 oil intake.

764 Pine street, 18 feet, M. J. Browne, Cripple.

243 Sacramento street, 63 feet, Hyland Bag Co., Western States Grocery Co.

512 Sacramento street, 18 feet, California Almond Growers Assoc.

580 Sacramento street, 18 feet, Anglo-Cal. Trust Co., Cable Car Loading.

731 Sansome street, 45 feet, Tea Garden Co.

59-69 Stockton street, 18 feet, Epplers Bakery.

635-653 Sutter street, 27 feet, Holland Art Co., Colorado Arms Apts., Beresford Apts.

1209 Sutter street, 18 feet, Geo. E. Haas & Sons, freight entrance.

191-193 Valencia street, 27 feet, Cal-Glo Co.

563-567 Washington street, 36 feet, Daneri Bros., grocers.

2130 Fulton street, 27 feet, Faculty Building of St. Ignatius College.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29184 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be rescinded and reestablished as specified herewith, in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C", Section 36, of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

145-151 Battery street, 63 feet to 27 feet, oil delivery for Robert Dollar Bldg., 3 elevators, Battery Bldg.

169 Bush street, 18 feet to be abolished, N. Y. Underwriters Co., one elevator.

157-161 California street, 27 feet to be abolished, Commercial Block, 4 places of business, 1 elevator.

95-99 First street, 27 feet to be abolished, Clayburgh Bros., Lazare-Klein Co., 1 elevator.

20-28 Jones street, 27 feet to 45 feet, American Ry. Exp., Granada Stage Ent., Blum's Adv. Agt. Co.

514 McAllister street, 27 feet to be abolished, Civic Grill, Reliable Show Case Co., Restaurant Supplies Co.

558 McAllister street, 27 feet to be abolished, oil unloading point for 4-story apartment building.

Pine street at Market street (north side), 58 feet to be abolished.

453-463 Powell street, 45 feet to 36 feet, Press Club Bldg., Bank of Italy, Lincoln Taxi Co., Owl Drug Co.

41-55 Stockton street, 45 feet to 27 feet, Hellwig's Restaurant, McDowell & Harding, 2 elevators.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Traffic Ordinance, Stopping, Standing and Parking.

On recommendation of the Traffic Committee:

Bill No. 8596, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 37 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Section 37. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places: Ade court, Adelaide street, Agate alley, Aldrich alley, Amity alley, Annie street, Anson place, Anthony street, Antonio street, Ashburton place, Austin street, Belden street, Bren place, Burritt street, Campton place, Carlos street, Cedar street, Chatham place, Chelsea place, Claude lane, Cohen place, Colin place, Cosmos place, Cortland avenue on the north side between Mission street and Folsom street, Dale place, Derby street, Dikeman place, Dodge street, Ecker street, Elim alley, Elm street, Emma street, Fells or Fella place, Fern street, Gardner street or place, Glasgow street or Anna Lane street, Grant avenue on the east side between Bush street and Broadway, Grant avenue on the west side between Bush street and Pine street, Hardie place, Harlan street, Harlem

alley, Hemlock street, Hobart alley, Holland court, Hooker alley, Hunt street, Ivy street, Jessie street, Julia street, Latham place, Laskie street, Linden street, Mable alley, Maggie alley, Maiden lane, Mark lane, Mary street, Meacham place, Minna street, Mint street on the east, south and north sides, Monroe street, Montgomery street between Market and California streets, Mulford alley, Myrtle street, Natoma street, Olive street, Opal place, Opera alley, Ophir alley, Pacific street on the north side between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue, Petrarch place, Quincy street, Redwood street, Russ street, Shannon street, Shaw alley, Sherwood place, St. Ann street, St. George alley, Steveloe place, Stevenson street, Tillman alley, Touchard street, Treasury place, Trinity street, Vermehr place, Wagner alley, Willow street.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Approval of Taxicab Permits.

On recommendation of Police and Licenses Committee:

Resolution No. 29185 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following taxicab permits are hereby approved:

Yellow-Checker Cab Co., 2021 Chestnut street, one cab; 709 Jackson street, one cab; 1101 Leavenworth street, one cab; 629 Green street, one cab; 1401 Green street, one cab; 808 Washington street, one cab; 783 Sacramento street, one cab; 2380 Clay street, one cab; 3284 Twenty-second street, one cab; 400 Pine street, one cab; 382 Ellis street, one cab; 134 California street, one cab.

Peerless Cab Company, 178 Third street, one cab; 210 Turk street, one cab; 330 O'Farrell street, one cab; 955 Larkin street, one cab; 1492 Eddy street, one cab; 1251 Grant avenue, one cab; 301 Mason street, one cab (transfer); 300 O'Farrell street, one cab (transfer).

Sam Warshawsky, 691 Broadway, one cab (transfer); 715 Broadway, one cab (transfer).

Red Top Cab Company, 487 Ellis street, one cab; 208 Eddy street, one cab; 495 Valencia street, one cab.

Home Cab Company, 1174 McAllister street, one cab; 108 Sixth street, one cab; 107 Jones street, one cab.

Gray Cab Company, 107 Turk street, one cab.

Blue Bird Cab Company, 1971 Sutter street, one cab.

Thomas P. Oliver, 3399 Fulton street, one cab.

California Cab Company, 651 Kearny street, one cab.

William Gormley, 698 Vallejo street, one cab.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Purchaser to Buy X-Ray Films in Open Market.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 29186 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, that whereas no bids were received on June 11, 1928, pursuant to advertisement for X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (Proposal No. 384), therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies may purchase said commodities in the open market. as provided for by Ordinance No. 5880 (New Series), approved May 17, 1923.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Linoleum Bids Rejected.

Also, Resolution No. 29187 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore, on June 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 382), submitted for furnishing linoleum that may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year 1928-1929.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Award of Contract, Hardware and Lumber.

Also, Resolution No. 29188 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made for furnishing supplies in the following classes: Class 7, hardware and castings, and Class 11, lumber, that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing July 1 and ending De-

cember 31, 1928, on bids submitted May 7, 1928 (Proposal No. 375), and tabulation thereof on file in the Bureau of Supplies, and which is by this reference made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee, and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 2—Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Company, bond required $100.

Bid No. 3—General Machinery & Supply Company, no bond required.

Bid No. 4—The A. J. Glesener Co., bond required $100.

Bid No. 6—Kortick Mfg. Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 7—Marshall-Newell Supply Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 8—Winchester Simmons Co., bond required $100.

Bid No. 9—Steiger & Kerr Stove & Foundry Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 10—Taylor & Spotswood Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 11—Waterhouse-Weinstock-Scovel Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 12—Joost Bros., Inc., no bond required.

Bid No. 13—Western Alloy & Tool Steel Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 14—Enterprise Foundry Company, bond required $300.

Bid No. 18—M. Greenberg's Sons, bond required $300.

Bid No. 19—Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, no bond required.

Bid No. 20—Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., bond required $100.

Bid No. 21—J. H. McCallum, bond required $1,000.

Bid No. 22—Swift & Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 23—Dan P. Maher Paint Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 24—American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., bond required, $1,000.

Bid No. 25—San Francisco Stove Works, bond required $300.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Award of Contract, Flood Lights, City Hall.

Also, Resolution No. 29177 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to General Electric Company, on bid submitted April 16, 1928 (Proposal No. 374), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Seventy projectors for floodlighting the City Hall for the sum of $1,837.50.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That no bond be required as same are for a definite quantity for prompt shipment.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Motion Lost.

Supervisor Todd moved reference to Committees on Lighting, Buildings and Supplies.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Todd—5.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the subject matter be referred back to the Supplies Committee with instructions to bring in new specifications permitting local bidders and that Committee get a price on 76 lights carrying an option for twice as many if satisfactory.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Todd—5.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Supervisor Todd moved to lay over one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri, Todd—3.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern,

McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

SPECIAL ORDER—10 A. M.

Treat Avenue Appeal.

Hearing of appeal from assessment for the improvement of Treat avenue between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street was *continued one week.*

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Islais Creek Reclamation District hearing.

Protest of Theodore J. Savage.

The following was read and ordered *filed*:

San Francisco, Calif.,
June 16, 1928.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Subject: Islais Creek Reclamation District Assessment.

On behalf of my clients, I solemnly protest against the methods which the proponents of this measure have adopted since the evidence has been closed and the matter submitted to your Honorable Board for decision.

This proceeding is judicial. Your Honors sit as a court, hear the evidence on both sides and the arguments of counsel in open meeting and in the presence of and with privilege of reply by the adversary parties, and then it is your function to decide the case according to the evidence, in the exercise of a free and untrammeled judgment.

The evidence on both sides was closed and the arguments were made on June 4, 1928.

Since that time certain individuals and organizations have taken it upon themselves to attempt to influence and coerce your action, not by means of evidence offered in open meeting, but by letters.

The Down Town Association, the San Francisco Real Estate Board, the Chamber of Commerce and others attempt to decide this case for you and to force your decision, not on the merits of the objections, but for reasons extraneous thereto.

They ask you to abandon the judicial frame of mind; they ask you to disregard the justice of our contention; they ask you to sweep aside the evidence in the case and to decide the matter upon grounds of expediency. They suggest that we be forced to carry our protests into court, whereas we are entitled to justice at your hands, here and now.

You will not find in any one of these communications any reference to the evidence in the case, or any mention of the cogent objections which we have made to the gross injustice and manifest illegality of this attempted assessment.

I am sure that not one of the writers knew what the evidence is or what the grounds of our objection are.

I know that none of these organizations gave us the slightest opportunity to state our position to them.

They presume to pass judgment upon the whole matter without informing themselves what the case is about, and then proceed to tell you how you should vote in a manner bordering upon the coercive.

This method of procedure is most unfair, irregular and reprehensible.

Quite recently the Supreme Court of this State cited the Chairman and the Secretary of the Republican County Central Committee, found them guilty of contempt and punished them severely for using exactly similar methods to influence the decision of that tribunal in a case then under submission.

The proposed assessment would work a great injustice upon each of my clients.

In each case his property is the site of a going business concern. The only evidence before you is that these parties would not be benefited. The uncontradicted evidence is that, on the contrary, they would sustain great damage. Obviously this work would necessitate their plants being shut down, their buildings razed and the owners deprived of the use of the property for more than a year.

In the face of these uncontradicted facts, they are assessed at a higher rate than property situated more closely to the heart of the project at Islais Creek.

These and other objections to the assessment made upon the oral argument are so just and so manifest that the protests should be sustained.

Very respectfully,
Theodore J. Savage,
Attorney for William Taaffe & Co., Reinhart Lumber & Planing Mill Co., P. F. Reilly.

Re Islais Creek Reclamation Project.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, Supervisor Havenner took the chair.

Supervisor Gallagher: This matter that I am bringing up, I do not think it will cause much debate, but I desire permission of the chair to

bring up the subject of hearing and appeal from an assessment to Treat avenue—no, Islais Creek reclamation.

Can we bring that up now?

The Chair: No objection.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would like to make a statement for myself, and I do not know how far it fits; I do not know how far my statement may fit in with the idea of these other members of the Board.

As you know, Supervisor Suhr some weeks ago presented a resolution approving the assessment on these properties under the Islais Creek Reclamation District Act. There were some of us who felt and who feel now that the element of damages to two of the property owners, at least, who are legally before us protesting, have not been considered with the degree of regard that might be done. Within the week committees have gone to the several newspapers and have indicated to them that there was danger of this project, at least as far as the assessment was concerned, being defeated, that there being only two per cent of the persons in the discrict protesting that it seemed too bad that it would be endangered by that fact. On behalf of myself and some others I desire to make this statement: that during the week, recognizing that this was an improvement of wrothwhile dimension, and recognizing also the fact that in my opinion an equitable arrangement had not been made as yet by the commission, we indicated our opposition to it under the circumstances and conditions under which it was presented. It has been found that the commission could not in the matter of damages make arrangements for damages prior to the adoption of this assessment, but the protestants here have the desire not to obstruct the assessment bond, but to place themselves in the position to go to the courts and ask for damages. It is too bad the Chamber of Commerce, in the first instance, the Down Town Association, in the second, several individuals, in the third, and some of our newspapers, friendly and unfriendly to the Board, could not have given an opportunity for a broader discussion of this matter. I say it is too bad, because some dust was raised and there were some folks attempted to initiate obstructive measures here that were at least sagacious. I am glad to say to you that the attorney for the district has sat with the attorney for the protestant, Mr. Savage, and they have cleared the air of the things that troubled them, temporarily. Mr. Savage still stands in the position of a protestant, to protect the rights of his clients in the courts. The commission, however, recognizes there are certain features of this thing that are to be taken care of by the courts, and I think it will be found a matter of adequate justice when it does reach the courts. I want everybody here to remember——

The Chair (interrupting): May I interrupt? May I ask that Supervisor McSheehy take the chair while I go to the convention of the Native Daughters?

Supervisor Suhr: I think your vote is necessary.

Supervisor Gallagher: So far as I am concerned, one minute is necessary, except that I want to say to you that if this goes on it will be longer than you figure.

* * *

Supervisor Gallagher: I shall conclude this thing except for what I said previously, all of the folks interested are here and it is the earnest desire of all parties concerned to conclude this matter if they can.

I repeat, number one, that the two protestants do not withdraw their protest, and they do not withdraw for the reason that they desire to protect their future rights; secondly, I was saying, just before the Supervisor had to leave for the convention, that I trusted that at least some consideration will be given to this: that the Chamber of Commerce and others concerned, the Down Town Association, will not try to steam roller this Board or stampeding it into voting on propositions without giving us a fair chance to consider them. Within the last week a drive has been put on, frankly speaking, I think, engineered from the City Hall here. People have been visited and statements made and a drive has been put on to sort of put the members of the Board in the frame of mind that, regardless of these protests, we vote this now.

Had it not been for the fact that we were able to bring the parties together and reconcile their differences I should have voted "no." I believe, Mr. Chairman, that when a man has his day in court, and this is the court, that the obvious duty of the Board of Supervisors is to give that man a fair hearing. To have all sorts of organizations and individuals that do not understand what this is all about write letters in here asking us to vote them down, regardless of their rights, is the wrong principle of

government. These people come within their rights to this court. They were the only two concerns in the district that had going businesses. I venture the opinion now that not one of the protestants—not the protestants, but the ones that want us to vote this thing now—can tell me—and if they will rise I will ask them a few questions—can tell me much about this district, and yet the Clerk's desk is filled with letters, "Push this through." "To the bow-wows with this protest." That is the wrong way to approach public business.

Two men were fined $500 recently by the Supreme Court because of the fact that they did write the Supreme Court, telling the Supreme Court what they ought to do in a certain matter. We are not so ticklish, but we are sitting as a court, a semi-court basis. A gentleman rang me up last week and here is the language that came to me: "Mr. Gallagher, you don't like threats." I said, "Certainly I don't." He said, "Well, I am not threatening you, but I am telling you this. We have a list of the Southern Promotion Association in our hands, and if you do not change your attitude on this Islais Creek thing we will start a new association. We will bust up your association." I said, "Isn't that nice. You can go to hell." That was my answer. And that gentleman is sitting here and glowing with pride this morning.

Now, that is what has been happening, but all of the time it was my thought as a member of the committee that had something to do with this, that perhaps if this thing went into court the whole scheme might be endangered, and our efforts have been put forth earnestly in the last week with an idea of reconciling these differences. I felt that Mr. Reinhart was entitled to some damages, regardless. I felt that Mr. Taaffe could be left where he was and they could dash around him until they got to him, and when they got to him and had to raise him fourteen feet and put him out of business, he would be entitled to damages, but there was no daylight ahead.

We have been accused of delay. Here is the record:

May 22, 1927, the trustees for the Islais Creek Reclamation District filed estimates and requested the appointment of three commissioners to levy the assessment. No recommendation as to commissioners. This is May 27, 1927, and the present Streets Committee was not then sitting. Remember that.

June 2, 1927, Streets Committee takes under advisement to confer with the City Engineer, Healy, and report to the Board Monday, June 6, 1927.

June 6th, no report.

June 9th, Streets Committee to hold conference and report to the Board.

June 13th, no report.

June 16th, committee put matter over one week.

June 18th, trustees file communication recommending the appointment of the following commissioners (names them).

June 27, 1927, committee to confer with trustees Monday, July 7th, and report to the Board.

July 11, 1927, no report made.

July 21, 1927, committee postponed matter one week.

July 28, 1927, committee to confer with trustees Monday, August 1st. They wish to name one commissioner and have trustees name the other two. If this arrangement agreeable, resolution to be presented to Board Monday, August 4, 1927. No definite action taken by committee.

August 8th, Supervisor Marks presented a resolution to the Board naming the three commissioners as recommended by the board of trustees. Supervisor McSheehy moved that the name of Peter J. Hagerty be substituted for one of the names submitted by the trustees. Supervisor Badaracco seconded the motion. Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend the motion by referring the subject matter to the trustees and Streets Committee for conference, resolution to remain on the calendar, and the motion carried by thirteen votes.

August 15, 1927, Resolution 25656 adopted unanimously appointing the three commissioners as recommended by the trustees.

Now, that was from May 27, 1927, until August 15, 1927. A fight took place over the appointment of commissioners. Was there any rush act around here? Did the Chamber of Commerce call out the army and navy, and call everybody names? Of course they didn't. They waited until they got somebody they didn't like.

February 27, 1928, Supervisor Kent presented resolution 2555 accepting the offer of the California Pacific Title to convey certain lands in this district. Resolution adopted unanimously.

On February 27th he presented another resolution regarding streets. Resolution adopted unanimously the same date.

March 19th, committee of three presented Resolution 25704 approving plans for the closing and filling of the streets in the Islais Creek Reclamation District. Resolution adopted unanimously.

Also presented Resolution 28705 setting the date for the hearing of objections to assessments issued by the commissioners for the Islais Creek Reclamation District for Monday, April 9, 1928, at 10 o'clock a. m. Resolution adopted unanimously.

Please observe, Mr. Gum Shoe Men for the railroads and others not so far beyond the sound of my voice, that the Streets Committee of the Board of Supervisors got it from the engineer one day and it was in here the following Monday and recommended.

April 9th, Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that further consideration of the objections to the assessment be continued until April 17th at 2 p. m. Motion carried unanimously.

April 27th, the Board met for the hearing of protests and objections to the assessment of the Islais Creek Reclamation District, and heard them on that date, and then continued it until April 23d at the hour of two-thirty o'clock p. m.

On April 23rd, further consideration was put over until May 21st, because the members of the Streets Committee were in the delegation which was to go with the Redwood Empire people.

May 21st, objections were heard.

May 28th, objections were heard.

One week later, June 4th, hearing of objections continued. Supervisor Suhr, on June 4th, presented a resolution providing for the approval of the assessment as issued by the commissioners, and overruling all objections thereto. Supervisor McSheehy moved for further consideration of this matter to be continued until June 18th, special order at two-thirty; and so ordered. Supervisor Marks made a provision to take the entire Board to the district. So ordered. The only difference was that it was moved to two-thirty and later changed to eleven o'clock.

Now, my desire, and the desire of my colleagues, and I think the Board, and the City, and everybody is that if possible this thing be put through after the objections have been properly heard, after we have sifted them down and decided them, whether they were proper or not. There is no question that if Mr. Reinhart and Mr. Taaffe had not presented objections they would have forfeited their rights, and their day in court would have gone, and there is no question, either, that had the Board not been faithful to its pledge and given them a full and fair hearing, that they would have been steam-rollered out of possession in this proposition.

Supervisor Stanton: The law gives them the right.

Supervisor Gallagher: That is right, and that is all you will have to do with it. The only time you come into the picture was when you voted for the commissioners, and the other time you come in is now, when you either approve the assessment of the district or turn it out; and if you approve it you are out except when they come back in the matter of damages that you award them.

So we have very little to do with the proposition, and it seems to me, and it has seemed likely to some others, that the thing should go with, on a $1,600,000 proposition, was to move with a little caution since in the case of one of these gentlemen that were assessed—in the case of Mr. Taaffe, $11,000, or in the case of Mr. Reinhart, who thought his bill would probably be in the neighborhood of $3,000 and he found it was $30,000. All we did was to give him a full and fair hearing, and I am glad that we did. I am able to say now, Mr. Chairman, that because of the fact that the attorney for the district, and the engineer's office represented ex officio by Mr. Healy, have put forth these efforts, the air has been clarified, due to a fair consideration of the matter, not sustained the protests of these gentlemen, but of the rights that they have involved, and an adjustment that they think they can make. I am prepared, as one member of this Board, to vote for this assessment district. I am not altogether satisfied with it. I could never be, under the circumstances. I point out to this Board now that that is beyond us. It is water that has gone over the wheel, but this is found on examination—I blame nobody. I can't see how it was done, that property belonging to his Honor the Mayor, and property belonging to other people that I don't know, just outside of where the property is to be put on the map, is left out of the district. That is water that has gone over the wheel. Across the street, some thirty or forty acres in private ownership, is left out of the district. That's all right. I have no objection to it now because, as I say, it is water that has gone over the wheel, and it can be adjusted. There is no question

about that, but I maintain here now and I voice my protest vigorously against the sordid thing that has made for poor government among the people of this City and County, and that is the idea that people can go out in the town and get chambers of commerce and downtown associations and improvement clubs to approach this Board that the rights of the people are overlooked. Certainly, it isn't fair. They ought to be allowed, at least, to consider these things fully and fairly.

I desire to report to the Board, and the Board can do with it as it pleases, that Mr. Henry Feige, who is not a legal protestant at the moment, and did not protest, is here this morning, and has asked me to present just this idea: That there are some questions that he wants to ask in connection with it. I told him the question of whether he would get the floor of the Board was in the hands of the Board, but I would mention the fact that he was here. Mr. Theodore Savage also has written a letter to the Board, which I think ought to be read before the Board takes a vote, and I would ask that that be done.

Supervisor McGovern: I move he be given the privilege.

Supervisor Todd: You can't give him the privilege without fifteen votes.

Supervisor Gallagher: With unanimous consent if there are less than fifteen present.

Supervisor Schmidt: Let us have the letter read.

(Whereupon the letter from Theodore Savage, Esq., was read by the Clerk.)

Supervisor Gallagher: I move that be filed.

Supervisor Suhr: Now, I believe that Supervisor Gallagher has given all the facts and———

Supervisor McGovern: I had moved that Mr. Feige be given the floor. He asked the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor Gallagher: I think it might be well to give him the privilege.

Supervisor Todd: I move that Mr. Feige be given five minutes.

Supervisor McSheehy: I personally know Mr. Feige well, and I will have to object, simply for the reason that our rules are very strict and very clear. If you want to go into a committee of the whole to give him five minutes, I have no objection.

Supervisor McGovern: I move we go into committee of the whole.

Supervisor Gallagher: I object to that.

Supervisor Andriano: First of all, might I inquire, isn't this protestant legally before this Board?

Supervisor Gallagher: No, not legally a protestant.

Supervisor Andriano: Then anything he might say—what effect can anything he might say have upon this Board? I am perfectly willing to listen to him or anybody else who has some argument to offer that in some way may affect our vote, but if he is out of the picture, why do we give him the privilege?

Supervisor Gallagher: I could tell you what he wants to ask If I ask him the questions—the question he wants to ask is this: Why is he assessed for a box sewer that is proposed to be put in the district, That is number one. The second question he desires to have answered, he says he is assessed for a part of the seawall for the Western Pacific. I don't know whether that is so or not. He wants to know why he is assessed to build that seawall. Perhaps this——

Supervisor Andriano: Just a minute. I want to answer that question. If Mr. Feige is desiring information, he can obtain that information from the City Engineer or from those that made the assessment. If he is desiring redress, he has to go to court, because he is improperly before this Board, and we cannot give him any redress.

Supervisor Gallagher: He can't go to court.

Supervisor Andriano: Then what could we do?

Supervisor Gallagher: We could answer his questions.

Mr. Feige: I would like——

(The Chair rapped for order. Mr. Feige continued to talk.)

The Chair: Mr. Sergeant-at-Arms, will you stop that man. You will have to observe the rules of this Board or else leave the chamber.

Supervisor McSheehy: I ask you to—Supervisor Gallagher, I have no objection if Mr. Gallagher asks Mr. Feige a couple of questions. I think we can end that whole matter.

Supervisor Gallagher: I asked the questions he wants answered.

Supervisor Stanton: There is a sort of sad situation that arises out of these assessment districts. The people that know the law come in and protect themselves. They come in and make their protests. As I understand the law, you must protest in an assessment district before this Board. You must bring out the points that you intend to take to court before this Board. They must be made part of the record, and the individual that doesn't know

enough, or isn't familiar enough with the law, to come here and make his protest, as I understand it, is shut out from further protest. That is the sad thing.

Supervisor Andriano: That is sad.

Supervisor Stanton: You won't let this man open his mouth.

Supervisor Todd: Ask him any questions you want.

Supervisor Roncovieri: We have lost five minutes already. It is perfectly ridiculous, a man who has said he was assessed $20,000. I cannot conceive of a member of the Board refusing to listen to this man.

Supervisor Todd: I move that he be given five minutes.

Supervisor Shannon: I second it.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Give him a chance.

Supervisor Todd: Question.

Supervisor McSheehy: You have

Supervisor Todd: Five minutes.

Supervisor McSheehy: I can't help it. Might I ask the man certain questions and get at it without breaking our rule?

Supervisor Gallagher: I have asked his questions and they wouldn't let them be answered.

Supervisor Todd: I moved he be given five minutes.

Supervisor Shannon: I seconded the motion.

The Chair: The Supervisor is entitled to the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor Todd: When there is a motion?

The Chair: The Supervisor is entitled to be heard.

Supervisor Todd: You haven't put the motion.

The Chair: You have heard the motion.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman, I simply say this to you: That if the motion is put I don't think it would carry, because there are not enough members here. Of course, it can be passed. I am trying to cover the same ground, ask Mr. Feige certain questions. If he informs the Board, all right. The same condition will exist and everything is covered in the same way, and we are still observing our book of rules.

Supervisor Schmidt: Ask him the questions.

Supervisor Shannon: No, you won't. There is a motion before the house.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman, I don't want to talk again. I have said it before, but one of the principal objectors or one of the principal movers of the motion was one of the most enthusiastic members of this Board, he was a member of my rules committee, asking for the enforcement of this rule and the adoption of rules. I don't want to be personal. You can put the question, and the members, of course, will vote accordingly. I don't want to consume any time.

Supervisor McGovern: As an amendment I move that Supervisor McSheehy shall ask the questions.

Supervisor Schmidt: Go ahead. Ask them.

Supervisor Todd: I move the previous question.

Supervisor Shannon: That is not an amendment to the motion. The motion cannot be amended.

Supervisor McGovern: I guess that is right.

The Chair: The Chair would like to call attention to the fact that if it proposed to prolong this discussion it will be impossible to avoid a recess, because there are other matters that come up before adjournment.

Supervisor Gallagher: I want to conclude.

Supervisor Todd: Let us get through with it.

Supervisor McSheehy: You can call your motion, if you wish. You have been instructed that the amendment is not germane to the motion. I differ with the learned parliamentarian who says it is not germane to the motion. It is up to the Chair to decide whether it is germane to the motion or not, and the Chair can pass the amendment if the Chair sees fit. If the amendment is carried I can ask the questions.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Ten minutes now, Mr. Chairman; five a little while ago; now it is ten.

The Chair: What is the pleasure of the Board? The Board, I think, can, without rules, because there is no rule covering the subject, can grant the Supervisor permission, if he desires, to ask questions of the audience. If he does not so desire it can be voted down.

Supervisor Stanton: What is the motion before the house?

Supervisor Todd: The motion is to give Mr. Feige the floor. I made the motion, seconded by Supervisor Shannon.

Supervisor Gallagher: I call for the question to give Supervisor McSheehy permission to ask the questions.

Supervisor Todd: That is not the motion.

Supervisor Stanton: There is a motion before the house to give the gentleman five minutes. It seems to me that motion could be amended

to extend the time or vote it down. Supervisor McSheehy's is not an amendment, it is a separate motion, and you have the privilege, if you want, to rule it out of order.

The Chair: Supervisor McGovern moves, as an amendment, that Supervisor McSheehy be permitted to ask these questions. What is the pleasure of the Board?

The Clerk: Supervisor Andriano.
Supervisor Andriano: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor Colman. (Absent.)
The Clerk: Supervisor Deasy. (Absent.)
The Clerk: Supervisor Gallagher.
Supervisor Gallagher: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor Havenner.
Supervisor Havenner: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor Hayden. (Absent.)
The Clerk: Supervisor Kent.
Supervisor Kent: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor Marks. (Absent.)
The Clerk: Supervisor McGovern.
Supervisor McGovern: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor McSheehy.
Supervisor McSheehy: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor Powers.
Supervisor Powers: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor Roncovieri.
Supervisor Roncovieri: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Schmidt.
Supervisor Schmidt: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor Shannon.
Supervisor Shannon: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Stanton.
Supervisor Stanton: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor Suhr.
Supervisor Suhr: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor Todd.
Supervisor Todd: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Toner. (Absent.)

The Clerk: Ten "ayes," three "noes," five absent.

Supervisor Shannon: I move a recess until two-thirty.

Supervisor Todd: Second the motion.

Supervisor Suhr: Do you think that is fair, to keep them all here? Here are all these business men sitting here day after day, all morning.

Supervisor Shannon: I will ask to be excused.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will ask the members to vote the recess down.

Supervisor Todd: It is moved that a recess be taken until two-thirty.

Supervisor Gallagher: I rise to a point of order. The Board has given Mr. McSheehy permission to ask questions, and while he has the floor you cannot make a motion.

Supervisor Shannon: He hasn't the floor. He was in his seat when the motion was made for recess.

The Chair: Motion for recess is always in order.

Supervisor Shannon: Call the roll.

Supervisor Suhr: I appeal to the member, whether you feel like eating or not, there is a lot of business men here who have been waiting here, and it would be manifestly unfair to take a recess now. I am surprised to find two colleagues wanting to go out with a lot of high-class men here on a matter that will not take five minutes.

The Clerk: Supervisor Andriano.
Supervisor Andriano: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Colman. (Absent.)
The Clerk: Supervisor Deasy. (Absent.)
The Clerk: Supervisor Gallagher.
Supervisor Gallagher: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Havenner.
Supervisor Havenner: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Hayden. (Absent.)
The Clerk: Supervisor Kent.
Supervisor Kent: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Marks. (Absent.)
The Clerk: Supervisor McGovern.
Supervisor McGovern: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor McSheehy.
Supervisor McSheehy: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Powers.
Supervisor Powers: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Roncovieri.
Supervisor Roncovieri: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Schmidt.
Supervisor Schmidt: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Shannon.
Supervisor Shannon: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor Stanton.
Supervisor Stanton: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Suhr.
Supervisor Suhr: No.
The Clerk: Supervisor Todd.
Supervisor Todd: Aye.
The Clerk: Supervisor Toner. (Absent.)

The Clerk: Eleven "ayes", two "noes", five absent.

Supervisor Shannon: I ask to be excused long enough to get a little nourishment.

Supervisor Suhr: I ask that the Supervisor be requested to remain.

Supervisor Shannon: I want to know if it is the intention of the Board to get through with the entire business of the meeting, or is it the intention as soon as this matter is concluded we will take a recess? Personally I have to have some nourishment. I am beginning to burn up with fever now. When I go long over my regular eating time it begins to affect my system. I appreciate that we have some very high-class people here today.

Mr. Suhr has called our attention to it. We generally have a high-class audience here every day, but evidently there are a little bit higher class here today. I didn't know it. I am glad that the Supervisor knows the degree of the class of the people that come here each day. I didn't get it, but for my part, Mr. Chairman, I just want to know definitely from the members of the Board what the intention is as to the matter of procedure.

Supervisor Gallagher: It looks to me like we will have to take a recess after this is over.

The Chair: The Chair will have to leave, on official business, in five minutes.

Supervisor Shannon: If the Chair leaves in five minutes, on official business, I will go with him.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Feige, you are protesting in reference to this assessment district. What are your real reasons for protesting?

Mr. Feige: I am one of the little fellows that never has found out anything, and has had no conversation on anything since the start. I am assessed $20,000 and all I get is to have a little mud on my property. I protested this before, and made objections, and nobody has followed Islais Creek more than I have. There is only a few owners along Islais Creek and we, the owners of the O'Neil & Haley Tract, we have never been told anything. We don't know what is going on. All we know is that we go up to the engineer's office—we are assessed for the Western Pacific sea-wall. That is a matter that lies between the Western Pacific and the other owners of the State of California. The Western Pacific is going to collect toll there. When the Southern Pacific or the lumber men or the State of California or anybody constructs a sea-wall they pay for that. They don't ask a lot of property owners that their property be confiscated as it is in this case. The same way with Islais Creek. We remember the first time the creek is to be ditched. The creek is not to be ditched. It can't be. And the engineer—no, it can't be, because when Coryell constructed his sea-wall his cabin is out in the channel, and the State has spent thousands and thousands of dollars for that, and if they displace that sea-wall one foot, all that southern portion of the creek will slip into the channel. Now, why should we pay, a few property owners pay a big corporation to pay for their sea-wall?

Now, with regard to the sewer there, they are going to construct a wooden sewer in this age of concrete and steel. Isn't it a shame; isn't it a disgrace? That sewer is not going to benefit any of us. The sewer is to be put on piles. The sewers serve the southern end of San Francisco. The water that runs through in front of my property is in an open ditch. It is the duty of the City——

Another thing, why make another stench hole out of Islais Creek? Why assess us $160,000—I am told a few of us property owners must pay—simply highway robbery. Just think of it, to take water from behind us, with nothing done to our property. We don't know whether they will be filled with mud. I understand the only mud to be pumped is the mud that is to be taken out of the fill where the Western Pacific sea-wall is to be constructed, and then the State of California will collect tolls off that sea-wall forever, and they are going to ask me, poor me, and a lot of other poor property owners, to pay for this sea wall.

It is not right. It is not just. It is unjust. I favor this improvement. I am not opposed to this improvement to San Francisco, but if we do anything, let us do it good. Why do it so it will have to be done over again?

Supervisor Shannon: Where did you say you protested?

Mr. Fiege: I protested before the state legislature, and I have a letter in my pocket, and I will show you what happened. It was railroaded through the legislature. I had no opportunity to speak on the floor there either. The senator, Senator Reindollar, from San Rafael, told me it was railroaded through. The idea of the state putting things through like that. Take men like Mr. Reinhart, he is assessed—his land is filled up. He employs hundreds of men, and there are many other property owners here, and pay for them thousands of square feet for the Western Pacific property, not only the Western Pacific, but a lot of other owners, like the P. G. & E. and the Tobins, and I could name a whole lot of them. They were left out. Why? Even some of San Francisco's property is left out and I have to pay for it.

Supervisor Suhr: I don't think that ought to be allowed to go in.

Mr. Feige: That's all right Brother Suhr.

Supervisor Suhr: That's all right about that brother stuff, but I think——

Mr. Feige (interrupting): I say

it is an outrage. You wouldn't do an unjust act towards me or some other citizen. I am not against this improvement. I am for it, but I do not think I should pay to improve the Western Pacific property and I don't think I should pay—I am assessed $3,000 for a sewer that goes by my front door.

I have said enough, and I thank you. But I tell you, if we do anything, let us do it right, and make the people pay for it that will be benefited by it. I am not opposed to reclamation. I am for it. Thank you very much, gentlemen. Let everybody pay. Be fair and square.

Supervisor Schmidt: I desire to ask Mr. Feige a question in reference to railroading this thing through the State Legislature. In my estimation you have told a falsehood before the Board. It was fairly treated. I happened to be a member of the delegation that went before the Legislature and everybody had the opportunity to state their position and protest it.

Supervisor McSheehy: I have——

Mr. Feige: Senator Reindollar of San Rafael stated certain things. He stated one thing——

Supervisor Andriano: A point of order.

Mr. Feige: I have made objections——

Supervisor Schmidt: Reindollar never had——

The Chair: I will ask the Supervisor to observe the rule.

Supervisor Andriano: I rise to a point of order. He has no right to make a speech.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Feige has sat down. I have asked my question. The matter is closed so far as I am concerned.

Supervisor Suhr: In order that it may be properly presented here, I move that all objections be overruled. I move the adoption of it.

The Clerk: Question on adoption?

Supervisor Suhr: I move the adoption.

Supervisor Andriano: Second the motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Before the roll is called I desire to exercise my parliamentary right and explain my vote. Since the last adjournment, I have personally investigated the protests and the properties of Mr. Taaffe and Mr. Reinhart. There is no question but that each of these protestants will be heavily damaged by the work, and for that reason I believe the assessments against them are, in part, unfair and unjust, and also because of the damages to the property, that reasonable relief should have been granted by the commissioners as an offset against their assessments. The public need is so great for this splendid improvement, however, that we cannot delay it indefinitely as would be the case if the protests should be today sustained by this Board. The protestants have laid the foundation for an appeal to the courts by first appealing to this Board. I believe no injustice will be done to them by sustaining the assessment as a whole inasmuch as the protestants have established their right to take their cases to the courts and to have them adjudicated. I will, therefore, vote in favor of sustaining the assessment as presented to this Board. I want it clearly understood, however, that I would not vote in favor of this Islais Creek assessment were it not for the fact that the protestants have established their right to appeal their cases to the courts and can now do so over the action of this Board.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following resolution *was passed for printing* by the following vote:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, H. C. Crozier, Walter H. Sullivan and Louis A. Weidenmuller, as Commisisoners of Assessment, heretofore appointed by Resolution No. 27656 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the purpose of viewing the lands within the Islais Creek Reclamation District, a reclamation district created by an act of the Legislature of the State of California, known as the Islais Creek Reclamation District Act, approved April 6, 1925, and assessing thereon a reclamation assessment of $1,620,152, same to be apportioned according to the benefits that will accrue to each tract of land within said district, respectively, by reason of the expenditure of said sum of money, estimated in gold coin of the United States, did, on the 9th day of March, 1928, in accordance with law, file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, their completed assessment list, and the said Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco having appointed Monday, the 9th day of April, 1928, at the hour of ten o'clock a. m., in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, in the City Hall in said City and

County of San Francisco, as the time and place when and where the said Board of Supervisors would meet for the purpose of hearing objections to said assessment, and notice of such hearing having heretofore been given by publication for two weeks daily (Sundays excepted) in The Bulletin, a newspaper of general circulation, published in said City and County of San Francisco, and the matter of objections to said assessment coming on regularly on said day, to-wit, the 9th day of April, 1928, to be heard, and having thereafter been regularly continued for hearing, from time to time (the actual taking of testimony having commenced on April 17, 1928), and it appearing to the said Board of Supervisors that the said assessment had been made in accordance with the provisions of law and should be approved, and that the only written objections to said assessment filed at any time before the date of said hearing by any person interested in any land upon which any charge has been assessed, were the following: the verified written objections of Henry A. Whitley, Wm. Taaffe & Co., Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Company, Anderson Bros. Planing Mill and Mfg. Co., and Henry Windt, and it appearing that after April 9, 1928, the following written objections to said assessment were filed as follows: verified objection by Chas. E. Reinhart, filed April 11, 1928; additional verified objections by Wm. Taaffe & Co., filed April 16, 1928; additional verified objections by Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Company, filed April 16, 1928; unverified objections by Edward Wenzel, filed April 16, 1928; unverified objections by John K. Bulger, filed April 19, 1928, and verified objections by P. F. Reilly, filed April 23, 1928.

And whereas, the said Board of Supervisors, after hearing the evidence offered in support of said objections, hereby finds that the same are not well taken, and do not warrant a reapportionment of the entire assessment aforesaid, or a modification or amendment of said assessment in any particular.

Now, therefore, it is ordered and resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that the aforesaid assessment list be, and the same is hereby, approved and that an order of such approval shall be endorsed upon said assessment list, which endorsement shall be signed by the Chairman of said Board of Supervisors and attested by the Clerk thereof. It is

Further ordered and resolved that the said assessment list shall be filed with the County Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Excused—Supervisor Kent—1.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Toner—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

Mayor's Veto, Judah Street Rezoning.

May 25, 1928.

To the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am returning to you herewith, without my approval, Ordinance No. 8036, adopted by your Honorable Board May 14, 1928, and providing for a rezoning of a portion of Judah street from Second Residential to Commercial.

This ordinance is disapproved by me without prejudice and for the sole reason that certain rumors have come to me of alleged improper practices by which certain members of the Board of Supervisors are supposed to have profited. I do not believe these rumors; but I do believe that for the sake of the honor and integrity of your Board, they should be thoroughly investigated.

During the hearings on this ordinance, which I held in my office as

well as in the neighborhood involved, these rumors were so insistent that I felt I could do nothing other than veto the measure, without prejudice, so that the entire matter could go back to the Board of Supervisors for further proceedings in the course of which the light of publicity could be directed at what I believe to be false and malicious reports.

I am making no accusation against any member of the Board of Supervisors nor am I casting suspicion on anyone. I have no proof of any wrongdoing.

But I would be derelict in my duty as Mayor of San Francisco if, in the face of all these rumors, I approved the rezoning ordinance. I am sure that the members of your Honorable Board will welcome the opportunity of an investigation which should stop these whispering innuendoes once and for all.

Sincerely,
(Sgd.) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Veto Sustained.

The question being put "Shall the ordinance pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor," the roll was called and the Mayor's veto *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Hayden, Powers, Stanton —5.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Motion Lost.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board of Supervisors request the City Planning Commission to make a recommendation for the rezoning of Judah street from Tenth to Twenty-fifth avenues.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Hayden, Powers, Stanton —5.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Kent, Marks, Suhr, Toner —6.

Mayor's Veto, Budget Item 224, Civil Service Appropriation.

The following matters were read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 6, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am returning to you herewith Ordinance No. 8073 (New Series), Bill 8565, representing the Budget of the City and County of San Francisco for the Fiscal Year 1928-29.

This ordinance has my approval with the exception of Item No. 224, under the Civil Service Commission, Appropriation 12-A. This item I reduce from $8,500 to $3,500. My reason for this reduction is that $5,000 has been added to the amount given the Civil Service Commission in a similar item last year, the additional $5,000 being for the purpose of holding civil service examinations for certain positions in the city government which are not now filled from Civil Service eligible lists.

There are a number of employees in the various departments of the city government who have served for a great many years in such positions, which they now occupy. These, including both men and women, are trained to the work which they are performing. In the past, the employees of various departments have, by special Charter amendment, submitted to the voters and adopted by them, been "blanketed in," and have since enjoyed full Civil Service standing. I feel that such employees as have not a Civil Service status, but who have rendered long and faithful service, should be given the opportunity of having their cause submitted to the voters by Charter amendment at the election next November, and if the voters, in their wisdom, so decide, they should be "blanketed in" under Civil Service.

What in the past has been fair for some employees is still fair for other employees. There should be equal opportunity for all city employees to receive the boon which the people have in their power to bestow as a reward for the long and faithful service which I have mentioned.

In my inaugural message directed to your Honorable Board, under date of January 3, 1928, I used the following language:

"Due attention should be paid to the needs of the Civil Service Commission and appropriations should be made in each annual budget of sufficient size to enable the Commission to increase its activities, and to hold examinations for the creation of eligible lists for all positions defined by the Charter as coming under Civil Service control.

"During my administration of six-

teen years as Mayor, nearly every department of the City and County government has been brought under civil service. Examinations for the few remaining position, which have not been held because of lack of funds, should now be made possible."

I do not recede in any degree whatsoever from the position I took at that time. Should the voters decide, upon the matter being presented to them, that these employees whom I have in mind should not be granted civil service standing, thereafter examinations for these positions should be held with all possible speed. Not until the voters have expressed their will should these examinations proceed, and I respectfully urge that this appropriation of $5,000.00 be deferred until one year hence.

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Civil Service Commission.

Personal Services — (Appropriation 12-A)—
215—417 A—3 Commissioners at $1,200 each, $3,600.
216—417 A—Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiners, $4,200.
217—417 A—1 Chief Inspector, $3,000.
218—417 A—1 Inspector, $2,700.
219—417 A—Assistant Inspector, $2,400.
220—417 A—1 Assistant Secretary, $2,400.
221—417 A—1 Experienced Clerk, $2,400.
222—417 A—1 General Clerk, $2,100.
223—417 A—1 Clerk-Stenographer, $2,220.
224—417 A—Examiners and Clerks, $3,500.
Total Personal Services, $28,520.
225—417 K—Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B), $1,250.
226—417 C—Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 12-C), $1,800.
Total Civil Service Commission, $28,520.

Veto Sustained.

The question being put, "Shall the item stand notwithstanding the objections of his Honor, the Mayor?" the roll was called and the *veto sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—10.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, Shannon, Todd—3.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—5.

Mayor's Veto, Hirschberg Lot.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 29008 (New Series), accepting the offer of A. Hirschberg to sell for $10,267.85 certain land at the corner of Frederick and Stanyan streets required for the widening of Stanyan street was on motion of Supervisor Gallagher *continued one week.*

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was presented and *passed for printing* by the following vote:

County Road Fund Appropriation for Reconstruction Work.

Bill No. 8597, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), appropriating, setting aside and authorizing to be expended the sum of $301,200 out of the County Road Fund for Personal and Non-Personal Service for expense of street reconstruction during the fiscal year 1928-1929.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $301,200 is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for Personal Service and Non-Personal Service in connection with street reconstruction during the fiscal year 1928-1929, to-wit:

Surface Heaters—280 Days:
527—AC—2 Foremen at $9 per diem, $5,400.
527—AC—16 Finishers at $8 per diem, $35,840.
527—AC—24 Asphalt Workers at $7.50 per diem, $50,400.
527—AC—7 Engineers at $9.50 per diem, $18,620.
527—AC—10 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per diem, $21,000.
Total, $131,260.
Asphalt Roving—280 Days:
527—AC—2 Asphalt Finishers at $8 per diem, $4,480.
527—AC—4 Asphalt Workers at $7.50 per diem, $8,400.
527—AC—1 Engineer at $9.50 per diem, $2,660.
527—AC—2 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per diem, $4,200.
Total $19,740.
Concrete Repairs, Miscellaneous—280 Days:
527—AC—15 Laborers at $6 per diem, $25,200.
Total Personal Service, $176,200.
Non-Personal Service:
527—B—Contractual, $65,000.
527—C—Materials and supplies, $60,000.
Total Non-Personal Service, $125,000.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of July 1, 1928.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Mayor to Enter Into Agreement with Southern Pacific Company, Bernal Cut.

The following matters fixed as a Special Order for 11 a. m. this day was taken up:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor is herebe authorized to enter into agreements with the Southern Pacific Railway Company, terms and conditions as follows:

This agreement, made this —— day of ————, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

The parties hereto entered into a certain agreement dated March 23, 1914, relating to rights of way through Bernal Cut, in the City and County of San Francisco, and also entered into a certain agreement of even date herewith modifying and changing said agreement of March 23, 1914, and enlarging the plan of construction of rights of way, tracks and a public street or roadway through said Bernal Cut.

In connection with said work it will be necessary that the Southern Company remove its existing team track near Bosworth street, in said City and County of San Francisco, from the southeasterly side of its track to the northwesterly side of proposed location of its main track through Bernal Cut.

Said agreement of March 23, 1914, provided, among other things, that Southern Company would convey certain land to the City, which land was conveyed pursuant to said agreement by deed dated March 23, 1914. Said deed contained a reservation on behalf of Southern Company to use a certain strip of land 8½ feet wide and a certain strip of land 13½ feet wide, both of which are hereinafter described. In the event that the agreement of even date herewith is carried out the City desires that Southern Company quitclaim to the City all of its right, title and interest in and to said strip of land covered by said reservation above mentioned.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their understandings and agreements relative to the matters hereinabove mentioned.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual and dependent promises of the parties hereto, it is hereby agreed as follows, to-wit:

First: That the City will pay to Southern Pacific Company the entire cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor, to cover supervision of removing said existing team track and replacing and reconstructing said team track in kind and in good condition upon the property hereinafter described, to be acquired by the City and conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

Concurrently with the delivery to it by the City of the deed hereinafter specified the Southern Company will deliver to the City a quitclaim deed conveying all the right, title and interest of the Southern Company in and to those certain parcels of land described as follows, being those certain strips of land 8½ feet in width and 13½ feet in width, respectively, covered by said reservation contained in said deed dated March 23, 1914, to-wit:

All those certain strips of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: A strip of land 8.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles and radially southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno Branch, between Engineer's Station 78+48.5 and 92+40.

Parcel 2: A strip of land 13.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno branch, between Engineer's Station 92+40 and 101+20.

The above described parcels of land being the same property as reserved in part III, page 3, of that certain deed dated March 23, 1914, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Southern Pacific Company and the City and County of San Francisco.

The City hereby covenants and agrees to acquire and convey to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, without cost to Southern Company, by good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear

of all encumbrances, to that certain parcel of land described as follows, to-wit:

That certain piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Roanoke street with the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence along said southeasterly line of Arlington street south 47 deg. 16 min. 17 sec. west a distance of 663.843 feet to a point; thence south 30 deg. 41 min. .09 sec. east a distance of 22.104 feet to a point, said point being distant radially 58.77 feet northwesterly from the original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way; thence in a northeasterly direction on the arc of a curve, concave to the left, having a radius of 5670.826 feet (the tangent to said curve at last mentioned point bears north 58 deg. 57 min. 20 sec. east) an arc distance of 675.82 feet to a point on said southwesterly line of Roanoke street (last described arc course is concentric with and distant northwesterly and radially 58.77 feet from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way); thence north 42 deg. 43 min. 43 sec. west along said southwesterly line of Roanoke street a distance of 118.768 feet to the point of beginning and containing an area of 51401 square feet (equals 1.180 acres), more or less.

Upon delivery of the conveyance by the City mentioned in the last preceding paragraph of this agreement, and upon completion of the work of grading, to be done by the City as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, the Southern Company will, simultaneously with the shifting of the location of its main track, as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, remove its said existing team track from its present location and construct said team track as aforesaid upon said property above described, to be conveyed by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

This agreement is subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, and if not approved by said Railroad Commission it shall be of no force or effect.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed this agreement in quadruplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By.....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By.....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By.....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made this——— day of———, 1928, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

Under the terms of an agreement entered into on the 23rd day of March, 1914, and subsequent deed bearing even date therewith, recorded June 16, 1914, in Vol. 794 of Deeds, pages 276 and following, the City acquired, for street purposes, a portion of the railroad right of way of the Southern Company through the so-called Bernal Cut, in said City and County of San Francisco, being the easterly portion of said right of way from the westerly line of Dolores street produced, to the center line of San Jose avenue produced, near Diamond street.

It was stipulated in said agreement that the Southern Company shall grade the strip of land described in section 1 thereof, so as to provide a uniform roadway for the proposed street at least forty (40) feet in width or thirty-five (35) feet, according to the width of said strip, according to the directions and under the supervision of the City Engineer of the City, and said City shall cooperate in such work to the extent of removing encroachments and also by the erection of retaining walls wherever necessary along the line of said grading; and Southern Company agreed to deposit the material ex-

cavated as aforesaid upon such portions of the land described in said agreement and deed as may be indicated by said City Engineer, and to entirely remove all surplus material; said grading and filling to be at the expense of Southern Company. It was further understood and agreed and so provided in said agreement that the construction of retaining walls along said grading and the paving of the roadway upon said strip of land shall be at the cost and expense of the City and without cost to the Southern Company.

The City now desires to acquire additional rights of way in said Bernal Cut for the purpose of constructing a better roadway than at first contemplated and offering better facilities for the construction of its double-track street railroad adjacent to the northerly and westerly line of the land conveyed to the City for street purposes by the hereinbefore mentioned deed. Such construction would be facilitated by the railroad of the Southern Company being moved northerly and westerly not exceeding 31.27 feet.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their agreement as to their rights and obligations in connection with acquisition and exchange of certain parcels of land to provide additional rights of way for each of the parties hereto, reconstruction of the railroad and appurtenances of Southern Company, construction of the municipal railway and the proposed street, and other matters in relation thereto.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises, it is hereby mutually agreed as follows, to-wit:

ARTICLE I.

1. The location plan, showing the changes proposed and the parcels of land to be acquired and exchanged by and between the parties hereto, for the purpose of carrying out the plans of the parties hereto as hereinafter set forth, is shown on the attached copy of Southern Pacific Company's Drawing entitled, "Proposed Improvements in Bernal Cut, San Francisco," and numbered M W D Drawing 5806, Sheet 2, which is hereby made a part hereof.

2. Southern Company h e r e b y agrees to execute and place in escrow a conveyance to said City of all of Southern Company's right, title and interest in and to that certain portion of Southern Company's right of way in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, particularly described as follows, to-wit:

A strip of land 32.77 feet in width lying between the southerly line of Randall street and the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street), said strip being bounded and described as follows:

Bounded on the northeast by the southerly line of Randall street; on the west and northwest by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 22.77 feet westerly and northwesterly, measured radially or at right angles from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line between San Francisco and Colma; on the southwest by the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street) and on the southeast and east by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 10.00 feet southeasterly and easterly, measured radially or at right angles from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line, said line coinciding with the northerly and westerly boundary line of the strip of land heretofore conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company by deed dated March 23, 1914.

Also a triangular shaped parcel of land, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the westerly line of Dolores street, distant 100.00 feet northerly from the northerly line of Randall street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Dolores street and its prolongation a distance of 150.00 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Randall street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Randall street a distance of 22.50 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a northeasterly direction on a direct line to the point of beginning.

Said parcel of land above described are more particularly shown tinted in pink on said Drawing No. 5806, Sheet 2.

Said conveyance shall contain and be subject to the following express covenants, reservations and exceptions, to-wit:

(a) "Reserving nevertheless to the first parties (Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company), their successors and assigns, the right to use the northwesterly eighteen (18) inches in width of said strip of land 32.77 feet in width for the erection and maintenance of poles, wires, and any and all other necessary appliances, appendages and adjuncts, in

the event that said first parties, their successors and assigns, should at any time operate their railroad by electricity, it being expressly understood and agreed, however, that first parties, their successors or assigns, may, instead of erecting and maintaining said poles, wires, appliances, appendages and adjuncts upon and along said eighteen (18) inch strip herein described, at their option fasten their wires, fixtures, appliances and appurtenances to any poles erected by second party along or near the northwesterly boundary line of said strip of land whenever the first parties, their successors and assigns, operate their said railroad by electricity and such right is hereby granted by second party to first parties; but in the event that said first parties do attach their wires to any poles erected by the second party, as aforesaid, then said first parties shall pay to second party one-half (½) of the then value of said poles so used, at the time the attachments are made by said first parties, and upon receipt of such payment second party shall execute and deliver to Southern Pacific Railroad Company a bill of sale conveying an undivided one-half (½) interest in said poles to Southern Pacific Railroad Company. First parties shall thereafter pay one-half (½) of the cost of maintaining said poles so used, as aforesaid, from time to time as such costs are incurred and bills rendered by second party."

(b) "Excepting and reserving unto said first parties all of those certain rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company for themselves, their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from said companies to said City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco, also those certain rights reserved by Southern Pacific Railroad Company in that certain deed from said Southern Pacific Railroad Company to said City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco."

(c) "The foregoing conveyance is subject to all the terms, conditions, restrictions and reservations contained in that certain deed, dated October 5, 1863, from H. G. Livermore et al. to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, recorded October 6, 1863, in Book 215 of Deeds, at page 276, and subject to the rights of any and all industries located upon or adjacent to said right of way between St. Mary's avenue and Bosworth street, and subject to first parties' right to maintain such spur, team or station tracks as may be required to be maintained under any subsisting agreement, recorded or otherwise, or deed, or by law. The Southern Company hereby reserves the right to maintain and operate existing industrial spur tracks upon the land hereinabove described and to maintain and operate such spur tracks in such new locations to which any of said spurs may be moved, as hereinafter provided. The City covenants and agrees that if it is necessary to reconstruct or change the location of any or all of said existing industrial spur tracks by reason of or in connection with the use of said land by the City, the City will pay the entire cost of the work of reconstruction and relocation of said tracks, including paving, and the cost and construction of crossings of said tracks by the municipal railway, if any. Nothing herein contained shall in anywise impair the right of the first parties to use, for railroad purposes, the remaining portion of their right of way adjoining the strip of land hereinabove described on the northwest, or in anywise prejudice their rights therein or thereto."

The above mentioned conveyance shall be executed by Southern Company and placed in escrow with the California Pacific Title & Trust Company of San Francisco, California, if and when this agreement has been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to be delivered to the City when the City shall have (a) acquired the lands described in Section 1 of Article II hereof and executed and delivered to the above mentioned escrowee for account of Southern Pacific Railroad Company the conveyances to Southern Pacific Railroad Company specified in said Section 1, Article II, and (b) performed, or caused to be performed, all of the construction and reconstruction work by it to be performed or contracted for, as provided in Article II hereof.

ARTICLE II.

1. In consideration of the covenants herein agreed to be performed by the Southern Company, and without further consideration or payment, the City shall at its own expense acquire and convey or cause to be conveyed to Southern

Pacific Railroad Company, by a good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances to (1) a strip of land along and adjacent to the northerly and westerly boundary line of Southern Company's right of way between Randall and Diamond streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, sufficient in width, and which, together with that portion of the Southern Company's existing right of way which will remain after the conveyance to the City of the portion heretofore described, will provide a right of way sufficient in width so that a standard gauge double track railroad may be constructed for Southern Company, and so that clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California shall be provided; (2) strips of land sufficient in width and adjoining the additional right of way for the proposed location of the proposed double track railroad of the Southern Company, to provide a sufficient area for proper slopes, not exceeding 1¼ horizontal to one vertical in the cuts and not less than two horizontal to one vertical in the fills; provided, however, that in lieu of providing such additional land for slopes, the City may construct at its sole cost and expense adequate concrete or masonry retaining walls to provide for the full and unobstructed use of land of sufficient width to permit of the construction and operation of said standard gauge double track railroad, with clearances not less than those prescribed by said Railroad Commission, and at the grade of the Southern Company's now existing track between Randall and Diamond streets, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company. The land required for said right of way for said proposed double track railroad is shown tinted in green and the land required for said slopes is shown tinted in purple upon said drawing MWD 5806, Sheet 2.

2. In the event that it shall be necessary or advisable for the City to institute condemnation proceedings to acquire any land which is to be acquired in order to comply with the terms of this agreement, and to be conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, such proceedings may be had in the name of Southern Company, or either of them, but the City shall pay all expense thereof and all judgments and costs therein and hold Southern Company harmless therefrom.

3. The City shall, at its own expense, make all necessary excavations and construct embankments and waterways, and, if deemed necessary by Southern Company, retaining walls to support slopes on the existing right of way of Southern Company, and the said land to be acquired for the Southern Company, as hereinabove provided, so as to provide for the construction of the said double track railroad of the Southern Company, as aforesaid, and at the grade specified in Section 1 of this Article II, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company, and the City shall also pay the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of moving Southern Company's existing single track rails, ties, ballast and appurtenances to such new position, not exceeding 31.27 feet northerly and westerly of the present location, and the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of placing Southern Company's single track in such new location in at least as good condition as the existing track was at the time of being so moved, it being understood that the Southern Company will furnish all necessary ties which, in its judgment, are required to replace those unfit for further service.

4. The City shall, at its own expense, remove and reconstruct Southern Company's present telephone, telegraph and signal lines and the poles and fixtures thereof to such new position upon and along said relocated railroad line and right of way as may be required by Southern Company.

5. The City shall, at its own cost and expense, reconstruct and thereafter maintain, at clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, all bridges or other structures and foundations and appurtenances of same (including the subway at Bosworth street) located between and at Randall and Diamond streets so that the double track railroad of Southern Company may be constructed and operated without further change in said bridges, structures or appurtenances, and, in order to accomplish such works, the City shall be granted the right to the use of any land to be conveyed by the City hereunder to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, for the purpose of erecting thereon any portion of the above-mentioned structures or appurtenances thereto that may be necessary; provided, however, that the plans for any such bridges and structures and appurtenances shall

be subject to the approval of the Southern Company and of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. It is also agreed that the City shall have the right to construct and maintain at its sole cost and expense drainage constructions of such character as may be required, to be placed upon or beneath the lands to be conveyed hereunder by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company; provided, however, that such drainage constructions meet with the approval of Southern Company and will in no way interfere with Southern Company's operations.

6. The City shall include in the contracts which it is to let for the grading work to be performed by it under this contract, the grading work provided to be done at the expense of Southern Company in said agreement of March 23, 1914, and Southern Company shall have the right of access at all reasonable times to the books, accounts, contracts, records and papers relating to said work, for the purpose of checking the bill or bills rendered by the City to Southern Company for the cost of said work. The Southern Company shall reimburse the City in full for all of the yardage removed or excavated and graded at the contract price or rate covering the work included in said contract for the account of the Southern Company. All of the work herein provided for to be done by the City shall be in substantial conformity with the City's Cross Section plan or map entitled "Bernal Cut," numbered A-7328, hereto attached and made a part hereof.

7. It is understood and agreed that the City may enter upon said land above described for the purpose of carrying out and complying with the terms and conditions of this contract as soon as this contract becomes effective.

8. All the expenses in connection with the construction of the future second track of said Southern Company's railroad, which the Southern Company may construct now or in the future at its option, except the work herein provided to be done by and at the sole cost and expense of the City, shall be borne by the Southern Company, as this is a facility in addition to its present trackage.

9. All work required to be done by and at the expense of the City hereunder upon or affecting the property and operations of Southern Company shall be performed by it in a good and workmanlike manner to the satisfaction of the Southern Company, according to plans approved by Southern Company or such lawful authority as may have jurisdiction in the premises, and at such times and in such manner as to interfere as little as possible with the operations of Southern Company.

10. It is expressly understood and agreed that all expense of paving and otherwise improving the roadway and constructing the municipal railway and appurtenances upon the roadway upon said strip of land 32.77 feet in width, hereinabove referred to, and upon the land heretofore conveyed by the Southern Company to the City by said deed dated March 23, 1914, recorded in Volume 794 of Deeds, at pages 276 and following, County Records of the City and County of San Francisco (except the grading and filling work as herein otherwise provided for in the previous agreement) shall be borne by the City; and in the event that any improvements upon said roadway should be done upon the local assessment plan, then and in that event the City shall pay out of its treasury any and all assessments therefor levied upon or assessed against any rights, properties or franchises of Southern Company, or either of them.

ARTICLE III.

1. It is understood and agreed that the City shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad beneath either one of the bridges carrying the railroad of the Southern Company over Thirtieth street or over Day street, and that the City shall, at its own sole cost and expense, provide the necessary clearances therefor under said bridge. The plans for said crossing and any necessary changes in the said bridge shall be subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. The City, subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad at grade across the track or tracks of Southern Company's railroad at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, subject to the terms and conditions of an agreement to be executed by the parties hereto establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings; and if the parties are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then upon such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such

crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the City. The expense of maintaining said crossings and any and all such protective device or devices, except interlocking plant, after completion, shall be borne solely by said City, but the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plant, if any, shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

2. The Southern Company shall likewise have the right, subject to the approval of said Railroad Commission, to construct a track or tracks at grade across the track or tracks of the municipal railway, subject to the terms and conditions of agreements in each case to be executed by the parties hereto, establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings, and if the parties hereto are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then subject to such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such track crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the Southern Company. The expense of maintaining said crossings and such protective devices, except interlocking plants, after completion, shall be borne solely by Southern Company and the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plants shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

ARTICLE IV.

1. Nothing contained in this agreement shall affect the rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and/or Southern Pacific Company, for themselves and their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from the said companies to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco; and in that certain deed from the Southern Pacific Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco.

2. Said agreement dated March 23, 1914, hereinabove referred to, between the parties hereto, shall be and remain in full force and effect and shall not be affected hereby unless and until the City shall comply with said conditions of the escrow hereinabove set forth; but upon full compliance with the conditions of said escrow, then and in that event this agreement shall, in so far as it changes or modifies said agreement of March 23, 1914, supersede the same.

ARTICLE V.

The City hereby agrees to forever indemnify and hold Southern Company harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, liabilities and judgments, and loss, damage and injury resulting from any damages caused or claimed in the acquisition by said City of any of said lands, or occurring in or caused by or claimed to have been caused by any and all of the work covered by this agreement. In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as here in this paragraph provided.

ARTICLE VI.

1. This agreement shall not become effective and the parties hereto shall not be bound hereby unless and until it shall have been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

2. Time is of the essence of this agreement, and if this agreement is approved by said Railroad Commission and the City does not comply with and perform all the covenants and conditions hereof to be complied with and performed by it within said term of five (5) years, this agreement and any and all rights of the parties hereto hereunder shall forthwith upon the expiration of said term of five (5) years cease and determine as completely as if this agreement had never been made.

3. This agreement shall be bind-

ing upon and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the respective parties hereto.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in quadruple the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By .....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made and entered into this ——— day of ————, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and Southern Pacific Company, its lessee, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties (herein collectively called "Railroad Company"), and ————, second party (herein sometimes called "Contractor").

Witnesseth:

That, whereas, on the ——— day of ————, 1928, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company as first parties, and the City and County of San Francisco, California, as second party, entered into an agreement covering the exchange of certain parcels of land between the Railroad Company and the City and County of San Francisco, for rights of way through the so-called Bernal Cut in the said City and County of San Francisco, and covering, among other things, certain construction work more specifically set forth in said agreement dated the ——— day of ————, 1928; and

Whereas, said agreement, dated the ——— day of ————, 1928, contains the following provision:

"In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as herein this paragraph provided," and

Whereas, said City and County of San Francisco did, on the ——— day of ————, 19—, enter into a contract with contractor to do and perform certain work pursuant to a compliance with the terms of said agreement dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, above referred to:

Now, therefore, it is understood and agreed that the contractor shall, in advance of performing any work under said contract of the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, give to said Railroad Company a bond in the sum of twenty thousand (20,000) dollars, in form and of good and sufficient surety to be approved by the Assistant Treasurer of the Railroad Company, conditioned that the contractor will well and truly perform and fulfill all of the covenants, conditions, stipulations and obligations on his part in this agreement set forth and contained, to-wit:

In consideration of the Railroad Company permitting contractor to enter upon its property and perform said work, contractor agrees to indemnify and save Railroad Company harmless from and against any and all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever may be caused, resulting directly or indirectly from the performance of any or all work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, contemplated by said agreement dated ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and the said contractor; also from all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever same may be caused resulting directly or indirectly, made or suffered by said contractor, contractor's agents and/or employees while engaged in the performance of said work, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise.

Contractor expressly releases and

discharges Railroad Company from all liability of whatsoever character for any and all personal injuries sustained by contractor while engaged in the performance of work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise, and whether resulting in death or otherwise.

Contractor expressly waives any and all claims arising from said death or injury to contractor.

The contractor agrees that the license hereby given him to enter upon the premises of the Railroad Company for the purpose of performing the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ———, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, shall not give nor be deemed or construed to give said contractor a right to do or perform any work whatsoever at any time upon or in connection with t. work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ———, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, that will render unsafe the use by said Railroad Company of its real estate, tracks, structures and other appurtenances now or hereafter existing, or weaken or injure the same for the operation of its trains, engines or cars or for its other purposes.

Contractor further agrees that should the Railroad Company deem it necessary to engage any inspectors, watchmen or flagmen, or do anything to protect its engines, trains or cars during the performance by said contractor of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ———, 19—, between the said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, then and in that event contractor agrees to fully reimburse Railroad Company promptly for all cost and expense of such inspectors, watchmen, flagmen and other expenses that may be incurred by said Railroad Company incident to or in connection with work contemplated to be performed by said contractor.

Contractor further agrees that upon completion of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ———, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, contractor will promptly remove from the premises of the Railroad Company all of contractor's tools, implements and other materials and leave said premises clean and presentable.

This agreement shall not be assigned, sublet or transferred in whole or in part by contractor, except with the previous written consent of Railroad Company.

Except as otherwise provided herein, the terms and conditions of this agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of contractor and the successors and assigns of Railroad Company.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in triplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
.....
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
Contract Attorney.

Opinion of City Attorney.

June 18, 1928.

Subject: Southern Pacific Tracks from 17th and Harrison Street to County Line.

Board of Supervisors.

Dear Sirs:

I have before me the request of your Honorable Board that you be advised as to the right of the Southern Pacific Company to operate its trains from Third and Townsend streets through the Mission District and thence to the county line.

Opinion.

By reason of the destruction of all records in 1906, it has been extremely difficult to obtain any official records which will throw any light on the subject of your inquiry. I have examined the book of franchises and find none granted to the Southern Pacific Company covering any portion of the route running from Third and Townsend streets to the county line via the Mission District, since December 2, 1895, when a franchise was granted covering the following route: "From a point on the main line of the Southern Pacific Railroad at the intersection of York and Division streets, thence along said Division street to Sixteenth street; thence across Sixteenth street and along Harrison street to the intersection of the main line of the Southern Pacific Railway at

a point between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets." It is claimed by the Southern Pacific Company that this franchise was granted in connection with a line change made by the company. The ordinance granting the franchise, however, is silent as to reasons for the grant.

This entire question was given most careful consideration by my predecessor, Honorable Percy V. Long, on January 20, 1913, when he rendered to your Board an exhaustive opinion as to the right of the Southern Pacific to operate its trains from Third and Townsend streets, through the Mission District, and to the county line. The opinion is to be found in Opinions of City Attorney, 1912-1916, pages 207-218. I have carefully checked all available information with Judge Long's opinion and I agree with the views which he has expressed, and therefore attach hereto a copy of the opinion.

I direct your attention to that portion of the opinion wherein Judge Long comments upon a certain action instituted by Honorable Franklin K. Lane on behalf of the city to restrain the Southern Pacific Company from operating its trains over and across certain streets in the Mission District, which is as follows:

"During the course of my examination I ascertained that some years before the fire of 1906 and during the administration of former City Attorney Franklin K. Lane an action was commenced by the City and County of San Francisco to enjoin the Southern Pacific Company from operating its cars over, across and along certain streets in the Mission District.

"An order to show cause was issued in said action directing the Southern Pacific Company to show cause, if any it had, why the injunction should not be made permanent pending the trial. After a hearing on said order Judge Seawell issued a preliminary injunction and rendered a written opinion upon the same. Some time thereafter he set aside said preliminary injunction and the defendant filed an answer. The reason that he gave for setting aside the preliminary injunction was, as I am informed, the inconvenience and embarrassment to the patrons of the road, resulting in the stoppage of transportation over the lines of said company. I have been unable to obtain any of the records in said action or any information concerning the same other than that disclosed above."

I direct your attention, however, to the fact that the order of Judge Seawell granting a preliminary injunction in the action was not a decision on the merits of the case, and it would be possible for him to arrive at a different conclusion upon the final determination of the action, and therefore the granting of the preliminary injunction is not decisive of the issues raised in the litigation.

The Southern Pacific Company contends that its right to operate trains over and along Harrison street from the point of the southwesterly terminus of the franchise granted December 2, 1905, to a point in Mission Block No. 54, between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, is included in the deed of October 10, 1863, from John Center to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad, for the reason that Harrison street was not an open public street until after the road was built. I cannot agree with this contention, for in the description of the property in the Center deed, Harrison street is referred to more than once, and it must be presumed that it was at that time a public street.

Furthermore, the company now contends that under the provisions of the Act of May 20, 1861 (Stat. 1861, p. 607), that it or its predecessors in interest had the right to construct its road over or along or across any street necessary to reach its terminal. The language of the statute is as follows:

"Sec. 17. Every railroad corporation shall have power—Fifth. To construct their road across, along or upon any stream of water, water course, roadstead, bay, navigable stream, street, avenue, etc."

Section 21 of the same Act provides:

"Any county, city or town in this State shall have and are hereby fully empowered, by and through a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors, the Common Council, or any other officers having supervisory control of such county, city or town, to give, or donate to any railroad company now organized, or that may be hereafter organized, the use of any of the streets or highways which may be absolutely necessary in order to enable such company to reach an accessible point from a depot, etc."

I am of the opinion that the fifth subdivision of Section 17 above quoted is not self-executory, and that grant to use or cross the streets must be made by the county or municipality before the company will have the right to use them.

See *Los Angeles* v. *S. P. Co.*, 157 Cal. 363-368.

As I have already said, however,

the loss of all records makes it practically impossible to ascertain whether any permission was ever granted to operate over or across any of the streets in question.

There are, however, records of official acts which tend to prove that the road was operated at least with the consent of both state and municipal authorities, for instance:

On August 18, 1865, a deed was executed by the Industrial School Department of San Francisco, conveying to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company a right of way through its property, pursuant to the Act of April 25, 1862, authorizing the conveyance.

On June 6, 1864, an issue of the San Francisco Bulletin adverts to the fact that the formal examination of the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad was made by the officers of the company and Supervisors of the subscribing counties.

On March 30, 1868, there was passed by the State Legislature an Act authorizing the Board of Supervisors to dispose of the shares of stock owned by the city in the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad.

On March 6, 1872, an act was passed by the Legislature to extend the time allowed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and the Western Pacific Railroad Company in which to make the terminus of their roads upon certain lands donated to them by the state for that purpose.

On December 2, 1895, the Board of Supervisors granted a franchise for that portion of the present road between York and Division street and a point on Harrison street between 16th and 17th streets.

On December 8, 1896, the Board of Supervisors passed an ordinance permitting a speed not to exceed thirty miles an hour upon that portion of the road between the county line and 25th and Valencia streets.

On January 19, 1910, an action was brought by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company under the McEnerney Act to establish the title of the company to all private property and rights of way over private property, along the line of road from Third and Townsend streets to the county line. This city was not made a party to this action, and a decree establishing title practically as prayed for was made on March 8, 1912.

On several occasions from 1908 to 1914 the city accepted deeds for sewer and street crossing easements from the company.

I advert to these official acts merely for the purpose that there is a possibility of some official act having been taken which would have given to the Southern Pacific Company or to its predecessors in interest some grant which would have authorized the crossing of streets along its route, but until some more positive evidence of this grant is presented to me, I must agree with Judge Long in his conclusion, especially that since the date of his examination of the subject there has been no official action taken by your Board which would in any way increase or extend the rights or privileges of the company.

Sincerely yours,
JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Copy of Judge Long's Opinion of January 20, 1913, attached.)

June 18, 1928—Read; subject matter over three weeks.

Rights of Way of the Southern Pacific in Certain Streets.

January 20, 1913.

Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: I am in receipt of Resolution No. 9104 (New Series), as follows:

"Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby requested to advise the Board of Supervisors as to what rights, if any, the Southern Pacific Railway Company has to run or lay its tracks over the following named streets, to-wit:

"Berry, Seventh, Division, and the crossings of Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Seventh streets and through the Mission District."

Opinion.

The subject matter of said resolution embraces nearly all franchises granted to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company and to the Southern Pacific Company, also to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and the Central Pacific Railroad Company, successors to the Western Pacific Railroad Company. The first of said franchises was granted on January 19, 1864, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. It necessitated an examination of the grants made by private individuals to said companies.

During the course of my examination I ascertained that some years before the fire of 1906 and during the administration of former City Attorney Franklin K. Lane, an action was commenced by the City and County of San Francisco to enjoin the Southern Pacific Company from operating its

cars over, across and along certain streets in the Mission District.

An order to show cause was issued in said action directing the Southern Pacific Company to show cause, if any it had, why the injunction should not be made permanent pending the trial. After a hearing on said order Judge Seawell issued a preliminary injunction and rendered a written opinion upon the same. Some time thereafter he set aside said preliminary injunction and the defendant filed an answer. The reason that he gave for setting aside the preliminary injunction was, as I am informed, the inconvenience and embarrassment to the patrons of the road, resulting in the stoppage of transportation over the lines of said company. I have been unable to obtain any of the records in said action or any information concerning the same other than that disclosed above.

I communicated with the Secretary of State of the State of California relative to the term of the existence of the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company and also the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, the Central Pacific Railroad Company and the Western Pacific Railroad Company. It appears from his answers that the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company was chartered April 17, 1860, and consolidated with the Southern Pacific Railroad Company March 8, 1902. Its term of existence shown in the articles of incorporation was fifty years.

The Southern Pacific Railroad Company was incorporated under the laws of this State March 8, 1902, and its term of existence is fifty years; the original articles of incorporation of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company were filed in the office of the Secretary of State December 2, 1865, and its term of existence, as specified therein, was fifty years.

The Central Pacific Railroad Company was chartered under the laws of the State of California June 23, 1870, and its term of existence is fifty years.

The Western Pacific Railroad Company was chartered December 19, 1862, and its term of existence, as appears from the articles of incorporation, was fifty years.

For your information I will attach hereto a blue print copy of the map of the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company as located by a Board of Directors, William J. Lewis, Chief Engineer, in 1862, and prepared by G. F. Allardt, Chief Draftsman. As appears from a notation upon said blue print, it was traced from a map in the Construction Engineer's department of the Southern Pacific Railroad by J. W. Parker, Assistant Engineer, City Engineer's office, in June, 1910.

I addressed a letter to Mr. William Hood, Chief Engineer of the Southern Pacific Company, Flood Building, San Francisco, requesting him to furnish this office with any map or maps showing the route of the Southern Pacific Company over the streets of this City and County and a memorandum of the franchises under which the same are operated.

On June 18th of this year I received the following communication from Mr. W. F. Herrin, vice-president and chief counsel of the Southern Pacific Company:

"Percy V. Long, Esq.,
City Attorney,
San Francisco, Cal.

"Dear Sir: Referring to your letter of May 29th to Mr. Wm. Hood, relative to the opinion requested by the Board of Supervisors as to the right of this Company to maintain and operate its tracks upon certain streets in this city and county, and requesting a map showing all tracks of this Company upon such streets, and a memorandum of the franchises under which the same are operated.

"I herewith hand you map showing all of the railroad tracks in the City and County of San Francisco owned or operated by this company, and trust that this may be of assistance to you.

"As stated to your Mr. O'Brien, all of the property records of this company were destroyed in the fire of 1906, and I have no doubt franchises or permits were obtained by this company to which we have now no reference.

"The map makes no reference to franchises for the use of streets occupied by the old line leaving the city via Colma, south of Sixteenth and Harrison streets; the company has maintained its tracks upon Harrison street from Sixteenth southerly and across certain streets to Twenty-fifth and Valencia streets for many years, basing its right thereto upon deed from John Center et al. to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, the predecessor of the present Southern Pacific Railroad Company, dated October 10, 1863, and recorded in volume 425 of Deeds at page 291, Records of the City and County of San Francisco. This company has always maintained that the title

thus acquired preceded the laying out of all streets now occupied thereunder.

"South of Twenty-fifth and Valencia streets the construction of the old San Francisco and San Jose Railroad actually preceded the laying out of all streets in the vicinity, except the old San Jose Road. The enclosed map shows that there are some four or five crossings of the San Jose Road maintained under the Incorporation Act of 1861.

"In order that the rights of this company to maintain all its tracks on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco may be clear of record, this company intends to apply to the Board of Supervisors for a franchise covering all streets where its rights are now in any way open to question."

I will forward to you a copy of the map referred to in the above letter. On said map are delineated the tracks of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company operated under the franchises which they now hold and a reference is made thereon to the grantees of the franchise, the date of the granting thereof, the term for which it was to exist, the order of the Board of Supervisors granting the franchise and the page of the book of franchises published by order of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in 1910.

I will answer your inquiries concerning the streets mentioned in the resolution in the order in which they appear in said resolution.

Berry Street.

Berry street is mentioned in only two grants of the Board of Supervisors of franchises to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company. The first is Order No. 1025, approved December 12, 1871, and the other is Ordinance No. 828 (New Series), approved July 19, 1909. Under Order No. 1025 the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and the Central Pacific Railroad Company were granted, during the corporate existence of said companies, the right to lay down and construct double or single tracks from a point in Townsend street between Fourth and Fifth streets, thence curving southerly and easterly to Block No. 43 in Mission Bay, crossing Fourth, King, Berry, between Third and Fourth streets, and Channel streets; thence crossing Hooper, Irwin Kentucky, Hubbell, Fourth, South, Merrimac, Alameda, Fifth, El Dorado, Center (now Sixteenth), Santa Clara (now Seventeenth), Mariposa, Solano (now Eighteenth), Butte (now Nineteenth), Napa (now Twentieth), Shasta (now Twenty-first), Sierra (now Twenty-second), Humboldt, Nevada (now Twenty-third), Sonoma (now Twenty-fourth), and Yolo (now Twenty-fifth) streets; also to construct its double or single tracks from a point in King street between Third and Fourth streets; thence curving southerly and westerly crossing Berry street and connecting with first above described line at a point between Berry and Channel streets.

Under Ordinance No. 828 (New Series) the Southern Pacific Company was granted the right to lay down, maintain and operate a spur track for four tracks from Block No. 27 to the South line of King street to Block No. 28, crossing Berry street between Sixth and Seventh streets, to Block No. 29; crossing Channel street to Seventh street to Block No. 30; crossing Hooper street to Block No. 31.

Seventh Street.

Seventh street is mentioned in two orders of the Board of Supervisors:

1st. Order No. 2406, approved June 14, 1891, granting to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company the right to lay down and maintain, during the operation of its road from and after the date of the passage of said order, a single or double standard gauge track along and upon the following named streets, to-wit:

Beginning on Townsend street at a point 300 feet distant northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Seventh street; thence on a curve southerly and southwesterly through private property and into Seventh street; thence along Seventh street to its intersection with Santa Clara street; thence along Santa Clara street to its intersection with Kentucky street; thence curving to the right to an intersection with the existing track of said Railroad Company at or near the northerly line of Mariposa street near the intersection of said northerly line with the westerly line of Illinois street.

Ordinance No. 1699 adopted by the Board of Supervisors December 11, 1905, grants to the Southern Pacific Company, during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, the right to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks as follows:

Spur Track No. 2. Commencing at a point on the constructed line of railroad of the Southern Pacific Company on Seventh street between Pennsylvania avenue and Mississippi street at a point about four feet southeasterly from a point op-

posite the intersection of the easterly line of Mississippi street with the southwesterly line of Seventh street and running thence on a curve in a general northwesterly and westerly direction along and upon Seventh street and Sixteenth street to a point in the center of Sixteenth street about thirty-three feet easterly from the easterly line of Texas street to a connection with the railroad track laid or to be laid by the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, pursuant to authority granted by Ordinance No. 1470 of the City and County of San Francisco.

Division Street.

Division street is mentioned in only one ordinance of the Board of Supervisors, viz.: Order 2933, December 2, 1895, granting a franchise to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, its successors and assigns, the right to lay down, maintain and operate for fifty years, a single or double track street railroad, with all necessary switches and turnouts, across, along and upon the following named streets in this city and county, to-wit:

From a point on the main line of the Southern Pacific Railroad at the intersection of York and Division streets; thence along said Division street to Sixteenth street; thence across Sixteenth street and along Harrison street to an intersection with the main line of the Southern Pacific Railroad at a point between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

Crossing of Fourth Street.

The Southern Pacific Company was granted a spur track privilege on February 19, 1906, by Ordinance No. 1751 to lay down, maintain and operate railroad tracks from its tracks at Fourth and Townsend streets into property on the northeast corner of Fourth and Townsend streets and to and along Bluxome street, crossing Fifth and Sixth streets into private property.

Under Order No. 1025 hereinabove mentioned in connection with Berry street, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and the Central Pacific Railroad Company were granted the right to cross Fourth street between Townsend and King streets.

Under Order No. 1154, approved June 19, 1874, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and the Central Pacific Railroad Company were granted the right to use, during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors, certain streets, as therein specified, crossing Fourth street at King street.

Under Order No. 1975 approved July 17, 1888, the Southern Pacific Company was granted the right to lay down and construct a single track railway across Fourth Street from 100 Vara Lot No. 165, on the easterly side of Fourth street to Block 16 on the westerly side of Fourth street bounded by Fourth, Berry, Fifth and King streets; no term is specified in this ordinance.

It will be noticed by reference to the large map that certain tracks are delineated thereon on Fourth street just south of Townsend street for which there appears no franchise. Said tracks are delineated in blue.

Crossing of Fifth Street.

Under Ordinance No. 152 (New Series), approved February 20, 1907, the Southern Pacific Company was granted the right to lay down and maintain spur tracks from tracks at Fourth and Townsend streets into property on the northeast corner of Fourth and Townsend streets and to and along Bluxome street, crossing Fifth and Sixth streets into private property.

Under Resolution No. 3154 of the Board of Supervisors, approved January 19, 1864, the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company were granted the right to use Townsend street during the corporate existence of said company, from its intersection with Fourth street to the westerly end of said Townsend street, crossing Fifth street.

Under Order No. 1025 approved December 12, 1871, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and the Central Pacific Railroad Company were granted the right to lay down and construct double or single tracks from a point on Townsend street between Sixth and Seventh streets, thence curving southerly and easterly, crossing Sixth and Seventh streets; thence tangent, crossing Fifth and along Jewett street and crossing Fourth, etc.

Under Order No. 1154, approved June 19, 1874, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and the Central Pacific Railroad Company were granted the right to use, during the pleasure of the Board, a part of King street, as follows:

From a point in King street between Third and Fourth streets; thence westerly to the intersection of King and Sixth streets, crossing Fourth, Fifth and Sixth streets.

Crossing of Sixth Street.

The franchise enumerated herein concerning the crossings of Fifth street, include also crossings at Sixth street. It appears further that under Ordinance No. 1751, ap-

proved February 19, 1906, the Southern Pacific Company was granted the right to lay down and operate a spur track commencing in Sixth street near Channel street in Potrero Nuevo Block No. 389.

Crossings of Seventh Street.

Under Resolution No. 3154 cited above, in reference to Fifth street, the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company was granted the right to cross Seventh street at Townsend street.

Through the Mission District.

On October 10, 1863, John Center of the City and County of San Francisco granted to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company a right of way for railroad purposes only over, along and upon the following described real property:

"Beginning at a point on the central line of the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad as now located on the eastern line of the old San Jose Road, 130 feet southerly from the southeast corner of Temple street and the old San Jose Road, thence along said central line N. 54 deg. 24½ min. east, true course 1358 feet to the southern line of Park street ten (10) feet westwardly of the western line of Capp street, including a width of fifteen feet on each side of said central line, and containing an area of 94-100 acres, thence along said central line on said course of N. 54 deg. 24 min. east 1107 feet to a point five (5) feet westerly of the western line of Folsom street and two (2) feet south of the southern line of Horner street, including a width of twenty feet on each side of said central line and containing an area of 1 2-100 acres, thence along said central line curving to left with a radius of 1433 feet for 1457 feet to a point in the middle of Harrison street fifteen feet south of the northern line of Alta street, including a width of thirty feet on each side of said central line and containing an area of 21-100 acres, thence along said central line and middle of Harrison street N. 3 deg. 52 min. west 2535 feet to a point one hundred and eighty-four (184) feet northerly of the northern line of Corbett street, including a width of thirty-three feet on each side of said central line, and containing an area of 3 84-100 acres, thence along said central line curving to the right with a radius of 1910 feet for 675 feet, including a width of thirty-three feet on each side of said central line, and containing an area of 76-100 acres, thence along said central line on a course of N. 16 deg. 23 min. east 500 feet, including a width of thirty-three feet on each side of said central line, and containing an area of 1 15-100 acres, thence along said central line on a curve to the right of 1146 feet radius, 830 feet to the right bank of Mission Creek, including a width of thirty-three feet on each side of said central line and containing an area of 1 26-100 acres.

Including the right, title and interest of said Center in and to all the streets crossed by said railroad for the distances specified on each side of said central line.

The right of way granted under said deed extends from the westerly line of Harrison street in Mission Block No. 54 to the easterly line of the old San Jose Road in Mission Block No. 184, extending through Mission Blocks Nos. 54, 139, 153, 154, 170, 171 and 184. There are some intervening blocks which are not numbered on the map of the City and County of San Francisco and which are included in the grant of the right of way.

It appears, however, from the records, that on December 23, 1861, John Center, John Treat, Eugene Casserly and George Treat conveyed to San Francisco Homestead Union, by deed of bargain and sale, the following property:

Commencing at the intersection of the old San Jose Road with the center of Park street (now Twenty-fourth street); thence easterly through Park street to the center of Howard street; thence southerly through Howard street to the center of Navy street (now Twenty-sixth street), to the old San Jose Road; thence northerly to beginning, containing 40.53 acres, being a portion of the Pioneer Race Course Tract.

The San Francisco Homestead Union subdivided said tract into lots and a map and plan thereof were recorded in Liber 163 of Deeds, page 1, and on April 30, 1862, said San Francisco Homestead Union distributed the same among its shareholders, and on May 20, 1862, executed deeds to said shareholders.

It thus appears that at the time of the execution of the deed from John Center to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company that said John Center had no right, title or interest in or to any part or portion of the land previously conveyed to the San Francisco Homestead Union and it nowhere appears that the San Francisco Homestead Union ever granted a right of way over the streets embraced within the property so conveyed to it by

said Center to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad.

The streets over which the Southern Pacific Company now maintains its tracks and operates its cars along the lines of the right of way granted by Center to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company are as follows: Poplar street from Twenty-fifth to Twenty-sixth streets; Twenty-fifth street at the crossing of Valencia street; Orange Alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets; Bartlett street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets; Osage Alley between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets; Mission street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets; Lilac street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets; Twenty-fourth street at its intersection with Capp street; Howard and Shotwell streets between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets; the intersection of Folsom and Twenty-third streets; Treat avenue between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets; Twenty-second street westerly from Harrison street.

It also appears that no franchise was ever granted to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, or the Southern Pacific Company or the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, or to the Central Pacific Railroad Company or to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, or to any other railroad company for that portion of Harrison street commencing at a point about midway between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, which point marks the termination of the franchise granted to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company on December 2, 1895, by Order No. 2933 and a point on Harrison street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, which point marks the beginning of the right of way granted to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad by John Center under the deed of October 10, 1863.

It also appears that no franchise was ever granted to any of the railroad companies mentioned above or to any other company from a point at the westerly termination of Townsend street, which point marks one of the terminal points of the franchise granted to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company under Resolution No. 3154 approved January 19, 1864, and thence along Division street to the point of intersection of said Division street with York street, which point also marks one of the terminal points of the franchise granted to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company on December 2, 1895, by Order No. 2933.

In relation to the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company from a point on the old San Jose Road (now San Jose avenue), between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets and the boundary line between San Francisco and San Mateo counties, it would appear from the map of the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, hereinbefore referred to, and to which reference is hereby made, that said company, the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, obtained a right of way through private property from the various owners thereof prior to the location of any streets through said territory. An abstract of title of this portion would be necessary to finally determine that question.

Whether the Southern Pacific Company is maintaining tracks and operating cars on other streets than those mentioned in its franchises is a matter that I am not able to determine unless I am informed on just what streets the company is now operating. It would require an investigation and a survey to determine said question which should be conducted at the discretion of the Board.

From such information as I have been able to secure, it appears:

1st. That the Southern Pacific Company has the right to cross Berry, Seventh, Division and the crossings of Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Seventh Streets as indicated above and as authorized by the various franchises hereinbefore enumerated.

2nd. That the said company is authorized, under the deed from John Center, to operate its trains, over the lands, exclusive of the streets, from a point in Mission Block No. 54 commencing on the westerly side of Harrison Street, running thence through the intervening blocks in a general southwesterly direction through Mission Block No. 154 on the northerly side of Twenty-fourth Street.

3rd. That the Southern Pacific Company was not granted a franchise and was not granted any private easement over and along Harrison Street from a point about midway between Sixteenth and Seventeenth Streets to a point on the westerly side of Harrison Street in Mission Block No. 54, between Twenty-first and Twenty-second Streets.

4th. That the Southern Pacific Company was not granted a franchise and was not granted a private right of way over and along Division Street from its intersection with the westerly end of Townsend Street to a point at the intersection of Division and York Streets.

Respectfully,

PERCY V. LONG,
City Attorney.

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton moved that the foregoing matters be laid over three weeks.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved as an amendment that matter be deferred one week.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr —9.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Todd, Toner —6.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon the roll was called and Supervisor Stanton's motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Suhr—3.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Todd, Toner —6.

Action Deferred.

The following resolutions were *laid over one week*:

Auto Supply Station Permits.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Shell Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Twenty-fourth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That St. Mary's Park be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Murray and Mission streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Death of Mother of Chas. Wollenberg, Superintendent of Relief Home.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that when the Board adjourns that it do so out of respect to the memory of the mother of Chas. Wollenberg, Superintendent of the Laguna Honda Home, who recently passed away.

Motion *carried* unanimously by rising vote.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 16, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 27

Monday, June 25, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 25, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 25, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

Mayor's Absence.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 25, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I have been invited to be an honorary pallbearer at the funeral of the late Moses A. Gunst, which takes place in Burlingame at 10:30 this morning.

I regret, therefore, that I cannot be present to welcome and hear Senator Johnson and Congressman Welch on our bill project now in Congress.

This message will explain the reasons for my absence.

Very respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Ordered *filed.*

Vallejo Cut-off Celebration.

Communication, from Vallejo Chamber of Commerce inviting the Board of Supervisors to attend the formal opening of the Vallejo-Sears Point cut-off between Vallejo and the Marin shore, July 3, 2 p. m.

Chairman of Public Welfare Committee authorized to make necessary arrangements to have Board represented.

Dedication of California-Nevada Victory Monument.

Communication, from Victory Highway Association inviting the Board of Supervisors to attend dedication of California-Nevada Victory Monument, commemorating deeds of valor of those who fought in the World War, on July 24, 1928, at state line between California and Nevada.

Supervisor Hayden appointed to represent the Board of Supervisors.

Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 29008 (New Series), accepting the offer of A. Hirschberg to sell for $10,267.85 certain land at the corner of Frederick and Stanyan streets required for the widening of Stanyan street.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objection of his Honor the Mayor?

Veto sustained by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—11.

Noes—Supervisors Shannon, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—5.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *passed for printing:*

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more

particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Stanyan street with the northerly line of Frederick street; thence running westerly and along the northerly line of Frederick street 32 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 59 feet and 1 inch to the southerly line of Golden Gate Park; thence easterly and along the southerly line of Golden Gate Park 32 feet and 7½ inches to the westerly line of Stanyan street; thence southerly and along the westerly line of Stanyan street 56 feet and 1½ inches to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Western Addition Block No. 713.

Be it Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening, construction and maintenance of Stanyan street.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Set-Back Line Hearing—11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines on Baker street and Nineteenth avenue, fixed for 11 a. m., this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing:*

Bill No. 8600, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Baker street and Nineteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 28th day of May, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 178 to establish set-back lines along portions of Baker street and Nineteenth avenue, and fixed the 25th day of June, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Baker street, commencing at Jefferson street and running thence northerly 137½ feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 35 feet 10½ inches, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 36 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly to Marina boulevard, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Nineteenth avenue between Vicente street and Wawona street, said set-back lines to be 15 feet.

Along both sides of Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, said set-back lines to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of Appeal, Treat Avenue.

Hearing of appeal from assessment for the improvement of Treat avenue was *continued two weeks.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 29189 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for Public Libraries (claim dated May 31, 1928), $810.

(2) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,015.49.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,313.08.

Playground Fund.

(4) Fred H. Kernan, construction work and alterations to equipment at San Francisco Municipal Camp, Mather, Cal. (claim dated June 6, 1928), $3,310.

(5) Daniel C. McCabe, first payment, construction of playground at Madrid and Russia avenues (claim dated June 6, 1928), $2,117.

(6) Spring Valley Water Co., writer for playgrounds (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,790.89.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(7) John J. Dailey, legal services for month of June in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated June 11, 1928), $850.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(8) Department of Public Works, repairs to roads at Relief Home (claim dated June 14, 1928), $1,832.69.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(9) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, twenty-second payment, construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset tunnel (claim dated June 13, 1928), $10,000.

(10) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work in moving curbs on Duboce avenue (claim dated June 13, 1928), $2,889.49.

Special School Tax.

(11) G. Albert Lansburgh, first payment, architectural services for addition to Polytechnic High School (claim dated June 12, 1928), $1,740.

(12) Dodge A. Reidy, first payment, architectural services for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated June 12, 1928), $3,096.

(13) Park Commission, for care of school grounds during May, 1928 (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,300.

(14) George H. Tay Co., toilet fixtures for Hancock School (claim dated June 6, 1928), $525.42.

Park Fund.

(15) Landau Economic Syphon Co., soda water for parks (claim dated June 15, 1928), $594.33.

(16) Carl Munter & Co., bath towels for parks (claim dated June 15, 1928), $731.06.

(17) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on park employments (claim dated June 15, 1928), $616.66.

(18) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated June 15, 1928), $2,833.66.

(19) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated June 15, 1928), $798.09.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(20) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for insurance of Hetch Hetchy employments (claim dated June 7, 1928), $524.04.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(21) Robert W. Jamison, first payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for Sunset extension (claim dated June 7, 1928), $900.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(22) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for account Municipal Railways (claim dated June 7, 1928), $1,950.27.

(23) Hancock Bros., Inc., printing transfers (claim dated June 7, 1928), $720.

(24) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished for May (claim dated June 11, 1928), $38,553.03.

(25) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for account Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 8, 1928), $7,461.55.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(26) Lee Otis, in full settlement of all claims and demands for account of personal injuries and damages sustained due to accident of July 28, 1926 (claim dated June 6, 1928), $1,375.

County Road Fund.

(27) Clarence B. Eaton, third payment, improvement of Roosevelt way, Buena Vista Terrace to Seventeenth street and Seventeenth street from Roosevelt way to Clayton street (claim dated June 13, 1928), $7,500.

(28) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalties for pavement resurfacing by Lutz Heaters (claim dated June 7, 1928), $631.

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(29) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated June 15, 1928), $6,977.61.

(30) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, filling in of Alemany boulevard, Orizaba avenue to S. P. bridge

(claim dated June 13, 1928), $9,705.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(31) Gunn, Carle & Co., corrugated steel (claim dated June 7, 1928), $603.

(32) Jasper Brothers, oak wood (claim dated June 11, 1928), $525.80.

(33) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated June 7, 1928), $522.

(34) Soule Steel Co., corrugated iron (claim dated June 7, 1928), $886.62.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(35) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated June 11, 1928), $963.41.

(36) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for convenience stations (claim dated June 15, 1928), $1 051.50.

(37) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for account of Civic Center beautification (claim dated June 15, 1928), $3,292.91.

(38) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $2,221.81.

(39) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,409.99.

(40) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,221.55.

(41) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $3,067.08.

(42) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $858.80.

(43) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $12,576.60.

(44) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $33,051.45.

(45) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $3,679.20.

(46) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated June 15, 1928), $8,337.25.

(47) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated June 15, 1928), $1,157.50.

(48) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated June 15, 1928), $8,556.04.

(49) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated June 18, 1928), $9,850.

(50) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 18, 1928), $1,575.15.

(51) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for May (claim dated June 18, 1928), $58,779.64.

(52) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repairs (claim dated June 6, 1928), $3,582.62.

(53) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repairs (claim dated June 6, 1928), $5,166.63.

(54) John Cassaretto, rock for street repairs (claim dated June 8, 1928), $641.27.

(55) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repairs (claim dated June 8, 1928), $1,802.15.

(56) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 8, 1928), $667.97.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated June 8, 1928), $711.86.

(58) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 11, 1928), $4,216.73.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated June 11, 1928), $2,158.84.

(60) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,023.20.

(61) F. E. Booth Co., fish for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $560.70.

(62) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $3,078.92.

(63) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,948.18.

(64) Department of Public Works, repairs to roads at Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 29, 1928), $1,832.69.

(65) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,871.44.

(66) Morris & Co., butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,651.32.

(67) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,513.96.

(68) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $972.

(69) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Laguna Honda Home (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,071.02.

(70) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $805.45.

(71) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished the Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,931.07.

(72) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Dept. apparatus parts (claim dated May 31, 1928), $772.80.

(73) Shell Co., fuel oil for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $952.38.

(74) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished, removing and installing hydrants for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,144.70.

(75) The Voleske Tool Co., six bar-cutters for Fire Dept. (claim dated May 31, 1928), $576.38.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriation, Louis J. Cohn, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29190 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bond Fund, Issue 1927, for improvement of Bay Shore Boulevard, Section "A," Potrero avenue to Silver avenue, to-wit:

Amount of contract awarded to Louis J. Cohn	$224,347.98
Engineering, inspection and possible extras	13,652.02
Total	$238,000.00

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriations, Portola Drive and Napoleon Street.

Resolution No. 29191 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of constructing an asphaltic concrete conform surface on Portola drive opposite Miraloma Park, $2,500.

(2) For cost of grading and shaping up Napoleon street to a roadway of 20 feet in width, $2,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriations, Maternity Service, Traffic Signals, Sewers.

Resolution No. 29192 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Establishing of Maternity Service—Budget Item No. 58.

(1) For payroll, equipment and incidentals for maternity service during months of May and June, 1928, $2,483.03.

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(2) To the credit of Budget Item No. 411, Department of Public Works, for labor furnished for the painting of curbing for traffic regulation during month of May, 1928, $706.50.

(3) To the credit of Budget Item No. 433, Department of Public Works, for material furnished for the painting of curbing for traffic regulation during month of May, 1928, $324.03.

(4) To the credit of Bureau of Engineering, Appropriation 32-A, Budget Items 491-A and 491-B (new), for payment of salaries of City Traffic Engineer at $300 and his assistant at $225 for month of June, 1928, $525.

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 38.

(5) For the construction of sewer known as Guttenberg street sewer extension (McGill contract at $6,481), and for engineering, inspection and possible extras (at $519) $7,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriations, Payments for Land for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 29193 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the Boulevard Bond Fund in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Fred Christiansen for portion of Lot 21 in Block 5465, 22.6 feet by 100 feet, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29158 (New Series),

and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $716.

(2) Paul Irwin Ittner for Lots 23 and 24 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29159 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $2,400.

(3) Ida Lee Ballister and Thomas Lee Ballister for Lot 28 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29159 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $4,191.

(4) William R. Copeland and Alma Copeland for portions of Lots 36 and 37 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29159 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $3,500.

(5) P. Grassi and A. Minutoli for portions of Lots 305 and 306, Precita Valley Lands; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29162 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $11,879.

(6) John Brandt, John P. Cosgrove and Richard J. Welch for portions of Lots 37 and 38 in Block 5607 (50 by 77 feet), as per Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29161 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $2,906.25.

(7) John Brandt, John P. Cosgrove and Richard J. Welch for portions of Lots 7 and 8 in Block 5607 (50 by 70 feet), as per the Assessor's Block Books; accepted by Resolution No. 29161 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $2,625.

(8) Harry Van Noorden for Lot 5 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29157 (New Series), and required for opening of Sunset Parkway (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,625.

(9) Harry Nicholson and Gertrude M. Nicholson for portions of Lots 8 and 21 in Block 7147 (43.4 by 40 feet), as per the Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29151 (New Series), and required for opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 12, 1928), $1,151.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriations, Lands for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 29194 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To John A. Weimert and Jennie Weimert for Lot 22 in Block 6691 as per the Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29156 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 12, 1928), $6,800.

(2) Frank B. Kelleher and Carrie Kelleher for Lot 23 in Block 6691 as per the Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29156 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 12, 1928), $7,000.

(3) To W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co. for portions of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 17 in Block 6724 (200 by 45 feet), as per the Assessor's Block Books; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29155 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 12, 1928), $8,700.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Plans, etc., Addition to Daniel Webster School.

Bill No. 8584, Ordinance No. 8092 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for an addition to the Daniel Webster School, and ordering the construction of an addition to the Daniel Webster School, to be erected on city property and located on the east side of Missouri street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, and to enter into contract for the construction of said addition in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for an

addition to the Daniel Webster School, and to enter into contract for the construction of an addition to the Daniel Webster School, which construction is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education; said addition to be erected on city property and located on the east side of Missouri street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Ordering Construction of Aptos Elementary School.

Bill No. 8585, Ordinance No. 8093 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Aptos Elementary School, to be erected on city property bounded by Upland drive, Aptos, Kenwood and Westgate avenues; authorizing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications for said Aptos Elementary School, and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said Aptos Elementary School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of the Aptos Elementary School, to be erected on city property bounded by Upland drive, Aptos, Kenwood and Westgate avenues, is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of said Aptos Elementary School, and to enter into contract for the construction of said Aptos Elementary School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Retirement Ordinance Amendment.

Bill No. 8586, Ordinance No. 8094 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Subdivisions (g), (h), (i) and (n) of Section 2; Paragraph 3, Subdivision (a) and Paragraphs 9 and 10, Subdivision (b) of Section 4; Subdivisions (d) and (e) of Section 5; Section 6; Section 7 by changing the designations of Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) to (b), (c) and (d), respectively, and by adding a new Subdivision (a); Section 10; Section 11; Section 12; Subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 13; Subdivision (b) of Section 14; Subdivision (a) of Section 16; Subdivision (a) of Section 17; Option No. 1 of Section 18; Section 19 by adding a Subdivision (c); Section 21 and Section 24, all of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), entitled "Establishing a retirement system for employees of the City and County of San Francisco providing for the payment of retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of death benefits; prescribing the conditions under which said allowances and benefits shall be paid; fixing rates of contribution and the amounts of retirement allowances and death benefits, and providing for the administration of said retirement system in accordance with Article XVII of the Charter."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivisions (g), (h), (i) and (n) of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, are hereby amended to read as follows:

(g) "City-service" shall mean service rendered as an employee of the City for compensation, and for the purposes of this ordinance, a member shall be considered as being in the "city-service" only while he is receiving compensation from the City for such service.

(h) "Prior Service" shall mean the city-service of a member rendered before the first day of April, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, except that, for members employed as teachers by the San Francisco School Department at the time of their entry into the Retirement System, "prior service" shall mean the city-service, excluding service as a member, rendered before the first day of October, nineteen hundred and twenty-five.

(i) "Continuous Service" as applied to prior service shall mean all prior service, regardless of interruptions in such service, and as

applied to service as a member shall mean uninterrupted employment by the City, except that discontinuance of city-service of a member from any cause whatever followed by reentrance into city-service within three years from the date of such discontinuance shall not be considered as a break in the continuity of service.

(n) "Regular Interest" shall mean interest at four per centum per annum, compounded annually, plus such additional interest as the Board of Administration may declare from year to year in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 2. Paragraph 3, Subdivision (a) and Paragraphs 9 and 10, Subdivision (b) of Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) are hereby amended to read as follows:

(a). (3) Every other employee who shall enter city-service after April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, shall become a member of the Retirement System upon the completion of six months of continuous service; except that every other employee who shall enter city-service after October first, nineteen hundred and twenty-five, as a teacher employed by the San Francisco School Department shall become a member of the Retirement System upon the completion of six months of continuous service; and except, further, that any employee who shall enter city-service by reason of his status as an employee, for not less than one year, of a public utility at the time such public utility is acquired by the City, shall become a member upon such entry.

(b). (9) Employees engaged outside the City on the Hetch Hetchy project, provided that if any employee so excluded shall later become a member of the Retirement System through any change in status occasioned by transfer or assignment to other employment or by amendment to this ordinance, he shall receive credit for service with the City rendered prior to the date he enters the Retirement System, including service as an employee of the Hetch Hetchy project, in the same manner as credit for prior service is granted to employees who become members on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, and provided, further, that on and after July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-six, employees of the Hetch Hetchy project on units certified, from time to time, to the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis, shall not be excluded from membership in the Retirement System under this paragraph, and, also, that on and after July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-eight, employees who were transferred prior to July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, to the Hetch Hetchy project outside the City from city-service within the City, and employees who have completed or shall complete ten years of continuous service on the Hetch Hetchy project, shall not be prevented under this paragraph from becoming members of the Retirement System.

(10) Persons in city-service on June twenty-eighth, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, who have not at that time affirmatively exercised the option of becoming members of the Retirement System as provided in Paragraph (1), Subdivision (a) of this section, and whose compensation equals or exceeds five hundred ($500) dollars per month.

Section 3. Subdivisions (d) and (e) of Section 5 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) are hereby amended to read as follows:

(d) Any member may elect to contribute at rates in excess of those provided for in Subdivision (a) of this section, for the purpose of providing additional benefits, but the exercise of this privilege by a member shall not place on the City any additional financial obligation. The Board of Administration, upon application, shall furnish to such member information concerning the nature and amount of additional benefits to be provided by such additional contribution.

(e) At the close of each month the Board of Administration shall determine the aggregate amount of the normal contributions for such month and the portions of such aggregate amount deducted from compensation paid from: (a) funds of operating public utilities, which shall include units of the Hetch Hetchy project certified from time to time by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis; (b) bond funds not included under the preceding item (a); (c) funds of the San Francisco School Department; (d) County Roads Fund, and (e) all other sources. There shall be paid to the Retirement Fund from each of the funds included under items (a), (b), (c) and (d), as set forth in the preceding sentence, an

amount equal to the total normal contributions deducted from compensation paid from such fund during and subsequent to the fiscal year ending June thirtieth, nineteen hundred and twenty-eight, in the case of funds included in items (b) and (d), and on and after July first, nineteen hundred and twenty-eight, in the case of the funds of the San Francisco School Department, included in item (c). The Board shall certify to the Auditor the amount of normal contributions deducted from compensation paid from all other sources as set forth in item (e), and the Auditor shall transfer an equal amount to the Retirement Fund from the appropriation for the Retirement System in the Budget for the then current year.

Section 4. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Subject to the following and to all other provisions of this ordinance, including such rules and regulations as the Board of Administration may adopt in pursuance thereof, the said Board shall determine and may modify allowances for service and disability.

It shall fix and determine how much service rendered in any fiscal year shall be the equivalent of a year of service and of parts thereof, but shall credit one year for two hundred and fifty or more days of service rendered by employees on a per diem basis and one year for ten months or more of service rendered by employees on a monthly basis, but not more than one year for all service in any fiscal year.

Time during which a member was absent from service without pay shall not be allowed in computing service.

Each employee shall file with the Board of Administration such information affecting his status as a member of the Retirement System as the Board may require.

The Board of Administration shall grant credit for prior service to each member who has rendered such service as defined in this ordinance and who has entered the Retirement System prior to January first, nineteen hundred and twenty-eight. However, prior service so credited shall be the basis for a retirement allowance or benefit as provided in this ordinance only if membership continues until retirement on a retirement allowance or until the granting of such other benefit.

Section 5. Section 7 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended by changing the designation of the present Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) to (b), (c) and (d), respectively, and by the addition of a new subdivision, to be designated (a), and to read as follows:

(a) Contributions of members, of beneficiaries and of the City shall be improved with interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, compounded annually. The Board, however, at the end of each fiscal year, beginning with the fiscal year 1927-1928, may credit to all contributions held in the Retirement Fund at June 30th of the then current fiscal year, such additional interest as it may deem proper in the light of the earnings on the Retirement Fund during such fiscal year, provided that the total interest credited to contributions during any fiscal year shall not exceed the earnings on the Retirement Fund during that year; and provided, further, that interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, compounded annually, shall be used in the calculation of benefits under any mortality table adopted by the Board, regardless of any additional interest allowed on contributions under this paragraph.

Section 6. Section 10 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 10. There shall be paid into the Retirement Fund, by contributions of the City, the amounts necessary to pay all pensions and all other benefits allowable under this ordinance to members on account of prior service. Until the amount accumulated in the Retirement Fund becomes not less than the present value of all amounts thereafter payable from the Retirement Fund, the amount annually due to the said Fund under this section shall be the amount payable from said Fund in the ensuing fiscal year on account of prior service. Such portion of the amount as is annually due to the Retirement Fund on account of prior service rendered by persons as employees of municipally owned operating public utilities, which shall include units of the Hetch Hetchy project certified from time to time by the Board of Public Works as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis, or on account of prior service rendered by persons as employees of the San Francisco School Department, shall be paid from the

funds of such public utilities or from the funds of the San Francisco School Department, respectively, provided that no amount due to the Retirement Fund on account of prior service rendered by persons retired under the provisions of Section 9, Article XVII of the Charter shall be paid from the funds of the San Francisco School Department.

Section 7. Section 11 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 11. The payments of the City into the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, as provided in Subdivision (e), Section 5 of this ordinance, and the payments into the said fund, as provided in Section 10 of this ordinance, are hereby made obligations of the City. The Board of Supervisors shall appropriate, in the budget for each fiscal year, such amounts as are necessary to make such payments, less the portions to be paid from the several funds set forth in the said Subdivision (e) of Section 5 and Section 10, and the amounts as appropriated shall be included in the tax levy. The Board of Supervisors, also, shall make provision for the payment from the said several funds of such amounts as shall be necessary to meet the obligations of the City under the Retirement System on account of employees whose compensation is or has been paid from such funds.

Section 8. Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 12. Should the city-service of a member be discontinued, except by death or retirement, he shall be paid, six months after the date of discontinuance, such part of his accumulated contributions as he shall demand; provided that, if in the opinion of the Board of Administration, said member is permanently separated from city-service by reason of such discontinuance, he shall be paid forthwith all of his accumulated contributions, and provided, also, that the Board of Administration may, in its discretion, withhold for not more than one year after a member last rendered city-service all or part of his accumulated normal contributions if after a previous discontinuance of city-service he withdrew all or a part of his accumulated normal contributions and failed to redeposit such withdrawn amount in the Retirement Fund as provided in this Section.

Any member may redeposit in the Retirement Fund, in one sum or in not to exceed six monthly or twelve semi-monthly payments, an amount equal to that which he withdrew therefrom at the last termination of his membership. If a member upon reentering the Retirement System after a termination of his membership shall not make such redeposit, he shall reenter as a new member without credit for any service and the rate of his contributions for future years shall be the normal rate provided for in Subdivision (a), Section 5 of this ordinance at his age of reentrance; otherwise his rate of contribution for future years shall be the same as his rate prior to the last termination of his membership, and his membership shall be the same as if unbroken by such last termination. In the event such redeposit is made by a member, an amount equal to the accumulated normal contributions so redeposited shall again be held for the benefit of the said member and shall no longer be included in the amounts available to meet the obligations of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

Section 9. Subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 13 are hereby amended to read as follows:

(a) Each member in the city-service who has attained the age of seventy years at the time of his entrance into the Retirement System shall be retired forthwith, and each member in city-service, or within four months after discontinuance of city-service, or while physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of his duty, if such incapacity has been continuous from discontinuance of city-service, who attains the age of seventy years, shall be retired on the first day of the calendar month next succeeding that in which the said member shall have attained the age of seventy years.

(b) Any member in the city-service or within four months after discontinuance of city-service, or while physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of his duty, if such incapacity has been continuous from discontinuance of city-service, may retire upon written application to the Board of Administration, stating what time, not less than thirty days subsequent to the filing of such application in case one of the options set forth in Section 18 of this ordinance is selected, he desired to be retired, provided that said member, at the time so specified for his retirement, shall have

(1) Completed ten years of con-

tinuous service as defined in this ordinance and attained the age of sixty-two years; or

(2) Completed thirty years of continuous service as defined in this ordinance and attained the age of fifty-seven years.

Section 10. Subdivision (b) of Section 14 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

(b) Any member who entered the Retirement System on April first, nineteen hundred and twenty-two, or who entered after said date and receives credit for prior service, and who is retired by reason of attaining the age of seventy years, shall receive on account of prior service such additional pension, purchased by the contributions of the City, as will make his total retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additional contributions, not less than four hundred and eighty (480) dollars per year unless such four hundred and eighty (480) dollars exceeds one-half of his final compensation, in which event his total retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additional contributions, shall be not less than one-half of his final compensation.

Section 11. Subdivision (a) of Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

(a) Upon retirement for disability a member who has attained the age of sixty-two years shall receive a service retirement allowance as provided in Subdivision (a), Section 14 of this ordinance; otherwise he shall receive a retirement allowance which shall consist of

(1) An annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of his retirement; and, if, in the opinion of the Board of Administration, such disability is not due to intemperance, wilful misconduct or violation of law on the part of this member;

(2) A pension purchased by the contributions of the City which, together with the annuity provided by his accumulated normal contributions, shall make the retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additional contributions, equal to (a) one and one-fourth (1¼) per centum of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of service credited to him, if such retirement allowance exceeds one-fourth of his final compensation; otherwise (b) one and one-fourth (1¼) per centum of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of service which would be creditable to him were his service to continue until attainment by him of age sixty-two, but such retirement allowance shall not exceed one-fourth of such final compensation. In the calculation of a retirement allowance under this paragraph in the case of a member having credit for more than one class of service, that is, service as a teacher in the day schools, as a teacher in the evening schools or as an employee in any other position, separate retirement allowances shall be calculated, in the manner prescribed, for each class of service, the final compensation in each case being that for the respective class of service; provided, that the final compensation upon which the minimum total retirement allowance is calculated in such case shall be based on the compensation earnable by the member in the classes of service rendered by him during the ten years immediately preceding his retirement.

Section 12. Subdivision (a) of Section 17 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

(a) The Board of Administration may, at its pleasure, require any disability beneficiary, under age sixty-two, to undergo medical examination, such examination to be made by a physician or surgeon, appointed by the Board of Administration, at the place of residence of said beneficiary or other place mutually agreed upon. Upon the basis of such examination the Board shall determine whether said disability beneficiary is still incapacitated, physically or mentally, for service in the office or department of the City where he was employed and in the position held by him when retired for disability. If he Board of Administration shall determine that said beneficiary is not so incapacitated, his retirement allowance shall be cancelled forthwith, and he shall be reinstated to the position held by him when retired for disability.

Section 13. Option No. 1 of Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Option 1. If he die before he receives in annuity payments provided for in Paragraph (1), Subdivision (a), Section 14 and Paragraph (1), Subdivision (a), Section 16 of this ordinance, the amount of his accumulated contributions as it was at his retirement, the balance

of such accumulated contributions shall be paid to his estate or to such person, having an insurable interest in his life, as he shall nominate by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration.

Section 14. Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended by the addition of a subdivision to be designated (c) and to read as follows:

(c) Upon the death of any person after retirement and while receiving a retirement allowance from the Retirement System, there shall be paid to his estate, or to such person having an insurable interest in his life as he shall have nominated by written designation duly executed and filed with the Board of Administration, the sum of five hundred dollars ($500), the funds for such payment to be derived from the accumulated contributions of the City held to meet the obligation of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

Section 15. Section 21 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 21. A pension, an annuity or retirement allowance granted under the provisions of this ordinance shall be payable in equal monthly installments or in smaller pro rata amounts when the pension, annuity or retirement allowance begins after the first day of the month or ends before the last day of the month.

Section 16. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. No person who has been retired for service or disability and who receives a retirement allowance under the Retirement System shall be paid for any service, except as a juror or as an election officer, rendered by him to the City after the date of his retirement.

Section 17. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriation, $1,244.50, A. E. Hennessey, Golden Gate Heights.

Resolution No. 29195 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,244.50 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to A. E. Hennessey, contractor, for improvement of roadways in the Golden Gate Heights District; being in full settlement of final adjustment of assessment claims for which the City may be liable.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Appropriation, $766.58, Spring Valley Water Company Pipe Connections, Alpha Street.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $766.58 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the Spring Valley Water Co. for the furnishing and laying of pipe and connections in Alpha street; being an extension of the Municipal Water Works (claim dated May 11, 1928.) Appropriation by Resolution No. 27848 (New Series).

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 29196 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

J. Bjorkman, northeast corner of Nineteenth and Rosemont streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Boardman, northeast corner of Pacific avenue and Lyons street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Allen Cline, 2730 Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Cohen, 1272 Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dixwell Davenport, 195 San Leandro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. M. Ferry Seed Co., north side of Paul avenue, 450 feet east of San Bruno avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. M. Ganz, 3900 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. V. Gullmes, north side of Oak street, 162 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. F. Helms, north side of Balboa street, 60 feet east of Forty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. C. Kincannon, east side of Fill-

more street, 80 feet north of Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Monson, east side of Polk street, 40 feet north of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., 149 Urbano drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., southwest corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sbarboro & Jorgensen, south side of Marina boulevard, 35 feet west of Scott street, 750 gallons capacity.

Sbarboro & Jorgensen, south side of Marina boulevard, 60 feet west of Scott street, 750 gallons capacity.

S. Schwartz, 4 Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Scoble, south side of Jefferson street, 81 feet 6 inches west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Scoble, west side of Casa way, 100 feet north of Rico way, 1500 gallons capacity.

St. Francis Yacht Club, foot of Baker street, 150 feet north of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Maurice Salomon, south side of Balboa street, 35 feet west of Fourteenth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

H. P. Sorenson, east side of Larkin street, 50 feet south of Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stalder Hinkelbein Co., 680 Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stewart Smith, 19 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. McSweeney, east side of Noe street, 50 feet north of Twenty-ninth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. J. Wade, northwest corner of Franklin and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Auto Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 29197 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. Block be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Otto Buehler by Resolution No. 24052 (New Series) for premises on the southeast corner of Polk and Eddy streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Resolution No. 29198 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Shell Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Lawrence Barrett by Resolution No. 28323 (New Series), for premises on the southwest corner of Ellis and Taylor streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Resolution No. 29199 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. H. Frietzsche be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted R. Del Prete by Resolution No. 23867 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Third and Revere streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 29200 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sibley Grading & Teaming Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situated on the west side of San Bruno avenue, 400 feet north of Cortland avenue, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public

Works and approved by his Honor, the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Sibley Grading & Teaming Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

Bill No. 8587, Ordinance No. 8095 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7511 (New Series), granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon First street, Bryant street and Beale street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7511 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Said tracks shall be used for the transportation of freight only, and shall not be used as a main line or a part thereof.

Said tracks shall be laid level with the street and must be operated under such restrictions as to interfere to the least possible degree with the use of said streets by the public.

The Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, however, that it is the intention of this Board that said track shall be equally available to all railroads, but in order to promote safety and economy in the operation thereof it is hereby expressly provided that the State Belt Railway, or any railroad operating in San Francisco, shall have the right to make physical connection with the tracks above described, and Southern Pacific Company shall promptly and without discrimination switch to any industry on said track any car or cars which such other carriers may place on proper interchange tracks properly constructed to handle necessary business. It is understood that Southern Pacific Company is to do all of the switching on the trackage known as Beale street spur or industrial tracks.

Provided, that no locomotive, car or cars shall be taken over the spur tracks on Beale street from Howard street to Mission street between the hours of 7 a. m. and 12 m. and 1 p. m. and 4 p. m.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8588, Ordinance No. 8096 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Brussels street between Olmstead and Mansell streets, Carolina street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, Florence street between Broadway and Vallejo streets, Jennings street between Palou and Quesada avenues, La Salle avenue between Lane and Mendall streets, Olmstead street between Brussels and Goettingen streets, Oxford street between Silver avenue and Pioche street, Prague street between Russia avenue and its southerly termination, Roanoke street between Laidley and Bemis streets, York street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains

have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Brussels street between Olmstead and Mansell streets, Carolina street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, Florence street between Broadway and Vallejo streets, Jennings street between Palou and Quesada avenues, La Salle avenue between Lane and Mendall streets, Olmstead street between Brussels and Goettingen streets, Oxford street between Silver avenue and Pioche street, Prague street between Russia avenue and its southerly termination, Roanoke street between Laidley and Bemis streets, York street between Montcalm street and Holladay avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8589, Ordinance No. 8097 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Alladin Terrace between Taylor street and the concrete wall 160.6 feet easterly from Taylor street, Cayuga avenue between Rotteck and Lytell streets and the intersection of Cayuga avenue and Lytell streets, Cayuga avenue between Badger and Danton streets and the intersection of Cayuga avenue and Lamartine street, Donner avenue between Phelps and Quint street, Danton street between Cayuga avenue and its northerly termination, Forty-fifth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, Noriega street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues and crossing of Twenty-eighth avenue and Noriega street, Noriega street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenue and crossing of Twenty-ninth avenue and Noriega street, Noriega street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues and the crossing of Thirtieth avenue and Noriega street, Persia avenue between Dublin and Prague streets, Thornton avenue between Pomona and Flora streets and the intersection of Thornton avenue and Ceres street, Twenty-sixth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, Vicente street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues, Vicente street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues, Woolsey street between San Bruno and Charter Oak avenues, crossing of Charter Oak avenue and Augusta street, crossing of Oxford and Pioche streets.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8590, Ordinance No. 8098 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Augusta street between Charter Oak avenue and Elmira street, Augusta street between Elmira and Waterville streets, Alabama street between Norwich and Ripley streets, including the intersection of Alabama and Rutledge streets, Geneva avenue between Howth and Louisburg streets, Judson avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets, between Genesee street and Phelan avenue and the intersection of Judson avenue and Genesee street, Noriega street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues and the crossings of Noriega street and Twenty-third avenue and Noriega street and Twenty-fourth avenue, Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Twenty-fifth avenue, Noriega street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues, Noriega street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Twenty-seventh avenue, Noriega street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-second avenue, Noriega street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-third avenue, Noriega street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-fourth avenue, Noriega street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-fifth avenue, Noriega street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-sixth avenue, Noriega street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues and the crossing of Noriega street and Forty-seventh avenue, Noriega street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, Ortega street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues and the crossing of Ortega street and Twenty-eighth avenue, Twenty-eighth avenue be-

tween Rivera and Santiago streets, crossing of Elmira and Augusta streets, intersection of Geneva avenue and Louisburg street.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Bill No. 8591, Ordinance No. 8099 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Forty-Two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 2, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Forty-two.

Section 1042. The width of sidewalks on Wilder street between Diamond street and its northwesterly termination, and between Diamond street and its southeasterly termination shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8592, Ordinance No. 8100 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Forty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 5, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Ten Hundred and Forty-three, to read as follows:

Section 1043. The width of sidewalks on Clarendon avenue, the southerly side of, between Twin Peaks boulevard and its westerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Clarendon avenue, the northerly side of, between Bigler avenue and its westerly termination shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8593, Ordinance No. 8101 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 2, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of

time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, Sixteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Twenty-first avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8594, Ordinance No. 8102 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 2, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lane street from McKinnon avenue to Newcomb avenue, Lane street from Palou avenue to Oakdale avenue, Lane street from Quesada avenue to Revere avenue, Newcomb avenue from Keith street to Lane street, Mendell street from Palou avenue to Oakdale avenue, Oakdale avenue from Mendell street to Lane street, Palou avenue from Lane street to Mendell street, Palou avenue from Jennings street to Keith street, Quesada avenue from Keith street to Ingalls street, Quesada avenue from Lane street to Third street, Revere avenue from Lane street to Keith street, Revere avenue from Jennings street to Ingalls street, Shafter avenue from Keith street to Ingalls street, Thomas avenue from Lane street to Keith street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Bill No. 8595, Ordinance No. 8103 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 2, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and

specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fifth avenue between Parnassus avenue and Irving street, Chestnut street between Broderick and Baker streets, Divisadero street between North Point and Bay streets, east one-half of Buchanan street between Market and Page streets, Thirty-seventh avenue between Anza and Balboa streets, west one-half of Twenty-eighth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, Noe street between Duncan and Twenty-ninth streets, Valley street between Sanchez and Noe streets, Twenty-eighth street between Noe and Castro streets, Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth streets, West Portal avenue between Fourteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 29201 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Co. is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Foerster street between Melrose and Kenyon avenues while grading said street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Municipal Construction Co., then the privilege and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit becomes null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

County Road Fund Appropriation for Reconstruction Work.

Bill No. 8597, Ordinange No. 8104 (New Series), as follows:

Appropriating, setting aside and authorizing to be expended the sum of $301,200 out of the County Road Fund for Personal and Non-Personal Service for expense of street reconstruction during the fiscal year 1928-1929.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $301,200 is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for Personal Service and Non-Personal Service in connection with street reconstruction during the fiscal year 1928-1929, to-wit:

Surface Heaters—280 Days:

527—AC—2 Foremen at $9 per diem, $5,400.

527—AC—16 Finishers at $8 per diem, $35,840.

527—AC—24 Asphalt Workers at $7.50 per diem, $50,400.

527—AC—7 Engineers at $9.50 per diem, $18,620.

527—AC—10 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per diem, $21,000.

Total, $131,260.

Asphalt Roving—280 Days:

527—AC—2 Asphalt Finishers at $8 per diem, $4,480.

527—AC—4 Asphalt Workers at $7.50 per diem, $8,400.

527—AC—1 Engineer at $9.50 per diem, $2,660.

527—AC—2 Chauffeurs at $7.50 per diem, $4,200.

Total $19,740.

Concrete Repairs, Miscellaneous—280 Days:

527—AC—15 Laborers at $6 per diem, $25,200.

Total Personal Service, $176,200.

Non-Personal Service:

527—B—Contractual, $65,000.

527—C—Materials and supplies, $60,000.

Total Non-Personal Service, $125,000.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of July 1, 1928.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Islais Creek Reclamation District Assessment Approved.

Resolution No. 29202 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, H. C. Crozier, Walter H. Sullivan and Louis A. Weidenmuller, as Commisisoners of Assessment, heretofore appointed by Resolution No. 27656 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the purpose of viewing the lands within the Islais Creek Reclamation District, a reclamation district created by an act of the Legislature of the State of California, known as the Islais Creek Reclamation District Act, approved April 6, 1925, and assessing thereon a reclamation assessment of $1,620,152, same to be apportioned according to the benefits that will accrue to each tract of land within said district, respectively, by reason of the expenditure of said sum of money, estimated in gold coin of the United States, did, on the 9th day of March, 1928, in accordance with law, file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, their completed assessment list, and the said Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco having appointed Monday, the 9th day of April, 1928, at the hour of ten o'clock a. m., in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, in the City Hall in said City and County of San Francisco, as the time and place when and where the said Board of Supervisors would meet for the purpose of hearing objections to said assessment, and notice of such hearing having heretofore been given by publication for two weeks daily (Sundays excepted) in The Bulletin, a newspaper of general circulation, published in said City and County of San Francisco, and the matter of objections to said assessment coming on regularly on said day, to-wit, the 9th day of April, 1928, to be heard, and having thereafter been regularly continued for hearing, from time to time (the actual taking of testimony having commenced on April 17, 1928), and it appearing to the said Board of Supervisors that the said assessment had been made in accordance with the provisions of law and should be approved, and that the only written objections to said assessment filed at any time before the date of said hearing by any person interested in any land upon which any charge has been assessed, were the following: the verified written objections of Henry A. Whitley, Wm. Taaffe & Co., Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Company, Anderson Bros. Planing Mill and Mfg. Co., and Henry Windt, and it appearing that after April 9, 1928, the following written objections to said assessment were filed as follows: verified objection by Chas. E. Reinhart, filed April 11, 1928; additional verified objections by Wm. Taaffe & Co., filed April 16, 1928; additional verified objections by Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Company, filed April 16, 1928; unverified objections by Edward Wenzel, filed April 16, 1928; unverified objections by John K. Bulger, filed April 19, 1928, and verified objections by P. F. Reilly, filed April 23, 1928.

And whereas, the said Board of Supervisors, after hearing the evidence offered in support of said objections, hereby finds that the same are not well taken, and do not warrant a reapportionment of the entire assessment aforesaid, or a modification or amendment of said assessment in any particular.

Now, therefore, it is ordered and resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that the aforesaid assessment list be, and the same is hereby, approved and that an order of such approval shall be endorsed upon said assessment list, which endorsement shall be signed by the Chairman of said Board of Supervisors and attested by the Clerk thereof. It is

Further ordered and resolved that the said assessment list shall be filed with the County Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers,

Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Excused—Supervisor Kent—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Amending Traffic Ordinance, Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Bill No. 8596, Ordinance No. 8105 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 37 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Section 37. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places: Ade court, Adelaide street, Agate alley, Aldrich alley, Amity alley, Annie street, Anson place, Anthony street, Antonio street, Ashburton place, Austin street, Belden street, Bren place, Burritt street, Campton place, Carlos street, Cedar street, Chatham place, Chelsea place, Claude lane, Cohen place, Colin place, Cosmos place, Cortland avenue on the north side between Mission street and Folsom street, Dale place, Derby street, Dikeman place, Dodge street, Ecker street, Elim alley, Elm street, Emma street, Fells or Fella place, Fern street, Gardner street or place, Glasgow street or Anna Lane street, Grant avenue on the east side between Bush street and Broadway, Grant avenue on the west side between Bush street and Pine street, Hardie place, Harlan street, Harlem alley, Hemlock street, Hobart alley, Holland court, Hooker alley, Hunt street, Ivy street, Jessie street, Julia street, Latham place, Laskie street, Linden street, Mable alley, Maggie alley, Maiden lane, Mark lane, Mary street, Meacham place, Minna street, Mint street on the east, south and north sides, Monroe street, Montgomery street between Market and California streets, Mulford alley, Myrtle street, Natoma street, Olive street, Opal place, Opera alley, Ophir alley, Pacific street on the north side between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue, Petrarch place, Quincy street, Redwood street, Russ street, Shannon street, Shaw alley, Sherwood place, St. Ann street, St. George alley, Steveloe place, Stevenson street, Tillman alley, Touchard street, Treasury place, Trinity street, Vermehr place, Wagner alley, Willow street.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $75,904.40, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $104.15.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $37.79.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

NEW BUSINESS

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Authorizations.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(1) H. M. Child, refund of duplicate payment of taxes for fiscal

year 1927-1928 (claim dated June 15, 1928), $1,605.98.

Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(2) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated June 18, 1928), $525.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(3) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, for depreciation reserve for June, per Charter requirements (claim dated June 15, 1928), $14,584.

County Road Fund.

(4) McHugh Improvement Co., grading and paving Forty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,200.

(5) Municipal Construction Co., grading and paving Otsego street between Onondaga and Seneca avenues (claim dated June 13, 1928), $6,794.

(6) Federal Construction Co., improvement of Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets (claim dated June 13, 1928), $19,615.04.

(7) A. G. Raisch, improvement of southerly one-half of Judson avenue between Foerster street and Phelan avenue (claim dated June 20, 1928), $3,833.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(8) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished during May (claim dated June 13, 1928), $3,382.84.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for May, under agreement of Dec. 12, 1928 (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,586.11.

(10) Tansey Crowe Co., tires and tubes for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 18, 1928), $554.05.

(11) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., railway parts (claim dated June 18, 1928), $814.85.

Special School Tax.

(12) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., paint for schools (claim dated June 15, 1928), $680.

(13) Amoroso and Filippis, first payment, general construction of Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated June 19, 1928), $11,728.88.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(14) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., Mazda lamps (claim dated June 18, 1928), $1,051.20.

(15) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., gasoline, oil, etc. (claim dated June 20, 1928), $628.28.

(16) United Materials Co., cement (claim dated June 20, 1928), $995.

(17) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, 17th payment, construction of Aqueduct tunnels, contract 113 (claim dated June 18, 1928), $26,159.79.

(18) A. Guthrie & Co. Inc., 18th payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnels, contract 113, proposition "C" (claim dated June 18, 1928), $11,497.99.

Playground Fund.

(19) Meyer Rosenberg, tanbark for playgrounds (claim dated June 20, 1928), $798.21.

(20) Western Pacific Railroad Co., freight charges on cinders for playgrounds (claim dated June 20, 1928), $823.40.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(21) California Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1928), $521.02.

(22) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1928), $639.99.

(23) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1928), $651.03.

(24) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 25, 1928), $986.70.

(25) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, June 3 to July 3, 1928, $1,120.75.

(26) J. D. Galloway, services and expense for account of trip to Washington, D. C., in matter of San Francisco Transbay Bridge hearings (claim dated June 25, 1928), $1,997.80.

(27) Clarence B. Eaton, first payment, construction of Fillmore street main sewer (claim dated June 20, 1928), $9,000.

(28) Federal Construction Co., 5th payment, construction of extension of the Thirtieth avenue sewer, Sunset District (claim dated June 20, 1928), $7,700.

(29) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repairs (claim dated June 16, 1928), $1,799.44.

(30) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repairs (claim dated June 18, 1928), $3,813.38.

(31) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for sewer repairs (claim dated June 18, 1928), $1,788.60.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repairs (claim dated June 14, 1928), $593.42.

(33) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for street repairs (claim dated June 14, 1928), $716.94.

(34) Pacific Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 14, 1928), $1,163.22.

(35) San Francisco Gravel Co., rock and gravel for street repairs

(claim dated June 14, 1928), $1,-921.81.

(36) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt and oil for street repairs (claim dated June 14, 1928), $683.98.

(37) Symon Brothers, team for street cleaning (claim dated June 14, 1928), $1,489.25.

(38) Sewer Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for job costs, improving of Guerrero street from Twentieth street southerly (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,450.62.

(39) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 21, 1928), $1,779.54.

(40) Louis Strauss Inc., clothing for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 21, 1928), $898.

(41) Western Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 21, 1928), $739.29.

(42) Standard Fisheries, fish for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $779.25.

(43) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $720.06.

(44) Western Meat Co., meat for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $1,289.70.

(45) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., meat for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $850.47.

(46) California Meat Co., meat for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $2,964.75.

(47) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruits and vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $1,119.14.

(48) H. F. Dugan, chemical and surgical supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $1,-360.90.

(49) Morris & Co., butter for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $2,046.07.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $1,679.19.

(51) Warren Shannon, expense of self and Supervisor Hayden, to Washington, D. C., in endeavor to secure for San Francisco the Democratic National Convention, as authorized by Resolution No. 28281, New Series (claim dated June 25, 1928), $4,500.

(52) Playground Commission, expense incurred for month of May for development of the Twenty-sixth and Douglass site for playground (claim dated June 20, 1928), $1,-171.75.

(53) James F. Waters, one Chrysler Sedan for use of the Assessor (claim dated June 13, 1928), $2,850.

California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61).

(54) American Railway Express Co., expressage on exhibit of oil paintings from the Carnegie Institute, etc. (claim dated June 22, 1928), $1,505.81.

(55) Carnegie Institute, expressage, hauling and insurance on exhibit, including return of paintings in Europe (claim dated June 22, 1928), $4,804.

(56) Mrs. Clara Huntington, one red chalk drawing by Dazzi (claim dated June 22, 1928), $600.

Appropriation, $27,450, Payment to Oscar M. Hueter for Land for Balboa Terrace Playground.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $27,-450 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of Playground Fund in payment to Oscar M. Hueter and Ernest C. Hueter for lands required for the Balboa Terrace Playground, and being portions of Blocks 3265 and 3266, as per Assessor's "Map of Blocks 3260-3269, Balboa Terrace Addition" (claim dated June 20, 1928).

Accepting Offer of Abe Clemo et al. to Sell Land Required for Widening of Garfield Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29203 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Garfield street, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Abe Clemo and Nellie Clemo. $600 —Portions of Lots Nos. 24, 25 and 26, in Block 6990, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Accepting Offer of Mary E. Barney et al. to Sell Lands Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29204 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Mary E. Barney and Thomas R. Barney, $5,176—

Parcel 1. Portion of Lot 4, Block 6754-A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lot 1, Block 6755, as per Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Patrick McCarthy, $5,075—Lot 3, Block 6693, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Accepting Offer of John J. Ferrea et al. to Sell Land Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29205 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

John J. Ferrea, $7,400—Lot 34, Block 1714, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the above-named owners of the above-described premises shall have the use thereof, without charge, until August 25, 1928.

John F. May, $1,500—Lot 30, Block 2389, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Accepting Offer of J. E. Higgins Lumber Company et al. to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29206 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

J. E. Higgins Lumber Company, $3,226.50—Portion of Lot 1-L, Block 4343, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Ellen E. Yoacham, $33—Portion of Lots 16 and 17, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Armida Chittka, $3,105—Lot No. 22. Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Heyman Brothers and Sophie Landau, $572—Portion of Lot 13, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Friedrich Fried and Rosa Fried, $16—Portion of Lot 11, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Accepting Offer of Roman Catholic Archbishop to Release Claim in re Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29207 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owner of property adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard has offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to its property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard, and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade, and the grading and repaving of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely:

The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, a corporation sole, $4,219—All those certain pieces or parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lots Nos. 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32, in Block 6796, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as Corpus Christi Church, Social Hall and School, located on Santa Rosa avenue, Alemany avenue and Francis street; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above-mentioned corporation upon the receipt of the proper release.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Appropriation, $325, Payment to W. C. Bailly, Account of Damage, York Street Grading.

Also, Resolution No. 29208 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $325 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, in payment to W. C. Bailly in full settlement of all claims for account of damage to property due to the grading of York street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Flood Lighting, City Hall.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of "Lighting City Hall," Budget Item No. 53a, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the hereinafter designated purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the purchase of 70 projectors for flood-lighting the City Hall, as per award of contract to the General Electric Company by Resolution No. 29177 (New Series), $1,837.50.

(2) For the cost of contract for the flood-lighting of the City Hall, per award to the Butte Electric & Manufacturing Company, $6,400.

(3) For expense of engineering, inspection and possible extras, $750.

Total, $8,987.50.

Appropriation, $1,500, Repairs to Fender and Piling, Third Street and Channel Bridge.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund, 1927-1928, for cost of repairs to fender and piling at Third street and Channel bridge.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over until July 9*, 1928:

Plans, Etc., Harrison Street Warehouse.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of the Harrison street warehouse, and ordering the construction of the Harrison street warehouse, to be erected on school property situate on Harrison street between Tenth and Eleventh streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter contract for the said construction of the Harrison street warehouse.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of the Harrison street warehouse, to be erected on school property situate on Harrison street between Tenth and Eleventh streets, which construction is hereby ordered, and to enter into contract for the said construction of the Harrison street warehouse in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters *were passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $4,575, Pedestrian Lane Markers.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,575 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 69, for the cost of installing 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, including probable extras, as per award to E. J. Treacy by Resolution No. 28901 (New Series).

Appropriation, $4,891.91, Fay Improvement Company, West Portal Avenue.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,891.91 be and the same is hereby appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to The Fay Improvement Company; being full payment for account of concrete work incident to changing of rails and lowering of tracks of the Municipal Railways in connection with the improvement of West Portal avenue between Ulloa street and Fifteenth avenue.

(*Supervisors Havenner, Kent and Powers requested to be recorded as voting* no *on the foregoing.*)

Approval of Budget, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Completion.

Resolution No. 29209 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 6440 (New Series), prescribing the procedure under which the Board of Public Works may construct public utilities, the Board of Public Works has procured from the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors an estimate or budget of proposed expenditures which will be necessary for the prosecution to completion of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct construction, together with a general description and specification of the proposed work; and

Whereas, the total amount of said budget is the sum of $24,000,000; and

Whereas, it appears to the satisfaction of this Board that Items Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 13 of said proposed work, estimated to cost $15,350,000, are necessary and proper, and that the proposed expenditures of said $15,350,000 are necessary for the prosecution of said work; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Items Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 13, totaling $15,350,000 of said budget, and description of proposed work, submitted by the Board of Public Works, are hereby approved and the necessary funds are hereby appropriated from the Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund (issue of 1928) for the purpose of meeting the expenditures shown by said Items Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 13 of said budget; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Auditor be and he is hereby directed to credit this appropriation with funds to become available through the sale of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Bonds, Issue of 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Castro Bakery, 531 Castro street, 600 gallons capacity.

Manning & Baldwin, west side of Franklin street, 90 feet north of Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, southeast corner of Polk and Lombard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. A. Schoepffer, northwest corner St. Francis boulevard and San Buena Ventura way, 600 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, 266 Santa Paula avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

A. M. Samuelson, northeast corner of North Gate drive and Darien way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Enterprise Oil Burner Co., 340 First street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, east side of Magellan avenue, 600 feet north of Montalvo avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

E. A. Cornely, Inc., 15 Cherry street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. A. McPherson, 765 Third avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

G. E. Becker, south side of Yerba Buena way, 250 feet east of Santa Clara avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Antonio Olieveri, 425 Holyoke street, 15 horsepower.

Alexander Rubin Co., 49 Fourth street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Denying J. R. Ewing, Jr., Auto Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. 29210 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied J. R. Ewing, Jr., to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the east side of Taylor street, approximately 175 feet north of Turk street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Auto Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That William Hall be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Fitzgerald and Murnane by Resolution No. 24024 (New Series) for premises at the northwest corner of Thirteenth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. Schuback be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to George Rossi by Resolution No. 23903 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Eighteenth street and Potrero avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. J. Dunn be and is hereby granted permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Claus Lornell by Resolution No. 28685 (New Series) for premises at 145 Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Graystone Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors. to maintain and operate a laundry at 1040 Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sett Lester Bryant be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 652 Polk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Record of Repairers or Storers of Damaged Automobiles.

Also, Bill No. 8597, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Requiring repairers or storers of damaged, partly demolished or injured automobiles, and every person, firm or corporation conducting a public garage, to keep a record of the receipt or storage of such automobiles; providing a punishment for any violation thereof and repealing Ordinance No. 4407 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation engaged within the City and County of San Francisco in the business of repairing automobiles and every person, firm or corporation conducting a public garage and every person, firm or corporation engaged in the storage of automobiles shall keep a record of the receipt for repair or storage of every damaged, partly demolished or injured automobile, which shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Chief of Police or any officer detailed by him. Such record shall contain the name and address of the person, firm or corporation from whom such automobile was purchased or taken in exchange or for storage or to whom sold, the name and make, state license number, motor number, or any other manufacturer's or serial number, or any other marks of identification, make, size and serial number of each tire, including extra tires, body number, generator number, starter number, carburetor number, magneto number, storage battery number, transmission number, radiator number and speedometer number, style and seating capacity thereof, a full and complete description of the damages, demolition or injury and the cause and date thereof and the name and address of the owner thereof as ascertained from the person, firm or corporation from whom such automobile was received. Said record shall be written in the English language in a clear and legible manner.

Section 2. All ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, and especially Ordinance No. 4407 (New Series), are hereby repealed.

Section 3. Every person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Auto Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Shell Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Twenty-fourth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That St. Mary's Park be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Murray and Mission streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr —12.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Kent—2.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Approval of Taxicab Stand Permit.

On recommendation of Police Committee:

Resolution No. 29211 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permit for taxicab stand is hereby approved:

Yosemite-Grayline Limousines, Inc., northwest corner Powell and Sutter streets, four cabs.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Purchaser to Sell Meteorological Instruments at Airport.

On recommendation of Committee on Welfare, Publicity and Airport:

Resolution No. 29212 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies be and is hereby directed to sell at public auction, after advertising said sale as required by law, the following meteorological instruments located at Mills Field Municipal Airport and which are unnecessary for the use of the City and County of San Francisco:

2 Dines pressure tube anamographs, and 2 Campbell-Stokes sunshine recorders.

Further Resolved, That the proceeds from the sale of these meteorological instruments be deposited in the City Treasury to the credit of the Municipal Airport Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Reception to American Members of Southern Cross Crew.

Resolution No. 29213 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested to appoint a citizens' committee to cooperate with the Public Welfare and Airport Committee in arranging a fitting reception to Captain Harry Lyon and James Warner, the American members of the intrepid crew of the "Southern Cross" on their return to the United States and that the said citizens' committee take steps to secure from the Public Welfare Committee an appropriation of $500 to the fund being raised on behalf of these fliers.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Southern Pacific Company Commended for Suisun Bay Bridge.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Shannon and referred to the Public Welfare Committee, was returned by said Committee with favorable recommendation and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29214 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company has publicly announced its intention to construct a bridge over a mile long across Suisun Bay, connecting Benicia and Port Costa, to replace the train ferry service between these two points; and

Whereas, the construction of this bridge will reduce the running time and bring all cities and towns in the Sacramento Valley in closer touch with the City of San Francisco; and

Whereas, all progressive communities are constructing bridges wherever possible for the improvement of transportation facilities; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is deeply interested in all bridge projects, and particularly in the problem of bridging San Francisco Bay; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors commend and congratulate the Southern Pacific Railroad Company for its enterprise and progressiveness in its decision to build this bridge, which will be of such great benefit to Northern California, and particularly to San Francisco and the communities around the Bay.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Award of Contract, Tobacco, Etc.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 29215 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts be hereby made on bids submitted June 18, 1928 (Proposal No. 388), as follows, viz.:

Part I—Tobacco.

Item No. 1—5500 pounds smoking, cut plug; in 2¼-ounce packages (for Laguna Honda Home) George Washington brand, lb. 52c; Healey & Donaldson, contractors.

Item No. 2—2000 pounds chewing, pressed natural leaf, in 16-ounce plugs (for Laguna Honda Home) Rainbow brand, lb. 41c; Glaser Bros., contractors.

Item No. 3—100 gross granulated, in 1-ounce bags (for County Jails) Stud brand, gross $5.17; Healey & Donaldson, contractors.

Part II—Cigarettes for Park

Item No. 4—160,000, Camel brand, retailing at 15c, price per 1000 $5.39; Healey & Donaldson, contractors.

Item No. 5.—200,000 Chesterfield brand, retailing at 15c, price per 1000 $5.39; Healey & Donaldson, contractors.

Item No. 6—160,000 Lucky Strike brand, retailing at 15c, price per 1000 $5.292, The American Tobacco Company of the Pacific Coast, Inc., contractors.

Item No. 7—50,000 Old Gold brand, retailing at 15c, price per 1000 $5.48; Healey & Donaldson, contractors.

Item No. 8—3000 Fatima brand, retailing at 20c, price per 1000 $7.20; Glaser Bros, contractors.

Item No. 9—5000 Herbert Tareyton brand, retailing at 2 for 35c, price per 1000 $6.30; Glaser Bros., contractors.

Item No. 10—5000 Marlboro brand, retailing at 20c, price per 1000 $7.56; Glaser Bros., contractors.

Delivery to be made to points designated in purchase orders, as same may be issued from time to time during the six-months' period commencing July 1 and ending December 1, 1928, for such lots as may be required by the Park Commissioners.

Part III—Cigars for Park

Item No. 11—150 Natividad, Panatella brand, packing 100s, price per 100 $6.243; Bauer Hess, contractors.

Item No. 12—1500 Natividad, Ideal brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $9.124; Bauer Hess, contractors.

Item No. 13—2000 Optimo, St Francis brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $9.124; Bauer Hess, contractors.

Item No. 14—1500 Optimo, Kings brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $11.045; Bauer Hess, contractors.

Item No. 15—1500 Optimo, Puritanos brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $7.203; Bauer Hess, contractors.

Item No. 16—1000 Roi Tan, Panatella brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $7.13; H. L. Judell & Co., contractors.

Item No. 17—1000 Roi Tan, Broadway brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $9.03; H. L. Judell & Co., contractors.

Item No. 18—1500 El Primo, Delmonico brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $7.203; Staple Cigar Co., contractors.

Item No. 19—1500 El Primo, Cabinets brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $9.125; Staple Cigar Co., contractors.

Item No. 20—1000 Chancellor, Liberty brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $7.13; H. L. Judell & Co., contractors.

Item No. 21—1000 Chancellor, Invincible brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $9.03; H. L. Judell & Co., contractors.

Item No. 22—1500 Robt. Burns, Perfecto brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $9.03; General Cigar Co., Inc., contractors.

Item No. 23—1500 Robt. Burns, Panatella brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $7.13; General Cigar Co., Inc., contractors.

Item No. 24—1500 Van Dyke, Bankers brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $7.13; General Cigar Co., Inc., contractors.

Item No. 25—1500 White Owl brand, packing 50s, price per 100 $5.086; General Cigar Co., Inc., contractors.

Delivery to be made to points designated in purchase orders, as same may be issued from time to time during the six-months' period commencing July 1 and ending December 31, 1928, for such lots as may be required by the Park Commissioners.

Part IV—Smoking Tobacco for the Park.

Item No. 26—10 cartons Prince Albert brand, packing 24s, price per carton $2.75; Glaser Bros., contractors.

Item No. 27—10 cartons Tuxedo brand, packing 24s, price per carton $2.04624; The American Tobacco Company of the Pacific Coast, Inc., contractors.

Item No. 28—15 cartons Edgeworth brand, packing 24s, price per carton $2.79; Glaser Bros., contractors.

Item No. 29—5 cartons Herbert Tareyton brand, packing 12s, price per carton $2.08; Glaser Bros., contractors.

Delivery to be made to points designated in purchase orders, as same may be issued from time to time during the six-months' period commencing July 1 and ending December 31, 1928, for such lots as may be required by the Park Commissioners.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required in the following amounts, viz:

Healey & Donaldson, $500; The American Tobacco Company of the Pacific Coast, Inc., $100; Bauer Hess, $100; Staple Cigar Co., none; General Cigar Co., Inc., none;

Glaser Bros., $100; H. L. Judell & Co., none.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Marks—1.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Award of Contract, Lithographed Bonds.

Also, Resolution No. 29216 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts be hereby made for furnishing lithographed bonds on bids submitted June 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 387), as follows:

To A. Carlisle & Co., Item No. 1, 24.000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, for the sum of $3,250.

To Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., Item No. 2, 41,000 Spring Valley Bonds, for the sum of $5,322.50.

Resolved, That surety bonds for the faithful performance of above contracts be required as follows, viz.:

A. Carlisle & Co., $500.

Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., $1,000.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Award of Contract, Laundering Towels.

Also, Resolution No. 29217 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to San Francisco Laundry Association on bid submitted May 21, 1928 (Proposal No. 380) for laundering towels for School Department during the fiscal year 1928-1929 at the rate of seventy cents per hundred.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Award of Contract, Enameled Wares.

Also, Resolution No. 29218 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts is hereby made for furnishing enameled wares, aluminum ware, tinware, japanned and galvanized ware and kitchen utensils that may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year 1928-1929 on bids submitted May 7, 1928 (Proposal No. 376), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 351—Aluminum ware, "Wear-Ever." Aluminum Cooking Utensil Co.'s List W, Wear-Ever Hotel Ware, dated February 1, 1928; discount, 18 per cent. Mangrum & Otter, Inc.

352(a) — Gray enameled ware. Nesco Royal enameled ware, catalogue No. 35; price list dated January 3, 1928; discount, 38 1/10 per cent. Dohrmann Hotel Supply Co.

352(b)—Deep stamped tinware. Baker, Hamilton & Pacific catalogue No. 8; discount, 55 per cent. Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

352(c)—Shallow stamped ware. National Enameling & Stamping Co.'s catalogue; price list No. 302, dated November 1, 1926; plus 9 per cent. Levenson Co.

352(d) — Hotel ware. Baker, Hamilton & Pacific catalogue No. 8; discount, 55 per cent. Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

352(e)—Hercules heavy tinware. National Enameling & Stamping Co.'s catalogue; price list No. 302, dated November 1, 1926; plus 9 per cent. Levenson Co.

352(f)—Galvanized ware. Mangrum & Otter's catalogue; price list dated May 7, 1928; discount, 10 per cent. Mangrum & Otter, Inc.

353—White enamel ware. K. E. R. Swedish catalogue; price list for Swedish white enameled ware, seamless; dated July, 1926; discount, 14 1/10 per cent. Levenson Co.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of above contracts be required as follows, viz.:

Levenson Co., $100; Dohrmann Hotel Supply Co., $100; Mangrum & Otter, Inc., $100; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., $100.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Award of Contract, Books.

Also, Resolution No. 29219 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing books for the fiscal year 1928-1929 be made on bids submitted May 14, 1928 (Proposal No. 373) and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

1. Neal, Stratford & Kerr, bond $200.
2. John Kitchen, Jr., Co., bond $200.
3. California Printing Co., bond, $1,000.
4. Levison Printing Co., bond, $500.
5. Edward Barry Co., bond, $500.
6. F. Malloye Co., no bond required.
7. Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., no bond required.
8. A. Carlisle & Co., bond $500.
9. Buckley & Curtin, bond $200.
10. A. L. Houle Bindery Co., no bond required.
11. Andrew D. Zanetti, no bond required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Award of Contract, Printing, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. 29220 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing printing and lithographed forms and blanks for the fiscal year 1928-1929 be made on bids submitted May 14, 1928 (Proposal No. 372) and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

1. Neal, Stratford & Kerr, bond $500.
2. Buckley & Curtin, bond $500.
3. Wilcox & Co., bond $500.
4. Levison Printing Co., bond $100.
5. California Printing Co., bond $1,000.
6. A. Carlisle & Co., bond $500.
7. Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., bond $500.
8. Miller & Johnson Printing Co., bond $100.
9. Phillips & Van Orden Co., bond $500.
10. Andrew D. Zanetti, no bond required.
11. Western Loose Leaf Co., bond $100.
12. Hancock Bros., bond $200.
13. Pacific Coast Tag Mfg. Co., no bond required.
14. Howard Eastman Co., no bond required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Public Hearing on Raker Act.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 29225 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the United States Government, through tne Department of the Interior and Bureau of Parks, has expressed dissatisfaction with San Francisco's compliance with certain terms of the Raker Act regarding roads, trails and use of the Hetch Hetchy watershed by the public; and

Whereas, this reported noncompliance has been called to the attention of the Congress by Representative Louis Cranton of Michigan, who, in his speech before the House of Representatives, charged this City and its government with bad faith in minor matters as well as in connection with the power

contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company; and

Whereas, it is apparent that the public does not know the extent of the dispute and the many reasons for this disagreement between our City and the Federal Government, and this Board of Supervisors does not fully understand the situation in a measure necessary for its handling such an important matter of public policy; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby instructs and authorizes the Public Utilities Committee of the Board to hold a public hearing or hearings, at which all the facts may be brought out, and at which City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy and City Attorney John J. O'Toole may review our dealings with the Government in detail; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Utilities Committee be required to render this Board a report and recommendation on a course of policy which it deems best for the City to follow to satisfy the Government of our willingness to comply with the Raker Act in every respect.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

(*Clerk was directed to send written notices of meeting to government officers and other interested parties.*)

Proceedings of Board of Equalization.

The following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29221 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors will meet on Monday morning, July 2, 1928, at 10 a. m., and examine the assessment books of real and personal property for the year 1928, and will thereafter be in session as a Board of Equalization from time to time until Monday, July 16, 1928, at 12 o'clock noon, for the purpose of hearing applications, verified by oath, for the correction of assessment books.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Resolution No. 29222 (New Series), as follows:

Adopting a rule as to the manner in which persons, firms and corporations are to be notified why their assessment for the Fiscal Year 1928-1929 should not have been increased by the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization.

Resolved, That it is hereby adopted as a rule of this Board that the notice required to be given under the provisions of Section 3673 of the Political Code, to corporations or persons, to show cause why their assessment on the assessment books of real and personal property for the Fiscal Year 1928-1929 should not be increased will be as follows:

To corporations—a written or printed notice addressed to the president, secretary, manager or agent of such corporation and delivered by the sergeant-at-arms of the Board of Supervisors at the office of such corporation in this City.

To persons, firm or companies—a written or printed notice, postage prepaid, and mailed to their address.

The Clerk is hereby directed to cause to be delivered or mailed to the several corporations, persons, firms or companies, when designated by this Board, the said notice at least twelve (12) hours prior to the time set for hearing said parties as aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Resolution No. 29223 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby authorized to designate such employees of the Assessor's office as may be necessary as deputy clerks of this Board of Supervisors during the time of the meeting of the Board of Equalization to perform duties in connection therewith.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Resolution No. 29224 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board meet on the 9th day of July, 1928, at 10 a. m., as a Board of Equalization, to examine applicants for reduction or correction of assessment who have filed sworn statements as

required by law, and to perform such other duties as may come before the Board.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

In Re Transbay Bridge Hearings, 11 a. m.

The following matter, laid over from 11 a. m., was taken up at 3:40 p. m.:

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco was held on Monday, the 25th day of June, 1928, beginning at the hour of 10 o'clock of said day in the chambers of the Board, City Hall, San Francisco, California. Supervisor Havenner acted as chairman of the meeting in the absence of the Mayor.

After the transaction of other business the following proceedings were had in relation to the bridge hearings:

The Chairman: Supervisor Kent and Supervisor Shannon, will you escort Senator Johnson and Congressman Welch to the desk?

(Senator Johnson and Congressman Welch are thereupon escorted to the rostrum.)

The Chairman: Members of the Board: At the suggestion of the Mayor, and after conference with him on Saturday, a formal invitation was extended on behalf of the Bridge Committee to Senator Hiram Johnson and Congressman Welch, who have handled so ably our bridge bill before Congress. Senator Johnson has just returned to San Francisco after his strenuous campaign in the Senate on behalf of our bridge bill, and in behalf of the Boulder Dam project bill, during the last session, and we felt that it was extremely appropriate and eminently desirable that we hear from him at this time, that we welcome him back to the city and ask him to tell us about the fight that he waged so magnificently in our behalf, and give us some advice as to our course in the future. Most of us are pretty clear as to what that should be, but his view and Congressman Welch's view as to the outlook for our bill in the next session of Congress is important and timely at this time. We would like to hear from Senator Johnson. (Applause.)

Senator Johnson: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board: I am very glad indeed to have the opportunity to tell something of our stewardship in the city of Washington upon a measure of such very great importance to the city and to every member of this community. I want to say, at the outset, to you that what has transpired in the city of Washington in the bridge matter is by no means a cause for discouragement, no reason for pessimism at all, but quite the contrary, what has happened there thus far in a matter of this magnitude is wholly encouraging. I do not assume to speak to you about what has happened in the House. There you have been ably represented, and I know that everything has been done by the Congressman who sits here, and who honors us by his presence, and by his colleagues from California, all has been done that could possibly be done in behalf of this measure. In the Senate I speak with greater intimacy, of course. I am familiar there by reason of long service perhaps, or by reason of other causes, with the members who constitute the body and with the proceedings that occur therein. I can say to you, I think with a fair degree of accuracy, although I detest prognostications or prophecies about what may happen in the future, that in the ensuing session of the Senate of the United States the bill which has been introduced on behalf of the city of San Francisco for a bridge from Rincon Hill across the bay will be passed. (Applause.) I think I may say that with a fair degree of certainty. I have been a little nonplused in the last couple of days, for I have been here but a couple of days, to hear occasionally something said about maintaining the contest in some other way than we have commenced it. We have commenced it in a certain fashion, by the introduction of a bill, prepared by the city of San Francisco, presented to us from the city of San Francisco, introduced in behalf of the city of San Francisco, and fought, thus far, that particular bill, as the city of San Francisco's project. Now, I know only one way to do when you begin a contest of that sort. There is only one fashion in which I know how to conduct that kind of a fight, and that is to carry on and fight it out, and fighting it out, ultimately, in my opinion, we will be successful. Of course, the project is a tremendous one. It is a constructive work of a character

greater than any perhaps that we have ever had in the United States. It is a project, too, that runs counter to the views of some of the gentlemen who are connected with the Navy Department, but that is neither here nor there. If we were right when our engineering committee reported, if we were right when we introduced our bill, if we were right when we fought it through the Commerce Committee of the Senate, and took it up on the floor of the United States Senate, we are right now, and we cannot turn back and go into any other method or into any other way to carry out the project, if the project we desire ultimately to succeed.

In addition to that, it is San Francisco that appeals to the Congress of the United States. I am unable to comprehend that there should be any doubt upon the proposition that this should be pressed as a municipal concern and a municipal enterprise. It is incredible and unthinkable that the members of the Navy Department will change their view if an individual or a private corporation were to undertake what we have undertaken. So the opposition, in any event, that has been engendered by the project will continue, no matter what you might do and no matter what might be done by any individual or any corporation on the face of the earth. Now, that opposition you understand. It seems to me, that it will be a work of supererogation to repeat what has transpired in Washington, or the opposition that we have encountered. You know, of course, that the engineers of the Navy Department protest against the locality that we have selected for our bridge. We fought it out in the committee of the Senate, before one of the committees that I think is admittedly one of the best committees of the United States Senate. We fought out there the opposing views. On one hand General Jadwin of the United States Engineers, Admiral Hughes and others presented the viewpoint of the Navy, on the other hand presented with force and vehemence, with convincing ability, our side was given by the Mayor of the city of San Francisco, by Judge Sullivan, by Mr. McSheehy, by Engineer O'Shaughnessy, Engineer Galloway and Captain Harrison. And after a full presentation thus of the divergent views to the Commerce Committee of the United States Senate, that committee, powerful as it is, with possibly the exception of one member, recommended our bill and reported it favorably to the Senate. When it comes up on the floor ultimately for debate we will have the opposition of those of course, who respond, respond without reason sometimes, to the demands of the Navy Department. But that by no means indicates that we will not be successful, and the only way in which we can proceed is to go on and go on with what we have begun and pass the bill, if it be possible to pass it, and there is every indication that that possibility exists.

Now, one other feature of this matter and one that was singularly appealing to the Commerce Committee of the Senate. There in the original bill, if you will recall, we provided that the franchise should be granted to the City of San Francisco or to its assigns. That portion of the measure which permitted an assignment of the franchise, at the instance of the Chairman of the Commerce Committee, was stricken out because he believed, the members of the Commerce Committee believed, I believe, that this is a municipal enterprise and should be carried out by the City of San Francisco and carried out to the full. (Applause.)

Now, that situation and that course we are pursuing. If there ever comes a time when you must pursue another course, that is in the dim distant future. But it would be ridiculous now in the midst of the contest to change our view or chart a course anew. We will proceed upon the lines that we have undertaken, and proceeding upon those lines I am perfectly satisfied of what our friends in the House will be able to accomplish. I feel fairly certain of what will be the accomplishment in the Senate. San Francisco, I need not tell you, has a charm for all the world. There are friends of San Francisco wherever you go, any place on the face of the earth, and there are no less friends in the Senate of the United States and the Congress of the United States. With a persuasive eye these friends look upon any just measure that is asked by the City of San Francisco. Remember yours is a great project, that education may be necessary in some directions and that it may be essential that you continue uninterruptedly the work that is yours to do in its behalf. But remember, too, that it is, after all, a project that commends itself to the best minds that there are in the Congress of the United States, and that its charm to them is that it is a municipal enterprise and carried

but by a City that every person on the face of the earth loves.

We will go forward, my friends, with this measure. We will go forward because we are right, and going forward, ultimate success will be ours. (Applause.)

The Chairman: When this Board of Supervisors decided upon the program of appealing to Congress for permission to construct a bridge across the central portion of the bay, after the War Department had stood upon its previous arbitrary refusal to permit such a bridge to be constructed, after we had drawn up here, with the counsel and advice of Senator Johnson, former Chief Justice Sullivan and others of the best legal minds that we could find in San Francisco, and had drawn up that bill and taken it back to Washington, immediately there came back to us a flood of pessimistic reports, reports that those of us who have been on the inside of this fight recognized immediately as propaganda, inspired propaganda. We did not have a chance at Washington, particularly in the Senate, it was inconceivable that the conservative Senate would permit a public ownership project of this kind to go through, even although, as submitted by us, it was not a public ownership project. But the bill has been carried to a unanimous recommendation by the Senate Committee, carried there by the very splendid fight made by Senator Johnson and the members of the Bridge Committee, who went back to help San Francisco. The bill today is in a far more favorable position than it has ever been before. If the bill be passed on the floor of the Senate in the next session, as Senator Johnson has told us here openly today—and those of us who know him know his reputation for conservatism with respect to predictions of that kind—if the prospects are that that bill will be passed by the Senate. then certainly we have a far better right than we ever had before to hope that that bill will likewise be passed by the House of Representatives. I think that this drive that is being made on us here today, gentlemen—and you are all aware of it because it has been advertised in every advertising column that could be bought up around San Francisco in the last two weeks—that there is to be a drive here today to persuade the City of San Francisco to abandon the program which it has carried on to its successful stage, to abandon it, in the face of the splendid support that we have pledged to us in the next session of Congress. That is the most ridiculous proposition that I have ever heard of, and, of course, it emanates from only one source. You gentlemen all know that. It emanates from the allied private applicants for a franchise here. There is no demand of this kind among the rank and file of the people. There is no demand of this kind among the daily press of San Francisco which is supposed to reflect the viewpoint of the city. There is no demand anywhere except on the part of these gentlemen who are now afraid that we are going to succeed, and they ask us, right here in the middle of the stream, with our faces pointed with every prospect of success toward the other bank, they ask us now to abandon this project and let them step in, in the stead of San Francisco, and carry on, these magic individuals, carry on to a successful conclusion the fight which they say the City of San Francisco cannot win. It is the most absurd proposition that has ever been put up to us, and I am glad that Congressman Welch is here because he can tell us what he thinks of our fight in the house in the coming session. Congressman Welch. (Applause.)

Congressman Welch: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board of Supervisors and fellow citizens: I could not help but think, while sitting here, how quickly time flies. It is two years since I resigned my membership on this Board to go to Washington. It is nearly two years since I came to the Board to say a parting word to my former colleagues. You will recall at the time that I advised closer cooperation and a better understanding between the legislative body of the City and County of San Francisco, your representatives in Congress and the Legislature of the State of California, in order that the big problems that San Francisco has yet to solve be better understood by these bodies.

San Francisco is committed to a certain policy in handling its big problems, San Francisco is committed, by the organic law of the City and County of San Francisco, its charter, to the policy of public ownership of public utilities, wherever it is possible. This is the course that we are pursuing. to give to San Francisco a bridge from Rincon Hill to Alameda county. in the bill which was prepared here and brought to Washington and given to Senator John-

son and me to introduce in Congress. Very recently a barrage has been sent up. Propaganda has gone out, that we have failed in Washington and that you have failed here, and that the only way to secure a bridge is under private ownership. My friends, ever since the charter of San Francisco was enacted, and ever since that particular provision was inserted in it, there has been determined opposition against every move that this city has made toward public ownership of any of its enterprises or projects and it is only natural that we should have it today. If we cannot build a bridge under public ownership, or at least if we cannot secure a grant from Congress to build a bridge under public ownership, if we cannot convince the departments at Washington that a bridge built under public ownership is a necessity, surely a private corporation cannot. If, by chance, we fail—and we are not going to fail—they have no chance at all. Let me read to you what the Chairman of the sub-committee on bridges of the important Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce had to say in a speech that he made before Congress on January 19, 1921, in reference to bridges and which he inserted in the record. He said, in part: "There is only one way you can avoid that and let the public know that Congress will not grant any monopoly, any exclusive right to construct bridges over any of the rivers or other navigable waters of the country. When we grant such a franchise to an individual he knows that, and the public knows it, and if any other responsible party comes to Congress and asks for a franchise to build a bridge near the same place Congress will quickly grant him that right to do so. There is one exception to this rule: if the public asks for the privilege of building a bridge at a certain location, and if some private individual also asks the right to do so, we give preference to the public as a matter of course. In other words, if a state wants to build a bridge, or if a city or county is asking for a franchise to build a bridge, we give preference to public authorities, and when we grant authority to the public to build a bridge at a particular place we will not grant a similar right to any private individual or corporation. With that exception we do not grant an exclusive right, and I am sure the members of the House, when they reflect upon this, will understand and approve the wisdom of this policy."

Mr. Dennison is the last word on bridges in the Congress of the United States. He is chairman of the sub-committee on bridges.

Now, may I ask you, my former colleagues, in all fairness, if you were to grant a franchise tomorrow to a private corporation, what chance would they have before Congress with a bridge bill? If Senator Johnson and myself were never to return to Congress, or if we were neutral while there, in my judgment a bill such as they propose introducing in Congress would not carry. If I may make a suggestion to you, it would be this: that your answer to them would be the denial of every application now pending for a privately owned bridge. My suggestion would be to create a positive militant force behind the publicly owned bridge bill that is now pending in Congress. Send a committee to Washington this winter to assist us in presenting our bill for a publicly owned bridge, particularly in the House, which is a large body consisting of 435 members. Have that committee unanimously agree to a publicly owned bridge, to a bridge of a definite type, and to a bridge at a certain location. I refer, particularly, to the bill that we now have before Congress. If that is done, my friends, our fight is far from lost. My answer to those who are attempting to stampede this Board with their foolish and absurd propaganda would be that we are going to carry on this fight for a publicly owned bridge to a finish. And we can win it if you will follow this suggestion. (Applause.)

The Chairman: Senator Johnson and Representative Welch, the Board has already, by formal action, thanked you for the splendid support that you gave to our bill in the last session of Congress, and expressed its appreciation of your very valuable help. And I am sure the Board is extremely grateful for your visit here today. We hope that you will join with us individually in our invitation to the members of the House Committee to visit San Francisco during the present summer, and to inspect in person the proposed route for our bill. Thank you very much.

Supervisor Gallagher: Mr. Chairman, as a member of the Board, I would like to express, first to our senior Senator and to our Congressman, our gratification that the coming contest, so far as we see it, finds them without opposition, and

happily so for our city and county. I would like to express, too, on behalf of the Board, our thanks for the energetic fight that they have made on behalf of the San Francisco project. It is now in a position, long hoped for, that our representatives in the city of Washington, on a matter affecting California, might be found, shoulder to shoulder, acting as a sort of a flying wedge, fighting toe to toe with anybody who desired not to give to San Francisco and to California those things to which we, as a city, are entitled. And it is a very happy sign, and I am sure it is going to have its effect in a nation-wide sense. The bridge question is not the only California institution or California movement that we need this fine and united support of these Washington representatives for, and I arose, particularly to express, as I say, gratification and commendation for the kind of fight they have made. In that connection I want to say, while they are here, that there has been proposed to this Board of Supervisors that one of its troubles in the matter of bridges will be, in a sense, the financing of the plan. I do not think the question of public ownership will be so difficult. Had it not been for the fact that they were to be present this morning, I had something to say to the Board on that subject. I have listened to his Honor, the Mayor, propose that perhaps an institution something like the Panama-Pacific Exposition directors might take in hand the business of financing the bridge for San Francisco. I have listened to a member of the Finance Committee, Mr. Havenner, say that he believed that it was entirely possible to build this bridge under public ownership and under what is known as the "Port Authority Plan." There are others who have proposed that, with the question of financing under a public ownership plan out of our way, and with a structure that will help and not hinder the work of the Senator and the Congressman, that our bridge troubles, to a certain extent, or except for federal permission, are behind us. I said that, were it not for the fact that they were present this morning, I might have been able to propose something that would meet the situation, and had it not been for the Islais Creek Reclamation project and the desire to reconcile the parties thereto so that that project could go ahead—I am glad to report to the Board that the structure for constructing a bridge under public ownership, with public supervision, and with that bridge to belong to the people, or to be the property of the people when it is completed, is nearly ready. It would have been ready this morning but for the fact that it could not be whipped into shape. When the bridge matters are being considered, I am going to ask that a period of two weeks be given in which, if this structure can be perfected—and it is nearly perfected now—it will be proposed. I am not at liberty to give the names of the gentlemen who have volunteered on the part of San Francisco to act as your agents in the construction of the bridge. In consultation with some of them on the subject of a bridge the first consideration was this: that nothing that was proposed should in any way be inimical to the efforts of our Senator and Congressman and Congresswoman from the state of California in pushing through the bill. And I think that has been worked out. And I hope the Senator and the Congressman will be in the city, as I suppose they will, for a period of the next two weeks, when I think, two weeks from today—because the Board will not meet next Monday—this plan of public ownership of a bridge involving the most favorable features of the Port Authority Plan and a public ownership bridge will be proposed. As I say, I think I may be in error, unless the figures are wrong, and if the persons who are promoting it, and who are outstanding citizens and whose integrity would not be doubted, if I do not miss my guess it may be the solution of the differences as between, first, those who are insisting that we award a franchise at once, and those who are insisting that we wait for Congressional action, and those who say that we probably will never get a bridge. That is all I ask for permission to announce that much this morning. And I think that it will meet, when proposed in its present shape at least—and I wish it were perfected—that it will meet, I hope, and I think, with the idea of contending factions on the subject of a San Francisco Bay bridge. I do not agree with my friend the Congressman that all the private applications should be thrown out. I do not think it is necessary. (Applause.) The time will come when perhaps that might be advisable, but I cannot, my friend Mr. Welch—and this is not said in a desire to criticize anything that you have said—I cannot quite bring myself

to the thought that people who have spent hundreds of thousands or thousands of dollars in preparing plans and bringing this matter in concrete shape to our attention should be pushed outside the door, as it were, simply because somebody is propagandizing in their behalf. We know, we have reason to know, in more ways than a dozen, that there are interests here who care nothing except for the fact that their particular bridge will be selected and that, regardless of what is going on, that their bridge be forwarded. And further than that, who assure us that, regardless of the power of our Senator and our Congressman and the other Congressmen in Washington, that, regardless of their standing and their power and influence, that some of these private owners could go to Washington, and, as the Chairman of our Board meeting very well says, by the wave of a magic wand, or something else, prevail upon the War Department to backtrack on its position and to grant this petition at once because it came from them. I do not believe that that is so. However, I want to close. I have talked a little longer than I should, but I want to say to you, Senator, and you, Mr. Congressman, that we admire the fight that you have been putting up. It is the kind of thing that I think has been needed around these parts for a long, long time, where we find men whom we have sent to Washington that are not afraid to stand, toe to toe, with any enemy of our interest and fight them every inch of the way. And I express here the congratulations of this Board on the work you have done so far.

Congressman Welch: Mr. Chairman, might I say, in response to the reference made to me by my friend Supervisor Gallagher in regard to denying the applications that are now pending before the Board: the proponents of privately owned bridges have fought our public enterprise, here and in Washington, all winter, and have produced copies of the calendars and minutes of this Board. They have attempted to show to members of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, before whom the House Bill is pending, that San Francisco was not sincere in its efforts to get a grant from Congress. They told the members of Congress that it was simply a gesture, and said, "Here is the evidence of it, if San Francisco were sincere in her efforts to get a grant from Congress to build a bridge, why would San Francisco, from week to week, or from fortnight to fortnight, continue on its calendar thirty-odd applications for a privately owned bridge?" I have been confronted with this propaganda. That was the reason that I suggested that you clear the slate. It is only a suggestion, my friends, I cannot order you to do it. That is a matter for your own judgment. But if I were still a member of this Board, and with my personal knowledge of conditions in Washington, I would give positive proof to the proponents of privately owned bridges who have made so much trouble for us both here and there, that San Francisco is absolutely sincere, I would say to them by voting to deny their applications that San Francisco is committed to the policy of a publicly owned bridge. (Applause.)

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman, Senator Johnson and Congressman Welch: I am not going to say one word about what thoughts I might have on a certain subject that has been fairly well heralded in and around the City of San Francisco, but I want to say a word to my colleagues and I want to say a word to the citizens present. As Chairman of the Bridge Committee I desire to state that we could not have picked out a better champion to present our bridge project to the Senate of the United States than the man whom we have picked out. And I want to say, as a Democrat, that I am more than pleased that the Republicans of the state of California have appreciated this great man's work, by not placing before the people a real serious contender. And we know that the people of this state will send back to Congress Senator Johnson, perhaps the greatest representative that this state has ever had in the Senate of the United States. I say that positively as a Democrat. I also state that, on one particular Saturday afternoon I went through quite an ordeal from the man that I am eulogizing here this morning, and I took it in good grace, and that man gave me his thoughts and his opinion in good grace at that particular time. I have taken my medicine. I have come out here. I still have the thoughts that I had, and I hope, some day, that the light will be spread to such a degree upon the members of this Board that perhaps a majority might think as I think. But, irrespective of that, I want to say to the members of

his Board, and to the citizens present, that Senator Johnson when the committee went to Washington and presented the Bridge Bill to him dropped everything. One Saturday afternoon he asked us for the entire proceedings before the House Committee and their report verbatim. I took good care to see that the stenographer wrote up that report, and all day Sunday that man worked on that report. And what was the consequence? The consequence was that the following day he made the most perfect presentation of our bill that I ever heard a human being make. And that is the type of man that we have sent to the United States Senate, and I am more than pleased to see that the people of California are going to continue to send this man to Washington. (Applause.)

I served with Congressman Welch here as a member of this Board for nearly ten years. I know the Congressman perhaps better than any man does on this Board. I have associated a great deal with him, and during my entire stay in Washington of six weeks' duration I may say that I have a private office almost in his rooms there in the House Building. Congressman Welch is a positive figure, he has proven that, he gets results. It does not matter whether it is today or tomorrow, or the next day, he will get results, and he proved that in the Federal Compensation Bill that he fought so hard for in having the salaries of those that were underpaid in the United States government service raised. He worked hard, he worked nights and he worked days at it. And I want to say he is working the same way on this particular bridge bill. And we are more than fortunate in having two such able representatives in the House and in the Senate of the United States. And I am more than pleased, sir, as a Democrat, to be able to cast my vote, as a Democrat, for an outstanding Republican, one who will represent and who has represented the Fifth District of this City and County of San Francisco so well. And I am more than pleased to think that he will continue to so represent us in the next two years.

Now, in reference to the bill that is before us, it is debatable. Just what the outcome of it will be I do not know. I have my own views, and I have great hopes that the majority members of this Board will share the views that I have expressed and that I will continue to express in reference to this cantankerous matter. (Applause.)

Senator Johnson: Before I leave may I express to this Board, and to the two gentlemen who have just addressed us, to its Chairman, and to each individual, my appreciation and my thanks for your cordiality and your generosity to me in the past, and for the remarks that have been made today. My friend who just addressed the Board and I, on a sultry Saturday afternoon, disagreed very radically in some respects. But I want to say in regard to him that there never was a time that I did not believe and I did not know that he was acting from the highest motives and with the very best of good faith, and while I disagreed with him radically in what was transpiring then, I always paid him the tribute of an absolute honesty of opinion and of absolute good faith and of acting with the very best motives that a man can act with. (Applause.)

Let me add, in saying goodbye to you for the moment, that I am at your command at any time upon this measure or upon any other. It has been asserted here that I am to have little or no contest this year. I confess I feel that there is a catch in it somewhere, I cannot quite understand because I have been fighting all my life and never got by without a bitter contest. So I am nonplused for the moment and am not able quite to understand what is transpiring, but, nevertheless, I am very grateful to all of you for what you have said today and for your commendation in the past. We will be on the job, we will be on the job for the city that we all love and everybody loves; we will be on the job until the job is done and we get the bridge. (Applause.)

(Senator Johnson and Congressman Welch retire.)

Bridge Hearing Deferred.

On motion duly made and carried the Bridge Hearing fixed for 11 a. m. was continued over until the afternoon session.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

The Clerk: The bridge matters set for a special order.

Supervisor Shannon: The matter of the bridges, Mr. Chairman, I think, in view of the statement made by Mr. Gallagher this morning, a continuance until the time that he asked, I believe he said two weeks, so that he can clear his set-up which I imagine is more or less of a financial set-up——

Supervisor Gallagher (interrupting): It is a combination set-up of finance and of control. It will cover all the points of policy involved in the construction of the bridge.

Supervisor Shannon: I was quite interested in Mr. Gallagher's statement this morning, and I think, in view of his statement, any matter connected with bridges that any member of the Board wants to present to the Board should be given a hearing. I am going to move that the further consideration of the application of the Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda be continued until Monday, July 9, 1928, and made a special order of business for 11 o'clock.

Supervisor Gallagher: Second that motion.

Supervisor McSheehy: Members of the Board and those present: Because there are a great many present who are interested in the bridge, I feel that this Board has reached a position that a stand should be taken, a stand should be taken one way or the other. Some twenty-eight-odd applicants, I believe, have been heard. I might be mistaken in my figures because there have been so many applications made from time to time, but some twenty-eight odd have been heard by this Board, and I think this Board should go on record as either favoring these applicants or not. So at this time I am going to serve notice on this Board, as Chairman of the Special Bridge Committee, that two weeks from today I will make a motion denying the applications of these various applicants who have been heard by this Board, so that a record will be taken by this Board and these applicants will know just the way they stand. I give notice to the Board two weeks from today. I am not trying to take the Board in any sudden manner at all, or attempt in any way to make some motion that would not be properly considered, so I am serving notice on the Board that, two weeks from today, I will make such a motion properly, in writing.

Supervisor Shannon: Will you submit to a question, Mr. McSheehy? I would like to ask respectfully of Mr. McSheehy, through the Chair, is it your intention, as the applicants are presented on the calendar, to ask for a vote whether we will grant or refuse to grant each one of the applications that are before us on the calendar, is that your thought?

Supervisor McSheehy: I would say this, Mr. Shannon: I will answer it in all good faith, that I will consult with the City Attorney between today and two weeks from today, my motion will be in writing and it will be after consultation with him. Whether I will follow that procedure or not at this time I could not say.

Supervisor Shannon: In the main your thought, I take it, is that you will force a vote on the applications that are before us on the calendar so that we will either deny or grant a franchise to some one of these applicants?

Supervisor McSheehy: Exactly.

The Chairman: As a member of the Bridge Committee, I would like to say, that I am thoroughly in accord with the idea suggested by Supervisor McSheehy. That idea was expressed before the Board today by Congressman Welch, who has been handling the bridge bill in the committee, and was again very strongly urged by Congressman Welch in a conversation which I had with him subsequently, he stated that the members of Congress who have been considering our bridge bill were unable to understand why the City of San Francisco, at the very time when it is making an application in its own name for permission from Congress to construct a bridge across the central portion of this bay, was continuing these numerous applications for different projects that have been before us for many

months under private ownership. The Senate Committee, on its own initiative, as was explained here by Senator Johnson and Mr. Welch, struck out any possibility of granting this franchise to private ownership and provided, of its own initiative, that the project should be a municipal project. I think that the time has come when we should dispose of these applications for franchises finally. If it be possible that this Board, in view of the status of our case before Congress, should, nevertheless, decide to grant a private franchise, let them decide on it now. Personally, it seems to be illogical and inconsistent that the City of San Francisco would withdraw from its position as the applicant before the Congress of the United States and substitute in its stead some individual or some private corporation. Both Senator Johnson and Mr. Welch, I know I violate no confidence when I say this, because they said it freely after we left here, said that it was unthinkable that such a procedure or such a policy should be adopted by this Board, and they expressed the opinion that no private applicant, under such circumstances, would ever get favorable action from Congress. Therefore I think that the time has come when we should make our decision with respect to these applications that have been pending for so many months. And I will be prepared, two weeks from today, to support any motion that Supervisor McSheehy may make.

Supervisor Gallagher: I want to thank the Board, first of all, for giving me that two weeks' continuance, and I think, from the indications here today, that we will clear the air on some of these matters. So far as I am concerned, I want to repeat what I said this morning, I cannot claim authorship of this plan. It is probably brought into being very largely by virtue of the conflicting opinions that have prevailed, to clarify the air. I am satisfied, when it is presented—and I wish I were at liberty to go further into it at this time—but I have declined to present it until the names of outstanding citizens can be given to the Board in connection therewith, I am satisfied that, when the matter is presented—and I have not any foolish dreams about the thing—that, when it is presented, the adherents of the public ownership plan, the adherents of the Port Authority plan, and I hope the adherents of the private ownership plan, will be satisfied. It will not conflict—and I express my judgment confidently on that—with what is going on in Congress. We have been looking for two things here, one is some action on the bridge and the other is action that will be in consonance with the declared pledges of the members of the Board, and we find ourselves in the turmoil of criticism because of delay which I hardly think we can overcome. I have nothing to say on the motion that Mr. McSheehy wants to present, or that you intend to second, I am trusting, and I will be prepared, unless—the only thing that will stop me from presenting this plan two weeks from today will be the fact that it has not been clarified, as I say, to representative citizens who will make their names known at that time, and that it will be presented to this Board through me, accompanied by the people that desire to sponsor it, whose names, if I could mention now, I think would cause you to give it a good deal of thought. In this connection, and I do this by request, I hold in my hand a petition, signed by the Weekly Herald, the Richmond Record, San Francisco Municipal Journal, Hayes Valley Advertiser, Fillmore District News, Divisadero District Advocate, Golden Gate Valley News, Presidio Heights Press, R. H. Norton, publisher of all above named newspapers, Eureka District News, San Francisco Inter-City Ledger, Eugene J. Chase, Sunset Courier, John P. Courter, San Francisco Tribune, Edward H. Hursbut, Community News, A. J. Cadero, Excelsior News, C. F. M. Cole, South of Army News, C. F. M. Cole, Ashbury Heights Advance, The Express, signed by A. L. Bentley (manager of above papers). Tney desire that I present Mr. Courter here and asked me if I would not be the instrument by which he might present this document, and I think he desires to ask of the Board the privilege of the floor on the subject matter. I prefer that it be read first and then you can judge as to whether the floor should be granted.

San Francisco, California.
June 16, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

As owners and publishers of San Francisco District newspapers, we are vitally interested in the profitable and continuous employment of labor, reduction of our taxes, and

the general welfare of our merchants, manufacturers and property owners.

We know that the immediate private construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay will bring to San Francisco a financial prosperity greater than any other one development which could be undertaken.

San Francisco needs the vast millions of dollars of private capital which will be expended in San Francisco, for the purchase of California bridge materials and for the employment of California labor.

Therefore, we urge you to resume hearings of private bridge applicants beginning Monday, June 25, 1928, and diligently prosecute said hearings until such time as you have granted a franchise to an applicant, for the construction of a bridge by private capital, from the vicinity of Rincon Hill to Alameda.

Yours respectfully,

The Weekly Herald, The Richmond Record, San Francisco Municipal Journal, Hayes Valley Advertiser, Fillmore District News, Divisadero District Advocate, Golden Gate Valley News, Presidio Heights Press, R. H. Norton (publisher of all above named newspapers); Eureka District News, San Francisco Inter-City Ledger, Eugene J. Chase; Sunset Courier, John P. Courter, San Francisco Tribune, Edward H. Hurlbut, Community News, A. J. Cadero, Excelsior News, C. F. M. Cole, South of Army News, C. F. M. Cole, Ashbury Heights Advance, The Express, A. L. Bentley (manager of above papers).

Supervisor Gallagher: That is signed by the folks that I mentioned. And acting upon Mr. Courter's request, I would move he be granted the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor McSheehy: I hate to object to this, to deny the privilege of the floor to any citizen, but Supervisor Gallagher knows our rules. I would not object if the Supervisor asked the gentleman some questions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I want to be fair with you, Supervisor, if you would object—and I would like you to do a little more thinking before you do—and mind you, I do not know that I am in consonance with the views that are expressed there, but, if you object, your one objection, under the rules under which I have made the motion, will preclude the hearing. The rule provides that it shall take fifteen votes to grant the floor, or, lacking fifteen members present, it shall be unanimous. I would strongly urge you, Mr. McSheehy, this being an entirely different matter than ordinarily comes before the Board, I urge you, in a friendly way, I would strongly urge you, in a matter of this kind, not to press your objection, in the interest of light.

Supervisor McSheehy: I would say this to you, Supervisor Gallagher: that same objection could be more or less urged by any citizen who would ask the privilege of the floor, and there is a certain amount of merit to it. There are a number of bridge proponents in the rear of the room, some attorneys and some engineers who would have the same right and the same privilege. I would ask this man a question if he does not mind answering it. We can get the light. May I ask this question, Mr. Courter, so that you can give the Board, if you wish, certain light? What reasons did you have in sending this in, giving this particular notice to Supervisor Gallagher?

John P. Courter: Our interest in this is purely a mental interest, that is, after a study of the various projects that have been placed before this Board, we have watched the procedure of the Board for the last two years, and every time a bridge proposal has come up it has been postponed. We do not think, in fairness to the applicants, and mind you, we are not advocating any one applicant to the Board . . . We represent, unfortunately, the smaller newspapers of the City of San Francisco, and yet we represent, especially in my district out there, the second largest voting district in the city, and these people have come to us, the various civic organizations, the various individuals, asking if we would not try to put before this Board some resolution which would get a hearing on this bridge subject. There has been but one side to the bridge so far and that has been the side which the bridge committee has put forth. Nobody else has been heard on this thing, and we would like to know a few things here. In the first place, we would like to know whether this Board is acting as a Board of Supervisors or whether they are acting as state agents in this case.

Supervisor Gallagher: That can be answered, we are acting as state agents.

Mr. Courter: Now, we would like to know also, and I would like to ask the same question which the San Francisco Chronicle asked on

this thing: how can the Supervisors as state agents pledge Congress that we will build a bridge under a public plan? If I might be permitted to read this one paragraph, and then it may turn out that we cannot build the bridge that way. "It is beyond the power of the Board of Supervisors to pledge the city in advance to build the bridge from public funds. Only the voters can decide that and they may refuse to authorize the bonds or they may have used up the bonding limit before they get to the bridge" (reading). A bridge permit issued on that basis may be worthless.

Now, I respectfully submit that this was written in the San Francisco Chronicle in August, 1927, and there was no great rush to put this private applicant—to railroad anything through this Board at that time. . . . We merely ask you, as a Board of Supervisors, as public servants, to give these applicants a fair hearing on their applications. That is all we ask. We do not want the thing railroaded through. If anybody is trying to railroad it through, it seems to me it is our bridge committee. We have a bridge committee composed of two people, one proposing a bridge and one proposing a tube plan. Whereas the third man on your bridge committee has spent so far about $50,000 to go to Washington, in the face of the fact that the War Department says they have no concrete case before them. They asked in a letter to Mr. O'Toole: "The facts are, as stated, in my telegram of December 13, 1927, inasmuch as the department has no concrete question to place before the Attorney-General, and since the presentation to him of a hypothetical question is prohibited by the rules governing his department, I am therefore unable to comply with your request." This was a letter written to John J. O'Toole, written March 20, 1928. It is signed by Dwight F. Davis of the War Department. This arrived just shortly before the people went to Washington and was buried. Now, we dug it up. It seems to me that, in a case of that kind, that our bridge committee——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): What question was asked of Mr. Davis?

Mr. Courter: "Reference is made to your request of February 15, 1928, that the Attorney-General of the United States be asked to pass upon the question raised by you in a telegram of December 13, 1927, as to the authority of the Secretary of War and Chief of Engineers to refuse approval of the plans of the bridge when local authorities have determined that a bridge should be built on a particular site."

Supervisor Marks: That was before the bridge bill was introduced in Congress, was it not?

Mr. Courter: No, I do not think so. This was on March 10th. It was long before that.

Supervisor Marks: It was before the bridge bill was acted upon by the committee, wasn't it?

Mr. Courter: This answer came the day or the day before the members of our so-called bridge committee, which we do not think was a legal bridge committee, we do not think that anybody had any right on this committee, with the exception of the Board of Supervisors acting as state agents, and yet we have spent $50,000, there is an expense account of $17,500 to Mr. McSheehy, it is not itemized, we do not know what it is, and he comes back with a tube plan and yet Mr. Havenner gets up as a champion of the people to save us a lot of money, and he cannot guarantee five cents, he cannot guarantee that the people of San Francisco are going to vote one nickel for a bridge.

Supervisor Marks: If that same query was addressed to Mr. Davis now he would have a definite matter to rule on.

Mr. Courter: What definite matter, what definite matter to present to him?

Supervisor Marks: You have the bridge bill which is actually before Congress.

Mr. Courter: You have no definite matter, you have nothing to guarantee them, you have not a franchise, you have not spent five cents in the bay for a sounding, you do not know if we can build a bridge across the bay.

Supervisor Marks: If you asked Mr. Davis now, he would not say there was nothing concrete before him.

Mr. Courter: My dear Supervisor, can we read Mr. Davis' mind? Is there anything definite before him now?

Supervisor Marks: We have his bill which is now pending before Congress.

Mr. Courter: Is that definite? We do not care what happens back there. All Congress can do to the City of San Francisco and all they

can grant Mr. Havenner and his bridge committee of two, all they can grant them is permission to go across the bay from Rincon Hill to Alameda, and that becomes a state matter and it is absolutely then up to the voters of San Francisco whether we shall have that bridge built privately or built by public ownership. Every bridge on this bay has been built by private ownership. One gentleman over there got up and congratulated the Southern Pacific for building a private bridge and now you are hollering your heads off for a public bridge. Now, where is the consistency in a thing of that kind? You cannot build your bridge across the bay without asking the voters of San Francisco what they are going to do about it. You do not control the bay, you do not control the city of Alameda, you only control the approach.

Supervisor Marks: What do you mean by your statement that the Board of Supervisors are state agents?

Mr. Courter: You are acting as state agents. If you do not know it, you should know it as a Supervisor.

Supervisor Marks: Thank you very much for your suggestion to me, but I am asking you what you mean by your statement that the Board of Supervisors are state agents?

Mr. Courter: I mean that the law distinctly states that, in a matter of this kind, in a bridge across the bay, that you are acting as state agents.

Supervisor Marks: What does that mean? You are just mouthing a certain phrase. What does that mean?

Mr. Courter: What does it mean? I ask you, you are a lawyer, I am no lawyer.

Supervisor Marks: What did you mean when you used those words?

Mr. Courter: You are acting on a state proposition and not on a proposition for the City of San Francisco, you are acting the same as you would be acting on a state highway, exactly.

Supervisor Marks: What control has the state over it?

Mr. Courter: You would have to change the laws of the state in order to get anything through, you would have to change the laws of the City of San Francisco.

Supervisor Marks: You had better read the law because you are saying——

Mr. Courter (interrupting): I have read the law, I have just as good legal advice as this Board has, in fact, we have probably better legal advice, and we have some of the most eminent engineers in the country. We have not gone on this thing after just a few minutes' notice; you cannot say, because we own a little paper out there, that we have not as much right to formulate an idea and a plan as the San Francisco Examiner, on the thing, and they are the only ones in the world who have advocated this thing here, and the words that Mr. Havenner uses are simply the editorials out of the San Francisco Examiner on this thing.

The Chairman: Just a moment, I am not going to permit that kind of thing. Will you be kind enough to prove that statement?

* * * * * * *

The Chairman: I did not accuse you or any other individual of taking money. I made the statement that it was obvious that all the advertising space that was for sale for this particular purpose had been purchased.

* * * * * * *

The Chairman: We will refer to the record.

(The reporter reads from the remarks of the Chairman made during the morning session of the Board as follows: "I think that this drive that is being made on us here today, gentlemen, and you are all aware of it, because it has been advertised in every advertising column that could be bought up around San Francisco in the last two weeks, that there is to be a drive here today, to persuade the City of San Francisco to abandon the program which it has carried on to this successful stage, to abandon it in the face of the splendid support that we have pledged to us at the next session of Congress.")

The Chairman: Now I hope that the Board will permit the expunging from the record of the statement that I accused anybody of accepting money. I said that advertising had been bought.

Supervisor McSheehy: I make that as a motion.

Supervisor Marks: What statement do you mean?

The Chairman: The statement that I had accused the gentleman or anybody of accepting money for their attitude on this thing.

Supervisor Marks: I think it should stay in the record, that is my advice to you, and your denial of it. I think the record is clear

in the matter. I think the record, as far as you are concerned, ought to stay intact.

The Chairman: My statement yes, but I think that the statement made before the Board by this gentleman that I had accused him or anybody else of accepting money for their attitude on this matter ought to be expunged because I made no such statement.

Supervisor McSheehy: I made that as a motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I second it.

The Chairman: Is there any objection? (Bringing down the gavel.)

Mr. Courter: I would like to qualify that remark by saying that he does state in there that all the advertising space for almost two weeks has been purchased by the proponents of a private bridge. I, for one, have not received five cents for any advertising space, and it has not been put in as advertising space, and therefore I took your remarks, and I am very sorry that it is being expunged from the record because the way I took your remarks, and every newspaper man in this house, every outlying newspaper man takes your remarks as saying that we have received money on advertising matter.

Supervisor Gallagher: It is a matter of interpretation. Mr. Havenner stated that all the advertising space that could be purchased was purchased. I do not think, frankly, that his remarks were intended to convey that the newspapers, or their proprietors, or editors, received any money for propagandizing. I think it is the wrong interpretation you make from the remark, that would be the way I should judge it.

Mr. Courter: Well, maybe I am wrong on that. I am fair enough to admit it if you will be fair enough to admit it.

Supervisor Marks: Has the gentleman answered your question?

Supervisor McSheehy: Yes, he has answered my question.

Supervisor Marks: I would like to ask him a few questions. You refer to the legal advice that you have had on the matter of the proceedings before this Board, and you said to the Board that your advice is from sound lawyers in San Francisco as to how the matter stands legally, is that correct?

Mr. Courter: That is correct.

Supervisor Marks: I would like the names of the persons who have advised you on legal matters, connected with bridge matters.

Mr. Courter: I do not have to disclose any names before this Board, I am not on trial here.

Supervisor Marks: I insist, Mr. Chairman, if the gentleman's statement that he has sound legal advice is to be considered as worth anything at all, that he state openly the names of the persons who gave the legal advice.

Mr. Courter: Yes, I will mention the name of Mr. Hanley.

Supervisor Marks: Who does Mr. Hanley represent?

Mr. Courter: I don't know.

Supervisor Marks: Does Mr. Hanley represent one of the applicants before the Board?

Mr. Courter: I do not think he does, if he does, I don't know it.

Supervisor Marks: Who did he give the advice to?

Mr. Courter: He gave the advice to me.

Supervisor Marks: And why did he give it to you?

Mr. Courter: Why, I asked him.

Supervisor Marks: And how did you happen to pick out Mr. Hanley for that advice?

Mr. Courter: I am looking around to find out anybody and everybody that I can on this subject.

Supervisor Marks: How did you happen to pick out Mr. Hanley and ask him for advice?

Mr. Courter: Why would you go to Mr. Andriano or any other attorney?

Supervisor Marks: Because I might consult Mr. Andriano as a lawyer.

Mr. Courter: Exactly, that is just why I went to him.

Supervisor Marks: I would like to know, Mr. Chairman, from the gentleman, why it was that, of a number of lawyers who have appeared before the Board with respect to bridge applications, this gentleman happened by mere circumstance to select Mr. Hanley, and how he happened to know that Mr. Hanley knew anything about bridge matters?

Mr. Courter: If I go to any attorney on any subject, I naturally go for what he knows, he is an attorney. Now, I do not think it is anybody's business why I go to Mr. Hanley or go to Mr. John Riordan,

who is a state attorney, or to Mr. Joseph Curley, who is also an attorney, they are both friends of mine I went to school with. Now, if I am seeking legal advice, I naturally seek the best legal advice I can, and in connection with Mr. Norton and the other members of the outlying districts we have made a thorough investigation of our premises on this bridge matter, and that is the reason why I stated that you were acting as state agents. Now, I am no lawyer, I do not profess to be an attorney on this thing, but I do know, I do take their word for it, and it has been sustained, that you are acting as state agents.

Supervisor Marks: Now, did you consult any other attorneys outside of Mr. Hanley on the matter?

Mr. Courter: I do not feel that it is any of your business who I asked on this.

Supervisor Marks: I insist upon an answer, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman: Certainly the gentleman must recognize that his statements to the Board won't have any weight, won't have any bearing here, if he refuses to disclose the source of his legal information. He makes a statement with respect to legal information and the Board of course wants to know the origin of the information, otherwise it cannot appraise it.

Supervisor Marks: I insist on an answer to my question, whether he consulted any attorney other than Mr. Hanley in the matter.

Mr. Courter: I will also mention the name of Mr. Metson, who is the attorney for Mrs. Scanlon, of the Women's Civic Center.

Supervisor Marks: Did you consult him?

Mr. Courter: I took Mr. Metson's statement from Mrs. Scanlon on these resolutions.

Supervisor Marks: You got that from Mrs. Scanlon?

Mr. Courter: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: Did you ask Mrs. Scanlon for them?

Mr. Courter: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: What was your interest in the matter?

Mr. Courter: My interest in the matter is the interest of San Francisco. I wanted to know for myself, as a taxpayer in the City of San Francisco and a newspaper man, with an investment of several thousand dollars, I wanted to know why we have not had any discussion on the applications here, why they have been postponed from time to time, and why you are spending $50,000 of the city's money going back to Washington on a hypothetical question. I had a right as a newspaper man, just as much as any other newspaper man, to delve into this thing. I am speaking for my constituents and I have delved into the matter.

The Chairman: May I ask where you get this figure of $50,000?

Mr. Courter: Yes, I have an expense account of over $41,000 here some place.

Supervisor Marks: I would like to ask you, Mr. Courter, if I may—you will pardon the interruption while it is in my mind—you know that Mr. Hanley is the attorney for Mr. Robert Lee Dunn?

Mr. Courter: I have not the slightest idea.

Supervisor Marks: Isn't it a fact that Mr. Metson represents an applicant before the Board?

Mr. Courter: I have not the slightest idea.

Supervisor Marks: Don't you know as a fact that he represents Mr. Robert Lee Dunn?

Mr. Courter: I do not know that.

Supervisor Marks: So that you did not take the trouble to find out whom these attorneys happened to represent?

Mr. Courter: Suppose they represented John D. Rockefeller, would that be any of your business?

Supervisor Marks: Well, it is my business as a public official when you presume to come before this Board and tell us what we are to do, we have the right to find out the source of your information and the nature of your interest. That is exactly what we propose to do.

Mr. Courter: What I propose to find out is why you do not do it.

Supervisors Marks: We are going to find out from you whether it was merely an accident or a circumstance that you selected these two attorneys for your advice, we are going to find out whether it is a fact that you are simply looking at this in a broad view without any interest in any one applicant.

Mr. Courter: Yes, I went into this matter through the explanation of Mrs. Scanlon of the Women's Civic Center.

Supervisor Marks: So you did not do it of your own initiative, as a newspaper man interested in the matter?

Mr. Courter: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: But you did

it at the suggestion of Mrs. Scanlon?

Mr. Courter: After Mrs. Scanlon came to me, trying to find a medium for an outlet of her club's findings in the matter, came to me, I was the first newspaper man in the City of San Francisco to take the matter up.

Supervisor Marks: And she suggested that you speak with Mr. Hanley to get your legal information?

Mr. Courter: Why certainly, why not?

Supervisor Marks: And she also suggested that you speak with Mr. Metson?

Mr. Courter: She did.

Supervisor Marks: So you did not pick these two men out?

Mr. Courter: I did not pick them out. Did I say I picked them out?

Supervisor Marks: Yes, you did.

Mr. Courter: No, I did not. I asked you why I should not pick them out.

Supervisor Marks: So these two men were simply presented to you by Mrs. Scanlon and you were sent to them and you did not seek them?

Mr. Courter: Who sends you in to your attorneys——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): Our attorneys?

Mr. Courter: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: We have the City Attorney and we rely upon him and we take his advice. You have taken advice from other attorneys.

Mr. Courter: Who were those attorneys and why did you seek them?

Supervisor. Marks: Because I took the advice of the City Attorney on the matter, who has made a study of it.

Mr. Courter: Just as I took advice from Mrs. Scanlon on the matter.

Supervisor Marks: We selected the city official who was elected by all the people of San Francisco and in whom the people of San Francisco have faith. You told the Board, at the outset, that you selected these two men, and you acknowledge now that you first statement was untrue, that you were first solicited by Mrs. Scanlon to interest yourself in the matter, and she sent you to these two attorneys, that is the fact.

Mr. Courter: That is the fact.

The Chairman: Did you make the statement that I, as an advocate of public ownership, could not guarantee the people that even five cents of public funds would ever be invested in this bridge? May I ask you whether you can guarantee us now that any one of these private applicants can finance this bridge?

Mr. Courter: I have not the slightest idea whether any applicant can finance the thing, I have no interest whatever in any private applicant for this bridge, but we do know that an immense sum of money has been spent already. Here is one on June 13th expense account of James B. McSheehy, $17,500; on December 28th, James B. McSheehy, $5,000; on February 1, 1927, J. B. McSheehy, $5000, and there is nothing in here for the rest of the committee that went back there. This is taken from the Auditor's books. Nobody's name appears down here in any capacity that I can see except there are a few small items, for Galloway $30, that is the engineer, you paid your engineer $30 and you paid Mr. McSheehy $17,500. Telegrams—and then he comes back with a tube affair. I promised Mr. McSheehy I would not talk tube, I would talk bridge.

Supervisor McSheehy: I asked the gentleman a question.

Supervisor Schmidt: You got your answer.

Supervisor McSheehy: Yes, I got my answer. A good Samaritan often gets answers that are not called for. All I can say is, because the gentleman mentioned it, I simply want to say this: the Auditor is here, that the first bridge committee that went East in June, there were nine members of the bridge committee and their fares, each and every one of them, I advanced their fares and so forth and I gave the Auditor a voucher for every dollar that I expended, and the same will be tendered to the Auditor on the last bridge bill. I received moneys from the Auditor and, in return, I advanced the moneys to every man. The last time there were eleven men went East and I advanced them their moneys and in return I gave——

Supervisor Stanton (interrupting): Did you say there were eleven men went East last time?

Supervisor McSheehy: Yes, eleven, including the three Supervisors from San Mateo, I advanced them alone $1,500.

Supervisor Stanton: The City paid for everything?

Supervisor McSheehy: Yes, the City paid. You know a great deal about it, Mrs. Scanlon, it is money well invested and will be and a voucher was given for every dollar of it. I asked this man the question, and as I said, as a good Samaritan I got my answer. That is all I want to say, but I want to particularly say to those who are present that a voucher will be tendered to the Auditor for every dollar advanced to me as Chairman of the bridge committee. I went East last year, I received $7,500 as an expense account and I gave the Auditor a voucher for every dollar of that expenditure and every dollar that I advanced for the various men that went on the committee.

Supervisor Gallagher: I do not think anybody is questioning your expenses.

Mrs. Scanlon: I wanted to have the privilege——

The Chairman (interrupting): Mrs. Scanlon, you know the rules of the Board as well as I do. I do not desire to discriminate against you, and I am not so doing, but you must adhere to the rules of the Board. Does anybody desire to move for Mrs. Scanlon the privilege of the floor?

Supervisor Shannon: I move the privilege of the floor for Mrs. Scanlon.

Supervisor Schmidt: I second that motion.

The Chairman: Any objection?

Supervisor McSheehy: I do object, Supervisor, I am not going to ask Mrs. Scanlon the question, I asked one question, I asked Supervisor Gallagher if he wished to ask the gentleman a question, as he was representing a newspaper that he should have a certain right to answer certain questions. I asked him the question, and I did not interrupt him at all. If Mr. Shannon wants to ask Mrs. Scanlon a question, I have no objection to it, but I hope that Mrs. Scanlon will answer the question and that is all.

Supervisor Shannon: I would like to ask Mrs. Scanlon a question: Mrs. Scanlon, have you a question you would like to ask some member of the Board?

Mrs. Scanlon: Thank you, Supervisor, yes. I want to say to Supervisor Shannon, through the Chair, that we are very much interested and—inasmuch as my name has been used as president of the Women's Civic Center and as a taxpayer of San Francisco, I want to say why we are interested in this matter.

Supervisor Shannon: I would like to ask you, why you were interested in this matter.

Mrs. Scanlon: Thank you, Supervisor Shannon. I will be very glad to answer it, inasmuch as the taxpayers pay the bill and the expense payers are outside the rail and the expense account is inside the rail, and we are very much interested when we see here on this paper $41,503 expense and then there has been no survey, no soundings, nor anything upon the bay, and there has been no specific matter placed before the War Department. In answer to that question of Supervisor Marks I might say this: That there was a letter, and it is on record down in Colonel Jackson's office, it was sent by the War Department as a matter of record to keep their files right up to date. In answer to City Attorney O'Toole's letter, why they would not grant a permit for a bay bridge, the War Department wrote back and said they could not pass upon anything except a specific matter, and there was no franchise taken from this Board who alone has the right of granting a franchise. There was neither a public franchise nor a private franchise and if we are going to have another big bill here we would like to know where the money is coming from.

Supervisor Marks: If the money that was spent by our committee to go back to Washington to get Congress to pass a bill for a publicly owned bridge had been spent in behalf of a private franchise and a private applicant, would you still be objecting?

Mrs. Scanlon: It would not be necessary.

Supervisor Marks: Would you object to that?

Mrs. Scanlon: To what, to a privately owned bridge?

Supervisor Marks: You were objecting and Mr. Courter to the fact that money was spent to send a committee back to Washington on the bridge matter. Would you have interposed an objection to sending a bridge committee back to Washington if the objective of the city was not to get a publicly owned bridge, but a privately owned bridge?

Mrs. Scanlon: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: You still would have objected?

Mrs. Scanlon: To this extent: I have to qualify that, Supervisor Marks, to make myself clear. Now there is a befogging of this matter which should be brought to the attention of the public. The people think that if there is a publicly owned bridge that they will not have to pay tolls, whereas, as a matter of fact, you pay tolls whether you have it publicly or privately built, and the minute you put one nail in your bridge it becomes a public bridge. Now, we want to know where the money is coming from to build this bridge. The people are not going to stand for any more bonds at this time. We have in the City and County of San Francisco now a budget of over thirty million dollars and where is the money coming from to build this bridge? You cannot contract for steel or lumber unless you have the money. Now, we would like to know as citizens where the money is coming from?

Supervisor Gallagher: Are you familiar with the port authority plan?

Mrs. Scanlon: I have studied the port authority plan in a measure because it was pointed out to me.

Supervisor Gallagher: Does not that port authority plan indicate to you where the money would come from?

Mrs. Scanlon: Yes, Supervisor. It does not seem, after investigating, that it is going to be very feasible to this extent: that the port authority means going up to the Legislature.

Supervisor Gallagher: Never mind explaining it, I know it, but does not the port authority plan provide means of obtaining money without a bond issue by the counties involved?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, we had an instance of that——

Supervisor Gallagher (interrupting): Why don't you answer my question, does it or does it not?

Mrs. Scanlon: The city has to put up a certain percentage of it.

Supervisor Gallagher: What does the city put up?

Mrs. Scanlon: About 20 per cent.

Supervisor Gallagher: Where in the port authority plan does the city put up anything?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, Supervisor Gallagher——

Supervisor Gallagher (interrupting): All right, show me.

Mrs. Scanlon: I have not——

Supervisor Gallagher (interrupting): Right there show me where in the port authority plan.

Supervisor McSheehy: I do not want to rise to a point of order, but I would say this, Supervisor Gallagher, and to Mrs. Scanlon, in all fairness you yourself are going to bring in, two weeks from today, a resolution which will give us certain enlightenment as to how——

Supervisor Gallagher (interrupting): But that is not a point of order.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will say this, I think this is not germane to the question at issue at this time—go ahead.

Supervisor Gallagher: I wanted Mrs. Scanlon to know that, under the port authority plan, the counties involved are not assessed nor are their properties liened. Now, you want to get that straight, the money is first advanced by virtue of state aid. Thereafter the bridge pays its way, that is the port authority plan. Now, whether you think the state will advance it is not the question. I ask you where in the port authority plan is San Francisco, Alameda, Berkeley or Oakland, who will be combined I presume under it, where are they taxed and where do they put up any money?

Mrs. Scanlon: There is no taxation coming, there is no taxation coming to any one county, if it is public owned, and they will have tolls just the same.

Supervisor Gallagher: Have you any notion anywhere that any bridge we are going to build at any time publicly or privately owned will be without tolls?

Mrs. Scanlon: No.

Supervisor Gallagher: All right, that settles that.

Mrs. Scanlon: Supervisor Gallagher, I might ask you this question: In the Golden Gate bridge, didn't they put districts out there, and didn't those various counties that thought it was all right first try to withdraw?

Supervisor Gallagher: That is an entirely different matter. In the Golden Gate bridge that was a bridge district organized under the State. We have not determined yet under what plan this bridge will be organized, but I was asking you about the port authority plan and you cleared it, and I wanted to clear it for your sake. The port authority plan does not contemplate taxation upon the cities and towns involved under the port authority

plan in the building of this bridge. The Marin County bridge is a bridge district and is an entirely different arrangement.

Mrs. Scanlon: Our interest in this is, we want the bridge, but we do not want the expense upon the taxpayers. If there is to be, we are not willing for any bridge. There are thirty-eight applicants. You yourselves put out money and got the engineers here, and selected the site of Rincon Hill, that being selected, you went to Washington for the bridge. Now, if you are asking for a publicly owned bridge you have not so stated in here on this Board whether you are going to have a publicly or privately owned bridge. Now, we feel that there should be a bridge across the bay, we would like to see the employment of men, we would like to see the money spent here, we would like to see all the materials for the butcher, the baker and the candlestick maker.

Supervisor Gallagher: If a plan were proposed here providing for the building of a bridge from San Francisco to Oakland at an early date, without one cent of cost to the taxpayer, and without state aid, you would favor such a bridge, wouldn't you?

Mrs. Scanlon: Sure.

The Chairman: May I ask a question: Mrs. Scanlon, do you favor, in the event that a private franchise should be granted here, do you favor the eventual public ownership of that bridge?

Mrs. Scanlon: Yes, Supervisor. My understanding—let me explain just what we think, now we might be wrong and I would like to have the right. After all, if we have this discussion. I am sorry we did not have it here when Senator Johnson and Congressman Welch were here this morning, because I think laying the cards down on the table, if we are wrong let us get together. But after all it is the best for San Francisco that we want. Now we think that in our investigations as we made them here, we were told that, if a privately owned bridge were built they would put up the money, that is the conditions would be met according to the specifications of both this Board of Supervisors and also the War Department, granting that all conditions on that are favorable and right, which would be expected, that they, would be complied with, then that in granting of that franchise, that you people naturally would look for the interests of San Francisco, and regulate the tolls, regulate the place that it was to go over and amounts and so forth of that bridge, and that in a certain length of time, whatever time the life of that franchise was, and then you would get it, and then, too, there would be so much percentage of the tolls laid aside for the number of years, and at the end of those years it automatically comes to the city free. That was our thought on the privately owned bridge which would come to the city as a public bridge. Now, that is our idea in the matter. If we are misinformed it is all right.

The Chairman: You want it to remain in private ownership until it is completely paid for?

Mrs. Scanlon: No, we are not bound, the city would have that privilege of taking it over whenever it chose.

The Chairman: Suppose at some future time the city would decide to take it over, how would you suggest that we then avoid the necessity of putting some burden on the taxpayers?

Mrs. Scanlon: Supervisor, I am very glad you asked that question because there would not be any doubting Thomases about the bottom of the bay, about spending $500,000 to see whether the mud was down deep enough, and you would have a bridge in reality.

The Chairman: I want you to answer the financial question, if you please, how are we going to avoid the necessity of putting some burden on the taxpayers?

Mrs. Scanlon: That is a very different thing, when you have a real paying thing there would not be any difficulty about it at all. The sooner we get it the better for the taxpayers. And I would like at this time to file this from the members of organizations in San Francisco. We have here all these various organizations. With the permission of the Chairman I will read them, organizations that have backed this up——

The Chairman (interrupting): Mrs. Scanlon, just a moment.

Supervisor Shannon: Mrs. Scanlon is endeavoring to answer a question that I asked her. I think that I have been very kind to the members of the Board and permitted a number of interruptions, and now, without further interruption I would like to have my question answered.

Mrs. Scanlon: What is that, Supervisor?

Supervisor Shannon: As long as you have forgotten the question maybe I have. What are the names of those organizations?

Mrs. Scanlon: No, Supervisor, I understood.

Supervisor Marks: I would like to ask you a question before you read that statement.

Supervisor Shannon: Only one question at a time.

(Mrs. Scanlon reads list of names copied in hereafter).

Supervisor Marks: What did you say about them? You say they have endorsed your plan?

Mrs. Scanlon: They have endorsed the privately owned bridge to become a public bridge, built by private capital, and to eventually go to the city as a public bridge.

Supervisor Marks: Is that what that says?

Mrs. Scanlon: May I read it?

Supervisor Marks: Just that part of it, I would like to know what they say about it.

Mrs. Scanlon (reading):

Whereas, we, the duly chosen representatives of Civic Associations, have become convinced

1st, that further effort to obtain Federal Congressional authority for a bridge to the East Bay region from our city will be an idle waste of time;

2d, that any effort within the reasonably near future to finance and construct this bridge under public auspices will lead to nothing but delay and expense;

3d, that under the established procedure and law in this State, public interests are fully protected by authorizing the construction by private interests of a toll bridge which, upon completion, becomes public property subject only to the right of the private interests to collect such tolls as may be permitted by the competent authorities, to procure a reasonable return upon their invested capital; and

Whereas, there have been before our Board of Supervisors, the competent state agency to make such a grant, for two years or more past, numerous applications for franchises to construct this bridge in accordance with plans to meet such requirements therefor as the Supervisors may determine; and the Board of Supervisors have had in its possesion now for thirteen months the report of a specailly appointed board of eminent engineers recommending the type of structure and the vicinity in which such a structure should be erected, i. e., from at or near Rincon Hill to the Alamedo Mole; and,

Whereas, from time to time additional applications for bridge franchises are submitted in due form to our Board of Supervisors which, under State requirements, must be duly heard by said Board; with no method of preventing further applications being submitted by other interests (either in good faith to construct a bridge or in bad faith simply to delay effective action) so long as the policy of our Board of Supervisors is to not determine any application until all applications have been heard; since each application must be individually acted upon and granted or refused; and

Whereas, of the applications before the Board, many have been heard and many have not been heard as required by State law, in both of which heard and unheard classes are applications for authority to erect the structure at the site recommended by our special board of engineers, in forms which permit the Supervisors to prescribe the type and capacity of bridge; and

Whereas, it seems that among both such classes of applications there are some which lacked or lack essential jurisdictional qualifications in their submission to permit them to be favorably acted upon (even extending to the inclusion of types of structure which are in no sense within the authority of our Board of Supervisors to consider); and

Whereas, the most effective way of preventing further submission of unmeritorious applications and of curtailing the time of hearing of applications already submitted and not yet heard is to make a grant as soon as possible; and

Whereas, the administrative adjustments needed will require at best several weeks after a grant has been made before physical construction or work can begin, with the expenditures for the benefit of San Francisco labor and business interests that this would cause; and

Whereas, it is obvious that stimulant or tonic for next winter's local labor employment and business is desirable or even necessary, and therefore that immediate action now is essential to permit the work on this bridge structure to serve as such; and

Whereas, the results of the election last fall on the question as to so-called public ownership vs. so-

called private ownership of the bridge were in numbers totally inadequate to serve in any sense as an index of the popular desire on the subject, not through mere technicality of our charter requirements, but through definitely well-thought-out provisions thereof to prevent, through requirements of proportionate votes, radical minorities from committing the stable majority to untried and expensive public engagements in business of problematical success; and

Whereas, to be successful a bridge structure of the type considered must necessarily largely replace the present perpetually privately owned ferries, which contribute substantial revenues under State taxation laws, on percentages of their gross income, to the State Treasury, and it is therefore desirable, to avoid unbalancing the State revenues (and incurring the opposition of rural interests through the threat thereof) that the bridge should be constructed on a basis that will permit State taxes from its operation to properly replace those that will be lost from the ferry discontinuance; and

Whereas, our studies have convinced us that the Federal officials must and will approve a reasonable bridge structure upon a site properly authorized by the Supervisors; therefore, be it

Resolved, That our Supervisors are hereby urged

1st, to discontinue all further effort and expense to procure federal legislation for a bridge;

2d, to discontinue all further delay and expense toward consideration of a publicly constructed bridge;

3d, to proceed at once to consider and act upon all the applications now properly before them (and duly heard) and determine their action on each such application; and

4th, thereafter to hear and determine in succession, each application before the Board of Supervisors that has not yet been heard.

5th, to reject at once when heard each application for a site not in the vicinity of Rincon Hill.

6th, to thus accomplish the end that there may be granted to the best qualified applicant, at the earliest possible moment, the necessary franchise authority to construct the necessary bridge at the approved site for the benefit of all concerned; and be it further

Resolved, That the secretary of this meeting at once mail a copy of this resolution to each member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and that a committee of five members be appointed by the temporary chairman of this meeting to present a copy of this resolution to the Board of Supervisors at its meeting on Monday, June 25, 1928, heretofore set by the Board of Supervisors for further consideration of these bridge applications, and to then and there orally forcefully support the principles hereby established.

ALLIED CIVIC ASSOCIATION'S BRIDGE COMMITTEE

California Transfer and Storage Association.

Citizens Bridge Committee.

Divisadero Street Property Owners' Association.

Draymen's Association.

Geary Street Merchants' Association.

Golden Gate Valley Improvement Association.

Haight-Fillmore District, Inc.

Inner Circle Business Clubs.

Italian Chamber of Commerce.

North Beach Merchants' Association.

Northern Federation of Civic Associations.

Ocean View Community Club.

Park-Presidio Improvement Association.

Portola District Merchants' Association.

Produce Men's Club.

Property Owners' Association of North Beach.

San Francisco Exchange of Pacific Coast Merchant Tailors Association.

Sunset Progressive Club.

San Francisco District Newspapers.

The San Francisco Open Forum.

Wholesale Fruit and Produce Men's Credit Association.

Women's Civic Center.

Western Addition Lions Club.

Bridge and Structural Ironworkers, Union No. 32.

Park-Presidio Kiwanis Club.

Wholesale Fruit and Produce Merchants' Credit Association."

Supervisor Marks: Who prepared that document?

Mrs. Scanlon: This document?

Supervisor Marks: Yes.

Mrs. Scanlon: Mr. Metson has been attorney for me for about thirty years.

Supervisor Marks: That was prepared by Mr. Metson?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, Mr. Metson has got in consultation with various articles, I have asked legal advice,

and they are legally drawn by Mr. Metson——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): I want to know——

Mrs. Scanlon (interrupting): This is gotten up——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): My question is very, very clear: you hold in your hand a typewritten document with a manuscript back and it has certain signatures on it. We want to know who prepared the document.

Mrs. Scanlon: We gave our ideas, this committee that we had, these various representatives that met in the Whitcomb Hotel the other night, and we had a meeting prior to that with the Downtown Association, Mr. Marvin and Mr. Carroll and various other representatives from—there were representatives from, I do not know how many different organizations.

Supervisor Marks: It says there at the end that the resolution was adopted at a certain meeting on a certain evening.

Mrs. Scanlon: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: Now, obviously, that paper was all prepared before you met?

Mrs. Scanlon: No, no.

Supervisor Marks: When was it physically typewritten before the signatures?

Mrs. Scanlon: At the second meeting—at the first meeting—between the first meeting and the second meeting.

Supervisor Marks: And who dictated it?

Mrs. Scanlon: What is that?

Supervisor Marks: And who dictated it?

Mrs. Scanlon: We got all our data together and then put it into the proper shape.

Supervisor Marks: Who did that?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, I did it.

Supervisor Marks: May I see it a moment? Are you sure that you prepared this paper?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, I brought that paper in.

Supervisor Marks: As long as you say you prepared this paper——

Mrs. Scanlon: The ideas in that thing were gotten together by our committee and then we got those up and took them down to have them prepared so as to have them in legal fashion and gave it in to Mr. Metson's office and it was prepared and then I brought it to the meeting.

Supervisor Marks: Mr. Metson prepared it?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, probably, I won't say that he prepared it, the legal phraseology, I am not a lawyer.

Supervisor Marks: Let me ask you what this clause means, "Where, of the applications before the Board many have been heard" no, the next one—"Whereas, it seems that among both such classes of applications there are some which lacked or lack essential jurisdictional qualifications." Now, you did not prepare that yourself?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, we certainly —no—that Rincon Hill has been adopted by this Board and these engineers.

Supervisor Marks: You did not dictate that language?

Mrs. Scanlon: No, we know just what it means, but we do not know the exact language; we know the policy of it, you know that yourself, we know what we are talking about.

Supervisor Marks: Well, I would like to ask, Mr. Chairman, of Mrs. Scanlon, or Mr. Courter, by whom is Mr. Metson employed in these bridge matters?

Mrs. Scanlon: I do not know what—Mr. Metson was doing it for me, I am talking for myself.

Supervisor Marks: Is anybody paying him for his services?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, I have no money to pay him, I can tell you that, because nobody ever pays me.

Supervisor Marks: Do you know that anybody is paying him for his services?

Mrs. Scanlon: Not that I know of.

Supervisor Marks: He has been advising you on these matters just gratuitously?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, Mr. Metson has been my attorney for years, I go to Mr. Metson like I go to my own father.

Supervisor Marks: So that he does not represent your organization, he merely represents you?

Mrs. Scanlon: He represents me.

Supervisor Marks: And personally.

Mrs. Scanlon: Personally, but then we got into a meeting there, and we are meeting as representatives of various organizations, it was not only our little organization; Mr. Norton was there, Mr. Pryor was there, Mr. Cole was there, Mr. Marvin of the Foreign Trades, Mr. Davis of the district, Mr.—I could

not begin to tell how many there were.

Supervisor Marks: There is no doubt in your mind, is there, that all the language of that paper was prepared by Mr. Metson?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, the phraseology was put in there by Mr. Metson, but the principles——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): Do you understand all the phraseology of that document?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, when I see it and read it, certainly, I have got good sense.

Supervisor Marks: Did all of the organizations that signed that understand fully what they were signing?

Mrs. Scanlon: That was discussed; that was acted upon, each one of those articles in there, and certainly that is the gist of it. We have been working on this, Mr. Marks, we went to the bankers, we went to the lawyers, we went to the engineers, we have not done this today or yesterday.

Supervisor Marks: How long have you been working on it?

Mrs. Scanlon: Since last fall.

Supervisor Marks: Why have you been spending your time since last fall on this matter?

Mrs. Scanlon: Why do we do anything? We would not have a house or home over ourselves if we did not do something. We wanted some labor, we wanted some material, we see that the taxpayers of San Francisco are the fairy godmothers down the peninsula, and half our earning power sleeps across the Bay, and they have no further interest in this city, and we would like to see something started whereby we would have more payrolls.

Supervisor Marks: Did Mr. Hanley take any part in the preparation of that document?

Mrs. Scanlon: No.

Supervisor Marks: Has he been advising your organization on it?

Mrs. Scanlon: No.

Supervisor Marks: There is some mention made about the engineering advice which you have had. What engineers have been advising you on the bridge matters?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, we spoke to various engineers. The engineers that I have spoken to, a good many engineers, I meet them in Mr. Metson's office because I have known Mr. Metson since I was that high, I have been in Alaska through the time of the "Spoilers," and at the time that he was the attorney and cleaned up San Francisco, my husband was a mining man; I have been dealing with engineers all my life.

Supervisor Marks: Let me have the names of some of the engineers that have been advising you on bridge matters.

Mrs. Scanlon: With reference to engineers advising, I listened to the engineers when they came here. I came here at every meeting when the engineers were talking; I read every report that I could. The first job that Chief O'Shaughnessy ever got in San Francisco my uncle gave him. I listened to Chief O'Shaughnessy.

Supervisor Marks: You mentioned, I think, incidentally, that you met some engineers up at Mr. Metson's office.

Mrs. Scanlon: Yes, sir.

Supervisor Marks: What engineers did you meet up in Mr. Metson's office?

Mrs. Scanlon: I met Colonel Rand.

Supervisor Marks: Now we are getting something very interesting. You met Colonel Rand up in Mr. Metson's office, didn't you?

Mrs. Scanlon: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: And you discussed bridge matters, didn't you?

Mrs. Scanlon: Why, certainly, because I was interested, I talked to everybody I met.

Supervisor Marks: So Mr. Metson, the attorney, and Mr. Rand, the engineer, and you met in Mr. Metson's office about bridge matters?

Mrs. Scanlon: Oh, no; I was going in upon other matters, and he was probably waiting to see Mr. Metson on some matter.

Supervisor Marks: What is Mr. Rand's connection with the bridge matter?

Mrs. Scanlon: I do not think I spoke to Colonel Rand three times in my life, I spoke to Mr. Burton over here.

Supervisor Marks: That is Mr. Rand sitting down next to Mr. Hanley.

Mrs. Scanlon: I know him when I see him.

Supervisor Marks: And Mr. Hanley represents Robert Lee Dunn, doesn't he?

Mrs. Scanlon: I do not know about that.

Supervisor Marks: Mr. Rand is

the engineer for Robert Lee Dunn, isn't he?

Mrs. Scanlon: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: And you met Mr. Rand up in Mr. Metson's office?

Mrs. Scanlon: I also spoke to Col. Webb up in Mr. Metson's office.

Supervisor Marks: And whom does he represent?

Mrs. Scanlon: I do not know; I am not interested who they represent. If I met him up there I would talk about the bridge.

Supervisor Marks: At all events, this paper was prepared by Mr. Metson.

Mrs. Scanlon: No—now, Supervisor don't you put any words in my mouth.

Supervisor Marks: No, I am trying to take them out of your mouth.

Mrs. Scanlon: I do not know, Mr. Supervisor——

Supervisor Marks: Mrs. Scanlon, Mr. Metson had something to do with preparing that paper?

Mrs. Scanlon: To this extent——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): And the engineers that you spoke to about the bridge you met in Mr. Metson's office?

Mrs. Scanlon: Incidentally.

Supervisor Marks: And they included, among others, Mr. Rand and Mr. Webb?

Mrs. Scanlon: I spoke at the Board meeting here, I spoke to these men before I even knew who they were. Now, Supervisor Marks, I want you to clearly understand my position in this.

Supervisor Marks: Was Mr. Dunn up there, by the way, when you spoke to Mr. Metson?

Mrs. Scanlon: No.

Supervisor Marks: Just his representatives were up there, is that the fact?

Mrs. Scanlon: Well, I do not know who they are. I want to say, Supervisor Marks, that when we got this, after acting in our committee, I took the facts and the data that we had gathered together and took them up there to be put into the proper shape to be presented to this Board, and Mr. Metson's name is signed there, with his opinion about the committee that went to Washington, you have it on file right here, signed by Mr. Metson.

Supervisor Marks: Frankly, you sat here in the Board many, many weeks and heard the bridge matters being discussed?

Mrs. Scanlon: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: Is there any question in your mind that Mr. Hanley represents Robert Lee Dunn?

Mrs. Scanlon: I do not really know.

Supervisor Marks: Don't you know that, is there any question in your mind that Mr. Rand represents Robert Lee Dunn, you sat all through the bridge hearings?

Mrs. Scanlon: I sat through all the bridge hearings, no——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): Didn't you ask them when you happened to meet them up at Mr. Metson's office what they were doing there?

Mrs. Scanlon: There are thirty-eight applicants on Rincon Hill. Now, if you put me under oath I could not tell you who they are; I am not interested in that; what we are interested in and what our women's organizations are interested in is to have the money spent in San Francisco for payrolls, for opening up the steel works, and I spoke to Mr. Burton of the Structural Steel.

Supervisor Marks: What other engineers did you meet up at Mr. Metson's office?

Mr. Burton: She did not meet me there.

Supervisor Marks: She said she did not meet any others. You met Mr. Rand and you met Mr. Webb and you talked bridge matters?

Mrs. Scanlon: In an off-hand manner.

The Chairman: Will the Sergeant-at-Arms preserve the rules of this Board?

Supervisor Marks: I am merely repeating Mrs. Scanlon's statement that when she went to Mr. Metson's office she met Mr. Rand and she met Mr. Bebb and talked bridge matters.

Mrs. Scanlon: I did not discuss this with Mr. Webb, I saw Mr. Webb come in up there to see Mr. Metson. You asked me if I saw any engineers up there and I did, and I saw him up there, he come in probably to see Mr. Metson on business. Anybody that goes up there has to wait in the outer office, isn't that correct, Colonel? I never discussed the matter with him, but I saw him up there in Mr. Metson's office.

Supervisor Marks: Any engineering advice that you had on the matter was from Mr. Rand?

Mrs. Scanlon: No, we heard all the opinions when we heard, what is his name, that went to Washington, the engineers that went to Washington, we listened to them all here, every one of them I have listened to all those engineers on that bridge all the time that they had the engineers here, the Modjeski-Davies bridge——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): May I ask you, how often did you meet Mr. Rand up in Mr. Metson's office?

Mrs. Scanlon: Only once.

Supervisor Marks: Was that by appointment?

Mrs. Scanlon: No; as I told you, you go into the outside office and you wait to go in there and anybody that happens to come in you meet them.

Supervisor Marks: You just happened to go there to Mr. Metson's office and you happened to see Mr. Rand there and you happened to talk about bridges?

Mrs. Scanlon: No, if you don't believe me—all these various engineers came up to all of the meetings, too, when we had a women's meeting; there were different people came in there, and I do not know who the half of them were that come in there when we advertised that we were going to have a meeting on the bridge.

Supervisor Marks: I would like to ask Mr. Courter a question: You referred to taking engineering advice on the matter of the bridge. What engineering advice did you get?

Mr. Courter: What engineering advice I got, I got from Colonel Rand.

Supervisor Marks: How did that happen?

Mr. Courter: Colonel Rand came out to my office.

Supervisor Marks: So the engineer that Mrs. Scanlon met up in Mr. Metson's office is the same engineer that you got your advice from?

Mr. Courter: I have not the slightest idea whom Mrs. Scanlon or whom she consulted, and I think you are rather insulting, myself.

Supervisor Marks: I do not care what you think, but we are going to get the truth. You can attribute to my statement anything you want, or any characterization, but we are getting very interesting facts developed. The engineer that you consulted was Colonel Rand?

Mr. Courter: Absolutely.

Supervisor Marks: And that is the only engineer that you consulted?

Mr. Courter: That is the only engineer that I have consulted.

Supervisor Marks: And why did you happen to consult an engineer?

Mr. Courter: Well, he came to my office.

Supervisor Marks: He came to you?

Mr. Courter: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: And you have not sought any other engineering advice?

Mr. Courter: I have not seen Mr. Rand but once.

Supervisor Marks: Do you know Mr. Rand's connection with any of these bridge projects?

Mr. Courter: I have not the slightest idea what his connections are or whom he represents. He can state that. I know, through other people, that he is one of the most eminent engineers in the United States.

Supervisor Marks: You did not learn whom he represented?

Mr. Courter: No.

Supervisor Marks: That he represented Robert Lee Dunn's bridge?

Mr. Courter: No.

Supervisor Marks: And you did not ask him?

Mr. Courter: No.

Supervisor Marks: It did not make any difference to you?

Mr. Courter: No; it is nothing to me who gets the application.

Supervisor Marks: How did Colonel Rand come to pick you out?

Mr. Courter: Ask him.

Supervisor Marks: All right. Colonel Rand, how did it happen that you picked out Mr. Courter?

Colonel Rand: I will be very glad to answer that question. About two months ago I addressed Mrs. Scanlon's Women's Center, or something of that kind, and as a result of the talk which I gave them they appointed a committee, consisting of herself and Mrs. McLellan and Mrs. Whitcomb, I believe, and I do not know whom else. On the following day I was told that Mrs. McLellan lived out near Mr. Courter, who was interested in the Sunset-Parkside News, I think it is, I had never heard of it before, and so I thought I would ask him if he would print some information, and I telephoned out there and got an

appointment with Mr. Courter and I went out there that evening, and I told him that we had compiled a lot of information and that we had studied the matter before the Board for two years and we were perfectly willing to submit all our stuff, we did not ask him to believe a word we said, but we hoped he would verify it, we were so confident we were right, we would give it to him. It happened that that was the night of the Supreme Court decision in the Judah street matter, and, as you possibly have in mind, that was a matter of somewhat intense interest in Mr. Courter's office, and there were three or four people around there all the time, and I did not have very much of a chance to talk. The day following was the day that Mr. McSheehy sprung that brilliant tube plan of his, and the main subject for discussion was, why this tube? And Mr. McSheehy presented it very forcibly, I will admit——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): This is all in answer to my question?

Colonel Rand: Absolutely in answer to your question. I am narrating that discussion, and we discussed, primarily, the bridge and the tube subject, and just then, as we were about to reach the point of what my interest in it was, a mass of citizens, I would say a mass, a bunch of citizens came in with the report that they had just seen, in the early editions of the morning paper on the street that the Judah street matter was going to be held up another thirty days pending a rehearing and I was interrupted before I had a chance to explain fully just what I had in mind in the whole matter, but he had asked me to submit him a little stuff and the following day I sent him a couple of sheets of paper, I have forgotten now just what was on it, primarily a demonstration of the fact that Mr. McSheehy's tube scheme was so utterly foolish that it did not deserve a discussion.

Supervisor Marks: Do you remember how you met Mrs. Scanlon up in Mr. Metson's office?

Colonel Rand: I do not very vividly because I have been going to Mr. Metson's office, he is a very intimate personal friend of mine and has handled a great many matters for me in the past eight years.

Supervisor Marks: Is he handling this bridge matter for you?

Colonel Rand: No, sir, he is not, as far as I do know, and I do not think he has received one cent compensation on the bridge matters.

Supervisor Marks: You and Mrs. Scanlon talked about bridge matters?

Colonel Rand: I do not recall that we did talk about bridge matters.

Supervisor Marks: Mrs. Scanlon says she got her engineering advice from you.

Colonel Rand: She did not say she got it from me in the presence of Mr. Metson just the same. We met in the outer office, my first knowledge of Mrs. Scanlon's name, I had seen her up at the Supervisors almost for the year and a half that I had been up here representing bridge matters, one evening when some parking station—when some parking station permit on Eddy street was up here, Mrs. Scanlon was out before the Board, Mrs. Scanlon came to me and happening to know that I was a friend of Mr. Metson's, asked me to ring up Mr. Metson that night and tell him that this thing was going to come up again in a night meeting. And before that I had never heard of Mrs. Scanlon's name and she had nodded to me, and I had nodded to her as I do to many other ladies that sit along this line. And I asked Mr. Metson if Mrs. Scanlon was a friend and client of his and he said she was an oldtime friend. From that day until some six or eight weeks ago possibly, I do not think I ever connected her particularly with Mr. Metson. One evening about 4 or 5 o'clock, as I recall it, I went in to Mr. Metson's office to ask him some questions——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): Questions about bridges?

Colonel Rand: I do not recall now just what my object in seeing Mr. Metson that afternoon was.

Supervisor Marks: Do you know any other business you had with Mr. Metson, other than bridges?

Colonel Rand: Yes, I have had a good deal of business with Mr. Metson, but they are personal matters which you are not concerned in——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): I merely asked you whether it was with respect to bridges or anything else.

Colonel Rand: I do not recall what the object was that afternoon, of course, Mr. Metson and I have very frequently talked over this bridge situation. And what little I know on the subject is the result of Mr. Metson's guidance.

Supervisor Marks: Mr. Metson has been guiding you, I am not mentioning bridge matters——

Colonel Rand (interrupting): Mr. Metson has been giving me the advantage of his wide public experience in those things in this city.

Supervisor Marks: And on bridge matters?

Colonel Rand: On bridge matters and other public matters, yes.

Supervisor Marks: I would like to ask Mr. Robert Lee Dunn a question, with your permission, Mr. Chairman. I would like to ask Mr. Dunn, what is Mr. Metson's relationship to the bridge matters before the Board?

Mr. Dunn: About three years ago Mr. Metson was my attorney in the bridge matter and since that time he has not been. For the past three years he has not advised me on the bridge matters at all.

Supervisor Marks: Three years ago he did help you in a bridge matter?

Mr. Dunn: He did prepare the first application in a bridge matter. Mr. Metson does not take any particular interest in outside work——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): He has taken some little interest in writing letters to this Board and drawing up documents for Mrs. Scanlon's organizations to sign, at least that time he was interested in bridge matters. And three years ago he was your attorney in bridge matters, and Colonel Rand consults Mr. Metson on bridge matters, and Colonel Rand and Mrs. Scanlon meet in Mr. Metson's office on bridge matters.

Mr. Dunn: That is their own private business.

Mr. Webb: Mr. Chairman, may I ask——

Supervisor Marks (interrupting): I move the privilege of the floor.

The Chairman: Is there any objection?

Mr. Webb: My name has been mentioned. I want to make the statement that I have not, and I never had, anything to do with the bridge question that you are now talking about. I have not been in Mr. Metson's office or consulted with any of the gentlemen on this question since probably the early part of 1925. I have never consulted with him or any of these people on the bridge question since your hearings started here. I have no interest whatsoever in what they are doing.

Supervisor Marks: My remark was merely a repetition of what Mrs. Scanlon claimed.

Mr. Webb: I appreciate that and I did not want any misunderstanding in the record.

Supervisor Marks: So that Mrs. Scanlon's statement that she met you up in Mr. Metson's office during the time that she met Mr. Rand, or thereabouts, her statement in that respect is not entirely accurate?

Mr. Webb: If she met me there at all it was way back in 1923 or the early part of 1924.

Mrs. Scanlon: That is correct.

Mr. Webb: At that time I did go to Mr. Metson's office and at that time I did have a connection, but not now.

Supervisor Shannon: The motion I made is still pending.

The Chairman: Supervisor, if I may, I merely wanted to ask whether some member of the Board would, by formal motion, extend an invitation to Senator Johnson and to Representative Welch and to former Chief Justice Matt I. Sullivan and to the City Attorney to be present two weeks from today when the subject of this bridge matter comes up for discussion so that they may be prepared to advise us in connection with the attacks which we have a right to anticipate upon the procedure that they have been representing us on in Washington.

Supervisor Gallagher: I make such a motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I second the motion.

Supervisor Shannon: I will be very glad to make such a motion, if you will permit the motion I made previously to the opening up of this discussion to be passed upon, and that was that the further consideration of the application of the Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda be continued until Monday, July 9, 1928, at 11 a. m.

Supervisor Marks: I second that motion. And at that time I am very hopeful of submitting this plan and I hope that the Board will at that time go into the matter very fully.

Supervisor Stanton: I do not know whether Supervisor Shannon's motion is the one, but Supervisor McSheehy gave notice that two weeks from today he was going to

have all private bridge applications set aside. I do not know what his idea is in doing that. Supervisor Gallagher said that you would have something in here two weeks from today that we could act on. I do not know what his plan is. I hope, however, that we are not going to come in here in two weeks from today and have somebody come in, or anybody come in, with something new and lay it in our lap and ask us to act immediately on it.

Supervisor Gallagher: Of what I will present two weeks from today, there will be copies for every member of the Board, copies for the press and sufficient copies for the Senator and the Congressman, and, on the very contrary, you will be asked to hear it, but I have not the slightest intention, two weeks from today, to ask for action. I want you to give it some little study. It may appeal to you when it is ready.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

The following matters were taken up:

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing matters were *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

(1)

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(2)

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(3)

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(4)

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(5)

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(6)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(7)

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(8)

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(9)

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(10)

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(11)

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill: from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(12)

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba

Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(13)

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(15)

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(18)

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

Filed August 16, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

Tunnels.

(19)

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(20)

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets. San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(21)

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(22)

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the

foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(23)

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(24)

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(25)

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(26)

Application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for permission to construct a toll bridge along an alternative line between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

Filed May 29, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(27)

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls

on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(28)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less. to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(29)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbell and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(30)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sau-

salito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Mondav. July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(31)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California: said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3.200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25. 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(32)

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(33)

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San

Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(34)

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from he outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continuation of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama, Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quarters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the lenth of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(35)

Amended application of Allan C. Rush for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Oakland, Alameda County.

Said bridge to be located at the starting point at or near what is known as Rincon Hill, in the City of San Francisco, and from which named point extending over and across what is known as San Francisco Channel of the Bay of San Francisco, this channel having an approximate width of 11,088 feet, to Goat Island—Yerba Buena—in a suspension bridge with a height of not less than 200 feet, measuring from the floor and base of said bridge down *to* and *above* high tide, supported in its entirety by four cables resting over five bridge piers, one pier at or near Rincon Hill, three piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, and one pier on the westerly shore end of Goat Island—Yerba Buena—numbered, respectively, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, with Pier No. 5 ending on said island.

Filed by E. L. Vandair Nailen, November 7, 1927, and *continued for hearing until November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(36)

Alternative design, Inter-Cities Bridge Company, for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, as follows:

On a line from near the foot of Broadway, in San Francisco, to the northern slope of Goat Island, along this northerly slope to the eastern end of the island, and thence to and along the northerly edge, or near the same, of the Key Route Mole to the entrance into Oakland, fully described in the original application.

Filed December 21, 1927.

December 27, 1927—*Read and continued until January* 23, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(37)

Application of John Goulding Little for authority to erect, maintain and operate, and to take tolls on a toll bridge to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the County of Alameda, State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 7 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 20 min. 49 sec., which point is about 120 feet northerly from the Key System Transit Company's Ferry House in the City of Oakland, and extending thence westerly to a point in the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 2 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 21 min. 31 sec., which point is about 700 feet northerly from the northeast point of Goat Island; thence westerly by the most direct available route with suitable grades and curvature to the intersection of the center line of Market street produced with the waterfront line on The Embarcadero in the City and County of San Francisco.

That the length of said bridge is 16,000 feet and that the breadth of said bridge is 2000 feet.

Filed January 30, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

(38)

Application of Lochiel M. King to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said bridge and tunnel is to extend easterly from the easterly line of the intersection of Army street with Illinois street, in the City and County of San Francisco, along said Army street to the waterfront line as established by the Board of State Tide Land Commissioners, and thence in a general northeasterly direction to connect with the City of Oakland at Seventh and Bay streets and with

the City of Alameda at the westerly end of Pacific avenue.

Filed June 4, 1928.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 9, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

In Memory—Moses Gunst.

Supervisor Suhr presented:

Resolution No. 29226 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the death of Moses Gunst on Friday records the passing of a lovable and outstanding character in the life of San Francisco, who, as a public official (having held the position of Police Commissioner for many years with honor and distinction), and as a private citizen, has identified himself with every movement for the glory and advancement of his beloved city; therefore, be it

Resolved, That we extend to those near and dear to him our heartfelt sympathy; to them he has left the heritage of a good name; to us the pleasant memory of an earnest, sincere and kindly gentleman; and be it

Further Resolved, That when we adjourn we do so as a tribute of respect to his memory; that this memorial be spread on the minutes of the Board, and that a copy be sent to the family.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Cemetery Removal Ordinances.

The following matters were presented by Supervisor Andriano and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8598, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of Masonic Cemetery in the City and County of San Francisco as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public, and demands the disinterment and removal beyond the limits of the City and County of San Francisco of the human bodies interred therein; prescribing the time within which the removal of such bodies must be made; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; and requiring and empowering the Board of Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterment and removal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the Masonic Cemetery, hereinafter described, contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than 100,000 persons; that the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public, and demands the disinterment and removal beyond the limits of the City and County of San Francisco of the human bodies interred therein.

Said cemetery is commonly known and designated as the Masonic Cemetery, and is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, within the boundaries of Turk street, Masonic avenue, Fulton street and Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that within two years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling said cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred in said cemetery, shall disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided by that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled:

"An Act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery, or part thereof, as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association,

corporation sole or other persons governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby specified, declared and ordained that after the expiration of ten months from and after the first publication of the notice of resolution or declaration of intention to disinter and remove the human bodies interred in said cemetery, required by the provisions of Section 5 of the Act of the Legislature above referred to by title, the human bodies then remaining in said cemetery, and every part thereof, shall be removed by said cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole, or other person owning or controlling said cemetery.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Board of Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Board of Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said Act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Health as herein provided.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—11.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

Also Bill No. 8599, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Odd Fellows Cemetery in the City and County of San Francisco as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public, and demands the disinterment and removal beyond the limits of the City and County of San Francisco of the human bodies interred therein; prescribing the time within which the removal of such bodies must be made; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; and requiring and empowering the Board of Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterment and removal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the Odd Fellows Cemetery, hereinafter described, contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than 100,000 persons; that the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public, and demands the disinterment and removal beyond the limits of the City and County of San Francisco of the human bodies interred therein.

Said cemetery is commonly known and designated as the Odd Fellows Cemetery, and is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, within the boundaries of Geary street, Henderson avenue, St. Rose's avenue, Parker avenue, Turk street, Willard street, Edward street and Arguello boulevard.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that within two years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling said cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial plots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred in said cemetery, shall disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided by that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled:

"An Act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and re-

moval of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery, or part thereof, as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby specified, declared and ordained that after the expiration of ten months from and after the first publication of the notice of resolution or declaration of intention to disinter and remove the human bodies interred in said cemetery, required by the provisions of Section 5 of the Act of the Legislature above referred to my title, the human bodies then remaining in said cemetery, and every part thereof, shall be removed by said cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole, or other person owning or controlling said cemetery.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Board of Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Board of Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said Act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Health as herein provided.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—11.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Todd, Toner—5.

Report on Street Railway Transportation Requirements.

A communication from the City Engineer in re expiring franchises of the Market Street Railway and the California Street Railway systems, together with a proposed bill authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to investigate and report upon the immediate and further street railway transportation requirements of San Francisco, and to recommend what portions, if any, of the existing privately owned street railway systems should be acquired by the City, and authorizing the City Engineer and the City Attorney to make a valuation of the California Street Cable Railroad Company's system and the Market Street Railway Company's system, and authorizing the City Engineer, the City Attorney and Public Utilities and Finance Committees of the Board of Supervisors to enter into preliminary negotiations with the California Street Railroad Company and the Market Street Railway Company looking toward the possibility of the purchase of these systems in whole or in part and providing the necessary funds for such investigation, were presented and *referred to the Public Utilities and Finance Committees, jointly.*

Dr. Hassler Appointed Narcotic Agent.

Supervisor Toner presented:

Resolution No. 29227 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That William C. Hassler, Health Officer of the Department of Public Health, is hereby appointed with full authority for the year commencing July 1, 1928, and ending June 30, 1929, to sign orders for narcotics for the institutions of the Department of Public Health, namely: Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospital, the Central Office, and the San Francisco Health Farm at Redwood City, California, Department of Public Health and Hetch Hetchy Hospital, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Narcotic Division of the Treasury Department, Internal Revenue, San Francisco, California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Citizens' Committee, Armistice Day.

Supervisor Suhr presented:

Resolution No. 29228 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a citizens' committee to make arrangements for the proper observance of Armistice Day, November 11, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Death of John Murphy, Engineer of Auditorium.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 29229 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors learns with deep regret of the passing of one of its faithful and trustworthy employees in the person of John Murphy, for many years employed as an engineer in the Civic Auditorium. As a friend and associate John Murphy endeared himself to all by his many estimable qualities and cheerful character. As a public employee he served his city with diligence and fidelity; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors extends to his sorrowing wife and family its profound condolence and sincere sympathy in the passing of one who was a devoted husband, father and friend.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

In Memory of Senator Frank R. Gooding of Idaho.

Resolution No. 29230 (New Series), as follows:

The Board of Supervisors of San Francisco learns with deep regret of the death of United States Senator Frank R. Gooding of Idaho. Senator Gooding gave distinguished service in public life in his State and in the National Congress. San Francisco is particularly grateful to him for his earnest and emphatic support of legislation affecting this City, particularly in reference to the bill granting San Francisco the right to build a bridge across the Bay.

On behalf of the people of San Francisco this appreciation is entered in the Journal.

The sympathy and condolence of the Board of Supervisors is respectfully presented to his family.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Reception to James Walker, Mayor of New York.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 29231 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Mayor James Walker, Mayor of the City of New York, is reported to be desirous of making a visit to San Francisco following the adjournment of the Democratic National Convention at Houston, Texas; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make the necessary arrangements for a reception to be given to Mayor James Walker on his arrival in San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd, Toner—6.

Reception to Mons. Georges Ricou, Opera Comique.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 29232 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for an appropriate reception to be given in honor of Mons. Georges Ricou, Director-General of the Opera Comique, Paris, who arrives in San Francisco on Sunday, July 1, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—12.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd, Toner—6.

Reception Committee, Hoover Notification.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 29233 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, California, for the first time in the history of the major political parties, has a candidate for President of the United States in Herbert Hoover of Palo Alto; and

Whereas, Historic events will occur in San Francisco and Palo Alto in August, when the Republican nominee for President is officially notified of the honor conferred upon him; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the hospitality of San Francisco is hereby extended to the distinguished American citizens who will compose the notification committee which is coming to San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is authorized and requested to appoint an appropriate nonpartisan committee of San Franciscans to cooperate in making this event memorable and that he, the Mayor, act as ex officio chairman of said committee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Todd, Toner—4.

Report on Daylight Saving Legislation.

The following was read and ordered *filed*:

San Francisco, June 25, 1928.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

Your Public Welfare Committee, to which was referred proposed ordinance establishing daylight saving in San Francisco, desires to report that the Committee has received an opinion from the City Attorney stating that the Board of Supervisors has no authority to enact the proposed daylight saving ordinance for the reason that it conflicts with the State law fixing the hours during which official business may be conducted in county offices.

The San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce, the organization which proposed the ordinance, has requested that it be withdrawn from further consideration, in view of the City Attorney's opinion.

Your Committee therefore recommends, in view of the City Attorney's opinion and the request of the San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce, that the proposed ordinance establishing daylight saving be filed.

For the information of the Board the Committee has attached to this report the City Attorney's opinion and respectfully requests that it be read by the Clerk and made a part of the record of the Board.

Respectfully submitted,

MILO F. KENT,
F. J. McGOVERN,
ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
Public Welfare Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 5:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 23, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco

Vol. 23—New Series No. 28

Monday, July 2, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 2, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, July 2, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, McGovern —2.

Report of the Assessor on the Assessment Roll for 1928-1929.

The following was read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*:

July 2, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of the Political Code I have this day delivered to the Clerk of your Honorable Board the Assessment Roll for 1928.

The taxable roll should total $804,000,000.

You will understand that this figure is submitted as an estimate—an estimate which takes into consideration certain contingencies more or less clearly defined in Sections 3820, 3821 and 3885 of the Political Code of the State of California.

To tender to the Board at this time—and through the Board to the public at large—an estimated figure which is immediately employed as a basis for another estimate, the estimate of the probable tax rate, is, in my judgment, prejudicial to the best interests of the taxpayers, the Board of Supervisors and the Assessor's office.

It has been the custom to submit, on the first Monday of July of each year, an estimate of the taxable roll, but, in view of the facts set forth in the preceding paragraph, the Assessor must decline to be bound by this custom in the future. I am confident that the Board will recognize the soundness of the decision arrived at in this connection.

Yours truly,

(Sgd) RUSSELL L. WOLDEN,
Assessor.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board at the hour of 10:15 a. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 23, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 29

Monday, July 9, 1928
Thursday, July 12, 1928
Friday, July 13, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 9, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 9, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Gallagher was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 23, 25, 28, June 4 and 11, 1928, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Proceedings of the Board of Equalization.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Board of Equalization for the purpose of hearing all applicants who had filed sworn applications for correction of assessments on the Assessment Books of real and personal property for the fiscal year 1928-1929.

Supervisor Havenner in the chair.

Consideration of Applications for Correction of Assessments.

Applications for reduction of assessments were taken up, the applicants or their agents called, duly sworn, examined, and, after consideration, said applications were disposed of as follows:

Name	Prop.	Lot.	Blk.	Assmt.	Cash Val.	Action.
1. Southern Pacific Co.	R. E.	4A	3810	$186,050	$ 5,000	Fin. Com.
2. Mrs. D. Smith	Imp.	5	2345A	2,000	1,700	Adv'ment
3. Rose Sipala	Imp.	23	1254	2,500	2,000	Adv'ment
4. J. T. Bickerdike	Imp.	38	3587	8,000	6,500	Adv'ment
5. Meyer Wood	Imp.	4	673	30,000	12,000	Adv'ment
6. M. T. Gillen	Imp.	1A	5306	1,500	1,000	Adv'ment
	Imp.	2		1,700	1,000	Adv'ment
7. Margaret F. Schkde.	Imp.	16	1741	2,500	1,850	Adv'ment
8. Geo. and Margaret O'Brien	R. E.	16	1425	1,500	1,000	Ref. Assr.
9. Geo. and Margaret O'Brien	Imp.	16	1425	1,700	1,000	Ref. Assr.
10. Mrs. Annie Hartman	Imp.	5	1010	2,300	1,700	Adv'ment
11. Cheung Ming et al.	Imp.	38	192	40,000	20,000	Ref. Assr.
12. Cheung Ming et al.	Imp.	23	194	4,000	2,000	Ref. Assr.
13. Angeling Peters	Imp.	2	4066	1,800	1,400	Adv'ment
14. T. C. McCarthy	Imp.	5	347	45,000	40,000	Adv'ment
15. Mabel J. Veghte	Imp.	23	248	6,300	4,000	Adv'ment
16. Mrs. F. Bernhardt	Imp.	29/30	1211	21,000	15,000	Adv'ment
17. Mrs. B. Cassidy	Imp.	19/20	2658	1,700	1,400	Adv'ment
18. Dolina Marshall	Imp.	11	623	3,000	2,500	Adv'ment
19. Sarah J. Aston	Imp.	3	1002	3,000	2,500	Ref. Assr.
20. C. N. Noble	Imp.	3A	1536	6,000	5,000	Adv'ment
21. A. Domeniconi	Imp.	12	157	4,000	3,000	Ref. Assr.
22. Mary Kelleher	Imp.	2B/2C	1195	7,600	6,000	Ref. Assr.
23. Carrie McAllister	Imp.	25	3502	16,000	10,000	Ref. Assr.
24. Philip Sanfilippo	Imp.	22	1457	5,500	5,000	Ref. Assr.

Name.	Prop.	Lot.	Blk.	Assmt.	Cash Val.	Action.
25. Mary Parker	Imp.	18	1092	300	200	Ref. Assr.
26. O. Johnson	Imp.	69	3583	3,000	2,500	Adv'ment
27. Giovanni Bafigo	Imp.	25	1091	1,500	1,000	Ref. Assr.
28. F. J. Brickwedel....	R. E.	22	3718	16,670	12,500	Adv'ment
29. F. J. Brickwedel....	Imp.	22	3718	12,400	10,000	Adv'ment
30. L. Frank	R. E.	6	764	10,430	6,200	Adv'ment

On motion of Supervisor Kent it was agreed to meet again Friday, July 13, 1928, at 10 a. m., to hear applications numbered above 100.

RECESS.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden, the Board of Equalization took a recess until 10 a. m. Thursday, July 12, 1928, to continue hearing on applications Nos. 31 to 100.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Whereupon, the Board resolved itself into a Board of Supervisors with Supervisor Havenner in the chair.

Relative to Hetch Hetchy Road Construction and Pollution of Water. Supervisor Shannon's Statement.

Supervisor Shannon: Mr. Chairman, I feel that, for the benefit of the members of the Board who did not make the annual inspection of Hetch Hetchy and had the opportunity to be present at the conference held with Mr. Mather, that, as chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, a statement to them and to the citizens present should be made at this time, so that an understanding of what is in the mind of Mr. Mather and his associates should be made clear. We held a meeting with Mr. Mather and Mr. Albright in Yosemite on the 4th day of July, and we held the meeting in the morning at 11 o'clock, and at that meeting Mr. Mather and Mr. Albright told us that it was their intention to permit campers the use of the Hetch Hetchy reservoir; that they would permit swimming and boating and fishing on the lake, and we might just as well make up our mind that we would have to chlorinate that water before its use by the citizens of San Francisco. Naturally the members of the Board were quite exercised when this statement was made. We discussed the matter of roads, and the meeting lasted until 1 o'clock. Realizing that we had not had opportunity to discuss the matter in full, I suggested an afternoon meeting, but as both of the gentlemen had an engagement it was postponed until 8 o'clock on the 4th of July. At that meeting Mr. Broderick, the former Health Officer of San Francisco, and now the Health Officer of Alameda county, in charge of their hospital, appeared before us, on the invitation of Mr. Mather, and said that boating, swimming, fishing and camping around the lake would unquestionably pollute the water, and if there was any possible way to overcome that condition it should be done. And Mr. Albright, Mr. Mather's superintendent in the Yosemite Park, stated that you must have misunderstood him at the morning meeting, that what they intended to convey was that they would have these rights under the terms of the Raker Act as it now reads; that he inadvertently stated that they could allow swimming in the lake, but he knew when he made the statement that the Raker Act specifically forbade swimming, but that as it did not forbid the boating or fishing or camping he felt that if, in the future years, that procedure became necessary to accommodate the people in the Yosemite Park and Playground they might permit it. They also said that they had notified the Yosemite Transportation Company some two years ago, that they should procure boats and put them in the lake for use, and the Yosemite Transportation Company sent up some fifteen boats for use and they are now in the warehouse at Hetch Hetchy, but, as Mr. O'Shaughnessy protested their use, they have not been put in use. Mr. Mather said that he would be unable to be present in San Francisco next Wednesday, at which time the Board hoped to have him before the Public Utilities Committee, and he said that Mr. Cramton, the Congressman from Michigan, who seems to be the leader in the fight, would leave New York on a transport about the 15th of July, and would be in San Francisco in the early part of August, and he would arrange at that time, or endeavor to arrange with Mr. Cramton, who will be in and around San Francisco for four days, waiting for the transport to prepare to go to Hawaii, and he will be upon that boat, he will endeavor to arrange for a meeting with Mr. Albright and Mr. Cramton, and attend in person, so that we can go into these matters with him.

The matter of roads we discussed at length, and he said that he was perfectly willing to have the trail

around the north end of the lake leading up to the easterly end of the lake, which is seven miles, and as far as the road from Hetch Hetchy to Lake Eleanor, they wanted a 24-foot road. Mr. Havenner pointed out that a 24-foot road was in excess of some of the roads that are now being used or being built by the State Highway Commission leading to the large centers of population. And they finally said that possibly we might arrange to have a 14-foot road, and it could later, when the demand for it arose, be increased to 24 feet. But they felt that this was necessary to accommodate the people that would want to view Hetch Hetchy and Lake Eleanor.

Supervisor McGovern: How far is it?

Supervisor Shannon: That is 12 miles. And they also explained that while the Raker Act specifically says "wagon roads," and we have been interpreting it as wagon roads which were in use in 1913, when the Raker Act was passed, that they used the term "wagon roads" to differentiate from railroads; that they felt that a proper surface should be on those roads. They also wanted us to spent $270,000 to fix up the road between Hog Ranch or Mather to the dam. And we made that trip over that road, and it is a distance of 9 miles; we came up there in about twenty minutes. To those of us that were in the party and rode over that road in automobiles, we felt that it was in very good shape, and do not feel that that amount of money is necessary. However, those gentlemen are in a position, and they expect it, to enforce the demands, and they felt that the road from Mather to O'Shaughnessy dam was one of the first roads that should be fixed. The surface is very good, and they said that some work would be necessary in squaring out the turns. Speaking with Mr. Reid at the dam site, he told us that he kept that road open in the winter time as well as he could, and when he made the trips to Mather for food he went up on snowshoes. From experience with motorists we know very well that if there was a surface put on that road, with the ice that would naturally fall on a surface of that kind, it would be practically impossible for motorists to negotiate that road in safety. As far as the Lake Eleanor road is concerned it was pointed out that it leads to no place but Lake Eleanor, and we felt that a 24-foot road that would cost over a million dollars over there at this time was absolutely unnecessary. I think when we have our conference with Mr. Cramton, which I look forward to with fond expectations, that possibly we will be able to get some place and have a general understanding.

They also touched on the matter of the Hetch Hetchy contract, and said that a letter had been sent them asking if it was legal, and they took the same attitude that was taken by the department at the time that our representatives went to Washington, stating that until the contract had been entered into they did not feel that action by the department was necessary. From their standpoint they had not answered the letter. I believe that they said that that letter came from Mr. Edwards, the chief of the department under Secretary Work. So I will endeavor to keep in touch with Mr. Mather, so that when Mr. Cramton does come in we will have our representatives, Senators Johnson and Shortridge, and Congressmen Welch and Kahn, present, so that we can go into the matter in detail and it will be thoroughly understood, and all members of the Board will be notified to be in attendance at that meeting, which will undoubtedly take place in the early part of August.

The Chairman: The Chair might say, in addition to what the Supervisor has suggested, that it would be a good idea, if possible, to arrange an inspection trip for the members of the congressional delegation to represent San Francisco to Hetch Hetchy. Perhaps some of them have not had the opportunity to examine the road, for instance, leading from Mather down to the dam. In my opinion that is about the best grade in the high mountains today. And I think that if our representatives could see it and see how easy it is to come up that grade in high, without any danger whatever, around the curves or anywhere, that they would be impressed with what we believe to be the injustice of the demand that more than a quarter of a million dollars be expended on that road alone. I think, also, if they could see for themselves what is proposed on this million dollar project that the department is insisting on leading up to a cul-de-sac in the mountains, they are asking us to build a major highway up to an isolated spot beyond Lake Eleanor, in the mountains, for no purpose whatever, apparently, except to create a major camp up there at the source of our

water supply. I think if our congressional representatives could see that thing for themselves, in the light of what the department has stated to us, it would be very important when they go back to Washington, and when this matter is going to be threshed out, because I think that most of the members of the Board were impressed with the absolute injustice of these demands. We have not had an opportunity ourselves to visualize just what they are demanding. I do not think the City is offering any particular objection to the program of the construction of a road from the Hog Ranch past Harding Lake to connect with the Tioga road. I think that the City Engineer is not making that road an issue, but very properly he is making an issue of this enormous expenditure on a road which is already, as I have said, one of the very best roads in the California mountains today, and for the expenditure of a million dollars to carry a major highway up into a cul-de-sac in the mountains. Our representatives ought to have the opportunty to see that under expert guidance, and I hope that an arrangement can be made to take them up there before Mr. Cramton comes, so that they will be in a position to discuss with him, of their own knowledge, the details of this proposition.

Supervisor Schmidt: I was going to add to that that probably it would not be bad to send a communication to the various Congressmen of the State, probably some of those from Oakland and various other places, asking a delegation of Congressmen to visit the various projects outlined, and I think that would be a very good idea, because many of them are not familiar with it, and I think if we had some of those go along, too, on this congressional investigation it would be a good move.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 29279 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(1) H. M. Child, refund of duplicate payment of taxes for fiscal year 1927-1928 (claim dated June 15, 1928), $1,605.98.

Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(2) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated June 18, 1928), $525.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(3) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, for depreciation reserve for June, per Charter requirements (claim dated June 15, 1928), $14,584.

County Road Fund.

(4) McHugh Improvement Co., grading and paving Forty-third avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,200.

(5) Municipal Construction Co., grading and paving Otsego street between Onondaga and Seneca avenues (claim dated June 13, 1928), $6,794.

(6) Federal Construction Co., improvement of Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets (claim dated June 13, 1928), $19,615.04.

(7) A. G. Raisch, improvement of southerly one-half of Judson avenue between Foerster street and Phelan avenue (claim dated June 20, 1928), $3,833.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(8) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished during May (claim dated June 13, 1928), $3,382.84.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for May, under agreement of Dec. 12, 1928 (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,586.11.

(10) Tansey Crowe Co., tires and tubes for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 18, 1928), $554.05.

(11) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., railway parts (claim dated June 18, 1928), $814.85.

Special School Tax.

(12) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., paint for schools (claim dated June 15, 1928), $680.

(13) Amoroso and Filippis, first payment, general construction of Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated June 19, 1928), $11,728.88.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(14) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., Mazda lamps (claim dated June 18, 1928), $1,051.20.

(15) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., gasoline, oil, etc. (claim dated June 20, 1928), $628.28.

(16) United Materials Co., cement (claim dated June 20, 1928), $995.

(17) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, 17th payment, construction of Aqueduct tunnels, contract 113 (claim dated June 18, 1928), $26,159.79.

(18) A. Guthrie & Co. Inc., 18th payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnels, contract 113, proposition "C" (claim dated June 18, 1928), $11,497.99.

Playground Fund.

(19) Meyer Rosenberg, tanbark for playgrounds (claim dated June 20, 1928), $798.21.

(20) Western Pacific Railroad Co., freight charges on cinders for playgrounds (claim dated June 20, 1928), $823.40.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(21) California Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1928), $521.02.

(22) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1928), $639.99.

(23) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1928), $651.03.

(24) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 25, 1928), $986.70.

(25) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, June 3 to July 3, 1928, $1,120.75.

(26) J. D. Galloway, services and expense for account of trip to Washington, D. C., in matter of San Francisco Transbay Bridge hearings (claim dated June 25, 1928), $1,997.80.

(27) Clarence B. Eaton, first payment, construction of Fillmore street main sewer (claim dated June 20, 1928), $9,000.

(28) Federal Construction Co., 5th payment, construction of extension of the Thirtieth avenue sewer, Sunset District (claim dated June 20, 1928), $7,700.

(29) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repairs (claim dated June 16, 1928), $1,799.44.

(30) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repairs (claim dated June 18, 1928), $3,813.38.

(31) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for sewer repairs (claim dated June 18, 1928), $1,788.60.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repairs (claim dated June 14, 1928), $593.42.

(33) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for street repairs (claim dated June 14, 1928), $716.94.

(34) Pacific Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 14, rock and gravel for street repairs 1928), $1,163.22.

(35) San Francisco Gravel Co., (claim dated June 14, 1928), $1,-921.81.

(36) Union Oil Co. of Cal., asphalt and oil for street repairs (claim dated June 14, 1928), $683.98.

(37) Symon Brothers, team for street cleaning (claim dated June 14, 1928), $1,489.25.

(38) Sewer Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for job costs, improving of Guerrero street from Twentieth street southerly (claim dated June 13, 1928), $1,450.62.

(39) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 21, 1928), $1,779.54.

(40) Louis Strauss Inc., clothing for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 21, 1928), $898.

(41) Western Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 21, 1928), $739.29.

(42) Standard Fisheries, fish for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $779.25.

(43) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $720.06.

(44) Western Meat Co., meat for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $1,289.70.

(45) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., meat for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $850.47.

(46) California Meat Co., meat for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $2,964.75.

(47) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruits and vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $1,119.14.

(48) H. F. Dugan, chemical and surgical supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $1,-360.90.

(49) Morris & Co., butter for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $2,046.07.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 21, 1928), $1,679.19.

(51) Warren Shannon, expense of self and Supervisor Hayden, to Washington, D. C., in endeavor to secure for San Francisco the Democratic National Convention, as authorized by Resolution No. 28281, New Series (claim dated June 25, 1928), $4,500.

(52) Playground Commission, expense incurred for month of May for development of the Twenty-sixth and Douglass site for playground (claim dated June 20, 1928), $1,-171.75.

(53) James F. Waters, one Chrysler Sedan for use of the Assessor (claim dated June 13, 1928), $2,850.

California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61).

(54) American Railway Express Co., expressage on exhibit of oil paintings from the Carnegie Institute, etc. (claim dated June 22, 1928), $1,505.81.

(55) Carnegie Institute, expressage, hauling and insurance on exhibit, including return of paintings in Europe (claim dated June 22, 1928), $4,804.

(56) Mrs. Clara Huntington, one red chalk drawing by Dazzi (claim dated June 22, 1928), $600.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Appropriation, $27,450, Payment to Oscar M. Hueter for Land for Balboa Terrace Playground.

Resolution No. 29234 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $27,450 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized to be expended out of Playground Fund in payment to Oscar M. Hueter and Ernest C. Hueter for lands required for the Balboa Terrace Playground, and being portions of Blocks 3265 and 3266, as per Assessor's "Map of Blocks 3260-3269, Balboa Terrace Addition" (claim dated June 20, 1928).

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Appropriations, Flood Lighting, City Hall.

Resolution No. 29235 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of "Lighting City Hall," Budget Item No. 53a, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the hereinafter designated purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the purchase of 70 projectors for flood-lighting the City Hall, as per award of contract to the General Electric Company by Resolution No. 29177 (New Series), $1,837.50.

(2) For the cost of contract for the flood-lighting of the City Hall, per award to the Butte Electric & Manufacturing Company, $6,400.

(3) For expense of engineering, inspection and possible extras, $750.

Total, $8,987.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Appropriation, $1,500, Repairs to Fender and Piling, Third Street and Channel Bridge.

Resolution No. 29236 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund, 1927-1928, for cost of repairs to fender and piling at Third street and Channel bridge.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Appropriation, $4,575, Pedestrian Lane Markers.

Resolution No. 29237 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,575 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 69, for the cost of installing 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, including probable extras, as per award to E. J. Treacy by Resolution No. 28901 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Appropriation, $766.58, Spring Valley Water Company Pipe Connections, Alpha Street.

Resolution No. 29238 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $766.58 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the Spring Valley Water Co. for the furnishing and laying of pipe and connections in Alpha street; being an extension of the Municipal Water Works (claim dated May 11,

1928.) Appropriation by Resolution No. 27848 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Appropriation, $4,891.91, Fay Improvement Company, West Portal Avenue.

Resolution No. 29239 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,891.91 be and the same is hereby appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to The Fay Improvement Company; being full payment for account of concrete work incident to changing of rails and lowering of tracks of the Municipal Railways in connection with the improvement of West Portal avenue between Ulloa street and Fifteenth avenue.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, Powers—3.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Establishing Set-back Lines Along Portions of Baker Street and Nineteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 8600, Ordinance No. 8106 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Baker street and Nineteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 28th day of May, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 178 to establish set-back lines along portions of Baker street and Nineteenth avenue, and fixed the 25th day of June, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter, and more than ten days prior to said hearing, the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Baker street, commencing at Jefferson street and running thence northerly 137½ feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 35 feet 10½ inches, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 36 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly to Marina boulevard, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Nineteenth avenue between Vicente street and Wawona street, said set-back lines to be 15 feet.

Along both sides of Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, said set-back lines to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 29240 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Castro Bakery, 531 Castro street, 600 gallons capacity.

Manning & Baldwin, west side of Franklin street, 90 feet north of Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, southeast corner of Polk and Lombard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. A. Schoepffer, northwest corner St. Francis boulevard and San Buena Ventura way, 600 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, 266 Santa Paula avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

A. M. Samuelson, northeast corner of North Gate drive and Darien way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Enterprise Oil Burner Co., 340 First street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, east side of Magellan avenue, 600 feet north of Montalvo avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

E. A. Cornely, Inc., 15 Cherry street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. A. McPherson, 765 Third avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

G. E. Becker, south side of Yerba Buena way, 250 feet east of Santa Clara avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Antonio Olieveri, 425 Holyoke street, 15 horsepower.

Alexander Rubin Co., 49 Fourth street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 29241 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That William Hall be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Fitzgerald and Murnane by Resolution No. 24024 (New Series) for premises at the northwest corner of Thirteenth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 29242 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. J. Dunn be and is hereby granted permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Claus Lornell by Resolution No. 28685 (New Series) for premises at 145 Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Transfer of Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 29243 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. Schuback be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to George Rossi by Resolution No. 23903 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Eighteenth street and Potrero avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 29244 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Graystone Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 1040 Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 29245 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sett Lester Bryant be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 652 Polk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Record of Repairers or Storers of Damaged Automobiles.

Bill No. 8597, Ordinance No. 8107 (New Series), as follows:

Requiring repairers or storers of damaged, partly demolished or injured automobiles, and every person, firm or corporation conducting a public garage, to keep a record of the receipt or storage of such automobiles; providing a punishment for any violation thereof and repealing Ordinance No. 4407 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation engaged within the City and County of San Francisco in the business of repairing automobiles and every person, firm or corporation conducting a public garage and every person, firm or corporation engaged in the storage of automobiles shall keep a record of the receipt for repair or storage of every damaged, partly demolished or injured automobile, which shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Chief of Police or any officer detailed by him. Such record shall contain the name and address of the person, firm or corporation from whom such automobile was purchased or taken in exchange or for storage or to whom sold, the name and make, state license number, motor number, or any other manufacturer's or serial number, or any other marks of identification, make, size and serial number of each tire, including extra tires. body number, generator number, starter number, carburetor number, magneto number, storage battery number, transmission number, radiator number and speedometer number, style and seating capacity thereof, a full and complete description of the damages, demolition or injury and the cause and date thereof and the name and address of the owner thereof as ascertained from the person, firm or corporation from whom such automobile was received. Said record shall be written in the English language in a clear and legible manner.

Section 2. All ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, and especially Ordinance No. 4407 (New Series), are hereby repealed.

Section 3. Every person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Todd, the following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Auto Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Shell Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Twenty-fourth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 29246 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That St. Mary's Park be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Murray and Mission streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Cemetery Removal Ordinances.

Bill No. 8598, Ordinance No. 8108 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of Masonic Cemetery in the City and County of San Francisco as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public, and demands the disinterment and removal beyond the limits of the City and County of San Francisco of the human bodies interred therein; prescribing the time within which the removal of such bodies must be made; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; and requiring and empowering the Board of Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterment and removal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the Masonic Cemetery, hereinafter described, contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than 100,000 persons; that the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public, and demands the disinterment and removal beyond the limits of the City and County of San Francisco of the human bodies interred therein.

Said cemetery is commonly known and designated as the Masonic Cemetery, and is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, within the boundaries of Turk street, Masonic avenue, Fulton street and Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that within two years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling said cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred in said cemetery, shall disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided by that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled:

"An Act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery, or part thereof, as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other persons governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby specified, declared and ordained that after the expiration of ten months from and after the first publication of the notice of resolution or declaration of intention to disinter and remove the human bodies interred in said cemetery, required by the provisions of Section 5 of the Act of the Legislature above referred to by title, the human bodies then remaining in said cemetery, and every part thereof, shall be removed by said cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole, or other person owning or controlling said cemetery.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Board of Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Board of Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said Act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by

the Board of Health as herein provided.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

No—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Marks—3.

Bill No. 8599, Ordinance No. 8109 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Odd Fellows Cemetery in the City and County of San Francisco as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public, and demands the disinterment and removal beyond the limits of the City and County of San Francisco of the human bodies interred therein; prescribing the time within which the removal of such bodies must be made; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; and requiring and empowering the Board of Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterment and removal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the Odd Fellows Cemetery, hereinafter described, contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than 100,000 persons; that the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public, and demands the disinterment and removal beyond the limits of the City and County of San Francisco of the human bodies interred therein.

Said cemetery is commonly known and designated as the Odd Fellows Cemetery, and is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, within the boundaries of Geary street, Henderson avenue, St. Rose's avenue, Parker avenue, Turk street, Willard street, Edward street and Arguello boulevard.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that within two years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling said cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial plots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred in said cemetery, shall disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided by that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled:

"An Act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery, or part thereof, as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby specified, declared and ordained that after the expiration of ten months from and after the first publication of the notice of resolution or declaration of intention to disinter and remove the human bodies interred in said cemetery, required by the provisions of Section 5 of the Act of the Legislature above referred to my title, the human bodies then remaining in said cemetery, and every part thereof, shall be removed by said cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole, or other person owning or controlling said cemetery.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Board of Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and

County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Board of Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said Act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Board of Health as herein provided.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

No—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Marks—3.

Widening of Stanyan Street.

Resolution No. 29247 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Stanyan street with the northerly line of Frederick street; thence running westerly and along the northerly line of Frederick street 32 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 59 feet and 1 inch to the southerly line of Golden Gate Park; thence easterly and along the southerly line of Golden Gate Park 32 feet and 7½ inches to the westerly line of Stanyan street; thence southerly and along the westerly line of Stanyan street 56 feet and 1½ inches to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Western Addition Block No. 713.

Be it Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening, construction and maintenance of Stanyan street.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $109,354.26, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $37.79.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation award, $104.15.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were, on recommendation of the Finance Committee, *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $3,506.82.

(2) G. W. Price Pump & Engine Co., furnishing and installing pump in Golden Gate Park (claim dated June 22, 1928), $1,927.

(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $4,594.07.

(4) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $791.53.

(5) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $560.33.

(6) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, etc., for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $587.24.

(7) Standard Oil Co., oil for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $1,313.43.

(8) J. A. Bryant, first payment, addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 29, 1928), $14,437.50.

(9) J. A. Bryant, second payment, addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 29, 1928), $23,062.50.

(10) J. A. Bryant, third payment, addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 29, 1928), $12,450.

(11) Masten & Hurd, architectural services rendered for addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 29, 1928), $1,200.

(12) Punnett, Parez & Hutchison, engineering services for account of Yacht Harbor (claim dated June 29, 1928), $856.50.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(13) Kaiser Paving Co., repairs to equipment, supplies, etc. (claim dated June 22, 1928), $1,723.43.

(14) Leo Rosasco, wood furnished (claim dated June 22, 1928), $569.40.

(15) Badt-Falk & Co., reinforcing bars (claim dated June 25, 1928), $646.67.

(16) Cement Gun Construction Co., cement lining, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct (claim dated June 25, 1928), $1,105.

(17) R. M. Gardiner Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated June 25, 1928), $598.44.

(18) United States Rubber Co., rubber coats, etc. (claim dated June 25, 1928), $904.09.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(19) Mrs. Bridget Lundy, settlement in full of claim for injuries and damages due to accident happening on or about Dec. 15, 1927 (claim dated June 25, 1928), $700.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(20) American Brass Co., first payment, furnishing and delivering electric cables in accordance with Sec. "A," Contract No. 156 of Municipal Railway system (claim dated June 27, 1928), $5,250.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity).

(21) Steiger & Kerr Stove & Foundry Co., iron castings for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated May 31, 1928), $654.08.

Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(22) Street Repair Department, Dept. of Public Works, reimbursement for account of expenditures for side-sewer trenches, Jan. 2 to March 31, 1928 (claim dated June 25, 1928), $5,317.84.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) Mahoney Bros., final payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated June 27, 1928), $10,000.

County Road Fund.

(24) Granfield, Farrar and Carlin, improvement of Potrero avenue from Sixteenth to Army streets (claim dated June 23, 1928), $975.

Special School Tax.

(25) Geo. H. Tay Co., tanks, closet bowls, etc., for schools (claim dated June 20, 1928), $622.46.

(26) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, window and door shades for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated June 26, 1928), $920.15.

(27) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated June 26, 1928), $515.29.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity).

(28) United States Rubber Co., rubber covered underground cable for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,385.43.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(29) J. P. Holland, Inc., sand for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated June 22, 1928), $838.95.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(30) Rudolph G. Theurkauf, for services and expense in connection with San Francisco's exhibit at the San Jose Fiesta de Las Rosas, May 19, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $850.62.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(31) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,548.

(32) Dudley B. Perkins, repairs to Police Dept. motorcycles (claim dated June 25, 1928), $599.76.

(33) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Dept. (claim dated June 25, 1928), $822.07.

(34) Acme Gravel Co., rock for street repair (claim dated June 22, 1928), $674.84.

(35) T. I. Butler Co., rock for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1928), $710.33.

(36) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1928), $5,653.31.

(37) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 25, 1928), $4,186.54.

(38) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 25, 1928), $2,239.70.

(39) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe (claim dated June 25, 1928), $760.85.

(40) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated June 25, 1928), $704.70.

(41) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated June 25, 1928), $747.50.

(42) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated June 25, 1928), $1,186.17.

(43) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Fire Dept. (claim dated June 22, 1928), $812.72.

(44) James Gleeson, reducing valves for Fire Dept. (claim dated June 22, 1928), $4,200.

(45) Hanlon Drydock & Shipbuilding Co. Inc., repairs to Fire Boat "David Scannell" (claim dated June 22, 1928), $2,498.30.

(46) Spring Valley Water Co., water services trough Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated June 22, 1928), $14,862.50.

(47) J. E. Scully, labor and materials for clubhouses in Aquatic Park (claim dated June 29, 1928), $2,916.61.

(48) Old Homestead Bakery, Inc., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,-040.73.

(49) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $573.42.

(50) Edw. P. McAuliffe, coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 20, 1928), $590.

(51) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,-273.33.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium during June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $5,360.33.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(2) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated June 30, 1928), $525.

County Road Fund.

(3) Louis J. Cohn, third payment, furnishing and installing tie rod anchorage at Third street and Channel Bridge (claim dated June 30, 1928), $4,000.

(4) Eaton & Smith, City's portion for improvement of Twenty-fifth street between Rhode Island and DeHaro streets (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,250.

(5) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, improvement of Potrero avenue between Sixteenth and Army streets (claim dated June 30, 1928), $675.

(6) J. P. Holland, Inc., improvement of Portola drive at O'Shaughnessy boulevard (claim dated June 30, 1928), $805.50.

(7) Pacific States Construction Co., construction of conform pavement on Portola drive opposite Miraloma Park (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,170.

Special School Tax.

(8) Alta Electric Co., fourth payment, electrical work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,430.75.

(9) A. Lettich, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,154.40.

(10) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing work for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated June 30, 1928), $608.25.

(11) Frank Reilly, sixth payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated June 30, 1928), $13,383.26.

(12) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for June (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,300.

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(13) Steam Shovel & Trucking Contractors, filling in for construction of Bay Shore boulevard, near Steuben street (claim dated June 28, 1928), $1,026.75.

(14) Louis J. Cohn, third payment, construction of storm drain for Bay Shore boulevard, crossing Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 30, 1928), $7,800.

(15) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., second payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, Sec. "E" (claim dated June 30, 1928), $40,-500.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(16) Kohler & Chase, two pianos for schools (claim dated July 3, 1928), $968.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(17) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,844.71.

(18) Hancock Brothers, printing transfers for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1928), $720.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(19) Rose McCovern, in full settlement of all claims for damage

due to personal injuries sustained on or about October 4, 1927, while attempting to board Municipal Railway car (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,250.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(20) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Calif., machinery parts for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1928), $1,216.10.

(21) United Materials Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1928), $746.25.

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(22) Daniel J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense (claim dated July 1, 1928), $750.

(23) Dion R. Holm, legal services, telephone rate litigation, for month of July (claim dated July 5, 1928), $850.

(24) N. Randall Ellis, engineering service, telephone rate litigation, month of July (claim dated July 5, 1928), $750.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(25) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding and feeding of animals, month of June (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,250.

(26) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,667.75.

(27) Clarence B. Eaton, second payment, construction of Fillmore street main sewer, Sec. "T", Contract No. 1 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $6,000.

(28) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, sand for street repair (claim dated June 28, 1928), $774.

(29) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 28, 1928), $859.02.

Appropriation, $8,000, Payment to Oscar M. Hueter et al., Balboa Terrace Playground.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8,000 be and the same is hereby set aside out of Playground Fund and authorized in payment to Oscar M. Hueter and Ernest C. Hueter; being payment for lands, being portions of Blocks 3265 and 3266, as per map of Blocks 3260-3269, inclusive, "Balboa Terrace Addition," and required for Balboa Terrace Playground: additional to $27,450 (claim dated June 20, 1928).

Appropriations for Properties Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Katie Walsh, for Lot 12 in Block 6661 (25x90 feet), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29256 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 26, 1928), $5,750.

(2) To Cornelius Sweeney, for Lot 14 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books (25x107 feet), as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29256 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 26, 1928), $5,625.

(3) To Mary E. Barney and Thomas R. Barney, for portion of Lot 4 in Black 6754A (51x617 feet, irregular) and portion of Lot 1 in Block 6755, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 29204 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 19, 1928), $5,176.

(4) To Patrick McCarthy, for Lot 3 in Block 6693, as per Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29204 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 19, 1928), $5,075.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To H. Moffat Co., for portion of Lot 7 (545x16 feet 6 inches) in Block 5335A, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29251 (New Series), and required for the widening of Silver avenue (claim dated June 26, 1928), $1,600.

(2) To Abe Clemo and Nellie Clemo, for portions of Lots 24, 25 and 26 (75x22 feet, irregular) in Block 6990, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29203 (New Series), and required for the widening of Garfield street (claim dated June 18, 1928), $600.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To J. E. Higgins Lumber Company, for portion of Lot 1L (173x14 feet, irregular) in Block 4343, as per Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29206 (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 20, 1928), $3,226.50.

(2) To Heyman Brothers and Sophie Landau, for portion of Lot 13 (25 feet frontage by average, irregular, depth of 16 feet) in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29206 (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 20, 1928), $572.

(3) To Armida Chittka, for Lot 22 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29206 (New Series), and required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 20, 1928), $3,-105.

(4) To Ida Zuffi, for portion of Lot 31 (25 feet by irregular depth of 106 feet) in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29255 (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 27, 1928), $4,305.

(5) To Agnes Stanford Taylor Galbraith, for portion of Lot 1A (59 feet by 75.33 feet) in Block 6309, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29255 (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 27, 1928), $2,222.

(6) To John J. Ferrea, for Lot 34 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29205 (New Series), and required for the Sunset parkway (claim dated June 20, 1928), $7,400.

(7) To John F. May, for Lot 30 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29205 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated June 20, 1928), $1,500.

(8) To Anita Cook, for Lot 13 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29254 (New Series), and required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 27, 1928), $4,200.

(9) To Charles A. Weck, for Lot 25 in Block 2365, as per Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29254 (New Series), and required for opening of Sunset parkway (claim dated June 27, 1928), $5,902.

(10) To William Jacobs, for Lot 11 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29254 (New Series), and required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 27, 1928), $1,625.

(11) To Ellen B. Joseph, for Lot 33 in Block 2389, as per Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29254 (New Series), and required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 27, 1928), $1,500.

(12) To Wm. H. Gerran and Nellie Gerran, for portion of Lot 30 (25x90 feet) in Block 6786, as per Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29252 (New Series), and required as approach to Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 26, 1928), $1,000.

(13) To The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco for release from damages to Lots 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32 in Block 6796, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, caused by the construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 29207 (New Series) (claim dated June 18, 1928), $4,219.

Accepting Statement of California Street Cable Railroad.

Also, Resolution No. 29248 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement of the California Street Cable Railroad Company (a corporation) for the year ending December 31, 1927, showing franchise percentages due the City and County from passenger receipts in the sum of $5,671.85 be and the same is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the California Street Cable Railroad Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County said sum of $5,671.85, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company.

Also, Resolution No. 29249 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of May, 1928, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County be and the same are accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit, etc.....$602.61
Gough Street Railroad..... 44.44
Parnassus Avenue, etc..... 326.33

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Transfer of Fund, Salary of Assistant Secretary and Jury Commissioner.

Also, Resolution No. 29250 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to Ordinan No. 8073 (New Series), and in accordance with Section 2 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount ($600) to meet the increased amount set opposite Budget Item No. 277, and which said Budget Item should read as follows, being in compliance with State law, to-wit:

277—Assistant Secretary and Jury Commissioner, $3,000.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Widening of Silver Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29251 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Silver avenue, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted:

H. Moffat Co., $1,600—Portion of Lot No. 7, Block 5335A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

As a further consideration the street work on Silver avenue in front of the remaining property of H. Moffat Co. will be done at the sole expense of the City and County of San Francisco; said street work to include grading,. sewers, curbs, pavement and sidewalk.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Extension of Lyell Street to Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29252 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land required for the extension of Lyell street as an approach to the proposed Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Wm. H. Gerran and Nellie Gerran, $1.000—Portion of Lot 30, Block 6786, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the

Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Opening of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29253 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Spencer B. Bagge, $180—Portion of Lot 3, Block 7126A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Emma M. Hextrum and Herman C. Hextrum, $216—Portion of Lot 21, Block 3147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29254 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Anita Cook, $4,200—Lot 13 of Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Charles A. Weck, $5,902—Lot 25 of Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood that the City assumes the street contract responsibility on the street improvement on Santiago street frontage 99 feet 2 inches.

William Jacobs, $1,625—Lot 11, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ellen B. Joseph, $1,500—Lot 23, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29255 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Ida Zuffi, $4,305—Portion of Lot 31, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood that said Ida Zuffi will retain ownership of the improvements located on the above described parcel of land, said improvements to be removed by said person within 45 days from date of agreement to sell.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Agnes Stanford Taylor Galbraith, $2,222—Portion of Lot 1A, Block 6309, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands Required for Bernal Cut.

Also Resolution No. 29256 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Katie Walsh, $5,750—Lot 12, Block 6661, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Cornelius Sweeney, $5,625—Lot 14, Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The buildings located on the above described land are to remain the property of said Cornelius Sweeney, and are to be removed by him within 30 days after recordation of deed to the above described land.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Ordering Construction of Third Unit, Balboa High School.

Also, Bill No. 8612, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the third unit of the Balboa (Southside) High School, to be erected on City property bounded by Cayuga, Otsego, Oneida and Onondaga avenues; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said construction, authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of the third unit of the Balboa (Southside) High School is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for said construction of the third unit of the Balboa (Southside) High School, and to enter into contract for the said construction of the third unit of the Balboa (Southside) High School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, Etc., Playground at Pacific Heights School.

Also, Bill No. 8601, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing preparation of plans and specifications for alterations to playground at Pacific Heights School; ordering the construction of alterations to the playground at Pacific Heights School; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said alterations to the playground at Pacific Heights School, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for construction of alterations to playground at Pacific Heights School, and the construction of alterations to the playground at Pacific Heights School is hereby ordered; the Board of Public Works is hereby author-

ized and directed to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriations, Repairs, Juvenile Detention Home, Sewers and Street Work.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 53.

(1) For the cost of installing heavy wire screens on windows and doors, repairing of plaster, repair of elevator shaft, steps, and installing of three wire glass panes, door checks, iron plates, etc., at Juvenile Detention Home, $575.

(2) For expense of relining Boiler No. 2 at the Hall of Justice, $534.

(3) For expense of relining Boiler No. 2 at the Hall of Justice, $605.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 36.

(4) For expense of conform work, moving catchbasins, constructing culverts, etc., at intersection of Silver avenue and Vienna street, City's portion, $450.

County Road Fund.

(5) For the improvement of Capitol avenue between Thrift and Montana streets, City's portion, $2,430.

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 33.

(6) For completion of improvement around Larsen Park, bounded by Ulloa and Wawona streets, Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, $3,000.

Plans, Etc., Harrison Street Warehouse.

Also, Bill No. 8602, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of the Harrison street warehouse, and ordering the construction of the Harrison street warehouse, to be erected on school property situate on Harrison street between Tenth and Eleventh streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said construction of the Harrison street warehouse.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of the Harrison street warehouse, to be erected on school property situate on Harrison street between Tenth and Eleventh streets, which construction is hereby ordered, and to enter into contract for the said construction of the Harrison street warehouse in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Establish Set-back Lines.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Resolution No. 29257 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1928, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Girard street, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Wilde avenue and running thence northerly 200 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly to Harkness street, said set-back line to be 11 feet 6 inches.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 13th day of August, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

John Avansino, southeast corner of Filbert and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

George L. Carrico, west side of Third avenue, 200 feet north of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. J. Clancy, east side of Polk street, 137 feet 8 inches north of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Jackson street, 102 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, north side of Cervantes boulevard, 150 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Mallorca way, 15 feet from Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Ferrari and F. Marconi, northeast corner of Mason and Vallejo streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. G. Huenergardt, 241 Montalvo avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Irving H. Kahn, 3725 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lang Realty Co., north side of Alton avenue, 140 feet east of Ninth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, south side of Beach street, 100 feet west of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McIntosh & Durivich, west side of Twenty-fourth avenue, 75 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. S. Mallock, southwest corner of Spruce and Clay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mohr & Son, 883 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. Monson, east side of Twentieth avenue, 40 feet north of Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. W. Morton, west side of Webster street, 50 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Musante, 1450 Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. S. Newman, northwest corner of Maple and Jackson streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., 236 Moncada way, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, west side of San Filipe way, 600 feet south of San Jacinto way, 600 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, east side of Monterey boulevard, 400 feet south of San Jacinto way, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Martin Camm Co., 340 First street, 100 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 27801 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Lombard and Fillmore streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 25228 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Third street and South Park.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted W. A. Cooley by Resolution No. 27546 (New Series), for premises on the southeast corner of Geary and Johnston streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the

Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 27209 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Pacific and Drumm streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 28035 (New Series) for premises on the west side of Burnett avenue, near Portola drive.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Mikkelsen & Anderson by Resolution No. 28832 (New Series) for permises on the northeast corner of Hayes and Baker streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Mohawk Oil Company by Resolution No. 24576 (New Series) for premises on the northwest corner of Columbus avenue and Newell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred E. Anderson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted C. W. Johnson by Resolution No. 25418 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Sixth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., east side of Alviso avenue, 200 feet south of Urbano drive, 500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., southeast corner of Paloma avenue and Moncada drive, 750 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

International Harvester Company, 200 Potrero avenue, 4 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Leo A. Ostrowski be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Twenty-first and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Bernard O'Donnell by Resolution No. 25459 (New Series) for premises on the southwest corner of Plymouth avenue and Farallones street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. Brunton & Sons be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, maintain and operate an automobile sup-

ply station on the northwest corner of Fell and Broderick streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Condemnation of Property for School Purposes.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Land Committee:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Randall street, distant thereon 282 feet 9 inches easterly from the easterly line of Chenery street; running thence northerly 133 feet; thence easterly to the line of the Southern Pacific right of way; thence southerly along the said right of way to Randall street; thence westerly to the point of commencement; being a portion of Block 6657 and immediately adjoining on the south and east the present site of the Fairmount School, Chenery and Randall streets.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For school purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Mayor to Sell Temporary Buildings in Mission Park.

Resolution No. 29258 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Education to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the following temporary buildings located in Mission Park and heretofore used by the Mission High School, to-wit:

One one-story frame building lying along Dolores street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets.

One two-story frame building lying along Eighteenth street between Dolores and Church streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Accepting Offer of Elizabeth A. Kelly to Sell Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29259 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Elizabeth A. Kelly to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the northwesterly line of Delano avenue and the northeasterly line of Seneca avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,200 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of the northwesterly line of Delano avenue with the northeasterly line of Seneca avenue, running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of Seneca avenue 237 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 237 feet to the northwesterly line of Delano avenue; thence at a right angle southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Delano avenue 80 feet to the northeasterly line of Seneca avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 31 of West End Map No. 1.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said

land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following Bill was *passed for printing:*

Approval of Lease, Francisca Maternity Hospital.

Bill No. 8603, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Approving the lease of certain real property in the City and County of San Francisco made by the Board of Education to Francisca Maternity Hospital and Babies Aid.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco be, and it is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to leave to Francisca Maternity Hospital and Babies Aid, those certain lots, tracts or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-sixth avenue, distant thereon two hundred twenty-five (225) feet southerly from the southerly line of Balboa street; thence southerly along the said westerly line of Thirty-sixth avenue one hundred and fifty (150) feet; thence at right angles westerly two hundred and forty (240) feet; thence at right angles northerly one hundred and fifty (150) feet; thence at right angles easterly two hundred forty (240) feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 2. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon two hundred twenty-five (225) feet southerly from the southerly line of Balboa street; thence at right angles westerly two hundred and forty (240) feet; thence at right angles southerly one hundred and fifty (150) feet; thence at right angles easterly two hundred and forty (240) feet; thence at right angles northerly one hundred and fifty (150) feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. That said lease shall be for a period of thirty-five (35) years, and for the total rental of fifty ($50.00) dollars, payable in advance on the date of the execution and delivery of said lease, and in addition to the rental reserved in said lease to be paid therefor by the lessee named therein, the said lessee shall surrender possession to the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, of that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at point of intersection of the northerly line of Geary street with the westerly line of Twenty-ninth avenue, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Twenty-ninth avenue six hundred (600) feet to the southerly line of Clement street; thence westerly along the said last named line two hundred and forty (240) feet to the easterly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence northerly along the said last named line six hundred (600) feet to the northerly line of Geary street; thence easterly along said last named line two hundred (200) feet to the point of commencement; and shall cause to be executed and delivered to the City and County of San Francisco, a quit-claim deed relinquishing to the City and County all of its right, title, interest and estate in and to the said real property last above described.

Section 3. That said lease shall contain all the terms, covenants and conditions required by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to be contained therein, and especially the provision that all buildings or improvements placed upon said property shall become the property of the School Department of the City and County of San Francisco upon the expiration or termination of said lease.

Section 4. That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, be and they are hereby authorized to execute said lease for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its passage.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 29260 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas

and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove, change and install street lights, as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

South side Natoma street, first west of Fifth.

East side Mary street between Howard and Natoma streets.

North side Natoma street, first and second west of Mary street.

South side Natoma street, first and second west of Mary street.

North and south sides Minna street between Mary and Sixth streets.

East side Boling lane, first and second north of Twenty-fifth street.

West side Boling lane, first south of Twenty-fourth street.

North side Broadway, first and second west of Hyde street.

South side Broadway, first west of Hyde street.

North and south sides Green street, east of Grant avenue.

Northeast and southwest corners Green and Kearny streets.

North side Green street, first east of Kearny street.

South side Green street, first and second east of Kearny street.

East and west side Lucerne street, first and second south of Brannan street.

East side Boardman place between Bryant and Brannan streets.

Northwest corner Fargo place and Boardman place.

West side Boardman place between Fargo place and Brannan street.

North and south sides Sacramento street between Hyde and Larkin streets.

North side Liberty street between Noe and Castro streets.

South side Liberty street, first and second west of Noe street.

East and west sides Pierce street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.

Southwest corner Greenwich and Pierce streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Elizabeth and Noe streets.

North side Elizabeth street, first and second west of Sanchez street.

South side Elizabeth street, first and second west of Sanchez street.

Northwest and southeast corners Elizabeth and Sanchez streets.

Southeast and northwest corners Army and Folsom streets.

East and west sides Army street between Folsom and Shotwell streets.

Southeast and northwest corners Army and Shotwell streets.

Install 250 M. R.

East and west sides Balmy lane.

Lucerne street, off Brannan street.

North and south sides Elizabeth street between Sanchez and Noe streets.

Margrave place off Vallejo street.

Change 250 M. R. to 400 M. R.

Pierce street between California and Sacramento streets.

Sixth avenue between Lake and California streets.

South side Chestnut street between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.

Northwest corner Masonic avenue and Piedmont street.

Twenty-third avenue between Geary and Anza streets.

Mangels avenue and Genesee street.

Mangels avenue and Foerster street.

Install 400 M. R.

Natoma street between Mary and Fifth streets.

Corner Mary and Natoma streets.

Natoma street between Mary and Sixth streets.

Minna street between Mary and Sixth streets.

Mississippi street between Eighteenth and Mariposa streets.

Francisco street between Baker and Broderick streets.

Vienna street between Amazon and Geneva avenues.

Ordway and Brussels streets.

Ordway and Girard streets.

Idora avenue between Laguna Honda and Woodside avenue.

Van Dyke street, west of Jennings street.

Broadway between Hyde and Larkin streets.

Forty-fifth avenue between Pt. Lobos avenue and Clement street.

Green and Kearny streets.

Green street, opposite 344.

Green street, east Grant avenue.

Green street, west of Kearny street.

Union street between Montgomery and Calhoun streets.

Northwest corner Fargo place and Boardman place.

East side Boardman place about 190 feet north of Brannan street.

Sacramento street between Hyde and Larkin streets.

Westgate drive, front of 315,

Corner Bosworth and Rotteck streets.

Hamilton and Mansell streets.

Fifteenth avenue between Santiago and Rivera streets.

College avenue, east of St. Mary's avenue.

Liberty street between Castro and Noe streets.

Pierce street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.

Thirtieth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Anderson street and Esmeralda avenue.

Twenty-sixth avenue and Lawton street.

Twenty-sixth avenue and Moraga street.

Francisco street between Baker and Broderick streets.

Junction of Clayton and Ashbury streets.

Elizabeth and Sanchez streets.

Elizabeth and Noe streets.

Eighteenth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Eighteenth avenue between Lincoln way and Moraga street.

Eighteenth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets.

Eighteenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets.

Randall street and San Jose avenue.

Gates street between Ogden street and Tompkins avenue.

Folsom street between Esmeralda and Powhattan avenues.

Front of 315 Westgate drive.

Corner Army and Folsom streets.

Corner Army and Shotwell streets.

South side Silver avenue, first pole east of No. 820.

South side Silver avenue, second pole west of Yale street.

Install 400 C. P. O. B. With Refractor.

West side Funston avenue, about 75 feet south of Irving street.

Install 600 C. P. O. B.

San Jose avenue between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets (front of 657).

Change from east side Montgomery street between Green and Union streets to center of street overlooking stairs.

Install 250 Type C Electroliers.

South side Army street, front of school and church (two).

Change 400 M. R.

East side Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, one pole south.

East side Funston avenue, first south of Irving street to one pole south.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing:*

Underground Ordinance, Pacific Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8604, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section, to be known as Section 1v.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1v. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after October 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 27, Pacific avenue, from the east side of Fillmore street to the east side of Steiner street, approximately 412½ feet; wires to be placed underground during reconstruction of street.

Market Street Railway Company's Contract, Judah Street Line.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee:

Resolution No. — (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the use of the hereinafter mentioned railway tracks belonging to the Market Street Railway Company is necessary for the operation by the City of its proposed extension of the Municipal Railway lines, which extension is commonly known as the "Judah street line"; be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the Market Street Railway Company for the use of its tracks, overhead trolley and electrical equipment on the following named streets, with the right to make all necessary switches and connections with said tracks and trolleys, and to operate the cars of the City on said tracks, to-wit:

(a) On Duboce avenue between Church and Fillmore streets;

(b) On Carl street, from a point east of Cole street, westerly to Stanyan street.

That in consideration of said privilege the City shall pay to said Market Street Railway Company the sum of five hundred and fifty ($550) dollars annually and seven and one-half (7½c) cents per car mile for each car mile that the cars of the City are operated over said track, with the understanding that at the end of any annual period during the

life of said agreement, at the request of either party to said agreement, the cost of power and maintenance may be reestimated on the basis of the actual cost of the preceding year, and the payment for the succeeding year on the car mile basis shall be on the cost so determined.

That the said Market Street Railway Company shall furnish the necessary power for the operation of the City's cars, and pay all expense incident to the upkeep of said tracks or any repairs or renewal to the pavement incident to the maintenance of said tracks.

That the entering into said agreement shall not be construed as a waiver of any right or claim that either of the parties thereto may have under the general law or the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and they are hereby authorized to execute said agreement for and on behalf of the City upon the same being approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing:*

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 8605, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Britton street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Cowden street between Harkness and Wilde avenue and the crossing of Cowden street and Harkness avenue, Forty-first avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, Huron avenue between Foote and Naglee avenues, Ingalls street between Hollister and Ingerson avenues, Loehr street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Ortega street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues, Rey street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Santiago street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues and the crossing of Santiago street and Twenty-eighth avenue, Vicente street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues, Vicente street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, Vicente street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, Vicente street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues, crossing of Arch and Shields streets, crossing of Shields and Vernon streets, crossing of Prentiss street and Tompkins avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein: Britton street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Cowden street between Harkness and Wilde avenues and the crossing of Cowden street and Harkness avenue, Forty-first avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, Huron avenue between Foote and Naglee avenues, Ingalls street between Hollister and Ingerson avenues, Loehr street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Ortega street between Twenty-eighth and twenty-ninth avenues, Rey street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Santiago street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues and the crossing of Santiago street and Twenty-ninth avenue, Vicente street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues, Vicente street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, Vicente street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, Vicente street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues, crossing of Arch and Shields streets, crossing of Shields and Vernon streets, crossing of Prentiss and Tompkins avenues.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Abandonment of Public Alley.

Also, Resolution No. 29261 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest requires that certain public alleys be

closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon:

All those certain public alleys described as follows, to-wit:

(1) Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Guttenberg street, distant thereon 57.02 feet southerly from the southerly line of Morse street and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Guttenberg street 42.27 feet; thence deflecting 28 deg. 14 min. 23 sec. to the left and running southeasterly 282.27 feet to a point on the westerly line of Florentine avenue, distant thereon 95.02 feet northerly from the northerly line of Brunswick street; thence deflecting 136 deg. 50 min. 51 sec. to the left and running northerly along the westerly line of Florentine avenue 29.24 feet; thence deflecting 43 deg. 9 min. 9 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 298.38 feet to the easterly line of Guttenberg street and the point of beginning.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement, described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Guttenberg street distant thereon 67.586 feet southerly from the southerly line of Morse street, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of Guttenberg street 21.133 feet; thence deflecting 28 deg. 14 min. 23 sec. to the left and running southeasterly 286.444 feet to a point on the westerly line of Florentine avenue, distant thereon 102.331 feet northerly from the northerly line of Brunswick street; thence deflecting 136 deg. 50 min. 51 sec. to the left and running northerly along the westerly line of Florentine avenue 14.621 feet; thence deflecting 43 deg. 9 min. 9 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 294.405 feet to the easterly line of Guttenberg street and the point of beginning.

(2) Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Brunswick street, distant thereon 52.11 feet westerly from the westerly line of Concord street and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Brunswick street 25.50 feet; thence deflecting 51 deg. 39 min. 19 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 62.24 feet to a point on the easterly line of Florentine avenue, distant thereon 48.99 feet northerly from the northerly line of Brunswick street; thence deflecting 43 deg. 9 min. 9 sec. to the right and running northerly along the easterly line of Florentine avenue 29.24 feet; thence deflecting 135 deg. 50 min. 51 sec. to the right and running southeasterly 99.39 feet to the northerly line of Brunswick street and the point of beginning.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Florentine avenue, distant thereon 56.30 feet northerly from the northerly line of Brunswick street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Florentine avenue 14.621 feet; thence deflecting 136 deg. 50 min. 51 sec. to the right and running southeasterly 28.455 feet; thence deflecting 26 deg. 46 min. 54 sec. to the right and running southeasterly 33.288 feet; thence deflecting 153 deg. 13 min. 6 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along the southwesterly line of said alley 22.193 feet; thence deflecting 26 deg. 46 min. 54 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 11.096 feet; thence deflecting 26 deg. 46 min. 54 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 15.409 feet to the point of beginning.

(3) Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Brunswick street, distant thereon 246.44 feet easterly from the easterly line of Guttenberg street and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Brunswick street 20.24 feet; thence deflecting 81 deg. 10 min. 9 sec. to the right and running southeasterly 171.01 feet to a point on the westerly line of Concord street, distant thereon 167.59 feet from the southerly line of Brunswick street; thence deflecting 13 deg. 38 min. 19 sec. to the right and running southerly along the westerly line of Concord street 84.82 feet; thence deflecting 166 deg. 21 min. 41 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 256.55 feet to the southerly line of Brunswick street and the point of beginning.

Excepting therefrom a 10-foot sewer easement described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Concord street, distant thereon 209.235 feet northerly from the northerly line of Hanover street, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Concord street 18.823 feet; thence deflecting 32 deg. 5 min. 28 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 40.943 feet to the southwesterly line of said alley; thence deflecting 161 deg. 32 min. 51 sec. to the left and running southeasterly 31.594 feet; thence deflecting 18 deg. 27 min. 9 sec. to the left

and running southeasterly 26.920 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing up and abandonment of said alleys shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said Charter and Article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resoluction, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said alleys in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The San Francisco Bulletin" as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing:*

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Garfield Street.

Also, Bill No. 8606, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 28, 1928, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seven, to read as follows:

Section 907. The width of sidewalks on Garfield street between Orizaba avenue and Junipero Serra boulevard shall be nine (9) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Approval of Map, Grand View Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29262 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 102236 (Second Series), adopted May 25, 1928, approve grade map of Grand View avenue, from Stanton street to Elizabeth street, showing the proposed establishment of grades on Grand View avenue and adjoining streets between Stanton and Elizabeth streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That grade map of Grand View avenue, from Stanton street to Elizabeth street, showing the proposed establishment of grades on Grand View avenue and adjoining streets between Stanton and Elizabeth streets, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Approval of Map, Geneva Terraces.

Also Resolution No. 29263 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100886 (Second Series), approve grade map of a portion of Geneva Terraces, showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Delano avenue between Seneca and Mt. Vernon avenues, and on Niagara and Mt. Vernon avenues between Delano and San Jose avenues; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That grade map of a portion of Geneva Terraces, showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Delano avenue between Seneca and Mt. Vernon avenues, and on Niagara and Mt. Vernon avenues between Delano and San Jose avenues, be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Accepting Deed, Sewer Easement.

Also, Resolution No. 29264 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed dated June 20, 1928, between Fernando Nelson Sons, Incorporated, a cor-

poration, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to a five-foot sewer easement located between Fout avenue and Burnett avenue in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing:*

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Minerva Street and Orizaba Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8607, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered ten hundred and forty-four and ten hundred and forty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 13, 1928, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered ten hundred and forty-four and ten hundred and forty-five, to read as follows:

Section 1044. The width of sidewalks on Minerva street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Minerva street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 1045. The width of sidewalks on Orizaba avenue between Lobos street and Montana street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Orizaba avenue between Lobos street and Montana street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Intention to Change Grades.

Also, Resolution No. 29265 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 102568 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted June 20, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board, filed June 21, 1928, to-wit:

On Minerva street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues, and on Orizaba avenue between Lobos street and Montana street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Extension of Minna Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29266 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on the 21st day of May, 1928, the Board of Supervisors duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 29035 (New Series), which resolution was, on the 1st day of June, 1928, duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 29035 (New Series):

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the extension of Minna street between Tenth and Eleventh streets. The land and improvements deemed necessary to be taken for said extension of Minna street is particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Eleventh street, distant thereon 160 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mission street, and running thence

southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Eleventh street 35 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 100 feet to the southwesterly terminus of Minna street in Mission Block 6; thence at right angles northwesterly along said southwesterly terminus 35 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 100 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Mission Block 6.

And said Board of Supervisors does hereby determine and declare that said proposed extension of Minna street between Tenth and Eleventh streets is of more than ordinary public benefit and will affect and benefit the lands and district hereinafter described and which said district is hereby declared to be the district affected and benefited by said extension. The City and County of San Francisco to pay the sum of four thousand five hundred ($4,500) dollars to defray one-half of the cost of the land and improvements and therefore the remaining cost and expenses of said extension shall be and are hereby made chargeable against and shall be assessable upon said lands and district, which lands and district are within the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the exterior boundaries of said lands and district affected and benefited by said extension are particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Eleventh street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Mission street, and running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Eleventh street 125 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 50 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 231 feet 9 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly 20 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 78 feet 3 inches to a point on the southwesterly line of Tenth street, distant thereon 60 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Minna street; thence at right angles northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Tenth street 123 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 80 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 30 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 169 feet 4 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly 22 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 27 feet 4 inches; thence at right angles southeasterly 20 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 133 feet 4 inches to the point of beginning; being portion of Mission Block 6.

Said extension of Minna street between Tenth and Eleventh streets shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and sections following Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of said Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, May 21, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Shannon.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, June 1, 1928.

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Board of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause, in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance, to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the said extension of Minna street between Tenth and Eleventh street or to the extent of the district and lands to be affected and benefited by said improvement and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof or both was or were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice, or at all; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience require said improvement to be done as specifically described in said Resolution No. 29035 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order the extension of Minna street, as aforesaid, and as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 29035 (New Series); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered that said Minna street be extended as aforesaid and as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No 29035 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That the damages, costs and expenses for said extension of Minna street between Tenth street and Eleventh street be and they are hereby made chargeable against and shall be assessable upon the lands and district described in said Resolution No. 29035 (New Series) as being the lands and district affected and benfited by said extension and against which the damages, costs and expenses of said extension should be made chargeable. The City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with Resolution No. 29035 (New Series), will pay the sum of four thousand five hundred dollars to defray part of the cost and expenses of said extension of Minna street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the extension of Minna street between Tenth street and Eleventh street be done in pursuance of Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and in the manner laid down in and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter 3 of Article VI of said Charter.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Closing and Abandoning all That Portion of San Aleso Avenue Lying Between Ocean Avenue and Kenwood Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29267 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 28986 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon all that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of all that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of all that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of all that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 28986 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing and abandonment of all that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of all of that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way be and the same is hereby ordered, and that all of that portion of San Aleso avenue lying between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Meyer Rosenberg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts for the grading of Morse street between Pope and Concord streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the

hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Meyer Rosenberg, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Selby Street.

Also, Bill No. 8608, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 21, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty-six, to read as follows:

Section 1046. The width of sidewalks on Selby street between Evans avenue and its northerly termination are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Swiss Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8609, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty-one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 31, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty-one, to read as follows:

Section 1041. The width of sidewalks on Swiss avenue between Surrey street and Sussex street shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ordering Improvement of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Bill No. 8610, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Alemany boulevard, Section D-1, Contract 10, from Orizaba avenue to Sickles avenue and De Wolf street, a distance of about 2200 feet with a width of 100 feet, including two 10-foot sidewalks, by grading, sewering and paving. Authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving said plans and specifications and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the construction of said improvement, the cost of said improvements to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement of Alemany boulevard, Section D-1, Contract 10, from Orizaba avenue to Sickles avenue and De Wolf street, a distance of about 2200 feet with a width of 100 feet, including two 10-foot sidewalks by grading, sewering and paving, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

The cost of said improvement to be borne out of funds of the Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of said Alemany boulevard, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ordering the Extension of Oakwood Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29268 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the extension of Oakwood

street from its southerly termination through to Nineteenth street. The land and improvements deemed necessary to be taken for said extension of Oakwood street is particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Nineteenth street, distant thereon 220 feet easterly from the easterly line of Dolores street; thence easterly along said northerly line 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Nineteenth street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Mission Block No. 78.

And said Board of Supervisors does hereby determine and declare that said proposed extension of Oakwood street is of more than ordinary public benefit and will affect the lands and district hereinafter described and which said district is hereby declared to be the district affected by said extension. The City and County of San Francisco to pay the sum of nine thousand six hundred and fifty ($9,650) dollars to defray one-half of the cost of the land and improvements and therefore the remaining cost and expenses of said extension shall be and are hereby made chargeable against and shall be assessable upon said lands and district, which lands and district are within the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the exterior boundaries of said lands, and district affected and benefited by said extension, are particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Nineteenth street, distant thereon 195 feet easterly from the easterly line of Dolores street; thence easterly along said northerly line 115 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 70 feet 2 inches; thence deflecting 96 deg. 16 min. 20 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 208 feet 3 inches; thence deflecting 96 deg. 16 min. 20 sec. to the right and running easterly and parallel with Nineteenth street 48 feet 7 inches; thence at right angles northerly 112 feet; thence at right angles westerly 108 feet; thence at right angles northerly 101 feet to the southerly line of Eighteenth street; thence at right angles westerly and along said southerly line 104 feet to a point distant thereon 194 feet easterly from the easterly line of Dolores street; thence at right angles southerly 112 feet; thence at right angles westerly 64 feet; thence at right angles southerly 45 feet; thence at right angles westerly 20 feet; thence at right angles southerly 263 feet; thence at right angles easterly 85 feet; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Nineteenth street and the point of beginning, saving and excepting therefrom all public streets.

Said extension of Oakwood street shall be done in pursuance of Chapter 3 of Article 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and sections following Section 2 of Chapter III or Article VI of said Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week* and made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m., Supervisors Andriano and Gallagher voting *no*.

Mayor to Enter Into Agreement With Southern Pacific, Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor is herebe authorized to enter into agreements with the Southern Pacific Railway Company, terms and conditions as follows:

This agreement, made this —— day of ————, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

The parties hereto entered into a certain agreement dated March 23, 1914, relating to rights of way through Bernal Cut, in the City and County of San Francisco, and also entered into a certain agreement of even date herewith modifying and changing said agreement of March 23, 1914, and enlarging the plan of

construction of rights of way, tracks and a public street or roadway through said Bernal Cut.

In connection with said work it will be necessary that the Southern Company remove its existing team track near Bosworth street, in said City and County of San Francisco, from the southeasterly side of its track to the northwesterly side of proposed location of its main track through Bernal Cut.

Said agreement of March 23, 1914, provided, among other things, that Southern Company would convey certain land to the City, which land was conveyed pursuant to said agreement by deed dated March 23, 1914. Said deed contained a reservation on behalf of Southern Company to use a certain strip of land 8½ feet wide and a certain strip of land 13½ feet wide, both of which are hereinafter described. In the event that the agreement of even date herewith is carried out the City desires that Southern Company quitclaim to the City all of its right, title and interest in and to said strip of land covered by said reservation above mentioned.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their understandings and agreements relative to the matters hereinabove mentioned.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual and dependent promises of the parties hereto, it is hereby agreed as follows, to-wit:

First: That the City will pay to Southern Pacific Company the entire cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor, to cover supervision of removing said existing team track and replacing and reconstructing said team track in kind and in good condition upon the property hereinafter described, to be acquired by the City and conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

Concurrently with the delivery to it by the City of the deed hereinafter specified the Southern Company will deliver to the City a quitclaim deed conveying all the right, title and interest of the Southern Company in and to those certain parcels of land described as follows, being those certain strips of land 8½ feet in width and 13½ feet in width, respectively, covered by said reservation contained in said deed dated March 23, 1914, to-wit:

All those certain strips of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: A strip of land 8.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles and radially southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno Branch, between Engineer's Station 78+48.5 and 92+40.

Parcel 2: A strip of land 13.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno branch, between Engineer's Station 92+40 and 101+20.

The above described parcels of land being the same property as reserved in part III, page 3, of that certain deed dated March 23, 1914, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Southern Pacific Company and the City and County of San Francisco.

The City hereby covenants and agrees to acquire and convey to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, without cost to Southern Company, by good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances, to that certain parcel of land described as follows, to-wit:

That certain piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Roanoke street with the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence along said southeasterly line of Arlington street south 47 deg. 16 min. 17 sec. west a distance of 663.843 feet to a point; thence south 30 deg. 41 min. .09 sec. east a distance of 22.104 feet to a point, said point being distant radially 58.77 feet northwesterly from the original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way; thence in a northeasterly direction on the arc of a curve, concave to the left, having a radius of 5670.826 feet (the tangent to said curve at last mentioned point bears north 58 deg. 57 min. 20 sec. east) an arc distance of 675.82 feet to a point on said southwesterly line of Roanoke street (last described arc course is concentric with and distant northwesterly and radially 58.77 feet from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way); thence north 42 deg. 43 min. 43 sec. west along said southwesterly line of Roanoke street a distance of 118.768 feet to

the point of beginning and containing an area of 51401 square feet (equals 1.180 acres), more or less.

Upon delivery of the conveyance by the City mentioned in the last preceding paragraph of this agreement, and upon completion of the work of grading, to be done by the City as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, the Southern Company will, simultaneously with the shifting of the location of its main track, as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, remove its said existing team track from its present location and construct said team track as aforesaid upon said property above described, to be conveyed by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

This agreement is subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, and if not approved by said Railroad Commission it shall be of no force or effect.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed this agreement in quadruplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By.....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By.....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By.....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made this——— day of———, 1928, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

Under the terms of an agreement entered into on the 23rd day of March, 1914, and subsequent deed bearing even date therewith, recorded June 16, 1914, in Vol. 794 of Deeds, pages 276 and following, the City acquired, for street purposes, a portion of the railroad right of way of the Southern Company through the so-called Bernal Cut, in said City and County of San Francisco, being the easterly portion of said right of way from the westerly line of Dolores street produced, to the center line of San Jose avenue produced, near Diamond street.

It was stipulated in said agreement that the Southern Company shall grade the strip of land described in section 1 thereof, so as to provide a uniform roadway for the proposed street at least forty (40) feet in width or thirty-five (35) feet, according to the width of said strip, according to the directions and under the supervision of the City Engineer of the City, and said City shall cooperate in such work to the extent of removing encroachments and also by the erection of retaining walls wherever necessary along the line of said grading; and Southern Company agreed to deposit the material excavated as aforesaid upon such portions of the land described in said agreement and deed as may be indicated by said City Engineer, and to entirely remove all surplus material; said grading and filling to be at the expense of Southern Company. It was further understood and agreed and so provided in said agreement that the construction of retaining walls along said grading and the paving of the roadway upon said strip of land shall be at the cost and expense of the City and without cost to the Southern Company.

The City now desires to acquire additional rights of way in said Bernal Cut for the purpose of constructing a better roadway than at first contemplated and offering better facilities for the construction of its double-track street railroad adjacent to the northerly and westerly line of the land conveyed to the City for street purposes by the hereinbefore mentioned deed. Such construction would be facilitated by the railroad of the Southern Company being moved northerly and westerly not exceeding 31.27 feet.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their agreement as to their rights and obligations in connection with acquisition and exchange of certain parcels of land to provide additional rights of way for each of the parties hereto, reconstruction of the railroad and appurtenances of Southern Company, construction of the municipal railway and

the proposed street, and other matters in relation thereto.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises, it is hereby mutually agreed as follows, to-wit:

ARTICLE I.

1. The location plan, showing the changes proposed and the parcels of land to be acquired and exchanged by and between the parties hereto, for the purpose of carrying out the plans of the parties hereto as hereinafter set forth, is shown on the attached copy of Southern Pacific Company's Drawing entitled, "Proposed Improvements in Bernal Cut, San Francisco," and numbered M W D Drawing 5806, Sheet 2, which is hereby made a part hereof.

2. Southern Company hereby agrees to execute and place in escrow a conveyance to said City of all of Southern Company's right, title and interest in and to that certain portion of Southern Company's right of way in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, particularly described as follows, to-wit:

A strip of land 32.77 feet in width lying between the southerly line of Randall street and the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street), said strip being bounded and described as follows:

Bounded on the northeast by the southerly line of Randall street; on the west and northwest by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 22.77 feet westerly and northwesterly, measured radially or at right angles from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line between San Francisco and Colma; on the southwest by the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street) and on the southeast and east by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 10.00 feet southeasterly and easterly, measured radially or at right angles from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line, said line coinciding with the northerly and westerly boundary line of the strip of land heretofore conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company by deed dated March 23, 1914.

Also a triangular shaped parcel of land, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the westerly line of Dolores street, distant 100.00 feet northerly from the northerly line of Randall street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Dolores street and its prolongation a distance of 150.00 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Randall street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Randall street a distance of 22.50 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a northeasterly direction on a direct line to the point of beginning.

Said parcel of land above described are more particularly shown tinted in pink on said Drawing No. 5806, Sheet 2.

Said conveyance shall contain and be subject to the following express covenants, reservations and exceptions, to-wit:

(a) "Reserving nevertheless to the first parties (Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company), their successors and assigns, the right to use the northwesterly eighteen (18) inches in width of said strip of land 32.77 feet in width for the erection and maintenance of poles, wires, and any and all other necessary appliances, appendages and adjuncts, in the event that said first parties, their successors and assigns, should at any time operate their railroad by electricity, it being expressly understood and agreed, however, that first parties, their successors or assigns, may, instead of erecting and maintaining said poles, wires, appliances, appendages and adjuncts upon and along said eighteen (18) inch strip herein described, at their option fasten their wires, fixtures, appliances and appurtenances to any poles erected by second party along or near the northwesterly boundary line of said strip of land whenever the first parties, their successors and assigns, operate their said railroad by electricity and such right is hereby granted by second party to first parties; but in the event that said first parties do attach their wires to any poles erected by the second party, as aforesaid, then said first parties shall pay to second party one-half (½) of the then value of said poles so used, at the time the attachments are made by said first parties, and upon receipt of such payment second party shall execute and deliver to Southern Pacific Railroad Company a bill of sale conveying an undivided one-half (½) interest in said poles to Southern Pacific Railroad Company. First parties shall thereafter pay one-half (½) of the cost of maintaining said poles so used, as aforesaid, from time to time as such costs are incurred and bills rendered by second party."

(b) "Excepting and reserving unto said first parties all of those

certain rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company for themselves, their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from said companies to said City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco, also those certain rights reserved by Southern Pacific Railroad Company in that certain deed from said Southern Pacific Railroad Company to said City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco."

(c) "The foregoing conveyance is subject to all the terms, conditions, restrictions and reservations contained in that certain deed, dated October 5, 1863, from H. G. Livermore et al. to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, recorded October 6, 1863, in Book 215 of Deeds, at page 276, and subject to the rights of any and all industries located upon or adjacent to said right of way between St. Mary's avenue and Bosworth street, and subject to first parties' right to maintain such spur, team or station tracks as may be required to be maintained under any subsisting agreement, recorded or otherwise, or deed, or by law. The Southern Company hereby reserves the right to maintain and operate existing industrial spur tracks upon the land hereinabove described and to maintain and operate such spur tracks in such new locations to which any of said spurs may be moved, as hereinafter provided. The City covenants and agrees that if it is necessary to reconstruct or change the location of any or all of said existing industrial spur tracks by reason of or in connection with the use of said land by the City, the City will pay the entire cost of the work of reconstruction and relocation of said tracks, including paving, and the cost and construction of crossings of said tracks by the municipal railway, if any. Nothing herein contained shall in anywise impair the right of the first parties to use, for railroad purposes, the remaining portion of their right of way adjoining the strip of land hereinabove described on the northwest, or in anywise prejudice their rights therein or thereto."

The above mentioned conveyance shall be executed by Southern Company and placed in escrow with the California Pacific Title & Trust Company of San Francisco, California, if and when this agreement has been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to be delivered to the City when the City shall have (a) acquired the lands described in Section 1 of Article II hereof and executed and delivered to the above mentioned escrowee for account of Southern Pacific Railroad Company the conveyances to Southern Pacific Railroad Company specified in said Section 1, Article II, and (b) performed, or caused to be performed, all of the construction and reconstruction work by it to be performed or contracted for, as provided in Article II hereof.

ARTICLE II.

1. In consideration of the covenants herein agreed to be performed by the Southern Company, and without further consideration or payment, the City shall at its own expense acquire and convey or cause to be conveyed to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, by a good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances to (1) a strip of land along and adjacent to the northerly and westerly boundary line of Southern Company's right of way between Randall and Diamond streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, sufficient in width, and which, together with that portion of the Southern Company's existing right of way which will remain after the conveyance to the City of the portion heretofore described, will provide a right of way sufficient in width so that a standard gauge double track railroad may be constructed for Southern Company, and so that clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California shall be provided; (2) strips of land sufficient in width and adjoining the additional right of way for the proposed location of the proposed double track railroad of the Southern Company, to provide a sufficient area for proper slopes, not exceeding 1¼ horizontal to one vertical in the cuts and not less than two horizontal to one vertical in the fills; provided, however, that in lieu of providing such additional land for slopes, the City may construct at its sole cost and expense adequate concrete or masonry retaining walls to provide for the full and unobstructed use of land of sufficient width to permit of the construction and operation of said standard gauge double

track railroad, with clearances not less than those prescribed by said Railroad Commission, and at the grade of the Southern Company's now existing track between Randall and Diamond streets, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company. The land required for said right of way for said proposed double track railroad is shown tinted in green and the land required for said slopes is shown tinted in purple upon said drawing MWD 5806, Sheet 2.

2. In the event that it shall be necessary or advisable for the City to institute condemnation proceedings to acquire any land which is to be acquired in order to comply with the terms of this agreement, and to be conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, such proceedings may be had in the name of Southern Company, or either of them, but the City shall pay all expense thereof and all judgments and costs therein and hold Southern Company harmless therefrom.

3. The City shall, at its own expense, make all necessary excavations and construct embankments and waterways, and, if deemed necessary by Southern Company, retaining walls to support slopes on the existing right of way of Southern Company, and the said land to be acquired for the Southern Company, as hereinabove provided, so as to provide for the construction of the said double track railroad of the Southern Company, as aforesaid, and at the grade specified in Section 1 of this Article II, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company, and the City shall also pay the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of moving Southern Company's existing single track rails, ties, ballast and appurtenances to such new position, not exceeding 31.27 feet northerly and westerly of the present location, and the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of placing Southern Company's single track in such new location in at least as good condition as the existing track was at the time of being so moved, it being understood that the Southern Company will furnish all necessary ties which, in its judgment, are required to replace those unfit for further service.

4. The City shall, at its own expense, remove and reconstruct Southern Company's present telephone, telegraph and signal lines and the poles and fixtures thereof to such new position upon and along said relocated railroad line and right of way as may be required by Southern Company.

5. The City shall, at its own cost and expense, reconstruct and thereafter maintain, at clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, all bridges or other structures and foundations and appurtenances of same (including the subway at Bosworth street) located between and at Randall and Diamond streets so that the double track railroad of Southern Company may be constructed and operated without further change in said bridges, structures or appurtenances, and, in order to accomplish such works, the City shall be granted the right to the use of any land to be conveyed by the City hereunder to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, for the purpose of erecting thereon any portion of the above-mentioned structures or appurtenances thereto that may be necessary; provided, however, that the plans for any such bridges and structures and appurtenances shall be subject to the approval of the Southern Company and of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. It is also agreed that the City shall have the right to construct and maintain at its sole cost and expense drainage constructions of such character as may be required, to be placed upon or beneath the lands to be conveyed hereunder by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company; provided, however, that such drainage constructions meet with the approval of Southern Company and will in no way interfere with Southern Company's operations.

6. The City shall include in the contracts which it is to let for the grading work to be performed by it under this contract, the grading work provided to be done at the expense of Southern Company in said agreement of March 23, 1914, and Southern Company shall have the right of access at all reasonable times to the books, accounts, contracts, records and papers relating to said work, for the purpose of checking the bill or bills rendered by the City to Southern Company for the cost of said work. The Southern Company shall reimburse the City in full for all of the yardage removed or excavated and graded at the contract price or rate covering the work included in said contract for the account of the Southern Company. All of the work herein provided for to be done by the City shall be in substantial conformity with the City's Cross Section plan or map entitled "Ber-

nal Cut," numbered A-7328, hereto attached and made a part hereof.

7. It is understood and agreed that the City may enter upon said land above described for the purpose of carrying out and complying with the terms and conditions of this contract as soon as this con tract becomes effective.

8. All the expenses in connection with the construction of the future second track of said Southern Company's railroad, which the Southern Company may construct now or in the future at its option, except the work herein provided to be done by and at the sole cost and expense of the City, shall be borne by the Southern Company, as this is a facility in addition to its present trackage.

9. All work required to be done by and at the expense of the City hereunder upon or affecting the property and operations of Southern Company shall be performed by it in a good and workmanlike manner to the satisfaction of the Southern Company, according to plans approved by Southern Company or such lawful authority as may have jurisdiction in the premises, and at such times and in such manner as to interfere as little as possible with the operations of Southern Company.

10. It is expressly understood and agreed that all expense of paving and otherwise improving the roadway and constructing the municipal railway and appurtenances upon the roadway upon said strip of land 32.77 feet in width, hereinabove referred to, and upon the land heretofore conveyed by the Southern Company to the City by said deed dated March 23, 1914, recorded in Volume 794 of Deeds, at pages 276 and following, County Records of the City and County of San Francisco (except the grading and filling work as herein otherwise provided for in the previous agreement) shall be borne by the City; and in the event that any improvements upon said roadway should be done upon the local assessment plan, then and in that event the City shall pay out of its treasury any and all assessments therefor levied upon or assessed against any rights, properties or franchises of Southern Company, or either of them.

ARTICLE III.

1. It is understood and agreed that the City shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad beneath either one of the bridges carrying the railroad of the Southern Company over Thirtieth street or over Day street, and that the City shall, at its own sole cost and expense, provide the necessary clearances therefor under said bridge. The plans for said crossing and any necessary changes in the said bridge shall be subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. The City, subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad at grade across the track or tracks of Southern Company's railroad at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, subject to the terms and conditions of an agreement to be executed by the parties hereto establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings; and if the parties are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then upon such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the City. The expense of maintaining said crossings and any and all such protective device or devices, except interlocking plant, after completion, shall be borne solely by said City, but the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plant, if any, shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

2. The Southern Company shall likewise have the right, subject to the approval of said Railroad Commission, to construct a track or tracks at grade across the track or tracks of the municipal railway, subject to the terms and conditions of agreements in each case to be executed by the parties hereto, establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings, and if the parties hereto are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then subject to such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such track crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the Southern Company. The expense of maintaining said crossings and such protective devices, except interlocking plants, after completion, shall be borne solely by Southern Company and the expense of main-

tenance and operation of interlocking plants shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

ARTICLE IV.

1. Nothing contained in this agreement shall affect the rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and/or Southern Pacific Company, for themselves and their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from the said companies to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco; and in that certain deed from the Southern Pacific Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco.

2. Said agreement dated March 23, 1914, hereinabove referred to, between the parties hereto, shall be and remain in full force and effect and shall not be affected hereby unless and until the City shall comply with said conditions of the escrow hereinabove set forth; but upon full compliance with the conditions of said escrow, then and in that event this agreement shall, in so far as it changes or modifies said agreement of March 23, 1914, supersede the same.

ARTICLE V.

The City hereby agrees to forever indemnify and hold Southern Company harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, liabilities and judgments, and loss, damage and injury resulting from any damages caused or claimed in the acquisition by said City of any of said lands, or occurring in or caused by or claimed to have been caused by any and all of the work covered by this agreement. In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as here in this paragraph provided.

ARTICLE VI.

1. This agreement shall not become effective and the parties hereto shall not be bound hereby unless and until it shall have been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

2. Time is of the essence of this agreement, and if this agreement is approved by said Railroad Commission and the City does not comply with and perform all the covenants and conditions hereof to be complied with and performed by it within said term of five (5) years, this agreement and any and all rights of the parties hereto hereunder shall forthwith upon the expiration of said term of five (5) years cease and determine as completely as if this agreement had never been made.

3. This agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the respective parties hereto.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in quadruple the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,

By .....
Third Vice-President.

Attest:

.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,

By .....
General Manager.

Attest:

.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

By .....

Attest:

.....

Approved as to form:

.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made and entered into this ——— day of ———, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and Southern Pacific Company, its lessee, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties (herein collectively called "Railroad Company"), and ———, second party (herein sometimes called "Contractor").

Witnesseth:

That, whereas, on the ——— day of ————————, 1928, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company as first parties, and the City and County of San Francisco, California, as second party, entered into an agreement covering the exchange of certain parcels of land between the Railroad Company and the City and County of San Francisco, for rights of way through the so-called Bernal Cut in the said City and County of San Francisco, and covering, among other things, certain construction work more specifically set forth in said agreement dated the ——— day of ————————, 1928; and

Whereas, said agreement, dated the ——— day of ————————, 1928, contains the following provision:

"In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as herein this paragraph provided." and

Whereas, said City and County of San Francisco did, on the ——— day of ————————, 19—, enter into a contract with contractor to do and perform certain work pursuant to a compliance with the terms of said agreement dated the ——— day of ————————, 19—, above referred to:

Now, therefore, it is understood and agreed that the contractor shall, in advance of performing any work under said contract of the ——— day of ————————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, give to said Railroad Company a bond in the sum of twenty thousand (20,000) dollars, in form and of good and sufficient surety to be approved by the Assistant Treasurer of the Railroad Company, conditioned that the contractor will well and truly perform and fulfill all of the covenants, conditions, stipulations and obligations on his part in this agreement set forth and contained, to-wit:

In consideration of the Railroad Company permitting contractor to enter upon its property and perform said work, contractor agrees to indemnify and save Railroad Company harmless from and against any and all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever may be caused, resulting directly or indirectly from the performance of any or all work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, contemplated by said agreement dated ————————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and the said contractor; also from all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever same may be caused resulting directly or indirectly, made or suffered by said contractor, contractor's agents and/or employees while engaged in the performance of said work, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise.

Contractor expressly releases and discharges Railroad Company from all liability of whatsoever character for any and all personal injuries sustained by contractor while engaged in the performance of work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise, and whether resulting in death or otherwise.

Contractor expressly waives any and all claims arising from said death or injury to contractor.

The contractor agrees that the license hereby given him to enter upon the premises of the Railroad Company for the purpose of performing the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, shall not give nor be deemed or construed to give said contractor a right to do or perform any work whatsoever at any time upon or in connection with the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, that will render unsafe the use by said Railroad Company of its real estate, tracks, structures and other appurtenances now or hereafter existing, or weaken or injure the same for the operation of its trains, engines or cars or for its other purposes.

Contractor further agrees that should the Railroad Company deem

it necessary to engage any inspectors, watchmen or flagmen, or do anything to protect its engines, trains or cars during the performance by said contractor of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————————, 19—, between the said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, then and in that event contractor agrees to fully reimburse Railroad Company promptly for all cost and expense of such inspectors, watchmen, flagmen and other expenses that may be incurred by said Railroad Company incident to or in connection with work contemplated to be performed by said contractor.

Contractor further agrees that upon completion of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, contractor will promptly remove from the premises of the Railroad Company all of contractor's tools, implements and other materials and leave said premises clean and presentable.

This agreement shall not be assigned, sublet or transferred in whole or in part by contractor, except with the previous written consent of Railroad Company.

Except as otherwise provided herein, the terms and conditions of this agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of contractor and the successors and assigns of Railroad Company.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in triplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
.....
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
Contract Attorney.

Award of Contract, Milk, Etc.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 29209 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts be hereby made for furnishing supplies in the following classes, viz.:

Class 1—Milk, ice cream and groceries; Class 5—Fuel and gasoline; Class 6—Boiler compounds and fire brick; Class 8—Lubricants; Class 10—Household supplies and cordage; Class 14—Photographic supplies; Class 15—Drugs, medicines, chemicals, hospital appliances and surgical instruments; Class 16—Street and sewer material; Class 17—Drafting and engineers' supplies; Class 18—Incandescent electric lamps, that may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1928, and ending June 30, 1929, on bids submitted April 9, 1928 (Proposal No. 361), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No.

3—Panama Lamp & Commercial Co.; bond $1,000.

4—Clorox Chemical Corporation; no bond required.

5—W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co.; no bond required.

9—Atlas Rock Co.; bond $200.

10—Shell Company of California; bond $500.

12—Levenson Co.; bond $100.

13—Yates & Co., Inc.; no bond required.

14—Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson; no bond required.

16—Henry C. Hacke; bond $100.

17—Sanitary Towel Supply Co.; bond $100.

18—Haas Bros.; no bond required.

19—A. Ginocchio & Son; bond $500.

20—Pacific Silicite Co.; no bond required.

21—Western Rock Products Co.; bond $1,000.

22—United Materials Co.; bond $100.

23—Graham Fuel & Drayage Co.; bond $3,000.

25—Air Reduction Co. of California; no bond required.

26—National Ice & Cold Storage Co. of California; bond $500.

28—Ralphs Pugh Co., Inc.; bond $200.

33—Dryrite Co. of California, Inc.; bond $100.

34—Associated Gravel Co.; bond $500.

35—Easterday Supply Co., Inc.; $100.
36—Union Oil Co. of California; bond $1,000.
37—Associated Oil Co.; bond $100.
39—The A. Lietz Co.; no bond required.
40—San Francisco Gravel Co.; bond $500.
41—Novocol Chemical Mfg. Co., Inc.; no bond required.
44—The Fleischmann Co.; bond $100.
45—Standard Paper Co.; bond $200.
46—Johnson & Johnson; bond $100.
47—Eugene Dietzgen Co.; no bond required.
48—Eugene Dietzgen Co.; no bond required.
49—Justinian Caire Co.; no bond required.
51—Dairy Dale Co., San Francisco Dairy Co.; bond $2,000.
54—H. A. Metz Laboratories, Inc.; no bond required.
55—Langley & Michaels Co.; no bond required.
56—Coffin-Redington Co.; bond $500.
57—Seabury & Johnson; bond $100.
58—Jules N. Steen; bond $100.
59—The Winchester Simmons Co. of the Pacific; no bond required.
62—Henry Cowell Lime & Cement Co.; no bond required.
63—Dieterich-Post Co.; no bond required.
64—Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co.; bond $500.
66—Hirsch & Kaye; no bond required.
70—Herbert F. Dugan; bond $1,000.
72—Keuffel & Esser Co. of New York; no bond required.
73—N. Clark & Sons; bond $200.
74—Eclipse Lime & Cement Co.; bond $200.
75—Acme Gravel Co.; bond $500.
76—Lyons California Glace Fruit Co.; bond $500.
77—Braun, Knecht, Heimann Co.; bond $200.
78—California Petroleum Corp.; bond $5,000.
79—E. R. Squibb & Sons; bond $200.
80—Pacific Coast Paper Co.; bond $1,000.
81—Ben F. Maher Paint Co.; bond $100.
82—H. K. Mulford Co.; bond $500.
84—Sharp & Dohme, Inc.; bond $200.
85—Bode Gravel Co.; bond $200.
86—California Pottery Co.; bond $1,000.
88—Building Supplies Co.; bond $500.
89—Walters Surgical Co.; bond $100.
91—Travers Surgical Co.; bond $200.
92—George A. Sheehan Co.; no bond required.
94—H. Harms & Co.; bond $1,000.
96—L. H. Butcher Co.; bond $100.
97—Richfield Oil Co. of California; no bond required.
99—Remillard Brick Co.; bond $500.
100—J. Theo. Erlin Co.; no bond required.
102—Gladding, McBean & Co.; bond $200.
104—Galena-Signal Oil Co.; no bond required.
105—Linde Air Products Co. (Pacific Coast); no bond required.
107—Stuart Oxygen Co.; no bond required.
111—Lederle Antitoxin Laboratories; bond $100.
113—Industrial Solvents Corp.; bond $500.
114—Tea Garden Products Co.; bond $100.
115—Reid Bros., Inc.; no bond required.
120—W. P. Fuller & Co.; no bond required.
123—Newell Gutradt Co.; bond $200.
124—Swift & Co.; no bond required.
125—Fischbeck Soap Co.; bond $500.
126—General Chemical Co.; bond $100.
128—Blake, Moffitt & Towne; bond $500.
132—T. I. Butler; bond $200.
133—General Soap Co.; bond $200.
134—Golden Eagle Soap Co.; bond $500.
*136—General Petroleum Corp. of Calif.; bond $3,000.
137—National Ice Cream Co.; bond $500.
138—Dunham, Carrigan, Hayden Co.; bond $100.
139—Hockwald Chemical Co.; bond $500.
141—California Compressed Gas Co.; no bond required.
143—A. P. Hotaling & Co.; bond $100.
145—Standard Oil Co. of Calif.; bond $1,000.
146—Alaska Codfish Co.; bond $100.

*Note—Item No. 518 (a) and (b). Gasoline—Contract is hereby awarded to General Petroleum Corporation at $0.1374 flat per gallon with following stipulation offered

by the bidder through his attorney, A. L. Weil, Esq., viz.:

If the market price should be cut and should fall below the bid price of the General Petroleum Corporation of California for a period of sixty days, the General Petroleum Corporation is willing to give you (the Purchaser of Supplies) authority to terminate its contract and purchase the gasoline elsewhere at a lower price, unless General Petroleum is willing to meet the lower price at that time.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Stationery, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. 29270 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing stationery for the fiscal year 1928-29 be made on bids submitted May 14, 1928 (Proposal No. 371), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof, said matter having received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 1—Neal, Stratford & Kerr; no bond required .

Bid No. 2—H. S. Crocker Co., Inc., bond $100.

Bid No. 3—A. Carlisle & Co., bond $100.

Bid No. 4 — Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 5—O'Connell & Davis; no bond required.

Bid No. 6—The San Francisco News Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 7—Commercial Paper Corp.; bond $500.

Bid No 11—Blake, Moffitt & Towne; bond $500.

Bid No. 12—Pacific Coast Paper Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 14—The A. Lietz Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 15—Patrick & Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 16—H. C. Magnus & Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 18—The T. J. Cardoza Co., Inc.; bond $200.

Bid No. 22—H. & M. C. Co., Inc.; bond $100.

Bid No. 24—Pacific Carbon & Ribbon Mfg. Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 25—Fites Mfg. Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 26—H. Edwards Mfg. Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 27—C. F. Weber & Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 30—The Jenkins Hall Index Card Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 31—Western Loose Leaf Co.; no bond required.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Foodstuffs.

Also, Resolution No. 29271 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing foodstuffs during four months' term, viz.: July, August, September, October, 1928, on bids submitted June 4, 1928 (Proposal No. 377) and tabulation thereof on file in the Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee, and the awards herein approved by it, same are made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 2—Blue Ribbon Products Co.; bond $500.

Bid No. 3—California Meat Co.; bond $2,000.

Bid No. 4—South S. F. Packing & Provision Co.; bond $200.

Bid No. 5—Armour & Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 6—Standard Fisheries; bond $300.

Bid No. 7—Baumgarten Bros.; bond $500.

Bid No. 8—Del Monte Meat Co.; bond $1,000.

Bid No. 9—Morris & Co.; bond $200.

Bid No. 10—S. F. International Fish Co.; bond $200.

Bid No. 11—Haas Bros.; no bond required.

Bid No. 12—O'Brien, Sportono, Mitchell & Compagno Bros.; no bond required.

Bid No. 16—St. Charles Market; bond $100.

Bid No. 17—Geo. W. Caswell Co.; bond $500.

Bid No. 18—S. H. Tyler & Son; no bond required.

Bid No. 19—Swift & Co.; bond $200.

Bid No. 20—Fred L. Hilmer Co.; bond $1,000.

Bid No. 23—Smith Lynden & Co; no bond required.

Bid No. 25—Western States Grocery Co.; bond $100.

Bid No. 27—Hooper & Jennings (a corporation); no bond required.

Bid No. 29—California Supply Co.; no bond required.

Bid No. 30—The Best Foods, Inc.; no bond required.

Bid No. 32—Western Meat Co.; bond $200.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Furniture, School Department.

Also, Resolution No. 29272 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on bids submitted June 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 385), for furnishing the following, viz.: Stock furniture for School Department.

Item No. 1 (a) — 2500 Chair Desks, on City sample, $6.74 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 2 (a)—500 Chair Desks, on City sample, $5.47 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 2 (b)—500 Chair Desks, on City sample, $5.47 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 2 (c)—500 Chair Desks, on City sample, $5.47 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 3 (b)—1000 Chairs, tablet arm, $5.10 each; The Gunn Furniture Co.

Item No. 4 (a)—2500 Chairs, library, $2.97 each; Peck & Hills Furniture Co.

Item No. 5 (b)—3000 Chairs, assembly folding, on sample T 281, $2.95 each; Heywood-Wakefield Company.

Item No. 6 (a)—100 Desks, 50x32 inches, $27.22 each; Rucker-Fuller Co.

Item No. 7 (a)—200 Desks, 42x32 inches, $20.53 each; West-Made Desk Co.

Item No. 8 (a)—400 Stools, maple, size 18 inches; 79 cents each; Northwest Chair Company.

Item No. 8 (c)—100 Stools, maple, size 24 inches, 99 cents each; Northwest Chair Company.

Item No. 8 (e)—25 Stools, maple, size 30 inches, $1.19 each; Northwest Chair Company.

Item No. 8 (g)—Stools, maple, size 36 inches, no award.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows, viz.: C. F. Weber & Co., $2,000; Gunn Furniture Co., $500; Peck & Hills Furniture Co., $500; Heywood-Wakefield Co., $500; Rucker-Fuller Co., $500; West-Made Desk Co., $500; Northwest Chair Co.. $100.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted herein be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Castings.

Also, Resolution No. 29273 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be made to Enterprise Foundry Company on bid submitted June 26, 1928, for furnishing castings that may be ordered from time to time during the semi-annual term commencing July 1 and ending December 31, 1928, as follows, viz.:

Item No. 794—Castings, iron gray: (a) Bid price, 3⅞ cents per pound; (b) allowance for junk, $18.50 per ton.

Note: Above award is supplemental Resolution No. 29188, adopted June 18, 1928, awarding contracts on Proposal No. 375.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted herein be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Gymnasium Equipment for School Department.

Also, Resolution No. 29274 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted June 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 383), for furnishing the following, viz.: Gymnasium equipment for School Department.

(Note: Installation included in bid price where installation is specified.)

Item No. 1—Beat Boards, AGS Gym. Cat., page 56, No. 925. Everett, boys' gym, 1; Portola, 1; Horace Mann, boys' gym, 2; total 4; price, $10 each; E. P. Finnigan.

Item No. 2—Climbing Ropes with Hoist, AGS Gym, Cat., page 46, No. 798. (To be installed.) Everett, girls, 12; Everett, boys, 12; total, 24; price, $14.30 each; E. P. Finnigan.

Item No. 3—Class Clubs, Fred Medart Mfg. Co., No. 268. Everett, boys, 12 pair; Portola, 12 pair; total, 24; price, 91 cents per pair; Fred Medart Mfg. Co.

Item No. 4—Bar Stalls, AGS Gym Cat., page 13, No. 204. (To be installed.) Everett, girls, 1 group of 6 and 1 group of 15; Portola, 1 group of 10, 1 group of 3 and 1 group of 1; total, 35; price, $21.65 per section; E. P. Finnigan.

Item No. 5—Flying Rings, adjustable with hoist, Fred Medart Mfg. Co., No. 92. Everett, girls, 1 pair; Everett, boys, 1 pair; Portola, 1 pair; total 3; price, $24.48 per pair; Fred Medart Mfg. Co.

Item No. 6—Gymnasium Mats; no award.

Item No. 7—Horizontal Bars, AGS Cat., page 23, No. 554. (To be installed.) Everett, girls, 1; Everett, boys, 1; Portola, 1; total, 3; price, $79.50 each; E. P. Finnigan.

Item No. 8—Jump Standards, AGS Gym Cat., page 54, No. 908. Everett, boys, 1; Portola, 1; total, 2; price, $19.50 per pair; E. P. Finnigan.

Item No. 9—Parallel Bars, AGS Gym Cat., page 20, No. 400. Everett, boys, 1; Portola, 1; Mission, boys, 1; total, 3; price $198.45 each; E. P. Finnigan.

Item No. 10—Spring Boards, AGS Gym Cat., page 56, No. 958. Everett, boys, 1; Portola, 1; total, 2; price, $37.85 each; E. P. Finnigan.

Item No. 11—Traveling Rings with hoist, Fred Medart Mfg. Co., No. 90. (To be installed.) Portola, 4; price, $10.23 each; Fred Medart Mfg. Co.

Item No. 12—Vaulting Bucks, AGS Gym Cat., page 14, No. 305. Everett, boys, 1; Mission, boys, 1; total, 2; price, $121.50 each; E. P. Finnigan.

Item No. 13—Vaulting Horses, AGS Gym Cat., page 14, No. 300. Everett, boys, 1; Portola, 1; Galileo, girls, 1; Mission, boys, 1; total, 4; price $212.50 each; E. P. Finnigan.

Item No. 14—Volley Ball Standards, portable, with heavy metal base, without net; Medart Cat., page 55, No. 215. Everett, boys, 1 pair; Everett, girls, 1 pair; Galileo, girls, 1 pair; High School of Commerce, girls, 2 pair; Horace Mann, girls, 1 pair; total, 6; price, $19.25 per pair; Fred Medart Mfg. Co.

Item No. 15—Lunge Bolt, Chicago Gym Equip. Co., No. 147. Everett, boys, 1; price $6.48; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 16—Spring Beat Board, AGS Gym Cat., page 56, No. 927. Mission, boys, 1; price, $25.26; Fred Medart Mfg. Co.

Item No. 17—Jump Standards, AGS Gym Cat., page 54, No. 910. Lowell, boys, 1; price, $20; E. P. Finnigan.

Item No. 18—Dumbbells, ¾-pound pair, Chicago Gym Cat., No. 15. Mission, boys, 50; price, 84 cents per pair; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 19—Basketball backstops and goals. (To be installed.) Everett, girls, 2 pairs, as follows: 1 AGS plan No. 1220; 1 AGS plan No. 1220, special for gallery, $135 per pair; 1 pair AGS plan No. 1215, over radiator, $115 per pair; Everett, boys, 2 pair, as follows: 1 AGS plan No. 1220; 1 AGS plan No. 1220, special for gallery, $135 per pair; 1 pair AGS plan No. 1215, over radiator, $115 per pair; Portola, 3 pair, as follows: 1 pair AGS plan No. 1215, special on concrete posts. $115 per pair; 2 pair AGS plan No. 1215, $115 per pair; E. P. Finnigan.

Resolved, That no bonds be required for faithful performance of said contracts, as the goods are definite quantities for prompt delivery.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests

as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Athletic Goods.

Also Resolution No. 29275 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing athletic goods on bids submitted June 4, 1928 (Proposal No. 62), as follows, viz.:

General Conditions: Quantities—It is stipulated that the City has the option of increasing the quantities herein stated 20 per cent, provided that the City exercises said option within 15 days after the official award of contract. Awards—Awards herein are all made on sample submitted by the respective bidders.

Athletic Goods: Part I—For School Department (fall term, 1928). Delivery—August 13, 1928. Department of Physical Education (attention of Ray Daugherty), Room 4, Mission Grammar School, Mission, near Sixteenth.

Item No. 1—Basketballs, inseam, Wilson O. B. brand; quantity required, 15; $61.75 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 2—Basket balls, outseam, Wilson OBX brand; quantity required, 18; $61.75 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 3—Playground balls, outseam, size 14 inches, No. SO 14 brand; quantity required, 25; $17.50 per doz.; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 4—Playground balls, outseam, size 12 inches; No. SO 12 brand; quantity required, 50; $16 per doz.; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 5—Bats, baseball (indoor), Wilson No. A31 brand; quantity required, 30; $4.95 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 6—Bats, baseball, junior, second growth white ash, Frank Lefty O'Doul brand; quantity required, 10; $6.50 per doz.; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Company.

Item No. 7—Whistles, nickelplated, E. A. Co. S379 brand; quantity required, 10; $2.58 per doz.; The Ellery Arms Co.

Item No. 8—Soccer bootballs, No. 5B brand; quantity required, 21; $61.20 per doz.; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 9—Volley balls (indoor), Wilson V7 brand; quantity required, 6; $48 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 10—Volley balls (outdoor), no award.

Item No. 11—Nets (for volley balls), Reach No. 1M brand; quantity required, 3; $18 per doz.; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 12—Boxing gloves, No. 2 KL brand; quantity required, 24; $3.40 per set; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 13—Bladders, for basket balls, D & M 18 A.S. brand; quantity required, 22; $9 per doz.; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 14—Bases, for indoor baseball, heavy canvas, well sewed, unfilled (set of 3), Wilson No. A36 brand; quantity required, 10; $1.80 per set; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 15—Homeplates, for indoor baseball, best corrugated rubber, 12x12 inches; No. 3 brand; quantity required, 10; 30 cents each; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 16—Inflators, with nipples soldered on; Rawlings No. 5 brand; quantity required, 4; $14.40 per doz.; Vincent R. Barbaro.

Item No. 17—Bladders, for soccer balls; Wilson No. A2 brand; quantity required, 8; $6.60 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 18—Bladders, for volley balls; Wilson No. A2 brand; quantity required, 8; $6.60 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Part II—For Playgrounds Commission. Requirements for six months' period. Delivery July 1, 1928. (Attention N. R. Center or John Mooney, Room 5, City Hall.)

Item No. 21—Handballs; No. 304 brand; quantity required, 25; $3.60 per doz.; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 22—Inflators, with nipples soldered on, Rawlings No. 5 brand; quantity required, 3; $14.40 per doz.; Vincent F. Barbaro.

Item No. 23—Whistles, nickelplated "Police Special," E. A. Co. S379 brand; quantity required, 5; $2.58 per doz.; The Ellery Arms Co.

Item No. 24—Nets for tennis, tarred 30-thread reinforced on top; size 42 ft. 6 in. by 3 ft. 3 in.; No. 3042 TCK&M; quantity required, 5; $108 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 25—Tennis balls, delivery 20 dozen at a time; Pennsylvania Championship brand; quan-

tity required 100; $3.85 per doz.; Phil B. Bekeart Co.

Item No. 26—Frames for tennis rackets; California By-Products "Alexander" brand; quantity required, 12; $39 per doz.; Phil B. Bekeart Co.

Item No. 27—Nets for volley balls, 27-feet, 12-thread; Reach 1 M brand; quantity required, 5; $18 per doz.; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 28—Volley balls; Wilson V7 brand; quantity required, 5; $48 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 29—Bladders for volley balls, Wilson No. A2 brand; quantity required, 2; $6.60 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 30—Bladders for basketballs—No award.

Item No. 31—Soccer footballs, chrome, No. 58B brand; quantity required, 10; $61.20 per doz.; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 32—Bladders for soccer balls, Wilson No. A2 brand; quantity required, 5; $6.60 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 33—Footballs, American, Wilson No. OR brand; quantity required, 25; $48 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 34—Bladders for footballs, Wilson No. R1 brand; quantity required, 5; $6.60 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 35—Baseballs, regulation, delivery 20 doz. at a time, Wilson W150 brand; quantity required, 100; $13 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 36—Playground balls, outseam, kapok center, size 8 inches; E. A. Co S445 brand; quantity required, 5; $6.25 per doz.; The Ellery Arms Co.

Item No. 37—Playground balls, outseam, kapok center, size 12-inch (delivery 20 dozen at a time), No. 1-0S-12 brand; quantity required, 200; $10.75 per doz.; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 38—Bats, regulation baseball, 2 awards; H&B No. 125, Frank Lefty O'Doul brand; quantity required, 35; $14 per doz.; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.; Campbell "Ace" Buzz Arlett Autograph brand; quantity required, 5; $11 per doz.; Adolph Blaich, Inc.

Item No. 39—Bats, baseball, second growth white ash, Frank Lefty O'Doul brand; quantity required, 40; $6.60 per doz.; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 40—Lacers, with wooden handles, Rawlings W. L. brand; quantity required, 4; 65 cents per doz.; C. F. Weber & Company.

Item No. 41—Bases, indoor; heavy canvas, well sewed; unfilled; set of 3; Wilson No. A36 brand; quantity required, 2; $21.60 per doz. sets; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 42—Bases, outdoor; white canvas bags stuffed, D&M No. 3 brand; quantity required, 2; $52.80 per doz. sets; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 43—Mitts, catchers, No. B. P. brand; quantity required, 5; $34.20 per doz.; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 44—Gloves, fielders, No. 00W; quantity required, 5; $28.20 per doz.; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 45—Mitts, baseman, Winchester WB4 brand; quantity required, 4; $22.80 per doz.; Winchester Simmons Co. of the Pacific.

Item No. 46—Masks, catchers, D&M No. M9 brand; quantity required, 2; $36 per doz.; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 47—Chest protectors, baseball, D&M No. 78 brand; quantity required, 2; $27 per doz.; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 48—Basketballs, Wilson O. B. brand; quantity required, 10; $61.75 per doz.; Klawans & McMullin.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be not required as above is for definite quantities for immediate delivery.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except where award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Sand.

Also, Resolution No. 29276 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to United Materials Company on bid submitted June 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 38), for furnishing the following, viz.: Sand for Playground Commission as same may be required from time to time during the fiscal year 1928-29.

Specifications: Sand washed and dried equal to Lake Majella or to Monterey sand. Awarded on sample submitted. Price, $5.77 per cubic yard.

A bond in the sum of $200 re-

quired for the faithful performance of said contract.

All other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Award of Contract, Machinery Equipment.

Also, Resolution No. 29277 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing machinery equipment and tools for School Department on bid submitted May 21, 1928 (Proposal No. 79), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 1, Marshall-Newell Supply Co.; 2, Pacific States Electric Co.; 3. Ducommun Corporation; 4, Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.; 6, The A. J. Glesener Co.; 7, H. H. Plummer & Co., Inc.; 8, General Machinery & Supply Co.; 9, C. W. Marwedel; 10, The Winchester-Simmons Co. of the Pacific; 12, The Eby Machinery Co.; 13, Miller & Stern Tool Co.; 14, Smith-Booth-Usher Co.; 15, Overkamp Machinery Co.; 18, Pratt & Whitney Co.; 19, Chanslor & Lyon Co.; 25, C. F. Bulotti Machinery Co.; 27, The Electric Corporation; 28, Peninsula Iron & Steel Co.; 29, H. C. Wood Machine Works; 30, H. E. Stallman; 31, Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson; 32, Yates American Machinery Co.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be not required, as above is for definite quantities for immediate delivery.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Bridge Hearings.

On motion duly made and carried, the Bridge Hearings were continued from 11 a. m. to 2.30 p. m.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:35 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Hevenner was elected to preside.

Bridge Hearings.

Bridge matters laid over from 11 a. m. were taken up at 2:30 p. m.

CONSIDERATION OF BRIDGE APPLICATIONS.

Supervisor Gallagher, in accordance with his statement two weeks ago, asked permission to present a plan for the immediate construction of a publicly-owned transbay bridge from Rincon Hill to West Oakland and to that end requested that application No. 20 (H. S. Henion) be taken up for consideration.

Motions.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll-bridge between San Francisco and Alameda be denied.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment that No. 20 (H. S. Henion application) be taken up.

Statement of Supervisor Gallagher.

Supervisor Gallagher: I said, two weeks ago that, if the Board would be courteous enough to give me two weeks time, I would submit at this time a plan of public ownership of the bridge and bridge financing that I thought would meet with the—would compromise or nearly compromise all of the differing ideas on the policy, and the differing ideas on whether it should be done privately, publicly, or whether we should await the action of Congress or not. The Board was good enough to do that and I can see now that the motion made by Mr. McSheehy will prob-

ably cause a great deal of debate. I am willing to say to you now that the plan is ready, the tables are here, and I am willing to take up the application for a bridge which it fits. The document would have to be read to the Board in full, or nearly so, except for the financial tables, and I think you will find it a very novel plan. I have a statement to make before it is heard, and if you will take up the application that it fits it will not affect your motions to deny the other applications, which can be taken up, but you can see what there is in it by the reading and then decide what you will do with it. The members of the press have asked me for copies, and they are here, but I have declined until it is submitted to the Board to give them out. I have copies for each of the members of the Board, and I have declined, until it is submitted to the Board and the Board is ready to have it read, to give copies out. I will simply say to you that I am ready, and the application to which it refers, and the Clerk tells me there is no objection, the application to which it refers is that of H. S. Henion, I think is the name, I think it is the Henion application, yes, H. S. Henion. If Mr. McSheehy did not object, all of this plan might be given to you. I think you are going to like it. I would like to know if you would object if we would take up the Henion application, or would you rather put on your fight for a denial right now?

Supervisor McSheehy: I would. Mr. Chairman and members of the Board: I made this motion, which of course I know you all recognize, in good faith, at least I gave notice two weeks ago. And for what purpose? For the purpose of trying to accomplish something in reference to this entire bridge matter. And I feel we should deny here this afternoon these various applicants who have been heard by this Board. That is my opinion as one member of the Board. If ten members agree with me on that opinion, of course, the particular application will be denied. And Supervisor Gallagher, you, as a proponent of a certain applicant or a certain procedure or anything else, of course you have your right to start the procedure as prescribed by law. The thought that have in mind is to start getting something on its way, and we will agree eventually on some particular bridge. And we will either give a franchise for a bridge or, we have already gone on record as favoring a public ownership bridge, and which we hope to erect ourselves by receiving the proper permit from the authorities at Washington. And I hope this afternoon that the members will see fit to go along and do something that is constructive and to do something that is constructive we should deny the various applicants that have petitioned us for these franchises because we simply should deny their applications so that we can agree on some one application, or that we can positively go back to Washington with our hands stronger than they ever were before in reference to the particular application that this Board has gone on record for.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would say to Mr. McSheehy that I cannot object, of course, to his motion, but I think that if the Board would concede to me, representing those I do at the time, and I am not representing a private applicant, I think that, if you will permit this No. 20 to be taken up, you will feel that you have made no mistake in temporarily delaying your motion to deny. I say that because the Congressman, the Engineer and several others have come here, I think, to hear what this plan is. I repeat it is novel, and I think that you will all say so when you hear it. And I think, Mr. McSheehy, on the proposition of the denial, your decks may be very much more cleared when it is read than they would be now. And I would move you, sir, as an amendment, in order that I might get the sense of the Board—and I had wished Mr. McSheehy would have helped me out in this, it has been a rather hard task—I would move you, as an amendment, if I am in order, that the application No. 20 on the calendar on page B14 be taken up. If Mr. McSheehy would consent to that I am very sure he would not be sorry after it has been taken up.

Supervisor Andriano: I will second that motion.

The Chairman: May I ask Supervisor Kent to take the chair?

(Supervisor Kent takes the chair.)

* * * * *

Subsequently, Supervisor McSheehy withdrew the foregoing motion.

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher renewed his motion that No. 20, the H. S. Henion application be taken up.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that No. 20 be denied.

Supervisor Gallagher Presents Plan.

Supervisor Gallagher: I want to say, to the members of the Board, the Mayor, Supervisor Havenner and everybody concerned we have found ourselves—I am not going into much argument on the question of how can we get a publicly-owned bridge and how can it be financed. Mr. A. W. Scott and others, Mr. C. E. Reinhart and others have agreed to raise sufficient funds, to the tune of $65,000,000, to build your bridge and give you the bridge the day it is completed, that is a part of this plan. And it is worth while of a hearing. The bill before the Senate provides for public ownership and operation. This plan provides for that. That it may not be perfect is true. Supervisor Stanton probably said a good deal. This is not to the exclusion of any other citizens. Any other set of citizens can come in, and when this is submitted submit something better. I am submitting to this Board this thought: that you gave me two weeks to get it ready. It was nearly ready two weeks ago. I had to meet with bankers, I had to meet with financiers, I had to find out where I was at. You gave me two weeks to get it ready. It is now ready for presentation. All I have asked you is to listen. You may throw it in the waste basket. I doubt that you will. Your eyes are going to be opened to this fact—and this is not said in deprecation of anybody else's application—that if you gave a franchise for a bridge to anybody their profit out of it will be just $200,000,000. That is surprising information to this Board, and this plan shows it. Now, all I have asked of this Board is to consider and give me an apportunity to present it. If you do not like it, it is through. I merely desired a complimentary second. I am not bringing the issue on, I am presenting you the following resolution, just to get it before the Board properly: "Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby instructed to prepare the necessary legal proceedings to grant H. S. Henion a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a bridge across San Francisco Bay." I present, first, an assignment from H. S. Henion to Montgomery K. Miller for this application, attested to by a notary public, sworn to. I present the assignment to M. K. Miller. (Reading assignment.) That takes Mr. Henion out of the picture, that takes Mr. Miller out of the picture and puts the plan before you on its merits as a publicly owned and operated bridge. And if you will listen to the plan, you will find in this plan some startling information as to what you are able to do with the bridge under your own engineers, along the lines that your own engineers set, and it is a Rincon Hill bridge.

Supervisor Andriano: I second that.

The Chairman: Any objection?

Supervisor Gallagher: I present this copy to the Clerk. and if the Clerk will give me some help—there are on the top there copies for the press and below are copies for the members of the Board. I would like to say to the——

Supervisor Deasy (interrupting): Another bridge plan?

Supervisor Gallagher: No, it is not another bridge plan. I will tell you what I think about this. This plan was brought to my attention by Mr. A. W. Scott, who said that he thought that, considering the conflicting views of people who wanted to build the bridge privately and the people who wanted to build the bridge publicly, that there might be some compromise. I think this is it. This does help, and it will be proven that it does help the fight of the Congressman and Senator in Washington. Will you proceed to read, Mr. Clerk, and I will follow you.

(The Clerk reads the plan.)

A Plan for the Immediate Construction of a Publicly-owned Transbay Bridge From Rincon Hill, San Francisco, to West Oakland, Without Legislation, Without Changing the City Charter, Without Creating a Bridge District, Without Issuing Municipal Bonds and Without the City Incurring Any Future Financial Obligations. The Bridge to Be Financed Under Public Supervision Solely on Its Own Security. The City and County of San Francisco to Take Over the Owneship, Control and Operation of the Bridge Immediately Upon Its Completion.

Outstanding Features of the Plan.

Public inspection and approval of all bridge plans.

Public inspection and approval of all bridge specifications.

Public inspection and approval of all bridge materials.

Public inspection and approval of all bridge contracts.

Public inspection and approval of all bridge financing.

Public ownership and operation upon completion of the bridge.

Public fixing of all bridge tolls.

Major Advantages of the Plan.

Avoids State legislation.

Avoids Federal legislation.

Avoids changing Charter.

Avoids formation of a bridge district.

Avoids voting municipal bonds.

Avoids three to five years' delay.

Avoids assumption by San Francisco of any future financial bridge obligations.

Avoids complications incident to divided ownership and control, which a bridge district would entail.

The only obligation San Francisco assumes is to annually fix the schedule of tolls.

This plan for the financing and construction of the San Francisco transbay bridge is similar to the Port of New York Authority plan. It embodies all the advantages and eliminates certain features thereof which make it inapplicable to conditions which obtain in San Francisco and California.

Under this plan the transbay bridge will be financed and constructed under public supervision. The City and County of San Francisco will acquire the ownership, control and operation of the bridge immediately upon its completion. It will be unnecessary for the City and County of San Francisco or the State of California to furnish any part of the cost of the bridge, as was required under the Port of New York Authority plan. The bridge will be paid for out of its own revenues without costing the City and County of San Francisco or the taxpayers one dollar.

The City to advance the cost of bay soundings and the expenses of securing the permit from the Federal authorities, which cost and expenses are to be repaid, with interest, to the City out of bridge financing.

The Plan.

1. Grant or assign to a corporation, now in the process of incorporation, a franchise to construct the bridge. This corporation will be hereinafter referred to as the "Bridge Corporation."

2. The Bridge Corporation will issue and sell bonds equal to the entire cost of the bridge, estimated at sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000). The bonds to be direct and general obligations of the Bridge Corporation, and bear interest at not more than six per cent (6%) per annum. The bonds will be divided into two issues, a first of fifty million dollars ($50,000,000) and a second for the balance.

3. Pending sale and delivery, all bonds shall be deposited with a depositary designated by the Board of Supervisors, preferably the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

4. Bonds shall be sold to the highest bidder, but not less than ninety-five.

5. Organize a board, composed of three engineers, one to be appointed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, one by the underwriter of the first bond issue, and the third by the Bridge Corporation. The board of engineers shall inspect and approve all bridge plans and specifications and construction work. The board shall appoint and act in conjunction with a nationally-known inspection bureau for the purpose of inspecting and approving all materials used in the construction of the bridge. Compensation for said board of engineers to be fixed by commission referred to in paragraph 6 hereof.

6. Organize a commission of nine members, composed of leading citizens of San Francisco, five to be appointed by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and four by the Bridge Corporation. The commission shall have general advisory supervision of all bridge matters and shall approve the sale of all bonds, all contracts before letting, and the acquisition of all rights of way. The commission to act without compensation and may function through committees of its members.

7. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall appoint one or more auditors to audit all Bridge Corporation books and accounts, and furnish to San Francisco, from time to time, full audits and statements showing in detail (a) all bridge funds received; (b) all bridge funds disbursed; (c) to whom disbursed, and (d) the purpose for which disbursements were made; and all other information which the Board of Supervisors may, from time to time, require. The books of the Bridge Corporation shall at all times be open to public inspection.

8. Pending disbursement the proceeds of the sale of bonds shall be deposited in local banks or trust companies paying the highest rate of interest; such banks or trust companies shall be selected by the underwriter of the first bond issue and the commission.

9. The bridge will be constructed at cost, plus reasonable compensation to the Bridge Corporation, such

compensation to be fixed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

10. The City and County of San Francisco wil advance the costs of soundings and the expense of securing the permit from the Federal government. It is estimated that the cost of soundings will not exceed one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000). The City will be repaid all sums so advanced, with interest, out of bridge financing.

11. The Bridge Corporation, concurrently with the granting of the franchise, shall enter into an agreement with the City and County of San Francisco, by the terms of which the Bridge Corporation will agree to convey the ownership and deliver possession and control of the bridge immediately upon its completion, to the City, the City agreeing to fix tolls (not in excess of the rate authorized by the provisions of Subdivision 3 of Section 2845 of the Political Code), sufficient to raise adequate revenues to pay all charges for the maintenance and operation of the bridge, and all interest and bond redemption payments.

12. Redemption of bonds shall commence eight years after the date of the trust deed securing the first bond issue, and to be fully redeemed in not less than twenty years thereafter. It is estimated that the period of construction will be five years, and that redemption of bonds will commence three years after completion.

13. The only obligation to be assumed by the City and County of San Francisco will be its agreement to fix tolls as aforesaid, and as provided in paragraph 10 hereof.

14. After provision has been made for the operation and maintenance of the bridge and for the payment of interest on and the redemption of bonds, the Board of Supervisors may, if it so elects, create a maintenance and operation fund out of the surplus and earnings of the bridge. When this fund is sufficiently large, the interest thereon may be used to thereafter pay all costs and expenses incidental to the City's ownership and operation thereof, thus giving the public a free, self-sustaining bridge without placing any future burden on the City and County of San Francisco or its taxpayers.

15. After the bridge is constructed and ready for operation and all bills paid, the surplus remaining from the sale of bonds shall be transferred to the bond redemption account under the control of the City and all unsold bonds shall be cancelled.

By the adoption of the foregoing plan, the bridge will be constructed at the lowest possible cost and a great many savings effected. Under this plan of public ownership the bridge, its properties and its revenues will be exempt from State and county taxation.

The income derived from the operation of the bridge will be exempt from Federal income taxes under the provisions of the Revenue Act of 1924, 1926 and 1927. Immunity from Federal taxation follows from the fact that the transbay bridge will be owned, controlled and operated by the City and County of San Francisco.

This plan is in accord with the policy of Senator Johnson and Congressman Welch. It was, in fact, largely inspired by their intelligent, persistent and untiring efforts to secure for the people of the San Francisco Bay District a publicly-owned transbay bridge, and is submitted with full confidence that, if adopted by the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, and even if rejected by the War Department, it will immeasurably aid Senator Johnson and Congressman Welch in securing favorably congressional action. The plan conforms in all main esentials to the declarations of the Senate and House committees for a publicly constructed and owned transbay bridge.

An Analysis of the Port of New York Authority Plan and Its Application to the City and County of San Francisco Bay Bridge Problem.

It has been suggested that San Francisco adopt and follow the Port of New York Authority plan in solving its transbay bridge problem.

The Port of New York Authority is a quasi-municipal corporate instrumentality created by a treaty between the states of New York and New Jersey, and approved by an act of Congress of the United States.

It created a port or bridge district, which embraces the municipalities of New York, Hoboken, Newark, South Amboy and Jersey City.

It is governed by a commission of five members. It has authority to purchase, construct, lease, hold and operate any terminal, bridge or transportation facility within the district, and to issue bonds and secure the same by mortgage or pledge of any properties held, owned or operated by it, and all its revenues.

It cannot pledge the credit of the states, cities or of the district, nor

can it levy or collect taxes, nor can taxes be levied or collected therefor.

Its power is strictly limited to the borrowing of money, issuing its own mortgages and bonds to cover the cost of the property which it constructs, purchases, leases or operates. The Port of New York Authority plan resembles a private corporation created for a special public purpose, placed under public control, and operated for the benefit of the public.

The principal advantages of the Port of New York Authority plan are:

1. It creates a special corporate agency which is empowered by law to raise funds for the sale of its own securities to make public improvements within the district without subjecting the municipalities therein to future financial obligations, and without the necessity of increasing the bonded indebtedness or the taxes of such municipalities. All funds raised by it are secured solely by such improvements and the revenues derived therefrom.

2. It places the construction and management of such improvements under immediate public ownership and control, thus giving the public the full benefit of all savings and profits derived therefrom, and at the same time avoiding the danger of exploitation of the public by private monopoly.

3. The bonds issued by the Port of New York Authority, as well as the earnings or income from its property and improvements, are entirely exempt from Federal, State, county or municipal taxation.

The above advantages should be adopted by the City and County of San Francisco in the construction of the transbay bridge. The Port of New York Authority plan, however, has several features, which, under existing conditions and the laws of the State of California, make it inapplicable to San Francisco's transbay bridge problem. Among these features are the following:

1. The Port of New York Authority plan requires the formation of a port or bridge district, including therein a number of incorporated cities and towns, which in San Francisco's case would necessarily include the cities of San Francisco, Oakland, Alameda and Berkeley, and several towns in the Bay District. The consent of these cities and towns to the formation of the port or bridge district would first have to be obtained. There are no state laws in California at the present time authorizing the formation of such a district. It would, therefore, be necessary to secure the necessary new legislation in order to make the plan operative. It would also be necessary for San Francisco, Oakland and the other cities and towns included to amend their present charters to comply with the new legislation.

This new legislation would necessarily require a delay of from three to five years. It took approximately four years to carry the necessary legislation through its various stages in the Port of New York Authority case. San Francisco might do it in a shorter time, but it is reasonable to assume that it would take at least three years, and with out present system of referendum, it may require five years. Such a delay under present transportation conditions in San Francisco Bay would be ruinous to the interests of the Bay cities and would not meet with the approval of the people when fully understood.

2. The question of divided control of the transbay bridge should be seriously considered. San Francisco is the moving party in this enterprise, and while the construction of the bridge will benefit the other bay cities as well as San Francisco, it is true that San Francisco has borne, and will continue to bear, the burdens of carrying the enterprise to a successful conclusion. San Francisco should, therefore, have the controlling voice in the conduct and management of the bridge. If a bridge district be formed, as in New York, it is reasonable to assume that Oakland, Berkeley, Alameda and the other bay cities and towns in the district would insist upon their full share in the control and management of the bridge. If the Port of New York Authority plan is adopted, the power of fixing tolls, as well as the general management and control of the enterprise will be taken from the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and placed in an independent, joint board or commission over which the people of San Francisco will have no control. This constitutes a serious problem which deserves the most careful consideration of the Board of Supervisors and the people of San Francisco.

3. By the adoption of the Port of New York Authority plan the State of California would be required to do the junior financing of the transbay bridge. The states of New York and New Jersey advanced one-fourth of the entire cost

of the two bridges that were built under that plan, and the full amount so advanced by the states was subordinated, both as to principal and interest, under the provisions of the act, to the bonds issued by the Port of New York Authority.

The estimated cost of the San Francisco transbay bridge is sixty-five million dollars ($65,000,000). Under the Port of New York Authority plan the State of California would be required to advance twenty-five per cent (25%), or sixteen million two hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($16,250,000), of this amount. One familiar with the keen political divisions of California knows that it would be extremely difficult to get any such measure through our State Legislature. The Los Angeles and Southern California delegations would undoubtedly defeat it, for the reason that they are not at all interested in bridging San Francisco Bay. The Bay of San Francisco forms no obstruction whatever to the communication between San Francisco and Los Angeles, because southern traffic enters through the peninsula side of San Francisco Bay.

4. Under the Port of New York Authority plan the cities of San Francisco, Oakland, Berkeley, Alameda and others included in the district would be precluded from contributing any financial assistance to the construction of the bridge, because the plan prohibits the bonding of the district or any municipality therein, or the levying of taxes thereon for bridge purposes. The only securities possible to pledge under the plan are the bridge properties and its revenues. In the preceding paragraph attention is directed to the impossibility of securing State aid. Therefore no financial assistance can be expected under the Port of New York Authority plan from either the State of California or the municipalities which would be included in the San Francisco bridge district.

The foregoing objections make the adoption of the Port of New York Authority plan inapplicable in this State.

The plan submitted herewith for the financing and construction of the transbay bridge adopts all of the advantages and eliminates the disadvantages of the Port of New York Authority plan. Under the plan submitted no State or Federal legislation is required, no funds from the State or City are required, the formation of the bridge district is unnecessary, and there is no danger of divided control of the bridge. The main feature of this plan is that the transbay bridge will be financed and constructed under public supervision, and the City and County of San Francisco will take over its ownership, operation and control immediately upon completion, without the cost of one dollar or the assumption of any financial obligation whatsoever.

San Francisco, Cal.,
July 7, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The foregoing plan for financing and constructing the San Francisco transbay bridge has been carefully considered by me and meets with my approval.

I have been requested to assign my application for a bay bridge franchise (filed March 14, 1927, in the name of H. S. Henion, and assigned to me, copy of the assignment hereto attached) to the Bridge Corporation mentioned in the plan. This I agree to do if the plan meets with your approval.

Therefore, if the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco adopt a resolution of intention to grant a bay bridge franchise on condition that the bridge be financed and constructed substantially in accordance with this plan, I hereby agree to assign my application, or the franchise, if it is issued in my name, to said Bridge Corporation.

Submitted herewith is data as to the cost of the bridge, etc. Exhibits "A", "B" and "C" show the financial soundness of a centrally located bridge. Exhibit "D" gives an approximate detailed estimate of the steel construction cost, etc. Exhibit "E" shows the location of the route specified in my application; also other data.

Respectfully submitted,
MONTGOMERY K. MILLER.

Oakland, Cal., April 29, 1927.

To Whom It May Concern:

For a valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, I, the undersigned, H. S. Henion, do hereby assign, transfer and set over to Montgomery K. Miller, of Oakland, California, his heirs or assigns, all my right, title and interest in and to that certain application for a toll-bridge franchise over the Bay of San Francisco, from the City and County of San Francisco to the County of Alameda, which application was made by me to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

on the 14th day of March, 1927, and is now pending before that Board; and in the event of such a franchise being granted pursuant to said application, or any amendment or modification thereof, I hereby assign, transfer and set over such franchise to said Montgomery K. Miller, his heirs or assigns, with all rights thereunder or appertaining thereto.

I hereby authorize and direct that such franchise be issued to and in the name of said Montgomery K. Miller, his heirs or assigns; and I ratify and confirm that certain assignment heretofore made by me to said Montgomery K. Miller on the 28th day of January, 1927, wherein I assigned to him all my right, title and interest, present and future, in and to my said application; and I hereby agree to execute and deliver to said Montgomery K. Miller, his heirs or assigns, such further document or documents as he or they may at any time deem necessary to effectuate the assignment hereinabove set forth, or to secure the issuance to him or them of the franchise hereinabove mentioned, and this agreement to execute and deliver shall be binding upon my heirs, executors and administrators.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto subscribed my name the day and year first above written.

(Signed) H. S. HENION.

Acknowledged before Victor A. Dunn, Notary Public, County of Alameda.

Description of Bridge.

Double-deck high-level steel structure, including four types of construction, viz.: Cantilever, truss, girder and viaduct.

Width not less than 80 feet.

Height above mean high water to be fixed by War Department, probably 150 feet.

Length, including approaches, approximately six miles.

Location: From Rincon Hill southerly via Second street to Pier No. 46, thence easterly across the Bay to a point about 3000 feet south of the Alameda Mole, thence easterly and northerly to West Oakland and Alameda.

Lower deck will have double tracks for electric train service and four vehicular traffic lanes.

Upper deck will have four vehicular lanes, which may be only partially included in first construction and completed when traffic requires. It is estimated that the completion of the upper deck will cost $2,000,000. The question whether the upper deck should be constructed now or later is one which must be determined by the Board of Engineers provided for in this plan, after a thorough study of conditions.

Capacity of the Bridge.

The automobile capacity of traffic lanes on bridges exceeds 1000 vehicles per hour. Four lanes on lower deck, two each way, therefore, will accommodate four thousand vehicles per hour—40,000 in ten hours. This is approximately equivalent to a traffic of 15,000,000 vehicles per year, more than twice the traffic expected the first year's operation, in 1935. Assuming a 10 per cent increase in vehicular traffic each year, the four lower deck lanes will carry the load for nine years. Therefore, it is contemplated (but not fully decided) to install only the floor beams of the upper deck during initial construction, these members serving also as lateral bracing, and rendering completion of the upper floor practicable without interference with traffic on the lower deck.

Estimated Cost of Bridge.

Subject to adjustment when prices of bonds, foundation conditions, etc., are known.

Steel superstructure (see Exhibit "D")	$19,800,000
Foundations	19,000,000
Flooring	2,000,000
Approaches and rights of way	5,000,000
Interest during construction	7,000,000
Legal expenses, including condemnation proceedings, etc.	200,000
Engineering fee of 6 per cent (on $50,000,000), to include cost of complete organization of experts and consulting engineers for four to five years' operations	3,000,000
Bond discount, estimated	3,200,000
Contingencies	5,750,000
Total cost	$65,000,000

Detail of Estimated Revenue Per Year.

As shown in Exhibit "A".

Your Board of Engineers estimates that transbay auto and passenger traffic over a bridge in 1935 will be 6,171,000 autos and 55,480,000 passengers. About one-half the passengers are commuters (according to official reports to the Railroad Commission).

Your Board of Engineers also estimates that traffic will increase at the rate of 2 per cent per annum. Our estimate of revenue for 1935 is based upon these figures for volume, after deducting 20 per cent

of commute and passenger traffic, on the assumption that the ferry boats will continue to operate and hold 20 per cent of the passenger business. (See Schedule A, Column 3.)

We believe, however, that the bridge, centrally located as herein proposed, will eliminate ferry boat traffic between San Francisco and Oakland, Alameda and Berkeley almost entirely.

The bridge will provide double tracks for electric trains for the commuters, avoiding the present transfer at the moles, reducing the time considerably and improving the service. Passengers from transcontinental trains may be transferred to electric trains or motor buses in place of the ferry boats. There is no possible basis for assuming that ferry boats can continue to operate as a business proposition. (See Engineer's Report, page 51.)

Our proposed schedule of tolls is based upon present ferry rates—10 cents each way for commuters and 20 cents each way for other foot passengers and 5 cents for passengers in automobiles; one-half the commute and passenger fares to go to the bridge, the other one-half to the transportation companies furnishing electric cars or motor buses. Automobile fares can be reduced as much as 25 per cent and still leave the earning capacity of the bridge entirely satisfactory. (See Schedule B, Columns 3-5-7.)

Estimated Gross Annual Revenue.

Upon the above basis the gross revenue in 1935 will be as follows:

6,171,600 automobiles, at 45 cents	$2,777,220
20,858,500 commuters, at 5 cents (less 20%)	834,340
20,858,500 passengers, at 10 cents (less 20%)	1,668,680
13,763,000 passengers in autos, at 5 cents	688,150
Auto freight trucks with loads	500,000
A commute rate for autos of $12 per month will probably influence many commuters to drive over the bridge in their own cars. This would yield additional revenue of, say	300,000
Miscellaneous traffic	46,500
First year's total gross revenue	$6,814,890

The above estimate for auto traffic is low. The traffic on the four bridges crossing East River, New York, increased from 40 per cent to 60 per cent per year for the period from 1916 to 1926. (See Board of Engineers' Report, page 63.) The average increase in auto traffic between San Francisco and the east bay cities for the past four years has been 28 per cent per year. It is not at all improbable that an auto toll of 30 cents would stimulate traffic 50 per cent, thus producing the same revenue as our 45 cents toll.

Our estimate of operating expenses is ultra conservatively high. We allow $750,000 for maintenance and operation, $975,000 for depreciation, $325,000 for insurance and $300,000 for contingencies and unexpected expenses, making a total of $2,350,000, as against an estimate by a transcontinental railroad company's engineers of $750,000 for maintenance and operation, $50,000 for administration, $100,000 for insurance and $1,300,000 for taxes, a total of $2,200,000. The municipality will have no taxes to pay. In other words, our estimate for operating expenses is $2,350,000 as against $900,000 by the railroad company.

Advantages of Location.

Up to the present moment the engineering details of a definite, final, correct location for a bridge joining Rincon Hill and West Oakland have not received the careful consideration which their importance requires.

The bridge location, to be correct, must join the adopted terminals with the shortest line possible consistent with the least obstruction to general navigation, the least damage to the Oakland waterfront, the Alameda waterfront and the San Francisco waterfront, the least hazard to transbay ferry traffic and commercial shipping, the least obstruction to naval requirements, and the least menace to transbay traffic during construction of the bridge. A correct location line must be entirely free of short radius curves.

This location provides for all these requirements and has all the following paramount advantages and is as nearly perfect as is possible in joining Rincon Hill and West Oakland.

1. The bridge location leaves the Oakland waterfront entirely free from any obstruction and conforms with the official demands of that city.

2. It clears the Alameda Mole by a wide margin and leaves abundant space on that city's waterfront between the Mole and proposed bridge for extensive harbor development for municipal use or naval base pur-

poses. It conforms with the demands of the Alameda city government.

3. It crosses the San Francisco waterfront at the only correct point for a bridge crossing—namely, Pier No. 46—because that crossing leaves the entire waterfront north of China Basin free from obstruction. Any location further south would force traffic to cross Channel street over draw-bridges, a fatal obstacle to transbay traffic.

4. It clears the naval anchorage entirely; also the anchorage for explosives by a wide margin.

5. It leaves an extra half-mile of open water area between Goat Island and the bridge for naval maneuvering.

6. This extra half-mile of open water is of tremendous importance to all interests and should be maintained. It will eliminate hazard to transbay traffic during construction of the bridge. For three or four years its construction will necessitate the constant use of tugs, barges, coffer dams, caisons, etc.—all of which, obviously, should be a safe distance south of the lanes of transbay traffic. In foggy weather ferry boats and commercial ships to and from Oakland estuary frequently drift considerably south of their regular lanes. It would be almost a criminal disregard for many thousands of human lives and much property to build a bridge—unnecessarily—on a location in close proximity to the traffic lanes.

7. The extra half-mile of open water should, and probably will, eliminate opposition from the Navy because it not only clears the official naval anchorage and increases space for naval maneuverings, but also leaves abundant space on the Alameda western waterfront for harbor development for naval base purposes or municipal use. We submit a definite plan and leave the necessary space for this development, comprising six large docks, one thousand feet in length, equal to 2¼ miles of berthing space, 3500 lineal feet of quay wall berthing space, and three drydocks, all with an ideal anchorage and turning basin. It is the only project before the Board, economically within the first choice zone, which provides for these vitally important requirements.

8. The "Ship Owners Association," representing nearly 500 merchant ships which enter this harbor, greatly prefer this location to any other route further north.

9. At Pier No. 46 the mud is only 20 feet deep to hard bottom—the most favorable condition found on the whole San Francisco front.

10. This location connects with Rincon Hill via Second street with an elevated approach, without obstructing any intersection streets.

11. This location eliminates the large expense of crossing diagonally several blocks of improved business property as would be necessary on a line direct from Rincon Hill to Alameda Mole.

12. This location is entirely free of short radius curves. Electric trains, auto busses and private automobiles will travel 30 to 40 miles per hour over this bridge and any short radius "death" curves would greatly impair its usefulness.

13. This location, with all the above mentioned advantages, is economically within the "first choice" zone. It is only 800 feet longer between the terminals, which are approximately six miles apart, than the original "first choice" line. It provides for all the factors involved in the problem, which claim cannot be successfully denied and is the only application pending for which such a claim can be proven.

14. Grant of this franchise will, in effect, be notice to State and Federal authorities and financial and shipping interests that after an exhaustive investigation San Francisco has officially reached the settled conviction that her transbay problem can be and will be correctly solved only by the centrally located bridge herein provided for. Any claim that navigation in general, or the naval operations in wartime, will be interfered with to any serious degree can be proved to be erroneous. San Francisco, in these respects, is the most vitally interested party, and is thoroughly convinced of the soundness of her position.

Congressional Committee having already approved a bay bridge on a location which cuts through the naval anchorage, cuts off the Alameda Naval Base, and which also cuts off eight of the most important docks on the San Francisco waterfront, will certainly approve more strongly than ever a parallel route —joining the same terminals, which by reason of being located 1500 feet further south, entirely eliminates all those fatal objections.

Application for a permit will be made with full confidence that, because of the greatly improved location further south and the more complete data on the subject than has previously been submitted, the permit will be granted by the War Department. Congress can also be

appealed to at the next session with renewed and greater confidence than before.

(*Attached Exhibits A, B, C, D, E.*)

The Clerk: A letter signed by Montgomery K. Miller.

Supervisor Gallagher: I called attention to that.

The Clerk: The next one H. S. Henion. Do you want that read?

Supervisor Gallagher: No.

The Clerk: A description of the bridge; do you want that read?

Supervisor Gallagher: Yes.

(The Clerk reads description of the bridge.)

(At this time Supervisor Toner acts as chairman.)

Supervisor Gallagher: May I interrupt you, Mr. Clerk? If the members will turn over they will see here a table, entitled Exhibit A. I do not intend, of course, that it will be necessary to check these figures. I wish to say that the gentleman sitting beside me, his name is signed at the bottom, Oscar T. Holdal, a certified public accountant, who has checked these figures. These figures I am going to call your attention to one which may occur to you to be an error, and you can check them at your leisure and examine them. Exhibit A is the estimate of income and expense of transbay bridge from 1935 to 1954, inclusive, based on an auto toll of 45 cents, a commute passenger toll of 5 cents and other passengers 10 cents, with 2% annual increase. The first year's gross income, according to the report of your own engineers, not ours, the engineers who figured on this, would be $7,440,645. But in order to be safe, the gentlemen who are bringing this to you have allowed the ferries 20 per cent of the traffic. They have taken the position that perhaps they might not be all eliminated, so they have allowed the ferries, in Column No. 3, $625,755, the allotment if the ferries still ran. Column 4 is the balance of the gross income, taking out first $7,000,000 in Column 2, subtracting the $625,000 in Column 3, gives you the gross income for the bridge of $6,814,000. Then follows the insurance per year, then the maintenance and operation costs, which I think admittedly are high, and if they are, of course, so much the better for us. Then the contingencies and unexpected expenses per year. Then the interest on the bonds, the bond redemption commencing, as you notice down here, in 1928, three years after the bridge is in operation. The depreciation fund of $975,000. This is set aside, as is usual with all other utilities, and then the next column is the interest on the depreciation fund, the next column is 4½% interest on the accumulated surplus. Column No. 13 I want to call your special attention to. Column No. 13 is the additional surplus taken year by year. You will notice that when you get down to 1940 there is a figure in red there, $174,033.96. That is not added to that column, but when the column is added it is subtracted, for this reason: that you will notice in the years 1933 to 1940 they pay $500,000 more in bond redemption than they did previously, so that when you see that red figure in Column 13 you subtract that from the total at the bottom. Then it goes on and shows the accumulated surplus year by year. It shows the income allowed the ferries year by year, 4½% increase. Then the interest on accumulated surplus. The totals are as follows: Allowing the ferries their 20% of the traffic, the total gross income, added year by year, to the City and County, or not to the City and County except for bond redemption, would be a hundred and sixty-five million odd dollars. The insurance would be $6,500. Maintenance and operation would cost $15,000,000. This is on a 20-year basis. Contingencies and unexpected expenses would be $6,000,000. The interest on bonds would be $56,000,000. That is figured at approximately 6 per cent and it may be lower and probably would be. The bond redemption is $44,000,000. The depreciation fund is $19,000,000, and so on to the end of the table, except as I say, in Column 13. The accumulated surplus, see Column 14, would be $30,741,312.21, less the balance of bonds outstanding at that period, would be $21,000,000. The balance of the surplus, through expenses paid and bond interest and redemption paid, would be $9,000,000, and add to that the depreciation fund, which you have set up in Column No. 10, you will have available funds of $29,241,212.21, with bond interest and expenses of operation paid. In the above estimate the traffic is below the estimate of the board of engineers, that is the board of your engineers you appointed, in nearly every year. See Exhibit B. And an allowance has been made for continued ferry operation at the rate of 20% for passenger traffic for the entire twenty years.

I call your attention to the fact that the citizens who have presented this have been conservative. This statement from the board of

engineers' report, page 51, as to the continuation of ferry operations—this is quoted from your engineers' statement, Mr. O'Shaughnessy, Mr. Galloway and the other engineers, and this is taken verbatim from page 51 of their report: "With a satisfactory adjustment of these matters it is believed that nearly all of the interurban passengers will use the bridge. If a majority of them do so, it is a question whether the ferries could continue to operate except perhaps for main line passengers." That is the language of your engineers. It should be noted that, at the end of twenty years' operation, there remains a surplus of $29,241,212.21. Now, this is important, gentlemen, in your consideration of the table: if the ferries did go out of business, as they have in most places where there has been bridge competition, if the ferries are entirely discontinued and all the revenues from traffic were allocated to this bridge, there would be an additional accumulated surplus of $23,731,423 at the end of twenty years' operation, which could be added, not subtracted, if you pleased, to the $29,000,000 plus that you would have even if 20% of the passengers, or 11,000,000 pasengers a year, used the ferries. So much for that for the moment. As I say, Mr. Holdal is here, and he has checked these figures.

Now, Exhibit B, in that Column No. 2, I would like to ask you to disregard that dollar sign, that is the printer's mistake, in Column No. 2, Exhibit B, that dollar sign should not be there. That is the estimated traffic, number of passengers, based upon your own engineers' report. The next column averages the fare at 7½ cents and gives you that total for the year. Then it gives you the vehicular number of passengers, the revenue from the vehicular passengers at 5 cents. In the next column the automobile traffic, number of autos, is recited here as per the engineers' report, and on the 45-cent rate, it is given in Column No. 7. The total revenue, based on your board of engineers' report, mind you, is $3,762,062. At the bottom is an explanation. "The number of units of traffic used in Columns 2, 4, 6 and 7 is taken from the report of the board of engineers appointed by you. The number of units of traffic used for Columns 9 and 10 are taken from the report of the Chief Engineer of the United States Army for the year 1927, Volumne 2. The number of units of traffic used for Column 11, on Exhibit B, which is an estimate location selected by your engineers. Now, I frankly say to you that these figures have been checked, not only by experts, but they are founded on the report of your own engineers, and they have been gone over by bankers and their soundness is not disputed. I say, in the beginning, that this was a publicly-owned bridge. The Senate, if it passes the bill, and I am not ready to speak on that—first of all I want to repeat what I said in the first place, that the gentlemen that brought this to my attention, and said that it had possibilities along these and similar lines, was, as I said, Mr. A. W. Scott. Mr. Scott and his conferees, who are outstanding citizens and who are not connected with any bridge project as far as I know, will, when the time is ready, come before your committee and be ready to tell about the plan, and with their financial experts, to explain in detail what is needed to explain. In that connection I wish to say that the Navy Department's objections to a bridge—and I am not going into an academic argument—have heretofore been these: No. 1, Naval Anchorage, not No. 1 in their order. No. 2, Explosive Anchorage, and 3, Alameda Naval Base. The groundwork—and this, mind you, is to be turned over to this Board to be formed, if you are so disposed—the work for the Navy's objections were those as recited and national defense. This leaves the Navy without anything but the last objection, or the War Department, that its only possible ground for objection relative to this bridge would be national defense, an inconceivable contention and one which I am positive Congress and the Senate would not support. Its protestations as to anchorage, its protestations as to maneuvering and its protestations as to explosive anchorage are removed. Alameda will approve this location, which is south of its naval base and south of its prospective dock development. Now, I call this briefly to your attention to the provision No. 7, and that is that the Board of Supervisors, if this plan is found—not No. 7, No. 6, on page 2—if this plan is found to be soundly based, an organization of a commission of nine members is proposed. Five of those members are to be appointed by the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor of San Francisco, and four will represent the underwriters and the corporation of citizens who will finance the bridge. I wish Mr. Havenner to understand this very clearly, since he has been one of the chief advo-

cates of the port authority plan, that it was not intended, and it was my premise, my purpose in carefully reviewing this and helping to get into plain language, too, in no case, attack anybody's ideas relative to the port authority plan, and this is not presented as a criticism, it is presented merely as our conception of what will apply to San Francisco and California, and what, as we see it, under the port authority plan, does not apply and would be disadvantageous.

This, briefly stated, then—and I will be willing to answer such questions as I can answer—are some of the features of the plan, and I say to you that these gentlemen are ready now to come before you. And this, briefly stated, does this: it provides the money for the building of the bridge just as soon as it is possible to do so.. It then, upon the day that the bridge is completed, by contract beforehand entered into, delivers the bridge to the representatives of the people of San Francisco, with only one provision or one restriction, that they shall set tolls sufficient to meet the bond interest and redemption and the operating costs of the bridge. Obviously, as these tables have indicated, the building and operation of this bridge, even under your own engineers' report, and under the most conservative figures, is to be one of the most profitable enterprises that this community has known in years. Now, this is submitted without any great pride of mind that it is probably the clearance for everybody's ideas, but it is fostered by me on this theory, and I say it probably is not perfect: I only know that it was made, as far as I was concerned, to meet the things that have to be met. And what have we? We have, first, the people who think the bridge should be built privately; we have, second, the people who believe that it ought to be built publicly but cannot seem to find the manner in which it could be financed, and I submit to the Board there has never been presented to this Board, not to this moment, that I know of—and if I am mistaken I would like to be corrected — anybody's statement anywhere showing where the money will come from to build this bridge. That has not been stated. It is stated that it is possible, under the bridge district or with the port authority plan, but nobody has said yet under what terms the money could be raised.

No. 2. This obviates all of the delays incident to legislation. There is one thing this would do if you decided that it was a good plan: you will proceed to Washington and attempt to get a permit on your new clearance. I assume that the Navy Department would say no again. The Navy Department would then have really stripped from it every other reason but the single reason, and the insensible and the impossible reason, of national defense, to-wit: that the people of San Francisco and the transbay cities in the State of California shall not, because there might be a war, have a peacetime bridge for the purpose that they want it, for their state highway system. It seems to me that Congress and the Senate would, if the War Department again rejects —I doubt that it will—will say to them: "That premise is not sufficiently strong and well founded to deny the people of this community the right to the bridge which they need so much." There are no jokers in this as far as I can see. I do not pretend to be a financier, but here is really a plan of financing that is conservative, there are no excessive profits anywhere. If the contingencies are not as high as they are represented here, and I do not think they will be, but they are figured on that basis, then so much the better for the bridge. And this will, if you set a 45-cent rate, Mr. Chairman, give you this bridge, in twenty years, free and clear of cost, and with at least a surplus, with 20 per cent of the people still riding on the ferries, under your own engineers' report, it will bring to you, free of cost, a bridge and a surplus of $29,000,000. If the ferries go out of commission, as I think they must, it brings to you, paid, a bridge, its operating costs and its interest charges have been taken care of, its bond issues have been cleared, and if the ferries are out of business, a surplus of $53,000,000. I make this challenge now to anybody, that I want somebody to take these tables or figures and take your own engineers' report and figure the rate of 45 cents which we figured as the cost of automobiles crossing on this bridge and 5 cents for passengers, and I would like to see them find the flaw in this. I would like to see somebody else present, if they can, a plan which brings the bridge to the people of San Francisco at as early a date as this does. Let me say here and now that at the last moment I would consent to the port authority plan, but I would do it only in the interest of public ownership, because, gentlemen of the Board, if it is possible, and I say

this to any proponent of any other plan that is presented, I beg of you not to divide the authority of this bridge and its earnings and its responsibility with any other city around the Bay of San Francisco. Let it be San Francisco's bridge, or the State of California through the money it lends us, but surely not the bridge of cities that will throw obstacles in our way. I am not now speaking of Alameda. Now I will be glad, if I can, and Mr. Holdal, the expert, will be glad to answer any questions. What I would like to see the Board—and this has nothing to do with your motions on denial, mind you—what I would like to see the Board do, and this only relates to this, is this: I would like to see this document taken into your possession, I would like to see the City Attorney take it and the City Engineer take it, and anybody else take it, if you think it is worth while, and I would like them then to pick the defects in it; I would like this Board to meet two weeks from today, or as soon as possible, not too soon, at which time the sponsors for this plan will be ready, their attorneys will be ready, and I think the attorneys will be men of such character as to indicate that they have given it a very thorough study, and they will be ready to go into any detail of this plan that I naturally might not be able to answer. I repeat, no bridge proponent that I know of, no party to any bridge application that I know of, no person concerned in a private application, is herein concerned in this plan. In connection with the bridge itself, its advantages, as recited, Mr. Chairman, were that the applicant himself and the engineer with the applicant have agreed that if this public ownership plan meets with your approval everything they have got, every right, every title, every interest therein connected with this goes to this body which is formed, first, to the board of three engineers, of which you select one, not first; second, your advisory control board controlling all of the finances and all of the disbursements; thirdly, your only other obligation is to appoint the auditor who will keep track of the books, and books must be opened. I submit that while I may be in error, I submit, Mr. Chairman, that this plan ought to bring us together on all fours for as early a date as possible in the construction of a bridge.

Privilege of the Floor.

Hon. Richard J. Welch and *Hon. Matt I. Sullivan* were granted the privilege of the floor and urged that nothing be done to interfere with the work already done in Congress for the project that has already been endorsed by this Board and for which a successful fight is being made in Congress.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

The following motions were taken up and, on motion, continued until July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.:

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

(20)

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Fran-

cisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(1)

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(2)

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(3)

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher. Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(4)

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the fore-

going application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(5)

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(6)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that No. 20, Henion's application, be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGov-

ern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(7)

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15. 1926.

Second application field April 5. 1926.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

N o e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(8)

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

N o e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(9)

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(10)

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1¼ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(11)

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill: from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less)

:o the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(12)

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(13)

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(15)

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(18)

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Tunnels.

(19)

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(21)

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano,

Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(22)

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(23)

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(24)

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(25)

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(26)

Application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for permission to construct a toll bridge along an alternative line between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

Filed May 29, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(27)

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be contniued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(28)

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(29)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbell and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lin-

oln avenue intersects the waters of he Bay of San Francisco in Alaeda, Alameda County, State of alifornia.

The length of said submarine tunel to be 21,120 feet under water; aid tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet n extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor or passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a eft half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(30)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(31)

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of

at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(32)

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(33)

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San

Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(34)

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from the outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continuation of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama, Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quar-

ters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the length of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that applicaiton be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(35)

Amended application of Allan C. Rush for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Oakland, Alameda County.

Said bridge to be located at the starting point at or near what is known as Rincon Hill, in the City of San Francisco, and from which named point extending over and across what is known as San Francisco Channel of the Bay of San Francisco, this channel having an approximate width of 11,088 feet, to Goat Island—Yerba Buena—in a suspension bridge with a height of not less than 200 feet, measuring from the floor and base of said bridge down *to* and *above* high tide, supported in its entirety by four cables resting over five bridge piers, one pier at or near Rincon Hill, three piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, and one pier on the westerly shore end of Goat Island—Yerba Buena—numbered, respectively, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, with Pier No. 5 ending on said island.

Filed by E. L. Vandair Nailen, November 7, 1927, and *continued for hearing until November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(36)

Alternative design, Inter-Cities Bridge Company, for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, as follows:

On a line from near the foot of Broadway, in San Francisco, to the northern slope of Goat Island, along this northerly slope to the eastern end of the island, and thence to and along the northerly edge, or near the same, of the Key Route Mole to the entrance into Oakland, fully described in the original application.

Filed December 21, 1927.

December 27, 1927—*Read and continued until January* 23, 1928.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(37)

Application of John Goulding Little for authority to erect, maintain and operate, and to take tolls on a toll bridge to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the County of Alameda, State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 7 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 20 min. 49 sec., which point is about 120 feet northerly from the Key System Transit Company's Ferry House in the City of Oakland, and extending thence westerly to a point in the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California, whose latitude is 37 deg. 49 min. 2 sec. and whose longitude is 122 deg. 21 min. 31 sec., which point is about 700 feet northerly from the northeast point of Goat Island; thence westerly by the most direct available route with suitable grades and curvature to the intersection of the center line of Market street produced with the waterfront line on The Embarcadero in the City and County of San Francisco.

That the length of said bridge is 16,000 feet and that the breadth of said bridge is 2000 feet.

Filed January 30, 1928.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at 2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

(38)

Application of Lochiel M. King to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said bridge and tunnel is to extend easterly from the easterly line of the intersection of Army street with Illinois street, in the City and County of San Francisco, along said Army street to the waterfront line as established by the Board of State Tide Land Commissioners, and thence in a general northeasterly direction to connect with the City of Oakland at Seventh and Bay streets and with the City of Alameda at the westerly end of Pacific avenue.

Filed June 4, 1928.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 p. m.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that application be denied.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt —5.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to continue the hearing of the foregoing application until July 31, at

2 p. m., and the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

License Plates, Etc.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing license plates, tags and badges between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Boulevard Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, July 9, 1928, and were opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

Two million five hundred thousand dollars four and one-half per cent Boulevard Bonds, issue of November 1, 1927, comprising 125 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1932 to 1951, inclusive.

Seven hundred thousand dollars four and one-half per cent Bernal Cut Bonds, issue of July 1, 1927, comprising 35 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1932 to 1951, inclusive.

The said described bonds bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, and shall not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

Bidders may bid for the whole or any part of the bonds here offered, and when a less amount of the whole amount offered is bid on, the bidder shall state the year or years of maturity thereof.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

Delivery of the bonds to the purchaser will be made within ten days from the date of award, or within such time thereafter as may be agreed upon by the purchaser and Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

All proposals for the purchase of such bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County, for a like sum, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds is on file in the Clerk's office.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted June 4, 1928.

Bids.

The following bids were received and *referred to Finance Committee:*

(1) The National City Company (joint account managers), $3,251,196.80—The National City Company; Old Colony Corporation; Continental National Company; United Security Bank & Trust Co.; California Securities Co.; Wm. Cavalier & Company. Certified check for $10,000 accompanying.

(2) R. H. Moulton & Company, syndicate manager, $3,235,335—R. H. Moulton Co.; Harris Trust & Savings Bank; Bankers Trust Co.; American National Co.; Security Company of Los Angeles. Certified check for $10,000 accompanying.

(3) Blyth, Witter & Co. (agents), $3,234,556.80—Guaranty Co. of New York; Ames, Emerich & Co.; Illinois Merchants Trust Co.; First National of Detroit; Remick, Hodges & Co.; H. L. Allen & Co.; R. L. Day & Co.; Hannahs, Ballin & Lee. Certified check for $10,000 accompanying.

(4) Halsey, Stuart & Co., syndicate managers, $3,234,240—Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc.; R. W. Pressprich & Co.; E. H. Rollins & Sons; Crocker First National Bank; A. G. Becker & Co.; F. L. Putnam & Co.; Geo. B. Gibbons & Co. Certified check for $10,000 accompanying.

(5) Anglo London Paris Company, $26,560—Anglo London Paris Co.; Bank of Italy; First National Bank, New York; Eldredge & Co.; Kissel, Kinnicutt & Co.; Redmond & Co.; The Detroit Co., Inc. Certified check for $10,000 accompanying.

(6) Drake, Riley & Thomas, $3,224,512—Wm. R. Compton Co.; Drake, Riley & Thomas. Certified check for $10,000 accompanying.

(7) Heller, Bruce & Co., $3,220,003—Lehman Bros., New York; Kountze Bros., New York; Wells-

Dickey Co., Minneapolis; Dean, Witter & Co., San Francisco; Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., San Francisco; Bond & Goodwin & Tucker, Inc., San Francisco; Heller, Bruce & Co., San Francisco. Certified check for $10,000 accompanying.

(8) Blair & Co., Inc., $3,233,666.67 —Blair & Co., Inc.; Hallgarten & Co.; White, Weld & Co.; Phelps, Fenn & Co.; Anglo-California Trust Co.; E. R. Gundelfinger, Inc. Certified check for $10,000 accompanying.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, San Jose Avenue and Alemany Boulevard.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Hayden and *laid over one week:*

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series, entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby changed so as to place the easterly corner of the crossing of San Jose avenue and Alemany boulevard, for a distance of 160 feet on San Jose avenue and a distance of 200 feet on Alemany boulevard, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Judah Street Rezoning Proposed.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a petition, signed by 877 property owners and residents, requesting that the Zoning Ordinance and Zone Map of the City and County of San Francisco be amended so as to place Judah street between Tenth and Twenty-fifth avenues in the commercial zone, has heretofore been presented to this Board; and

Whereas, after a full hearing and due consideration, this Board adopted an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance and Zone Map in keeping with the prayer of said petition; and

Whereas, his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., vetoed this ordinance at the last moment provided by law for his official action, on the sole ground that rumors of corruption on the part of this Board in connection with the passage of the ordinance in question had been called to his attention; and

Whereas, a full and complete investigation of these rumors by the Detective Bureau of the San Francisco Police Department, as disclosed by their report, on file with this Board, has demonstrated the malicious falsity of the grounds on which the veto of his Honor the Mayor was based, and that these charges are unfounded in fact and positively untrue; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has advised this Board that his Honor the Mayor could not under the law recall his veto, nor could this Board treat the matter except as a new proceeding; and

Whereas, a positive injustice to the 877 petitioners in this matter has resulted from the publication of these unfounded rumors, the action of the Mayor, based solely thereon, and the delay necessarily incident to the initiation of a new proceeding; and

Whereas, his Honor the Mayor advised this Board on Monday, June 4, 1928, that if the Board would reenact the ordinance so vetoed by him, he would give the measure his official approval as soon as it was presented to him; and

Whereas, the loss of time to the people of the Sunset District incident to the obtaining of signatures on a new petition can be obviated by this Board through a request from the Board to the City Planning Commission, to hear and consider this proposed change, in lieu of the initiation of such a proceeding by petition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be and it is hereby requested to hear and consider a proposed change in the classification of both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, and to extend to the depth of the rear lot line, to a Commercial District instead of Second Residential District, as now constituted, and to transmit to this Board, at its earliest convenience, its recommendation in connection therewith.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Reconstruction of Streets, County Road Fund.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are here-

by set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

Fell street, Fillmore to Steiner streets, $2,200.

Fell street, Pierce to Scott streets, $2,200.

Geary street, Van Ness avenue westerly (widening), $50,000.

Larkin street, Pacific to Union streets, $16,000.

McAllister street, Central to Masonic avenues, $2,500.

Octavia street, California to Sacramento streets, $4,500.

Scott street, Broadway to Vallejo street, $2,000.

Sutter street, Buchanan street to Van Ness avenue (widening), $9,000.

Total, $88,400.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Declaratory Ordinance for Acquisition of Hospitals and Health Centers.

Supervisor Toner presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent public buildings and the acquisition of the necessary lands, equipment and furnishings to be used as hospitals and health centers by the people of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, cancer institute, a new home and nurses' training school, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present tuberculosis hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands allowing for the extension of hospitals and the purchase of existing hospitals, and this ordinance specifically provides that for the proper care of the health of the people of San Francisco that all necessary lands be acquired to construct the buildings herein described and the purchase of all necessary buildings and furnishings.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer and to file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of the public buildings and objects described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Public Health, Buildings and Public Utilities Committees, jointly.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Deasy and *laid over one week*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Balboa street and Arguello boulevard.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Pacific Southwest Exposition Participation.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, the following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Pacific Southwest Exposition will be held in Long Beach, California, July 27 to September 3, 1928, inclusive.

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee be and it is hereby authorized and requested to make necessary arrangements in connection with San Francisco's participation at this exposition and that the sum of $7,500 be appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising to defray the expenses of same.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Fell Street.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Hayden and *laid over one week*:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464

(New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Fell street, commencing at a point 109 feet 6 inches westerly from Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly 41 feet 3 inches and extending southerly to Hickory street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Reception Committee, Ford Reliability Tour.

Supervisor Andriano presented the following resolution, which was *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport Committee be and is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for the proper reception of the participants of the Ford Reliability Tour over the United States who will arrive at Mills Field Municipal Airport Friday, July 13, 1928; be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized out of Publicity and Advertising Fund (Appropriation 54) for the proper advertising of San Francisco in connection with this event.

Prohibiting Obscene Shows.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Bill No. 8611, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance prohibiting the showing or exhibition of any entertainment, show, play or scene which is obscene, or indecent, or lewd, or which has for its subject or theme sex degeneracy, or sex perversion, or sex inversion.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation, partnership or association, either as owner, manager, producer, director, actor or agent, or who acts in any other capacity, to give, direct, present or participate in any obscene, indecent, immoral or impure drama, play, exhibition, show or entertainment, or any obscene, indecent, immoral, impure scene, tableau, incident, part or portion of any drama, play, exhibition, show or entertainment which tends to corrupt the morals of youth or others; or to give, direct, present or participate in any drama, play, exhibition, show, entertainment, scene or tableau depicting or dealing with the subject or theme of sex degeneracy or sex perversion or sex inversion.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person to exhibit, or perform, or participate in the presentation or performance of any obscene, indecent or lewd play or representation.

Section 3. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 4. If any word, phrase, line, sentence, paragraph or part of this ordinance shall be held to be unconstitutional or void for any reason, it shall not invalidate or void any of the balance of this ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors hereby declares its intention to pass the balance of this ordinance without that portion so held to be unconstitutional or void, if any there be.

Section 5. Any person, firm, corporation, partnership or association violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McGovern, Marks, Shannon —5.

Relative to the Pollution of the Waters of Hetch Hetchy.

Supervisor Thos. Hickey of San Mateo County was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board with reference to pollu-

tion of the waters of Hetch Hetchy as set forth in certain statements in the public press. He declared that San Mateo and Santa Clara counties would "go all the way" with San Francisco in anything it might do in the matter. He presented the following resolutions of San Mateo and Santa Clara counties, which the Clerk was directed to acknowledge and forward to Washington to the Secretary of the Interior Department:

Resolutions.

Whereas, the City of San Francisco, in order to obtain a pure supply of mountain water, has gone to the Tuolumne river and its tributaries, Eleanor and Cherry creeks, and has voted $79,000,000 of bonds for the development of that water supply and the construction of an aqueduct; and

Whereas, the people of San Mateo County expect to participate jointly with San Francisco in the use of the water from the Hetch Hetchy supply as contemplated under an Act of Congress known as the Raker Bill; and

Whereas, according to statements in the public press the Yosemite National Park authorities contemplate opening the reservoirs constructed by San Francisco for water supply purposes to public boating and general recreational purposes, thus subjecting the water supply to pollution, which is contrary to the spirit of the Raker Act; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors go on record as protesting against the National Park authorities permitting boating, bathing or other forms of recreation in Hetch Hetchy or Lake Eleanor reservoirs or the immediate vicinity which would be contrary to the specific provisions of the Raker Act that

"No person shall bathe, wash clothes or cooking utensils, or water soak in, or in any way pollute the waters within the limits of the Hetch Hetchy reservoir or any reservoir constructed by the said grantee under the provisions of this grant, or in the streams leading thereto within one mile of said reservoir; or, with reference to the Hetch Hetchy reservoir, in the waters from the reservoir or waters entering the river between it and the 'Early Intake' of the aqueduct, pending the completion of the aqueduct between 'Early Intake' and the Hetch Hetchy damsite."

And be it

Further Resolved, That the Secretary of Interior be requested to see that all sanitary provisions set forth in the Raker Act to guard against the pollution of this water supply be strictly enforced; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the President of the United States, the Secretary of Interior, the director of National Parks and the superintendent of the Yosemite National Park.

State of California, County of San Mateo.—ss.

I, Elizabeth M. Kneese, County Clerk of the County of San Mateo, State of California, and ex-officio Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a minute entry made and entered in the minutes of said Board.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of said Board this 9th day of July, A. D. 1928.

(Seal)

(Signed) Elizabeth M. Kneese,
Clerk.

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is constructing the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply for the purpose of bringing pure mountain water from the high Sierras to San Francisco and vicinity for domestic purposes; and

Whereas, there is no doubt that when this water is available a number of municipalities and communities in Santa Clara County will secure their domestic water supply from the supply being brought in by San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is to the interest of these people that the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply be kept free from pollution at its source; and

Whereas, the public press has quoted Stephen T. Mather, director of the Park Service, as intending to open wide the entire Hetch Hetchy watershed to all campers, fishermen and motorists, and to permit boating and camps on San Francisco's reservoirs, with the result that the water supply will be polluted; and

Whereas, the Raker Act, under which San Francisco secured its rights of way within Yosemite Park boundaries, specifically provides for the protection of these

reservoirs against pollution; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of Santa Clara County does hereby protest against such unwarranted action and do respectfully request the Secretary of the Interior to insist that the sanitary regulations provided for in the Raker Act for the purpose of protecting the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply from pollution be rigidly enforced; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Secretary of Interior and to the director of National Parks.

Passed and adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Cooley, Ayer, Hubbard, McKinnon.

Noes—Supervisors none.

Absent — Supervisor Henry Becker.

(Seal) Henry A. Pfister, Clerk.

By Eugene M. Don, Deputy Clerk.

Mayor Requests Revocation of Oil Station Permit on Hirschberg Lot, Stanyan and Frederick Streets.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 27, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

With reference to Resolution No. 29008 (New Series), accepting the offer of A. Hirschberg to sell for $10,267.85 certain land at the corner of Frederick and Stanyan streets, required for the widening of Stanyan street, and my message to your Honorable Board disapproving same and referring to the action of your Honorable Board on last Monday in sustaining my disapproval of said purchase of land, I respectfully recommend that in view of the fact that it is the intention of your Honorable Board to proceed to acquire said property under condemnation proceedings that the permit granted to the California Petroleum Corporation for an oil station on said lot be immediately revoked, because in the appraisement of the value of the property the value of said oil station permit was a matter of consideration.

Very respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted the California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 28691 (New Series), approved March 27, 1928, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northwest corner of Stanyan and Frederick streets is hereby revoked.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., July 9, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, member of your Board, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing July 14, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29278 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 14, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Leave of Absence, Dr. W. W. Wymore.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 30, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Dr. W. W. Wymore, member of the Board of Public Health, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for

a period of sixty days, commencing July first, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

June 29, 1928.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I herewith respectfully request permission to absent myself from the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California for a period of sixty days from July 1, 1928.

In conformity with Charter provisions I have this day forwarded a similar communication to his Honor James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Very truly yours,
W. W. WYMORE, M. D.,
Member Board of Health.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29282 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. William W. Wymore, member of the Board of Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing July 1, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

SPECIAL ORDER.

Hearing of Appeal, Treat Avenue.

Hearing of appeal from assessment for the improvement of Treat avenue between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 29281 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of the property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works for the improvement of Treat avenue between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets is hereby denied and the assessment confirmed.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Reception to Congressman Louis Cramton.

Supervisor Suhr presented:

Resolution No. 29280 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board is reliably informed that Honorable Louis Cramton, Congressman from Michigan, will be a visitor to San Francisco in the near future, therefore be it

Resolved, That a suitable committee, of which his Honor the Mayor shall be the chairman, be appointed to extend the hospitality of San Francisco during his stay, and to see that he is properly entertained.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Marks—2.

Cemetery Matters.

Communication, from Madame C. E. Grosjean, Secretary Cemetery Protective Association, requesting the privilege of the floor to correct alleged misstatements made when cemetery removal ordinance comes up for final passage.

Read by the Clerk.

Communication, from Leonore Kothe, requesting privilege of the floor on the cemetery question.

Read by the Clerk.

Letters of Thanks.

Communication. from San Francisco Grand Parlor, 1928 Committee, N. D. G. W., extending thanks for financial aid and courtesies rendered its "1928 Grand Parlor Committee."

Read and *filed*.

Communication. from Wm. Sproule, president Southern Pacific Railroad, expressing appreciation for Resolution No. 29214 (New Series), adopted on motion of Supervisor Shannon, commending company for promoting greater dispatch in transportation in Northern California and for City of San

Francisco by construction of bridge across Suisun Bay.

Read and *filed*.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 8 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

THURSDAY, JULY 12, 1928.

In Board of Supervisors, Thursday, July 12, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met as a Board of Equalization for the further consideration of applications for reduction of assessments on the assessment roll for 1928-1929.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Kent presiding.

Consideration of Applications for Reduction of Assessments.

Applications for reduction of assessments were taken up, the applicants or their agents called, duly sworn, examined and, after consideration, said applications were disposed of as follows:

Name.	Prop.	Lot.	Blk.	Assmt.	Cash Val.	Action.
31. L. Frank	R. E.	3/4	764	$9,860	$6,000	Adv'ment
32. Overland Fr'ght and Transfer, Frank A. Geves	Imp.	4B	3780	11,000	10,000	Adv'ment
33. C. R. Avery	Imp.	6	1025	2,300	1,800	Adv'ment
34. H. G. Pfaeffle	Imp.	12	1251	2,050	500	Ref. Assr.
35. Mrs. Sadie Maurino	Imp.	16B	1157	6,000	5,000	Adv'ment
36. Mrs. O. Buhlinger	Imp.	16C	1157	6,000	5,000	Adv'ment
37. Mrs. G. McCourtney	Imp.	16D	1157	6,000	5,000	Adv'ment
38. Mrs. G. McCourtney	Imp.	16B	1157	6,000	5,000	Adv'ment
39. Mrs. M. Luckenbach	Imp.	21	652	3,000	2,000	Adv'ment
40. H. A. Scharowsky	Imp.	26	1427	1,300	1,000	Adv'ment
41. Valencia Theater	Imp.	..	....	....	....	Adv'ment
42. Esther & Herman Applestone	Imp.	..	....	....	....	Adv'ment
43. James Levas	Imp.	16	6405	....	....	Ref. Assr.
44. Andrew Beckman	Imp.	26	3625	6,700	6,000	Adv'ment
45. H. H. Oliphant	Imp.	49	1462	300	250	Adv'ment
46. L. V. Roberts	Imp.	2	675	1,800	900	Adv'ment
47. A. Hirschfeld	Imp.	61/72	3734	6,500	3,500	Adv'ment
48. Fredk. Boehme	Imp.	25	1782	1,000	500	Adv'ment
49. J. J. Hunt	Imp.	17	3652	2,200	1,500	Ref. Assr.
50. Mary F. Conniff	Imp.	5/6	187	10,300	6,300	Adv'ment
51. E. Wenzel	Imp.	16	1246	3,100	2,200	Adv'ment
52. Wm. Schwarzman	Imp.	22	555	1,700	1,250	Adv'ment
53. Mary J. Dolan	Imp.	16	121	6,000	4,500	Adv'ment
54. Benjamin Mayers	Imp.	14	270	6,000	4,000	Adv'ment
55. Isaiah Harris	Imp.	5	339	23,000	18,000	Adv'ment
56. Mrs. Pat McCarthy	Imp.	31A	3650	5,700	5,200	Adv'ment
57. G. Campi	Imp.	63	3587	1,500	1,200	Adv'ment
58. Fannie B. Mathews	Imp.	27	220	2,700	1,500	Adv'ment
59. Fannie B. Mathews	R. E.	27	220	3,410	2,500	Adv'ment
60. Miss Mary Dempsey	Imp.	53	3538	1,500	1,000	Adv'ment
61. June Clarke	Imp.	19	3564	3,400	1,400	Ref. Assr.
62. D. Cordelos	Imp.	11	785	36,000	30,000	Adv'ment
63. Solomon Rain	Imp.	9	1429	4,200	3,200	Adv'ment
64. A. Helbig	Imp.	27	6997	300	150	Adv'ment
65. A. F. Hayes	Imp.	36	1376	2,500	2,000	Adv'ment
66. A. F. Hayes	Imp.	22	3634	1,700	1,200	Ref. Assr.
67. Edw. G. Guyot	Imp.	16	3564	1,900	900	Ref. Assr.
68. Mrs. A. Serpa	Imp.	16	1052	2,850	2,350	Adv'ment
69. Mrs. J. Sudkin	Imp.	21	734	2,050	1,500	
	Imp.	23		750	500	Adv'ment
70. Linda S. Hirschler	Imp.	4	218	16,000	10,000	Adv'ment
71. F. H. Burke	Imp.	21	1830	2,000	1,600	Adv'ment
72. R. D. Benjamin	Imp.	7	648	3,600	2,500	Adv'ment
73. Mrs. J. Quinn	Imp.	28	2691	2,750	2,000	Adv'ment
74. W. F. Strohmaier	Imp.	2A	3973	3,000	2,250	Adv'ment
75. C. T. McGurn	R. E.	5	3535	24,360	15,000	Ref. Assr.
76. C. T. McGurn	Imp.	5	3535	29,200	20,000	Ref. Assr.
77. L. E. Danzel	R. E.	6B	322	5,840	5,160	Adv'ment

	Name.	Prop.	Lot.	Blk.	Assmt.	Cash Val.	Action.
78.	S. A. Whaley	Imp.	14	443A	4,200	2,100	Adv'ment
79.	S. A. Whaley	R. E.	14	443A	1,690	1,500	Adv'ment
80.	Mrs. N. Brennan	Imp.	7L	6597	3,200	....	Adv'ment
81.	Mrs. S. Nouaux	Imp.	51	3587	5,000	4,000	Adv'ment
82.	Mrs. S. Niemela	Imp.	13	1635	3,200	2,200	Adv'ment
83.	H. J. Nolan	Imp.	8A	1152	15,500	10,000	Adv'ment
84.	S. Raskin	Imp.	5/6	926	21,000	38,000	Adv'ment
85.	Marie S. Ryberg	Imp.	45/46	1061	8,000	6,250	Adv'ment
		Imp.	45/46	1061	8,000	6,250	Adv'ment
86.	B. Curtin	Imp.	103	3731	2,000	1,500	Adv'ment
87.	Mary A. Carter	Imp.	22	1471	4,200	2,700	Adv'ment
88.	Mrs. Ray Elkins	Imp.	19	762	9,700	7,700	Ref. Assr.
89.	Mrs. Aurora E. Aitken	Imp.	42	3587	5,900	4,400	Ref. Assr.
90.	Mrs. Aurora E. Aitken	Imp.	9	3567	5,000	3,000	Ref. Assr.
91.	Mrs. Aurora E. Aitken	Imp.	31	3578	8,000	6,000	Ref. Assr.
92.	E. W. Hartman	Imp.	123	1269	3,000	2,500	Adv'ment
93.	Charles Rosenstein	R. E.	1	5277	650	250	Adv'ment
		R. E.	2	5277	800	250	Adv'ment
94.	James E. Dowd	Imp.	80	3763	3,000	2,500	Adv'ment
95.	C. J. Borgfeld	R. E.	10A	3643	68,400	50,000	Adv'ment
96.	R. C. Rosenberg	Imp.	18	637	8,500	2,000	Adv'ment
97.	F. W. Huller	R. E.	4	175	5,630	3,250	Adv'ment
98.	F. W. Huller	Imp.	4	175	3,500	2,500	Adv'ment
99.	F. W. Huller	Imp.	126	3753	10,000	7,500	Adv'ment
100.	F. W. Huller	Imp.	122	3753	3,000	1,500	Adv'ment

RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 10 a. m., Friday, July 13, 1928.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

FRIDAY, JULY 13, 1928.

In Board of Supervisors, Friday, July 13, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met as a Board of Equalization for the further consideration of applications for reduction of assessments on the Assessment Roll for 1928-1929.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Kent presiding.

Consideration of Applications for Reduction of Assessments.

Applications for reduction of assessments were taken up, the applicants or their agents called, duly sworn, examined and, after consideration, said applications were disposed of as follows:

	Name.	Prop.	Lot.	Blk.	Assmt.	Cash Val.	Action.
101.	E. Bergher	Imp.	4A	497	$6,000	$5,000	Adv'ment
102.	A. Cronin	Imp.	19	6520	4,000	2,500	Adv'ment
103.	— Gartelman	Imp.	20	6520	4,000	2,500	Adv'ment
104.	Catherine C. Carson	Imp.	2	281	16,000	14,000	Adv'ment
105.	Richard Ross	Imp.	78	3602	6,000	4,500	Adv'ment
106.	Mrs. Jos. Becker	Imp.	35	3777	1,300	1,000	Adv'ment
106½.	St. Eiben	Imp.	1	840	10,900	9,000	Adv'ment
107.	Jesse Mayer	Imp.	15	1510	2,000	1,500	Ref. Assr.
108.	Anton Hanson	Imp.	31	3522	1,400	600	Adv'ment
109.	Mary Fisher	Imp.	20	1452	1,200	250	Adv'ment
110.	Armand Cailleau	Imp.	1	999	2,700	2,000	Adv'ment
111.	Thos. D. Casselman	Imp.	26	685	2,250	2,000	Ref. Assr.
112.	Thos. D. Casselman	Imp.	20	685	3,000	2,500	Ref. Assr.
113.	Cypress Lawn Cemetery Assn.						Adv'ment
114.	Mount Olivet Cemetery Assn.						Adv'ment
115.	Gus Casner	Imp.	1A	1422	3,800	3,500	Adv'ment
116.	Mrs. B. Foley	Imp.	40	1849	4,600	....	Adv'ment
117.	Mrs. A. F. Hayes	Imp.	7E	1674	9,500	7,500	Adv'ment
118.	Catherine Abels	Imp.	21	3576	1,300	1,000	Ref.Assr.

	Name.	Prop.	Lot.	Blk.	Assmt.	Cash Val.	Action.
119.	Mrs. Wm. Gray	Imp.	11D	599	38,000	30,000	Adv'ment
120.	Mrs. Nellie Sawyer	Imp.	57	3596	2,400	2,000	Adv'ment
121.	Mrs. Mae Fletcher	Imp.	26	1423	6,500	5,000	Adv'ment
122.	H. Shapiro	Imp.	46	3588	7,000	6,000	Adv'ment
123.	Mrs. C. Kovacevich	R. E.		7004 to 7087			Adv'ment
124.	E. L. Benson	Imp.	9	1271	1,800	1,000	Ref. Assr.
125.	H. F. and Stella Jiesta	R. E.	20	7147	380	....	Ref. Assr.
126.	D. and T. L. Prouin	R. E.	67	3583	7,400	5,000	Adv'ment
127.	Mrs. C. Miller	Imp.	23	839	1,000	500	Adv'ment
128.	Thos. Powell	Imp.	2	737	46,000	35,000	Adv'ment
129.	Geo. Burish	R. E.	34	651	3,680	2,680	Ref. Assr.
130.	Mrs. Katherine Lynan	Imp.	8	2055	1,700	1,300	Ref. Assr.
131.	S. Gidalovitz	Imp.	21	779	7,800	5,000	Adv'ment
132.	Mrs. E. Hurley	Imp.	13	2393	1,800	1,500	Ref. Assr.
133.	Bernardo Ottoboni	Imp.	2	7143	100	Nil	Ref. Assr.
134.	Mrs. B. R. Periguey	Imp.	12	592	2,000	1,500	Adv'ment
135.	Monika Stentz	Imp.	65	3574	1,200	800	Adv'ment
136.	John M. Petero	Imp.	13C	1609	4,500	3,000	Adv'ment
137.	J. G. Grasshoff	Imp.	3E	1676	1,800	1,500	Adv'ment
138.	John Lohman	Imp.	65	3532	5,000	4,000	Adv'ment
139.	Emil Nelson	Imp.	13	1381	5,100	3,000	Ref. Assr.
140.	Jacob Weissbein	Imp.	25	859	2,500	24,100	Ref. Assr.
141.	Alois Fischer	Imp.	5/6	3775	21,000	17,000	Ref. Assr.
142.	A. G. Sala	Imp.	6	3609	3,900	2,500	Ref. Assr.
143.	Mrs. M. Gainer	Imp.	47	3568	4,600	3,700	Adv'ment
144.	Jos. Epstein	Imp.	7	1727	3,800	3,000	Ref. Assr.
145.	M. A. Sibona	Imp.	44	3116	1,950	1,650	Adv'ment
146.	E. P. Bartholemy	Imp.	33/34	1648	7,720	13,000	Adv'ment
147.	J. and E. Schwegel	Imp.	13	4075	200	100	Adv'ment
148.	J. and E. Schwegel	Imp.	66	4096	750	400	Adv'ment
149.	B. Carlson	Imp.	21	1754	2,700	2,200	Adv'ment
150.	Sidney L. Strickland	Imp.	25	1503	8,300	....	Adv'ment
		Imp.	26	....	1,680	....	Adv'ment
151.	W. W. Henry	Imp.	8	292	25,000	15,000	Adv'ment
152.	Nora McAuliffe	Imp.	30	631	600	350	Adv'ment
153.	Assoc. Charities	R. E.	9	737	12,380	500	Adv'ment
154.	M. Jos. Mayer	Imp.	34A	1379	8,000	....	Adv'ment
155.	Ida F. Hogan	R. E.	12	2413	900	750	Adv'ment
156.	M. and A. Perpoli	Imp.	16	1386	5,000	2,500	Adv'ment
157.	M. and J. L. Corbett	Imp.	40	3534	600	400	Adv'ment
158.	M. and J. L. Corbett	Imp.	48	3557	2,500	2,000	Adv'ment
159.	Mrs. L. R. Braunschweiger	Imp.	6	235	5,400	4,800	Adv'ment
160.	Mrs. L. R. Braunschweiger	Imp.	7	235	5,400	4,800	Adv'ment
161.	Myrtle L. Hurst	Imp.	29	1063	2,500	1,850	Adv'ment
162.	Anna Maede	Imp.	26	3606	2,500	1,500	Adv'ment
163.	A. Cristofani	Imp.	15D	496	7,600	5,000	Adv'ment
164.	A. and F. Lescinski	Imp.	21A	524	16,500	10,000	Adv'ment
165.	Lt. Co. C. Christopherson	Imp.	18/22	3752			
		Imp.	25	3752			
		Imp.	29/30	3752			Adv'ment
166.	Same	Imp.	4	349			Adv'ment
167.	Same	Imp.	34-36	3513			Adv'ment
168.	H. Clewansky	Imp.	52	3753	6,400	5,400	Adv'ment
169.	Same	Imp.	46/47	....	1,600	1,400	
				3730	2,700	2,100	Adv'ment
170.	Myrtle Gowett	Imp.	22	1151	4,000	3,500	Ref. Assr.
171.	P. J. Meyer	Imp.	19	588	7,000	5,000	Ref. Assr.
172.	R. W. Blosser	Imp.	7/8	3715	200,000	125,000	Adv'ment
173.	Albert Witkin	Imp.	1	803	2,800	2,000	Adv'ment
174.	Mrs. Emma Prosek	Imp.	40	1267	9,000	7,500	Ref. Assr.
175.	Wm. B. Meckfessell	Imp.	10	190	3,600	3,000	Ref. Assr.
176.	Fred C. Buss	Imp.	7	1412	1,200	500	Ref. Assr.

	Name.	Prop.	Lot.	Blk.	Assmt.	Cash Val.	Action.
177.	Elias Fox	Imp.	12	1126	3,500	2,800	Adv'ment
178.	English Inv. Co....	Imp.	7	310	8,500	5,000	Ref. Assr.
	Nos. 179 to 192, inclusive, withdrawn						
193.	Frances E. Egan...	R. E.	9	278	2,480	1,990	Adv'ment
194.	Withdrawn						
195.	John McLachlan ...	Imp.	30	1000	3,800	3,000	Ref. Assr.
196.	Mary B. Haley	Imp.	9	1305	20,000	15,000	Adv'ment
197.	Mortgage Underwriting & Realty Co.	Imp.	16/16A		650	11,500	Nil Ref. Assr.
		Imp.	17				Ref. Assr.
		Imp.	4/5		579	8,500	Nil Ref. Assr.
198.	Withdrawn						
199.	A. C. Schlicker.....	Imp.	10	1280	3,500	2,800	Adv'ment
200.	A. Nachhorst	Imp.	23	323	47,500	35,000	Adv'ment
201.	J. Gambinger	Imp.	18	3545	7,500	6,000	Adv'ment

RECESS.

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 12 o'clock noon, took a recess until Monday, July 16, 1928, 10 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 30, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 30

Monday, July 16, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 16, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 16, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 18, 1928, was considered read and approved.

Proceedings of the Board of Equalization.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment to sit as a Board of Equalization for the purpose of hearing all applicants who had filed sworn applications for the correction or reduction of assessments in the Assessment Book of Real and Personal Property for the year 1928-1929, or those who, after notification of arbitrary assessment made against them, had filed sworn statements of the personal property owned by them, or in their possession, on the first Monday in March, 1928.

Consideration of Applications.

Applications for the reduction or correction of assessments were taken up, the applicants or their agents called, duly sworn, examined and after consideration of said applications were disposed of as follows:

No.	Name—	Vol.	Page	Lot	Blk.	Assmt.	Value.	Action.
202.	Alfred J. Morris..	5	103	4-5	776	$4,600	nil	Ref. Assr.
203.	M. A. Henehan..	11	23	39	1543	1,800	$1,500	Ref. Assr.
204.	T. and J. Monzakis	24	66	2	3593	4,800	3,800	Adv'ment
205.	A. V. Beronio....	28	61	1K	4343	1,640	100	Adv'ment
206.	L. Ankers	8	168	2	1284	18,000	15,000	Adv'ment
207.	Peter Hoy	25	200	54	3734	2,200	1,600	Ref. Assr.
208.	M. Feitelberg....	8	32	26	1224	2,400	1,500	Adv'ment
209.	Kate E. Tooley..	10	63	27A	1458	6,000	3,000	Ref. Assr.
210.	Luis Blum	6	19	10	872	42,000	30,000	Adv'ment
211.	Geo. Holl	39	131	3-4	6569	17,910	15,910	Denied
212.	V. Sollman	25	190	133	3732	5,000	3,000	Adv'ment
213.	Timothy O'Leary.	4	178	7	672	17,500	15,000	Adv'ment
214.	H. and Jessie Helget	12	117	18	1659	1,500	750	Ref. Assr.
215.	Jessie B. Cusheon.	17	160	13	2380	....	....	Adv'ment
216.	W. W. Chapin...	4	129	4	638	24,000	19,000	Ref. Assr.
217.	A. Tassaro	36	116	22	6013	1,000	250	Ref. Assr.
218.	Mrs. M. Friedman	7	209	10	1203	2,300	1,800	Adv'ment
219.	Helen M. Knight.	2	197	1-2	297	600,000	250,000	Bd. Eq.
220.	Patrick S. and Mary O'Rourke.	25	158	4	3728	6,500	1,200	Adv'ment
221.	Withdrawn.							
222.	Dora Reed	25	149	96	3726	2,000	1,800	Adv'ment
223.	Edna P. Kenny..	21	34	21	3051	2,500	2,000	Adv'ment
224.	F. and S. Cunningham	33	64 ...	21 22	5513 5513	730 550	530 350	Adv'ment Adv'ment
225.	Mrs. Hughes.....	9	54	15	1370	3,450	3,250	Adv'ment
226.	Bernard and Cath. Burke...	24	178	7	3619	5,600	4,200	Adv'ment

No.	Name—	Vol.	Page	Lot	Blk.	Assmt.	Value.	Action.
227.	M. Cummings...	5	199	9	845	1,100	900	Adv'ment
228.	C. J. Truman....19		71	27	2690	2,200	2,000	Adv'ment
229.	C. and E. Dabel.	3	73	5A	453	12,000	10,000	Adv'ment
230.	W. and S. Patterson 38		1	7	6277	1,200	1,000	Adv'ment
231.	G. W. Dobson....	3	26	2	335	11,000	7,500	Adv'ment
232.	Augusta B. Findlay 10		32	23C	1447	6,000	5,700	Ref. Assr.
233.	Val. Williams....	8	56	12	1237	1,750	1,000	Adv'ment
234.	Chas. H. Hock...	7	126	20	1155	5,750	4,750	Adv'ment
235.	S. B. Speyer and Jos. Schwartz..	5	53	15	732	225,000	....	Ref. Den.
236.	Ellen Carwardine.41		9	31	6735	1,000	750	Adv'ment
237.	S. F. Stock and Bond Ex. Bldg., Inc.	2	.154	2	259	65,500	100	Bd. Eq.
238.	Frances E. Egan.	2	175	9	278	2,700	2,200	Adv'ment
239.	Estate of A B. Spreckels	4	86	5	601	165,000	nil	Ref. Assr.
240.	Antonio Cavarola 34		123	12	5663	200	10	Bd. Eq.
241.	C. and I. M. Rey.24		208	17	3626	1,400	1,200	Adv'ment
242.	R. E. and M. Robertson	7	4	10	1040	3,500	3,200	Adv'ment
243.	Mary J. White...	1	101	2	98	27,600	25,000	Ref. Assr.
244.	N. Conlon.....40		146	25	6694	400	200	Adv'ment
245.	L. V. Roberts....18		132	21	2610	3,800	2,500	Adv'ment
246.	L. V. Roberts....	1	194	22	147	1,700	1,000	Adv'ment
		7	66	16	1079	450	20	Adv'ment
247.	Thos. P. Conlon..	7	181	1	1188	11,500	8,000	Ref. Assr.
248.	Violet B. A. Fowler	6	222	11	910	6,560	3,280	Ref. Assr.
249.	B. Larreschi.....	3	194	14	532	5,400	3,000	Adv'ment
		..	...	15	.....	5,400	3,000	Adv'ment
250.	Thomas Quinn...23		105	56	3544	10,500	8,000	Ref. Assr.
251.	Martial Davoust..	4	109	7C	623	10,000	5,000	Adv'ment
252.	Wm. Cranston, Inc.	2	10	1	306	35,000	26,000	Adv'ment
*253.	Wm. Cranston, Inc.	2	10	1	306	80,410	73,100	Adv'ment
254.	Mrs. Meta Jensen.12		95	31A	1650	6,000	1,000	Adv'ment
255.	John B. Orognen.23		29	8	3519	5,300	4,000	Adv'ment
256.	A. McDonald....23		136	39	3555	1,450	1,250	Adv'ment
257.	Mason-Sacramento Co.	2	103	8-9	223	530,000	410,000	Ref. Assr.
258.	Chas. H. Plaine..38		1	6	6277	1,200	1,000	Adv'ment
259.	Louise O'Byrne..24		195	60	3622	1,050	....	Adv'ment
260.	Walter W. Reimann 41		169	17	6923	1,370	1,100	Adv'ment
261.	Eva Hallinan....	4	135	3	644	6,500	....	Adv'ment
262.	Kate Hoffman....	3	175	4A	522	13,000	8,000	Adv'ment
263.	Mrs. Gertie Green.10		190	31	1526	3,000	2,000	Ref. Assr.
		..	...	32	1526	3,000	2,000	Ref. Assr.
264.	Anton Hanson...23		40	31	3522	1,400	800	Adv'ment
265.	Marcus Hartman.	2	30	9	181	2,700	2,500	Adv'ment
266.	Margt. Cashman.	8	98	28	1256	1,400	1,000	Ref. Assr.
267.	Burnett Bldg. & Loan Assn. 44		23	30	6309	2,900	....	Adv'ment
*268.	Same 44		23	30	6309	6,720	....	Adv'ment
269.	R. J. and J. H. Andrews 15		82	37	1936	1,310	1,000	Adv'ment
270.	E. N. Ames.....	4	77	7	594	4,000	2,500	Adv'ment
271.	H. and E. Melnick	8	15	11A	1216	4,300	3,000	Adv'ment
272.	John W. and Annette Alderson...	4	7	3	546	54,000	4,000	Adv'ment
273.	Jos. Foppoli.....	1	125	16A	113	10,500	8,000	Adv'ment
274.	Aug. Braun 18		143	2	2614	1,800	....	Adv'ment
275.	Roberta Fullaway	7	103	1	1128	3,200	2,500	Adv'ment
		7	104	25	1128	3,400	....	Adv'ment
276.	Geo. W. Baker...	4	156	7	657	2,950	2,500	Adv'ment

Motions.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Assessor's valuation be decreased to that of the Stafford appraisal in the following numbered applications, to-wit:

Nos. 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 13, 15, 16, 26, 29, 33, 39, 50, 51, 52, 53, 55, 58, 68, 70, 72, 74, 81, 83, 85, 86, 87, 94, 98, 99, 100, 104, 105, 106, 110, 120, 133, 136, 143, 146, 149, 154, 156, 162, 163, 168, 169, 173, 177, 196, 199, 201, 219, 237, 240.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—9.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Marks, Roncovieri—4.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —5.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that application No. 41 (Valencia Theater) be reduced to $100.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —5.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that application No. 133 (B. Ottoboni) be reduced from $100 to $10.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —5.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that that application No. 219 (Helen Knight) be reduced from $600,000 to $439,000.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —5.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that application No. 237 (S. F. Stock Exchange) be reduced from $65,500 to $100.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —5.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that application No. 240 (A. Civarolo) be reduced from $200 to $10.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —5.

Assessor's Report on Matters Referred.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, July 18, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: In pursuance to motions, regularly made and duly seconded, at the meeting of the Board of Equalization, held July 16, 1928, we are changing the assessment records for the fiscal year 1928-1929 as follows:

B. of E.

No.	Name of Complainant.					
41.	Valencia Theater	Imp.	from	$ 20,000	to	$ 100
133.	B. Ottoboni	Imp.	from	100	to	10
219.	Helen Knight	Imp.	from	600,000	to	439,000
237.	San Francisco Stock Exchange	Imp.	from	65,500	to	100
240.	A. Civarolo	Imp.	from	200	to	10

Respectfully submitted

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN,

Assessor.

Assessor's Office.

San Francisco, July 18, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: After consideration of the request for reduction of assessed values that your referred to the Assessor, I recommend the following action:

No.	Name of Complainant.		Recommendation of Assessor.
1.	Southern Pacific Company	R. E.	Denied
8.	George and Margaret O'Brien	R. E.	Denied
9.	George and Margaret O'Brien	Imp.	Denied
11.	Cheung Ming et al.	Imp.	$ 40,000 to $ 30,000
12.	Cheung Ming et al.	Imp.	4,000 to 3,000
14.	T. C. McCarthy	Imp.	45,000 to 40,000
19.	Sarah J. Ashton	Imp.	3,000 to 2,500
21.	A. Domeniconi	Imp.	4,000 to 3,500
22.	M. Kelleher	Imp.	7,600 to 6,600
23.	Carrie McAllister	Imp.	16,000 to 13,500
24.	P. Sanfilipo	Imp.	5,500 to 5,000
25.	Mary Parker	Imp.	Denied
27.	Giovanni Bafigo	Imp.	1,500 to 1,200
34.	H. G. Pfaeffle	Imp.	2,050 to 1,350
43.	James Levas	Imp.	1,000 to 20
49.	J. J. Hunt	Imp.	2,200 to 1,800
61.	James Clarke	Imp.	3,400 to 2,500
66.	A. F. Hayes	Imp.	1,700 to 1,400
67.	E. G. Guyot	Imp.	1,900 to 1,700
75.	C. T. McGuern	R. E.	24,360 to 23,140
76.	C. E. McGuern	Imp.	29,200 to 24,200
88.	Mrs. Ray Elkins	Imp.	9,700 to 9,000
89.	A. E. Aitken	Imp.	5,900 to 5,400
90.	A. E. Aitken	Imp.	5,000 to 4,500
91.	A. E. Aitken	Imp.	Denied
102.	A. Cronin	Imp.	4,000 to 3,800
103.	H. Gartlam	Imp.	4,000 to 3,800
107.	Jesse Mayer	Imp.	Denied
109.	M. Fisher	Imp.	Denied
111.	Thos. D. Casselman	R. E.	Denied
112.	Thos. D. Cesselman	Imp.	3,000 to 2,800
118.	C. Abels	Imp.	Denied
124.	E. L. Benson	Imp.	1,800 to 1,500
129.	Geo. Burich	R. E.	3,680 to 3,310
130.	C. Syman	Imp.	Denied
132.	E. Hurley	Imp.	Denied
139.	Emil Nelson	Imp.	Denied
140.	J. Weissbein	Imp.	25,000 to 24,100
141.	A. and M. Fisher	Imp.	21,000 to 17,000
142.	N. G. Sala	Imp.	3,900 to 3,000
144.	J. Epstein	Imp.	3,800 to 3,500
165.	Salvation Army	Imp.	Denied
166.	Salvation Army	Imp.	Denied
167.	Salvation Army	Imp.	Denied
170.	Myrtle Gewett	Imp.	4,000 to 3,600
171.	P. J. Meyer et al.	Imp.	7,000 to 6,300
174.	Mrs. E. Prosek	Imp.	9,000 to 8,000
175.	W. B. Meckfessel	Imp.	3,600 to 3,000
176.	Fred C. Buss	Imp.	Denied
178.	English Inv. Co.	Imp.	8,500 to 5,000
195.	John McLachlan	Imp.	3,800 to 3,200
197.	Mortgage Underwriting Co.	Imp.	Denied

No.	Name of Complainant.		Recommendation of Assessor.
202.	Alfred T. Morris	Imp.	4,600 to 200
203.	M. A. Henehan	Imp.	1,800 to 1,600
207.	Peter Hay	Imp.	2,200 to 2,000
209.	Kate E. Tooley	Imp.	6,000 to 5,400
211.	George Hall	R. E.	Denied
214.	H. Helget	Imp.	1,500 to 1,200
216.	Wm. Chapin	Imp.	24,000 to 20,000
217.	A. Tassaro	Imp.	1,000 to 600
232.	P. Findlay	Imp.	6,000 to 5,700
235.	Frances Franceschini et al.	Imp.	Denied
239.	Walter Gibson	Imp.	165,000 to 145,000
243.	Mary J. White	Imp.	27,600 to 25,600
247.	T. P. Conlon	Imp.	11,500 to 11,000
248.	Violet B. A. Fowler	R. E.	6,560 to 5,250
250.	Thomas Quinn	Imp.	10,500 to 10,000
257.	Mason-Sacramento Company	Imp.	530,000 to 470,000
263.	Gertie Green	Imp.	6,000 to 5,400
266.	Margaret Cashman	Imp.	1,400 to 1,250

Board of Equalization Report—July 2, to July 16, 1928.

	Assessment	Increase	Decrease
Arbitrary assessments	$245,135	$61,284	.....
Assessor's clerical error list (Imp.)	.....	5,900	.....
Assessor's clerical error list (R. E.)	.....	.....	$900
Assessor's clerical error list, personal property (additions)	.....	151,933	.....
Assessor's clerical error list, personal property (reductions)	.....	.....	400
Action on complaints referred to Assessor	.....	.....	143,730
Reductions by motion of Board of Equalization	.....	.....	336,580
Reductions by motion of Board of Equalization (McSheehy motion)	.....	.....	87,675

Assessor's Report on Referred Matters.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Assessor's report be approved.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —5.

Assessor's Clerical Error Lists Accepted.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that Assessor's clerical error lists on real estate, improvements, personal property, etc., be accepted.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —5.

Arbitrary Assessment Increased.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the arbitrary assessment fixed by the Assessor be increased 25 per cent wherein parties failed to file sworn statements.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —5.

Applications Denied.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that all matters taken under advisement be denied.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent —Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —5.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization adjourned and resolved itself into the Board of Supervisors for the transaction of other business.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Protest, S. F. Garden Club.

Protest, of the San Francisco Garden Club against further delay in acting on the bill of Supervisor Stanton regulating produce terminals.

Protest, Babies' Aid Society Project.

Protest of Edward J. Clark against project of moving alleged shacks of Babies' Aid Society to a new location in the Richmond District on the ground that such action will depreciate the value of the properties of the protestants.

Protest Against Supply Station.

Protest, of M. E. Gabner and others against permit of Paul Ryden's Auto Supply Station at Twenty-fifth avenue and Balboa street.

Protest, Produce Terminal at Marina.

Protest, from Marina District Improvement Association against any further delays on Supervisor Stanton's produce terminal ordinance as regards produce terminal being constructed at the Marina.

Ordered *filed*.

Supervisor Stanton gave notice that he would call up his ordinance regulating produce terminals at next meeting if same is not reported out of committee.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Hospital Supplies, Roller Bandages, Absorbent Cotton, Gauze, Lint, Oiled Muslin, Adhesive Plaster.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this date for furnishing hospital supplies, roller bandages, absorbent cotton, gauze, lint, oiled muslin and adhesive plaster and *referred to the Supplies Committee*.

Furniture for School Department; Print Shop Equipment for Everett Junior High School.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this date for furnishing furniture for School Department and print shop equipment for Everett Junior High School and *referred to the Supplies Committee*.

X-Ray Films for San Francisco Hospital.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this date for furnishing X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital and *referred to the Supplies Committee*.

Operating Dirt-moving Equipment.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this date for operating dirt-moving equipment for Playground Commission during the Fiscal Year 1928-29 and *referred to the Supplies Committee*.

SET-BACK LINE HEARING—11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishing of set-back lines along Tenth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street fixed for 11 a. m. this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8613, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along Tenth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 11th day of June, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 179, to establish set-back lines along Tenth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street, and fixed the 16th day of July, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said Tenth avenue in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Tenth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Tenth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervis-

ors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing were, on recommendation of the Finance Committee, taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 29283 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $3,506.82.

(2) G. W. Price Pump & Engine Co., furnishing and installing pump in Golden Gate Park (claim dated June 22, 1928), $1,927.

(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $4,594.07.

(4) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $791.53.

(5) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $560.33.

(6) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, etc., for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $587.24.

(7) Standard Oil Co., oil for parks (claim dated June 22, 1928), $1,313.43.

(8) J. A. Bryant, first payment, addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 29, 1928), $14,437.50.

(9) J. A. Bryant, second payment, addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 29, 1928), $23,062.50.

(10) J. A. Bryant, third payment, addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 29, 1928), $12,450.

(11) Masten & Hurd, architectural services rendered for addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 29, 1928), $1,200.

(12) Punnett, Parez & Hutchison, engineering services for account of Yacht Harbor (claim dated June 29, 1928), $856.50.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(13) Kaiser Paving Co., repairs to equipment, supplies, etc. (claim dated June 22, 1928), $1,723.43.

(14) Leo Rosasco, wood furnished (claim dated June 22, 1928), $569.40.

(15) Badt-Falk & Co., reinforcing bars (claim dated June 25, 1928), $646.67.

(16) Cement Gun Construction Co., cement lining, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct (claim dated June 25, 1928), $1,105.

(17) R. M. Gardiner Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated June 25, 1928), $598.44.

(18) United States Rubber Co., rubber coats, etc. (claim dated June 25, 1928), $904.09.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(19) Mrs. Bridget Lundy, settlement in full of claim for injuries and damages due to accident happening on or about Dec. 15, 1927 (claim dated June 25, 1928), $700.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(20) American Brass Co., first payment, furnishing and delivering electric cables in accordance with Sec. "A," Contract No. 156 of Municipal Railway system (claim dated June 27, 1928), $5,250.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity).

(21) Steiger & Kerr Stove & Foundry Co., iron castings for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated May 31, 1928), $654.08.

Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(22) Street Repair Department, Dept. of Public Works, reimbursement for account of expenditures for side-sewer trenches, Jan. 2 to March 31, 1928 (claim dated June 25, 1928), $5,317.84.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) Mahoney Bros., final payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated June 27, 1928), $10,000.

County Road Fund.

(24) Granfield, Farrar and Carlin, improvement of Potrero avenue from Sixteenth to Army streets (claim dated June 23, 1928), $975.

Special School Tax.

(25) Geo. H. Tay Co., tanks, closet bowls, etc., for schools (claim dated June 20, 1928), $622.46.

(26) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, window and door shades for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated June 26, 1928), $920.15.

(27) J. H. McCallum, lumber for

schools (claim dated June 26, 1928), $515.29.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity).

(28) United States Rubber Co., rubber covered underground cable for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,385.43.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(29) J. P. Holland, Inc., sand for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated June 22, 1928), $838.95.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(30) Rudolph G. Theurkauf, for services and expense in connection with San Francisco's exhibit at the San Jose Fiesta de Las Rosas, May 19, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $850.62.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(31) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,548.

(32) Dudley B. Perkins, repairs to Police Dept. motorcycles (claim dated June 25, 1928), $599.76.

(33) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Dept. (claim dated June 25, 1928), $822.07.

(34) Acme Gravel Co., rock for street repair (claim dated June 22, 1928), $674.84.

(35) T. I. Butler Co., rock for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1928), $710.33.

(36) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1928), $5,653.31.

(37) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 25, 1928), $4,186.54.

(38) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 25, 1928), $2,239.70.

(39) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe (claim dated June 25, 1928), $760.85.

(40) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated June 25, 1928), $704.70.

(41) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated June 25, 1928), $747.50.

(42) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated June 25, 1928), $1,186.17.

(43) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Fire Dept. (claim dated June 22, 1928), $812.72.

(44) James Gleeson, reducing valves for Fire Dept. (claim dated June 22, 1928), $4,200.

(45) Hanlon Drydock & Shipbuilding Co. Inc., repairs to Fire Boat "David Scannell" (claim dated June 22, 1928), $2,498.30.

(46) Spring Valley Water Co., water services through Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated June 22, 1928), $14,862.50.

(47) J. E. Scully, labor and materials for clubhouses in Aquatic Park (claim dated June 29, 1928), $2,916.61.

(48) Old Homestead Bakery, Inc., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,040.73.

(49) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $573.42.

(50) Edw. P. McAuliffe, coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 20, 1928), $590.

(51) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,273.33.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Resolution No. 29284 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium during June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $5,360.33.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(2) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated June 30, 1928), $525.

County Road Fund.

(3) Louis J. Cohn, third payment, furnishing and installing tie rod anchorage at Third street and Channel Bridge (claim dated June 30, 1928), $4,000.

(4) Eaton & Smith, City's portion for improvement of Twenty-fifth street between Rhode Island and DeHaro streets (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,250.

(5) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, improvement of Potrero avenue between Sixteenth and Army streets (claim dated June 30, 1928), $675.

(6) J. P. Holland, Inc., improvement of Portola drive at O'Shaughnessy boulevard (claim dated June 30, 1928), $805.50.

(7) Pacific States Construction Co., construction of conform pavement on Portola drive opposite Miraloma Park (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,170.

Special School Tax.

(8) Alta Electric Co., fourth pay-

ment, electrical work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,430.75.

(9) A. Lettich, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,154.40.

(10) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing work for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated June 30, 1928), $608.25.

(11) Frank Reilly, sixth payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated June 30, 1928), $13,383.26.

(12) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for June (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,300.

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(13) Steam Shovel & Trucking Contractors, filling in for construction of Bay Shore boulevard, near Steuben street (claim dated June 28, 1928), $1,026.75.

(14) Louis J. Cohn, third payment, construction of storm drain for Bay Shore boulevard, crossing Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 30, 1928), $7,800.

(15) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., second payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, Sec. "E" (claim dated June 30, 1928), $40,500.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(16) Kohler & Chase, two pianos for schools (claim dated July 3, 1928), $968.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(17) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,844.71.

(18) Hancock Brothers, printing transfers for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1928), $720.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(19) Rose McCovern, in full settlement of all claims for damage due to or personal injuries sustained on or about October 4, 1927, while attempting to board Municipal Railway car (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,250.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(20) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Calif., machinery parts for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1928), $1,216.10.

(21) United Materials Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1928), $746.25.

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(22) Daniel J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense (claim dated July 1, 1928), $750.

(23) Dion R. Holm, legal services, telephone rate litigation, for month of July (claim dated July 5, 1928), $850.

(24) N. Randall Ellis, engineering service, telephone rate litigation, month of July (claim dated July 5, 1928), $750.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(25) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding and feeding of animals, month of June (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,250.

(26) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Police Dept. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,667.75.

(27) Clarence B. Eaton, second payment, construction of Fillmore street main sewer, Sec. "T", Contract No. 1 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $6,000.

(28) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, sand for street repair (claim dated June 28, 1928), $774.

(29) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 28, 1928), $859.02.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Appropriation, $8,000, Payment to Oscar M. Hueter et al., Balboa Terrace Playground.

Resolution No. 29285 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8,000 be and the same is hereby set aside out of Playground Fund and authorized in payment to Oscar M. Hueter and Ernest C. Hueter; being payment for lands, being portions of Blocks 3265 and 3266, as per map of Blocks 3260-3269, inclusive, "Balboa Terrace Addition," and required for Balboa Terrace Playground: additional to $27,450 (claim dated June 20, 1928).

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 29286 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for

properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Katie Walsh, for Lot 12 in Block 6661 (25x90 feet), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29256 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 26, 1928), $5,-750.

(2) To Cornelius Sweeney, for Lot 14 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Books (25x107 feet), as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29256 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 26, 1928), $5,-625.

(3) To Mary E. Barney and Thomas R. Barney, for portion of Lot 4 in Black 6754A (51x617 feet, irregular) and portion of Lot 1 in Block 6755, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 29204 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 19, 1928), $5,176.

(4) To Patrick McCarthy, for Lot 3 in Block 6693, as per Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29204 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated June 19, 1928), $5,075.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 29287 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To H. Moffat Co., for portion of Lot 7 (545x16 feet 6 inches) in Block 5335A, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29251 (New Series), and required for the widening of Silver avenue (claim dated June 26, 1928), $1,600.

(2) To Abe Clemo and Nellie Clemo, for portions of Lots 24, 25 and 26 (75x22 feet, irregular) in Block 6990, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29203 (New Series), and required for the widening of Garfield street (claim dated June 18, 1928), $600.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Appropriations for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 29288 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To J. E. Higgins Lumber Company, for portion of Lot 1L (173x14 feet, irregular) in Block 4343, as per Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29206 (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 20, 1928), $3,226.50.

(2) To Heyman Brothers and Sophie Landau, for portion of Lot 13 (25 feet frontage by average, irregular, depth of 16 feet) in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29206 (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 20, 1928), $572.

(3) To Armida Chittka, for Lot 22 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29206 (New Series), and required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 20, 1928), $3,-105.

(4) To Ida Zuffi, for portion of Lot 31 (25 feet by irregular depth of 106 feet) in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29255 (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 27, 1928), $4,305.

(5) To Agnes Stanford Taylor Galbraith, for portion of Lot 1A (59 feet by 75.33 feet) in Block 6309, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29255 (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated June 27, 1928), $2,222.

(6) To John J. Ferrea, for Lot 34 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29205 (New Series), and required for the Sunset parkway (claim dated June 20, 1928), $7,400.

(7) To John F. May, for Lot 30 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29205 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated June 20, 1928), $1,500.

(8) To Anita Cook, for Lot 13 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29254 (New Series), and required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 27, 1928), $4,200.

(9) To Charles A. Weck, for Lot 25 in Block 2365, as per Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29254 (New Series), and required for opening of Sunset parkway (claim dated June 27, 1928), $5,902.

(10) To William Jacobs, for Lot 11 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29254 (New Series), and required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 27, 1928), $1,625.

(11) To Ellen B. Joseph, for Lot 33 in Block 2389, as per Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29254 (New Series), and required for Sunset parkway (claim dated June 27, 1928), $1,500.

(12) To Wm. H. Gerran and Nellie Gerran, for portion of Lot 30 (25x90 feet) in Block 6786, as per Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29252 (New Series), and required as approach to Alemany boulevard (claim dated June 26, 1928), $1,000.

(13) To The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco for release from damages to Lots 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32 in Block 6796, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, caused by the construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 29207 (New Series) (claim dated June 18, 1928), $4,219.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Ordering Construction of Third Unit, Balboa High School.

Bill No. 8612, Ordinance No. 8110 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the third unit of the Balboa (Southside) High School, to be erected on City property bounded by Cayuga, Otsego, Oneida and Onondaga avenues; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said construction, authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The construction of the third unit of the Balboa (Southside) High School is hereby ordered, and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for said construction of the third unit of the Balboa (Southside) High School, and to enter into contract for the said construction of the third unit of the Balboa (Southside) High School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Plans, Etc., Playground at Pacific Heights School.

Bill No. 8601, Ordinance No. 8111 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing preparation of plans and specifications for alterations to playground at Pacific Heights School; ordering the construction of alterations to the playground at Pacific Heights School; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said alterations to the playground at Pacific Heights School, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for construction of alterations to playground at Pacific Heights School, and the construction of alterations to the playground at Pacific Heights School is hereby ordered; the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as

approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Appropriations, Repairs, Juvenile Detention Home, Sewers and Street Work.

Resolution No. 29289 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 53.

(1) For the cost of installing heavy wire screens on windows and doors, repairing of plaster, repair of elevator shaft, steps, and installing of three wire glass panes, door checks, iron plates, etc., at Juvenile Detention Home, $575.

(2) For expense of relining Boiler No. 2 at the Hall of Justice, $534.

(3) For expense of relining Boiler No. 2 at the Hall of Justice, $605.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 36.

(4) For expense of conform work, moving catchbasins, constructing culverts, etc., at intersection of Silver avenue and Vienna street, City's portion, $450.

County Road Fund.

(5) For the improvement of Capitol avenue between Thrift and Montana streets, City's portion, $2,430.

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 33.

(6) For completion of improvement around Larsen Park, bounded by Ulloa and Wawona streets, Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, $3,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Plans, Etc., Harrison Street Warehouse.

Bill No. 8602, Ordinance No. 8112 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of the Harrison street warehouse, and ordering the construction of the Harrison street warehouse, to be erected on school property situate on Harrison street between Tenth and Eleventh streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said construction of the Harrison street warehouse.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of the Harrison street warehouse, to be erected on school property situate on Harrison street between Tenth and Eleventh streets, which construction is hereby ordered, and to enter into contract for the said construction of the Harrison street warehouse in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of the Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 29290 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

John Avansino, southeast corner of Filbert and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

George L. Carrico, west side of Third avenue, 200 feet north of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. J. Clancy, east side of Polk street, 137 feet 8 inches north of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Jackson street, 102 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, north side of Cervantes boulevard, 150 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Mallorca way, 15 feet from Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Ferrari and F. Marconi, northeast corner of Mason and Vallejo streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. G. Huenergardt, 241 Montalvo avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Irving H. Kahn, 3725 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lang Realty Co., north side of Alton avenue, 140 feet east of Ninth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, south side of Beach street, 100 feet west of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McIntosh & Durivich, west side of Twenty-fourth avenue, 75 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. S. Mallock, southwest corner of Spruce and Clay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mohr & Son, 883 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. Monson, east side of Twentieth avenue, 40 feet north of Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. W. Morton, west side of Webster street, 50 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Musante, 1450 Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. S. Newman, northwest corner of Maple and Jackson streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., 236 Moncada way, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, west side of San Filipe way, 600 feet south of San Jacinto way, 600 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, east side of Monterey boulevard, 400 feet south of San Jacinto way, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Martin Camm Co., 340 First street, 100 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 29291 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 27801 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Lombard and Fillmore streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Resolution No. 29292 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 25228 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Third street and South Park.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Resolution No. 29293 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted W. A. Cooley by Resolution No. 27546 (New Series), for premises on the southeast corner of Geary and Johnston streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Resolution No. 29294 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 27209 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Pacific and Drumm streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Resolution No. 29295 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 28035 (New Series) for premises on the west side of Burnett avenue, near Portola drive.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Resolution No. 29296 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Mikkelsen & Anderson by Resolution No. 28832 (New Series) for permises on the northeast corner of Hayes and Baker streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Resolution No. 29297 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Mohawk Oil Company by Resolution No. 24576 (New Series) for premises on the northwest corner of Columbus avenue and Newell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Resolution No. 29298 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred E. Anderson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted C. W. Johnson by Resolution No. 25418 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Sixth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden Schmidt—3.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 29299 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., east side of Alviso avenue, 200 feet south of Urbano drive, 500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, Inc., southeast corner of Paloma avenue and Moncada drive, 750 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

International Harvester Company, 200 Potrero avenue, 4 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden. Schmidt—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 29300 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Leo A. Ostrowski be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Twenty-first and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 29301 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Bernard O'Donnell by Resolution No. 25459 (New Series) for premises on the southwest corner of Plymouth avenue and Farallones street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 29302 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. Brunton & Sons be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Fell and Broderick streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr Todd, Toner—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Shell Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Twenty-fourth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Privilege of the Floor.

Ed. Kenny, president G e a r y Street Merchants' Association, was heard in opposition; also, Mr. Arrington.

P. Ward, applicant, and Mr. Foulks were heard in favor of the permit.

Rereferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McGovern, the foregoing matter was *rereferred to the Fire Committee* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—9.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Revoking Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted the California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 28691 (New Series), approved March 27, 1928, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northwest corner of Stanyan and Frederick streets, is hereby revoked.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing were, on recommendation of the Lighting Committee, taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Underground Ordinance, Pacific Avenue.

Bill No. 8604, Ordinance No. 8113 (New Series) as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section, to be known as Section 1v.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1v. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after October 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 27, Pacific avenue, from the east side of Fillmore street to the east side of Steiner street, approximately 412½ feet; wires to be placed underground during reconstruction of street.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Prohibiting Obscene Shows.

On recommendation of the Police and Licenses Committee:

Bill No. 8611, Ordinance No. 8114 (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance prohibiting the showing or exhibition of any entertainment, show, play or scene which is obscene, or indecent, or lewd, or which has for its subject or theme sex degeneracy, or sex perversion, or sex inversion.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation, partnership or association, either as owner, manager, producer, director, actor or agent, or who acts in any other capacity, to give, direct, present or participate in any obscene, indecent, immoral or impure drama, play, exhibition, show or entertainment, or any obscene, indecent, immoral, impure scene, tableau, incident, part or portion of any drama, play, exhibition, show or entertainment which tends to corrupt the morals of youth or others; or to give, direct, present or participate in any drama, play, exhibition, show, entertainment, scene or tableau depicting or dealing with the subject or theme of sex degeneracy or sex perversion or sex inversion.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person to exhibit, or perform, or participate in the presentation or performance of any obscene, indecent or lewd play or representation.

Section 3. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 4. If any word, phrase, line, sentence, paragraph or part of this ordinance shall be held to be unconstitutional or void for any reason, it shall not invalidate or void any of the balance of this ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors hereby declares its intention to pass the balance of this ordinance without that portion so held to be unconstitutional or void, if any there be.

Section 5. Any person, firm, corporation, partnership or association violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Condemnation of Property for School Purposes.

On recommendation of the Public Buildings and Lands Committee:

Resolution No. 29304 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Randall street, distant thereon 282 feet 9 inches easterly from the easterly line of Chenery street; running thence northerly 133 feet; thence easterly to the line of the Southern Pacific right of way; thence southerly along the said right of way to Randall street; thence westerly to the point of commencement; being a portion of Block 6657 and immediately adjoining on the south and east the present site of the Fairmount School, Chenery and Randall streets.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For school purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Approval of Lease, Francisca Maternity Hospital.

Bill No. 8603, Ordinance No. 8115 (New Series), as follows:

Approving the lease of certain real property in the City and County of San Francisco made by the Board of Education to Francisca Maternity Hospital and Babies Aid.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco be, and it is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to leave to Francisca Maternity Hospital and Babies Aid, those certain lots, tracts or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-sixth avenue, distant thereon two hundred twenty-five (225) feet southerly from the southerly line of Balboa street; thence southerly along the said westerly line of Thirty-sixth avenue one hundred and fifty (150) feet; thence at right angles westerly two hundred and forty (240) feet; thence at right angles northerly one hundred and fifty (150) feet; thence at right angles easterly two hundred forty (240) feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 2. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon two hundred twenty-five (225) feet southerly from the southerly line of Balboa street; thence at right angles westerly two hundred and forty (240) feet; thence at right angles southerly one hundred and fifty (150) feet; thence at right angles easterly two hundred and forty (240) feet; thence at right angles northerly one hundred and fifty (150) feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. That said lease shall be for a period of thirty-five (35) years, and for the total rental of fifty ($50.00) dollars, payable in advance on the date of the execution and delivery of said lease, and in addition to the rental reserved in said lease to be paid therefor by the lessee named therein, the said lessee shall surrender possession to the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, of that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at point of intersection of the northerly line of Geary street with the westerly line of Twenty-ninth avenue, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Twenty-ninth avenue six hundred (600) feet to the southerly line of Clement street; thence westerly along the said last named line two hundred and forty (240) feet to the easterly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence northerly along the said last named line six hundred (600) feet to the northerly line of Geary street; thence easterly along said last named line two hundred (200) feet to the point of commencement; and shall cause to be executed and delivered to the City and County of San Francisco, a quit-claim deed relinquishing to the City and County all of its right, title, interest and estate in and to the said real property last above described.

Section 3. That said lease shall contain all the terms, covenants and conditions required by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to be contained therein, and especially the provision that all buildings or improvements placed upon said property shall become the property of the School Department of the City and County of San Francisco upon the expiration or termination of said lease.

Section 4. That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, be and they are hereby authorized to execute said lease for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Market Street Railway Company's Contract, Judah Street Line.

On recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee:

Resolution No. 29305 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the use of the hereinafter mentioned railway tracks belonging to the Market Street Railway Company is necessary for the operation by the City of its proposed extension of the Municipal Railway lines, which extension is commonly known as the "Judah street line"; be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into

an agreement with the Market Street Railway Company for the use of its tracks, overhead trolley and electrical equipment on the following named streets, with the right to make all necessary switches and connections with said tracks and trolleys, and to operate the cars of the City on said tracks, to-wit:

(a) On Duboce avenue between Church and Fillmore streets;

(b) On Carl street, from a point east of Cole street, westerly to Stanyan street.

That in consideration of said privilege the City shall pay to said Market Street Railway Company the sum of five hundred and fifty ($550) dollars annually and seven and one-half (7½c) cents per car mile for each car mile that the cars of the City are operated over said track, with the understanding that at the end of any annual period during the life of said agreement, at the request of either party to said agreement, the cost of power and maintenance may be reestimated on the basis of the actual cost of the preceding year, and the payment for the succeeding year on the car mile basis shall be on the cost so determined.

That the said Market Street Railway Company shall furnish the necessary power for the operation of the City's cars, and pay all expense incident to the upkeep of said tracks or any repairs or renewal to the pavement incident to the maintenance of said tracks.

That the entering into said agreement shall not be construed as a waiver of any right or claim that either of the parties thereto may have under the general law or the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and they are hereby authorized to execute said agreement for and on behalf of the City upon the same being approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Reception Committee, Ford Reliability Tour.

On recommendation of the Public Welfare Committee:

Resolution No. 29306 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport Committee be and is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for the proper reception of the participants of the Ford Reliability Tour over the United States who will arrive at Mills Field Municipal Airport Friday, July 13, 1928; be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized out of Publicity and Advertising Fund (Appropriation 54) for the proper advertising of San Francisco in connection with this event.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden. Schmidt—3.

Pacific Southwest Exposition Participation.

Resolution No. 29307 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Pacific Southwest Exposition will be held in Long Beach, California, July 27 to September 3, 1928, inclusive.

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee be and it is hereby authorized and requested to make necessary arrangements in connection with San Francisco's participation at this exposition and that the sum of $7,500 be appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising to defray the expenses of same.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee:

Bill No. 8605, Ordinance No. 8116 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Britton street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Cowden street between Harkness and Wilde avenue and the crossing of Cowden street and Harkness avenue, Forty-first avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, Huron avenue between Foote and Naglee avenues, Ingalls street between Hollister and Ingerson avenues, Loehr street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Ortega street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues, Rey street between Leland and Visita-

cion avenues, Santiago street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues and the crossing of Santiago street and Twenty-eighth avenue, Vicente street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues, Vicente street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, Vicente street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, Vicente street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues, crossing of Arch and Shields streets, crossing of Shields and Vernon streets, crossing of Prentiss street and Tompkins avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout; sewers and gas mains have been laid therein; no water mains have been laid therein: Britton street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Cowden street between Harkness and Wilde avenues and the crossing of Cowden street and Harkness avenue, Forty-first avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, Huron avenue between Foote and Naglee avenues, Ingalls street between Hollister and Ingerson avenues, Loehr street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Ortega street between Twenty-eighth and twenty-ninth avenues, Rey street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Santiago street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues and the crossing of Santiago street and Twenty-ninth avenue, Vicente street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues, Vicente street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, Vicente street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, Vicente street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues, crossing of Arch and Shields streets, crossing of Shields and Vernon streets, crossing of Prentiss and Tompkins avenues.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Garfield Street.

Bill No. 8606, Ordinance No. 8117 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 28, 1928, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seven, to read as follows:

Section 907. The width of sidewalks on Garfield street between Orizaba avenue and Junipero Serra boulevard shall be nine (9) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Minerva Street and Orizaba Avenue.

Bill No. 8607, Ordinance No. 8118 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered ten hundred and forty-four and ten hundred and forty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 13, 1928, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered ten hundred and forty-four

and ten hundred and forty-five, to read as follows:

Section 1044. The width of sidewalks on Minerva street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Minerva street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 1045. The width of sidewalks on Orizaba avenue between Lobos street and Montana street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Orizaba avenue between Lobos street and Montana street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 29308 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Meyer Rosenberg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts for the grading of Morse street between Pope and Concord streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Meyer Rosenberg, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Selby Street.

Bill No. 8608, Ordinance No. 8119 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 21, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty-six, to read as follows:

Section 1046. The width of sidewalks on Selby street between Evans avenue and its northerly termination are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Swiss Avenue.

Bill No. 8609, Ordinance No. 8120 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty-one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 31, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and forty-one, to read as follows:

Section 1041. The width of sidewalks on Swiss avenue between Surrey street and Sussex street shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano,

Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Ordering Improvement of Alemany Boulevard.

Bill No. 8610, Ordinance No. 8121 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Alemany boulevard, Section D-1, Contract 10, from Orizaba avenue to Sickles avenue and De Wolf street, a distance of about 2200 feet with a width of 100 feet, including two 10-foot sidewalks, by grading, sewering and paving. Authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving said plans and specifications and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the construction of said improvement, the cost of said improvements to be borne out of Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement of Alemany boulevard, Section D-1, Contract 10, from Orizaba avenue to Sickles avenue and De Wolf street, a distance of about 2200 feet with a width of 100 feet, including two 10-foot sidewalks by grading, sewering and paving, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

The cost of said improvement to be borne out of funds of the Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of said Alemany boulevard, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $63,079.99, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, June, $38.47.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were, on recommendation of the City Planning Committee, *passed for printing*:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464, Fell Street.

Bill No. 8614, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series, the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Fell street, commencing at a point 109 feet 6 inches westerly from Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly 41 feet 3 inches, and extending southerly to Hickory street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), San Jose Avenue and Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Bill No. 8615, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464

(New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby changed so as to place the easterly corner of the crossing of San Jose avenue and Alemany boulevard, for a distance of 160 feet on San Jose avenue and a distance of 200 feet on Alemany boulevard, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Award of Contract, Boulevard and Bernal Cut Bonds.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 29303 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Boulevard Bonds, issue of November 1, 1927, to the amount of $2,500,000, and Bernal Cut Bonds, issue of July 1, 1927, to the amount of $700,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 9th day of July, 1928; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered, therefore

Resolved, That the bid of The National City Company; Old Colony Corporation; Continental National Company; United States Security Bank & Trust Company; California Securities Company; Wm. Cavalier & Company for said $2,500,000 Boulevard Bonds, comprising 125 bonds of $1,000 denomination maturing each year from 1932 to 1951, inclusive, and for $700,000 Bernal Cut Bonds comprising 35 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1932 to 1951, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said The National City Company; Old Colony Corporation; Continental National Company; United Security Bank & Trust Company; California Securities Company; Wm. Cavalier & Company, for the price bid therefor, to-wit: $3,251,196.80 and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) San Francisco News Co., Public Library books (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,090.73.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., Public Library book binding (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,084.05.

(3) Mullen Mfg. Co., cabinet and table for Public Library (claim dated June 30, 1928), $570.

(4) American Building Maintenance Co., Library janitor service (claim dated June 30, 1928), $810.

Playground Fund.

(5) Fred S. Campbell Co., one electric bake oven for Municipal Camp at Mather, Cal. (claim dated July 1, 1928), $638.50.

(6) P. Kernan, construction work at Municipal Camp at Mather, Cal. (claim dated July 1, 1928), $2,591.

(7) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated July 11, 1928), $2,475.91.

Park Fund.

(8) Gantner & Mattern, swimming suits (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,289.41.

(9) State Compensation Insurance Fund, compensation insurance covering Park employments (claim dated June 30, 1928), $638.92.

(10) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $5,842.73.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(11) Board of Supervisors, Bud-

get Item No. 76, 1927-1928, to reimburse for account of hauling charges during April, May and June for the Tearing-Up Streets Fund (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,788.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(12) Badt-Falk & Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated July 10, 1928), $1,011.67.

(13) Cement Gun Construction Co., cement gun work (claim dated July 10, 1928), $772.50.

(14) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated July 10, 1928), $1,050.75.

(15) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 10, 1928), $522.

(16) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 10, 1928 $533.35.

(17) St. Louis Power Shovel Co., shoveling machine parts (claim dated July 10, 1928), $770.35.

(18) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., two electric motors (claim dated July 10, 1928), $3,466.

(19) T. E. Connelly and J. M. DeLucca, eighteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Contract No. 113, Proposition "E" (claim dated July 11, 1928), $21,497.02.

(20) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., nineteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Contract No. 113, Proposition "C" (claim dated July 11, 1928), $11,231.93.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(21) John J. Dailey, July legal services in the valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated July 12, 1928), $850.

County Road Fund.

(23) Schultz Construction Co., final payment for the improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta to Adam streets (claim dated June 30, 1928), $14,264.98.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(24) Bethlehem Steel Co., car wheels (claim dated June 30, 1928), $7,600.

(25) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., railway motors, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,766.

(26) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,311.12.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(27) Sarah Rose John, in full settlement of claim for account of accident on or about January 30, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $750.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(28) Robert W. Jamison, second payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for the Sunset line (claim dated July 10, 1928), $975.

(29) Eaton & Smith, third payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract No. 155 (claim dated July 10, 1928), $56,160.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) Maggini Motor Co., one Ford roadster for Police Dept. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $525.50.

(31) Playground Commission, reimbursement for account of expenditures in the development of playground site at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets (claim dated June 30, 1928), $12,002.35.

(32) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for account of construction of convenience stations at the Marina, Jefferson and Franklin Squares (claim dated June 30, 1928), $844.17.

(33) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $56,671.20.

(34) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $816.58.

(35) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,749.63.

(36) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,995.68.

(37) Morris & Co., butter for S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,063.33.

(38) Enterprise Foundry Co., manhole frames and covers (claim dated June 30, 1928), $747.87.

(39) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,028.59.

(40) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,987.75.

(41) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,500.24.

(42) Renner Foundation Co., repairs to fender piling at Third and Channel bridge (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,400.

(43) Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for stock withdrawals for general repairs to buildings (claim dated June 30, 1928), $828.65.

(44) Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for stock withdrawals for general repairs to buildings (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,547.18.

(45) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, Budget Item No. 526, reimbursement for work

performed in the improvement of Guerrero street from Twenty-ninth street westerly (claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,006.19.

(46) Schultz Construction Co., third payment, improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta to Adam streets (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,100.

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(47) Thomas F. Boyle, Treasurer of Citizens San Francisco Fourth of July Celebration Committee, for expenses of the celebration of Independence Day, 1928 (claim dated July 16, 1928), $2,500.

(48) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated July 13, 1928), $8,485.42.

(49) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated July 13, 1928), $1,167.50.

(50) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated July 13, 1928), $8,641.78.

(51) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., envelopes for Dept. of Elections (claim dated July 2, 1928), $1,360.98.

(52) J. H. Zemansky, postage stamps for Dept. of Elections sample ballots (claim dated July 12, 1928), $3,975.

Appropriation, $3,850, Payment to Thomas E. Rodney et al. for Land for Ocean View Playground.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,850 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to Thomas E. Rodney and Ethel E. Rodney; being payment for Lot 7 in Block 7093, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the Ocean View Playground (claim dated July 11, 1928).

Transfer of Title to Bay Shore Lands to Facilitate Sale or Exchange of Unnecessary Portion.

Also, Resolution No. 29309 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by Resolution No. 29159 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors on June 18, 1928, the offer of William R. Copeland and Alma Copeland to sell to the City and County of San Francisco Lots 36 and 37, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required in connection with the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum of $3,500, was accepted by said Board; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of the portion of said Lot 36 lying outside of the right of way, title to the same be taken in the name of the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the direction of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offers, Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29310 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

John P. Cosgrove et al., $172—Portion of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, Block 5573, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Annie E. Hassett, $4,631.25—Lots Nos. 9, 10 and 36, Block 5607, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Also, Resolution No. 29311 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

David Ososke, $2,250—

Parcel 1. The southwesterly portion of Lots Nos. 40 and 45, inclusive, and 48, Block 5375, as per

the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel A. The northeasterly portion of Lots Nos. 40 to 45, inclusive, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Lawrence W. Newport and Catherine A. Newport, $1,100—

Parcel 1. The easterly portion of Lots Nos. 33 and 34, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel "B". The westerly portion of Lots Nos. 33 and 34, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Maurice McCann, as guardian of the estate of Millie McCann, $1,200—

Parcel 1. The westerly portion of Lots Nos. 29 and 30 Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel "C". The easterly portion of Lots Nos. 29 and 30, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it further

Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of said Parcel "A", purchased from said David Ososke, said Parcel "B", purchased from said Lawrence W. and Catherine A. Newport, and said Parcel "C", purchased from said Maurice McCann, guardian as aforesaid, the deeds to said Parcels "A", "B" and "C" be taken in the name of the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the direction of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Also, Resolution No. 29312 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

A. Bebervanzo, $2,700—Lot 39, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

William T. Hummer and Edith S. Hummer, $80—Portion of Lot 39, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

E. J. Lynch, $500—Portion of Lot 22, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offers, Land for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29313 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

Peter Guiliani, $8,300—Lot 13A, Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the above named owner of the above described premises shall have the use thereof, without charge, until October 1, 1928.

Louis P. Brehm and Elda C. Brehm, $7,350—Lot 31, Block 1714,

Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

L. J. Ewers, $3,750—Lot 32, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offers, Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29314 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and repaving of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely:

David E. Fuselli and Pearl Fuselli, $860.

All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot No. 22 in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 171 Alemany boulevard.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper release.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Also, Resolution No. 29315 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

Sarah J. Mills, $300—Portion of Lot 6, Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

A. J. Laurence and Bernice C. Laurence, $2,110—Portion of Lot 4, Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now partially located on the above described parcel, said improvements to be relocated by the said A. J. Laurence and Bernice C. Laurence within thirty (30) days from date of notice by the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $125 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 10x25 feet in the rear of the remaining property of A. J. Laurence and Bernice C. Laurence adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and A. J. Laurence and Bernice C. Laurence hereby agree to pay the said sum of $125 for the same, and this offer is contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the said sum of $125 the above mentioned parcel of land.

George O. La Frenz and Josephine K. La Frenz, $2,081—Portion of Lot 3B, Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now partially located on the above described parcel, said improvements to be relocated by the said George O. La Frenz and Josephine K. La Frenz within thirty (30) days from date of notice by the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $125 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 10x25 feet in the rear of the remaining property of

George O. La Frenz and Josephine K. La Frenz adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and George O. La Frenz and Josephine K. La Frenz hereby agree to pay the said sum of $125 for the same, and this offer is contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the said sum of $125 the above mentioned parcel of land.

I. A. Scherba, $2,081—Portion of Lot 3A, Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now partially located on the above described parcel, said improvements to be relocated by the said I. A. Scherba within thirty (30) days from date of notice by the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $125 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 10x25 feet in the rear of the remaining property of I. A. Scherba adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and I. A. Scherba hereby agrees to pay the said sum of $125 for the same, and this offer is contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the said sum of $125 the above mentioned parcel of land.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offer, Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29316 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of R. H. Cross to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a portion of Lot 147 of Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, in exchange for a portion of Lots 148 and 149, as per map hereinabove mentioned (all as per written offer on file), which latter property is appraised by the City's right of way agent to be of equal value with the property first hereinabove described be accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title of said property to be acquired, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. The City Attorney is likewise authorized to supervise the delivery of deed, conveying to said R. H. Cross said portion of Lots 148 and 149, as per map hereinabove mentioned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offers, Land for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29317 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite their names be accepted:

Minnie T. Jorgensen, Hans F. Christensen and Chris Jorgensen, $1,000—Lots 15 and 16, Block 6746, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Also, Resolution No. 29318 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite their names be accepted:

Mathilda M. Weiss and Frederick U. Weiss, $13,000—

Parcel 1. A portion of Lot 4, Block 6662, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

As a further consideration for said conveyance, it is understood and agreed that the parcel of land below described as Parcel "A" shall become the property of said Mathilda M. and Frederick U. Weiss, said property being described as follows:

Parcel "A" A portion of Lot 3, Block 6662, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed descriptions and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden. Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offer, Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29319 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

Amalia Radovich, $900—

Parcel 1. The easterly portion of Lots 5 and 6, Block 5374, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco.

Parcel "A". The westerly portion of Lots 5 and 6, Block 5374, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Catherine Cote, $3,316—

Parcel 1. The westerly portion of Lots 20, 21 and 19, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco.

Parcel "B". The easterly portion of Lots 20 and 19, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Giovanni Barlesi and Domenica Barlesi, $5,000—

Parcel 1. The southeasterly portion of Lot 15, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco.

Parcel "C". The northwesterly portion of Lot 15, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of said Parcel "A", purchased from said Amalia Radovich, said Parcel "B", purchased from said Catherine Cote, and said Parcel "C", purchased from said Giovanni Barlesi and Domenica Barlesi, the deeds to said Parcels "A", "B" and "C" be taken in the name of the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the direction of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offer, Land for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29320 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset parkway, for the sum set forth opposite her name be accepted:

Alice Howard, $1,525—Lots 3 and

1, Block 2098, as per the Assessor's lock Books of the City and County f San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby uthorized to examine the title to aid property, and if the same is ound satisfactory, to accept, on be- alf of the City, deed conveying aid property to the City, free and lear of all encumbrances, and to ecord said deed, together with opy of this resolution, in the of- ce of the Recorder of the City nd County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano,)easy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, IcGovern McSheehy, Marks, Pow- rs, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, uhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

\ccepting Offers, Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29321 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale nade by the following named per- ons to sell to the City and County f San Francisco the following de- cribed land, required for the open- ng of Bay Shore boulevard, for the ums set forth opposite their re- pective names be accepted:

Richard Montalva, $27—Portion f Lot 4, Block 5391, as per the As- essor's Block Books of the City nd County of San Francisco. (As er detailed description and writ- en offer on file.)

David Ososke, $836—Portion of ots 10 and 11, Block 5451, as per he Assessor's Block Books of the ity and County of San Francisco.

The above amount includes dam- ges in full to remaining portion f above mentioned lot caused by he establishment of future grade f Charter Oak avenue as widened.

(All as per detailed description nd written offer on file.)

James Matthios, $38—Portion of ot 8, Block 5037, as per the As- essor's Block Books of the City nd County of San Francisco. (As er detailed description and writ- en offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby uthorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on be- half of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the of- fice of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Pow- ers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations for Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are here- by set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the here- inafter named persons; being pay- ments for properties required for the opening of the Bay Shore boule- vard, to-wit:

(1) To David Ososke, for por- tions of Lots 10 and 11 (68x25) in Block 5451, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29311, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $836.

(2) To Amalia Radovich, for por- tions of Lots 5 and 6 (50x100) in Block 5374, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29319, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $900.

(3) To Catherine Cote, for por- tions of Lots 20 and 21 (75x100, excepting 30x37, irregular) and all of Lot 19 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29319, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $3,316.

(4) To Giovanni Barlesi and Domenica Barlesi, for Lot 15 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29319, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $5,000.

(5) To A. Bebervanzo, for Lot 39 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29312, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $2,700.

(6) To David Ososke, for por- tion of Lot 48 and all of Lots 40 and 45, inclusive, in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29311, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $2,- 250.

(7) To Lawrence W. Newport and Catherine A. Newport, for Lots 33 and 34 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolu-

tion No. 29311, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $1,100.

(8) To Maurice McCann as guardian of Estate of Millie McCann, for Lots 29 and 30 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29311, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $1,200.

(9) To Annie E. Hassett, for Lots 9, 10 and 36 in Block 5607, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29310, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $4,631.25.

Appropriations, Land for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Minnie T. Jorgensen et al., for Lots 15 and 16 in Block 6746, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29317, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $1,000.

(2) To Mathilda M. Weiss and Frederick U. Weiss, for Lot 4 in Block 6662, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29318, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $13,000.

Appropriations for Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, to-wit:

(1) To David E. Fuselli and Pearl Fuselli, for account of damages to Lot 22 in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. —— (New Series); damages having been caused by the construction of Alemany boulevard (claim dated July 11, 1928), $860.

(2) To A. J. Laurence and Bernice C. Laurence, for a portion of Lot 4 in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29315, New Series (claim dated July 10, 1928), $2,110.

(3) To G. O. La Frenz and Josephine K. La Frenz, for a portion of Lot 3B in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29315, New Series (claim dated July 10, 1928), $2,081.

(4) To I. A. Scherba, for a portion of Lot 3A in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29315, New Series (claim dated July 10, 1928), $2,081.

Appropriations for Lands for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Sunset parkway, to-wit:

(1) To Louis P. Brehm and Elda C. Brehm, for Lot 31 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29313, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $7,350.

(2) To L. J. Ewers, for Lot 32 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29313, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $3,750.

(3) To Peter Guiliani, for Lot 13A in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29313, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $8,300.

(4) To Alice Howard, for Lots 3 and 31 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29320, New Series (claim dated July 3, 1928), $1,525.

Budget Amendment, Designation of Superintendent of Bureau of Building Inspection.

Also, Resolution No. 29322 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Budget Item No. 458 be and the same is hereby amended to read, "Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection," and

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 28888 (New Series) be and the same is hereby amended to read, "Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection" in

lieu of the words, "Chief Engineer for the Bureau of Building Inspection."

(Being in accordance with recommendation of Board of Public Works, Resolution No. 102853, Second Series.)

Privilege of the Floor.

Grover O'Connor, attorney for Civil Service Employees, and *Sid Hester*, secretary of the Board of Public Works, was heard in the pending matter.

Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved as amendment: "Further Resolved, That this change in the designation expires in 60 days."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner—11.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Kent, Suhr—4.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, as amended, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $19,055.99, Sewers in Golden Gate Heights District.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $19,055.99 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38 (1927-1928), for the cost of construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District.

Appropriations, Sewer Work and Street Signs.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers, Budget Item 36.

(1) For cost of construction of necessary catchbasin with accompanying 10 and 12-inch culverts, through private property in Edgardo place, to connect with sewer in Lombard street, $650.

Street Signs, Budget Item 70.

(2) For expense of repairs and alterations required in connection with existing street signs, by Board of Public Works, $2,000.

Appropriations, Repairs in City Hall, Ventilation, Furniture, Etc., Hall of Justice.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 53, Fiscal Year 1928-1929, for repairs to public buildings, to-wit:

(1) For labor and materials necessary to replace all broken marble slabs, hinges, locks, strikes, porcelain leg bolts and nuts; cutting of three manholes, tops for same; resetting of loose slabs and point up all marble, new covers in urinals, as required, in toilet rooms of City Hall, $2,464.

(2) For expense of providing ventilation for garage in basement of Hall of Justice by installing suction fan with galvanized iron ducts; nine openings in sidewalk and two openings at ceiling to discharge through sidewalk light on Washington street, $1,475.

(3) For cost of furnishing and installing new oak desk counter, approximately 75 feet long, in office of Justices' Clerk, $1,620.

(4) For expense of overhauling sheet metal work, including replacements or repairs to roofs, gutters, leaders, skylights, vents, stacks, etc., and for painting of roof of building and tower, all at County Jail No. 2, $1,350.

(5) For cost of furnishing and installing wire guards over skylights on roof of property clerk's office, Hall of Justice, and new annex occupied by Police Department, $425.

Appropriation, $59,242.67, Municipal Airport Improvements.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 50, for work at the Mills Field Municipal Airport as follows:

(1) For construction af Hangar No. 2, Contract 9, Stephenson Construction Co. contract, to enable final payment, $43,500.

(2) For comfort station construc-

tion, Peter Sorenson contract, $2,-932.

(3) For grading work, Eaton & Smith contract, $12,124.

(4) For telephone service, Enterprise Electric Co., $298.41.

(5) For relocating of fence, Anchor Post Fence Co., $388.26.

Total, $59,242.67.

Reconstruction of Streets Out of County Road Fund.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for expense of reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) Geary street, from Van Ness avenue westerly, widening and paving, $40,000.

(2) Larkin street, from Pacific to Union streets, $16,000.

(3) McAllister street, from Central to Masonic avenues, $2,500.

(4) Williams avenue between Newhall and Phelps streets, for grading and constructing asphaltic concrete pavement for a width of 35 feet along its center, $5,746.95.

(5) Laidley street between Mateo and Roanoke streets, for removing of slide and the furnishing and laying of seven inches of rock on the unpaved roadway, $890.

Assessor to Appoint Special Deputy.

Also, Bill No. 8616, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the appointment by the Assessor of the City and County of one special deputy at a salary of $3,600 a year, and of one cartographer (temporary) at a salary of $3,000 a year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Assessor of the City and County is hereby authorized to appoint one special deputy at a salary of $3,600 per year, and one cartographer (temporary) at a salary of $3,000 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of July 1, 1928.

Appropriations, Repairs and Materials, Hall of Justice, Department of Electricity and Corporation Yard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 53, to the credit of Bureau of Building Repair, Department of Public Works, Budget Items 411 and 432; being reimbursements for labor and material furnished and supplied, to-wit:

(1) For labor, painting press room, alterations and painting Police Department room, Hall of Justice, constructing concrete retaining wall for Department of Electricity, and repairing roof at Corporation Yard, to the credit of Budget Item 411, $1,617.50.

(2) For materials supplies in the foregoing work, to the credit of Budget Item 432, $1,104.51.

Total, $2,722.01.

Transfer of Funds, Board of Public Works.

Also, Resolution No. 29323 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,100 be and the same is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 26A (Budget Item No. 368), Department of Public Works, to the credit of Appropriation 27A, Bureau of Accounts, Department of Public Works.

Request of Department of Public Works because of the transfer of the activities of the position of General Clerk.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Transfer of Funds to Reimburse Accounts for Repairs to Street Cleaning Equipment.

Also, Resolution No. 29324 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor and the Treasurer of the City and County be and are hereby authorized and requested to credit Appropriation 30A, Budget Item No. 449, Stores and Yards. Department of Public Works, with the sum of $1,834.50, and charge the hereinafter designated appropriations and Budget items, Department of Public Works, with the amounts set opposite them; being reimbursements for expenditures in connection with repairs to equipment, to-wit:

Apropriation 33C, Budget Item 525, Street Repair, $917.13.

Appropriation 35B, Budget Item 545, Street Cleaning, $530.62.

Appropriation 36B, Budget Item 558, Sewer Repair, $229.63.

Appropriation 38A. Budget Item 565, Auto Maintenance, $140.25.

Appropriation 32B, Budget Item 495, Bureau of Engineering, $16.87.

Total, $1,834.50.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent,

McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were, on recommendation of the Fire Committee *passed for printing*:

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. M. Vartian be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Crooks & Hanavan by Resolution No. 18481 (New Series) for premises at 1267 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Oil Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

M. Donmellan, 400 Canendena avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Maffei, northwest corner of Alhambra street and Avila way, 500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Brizzolara, west side of Pierce street, 138 feet north of Filbert street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Phil Harris, southeast corner of Hayes and Stanyan streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles Dunham, 170 San Fernando way, 750 gallons capacity.

George Stanley, east side of Santa Ana avenue, 162 feet north of Ocean avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

J. B. Blair, 544 Funston avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. E. Chambers, 3349 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Morgan A. Gunst, 2786 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. S. Browne, 624 Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. C. Fulhimer Nuttall, 3528 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Anderson, northwest corner of Thirty-fifth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*, Supervisor Stanton voting *no*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Balboa street and Arguello boulevard.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Declaratory Ordinance Additions to Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm.

Bill No. 8617, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent public buildings, and the acquisition of the necessary lands, equipment and furnishings to be used as hospitals and health centers by the people of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, cancer institute, a new home and nurses' training school, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands allowing for the extension of hospitals, and the purchase of existing hospitals and this ordinance specifically provided that for the proper care of the health of the people of San Francisco that all necessary lands be acquired to construct the buildings herein described and the purchase of all necessary buildings and furnishings.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of the public

buildings and objects described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Loading Zones.

On recommendation of the Traffic Committee:

Resolution No. 29325 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36, of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

568 Bush street, 36 feet—Victoria Hotel; oil delivery point and freight door.

729 Bush street, 27 feet—Kromes Market.

720 California street, 27 feet—Hartford Ins. Co. Building.

424 Clay street, 36 feet—Nye and Nissen Co. (egg dealers.)

2351 Clay street, 18 feet—Stanford Hospital main entrance.

2361 Clay street, 27 feet—Stanford Hospital office.

527 Commercial street, 36 feet—R. R. Rogers Chemical Co.

708-710 Commercial street, 27 feet—Quong Sang Co., Geo. Lee Co., 2 chutes.

387 Ellis street, 27 feet—Hotel Mentone; Joe's Cafe; Victoria Grocery; 2 elevators.

63-65 First street, 36 feet—Baby Shop; N. S. Kalescher; Breymer & Son; Golden Gate Building.

83-85 First street, 18 feet—Bauer Bros. & Co.

87-89 First street, 18 feet—Lazare-Klein Co.; 1 elevator.

370-374 Golden Gate avenue, 27 feet—Hampton Court Apts.; Foster and Fisher (tire repair); Clifton Mfg. Co.

646 Howard street, 27 feet—Furniture Exchange; 1 elevator.

746 Howard street, 27 feet—Golden State Sup. Co.; 1 elevator.

225 Hyde street, 18 feet—La Salle Hotel.

1629 Jackson street, 27 feet—Jackson-Polk Furniture Co.

224 Leidesdorff street, 36 feet—Beck-Gerlach Co.; Tadich Grill; 1 elevator.

43-49—Main street, 36 feet—California Door Co.

1789 Mission street, 18 feet—Frommer-Schwartz Wholesale Butchers.

656 Sacramento street, 27 feet—California Broom Co.; Bauer-Schweitzer Co.

712-714 Sansome street, 27 feet—Martin-Senour Paint Co.

716 Sacramento street, 27 feet—Chinese Daily Paper; Asia Com. Co.; Wing Shay Wo.

300 Turk street, 18 feet—Maryland Market.

2140 Webster street, 27 feet—Stanford Hospital; oil intake; elevator.

2198 Webster street, 36 feet—Stanford Hospital freight entrance.

246-268 Post street, 27 feet—S. & G. Gump Co.

1202-1204 Sutter street, 18 feet—Geo. E. Haas & Sons; freight entrance.

219 Fremont street; 27 feet—Buttler Brothers.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Rescinding and Reestablishing Loading Zones.

Also, Resolution No. 29326 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be rescinded and reestablished as specified herewith, in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

608-632 Commercial street, existing length 27 feet, new length 36 feet—Mercantile Trust Co. garage; Superior Metal Products Co.

57 First street, existing length 36 feet—8 wholesale firms.

71-85 First street, existing length 36 feet—5 wholesale firms.

415-425 Grant avenue, existing length 36 feet—Paul Lau Co., Fong Bros., Suey Chong & Co.

437-445 Grant avenue, existing length 36 feet—Bentz & Co., C. & T. Imp. Co.

453-455 Grant avenue, existing length 27 feet—Li & Co., New Shanghai Restaurant.

1209 Sutter street, existing length, 18 feet—Geo. E. Haas & Sons.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Schmidt—3.

Mayor to Enter Into Agreement With Southern Pacific, Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor is hereby authorized to enter into agreements with the Southern Pacific Railway Company, terms and conditions as follows:

This agreement, made this ——— day of ————, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

The parties hereto entered into a certain agreement dated March 23, 1914, relating to rights of way through Bernal Cut, in the City and County of San Francisco, and also entered into a certain agreement of even date herewith modifying and changing said agreement of March 23, 1914, and enlarging the plan of construction of rights of way, tracks and a public street or roadway through said Bernal Cut.

In connection with said work it will be necessary that the Southern Company remove its existing team track near Bosworth street, in said City and County of San Francisco, from the southeasterly side of its track to the northwesterly side of proposed location of its main track through Bernal Cut.

Said agreement of March 23, 1914, provided, among other things, that Southern Company would convey certain land to the City, which land was conveyed pursuant to said agreement by deed dated March 23, 1914. Said deed contained a reservation on behalf of Southern Company to use a certain strip of land 8½ feet wide and a certain strip of land 13½ feet wide, both of which are hereinafter described. In the event that the agreement of even date herewith is carried out the City desires that Southern Company quitclaim to the City all of its right, title and interest in and to said strip of land covered by said reservation above mentioned.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their understandings and agreements relative to the matters hereinabove mentioned.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual and dependent promises of the parties hereto, it is hereby agreed as follows, to-wit:

First: That the City will pay to Southern Pacific Company the entire cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor, to cover supervision of removing said existing team track and replacing and reconstructing said team track in kind and in good condition upon the property hereinafter described, to be acquired by the City and conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

Concurrently with the delivery to it by the City of the deed hereinafter specified the Southern Company will deliver to the City a quitclaim deed conveying all the right, title and interest of the Southern Company in and to those certain parcels of land described as follows, being those certain strips of land 8½ feet in width and 13½ feet in width, respectively, covered by said reservation contained in said deed dated March 23, 1914, to-wit:

All those certain strips of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: A strip of land 8.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles and radially southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno Branch, between Engineer's Station 78+48.5 and 92+40.

Parcel 2: A strip of land 13.5 feet wide, lying adjacent to and southeasterly of a line drawn 10.00 feet at right angles southeasterly from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's San Francisco-San Bruno branch, between Engineer's Station 92+40 and 101+20.

The above described parcels of land being the same property as reserved in part III, page 3, of that certain deed dated March 23, 1914, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Southern Pacific Company and the City and County of San Francisco.

The City hereby covenants and agrees to acquire and convey to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, without cost to Southern Company, by good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances, to that certain parcel of land described as follows, to-wit:

That certain piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the

City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Roanoke street with the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence along said southeasterly line of Arlington street south 47 deg. 16 min. 17 sec. west a distance of 663.843 feet to a point; thence south 30 deg. 41 min. .09 sec. east a distance of 22.104 feet to a point, said point being distant radially 58.77 feet northwesterly from the original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way; thence in a northeasterly direction on the arc of a curve, concave to the left, having a radius of 5670.826 feet (the tangent to said curve at last mentioned point bears north 58 deg. 57 min. 20 sec. east) an arc distance of 675.82 feet to a point on said southwesterly line of Roanoke street (last described arc course is concentric with and distant northwesterly and radially 58.77 feet from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way); thence north 42 deg. 43 min. 43 sec. west along said southwesterly line of Roanoke street a distance of 118.768 feet to the point of beginning and containing an area of 51401 square feet (equals 1.180 acres), more or less.

Upon delivery of the conveyance by the City mentioned in the last preceding paragraph of this agreement, and upon completion of the work of grading, to be done by the City as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, the Southern Company will, simultaneously with the shifting of the location of its main track, as provided in said agreement of even date herewith, remove its said existing team track from its present location and construct said team track as aforesaid upon said property above described, to be conveyed by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company.

This agreement is subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, and if not approved by said Railroad Commission it shall be of no force or effect.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed this agreement in quadruplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By.....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By.....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By.....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made this——— day of———————, 1928, between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and its lessee, Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties, herein collectively called "Southern Company," and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation of the State of California, second party, herein called "City."

Recitals:

Under the terms of an agreement entered into on the 23rd day of March, 1914, and subsequent deed bearing even date therewith, recorded June 16, 1914, in Vol. 794 of Deeds, pages 276 and following, the City acquired, for street purposes, a portion of the railroad right of way of the Southern Company through the so-called Bernal Cut, in said City and County of San Francisco, being the easterly portion of said right of way from the westerly line of Dolores street produced, to the center line of San Jose avenue produced, near Diamond street.

It was stipulated in said agreement that the Southern Company shall grade the strip of land described in section 1 thereof, so as to provide a uniform roadway for the proposed street at least forty (40) feet in width or thirty-five (35) feet, according to the width of said strip, according to the directions and under the supervision of the City Engineer of the City, and said City shall cooperate in such work to the extent of removing encroachments and also by the erection of retaining walls wherever necessary along the line of said grading; and Southern Company agreed to deposit the material excavated as aforesaid upon such portions of the land described in said agreement and deed as may be indicated by said City Engineer, and to entirely remove all surplus material; said grading and filling to be at the expense of Southern Com-

pany. It was further understood and agreed and so provided in said agreement that the construction of retaining walls along said grading and the paving of the roadway upon said strip of land shall be at the cost and expense of the City and without cost to the Southern Company.

The City now desires to acquire additional rights of way in said Bernal Cut for the purpose of constructing a better roadway than at first contemplated and offering better facilities for the construction of its double-track street railroad adjacent to the northerly and westerly line of the land conveyed to the City for street purposes by the hereinbefore mentioned deed. Such construction would be facilitated by the railroad of the Southern Company being moved northerly and westerly not exceeding 31.27 feet.

The parties hereto desire to set forth their agreement as to their rights and obligations in connection with acquisition and exchange of certain parcels of land to provide additional rights of way for each of the parties hereto, reconstruction of the railroad and appurtenances of Southern Company, construction of the municipal railway and the proposed street, and other matters in relation thereto.

Agreement:

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises, it is hereby mutually agreed as follows, to-wit:

ARTICLE I.

1. The location plan, showing the changes proposed and the parcels of land to be acquired and exchanged by and between the parties hereto, for the purpose of carrying out the plans of the parties hereto as hereinafter set forth, is shown on the attached copy of Southern Pacific Company's Drawing entitled, "Proposed Improvements in Bernal Cut. San Francisco," and numbered M W D Drawing 5806, Sheet 2, which is hereby made a part hereof.

2. Southern Company hereby agrees to execute and place in escrow a conveyance to said City of all of Southern Company's right, title and interest in and to that certain portion of Southern Company's right of way in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, particularly described as follows, to-wit:

A strip of land 32.77 feet in width lying between the southerly line of Randall street and the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street), said strip being bounded and described as follows:

Bounded on the northeast by the southerly line of Randall street; on the west and northwest by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 22.77 feet westerly and northwesterly, measured radially or at right angles from the original center line of the main track of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line between San Francisco and Colma; on the southwest by the center line of San Jose avenue (near Diamond street) and on the southeast and east by a line drawn parallel or concentric with and uniformly distant 10.00 feet southeasterly and easterly, measured radially or at right angles from said original center line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's branch line, said line coinciding with the northerly and westerly boundary line of the strip of land heretofore conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company by deed dated March 23, 1914.

Also a triangular shaped parcel of land, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the westerly line of Dolores street, distant 100.00 feet northerly from the northerly line of Randall street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Dolores street and its prolongation a distance of 150.00 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Randall street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Randall street a distance of 22.50 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a northeasterly direction on a direct line to the point of beginning.

Said parcel of land above described are more particularly shown tinted in pink on said Drawing No. 5806, Sheet 2.

Said conveyance shall contain and be subject to the following express covenants, reservations and exceptions, to-wit:

(a) "Reserving nevertheless to the first parties (Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company), their successors and assigns, the right to use the northwesterly eighteen (18) inches in width of said strip of land 32.77 feet in width for the erection and maintenance of poles, wires, and any and all other necessary appliances, appendages and adjuncts, in the event that said first parties, their successors and assigns, should at any time operate their railroad by electricity, it being expressly understood and agreed, however, that first parties, their successors or assigns, may, instead of erecting and maintaining said poles, wires,

appliances, appendages and adjuncts upon and along said eighteen (18) inch strip herein described, at their option fasten their wires, fixtures, appliances and appurtenances to any poles erected by second party along or near the northwesterly boundary line of said strip of land whenever the first parties, their successors and assigns, operate their said railroad by electricity and such right is hereby granted by second party to first parties; but in the event that said first parties do attach their wires to any poles erected by the second party, as aforesaid, then said first parties shall pay to second party one-half (½) of the then value of said poles so used, at the time the attachments are made by said first parties, and upon receipt of such payment second party shall execute and deliver to Southern Pacific Railroad Company a bill of sale conveying an undivided one-half (½) interest in said poles to Southern Pacific Railroad Company. First parties shall thereafter pay one-half (½) of the cost of maintaining said poles so used, as aforesaid, from time to time as such costs are incurred and bills rendered by second party."

(b) "Excepting and reserving unto said first parties all of those certain rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company for themselves, their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from said companies to said City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco, also those certain rights reserved by Southern Pacific Railroad Company in that certain deed from said Southern Pacific Railroad Company to said City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco."

(c) "The foregoing conveyance is subject to all the terms, conditions, restrictions and reservations contained in that certain deed, dated October 5, 1863, from H. G. Livermore et al. to the San Francisco and San Jose Railroad Company, recorded October 6, 1863, in Book 215 of Deeds, at page 276, and subject to the rights of any and all industries located upon or adjacent to said right of way between St. Mary's avenue and Bosworth street, and subject to first parties' right to maintain such spur, team or station tracks as may be required to be maintained under any subsisting agreement, recorded or otherwise, or deed, or by law. The Southern Company hereby reserves the right to maintain and operate existing industrial spur tracks upon the land hereinabove described and to maintain and operate such spur tracks in such new locations to which any of said spurs may be moved, as hereinafter provided. The City covenants and agrees that if it is necessary to reconstruct or change the location of any or all of said existing industrial spur tracks by reason of or in connection with the use of said land by the City, the City will pay the entire cost of the work of reconstruction and relocation of said tracks, including paving, and the cost and construction of crossings of said tracks by the municipal railway, if any. Nothing herein contained shall in anywise impair the right of the first parties to use, for railroad purposes, the remaining portion of their right of way adjoining the strip of land hereinabove described on the northwest, or in anywise prejudice their rights therein or thereto."

The above mentioned conveyance shall be executed by Southern Company and placed in escrow with the California Pacific Title & Trust Company of San Francisco, California, if and when this agreement has been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to be delivered to the City when the City shall have (a) acquired the lands described in Section 1 of Article II hereof and executed and delivered to the above mentioned escrowee for account of Southern Pacific Railroad Company the conveyances to Southern Pacific Railroad Company specified in said Section 1, Article II, and (b) performed, or caused to be performed, all of the construction and reconstruction work by it to be performed or contracted for, as provided in Article II hereof.

ARTICLE II.

1. In consideration of the covenants herein agreed to be performed by the Southern Company, and without further consideration or payment, the City shall at its own expense acquire and convey or cause to be conveyed to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, by a good and sufficient grant, bargain and sale deed, a good, merchantable, legal title, free and clear of all encumbrances to (1) a strip of land along and adjacent to the northerly and westerly boundary line of Southern Company's right of way between Randall and Dia-

mond streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, sufficient in width, and which, together with that portion of the Southern Company's existing right of way which will remain after the conveyance to the City of the portion heretofore described, will provide a right of way sufficient in width so that a standard gauge double track railroad may be constructed for Southern Company, and so that clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California shall be provided; (2) strips of land sufficient in width and adjoining the additional right of way for the proposed location of the proposed double track railroad of the Southern Company, to provide a sufficient area for proper slopes, not exceeding 1¼ horizontal to one vertical in the cuts and not less than two horizontal to one vertical in the fills; provided, however, that in lieu of providing such additional land for slopes, the City may construct at its sole cost and expense adequate concrete or masonry retaining walls to provide for the full and unobstructed use of land of sufficient width to permit of the construction and operation of said standard gauge double track railroad, with clearances not less than those prescribed by said Railroad Commission, and at the grade of the Southern Company's now existing track between Randall and Diamond streets, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company. The land required for said right of way for said proposed double track railroad is shown tinted in green and the land required for said slopes is shown tinted in purple upon said drawing MWD 5806, Sheet 2.

2. In the event that it shall be necessary or advisable for the City to institute condemnation proceedings to acquire any land which is to be acquired in order to comply with the terms of this agreement, and to be conveyed to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, such proceedings may be had in the name of Southern Company, or either of them, but the City shall pay all expense thereof and all judgments and costs therein and hold Southern Company harmless therefrom.

3. The City shall, at its own expense, make all necessary excavations and construct embankments and waterways, and, if deemed necessary by Southern Company, retaining walls to support slopes on the existing right of way of Southern Company, and the said land to be acquired for the Southern Company, as hereinabove provided, so as to provide for the construction of the said double track railroad of the Southern Company, as aforesaid, and at the grade specified in Section 1 of this Article II, unless a different grade is agreed to by Southern Company, and the City shall also pay the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of moving Southern Company's existing single track rails, ties, ballast and appurtenances to such new position, not exceeding 31.27 feet northerly and westerly of the present location, and the cost, plus ten (10) per cent of all labor to cover supervision, of placing Southern Company's single track in such new location in at least as good condition as the existing track was at the time of being so moved, it being understood that the Southern Company will furnish all necessary ties which, in its judgment, are required to replace those unfit for further service.

4. The City shall, at its own expense, remove and reconstruct Southern Company's present telephone, telegraph and signal lines and the poles and fixtures thereof to such new position upon and along said relocated railroad line and right of way as may be required by Southern Company.

5. The City shall, at its own cost and expense, reconstruct and thereafter maintain, at clearances not less than those prescribed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, all bridges or other structures and foundations and appurtenances of same (including the subway at Bosworth street) located between and at Randall and Diamond streets so that the double track railroad of Southern Company may be constructed and operated without further change in said bridges, structures or appurtenances, and, in order to accomplish such works, the City shall be granted the right to the use of any land to be conveyed by the City hereunder to Southern Pacific Railroad Company, for the purpose of erecting thereon any portion of the above-mentioned structures or appurtenances thereto that may be necessary; provided, however, that the plans for any such bridges and structures and appurtenances shall be subject to the approval of the Southern Company and of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. It is also agreed that the City shall have the right to construct and maintain at its sole cost and expense drainage constructions of such character as may be required, to be placed upon or be-

neath the lands to be conveyed hereunder by the City to Southern Pacific Railroad Company; provided, however, that such drainage constructions meet with the approval of Southern Company and will in no way interfere with Southern Company's operations.

6. The City shall include in the contracts which it is to let for the grading work to be performed by it under this contract, the grading work provided to be done at the expense of Southern Company in said agreement of March 23, 1914, and Southern Company shall have the right of access at all reasonable times to the books, accounts, contracts, records and papers relating to said work, for the purpose of checking the bill or bills rendered by the City to Southern Company for the cost of said work. The Southern Company shall reimburse the City in full for all of the yardage removed or excavated and graded at the contract price or rate covering the work included in said contract for the account of the Southern Company. All of the work herein provided for to be done by the City shall be in substantial conformity with the City's Cross Section plan or map entitled "Bernal Cut," numbered A-7328, hereto attached and made a part hereof.

7. It is understood and agreed that the City may enter upon said land above described for the purpose of carrying out and complying with the terms and conditions of this contract as soon as this con tract becomes effective.

8. All the expenses in connection with the construction of the future second track of said Southern Company's railroad, which the Southern Company may construct now or in the future at its option, except the work herein provided to be done by and at the sole cost and expense of the City, shall be borne by the Southern Company, as this is a facility in addition to its present trackage.

9. All work required to be done by and at the expense of the City hereunder upon or affecting the property and operations of Southern Company shall be performed by it in a good and workmanlike manner to the satisfaction of the Southern Company, according to plans approved by Southern Company or such lawful authority as may have jurisdiction in the premises, and at such times and in such manner as to interfere as little as possible with the operations of Southern Company.

10. It is expressly understood and agreed that all expense of paving and otherwise improving the roadway and constructing the municipal railway and appurtenances upon the roadway upon said strip of land 32.77 feet in width, hereinabove referred to, and upon the land heretofore conveyed by the Southern Company to the City by said deed dated March 23, 1914, recorded in Volume 794 of Deeds, at pages 276 and following, County Records of the City and County of San Francisco (except the grading and filling work as herein otherwise provided for in the previous agreement) shall be borne by the City; and in the event that any improvements upon said roadway should be done upon the local assessment plan, then and in that event the City shall pay out of its treasury any and all assessments therefor levied upon or assessed against any rights, properties or franchises of Southern Company, or either of them.

ARTICLE III.

1. It is understood and agreed that the City shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad beneath either one of the bridges carrying the railroad of the Southern Company over Thirtieth street or over Day street, and that the City shall, at its own sole cost and expense, provide the necessary clearances therefor under said bridge. The plans for said crossing and any necessary changes in the said bridge shall be subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California. The City, subject to the approval of the Railroad Commission of the State of California, shall have the right to construct its municipal street railroad at grade across the track or tracks of Southern Company's railroad at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, subject to the terms and conditions of an agreement to be executed by the parties hereto establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings; and if the parties are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then upon such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the City. The expense of maintaining said crossings and any and all such protective device or devices, except interlocking plant, after completion, shall be borne

solely by said City, but the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plant, if any, shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

2. The Southern Company shall likewise have the right, subject to the approval of said Railroad Commission, to construct a track or tracks at grade across the track or tracks of the municipal railway, subject to the terms and conditions of agreements in each case to be executed by the parties hereto, establishing the respective rights, duties and liabilities of said parties in the movement of engines, trains, motors and cars over said crossings, and if the parties hereto are unable to agree upon such terms and conditions, then subject to such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said Railroad Commission. Such track crossings, together with any and all protective device or devices that may be necessary, shall be installed at the entire cost and expense of the Southern Company. The expense of maintaining said crossings and such protective devices, except interlocking plants, after completion, shall be borne solely by Southern Company and the expense of maintenance and operation of interlocking plants shall be borne fifty (50) per cent by Southern Company and fifty (50) per cent by the City.

ARTICLE IV.

1. Nothing contained in this agreement shall affect the rights reserved by the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and/or Southern Pacific Company, for themselves and their successors and assigns, in that certain deed dated December 5, 1898, from the said companies to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded February 3, 1899, in Liber 1802 of Deeds, page 379, Records of said City and County of San Francisco; and in that certain deed from the Southern Pacific Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated November 7, 1901, and recorded September 20, 1902, in Liber 1968 of Deeds, page 89, Records of said City and County of San Francisco.

2. Said agreement dated March 23, 1914, hereinabove referred to, between the parties hereto, shall be and remain in full force and effect and shall not be affected hereby unless and until the City shall comply with said conditions of the escrow hereinabove set forth; but upon full compliance with the conditions of said escrow, then and in that event this agreement shall, in so far as it changes or modifies said agreement of March 23, 1914, supersede the same.

ARTICLE V.

The City hereby agrees to forever indemnify and hold Southern Company harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, liabilities and judgments, and loss, damage and injury resulting from any damages caused or claimed in the acquisition by said City of any of said lands, or occurring in or caused by or claimed to have been caused by any and all of the work covered by this agreement. In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as here in this paragraph provided.

ARTICLE VI.

1. This agreement shall not become effective and the parties hereto shall not be bound hereby unless and until it shall have been approved by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

2. Time is of the essence of this agreement, and if this agreement is approved by said Railroad Commission and the City does not comply with and perform all the covenants and conditions hereof to be complied with and performed by it within said term of five (5) years, this agreement and any and all rights of the parties hereto hereunder shall forthwith upon the expiration of said term of five (5) years cease and determine as completely as if this agreement had never been made.

3. This agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the respective parties hereto.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in quadruple the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By .....
Attest:
.....
Approved as to form:
.....
City Attorney.

This agreement, made and entered into this ——— day of ———, 1928, by and between Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation of the States of California, Arizona and New Mexico, and Southern Pacific Company, its lessee, a corporation of the State of Kentucky, first parties (herein collectively called "Railroad Company"), and ———, second party (herein sometimes called "Contractor").

Witnesseth:

That, whereas, on the ——— day of ———, 1928, the Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company as first parties, and the City and County of San Francisco, California, as second party, entered into an agreement covering the exchange of certain parcels of land between the Railroad Company and the City and County of San Francisco, for rights of way through the so-called Bernal Cut in the said City and County of San Francisco, and covering, among other things, certain construction work more specifically set forth in said agreement dated the ——— day of ———, 1928; and

Whereas, said agreement, dated the ——— day of ———, 1928, contains the following provision:

"In the event that any work to be performed hereunder by the City, to be done upon the property of the Southern Company, shall be let to contractors by the City, such work shall not be begun until each such contractor shall have first entered into a written agreement with Southern Company substantially as in the form hereto attached and made a part hereof, and such contractors and each such contractor shall have furnished, at no expense to the Southern Company, a good and sufficient bond in such amount as may be reasonable, of a reliable surety company, in form and satisfactory to Southern Pacific Company, guaranteeing the true and full performance of all of the terms, conditions and stipulations contained in said agreement to be entered into with the Southern Company by the contractors, as herein this paragraph provided." and

Whereas, said City and County of San Francisco did, on the ——— day of ———, 19—, enter into a contract with contractor to do and perform certain work pursuant to a compliance with the terms of said agreement dated the ——— day of ———, 19—, above referred to:

Now, therefore, it is understood and agreed that the contractor shall, in advance of performing any work under said contract of the ——— day of ———, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, give to said Railroad Company a bond in the sum of twenty thousand (20,000) dollars, in form and of good and sufficient surety to be approved by the Assistant Treasurer of the Railroad Company, conditioned that the contractor will well and truly perform and fulfill all of the covenants, conditions, stipulations and obligations on his part in this agreement set forth and contained, to-wit:

In consideration of the Railroad Company permitting contractor to enter upon its property and perform said work, contractor agrees to indemnify and save Railroad Company harmless from and against any and all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever may be caused, resulting directly or indirectly from the performance of any or all work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, contemplated by said agreement dated ———, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and the said contractor; also from all claims, loss, damage, injury and liability howsoever same may be caused resulting directly or indirectly, made or suffered by said contractor, contractor's agents and/or employees while engaged in the performance of said work, whether caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise.

Contractor expressly releases and discharges Railroad Company from all liability of whatsoever character for any and all personal injuries sustained by contractor while engaged in the performance of work to be done upon Railroad Company's property, whether

caused by the negligence of Railroad Company in the operation of its railroad or otherwise, and whether resulting in death or otherwise.

Contractor expressly waives any and all claims arising from said death or injury to contractor.

The contractor agrees that the license hereby given him to enter upon the premises of the Railroad Company for the purpose of performing the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, shall not give nor be deemed or construed to give said contractor a right to do or perform any work whatsoever at any time upon or in connection with the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, that will render unsafe the use by said Railroad Company of its real estate, tracks, structures and other appurtenances now or hereafter existing, or weaken or injure the same for the operation of its trains, engines or cars or for its other purposes.

Contractor further agrees that should the Railroad Company deem it necessary to engage any inspectors, watchmen or flagmen, or do anything to protect its engines, trains or cars during the performance by said contractor of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between the said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, then and in that event contractor agrees to fully reimburse Railroad Company promptly for all cost and expense of such inspectors, watchmen, flagmen and other expenses that may be incurred by said Railroad Company incident to or in connection with work contemplated to be performed by said contractor.

Contractor further agrees that upon completion of the work covered by said contract dated the ——— day of ————, 19—, between said City and County of San Francisco and said contractor, contractor will promptly remove from the premises of the Railroad Company all of contractor's tools, implements and other materials and leave said premises clean and presentable.

This agreement shall not be assigned, sublet or transferred in whole or in part by contractor, except with the previous written consent of Railroad Company.

Except as otherwise provided herein, the terms and conditions of this agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of contractor and the successors and assigns of Railroad Company.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have executed these presents in triplicate the day and year first hereinabove written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
By .....
Third Vice-President.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,
By .....
General Manager.
Attest:
.....
Assistant Secretary.
.....
.....

Approved as to form:
.....
Contract Attorney.

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton moved that the foregoing matter be referred back to the Streets Committee in order to give the City Attorney an opportunity to "write in the agreement the necessary prohibitive clauses," e. g., time limit on the Southern Pacific franchise through the Mission District, etc.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton—3.

Noes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton—3.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was, on recommendation of the Streets Committee, *passed for printing*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7782 (New Series), Division Street and Treat Avenue.

Bill No. 8618, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7782 (New Series), ordering the improve-

ment of Division street between Bryant street and Florida street, and the improvement of Treat avenue between Florida street and Alameda street, etc., as described in Resolution of Intention No. 98943 (Second Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7782 (New Series) ordering the improvement of Division street between Bryant and Florida streets, and the improvement of Treat avenue between Florida street and Alameda street, etc., as described in Resolution of Intention No. 98943 (Second Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Cancellation of Certificates of Sale.

Resolution No. 29328 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works has reported that certain lots struck off and sold to the City and County of San Francisco, as purchaser, at an auction sale June 23, 1924, in connection with the assessment for the widening of Virginia avenue between Mission and Coleridge streets have been redeemed and the full redemption price has been paid; therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of said Board of Public Works, the original certificate of sale of the said lots of land be and the same are hereby canceled. Certificate and subdivision numbers on report and plat on file in the office of the Board of Public Works, viz.: Certificate Number 68, Subdivision Number 391; Certificate Number 69, Subdivision Number 392; Certificate Number 55, Subdivision Number 374; Certificate Number 72, Subdivision Number 402.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden Marks, Schmidt—4.

Accepting Deed to Land for Balhi Court.

Also, Resolution No. 29329 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 19th day of June, 1928, between California Pacific Title and Trust Co. (a corporation) and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) to lands for opening of a street as shown on map showing the opening of Balhi court, approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 102635 (Second Series), is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land covered by said deed is hereby declared an open public street, to be known as Balhi court.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Mullen Avenue Declared Open Public Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29330 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 102636 (Second Series), approve a map showing the extension of Mullen avenue to Wolfe street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the extension of Mullen avenue to Wolfe street be and the same is hereby approved.

Further Resolved, That parcels Nos. 1, 2 and 3, shown hatched on said map, are declared declared to be an open public street, and are to be known as Mullen avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 8020 (New Series), Ellington Avenue and Foote Avenue.

Bill No. 8619, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 8020 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Ellington avenue between Foote and Ottawa avenues, and on Foote avenue between Mission street and Ellington avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 8020 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Ellington avenue between Foote and Ottawa avenues, and on Foote avenue between Mission street and Ellington avenue, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on the Bay Shore Highway, right of way, from Potrero avenue to Silver avenue, during the construction of said highway, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Extensions of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 29331 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after June 25, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Clarendon avenue between Stanyan street and Twin Peaks boulevard by the construction of a sewer. This extension is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is practically completed, the extension was requested pending the acceptance of the work and issuance of the assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Also, Resolution No. 29332 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Christensen Carson Co. is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 26, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Faith street between San Bruno avenue and Holladay avenue, and of Holloday avenue between Faith and Costa streets. This extension is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is well under way, the grading, sewer and portion of the paving having been constructed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Balhi Court Declared Open Public Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29333 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 102,635 (Second Series) approve a map showing the opening of Balhi court; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the opening of Balhi court be and the same is hereby approved.

Further Resolved, That the parcel shown hatched on said map is hereby declared an open public street to be known as Balhi court.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Approval of Map, Orizaba Avenue.

Also Resolution No. 29334 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 107749 (Second Series) approve a map showing the widening of Orizaba avenue, from Minerva street southerly; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Orizaba avenue, from Minerva street southerly, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Approving Map, Holladay Avenue and York Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29335 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 102661 (Second Series) approve map showing the rounding of northwest corner of Holladay avenue and York street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the rounding of northwest corner of Holladay avenue and York street is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Ron-

covieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Extension of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 29328 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Western Paving Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work:

Sixty days from and after July 2, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Thirty-eighth avenue and Ulloa street.

Sixty days from and after June 26, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Lower Terrace between Saturn and Levant streets.

These extensions of time are requested so as to protect the contractor during the period of acceptance and issuance of assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Intention to Change Grades.

Also, Resolution No. 29337 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 102743 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted July 6, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board, filed July 10, 1928, to-wit:

Mendell Street.

Innes avenue southwesterly line, 63.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Jerrold avenue, 67 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Mendell street between Innes and Jerrold avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Extension of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 29338 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 20, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Pennsylvania avenue between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work has been delayed on account of the rainy season, but is now well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Mayor to Sell Frame Buildings at Auction.

Also, Resolution No. 29339 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard and known as 237 Augusta street and 59 Wheat street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt—4.

Referred.

The following matter was on motion of Supervisor Todd *referred to the Traffic Committee:*

Revoking School Rope-off Permit.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted the Board of Education (Frank McCoppin School) to rope off Seventh avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets between the hours of 8:30 a. m. and 9 a. m. and 12:30 and 1 p. m. be and the same is hereby revoked.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Condemnation Proceedings, Bay Shore Boulevard.

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1: Lot 144, Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2: Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Steuben street and the southerly line of Lot 151, as per Map of Silver Terrace Homestead Association, recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said westerly line 50 feet to the northerly line of Lot 150 of said map; thence at right angles westerly 39.240 feet; thence deflecting to the left 118 degrees 53 minutes 52 seconds and running southeasterly 57.111 feet to the said southerly line of Lot 151; thence deflecting to the left 61 degrees 06 minutes 08 seconds and running easterly 11.641 feet to the westerly line of Steuben street and the point of beginning.

Being portions of said Lots 150 and 151.

Parcel 3: Lots 172 and 173, as per map of "Silver Terrace Homestead Association", recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 4. Lot 181, as said lot is designated and delineated on that certain map entitled "Silver Terrace Homestead Association" recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 5: Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Boutwell street and the northerly line of Augusta street, running thence northerly along said westerly line 100 feet to the northerly line of Lot 194 of Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles westerly along said northerly line 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly along the westerly line of Lots 194, 193 and 192 for a distance of 55.953 feet; thence deflecting to the left 28 degrees 53 minutes 52 seconds and running southeasterly 29.981 feet; thence southeasterly, southerly, southwesterly and westerly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 118 degrees 53 minues 52 seconds, a distance of 24.902 feet to its point of tangency with the northerly line of Augusta street; thence easterly along said northerly line 96.017 feet to the westerly line of Boutwell street and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 191, 192, and all of Lots 193 and 194 of said Map of Silver Terrace Homestead Association.

Parcel 6: Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Boutwell street and the northerly line of Augusta street and running thence northerly along said easterly line 11.048 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line, being a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected to the right 152 degrees 28 minutes 03 seconds from the preceding course, with a radius of 3062.5 feet, and a central angle of 0 degrees 13 minutes 58 seconds, a distance of 12.446 feet to the northerly line of Augusta street; thence westerly along said northerly line 5.731 feet to the easterly line of Boutwell street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 246 of Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 7: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Augusta street, distant thereon 50 feet easterly

from the easterly line of Boutwell street, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 5.024 feet; thence westerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 24 degrees 44 minutes 56 seconds a distance of 5.183 feet to a point which is distant 50 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Boutwell street; thence northerly, parallel to the easterly line of Boutwell street 1.102 feet to the southerly line of Augusta street and the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lot 482 of said Silver Terrace Homestead Association.

Parcel 8. Beginning at a point which is distant 50 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Boutwell street and distant 29.300 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Augusta street, said point also being on the northeasterly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence southerly, parallel to said easterly line 59.679 feet to the southeasterly line of the Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 25.235 feet to said northeasterly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line, being a curve to the left of radius 3062.5 feet and central angle 1 degree 03 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 56.422 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 480 and 481 of said Silver Terrace Homestead Association.

Parcel 9: Lot 6, Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 10: Lots 4 and 5, Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 11: Lots 2 and 3, Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 12: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Boutwell street, distant thereon 115.27 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Silver avenue and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 25 feet; thence northeasterly parallel to the southeasterly line of Lot 2 of Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, a distance of 100.74 feet; thence southeasterly, parallel to the southwesterly line of Charter Oak avenue 25 feet; thence southwesterly, parallel to said southeasterly line of said Lot 2, 100.22 feet to the northeasterly line of Boutwell street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of the Rancho Rincon De Las Salinas Viejo.

Parcel 13: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Boutwell street and the northwesterly line of Silver avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said line of Boutwell street 90 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 90 feet more or less to said line of Silver avenue; thence southwesterly along said line of Silver avenue 26 feet more or less to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 1, Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 14: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Silver avenue distant thereon 65.13 feet northeasterly from the easterly line of Boutwell street; thence northeasterly along said line of Silver avenue 35.99 feet; thence northerly and parallel with the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue 90.38 feet; thence westerly and parallel with the southerly line of Lot 2 of Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco August 1, 1905, in Book "G" of Maps at page 15, 60.50 feet to the easterly line of Lot 1; thence southerly along said line of Lot 1, 102.28 feet to the northwesterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Rancho Rincon de Salinas Y Potrero Viejo.

Parcel 15. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Silver avenue, distant thereon 35.99 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Lot 1 in Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 8.253 feet; thence southwesterly and westerly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 39 degrees 00 minutes 39 seconds a distance of

8.170 feet; thence deflecting to the left 114 degrees 21 minutes 41 seconds from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running southerly 2.766 feet to the northwesterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of said Block 2.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening, widening, construction and maintenance of Bay Shore boulevard, and for the opening, widening, construction and maintenance of connecting streets. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 (New Series), Randolph Street, Worcester Avenue, Broad, Grove and Franklin Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8620, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending sections seven hundred and thirty-three, seven hundred and thirty-four and adding new sections thereto to be numbered section nine hundred and sixty and sections one thousand and forty-seven and one thousand and forty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 28, 1928, by amending section seven hundred and thirty-three, section seven hundred and thirty-four and adding new sections thereto to be numbered nine hundred and sixty and sections one thousand and forty-seven and one thousand and forty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 733. The width of sidewalks on Randolph street between Worcester avenue and Orizaba avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 734. The width of sidewalks on Worcester avenue between Randolph street and Junipero Serra boulevard shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 960. The width of sidewalks on Broad street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1047. The width of sidewalks on Grove street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1048. The width of sidewalks on Franklin street between McAllister street and Grove street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 (New Series), Treat Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8621, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section six hundred and ninety-nine thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 30, 1928, by amending section six hundred and ninety-nine thereof, to read as follows:

Section 699. The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue between Florida street and Harrison street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the northwesterly side of, between Sixteenth street and a point 172.57 feet southwesterly from Sixteenth street, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the northwesterly side of, between a point 172.57 feet southwesterly from Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the southeasterly side of, between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue between Seventeenth street and Eighteenth street shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths

shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 8622, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of Glen Park Terraces: Martha avenue from Congo street to a line at right angles with and passing through a point on the southerly line of Martha avenue, said point being distant 51.46 feet westerly from the intersection of the southerly line of Martha avenue with the westerly line of Baden street, including the intersection of Martha and Stillings avenues; Stillings avenue from Martha avenue to Congo street, including the intersection of Stillings avenue and Nordhoff street; Congo street from Stillings avenue to Bosworth street, including the intersection of Congo street and Martha avenue; Bosworth street from a line parallel with and distant 38.53 feet easterly from the easterly line of Elk street produced southerly to a line bisecting the fourth angle westerly from the westerly line of Elk street, including the intersections of Congo and Elk streets with Bosworth street, by grading to official line and grade; and by the construction of the following: Standard six (6) inch concrete curbs; artificial stone sidewalks; concrete pavement; asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface; brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, 8-inch and 10-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, Y branches, side sewers, warning reflectors and a mortared rubble rock wall, and by the reconstruction of existing manholes and catchbasins, including the furnishing and placing of standard manhole frames and covers, and standard catchbasin frames, gratings and traps; all to be in accordance with plans as follows, entitled:

Plans for the improvement of a portion of Glen Park Terraces:

No. A-8004, Martha avenue, Congo street to Baden street.

No. A-8005, Stillings avenue, Congo street to Martha avenue.

No. A-8006, Bosworth street, Elk street westerly, which are made a part of the accompanying specifications.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8623, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board

of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Elsie street between Coso avenue and Esmeralda avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of concrete pavement, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8624, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Moscow street between Brazil and Excelsior avenues, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of vitrified brick pavement adjacent to the center line, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8625, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that

the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding innstallment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kansas street, and of Kansas street produced, between Army street and the southerly line of Marin street, where not already so improved, including the intersection of Kansas street and Marin street, and excepting that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corner of the above mentioned intersection; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Marin street between the existing sewer in Kansas street and the westerly line of Kansas street produced, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8626, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installlent when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twentieth street between San Bruno avenue and Vermont street, including the intersection of Twentieth street and San Bruno avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by resetting the existing catchbasins and curbs; by reconstructing the artificial stone sidewalks on the northwesterly and southwesterly angular corners of the crossing of Twentieth street and Vermont street; by performing the necessary conform work in San Bruno avenue north of Twentieth street, consisting of resetting existing curbs and reconstructing existing asphaltic concrete pavement, and by the construction of standard guardrail with standard red warning reflector.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8627, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improve-

ment Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Keith street and Newcomb avenue, and the crossing of Keith street and Oakdale avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers and manhole in the crossing of Keith street and Newcomb avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8628, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Thirty-eighth avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet north of the north line of Taraval street to the south line of Santiago street by the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area and the construction of a bulkhead.

Bidder is to name price per lineal foot of bulkhead for this work.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8629, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of

Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Congo street between Mangels avenue and Stillings street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a ½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8630, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Monticello and Garfield streets by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 12-inch and 18-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, Etc., Street Signs.

Also, Bill No. 8631, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the furnishing and erecting of street signs; ordering the furnishing and erecting of street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said furnishing and erecting of said street signs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the furnishing and erecting of street signs, and to enter into contract for the furnishing and erecting of street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said street signs is to be borne out of Budget Item No. 70, 1928-1929.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Leave of Absence, Hugh K. McKevitt.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
July 12, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mr. Hugh K. McKevitt, member of the Civil Service Commission, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of sixty (60) days, beginning July 25, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29340 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Hugh K. McKevitt, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing July 25, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Revocation of School Street Rope-off Permit.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 27856 (New Series), granting permission to rope off Green street between Steiner and Pierce streets, be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Building Committee to Provide Additional Quarters for Superior Court.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is the desire of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court to relieve a congested trial calendar by the temporary assignment of extra session judges by the Judicial Council of the State of California; and

Whereas, three additional courtrooms will be required for this purpose; and

Whereas, Rooms 479 and 481 of the City Hall were intended for courtroom purposes but are occupied by records and files of the County Clerk; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Building Committee be and it is hereby requested to prepare and equip Rooms 479 and 481 immediately for courtroom purposes, and to provide a third courtroom in the City Hall, and to place said three courtrooms at once at the disposal of the Superior Court.

Privilege of the Floor.

Hon. Walter Perry Johnson, Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board as to the necessity of additional courtrooms in order to clear up the overcrowded condition of the court calendar and expedite the administration of justice.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing resolution was *referred to Public Buildings Committee.*

Report of Committee on Streets and Commercial Development and Street Lighting, Jointly.

The following was read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee on Streets and Commercial Development, together with the Committee on Street Lighting, submits the following:

Recommendation Relative to Street Lighting and Street Improvements.

The Committee on Street Lighting has discussed with and secured the hearty cooperation of the Committee on Streets in the adoption of a general policy of installing street lighting circuits and fixtures in connection with all street improvements. This will enable a start to be made in providing San Francisco with an improved street-lighting system, meeting the rapidly increasing demands for better and more artistic lighting.

The Committee on Streets having advised the Street Lighting Committee that it has already considered the policy involved in this procedure, and had determined to instruct the City Engineer to (in the boulevard and road program) make provision to install ducts and light standards thereon; which said standards to be as approved by the Committee on Street Lighting. The present street ordinances permit the inclusion in the street work specifications provisions that permit the installing of all materials necessary for lighting such streets.

Under the contract made with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the fiscal year 1928-1929, a new schedule of rates (No. 13) has been included, which offers, for the first time, a substantial saving to the City where the distribution circuits and street lighting fixtures are provided by the property owners, which is the usual practice in other cities.

These new rates show a saving of approximately $15 a year per light in overhead districts and $31 a year per light in the underground districts, the electric company supplying the electricity and maintaining the equipment.

The cost of installing modern electric light standards with underground circuits on streets under construction will range from $1.25 to $1.65 per front foot, depending upon the style of pole and spacing along the street.

On the lines of the Municipal Railway and possibly on the United Railway lines also, by using brackets on the trolley poles the cost per front foot with circuits placed underground for lights on every alternate pole will be from 85 cents to 95 cents.

In overhead districts using brackets on the trolley poles and running the distribution circuit on the poles the cost per front foot will be from 40 cents to 50 cents.

In order to take immediate advantage of these new rates, we therefore recommend that this Board request the Board of Works to include in all plans and specifications for street opening and improvements provision for the distribution circuits and fixtures for street lights of such number and size as shall be recommended by the Street Lighting Committee.

And we also recommend that the Board of Works be requested to prepare and submit for approval of this Board plans and specifications for the installation of circuits and fixtures for street lighting along all the lines of the Municipal Railway system.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29341 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development and the Lighting Committee of this Board, that the Board of Public Works is hereby requested to include in all plans and specifications for street opening and improvements provision for the distribution circuits and fixtures of street lights of such number and size as may be recommended by the Street Lighting Committee. Also, said Board of Public Works shall prepare and submit for approval of this Board plans and specifications for the installation of circuits and fixtures for street lighting along all the lines of the Municipal Railway system.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd. Toner —13.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon —5.

Committee on Information Financing Public Utilities in Washington.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee of three, including the City Attorney or his representative, to visit the State of Washington and obtain such information as may be necessary, to make a report to this Board as to the method of financing public utilities in that state.

Motion *carried*.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of 7:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 6, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 31

Monday, July 23, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 23, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 23, 1928, at 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Supervisor Gallagher sent word that he was delayed at Salinas, but would be present at the afternoon session.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of June 25 and July 2, 1928, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

The Report of Building Appraisal, City and County of San Francisco, 1927-1928, the Bureau of Appraisal of the Advisory Committee of the Board of Equalization by Jas. G. Stafford & Associates, Inc., was received and *ordered filed.*

Report of Assessor on Southern Pacific Assessment.

The following was presented, read and *ordered filed*:

July 20, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to your proceedings as a Board of Equalization, which were completed on July 16.

The request of the Southern Pacific Company for reduction in assessment on that parcel of land known as Lot 4A, Block 3810 (Board of Equalization No. 1), is denied. I have made no reduction in the assessment; it remains at $186,050.

The request came before the Board on July 9th; on motion it was referred to the Finance Committee. On July 16th the Finance Committee presented the matter to the Board for action. The Board accorded the complainant opportunity to present evidence in support of claim for reduction; the Board debated the matter at some length; all of the circumstances attendant upon the matter were developed in the course of the debate.

At the conclusion of the Board's consideration of this request four courses were open to the Board: the Board could have denied the request for reduction specifically, they could have granted the request, they could have referred the matter to the Assessor with a specific recommendation as to reduction, or they could have referred the matter to the Assessor without recommendation of any kind.

The Board, upon motion, referred the matter to the Assessor without recommendation.

It is not to be denied that the circumstances surrounding this particular parcel of land are unusual, in that it is rented to the municipality by the corporation for one dollar per year. The proceedings before the Board indicated that if the reduction in assessment was not granted the Southern Pacific would seek some other avenue of relief.

The Board of Equalization, following detailed consideration of the case, having referred the matter to the Assessor without recommendation of any kind, the present assessment of $186,050 for the fiscal year 1928-1929 will stand.

Respectfully submitted,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN,
Assessor.

Joint Highway District Withdraws Report on Extension of Junipero Serra Boulevard.

The following was read and *ordered filed*:

To the Honorable Boards of Super-

visors, Counties of San Mateo and San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Under date of July 5, 1928, the Board of Directors of the proposed Joint Highway District, composed of the counties of San Mateo and San Francisco, organized for the purpose of extending Junipero Serra boulevard southerly into San Mateo County, transmitted to the Boards of Supervisors of the counties involved their tentative report on the proposed highway.

I am directed by the Board of Directors to withdraw this report, as they wish to give it further consideration and resubmit it at a later date.

Very truly yours,

R. J. MARTIN,
Secretary.

Franchise Application of the Western Pacific California Railroad Company.

Petition and application of the Western Pacific California Railroad Company for a franchise for the construction, maintenance and operation of a standard-gauge railroad, to be operated by steam or other lawful motive power, from a point of connection with the tracks of the Western Pacific Railroad Company in the City and County of San Francisco; thence running in a general southerly and southwesterly direction through San Francisco and San Mateo counties to and beyond Redwood City, in San Mateo County, to the plant of the Portland Cement Company in said county.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Protest, Zone Change.

Protest, of Mrs. Abby Buckley, against rezoning, for supply station purposes, the property at Twenty-second street and San Jose avenue.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Protest, of L. Pederson, against rezoning, for supply station purposes, property at Twenty-second street and San Jose avenue.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Relative to Produce Terminal on Marina and Northwestern Pacific Ferry.

Communication, from the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, proposing an amendment to the Produce Terminal ordinance, eliminating from it land covered by Black Point reservation.

Filed.

Communication, from the Marina Home Owners' Association, advising that it has taken no action whatever with reference to the proposed location of the Northwestern Pacific ferry terminal at Black Point, but suggesting that if bounding of proposed ordinance were placed at the east line of Laguna street it would amply protect the Marina.

Filed.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Step-ladders, etc., for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing step-ladders, inkwells, mimeograph stencils, dry goods, vacuum pumps and document files for use of the School Department between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Linoleum.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day for furnishing linoleum that may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year 1928-1929, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was, on recommendation of the City Planning Committee, taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464, Fell Street.

Bill No. 8614, Ordinance No. 8122 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series, the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Fell street, commencing at a point 109 feet 6 inches westerly from Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly 41 feet 3 inches, and extending southerly to Hickory street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Referred.

The following bill was *referred to the City Planning Committee*:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), San Jose Avenue and Alemany Boulevard.

Bill No. 8615, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby changed so as to place the easterly corner of the crossing of San Jose avenue and Alemany boulevard, for a distance of 160 feet on San Jose avenue and a distance of 200 feet on Alemany boulevard, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Establishing Set-back Lines, Tenth Avenue.

Bill No. 8613, Ordinance No. 8123 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along Tenth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 11th day of June, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 179, to establish set-back lines along Tenth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street, and fixed the 16th day of July, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said Tenth avenue in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Tenth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Tenth avenue commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 29342 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) San Francisco News Co., Public Library books (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,090.73.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., Public Library book binding (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,084.05.

(3) Mullen Mfg. Co., cabinet and table for Public Library (claim dated June 30, 1928), $570.

(4) American Building Maintenance Co., Library janitor service (claim dated June 30, 1928), $810.

Playground Fund.

(5) Fred S. Campbell Co., one electric bake oven for Municipal Camp at Mather, Cal. (claim dated July 1, 1928), $638.50.

(6) P. Kernan, construction work at Municipal Camp at Mather, Cal. (claim dated July 1, 1928), $2,591.

(7) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated July 11, 1928), $2,475.91.

Park Fund.

(8) Gantner & Mattern, swimming suits (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,289.41.

(9) State Compensation Insurance Fund, compensation insurance covering Park employments (claim dated June 30, 1928), $638.92.

(10) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $5,842.73.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(11) Board of Supervisors, Budget Item No. 76, 1927-1928, to reimburse for account of hauling charges during April, May and June for the Tearing-Up Streets Fund (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,788.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(12) Badt-Falk & Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated July 10, 1928), $1,011.67.

(13) Cement Gun Construction Co., cement gun work (claim dated July 10, 1928), $772.50.

(14) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated July 10, 1928), $1,050.75.

(15) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 10, 1928), $522.

(16) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 10, 1928 $533.35.

(17) St. Louis Power Shovel Co., shoveling machine parts (claim dated July 10, 1928), $770.35.

(18) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., two electric motors (claim dated July 10, 1928), $3,466.

(19) T. E. Connelly and J. M. DeLucca, eighteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Contract No. 113, Proposition "E" (claim dated July 11, 1928), $21,497.02.

(20) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., nineteenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Contract No. 113, Proposition "C" (claim dated July 11, 1928), $11,231.93.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(21) John J. Dailey, July legal services in the valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated July 12, 1928), $850.

County Road Fund.

(23) Schultz Construction Co., final payment for the improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta to Adam streets (claim dated June 30, 1928), $14,264.98.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(24) Bethlehem Steel Co., car wheels (claim dated June 30, 1928), $7,600.

(25) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., railway motors, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,766.

(26) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,311.12.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(27) Sarah Rose John, in full settlement of claim for account of accident on or about January 30, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $750.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(28) Robert W. Jamison, second payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for the Sunset line (claim dated July 10, 1928), $975.

(29) Eaton & Smith, third payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract No. 155 (claim dated July 10, 1928), $56,160.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) Maggini Motor Co., one Ford roadster for Police Dept. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $525.50.

(31) Playground Commission, reimbursement for account of expenditures in the development of playground site at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets (claim dated June 30, 1928), $12,002.35.

(32) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for account of construction of convenience stations at the Marina, Jefferson and Franklin Squares (claim dated June 30, 1928), $844.17.

(33) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $56,671.20.

(34) Baumgarten Bros., meats for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $816.58.

(35) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,749.63.

(36) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $1,995.68.

(37) Morris & Co., butter for S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1928), $2,063.33.

(38) Enterprise Foundry Co., manhole frames and covers (claim dated June 30, 1928), $747.87.

(39) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,028.59.

(40) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,987.75.

(41) Spring Valley Water Co.,

water for public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,500.24.

(42) Renner Foundation Co., repairs to fender piling at Third and Channel bridge (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,400.

(43) Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for stock withdrawals for general repairs to buildings (claim dated June 30, 1928), $828.65.

(44) Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursement for stock withdrawals for general repairs to buildings (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,547.18.

(45) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, Budget Item No. 526, reimbursement for work performed in the improvement of Guerrero street from Twenty-ninth street westerly (claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,006.19.

(46) Schultz Construction Co., third payment, improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta to Adam streets (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,100.

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(47) Thomas F. Boyle, Treasurer of Citizens San Francisco Fourth of July Celebration Committee, for expenses of the celebration of Independence Day, 1928 (claim dated July 16, 1928), $2,500.

(48) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated July 13, 1928), $8,485.42.

(49) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated July 13, 1928), $1,167.50.

(50) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated July 13, 1928), $8,641.78.

(51) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., envelopes for Dept. of Elections (claim dated July 2, 1928), $1,360.98.

(52) J. H. Zemansky, postage stamps for Dept. of Elections sample ballots (claim dated July 12, 1928), $3,975.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Appropriation, $3,850, Payment to Thomas E. Rodney et al. for Land for Ocean View Playground.

Resolution No. 29343 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,850 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to Thomas E. Rodney and Ethel E. Rodney; being payment for Lot 7 in Block 7093, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the Ocean View Playground (claim dated July 11, 1928).

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Appropriations for Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29344 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, to-wit:

(1) To David Ososke, for portions of Lots 10 and 11 (68x25) in Block 5451, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29311, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $836.

(2) To Amalia Radovich, for portions of Lots 5 and 6 (50x100) in Block 5374, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29319, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $900.

(3) To Catherine Cote, for portions of Lots 20 and 21 (75x100, excepting 30x37, irregular) and all of Lot 19 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29319, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $3,316.

(4) To Giovanni Barlesi and Domenica Barlesi, for Lot 15 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29319, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $5,000.

(5) To A. Bebervanzo, for Lot 39 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29312, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $2,700.

(6) To David Ososke, for portion of Lot 48 and all of Lots 40 and 45, inclusive, in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29311, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $2,250.

(7) To Lawrence W. Newport and Catherine A. Newport, for Lots

33 and 34 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29311, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $1,100.

(8) To Maurice McCann as guardian of Estate of Millie McCann, for Lots 29 and 30 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29311, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $1,200.

(9) To Annie E. Hassett, for Lots 9, 10 and 36 in Block 5607, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29310, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $4,631.25.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Appropriations, Land for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 29345 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Minnie T. Jorgensen et al., for Lots 15 and 16 in Block 6746, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29317, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $1,000.

(2) To Mathilda M. Weiss and Frederick U. Weiss, for Lot 4 in Block 6662, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29318, New Series (claim dated July 5, 1928), $13,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Appropriations for Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 28346 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, to-wit:

(1) To David E. Fuselli and Pearl Fuselli, for account of damages to Lot 22 in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29314 (New Series); damages having been caused by the construction of Alemany boulevard (claim dated July 11, 1928), $860.

(2) To A. J. Laurence and Bernice C. Laurence, for a portion of Lot 4 in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29315, New Series (claim dated July 10, 1928), $2,110.

(3) To G. O. La Frenz and Josephine K. La Frenz, for a portion of Lot 3B in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29315, New Series (claim dated July 10, 1928), $2,081.

(4) To I. A. Scherba, for a portion of Lot 3A in Block 6970, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29315, New Series (claim dated July 10, 1928), $2,081.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Appropriations for Lands for Sunset Parkway.

Resolution No. 28347 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Sunset parkway, to-wit:

(1) To Louis P. Brehm and Elda C. Brehm, for Lot 31 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29313, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $7,350.

(2) To L. J. Ewers, for Lot 32 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29313, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $3,750.

(3) To Peter Guiliani, for Lot 13A in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution

No. 29313, New Series (claim dated July 11, 1928), $8,300.

(4) To Alice Howard, for Lots 3 and 31 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29320, New Series (claim dated July 3, 1928), $1,525.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Appropriation, $19,055.99, Sewers in Golden Gate Heights District.

Resolution No. 28348 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $19,055.99 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38 (1927-1928), for the cost of construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Appropriations, Sewer Work and Street Signs.

Resolution No. 28349 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers, Budget Item 36.

(1) For cost of construction of necessary catchbasin with accompanying 10 and 12-inch culverts, through private property in Edgardo place, to connect with sewer in Lombard street, $650.

Street Signs, Budget Item 70.

(2) For expense of repairs and alterations required in connection with existing street signs, by Board of Public Works, $2,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Appropriations, Repairs in City Hall, Ventilation, Furniture, Etc., Hall of Justice.

Resolution No. 28350 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 53, Fiscal Year 1928-1929, for repairs to public buildings, to-wit:

(1) For labor and materials necessary to replace all broken marble slabs, hinges, locks, strikes, porcelain leg bolts and nuts; cutting of three manholes, tops for same; resetting of loose slabs and point up all marble, new covers in urinals, as required, in toilet rooms of City Hall, $2,464.

(2) For expense of providing ventilation for garage in basement of Hall of Justice by installing suction fan with galvanized iron ducts; nine openings in sidewalk and two openings at ceiling to discharge through sidewalk light on Washington street, $1,475.

(3) For cost of furnishing and installing new oak desk counter, approximately 75 feet long, in office of Justices' Clerk, $1,620.

(4) For expense of overhauling sheet metal work, including replacements or repairs to roofs, gutters, leaders, skylights, vents, stacks, etc., and for painting of roof of building and tower, all at County Jail No. 2, $1,350.

(5) For cost of furnishing and installing wire guards over skylights on roof of property clerk's office, Hall of Justice, and new annex occupied by Police Department, $425.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Appropriation, $59,242.67, Municipal Airport Improvements.

Resolution No. 28351 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 50, for work at the Mills Field Municipal Airport as follows:

(1) For construction af Hangar No. 2, Contract 9, Stephenson Construction Co. contract, to enable final payment, $43,500.

(2) For comfort station construction, Peter Sorenson contract, $2,932.

(3) For grading work, Eaton & Smith contract, $12,124.

(4) For telephone service, Enterprise Electric Co., $298.41.

(5) For relocating of fence, Anchor Post Fence Co., $388.26.

Total, $59,242.67.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Reconstruction of Streets Out of County Road Fund.

Resolution No. 28352 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for expense of reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) Geary street, from Van Ness avenue westerly, widening and paving, $40,000.

(2) Larkin street, from Pacific to Union streets, $16,000.

(3) McAllister street, from Central to Masonic avenues, $2,500.

(4) Williams avenue between Newhall and Phelps streets, for grading and constructing asphaltic concrete pavement for a width of 35 feet along its center, $5,746.95.

(5) Laidley street between Mateo and Roanoke streets, for removing of slide and the furnishing and laying of seven inches of rock on the unpaved roadway, $890.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Assessor to Appoint Special Deputy.

Bill No. 8616, Ordinance No. 8124 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the appointment by the Assessor of the City and County of one special deputy at a salary of $3,600 a year, and of one cartographer (temporary) at a salary of $3,000 a year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Assessor of the City and County is hereby authorized to appoint one special deputy at a salary of $3,600 per year, and one cartographer (temporary) at a salary of $3,000 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of July 1, 1928.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Appropriations, Repairs and Materials, Hall of Justice, Department of Electricity and Corporation Yard.

Resolution No. 28353 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 53, to the credit of Bureau of Building Repair, Department of Public Works, Budget Items 411 and 432; being reimbursements for labor and material furnished and supplied, to-wit:

(1) For labor, painting press room, alterations and painting Police Department room, Hall of Justice, constructing concrete retaining wall for Department of Electricity, and repairing roof at Corporation Yard, to the credit of Budget Item 411, $1,617.50.

(2) For materials supplies in the foregoing work, to the credit of Budget Item 432, $1,104.51.

Total, $2,722.01.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 29354 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. M. Vartian be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Crooks & Hanavan by Resolution No. 18481 (New Series) for premises at 1267 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Oil Station Permits.

Resolution No. 29355 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

M. Donmellan, 400 Canendena avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Maffei, northwest corner of

Alhambra street and Avila way, 00 gallons capacity.

J. M. Brizzolara, west side of Pierce street, 138 feet north of Filbert street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Phil Harris, southeast corner of Hayes and Stanyan streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles Dunham, 170 San Fernando way, 750 gallons capacity.

George Stanley, east side of Santa Ana avenue, 162 feet north of Ocean avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

J. B. Blair, 544 Funston avenue, 500 gallons capacity.

H. E. Chambers, 3349 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Morgan A. Gunst, 2786 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. S. Browne, 624 Post street, 500 gallons capacity.

G. C. Fulhimer Nuttall, 3528 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Anderson, northwest corner of Thirty-fifth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 29356 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That B. E. Johnson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Balboa street and Arguello boulevard.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was *rereferred to the Fire Committee*:

Revoking Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted the California Petroleum Corporation by Resolution No. 28691 (New Series), approved March 27, 1928, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northwest corner of Stanyan and Frederick streets, is hereby revoked.

Refused Final Passage.

The following matter was taken up and *refused final passage* by the following vote:

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Shell Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Twenty-fourth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr—7.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—9.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were, on recommendation of the Public Utilities and Finance Committees, taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Board of Public Works to Investigate Street Railway Transportation Requirements.

Bill No. 8617, Ordinance No. 8125 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to investigate and report upon the immediate and further street railway transportation requirements of San Francisco, and to recommend what portions, if any, of the existing privately owned street railway systems should be acquired by the City, and authorizing the City Engineer and the City Attorney to make a valuation of the California Street Cable Railroad Company's system and the Market Street Railway Company's system, and authorizing the City Engineer, the City Attorney and Public Utilities and Finance Committees of the Board of Supervisors to enter into preliminary negotiations with the California Street Railroad Company and the Market Street Railway Company looking toward the possibility of the purchase of these systems in whole or in part and providing the necessary funds for such investigation.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Whereas, under its Charter the City of San Francisco is committed to the policy of gradually acquiring and ultimately owning all of its public utilities; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco now operates a municipally owned street railway system furnishing transportation to many parts of the City; and

Whereas, the franchises of the California Street Cable Railroad Company and a number of franchises of the Market Street Railway Company will expire during the year 1929; and

Whereas, it is essential that this Board of Supervisors adopt a plan under which the people of San Francisco can be assured of proper transportation facilities after the expiration of the existing franchises;

Therefore, in order that this Board may have before it as a basis for determining the best policy to be pursued in the solution of the City's transportation problem:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to instruct the City Engineer to investigate and report to this Board of Supervisors upon the immediate and future street railway transportation requirements of San Francisco; to what extent and in what manner the existing street railway trackage facilities in San Francisco could best be utilized in meeting present and future transportation requirements, and what portions of the existing privately owned street railway systems, if any, should be acquired by the City to be used in connection with the Municipal Railway system for providing a unified street railway, and the operating results which might be expected under municipal operation of such a unified system, from the point of view both of service to the public and finances, together with any alternative method or plan for meeting the transportation requirements other than purchase of the properties by the City.

Section 2. The City Engineer and the City Attorney jointly are hereby authorized and empowered to make a valuation of the properties of the California Street Cable Railroad Company and the Market Street Railway Company, both as a whole and as to such parts thereof as the City Engineer reports are necessary or desirable for acquisition by the City.

Section 3. The City Attorney is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make the necessary studies of the legal questions involved in the transportation problems, and to recommend and prepare the legislation necessary or advisable to facilitate the solution of the railway problem.

Section 4. The City Engineer, the City Attorney, the Public Utilities and Finance Committees of the Board of Supervisors, jointly, are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to enter into preliminary negotiations with the California Street Cable Railroad Company and the Market Street Railway Company looking toward the possibility of the acquisition of the whole or parts of these privately owned systems.

Section 5. The Board of Public Works and the City Attorney are hereby authorized to employ such assistants as may be required and necessary to carry on the studies and investigations and prepare the report provided for in this ordinance, and said assistants and all expenses incident to the preparation of the report are to be paid out of the General Fund.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7782 (New Series), Division Street and Treat Avenue.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee:

Bill No. 8618, Ordinance No. 8126 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7782 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Division street between Bryant street and Florida street, and the improvement of Treat avenue between Florida street and Alameda street, etc., as described in Resolution of Intention No. 98943 (Second Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7782 (New Series) ordering the improvement of Division street between Bryant and Florida streets, and the improvement of Treat avenue between Florida street and Alameda street, etc., as described in Resolution of Intention No. 98943 (Second Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Repealing Ordinance No. 8020 (New Series), Ellington Avenue and Foote Avenue.

Bill No. 8619, Ordinance No. 8127 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 8020 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Ellington Avenue between Foote and Ottawa Avenues, and on Foote avenue between Mission street and Ellington Avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 8020 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Ellington Avenue between Foote and Ottawa Avenues, and on Foote avenue between Mission street and Ellington Avenue, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 29357 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on the Bay Shore Highway right of way, from Potrero avenue to Silver avenue, during the construction of said highway, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

A b s e n t — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Condemnation Proceedings, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29358 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1: Lot 144, Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2: Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Steuben street and the southerly line of Lot 151, as per Map of Silver Terrace Homestead Association, recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said westerly line 50 feet to the northerly line of Lot 150 of said map; thence at right angles westerly 39.240 feet; thence deflecting to the left 118 degrees 53 minutes 52 seconds and running southeasterly 57.111 feet to the said southerly line of Lot 151; thence deflecting to the left 61 degrees 06 minutes 08 seconds and running easterly 11.641 feet to the westerly line of Steuben street and the point of beginning.

Being portions of said Lots 150 and 151.

Parcel 3: Lots 172 and 173, as per map of "Silver Terrace Homestead Association", recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 4. Lot 181, as said lot is designated and delineated on that certain map entitled "Silver Terrace Homestead Association" recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 5: Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Boutwell street and the northerly line of Augusta street, running thence northerly along said westerly line 100 feet to the northerly line of Lot 194 of Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco;

thence at right angles westerly along said northerly line 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly along the westerly line of Lots 194, 193 and 192 for a distance of 55.953 feet; thence deflecting to the left 28 degrees 53 minutes 52 seconds and running southeasterly 29.981 feet; thence southeasterly, southerly, southwesterly and westerly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 118 degrees 53 minues 52 seconds, a distance of 24.902 feet to its point of tangency with the northerly line of Augusta street; thence easterly along said northerly line 96.017 feet to the westerly line of Boutwell street and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 191, 192, and all of Lots 193 and 194 of said Map of Silver Terrace Homestead Association.

Parcel 6: Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Boutwell street and the northerly line of Augusta street and running thence northerly along said easterly line 11.048 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line, being a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected to the right 152 degrees 28 minutes 03 seconds from the preceding course, with a radius of 3062.5 feet, and a central angle of 0 degrees 13 minutes 58 seconds, a distance of 12.446 feet to the northerly line of Augusta street; thence westerly along said northerly line 5.731 feet to the easterly line of Boutwell street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 246 of Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 7: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Augusta street, distant thereon 50 feet easterly from the easterly line of Boutwell street, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 5.024 feet; thence westerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 24 degrees 44 minutes 56 seconds a distance of 5.183 feet to a point which is distant 50 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Boutwell street; thence northerly, parallel to the easterly line of Boutwell street 1.102 feet to the southerly line of Augusta street and the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lot 482 of said Silver Terrace Homestead Association.

Parcel 8. Beginning at a point which is distant 50 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Boutwell street and distant 29.300 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Augusta street, said point also being on the northeasterly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence southerly, parallel to said easterly line 59.679 feet to the southeasterly line of the Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D" page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 25.235 feet to said northeasterly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line being a curve to the left of radius 3062.5 feet and central angle 1 degree 03 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 56.422 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 480 and 481 of said Silver Terrace Homestead Association.

Parcel 9: Lot 6, Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", page 15 records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 10: Lots 4 and 5, Block 2 Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G" page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 11: Lots 2 and 3, Block 2 Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G" page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 12: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Boutwell street, distant thereon 115.2 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Silver avenue and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 25 feet thence northeasterly parallel to the southeasterly line of Lot 2 of Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G" page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, a distance of 100.74 feet; thence southeasterly parallel to the southwesterly line of Charter Oak avenue 25 feet; thence southwesterly, parallel to said southeasterly line of said Lot 100.22 feet to the northeasterly line of Boutwell street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of the Rancho Rincon De Las Salinas Viejo.

Parcel 13: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeaster

line of Boutwell street and the northwesterly line of Silver avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said line of Boutwell street 90 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 90 feet more or less to said line of Silver avenue; thence southwesterly along said line of Silver avenue 26 feet more or less to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 1, Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 14: Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Silver avenue distant thereon 65.13 feet northeasterly from the easterly line of Boutwell street; thence northeasterly along said line of Silver avenue 35.99 feet; thence northerly and parallel with the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue 90.38 feet; thence westerly and parallel with the southerly line of Lot 2 of Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco August 1, 1905, in Book "G" of Maps at page 15, 60.50 feet to the easterly line of Lot 1; thence southerly along said line of Lot 1, 102.28 feet to the northwesterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Rancho Rincon de Salinas y Potrero Viejo.

Parcel 15. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Silver avenue, distant thereon 35.99 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Lot 1 in Block 2, Golden City Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 8.253 feet; thence southwesterly and westerly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 39 degrees 00 minutes 39 seconds a distance of 8.170 feet; thence deflecting to the left 114 degrees 21 minutes 41 seconds from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running southerly 2.766 feet to the northwesterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of said Block 2.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening, widening, construction and maintenance of Bay Shore boulevard, and for the opening, widening, construction and maintenance of connecting streets. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 (New Series), Randolph Street, Worcester Avenue, Broad, Grove and Franklin Streets.

Bill No. 8620, Ordinance No. 8128 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending sections seven hundred and thirty-three, seven hundred and thirty-four and adding new sections thereto to be numbered section nine hundred and sixty and sections one thousand and forty-seven and one thousand and forty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 28, 1928, by amending section seven hundred and thirty-three, section seven hundred and thirty-four and adding new sections thereto to be numbered nine hundred and sixty and sections one thousand and forty-seven and one thousand and forty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 733. The width of sidewalks on Randolph street between Worcester avenue and Orizaba avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 734. The width of sidewalks on Worcester avenue between Randolph street and Junipero Serra boulevard shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 960. The width of sidewalks on Broad street between Capitol avenue and Orizaba avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1047. The width of sidewalks on Grove street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1048. The width of side-

walks on Franklin street between McAllister street and Grove street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 (New Series), Treat Avenue.

Bill No. 8621, Ordinance No. 8130 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section six hundred and ninety-nine thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 30, 1928, by amending section six hundred and ninety-nine thereof, to read as follows:

Section 699. The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue between Florida street and Harrison street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the northwesterly side of, between Sixteenth street and a point 172.57 feet southwesterly from Sixteenth street, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the northwesterly side of, between a point 172.57 feet southwesterly from Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the southeasterly side of, between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue between Seventeenth street and Eighteenth street shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8622, Ordinance No. 8131 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Part II of said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of Glen Park Terraces: Martha avenue from Congo street to a line at right angles with and passing through a point on the southerly line of Martha avenue said point being distant 51.46 feet westerly from the intersection of the southerly line of Martha avenue with the westerly line of Baden street, including the intersection of Martha and Stillings avenues; Stillings avenue from Martha avenue to

Congo street, including the intersection of Stillings avenue and Nordhoff street; Congo street from Stillings avenue to Bosworth street, including the intersection of Congo street and Martha avenue; Bosworth street from a line parallel with and distant 38.53 feet easterly from the easterly line of Elk street produced southerly to a line bisecting the fourth angle westerly from the westerly line of Elk street, including the intersections of Congo and Elk streets with Bosworth street, by grading to official line and grade; and by the construction of the following: Standard six (6) inch concrete curbs; artificial stone sidewalks; concrete pavement; asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface; brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, 8-inch and 10-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, Y branches, side sewers, warning reflectors and a mortared rubble rock wall, and by the reconstruction of existing manholes and catchbasins, including the furnishing and placing of standard manhole frames and covers, and standard catchbasin frames, gratings and traps; all to be in accordance with plans as follows, entitled:

Plans for the improvement of a portion of Glen Park Terraces:

No. A-8004, Martha avenue, Congo street to Baden street.

No. A-8005, Stillings avenue, Congo street to Martha avenue.

No. A-8006, Bosworth street, Elk street westerly, which are made a part of the accompanying specifications.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks. Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Bill No. 8623, Ordinance No. 8132 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Elsie street between Coso avenue and Esmeralda avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of concrete pavement, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Bill No. 8624, Ordinance No. 8133 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work,

the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Moscow street between Brazil and Excelsior avenues, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of vitrified brick pavement adjacent to the center line, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Bill No. 8625, Ordinance No. 8134 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding innstallment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kansas street, and of Kansas street produced, between Army street and the southerly line of Marin street, where not already so improved, including the intersection of Kansas street and Marin street, and excepting that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corner of the above mentioned intersection; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Marin street between the existing sewer in Kansas street and the westerly line of Kansas street produced, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Bill No. 8626, Ordinance No. 8135 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installlent when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twentieth street between San Bruno avenue and Vermont street, including the intersection of Twentieth street and San Bruno avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by resetting the existing catchbasins and curbs; by reconstructing the artificial stone sidewalks on the northwesterly and southwesterly angular corners of the crossing of Twentieth street and Vermont street; by performing the necessary conform work in San Bruno avenue north of Twentieth street, consisting of resetting existing curbs and reconstructing existing asphaltic concrete pavement, and by the construction of standard guardrail with standard red warning reflector.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Bill No. 8627, Ordinance No. 8136 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Keith street and Newcomb avenue, and the crossing of Keith street and Oakdale avenue, by

grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers and manhole in the crossing of Keith street and Newcomb avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Bill No. 8628, Ordinance No. 8137 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Thirty-eighth avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet north of the north line of Taraval street to the south line of Santiago street by the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area and the construction of a bulkhead.

Bidder is to name price per lineal foot of bulkhead for this work.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Bill No. 8629, Ordinance No. 8138 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of inter-

est to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Monticello and Garfield streets by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 12-inch and 18-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Bill No. 8630, Ordinance No. 8139 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Congo street between Mangels avenue and Stillings street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a ½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

Plans, Etc., Street Signs.

Bill No. 8631, Ordinance No. 8129 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the furnishing and erecting of street signs; ordering the furnishing and erecting of street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said furnishing and erecting of said street signs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the furnishing and erecting of street signs, and to enter into contract for the furnishing and erecting of street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said street signs is to be borne out of Budget Item No. 70, 1928-1929.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $67,659.28,

recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Toner—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,441.34.

(2) The Texas Company, fuel oil for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $593.42.

(3) Frank Food Co., supplies for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $541.18.

(4) Morris & Co., supplies for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $565.37.

(5) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,698.64.

(6) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, etc., for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $835.41.

(7) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $890.77.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(8) Paynes Bolt Works, bolts, etc. (claim dated July 13, 1928), $510.39.

(9) L. Schenone & Co., fruit, etc. (claim dated July 13, 1928), $857.89.

(10) Shell Co. of Cal., gasoline, etc. (claim dated July 13, 1928), $583.39.

(11) Soule Steel Co., iron and stell (claim dated July 13, 1928), $1,828.46.

(12) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of Revolving Fund for expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated July 17, 1928), $2,762.32.

(13) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 17, 1928), $1,058.

(14) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium for account of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated July 17, 1928), $4,253.63.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(15) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for June, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,503.80.

(16) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,258.72.

(17) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1928), $37,485.15.

(18) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 30, 1928), $7,121.57.

(19) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,849.19.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(20) County Road Fund, reimbursement for concrete and paving performed for the Municipal Railway on Larkin street between Vallejo and Union streets(claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,054.38.

Special School Tax.

(21) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for Annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated July 16, 1928), $927.91.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(22) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, reserve for depreciation for July, per Charter requirement (claim dated July 18, 1928), $14,583.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(23) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $950.02.

(24) Hanni & Girerd, repairs to Police Dept. autos during June (claim dated June 30, 1928), $622.40.

(25) Monson Bros., balance due on contracts for rehabilitation of Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated June 30, 1928), $16,455.46.

(26) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,190.24.

(27) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,825.85.

(28) The Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,260.96.

(29) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,362.73.

(30) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors

(claim dated June 30, 1928), $880.

(31) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1928), $676.12.

(32) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated July 23, 1928), $9,350.

(33) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing of Law Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,075.

(34) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated June 30, 1928), $747.50.

(35) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated June 30, 1928), $695.30.

(36) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,785.89.

(37) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., sand and rock for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1928), $984.99.

(38) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting for public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,565.51.

(39) Board of Public Works, Budget Item 559, reimbursement for account of construction of sewer in Golden Gate Heights (claim dated June 30, 1928), $6,416.87.

(40) Board of Public Works, Budget Item 554, reimbursement for account of sewer construction in Golden Gate Heights (claim dated June 30, 1928), $632.55.

(41) Board of Public Works, Budget Item 555, reimbursement for account of sewer construction in Golden Gate Heights (claim dated June 30, 1928), $9,514.60.

(42) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,110.06.

(43) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,615.79.

(44) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,478.70.

(45) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels, robes for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $690.

(46) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,438.92.

(47) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $810.

(48) Western Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $809.11.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,257.

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(50) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $4,003.91.

(51) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 13, 1928), $34,884.28.

(52) The Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 13, 1928), $12,555.07.

(53) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated July 23, 1928), $1,203.22.

(54) A. P. Jacobs, rental of premises No. 333 Kearny street, July 3 to August 3, 1928), $1,120.75.

(55) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated July 13, 1928), $600.

(56) Wallace & Tiernan, chlorinator for Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated July 16, 1928), $814.50.

(57) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, personal and nonpersonal services for July, 1928 (claim dated July 23, 1928), $732.08.

(58) Peter Sorensen, full payment, construction of comfort station at Municipal Airport (claim dated July 19, 1928), $2,932.

(59) Stephenson Construction Co., fifth payment, construction of Hangar No. 2, Municipal Airport (claim dated July 18, 1928), $28,000.

(60) James M. Smith, fourth payment, Contract 8, construction of Municipal Airport, grading, etc. (claim dated July 18, 1928), $12,000.

(61) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., Supt. S. F. Hospital, room allowances for employees of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 12, 1928), $3,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(62) James G. Stafford & Associates, final payment on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements in San Francisco (claim dated June 26, 1928), $11,514.50.

Appropriations for Land for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To D. J. Le Gallee and Ruth Le Gallee, for all of Lot 13 in Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for opening of Alemany boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29362 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $913.

(2) To Atillio Coletti and Rose

Coletti, for release of claim for damages on Lot 21A in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books, for account of construction of Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 29360 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $676.20.

(3) To James Joseph McLaughlin and Emma T. McLaughlin, for release of claim for damages on Lot 23 in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books, for account of construction of Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 29360 (New Series), (claim dated July 18. 1928), $1,436.80.

(4) To A. H. Krause, for release of claim for damages on Lot 4 in Block 5438, as per the Assessor's Block Books, for account of construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $652.30.

(5) To Ray Higgins and Carl Mihailovitz, for all of Lot 9 in Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29362 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $1,000.

(6) To G. A. Borman, for release of claim for damages on Lot 22 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, for account of construction of Bay Shore boulevard, per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $773.30.

(7) To Peter C. Fratessa, for release of claim for damages on Lot 27 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, for account of construction of Bay Shore boulevard, as per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $1,393.70.

Appropriations for Properties for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for the Sunset Parkway, to-wit:

(1) To Mary B. Stenson and John R. Stenson, for Lot 8 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29364 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $7,400.

(2) To J. V. Bravot and F. E. Bravot, for Lot 5 in Block 1791, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29364 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $7,125.

(3) To David Thomas, Sr., and Janet Thomas, for Lot 7 in Block 1791, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29364 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $7,000.

Appropriations for Properties for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties and for releases of claims for damages to property required for and for account of opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, to-wit:

(1) To Albin Hultman and Saiman Hultman, for Lot 33A in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29367 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $4,250.

(2) To Louis Silverstein, for portion of Lot 17 and all of Lot 27 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29367 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $3,850.

(3) To Peter C. Zanardi, for release of claim for damages on Lot 23 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books (No. 444 Charter Oak avenue), for account of construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $1,436.60.

(4) To Hattie Voigtlander, for release of claim for damages on Lot 25 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books (No. 452 Charter Oak avenue), for account of construction of Bay Shore boulevard, and as per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $716.10

(5) To Marie Zeiter, for release of claim for damages on Lot 28 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books (No. 476 Charter Oak avenue), for account of construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, and as per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $553.

Appropriation, $13,250, Payment to Elizabeth A. Kelly for Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $13,-

250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to Elizabeth A. Kelly, being payment for land commencing at the intersection of the northwesterly line of Delano avenue with the northeasterly line of Seneca avenue, running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of Seneca avenue 237 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 237 feet to the northwesterly line of Delano avenue; thence at a right angle southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Delano avenue 80 feet to the northeasterly line of Seneca avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 31 of West End Map No. 1. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29259 (New Series). Claim dated July 23, 1928. (Required for school purposes.)

Appropriations, Water Tank and Replacing Side Walls of Boiler, Laguna Honda Home.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 53, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the expense of construction of a water tank at the Laguna Honda Home, 45x18 feet, $2,400.

(2) For the expense of replacing the sidewalls of Boiler No. 1 in the Laguna Honda Home, $1,056.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Reconstruction of Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the expense of the reconstruction of the hereinafter designated streets, to-wit:

Potrero avenue, east side, from Sixteenth street southerly, $80,000.

Douglas street, from Seventeenth to Eighteenth streets, $2,100.

Noe street, from Nineteenth to Twentieth streets, $2,100.

Fifteenth street, from Folsom to Harrison streets, $3,500.

Duboce avenue, from Castro street to Buena Vista avenue, $7,500.

Clementina street, from First to Second streets, $9,000.

Ritch street, from Brannan to Townsend streets, $5,125.

Duncan street, from Sanchez to Noe streets, $3,500.

Washington street, from Powell to Mason streets, $4,000.

Glover street, from Jones to Leavenworth streets, $4,500.

Scott street, from Broadway to Vallejo street, $2,500.

Total, $126,725.

Accepting Offer of St. George Holden et al. to Sell Land for Street Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29359 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from St. George Holden and Betty A. Holden, his wife, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the westerly line of Baker street, distant 68 feet 9 inches northerly from Bay street, required for street purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby accepted in accordance with the offer of said owners, November 29, 1927, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Baker street, distant thereon 68 feet 9 inches northerly from the northerly line of Bay street, running thence northerly along said line of Baker street 68 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 272 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Lyon street; thence southerly and along said line of Lyon street 68 feet 9½ inches, more or less, to a point which is perpendicularly distant 68 feet 9 inches northerly from the northerly line of Bay street; thence easterly and parallel with said line of Bay street 275 feet, more or less, to the point of commencement.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as afore-

said. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $15,000, Payment to St. George Holden et al. for Land for Street Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item No. 60 ("Bay Street Opening-Land Purchase"), and authorized in payment to St. George Holden and Betsy A. Holden his wife; being payment for lands commencing at a point on the westerly line of Baker street, distant thereon 68 feet 9 inches northerly from the northerly line of Bay street running thence northerly along said line of Baker street 68 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 272 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Lyon street; thence southerly and along said line of Lyon street 68 feet 9½ inches, more or less, to a point which is perpendicularly distant 68 feet 9 inches northerly from the northerly line of Bay street; thence easterly and parallel with said line of Bay street 275 feet, more or less, to the point of commencement. (As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29359, New Series; claim dated July 23, 1928.)

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29360 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and replacing of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, namely:

Atillio Coletti and Rose Coletti, $676.20—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot No. 21A in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 88 Cotter street.

G. Barbieri and Louise Barbieri, $125.70—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 21 in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 86 Cotter street.

James Joseph McLaughlin and Emma T. McLaughlin, $1,436.80—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 23 in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 99 Theresa street.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Accepting Offers, Damage Settlements, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Bay Shore boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the grading and construction of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Bay Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, viz.:

Hattie Voigtlander, $716.10—Lot 25, Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco, and

also known as No. 452 Charter Oak avenue.

Marie Zeiter, $553—Lot 28, Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 476 Charter Oak avenue.

Peter C. Zanardi, $1,436.60—Lot 23, Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 444 Charter Oak avenue.

A. H. Krause, $652.30—Lot 4, Block 5438, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 514 Charter Oak avenue.

G. A. Borman, $773.30—Lot 22, Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 440 Charter Oak avenue.

Peter J. Ratto, $157.30—Lot 16, Block 5438, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known or 580 Charter Oak avenue.

Quintino Bin, $436.70—Lot 11, Block 5438, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 554 Charter Oak avenue.

Peter P. Fratessa, $1,393.70—Lot 27, Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 470 Charter Oak avenue.

Peter J. Ratto, $157.30—Lot 17, Block 5438, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 582 Charter Oak avenue.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of said specified amounts to the above mentioned persons upon receipt of the proper releases.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29362 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named parties to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

Minnie Lazarus and Alfred A. Lazarus, $400—All of Lot 11 of Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. W. Wright & Sons Investment Company, $1—Portion of Lot 45, Block 7150, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

D. J. Le Gallee and Ruth Le Gallee, $913—All of Lot 13, Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ray Higgins and Carl Mihailovitz, $1,000—All of Lot 9, Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29363 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening and extending of Capitol avenue, for the sum set forth opposite their names be accepted:

Otto K. Hodges and Lucilla K. Hodges, $500—All of Lot 1, Block 7054, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy,

Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29364 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

Mary B. Stetson and John R. Stetson, $7,400—Lot 8, Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantors are to have possession of the property without cost until December 1, 1928.

J. B. Bravot and F. E. Bravot, $7,125—Lot 5, Block 1791, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the furnace may be removed by J. B. Bravot and that he or they will enjoy the tenancy of the above premises until November 1, 1928, without expense therefor.

David Thomas, Sr., and Janet Thomas, $7,000—Lot 7, Block 1791, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29365 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of David Ososke to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a portion of Lots 30, 31, 32 and 35, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, in exchange for certain portions of Lots 33, 34 and 36, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (all as per written offer on file), which latter property is appraised by the City's right of way agent to be of equal value with the property first hereinabove described be accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title of said property to be acquired, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. The City Attorney is likewise authorized to supervise the delivery of deed, conveying to said David Ososke said portions of Assessor's Lots 33, 34 and 36, Block 5375, as per the aforementioned block books.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29366 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names be accepted:

Wilhelmina C. Laufenberg and Josephine M. Laufenberg, $84—Portion of Lot 18, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29367 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

Albin Hultman and Saiman Hultman, $4,250—

Parcel 1: The westerly two portions of Lot 33-A, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco.

Parcel "A": The easterly portion of Lot 33-A, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Louis Silverstein, $3,850—

Parcel 1: Portion of Assessor's Lot 17, and the westerly portion of Lot 27, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel "B": The easterly portion of Lot 27, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of said Parcel "A" purchased from said Albin Hultman and Saiman Hultman, and said Parcel "B" purchased from said Louis Silverstein, the deeds to said Parcels "A" and "B" be taken in the name of the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company for the City and County of San Francsico, and under the direction of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were, on recommendation of the Fire Committee, *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

M. S. Achenbach, 2618 Buchanan street, 600 gallons capacity.

W. R. Ames Co., 180 Hooper street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bank of Italy, southwest corner of Thirty-eighth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Grau, 338 Brazil avenue, 500 gallons capacity.

S. Lombardi, 2414 San Bruno avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Michel, west side of Broderick street, 150 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Olivieri, 425 Holyoke street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pacific Can Company, south side of Williams avenue, west of Newhall street, 12,500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Quistad, northwest corner of Scott and North Point streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Packing Co., southeast corner of Williams avenue and Phelps street, 12,500 gallons capacity.

O. R. Thayer, west side of Lopez avenue, 100 feet north of Castenada avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

A. G. Mooney, 1631 Newcomb avenue, 4 horsepower.

Western States Grocery Co., 1000 Brannan street, two 15 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, L. O. Strand.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. O. Strand be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Standard Oil Company by Resolution No. 20618 (New Series) for premises at Third and Mariposa streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, Italo Petroleum Corporation.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation of America be and is

hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Wm. Bourne by Resolution No. 26553 (New Series) for premises on the southeast corner of Twenty-fifth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the following matter was *continued one week:*

Declaratory Ordinance, Hospitals and Health Centers.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent public buildings, and the acquisition of the necessary lands, equipment and furnishings to be used as hospitals and health centers by the people of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, cancer institute, a new home and nurses' training school, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands allowing for the extension of hospitals, and the purchase of existing hospitals and this ordinance specifically provided that for the proper care of the health of the people of San Francisco that all necessary lands be acquired to construct the buildings herein described and the purchase of all necessary buildings and furnishings.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of the public buildings and objects described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of the Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 29368 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby authorized and instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northeast and southwest corners Twenty-second and Sanchez streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Twenty-second and Noe streets.

East and west sides Twenty-second street, first and second west of Sanchez street.

Hidalgo Terrace, off Dolores street.

East and west sides Mason street, first south of Sutter street.

South side Valparaiso street, first, second and third east of Jones street.

Northeast corner Valparaiso and Jones streets.

West side Jones street between Valparaiso and Filbert streets.

North side Hidalgo Terrace, off Dolores street.

Northwest and southeast corners Broderick and Greenwich streets.

South side Greenwich street between Baker and Lyon streets.

North side Greenwich street, first and second west of Baker street.

Northeast and southwest corners Vallejo and Mason streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Powell and Vallejo streets.

North side Vallejo street between Powell and Mason streets.

South side Vallejo street, first and second west of Powell street.

Install 400 M. R.

Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Valley streets.

Diamond street between Twenty-ninth and Jersey streets.

Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Douglass streets.

Twenty-ninth street between Diamond and Douglass streets.

Twenty-second and Sanchez streets.

Twenty-second and Noe streets.

North and south sides Twenty-second street between Noe and Sanchez streets.

Delano avenue, opposite Rudden avenue.

Rudden avenue between Delano and Otsego avenues.
Rudden avenue, opposite Otsego avenue.
Athens street between France and Italy avenues.
Valparaiso street, opposite Roach street.
South side Valparaiso street between Roach and Jones streets.
Jones street, opposite Valparaiso street.
Burke avenue, 175 feet east of Third street.
Delano avenue, opposite Rudden avenue.
Rudden avenue between Delano and Otsego avenues.
Otsego avenue, opposite Rudden avenue.
Sanchez street, about 120 feet south of Thirtieth street.
Front of 446 Fell street.
North side Greenwich street, between Baker and Lyon streets.
Southeast corner Broderick and Greenwich streets.
Lyon street between Pacific avenue and Broadway.
Lyon street, south of Pacific avenue.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Hidalgo Terrace, off Dolores street.
Holyoke street between Wayland and Woolsey streets.

Install 250 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Upland drive, opposite Northgate drive.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

Montcalm street between Peralta and Precita avenues.
Corner Montcalm street and Precita avenue.
Twenty-sixth avenue between Taraval and Santiago.

Change 400 *M. R.*

Darien way and Westgate drive to 250 C. P. O. B. with refractor.
Southwest corner Castro and Valley streets to northeast corner.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

North side Taraval street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues.
Northeast corner Taraval street and Twenty-fourth avenue.
Southwest corner Taraval street and Twenty-fourth avenue.
North side Taraval street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues.
Northeast corner Taraval street and Twenty-fifth avenue.
Southwest corner Taraval street and Twenty-fifth avenue.
South side Taraval street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues.
Northeast corner Taraval street and Twenty-sixth avenue.
Southwest corner Taraval street and Twenty-sixth avenue.
South side Taraval street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues.
Northeast corner Taraval street and Twenty-seventh avenue.
Southwest corner Taraval street and Twenty-seventh avenue.
Southwest corner McAllister and Hyde streets.
Two on Leavenworth street, south of McAllister.
North side Vallejo street between Powell and Mason.
Southeast corner Powell and Vallejo streets.
Northwest corner Mason and Vallejo streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Southeast corner Taraval street and Twenty-fourth avenue.
Southeast corner Taraval street and Twenty-fifth avenue.
Southwest corner Taraval street and Twenty-sixth avenue.
Southeast corner Taraval street and Twenty-seventh avenue.
South side Clay street between Belden place and Montgomery street.

Install 400 *C. P. Type "C" Electrolier.*

North side Pine street, east of Kearny street.
Corner Belden place and Pine street.
South side Pine street between Belden place and Montgomery street.
North side Pine street, west of Montgomery street.
East and west sides of Mason street between Post and Sutter streets.

Remove Gas Lamps.

North side Stevenson street, first west of Twelfth street.
North side Colton street, first east of Brady street.
Southwest corner Stevenson and Brady streets.
Southeast corner Brady and Colton streets.
West side Brady street, first north of Otis street.
Southeast corner Stevenson and Crocker streets.
West side Crocker street, south of Stevenson street.
East side Crocker street, north of Otis street.
South side Otis street, first and second west of Twelfth street.
Northeast corner Otis and Brady streets.
Northeast corner Otis and Crocker streets.
Southwest corner Otis and McCoppin streets.

West side Otis street, first and second south of McCoppin street.
East side Otis street, first south of McCoppin street.
West side Jessie street, first south of McCoppin street.
North side McCoppin street, first and second west of Otis street.
Southwest corner McCoppin and Jessie streets.
South side McCoppin street, first west of Stevenson.
West side Woodward avenue, first and second south of Duboce avenue.
East side Woodward avenue, first south of Duboce avenue.
East side Stevenson street, first north of Fourteenth street.
West side Stevenson street, first and second south of McCoppin street.
East side Stevenson street, first south of McCoppin street.
Northeast corner Duboce avenue and Stevenson street.

Install 250 *C. P. M. R.*

Stevenson street, west of Twelfth street.
Colton street, east of Brady street.
Stevenson and Crocker streets.
Crocker and Colton streets.
Stevenson street, south of McCoppin street.
Stevenson street, north of Duboce avenue.
Stevenson street, north of Fourteenth street.
Woodward avenue, south of Duboce avenue.
Woodward avenue, north of Fourteenth street.
Jessie street, south of McCoppin street.

Install 400 *C. P. Iron Pole.*

East side Brady street, opposite Stevenson street.
Southwest corner Brady and Colton streets.
Southwest corner McCoppin and Jessie streets.
North side McCoppin street, opposite Stevenson street.
Southwest corner Duboce and Woodward avenues.
Northeast corner Duboce avenue and Stevenson street.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

North side Otis street, third pole west of Twelfth.
North side Otis street, second pole west of Brady.
Northwest corner Otis and McCoppin streets.
West side Otis street, first pole south of McCoppin.
West side Otis street, third pole south of McCoppin.
South side Otis street, second pole west of Twelfth.
South side Otis street, first pole west of Brady.
South side Otis street, opposite McCoppin street.
East side Otis street, second and fourth poles north of Duboce avenue.
Northwest corner Otis street and Duboce avenue.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Northwest corner Third and Stevenson streets.
Northeast corner Third and Jessie streets.
Northeast corner Third and Mission streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Third and Mission streets.
Southeast corner Third and Minna streets.
Southwest corner Third and Natoma streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Third and Howard streets.
Southwest corner Third and Tehama streets.
Southeast corner Third and Clementina streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Third and Folsom streets.
East side Third street between Folsom and Verona place.
Northwest and southeast corners Third and Harrison streets.
Southwest corner Third and Perry streets.
Northeast corner Third and Stillman streets.
Southwest corner Third and Bryant streets.
Southeast corner Third and Tabor streets.
Northeast corner Third and Varney place.
Northeast and southwest corners Third and Brannan streets.
West side Third street, 230 feet north of Townsend street.
Northwest and southeast corners Third and Townsend streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

East and west sides Third street between Market and Stevenson streets.
Southeast and southwest corners Third and Stevenson streets.
Northeast corner Third and Jessie streets.
West side Third street, 123 feet south of Stevenson street.
East side Third street, 48 feet south of Jessie street.
West side Third street, 111 feet north of Mission street.
Northeast, southwest, northwest and southeast corners Third and Mission streets.
East and west sides Third street between Mission and Minna streets.

Southeast and southwest corners Third and Minna streets.

Southeast corner Third street and Sherwood place.

Northwest corner Third and Natoma streets.

Southeast corner Third and Hunt streets.

West side Third street, 50 feet south of Natoma street.

Northeast and northwest corners Third and Howard streets.

Southeast corner Third and Howard streets.

West side Third street between Howard and Tehama streets.

Southeast corner Third and Tehama streets.

West side Third street, 105 feet south of Tehama street.

Southeast corner Third and Clementina streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Third and Folsom streets.

West side Third street, second and fourth poles south of Folsom street.

East side Third street, 275 feet south of Folsom street.

Southeast corner Third and Verona streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Third and Harrison streets.

West side Third street between Harrison and Perry streets.

Southeast corner Third and Perry streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Third and Stillman streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Third and Bryant streets.

North side Third street, 93 feet south of Bryant street.

Northeast corner Third street and South Park.

West side Third street, opposite South Park.

Northeast corner Third and Varney streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Third and Brannan streets.

North side Third street, second and fourth poles south of Brannan street.

East side Third street, third and fifth poles south of Brannan street.

Northwest and southeast corners Third and Townsend streets.

East side Third street, second and third poles south of Townsend street.

Install 600 *C. P. M. R.*

Northwest corner Berry and Third streets.

West side Third street between Berry street and Bridge.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Extension of Time, Contract for Fire Hydrants.

On recommendation of the Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 29369 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of time of 30 calendar days be hereby granted, upon recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, to Rincon Foundry Co. upon contract awarded on Proposal No. 354 by Resolution No. 28790 (New Series), approved April 4, 1928, on one hundred double 5-inch hydrants with 3-inch standard outlets and 5-inch gate valves complete in every respect, f. o. b. San Francisco Fire Department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Award of Contracts.

Also, Resolution No. 29370 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing dry goods and wearing apparel on bids submitted June 18, 1928 (Proposal No. 381), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is by this reference made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee, and the awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 3—D. N. & E. Walter & Company.

Bid No. 4—L. Dinkelspiel Co., Inc.

Bid No. 5—Buckingham & Hecht.

Bid No. 6—Leighton-Jellett Co.

Bid No. 7—Butler Brothers.

Bid No. 8—N. and S. E. Kalischer.

Bid No. 9—Levi Strauss & Co.

Bid No. 10—Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.

Bid No. 11—Eloesser-Heynemann Company.

Bid No. 12—Carl Munter & Co.

Bid No. 13—Pendleton Woolen Mills.

Bid No. 14—J. B. Crowley.

Bid No. 15—Doctors and Nurses' Outfitting Co., Inc.

Bid No. 17—Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., Inc.

Bid No. 18—E. Friedlander & Sons.

Resolved, That no bonds be re-

quired, as said goods are definite quantities for immediate delivery.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29371 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted June 9, 1928 (Proposal No. 389), for furnishing the following: License plates, tags and badges:

Item No. 1—15,075 plates for auto trucks, passenger autos, horse-drawn vehicles, $0.17 each; Patrick & Co. Additional plates furnished at $0.20 each.

Item No. 2—750 jitney plates, $0.275 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 3—750 jitney operators' permit plates, $0.275 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 4—1250 drivers' badges, $0.45 each; Irvine & Jachens.

Item No. 5—372 runners' and solicitors' badges, $0.45 each; Irvine & Jachens.

Item No. 6—1200 peddlers' tags, $0.08 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 7—40 special mdse. peddlers' tags, $0.11 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 8—350 junk gatherers' badges, $0.45 each; Irvine & Jachens.

Item No. 9—7500 dog tags, $0.05 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 10—400 dog tags (duplicate), $0.08 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 11—100 movers' license permits, $0.275 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 12—500 wagon inspection sign plates, $0.175 each; Patrick & Co.

Resolved, That bonds for faithful performance of said contract be required as follows, viz.:

Patrick & Co., $500; Irvine & Jachens, $100.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except where award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29372 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on hospital supplies for Department of Public Health on bids submitted July 16, 1928 (Proposal No. 392), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—1800 rolls bandages, roller gauze, 44x40 mesh, 1x10 yards, $0.76 roll; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 2—1025 pounds cotton, absorbent, plain, best grade, long fiber, absolutely free from seeds and other particles, in one-pound packages, $0.305 pound; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 3—131 rolls gauze, plain, absorbent, in 100-yard rolls, 24x20 mesh, $3.34 roll; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 4—900 rolls gauze, plain, in 100-yard rolls, 16x20 mesh, $2.75 roll; Seabury & Johnson.

Item No. 5—260 pounds lint, absorbent, in 1-pound cartons, $0.95 carton; Seabury & Johnson.

Item No. 6—78 rolls muslin, oiled, in 5-yard rolls, $3.50 roll; Seabury & Johnson.

Item No. 7—620 rolls plaster, adhesive, zinc oxide, in rolls 12 inches by 5 yards, $0.75 roll; J. Theo. Erlin Co.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That no bonds be required, as said supplies are for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29373 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted July 16, 1928 (Proposal No. 393), for furnishing and operating dirt-

removing equipment for Playground Commission as may be required during the Fiscal Year 1928-1929, viz.:

Item No. 1—Steam shovels, capacity ¾ cubic yard; Meyer Rosenberg; rate, $75 per day.

Item No. 2—Air compressors, 2-drill size, including 2 air drills, steel, hose and supplies; Meyer Rosenberg; rate, $25 per day.

Item No. 3—Caterpillar tractors, 30 h. p., equipped with McMillan dirt mover, capacity 2 yards; Meyer Rosenberg; rate, $25 per day.

Item No. 4—Caterpillar tractors, 60 h. p., equipped with McMillan dirt mover, capacity 4 yards; Meyer Rosenberg; rate, $40 per day.

Item No. 5—Caterpillar tractors, 30 h. p., equipped with either (1) blade, (2) scarifier, (3) tractor scraper or (4) drag; Meyer Rosenberg; rate, $30 per day.

Item No. 6—Caterpillar tractors, 60 h. p., equipped with either (1) blade, (2) scarifier, (3) tractor scraper or (4) drag; Meyer Rosenberg; rate, $40 per day.

Item No. 7—Motor dump trucks, capacity 5 tons; reject (no award).

Note: The above bid prices cover complete the use of the equipment and all supplies, services and other items incidental thereto and are to be the maximum prices during the term of contract; any reduction in basic price to be for the benefit of the City and County of San Francisco. Blasting powder, fuses and caps furnished by the bidder and actually used in these operations, and any expense incurred in securing blasting permits will be paid by the City. No other extra charges of any kind or nature will be allowed by the City.

Service Requirements: Bidder to furnish and operate the equipment 8 hours daily for the bid price. Operation to be started within 8 calendar days after written notice from Playground Commission.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $5,000 shall be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29374 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted July 16, 1928 (Proposal No. 394), for furnishing the following: X-ray supplies for San Francisco Hospital:

Item No. 1—12 packages radiotized dental films, $0.81 per package; Victor X-Ray Corp.

Item No. 2—288 dozen Superspeed X-ray films, 8x10, Eastman's, $2.081 per dozen; Victor X-Ray Corp.

Item No. 3—216 dozen contrasts X-ray films, 11x14, $4.48 per dozen; Kahn & Co.

Item No. 4—216 dozen contrasts X-ray films, 14x17, $6.83 per dozen; Kahn & Co.

Item No. 5—72 cans Buck's Developer Powder No. 4, $2.85 per can; Eastman Kodak Stores, Inc.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That no bonds be required, as said supplies are for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29375 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts be hereby made for furnishing print shop equipment for Everett Junior High School on bids submitted July 16, 1928 (Proposal No. 397), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is by this reference made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Miller Printing Machinery Company, Norman F. Hall Co., American Type Founders Company.

Resolved, That no bonds be required, as said equipment is for immediate delivery by the original manufacturers.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Leave of Abesnce, Hon. Joseph M. Golden.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
July 19, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Honorable Joseph M. Golden, Presiding Judge of the Police Court, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of forty days, commencing August 1, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

July 17, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

As I have made arrangements to take my vacation during the month of August and as it is my desire to leave the State of California at said time, I would appreciate your Board's sanction to leave the State of California on August first and be absent for the entire month.

Assuring you of my sincere appreciation for your prompt action in this matter, I am

Very truly yours,
JOSEPH M. GOLDEN,
Presiding Judge of Police Court.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29376 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Joseph M. Golden, Judge of the Police Court, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of forty days, commencing August 1, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Right of Way.

Resolution No. 29377 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands and easements over lands situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sums set forth opposite their names be accepted:

Antone Lawrence Silva, $3,600—

Parcel 1. Portion of Lot 2, as per map entitled "Map of a portion of the property of the Palmdale Company, Washington Township, Alameda Co., Cal., Oct. 1904," filed in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County December 20, 1904, in Liber 20 of Maps, page 8, containing 0.789 acres, more or less, required for aqueduct purposes.

Parcel 2. A strip of land 80 feet in width through the lands of Antone Lawrence Silva in Ex-Mission Survey No. 28, containing 0.661 acre, more or less, required for aqueduct purposes.

Parcel 3. Portion of lands of Antone Lawrence Silva in Ex-Mission Survey No. 28, containing 1.9 acres, more or less, required for dumping ground and road right of way.

(All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

J. P. O'Meira, $75—

Parcel 1. Subsurface right of way easement for construction, maintenance and use of aqueduct tunnel or tunnels through portion of southeast one quarter of the southwest one quarter of Section 32, Township 3 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 2. Right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission and telephone lines upon and over surface of lands described in Parcel 1.

(All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said lands and easements, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco,

eeds conveying said lands and asements to said City and County, ree and clear of all encumbrances, nd to record said deeds, together ith copy of this resolution, in the ffice of the County Recorder of lameda County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, easy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, IcGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Pow- rs, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, tanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, allagher, Toner—3.

Proposed Municipal Union Depot.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Se- ies), as follows:

Resolved, That the public inter- st and necessity demand the estab- shment of a Municipal Union)epot for the terminal of all rail nd bus lines carrying passengers n and out of San Francisco to be entrally located where electric and team trains and motor busses will ave modern and adequate facili- ies for embarking and disembark- ng passengers in safe and rapid anner; and

Further Resolved, That to con- ummate this revenue-producing roject of a municipally owned Union Depot for the welfare of our itizens that the Public Utilities Committee call in consultation a roup of citizens to properly sur- ey the situation, confer with rail- oad, bus and transportation offi- ials and report back to this Board heir recommendations.

Referred to Public Utilities Com- nittee.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the our of 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reas- embled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the fol- owing Supervisors were noted resent:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Provision Terminal Ordinance.

The following matter, rereferred to the Board by the Public Buildings and Health Committees without recommendation, was taken up:

Bill No. 8632, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing that wholesale food terminals, warehouses, freight yards and ferry terminals shall not be constructed or established, erected or constructed within a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of the provisions hereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No wholesale food terminal, warehouse, freight yard or ferry terminal shall be constructed, erected or established within the territory bounded on the north by the waters of San Francisco Bay, on the east by the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, on the south by Jackson street and on the west by the westerly line of Lyon street.

Section 2. No building permit shall be issued or granted by the Board of Public Works for the erection, construction or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued or granted shall be void.

Section 3. No certificate of occupancy shall be issued or granted by the Board of Health authorizing or permitting any person, firm or corporation to occupy or use any building or structure in place within the above bounded district for or as a wholesale food terminal, warehouse, freight yard or ferry terminal.

Section 4. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon the conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed $500 or by imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a separate offence for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, permitted or continued by such person, firm or corporation.

Privilege of the Floor.

R. W. Palmer, representing the Northwestern Pacific; *Jos. Cumming*, representing the Down Town Association; *R. J. M. Armstrong*, representing the Civic League, and *Jas. Sweeney*, representing the Van Ness Avenue Improvement Association, were granted the privilege of the floor and opposed the ordinance in so far as it referred to ferry terminals.

Geo. J. Pressley, representing the Produce Terminal, *Maurice Widdenham* and *Morris Paint Company* opposed the ordinance.

Mrs. Gerberding and *Mrs. Curry*, representing the Garden Club; *Jas. A. Wilson*, representing the "Rowing Clubs"; *Mr. Jacobson*, representing the Retail Fruit Dealers; *Mrs. Stern*, representing the City and County Federation of Women's Clubs; *J. Kelly*, representing Central Federation of Improvement Clubs; *Mrs. Manon*, representing California Women's Club; *Mrs. F. Mordecai*, representing Golden Gate Valley Improvement Club; *Mrs. Leon*, representing Marina Improvement Association, and *Grace Perego*, representing Marina Home Owners' Association, favored the passage of the ordinance.

Segregation of Question.

Supervisor Hayden asked for a segregation of the question, to-wit:

1. Ferry terminal.
2. Food and Produce Terminals, Warehouses, etc.

Motion.

Supervisor Andriano moved to lay over two weeks.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Hayden—2.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Amendment.

Whereupon, Supervisor Hayden, in lieu of segregation, moved as an amendment to eliminate from the ordinance all reference to "ferry terminals."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Hayden—2.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bil was *passed for printing* by the fo lowing vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gall gher, Havenner, Kent, McGover McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Ronc vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanto Suhr, Todd—14.

Noes — Supervisors Andrian Hayden—2.

Absent — Supervisors Colma Toner—2.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Before the result was announce Supervisor Andriano changed hi vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notic of reconsideration for next meetin

Immediate Reconsideration.

Supervisor Havenner moved su pension of the rules for immediat reconsideration.

Rules *suspended* by the followin vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gall gher, Havenner, Kent, McGover McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Ronc vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanto Suhr, Todd—14.

Noes — Supervisors Andrian Hayden—2.

Absent — Supervisors Colma Toner—2.

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenne moved immediate reconsideratio of the vote whereby the foregoin bill was passed for printing.

The question being put: "Sha the vote whereby the foregoing bi was passed for printing be reco sidered?" the roll was called an the motion *defeated* by the follo ing vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andrian Hayden—2.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gall gher, Havenner, Kent, McGover McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Ronc vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanto Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Colma Toner—2.

Whereupon, the chair declare the bill *passed for printing.*

Additional Courtrooms for Superior Court.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 29378 (New S ries), as follows:

Whereas, the Presiding Judge the Superior Court has made d mand for additional courtrooms i the City Hall for its extra sessions and

Whereas, there are two unfur ished courtrooms now occupied b the County Clerk for the storage court records, which must be v

ated, and equipped for courtroom urposes; and

Whereas, the only available room or the County Clerk's use is the ne located on the 4th floor and nown as Room 400, now occupied y the Attendance Bureau of the oard of Education; therefore, be it Resolved, That the Board of Edu- ation be and is hereby requested o vacate said Room No. 400, and hat the same be assigned for use nd purpose of the County Clerk.

Further Resolved, That the Coun- y Clerk be requested to vacate ooms 479 and 481 and to remove is records therefrom to Room 400.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, easy, Havenner, Hayden. Kent, cGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Pow- rs, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, tanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, allagher, Toner—3.

oard of Supervisors Rescinds Its Ac- tion of April 2, 1928, Proposing New Charter Amendment Creating Public Utilities Commission.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 29379 (New Se- ies), as follows:

Whereas, on the 2d day of April, 928, the Board of Supervisors of he City and County of San Fran- isco proposed an amendment to he Charter of the said City and ounty to be known as Article II-A, and which creates a Public tilities Commission; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervis- rs has been advised that there was failure to give notice that the roposed Charter amendment had een printed in convenient pamph- et form and that said notice has ot been advertised in accordance ith Section 8 of Article XI of the onstitution of the State of Cali- rnia, and being advised that other gislative steps have not been aken for a proper submission of aid amendment; now, therefore, e it Resolved:

Section 1. That the Board of upervisors of the City and County f San Francisco do hereby rescind at action taken on the 2d day of pril, 1928, wherein it was argeed hat a new Charter amendment nown as Article XII-A, creating a ublic Utilities Commission, to be ubmitted to the people for their pproval at the election held on the 8th day of August, 1928; and be it urther Resolved:

Section 2. That the Registrar of oters of the City and County of an Francisco be advised of this ction and instructed to withhold the Charter amendment described in Section 1 of this resolution from the official ballot.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Board of Supervisors Rescinds Its Action of May 16, 1927, Proposing Charter Amendment Creating Public Utilities Commission.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 29380 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on the 16th day of May, 1927, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco proposed an amendment to the Charter of said City and County to be known as Article XII-A and which creates a Public Utilities Commission; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has been advised that there was a failure to give notice that the proposed Charter amendement had been printed in convenient pamphlet form and that said notice has not been advertised in accordance with Section 8 of Article XI of the Constitution of the State of California, and being advised that other legislative steps have not been taken for a proper submission of said amendment; now, therefore, be it Resolved:

Section 1. That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco do hereby rescind that action taken on the 16th day of May, 1927, wherein it was agreed that a new Charter amendment known as Article XII-A, creating a Public Utilities Commission, to be submitted to the people for their approval at the election held on the 6th day of November, 1928; and be it further Resolved:

Section 2. That the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco be advised of this action and instructed to withhold the Charter amendment described in Section 1 of this resolution from the official ballot.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Colman, Toner—2.

Reconstruction of Streets Out of County Road Fund.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

Beale street, Mission street to Embarcadero, $245.12.

Grant avenue, Greenwich to Chestnut streets, $2,553.53.

Jefferson street, Leavenworth to Hyde streets, $1,353.58.

Kearny street, Union to Filbert streets, $171.60.

Larkin street, California to Jackson streets, $211.04.

Lyon street, Washington to Jackson streets, $123.18.

Maiden lane, Kearny to Stockton streets, $808.69.

Main street, Folsom street to Embarcadero, $2,891.13.

Maple street, Sacramento to Clay streets, $552.91.

Mission street viaduct. $740.80.

Sacramento street, Hyde to Jones streets, $1,704.75.

Steiner street, Haight to Waller streets, $311.55.

Steuart street, Market to Mission streets, $1,740.02.

Walnut street, Washington to Jackson streets, $694.27.

Total, $14,102.17.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $5,000, for Summer Symphony Concerts.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation No. 54) for the Summer Symphony Concerts.

Resolution of Thanks, Board of Appraisal.

The Clerk was directed to prepare a resolution for submission at next meeting expressing appreciation and commendation for the services rendered by B. A. Banker's services to the Bureau of Appraisal.

Extension of Time, Dog Licenses.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the time limit on dog license applications was extended 30 days.

Marin County Fair.

On motion of Supervisor Ronco vieri, Supervisor Gallagher was appointed to represent San Francisco at the Marin County Fair, to be held at Novato August 10, 11 and 12, 1928.

Announcement.

Supervisor Havenner announced that there would be a meeting in the Mayor's office Wednesday, July 25, 1928, at 3 p. m., to consider proposed bond issue matters.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at 7 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 6, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco

Vol. 23—New Series No. 32

Monday, July 30, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 30, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 30, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

Endorsing and Approving the Report and Recommendations of the Nine Counties Highways Committee of the Redwood Empire Association.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 29381 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, certain portions of the State and Federal road system in nine counties of the Redwood Empire require early attention in the matter of construction, reconstruction and maintenance; and

Whereas, travel over these highways has increased at a tremendous rate, thus augmenting the necessity of early construction; and

Whereas, this travel is increasing annually in such proportions as to increase the traffic hazard; and

Whereas, these facts have been confirmed through an actual field survey, made by the Nine Counties Highways Field Survey Committee of the Redwood Empire Association, on which committee we, the Board of Supervisors, appointed one official representative; and

Whereas, based upon this actual field study, also based upon preliminary and subsequent studies of the economic requirements and potentialities of the Empire, and the necessity for more improvements, a set of recommendations for highway construction, reconstruction and maintenance has been agreed upon by this committe; and

Whereas, these recommendations are practical, reasonable, based upon economic necessity and a fair request, particularly in view of the fact that this district for many years received a very small portion of those highway funds to which it was entitled; and

Whereas, funds are now available to take care of this necessary construction, reconstruction and maintenance, as recommended in the report of the Field Survey Committee; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse and approve the report and recommendations of the Nine Counties Highways Committee of the Redwood Empire Association; and be it

Further Resolved, That we respectfully urge and request the California Highway Commission and the United States Bureau of Public Roads to follow these recommendations as closely as is practical and possible in preparing the highway budgets for the next biennial.

On motion the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Leave of Absence.

The following resolution was presented in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor:

Resolution No. 29382 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John Hermann, member of the Election Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty

days, commencing August 3, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Classification for Salary Purposes.

The communication from the Civil Service Commission, relating to salary standardization and transmitting a schedule of classification for salary purposes, was read by the Clerk and, upon motion, *referred to the Civil Service Committee.*

The committee thereupon fixed Wednesday evening, August 8, 1928, at 8 o'clock, for consideration of the matter, and extended an invitation to the members of the Board of Supervisors and all interested persons to be present.

Airport.

Supervisor Kent addressed the Board on the report of the meteorological survey recently made in the matter of airports, and laid stress upon item No. 6 of the report, and referring to its very favorable comment on the conditions surrounding the airport of San Francisco, located and known as Mills Field.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, on recommendation of the Finance Committee, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 29383 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,441.34.

(2) The Texas Company, fuel oil for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $593.42.

(3) Frank Food Co., supplies for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $541.18.

(4) Morris & Co., supplies for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $565.37.

(5) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,698.64.

(6) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, etc., for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $835.41.

(7) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated June 30, 1928), $890.77.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(8) Paynes Bolt Works, bolts, etc. (claim dated July 13, 1928), $510.39.

(9) L. Schenone & Co., fruit, etc. (claim dated July 13, 1928), $857.89.

(10) Shell Co. of Cal., gasoline, etc. (claim dated July 13, 1928), $583.39.

(11) Soule Steel Co., iron and stell (claim dated July 13, 1928), $1,828.46.

(12) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of Revolving Fund for expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated July 17, 1928), $2,762.32.

(13) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 17 1928), $1,058.

(14) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium for account of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated July 17, 1928) $4,253.63.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(15) Market Street Railway Co. reimbursement for June, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated June 30, 1928) $1,503.80.

(16) Market Street Railway Co. electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30 1928), $3,258.72.

(17) Pacific Gas and Electric Co. electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30 1928), $37,485.15.

(18) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 30 1928), $7,121.57.

(19) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,849.19.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(20) County Road Fund, reimbursement for concrete and paving performed for the Municipal Railway on Larkin street between Vallejo and Union streets(claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,054.38.

Special School Tax.

(21) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for Annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated July 16, 1928), $927.91.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(22) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, reserve for depreciation for July, per Charter

requirement (claim dated July 18, 1928), $14,583.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(23) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $950.02.

(24) Hanni & Girerd, repairs to Police Dept. autos during June (claim dated June 30, 1928), $622.40.

(25) Monson Bros., balance due on contracts for rehabilitation of Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated June 30, 1928), $15,455.46.

(26) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,190.24.

(27) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,825.85.

(28) The Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,260.96.

(29) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,362.73.

(30) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1928), $880.

(31) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1928), $676.12.

(32) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated July 23, 1928), $9,350.

(33) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing of Law Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,075.

(34) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated June 30, 1928), $747.50.

(35) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated June 30, 1928), $695.30.

(36) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,785.89.

(37) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., sand and rock for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1928), $984.99.

(38) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting for public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,565.51.

(39) Board of Public Works, Budget Item 559, reimbursement for account of construction of sewer in Golden Gate Heights (claim dated June 30, 1928), $6,416.87.

(40) Board of Public Works, Budget Item 554, reimbursement for account of sewer construction in Golden Gate Heights (claim dated June 30, 1928), $632.55.

(41) Board of Public Works, Budget Item 555, reimbursement for account of sewer construction in Golden Gate Heights (claim dated June 30, 1928), $9,514.60.

(42) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $3,110.06.

(43) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,615.79.

(44) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,478.70.

(45) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels, robes for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $690.

(46) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,438.92.

(47) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $810.

(48) Western Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $809.11.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,257.

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(50) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 13, 1928), $4,003.91.

(51) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 13, 1928), $34,884.28.

(52) The Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 13, 1928), $12,555.07.

(53) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated July 23, 1928), $1,203.22.

(54) A. P. Jacobs, rental of premises No. 333 Kearny street, July 3 to August 3, 1928), $1,120.75.

(55) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated July 13, 1928), $600.

(56) Wallace & Tiernan, chlorinator for Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated July 16, 1928), $814.50.

(57) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, personal and nonpersonal services for July, 1928 (claim dated July 23, 1928), $732.08.

(58) Peter Sorensen, full payment, construction of comfort station at Municipal Airport (claim dated July 19, 1928), $2,932.

(59) Stephenson Construction Co., fifth payment, construction of Hangar No. 2, Municipal Airport (claim dated July 18, 1928), $28,000.

(60) James M. Smith, fourth payment, Contract 8, construction of Municipal Airport, grading, etc. (claim dated July 18, 1928), $12,000.

(61) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., Supt. S. F. Hospital, room allowances for employees of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated July 12, 1928), $3,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(62) James G. Stafford & Associates, final payment on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements in San Francisco (claim dated June 26, 1928), $11,514.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations for Land for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 29384 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To D. J. Le Gallee and Ruth Le Gallee, for all of Lot 13 in Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for opening of Alemany boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29362 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $913.

(2) To Atillio Coletti and Rose Coletti, for release of claim for damages on Lot 21A in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books, for account of construction of Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 29360 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $676.20.

(3) To James Joseph McLaughlin and Emma T. McLaughlin, for release of claim for damages on Lot 23 in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books, for account of construction of Alemany boulevard, as per Resolution No. 29360 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $1,436.80.

(4) To A. H. Krause, for release of claim for damages on Lot 4 in Block 5438, as per the Assessor's Block Books, for account of construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $652.30.

(5) To Ray Higgins and Carl Mihailovitz, for all of Lot 9 in Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29362 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $1,000.

(6) To G. A. Borman, for release of claim for damages on Lot 22 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, for account of construction of Bay Shore boulevard, per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $773.30.

(7) To Peter C. Fratessa, for release of claim for damages on Lot 27 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, for account of construction of Bay Shore boulevard, as per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $1,393.70.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations for Properties for Sunset Parkway.

Resolution No. 29385 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for the Sunset Parkway, to-wit:

(1) To Mary B. Stenson and John R. Stenson, for Lot 8 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29364 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $7,400.

(2) To J. V. Bravot and F. E. Bravot, for Lot 5 in Block 1791, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29364 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $7,125.

(3) To David Thomas, Sr., and Janet Thomas, for Lot 7 in Block 1791, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29364 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $7,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations for Properties for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29386 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties and for releases of claims for damages to

property required for and for account of opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, to-wit:

(1) To Albin Hultman and Saiman Hultman, for Lot 33A in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29367 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $4,250.

(2) To Louis Silverstein, for portion of Lot 17 and all of Lot 27 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29367 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $3,850.

(3) To Peter C. Zanardi, for release of claim for damages on Lot 23 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books (No. 444 Charter Oak avenue), for account of construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $1,436.60.

(4) To Hattie Voigtlander, for release of claim for damages on Lot 25 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books (No. 452 Charter Oak avenue), for account of construction of Bay Shore boulevard, and as per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $716.10

(5) To Marie Zeiter, for release of claim for damages on Lot 28 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books (No. 476 Charter Oak avenue), for account of construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, and as per Resolution No. 29361 (New Series), (claim dated July 18, 1928), $553.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriation, $13,250, Payment to Elizabeth A. Kelly for Land for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 29387 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $13,250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to Elizabeth A. Kelly, being payment for land commencing at the intersection of the northwesterly line of Delano avenue with the northeasterly line of Seneca avenue, running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of Seneca avenue 237 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 237 feet to the northwesterly line of Delano avenue; thence at a right angle southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Delano avenue 80 feet to the northeasterly line of Seneca avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 31 of West End Map No. 1. Per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29259 (New Series). Claim dated July 23, 1928. (Required for school purposes.)

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations, Water Tank and Replacing Side Walls of Boiler, Laguna Honda Home.

Resolution No. 29388 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 53, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the expense of construction of a water tank at the Laguna Honda Home, 45x18 feet, $2,400.

(2) For the expense of replacing the sidewalls of Boiler No. 1 in the Laguna Honda Home, $1,056.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Reconstruction of Streets.

Resolution No. 29389 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the expense of the reconstruction of the hereinafter designated streets, to-wit:

Potrero avenue, east side, from Sixteenth street southerly, $80,000.

Douglas street, from Seventeenth to Eighteenth streets, $2,100.

Noe street, from Nineteenth to Twentieth streets, $2,100.

Fifteenth street, from Folsom to Harrison streets, $3,500.

Duboce avenue, from Castro street to Buena Vista avenue, $7,500.

Clementina street, from First to Second streets, $9,000.

Ritch street, from Brannan to Townsend streets, $5,125.

Duncan street, from Sanchez to Noe streets, $3,500.

Washington street, from Powell to Mason streets, $4,000.

Glover street, from Jones to Leavenworth streets, $4,500.

Scott street, from Broadway to Vallejo street, $2,500.

Total, $126,725.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriation, $5,000, Summer Symphony Concerts.

Resolution No. 29390 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation No. 54) for the Summer Symphony Concerts.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriation, $15,000, Payment to St. George Holden et al. for Land for Street Purposes.

Resolution No. 29391 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item No. 60 ("Bay Street Opening-Land Purchase"), and authorized in payment to St. George Holden and Betsy A. Holden his wife; being payment for lands commencing at a point on the westerly line of Baker street, distant thereon 68 feet 9 inches northerly from the northerly line of Bay street running thence northerly along said line of Baker street 68 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 272 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Lyon street; thence southerly and along said line of Lyon street 68 feet 9½ inches, more or less, to a point which is perpendicularly distant 68 feet 9 inches northerly from the northerly line of Bay street; thence easterly and parallel with said line of Bay street 275 feet, more or less, to the point of commencement. (As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29359, New Series; claim dated July 23, 1928.)

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 29392 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

M. S. Achenbach, 2618 Buchanan street, 600 gallons capacity.

W. R. Ames Co., 180 Hooper street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bank of Italy, southwest corner of Thirty-eighth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Grau, 338 Brazil avenue, 500 gallons capacity.

S. Lombardi, 2414 San Bruno avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Michel, west side of Broderick street, 150 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Olivieri, 425 Holyoke street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pacific Can Company, south side of Williams avenue, west of Newhall street, 12,500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Quistad, northwest corner of Scott and North Point streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Packing Co., southeast corner of Williams avenue and Phelps street, 12,500 gallons capacity.

O. R. Thayer, west side of Lopez avenue, 100 feet north of Castenada avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

A. G. Mooney, 1631 Newcomb avenue, 4 horsepower.

Western States Grocery Co., 1000 Brannan street, two 15 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, L. O. Strand.

Resolution No. 29393 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. O. Strand be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Standard Oil Company by Resolution No. 20618 (New Series) for premises at Third and Mariposa streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, Italo Petroleum Corporation.

Resolution No. 29394 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation of America be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Wm. Bourne by Resolution No. 26553 (New Series) for premises on the southeast corner of Twenty-fifth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Providing That Wholesale Food Terminals, Warehouses, Freight Yards and Ferry Terminals Shall Not Be Constructed or Established Within a Certain District.

From Joint Committee on Public Buildings and Health:

Bill No. 8632, Ordinance No. 8140 (New Series), as follows:

Providing that wholesale food terminals, warehouses, freight yards and ferry terminals shall not be constructed or established, erected or constructed within a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of the provisions hereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No wholesale food terminal, warehouse, freight yard or ferry terminal shall be constructed, erected or established within the territory bounded on the north by the waters of San Francisco Bay, on the east by the westerly line of Van Ness avenue, on the south by Jackson street, and on the west by the westerly line of Lyon street.

Section 2. No building permit shall be issued or granted by the Board of Public Works for the erection, construction or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued or granted shall be void.

Section 3. No certificate of occupancy shall be issued or granted by the Board of Health, authorizing or permitting any person, firm or corporation to occupy or use any building or structure in place within the above bounded district for or as a wholesale food terminal, warehouse, freight yard or ferry terminal.

Section 4. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon the conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed $500, or by imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. And any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, permitted or continued by such person, firm or corporation.

Upon the question of final passage of the foregoing bill and ordinance Supervisor Andriano was heard at length in explanation of his vote, as follows:

At last Monday's meeting, Mr. Chairman, I said that I considered it unfair to insist upon an immediate vote on this ordinance, for the reason that the joint committee that had the ordinance under consideration for two or three months, and had held meetings and had heard all the arguments, had failed to bring in a recommendation, and that I, who heard the arguments for the first time, desired a little time to consider them, to inspect the property affected by the ordinance, and to consider, also, the validity of the ordinance. But the Board saw fit, and amongst the members who voted to pass the ordinance to print were also those who had such difficulty in forming their minds while the matter was pending before them in committee, to the extent that they failed to bring in a recommendation, the Board, I say, saw fit to pass the ordinance to print with unprecedented speed, as far as my very limited experience on this Board goes. It would have been very easy for me, and convenient, too, to vote with the majority. But I felt that I was not justified in voting with the majority at that time, and consequently I voted "no." If I had voted otherwise, I would have felt that I was derelict in my duty as a mem-

ber of this Board. I am not at all sorry that I voted as I did, even though I may have incurred the displeasure of some of the residents of the Marina, among whom are some of my friends, and the criticism of the press, for the people and the press and the members of this Board may as well know now that, as far as my vote is concerned, the brow-beating tactics that were adopted last Monday in order to force an immediate vote upon a proposition will have absolutely no effect. I welcome information, I welcome argument, no matter from what source they may come. But when it is sought to make use of intimidation or coercion, it does not make any difference whether it be the press, the improvement clubs, the civic clubs, my colleagues on this Board, or the Mayor himself, as far as my vote is concerned—well, I simply say that I hope that I will never be so craven or so pusillanimous as to yield to them. Since then, Mr. Chairman, I have made an inspection of the premises and the property involved in this resolution, and I have ascertained that practically all of the property affected is under water, both during low tide and during high tide; that that property cannot be used for either residential or commercial purposes, and by "commercial" I mean the conduct of stores, because it is two and three blocks in the water, and there are no residences within a radius of at least four blocks. I ascertained that directly opposite the principal tract involved in this ordinance is a huge gas tank constantly emitting offensive smells. I ascertained that to the right or east of this main tract are three large government docks; that there are on the government property adjoining the docks huge warehouses. I ascertained that these people have been paying thousands of dollars to the City and County of San Francisco in taxes; that the annual tax for these water lots exceeds $2,000. I ascertained, too, that the value of this property runs up into the hundreds of thousands, possibly millions of dollars. And I concluded that—was impelled to the conclusion—for the Board of Supervisors to pass this ordinance is equivalent to saying that the owners of this property cannot use their property because it is practically unsuitable for any purpose except the purposes mentioned in this specific ordinance. I consider that the ordinance is invalid, as a matter of law, and the four or five attorneys whom I have consulted since last Monday's meeting agree with my opinion. But, aside from the fact as to whether it is or is not invalid, I am convinced that the enactment of this ordinance would work a very grave injustice upon the owners of that property, and I care not who they are. I am convinced that it would be depriving the owners of that property of their vested rights without due compensation. I am told, and, no doubt, it is true, that the people interested in the enactment of this ordinance propose, at some time in the future, to have the city buy this property. I say that is putting the cart before the horse, that the proper thing to have done would have been for the city to buy the property and then prohibit its use. And as much as I would like to vote to please the people of the Marina, amongst whom, I repeat, are very many of my intimate friends, to keep industries and commercial enterprises away from that section of the bay shore west of Van Ness avenue, I cannot find it in my heart to take the property away from these owners. In a democracy like ours, Mr. Chairman, all that we are are our rights. It does not make any difference whether it be the right of private worship, or the right of free speech, or the right of lawful assembly, or the right of property. And if we ignore or disregard these rights I say that there is an end of free government; it does not make any difference how large a majority may insist that these rights be denied. And so, while I did vote against the ordinance last Monday, almost instinctively, because I felt that substantial property rights were being violated, and also as a protest against the stampeding tactics of the people that crowded these chambers, I am prepared today to vote, and to vote in the same manner that I voted last Monday. And I make apologies to no one. I am prepared to vote in the negative, Mr. Chairman, because I am convinced that in so doing I am voting according to the principles of justice and fairness. And if it be said that I am unmindful of my friends in the Marina, or that I am disregarding the interests of the district which I am supposed to represent above the rest, I can only answer, "Let justice be done though the heavens fall."

Whereupon, the question being called, the said bill and ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Galla-

gher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd —14.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Hayden, Toner—3.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $58,208.70 were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928), $524.06.

(2) Foucar, Ray & Simon, Inc., galvanized corrugated steel (claim dated July 23, 1928), $633.50.

(3) R. M. Gardiner Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928), $648.12.

(4) Hill & Morton, Inc., lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928), $683.06.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928), $644.41.

(6) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co. of Oregon, lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928), $956.73.

(7) McDonald & Harrington, lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928), $1,250.80.

(8) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 23, 1928), $522.

(9) Soule Steel Company, steel bars (claim dated July 23, 1928), $1,001.71.

(10) M. Stulsaft Co., Inc., pipe, flanges, gaskets and grease caps (claim dated July 23, 1928), $1,394.53.

(11) United Materials Co., cement (claim dated July 23, 1928), $1,422.40.

(12) Western Pipe & Steel Company of California, pipe (claim dated July 23, 1928), $2,141.87.

(13) The California Door Co., doors (claim dated July 21, 1928), $520.36.

(14) Granfield Tire & Supply Co., tires and tubes (claim dated July 21, 1928), $504.70.

(15) Hercules Powder Co., Inc., gelatin (claim dated July 21, 1928), $1,912.50.

(16) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated July 21, 1928), $714.37.

(17) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 21, 1928), $650.50.

(18) MacDonald & Harrington, lumber (claim dated July 21, 1928), $831.78.

(19) Pacific Coast Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated July 21, 1928), $1,602.11.

(20) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 21, 1928), $1,244.18.

(21) United Materials Co., cement (claim dated July 21, 1928), $1,368.12.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(22) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated July 21, 1928), $1,268.55.

(23) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated July 21, 1928), $558.99.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(24) California Printing Co., printing tax bills (claim dated June 27, 1928), $1,073.25.

(25) Acme Gravel Co., rock and sand (claim dated June 30, 1928), $965.48.

(26) T. I. Butler Co., gravel and sand (claim dated June 30, 1928), $658.89.

(27) San Francisco Gravel Co., rock and gravel (claim dated June 30, 1928), $518.49.

(28) San Francisco Gravel Co., rock and gravel (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,245.10.

(29) Building Supplies Co., janitorial supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $581.07.

(30) H. F. Dugan, drugs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $725.21.

(31) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,710.75.

(32) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $5,087.83.

(33) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $4,712.15.

(34) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruit, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,-215.13.

(35) R. L. Scherer & Co., X-ray films, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,-029.76.

(36) H. E. Teller Co., coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $775.

(37) California Meat Co., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $4,135.29.

(38) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $940.33.

(39) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $724.15.

(40) Standard Fisheries, fish, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $635.80.

(41) The Texas Company, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $2,044.32.

(42) Spring Valley Water Co., water, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,719.16.

(43) Morris & Company, butter, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928), $1,251.

Special School Tax, 1928-1929.

(44) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services, South Side (Balboa) High School (claim dated July 23, 1928), $512.88.

(45) Dan P. Maher Paint Company, paint supplies (claim dated July 24, 1928), $932.25.

(46) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated July 24, 1928), $1,-129.77.

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(47) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper (claim dated July 24, 1928), $526.80.

(48) Symon Brothers, team hire (claim dated July 23, 1928), $632.50.

(49) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated July 23, 1928), $1,415.15.

Special School Tax, 1927-1928.

(50) Park Commissioners, reimbursement for planting at South Side (Balboa) High School (claim dated June 30, 1928), $800.

Park Fund.

(51) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated July 27, 1928), $635.99.

(52) J. A. Bryant, fourth payment, Kezar Stadium Addition (claim dated July 27, 1928), $39,771.75.

(52a) Masten & Hurd, architectural services rendered to date, addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated July 27, 1928), $1,200.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(53) Joseph J. Phillips, expenses incurred on annual official inspection trip of Board of Supervisors over Hetch Hetchy project (claim dated July 25, 1928), $1,060.02.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(54) Joseph J. Phillips, expenses incurred on annual official inspection trip of Board of Supervisors over Hetch Hetchy project (claim dated July 25, 1928), $1,060.02.

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(55) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated July 30, 1928), $1,028.85.

(56) Philip H. Sapiro, services of Municipal Band, July 1 and 4, 1928 (claim dated July 30, 1928), $689.40.

(57) Philip H. Sapiro, services of Municipal Band, July 8 and 11, 1928 (claim dated July 30, 1928), $578.60.

(58) Philip H. Sapiro, services of Municipal Band, July 11, 14, 15, 1928 (claim dated July 30, 1928), $762.65.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(59) Atlas Rock Co., concrete, sand and gravel (claim dated July 24, 1928), $774.41.

(60) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated July 24, 1928), $712.37.

(61) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated July 24, 1928), $1,505.47.

(62) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 24, 1928), $6,289.14.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(63) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services, Sherman School (claim dated July 23, 1928), $897.23.

Publicity and Advertising—(Appropriation 54).

(64) Redwood Empire Association, to expenses incurred in advertising and publicizing San Francisco (claim dated July 30, 1928), $3,666.02.

(65) Californians, Inc., to expense for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated July 30, 1928), $25,000.

(66) San Francisco Summer Symphony Association, Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, to expense of Summer Symphony concerts (claim dated July 30, 1928), $10,000.

(67) San Francisco Summer Symphony Association, Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, to expense of

Summer Symphony concerts (claim dated July 23, 1928), $5,000.

Boulevard Bond Issue, 1927.

(68) J. P. Holland, Inc., sand for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated June 22, 1928), $838.95.

(69) Board of Park Commisssioners, to reimburse the Board of Park Commissioners for expenditures to the Great Highway improvement, June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928), $6,523.50.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, for the cost of constructing the Balboa School, to be erected on City property bounded by San Jose avenue, Seneca avenue and Delano avenue, to-wit:

General construction (Hamilton Construction Co.), $76,496.

Finish carpentry (Hamilton Construction Co.), $7,428.

Electrical work (Alta Electric Co.), $6,490.

Painting work (Frank L. Dixon), $2,860.

Mechanical equipment (Carl F. Ernst), $8,315.

Plumbing work (Carl F. Ernst), $6,354.

Total, $107,943.

Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $5,000.

Total, $112,943.

Authorizing Construction of the John Swett School.

Also, Bill No. 8633, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing preparation of plans and specifications for the additions to the John Swett School; and ordering the construction of the additions to the John Swett School to be erected on the north side of McAllister street between Franklin and Gough streets, and to enter into contract for the construction of said additions in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for additions to the John Swett School, and to enter into contract for the construction of additions to the John Swett School, which construction is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education, said additions to be erected on City property and located on the north side of McAllister street between Franklin and Gough streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, for the cost of constructing the addition to the Daniel Webster School, to be erected on City property located on the east side of Missouri street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, to-wit:

Amount of bid (Meyer Bros.), $10,150.

Extras, incidentals and inspection $1,000.

Total $11,150.

(*Supervisor Marks, upon request, was excused from voting.*)

Authorizing Mechanical Equipment for the Golden Gate School.

Also, Bill No. 8634, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School; and ordering the construction of the mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School in accordance with the plans and specifications and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School, and to enter into contract for the mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following

amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, to defray the cost of contract for the improvement of the Great Highway between Lincoln way and Sloat boulevard, to-wit:

Amount of bid (Granfield, Farrar & Carlin), $131,321.

Inspection, extras and contingencies, $13,679.

Total, $145,000

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Catherine Reid and A. W. Reid, for all of Lots 16 and 17 of Block 2505A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29399 (New Series) (claim dated July 24, 1928), $2,500.

(2) To Alex. E. Brown, for all of Lot 32 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29399 (New Series) (claim dated July 24, 1928), $7,350.

(3) To Carrie E. Wright, for all of Lots 15 and 16 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29399 (New Series) (claim dated July 24, 1928), $1,350.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To N. E. Wilmes and C. L. Wilmes, for a portion of Lot 7, in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (25x40), required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29395 (New Series), (claim dated July 25, 1928), $1,294.

(2) To James A Corwell, for all of Lots 5 in Block 7132, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29395 (New Series), (claim dated July 25, 1928), $600.

(3) To Frederick Emil Winter and Charlotte E. Winter, for release of claim for damages on Lot 23A in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, caused by the construction of Alemany boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29397 (New Series), (claim dated July 25, 1928), $716.40.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of boulevard bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Nellie M. Baumann and Catherine E. Graham, for all of Lots Nos. 1, 2 and 3 of Block 5693 (70x33), irregular, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29396 (New Series), (claim dated July 25, 1928), $1,435.

(2) To John E. Martin, for all of Lots 25 and 26 of Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29396, New Series, (claim dated July 25, 1928), $4,800.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 54), for the purpose of conducting the San Francisco Summer Symphony concerts.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 57, for the Department of Electricity in the manufacture and installation of traffic signals.

Transfer of Funds.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts representing surplusses from appropriations heretofore made from the County Road Fund for the reconstruction of certain streets, be and the same are hereby transferred to the credit of the County Road Fund, to-wit:

Broderick street, Vallejo to Green, $454.25.

Bryant street, Ninth to Eleventh, $5,615.93.

Buchanan street, Bush to California, $1,606.69.

Cherry street, Sacramento to California, $279.88.

Drumm street, Pacific to Jackson, $353.59.

Folsom street, Fourteenth to Fifteenth, $2,676.25.

Fourth street, Market to Mission, $6,865.80.

Front street, Pacific to Broadway, $1,755.79.

Jackson street, Montgomery to Sansome, $1,514.62.

Jackson street, Presidio avenue to Walnut, $292.05.

Kearny street, Broadway to Vallejo, $1,473.29.

Lyon street, California to Sacramento, $2,052.09.

Mason street, Union to Greenwich, $357.70.

Pierce street, Greenwich to Filbert, $154.49.

Polk street, Golden Gate avenue to McAllister, $2,573.75.

Scott street, Filbert to Greenwich, $1,466.45.

Seventh street, Mission to Brannan, $4,050.54.

Twenty-ninth street, Castro to Diamond, $2,116.

Twenty-third avenue, Anza to Cabrillo, $936.27.

Vandewater street, Mason to Powell, $670.21.

Washington street, Montgomery to Sansome, $1,784.27.

Total, $39,049.91.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts representing deficits in appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of certain streets be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, to-wit:

Beale street, Mission street to The Embarcadero, $245.12.

Grant avenue, Greenwich to Chestnut, $2,553.53.

Jefferson street, Leavenworth to Hyde, $1,353.58.

Kearny street, Union to Filbert, $171.60.

Larkin street, California to Jackson, $211.04.

Lyon street, Washington to Jackson, $123.18.

Maiden lane, Kearny to Stockton, $808.69.

Main street, Folsom street to The Embarcadero, $2,891.13.

Maple street, Sacramento to Clay, $552.91.

Mission street viaduct, $740.80.

Sacramento street, Hyde to Jones, $1,704.75.

Steiner street, Haight to Waller, $364.07.

Steuart street, Market to Mission, $1,740.02.

Walnut street, Washington to Jackson, $694.27.

Total, $14,154.69.

Ordering Construction of a Sewer in Franklin Street.

Recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 8635, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Franklin street from Fulton to Grove streets; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of seven thousand dollars, the moneys for this work to be provided by the War Memorial Trustees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Franklin street from Fulton street to Grove street, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of seven thousand dollars, the money for this work to be provided by the War Memorial Trustees.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Rerouting of High Pressure Line in Fulton Street.

Also, Bill No. 8636, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the rerouting of the 13-

inch high pressure line which now exists in Fulton street and placing the same to the west and south of the Opera House along Franklin and Grove streets; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of seventeen thousand two hundred ($17,200) dollars. The moneys for this work to be provided by War Memorial Trustees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the rerouting of the 18-inch high pressure line which now exists in Fulton street and placing the same to the west and south of the Opera House along Franklin and Grove streets, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of seventeen thousand two hundred ($17,200) dollars, the moneys for this work to be provided by War Memorial Trustees.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Condemnation of Lands in Alameda County for Hetch Hetchy Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition through eminent domain proceedings of the following described lands, situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco; said lands being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1: A strip of land, 230 feet in width, extending from the easterly boundary of the 59.78-acre tract of land hereinafter mentioned to the westerly boundary thereof, said strip being described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the southwesterly boundary of that certain 59.78-acre tract of land conveyed to William J. Roney and Florence C. Roney, his wife, by deed dated November 26, 1918, and recorded November 27, 1918, in Book 2700 of Deeds, page 321, Alameda County records; said point is distant along said boundary northwesterly 1096.9 feet from a live oak tree at the most southerly corner of said tract; thence running northwesterly from the point of beginning along said southwesterly boundary 245.4 feet; thence north 79 deg. 59 min. east 841 feet, more or less, to a point in the easterly boundary of said 59.78-acre tract; thence south 10 deg. 48 min. east along said easterly line 230.05 feet; thence south 79 deg. 59 min. west 759 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning. Containing 4.22 acres, more or less.

Parcel 2: Beginning at the northwesterly corner of Parcel 1, hereinabove described, thence running along the northerly boundary of said Parcel 1 north 79 deg. 59 min. east 287.8 feet; thence north 23 deg. 25 min. west 585.95 feet; thence south 79 deg. 59 min. west 364 feet, more or less, to a point in the southwesterly boundary of said 59.78-acre tract; thence southeasterly 608 feet, more or less, along said boundary to the point of beginning. Containing 4.27 acres, more or less.

Parcel 3: Beginning at a point in the southeasterly boundary of the land of Eugenia da Gloria Faria, distant along said boundary northeasterly 650 feet from its intersection with the northeasterly line of the State Highway running from Niles to Mission San Jose; said point of intersection bears north 53 deg. 56 min. west 345.35 feet along the northeasterly boundary of said State Highway from a concrete monument set in the ground opposite California Highway Commission Engineer Station 611+00, Division IV, Alameda County, Route 5, Section C; thence running along said boundary of land of said Eugenia da Gloria Faria northeasterly 388.4 feet to the most easterly corner of said land; thence continuing along the northeasterly boundary of land of Eugenia da Gloria Faria as follows: North 27 deg. 26 min. west 190.08 feet; north 52 deg. 11 min. west 81.18 feet to the most northerly corner of land of said Eugenia da Gloria Faria; thence running along the northwesterly boundary of the land of said Eugenia da Gloria Faria south 41 deg. 59 min. west 446 feet; thence leaving said northwesterly boundary and running southeasterly in a straight line 260 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning. Containing 2.6 acres, more or less.

In the above description it is assumed that existing fences mark the boundaries of the land of Eugenia da Gloria Faria.

Parcel 4: Beginning at a point in the boundary between land of Antonio L. da Silva and land of Maria L. Borge, distant along said boundary north 42 deg. 01 min. east 215.9 feet from its intersection with the northeasterly line of the State Highway running from Niles to Mission San Jose; said point of intersection bears north 53 deg. 56 min. west 855.2 feet along the northeasterly line of said State Highway from a concrete monument set in the ground opposite California Highway Commission Engineer Station 611+00, Division IV, Alameda County, Route 5, Section C; thence from the point of beginning north 68 deg. 49 min. east 549.4 feet; thence south 48 deg. 01 min. east 4.7 feet to a point in the boundary between land now or formerly of Eugenia da Gloria Faria and land of Maria L. Borge; thence north 41 deg. 59 min. east along said boundary 446 feet to the corner common to land now or formerly of Eugenia da Gloria Faria, land now or formerly of Amelia Pinheiro et al. and land of said Maria L. Borge; thence along the boundary between land now or formerly of Amelia Pinheiro et al. and land of Maria L. Borge as follows: North 1 deg. 34 min. east 174.9 feet; south 81 deg. 49 min. west 217.8 feet to the corner common to land now or formerly of Antonio L. da Silva, land now or formerly of Amelia Pinheiro et al. and land of Maria L. Borge; thence southwesterly along the boundary between land now or formerly of Antonio L. da Silva and land of Maria L. Borge 900.1 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 4.3 acres, more or less.

In the above description it is assumed that existing fences mark the boundaries of land of Maria L. Borge.

The taking of said property is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, now being constructed by said City and County of San Francisco, and designed and intended to convey water from Lake Eleanor and the Tuolumne river and its tributaries to the said City and County of San Francisco for municipal and domestic purposes; that the taking of said property is further necessary for the future proper development and control of said aqueduct and for the structures and roadways appurtenant thereto and used in connection therewith, as a site for permanent houses and homes to be used and occupied by the persons constructing, operating and maintaining said aqueduct, and for permanent rights of way, water pipe lines, electric transmission lines, telephone lines, roads and pathways, all to be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct and the appurtenances thereto. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such uses.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings against the owners of said property and of all interest therein or claims against or liens upon said property, for the condemnation of said rights, interests, claims and liens to the public use of the City and County of San Francisco for the said purposes above specified.

Authorizing the Mayor to Execute a Lease of Hangar at the Municipal Airport.

Recommendation of Airport Committee:

Bill No. 8637, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute a lease, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with the Union Air Lines, Inc., a corporation, for the rental of space in Hangar No. 1 of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, at a monthly rental of three hundred ($300) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to enter into the following lease with the Union Air Lines, Inc., a corporation, for the rental of space in Hangar No. 1 of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, at a monthly rental of three hundred ($300) dollars; said lease to read as follows:

This indenture, made this 14th day of July, 1928, by and between the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized under the laws of the State of California, hereinafter called the "Lessor", and Union Air Lines,

Inc., a corporation, hereinafter called the "Lessee";

Witnesseth: That the lessor hereby leases, lets and demises unto the lessee, for the period and upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, the following real property, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, to-wit:

First: Hangar No. 1 of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, together with the right at all times to use said Airport and the facilities of the same; subject, however, to the rules and regulations promulgated and approved, from time to time, by the Airport Committee of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Second: The term of this lease shall be from the 14th day of July, 1928, until the 14th day of March, 1930; subject to extension and termination as hereinafter provided. The lease may be renewed and extended upon the same terms for one year and three months after the expiration thereof, provided the lessor shall remain in possession of said real property for said period of time, and in the event the lessor shall thereafter continue in possession of said property, the lease may be renewed upon terms and conditions mutually agreeable to the parties thereto.

Third: As rental for said demised premises, the lessee shall pay to the lessor the sum of three hundred ($300) dollars per month, payable in advance on the 15th day of each and every month of the term hereof. The lessor admits receipt of six hundred ($600) dollars on account of such rental, covering the rental for the first and the last months of said term. Upon any default in the payment of said rental the lessor, at its option, may terminate this lease and reenter said premises.

Fourth: Upon any destruction of said hangar building, by fire or other cause, the lessee at its option may terminate this lease.

The lessee shall take reasonable precautions against fire in and about said premises.

Fifth: The lessee shall hold the lessor free and harmless for any and all claims for damages occasioned by any and all acts of the lessee, and lessee shall, at its own expense, insure all its employees under the Workmen's Compensation Laws of this State.

In witness whereof, the parties heretofore have duly executed these presents.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

By ....., Mayor.

UNION AIR LINES, INC.,

By ....., President.

By ....., Secretary.

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Satisfaction of Judgment, Acquisition of Land for School Purposes.

Recommendation of Public Buildings Committee:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and to be payable as hereinafter mentioned, in full satisfaction of that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. Charles Martin, California Mutual Building and Loan Association, and Abby F. Bickel," defendants, and numbered 195071 on the records thereof, in eminent domain for the acquisition of land for school purposes; that said sum of $10,000, under the terms and provisions of said judgment, to be payable to defendant Charles Martin, subject to a first lien of California Mutual Building and Loan Association, a corporation, in the sum of $5,500, with interest thereon at 8 4/10 per cent per annum, from July 25, 1928, and a second lien of said defendant Abby F. Bickel, in the sum of $2,500, with interest thereon at 8 per cent per annum from July 25, 1926; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Condemnation of Lands for Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Sagamore street, distant thereon 555 feet easterly from the easterly line of Orizaba street, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Sagamore street a distance of 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet to the southerly line of Sagamore street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 5 of Block A, Railroad Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," at page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Sagamore street, distant thereon 655 feet easterly from the easterly line of Orizaba street, running thence easterly along said southerly line 25 feet to the easterly line of Lot 6, Block A, Railroad Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles southerly along said easterly line 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet to the southerly line of Sagamore street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 6.

Parcel 3: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Sagamore street and the easterly line of Lot 4 of Block B, Railroad Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet to the southerly line of Sagamore street; thence at right angles easterly along said southerly line 50 feet to the easterly line of Lot 4 and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 4.

Parcel 4: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Sagamore street and the westerly line of Lot 6, Block B, Railroad Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 135 feet to the easterly line of said Lot 6; thence at right angles southerly along said easterly line 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 135 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 6; thence at right angles northerly along said westerly line 40 feet to the southerly line of Sagamore street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 6.

Parcel 5: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Sagamore street and the westerly line of Plymouth avenue and running thence westerly along said southerly line 175 feet to the westerly line of Lot 7, Block B, Railroad Homestead Association, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles southerly along said westerly line 40 feet; thence at right angles easterly 143.502 feet; thence easterly and southeasterly along a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 50 feet and a central angle of 39 deg. 02 min. 50 sec., a distance of 34.075 feet to the westerly line of Plymouth avenue; thence deflecting to the left 129 deg. 02 min. 50 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course, and running northerly along said westerly line 51.169 feet to the southerly line of Sagamore street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 7.

Parcel 6: Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Plymouth avenue and the northwesterly line of Palmetto avenue

and running thence northerly along said westerly line 5.939 feet to the southwesterly prolongation of the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting to the left 137 deg. 03 min. 06 sec. and running southwesterly along said southwesterly prolongation 14.117 feet to the northwesterly line of Palmetto avenue; thence deflecting to the left 157 deg. 30 min. 09 sec. and running northeasterly along said northwesterly line 10.575 feet to the westerly line of Plymouth avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 7, Block B, Railroad Homestead Association, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," at page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 7: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue and running thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 120.421 feet; thence deflecting to the left 85 deg. 12 min. 20 sec. and running southeasterly 1.289 feet to a point in the southwesterly prolongation of the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue (as said line exists northeast of Sickles avenue); thence deflecting to the left 92 deg. 48 min. 36 sec. and running northeasterly along said prolongation 80.096 feet to a point in a line which is parallel to and distant 40 feet at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue; thence deflecting to the right 92 deg. 48 min. 36 sec. and running southeasterly along the last described line 68 feet more or less to the northerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Edward Spichtig; thence easterly along said northerly line 41 feet more or less to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 82 feet more or less to the point of beginning.

Parcel 8: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 267 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 115 feet to the southeasterly line of the property now or formerly owned by the Southern Pacific Company; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 40 feet more or less to a point on a line which is parallel to and distant at right angles southwesterly 40 feet from the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue; thence southeasterly along the last described line 125 feet more or less to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James Lane; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 40 feet to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1 of Block 7, West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, page 47, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 9: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 237 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 30 feet to the southeasterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Ed. Spichtig; thence at right angles southwesterly along said southeasterly line 40 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 30 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by C. Celetino; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 40 feet to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1 of Block 7, West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, at page 47, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 10: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 177 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 60 feet to the southeasterly line of the property now or formerly owned by James Lane; thence at right angles southwesterly along said southeasterly line 40 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly and parallel to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue 21.387 feet; thence southeasterly, southerly and southwesterly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, having a radius

of 12 feet and a central angle of 116 deg. 51 min. 11 sec, a distance of 24.474 feet to the northwesterly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line, being a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, having a radius of 1860.078 feet and a central angle of 0 deg. 46 min. 56 sec, a distance of 25.395 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 12 of Block 7, as shown on map entitled "West End Map No. 2," and recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," at page 47, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the left 117 deg. 38 min. 07 sec. from the tangent to preceding curve and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line 2.25 feet to the northwesterly line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the left 66 deg. 22 min. 14 sec. and running northeasterly along said northwesterly line 87.32 feet to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 12.

Parcel 11: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 108.875 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of De Wolf street, and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 1.717 feet; thence southeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, having a radius of 160 feet and a central angle of 0 deg. 36 min. 54 sec, a distance of 1.717 feet to a point in a line drawn at right angles northeasterly to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue from the point of beginning; thence southwesterly along said line so drawn a distance of 0.025 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of West End Map No. 2.

Parcel 12: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue and the southeasterly line of Lot 17 of Block 6 of Sears' Subdivision of West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," at page 153, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 33.875 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 0.025 feet; thence southeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the right 89 deg. 23 min. 06 sec. from the preceding course, having a radius of 160 feet and a central angle of 12 deg. 14 min. 17 sec., a distance of 34.175 feet to said southeasterly line of said Lot 17; thence deflecting to the right 102 deg. 51 min. 11 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course, and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 4.009 feet to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of West End Map No. 2.

Parcel 13: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 47 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of De Wolf street, and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 28 feet to the northwesterly line of Lot 18, Block 6, Sears' Subdivision of West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 153, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 4.009 feet; thence southeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the right 77 deg. 08 min. 49 sec. from the preceding course, having a radius of 160 feet and a central angle of 10 deg. 33 min. 57 sec., a distance of 29.505 feet; thence deflecting to the left 113 deg. 25 min. 08 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running southwesterly 13.180 feet to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 18 and 19 of said Block 6.

Parcel 14: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of De Wolf street and the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 47 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 13.180 feet; thence southeasterly along a curve to the left tangent to a line deflected to the right 66 deg. 34 min. 52 sec. from the preceding course, with a radius of 160 feet and a central angle of 10 deg. 0 min. 20 sec., a distance of 27.941 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly on a curve to the left, tangent to said curve of preceding course, having a radius of 50 feet and a central angle of 56 deg. 34 min. 32 sec., a dis-

tance of 49.372 feet to its point of tangency on the northwesterly line of De Wolf street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 68.193 feet to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 19 and 20 of Block 6, Sears' Subdivision of West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," at page 153, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 15: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 50 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 25 feet to the southeasterly line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the left 72 deg. 38 min. 46 sec. and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 70.810 feet to the southeasterly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line, being a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the left 171 deg. 38 min. 31 sec. from the preceding course, having a radius of 1960.078 feet and a central angle of 0 deg. 22 min. 45 sec, a distance of 12.544 feet; thence continuing northeasterly along said southeasterly line, tangent to said curve of preceding course 27.038 feet; thence northeasterly, easterly and southeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, having a radius of 20 feet and a central angle of 64 deg. 40 min. 02 sec., a distance of 22.573 feet to a point which is distant southwesterly at right angles 20 feet from the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue; thence southeasterly, tangent to said curve of preceding course, and parallel to said line of Sickles avenue, a distance of 10.885 feet to the northwesterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Felix and Emma Vanneste; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 20 feet to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 12 of Block 7 of West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," at page 47, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 16: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue and the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 50 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 20 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 50 feet to the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 20 feet to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 12 of Block 7, West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," at page 47, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 17: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Orizaba street, distant northerly thereon 52.398 feet from Palmetto avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Orizaba street 10.965 feet; thence deflecting 68 deg. 00 min. 52 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 53.921 feet; thence deflecting 111 deg. 59 min. 08 sec. to the left and running southerly and parallel with the westerly line of Orizaba street 12.624 feet; thence deflecting 69 deg. 40 min. 02 sec. to the left and running southeasterly 53.322 feet to the westerly line of Orizaba street and the point of beginning.

Parcel 18: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Palmetto avenue, distant thereon 50.000 feet westerly from the westerly line of Orizaba street and running thence northerly and parallel to Orizaba street 70.926 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; thence northerly and parallel with Orizaba street 19.074 feet; thence westerly parallel with the northerly line of Palmetto avenue 25 feet; thence southerly parallel with the westerly line of Orizaba street 9.810 feet; thence deflecting 69 deg. 40 min. 02 sec. to the left and running southeasterly 26.661 feet to the true point of beginning.

Parcel 19: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Palmetto avenue, distant thereon 100 feet westerly from the westerly line of Orizaba street and running thence northerly and parallel with the westerly line of Orizaba street 89.454 feet to the true point of begin-

ning; thence northerly and parallel to Orizaba street 10.546 feet; thence westerly and parallel to the northerly line of Palmetto avenue 28.459 feet; thence deflecting 159 deg. 40 min. 02 sec. to the left and running southeasterly 30.346 feet to the true point of beginning.

Parcel 20: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Bright street, distant thereon 109.266 feet northerly from the northerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence northerly, northeasterly and southeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to the easterly line of Bright street, having a radius of 12.000 feet, a central angle of 110 deg. 19 min. 58 sec., a distance of 23.108 feet; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding course 59.055 feet; thence deflecting 20 deg. 19 min. 58 sec. to the left and running easterly 37.712 feet to the southwesterly line of a parcel of land conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded in Book 1053, page 61, Official Records of said City and County; thence deflecting 158 deg. 00 min. 52 sec. to the left and running northwesterly along said southwesterly line 117.823 feet to the easterly line of Bright street; thence deflecting 111 deg. 59 min. 08 sec. to the left and running southerly along the easterly line of Bright street 34.844 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 21: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Bright street, distant thereon 325 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 86.665 feet; thence deflecting 21 deg. 59 min. 08 sec. to the right and running 14.380 feet to the easterly line of Bright street; thence northerly along said line of Bright street 19.616 feet to the point of beginning. Being a part of Lot 14, Block 52, of City Land Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book C and D, page 11, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 22: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Orizaba street, distant southerly thereon 350.000 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Orizaba street 25.000 feet; thence westerly parallel with the southerly line of Stanley street 100 feet; thence northerly parallel with the westerly line of Orizaba street 25 feet; thence easterly parallel with the southerly line of Stanley street 100 feet to the westerly line of Orizaba street and the point of beginning.

Parcel 23: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Bright street, distant northerly thereon 148.761 feet from the northerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence deflecting 69 deg. 40 min. 02 sec. to the left from the westerly line of Bright street and running northwesterly 64.151 feet; thence deflecting 1 deg. 39 min. 10 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 10.547 feet to the southerly line of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 158 deg. 00 min. 52 sec. to the right and running easterly along said southerly line 69.933 feet to the westerly line of Bright street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Bright street 26.239 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 24: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Head street, distant thereon 300.000 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence at right angles westerly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 7.594 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 79 deg. 48 min. 07 sec. to the left from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.279 feet, central angle of 5 deg. 24 min. 48 sec., a distance of 102.632 feet to the westerly line of Head street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Head street 30.506 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 25: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Victoria street, distant thereon 274.292 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence easterly on a curve to the right whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 85 deg. 12 min. 45 sec. to the left from said easterly line, having a radius of 1106.279 feet; central angle of 5 deg. 13 min. 28 sec., a distance of 100.875 feet; thence southerly and parallel with Victoria street on a line which deflects at the last-named point 79 deg. 59 min. 17 sec. to the right from the

tangent to preceding curve a distance of 20.314 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 100 deg. 11 min. 53 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.279 feet, a central angle 5 deg. 19 min. 20 sec., a distance of 100.905 feet to the easterly line of Victoria street; thence northerly along said line of Victoria street 20.071 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 26: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Ramsell street, distant thereon 275.000 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 17.542 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left whose tangent at the last-mentioned point deflects 86 deg. 25 min. 42 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.280 feet, a central angle of 4 deg. 02 min. 19 sec., a distance of 76.568 feet to a point distant southerly at right angles 300 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence westerly along a line drawn at right angles to the easterly line of Ramsell street and which deflects 7 deg. 36 min. 37 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course at last-mentioned point, a distance of 23.810 feet to the easterly line of Ramsell street; thence northerly along the easterly line of Ramsell street 25.000 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 27: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Ramsell street, distant thereon 303.450 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence northerly along the said easterly line of Ramsell street 3.450 feet; thence at right angles easterly 23.810 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left whose tangent at the last-mentioned point deflects 172 deg. 23 min. 23 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.280 feet, a central angle of 1 deg. 16 min. 08 sec., a distance of 24.057 feet to the easterly line of Ramsell street and the point of beginning.

Parcel 28: Beginning at a point distant southerly at right angles 325.000 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street and distant 44.187 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Ramsell street; thence westerly and at right angles to Ramsell street 55.813 feet; thence at right angles southerly 16.041 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 107 deg. 33 min. 23 sec. to the left from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.280 feet, a central angle of 3 deg. 03 min. 48 sec., a distance of 58.078 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 29: Beginning at a point distant southerly at right angles 325.000 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street and distant 100 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Ramsell street; thence westerly and at right angles to Ramsell street 25.000 feet; thence at right angles southerly 24.284 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right, whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 108 deg. 56 min. 42 sec. to the left from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.280 feet, central angle of 1 deg. 23 min. 19 sec., a distance of 26.327 feet; thence northerly and parallel to Ramsell street 16.041 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 30: Beginning at a point distant southerly at right angles 335 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street and distant westerly at right angles 125 feet from the westerly line of Ramsell street; thence westerly at right angles to said westerly line 25.000 feet; thence at right angles southerly 23.208 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 110 deg. 20 min. 42 sec. to the left from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.280 feet, central angle of 1 deg. 24 min. 00 sec., a distance of 26.543 feet; thence northerly and parallel to Ramsell street 14.284 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 31: Beginning at the northwesterly corner of Lot 2, Block 2, of Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence easterly along the southerly line of Palmetto avenue and the northerly boundary of said Lot 2, a distance of 25 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of Lot 3, as per said Map of Ocean View Park;

thence southerly along said westerly boundary line of said Lot 3, a distance of 9.754 feet; thence deflecting 63 deg. 55 min. 19 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 27.835 feet to the easterly boundary line of Lot 1, as per said Map of Ocean View Park; thence deflecting 116 deg. 04 min. 41 sec. to the right and running northerly along said easterly line of Lot 1, 21.989 feet to the southerly line of Palmetto avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 2, Block 2, of said Ocean View Park.

Parcel 32: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Palmetto avenue with the easterly line of St. Charles avenue and running thence westerly along said southerly line of Palmetto avenue 25 feet to the westerly line of Lot 2, Block 2, of Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles southerly and running along the westerly line of said Lot 2, 21.989 feet; thence deflecting 63 deg. 55 min. 19 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 7.410 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course, radius of 1372.685 feet; central angle 0 deg. 51 min. 20 sec., a distance of 20.497 feet to the easterly line of St. Charles avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of St. Charles avenue 34.394 feet to the southerly line of Palmetto avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1, Block 2, of said Ocean View Park.

Parcel 33: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of St. Charles avenue, distant thereon 81.572 feet southerly from the southerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence northerly, westerly and southwesterly on a curve to the left tangent to said easterly line of St. Charles avenue, having a radius of 12.000 feet, central angle 120 deg. 10 min. 00 sec., a distance of 25.167 feet to a point of compound curvature; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding curve, having a radius of 1372.6854 feet, central angle 4 deg. 11 min. 29 sec., a distance of 100.416 feet to a point on the northerly line of Lot 2, Block 3 of Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 55 deg. 38 min. 31 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running westerly along the northerly line of said Lot 2 a distance of 7.074 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 2; thence at right angles southerly 4.959 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 55 deg. 16 min. 36 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1372.6854 feet, central angle of 4 deg. 42 min. 10 sec., a distance of 112.669 feet to a point on the easterly boundary line of lands owned by L. V. Roberts; thence deflecting 129 deg. 25 min. 34 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northerly along said line of L. V. Roberts' property 25.769 feet to a point on the southeasterly property line of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line on a curve to the right whose tangent deflects 51 deg. 14 min. 50 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1392.6854 feet, a central angle of 9 deg. 54 min. 49 sec., a distance of 240.970 feet to a point on the westerly line of St. Charles avenue; thence southerly along said westerly line of St. Charles avenue 43.344 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 34: Beginning at a point on the northerly boundary line of Lot 2, Block 3, Ocean View Park, as recorded in Map Book "G," pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, said point being distant at right angles thereon 102.926 feet westerly from the westerly line of St. Charles avenue, said northerly boundary line being distant at right angles 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence westerly along said northerly line 7.074 feet; thence at right angles southerly 4.959 feet; thence northeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent at last described point to a line which deflects to the left 124 deg. 43 min. 24 sec. from the preceding course, said curve having a radius of 1372.685 feet, central angle of 0 deg. 21 min. 55 sec., a distance of 8.751 feet to said northerly

boundary line of Lot 2 and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 2 of said Block 3.

Parcel 35: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Palmetto avenue, distant thereon 93.586 feet westerly from the westerly line of St. Charles avenue; thence westerly along said line of Palmetto avenue 41.679 feet; thence easterly, southeasterly, southerly and southwesterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 12.000 feet, central angle 147 deg. 04 min. 22 sec., a distance of 30.803 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, radius 1472.6854 feet, central angle 1 deg. 36 min. 54 sec., a distance of 41.511 feet to the southerly line of Palmetto avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 3, as per map of Ocean View Park, as recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 36: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Chester avenue, distant thereon 250 feet southerly from the southerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence northerly along said easterly line of Chester avenue 11.095 feet to a curve boundary line of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right whose tangent deflects 47 deg. 02 min. 40 sec. to the right from the said easterly line of Chester avenue, having a radius of 1392.6854 feet, central angle 4 deg. 12 min. 10 sec., a distance of 102.157 feet to a boundary line of lands now or formerly owned by T. G. Cummings and R. G. Wahl; thence southerly on a line deflecting 128 deg. 45 min. 10 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course a distance of 25.769 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 50 deg. 34 min. 26 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1372.6854 feet, central angle 3 deg. 18 min. 43 sec., a distance of 79.348 feet, more or less, to a point on the northerly line of Lot 42, Block 3, Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence westerly along said northerly line of Lot 42, which deflects 42 deg. 44 min. 17 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course a distance of 17.449 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of Chester avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the lands shown on said Map of Ocean View Park as being owned by the Ocean Shore Railroad Co.

Parcel 37: Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 8, Block 4, of Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northerly along the westerly boundary of said Lot 25.000 feet to the northerly boundary of said lot; thence easterly along the said northerly line of said lot 23.749 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 128 deg. 25 min. 45 sec. to the right from the preceding course, radius 1372.6854 feet, central angle 0 deg. 09 min. 17 sec., a distance of 3.707 feet to a point of compound curvature; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding curve, radius 100.000 feet, central angle 14 deg. 47 min. 36 sec., a distance of 25.819 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 8; thence deflecting 66 deg. 31 min. 08 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running westerly along the southerly line of said lot 8.256 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 8.

Parcel 38: Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 9, Block 4, of Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northerly along the westerly line of said lot 25.000 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 9; thence easterly along said northerly line of Lot 9, a distance of 8.256 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 113 deg. 28 min. 52 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 100.000 feet, central angle 14 deg. 56 min. 40 sec., a distance of 26.083 feet to the southerly boundary of said Lot 9; thence deflecting 81 deg. 27 min. 48 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running westerly along said southerly line of said Lot 9 a distance of 1.100 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 9.

Parcel 39: Beginning at a point on the westerly boundary of Ocean View Park (as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco), distant thereon 469.782 feet southerly from the southerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence northerly on a curve to the right tangent to the said boundary line, radius 100.000 feet, central angle 8 deg. 30 min. 03 sec., a distance of 14.836 feet to the northerly boundary line of Lot 10 of said Ocean View Park; thence westerly on a line deflected 98 deg. 32 min. 12 sec. to the left from the tangent to the preceding course and running along said boundary line of Lot 10 a distance of 1.100 feet to the said westerly boundary line of Ocean View Park; thence southerly along the said westerly boundary line a distance of 14.782 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 10 in Block 4 of said Ocean View Park.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening of Alemany boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Offer of Sale of Lands, Alemany Boulevard.

The following matters were *adopted*:

Recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 29395 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

N. E. Wilmes and C. L. Wilmes, $1,294—Portion of Lot 7 in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Simon Newman Company, $60—

Parcel 1. Portion of Lot 5 in Block 7126A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lot 5 in Block 7126A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed descriptions and written offers on file.)

James A. Corwell, $600—All of Lot 5, Block 7132, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Acceptance, Sale of Land for Bay Shore Highway.

Also, Resolution No. 29396 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Nellie M. Bauman and Catherine E. Graham, $1,435—Portion of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 5693, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

John E. Martin, $4,800—Lots Nos. 25 and 26, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City

and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Authorizing Negotiations With Property Owners for Lands for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29397 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, namely:

Louis Toboni and Mary Toboni, $205.60—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lots 27 and 28 in Block 6797, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 87 Cotter street.

Herman D. Lackewandt, $127.60—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 34 in Block 6796, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 81 Francis street.

Webster E. Hargens and Desire Hargens, $278.60—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 23B in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 89 Theresa street.

Lorenzo Giordanengo, $129.80—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 21 in Block 6797, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 80 Francis street.

Frederick Emil Winter and Charlotte E. Winter, $716.40—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 23A in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 93 Theresa street.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Lands, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29398 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Bay Shore boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the grading and construction of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Bay Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, viz.:

Guiseppi Callejo and Carmela Callejo, $357.50—Lot 13, Block 5438, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 558 Charter Oak avenue.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of said specified amounts to the above mentioned persons upon receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Acceptance of Offers for Sale of Lands for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29399 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Catherine Reid and A. W. Reid, $2,500—All of Lots 16 and 17 of Block 2505A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Alex. E. Brown, $7,350—Lot 32 of Block 1714, Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantor is to have possession of the property without cost until November 1, 1928.

Carrie E. Wright, $1,350—All of Lots 15 and 16 of Block 2098, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. 29400 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 54, Fiscal Year 1928-1929, for the hereinafter designated purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the furnishing of new window screens and rehanging old screens at the Tuberculosis Wing, San Francisco Hospital, $244.

(2) For the installation of new units for the nurses' call system where the old ones have been destroyed by dampness, San Francisco Hospital, $125.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Transfer of Funds for Salary Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29401 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor and Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and are hereby authorized and requested to credit Appropriation 32-A, Bureau of Engineering, for employments and expense as hereinafter set forth in connection with traffic regulation and being for salaries for the month of July, 1928; the said amounts to be charged to Appropriation 2, Budget Item 57, to-wit:

To credit of Appropriation 32-A—

For payment of Structural Engineer, acting as Traffic Engineer, $300.

For payment of Engineering Draftsman, acting as Traffic Man, $225.

For payment of Stenographer, male, $175.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriation.

Also, Resolution No. 29402 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $330 be and the same is hereby set aside, out of the salary funds of the Police Department, and authorized to be paid for an additional detective sergeant in the Police Department.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Sale of Buildings on Lands Purchased for School Purposes.

Recommendation of Public Buildings Committee:

Resolution No. 29403 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell, at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the following frame buildings, located on properties recently acquired by the City for school purposes, to-wit:

Buildings Nos. 4, 8, 16, 20 and 36 Cook street. Also, building situate northwesterly line of Delano avenue, distant 80 feet northeast from Seneca avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Street Lights.

Recommendation of Lighting Committee:

Resolution No. 29404 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby authorized and directed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

North side Union street, first west of Steiner street.

South side Union street, first and second west of Steiner street.

North side Broadway, first and second west of Powell street.

South side Broadway, first and second west of Powell street.

Northwest corner Broadway and Powell street.

Northeast and southwest corners Broadway and Mason street.

North side Broadway, first, sec- and and third west of Mason street.

South side Broadway, first west of Mason street.

Northeast and southwest corners Vallejo and Mason streets.

South side Vallejo street, first and second east of Mason street.

North side Vallejo street, first east of Mason street.

Northwest and southeast corners Vallejo and Powell streets.

North side Vallejo street, first and second east of Powell street.

South side Vallejo street, first east of Powell street.

South side Vallejo street, first east of Stockton street.

Southwest corner Stockton and Greenwich streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Green and Mason streets.

East and west sides Stockton street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Stockton and Lombard streets.

Remove 400 M. R.

Green street between Mason and Powell streets.

Green and Powell streets.

Green street between Powell and Stockton streets.

Kearny street between Pacific street and Broadway.

Stockton street between Green and Union streets.

Stockton and Union streets.

Stockton street between Filbert and Greenwich streets.

Remove 600 M. R.

Southwest corner Green street and Columbus avenue.

Stockton street between Union and Filbert streets.

Stockton and Filbert streets.

Install 400 M. R.

North side California street, second trolley pole west of Twenty-eighth avenue.

South side Twentieth street between Church and Sanchez streets.

Burke avenue and Third street.

Change Lights.

Southwest corner Twenty-third and Church streets to southeast corner.

Southwest corner Twenty-fourth and Dolores streets to southeast corner.

Southeast corner Vallejo and Webster streets to northeast corner.

North side Twentieth street between Church and Sanchez streets, one pole east.

Install 400 C. P. O. B. R.

Northwest corner Union and Steiner streets.

South side Union street, first and third west of Steiner street.

North side Union street, second west of Steiner street.

Northwest corner Broadway and Powell street.

Broadway and Wayne place.

North side Broadway, east of Mason street.

Northwest and southeast corners Broadway and Mason street.

South side Broadway, east of Taylor street.

Northeast and southwest corners Vallejo and Mason streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Vallejo and Powell streets.

North side Vallejo street between Powell and Stockton streets.

North and south sides Vallejo street between Stockton street and Columbus avenue.

South side Green street between Columbus and Grant avenues.

North side Green street between Powell street and Columbus avenue.

Northwest and southeast corners Green and Powell streets.

North side Green street between Powell and Mason streets.

Southeast and northwest corners Green and Mason streets.

East and west sides Kearny street between Pacific street and Broadway.

Northwest corner Columbus avenue and Stockton street.

East side Columbus avenue between Green and Union streets.

Southwest and northeast corners Columbus avenue and Union street.

Southeast and northwest corners Columbus avenue and Filbert street.

West side Columbus avenue between Union and Filbert streets.

East side Columbus avenue between Filbert and Greenwich streets.

Southwest and northeast corners Columbus avenue and Greenwich streets.

West side Columbus avenue between Greenwich and Lombard streets.

Southeast and northwest corners Columbus avenue and Lombard street.

South side Vallejo street between Mason and Powell streets.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Broadway, front of 906.

Southwest corner Green street and Columbus avenue.

Ayes — Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Declaratory Ordinance, Hospital and Health Centers.

Bill and ordinance determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of permanent buildings and the acquisition of lands to be used as Hospital and Health Centers, was, on motion, *laid over two weeks* and *referred to Joint Committee on Public Health, Public Utilities and Public Buildings.*

Commending Assistance Rendered by the Advisory Committee in Conjunction with the Appraisal Bureau.

Presented by Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. 29405 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by Resolution No. 27419 (New Series), under date of June 13, 1927, ordered the revaluation and appraisal of all improvements in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Bureau of Appraisal for this revaluation was most ably and conscientiously assisted by an advisory committee of public-spirited men, composed of B. A. Banker, chairman; Franck R. Havenner, vice-chairman; Andrew J. Gallagher, Henry Boyen, Charles J. Powers, A. D. Disston, M. H. Gates, Milo F. Kent, Paul Scharrenberg and C. O. Claussen; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby commends the excellent work done by the said advisory committee to the Board of Equalization and expresses its sincere appreciation and thanks for the valuable help given by said advisory committee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sealed proposals for linoleum, lumber and street cans were received, opened and, upon motion, *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Communication From City Attorney.

A communication was received from the City Attorney transmitting opinion in the matter of leaves of absence for employees, superintendents and heads of departments in the City government other than elected officials.

On motion the communication was *referred to the Judiciary Committee* for clarification.

Extending Thanks to Pickwick Stage System.

A communication was received from his Honor Mayor Rolph transmitting a copy of letter forwarded by him and addressed to Mr. E. J. Thompson, manager of the Pickwick Stage System, for cooperation in donating the use of one of its stages to transport the Municipal Band to Salinas on the occasion of Mayor Walker's visit there.

The communication was read by the Clerk and ordered *placed on file.*

Amendment to Ordinance Regulating the Construction of Buildings for Public Garage Purposes.

The Fire Committee presented a draft of an ordinance amendatory of Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, entitled "Regulating the Construction of Buildings Used as Public Automobile Garages."

Also, a communication from the committee designated to investigate the construction and condition of the building known as the Dreamland Auditorium.

Also, a report of the Fire Committee in regard to the use of the basement of the Dreamland Auditorium as a garage.

The matter was discussed at length by Supervisors Gallagher,

Deasy, Powers, Marks, Roncovieri and Stanton and, upon motion, *laid over one week.*

Supervisor Gallagher presented a report from the Streets and Commercial Development Committee in the matter of bridges, as set forth in the plans on file in the office of the City Engineer, submitting thereon findings in the matter of traffic counts over a period of 12 hours on the present bridges.

The report was read by the Clerk and *laid over one week.*

Appointment of Committee by the Mayor.

His Honor the Mayor, by communication, announced that he had appointed Supervisors Franck R. Havenner and Warren Shannon, and also City Attorney John J. O'Toole, as a committee to visit the State of Washington to obtain information regarding the financing of public utilities.

Read by the Clerk and ordered *filed.*

Communication.

Supervisor Gallagher presented a communication from the Asphalt Pavers, Local No. 84, referring to a lay-off of a number of asphalt workers of the Board of Public Works and making appeal that necessary steps be taken to continue the men in their present employment.

On motion the subject matter was *referred to the Joint Committee on Finance and Streets and Commercial Development.*

Bridge Matters.

The Board called attention to its meeting, heretofore fixed, and to be held on Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at the hour of 2 p. m., in the matter of action on applications heretofore filed for permission to construct a bridge over and across the Bay from San Francisco to Alameda.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 1:20 o'clock p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY No. 32a

Tuesday, July 31, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, JULY 31, 1928, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in special session, Tuesday, July 31, 1928, at 2 o'clock p. m., for consideration of the matter of the proposed bridge across San Francisco Bay, from San Francisco to Alameda.

The roll was called and the following members of the Board were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Kent was elected to preside.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Gallagher, was read by the Clerk:

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby instructed to prepare necessary legal proceedings to grant to H. S. Henion a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a bridge across San Francisco Bay.

The subject matter was discussed by Supervisors Gallagher, Marks, Havenner, Shannon, McSheehy; also the matter of the plan submitted by Supervisor Gallagher for financing the construction of the bridge.

The question being called, the resolution was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon—2.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk thereupon read the application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of the Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda be denied.

Motion seconded by Supervisor Marks.

Discussed by Supervisors Stanton, Andriano, Toner, Marks, McGovern.

Supervisor Andriano moved that the privilege of the floor be granted to anybody desiring to speak on this particular motion.

Seconded by Supervisor Toner.

The chair announced that it requires fifteen votes to permit anybody outside the Board being heard.

The question was called upon the suspension of the rules and the granting of the privilege of the floor, and the question was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—8.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—9.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Supervisor McSheehy thereupon called for his motion.

Supervisor Stanton explained his vote at length.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the privilege of the floor be granted to Mr. Marvin, stating that the gentleman was not interested in any application, but has been a great student of bridge building and may throw light on the subject and may influence some votes on the subject matter that is before the Board.

Discussed by Supervisors Roncovieri, McSheehy, Gallagher, Hayden, Stanton, Havenner.

Supervisor Gallagher explained, in response to the chair, that the motion was to grant a specific person the privilege of the floor, and the previous motion defeated was general, and considered it a different motion.

The question being called on Supervisor Shannon's motion, the motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—6.

Absent—Supervisor—Colman—1.

The chair thereupon announced a call of the roll on the motion of Supervisor McSheehy, and referred to as No. 1, denying the application of the Bay Cities Bridge Corporation, the motion was *carried* and the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk stated that No. 2 is the application of Elbert W. Davis for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point on the bulkhead line of San Francisco harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and announced that the application had been heard.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk read the application of John Birmingham, Jr., beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth streets. The Clerk announced that the application had been heard.

Thereupon Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of John Birmingham, Jr., for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk read the application of A. H. Bergstrom, and stated that the applicant had been heard.

Thereupon Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of A. H. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion, said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton —1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk read the application of New York and San Francisco Development Company.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of the New York and San Francisco Development Company for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion, said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk read the application of Roy C. Hackley and stated that the applicant had been heard.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion the application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk read two applications of the Construction Company of North America and stated that the applicant had been heard.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the two applications of the Construction Company of North America, dated respectively, February 15, 1926, and April 5, 1926, for a franchise to construct and operate a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County, be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion the said applications of the Construction Company of North America were *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk read the application of Allan C. Rush and stated that the applicant had been heard.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk read the application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit: Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California. Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet, be denied. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk read the application of Edward A. Bertram.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit: Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by one and one-half per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by one and one-half per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Supervisor Schmidt asked to be advised by the City Attorney whether it is required to read the entire description in denying the franchise.

In response, City Attorney O'Toole stated that he did not think it necessary to give the entire description, just the application of so and so, pending for a bridge franchise from San Francisco to Alameda County be denied, unless there is some special reason for identifying it.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of R. S. Chew for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges between San Francisco and Alameda County be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion, the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company and stated that the applicant had been heard.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a

toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco to Alameda County be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion, the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk read the application of G. Lindenthal and stated that the applicant had been heard.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of G. Lindenthal for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion, the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The Clerk read the application of W. F. Holcomb.

Supervisor McSheehy asked if the application had been heard.

The Clerk stated that the application was heard September 16, 1926, and Colonel Rand spoke on it.

Colonel Rand denied that the application had been fully heard and demanded a right to a further hearing.

The matter was discussed by Supervisors Marks, Schmidt and Havenner.

In response to a question by Supervisor Havenner the City Attorney stated that the Board has an absolute right to deny, after a hearing on the matter, if the Board has heard all the evidence they desire to hear on the matter.

Supervisor McSheehy stated that he asked the Clerk if the application of W. F. Holcomb had been heard and that the Clerk answered in the affirmative, and therefore moved that the application of W. F. Holcomb for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County be denied.

Supervisor Andriano moved that the privilege of the floor be granted to Colonel Rand to speak on the question.

The roll being called, the motion of Supervisor Andriano was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—9.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

The roll being called on the motion of Supervisor McSheehy denying the application of W. F. Holcomb, the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Supervisor Shannon asked to be excused from the meeting. No objection was offered and Supervisor Shannon was excused.

The Clerk read the application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., and stated that the applicant had been heard.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City of San Francisco and Alameda County be denied. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion, the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the application of O. A. Ellis and stated that the applicant had been heard.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of O. A. Ellis for a franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco to Oakland be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll being called on the motion, the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of H. S. Henion to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between San Francisco and Alameda County be denied.

Supervisor Marks asked if the application had been heard.

Supervisor McSheehy responded "yes".

The roll being called on the motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Stanton—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the application of Frank E. Webb.

Supervisor McSheehy asked if the applicant was heard.

The Clerk, in response, stated that he did not think so, but only speaking from memory; that this was a later application and Mr. Webb appeared at the rail one day.

Supervisor Havenner stated that the application was filed March 14, 1927.

The Clerk announced that this one had been heard.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of Frank E. Webb to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between San Francisco and Alameda County be denied. Supervisor Powers seconded the motion.

The roll was called on the motion and the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the application of The Construction Company of North America.

Supervisor Marks stated that it was an amended application.

Supervisor McSheehy asked if the amended application had been heard.

The Clerk responded in the affirmative.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the amended application of The Construction Company of North America for a franchise on San Francisco Bay between San Francisco and Alameda County be denied.

Supervisor Marks moved that the supplemental application of The Construction Company of North America, modified route as filed May 9, 1927, be denied.

The motion offered by Supervisor Marks was accepted and seconded by Supervisor McSheehy.

The roll was called on the motion and said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the amended application of Edward A. Bertram and stated that the applicant had been heard.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the amended application of Edward A. Bertram to construct a bridge across San Francisco Bay between San Francisco and Alameda County, filed May 9, 1927, be denied. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll was called on the motion and the amended application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the application of J. E. Pamplin, and in response to the question of Supervisor Marks, stated that the application was called and that Colonel Rand spoke for the applicant.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of J. E. Pamplin to construct and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between San Francisco and Alameda County be denied. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll was called on the motion and the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the application of Roy C. Hackley.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between San Francisco and Alameda County be denied.

Supervisor Marks asked if there was more than one application by Roy C. Hackley.

In response the Clerk answered in the affirmative, filed May 16, 1927.

The roll was called on the motion and the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the application of Edward A. Bertram and stated that it is another amended one.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of Edward A. Ber-

tram, C. E., for permission to construct a toll bridge along an alternative line between San Francisco and Alameda County, filed May 29, 1927, be denied. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll was called on the motion and the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the application of the Construction Company of North America filed June 20, 1927, another amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of the Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over San Francisco Bay between San Francisco and Alameda County, filed June 20, 1927, be denied. Supervisor Powers seconded the motion.

The roll was called on the motion and the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the application of Roy C. Hackley.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between San Francisco and Alameda County, filed on June 27, 1927, be denied. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll was called on the motion and the application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the application of the City Planning and Building Company.

In response to question of Supervisor McSheehy the Clerk stated that the application was not heard. It was filed here on July 25, 1927.

The chair thereupon asked if there was anybody in the chambers in the interest of the City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon. There was no response to the question.

Supervisor Andriano moved that the application be denied. Seconded by Supervisor Havenner.

The roll was called on the motion and the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk also read another application from the same applicant, the City Planning and Building Company, and announced that these applications were filed at the same time and that they are at different locations.

The chair asked if there was anybody in the chambers in the inter-

est of the City Planning and Building Company. There was no response.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of the City Planning and Building Company for a franchise to erect a submarine tunnel across the Bay of San Francisco between San Francisco and Alameda County be denied. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll was called on the motion and the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read further an application from the City Planning and Building Company.

The chair again asked if there was anybody in the chambers in the interest of the City Planning and Building Company. There was no response to the question.

Supervisor McSheehy stated that this is an entirely different application, this is between San Francisco and the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, in which they are asking to erect a tunnel underneath the Bay and asked the City Attorney if it had any bearing on the bridge here and Alameda County.

The City Attorney stated that these are separate applications and the Board may take such action as it may see fit on any application. It appears to have no bearing on any bridge to Alameda County.

Supervisor Andriano moved that the application be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Havenner.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend that further hearing in the matter be deferred until Monday, August 13, 1928. Amendment seconded by Supervisor McSheehy.

Discussed by Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt, Gallagher and Stanton.

Supervisor Gallagher thereupon withdrew the amendment.

The roll was called on the motion of Supervisor Andriano and the application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

The Clerk read the application of John P. O'Connor, and in response to the question of Supervisor McSheehy, stated that he did not think that the applicant had been heard.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the application be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Marks.

Discussed by Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Marks.

The roll was called on the motion of Supervisor Havenner and the application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Suhr asked to be excused from the meeting. No objection being offered, the Supervisor was excused.

The Clerk read another application of John P. O'Connell.

Discussed by Supervisors McSheehy, Marks, Gallagher.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the application be denied. Supervisor Schmidt seconded the motion.

The roll was called on the motion and the application of John P. O'Connell was *denied* by the following vote:

Supervisor McSheehy qualified his vote.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—14.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Suhr—3.

The Clerk read the application of Transbay Development Corporation and, in response to Supervisor McSheehy, stated that the applicant had not been heard.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the application be denied. Motion was seconded by Supervisor Havenner.

The roll was called on the motion and said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—14.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Suhr—3.

Supervisor McSheehy qualified his vote.

The Clerk read the amended application of Allan C. Rush.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the application be denied. Seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll was called on the motion and the said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McGovern, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—6.

The Clerk read the application of the Inter-Cities Bridge Company and stated that it is another alternative design.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the application of the Inter-Cities Bridge Company for an alternate design bridge on San Francisco Bay between Oakland and Alameda County, filed December 21, 1927, be denied. Motion seconded by Supervisor Powers.

The roll was called on the motion and said application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd, Toner —13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McGovern, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr—5.

The Clerk read the application of John Goulding Little and stated, in response to Supervisor McSheehy, that a hearing had not been had on this particular one.

Supervisor Powers moved that the application be denied.

The roll was called on the motion and the application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—13.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McGovern, Shannon, Suhr—4.

Supervisor McSheehy qualified his vote.

The Clerk read the application of Lochiel M. King.

Discussed by Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Stanton, Hayden.

Supervisor Powers moved that the application of Lochiel M. King be denied. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Havenner.

The roll was called on the motion and the application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Toner—2.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Suhr—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 29, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I. John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 33

Monday, August 6, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 6, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 6, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Colman was elected to preside.

Supervisor Roncovieri, being on vacation out of the City, asked to be excused. Granted.

Joint Highway District Report.

Supervisor Gallagher presented a report from the Directors of the Joint Highway District, composed of the counties of San Mateo and San Francisco, in the matter of the extension of the Junipero Serra boulevard into San Mateo County and other proposed highways, at an estimated cost of $2,800,000, tentatively agreeing that the cost be assessed at 85 per cent upon San Francisco and 15 per cent upon San Mateo County.

Supervisor Gallagher was heard at length in explanation of the report, and upon motion the subject matter was *laid over one week.*

Introduction of Visitor.

Mr. John Winon, Supervisor of Toronto, was introduced to the members of the Board and expressed his appreciation of the City and the business conduct of the Board.

Supervisor Toner responded to the remarks and extended a welcome of the City to the gentleman.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing on recommendation of the Finance Committee, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 29406 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928) $524.06

(2) Foucar, Ray & Simon, Inc., galvanizing corrugated steel (claim dated July 23, 1928) 633.50
(3) R. M. Gardiner Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928) 648.12
(4) Hill & Morton, Inc., lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928).. 683.06
(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928).... 644.41
(6) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co. of Oregon, lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928) 956.73
(7) McDonald & Harrington, lumber (claim dated July 23, 1928) 1,250.80
(8) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 23, 1928) 522.00
(9) Soule Steel Co., steel bars (claim dated July 23, 1928)... 1,001.71
(10) M. Stulsaft Co., Inc., pipe, flanges, gaskets and grease caps (claim dated July 23, 1928) 1,394.53
(11) United Materials Co., cement (claim dated July 23, 1928) 1,422.40
(12) Western Pipe & Steel Company of California, pipe (claim dated July 23, 1928) 2,141.87
(13 The California Door Co., doors (claim dated July 21, 1928) 520.36
(14) Granfield Tire & Supply Co., tires and tubes (claim dated July 21, 1928) 504.70
(15) Hercules Powder Co., Inc., gelatin (claim dated July 21, 1928) 1,912.50
(16) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated July 21, 1928).... 714.37
(17) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 21, 1928) 650.50
(18) MacDonald & Harrington, lumber (claim dated July 21, 1928) 831.78
(19) Pacific Coast Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated July 21, 1928) 1,602.11
(20) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 21, 1928) 1,244.18
(21) United Materials Co., cement (claim dated July 21, 1928) 1,368.12

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(22) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated July 21, 1928.... $1,268.55
(23) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated July 21, 1928) 558.99

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(24) California Printing Co., printing tax bills (claim dated June 27, 1928) $1,073.25
(25) Acme Gravel Co., rock and sand (claim dated June 30, 1928) 965.48
(26) T. I. Butler Co., gravel and sand (claim dated June 30, 1928) 658.89
(27) San Francisco Gravel Co., rock and gravel (claim dated June 30, 1928) 518.49
(28 San Francisco Gravel Co., rock and gravel (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,245.10
(29) Building Supplies Co., janitorial supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 581.07
(30) H. F. Dugan, drugs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 725.21
(31) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,710.75
(32) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 5,087.83
(33) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 4,712.15
(34) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruit, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,215.13

(35) R. L. Scherer & Co., X-ray films, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,029.76
(36) H. E. Teller Co., coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 775.00
(37) California Meat Co., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 4,135.29
(38) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 940.33
(39) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 724.15
(40) Standard Fisheries, fish, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 635.80
(41) The Texas Company, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,044.32
(42) Spring Valley Water Co., water, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,719.16
(43) Morris & Company, butter, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,251.00

Special School Tax, 1928-1929.

(44) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services, South Side (Balboa) High School (claim dated July 23, 1928) $512.88
(45) Dan P. Maher Paint Company, paint supplies (claim dated July 24, 1928) 932.25
(46) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated July 24, 1928) ... 1,129.77

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(47) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper (claim dated July 24, 1928) $526.80
(48) Symon Brothers, team hire (claim dated July 23, 1928) 632.50
(49) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated July 23, 1928) 1,415.15

Special School Tax, 1927-1928.

(50) Park Commissioners, reimbursement for planting at South Side (Balboa) High School (claim dated June 30, 1928) $800.00

Park Fund.

(51) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated July 27, 1928) $635.99
(52) J. A. Bryant, fourth payment, Kezar Stadium addition (claim dated July 27, 1928) 39,771.75
(52a) Masten & Hurd, architectural services rendered to date, addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated July 27, 1928) 1,200.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(53) Joseph J. Phillips, expenses incurred on annual official inspection trip of Board of Supervisors over Hetch Hetchy project (claim dated July 25, 1928) $1,060.02

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(54) Joseph J. Phillips, expenses incurred on annual official inspection trip of Board of Supervisors over Hetch Hetchy project (claim dated July 25, 1928) $1,060.02

General Fund, 1928-1929

(55) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated July 30, 1928) $1,028.85
(56) Philip H. Sapiro, services of Municipal Band, July 1 and 4, 1928 (claim dated July 30, 1928) 689.40
(57) Philip H. Sapiro, services of Municipal Band, July 8 and 11, 1928 (claim dated July 30, 1928) 578.60
(58) Philip H. Sapiro, services of Municipal Band, July 11, 14, 15, 1928 (claim dated July 30, 1928) 762.65

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(59) Atlas Rock Co., concrete, sand and gravel (claim dated July 24, 1928) $774.41
(60) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated July 24, 1928) 712.37
(61) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated July 24, 1928) 1,505.47
(62) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 24, 1928) 6,289.14

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(63) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services, Sherman School (claim dated July 23, 1928) $897.23

Publicity and Advertising—(Appropriation 54).

(64) Redwood Empire Association, to expenses incurred in advertising and publicizing San Francisco (claim dated July 30, 1928) $3,666.02
(65) Californians, Inc., to expense for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated July 30, 1928) 25,000.00
(66) San Francisco Summer Symphony Association, Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, to expense of Summer Symphony concerts (claim dated July 30, 1928) 10,000.00
(67) San Francisco Summer Symphony Association, Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, to expense of Summer Symphony concerts (claim dated July 23, 1928) 5,000.00

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(68) J. P. Holland, Inc., sand for the improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated June 22, 1928) $838.95
(69) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimbuse the Board of Park Commissioners for expenditures to the Great Highway improvement, June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928) .. 623.50

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Construction of Balboa School.

Resolution No. 29407 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, for the cost of constructing the Balboa School, to be erected on City property bounded by San Jose avenue, Seneca avenue and Delano avenue, to-wit:

General construction (Hamilton Construction Co.)	$76,496
Finish carpentry (Hamilton Construction Co.)	7,428
Electrical work (Alta Electric Co.)	6,490
Painting work (Frank L. Dixon)	2,860
Mechanical equipment (Carl F. Ernst)	8,315
Plumbing work (Carl F. Ernst)	6,354
	$107,943
Possible extras, incidentals and inspection	5,000
Total	$112,943

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Additions to John Swett School.

Bill No. 8633, Ordinance No. 8141 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing preparation of plans and specifications for the additions to the John Swett School; and ordering the construction of the ad-

ditions to the John Swett School to be erected on the north side of McAllister between Franklin and Gough streets, and to enter into contract for the construction of said additions in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for additions to the John Swett School, and to enter into contract for the construction of additions to the John Swett School, which construction is hereby ordered, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education, said additions to be erected on City property and located on the north side of McAllister street between Franklin and Gough streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Addition to Daniel Webster School.

Resolution No. 29408 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, for the cost of constructing the addition to the Daniel Webster School, to be erected on City property located on the east side of Missouri street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, to-wit:

Amount of bid (Meyer Bros.)	$10,150.00
Extras, incidentals and inspection	1,000.00
	$11,150.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Equipment for Golden Gate School.

Bill No. 8634, Ordinance No. 8142 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School; and ordering the construction of the mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School in accordance with the plans and specifications and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School, and to enter into contract for the mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Contract for Improvement of Great Highway.

Resolution No. 29409 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds Issue, 1927, to defray the cost of contract for the improvement of the Great Highway between Lincoln way and Sloat boulevard, to-wit:

Amount of bid (Granfield, Farrar & Carlin)	$131,321.00
Inspection, extras and contingencies	13,679.00
	$145,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Sunset Parkway.

Resolution No. 29410 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds Issue, 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Catherine Reid and A. W. Reid, for all of Lots 16 and 17 of Block 2505-A as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29399, New Series (claim dated July 24, 1928)	$2,500.00
(2) To Alex. E. Brown, for all of Lot 32 of Block 1714 as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29399, New Series (claim dated July 24, 1928)	7,350.00
(3) To Carrie E. Wright, for all of Lots 15 and 16 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29399, New Series (claim dated July 24, 1928)	1,350.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29411 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To N. E. Wilmes and C. L. Wilmes, for a portion of Lot 7, in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, 25x40, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29395, New Series (claim dated July 25, 1928)	$1,294.00
(2) To James A. Corwell, for all of Lot 5, in Block 7132, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29395, New Series (claim dated July 25, 1928)	600.00
(3) To Frederick Emil Winter and Charlotte E. Winter, for release of claim for damages on Lot 23-A in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of	

San Francisco, caused by the construction of Alemany boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29397, New Series (claim dated July 25, 1928)..... 716.40

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29412 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Nellie M. Baumann and Catherine E. Graham, for all of Lots Nos. 1, 2 and 3 of Block 5693 (70x33) irregular, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29396, New Series (claim dated July 25, 1928)..... $1,435.00

(2) To John E. Martin, for all of Lots 25 and 26 of Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29396, New Series (claim dated July 25, 1928).... 4,800.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Summer Symphony Concerts.

Resolution No. 29413 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 54) for the purpose of conducting the San Francisco Summer Symphony concerts.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Traffic Signals.

Resolution No. 29414 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 57, for the Department of Electricity in the manufacture and installation of traffic signals.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Street Reconstruction.

Resolution No. 29415 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts, representing surpluses from appropriations heretofore made from the County Road Fund for the reconstruction of certain streets, be and the same are hereby transferred to the credit of the County Road Fund, to-wit:

Broderick street, Vallejo to Green..... $454.25

Bryant street, Ninth to Eleventh..... 5,615.93

Buchanan street, Bush to California	1,606.69
Cherry street, Sacramento to California	279.88
Drumm street, Pacific to Jackson	353.59
Folsom street, Fourteenth to Fifteenth	2,676.25
Fourth street, Market to Mission	6,865.80
Front street, Pacific to Broadway	1,755.79
Jackson street, Montgomery to Sansome	1,514.62
Jackson street, Presidio avenue to Walnut	292.05
Kearny street, Broadway to Vallejo	1,473.29
Lyon street, California to Sacramento	2,052.09
Mason street, Union to Greenwich	357.70
Pierce street, Greenwich to Filbert	154.49
Polk street, Golden Gate to McAllister	2,573.75
Scott street, Filbert to Greenwich	1,466.45
Seventh street, Mission to Brannan	4,050.54
Twenty-ninth street, Castro to Diamond	2,116.00
Twenty-third avenue, Anza to Cabrillo	936.27
Vandewater street, Mason to Powell	670.21
Washington street, Montgomery to Sansome	1,784.27
Total	$39,049.91

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Street Reconstruction.

Resolution No. 29416 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts representing deficits in appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of certain streets be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, to-wit:

Beale street, Mission street to The Embarcadero	$245.12
Grant avenue, Greenwich to Chestnut streets	2,553.53
Jefferson street, Leavenworth to Hyde streets	1,353.58
Kearny street, Union to Filbert streets	171.60
Larkin street, California to Jackson streets	211.04
Lyon street, Washington to Jackson streets	123.18
Maiden lane, Kearny to Stockton streets	808.69
Main street, Folsom street to The Embarcadero	2,891.13
Maple street, Sacramento to Clay streets	552.91
Mission street viaduct	740.80
Sacramento street, Hyde to Jones street	1,704.75
Steiner street, Haight to Waller streets	364.07
Steuart street, Market to Mission streets	1,740.02
Walnut street, Washington to Jackson streets	694.27
Total	$14,154.69

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Sewer in Franklin Street (War Memorial).

Bill No. 8635, Ordinance No. 8143 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Franklin street from Fulton to Grove streets; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of seven thousand dollars, the moneys for this work to be provided by the War Memorial Trustees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Franklin street from Fulton street to Grove street, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of seven thousand dollars, the moneys for this work to be provided by the War Memorial Trustees.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Rerouting High-Pressure Mains (War Memorial).

Bill No. 8636, Ordinance No. 8144 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the rerouting of the 18-inch high pressure line which now exists in Fulton street and placing the same to the west and south of the Opera House along Franklin and Grove streets; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of seventeen thousand two hundred ($17,200) dollars. The moneys for this work to be provided by War Memorial Trustees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the rerouting of the 18-inch high pressure line which now exists in Fulton street and placing the same to the west and south of the Opera House along Franklin and Grove streets, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of seventeen thousand two hundred ($17,200) dollars, the moneys for this work to be provided by War Memorial Trustees.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Payment of Judgment (School Lands).

Resolution No. 29417 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and to be payable as hereinafter mentioned, in full satisfaction of that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. Charles Martin, California Mutual Building and Loan Association, and Abby F. Bickel," defendants, and numbered 195071 of the records thereof, in eminent domain for the acquisition of land for school purposes; that said sum of $10,000.00, under the terms and provisions of said judgment, to be payable to defendant, Charles Martin, subject to a first lien of California Mutual Building and Loan Association, a corporation, in the sum of $5,500.00, with interest theron at 8 4/10 per cent per annum, from July 25, 1928, and a second lien of said defendant, Abby F. Bickel, in the sum of $2,500.00, with interest thereon at 8 per cent per annum from July 25, 1926; and,

Be It Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby

authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Condemnation for Rights of Way (Hetch Hetchy).

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee:

Resolution No. 29418 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, through eminent domain proceedings, of the following described lands, situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco; said lands being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1: A strip of land, 230 feet in width, extending from the easterly boundary of the 59.78-acre tract of land hereinafter mentioned to the westerly boundary thereof, said strip being described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the southwesterly boundary of that certain 59.78-acre tract of land conveyed to William J. Roney and Florence C. Roney, his wife, by deed dated November 26, 1918, and recorded November 27, 1918, in Book 2700 of Deeds, page 321, Alameda County records; said point is distant along said boundary northwesterly 1096.9 feet from a live oak tree at the most southerly corner of said tract; thence running northwesterly from the point of beginning along said southwesterly boundary 245.4 feet; thence north 79 deg. 59 min. east 841 feet, more or less, to a point in the easterly boundary of said 59.78-acre tract; thence south 10 deg. 48 min. east along said easterly line 230.05 feet; thence south 79 deg. 59 min. west 759 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning. Containing 4.22 acres, more or less.

Parcel 2: Beginning at the northwesterly corner of Parcel 1, hereinabove described, thence running along the northerly boundary of said Parcel 1 north 79 deg. 59 min. east 287.8 feet; thence north 23 deg. 25 min. west 585.95 feet; thence south 79 deg. 59 min. west 364 feet, more or less, to a point in the southwesterly boundary of said 59.78-acre tract; thence southeasterly 608 feet, more or less, along said boundary to the point of beginning. Containing 4.27 acres, more or less.

Parcel 3: Beginning at a point in the southeasterly boundary of the land of Eugenia da Gloria Faria, distant along said boundary northeasterly 650 feet from its intersection with the northeasterly line of the State Highway running from Niles to Mission San Jose; said point of intersection bears north 53 deg. 56 min. west 345.35 feet along the northeasterly boundary of said State Highway from a concrete monument set in the ground opposite California Highway Commission Engineer Station 611+00, Division IV, Alameda County, Route 5, Section C; thence running along said boundary of land of said Eugenia da Gloria Faria northeasterly 388.4 feet to the most easterly corner of said land; thence continuing along the northeasterly boundary of land of Eugenia da Gloria Faria as follows: North 27 deg. 26 min. west 190.08 feet; north 52 deg. 11 min. west 81.18 feet to the most northerly corner of land of said Eugenia da Gloria Faria; thence running along the northwesterly boundary of the land of said Eugenia da Gloria Faria south 41 deg. 59 min. west 446 feet; thence leaving said northwesterly boundary and running southeasterly in a straight line 260 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning. Containing 2.6 acres, more or less.

In the above description it is assumed that existing fences mark the boundaries of the land of Eugenia da Gloria Faria.

Parcel 4: Beginning at a point in the boundary between land of Antonio L. da Silva and land of Maria L. Borge, distant along said boundary north 42 deg. 01 min. east 215.9 feet from its intersection with the northeasterly line of the State Highway running from Niles to Mission San Jose; said point of intersection bears north 53 deg. 56 min. west 855.2 feet along the northeasterly line of said State Highway

from a concrete monument set in the ground opposite California Highway Commission Engineer Station 611+00, Division IV, Alameda County, Route 5, Section C; thence from the point of beginning north 68 deg. 49 min. east 549.4 feet; thence south 48 deg. 01 min. east 4.7 feet to a point in the boundary between land now or formerly of Eugenia da Gloria Faria and land of Maria L. Borge; thence north 41 deg. 59 min. east along said boundary 446 feet to the corner common to land now or formerly of Eugenia da Gloria Faria, land now or formerly of Amelia Pinheiro et al. and land of said Maria L. Borge; thence along the boundary between land now or formerly of Amelia Pinheiro et al. and land of Maria L. Borge as follows: North 1 deg. 34 min. east 174.9 feet; south 81 deg. 49 min. west 217.8 feet to the corner common to land now or formerly of Antonio L. da Silva, land now or formerly of Amelia Pinheiro et al. and land of Maria L. Borge; thence southwesterly along the boundary between land now or formerly of Antonio L. da Silva and land of Maria L. Borge 900.1 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 4.3 acres, more or less.

In the above description it is assumed that existing fences mark the boundaries of land of Maria L. Borge.

The taking of said property is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, now being constructed by said City and County of San Francisco, and designed and intended to convey water from Lake Eleanor and the Tuolumne river and its tributaries to the said City and County of San Francisco for municipal and domestic purposes; that the taking of said property is further necessary for the future proper development and control of said aqueduct and for the structures and roadways appurtenant thereto and used in connection therewith, as a site for permanent houses and homes to be used and occupied by the persons constructing, operating and maintaining said aqueduct, and for permanent rights of way, water pipe lines, electric transmission lines, telephone lines, roads and pathways, all to be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct and the appurtenances thereto. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such uses.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings against the owners of said property and of all interests therein or claims against or liens upon said property, for the condemnation of said rights, interests, claims and liens to the public use of the City and County of San Francisco for the said purposes above specified.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Airport Lease.

On recommendation of Airport Committee:

Bill No. 8637, Ordinance No. 8145 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute a lease, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with the Union Air Lines, Inc., a corporation, for the rental of space in Hangar No. 1 of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, at a monthly rental of three hundred ($300) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to enter into the following lease with the Union Air Lines, Inc., a corporation, for the rental of space in Hangar No. 1 of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, at a monthly rate of three hundred ($300) dollars; said lease to read as follows:

This indenture, made this 14th day of July, 1928, by and between the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized under the laws of the State of California, hereinafter called the "Lessor," and Union Air Lines, Inc., a corporation, hereinafter called the "Lessee";

Witnesseth: That the lessor hereby leases, lets and demises unto the lessee, for the period and upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, the following real property, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, to-wit:

First: Hangar No. 1 of the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, together with the right at all times to use said Airport and the facilities of the same; subject, however, to the rules and regulations promulgated and approved, from time to time, by the Airport Committee of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Second: The term of this lease shall be from the 14th day of July, 1928, until the 14th day of March, 1930; subject to extension and termination as hereinafter provided. The lease may be renewed and extended upon the same terms for one year and three months after the expiration thereof, provided the lessor shall remain in possession of said real property for said period of time, and in the event the lessor shall thereafter continue in possession of said property, this lease may be renewed upon terms and conditions mutually agreeable to the parties hereto.

Third: As rental for said demised premises, the lessee shall pay to the lessor the sum of three hundred ($300) dollars per month, payable in advance on the 15th day of each and every month of the term hereof. The lessor admits receipt of six hundred ($600) dollars on account of such rental, covering the rental for the first and the last months of said term. Upon any default in the payment of said rental the lessor, at its option, may terminate this lease and reenter said premises.

Fourth: Upon any destruction of said hangar building, by fire or other cause, the lessee at its option may terminate this lease.

The lessee shall take reasonable precautions against fire in and about said premises.

Fifth: The lessee shall hold the lessor free and harmless for any and all claims for damages occasioned by any and all acts of the lessee, and lessee shall, at its own expense, insure all its employees under the Workmen's Compensation Laws of this State.

In witness whereof, the parties heretofore have duly executed these presents.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By.....
Mayor.

UNION AIR LINES, INC.,
By.....
President.
By.....
Secretary.

.....
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Acquisition of Lands for Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee:

Resolution No. 29419 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corpora-

tion, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Sagamore street, distant thereon 555 feet easterly from the easterly line of Orizaba street, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Sagamore street a distance of 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet to the southerly line of Sagamore street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 5 of Block A, Railroad Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," at page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Sagamore street, distant thereon 655 feet easterly from the easterly line of Orizaba street, running thence easterly along said southerly line 25 feet to the easterly line of Lot 6, Block A, Railroad Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles southerly along said easterly line 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet to the southerly line of Sagamore street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 6.

Parcel 3: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Sagamore street and the easterly line of Lot 4 of Block B, Railroad Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet to the southerly line of Sagamore street; thence at right angles easterly along said southerly line 50 feet to the easterly line of Lot 4 and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 4.

Parcel 4: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Sagamore street and the westerly line of Lot 6, Block B, Railroad Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 135 feet to the easterly line of said Lot 6; thence at right angles southerly along said easterly line 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 135 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 6; thence at right angles northerly along said westerly line 40 feet to the southerly line of Sagamore street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 6.

Parcel 5: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Sagamore street and the westerly line of Plymouth avenue and running thence westerly along said southerly line 175 feet to the westerly line of Lot 7, Block B, Railroad Homestead Association, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles southerly along said westerly line 40 feet; thence at right angles easterly 143.502 feet; thence easterly and southeasterly along a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 50 feet and a central angle of 39 deg. 02 min. 50 sec., a distance of 34.075 feet to the westerly line of Plymouth avenue; thence deflecting to the left 129 deg. 02 min. 50 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course, and running northerly along said westerly line 51.169 feet to the southerly line of Sagamore street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 7.

Parcel 6: Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Plymouth avenue and the northwesterly line of Palmetto avenue and running thence northerly along said westerly line 5.939 feet to the southwesterly prolongation of the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting to the left 137 deg. 03 min. 06 sec. and running southwesterly along said southwesterly prolongation 14.117 feet to the northwesterly line of Palmetto avenue; thence deflecting to the left 157 deg. 30 min. 09 sec. and running northeasterly along said north-

westerly line 10.575 feet to the westerly line of Plymouth avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 7, Block B, Railroad Homestead Association, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," at page 111, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 7: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue and running thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 120.421 feet; thence deflecting to the left 85 deg. 12 min. 20 sec. and running southeasterly 1.289 feet to a point in the southwesterly prolongation of the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue (as said line exists northeast of Sickles avenue); thence deflecting to the left 92 deg. 48 min. 36 sec. and running northeasterly along said prolongation 80.096 feet to a point in a line which is parallel to and distant 40 feet at right angles southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue; thence deflecting to the right 92 deg. 48 min. 36 sec. and running southeasterly along the last described line 68 feet more or less to the northerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Edward Spichtig; thence easterly along said northerly line 41 feet more or less to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 82 feet more or less to the point of beginning.

Parcel 8: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 267 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 115 feet to the southeasterly line of the property now or formerly owned by the Southern Pacific Company; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 40 feet more or less to a point on a line which is parallel to and distant at right angles southwesterly 40 feet from the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue; thence southeasterly along the last described line 125 feet more or less to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by James Lane; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 40 feet to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1 of Block 7, West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, page 47, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 9: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 237 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 30 feet to the southeasterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Ed. Spichtig; thence at right angles southwesterly along said southeasterly line 40 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 30 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by C. Celetino; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 40 feet to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1 of Block 7, West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, at page 47, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 10: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 177 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 60 feet to the southeasterly line of the property now or formerly owned by James Lane; thence at right angles southwesterly along said southeasterly line 40 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly and parallel to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue 21.387 feet; thence southeasterly, southerly and southwesterly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, having a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 116 deg. 51 min. 11 sec, a distance of 24.474 feet to the northwesterly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line, being a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, having a radius of 1860.078 feet and a central angle of 0 deg. 46 min. 56 sec, a distance of 25.395 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 12 of Block 7, as shown on

map entitled "West End Map No. 2," and recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," at page 47, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the left 117 deg. 38 min. 07 sec. from the tangent to preceding curve and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line 2.25 feet to the northwesterly line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the left 66 deg. 22 min. 14 sec. and running northeasterly along said northwesterly line 87.32 feet to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 12.

Parcel 11: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 108.875 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of De Wolf street, and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 1.717 feet; thence southeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, having a radius of 160 feet and a central angle of 0 deg. 36 min. 54 sec, a distance of 1.717 feet to a point in a line drawn at right angles northeasterly to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue from the point of beginning; thence southwesterly along said line so drawn a distance of 0.025 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of West End Map No. 2.

Parcel 12: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue and the southeasterly line of Lot 17 of Block 6 of Sears' Subdivision of West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," at page 153, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 33.875 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 0.025 feet; thence southeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the right 89 deg. 23 min. 06 sec. from the preceding course, having a radius of 160 feet and a central angle of 12 deg. 14 min. 17 sec., a distance of 34.175 feet to said southeasterly line of said Lot 17; thence deflecting to the right 102 deg. 51 min. 11 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course, and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 4.009 feet to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of West End Map No. 2.

Parcel 13: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 47 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of De Wolf street, and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 28 feet to the northwesterly line of Lot 18, Block 6, Sears' Subdivision of West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 153, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 4.009 feet; thence southeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the right 77 deg. 08 min. 49 sec. from the preceding course, having a radius of 160 feet and a central angle of 10 deg. 33 min. 57 sec., a distance of 29.505 feet; thence deflecting to the left 113 deg. 25 min. 08 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running southwesterly 13.180 feet to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 18 and 19 of said Block 6.

Parcel 14: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of De Wolf street and the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 47 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 13.180 feet; thence southeasterly along a curve to the left tangent to a line deflected to the right 66 deg. 34 min. 52 sec. from the preceding course, with a radius of 160 feet and a central angle of 10 deg. 0 min. 20 sec., a distance of 27.941 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly on a curve to the left, tangent to said curve of preceding course, having a radius of 50 feet and a central angle of 56 deg. 34 min. 32 sec., a distance of 49.372 feet to its point of tangency on the northwesterly line of De Wolf street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 68.193 feet to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 19 and 20 of Block 6, Sears' Subdivision of West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," at page 153, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 15: Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue, distant thereon 50 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 25 feet to the southeasterly line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the left 72 deg. 38 min. 46 sec. and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 70.810 feet to the southeasterly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line, being a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the left 171 deg. 38 min. 31 sec. from the preceding course, having a radius of 1960.078 feet and a central angle of 0 deg. 22 min. 45 sec, a distance of 12.544 feet; thence continuing northeasterly along said southeasterly line, tangent to said curve of preceding course 27.038 feet; thence northeasterly, easterly and southeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, having a radius of 20 feet and a central angle of 64 deg. 40 min. 02 sec., a distance of 22.573 feet to a point which is distant southwesterly at right angles 20 feet from the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue; thence southeasterly, tangent to said curve of preceding course, and parallel to said line of Sickles avenue, a distance of 10.885 feet to the northwesterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Felix and Emma Vanneste; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 20 feet to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 12 of Block 7 of West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," at page 47, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 16: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue and the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 50 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 20 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 50 feet to the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 20 feet to the southwesterly line of Sickles avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 12 of Block 7, West End Map No. 2, as shown on map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," at page 47, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 17: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Orizaba street, distant northerly thereon 52.398 feet from Palmetto avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Orizaba street 10.965 feet; thence deflecting 68 deg. 00 min. 52 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 53.921 feet; thence deflecting 111 deg. 59 min. 08 sec. to the left and running southerly and parallel with the westerly line of Orizaba street 12.624 feet; thence deflecting 69 deg. 40 min. 02 sec. to the left and running southeasterly 53.322 feet to the westerly line of Orizaba street and the point of beginning.

Parcel 18: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Palmetto avenue, distant thereon 50.000 feet westerly from the westerly line of Orizaba street and running thence northerly and parallel to Orizaba street 70.926 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; thence northerly and parallel with Orizaba street 19.074 feet; thence westerly parallel with the northerly line of Palmetto avenue 25 feet; thence southerly parallel with the westerly line of Orizaba street 9.810 feet; thence deflecting 69 deg. 40 min. 02 sec. to the left and running southeasterly 26.661 feet to the true point of beginning.

Parcel 19: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Palmetto avenue, distant thereon 100 feet westerly from the westerly line of Orizaba street and running thence northerly and parallel with the westerly line of Orizaba street 89.454 feet to the true point of beginning; thence northerly and parallel to Orizaba street 10.546 feet; thence westerly and parallel to the northerly line of Palmetto avenue 28.459 feet; thence deflecting 159 deg. 40 min. 02 sec. to the left and running southeasterly 30.346 feet to the true point of beginning.

Parcel 20: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Bright street, distant thereon 109.266 feet northerly from the northerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence northerly, northeasterly and southeasterly on

a curve to the right tangent to the easterly line of Bright street, having a radius of 12.000 feet, a central angle of 110 deg. 19 min. 58 sec., a distance of 23.108 feet; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding course 59.055 feet; thence deflecting 20 deg. 19 min. 58 sec. to the left and running easterly 37.712 feet to the southwesterly line of a parcel of land conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded in Book 1053, page 61, Official Records of said City and County; thence deflecting 158 deg. 00 min. 52 sec. to the left and running northwesterly along said southwesterly line 117.823 feet to the easterly line of Bright street; thence deflecting 111 deg. 59 min. 08 sec. to the left and running southerly along the easterly line of Bright street 34.844 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 21: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Bright street, distant thereon 325 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 25 feet; thence at right angles westerly 86.665 feet; thence deflecting 21 deg. 59 min. 08 sec. to the right and running 14.380 feet to the easterly line of Bright street; thence northerly along said line of Bright street 19.616 feet to the point of beginning. Being a part of Lot 14, Block 52, of City Land Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book C and D, page 11, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 22: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Orizaba street, distant southerly thereon 350.000 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Orizaba street 25.000 feet; thence westerly parallel with the southerly line of Stanley street 100 feet; thence northerly parallel with the westerly line of Orizaba street 25 feet; thence easterly parallel with the southerly line of Stanley street 100 feet to the westerly line of Orizaba street and the point of beginning.

Parcel 23: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Bright street, distant northerly thereon 148.761 feet from the northerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence deflecting 69 deg. 40 min. 02 sec. to the left from the westerly line of Bright street and running northwesterly 64.151 feet; thence deflecting 1 deg. 39 min. 10 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 10.547 feet to the southerly line of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 158 deg. 00 min. 52 sec. to the right and running easterly along said southerly line 69.933 feet to the westerly line of Bright street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Bright street 26.239 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 24: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Head street, distant thereon 300.000 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence at right angles westerly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 7.594 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 79 deg. 48 min. 07 sec. to the left from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.279 feet, central angle of 5 deg. 24 min. 48 sec., a distance of 102.632 feet to the westerly line of Head street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Head street 30.506 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 25: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Victoria street, distant thereon 274.292 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence easterly on a curve to the right whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 85 deg. 12 min. 45 sec. to the left from said easterly line, having a radius of 1106.279 feet; central angle of 5 deg. 13 min. 28 sec., a distance of 100.875 feet; thence southerly and parallel with Victoria street on a line which deflects at the last-named point 79 deg. 59 min. 17 sec. to the right from the tangent to preceding curve a distance of 20.314 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 100 deg. 11 min. 53 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.279 feet, a central angle 5 deg. 19 min. 20 sec., a distance of 100.905 feet to the easterly line of Victoria street; thence northerly along said line of Victoria street 20.071 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 26: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Ramsell street, distant thereon 275.000 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence at right angles easterly 100 feet; thence at right angles southerly 17.542 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left whose tangent at the last-mentioned point deflects 86 deg. 25 min. 42 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.280 feet, a central angle of 4 deg. 02 min. 19 sec., a distance of 76.568 feet to a point distant southerly at right angles 300 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence westerly along a line drawn at right angles to the easterly line of Ramsell street and which deflects 7 deg. 36 min. 37 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course at last-mentioned point, a distance of 23.810 feet to the easterly line of Ramsell street; thence northerly along the easterly line of Ramsell street 25.000 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 27: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Ramsell street, distant thereon 303.450 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street; thence northerly along the said easterly line of Ramsell street 3.450 feet; thence at right angles easterly 23.810 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left whose tangent at the last-mentioned point deflects 172 deg. 23 min. 23 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.280 feet, a central angle of 1 deg. 16 min. 08 sec., a distance of 24.057 feet to the easterly line of Ramsell street and the point of beginning.

Parcel 28: Beginning at a point distant southerly at right angles 325.000 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street and distant 44.187 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Ramsell street; thence westerly and at right angles to Ramsell street 55.813 feet; thence at right angles southerly 16.041 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 107 deg. 33 min. 23 sec. to the left from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.280 feet, a central angle of 3 deg. 03 min. 48 sec., a distance of 58.078 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 29: Beginning at a point distant southerly at right angles 325.000 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street and distant 100 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Ramsell street; thence westerly and at right angles to Ramsell street 25.000 feet; thence at right angles southerly 24.284 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right, whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 108 deg. 56 min. 42 sec. to the left from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.280 feet, central angle of 1 deg. 23 min. 19 sec., a distance of 26.327 feet; thence northerly and parallel to Ramsell street 16.041 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 30: Beginning at a point distant southerly at right angles 335 feet from the southerly line of Stanley street and distant westerly at right angles 125 feet from the westerly line of Ramsell street; thence westerly at right angles to said westerly line 25.000 feet; thence at right angles southerly 23.208 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right whose tangent at the last-named point deflects 110 deg. 20 min. 42 sec. to the left from the preceding course, having a radius of 1086.280 feet, central angle of 1 deg. 24 min. 00 sec., a distance of 26.543 feet; thence northerly and parallel to Ramsell street 14.284 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 31: Beginning at the northwesterly corner of Lot 2, Block 2, of Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence easterly along the southerly line of Palmetto avenue and the northerly boundary of said Lot 2, a distance of 25 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of Lot 3, as per said Map of Ocean View Park; thence southerly along said westerly boundary line of said Lot 3, a distance of 9.754 feet; thence deflecting 63 deg. 55 min. 19 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 27.835 feet to the easterly boundary line of Lot 1, as per said Map of Ocean View Park; thence deflecting 116 deg. 04 min. 41 sec. to the right and running northerly along said easterly line of Lot 1, 21.989 feet to the southerly line of Palmetto avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 2, Block 2, of said Ocean View Park.

Parcel 32: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Palmetto avenue with the easterly line of St. Charles avenue and running thence westerly along said southerly line of Palmetto avenue 25 feet to the westerly line of Lot 2, Block 2, of Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles southerly and running along the westerly line of said Lot 2, 21.989 feet; thence deflecting 63 deg. 55 min. 19 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 7.410 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course, radius of 1372.685 feet; central angle 0 deg. 51 min. 20 sec., a distance of 20.497 feet to the easterly line of St. Charles avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of St. Charles avenue 34.394 feet to the southerly line of Palmetto avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1, Block 2, of said Ocean View Park.

Parcel 33: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of St. Charles avenue, distant thereon 81.572 feet southerly from the southerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence northerly, westerly and southwesterly on a curve to the left tangent to said easterly line of St. Charles avenue, having a radius of 12.000 feet, central angle 120 deg. 10 min. 00 sec., a distance of 25.167 feet to a point of compound curvature; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding curve, having a radius of 1372.6854 feet, central angle 4 deg. 11 min. 29 sec., a distance of 100.416 feet to a point on the northerly line of Lot 2, Block 3 of Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 55 deg. 38 min. 31 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running westerly along the northerly line of said Lot 2 a distance of 7.074 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 2; thence at right angles southerly 4.959 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 55 deg. 16 min. 36 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1372.6854 feet, central angle of 4 deg. 42 min. 10 sec., a distance of 112.669 feet to a point on the easterly boundary line of lands owned by L. V. Roberts; thence deflecting 129 deg. 25 min. 34 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northerly along said line of L. V. Roberts' property 25.769 feet to a point on the southeasterly property line of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line on a curve to the right whose tangent deflects 51 deg. 14 min. 50 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1392.6854 feet, a central angle of 9 deg. 54 min. 49 sec., a distance of 240.970 feet to a point on the westerly line of St. Charles avenue; thence southerly along said westerly line of St. Charles avenue 43.344 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 34: Beginning at a point on the northerly boundary line of Lot 2, Block 3, Ocean View Park, as recorded in Map Book "G," pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, said point being distant at right angles thereon 102.926 feet westerly from the westerly line of St. Charles avenue, said northerly boundary line being distant at right angles 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence westerly along said northerly line 7.074 feet; thence at right angles southerly 4.959 feet; thence northeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent at last described point to a line which deflects to the left 124 deg. 43 min. 24 sec. from the preceding course, said curve having a radius of 1372.685 feet, central angle of 0 deg. 21 min. 55 sec., a distance of 8.751 feet to said northerly boundary line of Lot 2 and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 2 of said Block 3.

Parcel 35: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Palmetto avenue, distant thereon 93.586 feet westerly from the westerly line of St. Charles avenue; thence westerly along said line of Palmetto avenue 41.679 feet; thence easterly, southeasterly, southerly and southwesterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 12.000 feet, central angle 147 deg. 04 min. 22 sec., a distance of 30.803 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right tangent to the preceding

course, radius 1472.6854 feet, central angle 1 deg. 36 min. 54 sec., a distance of 41.511 feet to the southerly line of Palmetto avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 3, as per map of Ocean View Park, as recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 36: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Chester avenue, distant thereon 250 feet southerly from the southerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence northerly along said easterly line of Chester avenue 11.095 feet to a curve boundary line of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right whose tangent deflects 47 deg. 02 min. 40 sec. to the right from the said easterly line of Chester avenue, having a radius of 1392.6854 feet, central angle 4 deg. 12 min. 10 sec., a distance of 102.157 feet to a boundary line of lands now or formerly owned by T. G. Cummings and R. G. Wahl; thence southerly on a line deflecting 128 deg. 45 min. 10 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course a distance of 25.769 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 50 deg. 34 min. 26 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 1372.6854 feet, central angle 3 deg. 18 min. 43 sec., a distance of 79.348 feet, more or less, to a point on the northerly line of Lot 42, Block 3, Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence westerly along said northerly line of Lot 42, which deflects 42 deg. 44 min. 17 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course a distance of 17.449 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of Chester avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the lands shown on said Map of Ocean View Park as being owned by the Ocean Shore Railroad Co.

Parcel 37: Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 8, Block 4, of Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northerly along the westerly boundary of said Lot 25.000 feet to the northerly boundary of said lot; thence easterly along the said northerly line of said lot 23.749 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 128 deg. 25 min. 45 sec. to the right from the preceding course, radius 1372.6854 feet, central angle 0 deg. 09 min. 17 sec., a distance of 3.707 feet to a point of compound curvature; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding curve, radius 100.000 feet, central angle 14 deg. 47 min. 36 sec., a distance of 25.819 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 8; thence deflecting 66 deg. 31 min. 08 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running westerly along the southerly line of said lot 8.256 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 8.

Parcel 38: Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 9, Block 4, of Ocean View Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northerly along the westerly line of said lot 25.000 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 9; thence easterly along said northerly line of Lot 9, a distance of 8.256 feet; thence southerly on a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 113 deg. 28 min. 52 sec. to the right from the preceding course, having a radius of 100.000 feet, central angle 14 deg. 56 min. 40 sec., a distance of 26.083 feet to the southerly boundary of said Lot 9; thence deflecting 81 deg. 27 min. 48 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running westerly along said southerly line of said Lot 9 a distance of 1.100 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 9.

Parcel 39: Beginning at a point on the westerly boundary of Ocean View Park (as per map thereof recorded in Map Book G, pages 36 and 37, Records of the City and County of San Francisco), distant thereon 469.782 feet southerly from the southerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence northerly on a curve to the right tangent to the said boundary line, radius 100.000 feet, central angle 8 deg. 30 min. 03 sec., a distance of 14.836 feet to the northerly boundary line of Lot 10 of said Ocean View Park; thence westerly on a line deflected 98 deg. 32 min. 12 sec. to the left from the tangent to the preceding course and run-

ning along said boundary line of Lot 10 a distance of 1.100 feet to the said westerly boundary line of Ocean View Park; thence southerly along the said westerly boundary line a distance of 14.782 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 10 in Block 4 of said Ocean View Park.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening of Alemany boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $56,548.01, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were, on recommendation of Finance Committee, *passed for printing*:

Payment of Bills.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(1) California Meat Co., meat, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1928)	$509.16
(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas furnished County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1928)	521.20
(3) Del Monte Meat Co., meat, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1928)	610.76
(4) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1928)	613.73
(5) Union Oil Company of California, asphalt (claim dated June 30, 1928)	658.60
(6) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil, June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928)	675.90
(7) The Texas Company, fuel oil, June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928)	1,219.96
(8) Flynn & Collins, New Ford Roadster, Police Department (claim dated June 30, 1928)	530.50
(9) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, month of June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928)	656.66
(10) American La-France & Foamite Corporation, apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928)	860.09
(11) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928)	1,023.96

(12) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,781.75
(13) The Seagrave Corporation, apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) 527.20
(14) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,458.38
(15) The Texas Company, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) 649.68
(16) Steiger & Kerr Stove and Foundry Co., iron castings (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,038.02
(17) Board of Public Works, labor and material, traffic signal hoods (claim dated June 30, 1928) 607.82

Municipal Railway Fund.

(18) American Steel Foundries, car wheels (claim dated July 27, 1928) $1,464.00
(19) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., electric lamps (claim dated July 24, 1928) 1,025.86
(20) Board of Public Works, asphalt repairs, June, 1928 (claim dated July 24, 1928) 548.94

Installation Fund, 1927-1928.

(21) General Electric Co., Solenoids, Department of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1928) $1,382.42

Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 54.

(22) Capitol Decorating & Manufacturing Co., decorations, Fourth of July celebration, 1928 (claim dated August 6, 1928) $700.00
(23) San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce, publicity and advertising, National Air Tour, 1928 (claim dated August 6, 1928) 827.62

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(24) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, to reimburse revolving fund, maintenance of Hetch Hetchy Road (claim dated July 27, 1928) $1,460.46

Auditorium Fund.

(25) J. L. Stuart Manufacturing Co., making of curtains in front of organ as per specifications (claim dated July 27, 1928) $748.00

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(26) Anchor Brass Works, lawn boxes and cocks (claim dated August 3, 1928) $1,575.00

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(27) Robert W. Jamison, third progressive payment contract 160, furnishing and installing rail bonds for the Sunset Line (claim dated August 1, 1928) $2,175.00
(28) Eaton & Smith, fourth progressive payment for construction of Sunset Line, Contract No. 155 (claim dated August 1, 1928) 53,025.00

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(29) Heywood-Wakefield Company, chairs, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated July 31, 1928) $1,258.74

Park Fund.

(30) Anchor Brass Works, lawn boxes and cocks (claim dated August 3, 1928) $630.00
(31) Montague Pipe & Steel Company, parts for well (claim dated August 3, 1928) 1,321.90

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(32) Morris & Co., butter, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) $1,767.67

(33) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing, Guerrero street, 20th street southerly (claim dated June 30, 1928) 585.20
(34) California Rock Co., gravel (claim dated June 30, 1928) 4,284.10
(35) The Texas Company, fuel oil (claim dated June 30, 1928) 593.55
(36) Union Oil Company of California, asphalt (claim dated June 30, 1928) 4,026.04
(37) Western Rock Products Company, sand (claim dated June 30, 1928) 3,903.91
(38) Hugh McGill, first progressive payment for extension of Guttenberg street sewer (claim dated August 1, 1928)..... 1,500.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(39) Atlas Rock Company, sand and gravel (claim dated July 30, 1928) $2,302.82
(40) Atlas Rock Company, sand and gravel (claim dated July 30, 1928) 2,427.72
(41) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat (claim dated July 30, 1928) 812.94
(42) Kaiser Paving Company, labor and material (claim dated July 30, 1928) 884.11
(42a) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated July 30, 1928) 807.45

Special School Tax.

(43) Title Insurance & Guaranty Co., premiums and expense, school properties (claim dated August 6, 1928)..... $620.50
(44) MacDonald & Kahn, final payment, general construction, South Side High School (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 1,000.00
(45) Alta Electric Co., fifth payment, contract for electrical work on Annex of Paul Revere School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 505.50
(46) A. Lettich, fifth payment, contract mechanical equipment, Annex to the Paul Revere School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,070.97
(47) Frank J. Reilly, seventh payment, contract for general construction, Annex to the Paul Revere School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 8,594.17
(48) Thos. Skelly, fourth payment, plumbing work, Annex to the Paul Revere School (claim dated July 31, 1928).... 1,134.86
(49) Amoroso & Filippis, second payment, general construction, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 13,892.62
(50) A. Lettich, first payment, mechanical equipment, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated July 31, 1928).. 1,807.92
(51) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing work, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 696.75
(52) Meyer Bros., first payment, general construction, additions and alterations to the Jefferson School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 15,639.74
(53) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., first payment, electrical work, addition and alterations to the Jefferson School (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 584.63
(54) Thos. Skelly, plumbing work, first payment, additions and alterations to the Jefferson School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 598.50
(55) Scott Co., first payment, mechanical equipment, additions and alterations to the Jefferson School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 3,296.25

County Road Fund.

(56) The Fay Improvement Company, City's portion improving the roadway of Mariposa street between Wisconsin and Carolina streets (claim dated July 26, 1928)..... $1,320.00

(57) The Fay Improvement Company, City's portion of improving the roadway of Carolina street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets (claim dated July 26, 1928).. 3,160.00

(58) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Buchanan street, Bush to California (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,505.86

(59) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Front street, Pacific to Broadway (claim dated June 30, 1928) 689.45

(60) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Drumm street, Jackson to Pacific (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,196.00

(61) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Jackson street, Presidio avenue to Walnut street (claim dated June 30, 1928)..... 2,210.15

(62) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Jefferson street, Leavenworth to Hyde (claim dated June 30, 1928)..... 1,345.05

(63) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Lyon street, California to Sacramento (claim dated June 30, 1928) 895.50

(64) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Maiden lane, Kearny to Stockton streets (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,201.20

(65) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Mason street, Union to Greenwich (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,058.35

(66) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1938, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Polk street, Golden Gate avenue to McAllister street (claim dated June 30, 1928)..... 651.65

(67) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Sacramento street, Hyde to Jones streets (claim dated June 30, 1928) 4,318.65

(68) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Scott street, Filbert to Greenwich (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,432.05

(69) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Seventh street, Mission to Brannan streets (claim dated June 30, 1928)..... 3,056.10

(70) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Steiner street, Haight to Waller (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,065.05

(71) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Steuart street, Market to Mission (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,905.75

(72) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Vanderwater street, Mason to Powell (claim dated June 30, 1928) 684.55

(73) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the recon-

struction of Grant avenue, Greenwich to Chestnut (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,600.40
(74) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Main street, Folsom to Embarcadero (claim dated June 30, 1928) 5,090.81
(75) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Mission street, resurfacing at Viaduct (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,647.05
(76) T. I. Butler Co., gravel (claim dated July 30, 1928).... 1,156.00
(77) E. J. and M. J. Treacy, City's portion for the improvement of Capitol avenue, between Montana and Thrift streets (claim dated August 1, 1928)..... 2,430.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(78) The San Francisco Publishing Company, official advertising (claim dated July 28, 1928)..... $577.50
(79) S. F. Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, feeding and impounding animals, month of July, 1928 (claim dated August 1, 1928)..... 1,500.00
(80) Chase and Rae, to printing delinquent Tax List (claim dated July 26, 1928) 2,880.57
(81) Daniel J. O'Brien, contingent expenses for month of August, 1928 (claim dated August 1, 1928)..... 750.00
(82) Pierce Arrow Pacific Sales Co., to purchase of Pierce Arrow automobile for Mayor's office (claim dated July 11, 1928) 5,325.00
(83) A. Carlisle & Co., record book covers, etc. (claim dated August 1, 1928) 2,550.00
(84) Earle C. Anthony, Inc., Packard sedan, Board of Public Health (claim dated July 27, 1928)..... 1,910.00
(85) J. E. French Co., Victory coupe, Board of Public Health (claim dated July 27, 1928)..... 765.00
(86) Chester N. Weaver Co., Studebaker coupe, Board of Public Health (claim dated July 27, 1928)..... 1,130.00

Payment Lands, Boulevard Bonds.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the Boulevard Bond Fund in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To David Ososke, for portion of Lots 27 and 28 and all of Lots 25 and 26, Block 5375 (100x100, more or less), as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29422, New Series (claim dated August 1, 1928)..... $9,000.00
(2) To G. D. Styles, for all of Lot 28 of Block 1714, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29421, New Series (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 2,100.00
(3) To Lazar S. Churich, May Vercevich and Mrs. Eva King, for all of Lot 3, Block 2389, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29421, New Series (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,625.00
(4) To Clara Bowen, for all of Lot 8, Block 1791, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29421, New Series, (claim dated July 31, 1928) 7,050.00

(5) To Cathe C. Healy, for all of Lots 8 and 9 of Block 2437, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29421, New Series (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 4,400.00

Equipment Golden Gate School.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, to defray the cost of the mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School, Golden Gate avenue, between Pierce and Scott streets, to-wit:

Award of contract (P. J. Enright).....$9,400
Extras, incidentals, inspection, engineering..... 1,000

10,400

Architect's Fees, Geary Street School.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, for architectural fees in connection with the construction of the Geary Street School, to be erected in block bounded by Geary, Cook and Blake streets and Laurel Hill Cemetery.

Adopted.

The following matters were *adopted* by the following vote:

Land for Hetch Hetchy Reservoir.

Resolution No. 29420 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the San Miguel Reservoir of the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply project, for the sum set forth opposite her name be accepted:

Mary Lee Lawlor, $1,000—Lots 1, 2, 3 and 48 of Block 2895, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Land, Sunset Parkway.

Resolution No. 29421 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

G. D. Styles, $2,100—All of Lot 28 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clara Bowen, $7,050—All of Lot 8 of Block 1791, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer and agreement on file.)

Lazar S. Churich, May Vercevich and Mrs. Eva King, $1,625—All of

Lot 3 of Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Cathe C. Healy, $4,400—All of Lots 8 and 9 of Block 2437, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer and agreement on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Land, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29422 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names be accepted:

P. A. La Voie and K. Tekeli, $108.75—Portion of Lot 19, Block 5607, as per Assessor's Block Books of City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

David Ososke, $9,000—Portion of Lots 27 and 28 and all of Lots 25 and 26, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

It is understood and agreed that said David Ososke is to retain ownership of improvements located on above described land; same to be removed by David Ososke within 30 days from date of deed conveying said parcel of land to City.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Land, Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29423 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name be accepted:

C. B. Rich, $1—Portion of Lot 2, Block 7140, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

As a further consideration C. B. Rich is to receive a deed from the City and County of San Francisco to a strip of land 14 feet by 74 feet, more or less, adjoining the above mentioned Lot 2 on the southeasterly side, which was formerly a part of Winnipeg avenue.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this

resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Payment Damages, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29424 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owner of property adjacent to the proposed Bay Shore boulevard has offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to its property or the buildings thereon caused by the grading and construction of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Bay Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, viz.:

The Moxey Realty Company, $426.80—Lot 9, Block 5438, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 540 Charter Oak avenue.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of said specified amounts to the above mentioned company upon receipt of the proper release.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing* on recommendation of Finance Committee:

Construction Geary Street School.

Bill No. 8638, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of the Geary Street School, and ordering the construction of the Geary Street School, to be erected in the block bounded by Geary, Cook and Blake streets and Laurel Hill Cemetery, and to enter into contract for the construction of said school in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of the Geary Street School, and to enter into contract for the construction of the Geary Street School, which construction is hereby ordered in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education, said school to be erected in the block bounded by Geary, Cook and Blake streets and the Laurel Hill Cemetery.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Improvement of Santiago Street.

Bill No. 8639, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Santiago street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improve-

ment, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement of Santiago street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Construction of Sunset Police Station.

Bill No. 8640, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Sunset Police Station, to be erected on City property on the east side of Twenty-fourth avenue, north of Taraval street, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Police Commissioners.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the Sunset Police Station, to be erected on City property on the east side of Twenty-fourth avenue, north of Taraval street, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Police Commissioners.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Set-back Lines on Thirtieth Avenue Between Santiago and Taraval Streets Amended.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Bill No. 8641, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6482 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6482 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 4.5 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Granting Garage Permit, R. Ruster, 1300 Mason Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Ruster be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted to W. Williams, by Resolution No. 23706 (New Series), for premises at 1300 Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Brazilian Hardwood & Mill Co. (Harmon Richards) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a planing mill and cabinet shop at 200 Paul avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Granting Associated Oil Company Supply Station Permit, Twenty-fifth Avenue and Geary Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwesterly corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon, Suhr, Toner—11.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Kent, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—5.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Transfer Supply Station Permit, Sixth and Folsom Streets.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lunsmann & Hummel be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to Fred E. Anderson, by Resolution No. 29298 (New Series), for premises on northeast corner of Sixth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Granting Shell Company of California Supply Station Permit, Marina Boulevard and Webster Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved That Shell Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Marina boulevard and Webster street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy the matter was *referred to Fire Committee.*

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Granting Italo Petroleum Corporation of America Permit for Supply Station at Plymouth Avenue and Sagamore Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation of America be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Plymouth avenue and Sagamore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer Garage Permit 1375 Golden Gate Avenue.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That General Garage (Anthony Sagas) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Robert F. Berman, by Resolution No. 28645 (New Series), for premises at 1375 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer Garage Permit, Mission and Ottawa Streets.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation of America be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted A. G. Sala, by Resolution No. 28175 (New Series), for premises on the southwest corner of Mission and Ottawa streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer Garage Permit, 1520 Taylor Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Carl M. Eklof be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted R. H. Dorward, by Resolution No. 23023 (New Series), for premises at 1520 Taylor street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Granting Associated Oil Company Garage Permit, Fifteenth Avenue and Taraval Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Fifteenth avenue and Taraval street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Academy of Sacred Heart, northwest corner of Jackson and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mr. Bissiner, 2430 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Bosco, 1186 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. P. Cremer, northeast corner of Frederick and Ashbury streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sarah A. Farrar, north side of Twenty-first street, 309 feet west of Guerrero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. G. Fawnland, 101 Woodland avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. R. Francis, northwest corner of Twelfth avenue and Moraga street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. A. Fritz, west side of Masonic avenue, 100 feet south of Frederick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. J. Grandeman, north side of Chestnut street, 200 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. J. Grandeman, west side of Baker street, 130 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Gulmes, north side of Oak street, 200 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. Jacobs, 365 Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Allan McDonald, 640 Camino Del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Marwedel, east side of Twenty-fifth avenue, 120 feet north of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Estelle Miller, north side of Sacramento street, 50 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. J. Grandeman, south side of Chestnut street, 170 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Nordell, north side of Broadway, 200 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sonoma Creamery Co., 1435 Stockton street, 750 gallons capacity.

Geo. F. Volkmann, 2307 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

San Francisco Packing Co., southeast corner of Williams avenue and Phelps street, 150 horsepower.

Cresta Blanca Co., 705 Sansome street, 15 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

Amending Garage Ordinance (Re Dreamland).

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, entitled "Regulating the Construction of Buildings Used as Public Automobile Garages; Regulating and Providing for the Storage and Use of Gasoline in Public and Private Automobile Garages; Repealing Ordinance No. 33 (New Series), approved July 16, 1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. All buildings hereafter erected, altered or changed, so as to be occupied as public garages for the purpose of storing automobiles, shall be of Class "A," "B" or "C2" construction, except that public garages over one story in height shall be of Class "A" or "B" construction in all parts, excepting that the roof and the partitions of such portions as are used exclusively for office purposes may be of frame construction. The flooring of the first floor shall be concrete, with a system of ventilation with openings to the outer air at floor line, not less than six (6) by eight (8) inches for each ventilator. There shall at all times be maintained in every such building used for the aforesaid purpose two (2) chemical fire extinguishers of not less than three (3) gallons each where the floor space is less than five hundred (500) square feet, and one (1) additional chemical fire extinguisher for every additional five hundred (500) square feet of floor space used for such purpose. On the main floor of all public garages there shall be not less than four (4) barrels of clean, dry sand, each barrel to contain an iron scoop.

No part of any building which is used as a hotel, rooming house, lodging house, or hall, shall be used as a public garage for the purpose of storing automobiles.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

July 30—*Over one week.*

August 6, 1928—*Over one week.*

A communication was received from the New Dreamland Auditorium advising that it fully agrees to comply with all the conditions of the ordinance regulating garage construction and storage, and in compliance with the recommendation contained in the report of Marshal Kelly, Mr. Leonard and Mr. Andrews, constituting the com-

mittee on investigation of the Dreamland Auditorium structure, the corporation is now complying with said recommendations.

On motion action on the foregoing ordinance was *postponed for one week.*

Taxicab Stand Permits.

The following matter was, on recommendation of Police and Licenses Committee, *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 29425 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

California Cab Company, 1288 Golden Gate avenue, one cab; 2 Howard street, one cab.

Louis E. Hendricks, 265 Columbus avenue, one cab; 504 Kearny street, one cab.

Yellow Checker Cab Company, 860-870 Ulloa street, two cabs; 1646 Buchanan street, one cab.

Peerless Cab Company, 91 Turk street, one cab; 136 Eddy street, one cab.

Luxor Cab Operators Association, 665 Gough street, one cab; 1740 Fillmore street, one cab; 1200 McAllister street, one cab; 553 Divisadero street, one cab; 610 Van Ness avenue, one cab; 478 Eddy street, one cab; 689 Ellis street, one cab; 506 Bush street, one cab; 304 Eddy street, one cab; 1047 Kearny street, one cab; 606 Geary street, one cab; 819 Geary street, one cab.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Acceptance of Offered Land for School Purposes.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee:

Resolution No. 29426 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Louise H. Schutt to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 275 feet southerly from Anza street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 99 feet 7½ inches, more or less, to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line af Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence northwesterly along said last described line 25 feet and 2 inches, more or less, to a line drawn easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Anza street from the point of commencement; thence westerly along said last described line 97 feet 1 inch to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the

current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee:

Resolution No. 29427 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C", Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

19 Albion street, 36 feet; McRosky Mattress Co., 1 elevator.

331-345 Bush street, 27 feet; Foster's Lunch, 1 elevator, 1 oil intake.

154 Ellis street, 18 feet; Hotel Statler.

1345 Grant avenue, 36 feet; Figoni Hardware Co., Italian-French Bakery, 1 elevator.

569 Merchant street, 45 feet; California Meat Co.

30-36 Ninth street, 27 feet; S. F. Furniture Co., Cal. Bldg. Maintenance Co. Bldg.

1715 Polk street, 27 feet; Dimmer Hardware Co., 2 elevators.

1733-1735 Polk street, 36 feet; Ferran & Plegat Laundry Co., Polk Grill, 1 oil intake.

666 Post street, 18 feet; Crown Apartments, Chas. Bewin Co., Coronet Tea Room.

435 Powell street, 18 feet; Snow Millinery, Powell Studio.

250-252 Sacramento street, 36 feet; Co-Operative Creameries, G. Herman Co.

776 Sacramento street, 27 feet; Sun Yuen Hing, Mays Studio, Kwong Sing Lang Co.

706-708 Sansome street, 36 feet; Owens & Unger Spice Co., Majestic Paste Co.

3060 Sixteenth street, 18 feet; Bank of California.

50 Turk street, 18 feet; Hotel Brayton, Rieger Book Co., 1 elevator.

425 Washington street, 36 feet; Chas. Corriea & Bro. (poultry business).

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be rescinded and reestablished as specified herein in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C", Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

186 Ellis street, existing length 18 feet, new length 0 feet; Casino Theater.

2198 Union street, existing length 36 feet, new length 27 feet; American Trust Co., Tony's Market, La Fayette Bakery (clearance for street car loading).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Action Deferred.

Amending Traffic Ordinance.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating

traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in the direction indicated hereon:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly on Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Stockton street.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows.

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection:

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and

(6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Section 36 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the Central Traffic District or in any business district during any hour of any day;

(2) In any loading zone between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day;

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day;

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of any day;

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public buildings between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays;

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business;

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. of any day;

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance,

provided that in the Central Traffic District or in any business district, as herein defined, a curb space not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

On motion action was *postponed one week.*

Passed for Printing.

Authorizing Contract, Traffic Markers, Buttons, Etc.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and requesting the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for installing 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, 2000 turning buttons, removing and reinstalling approximately 2000 existing safety zone buttons, installing 1000 plain safety zone buttons, and installing 2000 reflector type safety zone buttons throughout the city.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and requested to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for installing throughout the city 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, 2000 turning buttons, removing and reinstalling approximately 2000 existing safety zone buttons, installing 1000 plain safety zone buttons, and installing 2000 reflector type safety zone buttons.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Cancellation of Taxicab Stand Permit.

On recommendation of Police and Licenses Committee:

Resolution No. 29428 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following taxicab stand permit is hereby cancelled: V. Parfan, 911 Kearny street. (Whereabouts of Parfan unknown.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Transfer of Taxicab Stand Permits.

Resolution No. 29429 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That transfer of the following taxicab stand permits is hereby approved:

From Western Cab Company to Yellow-Checker Cab Company: 196 Battery street, 698 Bush street, 486 California street, 301 Eddy street, 19 Ellis street, 212 Ellis street, 500 Ellis street, 1459 Fillmore street, 64 Fourth street, 5 Fulton street, 7 Golden Gate avenue, 98 Golden Gate avenue, 52 Grant avenue, 453 Grant avenue, 463 Grant avenue, 1201 Grant avenue, 7 Grove street, 1098 Hyde street, 515 Jones street, 300 Kearny street, 600 Larkin street, 1001 Larkin street, 619 Leavenworth street, 1625 Market street, 3-11 Mason street, 111 Mason street, 9 McAllister street, 500 McAllister street, 1215 McAllister street, 1977 Mission street, 2500 Mission street, 2802 Mission street, 295 Pine street, 607 Post street, 1901 Post street, 67 Powell street, 164 Powell street, 2995 Sixteenth street, 24 Sixth street, 1247 Stockton street, 79 Sutter street, 402 Sutter street, 10 Taylor street, 16-18 Taylor street, 55 Taylor street, 67 Taylor street, 70 Taylor street, 102 Taylor street, 105 Taylor street, 198 Taylor street, 206 Taylor street, 296 Third street, 1 Turk street, 2-4 Turk street, 16 Turk street, 98 Turk street, 242

Turk street, 315 Turk street, 527 Turk street, 3216 Twenty-second street, 502 Valencia street, 10 Van Ness avenue.

From Gray Cab Company to Yellow-Checker Cab Company: 560 Broadway, 600 Eddy street, 102 Ellis street, 126 Ellis street, 409 Ellis street, 501 Ellis street, 38 Fourth street, 904 Larkin street, 421 Mason street, 207 O'Farrell street, 309 O'Farrell street, 488 Pine street, 102 Powell street, 105 Powell street, 165 Powell street, 401 Powell street, 439 Powell street, 1512 Stockton street, 108 Taylor street, 405 Taylor street, 107 Turk street, 108 Turk street, 1023 Van Ness avenue.

From California Auto Tours Company, Bell Taxi Company and Yosemite Taxi Company to the Yosemite-Gray Line Limousines, Inc.: 121 Ellis street, 192 Ellis street, 158 Mason street, 643 Market street, 12 New Montgomery street, 305 Powell street, 527 Sutter street, 531 Sutter street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Gymnasium Equipment, School Department.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 29430 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Fred Medart Manufacturing Co. on bid submitted June 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 383), for furnishing gymnasium equipment for School Department, as follows, viz.:

Item No. 6—22 gymnasium mats, 5 by 10 feet by 2 inches; mats to be sized and painted with three coats gutta percha paint; color, gray; Medart's Catalogue No. 126, page 64, at $47.72 each.

Note: Above award is supplemental to Resolution No. 29274 (New Series), approved July 20, 1928.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted on said item be hereby rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Street Cars.

Resolution No. 29431 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to John H. Blakeway Sheet Metal Works on bid submitted July 24, 1928 (Proposal No. 405), for furnishing the following, viz.: 200 galvanized iron street cans (square) for the Department of Public Works at $5.60 each.

Resolved, That no bond be required as said award is for a definite quantity for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Linoleum.

Resolution No. 29432 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to D. N. & E. Walter & Co. on bid submitted July 30, 1928 (Proposal No. 404), for furnishing the following, viz.: Linoleum that may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year 1928-1929.

Linoleum shall be in accordance with U. S. Government Master Specification No. 209, Battleship Linoleum.

Light Battleship, without laying, $0.87¼ per square yard.
Medium Battleship, without laying, $1.35 1/3 per square yard.
Heavy Battleship, without laying, $1.58 per square yard.
Extra charge for laying with tacks, $0.24 per square yard.
Extra charge for laying with cement solid, $0.34 per square yard.
Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $100 be required for the faithful performance of this contract.
Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Underwear, Clothing, for County Jails.

Resolution No. 29433 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts be hereby made on bids submitted July 23, 1928 (Proposal No. 401), for furnishing the following, viz.:
Underwear and clothing for County Jails:
Item No. 1—12 dozen union suits (City sample), size 36, $10.24 per dozen; Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
Item No. 2—12 dozen union suits (City sample), size 38, $10.24 per dozen; Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
Item No. 3—12 dozen union suits (City sample), size 40, $10.24 per dozen; Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
Item No. 4—10 dozen union suits (City sample), size 42, $10.24 per dozen; Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
Item No. 5—6 dozen union suits (City sample), size 44, $10.24 per dozen; Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
Item No. 6—10 dozen, Lot H, hickory pants (City sample), size 42-33, $13.35 per dozen; Neustadter Bros.
Item No. 7—10 dozen, Lot H, hickory pants (City sample), size 44-31, $14.85 per dozen; Neustadter Bros.
Item No. 8—6 dozen, Lot H, hickory pants (City sample), size 46-32, $14.85 per dozen; Neustadter Bros.
Item No. 9—16 dozen, Lot 1873, hickory overshirts (City sample), size 17½, $13.12 per dozen; Neustadter Bros.
Item No. 10—4 gross men's shoe laces, black, 34-inch, No. 88 (City sample), $1.10 per gross; Keyston Bros.
Item No. 11—4 gross men's shoe laces, brown, 34-inch, No. 88 (City sample), $1.10 per gross; Keyston Bros.
Item No. 12—33 dozen pairs men's sole leather shape taps, 5½-inch (City sample), $6.70 per dozen pairs; Keyston Bros.
Item No. 13—33 dozen pairs men's sole leather top pieces, 5½-inch (City sample), $2.50 per dozen pairs; Keyston Bros.
Resolved, That no bonds be required as said awards are for definite quantities for immediate shipment.
Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.
Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Marr Duplicate Supply Company, Mimeograph Stencils for School Department.

Resolution No. 29434 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Marr Duplicator Supply Company on bid submitted July 23, 1928 (Proposal No. 400),

for furnishing the following, viz.: Mimeograph stencils for School Department:

Item No. 1—250 quires mimeograph stencils, 8½ x 11 inches, $2.10 per quire.

Item No. 2—300 quires mimeograph stencils, 8½ x 14 inches, $2.40 per quire.

Item No. 3—60 quires neostyle stencils, 8½ x 11 inches, $2.10 per quire.

Item No. 4—30 quires neostyle stencils, 8½ x 14 inches, $2.40 per quire.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Ink Wells, School Department.

Resolution No. 29435 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts be hereby made on bids submitted July 23, 1928 (Proposal No. 399), as follows, viz.: Inkwells for School Department:

Item No. 1—30 gross Boston type, rubber top, inkwells (City sample), $12.50 per gross; Stationers Corporation.

Item No. 2—75 gross Columbia inkwell castings (City sample), $17.35 per gross; Pacific School Supply House.

Item No. 3—10 gross half round inkwell castings (City sample), $24.90 per gross; Pacific School Supply House.

Item No. 4—10 gross half round inkwell glasses (City sample), $3.74 per gross; Pacific School Supply House.

Item No. 5—25 gross three-lip inkwell glasses (City sample), $3.39 per gross; C. F. Weber & Co.

Resolved, That no bonds be required as said awards are for definite quantities for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contract, Furniture, School Department.

Resolution No. 29436 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts be hereby made on bids submitted July 23, 1928 (Proposal No. 390), for furnishing the following, viz.: Manufactured furniture for School Department:

Item No. 1—60 bookkeeping tables, $20.80 each; R. Brandlein & Company.

Item No. 2 (a)—7 cafeteria tables, 72 x 36 inches, $16.80 each; S. Levi.

Item No. 2 (b)—23 cafeteria tables, 96 x 36 inches, $18.80 each; S. Levi.

Item No. 2 (c)—210 cafeteria tables, round diameter 36 inches, $8.95 each; H. Schulte & Son.

Item No. 3—4 comptometer tables, $21.10 each; Haas Wood & Ivory Works.

Item No. 4—200 freehand drawing tables, $6.44 each; R. Brandlein & Company.

Item No. 5—2 lecture tables, $93.15 each; Coast Cabinet Co.

Item No. 6—30 mechanical drawing tables, $95.60 each; H. Schulte & Son.

Item No. 7—90 mechanical drawing tables, $27.20 each; R. Brandlein & Company.

Item No. 8—40 sewing tables, $9.45 each; H. Schulte & Son.

Item No. 9 (a)—85 teachers' tables, 30 x 50 inches, $9.33 each; R. Brandlein & Company.

Item No. 9 (b)—6 teachers' tables, 36 x 96 inches, $19.83 each; R. Brandlein & Company.

Item No. 10—200 typewriter tables, $3.45 each; R. Brandlein & Company.

Item No. 11—60 gymnasium stools, $3.35 each; H. Schulte & Son.

Item No. 12—12 tables for moving picture machines, $8.90 each; S. Levi.

Item No. 13—94 library tables, 60 x 36 inches, $23.60 each; R. Brandlein & Company.

Item No. 14—100 work benches, $7.65 each; H. Schulte & Son.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of contract be required as follows, viz.:

R. Brandlein & Company, bond required $2,000.

S. Levi, no bond required.

Haas Wood & Ivory Works, no bond required.

Coast Cabinet Co., no bond required.

H. Schulte & Son, bond required $1,000.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Mayor's Recommendation, Leaves of Absence.

Resolution No. 29437 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable John F. Davis, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing August 10, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29438 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Warren Shannon and Honorable Franck R. Havenner, members of the Board of Supervisors, and Honorable John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, are hereby granted leaves of absence for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing August 6, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Evaluation, Properties of Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Great Western Power Company.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 29439 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, request has been made of the Railroad Commission of the State of California that an evaluation be made of the properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and of the Great Western Power Company, and to date nothing has been forthcoming; and

Whereas, San Francisco is considering the submission of bond issues for public improvements, and to this end it is imperative to ascertain, as nearly as possible, to what limits we can go in the expenditure of public moneys in this regard; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Railroad Commission of the State of California be again requested to hasten the evaluation of the properties aforementioned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Acceptance of Land, Richmond School.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 29440 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Wm. J. Drew to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the north line of Balboa street, distant 45 feet westerly and 95 feet westerly, respectively, from Thirtieth avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $7,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 45 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue; running thence westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Balboa street and point of commencement. Being a portion of O. L. Block 313.

Also, commencing at a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 95 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, running thence westerly along said northerly line of Balboa street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Balboa street and point of commencement. Being a portion of O. L. Block 313.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner,

Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Land for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29441 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Martin Nelson and Anna Nelson, $9,500—Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 25 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank and Fanny Machkota; thence along said easterly line the following courses and distances: At right angles northerly 63 feet; deflecting to the left 90 deg. 15 min. 36 sec. and running westerly 11.667 feet; deflecting to the right 79 deg. 45 min. 36 sec. and running northerly 82.432 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 165 feet, more or less, to the westerly boundary line of the property of the W. S. Ray Manufacturing Company and the easterly line of Rousseau street produced northerly; thence southerly along said westerly line 33 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho; thence deflecting 51 deg. 27 min. 32 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 58.741 feet; thence deflecting to the left 74 deg. 27 min. 37 sec. and running southeasterly 24.654 feet to a point on the northeasterly prolongation of the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Company in Block 5 of the De Boom Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "1," pages 171 and 172, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 87 deg. 45 min. and running southwesterly along said prolongation and said northwesterly line 70.417 feet to the westerly boundary line of said W. S. Ray Manufacturing Company property in said Block 5; thence deflecting 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. to the left and running southerly along said westerly line 88.667 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 25 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank and Fanny Machkota; thence along said easterly line the following courses and distances: At right angles northerly 63 feet; deflecting to the left 90 deg. 15 min. 36 sec. and running westerly 11.667 feet; deflecting to the right 79 deg. 45 min. 36 sec. and running northerly 45.979 feet; thence leaving said easterly line and deflecting to the right 76 deg. 44 min. 36 sec. and running northeasterly 103.846 feet to a point distant westerly at right angles 50 feet from the easterly line of Rousseau street; thence deflecting to the right 113 deg. 45 min. 24 sec. and running southerly and parallel with said easterly line of Rousseau street 37.743 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by W. S. Ray Manufacturing Company in Block 5 of the De Boom Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "1," pages 171 and 172, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. and running southwesterly along said northwesterly line 55.282 feet to the westerly boundary line of the property now

or formerly owned by said W. S. Ray Manufacturing Company in said Block 5; thence deflecting 64 deg. 44 min. 55 sec. to the left and running southerly along said westerly line 88.667 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

The above offer is in the form of a compromise in the condemnation suit entitled City and County of San Francisco v. Johanne Fritzschen et al., No. 195126, Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, and it is offered as a settlement in full of all claim or claims of damages held by present owners against the City and County of San Francisco to the above described land, and to the adjoining property of the present owners, and the buildings located thereon.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolution No. 28412 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Printing.

Land for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of eight thousand two hundred and fifty dollars ($8,250) be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and apporpriated out of the Bernal Cut Bond Fund, and to be payable as hereinafter mentioned, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in action entitled City and County of San Francisco v. Bernard Berzel and Bertha Berzel, Humboldt Bank, Victor Sporny and Elsie Sporny, defendants, and numbered 195126 of the records thereof, in eminent domain for the acquisition of land for Bernal Cut; that said sum of eight thousand two hundred and fifty dollars ($8,250), under the terms and provisions of said judgment, to be payable to defendants Bernard Berzel and Bertha Berzel, subject to a first lien of Humboldt Bank, in the sum of two thousand five hundred ($2,500) dollars, with interest thereon at six per cent (6%) per annum from August 1, 1928, and a second lien of said defendants Victor Sporny and Elsie Sporny, in the sum of six hundred and sixty-seven dollars ($667), with interest thereon at six per cent (6%) per annum from August 1, 1928. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

COMMUNICATIONS.

Invitation, Convention Redwood Empire Association.

A communication from the Redwood Empire Association was received and read by the Clerk extending an invitation to the Board to attend its eighth annual convention, to be held in the City of Eureka on the 12th and 13th of October, 1928.

On motion the invitation was accepted and the matter of arrangements was *referred to the Public Welfare Committee.*

Also, a communication was received from the Citizens' Committee on Parks, Playgrounds and Beaches, Los Angeles, expressing appre-

ciation of the exhibit of San Francisco at its Pacific Southwest Exposition, held at Long Beach.

Read and *filed.*

Notice of Meeting.

The Health Committee, by Supervisor Toner, notified the Board of arrangements made by the Committee for the inspection of the garbage incinerators, and fixed Wednesday, August 8, 1928, at 3:30 o'clock, for its visits.

Referred to Committee.

Communication from the Building Trades Council, transmitting a copy of its resolution urging the Board of Supervisors, Board of Public Works and the Mayor to exert every endeavor to have all possible work started immediately in order that the serious unemployment and business depression maintaining in San Francisco be relieved.

On motion the subject matter was *referred to the Streets and Commercial Development Committee.*

Report of Streets Committee.

Supervisor Gallagher presented a report from the Streets Committee with recommendations for street construction for the firscal year 1928-1929.

On motion the matter was *laid over one week.*

Report Adopted.

Also, the report from the Streets Committee in the matter of location of bridges, included in the construction and extension of Bernal Cut, which was heretofore laid over from subsequent meeting, was taken up and read by the Clerk, discussed by Supervisors Gallagher, Stanton, Schmidt and McGovern, the question being called and, on motion, the report was *adopted.*

Charter Amendment.

Supervisor Havenner called attention to the matter of the soliciting of signatures for amendments to the Charter spoken about, and relating to the indeterminate franchise for street railroads.

Supervisor Shannon stated that the matter had never been submitted to the Public Utilities Committee for its consideration. Discussed at length by Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Havenner and McSheehy with reference to obtaining of signatures to petitions under misrepresentation. Supervisor Gallagher moved that the chairman of the Public Utilities Committee and the City Attorney make an investigation of the matter that proper information and notice might be submitted to the public.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Hayden was heard and expressed a welcome, on behalf of the Board, to the homecoming of Supervisor Colman and an appreciation for his safe return. Supervisor Colman respondent in words of thanks to the Board for its kind sentiments.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 1:35 p. m. adjourned.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors Monday, August 13, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I. John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ol. 23—New Series No. 34

Monday, August 13, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 13, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 13, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 16, 23, 30 and August 6, 1928, were considered read and approved.

Mayor Recommends Discharge of Special Bridge Committee.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., August 8, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In order that the mandates of your Honorable Board, with respect to the policy of the City regarding a bridge across San Francisco Bay, be carried out, I respectfully suggest that the present committee be discharged and a new one be appointed.

Very respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Supervisor Hayden moved that request be complied with.

So ordered.

Statement of Supervisor McSheehy.

The following was read by Supervisor McSheehy:

San Francisco, California, August 13, 1928.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

As Chairman of the Bridge Committee I intend to vote in favor of the communication just read, sent to us by his Honor Mayor Rolph, requesting the discharge of the present Bridge Committee and the appointment of a new committee. I have served as Chairman of this Committee for two years and presided at the hearings of some 28 applicants, which consumed two days a week for 20 weeks. I have also visited Washington three times with committees advocating a bridge recommended by this Board, designated as Plan No. 1, and now pending before the Senate and House of Representatives in the form of Senate Bill No. 1762 and House Bill No. 7467.

I find that I cannot agree with my colleagues of the Committee on the plan officially adopted. It is my sincere belief that, if we are granted a permit to build such a bridge as is contemplated we will

not be able to finance it. Experts, including our City Engineer, tell us that the bridge is estimated to cost $100,000,000. Even if the cost is kept at $100,000,000, the tolls will have to be prohibitively high.

Under the plan proposed there will be created an excessive and perhaps enormous financial burden. I have given this problem careful study. The conclusion I have reached is that a bridge equally satisfactory in the same location can be built for $38,000,000; a bridge costing this latter sum can be financed, and can be operated on reasonable tolls.

I am submitting here for the records my report, which I will ask to be filed with this written statement. I will have copies of the report sent to each member of the Board. I have never been given permission by the Committee to present this report.

As the Committee is not in accord with me, their Chairman, the suggestion of the Mayor seems to be a wise one. Perhaps a new committee can get Congress to repeal the present law governing the supervision of bridges, and by special act pass a law allowing us to erect a high-level bridge as called for in the bills now pending. As I am not in accord with the erection of a high-level bridge, for the reasons as stated in detail in my report, I feel that the best course under the circumstances is to vote "aye" on the communication that has just been read.

Respectfully,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY.

Report of James B. McSheehy, Chairman Special Bridge Committee.

San Francisco, Calif., June 8, 1928.

To the Members of the Special Bridge Committee:

I submit the following report as Chairman of the special Bridge Committee:

On the 8th day of December, 1927, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco passed the following resolution:

"Resolution No. 28135.

Resolved, That Supervisor James B. McSheehy be and is hereby authorized and directed to proceed to the City of Washington, in the District of Columbia, and then and there present to the Congress of the United States a bill presented to the Board of Supervisors, granting permission to the City and County of San Francisco to construct a transbay bridge from the City of San Francisco to the County of Alameda; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the said James B. McSheehy be and is hereby given authority to consent to all minor changes in the wording of said bill which he may deem proper, provided that the said changes do not change the tenor or effect of said bill; and, be it

Further Resolved, That James B. McSheehy be and is hereby authorized and directed to use all honorable means and efforts to obtain favorable action of said Congress on said bill; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be hereby appropriated from the money in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, not otherwise appropriated, to defray his expenses to and from the City of Washington, and while remaining in said city and engaged in the presentation of or in the urging of the passing of said bill.

Finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, December 5, 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd

No—Supervisor Byington.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, December 8, 1927.

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor."

As instructed by this resolution, I presented to Senator Hiram W. Johnson and Congressman Richard J. Welch this bill, which is known as Senate Bill 1762, and House Bill 7487.

The plans and specifications of the proposed bridge are on file in booklet form, 35 pages, in the War Department, and they call for a combination high level, low level tube and bridge. Two tubes are called for under the Estuary. The cost of the structure and the estimated tolls are not given.

On March 21 and 22 the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee of the House of Representatives heard the applications for bridge permits to cross San Francisco Bay; Rincon Hill, City of San Francisco, Bridge Bill 7467; Telegraph Hill, Knutson Bill, No. 10760; near Hunter's Point, Webb Bill, No. 8712. The hearings consumed ten hours, eight hours of which were taken up by the City of San Francisco. Nineteen witnesses were heard. The committee consists of twenty-three members, seventeen of whom are lawyers, and only six have ever visited San Francisco. There was an average attendance of fourteen members. I counted twenty-one members present the first day.

The following facts were very forcibly brought out in the hearing:

1. San Francisco, Oakland, Berkeley and Alameda are united on our proposed site.

2. It is the shortest route between San Francisco, Oakland, Berkeley and Alameda.

3. It will serve all four cities from isolated points where there is no congestion.

4. Sixty-five thousand people cross the Bay twice a day.

5. The vehicular traffic in 1920 was 775,000; in 1927 3,500,000 and in 1934 it will be 6,000,000.

6. In the peak hours of the mornings and evenings ferry boats leave on an average of one every minute from the ferry slips. The average is 35 trips an hour, 24 hours a day.

7. It took the same time sixty years ago to cross the Bay in the ferry boats as it does today.

8. It takes 30 minutes to go from the City Hall in Oakland to the Ferry Building in San Francisco, a distance of about six miles. By the proposed Rincon Hill-Alameda Mole bridge route it will take 18 minutes.

9. Fogs are prevalent in San Francisco Bay the year round and especially in summer time. These are generally what are known as the tule fogs. They are often so dense you cannot see 50 feet ahead of you. Picture a steamer going through our Bay with ferry boats running at right angles to it every minute. Consider the danger to the steamer and the ferry boat which, in peak hours, carries 3000 people. Accidents have happened and accidents will happen if the congestion of the San Francisco Bay is not minimized.

Regarding the crossing of San Francisco Bay, on October 7, 1921, the War Department announced a policy dealing with the construction of bridges or tubes in which it was stated:

(1) That no bridge of any kind will be approved north of Hunter's Point.

(2) That no low bridge will be approved north of San Mateo.

(3) That a tunnel crossing the Bay will be approved in any location, provided that the highest part of the structure is placed at least 50 feet below mean lower low water, and proper compensation is made for obstruction of cross-section as affecting tidal flow.

(4) That a combined bridge and tunnel will be approved at a location south of the proposed Alameda Naval Base site, provided that the tunnel is so placed as to leave the channel along the San Francisco side unobstructed to a depth of 50 feet at mean lower low water for a width of 3000 feet, measured from the nearest point of

the pierhead line, and provided that proper compensation is made for obstruction of cross-section as affecting tidal flow.

(5) That not more than one crossing will be approved at present in any location north of San Mateo.

Favorable consideration will be given to a plan conforming to these requirements and having the necessary financial backing and the support of the local authorities of the cities and counties affected.

General Jadwin and Admirals Hughes and Gregory were very firm in reference to this policy and they quoted the same in their written statement in opposition to our bill.

Congressman Dennison, vice-chairman of the Committee, stated that 172 bridge permits were issued by Congress and the War Department from December 5, 1927, to March 22, 1928. They are issued in two forms: one by Congress direct, known as the interstate permit, and the other by the War Department, known as the intrastate permit. The passing of our bridge bill would mean that Congress would have to repeal the Act of March 23, 1906, regulating the construction of bridges over navigable waters. In other words, our bill is asking for the repeal of an act that would destroy one of the most important functions of the United States War Department, the department in charge of bridges over navigable waters. This department has officers and functions in every important harbor in this country. Here in San Francisco we have District No. 1 under the command of Colonel T. H. Jackson.

The hearing in the Senate Committee was somewhat similar to that in the House. There are nineteen members in the Commerce Committee of the Senate. It took ten hours to hear all the witnesses. The Knutson and the Webb bills were not heard, as they had not been presented in the Senate. There was an average of four Senators in attendance. Eleven witnesses were heard.

Senator Johnson conducted the entire proceedings.

During the hearing, Senator Jones, chairman of the Commerce Committee, stated that the assignment of construction to private companies was dangerous.

On April 17, 1928, the Committee on Commerce in the Senate reported the bill out just as suggested by Senator Jones, striking out three entire sections, or almost cutting the bill in half.

While riding to and from Washington I carefully read and analyzed the Engineers' Booklet Report for a combination bridge and tube. Here are three sections from said report:

"Page 89, Cost Estimate: Owing to lack of information regarding foundations, it was believed inadvisable to make any estimate of the cost of the bridge, as such estimate, if made, would be a little more than a guess and would be misleading. For this reason no discussion of the financial program is possible.

"Page 72: Proposals have been submitted to the Board of Supervisors to construct tunnels or tubes under the Bay or under the deep channel portion of it. Engineers of high standing have advocated such a solution of the transbay problem. This type of structure has not been given consideration in the report, as the language of the instruction limits consideration to bridges."

These instructions were given by the Board of Supervisors and as Chairman of the Supervisors' Committee I feel that I am safe in saying that the same body may modify its instructions.

"Page 82: Railroad and highway tubes will cross the Estuary about at right angles. The railroad tubes will be designed to provide for two tracks. If in the future a third track is needed, a single-track tube may be constructed adjacent to the first one. It is not wise to provide for it at this time, as it will probably not be needed for the first ten years of bridge operation. No pedestrian walk need be provided, but there should be refuge niches in the walls for the use of men working in the tube.

"The highway tube should be wide enough for a 20-foot clear roadway and a narrow foot walk for use in emergencies. This would provide for two lanes of vehicles. Facilities for adequate ventilation must be made in the design. It is estimated that a 20-foot roadway will be sufficient for the first installation, since not all of the automobile bridge traffic will use this tube. Some will use the Harrison-street tube, now under construction, and a considerable number will go on through Alameda. Should the traffic through the new tube grow at a more rapid rate than is anticipated, and it becomes necessary to do so, additional tube may then be constructed either alongside the first one, or at some other location that may then be selected.

"The tops of both the railroad and highway tubes must be 40 feet below mean low water and the approach grades will not exceed 4 per cent and 6 per cent for the railroad and highway tubes, respectively. The approaches at both ends will be open as they descend until they reach a depth sufficient for a roof below the surface of the ground."

On the 3d day of January I received a statement accompanied by a set of plans from C. M. King, engineer in charge of the construction of the tube under the Estuary, showing that a combination tube and low-level bridge between Oakland and Alameda could be built for $33,000,000.

The Construction Company of North America filed the following summary of cost and tolls for their high-level bridge from Rincon Hill to Oakland Mole:

Superstructure:	
2 decks, 6 railroad tracks, 6 auto lanes, including Oakland terminal	$ 28,750,000
Deck flooring, tracks, lighting and signals	9,000,000
San Francisco terminal, including land purchased and damages	7,500,000
Foundations	35,000,000
Contingencies, engineering, etc.	8,750,000
Financing	11,000,000
Total	$100,000,000

Also the following is an estimate of the tolls that will be collected in 1933:

	Number	Rate	Amount
Vehicular	6,000,000	80 cents	$4,800,000
Passengers (local)	54,000,000	6 cents	3,240,000
Auto freight (tons)	1,000,000	$1.20 per ton	1,200,000
Passengers (main line)	2,000,000	15 cents	300,000
Power lines, tables and concessions.			250,000
Total			$9,790,000

This proposed bridge was shorter than the one offered by our board of engineers. The company spent a large sum of money in gathering data and preparing plans and specifications. It was represented by three prominent local engineers, one of whom served as junior engineer for a number of years under the late Howard Holmes, who designed most of our harbor improvements for the State. R. C. Hackley and E. D. Bronson filed statements with their applications for high-level bridge franchise over almost the same route as called for in our bill, which would cost $100,000,000. It seems to be the unanimous opinion that the high-level tube and bridge recommended by our Board of Engineers will cost $100,000,000.

Congress adjourned on the 29th day of May to reconvene on the first Monday in December, 1928. Our bridge bill would have been reported out favorably by the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee of the House if the author of the bill would eliminate that portion of the

bill making it mandatory that the Secretary of the Navy approve the plan as called for. This the author properly refused to do, because if such section of the bill is omitted the bill would not mean anything; in other words, it would be an idle act. This is the crux of the situation as far as our legislation is at present concerned. The bill will not come out of the House Committee unless it is agreed that the Secretary of the Navy be given power to approve plans; it will not be voted upon by the Senate unless it is reported favorably by the House Committee. In other words, we face the necessity either of conforming to the War Department's wishes or of getting no bridge. The net result of our labors, therefore, is that we find ourselves again confronted by the Navy's objections, which, try as we might, we have not been able to overcome. It is chiefly for this reason, as well as others I shall set forth, that I now propose, and ask you to sanction, plans for a bay crossing that will meet the Navy's objections and will be economically feasible.

As chairman of the Bridge Committee I now suggest a modification of the bridge plan submitted by our Board of Engineers. Enclosed you will find four drawings, showing in detail this modification. The plans do not call for any change in route, either on the San Francisco or the Alameda side. They simply call for a low-level bridge and tube instead of a high-level bridge and tube recommended by our Board of Engineers. The ruling of the War Department dated October 7, 1921, paragraph 4, states that a combination bridge and tunnel will be approved at a location south of the proposed Alameda Naval Base site, provided that the tunnel is so placed as to leave the channel along the San Francisco side unobstructed to a depth of 50 feet at mean low water for a width of 3000 feet measured from the nearest point of the pierhead lines, and provided that proper compensation is made for the obstruction of cross-section as affecting tidal flow. This modification that I am suggesting will meet this requirement and will enable us to get a permit to erect a bridge across San Francisco Bay.

There are three fundamentals that govern all such enterprises—cost, receipts and disbursements. The cost of the bridge was not given because, as stated in the engineers' report, on page 89, information regarding foundations was not obtainable. Statements have been made that it will cost $300,000 to $500,000 for this information, and in this year's budget we have placed an item of $100,000 for "Bay Bridge Investigation," provided that no part of this item shall be expended but for this purpose unless and until the federal government has granted a permit for a bay bridge. In my opinion this money will never be spent for the present bay bridge as recommended by the Board of Engineers, because the War Department will never give a permit.

Soundings were made in the bay close to Goat Island, and they show that bedrock is 245 feet below the bottom of the bay. There is every reason to believe that the same condition exists at Rincon Hill, and if such is the case it would be impossible to erect a high-level bridge, because pressure is so great at 245 feet that it would be impractical to put a foundation down at that depth.

The present high-level low-level tube and bridge called for in the engineers' report dated May 8, 1927, will cost at least $100,000,000. I challenge any one to contradict this statement. I have positive figures showing the cost. An investment of such a huge sum of money would require a toll of at least one dollar per automobile. This is economically impractical. Now, why continue advocating the erection of a bridge that is impossible to construct? Why continue to advocate something for which we cannot get a permit? Gentlemen, do we want a bridge, or do we not? If we want a bridge, why, then, continue to advocate this impossibility? If we do want a bridge, let us look at it in a practical light and advocate something that is practical and something that we can receive a permit to construct.

It is nothing uncommon for a committee to change plans, especially

where certain objections arise that they cannot overcome. We are in that position in reference to our bridge plans. We have accepted a set of plans that we find we cannot receive a permit to erect. We also find that the cost is prohibitive. As business men, what are we going to do? We know a bridge must be erected across San Francisco Bay. We know that the proper location on the San Francisco side is Rincon Hill, and we know that the proper location on the Alameda side is the site designated by our Board of Engineers. Then why not change the plan?

The following is the cost of the modified plan that I am submitting to you:

Tube and Bridge.

Depot building, purchase of property, damage to property	$ 3,000,000
Beale-street cut, 1190 lineal feet	595,000
Beale-street tunnel, 2250 lineal feet	3,130,000
Tube, 8000 lineal feet	12,000,000
6000 lineal feet steel bridge	4,050,000
5000 lineal feet trestle bridge	2,000,000
6400 lineal feet tube bridge	5,120,000
17,000 lineal feet roadway	4,400,000
Engineering and so forth	3,429,500
Total	$37,724,500

Year 1934.

6,000,000 automobiles at 50 cents	$ 3,000,000
12,000,000 passengers, 2 to the machine	600,000
55,000,000 interurban passengers at 2 cents	1,100,000
1,000,000 tons freight at 50 cents	500,000
Receipts	$ 5,200,000

Disbursements.

Operating expense	$ 300,000
Maintenance, 2 per cent	754,490
Interest, 5 per cent	1,886,225
Depreciation, 2 per cent	754,490
Total	$ 3,695,205

There seems to be a grave doubt in the minds of some as to the feasibility of tube construction. Let me call your attention to the fact that the engineers in their plan advocate the erection of two tubes that are longer than the tube that I am advocating. The two tubes, as called for in the engineers' plans, were placed under the Estuary so as not to block the Oakland harbor. Is there anything unreasonable in the same request being made by the War Department which has charge of our harbor? The department has stated that they will give us a permit if we will meet with that request. I have quoted that section to you, paragraph 4 of their policy of October 7, 1921.

The cost of maintenance of a tube is far less than the cost of maintenance of a high-level bridge. I have figures here from the City of Pittsburgh showing that the cost of maintenance of two tubes 1⅛ miles long is a little less than $80,000 a year. I have an itemized statement from the superintendent in charge of these tubes.

The modified plan that I am suggesting will have a terminal at Beale and Mission streets. Within a radius of one-half mile of this point 100,000 people are working; at least 50,000 of them reside across the Bay and commute daily. It is an ideal point for a terminal. Beale street is an isolated street with very few buildings. It is at the foot of Rincon Hill; it is of a rock formation that lends itself readily to tunnel construction. It leads right into Pier 34, which is the pier that the engineers designate as the point to take off their

high level bridge. Tube construction is not new, but tube construction for automobile usage is new and New York has solved that problem for us. An estimated maximum daily of 48,000 machines pass through the Holland tubes and the ventilation is so perfect that the carbon monoxide has been reduced to four ten thousands parts of air. As I have stated, the City of Pittsburgh has two tubes running through the city 1⅛ miles long that are perfectly ventilated. The City of Oakland is just about to complete the tube that will connect Oakland and Alameda and its engineers have followed the New York plan of ventilation. This tube is 4800 feet long, a little less than one mile, and it has cost four and one-half millions of dollars, the exact amount allowed in the bond issue for the construction of the tube. It will be completed and ready for service about the 1st of September of this year. I honestly believe that if the board of engineers had the information that I am submitting to you here today in reference to this low-level bridge and tube, that they would have recommended that in their report instead of the high-level bridge and tube that they have recommended, assuming they did not have specific instructions otherwise.

As Chairman of the Bridge Committee I have some to these conclusions: 1. That a bridge is a positive necessity for the future welfare and prosperity of the cities of San Francisco, Oakland, Berkeley and Alameda.

2. That the Rincon Hill and the Alameda termini, as designated in the engineers' report, are the sites at which the bridge should be erected.

3. That the cost should be such that it would be practical to place a toll of not more than 50 cents per automobile and not a toll of one dollar that would have to be charged in case we should make an investment of $100,000,000. The modified plan that I am suggesting will cost $38,000,000, and you have an itemization of that cost before you.

In closing I desire to call your attention to paragraph 5 of the War Department's policy of October 7, 1921, in which it is stated that no more than one crossing will be approved at any location north of San Mateo. Now, gentlemen, we know the state of mind of our sister county to the south. Three members have stood loyally by us in reference to our wishes that a franchise be denied, but two have advocated the giving of a franchise. If one member should be won over to those two, a franchise would be given and San Francisco would be high and dry with no bridge across our Bay.

In this situation we are faced with the necessity of speedy and decisive action. I am honestly convinced and I have letters from scores of our citizens who agree with me that the only means of overcoming the War Department's objections, of bridging our Bay and of securing for our great communities the adequate transportation facilities they need and must have, is the modification of the plans along the lines I have suggested and the construction at once of a low-level bridge that will be legally possible, practical and economical. In this conclusion I trust I may have your agreement and in our future plans I shall seek your cooperation.

Motions.

Supervisor Marks moved that the bridge matters be placed in the hands of a committee which shall consist of the members of the Board, the Mayor, the City Engineer, the City Attorney and the three Special Bridge Engineers employed by the City; the Mayor to act as chairman.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Suhr, moved as an amendment that a committee be appointed by the Board of Supervisors consisting of his Honor the Mayor, the City Engineer, the City Attorney, the three Special Bridge Engineers and three members of the Board of Supervisors to be chosen with a view to their ability to

bring about results. Supervisor Colman accepted the suggestion that the three Supervisors to be named be the chairmen of the Public Utilities, Finance and Streets Committees.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing motions were *laid over one week.*

Extension of Junipero Serra Boulevard Into Burlingame.

Resolution No. 29459 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors and the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County, jointly initiated proceedings for the creation of a Joint Highway District, to be composed of the City and County of San Francisco and San Mateo County; and

Whereas, the Boards of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and of San Mateo County have heretofore duly adopted resolutions agreeing that said Highway District should be formed and that the same would be to the public interest of the said counties; and

Whereas, a Board of Directors has been duly appointed consisting of two representatives from the City and County of San Francisco, and one representative from San Mateo County, and said Board of Directors so duly appointed have compiled and returned to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco a report setting forth a tentative route of the proposed District Highway, determining the approximate total cost thereof, and the portion thereof that should be borne by each county respectively, a copy of said report being now on file with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approves the report of said Board of Directors of said Highway District submitted herewith and signifies its approval of the formation of such district, and declares its intention to join with the County of San Mateo in the creation and formation of said Joint Highway District; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo.

Communication from City Attorney.

The following was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and read by the Clerk:

August 11, 1928.

Honorable James B. McSheehy, Supervisor, City Hall, City.

Dear Sir:

From your letter of August 7th and from my own investigations I understand that a resolution has been introduced before the Board of Supervisors wherein approval is asked of the City and County of San Francisco that it join with the County of San Mateo in the creation of a joint highway district for the purpose of completing a highway connecting the City and County of San Francisco and Burlingame.

This resolution has been introduced in pursuance of an Act of the Legislature creating a joint highway district. This resolution, if adopted, approves a preliminary report submitted by the directors of the highway district to be created and also approves a report from the directors of said highway district setting forth the general route to be covered by said highway and fixes the percentage to be paid by the two counties, respectively.

Your objection to the adoption of this resolution is placed upon the ground that the City and County of San Francisco will be obligating itself to expend approximately $2,400,000 and you fear that the City and County of San Francisco may be called upon to expend in one year a sum not warranted by the income and revenue provided for such year.

Your contention is undoubtedly founded on Section 18 of Article XI of our State Constitution, but in my opinion your objections are not well taken in this instance.

The matter before the Board of Supervisors, as I understand it, is merely for the adoption of a resolution approving the report of the board of directors of said joint highway district, and signifying the intention of the City and County of San Francisco to becoming a party to said joint highway district, and does not call for the expenditure or appropriation of any moneys at the present time.

The Act creating a Joint Highway District provides that the board of directors are subsequently to levy an assessment upon the respective counties constituting such joint highway district, and in addition thereto may create another assessment district on private property benefited by the construction of said highway and provides that the respective counties may levy a tax of five cents on every one hundred dollar valuation of property in said county, for the purpose of raising funds for the payment of this assessment, and this tax is to be separate and apart from all other county taxes.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may by ordinance allocate from the revenues of the State Gasoline Tax any part or portion of the revenues derived therefrom for the repair, construction and maintenance of streets and highways within said City and County of San Francisco and this offers a possible way of paying the assessment levied against the City and County of San Francisco without direct taxation. I also understand that the money subsequently to be paid by the City and County of San Francisco is not to be paid in a lump sum but that the road will be constructed over a period of years and that payments will be called for only as warranted by the construction of said highway from time to time.

Therefore, I see no legal objection to the adoption by the Board of Supervisors of the said resolution.

Respectfully,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
By HENRY HEIDELBERG,
Deputy City Attorney.

Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Thos. Hickey of San Mateo County was heard in advocacy of the proposed measure. He declared that the proposition was in the interest of San Francisco and not San Mateo County, which does not need the new highway.

Supervisor Marks moved that matter be postponed one week.

RECESS.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Board take a recess until 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—8.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Suhr, Todd—6.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 1 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern,

McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

Extension of Junipero Serra Boulevard Into Burlingame.

Further consideration of Calendar No. 36, relating to the extension of Junipero Serra boulevard into Burlingame, was taken up and fully discussed.

Motion to Postpone Lost.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Marks' motion that the matter be postponed one week and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Todd, Toner—6.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr—7.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, Powers, Shannon —5.

Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll being called on the resolution providing for the extension of Junipero Serra boulevard into Burlingame, the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—11.

Noes—Supervisors Kent, McSheehy, Todd—3.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Proposed Bond Issues.

Supervisor McGovern moved that the City Attorney be instructed to prepare bond issue proceedings for the following: Playgrounds, $3,100,000; Hospital and Health, $3,500,000; McLaren Park, $2,400,000; Aquatic Park, $500,000; Civic Center, $1,000,000.

Also, on request of Supervisor Stanton, purchase of Marina Lands.

Also, request of Supervisor Schmidt, Central Warehouse and Garbage.

Also, request of Supervisor Kent, Airport.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further consideration of the foregoing was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m.*

SET-BACK LINE HEARING, 11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishing of set-back lines along portion of Girard street between Wildey and Harkness streets. No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8643, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portion of Girard street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 9th day of July, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 180 to establish set-back lines along portion of Girard street, and fixed the 13th day of August, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance

with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Girard street, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Wilde avenue and running thence northerly 200 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly to Harkness street, said set-back line to be 11 feet 6 inches.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Vacuum Pumps for San Francisco Hospital.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this date for furnishing vacuum pumps for San Francisco Hospital and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Processed Asphalt for Department of Public Works.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this date, for furnishing processed asphalt for Department of Public Works and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Redwood Tank for Laguna Honda Home.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this date, for furnishing redwood tank for Laguna Honda Home and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing on recommendation of the Finance Committee, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 29442 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(1) California Meat Co., meat, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1928)	$509.16
(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas furnished County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1928)	521.20
(3) Del Monte Meat Co., meat, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1928)	610.76
(4) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1928)	613.73
(5) Union Oil Company of California, asphalt (claim dated June 30, 1928)	658.60
(6) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil, June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928)	675.90
(7) The Texas Company, fuel oil, June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928)	1,219.96
(8) Flynn & Collins, New Ford Roadster, Police Department (claim dated June 30, 1928)	530.50
(9) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, month of June, 1928 (claim dated June 30, 1928)	656.66
(10) American La-France & Foamite Corporation, apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928)	860.09
(11) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928)	1,023.96

(12) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,781.75
(13) The Seagrave Corporation, apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) 527.20
(14) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,458.38
(15) The Texas Company, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) 649.68
(16) Steiger & Kerr Stove and Foundry Co., iron castings (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,038.02
(17) Board of Public Works, labor and material, traffic signal hoods (claim dated June 30, 1928) 607.82

Municipal Railway Fund.

(18) American Steel Foundries, car wheels (claim dated July 27, 1928) $1,464.00
(19) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., electric lamps (claim dated July 24, 1928) 1,025.86
(20) Board of Public Works, asphalt repairs, June, 1928 (claim dated July 24, 1928) 548.94

Installation Fund, 1927-1928.

(21) General Electric Co., Solenoids, Department of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1928) $1,382.42

Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 54.

(22) Capitol Decorating & Manufacturing Co., decorations, Fourth of July celebration, 1928 (claim dated August 6, 1928) $700.00
(23) San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce, publicity and advertising, National Air Tour, 1928 (claim dated August 6, 1928) 827.62

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(24) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, to reimburse revolving fund, maintenance of Hetch Hetchy Road (claim dated July 27 1928) $1,460.46

Auditorium Fund.

(25) J. L. Stuart Manufacturing Co., making of curtains in front of organ as per specifications (claim dated July 27, 1928) $748.00

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(26) Anchor Brass Works, lawn boxes and cocks (claim dated August 3, 1928) $1,575.00

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(27) Robert W. Jamison, third progressive payment contract 160, furnishing and installing rail bonds for the Sunset Line (claim dated August 1, 1928) $2,175.00
(28) Eaton & Smith, fourth progressive payment for construction of Sunset Line, Contract No. 155 (claim dated August 1, 1928) 53,025.00

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(29) Heywood-Wakefield Company, chairs, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated July 31, 1928) $1,258.74

Park Fund.

(30) Anchor Brass Works, lawn boxes and cocks (claim dated August 3, 1928) $630.00
(31) Montague Pipe & Steel Company, parts for well (claim dated August 3, 1928) 1,321.90

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(32) Morris & Co., butter, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) $1,767.67
(33) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing,

Guerrero street, 20th street southerly (claim dated June 30, 1928) 585.20
(34) California Rock Co., gravel (claim dated June 30, 1928) 4,284.10
(35) The Texas Company, fuel oil (claim dated June 30, 1928) 593.55
(36) Union Oil Company of California, asphalt (claim dated June 30, 1928) 4,026.04
(37) Western Rock Products Company, sand (claim dated June 30, 1928) 3,903.91
(38) Hugh McGill, first progressive payment for extension of Guttenberg street sewer (claim dated August 1, 1928)..... 1,500.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(39) Atlas Rock Company, sand and gravel (claim dated July 30, 1928) $2,302.82
(40) Atlas Rock Company, sand and gravel (claim dated July 30, 1928) 2,427.72
(41) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat (claim dated July 30, 1928) 812.94
(42) Kaiser Paving Company, labor and material (claim dated July 30, 1928) 884.11
(42a) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated July 30, 1928) 807.45

Special School Tax.

(43) Title Insurance & Guaranty Co., premiums and expense, school properties (claim dated August 6, 1928)..... $620.50
(44) MacDonald & Kahn, final payment, general construction, South Side High School (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 1,000.00
(45) Alta Electric Co., fifth payment, contract for electrical work on Annex of Paul Revere School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 505.50
(46) A. Lettich, fifth payment, contract mechanical equipment, Annex to the Paul Revere School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,070.97
(47) Frank J. Reilly, seventh payment, contract for general construction, Annex to the Paul Revere School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 8,594.17
(48) Thos. Skelly, fourth payment, plumbing work, Annex to the Paul Revere School (claim dated July 31, 1928).... 1,134.86
(49) Amoroso & Filippis, second payment, general construction, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 13,892.62
(50) A. Lettich, first payment, mechanical equipment, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated July 31, 1928).. 1,807.92
(51) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing work, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 696.75
(52) Meyer Bros., first payment, general construction, additions and alterations to the Jefferson School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 15,639.74
(53) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., first payment, electrical work, addition and alterations to the Jefferson School (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 584.63
(54) Thos. Skelly, plumbing work, first payment, additions and alterations to the Jefferson School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 598.50
(55) Scott Co., first payment, mechanical equipment, additions and alterations to the Jefferson School (claim dated July 31, 1928) 3,296.25

County Road Fund.

(56) The Fay Improvement Company, City's portion improving the roadway of Mariposa street between Wisconsin and Carolina streets (claim dated July 26, 1928)..... $1,320.00
(57) The Fay Improvement Company, City's portion of im-

proving the roadway of Carolina street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets (claim dated July 26, 1928).. 3,160.00

(58) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Buchanan street, Bush to California (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,505.86

(59) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Front street, Pacific to Broadway (claim dated June 30, 1928) 689.45

(60) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Drumm street, Jackson to Pacific (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,196.00

(61) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Jackson street, Presidio avenue to Walnut street (claim dated June 30, 1928)..... 2,210.15

(62) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Jefferson street, Leavenworth to Hyde (claim dated June 30, 1928)..... 1,345.05

(63) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Lyon street, California to Sacramento (claim dated June 30, 1928) 895.50

(64) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Maiden lane, Kearny to Stockton streets (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,201.20

(65) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Mason street, Union to Greenwich (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,058.35

(66) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1938, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Polk street, Golden Gate avenue to McAllister street (claim dated June 30, 1928)..... 651.65

(67) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Sacramento street, Hyde to Jones streets (claim dated June 30, 1928) 4,318.65

(68) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Scott street, Filbert to Greenwich (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,432.05

(69) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Seventh street, Mission to Brannan streets (claim dated June 30, 1928)..... 3,056.10

(70) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Steiner street, Haight to Waller (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,065.05

(71) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Steuart street, Market to Mission (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,905.75

(72) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Vanderwater street, Mason to Powell (claim dated June 30, 1928) 684.55

(73) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the recon-

struction of Grant avenue, Greenwich to Chestnut (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,600.40
(74) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Main street, Folsom to Embarcadero (claim dated June 30, 1928) 5,090.81
(75) Street Repair Department, General Fund, 1927-1928, to reimburse for materials and supplies used in the reconstruction of Mission street, resurfacing at Viaduct (claim dated June 30, 1928) 2,647.05
(76) T. I. Butler Co., gravel (claim dated July 30, 1928).... 1,156.00
(77) E. J. and M. J. Treacy, City's portion for the improvement of Capitol avenue, between Montana and Thrift streets (claim dated August 1, 1928)..... 2,430.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(78) The San Francisco Publishing Company, official advertising (claim dated July 28, 1928)..... $577.50
(79) S. F. Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, feeding and impounding animals, month of July, 1928 (claim dated August 1, 1928)..... 1,500.00
(80) Chase and Rae, to printing delinquent Tax List (claim dated July 26, 1928) 2,880.57
(81) Daniel J. O'Brien, contingent expenses for month of August, 1928 (claim dated August 1, 1928)..... 750.00
(82) Pierce Arrow Pacific Sales Co., to purchase of Pierce Arrow automobile for Mayor's office (claim dated July 11, 1928) 5,325.00
(83) A. Carlisle & Co., record book covers, etc. (claim dated August 1, 1928) 2,550.00
(84) Earle C. Anthony, Inc., Packard sedan, Board of Public Health (claim dated July 27, 1928)..... 1,910.00
(85) J. E. French Co., Victory coupe, Board of Public Health (claim dated July 27, 1928)..... 765.00
(86) Chester N. Weaver Co., Studebaker coupe, Board of Public Health (claim dated July 27, 1928)..... 1,130.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriations for Lands for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29443 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the Boulevard Bond Fund in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To David Ososke, for portion of Lots 27 and 28 and all of Lots 25 and 26, Block 5375 (100x100, more or less), as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29422, New Series (claim dated August 1, 1928)..... $9,000.00
(2) To G. D. Styles, for all of Lot 28 of Block 1714, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29421, New Series (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 2,100.00
(3) To Lazar S. Churich, May Vercevich and Mrs. Eva King, for all of Lot 3, Block 2389, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29421, New Series (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,625.00
(4) To Clara Bowen, for all of Lot 8, Block 1791, as per As-

sessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29421, New Series, (claim dated July 31, 1928) 7,050.00

(5) To Cathe C. Healy, for all of Lots 8 and 9 of Block 2437, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for Sunset Parkway, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29421, New Series (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 4,400.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation for Equipment for Golden Gate School.

Also, Resolution No. 29444 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, to defray the cost of the mechanical equipment for the Golden Gate School, Golden Gate avenue, between Pierce and Scott streets, to-wit:

Award of contract (P. J. Enright).....	$9,400
Extras, incidentals, inspection, engineering.....	1,000
	10,400

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation for Architectural Fees, Geary Street School.

Also, Resolution No. 29445 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, for architectural fees in connection with the construction of the Geary Street School, to be erected in block bounded by Geary, Cook and Blake streets and Laurel Hill Cemetery.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Plans for Construction of Geary Street School.

Also, Bill No. 8638, Ordinance No. 8146 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of the Geary Street School, and ordering the construction of the Geary Street School, to be erected in the block bounded by Geary, Cook and Blake streets and Laurel Hill Cemetery, and to enter into contract for the construction of said school in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of the Geary Street School, and to enter into contract for the construction of the Geary Street School, which construction is hereby ordered in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education, said school to be erected in the block bounded by Geary, Cook and Blake streets and the Laurel Hill Cemetery.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Ordering Improvement of Santiago Street.

Also, Bill No. 8639, Ordinance No. 8147 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Santiago street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement of Santiago street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Ordering Construction of Sunset Police Station.

Also, Bill No. 8640, Ordinance No. 8148 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Sunset Police Station, to be erected on City property on the east side of Twenty-fourth avenue, north of Taraval street, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Police Commissioners.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of the Sunset Police Station, to be erected on City property on the east side of Twenty-fourth avenue, north of Taraval street, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Police Commissioners.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Set-Back Lines on Thirtieth Avenue Between Santiago and Taraval Streets Amended.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8641, Ordinance No. 8149 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6482 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6482 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Thirtieth ave-

nue between Santiago and Taraval streets is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 4.5 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Transfer of Garage Permit, 1300 Mason Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29446 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Ruster be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted to W. Williams, by Resolution No. 23706 (New Series), for premises at 1300 Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Also, Resolution No. 29447 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Brazilian Hardwood & Mill Co. (Harmon Richards) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a planing mill and cabinet shop at 200 Paul avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over three weeks*:

Granting Supply Station Permit, Twenty-fifth Avenue and Geary Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwesterly corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Transfer of Supply Station Permit, Sixth and Folsom Streets.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29448 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lunsmann & Hummel be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to Fred E. Anderson, by Resolution No. 29298 (New Series), for premises on northeast corner of Sixth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Supply Station Permit, Plymouth Avenue and Sagamore Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29449 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation of America be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Plymouth avenue and Sagamore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Transfer of Garage Permit, 1375 Golden Gate Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29450 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That General Garage (Anthony Sagas) be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Robert F. Berman, by Resolution No. 28645 (New Series), for premises at 1375 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Transfer of Garage Permit, Mission and Ottawa Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29451 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation of America be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted A. G. Sala, by Resolution No. 28175 (New Series), for premises on the southwest corner of Mission and Ottawa streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Transfer of Garage Permit, 1520 Taylor Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29452 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Carl M. Eklof be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted R. H. Dorward, by Resolution No. 23023 (New Series), for premises at 1520 Taylor street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Granting Garage Permit, Fifteenth Avenue and Taraval Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29453 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted

permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Fifteenth avenue and Taraval street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

Also, Resolution No. 29454 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Academy of Sacred Heart, northwest corner of Jackson and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mr. Bissiner, 2430 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Bosco, 1186 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. P. Cremer, northeast corner of Frederick and Ashbury streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sarah A. Farrar, north side of Twenty-first street, 309 feet west of Guerrero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. G. Fawnland, 101 Woodland avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. R. Francis, northwest corner of Twelfth avenue and Moraga street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. A. Fritz, west side of Masonic avenue, 100 feet south of Frederick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. J. Grandeman, north side of Chestnut street, 200 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. J. Grandeman, west side of Baker street, 130 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Gulmes, north side of Oak street, 200 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. Jacobs, 365 Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Allan McDonald, 640 Camino Del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Marwedel, east side of Twenty-fifth avenue, 120 feet north of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Estelle Miller, north side of Sacramento street, 50 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. J. Grandeman, south side of Chestnut street, 170 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. M. Nordell, north side of Broadway, 200 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sonoma Creamery Co., 1435 Stockton street, 750 gallons capacity.

Geo. F. Volkmann, 2307 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

San Francisco Packing Co., southeast corner of Williams avenue and Phelps street, 150 horsepower.

Cresta Blanca Co., 705 Sansome street, 15 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation for Payment of Lands, Bernal Cut.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 29455 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of eight thousand two hundred and fifty dollars ($8,250.00) be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the Bernal Cut bonds, and to

be payable as hereinafter mentioned, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in action entitled City and County of San Francisco vs. Bernard Berzel and Bertha Berzel, Humboldt Bank, Victor Sporny and Elsie Sporny, defendants, and numbered 195126 of the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of land for Bernal Cut; that said sum of eight thousand two hundred and fifty dollars ($8,250.00) under the terms and provisions of said judgment, to be payable to defendants, Bernard Berzel and Bertha Berzel, subject to a first lien of Humboldt Bank, in the sum of two thousand five hundred dollars ($2,500.00) with interest thereon at six per cent (6%) per annum from August 1, 1928, and a second lien of said defendants, Victor Sporny and Elsie Sporny, in the sum of six hundred and sixty-seven dollars ($667.00), with interest thereon at six per cent (6%) per annum from August 1, 1928; be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Authorizing Contract for Traffic Markers, Buttons, Etc.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Bill No. 8642, Ordinance No. 8150 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and requesting the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for installing 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, 2000 turning buttons, removing and reinstalling approximately 2000 existing safety zone buttons, installing 1000 plain safety zone buttons, and installing 2000 reflector type safety zone buttons throughout the city.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and requested to prepare plans and specifications and to enter into contract for installing throughout the city 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, 2000 turning buttons, removing and reinstalling approximately 2000 existing safety zone buttons, installing 1000 plain safety zone buttons, and installing 2000 reflector type safety zone buttons.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $56,548.01, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following items were *laid over one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance	$104.15
Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs	35.17

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were, on recommendation of Finance Committee, *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium—Appropriation 56.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of July (claim dated August 13, 1928) $3,969.83

Library Fund.

(2) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 810.00
(3) Lewald Coal Co., fuel for public libraries (claim dated July 31, 1928) 535.55
(4) Librarian of Congress, Washington, D. C., catalogue cards for public libraries (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 500.00
(5) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated July 31, 1928) 3,566.70
(6) San Francisco News Co., public library books (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,829.22

Park Fund.

(7) J. A. Bryant, first payment, addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated August 10, 1928)..... $33,682.10
(8) J. A. Bryant, final payment, addition to Kezar Stadium under contract of April 27, 1928 (claim dated August 10, 1928) 29,907.25
(9) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for parks (claim dated August 10, 1928) 514.56

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(10) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt (claim dated July 31, 1928) $563.01
(11) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employes (claim dated August 3, 1928) 3,845.47
(12) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated August 3, 1928) 3,123.00
(13) Robert A. Smith, Inc., one Chevrolet roadster (claim dated August 3, 1928)..... 624.00

Special School Tax.

(14) Park Commissioners, for care of school grounds (claim dated August 3, 1928) $1,300.00
(15) R. Flatland, first payment, electric work for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated August 7, 1928)..... 1,240.70
(16) D. Zelinsky & Sons, first payment, painting work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated August 7, 1928) 2,252.25
(17) Joseph J. Rankin, third payment, architectural services for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated August 8, 1928) 868.42

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(18) Mary Di Vita—Silvio Di Vita, in full settlement of all claims for damage to automobile and for account of personal injuries arising out of collision with Municipal Railway car on or about November 6, 1927 (claim dated August 3, 1928) $1,650.00

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(19) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, Contract No. 6, section A, Bay Shore boulevard construction (claim dated August 8, 1928) $5,100.00

(20) Eaton & Smith, third payment, Contract No. 4, section B, Alemany boulevard construction (claim dated August 8, 1928) 15,000.00

(21) Federal Construction Co., second payment, Contract No. 3, section B, Bay Shore boulevard construction (claim dated August 8, 1928) 9,000.00

(22) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., third payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, section E (claim dated August 8, 1928) 7,500.00

County Road Fund.

(23) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated August 6, 1928) $989.04

(24) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated August 6, 1928) 607.64

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(25) Clarence B. Eaton, third payment, construction of Fillmore street main sewer, Contract 1, section A (claim dated August 8, 1928) $12,450.00

(26) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, first payment, construction of sewers in Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly (claim dated August 8, 1928) 4,800.00

(27) Frank J. McHugh, first payment, construction of sewer in Wawona street from Twenty-fifth to Thirty-fourth avenues (claim dated August 8, 1928) 4,350.00

(28) Department of Public Works, for labor and material performed and used in making of alterations and repairs at the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,704.97

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(29) Stephenson Construction Co., sixth payment, Contract 9, Hangar No. 2, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport (claim dated August 8, 1928) $5,000.00

(30) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department hydrants (claim dated August 8, 1928) 14,887.40

(31) Automatic Registering Machine Co., payment for 128 voting machines (claim dated July 1, 1928) 150,000.00

(32) Automatic Registering Machine Co., payment for 15,000 multiple pointer cover lockouts, Department of Elections (claim dated August 9, 1928) 1,250.00

(33) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., 250,000 pamphlets, Department of Elections (claim dated August 9, 1928) 1,400.00

(34) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., 293,000 envelopes for Department of Elections (claim dated July 26, 1928) 883.05

(35) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated August 13, 1928) 1,626.37

(36) Philip H. Sapiro, services of Municipal Band (claim dated August 13, 1928) 1,037.40

(37) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Journal and Calendar for July (claim dated August 13, 1928) 1,366.04

(38) Earle C. Anthony, Inc., one Packard sedan for Board of Supervisors (claim dated August 13, 1928) 3,405.00

(39) Howard Automobile Co., two Buick autos for Police Department (claim dated August 6, 1928) 4,548.92

(40) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 1,287.35

(41) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 1,093.81

(42) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 880.00
(43) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 1,471.32
(44) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 2,353.92
(45) Eureka Benevolent Society, Maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 3,651.18
(46) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 34,715.47
(47) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 11,800.00
(48) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 788.45
(49) Blue Ribbon Products Co., coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 607.50
(50) Eloesser-Heynemann Co., corduroy pants for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 572.33
(51) Garcia & Maggini, dried fruit for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 627.94
(52) Haas Brothers, foodstuffs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,551.84
(53) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,300.00
(54) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,745.89
(55) Sussman & Wormser Co., dried fruit for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 924.70
(56) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,503.09
(57) Dr. L. M. Wilbor, superintendent San Francisco Hospital, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated July 31, 1928) 3,500.00
(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,719.49
(59) J. E. French Co., one dump truck for San Francisco Health Farm (claim dated August 9, 1928) 1,465.00
(60) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Health Department (claim dated August 9, 1928) 1,330.23

Appropriation for Improvement of Harding Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Harding boulevard, under the direction of the Park Commission, to-wit:

(1) For expense of necessary fills, sum of $9,000.00
(2) For reconstruction, sum of 6,000.00

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Appropriation for Stationery and Printing for Superior Court.

Resolution No. 29457 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,100 be and the same is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 16C (Budget Item No. 283), Superior Courts, to the credit of Appropriation 14C (Budget Item No. 260), Sheriff, for the purchase of stationery, postage, printing, etc., for account of the Superior Courts.

(Request of Secretary of Superior Court dated August 6, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands for Hetch Hetchy Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29458 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands and easements over lands situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sums set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Amelia Pinheiro, Manuel Pinheiro et al., $1,641—

Parcel 1. A portion of lands of Amelia Pinheiro et al., located on the northeasterly side of the State Highway running from Niles to Mission San Jose approximately ½ mile southeasterly from County Road No. 1008. Containing 5.1 acres, more or less. Required for camp and dump site purposes.

Parcel 2. A strip of land 80 feet wide through Ex-Mission Survey No. 67. Containing 0.681 acre, more or less. Required for right of way for aqueduct pipe lines.

Parcel 3. Subsurface right of way easement for two aqueduct tunnels under land of Amelia Pinheiro et al., east of Parcel 1 hereinabove described.

Parcel 4. Right of way easement for electric transmission line and telephone line approximately following the alignment of the first aqueduct tunnel to be constructed under Parcel 3 above referred to.

(All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Maria L. Borge, $1,935—A portion of that certain 6.98-acre tract owned by Maria L. Borge and located on the northeasterly side of the State Highway running from Niles to Mission San Jose approximately 1700 feet southeasterly from County Road No. 1008. Containing 4.3 acres, more or less. (All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said lands and easements, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying said lands and easements to said City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Zone Change, Northwest Corner of San Bruno and Raymond Avenues.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8644, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of San Bruno avenue and Raymond avenue, for a distance of 115 feet on San Bruno avenue and a distance of 45 feet on Raymond avenue, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Blasting Permit, Great Western Power Company.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Great Western Power Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Evans avenue and Jennings street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Great Western Power Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Blasting Permit, R. J. O'Connell.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. J. O'Connell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property at the northeast corner of Missouri and Sierra streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said R. J. O'Connell, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Oil Tank Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

R. M. Blatchford, 2763 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Brown Estate, 2740 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco, south side of Elm avenue, 125 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cox Bros., northeast corner of Ninth avenue and Judah street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Del Ray Corporation, 923 Harrison street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alexander Elliott Co., west side of Fillmore street, 175 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Enterprise Oil Burner Co., 2434 Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. F. Horning, north side of Seventeenth street, 40 feet west of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Investment Properties, Inc., west side of Jones street, 125 feet south of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lillie Levison, 1254 to 1258 O'Farrell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Magnuson & Peterson, north side of Hayes street, 210 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capactiy.

W. H. McNelly, 2335 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

P. J. Menjoulet, northeast corner of Mason and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capactiy.

A. Nastovic, southeast corner of Ulloa and Granville way, 750 gallons capacity.

Mr. and Mrs. Sekera, north side of Eighteenth street, 250 feet 6 inches west of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edna B. Stemple, northeast corner of Geary and Collins streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Superior Home Bakery, 472 Castro street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Transfer, Texas Company.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation, by Resolution No. 25006 (New Series), for premises on the southeast corner of Post and Franklin streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Transfer, A. R. Walther.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. R. Walther be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Dave Carr, by Resolution No. 22053 (New Series), for premises at 421 Arguello boulevard.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Transfer, H. L. Schaffner.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Harry L. Schaffner be and is hereby granted permission to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Louis A. Bacciocco, by Resolution No. 16961 (New Series), for premises at 1530 Fell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Transfer, A. L. Cotterell.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. L. Cotterell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Chas. F. Miller, by Resolution No. 28921 (New Series), for premises at 834 Divisadero street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Transfer, J. F. and R. G. Pyne.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. F. and R. G. Pyne be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Curtis & Cusick, by Resolution No. 22890 (New Series), for premises on the northeast corner of Ocean and San Jose avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Amending Garage Ordinance.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, entitled "Regulating the Construction of Buildings Used as Public Automobile Garages; Regulating and Providing for the Storage and Use of Gasoline in Public and Private Automobile Garages; Repealing Ordinance No. 33 (New Series), approved July 16, 1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. All buildings hereafter erected, altered or changed, so as to be occupied as public garages for the purpose of storing automobiles, shall be of Class "A," "B" or "C" construction, except that public garages over one story in height shall be of Class "A" or "B" construction in all parts, excepting that the roof and the partitions of such portions as are used exclusively for office purposes may be of frame construction. The flooring of the first floor shall be concrete, with a system of ventilation with openings to the outer air at floor line, not less than six (6) by eight (8) inches for each ventilator. There shall at all times be maintained in every such building used for the aforesaid purpose two (2) chemical fire extinguishers of not less than three (3) gallons each where the floor space is less than five hundred (500) square feet, and one (1) additional chemical fire extinguisher for every additional five hundred (500) square feet of floor space used for such purpose. On the main floor of all public garages there shall be not less than four (4) barrels of clean, dry sand, each barrel to contain an iron scoop.

No part of any building which is used as a hotel, rooming house, lodging house, or hall, shall be used as a public garage for the purpose of storing automobiles.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity Committee.

Resolution No. 29460 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to remove, change and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

East and west sides Diamond street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.
Montague place, west of Montgomery street.
Grant avenue between Green and Union streets.
North side Vallejo street between Divisadero and Broderick streets.
Fella place, east of Powell street.
East side Taylor street, first north of Pacific street.
Northwest corner Bernard and Taylor streets.
North side Bernard street, first west of Taylor street.
South side Bernard street, first east of Jones street.
Northeast and southwest corners Bernard and Jones streets.
North side Bernard street, first west of Jones street.
South side Bernard street, first east of Leavenworth street.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

South side Chestnut street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.
Southeast corner Chestnut and Steiner streets.
South side Chestnut street between Steiner and Pierce streets.
South side Chestnut street between Pierce and Scott streets.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

Sagamore street and San Jose avenue.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Montague place, off Montgomery street.
Fella place, east of Powell street.
North side Clarion avenue, first pole east of Valencia street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Grant avenue between Green and Union streets.
Corner Beach and Stockton streets.
Northeast corner Polk and Beach streets.
North side Beach street, second pole east of Polk street.
East side Polk street between North Point and Beach streets.
Vallejo street between Divisadero and Broderick streets.
Silver avenue, first pole east of No. 830.
Silver avenue, second pole west of Yale street.
Diamond street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.
Thirty-first avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.
Southwest corner Bernard and Taylor streets.
South side Bernard street between Taylor and Jones streets (front of No. 52).
Corner Bernard and Jones streets.
Bernard street between Jones and Leavenworth streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Chestnut street, south side, first and third trolley poles west of Fillmore street.
North side Chestnut street, first trolley pole west of Fillmore street.
North side Chestnut street, first, third and fifth trolley poles west of Mallorca way.
Northeast and southwest corners Chestnut and Pierce streets.
South side Chestnut street, first trolley pole east of Steiner street.
South side Chestnut street, second and fourth trolley poles west of Steiner street.
North side Chestnut street, first trolley pole east of Avila street.
South side Chestnut street, third trolley pole west of Pierce street.
North side Chestnut street, second trolley pole west of Avila street.
Southeast corner Chestnut and Scott streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Extension of Sixty Days' Time to Clarence B. Eaton.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 29461 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after July 7, 1928, within which to complete the contract for the construction of Fillmore street main sewer, section "A," Contract 1, Tenth street. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor was delayed in starting the work by weather conditions. The work is now progressing satisfactorily.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Extension of Ninety Days' Time to Clarence B. Eaton.

Also, Resolution No. 29462 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work:

Sixty days' time from and after July 27, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Nineteenth street between Carolina and De Haro streets. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is practically completed and additional time is required pending issuance of the assessment.

Ninety days' time from and after July 27, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Rodeo avenue between Arleta and San

Bruno avenue. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by controversy over grades.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Extension of Ninety Days' Time to The Fay Improvement Company.

Also, Resolution No. 29463 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 10, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Rivera street under a public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work has been done with the exception of ordering the line and grade.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Intention to Change Grades on Clarendon Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29464 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 102859 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted July 13, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board, filed July 17, 1928, to-wit:

Clarendon Avenue

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 385 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard, 598.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly curb line of, at the bisector of the first angle easterly from Stanyan street, 613.12 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Stanyan street easterly sine, 618.00 feet.

Northerly line of, at the easterly boundary of the San Miguel Rancho, 633.07 feet.

Southerly line of, at the easterly boundary of the San Miguel Rancho, 634.53 feet.

On Clarendon avenue between the easterly boundary of the San Miguel Rancho and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 385 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard; and on Stanyan street between Belgrave and Mountain Spring avenues, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given, and the present official grade of Stanyan street at Belgrave and Mountain Spring avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Approving Map, Seventeenth Street, Treat Avenue and Harrison Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29465 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 103099 (Second Series) approve a map showing the realignment of Seventeenth street between Treat avenue and Harrison street; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the realignment of Seventeenth street between Treat avenue and Harrison street is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Acceptance of Deed from Southern Pacific Company to Lands for Opening of Seventeenth Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29466 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 14th day of June, 1928, between Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands for the opening of Seventeenth street between Treat avenue and Harrison street as shown on map approved by Resolution No. 103099 (Second Series) showing the realignment of Seventeenth street between Treat avenue and Harrison street is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared an open public street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Closing and Abandoning Portion of Twenty-sixth Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29467 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a certain portion of Twenty-sixth avenue as hereinafter described.

Whereas, this Board has by Resolution No. 29170 (New Series) declared its intention to close up and abandon a portion of Twenty-sixth avenue as hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Twenty-sixth avenue was duly given by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco by publication in the manner provided by Section 3, Chapter 3, Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Twenty-sixth avenue were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of a portion of Twenty-sixth avenue; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 29170 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said street is nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing of a portion of Twenty-sixth avenue, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of a portion of Twenty-

sixth avenue be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of Twenty-sixth avenue be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The said portion of Twenty-sixth avenue hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

All that certain portion of Twenty-sixth avenue from a line drawn parallel with and distant southerly at right angles 352 feet from the southerly line of Vicente street, southerly 185 feet to the southerly termination of Twenty-sixth avenue, as established December 28, 1925, by Resolution No. 24988.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Extension of Sixty Days' Time to Meyer Rosenberg.

Also, Resolution No. 29468 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Meyer Rosenberg is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after July 10, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Innes avenue between Newhall and Lane streets, and Mendell street between Hudson and Innes avenue under a public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work has been delayed on account of the installation of mains by public service corporations. The work is well under way, the grading, sewers and curbs having been constructed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Extension of Sixty Days' Time to J. F. Dowling.

Also, Resolution No. 29469 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. F. Dowling is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after August 6, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Ulloa street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues and other work, as described in Resolution of Award No. 101768 (Second Series). This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is practically completed and additional time is required pending issuance of assessment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades on Green Street Between Montgomery and Sansome.

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 8645, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Green street between Sansome and Montgomery streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 24th day of April, 1928, by Resolution No. 28857 (New Series), declare its intention to change

and reestablish the grades on Green street between Sansome and Montgomery streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Green Street.

Sansome street, 22.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 30 feet westerly from Sansome street, 23.80 feet.

137.50 feet westerly from Sansome street, 29.72 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 129.58 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 172.60 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 117.50 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 170.70 feet.

Montgomery street, 170.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Green street between Sansome and Montgomery streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades on Harrison Street Between Second and Third, and Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 8646, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Harrison street between Second and Third streets; on Vassar place between Harrison street and a line parallel with and 175 feet southeasterly therefrom, and on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets, and ordering certain street work as hereinafter described.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 24th day of April, 1928, by Resolution of Intention No. 28856 (New Series), declare its intention to change and establish grades on Harrison street between Second and Third streets; on Vassar place between Harrison street and a line parallel with and 175 feet southeasterly therefrom, and on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets, and to order the regrading, resewering, curbing, paving and the laying of artificial stone sidewalks on Harrison street between Second and Third streets, on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets, and on Vassar place from Harrison street 175 feet southeasterly therefrom; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention, and no protest of owners of a majority of the superficial area of the property included within the assessment district defined in said resolution of intention has been filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points

hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are changed and established as follows:

Harrison Street.

Second street, 50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Southwesterly line of Hawthorne street, produced, 39.06 feet.
118 feet northeasterly from Third street, 18.29 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Third street, 13 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Vassar Place.

Northeasterly line of, at Harrison street, 46 feet.
Southwesterly line of, at Harrison street, 45 feet.
50 feet southeasterly from Harrison street, 43.43 feet.
175 feet southeasterly from Harrison street, 45 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Hawthorne Street.

Northeasterly line of, at Folsom street southeasterly line, 31.97 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Southwesterly line of, at Folsom street southeasterly line, 30.03 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
50 feet southeasterly from Folsom street, 32.25 feet.
194.50 feet southeasterly from Folsom street, 35.86 feet.
100 feet northwesterly from Harrison street, 38.61 feet.
Northeasterly line of, at Harrison street, 40.31 feet.
Southwesterly line of, at Harrison street, 39.06 feet.

On Harrison street between Second and Third streets; on Vassar place between Harrison street and a line parallel with and 175 feet southeasterly therefrom, and on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. The following street work on said portions of the above-mentioned streets is hereby ordered to be done, to-wit:

The regrading, resewering, curbing, paving and the laying of artificial stone sidewalks on Harrison street between Second and Third streets; on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets, and on Vassar place from Harrison street 175 feet southeasterly therefrom.

Section 3. The actual cost of performing the work of regrading, resewering, curbing, paving and otherwise improving said portions of said streets as above specified shall be assessed upon the district described in Resolution of Intention No. 28856 (New Series), which is declared to be benefited by such changed or modified grades (except that the Board of Supervisors reserves the right in its discretion to order by ordinance that the whole or any part of the cost and expense of the work aforesaid or the damages resulting therefrom be paid out of the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco from such funds as the Board of Supervisors may designate).

Section 4. Ordinance No. 415 (New Series) and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades on Sanchez and Twentieth Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8647, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:
Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Sanchez street between lines respectively 10 feet northerly and 9.63 feet southerly from Twentieth street, and on Twentieth street between Sanchez and Noe streets.

Changing Grades on Noe Street Between Twentieth and Liberty, and Ordering Street Work, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8648, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:
Changing and establishing grades on Noe street between Twentieth

and Liberty streets and ordering the regrading and sewering and otherwise improving said portions of said streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 16th day of March, 1928, by Resolution of Intention No. 28601 (New Series), declare its intention to change and establish the grades on Noe street between Twentieth and Liberty streets and to order the work of regrading and sewering and otherwise improving said portions of said streets to be done thereon, and defining and establishing the district benefited and to be assessed for the payment of damages and for the expense of doing said regrading and street work, and directed the Clerk to publish for ten days in the official newspaper said resolution of Intention; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention: therefore.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Noe Street.

Southwest corner of Twentieth street, 246 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeast corner of Twentieth street, 250 feet. The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 251.80 feet.

Easterly line of, 16 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 276.50 feet. (For upper roadway.)

Easterly line of, 58 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 270.70 feet.

48.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 60 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 269.50 feet. (For upper roadway.)

77.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 69.50 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 267.50 feet.

58 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 85.5 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 266 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 85.50 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 265 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 114 feet northerly from Liberty street, 270.55 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 114 feet northerly from Liberty street, 268 feet.

Northeast corner Liberty street, 293.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northwest corner Liberty street, 287.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Noe street between Twentieth street and Liberty street changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. The following street work on said portions of the above mentioned streets is hereby ordered to be done, to-wit: Grading, paving, sidewalks, curbing, sewer appurtenances and the construction of a concrete retaining wall.

Section 3. The actual cost of performing the work of grading, paving, sidewalking, curbing, sewer appurtenances and the construction of a concrete retaining wall, and otherwise improving said portions of said streets, as above specified, shall be assessed upon the district described in Resolution of Intention No. 28601 (New Series), which is declared to be benefited by such changed or modified grade (except that the Board of Supervisors reserves the right in its dis-

cretion to order by ordinance that the whole or any part of the cost and expense of the work aforesaid or the damages resulting therefrom be paid out of the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, from such funds as the Board of Supervisors may designate).

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Castro Street.

Also, Bill No. 8649, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street, and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom, and Diamond street as shown on map entitled "Grade map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street, and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom, and Diamond street."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 1st day of June, 1928, by Resolution No. 29030 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street, and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom, and Diamond street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevation above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

On Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street, and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom, and Diamond street as shown on map entitled "Grade map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street, and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom, and Diamond street."

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades on Wilder Street.

Also, Bill No. 8650, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Wilder street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 270.13 feet easterly from Carrie street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 1st day of June, 1928, by Resolution No. 29029 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Wilder street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 270.13 feet easterly from Carrie street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Wilder Street.

7 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 266.93 feet easterly from Carrie street, 184.00 feet.

43 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 270.13 feet easterly from Carrie street, 184.30 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Carrie street, 168.61 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 50 feet easterly from Carrie street, 165.03 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Carrie street easterly line, 163.54 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, at Carrie street westerly line, 163.30 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 140 feet westerly from Carrie street, 162.00 feet.

Diamond street, 163.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Wilder street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 270.13 feet easterly from Carrie street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amending Ordinance No. 1061—Width of Sidewalks, Winnipeg Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8651, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and forty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office July 24, 1928, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and forty-nine, to read as follows:

Section 1049. The width of sidewalks on Winnipeg avenue between Sickles avenue and Alemany boulevard shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Establishing Grades on Elk Street.

Also, Bill No. 8652, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Elk street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sussex street southerly line and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Chenery street northerly line.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Elk street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sussex street southerly line, and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Chenery street northerly line, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed July 17, 1928.

Elk Street.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sussex street southerly line, 287.20 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 300 feet northerly from Chenery street, 263.30 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 204.23 feet northerly from Chenery street, 241.26 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 179.23 feet northerly from Chenery street, 236.33 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 154.23 feet northerly from Chenery street, 233.07 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Chenery street northerly line, 218 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Elk street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sussex street southerly line, and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Chenery street northerly line, established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Full Acceptance of Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8653, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Baker street between Jefferson street and Marina boulevard, Carolina street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets, Lower Terrace between Saturn and Levant streets and the intersection of Lower Terrace and Levant streets, Montgomery street and Union street crossing, Pacheco street between Dewey boulevard and Merced avenue, Merced avenue between Kensington way and Woodside avenue, intersection of Merced avenue and Pacheco street, intersection of Merced avenue and Hernandez avenue; intersection of Merced avenue and Garcia avenue, Garcia avenue between Merced avenue and Vasquez avenue, Hernandez avenue between Garcia and Hernandez avenues, Vasquez avenue between Garcia and Hernandez avenues, crossing Baker and Jefferson streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Baker street between Jefferson street and Marina boulevard.
Carolina street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets.
Lower Terrace between Saturn and Levant streets and the intersection of Lower Terrace and Levant streets.
Montgomery street and Union street crossing.
Pacheco street between Dewey boulevard and Merced avenue.
Merced avenue between Kensington way and Woodside avenue.
Intersection of Merced avenue and Pacheco street.
Intersection of Merced avenue and Hernandez avenue.
Intersection of Merced avenue and Garcia avenue.
Garcia avenue between Merced and Vasquez avenues.
Hernandez avenue between Merced and Vasquez avenue.
Vasquez avenue between Garcia and Hernandez avenues.
Crossing Baker and Jefferson streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8654, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Beverly street between Garfield and Shield streets, Beverly street between Shields street and Worcester avenue, Monticello street between Garfield and Shields streets, Elmira street between Augusta street and Silver avenue, Fifteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and crossing of Fifteenth avenue and Wawona street, Huron avenue between Naglee and Whipple avenues, Laidley street between Fairmount street and Harry and Noe streets, La Playa street between Judah and Kirkham streets, Lawrence avenue between San Jose and Winnipeg avenues and the intersection of De Wolf street and Lawrence avenue, Mendell street between La Salle and McKinnon avenues, Sussex street between Diamond and Castro streets and the intersection of Sussex street and Martin street, Taraval street between Twelfth and Fifteenth avenues, crossing of Thirty-eighth avenue and Ulloa streets, crossing of Visitacion avenue and Rey street, crossings of Mangels avenue and Baden street and Mangels avenue and Congo street, crossing of Thirty-sixth avenue and Santiago street, crossing of La Salle avenue and Mendell street, Santiago street between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth avenues; Twenty-second avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, Mariposa street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, crossing of Fitzgerald and Ingalls streets, intersection of Harkness and Mill streets, Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, crossing Prentiss street and Tompkins avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Beverly street between Garfield and Shields streets.

Beverly street between Shields street and Worcester avenue.

Monticello street between Garfield and Shields streets.

Elmira street between Augusta street and Silver avenue.

Fifteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and crossing of Fifteenth avenue and Wawona street.

Huron avenue between Naglee and Whipple avenues.

Laidley street between Fairmount street and Harry and Noe streets.

La Playa street between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Lawrence avenue between San Jose and Winnipeg avenues and the intersection of De Wolf street and Lawrence avenue.

Mendell street between La Salle and McKinnon avenues.

Sussex street between Diamond and Castro streets and the intersection of Sussex street and Martin street.

Taraval street between Twelfth and Fifteenth avenues.

Crossing of Thirty-eighth avenue and Ulloa street.

Crossing of Visitacion avenue and Rey street.

Crossings of Mangels avenue and Baden street and Mangels avenue and Congo street.

Crossing of Thirty-sixth avenue and Santiago street.

Crossing of La Salle avenue and Mendell street.

Santiago street between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth avenues.

Twenty-second avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Mariposa street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets.

Crossing of Fitzgerald and Ingalls streets.

Intersection of Harkness and Mill streets.
Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.
Crossing of Prentiss street and Tompkins avenue.
Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8655, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of France avenue between London and Paris streets, Ralston street between Randolph and Sargent streets, Shields street between Arch and Vernon streets, Thor avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets, Twenty-eighth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets, Prentiss street between Crescent and Tompkins avenues, crossing of Prentiss street and Ogden avenue, Twenty-fifth street between Rhode Island and De Haro streets; Montgomery street between Green and Union streets, Stoneman street between Folsom and Manchester streets, Victoria street between Garfield and Shields streets, Tioga avenue between Rutland and Alpha streets, Byxbee street between Garfield and Shields streets, Capitol avenue between Montana and Thrift streets, Venard alley between Chestnut street and its southerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

France avenue between London and Paris streets.
Ralston street between Randolph and Sargent streets.
Shields street between Arch and Vernon streets.
Thor avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets.
Twenty-eighth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets.
Prentiss street between Crescent and Tompkins avenues.
Crossing of Prentiss street and Ogden avenue.
Twenty-fifth street between Rhode Island and De Haro streets.
Montgomery street between Green and Union streets.
Stonman street betwen Folsom and Manchester streets.
Victoria street between Garfield and Shields streets.
Tioga avenue between Rutland and Alpha streets.
Byxbee street between Garfield and Shields streets.
Capitol avenue between Montana and Thrift streets.
Venard alley between Chestnut street and its southerly termination.
Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Spur Track, Illinois Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth.

Also, Bill No. 8656, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across a portion of Illinois street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across a portion of Illinois street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of an existing joint track of The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company and Southern Pacific Company, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, said point lying on the southern line of Twenty-fourth street produced westerly across Illinois street 33.50 feet, as measured on and along said southern line of Twenty-fourth street produced westerly from the eastern line of Illinois street; thence southwesterly on a curve to the right, having a radius of 603.81 feet, a distance of 74.0 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left, having a radius of 603.81 feet, a distance of 74.0 feet to a point in the center line of an existing joint track, said point lying 33.50 feet easterly from the western line of Illinois street and 147.55 feet southerly from the southern line of Twenty-fourth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur tracks shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Provided, that The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Improvement of Wisconsin Street Between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8657, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, and ordering the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, and to enter into contract for the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Construction of Nineteenth Avenue Extension.

Also, Bill No. 8658, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Nineteenth avenue extension from Sloat boulevard to the junction of Worcester avenue and Junipero Serra boulevard (Contract No. 14-A), approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement at an approximate cost of $370,000, the expense of said improvement to be borne out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the Nineteenth avenue extension from Sloat boulevard

to the junction of Worcester avenue and Junipero Serra boulevard (Contract No. 14-A): the work consists of grading, partial paving and drainage for a distance of approximately 6860 feet with a width of 144 feet over all, providing two 44-foot roadways, two 10-foot sidewalks and a 32-foot Municipal Railway right of way. The said work is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of $370,000, the expense of which is to be paid out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Construction of Section "E", Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Bill No. 8659, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of section "E" of the Bay Shore boulevard between Tunnel avenue and the county line, a distance of approximately 2500 feet, with a width of 125 feet, including 12½-foot sidewalks (Contract No. 11), approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of $100,000, the expense of said work to be borne out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the construction of section "E" of the Bay Shore boulevard between Tunnel avenue and the county line, a distance of approximately 2500 feet, with a width of 125 feet, including 12½-foot sidewalks (Contract No. 11), the said work is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of $100,000, the expense of which is to be paid out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Improvement of Junipero Serra Boulevard.

Also, Bill No. 8660, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement known as the Junipero Serra boulevard, from Sloat boulevard to the county line (Contract No. 13), by grading, initial paving and drainage, a distance of approximately 1.8 miles, 125 feet in width, including 12½-foot sidewalks; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement at an approximate cost of $500,000, the expense of said improvement to be borne out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the improvement known as the Junipero Serra boulevard, from Sloat boulevard to the county line (Contract No. 13), by grading,

initial paving and drainage, a distance of approximately 1.8 miles, 125 feet in width, including 12½-foot sidewalks, the said work is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of $500,000, the expense of said impovement to be paid out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement known as the Junipero Serra boulevard (Contract No. 13) conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Stipulations in re Junipero Serra Boulevard Improvement.

August 13, 1928.

The following was presented, read previous to action on the foregoing bill, approved and ordered *filed*:

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In connection with No. 61 on the Calendar, approving the ordering of the improvement of Junipero Serra boulevard, your Committee on Streets and Commercial Development wishes the Board to give its approval to the incorporation of the following conditions in connection with this improvement:

In so far as Block No. 7081, property of Matthew B. Brday, and the property of Mr. Harry Lorentzen, southwesterly from Ocean avenue, the City agrees to construct a standard sidewalk six feet in width along the western boundary of this land in such manner that the easterly line thereof shall coincide with this property on the westerly line, giving access to the occupants of this land.

The space between the westerly line of said sidewalk so to be constructed and the 12½-foot sidewalk right of way forming a part of the boulevard construction proper is to be parked and maintained with grass and low shrubs.

Upon the presentation of Mr. Brady's and Mr. Lorentzen's plan for the subdivision of said block, side-sewer connections will be put in from the existing sewer in the 20-foot right of way to their property line.

The committee wishes to advise the Board that the City Engineer worked out a solution agreeable to the property owners in connection with the St. Francis Circle. If the Board will approve the plans as submitted, construction of this boulevard improvement may proceed without delay.

Yours very truly,
COMMITTEE ON STREETS AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT,
By ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
Chairman.
ALFRED RONCOVIERI,
Member.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Closing Portions of Murray Street and Benton Avenue in St. Mary's Park for Playground Purposes.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that portions of Murray

street and Benton avenue be closed up and abandoned, as hereinafter described; and

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon portions of Murray street and Benton avenue, as follows:

Parcel 1. That portion of Murray street lying between the southerly line of Crescent avenue and a line joining the most southerly corner of Lot 15, Block 5804, to the most westerly corner of Lot 25, Block 5831, as per map of St. Mary's Park, recorded in Map Book "J," pages 47 to 55, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2: That portion of Benton avenue lying between the easterly line of St. Mary's Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "J," pages 47 to 55, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and a line joining the most southerly corner of Lot 18, Block 5831, to the most westerly corner of Lot 14, Block 5842, as per said map.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portions of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2; and be it further

Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portions of Murray street and Benton avenue be paid out of revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Murray street and Benton avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The Bulletin," as required by law.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Award of Contract for Heating Pumps for San Francisco Hospital.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 29470 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to the Worthington Company, Incorporated, an alternate bid submitted July 23, 1928 (Proposal No. 402), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Two steam heating wet vacuum pumps for San Francisco Hospital; said pumps to have 14-inch steam cylinders, 20-inch diameter of water cylinders, all 24-inch stroke, being the latest type of Worthington Blake-Knowles steam heating vacuum pumps, with 2 spare Tobin bronze piston rods with nuts and extra wrenches, and fitted with Wearite fibrous pump valves (sold by McGregor Engineering Company of San Francisco) for the sum of $3,357, delivered on foundation in engine room of San Francisco Hospital;

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Award of Contract for Lumber for School Department.

Also, Resolution No. 29471 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts be hereby made on bids submitted

July 30, 1928 (Proposal No. 406) for furnishing the following, viz.: Lumber for School Department.

Item No. 1—(a) Lot of soft wood for Industrial Arts Department to Van Arsdale-Harris Lumber Company, Inc., for the sum of $3,094.

Item No. 1—(b) Lot of hardwood for Industrial Arts Department to White Brothers, for the sum of $2,645.40.

Item No. 2—Lot of lumber for special classes to Sugar Pine Lumber Company, for the sum of $369.95.

Item No. 3—Lot of lumber for Mission High School to Van Arsdale-Harris Lumber Company, Inc., for the sum of $96.90.

Resolved, That no bonds be required, as said awards are for definite quantities for immediate shipment.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Award of Contract for Document Cases for County Clerk.

Also, Resolution No. 29472 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts be hereby made on bids submitted July 16, 1928 (Proposal No. 391), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Item No. 1—20 steel document cases for County Clerk, to the Gunn Furnture Company for the sum of $2,197.

Item No. 2—10 steel document cases for Justices' Clerk, to Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Company for the sum of $979.

Resolved, That no bonds be required as said awards are for definite quantities for prompt shipment.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Amending Traffic Ordinance.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in the direction indicated hereon:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly on Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Stockton street.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows.

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection:

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Section 36 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time

longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the Central Traffic District or in any business district during any hour of any day;

(2) In any loading zone between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day;

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day;

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of any day;

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public buildings between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays;

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business;

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. of any day;

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance, provided that in the Central Traffic District or in any business district, as herein defined, a curb space not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Accepting Offers from Mary F. Marchant et al. to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 29745 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following de-

scribed land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Mary F. Marchant, $4,500—Portion of Lot 1B, Block 6690, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Emilie Ladira, $550—Portion of Lot 21, Block 6691, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

John F. McGowan, $9,600—Lot 12, Block 6687, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Margaret E. Behlmer, $5,000—Lots 13 and 14, Block 6746, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Leave of Absence, Hon. Matthew I. Brady.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., August 13, 1928.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Matthew I. Brady, District Attorney, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing August 13, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29474 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Matthew Brady, District Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of sixty days, commencing August 13, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for the Observance of Columbus Day, October 12, 1928.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 29473 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Rolph be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make the necessary arrangements for the observance of Columbus Day, October 12, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Invitation to Attend Coastside Civic Union Meeting.

The following was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and read by the Clerk:

Moss Beach, California, August 7, 1928.

Mr. Andrew J. Gallagher, Chairman Streets and Commercial Development Committee, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Mr. Gallagher: Your letter of July 6th to Mr. J. H. Bassinet of Rockaway Beach was read at the July 9th meeting of the Coastside Civic Union held at Rockaway Beach.

By uanimous vote a motion was passed expressing our appreciation of your interest in the welfare of the coastside of San Mateo County and I was instructed by the same motion to invite you to attend our next meeting to be held the evening of the 13th of August in Half Moon Bay and bring with you the entire Street Committee, any other Supervisors that would care to come, and also bring along Congressman "Dick" Welsh, who is a great booster of good roads.

Looking forward to the anticipated pleasure of having you with us at that time, I am

Very truly yours,

R. GUY SMITH,
Secretary Coastside Civic Union of San Mateo County.

(Those who were able, were requested to attend meeting.)

Welcome Home to Olympic Games Athletes.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 29456 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, California athletes have brought glory and distinction to this State and to the entire Nation in the Olympic games at Amsterdam; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby requested to appoint a citizens' committee to make fitting arrangements for the public welcome and acclaim of California athletes participating in the recent Olympic games upon their return to this State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Powers, Shannon—4.

Report of Streets Committee on Street Reconstruction.

The following report, laid over from last meeting, was declared *adopted*:

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your Committee on Streets and Commercial Development submits herewith its recommendations for street reconstruction for the fiscal year 1928-1929, totaling $328,736, to be paid for out of County Road Funds. This is in addition to the $200,745 included in the Budget, copy of which is also appended. These recommendations come as a result of conference with and the approval of the City Engineer, the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, Traffic Engineer George D. Burr, Captain Charles Goff of the Traffic Bureau, San Francisco Police Department, and your committee. It will be noted that a large number of streets recommended are "major traffic" and "arterial" streets, on which there is very heavy travel. Wherever possible it is recommended that the existing pavements be resurfaced instead of tearing up and reconstructing same. In the engineers' opinion the resurfacing of these streets has passed the experimental stage, and a great deal of this work can be done to the advantage of both the traffic using the streets and the finances of the City.

The complete tentative set-up from both the County Road Fund and the Budget for street work during the ensuing year is as follows:

1.	Street reconstruction	$ 529,481
2.	Street maintenance	301,200
3.	Street work in front of City property	150,000
4.	Market street extension	100,000
5.	Laguna Honda boulevard	150,000
6.	Golden Gate Park roads	50,000
7.	Street widening	150,000
8.	Special projects	150,000
9.	Purchase of equipment (Street Department, Board of Public Works)	100,000
10.	Assistance to outside counties, highway districts, etc...	100,000
	Total	$1,780,681

Item No. 2 (Maintenance) is in accordance with the action recently taken by the Board of Supervisors.

Item No. 3 includes $50,000 set up in the Budget, with an additional $100,000 from the County Road Fund. This sum is required so that none of this important work will be delayed, as has been the case in past years, and is in furtherance of the policy of this committee and the Board that where the property owners are willing to do their part it is our policy that the City, if finances permit, take care of its share.

Item No. 4 ($100,000) provides for the completion of the Market street extension (lower road around Twin Peaks), which will become a heavy-duty artery out of San Francisco and down the Peninsula.

Item No. 5 (Laguna Honda boulevard, $150,000). This will provide for the construction of the necessary retaining walls and temporary pavement of a roadway 40 feet in width, with six-foot sidewalks; the temporary construction being for purposes of settlement. This is in addition to the appropriation of $36,000 already made for construction of sewers (now under way), and makes a contribution of $186,000 by the City for this project, which will cost an additional $100,000, a grand total of $286,000 when completed.

Item No. 6 ($50,000). Your committee, in conjunction with the City Engineer, is carrying on negotiations with the Park Commissioners for the improvement of the roads in Golden Gate Park, as they feel that the time has arrived when this is necessary. The Park Commission states it is unable to do any permanent construction on the park roads out of its current funds, and is in consonance with an agreement made between your Finance Committee and the Commission at Budget time. The Park Commission requested the inclusion of $450,000 for permanent roads in Golden Gate Park. This program contemplates construction of a concrete road from Twenty-fourth avenue and Fulton street to Nineteenth avenue and Lincoln way, and further contemplates a so-called straddle road to take the full flow of travel from the Sunset District around the Kezar Stadium to Stanyan or Waller streets.

Item No. 7 (Street Widening, $150,000). This street widening program will be recommended in due time after conference with traffic officials and associations, so that streets most necessary to speed up travel will be taken care of first. It will provide for the proposed widening of a number of streets, among which are Van Ness avenue (Market to California); Army street (Mission to San Bruno); Valencia street, its full length; Fulton street (Stanyan to Twelfth avenue), and Stanyan street (Frederick to Fulton streets).

Item No. 8 ($150,000) provides for assistance to property owners in cases where the cost of street work would be prohibitive and be tantamount to confiscation of property. Of this $150,000 the committee, and in some cases the entire Board, has already pledged the sum of $134,324, and has requests for many times that amount. This allotment may be enlarged as the occasion demands. Following is the list of those projects to which up to this time have been pledged the following amounts:

Santa Ynez avenue, extension to Ocean avenue	$ 1,250
Louisburg street, connections between Margaret avenue, Majestic avenue and Tara street	4,500
Coso avenue, Prospect to Winfield streets	15,000
Wisconsin street, Twenty-third to Twenty-fifth streets	17,000
Oakwood street, opening through to Nineteenth street	10,000
Carolina street, Twenty-second to Twenty-third streets	21,406
Minna street, opening through to Eleventh street	4,500
Stanyan and Frederick streets, acquisition of property for widening of Stanyan street	10,268
Laidley street, Thirtieth to Noe streets	15,900
Lower Terrace, Saturn to Levant streets	1,500
Bartlett street, Twenty-first to Twenty-second streets, widening	5,000
Twentieth street, Noe to Sanchez streets	18,000
Sunnyside District, new street, resubdivision	10,000
Total	$134,324

Item No. 9 ($100,000) provides for the purchase of trucks to be used exclusively on street work by the Board of Public Works, and is included herein at the request of your Finance Committee made at Budget time. This purchase will, if consummated, eliminate (except in very few instances) the hiring of trucks, as is now the case, and result in considerable saving.

Item No. 10 ($100,000) will provide for San Francisco's participation in the Tri-Counties Joint Highway District No. 9, composed of the counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco; the Joint Highway District for the extension of Junipero Serra boulevard into San Mateo County, and for the widening of San Jose avenue from Sickles avenue to a connection with the State highway at Colma (which will be a "bottleneck" on completion of the Bernal Cut. This work will be done in a spirit of cooperation with our sister counties to the south.

The allotment made for street reconstruction under control of the Board of Public Works will provide for approximately 200 blocks of streets, or about 16.7 miles. This is, of course, in addition to the regular maintenance of our streets, and the committee intends to coordinate this work so that important traffic streets will be conditioned.

In consideration of the fact that the Board has been petitioned a number of times to have a portion of our street work done under public contract, we are glad to report that in response to these petitions and public opinion that approximately $800,000 of this program will be let to public contract and with the consent of the Board of Public Works.

This Board and the public will be interested in learning that this set-up is a small part of a twenty million dollar program of street and road construction contemplated and under way, under the jurisdiction of the Streets Committee and your Board, which is approximately as follows:

Street program	$ 1,780,000
Boulevard bond issue	9,380,000
Highway districts	8,200,000
Bernal Cut	1,400,000
Total	$20,760,000

There are a number of streets badly in need of reconstruction which are an obligation of the steam railroad companies, amounting to approximately $500,000, and your committee intends to commence proceedings insisting that these obligations be fulfilled where necessary. Your committee also desires to inform the Board that it has conferred with officials of the Market Street Railway Company looking to the fulfillment of that company's obligations in connection with this program, and has proposed the elimination of the street car tracks on Howard street, providing for the full width of that street for vehicular traffic.

Many complaints have been received by your committee from residents on streets which have asphaltic pavement on the sides and basalt block center strips. It is an admitted fact that heavy travel over the basalt block center strips on streets of this kind shakes the houses, causing them to crack, etc., and is a source of considerable annoyance to the residents. As fast as possible your committee intends to remove these blocks and, except on steep grades, replace with asphalt pavement. Your committee has adopted a policy, in practically every instance, of removing the antiquated round cobblestones and replacing them with concrete or some other type of modern pavement.

At the present time there is an ordinance prohibiting the use of "patented pavements." Your committee may shortly present to the Board an amendment to this ordinance which will permit the use of patented pavements, subject to Charter regulations. We believe that in many instances a great saving will result if this modification is effected.

The committee recommends to the Board the adoption of a policy, not heretofore in vogue, that wherever it can be legally done, that where streets are widened and new roads constructed, that street lights, traffic signals and pedestrian underpasses be constructed out of funds under your jurisdiction. This work is to be done in cooperation with the Traffic and Lighting Committees.

All estimates included in this report have been made up by the City Engineer under the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Works.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER, Chairman;
FRED SUHR,
ALFRED RONCOVIERI,
Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.

1928-1929 Budget.

Mission and Potrero Districts.

Bernal Park and Boulevard	$20,000	
Chenery street, Thirtieth to Diamond streets	5,000	
Duboce avenue, Noe to Market streets (widening)	15,000	
Elizabeth street, Hoffman to Douglass streets	3,500	
Eureka street, Eighteenth to Twenty-first streets	10,000	
Miguel street, Laidley to Chenery streets	1,800	
Seventeenth street, Douglass street to Roosevelt way	20,000	
Sixteenth street, Castro to Flint streets	3,400	
Total		$ 78,700

South of Market Street District.

Folsom street, Eleventh to Fourteenth streets (surface)	$ 8,300	
Hayward street, Eighth to Ninth streets	2,500	
Sixth street, Harrison to Brannan streets	13,500	
Shipley street, Fourth street to Eliza place	6,865	
Shipley street, Fifth to Sixth streets	9,280	
Tehama street, Eighth to Ninth streets	1,800	
Total		42,245

North of Market Street, West of Van Ness Avenue.

Broderick Street, McAllister to Fulton streets	$ 4,000	
Fulton street, Masonic avenue to Stanyan streets (widening)	10,500	
Pierce street, Filbert to Greenwich streets	4,000	
Scott street, Clay to Jackson streets	8,000	
Scott street, Fell street to Duboce avenue (widening)	12,800	
Hillway avenue, Carl street to Parnassus avenue	4,000	
Total		43,300

North of Market Street, East of Van Ness Avenue.

Clay street, Larkin to Hyde streets, and crossing of Hyde street	$ 4,000	
Green street, Polk street to Van Ness avenue	6,500	
Jones street, Pine to California streets	4,000	
Kearny street, Geary street to Columbus avenue (resurface)	6,000	
Taylor street, Pine to California streets	4,500	
Total		25,000

Sunset-Parkside District.

Lincoln way, First avenue westerly (resurfacing)	$10,000	
Total		10,000

Richmond District.

Seventh avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton streets	$ 1,000	
Total		1,000
Grand Total Budget		$200,745

County Road Fund.

Sunset-Parkside District.

Lincoln way, First avenue westerly (M. R. R. resurface)	$20,000	
Fifth avenue, Irving to Judah (center strip)	1,350	
Eighteenth avenue, Kirkham to Judah (strip)	3,000	
Total		$ 24,350

South of Market Street District.

Fremont street, Howard to Mission streets (resurface)	$ 4,500	
Folsom street, Fremont street to The Embarcadero (resurface one-half; new curb)	7,750	
Natoma street, Fremont to First street (surface)	3,250	
Second street, Howard to Folsom (surface east side)	1,400	
Kansas street, Sixteenth to Division streets (exact type of work to be done not yet decided by City Engineer)	.....	
Fourth street, Mission to Townsend (surface; shim rails)	14,000	
Fifteenth street, Folsom to Harrison (reconstruct fill)	3,500	
Jessie street, Ninth to Tenth streets (curb and resurface)	1,120	
Clementina street, First to Second streets (reconstruct)	9,000	
Steuart street, Mission to The Embarcadero (one-half new curb; resurface)	12,000	
Harrison street, The Embarcadero to Spear (resurface)	2,912	
Spear street, Mission to Howard streets (one-half new curb; resurface)	3,000	
Ritch street, Brannan to Townsend (reconstruct)	5,125	
Mississippi street, Sixteenth to Seventeenth (resurface)	1,500	
Essex street, Folsom to Harrison (resurface)	1,000	
Minna street, Second to New Montgomery (resurface)	700	
Bryant street, Alameda to Sixteenth (reconstruct)	9,750	
Bryant street, Division to Alameda (resurface)	1,500	
Third street, Mission to Townsend (resurface)	15,000	
First street, Folsom to Harrison	5,000	
Total		102,007

North Beach District.

Lombard street, Grant avenue to Kearny (center strip)	$ 1,750	
Grant avenue, Pine to Filbert (resurface)	6,500	
Stockton street, from the tunnel to Filbert street (resurface)	6,300	
Jones street, Filbert to Union (reconstruct)	3,600	
Chestnut street, Powell to Taylor (curb; resurface)	4,125	
Pacific street, Columbus avenue to The Embarcadero (resurface)	8,440	
Total		30,715

Potrero and Mission Districts.

Potrero avenue, easterly side (reconstruct)	$80,000	
Duncan street, Sanchez to Noe (reconstruct)	3,500	
Douglass street, Seventeenth to Eighteenth (concrete)	2,100	
Noe street, Nineteenth to Twentieth (concrete)	5,000	
Duboce avenue, Castro street to Buena Vista avenue (concrete)	7,500	
Fifteenth street, Beaver street to Buena Vista avenue (reconstruct; widen)	5,000	
Twenty-sixth street, Dolores to Guerrero streets	3,000	
Total		106,100

North of Market Street, East of Van Ness Avenue.

Pleasant street, Taylor to Jones streets	$ 3,500	
Larkin street, McAllister to Post streets (resurface)	6,000	
Mason street, Bush to Post streets (reconstruct)	3,600	
Pine street, Larkin street to Van Ness avenue (resurface)	3,864	
Sacramento street, Polk to Larkin (curbs and resurface)	2,400	
Washington street, Powell to Mason (reconstruct)	4,000	
Taylor street, Pacific to Jackson streets (resurface)	1,500	
Glover street, Jones to Leavenworth (reconstruct)	4,500	
Lombard street, Polk street to Van Ness avenue (center strip)	1,500	
Green street, Polk to Larkin streets (reconstruct)	6,500	
Mason street, Washington to Clay (reconstruct)	3,600	
Leavenworth street, California to Clay streets (concrete center strip; resurface sides and curb)	5,000	
Washington street, Polk to Larkin (surface and curb)	2,400	
Total		48,364

Park-Presidio-Richmond District.

Third avenue, California to Clement (resurface)	$ 2,400	
Eighth avenue, California to Clement (resurface)	2,400	
Second avenue, Lake to Fulton (repairs) (preferred attention)	.....	
Fourth avenue, Lake to Fulton (repairs)	.....	
Geary street, Presidio to Twenty-eighth avenues (repairs)	.....	
Arguello boulevard, Geary to Fulton streets (repairs)	.....	
Total		4,800

North of Market Street, West of Van Ness Avenue.

Octavia street, California to Sacramento (reconstruct)	$ 4,500	
Bush street, Scott to Divisadero (resurface where necessary)	3,000	

Fell street, Fillmore to Steiner (center strip)	2,200	
Fell street, Pierce to Scott (center strip)	2,200	
Webster street, McAllister to Golden Gate avenue (resurface)	1,200	
Total		13,100
Grand Total County Road Fund		$329,436

Mayor Recommends Additional Clerk for Public Defender.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, August 13, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application having been made to me by the Public Defender of this City and County for authority to appoint an additional clerk in his office, and having found, upon investigation, that such an additional clerk is necessary, I respectfully recommend that you concur with me in appointing such clerk to the Public Defender at a salary of $150 per month.

This recommendation is made in accordance with the provisions of Section 35, Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Referred to Finance Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at 5:30 p. m. adjourned.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 20, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I. John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
No. 35

Monday, August 20, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 20, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 20, 1928, 0 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend Minutes of August 13, 1928, page 1668, under heading "Stipulation in re Junipero Serra Boulevard," by correcting "Matthew B. Brady" to Matthew V. Brady.

So ordered.

Thereupon the Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 13, 1928, was considered read and approved.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Linseed Oil, Etc.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing linseed oil, shellac, turpentine, lead, litharge, mineral brown and putty, and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Action Deferred.

The following was *continued one week:*

BRIDGE MATTERS.

Upon the discharge of the Special Bridge Committee at last meeting the following motions were made:

Motions.

Supervisor Marks moved that the bridge matters be placed in the hands of a committee which shall consist of the members of the Board, the Mayor, the City Engineer, the City Attorney and the three Special Bridge Engineers employed by the City; the Mayor to act as chairman.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 29476 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium—Appropriation 56.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of July (claim dated August 13, 1928) $3,969.83

Library Fund.

(2) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 810.00
(3) Lewald Coal Co., fuel for public libraries (claim dated July 31, 1928) 535.55
(4) Librarian of Congress, Washington, D. C., catalogue cards for public libraries (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 500.00
(5) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated July 31, 1928) 3,566.70
(6) San Francisco News Co., public library books (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,829.22

Park Fund.

(7) J. A. Bryant, first payment, addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated August 10, 1928)..... $33,682.10
(8) J. A. Bryant, final payment, addition to Kezar Stadium under contract of April 27, 1928 (claim dated August 10, 1928) 29,907.25
(9) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for parks (claim dated August 10, 1928) 514.56

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(10) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt (claim dated July 31, 1928) $563.01
(11) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employes (claim dated August 3, 1928) 3,845.47
(12) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated August 3, 1928) 3,123.00
(13) Robert A. Smith, Inc., one Chevrolet roadster (claim dated August 3, 1928)..... 624.00

Special School Tax.

(14) Park Commissioners, for care of school grounds (claim dated August 3, 1928) $1,300.00
(15) R. Flatland, first payment, electric work for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated August 7, 1928)..... 1,240.70
(16) D. Zelinsky & Sons, first payment, painting work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated August 7, 1928) 2,252.25
(17) Joseph J. Rankin, third payment, architectural services for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated August 8, 1928) 868.42

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(18) Mary Di Vita—Silvio Di Vita, in full settlement of all claims for damage to automobile and for account of personal injuries arising out of collision with Municipal Railway car on or about November 6, 1927 (claim dated August 3, 1928) $1,650.00

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(19) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, Contract No. 6, section A, Bay Shore boulevard construction (claim dated August 8, 1928) $5,100.00
(20) Eaton & Smith, third payment, Contract No. 4, section B, Alemany boulevard construction (claim dated August 8, 1928) 15,000.00
(21) Federal Construction Co., second payment, Contract No. 3, section B, Bay Shore boulevard construction (claim dated August 8, 1928) 9,000.00

(22) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., third payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, section E (claim dated August 8, 1928) 7,500.00

County Road Fund.

(23) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated August 6, 1928) $989.04
(24) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated August 6, 1928) 607.64

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(25) Clarence B. Eaton, third payment, construction of Fillmore street main sewer, Contract 1, section A (claim dated August 8, 1928) $12,450.00
(26) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, first payment, construction of sewers in Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly (claim dated August 8, 1928)..... 4,800.00
(27) Frank J. McHugh, first payment, construction of sewer in Wawona street from Twenty-fifth to Thirty-fourth avenues (claim dated August 8, 1928)..... 4,350.00
(28) Department of Public Works, for labor and material performed and used in making of alterations and repairs at the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1928) 1,704.97

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(29) Stephenson Construction Co., sixth payment, Contract 9, Hangar No. 2, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport (claim dated August 8, 1928)..... $5,000.00
(30) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department hydrants (claim dated August 8, 1928)..... 14,887.40
(31) Automatic Registering Machine Co., payment for 128 voting machines (claim dated July 1, 1928).....150,000.00
(32) Automatic Registering Machine Co., payment for 15,000 multiple pointer cover lockouts, Department of Elections (claim dated August 9, 1928)..... 1,250.00
(33) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., 250,000 pamphlets, Department of Elections (claim dated August 9, 1928) 1,400.00
(34) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., 293,000 envelopes for Department of Elections (claim dated July 26, 1928)..... 883.05
(35) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated August 13, 1928) 1,626.37
(36) Philip H. Sapiro, services of Municipal Band (claim dated August 13, 1928) 1,037.40
(37) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Journal and Calendar for July (claim dated August 13, 1928) 1,366.04
(38) Earle C. Anthony, Inc., one Packard sedan for Board of Supervisors (claim dated August 13, 1928)..... 3,405.00
(39) Howard Automobile Co., two Buick autos for Police Department (claim dated August 6, 1928)..... 4,548.92
(40) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 1,287.35
(41) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 1,093.81
(42) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928)..... 880.00
(43) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 1,471.32
(44) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928)..... 2,353.92
(45) Eureka Benevolent Society, Maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928)..... 3,651.18
(46) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 34.715.47

(47) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated August 7, 1928) 11,800.00
(48) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 788.45
(49) Blue Ribbon Products Co., coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 607.50
(50) Eloesser-Heynemann Co., corduroy pants for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 572.33
(51) Garcia & Maggini, dried fruit for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 627.94
(52) Haas Brothers, foodstuffs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 1,551.84
(53) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 1,300.00
(54) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 1,745.89
(55) Sussman & Wormser Co., dried fruit for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 924.70
(56) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 1,503.09
(57) Dr. L. M. Wilbor, superintendent San Francisco Hospital, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 3,500.00
(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,719.49
(59) J. E. French Co., one dump truck for San Francisco Health Farm (claim dated August 9, 1928)..... 1,465.00
(60) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Health Department (claim dated August 9, 1928)..... 1,330.23

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Improvement of Harding Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29477 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Harding boulevard, under the direction of the Park Commission, to-wit:

(1) For expense of necessary fills, sum of..... $9,000.00
(2) For reconstruction, sum of 6,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Establishment of Set-Back Lines, Girard Street.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Bill No. 8643, Ordinance No. 8151 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portion of Girard street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 9th day of July, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 180 to establish set-back lines along portion of Girard street, and fixed the 13th day of August, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was

held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Girard street, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Wilde avenue and running thence northerly 200 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly to Harkness street, said set-back line to be 11 feet 6 inches.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Zone Change, Northwest Corner of San Bruno and Raymond Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8644, Ordinance No. 8152 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of San Bruno avenue and Raymond avenue, for a distance of 115 feet on San Bruno avenue and a distance of 45 feet on Raymond avenue, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Blasting Permit, Great Western Power Company.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29478 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Great Western Power Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Evans avenue and Jennings street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Great Western Power Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Blasting Permit, R. J. O'Connell.

Also, Resolution No. 29479 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. J. O'Connell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property at the northeast corner of Missouri and Sierra streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said R. J. O'Connell, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Oil Tank Permits.

Also, Resolution No. 29480 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

R. M. Blatchford, 2763 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Brown Estate, 2740 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco, south side of Elm avenue, 125 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cox Bros., northeast corner of Ninth avenue and Judah street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Del Ray Corporation, 923 Harrison street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alexander Elliott Co., west side of Fillmore street, 175 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Enterprise Oil Burner Co., 2434 Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. F. Horning, north side of Seventeenth street, 40 feet west of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Investment Properties, Inc., west side of Jones street, 125 feet south of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lillie Levison, 1254 to 1258 O'Farrell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Magnuson & Peterson, north side of Hayes street, 210 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capactiy.

W. H. McNelly, 2335 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

P. J. Menjoulet, northeast corner of Mason and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capactiy.

A. Nastovic, southeast corner of Ulloa and Granville way, 750 gallons capacity.

Mr. and Mrs. Sekera, north side of Eighteenth street, 250 feet 6 inches west of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edna B. Stemple, northeast corner of Geary and Collins streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Superior Home Bakery, 472 Castro street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Garage Transfer, Texas Company.

Also, Resolution No. 29481 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted per-

mission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted California Petroleum Corporation, by Resolution No. 25006 (New Series), for premises on the southeast corner of Post and Franklin streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Garage Transfer, A. R. Walther.

Also, Resolution No. 29482 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. R. Walther be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Dave Carr, by Resolution No. 22053 (New Series), for premises at 421 Arguello boulevard.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Garage Transfer, H. L. Schaffner.

Also, Resolution No. 29483 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Harry L. Schaffner be and is hereby granted permission to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Louis A. Bacciocco, by Resolution No. 16961 (New Series), for premises at 1530 Fell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Garage Transfer, A. L. Cotterell.

Also, Resolution No. 29484 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. L. Cotterell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Chas. F. Miller, by Resolution No. 28921 (New Series), for premises at 834 Divisadero street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Auto Supply Station Transfer, J. F. and R. G. Pyne.

Also, Resolution No. 29485 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. F. and R. G. Pyne be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Curtis & Cusick, by Resolution No. 22890 (New Series), for premises on the northeast corner of Ocean and San Jose avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Changing Grades, Green Street Between Montgomery and Sansome Streets.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8645, Ordinance No. 8153 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Green street between Sansome and Montgomery streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 24th day of April, 1928, by Resolution No. 28857 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Green street between Sansome and Montgomery streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Green Street.

Sansome street, 22.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 30 feet westerly from Sansome street, 23.80 feet.

137.50 feet westerly from Sansome street, 29.72 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 129.58 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 172.60 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 117.50 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 170.70 feet.

Montgomery street, 170.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Green street between Sansome and Montgomery streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Changing Grades on Harrison Street Between Second and Third Streets and Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 8646, Ordinance No. 8154 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Harrison street between Second and Third streets; on Vassar place between Harrison street and a line parallel with and 175 feet southeasterly therefrom, and on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets, and ordering certain street work as hereinafter described.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 24th day of April, 1928, by Resolution of Intention No. 28856 (New Series), declare its intention to change and establish grades on Harrison street between Second and Third streets; on Vassar place between Harrison street and a line parallel with and 175 feet southeasterly therefrom, and on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets, and to order the regrading, resewering, curbing, paving and the laying of artificial stone sidewalks on Harrison street between Second and Third streets, on Haw-

thorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets, and on Vassar place from Harrison street 175 feet southeasterly therefrom; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention, and no protest of owners of a majority of the superficial area of the property included within the assessment district defined in said resolution of intention has been filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are changed and established as follows:

Harrison Street.

Second street, 50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of Hawthorne street, produced, 39.06 feet.

118 feet northeasterly from Third street, 18.29 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Third street, 13 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Vassar Place.

Northeasterly line of, at Harrison street, 46 feet.

Southwesterly line of, at Harrison street, 45 feet.

50 feet southeasterly from Harrison street, 43.43 feet.

175 feet southeasterly from Harrison street, 45 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Hawthorne Street.

Northeasterly line of, at Folsom street southeasterly line, 31.97 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southwesterly line of, at Folsom street southeasterly line, 30.03 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

50 feet southeasterly from Folsom street, 32.25 feet.

194.50 feet southeasterly from Folsom street, 35.86 feet.

100 feet northwesterly from Harrison street, 38.61 feet.

Northeasterly line of, at Harrison street, 40.31 feet.

Southwesterly line of, at Harrison street, 39.06 feet.

On Harrison street between Second and Third streets; on Vassar place between Harrison street and a line parallel with and 175 feet southeasterly therefrom, and on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. The following street work on said portions of the above-mentioned streets is hereby ordered to be done, to-wit:

The regrading, resewering, curbing, paving and the laying of artificial stone sidewalks on Harrison street between Second and Third streets; on Hawthorne street between Folsom and Harrison streets, and on Vassar place from Harrison street 175 feet southeasterly therefrom.

Section 3. The actual cost of performing the work of regrading, resewering, curbing, paving and otherwise improving said portions of said streets as above specified shall be assessed upon the district described in Resolution of Intention No. 28856 (New Series), which is declared to be benefited by such changed or modified grades (except that the Board of Supervisors reserves the right in its discretion to order by ordinance that the whole or any part of the cost and expense of the work aforesaid or the damages resulting therefrom be paid out of the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco from such funds as the Board of Supervisors may designate).

Section 4. Ordinance No. 415 (New Series) and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Changing Grades on Sanchez Street and on Twentieth Street.

Also, Bill No. 8647, Ordinance No. 8155 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Sanchez street between lines respectively 10 feet northerly and 9.63 feet southerly from Twentieth street, and on Twentieth street between Sanchez and Noe streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Changing Grades on Noe Street Between Twentieth and Liberty Streets and Ordering Street Work, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8648, Ordinance No. 8156 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Noe street between Twentieth and Liberty streets and ordering the regrading and sewering and otherwise improving said portions of said streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 16th day of March, 1928, by Resolution of Intention No. 28601 (New Series), declare its intention to change and establish the grades on Noe street between Twentieth and Liberty streets and to order the work of regrading and sewering and otherwise improving said portions of said streets to be done thereon, and defining and establishing the district benefited and to be assessed for the payment of damages and for the expense of doing said regrading and street work, and directed the Clerk to publish for ten days in the official newspaper said resolution of Intention; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention: therefore.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Noe Street.

Southwest corner of Twentieth street, 246 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeast corner of Twentieth street, 250 feet. The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 251.80 feet.

Easterly line of, 16 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 276.50 feet. (For upper roadway.)

Easterly line of, 58 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 270.70 feet.

48.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 60 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 269.50 feet. (For upper roadway.)

77.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 69.50 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 267.50 feet.

58 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 85.5 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 266 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 85.50 feet southerly from Twentieth street, 265 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 114 feet northerly from Liberty street, 270.55 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 114 feet northerly from Liberty street, 268 feet.

Northeast corner Liberty street, 293.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northwest corner Liberty street, 287.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Noe street between Twentieth street and Liberty street changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. The following street work on said portions of the above mentioned streets is hereby ordered to be done, to-wit: Grading, paving, sidewalks, curbing, sewer appurtenances and the construction of a concrete retaining wall.

Section 3. The actual cost of performing the work of grading, paving, sidewalking, curbing, sewer appurtenances and the construction of a concrete retaining wall, and otherwise improving said portions of said streets, as above specified, shall be assessed upon the district described in Resolution of Intention No. 28601 (New Series), which is declared to be benefited by such changed or modified grade (except that the Board of Supervisors reserves the right in its discretion to order by ordinance that the whole or any part of the cost and expense of the work aforesaid or the damages resulting therefrom be paid out of the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, from such funds as the Board of Supervisors may designate).

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Castro Street.

Also, Bill No. 8649, Ordinance No. 8157 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street, and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom, and Diamond street as shown on map entitled "Grade map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street, and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom, and Diamond street."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 1st day of June, 1928, by Resolution No. 29030 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street, and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom, and Diamond street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevation above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

On Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street, and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom, and Diamond street as shown on map entitled "Grade map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on Castro street between Twenty-ninth street and the southerly line of Thirtieth street, and on Thirtieth street between a line parallel with Noe street and 379 feet westerly therefrom, and Diamond street."

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Changing Grades on Wilder Street.

Also, Bill No. 8650, Ordinance No. 8158 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Wilder street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 270.13 feet easterly from Carrie street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 1st day of June, 1928, by Resolution No. 29029 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Wilder street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 270.13 feet easterly from Carrie street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Wilder Street.

7 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 266.93 feet easterly from Carrie street, 184.00 feet.

43 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 270.13 feet easterly from Carrie street, 184.30 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Carrie street, 168.61 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 50 feet easterly from Carrie street, 165.03 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Carrie street easterly line, 163.54 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, at Carrie street westerly line, 163.30 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 140 feet westerly from Carrie street, 162.00 feet.

Diamond street, 163.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Wilder street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 270.13 feet easterly from Carrie street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent,

McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Amending Ordinance No. 1061—Width of Sidewalks, Winnipeg Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8651, Ordinance No. 8159 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and forty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office July 24, 1928, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and forty-nine, to read as follows:

Section 1049. The width of sidewalks on Winnipeg avenue between Sickles avenue and Alemany boulevard shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Establishing Grades on Elk Street.

Also, Bill No. 8652, Ordinance No. 8160 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Elk street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sussex street southerly line and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Chenery street northerly line.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Elk street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sussex street southerly line, and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Chenery street northerly line, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed July 17, 1928.

Elk Street.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sussex street southerly line, 287.20 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 300 feet northerly from Chenery street, 263.30 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 204.23 feet northerly from Chenery street, 241.26 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 179.23 feet northerly from Chenery street, 236.33 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 154.23 feet northerly from Chenery street, 233.07 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Chenery street northerly line, 218 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Elk street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sussex street southerly line, and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Chenery street northerly line, established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent,

McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Full Acceptance of Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8653, Ordinance No. 8161 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Baker street between Jefferson street and Marina boulevard, Carolina street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets, Lower Terrace between Saturn and Levant streets and the intersection of Lower Terrace and Levant streets, Montgomery street and Union street crossing, Pacheco street between Dewey boulevard and Merced avenue, Merced avenue between Kensington way and Woodside avenue, intersection of Merced avenue and Pacheco street, intersection of Merced avenue and Hernandez avenue; intersection of Merced avenue and Garcia avenue, Garcia avenue between Merced avenue and Vasquez avenue, Hernandez avenue between Garcia and Hernandez avenues, Vasquez avenue between Garcia and Hernandez avenues, crossing Baker and Jefferson streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Baker street between Jefferson street and Marina boulevard.

Carolina street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets.

Lower Terrace between Saturn and Levant streets and the intersection of Lower Terrace and Levant streets.

Montgomery street and Union street crossing.

Pacheco street between Dewey boulevard and Merced avenue.

Merced avenue between Kensington way and Woodside avenue.

Intersection of Merced avenue and Pacheco street.

Intersection of Merced avenue and Hernandez avenue.

Intersection of Merced avenue and Garcia avenue.

Garcia avenue between Merced and Vasquez avenues.

Hernandez avenue between Merced and Vasquez avenue.

Vasquez avenue between Garcia and Hernandez avenues.

Crossing Baker and Jefferson streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8654, Ordinance No. 8162 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Beverly street between Garfield and Shield streets, Beverly street between Shields street and Worcester avenue, Monticello street between Garfield and Shields streets, Elmira street between Augusta street and Silver avenue, Fifteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and crossing of Fifteenth avenue and Wawona street, Huron avenue between Naglee and Whipple avenues, Laidley street between Fairmount street and Harry and Noe streets, La Playa street between Judah and Kirkham streets, Lawrence avenue between San Jose and Winnipeg avenues and the intersection of De Wolf street and Lawrence avenue, Mendell street between La Salle and McKinnon avenues, Sus-

sex street between Diamond and Castro streets and the intersection of Sussex street and Martin street, Taraval street between Twelfth and Fifteenth avenues, crossing of Thirty-eighth avenue and Ulloa streets, crossing of Visitacion avenue and Rey street, crossings of Mangels avenue and Baden street and Mangels avenue and Congo street, crossing of Thirty-sixth avenue and Santiago street, crossing of La Salle avenue and Mendell street, Santiago street between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth avenues; Twenty-second avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, Mariposa street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets, crossing of Fitzgerald and Ingalls streets, intersection of Harkness and Mill streets, Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, crossing Prentiss street and Tompkins avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Beverly street between Garfield and Shields streets.

Beverly street between Shields street and Worcester avenue.

Monticello street between Garfield and Shields streets.

Elmira street between Augusta street and Silver avenue.

Fifteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and crossing of Fifteenth avenue and Wawona street.

Huron avenue between Naglee and Whipple avenues.

Laidley street between Fairmount street and Harry and Noe streets.

La Playa street between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Lawrence avenue between San Jose and Winnipeg avenues and the intersection of De Wolf street and Lawrence avenue.

Mendell street between La Salle and McKinnon avenues.

Sussex street between Diamond and Castro streets and the intersection of Sussex street and Martin street.

Taraval street between Twelfth and Fifteenth avenues.

Crossing of Thirty-eighth avenue and Ulloa street.

Crossing of Visitacion avenue and Rey street.

Crossings of Mangels avenue and Baden street and Mangels avenue and Congo street.

Crossing of Thirty-sixth avenue and Santiago street.

Crossing of La Salle avenue and Mendell street.

Santiago street between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth avenues.

Twenty-second avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Mariposa street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets.

Crossing of Fitzgerald and Ingalls streets.

Intersection of Harkness and Mill streets.

Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

Crossing of Prentiss street and Tompkins avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8655, Ordinance No. 8163 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of France avenue between London and Paris streets, Ralston street between

Randolph and Sargent streets, Shields street between Arch and Vernon streets, Thor avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets, Twenty-eighth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets, Prentiss street between Crescent and Tompkins avenues, crossing of Prentiss street and Ogden avenue, Twenty-fifth street between Rhode Island and De Haro streets; Montgomery street between Green and Union streets, Stoneman street between Folsom and Manchester streets, Victoria street between Garfield and Shields streets, Tioga avenue between Rutland and Alpha streets, Byxbee street between Garfield and Shields streets, Capitol avenue between Montana and Thrift streets, Venard alley between Chestnut street and its southerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

France avenue between London and Paris streets.
Ralston street between Randolph and Sargent streets.
Shields street between Arch and Vernon streets.
Thor avenue between Chenery and Surrey streets.
Twenty-eighth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets.
Prentiss street between Crescent and Tompkins avenues.
Crossing of Prentiss street and Ogden avenue.
Twenty-fifth street between Rhode Island and De Haro streets.
Montgomery street between Green and Union streets.
Stoneman street between Folsom and Manchester streets.
Victoria street between Garfield and Shields streets.
Tioga avenue between Rutland and Alpha streets.
Byxbee street between Garfield and Shields streets.
Capitol avenue between Montana and Thrift streets.
Venard alley between Chestnut street and its southerly termination.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Spur Track, Illinois Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8656, Ordinance No. 8164 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across a portion of Illinois street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across a portion of Illinois street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of an existing joint track of The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company and Southern Pacific Company, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, said point lying on the southern line of Twenty-fourth street

produced westerly across Illinois street 33.50 feet, as measured on and along said southern line of Twenty-fourth street produced westerly from the eastern line of Illinois street; thence southwesterly on a curve to the right, having a radius of 603.81 feet, a distance of 74.0 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left, having a radius of 603.81 feet, a distance of 74.0 feet to a point in the center line of an existing joint track, said point lying 33.50 feet easterly from the western line of Illinois street and 147.55 feet southerly from the southern line of Twenty-fourth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur tracks shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Provided, that The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Ordering Improvement of Wisconsin Street Between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8657, Ordinance No. 8165 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, and ordering the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, and to enter into contract for the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Ordering Construction of Nineteenth Avenue Extension.

Also, Bill No. 8658, Ordinance No. 8166 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Nineteenth avenue extension from Sloat boulevard to the junction of Worcester avenue and Junipero Serra boulevard (Contract No. 14-A), approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement at an approximate cost of $370,000, the expense of said improvement to be borne out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the Nineteenth avenue extension from Sloat boulevard to the junction of Worcester avenue and Junipero Serra boulevard (Contract No. 14-A): the work consists of grading, partial paving and drainage for a distance of approximately 6860 feet with a width of 144 feet over all, providing two 44-foot roadways, two 10-foot sidewalks and a 32-foot Municipal Railway right of way. The said work is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of $370,000, the expense of which is to be paid out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Bay Shore Boulevard Construction Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8659, Ordinance No. 8167 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of section "E" of the Bay Shore boulevard between Tunnel avenue and the county line, a distance of approximately 2500 feet, with a width of 125 feet, including 12½-foot sidewalks (Contract No. 11), approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of $100,000, the expense of said work to be borne out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the construction of section "E" of the Bay Shore boulevard between Tunnel avenue and the county line, a distance of approximately 2500 feet, with a width of 125 feet, including 12½-foot sidewalks (Contract No. 11), the said work is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of $100,000, the expense of which is to be paid out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for said work that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Improvement, Junipero Serra Boulevard Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8660, Ordinance No. 8168 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement known as the Junipero Serra boulevard, from Sloat boulevard to the county line (Contract No. 13), by grading,

initial paving and drainage, a distance of approximately 1.8 miles, 125 feet in width, including 12½-foot sidewalks; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement at an approximate cost of $500,000, the expense of said improvement to be borne out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the improvement known as the Junipero Serra boulevard, from Sloat boulevard to the county line (Contract No. 13), by grading, initial paving and drainage, a distance of approximately 1.8 miles, 125 feet in width, including 12½-foot sidewalks, the said work is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of $500,000, the expense of said impovement to be paid out of the proceeds of Boulevard Bond Issue.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement known as the Junipero Serra boulevard (Contract No. 13) conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $61,753.44, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance	$104.15
Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs	35.17

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated August 17, 1928) $505.48
(2) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated August 17, 1928) 2,071.03
(3) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for parks (claim dated August 17, 1928) 650.66
(4) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated August 17, 1928) 647.27

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(5) John J. Dailey, legal services for August in matter of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated August 10, 1928) $850.00

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(6) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for relief of destitute women and children (claim dated August 20, 1928)..... $1,230.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Bonds, Issue 1925.

(7) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., Edison battery cells (claim dated August 6, 1928) $1,271.60
(8) Antone Lawrence Silva, payment for lands and easements in Alameda County, in connection with Hetch Hetchy construction, as approved by Resolution No. 29377, New Series (claim dated August 6, 1928)..... 3,600.00
(9) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated August 6, 1928) 509.94
(10) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated August 13, 1928) 1,462.75
(11) Department of Public Health, for hospitalization of Hetch Hetchy employees, January 1 to June 30, 1928 (claim dated August 13, 1928) 561.50
(12) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, 19th payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated August 14, 1928) 19,451.62
(13) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., 20th payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated August 14, 1928)..... 652.23
(14) Pacific Coast Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated August 14, 1928) 1,069.53
(15) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated August 14, 1928) 5,442.58
(16) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated August 14, 1928) 1,619.45

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(17) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., for cable and installation of same on Guerrero street between 18th and 19th streets (claim dated August 10, 1928) $1,863.59

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(18) Philip H. Sapiro, services of band (claim dated August 20, 1928) $604.00
(19) Hotel Bellevue, housing of National Air Tour representatives (claim dated August 20, 1928)..... 698.55

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated August 3, 1928) $577.92
(21) General Petroleum Corporation of California, gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated August 8, 1928)..... 2,020.07
(22) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service for Municipal Railways (claim dated August 10, 1928)..... 37,332.52

(23) Penney, Stephenson & Bossana, services rendered in making audit of Municipal Railways (claim dated August 10, 1928) 590.00
(24) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated August 7, 1928) 7,219.48
(25) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co. of California, brake shoes (claim dated August 14, 1928)..... 2,210.25
(26) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for July, 1928, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated August 14, 1928) 1,457.73
(27) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated August 14, 1928)..... 3,300.98

County Road Fund.

(28) Yosemite Portland Cement Corporation, cement for street reconstruction (claim dated August 3, 1928)..... $1,734.40
(29) The Fay Improvement Co., part payment for improvement of Parker avenue between California street and Euclid avenue (claim dated August 9, 1928)..... 4,000.00
(30) Federal Construction Co., for improvements in Golden Gate Heights District (claim dated August 9, 1928)..... 1,244.50
(31) General Petroleum Corporation of California, gasoline furnished and used in street construction (claim dated August 10, 1928) 596.05
(32) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated August 10, 1928)..... 1,061.04

Special School Tax.

(33) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., paint supplies for schools (claim dated August 8, 1928) $732.25
(34) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated August 8, 1928) 596.52
(35) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, architectural services for second unit of South Side (Balboa) High School (claim dated August 15, 1928)..... 9,600.00

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(36) Kleiber Motor Co., two motor driven tractors for use of the Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928)..... 10,400.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(37) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for Mayor's expenses (claim dated August 20, 1928) $732.08
(38) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street for month ending September 3, 1928..... 1,120.75
(39) The Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated August 20, 1928) 621.65
(40) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., July street lighting (claim dated August 20, 1928) 58,729.84
(41) Dion R. Holm, legal services for July, in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated August 8, 1928).... 850.00
(42) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for July in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated August 8, 1928) 750.00
(43) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated August 17, 1928) 8,238.26
(44) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated August 17, 1928) 1,163.42
(45) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated August 17, 1928) 8,402.81
(46) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for County Jails (claim dated August 15, 1928) 517.00
(47) Haas Brothers, groceries for County Jails (claim dated August 15, 1928) 559.40

(48) Western States Grocery Co., groceries for County Jails (claim dated August 15, 1928) 609.09
(49) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated August 15, 1928) 681.52
(50) General Petroleum Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated August 13, 1928) 964.16
(51) Maggini Motor Car Co., one Ford coupe for Dept. Public Works (claim dated August 2, 1928) 625.50
(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated August 8, 1928) 2,319.71
(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated August 10, 1928) 660.02
(54) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated August 10, 1928) 3,850.12
(55) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated August 13, 1928) 805.00
(56) Mary G. Simpson, Industrial Accident Commission award for account of death of Charles J. Simpson, Department of Health employee (claim dated August 7, 1928) 1,237.31
(57) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated August 16, 1928) 600.00

Appropriations, Lands, Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the opening of Bernal Cut, and approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Mary F. Marchant, for portion of Lot 1-B (25x95 ft.), in Block 6690, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as approved by Resolution No. 29475, New Series (claim dated August 11, 1928) $4,500.00
(2) To Emilie Ladira, for portion of Block 21 (24x38 ft), in Block 6691, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as approved by Resolution No. 29475, New Series (claim dated August 11, 1928) 550.00
(3) To John F. McGowan, for Lot 12 in Block 6687, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29475, New Series (claim dated August 11, 1928) 9,600.00
(4) To Margaret Behlmer, for Lots 13 and 14 in Block 6746, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29475, New Series (claim dated August 11, 1928) 5,000.00
(5) To Martin Nelson and Anna Nelson, for portion of Lot 2 (159x35, irregular) in Block 6747, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29441, New Series (claim dated August 4, 1928) 9,500.00
(6) To Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, for premiums on title insurance covering various properties purchased for the opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated August 11, 1928) 577.60
(7) To David Casey and Nora Casey, for Lot 30 in Block 6686, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29489, New Series (claim dated August 15, 1928) 5,400.00
(8) To William J. Hermann, for portion of Lot 9 (25x64 ft.) in Block 6693, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29489, New Series (claim dated August 15, 1928) 850.00

Appropriations, Lands for Playgrounds.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for playground purposes, as approved by the Playground Commission, to-wit:

(1) To Oscar M. Hueter and Ernest C. Hueter, for Parcels 2 and 3, described in and as per terms of agreement between Oscar M. Hueter and Ernest C. Hueter, dated April 2, 1928, for acquisition of land for Balboa Terrace Playground. Parcel 2 being Lots 12 to 19, inclusive, in Block 3296; and Parcel 3 being Lots 1 to 7, inclusive, in Block 3268, as per the Assessor's Block Books, Balboa Terrace Addition. Together with all right, title and interest in San Aleso avenue and Kenwood way adjacent to said lots (claim dated July 26, 1928).....$47,775.00

(2) To Fred G. Pfeifer and Helen B. Pfeifer, for Lot 5 in Block 7093, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and required for the Ocean View Playground (claim dated August 1, 1928) 5,600.00

Appropriations, Lands for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To William J. Drew, for land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 45 feet westerly from the westerly line of 30th avenue; running thence westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Balboa street; also, land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 95 feet westerly from the westerly line of 30th avenue; running thence westerly along the northerly line of Balboa street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Balboa street; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29440, New Series (claim dated August 20, 1928)..... $7,500.00

(2) To Louise H. Schutt, for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of 32d avenue, distant thereon 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street; running thence southerly on 32d avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 97 feet 7½ inches, more or less; being of irregular dimensions; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29426, New Series (claim dated August 20, 1928). 4,500.00

Appropriations, Lands for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Sunset Parkway, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To L. J. Hopkins and Christie L. Hopkins, for Lots 1 and 3 in Block 2181, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29487, New Series (claim dated August 15, 1928) $27,000.00

(2) To Roy Dawson and Phillis Gwendolen Dawson, for Lot 17 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29487, New Series (claim dated August 15, 1928) 7,100.00

(3) To Thomas J. Lamey and Elizabeth M. Lamey, for Lot 11 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29486, New Series (claim dated August 15, 1928) 1,625.00

(4) Joseph H. Ottens and Aase Ottens, for Lot 9A in Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29491, New Series (claim dated August 16, 1928) 4,400.00

Appropriations for Lands, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the Bay Shore Boulevard, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To C. H. Turner, J. Willis Turner and Arthur P. Crist, for Lot 19-A in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as approved by Resolution No. 29488, New Series (claim dated August 8, 1928).. $900.00

(2) To Joseph Posedu and Johanna Posedu, for Lot 33 in Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29488, New Series (claim dated August 8, 1928) 3,000.00

(3) To James A. Reid and Margaret H. Reid, for Lot 38 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29490, New Series (claim dated August 8, 1928) 2,900.00

(4) Bridie Vojkovich, et al., for payment of Superior Court Judgment, Action No. 194191, covering Lots 3 and 4 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books (claim dated August 16, 1928) 8,250.00

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

City Attorney to Compromise Suit—Henry Steinberg vs. Market Street Railway Company and San Francisco.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29486 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to compromise and settle those certain suits for damages entitled "Henry Steinberg vs. Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation," numbered 175689 on the records of the Superior Court, and the other entitled "Henry Steinberg and Rebecca Steinberg vs. Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation," and numbered 175658 on the records of the Superior Court, for the sum of five hundred dollars ($500).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29487 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted, to-wit:

L. J. Hopkins and Christie L. Hopkins, $27,000—Lots 1 and 3 of Block 2181, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Roy Dawson and Phillis Gwendolen Dawson, $7,100—Lot 17, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Thomas J. Lamey and Elizabeth M. Lamey, $1,625—Lot 11, Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deeds, together with copy of this resolution in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29488 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted, to-wit:

C. H. Turner, J. Willis Turner and Arthur P. Crist, $900—Lot 19-A, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Joseph Posedu and Johanna Posedu, $3,000—Lot 33, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29489 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

David Casey and Nora Casey, $5,400—Lot 30, Block 6686, as per the Assessor's Block Books of City and County of San Francisco.

William J. Hermann, $850—Portion of Assessor's Lot 9, Block 6693, as per the Assessor's Block Books of City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29490 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

James A. Reid and Margaret H. Reid, $2,900—Lot 38, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29491 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Joseph H. Ottens and Aase Ottens, $4,400—Lot 9A, Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the City and County of San Francisco is to pay for the street work.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29492 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Lillie A. Lorentzen and Harry Lorentzen, $400—All of Lot 12 of Block 7136, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29493 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

B. J. Mullin and Della A. Mullin, $100—Portion of Lots 14 and 15, Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Julius Seike, $228—Portion of Lots 6 and 7, Block 7134, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Herman Kasser and Theresa Kasser, $1—Portion of Lot 2, Block 7150, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Silver Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29494 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Silver avenue, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Adelaide N. D. Meyer and Oscar H. Meyer, $300—Portion of Block 344 of the O'Neill and Haley Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 27, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Mayor to Sell Buildings on Bay Shore Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29495 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and known as 2020 San Bruno avenue, 253 Boutwell street, 150 Boutwell street, 65 Boutwell street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Boulevard Bond Issue Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Mayor to Sell Buildings on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29496 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 414 Miguel street, 3580 Mission street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Bernal Cut Bond, Issue of 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Mayor to Sell Buildings on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29497 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 308 Roanoke street, 19 Richland avenue, 12 Park street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue of 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Mayor to Sell Buildings on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29498 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of pub-

lished notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 15 Richland avenue.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling house and appurtenances to be removed by the purchaser within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue of 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Mayor to Sell Buildings on Silver Avenue and Bay Shore Boulevard Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29499 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and known as 1657 Silver avenue, 235 Boutwell street, 326-328 Charter Oak avenue.

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land required for opening of Alemany boulevard, and known as 31 Bright street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Boulevard Bond Issue Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offer to Release City of Damage Claims Through Grade Establishment on Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29500 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely:

Patrick O. Driscoll and Nellie O. Driscoll, $146.90—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 20A in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 84 Cotter street.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of

money to the above mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offers of Release from Damage Claims, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29501 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Bay Shore boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the grading and construction of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Bay Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, viz.:

Mario Ferrando, $469.70—Lot 2, Block 5438, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco, and also known as No. 506 Charter Oak avenue.

Mario Ferrando, $426.80—Lot 3, Block 5438, as per the Assessor's Block Books of San Francisco, and also known as No. 510 Charter Oak avenue.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of said specified amounts to the above mentioned persons upon receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Planing Mill and Cabinet Shop Permit, Theo. Veyhle.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Theo. Veyhle be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a planing mill and cabinet shop on the north side of Brannan street, 275 feet east of Fifth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, R. Cowles.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Cowles be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Geary street and Palm avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, Bay Counties Oil Co.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bay Counties Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain

and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Amazon avenue and Mission street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, I. M. Sommer.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That I. M. Sommer be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Mission street and Avalon avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit, Locomobile Pacific Co.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Locomobile Pacific Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted P. J. Gartland by Resolution No. 17736 (New Series) for premises at 1455 Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit, A. G. Curtis.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. G. Curtis be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 235 Mason street, said garage to be maintained and operated in conjunction with and to be made a part of the Gray Dawn Garage, situate on the north side of Latham place, 77 feet 6 inches west of Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit, Jim Lee.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jim Lee be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public laundry at 879 Sutter street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit, J. P. Holland, Inc.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while excavating on property bounded by blocks between Grove street, McAllister street, Franklin street and Van Ness avenue; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, Inc., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediatley become null and void.

Amending Garage Permit.

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, entitled "Regulating the Construction of Buildings Used as Public Automobile Garages; Regulating and Providing for the Storage and Use of Gasoline in Public and Private Automobile Garages; Repealing Ordinance No. 33 (New Series), approved July 16, 1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. All buildings hereafter erected, altered or changed, so as to be occupied as public garages for the purpose of storing automobiles, shall be of Class "A," "B" or "C" construction, except that public garages over one story in height shall be of Class "A" or "B" construction in all parts, excepting that the roof and the partitions of such portions as are used exclusively for office purposes may be of frame construction. The flooring of the first floor shall be concrete, with a system of ventilation with openings to the outer air at floor line, not less than six (6) by eight (8) inches for each ventilator. There shall at all times be maintained in every such building used for the aforesaid purpose two (2) chemical fire extinguishers of not less than three (3) gallons each where the floor space is less than five hundred (500) square feet, and one (1) additional chemical fire extinguisher for every additional five hundred (500) square feet of floor space used for such purpose. On the main floor of all public garages there shall be not less than four (4) barrels of clean, dry sand, each barrel to contain an iron scoop.

No part of any building which is used as a hotel, rooming house, lodging house, or hall, shall be used as a public garage for the purpose of storing automobiles.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to strike out the words "or hall" in the line next to the last in Section 1.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing subject matter was *laid over one week* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, McGovern, Roncovieri, Suhr —5.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity Committee.

Resolution No. 29502 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove, move and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

East side Kearny street, first north of Vallejo street.
San Antonio street, about 175 feet west of Vallejo street.
Vallejo place, off Vallejo street, between Kearny and Montgomery.
East side Childs place, south of Lombard street.

South side Telegraph place, east of Childs place.
Northwest corner Greenwich street and Childs place.
North side Greenwich street, second and third east of Grant avenue.
East side Grant avenue, south of Lombard street.
West side Jules place, north of Lombard street.
West side Priest street, south of Washington street.
Southwest corner Lombard street and Childs place.
Southwest corner Lombard street and Grant avenue.
Northeast and southwest corners Lombard and Stockton streets.
North side Lombard street, first and second west of Stockton street.
South side Lombard street, first west of Stockton street.
Northwest and southeast corners Lombard and Powell streets.
North side Lombard street, first and second west of Powell street.
South side Lombard street, first west of Powell street.
Tuscany alley.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

North side Lombard street between Kearny and Montgomery streets.
Northwest corner Lombard and Mason streets.
North side Lombard street between Grant avenue and Stockton street.

Move 250 *M. R.*

Northwest corner Kearny and Lombard streets, one pole north.

Install 250 *M. R.*

San Antonio street, about 175 feet west of Vallejo street.
Vallejo place, off Vallejo street between Kearny and Montgomery.
Jules street between Lombard and Chestnut streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*.

North side Vallejo street, about 137 feet east of Kearny street.
East side Kearny street, on pole about 175 feet north of Kearny street.
West side Childs place, opposite Telegraph place.
South side Greenwich street between Grant avenue and Kearny street, at stairway.
Northwest corner Greenwich street and Childs place.
West side Grant avenue between Greenwich and Lombard streets.
Priest street, south of Washington street.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. with R.*

Northwest corner Lombard and Kearny streets.
North and south sides Lombard street between Kearny street and Grant avenue.
Northwest and southeast corners Lombard street and Grant avenue.
North and south sides Lombard street between Grant avenue and Stockton street.
Northwest and southeast corners Lombard and Stockton streets.
North and south sides Lombard street between Stockton and Powell.
Northwest and southeast corners Lombard and Powell streets.
North and south sides Lombard street between Powell and Mason.
Northwest and southeast corners Lombard and Mason streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

East and west sides Telegraph Hill drive and Greenwich street.
East side Telegraph Hill drive and Filbert street.
West side Telegraph Hill drive and Filbert street.
West side Telegraph Hill drive between Filbert and Greenwich streets.
West side Telegraph Hill drive between Lombard and Greenwich streets.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. with R.*

Trolley pole, front of 5156 Mission street.
Northeast corner Mission and Rolph streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Circus Permit, Sells-Floto Shows.

On recommendation of Police and Licenses Committee.

Resolution No. 29503 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sells-Floto Shows be granted permission to give an exhibition (circus) on property situated at Sixteenth and Bryant streets, September 13th to 16th, 1928, inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisrs Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Acceptance of Offer of Land for School Purposes.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 29504 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Delia Volkers, Mae Volkers, Alice L. Volkers and Mary Carmichael to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the west line of Thirtieth avenue, distant 100 feet 4 inches south from Anza street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,850, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 100 feet 4 inches southerly from the southerly line of Anza street; running thence southerly and along said line of Thirtieth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of O. L. Block No. 313.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Closing Portions of Murray Street and Benton Avenue in St. Mary's Park for Playground Purposes.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 29505 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that portions of Murray street and Benton avenue be closed up and abandoned, as hereinafter described; and

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon portions of Murray street and Benton avenue, as follows:

Parcel 1. That portion of Murray street lying between the southerly line of Crescent avenue and a line joining the most southerly corner of Lot 15, Block 5804, to the most westerly corner of Lot 25, Block

5831, as per map of St. Mary's Park, recorded in Map Book "J," pages 47 to 55, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2: That portion of Benton avenue lying between the easterly line of St. Mary's Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "J," pages 47 to 55, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and a line joining the most southerly corner of Lot 18, Block 5831, to the most westerly corner of Lot 14, Block 5842, as per said map.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portions of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2; and be it further

Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portions of Murray street and Benton avenue be paid out of revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Murray street and Benton avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The Bulletin," as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Traffic Ordinance.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Bill No. 8661, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly on Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.
Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.
Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Stockton street.
Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.
Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.
Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.
Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.
Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.
Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.
Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows.

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection:
(2) In a crosswalk;
(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;
(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;
(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;
(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;
(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;
(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Section 36 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the Central Traffic District or in any business district during any hour of any day;

(2) In any loading zone between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day;

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day;

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of any day;

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public buildings between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays;

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business;

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom at any hour of any day.

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance, provided that in the Central Traffic District or in any business district, as herein defined, a curb space not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Award of Contract, Redwood Tank for Laguna Honda Home.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 29506 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to George Windeler Co. on bid submitted August 13, 1928 (Proposal No. 398), for furnishing the following, viz.: One redwood tank for Laguna Honda Home for the sum of $3,175, less allowance for old tank, $225; net $2,950.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands, Bernal Cut.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 29507 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Florence J. Parry, $5,100—All of Lot 24, Block 6691, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

William and Katherine Murphy, $8,000—All of Lot 8, Block 6661, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Annie M. Murphy, $6,750—All of Lot 2, Block 6662, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriating $5,750, Payment to Katie Walsh, Satisfaction of Judgment, Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of five thousand seven hundred and fifty dollars ($5,750) be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Bernal Cut Bond proceeds and in full payment to Katie Walsh, as executrix of the last will and testament of Ellen Mullane, deceased, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment heretofore entered in that certain action entitled "In the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, City and County of San Francisco, plaintiff, v. Katie Walsh, as executrix of the last will and testament of Ellen Mullane, deceased, defendant," and numbered 195126 of the records thereof (in eminent domain) proceedings, for the acquisition of land for boulevard purposes—Bernal Cut. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Admission Day Celebration.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 29508 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Native Sons and Daughters of the Golden West are to hold the annual celebration of Admission Day in Oakland, Alameda County, California, on Monday, September 10, 1928; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the merchants, business men and citizens of San Francisco are earnestly invited to join with the citizens of Oakland in making this annual celebration of the admission of our State into the Union an historical event; and be it

Further Resolved, That the merchants and business men of this City are requested as far as possible to close their places of business on said date, September 10, 1928, and thereby offer opportunity to their employees to take part in the celebration.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Leave of Absence, Police Commissioner Jesse B. Cook.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., August 15, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Jesse B. Cook, member of the Police Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing August 18, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this permission?

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29509 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse B. Cook, member of the Police Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing August 18, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Bond Matters.

Supervisor Hayden moved that Bond Issue matters be made a Special Order for 3 p. m. this afternoon.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:45 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED BOND ISSUES.

Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor Andriano moved that the Board of Supervisors resolve itself in a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering the proposed bond issues and that members and outsiders be limited to ten minutes in presenting their views.

So ordered.

Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

On motion of Supervisor Colman it was determined to hear proponents of all projects first and then vote upon them.

Communications.

Communications were read from the Committee on Health of the Grand Jury recommending proposed bond issue for Hospitals and Health Centers.

Also, from the San Francisco Center of the California League of Women Voters endorsing proposed bond issues for Hospitals and Health Centers and for Playgrounds, and withholding endorsement for sewer system bonds.

Also, from the Excelsior People's Improvement Association urging a bond issue of $3,375,000 for sewer bonds and for correction of condition at Islais Creek.

Also, from Northern Federation of Civic Organizations opposing bond issue for Golden Gate Park Panhandle Extension.

Discussion.

The following persons were granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Committee in favor of the various projects:

Sewers.

Henry Becker, Eureka Valley Improvement Association; Frank Olino, South of Army Merchants' Association; Mr. Gibson, Noe Valley Improvement Association; Geo. A. Bordman, Portola Merchants' Association.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved to include in proposed bond issue $300,000 for "Baker's Beach Treatment Plan."

Referred to Assistant City Engineer, who returned it without amendment. *Motion not pressed or voted upon.*

Hospitals and Health Centers.

Frank Klimm, President of Board of Health; Dr. Hassler, Health Officer; Mrs. Ludwig Frank, San Francisco Center, California League of Women Voters.

Playgrounds.

Miss Musgrove, Playground Commission; Mrs. Clark, Parent-Teachers' Association; Mrs. J. E. Butterfield; Mrs. L. Frank; Con. Collonan, South of Army Merchants' Association; Mr. Klein; Jno. Calioli, Sunset District.

Municipal Warehouse and Garage.

L. Leavy, Purchaser of Supplies.

Recess.

At 6:30 the Committee of the Whole took a recess for dinner and reassembled at 8 p. m. All members heretofore noted were present and Supervisor Toner in the chair.

Panhandle Extension Ordered Filed.

Mr. Petrie, representing Haight Street Merchants' Association, advocated a bond issue for the extension of Golden Gate Park Panhandle to Duboce avenue and Market street.

Miss Baretta called attention to accidents of frequent occurrence at the corner of Scott and Oak streets, which would be obviated by the proposed Panhandle Extension.

Mr. O'Connor spoke in favor. Also, Henry Becker of Eureka Valley Improvement Association; also, Dr. C. Griffin, Sunset Transportation and Development Association.

Suggested ordinance ordered *filed.*

Broadway Tunnel.

Supervisor Suhr suggested that City stand one-half expense of project, amounting to $750,000.

Assistant City Attorney Dion Holm addressed the Board on procedure and read dates of publication on the various ordinances and resolutions.

* * * * *

Committee Arises.

Whereupon, the Committee arose to report to the Board.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled with all members heretofore noted present and Supervisor Toner in the chair.

Passed for Printing.

Thereupon, the following matters prepared by Assistant City Attorney Holm were presented, read and *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Declaratory Ordinance, Sewer System.

Bill No. 3662, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit: The construction and extension of the sewer system in the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, consisting of the construction and extension of the Sewer System in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market streets to Fifteenth and Harrison streets; College Hill Tunnel from the Mission street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose avenue and Army street; Alemany boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission street to the vicinity of Revere avenue and Industrial street; Twenty-third avenue and Geary street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh avenue and Geary street to Twenty-third avenue and Lake street.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of cost of the construction and completion of each of said public improvements described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Supervisor McSheehy reserved the right to vote *no* on final passage. Also, Supervisors Powers and Schmidt.

Declaratory Ordinance, Hospitals and Health Centers.

Also, Bill No. 8663, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent public buildings and the acquisition of the necessary lands, equipment and furnishings to be used as hospitals and health centers by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of health centers in districts throughout San Francisco as may be deemed necessary by the Board of Health, and this ordinance specifically provides that for the proper care of the health of the people of San Francisco that all necessary lands be acquired to construct the buildings herein described and the purchase of all necessary buildings, equipment and furnishings.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and to file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of the public buildings and objects described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Supervisor McSheehy reserved the right to vote *no* on final passage.

Declaratory Ordinance, Playgrounds.

Also, Bill No. 8664, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes; the location of said playground sites are to be selected by the Playground Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, where, in the opinion of the Commission, the sites so selected will best serve the needs of the children of San Francisco for recreational purposes.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure,

through the City Attorney, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition and development of the lands described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Supervisor McSheehy reserved the right to vote *no* on final passage.

Declaratory Ordinance, McLaren Park.

Also, Bill No. 8665, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings which are contiguous to and comprise portions of the Reis Tract, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, Paul Tract Homestead Association, University Mound Tract, University Homestead Association, Superior Homestead Association and other tracts, to comprise approximately five hundred and fifty (550) acres of land to be used for park purposes.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of said land described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Supervisor McSheehy reserved the right to vote *no* on final passage.

Declaratory Ordinance, Aquatic Park.

Also, Bill No. 8666, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park located within the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park located within the City and County of San Francisco, adding to said park whatever equipment and construction

are necessary to render it adequate for the purposes for which it is dedicated.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the completion and equipment of said Aquatic Park described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Supervisor McSheehy reserved the right to vote *no* on final passage.

Declaratory Ordinance, Additions to Aquatic Park and Marina Park.

Also, Bill No. 8667, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of land as a permanent improvement for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of land as a permanent improvement for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco, which lands are described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the center line of Webster street and the southerly line of Lewis street, running thence southerly along the center line of Webster street to the southerly line of Tonquin street extended westerly; thence southeasterly to the northerly termination of the curve of 103.528 feet radius, which forms part of the northeasterly boundary line of that certain parcel of land (now known as Marina boulevard) conveyed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded August 20, 1917, in Book 1029 of Deeds, at page 195, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of said parcel of land known as Marina boulevard to the westerly line of Buchanan street; thence southeasterly to the point of intersection of the easterly line of Buchanan street and the northerly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by Sierra and San Francisco Power Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded July 30, 1918, in Book 1068 of Deeds, page 397, in the office of said County Recorder; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly along the northerly line of said last mentioned parcel of land so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco, to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence easterly at a right angle to said westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line thereof; thence northerly along the easterly line of Laguna street to the southerly line of Lewis street, and thence westerly along the southerly line of Lewis street to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost of said permanent improvement described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Mc-

Govern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Noes—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Supervisor McSheehy reserved the right to vote *no* on final passage.

Supervisor Colman explained his vote by saying that this was not a matter for bond issue but for budget appropriation, moreover it is not urgent at this time.

Declaratory Ordinance, Additions to Aquatic Park and Marina Park.

Also, Bill No. 8668, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park, and the purchase of land for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park, located within the City and County of San Francisco, adding to said park whatever equipment and construction are necessary to render it adequate for the purposes for which it is dedicated; and the acquisition of land as a permanent improvement for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco, which lands are described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the center line of Webster street and the southerly line of Lewis street, running thence southerly along the center line of Webster street to the southerly line of Tonquin street extended westerly; thence southeasterly to the northerly termination of the curve of 103.528 feet radius, which forms part of the northeasterly boundary line of that certain parcel of land (now known as Marina boulevard) conveyed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded August 20, 1917, in Book 1029 of Deeds, page 195, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of said parcel of land known as Marina boulevard to the westerly line of Buchanan street; thence southeasterly to the point of intersection of the easterly line of Buchanan street and the northerly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by Sierra and San Francisco Power Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded July 30, 1918, in Book 1068 of Deeds, page 397, in the office of said County Recorder; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly along the northerly line of said last mentioned parcel of land so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence easterly at a right angle to said westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line thereof; thence northerly along the easterly line of Laguna street to the southerly line of Lewis street, and thence westerly along the southerly line of Lewis street to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost of said permanent improvements described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Mc-

Govern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Noes—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Supervisor McSheehy reserved the right to vote *no* on final passage.

Declaratory Ordinance, Airport.

Also, Bill No. 8669, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land, situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport, and all necessary hangars, machine shop, administration building, roads, runways, drainage facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of tractors, rollers and turntable, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land, situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport, and all necessary hangars, machine shop, administration building, roads, runways, drainage facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of tractors, rollers and turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private pleasure and sightseeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, construction and equipment of the lands, buildings and equipment described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Supervisor McSheehy reserved the right to vote *no* on final passage.

Declaratory Ordinance, Civic Center Acquisition of Land and Development.

Also, Bill No. 8670, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street, as a permanent improvement, for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street, at the width said street now is between

Larkin street and Hyde street, to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street, as a permanent improvement, for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition of the lands and buildings described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Powers—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Supervisor McSheehy reserved the right to vote *no* on final passage.

Declaratory Ordinance, Broadway Tunnel.

Also, Bill No. 8671, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of a tunnel, to be known as the Broadway tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin and Broadway streets and ending at Mason and Broadway streets, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of a tunnel, to be known as the Broadway tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin and Broadway streets and ending at Mason and Broadway streets, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said tunnel, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Powers—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Supervisor McSheehy reserved the right to vote *no* on final passage.

Refused Passage.

The following was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Declaratory Ordinance, Warehouse.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ————(New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings, and the acquisition of the necessary lands, within

the City and County of San Francisco for the erection of a central warehouse and central garage, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings, and the acquisition of the necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for the erection of a central warehouse and central garage; that the said central warehouse is to be used for the receipt and distribution of all materials and supplies for use within the City and County of San Francisco; the said central garage is to be used as a storage place for all motor travel equipment owned by the City and County of San Francisco, and a place to repair said vehicles.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of the permanent buildings, and the acquisition of the lands described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—8.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, McGovern, Powers, Todd, Toner—7.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Leave of Absence, Earle A. Walcott, Civil Service Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., August 20, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Honorable Earle A. Walcott, member of the Civil Service Commission, for a leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of twenty days, commencing August 31st.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave?

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29510 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Honorable Earle A. Walcott, member of the Civil Service Commission, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State, for a period of twenty days commencing August 31, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Finance, Utilities, Streets and Lighting Committees to Consider Policy for Assessing Property for Installation of Light Standards, Ducts, Cables, Wires and Water Pipes.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has declared for the discontinuance of the policy heretofore in vogue, to-wit: The construction of streets and

conditional acceptance of same in which newly constructed roadways and streets there are no water mains, either of sufficient size or at all; and

Whereas, this Board has further declared for the inclusion of lights, standards and appurtenances in all proposed newly constructed streets and roadways; and

Whereas, a conference was held with the Board of Public Works on this subject matter at which it was determined to ask for the legal language by which this work could be compelled, thereby avoiding disruption of traffic, cutting up of new streets and long delays incident to same; and

Whereas, the question of policy as to how these lights and appurtenances and water pipes shall be paid for has not been determined; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Finance, Public Utilities, Streets and Lighting Committees of this Board hold a joint session as soon as possible on the subject matter of policy, and there is suggested the following for consideration:

First: That light standards, ducts, cables and wires shall be a part of all new street work in San Francisco to be assessed the same as street work is now assessed.

Second: That water pipes of sufficient size shall be recommended by the City Engineer, shall also be assessed against the cost of the proposed street work, or that the Finance Committee of this Board of Supervisors make arrangements with the Spring Valley Water Company to the end that pipes of sufficient size, as recommended by the City Engineer, shall be constructed in all new and reconstructed streets by a capital expenditure by said Spring Valley Water Company to be allowed at the time of payment by the City and transfer of their properties to our jurisdiction.

Further Resolved, That all these Committees in joint session determine, in so far as they legally can, the matter of new streets, reconstructed streets and roadways, that all ducts, wires, cables, etc., be placed underground.

Referred to Joint Committee, Finance Committee to call meeting.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing* on motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Eleventh and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 1:15 a. m. adjourned.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 27, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 36

Monday, August 27, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 27, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 27, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 20, 1928, was laid over for approval until next meeting.

Death of Former Supervisor Ralph McLeran.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 29511 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has called Ralph McLeran, a former member of the Board of Supervisors for sixteen years, and an outstanding member of our community; and

Whereas, Ralph McLeran was identified with the progress of this City for many years, not only as an eminent builder and executive, but also as a public official of force and probity. His natural talent for finance and his wide experience as a contractor gave a wide background of knowledge of public works and other undertakings, and in consequence thereof he was chosen as chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, which office he filled with dignity and ability. His passing is a distinct and irreparable loss to the City and County of San Francisco; therefore

Resolved, That it is with profound regret that we record his passing; that the community's loss cannot be adequately measured, and that we join with others in their expressions of sorrow; and that when this Board adjourns, it does so as a tribute of respect to his memory.

Remarks.

Supervisor Hayden followed the reading of the foregoing resolution with a eulogy of the public life and character of former Supervisor Ralph McLeran, whom he pictured as a man of rugged exterior, but of a tender heart whose affection for his friends and for those near and dear to him was marked by many gracious deeds of kindness. He expressed for Supervisor Deasy, who was absent, sorrow in the passing of former Supervisor McLeran.

Supervisors Colman, Shannon, Havenner and McSheehy, and *Health Officer Dr. Hassler* joined in glowing tributes to the character and

worth of Ralph McLeran as a private citizen and as an outstanding public official.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *unanimously adopted by a rising vote.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Loam and Manure.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day for furnishing loam and manure for playgrounds during fiscal year 1928-1929 and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Supplies, Sheet Metal Shop.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day for furnishing supplies for sheet metal shop, School Department, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Statement of State Board of Equalization.

A communication from the State Board of Equalization advising of the adoption of a resolution on Monday, August 20, 1928, adjudging and decreeing that the State of California is and will be in receipt of sufficient revenues for its support and maintenance for the eightieth fiscal year and that no deficiency or other ad valorem taxes need or shall be levied or collected for said fiscal year, was read and ordered *filed.*

Special Session—Bank Tax.

Supervisor Gallagher announced that Governor Young is about to call a special session of the State Legislature for the purpose of considering a proposed bank tax. He moved that the Finance Committee take cognizance of the matter and secure expert advice as to the effect of the proposed bank tax on the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Motion *carried.*

San Mateo Approved Joint High District Report for Extension of Junipero Serra Boulevard.

A resolution of the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors approving the report of the Board of Directors of the San Francisco and San Mateo Joint Highway District which sets forth tentative route and estimated cost of proposed extension of Junipero Serra boulevard was read and *referred to Streets Committee.*

Bridge Application.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Application of T. A. Tomasini for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge from the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point of intersection with the proposed Berkeley-Marin bridge, running from Point Fleming in the City of Albany, County of Alameda, State of California, to Bluff Point, in the County of Marin, State of California.

The said toll bridge and tunnel is to be located across San Francisco Bay along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Bay street with the easterly line of Grant avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco; thence south 67 deg. 0 min. east 403 feet; thence on a curve of 375 feet radius, left 235.62 feet; thence north 81 deg. 0 min. east 510 feet; thence on a curve of 375 feet radius, left 346.88 feet; thence north 28 deg. 0 min. east 560 feet; thence on a curve of 500 feet radius, right 148.35 feet; thence north 45 deg. 0 min. east 9383 feet; thence on a curve of 500 feet radius, left 410.15 feet; thence north 2 deg. 0 min. west 20,066 feet, more or less, to a point of intersection

with the necesary curves and deviations proper to accommodate traffic, of the following described line:

Beginning at a point on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay 500 feet, more or less, southerly of the northwesterly point of Point Fleming, in the City of Albany, County of Alameda; and thence south approximately 88 deg. 0 min. west to a point on Bluff Point, in the County of Marin; thence westerly to Bluff Point, in the County of Marin, and easterly to Albany, in the County of Alameda, along said line last described.

Upon which said last described line there is to be built a toll bridge pursuant to the provisions of a certain franchise granted to the undersigned by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Alameda, State of California, on March 26th, 1928, and which said last described line and the toll bridge to be erected thereon, and the necessary curves, deviations and intersections proper to conduct traffic at such point of intersection, shall constitute the northern terminal of the toll bridge and tunnel, for the construction, operation and maintenance of which, and for the right to collect tolls, application for a franchise is hereby made.

That the length of said bridge and tunnel will be approximately thirty-two thousand and sixty-two feet (32,062), and that the breadth thereof will be thirty (30) feet, more or less.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Andriano, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, September* 4, 1928, *at* 10 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden. Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

BRIDGE MATTERS.

Upon the discharge of the Special Bridge Committee at the meeting of August 13, the following motions were made:

Motions.

Supervisor Marks moved that the bridge matters be placed in the hands of a committee which shall consist of the members of the Board, the Mayor, the City Engineer, the City Attorney and the three Special Bridge Engineers employed by the City; the Mayor to act as chairman.

August 20, 1928—*Over one week.*

August 27, 1928—*Over one week.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 29512 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated August 17, 1928)	$505.48
(2) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated August 17, 1928)	2,071.03

(3) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for parks (claim dated August 17, 1928) 650.66
(4) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated August 17, 1928) 647.27

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(5) John J. Dailey, legal services for August in matter of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated August 10, 1928) $850.00

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(6) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for relief of destitute women and children (claim dated August 20, 1928)..... $1,230.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Bonds, Issue 1925.

(7) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., Edison battery cells (claim dated August 6, 1928) $1,271.60
(8) Antone Lawrence Silva, payment for lands and easements in Alameda County, in connection with Hetch Hetchy construction, as approved by Resolution No. 29377, New Series (claim dated August 6, 1928)..... 3,600.00
(9) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated August 6, 1928) 509.94
(10) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated August 13, 1928) 1,462.75
(11) Department of Public Health, for hospitalization of Hetch Hetchy employees, January 1 to June 30, 1928 (claim dated August 13, 1928) 561.50
(12) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, 19th payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated August 14, 1928) 19,451.62
(13) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., 20th payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated August 14, 1928)..... 652.23
(14) Pacific Coast Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated August 14, 1928) 1,069.53
(15) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated August 14, 1928) 5,442.58
(16) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated August 14, 1928) 1,619.45

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(17) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., for cable and installation of same on Guerrero street between 18th and 19th streets (claim dated August 10, 1928) $1,863.59

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(18) Philip H. Sapiro, services of band (claim dated August 20, 1928) $604.00
(19) Hotel Bellevue, housing of National Air Tour representatives (claim dated August 20, 1928)..... 698.55

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated August 3, 1928) $577.92
(21) General Petroleum Corporation of California, gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated August 8, 1928)..... 2,020.07
(22) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service for Municipal Railways (claim dated August 10, 1928)..... 37,332.52
(23) Penney, Stephenson & Bossana, services rendered in making audit of Municipal Railways (claim dated August 10, 1928) 590.00
(24) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated August 7, 1928) 7,219.48

(25) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co. of California, brake shoes (claim dated August 14, 1928) 2,210.25
(26) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for July, 1928, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated August 14, 1928) 1,457.73
(27) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated August 14, 1928) 3,300.98

County Road Fund.

(28) Yosemite Portland Cement Corporation, cement for street reconstruction (claim dated August 3, 1928) $1,734.40
(29) The Fay Improvement Co., part payment for improvement of Parker avenue between California street and Euclid avenue (claim dated August 9, 1928) 4,000.00
(30) Federal Construction Co., for improvements in Golden Gate Heights District (claim dated August 9, 1928) 1,244.50
(31) General Petroleum Corporation of California, gasoline furnished and used in street construction (claim dated August 10, 1928) 596.05
(32) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated August 10, 1928) 1,061.04

Special School Tax.

(33) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., paint supplies for schools (claim dated August 8, 1928) $732.25
(34) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated August 8, 1928) 596.52
(35) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, architectural services for second unit of South Side (Balboa) High School (claim dated August 15, 1928) 9,600.00

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(36) Kleiber Motor Co., two motor driven tractors for use of the Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) 10,400.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(37) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for Mayor's expenses (claim dated August 20, 1928) $732.08
(38) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street for month ending September 3, 1928 ..... 1,120.75
(39) The Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated August 20, 1928) 621.65
(40) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., July street lighting (claim dated August 20, 1928) 58,729.84
(41) Dion R. Holm, legal services for July, in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated August 8, 1928) 850.00
(42) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for July in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated August 8, 1928) 750.00
(43) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated August 17, 1928) 8,238.26
(44) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated August 17, 1928) 1,163.42
(45) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated August 17, 1928) 8,402.81
(46) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for County Jails (claim dated August 15, 1928) 517.00
(47) Haas Brothers, groceries for County Jails (claim dated August 15, 1928) 559.40
(48) Western States Grocery Co., groceries for County Jails (claim dated August 15, 1928) 609.09
(49) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated August 15, 1928) 681.52

(50) General Petroleum Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated August 13, 1928) 964.16
(51) Maggini Motor Car Co., one Ford coupe for Dept. Public Works (claim dated August 2, 1928)..... 625.50
(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated August 8, 1928) 2,319.71
(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated August 10, 1928)..... 660.02
(54) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated August 10, 1928)..... 3,850.12
(55) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated August 13, 1928) 805.00
(56) Mary G. Simpson, Industrial Accident Commission award for account of death of Charles J. Simpson, Department of Health employee (claim dated August 7, 1928).... 1,237.31
(57) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated August 16, 1928) 600.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Purchase of Lands for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29513 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the opening of Bernal Cut, and approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Mary F. Marchant, for portion of Lot 1-B (25x95 ft.), in Block 6690, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as approved by Resolution No. 29475, New Series (claim dated August 11, 1928)..... $4,500.00
(2) To Emilie Ladira, for portion of Block 21 (24x38 ft), in Block 6691, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as approved by Resolution No. 29475, New Series (claim dated August 11, 1928)..... 550.00
(3) To John F. McGowan, for Lot 12 in Block 6687, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29475, New Series (claim dated August 11, 1928).... 9,600.00
(4) To Margaret Behlmer, for Lots 13 and 14 in Block 6746, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29475, New Series (claim dated August 11, 1928) 5,000.00
(5) To Martin Nelson and Anna Nelson, for portion of Lot 2 (159x35, irregular) in Block 6747, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29441, New Series (claim dated August 4, 1928)..... 9,500.00
(6) To Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, for premiums on title insurance covering various properties purchased for the opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated August 11, 1928) 577.60
(7) To David Casey and Nora Casey, for Lot 30 in Block 6686, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29489, New Series (claim dated August 15, 1928) 5,400.00
(8) To William J. Hermann, for portion of Lot 9 (25x64 ft.) in Block 6693, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29489, New Series (claim dated August 15, 1928) 850.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Purchase of Lands for Playgrounds.

Also, Resolution No. 29514 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for playground purposes, as approved by the Playground Commission, to-wit:

(1) To Oscar M. Hueter and Ernest C. Hueter, for Parcels 2 and 3, described in and as per terms of agreement between Oscar M. Hueter and Ernest C. Hueter, dated April 2, 1928, for acquisition of land for Balboa Terrace Playground. Parcel 2 being Lots 12 to 19, inclusive, in Block 3296; and Parcel 3 being Lots 1 to 7, inclusive, in Block 3268, as per the Assessor's Block Books, Balboa Terrace Addition. Together with all right, title and interest in San Aleso avenue and Kenwood way adjacent to said lots (claim dated July 26, 1928)..... $47,775.00

(2) To Fred G. Pfeifer and Helen B. Pfeifer, for Lot 5 in Block 7093, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and required for the Ocean View Playground (claim dated August 1, 1928) 5,600.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Purchase of Lands for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29515 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To William J. Drew, for land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 45 feet westerly from the westerly line of 30th avenue; running thence westerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Balboa street; also, land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 95 feet westerly from the westerly line of 30th avenue; running thence westerly along the northerly line of Balboa street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Balboa street; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29440, New Series (claim dated August 20, 1928)..... $7,500.00

(2) To Louise H. Schutt, for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of 32d avenue, distant thereon 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street; running thence southerly on 32d avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 97 feet 7½ inches, more or less; being of irregular dimensions; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29426, New Series (claim dated August 20, 1928). 4,500.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Purchase of Lands for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29516 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Sunset Parkway, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To L. J. Hopkins and Christie L. Hopkins, for Lots 1 and 3 in Block 2181, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29487, New Series (claim dated August 15, 1928) $27,000.00
(2) To Roy Dawson and Phillis Gwendolen Dawson, for Lot 17 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29487, New Series (claim dated August 15, 1928) 7,100.00
(3) To Thomas J. Lamey and Elizabeth M. Lamey, for Lot 11 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29486, New Series (claim dated August 15, 1928) 1,625.00
(4) Joseph H. Ottens and Aase Ottens, for Lot 9A in Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29491, New Series (claim dated August 16, 1928) 4,400.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Purchase of Lands Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29517 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the Bay Shore Boulevard, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To C. H. Turner, J. Willis Turner and Arthur P. Crist, for Lot 19-A in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, and as approved by Resolution No. 29488, New Series (claim dated August 8, 1928).. $900.00
(2) To Joseph Posedu and Johanna Posedu, for Lot 33 in Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29488, New Series (claim dated August 8, 1928) 3,000.00
(3) To James A. Reid and Margaret H. Reid, for Lot 38 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29490, New Series (claim dated August 8, 1928) 2,900.00
(4) Bridie Vojkovich, et al., for payment of Superior Court Judgment, Action No. 194191, covering Lots 3 and 4 in Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books (claim dated August 16, 1928) 8,250.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Appropriation for Land for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29518 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of five thousand seven hundred and fifty dollars ($5,750) be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Bernal Cut bond proceeds in full payment to Katie Walsh, as executrix of the last will and testament of Ellen Mullane, deceased, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment heretofore entitled, "In the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff, v. Katie Walsh, as executrix of the last will and testament of Ellen Mullane, deceased, Defendant," and numbered 195126 of the records thereof, in eminent domain proceedings, for the acquisition of land for boulevard purposes—Bernal Cut.

Be it Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, Texas Company.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 29519 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Eleventh and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Planing Mill and Cabinet Shop Permit, Theo. Veyhle.

Also, Resolution No. 29520 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Theo. Veyhle be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a planing mill and cabinet shop on the north side of Brannan street, 275 feet east of Fifth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was ordered *rereferred to the Fire Committee*:

Automobile Supply Station Permit, Bay Counties Oil Company.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bay Counties Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Amazon avenue and Mission street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Automobile Supply Station Permit, I. M. Sommer.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 29521 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That I. M. Sommer be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Mission street and Avalon avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Garage Permit, Locomobile Pacific Company.

Also, Resolution No. 29522 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Locomobile Pacific Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted P. J. Gartland by Resolution No. 17736 (New Series) for premises at 1455 Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Blasting Permit, J. P. Holland, Inc.

Also, Resolution No. 29523 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while excavating on property bounded by blocks between Grove street, McAllister street, Franklin street and Van Ness avenue; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, Inc., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediatley become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Amending Traffic Ordinance.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee:

Bill No. 8661, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly on Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Stockton street.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows.

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection:

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Section 36 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the Central Traffic District or in any business district during any hour of any day;

(2) In any loading zone between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day;

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day;

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of any day;

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public buildings between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays;

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business;

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom at any hour of any day.

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance, provided that in the Central Traffic District or in any business district, as herein defined, a curb space not to exceed fifty (50) per cent

of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Rescinded.

The foregoing bill having been finally passed, Supervisor Marks moved that such action be rescinded.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr—11.

Noes—Supervisors Coleman, Schmidt, Todd, Toner—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2. Excused from voting: Supervisor Shannon—1.

Amendment.

Whereupon, Supervisor Marks moved that subdivision (b) of section 36, article V, be stricken out. Amendment *carried.*

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill as amended was *passed for printing.*

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $72,489.45, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following bill, on motion of Supervisor Andriano, was *laid over one week.*

Change of Zone—Southeast Corner of Filbert and Octavia Streets.

Bill No. 8673, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Filbert and Octavia streets, for a distance of 25 feet on Octavia street and a distance of 80 feet on Filbert street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days' time thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Change of Zone—Southeast Corner of Lombard and Gough Streets to Commercial.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Bill No. 8674, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Lombard and Gough streets, for a distance of 84 feet on Lombard street and 58 feet 6 inches on Gough street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days' time thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Change of Zone—Southeasterly and Northwesterly Sides of San Jose Avenue Between Sickles Avenue and Regent Street.

Also, Bill No. 8675, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Sickles avenue and Regent street in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District, and the northwesterly side of San Jose avenue between the Southern Pacific Company's right of way and Regent street, commencing at a line 20 feet northwesterly from and parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue and extending to the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Change of Zone—Block Bounded by Donner and Egbert Avenues, and Jennings and Keith Streets to Light Industrial.

Also, Bill No. 8676, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Donner avenue, Keith street, Egbert avenue and Jennings street in the Light Industrial District instead of in the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Adopted.

Rescinding Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines Along Hollister Avenue Southeasterly From Jennings Street.

On recommendation of City Planning Commission the following resolutions were *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29524 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution of Intention No. 27461 (New Series) as declares the intention to establish set-back lines along the northeasterly side of Hollister avenue, commencing at Jennings street and running thence southeasterly 600 feet, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines Along Dolores Street Between Jersey and Twenty-Fifth Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29525 (New Series), as follows:

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 181.

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Dolores street between Jersey street and Twenty-fifth street, said set-back lines to be 16 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of September, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Elctric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928) $3,926.82
(2) Sibley Grading and Teaming Co., hauling piles for parks (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928) 665.25
(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928) 4,952.59
(4) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium covering insurance on Park employees (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928) .. 1,300.66
(5) Albers Bros. Milling Co., wheat, corn and barley for parks (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928) 772.73
(6) Swift & Co., hams, etc., for parks (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928) 674.01
(7) J. A. Bryant, second payment, construction of addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928) 77,624.99

Playground Fund.

(8) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., recreational supplies (claim dated Aug. 15, 1928) $585.00
(9) Klawans & McMullin, recreational supplies (claim dated Aug. 15, 1928) 2,979.90
(10) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Aug. 15, 1928) 633.02
(11) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Aug. 15, 1928) 692.18

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(12) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., equipment parts (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 1,176.00
(13) Celite Products Co., celite for concrete (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 1,285.51
(14) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, safety cages for shafts (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 1,707.00
(15) Makins Produce Co., Inc., food supplies (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 1,019.73
(16) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 543.00
(17) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 1,002.83
(18) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., gasoline (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 506.14
(19) Soule Steel Co., galvanized corrugated iron (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 529.69

Boulevard Bond Construction Fund.

(20) The A. Lietz Co., two Lietz transits for use in boulevard construction (claim dated Aug. 22, 1928) $664.74

County Road Fund.

(21) J. P. Holland, Inc., grading, etc., Napoleon street-Jerrold avenue (claim dated Aug. 15, 1928) $2,300.00
(22) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt, street resurfacing (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) 543.24
(23) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt, street resurfacing (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) 783.44
(24) California Rock Co., rock for street reconstruction (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) 965.42
(25) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) 1,810.28
(26) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street reconstruction (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) 3,179.98
(27) Christensen Lumber Co., improvement of Faith street and Holladay avenue, including crossing (claim dated Aug. 22, 1928) 4,241.85

Special School Tax.

(28) National Lead Co. of California, white lead for school buildings (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) $520.00
(29) Pacific States Electric Co., electric fixtures and supplies for school buildings (claim dated Aug. 21, 1928) 867.13
(30) Incandescent Supply Co., final payment, electrical fixtures for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Aug. 22, 1928) 2,200.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(31) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for construction of convenience stations (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928) $733.20
(32) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for beautification of Civic Center (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928) 943.02
(33) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 22, 1928) 747.50
(34) Sussman-Wormser Co., sugar for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 756.20
(35) Kahn & Co., X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 2,442.96
(36) Geo. W. Caswell Co., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 700.00
(37) H. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 623.85
(38) Pratt-Low Preserving Co., apricots for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 542.80
(39) Victor X-Ray Corporation, films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 609.05

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Appropriations for Repairs to and Maintenance of Streets.

Resolution No. 29526 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for repairs to and maintenance of streets, to-wit:

(1) Laidley street between Thirtieth and Noe streets..... $475.00
(2) Thirty-fourth avenue between Santiago and Rivera streets 499.00
(3) Laidley street between Mateo and Roanoke streets..... 200.00
(4) Twenty-third avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets 150.00
(5) Thirty-second avenue between Santiago and Rivera streets 499.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Additional Clerk, Public Defender.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Bill No. 8677, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the appointment by the Public Defender of an additional Clerk, and fixing his compensation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Public Defender is hereby authorized to appoint an additional Clerk in the office of the Public Defender, at a salary of $150 a month.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation for Additions to John Swett School.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the general construction of additions to John Swett School, McAllister street between Franklin and Gough streets, per award of contract to Sorenson & Haggmark.....$15,343.00
(2) For extras, incidentals and inspection in connection with above contract 1,000.00

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Acceptance of Percentages of Receipts From Market Street Railway Co., Under Franchises.

Resolution No. 29528 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passegner fares for the month of June, 1928, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc.	$593.99
Gough Street Railroad Co.	42.05
Parnassus avenue, etc.	314.00

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund, 1927-1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Payments for Lands Required for Ocean View Playground.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:
Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the Ocean View Playground, to-wit:

(1) To Ludwika Kellajtes, for Lot 14 in Block 7093 as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (claim dated August 15, 1928)..... $3,200.00
(2) To Frances Murray, for Lot 5-A in Block 7093 as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (claim dated August 15, 1928)..... 5,750.00

Payments for Lands Required for Bernal Cut Opening.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bond Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Florence J. Parry, for all of Lot 24 (25x100 ft.), in Block 6691, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as approved by Resolution No. 29507, New Series (claim dated August 20, 1928) 5,100.00

(2) To William and Katherine Murphy, for all of Lot 8 (40x60 ft.), in Block 6661 as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29507, New Series (claim dated August 20, 1928) 8,000.00

(3) To Annie M. Murphy, for all of Lot 2 (30x125 ft.), in Block 6662 as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29507, New Series (claim dated August 20, 1928 ..... 6,750.00

Purchase of Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,850 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to Delia Volkers, Mae Volkers, Alice L. Volkers and Mary Carmichael; being payment for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 100 feet and 4 inches southerly from the southerly line of Anza street; running thence southerly and along said line of Thirtieth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29504, New Series (claim dated August 27, 1928).

Purchase of Lands for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for Boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Angelo Corso, for all of Lot No. 5, in Block No. 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as approved by Resolution No. 29534, New Series (claim dated August 22, 1928) $3,250.00

(2) To Therese A. Thomson, for a portion of Lot No. 231, Spring Valley Homestead (25x92 irregular), as per Map thereof recorded in Map Book C and D, page 149, as per records of City and County of San Francisco; required for opening of Alemany boulevard, and as approved by Resolution No. 29532, New Series (claim dated August 22, 1928) 1,000.00

(3) To Geo. Rossi and Angela Rossi, for release of damages to Lot 20A in Block 6799, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, caused by the construction of the Alemany boulevard, as approved by Resolution No. 29535, New Series (claim dated August 22, 1928) 1,635.00

(4) To James Lister Plumb, for Lot 6 in Block 1791, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Sunset Parkway, and as approved by Resolution No. 29533, New Series (claim dated August 21, 1928) 7,100.00

(5) To Arthur Elvin and Ethel B. Elvin, for Lot 5A, in Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Sunset Parkway, and as per approval by Resolution No. 29533, New Series (claim dated August 21, 1928) 6,875.00

Appropriation for Purchase of Seven 2½-Ton Motor Trucks.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $33,656 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the purchase of seven 2½-ton Motor Trucks, with dump bodies, for use of the Department of Public Works, as per award to Kleiber Motor Company.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the ereinafter designated funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Work in Front of City Property, 1928-1929.

(1) For the construction of sidewalks on the Flora street and Pomona street sides of the Bay View Grammar School $1,575.00
(2) For the improvement of Twenty-second avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, at City property, including portion of crossings of Quintara street and Twenty-second avenue and of Rivera street and Twenty-second avenue.... 4,287.17
(3) For improvement of Prentiss street between Tompkins and Ogden avenues, fronting City property..... 1,652.55

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 53.

(4) For expense of moving partitions, erecting tile partition, changing electric wires, fixtures, etc., to enlarge Traffic Bureau room in Hall of Justice..... $1,711.00

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 36.

(5) For cost of constructing sewer on north side of stairway between Mission and London streets, to furnish outlet for drainage $550.00

Street Signs, Budget Item No. 70.

(6) For the furnishing, delivering and erecting of street signs, per contract awarded to D. C. McCabe..... $3,480.00
(7) For inspection and extras on above contract..... 620.00

Bernal Cut Bonds.

(8) For the improving of Wilder avenue between Diamond and Arlington streets, at City property, in connection with opening of Bernal Cut boulevard..... $1,230.10

Appropriations for Street Reconstruction.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the widening of Grant avenue from Columbus avenue to Filbert street $5,500.00
(2) For temporary improvements on Essex street between Folsom and Harrison streets..... 1,000.00
(3) For repairs to Circular avenue bridge..... 900.00
(4) For and in settlement of claim for damages to property of Paul Kralik caused by the grading of Innes avenue.... 1,140.00

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Investment of Robinson Bequest Fund.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 29529 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the trustees of the Henry E. Robinson Bequest Fund, comprising the Mayor and chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, be and they are hereby authorized and empowered to invest, through the medium of the City and County Treasurer, the sum of $42,000, plus accrued interest, in first mortgage six per centum serial gold bonds of Central Oakland Block, Inc., from surplus funds belonging to said Bequest Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Condemnation of Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29530 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Boutwell street, distant thereon 165.27 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Silver avenue; running thence northwesterly along said line of Boutwell street 25 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 2 according to map entitled "Map of the Golden City Tract" filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, August 1, 1905, and recorded in Book "G" of Maps, at page 15; thence northwesterly along said line of said Lot No. 2 101.79 feet; thence southeasterly, parallel with the southwesterly line of Charter Oak avenue, 25 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly line of land now or formerly owned by John Tierney; thence southwesterly along the said line of said land 101.27 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Lot No. 1 in Block No. 2, Golden City Tract, and also part of Rancho Rincon de las Salinas y Potrero Viejo.

Be it Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening, construction and maintenance of Bay Shore boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Condemnation of Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San

Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Third street and the northeasterly line of Lot 3, Block 624, Paul Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 49, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 150 feet to the northwesterly line of Lot 1 of said Block 624; thence at right angles southwesterly along said northwesterly line 150 feet to the northeasterly line of Olney avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly along said northeasterly line 74.698 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly, northeasterly and northerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 116 deg. 14 min. 26 sec., a distance of 24.345 feet to the westerly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence northerly along said westerly line, being a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 1004.797 feet and a central angle of 7 deg. 19 min. 56 sec., a distance of 128.585 feet; thence northerly, northwesterly, westerly and southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 146 deg. 25 min. 38 sec., a distance of 30.668 feet to its point of tangency with the southeasterly line of Third street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 27.944 feet to the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 3, 4 and 5 of said Block 624.

Be it Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening, construction and maintenance of Bay Shore boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Condemnation of Land for Silver Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Silver avenue, distant thereon three hundred and sixty-eight (368) feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line one hundred sixty-one and one hundred sixty-seven thousandths (161.167) feet; thence at right angles southeasterly sixteen and five-tenths (16.5) feet; thence at right angles southwesterly, parallel to said southeasterly line of Silver avenue, one hundred sixty-one and one hundred sixty-seven thousandths (161.167) feet to the northeasterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly along said northeasterly line sixteen and five-tenths (16.5) feet to the southeasterly line of Silver avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Lot Number 12, as per map "Plat of the Silver Terrace," recorded in Map Book "1," page 78, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening, construction and maintenance of Silver avenue. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Offer to Sell Land—Alemany Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 29531 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

L. V. Roberts, $500—Portion of Lot 43, Block 7150, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29532 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the extension of Lyell street as an approach to the proposed Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Therese A. Thomson et al., $1,000—Portion of Lot No. 231, Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land—Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29533 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Arthur Elvin and Ethel B. Elvin, $6,875—Lot 5-A, Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

James Lister Plumb, $7,100—Lot 6, Block 1791, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer, Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29534 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Angelo Corso, $3,250—

Parcel 1. The westerly portion of Lot No. 5, Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel A. The easterly portion of Lot No. 5, Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept on behalf of the City deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of said Parcel "A," purchased from said Angelo Corso, the deed to said Parcel "A" be taken in the name of the City Title Insurance Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the direction of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Release from Claim for Damages, Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29535 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of properties adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, namely:

James E. Hopkins and Anna E. Hopkins, $70—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 22 in Block 6799, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 59 Tingley street.

Frank Chiechi et al., $102.70—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 23-C in Block 6798, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 85 Theresa street.

Giorgio Servetti and Madalina Servetti, $288.60—All that certain

piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as the westerly portion of Lot 18 in Block 6799, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 90 Theresa street.

George Fabianovich and Mary Fabianovich, $211.80—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as the easterly portion of Lot 18 in Block 6799, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 86 Theresa street.

George Rossi and Angela Rossi, $1,635—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 20-A in Block 6799, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 93 Tingley street.

Pietro Guzzetti and Guiseppina Guzzetti, $184.50—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 19 in Block 6799, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 94 Theresa street.

Charles M. Hickey and Carrie Hickey, $488—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 21 in Block 6799, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 89 Tingley street.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Kezar Stadium Roadway.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Bill No. 8678, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Kezar Stadium roadway in Golden Gate Park, extending from Waller and Stanyan streets to Third avenue and Lincoln way, in accordance with plans and specifications which are hereby authorized and approved; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said roadway in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, at an estimated cost of $60,000. The cost of said construction to be borne out of County Road Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the construction of the Kezar Stadium roadway in Golden Gate Park, extending from Waller and Stanyan streets to Third avenue and Lincoln way, the same is hereby ordered and to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which plans and specifications are hereby authorized and approved. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of said roadway, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said construction, estimated at $60,000, to be borne out of County Road Fund.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Sale of $4,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, September 17, 1928.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 29536 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that on the 17th day of September, 1928, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

Four million dollars of Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue of July 1, 1928, comprising one hundred bonds of $1,000 denomination, four and one-half per cent, maturing each year from 1938 to 1977, inclusive.

The Finance Committee shall fix the terms and conditions of sale.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer Land—Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29537 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite her name, be accepted:

Rose Leddy, $7,250—Lot 5, Block 6661, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

George O. Bendon, east side Fifteenth avenue, 90 feet south of Santiago street, 750 gallons capacity.

W. G. Brown, northeast corner Twenty-third avenue and Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. F. Finigan, 2450 Steiner street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. Franchesi, east line Scott street, 105 feet north of Prado street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Peter Furnell, east line Capp street, 195 feet north of Twenty-fifth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Golden Gate Pastry Co., 5901 Geary street, 600 gallons capacity.

E. Hirsch, 18 Jordan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Herman Hogrefe & Son, northwest corner Pierce and California streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. M. Kaye, 232 Maple street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. B. Miller, west side San Jose avenue, 225 feet north of Twenty-fifth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. T. Nokes, 2536 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Reid, east side Church street, 75 feet north of Twenty-second street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. S. Rosner, east side Spruce street, 70 feet south of Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Serioty, 234 Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Stahl, west side Twenty-seventh avenue, 200 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits will become null and void.

Garage Permit, J. H. Partin, 819 Ellis Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James H. Partin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted to R. D. Deaton by Resolution No. 23931 (New Series) for premises at 819 Ellis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit, Chas. J. Evans, Turk and Jones Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles J. Evans be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted to R. A. Ford by Resolution No. 23222 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Turk and Jones streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Amending Garage Permit.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, entitled "Regulating the Construction of Buildings Used as Public Automobile Garages; Regulating and Providing for the Storage and Use of Gasoline in Public and Private Automobile Garages; Repealing Ordinance No. 33 (New Series), approved July 16, 1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. All buildings hereafter erected, altered or changed, so as to be occupied as public garages for the purpose of storing automobiles, shall be of Class "A," "B" or "C" construction, except that public garages over one story in height shall be of Class "A" or "B" construction in all parts, excepting that the roof and the partitions of such portions as are used exclusively for office purposes may be of frame construction. The flooring of the first floor shall be concrete, with a system of ventilation with openings to the outer air at floor line, not less than six (6) by eight (8) inches for each ventilator. There shall at all times be maintained in every such building used for the aforesaid purpose two (2) chemical fire extinguishers of not less than three (3) gallons each where the floor space is less than five

hundred (500) square feet, and one (1) additional chemical fire extinguisher for every additional five hundred (500) square feet of floor space used for such purpose. On the main floor of all public garages there shall be not less than four (4) barrels of clean, dry sand, each barrel to contain an iron scoop.

No part of any building which is used as a hotel, rooming house, lodging house, or hall, shall be used as a public garage for the purpose of storing automobiles.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Rereferred.

The following resolutions were *rereferred to the Fire Committee*:

Laundry Permit, Jim Lee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jim Lee be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public laundry at 879 Sutter street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, R. Cowles.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Cowles be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Geary street and Palm avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Garage Permit, A. G. Curtis.

On recommendation of the Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. G. Curtis be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 235 Mason street, said garage to be maintained and operated in conjunction with and to be made a part of the Gray Dawn Garage, situate on the north side of Latham place, 77 feet 6 inches west of Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity Committee:

Resolution No. 29538 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove, change, take over and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

Northeast and southwest corners Fell and Pierce streets.
East and west sides Delmar street between Frederick and Waller.
Sacramento street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street.

Washington street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street.
North and south sides Fell street between Fillmore and Steiner.
North and south sides Fell street between Steiner and Pierce.
Northwest and southeast corners Fell and Steiner streets.

Remove 5-*Globe* 210-*Watt Electroliers on Mission Street.*

Between Fair and Brooke streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Delmar street between Waller and Frederick streets.
Delmar street between Frederick and Piedmont streets.

Change 400 *M. R.*

Southwest corner Twenty-fourth and Dolores streets to northwest corner.

Take over and maintain 40 Hardy ornamental electroliers on Geary street, Mason street to Van Ness avenue.

Take over and maintain lighting of 15 standards located in Westwood Park; also standards installed by Westwood Park Association.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Army street between Noe and Castro.
Naglee street between Mission and Ellington.
Naglee street between Ellington street and San Jose avenue.
Whipple street opposite Rae street.
Rae street between Whipple and Naglee.
Delmar street south of Waller.
Delmar street north of Frederick.
Delmar street north of Piedmont.
Delmar street south of Frederick.
Prague street between Brazil and Persia avenues.
Bradford street between Powhattan and Cortland avenue.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Northwest corner Cortland avenue and Bocana street.
Southeast corner Cortland avenue and Bennington street.
Southwest corner Cortland avenue and Andover street.
Northeast corner Cortland avenue and Moultrie street.
Northeast corner Cortland avenue and Ellsworth street.
Southwest corner Cortland avenue and Gates street.
Northeast corner Cortland avenue and Folsom street.
East and west sides Haight street between Fillmore and Steiner.
East and west sides Haight street between Steiner and Pierce.
North and south sides Sacramento street between Polk and Van Ness.
North and south sides Washington street between Polk and Van Ness.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Mission street between Fair and Brooke streets, one every trolley pole, all-night lighting.

During Street Reconstruction, Install 400 *M. R.*

North and south sides Fell street between Fillmore and Steiner, and Fell street between Steiner and Pierce.

Southeast and northwest corners Fell and Steiner.

Northeast and southwest corners Fell and Pierce.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Sale of Old Buildings Located on School Sites.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee:

Resolution No. 29539 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the following buildings located on lands recently acquired by the City for school purposes, to-wit: The frame building known as Nos. 444 and 446 Arguello boulevard, also situate and known as Nos. 306-308-312-314-346 Francisco street, and the old shed building located at the northwest corner of Francisco and Worden streets.

The Board of Public Works is requested to prepare plans for the removal of said buildings by the purchasers.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Acceptance of Offer—Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29540 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements on the easterly line of Cook street, 389 feet 10 inches northerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $8,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Cook street, distant thereon 389 feet 10 inches northerly from the northerly line of Geary street; running thence northerly along said easterly line of Cook street 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Cook street and point of commencement. Being a portion of W. A. Block No. 641.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Intention to Change Grades on Twenty-seventh Avenue Between Quintara and Santiago Streets.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee:

Resolution No. 29541 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 103170 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted August 10, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed August 10, 1928, to-wit:

On Twenty-seventh avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting on Josiah Street, J. P. Holland.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Josiah street between Lakeview avenue and Ridgeway lane, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Extension of Ninety Days' Time, Meyer Rosenberg.

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Resolution No. 29542 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Meyer Rosenberg is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 26, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Morse street between Concord and Pope streets, under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor was delayed on account of hard rock, and it was necessary to secure a blasting permit.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Public Alleys Between Guttenberg Street and Florentine Avenue and Brunswick and Concord Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29543 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning certain portions of public alleys between Guttenberg street and Florentine avenue and Brunswick and Concord streets as described in Resolution of Intention No. 29261 (New Series) and excepting therefrom certain ten-foot alleys as described in said Resolution of Intention No. 29261 (New Series).

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 29261 (New Series),

declared its intention to close up and abandon certain portions of public alleys in the City and County of San Francisco and excepting therefrom certain ten-foot alleys; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of certain alleys as described in said Resolution of Intention No. 29261 (New Series) was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objections to the closing and abandonment of said alleys as described in Resolution of Intention No. 29261 (New Series) was or were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said alleys; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 29261 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said alleys are nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said alleys shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said alleys as described in Resolution No. 29261 (New Series) be and the same is hereby ordered and that said alleys be and the same are hereby closed and abandoned as public alleys as described in Resolution of Intention No. 29261 (New Series) and excepting therefrom certain ten-foot alleys; and

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in "The Bulletin" as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Extension of Time, Twenty-seventh Avenue, James R. McElroy.

Also, Resolution No. 29544 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James R. McElroy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after August 19, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the extension of time is requested to cover the time necessary for the acceptance of the work and issuance of assessment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Oakwood Street Extension to Nineteenth Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29545 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on the 9th day of July, 1928, the Board of Supervisors duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 29268 (New Series), which resolution was, on the 20th day of July, 1928, duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 29268 (New Series).

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the extension of Oakwood street from its southerly termination through to Nineteenth street. The land and improvements deemed necessary to be taken for said extension of Oakwood street is particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Nineteenth street, distant thereon 220 feet easterly from the easterly line of Dolores street; thence easterly along said northerly line 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Nineteenth street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Mission Block No. 78.

And said Board of Supervisors does hereby determine and declare that said proposed extension of Oakwood street is of more than ordinary public benefit and will affect the lands and district hereinafter described and which said district is hereby declared to be the district affected and benefited by said extension. The City and County of San Francisco to pay the sum of nine thousand six hundred and fifty ($9,650) dollars to defray one-half of the cost of the land and improvements and therefore the remaining cost and expenses of said extension shall be and are hereby made chargeable upon said lands and district, which lands and district are within the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the exterior boundaries of said lands and district affected and benefited by said extension are particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Nineteenth street, distant thereon 195 feet easterly from the easterly line of Dolores street; thence easterly along said northerly line 115 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 70 feet 2 inches; thence deflecting 96 deg. 16 min. 20 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 208 feet 3 inches; thence deflecting 96 deg. 16 min. 20 sec. to the right and running easterly and parallel with Nineteenth street 48 feet 7 inches; thence at right angles northerly 112 feet; thence at right angles westerly 108 feet; thence at right angles northerly 101 feet to the southerly line of Eighteenth street; thence at right angles westerly and along said southerly line 104 feet to a point distant thereon 194 feet easterly from the easterly line of Dolores street; thence at right angles southerly 112 feet; thence at right angles westerly 64 feet; thence at right angles southerly 45 feet; thence at right angles westerly 20 feet; thence at right angles southerly 263 feet; thence at right angles easterly 85 feet; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Nineteenth street and the point of beginning, saving and excepting therefrom all public streets.

Said extension of Oakwood street shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and sections following Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of said Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, July 9, 1928.

Ayes: Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Mc-

Govern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Marks.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved: San Francisco, July 20, 1928.

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Board of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law a notice similar in substance, to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the said extension of Oakwood street or to the extent of the district and lands to be affected and benefited by said improvement and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, or both, was or were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice, or at all; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience require said improvement to be done as specificaly described in said Resolution No. 29268 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order the extension of Oakwood street as aforesaid, and as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 29268 (New Series); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered that said Oakwood street be extended as aforesaid and as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 29268 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That the damages, costs and expenses for said extension of Oakwood street be and they are hereby made chargeable against and shall be assessable upon the lands and district described in said Resolution No. 29268 (New Series), as being the lands and district affected and benefited by said extension and against which the damages, costs and expenses of said extension should be made chargeable. The City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with Resolution No. 29268 (New Series), will pay the sum of $9,650 to defray part of the cost and expenses of said extension of Oakwood street; and be it

Further Resolved, That the extension of Oakwood street be done in pursuance of Chapter 3 of Article 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and in the manner laid down in and in accordance with provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter 3 of Article 6 of said Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Change of Grades on Alameda Street, on Treat Avenue and on Alabama Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29546 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 103221 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted August 15, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed August 16, 1928, to-wit:

On Alameda street between Florida and Harrison streets.

On Treat avenue between Florida and Alameda streets.

On Alabama street between Alameda and Fifteenth streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Improvement of Taylor Street Between Vallejo and Green Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 8680, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Taylor street between Vallejo and Green streets by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manholes, Y branches and side-sewers; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Fourth Avenue Between Parnassus Avenue and Kirkham Street, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8681, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fourth avenue between Parnassus avenue and Kirkham street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Thornton Avenue Between Diana and Neptune Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8682, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the southerly one-half of Thornton avenue between Diana street and Neptune street, where not already so improved,

by the construction of an asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Sidewalks on Detroit Street and on Lane Street, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8683, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Detroit street between Hearst avenue and Staples avenue, Hearst avenue between Baden street and Edna street, Marston avenue between Edna street and Circular avenue, Circular avenue between Judson avenue and Marston avenue, Genesee street between Mangels avenue and Joost avenue, Edna street between Monterey boulevard and Hearst avenue, Baden street between Joost avenue and Monterey boulevard, Acadia street between Joost avenue and Monterey boulevard, the north one-half of Judson avenue between Edna street and Foerster street, Staples avenue between Congo street and Detroit street, Staples avenue between Genesee street and Foerster street, Mangels avenue between Edna street and Ridgewood avenue, Joost avenue between Brompton avenue (extended) and Detroit street, Joost avenue between Edna street and Genesee street, the north one-half of Circular avenue between Congo street and Flood avenue (extended), Congo street between Circular avenue and Mangels avenue, Foerster street between Monterey boulevard and Melrose avenue, Foerster street between Judson avenue and Staples avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

The improvement of Lane street from McKinnon avenue to Newcomb avenue, Lane street from Palou avenue to Oakdale avenue, Lane street from Quesada avenue to Revere avenue, Newcomb avenue from Keith street to Lane street, Mendell street from Palou avenue to Oakdale avenue, Oakdale avenue from Mendell street to Lane street, Palou avenue from Lane street to Mendell street, Palou avenue from Jennings street to Keith street, Quesada avenue from Keith street to Ingalls street, Quesada avenue from Lane street to Third street, Revere

avenue from Lane street to Keith street, Revere avenue from Jennings street to Ingalls street, Shafter avenue from Keith street to Ingalls street, Thomas avenue from Lane street to Keith street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Bright Street Between Randolph and Sargent Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8684, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Bright street between Randolph and Sargent streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Jerrold Avenue Between Third and Phelps Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8685, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on

file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Jerrold avenue between Third street and Phelps street, where not already so improved, by the construction of side-sewers; by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Caine Avenue Between Lakeview and Summit Avenues, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8686, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Caine avenue between Lakeview avenue and Summit avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of one side-sewer; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Extension of Time.

Resolution No. 29553 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after July 27, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Huron and Whipple avenues, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted upon recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is well under way, catch basins and sewers having been completed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over until Tuesday, September* 4, 1928, *and made a Special Order for* 11 *a. m.*:

Approval of Plan of Extension of Van Ness Avenue from Mission to Howard Streets.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 102381 (Second Series), recommend the approval of Plan No. L8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approves said Plan No. L8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering the Improvement of Coso Avenue and Crossings, Expense of City.

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 8687, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Coso avenue between Prospect avenue and Winfield street and reconstruction of crossings of Coso avenue and Winfield street, and Coso avenue and Prospect avenue by the construction of steps and concrete road, approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of four thousand dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, having recommended the ordering of the improvement of Coso avenue between Prospect avenue and Winfield street and reconstruction of crossings of Coso avenue and Winfield street and Coso avenue and Prospect avenue, by the construction of steps and a concrete road; the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of four thousand dollars.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Sidewalks on Geary Street and Other Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8688, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the north one-half of Geary street from the east line of Laguna street to a line parallel with and 77 feet 1 inch east of the east line of Laguna street; the east one-half of Laguna street from the north line of Geary street to Cedar street; the north one-half of Post street from a line parallel with and 163 feet 6 inches west of the west line of Webster street to a line parallel with and 189 feet 6 inches west of the west line of Webster street; the north one-half of Sutter street from a line parallel with and 37 feet 6 inches east of the east line of Hyde street to a line parallel with and 82 feet 6 inches east of the east line of Hyde street; the east one-half of Larkin street from a line parallel with and 87 feet 6 inches south of the south line of Ellis street to a line parallel with and 112 feet 6 inches south of the south line of Ellis street; the south one-half of Turk street from a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches east of the east line of Jones street to a line parallel with and 175 feet east of the east line of Jones street; the north one-half of Sutter street from a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches east of the east line of Larkin street to a line parallel with and 165 feet east of the east line of Larkin street; the north one-half of Pacific avenue from the west line of Salmon street to a line parallel with and 20 feet west of the west line of Salmon street; the west one-half of Shrader street from a line parallel with and 75 feet south of the south line of Rivoli street to a line parallel with and 100 feet south of the south line of Rivoli street; the south one-half of Oak street from a line parallel with and 25 feet east of the east line of Broderick street to a line parallel with and 50 feet east of the east line of Broderick street, and from a line parallel with and 95 feet west of the west line of Divisadero street to a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches west of the west line of Divisadero street; the north one-half of Clay street from a line parallel with and 81 feet 3 inches east of the east line of Lyon street to a line parallel with and 106 feet 3 inches east of the east line of Lyon street; the east side of Twenty-eighth avenue from a line

parallel with and 275 feet north of the north line of Clement street to a line parallel with and 325 feet north of the north line of Clement street; the east one-half of Fillmore street from a line parallel with and 82 feet 7⅛ inches north of the north line of California street to a line parallel with and 107 feet 7⅛ inches north of the north line of California street; the west one-half of Fillmore street from the north line of Sacramento street to a line parallel with and 63 feet north of the north line of Sacramento street; the north one-half of McAllister street from a line parallel with and 64 feet east of the east line of Scott street to a line parallel with and 100 feet east of the east line of Scott street; the east one-half of Pierce street between Union and Green streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks have not already been constructed to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Improvement of Nineteenth Street Between Mississippi Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8689, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Nineteenth street between Mississippi street and Pennsylvania avenue, by resetting the existing granite curbs that are not to official line and grade, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Award of Contract, Step Ladders.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 29547 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Los Angeles

Ladder Co. on bid submitted July 23, 1928 (Proposal No. 403), for furnishing the following, viz: Step Ladders for School Department—100 step ladders, 8 feet, price per foot, 39 cents; 100 step ladders, 10 feet, price per foot, 39 cents; 100 step ladders, 12 feet, price per foot, 39 cents.

Resolved, That a bond be required in the sum of $500 for the faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Award of Contract, Vacuum Pumps.

Also, Resolution No. 29548 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pacific Specialty Manufacturing Company on bid submitted August 13, 1928 (Proposal No. 409), for furnishing the following, viz. Two vacuum pumps, more particularly described as: Baker Combination Pressure and Vacuum Unit, and six control boards, in operating room, San Francisco Hospital, for the sum of $850.

Resolved, That no bond be required as said equipment is for immediate delivery.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Award of Contract, Motor Trucks.

Also, Resolution No. 29549 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Kleiber Motor Company on bid submitted July 9, 1928 (Proposal No. 396), for furnishing the following, viz.: Seven motor trucks with pump bodies, 2½-ton, for the Department of Public Works for the sum of $33,656. Delivery: Four within 12 days; three within 21 days.

The conditions of said delivery are more fully set forth as follows (quoting from the specifications), viz.:

Time of Delivery: The delivery of the trucks called for herein at the earliest possible date, will be of material advantage to the City and County of San Francisco. For this reason each bidder will be required to submit as a part of his bid the number of days following the date on which the contract is executed within which he undertakes to deliver said trucks.

Bonus for Delivery Before Time Specified: In order to compensate the contractor hereunder for the additional expense which it will be necessary to incur in order to deliver said trucks before the date fixed for delivery, the City and County will pay to the contractor a bonus of ten dollars ($10) per day for each and every calendar day that each truck is delivered before the date fixed for the delivery thereof.

Liquidated Damages: Any delay beyond the date fixed for delivery will be of material disadvantage to the City and County. Should the delivery of said trucks or any of them be delayed beyond the date fixed for the delivery thereof, the sum of ten dollars ($10) shall be deducted from the price named in the contract for each and every calendar day that the delivery of each truck is delayed beyond the date fixed for the delivery thereof.

It is further understood and agreed that said sum shall not be considered as a penalty but as liquidated damages, which it is agreed upon, fixed, determined and understood by the parties of this contract, the City will suffer by reason of the failure of the contractor to make delivery hereunder within the period fixed for such delivery.

Any money due or to become due the contractor may be forfeited

to cover the liquidated damages hereinbefore named, and should such money not be sufficient to cover such damages, the City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to recover the balance from the contractor or his sureties.

The filing of any bid for the work herein contemplated shall be taken and understood to mean that the contractor filing the bid understands, agrees and has ascertained that the City will actually suffer damages to the amount of ten dollars ($10) per day for each and every day during which the delivery of each truck shall be delayed beyond the expiration of the time fixed for such delivery.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $3,000 be required for the faithful performance of contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Heroism of Air Men Commended.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 29550 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors commend the courage and heroism of Joe Salzman, instructor, and Oscar Rothlin, student, and Bartlett Stephens, assistant superintendent of Mills Field Airport, and Edison E. Mouton, inspector of the United States Department of Commerce, on the occasion of the recent air flight of Salzman and Rothlin when they were marooned in the air with a broken landing gear.

Joe Salzman, at the risk of his own life, climbed out of the body of the airplane and suspended himself by a rope and repaired the broken gear in midair, while Rothlin, at the controls, kept the ship on an even keel.

In another airplane, Inspector Mouton, at the controls, with Bartlett Stephens riding on the wing, attempted to rescue the marooned men by flying close and handing them parachutes.

Due to the heroism and presence of mind of all concerned the possibility of a fatal calamity was avoided.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer of Edward J. Briscoe, Easements, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Resolution No. 29551 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described easements situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Edward J. Briscoe, Annie E. Murphy and Margaret R. Turner, $500—

Parcel 1. An exclusive subsurface right of way easement for the

construction, maintenance and use of two aqueduct tunnels in and through the lands of Edward J. Briscoe, Annie E. Murphy and Margaret R. Turner in the Ex-Mission of San Jose.

Parcel 2. The right to construct, maintain, replace and operate an electric transmission line and telephone line, with all necessary accessories along, upon and over Parcel 1, approximately following the alignment of the first aqueduct tunnel to the constructed.

(As per written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said easements and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying said easements to said City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer of Antone Thomas, Land, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Resolution No. 29552 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Antone Thomas, $50—A portion of that certain 12.39-acre tract of land owned by Antone Thomas et ux. and fronting on the northeasterly side of the State Highway running from Niles to Mission San Jose and located approximately 1200 feet southeasterly along said highway from its intersection with County Road No. 1008. Said portion of said tract contains 0.14 acre, more or less. Required for road purposes. (As per written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said land and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying said land to said City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Condemnation Proceedings, Hetch Hetchy Easement.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity demand the acquisition through eminent domain proceedings of the following described easements situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, said easements being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. An exclusive sub-surface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of two aqueduct tunnels, in and

through the lands of Fleda O. Bunting et al. in Ex-Mission Survey No. 37, otherwise Lot No. 40, as laid down on the official connected plat of the Ex-Mission of San Jose, as certified October 28, 1865, by the United States Surveyor-General of California.

The center line of the first of said aqueduct tunnels to be constructed through said lands is described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the easterly boundary of said Ex-Mission Survey No. 37, distant along said line south 1 deg. 21 min. 50 sec. west 353.9 feet from an existing fence corner assumed to mark Station V No. 3 of the Survey of the Sunol portion of the Rancho el Valle de San Jose and also assumed to mark the northwest corner of land of Edward J. Briscoe et al.; thence from the point of beginning south 79 deg. 19 min. west 6201.9 feet to a point which bears north 9 deg. 08 min. 30 sec. west 1000.7 feet from a fence corner assumed to mark the corner common to Ex-Mission Surveys 30, 36 and 37; thence north 88 deg. 41 min. west 122.1 feet to a point in the westerly boundary of said Ex-Mission Survey No. 37.

In the above description it is assumed that existing fences mark the easterly and westerly boundaries of Ex-Mission Survey No. 37.

The second of said aqueduct tunnels to be constructed shall be located parallel to said first tunnel and the center line of said second tunnel shall be 175 feet southerly from the center line of said first tunnel.

Parcel 2. The right to construct, maintain, replace, patrol and operate an electric transmission line consisting of conductors supported on one line of poles, with all necessary accessories, and a telephone line of one or more circuits supported on one line of poles, with all necessary accessories, along, upon and over the surface of the ground in and through the lands of Fleda O. Bunting et al. in said Ex-Mission Survey No. 37.

Together with the right to cut down or trim any trees or brush which may interfere with or constitute a menace to the safety of said transmission line or telephone line.

Said transmission line shall be located parallel to the first of the aqueduct tunnels to be constructed in Parcel 1 and the center line of said transmission line shall be 6 feet southerly from the center line of said tunnel. Said telephone line shall be located parallel to said transmission line and 40 feet southerly therefrom.

The taking of said easements is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct now being constructed by said City and County of San Francisco, and designed and intended to convey water from Lake Eleanor and the Tuolumne River, and its tributaries, to the said City and County of San Francisco for municipal and domestic purposes; that the taking of said easements is further necessary for the future proper development and control of said aqueduct, electric transmission lines and telephone lines to be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct and the appurtenances thereto.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings against the owners of said property and of all interests therein or claims against or liens upon said property, and for the condemnation of said rights, interests, claims and liens to the public use of the City and County of San Francisco for the said purposes above specified.

Supervisors' Convention Announced.

Supervisor Todd announced Supervisors' Convention to meet in Sacramento September 7, 1928, and the following Supervisors indicated their intention to attend: Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Todd, Toner.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

Initiative Petition.

An initiative petition, sponsored by the Down Town Association, providing for a Charter amendment relative to the expiring franchise of the Market Street Railway Company was presented, and, on motion, *ordered sent to the Registrar of Voters for a check of the signatures and for such action as the law requires.*

The petition was sent immediately to the Registrar of Voters by the Clerk, who obtained a receipt therefor.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Declaratory Ordinance, Sewers.

Bill No. 8662, Ordinance No. 8169 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit: The construction and extension of the sewer system in the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, consisting of the construction and extension of the Sewer System in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market streets to Fifteenth and Harrison streets; College Hill Tunnel from the Mission street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose avenue and Army street; Alemany boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission street to the vicinity of Revere avenue and Industrial street; Twenty-third avenue and Geary street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh avenue and Geary street to Twenty-third avenue and Lake street.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of cost of the construction and completion of each of said public improvements described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Powers, Shannon, Toner—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Declaratory Ordinance, Hospitals and Health Centers.

Also, Bill No. 8663, Ordinance No. 8170 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent public buildings and the acquisition of the necessary lands, equipment and furnishings to be used as hospitals and health centers by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of health centers in districts throughout San Francisco as may be deemed necessary by the Board of Health, and this ordinance specifically provides that for the proper care of the health of the people of San Francisco that all necessary lands be acquired to construct the buildings herein described and the purchase of all necessary buildings, equipment and furnishings.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and to file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of the public buildings and objects described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Declaratory Ordinance, Playgrounds.

Also, Bill No. 8664, Ordinance No. 8171 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for

playground purposes; the location of said playground sites are to be selected by the Playground Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, where, in the opinion of the Commission, the sites so selected will best serve the needs of the children of San Francisco for recreational purposes.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Attorney, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition and development of the lands described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Explanation.

Supervisor McSheehy declared that if he had been present he would have voted in favor of the foregoing ordinances.

Declaratory Ordinance, McLaren Park.

Also, Bill No. 8665, Ordinance No. 8172 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings which are contiguous to and comprise portions of the Reis Tract, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, Paul Tract Homestead Association, University Mound Tract, University Homestead Association, Superior Homestead Association and other tracts, to comprise approximately five hundred and fifty (550) acres of land to be used for park purposes.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of said land described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Declaratory Ordinance, Addition to Aquatic Park and Marina Park.

Also, Bill No. 8668, Ordinance No. 8173 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park, and the

purchase of land for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park, located within the City and County of San Francisco, adding to said park whatever equipment and construction are necessary to render it adequate for the purposes for which it is dedicated; and the acquisition of land as a permanent improvement for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco, which lands are described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the center line of Webster street and the southerly line of Lewis street, running thence southerly along the center line of Webster street to the southerly line of Tonquin street extended westerly; thence southeasterly to the northerly termination of the curve of 103.528 foot radius, which forms part of the northeasterly boundary line of that certain parcel of land (now known as Marina boulevard) conveyed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded August 20, 1917, in Book 1029 of Deeds, page 195, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of said parcel of land known as Marina boulevard to the westerly line of Buchanan street; thence southeasterly to the point of intersection of the easterly line of Buchanan street and the northerly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by Sierra and San Francisco Power Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded July 30, 1918, in Book 1068 of Deeds, page 397, in the office of said County Recorder; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly along the northerly line of said last mentioned parcel of land so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence easterly at a right angle to said westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line thereof; thence northerly along the easterly line of Laguna street to the southerly line of Lewis street, and thence westerly along the southerly line of Lewis street to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost of said permanent improvements described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Declaratory Ordinance, Airport.

Also, Bill No. 8669, Ordinance No. 8174 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land, situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport, and all necessary hangars, machine shop, administration building, roads, runways, drainage facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of tractors, rollers and turntable, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land, situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport, and all necessary hangars, machine shop, administration building, roads, runways, drainage facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of tractors, rollers and turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private pleasure and sightseeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, construction and equipment of the lands, buildings and equipment described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Declaratory Ordinance, Civic Center Lands and Development.

Also, Bill No. 8670, Ordinance No. 8175 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street, as a permanent improvement, for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street, at the width said street now is between Larkin street and Hyde street, to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street, as a permanent improvement, for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition of the lands and buildings described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, Powers, Shannon—6.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks—2.

Declaratory Ordinance, Broadway Tunnel.

Also, Bill No. 8671, Ordinance No. 8176 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of a tunnel, to be known as the Broadway tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin and Broadway streets and ending at Mason and Broadway streets, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of a tunnel, to be known as the Broadway tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin and Broadway streets and ending at Mason and Broadway streets, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said tunnel, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, Powers, Shannon—6.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks—2.

Rereferred.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the following matters were *rereferred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee*:

Declaratory Ordinance, Aquatic Park.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park located within the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park located within the City and County of San Francisco, adding to said park whatever equipment and construction are necessary to render it adequate for the purposes for which it is dedicated.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the completion and equipment of said Aquatic Park described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Declaratory Ordinance, Additions to Aquatic Park and Marina Park.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of land as a permanent improvement for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer, and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of land as a permanent improvement for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco, which lands are described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the center line of Webster street and the southerly line of Lewis street, running thence southerly along the center line of Webster street to the southerly line of Tonquin street extended westerly; thence southeasterly to the northerly termination of the curve of 103.528 feet radius, which forms part of the northeasterly boundary line of that certain parcel of land (now known as Marina boulevard) conveyed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded August 20, 1917, in Book 1029 of Deeds, at page 195, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of said parcel of land known as Marina boulevard to the westerly line of Buchanan street; thence southeasterly to the point of intersection of the easterly line of Buchanan street and the northerly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by Sierra and San Francisco Power Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded July 30, 1918, in Book 1068 of Deeds, page 397, in the office of said County Recorder; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly along the northerly line of said last mentioned parcel of land so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco, to the westerly line of Laguna street; thence easterly at a right angle to said westerly line of Laguna street to the easterly line thereof; thence northerly along the easterly line of Laguna street to the southerly line of Lewis street, and thence westerly along the southerly line of Lewis street to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost of said permanent improvement described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Embossed Letterheads and Envelopes.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That because of the distinctive beauty of our Civic Center and as a matter of publicity and advertising, all contracts hereafter to be entered into for letterheads and envelopes used by all departments of the City and County shall provide for the printing upon such stationery of a finely embossed lithograph perspective of our City Hall, Auditorium and Civic Center.

Referred to the Supplies Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6:50 p. m. adjourned.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 4, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 37

Tuesday, September 4, 1928
Thursday, September 6, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 4, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Kent was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of August 20 and 27, 1928, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

League of California Municipalities Convention.

Official call to thirtieth annual convention of the League of California Municipalities to be held in the City of San Bernardino October 9 to 13, 1928, was read by the Clerk.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Garbage Incinerator Investigation.

Communication: From City Attorney, advising that he is in receipt of a letter from H. S. Young, attorney for plaintiff, in S. F. Development Co. v. City and County of San Francisco, advising that action is on calendar for September 5 and involves the continuation of the operation of the incinerator at Fifteenth and De Haro streets, and recommending that some action be taken to remedy condition.

Referred to Health Committee.

Dahlia Show.

The Dahlia Society presented the Board of Supervisors with a choice basket of beautiful dahlias in recognition of the service rendered in naming the dahlia San Francisco's official floral emblem two years ago.

The Board was invited, and the invitation was accepted, to attend the dahlia show at the Palace Hotel Thursday, Friday and Saturday, this week.

NEW BRIDGE COMMITTEE.

The following motion, made upon the discharge of the Special Bridge Committee at meeting of August 20, and laid over until this day, was taken up.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved that the bridge matters be placed in the hands of a committee which shall consist of the members of the Board, the Mayor, the City Engineer, the City Attorney and the three Special Bridge Engineers employed by the City; the Mayor to act as chairman.

Communication.

A communication from the Pacific American Steamship Association, transmitting copy of letter addressed to Chief Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy by Captain Robert Dollar, relative to proposed bridge site north of Hunters Point, expressing opposition thereto unless certain requirements for protection of navigation are observed and requesting a conference in the matter, was read by the Clerk to the Board.

Privilege of the Floor.

Chief Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy was sent for and interrogated as to the foregoing and as to other pertinent matters.

Adopted.

Whereupon, there being no objection, the foregoing motion of Supervisor Marks was declared *adopted.*

SPECIAL ORDER, 10 A. M.

Hearing Bridge Application, T. A. Tomasini.

Application of T. A. Tomasini for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge from the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point of intersection with the proposed Berkeley-Marin Bridge, running from Point Fleming, in the City of Albany, County of Alameda, State of California, to Bluff Point, in the County of Marin, State of California.

The said toll bridge and tunnel is to be located across San Francisco Bay along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Bay street with the easterly line of Grant avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco; thence south 67 deg. 0 min. east 403 feet; thence on a curve of 375 feet radius, left 235.62 feet; thence north 81 deg. 0 min. east 510 feet; thence on a curve of 375 feet radius, left 346.88 feet; thence north 28 deg. 0 min. east 560 feet; thence on a curve of 500 feet radius, right 148.35 feet; thence north 45 deg. 0 min. east 9383 feet; thence on a curve of 500 feet radius, left 410.15 feet; thence north 2 deg. 0 min. west 20,066 feet, more or less, to a point of intersection with the necessary curves and deviations proper to accommodate traffic, of the following described line:

Beginning at a point on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay 500 feet, more or less, southerly of the northwesterly point of Point Fleming, in the City of Albany, County of Alameda, and thence south approximately 88 deg. 0 min. west to a point on Bluff Point, in the County of Marin; thence westerly to Bluff Point, in the County of Marin, and easterly to Albany, in the County of Alameda, along said line last described.

Upon which said last described line there is to be built a toll bridge pursuant to the provisions of a certain franchise granted to the undersigned by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Alameda, State of California, on March 26, 1928, and which said last described line and the toll bridge to be erected thereon, and the necessary curves, deviations and intersections proper to conduct traffic at such point of intersection, shall constitute the northern terminal of the toll bridge and tunnel, for the construction, operation and maintenance of which, and for the right to collect tolls, application for a franchise is hereby made.

That the length of said bridge and tunnel will be approximately

thirty-two thousand and sixty-two (32,062) feet and that the breadth thereof will be thirty (30) feet, more or less.

Filed August 27, 1928, *in Board, and hearing continued until September* 4, 1928, *at* 10 *a. m.*

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher further hearing of the foregoing application was continued until Tuesday, September 11, 1928, at 2 p. m,. by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:45 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Kent was elected to preside.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution, laid over from a previous meeting, was discussed and *laid over for further consideration until Monday, September* 17, 1928:

Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 102381 (Second Series), recommend the approval of Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approves said Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets.

Aug. 27, 1928—*Over one week and made Special Order for* 11 *a. m.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Granting Permit for Automobile Supply Station, Southeast Corner of Amazon Avenue and Mission Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Resolution No. 29554 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bay Counties Oil Company be and is hereby granted

permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Amazon avenue and Mission street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over* until the meeting of September 17, 1928:

Granting Associated Oil Company Supply Station, Twenty-fifth and Geary.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwesterly corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $47,319.73, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Change of Zone—Southeast Corner of Filbert and Octavia Streets.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Bill No. 8673, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Filbert and Octavia streets, for a distance of 25 feet on Octavia street and a distance of 80 feet on Filbert street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days' time thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the

premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(1) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), extra work in connection with construction of the Duboce Avenue-Sunset tunnel (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) $622.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Bonds, Issue 1925.

(2) Atlas Rock Co., gravel and sand (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) $2,135.78
(3) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 641.18
(4) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 543.00
(5) MacDonald & Harrington, lumber (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 774.38
(6) Mine Safety Appliances Co., gas masks and oxygen breathing apparatus (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 1,525.14
(7) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 2,099.46
(8) Pacific Coast Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 725.99
(9) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 3,585.66
(10) Soule Steel Co., galvanized corrugated iron (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 656.30
(11) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 1,889.92

Boulevard Bond Fund.

(12) Louis J. Cohn, fourth payment, construction of storm drain for Bay Shore boulevard crossing Alemany boulevard (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... $15,000.00
(13) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard from Potrero avenue to Silver avenue, Section A, Contract 6 (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 10,800.00
(14) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., fourth payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, Section E (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 10,800.00

Special School Tax.

(15) H. Ankers, first payment, painting work, additions and alterations to Jefferson School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928). $646.88
(16) Meyer Bros., second payment, general construction of additions and alterations to Jefferson School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 9,663.16
(17) Thos. Skelly, second payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, additions and alterations to Jefferson School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 2,005.13
(18) A. Lettich, second payment, mechanical equipment for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 1,332.56
(19) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 1,082.10
(20) Frank J. Reilly, eighth payment, general construction of Paul Revere School Annex (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928).. 7,904.67

(21) Thos. Skelly, fifth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 507.41
(22) P. J. Enright, first payment, mechanical equipment for Golden Gate School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 1,136.63
(23) Hamilton Construction Co., first payment, general construction of Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 6,211.50

County Road Fund.

(24) Meyer Rosenberg, removing slide, laying and rolling rock on Laidley street between Roanoke and Mateo streets (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... $890.00

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 57.

(25) H. U. Brandenstein, one-tenth final judgment, in behalf of judgment creditors, as approved by City Attorney and as per schedule attached (claim dated Aug. 13, 1928)..... $537.33

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(26) Philip H. Sapiro, for services of Municipal Band (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... $1,226.90
(27) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded, month of July (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... 9,290.00
(28) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Sept. 1, 1928)..... 750.00
(29) Howard Automobile Co., ten Buick automobiles for Police Dept., less allowances (claim dated Aug. 27, 1928)... 11,892.71
(30) Buckley & Curtin, printing for Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 27, 1928) 675.20
(31) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 27, 1928) 1,019.65
(32) William P. Hughson Co., two Ford autos for Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 27, 1928)..... 1,075.00
(33) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 1,190.07
(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 1,856.57
(35) The Seagrave Corporation, apparatus parts for Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 700.00
(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water service and installing hydrants (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 2,414.71
(37) The Tire Service Co., tires for Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 946.09
(38) Union Oil Co of Cal., fuel oil, etc., Fire Dept (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 1,026.33
(39) Stevenson & Son, furniture for Police Dept. (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 810.00
(40) Geo. W. Caswell Co., coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 700.00
(41) Haas Bros., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 2,050.75
(42) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 1,108.78
(43) Standard Fisheries, fish, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 514.38
(44) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., ham, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 816.88
(45) Del Monte Meat Co., meat, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 547.74
(46) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 2,112.83
(47) Dairy Dale S. F. Dairy, milk, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 4,286.50

(48) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 1,574.51
(49) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruits, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 563.70
(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,110.33
(51) Buckingham & Hecht, shoes for Laguna Honda Home inmates (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 873.00
(52) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 5,000.08
(53) Dairy Dale S. F. Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 2,264.75
(54) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, bedspreads and robes for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 867.20
(55) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,296.00
(56) Sussman & Wormser Co., sugar for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 565.00
(57) The Texas Co., fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,878.60
(58) Tiedemann & McMoran, foodstuffs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 869.00
(59) H. F. Dugan, bandages, etc., for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 555.00
(60) H. F. Dugan, bandages for Emergency Hospitals (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928) 797.63
(61) Butte Electric & Mfg. Co., first payment, flood-lighting of City Hall (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 1,995.20
(62) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, second payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances in Laguna Honda boulevard (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 10,200.00
(63) Monson Bros., extra work, cleaning and painting Palace of Fine Arts building (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 668.40
(64) The Electric Corporation, conduits, fuses, etc., Dept. of Electricity (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 598.05.
(65) A. Lettich Co., pipe for traffic signals, Dept. of Electricity (claim dated July 31, 1928)..... 577.21

Park Fund.

(66) Masten & Hurd, architectural services for addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... $1,200.00
(67) The Texas Company, fuel oil for parks (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 526.82

Special School Tax.

(68) Woodstock Typewriter Co., 44 typewriters for Balboa High School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... $2,640.00

Boulevard Bonds.

(69) Eaton & Smith, fourth payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Section B, Contract 4 (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928) $12,000.00
(70) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, first payment, improvement of the Great Highway between Lincoln way and Sloat boulevard, Contract 8 (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 18,000.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(71) Hugh McGill, second payment, extension of the Guttenberg street sewer (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928)..... $3,000.00
(72) Frank J. McHugh, second payment, construction of sewer and appurtenances in Wawona street from Twenty-fifth to Thirty-fourth avenues (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928). 9,000.00
(73) Doherty Bros., one Fordson tractor, complete, for Municipal Airport (claim dated Sept. 4. 1928)..... 1,085.00

(74) Millbrae Dairy, labor and teams furnished for the Municipal Airport, Mills Field (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)... 1,531.00

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(75) Auditorium Fund, rental for Main and Larkin halls, August 2d to 11th, for account of American Hospital Association; for the Publicity and Advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... $4,772.50

Robinson Bequest Fund.

(76) John H. Thieler, Treasurer of the City and County, for purchase of bonds, Central Oakland Block, Inc., for benefit of Robinson Bequest Fund; authorized by Resolution No. 29529, New Series (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928).....$41,455.17

Payments for Lands for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated; being payments for lands and release of damages to property required for and for account of the Bay Shore boulevard, to-wit:

(1) To Frances W. Crist and Wiley F. Crist, for all of Lot 6 in Block 5036, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per approval by Resolution No. ———, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) $1,800.00

(2) To Fred Rizzoli, for portion of Lot 12 in Block 5017, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. ———, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928). 5,150.00

(3) To Pacific Gas and Electric Co., for all of Lot 14 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. ———, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 600.00

(4) To Burnett Building & Loan Association, for portion of Lot 2 in Block 6309, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. ———, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 1,840.00

(5) To Peter McKenna, for release of damages caused by construction of Bay Shore boulevard, as approved by Resolution No. ————, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 1,446.00

Payments for Lands for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned funds and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) To Higgins & Sons, Inc., for all of Lots 5, 14 and 15 in Block 5631, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, as approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bernal Heights boulevard (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) $600.00

Bernal Cut Bonds.

(2) To Rose Leddy, for all of Lot 5 in Block 6661, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, as approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for the opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated Aug. 25, 1928) $7,250.00

Payments for Lands Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the Sunset Parkway, to-wit:

(1) To William J. Pahl, for Lot 15 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as approved by Resolution No. ———, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) $3,000.00

(2) To Laurence M. Childers and Flora A. Childers, for Lot 16 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. ———, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 7,300.00

(3) To H. A. Hammond and Ruth A. Hammond, for Lot 18 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. ———, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 7,100.00

(4) To Ellen H. Spanton, for Lot 29 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. ———, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 3,000.00

(5) To W. M. Grady and Alice Grady, for Lot 31 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. ———, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 4,500.00

(6) To Kate Carroll, for Lots 10 and 11 in Block 2520a, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. ———, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928). 3,500.00

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Appropriation, Plans Fire House, Point Lobos and Geary.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 29555 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $500 be, and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Fire House (Cliff House)", Budget Item No. 65, to defray cost of preparing plans and specifications for the proposed Fire House at intersection of Pt. Lobos avenue and Geary street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Transfer of Funds—Office of Sheriff.

Resolution No. 29556 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2145 be and the same is hereby set aside out of Budget Item No. 243, to the credit of Budget Item No. 255; and the sum of $2365 from Budget Item No. 243, to the credit of Budget Item No. 242; such items being a part of Appropriation 14-A, office of the Sheriff.

(Request of the Sheriff, dated August 8, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Appropriations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item 33.

(1) To defray cost of improving Thirtieth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, at City property..... $1,900.00

(2) To defray cost of improving Thirtieth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, at City property..... 1,882.20

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 53.

(3) For the construction of a water tank at the Laguna Honda Home (additional to $2400 by Resolution No. 29388, New Series) 550.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(4) For the purchase of equipment for the office of the Coroner—for pathological purposes 1,500.00

Appropriation for Kezar Stadium Roadway.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $60,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the construction of the Kezar Stadium Roadway in Golden Gate Park, extending from Waller and Stanyan streets to Third avenue and Lincoln way.

Organization, Equipment, Etc., Adjustment School.

Also, Bill No. 8691, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Organizing and establishing and providing for the equipment and maintenance of an adjustment school in the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of furnishing to certain minors under the age of eighteen years, care, custody, education, training and adjustment to good citizenship, which shall be continuous and uninterrupted during the period that such minors shall remain in such school, and to provide for a governing board for such school, and for the manner of the appointment of the members thereof, and specifying their powers and duties, and providing for the support of said minors therein, all pursuant to the provisions of an Act of the Legislature of the State of California, approved May 23, 1927, and entitled, "An Act to authorize the creation of County Adjustment Schools for the care of minors under eighteen years of age; to provide for the maintenance and management of the same, and the making of commitments and transfer of said minors thereto, and the support of said minors therein."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That pursuant to the authority and provisions of an Act of the Legislature of the State of California, approved May 23, 1927, entitled, "An Act to authorize the creation of County Adjustment Schools for the care of minors under eighteen years of age; to provide for the maintenance and management of the same, and the making of commitments and transfer of said minors thereto, and the support of said minors therein," there is hereby organized and established in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, an adjustment school for the purpose of furnishing to certain minors under the age of eighteen years, in the manner prescribed in said Act above mentioned, care, custody, education, training and adjustment to good citizenship, which shall be continuous and uninterrupted during the period that such minors shall remain in said school.

Section 2. That said school shall be organized and established by the City and County of San Francisco, without the aid of another county.

Section 3. The government and management of said Adjustment School shall be vested in a board of seven trustees, four of whom shall be members of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, and three of whom shall be members of the County Probation Committee of the Juvenile Court of the said City and County of San Francisco. That said trustees shall be chosen and appointed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by resolution of said board duly adopted by a majority of said board. The said trustees shall hold office for the term of six years, provided that the seven trustees first appointed as herein provided, shall so classify themselves by lot, that two shall hold office for two years, two for four years, and three for six years. That upon any of the said trustees appointed as aforesaid from said Board of Education ceasing to be members thereof, and upon any of said trustees appointed from said County Probation Committee ceasing to be members of said committee, said member or members shall ipso facto cease to be a member of said board of trustees of said Adjustment School. Whenever a vacancy shall exist on said board of trustees, either by expiration of term of office or otherwise, said vacancy shall be filled by said Board of Supervisors in the manner above specified, said appointment to be made so that the number of members of said board of trustees from said Board of Education and from said County Probation Committee shall remain as in this ordinance provided.

Section 4. That said Board of Trustees shall have all of the powers given to or provided for board of trustees of said Adjustment Schools by the aforesaid Act of the Legislature, and shall be subject to all of the responsibilities, and perform and discharge all of the duties, provided in said Act to be borne, performed or discharged by said trustees.

Section 5. That such male minors shall be received into said Adjustment School as are provided by said Act of the Legislature to be so received, and the manner of their reception therein and transfer or discharge therefrom shall be as provided in said Act. Provided further, that there may be received into said school, minors from other counties otherwise eligible for entrance thereto, upon such conditions and upon the payment of such per capita charges as may be fixed by the board of trustees, and the said board of trustees is hereby given the power to fix the conditions and per capita charges, upon which said minors from other counties will be received into said school.

Section 6. That no female minors shall be received into said Adjustment School.

Section 7. That the course of study for said minors who may be received into said Adjustment School shall be that provided by the common elementary and high schools of the State of California, and in addition thereto, there shall be provided such vocational and trade training as is provided by said Act of Legislature, and the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to organize said course of study and vocational training, and supply the necessary teachers and supervisors therefor, and make provision in its annual budget of an amount sufficient to defray the cost of said study and training and education.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its passage.

Privilege of the Floor.

Frank Turner, representing the Boys' Aid Society, was heard at length on the foregoing matter.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted:*

Accepting Offer, Land, Bay Shore Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 29557 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Frances W. Crist and Wiley F. Crist..... $1,800.00

Parcel 1. The southwesterly portion of Lot 8, Block 5036, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel A. The northeasterly portion of Lot 8, Block 5036, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it further

Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of said Parcel "A," purchased from said Frances W. Crist and Wiley F. Crist, the deed to said Parcel "A" be taken in the name of the City Title Insurance Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the direction of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Accepting Offer, Land, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29558 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite her name, be accepted:

Guilia Di Grazia, $38—Portion of Lot 12, Block 5392, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Accepting Offers, Lands, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29559 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons, to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Fred Rizzoli, $5,130—Portion of Lot 12, Block 5017, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Frances W. Crist and Wiley F. Crist, $200—Portion of Lot 13, Block 5017, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, $500—All of Lot 14, Block 5392, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Burnett Building and Loan Association, a corporation, $1,840—Por-

tion of Lot 2, Block 6309, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above sum includes, in addition to the payment for the above described land, all payment in full for the improvement partially located thereon; said improvements to be relocated by the undersigned within 30 days after recordation of the deed conveying the above described parcel to the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept on behalf of the City, deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Accepting Offer, Land, Bernal Cut Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29560 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Heights boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted:

Higgins & Sons, Inc., $600—All of Lots 5, 14 and 15, Block 5631, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Accepting Offers, Land, Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29561 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons, to sell to the City and County of San Francisco, the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

William J. Pahl, $3,000—Lot 15, Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Laurence M. Childers and Flora A. Childers, $7,300—Lot 16, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

H. A. Hammond and Ruth A. Hammond, $7,100—Lot 18, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ellen H. Spanton, $3,000—Lot 29, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

W. M. Grady and Alice Grady, $4,500—Lot 31, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Kate Carroll, $3,500—Lots 10 and 11, Block 2520-A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on be-

half of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Accepting Offer, Land, Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29562 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named corporation to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted:

The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, a corporation sole, $1—Portion of Lots 1 to 19, inclusive, in Block 5841, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; portion of Lot 48, in Block 5842, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and all of Lots 50 and 53, in Block 5842, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Accepting Offer, Land, Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29563 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco, the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite her name, be accepted:

Martha Lane, $87.50—Portion of Lot No. 6, Block 7149, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Release of Claim for Damage—Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29564 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Bay Shore boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the grading and construction of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard to the

proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent street to said proposed Bay Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, viz.:

Peter McKenna, $1,446—Lot 33, in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 225 Augusta street.

Emily J. Simmen, $418.50—Lot 24, Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco,

Resolved, That the said offer is accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of said specified amounts to the above mentioned persons upon receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Mayor to Sell Buildings on Bay Shore Boulevard Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29565 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property, owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and known as 67 Steuben street (Reid), 69 Steuben street (Damele or Beberveranzo), 231 Augusta street (Hultman).

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Garage Ordinance—Dreamland Auditorium.

On recommendation of Fire Committee:

Bill No. 8692, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, entitled "Regulating the construction of buildings used as public automobile garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in public and private automobile garages; repealing Ordinance No. 33 (New Series), approved July 16, 1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. All buildings hereafter erected, altered or changed so as to be occupied as public garages for the purpose of storing automobiles shall be of class "A," "B" or "C" construction, except that public garages over one story in height shall be of class "A" or "B" construction in all parts, excepting that the roof and the partitions of such portions as are used exclusively for office purposes may be of frame construction. The flooring of the first floor shall be concrete, with a system of ventilation with openings to the outer air at floor line, not less than six (6) by eight (8) inches for each ventilator. There shall at all times be maintained in every such building used for the aforesaid purpose two (2) chemical fire extinguishers of not less

than three (3) gallons each where the floor space is less than five hundred (500) square feet, and one (1) additional chemical fire extinguisher for every additional five hundred (500) square feet of floor space used for such purpose. On the main floor of all public garages there shall be not less than four (4) barrels of clean, dry sand, each barrel to contain an iron scoop.

No part of any building which is used as a hotel, rooming house, lodging house shall be used as a public garage for the purpose of storing automobiles.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

No—Supervisor Powers—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Granting Permit for Automobile Supply Station, Northwest Corner San Bruno and Raymond Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northwest corner of San Bruno and Raymond avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, John F. Equi, Southeast Corner Twenty-fourth and Howard Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John F. Equi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southeast corner of Twenty-fourth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, Carl O. Ryden, Northeast Corner Twenty-fifth Avenue and Balboa Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Carl O. Ryden be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Kent, Todd—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, T. L. Franklin, Northwest Corner Steuart and Mission Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. L. Franklin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northwest corner of Steuart and Mission streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, Italo Petroleum Corporation, Seventeenth Avenue and Irving Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile supply station at the northwest corner of Seventeenth avenue and Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit, H. J. Mallen et al., Taylor Street, South of Eddy Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. J. Mallen and G. McAuliffe be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 68 feet 9 inches south of Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Noes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Oil Tank Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted as follows:

Oil Tanks.

J. Angel, 793 Sixteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Miss M. Brockman, 2721 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cadillac Dairy Lunch, 98 Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cadillac Dairy Lunch, 158 Third street, 1500 gallons capacity.

David Coleman, south side Cabrillo street, 40 feet west of Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

David Coleman, south side Cabrillo street, 100 feet west of Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. A. Crothers, northwest corner Baker and Sacramento streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, west side Eleventh avenue, 250 feet south of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., northwest corner Thirtieth avenue and Wawona street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, south side Pacific avenue, 75 feet east of Laurel street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. L. Wyrick, 501 Junipero Serra boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Refused Passage.

The following resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Laundry Permit, Jim Lee, 879 Sutter Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jim Lee be and is hereby granted permission, rev-

ocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public laundry at 879 Sutter street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Aye—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing:*

Transfer Garage Permit, No. 166 Fourth Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mariano Prisinzano be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted W. L. Hogan, by Resolution No. 23177 (New Series), for premises on west side Fourth street, north of Howard street, known as No. 166 Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Carnival Permit.

On recommendation of Police Committee:

Resolution No. 29566 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Council of the American Legion be and it is hereby granted permission to hold an indoor carnival in the Civic Auditorium, November 11, 12 and 13, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Taxicab Permits Cancelled.

Also, Resolution No. 29567 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby cancelled:

Yellow-Checker Cab Company—31 West Portal avenue, 147 Battery street, 2816 California street, 453 Grant avenue, 463 Grant avenue, 1977 Mission street, 2500 Mission street, 2802 Mission street, 79 Sutter street, 102 Taylor street, 126 Ellis street, 560 Broadway, 5835 Geary street, 2246 Lombard street, 2215 McAllister street, 293 Ninth avenue, 207 O'Farrell street, 439 Powell street, 524 Sutter street and 3216 Twenty-second street.

Red Top Cab Company—1509 Haight street, 5437 Geary street and 1396 Green street.

Yosemite-Gray Line Limousines, 527 Sutter street and 531 Sutter street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Taxicab Permits Approved.

Also, Resolution No. 29568 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Peerless Cab Company—151 Mason street, one cab; 480 O'Farrell street, one cab.

Luxor Cab Company—702 Polk street, one cab; 377 Ellis street, one 1174 Sutter street, one cab; 702 Turk street, one cab.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic Committee:

Resolution No. 29569 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36, of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

701 Bush street, 18 feet—Family Club freight entrance.

2019 Mission street, 36 feet—Lachman Bros.

2038-2040 Mission street, 27 feet—Armory Market; California Grill.

2045 Mission street, 27 feet—Mission Furniture Exchange; 1 elevator.

2080-2086 Mission street, 27 feet—Debs Mercantile Co.; Franco Hardware Company.

2156-2160 Mission street, 18 feet — Public Food Store; McKeon's Market.

2184 Mission street, 18 feet—Caladyne Radio Co.; Spark Stove Shop.

2225 Mission street, 18 feet—Starlight Furniture Co.; Butterfield Furniture Company.

2331 Mission street, 36 feet—Sequoia Market; Mission Produce Co.

2348 Mission street, 18 feet—Singer Sewing Machine Co.; Schwartz & Goodman.

2357 Mission street, 45 feet—El Capitan Theater.

2369 Mission street, 27 feet—Dowd-Seid Electric Co.

2482 Mission street, 27 feet—Majestic Suit House; Majestic Building; 1 elevator; helps clear adjacent safety zone.

2515 Mission street, 27 feet—Sherman, Clay Co.; Petterson's; helps clear adjacent safety zone.

2550 Mission street, 21 feet—New Mission Theater.

2553 Mission street, 36 feet—Wigwam Theater.

2554 Mission street, 36 feet—Whithorn & Swan Food Shop.

2634 Mission street, 27 feet—Woolworth Co.

2669 Mission street, 18 feet—New San Francisco Market.

2673-2675 Mission street, 27 feet—Sacramento Valley Market; Little Man Groceteria.

2716 Mission street, 18 feet—Mission Palace Market.

2773 Mission street, 27 feet—St. Charles Market.

2889 Mission street, 18 feet—Royal Market; Royal Bakery.

3022 Mission street, 18 feet—Rosaia Bros.; Holl Shoe Co.

3041 Mission street, 27 feet—The Kiddie Shop.

545 Powell street, 36 feet—Family Club; Charlotte Tavern.

1617 California street, 27 feet—Blum's Candy Co.; Foster Studio; 1 elevator.

441-443 Clay street, 27 feet—Golden Gate Sup. Co.; Gross Cigar Co.

438 Commercial street, 27 feet—E. Goss Cigar Co. factory.

238 Eddy street, 27 feet—C. C. Horning Co.; Hotel Winsor; 2 elevators; Grisco Music Co.

150 Golden Gate avenue, 36 feet—K. of C. Auditorium; 1 elevator; 1 oil intake.
19 Hawthorne street, 27 feet—Johnson & Johnson Co.
986 Howard street, 27 feet—Sterling Furniture Co. storehouse.
680 Hyde street, 27 feet—Sparks Tire Co.
140-148 Jones street, 27 feet—Lyric Hotel; Louis, The Florist; 1 elevator.
205 Jones street, 18 feet—Jones Apartments; assists street car loading.
140 Leavenworth street, 27 feet—First Nat. Pictures; West Coast Theaters.
73 Main street, 36 feet—J. D. French & Co.; Velvetone Poster; Carley & Hamilton Dray Co.
25 New Montgomery street, 18 feet—United Security Bank & Trust Co.
900 O'Farrell street, 18 feet—U. S. Paint Spray Co.; Pac. Motor Products Co.
711 Post street, 18 feet—Hotel Robins.
536-544 Sansome street, 27 feet—Rex Extract Co.; Kageyama & Co.
590-598 Sixth street, 18 feet—California Feather Co.
740 Stockton street, 18 feet—Leesmont Apartments.
657 Guerrero street, 18 feet—Dairy Delivery.
663 Guerrero street, 27 feet—Dairy Delivery.
665 Guerrero street, 27 feet—Dairy Delivery.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Rereferred.

The following bill was *rereferred to the Police Committee:*

Abatement of Dog Nuisance.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance providing for the filing of complaint against any person owning or keeping dogs within the City and County of San Francisco which are a nuisance, and the abatement of such nuisance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. On complaint made to the police of a dog owned or kept in the city which, by barking, biting, howling, or in any way or manner, disturbs the quiet of any person, the police shall issue notice of such complaint to the person owning, keeping or permitting such dog to be kept; and if the person so notified neglects to cause such dog to be forthwith removed and kept beyond the limits of the city, or to be destroyed, he shall be fined one dollar for every day during which such neglect continues, if the judge before whom the complaint is heard is satisfied that such dog has disturbed the quiet of any person in the city.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Licensing Second-Hand Business.

On recommendation of Police Committee:

Bill No. 8693, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled, "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 63-A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section, to be numbered Section 63-A, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title to which is recited above, to read as follows:

Section 63-A. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of dealing in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, shall (after securing a quarterly permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to carry on the business) pay a license of ten ($10) dollars per quarter.

Section 2. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Police Permit Required—Second-Hand Business

Also, Bill No. 8690, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 4 and 6 and adding a new section, to be numbered Section 6-A, to Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled, "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Sections Nos. 4 and 6 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), the title to which is hereinabove recited, are hereby amended, and there is added thereto a new section, to be numbered 6-A, said amendment and new section to read as follows:

Section 4. Before any person shall engage in the business of dealing in any second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, he must make application to the Board of Police Commissioners for a permit therefor, which said Board may, by resolution, grant permission to said applicant to receive a license from the Tax Collector upon the payment of the license fee required; provided, however, that said Board shall have the power to revoke said permission upon good cause being shown.

Section 6. The term "furniture and household goods," as used in this ordinance, shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings (except rugs), crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 6-A. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity Committee:

Resolution No. 29570 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Clement street and Fourteenth, Fifteenth, Sixteenth, Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twentieth, Twenty-first, Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Clement street and Twenty-second, Twenty-third, Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues.

Clement street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues, Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues, Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues and Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Northwest corner Clement street and Presidio drive.

Northeast and southwest corners Clement street and Fourteenth, Fifteenth, Sixteenth, Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twentieth, Twenty-first, Twenty-second, Twenty-third, Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth, Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues.

North and south sides Clement street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues, Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues, Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues, Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues, Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues, Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues, Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues, Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues, Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues and Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues.

Also, take over and maintain as of September 1, 1928, Westwood Park, Monterey Heights and Westwood Highlands lighting standards which are privately lighted, to-wit:

250 *C. P. Fed Underground on Marbelite Posts.*

Southeast corner Wildewood and Miramar avenue, pole No. 11.
Southwest corner Wildewood and Miramar avenue, pole No. 21.
Northeast corner Wildewood and Miramar avenue, pole No. 12.
Northwest corner Wildewood and Miramar avenue, pole No. 22.
South side Flood street, west of Phelan avenue, pole No. 51.
West side Phelan avenue between Staples and Flood, pole No. 31.
West side Phelan avenue between Judson and Staples, pole No. 21.
Northwest corner Miramar avenue and Southwood drive, pole No. 41.
Southeast corner Miramar avenue and Southwood drive, pole No. 32.
Northeast and northwest corners Miramar avenue and Ocean avenue.
Southeast and northwest corners Miramar avenue and Northwood drive.
Northwest corner Miramar avenue and Northwood drive, pole No. 81.
Southeast corner Miramar avenue and Northwood drive, pole No. 74.
West side Colon, 325 feet north of Mangels, pole No. 43.
East side Colon, 200 feet north of Mangels, pole No. 42.
West side Colon, 75 feet north of Mangels, pole No. 41.
West side Brentwood, 470 feet north of Mangels, pole No. 3.
West side Brentwood, 121 feet north of Mangels, pole No. 1.
East side Brentwood, opposite Hazelwood, pole No. 23.
West side Hazelwood, 300 feet north of Mangels.
West side Yerba Buena, 150 feet north of Plymouth.
Southeast corner Yerba Buena and Brentwood.
North side Brentwood, 100 feet west of Colon.
Southwest corner Brentwood and Colon.
North side Brentwood, 100 feet west of Valdez.
Southwest corner Brentwood and Valdez.
South side Brentwood, 100 feet west of Hazelwood.
East side Valdez, 100 feet north of Mangels.
West side Valdez, 200 feet north of Mangels.
East side Valdez, 300 feet north of Mangels.
West side Hazelwood, 100 feet north of Mangels.
East side Hazelwood, 220 feet north of Mangels.
Northwest Yerba Buena and Monterey boulevard, pole No. 46.
Southwest corner El Verano and St. Elmo, pole No. 12.
East side St. Elmo way and Yerba Buena avenue, pole No. 10.

East side St. Elmo way and Monterey boulevard, pole No. 13.
North side St. Elmo way, 235 feet west of Yerba Buena, pole No. 2.
East side El Verano, 360 feet north of Monterey boulevard, pole No. 11.
East side St. Elmo, opposite El Verano way, pole No. 11.
West side St. Elmo, 100 feet north of Monterey boulevard, pole No. 14.
East side El Verano, 115 feet north of Monterey boulevard, pole No. 13.
West side Fernwood between Brentwood and El Verano.
Northeast corner El Verano and Fernwood.
North side El Verano, 25 feet east of Maywood drive, pole No. 4.
West side Maywood drive, opposite south line of Brentwood.
East side Fernwood at south line of Brentwood, pole No. 1.
West side Yerba Buena avenue, 150 feet south of St. Elmo, pole No. 42.
North side San Felipe and San Jacinto, pole No. 12.
South side San Felipe, 160 feet east of San Jacinto, pole No. 15.
West side San Felipe, 346 feet north of Monterey boulevard, pole No. 11.
East side San Felipe, 482 feet north of Monterey boulevard, pole No. 14.
Northeast corner Monterey boulevard and El Verano, pole No. 15.

Remove Gas.

Duboce avenue, west of Divisadero street.
Northwest and southeast corners Duboce avenue and Alpine street.

Install 400 M. R.

Duboce avenue, west of Castro street.
Duboce avenue, west of Divisadero street.
Corners Duboce avenue and Divisadero street.
Corners Duboce avenue and Alpine street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing:*

Condemnation of Land, Widening of Duboce Avenue.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Lot 1 in Block "D", Park Hill Homestead Association No. 2, as recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 109, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, for the widening of Duboce avenue. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interest therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted:*

Award of Contract, Linseed Oil, Etc.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 29572 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contracts be hereby made for furnishing linseed oil, shellac, turpentine, lead, litharge, mineral brown and putty that may be ordered from time to time during the triannual term commencing September 1 and ending December 31, 1928, on bids submitted August 13, 1928 (Proposal No. 407) and tabulation thereof on file in the Bureau of Supplies, which is by this reference made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein to the lowest bidder on the respective items approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 3. Glidden Co. of California, contractor; amount of bond required, none.

Bid No. 4. W. P. Fuller & Co, contractor; amount of bond required, $100.

Bid No. 5. Garrett M. Goldberg & Co., contractor; amount of bond required, none.

Bid No. 6. National Lead Co. of California, contractor; amount of bond required, $100.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Bids Rejected, Processed Asphalt.

Also, Resolution No. 29573 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore on August 13, 1928 (Proposal No. 361, Supplement), submtited for furnishing the following, viz.:

Processed asphalt that may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year 1928-29 for the Department of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Transfer of Contract, Ice Cream.

Also Resolution No. 29574 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract heretofore made to National Ice and Cold Storage Company by Resolution No. 29269 (New Series), approved July 20, 1928, for furnishing certain items of ice cream during the fiscal year 1928-29 be hereby transferred to Golden State Milk Products Company, pursuant to assignment on file in the Bureau of Supplies.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Award of Contract—Supplies, Sheet Metal Shops.

Also Resolution No. 29575 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That awards of contracts be hereby made on bids submitted August 27, 1928 (Proposal No. 411), for furnishing the following, viz.: Supplies for sheet metal shops, School Department.

Item No. 1—19 boxes, tin, bright coke, 2X, 14x20 inches, at $12.75 per box; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Item No. 2—44 boxes tin, bright coke, 1X, 20x28 inches, at $17.50 per box; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 3—175 pounds iron band, galvanized, ⅛x1 inch, at $8 per cwt.; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Item No. 4—150 pounds iron wire, galvanized, No. 14, at $4.55 per cwt.; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 5—150 pounds iron wire, galvanized, No. 10, at $4.05 per cwt.; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 6—175 pounds iron wire, galvanized, No. 3, at $4.25 per cwt.; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 7—3 gross screw can tops and caps, zinc, size 1 inch, at $2.25 per gross; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 8—60 pounds rosin, at 7 cents per pound; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 9—20 dozen brushes, tinners' acid, B. H. & P. catalogue No. A305, at 14 cents per dozen; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 10—40 dozen renewals for friction gas lighters No. 200, at 35 cents per dozen; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 11—7 dozen mallets, tinners' hardwood, 2½-inch diameter, at $3.28 per dozen; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Item No. 12—4 dozen soldering coppers, 2-pound, with handles, at $7.40 per dozen; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Item No. 13—2½ dozen soldering coppers, 3-pound, with handles, at $10 per dozen; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 14—3 dozen handles for soldering coppers, plain, wire ferrule, at 35 cents per dozen; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Item No. 15—4 dozen scratch awls, ring, No. 01, at $2.64 per dozen; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Item No. 16—47 cakes solid sal-ammonia, Speco, 1 pound, at 24 cents per cake; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Resolved, That no bonds be required, as said awards are for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Intention to Close Fulton Street from Van Ness Avenue to Franklin Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that Fulton street from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street be closed and abandoned as a public street; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon Fulton street from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street.

Said closing up and abandonment of Fulton street from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the Sections of said Chapter and Article following said Section 2; and be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said Fulton street from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The Bulletin", as required by law.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Death of Brigadier-General James F. Smith.

Supervisor Colman presented the following resolution, which was *adopted* unanimously by rising vote:

Resolution No. 29576 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has called Brigadier-General James Francis Smith, a native of San Francisco, former colonel of the First California Volunteers, third Governor-General of the Philippine Islands, and a member of the Customs Court of Appeals in Washington, D. C.; and

Whereas, General Smith served well his country, having filled various public offices with dignity and distinction and added considerably to the fame of the State of California in fulfilling his public duties; therefore

Resolved, That it is with profound regret that we record his passing, and that we join with others in their expressions of sorrow; and that when this Board adjourns it does so as a tribute of respect to his memory.

Supervisors Andriano and Hayden, personal friends of the deceased, joined in eulogy of the character and work of Brigadier-General James F. Smith and extended their condolence to the family of the deceased.

Joint Highway District Declared Created for Extension of Junipero Serra Boulevard Into San Mateo County.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 29577 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the Counties of San Francisco and San Mateo jointly initiated proceedings for the formation of a Joint Highway District, to be composed of the Counties of San Francisco and San Mateo; and

Whereas, the Boards of Supervisors of said Counties of San Francisco and San Mateo have heretofore duly adopted resolutions agreeing that said Joint Highway District should be formed and that the same would be to the public interest and necessity of said counties; and

Whereas, a Board of Directors of said Joint Highway District composed of members of the Board of Supervisors of each of said counties has been duly appointed, as follows, to-wit:

Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher, representing San Francisco County,

Supervisor Thomas L. Hickey, representing San Mateo County, and

Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri of San Francisco County, representing the third member of said Board; and

Whereas, said Board of Directors have, by their report, tentatively fixed the route of the proposed district highway, determined the approximate total cost thereof, amounting to the sum of two million eight hundred thousand ($2,800,000) dollars, and the portion thereof that should be borne by each of said counties, and has made and filed a copy of said report with the Board of Supervisors of each of said counties, and which report tentatively found that the cost of said district highway should be borne by said counties in the following proportions, to-wit:

San Francisco County, 85 per cent thereof; San Mateo County, 15 per cent thereof; and

Whereas, it appears to this Board that the Board of Supervisors of each of said counties, within thirty days of its receipt of said report of said Board of Directors, did, by resolution, duly approve and adopt said report, and signify its approval of the formation of said Joint Highway District and declare its intention to join in the creation and formation of said Joint Highway District and that certified copies of such resolutions have been duly received by this Board of Supervisors from the Board of Supervisors of the said County of San Mateo; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board now finds, determines and declares that each of said Boards of Supervisors has finally approved the formation of said Joint Highway District and has finally approved said report of said Board of Directors, and that, in so far as this Board may do so, said Joint Highway District is, by this Board, declared duly created; and be it

Further Resolved, That a certified copy of this resolution be transmitted to the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo, and that a certified copy thereof be filed with the Secretary of State of the State of California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Approval of Proposed Joint Highway District, Shore Line Highway.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 29578 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a certified copy of a resolution adopted by the County of Mendocino, proposing the creation of a Joint Highway District, has been received by this Board, which resolution is as follows:

"Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the County of Mendocino, State of California, as follows:

"(a) That the public interest requires the construction of a public highway, the general location and course of which shall be commencing within the Counties of Humboldt and Mendocino at a point of connection with a state highway already existing and extending southerly as closely as practicable to the shore line of the Pacific Ocean, through the Counties of Humboldt, Mendocino, Sonoma, Marin and the City and County of San Francisco.

"(b) That the Counties of Humboldt, Mendocino, Sonoma, Marin and San Francisco hereby named as the counties interested in and which will be benefited by such highway construction.

"(c) That it is proposed to create a Joint Highway District composed of the counties herein named as provided by an act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled, 'An act providing for the creation, organization and government of Joint Highway Districts composed of two or more counties of the State of California,' approved April 5, 1927.

"The County Clerk of the County of Mendocino is hereby directed to transmit to the Clerks of the Boards of Supervisors of the Counties of Humboldt, Sonoma, Marin and San Francisco certified copies of this resolution."

Whereas, it is deemed advisable that such Joint Highway District be created and organized; therefore be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that the proposition to create and organize a Joint Highway District as proposed in the foregoing resolution be given a tentative approval.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Supervisor Fred Suhr Appointed Director Joint Highway District.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 29579 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to proceedings heretofore taken by this Board of Supervisors, in the matter of the creation of a Joint Highway District composed of the Counties of Humboldt, Mendocino, Sonoma, Marin and the City and County of San Francisco, Supervisor Fred Suhr is hereby named and appointed as director of said proposed Joint Highway District to represent the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Leave of Absence, T. A. Reardon, President Board of Public Works.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 4, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Timothy A. Reardon for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of twenty-one days, commencing September 11, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this permission?

Respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted:*

Resolution No. 29580 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Timothy A. Reardon be and is hereby granted twenty-one days' leave of absence, commencing September 11, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, September 6, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met for the purpose of considering the proposed tax levy for the fiscal year 1928-1929 and such other matters as may be brought before it.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Gallagher was elected to preside.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Change of Zone—Southeast Corner of Lombard and Gough Streets to Commercial.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8673, Ordinance No. 8177 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Lombard and Gough streets, for a distance of 84 feet on Lombard street and 58 feet 6 inches on Gough street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days' time thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Change of Zone—Southeasterly and Northwesterly Sides of San Jose Avenue Between Sickles Avenue and Regent Street.

Also, Bill No. 8674, Ordinance No. 8178 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing

the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Sickles avenue and Regent street in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District, and the northwesterly side of San Jose avenue between the Southern Pacific Company's right of way and Regent street, commencing at a line 20 feet northwesterly from and parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue and extending to the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Change of Zone—Block Bounded by Donner and Egbert Avenues, and Jennings and Keith Streets to Light Industrial.

Also, Bill No. 8675, Ordinance No. 8179 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Donner avenue, Keith street, Egbert avenue and Jennings street in the Light Industrial District instead of in the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29581 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Elctric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928)	$3,926.82
(2) Sibley Grading and Teaming Co., hauling piles for parks (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928)	665.25
(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928)	4,952.59
(4) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium covering insurance on Park employees (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928)	1,300.66
(5) Albers Bros. Milling Co., wheat, corn and barley for parks (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928)	772.73
(6) Swift & Co., hams, etc., for parks (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928)	674.01
(7) J. A. Bryant, second payment, construction of addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928)	77,624.99

Playground Fund.

(8) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., recreational supplies (claim dated Aug. 15, 1928) $585.00
(9) Klawans & McMullin, recreational supplies (claim dated Aug. 15, 1928) 2,979.90
(10) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Aug. 15, 1928) 633.02
(11) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Aug. 15, 1928) 692.18

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(12) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., equipment parts (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 1,176.00
(13) Celite Products Co., celite for concrete (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 1,285.51
(14) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, safety cages for shafts (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 1,707.00
(15) Makins Produce Co., Inc., food supplies (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 1,019.73
(16) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 543.00
(17) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 1,002.83
(18) Standard Oil Co. of Cal., gasoline (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 506.14
(19) Soule Steel Co., galvanized corrugated iron (claim dated Aug. 16, 1928) 529.69

Boulevard Bond Construction Fund.

(20) The A. Lietz Co., two Lietz transits for use in boulevard construction (claim dated Aug. 22, 1928) $664.74

County Road Fund.

(21) J. P. Holland, Inc., grading, etc., Napoleon street-Jerrold avenue (claim dated Aug. 15, 1928) $2,300.00
(22) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt, street resurfacing (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) 543.24
(23) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt, street resurfacing (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) 783.44
(24) California Rock Co., rock for street reconstruction (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) 965.42
(25) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) 1,810.28
(26) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street reconstruction (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) 3,179.98
(27) Christensen Lumber Co., improvement of Faith street and Holladay avenue, including crossing (claim dated Aug. 22, 1928) 4,241.85

Special School Tax.

(28) National Lead Co. of California, white lead for school buildings (claim dated Aug. 17, 1928) $520.00
(29) Pacific States Electric Co., electric fixtures and supplies for school buildings (claim dated Aug. 21, 1928) 867.13
(30) Incandescent Supply Co., final payment, electrical fixtures for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Aug. 22, 1928) 2,200.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(31) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for construction of convenience stations (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928) $733.20
(32) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for beautification of Civic Center (claim dated Aug. 24, 1928) 943.02
(33) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 22, 1928) 747.50

(34) Sussman-Wormser Co., sugar for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 756.20
(35) Kahn & Co., X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 2,442.96
(36) Geo. W. Caswell Co., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 700.00
(37) H. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 623.85
(38) Pratt-Low Preserving Co., apricots for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 542.80
(39) Victor X-Ray Corporation, films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 609.05

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Additional Clerk, Public Defender.

Also, Bill No. 8677, Ordinance No. 8180 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the appointment by the Public Defender of an additional Clerk, and fixing his compensation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Public Defender is hereby authorized to appoint an additional Clerk in the office of the Public Defender, at a salary of $150 a month.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheeny, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Appropriation for Additions to John Swett School.

Also, Resolution No. 29582 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the general construction of additions to John Swett School, McAllister street between Franklin and Gough streets, per award of contract to Sorenson & Haggmark.....$15,343.00
(2) For extras, incidentals and inspection in connection with above contract 1,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Sunr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Payments for Lands Required for Ocean View Playground.

Also, Resolution No. 29583 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the Ocean View Playground, to-wit:

(1) To Ludwika Kellajtes, for Lot 14 in Block 7093 as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (claim dated August 15, 1928)..... $3,200.00
(2) To Frances Murray, for Lot 5-A in Block 7093 as per

the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (claim dated August 15, 1928) 5,750.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Payments for Lands Required for Bernal Cut Opening.

Also, Resolution No. 29584 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bond Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Florence J. Parry, for all of Lot 24 (25x100 ft.), in Block 6691, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as approved by Resolution No. 29507, New Series (claim dated August 20, 1928) $5,100.00

(2) To William and Katherine Murphy, for all of Lot 8 (40x60 ft.), in Block 6661 as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29507, New Series (claim dated August 20, 1928) 8,000.00

(3) To Annie M. Murphy, for all of Lot 2 (30x125 ft.), in Block 6662 as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29507, New Series (claim dated August 20, 1928 ..... 6,750.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Purchase of Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29585 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,850 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to Delia Volkers, Mae Volkers, Alice L. Volkers and Mary Carmichael; being payment for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 100 feet and 4 inches southerly from the southerly line of Anza street; running thence southerly and along said line of Thirtieth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 29504, New Series (claim dated August 27, 1928).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Purchase of Lands for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29586 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for Boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Angelo Corso, for all of Lot No. 5, in Block No. 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as approved by Resolution No. 29534, New Series (claim dated August 22, 1928) $3,250.00

(2) To Therese A. Thomson, for a portion of Lot No. 231, Spring Valley Homestead (25x92 irregular), as per Map thereof recorded in Map Book C and D, page 149, as per records of City and County of San Francisco; required for opening of Alemany boulevard, and as approved by Resolution No. 29532, New Series (claim dated August 22, 1928) 1,000.00

(3) To Geo. Rossi and Angela Rossi, for release of damages to Lot 20A in Block 6799, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, caused by the construction of the Alemany boulevard, as approved by Resolution No. 29535, New Series (claim dated August 22, 1928) 1,635.00

(4) To James Lister Plumb, for Lot 6 in Block 1791, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Sunset Parkway, and as approved by Resolution No. 29533, New Series (claim dated August 21, 1928)..... 7,100.00

(5) To Arthur Elvin and Ethel B. Elvin, for Lot 5A, in Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Sunset Parkway, and as per approval by Resolution No. 29533, New Series (claim dated August 21, 1928)..... 6,875.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, S non, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Appropriation for Purchase of Seven 2½-Ton Motor Trucks.

Also, Resolution No. 29587 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $33,656 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the purchase of seven 2½-ton Motor Trucks, with dump bodies, for use of the Department of Public Works, as per award to Kleiber Motor Company.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. 29588 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Work in Front of City Property, 1928-1929.

(1) For the construction of sidewalks on the Flora street and Pomona street sides of the Bay View Grammar School $1,575.00

(2) For the improvement of Twenty-second avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets, at City property, including portion of crossings of Quintara street and Twenty-second avenue and of Rivera street and Twenty-second avenue.... 4,287.17

(3) For improvement of Prentiss street between Tompkins and Ogden avenues, fronting City property..... 1,652.55

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 53.

(4) For expense of moving partitions, erecting tile partition, changing electric wires, fixtures, etc., to enlarge Traffic Bureau room in Hall of Justice..... $1,711.00

Extension of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 36.

(5) For cost of constructing sewer on north side of stairway between Mission and London streets, to furnish outlet for drainage $550.00

Street Signs, Budget Item No. 70.

(6) For the furnishing, delivering and erecting of street signs, per contract awarded to D. C. McCabe..... $3,480.00
(7) For inspection and extras on above contract..... 620.00

Bernal Cut Bonds.

(8) For the improving of Wilder avenue between Diamond and Arlington streets, at City property, in connection with opening of Bernal Cut boulevard..... $1,230.10

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Appropriations for Street Reconstruction.

Also, Resolution No. 29589 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the widening of Grant avenue from Columbus avenue to Filbert street $5,500.00
(2) For temporary improvements on Essex street between Folsom and Harrison streets..... 1,000.00
(3) For repairs to Circular avenue bridge..... 900.00
(4) For and in settlement of claim for damages to property of Paul Kralik caused by the grading of Innes avenue.... 1,140.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Condemnation of Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29590 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Third street and the northeasterly line of Lot 3, Block 624, Paul Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 49, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 150 feet to the northwesterly line of Lot 1 of said Block 624; thence at right angles southwesterly along said northwesterly line 150 feet to the northeasterly line of Olney avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly along said northeasterly line 74.698 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly, northeasterly and northerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 116 deg. 14 min. 26 sec., a distance of 24.345 feet to the westerly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence northerly along said westerly line, being a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 1004.797 feet and a central angle of 7 deg. 19 min. 56 sec., a distance of 128.585 feet; thence northerly, northwesterly, westerly and southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 146 deg. 25 min. 38 sec., a distance of 30.668 feet to its point of tangency with the southeasterly line of Third street; thence northeasterly along

said southeasterly line 27.944 feet to the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 3, 4 and 5 of said Block 624.

Be it Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening, construction and maintenance of Bay Shore boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Condemnation of Land for Silver Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29591 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Silver avenue, distant thereon three hundred and sixty-eight (368) feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line one hundred sixty-one and one hundred sixty-seven thousandths (161.167) feet; thence at right angles southeasterly sixteen and five-tenths (16.5) feet; thence at right angles southwesterly, parallel to said southeasterly line of Silver avenue, one hundred sixty-one and one hundred sixty-seven thousandths (161.167) feet to the northeasterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence at right angles northwesterly along said northeasterly line sixteen and five-tenths (16.5) feet to the southeasterly line of Silver avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Lot Number 12, as per map "Plat of the Silver Terrace," recorded in Map Book "1," page 78, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening, construction and maintenance of Silver avenue. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Kezar Stadium Roadway.

Also, Bill No. 8678, Ordinance No. 8181 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the Kezar Stadium roadway in Golden Gate Park, extending from Waller and Stanyan streets to Third avenue and Lincoln way, in accordance with plans and specifications which are hereby authorized and approved; authorizing the Board of Public

Works to enter into contract for the construction of said roadway in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, at an estimated cost of $60,000. The cost of said construction to be borne out of County Road Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the construction of the Kezar Stadium roadway in Golden Gate Park, extending from Waller and Stanyan streets to Third avenue and Lincoln way, the same is hereby ordered and to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which plans and specifications are hereby authorized and approved. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of said roadway, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor. The cost of said construction, estimated at $60,000, to be borne out of County Road Fund.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Oil Tank Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29592 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

George O. Bendon, east side Fifteenth avenue, 90 feet south of Santiago street, 750 gallons capacity.

W. G. Brown, northeast corner Twenty-third avenue and Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. F. Finigan, 2450 Steiner street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. Franchesi, east line Scott street, 105 feet north of Prado street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Peter Furnell, east line Capp street, 195 feet north of Twenty-fifth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Golden Gate Pastry Co., 5901 Geary street, 600 gallons capacity.

E. Hirsch, 18 Jordan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Herman Hogrefe & Son, northwest corner Pierce and California streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. M. Kaye, 232 Maple street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. B. Miller, west side San Jose avenue, 225 feet north of Twenty-fifth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. T. Nokes, 2536 Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Reid, east side Church street, 75 feet north of Twenty-second street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. S. Rosner, east side Spruce street, 70 feet south of Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Serioty, 234 Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Stahl, west side Twenty-seventh avenue, 200 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits will become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Garage Permit, J. H. Partin, 819 Ellis Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29593 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James H. Partin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted to R. D. Deaton by Resolution No. 23931 (New Series) for premises at 819 Ellis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Garage Permit, Chas. J. Evans, Turk and Jones Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29594 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles J. Evans be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted to R. A. Ford by Resolution No. 23222 (New Series) for premises at the southwest corner of Turk and Jones streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Garage Permit, A. G. Curtis.

Also, Resolution No. 29595 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. G. Curtis be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 235 Mason street, said garage to be maintained and operated in conjunction with and to be made a part of the Gray Dawn Garage, situate on the north side of Latham place, 77 feet 6 inches west of Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

No—Supervisor Andriano—1.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Blasting on Josiah Street, J. P. Holland.

Also, Resolution No. 29596 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Josiah street between Lakeview avenue and Ridgeway lane, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Ordering Improvement of Taylor Street Between Vallejo and Green Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8680, Ordinance No. 8182 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Taylor street between Vallejo and Green streets by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manholes, Y branches and side-sewers; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Ordering the Improvement of Fourth Avenue Between Parnassus Avenue and Kirkham Street, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8681, Ordinance No. 8183 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San

Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fourth avenue between Parnassus avenue and Kirkham street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Ordering the Improvement of Thornton Avenue Between Diana and Neptune Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8682, Ordinance No. 8184 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the southerly one-half of Thornton avenue between Diana street and Neptune street, where not already so improved, by the construction of an asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Ordering Sidewalks on Detroit Street and on Lane Street, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8683, Ordinance No. 8185 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Detroit street between Hearst avenue and Staples avenue, Hearst avenue between Baden street and Edna street, Marston avenue between Edna street and Circular avenue, Circular avenue between Judson avenue and Marston avenue, Genesee street between Mangels avenue and Joost avenue, Edna street between Monterey boulevard and Hearst avenue, Baden street between Joost avenue and Monterey boulevard, Acadia street between Joost avenue and Monterey boulevard, the north one-half of Judson avenue between Edna street and Foerster street, Staples avenue between Congo street and Detroit street, Staples avenue between Genesee street and Foerster street, Mangels avenue between Edna street and Ridgewood avenue, Joost avenue between Brompton avenue (extended) and Detroit street, Joost avenue between Edna street and Genesee street, the north one-half of Circular avenue between Congo street and Flood avenue (extended), Congo street between Circular avenue and Mangels avenue, Foerster street between Monterey boulevard and Melrose avenue, Foerster street between Judson avenue and Staples avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

The improvement of Lane street from McKinnon avenue to Newcomb avenue, Lane street from Palou avenue to Oakdale avenue, Lane street from Quesada avenue to Revere avenue, Newcomb avenue from Keith street to Lane street, Mendell street from Palou avenue to Oakdale avenue, Oakdale avenue from Mendell street to Lane street, Palou avenue from Lane street to Mendell street, Palou avenue from Jennings street to Keith street, Quesada avenue from Keith street to

Ingalls street, Quesada avenue from Lane street to Third street, Revere avenue from Lane street to Keith street, Revere avenue from Jennings street to Ingalls street, Shafter avenue from Keith street to Ingalls street, Thomas avenue from Lane street to Keith street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Ordering the Improvement of Bright Street Between Randolph and Sargent Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8684, Ordinance No. 8186 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Bright street between Randolph and Sargent streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Ordering the Improvement of Jerrold Avenue Between Third and Phelps Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8685, Ordinance No. 8187 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August

13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Jerrold avenue between Third street and Phelps street, where not already so improved, by the construction of sidesewers; by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Ordering the Improvement of Caine Avenue Between Lakeview and Summit Avenues, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8686, Ordinance No. 8188 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Caine avenue between Lakeview avenue and Summit avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of one side-sewer; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Ordering the Improvement of Coso Avenue and Crossings, Expense of City.

Also, Bill No. 8687, Ordinance No. 8189 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Coso avenue between Prospect avenue and Winfield street and reconstruction of crossings of Coso avenue and Winfield street, and Coso avenue and Prospect avenue by the construction of steps and concrete road, approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of four thousand dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, having recommended the ordering of the improvement of Coso avenue between Prospect avenue and Winfield street and reconstruction of crossings of Coso avenue and Winfield street and Coso avenue and Prospect avenue, by the construction of steps and a concrete road; the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered t enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of four thousand dollars.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Ordering Sidewalks on Geary Street and Other Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8688, Ordinance No. 8190 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part

II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the north one-half of Geary street from the east line of Laguna street to a line parallel with and 77 feet 1 inch east of the east line of Laguna street; the east one-half of Laguna street from the north line of Geary street to Cedar street; the north one-half of Post street from a line parallel with and 163 feet 6 inches west of the west line of Webster street to a line parallel with and 189 feet 6 inches west of the west line of Webster street; the north one-half of Sutter street from a line parallel with and 37 feet 6 inches east of the east line of Hyde street to a line parallel with and 82 feet 6 inches east of the east line of Hyde street; the east one-half of Larkin street from a line parallel with and 87 feet 6 inches south of the south line of Ellis street to a line parallel with and 112 feet 6 inches south of the south line of Ellis street; the south one-half of Turk street from a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches east of the east line of Jones street to a line parallel with and 175 feet east of the east line of Jones street; the north one-half of Sutter street from a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches east of the east line of Larkin street to a line parallel with and 165 feet east of the east line of Larkin street; the north one-half of Pacific avenue from the west line of Salmon street to a line parallel with and 20 feet west of the west line of Salmon street; the west one-half of Shrader street from a line parallel with and 75 feet south of the south line of Rivoli street to a line parallel with and 100 feet south of the south line of Rivoli street; the south one-half of Oak street from a line parallel with and 25 feet east of the east line of Broderick street to a line parallel with and 50 feet east of the east line of Broderick street, and from a line parallel with and 95 feet west of the west line of Divisadero street to a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches west of the west line of Divisadero street; the north one-half of Clay street from a line parallel with and 81 feet 3 inches east of the east line of Lyon street to a line parallel with and 106 feet 3 inches east of the east line of Lyon street; the east side of Twenty-eighth avenue from a line parallel with and 275 feet north of the north line of Clement street to a line parallel with and 325 feet north of the north line of Clement street; the east one-half of Fillmore street from a line parallel with and 82 feet 7⅛ inches north of the north line of California street to a line parallel with and 107 feet 7⅛ inches north of the north line of California street; the west one-half of Fillmore street from the north line of Sacramento street to a line parallel with and 63 feet north of the north line of Sacramento street; the north one-half of McAllister street from a line parallel with and 64 feet east of the east line of Scott street to a line parallel with and 100 feet east of the east line of Scott street; the east one-half of Pierce street between Union and Green streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks have not already been constructed to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Ordering Improvement of Nineteenth Street Between Mississippi Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8689, Ordinance No. 8191 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 7, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Nineteenth street between Mississippi street and Pennsylvania avenue, by resetting the existing granite curbs that are not to official line and grade, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Rereferred.

The following bill was ordered *rereferred to the Traffic and Safety Committee:*

Amending Traffic Ordinance.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly on Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Stockton street.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows.

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection:

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Section 36 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the Central Traffic District or in any business district during any hour of any day;

(2) In any loading zone between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day;

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day;

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of any day;

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public buildings between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays;

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business;

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom at any hour of any day.

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(c) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance, provided that in the Central Traffic District or in any business district, as herein defined, a curb space not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Final Passage.

The following resolution heretofore passed for printing was taken up and *finally passed by the following vote:*

Condemnation of Land for Hetch Hetchy Right of Way.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 29597 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity demand the acquisition through eminent domain proceedings of the following described easements situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco; said easements being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1—An exclusive sub-surface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of two aqueduct tunnels, in and through the lands of Fleda O. Bunting et al. in Ex-Mission Survey No. 37, otherwise Lot No. 40, as laid down on the official connected plat of the Ex-Mission of San Jose, as certified October 28, 1865, by the United States Surveyor-General of California.

The center line of the first of said aqueduct tunnels to be constructed through said lands is described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the easterly boundary of said Ex-Mission Survey No. 37 distant along said line south 1 degree 21 minutes 50 seconds west 353.9 feet from an existing fence corner assumed to mark Station V No. 3 of the Survey of the Sunol portion of the Rancho el Valle de San Jose and also assumed to mark the northwest corner of land of Edward J. Briscoe et al. Thence from the point of beginning south 79 degrees 19 minutes west 6201.9 feet to a point which bears north 9 degrees 08 minutes 30 seconds west 1000.7 feet from a fence corner, assumed to mark the corner common to Ex-Mission Surveys 30, 36 and 37; thence north 88 degrees 41 minutes west 122.1 feet to a point in the westerly boundary of said Ex-Mission Survey No. 37.

In the above description it is assumed that existing fences mark the easterly and westerly boundaries of Ex-Mission Survey No. 37.

The second of said aqueduct tunnels to be constructed shall be located parallel to said first tunnel and the center line of said second tunnel shall be 175 feet southerly from the center line of said first tunnel.

Parcel 2—The right to construct, maintain, replace, patrol and operate an electric transmission line consisting of conductors supported on one line of poles, with all necessary accessories, and a telephone line of one or more circuits supported on one line of poles, with all necessary accessories, along, upon and over the surface of the ground in and through the lands of Fleda O. Bunting et al. in said Ex-Mission Survey No. 37.

Together with the right to cut down or trim any trees or brush which may interfere with or constitute a menace to the safety of said transmission line or telephone line.

Said transmission line shall be located parallel to the first of the aqueduct tunnels to be constructed in Parcel 1, and the center line of said transmission line shall be 6 feet southerly from the center line of said tunnel. Said telephone line shall be located parallel to said transmission line and 40 feet southerly therefrom.

The taking of said easements is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct now being constructed by said City and County of San Francisco, and designed and intended to convey water from Lake Eleanor and the Tuolumne River, and its tributaries, to the said City and County of San Francisco for municipal and domestic purposes; that the taking of said easements is further necessary for the future proper development and control of said aqueduct, electric transmission lines and telephone lines to be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct and the appurtenances thereto.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings against the owners of said property and of all interests therein or claims against or liens upon said property, for the condemnation of said rights, interests, claims and liens to the public use of the City and County of San Francisco for the said purposes above specified.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

TAX LEVY, 1928-1929.

Consideration of proposed tax rate for the fiscal year 1928-1929.

Report of Finance Committee on Tax Levy, 1928-1929.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, September 6, 1928.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your Finance Committee presents to you herewith its recommended tax rate ordinance for the fiscal year 1928-29, which has been compiled after careful conferences with the City Attorney and the City's Financial Expert.

The Board of Education, acting under the authority of a decision rendered by the State Supreme Court a year ago, has submitted a budget for the School Department of the City and County, totaling $10,491,138, and has made formal demand that a tax be levied sufficient to finance this entire budget. Your Finance Committee has been advised by the City Attorney that, under the mandatory ruling of the Supreme Court, the Board of Supervisors cannot amend or revise the Board of Education budget and that it is compelled to levy the entire tax demanded by this department. In other words, the Court ruling in effect establishes the Board of Education as an independent tax-levying branch of the City and County government and divests the Board of Supervisors of any right to limit or control the amount of the tax which that department may decide to levy in accordance with the provisions of the State Law.

Acting upon this advice from the City Attorney, your Finance Committee this year divorced the budget of the Board of Education entirely from the budget for the other departments of the Municipal government and your Honorable Board adopted the budget ordinance in that form. The tax rate required to finance the budget as approved by your Honorable Board is $2.89. The additional tax rate necessary to finance the school budget presented by the Board of Education is $1.07. This will make the total tax rate for the current fiscal year $3.96.

Your Finance Committee believes that the rate of tax recommended for maintenance and operation of the various departments of the Municipal government, exclusive of the School Department, is conservative and in keeping with the sane principles of economy to which this Board of Supervisors is pledged, and at the same time ample to provide for the growing needs of the City.

For your information the Finance Committee presents to you again its report upon the features of the budget adopted by your Honorable Board for the current fiscal year.

We desire to emphasize the fact that the recent determination of the Board of Education to levy an independent tax has greatly reduced the authority and control of the Board of Supervisors over the rate of taxation which will be levied annually upon the property owners

of San Francisco. One year ago, when the Board of Education first adopted this new policy, it compelled an increase in the tax rate recommended by your Honorable Board of 14 cents. This year the gross increase of the Board of Education budget over its budget of last year is $932,301. Giving the department credit for assuming the cost of its retirement and pension charges, the net increase demanded this year is $557,801, representing approximately seven cents in the tax rate. Thus in two years, the Department of Education alone has assumed the responsibility for an increase of 21 cents over the tax rate adopted by your Honorable Board in 1926-27. The small remaining increase which has been necessitated since that time is due in large part to the requirements for interest and redemption on bonds voted by the people of San Francisco and to the growing charges for charter and statutory requirements, such as the maintenance of the Courts, support of indigents and minors and the maintenance of the Pension and Retirement System.

We respectfully recommend the adoption of the tax rate ordinance as submitted.

Respectfully submitted,

FRANCK R. HAVENNER, Chairman,
MILO KENT,
CHAS. J. POWERS.

Tax Ordinance.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented by Supervisor Havenner, who moved its passage to print:

Bill No. 8694, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and ninety-six hundredths ($3.96) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and ninety-six hundredths ($3.96) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of....$0.925784

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School departments, other than the special school building tax hereinafter provided for, the rate of..... .240000

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, and demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of..... .779000

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of ..... .037375
For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, the rate of..... .100000
For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of.... .053987
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising, pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of..... .020116
For Retirement System for City Employees..... .059125
For Playground Fund..... .070000
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund..... .008653
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund..... .008653
For maintenance of the blind, Statutes 1919, Chapter 144... .002103
For maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium..... .006186
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:
Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..... .002964
Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .001306
Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .001172
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .025426
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .015364
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .017754
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908.... .006926
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .003991
Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .004712
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918..... .035185
Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904.... .007624
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .007085
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .009894
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .015460
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908.... .006392
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .002367
Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910..... .004231
Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910..... .071374
City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912... .062839
Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912.. .039238
Hospital-Jail Completion Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1913..... .014426
School Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund..... .113188
Relief Home Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund..... .026872
Hetch Hetchy Water Bond, 1925 issue, Interest Fund..... .042400
Hetch Hetchy Water Bond, 1928 issue, Interest Fund..... .007671
Bernal Cut Bond, issue 1927, Interest Fund..... .004471
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California..... .027522

Compulsory School Tax.

As per decision of Supreme Court. Taxes levied for the maintenance and operation of the San Francisco School Department, which said tax is compelled to be levied in accordance with budget filed with the Board of Supervisors, and pursuant to the demand of the Board of Education, made upon said Board of Supervisors, the items of which said tax are as follows, to-wit:

For Elementary Fund (County)	$ .178053
For High School Fund (County)	.115931
For Junior College Fund (County)	.004602
For Kindergarten Fund	.032758
For Special Building Fund	.082640
For Special School Fund	.229716
For Special High School Building Fund	.180356
For Special High School Fund	.247198
Total	$3.96

Discussion.

Supervisors McSheehy, Colman, Havenner, Andriano and *Roncovieri.*

Passed for Printing.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk be directed to prepare resolutions of condolence on the death of Wm. F. Coen and that when we adjourn we do so out of respect to his memory.

Supervisor Colman moved to the same effect for Andrew G. McCarthy.

Supervisor Hayden made a similar motion with respect to the death of Mr. McCants of the Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor Schmidt asked that the same be done with respect to the memory of the mother of State Senator Fellom.

The foregoing motions were *unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

Action Rescinded.

Supervisor Marks moved that action at preceding meeting fixing September 12, 1928, for hearing on Charter Amendments be rescinded. *So ordered.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the board at 12:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 11, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 38

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Tuesday, September 11, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 11, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent Supervisor Deasy—1.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of September 4 and 6, 1928, was considered read and *approved.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Chemical Hose.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing 2000 feet of 1-inch chemical hose for use of the Fire Department, to be opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Fire Hose.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing 5000 feet of 1½-inch fire hose for use of the Fire Department, to be opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing 10,000 feet of 2¾-inch fire hose for use of the Fire Department, to be opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day *and referred to Supplies Committee.*

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing 5000 feet of 3½-inch fire hose for use of the Fire Department, to be opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Forage and Farinaceous Products.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing forage and farinaceous products that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing October 1, 1928, and ending September 30, 1929, to be opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $36,805.41, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted:*

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 182 Along Portions of Vicente and Rivera Streets.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Resolution No. 29598 (New Series) as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines, as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Vicente street between Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the northerly side of Rivera street between Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 8th day of October, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium—Appropriation 56.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of August (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) $3,954.35

Park Fund.

(2) Standard Oil Co., oil for parks (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928) 2,260.81

(3) A. G. Spaulding & Bros., park supplies (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928) 633.60

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(4) The Marmon Steam Shovel Co., steam shovel parts (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) $1,182.89
(5) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 871.15
(6) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Sept 4, 1928) 2,648.44
(7) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 845.54
(8) Maria L. Borge, 6.98 acres land in Alameda County, as approved by Resolution No. 29458 (New Series), required in connection with construction of Coast Range Division, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)... 1,935.00
(9) Celite Products Co., celite for concrete (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 720.00
(10) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 5,800.00
(11) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 1,660.88
(12) Makins Produce Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 592.32
(13) Amelia Pinheiro, Manuel Pinheiro et al., for various lands in Alameda County, as approved by Resolution No. 29458 (New Series), required for Coast Range Division of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928). 1,641.00
(14) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928) 11,031.13
(15) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium covering Hetch Hetchy employments (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 3,781.28

Municipal Railway Fund.

(16) Hancock Bros. Inc., printing transfers (claim dated Sept. 1, 1928) $558.00

Special School Tax.

(17) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., second payment, electrical work on additions to Jefferson School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) $723.75

County Road Fund.

(18) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928) $1,821.12
(19) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, shovels, lanterns, etc., for street reconstruction (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928) 792.72

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(21) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) $2,371.12
(22) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) 1,500.00
(23) A. Carlisle & Co., binders, indexes, etc., for Auditor (claim dated July 30, 1928) 648.55
(24) The Bulletin Publishing Co., official advertising, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928) 578.70
(25) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928) 826.85
(26) Spring Valley Water Co., water service through hydrants, Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 14,911.90
(27) J. E. French Co., one Dodge coupe, Bureau of Engineering (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928) 1,080.00

(28) Enterprise Foundry Co., manhole and catchbasin frames, etc. (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928)..... 652.14
(29) Dion R. Holm, legal services for September in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928)..... 850.00
(30) N. Randall Ellis, engineering service for September in telephone rate litigation (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928)..... 750.00

Rereferred.

The following item on motion of Supervisor Kent was *rereferred to the Finance Committee:*

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(20) Rudolph G. Theurkauf, professional services, labor and materials for exhibit at State Fair (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) $1,400.00

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Purchase of Land for Alemany Boulevard.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,675 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Boulevard Bonds to Emma Burk and William Burk; being payment for all of Lots 10 and 11, Block 6786, as per the Assessor's Block Books; approved by Resolution No. 29602, and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928).

Authorizations.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized out of County Road Fund, appropriations heretofore made, in payment to the Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, Budget Item No. 526, Appropriation 33-C, 1927-1928; being reimbursements for materials and supplies furnished and used in the reconstruction of the hereinafter designated streets, to-wit:

(1) Broadway, Scott to Divisadero..... $1,498.00
(2) Broderick, Ellis to O'Farrell..... 733.15
(3) Davis, Pacific to Broadway..... 929.00
(4) Davis, Broadway to Vallejo..... 1,124.82
(5) Frederick, Masonic to Buena Vista..... 1,128.42
(6) Fulton, First avenue to Stanyan..... 1,129.30
(7) Gough, Washington to Pacific..... 2,125.69
(8) Ninth, Market southerly 2,694.85
(9) Page, Scott to Divisadero..... 825.63
(10) Page, Octavia to Buchanan..... 4,360.00
(11) Potrero avenue from Sixteenth street southerly..... 23,294.10
(12) Walnut, Jackson to Pacific..... 501.10
(13) Washington, Kearny to Stockton..... 1,868.92
(Demands dated Sept. 4, 1928.)

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. 29599 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of the hereinafter designated accounts of the Department of Public Works, to the credit of Stores and Yards, Department of Public Works, Budget Item No. 448, to-wit:

From Budget Item No. 515, Street Repair	$853.09
From Budget Item No. 536. Street Cleaning	529.58
From Budget Item No. 549, Sewer Repair	251.01
From Budget Item No. 561, Auto Maintenance	82.13
From Budget Item No. 490, Bureau Engineering	39.17
	$1,755.18

Being reimbursements for repairs to equipment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Appropriation for Construction of Bay Shore Boulevard, Section "D."

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $227,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, for the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, Third street to San Bruno avenue, Section "D," Contract No. 7, including engineering, inspection and extras, per contract awarded to Granfield, Farrar & Carlin at $212,805.66.

Appropriation for Construction of Alemany Boulevard, Section "E."

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $72,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, for the construction of the Alemany boulevard, Section "E," Contract No. 5, from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, including engineering, inspection and extras, per award of contract to Granfield, Farrar & Carlin at $66,549.96.

Appropriation for Payment of Salaries, Traffic Bureau Employees.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 57, to the credit of Bureau of Engineering, Appropriation No. 32A, for the payment of salaries of the following for the months of August and September, 1928, to-wit:

Traffic Engineer	$ 600
Assistant Traffic Engineer	450
Clerk-Stenographer	350
Total	$1,400

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Offers, Land, Alemany Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committe:

Resolution No. 29600 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of properties adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of dam-

ages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, namely:

Lina Meister, $56—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 33, in Block 6796, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 87 Francis street.

Lorenzo Giordanengo, $99—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 21, in Block 6797, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 80 Francis street.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above-mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer, Land, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29601 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Stella Magnin and J. Altmark, $191—Portion of Lots 7 and 8, Block 5374, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer, Land, Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29602 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Emma Burk and William Burk, $1,675—All of Lots Nos. 251 and 252 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149 of Maps, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that any assessment for costs of street work against the property of the grantors hereof which lies along the easterly side of the above-described land, will be borne by the City and County of San Francisco, said cost being for the construction of a street over and above the aforesaid described land, and to include curbing, paving and grading, and the grantors hereof hereby agree to

allow the slopes of the embankment necessary to grade said new street to extend over and upon their property.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee:

Resolution No. 29603 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land situated in the County of San Joaquin, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Joseph C. Brichetto, $2,000—

Parcel 1. Portion of Section 35, Township 3 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M., containing 19.2 acres, more or less. Required for aqueduct pipe lines.

Parcel 2. A strip of land extending through portions of Sections 27 and 28, Township 3 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M., containing 4.86 acres, more or less. Required for road purposes. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said land and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying said land to said City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of San Joaquin County.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Ordering the Furnishing, Installing and Testing of Sewage Pumping Plant at Fitzgerald Avenue and Griffith Street.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee:

Bill No. 8695, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the furnishing, installing and testing the sewage pumping plant at Fitzgerald avenue and Griffith street; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $3,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the furnishing, installing and testing the sewage pumping plant at Fitzgerald

avenue and Griffith street, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $3,000.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Construction of Sewers, Etc., in Quintara Street Between Fortieth and Forty-sixth Avenues and in Pacheco Street Between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8696, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of sewers and appurtenances in Quintara street between Fortieth and Forty-sixth avenues and in Pacheco street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $20,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the construction of sewers and appurtenances in Quintara street between Fortieth and Forty-sixth avenues and in Pacheco street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $20,000.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Explode Blasts Bay Shore Boulevard—Granfield, Farrar & Carlin.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Bay Shore boulevard between Third street and San Bruno avenue, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted:*

Approving Map Showing Extension of Wilder Street.

On recommendation of Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.

Resolution No. 29604 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 103289 (Second Series), approve a map showing the extension of Wilder street from its present easterly termination easterly to Natick and Arlington streets; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the map showing the extension of Wilder street from its present easterly termination easterly to Natick and Arlington streets is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Extension of Time, Frank J. McHugh, Sewer in Wawona Street Between Twenty-fifth and Thirty-fourth Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. 29605 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of ninety days from and after September 28, 1928, within which to complete the construction of a sewer in Wawona street between Twenty-fifth and Thirty-fourth avenues.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by excavation difficulties.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Extension of Time, Federal Construction Company, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29606 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 11, 1928, within which to complete the contract for the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, Section B.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by unforseen right of way difficulties.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Extension of Time, Laguna Honda Boulevard, C. C. W. and H. H. Haun.

Also, Resolution No. 29607 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. C. W. and H. H. Haun are hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after August 31, 1928, within which to complete the construction of sewer in Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by change of alignment of sewer.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Extension of Time to Louis J. Cohn to Complete Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29608 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Cohn is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after August 13, 1928, within which to complete the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, Storm Drain Contract No. 2.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is progressing satisfactorily and the previous delay at the beginning of the work was caused by the inability of the contractor to obtain the required size of piling on the local market.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing:*

Providing for Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

On recommendation of Committee on Streets and Commercial Development:

Bill No. 8697, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Evans avenue between Third and Phelps streets, and crossing of Evans avenue and Phelps street, and Evans avenue and Rankin street; Farragut avenue between Huron and Winnipeg avenues; Gaven street between Barneveld and San Bruno avenues; Holladay avenue between southerly line of Faith street and northerly line of Costa street, including the crossings of Holladay avenue and Faith street and Holladay avenue and Costa street; Lawton street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues; Noriega street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues; Noriega street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues; Noriega street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, and crossing of Noriega street and Fortieth avenue; Pennsylvania avenue between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, and crossing of Pennsylvania avenue and Twentieth street; Schwerin street between Visitacion and Sunnydale avenues; Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and the County Line; Thirty-fifth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Thirty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Vicente street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues; crossing of Noriega street and Twenty-second avenue; crossing of Barneveld avenue and Gaven street; crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Ortega street; crossing of Visitacion avenue and Britton street, and crossing of Shields and Victoria streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein:

Evans avenue between Third and Phelps streets, and crossing of Evans avenue and Phelps street, and Evans avenue and Rankin street.

Farragut avenue between Huron and Winnipeg avenues.

Gaven street between Barneveld and San Bruno avenues.

Holladay avenue between southerly line of Faith street and northerly line of Costa street, including the crossings of Holladay avenue and Faith street and Holladay avenue and Costa street.

Lawton street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues.

Noriega street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues.

Noriega street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues.

Noriega street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, and crossing of Noriega street and Fortieth avenue.

Pennsylvania avenue between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, and crossing of Pennsylvania avenue and Twentieth street.

Schwerin street between Visitacion and Sunnydale avenues.

Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and County Line.

Thirty-fifth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Thirty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Vicente street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

Crossing of Noriega street and Twenty-second avenue.

Crossing of Barneveld avenue and Gaven street.

Crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Ortega street.

Crossing of Visitacion avenue and Britton street.

Crossing of Shields and Victoria streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Intention to Change Grades.

On recommendation of Committee on Streets and Commercial Development:

Resolution No. 29609 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 103265 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted August 20, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed August 23, 1928, to-wit:

On Faith street between Holladay avenue and Brewster street, and on Brewster street between Costa street intersection and Joy street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Width of Sidewalks on Cunningham Place.

On recommendation of Committee on Streets and Commercial Development:

Bill No. 8698, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works

filed in this office August 24, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-one, to read as follows:

Section 1051. The width of sidewalks on Cunningham place, the northerly side of, between Valencia street and its westerly termination, shall be two (2) feet six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Cunningham place, the southerly side of, between Valencia street and its westerly termination, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Width of Sidewalks on Edgardo Place.

Also, Bill No. 8699, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 23, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty, to read as follows:

Section 1050. The width of sidewalks on Edgardo place between Grant avenue and its westerly termination is hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Spur Track, George Russell Reed Company, Carroll Avenue Between Jennings and Ingalls Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8700, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to George Russell Reed Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carroll avenue between Jennings and Ingalls streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to George Russell Reed Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point in existing track in Carroll avenue approximately five (5) feet westerly of the westerly line of Jennings street produced, thence southeasterly and crossing a portion of Carroll avenue to a point which is located ten (10) feet northerly from the southerly line of Carroll avenue and one hundred fifty (150) feet easterly from the easterly line of Jennings street produced; thence continuing southeasterly along Carroll avenue to a point eight and seven-tenths (8.7) feet northerly from the southerly line of Carroll avenue and three hundred fifty (350) feet easterly from the easterly line of Jennings street produced.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the George Russell Reed Company, provided that the George Russell Reed Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7726 (New Series), Ordering the Construction of Bulkhead and Removal of Sand on Forty-fourth Avenue, 105 Feet South of Anza Street.

Also, Bill No. 8701, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7726 (New Series), ordering the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway of the westerly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and five (105) feet south of the south line of Anza street to a line parallel with and one hundred fifty-five (155) feet southerly from the south line of Anza street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7726 (New Series), ordering the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway of the westerly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and five (105) feet south of the south line of Anza street to a line parallel with and one hundred fifty-five (155) feet southerly from the south line of Anza street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7722 (New Series), Ordering the Construction of Bulkheads on Eighth Avenue and on Cabrillo Street.

Also, Bill No. 8702, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7722 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on the west line of Eighth avenue from the southerly line of Cabrillo street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet southerly therefrom, and on the south line of Cabrillo street from the west line of Eighth avenue to a line parallel with and eighty-two (82) feet six (6) inches westerly therefrom where openings occur in the existing bulkhead and the removal of sand from the adjacent sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7722 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on the west line of Eighth avenue from the southerly line of Cabrillo street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet southerly therefrom, and on the south line of Cabrillo street from the west line of Eighth avenue to a line parallel with and eighty-two (82) feet six (6) inches westerly therefrom where openings occur in the existing bulkhead and the removal of sand from the adjacent sidewalk, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades on Thirty-fifth Avenue Between Quintara and Santiago Streets and Other Streets, in Accordance With Resolution No. 29172 (New Series).

Also, Bill No. 8703, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), entitled: "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirty-fifth avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets; on Thirty-sixth avenue be-

tween Quintara street and a line parallel with Rivera street and 300 feet southerly therefrom; on Thirty-seventh avenue between Pacheco and Rivera streets; on Quintara street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-eighth avenues, and on Rivera street between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-seventh avenues."

Changing Grades on Valley Street Between Castro and Diamond Streets, in Accordance With Resolution No. 29173 (New Series).

Also, Bill No. 8704, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), entitled: "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Valley street between Castro street and a line parallel with the easterly line of Diamond street and 19 feet westerly therefrom."

Changing Grades on Wilder Street Between Diamond and Carrie Streets, in Accordance With Resolution No. 29029 (New Series).

Also, Bill No. 8705, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), entitled: "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Wilder street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 270.13 feet easterly from Carrie street."

Repealing Portion of Ordinance No. 8098 (New Series), Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8706, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing that portion of Ordinance No. 9098 (New Series), providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadway of Noriega street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That portion of Ordinance No. 8098 (New Series), providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadway of Noriega street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted:*

Award of Contract, Loam and Manure for Playgrounds.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee:

Resolution No. 29610 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted August 27, 1928 (Proposal No. 412), for furnishing the following, viz.: Loam and manure for Playground Commission, to be furnished as same may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year 1928-29, price to include delivery to the playgrounds designated in order. Acceptance of deliveries subject to approval of authorized representative of Playground Commission:

Part I—Loam.

Item No. 1—9280 cubic yards for Ocean View at $1.29 per cubic yard —Delivery, one-half in November, 1928; one-half in June, 1929; Meyer Rosenberg.

Item No. 2—3180 cubic yards for Bay View at $1.34 per cubic yard—Delivery indefinite; Meyer Rosenberg.

Item No. 3—3833 cubic yards for Glen Park at $1.34 per cubic yard—Delivery, September, 1928; Meyer Rosenberg.

Item No. 4—5200 cubic yards for St. Mary's Park at $1.38 per cubic yard—Delivery, May and June, 1929; Meyer Rosenberg.

Item No. 5—4175 cubic yards for Potrero Hill at $1.44 per cubic yard —Delivery, May and June, 1929; Meyer Rosenberg.

Item No. 6—1000 cubic yards for general use at $1.35 per cubic yard —Delivery in lots of 5 or more cubic yards as ordered; F. X. Lehner.

Part II—Cattle Manure.

Item No. 7—672 cubic yards for Ocean View at $2.45 per cubic yard—Delivery, one-half in November, 1928; one-half in June, 1929; Sibley Grading & Teaming Co.

Item No. 8—225 cubic yards for Bay View at $2.35 per cubic yard—Delivery indefinite; Sibley Grading & Teaming Co.

Item No. 9—278 cubic yards for Glen Park at $2.45 per cubic yard—Delivery, September, 1928; Sibley Grading & Teaming Co.

Item No. 10—376 cubic yards for St. Mary's Park at $2.35 per cubic yard—Delivery, June, 1929; Sibley Grading & Teaming Co.

Item No. 11—302 cubic yards for Potrero Hill at $2.45 per cubic yard —Delivery, June, 1929; Sibley Grading & Teaming Co.

Item No. 12—300 cubic yards for general use at $2.45 per cubic yard —Delivery in lots of 5 or more cubic yards as ordered; Sibley Grading & Teaming Co.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of contract be required as follows, viz.:

Sibley Grading & Teaming Co., bond required $1,000.

F. X. Lehner, bond required $200.

Meyer Rosenberg, bond required $3,000.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Southeast Corner Liberty and Rayburn Streets.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee:

Bill No. 8707, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and Establishing the Location of Trades, Industries and Buildings, and the Locations of Buildings Designed for Specific Uses, and Establishing the Boundaries for Said Purposes, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of Its Provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Liberty street and Rayburn street, for a distance of 25 feet on Liberty street and a distance of 114 feet on Rayburn street, in the commercial district instead of in second residential district as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the prem-

ises herein described shall revert to and be in the second residential district.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Mayor to Sell Buildings on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

On recommendation of Public Buildings Committee:

Resolution No. 29611 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 3594 Mission street, 3574 Mission street, 3610 Mission street, 12 Charles street, 21 Highland avenue, 12-14-16 Fairmount street, 9 Fairmount street, 4-6 Fairmount street, 11 Richland avenue, 414 Miguel street, 14 Arlington street, 386 Arlington street, 57 Randall street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue of 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Garbage Disposal Hearing.

Supervisor Toner presented a report from the Health Committee announcing a meeting and final public hearing by said committee in the chambers of the Board Wednesday, September 19, 1928, at 2 p. m., to consider the question of garbage disposal.

Clerk was directed to notify all members of the Board.

Passed for Printing.

Supervisor Andriano offered the following bill as a substitute for Bill No. 8693 of similar purport, passed at last meeting, which substitute was *passed for printing:*

Licensing Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods Business.

Bill No. 8708, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 62-B, relative to license fee on dealers in second-hand furniture and household goods.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section, to be numbered Section 62-B, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title to which is recited above, to read as follows:

Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Section 62-B. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling or exchanging second-hand furniture and household goods, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, shall (after securing a quarterly permit from the Board of

Police Commissioners to carry on said business), pay a license of ten ($10) dollars per quarter.

The term "furniture and household goods" as used in this ordinance, shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings (except rugs), crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 2. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Whereupon the action of last meeting whereby Bill No. 8693 was passed for printing was reconsidered and said action was *rescinded.*

Revocation of Blasting Permit.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted J. P. Holland to explode blasts on private property situate on the east side of Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets by Resolution No. 29119 (New Series), approved June 14, 1928, be and the same is hereby revoked.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Eureka Valley Improvement Club Criticism Relative to Lack of Activity in Commercial Development.

Supervisor Gallagher presented and the Clerk read:

A communication from the Eureka Valley Improvement Association criticising alleged lack of activity on part of the Mayor, Board of Supervisors and Chamber of Commerce in the matter of obtaining industries for this city, together with his (Supervisor Gallagher's) reply on behalf of the Streets and Commercial Development Committee refuting statement and requesting more specific charge.

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton moved that the matter of the commercial development and city control of harbor be referred to a Special Legislative Committee of five members to be appointed by the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried.*

Initiative Petition, Market Street Railway Franchise.

Supervisor Schmidt called attention to activities among improvement associations by proponents of the initiative petition for indeterminate franchise to the Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor Havenner suggested to Supervisor Shannon, chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, that a study be made of the initiative measure and that the Board at this time get in touch with improvement clubs and impress on them the importance of not placing themselves on record until the Board's view can be presented to them officially. The City Attorney, he said, considers this measure very detrimental to the people's interest.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Public Utilities Committee be authorized to meet with the City Attorney for the purpose of considering initiative petition and on reporting on same to the Board.

So ordered.

Charter Amendment Hearing.

Supervisor Marks moved that Charter amendments be made a Special Order for 11 a. m. Monday, September 17, 1928, and that the Clerk notify members.

Motion *carried.*

Announcement.

Supervisor Marks announced that the Judiciary Committee would meet tomorrow at 10 a. m. to consider Charter amendments.

Relative to Supervisor Deasy.

Supervisor Colman declared that he had visited Supervisor Deasy at St. Mary's Hospital and found him very much improved after his siege of illness. He asked that the Board direct the Clerk to send a note of cheer and good wishes, expressing the hope that he will soon be able to resume his duties on the Board.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:45 p. m. took a recess until 2:00 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:00 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Suhr—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Kent was elected to preside.

HEARING BRIDGE APPLICATION, T. A. TOMASINI, 2 P. M.

The following motion was taken up:

Application of T. A. Tomasini for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge from the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point of intersection with the proposed Berkeley-Marin Bridge, running from Point Fleming, in the City of Albany, County of Alameda, State of California, to Bluff Point, in the County of Marin, State of California.

The said toll bridge and tunnel is to be located across San Francisco Bay along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Bay street with the easterly line of Grant avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco; thence south 67 deg. 0 min. east 403 feet; thence on a curve of 375 feet radius, left 235.62 feet; thence north 81 deg. 0 min. east 510 feet; thence on a curve of 375 feet radius, left 346.88 feet; thence north 28 deg. 0 min. east 560 feet; thence on a curve of 500 feet radius, right 148.35 feet; thence north 45 deg. 0 min. east 9383 feet; thence on a curve of 500 feet radius, left 410.15 feet; thence north 2 deg. 0 min. west 20,066 feet, more or less, to a point of intersection with the necessary curves and deviations proper to accommodate traffic, of the following described line:

Beginning at a point on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay 500 feet, more or less, southerly of the northwesterly point of Point Fleming, in the City of Albany, County of Alameda, and thence south approximately 88 deg. 0 min. west to a point on Bluff Point, in the County of Marin; thence westerly to Bluff Point, in the County of Marin, and

easterly to Albany, in the County of Alameda, along said line last described.

Upon which said last described line there is to be built a toll bridge pursuant to the provisions of a certain franchise granted to the undersigned by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Alameda, State of California, on March 26, 1928, and which said last described line and the toll bridge to be erected thereon, and the necessary curves, deviations and intersections proper to conduct traffic at such point of intersection, shall constitute the northern terminal of the toll bridge and tunnel, for the construction, operation and maintenance of which, and for the right to collect tolls, application for a franchise is hereby made.

That the length of said bridge and tunnel will be approximately thirty-two thousand and sixty-two (32,062) feet and that the breadth thereof will be thirty (30) feet, more or less.

Filed August 27, 1928, in Board, and hearing continued until September 4, 1928, at 10 a. m.

Communications.

Communications from Sausalito Chamber of Commerce and Chamber of Commerce of San Rafael urging the importance of granting the application of T. A. Tomasini for a franchise for a tube and bridge joining Marin county were read by the Clerk.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Frederick Olds, attorney for T. A. Tomasini; Erle L. Cope, engineer for T. A. Tomasini; W. Robert Miller, realtor, Sausalito; F. B. Anderson, editor "Sausalito News"; Casper Gardiner, chairman Board of Supervisors, Marin county; Wm. Hughson, San Francisco automobile agent; Jos. E. Bien, San Francisco attorney, and T. A. Tomasini, applicant for the franchise, were heard at length as to the urgency of granting the franchise and the ability to finance it and other matters appurtenant to the matter in hand.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Powers, seconded by Supervisor McGovern, moved that the application be *denied*.

Supervisor Gallagher moved, as an amendment, that further hearing of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, September 17, 1928, at 4 p. m.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent: Supervisors Deasy, Suhr—2.

Bond Issue Plans and Estimates.

The following matters were presented, read by the Clerk and referred to the City Attorney to prepare the necessary ordinances or resolutions:

Sewer Bond Issue.

Resolution of the Board of Public Works No. 103466 (Second Series), transmitting plans, estimates of cost in the sum of $2,200,000, and copy of letter from the City Engineer, dated August 30, 1928, relating to the proposed bond issue for the extension of the sewer system of the City and County of San Francisco, as per Ordinance No. 8169 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved August 27, 1928.

HEALTH BONDS.

Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm and Cancer Institute. Bond Issue.

Resolution of the Board of Public Works No. 103467 (Second Series), transmitting plans, estimates of cost in the sum of $3,500,000, and copy of letter of the City Engineer, dated September 5, 1928, relating to the proposed bond issue for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm, in San Mateo county, and Cancer Institute, in the City and County of San Francisco, as per Ordinance No. 8170 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved August 27, 1928.

Playgrounds Bond Issue.

Resolution of the Board of Public Works No. 103468 (Second Series), transmitting plans, estimates of cost in the sum of $3,100,000, and copy of letter from the City Engineer, dated September 5, 1928, relating to the proposed bond issue for the acquisition and development of certain lands for playground purposes, as per Ordinance No. 8171 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved August 27, 1928.

Broadway Tunnel Bond Issue.

Resolution of the Board of Public Works No. 103425 (Second Series), transmitting estimate of cost in the sum of $1,500,000, blue-print plan, File No. 8352, and copy of letter of the City Engineer, dated August 30, 1928, and recommending that the Board of Supervisors take further action in the construction of the proposed Broadway tunnel.

Award of Contract, Motor Trucks.

The Supplies Committee presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Fageol Motor Sales Company on bid submitted July 9, 1928 (Proposal No. 395), for furnishing the following, viz.: 12 motor trucks with dump bodies, 5-ton, for the sum of $54,864.

Delivery: First truck in twenty-five days, then one truck every second day thereafter. The conditions of said delivery are more fully set forth as follows (quoting from the specifications), viz.:

Time of Delivery: The delivery of the trucks called for herein at the earliest possible date will be of material advantage to the City and County of San Francisco. For this reason each bidder will be required to submit as a part of his bid the number of days following the date on which the contract is executed within which he undertakes to deliver said trucks.

Bonus for Delivery Before Time Specified: In order to compensate the contractor hereunder for the additional expense which it will be necessary to incur in order to deliver said trucks before the date fixed for delivery, the City and County will pay to the contractor a bonus of $10 per day for each and every calendar day that each truck is delivered before the date fixed for the delivery thereof.

Liquidated Damages: Any delay beyond the date fixed for delivery will be of material disadvantage to the City and County. Should the delivery of said trucks, or any of them, be delayed beyond the date fixed for the delivery thereof, the sum of $10 shall be deducted from the price named in the contract for each and every calendar day that the delivery of each truck is delayed beyond the date fixed for the delivery thereof.

It is further understood and agreed that said sum shall not be considered as a penalty, but as liquidated damages, which it is agreed upon, fixed, determined and understood by the parties to this contract

the City will suffer by reason of the failure of contractor to make delivery hereunder within the period fixed for such delivery.

Any money due or to become due the contractor may be forfeited to cover the liquidated damages hereinbefore named, and should such money not be sufficient to cover such damages the City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to recover the balance from the contractor or his sureties.

The filing of any bid for the work herein contemplated shall be taken and understood to mean that the contractor filing the bid understands, agrees and has ascertained that the City will actually suffer damages to the amount of $10 per day for each and every day during which the delivery of each truck shall be delayed beyond the expiration of the time fixed for such delivery.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $5,000 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved: That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the resolution be amended to read eight Fageol trucks at the price bid and four Mack trucks at the price bid.

Supervisor Todd moved as a substitute for the whole that the subject matter lay over one week.

Supervisor Havenner requested that in the meantime the City Engineer be requested to advise the Board officially whether or not he agrees with the policy of the Board of Public Works and that he give an engineering report as to that policy respecting use of four-wheel brakes in hilly sections of the city.

Substitute Carried.

Whereupon, Supervisor Todd's substitute was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Schmidt, Stanton—3.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Suhr—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 17, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 39

Monday, September 17, 1928
Tuesday, September 18, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 17, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 11, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Leave of Absence, Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 11, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I respectfully request that your Honorable Board grant me a leave of absence for the period of twenty (20) days, beginning September 24th, with permission to leave the State of California, to return the courtesy good-will visit of the Governor of the State of Oregon, the Mayor of the City of Portland and the entire delegation of good-will visitors, including the press representatives of the State of Oregon. This entire return courtesy visit delegation is under the auspices of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, and I hope you may delegate a committee of your Honorable Board to accompany the party by special train leaving San Francisco at 4 p. m., September 26th, which I recommend be done. With warmest regards.

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

San Francisco, Cal., September 17, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I will appreciate it if you will amend the leave of absence granted me at today's meeting of your Honorable Board to read thirty days, beginning October 6th. This has been made necessary by the postponement of our departure for Portland.

Respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29620 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 6, 1928, with permission to leave the State; and be it

Further Resolved, That during the absence of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor Franck R. Havenner be and is hereby appointed Acting Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Leave of Absence, Purchaser of Supplies.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 11, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Leonard S. Leavy, Purchaser of Supplies, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of twenty days, commencing September 30, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29621 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Leonard S. Leavy, Purchaser of Supplies, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty days, commencing September 30, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Leave of Absence, Assessor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 14, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. Russell L. Wolden, Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of forty days, beginning September 29, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29622 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Russell L. Wolden, Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of forty days, commencing September 29, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Pedestrian Lane Markers.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing 60,000 pedestrian lane markers and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Safety Zone Buttons.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing 1060 safety zone buttons and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Reflector Safety Zone Buttons.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing 2000 reflector type safety zone buttons and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Lag Screws and Expansion Shields.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing lag screws and expansion shields for installing safety zone buttons and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Tax Levy, 1928-1929.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 8694, Ordinance No. 8192 (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and ninety-six hundredths ($3.96) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and ninety-six hundredths ($3.96) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of....$0.925784

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School departments, other than the special school building tax hereinafter provided for, the rate of..... .240000

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, and demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of..... .779000

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of ..... .037375

For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, the rate of..... .100000

For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of.... .053987

For special tax levied for publicity and advertising, pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of..... .020116
For Retirement System for City Employees..... .059125
For Playground Fund..... .070000
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund..... .008653
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund..... .008653
For maintenance of the blind, Statutes 1919, Chapter 144... .002103
For maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium..... .006186
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:
Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..... .002964
Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .001306
Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .001172
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .025426
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .015364
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .017754
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908.... .006926
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .003901
Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .004712
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918..... .035185
Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904.... .007624
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .007085
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .009894
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .015460
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908.... .006392
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .002367
Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910..... .004231
Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910..... .071374
City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912... .062839
Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912.. .039238
Hospital-Jail Completion Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1913..... .014426
School Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund..... .113188
Relief Home Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund..... .026872
Hetch Hetchy Water Bond, 1925 issue, Interest Fund..... .042400
Hetch Hetchy Water Bond, 1928 issue, Interest Fund..... .007671
Bernal Cut Bond, issue 1927, Interest Fund..... .004471
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California..... .027522

Compulsory School Tax.

As per decision of Supreme Court. Taxes levied for the maintenance and operation of the San Francisco School Department, which said tax is compelled to be levied in accordance with budget filed with the Board of Super-

visors, and pursuant to the demand of the Board of Education, made upon said Board of Supervisors, the items of which said tax are as follows, to-wit:

For Elementary Fund (County)	$ .178053
For High School Fund (County)	.115931
For Junior College Fund (County)	.004602
For Kindergarten Fund	.032758
For Special Building Fund	.082640
For Special School Fund	.229716
For Special High School Building Fund	.180356
For Special High School Fund	.247198
Total	$3.96

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Authorizations.

Also, Resolution No. 29623 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(1) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), extra work in connection with construction of the Duboce Avenue-Sunset tunnel (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)	$622.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Bonds, Issue 1925.

(2) Atlas Rock Co., gravel and sand (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)	$2,135.78
(3) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)	641.18
(4) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)	543.00
(5) MacDonald & Harrington, lumber (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)	774.38
(6) Mine Safety Appliances Co., gas masks and oxygen breathing apparatus (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)	1,525.14
(7) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)	2,099.46
(8) Pacific Coast Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)	725.99
(9) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)	3,585.66
(10) Soule Steel Co., galvanized corrugated iron (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)	656.30
(11) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)	1,889.92

Boulevard Bond Fund.

(12) Louis J. Cohn, fourth payment, construction of storm drain for Bay Shore boulevard crossing Alemany boulevard (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)	$15,000.00
(13) Louis J. Cohn, second payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard from Potrero avenue to Silver avenue, Section A, Contract 6 (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)	10,800.00
(14) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., fourth payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, Section E (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)	10,800.00

Special School Tax.

(15) H. Ankers, first payment, painting work, additions and alterations to Jefferson School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928). $646.88
(16) Meyer Bros., second payment, general construction of additions and alterations to Jefferson School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 9,663.16
(17) Thos. Skelly, second payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, additions and alterations to Jefferson School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 2,005.13
(18) A. Lettich, second payment, mechanical equipment for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 1,332.56
(19) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 1,082.10
(20) Frank J. Reilly, eighth payment, general construction of Paul Revere School Annex (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928).. 7,904.67
(21) Thos. Skelly, fifth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 507.41
(22) P. J. Enright, first payment, mechanical equipment for Golden Gate School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 1,136.63
(23) Hamilton Construction Co., first payment, general construction of Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 6,211.50

County Road Fund.

(24) Meyer Rosenberg, removing slide, laying and rolling rock on Laidley street between Roanoke and Mateo streets (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... $890.00

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 57.

(25) H. U. Brandenstein, one-tenth final judgment, in behalf of judgment creditors, as approved by City Attorney and as per schedule attached (claim dated Aug. 13, 1928)..... $537.33

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(26) Philip H. Sapiro, for services of Municipal Band (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... $1,226.90
(27) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded, month of July (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... 9,290.00
(28) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Sept. 1, 1928)..... 750.00
(29) Howard Automobile Co., ten Buick automobiles for Police Dept., less allowances (claim dated Aug. 27, 1928)... 11,892.71
(30) Buckley & Curtin, printing for Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 27, 1928) 675.20
(31) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 27, 1928) 1,019.65
(32) William P. Hughson Co., two Ford autos for Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 27, 1928)..... 1,075.00
(33) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 1,190.07
(34) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 1,856.57
(35) The Seagrave Corporation, apparatus parts for Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 700.00
(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water service and installing hydrants (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 2,414.71
(37) The Tire Service Co., tires for Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 946.09
(38) Union Oil Co of Cal., fuel oil, etc., Fire Dept (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928)..... 1,026.33
(39) Stevenson & Son, furniture for Police Dept. (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 810.00

(40) Geo. W. Caswell Co., coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 700.00
(41) Haas Bros., foodstuffs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 2,050.75
(42) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 1,108.78
(43) Standard Fisheries, fish, S. F. Hospital (claim dated
(44) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., ham, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 816.88
(45) Del Monte Meat Co., meat, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 547.74
(46) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 2,112.83
(47) Dairy Dale S. F. Dairy, milk, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 4,286.50
(48) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 1,574.51
(49) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruits, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Aug. 23, 1928) 563.70
(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,110.33
(51) Buckingham & Hecht, shoes for Laguna Honda Home inmates (claim dated July 31, 1928) 873.00
(52) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 5,000.08
(53) Dairy Dale S. F. Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 2,264.75
(54) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, bedspreads and robes for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 867.20
(55) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,296.00
(56) Sussman & Wormser Co., sugar for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 565.00
(57) The Texas Co., fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 1,878.60
(58) Tiedemann & McMoran, foodstuffs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 869.00
(59) H. F. Dugan, bandages, etc., for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated July 31, 1928) 555.00
(60) H. F. Dugan, bandages for Emergency Hospitals (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928) 797.63
(61) Butte Electric & Mfg. Co., first payment, flood-lighting of City Hall (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 1,995.20
(62) C. C. W. & H. H. Haun, second payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances in Laguna Honda boulevard (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 10,200.00
(63) Monson Bros., extra work, cleaning and painting Palace of Fine Arts building (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 668.40
(64) The Electric Corporation, conduits, fuses, etc., Dept. of Electricity (claim dated July 31, 1928) 598.05
(65) A. Lettich Co., pipe for traffic signals, Dept. of Electricity (claim dated July 31, 1928) 577.21

Park Fund.

(66) Masten & Hurd, architectural services for addition to Kezar Stadium (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) $1,200.00
(67) The Texas Company, fuel oil for parks (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 526.82

Special School Tax.

(68) Woodstock Typewriter Co., 44 typewriters for Balboa High School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) $2,640.00

Boulevard Bonds.

(69) Eaton & Smith, fourth payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Section B, Contract 4 (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928) $12,000.00

(70) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, first payment, improvement of the Great Highway between Lincoln way and Sloat boulevard, Contract 8 (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 18,000.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(71) Hugh McGill, second payment, extension of the Guttenberg street sewer (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928)..... $3,000.00

(72) Frank J. McHugh, second payment, construction of sewer and appurtenances in Wawona street from Twenty-fifth to Thirty-fourth avenues (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928). 9,000.00

(73) Doherty Bros., one Fordson tractor, complete, for Municipal Airport (claim dated Sept. 4. 1928)..... 1,085.00

(74) Millbrae Dairy, labor and teams furnished for the Municipal Airport, Mills Field (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)... 1,531.00

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(75) Auditorium Fund, rental for Main and Larkin halls, August 2d to 11th, for account of American Hospital Association; for the Publicity and Advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... $4,772.50

Robinson Bequest Fund.

(76) John H. Thieler, Treasurer of the City and County, for purchase of bonds, Central Oakland Block, Inc., for benefit of Robinson Bequest Fund; authorized by Resolution No. 29529, New Series (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... $41,455.17

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Payments for Lands for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29624 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated; being payments for lands and release of damages to property required for and for account of the Bay Shore boulevard, to-wit:

(1) To Frances W. Crist and Wiley F. Crist, for all of Lot 6 in Block 5036, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per approval by Resolution No. 29559, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) $1,800.00

(2) To Fred Rizzoli, for portion of Lot 12 in Block 5017, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29559, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29 ,1928).. 5,150.00

(3) To Pacific Gas and Electric Co., for all of Lot 14 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29559, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 600.00

(4) To Burnett Building & Loan Association, for portion of Lot 2 in Block 6309, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29559, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 1,840.00

(5) To Peter McKenna, for release of damages caused by construction of Bay Shore boulevard, as approved by Resolution No. 29564, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928).. 1,446.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Payments for Lands for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29625 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned funds and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) To Higgins & Sons, Inc., for all of Lots 5, 14 and 15 in Block 5631, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, as approved by Resolution No. 29560 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bernal Heights boulevard (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) $600.00

Bernal Cut Bonds.

(2) To Rose Leddy, for all of Lot 5 in Block 6661, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, as approved by Resolution No. 29537 (New Series), and required for the opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated Aug. 25, 1928) $7,250.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Payments for Lands Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29626 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the Sunset Parkway, to-wit:

(1) To William J. Pahl, for Lot 15 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and as approved by Resolution No. 29561, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... $3,000.00

(2) To Laurence M. Childers and Flora A. Childers, for Lot 16 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29561, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 7,300.00

(3) To H. A. Hammond and Ruth A. Hammond, for Lot 18 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29561, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 7,100.00

(4) To Ellen H. Spanton, for Lot 29 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29561, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928)..... 3,000.00

(5) To W. M. Grady and Alice Grady, for Lot 31 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29561, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928) 4,500.00

(6) To Kate Carroll, for Lots 10 and 11 in Block 2520a, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29561, New Series (claim dated Aug. 29, 1928).. 3,500.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. 29627 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item 33.

(1) To defray cost of improving Thirtieth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, at City property..... $1,900.00

(2) To defray cost of improving Thirtieth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, at City property..... 1,882.20

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 53.

(3) For the construction of a water tank at the Laguna Honda Home (additional to $2400 by Resolution No. 29388, New Series) 550.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(4) For the purchase of equipment for the office of the Coroner—for pathological purposes 1,500.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Appropriation for Kezar Stadium Roadway.

Also, Resolution No. 29628 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $60,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the construction of the Kezar Stadium Roadway in Golden Gate Park, extending from Waller and Stanyan streets to Third avenue and Lincoln way.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Organization, Equipment, Etc., Adjustment School.

Also, Bill No. 8691, Ordinance No. 8193 (New Series), as follows:

Organizing and establishing and providing for the equipment and maintenance of an adjustment school in the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of furnishing to certain minors under the age of eighteen years, care, custody, education, training and adjustment to good citizenship, which shall be continuous and uninterrupted during the period that such minors shall remain in such school, and to provide for a governing board for such school, and for the manner of the appointment of the members thereof, and specifying their powers and duties, and providing for the support of said minors therein, all pursuant to the provisions of an Act of the Legislature of the State of California, approved May 23, 1927, and entitled, "An Act to authorize the creation of County Adjustment Schools for the care of minors under eighteen years of age; to provide for the maintenance and management of the same, and the making of commitments and transfer of said minors thereto, and the support of said minors therein."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That pursuant to the authority and provisions of an Act of the Legislature of the State of California, approved May 23, 1927, entitled, "An Act to authorize the creation of County Adjustment Schools for the care of minors under eighteen years of age; to provide for the maintenance and management of the same, and the making of commitments and transfer of said minors thereto, and the support of said minors therein," there is hereby organized and established in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, an adjustment school for the purpose of furnishing to certain minors under the age of eighteen years, in the manner prescribed in said Act above mentioned, care, custody, education, training and adjustment to good

citizenship, which shall be continuous and uninterrupted during the period that such minors shall remain in said school.

Section 2. That said school shall be organized and established by the City and County of San Francisco, without the aid of another county.

Section 3. The government and management of said Adjustment School shall be vested in a board of seven trustees, four of whom shall be members of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, and three of whom shall be members of the County Probation Committee of the Juvenile Court of the said City and County of San Francisco. That said trustees shall be chosen and appointed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by resolution of said board duly adopted by a majority of said board. The said trustees shall hold office for the term of six years, provided that the seven trustees first appointed as herein provided, shall so classify themselves by lot, that two shall hold office for two years, two for four years, and three for six years. That upon any of the said trustees appointed as aforesaid from said Board of Education ceasing to be members thereof, and upon any of said trustees appointed from said County Probation Committee ceasing to be members of said committee, said member or members shall ipso facto cease to be a member of said board of trustees of said Adjustment School. Whenever a vacancy shall exist on said board of trustees, either by expiration of term of office or otherwise, said vacancy shall be filled by said Board of Supervisors in the manner above specified, said appointment to be made so that the number of members of said board of trustees from said Board of Education and from said County Probation Committee shall remain as in this ordinance provided.

Section 4. That said Board of Trustees shall have all of the powers given to or provided for board of trustees of said Adjustment Schools by the aforesaid Act of the Legislature, and shall be subject to all of the responsibilities, and perform and discharge all of the duties, provided in said Act to be borne, performed or discharged by said trustees.

Section 5. That such male minors shall be received into said Adjustment School as are provided by said Act of the Legislature to be so received, and the manner of their reception therein and transfer or discharge therefrom shall be as provided in said Act. Provided further, that there may be received into said school, minors from other counties otherwise eligible for entrance thereto, upon such conditions and upon the payment of such per capita charges as may be fixed by the board of trustees, and the said board of trustees is hereby given the power to fix the conditions and per capita charges, upon which said minors from other counties will be received into said school.

Section 6. That no female minors shall be received into said Adjustment School.

Section 7. That the course of study for said minors who may be received into said Adjustment School shall be that provided by the common elementary and high schools of the State of California, and in addition thereto, there shall be provided such vocational and trade training as is provided by said Act of Legislature, and the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to organize said course of study and vocational training, and supply the necessary teachers and supervisors therefor, and make provision in its annual budget of an amount sufficient to defray the cost of said study and training and education.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Change of Zone—Southeast Corner of Filbert and Octavia Streets.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8673, Ordinance No. 8194 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Filbert and Octavia streets, for a distance of 25 feet on Octavia street and a distance of 80 feet on Filbert street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days' time thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Powers—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Amending Garage Ordinance—Dreamland Auditorium.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Bill No. 8692, Ordinance No. 8195 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, entitled "Regulating the construction of buildings used as public automobile garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in public and private automobile garages; repealing Ordinance No. 33 (New Series), approved July 16, 1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 746, the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. All buildings hereafter erected, altered or changed so as to be occupied as public garages for the purpose of storing automobiles shall be of class "A," "B" or "C" construction, except that public garages over one story in height shall be of class "A" or "B" construction in all parts, excepting that the roof and the partitions of such portions as are used exclusively for office purposes may be of frame construction. The flooring of the first floor shall be concrete, with a system of ventilation with openings to the outer air at floor line, not less than six (6) by eight (8) inches for each ventilator. There shall at all times be maintained in every such building used for the aforesaid purpose two (2) chemical fire extinguishers of not less than three (3) gallons each where the floor space is less than five hundred (500) square feet, and one (1) additional chemical fire extinguisher for every additional five hundred (500) square feet of floor space used for such purpose. On the main floor of all public garages there shall be not less than four (4) barrels of clean, dry sand, each barrel to contain an iron scoop.

No part of any building which is used as a hotel, rooming house,

lodging house shall be used as a public garage for the purpose of storing automobiles.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Andriano—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy.

Granting Permit for Automobile Supply Station, Northwest Corner San Bruno and Raymond Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. 29629 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northwest corner of San Bruno and Raymond avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, John F. Equi, Southeast Corner Twenty-fourth and Howard Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29630 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John F. Equi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southeast corner of Twenty-fourth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, Carl O. Ryden, Northeast Corner Twenty-fifth Avenue and Balboa Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29631 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Carl O. Ryden be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—14.

Noes—Supervisors Kent, Todd—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, T. L. Franklin, Northwest Corner Steuart and Mission Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29632 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. L. Franklin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northwest corner of Steuart and Mission streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit, Italo Petroleum Corporation, Seventeenth Avenue and Irving Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29633 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile supply station at the northwest corner of Seventeenth avenue and Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Automobile Parking Station Permit, H. J. Mallen et al., Taylor Street, South of Eddy Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29634 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. J. Mallen and G. McAuliffe be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 68 feet 9 inches south of Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Schmidt—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Oil Tank Permits.

Also, Resolution No. 29635 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted as follows:

Oil Tanks.

J. Angel, 793 Sixteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Miss M. Brockman, 2721 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cadillac Dairy Lunch, 98 Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cadillac Dairy Lunch, 158 Third street, 1500 gallons capacity.

David Coleman, south side Cabrillo street, 40 feet west of Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

David Coleman, south side Cabrillo street, 100 feet west of Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. A. Crothers, northwest corner Baker and Sacramento streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, west side Eleventh avenue, 250 feet south of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., northwest corner Thirtieth avenue and Wawona street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, south side Pacific avenue, 75 feet east of Laurel street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. L. Wyrick, 501 Junipero Serra boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Transfer Garage Permit, No. 166 Fourth Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29636 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mariano Prisinzano be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted W. L. Hogan, by Resolution No. 23177 (New Series), for premises on west side of Fourth street, north of Howard street, known as No. 166 Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over two weeks*:

Granting Associated Oil Company Supply Station, Twenty-fifth Avenue and Geary Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwesterly corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Police Permit Required—Second-Hand Business.

On recommendation of Police Committee.

Bill No. 8690, Ordinance No. 8196 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 4 and 6 and adding a new section, to be numbered Section 6-A, to Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled, "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Sections Nos. 4 and 6 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), the title to which is hereinabove recited, are hereby amended, and there is added thereto a new section, to be numbered 6-A, said amendment and new section to read as follows:

Section 4. Before any person shall engage in the business of dealing in any second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, he must make application to the Board of Police Commissioners for a permit therefor, which said Board may, by resolution, grant permission to said applicant to receive a license from the Tax Collector upon the payment of the license fee required; provided, however, that

said Board shall have the power to revoke said permission upon good cause being shown.

Section 6. The term "furniture and household goods," as used in this ordinance, shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings (except rugs), crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 6-A. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Condemnation of Land, Widening of Duboce Avenue.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 29637 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Lot 1 in Block "D", Park Hill Homestead Association No. 2, as recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 109, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, for the widening of Duboce avenue. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interest therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $74,887.14, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs.....$37.06
Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance..... 83.32

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following matters were *adopted*:

Plans and Estimates of Cost of Proposed Extension of Sewer System Under Bond Issue.

Resolution No. 29612 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit, the construction and extension of the sewer system in the City and County of San Francisco have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 11th day of September, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion of the following described permanent improvements, to-wit: The construction and extension of the sewer system in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market streets to Fifteenth and Harrison streets; College Hill tunnel from the Mission street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose avenue and Army street; Alemany boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission street to the vicinity of Revere avenue and Industrial street; Twenty-third avenue and Geary street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh avenue and Geary street to Twenty-third avenue and Lake street.

That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvements is the sum of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2200,000); that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the construction of the said described permanent improvements and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Plans and Estimates of Cost of Tuberculosis Preventorium, Health Farm and Cancer Institute Under Bond Issue.

Resolution No. 29613 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent public buildings and the acquisition of the necessary lands, equipment and furnishings to be used as hospitals and health centers by the people of the City and County of San Francisco have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual rev-

enue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 11th day of September, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition of necessary lands and the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, cancer institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward building and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of health centers in districts throughout San Francisco. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvements is the sum of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000); that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the acquisition and construction of the said described permanent improvements and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Plans and Estimates of Cost of Lands for Playgrounds Under Bond Issue.

Resolution No. 29614 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of the cost of permanent improvements, to-wit, the acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 11th day of September, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of permanent improvements, to-wit: The acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes; the locations of said playground sites are to be selected by the Playground Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, where, in the opinion of the Commission, the sites so selected

will best serve the needs of the children of San Francisco for recreational purposes. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvements is the sum of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000); that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the acquisition and development of the said described permanent improvements and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Plans and Estimates of Cost of Lands to Be Acquired for McLaren Park Under Bond Issue.

Resolution No. 29615 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of the cost of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco, known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 14th day of September, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings which are contiguous to and comprise portions of the Reis Tract, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, Paul Tract Homestead Association, University Mound Tract, University Homestead Association, Superior Homestead Association and other tracts, to comprise approximately five hundred and fifty (550) acres of land to be used for park purposes.

That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvement is the sum of two million dollars ($2,000,000); that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of said described permanent improvement and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of two million dollars ($2,000,000) no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of

a bonded debt to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Plans and Estimates of Cost of Completion and Equipment of Aquatic Park and Marina Park.

Resolution No. 29616 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of the cost of permanent improvements, to-wit, the completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park, and the purchase of lands for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue of other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 15th day of September, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of permanent improvements, to-wit: The completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park located within the City and County of San Francisco, adding to said park whatever equipment and construction are necessary to render it adequate for the purposes for which it is dedicated; and the acquisition of lands for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvements is the sum of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000); that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the acquisition, construction and completion of said described permanent improvements and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Plans and Estimates of Cost of Acquisition of Lands, Structures and Equipment for Airport Under Bond Issue.

Resolution No. 29617 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land situate in San Mateo county, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport, and all necessary hangars, machine shop, administration building, roads, runways, drainage facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of tractors, rollers and turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private pleas-

ure and sightseeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 12th day of September, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land situate in San Mateo county, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport, and all necessary hangars, machine shop, administration building, roads, runways, drainage facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of tractors, rollers and turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private pleasure and sightseeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvement is the sum of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000); that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to secure said described permanent improvement and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000), no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Plans and Estimates of Cost of Lands Required for Development of Civic Center Under Bond Issue.

Resolution No. 29618 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of the cost of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street, for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 12th day of September, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street, at the width said street now is, between Larkin street and Hyde street, to Market street, and the opening and projecting of

Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street, for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvement is the sum of five hundred thousand dollars ($500,000); that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the acquisition of the said described permanent improvement and to make the declaration herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of five hundred thousand dollars ($500,000) no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of five hundred thousand dollars ($500,000) for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

(Subsequently in the afternoon session the action on the foregoing resolution was rescinded. See later proceedings for corrected resolution.)

Plans and Estimates of Cost of Construction of Broadway Tunnel Under Bond Issue.

Resolution No. 29619 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, a tunnel to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 7th day of September, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A tunnel to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvement is the sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000); that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the construction of the said described permanent improvement and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars $1,500,000) no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other

funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Action Rescinded.

It being called to the attention of the Board that property owners were to be assessed for one-half the estimated cost of the Broadway Tunnel, Supervisor Kent moved that the foregoing action be rescinded.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Suhr—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Stanton—2.

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing was *temporarily postponed.*

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)	$810.00
(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)	927.36
(3) Sather Gate Book Shop, library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)	2,107.06
(4) Sather Gate Book Shop, library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)	1,944.48
(5) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)	2,582.35
(6) Macmillan Co., library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)	525.84

School Bonds, 1918.

(7) Overkamp Machinery Co., woodworking shop machinery for Portola School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)	$805.00

Special School Tax.

(8) The Bradford Machine Tool Co., lathes for Balboa School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)	$2,940.00
(9) C. F. Bulotti Machinery Co., lathe for Balboa School (claim dated Sept 4, 1928)	$1,229.35
(10) Harron, Rickard & McCone Co., lathe for Balboa School (claim dated Sept 4, 1928)	1,430.00
(11) Louis G. Henes, lathe for Balboa School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)	1,415.00
(12) Overkamp Machinery Co., lathe for Balboa School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)	1,148.00
(13) Royal Typewriter Co., typewriting machines for schools (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)	2,906.06
(14) L. C. Smith and Corona Typewriters Inc, typewriting machines for Balboa School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)	2,940.00

(15) The Pacific National Bank, for H. E. Stallman, milling machine for Balboa School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928).... 1,320.00
(16) John Reid Jr., fourth payment, architectural services for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 527.28
(17) A. Lettich, sixth payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)... 1,404.69
(18) American Type Founders Co., printing shop equipment for Everett Junior High School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) 2,256.29
(19) Miller Printing Machinery Co., printing machinery (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 831.50

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(20) Rudolph G. Theurkauf, for professional services and expense, per vouchers attached, for the installation of San Francisco exhibit at the Pacific Southwest Exposition, Long Beach, Cal., July 27 to Sept. 3, 1928 (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928) $6,496.83
(21) Rudolph G. Theurkauf, for professional services and expense, per vouchers attached, for installation of San Francisco exhibit at State Fair, Sacramento (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 1,400.00

Municipal Railway Fund.

(22) Market Street Railway Co., repairs to tracks of Municipal Railway at Ferry Loop (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928).... $754.35
(23) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for employees (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... 1,155.04

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(24) Azilda Quevillon, in full settlement of claim against the Municipal Railways for account of accident on or about June 1, 1927 (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... $4,250.00

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(25) Eaton & Smith, fifth payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)...$56,700.00
(26) Robert W. Jamison, fourth payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 1,800.00

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(27) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, month of August reserve for depreciation, per Charter requirements (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928).....$14,583.00
(28) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, equipment furnished and services rendered Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund for months of May, June and July, 1928 (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 2,259.51
(29) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) 501.09

Water Construction Fund, Issue 1910.

(30) Mary Lee Lawlor, payment for all of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 48 in Block 2895, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the San Miguel Reservoir (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... $1,000.00

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(31) American Painting and Decorating Co., extra painting work for the Portola Junior High School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... $515.00

County Road Fund.

(32) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928) $1,532.00
(33) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928) 1,085.36
(34) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, redressing of curbs used in reconstruction of streets during July (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928) 1,424.00
(35) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, redressing of curbs used in reconstruction of streets during July (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928) 1,010.00

Playground Fund.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928) $2,066.96
(37) C. C. W. and H. H. Haun, concrete and asphalt work at North Beach Playground (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928) 870.00
(38) A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928) 1,104.00

Park Fund.

(39) Montague Pipe and Steel Co., casing for park well (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) $521.50
(40) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for park construction (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 506.29
(41) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for parks (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 515.67

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(42) Juvenile Court, expense of Feeble-Minded Department for month of August (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) $539.02
(43) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) 1,010.69
(44) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline furnished Police Department (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) 1,244.86
(45) United Drayage and Warehouse Co., delivery and return of voting machines (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) 3,385.50
(46) Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Calendar and Journal (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928) 1,144.15
(47) The Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928) 972.00
(48) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for sewers (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928) 590.50
(49) Remillard Brick Co., brick for sewer repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928) 638.00
(50) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928) 502.25
(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 2,460.79
(52) Dr. L. M. Wilbor, Superintendent San Francisco Hospital, room allowances for hospital employees (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 3,500.00
(53) Tiedemann & McMorran, foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 1,980.80
(54) Smith, Lynden & Co., foodstuffs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 1,328.75
(55) California Meat Co., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 3,256.07
(56) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co, fruit and vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 1,247.19
(57) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 8,239.86
(58) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 1,120.78

(59) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 8,188.86
(60) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 732.89
(61) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 34,531.76
(62) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 3,725.65
(63) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 12,320.51
(64) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 1,395.68
(65) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 1,205.70
(66) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 880.77
(67) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 1,721.32
(68) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 2,797.18
(69) The Texas Company, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 2,242.04
(70) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 652.00
(71) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of the Civic Center (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928).. 843.54
(72) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for money expended for improvement of Yacht Harbor (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 3,733.04
(73) Sibley Grading and Teaming Co., hauling piles for Yacht Harbor improvement (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928).. 574.10

Transfer of Funds, Reimbursement for Labor and Material Used for Painting of Traffic Lanes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,046.86 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 57, to the credit of Board of Public Works, "Reimbursements," Budget Item No. 428-B; being reimbursement for labor and material furnished and used for painting of traffic lanes during the month of July, 1928.

Appropriations, Reimbursement for Repairs to Equipment.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter designated appropriations, Department of Public Works, to the credit of Appropriation 30-A, Budget Item No. 448, Board of Public Works; being reimbursement of Bureau of Stores and Yards for expenditures in connection with repair to equipment during month of August, 1928, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 515, Street Repair Dept.	$680.03
Budget Item No. 536, Street Cleaning Dept.	801.64
Budget Item No. 549, Sewer Repair Dept.	224.04
Budget Item No. 561, Auto Maintenance	55.13
Budget Item No. 490, Bureau of Engineering	64.12
Budget Item No. 270, Repair to Street Signs	318.38
	$2,143.34

Appropriation, Alterations to Playgrounds, Pacific Heights School.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School

Tax to defray the cost of alterations to the playground at the Pacific Heights School, north side of Jackson street between Fillmore and Webster streets, including contract awarded to F. C. Amoroso, and extras, incidentals and inspection.

Appropriation, Materials for Traffic Signals.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," Budget Item No. 57, for the purchase of materials for the construction of traffic signals, the construction of traffic signals and the installation of same; by the Department of Electricity.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Accepting Statement of City's Percentage of Gross Receipts of Market Street Railway Company.

Resolution No. 29638 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of July, 1928, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc.	$575.76
Gough Street Railroad	40.29
Parnassus Avenue, etc.	306.34

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Roadway and Drainage at Airport.

Bill No. 8709, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a runway and drainage at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, San Mateo County, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for said construction, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, which are hereby approved.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordering the construction of a runway and drainage at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, San Mateo County, California, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works; and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for said construction of runway and drainage, and to enter into contract for said construction of runway and drainage, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, which are hereby approved.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued one week*:

Auto Parking Station Permit, F. W. Williams, Sacramento Street West of Montgomery.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. W. Williams be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Sacramento street, 68 feet 9 inches west of Montgomery street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. W. Williams be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Sacramento street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Montgomery street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Auto Supply Station Permit Transfer, R. Bianchi, Third Street South of Gilman Avenue.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joseph Devencenzi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted R. Bianchi by Resolution No. 26213 (New Series) for premises on west side of Third street, 52 feet south of Gilman avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit Transfer, E. T. Benney, 445 Fillmore Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. T. Benney be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Dave Caro for premises at 445 Fillmore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Revocation of Blasting Permit, Fourteenth Avenue Between Rivera and Santiago Streets.

Resolution No. 29639 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted J. P. Holland to explode blasts on private property situate on the east side of Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets by Resolution No. 29119 (New Series), approved June 14, 1928, be and the same is hereby revoked.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 102381 (Second Series), recommend the approval of Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approves said Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets.

Traffic Law Amendments.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly on Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Stockton street.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 2. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows.

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection:

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Section 36 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the Central Traffic District or in any business district during any hour of any day;

(2) In any loading zone between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day:

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day;

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day;

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of any day;

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to

Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public buildings between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays;

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business;

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom at any hour of any day.

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand, provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance, provided that in the Central Traffic District or in any business district, as herein defined, a curb space not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordinance Regulating Parades and Processions.

Also, Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along, or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four (24) hours previous to its forming or marching and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police. Provided, however, that no parade or procession shall occupy or march upon any street in the Central Traffic District unless special permit in writing has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Any person who, upon making application, has been denied such written permit may refer such application to the "Committee on Parades" for further consideration and action.

Section 4. The Police Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to act as a "Committee on Parades" for the purposes of this ordinance.

Said Committee is hereby given the right and it shall be its duty to consider and act upon any application for a permit, provided for in Section 3 hereof, that has been referred to it in accordance with

the provisions of said section. The findings of such Committee shall be binding upon the Chief of Police.

Section 5. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges and the apparatus of the Fire Department, responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department and Fire Marshal and auxiliary fire apparatus, belonging to any person, firm or corporation when such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment and the United States mail wagons shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 6. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession are within one (1) block of said crossings and the intersections and said line shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 7. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert said parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over and along the nearest cross street from such fire, accident or emergency and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 9. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity Committee.

Resolution No. 29640 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby authorized and requested to remove, change and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas During Reconstruction.

East and west sides Eureka street, north of Twenty-second street.
Northwest corner Twenty-first street and Eureka street.
East and west sides of Eureka street, north of Twenty-first street.
East and west sides Eureka street, north of Twentieth street.
West side Noe street, first and second south of Nineteenth street.
East side Noe street, first south of Nineteenth street.
West side Sixth street, first and second south of Harrison street.
East side Sixth street, first and second south of Harrison street.
Northwest and southeast corners Sixth and Bryant streets.
West side Sixth street, first and second south of Bryant street.
East side Sixth street, first and second south of Bryant street.

Northwest and southeast corners Sixth and Brannan streets.
East and west sides Sixth street, south of Brannan street.
Duboce avenue, west of Divisadero street.
Southeast and northwest corners Duboce avenue and Alpine street.
East and west sides of Wayne place.
Leroy place off Sacramento street.
North side Bryant street, first, second and third west of Sixth street.
South side Bryant street, first and second west of Sixth street.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Post street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.
Post street between Steiner and Pierce streets.
Northeast corner Steiner and Post streets.
Northeast corner Post and Pierce streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Steiner street between Post and Sutter streets.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Wayne place between Pacific street and Broadway.
Leroy place off Sacramento street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Noe street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.
Eureka and Twenty-first streets.
Eureka street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets.
East side Eureka street, north of Twenty-first street.
Eureka street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets.
Eureka street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets.
Folsom and Beale streets.
Duboce avenue west of Castro and Divisadero streets.
Duboce avenue and Divisadero street and Alpine street.
North side of Folsom street between Beale and Fremont streets.
Pine street between Steiner and Pierce streets.
Bernard street between Taylor and Jones streets.
Marston avenue between Detroit and Edna streets.
Paris street between Italy and Amazon avenues.
Munich street between Russia and France streets.
Avalon street between Athens and Moscow streets.
Seneca avenue between Delano and Otsego streets.
Nineteenth street between Douglass and Seward streets.
Seventh and Bryant streets.
North and south sides Bryant street between Sixth and Seventh streets.
Bryant street and Boardman place.
Army street between Noe and Castro streets.
Fortieth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.
Seventeenth avenue and Vicente street.
Eighteenth avenue and Vicente street.
Eighteenth avenue between Vicente and Ulloa streets.
Natoma street between Fifth and Sixth streets.
Minna street between Fifth and Sixth streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. R.*

West side Sixth street, second and fourth pole between Harrison and Bryant streets.
East side Sixth street, third and fifth poles between Harrison and Bryant streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Sixth and Bryant streets.
Sixth and Bluxome streets.
Sixth street between Brannan and Bluxome streets.
Sixth street between Bluxome and Townsend streets.
Sixth and Townsend streets.

East and west sides of Stockton street between Green and Union streets.

East and west sides Stockton street between Union and Filbert streets.

East and west sides Stockton street between Filbert and Greenwich streets.

East and west sides Stockton street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Stockton and Union streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Stockton and Filbert streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Stockton and Greenwich streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Stockton and Lombard streets.

East and west sides Steiner street between Post and Sutter streets.

North and south sides Post street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Post and Steiner streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Post and Pierce streets.

North and south sides Post street between Steiner and Pierce streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Northwest corner Arguello boulevard and Fulton street.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Sixth street between Bluxome and Townsend streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Award of Contract, Forage and Farinaceous Products.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 29641 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted September 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 408), as follows, to-wit: For furnishing forage and farinaceous products as same may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing October 1, 1928, and ending September 30, 1929:

Forage.

Item No. 401—Barley, rolled, $45.50 per ton; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 402—Bran, wheat, in 60-pound sacks, $36 per ton; Sperry Flour Co.

Item No. 404—Corn, medium, $2.70 per 100 pounds; Sperry Flour Co.

Item No. 405a—Hay, alfalfa, $28.25 per ton; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 405b—Hay, oat, tame, $28.25 per ton; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 405c—Hay, wheat, $28 per ton; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 406—Middlings, wheat, in 90-pound sacks, $50 per ton; Sperry Flour Co.

Item No. 408—Oats, feed, crushed, $2.75 per 100 pounds; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 409—Straw, barley, 90 cents per 100 pounds; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 410—Wheat, recleaned, $2.50 per 100 pounds; Sperry Flour Co.

Farinaceous Products.

Item No. 60—Barley, pearl, $.0575 per lb.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 62—Cornmeal, $.0275 per lb.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 63—Cracker meal, $.0715 per lb.; American Biscuit Co.

Item No. 64—Crackers, soda, $.0871 per lb.; American Biscuit Co.

Item No. 65—Farina, $.04 per lb.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 66—Flour, wheat, "Zenith", Vallejo, $6.35 per bbl.; Sperry Flour Co.

Item No. 67—Flour, wheat, pastry, "Blue Bird", $6.10 per bbl.; Monarch Flour Co.

Item No. 68—Flour, rye, $.0305 per lb.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 69—Hominy, coarse, $.031 per lb.; Albers Bros Milling Co.

Item No. 70—Oatmeal, steel cut, $.04 per lb.; Albers Bros Milling Co.

Item No. 71—Oats, cereal, rolled, $.0361 per lb.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 72—Peas, split, $.065 per lb.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 73—Rice; no award.

Item No. 74a—Tapioca, pearl, $.055 per lb.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 74b—Tapioca, sago size, $.053 per lb.; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 75—Wheat, rolled, $.03 per lb.; Albers Bros Milling Co.

Resolved, That bonds for faithful performance of contract be required as follows, viz.: Albers Bros. Milling Co., bond $500; Berringer & Russell, bond $2,000; American Biscuit Co., none; Monarch Flour Co., none; Sperry Flour Co., bond $2,000.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Award of Contract, Motor Trucks.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Fageol Motor Sales Company on bid submitted July 9, 1928 (Proposal No. 395), for furnishing the following, viz.: 12 motor trucks with dump bodies, 5-ton, for the sum of $54,864.

Delivery: First truck in 25 days, then one truck every second day thereafter.

The conditions of said delivery are more fully set forth as follows (quoting from the specifications), viz.:

Time of Delivery: The delivery of the trucks called for herein at the earliest possible date, will be of material advantage to the City and County of San Francisco. For this reason each bidder will be required to submit as a part of his bid the number of days following the date on which the contract is executed within which he undertakes to deliver said trucks.

Bonus for Delivery Before Time Specified: In order to compensate the contractor hereunder for the additional expense which it will be necessary to incur in order to deliver said trucks before the date fixed for delivery, the City and County will pay to the contractor a bonus of $10 per day for each and every calendar day that each truck is delivered before the date fixed for the delivery thereof.

Liquidated Damages: Any delay beyond the date fixed for delivery will be of material disadvantage to the City and County. Should the delivery of said trucks or any of them be delayed beyond the date fixed for the delivery thereof, the sum of ten dollars ($10) shall be deducted from the price named in the contract for each and every calendar day that the delivery of each truck is delayed beyond the date fixed for the delivery thereof.

It is further understood and agreed that said sum shall not be considered as a penalty but as liquidated damages which it is agreed upon, fixed, determined and understood by the parties of this contract, the City will suffer by reason of the failure of contractor to make delivery hereunder within the period fixed for such delivery.

Any money due or to become due the contractor may be forfeited to cover the liquidated damages hereinbefore named, and should such money not be sufficient to cover such damages, the City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to recover the balance from the contractor or his sureties.

The filing of any bid for the work herein contemplated shall be taken and understood to mean that the contractor filing the bid understands, agrees and has ascertained that the City will actually suffer damages to the amount of ten dollars ($10) per day for each and every day during which the delivery of each truck shall be delayed beyond the expiration of the time fixed for such delivery.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $5,000 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Amendment.

Supervisor Shannon moved to amend the resolution, third line, by striking out 12 motor trucks, etc., $54,864, and inserting in lieu thereof "8 motor trucks, etc., $36,576."

Amendment *carried.*

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, amended as follows, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 29642 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Fageol Motor Sales Company on bid submitted July 9, 1928 (Proposal No. 395), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Eight motor trucks with dump bodies, 5-ton, for the sum of $36,576.

Delivery: First truck in 25 days, then one truck every second day thereafter. The conditions of said delivery are more fully set forth as follows (quoting from the specifications), viz.:

Time of Delivery: The delivery of the trucks called for herein at the earliest possible date will be of material advantage to the City and County of San Francisco. For this reason each bidder will be required to submit as a part of his bid the number of days following the date on which the contract is executed within which he undertakes to deliver said trucks.

Bonus for Delivery Before Time Specified: In order to compensate the contractor hereunder for the additional expense which it will be necessary to incur in order to deliver said trucks before the date fixed for delivery, the City and County will pay to the contractor a bonus of $10 per day for each and ever calendar day that each truck is delivered before the date fixed for the delivery thereof.

Liquidated Damages: Any delay beyond the date fixed for delivery will be of material disadvantage to the City and County. Should the delivery of said trucks or any of them be delayed beyond the date fixed for the delivery thereof, the sum of ten dollars ($10) shall be deducted from the price named in the contract for each and every calendar day that the delivery of each truck is delayed beyond the date fixed for the delivery thereof.

It is further understood and agreed that said sum shall not be considered as a penalty, but as liquidated damages which it is agreed upon, fixed, determined and understood by the parties of this contract, the City will suffer by reason of the failure of contractor to make delivery hereunder within the period fixed for such delivery.

Any money due or to become due the contractor may be forfeited to cover the liquidated damages hereinbefore named, and should such money not be sufficient to cover such damages, the City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to recover the balance from the contractor or his sureties.

The filing of any bid for the work herein contemplated shall be taken and understood to mean that the contractor filing the bid understands, agrees and has ascertained that the City will actually suffer damages

to the amount of ten dollars ($10) per day for each and every day during which the delivery of each truck shall be delayed beyond the expiration of the time fixed for such delivery.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $5,000 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Relative to Organization of Joint Highway District No. 10.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Sacramento, September 15, 1928.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: This will acknowledge receipt of your favor of the 14th inst., together with a certified copy of the resolution giving final approval to the formation of a Joint Highway District composed of the counties of San Francisco and San Mateo. This copy was filed today in this office.

In accordance with Section 7 of the Act providing for the organization of such districts this new district should be known as "Joint Highway District No. 10 of the State of California."

Very truly yours,
ROBERT V. JORDAN,
Deputy Secretary of State.

Supervisors A. J. Gallagher and Alfred Roncovieri Appointed Directors.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29643 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisors Andrew J. Gallagher and Alfred Roncovieri be and are hereby appointed as directors of Joint Highway District No. 10 to represent the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

National Jewish Welfare Drive Endorsed.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the Board of Supervisors give its endorsement to the "drive" about to be made for the National Jewish Welfare Fund.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Order of Consideration, Proposed Charter Amendments.

Supervisor Marks moved that the Board proceed to consider Charter amendments immediately after recess and that each matter be considered seriatim as reported by the Judiciary Committee.

Motion *carried*.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:45 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following supervisors were noted present:
Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.
Quorum present.
His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENTS

Motion.

Supervisor Marks: Mr. Chairman, I move you that the Board proceed to the consideration of Charter Amendments, and that in doing so, the amendments be considered by the Board in the order in which they appear in the report of the Judiciary Committee; that following the action of the Board upon the submission of Charter Amendments, the Board then pass a blanket resolution prescribing the order in which these amendments which we favorably act upon should be presented to the Registrar, with the request that they appear in that order upon the ballot in November.
Supervisor Hayden: I second the motion.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION.

The following title and Section 1, Article XII-A was read and explained by Supervisor Marks, chairman of the Judiciary Committee:
Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new article thereto to be designated as Article XII-A, relating to the operation, maintenance, control and construction of municipally-owned public utilities.
The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:
That a new article be added to said Charter, to be designated Article XII-A, to read as follows:

ARTICLE XII-A.

Utilities Commission.

Section 1. The construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties used, owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the City and County of San Francisco, as well as all extensions, additions and betterments thereto, for the purpose of supplying to the said City and County and to its residents and inhabitants, and to territory outside the limits of said City and County and to the residents and inhabitants thereof, with water, light, heat, power or transportation shall be exclusively vested in a Utility Commission of three members, anything in this Charter to the contrary notwithstanding. The Commission shall have power to make all rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions of this article which it deems necessary or proper for the conduct of its business and the regulation of the matters herein committed to its charge. The Commission shall observe all City and County ordinances, and the regulation of the Department of Public Works relative to utility openings, structures and poles in streets and other public places, as well as all

ordinances and regulations relative to barricades, construction lights, refilling excavations and replacing and maintaining street pavements; and in connection with all of such matters, the said Commission shall be subject to the same inspection rules and pay fees to the proper department in the same manner and at the same rates as any private person or corporation.

Amendment.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, the words "Utilities Commission", wherever it appears, was amended to read "Public Utilities Commission."

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Marks, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, the foregoing, as amended, was *approved without objection.*

Appointment and Compensation.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 2. Members of the Utility Commission shall be appointed by the Mayor and said appointment shall be confirmed by the Board of Supervisors as herein provided, and may be removed from office in the same manner as elective officers of the City and County, or may be recalled as provided in this article. Each member of the Commission must be at the time of his appointment an elector of the City and County and must have been such for at least five years next preceding his appointment.

The terms of members of the Commission shall be six years from and after the date of their respective appointments, provided that the persons first appointed as members of said Commission shall by lot classify their respective terms of office so that the term of one of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1931, and that the term of another of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1933, and that the term of the third of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1935, and upon the expiration of the term of each of said Commissioners the Mayor shall appoint his successor to serve for the full term of six years from the said first Monday after the first day of January of said year in which said appointment is made. Vacancies occurring on said Commission from any cause shall be filled by appointment to be made in the same manner as are full-term appointments, provided that those appointed to fill a vacancy on said Commission shall serve only for the unexpired term of the person whom they succeed.

Appointments shall be made as follows: Within fifteen days after this amendment becomes effective, the Mayor shall appoint the three members of said Commission, and shall at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors held next after making said appointment submit in writing to the said Board the names of the persons appointed to said Commission, and the said Board shall by a vote of a majority of all of its members at a meeting of said Board to be held within thirty days thereafter, by resolution, confirm or reject the appointment of any or all of said persons so appointed. Should said appointment or appointments be confirmed as aforesaid by said Board of Supervisors, said person or persons so confirmed shall become a member or members of said Commission for the respective term for which they may have been appointed, but should said persons, or any of them, be rejected by said Board, the said Board shall cause written notice of its action to be given to the Mayor, who shall, within fifteen days thereafter, make a new appointment or appointments in the place or places of the one or ones failing to receive the confirmation of said Board of Supervisors, and in writing certify said appointment or appointments to said Board, and said Board shall either confirm or reject said appointment or appointments as hereinbefore set forth. That in filling any

vacancy occurring on said Commission either by resignation, expiration of term, or otherwise, the same procedure shall be had by the Mayor and by the Board of Supervisors as in the case of original appointment. That should the said Board of Supervisors fail or neglect, for a period of fifteen days after receiving written notice from the Mayor of any appointment or appointments to said Commission, to reject said appointment or appointments, the said failure shall be deemed to be a confirmation of said appointment or appointments. Any person appointed to said Commission whose appointment shall be rejected by said Board of Supervisors shall not be eligible for appointment to said Commission for one year after said rejection.

Any member of the Commission may be recalled at a special election called for the purpose of voting on such recall, in the following manner: When a petition for the recall of such member, containing a statement of the grounds on which such recall is sought, shall be signed by registered voters equal in number to at least fifteen per cent of the entire vote cast at the last preceding general municipal election, such petition shall be filed with the Board of Election Commissioners. Such petition, and the verification and reporting of sufficiency or insufficiency thereof, shall be in accordance with Sections 2 and 3 of Chapter 3 of Article XI of this Charter, and the election shall be called as provided in Sections 3 and 4 of Chapter 5 of Article XI of this Charter and the General Election Laws of the State of California; provided, however, that no recall petition may be filed against a member of the Commission until he has actually held his office for at least four months; and provided further, that the question to be submitted to the voters shall be restricted to "Shall (name) be removed from the Utility Commission for (stated cause or causes)?" with provision for voting affirmatively or negatively thereon, the ballot to be in accordance with Section 6 of Chapter V of Article XI of this Charter; and provided further, that if a majority of the qualified electors voting on the question shall vote in favor of such recall, the office of such Commissioner shall be declared vacant, and the successor thereto shall be appointed by the Mayor and confirmed or rejected by the Board of Supervisors as in this section provided; and provided further, that if a majority of the qualified electors voting on the question shall vote negatively on such recall, such Commissioner shall be reimbursed for his election expenses as provided by Section 9, Chapter V of Article XI of this Charter.

No person who has been recalled or who has resigned from the office of Utility Commissioner while recall proceedings were pending against him shall be appointed to any office within two years after such recall or resignation.

The compensation of members of said Commission shall be twenty dollars for each meeting of the Commission, or of a committee thereof actually attended by said members, provided that the aggregate amount paid to any member for his service as a member of said Commission shall not exceed two thousand dollars per year. Each member of the Commission shall furnish and file an official bond in the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars, duly conditioned as in this Charter elsewhere provided. The premium on said bonds so furnished as well as the compensation to be paid to the members of said Commission shall be paid out of public utility revenues.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, Section 2, paragraph 3, at end of line 9 the words "30 days" was amended to read "15 days."

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton moved "that the City Attorney be instructed to forthwith prepare an amendment to Section 2 of the proposed Charter Amendment providing for an elective commission at the time when a

special election shall be called for the election of the members of said Commission."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McGovern, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section, as amended, was *approved.*

Organization and Meetings.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 3. Immediately after it is first constituted and thereafter on the first Monday after the first day of January of each year, the Utility Commission shall meet for the purpose of organization. At such meeting the Commission shall elect one of its members as president, who shall hold such office for the ensuing year and until the election of his successor. The Commission shall thereafter hold regular weekly public meetings at a designated time and place. The Commission shall adopt its own rules of procedure and shall keep a record of its proceedings and the name of each member attending each meeting held. A majority of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. The Commission may appoint a secretary, subject to the civil service provisions of this Charter, and shall prescribe the duties of such secretary and fix his compensation. Special meetings may be called by the president or by two members of the Commission on twelve hours' written notice, which shall be served personally on each member or left at his usual place of residence, which notice shall state the subjects to be considered at the special meeting and no other subjects shall be there considered.

Amendment.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, the words "Utility Commission" were amended to read "Public Utilities Commission."

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section, as amended, was *approved without objection.*

Subordinates, Assistants and Employees, and Their Compensation.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 4-a. The Commission shall appoint a Manager of Utilities, who shall be the chief executive and administrative official of the Commission, and who shall hold office at the pleasure of the Commission. Each separate utility under the control of the Commission shall be designated as a department, and in addition the Commission may create a Bureau of Engineering, a Bureau of Public Relations and such other bureaus as it deems necessary for the handling of matters that do not pertain exclusively to any one utility department. The manager, subject to the ratification of the Commission, shall have the power of appointment and dismissal of the heads of such departments and bureaus. The Manager of Utilities and the heads of each separate department and of the Bureau of Engineering need not, at the date of appointment, be a resident of the City and County of San Francisco, and said manager, as well as such department heads and the heads of the Bureaus of Engineering and of Public Relations shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter. The Manager of Utilities shall, subject to the control of the Commission, have the

management of all public utilities operated, owned or controlled by the City and County, and each bureau or department head shall, subject to the control of the manager, have sole executive control of his department or bureau.

b. The Commission may also, subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter, appoint an auditor and prescribe his duties; provided that nothing herein contained shall be construed as abrogating or interfering with the authority and control under the Charter of the City Auditor and City Treasurer over the receipt and disbursement of public funds which may come under the Commission's jurisdiction; provided, further, that all demands on funds in the City and County Treasury which are placed under the jurisdiction of the Utility Commission by the provisions of this article shall require the approval of the Commission, and the Manager, which shall be evidenced by the signatures of its secretary and auditor, or of such other officials of each utility as the Commission may designate before being allowed by the City and County Auditor and paid by the Treasurer.

c. The Commission shall appoint such other employees, subordinates and assistants as may be necessary for the proper conduct of the affairs and properties hereby committed to said Commission, and all of such appointments shall be made in conformity with, and the appointees shall be entitled to the benefit of the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter, except as in this article specifically excepted. All employees of the Board of Public Works who are engaged in public utility work at the time this amendment becomes effective shall be taken over by the Commission, under the classification now held by them, or under classification equivalent thereto, and all employees employed in the operating service of any public utility which may be or may have been acquired by the City and County and who have been continuously employed for one year in such operating service shall be considered to have been appointed to such positions under the provisions of Article XIII of this Charter, and shall be entitled to all civil service rights therein provided.

Provided, that employees engaged in construction work outside of the limits of the City shall be exempt from civil service regulations, and all employees whose duties are performed outside of the limits of the City and County shall not be subject to the Charter provisions regarding residence within said City and County, except that all provisions relating to conditions of employment and preference for employment shall be observed in all cases as prescribed by the provisions of Section 1, of Chapter III, of Article II, of this Charter; and provided further, that said civil service provisions of the Charter shall not apply to persons employed for temporary service in positions requiring high technical skill.

d. The salaries, wages or other compensation of all persons employed by the Commission or engaged in work under its direction shall be fixed by the Commission, and the Commission may also fix and determine working conditions of said employees, provided that all regulations provided by law and by this Charter relative to hours of labor shall apply to all employees of said Commission, and provided further, that no compensation to any of said employees shall be less than is paid in the case of similar employments in the service of the City and County.

e. The Commission may require a bond in such amount as it shall deem proper, from any employee, which said bond shall be duly conditioned for the faithful performance of the duties of such employee, and the Commission may provide that the premium on said bond be paid out of the revenues of the particular utility in which said employee is serving, and where said employee is rendering service to more than one utility, the cost of said bond shall be equitably prorated among the utilities receiving the benefit of said service.

Amendment.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, the words "Utility Commission" were amended to read: "Public Utilities Commission."

On motion of Supervisor Marks, the words "immediately prior to such acquisition" were inserted after the words "one year" in the thirteenth line; and the words "and County" after the word "City" in the eighteenth line of Subdivision (c).

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton moved that the following language be stricken: "The Manager of Utilities and the heads of each separate department and of the Bureau of Engineering, need not, at the date of appointment be a resident of the City and County of San Francisco."

Supervisor Powers: I second the motion.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—8.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—9.

Absent—Supervisor—Deasy—1.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section, as amended, was *approved without objection.*

Powers and Duties of Managers and Department Heads.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 5-a. The Manager of Utilities shall possess the necessary executive, administrative and technical qualifications and shall have full power to administer the affairs of his department as chief executive officer, and may, with the consent of the Commission, act as the head of any department or bureau created by the Commission. He shall, when possible, attend all meetings of the Commission, and shall at least once in each month, and more frequently if required by the Commission, make a report in writing to the Commission of all matters connected with the work of the Commission. He shall execute all orders of the Commission when directed to do so and supervise the work of all departments and bureaus under the jurisdiction of the Commission. He may suspend any employee of the Commission, save the secretary and auditor, for cause or for disciplinary purposes, and shall report said suspension and the reasons therefor to the Commission at its meeting immediately following said suspension, and said Commission may, on the report of said manager, order that charges be filed against said person so suspended, or may continue said suspension in force for a period of not more than thirty days from the date thereof, for disciplinary purposes, and said order as to said suspension shall be final. Should charges be ordered filed against said suspended employee, the said manager shall file the same, and the same shall be heard and determined by the Commission, after reasonable opportunity to the accused to be heard, and all of Article XIII of the Charter relative to trials and appeals shall apply to said hearing.

b. Department and bureau heads shall possess the necessary executive and technical qualifications to qualify them for their respective departments or bureaus, and shall conduct the affairs of their respective bureaus or departments under the order and direction of the Manager of Utilities, and shall, as often as required by said Manager, or by the Commission, make reports in writing to said Manager or to the Commission on all matters pertaining to said departments.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section was *approved without objection.*

Legal Department.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 6. The City Attorney shall be the legal adviser of the Commission and shall render such legal advice and services as the Commission may deem necessary, and shall prosecute and defend, as the Commission may require, any and all actions and proceedings involving matters under its jurisdiction. He shall have authority, with the prior approval of the Commission, to compromise, settle or dismiss any litigation or proceedings which may be pending for, or on behalf, or against said Commission relative to any matter or property under its jurisdiction.

He shall appoint and detail to the Commission such attorneys as the Commission may deem necessary to conduct its legal work, and the compensation of said attorneys so detailed shall be fixed by the Commission, and shall be paid from the revenues of the utilities under its jurisdiction. Said attorneys, when so appointed, shall be deputies of the City Attorney, and shall be in addition to the deputies and assistants now allowed to him by Charter or by ordinance.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section was *approved without objection.*

Purchasers and Contracts.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 7. The Commission shall have power to contract for work, supplies, materials or equipment when the cost of these can be met from the revenues or reserves of the utility requiring same, or from other moneys appropriated for the use of such utility by the Board of Supervisors or from the proceeds of bonds authorized for such utility. The Manager of Utilities shall have the power to make requisition for or order supplies, material or equipment required for the operation or maintenance of any utility under the control of the Commission; and, on the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, the Commission may, by resolution, delegate such power with such restrictions as it may deem necessary, to a duly authorized subordinate of the manager, or to the directing head of each utility.

The manager, subject to the ratification of the Commission, may appoint or dismiss a purchasing agent, who shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of this Charter. All materials, supplies and equipment shall be purchased under contract; provided, however, that all supplies and material other than equipment, required in the operation of any utility or bureau of the Commission for use within the limits of the City and County, that are covered by the general supply contracts of the City and County, as awarded through the City and County Purchaser of Supplies, shall be purchased from such contractors; except, however, and provided further, that upon the certification of the head of a utility that the necessities of construction or operation so require, and with the approval of the Manager of Utilities, the materials, supplies or equipment required may be purchased in the open market at the lowest price obtainable. The Commission, on request, shall advise the general purchaser of supplies of the City and County government of the kind and quantity of its probable future needs for materials and supplies to be used in utility operation within the City and County and for record purposes shall file copies of all purchase orders with such purchaser of supplies.

The Commission, on the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, may authorize the sale or transfer of any materials, supplies or equipment under its control when no longer used or useful for its purposes, and the proceeds of said sale or transfer shall be placed to the credit of the utility controlling the same.

All construction work shall be done by public contract unless the Commission, upon recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, shall determine that the work in question can be more advantageously carried on by the Commission's employees.

The Commission may prescribe rules of procedure which it shall follow in letting contracts for the purchase of materials, supplies and equipment and for construction work and amend the same from time to time as it may deem proper; provided, that such rules shall provide for not less than five days' public advertisement, for sealed bids on such contracts, for the award of contracts to the lowest responsible bidder, and shall require of all contractors adequate surety bonds in an amount to be fixed by the Commission in each case for the protection of the City and County in the performance of such contracts and for the protection of all persons, firms or corporations furnishing labor or materials in connection with the same. Suitable provision may also be made for the rejection of all bids and readvertisement and for the rejection of the proposal of any bidder who in the opinion of the Commission is not competent to fulfill the contract if awarded. All contracts shall be signed by the president or acting president and secretary or acting secretary of the Commission.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section was *approved.* Supervisor Stanton voting *no.*

Additions, Betterments and Extensions.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 8. The Commission shall locate and determine the character and type of all construction and additions, betterments and extensions to utilities under its control, and shall determine the policy for such construction or the making of such additions, betterments and extensions out of public funds under its jurisdiction; provided, that in each such case it shall secure the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, which recommendation shall be presented in writing and shall include analyses of cost, service and estimated revenues of all proposed or feasible alternatives in cases where it is deemed that such alternatives exist.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section was *approved without objection.*

Accounts, Revenues and Expenditures.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 9. Proper accounts shall be maintained, separately for each utility under the control of the Commission, in such manner as to show the true and complete financial results of ownership, management and operation. Such accounts shall be so kept as to show the actual cost of each such public utility; all costs of maintenance, extension and improvement; all operating expenses of every description; the general expenses of the Commission and bureaus thereof appointed to such utility; the amounts paid or set aside for depreciation, insurance, interest and sinking fund; and also estimates of the amount of taxes that would be chargeable against such property and the revenue thereof if owned by a private corporation. Monthly statements shall be submitted to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors by the Utility Com-

mission not later than the fifteenth day of the succeeding month, and annual reports shall be submitted to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors not later than three months following the close of the fiscal year, and shall be printed for public distribution. Such monthly and annual reports shall show receipts and expenditures for each utility, the general expenses of the Commission and bureaus thereof, and such additional information as the Commission may desire or the Supervisors require to show the details and results of the Commission's operation and management.

Accounts shall be maintained in accordance with forms and requirements of the State Railroad Commission for public utilities engaged in like character of service, in so far as these shall be public owned and operated utilities. The accounts of each utility shall be examined annually by a certified public accountant, who shall be appointed by and report to the Supervisors the result of such examination, and whose fees shall be paid out of utility funds. The salaries and general expenses of the Commission or bureaus thereof, not chargeable to a specific utility, shall be apportioned fairly among the utilities under the control of the Commission in such manner as the Commission may deem proper, and such appointments shall be shown as expenses of such utilities.

Amendment.

On motion of Supervisor Marks. the words "Utility Commission" were amended to read "Public Utilities Commission"; the word "appointed," in the seventh line, was corrected to "apportioned"; the words "applicable to" were inserted after the words "shall be," in the twenty-third line, and the word "appointments," in the last line of the foregoing section, was corrected to "apportionments."

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section as amended and corrected was *approved without objection.*

Budget and Appropriations.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 10. The Manager of Utilities shall, not later than April 15th of each year, file with the Utility Commission a budget estimate for the ensuing fiscal year, of anticipated revenues and needed appropriations, separately for each utility department and bureau under the control of the Commission. These shall be submitted in such detail as to furnish a basis and guide for accounting and expenditure control during the succeeding fiscal year. The Commission may modify such budget estimates in any manner that it may deem proper, and shall, by public advertisement, give all interested persons an opportunity to be heard at one or more meetings of the Commission with reference to the amounts and purposes of expenditure to be included in such budget. Upon completion of said hearings the Commission shall by resolution adopt a budget for each utility department and bureau under its jurisdiction and transmit copies thereof to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors and the City and County Auditor. The budgets so adopted shall govern the expenditures for the fiscal year covered thereby, and any additions thereto or changes therein shall require the unanimous vote of the Commission and shall be reported to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors and the City and County Auditor. Under no circumstances shall the budget of any utility, including a proper proportion of the expenses of the Commission and bureaus thereof, include a total of items of expenditure and reserves in excess of the estimated revenues to be derived from said utility during the succeeding fiscal year, except as authorized by Section 15 of this article; provided, also, that this limitation on expenditures shall not apply to expenditures which may be lawfully paid out of the proceeds of bond sales or accumulated surpluses or reserves under the Commission's jurisdiction. The funds

of one utility shall not be diverted to any other utility. The Commission may, by resolution approved by vote of all its members, adopt, and, having adopted, may amend by adding thereto a program for the accumulation of a surplus for each utility, to cover a period not exceeding ten years, for the purpose of financing extensions and additions to such utility out of said surplus, the annual increment to which shall not exceed ten per cent of the gross operating revenue of such utility in any fiscal year. When such a program is so adopted or added to the Commission shall notify the Board of Supervisors thereof. Funds set aside for such purpose shall be carried as reserves in the accounts of the Commission and appropriations shall be made to such reserve accounts from time to time to meet the contemplated expenditures under such program, and no part of such accumulated funds shall be used for any purpose other than that provided for in said program except on the recommendation of the Commission, expressed by resolution, and the approval of the Board of Supervisors expressed by ordinance authorizing such other use.

If any accumulation in the surplus fund of any utility, exclusive of such reserves for extensions and additions as in this section provided, shall in any fiscal year exceed twenty-five per cent of the total expenditures of such utility for the preceding fiscal year for operation, repairs and maintenance, as defined in subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 13 of this article, such excess shall revert to the general fund of the City and County and such amount shall be deposited by the Commission with the Treasurer to the credit of such general fund.

Amendment.

On motion of Supervisor Marks the words "Utility Commission" were amended to read "Public Utilities Commission."

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section as amended was *approved without objection.*

Bond Sales.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 11. The Utility Commission is hereby vested with full and exclusive authority to sell or order the sale of bonds which have heretofore been or may hereafter be authorized pursuant to the provisions of this Charter for the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of any public utility or utilities; provided, that such sale shall otherwise be conducted in accordance with the procedure specified by this Charter for the sale of bonds. For the purpose of such sales the secretary of the Commission is hereby vested with the authority and duties conferred upon the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors by Section 10 of Article XII of this Charter, and the Commission is authorized to perform all acts and exercise all powers conferred upon the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor by Article XII of the Charter, with reference to the sale of such public utility bonds, and where the concurrence of fourteen votes of the Supervisors is required, such act shall require the unanimous vote of the Commission. Each of such public utility bonds shall be signed by the Mayor, the President of the Utility Commission and the City Treasurer, and shall be countersigned by the City Auditor. The signature of the Mayor and the President of the Public Utility Commission may be by facsimile. The provisions of Section 11a of Article XII of the Charter shall apply to all such signatures.

Amendment.

On motion of Supervisor Marks the words "Utility Commission" were amended to read "Public Utilities Commission."

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section as amended was *approved without objection.*

Provision for Interest and Sinking Fund.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 12. In any case where bonds have been authorized for the acquisition, construction or completion of a public utility or of extensions thereto, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of said utility, or of extensions to an existing utility, as the case may be, and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same, shall be paid by the Commission out of the proceeds of sale of the bonds authorized and sold for such purposes, if such method of payment of interest be expressly provided for in the proceedings authorizing such bond issue. When the revenues on hand in the Treasury to the credit of any bond interest and redemption fund of a utility for which bonds have been authorized are insufficient to meet all interest and redemption charges on said bonds for the balance of the fiscal year, no sale of bonds shall be made by the Commission unless and until the Commission shall have allotted out of the proceeds to be realized from the sale of said bonds a sum sufficient to meet the interest and sinking fund requirements for such balance of such fiscal year; provided, further, that nothing contained in this section shall in any way relieve the City and County from liability to the holders of bonds issued on the faith and credit of the City and County and for which the Supervisors by the provisions of Section 12 of Article XII of this Charter are required to levy an annual tax for the payment of interest and principal thereof when and as the same become due, and in the event of any failure, refusal or neglect on the part of the Commission to make provision for payment of bond interest and redemption on bonds issued for utility purposes the Commissioners so failing, refusing or neglecting shall be forthwith removed from office and the Board of Supervisors shall provide for the same as required by other provisions of this Charter.

Amendment.

Supervisor Marks moved that Section 12, on line 39, be amended to include, after the word "authorized," the following language: "And which issue provides for the payment of interest and redemption out of proceeds." That language is suggested by Mr. Eckart to clear up an uncertainty that he called to the attention of the Board earlier in the afternoon. That section will read as follows: "When revenues on hand in the Treasury to the credit of any bond interest and redemption fund of a utility for which bonds have been authorized, and which issue provides for the payment of interest and redemption out of the proceeds, are insufficient to meet all interest and redemption charges on said bonds for the balance of the fiscal year, no sale of bonds shall be made by the Commission unless and until the Commission shall have allotted out of the proceeds to be realized from the sale of said bonds a sum sufficient to meet the interest and sinking fund requirements for such balance of such fiscal year." That is to make it clear that that is applicable to the issue—for the payment of interest and redemption out of the proceeds of such issue.

Supervisor Hayden: I second the motion.

Amendment *carried.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section as amended was *approved without objection.*

Operating Expenses and Reserves.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 13. The receipts from each utility operated by the Commission shall be paid daily into the City Treasury and maintained in a special fund set aside for such utility. The Commission shall make

appropriations at least monthly from said fund to the following purposes, in the order named, viz.:

(a) For payment of the operating expenses of such utility, including pension charges and compensation insurance;

(b) For repairs and maintenance;

(c) For depreciation and accident reserves as hereinafter described;

(d) For payment of interest and sinking fund on the bonds issued for the acquisition or construction of such utility and extensions thereto;

(e) For a surplus subject to the provisions of Section 10 of this article.

The Commission shall maintain pension and compensation insurance accounts for each utility, and shall cause an estimate to be made annually of the proper reserves required for each such account, and any surpluses in any such accounts may be transferred to the surplus account of the utility concerned.

The Commission shall, on the written recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, which recommendation shall be based on an appraisal of the life of the several classes of property for each utility, determine the amount of a reasonable depreciation reserve for each utility to be set up out of the revenues of such utility to provide for depreciation and obsolescence as they accrue. There shall be credited monthly to the depreciation reserve for each utility one-twelfth of the amount required annually, as so determined. Pending such appraisal, the monthly appropriations to be made to the depreciation reserve shall be at the rate of not less than four per cent per annum of the historical cost of the utility. Such depreciation reserves shall be used only for replacement due to depreciation or obsolescence; provided, however, that at least every five years the Commission shall cause a reappraisal to be made of depreciation reserves, replacement expenditures therefrom, and probable useful life of each of the several kinds of property, and on the basis of such reappraisal may increase or diminish the amount to be appropriated to the depreciation reserve.

The Commission shall cause a reasonable accident reserve to be created for each utility by monthly credits thereto from the revenues of such utility, and shall reappraise this at five-year intervals, and may increase or diminish the amount of payments thereto on the basis of such reappraisal. The accident reserve fund for every utility shall be used only for the payment of accident and damage claims against such utility.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section was *approved without objection.*

Rates.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 14. The Commission shall have the power to fix and adjust rates or fares for the furnishing of public utility service by the City and County; to collect, and by appropriate means, including the discontinuation of service to delinquent consumers, or by civil action in the name of the City and County, enforce the collection of such rates and charges; and to adjust and settle all complaints and claims of consumers or the public with reference to service credits or refunds; provided, that such rates may be fixed at varying scales, for different classes of service or consumers, which said rates must include rates for services rendered any department or other utilities of the City and County, the aggregate revenue from which for each specific utility shall represent not less than the actual total cost of the service of that utility as determined by the Commission, except as authorized by Section 15 of this Article; and provided, further, that before adopting or revising any schedule of rates or fares, the Commission shall publish in the official newspaper of the City and County notice of intention so to do, and shall fix a time for public hearing or hearings thereon not less

than ten days after such public notice, at which public hearing or hearings any person, on behalf of himself or others interested, may present his objections to or views on the proposed schedule of rates or fares and may submit such evidence in support thereof as he may desire. The Commission may provide for the rendition of utility services without the limits of the City and County at rates which may include proportionate compensation for interest during construction of the utility which may have been paid out of the revenues derived from taxation in the City and County. Services rendered by any other City and County department to any publicly owned utility under the jurisdiction of the Commission shall be paid for by the Commission at cost, out of the revenues of the utility served. Rates for services rendered by any publicly owned utility to the City and County or any department thereof, or to any other utility of the City and County, shall be paid into the fund of the specific utility rendering such services, out of appropriate funds of the City and County, or of the department or utility using such services.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section was *approved without objection.*

Appropriations for Utility Purposes.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 15. The budget of and the expenditures for any utility, as authorized and required by Section 10 of this Article, shall not exceed the amount of estimated revenue of such utility for the succeeding fiscal year, nor shall a schedule of rates as authorized and required by Section 14 of this Article be established for any utility on a basis that will produce a total estimated revenue of less than the total cost of the utility service, including all charges for operation, maintenance, debt and reserves—unless the Board of Supervisors by a two-thirds vote of all members thereof approve such budget or rate schedule, and at the same time appropriate or provide for the funds to make up such deficiency; provided, however, that no budget of expenditures in excess of estimated revenues and no schedule of rates estimated to produce less than estimated total costs shall be so approved to provide for and include proposed expenditures for additions, betterments, extensions, acquisition or other capital costs in excess of annual revenues and which, by other provisions of this Charter, require financing by the authorization and sale of bonds; and provided, further, that such part of the annual interest and redemption charges on bonds issued for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy water system that cannot be paid out of revenues derived from the operation of such water system and appurtenant structures shall continue to be provided by taxation.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section was *approved without objection.*

Lease and Sale of Lands.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 16. The Commission may, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, lease, for purposes not in conflict with public utility uses, any lands controlled by it or placed in its charge, for terms not exceeding ten years. The Commission may, in the name of the City and County, acquire and take by purchase, lease, condemnation or otherwise, and hold any or all property situated within or without the limits of the City and County that it may determine to be necessary for the construction, maintenance, extension or operation of the works under its jurisdiction and control. It shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors, upon its receipt of a resolution of the Commis-

sion that the acquisition of any property or properties is necessary for the conduct, operation, maintenance or extension of any utility under the jurisdiction of the Commission, to take the necessary legislative action by resolution or ordinance determining that public interest and necessity require the taking of said property or properties for the purpose specified in said resolution of said Commission and that said property or properties are necessary for said utility, and the said resolution of said Commission shall be deemed to be conclusive proof to the Board of Supervisors as to the necessity of said property for the said use specified therein.

The Commission may, with the prior approval of the Board of Supervisors, expressed by ordinance, on terms to be expressed in said ordinance, sell such lands or other property under its control as are no longer used or useful for its purposes, or may, upon like authority, transfer such lands or other property to any other department of the City and County government, upon there being transferred to the special fund of the utility concerned such funds as will represent proper and adequate consideration for the land or other property transferred, as determined in the ordinance authorizing the same. The Commission shall have full power and authority to enter into such arrangements and agreements as it shall deem proper for the joint use with any other person, firm or corporation owning the same, or having jurisdiction of the same, of poles, conduits, towers, stations, aqueducts, reservoirs and tracks for the operation of any of the utilities under its jurisdiction, and may make such arrangements as it shall deem proper for the exchange of transfer privileges with any privately owned transportation company or system which will tend for the betterment of its service.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section was *approved without objection.*

Pensions.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 17. All employees of the utilities under the jurisdiction of the Commission shall be entitled to the benefits of the provisions of Article XVII of the Charter, relative to the retirement of aged and disabled employees, and for the purpose of enjoying the benefits provided for in said article all employees of said utilities shall be deemed to be public employees of the City and County, and all amounts to be contributed to said fund to equal the amounts contributed by said employees thereto shall be paid from the particular utility to which said employee is attached, and should any employee be rendering service to more than one utility, the amount contributed to equal said employee's contribution shall be divided among the utilities in which he is serving, in such proportion as the Commission shall determine.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section was *approved without objection.*

Additional Powers.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 18. The Board of Supervisors, by ordinance, may confer additional and other powers on said Commission incident to those herein conferred, or incident to the powers of the City and County relative to any utility service, and may empower the Commission to regulate, superintend and control, subject to the annual appropriations made for such purposes, the lighting of public streets, parks, buildings and other public places, and to that extent this section shall supersede the provisions of Section 1, Chapter II of Article II and Section 9, Chapter I of Article VI, and Section 6, Chapter III, Article II of this Charter.

Approved.

Whereupon the foregoing section was *approved without objection.*

Deposit of Surplus Money.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 19. At any time that there is on deposit in the office of the Treasurer of the City and County funds belonging to said Commission or to the utilities under its control, in an amount greater than is necessary for the immediate needs of said utilities, the said Treasurer shall, upon the direction of the Commission, offer so much of said funds as said Commission shall direct for deposit in such bank or banks as shall accept the same, said deposits to be made only upon the security provided for in and pursuant to the provisions of Section 2, Chapter III of Article IV of the Charter, and all interest received by the said Treasurer upon the said funds so deposited shall be credited to the particular utility to which the said funds deposited may belong.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section was *approved without objection.*

Constitutionality.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 20. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this article is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Article. The people of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declare that they would have ratified and adopted and the Legislature hereby declares that it would have approved this Article and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof as an amendment to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section was *approved without objection.*

Name and Service of Process.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 21. The Commission created herein shall be known as the "Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco," and may sue and be sued under said name. Service of process in all matters affecting said Commission, or any of the utilities under its jurisdiction, may be made by service upon any one Commissioner and on the Secretary of said Commission. Provided, however, that any action commenced or prosecuted for the recovery of damages for any injury to any person or property by reason of the negligence of said Commission or of any of its agents, servants or employees shall be commenced and prosecuted against said Commission. And no action shall be maintained for the recovery of any such damage unless a written statement, verified by the oath of the claimant, setting forth the nature and items of the claim and the time and place where the alleged injury may have occurred, or where said damage was sustained, shall have been filed with said Commission within six months after the date of the sustaining of said injury or damage; otherwise there shall be no recovery on said claim.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, the words "Utility Commission" were amended to read "Public Utilities Commission."

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section, as amended, was *approved without objection.*

Repeal of Conflicting Provisions.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following section:

Section 22. All provisions of the City Charter in conflict with this Article are superseded by the provisions hereof to the extent of such conflict. Subdivision 8 of Section 9 of Chapter I of Article VI and Section 16 of Article XII of this Charter are hereby repealed. All functions now required to be performed under Article XII of the Charter by the Board of Public Works shall, upon ratification of this amendment, devolve upon and be performed thereafter by the Public Utilities Commission. All duties required to be performed by the City Engineer under the provisions of Article VI and Article XII in so far as they relate to public utilities, shall, upon ratification of this amendment, devolve upon and be performed by the Manager of Utilities herein provided for.

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing section, as amended, was *approved without objection.*

Motion.

Supervisor Marks: Mr. Chairman, I move you that the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with the constitutional provision and the Charter provision, submit to the people for their action at the election of November 6, 1928, the text of the Public Utilities Commission amendment as contained in the report of the Judiciary Committee, with the amendments adopted today, as appears from page 2 to 10 of our report.

Supervisor Hayden: I second the motion.

Supervisor Marks: What I mean by that, gentlemen, is to state plainly—some question was raised as to a previous submission, some question as to whether or not pamphlet provided by law had been published and other objections that were urged. We desire first that the Clerk of the Board take the transcript, if necessary, of the proceedings today because we have made some changes in the text, to see that it is carefully prepared and insertions made in the proper place; that the City Attorney prepare a title to the ordinance and the Charter Amendment, and that it then be submitted. I shall furnish the Clerk with my copy of it. I have been making notes upon it. He has his copy and with the reporter we can get a double check to see that the amendments are made in the right place. Then the pamphlet can subsequently be prepared.

I intend to make the same motion with respect to each Charter Amendment that we determine to submit, so that a title may be prepared, and that the same may be carefully and properly edited and a pamphlet prepared in accordance with law. But the initial part of my motion is now the blanket motion that the Board submit this amendment to the people.

Thereupon, Supervisor Marks' motion was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Ordered Submitted.

Thereupon, the proposed Charter Amendment creating a Public Utilities Commission, aforesaid, as amended and corrected and in words and figures following was, on motion of Supervisor Marks, *ordered submitted* to the electors by the following vote:

Public Utilities Commission—Charter Amendment No. 22.

Creating and defining the powers and duties of a Public Utilities Commission for the management of municipal water, transportation, power and other utilities.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new article thereto to be designated as Article XII-A, relating to the operation, maintenance, control and construction of municipally-owned public utilities.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

That a new article be added to said Charter to be designated Article XII-A, to read as follows:

ARTICLE XII-A.

Public Utilities Commission.

Section 1. The construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties used, owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the City and County of San Francisco, as well as all extensions, additions and betterments thereto, for the purpose of supplying to the said City and County and to its residents and inhabitants, and to territory outside the limits of said City and County and to the residents and inhabitants thereof, with water, light, heat, power or transportation shall be exclusively vested in a Public Utilities Commission of three members, anything in this Charter to the contrary notwithstanding. The Commission shall have power to make all rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions of this Article which it deems necessary or proper for the conduct of its business and the regulation of the matters herein committed to its charge. The Commission shall observe all City and County ordinances, and the regulation of the Department of Public Works relative to utility openings, structures and poles in streets and other public places, as well as all ordinances and regulations relative to barricades, construction lights, refilling excavations and replacing and maintaining street pavements; and in connection with all of such matters, the said Commission shall be subject to the same inspection rules and pay fees to the proper department in the same manner and at the same rates as any private person or corporation.

Appointment and Compensation.

Section 2. Members of the Public Utilities Commission shall be appointed by the Mayor and said appointment shall be confirmed by the Board of Supervisors as herein provided, and may be removed from office in the same manner as elective officers of the City and County, or may be recalled as provided in this Article. Each member of the Commission must be at the time of his appointment an elector of the City and County and must have been such for at least five years next preceding his appointment.

The term of members of the Public Utilities Commission shall be six years from and after the date of their respective appointments, provided that the persons first appointed as members of said Commission shall by lot classify their respective terms of office so that the term of one of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1931, and that the term of another of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1933, and that the term of the third of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1935, and upon the expiration of the term of each of said Commissioners the

Mayor shall appoint his successor to serve for the full term of six years from the said first Monday after the first day of January of said year in which said appointment is made. Vacancies occurring on said Commission from any cause shall be filled by appointment to be made in the same manner as are full-term appointments, provided that those appointed to fill a vacancy on said Commission shall serve only for the unexpired term of the person whom they succeed.

Appointments shall be made as follows: Within fifteen days after this amendment becomes effective, the Mayor shall appoint the three members of said Commission, and shall at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors held next after making said appointment submit in writing to the said Board the names of the persons appointed to said Commission, and the said Board shall by a vote of a majority of all of its members at a meeting of said Board to be held within fifteen days thereafter, by resolution confirm or reject the appointment of any or all of said persons so appointed. Should said appointment or appointments be confirmed as aforesaid by said Board of Supervisors, said person or persons so confirmed shall become a member or members of said Commission for the respective term for which they may have been appointed, but should said persons, or any of them, be rejected by said Board, the said Board shall cause written notice of its action to be given to the Mayor, who shall, within fifteen days thereafter, make a new appointment or appointments in the place or places of the one or ones failing to receive the confirmation of said Board of Supervisors, and in writing certify said appointment or appointments to said Board, and said Board shall either confirm or reject said appointment or appointments as hereinbefore set forth. That in filling any vacancy occurring on said Commission either by resignation, expiration of term, or otherwise, the same procedure shall be had by the Mayor and by the Board of Supervisors as in the case of original appointment. That should the said Board of Supervisors fail or neglect, for a period of fifteen days after receiving written notice from the Mayor of any appointment or appointments to said Commission, to reject said appointment or appointments, the said failure shall be deemed to be a confirmation of said appointment or appointments. Any person appointed to said Commission whose appointment shall be rejected by said Board of Supervisors shall not be eligible for appointment to said Commission for one year after said rejection.

Any member of the Commission may be recalled at a special election called for the purpose of voting on such recall, in the following manner: When a petition for the recall of such member, containing a statement of the grounds on which such recall is sought, shall be signed by registered voters equal in number to at least fifteen per cent of the entire vote cast at the last preceding general municipal election, such petition shall be filed with the Board of Election Commissioners. Such petition, and the verification and reporting of sufficiency or insufficiency thereof, shall be in accordance with Sections 2 and 3 of Chapter III of Article XI of this Charter, and the election shall be called as provided in Sections 3 and 4 of Chapter V of Article XI of this Charter and the General Election Laws of the State of California; provided, however, that no recall petition may be filed against a member of the Commission until he has actually held his office for at least four months; and provided further, that the question to be submitted to the voters shall be restricted to "Shall (name) be removed from the Public Utilities Commission for (stated cause or causes)?" with provision for voting affirmatively or negatively thereon, the ballot to be in accordance with Section 6 of Chapter V of Article XI of this Charter; and provided further, that if a majority of the qualified electors voting on the question shall vote in favor of such recall, the office of such Commissioner shall be declared vacant, and the successor thereto shall be appointed by the Mayor and confirmed or rejected by the Board of Supervisors as in this section provided; and provided further, that if a majority of the qualified electors voting on the question shall

vote negatively on such recall, such Commissioner shall be reimbursed for his election expenses as provided by Section 9, Chapter V of Article XI of this Charter.

No person who has been recalled or who has resigned from the office of Public Utilities Commissioner while recall proceedings were pending against him, shall be appointed to any office within two years after such recall or resignation.

The compensation of members of said Commission shall be twenty dollars for each meeting of the Commission, or of a committee thereof actually attended by said members, provided that the aggregate amount paid to any member for his service as a member of said Commission shall not exceed two thousand dollars per year. Each member of the Commission shall furnish and file an official bond in the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars, duly conditioned as in this Charter elsewhere provided. The premium on said bonds so furnished as well as the compensation to be paid to the members of said Commission shall be paid out of public utility revenues.

Organization and Meetings.

Section 3. Immediately after it is first constituted and thereafter on the first Monday after the first day of January of each year, the Public Utilities Commission shall meet for the purpose of organization. At such meeting the Commission shall elect one of its members as president, who shall hold such office for the ensuing year and until the election of his successor. The Commission shall thereafter hold regular weekly public meetings at a designated time and place. The Commission shall adopt its own rules of procedure and shall keep a record of its proceedings and the name of each member attending each meeting held. A majority of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. The Commission may appoint a secretary, subject to the civil service provisions of this Charter, and shall prescribe the duties of such secretary and fix his compensation. Special meetings may be called by the president or by two members of the Commission on twelve hours' written notice, which shall be served personally on each member or left at his usual place of residence, which notice shall state the subjects to be considered at the special meeting, and no other subjects shall be there considered.

Subordinates, Assistants and Employees, and Their Compensation.

Section 4. (a) The Commission shall appoint a Manager of Utilities, who shall be the chief executive and administrative official of the Commission, and who shall hold office at the pleasure of the Commission. Each separate utility under the control of the Commission shall be designated as a department, and in addition the Commission may create a Bureau of Engineering, a Bureau of Public Relations and such other bureaus as it deems necessary for the handling of matters that do not pertain exclusively to any one utility department. The manager, subject to the ratification of the Commission, shall have the power of appointment and dismissal of the heads of such departments and bureaus. The Manager of Utilities and the heads of each separate department and of the Bureau of Engineering need not, at the date of appointment, be a resident of the City and County of San Francisco, and said manager, as well as such department heads and the heads of the Bureaus of Engineering and of Public Relations, shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter. The Manager of Utilities shall, subject to the control of the Commission, have the management of all public utilities operated, owned or controlled by the City and County, and each bureau or department head shall, subject to the control of the manager, have sole executive control of his department or bureau.

(b) The Commission may also, subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter, appoint an auditor and prescribe his duties; provided that nothing herein contained shall be construed as abrogating or

interfering with the authority and control under the Charter of the City Auditor and City Treasurer over the receipt and disbursement of public funds which may come under the Commission's jurisdiction; provided, further, that all demands on funds in the City and County Treasury which are placed under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission by the provisions of this Article shall require the approval of the Commission, and the Manager, which shall be evidenced by the signatures of its secretary and auditor, or of such other officials of each utility as the Commission may designate before being allowed by the City and County Auditor and paid by the Treasurer.

(c) The Commission shall appoint such other employees, subordinates and assistants as may be necessary for the proper conduct of the affairs and properties hereby committed to said Commission, and all of such appointments shall be made in conformity with, and the appointees shall be entitled to the benefit of the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter, except as in this Article specifically excepted. All employees of the Board of Public Works who are engaged in public utility work at the time this amendment becomes effective shall be taken over by the Commission, under the classification now held by them, or under classification equivalent thereto, and all employees employed in the operating service of any public utility which may be or may have been acquired by the City and County and who have been continuously employed for one year immediately prior to such acquisition in such operating service shall be considered to have been appointed to such positions under the provisions of Article XIII of this Charter, and shall be entitled to all civil service rights therein provided.

Provided, that employees engaged in construction work outside of the limits of the City and County shall be exempt from civil service regulations, and all employees whose duties are performed outside of the limits of the City and County shall not be subject to the Charter provisions regarding residence within said City and County, except that all provisions relating to conditions of employment and preference for employment shall be observed in all cases, as prescribed by the provisions of Section 1, of Chapter III, of Article II, of this Charter; and provided further, that said civil service provisions of the Charter shall not apply to persons employed for temporary service in positions requiring high technical skill.

(d) The salaries, wages or other compensation of all persons employed by the Commission or engaged in work under its direction shall be fixed by the Commission, and the Commission may also fix and determine working conditions of said employees, provided that all regulations provided by law and by this Charter relative to hours of labor shall apply to all employees of said Commission, and provided further, that no compensation to any of said employees shall be less than is paid in the case of similar employments in the service of the City and County.

(e) The Commission may require a bond in such amount as it shall deem proper from any employee, which said bond shall be duly conditioned for the faithful performance of the duties of such employee, and the Commission may provide that the premium on said bond be paid out of the revenues of the particular utility in which said employee is serving, and where said employee is rendering service to more than one utility, the cost of said bond shall be equitably prorated among the utilities receiving the benefit of said service.

Powers and Duties of Managers and Department Heads.

Section 5. (a) The Manager of Utilities shall possess the necessary executive, administrative and technical qualifications and shall have full power to administer the affairs of his department as chief executive officer, and may, with the consent of the Commission, act as the head of any department or bureau created by the Commission. He shall, when possible, attend all meetings of the Commission, and shall

at least once in each month, and more frequently if required by the Commission, make a report in writing to the Commission of all matters connected with the work of the Commission. He shall execute all orders of the Commission when directed to do so and supervise the work of all departments and bureaus under the jurisdiction of the Commission. He may suspend any employee of the Commission, save the secretary and auditor, for cause or for disciplinary purposes, and shall report said suspension and the reasons therefor to the Commission at its meeting immediately following said suspension, and said Commission may, on the report of said manager, order that charges be filed against said person so suspended, or may continue said suspension in force for a period of not more than thirty days from the date thereof, for disciplinary purposes, and said order as to said suspension shall be final. Should charges be ordered filed against said suspended employee, the said Manager shall file the same, and the same shall be heard and determined by the Commission, after reasonable opportunity to the accused to be heard, and all of Article XIII of the Charter relative to trials and appeals shall apply to said hearing.

(b) Department and bureau heads shall possess the necessary executive and technical qualifications to qualify them for their respective departments or bureaus, and shall conduct the affairs of their respective bureaus or departments under the order and direction of the Manager of Utilities, and shall, as often as required by said Manager or by the Commission, make reports in writing to said Manager or to the Commission on all matters pertaining to said departments.

Legal Department.

Section 6. The City Attorney shall be the legal adviser of the Commission and shall render such legal advice and services as the Commission may deem necessary, and shall prosecute and defend, as the Commission may require, any and all actions and proceedings involving matters under its jurisdiction. He shall have authority, with the prior approval of the Commission, to compromise, settle or dismiss any litigation or proceedings which may be pending for, or on behalf, or against said Commission relative to any matter or property under its jurisdiction.

He shall appoint and detail to the Commission such attorneys as the Commission may deem necessary to conduct its legal work, and the compensation of said attorneys so detailed shall be fixed by the Commission, and shall be paid from the revenues of the utilities under its jurisdiction. Said attorneys, when so appointed, shall be deputies of the City Attorney, and shall be in addition to the deputies and assistants now allowed to him by Charter or by Ordinance.

Purchases and Contracts.

Section 7. The Commission shall have power to contract for work, supplies, materials or equipment when the cost of these can be met from the revenues or reserves of the utility requiring same, or from other moneys appropriated for the use of such utility by the Board of Supervisors or from the proceeds of bonds authorized for such utility. The Manager of Utilities shall have the power to make requisition for or order supplies, material or equipment required for the operation or maintenance of any utility under the control of the Commission; and, on the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, the Commission may, by resolution, delegate such power with such restrictions as it may deem necessary to a duly authorized subordinate of the manager, or to the directing head of each utility.

The Manager, subject to the ratification of the Commission, may appoint or dismiss a purchasing agent, who shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of this Charter. All materials, supplies and equipment shall be purchased under contract; provided, however, that all supplies and materials other than equipment, required in the operation of any utility or bureau of the Commission for use within the

limits of the City and County, that are covered by the general supply contracts of the City and County, as awarded through the City and County Purchaser of Supplies, shall be purchased from such contractors; except, however, and provided further, that upon the certification of the head of a utility that the necessities of construction or operation so require, and with the approval of the Manager of Utilities, the materials, supplies or equipment required may be purchased in the open market at the lowest price obtainable. The Commission, on request, shall advise the general purchaser of supplies of the City and County government of the kind and quantity of its probable future needs for materials and supplies to be used in utility operation within the City and County and for record purposes shall file copies of all purchase orders with such purchaser of supplies.

The Commission, on the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, may authorize the sale or transfer of any materials, supplies or equipment under its control when no longer used or useful for its purposes, and the proceeds of said sale or transfer shall be placed to the credit of the utility controlling the same.

All construction work shall be done by public contract unless the Commission, upon recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, shall determine that the work in question can be more advantageously carried on by the Commission's employees.

The Commission may prescribe rules of procedure which it shall follow in letting contracts for the purchase of materials, supplies and equipment and for construction work and amend the same from time to time as it may deem proper; provided, that such rules shall provide for not less than five days' public advertisement, for sealed bids on such contracts, for the award of contracts to the lowest responsible bidder, and shall require of all contractors adequate surety bonds in an amount to be fixed by the Commission in each case for the protection of the City and County in the performance of such contracts and for the protection of all persons, firms or corporations furnishing labor or materials in connection with the same. Suitable provision may also be made for the rejection of all bids and readvertisement and for the rejection of the proposal of any bidder who in the opinion of the Commission is not competent to fulfill the contract if awarded. All contracts shall be signed by the president or acting president, and secretary or acting secretary of the Commission.

Additions, Betterments and Extensions.

Section 8. The Commission shall locate and determine the character and type of all constructon and additions, betterments and extensions to utilities under its control, and shall determine the policy for such construction or the making of such additions, betterments and extensions out of public funds under its jurisdiction; provided, that in each such case it shall secure the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, which recommendation shall be presented in writing and shall include analyses of cost, service and estimated revenues of all proposed or feasible alternatives in cases where it is deemed that such alternatives exist.

Accounts, Revenues and Expenditures.

Section 9. Proper accounts shall be maintained, separately for each utility under the control of the Commission, in such manner as to show the true and complete financial results of ownership, management and operation. Such accounts shall be so kept as to show the actual cost of each such public utility; all costs of maintenance, extension and improvement; all operating expenses of every description; the general expenses of the Commission and bureaus thereof apportioned to such utility; the amounts paid or set aside for depreciation, insurance, interest and sinking fund; and also estimates of the amount of taxes that would be chargeable against such property and the revenue thereof if owned by a private corporation. Monthly statements shall be submitted to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors by the Public Utilities Commission not later than the fifteenth day of the succeeding month and annual reports shall be submitted to the Mayor and the Board of Super-

visors not later than three months following the close of the fiscal year, and shall be printed for public distribution. Such monthly and annual reports shall show receipts and expenditures for each utility, the general expenses of the Commission and bureaus thereof, and such additional information as the Commission may desire or the Supervisors require to show the details and results of the Commission's operation and management.

Accounts shall be maintained in accordance with forms and requirements of the State Railroad Commission for public utilities engaged in like character of service, in so far as these shall be applicable to public-owned and operated utilities. The accounts of each utility shall be examined annually by a certified public accountant, who shall be appointed by and report to the Supervisors the result of such examination, and whose fees shall be paid out of utility funds. The salaries and general expenses of the Commission or bureaus thereof, not chargeable to a specific utility, shall be apportioned fairly among the utilities under the control of the Commission in such manner as the Commission may deem proper, and such apportionments shall be shown as expenses of such utilities.

Budget and Appropriations.

Section 10. The Manager of Utilities shall, not later than April 15th of each year, file with the Public Utilities Commission a budget estimate for the ensuing fiscal year of anticipated revenues and needed appropriations, separately for each utility department and bureau under the control of the Commission. These shall be submitted in such detail as to furnish a basis and guide for accounting and expenditure control during the succeeding fiscal year. The Commission may modify such budget estimates in any manner that it may deem proper and shall, by public advertisement, give all interested persons an opportunity to be heard at one or more meetings of the Commission, with reference to the amounts and purposes of expenditure to be included in such budget. Upon completion of said hearings the Commission shall by resolution adopt a budget for each utility department and bureau under its jurisdiction and transmit copies thereof to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors and the City and County Auditor. The budgets so adopted shall govern the expenditures for the fiscal year covered thereby, and any additions thereto or changes therein shall require the unanimous vote of the Commission and shall be reported to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors and the City and County Auditor. Under no circumstances shall the budget of any utility, including a proper proportion of the expenses of the Commission and bureaus thereof, include a total of items of expenditure and reserves in excess of the estimated revenues to be derived from said utility during the succeeding fiscal year, except as authorized by Section 15 of this Article; provided, also, that this limitation on expenditures shall not apply to expenditures which may be lawfully paid out of the proceeds of bond sales or accumulated surpluses or reserves under the Commission's jurisdiction. The funds of one utility shall not be diverted to any other utility. The Commission may, by resolution approved by vote of all its members, adopt, and having adopted, may amend by adding thereto, a program for the accumulation of a surplus for each utility to cover a period not exceeding ten years, for the purpose of financing extensions and additions to such utility out of said surplus, the annual increment to which shall not exceed ten per cent of the gross operating revenue of such utility in any fiscal year. When such a program is so adopted or added to, the Commission shall notify the Board of Supervisors thereof. Funds set aside for such purpose shall be carried as reserves in the accounts of the Commission and appropriations shall be made to such reserve accounts from time to time to meet the contemplated expenditures under such program and no part of such accumulated funds shall be used for any purpose other than that provided for in said program except on the recommendation of the Commission,

expressed by resolution, and the approval of the Board of Supervisors expressed by ordinance authorizing such other use.

If any accumulation in the surplus fund of any utility, exclusive of such reserves for extensions and additions as in this section provided, shall in any fiscal year exceed twenty-five per cent of the total expenditures of such utility for the preceding fiscal year for operation, repairs and maintenance as defined in subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 13 of this Article, such excess shall revert to the general fund of the City and County, and such amount shall be deposited by the Commission with the Treasurer to the credit of such general fund.

Bond Sales.

Section 11. The Public Utilities Commission is hereby vested with full and exclusive authority to sell or order the sale of bonds which have heretofore been or may hereafter be authorized pursuant to the provisions of this Charter for the acquisition, construction, extension or completion of any public utility or utilities; provided that such sale shall otherwise be conducted in accordance with the procedure specified by this Charter for the sale of bonds. For the purpose of such sales the secretary of the Commission is hereby vested with the authority and duties conferred upon the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors by Section 10 of Article XII of this Charter and the Commission is authorized to perform all acts and exercise all powers conferred upon the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor by Article XII of the Charter, with reference to the sale of such public utility bonds, and where the concurrence of fourteen votes of the Supervisors is required, such act shall require the unanimous vote of the Commission. Each of such public utility bonds shall be signed by the Mayor, the President of the Public Utilities Commission and the City Treasurer, and shall be countersigned by the City Auditor. The signature of the Mayor and the President of the Public Utilities Commission may be by facsimile. The provisions of Section 11a of Article XII of the Charter shall apply to all such signatures.

Provision for Interest and Sinking Fund.

Section 12. In any case where bonds have been authorized for the acquisition, construction or completion of a public utility or of extensions thereto, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of said utility, or of extensions to an existing utility, as the case may be, and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same, shall be paid by the Commission out of the proceeds of sale of the bonds authorized and sold for such purposes, if such method of payment of interest be expressly provided for in the proceedings authorizing such bond issue. When the revenues on hand in the Treasury to the credit of any bond interest and redemption fund of a utility for which bonds have been authorized and which issue provides for the payment of interest and redemption out of proceeds are insufficient to meet all interest and redemption charges on said bonds for the balance of the fiscal year, no sale of bonds shall be made by the Commission unless and until the Commission shall have allotted out of the proceeds to be realized from the sale of said bonds a sum sufficient to meet the interest and sinking fund requirements for such balance of such fiscal year; provided, further, that nothing contained in this section shall in any way relieve the City and County from liability to the holders of bonds issued on the faith and credit of the City and County and for which the Supervisors by the provisions of Section 12 of Article XII of this Charter are required to levy an annual tax for the payment of interest and principal thereof when and as the same become due, and in the event of any failure, refusal or neglect on the part of the Commission to make provision for payment of bond interest and redemption on bonds issued for utility purposes the Commissioners so failing, refusing or neglecting shall be

forthwith removed from office and the Board of Supervisors shall provide for the same as required by other provisions of this Charter.

Operating Expenses and Reserves.

Section 13. The receipts from each utility operated by the Commission shall be paid daily into the City Treasury and maintained in a special fund set aside for such utility. The Commission shall make appropriations at least monthly from said fund for the following purposes, in the order named, viz.:

(a) For payment of the operating expenses of such utility, including pension charges and compensation insurance;

(b) For repairs and maintenance;

(c) For depreciation and accident reserves as hereinafter described;

(d) For payment of interest and sinking fund on the bonds issued for the acquisition or construction of such utility and extensions thereto;

(e) For a surplus subject to the provisions of Section 10 of this Article.

The Commission shall maintain pension and compensation insurance accounts for each utility, and shall cause an estimate to be made annually of the proper reserves required for each such account, and any surpluses in any such accounts may be transferred to the surplus account of the utility concerned.

The Commission shall, on the written recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, which recommendation shall be based on an appraisal of the life of the several classes of property for each utility, determine the amount of a reasonable depreciation reserve for each utility to be set up out of the revenues of such utility to provide for depreciation and obsolescence as they accrue. There shall be credited monthly to the depreciation reserve for each utility one-twelfth of the amount required annually, as so determined. Pending such appraisal, the monthly appropriations to be made to the depreciation reserve shall be at the rate of not less than four per cent per annum of the historical cost of the utility. Such depreciation reserves shall be used only for replacement due to depreciation or obsolescence; provided, however, that at least every five years the Commission shall cause a reappraisal to be made of depreciation reserves, replacement expenditures therefrom, and probable useful life of each of the several kinds of property, and on the basis of such reappraisal may increase or diminish the amount to be appropriated to the depreciation reserve.

The Commission shall cause a reasonable accident reserve to be created for each utility by monthly credits thereto from the revenues of such utility, and shall reappraise this at five-year intervals, and may increase or diminish the amount of payments thereto on the basis of such reappraisal. The accident reserve fund for every utility shall be used only for the payment of accident and damage claims against such utility.

Rates.

Section 14. The Commission shall have the power to fix and adjust rates or fares for the furnishing of public utility service by the City and County; to collect, and by appropriate means, including the discontinuation of service to delinquent consumers, or by civil action in the name of the City and County, enforce the collection of such rates and charges; and to adjust and settle all complaints and claims of consumers or the public with reference to service credits or refunds; provided, that such rates may be fixed at varying scales, for different classes of service or consumers, which said rates must include rates for services rendered any department or other utilities of the City and County, the aggregate revenue from which for each specific utility shall represent not less than the actual total cost of the service of that utility as determined by the Commission, except as authorized by Section 15 of this Article; and provided, further, that before adopting or revising any schedule of rates or fares, the Commission shall publish in the official newspaper of the City and County notice of intention so to do,

and shall fix a time for public hearing or hearings thereon not less than ten days after such public notice, at which public hearing or hearings any person, on behalf of himself or others interested, may present his objections to or views on the proposed schedule of rates or fares and may submit such evidence in support thereof as he may desire. The Commission may provide for the rendition of utility services without the limits of the City and County at rates which may include proportionate compensation for interest during construction of the utility which may have been paid out of the revenues derived from taxation in the City and County. Services rendered by any other City and County department to any publicly owned utility under the jurisdiction of the Commission shall be paid for by the Commission at cost, out of the revenues of the utility served. Rates for services rendered by any publicly owned utility to the City and County or any department thereof, or to any other utility of the City and County, shall be paid into the fund of the specific utility rendering such services, out of appropriate funds of the City and County, or of the department or utility using such services.

Appropriations for Utility Purposes.

Section 15. The budget of and the expenditures for any utility, as authorized and required by Section 10 of this Article, shall not exceed the amount of estimated revenue of such utility for the succeeding fiscal year, nor shall a schedule of rates as authorized and required by Section 14 of this Article be established for any utility on a basis that will produce a total estimated revenue of less than the total cost of the utility service, including all charges for operation, maintenance, debt and reserves—unless the Board of Supervisors by a two-thirds vote of all members thereof approve such budget or rate schedule, and at the same time appropriate or provide for the funds to make up such deficiency; provided, however, that no budget of expenditures in excess of estimated revenues and no schedule of rates estimated to produce less than estimated total costs shall be so approved to provide for and include proposed expenditures for additions, betterments, extensions, acquisition or other capital costs in excess of annual revenues and which, by other provisions of this Charter, require financing by the authorization and sale of bonds; and provided, further, that such part of the annual interest and redemption charges on bonds issued for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy water system that cannot be paid out of revenues derived from the operation of such water system and appurtenant structures shall continue to be provided by taxation.

Lease and Sale of Lands.

Section 16. The Commission may, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, lease, for purposes not in conflict with public utility uses, any lands controlled by it or placed in its charge, for terms not exceeding ten years. The Commission may, in the name of the City and County, acquire and take by purchase, lease, condemnation or otherwise, and hold any or all property situated within or without the limits of the City and County that it may determine to be necessary for the construction, maintenance, extension or operation of the works under its jurisdiction and control. It shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors, upon its receipt of a resolution of the Commission that the acquisition of any property or properties is necessary for the conduct, operation, maintenance or extension of any utility under the jurisdiction of the Commission, to take the necessary legislative action by resolution or ordinance determining that public interest and necessity require the taking of said property or properties for the purpose specified in said resolution of said Commission and that said property or properties are necessary for said utility, and the said resolution of said Commission shall be deemed to be conclusive proof to the Board of Supervisors as to the necessity of said property for the said use specified therein.

The Commission may with the prior approval of the Board of Supervisors, expressed by ordinance, on terms to be expressed in said ordinance, sell such lands or other property under its control as are no longer used or useful for its purposes, or may, upon like authority, transfer such lands or other property to any other department of the City and County government, upon there being transferred to the special fund of the utility concerned such funds as will represent proper and adequate consideration for the land or other property transferred, as determined in the ordinance authorizing the same. The Commission shall have full power and authority to enter into such arrangements and agreements as it shall deem proper for the joint use with any other person, firm or corporation owning the same, or having jurisdiction of the same, of poles, conduits, towers, stations, aqueducts, reservoirs and tracks for the operation of any of the utilities under its jurisdiction, and may make such arrangements as it shall deem proper for the exchange of transfer privileges with any privately owned transportation company or system which will tend for the betterment of its service.

Pensions.

Section 17. All employees of the utilities under the jurisdiction of the Commission shall be entitled to the benefits of the provisions of Article XVII of the Charter, relative to the retirement of aged and disabled employees, and for the purpose of enjoying the benefits provided for in said article all employees of said utilities shall be deemed to be public employees of the City and County, and all amounts to be contributed to said fund to equal the amounts contributed by said employees thereto shall be paid from the particular utility to which said employee is attached, and should any employee be rendering service to more than one utility, the amount contributed to equal said employee's contribution shall be divided among the utilities in which he is serving, in such proportion as the Commission shall determine.

Additional Powers.

Section 18. The Board of Supervisors, by ordinance, may confer additional and other powers on said Commission incident to those herein conferred, or incident to the powers of the City and County relative to any utility service, and may empower the Commission to regulate, superintend and control, subject to the annual appropriations made for such purposes, the lighting of public streets, parks, buildings and other public places, and to that extent this section shall supersede the provisions of Section 1, Chapter II of Article II and Section 9, Chapter I of Article VI, and Section 6, Chapter III, Article II of this Charter.

Deposit of Surplus Money.

Section 19. At any time that there is on deposit in the office of the Treasurer of the City and County funds belonging to said Commission or to the utilities under its control, in an amount greater than is necessary for the immediate needs of said utilities, the said Treasurer shall, upon the direction of the Commission, offer so much of said funds as said Commission shall direct for deposit in such bank or banks as shall accept the same, said deposits to be made only upon the security provided for in and pursuant to the provisions of Section 2, Chapter III of Article IV of the Charter, and all interest received by the said Treasurer upon the said funds so deposited shall be credited to the particular utility to which the said funds deposited may belong.

Constitutionality.

Section 20. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this article is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Article. The people of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declare that they would have ratified and adopted and the Legislature hereby declares that it would have approved this Article

and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof as an amendment to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Name and Service of Process.

Section 21. The Commission created herein shall be known as the "Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco," and may sue and be sued under said name. Service of process in all matters affecting said Commission, or any of the utilities under its jurisdiction, may be made by service upon any one Commissioner and on the Secretary of said Commission. Provided, however, that any action commenced or prosecuted for the recovery of damages for any injury to any person or property by reason of the negligence of said Commission or of any of its agents, servants or employees, shall be commenced and prosecuted against said Commission. And no action shall be maintained for the recovery of any such damage, unless a written statement verified by the oath of the claimant, setting forth the nature and items of the claim, and the time and place where the alleged injury may have occurred, or where said damage was sustained, shall have been filed with said Commission within six months after the date of the sustaining of said injury or damage; otherwise there shall be no recovery on said claim.

Repeal of Conflicting Provisions.

Section 22. All provisions of the City Charter in conflict with this Article are superseded by the provisions hereof to the extent of such conflict. Subdivision 8 of Section 9 of Chapter I of Article VI and Section 16 of Article XII of this Charter are hereby repealed. All functions now required to be performed under Article XII of the Charter by the Board of Public Works shall, upon ratification of this amendment, devolve upon and be performed thereafter by the Public Utilities Commission. All duties required to be performed by the City Engineer under the provisions of Article VI and Article XII, in so far as they relate to public utilities, shall, upon ratification of this amendment, devolve upon and be performed by the Manager of Utilities herein provided for.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

HEARING BRIDGE APPLICATION, T. A. TOMASINI, 4 P. M.

Application of T. A. Tomasini for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge from the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point of intersection with the proposed Berkeley-Marin Bridge, running from Point Fleming, in the City of Albany, County of Alameda, State of California, to Bluff Point, in the County of Marin, State of California.

The said toll bridge and tunnel is to be located across San Francisco Bay along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Bay street with the easterly line of Grant avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco; thence south 67 deg. 0 min. east 403 feet; thence on a curve of 375 feet radius, left 235.62 feet; thence north 81 deg. 0 min. east 510 feet; thence on a curve of 375 feet radius, left 346.88 feet; thence north 28 deg. 0 min. east 560 feet; thence on a curve of 500 feet radius, right 148.35 feet; thence north 45 deg. 0 min. east 9383 feet; thence on a curve of 500 feet radius, left 410.15 feet; thence north 2 deg. 0 min. west 20,066 feet, more or less, to a point of intersection with the necessary curves and deviations proper to accommodate traffic, of the following described line:

Beginning at a point on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay 500 feet, more or less, southerly of the northwesterly point of Point Fleming, in the City of Albany, County of Alameda, and thence south approximately 88 deg. 0 min. west to a point on Bluff Point, in the County of Marin; thence westerly to Bluff Point, in the County of Marin, and easterly to Albany, in the County of Alameda, along said line last described.

Upon which said last described line there is to be built a toll bridge pursuant to the provisions of a certain franchise granted to the undersigned by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Alameda, State of California, on March 26, 1928, and which said last described line and the toll bridge to be erected thereon, and the necessary curves, deviations and intersections proper to conduct traffic at such point of intersection, shall constitute the northern terminal of the toll bridge and tunnel, for the construction, operation and maintenance of which, and for the right to collect tolls, application for a franchise is hereby made.

That the length of said bridge and tunnel will be approximately thirty-two thousand and sixty-two (32,062) feet and that the breadth thereof will be thirty (30) feet, more or less.

Filed August 27, 1928, *in Board, and hearing continued until September* 4, 1928, *at* 10 *a. m.*

September 11, 1928—*Hearing had on, continued until Monday, September* 17, 1928, *at* 4 *p. m.*

Privilege of the Floor.

T. A. Tomasini, applicant, was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that he did not wish to withdraw his application, as he had indicated last week, but that inasmuch as there was to be a government hearing on his application on October 17, 1928, he preferred that a vote be taken so as to protect his interests.

Application Denied.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Powers, the foregoing application was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, Todd—3.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Water Bonds.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for the following described 4½% Hetch Hetchy bonds, issue of July 1, 1928, to-wit:

Four million four and one-half per cent Hetch Hetchy bonds, issue of July 1, 1928, comprising 100 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1938 to 1977, inclusive.

The said described bonds bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, and shall not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

Bidders may bid for the whole or any part of the bonds here offered, and when a less amount of the whole amount offered is bid on, the bidder shall state the year or years of maturity thereof.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

Delivery of the bonds to the purchaser will be made within ten days from the date of award, or within such time thereafter as may be agreed upon by the purchaser and Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

All proposals for the purchase of such bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of

the Board of Supervisors of the City and County, for a like sum, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds is on file in the Clerk's office.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted August 27, 1928.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Bids.

The following bids were presented, read and, on motion, *referred to the Finance Committee*:

(1) Anglo London Paris Company.....$4,047,964
Anglo London Paris Company, Bank of Italy National Trust & Savings Association, The Detroit Co., Inc., Redmond & Co., First National Bank of New York, Eldredge & Co. and Kissel, Kinnicutt & Co.

(2) R. H. Moulton & Company, Syndicate Managers..... 4,071,600
R. H. Moulton & Co., Bankers' Trust Company, Illinois Merchants Trust Company, Harris Trust & Savings Bank, American National Company and Security Company.

(3) William R. Compton Company, Syndicate Managers.... 4,042,760
William R. Compton Company, Eastabrook & Co., Northern Trust Company, Stone & Webster & Blodget, Inc., Dewey, Bacon & Co. and Curtis & Sanger.

(4) Guaranty Co. of New York and Associates..... 2,003,000
By Blyth, Witter & Co., Agents. Bid for a portion, $2,000,000, maturing 1938-1977 ($50,000 due annually).

(5) Halsey, Stuart & Co., Syndicate Managers..... 4,046,000
Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., A. G. Becker & Co., R. W. Pressprich & Co., Crocker First Company, E. H. Rollins & Sons, Geo. B. Gibbons & Co. and F. L. Putnam & Co.

(6) Heller, Bruce & Co..... 4,050,111
Lehman Bros., Guardian Detroit Co., Graham, Parsons & Co., R. N. Schmidt & Co., Wells-Dickey Co., Anglo-California Trust Company, Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Company, Heller, Bruce & Co., Kountze Bros., Pulleyn & Co.,, Howe, Snow & Co., Mississippi Valley Trust Company, Dean Witter & Co. and Bond & Goodwin & Tucker, Inc.

(7) The National City Company, Managers..... 4,045,999.60
Old Colony Corporation, Sinclair Wallace, R. E. Campbell & Co., Kean, Taylor & Co., Wm. Cavalier & Co. and E. R. Gundelfinger, Inc.

Certificate of Registrar of Voters on Initiative Petition Relative to Street Railway Franchises.

The following was read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

I, J. H. Zemansky, Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, hereby certify that I have examined the attached petition to the Board of Supervisors to submit to the vote of the people "A proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding two new sections to Chapter II of Article II thereof, to be known as Sections 6a and 6b, respectively, relating to street railway franchises in the City and County of San Francisco," and

filed in your office on the 27th day of August, 1928, and transmitted to the Registrar of Voters to be verified as provided in Section "A," Article XI of the Constitution. I have examined the signatures thereon by comparing the same with the records of registration of electors of the City and County of San Francisco for the year 1928 up to and including the 11th day of September, 1928, and I hereby certify that more than 20,262 qualified, registered electors whose names appear upon said record of registration have signed said petition.

In witness whereof I have set my hand and the seal of my office this 12th day of September, 1928.

(Seal)

J. H. ZEMANSKY,
Registrar of Voters and Secretary of the Board of Election Commissioners.

(Two bundles of petitions attached and on file in Clerk's office.)

Reconsideration and Correction of Resolutions Relating to Proposed Civic Center and Broadway Tunnel Bond Issues.

Whereas, it was called to the attention of the Board that Resolution No. 29618 (New Series), in re Civic Center Bond Issue, and Resolution No. 29619 (New Series), in re Broadway Tunnel Bond Issue, adopted this morning, contained certain inaccuracies, Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney, was granted the privilege of the floor to explain the discrepancies and to indicate the procedure for their correction.

Motion.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Kent, action whereby Resolution No. 29618 (New Series) was adopted in this morning's session was rescinded by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Civic Center Bonds.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* on motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. 29618 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of the cost of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street, and for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 17th day of September, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street, at the width said street now is between Larkin street and Hyde street, to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street, and for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of

San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvement is the sum of one million dollars ($1,000,000); that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the acquisition, construction and completion of the said described permanent improvement and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of one million dollars ($1,000,000) no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Broadway Tunnel Bonds.

On motion of Supervisor Suhr the following resolution was *adopted* in lieu of a resolution of similar purport temporarily postponed at the morning session:

Resolution No. 29619 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: a tunnel, to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 7th day of September, 1928, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: a tunnel, to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvement is the sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000); that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the construction of the said described permanent improvement and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

BOND MATTERS—PREPARED BY CITY ATTORNEY.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Calling and Providing for Special Election—Sewer Bonds.

Bill No. 8710, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit: the construction and extension of the Sewer System in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit: The construction and extension of the Sewer System in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore Street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero Streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison Streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth Streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market Streets to Fifteenth and Harrison Streets; College Hill Tunnel from the Mission Street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose Avenue and Army Street; Alemany Boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission Street to the vicinity of Revere Avenue and Industrial Street; Twenty-third Avenue and Geary Street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh Avenue and Geary Street to Twenty-third Avenue and Lake Street.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 11th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29612 (New Series), in the sum of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29612 (New Series), it was declared that no part of the said sum of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the construction and completion of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascer-

tained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"SEWER BONDS 1929"

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The construction and extension of the Sewer System in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore Street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero Streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison Streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market Streets to Fifteenth and Harrison Streets; College Hill Tunnel from the Mission Street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose Avenue and Army Street; Alemany Boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission Street to the vicinity of Revere Avenue and Industrial Street; Twenty-third Avenue and Geary Street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh Avenue and Geary Street to Twenty-third Avenue and Lake Street."

Bonds issued for the construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize

the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Sewer Bonds 1929."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 2200, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"SEWER BOND, 1929"

No..... $1,000

For valued received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No..... $.....

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19——

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said two million two hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of niney-nine thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to

pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $94,500 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of $90,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for nineteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $4,500 by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from the date of said bonds of $100,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $100,000 each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-one years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Calling and Providing for Special Election—Health Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8711, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion, and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit: Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a Psychopathic Building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present Ward Buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a Health Center Building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of Health Centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount

of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit: Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital Site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of Health Centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of the permanent improvements herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 11th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29613 (New Series), in the sum of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29613 (New Series), it was declared that no part of the said sum of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"HOSPITAL BONDS, 1929"

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion, and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital Site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of Health Centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition, said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the in-

curring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Hospital Bonds, 1929."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 3500, both inclusive, and shall be payable $175,000 thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $175,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"HOSPITAL BOND, 1929."

No.———— $1,000

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached, as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, this bond may be transferred by such

registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———————— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———————— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———————— 19——.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said three million five hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $157,500 for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $149,625 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $175,000 thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of $141,750 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $175,000 thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seventeen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $7,875 by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from the date of said bonds of $175,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $175,000 each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual inter-

est on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Calling and Providing for Special Election—Playground Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8712, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for permanent improvements, to-wit: the acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for permanent improvements, to-wit: The acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes. The locations of said playground sites are to be selected by the Playground Commission of the City and County of San Francisco where, in the opinion of the Commission, the sites so selected will best serve the needs of the children of San Francisco for recreational purposes.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 11th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29614 (New Series) in the sum of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29614 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascer-

tained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"PLAYGROUND BONDS, 1929."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for the acquisition and development of permanent improvements, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes. The locations of said playground sites are to be selected by the Playground Commission of the City and County of San Francisco where, in the opinion of the Commission, the sites so selected will best serve the needs of the children of San Francisco for recreational purposes."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, se-

lected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States.

Said bonds shall be called "Playground Bonds, 1929."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 3100, both inclusive, and shall be payable $124,000.00 thereof six years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $124,000.00 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"PLAYGROUND BOND, 1929."

No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed

by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19——.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said three million one hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $139,500 for the first 6 years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the 7th year after the date of said bonds the sum of $133,920 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $124,000 thereof due 6 years from their date have been paid, and for the 8th year after

the date of said bonds the sum of $128,340 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $124,000 thereof due 7 years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for 22 succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $5,580 by reason of the payment each year beginning 6 years from the date of said bonds of $124,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $124,000 each year beginning 5 years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for 24 years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Calling and Providing for Special Election—McLaren Park Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8713, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 6th day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 6th day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings which are contiguous to and comprise portions of the Reis Tract, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, Paul Tract Homestead Association, University Mound Tract, University Homestead Association, Superior Homestead Association and other tracts, to comprise approximately five hundred and fifty (550) acres of land to be used for park purposes.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and

completion of the permanent improvement herein described was, by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works, procured through the City Engineer, and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 14th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29615 (New Series) in the sum of two million dollars ($2,000,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29615 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of two million dollars ($2,000,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvement are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"McLAREN PARK BONDS."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO".

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of a permanent improvement, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings which are contiguous to and comprise portions of the Reis Tract, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, Paul Tract Homestead Association, University Mound Tract, University Homestead Association, Superior Homestead Association and other tracts, to comprise approximately five hundred and fifty (550) acres of land to be used for park purposes."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election

the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "McLaren Park Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $80,000 thereof 6 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $80,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"McLAREN PARK BOND."

No. ——. $1,000.

For value received the City and County of San Francisco, a munici-

pal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor..

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency

of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———————— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number.....

.....

Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ..., 19.....

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said two million dollars bonds shall be the sum of $90,000 for the first 6 years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the 7th year after the date of said bonds the sum of $86,400 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $80,000 thereof, due 6 years from their date, have been paid, and for the 8th year after the date of said bonds, the sum of $82,800 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $80,000 thereof, due 7 years from their date, have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for 22 succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $3,600 by reason of the payment each year beginning 6 years from the date of said bonds of $80,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $80,000 each year beginning 5 years from the date of said bonds to pay, and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for 24 years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoinng tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days' notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Calling and Providing for Special Election—Aquatic Park Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8714, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit:

the completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park and the purchase of lands for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the purpose of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit: The completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park located within the City and County of San Francisco, adding to said park whatever equipment and construction are necessary to render it adequate for the purposes for which it is dedicated; and the acquisition of lands for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 15th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29616 (New Series) in the sum of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29616 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"AQUATIC AND MARINA PARK BONDS."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO".

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco

to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park located within the City and County of San Francisco, adding to said park whatever equipment and construction are necessary to render it adequate for the purposes for which it is dedicated; and the acquisition of lands for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places for voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized

the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials". All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States.

Said bonds shall be called "Aquatic and Marina Park Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 950, both inclusive, and shall be payable $50,000 thereof three years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $50,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

"AQUATIC AND MARINA PARK BOND."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ———, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written

upon the back or face of the bond, to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $42,750.00 for the first three years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $40,500.00 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $50,000.00 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and for the fifth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $38,250.00 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $50,000.00 thereof due four years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for sixteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $2,250.00 by reason of the payment each year, beginning three years from the date of said bonds, of $50,000.00 of said bonds, and the sum of $50,000.00 each year, beginning two years from the date of said bonds, to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for eighteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it

is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Calling and Providing for Special Election—Airport Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8715, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport, and all necessary Hangars, Machine Shop, Administration Building, Roads, Runways, Drainage Facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of Tractors, Rollers and Turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private, pleasure and sightseeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport, and all necessary Hangars, Machine Shop, Administration Building, Roads, Runways, Drainage Facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of Tractors, Rollers and Turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private, pleasure and sightseeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 12th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29617 (New Series), in the sum of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29617 (New Series), it was declared that no part of the said sum of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the

City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"AIRPORT BONDS"

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport, and all necessary Hangars, Machine Shop, Administration Building, Roads, Runways, Drainage Facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of Tractors, Rollers and Turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private, pleasure and sightseeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper

or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Airport Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated, shall be numbered from 1 to 1700, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof 3 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers, on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"AIRPORT BOND."

No. ———— $1,000.

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ....., 19...., one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively be-

come due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ——— $———

On ——— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ———.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19——

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said one million seven hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $76,500 for the first three years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $72,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and for the fifth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $67,500 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due four years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for fourteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $4,500 by reason of the payment each year beginning three years from the date of said bonds of $100,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $100,000 each year beginning two years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for sixteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Calling and Providing for Special Election—Civic Center Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8716, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 6th day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street to Market street and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street and for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 6th day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street at the width said street now is between Larkin street and Hyde street to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street and for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, consisting of a facade from Market and Fulton streets to Hyde and Fulton streets, improvements in Civic Center Plaza and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works, procured through the City Engineer, and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 12th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29618 (New Series) in the sum of one million dollars ($1,000,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29618 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of one million dollars ($1,000,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvement are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"CIVIC CENTER EXTENSION BONDS."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for the acquisition of a permanent improvement, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street at the width said street now is between Larkin street and Hyde street to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street and for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, consisting of a facade from Market and Fulton streets to Hyde and Fulton streets, improvements in Civic Center Plaza and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the

electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annualy, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States.

Said bonds shall be called "Civic Center Extension Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 1000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof two years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
State of California,
City and County of San Francisco.
"CIVIC CENTER EXTENSION BOND."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by

such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19——

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said one million dollars bonds shall be the sum of $45,000 for the first two years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the third year after the date of said bonds the sum of $40,500 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due two years from their date have been paid, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $36,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seven succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $4,500 by reason of the payment each year beginning two years from the date of said bonds of $100,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $100,000 each year beginning one year from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Super-

visors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Calling and Providing for Special Election—Broadway Tunnel Bond Issue.

Also, Bill No. 8717, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A tunnel, to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: a tunnel, to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 7th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29619 (New Series) in the sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) and of said sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) it is hereby declared and determnied that one-half of said sum, to-wit, seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) will be contributed by the City and County of San Francisco out of the proceeds of bonds to be issued for that purpose, and the balance of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) to complete the permanent improvement described herein shall be contributed by assessments to be levied from an assessment district to be formed for the purpose of obtaining funds to complete the said permanent improvement.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29619 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and it is hereby declared that of the said sum of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) to defray one-half of the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement, no part of said sum can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the said seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) of said described permanent improvement are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the amount of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray one-half of the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes cast thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"BROADWAY TUNNEL BONDS."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

A tunnel, to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector

may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States.

Said bonds shall be called "Broadway Tunnel Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 750, both inclusive, and shall be payable $75,000 thereof two years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $75,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"BROADWAY TUNNEL BOND."

No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State

of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond, to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United

States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————

.....

Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $33,750 for the first two years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the third year after the date of said bonds the sum of $30,375 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $75,000 thereof due two years from their date have been paid, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $27,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $75,000 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seven succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $3,375 by reason of the payment each year beginning two years from the date of said bonds of $75,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $75,000 each year beginning one year from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Closing Fulton Street From Van Ness Avenue to Franklin Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 29644 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that Fulton street, from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street, be closed and abandoned as a public street; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon Fulton street, from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street.

Said closing up and abandonment of Fulton street, from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street, shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter 3 of Article 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2; and be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said Fulton street, from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The Bulletin," as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy—2.

Mask Ball Permit.

Supervisor Andriano presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Ancient Order of Heroines of Jerico Poppy Court No. 6 be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball in Booker T. Washington Community Center, 1433 Davisadero street, Sunday, September 29, 1928.

Referred to Police Committee.

Red Cross Relief for Florida.

Supervisor Havenner, Chairman of the Finance Committee, in a statement to the Board, called attention to the sweeping disaster in the West Indies and Florida as a result of the recent terrible hurricane which had visited this region. He declared that Samuel Knight, chairman of the San Francisco Chapter of the American Red Cross, had indicated that San Francisco had been assessed $75,000 in a nation-wide drive to obtain a national fund of $5,000,000 to relieve the distress.

Supervisor Havenner, while he approved the steps taken to afford relief at once, questioned the method by which San Francisco's quota had been arrived at by the national headquarters.

Passed for Printing.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented and *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people on the eastern coast of the State of Florida have been visited by a tropical storm and hurricane, which has destroyed their cities and their homes, and the said people are at the present time in dire want and distress, and have made appeal to the people in the United States for aid in the time of their distress; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1928-29 levied a tax pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State of California, the proceeds of said tax to be used for advertising, exploiting and making known the resources of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the purpose of inducing immigration to and increasing the trade and commerce of said City and County; and

Whereas, in the opinion of this Board of Supervisors, by no better means can a knowledge of the wealth and resources of the City and

County of San Francisco be so thoroughly broadcast to all the people of the United States, nor can there be attached to it a more desirable name and reputation than that acquired through broad benevolences, particularly such as caring for the people of cities less fortunate than our own in time of distress; and

Whereas, by heeding the appeal of the people of the State of Florida, the City and County of San Francisco will in a small way be making return for the generosity of the people of the United States in its disaster of 1906; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, in the carrying out of this expressed purpose, there be and there is hereby appropriated from the Publicity and Advertising Fund the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars for the relief of the sufferers in Florida; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said sum of $10,000 is authorized in payment to Thomas F. Boyle, Auditor of the City and County, for the relief of said sufferers in Florida.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Call of the Board.

Supervisor Marks: I move that when the Board convenes at 8 o'clock tonight it will be at the call of the Board. Seconded by Supervisor Hayden.

Motion *carried.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Marks, the Board at the hour of 6:45 p. m. took a recess until 8 p. m.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 8 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Kent was elected to preside.

Revenue Bond Charter Amendment.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by adding a new article thereto to be designated as Article XII-B, relating to the acquisition, construction and extension of municipally owned public utilities and the issuance of bonds for that purpose to be redeemed and interest thereon paid solely from revenues of the particular utility.

ARTICLE XII-B.

Section 1. Whenever the Public Utilities Commission, created under the provisions of the proposed Charter amendment adding a new article to the Charter to be designated as Article XII-A and submitted to the voters concurrently herewith, determines that public

interest or necessity demands the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of any public utility or utilities, and that the cost of such acquisition or construction should be provided for by the sale or exchange of bonds of the City and County secured as to interest and redemption solely by the revenues of the particular utility, it shall specifically so declare such determination by a majority vote of all of its members, and shall direct its department of engineering to procure and file with said Commission plans and estimates of the cost of the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of such public utility or utilities.

Section 2. When the Public Utilities Commission certifies to the Board of Supervisors its determination that public interest or necessity requires the acqusition or construction of any utility of a class not already being operated under the charge of said Commission, or the acqusition or construction of additions or extensions of a utility then owned by the City and County which are estimated by its engineering department to cost more than one-half of the gross revenue of the particular utility for the year immediately preceding, and that bonds of the City and County of the character herein provided should be issued to provide for such acquisition or construction, the said Board of Supervisors shall within thirty days thereafter by resolution either approve or disapprove of the plan of acquisition or construction so submitted to it by the said Commission. If the Board of Supervisors shall by a majority vote approve such plan so submitted, it shall by ordinance submit to the voters of the City and County the question of the acqusition or construction of such public utility or utilities, or additions or extensions thereto, and the issuance of bonds of the character in this article provided to meet the cost thereof. Should a majority of the qualified voters voting on the proposition of the acquisition or construction of such public utility or utilities, or such additions or extensions, vote in favor thereof, the said Commission may issue bonds of the City and County of the class herein provided to meet the cost of such acquisition or construction. Such proposition may be submitted at a special election called for that purpose or at any other election authorized by law at which the qualified voters of the City and County are entitled to vote. The manner and procedure for calling any election authorized by this article shall be governed by the provisions of Article XII of the Charter, so far as applicable.

Section 3. Bonds of the character herein provided may be issued and sold or exchanged to provide the cost of construction or acquisition of additions or extensions to an existing utility owned by the City and County and being operated under the jurisdiction of the Commission, upon approval of such proposed acquisition or construction of such additions or extensions by the Board of Supervisors, without necessity for submission of the proposition to acquire or construct such additions or extensions to the voters of the City and County, when it appears from the report of the department of engineering of the Public Utilities Commission that such additions or extensions can be acquired or constructed for a sum not exceeding one-half of the gross revenues during the year immediately preceding of the particular system to which such additions or extensions are to be made.

The Public Utilities Commission may make additions or extensions to an existing utility owned by the City and County and operated under the jurisdiction of the Commission without necessity for approval of plans therefor by the Board of Supervisors when the cost of such additions or extensions can be paid from the revenues of such utility, after deducting therefrom such sums as are required to pay operating expenses, depreciation, reserves and bond obligations.

Section 4. The Public Utilities Commission in determining the amount of bonds which will be required to be issued and sold to provide funds for the acquisition or construction of any public utility, or additions or extensions thereto, may include a sufficient amount thereof to pay the interest accruing and to accrue on all such bonds sold for such purpose during the period of actual construction of such

utility, or additions or extensions thereto, and for a period of six months immediately following the completion of the same; and said Public Utilities Commission, should it determine it necessary so to do, may also include a sufficient additional amount of bonds to pay the estimated operating expenses of such utility, or additions or extensions thereto, for a period of three months after operation thereof shall commence.

Section 5. That any and all bonds issued by the Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to the provisions of this article, as well as all interest thereon, shall be payable wholly and exclusively out of the revenues received from the operation of the particular utility, for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of which such bonds may have been issued or sold.

Section 6. The Public Utilities Commission shall by resolution determine the form and conditions of all bonds issued under the provisions of this article, but the rate of interest provided shall not exceed five and one-half per cent per annum, and redemption of all such bonds shall begin in not more than ten years and shall be completed in not more than fifty years from the date of issuance. No such bonds shall be sold for less than par. Each and every such bond issued shall state plainly upon its face that it is payable, both as to principal and interest, only from the special fund created for that purpose out of the revenues of the particular utility, and that it does not constitute a general indebtedness against the City and County. When additional bonds are authorized and issued under the provisions of this article to provide funds for the completion of any public utility or for the acquisition or construction of additions or extensions to an existing utility owned and operated by the City and County, such additional issue or issues of bonds shall be subordinate to all prior issues of bonds for such utility which may have been made hereunder.

Section 7. When the system, plants or facilities of a privately owned and operated utility are purchased by the City and County, and the seller is willing to accept bonds of the character herein provided in payment therefor, such purchase price may be paid in such duly issued bonds determined as to amount on the basis of not less than par value for the bonds so exchanged.

Section 8. The Public Utilities Commission shall cause the gross income and revenues of any utility acquired or constructed under the provisions of this article to be deposited daily in the Treasury of the City and County to the credit of a separate and special account for each utility. The Public Utilities Commission shall by resolution fix and determine (a) the proportion of the revenues of such utility which shall be necessary for the reasonable and proper operation and maintenance thereof; (b) the proportion of the said revenues which shall be set aside as a proper and adequate depreciation account; and (c) the fixed proportion of said revenues which shall be set aside and applied to the payment of the principal and interest of the bonds authorized under this article.

In fixing and determining the proportion of the revenues of any such utility required for operation and maintenance, the Public Utilities Commission shall make an estimate of the cost of operation and maintenance, and shall not set aside into such special fund for operation and maintenance a greater amount or proportion of the revenues thereof than in its judgment shall be properly required for the said operation and maintenance. The sums to be set aside for operation and maintenance shall be used and applied exclusively for that purpose until the accumulation of a surplus of the amount hereinafter specified.

The proportion set aside to the depreciation fund shall be expended in meeting the cost of depreciation in the particular utility or in extensions or additions thereto. Any accumulation of such depreciation fund may be invested, and if invested, the income from the investment shall be carried into the depreciation fund. Such fund, and the proceeds thereof, shall not be used for any purpose other than as herein provided.

The fixed proportion which shall be set aside for the payment of the principal and interest of the bonds issued under the provisions of this article for each particular utility shall from month to month as the same shall accrue and be received be set apart and paid into a special account in the Treasury of the City and County to be identified as the bond redemption and interest account of the particular utility. In fixing and determining the amount and proportion which shall be set aside for the payment of the principal and interest of the bonds issued hereunder, the Public Utilities Commission may provide that the amount to be set aside for such purpose for any year or years shall not exceed a fixed sum, which sum shall be at least sufficient to provide for the payment of the interest and principal of said bonds maturing and becoming payable in each such year, together with a surplus or margin of ten per cent in excess thereof.

If any surplus shall be accumulated in the said operating and maintenance fund, which shall be equal to the cost of maintenance and operation of the said utility during the remainder of the operating year then current and the estimated cost of maintaining and operating the said utility for a period of six months thereafter, any excess over such surplus may be by such Public Utilities Commission ordered transferred to either the depreciation account, bond redemption and interest account, or may be used for extensions or additions to the utility as the Commission may decide.

The Public Utilities Commission may by resolution adjust the amounts or portions of the gross revenue of the utility to be appropriated or credited to the operation and maintenance fund, the depreciation fund and the bond redemption and interest fund at the beginning of each operating year, and in any such adjustment shall be guided by the results experienced during the preceding years.

Section 9. The provisions of Section 11 of Article XII-A of the Charter shall govern the sale or manner of selling of all bonds issued under the provisions of this article. All such bonds shall be signed by the president of said Public Utilities Commission and by the Treasurer of the City and County, and countersigned by the Auditor of the City and County. The signature of the said Auditor may be by facsimile. The provisions of Section 11 (A) of Article XII of the Charter shall apply to all such signatures. All such bonds shall be issued in denominations of not less than ten dollars and not more than one thousand dollars as the Public Utilities Commission may decide, and shall be payable both as to interest and principal in gold coin of the United States and at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, or at such places as may be designated by the Commission. The provisions of Section 10 of Article XII of the Charter shall be applicable in the matter of registration of any bonds issued hereunder.

Section 10. The provisions of said Article XII-A of the Charter shall control in all matters pertaining to the acquisition, construction and control of public utilities or extensions or additions thereto, and to the issuance or sale of bonds for such purposes where not herein otherwise provided. It is the purpose and intention of this amendment to provide for the issuance of bonds payable entirely out of revenue and for the manner of their payment and redemption, and not to change or annul any provision of said Article XII-A except as the same may apply to the issuance and payment of bonds payable wholly out of revenue, and the manner of the acquisition, construction or extension of utilities, the cost of which is to be provided for by said bonds as in this article provided; and the fact that there may be cast a greater number of votes in favor of this amendment, than may be cast in favor of the amendment hereinbefore referred to as Article XII-A, or that there may be cast a greater number of votes in favor of said last-mentioned amendment, than may be cast in favor of this amendment, shall not cause any conflicting provision in one of said amendments to prevail over the other.

Section 11. Bonds issued under the provisions of this article shall

not be subject to the limitation contained in Section 9 of Article XII of the Charter, and bonds issued hereunder shall not be considered in determining the application of the limitation contained in Section 9, Article XII of the Charter respecting the issuance of general obligation bonds of the City.

Section 12. The Board of Supervisors with the approval of the Public Utilities Commission may submit a proposition to the qualified voters of the City and County to refund all outstanding bonds of the character provided for in this article which were theretofore issued, sold or exchanged for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of any particular utility, by the issuance in lieu thereof of general obligation bonds of the City and County bearing interest at the same rate and redeemable at the same times as such outstanding bonds. If the proposition to so refund such outstanding bonds shall receive the assent of two-thirds of the qualified electors of the City and County voting thereon at an election called for that purpose, then such outstanding bonds shall be refunded by the issuance and exchange therefor of general obligation bonds of the City and County of the character provided for in Article XII of the Charter. All bonds issued under the provisions of this article shall contain a statement written or printed thereon to the effect that the City and County reserves the right to refund such bonds by the issuance and exchange therefor of general obligation bonds of the City and County authorized and issued in accordance with this section.

Section 13. This amendment shall become effective only in the event that the proposed Charter amendment adding a new article to the Charter to be designated as Article XII-A, providing for the appointment of a Public Utilities Commission and submitted concurrently herewith, be ratified by the voters and later approved by the Legislature.

Section 14. The term "Public Utilities Commission" or "Commission," as used in this article, shall mean the Public Utilities Commission provided for by the Charter amendment referred to in the preceding section.

Section 15. Nothing in this article contained shall in any way abridge, control or revoke the power of the electors of the City and County to vote for and cause to be issued bonds or general obligations of the City and County for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of any public utility as provided for in Article XII of the Charter, or to acquire, construct, complete or extend any public utility as provided for in said article, but this article shall be deemed to be an additional and supplemental method for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of any public utility and for the issuance of bonds of the class herein provided to pay for the cost of the same.

Amendment and Correction.

On motion of Supervisor Marks the words "Engineering Department," wherever they occur, were ordered changed to "Engineering Bureau" to conform to the designation used in the Public Utilities Commission amendment.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner the words "it being the purpose," in section 10, were corrected to read "it is the purpose."

On motion of Supervisor Andriano section 7 was eliminated.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the words: "and further that in the hands of a bona fide owner such bonds shall be deemed to have all the qualities of a negotioable instrument under the law merchant," was ordered inserted after the words "City and County" in the eleventh line of section 6.

City Attorney O'Toole offered a substitute for section 8, which was read by the Clerk, as follows:

Section 8. The Public Utilities Commission shall cause the gross income and revenues of any utility acquired or constructed under the provisions of this article to be deposited daily in the treasury of the City and County to the credit of a separate and special account for

each utility. The Commission shall by resolution fix and determine: (a) the proportion of the revenues of such utility which shall be necessary for the reasonable and proper operation and maintenance thereof, including pension charges and compensation insurance; (b) the proportion of the said revenues which shall be set aside as a proper and adequate depreciation reserve, and also a reasonable and proper accident reserve; and (c) the fixed proportion of said revenues which shall be set aside and applied to the payment of the principal and interest of the bonds authorized under this article, which fixed amount or proportion of the revenue to be appropriated to the bond redemption and interest account shall never be less than the amount required for redemption and interest obligations for the ensuing year of the bonds so issued and sold.

In fixing and determining the proportion of the revenues of any such utility required for operation and maintenance, including pension charges and compensation insurance, the Commission shall make an estimate of the cost of such operation, maintenance, pension charges and compensation insurance, and shall not set aside by resolution a greater amount or proportion of the revenues than in its judgment shall be properly required for such purposes. The proportion of the revenues set aside for operation and maintenance, including pension charges and compensation insurance, shall be used and applied exclusively for that purpose until the accumulation of the surplus of the amount hereinafter specified.

The proportion of the revenues appropriated by resolution to the depreciation reserve and to the accident reserve shall be respectively expended in meeting the cost of depreciation in the particular utility, or extensions or additions thereto, and in the payment of accident claims. Any accumulation of such depreciation reserve or such accident reserve may be invested, and if invested, the income from the investment shall be carried into the particular reserve from which such investment was made. Such reserves and the proceeds thereof shall not be used for any other purpose than as herein provided.

The fixed proportion of the revenues which shall by resolution be appropriated for the payment of the principal and interest of the bonds issued under the provisions of this article for each particular utility shall, from month to month, as the same shall accrue and be received, be set apart and paid into a special account in the treasury of the City and County to be identified as the bond redemption and interest account of the particular utility. In fixing and determining the amount or proportion which shall be set aside for the payment of the principal and interest of the bonds issued hereunder, the Commission may provide that the amount to be set aside for such purpose for any year or years shall not exceed a fixed sum, which fixed amount or proportion of the revenue to be appropriated to the bond redemption and interest account shall never be less than the amount required for redemption and interest obligations for the ensuing year of the bonds so issued and sold.

If any surplus shall be accumulated in the said operating and maintenance fund which shall be equal to the cost of operation and maintenance, including pension charges and compensation insurance, of the particular utility during the remainder of the operating year then current, and the estimated cost of operation and maintenance, pension charges and compensation insurance for said utility for a period of six months thereafter, excess over such surplus may be by the Commission ordered transferred to either the depreciation account, accident reserve account, bond redemption and interest account, or may be transferred to a surplus account to be used for extensions or additions to the particular utility, as the Commission may decide.

The Commission may by resolution adjust the amounts or portions of the gross revenues of the utility to be appropriated to the particular purposes in this section specified at the beginning of each operating year, and in any such adjustment shall be guided by the results experienced during the preceding year or years, but the fixed proportion

or sum to be appropriated to the special fund to meet the redemption and interest payments shall never be reduced below the actual requirements for such purpose for the ensuing year.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved to lay over until next session.

So ordered.

Palace of Fine Arts—Charter Amendment No. 35.

Supervisor Marks proceeded, read and explained the following proposed amendment:

Accepting the Palace of Fine Arts from the United States Government, and ratifying an ordinance granting spur track privilges to said Government.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend Section 8 of Article 1, by providing for the acceptance from the United States of deed conveying to the City and County of San Francisco the Palace of Fine Arts and the land upon which it is located, and approving, confirming and validating Ordinance No. 7531 (New Series), granting to the United States as a consideration for said conveyance the right to construct, maintain and operate, and to maintain and operate where already constructed, a spur track railroad over and along certain public streets in the City and County of San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the General Election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1928, the following proposal to amend Section 8 of Article 1 of said Charter, to read as follows:

Section 8, Subdivision 1. The City and County of San Francisco hereby formally accepts from the United States that certain deed, dated June 3, 1927, executed by Hanford McNider, Acting Secretary of War, and recorded on the 24th day of June, 1927, in Book 1502 of Official Records, at page 11, whereby the United States conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco the Palace of Fine Arts and that part of the Military Reservation of the Presidio of San Francisco upon which it is located, under authority of an Act of Congress approved March 3, 1925, entitled:

"An Act authorizing the Secretary of War to convey certain portions of the Military Reservation of the Presidio of San Francisco to the City and County of San Francisco for educational, art, exposition and park purposes."

Subdivision 2. That certain Ordinance No. 7531 (New Series), entitled:

"Ordinance granting to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate, and to maintain and operate where already constructed, in perpetuity, a spur track railroad, extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation, in the City and County of San Francisco, over and along certain public streets in said City and County."

Finally passed by the Board of Supervisors on May 2, 1927, and approved by the Mayor on the 14th day of May, 1927, is hereby approved, confirmed and validated.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Marks, the foregoing proposed amendment was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Federal Building in Civic Center—Charter Amendment No. 36.

Supervisor Marks read and explained the following amendment:

Authorizing the Board of Supervisors to transfer to the United States a site in the Civic Center for a Federal Building.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County, by adding a new section to Chapter II of Article II, to be known as Section 10a, authorizing the Board of Supervisors to transfer to the government of the United States, without any monetary consideration, a site in the Civic Center on which the government shall erect and maintain a Federal Building.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the General Election to be held on the sixth day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

By adding a new section to Chapter II of Article II, to be designated as Section 10a, reading as follows:

Section 10a. The Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to cause to be conveyed to the Government of the United States by a good and sufficient deed, and without any monetary consideration, a site at the northeast corner of Hyde and Fulton streets, in the Civic Center, to be used by the Government of the United States for the erection thereon of a Federal Building, which building shall be used and occupied by the said United States Government. That the said conveyance shall be made upon such terms and conditions as the said Board of Supervisors shall deem proper, and said Board of Supervisors shall have full power and authority to determine the size of said site to be conveyed and the conditions under which the same shall be conveyed. That any action to be taken by said Board authorizing the conveyance of said site shall be had and taken by ordinance duly adopted as in this article provided.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Marks, the foregoing amendment was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

War Memorial.

Supervisor Colman presented the text of a proposed Charter amendment, which was read by the Clerk.

Privilege of the Floor.

Herbert E. Hall, attorney for the Regents of the University of California, was granted the privilege of the floor and reviewed the history of the War Memorial project, and stated that the purpose of this Charter amendment now is to coordinate the interests of the Regents of the University of California and of the money which was privately subscribed with the $4,000,000 which the City has voted for the purpose of this War Memorial. "It is our opinion," he said, "that the Regents, under the trust by which they were given this money and property, cannot turn it over to the Board of Supervisors as such, but must turn it over to a group of trustees who can carry out the terms of the trust under which they received it. On the other hand, it is also our opinion that the City itself cannot go ahead with the War Memorial and use this $4,000,000 as long as the land remains in the name of the Regents. Consequently, there is a stalemate, which we believe can only be eliminated by the passage of a Charter amendment such as we have suggested. In drafting this we have used as a base two Charter amendments which were passed by you gentlemen and also by the voters of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

the one regarding the deYoung Museum, in which case the City itself owned all the land upon which the museum was erected, and also in the case of the Spreckels Palace of the Legion of Honor, another case where the City owned the land and the building itself was erected by private donations. Although we used that as the base, we have gone, as we believe, farther in attempting to liberalize this particular amendment. We have created a board, two members being ex-officio, the Mayor and Chairman of the Finance Committee, the remaining nine being appointees of the Mayor, but subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors. We have also classified the groups from which these members shall be appointed: three from the Veterans, two from the San Francisco Art Association, two from the Musical Association and two from the citizens at large."

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that it be the sense of this Board that we will consider this amendment not as one number on the ballot but last in the consideration of amendments; that in the interim between now and its further consideration, which will not be within twenty-four hours, that Supervisor McSheehy and Supervisor Colman and Supervisor Havenner, if he will, confer with the trustees of this fund, learn exactly upon what conditions it will be turned over to the people, and that they deal with this amendment in conjunction with the Judiciary Committee, liberalizing its provisions to the end, first, that the control of this building or buildings shall be more thoroughly in the hands of the people, and, second, that in the management of its affairs, under whatever trusteeship or board to be created by Charter amendment, there shall be restrictions which shall place them, as to financial matters, subject to the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will gladly second that.

Motion *carried*.

Excused.

Supervisor Stanton and *Supervisor McGovern* asked to be excused from attendance at tomorrow's meeting, as they were going to Sacramento at 5 p. m.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:45 a. m., September 18, 1928, took a recess until 1 p. m., September 18, 1928.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1928, 1:30 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 18, 1928, 1:30 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, McGovern, Shannon, Stanton—5.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

Award of Water Bonds.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 29645 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit: Hetch Hetchy

Bonds, Issue of July 1, 1928, to the amount of $4,000,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 17th day of September, 1928; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of R. H. Moulton & Company, Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Bankers Trust Company, American National Company, Illinois Merchants Trust Company and Security Company for said $4,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, comprising 100 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1938 to 1977, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said R. H. Moulton & Company, Harris Trust and Savings Bank, Bankers Trust Company, American National Company, Illinois Merchants Trust Company and Security Company for the price bid therefor, to-wit: $4,071,600, and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, McGovern, Shannon, Stanton—5.

Retirement System—Charter Amendment No. 37.

Supervisor Marks read and explained the following, section by section:

Simplifying the administration and removing arbitrary limitations on rates of contribution at certain ages.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the title to Article XVII of the Charter of said City and County and Section 1, Section 2, Section 3, Section 4 and Section 8, all of Article XVII of the Charter, of the said City and County, relating to the establishment of a retirement system for aged and disabled officers and employees of the said City and County.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the sixth day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

That the title of Article XVII be amended to read as follows:

RETIREMENT OF AGED AND DISABLED OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

That Section 1, Section 2, Section 3, Section 4 and Section 8 of Article XVII be amended to read as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby empowered under the conditions set forth in this article, on the vote of fourteen members and the approval of the Mayor, to establish a retirement system, which shall provide for death benefits, for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that elective officers and officers appointed by the Mayor and persons entitled to the benefits of any pension system now or hereafter established by the City and County of San Francisco for firemen or policemen, shall not be eligible to the benefits of any retirement system established under this Article. No member of any retirement system established under the provisions of this Article shall be retired, except in case of disability incapacitating him for the performance of his duties, unless he shall have attained the age of sixty-two years and completed ten years of continuous service, as defined by the Board of Administration hereinafter provided for, except that retirement shall be

compulsory at the age of seventy years. It may be provided, however, under such retirement system, that members may retire after thirty years of continuous service, as defined by the Board of Administration; the benefits at retirement in such cases to be determined, because of retirement at an age below sixty-two, in accordance with the tables recommended by the actuary and approved by said Board of Administration.

Section 2. The said Board of Administration shall secure from a competent actuary a report of the cost of establishing a general retirement system for all officers and employees of the City and County. Said actuary shall be one who has had actual experience in the establishment of retirement systems for public officers and employees, and his position shall be considered one requiring expert or technical training within the meaning of Section 2, Article XVI of this Charter.

Section 3. The retirement system shall be conducted on the contributory plan. The City and County shall contribute jointly with the members of the retirement system. Members of the system shall contribute not to exceed ten per centum of their salaries or wages, provided that members may, at their option, elect to contribute at rates in addition to those fixed as normal by the Board of Administration. The City and County shall contribute an amount equal to normal contributions of members as provided for in the preceding sentence, but the City and County shall not contribute any amount because of additional contributions by members. The system shall be applied to such offices, departments, bureaus, or classes of officers or employees of the City and County as the Supervisors shall determine. The mortality, service, or other tables calculated by the actuary and the valuation determined by him, and approved by the Board of Administration, shall be conclusive and final and any retirement system established under this Article shall be based thereon. Liabilities accruing under the retirement system because of service rendered to the City and County of San Francisco by individuals prior to the establishment of the system for their respective classes shall be met by contributions to the retirement system by the City and County, in addition to any amount contributed to match members' contributions, provided that such liabilities may be met by annual appropriations instead of by one appropriation for the total amount of the liabilities. The retirement system shall be managed by a Board of Administration as established in Section 4 hereof. The right of a person to a retirement allowance or to the return of accumulated contributions, the retirement allowance itself, the accumulated contributions themselves, any death or optional benefit, any other right accrued or accruing to any person under any retirement system established under the provisions of this Article and the moneys in any Fund created under such retirement system shall not be subject to execution, garnishment, attachment, or any other process whatsoever, and shall not be assignable except as specifically provided in the Ordinance authorized in Section 1 of this Article.

Section 4. A Board of Administration of said retirement system is hereby created, consisting of the Chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, the Auditor, three members elected from the active members of the retirement system, a resident official of a life insurance company, and an officer of a bank, to be appointed by the Mayor within sixty days of the taking effect of this amendment. Such appointees shall serve without compensation. Provided, however, that pending establishment of a retirement system, the Mayor shall appoint the three members to represent the active members of the retirement system from a list of nominees presented by the officers and employees who would be affected by such a system. Members other than ex-officio members shall so classify themselves by lot, that one term shall expire each year.

Section 8. The Board of Supervisors is empowered to extend the provisions of the retirement system established under the conditions set forth in this Article to cover teachers in the San Francisco School Department; provided, however, that the contributions to be made by

such teachers and the benefits to be received by such teachers under such retirement system shall be based upon the proportion of the salaries of such teachers which have been and shall be paid out of funds contributed by the City and County, excluding therefrom the portion of such salaries which have been or shall be paid out of funds contributed by the State of California; and in determining such proportion it shall be taken to be the same proportion which the whole amount of money contributed by the City and County to the Common School Fund in any fiscal year bears to the whole amount of money contributed to such fund in such year by the State and by the City and County; and provided, further, that nothing herein contained shall be construed to deprive any teacher of the rights to receive benefits under any pension or retirement system now or hereafter established by the State of California.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved that the proposed Charter amendment be ordered submitted, that the City Attorney be requested to prepare a proper caption for it, and that the Clerk check it as to its correctness.
So ordered.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the foregoing proposed Charter amendment, as recommended by the Judiciary Committee, was, on motion of Supervisor Marks, *ordered submitted to the electors* by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, McGovern, Shannon, Stanton—5.

Extension of Civil Service.

Supervisor Marks read and explained the following proposed amendment:

Appointments on Probation. Conditions of Discharge. Emergency Appointments.

Repeal Section 10, Article XIII of the Charter, and substitute:
Section 10. The appointing officer shall notify the Commissioners of each position to be filled separately, and shall fill such place by the appointment of one of the persons certified to him by the Commissioners therefor. Such appointment shall be on probation for a period to be fixed by the rules of the Commissioners; but such rules shall not fix such period at exceeding six months. The Commissioners may strike off names of candidates from the register after they have remained thereon more than two years. At or before the expiration of the period of probation the head of the department or office in which a candidate is employed may, by and with the consent of the Commissioners, discharge him upon assigning in writing his reason therefor to the Commissioners. If he is not then discharged his appointment shall be deemed complete. To prevent the stoppage of public business, or to meet extraordinary exigencies, the head of any department or office may, with the prior approval of the Commissioners, make emergency appointments, to remain in force not exceeding four months, and only until regular appointments under the provisions of this article can be made; provided, that the same person shall not be appointed to or be permitted to occupy any position or positions under such emergency appointments for a period exceeding four months; and the appointment of any emergency appointee who has served in the aggregate a period of four months in any position shall not be renewed. No person shall receive compensation for more than four months in any one year for services performed under emergency appointment, and the Commissioners shall not approve, nor shall the Auditor audit, nor the Treasurer pay, the demand for services of any person who shall serve under emergency appointment in any position

subject to the provisions of this article for more than four months in any one calendar year. Whenever authorization is issued by the Commissioners for such emergency appointment the Commissioners shall forthwith take steps to create a list of eligibles for said position as elsewhere provided in this article.

Amendment.

City Attorney O'Toole read the following proposed amendment:

"If at any time thereafter a permanent position to which he has been appointed shall be abolished, he shall then be entitled to a position in the same department and classification and at the same salary, or if there be none, to a position in said department and classification drawing the next lower salary. In the event of such change, any appointee displaced by reason of this provision shall be entitled to a position in the same department and classification drawing the same salary, or if there be none, then to such a position drawing the next lower salary, and so on with all other employees likewise displaced, to the end that the appointee last appointed shall be the one discharged on account of the abolishment of such position. The appointee so discharged shall be entitled to appointment to the first vacancy thereafter occurring within his classification in any department."

Motion.

Supervisor Powers moved that the foregoing language be inserted after the word "complete" in the fourteenth line.

Privilege of the Floor.

Grover O'Connor, attorney representing the Civil Service Employees' Association, explained the purpose of the foregoing amendment.

James Maher, Secretary of the Civil Service Commission, declared that the proposed amendment had not been submitted to the Commission and had not received its endorsement.

Motion Lost.

Whereupon, Supervisor Powers' motion was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt—5.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Suhr, Todd, Toner—9.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Shannon, Stanton—4.

Motion Carried.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman moved that section 10, as recommended by the Judiciary and Civil Service Committees, be ordered submitted to the electors.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Stanton—3.

Departments Governed by This Article.

Repeal Section 11, Article XIII of the Charter, and substitute:

Section 11, Subdivision A. The provisions of this article shall apply to all officers and employees of all boards, commissions, departments, bureaus or offices of the City and County which may now exist or which may hereafter be created by this Charter or by ordinance, unless said employees be exempted by Charter from the operation of these provisions, as well as to all officers and employees of all boards, commissions, departments, bureaus or offices which may now exist or which may hereafter be created by virtue of any Act of the Legislature of the State of California, where the compensation of such

officers or employees is paid by the City and County of San Francisco, unless said officers or employees are specifically exempted by Charter provision from the operation of the provisions of this article. The following officers and employees shall be exempted from the provisions of this article: Officials elected by the people and all appointees of the Mayor or of any other appointing power to any board or commission authorized in this Charter; all officers and employees of the Mayor, the City Attorney, the District Attorney, the Public Defender, and the San Francisco Law Library; all attorneys and physicians appointed to perform the duties of their professions; the manager or superintending head of each public utility, and all other employees specifically exempted by the provisions of Article XIIa of the Charter; the directing heads of California Palace of the Legion of Honor and of the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum; the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, an accountant appointed by the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors; the Purchaser of Supplies; the Chief of Police, the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, the City Engineer, the Registrar of Voters, the chief deputy or principal assistant of each official elected by the people, the Secretary of the Fire Commission, the Secretary of the Police Commission, the Chief of the Department of Electricity, the Chief Examiner and examiners appointed by the Civil Service Commission, the Superintendent of Parks and the Secretary of the Park Commission, the Superintendent of Playgrounds, the Secretary of the Board of Library Trustees, the Librarian of the Public Library, the Secretary of the Board of Education, the Superintendent of Schools and all deputy superintendents of schools; teachers engaged in teaching or in the supervision of teaching in the School Department; persons employed by the Board of Supervisors for temporary service in positions requiring high technical skill; persons employed in positions having a confidential relation to the head of the department in which the employment is held, but not more than one such position shall be established in any department, and only with the approval of the Civil Service Commission, who shall determine the necessity for such confidential employment; persons employed outside the City and County on the construction of public work of the City and County; all institutional and inmate help employed by the Department of Public Health where the monthly salary of any individual so employed shall not exceed the sum of fifty ($50.00) dollars per month, where such person or persons are specifically exempted from the provisions of this article by rule or resolution of the Civil Service Commission. Any person, not specifically exempted from the provisions of this article, who has served in any position in any office, department or board heretofore subject, or by this section made subject, to the provisions of this article, for a period of one year continuously next before the date of the approval of this amendment by the Legislature, and who at said date shall be actually employed in said position, is hereby declared to be appointed to the said position, and shall thereafter be subject to the provisions and entitled to the benefits of this article, and shall be classified by the Civil Service Commission in accordance with their respective duties.

Subdivision B. That in addition to the minimum amounts required to be appropriated annually to the Civil Service Commission by the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1 of this article, said Board of Supervisors shall annually appropriate to said Commission not less than seven thousand five hundred ($7,500.00) dollars, for the purpose of enabling said Commission to hold examinations for the classified service provided for by this article.

Subdivision C. Persons employed in the operating service of any public utility acquired by the City, and who have been so employed for not less than one year next preceding the date of the actual taking over of said utility by the City, and who are actually employed on said date, shall continue in their positions without examination, and shall be by the Civil Service Commission classified in accordance with their respective duties.

Subdivision D. No person in the classified civil service of the City and County shall electioneer for or against any candidate for endorsement, nomination, election or appointment to any public office by soliciting votes or being a member of any organization whose purpose is to nominate, endorse or oppose candidates for public office; nor levy, collect, or pay any money, or attempt to control or influence the action of any subordinate, to assist or oppose any such candidacy of any person for an elective or appointive public office. No elective or appointed official shall levy or collect money as an assessment or contribution for any political purpose from, or attempt to control the action of, any member of the classified service in the candidacy of any person for public office. The Civil Service Commission shall investigate any case of alleged violation of these provisions, and may prefer charges and, after hearing, order the suspension or dismissal of any officer or employee violating any of the provisions of this paragraph, on the furnishing of satisfactory proof by any person of such violation.

Amendment.

City Attorney O'Toole suggested that the following be added, and designated Subdivision E:

Appointments Under This Article.

All officers, courts, boards or heads of departments vested in this Charter with the power to appoint deputies, clerks, stenographers or other employees of any designation whatsoever shall make appointments only in accordance with the provisions of this article and the rules adopted thereunder, and any appointment not so made shall be void.

On motion duly made the foregoing was thereupon *adopted* and added to Section 11 as recommended.

Amendment Lost.

Supervisor Marks moved as a substitute for the last line of the first paragraph the following, offered by Grover O'Connor, attorney for the Civil Service Employees' Association, to-wit:

Any person, not specifically exempted from the provisions of this article, who has served in any position in any office, department or board, by this section made subject, to the provisions of this article, for a period of one year continuously next before the date of the approval of this amendment by the Legislature, and who at said date shall be actually employed in said position, is hereby declared to be appointed to the said position, and shall thereafter be subject to the provisions and entitled to the benefits of this article, and shall be classified by the Civil Service Commission in accordance with their respective duties.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Marks—1.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Stanton—3.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Marks explained his vote as follows:

Supervisor Marks: Mr. Chairman, I have expressed myself and my attitude with respect to portions of this amendment. To repeat it very briefly, I still believe in the extension of the civil service provisions to the parks, playgrounds and library trustees. However, the Board has quite decisively, by 14 to 1, indicated that they do not want so to restrict the amendment. And recognizing certain virtues in the amendment at this time I intend to abide by the decision of the Board in that respect and to vote for the amendment.

Motion.

Supervisor Andriano moved to strike out in Subdivision D the following words in the second line thereof: "electioneer for or against any candidate for endorsement, nomination, election or appointment to any public office, by soliciting votes or being a member of any organization whose purpose is to nominate, endorse or oppose candidates for public office; nor"

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Stanton—3.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Havenner explained his vote as follows:

Supervisor Havenner: I want to be on record in this one respect to explain why I am going to vote to strike this out: The original intention of the prohibition against activities by municipal employees in politics was to prevent them from doing it in the hope of reward by appointments to some other positions or promotions, or something of that sort. We have extended civil service here to a point where we have made it, as nearly as we can make it, impossible to disregard the civil service law and to manipulate through political influence and appointments to positions. Therefore, we have done away with the original reason for this prohibition upon the activities of the individual, and I do not think there is any occasion any more to restrict his freedom of speech and his right to engage in activities of this political form of government, so I am going to vote against arbitrary restriction on the ordinary political rights of a citizen.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that Section 10, heretofore approved, and the foregoing Section 11 as amended, be ordered submitted to electors as one combined Charter Amendment, that the City Attorney be requested to prepare a proper title and the Clerk check the amendment as to its correctness.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Stanton—3.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, Sections 10 and 11, as follows, were combined as one Charter Amendment and were *ordered submitted to the electors* by the following vote:

Extension of Civil Service—Charter Amendment No. 28.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors o the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amen the Charter of said City and County by repealing Sections 10 and 11 of Article XIII of said Charter, and substituting therefor new sections to be designated Nos. 10 and 11, providing for the method for the appointment of persons to the classified service of the City and County, for the period of probation and their tenure of office, and for the appointment of emergency appointees to avoid the stoppage of public business, and setting forth the department of the government which shall be subject to the provisions of Civil Service, and for the confirmation of certain employees in their positions, and providing for an annual appropriation to enable the Civil Service Commission to hold examinations for the classified service.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the sixth day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

That Sections 10 and 11 of Article XIII be amended to read as follows:

Section 10. The appointing officer shall notify the Commissioners of each position to be filled separately, and shall fill such place by the appointment of one of the persons certified to him by the Commissioners therefor. Such appointment shall be on probation for a period to be fixed by the rules of the Commissioners; but such rules shall not fix such period at exceeding six months. The Commissioners may strike off names of candidates from the register after they have remained thereon more than two years. At or before the expiration of the period of probation, the head of the department or office in which a candidate is employed may, by and with the consent of the Commissioners, discharge him upon assigning in writing his reason therefor to the Commissioners. If he is not then discharged his appointment shall be deemed complete. To prevent the stoppage of public business, or to meet extraordinary exigencies, the head of any department or office may, with the prior approval of the Commissioners, make emergency appointments, to remain in force not exceeding four months, and only until regular appointments under the provisions of this article can be made; provided, that the same person shall not be appointed to or be permitted to occupy any position or positions under such emergency appointments for a period exceeding four months; and the appointment of any emergency appointee who has served in the aggregate a period of four months in any position shall not be renewed. No person shall receive compensation for more than four months in any one year for services performed under emergency appointment, and the Commissioners shall not approve, nor shall the Auditor audit, nor the Treasurer pay, the demand for services of any person who shall serve under emergency appointment in any position subject to the provisions of this article for more than four months in any one calendar year. Whenever authorization is issued by the Commissioners for such emergency appointment the Commissioners shall forthwith take steps to create a list of eligibles for said position as elsewhere provided in this article.

Section 11, Subdivision A. The provisions of this article shall apply to all officers and employees of all boards, commissions, departments, bureaus or offices of the City and County which may now exist or which may hereafter be created by this Charter or by ordinance, unless said employees be exempted by Charter from the operation of these provisions, as well as to all officers and employees of all boards, commissions, departments, bureaus or offices which may now exist or which may hereafter be created by virtue of any Act of the Legislature of the State of California, where the compensation of such officers or employees is paid by the City and County of San Francisco, unless said officers or employees are specifically exempted by Charter provision from the operation of the provisions of this article. The following officers and employees shall be exempted from the provisions of this article: Officials elected by the people and all appointees of the Mayor or of any other appointing power to any board or commission authorized in this Charter; all officers and employees of the Mayor, the City Attorney, the District Attorney, the Public Defender, and the San Francisco Law Library; all attorneys and physicians appointed to perform the duties of their professions; the manager or superintending head of each public utility, and all other employees specifically exempted by the provisions of Article XIIa of the Charter; the directing heads of California Palace of the Legion of Honor and of the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum; the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, an accountant appointed by the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors; the Purchaser of Supplies; the Chief of Police, the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, the

City Engineer, the Registrar of Voters, the chief deputy or principal assistant of each official elected by the people, the Secretary of the Fire Commission, the Secretary of the Police Commission, the Chief of the Department of Electricity, the Chief Examiner and examiners appointed by the Civil Service Commission, the Superintendent of Parks and the Secretary of the Park Commission, the Superintendent of Playgrounds, the Secretary of the Board of Library Trustees, the Librarian of the Public Library, the Secretary of the Board of Education, the Superintendent of Schools and all deputy superintendents of schools; teachers engaged in teaching or in the supervision of teaching in the School Department; persons employed by the Board of Supervisors for temporary service in positions requiring high technical skill; persons employed in positions having a confidential relation to the head of the department in which the employment is held, but not more than one such position shall be established in any department, and only with the approval of the Civil Service Commission, who shall determine the necessity for such confidential employment; persons employed outside the City and County on the construction of public work of the City and County; all institutional and inmate help employed by the Department of Public Health where the monthly salary of any individual so employed shall not exceed the sum of fifty ($50.00) dollars per month, where such person or persons are specifically exempted from the provisions of this article by rule or resolution of the Civil Service Commission. Any person, not specifically exempted from the provisions of this article, who has served in any position in any office, department or board heretofore subject, or by this section made subject, to the provisions of this article, for a period of one year continuously next before the date of the approval of this amendment by the Legislature, and who at said date shall be actually employed in said position, is hereby declared to be appointed to the said position, and shall thereafter be subject to the provisions and entitled to the benefits of this article, and shall be classified by the Civil Service Commisison in accordance with their respective duties.

Subdivision B. That in addition to the minimum amounts required to be appropriated annually to the Civil Service Commission by the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1 of this article, said Board of Supervisors shall annually appropriate to said Commission not less than seven thousand five hundred ($7,500.00) dollars, for the purpose of enabling said Commission to hold examinations for the classified service provided for by this article.

Subdivision C. Persons employed in the operating service of any public utility acquired by the City, and who have been so employed for not less than one year next preceding the date of the actual taking over of said utility by the City, and who are actually employed on said date, shall continue in their positions without examination, and shall be by the Civil Service Commission classified in accordance with their respective duties.

Subdivision D. No person in the classified civil service of the City and County shall levy, collect, or pay any money, or attempt to control or influence the action of any subordinate, to assist or oppose the candidacy of any person for an elective or appointive public office. No elective or appointed official shall levy or collect money as an assessment or contribution for any political purpose from, or attempt to control the action of, any member of the classified service in the candidacy of any person for public office. The Civil Service Commission shall investigate any case of alleged violation of these provisions, and may prefer charges and, after hearing, order the suspension or dismissal of any officer or employee violating any of the provisions of this paragraph, on the furnishing of satisfactory proof by any person of such violation.

Appointments Under This Article.

Subdivision E. All officers, courts, boards or heads of departments vested in this Charter with the power to appoint deputies, clerks,

stenographers or other employees of any designation whatsoever shall make appointments only in accordance with the provisions of this Article and the rules adopted thereunder and any appointment not so made shall be void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Stanton—3.

Filed.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Marks, the following proposed amendments were ordered *filed*:

Appointments, on Probation, Etc.

That Section 10, Article XIII, is hereby amended to read as follows: The appointing officer shall notify the Commissioners of each position to be filled separately, and shall fill such place by the appointment of one of the persons certified to him by the Commissioners therefor. Such appointment shall be on probation for a period to be fixed by the rules of the Commissioners; but such rules shall not fix such period at exceeding six months. The Commissioners may strike off names of candidates from the register after they have remained thereon more than two years. At or before the expiration of the period of probation, the head of the department or office in which a candidate is employed may, by and with the consent of the Commissioners, discharge him upon assigning in writing his reason therefor to the Commissioners. If he is not then discharged his appointment shall be deemed complete. *If at any time thereafter the position to which he has been appointed shall be abolished, he shall then be entitled to a position in the same department and classification and at the same salary, or if there be none, to a position in said department and classification drawing the next lower salary. In the event of such change, any appointee displaced by reason of this provision shall be entitled to a position in the same department and classification drawing the same salary, or if there be none, then to such a position drawing the next lower salary, and so on with all other employees likewise displaced, to the end that the appointee last appointed shall be the one discharged on account of the abolishment of such position. The appointee so discharged shall be entitled to appointment to the first vacancy thereafter occurring within his classification in any department.* To prevent the stoppage of public business, or to meet extraordinary exigencies, the head of any department or office may, with the approval of the Commissioners, make temporary appointments, to remain in force not exceeding sixty days, and only until regular appointments, under the provisions of this Article, can be made. *It shall be unlawful for such a temporary appointment of the same person to be made twice. It is hereby made mandatory upon the Civil Service Commission, the Auditor and the Treasurer, respectively, to refuse to approve, issue a warrant for, or pay, any compensation to any employee who has held, or shall hold, a position under such a temporary appointment for more than sixty days in the aggregate. If any of the members of said Commission, the Auditor or the Treasurer shall violate any of the provisions of this section, he shall be individually liable personally and on his official bond to the City and County of San Francisco for moneys paid out contrary to the provisions of this section. Any resident of said City and County is hereby authorized to commence and maintain an action in the Superior Court to enforce such liability. The foregoing amendment with regard to temporary appointments shall not be applicable to clerks, experienced clerks or deputies in the Board of Public Works and Assessor's office, who shall have been certified to said offices from some civil service clerical classification or who shall have been given some clerical standing in said offices by Charter amendment.*

Committee action: Not heard. Disapproved. Duplicates Civil Service Committee recommendation in part.

Civil Service Amendment Submitted by Civil Service Association.

That Section 12, Article XIII, is hereby amended to read as follows: No person employed in the classified civil service shall be removed or discharged except for cause, upon written charges, and after an opportunity to be heard in his own defense. Pending the hearing of such charges, the appointing office or department may suspend the person so accused, but such suspension shall not be valid for more than thirty days upon any charge unless the hearing thereon shall be delayed beyond such time by the act of the person so accused. When such charges are filed with the appointing officer or officers of the department in which the employee serves, such officers shall publicly hear and determine such charges. The finding of such officers shall be final unless, within a period of thirty days therefrom, the employee so tried appeals to the Civil Service Commission against such finding. The appeal must be in writing and must briefly state the reasons upon which it is based. The Commission may confirm the finding, or may require the officers to present in writing the grounds for discharge or dismissal, and may require the submission of additional evidence, and may thereupon make such order as it deems just. The order or decision of the Civil Service Commission upon such appeal, *unless set aside by court upon a writ of review for want of substantial evidence*, shall forthwith be enforced by the appointing officers. If the Civil Service Commission shall reverse or alter the finding of the appointing officer, it may, in its discretion, order that the employee affected shall be paid his salary from the time of his discharge or suspension. The Civil Service Commission may hear and determine charges filed by any citizen, or by the authorized agents of the Commission acting under the power conferred by Section 14 of this Article, when the appointing power neglects or refuses to act. The appointing officer or officers of a department may, for disciplinary or penal purposes, suspend a subordinate for a period not exceeding thirty days, and such suspension shall carry with it the loss of salary for the period of suspension. Removal or discharge for cause may be upon any of the following grounds: incompetence; habitual intemperance; immoral conduct; insubordination; discourteous treatment of the public; dishonesty; inattention to duties.

Committee action: *Disapproved.*

Probation Department.

Supervisor Marks read and explained the following proposed amendment:

ARTICLE V, CHAPTER X.

Probation Officers, Assistants, Deputies and Employees.

Section 1. The Superior Court Judges of the City and County of San Francisco presiding in the department or departments for the hearing and disposition of criminal cases and proceedings shall, by order entered in the minutes of the Court in the criminal department or departments thereof, appoint the Adult Probation Officer.

The Judge of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, who has been, or who hereafter may be, designated the Judge of the Juvenile Court shall, by order entered in the minutes of the Court, appoint the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court.

The Adult Probation Officer shall appoint such assistants, deputies and employees as may be allowed or provided by the Board of Supervisors, subject to confirmation by the Adult Probation Board or committee created by State law.

The Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court shall appoint such assistants, deputies and employees as may be allowed or provided by the Board of Supervisors, subject to confirmation by the Juvenile Probation Board or committee created by State law.

The salaries of the Adult Probation Office, the Probation Officer of

the Juvenile Court, their assistants, deputies and employees shall be those fixed by the Board of Supervisors.

The Adult Probation Officer, the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, their assistants and deputies shall have the powers conferred upon Adult Probation Officers, Probation Officers of the Juvenile Court, their assistants and deputies, by the laws of the State of California; and they shall perform all of the duties prescribed by such laws, and such additional duties as may be prescribed by ordinances of the Board of Supervisors.

Civil Service Provisions.

Section 2. The provisions of Article XIII of the Charter shall apply to and govern the Adult Probation Officer, the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, their assistants, deputies and employees, and, for the purposes of said article, the aforesaid Superior Court Judges shall be deemed the appointing officers, department or power as to the Adult Probation Officer and the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court; and the said Adult Probation Officer the appointing officer or power as to his assistants, deputies and employees, subject to confirmation as aforesaid; and the said Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court the appointing officer or power as to his assistants, deputies and employees, subject to confirmation as aforesaid.

Any person who has served as Adult Probation Officer, and any person who has served as Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court of the City and County of San Francisco, for a continuous period of one year immediately prior to the approval of this amendment by the Legislature and who shall be actually serving as such Adult Probation Officer, or as such Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court at the time of the approval of this amendment by the Legislature, and any person who has served as an assistant, deputy or employee of such Adult Probation Officer or Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court or in the Probation Department of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like period, and who shall be actually serving as such assistant, deputy or employee at the time of the approval of this amendment by the Legislature, are hereby declared to be appointed within the provisions of said Article XIII to the office or position in which he may then be serving; and shall be entitled to all the benefits of said article thereafter. Employment of all probation officers, their assistants, deputies and employees, shall be permanent.

Subject to State Laws.

Section 3. Nothing in this article shall be in anywise construed as curtailing or affecting the powers and jurisdiction of the said Probation Boards or Committees over the said Adult Probation Officer, the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, their assistants, deputies and employees, as the same are now, or may hereafter be conferred upon the said Probation Boards or Committees by the laws of the State, except such as may be in conflict with the provisions of the Charter.

Pensions and Retirement.

Section 4. The provisions of Article XVII of the Charter shall apply to and govern the Adult Probation Officer, the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, their assistants, deputies and employees.

Motion.

Thereupon, Supervisor Marks moved that the amendment be submitted, that the City Attorney be requested to prepare a proper caption and the Clerk check the amendment as to its correctness.

So ordered.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the foregoing proposed Charter Amendment, as follows, was, on motion of Supervisor Marks, *ordered submitted to the electors* by the following vote:

Probation Department.

Providing for the appointment of Adult and Juvenile Probation Officers and for their assistants and deputies, and for their qualifications and for the manner of their appointment.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County, by adding a new chapter thereto to be designated as Chapter X of Article V thereof, providing for the manner of appointment of an Adult Probation Officer and for his deputies and assistants, of a Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, and for his deputies and assistants, and for the qualifications of said officers, deputies and assistants, and for the manner of fixing their compensation, and making all of said officers, deputies and assistants, subject to the Retirement System for aged and disabled employees.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the sixth day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

By adding a new chapter to Article V, to be designated as Chapter X and reading as follows:

Probation Officers, Assistants, Deputies and Employees.

Section 1. The Superior Court Judges of the City and County of San Francisco presiding in the department or departments for the hearing and disposition of criminal cases and proceedings shall, by order entered in the minutes of the Court in the criminal department or departments thereof, appoint the Adult Probation Officer.

The Judge of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, who has been, or who hereafter may be, designated the Judge of the Juvenile Court shall, by order entered in the minutes of the Court, appoint the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court.

The Adult Probation Officer shall appoint such assistants, deputies and employees as may be allowed or provided by the Board of Supervisors, subject to confirmation by the Adult Probation Board or committee created by State law.

The Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court shall appoint such assistants, deputies and employees as may be allowed or provided by the Board of Supervisors, subject to confirmation by the Juvenile Probation Board or committee created by State law.

The salaries of the Adult Probation Officer, the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, their assistants, deputies and employees shall be those fixed by the Board of Supervisors.

The Adult Probation Officer, the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, their assistants and deputies shall have the powers conferred upon Adult Probation Officers, Probation Officers of the Juvenile Court, their assistants and deputies, by the laws of the State of California; and they shall perform all of the duties prescribed by such laws, and such additional duties as may be prescribed by ordinances of the Board of Supervisors.

Civil Service Provisions.

Section 2. The provisions of Article XIII of the Charter shall apply to and govern the Adult Probation Officer, the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, their assistants, deputies and employees, and, for the purposes of said article, the aforesaid Superior Court judges shall be deemed the appointing officers, department or power as to the Adult Probation Officer and the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court; and the said Adult Probation Officer the appointing officer or power as to his assistants, deputies and employees, subject to confirmation as aforesaid; and the said Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court the appointing officer or power as to his assistants, deputies and employees, subject to confirmation as aforesaid.

Any person who has served as Adult Probation Officer, and any person who has served as Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court of the City and County of San Francisco, for a continuous period of one year immediately prior to the approval of this amendment by the Legislature and who shall be actually serving as such Adult Probation Officer, or as such Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court at the time of the approval of this amendment by the Legislature, and any person who has served as an assistant, deputy or employee of such Adult Probation Officer or Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court or in the Probation Department of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like period, and who shall be actually serving as such assistant, deputy or employee at the time of the approval of this amendment by the Legislature, are hereby declared to be appointed within the provisions of said Article XIII to the office or position in which he may then be serving; and shall be entitled to all the benefits of said article thereafter. Employment of all probation officers, their assistants, deputies and employees, shall be permanent.

Subject to State Laws.

Section 3. Nothing in this article shall be in anywise construed as curtailing or affecting the powers and jurisdiction of the said Probation Boards or Committees over the said Adult Probation Officer, the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, their assistants, deputies and employees, as the same are now, or may hereafter be conferred upon the said Probation Boards or Committees by the laws of the State, except such as may be in conflict with the provisions of the Charter.

Pensions and Retirement.

Section 4. The provisions of Article XVII of the Charter shall apply to and govern the Adult Probation Officer, the Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, their assistants, deputies and employees.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd, Toner—11.

Excused—Supervisor Marks—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr—6.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Marks: I desire to be excused from voting. I desire the record to show, Mr. Chairman, at a ruling from you, that I am xcused from voting on this amendment because I am disqualified from voting.

So *ordered.*

Park Tax.

Supervisor Marks read and explained the following proposed amendment:

Section 11. The Supervisors shall provide all necessary money for the maintenance and preservation of said parks, squares, avenues and grounds, and to that end shall annually levy a tax on all property in the City and County not exempt from taxation, which shall not be less than 10 cents nor more than 15 cents upon each $100 assessed valuation of said property, exclusive of such sums as may from time to time be appropriated, from the general fund or from bond proceeds, for additions to such parks, squares, avenues and grounds or the erection of additional structures or creation of additional parked areas thereon.

Committee action: Approved by Supervisors Marks and Hayden. Disapproved by Supervisor Todd.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks: I move you, Mr. Chairman, that the Charter amendment with respect to the park tax be submitted to the voters and the City Attorney prepare a title.

Seconded by Supervisor Hayden.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to insert the words "this amendment shall be in effect in the event only that the bond issue for parks, to be voted on in November, 1928, shall be approved by the people."

Seconded by Supervisor Gallagher.

Subsequently withdrawn.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Marks' motion and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Kent, Roncovieri, Schmidt—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Gallagher: I wish to explain my vote. I am voting *no* on this proposed amendment at this time for two reasons: No. 1, I feel that this on the ballot, as against the bond issue, may militate against the bond issue, and I am anxious to see the bond issue carried. No. 2, in view of the fact that the Board, in meeting the situation, has given the Park Commission equal to 12½ cents this very year for expenditures on present parks, and in view of the fact that if the bond issue is put over it cannot be brought into full effect for another period of two years, and in order not to hurt the bond issue at this time, much as I would like to assist the parks, I am voting not to submit this amendment.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Marks, the proposed Charter amendment, as follows, was ordered *submitted to the electors* by the following vote:

Taxes for Park Purposes—Charter Amendment No. 33.

Providing for the levying of taxes and appropriation of money for the maintenance, preservation and improvement of parks, squares and grounds.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 11 of Article XIV thereof, relating to the levying of taxes and the appropriation of money for the maintenance, preservation and improvement of parks, squares, avenues and grounds under the jurisdiction of the Park Commission.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the sixth day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

That Section 11 of Article XIV be amended to read as follows:

Section 11. The Supervisors shall provide all necessary money for the maintenance and preservation of said parks, squares, avenues and grounds, and to that end shall annually levy a tax on all property in the City and County not exempt from taxation, which shall not be less than 10 cents nor more than 15 cents upon each $100 assessed valuation of said property, exclusive of such sums as may from time to time be appropriated, from the general fund or from bond proceeds, for additions to such parks, squares, avenues and grounds or the erection of additional structures or creation of additional parked areas thereon.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—11.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Kent, Roncovieri, Schmidt—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Stanton—3.

Library Tax.

Supervisor Marks presented and explained the following proposed amendment:

Amend Section 2 of Chapter VII of Article VII to read as follows:

Section 2. The Supervisors shall, for the purpose of maintaining such Library and Reading Rooms and such branches thereof as the Board of Library Trustees may from time to time establish, and for purchasing books, journals and periodicals, or for purchasing or leasing real and personal property and for constructing such buildings as may be necessary, annually levy a tax on all property in the City and County not exempt from taxation which shall not be less than three and three-quarters cents upon each one hundred dollars' assessed valuation of said property. The proceeds of said tax shall be credited to the Library Fund.

Committee action: Approved by Supervisors Marks and Hayden. Disapproved by Supervisor Todd.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be ordered submitted, that the City Attorney prepare a proper caption and that the Clerk check it as to its correctness.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Toner—12.

Noes—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McGovern, Stanton, Suhr—5.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Roncovieri: At the present moment, from my attitude of mind, I am going to vote *no*. To me it is ridiculous to say not less than 3¾, it does not mean anything. * * * May I reply, and in fact suggest, to Supervisor Marks that he get in communication with the library trustees, or whoever it is that he has spoken with, and ask this question: About how much money, as a maximum, would you require for the library; what would be a maximum sum that you think you would require; what you would like to have? Now, that we can easily figure out. It might be 5, 6 or 7 cents. Whatever it is I am willing to vote for it as a maximum. But to simply make the sky the limit, they might want an extra million for another library, and all that sort of thing, which leaves it open too much. They are the best judges. I am willing to abide by what they may say to you as a maximum limit, as they see the problem, and they have been on the job many years, and they have had a great deal of experience, they know what they would like to have. They are receiving, say about $300,000 a year. Let them state the amount. Then we will work it out in the tax rate.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the foregoing amendment, in words and figures following, was *ordered submitted to the electors* by the following vote:

Library Tax—Charter Amendment No. 34.

Providing for the levying of taxes for the maintenance of libraries and to defray the cost of books, lands and buildings therefor.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County, by amending Section 2, Chapter VII of Article VII thereof, relating to the levying of taxes for the maintenance of libraries and reading rooms and branches thereof, and to defray the cost of books and periodicals, lands and buildings therefor.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

That Section 2 of Chapter VII of Article VII be amended to read as follows:

Section 2. The Supervisors shall, for the purpose of maintaining such Library and Reading Rooms and such branches thereof as the Board of Library Trustees may from time to time establish and for purchasing books, journals and periodicals, or for purchasing or leasing real and personal property and for constructing such buildings as may be necessary, annually levy a tax on all property in the City and County not exempt from taxation which shall not be less than three and three-quarters cents upon each one hundred dollars' assessed valuation of said property. The proceeds of said tax shall be credited to the Library Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon, Todd, Toner—11.

No—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McGovern, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—6.

Purchaser of Supplies Revolving Fund.

Supervisor Marks presented and explained the following proposed amendment:

Amendment—Section 6, Chapter I, Article III:

Section 6. (Except as otherwise provided in this Charter, no money shall be drawn from the treasury unless in consequence of appropriations made by the Supervisors and upon warrants duly drawn by the Auditor): Provided, however, that in the adoption of each annual budget as provided by this chapter, appropriations for materials, supplies and equipment shall be segregated for each department, office, board or commission which, under the provisions of Chapter IV of Article II of this Charter, requisitions such articles through the agency of the Purchaser of Supplies; that all or any part of each such fund or appropriation may, on the recommendation of the Purchaser of Supplies and the approval of the Finance Committee, be transferred to or made available as transfers to or amounts available in a Purchaser's Revolving Fund; that checks or warrants against such fund may be signed by the Purchaser and before payment shall be approved by the Chairman of the Supervisors' Finance Committee and by the Auditor, for the payment of bills on which discounts for prompt payment may be secured or for the advantageous cash purchasing under (favorable or) emergency market conditions of materials or supplies for future department requisition and use; and that all provisions of this charter in conflict with these provisions are superseded by such provisions to the extent of such conflict.

The invoice value of materials, supplies and equipment so purchased or paid for, plus actual additional handling charges, may be charged against the department or departments using same. Discounts earned may be accumulated in the Purchaser's Revolving Fund and the Supervisors may make annual appropriations to such fund until a sufficient sum is accumulated to meet the routine prchasing and discount-payment requirements of the City and County.

The Auditor, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, shall prescribe the form and procedure to be used by the Purchaser and the reports to be made by him in maintaining and reporting on his payments, transfers and credits to and from such fund.

Committee action: *Approved.*

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved that the foregoing amendment be submitted; that the City Attorney prepare the proper title, and that the Clerk check it as to its correctness.

So ordered.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the proposed amendment, in words and figures following, was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

Purchaser of Supplies Revolving Fund—Charter Amendment No. 38.

Creating a Revolving Fund for the Purchaser of Supplies for the immediate payment of bills and the saving of discounts.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 6, Chapter I of Article III thereof, providing for method of drawing of money from the treasury of San Francisco, and for the creation of a Revolving Fund for the Purchaser of Supplies, and for the use of said fund by the Purchaser of Supplies in order to obtain advantageous prices by the prompt payment for supplies purchased by the City.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

That Section 6, Chapter I of Article III be amended to read as follows:

Section 6. (Except as otherwise provided in this Charter, no money shall be drawn from the treasury unless in consequence of appropriations made by the Supervisors and upon warrants duly drawn by the Auditor): Provided, however, that in the adoption of each annual budget as provided by this chapter, appropriations for materials, supplies and equipment shall be segregated for each department, office, board or commission which, under the provisions of Chapter IV of Article II of this Charter, requisitions such articles through the agency of the Purchaser of Supplies; that all or any part of each such fund or appropriation may, on the recommendation of the Purchaser of Supplies and the approval of the Finance Committee, be transferred to or made available as transfers to or amounts available in a Purchaser's Revolving Fund; that checks or warrants against such fund may be signed by the Purchaser and before payment shall be approved by the Chairman of the Supervisors' Finance Committee and by the Auditor, for the payment of bills on which discounts for prompt payment may be secured or for the advantageous cash purchasing under (favorable or) emergency market conditions of materials or supplies for future department requisition and use; and that all provisions of this charter in conflict with these provisions are superseded by such provisions to the extent of such conflict.

The invoice value of materials, supplies and equipment so purchased or paid for, plus actual additional handling charges, may be charged against the department or departments using same. Discounts earned may be accumulated in the Purchaser's Revolving Fund and the Supervisors may make annual appropriations to such fund until a sufficient sum is accumulated to meet the routine purchasing and discount-payment requirements of the City and County.

The Auditor, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, shall prescribe the form and procedure to be used by the Purchaser and the reports to be made by him in maintaining and reporting on his payments, transfers and credits to and from such fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Stanton, Suhr—4.

Bonds of Employees.

Supervisor Marks presented and explained the following:

Proposed by the San Francisco Labor Council.

That Section 7 of Article XV be amended to read as follows:

Section 7. Every board, commission, department or officer may, with

the approval of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, require of their deputies, clerks or employees, bonds of indemnity with sufficient sureties for the faithful performance of their duties; and the premiums or charges for all such bonds shall be paid by the City and County.

Committee action: *Approved.*

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved that the foregoing amendment be ordered submitted, that the City Attorney prepare a proper caption and that the Clerk check it as to its correctness.

So ordered.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the proposed Charter Amendment, in words and figures following, was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

Bonds for Employees—Charter Amendment No. 39.

Providing for bonds to be furnished by employees and for the payment of the cost thereof by the City and County.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by amending Section 7 of Article XV thereof, relating to bonds to be required from deputies and employees for the faithful discharge of their duties, and for the payment of the cost of said bonds by the City.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

That Section 7 of Article XV be amended to read as follows:

Section 7. Every board, commission, department or officer may, with the approval of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, require of their deputies, clerks or employees, bonds of indemnity with sufficient sureties for the faithful performance of their duties; and the premiums or charges for all such bonds shall be paid by the City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Stanton, Suhr—4.

School Department Demands.

Supervisor Marks presented and explained the following:

Demands to be Filed and Signed. Salary Roll.

10. All demands payable out of the Common School Fund shall be filed with the Secretary of the Board of Education, and after they have been approved by the Board, they shall be signed by the President of the Board and the Superintendent and sent to the Auditor. Every demand shall have endorsed upon it a certificate, signed by the Secretary, of its approval by the Board of Education, showing the date thereof and the law authorizing it by title, date and section. Every person in the employ of the School Department entitled to a salary therefrom shall receive a warrant for the amount due and approved by the Board, signed by the *Auditor of the City and County of San Francisco.* The entire monthly salary roll of the Department shall be made up by the Secretary of the Board, and after being duly audited by the Finance Committee thereof and approved by a majority of all the members of the Board, shall be endorsed in the same manner as other demands. The salary roll so audited, approved and endorsed,

shall be immediately transmitted to the Auditor not later than the third day of every month for comparison with the individual salary warrants issued in the manner above provided; but payment shall be made only on the individual warrants issued in accordance herewith.

Committee action: Approved.

Motion.

Whereupon, *Supervisor Marks* moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be ordered submitted, that the City Attorney prepare a proper caption and that the Clerk check it as to its correctness.

So ordered.

Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the proposed Charter Amendment, in words and figures following, was, on motion of Supervisor Marks, *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

Payment of School Demands—Charter Amendment No. 40.

Providing for the method of drawing and paying demands against the Common School Fund.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County, by amending Subsection 10 of Section 1, Chapter III of Article VII thereof, relating to the payment of demands against the Common School Fund, and providing how said demands shall be signed, audited and paid.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the sixth day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

That Sub-Section 10 of Section 1 Chapter III of Article VII be amended to read as follows:

Demands to Be Filed and Signed. Salary Roll.

10. All demands payable out of the Common School Fund shall be filed with the Secretary of the Board of Education, and after they have been approved by the Board, they shall be signed by the President of the Board and the Superintendent and sent to the Auditor. Every demand shall have endorsed upon it a certificate, signed by the Secretary, of its approval by the Board of Education, showing the date thereof and the law authorizing it by title, date and section. Every person in the employ of the School Department entitled to a salary therefrom shall receive a warrant for the amount due and approved by the Board, signed by the Auditor of the City and County of San Francisco. The entire monthly salary roll of the Department shall be made up by the Secretary of the Board, and after being duly audited by the Finance Committee thereof and approved by a majority of all the members of the Board, shall be endorsed in the same manner as other demands. The salary roll so audited, approved and endorsed, shall be immediately transmitted to the Auditor not later than the third day of every month for comparison with the individual salary warrants issued in the manner above provided; but payment shall be made only on the individual warrants issued in accordance herewith.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Stanton, Suhr—4.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 5:30 p. m. took a recess until 8 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 8, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I. John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 40

Monday, September 24, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 24, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner —13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

Quorum present.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was *laid over for approval until next meeting.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Rubber-Covered Wire.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for the furnishing rubber covered wire, with pure lead sheath, for Department of Electricity and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Underground Cable.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing underground signal and telephone cables for Department of Electricity and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Hospital Equipment.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing hospital equipment for San Francisco Hospital and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

SET-BACK LINE HEARING—11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Dolores street between Jersey street and Twenty-fifth street, fixed for the hour of 11 a. m. this day.

Privilege of the Floor.

A. J. Brannagan, attorney representing property owners, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, opposing the change.

Rereferred.

Whereupon, the following bill was ordered *rereferred to the City Planning Committee*:

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portion of Dolores street between Jersey street and Twenty-fifth street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fra cisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 27th day of August, 192 the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 181 t establish set-back lines along portion of Dolores street between Jerse street and Twenty-fifth street, and fixed the 24th day of Septembe 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisor as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafte and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution wa published and notices of the passage of said resolution were poste along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required b Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at th time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearin have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordanc with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-bac lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Dolores street between Jersey street an Twenty-fifth street, said set-back line to be 16 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisor and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the areas between sai set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said ordinanc No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of th ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken u and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for Sewer Bonds.

Prepared by City Attorney.

Bill No. 8710, Ordinance No. 8197 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the Ci and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of Novembe 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and Coun of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City a County of San Francisco to the amount of two million two hundr thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion certain permanent improvements, to-wit: the construction and exte sion of the Sewer System in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fra cisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be he in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of sa City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bond debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of tw million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the constructi and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit: The co struction and extension of the Sewer System in the City and Coun of San Francisco in and along Fillmore Street from the vicinity Sutter and Divisadero Streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hay Valley to Tenth and Harrison Streets; Fourteenth, Market and F teenth Streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market Streets Fifteenth and Harrison Streets; College Hill Tunnel from the Missi Street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose Avenue and Army Stree Alemany Boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission Street to the vicini of Revere Avenue and Industrial Street; Twenty-third Avenue a Geary Street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh Avenue and Gea Street to Twenty-third Avenue and Lake Street.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 11th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29612 (New Series), in the sum of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29612 (New Series), it was declared that no part of the said sum of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the construction and completion of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"SEWER BONDS 1929"

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The construction and extension of the Sewer System in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore Street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero Streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison Streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market Streets to Fifteenth and Harrison Streets; College Hill Tunnel from the Mission Street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose Avenue and Army Street; Alemany Boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission Street to the vicinity of Revere Avenue and Industrial Street; Twenty-third Avenue

and Geary Street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh Avenue and Geary Street to Twenty-third Avenue and Lake Street."

Bonds issued for the construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Sewer Bonds 1929."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 2200, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"SEWER BOND, 1929"

No..... $1,000

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No..... $.....

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19——

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said two million two hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of niney-nine thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $94,500 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of $90,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for nineteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $4,500 by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from the date of said bonds of $100,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $100,000 each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-one years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for Health Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8711, Ordinance No. 8198 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November,

1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion, and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit: Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a Psychopathic Building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present Ward Buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a Health Center Building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of Health Centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit: Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital Site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of Health Centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of the permanent improvements herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 11th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29613 (New Series), in the sum of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29613 (New Series), it was declared that no part of the said sum of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elec-

tions in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"HOSPITAL BONDS, 1929"

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion, and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital Site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of Health Centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings.

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition, said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Hospital Bonds, 1929."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 3500, both inclusive, and shall be payable $175,000 thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $175,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

"HOSPITAL BOND, 1929."

No.———— $1,000

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached, as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions

and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———— 19——.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of

said three million five hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $157,500 for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $149,625 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $175,000 thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of $141,750 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $175,000 thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seventeen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $7,875 by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from the date of said bonds of $175,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $175,000 each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for Playground Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8712, Ordinance No. 8199 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for permanent improvements, to-wit: the acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for permanent improvements, to-wit: The acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes. The locations of said playground sites are to be selected by the Playground Commission of the City and County of San Francisco where, in the opinion of the Commission, the sites so selected

will best serve the needs of the children of San Francisco for recreational purposes.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 11th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29614 (New Series) in the sum of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29614 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"PLAYGROUND BONDS, 1929."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for the acquisition and development of permanent improvements, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes. The locations of said playground sites are to be selected by the Playground Commission of the City and County of San Francisco where, in the opinion of the Commission, the sites so selected will best serve the needs of the children of San Francisco for recreational purposes."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States.

Said bonds shall be called "Playground Bonds, 1929."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 3100, both inclusive, and shall be payable $124,000.00 thereof six years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $124,000.00 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"PLAYGROUND BOND, 1929."

No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....

Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19——.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said three million one hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $139,500 for the first 6 years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the 7th year after the date of said bonds the sum of $133,920 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $124,000 thereof due 6 years from their date have been paid, and for the 8th year after the date of said bonds the sum of $128,340 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $124,000 thereof due 7 years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for 22 succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $5,580 by reason of the payment each year beginning 6 years from the date of said bonds of $124,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $124,000 each year beginning 5 years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for 24 years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for McLaren Park Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8713, Ordinance No. 8200 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and

County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 6th day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 6th day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings which are contiguous to and comprise portions of the Reis Tract, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, Paul Tract Homestead Association, University Mound Tract, University Homestead Association, Superior Homestead Association and other tracts, to comprise approximately five hundred and fifty (550) acres of land to be used for park purposes.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described was, by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works, procured through the City Engineer, and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 14th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29615 (New Series) in the sum of two million dollars ($2,000,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29615 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of two million dollars ($2,000,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvement are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"McLAREN PARK BONDS."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the

amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO".

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of a permanent improvement, to-wit

YES	
NO	

The acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings which are contiguous to and comprise portions of the Reis Tract, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, Paul Tract Homestead Association, University Mound Tract, University Homestead Association, Superior Homestead Association and other tracts, to comprise approximately five hundred and fifty (550) acres of land to be used for park purposes."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result there-

of in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "McLaren Park Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $80,000 thereof 6 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $80,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

"McLAREN PARK BOND."

No. ——. $1,000.

For value received the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presenta-

tion to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———————— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———————— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number.....

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ..., 19.....

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said two million dollars bonds shall be the sum of $90,000 for the first 6 years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the 7th year after the date of said bonds the sum of $86,400 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $80,000 thereof, due 6 years from their date, have been paid, and for the 8th year after the date of said bonds, the sum of $82,800 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $80,000 thereof, due 7 years from their date, have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for 22 succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $3,600 by reason of the payment each year beginning 6 years from the date of said bonds of $80,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $80,000 each year beginning 5 years from the date of said bonds to pay, and in season to

pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for 24 years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoinng tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days' notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for Aquatic Park Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8714, Ordinance No. 8201 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit: the completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park and the purchase of lands for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the purpose of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit: The completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park located within the City and County of San Francisco, adding to said park whatever equipment and construction are necessary to render it adequate for the purposes for which it is dedicated; and the acquisition of lands for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 15th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29616 (New Series) in the sum of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29616 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose,

and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"AQUATIC AND MARINA PARK BONDS."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO".

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park located within the City and County of San Francisco, adding to said park whatever equipment and construction are necessary to render it adequate for the purposes for which it is dedicated; and the acquisition of lands for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition.

Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places for voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials". All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States.

Said bonds shall be called "Aquatic and Marina Park Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 950, both inclusive, and shall be payable $50,000 thereof three years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $50,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

"AQUATIC AND MARINA PARK BOND."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ———, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate

of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond, to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United

States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————

.....

Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $42,750.00 for the first three years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $40,500.00 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $50,000.00 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and for the fifth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $38,250.00 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $50,000.00 thereof due four years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for sixteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $2,250.00 by reason of the payment each year, beginning three years from the date of said bonds, of $50,000.00 of said bonds, and the sum of $50,000.00 each year, beginning two years from the date of said bonds, to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for eighteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for Airport Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8715, Ordinance No. 8202 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County

of San Francisco as an Airport, and all necessary Hangars, Machine Shop, Administration Building, Roads, Runways, Drainage Facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of Tractors, Rollers and Turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private, pleasure and sightseeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport, and all necessary Hangars, Machine Shop, Administration Building, Roads, Runways, Drainage Facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of Tractors, Rollers and Turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private, pleasure and sightseeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 12th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29617 (New Series), in the sum of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29617 (New Series), it was declared that no part of the said sum of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"AIRPORT BONDS"

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of

and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport, and all necessary Hangars, Machine Shop, Administration Building, Roads, Runways, Drainage Facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of Tractors, Rollers and Turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private, pleasure and sightseeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results

in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Airport Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated, shall be numbered from 1 to 1700, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof 3 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers, on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"AIRPORT BOND."

No. ———— $1,000.

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ....., 19...., one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco,

in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ——— $———

On ——— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ———.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19——

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said one million seven hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $76,500 for the first three years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $72,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and for the fifth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $67,500 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due four years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for fourteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $4,500 by reason of the payment each year beginning three years from the date of said bonds of $100,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $100,000 each year beginning two years from the

date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for sixteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Calendar Correction.

Supervisor Havenner called attention to the fact that the calendar did not print the corrected bills calling and providing for a special election for Civic Center and Broadway Tunnel Bond Issues, and asked that Assistant City Attorney Dion Holm be asked to attend and advise the Board as to proper procedure, which he did, informing the Board that the bills as published in the official newspaper were correct and in proper form.

Final Passage.

Thereupon Supervisor Havenner moved the final passage of the following bills heretofore passed for printing and printed in the official newspaper, to-wit:

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for Civic Center Bonds.

Bill No. 8716, Ordinance No. 8203 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 6th day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street to Market street and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street and for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 6th day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street at the width said street now is between Larkin street and Hyde street to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street and for the extension, development and beautifica-

tion of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, consisting of a facade from Market and Fulton streets to Hyde and Fulton streets, improvements in Civic Center Plaza and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works, procured through the City Engineer, and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 12th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29618 (New Series) in the sum of one million dollars ($1,000,000).

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29618 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of one million dollars ($1,000,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvement are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"CIVIC CENTER EXTENSION BONDS."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for the acquisition of a permanent improvement, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street at the width said street now is between Larkin street and Hyde street to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street and for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, consisting of a facade from Market and Fulton streets to Hyde and

Fulton streets, improvements in Civic Center Plaza and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annualy, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States.

Said bonds shall be called "Civic Center Extension Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 1000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof two years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
State of California,
City and County of San Francisco.
"CIVIC CENTER EXTENSION BOND."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19———, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with

he engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this ond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ——— $———

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19——

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said one million dollars bonds shall be the sum of 45,000 for the first two years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the third year after the date of said bonds the sum of $40,500 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due two years from their date have been paid, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $36,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seven succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of 4,500 by reason of the payment each year beginning two years from the date of said bonds of $100,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $100,000 each year beginning one year from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid. The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days

notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for Broadway Tunnel Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8717, Ordinance No. 8204 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A tunnel to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: a tunnel, to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 7th day of September, 1928, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 29619 (New Series) in the sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) and of said sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) it is hereby declared and determnied that one-half of said sum, to-wit, seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) will be contributed by the City and County of San Francisco out of the proceeds of bonds to be issued for that purpose, and the balance of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) to complete the permanent improvement described herein shall be contributed by assessments to be levied from an assessment district to be formed for the purpose of obtaining funds to complete the said permanent improvement.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 29619 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and it is hereby declared that of the said sum of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) to defray one-half of the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement, no part of

said sum can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the said seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) of said described permanent improvement are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the amount of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray one-half of the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes cast thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"BROADWAY TUNNEL BONDS."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following:

"To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

A tunnel, to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements."

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a

vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors, and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States.

Said bonds shall be called "Broadway Tunnel Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 750, both inclusive, and shall be payable $75,000 thereof two years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $75,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

"BROADWAY TUNNEL BOND."

No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due,

both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond, to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $33,750 for the first two years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the third year after the date of said bonds the sum of $30,375 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $75,000 thereof due two years from their date have been paid, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $27,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $75,000 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seven succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $3,375 by reason of the payment each year beginning two years from the date of said bonds of $75,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $75,000 each year beginning one year from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29661 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... $810.00

(2) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 927.36
(3) Sather Gate Book Shop, library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 2,107.06
(4) Sather Gate Book Shop, library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 1,944.48
(5) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 2,582.35
(6) Macmillan Co., library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 525.84

School Bonds, 1918.

(7) Overkamp Machinery Co., woodworking shop machinery for Portola School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... $805.00

Special School Tax.

(8) The Bradford Machine Tool Co., lathes for Balboa School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... $2,940.00
(9) C. F. Bulotti Machinery Co., lathe for Balboa School (claim dated Sept 4, 1928)..... $1,229.35
(10) Harron, Rickard & McCone Co., lathe for Balboa School (claim dated Sept 4, 1928)..... 1,430.00
(11) Louis G. Henes, lathe for Balboa School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... 1,415.00
(12) Overkamp Machinery Co., lathe for Balboa School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... 1,148.00
(13) Royal Typewriter Co., typewriting machines for schools (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... 2,906.06
(14) L. C. Smith and Corona Typewriters Inc, typewriting machines for Balboa School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928).... 2,940.00
(15) The Pacific National Bank, for H. E. Stallman, milling machine for Balboa School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928).... 1,320.00
(16) John Reid Jr., fourth payment, architectural services for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 527.28
(17) A. Lettich, sixth payment, mechanical equipment for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)... 1,404.69
(18) American Type Founders Co., printing shop equipment for Everett Junior High School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) 2,256.29
(19) Miller Printing Machinery Co., printing machinery (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 831.50

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(20) Rudolph G. Theurkauf, for professional services and expense, per vouchers attached, for the installation of San Francisco exhibit at the Pacific Southwest Exposition, Long Beach, Cal., July 27 to Sept. 3, 1928 (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928) $6,496.83
(21) Rudolph G. Theurkauf, for professional services and expense, per vouchers attached, for installation of San Francisco exhibit at State Fair, Sacramento (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 1,400.00

Municipal Railway Fund.

(22) Market Street Railway Co., repairs to tracks of Municipal Railway at Ferry Loop (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928).... $754.35
(23) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for employees (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... 1,155.04

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(24) Azilda Quevillon, in full settlement of claim against the Municipal Railways for account of accident on or about June 1, 1927 (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... $4,250.00

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(25) Eaton & Smith, fifth payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)...$56,700.00

(26) Robert W. Jamison, fourth payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 1,800.00

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(27) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, month of August reserve for depreciation, per Charter requirements (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928).....$14,583.00

(28) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, equipment furnished and services rendered Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund for months of May, June and July, 1928 (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 2,259.51

(29) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 501.09

Water Construction Fund, Issue 1910.

(30) Mary Lee Lawlor, payment for all of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 48 in Block 2895, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, required for the San Miguel Reservoir (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... $1,000.00

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(31) American Painting and Decorating Co., extra painting work for the Portola Junior High School (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... $515.00

County Road Fund.

(32) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928)..... $1,532.00

(33) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928)..... 1,085.36

(34) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, redressing of curbs used in reconstruction of streets during July (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928)..... 1,424.00

(35) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, redressing of curbs used in reconstruction of streets during July (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928)..... 1,010.00

Playground Fund.

(36) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928)..... $2,066.96

(37) C. C. W. and H. H. Haun, concrete and asphalt work at North Beach Playground (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928)..... 870.00

(38) A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928)..... 1,104.00

Park Fund.

(39) Montague Pipe and Steel Co., casing for park well (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... $521.50

(40) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for park construction (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 506.29

(41) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for parks (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 515.67

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(42) Juvenile Court, expense of Feeble-Minded Department for month of August (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... $539.02

(43) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 1,010.69

(44) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline furnished Police Department (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 1,244.86

(45) United Drayage and Warehouse Co., delivery and return of voting machines (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928)..... 3,385.50
(46) Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Calendar and Journal (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928) 1,144.15
(47) The Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928)..... 972.00
(48) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for sewers (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928)..... 590.50
(49) Remillard Brick Co., brick for sewer repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928)..... 638.00
(50) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928)..... 502.25
(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 2,460.79
(52) Dr. L. M. Wilbor, Superintendent San Francisco Hospital, room allowances for hospital employees (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 3,500.00
(53) Tiedemann & McMorran, foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 1,980.80
(54) Smith, Lynden & Co., foodstuffs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 1,328.75
(55) California Meat Co., meat, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 3,256.07
(56) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co, fruit and vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 1,247.19
(57) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 8,239.86
(58) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 1,120.78
(59) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 8,188.86
(60) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 732.89
(61) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 34,531.76
(62) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 3,725.65
(63) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 12,320.51
(64) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 1,395.68
(65) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 1,205.70
(66) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 880.77
(67) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 1,721.32
(68) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 2,797.18
(69) The Texas Company, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 2,242.04
(70) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 652.00
(71) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of the Civic Center (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928).. 843.54
(72) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for money expended for improvement of Yacht Harbor (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 3,733.04
(73) Sibley Grading and Teaming Co., hauling piles for Yacht Harbor improvement (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928).. 574.10

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Transfer of Funds, Reimbursement for Labor and Material Used for Painting of Traffic Lanes.

Also, Resolution No. 29647 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,046.86 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 57, to the credit of Board of Public Works, "Reimbursements," Budget Item No. 428-B; being reimbursement for labor and material furnished and used for painting of traffic lanes during the month of July, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Appropriations, Reimbursement for Repairs to Equipment.

Also, Resolution No. 29648 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter designated appropriations, Department of Public Works, to the credit of Appropriation 30-A, Budget Item No. 448, Board of Public Works; being reimbursement of Bureau of Stores and Yards for expenditures in connection with repair to equipment during month of August, 1928, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 515, Street Repair Dept.	$680.03
Budget Item No. 536, Street Cleaning Dept.	801.64
Budget Item No. 549, Sewer Repair Dept.	224.04
Budget Item No. 561, Auto Maintenance	55.13
Budget Item No. 490, Bureau of Engineering	64.12
Budget Item No. 270, Repair to Street Signs	318.38
	$2,143.34

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Appropriation, Alterations to Playgrounds, Pacific Heights School.

Also, Resolution No. 29649 (New Series, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax to defray the cost of alterations to the playground at the Pacific Heights School, north side of Jackson street between Fillmore and Webster streets, including contract awarded to F. C. Amoroso, and extras, incidentals and inspection.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Appropriation, Materials for Traffic Signals.

Also, Resolution No. 29650 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," Budget Item No. 57, for the purchase of materials for the construction of traffic signals, the construction of traffic signals and the installation of same; by the Department of Electricity.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Roadway and Drainage at Airport.

Also, Bill No. 8709, Ordinance No. 8205 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a runway and drainage at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, San Mateo County, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for said construction, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, which are hereby approved.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordering the construction of a runway and drainage at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, San Mateo County, California, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works; and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for said construction of runway and drainage, and to enter into contract for said construction of runway and drainage, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, which are hereby approved.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Authorizations.

Also, Resolution No. 29651 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium—Appropriation 56.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of August (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) $3,954.35

Park Fund.

(2) Standard Oil Co., oil for parks (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928) 2,260.81

(3) A. G. Spaulding & Bros., park supplies (claim dated Sept. 7, 1928) 633.60

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(4) The Marmon Steam Shovel Co., steam shovel parts (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) $1,182.89

(5) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 871.15

(6) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Sept 4, 1928) 2,648.44

(7) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 845.54

(8) Maria L. Borge, 6.98 acres land in Alameda County, as approved by Resolution No. 29458 (New Series), required in connection with construction of Coast Range Division, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)... 1,935.00

(9) Celite Products Co., celite for concrete (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... 720.00

(10) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928)..... 5,800.00

(11) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 1,660.88

(12) Makins Produce Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 592.32

(13) Amelia Pinheiro, Manuel Pinheiro et al., for various lands in Alameda County, as approved by Resolution No. 29458 (New Series), required for Coast Range Division of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928). 1,641.(
(14) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928) 11,031.1
(15) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium covering Hetch Hetchy employments (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) 3,781.2

Municipal Railway Fund.

(16) Hancock Bros. Inc., printing transfers (claim dated Sept. 1, 1928) ..... $558.(

Special School Tax.

(17) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., second payment, electrical work on additions to Jefferson School (claim dated Sept. 4, 1928) $723.7

County Road Fund.

(18) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928) $1,821.1
(19) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, shovels, lanterns, etc., for street reconstruction (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928) 792.7

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(21) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) $2,371.1
(22) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) 1,500.0
(23) A. Carlisle & Co., binders, indexes, etc., for Auditor (claim dated July 30, 1928) 648.5
(24) The Bulletin Publishing Co., official advertising, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928) 578.7
(25) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing, Dept. of Elections (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928) 826.8
(26) Spring Valley Water Co., water service through hydrants, Fire Dept. (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 14,911.9
(27) J. E. French Co., one Dodge coupe, Bureau of Engineering (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928) 1,080.0
(28) Enterprise Foundry Co., manhole and catchbasin frames, etc. (claim dated Aug. 30, 1928) 652.1
(29) Dion R. Holm, legal services for September in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928) 850.0
(30) N. Randall Ellis, engineering service for September in telephone rate litigation (claim dated Sept. 6, 1928) 750.0

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Purchase of Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29652 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,675 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Boulevard Bonds to Emma Burk and William Burk; being payment for all of Lots 10 and 11, Block 6786, as per the Assessor's Block Books; approved by Resolution No. 29602 and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard (claim dated Sept. 5, 1928).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Authorizations.

Also, Resolution No. 29653 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized out of County Road Fund, appropriations heretofore made, in payment to the Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, Budget Item No. 526, Appropriation 33-C, 1927-1928; being reimbursements for materials and supplies furnished and used in the reconstruction of the hereinafter designated streets, to-wit:

(1) Broadway, Scott to Divisadero	$1,498.00
(2) Broderick, Ellis to O'Farrell	733.15
(3) Davis, Pacific to Broadway	929.00
(4) Davis, Broadway to Vallejo	1,124.82
(5) Frederick, Masonic to Buena Vista	1,128.42
(6) Fulton, First avenue to Stanyan	1,129.30
(7) Gough, Washington to Pacific	2,125.69
(8) Ninth, Market southerly	2,694.85
(9) Page, Scott to Divisadero	825.63
(10) Page, Octavia to Buchanan	4,360.00
(11) Potrero avenue from Sixteenth street southerly	23,294.10
(12) Walnut, Jackson to Pacific	501.10
(13) Washington, Kearny to Stockton	1,868.92

(Demands dated Sept. 4, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Appropriation for Construction of Bay Shore Boulevard, Section "D."

Also, Resolution No. 29654 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $227,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, for the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, Third street to San Bruno avenue, Section "D," Contract No. 7, including engineering, inspection and extras, per contract awarded to Granfield, Farrar & Carlin at $212,805.66.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Appropriation for Construction of Alemany Boulevard, Section "E."

Also, Resolution No. 29655 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $72,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, for the construction of the Alemany boulevard, Section "E," Contract No. 5, from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, including engineering, inspection and extras, per award of contract to Granfield, Farrar & Carlin at $66,549.96.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Appropriation for Payment of Salaries, Traffic Bureau Employees.

Also, Resolution No. 29656 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 57, to the credit of Bureau of Engineering, Appropriation No. 32A,

for the payment of salaries of the following for the months of August and September, 1928, to-wit:

Traffic Engineer	$ 600
Assistant Traffic Engineer	450
Clerk-Stenographer	350
Total	$1,400

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Appropriation for Florida Relief.

Also, Resolution No. 29657 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people on the eastern coast of the State of Florida have been visited by a tropical storm and hurricane which has destroyed their cities and their homes and the said people are at the present time in dire want and distress, and have made appeal to the people in the United States for aid in the time of their distress; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1928-29 levied a tax pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State of California, the proceeds of said tax to be used for advertising, exploiting and making known the resources of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the purpose of inducing immigration to and increasing the trade and commerce of said City and County; and

Whereas, in the opinion of this Board of Supervisors by no better means can a knowledge of the wealth of the resources of the City and County of San Francisco be so thoroughly broadcast to all the people of the United States, nor can there be attached to it a more desirable name and reputation than that acquired through broad benevolences, particularly such as caring for the people of cities less fortunate than our own in time of distress; and

Whereas, by heeding the appeal of the people of the State of Florida, the City and County of San Francisco will in a small way be making return for the generosity of the people of the United States in its disaster of 1906; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, In the carrying out of this expressed purpose there be and there is hereby appropriated from the Publicity and Advertising Fund the sum on ten thousand ($10,000) dollars for the relief of the sufferers in Florida; and be it further

Resolved, That the said sum of $10,000 is authorized in payment to Thomas F. Boyle, Auditor of the City and County, for the relief of said sufferers in Florida.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Ordering the Furnishing, Installing and Testing of Sewage Pumping Plant at Fitzgerald Avenue and Griffith Street.

Also, Bill No. 8695, Ordinance No. 8216 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the furnishing, installing and testing the sewage pumping plant at Fitzgerald avenue and Griffith street; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $3,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the furnishing, installing and testing the sewage pumping plant at Fitzgerald

avenue and Griffith street, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $3,000.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Ordering the Construction of Sewers, Etc., in Quintara Street Between Fortieth and Forty-sixth Avenues and in Pacheco Street Between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8696, Ordinance No. 8206 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of sewers and appurtenances in Quintara street between Fortieth and Forty-sixth avenues and in Pacheco street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $20,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the construction of sewers and appurtenances in Quintara street between Fortieth and Forty-sixth avenues and in Pacheco street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $20,000.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Auto Supply Station Permit Transfer, R. Bianchi, Third Street South of Gilman Avenue.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29658 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joseph Devencenzi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted R. Bianchi by Resolution No. 26213 (New Series) for premises on west side of Third street, 52 feet south of Gilman avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Garage Permit Transfer, E. T. Benney, 445 Fillmore Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29659 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. T. Benney be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Dave Caro for premises at 445 Fillmore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Explode Blasts Bay Shore Boulevard—Granfield, Farrar & Carlin.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 29660 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Bay Shore boulevard between Third street and San Bruno avenue, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Providing for Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8697, Ordinance No. 8207 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Evans avenue between Third and Phelps streets, and crossing of Evans avenue and Phelps street, and Evans avenue and Rankin street; Farragut avenue between Huron and Winnipeg avenues; Gaven street between Barneveld and San Bruno avenues; Holladay avenue between southerly line of Faith street and northerly line of Costa street, including the crossings of Holladay avenue and Faith street and Holladay avenue and Costa street; Lawton street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues; Noriega street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues; Noriega street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues; Noriega street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, and crossing of Noriega street and Fortieth avenue; Pennsylvania avenue between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, and crossing of Pennsylvania avenue and Twentieth street; Schwerin street between Visitacion and Sunnydale avenues; Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and the County Line; Thirty-fifth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Thirty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Vicente street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues; crossing of Noriega street and Twenty-second avenue; crossing of Barneveld avenue and Gaven street; crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Ortega street; crossing of Visitacion avenue and Britton street, and crossing of Shields and Victoria streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said

roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein:

Evans avenue between Third and Phelps streets, and crossing of Evans avenue and Phelps street, and Evans avenue and Rankin street.

Farragut avenue between Huron and Winnipeg avenues.

Gaven street between Barneveld and San Bruno avenues.

Holladay avenue between southerly line of Faith street and northerly line of Costa street, including the crossings of Holladay avenue and Faith street and Holladay avenue and Costa street.

Lawton street between Fortieth and Forty-first avenues.

Noriega street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues.

Noriega street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues.

Noriega street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, and crossing of Noriega street and Fortieth avenue.

Pennsylvania avenue between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, and crossing of Pennsylvania avenue and Twentieth street.

Schwerin street between Visitacion and Sunnydale avenues.

Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and County Line.

Thirty-fifth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Thirty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Vicente street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

Crossing of Noriega street and Twenty-second avenue.

Crossing of Barneveld avenue and Gaven street.

Crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Ortega street.

Crossing of Visitacion avenue and Britton street.

Crossing of Shields and Victoria streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Width of Sidewalks on Cunningham Place.

Bill No. 8698, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-one, to read as follows:

Section 1051. The width of sidewalks on Cunningham place, the northerly side of, between Valencia street and its westerly termination, shall be two (2) feet six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Cunningham place, the southerly side of, between Valencia street and its westerly termination, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Width of Sidewalks on Edgardo Place.

Also, Bill No. 8699, Ordinance No. 8208 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 23, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty, to read as follows:

Section 1050. The width of sidewalks on Edgardo place between Grant avenue and its westerly termination is hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Spur Track, George Russell Reed Company, Carroll Avenue Between Jennings and Ingalls Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8700, Ordinance No. 8209 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to George Russell Reed Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carroll avenue between Jennings and Ingalls streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to George Russell Reed Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point in existing track in Carroll avenue approximately five (5) feet westerly of the westerly line of Jennings street produced, thence southeasterly and crossing a portion of Carroll avenue to a point which is located ten (10) feet northerly from the southerly line of Carroll avenue and one hundred fifty (150) feet easterly from the easterly line of Jennings street produced; thence continuing southeasterly along Carroll avenue to a point eight and seven-tenths (8.7) feet northerly from the southerly line of Carroll avenue and three hundred fifty (350) feet easterly from the easterly line of Jennings street produced.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the George Russell Reed Company, provided that the George Russell Reed Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be

placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7726 (New Series), Ordering the Construction of Bulkhead and Removal of Sand on Forty-fourth Avenue, 105 Feet South of Anza Street.

Also, Bill No. 8701, Ordinance No. 8210 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7726 (New Series), ordering the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway of the westerly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and five (105) feet south of the south line of Anza street to a line parallel with and one hundred fifty-five (155) feet southerly from the south line of Anza street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7726 (New Series), ordering the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway of the westerly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and five (105) feet south of the south line of Anza street to a line parallel with and one hundred fifty-five (155) feet southerly from the south line of Anza street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7722 (New Series), Ordering the Construction of Bulkheads on Eighth Avenue and on Cabrillo Street.

Also, Bill No. 8702, Ordinance No. 8211 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7722 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on the west line of Eighth avenue from the southerly line of Cabrillo street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet southerly therefrom, and on the south line of Cabrillo street from the west line of Eighth avenue to a line parallel with and eighty-two (82) feet six (6) inches westerly therefrom where openings occur in the existing bulkhead and the removal of sand from the adjacent sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7722 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on the west line of Eighth avenue from the southerly line of Cabrillo street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet southerly therefrom, and on the south line of Cabrillo street from the west line of Eighth avenue to a line parallel with and eighty-two (82) feet six (6) inches westerly therefrom where openings occur in the existing bulkhead and the removal of sand from the adjacent sidewalk, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Changing Grades on Thirty-fifth Avenue Between Quintara and Santiago Streets and Other Streets, in Accordance With Resolution No. 29172 (New Series).

Also, Bill No. 8703, Ordinance No. 8212 (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirty-fifth avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets; on Thirty-sixth avenue between Quintara street and a line parallel with Rivera street and 300 feet southerly therefrom; on Thirty-seventh avenue between Pacheco and Rivera streets; on Quintara street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-eighth avenues, and on Rivera street between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-seventh avenues."

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Changing Grades on Valley Street Between Castro and Diamond Streets, in Accordance With Resolution No. 29173 (New Series).

Also, Bill No. 8704, Ordinance No. 8213 (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Valley street between Castro street and a line parallel with the easterly line of Diamond street and 19 feet westerly therefrom."

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Indefinite Postponement.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and *indefinitely postponed* by the following vote:

Changing Grades on Wilder Street Between Diamond and Carrie Streets, in Accordance With Resolution No. 29029 (New Series).

Bill No. 8705, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Wilder street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 270.13 feet easterly from Carrie street."

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Repealing Portion of Ordinance No. 8098 (New Series), Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

Bill No. 8706, Ordinance No. 8214 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing that portion of Ordinance No. 9098 (New Series), providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadway of Noriega street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That portion of Ordinance No. 8098 (New Series), providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadway of Noriega street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Zone Change, Southeast Corner of Liberty and Rayburn Streets.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8707, Ordinance No. 8215 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Liberty street and Rayburn street, for a distance of 25 feet on Liberty street and a distance of 114 feet on Rayburn street, in the Commercial District instead of in Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Licenses for Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

On recommendation of Police and Licenses Committee.

Bill No. 8708, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be numbered Section 62-B, relative to license fee on dealers in second-hand furniture and household goods.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section, to be numbered Section 62-B, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, to read as follows:

Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Section 62-B. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling or exchanging second-hand furniture and household goods, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, shall (after securing a quarterly permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to carry on said business) pay a license of ten ($10) dollars per quarter.

The term "furniture and household goods" as used in this ordinance shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings (except rugs), crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 2. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $36,805.41, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Zone Change, Southeast Corner of Phelps Street and Bancroft Avenue.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8718, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Phelps street and Bancroft avenue, for a distance of 55 feet on Bancroft avenue and a distance of 100 feet on Phelps street, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District as now zoned.

Zone Change, South Side of O'Farrell Street, 137 Feet 6 Inches West of Van Ness Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8719, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of O'Farrell street, commencing at a point 137 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly 41 feet and extending to Olive street, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Zone Change, Three Blocks Bounded by Jackson, Laguna, Sacramento and Buchanan Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8720, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the property bounded on the north by Jackson street, on the east by Laguna street, on the south by Sacramento street and on the west by Buchanan street, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District as now zoned.

Zone Change, Three Blocks Bounded by Donner Avenue, Jennings Street, Egbert Avenue and Griffith Street.

Also, Bill No. 8721, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the property bounded on the northeast by Donner avenue, on the northwest by Jennings street, on the southwest by Egbert avenue and on the southeast by Griffith street, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District as now zoned.

Zone Change, West Side of Winfield Street, 325 Feet Northerly From Esmeralda Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8722, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the west side of Winfield street, commencing at a point 325 feet northerly from Esmeralda avenue and running thence northerly 25 feet, to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days' time thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Land for McLaren Park.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29646 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from California Pacific Title

and Trust Company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land required for Park purposes (McLaren Park); and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all incumbrances for the sum of $125,553, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to wit:

Parcel One. Lots Nos. 20 and 21 in Block 15 Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 7 and 8-6232. 328-350. Kennedy, $493.50.

Parcel Two. Lot No. 37 in Block No. 6 of the Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1, pages 241 and 242 of maps, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 18-6214. 328-247. Keehley, $128.50.

Parcel Three. Lot No. 8 in Block No. 37, as per certain map marked "Official Map of Sunnyvale Homestead Association," surveyed May, 1871, by Vitus Wackenreuder, approved and adopted at a meeting of the Board of Trustees held June 7, 1871, which said map is on file in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in book "D" of maps and recorded in said Recorder's office September 20, 1876, in map book No. 1, pages 163 and 164. 14-6264. 549-55. Beatty, $363.

Parcel Four. Lot No. 13 in Block No. 14, Paul Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed May 16, 1871, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 12-6153. 549-48. Fratessa, $1501.30, with parcels 5 and 6.

Parcel Five. Block No. 31, Reis Tract, as per map thereof recorded May 19, 1904, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 2-6180. 328-381. Fratessa, with parcels 4 and 6.

Parcel Six. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Goettingen Street and the southeasterly line of Mansell Street; and running thence southeasterly along the said southwesterly line of Goettingen Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the southeasterly line of Mansell Street; and thence at a right angle northeasterly along the said line of Mansell Street 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lots 1 and 2 in Block 20 of Paul Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded May 16, 1871, in book "C" and "D" of maps at page 49 in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 1 and 2-6160. 328-279. Fratessa, with parcels 4 and 5.

Parcel Seven. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Gambier Street and the northwesterly line of Woolsey Street; and running thence northwesterly along said line of Gambier Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the northwesterly line of Woolsey Street; and thence at a right angle northeasterly along said line of Woolsey Street 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot No. 4 in Block No. 166 of University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in book 2 "A" and "B" of maps at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 9-6066. 328-79. Manning, $1004.30 with parcel 8.

Parcel Eight. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Cambridge Street, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Olmstead Street; and running thence northwesterly along the said southwesterly line of Cambridge Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle

southeasterly 100 feet; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 3 in Block No. 97 of University Mound, as per map thereof filed May 1, 1863, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in book "C" and "D" of maps at page 59. 4-6136. 328-134. Manning, with par. 7.

Parcel Nine. Lot No. 19 in Block No. 5, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the boundaries of said tract are fully described in a grant by Ferdinand Reis to Julius C. Reis, dated May 17, 1904, and recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 2053 of deeds at page 264. 4-6215. 549-56. McKinnon, $103.50.

Parcel Ten. Lot No. 3 in Block No. 11 of the Reis Tract, as described on a map filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on May 19, 1904, and recorded in map book Number 1, pages 241 and 242, and the boundaries of said tract are fully described in a grant by Ferdinand Reis, dated May 17, 1904, and recorded in the office of said Recorder on May 19, 1904, in liber 2053 of deeds at page 264, to Julius Reis. 8-6183. 549-59. Sullivan, $103.50.

Parcel Eleven. Lots Nos. 27 and 28 in Block No. 13, as per Map of Reis Tract, recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, records of the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 56 and 57-6187. 549-58. Russman, $2918.50.

Parcel Twelve. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Bacon Street and the southwesterly line of Knox Street; and running thence southwesterly and along said line of Bacon Street 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 200 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the southwesterly line of Knox Street; and thence at a right angle southeasterly along said line of Knox Street 200 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lots Nos. 3 and 4 in Block No. 156, University Extension Homestead Association. 5 and 6-6000. 549-66. Weinstein, $1201.

Parcel Thirteen. Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Spreckels Street, distant thereon 49.81 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Visitacion Avenue; and running thence northeasterly and along said line of Spreckels Street 49.81 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 49.81 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 110 feet to the point of commencement.

Being part of Block No. 29, Sunnyvale Homestead Association. 10-6220. 549-57. Patten, $501.60.

Parcel Fourteen. Lots Nos. 1 and 2 in Block No. 8, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, records of the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 21 and 22-6212. 549-53. Towle, $670.70.

Parcel Fifteen. All of Lots Nos. 6 and 7 in Block No. 14, according to map entitled, "Map of Reis Tract," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on May 19, 1904, and recorded in map book 1, pages 241 and 242. 17 and 18-6211. 549-53. Towle, with parcel 14.

Parcel Sixteen. Lots Nos. 37 and 38 in Block No. 28, Reis Tract, as per map thereof recorded May 19, 1904, in book of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 8 and 9-6189. 328-396. Berti, $632.40, with par. 17, 18 and 19.

Parcel Seventeen. Beginning at a point formed by the intersection of the southwesterly line of Ion Street with the northwesterly line of Wayland Street; and running thence northwesterly along the said southwesterly line of Ion Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle south-

westerly 95 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet to the northwesterly line of Wayland Street; thence northeasterly along the said northwesterly line of Wayland Street 95 feet to its intersection with the southwesterly line of Ion Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot No. 4 in Block No. 159 of University Extension Homestead Association. 4a, 4b and 4c-6027. 328-40. Berti, with par. 16.

Parcel Eighteen. Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Ion Street, distant thereon 25 feet northwesterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said southwesterly line of Ion Street with the northwesterly line of Wayland Street; and running thence northwesterly along the said southwesterly line of Ion Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 95 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 95 feet to the southwesterly line of Ion Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot No. 4 in Block No. 159 of University Extension Homestead Association. 4a, 4b and 4c-6027. 328-40. Berti, with par. 16.

Parcel Nineteen. Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Ion Street, distant thereon 50 feet northwesterly from the point formed by the intersection of said southwesterly line of Ion Street with the northwesterly line of Wayland Street; and running thence northwesterly along the said southwesterly line of Ion Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 95 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 95 feet to the southwesterly line of Ion Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot No. 4 in Block 159 of University Extension Homestead Association. 4a, 4b and 4c-6027. 328-40. Berti, with par. 16.

Parcel Twenty. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Colby Street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Woolsey Street; and running thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Colby Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot No. 2 in Block No. 63, University Mound, as per map thereof recorded May 11, 1863, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in liber "C" and "D" of maps at page 59. 2-6112. 328-60. Burkhart, $3557.60.

Parcel Twenty-one. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Saratoga (formerly Delaware) Street, distant thereon 50 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Woolsey Street; and running thence northwesterly along said line of Saratoga Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 95 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; and thence at a right angle southwesterly 95 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lot 5 in Block No. 171, University Extension Homestead Association, according to map thereof recorded January 30, 1871, in map book 2 "A" and "B" at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 7a-6071. 328-100. Connors, $2821.70.

Parcel Twenty-two. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Woolsey Street and the southwesterly line of Saratoga Street; and running thence southwesterly along said line of Woolsey Street 26 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 26 feet to the southwesterly line of Saratoga Street; and thence at a right angle northwesterly along said line of Saratoga Street 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 1 in Block 174 of University Extension Home-

stead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in book 2 "A" and "B" of maps at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 1-6098. 328-189. Torres, $2612.60.

Parcel Twenty-three. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Woolsey Street, distant thereon 120 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Saratoga Street; thence southeasterly at a right angle to the said southeasterly line of Woolsey Street 101 feet; thence southwesterly 77 feet and 6 inches, more or less, to a point on the easterly line of La Grande Avenue, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from its intersection with the southeasterly line of Woolsey Street; thence northerly along the said easterly line of La Grande Avenue 115 feet to the southeasterly line of Woolsey Street; and thence northeasterly along the said southeasterly line of Woolsey Street 11 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Block 174 of the University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed Jan. 30, 1871, in map book 2 "A" and "B" at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 11-6098. 328-198. Martinez, $860.10.

Parcel Twenty-four. Lots Nos. 39 and 40 in Block No. 7, as per map of Reis Tract, filed May 19, 1904, in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 16 and 17-6213. 328-332. Arndt, $1478.50.

Parcel Twenty-five: Lots Nos. 8, 12, 13, 14 and 15 and the northeasterly half of Lot 11 in Block 28 of the Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1, pages 241 and 242 of maps in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 37, 40a, 41, 42, 43, 44-6189. 328-384. Shuster, $416.

Parcel Twenty-six: Lots Nos. 42 and 43 in Block 30, Reis Tract, as per map thereof recorded May 19, 1904, in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 50 and 51-6179. 328-430. Sullivan, $1968.50.

Parcel Twenty-seven. Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Spreckels Street, distant thereon 198.47 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Visitacion Avenue; and running thence northeasterly along the said southeasterly line of Spreckels Street 48.53 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 110.02 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 48.53 feet; and thence at a right angle northwesterly 110.02 feet to the said southeasterly line of Spreckels Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 29 of the Lands of the Sunnyvale Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, April 22, 1873, recorded in book "C" and "D" of maps at pages 142 and 143. 14-6260. 549-46. Patten, $491.40.

Parcel Twenty-eight. Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northeasterly line of Holyoke Street with the northwesterly line of Olmstead Street; and running thence northwesterly along the said northeasterly line of Holyoke Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 150 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the northwesterly line of Olmstead Street; and thence southwesterly along the said northwesterly line of Olmstead Street 150 feet to its intersection with the northeasterly line of Holyoke Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lots Nos. 4 and 5 and all of Lots Nos. 10 and 11 in Block No. 7, as per map of the Lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association. 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11-6126. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9-6127. 1, 2, 8, 9, 10, 11, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23-6151. 5, 6 and 7-6159. 549-52. Gatlin, $5799.10 with parcels 29, 30, 31 and 32.

Parcel Twenty-nine. Commencing at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Olmstead Street and the southwesterly line

of Holyoke Street; and running thence southwesterly and along said line of Olmstead Street 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 200 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the southwesterly line of Holyoke Street; and thence at a right angle southeasterly along said line of Holyoke Street 200 feet to the point of commencement.

Being Lots Nos. 3, 4, 5 and 6 in Block No. 6, Paul Tract Homestead Association. With parcel 28.

Parcel Thirty. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Olmstead Street and the southwesterly line of Holyoke Street; running thence southeasterly and along said line of Holyoke Street 125 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Hamilton Street; thence at a right angle northwesterly along said line of Hamilton Street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Hamilton Street; thence at a right angle northwesterly along said line of Hamilton Street 75 feet to the southeasterly line of Olmstead Street; thence northeasterly along said line of Olmstead Street 240 feet to the point of commencement.

Being Lots Nos. 1, 2, 8 and 10 and portions of Lots 3 and 9 in Block No. 12, Paul Tract Homestead Association. With parcel 28.

Parcel Thirty-one. Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Brussels Street, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Ordway Street; running thence northwesterly and along said line of Brussels Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of commencement.

Being all of Lots Nos. 5 and 6 in Block No. 21, Paul Tract Homestead Association. With parcel 28.

Parcel Thirty-two. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Mansell Street and the southwesterly line of Holyoke Street; running thence southwesterly and along said line of Mansell Street 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the southwesterly line of Holyoke Street; and thence at a right angle southeasterly along said line of Holyoke Street 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being all of Lot No. 7 in Block No. 12, Paul Tract Homestead Association. With parcel 28.

Parcel Thirty-three. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Somerset Street, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said southwesterly line of Somerset Street with the northwesterly line of Olmstead Street; and running thence northwesterly along the said southwesterly line of Somerset Street 37 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 37 feet and 6 inches; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the southwesterly line of Somerset Street and the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lot No. 3 in Block No. 7, as per "Map of the Lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association." 5-6126. 549-54. Turner, $1954.10.

Parcel Thirty-four. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Hamilton Street, distant thereon 137 feet and 6 inches northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mansell Street; and running thence northwesterly along said line of Hamilton Street 12 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 12 feet and 6 inches; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lot 3, Block 117, University Mound Survey. 4 and 5-6150. 549-62. Ramirez, $3710.30, with parcel 35.

Parcel Thirty-five. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Hamilton Street, distant thereon 150 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mansell Street; and running thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Hamilton Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the southwesterly line of Hamilton Street and the point of beginning.

Being part of Block No. 117 of the University Mound Survey. With par. 34.

Parcel Thirty-six. Lots Nos. 43 and 44 in Block No. 13, according to map entitled, "Map of Reis Tract", filed May 19, 1904, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242. 15 and 16-6187. 549-67. McColgan, $352.20, with par. 37.

Parcel Thirty-seven. Lots Nos. 10 and 11 in Block No. 12, according to map entitled, "Map of Reis Tract", filed on May 19, 1904, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and recorded in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242. 34 and 35-6186. 549-67. With par. 36.

Parcel Thirty-eight. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Saratoga Street, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Woolsey Street; and running thence northwesterly along said line of Saratoga Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; and thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot. No. 6 in Block No. 171, University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed Jan. 30, 1871, in book 2 "A" and "B" of maps at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 8-6071. 328-103. Koet, $3476.60.

Parcel Thirty-nine. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Hamilton Street and the northwesterly line of Dwight Street; and running thence northwesterly along the said northeasterly line of Hamilton Street 175 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the southwesterly line of Holyoke Street; thence at a right angle southeasterly along the said southwesterly line of Holyoke Street 200 feet to the northwesterly line of Dwight Street; thence at a right angle southwesterly along the said northwesterly line of Dwight Street 240 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a part of Lot No. 10 and all of Lots 4, 5 and 11 in Block No. 1, Paul Tract Homstead Association, as per map thereof filed May 16, 1871, in book "C" and "D" of maps at page 49, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 3, 4, 5 and 6-6116. 328-266. Rosenberg, $1053.60.

Parcel Forty. Lots Nos. 23 and 24 in Block No. 4, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 1 and 46-6242. 549-63. Siebel, $4503.50.

Parcel Forty-one. Lot No. 2 in Block 9, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed may 19, 1904, in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 10-6185. 328-253. Rehberg, $103.50.

Parcel Forty-two. Lot No. 4 in Block No. 7, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in Map Book 1, pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 29-6213. 328-298. Keehey, $1770.70.

Parcel Forty-three. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Bacon Street and the northeasterly line of Harvard Street; and running thence northwesterly and along said line

of Harvard Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the northwesterly line of Bacon Street; and thence at a right angle southwesterly along said line of Bacon Street 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot No. 5 in Block No. 103, University Homstead Extension Association, as per map filed February 28, 1868, in book "C" and "D" of maps at page 61, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 5-5996. 328-22. Oetjen, $4318.70.

Parcel Forty-four. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Wayland Street with the southwesterly line of Madison Street; and running thence southwesterly along said line of Wayland Street 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 200 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the southwesterly line of Madison Street; and thence at a right angle northwesterly along said line of Madison Street 200 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lots Nos. 1 and 2 in Block No. 167, as per map of the Lands of the University Extension Homestead Association filed January 30, 1871, and recorded in map book 2 "A" and "B", at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 1 and 2-6067. 328-76. Ekman, $5649.60.

Parcel Forty-six. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Somerset Street, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Ordway Street; and running thence northerly along said line of Somerset Street 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 75 feet; and thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 11 in Block No. 20 of the Paul Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on May 16, 1871, in book "C" and "D" of maps at page 49. 10-6160. 328-288. Cronin, $302.10.

Parcel Forty-seven. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Cambridge Street and the northwesterly line of Woolsey Street; and running thence northwesterly along said line of Cambridge Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the northwesterly line of Woolsey Street; and thence at a right angle northeasterly along said line of Woolsey Street 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 4 in Block 95, University Mound, as per map thereof filed May 1, 1863, in book "C" and "D" of maps at page 59, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 4-6063. 328-69. Wickert, $803.60.

Parcel Forty-eight. Lots Nos. 22 and 23 in Block No. 28, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 51 and 52-6189. 328-390. Lithgow, $2785.60.

Parcel Forty-nine. Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Oxford Street, distant thereon 187 feet and 6 inches northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Olmstead Street; and running thence northwesterly and along said northeasterly line of Oxford Street 37 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 37 feet and 6 inches; and thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Oxford Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block 97 of University Mound, as per map thereof filed May 1, 1863, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in book "C" and "D" of maps at page 59. 8a-6136. 328-138. Merritt, $151.10.

Parcel Fifty. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Mansfield Street, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the

northwesterly line of Woolsey Street; and running thence northwesterly and along said line of Mansfield Street 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 50 feet; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lot No. 3 in Block No. 169, University Extension Homestead Association. 4-6069. 549-47. Howes, $253.60.

Parcel Fifty-one. Commencing at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Cambridge Street and the northwesterly line of Woolsey Street; and running thence northwesterly and along said line of Cambridge Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the northwesterly line of Woolsey Street; and thence at a right angle southwesterly along said line of Woolsey Street 120 feet to the point of commencement.

Being Lot No. 5 in Block No. 90, University Mound Survey. 5-6062. 549-64. Reinhard, $803.50.

Parcel Fifty-two. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Saratoga Street, distant thereon 25 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Woolsey Street; and running thence northwesterly along said line of Saratoga Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 95 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; and thence at a right angle southwesterly 95 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lot No. 5 in Block No. 171, as per map of the lands of the University Extension Homestead Association, filed January 30, 1871, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, book 2 "A" and "B" of maps at page 139. 7c-6071. 328-99. Robl, $3191.60.

Parcel Fifty-three. Lot No. 48 in Block No. 15, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 34-6232. 328-367. Bodayla, $884.50.

Parcel Fifty-four. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Spreckels Street, if said street is prolonged or extended southerly, distant thereon 418.04 feet southerly from the intersection of said line of Spreckels Street with the southerly line of Sunnydale Avenue; and running thence southerly and along the said westerly line of Spreckels Street as extended or prolonged, 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 110 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; and thence at a right angle easterly 110 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Visitacion Rancho. 41-6316. 549-72. Daniels, $1739.50.

Parcel Fifty five. Lots Nos. 50 and 51 in Block No. 27, according to map entitled, "Reis Tract", filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242. 21 and 22-6188. 549-61. Lally, $2007.50.

Parcel Fifty-six. All of Lot No. 48 in Block No. 16, according to map entitled, "Map of the Reis Tract", filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on May 19, 1904, and recorded in map book 1 at pages 241 and 242. 32-6241. 549-74. Pasini, $1404.60.

Parcel Fifty-seven. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Spreckels Street, distant thereon 199.06 feet northeasterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said northwesterly line of Spreckels Street with the northeasterly line of Visitacion Avenue; and running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line of Spreckels Street 47.09 feet; thence northwesterly and parallel with Leland Avenue 110 feet; thence southwesterly and parallel with Spreckels Street 49.47 feet; thence southeasterly 110 feet to the northwesterly line of Spreckels Street and the point of commencement.

Being part of Block No. 32, Sunnyvale Homestead. 1-6261. 549-75. Rathy, $474.60.

Parcel Fifty-eight. Lot 28 in Block No. 4, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 42-6242. 549-71. Knack, $2799.60.

Parcel Fifty-nine. All of Lot No. 3 in Block No. 16, according to map entitled, "Map of the Reis Tract", filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on May 19, 1904, and recorded in map book 1 at pages 241 and 242. 2-6241. 549-69. Knack, $3198.60.

Parcel Sixty. Lot No. 39 in Block No. 4, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the boundaries of said tract are fully described in a grant by Ferdinand Reis to Julius C. Reis, dated May 17, 1904, and recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 2053 of deeds at page 264. 31-6242. 549-77. Marks, $1853.60.

Parcel Sixty-one. Lots Nos. 1 to 7, both inclusive, in Block No. 33, according to the map entitled, "Map of the Sunnyvale Homestead Association", filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, April 22, 1873, and recorded in book "C" and "D" of maps at pages 142 and 143. 1 to 7 inclusive-6262. 549-73. Nicholas, $8477.70.

Parcel Sixty-two. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Oxford Street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Dwight Street; and running thence southeasterly and along said line of Oxford Street 75 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 75 feet; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lot 2, Block 107, University Homestead Association. 2-6137. 549-70. Skelly, $304.50.

Parcel Sixty-three. Lot No. 38 in Block 6, Reis Tract, as per map thereof, filed May 19, 1904, in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 19-6214. 328-248. Keehley, $128.30.

Parcel Sixty-four: Lots Nos. 1 and 2 in Block No. 15, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 26 and 27-6232. 328-338. Tuepel, $5146.60.

Parcel Sixty-five. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Saratoga (formerly Delaware) Street, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the point formed by the intersection of the southwesterly line of Saratoga Street with the northwesterly line of Dwight Street extended southwesterly; and running thence northwesterly along said line of Saratoga Street 150 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 150 feet; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot No. 3 and part of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 174, per map of University Extension Homestead Association, recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, January 30, 1871, in book 2 "A" and "B" of maps at page 139. 4 and 5-6098. 328-194. Brown, $5921.70.

Parcel Sixty-six. Fractional Block 158 of the University Extension Homestead Association, bounded by Wayland Street and La Grand Avenue and Grant Street, as per map filed in the County Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco, January 30, 1871, and recorded in book 2 "A" and "B", page 139 of maps. 1-6026. 328-30. Rauer, $926.20, with par. 67.

Parcel Sixty-seven. Beginning at the point of intersection of the

easterly line of Knox Street and the southerly line of Dwight Street; thence running easterly along the southerly line of Dwight Street 240 feet to the westerly line of Madison Street; thence at a right angle southerly along the westerly line of Madison Street 127 feet and 8 inches to the northerly line of lands conveyed by deed from the Bank of California, a corporation, to San Francisco and Fresno Land Co., a corporation, recorded in book 1510, page 84 of deeds; thence westerly along the northerly line of the lands conveyed by said deed 269 feet and 6 inches to the easterly line of Knox Street; thence northerly along the easterly line of Knox Street 4 feet and 10 inches, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Being Fractional Block 184, as laid down and shown on the map of the University Extension Homestead, filed for record in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, February 28, 1868. 1 and 2-6140. 328-206. Rauer, with par. 66.

Parcel Sixty-eight. All of Lots Nos. 35 and 36 in Block No. 14, according to map entitled, "Map of the Reis Tract", filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on May 19, 1904, and recorded in map book 1 at pages 241 and 242. 32 and 33-6211. 549-49. Richards, $203.60.

Parcel Sixty-nine. Lots Nos. 51, 52, 53 and 54 in Block No. 13, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, Records of the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 23, 24, 25 and 26-6187. 549-101. Rose, $303.50.

Parcel Seventy. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Harvard Street, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Wayland Street; and running thence northwesterly along said line of Harvard Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; and thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning; being all of Lot No. 6 in Block No. 104, University Homestead Association. 7-6033. 549-110. Lang, $803.50.

Parcel Seventy-one. Lots Nos. 39 and 40 in Block No. 27, according to map entitled, "Map of Reis Tract, San Francisco, Cal.," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, May 19, 1904, and recorded in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242. 10 and 11-6188. 549-106. McGraw, $253.60.

Parcel Seventy-two. Lot No. 2 in Block No. 7, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 27-6213. 328-296. Nichols, $128.60.

Parcel Seventy-three. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Bacon Street and the northeasterly line of Knox Street; and running thence northwesterly and along said line of Knox Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the northwesterly line of Bacon Street; thence at a right angle southwesterly along said line of Bacon Street 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot No. 5 in Block No. 155, University Extension Homestead Association. 5-5999. 549-89. Jensen, $603.60.

Parcel Seventy-four. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Bacon Street and the southwesterly line of Ion Street; and running thence southeasterly along said line of Ion Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly to the easterly line of La Grande Avenue; thence northerly along the said easterly line of La Grande Avenue to the southeasterly line of Bacon Street; and thence northeasterly along the said southeasterly line of Bacon Street 87 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 159, University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in map book 2 "A" and "B" at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City

and County of San Francisco, State of California. 1-6027. 328-31. O'dey, $913, with parcels 75, 76, 77, 78 and 79.

Parcel Seventy-five. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Ion Street, distant thereon 25 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Bacon Street; and running thence southeasterly along the said southwesterly line of Ion Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly to the easterly line of La Grande Avenue; thence northerly along the said easterly line of La Grande Avenue to the intersection with a line extended southwesterly from the point of beginning; and at a right angle to the southwesterly line of Ion Street; thence northeasterly along the line so extended to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 159, University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in book 2 "A" and "B" of maps at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 1a-6027. 328-32, with par. 74.

Parcel Seventy-six. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Ion Street, distant thereon 50 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Bacon Street; and running thence southeasterly along said line of Ion Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 65 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 65 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a part of Lot No. 1 in Block No. 159 of University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in book 2 "A" and "B" of maps at page 139 in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 1b-6027. 328-33, with par. 74.

Parcel Seventy-seven. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Ion Street, distant thereon 75 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Bacon Street; and running thence southeasterly along said line of Ion Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 75 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; and thence at a right angle northeasterly 75 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot No. 1 in Block 159 of University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in map book 2 "A" and "B" at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 1c-6027. 328-34, with par. 74.

Parcel Seventy-eight. Beginning at a point which is distant 50 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Bacon Street, measured at a right angle thereto, and which point is also distant 65 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Ion Street, measured at a right angle thereto; thence southeasterly parallel with the southwesterly line of Ion Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly to the easterly line of La Grand Avenue; thence northerly along the said easterly line of La Grand Avenue to the intersection with a line extended from the point of beginning southwesterly and parallel with the southeasterly line of Bacon Street; thence northeasterly along the line so extended to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 159 of the University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in map book 2 "A" and "B" at page 139 in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 6027. 328-35, with par. 74.

Parcel Seventy-nine. Beginning at a point which is distant 75 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Bacon Street, measured at a right angle thereto, and which point is also distant 75 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Ion Street, measured at a right angle thereto; thence southeasterly parallel with the southwesterly line of Ion Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly to the easterly line of La Grande Avenue; thence northerly along the said easterly line of La Grande Avenue to the intersection with a line

extended from the point of beginning southwesterly and parallel with the southeasterly line of Bacon Street; thence northeasterly along the line so extended to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 159 of the University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in map book 2 "A" and "B" at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 1-6027. 328-36, with par. 74.

Parcel Eighty. Lots Nos. 21 and 20 in Block 28 of the Reis Tract, described on a map filed for record in the office of the Recorder of said City and County on the 19th day of May, 1904, and recorded in map book 1 at pages 241 and 242, and the boundaries of said tract are fully described in a grant by Ferdinand Reis to Julius C. Reis, dated May 17, 1904, and recorded in the office of said Recorder on May 19, 1904, in book 2053 of deeds at page 264. 49 and 50-6189. 328-389. Ebner, $251.30.

Parcel Eighty-one. Lot No. 36 in Block No. 7, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 13-6213. 328-329. Silverman, $78.60.

Parcel Eighty-two. Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Gambier Street, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said northeasterly line of Gambier Street with the northwesterly line of Dwight Street; and running thence northwesterly along the said northeasterly line of Gambier Street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 50 feet; and thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Gambier Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot No. 6 in Block No. 181 as per "Map of University Extension Homestead Association." 10-6105. 549-115. Matthews, $253.80.

Parcel Eighty-three. Lot No. 6 in Block No. 42, according to map entitled, "Map of Sunnyvale Homestead Association", filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, April 22, 1873, and recorded in book "C" and "D" of maps at pages 142 and 143. 2-6288. 549-78. Markt, $856.76, with parcels 84 and 85.

Parcel Eighty-four. Lot No. 1 in Block No. 38, as per a certain map marked "Official Map of the Sunnyvale Homestead Association" surveyed May, 1871, by Vitus Wackenreuder, approved and adopted at a meeting of the Board of Trustees held June 7, 1871, which said map is on file in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in book "D" of maps and recorded in said Recorder's office September 20, 1876, in map book No. 1, pages 163 and 164. 2-6292. 549-78. Markt, with par. 83.

Parcel Eighty-five. Lot No. 9 in Block No. 36, as per a certain map marked "Official Map of the Sunnyvale Homestead Association" surveyed May, 1871, by Vitus Wackenreuder, approved and adopted at a meeting of the Board of Trustees held June 7, 1871, which said map is on file in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in book "D" of maps and recorded in said Recorder's office September 20, 1876, in map book No. 1 at pages 163 and 164. 13-6263. 549-78. Markt, with par. 83.

Parcel Eighty-six. Lot No. 23 in Block 6 of the Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1 at pages 241 and 242 of maps in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 4-6214. 328-234. Larsson, $78.60.

Parcel Eighty-seven. Lots Nos. 1 and 2 in Block No. 27, according to map entitled, "Map of Reis Tract, San Francisco, Cal.", filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, May 19, 1904, and recorded in book 1 of maps at pages 241 and 242. 30 and 31-6188. 549-81. O'Rourke, $253.60.

Parcel Eighty-eight. Lot No. 2 in Block No. 39, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed April 22, 1873, in book "C" and "D", page 142 of maps, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 6-6291. 328-465. Dennery, $303.60.

Parcel Eighty-nine. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Spreckels Street, distant thereon 99 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Visitacion Avenue; running thence northeasterly along said line of Spreckels Street 24 feet and 9 inches; thence at a right angle southeasterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 24 feet and 9 inches; and thence at a right angle northwesterly 110 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block No. 29, Sunnyvale Homestead Association. 11-6260. 549-117. Wikse, $252.90.

Parcel Ninety. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Saratoga Street; distant thereon 150 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Woolsey Street; and running thence northwesterly along said line of Saratoga Street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 50 feet; and thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lot No. 6 in Block No. 171, University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, and recorded in liber 2 "A" and "B" of maps at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 10-6071. 328-105. Moutry, $753.60.

Parcel Ninety-one. Lots Nos. 6 and 7 in Block No. 39 of Sunnyvale Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed April 22, 1873, in book "C" and "D" of maps, at page 142, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 1 and 2-6291. 328-469. McDonald, $603.80.

Parcel Ninety-two. Lot No. 52 in Block No. 27, according to map entitled "Map of Reis Tract, San Francisco, Cal.," filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, May 19, 1904, and recorded in book 1 of maps, at pages 241 and 242. 23-6188. 328-224. Tharsing, $228.70, with parcels 93 and 94.

Parcel Ninety-three. Lot No. 14 in Block No. 5, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps, at pages 241 and 242, records of the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 9-6215. 328-224. Tharsing, with par. 92.

Parcel Ninety-four. Lot No. 12 in Block No. 6, Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1 of maps, at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 33-6214. 328-224. Tharsing, with par. 92.

Parcel Ninety-five. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Buell Street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Wayland Street, and running thence southeasterly along the said southwesterly line of Buell Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet, more or less, to the intersection with a line extended northerly from a point which is distant 225 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Woolsey Street measured along a line drawn at a right angle thereto and which point is also distant 120 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Buell Street, measured along a line drawn at a right angle thereto to a point which is distant 300 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Woolsey Street, measured along a line drawn at a right angle thereto and which point is also distant 90 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Buell Street, measured along a line drawn at a right angle thereto; thence northerly along the line so extended 26.925 feet, more or less, to a point which is distant 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Wayland Street, measured along a line drawn at a right angle thereto; thence northeasterly parallel with said southeasterly line of Wayland Street 90 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 171, University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in map book 2 "A" and "B," at page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 2-6071. 328-94. Dudlyk, $216.20.

Parcel Ninety-six. Lots Nos. 45 and 46 in Block No. 13, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps, at pages 241 and 242, records of Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the boundaries of said tract are fully described in a grant by Ferdinand Reis to Julius C. Reis, dated May 17, 1904, and recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 2053 of deeds, at page 264. 17 and 18-6187. 549-122. Nicholson, $153.60.

Parcel Ninety-seven. Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Mansfield Street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said northeasterly line of Mansfield Street with the southeasterly line of Wayland Street, and running thence southeasterly along the said northeasterly line of Mansfield Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet, and thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Mansfield Street and the point of commencement.

Being Lot No. 7 in Block No. 168, as per "Map of University Extension Homestead Association." 10-6068. 549-104. Murphy, $507.04.

Parcel Ninety-eight. Lot No. 30 in Block No. 6 of the Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1, pages 241 and 242 of maps, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 11-6214. 328-221. Williams, $153.60, with par. 99.

Parcel Ninety-nine. Lot No. 9 in Block No. 6 of the Reis Tract, as per map thereof filed May 19, 1904, in book 1, pages 241 and 242 of maps, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 30-6214. 328-220. Williams, with par. 98.

Parcel One Hundred. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Goettingen Street and the northwesterly line of Ward Street, and running thence northwesterly along the said southwesterly line of Goettingen Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 109 feet and 2 inches, more or less, to the southwesterly line of Block 24 as shown on the map hereinafter referred to; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of said Block 24, 100 feet and 9 inches, more or less, to the northwesterly line of Ward Street; thence northeasterly along the said northwesterly line of Ward Street 97 feet and 2 inches, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 4 in Block No. 24, Paul Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded May 16, 1871, in book "C" and "D" of maps, at page 49, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 5-6169. 328-293. Pyle, $703.70.

Parcel One Hundred One. Lot No. 5 in Block No. 110 of University Homestead Association, as per map filed August 12, 1867, in book 2 "A" and "B" of maps, at page 135, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Except therefrom that portion of said lot lying southerly of the northerly line of Parcel No. 1 of the property described in the decree rendered in the action entitled "San Francisco and Fresno Land Company, a corporation, vs. All Persons." See Special Superior Court Case No. 17477. 8-6143. 328-154. Robinson, $168.50.

Parcel One Hundred Two. Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Oxford Street, distant thereon 175 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Wayland Street, and running thence southeasterly and along said line of Oxford Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 105, University Homestead Association. 3-6064. 549-119. Howard, $528.70, with par. 103.

Parcel One Hundred Three. Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Oxford Street, distant thereon 125 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Wayland Street, and running thence southeasterly along said line of Oxford Street 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 50 feet, and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 105, University Homestead Association. 4-6064. 549-119. Howard, with par. 102.

Parcel One Hundred Four. Commencing at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Visitacion Avenue and the southeasterly line of Spreckels Street, running thence northeasterly along said line of Spreckels Street 49.81 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 110 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 49.81 feet to the northeasterly line of Visitacion Avenue; thence at a right angle northwesterly along said line of Visitacion Avenue 110 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block No. 29, Sunnyvale Homestead Association. 9-6260. 549-96. Costopulos, $501.70.

Parcel One Hundred Five. Lots Nos. 5, 6 and 7 in Block No. 37, as per map marked "Official Map of Sunnyvale Homestead Association," surveyed May, 1871, by Vitus Wackenreuder, approved and adopted at a meeting of the Board of Trustees held June 7, 1871, which said map is on file in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in book "D" of maps, and recorded in said Recorder's office September 20, 1876, in map book No. 1 at pages 163 and 164. 1, 2 and 3-6264. 549-65. Mackin, $2,031.60.

Parcel One Hundred Six. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Harvard Street, distant thereon 100 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Bacon Street, and running thence northwesterly along said line of Harvard Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 6 in Block No. 103, University Homestead Extension Association, as per map thereof filed February 28, 1868, in book "C" and "D" of maps at page 61, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 6-5996. 328-23. Timbs, $203.70.

Parcel One Hundred Seven. Beginning at a point formed by the intersection of the southwesterly line of Goettingen Street with the northwesterly line of Dwight Street, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line of Goettingen Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the northwesterly line of Dwight Street, and thence northeasterly along the said northwesterly line of Dwight Street 120 feet to its intersection with the southwesterly line of Goettingen Street and the point of beginning.

Being Lot No. 6 in Block No. 3, as per map entitled "Map of the Land of the Paul Tract Homestead Association." 6-6118. 549-111. Whittier, $6,467.60.

Parcel One Hundred Eight. Beginning at the point formed by the intersection of the northeasterly line of Somerset Street with the northwesterly line of Dwight Street, and running thence northwesterly along the said northeasterly line of Somerset Street 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 60 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the northwesterly line of Dwight Street, and thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Dwight Street 60 feet to its intersection with the northeasterly line of Somerset Street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot No. 12 in Block No. 3, as per map entitled "Map of the Lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association." 8-6118. 549-94. Craig, $183,60.

Parcel One Hundred Nine. Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Goettingen Street, distant thereon 150 feet northwesterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said northeasterly line of Goettingen Street with the northwesterly line of Ward Street, and running thence northwesterly along the said northeasterly line of Goettingen Street 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 50 feet, and thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of Goettingen Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 25 of Paul Tract. 13a-6170. 549-121. Fortino, $405.30.

Parcel One Hundred Ten. Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Colby Street, distant thereon 125 feet southeasterly from the point formed by the intersection of the northeasterly line of Colby Street with the southeasterly line of Dwight Street, and running thence southeasterly along the said northeasterly line of Colby Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot No. 7 in Block No. 61, University Homestead Association. 10-6130. 549-120. McCarthy, $103.80.

Parcel One Hundred Eleven. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Bowdoin Street, distant thereon 175 feet southeasterly from the point formed by the intersection of the said southwesterly line of Bowdoin Street with the southeasterly line of Dwight Street; running thence southeasterly along the said southwesterly line of Bowdoin Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the southwesterly line of Bowdoin Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 46 of the University Mound Survey. 8-6129. 549-125. Gonzalez, $468.60.

Parcel One Hundred Twelve. Beginning at the point formed by the intersection of the northwesterly line of Mansell Street with the northeasterly line of Bowdoin Street, and running thence northeasterly along the said northwesterly line of Mansell Street 30 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 30 feet to the northeasterly line of Bowdoin Street, and thence southeasterly along the said northeasterly line of Bowdoin Street 100 feet to its intersection with the northwesterly line of Mansell Street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot No. 5 in Block No. 117 of University Homestead Extension Association. 9a-6150. 549-113. Hart, $213.70.

Parcel One Hundred Thirteen. Lot No. 11 in Block No. 7, Reis Tract, as per map thereof recorded May 19, 1904, in map book 1, at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 36-6213. 328-304. Gregory, $78.50.

Parcel One Hundred Fifteen. Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Woolsey Street, distant thereon 85 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Saratoga (formerly Delaware) Street, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Woolsey Street 35 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 35 feet, and thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lot No. 1 in Block No. 174 of the University Extension Homestead Association, filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, January 30, 1871, recorded in book 2 "A" and "B" of maps, at page 139. 12-6098. 328-192. Peter, $3828.70.

Parcel One Hundred Sixteen. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Harvard Street, distant thereon 150 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Dwight Street, and running thence southeasterly along said line of Harvard Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 182, University Extension Homestead Association. 3-6138. 549-135. Galbraith, $607.20 with 117 and 118.

Parcel One Hundred Seventeen. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Harvard Street, distant thereon 100 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Dwight Street, and running thence southeasterly and along said line of Harvard Street 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 50 feet, and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lot No. 2 in Block No. 182, University Extension Homestead Association. 2-6138. 549-135. Savage, with par. 116.

Parcel One Hundred Eighteen. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Harvard Street, distant thereon 150 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Olmstead Street, and running thence northwesterly and along said line of Harvard Street 75 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 75 feet, and thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Lots Nos. 2 and 3 in Block No. 182, University Extension Homestead Association. 4-6138. 549-135. Savage, with par. 116.

Parcel One Hundred Nineteen. Lots Nos. 8, 9 and 10 in Block No. 16, according to map entitled "Map of the Reis Tract," filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on May 19, 1904, and recorded in map book 1 at pages 241 and 242. 18, 19 and 20-6241. 549-82. Klas, $528.60

Parcel One Hundred Twenty. Lot No. 14 in Block No. 4, Reis Tract, as per map thereof recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps, at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 10-6242. 549-83. Eisenhut, $178.60.

Parcel One Hundred Twenty-one. Lot No. 45 in Block No. 4, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps, at pages 241 and 242, records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the boundaries of said tract are fully described in a grant by Ferdinand Reis to Julius C. Reis, dated May 17, 1904, and recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 2053 of deeds, at page 264. 25-6242. 549-139. O'Kane, $128.60.

Parcel One Hundred Twenty-two. Lot No. 43 in Block No. 4, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in liber 1 of maps, at pages 241 and 242, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. 27-6242. 49-127. McNally. Paid by City.

Parcel One Hundred Twenty-three. Lot No. 23 in Block No. 27, according to map entitled "Map of Reis Tract, San Francisco, Cal.," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, May 19, 1904, and recorded in book 1 of maps, pages 241 and 242. 52-6188. 549-141. Lawrence, $78.50.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free from all incumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been secured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his investigation to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon pay-

ment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(1) A. M. Castle & Co., iron and steel (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	$592.69
(2) Calaveras-Angeles Iron Works, Inc., 15 rock pocket gates (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	1,828.00
(3) Cement Gun Construction Co., cement gun work (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	517.50
(4) Cyclops Iron Works, one 10-ton traveling crane (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	1,125.00
(5) Makins Produce Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	1,237.98
(6) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)	1,741.33
(7) Pioneer Rubber Mills, belts, hose, etc. (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	567.84
(8) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	1,157.73
(9) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)	5,605.32
(10) Soule Steel Co., steel bars (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	753.82
(11) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	568.90
(12) United States Rubber Co., rubber boots, etc. (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	714.25
(13) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerating plant (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	1,870.00
(14) Western Meat Co., meat (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)	605.38
(15) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)	1,288.82
(16) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)	511.76
(17) Cement Gun Construction Co. of California, cement gun work (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)	776.25
(18) Kaiser Paving Co., labor and material (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)	514.28
(19) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)	528.45
(20) Pacific Coast Steel Co., steel bars (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)	1,569.88
(21) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)	1,460.89
(22) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)	4,011.32
(23) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerating plant (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)	1,870.00
(24) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, twentieth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928)	21,290.30

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(25) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing and expense for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) $6,491.41

(26) Pacific Southwest Exposition, Long Beach, California, rental of floor space for S. F. exhibit (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,000.00

Park Fund.

(27) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) $4,742.93

(28) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 1,748.25

(29) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 649.99

(30) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 726.36

(31) Swift & Company, provisions for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 852.31

(32) The Texas Company, fuel oil for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 593.16

Playground Fund.

(33) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) $913.28

(34) Daniel C. McCabe, construction of Excelsior Playground (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 2,324.00

(35) John J. Carmody, first payment, plumbing work for Twenty-sixth and Douglass Playground (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 714.60

(36) J. P. Holland, Inc., hauling fill to Glen Park (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 1,085.60

(37) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 2,091.63

Auditorium Fund.

(38) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Auditorium (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928)..... $526.55

School Bonds, 1918.

(39) Rees Blow Pipe Mfg. Co., installation of exhaust system at Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928).. $506.00

Special School Tax.

(40) The Gunn Furniture Co., chairs for Balboa High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928)..... $714.00

(41) Overkamp Machinery Co., machinery for woodworking shop, Balboa High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928).... 805.00

(42) C. F. Weber & Co., chair desks for Balboa High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928)..... 12,132.00

(43) H. Schulte & Son, cafeteria tables, Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928)..... 760.75

(44) Overkamp Machinery Co., machinery for woodworking shop of Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) 805.00

(45) The Norman F. Hall Co., machinery for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928)..... 531.00

(46) A. Lettich Co., black and galvanized pipe for school repair (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 636.16

Municipal Railway Fund.

(47) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline (claim dated Sept. 15, 1928) $2,000.00

(48) Kahn & Keville, tires and tubes (claim dated Sept. 15, 1928) 650.64

(49) Penny, Stephenson & Bossana, professional services, auditing accounts of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 15, 1928) 885.00
(50) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) 7,281.65

County Road Fund.

(51) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... $548.37
(52) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 1,791.31
(53) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, amount necessary to match employees' contributions for 1927-1928 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 8,822.16
(54) Calaveras Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928)..... 1,355.00
(55) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928)..... 867.20

Boulevard Bonds.

(56) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, amount necessary to match employees' contributions for year 1927-1928 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... $1,491.48
(57) G. W. Thomas Drayage & Rigging Co., hauling concrete piles for Esplanade at the Ocean Beach (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 3,127.50

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(58) Sewer Repair Department, Budget Item 552, Board of Public Works, reimbursement for account of hauling charges (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... $532.50
(59) Sewer Repair Department, Budget Item 546, Board of Public Works, reimbursement for account of labor charges, July and August, 1928 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 7,815.22
(60) Sewer Repair Department, Budget Item 550, Board of Public Works, reimbursement for account of materials and supplies furnished July and August, 1928 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 5,343.23
(All for account construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer.)
(61) Clarence B. Eaton, fourth payment, construction of Fillmore street main sewer, Section A, Contract 1 (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 17,000.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(62) M. Greenberg's Sons Co., gongs and castings for Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... $586.56
(63) San Francisco Stove Works, iron castings for Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 1,066.30
(64) Remensperger Bros., one Ford sedan for Coroner (claim dated Sept. 15, 1928) 652.00
(65) Daniel J. O'Brien, contingent expense, Police Department, for October (claim dated October 1, 1928)..... 750.00
(66) Hanni & Girerd, repairs to Police Department Buick autos (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928)..... 542.35
(67) Aluminum Cooking Utensil Co., steam kettles for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 1,057.14
(68) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928) 1,019.57
(69) Blue Ribbon Products Co., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928) 600.00
(70) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 6,287.37

(71) Dairy Dale-San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 2,335.45
(72) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 605.39
(73) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 1,418.11
(74) San Francisco International Fish Co., fish for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 503.76
(75) Sussman & Wormser, sugar for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928) 559.98
(76) Sussman & Wormser Co., sugar for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 540.00
(77) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928) 1,752.93
(78) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 1,113.36
(79) A. P. Jacobs, rent of No. 333 Kearny street, September 3 to October 3, 1928 (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928)..... 1,120.75
(80) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,747.20
(81) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for August (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928)..... 59,374.61

Street Work in Front of City Property.

(82) J. J. Calish, construction of concrete sidewalks on Ulloa and Wawona and Vicente streets, Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 3,000.00
(83) The Fay Improvement Co., improving of Thirtieth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, at City property (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 1,774.00
(84) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Thirtieth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, at City property (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 1,882.20
(85) Meyer Rosenberg, improving of Prentiss street, grading, paving, sewers, etc. (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 1,652.55

General Fund.

(86) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper for Bureau of Engineering (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 526.80
(87) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 665.32
(88) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings during August (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 4,344.58

County Road Fund.

(89) Municipal Construction Co., improvement of Williams avenue, by grading and paving, between Newhall and Phelps streets (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 5,746.95

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(90) John J. Dailey, legal services, month of September, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 850.00

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Offers of Land for Sunset Parkway.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29662 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Harry de Yonge, $5,850—Lot 8, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the improvements on this lot are to remain the property of Harry de Yonge and are to remain on the land, subject to a 30-day notice of removal on or before November 30, 1928.

Emilie Deichle and John Deichle, $1,625—Lot 4, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

C. P. Miner and Mary E. Miner, $6,800—Lot 6-A, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the improvements on this lot are to remain the property of C. P. Miner et ux., and are to remain on the land, subject to a 30-day notice of removal on or after January 1, 1929.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Accepting Offers of Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29663 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Girolamo Candela and Vincenza Candela, $2,677—Portion of Lot 29, Block 5376, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount of $2,677 includes payment in full for all claims of damages accruing to the remaining portion of land of grantors, or to the improvements situated on the land above mentioned, or the adjacent portion of said land of grantors, caused by the opening, widening, grading and construction of Bay Shore boulevard and its connecting streets in the vicinity of the property hereinabove described, or to the remaining portion of said grantors' land.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29664 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Melville H. Raab, Leland B. Raab and Norman C. Raab, $750—Lots Nos. 46 and 47, Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Frederick Daniels, $4,000—Lots Nos. 23 and 24, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

George D. Burge and Ella C. Burge, $60—Portion of Lot 17, Block 5479, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Crocker Estate Company, $5,246.30—All of Lots 2, 3 and 5-C, and portion of Lot 4, Block 4991, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. Lands in acre. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Crocker Estate Company, $154.80—Portion of Block 5065-A, lands in acre, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Crocker Estate Company, $17,672.75—Portion of Block 5054-A, lands in acre, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Crocker Estate Company, $7,216.15—Portion of Block 5069 and Block 5066-A, lands in acre, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Florida Berg and Eugene F. Berg, $50—Portion of Assessor's Lot 16, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

It is understood and agreed that the said Florida Berg and Eugene Berg will, at their own cost and expense, move or reconstruct fence now existing along above mentioned parcel of land.

It is agreed that no portion of dwelling on property of Florida and Eugene Berg will be removed or damaged.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Accepting Offers of Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29665 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

E. L. Lucas and Fred G. Lucas, $400—The northerly portions of Lot 5-A and Lot 27, in Block 7134, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Cesare Restani, $32,000—Portions of Lots Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4, in Block 7029, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described land are to remain the property of Cesare Restani, and are to be relocated by him within sixty (60) days after recordation of the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free

and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Accepting Offer of Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29666 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted:

Higgins & Sons, Inc., $3,800—All of Lots 21 and 22, Block 7116; all of Lots 21 and 22, Block 7119; all of Lots 24 and 25, Block 7132; all of Lots 35, 36 and 37, Block 7134; all of Lot 1, Block 7135, and all of Lots 1 and 38, Block 7136, all as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Release of Damage Claims, Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29667 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of properties adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, namely:

Clarence C. Kronquist and Isabell Kronquist, $970.20—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 3, in Block 3149, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 129 Santa Rosa avenue.

Joseph Garguilo and Alta Garguilo, $1,106.50—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 4, in Block 3149, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 125 Santa Rosa avenue.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above-mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29668 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of properties adjacent to the pro-

posed Alemany boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, namely:

Edwin J. Love and Zylpha T. Love, $578.20—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 23, Block 6797, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 88 Francis street.

Erich R. C. Hesse and Margaret E. Hesse, $75—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 2, Block 3149, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 133 Santa Rosa avenue.

Tyler L. McCall and Alta W. McCall, $1,194.60—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 5, Block 3149, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 121 Santa Rosa avenue.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above-mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Releases of Damage Claims, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29669 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Bay Shore boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the grading and construction of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Bay Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of said offer as per the following terms, viz.:

Palmira da San Martino and Fortunato da San Martino, $2,110—Lot 30, Block 5376, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 191 Boutwell street.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of said specified amount to the above-mentioned persons upon receipt of the proper release.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Also, Resolution No. 29670 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Bay Shore boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the grading and construction of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard to the

proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Bay Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, viz.:

G. Barbieri, $283.80—Lot 5, Block 5438, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 320 Charter Oak avenue.

Estha Geduldig, $1,940—Lot 31, Block 5376, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 189 Boutwell street.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to close negotiations and superintendent the payment of said specified amounts to the above-mentioned persons upon receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Mayor Authorized to Sell Improvement on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29671 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 3570 Mission street, 3566 Mission street (Agar), and 18 Richland avenue (Pellegrino).

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Bernal Cut Bonds, issue of 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Mayor to Sell Dwelling on Bay Shore Boulevard Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29672 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and known as 6749 Third street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling house and appurtenances to be removed by the purchaser within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Boulevard Bond Issue Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Mayor to Sell Dwellings on Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29673 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and di-

rected to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Sunset Parkway, and known as 1322 Thirty-seventh avenue, 1258 Thirty-seventh avenue, 2487 Thirty-sixth avenue, and 1266 Thirty-seventh avenue.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Boulevard Bond Issue Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Accepting Offer of Land for Subsurface Sewer Right of Way, Mizpah Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29674 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for a subsurface sewer right of way for the extension of the Mizpah street sewer, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

George J. Harder, $1—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Chenery street, distant thereon 200.950 feet easterly from its intersection with the easterly line of Elks street; thence easterly along the southerly line of Chenery street 5.028 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 56 min. 30 sec. to the right and running southerly 76.749 feet; thence deflecting 114 deg. 08 min. 04 sec. to the right and running westerly 5.479 feet; thence deflecting 65 deg. 51 min. 56 sec. to the right and running northerly 75.04 feet to the point of beginning.

It is hereby understood and agreed that the sewer will be constructed at the sole expense of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Accepting Offers of Land for Widening of Twentieth and Noe Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29675 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named companies to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening and improvement of Twentieth and Noe streets, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Oscar Heyman & Bro., $1—Portion of Lot 57, Block 3604, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Commercial Centre Realty Company, $1—Portion of Lot 56, Block 3604, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that any assessment for the improvement of Twentieth and Noe streets which may be levied against the

remaining property of Oscar Heyman & Bro. and Commercial Centre Realty Company will be borne by the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Accepting Offer of Land for Extending Margaret Avenue and Louisburg Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29676 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the extending of Margaret avenue and Louisberg street at their intersection with Ridge Lane, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Milton H. Lees and Viola Lees, $200—Portions of Lots 9 and 10, in Block 7035, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Mayor to Execute Deed to C. B. Rich.

Also, Resolution No. 29677 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the terms of Resolution No. 29423 (New Series), the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby authorized and instructed to execute a deed to C. B. Rich in the name of the City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Payment for Properties Required for John McLaren Park.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of one hundred and twenty-five thousand five hundred and fifty-three dollars ($125,553) be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Purchase of Lands for Public Purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 69, Fiscal Year 1928-1929, to California Pacific Title & Trust Company; being payment for properties as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No.

29646 (New Series), and required for McLaren Park. (Claim dated September 24, 1928.)

Appropriation for Construction of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $54,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section D-1, Contract No. 10, from Sickles avenue to Orizaba avenue, and including engineering, inspection and extras. (Contract awarded to Hanrahan Company at $49,884.12.)

Appropriation for Purchase of Eight Five-Ton Dump Trucks.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $36,576 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the purchase of eight 5-ton trucks with dump bodies from the Fageol Motor Car Company as approved by Resolution No. 29642 (New Series).

Appropriation for Preliminary Survey of Proposed Highway.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the expense of preliminary survey of proposed highway in Joint Highway District of counties of San Francisco, Marin, Sonoma, Humboldt and Mendocino, and being San Francisco's portion of the expense of said preliminary survey.

Appropriations for Sewer Construction.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstructions of Sewers," Budget Item No. 36, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District (additional) $20,000.00

(2) For the construction of sewers in Carolina street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets..... 700.00

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Appropriations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29685 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 36.

(1) For construction of temporary sewer outlet across Alemany boulevard and to discharge into the waters of Islais Creek $109.60

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 33.

(2) For the cost of improving the intersection of San Jose avenue and Ridge lane..... $115.00

County Road Fund.

(3) For expense of reconstruction of approach at Twelfth avenue and Taraval street to existing oil macadem pavement adjacent thereto $369.60

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering the Reconstruction of a Number of Streets Included in 1928-1929 Budget.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 8723, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 8073 (New Series), approved June 18, 1928, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $91,945, payable out of Budget Item No. 75 for the fiscal year 1928-1929, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Broderick street, McAllister to Fulton streets	$4,000
Duboce avenue, Noe to Market streets	15,000
Elizabeth street, Hoffman avenue to Douglass street	3,500
Eureka street, Eighteenth to Twenty-first streets	10,000
Harrison street, Eleventh to Fourteenth streets	8,300
Miguel street, Laidley to Chenery streets	1,800
Seventeenth street, Douglass street to Roosevelt way	20,000
Sixteenth street, Castro to Flint streets	3,400
Shipley street, Fourth street to Eliza place	6,865
Scott street, Clay to Jackson streets	8,000
Shipley street, Fifth to Sixth streets	9,280
Tehama street, Eighth to Ninth streets	1,800
Total	$91,945

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $3,132.84, Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Montgomery Street Between Green and Union Streets.

The following resolution, referred to the Board by the Finance Committee, was *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,132.84 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Montgomery street between Green and Union streets; being an extra on contract for said improvement.

(Recommended by the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.)

Auto Parking Station Permit, F. W. Williams, Sacramento Street West of Montgomery.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolved, That F. W. Williams be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate

an automobile parking station on the south side of Sacramento street, 68 feet 9 inches west of Montgomery street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. W. Williams be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Sacramento street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Montgomery street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

American Trust Company, southwest corner of Fillmore and O'Farrell streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bay Hotel, 24 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

David Coleman, south side Pixley street, 125 feet east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. T. Erlin, 400 Fortieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Heillbai Company, southeast corner Sixth and Bryant streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Moss, 1466 Haight street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Rednall, northeast corner Scott and Greenwich streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sbarbro and Jorgensen, south side North Point street, 200 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Sisich, 1329 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. W. Taylor, southwest corner Monterey boulevard and Santa Anna way, 600 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Peter Balzer, Jr., 1553 Folsom street, 5 horsepower.

Hop Lee Laundry, 507 Pacific street, 5 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Dr. Phillip Aaronson, 333 Santa Ana street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Bell, 1637 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Walter G. Brown, 251 Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. D. Devencenzi, south side of Union street, 150 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles A. Laughlin, north side of Pine street, 81 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Lawson, 489 Fourteenth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Miss H. Moffat, 3575 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Mullen, east side of Central avenue, 100 feet north of Page street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Orrich, 2698 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Sheldon Potter, 710 El Camino Del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Romeo, 2337 Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

St. Luke's Church, south side of Clay street, 100 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. W. Stanley, east side of San Benito way, 90 feet south of Darien way, 750 gallons capacity.

F. W. Varney, southwest corner of Marina boulevard and Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, west side of San Filipe street, 400 feet south of San Jacinto way, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee.

Resolution No. 29678 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove, change and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

Eastman street, north of Union street.
Eastman street, north of Green street.
Russell street, east of Eastman street.
North side and south side Eddy street, west of Fillmore street.
Northwest and southeast corners Eddy and Steiner streets.
North side and south side Eddy street, west of Steiner street.
Bourbon street, north of Eddy street.
Southwest corner Eddy and Pierce streets.
South side Eddy street, first and second west of Pierce street.
East side Farren street, north of Eddy street.
Northeast and southwest corners Eddy and Scott streets.
North side and south side Eddy street between Scott and Divisadero streets.
North side and south side Eddy street between Divisadero and Broderick streets.
East and west sides Howard street, first and second south of Twenty-fourth street.
Northeast and southwest corners Howard and Twenty-fifth streets.
East side Shotwell street, north of Nineteenth street.
West side Shotwell street, first and second north of Nineteenth street.
East side and west side Twelfth street, first and second south of Mission and Howard streets.
Folsom street between Beale and Fremont streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Bush and Powell streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Sixteenth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.
Pierce street between Post and Sutter streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Ocean avenue and Lee avenue.
Ocean avenue and Harold avenue.
Ocean avenue and Phelan avenue.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Southwest corner Howard and Twenty-fifth streets.
East and west sides Howard street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets.
Lee avenue between Holloway and Ocean avenues.
Nineteenth street, opposite 3918.
Whitney and Roanoke streets.
Twenty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.
Swiss avenue between Sussex and Surrey streets.
Diamond and Moffit streets.

Alley between Sussex and Arbor streets, west of Diamond street.
Ellsworth street between Tompkins avenue and Ogden street.
Sixteenth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.
Eastman street, north of Union street.
Eastman and Russell streets.
Russell street, east of Eastman street.
East side and west side Shotwell street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets.
Marston street between Detroit and Edna streets.
Southwest corner Pine and Powell streets.

Install 400 *Type "C."*

Front of 201 Magellan avenue.
Dewey boulevard and Easement street.
Standard No. 63 and No. 64, Plaza.
East side Seventh avenue, 75 feet south of Plaza.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Southwest corner Eddy and Fillmore streets.
North side and south side Eddy street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.
North side and south side Eddy street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.
North side and south side Pierce and Scott streets.
North side and south side Scott and Divisadero streets.
North side and south side Divisadero and Broderick streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Eddy, Steiner, Pierce and Scott streets.
Farren street, north of Eddy street.
Bourbon street, north of Eddy street.
Eddy street between Scott and Divisadero streets (2), front of church.
Pierce street between Sutter and Post streets.
Twelfth street, south of Market street.
East and west sides Twelfth street, south of Mission street.
East and west sides Twelfth street, south of Howard street.

Install 600 *M. R.*

North side Jessie street between Fourth and Fifth streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Bush and Powell street.
Corner Ocean and San Jose avenues (fire house).

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Northwest corner Ocean and Phelan avenues.
Southeast corner Ocean and Harold avenues.
Southeast corner Ocean and Lee avenues.
North side Ocean avenue between Brighton and Lee avenues.
North side Ocean avenue between Lee and Harold avenues.
Southeast corner Ocean and Phelan avenues.

Change 600 *M. R.*

South side Jessie street, east of Fifth street (75 feet east).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

Ordinance Regulating Parades and Processions.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the

public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along, or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four (24) hours previous to its forming or marching and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police. Provided, however, that no parade or procession shall occupy or march upon any street in the Central Traffic District unless special permit in writing has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Any person who, upon making application, has been denied such written permit may refer such application to the "Committee on Parades" for further consideration and action.

Section 4. The Police Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to act as a "Committee on Parades" for the purposes of this ordinance.

Said Committee is hereby given the right and it shall be its duty to consider and act upon any application for a permit, provided for in Section 3 hereof, that has been referred to it in accordance with the provisions of said section. The findings of such Committee shall be binding upon the Chief of Police.

Section 5. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges and the apparatus of the Fire Department, responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department and Fire Marshal and auxiliary fire apparatus, belonging to any person, firm or corporation when such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment and the United States mail wagons shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 6. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession are within one (1) block of said crossings and the intersections and said line shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 7. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert said parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over and along the nearest cross street from such fire, accident or emergency and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 9. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Traffic Ordinance Amendments.

Also, Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Article I is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings, respectively, ascribed to them in this section.

Street—Every way set apart for public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A street with a roadway of less than twenty (20) feet.

Blind Alley—An alley which has only one end available for ingress or egress.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the roadway included within the prolongation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers or materials.

Passenger Loading Zone—The space adjacent to the curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers.

Vehicles—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District—That portion of this City bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from the east line of First street to the west line of Sixth street; Sixth street from the south line of Mission street to the south line of Market street; the intersection of Sixth street, Market

street, Golden Gate avenue and Taylor street; Taylor street from the north line of Golden Gate avenue to the north line of Sutter street; Sutter street from the west line of Taylor street to the east line of Grant avenue; Grant avenue from the south line of Sutter street to the north line of California street; California street from the west line of Grant avenue to the south line of Market street; Market street from the north line of California street to the west line of First street; First street from the north line of Market street to the south line of Mission street.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also, any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction of Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 3. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, except in passenger loading zones, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the central traffic district or in any business district during any hour of any day.

(2) In any loading zone, between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day.

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day.

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of the day.

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public building between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays.

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business.

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom at any hour of any day.

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) During the times specified in subsection (a) of this section, passenger vehicles shall not stand longer than five (5) minutes in a passenger loading zone, and it shall be unlawful to stand any commercial vehicle in such zone.

(d) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained by the Police Department to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones and passenger loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance; provided that a curb space of not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones and passenger loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in an emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Reply to Secretary of Interior Approved in re City Obligation Under the Raker Act.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, under date of May 18, 1928, the Secretary of the Interior repeated his former request, of July 7, 1927, with certain modifications, that the City carry out certain of its obligations to the United States Government as set forth under the terms of the Raker Act; and

Whereas, the City Engineer has prepared a draft of a reply to the Secretary of the Interior and recommends that if the same meets with the approval of the Board of Supervisors that the Mayor be authorized and requested to forward the same to the Secretary of the Interior; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the draft of the reply to the Secretary of the Interior's request, as prepared by the City Engineer, be approved and the Mayor be authorized and requested to forward the same to the Secretary of the Interior on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

City Lands in Yosemite National Park to Be Conveyed to the Federal Government in Accordance With the Raker Act.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to make, execute and deliver a deed conveying to the United States Government all of the lands within the boundaries of the Yosemite National Park which should be conveyed to the Federal Government by the City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of the Raker Act.

Approving Map of Hetch Hetchy Trails for Presentation to the Secretary of the Interior.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Mayor, the City Engineer and the City Attorney to take such action as is necessary on behalf of the City in order that a map and other matters necessary in connection with certain trails in the vicinity of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir, Tiltill Valley and Lake Vernon may be authoritatively presented before the Secretary of the Interior for approval and showing the proposed location and types of construction of these trails.

Motor Bus Service, Marina.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service in the Marina District as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service suitable for the transportation of passengers in the Marina District, as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway System, over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Scott and Chestnut streets, thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street, thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard, thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street, thence southerly on Scott street to the place of beginning.

Section 2. After 9:30 p. m. the motor bus service authorized in this ordinance shall be maintained and operated over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Avila and Scott streets, thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street, thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard, thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street, thence southerly on Scott street to Alhambra street, thence easterly on Alhambra street to Avila street, thence southerly on Avila street to the place of beginning.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 8075 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Revoking Old Route and Authorizing New Route to Market Street Railway Company to Operate Bus Over Mission, Silver, etc.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permission granted to the Market Street Railway Company, by Resolution No. 25420 (New Series), finally adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 29, 1926, and duly approved by the Mayor on April 2, 1926, to maintain and operate automobile buses over and along the streets mentioned in said resolution, be and the same is hereby revoked, and that said Market Street Railway Company be and it is hereby granted permission to maintain and operate said automobile buses over and along the following described route:

Commencing at Mission street and Silver avenue, thence along Silver avenue to Edinburgh street, thence along Edinburgh street to Excelsior avenue, thence along Excelsior avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to Persia avenue, thence along Persia avenue to Mission street, thence along Mission street to Brazil avenue, thence along Brazil avenue to Moscow street, thence along Moscow street to Persia avenue, thence along Persia avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to Excelsior avenue, thence along Excelsior avenue to Mission street, thence along Mission street to Silver avenue and point of commencement.

Commencing at Mission street and Persia avenue, thence along Persia avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to and across Geneva avenue to Cordova street, thence along Cordova street to Baltimore way, thence along Baltimore way to South Hill boulevard, thence along South Hill boulevard to Geneva avenue, thence along Geneva avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to Russia avenue, thence along Russia avenue to Mission street, thence along Mission street to Persia avenue and point of commencement.

That said permission herein granted shall be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors and shall be subject to all of the terms and conditions contained in said Resolution No. 25420 (New Series), and said automobile buses shall be operated and transfers to and from the same shall be issued in the manner set forth in said resolution.

Adopted.

The following resolution were *adopted*:

Extension of Time to Charles L. Harney.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 29679 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles L. Harney is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after September 6, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Polk street between North Point and Beach streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed awaiting the reconstruction of the tracks of the Market Street Railway Company.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Extension of Time to Clarence B. Eaton.

Also, Resolution No. 29680 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of sixty (60) days' time from and after September 10, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Moscow street between Amazon and France avenues, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work is well under way, the grading and curbs having been completed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Change of Name of Portion of Beach Street to Marina Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29681 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 103545 (Second Series), recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the name of Beach street between Laguna street and Buchanan street be changed to Marina boulevard; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the name of Beach street between Laguna street and Buchanan street, as it is laid down and delineated on the official map of the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby changed to Marina boulevard.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Referred.

The following resolution was *referred to the Streets Committee*:

Requesting Board of Public Works to Recommend the Acceptance of Certain Streets in Crocker-Amazon and Forest Hill Tracts.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, certain streets in the Crocker-Amazon and Forest Hill Tracts have never been accepted by the City and County of San Francisco, and, as a consequence thereof, no repairs or cleaning can be done by the Department of Public Works; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Department of Public Works is hereby requested to recommend to this Board of Supervisors that said streets be accepted by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Closing and Abandoning of Portions of Murray Street and Benton Avenue.

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 29682 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 29505 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon portions of Murray street and Benton avenue, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, as hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of said portions of Murray street and Benton avenue was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portions of Murray street and Benton avenue were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portions of Murray street and Benton avenue; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 29505 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said streets is nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said streets shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of portions of Murray street and Benton avenue be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portions of Murray street and Benton avenue be and the same are hereby closed and abandoned as public streets. The said portions of Murray street and Benton avenue hereinabove referred to are more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. That portion of Murray street lying between the southerly line of Crescent avenue and a line adjoining the most southerly corner of Lot 15, Block 5804, to the most westerly corner of Lot 25, Block 5831, as per map of St. Mary's Park recorded in Map Book "J," pages 47 to 55, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. That portion of Benton avenue lying between the easterly line of St. Mary's Park, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "J," pages 47 to 55, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Fran-

cisco, and a line joining the most southerly corner of Lot 18, Block 5831, to the most westerly corner of Lot 14, Block 5842, as per said map.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in "The Bulletin" as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Change of Grades on Ripley Street Between Alabama and Folsom.

Also, Resolution No. 29683 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 103389 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted August 31, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 5, 1928, to-wit:

On Ripley street between Alabama and Folsom streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are on existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Change of Grades on Hawes Street Between Palou and Quesada Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. 29684 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 103388 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted August 31, 1928), and written recommendation of said Board filed September 5, 1928, to-wit:

On Hawes street between Palou and Quesada avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are on existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Establishment of Sidewalk Widths on Twentieth Street Between Sanchez and Noe Streets.

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 8724, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-two and ten hundred and fifty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 18, 1928, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-two and ten hundred and fifty-three, to read as follows:

Section 1052. The width of sidewalks on Twentieth street between Sanchez street and Noe street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Twentieth street between Sanchez street and Noe street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 1053. The width of sidewalks on Noe street between Twentieth street and a line 180 feet southerly from Twentieth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Noe street between Twentieth street and a point 180 feet southerly from Twentieth street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Jerrold Avenue Improvement Ordinance Rescinded.

Also, Bill No. 8725, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 8187 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between Phelps street and Third street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 8187 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between Phelps street and Third street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Newhall Street and Other Streets by Construction of Sidewalks at Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8726, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Newhall street, from Jerrold avenue to McKinnon avenue; Phelps street, from Kirkwood avenue to Newcomb avenue; Kirkwood avenue, from Phelps street to Newhall street; La Salle avenue, from Phelps street to Newhall street; McKinnon avenue, from Phelps street to Newhall street; Newcomb avenue, from Phelps street to Newhall street; Oakdale avenue, from Phelps street to Newhall street; Palou avenue, from Phelps street to Third street; Latona street, from Bay View avenue to Thornton avenue; Pomona street, from Bay View avenue to Thornton avenue; Flora street, from Bay View avenue to Thornton avenue; Lucy street, from Thornton avenue to Williams avenue; Ceres street, from Thornton avenue to Williams avenue; east one-half only Reddy street, from Thornton avenue to Williams avenue; north one-half only Williams avenue, from Reddy street to Third street; south one-half only Thornton avenue, from Reddy street to Lucy street; north one-half only Thornton avenue, from Flora street to Latona street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks 6 feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Edinburgh Street Between Silver and Peru Avenues, etc., at Expense of Private Property.

Also, Bill No. 8727, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Edinburgh street between Silver and Peru avenues; the south one-half of Silver avenue between Madison and Oxford streets, between Amherst and Princeton streets, between University

and Colby streets, and between Dartmouth and Bowdoin streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks 6 feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of West One-half of Twenty-fourth Avenue, From South Line of Balboa Street, at Expense of Private Property.

Also, Bill No. 8728, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Twenty-fourth avenue, from the south line of Balboa street to a line parallel with and two hundred and twenty-five (225) feet south of the south line of Balboa street, by the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area and the construction of a bulkhead.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Lisbon Street at Expense of Private Property.

Also, Bill No. 8729, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on

le in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby pproved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part I of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that he assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lisbon street between Silver and Avalon avenues; Naples street between Peru and Avalon avenues; Munich street between Excelsior and Persia avenues; Brazil avenue between Moscow and Munich streets; Moscow street between Brazil and France avenues; Persia avenue between Moscow and Munich streets; Russia avenue between Moscow and Munich streets; France avenue between Vienna and Athens streets; Edinburgh street between France and Italy avenues; Lisbon street between France and Italy avenues; Italy avenue between Edinburgh and Athens streets; Athens street between Amazon and Italy avenues; Paris street between Amazon and Italy avenues, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Holyoke Street Between Felton and Burrows Streets at Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8730, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Holyoke street between Felton and Burrows streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foun-

dation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Grand View Avenue Between Its Northerly and Southerly Intersections With Market Street, etc., at Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8731, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Grand View avenue between its northerly and southerly intersections with Market street, including the intervening intersections and crossings, and the intersections of Twenty-first and Worth streets, and Twenty-second street and Hoffman avenue, by the construction of standard concrete curb; by the construction of 8-inch concrete curb; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Creating Woman Police Judge—Charter Amendment No. 53.

The following matters, presented by his Honor the Mayor, were taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco by adding a new section thereto, to be designated as Section 1a of Chapter VIII of Article V, providing for an additional department of the Police Court of said City and County, and for the appointment of an additional judge of said court, and providing for the appointment of said judge and for the qualifications of said judge.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the 6th day of

November, 1928, the following proposal to amend said Charter, as follows:

That a new section be added to Article V of the Charter to be numbered Section 1a, and to read as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created an additional judge of the Police Court of the City and County of San Francisco, which said police judge shall have all of the powers and be vested with all of the authority provided by Section 1 of Chapter VIII of Article V of the Charter, and shall have all of the qualifications of the judges provided for in said section, save and except that said additional police judge shall be a woman. Said additional judge shall be subject to all the provisions of the Charter relative to police judges and police courts, and shall perform duties similar to those now performed by the judges of said court now provided for by this Charter, and shall receive the same compensation as is now provided, or which may hereafter be provided, by law for police judges.

Section 2. There is hereby added to the departments of said Police Court, as now provided by Charter, an additional department, to be known as "Department Number Five," and said judge provided for herein shall be assigned to and preside in said department, provided that nothing herein contained shall prevent said additional judge from presiding and acting as a judge of said court in any other department of said court.

Section 3. All cases in which a female is a defendant, or in which a female is the prosecuting witness, or in which a female is involved, shall, in so far as the ordinary administration of the affairs of said Police Court shall permit, be assigned and disposed of in said Department Number Five, which shall be known as the "Women's Court." Provided, however, that the assignment or disposition of any such case in any other department of said court shall not render the disposition of said case or any judgments or orders made by said court illegal or invalid, and said judgments and orders shall be valid and legal.

Section 4. Upon the approval of this amendment by the Legislature the Mayor shall appoint a woman having all of the qualifications prescribed by this amendment and by Chapter VIII of Article V of the Charter, as judge of said Police Court, which said appointee shall hold office until the first Monday in January, 1930. The successor of said appointee shall be elected at the general municipal election to be held in November, 1929, in the same manner as other elective officers, and every four years thereafter, and shall hold office for a term of four years.

Ordered submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 24, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Mayor's Contingent Fund—Charter Amendment No. 52.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Subdivision 35 of Section 1 of Chapter II of Article II of said Charter, relating to the contingent fund to be appropriated annually to the Mayor by the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1928, the following proposal to amend said Charter:

Subdivision 35 of Section 1 of Chaper II of Article II of said Charter is amended so as to read as follows:

"Section 1, Subdivision 35: The Supervisors must appropriate an-

nually to the Mayor fifteen thousand ($15,000.00) dollars as and for a contingent fund for which he need furnish no vouchers."

Ordered submitted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 24, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 1 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 102381 (Second Series), recommend the approval of Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approves said Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets.

Privilege of the Floor.

P. J. Kelly, representing the Van Ness Avenue Improvement Association; *Geo. Gerhardt*, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, and *John O'Brien*, property owner, were heard in favor of the project.

Alternative Plan.

Supervisor Powers presented an alternative plan, which he demonstrated by map and diagram, showing that the purchase of expensive property can be obviated by the widening and use of Twelfth street between Mission and Division streets, connecting with Potrero avenue, or Twelfth street to connect with Howard street.

Motion Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was calleld and the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Toner—8.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—5.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Before the result of the foregoing ballot was announced Supervisor Galllagher changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration at next meeting.

Request.

Supervisor McSheehy requested that the City Engineer be asked to bring an estimate of the cost of widening Twelfth street 45 feet on each side and report same at next meeting.

So ordered.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolutions, presented by Supervisor Powers, were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $12,400, Payment to Ella H. Tyler et al. in Satisfaction of Judgment, Bernal Cut Lands.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of twelve thousand four hundred ($12,400) dollars be and same is set aside and appropriated out of the "Bernal Cut" bonds, issued in 1927, and payable to Ella H. Tyler and Frank Tyler, subject to a first lien of the San Francisco Savings and Loan Society in the sum of two thousand ($2,000) dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from September 11, 1928, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff, vs. Ella H. Tyler and Frank Tyler, Defendants," and numbered 195126 of the records thereof in eminent domain for the acquisition of lands for street and boulevard purposes, "Bernal Cut."

Be it Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Appropriation, $4,600, Payment to George Pellegrino et al. in Satisfaction of Judgment for Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of four thousand six hundred ($4,600) dollars be and the same is set aside and appropriated out of the "Bernal Cut" bonds, issued 1927, and payable to George Pellegrino, individually, the sum of two thousand three hundred ($2,300) dollars, and to George Pellegrino as administrator of the estate of Virginia Pellegrino, deceased, the sum of two thousand three hundred ($2,300) dollars, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff, vs. George Pellegrino and George Pellegrino as Administrator of the Estate of Virginia Pellegrino, Deceased, Defendants," and numbered 195126 of the records thereof in eminent domain for the acquisition of land for street and boulevard purposes, "Bernal Cut."

Be it Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Appropriation, $10,000, Payment to Abraham Hirschberg in Satisfaction of Judgment for Land Required for Widening of Stanyan Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars be and the same is set aside and appropriated out of the County Roads Fund and payable to Abraham Hirschberg, subject to a first lien of the California Pacific Title & Trust Company in the sum of five thousand ($5,000) dollars, with interest thereon at six per cent per annum from May 18, 1928, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff, vs. Abraham Hirschberg, Defendant," and numbered 197769 of the

records thereof in eminent domain for the acquisition of lands for street and boulevard purposes.

Be it Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Supervisor Gallagher raised the question as to the right of the Public Buildings and Lands Committee to present the foregoing resolutions, claiming that they should come from the Streets Committee.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the question raised be referred to the Rules Committee with instructions to report next Monday.

So ordered.

Report of Railroad Commission on Vehicular Transbay Traffic.

A report of the Railroad Commission on vehicular transbay traffic was received and ordered *filed.*

Garbage Disposal Hearing.

The following was read by the Clerk:

September 24, 1928.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: The Health Committee will hold a meeting on Wednesday, September 26, 1928, at 2 p. m., in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, to consider the question of garbage disposal.

This meeting was scheduled for last week but was postponed owing to the fact that the Board was in session considering Charter amendments. All members of the Board are cordially invited to be present.

Yours very truly,

DR. J. M. TONER,
Chairman,
J. EMMET HAYDEN,
Member,
J. B. McSHEEHY,
Member,
HEALTH COMMITTEE.

San Francisco's Float, N. S. G. W. Parade.

Communication, from Merchants Exchange of Oakland, expressing appreciation of float representing San Francisco in the N. S. G. W. parade on September 9, 1928.

Ordered *filed and copy sent to Joseph Rose, N. S. G. W.*

San Francisco's Exhibit, State Fair.

Communication, from California State Agricultural Society, extending thanks for San Francisco's "wonderful exhibit at 1928 California State Fair" at Sacramento.

Read and ordered *filed.*

School Street Rope Permit.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the provisions of Resolution No. 27856 (New Series), granting permission to the Rev. Martin P. Ryan, pastor of St. Vincent de Paul Church, 2320 Green street (to rope off from 12 noon to 1 p. m., each school day, the roadway of Green street from Steiner to Pierce streets) be and is hereby amended to read as follows:

"To rope off the north one-half of the width of Green street, commencing at the west line of Steiner street, thence westerly for a distance of 242 feet 6 inches in front of the church and school property from 12 noon to 1 p. m., on each school day."

Referred to Streets Committee.

City Attorney's Salary—Charter Amendment No. 54.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section to Article XVI, to be numbered Section 46 thereof, relative to the salary of the City Attorney.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend said Charter as follows:

That a new section be added to Article XVI of the Charter, to be numbered Section 46, and to read as follows:

Section 46. The annual salary of the City Attorney shall be $12,000 from and after January 1, 1930, and any provision of this Charter in conflict herewith is hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—7.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 19, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of two weeks, beginning September 20, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*: Resolution No. 29686 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing September 20, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Official Argument to Be Enclosed With Sample Ballot.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Registrar of Voters be authorized to enclose the official argument of the campaign committee on Public Health Bond Issue with the sample ballots to the electors.

Supervisor Suhr made same request for Broadway Tunnel

Supervisor Stanton for Aquatic Park.

Supervisor Kent for Parks and Airport.

Supervisor Gallagher for Sewer Bonds.

Supervisor Hayden for Civil Service and Retirement System.

Supervisor McSheehy for Charter Amendment No. 29.

Supervisor Andriano for Civic Center Charter Amendment.

Supervisor Hayden for Labor Council.

So ordered.

Conference With Spring Valley Water Company Officials Relative to Installation of Pipes in Streets Under Construction.

Supervisor Gallagher asked the Chairman of the Finance Committee to get together with the Streets Committee and the officials of the Spring Valley Water Company on the subject matter of capital expenditures for pipes in streets to be constructed. As the situation stands we have twenty-six odd miles, if not more, of streets to be constructed. We are faced with a $30,000 demand from the Fire Department and the Engineer's Department to either lay the paving down and later improve the water service in them or do it now, and, of course, our position is to do it now. We asked Supervisor Havenner that if it is their duty to please confer with the company on this subject and then let us meet in joint committee again and bring in a report to this Board that will settle the policy. Either pipe lines are going to be placed in with the street or they are not. If they are not and it is so determined these contracts will be released, but at least we ought to know one way or the other. So far as I am concerned it awaits for a declaration of policy.

Supervisor Havenner agreed to call a conference of these officials of the Spring Valley Water Company for Friday afternoon and requested the Clerk to notify all concerned, including the City Engineer and the City Attorney.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 29, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 41

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, October 1, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 1, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 1, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 2:30 *p. m.*:

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 102381 (Second Series), recommend the approval of Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approves said Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets.

August 27, 1928—*Over one week and made a Special Order for* 11 *a. m.*

September 4, 1928—*Over two weeks.*

September 17, 1928—*Over one week.*

September 24, 1928—*Refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Toner—8.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—5.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Marks, Shannon, Todd—5.

Before the result was announced Supervisor Gallagher changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice of reconsideration for this meeting.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Foodstuffs.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing foodstuffs during four months' term, viz.: November and December, 1928, and January and February, 1929, to be opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Zone Change, Southeast Corner of Phelps Street and Bancroft Avenue.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8718, Ordinance No. 8217 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Phelps street and Bancroft avenue, for a distance of 55 feet on Bancroft avenue and a distance of 100 feet on Phelps street, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Zone Change, South Side of O'Farrell Street, 137 Feet 6 Inches West of Van Ness Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8719, Ordinance No. 8218 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of O'Farrell street, commencing at a point 137 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly 41 feet and extending to Olive street, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Zone Change, Three Blocks Bounded by Jackson, Laguna, Sacramento and Buchanan Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8720, Ordinance No. 8219 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the property bounded on the north by Jackson street, on the east by Laguna street, on the south by Sacramento street and on the west by Buchanan street, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Zone Change, Three Blocks Bounded by Donner Avenue, Jennings Street, Egbert Avenue and Griffith Street.

Also, Bill No. 8721, Ordinance No. 8220 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the property bounded on the northeast by Donner avenue, on the northwest by Jennings street, on the southwest by Egbert avenue and on the southeast by Griffith street, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Zone Change, West Side of Winfield Street, 325 Feet Northerly From Esmeralda Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8722, Ordinance No. 8221 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the west side of Winfield street, commencing at a point 325 feet northerly from Esmeralda avenue and running thence northerly 25 feet, to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days' time thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect

and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Also, Resolution No. 29687 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(1) A. M. Castle & Co., iron and steel (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) $592.69

(2) Calaveras-Angeles Iron Works, Inc., 15 rock pocket gates (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 1,828.00

(3) Cement Gun Construction Co., cement gun work (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 517.50

(4) Cyclops Iron Works, one 10-ton traveling crane (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 1,125.00

(5) Makins Produce Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 1,237.98

(6) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 1,741.33

(7) Pioneer Rubber Mills, belts, hose, etc. (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 567.84

(8) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 1,157.73

(9) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 5,605.32

(10) Soule Steel Co., steel bars (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928).. 753.82

(11) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 568.90

(12) United States Rubber Co., rubber boots, etc. (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 714.25

(13) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerating plant (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... 1,870.00

(14) Western Meat Co., meat (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928).. 605.38

(15) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 1,288.82

(16) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 511.76

(17) Cement Gun Construction Co. of California, cement gun work (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 776.25

(18) Kaiser Paving Co., labor and material (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 514.28

(19) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 528.45

(20) Pacific Coast Steel Co., steel bars (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 1,569.88

(21) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 1,460.89

(22) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 4,011.32

(23) Western Butchers' Supply Co., refrigerating plant (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 1,870.00

(24) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, twentieth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) 21,290.30

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(25) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing and expense for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) $6,491.41
(26) Pacific Southwest Exposition, Long Beach, California, rental of floor space for S. F. exhibit (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,000.00

Park Fund.

(27) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) $4,742.93
(28) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 1,748.25
(29) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 649.99
(30) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 726.36
(31) Swift & Company, provisions for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 852.31
(32) The Texas Company, fuel oil for parks (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 593.16

Playground Fund.

(33) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) $913.28
(34) Daniel C. McCabe, construction of Excelsior Playground (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 2,324.00
(35) John J. Carmody, first payment, plumbing work for Twenty-sixth and Douglass Playground (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 714.60
(36) J. P. Holland, Inc., hauling fill to Glen Park (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 1,085.60
(37) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 2,091.63

Auditorium Fund.

(38) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Auditorium (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) $526.55

School Bonds, 1918.

(39) Rees Blow Pipe Mfg. Co., installation of exhaust system at Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928).. $506.00

Special School Tax.

(40) The Gunn Furniture Co., chairs for Balboa High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) $714.00
(41) Overkamp Machinery Co., machinery for woodworking shop, Balboa High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928).... 805.00
(42) C. F. Weber & Co., chair desks for Balboa High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) 12,132.00
(43) H. Schulte & Son, cafeteria tables, Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) 760.75
(44) Overkamp Machinery Co., machinery for woodworking shop of Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) 805.00
(45) The Norman F. Hall Co., machinery for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) 531.00
(46) A. Lettich Co., black and galvanized pipe for school repair (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928) 636.16

Municipal Railway Fund.

(47) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline (claim dated Sept. 15, 1928) $2,000.00
(48) Kahn & Keville, tires and tubes (claim dated Sept. 15, 1928) 650.64
(49) Penny, Stephenson & Bossana, professional services,

auditing accounts of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 15, 1928) 885.00

(50) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Sept. 11, 1928) 7,281.65

County Road Fund.

(51) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 13, 1928)..... $548.37

(52) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928)..... 1,791.31

(54) Calaveras Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928)..... 1,355.00

(55) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928)..... 867.20

Boulevard Bonds.

(56) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, amount necessary to match employees' contributions for year 1927-1928 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... $1,491.48

(57) G. W. Thomas Drayage & Rigging Co., hauling concrete piles for Esplanade at the Ocean Beach (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 3,127.50

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(58) Sewer Repair Department, Budget Item 552, Board of Public Works, reimbursement for account of hauling charges (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... $532.50

(59) Sewer Repair Department, Budget Item 546, Board of Public Works, reimbursement for account of labor charges, July and August, 1928 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 7,815.22

(60) Sewer Repair Department, Budget Item 550, Board of Public Works, reimbursement for account of materials and supplies furnished July and August, 1928 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 5,343.23

(All for account construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer.)

(61) Clarence B. Eaton, fourth payment, construction of Fillmore street main sewer, Section A, Contract 1 (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 17,000.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(62) M. Greenberg's Sons Co., gongs and castings for Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... $586.56

(63) San Francisco Stove Works, iron castings for Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 1,066.30

(64) Remensperger Bros., one Ford sedan for Coroner (claim dated Sept. 15, 1928) 652.00

(65) Daniel J. O'Brien, contingent expense, Police Department, for October (claim dated October 1, 1928)..... 750.00

(66) Hanni & Girerd, repairs to Police Department Buick autos (claim dated Sept. 17, 1928)..... 542.35

(67) Aluminum Cooking Utensil Co., steam kettles for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 1,057.14

(68) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928) 1,019.57

(69) Blue Ribbon Products Co., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928) 600.00

(70) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 6,287.37

(71) Dairy Dale-San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 2,335.45

(72) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 605.39

(73) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 1,418.11

(74) San Francisco International Fish Co., fish for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 503.76
(75) Sussman & Wormser, sugar for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928) 559.98
(76) Sussman & Wormser Co., sugar for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 540.00
(77) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928) 1,752.93
(78) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 20, 1928)..... 1,113.36
(79) A. P. Jacobs, rent of No. 333 Kearny street, September 3 to October 3, 1928 (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928)..... 1,120.75
(80) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,747.20
(81) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for August (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928)..... 59,374.61

Street Work in Front of City Property.

(82) J. J. Calish, construction of concrete sidewalks on Ulloa and Wawona and Vicente streets, Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 3,000.00
(83) The Fay Improvement Co., improving of Thirtieth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, at City property (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 1,774.00
(84) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Thirtieth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, at City property (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 1,882.20
(85) Meyer Rosenberg, improving of Prentiss street, grading, paving, sewers, etc. (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 1,652.55

General Fund.

(86) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper for Bureau of Engineering (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 526.80
(87) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 665.32
(88) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings during August (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 4,344.58

County Road Fund.

(89) Municipal Construction Co., improvement of Williams avenue, by grading and paving, between Newhall and Phelps streets (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 5,746.95

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(90) John J. Dailey, legal services, month of September, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 850.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Rereferred.

The following item was *referred to the Finance Committee*:

County Road Fund.

(53) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, amount necessary to match employees' contributions for 1927-1928 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 8,822.16

Payment for Properties Required for John McLaren Park.

Also, Resolution No. 29688 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of one hundred and twenty-five thousand five hundred and fifty-three dollars ($125,553) be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Purchase of Lands for Public Purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 69, Fiscal Year

1928-1929, to California Pacific Title & Trust Company; being payment for properties as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 29646 (New Series), and required for McLaren Park. (Claim dated September 24, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Appropriation for Construction of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29689 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $54,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, for the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section D-1, Contract No. 10, from Sickles avenue to Orizaba avenue, and including engineering, inspection and extras. (Contract awarded to Hanrahan Company at $49,884.12.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Appropriation for Purchase of Eight Five-Ton Dump Trucks.

Also, Resolution No. 29690 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $36,576 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the purchase of eight 5-ton trucks with dump bodies from the Fageol Motor Car Company as approved by Resolution No. 29642 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Appropriation for Preliminary Survey of Proposed Highway.

Also, Resolution No. 29691 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the expense of preliminary survey of proposed highway in Joint Highway District of counties of San Francisco, Marin, Sonoma, Humboldt and Mendocino, and being San Francisco's portion of the expense of said preliminary survey.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Appropriations for Sewer Construction.

Also, Resolution No. 29692 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstructions of Sewers," Budget Item No. 36, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the construction of sewers in the Golden Gate Heights District (additional) $20,000.00
(2) For the construction of sewers in Carolina street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets..... 700.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Ordering the Reconstruction of a Number of Streets Included in 1928-1929 Budget.

Also, Bill No. 8723, Ordinance No. 8222 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 8073 (New Series), approved June 18, 1928, and as hereinafter designated.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $91,945, payable out of Budget Item No. 75 for the fiscal year 1928-1929, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Broderick street, McAllister to Fulton streets	$4,000
Duboce avenue, Noe to Market streets	15,000
Elizabeth street, Hoffman avenue to Douglass street	3,500
Eureka street, Eighteenth to Twenty-first streets	10,000
Harrison street, Eleventh to Fourteenth streets	8,300
Miguel street, Laidley to Chenery streets	1,800
Seventeenth street, Douglass street to Roosevelt way	20,000
Sixteenth street, Castro to Flint streets	3,400
Shipley street, Fourth street to Eliza place	6,865
Scott street, Clay to Jackson streets	8,000
Shipley street, Fifth to Sixth streets	9,280
Tehama street, Eighth to Ninth streets	1,800
Total	$91,945

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Appropriation, $3,132.84, Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Montgomery Street Between Green and Union Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29693 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,132.84 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Montgomery street between Green and Union streets; being an extra on contract for said improvement.

(Recommended by the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Appropriation, $10,000, Payment to Abraham Hirschberg in Satisfaction of Judgment for Land Required for Widening of Stanyan Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29694 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars be and the same is set aside and appropriated out of the County Roads Fund and payable to Abraham Hirschberg, subject to a first lien of the Cali-

fornia Pacific Title & Trust Company in the sum of five thousand ($5,000) dollars, with interest thereon at six per cent per annum from May 18, 1928, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff, vs. Abraham Hirschberg, Defendant," and numbered 197769 of the records thereof in eminent domain for the acquisition of lands for street and boulevard purposes.

Be it Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Appropriation, $4,600, Payment to George Pellegrino et al. in Satisfaction of Judgment for Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29695 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of four thousand six hundred ($4,600) dollars be and the same is set aside and appropriated out of the "Bernal Cut" bonds, issued 1927, and payable to George Pellegrino, individually, the sum of two thousand three hundred ($2,300) dollars, and to George Pellegrino as administrator of the estate of Virginia Pellegrino, deceased, the sum of two thousand three hundred ($2,300) dollars, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff, vs. George Pellegrino and George Pellegrino as Administrator of the Estate of Virginia Pellegrino, Deceased, Defendants," and numbered 195126 of the records thereof in eminent domain for the acquisition of land for street and boulevard purposes, "Bernal Cut."

Be it Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Appropriation, $12,400, Payment to Ella H. Tyler et al. in Satisfaction of Judgment, Bernal Cut Lands.

Also, Resolution No. 29696 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of twelve thousand four hundred ($12,400) dollars be and same is set aside and appropriated out of the "Bernal Cut" bonds, issued in 1927, and payable to Ella H. Tyler and Frank Tyler, subject to a first lien of the San Francisco Savings and Loan Society in the sum of two thousand ($2,000) dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from September 11, 1928, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff, vs. Ella H. Tyler and Frank Tyler, Defendants," and numbered 195126 of the records thereof in eminent domain for the acquisition of lands for street and boulevard purposes, "Bernal Cut."

Be it Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Auto Parking Station Permit, F. W. Williams, Sacramento Street West of Montgomery.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29697 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. W. Williams be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Sacramento street, 68 feet 9 inches west of Montgomery street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29698 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. W. Williams be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Sacramento street, 137 feet 6 inches west of Montgomery street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Also, Resolution No. 29699 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

American Trust Company, southwest corner of Fillmore and O'Farrell streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bay Hotel, 24 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

David Coleman, south side Pixley street, 125 feet east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. T. Erlin, 400 Fortieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Heillbai Company, southeast corner Sixth and Bryant streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Moss, 1466 Haight street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Rednall, northeast corner Scott and Greenwich streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sbarbro and Jorgensen, south side North Point street, 200 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Sisich, 1329 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. W. Taylor, southwest corner Monterey boulevard and Santa Anna way, 600 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Peter Balzer, Jr., 1553 Folsom street, 5 horsepower.

Hop Lee Laundry, 507 Pacific street, 5 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29700 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Dr. Phillip Aaronson, 333 Santa Ana street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Bell, 1637 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Walter G. Brown, 251 Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. D. Devencenzi, south side of Union street, 150 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles A. Laughlin, north side of Pine street, 81 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Lawson, 489 Fourteenth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Miss H. Moffat, 3575 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Mullen, east side of Central avenue, 100 feet north of Page street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Orrich, 2698 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Sheldon Potter, 710 El Camino Del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Romeo, 2337 Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

St. Luke's Church, south side of Clay street, 100 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. W. Stanley, east side of San Benito way, 90 feet south of Darien way, 750 gallons capacity.

F. W. Varney, southwest corner of Marina boulevard and Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, west side of San Filipe street, 400 feet south of San Jacinto way, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Refused Passage.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up and *refused passage* by the following vote:

Granting Associated Oil Company Supply Station, Twenty-fifth Avenue and Geary Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwesterly corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Toner—7.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Kent, Marks, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—6.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Action Rescinded.

Subsequently during the proceedings Supervisor Shannon moved that the action whereby the foregoing resolution was refused passage be rescinded.

Motion *carried.*

Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was again called on the resolution and the same was again *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Toner—7.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—9.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the vote at next meeting.

Licenses for Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

On recommendation of Police and Licenses Committee.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up:

Bill No. 8708, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be numbered Section 62-B, relative to license fee on dealers in second-hand furniture and household goods.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section, to be numbered Section 62-B, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, to read as follows:

Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Section 62-B. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling or exchanging second-hand furniture and household goods, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, shall (after securing a quarterly permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to carry on said business) pay a license of ten ($10) dollars per quarter.

The term "furniture and household goods" as used in this ordinance shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings (except rugs), crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 2. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Privilege of the Floor.

Walter McGovern, attorney representing second-hand furniture dealers, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board in opposition to the proposed ordinance.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was, on motion, *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 11 *a. m.*

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Establishment of Sidewalk Widths on Twentieth Street Between Sanchez and Noe Streets.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8724, Ordinance No. 8223 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-two and ten hundred and fifty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 18, 1928, by adding thereto new sections,

to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-two and ten hundred and fifty-three, to read as follows:

Section 1052. The width of sidewalks on Twentieth street between Sanchez street and Noe street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Twentieth street between Sanchez street and Noe street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 1053. The width of sidewalks on Noe street between Twentieth street and a line 180 feet southerly from Twentieth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Noe street between Twentieth street and a point 180 feet southerly from Twentieth street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Jerrold Avenue Improvement Ordinance Rescinded.

Also, Bill No. 8725, Ordinance No. 8224 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 8187 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between Phelps street and Third street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 8187 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between Phelps street and Third street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Ordering the Improvement of Newhall Street and Other Streets by Construction of Sidewalks at Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8726, Ordinance No. 8225 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment

when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Newhall street, from Jerrold avenue to McKinnon avenue; Phelps street, from Kirkwood avenue to Newcomb avenue; Kirkwood avenue, from Phelps street to Newhall street; La Salle avenue, from Phelps street to Newhall street; McKinnon avenue, from Phelps street to Newhall street; Newcomb avenue, from Phelps street to Newhall street; Oakdale avenue, from Phelps street to Newhall street; Palou avenue, from Phelps street to Third street; Latona street, from Bay View avenue to Thornton avenue; Pomona street, from Bay View avenue to Thornton avenue; Flora street, from Bay View avenue to Thornton avenue; Lucy street, from Thornton avenue to Williams avenue; Ceres street, from Thornton avenue to Williams avenue; east one-half only Reddy street, from Thornton avenue to Williams avenue; north one-half only Williams avenue, from Reddy street to Third street; south one-half only Thornton avenue, from Reddy street to Lucy street; north one-half only Thornton avenue, from Flora street to Latona street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks 6 feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Ordering the Improvement of Edinburgh Street Between Silver and Peru Avenues, etc., at Expense of Private Property.

Also, Bill No. 8727, Ordinance No. 8226 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Edinburgh street between Silver and Peru avenues; the south one-half of Silver avenue between Madison and Oxford streets, between Amherst and Princeton streets, between University and Colby streets, and between Dartmouth and Bowdoin streets, by

the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks 6 feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Ordering the Improvement of West One-half of Twenty-fourth Avenue, From South Line of Balboa Street, at Expense of Private Property.

Also, Bill No. 8728, Ordinance No. 8227 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Twenty-fourth avenue, from the south line of Balboa street to a line parallel with and two hundred and twenty-five (225) feet south of the south line of Balboa street, by the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area and the construction of a bulkhead.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Ordering the Improvement of Lisbon Street at Expense of Private Property.

Also, Bill No. 8729, Ordinance No. 8228 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street

work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lisbon street between Silver and Avalon avenues; Naples street between Peru and Avalon avenues; Munich street between Excelsior and Persia avenues; Brazil avenue between Moscow and Munich streets; Moscow street between Brazil and France avenues; Persia avenue between Moscow and Munich streets; Russia avenue between Moscow and Munich streets; France avenue between Vienna and Athens streets; Edinburgh street between France and Italy avenues; Lisbon street between France and Italy avenues; Italy avenue between Edinburgh and Athens streets; Athens street between Amazon and Italy avenues; Paris street between Amazon and Italy avenues, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Ordering the Improvement of Holyoke Street Between Felton and Burrows Streets at Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8730, Ordinance No. 8229 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment

when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Holyoke street between Felton and Burrows streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Ordering the Improvement of Grand View Avenue Between Its Northerly and Southerly Intersections With Market Street, etc., at Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8731, Ordinance No. 8230 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 12, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Grand View avenue between its northerly and southerly intersections with Market street, including the intervening intersections and crossings, and the intersections of Twenty-first and Worth streets, and Twenty-second street and Hoffman avenue, by the construction of standard concrete curb; by the construction of 8-inch concrete curb; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $34,311.33, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Zone Change, Twenty-eighth Street Between Guerrero Street and San Jose Avenue.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Twenty-eighth street between Guerrero street and San Jose avenue, for a distance of 79 feet 9 inches along Guerrero street and a distance of 85 feet 11 inches along San Jose avenue, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Co., freight charges for account of parks (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928)..	$702.76
(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928).....	3,802.46
(3) A. Paulsen, for boring wells (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928).	540.00
(4) Sevin Vincent Seed Co., grass seed (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928)	622.90
(5) Berringer & Russell, hay (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928)...	772.76

Municipal Railway Fund.

(6) Market Street Railway Co., for electric power furnished (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928).....	$3,334.35
(7) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for August	

under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 1,490.96
(8) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 37,464.66

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(9) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, reserve depreciation, per Charter requirements (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) $14,584.00

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(10) Frank D. Pelicano & Sons, floral float for Admission Day celebration, Oakland (claim dated Oct. 1, 1928) $1,000.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(11) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, underwear for County Jails (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) $532.48
(12) Neustadter Bros., hickory pants and shirts for County Jails (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) 2,581.02
(13) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) 848.17
(14) J. H. Zemansky, Registrar of Voters, postage for sample ballots (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 14,400.00
(15) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928 ..... 14,921.40
(16) Rincon Foundry Co., 100 hydrants for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) 7,940.00
(17) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 967.04
(18) Howard Automobile Co., seven Buick Roadsters, less allowances, for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 8,095.00
(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 519.17
(20) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 1,928.29
(21) Ruud Heater Co., water heater for Fire Department (claim dated Aug 31, 1928) 513.00
(22) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Fire Department and installing hydrants (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 2,530.83
(23) The Texas Co., fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 584.49
(24) W. N. Moore Dry Goods Co., sheeting for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 713.89
(25) Seabury & Johnson, gauze for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 1,205.50
(26) Sussman, Wormser & Co., sugar for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 540.00
(27) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 1,844.65
(28) Pacific Specialty Mfg. Co., combination pressure and vacuum unit, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928) 924.63

Payments for Properties for Account of Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and authorized in payment out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928, to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties and for account of damages to properties required for and due to the construction of boulevards, to-wit:

(1) To Cesare Restani, for portions of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Block 7029, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (40 x 320), as authorized by

Resolution No. 29665 (New Series), and required for the Alemany boulevard (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) $32,000.00

(2) Edwin J. Love and Zylpha T. Love, for release of damages to Lot 23 in Block 6797, as per the Assessor's Block Books, caused by construction of the Alemany boulevard, as approved by Resolution No. 29668, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 578.20

(3) Tyler L. McCall and Alta W. McCall, for release of damages to Lot 5 in Block 3149, as per the Assessor's Block Books, caused by construction of Alemany boulevard, and as approved by Resolution No. 29668, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 1,194.60

(4) Girolamo Candela and Vincenza Candela, for portion of Lot 29 in Block 5376, and damages to improvements, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29663 (New Series); required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 2,677.00

(5) Crocker Estate Company, for portions of Blocks 5069 and 5066-A, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and required for Bay Shore boulevard; as authorized by Resolution No. 29664, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 7,216.15

(6) Crocker Estate Company, for portion of Block 5054-A, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Bay Shore boulevard, as approved by Resolution No. 29664, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 17,672.75

(7) Crocker Estate Company, for Lots 2, 3 and 5-C, and portion of Lot 4, in Block 4991, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and as approved by Resolution No. 29664, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 5,246.30

(8) Frederick Daniels, for Lots 23 and 24 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as authorized by Resolution No. 29664, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 4,000.00

(9) Melville H. Raab and Leland B. Raab, for Lots 46 and 47 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and as authorized by Resolution No. 29664 (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 750.00

(10) Estha Geduldig, for damages to Lot 31 in Block 5376, as per the Assessor's Block Books (189 Boutwell street), caused by the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, as authorized by Resolution No. 29670, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 1,940.00

(11) Harry De Yonge, for Lot 8 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Sunset Parkway, as authorized by Resolution No. 29662, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 5,850.00

(12) Emile Diechle and John Diechle, for Lot 4 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and as approved by Resolution No. 29662, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 1,625.00

(13) C. P. Miner and Mary E. Miner, for Lot 6-A in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Sunset Parkway, and as authorized by Resolution No. 29662, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 6,800.00

(14) Palmira Da San Martino and Fortunato Da San Martino, for release of damages to Lot 30 in Block 5376, as per the Assessor's Block Books (191 Boutwell street), caused by the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, and as authorized by Resolution No. 29669, New Series (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 2,110.00

(15) Higgins & Sons, Inc., for all of Lots 21 and 22 in Block 7116, and all of Lots 21 and 22 in Block 7119, and all of lots in Block 7132, all of Lots 35, 36 and 37 in Block 7134, all of Lot 1 in Block 7135, and all of Lots 1 and 38 in Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, as authorized by Resolution No. 29666, New Series (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928).... 3,800.00

(16) Clarence C. Kronquist and Isabell Kronquist, for release of damages to Lot 3 in Block 3149, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Alemany boulevard, and as authorized by Resolution No. 29667, New Series (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 970.20

(17) Joseph Garguilo and Alta Garguilo, for release of damages to Lot 4 in Block 3149, as per the Assessor's Block Books, caused by construction of Alemany boulevard, and as authorized by Resolution No. 29667, New Series (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 1,106.50

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property and Repairs to Public Buildings.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item 33.

(1) For the cost of improvement of Vicente street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, and crossing of Twenty-fifth avenue and Vicente street, at City property.. $2,307.00

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item 53.

(2) For the cost of rebricking Boiler No. 2 at the Laguna Honda Home $984.00

City Hall Repairs—Budget Item 54.

(3) For the cost of furnishing and installing shelving in the San Francisco Law Library, City Hall..... $1,706.00

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway, Gross Receipts.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29701 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of August, 1928, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Railroad, etc.....	$591.54
Gough Street Railroad.....	42.24
Parnassus Avenue, etc.....	330.95

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29702 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 18 Fairmount street and 11 Richland avenue.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Accepting Offers of Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29703 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of properties adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, namely:

Eva O'Gara, $1,112.20—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 24, Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 218 Head street.

J. Murnane and Anna Murnane, $55.20—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 1, Block 3149, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 137 Santa Rosa avenue.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Condemnation of Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29704 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Lots Nos. 8 and 9 in Block No. 2, according to map entitled "Map of the Golden City Tract," filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on August 1, 1905, and recorded in Book "G" of Maps, at page 15.

Be it Further Resolved, That said property is situable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening, construction and maintenance

of Bay Shore boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Accepting Offer of Land for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29705 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Andrew C. Lawson, $1,750—Lots 1 and 2, Block 6754, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Accepting Offer of Land for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29706 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

James A. Perry and Veda C. Perry, $7,500—Lot 9, Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantors shall have free rent until December 31, 1928.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Right of Way, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Also, Resolution No. 29707 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described easement over land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted.

Antone Lawrence Silva and Anna A. Silva, $1—A strip of land 25

feet wide through the lands of Antone Lawrence Silva in Ex-Mission Survey No. 28, required for road purposes. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said easement, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying said easement to said City and County, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation of $2,862.47 for Equipment for Galileo High School.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,862.47 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Bond Fund, Issue 1918, for the purchase of equipment for the Galileo High School. (Request of the Board of Education.)

Appropriation for Sunset Police Station Construction.

Also, Resolution No. ———————— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $77,167 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund for the construction of the Sunset Police Station, to be erected on City property situate on the west side of Twenty-fourth avenue, north of Taraval street, including extras, incidentals and inspection, to-wit:

General construction (H. H. Larsen Co.)	$64,852
Electrical work (W. B. Baker)	1,795
Plumbing, gas fitting and heating (Oscar Aaron)	7,888
Extras, incidentals and inspection	2,632
Total	$77,167

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Bond Money Not Required for Immediate Expenditure to Be Invested in Interest-Bearing Securities.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29708 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by reason of the recent sales of Hetch Hetchy Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, a large sum of money has been added to these construction funds, which money is not required for immediate expenditure, and upon which the City and County is paying interest to bondholders; and

Whereas, it is desirable that as large a portion of said fund as possible shall be invested in interest-bearing securities, to the end that a revenue shall be derived therefrom; therefore, be it

Resolved, and it is declared, that such sums as are available, being part of the Water Construction Funds, remaining unappropriated and unexpended, constitute surplus moneys, not needed for immediate expenditure, and the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to invest the same, from time to time, in United States government bonds, bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, and interest-bearing securities, in accordance with

the provisions of Chapter 73 of the Statutes of the State of California of the year 1913, the amounts and the nature thereof to be determined by the Mayor and the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors. All interest accrued and earned on bonds so purchased shall be placed to the credit of the 5 per cent Water Bond Fund of 1925 and the 4½ per cent Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund of 1928 accordingly as investments are made from said bond funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Auditor to Cancel Sale of Property.

Also, Resolution No. 29709 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property was erroneously assessed and sold to the State of California on June 27, 1927, for the nonpayment of the taxes for the year 1926, Sale No. 306, and recommends that said assessment and sale, also assessment for the year 1927, be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804a of the Political Code, the following assessments and sale:

R. E. Vol. 7, page 72, Lot 32A, Block 1207, Sale No. 306, assessments for the years 1926 and 1927, assessed to the Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of the Latter Day Saints.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29710 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property, now owned by the City, was sold to the State June 27, 1927, for the delinquent taxes of 1926, Sale No. 2333, and recommends that said assessment and sale be cancelled, also assessments for the years 1927 and 1928; and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804A of the Political Code, the following assessments and sale:

R. E. Vol. 39, page 30, Lot 8, Block 6977, assessed to Louis Johnson; assessments for 1926, 1927, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Withdrawn.

The following matter, recommended by the Fire Committee, was permitted to be withdrawn at the request of T. Bell, representing the applicant, to-wit:

Denial of Garage Permit, J. R. George Jr., O'Farrell and Taylor Streets.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Jerome R. George, Jr., to maintain and operate a public garage on the northeast corner of O'Farrell and Taylor streets.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. Silverstine, 920 Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. L. Kelley, No. 7 Hugo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. H. Lisser, 3233 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. D. Grandeman, southwest corner of Forty-second avenue and Lincoln way, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. L. Ebey, 399 Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Baumgartner, east side of Ashbury street, 107 feet south of Frederick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. Charles Moor, 215 Corona street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Phil Harris, west side of Franklin street, 150 feet north of Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Meyerhoff, 18 Sixteenth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

D. Y. Lamont, 2460 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permit, A. S. Burns, Filbert and Octavia Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Albert S. Burns be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Filbert and Octavia streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Auto Supply Station Permit Denied, Standard Oil Company, San Bruno and Silver Avenues.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Standard Oil Company of California to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of San Bruno and Silver avenues.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolutions were *passed for printing*:

Auto Supply Station Permit, Italo Petroleum Corporation, Fourteenth and Mission Streets.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation of America be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Fourteenth and Mission streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permit, F. W. Mackintosh, Seventeenth and Folsom Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. W. Mackintosh be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Seventeenth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Denial of Auto Supply Station Permit, Associated Oil Company, Silver Avenue and Mission Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29729 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Associated Oil Company to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Silver avenue and Mission street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolutions were *passed for printing*:

Auto Supply Station Permit, Texas Company, Eddy and Divisadero Streets.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Ellis and Divisadero streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permit, T. J. Lewis, San Jose Avenue and Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas J. Lewis be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of San Jose avenue and Alemany boulevard.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permit, E. Boitano, Geneva Avenue and Naples Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Edward Boitano be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Geneva avenue and Naples street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Blasting Permit Denied, Sibley Grading and Teaming Company.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29711 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Sibley Grading & Teaming Company to explode blasts on the east side of Spruce street, 65 feet north of Clay street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolutions were *passed for printing*:

Transfer of Garage Permit, E. J. Willig et al.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Willig and L. T. Willig be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted W. W. Grove by Resolution No. 24397 (New Series) for premises at 333 Jones street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit, A. M. Anstead.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. M. Anstead be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted G. W. Alpers by Resolution No. 28689 (New Series) for premises at 2375 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Purchase of Land for School Site.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 29712 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Peter McHugh to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $5,133.34, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street; running thence northerly along said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block 254, also known as Block 1514 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Purchase of Land for School Site.

Also, Resolution No. 29713 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Owen McHugh to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, and on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $10,366.66, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 187 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street; running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirtieth avenue 38 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 38 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 254, also known as Block 1514 on Assessor's Map Book; also

Commencing on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 187 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 38 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 38 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 254, also known as Block 1514 on Assessor's Map Book; also,

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street; running thence northerly along said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 254, also known as Block 1514 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and

County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Branch Postoffice, City Hall.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain space situated in the front office of the Registrar, City Hall, being on the easterly side thereof, as heretofore selected by the Postmaster, be and the same is hereby set aside and assigned to the United States Government for the purpose of establishing a Branch Post Office in the City Hall in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Postmaster and the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of this Board.

Referred.

The following bill was ordered *referred to the Police Committee*:

Ordinance Regulating Parades and Processions.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along, or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four (24) hours previous to its forming or marching and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police. Provided, however, that no parade or procession shall occupy or march upon any street in the Central Traffic District unless special permit in writing has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Any person who, upon making application, has been denied such written permit may refer such application to the "Committee on Parades" for further consideration and action.

Section 4. The Police Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to act as a "Committee on Parades" for the purposes of this ordinance.

Said Committee is hereby given the right and it shall be its duty to consider and act upon any application for a permit, provided for in Section 3 hereof, that has been referred to it in accordance with the provisions of said section. The findings of such Committee shall be binding upon the Chief of Police.

Section 5. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges

and the apparatus of the Fire Department, responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department and Fire Marshal and auxiliary fire apparatus, belonging to any person, firm or corporation when such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment and the United States mail wagons shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 6. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession are within one (1) block of said crossings and the intersections and said line shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 7. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert said parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over and along the nearest cross street from such fire, accident or emergency and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 9. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

Traffic Ordinance Amendments.

Also, Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Article I is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings, respectively, ascribed to them in this section.

Street—Every way set apart for public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A street with a roadway of less than twenty (20) feet.

Blind Alley—An alley which has only one end available for ingress or egress.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the roadway included within the prolongation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers or materials.

Passenger Loading Zone—The space adjacent to the curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers.

Vehicles—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District—That portion of this City bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from the east line of First street to the west line of Sixth street; Sixth street from the south line of Mission street to the south line of Market street; the intersection of Sixth street, Market street, Golden Gate avenue and Taylor street; Taylor street from the north line of Golden Gate avenue to the north line of Sutter street; Sutter street from the west line of Taylor street to the east line of Grant avenue; Grant avenue from the south line of Sutter street to the north line of California street; California street from the west line of Grant avenue to the south line of Market street; Market street from the north line of California street to the west line of First street; First street from the north line of Market street to the south line of Mission street.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also, any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction of Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of

any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 3. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, except in passenger loading zones, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the central traffic district or in any business district during any hour of any day.

(2) In any loading zone, between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day.

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day.

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of the day.

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public building between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays.

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business.

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom at any hour of any day.

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) During the times specified in subsection (a) of this section, passenger vehicles shall not stand longer than five (5) minutes in a passenger loading zone, and it shall be unlawful to stand any commercial vehicle in such zone.

(d) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained by the Police Department to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones and passenger loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance; provided that a curb space of not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones and passenger loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in an emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic Committee.

Resolution No. 29714 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the length specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph C, Section 36, of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

416-422 Battery street, 27 feet—S. H. Frank Company.
1524-1534 Bush street, 27 feet—McCoy Motor Supply Company; one oil intake.
32 Clay street, 18 feet—Knight-Counihan.
369 Ellis street, 18 feet—United Soda Fountain Co.
385 Geary street, 18 feet—Federal Outfitting Co.
811 Geary street, 18 feet—New Hotel Rhodema; one elevator.
724 Golden Gate avenue, 18 feet—Western Auto Glass Co.
1245 Golden Gate avenue, 36 feet—Langendorf United Bakeries.
51 Grant avenue, 18 feet—Knox Hat Co.
1109 Grant avenue, 27 feet—Wee Tai Wo & Co.
401 Jackson street, 36 feet—Seville Olive Co.
654 Mission street, 18 feet—Enterprise Electric Works.
1158 Mission street, 27 feet—P. R. Transit Co.; two oil intakes.
411 O'Farrell street, 27 feet—Hotel Columbia.
1718 Polk street, 18 feet—Tiffany Bakery.
240 Sacramento street, 18 feet—Sherman-Blake Seed Co.
609 Sansome street, 27 feet—Chicago Specialty Co.
680 Sansome street, 27 feet—U. S. Appraiser's Building.
766 Sutter street, 27 feet—Lucerne Apts.; one oil intake.
871 Sutter street, 27 feet—Piggly Wiggly Co.
661 Turk street, 18 feet—G. R. Keith Supply Co.
315 Washington street, 36 feet—California Poultry Co.
449-451 Washington street, 18 feet—Standard Produce Co.
3055 Sixteenth street, 36 feet—Sixteenth St. Market; Globe Bakery; Belvedere Grill; Safeway Store.
1000 Geary street, 36 feet—Pierce-Arrow Sales Co.
659 Pine street, 54 feet—French Church.
344 Pine street, 27 feet—Canadian Bank of Commerce.
589 Post street, 29 feet—Knickerbocker Hotel.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Reply to Secretary of Interior Approved in re City Obligation Under the Raker Act.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 29715 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, under date of May 18, 1928, the Secretary of the Interior repeated his former request, of July 7, 1927, with certain modifications, that the City carry out certain of its obligations to the United States Government as set forth under the terms of the Raker Act; and

Whereas, the City Engineer has prepared a draft of a reply to the Secretary of the Interior and recommends that if the same meets with the approval of the Board of Supervisors that the Mayor be authorized and requested to forward the same to the Secretary of the Interior; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the draft of the reply to the Secretary of the Interior's request, as prepared by the City Engineer, be approved and the Mayor be authorized and requested to forward the same to the

Secretary of the Interior on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolutions were *passed for printing*:

City Lands in Yosemite National Park to Be Conveyed to the Federal Government in Accordance With the Raker Act.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, is the owner of the hereinafter described real property; and

Whereas, by the Act of Congress of December 19, 1913, commonly known as the Raker Act, the Government of the United States ceded and granted to the City and County of San Francisco certain rights and privileges in the Yosemite National Park; and

Whereas, the people of the City and County of San Francisco did, through its Board of Supervisors, by ordinance duly adopted on the 12th day of January, 1914, and approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 13th day of January, 1914, accept the said grant from the said government of the United States, subject to all the terms and conditions set forth in said Raker Act, which said ordinance has never been revoked, annulled, amended or set aside, and now remains in full force and effect as a valid ordinance and enactment of the people of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it was provided in said act that in consideration of the granting of said rights and privileges to the City and County of San Francisco, that the said City and County should convey to the United States by proper conveyance a good and sufficient title, free from all liens and encumbrances of any nature whatever, all tracts of land which were at the time of the passage and approval of said act owned by the City and County of San Francisco within the Yosemite National Park, or in that part of the National Forest adjacent to said Park, and which said lands were not actually required for use by the said City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of said act; and

Whereas, the hereinafter described land is situate within the Yosemite National Park, and was owned by the City and County of San Francisco at the time of the adoption and approval of said Raker Act; and

Whereas, said hereinafter described land is no longer required by the City and County for use under the provisions of the Raker Act, or for use in connection with any development made by the said City and County under the terms and conditions in the said act; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of complying with the terms and conditions contained in the said Roker Act, and for the purpose of fulfilling the conditions thereof, that the City and County of San Francisco convey to the United States of America, by a proper conveyance, and free and clear from all liens and encumbrances of any nature whatever, those certain tracts or parcels of land hereinbefore referred to, and situate, lying and being in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, and particularly described as follows:

Group I. Canyon Ranch Lands.

The East ½ of the Northwest ¼, the Southwest ¼ of the Northwest ¼, and the Northwest ¼ of the Southwest ¼ of Section 32, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, M. D. B. and M.

Group II. Lands Adjacent to Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site and Hetch Hetchy Dam Site.

(a) All that portion of the Southeast ¼ of Section 9, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, M. D. B. and M., lying North of the north boundary of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site, as said boundary is hereinafter defined, except that portion thereof included within a strip of land 100 feet in width, 50 feet each side of the center line of the existing road extending between the O'Shaughnessy or Hetch Hetchy Dam and Lake Eleanor; said excepted land is a portion of that certain road right of way, the application (Sacramento Serial Number 09958) of the City and County of San Francisco for which was filed at the United States Land Office at Sacramento, California, October 14, 1916, and approved by the Secretary of the Interior December 30, 1916, all as provided for in said Act of Congress; said excepted land is actually required by the City and County of San Francisco for use under the provisions of said Act of Congress.

(b) All that portion of the South ½ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 10, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, lying north of said north boundary of said Reservoir Site.

(c) All that portion of the South ½ of the Northeast ¼ of said Section 10 lying north of said north boundary of said Reservoir Site.

(d) All that portion of the Northwest ¼ of the Southeast ¼ of said Section 10 lying south of the south boundary of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site, as said boundary is hereinafter defined.

(e) All that portion of the Southwest ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 11, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, lying north of said north boundary of said Reservoir Site.

(f) All that portion of the North ½ of the South ½ of said Section 11 lying north of said north boundary of said Reservoir Site.

(g) All that portion of the North ½ of the South ½ of said Section 11 lying south of said south boundary of said Reservoir Site.

(h) All that portion of the Northwest ¼ of the Northeast ¼ of Section 16, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, lying south of said south boundary of said Reservoir Site, except that portion thereof included within a strip of land 100 feet in width, 50 feet each side of the center line of an existing road extending between Hetch Hetchy Camp Site and Hetch Hetchy Reservoir; said excepted land is a portion of that certain road right of way, the application (Sacramento Serial Number 07876) of the City and County of San Francisco for which was filed at the United States Land Office at Sacramento, California, December 7, 1914, and approved by the Secretary of the Interior January 21, 1915, all as provided for in said Act of Congress; said excepted land is actually required by the City and County of San Francisco for use under the provisions of said Act of Congress.

(i) All that portion of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 16, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, bounded on the northeast and southeast by said north boundary of said Reservoir Site, on the southwest by the northeasterly boundary of the Hetch Hetchy Dam Site, as said boundary of said dam site is hereinafter defined, and on the west by the west line of said Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 16.

(j) All that portion of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 16, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, lying northwest of the line connecting Stations 6 and 7 of the survey of said north boundary of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site.

Said boundaries of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site and Hetch Hetchy Dam Site are as shown on a map and described in field notes accompanying the application (Sacramento Serial Number 07186) of the City and County of San Francisco for the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site and Dam Site, filed at the United States Land Office at Sacramento, California, February 16, 1914, and approved by the Secretary of the Interior June 9, 1914, all as provided for in said Act of Congress.

The portion of said north boundary of said Reservoir Site, extending through Sections 16, 9, 10 and 11, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, is described in said field notes as follows:

Beginning at Station 1 of the Reservoir Traverse, a point N. 25 deg. 20 min. 21 sec. E. and 4125.77 feet distant from the witness corner, which is 594 feet West of the true corner common to Sections 16, 17, 20 and 21, T. 1. N., R. 20 E., M. D. B. and M., thence by the following true courses and distances, between the points on the traverse of the contour.

Station 1; true course, N. 37 deg. 38 min. W.; distant feet, 770.5. A cross (+) cut in granite on dam axis. (At a point N. 37 deg. 38 min. W. 272 ft. distant from Sta. 1 enter City property.) (At a point N. 37 deg. 38 min. W. 629 ft. distant from Sta. 1 leave City property.)

Station 2; true course, N. 65 deg. 50 min. E.; distant feet, 101.8. Oak post scribed 2 DA, whence a white live oak 8 in. dia. bears S. 48 deg. 10 min. W. 12.3 ft. scribed X 2 B. T. (At a point N. 65 deg. 50 min. E. 73 ft. distant from Sta. 2 enter City property.)

Station 3; true course, N. 44 deg. 35 min. E.; distant feet, 117.2. Oak post 3 in. diam. scribed 3 in stone mound.

Station 4; true course, N. 17 deg. 58 min. E.; distant feet, 89.1.

Station 5; true course, N. 44 deg. 14 min. W.; distant feet, 453.9. 3800 painted on face of cliff. (At a point N. 44 deg. 14 min. W. 251 ft. dist. from Sta. 5, leave City property.)

Station 6; true course, N. 18 deg. 22 min. E.; distant feet, 638.7. Pt. on vertical face of cliff. (At a pt. N. 18 deg. 22 min. E. 308 ft. dist. from Sta. 6, enter City property.) (At a pt. N. 18 deg. 22 min. E. 432 ft. dist. from Sta. 6 and S. 18 deg. 22 min. W. 206.7 ft. from Sta. 7, intersect sec. line between Secs. 9 and 16, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., 1380 ft. N. 88 deg. 27 min. E. of cor. com. to Secs. 8, 9, 16 and 17 and leave City property.)

Station 7; true course, N. 12 deg. 44 min. E.; distant feet, 426.2. Oak post.

Station 8; true course, N. 9 deg. 46 min. E.; distant feet, 425.1. On rock.

Station 9; true course, N. 22 deg. 00 min. E.; distant feet, 377.5. Post.

Station 10; true ourse, N. 34 deg. 40 min. E.; distant feet, 862.4. Post.

Station 11; true course, N. 74 deg. 29 min. E.; distant feet, 1313.2. 38+ painted on rock. (At a pt. N. 74 deg. 29 min. E., 396 ft. dist. from Sta. 11 enter City property.)

Station 12; true course, N. 78 deg. 10 min. E.; distant feet, 1541.0. Face of vertical cliff. (At a pt. N. 78 deg. 10 min. E. 1287 ft. dist. from Sta. 12 leave City property.)

Station 13; true course, N. 73 deg. 50 min. E.; distant feet, 654.4. Face of vertical cliff. (At a pt. N. 73 deg. 50 min. E. 265 ft. dist. from Sta. 13, intersect line bet. Secs. 9 and 10, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., S. 2 deg. 02 min. E. 2451 ft. from the cor. com. to Secs. 3, 4, 9 and 10, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., and enter City property.)

Station 14; true course, N. 53 deg. 59 min. E.; distant feet, 312.9. 38+ painted on cliff.

Station 15; true course, N. 32 deg. 14 min. E.; distant feet, 700.4. Post.

Station 16; true course, N. 56 deg. 38 min. E.; distant feet, 925.0. Post. (At a pt. N. 56 deg. 38 min. E. 565 ft. distant from Sta. 16 leave City property.)

Station 17; true course, N. 77 deg. 32 min. E.; distant feet, 850.6. 3800 painted on rock.

Station 18; true course, S. 39 deg. 22 min. E.; distant feet, 584.5. Post in st. mound on E. bank of dry wash 100' wide opposite Falls. (At a pt. S. 39 deg. 22 min. E. 478 ft. distant from Sta. 18, enter City property.)

Station 19; true course, N. 86 deg. 48 min. E.; distant feet, 977.2. White paint on cliff.

Station 20; true course, S. 63 deg. 19 min. E.; distant feet, 282.2. 3800 painted on vertical face of cliff.

Station 21; true course, S. 82 deg. 08 min. E.; distant feet, 455.5. 3800 painted on rock.

Station 22; true course, S. 86 deg. 00 min. E.; distant feet, 466.7. Face of vertical rock on pt.

Station 23; true course, S. 51 deg. 27 min. E.; distant feet, 1211.7. Post white oak 9 in. diam. bears N. 42 deg. W. 13 ft. scribed 23 B. T. (At a pt. S. 51 deg. 27 min. E. 148 ft. distant from Sta. 23, intersect the line bet. secs. 10 and 11, S. 4 deg. 23 min. E. 1661 ft. distant from the cor. com. to secs. 2, 3, 10 and 11, T. 1 N., R. 20 E.)

Station 24; true course, S. 48 deg. 06 min. E.; distant feet, 1490.9. Cir. vert. cliff.

Station 25; true course, S. 82 deg. 34 min. E.; distant feet, 1669.6. Pt. of vert. cliff.

Station 26; true course; N. 89 deg. 09 min. E.; distant feet, 1108.5. Pt. on cliff.

Station 27; true course, S. 78 deg. 41 min. E.; distant feet, 505.3. Pt. on cliff vert. face.

Station 28; true course, N. 89 deg. 33 min. E. (At a pt. N. 89 deg. 33 min. E. 305 ft. distant from Sta. 28 intersect line bet. secs. 11 and 12, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., S. 6 deg. 23 min. W. 3655 ft. from the cor. com. to secs. 1, 2, 11 and 12, and leave City property.)

(Note: For convenience in the descriptions the courses from Station 1 to Station 6 are considered as forming part of the north boundary, although actually extending in a general northwesterly direction.)

The portion of the south boundary of said Reservoir Site extending through Sections 11, 10, 15 and 16, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, is described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the line between Sections 14 and 11, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, distant South 89 deg. 50 min. East 1655 feet from the corner common to Sections 10, 11, 14 and 15; thence by the following true courses and distances along the reservoir traverse as described in said field notes:

Station ——; true course, N. 67 deg. 07 min. W.; distant feet, 313.7. + cut on edge of cliff 15 ft. E. of white rectangle.

Station 126; true course, N. 49 deg. 12 min. W.; distant feet, 275.1. Hub.

Station 127; true course, N. 44 deg. 02 min. W.; distant feet, 825.4. Hub, 10 ft. E. of white granite slide 50 ft. S. of S. F. property sign.

Station 128; true course, N. 55 deg. 11 min. W.; distant feet, 1185.5. Hub 15 ft. E. of white marks. (At a pt. N. 55 deg. 11 min. W. 730 ft. distant from Sta. 128, enter City property.)

At a pt. N. 55 deg. 11 min. W. 845 ft. distant from Sta. 128 intersect line bet. Secs. 10 and 11, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., N. 4 deg. 23 min. W. 1362 ft. from cor. com. to Secs. 10, 11, 14 and 15 and leave City property.)

Station 129; true course, N. 70 deg. 32 min. W.; distant feet, 642.1. 60 deg. slope inaccessible.

Station 130; true course, S. 44 deg. 29 min. W.; distant feet, 787.6. + cut on rock between white mark and figure 3800. (At a pt. S. 44 deg. 29 min. W. 640 ft. distant from Sta. 130 enter City property.)

(At a pt. S. 44 deg. 29 min. W. 680 ft. distant from Sta. 130 leave City property.)

Station 131; true course, S. 57 deg. 21 min. W.; distant feet, 886.9. Vertical cliff inaccessible.

Station 132; true course, S. 69 deg. 59 min. W.; distant feet, 896.6. Vertical cliff inaccessible.

Station 133; true course, S. 58 deg. 07 min. W.; distant feet, 442.2. + cut on rock.

Station 134; true course, S. 87 deg. 47 min. W.; distant feet, 904.4. + cut on rock.

Station 135; true course, S. 16 deg. 48 min. W.; distant feet, 589.2. + cut on rock. (At a pt. S. 16 deg. 48 min. W. 220 ft. dist. from Sta.

135, intersect line bet. Secs. 10 and 15, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., S. 89 deg. 10 min. W. 4470 ft. from cor. com. to Secs. 10, 11, 14 and 15.)

Station 136; true course, S. 59 deg. 41 min. W.; distant feet, 662.3. + cut on rock.

Station 137; true course, S. 68 deg. 16 min. W.; distant feet, 1620.0. + cut on rock. (At a pt. S. 68 deg. 16 min. W. 130 ft. dist. from Sta. 137 intersect line bet. Secs. 15 and 16, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., N. 9 deg. 10 min. W. 4480 ft. from cor. com. to Secs. 15, 16, 21 and 22. (At a pt. S. 68 deg. 16 min. W. 1540 ft. dist. from Sta. 137 enter City property.)

Station 138; true course, N. 60 deg. 17 min. W.; distant feet, 598.5. + cut on rock.

Station 139; true course, S. 15 deg. 45 min. W.; distant feet, 247.9. + cut on rock at edge of precipice.

Station 140; true course, S. 85 deg. 37 min. W.; distant feet, 113.7. + cut on rock.

Station 141; true course, S. 46 deg. 31 min. W.; distant feet, 295.1. + cut in rock at brow of gap 25 ft N. E. of live oak. (At a pt. S. 46 deg. 31 min. W. 210 ft. dist. from Sta. 141 leave City property.)

Station 142; true course, S. 76 deg. 41 min. W.; distant feet, 487.8. + cut in rock. Live oak B. T. 12 ft. S. W.

Station 143; true course, N. 66 deg. 43 min. W.; distant feet, 234.0. + cut in rock. Live oak B. T. 16 S. E.

Station 144; true course, N. 79 deg. 05 min. W.; distant feet, 116.2. + cut in rock. Live oak B. T. 10 S. E.

Station 145; true course, S. 81 deg. 43 min. W.; distant feet, 156.6. + cut in rock. Live oak B. T. S. W. 13.

Station 146; true course, S. 79 deg. 56 min. W.; distant feet, 151.2. + cut in granite rock in place from which a 12 in. live oak bears N. 71 deg. E. 11 ft.

Station 147; true course, S. 67 deg. 38 min. W.; distant feet, 147.9. + cut in granite rock in place from which a 10 in. live oak bears S. 59 W. 10 ft.

Station 148; true course, S. 52 deg. 16 min. W.; distant feet, 175.4. + cut in granite rock in place from which a 6 in. white oak bears N. 81 deg. 30 min. W. 15 ft. to Station 1 hereinabove described.

Said boundary of Hetch Hetchy Dam Site is described in said field notes as follows:

Beginning again at a point corner (1) of Dam Site N. 30 deg. 25 min. 10 sec. E., 3638.91 feet distant from the witness corner to the corner common to Sections 16, 17, 20 and 21, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, M. D. B. and M., thence by true courses and distances as follows:

Corner, (1); course, N. 37 deg. 38 min. W.; distance, 1800 feet.

Corner, (2); course, N. 52 deg. 22 min. E.; distance, 500 feet.

Corner, (3); course, S. 37 deg. 38 min. E.; distance, 1800 feet.

Corner, (4); course, S. 52 deg. 22 min. W.; distance, 500 feet to Corner (1), the place of beginning.

The line connecting said corners (3) and (4) is the northeasterly boundary of said Dam Site.

Group III. Lands Adjacent to Lake Eleanor Reservoir Site.

(a) All that portion of the south half of the northeast quarter of Section 34, Township 2 North, Range 19 East, M. D. B. and M., lying north of the line described as follows:

Beginning at a point which bears North 89 deg. 27 min. East 1012.9 feet from Yosemite National Park Boundary Monument No. 53, said point being Station 25 of the survey of the boundary line of Lake Eleanor Reservoir Site, as said boundary is hereinafter defined; thence along said boundary as follows:

N. 52 deg. 03 min. E. 450.6 feet to Station 26; N. 70 deg 58 min. E. 355.8 feet to Station 27; N. 65 deg. 33 min. E. 398.0 feet to Station 28; N. 50 deg. 27 min. E. 105.7 feet to Station 29; N. 66 deg. 10 min. E. 3 feet to a point in the west boundary line of said northeast quarter of

Section 34; thence continuing along said reservoir site boundary as follows:

N. 66 deg. 10 min. E. 159.5 feet to Station 30; N. 55 deg. 46 min. E. 168.3 feet to Station 31; N. 73 deg. 30 min. E. 155.4 feet to Station 32; N. 73 deg. 21 min. E. 244.4 feet to Station 33; N. 74 deg. 50 min. E. 109.5 feet to Station 34; N. 88 deg. 48 min. E. 203.6 feet to Station 35; N. 81 deg. 01 min. E. 86.7 feet to Station 36; N. 68 deg. 40 min. E. 121.6 feet to Station 37; S. 85 deg. 02 min. E. 183.9 feet to Station 38; N. 82 deg. 31 min. E. 91.4 feet to Station 39; N. 69 deg. 43 min. E. 281.6 feet to Station 40; N. 73 deg. 32 min. E. 134.7 feet to Station 41; N. 72 deg. 42 min. E. 100 feet to a point in the north boundary line of said south half of the northeast quarter of Section 34; thence continuing along said reservoir site boundary as follows:

N. 72 deg. 42 min. E. 65.5 feet to Station 42; N. 72 deg. 44 min. E. 211.9 feet to Station 43; N. 82 deg. 44 min. E. 103.4 feet to Station 44; N. 50 deg. 31 min. E. 143.3 feet to Station 45; S. 83 deg. 28 min. E. 115.4 feet to Station 46; S. 88 deg. 15 min. E. 90 feet to a point in the east line of said Section 34, distant along said section line S. 1 deg. 00 min. W. 1212 feet from the corner common to Sections 26, 27, 34 and 35, Township 2 North, Range 19 East.

(b) All that portion of Section 36, Township 2 North, Range 19 East, M. D. B. and M., lying east of the line described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the north line of said Section 36, distant along said section line South 89 deg. 48 min. west 445 feet from the corner to Sections 25 and 36, Township 2 North, Range 19 East, and Sections 30 and 31, Township 2 North, Range 20 East, said point of beginning being also a point in the boundary line of Lake Eleanor Reservoir Site, as said boundary line is hereinafter defined; thence along said reservoir site boundary as follows:

S. 12 deg. 42 min. 658 feet to Station 74 of the survey of said reservoir site boundary:

S. 67 deg. 55 min. W. 1338.0 feet to Station 75; S. 36 deg. 18 min. W. 1496.4 feet to Station 76; S. 53 deg. 23 min. W. 706.9 feet to Station 77; S. 20 deg. 39 min. E. 516.2 feet to Station 78; S. 5 deg. 34 min. E. 422.8 feet to Station 79; S. 24 deg. 30 min. E. 579.9 feet to Station 80; S. 39 deg. 44 min. W. 646.4 feet to Station 81; S. 35 deg. 10 min. W. 445.8 feet to Station 82; S. 52 deg. 20 min. W. 335 feet to a point in the south line of said Section 36, distant along said section line N. 89 deg. 20 min. E. 1880 feet from the corner common to Sections 35 and 36, Township 2 North, Range 19 East, and Sections 1 and 2, Township 1 North, Range 19 East.

Said boundary line of Lake Eleanor Reservoir Site is as shown on a map and described in field notes accompanying the application (Sacramento Serial Number 08108) of the City and County of San Francisco for the Lake Eleanor Reservoir Site, filed at the United States Land Office at Sacramento, California, March 9, 1915, and approved by the Secretary of the Interior December 27, 1915, all as provided for in said Act of Congress.

Group IV. Lands in and Adjacent to Tiltill Valley.

The Southwest ¼ of the Northeast ¼ and the South ½ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 5, and the Southeast ¼ of the Northeast ¼ of Section 6, Township 1 North, Range 21 East, M. D. B. and M.

Said lands herein conveyed were acquired by the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Groups I and IV from Elmer E. Smith et ux. by deed dated July 20, 1909, and recorded February 27, 1911, in Book A, Volume 70 of Deeds, page 543, Tuolumne County Records.

Items (a), (b), (f), (g), (h), (i) and (j) of Group II, from Elmer E. Smith et ux. by deed dated December 10, 1908, and recorded July 30, 1909, in Volume 67 of Deeds, page 474, Tuolumne County Records.

Items (c), (d) and (e) of Group II, from Lizzie B. Covel and James M. Covel by deed dated July 22, 1909, and recorded July 30,

1909, in Book A of Deeds, Volume 67, page 475, Tuolumne County Records, and from Lizzie B. Covel by deed dated July 22, 1909, and recorded July 30, 1909, in Book A of Deeds, Volume 67, page 477, Tuolumne County Records.

Group III, from Tuolumne Water Supply Company by deed dated April 9, 1910, and recorded April 15, 1910, in Book A of Deeds, Volume 69, page 374, Tuolumne County Records. And be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make, execute and deliver a good and sufficient deed conveying all and singular the above described property, free and clear of all encumbrances, to the United States of America.

Approving Map of Hetch Hetchy Trails for Presentation to the Secretary of the Interior.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by the Act of Congress of December 19, 1913, commonly known as the Raker Act, the United States ceded and granted to the City and County of San Francisco certain rights and privileges in the Yosemite National Park; and

Whereas, the people of the City and County of San Francisco did, through its Board of Supervisors, by ordinance duly adopted on the 12th day of January, 1914, and approved by its Mayor on the 13th day of January, 1914, accept the said grant and all the terms and conditions therein contained, which said ordinance has never been revoked, annulled, amended or set aside, and now remains in full force and effect as a valid ordinance and enactment of the people of said City and County; and

Whereas, it was provided in said act that in consideration of the granting of said rights and privileges the said City and County shall construct, on the north side of the Hetch Hetchy reservoir site, a scenic road or trail, as the Secretary of the Interior may determine, above and along the lake to such point as may be designated by the said Secretary, and also leading from said scenic road or trail a trail to the Tiltill Valley and Lake Vernon; and

Whereas, said act further provides that all trail and road building by the City and County of San Francisco, in the Yosemite National Park, shall be done subject to the direction and approval of the Secretary of the Interior; and

Whereas, the Secretary of the Interior, in written notice addressed to the City and County of San Francisco, dated July 7, 1927, has determined that in fulfillment of the above mentioned requirement for a scenic road or trail, the City and County shall construct a wide and serviceable trail along the north side of Hetch Hetchy reservoir for the full length thereof, and has requested that said trail and the trail leading therefrom to Tiltill Valley and Lake Vernon be now constructed; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the hereinafter described locations for said trails be and the same are hereby approved by the City and County of San Francisco as the locations which shall be submitted to the Secretary of the Interior for his approval, and that on approval thereof by said Secretary said locations shall be considered as adopted by the City and County for the construction of said trails, without further action on the part of this Board.

The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to apply to the Honorable Secretary of the Interior and any other department of the government on behalf of the City and County, for approval of said locations of said trails and for permission to construct and complete said trails on said locations, in order that the City and County may proceed properly to fulfill its obligation under the Raker Act in regard to said trails.

The Mayor is further authorized and directed to make, on behalf of the City and County, any other application which may be necessary for the successful completion of said trails, and also to enter into any stipulations or agreements on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco which may be required by the Department of the Interior of the United States government, in the matter of obtaining permits or favorable action from said department on any of the City's applications so as to complete said trails satisfactorily and without avoidable delay.

The City Engineer is authorized and directed to prepare all the necessary surveys, maps and field notes for said application or for any other application which it may be necessary to make for the successful completion of said trails.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to appear before the Secretary of the Interior or any other department of the government for and on behalf of the City in the matter of this application, or any other proceeding which may be necessary for the successful completion of said trails.

Following are description of the locations of said trails:

1. Trail along the north side of Hetch Hetchy reservoir:

Beginning at a point near the north end of the O'Shaughnessy Dam in Section 16, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, M. D. B. & M.; thence by true courses and distances approximately 12 miles to a point near the east end of the Hetch Hetchy reservoir near the east line of Section 28, Township 1 North, Range 21 East.

2. Trail from the north side of Hetch Hetchy reservoir to Tiltill Valley and Lake Vernon:

Beginning at a point on the trail line above described in Section 7, Township 1 North, Range 21 East, thence by true courses and distances approximately 8.16 miles to a point near the outlet of Lake Vernon in Section 24, Township 2 North, Range 20 East.

The above described locations are situated in Tuolumne County, California, within the boundaries of the Yosemite National Park.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Motor Bus Service, Marina.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 8732, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service in the Marina District as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service suitable for the transportation of passengers in the Marina District, as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway System, over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Scott and Chestnut streets, thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street, thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard, thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street, thence southerly on Scott street to the place of beginning.

Section 2. After 9:30 p. m. the motor bus service authorized in this ordinance shall be maintained and operated over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Avila and Scott streets, thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street, thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard, thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street, thence southerly on Scott street to

Alhambra street, thence easterly on Alhambra street to Avila street, thence southerly on Avila street to the place of beginning.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 8075 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment that the permit run for 150 days.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden—3.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden—3.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will vote aye and the reason is simply this: I asked the chairman of the Utilities Committee if his committee contemplated bringing in a report of all of the lines in our City. We all know they are losing money. He said they would in sixty or ninety days. We will know exactly the situation then in reference to our own amendment and I am not going to deprive these people at this particular time. I imagine that the committee will bring in a report telling us as to certain routes in our City and therefore we will be able to treat all of them alike.

Revoking Old Route and Authorizing New Route to Market Street Railway Company to Operate Bus Over Mission, Silver, etc.

Also, Resolution No. ———————— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permission granted to the Market Street Railway Company, by Resolution No. 25420 (New Series), finally adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 29, 1926, and duly approved by the Mayor on April 2, 1926, to maintain and operate automobile buses over and along the streets mentioned in said resolution, be and the same is hereby revoked, and that said Market Street Railway Company be and it is hereby granted permission to maintain and operate said automobile buses over and along the following described route:

Commencing at Mission street and Silver avenue, thence along Silver avenue to Edinburgh street, thence along Edinburgh street to Excelsior avenue, thence along Excelsior avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to Persia avenue, thence along Persia avenue to Mission street, thence along Mission street to Brazil avenue, thence along Brazil avenue to Moscow street, thence along Moscow street to Persia avenue, thence along Persia avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to Excelsior avenue, thence along Excelsior avenue to Mission street, thence along Mission street to Silver avenue and point of commencement.

Commencing at Mission street and Persia avenue, thence along Persia avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to and across Geneva avenue to Cordova street, thence along Cordova street to Baltimore way, thence along Baltimore way to South Hill boulevard, thence along South Hill boulevard to Geneva avenue, thence along Geneva avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to Russia avenue, thence along Russia avenue to Mission street, thence along Mission street to Persia avenue and point of commencement.

That said permission herein granted shall be revocable at the will

of the Board of Supervisors and shall be subject to all of the terms and conditions contained in said Resolution No. 25420 (New Series), and said automobile buses shall be operated and transfers to and from the same shall be issued in the manner set forth in said resolution.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee.

Resolution No. 29717 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and is hereby requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

East and west sides Powell street between Sutter and Bush streets.
East and west sides Powell street between Bush and Pine streets.
East and west sides Powell street between Pine and California streets.

Install 400 C. P. Iron Poles, Globe Top.

East and west sides Powell street between Sutter and Bush streets.
East and west sides Powell street between Bush and Pine streets.
East and west sides Powell street between Pine and California streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Award of Contracts.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 29718 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to K. E. Erickson Co., Inc., on bid submitted September 17, 1928 (Proposal No. 419), for furnishing the following, viz.: 2,000 reflector type safety zone buttons, malleable iron, with one bolt hole, as per sample submitted for "Traffic", Board of Supervisors, at $5.50 each.

Resolved, A bond in the sum of $1,000 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29719 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of Contract be hereby made to Jewell Steel and Malleable Co., on bid submitted April 16, 1928 (Proposal No. 367), for furnishing the following, viz.: 1,000 traffic turning buttons for "Traffic", Board of Supervisors, at $1.48 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except where award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29720 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pioneer Rubber Mills in conformity with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, on bid submitted September 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 413), for furnishing the following, viz.: 5,000 feet 3½-inch fire hose for Fire Department, more fully described as follows, viz.: For furnishing and delivering five thousand (5,000) feet of three and one-half (3½) inch internal diameter, circular woven, rubber-lined, 2-ply or jacket fire hose in fifty (50) foot lengths, each with specified couplings attached, all in full accordance with Proposition No. 2 and general provisions of specifications. Hose shall withstand a bursting pressure of not less than nine hundred and fifty (950) pounds hydraulic pressure per square inch. Delivery, f. o. b. Corporation Yard of the San Francisco Fire Department, 313 Francisco street. Price, $1.29 per foot.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $2,000 be required for the faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29721 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pioneer Rubber Mills in conformity with recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, on bid submitted September 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 414), for furnishing the following, viz.: 10,000 feet 2¾-inch fire hose for Fire Department, more fully described as follows, viz.: For furnishing and delivering ten thousand (10,000) feet of two and three-quarters (2¾) inch, internal diameter, circular woven, 2-ply or jacket cotton, rubber-lined fire hose in 50-foot lengths, each with couplings attached as specified, and in full accordance with the specifications and delivered f. o. b. Corporation Yard of the San Francisco Fire Department, 313 Francisco street, at the rate of $0.646 per foot.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $1,500 be required for the faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29722 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to The American Rubber Manufacturing Co. in conformity with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners on bid submitted September 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 415), for furnishing the following, viz.: 5000 feet 1½-inch fire hose for Fire Department, more fully described as follows, viz.: For furnishing and delivering five thousand (5000) feet of one and one-half (1½) inch, internal diameter circular woven 2-ply or jacket, cotton, rubber lined fire hose in fifty (50) foot lengths, each with couplings attached as specified, and all in full accordance with the specifications and delivered f. o. b. Corporation Yard of the San Francisco Fire Department, 313 Francisco street, at the rate of $0.39 per foot.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29723 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pioneer Rubber Mills in conformity with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, on bid submitted September 11, 1928 (Proposal No. 416), for furnishing the following, viz.: 2000 feet 1-inch chemical hose for Fire Department, more fully described as follows, viz.:

For furnishing, subject to test and all other provisions of the specifications, one (1) inch internal diameter, rubber, chemical engine fire hose, to be delivered f. o. b. Corporation Yard of the San Francisco Fire Department, 313 Francisco street, at the rate of $0.38¾ per foot.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for the faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29724 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Williams Wallace Company on bid submitted September 17, 1928 (Proposal No. 417), for furnishing the following, viz.: 60,000 pedestrian lane markers for "Traffic," Board of Supervisors, at $0.07 each.

Resolved, That said contractor shall be required to sign a contract to be drawn by the City Attorney and said contractor shall file same with a bond in the sum of $1,000 for the faithful performance of this contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except where award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29725 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore on September 17, 1928 (Proposal No. 418), submitted for furnishing 1000 safety zone buttons for "Traffic", Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29726 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Graybar Electric Company on bid submitted September 17, 1928 (Proposal No. 420), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Item No. 1—2000 lag screws and shields, size ¾-inch, for "Traffic," Board of Supervisors, at $19.99 per 100.

Resolved, That no bond be required, as same are for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29727 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to John A. Roebling's Sons Co. of California on bid submitted September 24, 1928 (Proposal No. 423), for furnishing the following, viz.: Underground signal and telephone cables for Department of Electricity.

Item No. 1—2145 feet, 100 pairs No. 20 B. & S. gauge, $560 per 1000 feet.

Item No. 2—2825 feet, 80 pairs No. 20 B. & S. gauge, $4.75 per 1000 feet.

Item No. 3—1991 feet, 50 pairs No. 20 B. & S. gauge, $320 per 1000 feet.

Item No. 4—5895 feet, 40 pairs No. 20 B. & S. gauge, $280 per 1000 feet.

Item No. 5—12,205 feet, 30 pairs No. 20 B. & S. gauge, $205 per 1000 feet.

Item No. 6—3300 feet, 20 pairs No. 20 B. & S. gauge, $170 per 1000 feet.

Item No. 7—21,000 feet, 11 pairs No. 20 B. & S. gauge, $125 per 1000 feet.

Item No. 8—6000 feet, 6 pairs No. 20 B. & S. gauge, $80 per 1000 feet.

Item No. 9—10,000 feet, 3 pairs No. 18 B. & S. gauge, $85 per 1000 feet.

Reels charged extra, credited at full price charged when returned.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $1,000 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Also, Resolution No. 29728 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Hazard Insulated Wire Works Division of the Okonite Company on bid submitted September 24, 1928 (Proposal No. 424), for furnishing the following, viz.: Rubber covered wire for Department of Electricity.

Item No. 1—10,000 feet 7-conductor, 6 No. 4 B. & S. gauge and 1 No. 10 B. & S. gauge rubber covered wire, solid 30 per cent Heavea rubber 5/64-inch pure lead sheath, at $127.40 per 1000 feet.

Item No. 2—2000 feet 5 pair No. 18 B. & S. gauge solid 30 per cent Heavea rubber covered wire, 5/64-inch pure lead sheath, at $148 per 1000 feet.

Reels will be charged for at cost and full credit allowed for their return in good condition.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:45 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Sunset Parkway Appraisals.

Consideration of appraisals of land to be acquired for Sunset Parkway.

Communications.

The following matters were read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, September 27, 1928.

Mr. Jos. Phillips, Right of Way Agent, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: Referring to subject of conversation you had with the writer this morning on the reviewing of the prices placed by you on the Sunset property, and confirming my telephone message to you this afternoon, please be advised that after careful consideration I do not feel that I can conscientiously render an opinion of this nature in the manner desired by you.

As explained to you, I have not had close personal contact with the sales activities in the Sunset District, and to accomplish what is desired would require a considerable research on my part involving some length of time which at the present time I am unable to give the matter.

My personal view is that unless an appraiser were closely in touch with the values in the district or unless he gave the subject the full consideration that a regular appraisement would involve, his opinion would be in effect an appraisement without proper consideration of all of the elements entering into the value and such a course would not constitute a fair or proper one under all of the circumstances.

There are some exceptions to this and as you mentioned that the figures had already been reviewed by Mr. Phillip Paschel and Mr. Fred Boeckmann, both of whom are constantly engaged in this particular class of work, it would seem to me that you have selected two of the outstanding experts of the city, whose opinions are highly valued by the real estate fraternity.

Hope you will understand my viewpoint as herein expressed and regret that I cannot see my way clear to do the job for you, as requested.

Yours very truly,

VINCENT F. FINIGAN.

San Francisco, September 29, 1928.

Mr. J. J. Phillips, Right of Way Department, 375 City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Mr. Phillips: For reasons explained to you, I do not care to review the appraisal made by you on the property in the Sunset District under condemnation by the City for boulevard purposes.

Again assuring you of my willingness to cooperate in any way that I can, I remain,

Yours very truly,

LOUIS J. PFAU, JR.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Chairman of the Finance Committee be requested to ask the Real Estate Board to appoint a committee of five (5) of its members to investigate the prices of our Rights of Way Department (in the matter of Sunset Parkway land appraisals) as to whether or not said prices represent the true and fair market value of such property as of March 16, 1928.

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. Leddermann, J. T. Cline, attorney representing Sunset property owners, and *Mrs. Steiger, H. M. Zigler*, realtor, and *Mrs. J. S. Breslin*, property owner, were heard at length in the pending matter.

Motion.

Supervisor Todd moved that only the property in dispute be appraised by the Real Estate Board.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, Powers, Suhr, Todd, Toner—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Motion Carried.

Whereupon, the roll being called on Supervisor Gallagher's motion, the same was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Kent, Stanton—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Marks: I desire to make a statement for the record, that I am willing to vote for the employment of these experts, but without any belief on my part that I am bound by their conclusion in any respect. I mean their conclusion would be persuasive with me, but I don't think, and I think that most of the Board feel the same way, that their conclusion be final. In addition to that I am still convinced the only way for a solution to be arrived at with respect to the property owners who will not make agreement with the City is to have the matter decided in a legal way by condemnation, and I reserve the right upon the report of those officials to cast my vote in favor of condemnation suits.

Leave of Absence, Daniel J. O'Brien, Chief of Police.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 28, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Daniel J. O'Brien, Chief of Police, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days commencing October 1, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave?

Respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

September 26, 1928.

Honorable James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of City and County, San Francisco, California.

The Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I respectfully request permission to absent myself

from the State of California during my annual vacation commencing Monday, October 1, 1928.

Thanking you for your consideration of the foregoing, I am,

Very truly yours,

D. J. O'BRIEN, Chief of Police.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29730 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Daniel J. O'Brien, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 1, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Leave of Absence, Hugh K. McKevitt.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 28, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mr. Hugh K. McKevitt, member of the Civil Service Commission, for an extension of his leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of sixty (60) days, beginning September 21, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting extension of this leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29731 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Hugh K. McKevitt, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing September 21, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Action Rescinded.

The action whereby No. 69 on the calendar, providing for the approval of map of Hetch Hetchy trails for presentation to the Secretary of the Interior was passed for printing was, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, ordered *rescinded*.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Maps, Etc., Showing Locations and Types of Construction of Hetch Hetchy Roads and Trails to Be Submitted to the Public Utilities Committee and Recommended by Board of Supervisors Before Presentation to the Secretary of the Interior.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Resolution No. 29716 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all maps and any other matter necessary in connection with the construction of roads and trails, and showing the proposed locations and types of construction of said roads and trails in the Hetch Hetchy Valley in order to meet the requirements of the Raker Act, be first submitted to the Public Utilities Committee of the

Board of Supervisors for its consideration and recommendation to the full Board before presentation to the Secretary of the Interior.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy Schmidt—2.

Golden Gate Heights Park.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Carl G. Larsen donated to the City, by deed of gift, that certain tract of land situate on Golden Gate Heights and bounded by Rockridge drive and Twelfth avenue, as delineated on the map of said Golden Gate Heights, which deed was heretofore accepted by the City, therefore be it

Resolved, That the said certain land hereinabove referred to be and the same is hereby set aside and dedicated to park purposes and the same is hereby invested in the Park Commission for its maintenance and supervision in accordance with provisions of the Charter.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

Regulating Traffic in Milk.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordinance amending Section 3, Subdivision 16 of Ordinance No. 7025 (New Series) entitled "Ordinance No. 7025 (New Series), regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, and the issuance and revocation of permits therefor, the production, handling, processing, labeling, distribution, sale or offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products, providing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products, and providing for the inspection by the Board of Health of all persons, buildings, rooms, places, matters, things and animals employed or used in the production, handling, distribution, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products intended for human consumption in said City and County, authorizing the Board of Health to enforce the provisions of this ordinance and providing penalties for the violation thereof."

Referred to Public Health Committee.

Registrar to Mail Official Argument With Sample Ballot.

Supervisor Havenner: It will be necessary for the Board to formally notify the Registrar of official approval of the argument which we have approved or intend to approve to go out to the voters with respect to the charter amendments and bond issues. Now I remember at the last session or the session before we adopted a motion with reference to authorizing certain arguments to be set out. The record I think is in error in one respect in that it says we authorize the Registrar to print these arguments. He does not print any arguments, and I wish the record to be changed, because I did not make any such motion, I think. The motion should be that the Registrar of Voters be authorized to mail the official argument of the Campaign Committee in support of the Public Utilities Commission charter amendment, the Campaign Committee's argument in support of the revenue bonds charter amendment, and the argument of the San Francisco Transportation League against the so-called Market street amendment, amendment No. 24. Then the same motion could be made with respect to the argument of the Campaign Committee in support of the Broadway Tunnel bonds, the argument of the Campaign Committee in support of the Aquatic Park bonds, the argument of the

Campaign Committee in support of the Park bonds, the argument of the Campaign Committee in support of the Airport bonds, the argument of the Campaign Committee in support of the sewer bonds, the argument of the Campaign Committee in support of the Civic Center bonds, the argument of the Campaign Committee in support of the playground bonds, the argument of the Campaign Committee in support of the health bonds, the argument of the Campaign Committee in support of the Civil Service extension and retirement system Charter amendments, Charter amendment No. 29. Is that the one you were interested in?

Supervisor Gallagher: Police and fire.

Supervisor Havenner: The Labor Council's argument for the Supervisors' salary amendment. I have a note here—I think this record is in error in several respects. I have a note that Supervisor Andriano moved for an argument for the Civic Center amendment. What was that?

The Clerk: Bond issue.

Supervisor Marks: Does it mean that or the Federal Building?

Supervisor Havenner: What argument were you moving for to be sent out by the Registrar at the last meeting?

Supervisor Andriano: Civic Center bonds.

Supervisor Havenner: That is all covered then. A special resolution covering the argument for the Public Utilities Commission amendment was presented to me today, Mr. Marks, by Mr. Hardee, representing the Citizens' Committee in support of the Public Utilities Commission amendment, asking that their argument, which they are having prepared, after its submission to this Board for approval, be authorized to be sent out. Are you familiar with that?

Supervisor Marks: No, I have not seen it, but I think it should be referred to the Judiciary Committee to report on that back to the Board.

Supervisor Havenner: There is not very much time. It will be ready some time this week. Are you set aside, however, to print it with such revision as the Board may see fit to make?

Supervisor Marks: Yes, but when will it meet?

Supervisor Havenner: I think we ought to give authority now, if it is deemed advisable. They have agreed to print 250,000 copies of their argument and submit it first to this Board for approval. I assume it will be a general argument and in favor of the Public Utilities Commission amendment. If there is no objection, I will move that authority be granted to the Citizens' Committee in support of the Public Utilities Commission Charter amendment, of which Donzell Stoney is chairman, to prepare and have mailed out by the Registrar the argument in support of the amendment after submission to this Board.

Supervisor Marks: What are the others you have on your list?

Supervisor Havenner: I read, I think, all of the bond issues, the revenue bond amendment, the argument against the so-called Market Street Initiative, the argument for the Civil Service and Retirement System amendment, Charter Amendment No. 29 at the request of Supervisor McSheehy——

Supervisor Marks: What is 29?

Supervisor McSheehy: Detective Sergeant.

Supervisor Havenner: And the Labor Council's argument in support of the Supervisors' salaries.

The Chairman: How about the Mayor's fund?

Supervisor Havenner: Well, a separate motion can be made for that, and I make that motion.

Supervisor McSheehy: I second that.

The Chairman: Such is the order.

Supervisor Havenner: Now it will be understood that the various organizations in support of the bond issues and in support of the

various Charter amendments are going to pay for their own printing.

Supervisor Stanton: The City does not pay for any of it.

Supervisor Havenner: Not unless the Board votes to pay the cost of printing arguments for the amendments that have been initiated by this Board. I personally would like to move in favor of that later, but we have no funds and I don't know where any can be raised, unless——(End of sentence not heard).

The Chairman: First do I hear a second to Supervisor Havenner's motion?

Supervisor Gallagher: I second it.

The Chairman: All right.

Supervisor Andriano: I was going to inquire of Supervisor Havenner—perhaps it is due to an excess of timidity on the part of the Board—but I heard nothing said concerning the Supervisors' salaries.

The Chairman: He mentioned that.

Supervisor McSheehy: Do I understand that these amendments outlined here, will the literature pertaining to them be furnished the Registrar?

Supervisor Havenner: Not by the City, no, but by the campaign committees that have been named in support of the bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy: Take our own amendment, say the Supervisors, we have to furnish the literature ourselves.

Supervisor Gallagher: The Labor Council will furnish it.

Supervisor Stanton: What is that?

Supervisor McSheehy: I took our own as an illustration and Supervisor Gallagher said the Labor Council will furnish the literature.

Question on Supervisor Havenner's motion.

The Chairman: If no objection, such is the order.

League of California Municipalities Convention.

Supervisor Todd called attention to the 30th annual convention of the League of California Municipalities meeting in Redlands October 9 to 13, inclusive, and moved that the chair appoint a committee to attend.

Motion *carried*.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 29, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 42

Monday, October 8, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 8, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 8, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Kent was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of September 17 and 18, 1928, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Leave of Absence, Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, and Daniel J. O'Brien, Chief of Police.

October 6, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

My Dear Colleagues: I have agreed to leave the city on October 25th on a good will tour to visit His Excellency the Governor of Oregon and His Honor the Mayor of the City of Portland and other representatives of that great State, on a special train arranged by the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, and I have invited San Francisco's distinguished Chief of Police, Daniel J. O'Brien, to accompany me on the trip.

I respectfully ask of you a 30-day leave of absence for the Chief of Police and for myself, commencing October 25th, with permission to leave the State of California.

Very respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Leave of Absence, Members of the Public Health Committee, Board of Supervisors.

San Francisco, October 5, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. J. M. Toner, Hon. James B. McSheehy and Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, members of the Public Health Committee of the Board of Supervisors, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of 60 days, commencing on October 9, 1928.

I respectfully request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence. Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

In consonance with the foregoing communications the following resolutions were *adopted*:

Leave of Absence to Chief of Police.

Resolution No. 29740 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Chief of Police Daniel J. O'Brien is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 25, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Leave of Absence to Mayor James Rolph, Jr., and Appointment of Supervisor Franck R. Havenner as Acting Mayor.

Resolution No. 29742 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 25, 1928, with permission to leave the State; and be it

Further Resolved, That during the absence of his Honor the Mayor Supervisor Franck R. Havenner be and is hereby appointed Acting Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Leave of Absence to Supervisors J. M. Toner, James B. McSheehy and J. Emmet Hayden.

Resolution No. 29743 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. M. Toner, Hon. James B. McSheehy and Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, members of the Public Health Committee of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing October 9, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Powers—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

The Supervisors named in said above resolution constitute the personnel of the Public Health Committee of the Board and were authorized to make investigation of incinerator plants and other means for the disposal of garbage.

The motion of Supervisor Powers to postpone action on the resolution for one week was *defeated*.

Land Dedicated for Park Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 29741 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain land, situate on the summit known as Mount Olympus and being at or near the intersection of Ashbury and Sixteenth streets if produced, being in form of a figure or square having for its center the monument erected and now thereon, and measured therefrom 100 feet on each side drawn parallel with the respective sides of said monument, which land was vested in the City and County

of San Francisco by deed of gift from Adolph Sutro, and dated November 22, 1887, be and the same is hereby set aside and dedicated for park purposes.

The said land, including the statue thereon, is hereby transferred to the care and custody of the Park Commission according to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Richard Hamb, representing a committee of the Native Sons' Admission Day celebration, held in the City of Oakland, September 9, 1928, was introduced by Supervisor Powers.

The gentleman extended words of appreciation for the interest the City had taken in the festivities, and presented an inscribed silver cup, donated as a prize for San Francisco's float in the parade.

Supervisor Powers responded and expressed the thanks and appreciation of the City for the prize presented.

Supervisor Hayden thereupon moved that the silver cup be placed in the Mayor's office for safekeeping with other valuable possessions of the City.

Motion *carried*.

Also, the chair introduced Mr. Fenton from Long Beach, representing the Pacific Southwest Exposition, recently held in that city.

Mr. Fenton expressed appreciation of the interest and cooperation taken by San Francisco in the exposition, and extended thanks from the people of his city for the magnificent booth which was installed and which attracted so much attention, and in promoting the spirit of friendship and good will.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were, upon motion, taken up and *adopted* by the following vote:

Giving Notice of Special Election for Sewer Bonds.

Resolution No. 29732 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit: The construction and extension of the sewer system in the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Ordinance No. 8197 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors, passed September 24, 1928, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit: The construction and extension of the Sewer System in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market streets to Fifteenth and Harrison streets; College Hill Tunnel from

the Mission street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose avenue and Army street; Alemany boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission street to the vicinity of Revere avenue and Industrial street; Twenty-third avenue and Geary street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh avenue and Geary street to Twenty-third avenue and Lake street, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion of the permanent improvements described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Sewer Bonds, 1929."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 2200, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

"SEWER BOND, 1929"

No..... $1,000

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before

maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No..... $.....

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19——

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said two million two hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of niney-nine thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $94,500 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of $90,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for nineteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $4,500 by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from the date of said bonds of

$100,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $100,000 each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-one years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"SEWER BONDS, 1929—To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit:

The construction and extension of the Sewer System in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore Street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero Streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison Streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market Streets to Fifteenth and Harrison Streets; College Hill Tunnel from the Mission Street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose Avenue and Army Street; Alemany Boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission Street to the vicinity of Revere Avenue and Industrial Street; Twenty-third Avenue and Geary Street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh Avenue and Geary Street to Twenty-third Avenue and Lake Street."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and

by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Giving Notice of Special Election for Health Bonds.

Resolution No. 29733 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit: Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, cancer institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of health centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Ordinance No. 8198 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors, passed September 24, 1928, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit: Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of Health Centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of the permanent improvements described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Hospital Bonds, 1929."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 3500, both inclusive, and shall be payable $175,000 thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $175,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

"HOSPITAL BOND, 1929."

No.———— $1,000

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached, as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and

County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———————— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———————— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———————— 19——.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of .....

and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said three million five hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $157,500 for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $149,625 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $175,000 thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of $141,750 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $175,000 thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seventeen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $7,875 by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from the date of said bonds of $175,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $175,000 each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be used as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law, to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"HOSPITAL BONDS, 1929—To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit:

Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital Site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of Health Centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a

bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition, said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Giving Notice of a Special Election for Playground Bonds.

Resolution No. 29734 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for permanent improvements, to-wit: The acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Ordinance No. 8199 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors, passed September 24, 1928, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes. The locations of said playground sites are to be selected by the Playground Commission of the City and County of San Francisco where, in the opinion of the Commission, the sites so selected will best serve the needs of the children of San Francisco for recreational purposes, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for the acquisition and development of the permanent improvements described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvments described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Playground Bonds, 1929."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 3100, both inclusive, and shall be payable $124,000.00 thereof six years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $124,000.00 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

"PLAYGROUND BOND, 1929."

No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the

United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19——.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said three million one hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $139,500 for the first 6 years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the 7th year after the date of said bonds the sum of $133,920 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $124,000 thereof due 6 years from their date have been paid, and for the 8th year after the date of said bonds the sum of $128,340 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $124,000 thereof due 7 years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for 22 succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $5,580 by reason of the payment each year beginning 6 years from the date of said bonds of $124,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $124,000 each year beginning 5 years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for 24 years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to the any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"PLAYGROUND BONDS, 1929—To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million one hundred thousand dollars ($3,100,000) for the acquisition and development of permanent improvements to-wit:

The acquisition and development of necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco for playground purposes. The locations of said playground sites are to be selected by the Playground Commission of the City and County of San Francisco where, in the opinion of the Commission, the sites so selected will best serve the needs of the children of San Francisco for recreational purposes."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right

of the word "YES." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Giving Notice of a Special Election for McLaren Park Bonds.

Resolution No. 29735 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco, known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Ordinance No. 8200 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, passed September 24, 1928, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, development, completion and equipment of a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco, known as McLaren Park, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings which are contiguous to and comprise portions of the Reis Tract, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, Paul Tract Homestead Association, University Mound Tract, University Homestead Association, Superior Homestead Association and other tracts, to comprise approximately five hundred and fifty (550) acres of land to be used for park purposes, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of the permanent improvement described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials". All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "McLaren Park Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $80,000 thereof 6 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $80,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

"McLAREN PARK BOND."

No. ——. $1,000.

For value received the City and County of San Francisco, a munici-

pal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———————— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency

of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———————— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number.....

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ..., 19.....

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said two million dollars bonds shall be the sum of $90,000 for the first 6 years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the 7th year after the date of said bonds the sum of $86,400 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $80,000 thereof, due 6 years from their date, have been paid, and for the 8th year after the date of said bonds, the sum of $82,800 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $80,000 thereof, due 7 years from their date, have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for 22 succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $3,600 by reason of the payment each year beginning 6 years from the date of said bonds of $80,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $80,000 each year beginning 5 years from the date of said bonds to pay, and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for 24 years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoinng tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"McLAREN PARK BONDS—To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million dollars ($2,000,000) for the acquisition, development, completion and equipment of a permanent improvement, to-wit:

The acquisition, development, completion and equipment of that certain tract of land located within the southeasterly section of the City and County of San Francisco known as McLaren Park, and the

purchase of all necessary lands and buildings which are contiguous to and comprise portions of the Reis Tract, Sunnyvale Homestead Association, Paul Tract Homestead Association, University Mound Tract, University Homestead Association, Superior Homestead Association and other tracts, to comprise approximately five hundred and fifty (550) acres of land to be used for park purposes."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO".

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Giving Notice of a Special Election for Aquatic Park Bonds.

Resolution No. 29736 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit: The completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park and the purchase of lands for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Ordinance No. 8201 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, passed September 24, 1928, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit: The completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park located within the City and County of San Francisco, adding to said park whatever equipment and construction are necessary to render it adequate for the purposes for which it is dedicated; and the acquisition of lands for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of the permanent improvements described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials". All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Aquatic and Marina Park Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 950, both inclusive, and shall be payable $50,000 thereof three years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $50,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"AQUATIC AND MARINA PARK BOND."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond, to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....

Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————

.....

Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $42,750.00 for the first three years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $40,500.00 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $50,000.00 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and for the fifth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $38,250.00 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $50,000.00 thereof due four years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for sixteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $2,250.00 by reason of the payment each year, beginning three years from the date of said bonds, of $50,000.00 of said bonds, and the sum of $50,000.00 each year, beginning two years from the date of said bonds, to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for eighteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other

matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"AQUATIC AND MARINA PARK BONDS—To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($950,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit:

The completion and equipment of the Aquatic Park located within the City and County of San Francisco, adding to said park whatever equipment and construction are necessary to render it adequate for the purposes for which it is dedicated; and the acquisition of lands for additions to the Aquatic Park and Marina Park in the City and County of San Francisco."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO".

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places for voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make

returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Giving Notice of a Special Election for Airport Bonds.

Resolution No. 29737 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport, and all necessary hangars, machine shop, administration building, roads, runways, drainage facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of tractors, rollers and turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private pleasure and sight-seeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Ordinance No. 8202 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors, passed September 24, 1928, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and equipment of a permanent improvement, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport, and all necessary hangars, machine shop, administration building, roads, runways, drainage facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of tractors, rollers and turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private pleasure and sight-seeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for the acquisition, construction and equipment of the permanent improvement described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this Resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials". All of said

bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Airport Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated, shall be numbered from 1 to 1700, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof 3 years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers, on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"AIRPORT BOND."

No. ———— $1,000.

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ....., 19...., one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ——— $———

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19——

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said one million seven hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $76,500 for the first three years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $72,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and for the fifth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $67,500 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due four years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for fourteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $4,500 by reason of the payment each year beginning three years from the date of said bonds of $100,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $100,000 each year beginning two years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for sixteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be

in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"AIRPORT BONDS—To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million seven hundred thousand dollars ($1,700,000) for a permanent improvement, to-wit:

The acquisition, construction and equipment of a tract of land situate in San Mateo County, California, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport, and all necessary Hangars, Machine Shop, Administration Building, Roads, Runways, Drainage Facilities, necessary lighting and equipment, consisting of Tractors, Rollers and Turntable, to be used by the City and County of San Francisco as an Airport to accommodate commercial, charter and passenger air lines, private, pleasure and sightseeing transportation airplanes and all other classes of air travel that may hereafter be developed."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to

authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Giving Notice of a Special Election for Civic Center Bonds.

Resolution No. 29738 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for a permanent improvement, to wit: The acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street, and for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Ordinance No. 8203 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors, passed September 24, 1928, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to wit: The acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to wit: The acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street, at the width said street now is between Larkin street and Hyde street to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street, and for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, consisting of a facade from Market and Fulton streets to Hyde and Fulton streets, improvements in Civic Center Plaza and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings, and the incurring of a

bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this Resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Civic Center Extension Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 1000, both inclusive, and shall be payable $100,000 thereof two years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $100,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
State of California,
City and County of San Francisco.
"CIVIC CENTER EXTENSION BOND."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19———, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the

principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19——

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said one million dollars bonds shall be the sum of $45,000 for the first two years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the third year after the date of said bonds the sum of $40,500 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due two years from their date have been paid, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $36,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $100,000 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seven suc-

ceeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $4,500 by reason of the payment each year beginning two years from the date of said bonds of $100,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $100,000 each year beginning one year from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"CIVIC CENTER EXTENSION BONDS—To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million dollars ($1,000,000) for the acquisition of a permanent improvement, to-wit:

The acquisition of land for the projection of Fulton street at the width said street now is between Larkin street and Hyde street to Market street, and the opening and projecting of Leavenworth street from the south line of McAllister street to the proposed new northerly line of Fulton street and for the extension, development and beautification of the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, consisting of a facade from Market and Fulton streets to Hyde and Fulton streets, improvements in Civic Center Plaza and the purchase of all necessary lands and buildings."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector

may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Giving Notice of a Special Election for Broadway Tunnel Bonds.

Resolution No. 29739 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A tunnel, to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway, and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Fran-

cisco and the provisions of Ordinance No. 8204 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors, passed September 24, 1928, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A tunnel, to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this Resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Broadway Tunnel Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 750, both inclusive, and shall be payable $75,000 thereof two years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and $75,000 thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

"BROADWAY TUNNEL BOND."

No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent

to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond, to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1929, number ————

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of $33,750 for the first two years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the third year after the date of said bonds the sum of $30,375 to pay and in season to

pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $75,000 thereof due two years from their date have been paid, and for the fourth year after the date of said bonds the sum of $27,000 to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the $75,000 thereof due three years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seven succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of $3,375 by reason of the payment each year beginning two years from the date of said bonds of $75,000 of said bonds, and the sum of $75,000 each year beginning one year from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"BROADWAY TUNNEL BONDS—To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($750,000) for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit:

A tunnel, to be known as the Broadway Tunnel, to be constructed within the City and County of San Francisco, beginning at Larkin street and Broadway and ending at Mason street and Broadway, to be used as a pedestrian and vehicular mode of travel between the termini described, and the acquisition of all necessary lands and easements."

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "YES." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "NO."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "YES" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "NO" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "YES" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated, and make returns thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Registrar to Include Arguments That May Be Presented in the Envelopes of Mailed Sample Ballots.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Registrar be authorized and requested to include in the sample ballot envelope for the November 6th election arguments in favor and affecting the following Charter amendments, to-wit: No. 31—City Planning Commission; No. 32—War Memorial; No. 33—Taxes for Park purposes; No. 34—Library tax; No. 35—Palace of Fine Arts; No. 36—Federal Building in Civic Center; No. 38—Purchaser of Supplies' Revolving Fund; No. 39—Payment of premiums on bonds of employees; No. 40—Payment of school demands; No. 46—Probation Departments; No. 49—Police Judges' Salaries; No. 51—Parking sations under parks; No. 53—Creating woman Police Judge; No. 54—City Attorney's Salary; that the arguments for the foregoing be presented to the Registrar by the proponents of the amendments, a municipal department or the Citizens' Committee in charge of the campaign for an amendment.

Motion *carried*, and the Clerk was directed to so advise the Registrar.

Authorizing the Registrar to Mail Arguments in Support of Playground Bond Issue to the Registered Voters.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 29791 New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has officially submitted to the voters of San Francisco a proposed bond issue for Playgrounds, to be voted upon at the election to be held on November 6, 1928; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has previously authorized the Registrar of Voters to mail to the voters of San Francisco, with the sample ballots, the argument in support of this proposed bond issue, which has been prepared by the Citizens' Campaign Committee appointed by the Playground Commission to conduct the Playground Bond Issue Campaign; and

Whereas, the Citizens' Campaign Committee has prepared and printed an argument in support of the proposed Playground Bond Issue and, by inadvertency, the line "Inserted by Order of the Board of Supervisors," was omitted from the printed form of this argument; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby specifically authorizes and empowers the Registrar of Voters to mail the enclosed argument in support of the Playground Bond Issue to the registered voters of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Set-back Lines on Vicente Street.

The matter of hearing objections to set-back lines being established on Vicente street was taken up and the following bill and ordinance *passed to print*:

Bill No. 8737, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing setback lines along portions of Vicente street and Rivera street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 11th day of September, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 182 to establish set-back lines along portions of Vicente street and Rivera street, and fixed the 8th day of October, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Vicente street between Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the northerly side of Rivera street between Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance

No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

SPECIAL ORDER.

Licensing Second-Hand Furniture Dealers.

Bill No. 8708, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 62-B, relative to license fee on dealers in second-hand furniture and household goods, was taken up for action thereon.

Attorney Walter McGovern, representing Association of Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length in opposition to the passage of the ordinance, and asked that Ordinance No. 8196 (New Series), heretofore passed, be rescinded.

Charles Skelly, Secretary to the Police Commission, was heard in support of the ordinance, and stated that the Commission recommended its passage and considered it a good ordinance for police regulation.

Discussed by Supervisors Andriano and Colman.

Supervisor Colman thereupon presented the following bill and ordinance, repealing Ordinance No. 8196 (New Series):

Bill No. 8733, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 8196 (New Series), which amended Sections 4 and 6 and added Section 6A to Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise or articles of any description other than furniture and household goods, either pawnbrokers or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 8196 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

On motion of Supervisor Colman the bill was *passed to print.*

Supervisor Andriano moved that all matters relating to this subject and the passage of the proposed ordinance, being Bill No. 8708, be laid over one week and be made a Special Order of Business for the hour of 11 o'clock a. m.

Motion *carried.*

Extending Invitation to the League of California Municipalities.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 29744 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John F. Shea, representing the San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, is hereby authorized by the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, to extend a cordial invitation to the League of California Municipalities to hold its 1929 Annual Convention in the City of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

RECESS.

The Board declared a recess to reconvene at the hour of 2:30 o'clock p. m.

RECONVENED.

The Board reconvened at the hour of 2:30 o'clock p. m.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Kent resumed the chair.

Privilege of the Floor.

Captain Lamb, secretary Park Commission, was granted the privilege of the floor and stated that the United States Government has agreed to permit the removal of its wharf at the foot of Van Ness avenue to provide facilities for the Aquatic Park, conditioned that a deed to other lands adjacent to the Transport Dock be given for the purpose of relocating the wharf. He further stated that the Park Commission recommends that the relocation of the Government wharf be at a point near the Transport Dock agreeable to the Government. That the Commission further recommends the purchase of about 6600 feet of property for such relocation be authorized; that the funds in possession of the Commission would pay for the land, in order to immediately start work on the completion of the Aquatic Park.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton, the subject matter was referred to the City Attorney to pass upon the legality and to prepare a proper resolution, that the Board might comply with the recommendation of the Park Commission.

Motion *carried*.

Whereupon, the City Attorney presented the following resolution:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Government of the United States is in possession of a certain dock, situated on the waterfront in the City and County of San Francisco, between Van Ness avenue, Franklin street and Lewis street, if extended into the Bay, which said dock is commonly known and designated as "Government Wharf;" and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco claims to be the owner of said property; and

Whereas, the Government of the United States claims the right of possession of said wharf, but is willing to waive and surrender all right to the possession of said wharf, provided the City and County of San Francisco shall provide the said Government of the United States with suitable docking facilities adjacent to Transport Dock No. 3; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco can acquire suitable land to provide the said docking facilities for the said United States Government, at a price not to exceed the sum of $3,500, which said land is described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point 25 feet north of the northerly line of Lewis street, on a line parallel with the easterly line of Transport Dock No. 3, and 243 feet and 9 inches easterly therefrom; thence 95 feet easterly; thence south 60 feet; thence at right angles westerly 90 feet; thence at right angles 85 feet to the point of beginning; all as shown on blue print No. 6616, Constructing Quartermaster's office, San Francisco, California, dated June, 1928.

And whereas, the said land now occupied by the Government of the United States, and known as Government Wharf, is necessary for the construction of the Recreation Pier by the City and County of San Francisco.

Now, therefore, for the purpose of compromising or adjusting the differences between the Government of the United States and the City and County of San Francisco relative to said Government Wharf, and

to enable said City and County of San Francisco to construct said Recreation Pier; be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from W. B. Sharp the real property hereinbefore specifically described, and that upon the Government of the United States relinquishing all claim to said Government Wharf, and the land and water upon which the same is situated, that the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the Government of the United States giving said Government of the United States a right in perpetuity to maintain a dock on the said property hereinbefore described to be purchased from said W. B. Sharp, and to dock vessels of the Government of the United States in perpetuity at said dock; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, be and they are hereby directed to execute an agreement giving to the said Government of the United States the said right in perpetuity to maintain said dock on said property to be purchased from said W. B. Sharp; and be it

Further Resolved, That before said agreement with the Government of the United States is executed, that it be approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion, the said resolution was *referred to the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

Action Deferred.

On motion, action on the following resolution was *laid over four weeks*:

Granting Associated Oil Company Supply Station, Twenty-fifth Avenue and Geary Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwesterly corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Anti-Rodeo Bill.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution disapproving the passage of Initative Measure No. 21, known as the Anti-Rodeo Bill, appeaaring on the ballot, and relating to holding control of any animal by use of pincers or twisters, etc.

On motion the resolution was *referred to the Public Welfare Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed to print, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29745 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Co., freight charges for account of parks (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928).. $702.76

(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928)..... 3,802.46

(3) A. Paulsen, for boring wells (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928). 540.00
(4) Sevin Vincent Seed Co., grass seed (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 622.90
(5) Berringer & Russell, hay (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928)... 772.76

Municipal Railway Fund.

(6) Market Street Railway Co., for electric power furnished (claim dated Sept, 21, 1928)..... $3,334.35
(7) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for August under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 1,490.96
(8) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928)..... 37,464.66

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(9) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, reserve depreciation, per Charter requirements (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928)..... $14,584.00

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(10) Frank D. Pelicano & Sons, floral float for Admission Day celebration, Oakland (claim dated Oct. 1, 1928)..... $1,000.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(11) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, underwear for County Jails (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928)..... $532.48
(12) Neustadter Bros., hickory pants and shirts for County Jails (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928) 2,581.02
(13) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County Jails (claim dated Sept. 18, 1928)..... 848.17
(14) J. H. Zemansky, Registrar of Voters, postage for sample ballots (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928)..... 14,400.00
(15) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928..... 14,921.40
(16) Rincon Foundry Co., 100 hydrants for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928)..... 7,940.00
(17) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 967.04
(18) Howard Automobile Co., seven Buick Roadsters, less allowances, for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 8,095.00
(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 519.17
(20) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 1,928.29
(21) Ruud Heater Co., water heater for Fire Department (claim dated Aug 31, 1928)..... 513.00
(22) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Fire Department and installing hydrants (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 2,530.83
(23) The Texas Co., fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 584.49
(24) W. N. Moore Dry Goods Co., sheeting for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 713.89
(25) Seabury & Johnson, gauze for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 1,205.50
(26) Sussman, Wormser & Co., sugar for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 540.00
(27) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 1,844.65
(28) Pacific Specialty Mfg. Co., combination pressure and vacuum unit, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928)..... 924.63

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Payments for Properties for Account of Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. 29746 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and authorized in payment out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928, to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties and for account of damages to properties required for and due to the construction of boulevards, to-wit:

(1) To Cesare Restani, for portions of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Block 7029, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco (40 x 320), as authorized by Resolution No. 29665 (New Series), and required for the Alemany boulevard (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... $32,000.00

(2) Edwin J. Love and Zylpha T. Love, for release of damages to Lot 23 in Block 6797, as per the Assessor's Block Books, caused by construction of the Alemany boulevard, as approved by Resolution No. 29668, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 578.20

(3) Tyler L. McCall and Alta W. McCall, for release of damages to Lot 5 in Block 3149, as per the Assessor's Block Books, caused by construction of Alemany boulevard, and as approved by Resolution No. 29668, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 1,194.60

(4) Girolamo Candela and Vincenza Candela, for portion of Lot 29 in Block 5376, and damages to improvements, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29663 (New Series); required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 2,677.00

(5) Crocker Estate Company, for portions of Blocks 5069 and 5066-A, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and required for Bay Shore boulevard; as authorized by Resolution No. 29664, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 7,216.15

(6) Crocker Estate Company, for portion of Block 5054-A, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Bay Shore boulevard, as approved by Resolution No. 29664, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 17,672.75

(7) Crocker Estate Company, for Lots 2, 3 and 5-C, and portion of Lot 4, in Block 4991, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and as approved by Resolution No. 29664, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 5,246.30

(8) Frederick Daniels, for Lots 23 and 24 in Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as authorized by Resolution No. 29664, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 4,000.00

(9) Melville H. Raab and Leland B. Raab, for Lots 46 and 47 in Block 5375, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and as authorized by Resolution No. 29664 (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928)..... 750.00

(10) Estha Geduldig, for damages to Lot 31 in Block 5376, as per the Assessor's Block Books (189 Boutwell street), caused by the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, as authorized by Resolution No. 29670, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 1,940.00

(11) Harry De Yonge, for Lot 8 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Sunset Parkway, as authorized by Resolution No. 29662, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 5,850.00

(12) Emile Diechle and John Diechle, for Lot 4 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and as approved by Resolution No. 29662, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 1,625.00

(13) C. P. Miner and Mary E. Miner, for Lot 6-A in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Sunset Parkway, and as authorized by Resolution No. 29662, New Series (claim dated Sept. 19, 1928) 6,800.00

(14) Palmira Da San Martino and Fortunato Da San Martino, for release of damages to Lot 30 in Block 5376, as per the Assessor's Block Books (191 Boutwell street), caused by the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, and as authorized by Resolution No. 29669, New Series (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 2,110.00

(15) Higgins & Sons, Inc., for all of Lots 21 and 22 in Block 7116, and all of Lots 21 and 22 in Block 7119, and all of lots in Block 7132, all of Lots 35, 36 and 37 in Block 7134, all of Lot 1 in Block 7135, and all of Lots 1 and 38 in Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, as authorized by Resolution No. 29666, New Series (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 3,800.00

(16) Clarence C. Kronquist and Isabell Kronquist, for release of damages to Lot 3 in Block 3149, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Alemany boulevard, and as authorized by Resolution No. 29667, New Series (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 970.20

(17) Joseph Garguilo and Alta Garguilo, for release of damages to Lot 4 in Block 3149, as per the Assessor's Block Books, caused by construction of Alemany boulevard, and as authorized by Resolution No. 29667, New Series (claim dated Sept. 12, 1928) 1,106.50

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property and Repairs to Public Buildings.

Also, Resolution No. 29747 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item 33.

(1) For the cost of improvement of Vicente street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, and crossing of Twenty-fifth avenue and Vicente street, at City property.. $2,307.00

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item 53.

(2) For the cost of rebricking Boiler No. 2 at the Laguna Honda Home $984.00

City Hall Repairs—Budget Item 54.

(3) For the cost of furnishing and installing shelving in the San Francisco Law Library, City Hall..... $1,706.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Appropriation of $2,862.47 for Equipment for Galileo High School.

Also, Resolution No. 29748 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,862.47 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Bond Fund, Issue 1918, for the purchase of equipment for the Galileo High School. (Request of the Board of Education.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Appropriation for Sunset Police Station Construction.

Also, Resolution No. 29749 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $77,167 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund for the construction of the Sunset Police Station, to be erected on City property situate on the west side of Twenty-fourth avenue, north of Taraval street, including extras, incidentals and inspection, to-wit:

General construction (H. H. Larsen Co.)	$64,852
Electrical work (W. B. Baker)	1,795
Plumbing, gas fitting and heating (Oscar Aaron)	7,888
Extras, incidentals and inspection	2,632
Total	$77,167

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Appropriation of $8,822.16 Out of County Road Fund in Payment to Employees' Retirement System.

Also, Resolution No. 29750 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8,822.16 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund in payment to the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, being amount necessary to match employees' contributions for 1927-1928 fiscal year. (Claim dated September 14, 1928.)

(Passed for printing September 24, 1928, and withheld from final passage on October 1, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Oil Tank Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29751 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. Silverstine, 920 Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. L. Kelley, No. 7 Hugo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. H. Lisser, 3233 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. D. Grandeman, southwest corner of Forty-second avenue and Lincoln way, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. L. Ebey, 399 Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Baumgartner, east side of Ashbury street, 107 feet south of Frederick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. Charles Moor, 215 Corona street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Phil Harris, west side of Franklin street, 150 feet north of Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Meyerhoff, 18 Sixteenth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

D. Y. Lamont, 2460 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Auto Supply Station Permit, A. S. Burns, Filbert and Octavia Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29752 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Albert S. Burns be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Filbert and Octavia streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Auto Supply Station Permit, Italo Petroleum Corporation, Fourteenth and Mission Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29753 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation of America be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Fourteenth and Mission streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Auto Supply Station Permit, F. W. Mackintosh, Seventeenth and Folsom Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29754 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. W. Mackintosh be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Seventeenth and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Auto Supply Station Permit, Texas Company, Eddy and Divisadero Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29755 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Texas Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Ellis and Divisadero streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Auto Supply Station Permit, T. J. Lewis, San Jose Avenue and Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29756 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas J. Lewis be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of San Jose avenue and Alemany boulevard.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Auto Supply Station Permit, E. Boitano, Geneva Avenue and Naples Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29757 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Edward Boitano be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Geneva avenue and Naples street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Transfer of Garage Permit, E. J. Willig et al.

Also, Resolution No. 29758 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Willig and L. T. Willig be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted W. W. Grove by Resolution No. 24397 (New Series) for premises at 333 Jones street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Transfer of Garage Permit, A. M. Anstead.

Also, Resolution No. 29759 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. M. Anstead be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted G. W. Alpers by Resolution No. 28689 (New Series) for premises at 2375 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

City Lands in Yosemite National Park to Be Conveyed to the Federal Government in Accordance With the Raker Act.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Commission.

Resolution No. 29760 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, is the owner of the hereinafter described real property; and

Whereas, by the Act of Congress of December 19, 1913, commonly known as the Raker Act, the Government of the United States ceded and granted to the City and County of San Francisco certain rights and privileges in the Yosemite National Park; and

Whereas, the people of the City and County of San Francisco did, through its Board of Supervisors, by ordinance duly adopted on the 12th day of January, 1914, and approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 13th day of January, 1914, accept the said grant from the said government of the United States, subject to all the terms and conditions set forth in said Raker Act, which said ordinance has never been revoked, annulled, amended or set aside, and now remains in full force and effect as a valid ordinance and enactment of the people of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it was provided in said act that in consideration of the granting of said rights and privileges to the City and County of San Francisco, that the said City and County should convey to the United States by proper conveyance a good and sufficient title, free from all liens and encumbrances of any nature whatever, all tracts of land which were at the time of the passage and approval of said act owned by the City and County of San Francisco within the Yosemite National Park, or in that part of the National Forest adjacent to said Park, and which said lands were not actually required for use by the said City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of said act; and

Whereas, the hereinafter described land is situate within the Yosemite National Park, and was owned by the City and County of San Francisco at the time of the adoption and approval of said Raker Act; and

Whereas, said hereinafter described land is no longer required by the City and County for use under the provisions of the Raker Act, or for use in connection with any development made by the said City and County under the terms and conditions in the said act; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of complying with the terms and conditions contained in the said Roker Act, and for the purpose of fulfilling the conditions thereof, that the City and County of San Francisco convey to the United States of America, by a proper conveyance, and free and clear from all liens and encumbrances of any nature whatever, those certain tracts or parcels of land hereinbefore referred to, and situate, lying and being in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, and particularly described as follows:

Group I. Canyon Ranch Lands.

The East ½ of the Northwest ¼, the Southwest ¼ of the Northwest ¼, and the Northwest ¼ of the Southwest ¼ of Section 32, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, M. D. B. and M.

Group II. Lands Adjacent to Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site and Hetch Hetchy Dam Site.

(a) All that portion of the Southeast ¼ of Section 9, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, M. D. B. and M., lying North of the north boundary of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site, as said boundary is hereinafter defined, except that portion thereof included within a strip of land 100 feet in width, 50 feet each side of the center line of the existing road extending between the O'Shaughnessy or Hetch Hetchy Dam and Lake Eleanor; said excepted land is a portion of that certain road right of way, the application (Sacramento Serial Number 09958)

of the City and County of San Francisco for which was filed at the United States Land Office at Sacramento, California, October 14, 1916, and approved by the Secretary of the Interior December 30, 1916, all as provided for in said Act of Congress; said excepted land is actually required by the City and County of San Francisco for use under the provisions of said Act of Congress.

(b) All that portion of the South ½ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 10, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, lying north of said north boundary of said Reservoir Site.

(c) All that portion of the South ½ of the Northeast ¼ of said Section 10 lying north of said north boundary of said Reservoir Site.

(d) All that portion of the Northwest ¼ of the Southeast ¼ of said Section 10 lying south of the south boundary of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site, as said boundary is hereinafter defined.

(e) All that portion of the Southwest ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 11, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, lying north of said north boundary of said Reservoir Site.

(f) All that portion of the North ½ of the South ½ of said Section 11 lying north of said north boundary of said Reservoir Site.

(g) All that portion of the North ½ of the South ½ of said Section 11 lying south of said south boundary of said Reservoir Site.

(h) All that portion of the Northwest ¼ of the Northeast ¼ of Section 16, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, lying south of said south boundary of said Reservoir Site, except that portion thereof included within a strip of land 100 feet in width, 50 feet each side of the center line of an existing road extending between Hetch Hetchy Camp Site and Hetch Hetchy Reservoir; said excepted land is a portion of that certain road right of way, the application (Sacramento Serial Number 07876) of the City and County of San Francisco for which was filed at the United States Land Office at Sacramento, California, December 7, 1914, and approved by the Secretary of the Interior January 21, 1915, all as provided for in said Act of Congress; said excepted land is actually required by the City and County of San Francisco for use under the provisions of said Act of Congress.

(i) All that portion of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 16, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, bounded on the northeast and southeast by said north boundary of said Reservoir Site, on the southwest by the northeasterly boundary of the Hetch Hetchy Dam Site, as said boundary of said dam site is hereinafter defined, and on the west by the west line of said Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 16.

(j) All that portion of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 16, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, lying northwest of the line connecting Stations 6 and 7 of the survey of said north boundary of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site.

Said boundaries of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site and Hetch Hetchy Dam Site are as shown on a map and described in field notes accompanying the application (Sacramento Serial Number 07186) of the City and County of San Francisco for the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Site and Dam Site, filed at the United States Land Office at Sacramento, California, February 16, 1914, and approved by the Secretary of the Interior June 9, 1914, all as provided for in said Act of Congress.

The portion of said north boundary of said Reservoir Site, extending through Sections 16, 9, 10 and 11, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, is described in said field notes as follows:

Beginning at Station 1 of the Reservoir Traverse, a point N. 25 deg. 20 min. 21 sec. E. and 4125.77 feet distant from the witness corner, which is 594 feet West of the true corner common to Sections 16, 17, 20 and 21, T. 1. N., R. 20 E., M. D. B. and M., thence by the following true courses and distances, between the points on the traverse of the contour.

Station 1; true course, N. 37 deg. 38 min. W.; distant feet, 770.5. A cross (+) cut in granite on dam axis. (At a point N. 37 deg. 38

min. W. 272 ft. distant from Sta. 1 enter City property.) (At a point N. 37 deg. 38 min. W. 629 ft. distant from Sta. 1 leave City property.)

Station 2; true course, N. 65 deg. 50 min. E.; distant feet, 101.8. Oak post scribed 2 DA, whence a white live oak 8 in. dia. bears S. 48 deg. 10 min. W. 12.3 ft. scribed X 2 B. T. (At a point N. 65 deg. 50 min. E. 73 ft. distant from Sta. 2 enter City property.)

Station 3; true course, N. 44 deg. 35 min. E.; distant feet, 117.2. Oak post 3 in. diam. scribed 3 in stone mound.

Station 4; true course, N. 17 deg. 58 min. E.; distant feet, 89.1.

Station 5; true course, N. 44 deg. 14 min. W.; distant feet, 453.9. 3800 painted on face of cliff. (At a point N. 44 deg. 14 min. W. 251 ft. dist. from Sta. 5, leave City property.)

Station 6; true course, N. 18 deg. 22 min. E.; distant feet, 638.7. Pt. on vertical face of cliff. (At a pt. N. 18 deg. 22 min. E. 308 ft. dist. from Sta. 6, enter City property.) (At a pt. N. 18 deg. 22 min. E. 432 ft. dist. from Sta. 6 and S. 18 deg. 22 min. W. 206.7 ft. from Sta. 7, intersect sec. line between Secs. 9 and 16, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., 1380 ft. N. 88 deg. 27 min. E. of cor. com. to Secs. 8, 9, 16 and 17 and leave City property.)

Station 7; true course, N. 12 deg. 44 min. E.; distant feet, 426.2. Oak post.

Station 8; true course, N. 9 deg. 46 min. E.; distant feet, 425.1. On rock.

Station 9; true course, N. 22 deg. 00 min. E.; distant feet, 377.5. Post.

Station 10; true ourse, N. 34 deg. 40 min. E.; distant feet, 862.4. Post.

Station 11; true course, N. 74 deg. 29 min. E.; distant feet, 1313.2. 38+ painted on rock. (At a pt. N. 74 deg. 29 min. E., 396 ft. dist. from Sta. 11 enter City property.)

Station 12; true course, N. 78 deg. 10 min. E.; distant feet, 1541.0. Face of vertical cliff. (At a pt. N. 78 deg. 10 min. E. 1287 ft. dist. from Sta. 12 leave City property.)

Station 13; true course, N. 73 deg. 50 min. E.; distant feet, 654.4. Face of vertical cliff. (At a pt. N. 73 deg. 50 min. E. 265 ft. dist. from Sta. 13, intersect line bet. Secs. 9 and 10, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., S. 2 deg. 02 min. E. 2451 ft. from the cor. com. to Secs. 3, 4, 9 and 10, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., and enter City property.)

Station 14; true course, N. 53 deg. 59 min. E.; distant feet, 312.9. 38+ painted on cliff.

Station 15; true course, N. 32 deg. 14 min. E.; distant feet, 700.4. Post.

Station 16; true course, N. 56 deg. 38 min. E.; distant feet, 925.0. Post. (At a pt. N. 56 deg. 38 min. E. 565 ft. distant from Sta. 16 leave City property.)

Station 17; true course, N. 77 deg. 32 min. E.; distant feet, 850.6. 3800 painted on rock.

Station 18; true course, S. 39 deg. 22 min. E.; distant feet, 584.5. Post in st. mound on E. bank of dry wash 100' wide opposite Falls. (At a pt. S. 39 deg. 22 min. E. 478 ft. distant from Sta. 18, enter City property.)

Station 19; true course, N. 86 deg. 48 min. E.; distant feet, 977.2. White paint on cliff.

Station 20; true course, S. 63 deg. 19 min. E.; distant feet, 282.2. 3800 painted on vertical face of cliff.

Station 21; true course, S. 82 deg. 08 min. E.; distant feet, 455.5. 3800 painted on rock.

Station 22; true course, S. 86 deg. 00 min. E.; distant feet, 466.7. Face of vertical rock on pt.

Station 23; true course, S. 51 deg. 27 min. E.; distant feet, 1211.7. Post white oak 9 in. diam. bears N. 42 deg. W. 13 ft. scribed 23 B. T. (At a pt. S. 51 deg. 27 min. E. 148 ft. distant from Sta. 23, intersect the line bet. secs. 10 and 11, S. 4 deg. 23 min. E. 1661 ft. distant from the cor. com. to secs. 2, 3, 10 and 11, T. 1 N., R. 20 E.)

Station 24; true course, S. 48 deg. 06 min. E.; distant feet, 1490.9. Cir. vert. cliff.

Station 25; true course, S. 82 deg. 34 min. E.; distant feet, 1669.6. Pt. of vert. cliff.

Station 26; true course; N. 89 deg. 09 min. E.; distant feet, 1108.5. Pt. on cliff.

Station 27; true course, S. 78 deg. 41 min. E.; distant feet, 505.3. Pt. on cliff vert. face.

Station 28; true course, N. 89 deg. 33 min. E. (At a pt. N. 89 deg. 33 min. E. 305 ft. distant from Sta. 28 intersect line bet. secs. 11 and 12, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., S. 6 deg. 23 min. W. 3655 ft. from the cor. com. to secs. 1, 2, 11 and 12, and leave City property.)

(Note: For convenience in the descriptions the courses from Station 1 to Station 6 are considered as forming part of the north boundary, although actually extending in a general northwesterly direction.)

The portion of the south boundary of said Reservoir Site extending through Sections 11, 10, 15 and 16, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, is described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the line between Sections 14 and 11, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, distant South 89 deg. 50 min. East 1655 feet from the corner common to Sections 10, 11, 14 and 15; thence by the following true courses and distances along the reservoir traverse as described in said field notes:

Station ——; true course, N. 67 deg. 07 min. W.; distant feet, 313.7. + cut on edge of cliff 15 ft. E. of white rectangle.

Station 126; true course, N. 49 deg. 12 min. W.; distant feet, 275.1. Hub.

Station 127; true course, N. 44 deg. 02 min. W.; distant feet, 825.4. Hub, 10 ft. E. of white granite slide 50 ft. S. of S. F. property sign.

Station 128; true course, N. 55 deg. 11 min. W.; distant feet, 1185.5. Hub 15 ft. E. of white marks. (At a pt. N. 55 deg. 11 min. W. 730 ft. distant from Sta. 128, enter City property.)

At a pt. N. 55 deg. 11 min. W. 845 ft. distant from Sta. 128 intersect line bet. Secs. 10 and 11, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., N. 4 deg. 23 min. W. 1362 ft. from cor. com. to Secs. 10, 11, 14 and 15 and leave City property.)

Station 129; true course, N. 70 deg. 32 min. W.; distant feet, 642.1. 60 deg. slope inaccessible.

Station 130; true course, S. 44 deg. 29 min. W.; distant feet, 787.6. + cut on rock between white mark and figure 3800. (At a pt. S. 44 deg. 29 min. W. 640 ft. distant from Sta. 130 enter City property.)

(At a pt. S. 44 deg. 29 min. W. 680 ft. distant from Sta. 130 leave City property.)

Station 131; true course, S. 57 deg. 21 min. W.; distant feet, 886.9. Vertical cliff inaccessible.

Station 132; true course, S. 69 deg. 59 min. W.; distant feet, 896.6. Vertical cliff inaccessible.

Station 133; true course, S. 58 deg. 07 min. W.; distant feet, 442.2. + cut on rock.

Station 134; true course, S. 87 deg. 47 min. W.; distant feet, 904.4. + cut on rock.

Station 135; true course, S. 16 deg. 48 min. W.; distant feet, 589.2. + cut on rock. (At a pt. S. 16 deg. 48 min. W. 220 ft. dist. from Sta. 135, intersect line bet. Secs. 10 and 15, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., S. 89 deg. 10 min. W. 4470 ft. from cor. com. to Secs. 10, 11, 14 and 15.)

Station 136; true course, S. 59 deg. 41 min. W.; distant feet, 662.3. + cut on rock.

Station 137; true course, S. 68 deg. 16 min. W.; distant feet, 1620.0. + cut on rock. (At a pt. S. 68 deg. 16 min. W. 130 ft. dist. from Sta. 137 intersect line bet. Secs. 15 and 16, T. 1 N., R. 20 E., N. 9 deg. 10 min. W. 4480 ft. from cor. com. to Secs. 15, 16, 21 and 22. (At a pt. S. 68 deg. 16 min. W. 1540 ft. dist. from Sta. 137 enter City property.)

Station 138; true course, N. 60 deg. 17 min. W.; distant feet, 598.5. + cut on rock.

Station 139; true course, S. 15 deg. 45 min. W.; distant feet, 247.9. + cut on rock at edge of precipice.

Station 140; true course, S. 85 deg. 37 min. W.; distant feet, 113.7. + cut on rock.

Station 141; true course, S. 46 deg. 31 min. W.; distant feet, 295.1. + cut in rock at brow of gap 25 ft N. E. of live oak. (At a pt. S. 46 deg. 31 min. W. 210 ft. dist. from Sta. 141 leave City property.)

Station 142; true course, S. 76 deg. 41 min. W.; distant feet, 487.8. + cut in rock. Live oak B. T. 12 ft. S. W.

Station 143; true course, N. 66 deg. 43 min. W.; distant feet, 234.0. + cut in rock. Live oak B. T. 16 S. E.

Station 144; true course, N. 79 deg. 05 min. W.; distant feet, 116.2. + cut in rock. Live oak B. T. 10 S. E.

Station 145; true course, S. 81 deg. 43 min. W.; distant feet, 156.6. + cut in rock. Live oak B. T. S. W. 13.

Station 146; true course, S. 79 deg. 56 min. W.; distant feet, 151.2. + cut in granite rock in place from which a 12 in. live oak bears N. 71 deg. E. 11 ft.

Station 147; true course, S. 67 deg. 38 min. W.; distant feet, 147.9. + cut in granite rock in place from which a 10 in. live oak bears S. 59 W. 10 ft.

Station 148; true course, S. 52 deg. 16 min. W.; distant feet, 175.4. + cut in granite rock in place from which a 6 in. white oak bears N. 81 deg. 30 min. W. 15 ft. to Station 1 hereinabove described.

Said boundary of Hetch Hetchy Dam Site is described in said field notes as follows:

Beginning again at a point corner (1) of Dam Site N. 30 deg. 25 min. 10 sec. E., 3638.91 feet distant from the witness corner to the corner common to Sections 16, 17, 20 and 21, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, M. D. B. and M., thence by true courses and distances as follows:

Corner, (1); course, N. 37 deg. 38 min. W.; distance, 1800 feet.

Corner, (2); course, N. 52 deg. 22 min. E.; distance, 500 feet.

Corner, (3); course, S. 37 deg. 38 min. E.; distance, 1800 feet.

Corner, (4); course, S. 52 deg. 22 min. W.; distance, 500 feet to Corner (1), the place of beginning.

The line connecting said corners (3) and (4) is the northeasterly boundary of said Dam Site.

Group III. Lands Adjacent to Lake Eleanor Reservoir Site.

(a) All that portion of the south half of the northeast quarter of Section 34, Township 2 North, Range 19 East, M. D. B. and M., lying north of the line described as follows:

Beginning at a point which bears North 89 deg. 27 min. East 1012.9 feet from Yosemite National Park Boundary Monument No. 53, said point being Station 25 of the survey of the boundary line of Lake Eleanor Reservoir Site, as said boundary is hereinafter defined; thence along said boundary as follows:

N. 52 deg. 03 min. E. 450.6 feet to Station 26; N. 70 deg 58 min. E. 355.8 feet to Station 27; N. 65 deg. 33 min. E. 398.0 feet to Station 28; N. 50 deg. 27 min. E. 105.7 feet to Station 29; N. 66 deg. 10 min. E. 3 feet to a point in the west boundary line of said northeast quarter of Section 34; thence continuing along said reservoir site boundary as follows:

N. 66 deg. 10 min. E. 159.5 feet to Station 30; N. 55 deg. 46 min. E. 168.3 feet to Station 31; N. 73 deg. 30 min. E. 155.4 feet to Station 32; N. 73 deg. 21 min. E. 244.4 feet to Station 33; N. 74 deg. 50 min. E. 109.5 feet to Station 34; N. 88 deg. 48 min. E. 203.6 feet to Station 35; N. 81 deg. 01 min. E. 86.7 feet to Station 36; N. 68 deg. 40 min. E. 121.6 feet to Station 37; S. 85 deg. 02 min. E. 183.9 feet to Station 38; N. 82 deg. 31 min. E. 91.4 feet to Station 39; N. 69 deg. 43 min. E. 281.6 feet to Station 40; N. 73 deg. 32 min. E. 134.7 feet to Station 41; N. 72 deg. 42 min. E. 100 feet to a point in the north boundary line

of said south half of the northeast quarter of Section 34; thence continuing along said reservoir site boundary as follows:

N. 72 deg. 42 min. E. 65.5 feet to Station 42; N. 72 deg. 44 min. E. 211.9 feet to Station 43; N. 82 deg. 44 min. E. 103.4 feet to Station 44; N. 50 deg. 31 min. E. 143.3 feet to Station 45; S. 83 deg. 28 min. E. 115.4 feet to Station 46; S. 88 deg. 15 min. E. 90 feet to a point in the east line of said Section 34, distant along said section line S. 1 deg. 00 min. W. 1212 feet from the corner common to Sections 26, 27, 34 and 35, Township 2 North, Range 19 East.

(b) All that portion of Section 36, Township 2 North, Range 19 East, M. D. B. and M., lying east of the line described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the north line of said Section 36, distant along said section line South 89 deg. 48 min. west 445 feet from the corner to Sections 25 and 36, Township 2 North, Range 19 East, and Sections 30 and 31, Township 2 North, Range 20 East, said point of beginning being also a point in the boundary line of Lake Eleanor Reservoir Site, as said boundary line is hereinafter defined; thence along said reservoir site boundary as follows:

S. 12 deg. 42 min. 658 feet to Station 74 of the survey of said reservoir site boundary:

S. 67 deg. 55 min. W. 1338.0 feet to Station 75; S. 36 deg. 18 min. W. 1496.4 feet to Station 76; S. 53 deg. 23 min. W. 706.9 feet to Station 77; S. 20 deg. 39 min. E. 516.2 feet to Station 78; S. 5 deg. 34 min. E. 422.8 feet to Station 79; S. 24 deg. 30 min. E. 579.9 feet to Station 80; S. 39 deg. 44 min. W. 646.4 feet to Station 81; S. 35 deg. 10 min. W. 445.8 feet to Station 82; S. 52 deg. 20 min. W. 335 feet to a point in the south line of said Section 36, distant along said section line N. 89 deg. 20 min. E. 1880 feet from the corner common to Sections 35 and 36, Township 2 North, Range 19 East, and Sections 1 and 2, Township 1 North, Range 19 East.

Said boundary line of Lake Eleanor Reservoir Site is as shown on a map and described in field notes accompanying the application (Sacramento Serial Number 08108) of the City and County of San Francisco for the Lake Eleanor Reservoir Site, filed at the United States Land Office at Sacramento, California, March 9, 1915, and approved by the Secretary of the Interior December 27, 1915, all as provided for in said Act of Congress.

Group IV. Lands in and Adjacent to Tiltill Valley.

The Southwest ¼ of the Northeast ¼ and the South ½ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 5, and the Southeast ¼ of the Northeast ¼ of Section 6, Township 1 North, Range 21 East, M. D. B. and M.

Said lands herein conveyed were acquired by the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Groups I and IV from Elmer E. Smith et ux. by deed dated July 20, 1909, and recorded February 27, 1911, in Book A, Volume 70 of Deeds, page 543, Tuolumne County Records.

Items (a), (b), (f), (g), (h), (i) and (j) of Group II, from Elmer E. Smith et ux. by deed dated December 10, 1908, and recorded July 30, 1909, in Volume 67 of Deeds, page 474, Tuolumne County Records.

Items (c), (d) and (e) of Group II, from Lizzie B. Covel and James M. Covel by deed dated July 22, 1909, and recorded July 30, 1909, in Book A of Deeds, Volume 67, page 475, Tuolumne County Records, and from Lizzie B. Covel by deed dated July 22, 1909, and recorded July 30, 1909, in Book A of Deeds, Volume 67, page 477, Tuolumne County Records.

Group III, from Tuolumne Water Supply Company by deed dated April 9, 1910, and recorded April 15, 1910, in Book A of Deeds, Volume 69, page 374, Tuolumne County Records. And be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make, execute and deliver a good and sufficient deed con-

veying all and singular the above described property, free and clear of all encumbrances, to the United States of America.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Approving Map of Hetch Hetchy Trails for Presentation to the Secretary of the Interior.

Also, Resolution No. 29761 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by the Act of Congress of December 19, 1913, commonly known as the Raker Act, the United States ceded and granted to the City and County of San Francisco certain rights and privileges in the Yosemite National Park; and

Whereas, the people of the City and County of San Francisco did, through its Board of Supervisors, by ordinance duly adopted on the 12th day of January, 1914, and approved by its Mayor on the 13th day of January, 1914, accept the said grant and all the terms and conditions therein contained, which said ordinance has never been revoked, annulled, amended or set aside, and now remains in full force and effect as a valid ordinance and enactment of the people of said City and County; and

Whereas, it was provided in said act that in consideration of the granting of said rights and privileges the said City and County shall construct, on the north side of the Hetch Hetchy reservoir site, a scenic road or trail, as the Secretary of the Interior may determine, above and along the lake to such point as may be designated by the said Secretary, and also leading from said scenic road or trail a trail to the Tiltill Valley and Lake Vernon; and

Whereas, said act further provides that all trail and road building by the City and County of San Francisco, in the Yosemite National Park, shall be done subject to the direction and approval of the Secretary of the Interior; and

Whereas, the Secretary of the Interior, in written notice addressed to the City and County of San Francisco, dated July 7, 1927, has determined that in fulfillment of the above mentioned requirement for a scenic road or trail, the City and County shall construct a wide and serviceable trail along the north side of Hetch Hetchy reservoir for the full length thereof, and has requested that said trail and the trail leading therefrom to Tiltill Valley and Lake Vernon be now constructed; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the hereinafter described locations for said trails be and the same are hereby approved by the City and County of San Francisco as the locations which shall be submitted to the Secretary of the Interior for his approval, and that on approval thereof by said Secretary said locations shall be considered as adopted by the City and County for the construction of said trails, without further action on the part of this Board.

The Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to apply to the Honorable Secretary of the Interior and any other department of the government on behalf of the City and County, for approval of said locations of said trails and for permission to construct and complete said trails on said locations, in order that the City and County may proceed properly to fulfill its obligation under the Raker Act in regard to said trails.

The Mayor is further authorized and directed to make, on behalf of the City and County, any other application which may be necessary for the successful completion of said trails, and also to enter into any stipulations or agreements on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco which may be required by the Department of the Interior of the United States government, in the matter of obtaining permits or favorable action from said department on any of the City's applica-

tions so as to complete said trails satisfactorily and without avoidable delay.

The City Engineer is authorized and directed to prepare all the necessary surveys, maps and field notes for said application or for any other application which it may be necessary to make for the successful completion of said trails.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to appear before the Secretary of the Interior or any other department of the government for and on behalf of the City in the matter of this application, or any other proceeding which may be necessary for the successful completion of said trails.

Following are description of the locations of said trails:

1. Trail along the north side of Hetch Hetchy reservoir:

Beginning at a point near the north end of the O'Shaughnessy Dam in Section 16, Township 1 North, Range 20 East, M. D. B. & M.; thence by true courses and distances approximately 12 miles to a point near the east end of the Hetch Hetchy reservoir near the east line of Section 28, Township 1 North, Range 21 East.

2. Trail from the north side of Hetch Hetchy reservoir to Tiltill Valley and Lake Vernon:

Beginning at a point on the trail line above described in Section 7, Township 1 North, Range 21 East, thence by true courses and distances approximately 8.16 miles to a point near the outlet of Lake Vernon in Section 24, Township 2 North, Range 20 East.

The above described locations are situated in Tuolumne County, California, within the boundaries of the Yosemite National Park.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Motor Bus Service, Marina.

Also, Bill No. 8732, Ordinance No. 8231 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service in the Marina District as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to establish, maintain and operate a motor bus service suitable for the transportation of passengers in the Marina District, as an auxiliary to the Municipal Railway System, over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Scott and Chestnut streets, thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street, thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard, thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street, thence southerly on Scott street to the place of beginning.

Section 2. After 9:30 p. m. the motor bus service authorized in this ordinance shall be maintained and operated over the following described route:

Beginning at or near the intersection of Avila and Scott streets, thence westerly on Chestnut street to Divisadero street, thence northerly on Divisadero street to Marina boulevard, thence easterly on Marina boulevard to Scott street, thence southerly on Scott street to Alhambra street, thence easterly on Alhambra street to Avila street, thence southerly on Avila street to the place of beginning.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 8075 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Revoking Old Route and Authorizing New Route to Market Street Railway Company to Operate Bus Over Mission, Silver, etc.

Also, Resolution No. 29762 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permission granted to the Market Street Railway Company, by Resolution No. 25420 (New Series), finally adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 29, 1926, and duly approved by the Mayor on April 2, 1926, to maintain and operate automobile buses over and along the streets mentioned in said resolution, be and the same is hereby revoked, and that said Market Street Railway Company be and it is hereby granted permission to maintain and operate said automobile buses over and along the following described route:

Commencing at Mission street and Silver avenue, thence along Silver avenue to Edinburgh street, thence along Edinburgh street to Excelsior avenue, thence along Excelsior avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to Persia avenue, thence along Persia avenue to Mission street, thence along Mission street to Brazil avenue, thence along Brazil avenue to Moscow street, thence along Moscow street to Persia avenue, thence along Persia avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to Excelsior avenue, thence along Excelsior avenue to Mission street, thence along Mission street to Silver avenue and point of commencement.

Commencing at Mission street and Persia avenue, thence along Persia avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to and across Geneva avenue to Cordova street, thence along Cordova street to Baltimore way, thence along Baltimore way to South Hill boulevard, thence along South Hill boulevard to Geneva avenue, thence along Geneva avenue to Naples street, thence along Naples street to Russia avenue, thence along Russia avenue to Mission street, thence along Mission street to Persia avenue and point of commencement.

That said permission herein granted shall be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors and shall be subject to all of the terms and conditions contained in said Resolution No. 25420 (New Series), and said automobile buses shall be operated and transfers to and from the same shall be issued in the manner set forth in said resolution.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Referred.

The following matter was, on motion, *referred to Streets Committee*:

Width of Sidewalks on Cunningham Place.

Bill No. 8698, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-one, to read as follows:

Section 1051. The width of sidewalks on Cunningham place, the northerly side of, between Valencia street and its westerly termination, shall be two (2) feet six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Cunningham place, the southerly side of, between Valencia street and its westerly termination, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $81,322.51, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing:*

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium—Appropriation 56.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, for maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium during September (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) $3,744.52

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction—Bond Issue 1925.

(2) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oils for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928)..... $507.61
(3) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 522.00
(4) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match employees' contributions to Retirement Fund (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 3,271.04
(5) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) 1,600.61
(6) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat and fish (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 932.42
(7) Joseph C. Brichetto, payment for land in San Joaquin County, required in connection with Coast Range Division of Hetch Hetchy water supply project (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928), approved by Resolution No. 29603 (New Series). 2,000.00
(8) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 724.40
(9) Horton Lime Co., lime (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928)..... 800.00
(10) Hickok & Hickok, drill steel (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 3,523.49
(11) O. G. Peterson, explosives (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928). 856.41
(12) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928).... 1,228.09
(13) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 3,008.49
(14) United States Steel Products Co., armored cable (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) 7,521.39
(15) United States Rubber Co., rubber boots, etc. (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928)..... 612.64
(16) Western Meat Co., meat (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928).... 621.39
(17) Crucible Steel Co. of America, drill steel (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 946.01
(18) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, self-dumping rock buckets (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 2,462.50
(19) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 1,422.01

(20) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 633.79
(21) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 611.16
(22) Payne's Bolt Works, bolts, nuts, etc. (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 533.10
(23) Pacific Coast Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 722.40
(24) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 4,547.32
(25) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 29, 1928) 9,090.00
(26) Soule Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 2,994.17
(27) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 1,422.40
(28) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,021.84
(29) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, rock buckets (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 890.00
(30) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 523.77
(31) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,541.66

Municipal Railway Fund.

(32) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes, Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) $2,160.44
(33) Frank F. Bodler, fare boxes (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 975.00
(34) Lambert Sales Corporation, bus tires (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 678.10

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(35) Walter R. Eastman and Ruth Eastman, his wife, full settlement for account of damages sustained by Ruth Eastman Sept. 15, 1927, while a passenger on car of Municipal Railways (claim dtaed Oct. 1, 1928) $7,512.00
(36) Frank Shannon, full settlement of claim for account of damages sustained arising out of accident on or about April 24, 1928, when struck by car of Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 1, 1928) 1,000.00
(37) Elizabeth Bennett, full settlement of claim for damages sustained in accident of May 3, 1927 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 750.00
(38) Medora E. LaHaye, full settlement of claim for personal injuries and damages sustained or suffered by Thomas C. LaHaye, her husband, in that certain accident occurring Nov. 25, 1926, at Market street, near Church street (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 1,000.00

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(39) Eaton & Smith, sixth payment, construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 155 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) $14,325.00
(40) Robert W. Jamison, fifth payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 160 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 1,575.00

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(41) Foucar, Ray & Simon, sheet steel for Early Intake flume (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) $573.85
(42) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, sheet iron for Early Intake flume (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 954.34

Park Fund.

(43) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated Oct. 5, 1928) $802.70
(43a) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Park employees (claim dated Oct. 5, 1928) 605.39

Special School Tax.

(44) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) $833.03
(45) Amoroso & Fillipis, third payment, general construction of addition to Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 10,940.63
(46) R. Flatland, second payment, electrical work, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 2,746.91
(47) A. Lettich, third payment, mechanical equipment, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) . . . 1,474.24
(48) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 629.59
(49) Meyer Brothers, first payment, general construction of addition to Daniel Webster School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 6,372.33
(50) Sorenson & Haggmark, first payment, general construction of addition to John Swett School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,419.35
(51) Meyer Bros., third payment, general construction of additions to Jefferson School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) . . . 12,286.99
(52) Scott Company, second payment, mechanical equipment for Jefferson School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 1,210.14
(53) P. J. Enright, second payment, mechanical equipment for Golden Gate School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 2,429.81
(54) Alta Electric Co., sixth payment, electrical work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) . . . 2,733.19
(55) Thos. Skelly, sixth payment, plumbing for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 1,575.38
(56) Carl F. Ernst, first payment, plumbing for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 778.47
(57) Hamilton Construction Co., second payment, general construction of Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 11,887.50
(58) The Eby Machinery Co., machinery for Balboa High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,430.00
(59) Jenison Machinery Co., engine lathes for Balboa High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 3,645.00
(60) The Eby Machinery Co., machinery for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,430.00
(61) E. P. Finigan, gymnasium equipment for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,056.65
(62) The Gunn Furniture Co., armchairs for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 1,285.20
(63) Herberts-Moore Machinery Co., engine lathes for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 1,519.20
(64) Jenison Machinery Co., engine lathes for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 771.00

Boulevard Bonds, 1928.

(65) Louis J. Cohn, fifth payment, construction of storm drain, Bay Shore boulevard, crossing Alemany boulevard (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) $16,200.00
(66) Eaton & Smith, fifth payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Section B, Contract 4 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) . 19,350.00
(67) Federal Construction Co., third payment, construction of Bay Shore boulevard, Sec. B, Contract 3 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 25,950.00

(68) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, second payment, construction of Great Highway, Contract 8 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 24,000.00

(69) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, first payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Sec. E, Contract 5 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 5,250.00

(70) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., fifth payment, constructions of Esplanade, Sec. E, Contract 1 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 45,450.00

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(71) Butte Electric & Mfg. Co., second payment, flood lighting of City Hall (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928)..... $2,481.37

(72) Clarence B. Eaton, final payment, construction of Fillmore street main sewer, Sec. A, Contract 1 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 1,373.29

(73) Hugh McGill, third payment, construction of Guttenberg sewer extension (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928)..... 1,300.00

(74) Frank J. McHugh, final payment, construction of sewer and appurtenances in Wawona street, from Twenty-fifth to Thirty-fourth avenues (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928)..... 10,981.51

(75) Edward F. Dowd, first and final payment, electrical fixtures for chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) 770.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(76) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Charter amendments (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928)..... 879.00

(77) California State Automobile Association, traffic signals (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928)..... 570.40

(78) California State Automobile Association, traffic signals (claim dated Aug. 13, 1928)..... 1,064.60

(79) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928)..... 586.67

(80) Stockton State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928)..... 508.00

(81) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) 1,500.00

(82) Philip H. Sapiro, services of Municipal Band (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928)..... 2,140.30

(83) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) 4,324.57

(84) Doherty Bros., one Ford coupe for Board of Health (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928)..... 618.50

(85) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for hospitals (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928)..... 1,675.96

(86) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928)..... 632.50

(87) Raymond C. Ashworth, professional services and expenses, Market Street Railway valuation (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 900.00

(88) Stephenson Construction Co., construction of Hangar No. 2, San Francisco Municipal Airport, Contract 9 (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928)..... 10,000.00

(89) Dieterich-Post Co., blueprint paper, etc., (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928)..... 624.66

(90) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for sewers (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928)..... 888.88

(91) Thos. H. Brady, bricklayer, Dept. Public Works, compensation insurance, seventh payment, March 12 to September 30 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928)..... 604.07

(92) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928)..... 805.00

(93) The Texas Co., fuel oil for Civic Center powerhouse (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 922.91

(94) The Eisele & Dondero Marble Co., labor and material for repair of marble work in City Hall (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 1,152.00

(95) John H. Blakeway, 200 dirt cans for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 1,120.00

(96) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 567.50

(97) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Civic Center powerhouse (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 1,121.02

(98) J. H. Zemansky, postage for sample ballots (additional) (claim dated Oct. 4, 1928) 11,500.00

(99) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Calendar, Journal and Journal Slips (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) 819.88

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Payment of Claims.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

(1) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, for services of shovel and crew in the improvement of Potrero avenue, from Sixteenth to Army streets (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) $1,087.50

(2) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Oct. 1, 1928) 1,141.55

(3) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 2,198.08

(4) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 3,887.34

(5) The Texas Company, fuel oil for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 614.80

(6) C. C. W. and H. H. Haun, third payment, for the improvement of Laguna Honda boulevard (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 5,550.00

(7) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, services of shovel and crew in the improvement of Potrero avenue from Sixteenth to Army streets (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,087.50

(8) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing during August (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,797.73

(9) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 718.59

(10) California Rock Co., binder rock, etc., for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,427.98

(11) Coast Rock and Gravel Co., sand for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept 24, 1928) 2,907.17

(12) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,799.44

(13) San Francisco Gravel Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 752.08

Purchase of Land for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned funds and authorized in payment to the following named persons, being payments for properties required for the designated purposes, to-wit:

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928.

(1) To Eva O'Gara, for release of damage to Lot 24, in Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved

by Resolution No. 29703 (New Series), for account of Alemany boulevard (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928)..... $1,112.20

(2) To James A. Perry and Veda C. Perry, for Lot 9, in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29706 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928)..... 7,500.00

Bernal Cut Bonds, 1927.

(3) To Andrew C. Lawson, for Lots 1 and 2, in Block 6754, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29705 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928)..... 1,750.00

Purchase of Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for land required for school purposes, to-wit:

To Owen McHugh, $10,366.66—For land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 187 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue 38 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 38 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to point of commencement; and for land commencing on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 187 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 38 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 38 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to point of commencement; and for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street, thence running northerly along the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement. (Claim dated Oct. 8, 1928.)

To Peter McHugh, $5,133.34—For land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement. (Claim dated Oct. 8, 1928.)

Acceptance of offers by Resolutions Nos. 29713 and 29712 (New Series).

Appropriation of $28,000 for Payment to Roman Catholic Archbishop for Land for Playgrounds.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $28,000 be and the same is hereby set aside out of Playground Fund and authorized in payment to the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, being payment for Lot 54, in Block 5842, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and for Lots 1 to 14, inclusive, in Block 5842, as shown on map entitled "Map of St. Mary's Park," as filed in Map Book "J," pages 47 to 54, and Lots 3 to 12, inclusive, in Block 5831, as shown on map entitled "Map of St. Mary's Park," as filed in Map Book "J," pages 47 to 54, as per the records of the City and County of San Francisco, and being property reuired for playground purposes. (Claim dated Sept. 27, 1928.)

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Miscellaneous Street Reconstruction.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the cost of grading and paving Santiago street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues (Fay Improvement Co. award), including engineering, inspection and extras $13,500.00

(2) For the improvement of the City's frontage on the northerly line of Bay street between Baker and Lyon streets, the reconstruction of old Bay street at Baker street, due to closing of Bay street, and construction of crossing of Bay street with Baker street, due to opening of proposed Bay street 3,300.00

(3) For the improvement of the Presidio frontage on the west line of Lyon street between Bay street and the northerly line of Bay street proposed, and the intersection of Bay street proposed with Lyon street, and the necessary reconstruction of the old Bay street with Lyon street, due to the closing of old Bay street..... 2,700.00

(4) For the improvement of the City's frontage on the westerly side of Thirtieth avenue from Geary street to the southerly line of Anza street..... 4,930.00

(5) For the improvement of Lower Terrace between Saturn and Levant streets, City's contribution..... 1,500.00

(6) For expense of making preliminary survey and incidental expense in connection with the extension of Junipero Serra boulevard southerly into San Mateo County, under the direction of Joint Highway District No. 10..... 4,250.00

(7) For expense of continuation of engineering survey and incidental expense in connection with proposed highway, under the direction of Joint Highway District No. 9, composed of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz counties; San Francisco's portion..... 2,750.00

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Street Reconstruction.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets; being additional to amounts heretofore appropriated, to-wit:

Francisco street, Powell to Taylor streets.....	$1,971.79
Haight street, Scott to Baker streets.....	4,586.94
Jackson street, Hyde to Larkin streets.....	1,317.76
Laguna street, from Bay street northerly.....	1,164.17
Mission street, from Fourteenth to Army streets.....	8,965.14
Motgomery street, Post street to Columbus avenue.....	3,034.01
Pine street, Leavenworth to Taylor streets.....	7,603.38
Presidio avenue, Geary to California streets.....	2,131.54
Washington street, Leavenworth to Hyde streets.....	595.50
Webster street, Sutter to Pine streets.....	252.43

Appropriation of $3,900 for Reconstruction of Street Signs.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,900 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Signs," Budget Item No. 70, for the cost of painting, maintenance and reconstruction of street signs to adapt them to the tops of the traffic signals in course of erection in the Down Town District.

Appropriation of $11,000 for Relocation and Alteration of Water Supply and Hydrant Connections Along Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $11,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Fund to defray cost of relocating and making necessary alterations in connection with the water supply and hydrant connections along the Bay Shore boulevard, Section "A".

Transfer of Duplicate Tax Funds to General Fund.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there exists to the credit of the "Duplicate Tax Fund" in the hands of the Treasurer of the City and County the sum of $58,-416.19, as of date September 26, 1928; and

Whereas, claims to the amount of $30,000 and upwards against said fund are now barred by the Statute of Limitations, and the remaining balance of approximately $28,000 is sufficient to satisfy any claims or demands which hereafter may be made against said fund; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and the Treasurer of the City and County be and they are hereby respectively authorized and directed to transfer from said "Duplicate Tax Fund" the sum of $30,000 to the General Fund for the fiscal year 1928-1929.

Transfer of Deficiency Fund of 1906-1907 to General Fund, 1928-1929.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is now in the hands of the Treasurer of the City and County the sum of $35,840, heretofore paid by the trustee in bankruptcy of the Aetna Insurance Company in the matter of certain City and County fund shortages occurring the fiscal year 1906-1907, which said sum has been held in a special trust fund; and

Whereas, any claims against said fund are now barred by the Statute of Limitations; and

Whereas, it is desirable that said special fund be terminated; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and the Treasurer of the City and County be and they are hereby respectively authorized and directed to transfer the said balance of $35,840 from the 1906-1907 Deficiency Fund to the General Fund of the fiscal year 1928-1929.

Auto Supply Station, C. M. Childers, Lombard and Gough Streets.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. M. Childers be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Gough and Lombard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr —12.

Noes—Supervisors Kent, Schmidt—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Todd, Toner—4.

Auto Supply Station, Italo Petroleum Corporation, Third and Shafter Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation of America be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Super-

visors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Third street and Shafter avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Transfer, Wm. A. Niemann, 730 Ellis Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. A. Niemann be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted by Resolution No. 21719 (New Series) for premises at 730-742 Ellis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Transfer, F. A. Gocher, 2169 Filbert Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. A. Gocher be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 2169 Filbert street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Oil Tank Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

J. Herscher, 1916 Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. E. Schmitt, 308 Sea Cliff, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Wagner, southwest corner of Spruce and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sara A. Farrar, north side of Twenty-first street, 246 feet east of Dolores street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Moore, north side of Chestnut street, 120 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Christian, east side of Broderick street, 200 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. D. Grandeman, south side of Lincoln way, 90 feet west of Forty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

General Engineering and Dry Dock Company, 1001 Battery street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alex Olsson, west side of Cole street, 105 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. J. Nelson, northwest corner of Chestnut and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. G. Koenig, 2575 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. H. H. Rose, 2506 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

David S. Mendel, east side of Hyde street, 125 feet south of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, 1250 Monterey boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Underground Ordinance, Sacramento Street, from Taylor Street to Van Ness Avenue.

On recommendation of Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity Committee.

Bill No. 8734, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section, to be known as Section 1 w.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 w—An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after February 1, 1929, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 29, Sacramento street, from the east side of Taylor street to the east side of Van Ness avenue, approximately 2859 feet.

Underground Ordinance, Mission Street, Twenty-ninth Street to Santa Marina Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8735, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section, to be known as Section 1 x.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 x. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after February 1, 1929, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 30, Mission street from the south side of Twenty-ninth street to the north side of Eugenia avenue, approximately 670 feet; and from Eugenia avenue to Santa Marina avenue, approximately 690 feet.

Establishing Set-back Lines.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee:

Bill No. 8736, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6779 (New Series), approved October 1, 1928, entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Presidio avenue, Funston avenue, San Miguel street and Seventeenth avenue."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6779 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines on San Miguel street between Mt. Vernon avenue and Niagara avenue is hereby amended to read as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of San Miguel street, commencing at Mt. Vernon avenue and running thence northeasterly 320 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the southeasterly side of San Miguel street, commencing at a point 85 feet northeasterly from Mt. Vernon avenue and running thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12½ feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northeasterly 240 feet, said set-back line to be 17½ feet.

Adopted.

The following matters were *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Line No. 183.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 29763 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back line be established along the street hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back line as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922; as follows:

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Kirk-

ham street and running thence northerly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 5th day of November, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back line may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back line as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Accepting Offer of Land for Opening of Bernal Cut.

On recommendation of the Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29764 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Heights boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Solomon G. Camp, $1—Portions of Lots 12, 17 and 18, Block 5633, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

As a further consideration for the granting of this property it is understood and agreed that the City and County of San Francisco is to pay all the costs of street work along Bradford street in front of the above-described property, said street work to include the necessary sewers, extensions of said sewers to the new curb, curbs and pavement.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Easement for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29765 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer made by the following named corporation to grant to the City and County of San Francisco an easement over and through the following described land, required for the widening of Rodeo avenue in connection with the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted:

Southern Pacific Company, $350—Portions of Lots 1 and 2, in Block 45, as per map of the lands of the Paul Tract Homestead Association, filed in Map Book "C and D," pages 48 and 49, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

It is understood and agreed that said highway shall be so constructed and maintained so as not to interfere in any way with the installation, maintenance and use of any tunnels of said corporation.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said easement over and through the above-described land, and the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute the documents necessary in connection with the above transaction, and the City Attorney is likewise authorized and directed to record said deed, together with a copy

of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29766 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, $5,200—All of Lots 4, 5, 6, 7 and 15 of Block 2505-A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Charles J. U. Koenig, $1,350—All of Lots 8 and 9 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. A. Cunningham, $3,200—All of Lots 12, 13 and 14 of Block 2505-A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Alice Seibel, $6,800—All of Lot 9 of Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the improvements on this lot are to remain the property of Alice Seibel, and are to remain on the land subject to a 30-day notice of removal on or before December 15, 1928.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Accepting Offer of Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29767 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Josie Zeutner, $250—Lot 1, Block 5374, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Arthur F. Campana and Katherine Campana, $390—Portion of Assessor's Lot No. 3, Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Mary Nyhan, $4,850—Portion of Lot No. 4, Block 4991, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Accepting Offer of Land for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29768 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Walter R. Helliker and Florence Helliker, $4,750—Lot 31, as per map of Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, filed July 27, 1892, in Book "E and F" of Maps, page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, on behalf of the City and County, a deed conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolution No. 28698 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Purchaser to Purchase Fire Hose, Additional to Contract, from Pioneer Rubber Mills.

Also, Resolution No. 29769 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies be and hereby is authorized and directed, pursuant to petition filed by the Board of Fire Commissioners, to purchase 5000 feet of 2¾-inch fire hose from Pioneer Rubber Mills at $0.646 per foot, said purchase being additional to the contract heretofore awarded to said Pioneer Rubber Mills on Proposal No. 414 by Resolution No. 29721 (New Series), adopted October 1, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Cancellation of Assessments of Property.

Also, Resolution No. 29770 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following described property has been acquired by the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California and the Auditor has recommended that assessments for 1928 be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel, as provided in Section 3804A of the Political Code, the following assessments:

Vol. 3, Block 417-B, Lot 9; C. H. and M. C. Beck.
Vol. 3, Block 463-A, Lot 32; F. D. and J. Fragali.
Vol. 9, Block 1407, Lot 27; M. L. and R. D. Colton.
Vol. 10, Block 1475, Lot 9-A; City Title Insurance Co.
Vol. 10, Block 1475, Lots 10 and 10-A; A. E. Behan et al.
Vol. 10, Block 1501, Lot 15; Heyman Bros.
Vol. 11, Block 1582, Lot 1-A; S. D. and R. V. Hawkes.
Vol. 11, Block 1603, Lot 39; E. G. and G. V. Scott.
Vol. 11, Block 1608, Lot 12; R. E. and E. G. Love.
Vol. 12, Block 1622, Lot 25; P. H. Lutzen.
Vol. 12, Block 1673, Lot 40; Chas. and Clara Freis.
Vol. 12, Block 1684, Lot 2-D; R. B. and R. V. Binus.
Vol. 14, Block 1788, Lot 22; J. H. and J. Conroy.
Vol. 14, Block 1808, Lot 46; H. W. and H. M. Fink.
Vol. 14, Block 1810, Lot 17; Thos. A. Douglass.
Vol. 14, Block 1874, Lot 4; J. E. and E. McCarthy.
Vol. 14, Block 1874, Lot 45; P. S. Miller.

Vol. 15, Block 2026, Lot 7; G. H. and M. E. McCarthy.
Vol. 15, Block 2065, Lot 5; G. H. and M. E. McCarthy.
Vol. 17, Block 2328, Lot 8; Martin Smith.
Vol. 17, Block 2330, Lot 5-F; G. M. and M. Kenny.
Vol. 17, Block 2330, Lot 15; Gensler-Lee Investment Co.
Vol. 17, Block 2344-B, Lot 7; J. A. and M. B. Arnott.
Vol. 17, Block 2405, Lot 14; F. E. Serrott.
Vol. 17, Block 2407, Lot 3-G; D. D. and M. E. Coons.
Vol. 17, Block 2415, Lot 14; C. J. Elkington et al.
Vol. 18, Block 2424, Lot 12; Conrad Johnson.
Vol. 18, Block 2473, Lot 15; S. F. and S. F. Cahill.
Vol. 20, Block 2891, Lot 25; M. I. and G. Waters.
Vol. 20, Block 2985, Lot 11; Meyer Bros.
Vol. 21, Block 3086, Lot 4; W. W. and L. Jeffers.
Vol. 21, Block 3113, Lot 13; A. V. and M. Carlos.
Vol. 21, Block 3118, Lot 42; G. and W. Molakidas.
Vol. 28, Block 4334, Lot 8; F. B. and L. M. Koller.
Vol. 35, Block 5715, Lot 10; H. and D. Erickson.
Vol. 35, Block 5870, Lot 30; G. W. and A. M. Godin.
Vol. 35, Block 5891, Lot 4; G. Moeller & Son.
Vol. 35, Block 5891, Lot 34; J. and H. Mager.
Vol. 35, Block 5894, Lot 30; R. V. and A. E. Rush.
Vol. 36, Block 5957, Lot 15; Fred C. Pfeifer.
Vol. 36, Block 6043, Lot 25; H. H. and A. Hansen.
Vol. 36, Block 6073, Lot 8-E; Melissa H. Kaemmerling.
Vol. 36, Block 6092, Lot 8; John and C. Antone.
Vol. 36, Block 6093, Lot 25; A. J. and H. A. Olson.
Vol. 36, Block 6094, Lot 14; S. F. Land & Title Co.
Vol. 36, Block 6094, Lot 14-A; S. F. Land & Title Co.
Vol. 36, Block 6094, Lot 14-F; S. F. Land & Title Co.
Vol. 37, Block 6272, Lot 13-B; D. and E. Maggiora.
Vol. 38, Block 6279, Lot 12; L. Bessio.
Vol. 38, Block 6343, Lot 14-B; H. D. and N. Simmons.
Vol. 38, Block 6438, Lot 5; H. and E. Loretz.
Vol. 38, Block 6463, Lot 52-B; F. G. and H. B. Pfeifer.
Vol. 38, Block 6504, Lot 13; A. L. and M. M. Krenzberger.
Vol. 41, Block 6765, Lot 26; F. G. and H. B. Pfeifer.
Vol. 41, Block 6765, Lot 29; J. F. Sheehan.
Vol. 41, Block 6765, Lot 30; R. L. and T. Higgins.
Vol. 41, Block 6771, Lot 4; Alfred Jacobson (one-half).
Vol. 42, Block 7002, Lot 14; B. F. and N. Johnson.
Vol. 42, Block 7018, Lot 11; Ada T. Hinkle.
Vol. 43, Block 7110-A, Lot 13; Mohrs' Inc.
Vol. 43, Block 7110-B, Lot 30; R. and H. E. Mohr.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Todd, Toner—4.

Also, Resolution No. 29771 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following described property has been acquired by the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California and has been sold to the State for delinquent taxes and the Auditor has recommended that said sales and assessments be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804A of the Political Code, the following sales and assessments:

Taxes, 1927—*Sold June* 25, 1928.

Vol. 13, Block 1708, Lot 24; E. J. and E. M. Rae; Sale No. 670.
Vol. 14, Block 1790, Lot 9-C; M. K. and M. L. Ladd; Sale No. 721.

Vol. 14, Block 1795, north 25 feet of Lot 11; E. E. Hanley et al.; Sale No. 739.
Vol. 14, Block 1798, Lot 33; Pioneer Investment Co.; Sale No. 753.
Vol. 14, Block 1798, Lot 46; T. and F. Klosberg; Sale No. 754.
Vol. 15, Block 1918, Lots 15 and 16; N. P. H. Johnson; Sales Nos. 888-889.
Vol. 15, Block 1922, east 70 feet of Lot 20; J. W. and G. Rogers; Sale No. 911.
Vol. 15, Block 2037A, Lot 24; Albert Fox; Sale No. 985.
Vol. 16, Block 2138, Lot 34; Mary Blagrove; Sale No. 1063.
Vol. 16, Block 2141, Lot 13-B; Owen Clarke; Sale No. 1066.
Vol. 16, Block 2204, Lot 14-A; Florence M. Wobber; Sale No. 1171.
Vol. 17, Block 2328, Lot 19; O. C. Humphrey; Sale No. 1217.
Vol. 17, Block 2330, Lot 5-D; J. A. and A. B. Arnott; Sale No. 1218.
Vol. 17, Block 2391, Lot 15; Parkside Realty Co.; Sale No. 1300.
Vol. 17, Block 2401, Lot 6; W. H. and H. Mundt; Sale No. 1309.
Vol. 18, Block 2421, Lot 6; F. B. and R. Kahn; Sale No. 1337.
Vol. 18, Block 2425, Lot 25; E. and H. Schroeder; Sale No. 1339.
Vol. 18, Block 2427, Lot 6; L. and A. M. Woods; Sale No. 1340.
Vol. 18, Block 2430, Lot 15; G. A. and M. G. Rice; Sale No. 1343.
Vol. 21, Block 3064, Lot 20; Nils E Johnson; Sale No. 1527.
Vol. 21, Block 3123, Lot 23; Henry Steinbach; Sale No. 1564.
Vol. 22, Block 3144-C, Lot 6; I. H. and M. B. Arnott; Sale No. 1571.
Vol. 24, Block 3600, Lot 17; Ella Walsh; Sale No. 1661.
Vol. 27, Block 4158-4201, Lot 7; City Const. Co.; Sale No. 1780.
Vol. 27, Block 4158-4201, Lot 10; City Const. Co.; Sale No. 1781.
Vol. 36, Block 6022, Lot 9; Bernhard Kari; Sale No. 2235.
Vol. 36, Block 6093, Lots 25 and 26; Geo. Biocili; Sale No. 2282.
Vol. 38, Block 6279, Lot 12; Tony Parta; Sale No. 2521.
Vol. 38, Block 6342, Lot 27; Axel R. Larson; Sale No. 2546.
Vol. 38, Block 6402, Lot 18, Thos. A. Cavanaugh; Sale No. 2554.
Vol. 38, Block 6463, Lot 56-E; C. C. and E. Mitchell; Sale No. 2563.
Vol. 38, Block 6467, Lot 12; Lillian J. Stone; Sale No. 2568.
Vol. 38, Block 6468-B, Lot 11; H. J. and L. M. Montague; Sale No. 2569.
Vol. 40, Block 6694, Lot 22; Mary A. Niland; Sale No. 2686.
Vol. 41, Block 6769, Lot 30; J. L. Gales; Sale No. 2750.
Vol. 41, Block 6771, Lot 4; Alfred Jacobson (one-half); Sale No. 2755.
Vol. 41, Block 6780, Lot 32; Patrick Horgan; Sale No. 2763.
Vol. 42, Block 6969, Lots 8 and 8-A; O. L. and N. Erickson; Sale No. 2833.
Vol. 42, Block 6982, Lot 28; Thos. Scanlon; Sale No. 2840.
Vol. 42, Block 6992, Lot 7; Elizabeth F. Gordon; Sale No. 2852.
Vol. 42, Block 6992, Lot 28; C. A. and G. A. Gray; Sale No. 2853.
Vol. 42, Block 7019, Lot 38; G. H. and E. Hall; Sale No. 2875.
Vol. 43, Block 7110-A, Lot 12; R. and H. E. Mohr; Sale No. 2956.
Vol. 43, Block 7110-B, Lot 30; R. and H. E. Mohr; Sale No. 2964.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Todd, Toner—4.

Also, Resolution No. 29772 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property owned by the City and County of San Francisco was inadvertently placed on the Assessment Rolls for the year 1922, and that such assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel the following assessments for the year 1922, in accordance with Section 3804a of the Political Code: Vol. 6, Block 1027, Lot 4. Vol. 9, Block 1520, Lot 7. Vol. 9, Block 1532, Lot 7.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Todd, Toner—4.

Denying A. G. Georgian Auto Parking Station, Ellis Street, East of Taylor Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29773 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied A. G. Georgian to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Ellis street, 87 feet 6 inches east of Taylor street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Denying Sam Ezelle Automobile Parking Station, North Side Turk Street, East of Hyde Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29774 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Sam Ezelle to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Turk street, 140 feet east of Hyde street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Auto Supply Station Permit Denied, Standard Oil Company, San Bruno and Silver Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. 29775 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Standard Oil Company of California to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of San Bruno and Silver avenues.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Branch Postoffice, City Hall.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 29776 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain space situated in the front office of the Registrar, City Hall, being on the easterly side thereof, as heretofore selected by the Postmaster, be and the same is hereby set aside and assigned to the United States Government for the purpose of establishing a Branch Post Office in the City Hall in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Postmaster and the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of this Board.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—10.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd, Toner—6.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee.

Resolution No. 29777 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Filbert street between Sansome and Front streets.
Sansome street, north of Filbert street.
Sherwood street, off Third street.
Sherwood and Hunt streets, west of Third street.
Stockton street between Lombard and Chestnut streets.
Folsom street between Beale and Fremont streets.
Howard street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Twenty-sixth street, west of Diamond street.

Remove 400-*Watt.*

Northeast corner Third and Bryant streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Northwest corner Twenty-second and Church streets.
Green street between Grant avenue and Stockton street.
Southeast corner Judah street and Twenty-sixth avenue.
Southeast corner Judah street and Twenty-seventh avenue.

Remove 600 *C. P. O. B.*

South side Post street, 200 feet west of Mason street.

Remove 600 *C. P.*

Third street between Townsend and Berry streets.
Geary street between Divisadero and Broderick streets.
Geary street between St. Joseph's avenue and Baker street.
Geary street between Baker and Lyon streets.
Geary street between Lyon street and Presidio avenue.
Corner Geary and Broderick streets.
Corner Geary street and St. Joseph's avenue.
Corner Geary and Lyon streets.
Corner Geary and Baker streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Stevenson, Perry, Sherwood and Hunt streets, west of Third street.
Stockton street between Lombard and Chestnut streets.
Coleridge street between Esmeralda and Virginia streets.
Filbert street, west of Sansome street, at stairway.
Filbert street between Sansome and Front streets.
Sansome street, west of Filbert street.
Twenty-sixth street, east of Castro street.
Twenty-sixth street, west of Diamond street.
Howard street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets.

Install 400 *C. P. M. R.*

North side Duboce avenue between Buchanan and Webster streets.
South side Duboce avenue between Buchanan and Webster streets.
South side Duboce avenue between Webster and Church streets.
Northwest corner Duboce avenue and Church street.
Northeast corner Duboce avenue and Steiner street.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. R.*

East and west sides Stockton street between Filbert and Greenwich streets.

East and west sides Stockton street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.

East and west sides Stockton street between Columbus avenue and Union street.

East and west sides Stockton street between Filbert and Union streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Stockton and Union streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Stockton and Greenwich streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Stockton and Filbert streets.

Southwest corner Geary and Divisadero streets.

North and south sides Geary street between Divisadero and Broderick streets, Broderick and Baker streets, Baker and Lyon streets and Lyon street and Presidio avenue.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Broderick streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Baker streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary and Lyon streets.

North side Geary street and Presidio avenue.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

Northeast corner Judah street and Twenty-sixth avenue.

North side Judah street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues, second and third poles west of Twenty-sixth avenue.

Southwest corner Judah street and Twenty-seventh avenue.

Southeast corner Judah street and Twenty-sixth avenue.

Southeast corner Judah street and Twenty-seventh avenue.

Further Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to change the glassware in the Triangle and Path of Gold Districts to the new type, clear, rippled, glass panels and high efficiency electrodes similar to those installed on Post street, and to complete this change at the earliest possible date.

It is understood and agreed that no charge is to be made against the City for this change, nor is there to be any increase in the contract price for maintaining these lights.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Also, Resolution No. 29778 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and intsall street lights during street reconstruction as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Broderick street between Golden Gate avenue and McAllister street.

East and west sides Broderick street between Fulton and McAllister streets.

East and west sides Broderick street between Grove and Fulton streets.

North and south sides Shipley street, first and second west of Fifth street.

North side Tehama street, first and second west of Eighth street.

South side Tehama street, first west of Eighth street.

Harrison and Fourteenth, Thirteenth, Twelfth and Eleventh streets.

South side Harrison sareet, first and second south of Eleventh street.

South side Harrison street, first and second south of Tenth street.

North side Harrison street, first and second south of Tenth street.

Sacramento street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street.

North and south sides Sacramento street between Larkin and Polk streets.

East and west sides Taylor street between Jackson and Pacific streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Taylor and Jackson streets.

North and south sides Glover street between Jones and Leavenworth streets.

Southeast corner Glover and Jones streets.
Southwest corner Glover and Leavenworth streets.
North side Clay street, first and second west of Hyde street.
South side Clay street, first west of Hyde street.
Harvard court, north of Clay street.
North and south sides Fell street, west of Fillmore street.
Northwest and southeast corners Fell and Steiner streets.
North side Fell street between Steiner and Pierce streets.
South side Fell street, first and second west of Steiner street.
Northeast and southwest corners Fell and Pierce streets.
South side Fell street, west of Scott street.
East and west sides Webster street between McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue.
Northeast and southwest corners Webster street and Golden Gate avenue.
East and west sides Hayward street, south of Harrison street.
Washington street between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.
North and south sides Washington street between Larkin and Polk streets.
East and west sides Leavenworth street between Clay and Sacramento streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Clay and Leavenworth streets.
East and west sides Leavenworth street between California and Sacramento streets.
Acorn alley (off Leavenworth street).
North and south sides Jessie street, west of Ninth street.
North and south sides Clementina street between First and Second streets.
Clementina and Ecker streets.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Harvard court, north of Clay street.
Acorn alley, west of Sacramento street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Broderick street between Grove and Fulton, Fulton and McAllister, McAllister and Golden Gate avenue.
North and south sides Shipley street between Fifth and Sixth streets.
North and south sides Tehama street between Eighth and Ninth streets.
Harrison street and Eleventh, Twelfth, Thirteenth and Fourteenth streets.
South side Harrison street between Fourteenth and Thirteenth, Thirteenth and Twelfth, Twelfth and Eleventh, and Eleventh and Tenth streets.
Taylor street between Jackson and Pacific streets.
Taylor and Jackson streets.
Glover street between Jones and Leavenworth streets.
Clay street between Hyde and Larkin streets.
North and south sides Fell street, west of Fillmore street.
Fell and Steiner streets.
North side Fell street, west of Steiner street.
South side Fell street, east of Pierce street.
Fell and Pierce streets.
Fell street between Scott and Divisadero streets.
Webster street between McAllister and Golden Gate avenue.
Webster street and Golden Gate avenue.
Hayward street, south of Harrison street.
Leavenworth street between Clay and Sacramento streets.
Clay and Leavenworth streets.
Clay street between California and Sacramento streets.
Jessie street, west of Ninth street.
North and south sides Clementina street between First and Second streets.

Clementina and Ecker streets.
Washington street between Polk and Van Ness avenue.
Washington street between Larkin and Polk streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. R.*

North and south sides Sacramento street between Polk and Van Ness avenue.
North and south sides Sacramento street between Larkin and Polk streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Intention to Close Webster Street from Chestnut Street to Bay Street.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Devolopment Committee.

Resolution No. 29779 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that all that portion of Webster street lying between the northerly line of Chestnut street and the southerly line of Bay street be closed and abandoned; and be it
Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Webster street lying between the northerly line of Chestnut street and the southerly line of Bay street. Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of Webster street above described shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2; and be it
Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Webster street as above described be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.
And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this Resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Intention to Close Anza Street from Thirtieth Avenue to Thirty-Second Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29780 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that all of that portion of Anza street from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue be closed and abandoned; and be it
Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all of that portion of Anza street from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue. Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of Anza street above described shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2; and be it
Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Anza street as above described be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Intention to Close Rivera Street from Twenty-second Avenue to Twenty-fourth Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29781 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that all of that portion of Rivera street from the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all of that portion of Rivera street from the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue. Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of Rivera street above described shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Rivera street as above described be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Extension of Time to Eaton & Smith, Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29782 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after September 29, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Alemany boulevard, Section B, Contract No. 4, Mission street to Ocean avenue, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by the purchase of property for the right of way.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Extension of Time to J. F. Dowling, Ulloa Street Between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. 29783 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. F. Dowling is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after October 5, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Ulloa street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by necessity of repairing asphalt plant.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Extension of Time to Municipal Construction Company, Josiah Avenue, and on Santiago Street Between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth Avenues and Between Thirty-eighth and Forty-first Avenues, etc.

Also, Resolution No. 29784 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work under public contract:

(1) Sixty days' time from and after October 14, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Josiah avenue between Lakeview avenue and Howth street and Ridge lane, respectively.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the delay is caused by necessity of reconstruction of portion of main sewer.

(2) Ninety days' time from and after October 14, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Santiago street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues; crossing of Santiago street and Thirty-fifth avenue; Santiago street between Thirty-eighth and Forty-first avenues; crossing of Santiago street and Forty-first avenue.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that additional time is required to permit fill to settle.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Intention to Close Twenty-third Avenue from Quintara to Santiago Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29785 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that all of that portion of Twenty-third avenue between the southerly line of Quintara street and the northerly line of Santiago street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all of that portion of Twenty-third avenue between the southerly line of Quintara street and the northerly line of Santiago street. Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Twenty-third avenue, above described, shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Twenty-third avenue as above described be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Intention to Close Thirty-first Avenue from Geary to Balboa Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29786 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that all of that portion of Thirty-first avenue from the southerly line of Geary street to the northerly line of Balboa street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all of that portion of Thirty-first avenue from the southerly line of Geary street to the northerly line of Balboa street. Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of Thirty-first avenue, above described, shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3 Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Thirty-first avenue from the southerly line of Geary street to the northerly line of Balboa street as above described be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Intention to Close Worden Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29787 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the northerly 75 feet of Worden street in block bounded by Stockton street, Powell street, Francisco street and Bay street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon the northerly 75 feet of Worden street in block bounded by Stockton street, Powell street, Francisco street and Bay street.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of Worden street, above described, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter 3 of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Worden street as above described be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Resolution No. 29788 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following zones, of the length specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C", Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

670 Battery street, 18 feet—Traung Label Co.

750 Battery street, 27 feet—Stiefvaters' (baker's supplies).

61 Beale street, 18 feet—De Laval Products Co.

522-530 Clay street, 36 feet—Baumgarten Bros. (one elevator).

629 Commercial street, 18 feet—J. W. Stone (cabinet maker).

1620 Fifteenth street, 36 feet—Louis Roesch Print Co.

127-129 Fremont street, 36 feet—Moore Dry Goods Co. (one oil intake).

508 Folsom street, 27 feet—G. H. Eberhard Co.

807 Front street, 36 feet—Monteverde, Rolandelli, Parodi, Inc.

1760-1762 Geary street, 36 feet—Stockwitz Print Co. and Golden West Laundry.

680-686 Howard street, 36 feet—New Method Print Co. and Sharples Eng. Co.

974 Howard street, 18 feet—Frank Food Co.

1710 Jackson street, 18 feet—Lowe Auto Co.

27 Main street, 36 feet—C. J. Hendry and Northwest Eng. Co.

154 McAllister street, 18 feet—Consumers' Yeast Co. (one elevator).

240 Jones street, 27 feet—Hotel Roosevelt (one elevator).

345 Mission street, 36 feet—Moore Dry Goods Co.

2272 Mission street, 27 feet—Bastidas Market and Reliable Trunk Co. (one elevator).

2531 Mission street, 36 feet—Sequoia Market and Mission Produce Co.

601 O'Farrell street, 18 feet—Farrellworth Apartments.

2929 Nineteenth street, 36 feet—Pelton Water Wheel Co.

249 Second street, 36 feet—Walworth Calif. Co.

134 Spring street, 36 feet—Ed. Barry Print Co. and Security Lithograph Co.

825 Sutter street, 27 feet—Commodore Hotel, cleaner and coffee shop.

860 Sutter street, 27 feet—Hotel Reich (oil intake).

535 Washington street, 36 feet—S. F. International Fish Co. (one elevator).

950 O'Farrell street, 36 feet—Don Lee Co. (four oil intakes).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Todd, Toner—4.

Dismissal of Condemnation Proceedings for Acquisition of Land for School Purposes.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 29789 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney, upon his written recommendation, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in condemnation pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled City and County of San Francisco v. Elizabeth A. Kelly et al., and numbered 186419 on the files of the Clerk of said Court, in so far as it affects the land described in paragraph XXIV of the complaint therein on file; and as to said defendant Elizabeth A. Kelly.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—11.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd, Toner—7.

Refused Passage to Print.

The following bill and ordinance was *refused passage to print* by the following vote:

Changing Zone, Twenty-eighth Street Between Guerrero Street and San Jose Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and Establishing the Location of Trades, Industries and Buildings, and the Locations of Buildins Designed for Specific Uses, and Establishing the Boundaries for Said Purposes, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of Its Provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Twenty-eighth street between Guerrero street and San Jose avenue, for a distance of 79 feet 9 inches along Guerrero street, and a distance of 85 feet 11 inches along San Jose avenue, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—9.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Toner—3.

Action Deferred.

The following bill, on motion of Supervisor Stanton, was *postponed for one week*:

Zone Change, Southwest Corner Van Ness Avenue and Bay Street.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Bay street for a distance of 112 feet 6 inches on Van Ness avenue and for a distance of 123 feet on Bay street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Declaring the Policy of Accepting Certain Streets in the Crocker-Amazon, Forest Hill and Forest Hill Extension Tracts.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, certain streets in the Crocker-Amazon, Forest Hill and Forest Hill Extension Tracts have never been accepted by the City and County of San Francisco, and as a consequence thereof no repairs or cleaning can be done by the Department of Public Works; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors declare as its policy, if legal means can be found, the acceptance of these streets, and that the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development be authorized to prepare, in conjunction with the City Attorney, the necessary legislation to legalize the acceptance of said streets; and be it

Further Resolved, That as soon as said legislation is prepared and adopted, that the Board of Public Works be requested to recommend to this Board that said streets be accepted by the City and County of San Francisco.

On motion, action on said resolution was *postponed for one week*, to the meeting of October 15, 1928, and made a *Special Order for* 11 *o'clock a. m.*

Traffic Ordinance Amendments.

Also, Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending sections of ordinance entitled "Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets," etc., was, upon motion, *laid over one week.*

Referred to Finance Committee.

On motion carried the Finance Committee was authorized to prepare arguments in rebuttal to Charter Amendment No. 24, "Indeterminate Franchise Amendment."

Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

The following resolution, set for hearing under Special Order for 2:30 o'clock p. m., was taken up, to-wit:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 102381 (Second Series), recommend the approval of Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approved said Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets.

The foregoing resolution was discussed at length by Supervisors McSheehy, Gallagher and Stanton.

Supervisor Powers was heard at length in support of his plan heretofore presented.

Chief Engineer O'Shaughnessy was heard and explained the Engineer's plan as submitted for the extension of Van Ness avenue.

Supervisor Stanton moved that the matter be rereferred to the Streets Committee.

The motion was *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Gallagher called for the question and moved passage of the resolution.

The resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr—8.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Stanton, Todd, Toner—8.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon—2.

ROLL CALL.

The Roll was called for consideration of matters not reported upon the Calendar.

Regulating Traffic, Sunday Evenings.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating traffic on Sunday evenings and Monday mornings at the intersection of Bay street and Van Ness avenue.

On motion the resolution was *referred to the Traffic Committee.*

Prohibiting Weeds Growing on Sidewalks.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Declaring the growing of weeds on sidewalks, streets and upon private property to be noxious and dangerous and providing for removal and destruction of such weeds.

On motion the matter was *referred to the Streets Committee.*

Appropriation for Armistice Day.

The Finance Committee presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 54, for expense incident to the proper observance of Armistice Day, November 11, 1928.

On motion the resolution was ordered *passed for printing.*

City Attorney to Collect Moneys Due From the California Street Cable Railroad Company and Western Pacific Railway Company.

Also, Resolution No. 29790 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100489 (Second Series), adopted January 11, 1928, transmit to the City Attorney for collection, bill dated August 19, 1926, in the sum of $4,492.61, originally transmitted by that Board to the California Street Cable R. R. Company, and payment of which has not been made to date, covering paving work done by the Street Repair Division adjacent to rails of said railroad company on California, Jones and Hyde streets; also, on July 13, 1926, said Board of Public Works transmitted bill dated June 22, 1925, in the sum of $272.70 against the Western Pacific Railway Company, covering the furnishing and laying binder and asphalt between the rails of said railroad company in Alameda street; and

Whereas, to date these bills remain unpaid and under the provisions of the franchises granted said railroad company they are required to pay for work of this character; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby requested to commence legal proceedings against the said California Street Cable Railroad Company and the Western Pacific Railway Company for the collection of said bills.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon, Todd, Toner—7.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 8 o'clock p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 29, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 43

Monday, October 15, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 15, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 15, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present.

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Supervisors Deasy and Shannon excused on account of illness.

Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy and Toner out of town.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Kent was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over one week for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

Larsen Park—Golden Gate Heights.

Communication, from Carl G. Larsen, referring to his gift of property four years ago in Golden Gate Heights for a public park, and requesting that the work be expedited to make this a park during his lifetime.

Referred to Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

Board of Education Nominees for County Adjustment School for Boys.

Communication, from the Board of Education, proposing nominees for the County Adjustment School for Boys from members of the Board of Education and the Probation Committee of the Juvenile Court.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Crockery for San Francisco Hospital, for Laguna Honda Home and for Other Departments.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing crockery for San Francisco Hospital, for Laguna Honda Home and for other departments between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Licenses for Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Bill No. 8708, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be numbered Section 62-B, relative to license fee on dealers in second-hand furniture and household goods.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered Section 62-B, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, to read as follows:

Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Section 62-B. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling or exchanging second-hand furniture and household goods, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, shall (after securing a quarterly permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to carry on said business), pay a license of ten ($10) dollars per quarter.

The term "furniture and household goods" as used in this ordinance shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings, crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 2. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $72,863.46, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Action Deferred.

The following items were *laid over one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs	$34.91
Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance	83.32
Tablet & Ticket Co., directory service, City Hall	90.00

NEW BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over three weeks*:

Zone Change, Southwest Corner Van Ness Avenue and Bay Street.

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Bay street for a distance of 112 feet 6 inches on Van Ness avenue and for a distance of 123 feet on Bay

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) Mullen Mfg. Co., tables for Public Library (claim dated September 30, 1928) $540.00
(2) Foster & Futernick Co, library book binding (claim dated September 30, 1928) 2,222.55
(3) San Francisco News Company, library books (claim dated September 30, 1928) 990.84
(4) Sather Gate Book Shop, library books (claim dated September 30, 1928) 3,627.08
(5) G. E. Stechert & Son, library books (claim dated September 30, 1928) 899.57

Playground Fund.

(6) Heywood-Wakefield Co., folding chairs for playgrounds (claim dated October 3, 1928) ..... $ 590.00
(7) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., recreational supplies (claim dated October 3, 1928) 969.00
(8) Daniel C. McCabe, paving and sidewalk for playground at North Beach (claim dated October 3, 1928) 798.00
(9) Standard Fence Co., fence for Hamilton Playground (claim dated October 3, 1928) 1,328.48
(10) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated October 3, 1928) 1,065.45

County Road Fund.

(11) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated October 4, 1928) ..... $ 685.67
(12) T. M. Gallagher, improvement of Essex street between Folsom and Harrison streets (claim dated October 3, 1928) 1,000.00
(13) Paul Kralik, settlement in full for claim for account of damages to property caused by grading Innes avenue from Lane to Mendell streets (claim dated October 3, 1928) 1,140.00
(14) Clarence B. Eaton, fourth payment, improvement of Roosevelt way from Buena Vista terrace to Seventeenth street, and Seventeenth street from Roosevelt way to Clayton street (claim dated October 10, 1928) 2,780.00
(15) E. J. Treacy, final payment for improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue, and in front of Balboa Park (claim dated October 10, 1928) 2,894.55

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(16) John J. Dailey, legal services, month of October, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated October 11, 1928) ..... $ 850.00

Park Fund.

(17) Standard Road Maintenance Co., rental of road planer,

operators and tractor for parks (claim dated October 12, 1928) ..... $ 891.00

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(18) S. Levi, cafeteria tables for High School of Commerce (claim dated October 9, 1928) ..... $ 550.00

Special School Tax.

(19) The Bradford Machine Tool Co., lathe, etc., for Balboa High School (claim dated October 9, 1928) ..... $ 980.00

(20) The Bradford Machine Tool Co., lathe, etc., for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated October 9, 1928) 980.00

(21) Jenison Machinery Co., engine lathes for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated October 9, 1928) 1,542.00

(22) Carl F. Ernst, first payment, mechanical equipment for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated October 10, 1928) .. 610.92

(23) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., third payment, electrical work for additions to Jefferson School (claim dated October 10, 1928) 618.74

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(24) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work, trimming west portal of Duboce tunnel (claim dated October 19, 1928) ..... $ 2,526.13

Municipal Railway Fund.

(25) Frank F. Bodler, trolley wheels for Municipal Railways (claim dated October 4, 1928) ..... $ 785.00

(26) Penny, Stephenson & Bossana, professional service—audit of Municipal Railways (claim dated October 6, 1928) 577.25

(27) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions of Municipal Railway employees (claim dated October 1, 1928) 1,874.03

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(28) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated October 5, 1928) ..... $ 1,459.57

(29) A. M. Castle & Co., iron beams (claim dated October 5, 1928) 655.71

(30) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated October 5, 1928) 658.05

(31) R. T. McClelland, machinery parts (claim dated October 8, 1928) 575.00

(32) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated October 5, 1928) 896.51

(33) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated October 5, 1928) 1,538.83

(34) Robert A. Smith, Inc., one Chevrolet touring car (claim dated October 5, 1928) 578.50

(35) Utah Fuel Co., coal (claim dated October 8, 1928) 653.93

Boulevard Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1928.

(36) J. P. Holland, Inc., fill for Alemany boulevard, section C (claim dated October 9, 1928) ..... $ 1,281.00

(37) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, first payment, construction of section D, Contract 7, Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 4, 1928) 22,500.00

Special School Tax.

(38) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint supplies for schools (claim dated October 10, 1928) ..... $ 834.50

(39) George H. Tay Co., drinking fountains for schools (claim dated October 10, 1928) 840.10

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(40) Seabury & Johnson, drug sundries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928).....$ 2,282.50
(41) H. F. Dugan, drug sundries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 852.00
(42) Sussman, Wormser & Co., sugar for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,080.00
(43) Blake, Moffitt & Towne, paper for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 538.85
(44) Levi, Strauss & Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,260.00
(45) L. Dinkelspiel & Co., linen, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,524.47
(46) Building Supplies Co., soap, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 636.34
(47) Standard Fisheries, fish for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 666.08
(48) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,723.29
(49) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 2,088.00
(50) Dairydale S. F. Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 4,144.85
(51) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruit, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,044.70
(52) Pendleton Woolen Mills, blankets for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,620.00
(53) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 2,179.18
(54) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,157.08
(55) N. & S. E. Kalischer, bath robes, etc., for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 627.50
(56) Robert A. Smith, coupes for Department of Public Health (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,300.00
(57) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 832.89
(58) Blue Ribbon Products Co., coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 600.00
(59) Dairydale San Francisco Dairy, milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 2,256.70
(60) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 572.86
(61) Healy & Donaldson, tobacco for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,040.00
(62) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,444.37
(63) Sherry Bros., eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,152.53
(64) Western Meat Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 732.41
(65) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,119.19
(66) Juvenile Court, expense of Feeble Minded Department for the month of September (claim dated October 11, 1928) 519.72
(67) Dion R. Holm, legal services rendered in telephone litigation, month of October (claim dated October 4, 1928).... 850.00
(68) Dion R. Holm, expenses from San Francisco to Washington, New York, etc., in re telephone rate litigation (claim dated October 4, 1928)..... 800.00
(69) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, month of October, telephone rate litigation (claim dated October 4, 1928).... 750.00

(70) Irvine & Jachens, drivers' license badges (claim dated October 15, 1928) 562.50
(71) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated October 15, 1928) 4,302.90
(72) Fred B. Avery, repairs to Mayor's auto (claim dated October 15, 1928) 823.45
(73) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Calendar, etc. (claim dated October 8, 1928)..... 819.88
(74) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated October 12, 1928) 7,621.39
(75) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated October 12, 1928)..... 1,090.00
(76) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated October 12, 1928) 8,146.46
(77) Enterprise Foundry Co., manhole frames (claim dated October 5, 1928) 616.65
(78) M. J. Lynch, construction of artificial stone sidewalk on Pomona street, fronting school property (claim dated October 3, 1928) 787.50
(79) Pacific Nash Motor Co., one Nash coupe for Department Public Works (claim dated October 10, 1928)..... 1,500.20
(80) Symon Bros., team hire, street cleaning (claim dated October 10, 1928)..... 506.00
(81) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated October 10, 1928)..... 646.77
(82) McHugh Improvement Co., paving of Vicente street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues and crossing (claim dated October 10, 1928)..... 2,307.00

County Road Fund.

(83) E. J. Treacy, paving of San Jose avenue and Niagara avenue (claim dated October 10, 1928)..... 762.86

Payments for Lands Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Title Insurance and Guaranty Co, for Lots 4, 5, 6, 7 and 15 in Block 2505A as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29766 (New Series), and required for the Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928).. $5,200.00
(2) J. A. Cunningham, for Lots 12, 13 and 14 in Block 2505A as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29766 (New Series), and required for the Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928)..... 3,200.00
(3) Alice Seibel, for Lot 9 in Block 2389 as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29766 (New Series), and required for the Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928)..... 6,800.00
(4) Charles J. U. Koenig, for Lots 8 and 9 in Block 2098 as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29766 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928)..... 1,350.00
(5) Mary Nyhan, for portion of Lot 4 in Block 4991 as per the Assessor's Block Books (195x129, irregular), as approved by Resolution No. 29767 (New Series), and required for the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928).... 4,850.00
(6) Castle Building Co., for Lot 23 in Block 2365 as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29797 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 10, 1928)..... 1,500.00

(7) James L. Lewis and Mabel K. Lewis, for Lot 18 in Block 5392 as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 29796 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Oct. 9, 1928)..... 4,900.00

(8) Andrew Moore, for portion of Lots 62, 63, 64 and 65 in Block 5437 and portion of Lots 7 and 8 in Block 5437 as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 29795 (New Series), and required for widening of Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue in connection with Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Oct. 10, 1928)..... 1,715.00

Payment for Lands Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated, being payments for properties required for the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

Walter R. Helliker and Florence Helliker, for Lot 19 in Block 6725 as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29768 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) $4,750.00

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Payment to Crocker First National Bank for Services as Fiscal Agents.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $870.04 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment to Crocker First National Bank out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 23, for cost of exchange and services rendered as fiscal agents for the City and County of San Francisco, being for the six months ending Oct 1, 1928 (claim dated Oct. 6, 1928).

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Purchase of Lands, Extension of Margaret Avenue and Louisburg Street.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29792 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the extending of Margaret avenue and Louisburg street at their intersection with Ridge lane, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

George W. Hudson and Iva Hudson, $50—Portion of Lot 8, Block 7035, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Purchase of Lands, Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29793 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Guido Scatena, $300—Portion of Lot 15, Block 7148, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

John P. Cosgrove and John Brandt, $58.25—Portion of Lot 16, Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Purchase of Land, Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29794 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of properties adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, namely:

Dennis Mannix and Annie Mannix, $88—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 4, Block 6795C, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 136 Santa Rosa avenue.

Ralph Carrillo and Myrtle Carrillo, $78—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 5, Block 6795C, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 140 Santa Rosa avenue.

C. J. Fisher and Thelma Fisher, $73.70—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 3, Block 6795C, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 130 Santa Rosa avenue.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above-mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Purchase of Land, Silver and Charter Oak Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. 29795 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the widening of Silver and Charter Oak avenues,

in connection with the Bay Shore boulevard, for the consideration mentioned below, be accepted:

Andrew Moore, $1,715—

Parcel 1: Portion of Lots 62, 63, 64 and 65, Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2: Portion of Lots 7 and 8, Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

As a further consideration, said Andrew Moore is to receive from the City and County of San Francisco a deed to the following described parcels of land:

Parcel A: All of Lot 2, Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel B: Portion of Lots 3 and 4, Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel C: Portion of Lots 5 and 6, Block 5437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

It is understood and agreed that the remaining property of said Andrew Moore will not be assessed for the improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, and that the paving, curbing and sewers on Silver avenue as widened are to be paid for by said City and County.

It is also understood that payment of the sum of $1,715 hereinabove set forth is to cover the cost of relocating the improvements located on Parcel 1 hereinabove described.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Purchase of Land, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29796 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

James L. Lewis and Mabel K. Lewis, $4,900—Lot 18, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Purchase of Land, Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29797 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons, to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Castle Building Company, $1,500—All of Lot 23 of Block 2365 as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenrer, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Sunr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Cancellation of Tax Sales and Assessments, 1927.

Also, Resolution No. 29798 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property, now owned by the City, was sold to the State June 25, 1928, and that said assessments and sales be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804A of the Political Code, the following tax sales and assessments of the year 1927:

Vol. 7—Block 1061, Lot 31—S. F. Order B'nai B'rith; sale No. 337.
Block 1573, Lot 1—Alice O'Day; sale No. 568.
Block 1573, Lots 14 to 16, inc.—E. T. Peterson; sale No. 569.
Block 1574, Lot 1—Alice O'Day; sale No. 570.
Block 1574, Lot 2—Lottie Couradt; sale No. 571.
Block 1574, Lots 3 and 4—Alice O'Day; sale No. 572.
Block 1574, Lots 5, 6 and 7—Valerie Green; sale No. 573.
Block 1574, Lot 9E—J. A. and V. A. Christian; sale No. 574.
Block 1574, Lot 9F—T. W. and C. Caine; sale No. 575.
Block 1574, Lot 10—W. M. Symon; sale No. 576.
Block 1574, Lot 11—Geo. Symon; sale No. 577.
Block 1574, Lot 12—John F. Garvey; sale No. 578.
Block 1574, Lot 17—John B. Symon; sale No. 579.
Vol. 14—Block 1858, Lots 7, 7A and 8—S. C. and G. S. Crim; sales Nos. 803, 804 and 805.
Block 1858, Lot 10—G. P. and E. Royster; sale No. 806.
Block 1858, Lot 14—H. Ruffani; sale No. 807.
Vol. 15—Block 2002, Lot 24A—Cal. Pac. T. Ins. & Tr. Co.; sale No. 919.
Block 2003, Lot 2—Same; sale No. 920.
Block 2004, Lot 25A—Same; sale No. 921.
Block 2006, Lot 12A—Same; sale No. 922.
Block 2007, Lot 5A—Same; sale No. 923.
Block 2008, Lot 3A—Same; sale No. 924.
Block 2009, Lot 4J—Same; sale No. 926.
Block 2010, Lot 2—Same; sale No. 927.
Block 2011, Lot 6A—Same; sale No. 928.
Block 2012, Lot 23A—Same; sale No. 930.
Block 2013, Lot 3A—Same; sale No. 931.
Block 2014, Lot 3A—Same; sale No. 932.
Block 2015, Lot 18A—Same; sale No. 934.
Block 2016, Lot 2—Same; sale No. 935.
Block 2017, Lot 21A—Same; sale No. 936.
Block 2018, Lot 3A—Same; sale No. 937.
Block 2070, Lot 3—Same; sale No. 997.
Block 2071, Lot 23—Same; sale No. 998.
Block 2072, Lot 52—Same; sale No. 999.
Block 2074, Lot 52—Same; sale No. 1000.
Block 2075, Lot 8—Same; sale No. 1001.
Block 2077, Lot 53—Same; sale No. 1003.
Block 2078, Lot 39—Same; sale No. 1004.
Block 2079, Lot 55—Same; sale No. 1005.
Block 2080, Lot 29—Same; sale No. 1007.
Block 2081, Lot 27A—Same; sale No. 1011.
Block 2081, Lot 31—Same; sale No. 1012.

Block 2082, Lot 7—Same; sale No. 1014.
Block 2083, Lot 34—Same; sale No. 1016.
Block 2084, Lots 24, 25 and 26—Same; sale No. 1018.
Vol. 16—Block 2194, Lot 16—Chas. Kleebauer; sale No. 1162.
Block 2194, Lot 18A—John F. Kearney; sale No. 1163.
Block 2194, Lot 19—John Birkenshaw; sale No. 1164.
Vol. 17—Block 2326, Lots 22-23—John McDonnell; sale No. 1208.
Block 2326, Lots 24-25—John Mahoney; sale No. 1209.
Block 2326, Lot 28—A. S. Von Krasmer; sale No. 1210.
Block 2326, Lot 29—T. Nobusada; sale No. 1211.
Block 2327, Lot 6—Margaret S. Jacobs; sale No. 1212.
Block 2327, Lots 6A, 6C, 6I, 6K—J. M. McCarthy; sale Nos. 1213 to 1216.
Vol. 19—Block 2658, Lot 25A—D. and H. Murphy; sale No. 1391.
Block 2697, Lot 32—J. H. Quadt; sale No. 1297.
Block 2765, Lot 16—F. R. and A. F. Wunsch; sale No. 1412.
Vol. 32—Block 5415, Lot 8—A. and R. Mackie; sale No. 1968.
Block 5416, Lot 2—Same; sale No. 1969.
Vol. 34—Block 5595, Lots 11-12-13—Teresa Stuhr; sale No. 2021.
Vol. 35—Block 5728, Lots 3 to 5—Thos. S. Webb; sale No. 2101.
Block 5728, Lots 6 and 7—K. L. and E. Anderson; sale No. 2102.
Block 5728, Lot 8—F. Fischer; sale No. 2103.
Block 5728, Lot 23—M. C. Anderson; sale No. 2104.
Block 5728, Lots 24-25—K. L. and E. Anderson; sale No. 2105.
Block 5728, Lots 28-29—Thos. S. Webb; sale No. 2106.
Block 5728, Lot 30—S. and R. Zanca; sale No. 2107.
Vol. 36—Block 5984, Lots 12 and 13—N. M. J.; sale No. 2201.
Block 6071, Lot 10—Mary A. Moutry; sale No. 2263.
Vol. 37—Block 6103, Lot 2—J. A. Drummond; sale No. 2294.
Block 6115, Lot 10—G. and E. Lemmon, ½; sale No. 2304.
Block 6151, Lots 3 to 6—Cora B. Smith; sale No. 2338.
Block 6151, Lots 14 and 15—Same; sale No. 2340.
Block 6163, Lot 9—W. Wentzel; sale No. 2352.
Block 6164, Lot 3—C. F. and C. B. Smith; sale No. 2356.
Block 6189, Lot 40—Frank Lee; sale No. 2404.
Block 6213, Lot 7—Loretta Banks; sale No. 2442.
Block 6214, Lot 32—Lena O'Donnell; sale No. 2463.
Block 6241, Lots 40 and 41—J. W. Gainey; sale No. 2486.
Block 6262, Lots 8 to 14, inc.—M. H. Dignan; sale No. 2509.
Vol. 40—Block 6641, Lot 5A—"City Property"; sale No. 2664.
Block 6686, Lot 2—D. J. and M. Caloni; sale No. 2680.
Block 6686, Lot 3—A. Martinelli; sale No. 2681.
Block 6692, Lot 28—Nellie Reed; sale No. 2683.
Block 6692, Lot 29—E. A. and E. Rydman; sale No. 2684.
Vol. 41—Block 6755, Lot 2—Wm. and M. Dantel; sale No. 2734.
Vol. 42—Block 6960, Lot 15—Erina Gilli; sale No. 2827.
Block 6969, Lot 3—Louisa Varni; sale No. 2832.
Vol. 43—Block 7068, Lots 29-30-31—C. Bocconi; sale No. 2916.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Dismissal of Condemnation Proceedings, Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29799 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney upon his written recommendation be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in condemnation for boulevard purposes, Bay Shore, pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "City and County of San Francisco v. City Industrial Land Co. (also called City Industrial Land Company), a corporation", and numbered 179911 on the files of the clerk

of said Court, in so far as it affects the land described in paragraph XL of the complaint therein on file and as to said defendant, City Industrial Co. (also called City Industrial Land Company), a corporation.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Streets Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee.

Resolution No. 29800 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Pine court, north of Pine street.
Cushman street, south of Sacramento street.
East and west sides Taylor street between California and Sacramento streets.
East and west sides Jones street between California and Sacramento streets.
Golden court, south of Sacramento street.
East and west sides Leavenworth street, south of Sacramento street.
Acorn alley, west of Leavenworth street.
South side Sacramento street, first and second west of Taylor street.
North side Sacramento street, first west of Taylor street.
North and south sides Sacramento street, west of Larkin street.
Dawson street, east of Mason street.
Shephard place, east of Mason street.
Northeast and southweast corners Mason and Clay streets.
East and west sides Mason street, north of Washington street.
Northeast and northwest corners Mason and Jackson streets.
East and west sides Mason street, north of Pacific street.
Northwest and southeast corners Mason street and Broadway.
North and south sides Broadway, west of Mason street.
North and south sides Broadway, west of Taylor street.
North and south sides Broadway, east of Jones street.
North side Broadway, west of Leavenworth street.
South side Broadway, first and second west of Larkin street.
South side Jackson street, west of Polk street.
North and south sides Jackson street, west of Larkin street.
North and south sides Washington street, west of Larkin street.
North and south sides Clay street, west of Larkin street.
Morrell street, south of Broadway.
McCormick place, south of Pacific street.
East and west sides Hyde street, south of Broadway.
Salmon alley, south of Broadway.
Salmon alley, south of Pacific street.
East and west sides Taylor street, south of Pacific street.
East and west sides Jones street, south of Pacific street.
East and west sides Leavenworth street, south of Pacific street.
Wall place, north of Jackson street.
South side Jackson street, first and second west of Mason street.
North side Jackson street, first west of Mason street.
Northwest and southeast Jackson and Taylor streets and Jones and Leavenworth streets.
North side Jackson street, first west of Taylor street.
South side Jackson street, first and second west of Taylor street.
North side Jackson street, first and second west of Jones street.
South side Jackson street, first west of Jones street.
South side Jackson street, first and second west of Leavenworth street.

North side Jackson street, first west of Leavenworth street.
North side Jackson street, first and second west of Hyde street.
South side Jackson street, first west of Hyde street.
North side Washington street, first and second west of Mason street.
South side Washington street, first west of Mason street.
North side Washington street, first and second west of Jones street.
South side Washington street, first west of Jones street.
North side Washington street, first and second west of Hyde street.
South side Washington street, first west of Hyde street.
East and west sides Leavenworth street, south of Jackson street.
East and west sides Jones street, south of Jackson street.
East and west sides Taylor street, south of Jackson street.
North side Clay street, first and second west of Mason street.
South side Clay street, first west of Mason street.
North side Clay street, first and second west of Jones street.
South side Clay street, first west of Jones street.
Northwest and southeast corners Clay and Leavenworth streets.
North side Clay street, first west of Leavenworth street.
South side Clay street, first and second west of Leavenworth street.
North side Clay street, first and second west of Hyde street.
South side Clay street, first west of Hyde street.
East and west sides Leavenworth street, south of Washington street.
Priest and Reed streets, south of Washington street.
East and west sides Jones street, south of Washington street.
East and west sides Taylor street, south of Washington street.
East and west sides Leavenworth streets, south of Clay street.
Yerba Buena, south of Clay street.
Ewer street, west of Mason street.
Melvina street, west of Mason street.
Huron street, north of Clay street.
Burgoyne place, north of Pacific street.
Himmelmann place, south of Broadway.
Florence street, north of Broadway.
North and south sides Army street, first and second west of Shotwell street.
North side Army street, first, second and third west of Howard street.
South side Army street, first, second and third west of Howard street.
North side Army street, first and second west of Harrison street.
South side Army street, first and second west of Harrison street.
Northwest and southeast corners Harrison and Army streets.
North side Precita avenue, west of Folsom street.
Folsom street, north of Ripley street.
East side Treat avenue, first and second south of Precita avenue.
West side Treat avenue, first south of Precita avenue.
East and west sides Harrison street, south of Precita avenue.
North side Fifteenth street, first and second west of Market street.
South side Fifteenth street, first west of Market street.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Army and Shotwell streets.
Army and Folsom streets.
Northeast corners Twenty-third, Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues and Irving street.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Pine court, north of Pine street.
Dawson place, south of Mason street.
Shephard place, east of Mason street.
Ewer place, west of Mason street.
Melvina place, west of Mason street.
Priest street, south of Washington street.
Morse street, south of Pacific street.

Wall place, north of Jackson street.
Florence street, north of Broadway.
Acorn place, west of Leavenworth street.
Huron court, north of Clay street.
McCormick street, south of Pacific street.
Morrell street, south of Broadway.
Reed street, south of Washington street.
Yerba Buena, north of Sacramento street.
Golden court, south of Sacramento street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Folsom street, south of Precita avenue.
Treat avenue, south of Precita avenue.
Treat avenue, north of Ripley street.
Harrison street, north of Norwich street.
Precita avenue between Folsom and Shotwell streets.
Fifteenth street, north and south sides, west of Market street.
Jackson street, Clay street and Washington street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street.
Jackson street, Clay street and Washington street between Polk and Larkin streets.
Jackson street, Clay street and Washington street between Larkin and Hyde streets.
Jackson street, Clay street and Washington street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets.
Jackson street, Clay street and Washington street between Leavenworth and Jones streets.
Jackson street, Clay street and Washington street between Jones and Taylor streets.
Jackson street, Clay street and Washington street between Taylor and Mason streets.
Hyde street between Pacific street and Broadway.
Leavenworth street between California and Sacramento streets.
Leavenworth street between Sacramento and Clay streets.
Lavenworth street between Clay and Washington streets.
Leavenworth street between Washington and Jackson streets.
Leavenworth street between Jackson and Pacific streets.
Leavenworth street between Pacific street and Broadway.
North and south sides Broadway between Taylor and Jones streets.
North and south sides Broadway between Leavenworth and Hyde streets.
North and south sides Broadway between Hyde and Polk streets.
North and south sides Broadway between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.
Northeast and southwest corners Broadway and Hyde street.
Mason street between Washington and Jackson streets.
Mason street between Pacific street and Broadway.
Jones street between Broadway and Pacific street.
Jones street between Pacific and Jackson streets.
Jones street between Jackson and Washington streets.
Jones street between Washington and Clay streets.
Taylor street between Broadway and Pacific street.
Taylor street between Pacific and Jackson streets.
Taylor street between Jackson and Washington streets.
Taylor street between Washington and Clay streets.
Taylor street between Clay and Sacramento streets.
Northwest corner Jackson and Mason streets.
Northwest corner Jackson and Taylor streets.
Northwest corner Jackson and Jones streets.
Northwest corner Jackson and Leavenworth streets.
Northwest corner Clay and Leavenworth streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

North and south sides Irving street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues.
North and south sides Irving street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth avenues.
North and south sides Irving street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues.
North and south sides Irving street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues.
North and south sides Irving street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues.
Northeast and southwest corners Irving street and Twenty-third, Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues.
North and south sides Army street between Shotwell and Howard streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Army and Shotwell streets.
Army street, opposite Howard street.
Northwest corner Army and Howard streets.
North side Army street, west of Howard street.
North side Army street, east of Mission street.
South side Army street, west of Howard street.
South side Army street, east of Mission street.
Northwest and southeast corners Army and Folsom streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Army and Harrison streets.
North side Army street, west of Harrison street.
North side Army street, east of Folsom street.
South side Army street, west of Harrison street.
South side Army street, west of Harrison street.

Install 400 *C. P. Type "C".*

Northeast and southwest corners Sacramento and Taylor streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Sacramento and Jones streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Sacramento and Leavenworth.
Northeast and southwest corners Sacramento and Hyde streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Sacramento and Larkin streets.
North and south sides Sacramento street between Polk and Larkin streets.
North and south sides Sacramento street between Larkin and Hyde streets.
North and south sides Sacramento street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets.
North and south sides Sacramento street between Leavenworth and Jones streets.
North and South sides Sacramento street between Jones and Taylor streets.
Jones street between Sacramento and California streets.
Taylor street between Sacramento and California streets.
Cushman place between Sacramento and California streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution, on recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee, was *laid over one week*:

Declaring the Policy of Accepting Certain Streets in the Crocker-Amazon, Forest Hill and Forest Hill Extension Tracts.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, certain streets in the Crocker-Amazon, Forest Hill and Forest Hill Extension Tracts have never been accepted by the City and County of San Francisco, and as a consequence thereof no repairs

or cleaning can be done by the Department of Public Works; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors declare as its policy, if legal means can be found, the acceptance of these streets, and that the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development be authorized to prepare, in conjunction with the City Attorney, the necessary legislation to legalize the acceptance of said streets; and be it

Further Resolved, That as soon as said legislation is prepared and adopted, that the Board of Public Works be requested to recommend to this Board that said streets be accepted by the City and County of San Francisco.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Traffic Ordinance Amendments.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Article I is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings, respectively, ascribed to them in this section.

Street—Every way set apart for public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A street with a roadway of less than twenty (20) feet.

Blind Alley—An alley which has only one end available for ingress or egress.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the roadway included within the prolongation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers or materials.

Passenger Loading Zone—The space adjacent to the curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers.

Vehicles—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District—That portion of this City bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from the east line of First street to the west line of Sixth street; Sixth street from the south line of Mission street to the south line of Market street; the intersection of Sixth street, Market street, Golden Gate avenue and Taylor street; Taylor street from the north line of Golden Gate avenue to the north line of Sutter street; Sutter street from the west line of Taylor street to the east line of Grant avenue; Grant avenue from the south line of Sutter street to the north line of California street; California street from the west line of Grant avenue to the south line of Market street; Market street from the north line of California street to the west line of First street; First street from the north line of Market street to the south line of Mission street.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also, any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction of Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 3. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, except in passenger loading zones, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the central traffic district or in any business district during any hour of any day.

(2) In any loading zone, between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day.

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day.

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of the day.

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours

of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public building between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays.

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business.

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom at any hour of any day.

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) During the times specified in subsection (a) of this section, passenger vehicles shall not stand longer than five (5) minutes in a passenger loading zone, and it shall be unlawful to stand any commercial vehicle in such zone.

(d) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained by the Police Department to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones and passenger loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance; provided that a curb space of not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones and passenger loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in an emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic Committee.

Resolution No. 29801 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36, of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

965 Geneva avenue, 27 feet, Amazon Theatre.

45 Halleck street, 36 feet, Hind, Rolph Co.; Dixon Fish Co.; 1 elevator.

1122 Howard street, 27 feet, Bear Photo Co.

4631-4635 Mission street, 27 feet, Excelsior Theatre.

5177 Mission street, 27 feet, Rialto Theatre.

5825 Mission street, 36 feet, State Theatre.

205-215 Second street, 27 feet, De Voto Lamp Co.; 2 elevators.

520-524 Valencia street, 27 feet, G. P. Stone Stove Co.; Retter Elec. Co.; Crown Hotel.

9 Vallejo street, 72 feet, Albers Flour Co.

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be rescinded and reestablished as specified herein, in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36, of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

522-530 Clay street, from 36 feet to 27 feet, Baumgarten Bros.

2331 Mission street, 36 feet, to be abolished, Sequoia Market; Mission Produce Co.

589 Post street, from 29 feet to 18 feet, Knickerbocker Hotel.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Accepting Offer of Isabel Perry et al. to Sell Land and Easement Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 29802 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands and easement over lands situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sums set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Isabel Perry, as executrix of the estate of Antone Perry, deceased, $470—Portion of Lot 5, as shown on map entitled "Map of a Portion of the Property of the Palmdale Company, Washington Township, Alameda County, California, October, 1904," filed in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County, December 20, 1904, in Liber 20 of Maps, page 8, containing 0.235 acre, more or less. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Jose F. Azevedo, $50—

Parcel 1. An exclusive subsurface right of way easement for an aqueduct tunnel beneath the surface of that certain 13-acre tract of land acquired by Jose F. Azevedo by deed dated January 7, 1922, and recorded February 28, 1922, in Book 130 of Official Records, page 448, Alameda County Records.

Parcel 2. Right to construct, maintain and operate a telephone line across the 13-acre tract of land hereinabove referred to in the description of Parcel 1. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said lands and said easement, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying said lands and easement to said City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Land Acquired for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 29803 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and known as 243 Boutwell street, 247 Boutwell street, 249 Boutwell street, 1651 Silver avenue, 1689 Silver avenue.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Boulevard Bond Issue Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Investigation of Campaign for Charter Amendment No. 24.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Finance Committee be authorized under whatever charter authority it may possess to subpoena witnesses and investigate the widespread expenditures in the interest of proposed Charter Amendment No. 24, and to make a report to this Board.

Supervisor Andriano moved as an amendment, "As the Finance Committee may be advised by the City Attorney."

Motion carried as amended.

Resolution of Condolence.

On motion of Supervisor Sylvester Andriano, the Board by a rising vote expressed its profound sympathy with the widow of former Supervisor Geo. A. Connolly in the death of her beloved husband.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, deepest sympathy of the Board of Supervisors was expressed by rising vote on the passing of the beloved mother of Supervisor Jesse Colman.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, on motion of Supervisor Powers, at 12:25 p. m. adjourned out of respect to the memory of the deceased.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 44

Monday, October 22, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 22, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 22, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Toner—5.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Kent was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Redwood Empire Fall Caravan.

Communication—From Redwood Empire Association, expressing appreciation for San Francisco's participation in the 1928 Redwood Empire Fall Caravan.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Dedication of Oakland Estuary Tube.

Communication—From the Mayor, transmitting invitation of Alameda Chamber of Commerce to attend dedication of Oakland Estuary Tube on Saturday evening at 6 p. m., Hotel Oakland.

On motion of Supervisor Suhr, the Chair was authorized to appoint a committee of five to attend.

Absence of Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher.

October 22, 1928, 8:50 a. m.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: I sincerely regret to be compelled to ask to be excused at today's meeting, due to a very annoying throat.

It is more distressing to me because of the fact that it compels the attendance of a number of citizens without knowledge beforehand that some of the matters which I have in charge as Chairman of the Streets Committee and as Acting Chairman of the Fire Committee must go over, and I assure you I am sorry for the inconvenience.

Will say this, however, if there are some matters which you feel must be decided today and my presence is, in your opinion, necessary, I will, if you deem it so, come to the Board this afternoon for a while at least. There shall accompany this letter a certificate from Dr. Larza A. Smith, specialist, explaining my illness.

Awaiting your pleasure and assuring you again of my deep regret, permit me to be

Cordially yours,

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER, Supervisor.

SPECIAL ORDERS—11 A. M.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Repeal of Ordinance Governing Police Regulation of Second-Hand Furniture Dealers.

On recommendation of Police and Licenses Committee:

Bill No. 8733, Ordinance No. 8236 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 8196 (New Series), which amended Sections 4 and 6 and added Section 6A to Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers, or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 8196 (New Series), the title to which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Whereupon, Supervisor Andriano presented the following and moved its passage to print:

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 4 and 6 and adding a new section, to be numbered Section 6-A, to Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled, "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Sections Nos. 4 and 6 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), the title to which is hereinabove recited, are hereby amended, and there is added thereto a new section, to be numbered 6-A, said amendment and new section to read as follows:

Section 4. Before any person shall engage in the business of dealing in any second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either exclusively or in conjunction ith some other business, he must make application to the Board of Police Commissioners for a permit therefor, which said Board may, by resolution, grant permission to said applicant to receive a license from the Tax Collector upon the payment of the license fee required; provided, however, that said Board shall have the power to revoke said permission upon good cause being shown.

Section 6. The term "furniture and household goods," as used in this ordinance, shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings (except rugs), crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 6-A. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Licenses for Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Bill No. 8708, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 62-B, relative to license fee on dealers in second-hand furniture and household goods.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section, to be numbered Section 62-B, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, to read as follows:

Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Section 62-B. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling or exchanging second-hand furniture and household goods, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, shall (after securing a quarterly permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to carry on said business), pay a license of ten ($10) dollars per quarter.

The term "furniture and household goods" as used in this ordinance shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings (except rugs), crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 2. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Privilege of the Floor.

Theo. Roche, Police Commissioner, and *Capttain Matheson*, Captain of Detectives, were heard in favor of the proposed ordinance.

Walter McGovern, attorney for second-hand dealers, was heard in opposition.

Motions.

On motion of Supervisor Andriano the words "except rugs" were stricken out in the 5th line from the last of each of the foregoing bills.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved rereference to Committee.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd—4.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr—9.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Toner—5.

Supervisor Marks moved to lay over one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd—4.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr—9.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Toner—5.

Refused Passage to Print.

Whereupon, the roll being called on passage to print, the same was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr—9.

Noes—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Toner—5.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Before the result was announced Supervisor Marks gave notice of reconsideration at next meeting.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Manufactured Furniture: Folding Tables and Benches for Lunch Room for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing manufactured furniture: folding tables and benches for lunch room for School Department, to be opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m., and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Fresh Beef.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing fresh beef to Laguna Honda Home, to be opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m., and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Pay Checks (Lithographed Warrants).

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing pay checks (lithographed warrants), to be opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m., and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

1000 Safety Zone Buttons for "Traffic," Board of Supervisors.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing 1000 safety zone buttons for "Traffic," Board of Supervisors, to be opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m.

Traffic Turning Buttons.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing 1000 traffic turning buttons for "Traffic," Board of Supervisors, to be opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m., and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Machinery for General Shop and Sheet Metal Shop Equipment, Daniel Webster Junior High School and Ethan Allen School.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing machinery for general shop, Daniel Webster Junior High School, and sheet metal shop equipment for Daniel Webster Junior High School and Ethan Allen School, to be opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Galvanized Iron Garbage Cans for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors for furnishing 250 galvanized iron garbage cans for School Department, to be opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Bridge Application, Montgomery K. Miller.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Application of Montgomery K. Miller for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge from the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to the City of Oakland, Alameda County, California, and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years after date of the granting of said franchise.

The bridge is to be located along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46 on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection with the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in the City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said bridge is approximately 30,000 feet and the width

of said bridge is approximately 80 feet. The said bridge will include an overhead approach to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity.

Attached drawing shows location.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk be directed to notify the applicant that he will be heard Monday, October 29, at 11 a. m.

Whereupon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until* 11 *a. m. Monday, October* 29, 1928, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Toner—5.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Set-Back Lines—Presidio Avenue, Funston Avenue, San Miguel Street and Seventeenth Avenue.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8736, Ordinance No. 8332 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6779 (New Series), approved October 1, 1928, entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Presidio avenue, Funston avenue, San Miguel street and Seventeenth avenue."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6779 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines on San Miguel street, between Mt. Vernon avenue and Niagara avenue, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of San Miguel street commencing at Mt. Vernon avenue and running thence northeasterly 320 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the southeasterly side of San Miguel street commencing at a point 85 feet northeasterly from Mt. Vernon avenue and running thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12½ feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northeasterly 240 feet, said set-back line to be 17½ feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Set-Back Lines—Vicente and Rivera Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8737, Ordinance No. 8233 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Vicente street and Rivera street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 11th day of September, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 182 to establish set-back lines along portions of Vicente street and Rivera street, and fixed the 8th day of October, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m. at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636

(New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Vicente street between Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the northerly side of Rivera street between Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29804 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium—Appropriation 56.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, for maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium during September (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) $3,744.52

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction—Bond Issue 1925.

(2) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oils for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) $507.61

(3) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 522.00

(4) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match employees' contributions to Retirement Fund (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 3,271.04

(5) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) 1,600.61

(6) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat and fish (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 932.42

(7) Joseph C. Brichetto, payment for land in San Joaquin County, required in connection with Coast Range Division of Hetch Hetchy water supply project (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928), approved by Resolution No. 29603 (New Series). 2,000.00

(8) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 724.40

(9) Horton Lime Co., lime (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928)..... 800.00

(10) Hickok & Hickok, drill steel (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 3,523.49

(11) O. G. Peterson, explosives (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928). 856.41

(12) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928).... 1,228.09

(13) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 3,008.49

(14) United States Steel Products Co., armored cable (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) 7,521.39

(15) United States Rubber Co., rubber boots, etc. (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928)..... 612.64

(16) Western Meat Co., meat (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928).... 621.39

(17) Crucible Steel Co. of America, drill steel (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 946.01

(18) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, self-dumping rock buckets (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 2,462.50
(19) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 1,422.01
(20) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 633.79
(21) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 611.16
(22) Payne's Bolt Works, bolts, nuts, etc. (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 533.10
(23) Pacific Coast Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 722.40
(24) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 4,547.32
(25) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 29, 1928) 9,090.00
(26) Soule Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 2,994.17
(27) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 1,422.40
(28) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,021.84
(29) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, rock buckets (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 890.00
(30) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 523.77
(31) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,541.66

Municipal Railway Fund.

(32) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes, Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) $2,160.44
(33) Frank F. Bodler, fare boxes (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 975.00
(34) Lambert Sales Corporation, bus tires (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) 678.10

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(35) Walter R. Eastman and Ruth Eastman, his wife, full settlement for account of damages sustained by Ruth Eastman Sept. 15, 1927, while a passenger on car of Municipal Railways (claim dtaed Oct. 1, 1928) $7,512.00
(36) Frank Shannon, full settlement of claim for account of damages sustained arising out of accident on or about April 24, 1928, when struck by car of Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 1, 1928) 1,000.00
(37) Elizabeth Bennett, full settlement of claim for damages sustained in accident of May 3, 1927 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 750.00
(38) Medora E. LaHaye, full settlement of claim for personal injuries and damages sustained or suffered by Thomas C. LaHaye, her husband, in that certain accident occurring Nov. 25, 1926, at Market street, near Church street (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 1,000.00

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(39) Eaton & Smith, sixth payment, construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 155 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) $14,325.00
(40) Robert W. Jamison, fifth payment, furnishing and installing rail bonds for Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 160 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 1,575.00

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(41) Foucar, Ray & Simon, sheet steel for Early Intake flume (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) $573.85

(42) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, sheet iron for Early Intake flume (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 954.34

Park Fund.

(43) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated Oct. 5, 1928) $802.70
(43a) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Park employees (claim dated Oct. 5, 1928) 605.39

Special School Tax.

(44) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Sept. 25, 1928) $833.03
(45) Amoroso & Fillipis, third payment, general construction of addition to Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 10,940.63
(46) R. Flatland, second payment, electrical work, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 2,746.91
(47) A. Lettich, third payment, mechanical equipment, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) ... 1,474.24
(48) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing, Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 629.59
(49) Meyer Brothers, first payment, general construction of addition to Daniel Webster School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 6,372.33
(50) Sorenson & Haggmark, first payment, general construction of addition to John Swett School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,419.35
(51) Meyer Bros., third payment, general construction of additions to Jefferson School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) ... 12,286.99
(52) Scott Company, second payment, mechanical equipment for Jefferson School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 1,210.14
(53) P. J. Enright, second payment, mechanical equipment for Golden Gate School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 2,429.81
(54) Alta Electric Co., sixth payment, electrical work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) ... 2,733.19
(55) Thos. Skelly, sixth payment, plumbing for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 1,575.38
(56) Carl F. Ernst, first payment, plumbing for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 778.47
(57) Hamilton Construction Co., second payment, general construction of Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 11,887.50
(58) The Eby Machinery Co., machinery for Balboa High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,430.00
(59) Jenison Machinery Co., engine lathes for Balboa High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 3,645.00
(60) The Eby Machinery Co., machinery for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,430.00
(61) E. P. Finigan, gymnasium equipment for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 2,056.65
(62) The Gunn Furniture Co., armchairs for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 1,285.20
(63) Herberts-Moore Machinery Co., engine lathes for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 1,519.20
(64) Jenison Machinery Co., engine lathes for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 771.00

Boulevard Bonds, 1928.

(65) Louis J. Cohn, fifth payment, construction of storm drain, Bay Shore boulevard, crossing Alemany boulevard (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) $16,200.00
(66) Eaton & Smith, fifth payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Section B, Contract 4 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928). 19,350.00
(67) Federal Construction Co., third payment, construction

of Bay Shore boulevard, Sec. B, Contract 3 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 25,950.00

(68) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, second payment, construction of Great Highway, Contract 8 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 24,000.00

(69) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, first payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Sec. E, Contract 5 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 5,250.00

(70) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., fifth payment, constructions of Esplanade, Sec. E, Contract 1 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 45,450.00

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(71) Butte Electric & Mfg. Co., second payment, flood lighting of City Hall (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) $2,481.37

(72) Clarence B. Eaton, final payment, construction of Fillmore street main sewer, Sec. A, Contract 1 (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 1,373.29

(73) Hugh McGill, third payment, construction of Guttenberg sewer extension (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 1,300.00

(74) Frank J. McHugh, final payment, construction of sewer and appurtenances in Wawona street, from Twenty-fifth to Thirty-fourth avenues (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) 10,981.51

(75) Edward F. Dowd, first and final payment, electrical fixtures for chapel at San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) 770.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(76) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Charter amendments (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) 879.00

(77) California State Automobile Association, traffic signals (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) 570.40

(78) California State Automobile Association, traffic signals (claim dated Aug. 13, 1928) 1,064.60

(79) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) 586.67

(80) Stockton State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) 508.00

(81) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) 1,500.00

(82) Philip H. Sapiro, services of Municipal Band (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) 2,140.30

(83) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) 4,324.57

(84) Doherty Bros., one Ford coupe for Board of Health (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928) 618.50

(85) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for hospitals (claim dated Aug. 31, 1928) 1,675.96

(86) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 632.50

(87) Raymond C. Ashworth, professional services and expenses, Market Street Railway valuation (claim dated Oct. 2, 1928) 900.00

(88) Stephenson Construction Co., construction of Hangar No. 2, San Francisco Municipal Airport, Contract 9 (claim dated Sept. 27, 1928) 10,000.00

(89) Dieterich-Post Co., blueprint paper, etc., (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) 624.66

(90) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for sewers (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) 888.88

(91) Thos. H. Brady, bricklayer, Dept. Public Works, compensation insurance, seventh payment, March 12 to September 30 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1928) 604.07

(92) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 805.00
(93) The Texas Co., fuel oil for Civic Center powerhouse (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 922.91
(94) The Eisele & Dondero Marble Co., labor and material for repair of marble work in City Hall (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 1,152.00
(95) John H. Blakeway, 200 dirt cans for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 1,120.00
(96) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 567.50
(97) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Civic Center powerhouse (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 1,121.02
(98) J. H. Zemansky, postage for sample ballots (additional) (claim dated Oct. 4, 1928) 11,500.00
(99) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Calendar, Journal and Journal Slips (claim dated Oct. 8, 1928) 819.88

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Authorizations.

Also, Resolution No. 29805 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) Mullen Mfg. Co., tables for Public Library (claim dated September 30, 1928) $540.00
(2) Foster & Futernick Co, library book binding (claim dated September 30, 1928) 2,222.55
(3) San Francisco News Company, library books (claim dated September 30, 1928) 990.84
(4) Sather Gate Book Shop, library books (claim dated September 30, 1928) 3,627.08
(5) G. E. Stechert & Son, library books (claim dated September 30, 1928) 899.57

Playground Fund.

(6) Heywood-Wakefield Co., folding chairs for playgrounds (claim dated October 3, 1928) ..... $ 590.00
(7) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., recreational supplies (claim dated October 3, 1928) 969.00
(8) Daniel C. McCabe, paving and sidewalk for playground at North Beach (claim dated October 3, 1928) 798.00
(9) Standard Fence Co., fence for Hamilton Playground (claim dated October 3, 1928) 1,328.48
(10) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated October 3, 1928) 1,065.45

County Road Fund.

(11) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated October 4, 1928) ..... $ 685.67
(12) T. M. Gallagher, improvement of Essex street between Folsom and Harrison streets (claim dated October 3, 1928) 1,000.00
(13) Paul Kralik, settlement in full for claim for account of damages to property caused by grading Innes avenue from Lane to Mendell streets (claim dated October 3, 1928) 1,140.00
(14) Clarence B. Eaton, fourth payment, improvement of Roosevelt way from Buena Vista terrace to Seventeenth

street, and Seventeenth street from Roosevelt way to Clayton street (claim dated October 10, 1928) 2,780.00

(15) E. J. Treacy, final payment for improvement of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue, and in front of Balboa Park (claim dated October 10, 1928) 2,894.55

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(16) John J. Dailey, legal services, month of October, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated October 11, 1928) .....$ 850.00

Park Fund.

(17) Standard Road Maintenance Co., rental of road planer, operators and tractor for parks (claim dated October 12, 1928) .....$ 891.00

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(18) S. Levi, cafeteria tables for High School of Commerce (claim dated October 9, 1928).....$ 550.00

Special School Tax.

(19) The Bradford Machine Tool Co., lathe, etc., for Balboa High School (claim dated October 9, 1928).....$ 980.00

(20) The Bradford Machine Tool Co., lathe, etc., for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated October 9, 1928)..... 980.00

(21) Jenison Machinery Co., engine lathes for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated October 9, 1928)..... 1,542.00

(22) Carl F. Ernst, first payment, mechanical equipment for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated October 10, 1928).. 610.92

(23) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., third payment, electrical work for additions to Jefferson School (claim dated October 10, 1928) 618.74

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(24) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work, trimming west portal of Duboce tunnel (claim dated October 19, 1928) .....$ 2,526.13

Municipal Railway Fund.

(25) Frank F. Bodler, trolley wheels for Municipal Railways (claim dated October 4, 1928).....$ 785.00

(26) Penny, Stephenson & Bossana, professional service—audit of Municipal Railways (claim dated October 6, 1928) 577.25

(27) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions of Municipal Railway employees (claim dated October 1, 1928) 1,874.03

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(28) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated October 5, 1928) .....$ 1,459.57

(29) A. M. Castle & Co., iron beams (claim dated October 5, 1928) 655.71

(30) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated October 5, 1928)..... 658.05

(31) R. T. McClelland, machinery parts (claim dated October 8, 1928) 575.00

(32) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Revolving Fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated October 5, 1928)..... 896.51

(33) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated October 5, 1928) 1,538.83

(34) Robert A. Smith, Inc., one Chevrolet touring car (claim dated October 5, 1928)..... 578.50

(35) Utah Fuel Co., coal (claim dated October 8, 1928)..... 653.93

Boulevard Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1928.

(36) J. P. Holland, Inc., fill for Alemany boulevard, section C (claim dated October 9, 1928) ..... $ 1,281.00

(37) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, first payment, construction of section D, Contract 7, Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 4, 1928) 22,500.00

Special School Tax.

(38) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint supplies for schools (claim dated October 10, 1928) ..... $ 834.50

(39) George H. Tay Co., drinking fountains for schools (claim dated October 10, 1928) 840.10

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(40) Seabury & Johnson, drug sundries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) ..... $ 2,282.50

(41) H. F. Dugan, drug sundries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 852.00

(42) Sussman, Wormser & Co., sugar for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,080.00

(43) Blake, Moffitt & Towne, paper for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 538.85

(44) Levi, Strauss & Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,260.00

(45) L. Dinkelspiel & Co., linen, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,524.47

(46) Building Supplies Co., soap, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 636.34

(47) Standard Fisheries, fish for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 666.08

(48) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,723.29

(49) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 2,088.00

(50) Dairydale S. F. Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 4,144.85

(51) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruit, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,044.70

(52) Pendleton Woolen Mills, blankets for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,620.00

(53) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 2,179.18

(54) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,157.08

(55) N. & S. E. Kalischer, bath robes, etc., for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928) 627.50

(56) Robert A. Smith, coupes for Department of Public Health (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,300.00

(57) Baumgarten Bros., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928) 832.89

(58) Blue Ribbon Products Co., coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928) 600.00

(59) Dairydale San Francisco Dairy, milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928) 2,256.70

(60) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928) 572.86

(61) Healy & Donaldson, tobacco for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,040.00

(62) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,444.37

(63) Sherry Bros., eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,152.53

(64) Western Meat Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928) 732.41

(65) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 11, 1928) 1,119.19
(66) Juvenile Court, expense of Feeble Minded Department for the month of September (claim dated October 11, 1928) 519.72
(67) Dion R. Holm, legal services rendered in telephone litigation, month of October (claim dated October 4, 1928).... 850.00
(68) Dion R. Holm, expenses from San Francisco to Washington, New York, etc., in re telephone rate litigation (claim dated October 4, 1928) 800.00
(69) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, month of October, telephone rate litigation (claim dated October 4, 1928).... 750.00
(70) Irvine & Jachens, drivers' license badges (claim dated October 15, 1928) 562.50
(71) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated October 15, 1928) 4,302.90
(72) Fred B. Avery, repairs to Mayor's auto (claim dated October 15, 1928) 823.45
(73) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Calendar, etc. (claim dated October 8, 1928)..... 819.88
(74) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated October 12, 1928) 7,621.39
(75) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated October 12, 1928)..... 1,090.00
(76) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated October 12, 1928) 8,146.46
(77) Enterprise Foundry Co., manhole frames (claim dated October 5, 1928) 616.65
(78) M. J. Lynch, construction of artificial stone sidewalk on Pomona street, fronting school property (claim dated October 3, 1928) 787.50
(79) Pacific Nash Motor Co., one Nash coupe for Department Public Works (claim dated October 10, 1928)..... 1,500.20
(80) Symon Bros., team hire, street cleaning (claim dated October 10, 1928)..... 506.00
(81) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated October 10, 1928)..... 646.77
(82) McHugh Improvement Co., paving of Vicente street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues and crossing (claim dated October 10, 1928)..... 2,307.00

County Road Fund.

(83) E. J. Treacy, paving of San Jose avenue and Niagara avenue (claim dated October 10, 1928)..... 762.86

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Payment of Claims.

Also, Resolution No. 29806 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

(1) Granfield. Farrar & Carlin, for services of shovel and crew in the improvement of Potrero avenue, from Sixteenth to Army streets (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928)..... $1,087.50
(2) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Oct. 1, 1928)..... 1,141.55
(3) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928)..... 2,198.08
(4) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928)..... 3,887.34

(5) The Texas Company, fuel oil for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Sept. 28, 1928) 614.80
(6) C. C. W. and H. H. Haun, third payment, for the improvement of Laguna Honda boulevard (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 5,550.00
(7) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, services of shovel and crew in the improvement of Potrero avenue from Sixteenth to Army streets (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,087.50
(8) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing during August (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,797.73
(9) T. I. Butler Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 718.59
(10) California Rock Co., binder rock, etc., for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,427.98
(11) Coast Rock and Gravel Co., sand for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept 24, 1928) 2,907.17
(12) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 24, 1928) 1,799.44
(13) San Francisco Gravel Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Sept. 21, 1928) 752.08

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Purchase of Land for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. 29807 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned funds and authorized in payment to the following named persons, being payments for properties required for the designated purposes, to-wit:

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928.

(1) To Eva O'Gara, for release of damage to Lot 24, in Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 29703 (New Series), for account of Alemany boulevard (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) $1,112.20
(2) To James A. Perry and Veda C. Perry, for Lot 9, in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29706 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) 7,500.00

Bernal Cut Bonds, 1927.

(3) To Andrew C. Lawson, for Lots 1 and 2, in Block 6754, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29705 (New Series), and required for opening of Bernal Cut (claim dated Sept. 26, 1928) 1,750.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Purchase of Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29808 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for land required for school purposes, to-wit:

To Owen McHugh, $10,366.66—For land commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 187 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue 38 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 38 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to point of commencement; and for

land commencing on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 187 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 38 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 38 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to point of commencement; and for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street, thence running northerly along the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement. (Claim dated Oct. 8, 1928.)

To Peter McHugh, $5,133.34—For land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement. (Claim dated Oct. 8, 1928.)

Acceptance of offers by Resolutions Nos. 29713 and 29712 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Appropriation of $28,000 for Payment to Roman Catholic Archbishop for Land for Playgrounds.

Also, Resolution No. 29809 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $28,000 be and the same is hereby set aside out of Playground Fund and authorized in payment to the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, being payment for Lot 54, in Block 5842, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and for Lots 1 to 14, inclusive, in Block 5842, as shown on map entitled "Map of St. Mary's Park," as filed in Map Book "J," pages 47 to 54, and Lots 3 to 12, inclusive, in Block 5831, as shown on map entitled "Map of St. Mary's Park," as filed in Map Book "J," pages 47 to 54, as per the records of the City and County of San Francisco, and being property reuired for playground purposes. (Claim dated Sept. 27, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Miscellaneous Street Reconstruction.

Also, Resolution No. 29810 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the cost of grading and paving Santiago street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues (Fay Improvement Co. award), including engineering, inspection and extras $13,500.00

(2) For the improvement of the City's frontage on the northerly line of Bay street between Baker and Lyon streets, the reconstruction of old Bay street at Baker street, due to closing of Bay street, and construction of crossing of Bay street with Baker street, due to opening of proposed Bay street 3,300.00

(3) For the improvement of the Presidio frontage on the west line of Lyon street between Bay street and the north-

erly line of Bay street proposed, and the intersection of Bay street proposed with Lyon street, and the necessary reconstruction of the old Bay street with Lyon street, due to the closing of old Bay street..... 2,700.00

(4) For the improvement of the City's frontage on the westerly side of Thirtieth avenue from Geary street to the southerly line of Anza street..... 4,930.00

(5) For the improvement of Lower Terrace between Saturn and Levant streets, City's contribution..... 1,500.00

(6) For expense of making preliminary survey and incidental expense in connection with the extension of Junipero Serra boulevard southerly into San Mateo County, under the direction of Joint Highway District No. 10..... 4,250.00

(7) For expense of continuation of engineering survey and incidental expense in connection with proposed highway, under the direction of Joint Highway District No. 9, composed of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz counties; San Francisco's portion..... 2,750.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Street Reconstruction.

Also, Resolution No. 29811 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets; being additional to amounts heretofore appropriated, to-wit:

Francisco street, Powell to Taylor streets	$1,971.79
Haight street, Scott to Baker streets	4,586.94
Jackson street, Hyde to Larkin streets	1,317.76
Laguna street, from Bay street northerly	1,164.17
Mission street, from Fourteenth to Army streets	8,965.14
Motgomery street, Post street to Columbus avenue	3,034.01
Pine street, Leavenworth to Taylor streets	7,603.38
Presidio avenue, Geary to California streets	2,131.54
Washington street, Leavenworth to Hyde streets	595.50
Webster street, Sutter to Pine streets	252.43

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Appropriation of $3,900 for Reconstruction of Street Signs.

Also, Resolution No. 29812 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,900 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Signs," Budget Item No. 70, for the cost of painting, maintenance and reconstruction of street signs to adapt them to the tops of the traffic signals in course of erection in the Down Town District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Appropriation of $11,000 for Relocation and Alteration of Water Supply and Hydrant Connections Along Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29813 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $11,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Fund to defray cost of

relocating and making necessary alterations in connection with the water supply and hydrant connections along the Bay Shore boulevard, Section "A".

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Transfer of Duplicate Tax Funds to General Fund.

Also, Resolution No. 29814 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there exists to the credit of the "Duplicate Tax Fund" in the hands of the Treasurer of the City and County the sum of $58,-416.19, as of date September 26, 1928; and

Whereas, claims to the amount of $30,000 and upwards against said fund are now barred by the Statute of Limitations, and the remaining balance of approximately $28,000 is sufficient to satisfy any claims or demands which hereafter may be made against said fund; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and the Treasurer of the City and County be and they are hereby respectively authorized and directed to transfer from said "Duplicate Tax Fund" the sum of $30,000 to the General Fund for the fiscal year 1928-1929.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Transfer of Deficiency Fund of 1906-1907 to General Fund, 1928-1929.

Also, Resolution No. 29815 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is now in the hands of the Treasurer of the City and County the sum of $35,840, heretofore paid by the trustee in bankruptcy of the Aetna Insurance Company in the matter of certain City and County fund shortages occurring the fiscal year 1906-1907, which said sum has been held in a special trust fund; and

Whereas, any claims against said fund are now barred by the Statute of Limitations; and

Whereas, it is desirable that said special fund be terminated; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and the Treasurer of the City and County be and they are hereby respectively authorized and directed to transfer the said balance of $35,840 from the 1906-1907 Deficiency Fund to the General Fund of the fiscal year 1928-1929.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Payments for Lands Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29816 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Title Insurance and Guaranty Co, for Lots 4, 5, 6, 7 and 15 in Block 2505A as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29766 (New Series), and required for the Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928).. $5,200.00

(2) J. A. Cunningham, for Lots 12, 13 and 14 in Block 2505A as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29766 (New Series), and required for the Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928)..... 3,200.00

(3) Alice Seibel, for Lot 9 in Block 2389 as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29766 (New Series), and required for the Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 6,800.00

(4) Charles J. U. Koenig, for Lots 8 and 9 in Block 2098 as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29766 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 1,350.00

(5) Mary Nyhan, for portion of Lot 4 in Block 4991 as per the Assessor's Block Books (195x129, irregular), as approved by Resolution No. 29767 (New Series), and required for the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) 4,850.00

(6) Castle Building Co., for Lot 23 in Block 2365 as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29797 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 10, 1928) 1,500.00

(7) James L. Lewis and Mabel K. Lewis, for Lot 18 in Block 5392 as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 29796 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Oct. 9, 1928) 4,900.00

(8) Andrew Moore, for portion of Lots 62, 63, 64 and 65 in Block 5437 and portion of Lots 7 and 8 in Block 5437 as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 29795 (New Series), and required for widening of Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue in connection with Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Oct. 10, 1928) 1,715.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Payment for Lands Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29817 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated, being payments for properties required for the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

Walter R. Helliker and Florence Helliker, for Lot 19 in Block 6725 as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29768 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 3, 1928) $4,750.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Appropriation, $4,500, Armistice Day.

Also, Resolution No. 29818 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising Appropriation 54, for expense incident to the proper observance of Armistice Day, November 11, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Auto Supply Station, C. M. Childers, Lombard and Gough Streets.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29819 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. M. Childers be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate

an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Gough and Lombard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Auto Supply Station, Italo Petroleum Corporation, Third and Shafter Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29820 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Italo Petroleum Corporation of America be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Third street and Shafter avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Garage Transfer, Wm. A. Niemann, 730 Ellis Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29821 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. A. Niemann be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted by Resolution No. 21719 (New Series) for premises at 730-742 Ellis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Garage Transfer, F. A. Gocher, 2169 Filbert Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29822 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. A. Gocher be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 2169 Filbert street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Oil Tank Permits.

Also, Resolution No. 29823 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

J. Herscher, 1916 Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. E. Schmitt, 308 Sea Cliff, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Wagner, southwest corner of Spruce and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sara A. Farrar, north side of Twenty-first street, 246 feet east of Dolores street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Moore, north side of Chestnut street, 120 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Christian, east side of Broderick street, 200 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. D. Grandeman, south side of Lincoln way, 90 feet west of Forty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

General Engineering and Dry Dock Company, 1001 Battery street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alex Olsson, west side of Cole street, 105 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. J. Nelson, northwest corner of Chestnut and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. G. Koenig, 2575 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. H. H. Rose, 2506 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

David S. Mendel, east side of Hyde street, 125 feet south of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, 1250 Monterey boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Underground Ordinance, Sacramento Street, from Taylor Street to Van Ness Avenue.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee.

Bill No. 8734, Ordinance No. 8234 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section, to be known as Section 1 w.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 w.—An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after February 1, 1929, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 29, Sacramento street, from the east side of Taylor street to the east side of Van Ness avenue, approximately 2859 feet.

Jones and Taylor streets, 635 feet and 685 feet north of Pine street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Underground Ordinance, Mission Street, Twenty-ninth Street to Eugenia Avenue and Eugenia Avenue to Santa Marina Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8735, Ordinance No. 8235 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section, to be known as Section 1 x.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 x. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after February 1, 1929, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 30, Mission street from the south side of Twenty-ninth street to the north side of Eugenia avenue, approximately 670 feet; and from Eugenia avenue to Santa Marina avenue, approximately 690 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $47,692.16, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs	$34.91
Edna Nelson et al, compensation insurance	83.32
Tablet & Ticket Co., directory service, City Hall	90.00

NEW BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Payment to Crocker First National Bank for Services as Fiscal Agents.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $870.04 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment to Crocker First National Bank out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 23, for cost of exchange and services rendered as fiscal agents for the City and County of San Francisco, being for the six months ending Oct 1, 1928 (claim dated Oct. 6, 1928).

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of labor, etc., in the covering of side sewer trenches during July, August and September, 1928 (claim dated October 13, 1928)	$ 3,260.46
(2) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of hauling in connection with covering of side sewer trenches during months of July, August and September, 1928 (claim dated October 13, 1928)	379.00
(3) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of materials and supplies in connection with the covering of side sewer trenches during months of July, August and September, 1928 (claim dated October 13, 1928)	1,815.96
(4) Sewer Repair Department, Board Public Works, reimbursement for trucking charges in connection with side sewer installations (claim dated October 13, 1928)	844.40

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(5) Celite Products Co., celite for concrete, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated October 11, 1928)	$ 720.00
(6) Norris K. Davis, one concrete mixer (claim dated October 11, 1928)	1,700.00

(7) Herberts-Moore Machinery Co., one each grinding and milling machines (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 5,511.00
(8) Hitchcock & Tinkler Equipment Co., dump cars and sharpener parts (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 4,265.01
(9) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated October 11, 1928) 545.86
(10) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline and oil (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 509.00
(11) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated October 11, 1928) 7,060.98
(12) Soule Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated October 11, 1928) 2,659.26
(13) United States Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated October 11, 1928) 972.00
(14) Western Meat Co., meat (claim dated October 11, 1928) 720.57
(15) Western Well Works, Inc., construction of 700-foot well (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 4,900.00
(16) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of Revolving Fund, per vouchers attached (claim dated October 17, 1928) 2,587.94
(17) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, twenty-first payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated October 16, 1928) 15,506.20
(18) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., twenty-first payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated October 16, 1928).. 44,912.25

Auditorium Fund.

(19) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Auditorium (claim dated October 15, 1928).....$ 595.74

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) General Petroleum Corp'n, gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated October 13, 1928).....$ 1,822.20
(21) Pacilc Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated October 13, 1928)..... 36,550.64
(22) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of December 12, 1928..... 1,383.91
(23) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated October 13, 1928)..... 3,167.27
(24) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions and gratuities (claim dated October 8, 1928). 6,859.75

County Road Fund.

(25) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated October 15, 1928).....$ 1,807.57
(26) San Francisco Materials Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated October 15, 1928)..... 902.43
(27) Louis J. Cohn, final payment for furnishing and placing of steel tie rod anchorage at the Third and Channel bridge (claim dated October 16, 1928)..... 1,546.12
(28) Calaveras Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated October 17, 1928)..... 987.48
(29) San Francisco Gravel Co., rock and gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated October 17, 1928)..... 1,226.85

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(30) General Petroleum Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated October 15, 1928).....$ 1,184.26
(31) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928)..... 1,998.38
(32) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928)..... 2,622.36
(33) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928)..... 500.00

(34) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928) 840.00
(35) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928) 1,231.84
(36) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928) 1,314.52
(37) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928) 34,830.28
(38) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1926) 12,329.92
(39) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928) 3,904.33
(40) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928) 674.85
(41) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, month ending November 3, 1928 (claim dated October 22, 1928) 1,120.75
(42) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded for months of August and September, 1928 (claim dated October 22, 1928) 19,380.00
(43) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for month of September, 1928 (claim dated October 22, 1928) 58,091.42
(44) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's expenses for months of August and September (claim dated October 22, 1928) 1,464.16
(45) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., blankets for County Jail No. 1 (claim dated October 9, 1928) 550.00
(46) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for county jails (claim dated October 9, 1928) 811.81
(47) The Gunn Furniture Co., steel files for offices of County Clerk and Auditor (claim dated October 22, 1928) 3,075.80
(48) County Road Fund, reimbursement for labor during July, August and September, in connection with covering of main sewer trenches (claim dated October 13, 1928) ... 1,012.81
(49) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of materials and supplies for July, August and September, in connection with covering of main sewer trenches (claim dated October 13, 1928) 663.30
(50) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated September 29, 1928) 1,087.52
(51) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated September 29, 1928) 2,125.04
(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department, and installing hydrants (claim dated September 29, 1928) 2,005.71
(53) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 18, 1928) 951.06
(54) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 18, 1928) 3,309.62
(55) California Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 18, 1928) 3,951.82
(56) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated October 18, 1928) 600.00
(56a) The Texas Co., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated September 29, 1928) 609.00

Special School Tax.

(57) Park Commission, maintenance of school grounds for month of September (claim dated October 15, 1928) .....$ 1,300.00

County Road Fund.

(58) Kleiber Motor Truck Co., seven Kleiber trucks complete per specifications (claim dated October 22, 1928) $33,656.00

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:45 p. m. took a recess until 2.30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, MSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Kent was elected to preside.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Payment for Lands for Sunset Parkway.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $133,410.29 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928, and authorized in payment to the Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco; being payment for Lots 10 and 19 in Block 2389, Lot 1 in Block 2460-A, Lots 1, 3, 8, 9, 10 and 11 in Block 2505-A, Block 2520-A (excepting therefrom the westerly 25 x 123.110 feet fronting on Y street), as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; and as approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series); required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 18, 1928).

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Offer of W. A. Zirzow to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29824 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and contsruction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely: Wilhelm A. Zirzow and Ruth E. Zirzow, $150.

All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 46 in Block 7150, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 651 Palmetto avenue.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of

money to the above mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Accepting Offer of M. L. Messersmith to Sell Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29825 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Maria L. Messersmith and John J. Messermith, $165—Portion of Lot 25, Block 7151, as per the Assessor's Blcok Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer of sale.)

Annie Sager, $196—Portion of Lot 7, Block 7140, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

H. Norton, $300—All of Lot 31 in Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Accepting Offer of Eva Cohan to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29826 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Eva Cohan and Joe Cohan, $1,825—Portion of Lot 1, Block 5467, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to eramine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Accepting Offer of John F. O'Rourke et al. to Sell Land Required for Bernal Ctu.

Also, Resolution No. 29827 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

John F. O'Rourke, Jenny O'Rourke, Clarence R. Beall and Catherine Beall, $400—Portion of Lot 3, Block 6719, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City and County a deed conveying said property to the City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Accepting Offer of John P. Ashe et al. to Sell Land Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29828 New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

John P. Ashe and Loretta Ashe, $7,640—All of Lot 10 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantors shall have free rental until December 31, 1928.

J. M. Leisner, $1,500—All of Lot 27 of Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Accepting Offer to Sell Lands Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29829 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite its names, be accepted:

Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco, $133,410.29—All of Lots 10 and 19 of Block 2389; all of Lots 1, 3, 8, 9, 10 and 11 of Block 2505-A; all of Lot 1, Block 2460-A; all of Block 2520-A (excepting therefrom the westerly 25 x 123.110 feet fronting on Yorba street), as per the Assessor's Block Books of 1927 of the City and County of San Francisco. Together with all the appurtenances thereunto belonging.

The Parkside Realty Company agrees to pay in full the entire cost of street work on the Vicente street frontage of Block 2460-A, including the responsibility for the street crossings.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy

of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Sale of Improvements on Lands to Be Acquired for Public Purposes.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in all cases where the City and County shall have acquired, or may hereafter acquire, any real property on which may be situated any improvements, which said improvements are not necessary for the purposes for which said real property, shall have been, or may be acquired, and are not suitable for use by the City and County, that the Mayor, upon the recommendation of the department or official having said property in charge, be and he is hereby authorized to sell said improvements, or cause the same to be sold, at public auction. That before making said sale said Mayor shall cause a notice of said sale to be published for five days in the official newspaper and to be posted for a like period in a conspicuous place upon said improvements to be sold.

That said sales made as herein authorized shall be for cash upon tender of bill of sale conveying property sold to the purchaser thereof, and all said improvements so sold shall be removed from the premises upon which the same are located within thirty days from the date of sale, and the proceeds of any sale made as herein authorized shall be placed to the crdeit of the particular fund from which the purchase price of said improvements and the land on which the same are situate may have been expended. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized to retain a licensed auctioneer to make any sales herein provided for.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Auditor to Cancel Certificates of Sale.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29830 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property was erroneously sold to the State June 25, 1928, and recommends that such sales be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel the following sales in accordance with the provisions of Sections 3776 and 3804A of the Political Code:

Vol. 13, Block 1759, Lot 47—Philip A. Combouris (one-half interest); Sale No. 700.

Vol. 16, Block 2208, Lot 2—Mabel Steel (one-third interest); Sale No. 1181.

Vol. 33, Block 5536A, Lots 10-11-12—Lilly O'Connor; Sale No. 2004.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Transfer of Funds, Repairs to Equipment.

Also, Resolution No. 29831 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts of the Department of Public Works to the credit of Bureau of Stores and Yards, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for account of repairs

to equipment for the various bureaus of the Department of Public Works, to-wit:

From Budget Item 515, Bureau Street Repair	$795.00
From Budget Item 536, Bureau Street Cleaning	606.50
From Budget Item 549, Bureau Sewer Repair	345.69
From Budget Item 561, Auto Maintenance	95.88
From Budget Item 490, Bureau of Engineering	5.63

(To credit of Budget Item No. 448.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Traffic Signals and City Hall Repairs.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts for the following purposes, to-wit:

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item 57.

(1) For the purchase of material required for the manufacture and installation of traffic signals by the Department of Electricity $18,356.82

City Hall Repairs, Budget Item No. 54.

(2) For the cost of fitting up in the City Hall a financial substation for the United States Post Office..... $ 2,860.00

Appropriation, $1,480.19, Reimbursement for Labor, Etc., Painting Traffic Lanes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,480.19 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 57, to the credit of Budget Item No. 428-B, Department of Public Works; being reimbursement for labor and materials furnished and used in the painting of traffic lanes, etc., during the month of August, 1928.

Appropriation, $4,500, Reconstruction of Octavia Street Between California and Sacramento Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,500 be and the same is heerby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, for the reconstruction of Octavia street between California and Sacramento streets.

Appropriation, $46,761.35, Runway and Drainage, Mills Field.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $46,761.35 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1928-1929, to cover cost of contract for construction of a runway and drainage for the Municipal Airport at Mills Field, and including engineering and inspection. (Contract No. 11, Meyer Rosenberg, at $42,261.35; engineering and inspection, $4,500.)

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

City to Purchase Certain Land from W. B. Sharp, Upon Relinquishment by U. S. Government of Government Wharf, Between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street, for Recreation Purposes.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Government of the United States is in possession of a certain dock situated on the waterfront in the City and County of San Francisco between Van Ness avenue, Franklin street and Lewis street it extended into the Bay, which said dock is commonly known and designated as "Government Wharf"; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco claims to be the owner of said property; and

Whereas, the Government of the United States claims the right of possession of said wharf, but is willing to waive and surrender all right to the possession of said wharf, provided the City and County of San Francisco shall provide the said Government of the United States with suitable docking facilities adjacent to Transport Dock No. 3; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco can acquire suitable land to provide the said docking facilities for the said United States Government, at a price not to exceed the sum of $3,500, which said land is described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point 25 feet north of the northerly line of Lewis street on a line parallel to the easterly line of Transport Dock No. 3 and 243 feet and 9 inches easterly therefrom; thence 95 feet easterly; thence south 60 feet; thence at right angles westerly 90 feet; thence at right angles 85 feet to the point of beginning; all as shown on Blue Print No. 6616, Constructing Quartermaster's Office, San Francisco, California, dated June, 1928.

And whereas, the said land now occupied by the Government of the United States, and known as Government Wharf, is necessary for the construction of the Recreation Pier by the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, for the purpose of compromising or adjusting the differences between the Government of the United States and the City and County of San Francisco relative to said Government Wharf, and to enable said City and County of San Francisco to construct said Recreation Pier, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from W. B. Sharp the real property hereinbefore specifically described, and that upon the Government of the United States relinquishing all claim to said Government Wharf, and the land and water upon which the same is situated, that the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the Government of the United States giving said Government of the United States a right in perpetuity to maintain a dock on the said property hereinbefore described to be purchased from said W. B. Sharp, and to dock vessels of the Government of the United States in perpetuity at said dock; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County be and they are hereby directed to execute an agreement giving to the said Government of the United States the said right in perpetuity to maintain said dock on said property to be purchased from said W. B. Sharp; and be it

Further Resolved, That before said agreement with the Government of the United States is executed that it be approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Continental Bakery, east side of Bryant street, 100 feet south of Alameda street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. A. Cornely, Inc., 2663 Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. A. Cornely, Inc., 3712 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. O. Hoadley, No. 2 Sea Cliff avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Investment Properties, Inc., west side of Jones street, 125 feet south of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mr. Lamont, southwest corner of Eighteenth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alex D. McCarty, 180 Dorantes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. E. Morris, No. 1 McLaren avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Netherland Hotel, east side of Fourth street, 100 feet south of Howard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, 219 Kensington way, 600 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, 221 Kensington way, 600 gallons capacity.

Mr. Rothschild, 3456 Baker street, 750 gallons capacity.

Mr. Rothschild, southeast corner of Baker and North Point streets, 750 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Warehouse Co., 383 Brannan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed. Scully, northeast corner of Leavenworth and Greenwich streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed. Scully, east side of Leavenworth street, 62 feet north of Greenwich street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Spivock & Spivock, south side of Beach street, 150 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. O. Stuttmeister, 1240 McAllister street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. B. Swett, south side of San Anselmo avenue, 140 feet east of San Buena Ventura way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Helen S. Treat, 45 Parker avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Wilson, 2400 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

C. E. Phillips, 685 Bryant street, 20-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Supply Station Transfer, J. A. Oligny, Thirty-third Avenue and Balboa Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. A. Oligny be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted W. A. Smith by Resolution No. 28734 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Thirty-third avenue and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer Auto Supply Station, C. H. Phelps, McAllister and Hyde Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. H. Phelps be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted F. O. Foster by Resolution No. 27065 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of McAllister and Hyde streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Transfer, Kelly & Church, 1550 Union Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Kelly & Church be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred

public garage permit heretofore granted Geo. W. Ivester by Resolution No. 25554 (New Series) for premises at 1550 Union street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Supply Station Transfer, Coutts Lubrication Service, Van Ness and Golden Gate Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Coutts Lubrication Service be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Bay Counties Oil Company by Resolution No. 24257 (New Seires) for premises on the northwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee.

Resolution No. 29832 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

East and west sides Beckett street between Jackson and Pacific streets.

North and south sides Fulton street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

South side Filbert street, first and second west of Webster street.

North side Filbert street, first west of Webster street.

East and west sides Webster street, south of Filbert street.

East and west sides Webster street, north of Filbert street.

Northeast and southwest corners Filbert and Webster streets.

Morrell place, south of Broadway.

North side Broadway, west of Larkin street.

East and west sides Leavenworth street, north of Broadway.

Northeast and southwest corners Broadway and Hyde street.

North side Broadway, first and second west of Leavenworth street.

North and south sides Golden Gate avenue between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.

North and south sides Golden Gate avenue between Franklin and Gough streets.

North and south sides Oak street between Buchanan and Webster streets.

North and south sides Oak street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

North and south sides Oak street between Steiner and Pierce streets.

North and south sides Oak street between Pierce and Scott streets.

North and south sides Oak street between Scott and Divisadero streets.

North and south sides Fulton street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

East and west sides Gough street between Fell and Hayes streets.

North and south sides Linden street between Gough and Octavia streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Banks street between Tompkins avenue and Ogden street.

Seventh avenue between California and Lake streets.

Remove 300 *M. R.*

South side Pine street between Montgomery and Sansome streets.
Southeast corner Pine and Sansome streets.
Northeast corner Pine and Battery streets.
Southwest corner Pine and Front streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Southeast corner Buena Vista avenue and Haight street.
Northwest corner Buena Vista avenue and Wall street.
West side Buena Vista avenue between Central avenue and Frederick street.
West side Buena Vista avenue between Java street and Upper Terrace.
Southwest corner Buena Vista avenue and Frederick street.
Beckett street between Pacific and Jackson streets.
Edinburgh street between Russia street and Persia avenue.
Masonic avenue between Upper Terrace and Piedmont street.
Chenery street, 200 block.
North and south sides Fulton street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.
Fourteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.
Fourteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.
Webster and Filbert streets.
Webster street between Union and Filbert streets.
Webster street between Filbert and Greenwich streets.
South side Filbert street, west of Webster street (front of church).
North side Filbert street between Webster and Fillmore streets.
Banks street between Ogden and Crescent streets.
East side Prentiss street, north of Powhattan avenue.
Twenty-third street and Grand View avenue.
Corbett avenue, opposite 341.
Noe street between Twenty-ninth and Valley streets.
Linden street between Gough and Octavia streets.
Front of 455 Bryant street, south side.
North side Bryant street, second pole east of Third street.
Twenty-first avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

North side Frederick street, west of Buena Vista avenue.
Southeast corner Golden Gate avenue and Franklin street.

Install 250 *C. P. O. B. R.*

East and west sides Pinehurst way, north of Ocean avenue (3 lights).

Install 250 *M. R.*

Himmelman place and Salmon alley, south of Broadway.
Salmon alley, south of Pacific street.

Install 300 *Type "C."*

North and south sides Pine street between Montgomery and Sansome streets.
North and south sides Pine street between Sansome and Battery streets.
North and south sides Pine street between Battery and Front streets.
North and south sides Pine street between Front and Davis streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Pine and Sansome streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Pine and Battery streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Pine and Front streets.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Southeast corner Golden Gate avenue and Gough street.

Install 400 *M. R. No.* 4173.

North side Oak street between Buchanan and Webster streets.
North side Oak street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

North side Oak street between Steiner and Pierce streets.

North side Oak street between Scott and Divisadero streets.

Southeast corner Oak and Pierce streets.

Install 400 *M. R. No.* 4274.

East and west sides Gough street between Fell and Hayes streets.

Install 600 *M. R. No.* 4274.

North and south sides Golden Gate avenue between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.

North and south sides Golden Gate avenue between Franklin and Gough streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Golden Gate avenue and Franklin street.

Northeast and southwest corners Golden Gate avenue and Gough street.

Install four 75-watt warning lights on bulkhead on Peralta street between York and Holladay.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Declaring the Policy of Accepting Certain Streets in the Crocker-Amazon, Forest Hill and Forest Hill Extension Tracts.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, certain streets in the Crocker-Amazon, Forest Hill and Forest Hill Extension Tracts have never been accepted by the City and County of San Francisco, and as a consequence thereof no repairs or cleaning can be done by the Department of Public Works; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors declare as its policy, if legal means can be found, the acceptance of these streets, and that the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development be authorized to prepare, in conjunction with the City Attorney, the necessary legislation to legalize the acceptance of said streets; and be it

Further Resolved, That as soon as said legislation is prepared and adopted, that the Board of Public Works be requested to recommend to this Board that said streets be accepted by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Award of Contract, Lubricating Oil.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 29833 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract heretofore made to Galena-Signal Oil Company on Proposal No. 361 by Resolution No. 29269 (New Series), approved July 30, 1928, for furnishing certain items of lubricating oil during the fiscal year 1928-29 be hereby transferred to Standard Oil Company of California pursuant to assignment and acceptance on file in the Bureau of Supplies.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Traffic Ordinance Amendments.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Article I is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings, respectively, ascribed to them in this section.

Street—Every way set apart for public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A street with a roadway of less than twenty (20) feet.

Blind Alley—An alley which has only one end available for ingress or egress.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the roadway included within the prolongation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers or materials.

Passenger Loading Zone—The space adjacent to the curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers.

Vehicles—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District—That portion of this City bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from the east line of First street to the west line of Sixth street; Sixth street from the south line of Mission street to the south line of Market street; the intersection of Sixth street, Market street, Golden Gate avenue and Taylor street; Taylor street from the north line of Golden Gate avenue to the north line of Sutter street; Sutter street from the west line of Taylor street to the east line of Grant avenue; Grant avenue from the south line of Sutter street to

the north line of California street; California street from the west line of Grant avenue to the south line of Market street; Market street from the north line of California street to the west line of First street; First street from the north line of Market street to the south line of Mission street.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also, any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction of Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 3. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to

stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, except in passenger loading zones, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the central traffic district or in any business district during any hour of any day.

(2) In any loading zone, between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day.

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day.

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of the day.

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public building between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays.

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the

entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business.

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom at any hour of any day.

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) During the times specified in subsection (a) of this section, passenger vehicles shall not stand longer than five (5) minutes in a passenger loading zone, and it shall be unlawful to stand any commercial vehicle in such zone.

(d) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained by the Police Department to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones and passenger loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance; provided that a curb space of not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones and passenger loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in an emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic Committee.

Resolution No. 29834 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones of the lengths specified be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

240 Battery street, 18 feet, General Steamship Co.

210 Jones street, 18 feet, Governor Grill; Faverman Drug Co.; 1 elevator.

306 Leavenworth street, 27 feet, Hild's Transfer Co.

24-30 Main street, 36 feet, J. T. Erlin Mfg. Co.

1215 Market street, 18 feet, American Trust Bank; 1 elevator.

1036-1042 McAllister street, 27 feet, Heineman and Stern Sausage Co.

540 Mission street, 36 feet, Gerson and Breyer Hosiery Co.

45 Powell street, 18 feet, Gene Compton's Cafe.

334-340 Washington street, 27 feet, Parodi, Erminio & Co., groceries.

Resolved, That the following loading zones of the lengths specified be rescinded and reestablished as specified herein, in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

45 Halleck street, 36 feet, to be abolished, Hind, Rolph Co.; Dixon Fish Co.; 1 elevator.

310-314 Sutter street, 36 feet to 45 feet, Baldwin Piano; Notall building; J. Bash Auction Co.; 2 elevators.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Taxicab Stand Permits.

On recommendation of Police and Licenses Committee.

Resolution No. 29835 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Yellow Checker Cab Company—1421 Sutter street, one cab; 710 California street, one cab; 3 Davis street, one cab; 172 Bush street, one cab; 1655 Market street, one cab (transfer from 1673 Market street); 1072 Market street, one cab (transfer from 1044 Market street).

California Cab Company—254 Taylor street, one cab; 2189 Mission street, one cab; 603 Bush street, one cab.

United Cab Company—1006 Kearny street, two cabs.

Luxor Cab Company—3078 Sixteenth street, one cab; 834 Divisadero street, one cab; 604 Geary street, one cab; 208 Hyde street, one cab; 1396 Green street, one cab; 539 Broadway, one cab; 2001 Hyde street.

Peerless Cab Company—1702 O'Farrell street, one cab.

Eagle Cab Company—1211 Stockton street, one cab.

Lo-Fare Cab Company—1467 Hyde street, one cab; 3297 Twenty-second street, one cab; 509 Castro street, one cab; 702 Fourteenth street, one cab; 3079 Sixteenth street, one cab; 458 Leavenworth street, one cab; 1508 Eddy street, one cab.

Union Cab Company—2841 Twenty-fourth street, one cab; 1421 Stockton street, one cab; 3189 Sixteenth street, one cab; 1400 Jackson street, one cab; 1397 Haight street, one cab; 707 Fourteenth street, one cab; 17 Fell street, one cab.

G. B. McKinney—400 Mason street, one cab.

Red Crown Cab Company—206 Columbus avenue, one cab.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Cancellation of Taxicab Stand Permits.

Also, Resolution No. 29836 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby cancelled:

Yellow Checker Cab Company—134 California street, 607 Post street, 600 Eddy street, 382 Ellis street, 38 Fourth street, 200 Front street, 57 Geary street, 98 Golden Gate avenue, 12 Mason street, 421 Mason street, 500 McAllister street, 2293 Mission street, 411 O'Farrell street, 1524 Ocean avenue, 67 Powell street, 165 Powell street, 500 Powell street, 18 Taylor street, 55 Taylor street, 242 Turk street, 315 Turk street, 3330 Sloat boulevard.

Red Top Cab Company—1006 Kearny street.

Blue Bird Cab Company—1971 Sutter street.

Peerless Cab Company—1251 Grant avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Masquerade Ball Permit, Star of Finland.

Also, Resolution No. 29837 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Star of Finland be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball in Finnish Brotherhood Hall, 425 Hoffman avenue, Saturday evening, November 10, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

Ordinance Regulating Parades and Processions.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along, or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four (24) hours previous to its forming or marching and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police. Provided, however, that no parade or procession shall occupy or march upon any street in the Central Traffic District unless special permit in writing has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Any person who, upon making application, has been denied such written permit may refer such application to the "Committee on Parades" for further consideration and action.

Section 4. The Police Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to act as a "Committee on Parades" for the purposes of this ordinance.

Said Committee is hereby given the right and it shall be its duty to consider and act upon any application for a permit, provided for in Section 3 hereof, that has been referred to it in accordance with the provisions of said section. The findings of such Committee shall be binding upon the Chief of Police.

Section 5. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges and the apparatus of the Fire Department, responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department and Fire Marshal and auxiliary fire apparatus, belonging to any person, firm or corporation when such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment and the United States mail wagons shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 6. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession are within one (1) block of said crossings and the intersections and said line shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 7. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert said parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over

and along the nearest cross street from such fire, accident or emergency and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 9. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Leave of Absence, Sheriff Fitzgerald.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., October 16, 1928.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. William J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff of this City and County, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a peirod of two weeks commencing October 19, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29838 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. William J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing October 19, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Sigmund Stern.

Resolution No. 29840 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Sigmund Stern, Playground Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing November 7, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Disapproval of Charter Amendment No. 24, Initiative Petition of Market Street Railway Company for Indeterminate Franchises.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 29839 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there has been submitted to the people of San Francisco, by the initiative method, a charter amendment permitting the privately-owned street railway companies to surrender their existing franchises, and to obtain in lieu thereof revocable permits or indeterminate franchises to continue to operate their railways over the streets of our city, which said charter amendment will appear upon the ballot at the coming election as "Charter Amendment No. 24"; and

Whereas, said amendment, if adopted, will redound to the benefit of the private corporations now operating street railways in San Fran-

cisco, and to the detriment of the people of our city, for the following reasons:

1. That the approval of the amendment will add millions of dollars to the value of the properties of the companies, without improving the character of said physical properties, and will accordingly increase the price of said properties should the City desire to purchase the same.

2. That the revocable permit will be practically a perpetual franchise for the reason that it can only be revoked by the City voting bonds to purchase the properties of the private company, and there will be every incentive for the company to defeat any bond issue to provide funds for the purchase price which may be submitted to the people, for the reason that until the purchase price is paid the private company will continue to operate.

3. There will be no possibility of the company agreeing with the City upon a satisfactory purchase price, for the reason that until a purchase price is agreed upon or fixed, the private company continues to operate.

4. If an agreement cannot be reached between the City and the company, the City must bear the entire expense of ascertaining the value of the properties.

5. When the value of the properties is fixed, the price must be paid within a period of not less than three months nor more than twelve months. This purchase price must be the result of a bond issue approved by two-thirds of the voters. If the bonds are not approved on their first submission, it would be next to impossible to submit them again within a year, and the City would be compelled to proceed anew to fix the value of the properties.

6. The revocable permit to be issued under the proposed amendment will be upon the same conditions as are contained in the franchises issued fifty years ago. If a franchise is to be issued to any company, it should be upon such conditions as would be appropriate to meet the needs of the present day, not the needs of fifty years ago.

7. Under the provisions of the amendment the City must take over the entire properties of the company, irrespective of conditions of equipment, or rolling stock, and likewise irrespective as to whether or not any particular line will fit in with the City's system, or can be operated by the City without a great financial loss to the City.

8. The propaganda being circulated by the proponents of the amendment, to the effect that upon the expiration of existing railway franchises, the cars of the privately-owned companies must cease operating, is untrue. Unless the companies themselves cease the operation of their cars, they will be permitted to run until the City takes over the lines or makes other arrangements for their operation. During this period the cost of upkeep of both roads and rolling stock can be cared for by agreement between the City and the company.

9. The passage of the amendment will place the privately-owned companies in a position to immediately apply to the Railroad Commission for an increase of fares, and if that body should fail to grant such increase, to make the increase themselves and go to the Federal courts and prevent the enforcement of such increase being interfered with, as did the privately-owned companies in Los Angeles.

10. The City Engineer and City Attorney are, under authority of this Board, now making an investigation as to the value of the properties of the privately-owned companies. This investigation will be completed early in the coming year, when a report as to the value of the properties and to what extent they can be utilized in connection with out municipal system and a plan for their acquisition will be made to the people. No action should be taken at this time which will prevent the people putting into effect any plan submitted should they desire to do so.

11. That, should this amendment become a law, the streets of San

Francisco are, for transportation purposes, given over to the existing privately-owned railway companies until the City purchases their equipment, and no matter whether the City could or could not make use of that equipment, it must purchase it before it could enter into any other arrangement for street railway transportation. Time has changed and will again change this important adjunct of municipal life. We have seen the horse car entirely, and the cable car practically, superseded by the electric trolley. We should not place ourselves in the position that we cannot benefit by the advent of improvements and inventions as they are given to the world. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in regular meeting assembled to hereby call upon the people of San Francisco to disapprove the proposed Charter Amendment No. 24.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Police Commission to Appoint Four Additional Sergeants of Police.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 29841 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Police Commission be and is hereby authorized to appoint four additional Sergeants of Police; and

Further Resolved, That the Auditor of the City and County be and is hereby authorized and requested to transfer from surplus in the Police Department salary fund a sufficient amount to meet the amount required due to the appointment of the four additional Sergeants of Police, as aforesaid; effective from and after November 1, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Toner—5.

Accepting Offer of Henry H. Patterson et al. to Sell Easements Required in Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 29842 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described easements situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sums set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Henry H. Patterson and William D. Patterson, $750—

Parcel 1. Subsurface easement for two aqueduct tunnels through the South ½ of Section 14, the East ½ of the Southeast ¼ of Section 15 and Lots III, IV, V and VI in Section 15, Township 4 South, Range 2 East, M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 2. Right to construct, maintain and operate an electric transmission line and telephone line over the land hereinabove described in Parcel 1.

Henry H. Patterson and William D. Patterson, $400—The right to widen, reconstruct, relocate and use the present road through Sections 3, 10 and 11, Township 4 South, Range 2 East, M. D. B. and M., and the right to remove gravel for surfacing said road from Arroyo Valle Creek.

(All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to

said easements, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying said easements to said City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Rental of Portion of Sweeney Ranch to J. Britschge.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 29843 (New Series), as follows:

Upon recommendation of the City Engineer, the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to rent to J. Britschge for fifty and 00/100 dollars ($50.00) per month, on a month to month basis, all those portions of the Sweeney Ranch in San Mateo County, purchased by the City and County of San Francisco for aqueduct and storage purposes.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

State Constitutional Amendment No. 1 Condemned.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 29844 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, State Amendment No. 1 on the ballot reduces San Francisco representatives in the State Senate from seven Senators to one Senator for the entire county; and

Whereas, there is a well-defined movement to move all State offices from San Francisco to Sacramento, which the State Senators from San Francisco have successfully and soundly opposed; and

Whereas, the thirty-five million dollars a year received from the gas tax by the State is allotted to the different counties by the members of the Legislature when in session; and

Whereas, San Francisco is vitally interested in the Bay Shore Highway and the Skyline boulevard, receiving its just share of money from the Legislature; and

Whereas, State Amendment No. 1 is taxation without representation, as under this plan almost two-thirds of our population would be represented by three State Senators, and the one-third by thirty-seven Senators; be it

Resolved, That this body advise the voters to vote "no" on State Amendment No. 1, misnamed to mislead the voters "Federal Plan," as this amendment only affects the State Legislature and not Congress of the United States.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

Privilege of the Floor.

Roy Fellom, State Senator, was granted the privilege of the floor on the foregoing matter and pointed out the disadvantages and discrimination against large centers of population and compared with legislative representation of rural districts if the act is approved by the electorate.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Broadway.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Bill No. 8738, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office October 22, 1928, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-six, to read as follows:

Section 1056. The width of sidewalks on Broadway between Larkin street and Van Ness avenue shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Toner—6.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 4:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 13, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 45

Monday, October 29, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 29, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 31, September 24 and October 1, 1928, were considered read and *approved.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Waffle Cloth Curtains.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing waffle cloth curtains for balcony, Exposition Auditorium, between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. of this day and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Athletic Goods.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing athletic goods for School Department and for Playground Commissioners between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Bridge Application, Montgomery K. Miller.

Application of Montgomery K. Miller for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge from the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to the City of Oakland, Alameda County, California, and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years after date of the granting of said franchise.

The bridge is to be located along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46 on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection with the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the cen-

ter line of Eighth street, in the City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said bridge is approximately 30,000 feet and the width of said bridge is approximately 80 feet. The said bridge will include an overhead approach to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity.

Attached drawing shows location.

Privilege of the Floor.

Montgomery K. Miller was granted the privilege of the floor and was heard at length regarding his application.

Motion.

Supervisor Andriano moved that the application be denied.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I desire to explain my vote. I am going to vote against dismissing this case on the ground that I have not, as a member of this Board, heard the project explained by the applicant. I know nothing about his project and I think there is not a member of the Board that knows anything about the project. I think we should all vote that down. I cannot consistently vote to reject something that I have not heard anything about and know nothing about, and for that reason only and without prejudice I shall vote no.

Supervisor Powers: I would like to ask this gentleman a question. Supervisor Gallagher was arguing this matter two hours, and we had that up two consecutive days with nearly a full membership listening.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I am not satisfied yet. I don't know that it would not cost the City a cent, as the remark was made this morning by this gentleman. He is the applicant, and I believe we should hear him fully. I am not saying what we will do later on, but at the present time I would like to hear the explanation. It might fit in with our plans of public ownership and it might not. I don't know.

The Clerk: Motion by Supervisor Andriano, seconded by Supervisor Powers, that the bridge application of Montgomery K. Miller be denied.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Shannon, Todd—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Suhr—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Supervisor Andriano: I think it would be advisable to have the record show that the applicant was given full opportunity to be heard; that no one objected to his being heard fully, and that when the motion was made to deny the application no protest of any sort was made by the applicant.

The Chairman (Supervisor Havenner): If there is no objection, such will be the order. The application is denied.

Supervisor Colman: That is not the fact, is it?

The Chairman (Supervisor Havenner): That the application is denied?

Supervisor Colman: No, about the objection.

Supervisor Andriano: He was given the privilege of the floor to talk as long as he wanted, and when I made the motion to deny the application the applicant did not make a protest or ask any further hearing.

Supervisor Roncovieri: He expressed a desire for the full membership of the Board to be present, but did not give us his right to continue. Are you satisfied with the hearing you have had?

Mr. Miller: Not at all.

Supervisor Roncovieri: If you are, I am satisfied.

Mr. Miller: The fact is you have taken your vote, and I suppose the issue is closed; but the fact is, gentlemen, that the bridge problem, in its most vital and essential particulars, has not been digested by this Board. That is made plainly evident by the fact that you yourselves have changed your own policy three times, and you have not

gotten down to bed rock; and the thought I had in asking for a continuance, before a full membership of the Board, was to go into the subject in detail and prove to you those facts and point out to you that my general proposal was really a correct solution of the bridge problem. There never has been placed before any American city the proposition where a municipality will reap the full benefit of private enterprise without paying for it, and I repeat to you that this bridge will be handed over to this municipality without cost of a cent. There is not anything more to be gained on behalf of the public than is embodied in my general proposition.

The Chairman (Supervisor Havenner): For the purposes of the record I desire to make a statement: The gentleman's repeated assertion that this Board has changed its policy three times is fundamentally incorrect. The fundamental policy of this Board, as repeatedly declared, was that this bridge should be publicly financed, constructed and operated, and the Board has consistently adhered to that policy ever since it first enunciated it last year. The only amendments to the original policy have been one of procedure with respect to accomplishing the name of public ownership and operation. The Board is absolutely adhering to its present policy, and I predict that it will adhere to it until the session of Congress is opened.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued two weeks*:

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

The following ordinance, heretofore refused passage by the following vote, is up on notice of reconsideration given by Supervisor Marks at last meeting:

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 4 and 6 and adding a new section, to be numbered Section 6-A, to Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled, "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Sections Nos. 4 and 6 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), the title to which is hereinabove recited, are hereby amended, and there is added thereto a new section, to be numbered 6-A, said amendment and new section to read as follows:

Section 4. Before any person shall engage in the business of dealing in any second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, he must make application to the Board of Police Commissioners for a permit therefor, which said Board may, by resolution, grant permission to said applicant to receive a license from the Tax Collector upon the payment of the license fee required; provided, however, that said Board shall have the power to revoke said permission upon good cause being shown.

Section 6. The term "furniture and household goods," as used in this ordinance, shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor covering, crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 6-A. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Finally passed—September 17, 1928.

October 22, 1928—*Refused passage by the following vote*:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr—9.

Noes—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Toner—5.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Marks, having voted with the prevailing side, gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the foregoing action at this meeting.

Licenses for Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Bill No. 8708, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be numbered Section 62-B, relative to license fee on dealers in second-hand furniture and household goods.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered Section 62-B, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, to read as follows:

Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Section 62-B. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling or exchanging second-hand furniture and household goods, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, shall (after securing a quarterly permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to carry on said business), pay a license of ten ($10) dollars per quarter.

The term "furniture and household goods" as used in this ordinance shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings, crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 2. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

September 24, 1928—*Over one week.*

October 1, 1928—*Over one week.*

October 8, 1928—*Over one week, Special Order*, 11 *a. m.*

October 15, 1928—*Over one week, Special Order*, 11 *a. m.*

Repealing Ordinance passed to print Monday, October 8, 1928.

October 22, 1928—*Over one week.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29846 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of labor, etc., in the covering of side sewer trenches during July, August and September, 1928 (claim dated October 13, 1928) $ 3,260.46

(2) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of hauling in connection with covering of side sewer trenches during months of July, August and September, 1928 (claim dated October 13, 1928) 379.00

(3) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of materials and supplies in connection with the covering of side sewer trenches during months of July, August and September, 1928 (claim dated October 13, 1928)..... 1,815.96
(4) Sewer Repair Department, Board Public Works, reimbursement for trucking charges in connection with side sewer installations (claim dated October 13, 1928)..... 844.40

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(5) Celite Products Co., celite for concrete, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated October 11, 1928).....$ 720.00
(6) Norris K. Davis, one concrete mixer (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 1,700.00
(7) Herberts-Moore Machinery Co., one each grinding and milling machines (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 5,511.00
(8) Hitchcock & Tinkler Equipment Co., dump cars and sharpener parts (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 4,265.01
(9) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated October 11, 1928) 545.86
(10) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline and oil (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 509.00
(11) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated October 11, 1928) 7,060.98
(12) Soule Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated October 11, 1928) 2,659.26
(13) United States Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated October 11, 1928) 972.00
(14) Western Meat Co., meat (claim dated October 11, 1928) 720.57
(15) Western Well Works, Inc., construction of 700-foot well (claim dated October 11, 1928)..... 4,900.00
(16) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of Revolving Fund, per vouchers attached (claim dated October 17, 1928) 2,587.94
(17) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, twenty-first payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated October 16, 1928) 15,506.20
(18) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., twenty-first payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels (claim dated October 16, 1928).. 44,912.25

Auditorium Fund.

(19) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Auditorium (claim dated October 15, 1928).....$ 595.74

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) General Petroleum Corp'n, gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated October 13, 1928).....$ 1,822.20
(21) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated October 13, 1928)..... 36,550.64
(22) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of December 12, 1928..... 1,383.91
(23) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated October 13, 1928)..... 3,167.27
(24) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions and gratuities (claim dated October 8, 1928). 6,859.75

County Road Fund.

(25) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated October 15, 1928).....$ 1,807.57
(26) San Francisco Materials Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated October 15, 1928)..... 902.43
(27) Louis J. Cohn, final payment for furnishing and placing of steel tie rod anchorage at the Third and Channel bridge (claim dated October 16, 1928)..... 1,546.12
(28) Calaveras Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated October 17, 1928)..... 987.48

(29) San Francisco Gravel Co., rock and gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated October 17, 1928)..... 1,226.85

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(30) General Petroleum Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated October 15, 1928).....$ 1,184.26
(31) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928)..... 1,998.38
(32) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928)..... 2,622.36
(33) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928)..... 500.00
(34) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928)..... 840.00
(35) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928) 1,231.84
(36) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928) 1,314.52
(37) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928) 34,830.28
(38) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1926)..... 12,329.92
(39) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928)..... 3,904.33
(40) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated October 16, 1928)..... 674.85
(41) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, month ending November 3, 1928 (claim dated October 22, 1928) 1,120.75
(42) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded for months of August and September, 1928 (claim dated October 22, 1928) 19,380.00
(43) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for month of September, 1928 (claim dated October 22, 1928)..... 58,091.42
(44) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's expenses for months of August and September (claim dated October 22, 1928).... 1,464.16
(45) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., blankets for County Jail No. 1 (claim dated October 9, 1928)..... 550.00
(46) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for county jails (claim dated October 9, 1928)..... 811.81
(47) The Gunn Furniture Co., steel files for offices of County Clerk and Auditor (claim dated October 22, 1928) 3,075.80
(48) County Road Fund, reimbursement for labor during July, August and September, in connection with covering of main sewer trenches (claim dated October 13, 1928)... 1,012.81
(49) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of materials and supplies for July, August and September, in connection with covering of main sewer trenches (claim dated October 13, 1928)..... 663.30
(50) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated September 29, 1928)..... 1,087.52
(51) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated September 29, 1928)..... 2,125.04
(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department, and installing hydrants (claim dated September 29, 1928) 2,005.71
(53) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 18, 1928) 951.06
(54) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 18, 1928)..... 3,309.62
(55) California Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 18, 1928)..... 3,951.82
(56) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim

dated October 18, 1928)..... 600.00
(56a) The Texas Co., fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated September 29, 1928)..... 609.00

Special School Tax.

(57) Park Commission, maintenance of school grounds for month of September (claim dated October 15, 1928).....$ 1,300.00

County Road Fund.

(58) Kleiber Motor Truck Co., seven Kleiber trucks complete per specifications (claim dated October 22, 1928)....$33,656.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Payment for Lands for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29847 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $133,410.29 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928, and authorized in payment to the Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco; being payment for Lots 10 and 19 in Block 2389, Lot 1 in Block 2460-A, Lots 1, 3, 8, 9, 10 and 11 in Block 2505-A, Block 2520-A (excepting therefrom the westerly 25 x 123.110 feet fronting on Y street), as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; and as approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series); required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 18, 1928).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Appropriations, Traffic Signals and City Hall Repairs.

Also, Resolution No. 29848 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts for the following purposes, to-wit:

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item 57.

(1) For the purchase of material required for the manufacture and installation of traffic signals by the Department of Electricity $18,356.82

City Hall Repairs, Budget Item No. 54.

(2) For the cost of fitting up in the City Hall a financial substation for the United States Post Office.....$ 2,860.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Appropriation, $1,480.19, Reimbursement for Labor, Etc., Painting Traffic Lanes.

Also, Resolution No. 29849 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,480.19 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 57, to the credit of Budget Item No. 428-B, Department of Public Works; being reimbursement for labor and materials furnished and used in the painting of traffic lanes, etc., during the month of August, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Appropriation, $4,500, Reconstruction of Octavia Street Between California and Sacramento Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29850 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, for the reconstruction of Octavia street between California and Sacramento streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Appropriation, $46,761.35, Runway and Drainage, Mills Field.

Also, Resolution No. 29851 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $46,761.35 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1928-1929, to cover cost of contract for construction of a runway and drainage for the Municipal Airport at Mills Field, and including engineering and inspection. (Contract No. 11, Meyer Rosenberg, at $42,261.35; engineering and inspection, $4,500.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29852 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Continental Bakery, east side of Bryant street, 100 feet south of Alameda street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. A. Cornely, Inc., 2663 Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. A. Cornely, Inc., 3712 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. O. Hoadley, No. 2 Sea Cliff avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Investment Properties, Inc., west side of Jones street, 125 feet south of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mr. Lamont, southwest corner of Eighteenth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alex D. McCarty, 180 Dorantes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. E. Morris, No. 1 McLaren avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Netherland Hotel, east side of Fourth street, 100 feet south of Howard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, 219 Kensington way, 600 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, 221 Kensington way, 600 gallons capacity.

Mr. Rothschild, 3456 Baker street, 750 gallons capacity.

Mr. Rothschild, southeast corner of Baker and North Point streets, 750 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Warehouse Co., 383 Brannan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed. Scully, northeast corner of Leavenworth and Greenwich streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed. Scully, east side of Leavenworth street, 62 feet north of Greenwich street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Spivock & Spivock, south side of Beach street, 150 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. O. Stuttmeister, 1240 McAllister street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. B. Swett, south side of San Anselmo avenue, 140 feet east of San Buena Ventura way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Helen S. Treat, 45 Parker avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Wilson, 2400 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

C. E. Phillips, 685 Bryant street, 20-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Supply Station Transfer, J. A. Oligny, Thirty-third Avenue and Balboa Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29853 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. A. Oligny be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted W. A. Smith by Resolution No. 28734 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Thirty-third avenue and Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Transfer Auto Supply Station, C. H. Phelps, McAllister and Hyde Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29854 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. H. Phelps be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted F. O. Foster by Resolution No. 27065 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of McAllister and Hyde streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Garage Transfer, Kelly & Church, 1550 Union Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29855 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Kelly & Church be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Geo. W. Ivester by Resolution No. 25554 (New Series) for premises at 1550 Union street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Supply Station Transfer, Coutts Lubrication Service, Van Ness and Golden Gate Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. 29856 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Coutts Lubrication Service be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Bay Counties Oil Company by Resolution No. 24257 (New Series) for premises on the northwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Broadway Between Larkin Street and Van Ness Avenue.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee:

Bill No. 8738, Ordinance No. 8237 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 22, 1928, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-six, to read as follows:

Section 1056. The width of sidewalks on Broadway between Larkin street and Van Ness avenue shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $55,650.60, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Action Deferred.

The following items were *laid over one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs	$34.91
Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance	83.32
Tablet & Ticket Co., directory service, City Hall	90.00

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Playground Commission.

(1) C. C. W. and H. H. Haun, construction of courts at the Ocean View Playground (claim dated October 17, 1928)	$ 3,645.00
(2) Klawans & McMullin, playground recreational supplies (claim dated October 17, 1928)	1,235.00
(3) Meyer Rosenberg, hauling and trucking for playgrounds (claim dated October 17, 1928)	3,877.50

(4) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated October 17, 1928)..... 1,617.60

Municipal Railway Fund.

(5) Hancock Bros. Inc., printing transfers for Municipal Railways (claim dated October 18, 1928).....$ 1,264.80

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(6) Nettie E. Noel, in full settlement of claim for account of injuries and damages suffered by her on January 6, 1927 (claim dated October 18, 1928).....$ 600.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Issue 1925.

(7) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated October 19, 1928) .....$ 858.36

Boulevard Bond Issue.

(8) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, for fill, Alemany boulevard, between Mt. Vernon and Foote avenues (claim dated October 17, 1928) .....$ 1,331.00

(9) Louis J. Cohn, third payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section "A," Contract 6, between Potrero and Silver avenues (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 8,850.00

Special School Tax.

(10) Alta Electric Co., final payment for electrical work, annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated October 24, 1928) .....$ 2,843.06

(11) W. H. Crim, first payment, architectural services for Park-Presidio Junior High School (claim dated October 23, 1928) 10,800.00

(12) G. Albert Lansburgh, second payment, architectural services for addition to Polytechnic High School (claim dated October 23, 1928)..... 3,480.00

(13) Jenison Machinery Co., machinery for Balboa High School (claim dated October 23, 1928)..... 1,533.00

(14) Harron, Rickard & McCone Co., engine lathes for Everett Junior High School (claim dated October 23, 1928) 1,504.00

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(15) Royal Typewriter Co., 19 typewriter machines for Galileo High School (claim dated October 23, 1928).....$ 1,330.00

(16) General Electric Co., electric testing equipment for Mission High School (claim dated October 23, 1928)..... 952.08

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(17) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated October 29, 1928) .....$ 1,977.20

(18) The Electric Corporation, electrical supplies, Department of Electricity (claim dated September 30, 1928).... 566.06

(19) Robert A. Smith, Inc., three Chevrolet coupes for Department of Electricity (claim dated September 30, 1928). 2,005.50

(20) Sierra Electric Co., furnishing and installing teletype system in Police Department (claim dated October 15, 1928) 9,750.80

(21) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated October 22, 1928)..... 1,364.27

(22) San Francisco Stove Works, castings for Department of Electricity (claim dated September 30, 1928)..... 998.04

(23) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, restoring roadways, due to sewer construction, Golden Gate Heights (claim dated October 17, 1928) 1,451.37

(24) Daniel C. McCabe, construction of concrete sidewalk fronting Bay View School, Flora street (claim dated October 17, 1928) 787.50

(25) Albert E. Clifford, Board of Works painter, fourteenth payment, compensation insurance (claim dated October 15, 1928) 1,901.48
(26) Levison Printing Co., binding block books for various departments (claim dated October 19, 1928)..... 504.24
(27) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated October 19, 1928)..... 4,259.19
(28) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated October 19, 1928)..... 2,159.39
(29) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of Civic Center (claim dated October 12, 1928). 751.45
(30) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated September 30, 1928) 3,000.00
(31) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1928) 878.39
(32) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1928)..... 1,109.19
(33) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1928)..... 2,142.66
(34) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated September 30, 1928)..... 1,616.49
(35) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Relief Home (claim dated September 29, 1928)..... 4,570.96
(36) Phillips & Van Orden, printing sample and official ballots for Department of Elections (claim dated October 25, 1928) 9,498.40

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 57.

(37) R. H. Morrow, attorney for judgment creditor, one-tenth of judgment, including interest, pursuant to writ of mandate, as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated November 9, 1928) .....$ 800.18

County Road Fund.

(38) C. C. W. and H. H. Haun, fourth payment, improvement of Laguna Honda boulevard, including construction of sewers, from Noriega street southerly (claim dated October 24, 1928) .....$ 8,000.00

Payments for Properties for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To J. M. Leisner, for Lot 27 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29828 (New Series), and required for opening of Sunset parkway (claim dated October 17, 1928).....$ 1,500.00
(2) To John P. Ashe and Loretta Ashe, for Lot 10 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29828 (New Series), and required for the opening of Sunset parkway (claim dated October 17, 1928) 7,640.00
(3) To William F. Senner, for Lot 23-A in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29859 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Sunset parkway (claim dated October 24, 1928).... 1,500.00
(4) Louis H. Winters and Cathryn M. Kohake, for Lot 35 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29859 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Sunset parkway (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 7,550.00

(5) To Peter Costopulos and Eufrasina Costopulos, for Lot 19 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29859 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Sunset parkway (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 1,625.00

(6) To Parkside Realty Company, for Lots 22, 23 and 24 in Block Book 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29859 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Sunset boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 6,150.00

(7) To John A. Welch, for Lot 36 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29859 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 1,500.00

(8) To Edward Lazzereschi and Ruth Lazzereschi, for all of Lot 1 in Block 7159, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29857 (New Series), and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 4,800.00

(9) To W. H. Odell and L. A. T. Odell, for a portion of Lot 22 in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29857 (New Series), and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 1,230.00

(10) To Maria Brasesco and John Brasesco, for a portion of Lot 5 in Block 7140, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29857 (New Series), and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 1,384.00

(11) To Aloysius I. O'Brien, for portion of Lot 2-A in Block 6308 (50 x 59, irregular), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29863 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 1,500.00

(12) To Bertha Stampfer (formerly Bertha Beenck), for portion of Lot 23 in Block 5465 (25 x 100), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29863 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 4,664.00

(13) To Catherine Sarthou, for Lot 12 in Block 5036, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29863 (New Series), required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 2,300.00

(14) To Adelaide E. Burnett, for portions of Lots 1-B and 2 in Block 6308, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29863 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 15,900.00

(15) To G. Parodi and Luisa Parodi, for portion of Lot 2 in Block 5466 (2 x 22, triangular shape), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29863 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 522.40

Payments for Properties Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To James R. Vaughan, for portion of Lot 6 in Block 6693 (25 x 80), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as

authorized by Resolution No. 29860 (New Series) (claim dated October 24, 1928).....$ 1,000.00

(2) To Joseph J. Powers, in satisfaction of Superior Court judgment, Action No. 195126 of the records thereof in eminent domain for the acquisition of lands for boulevard purposes—opening of Bernal Cut; said property being situate on Bosworth and Arlington streets (claim dated October 22, 1928) 11,000.00

Alterations to Women's Jail.

Also, Bill No. 8739, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows

Ordering the construction of the following work in and on County Jail No. 1 (Women's Jail), to-wit: Alterations on the third floor of south wing, installation of elevator from third floor to roof, and alterations to roof, so as to provide for a roof garden, in accordance with plans and specifications which are hereby ordered to be prepared; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction and alterations in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, and which are hereby approved. The cost of said work to be borne out of Women's Jail Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contracts for the construction of the following work in and on County Jail No. 1 (Women's Jail), to-wit: Alterations on the third floor of south wing, installation of elevator from the third floor to the roof, and alterations to roof so as to provide for a roof garden, in accordance with plans and specifications which are hereby ordered to be prepared, and which are hereby approved. The cost of said work to be borne out of Women's Jail Fund.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Offers, Land for Alemany Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29857 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Edward Lazzereschi and Ruth Lazzereschi, $4,800—All of Lot 1, Block 7159, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Maria Brasesco and Giovani Brasesco, $1,384—Portion of Lot 5, Block 7140, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount includes, in addition to payment for the above described parcel, payment in full for the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described parcel; said improvements to be relocated by the said Maria Brasesco and Giovani Brasesco within thirty (30) days after recordation of the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

W. H. Odell and L. A. T. Odell, $1,230—Portion of Lot 22, Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount includes, in addition to payment for the above described parcel, payment in full for the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described parcel; said improvements to be relocated by the said W. H. Odell and L. A. T. Odell within

thirty (30) days after recordation of the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Accepting Offers, Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29858 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

John Kalstad, $110—The northeasterly portions of Lots Nos. 10 and 11, Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

As a further consideration it is understood and agreed that John Kalstad is to receive a deed to the triangular parcel of land, approximately 12 feet x 30 feet, which lies between the remaining portions of Lots Nos. 10 and 11 and the southwesterly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard and which is no longer necessary for street purposes.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found saitsfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Accepting Offers, Lands for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29859 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

William F. Senner, $1,500—Lot 23-A, Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Louis H. Winters and Cathryn M. Kohake, $7,550—Lot 35, Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantors shall retain possession of the house situate on the above described property, without cost, until December 31, 1928, subject to a 30-day notice of removal.

Peter Costofulos and Eufrasina Costopulos, $1,625—Lot 19, Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco, $6,150—Lots 22, 23 and 24, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

John A. Welch, $1,500—Lot 36, Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Accepting Offer, Land for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29860 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

James R. Vaughan, $1,000—Portion of Lot 6, Block 6693, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Release From Claim of Damage, R. Trask et al., Bay Shore Boulevard Construction.

Also, Resolution No. 29861 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Bay Shore boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the grading and construction of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Bay Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of said offer as per the following terms, viz.:

Robert Trask and Nellie Trask, $339.80—Lot 6, Block 5438, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 526 Charter Oak avenue.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of said specified amount to the above mentioned persons upon receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Accepting Offer, Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29862 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Umberto Dean and Annie Dean, $300—Portion of Lot 4, Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

As a further consideration said Umberto Dean and Annie Dean are to receive a deed conveying to them the following property owned by the City and County of San Francisco:

The easterly portion of Lot 5, Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Accepting Offer, Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29863 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

G. Parodi and Luisa Parodi, $522.40—Portion of Lot 2, Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount includes payment in full for a concrete retaining wall on the remaining property of said G. Parodi and Luisa Parodi. (All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Bertha Stampfer (formerly Bertha Beenck), $4,664—Portion of Lot 23, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Catherine Sarthou, $2,300—Lot 12, Block 5036, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adelaide E. Burnett, $15,900—Portions of Lots 1-B and 2, Block 6308, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount of $15,900 includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the parcel of land hereinabove described; subject, however, to the proper restoration of sidewalk and street work in as good condition as exists in front of property at the date of offer on file. (All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Aloysius I. O'Brien, $1,500—Portion of Lot 2-A, Block 6306, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $11,000, Payment to J. J. Powers in Satisfaction of Judgment, Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of eleven thousand ($11,000) dollars be, and the same is, set aside and appropriated out of the "Bernal Cut" Bonds and payable to Joseph J. Powers, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff, vs. Joseph J. Powers, Defendant, and numbered 195126 of the records thereof in eminent domain, for the acquisition of lands for boulevard purposes.

Be it further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—10.

Excused—Supervisor Powers—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

City Attorney to Compromise, for $16,250, Case of Joseph L. Bayles for Injuries From Municipal Railway Collision.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29864 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Joseph L. Bayles filed a complaint against the City and County of San Francisco asking $50,000 for injuries to his head and brain received as a result of a truck upon which he was riding being collided with by a Municipal street car; and.

Whereas, said case was brought to trial and a jury awarded said Joseph L. Bayles the sum of $20,000, whereupon the City Attorney gave notice of appeal of said action, and has thereby effected a settlement of said matter and has reduced said judgment to the sum of $16,250, and said City Attorney has recommended settlement of this matter upon the payment of said sum; now, therefore,

Be it Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to compromise the said case of Joseph L. Bayles vs. City and County of San Francisco, numbered 180844 upon the records of our Superior Court, for the sum of $16,250.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Auditor to Cancel Certificate of Tax Sale.

Also, Resolution No. 29865 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector and Auditor have reported that the taxes on the first installment for the year 1927 on the following described property were paid on November 29, 1927, but through error were not stamped "Paid" on the Assessment Roll, and that the property was sold to the State of California on June 25, 1928, Sale No. 2559; therefore

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel Sale No. 2559 in accordance with the provisions of Sections 3776 and 3805 of the Political Code of the following property:

Lot No. 2, Block No. 6447, Volume 38, page 117, assessed to Edward Wehrli.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Appropriations, Meats, Preventorium, San Mateo County, and Laundry Work, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Resolution No. 29866 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts to the credit of Budget Item No. 842, Laguna Honda Home; being reimbursements for work performed and supplies furnished by the Laguna Honda Home during the months of July, August and September, 1928, to-wit:

From Budget Item No. 51, "Personal and Non-Personal Services"—Preventorium in San Mateo County, for meats furnished .. ..$ 1,477.36

From Budget Item No. 1011, "Contractual Services"—San San Francisco Hospital, for laundry work done..... 802.88

(Recommendation of Department of Public Health, dated October 23, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Appropriations, Removing Sink, Potrero Emergency Hospital; Islais Creek, Overflow Expense, and Street Work, Silver Avenue and Boylston Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29867 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 53.

(1) For expense of removing sink and the furnishing and installing of sink at the Potrero Emergency Hospital....$ 260.00

Extension of Sewers—Budget Item No. 36.

(2) For expenses by the City Attorney in connection with defending suit arising out of alleged overflowing of Islais Creek and causing overflow upon lands of Heyman Bros. and Louie Yit .. ..$ 410.25

County Road Fund.

(3) For expense of following conform work on the crossing of Silver avenue and Boylston street; moving existing curb, catchbasin and culvert, and constructing required new curb sidewalks and asphaltic concrete pavement.....$ 185.00

(4) For damage to property of Peter Picciau, situate on Mangels avenue, due to grading operations on said Mangels avenue 500.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Reconstruction of Streets, Transfer of Funds.

Also, Resolution No. 29868 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of appropriations heretofore made out of the County Road Fund for reconstruction of the following streets, and authorized to the credit of Bureaus of Street Repair and Sewer Repair, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements for materials and supplies furnished and used in the reconstruction of said streets, to-wit:

To credit of Budget Item No. 509, Fiscal Year 1928-1929:

Duboce avenue, Castro to Buena Vista, Resolution No. 29389 (New Series)	$ 13.00

To credit of Budget Item No. 550, Fiscal Year 1928-1929:

Eighth street, Market to Mission, Resolution No. 29054 (New Series)	27.35
Potrero avenue, Sixteenth street to Army street, Resolution No. 29389 (New Series)	2.06
Duboce avenue, Castro to Buena Vista, Resolution No. 29389 (New Series)	54.60
Geary street, Van Ness to Divisadero, Resolution No. 29352 (New Series)	214.70

To credit of Budget Item No. 552, Fiscal Year 1928-1929:

Eighth street, Market to Mission, Resolution No. 27054 (New Series)	6.00
Potrero avenue, Sixteenth street to Army street, Resolution No. 29389 (New Series)	4.50
Duboce avenue, Castro to Buena Vista, Resolution No. 29389 (New Series)	4.50
Geary street, Van Ness to Divisadero, Resolution No. 29352 (New Series)	9.00

To credit of Budget Item No. 559, Fiscal Year 1927-1928:

Montgomery street, Post to Columbus, Resolution No. 29054 (New Series)	33.25
Pine street, Leavenworth to Taylor, Resolution No. 27652 (New Series)	268.10

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Reconstruction of Various Streets Out of County Road Fund.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of streets, as hereinafter designated, to the credit of Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, Budget Item No. 526, Fiscal Year 1927-1928; being reimbursements for materials and supplies furnished and used in the reconstruction of said streets, to-wit:

(1) Francisco street, Powell to Taylor, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series)	$ 2,989.73
(2) Guerrero street, Eighteenth street to San Jose avenue, Resolution No. 29054 (New Series)	13,316.35
(3) Haight street, Scott to Baker streets, Resolution No. 28805 (New Series)	5,074.50
(4) Jackson street, Hyde to Larkin streets, Resolution No. 28727 (New Series)	1,393.61
(5) Laguna street, from Bay street northerly, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series)	1,859.27
(6) Mission street, Fourteenth street to Army street, Resolution No. 28561 (New Series)	8,038.08
(7) Montgomery street, Post street to Columbus avenue, Resolution No. 29054 (New Series)	4,396.15
(8) Pine street, Leavenworth to Taylor streets, Resolution No. 27652 (New Series)	3,710.78

(9) Presidio avenue, Geary to California streets, Resolution No. 27996 (New Series) 3,624.19
(10) Washington street, Leavenworth to Hyde streets, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series)..... 1,722.70
And to the credit of Budget Item No. 509, Fiscal Year 1928-1929:
(11) Being reimbursement for account of curbs in the reconstruction of Eighth street from Market to Mission streets, appropriation by Resolution No. 29054 (New Series) 928.00

Appropriations to the Retirement Fund.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned funds and authorized in payment to the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System; being amounts due said retirement system for account of employments chargeable to and paid out of said funds, to-wit:

(1) From the Boulevard Bond Issue Construction Fund, covering the months of July, August and September, 1928..$ 1,370.41
(2) From the County Road Fund, covering the months of July, August and September, 1928..... 5,852.48
(3) From Special School Tax, covering the months of July, August and September, 1928..... 2,401.93

Appropriations From County Road Fund for Account of Street and Boulevard Construction.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, per contract awarded Meyer Rosenberg, including engineering and inspection...$11,500.00
(2) For the improvement of Roosevelt way, Contract No. 2, to enable final payment, additional..... 1,300.00
(3) For the improvement of Utah street between Twenty-fifth street and its southerly termination, fronting Starr King School 1,800.00
(4) For the expense of removal of drift sand from the roadway of Thirty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets 1,500.00
(5) For the improvement of Coso avenue between Prospect avenue and Winfield street, including engineering, inspection and possible extras (M. J. Lynch contract)..... 3,400.00
(6) For the reconstruction of First street from Folsom to Harrison streets 5,000.00
(7) For the reconstruction of Euclid avenue, from Parker avenue easterly 137 feet 2,000.00
(8) For the improvement of Broadway between Larkin street and Van Ness avenue, by reducing the width of sidewalks thereon from 19 feet to 11 feet..... 8,000.00

Appropriations for Various Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension of Sewers—Budget Item No. 36.

(1) For construction of extension to the Guttenberg sewer, additional to enable final payment.....$ 1,500.00

Women's Jail Fund.

(2) For cost of alterations in the offices of the Sheriff, City Hall, due to the transfer of Sheriff's employees from County

Jail No. 1 to main office, and fitting up of south wing of County Jail No. 1 into a women's jail.....$ 3,500.00

Street Reconstruction—Budget Item 73.

(3) For the improvement of Hillway avenue from Carl street to Parnassus avenue .. ..$ 4,000.00

Appropriation for Improvement of Great Highway at the Ocean Beach.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $90,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended for the improvement of the Great Highway at the Ocean Beach.

Appropriations for Purchase of Equipment for Schools.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the purchase of equipment for the hereinafter designated schools, to-wit:

For the John Swett Junior High School.....$6,500.00
For the Daniel Webster Junior High School..... 8,000.00

(Request of Board of Education.)

Appropriation for Construction of Second Unit, Balboa High School.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $352,539.06 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the cost of constructing the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School on City property bounded by Cayuga, Otsego, Oneida and Onondaga avenues; per awards of contract, to-wit:

General construction, Mission Concrete Co.	$270,624.00
Electrical work, Newberry, Pearce Elec. Co.	6,800.00
Mechanical equipment, Scott Co.	31,077.00
Plumbing, gas-fitting and cooking equipment, C. F. Ernst	28,800.00
Architectural fees ..	5,238.06
Extras, incidentals and inspection	10,000.00

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Payment to Crocker First National Bank for Services as Fiscal Agents.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $870.04 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment to Crocker First National Bank out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 23, for cost of exchange and services rendered as fiscal agents for the City and County of San Francisco, being for the six months ending Oct 1, 1928 (claim dated Oct. 6, 1928).

Referred.

The following resolution was *referred to the Public Buildings Committee*:

Sale of Improvements on Lands to Be Acquired for Public Purposes.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in all cases where the City and County shall have acquired, or may hereafter acquire, any real property on which may be situated any improvements, which said improvements are not necessary for the purposes for which said real property, shall have been, or may be acquired, and are not suitable for use by the City and County, that the Mayor, upon the recommendation of the department or official having said property in charge, be and he is hereby authorized to sell said improvements, or cause the same to be sold, at public

auction. That before making said sale said Mayor shall cause a notice of said sale to be published for five days in the official newspaper and to be posted for a like period in a conspicuous place upon said improvements to be sold.

That said sales made as herein authorized shall be for cash upon tender of bill of sale conveying property sold to the purchaser thereof, and all said improvements so sold shall be removed from the premises upon which the same are located within thirty days from the date of sale, and the proceeds of any sale made as herein authorized shall be placed to the credit of the particular fund from which the purchase price of said improvements and the land on which the same are situate may have been expended. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized to retain a licensed auctioneer to make any sales herein provided for.

Referred.

The following resolution was *referred to the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee* to arrange for a conference with Congressman R. Welch, the City Attorney and the City Engineer, members of the Board to be notified:

City to Purchase Certain Land from W. B. Sharp, Upon Relinquishment by U. S. Government of Government Wharf, Between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street, for Recreation Purposes.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Government of the United States is in possession of a certain dock situated on the waterfront in the City and County of San Francisco between Van Ness avenue, Franklin street and Lewis street if extended into the Bay, which said dock is commonly known and designated as "Government Wharf"; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco claims to be the owner of said property; and

Whereas, the Government of the United States claims the right of possession of said wharf, but is willing to waive and surrender all right to the possession of said wharf, provided the City and County of San Francisco shall provide the said Government of the United States with suitable docking facilities adjacent to Transport Dock No. 3; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco can acquire suitable land to provide the said docking facilities for the said United States Government, at a price not to exceed the sum of $3,500, which said land is described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point 25 feet north of the northerly line of Lewis street on a line parallel to the easterly line of Transport Dock No. 3 and 243 feet and 9 inches easterly therefrom; thence 95 feet easterly; thence south 60 feet; thence at right angles westerly 90 feet; thence at right angles 85 feet to the point of beginning; all as shown on Blue Print No. 6616, Constructing Quartermaster's Office, San Francisco, California, dated June, 1928.

And whereas, the said land now occupied by the Government of the United States, and known as Government Wharf, is necessary for the construction of the Recreation Pier by the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, for the purpose of compromising or adjusting the differences between the Government of the United States and the City and County of San Francisco relative to said Government Wharf, and to enable said City and County of San Francisco to construct said Recreation Pier, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from W. B. Sharp the real property hereinbefore specifically described, and that upon the Government of the United States relinquishing all claim to said Government Wharf, and the land and water upon which the same is situated, that the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the Government of the United States giving said Government of the United States a right in perpetuity to maintain a dock on the said property hereinbefore described to be purchased

from said W. B. Sharp, and to dock vessels of the Government of the United States in perpetuity at said dock; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County be and they are hereby directed to execute an agreement giving to the said Government of the United States the said right in perpetuity to maintain said dock on said property to be purchased from said W. B. Sharp; and be it

Further Resolved, That before said agreement with the Government of the United States is executed that it be approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bemis Bros. Bag Co., 1000 Sansome street, 2000 gallons capacity.

J. M. Carter, 1055 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Clipper, south side of Capra way, 50 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Dempnock, north side of Sacramento street, 40 feet east of Spruce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lee S. Dolson, 337 Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. C. Gensen, 160 Russ street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Gilbert, south side of Jackson street, 32 feet 6 inches west of Walnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. B. Ham, north side of Point Lobos, 200 feet east of Forty-third avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, 2238 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. G. Kincannon, south side of Eddy street, 162 feet 6 inches east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Kinney, 2635 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Liebman, west side of Scott street, 100 feet north of Jefferson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Liebman, north side of Jefferson street, 75 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Otto List, 1209 Oak street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Gazzara, 3343 Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank W. Marston, 2744 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Midbust, southwest side of Casa way, 104 feet southeast of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Moulin, 45-51 Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Mullen, north side of Bay street, 200 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Mullen, north side of Bay street, 175 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. T. Murphy, 67 Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. H. Nordell, east side of Twenty-third avenue, 30 feet north of Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Spargo & Schwartz, southeast corner of Market and Diamond streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. P. Stroheim, east side of Parker avenue, 150 feet north of Euclid avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. I. Turner, 2576 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alex Vassyie, 387 Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. A. Wara, west side of Fillmore street, 200 feet south of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Latourrette Fical Co., north side of Paul avenue, 400 feet east of San Bruno avenue, 46 horse-power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer Auto Supply Station, W. W. Stone, Post and Broderick.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Walter W. Stone be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted M. F. O'Brien by Resolution No. 24020 (New Series), for premises at the southeast corner of Post and Broderick streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer Auto Parking Station, O. B. Olsen, 57 Mission Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That O. B. Olsen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile parking station permit heretofore granted H. Cochlovious by Resolution No. 20834 (New Series), for premises at 57 Mission street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Colman, the following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Auto Supply Station, E. B. Bridgett, Faxon and Ocean Avenues.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. B. Bridgett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Faxon and Ocean avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Auto Supply Station, A. T. Knudsen, Fifteenth and Guerrero Streets.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Anton T. Knudsen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Fifteenth and Guerrero streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the following resolution was *laid over two weeks*:

Denying Auto Parking Station, J. R. Ewing, Jr., Taylor Street, North of Turk Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied J. R. Ewing, Jr., to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the east side of Taylor street, approximately 175 feet north of Turk street.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Denying Laundry Permit, Morris Riskin, 879 Sutter Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29869 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Morris Riskin to maintain and operate a laundry at 879 Sutter street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Denying Laundry Permit, Chin Poy, 1203½ Golden Gate Avenue.

Resolution No. 29870 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Chin Poy to maintain and operate a laundry at 1203½ Golden Gate avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Denying Garage Permit, F. J. Happe, 955 Post Street.

Resolution No. 29871 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Frank J. Happe to maintain and operate a public garage at 955 Post street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Denying Garage Permit, Couzens & Thomas, 955 Post Street.

Resolution No, 29872 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Messrs. Couzens & Thomas to maintain and operate a public garage at 955 Post street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Garage Permit, Peters & Company, 955 Post Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Peters & Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 955 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Auto Supply Station, Standard Oil Company, Twenty-fifth Avenue and Irving Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Standard Oil Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Denying Blasting Permit, J. P. Holland, East Side Ulloa Street, South of Waltham Place.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29873 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied J. P. Holland to explode blasts on the east side of Ulloa street, south of Waltham place.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Auto Supply Station, John Gold, West Portal Avenue and Vicente Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Gold be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of West Portal avenue and Vicente street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Auto Parking Station, F. W. Williams, Page and Stanyan Streets.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. W. Williams be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors. to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the southeast corner of Page and Stanyan streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station, G. Sanfilippo, Cortland Avenue and Folsom Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. Sanfilippo be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Cortland avenue and Folsom street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Supply Station Transfer, N. L. Ferrari, Mission and Maynard Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That N. L. Ferrari be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Shell Company of California by Resolution No. 20530 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Mission and Maynard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Amendment of Ordinance Requiring Records to Be Kept by Repairers and Storers of Damaged Autos.

Also, Bill No. 8740, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 8107 (New Series), entitled "Requiring repairers or storers of damaged, partly demolished or injured automobiles, and every person, firm or corporation conducting a public garage to keep a record of the receipt or storage of such automobiles; providing a punishment for any violation thereof and repealing Ordinance No. 4407 (New Series)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 8107 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation engaged within the City and County of San Francisco in the business of repairing automobiles and every person, firm or corporation conducting a public garage and every person, firm or corporation engaged in the storage of automobiles shall keep a record of the receipt for repair or storage of every damaged, partly demolished or injured automobile, which shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Chief of Police or any officer detailed by him. Such record shall contain the name and address of the person, firm or corporation from whom such automobile was purchased or taken in exchange or for storage or to whom sold, the name and make, state license number, motor number, style and seating capacity thereof, a full and complete description of the damages, demolition or injury and the cause and date thereof and the name and address of the owner thereof as ascertained from the person, firm or corporation from whom such automobile was received. Said record shall be written in the English language in a clear and legible manner.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Chairman.

Supervisor Kent in the Chair.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Ordinance Regulating Parades and Processions.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along, or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four

(24) hours previous to its forming or marching and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police. Provided, however, that no parade or procession shall occupy or march upon any street in the Central Traffic District unless special permit in writing has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Any person who, upon making application, has been denied such written permit may refer such application to the "Committee on Parades" for further consideration and action.

Section 4. The Police Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to act as a "Committee on Parades" for the purposes of this ordinance.

Said Committee is hereby given the right and it shall be its duty to consider and act upon any application for a permit, provided for in Section 3 hereof, that has been referred to it in accordance with the provisions of said section. The findings of such Committee shall be binding upon the Chief of Police.

Section 5. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges and the apparatus of the Fire Department, responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department and Fire Marshal and auxiliary fire apparatus, belonging to any person, firm or corporation when such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment and the United States mail wagons shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 6. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession are within one (1) block of said crossings and the intersections and said line shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 7. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert said parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over and along the nearest cross street from such fire, accident or emergency and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 9. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Masquerade Ball Permit, Metropolitan Social and Athletic Association.

On recommendation of the Police Committee.

Resolution No. 29874 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Metropolitan Social and Athletic Association be

and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball in California Women's Club, 1750 Clay street, Monday, November 5, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Masquerade Ball Permit, San Francisco Association of Cosmetologists.

Also, Resolution No. 29875 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Association of Cosmetologists be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball in Women's Building, 609 Sutter street, Saturday evening, October 27, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Extension of Time, Butte Electric and Manufacturing Company.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 29876 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Butte Electric and Manufacturing Company be and is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after September 1, 1928, within which to complete its contract for the flood lighting of the City Hall.

This extension of time is recommended by the Board of Public works on account of delay in the arrival of electric fixtures.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over until meeting of November* 13, 1928, *and made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m.*:

Declaring the Policy of Accepting Certain Streets in the Crocker-Amazon, Forest Hill and Forest Hill Extension Tracts.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, certain streets in the Crocker-Amazon, Forest Hill and Forest Hill Extension Tracts have never been accepted by the City and County of San Francisco, and as a consequence thereof no repairs or cleaning can be done by the Department of Public Works; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors declare as its policy, if legal means can be found, the acceptance of these streets, and that the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development be authorized to prepare, in conjunction with the City Attorney, the necessary legislation to legalize the acceptance of said streets; and be it

Further Resolved, That as soon as said legislation is prepared and adopted, that the Board of Public Works be requested to recommend to this Board that said streets be accepted by the City and County of San Francisco.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over until meeting of November* 13, 1928:

Rope Off North One-half of Width of Green Street, Commencing at the West Line of Steiner Street, Thence Westerly for a Distance of 242 Feet 6 Inches in Front of Church and School Property From 12 Noon to 1 P. M. on Each School Day.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the provisions of Resolution No. 27856 (New Series), granting permission to the Rev. Martin P. Ryan, pastor of St. Vincent

de Paul Church, 2320 Green street, "to rope off from 12 noon to 1 p. m. each school day the roadway of Green street from Steiner street to Pierce street," be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

"To rope off the north one-half of the width of Green street, commencing at the west line of Steiner street, thence westerly for a distance of 242 feet 6 inches, in front of the church and school property, from 12 noon to 1 p. m., on each school day."

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Intention to Change Grades, Twenty-eighth Avenue Between Quintara and Rivera Streets.

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Resolution No. 29877 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 103743 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted October 3, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board, filed October 5, 1928, to-wit:

Twenty-eighth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Quintara street, 348.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Quintara street, 345.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Quintara street, 339.50 feet.

100 feet northerly from Rivera street, 311.50 feet.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 306.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 303.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-eighth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Extension of Time, Justin N. Ducray, Moraga Street Between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. 29878 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Justin N. Ducray is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 10, 1928, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Moraga street between the easterly line of Forty-sixth avenue and the westerly line of Forty-seventh avenue, under a public contract.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the sewer and grading are practically completed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week, City Attorney to give opinion as to whether or not permit constitutes a franchise*:

American Trust Company, Permission to Install Two Ducts From 418 Montgomery Street to Russ Building and Two Standard Manholes.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That American Trust Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to install two ducts in which will be placed two 2¼-inch copper tubes between the office of the company, 418 Montgomery street, and its branch office in the Russ Building, and two standard manholes, to be located in Montgomery street at such positions as the City Engineer shall determine.

The said ducts and manholes shall be laid to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Provided, American Trust Company shall at all times, while exercising said privilege, keep in repair the roadway of the space occupied by said ducts.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*: ....

Cancellation of Certificates of Sale of Lots Sold to the City in Connection with Assessment for Widening of Virginia Avenue.

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 29879 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works has reported that certain lots struck off and sold to the City and County of San Francisco, as purchaser, at an auction sale June 23, 1924, in connection with the assessment for the widening of Virginia avenue between Mission and Coleridge streets, have been redeemed and the full redemption price has been paid; therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of said Board of Public Works, the original certificate of sale of the said lots of land be and the same are hereby cancelled. Certificate and subdivision numbers on report and plat on file in the office of the Board of Public Works.

Certificate No. 42—Sub. 321, Block 5671, Lot 36.
Certificate No. 43—Sub. 322, Block 5671, Lot 35.
Certificate No. 44—Sub. 323, Block 5671, Lot 34.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Change of Grades on Circular Avenue and on Havelock Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29880 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 103,900 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted October 17, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed October 18, 1928, to-wit:

Circular Avenue.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue southerly line, 217.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Marston avenue, 219.19 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 110 feet southwesterly from Marston avenue, 219.72 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 160 feet southwesterly from Marston avenue, 218.49 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northwesterly curb line of, at the center of the arc of a 7-foot radius curve connecting the northerly curb line of Havelock street, 213 feet.

Southeasterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, produced 290.31 feet southwesterly from Marston avenue, 213 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, produced, at its intersection with Havelock street southerly line, 213 feet.

Havelock Street.

Northerly curb line of, at the center of the arc of a 7-foot radius curve connecting the northwesterly curb line of Circular avenue, 213 feet.

Southerly line of, at Circular avenue northwesterly line produced, 213 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 98.72 feet westerly from Circular avenue, 217.55 feet.

Edna street intersection, 223.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Circular avenue between a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Marston avenue southerly line, and the southerly line of Havelock street produced; and on Havelock street between Circular avenue and Edna street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Paving, Etc., Crossing of Forty-first Avenue and Ulloa Street, Expense of Property Owners.

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 8741, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Forty-first avenue and Ulloa street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Josiah Avenue Between Lakeview and Summit Avenues, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8742, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Josiah avenue between Lakeview avenue and Summit avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of one side-sewer, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Fifteenth Street Between Buena Vista Avenue and Roosevelt Way, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8743, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fifteenth street between the westerly line of Buena Vista terrace produced and Roosevelt way, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Improvement of Moraga Street Between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth Avenues, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8744, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Moraga street between the easterly line of Forty-fifth avenue and Forty-sixth avenue, including the crossing of Moraga street and Forty-fifth avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of

brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of 8-inch, 15-inch and 18-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on 18-inch ironstone pipe sewer and side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks, Broderick Street Between Chestnut Street and Marina Boulevard and Other Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8745, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Broderick street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, Divisadero street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, Jefferson street between Scott street and Baker street, North Point street between Scott street and Baker street, Bay street between Scott street and Baker street, Beach street between Scott street and Baker street, Francisco street between Scott street and Lyon street, Lombard street between Baker street and Lyon street, Lyon street (east one-half only) between Lombard street and Bay street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks, Lower Terrace Between Roosevelt Way and Levant Street and Other Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8746, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lower terrace between Roosevelt way and Levant street, Shrader street between Carmel street and Seventeenth street, Seventeenth street between Shrader street and Cole street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed, and the improvement of Natoma street between Third street and Fourth street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks of the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks, Kirkham Street Between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth Avenues and Other Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8747, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment,

and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kirkham street between Forty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue, Ninth avenue (west one-half only) between Ortega and Pacheco streets, Balboa street between Twenty-ninth and Thirty-first avenues, Fifteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, Forty-eighth avenue between Lawton and Taraval streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks, Stockton Street and Columbus Avenue, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8748, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments, that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the north one-half of Chestnut street from the east line of Stockton street to a line parallel with and thirty-seven feet six inches (37 ft. 6 in.) east of the east line of Stockton street, and the east one-half of Stockton street from the north line of Chestnut street to a line parallel with and eighty feet (80 ft.) north of the north line of Chestnut street, and the northeast one-half of Columbus avenue from the intersection of the south line of Chestnut street to a line parallel with and two hundred twenty-nine feet and nine and five-eighths inches (229 ft. 9⅝ in.) parallel with the south line of Chestnut street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full width, where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks of the full official width have not been constructed to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8749, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Brussels street between Olmstead and Dwight streets; Forty-fifth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets and crossing of Forty-fifth avenue and Ortega street; Italy avenue between Athens and Moscow streets; Lisbon street between Russia and France avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues; Moraga street between

Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues and crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-ninth avenue; Niagara avenue between San Jose and Miguel avenues; Phelps street between Bancroft and Carroll avenues; Santiago street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues and crossing of Sanitago street and Thirty-eighth avenue; Twenty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Thirtieth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Thirtieth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets; Vicente street between Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues; Innes avenue between Mendell and Newhall streets; crossings of Thirtieth avenue and Lawton street and Thirtieth avenue and Moraga street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains laid thereon; no water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Brussels street between Olmstead and Dwight streets; Forty-fifth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets and crossing of Forty-fifth avenue and Ortega street; Italy avenue between Athens and Moscow streets; Lisbon street between Russia and France avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues; Moraga street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues and crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-ninth avenue; Niagara avenue between San Jose avenue and Miguel street; Phelps street between Bancroft and Carroll avenues; Sanitago street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues and crossing of Sanitago street and Thirty-eighth avenue; Twenty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Thirtieth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Thirtieth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets; Vicente street between Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues; Innes avenue between Mendell and Newhall streets; crossings of Thirtieth avenue and Lawton street and Thirtieth avenue and Moraga street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades on Peninsula Avenue Between Bay Shore and Lathrop Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8750, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Peninsula avenue between Bay Shore avenue southerly line and Lathrop avenue northerly line.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Peninsula avenue between Bay Shore avenue southerly line and Lathrop avenue northerly line are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed October 5, 1928.

Peninsula Avenue.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 41.10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 40.10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Lathrop avenue northerly line, 32.00 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Lathrop avenue northerly line, 32.00 feet.

On Peninsula avenue between Bay Shore avenue southerly line and Lathrop avenue northerly line, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Width of Sidewalks on Alpine Terrace Between Waller and Fourteenth Streets at 10 Feet.

Also, Bill No. 8751, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 23, 1928, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-four, to read as follows:

Section 1054. The width of sidewalks on Alpine Terrace between Waller street and Fourteenth street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Requesting Board of Public Works to Furnish Estimate of Cost for Vehicular Bridge Over Bernal Cut at Richland Avenue.

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 29881 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and is hereby requested to furnish this Board of Supervisors with the estimate of cost of constructing a vehicular bridge over the Bernal Cut at Richland avenue, and to incorporate such vehicular bridge in the plans for the construction of the Bernal Cut in lieu of pedestrian bridge as now contemplated.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Award of Contract, Hospital Equipment.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 29882 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing hospital equipment for San Francisco Hospital on bids submitted September 24, 1928 (Proposal No. 425), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—Beds—No award.

Item No. 2—48 bed rests, Reid Cat., edition C. P. 148-0790, at $3.48 each; Colson Company of the Pacific.

Item No. 3—2 instrument cabinets, at $43.50 each; Frank S. Betz Co.

Item No. 4—1 dressing carriage, at $45; Eames Co.

Item No. 5—144 chart holders, Betz Standard No. 6HH1135, 10x13 inches, steel, white enamel, $15.90 per dozen; Frank S. Betz Co.

Item No. 6—Desk tables; no award.

Item No. 7—6 cribs at $29.62 each; Colson Company of the Pacific.

Item No. 8—1 waxing machine at $303; Finnell System, Inc.

Item No. 9—Floor polishers; no award.

Item No. 10—4 waste receptacles, "Boyco," at $3 each; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 11—2 vacuum cleaners, at $75 each; The Hoover Company.

Item No. 12—60 rubber sheets, at $8.25 each; F. A. Hamilton.

Item No. 13—10 sets suspension straps, at $1.50 set; F. A. Hamilton.

Item No. 14—6 wheel stretchers, Cat. No. 0930 or 0938, at $57.50 each; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 15—2 gas ovens, at $240.81 each; Dohrman Hotel Supply Co.

Item No. 16—12 screens, single panel, at $6 each; Reid Bros., Inc.

Item No. 17—screens, 3-panel Albatross, Cat. P55, No. 694, at $22.80 each; Colson Company of the Pacific.

Item No. 18—30 bedside tables, at $13.45 each; Colson Company of the Pacific.

Item No. 19—30 bedside tables, at $13.45 each; Colson Company of the Pacific.

Item No. 20—2 examination tables, Betz No. 6HH752, regular casters, at $27.75 each; add for 3-inch Colson casters, $5; Frank S. Betz Co.

Item No. 21—6 instrument tables, Albatross Cat. page 60, Model 614, equipped with Drake slides, 16x20 inches, at $7.80 each; Colson Company of the Pacific.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of contract be required as follows:

Colson Company of the Pacific, $300; Frank S. Betz Co., Eames Co., Finnell System, Inc., Reid Bros., Inc., The Hoover Co., F. A. Hamilton, Dohrmann Hotel Supply Co., none.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Award of Contract, Garbage Cans.

Also, Resolution No. 29883 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to James A. Nelson, Inc., on bid submitted October 22, 1928 (Proposal No. 427), for furnishing the following, viz.:

200 galvanized iron garbage cans for School Department, at $6.25 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Award of Contract, Traffic Turning Buttons.

Also, Resolution No. 29884 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pacific Malleable Castings Co. on bid submitted October 22, 1928 (Proposal No. 431), for furnishing the following, viz.:

1000 traffic turning buttons, including lag screws and expansion shields, for "Traffic," Board of Supervisors, said buttons to be of malleable iron and furnished within 45 days at the rate of $1.38 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Award of Contract, Bread.

Also, Resolution No. 29885 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Mutual Stores Bakery, 255 Twelfth street, on bid submitted October 18, 1928 (Quotation No. 1360), furnishing the following, viz.:

Part I—Fresh bread, for four months' period, commencing November 1, 1928, and ending February 28, 1929. Delivery to be made to the pubic institutions daily except Sundays. Note—The Park Commissioners are excluded from bid on Part I.

Hearth, Vienna, round French, whole wheat, graham, pan, rye, twist and bran, $0.054 per pound; raisin, $0.07 per pound; gluten, $0.16 per pound.

Part II—Fresh bread, for four months' period, commencing November 1, 1928, and ending February 28, 1929. For Park Commissioners—For playground and restaurant activities. It is understood that the City may order as it needs these goods in any quantities it sees fit and deiveries will be made promptly by the contractor at such times. The City will endeavor to place orders daily before 8:30 a. m. and the contractor must make delivery within 2 hours thereafter. Later in the day, if the occasion arises, additional orders may be placed and the contractor shall be obliged to make immediate delivery; this being necessary to take care of the public if there should be a rush of trade.

Quality to be the same as served at first-class restaurants.

Bid: Pullman loaves, 2-pound, per pound, $0.06; Pullman loaves, 4-pound, per pound, $0.06; buns (for frankfurters), per dozen, $0.16.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $2,000 be required for the faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over two weeks*:

Traffic Ordinance Amendments.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Article I is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings, respectively, ascribed to them in this section.

Street—Every way set apart for public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A street with a roadway of less than twenty (20) feet.

Blind Alley—An alley which has only one end available for ingress or egress.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the roadway included within the prolongation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers or materials.

Passenger Loading Zone—The space adjacent to the curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers.

Vehicles—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District—That portion of this City bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from the east line of First street to the west line of Sixth street; Sixth street from the south line of Mission street to the south line of Market street; the intersection of Sixth street, Market street, Golden Gate avenue and Taylor street; Taylor street from the north line of Golden Gate avenue to the north line of Sutter street; Sutter street from the west line of Taylor street to the east line of Grant avenue; Grant avenue from the south line of Sutter street to the north line of California street; California street from the west line of Grant avenue to the south line of Market street; Market street from the north line of California street to the west line of First street; First street from the north line of Market street to the south line of Mission street.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also, any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction of Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 3. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintain-

ing red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, excluding any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, except in passenger loading zones, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the central traffic district or in any business district during any hour of any day.

(2) In any loading zone, between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day.

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day.

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of the day.

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public building between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays.

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business.

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom at any hour of any day.

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) During the times specified in subsection (a) of this section, passenger vehicles shall not stand longer than five (5) minutes in a passenger loading zone, and it shall be unlawful to stand any commercial vehicle in such zone.

(d) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained by the Police Department to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones and passenger loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance; provided that a curb space of not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones and passenger loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in an

emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic Committee.

Resolution No. 29886 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36, of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

655 Powell street, 27 feet, Powell-Pine Apartments; 1 oil intake.

2139-2141 Chestnut street, 27 feet, Marina Theatre.

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be rescinded and reestablished as specified herein, in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 361, of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

201 Halleck street, 18 feet, to be abolished, Bank of California.

224 Leidesdorff street, 36 feet, to be abolished, Beck-Gerlach Co., Tadish Grill; 1 elevator.

371-391 Sutter street, 45 feet to 27 feet, Galen and Lesser buildings; 1 elevator.

403-429 Pine street, 63 feet to 45 feet, oil delivery for Russ building; 1 elevator.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Permit for Electrically Illuminated Billboard, Northeast Corner Geary Street and Presidio Avenue.

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 29887 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the firm of Foster & Kleiser Company be and is hereby granted a permit, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect and maintain an electrically illuminated billboard, 62 feet by 20 feet, on the northeast corner of Geary street and Presidio avenue, as per plans submitted to the Board of Public Works and subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 4059 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Larson Land Donation in Golden Gate Heights to be Dedicated to Park Purposes.

The following resolution heretofore presented by Supervisor Gallagher and referred to the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee, was recommended favorably by said committee:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Carl G. Larsen, donated to the City, by deed of gift, that certain tract of land situate on Golden Gate Heights and bounded by Rockridge drive and Twelfth avenue, as delineated on the map of said Golden Gate Heights, which deed was heretofore accepted by the City; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said certain land hereinabove referred to be and the same is hereby set aside and dedicated to park purposes, and the

same is hereby invested in the Park Commission for its maintenance and supervision in accordance with provisions of the Charter.

Privilege of the Floor.

Carl Larsen, donor of the land, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard in regard to the intent of his gift.

City Engineer O'Shaughnessy said, that since 1912 the Engineer's office has had the southeasterly portion of the property, comprising about one-quarter of the land donated, in mind as the best and only available reservoir site for supplying water to the adjacent district.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the following language be added: "Provided, however, that if the Park Commission at any time desires to make use of the property for any other purpose than park purposes, this resolution shall be null and void and the land shall revert to the Board of Supervisors."

Action.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was on motion *laid over two weeks*.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Three Additional Sergeants for Police Department.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 29845 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Police Commission be and is hereby authorized and empowered to appoint three additional Sergeants of Police; effective from and after November 5, 1928; and

Further Resolved, That the Auditor of the City and County be and is hereby authorized and requested to transfer from surplus in the Police Department salary fund a sufficient amount to meet the amount required due to the appointment of the three additional Sergeants of Police as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Salaries, Employees of Thaffic Bureau.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 29888 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., for the payment of salaries of employees in the Traffic Bureau, Department of Engineering, and being for the month of October, 1928, to-wit:

Traffic Engineer	$300
Assistant Traffic Engineer	225
Clerk-Stenographer	175

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Accepting Offer to Sell Easement for Hetch Hetchy Right of Way.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 29889 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described easements through, over and upon land situated in the County of Ala-

meda, State of California, required in connection with the construction of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite her name, be accepted:

Fleda O. Bunting, $2,250—Parcel 1: An exclusive subsurface right of way easement 250 feet in width for two aqueduct tunnels through the lands of Fleda O. Bunting in Ex-Mission Survey No. 37.

Parcel 2: The right to construct, maintain and operate an electric transmission line and a telephone line within a strip of land 60 feet in width through the lands of Fleda O. Bunting in Ex-Mission Survey No. 37. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said easements, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to acept, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying said easements to said City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing* on motion of Supervisor Powers:

Underground District, Broadway Between Larkin Street and Van Ness Avenue.

Bill No. 8752, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1-Y.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after February 1, 1929, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 31, Broadway from the east side of Larkin street to the east side of Van Ness avenue, approximately 1000 feet.

Johnson-Welch Bridge Bill.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, all applications for franchises to construct a bay bridge from San Francisco to Alameda under private ownership have been denied. It is, therefore, necessary to concentrate in an appeal to Congress for favorable action on the Johnson-Welch bridge bill at earliest possible time; therefore,

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is authorized to appoint three members of the Board of Supervisors and such other officials and citizens as he deems proper as a committee to urge the passage of the said Johnson-Welch bill. Said committee to conduct an intensive campaign for this publicly-owned bridge and to proceed to Washington and do all necessary things to accomplish the passage of said bill.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment that five members be appointed instead of three.

Supervisor Colman moved an as amendment that the Chairman of the Finance Committee be authorized to call a conference with Senator Johnson, Congressman Welch, the press, the Mayor, City Attorney

and City Engineer for the purpose of mapping out a constructive program for promoting the passage of the Johnson-Welch bridge bill.

Motion *carried* and resolution *referred to Chairman of the Finance Committee.*

Public School Traffic Reserve Review.

Communication, from the California State Automobile Association, announcing that San Francisco's Public School Traffic Reserve, organized by said association and the Police Department, will hold its second annual review and parade at the Civic Center at 2 p. m. Friday, November 9. The review to be conducted by Captain John P. Adams, U S. A., and inviting the Board of Supervisors to attend on said occasion.

Invitation *accepted.* Clerk to see that reservations are made for seats for Supervisors.

Boulevard Inspection Tour.

Supervisor Gallagher announced that leaving the City Hall at 9:30 a. m. Thursday, November 1, 1928, the Board of Supervisors would undertake a tour of inspection of the boulevard work under construction at this time and that representatives of improvement clubs and the press were invited to attend. Busses will be provided.

The Chair appointed the Streets and Public Welfare Committees to make arrangements.

Hearing Before U. S. Engineers on Extension of Docks and Bay Facilities.

Supervisor Gallagher called attention to a proposed meeting on November in the U. S. Engineer's office, Custom House, on the question of extension of docks and bay facilities from the San Francisco County line to Ravenswood. He moved that the Clerk secure the details and that the Mayor appoint five members of the Board to represent San Francisco's interest at said hearing.

Motion *carried.*

Relative to Blanketing Into Civil Service of Market Street Railway Employees.

Supervisor Havenner called attention to a letter being sent out on the letterhead of the Market Street Railway Company and addressed to its employees endeavoring to impress and persuade them, in the event of the failure of Initiative Amendment No. 24 and the advent of Municipal ownership, that the employees would be in danger of losing their employment—a gross misstatement of the fact which the Board should disabuse their minds. He called attention to the plain language of the Charter on the subject and declared that the Board should formally express its disapproval.

He moved to that effect and that the Clerk be directed to transcribe this motion quoting the exact language of the Charter as to the rights of public utility employees with respect to blanketing them into Civil Service when the utility is taken over by the City.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Powers inquired as to the authority of the Registrar of Voters, in case of a heavy vote being cast next Tuesday, to keep the polls open after 8 p. m., and to use paper ballots in conjunction with the machines.

On his motion, a committee, consisting of Supervisors Powers, Gallagher and Colman, were appointed to wait on Election Commissioners to see what can be done.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 1:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 26, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 46

Monday, November 5, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco ,Monday, November 5, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner —15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Colman was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of October 8 and 15, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Progressive Report of Health Committee on Investigation of Garbage Disposal System in Various Cities.

The following was read by Supervisor Toner, ordered *spread at length in the Journal and copies sent to members*:

San Francisco, California, November 1, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: Your Health Committee hereby presents the following progressive report as the result of our investigation in the different cities of the United States and Canada in connection with the question of garbage disposal and its relation to conditions in San Francisco:

Prior to the year 1896 all garbage collected in San Francisco was disposed of by what is now known as the Fill and Cover Method. In that year an incinerator was erected on the block bounded by De Haro, Alameda, Rhode Island and Fifteenth streets, by a private corporation. This incinerator was of the Thankeray type, with a 300-ton capacity. At that time the population of San Francisco was such that this incinerator easily disposed of the garbage. Today the population has doubled, and we find that this incinerator is called upon to incinerate 600 tons daily, which is impossible.

In the year 1908 a bond issue was authorized for the purpose of erecting modern incinerators in our city. A Heenan & Freud type of incinerator was erected on the land south of Army street, between Kansas and De Haro streets, costing:

Land	$ 15,000
Building and equipment	377,704

This latter sum is a total loss to San Francisco, on account of failure of the incinerator to properly function. This plant is abandoned and equipment diverted to other purposes. As to rehabilitation of this plant for further incineration purposes, our final report will deal with this matter. A second incinerator was planned for the North Beach section, and a site purchased on which $144,679 was expended. Of

that sum $80,000 was for land, the balance for plans, surveys, etc., amounting to $64,679, of which the latter sum is a total loss. The sum of $407,130 was expended for the purchase of the present incinerator, including land at Fifteenth and De Haro streets, which also covered interest and legal expenses, or, in other words, the City of San Francisco today, after an expenditure of $946,514 for garbage incinerators, has only to show a part of a block on Army and Kansas streets valued at ..... $ 15,000
Lot at North Beach..... 80,000
Fifteenth and De Haro property and equipment..... 350,000

$445,000

Subtracting the $445,000 from the original bond issue of $946,514 leaves a net loss of $501,514.

An injunction suit was instituted in the superior courts two years ago for the purpose of condemning the Fifteenth and De Haro streets incinerator as a public nuisance. The courts have shown leniency to the city by thus far withholding their decision, thereby giving the city an opportunity to abate the nuisance. Your Health Committee, realizing the seriousness of the situation and the mistakes made in the past, have made investigations throughout the United States and Canada for the purpose of recommending a proper disposal of San Francisco garbage.

The following cities were visited by your committee, and different methods of garbage disposal studied, as follows, viz.:

Chicago—Fill and cover reduction plant and a new Superior incinerator, 600-ton capacity.

Evanston, Illinois—Disposal by incineration—Superior type.

New York—Borough of Manhattan: Dumping at sea—fill and cover and incineration of the following types: 1 De Carie, 300 tons; 20 Sterling, 300 tons each. The Borough of Queens: Consists of the following subdivisions: Mineola, N. Y., Nye incinerator; Freeport, N. Y., Borge Elleson incinerator; Rockville Center, N. Y., De Carie, 325 tons; Staten Island, N. Y., Nye; White Plains, N. Y., Nye.

Washington, D. C.—Disposal by reduction plant.

Also visited the United States Department of Public Health, and found this department has no data and no standardization of garbage disposal.

Boston—Reduction works on island in Boston harbor. State Engineer of Massachusetts recommends incineration for Boston and vicinity.

Providence, R. I.—De Carie incinerator, 160 tons.

New Bedford, Mass.—Superior, 100 tons.

Montreal—Fill and cover, and are making plans for incineration.

Outremont, Canada, near Montreal—De Carie.

West Mount, near Montreal—Heenan & Freud.

Toronto, Canada—Two Sterling plants, 400 and 200 tons each.

Cincinnati—Fill and cover. Asking bids for incineration.

St. Louis, Mo.—Coder incinerator, abandoned fill and cover and hog feeding.

New Orleans—Two De Carie incinerators and fill and cover, building two Sterling.

Houston, Texas—Two Superiors.

Fort Worth, Texas—One Superior.

Dallas, Texas—One Superior.

Galveston, Texas—Assembled plant.

El Paso, Texas—Fill and cover.

Portland, Oregon—Nye and fill and cover.

Seattle—Fill and cover.

Tacoma—Fill and cover.

Salt Lake City—Fill and cover.

Sacramento—De Carie.

Oakland—Dumping at sea, 300 tons daily.

Berkeley—Fill and cover.
Long Beach—Fill and cover. Plans are being prepared for incineration.
Los Angeles—Hog feeding and Nye, 800 tons.
Beverly Hills—One twenty-ton Nye.

In all our inspections in the cities above mentioned we had the benefit of expert engineering advice from the city engineers and others in charge of actual operation of plants. We also investigated the different methods of collections of garbage and found in all cities that collection and disposal were under municipal control. In most cities we found a great variation of cost in collection and disposal.

We have in our possession reports covering the experience of 200 cities in the United States showing cost and methods of garbage disposal. Your committee has communicated with and have reports from Berlin, Vienna, Hamburg, Paris, Bordeaux and Liverpool on the above question. In all our investigations, both in the United States and Canada, and by correspondence in Europe, we find incineration is the scientific method being adopted and all other methods abandoned. Before submitting our final report, your Health Committee will study and make recommendations on the following subjects:

1. Ordinance governing the collection and disposal of garbage.
2. Location of incinerators.
3. Advisability of building one or two incinerators in different parts of city.
4. Method of financing the building of the said incinerators.
5. Type of incinerators to be erected.
6. Disposal of garbage during the building of incinerators.

The Committee will make its final report covering the above-mentioned subjects in ninety days from date.

HEALTH COMMITTEE.
(Signed) DR. J. M. TONER.
J. EMMET HAYDEN.
J. B. McSHEEHY.

Street Conduit Permits.

Communication from the City Attorney relative to permit to maintain subsurface conduit in streets.

Read and *ordered filed.*

Additional Sergeants, Police Department.

Communication from the Board of Police Commissioners requesting Board to adopt a resolution similar to Resolution No. 29841 (New Series), authorizing the appointment of three additional Sergeants of Police and transfer a sufficient amount of money required from the surplus in the Police Department Salary Fund, effective from and after November 5, 1928.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Additional Members, Redwood Empire Association.

Communication from the Redwood Empire Association requesting the appointment of two additional members to officiate as members of the county-wide advisory corps of the Redwood Empire Association.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

First Annual California Livestock and Baby Beef Show Endorsed.

Supervisor Kent presented:
Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:
Whereas, California has developed into one of the outstanding agricultural states in the Union; and
Whereas, the production of livestock has taken its place among the leading industries of our State; and

Whereas, San Francisco holds an enviable position in command as a livestock center; and

Whereas, San Francisco is desirous of participating in an educational program in the meat needs of the community; be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors endorse the First Annual California Livestock and Baby Beef Show, to be held at the Union Stock Yards, South San Francisco, November 13, 14 and 15, 1928, and that the Public Welfare Committee be authorized to cooperate with our wholesale dealers in cattle and dressed meats in the participation of this livestock and beef show, and that the sum of $500 is hereby appropriated to defray any expenses in connection with said participation.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sugar.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day for furnishing sugar for City institutions, and were *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Lighting Fixtures.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day for furnishing lighting fixtures for public buildings as same may be ordered from time to time during the Fiscal Year 1928-1929, and were *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Leather Belting.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day for furnishing leather belting for the School Department and were *referred to Supplies Committee.*

SET-BACK LINE HEARING—11 A. M.

Passed for Printing.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portion of Eighteenth avenue, fixed for 11 a. m. this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Set-Back Lines Along Portion of Eighteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 8753, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back line along portion of Eighteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 8th day of October, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 183 to establish set-back line along a portion of Eighteenth avenue and fixed the 5th day of November, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back line is hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Kirkham street and running thence northerly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back line and the street line, except as provided in said ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Action Deferred.

Rezoning Judah Street.

The resolution of Supervisor Todd relating to the rezoning of Judah street was, on motion, laid over until 10 a. m. Tuesday, November 13, 1928.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29890 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Playground Commission.

(1) C. C. W. and H. H. Haun, construction of courts at the Ocean View Playground (claim dated October 17, 1928)...$ 3,645.00

(2) Klawans & McMullin, playground recreational supplies (claim dated October 17, 1928)..... 1,235.00

(3) Meyer Rosenberg, hauling and trucking for playgrounds (claim dated October 17, 1928)..... 3,877.50

(4) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated October 17, 1928)..... 1,617.60

Municipal Railway Fund.

(5) Hancock Bros. Inc., printing transfers for Municipal Railways (claim dated October 18, 1928).....$ 1,264.80

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(6) Nettie E. Noel, in full settlement of claim for account of injuries and damages suffered by her on January 6, 1927 (claim dated October 18, 1928).....$ 600.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Issue 1925.

(7) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated October 19, 1928) .....$ 858.36

Boulevard Bond Issue.

(8) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, for fill, Alemany boulevard, between Mt. Vernon and Foote avenues (claim dated October 17, 1928) .....$ 1,331.00

(9) Louis J. Cohn, third payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section "A," Contract 6, between Potrero and Silver avenues (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 8,850.00

Special School Tax.

(10) Alta Electric Co., final payment for electrical work, annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated October 24, 1928) .....$ 2,843.06

(11) W. H. Crim, first payment, architectural services for

Park-Presidio Junior High School (claim dated October 23, 1928) 10,800.00
(12) G. Albert Lansburgh, second payment, architectural services for addition to Polytechnic High School (claim dated October 23, 1928) 3,480.00
(13) Jenison Machinery Co., machinery for Balboa High School (claim dated October 23, 1928) 1,533.00
(14) Harron, Rickard & McCone Co., engine lathes for Everett Junior High School (claim dated October 23, 1928) 1,504.00

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(15) Royal Typewriter Co., 19 typewriter machines for Galileo High School (claim dated October 23, 1928) ..... $ 1,330.00
(16) General Electric Co., electric testing equipment for Mission High School (claim dated October 23, 1928) 952.08

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(17) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated October 29, 1928) ..... $ 1,977.20
(18) The Electric Corporation, electrical supplies, Department of Electricity (claim dated September 30, 1928) 566.06
(19) Robert A. Smith, Inc., three Chevrolet coupes for Department of Electricity (claim dated September 30, 1928). 2,005.50
(20) Sierra Electric Co., furnishing and installing teletype system in Police Department (claim dated October 15, 1928) 9,750.80
(21) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated October 22, 1928) 1,364.27
(22) San Francisco Stove Works, castings for Department of Electricity (claim dated September 30, 1928) 998.04
(23) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, restoring roadways, due to sewer construction, Golden Gate Heights (claim dated October 17, 1928) 1,451.37
(24) Daniel C. McCabe, construction of concrete sidewalk fronting Bay View School, Flora street (claim dated October 17, 1928) 787.50
(25) Albert E. Clifford, Board of Works painter, fourteenth payment, compensation insurance (claim dated October 15, 1928) 1,901.48
(26) Levison Printing Co., binding block books for various departments (claim dated October 19, 1928) 504.24
(27) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated October 19, 1928) 4,259.19
(28) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated October 19, 1928) 2,159.39
(29) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of Civic Center (claim dated October 12, 1928). 751.45
(30) L. M. Wilbor, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated September 30, 1928) 3,000.00
(31) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1928) 878.39
(32) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1928) 1,109.19
(33) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated September 30, 1928) 2,142.66
(34) Spring Valley Water Co., water for hospitals (claim dated September 30, 1928) 1,616.49
(35) California Meat Co., meat for Laguna Honda Relief Home (claim dated September 29, 1928) 4,570.96
(36) Phillips & Van Orden, printing sample and official ballots for Department of Elections (claim dated October 25, 1928) 9,498.40

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 57.

(37) R. H. Morrow, attorney for judgment creditor, one-tenth of judgment, including interest, pursuant to writ of mandate, as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated November 9, 1928) .....$ 800.18

County Road Fund.

(38) C. C. W. and H. H. Haun, fourth payment, improvement of Laguna Honda boulevard, including construction of sewers, from Noriega street southerly (claim dated October 24, 1928) .....$ 8,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Payments for Properties for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29891 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To J. M. Leisner, for Lot 27 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29828 (New Series), and required for opening of Sunset parkway (claim dated October 17, 1928).....$ 1,500.00

(2) To John P. Ashe and Loretta Ashe, for Lot 10 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29828 (New Series), and required for the opening of Sunset parkway (claim dated October 17, 1928) 7,640.00

(3) To William F. Senner, for Lot 23-A in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29859 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Sunset parkway (claim dated October 24, 1928).... 1,500.00

(4) Louis H. Winters and Cathryn M. Kohake, for Lot 35 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29859 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Sunset parkway (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 7,550.00

(5) To Peter Costopulos and Eufrasina Costopulos, for Lot 19 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29859 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Sunset parkway (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 1,625.00

(6) To Parkside Realty Company, for Lots 22, 23 and 24 in Block Book 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29859 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Sunset boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928) 6,150.00

(7) To John A. Welch, for Lot 36 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29859 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 1,500.00

(8) To Edward Lazzereschi and Ruth Lazzereschi, for all of Lot 1 in Block 7159, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29857 (New Series), and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 4,800.00

(9) To W. H. Odell and L. A. T. Odell, for a portion of Lot 22 in Block 7147, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29857 (New Series), and

required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 1,230.00

(10) To Maria Brasesco and John Brasesco, for a portion of Lot 5 in Block 7140, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29857 (New Series), and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 1,384.00

(11) To Aloysius I. O'Brien, for portion of Lot 2-A in Block 6308 (50 x 59, irregular), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29863 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 1,500.00

(12) To Bertha Stampfer (formerly Bertha Beenck), for portion of Lot 23 in Block 5465 (25 x 100), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29863 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 4,664.00

(13) To Catherine Sarthou, for Lot 12 in Block 5036, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29863 (New Series), required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 2,300.00

(14) To Adelaide E. Burnett, for portions of Lots 1-B and 2 in Block 6308, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29863 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 15,900.00

(15) To G. Parodi and Luisa Parodi, for portion of Lot 2 in Block 5466 (2 x 22, triangular shape), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29863 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated October 24, 1928)..... 522.40

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Payments for Properties Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29892 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To James R. Vaughan, for portion of Lot 6 in Block 6693 (25 x 80), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29860 (New Series) (claim dated October 24, 1928).....$ 1,000.00

(2) To Joseph J. Powers, in satisfaction of Superior Court judgment, Action No. 195126 of the records thereof in eminent domain for the acquisition of lands for boulevard purposes—opening of Bernal Cut; said property being situate on Bosworth and Arlington streets (claim dated October 22, 1928) 11,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Alterations to Women's Jail.

Also, Bill No. 8739, Ordinance No. 8238 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of the following work in and on County Jail No. 1 (Women's Jail), to-wit: Alterations on the third floor

of south wing, installation of elevator from third floor to roof, and alterations to roof, so as to provide for a roof garden, in accordance with plans and specifications which are hereby ordered to be prepared; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction and alterations in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, and which are hereby approved. The cost of said work to be borne out of Women's Jail Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contracts for the construction of the following work in and on County Jail No. 1 (Women's Jail), to-wit: Alterations on the third floor of south wing, installation of elevator from the third floor to the roof, and alterations to roof so as to provide for a roof garden, in accordance with plans and specifications which are hereby ordered to be prepared, and which are hereby approved. The cost of said work to be borne out of Women's Jail Fund.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Appropriation, $11,000, Payment to J. J. Powers in Satisfaction of Judgment, Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 29893 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of eleven thousand ($11,000) dollars be, and the same is, set aside and appropriated out of the "Bernal Cut" Bonds and payable to Joseph J. Powers, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff, vs. Joseph J. Powers, Defendant, and numbered 195126 of the records thereof in eminent domain, for the acquisition of lands for boulevard purposes.

Be it further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Reconstruction of Various Streets Out of County Road Fund.

Also, Resolution No. 29894 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of streets, as hereinafter designated, to the credit of Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, Budget Item No. 526, Fiscal Year 1927-1928; being reimbursements for materials and supplies furnished and used in the reconstruction of said streets, to-wit:

(1) Francisco street, Powell to Taylor, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series) .. .. $ 2,989.73

(2) Guerrero street, Eighteenth street to San Jose avenue, Resolution No. 29054 (New Series) 13,316.35

(3) Haight street, Scott to Baker streets, Resolution No. 28805 (New Series) 5,074.50

(4) Jackson street, Hyde to Larkin streets, Resolution No. 28727 (New Series) 1,393.61

(5) Laguna street, from Bay street northerly, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series) 1,859.27

(6) Mission street, Fourteenth street to Army street, Resolution No. 28561 (New Series)..... 8,038.08
(7) Montgomery street, Post street to Columbus avenue, Resolution No. 29054 (New Series)..... 4,396.15
(8) Pine street, Leavenworth to Taylor streets, Resolution No. 27652 (New Series) 3,710.78
(9) Presidio avenue, Geary to California streets, Resolution No. 27996 (New Series) 3,624.19
(10) Washington street, Leavenworth to Hyde streets, Resolution No. 28248 (New Series)..... 1,722.70
And to the credit of Budget Item No. 509, Fiscal Year 1928-1929:
(11) Being reimbursement for account of curbs in the reconstruction of Eighth street from Market to Mission streets, appropriation by Resolution No. 29054 (New Series) 928.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Appropriations to the Retirement Fund.

Also, Resolution No. 29895 (New Series), as follows

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter mentioned funds and authorized in payment to the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System; being amounts due said retirement system for account of employments chargeable to and paid out of said funds, to-wit:
(1) From the Boulevard Bond Issue Construction Fund, covering the months of July, August and September, 1928..$ 1,370.41
(2) From the County Road Fund, covering the months of July, August and September, 1928..... 5,852.48
(3) From Special School Tax, covering the months of July, August and September, 1928..... 2,401.93

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Appropriations From County Road Fund for Account of Street and Boulevard Construction.

Also, Resolution No. 29896 (New Series), as follows

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:
(1) For the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, per contract awarded Meyer Rosenberg, including engineering and inspection...$11,500.00
(2) For the improvement of Roosevelt way, Contract No. 2, to enable final payment, additional..... 1,300.00
(3) For the improvement of Utah street between Twenty-fifth street and its southerly termination, fronting Starr King School 1,800.00
(4) For the expense of removal of drift sand from the roadway of Thirty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets 1,500.00
(5) For the improvement of Coso avenue between Prospect avenue and Winfield street, including engineering, inspection and possible extras (M. J. Lynch contract)..... 3,400.00
(6) For the reconstruction of First street from Folsom to Harrison streets 5,000.00
(7) For the reconstruction of Euclid avenue, from Parker avenue easterly 137 feet 2,000.00

(8) For the improvement of Broadway between Larkin street and Van Ness avenue, by reducing the width of sidewalks thereon from 19 feet to 11 feet..... 8,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Appropriations for Various Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29897 (New Series), as follows

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension of Sewers—Budget Item No. 36.

(1) For construction of extension to the Guttenberg sewer, additional to enable final payment.....$ 1,500.00

Women's Jail Fund.

(2) For cost of alterations in the offices of the Sheriff, City Hall, due to the transfer of Sheriff's employees from County Jail No. 1 to main office, and fitting up of south wing of County Jail No. 1 into a women's jail.....$ 3,500.00

Street Reconstruction—Budget Item 73.

(3) For the improvement of Hillway avenue from Carl street to Parnassus avenue .. ..$ 4,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation for Improvement of Great Highway at the Ocean Beach.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $90,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended for the improvement of the Great Highway at the Ocean Beach.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriations for Purchase of Equipment for Schools.

Resolution No. 29898 (New Series), as follows:

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the purchase of equipment for the hereinafter designated schools, to-wit:

For the John Swett Junior High School.....$6,500.00
For the Daniel Webster Junior High School..... 8,000.00
(Request of Board of Education.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Appropriation for Construction of Second Unit, Balboa High School.

Also, Resolution No. 29899 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $352,539.06 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the cost of constructing the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School on City property bounded by Cayuga, Otsego, Oneida and Onondaga avenues; per awards of contract, to-wit:

General construction, Mission Concrete Co.	$270,624.00
Electrical work, Newberry, Pearce Elec. Co.	6,800.00
Mechanical equipment, Scott Co.	31,077.00
Plumbing, gas-fitting and cooking equipment, C. F. Ernst	28,800.00
Architectural fees	5,238.06
Extras, incidentals and inspection	10,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29900 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bemis Bros. Bag Co., 1000 Sansome street, 2000 gallons capacity.

J. M. Carter, 1055 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Clipper, south side of Capra way, 50 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Dempnock, north side of Sacramento street, 40 feet east of Spruce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lee S. Dolson, 337 Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. C. Gensen, 160 Russ street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Gilbert, south side of Jackson street, 32 feet 6 inches west of Walnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. B. Ham, north side of Point Lobos, 200 feet east of Forty-third avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, 2238 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. G. Kincannon, south side of Eddy street, 162 feet 6 inches east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Kinney, 2635 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Liebman, west side of Scott street, 100 feet north of Jefferson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Liebman, north side of Jefferson street, 75 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Otto List, 1209 Oak street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Gazzara, 3343 Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank W. Marston, 2744 Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Midbust, southwest side of Casa way, 104 feet southeast of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Moulin, 45-51 Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Mullen, north side of Bay street, 200 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Mullen, north side of Bay street, 175 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. T. Murphy, 67 Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. H. Nordell, east side of Twenty-third avenue, 30 feet north of Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Spargo & Schwartz, southeast corner of Market and Diamond streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. P. Stroheim, east side of Parker avenue, 150 feet north of Euclid avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. I. Turner, 2576 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.
Alex Vassyie, 387 Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.
Geo. A. Wara, west side of Fillmore street, 200 feet south of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Latourrette Fical Co., north side of Paul avenue, 400 feet east of San Bruno avenue, 46 horse-power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Transfer Auto Supply Station, W. W. Stone, Post and Broderick.

Also, Resolution No. 29901 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Walter W. Stone be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted M. F. O'Brien by Resolution No. 24020 (New Series), for premises at the southeast corner of Post and Broderick streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Transfer Auto Parking Station, O. B. Olsen, 57 Mission Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29902 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That O. B. Olsen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile parking station permit heretofore granted H. Cochlovious by Resolution No. 20834 (New Series), for premises at 57 Mission street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton. Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Auto Supply Station, A. T. Knudsen, Fifteenth and Guerrero Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29903 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Anton T. Knudsen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Fifteenth and Guerrero streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Auto Supply Station, Standard Oil Company, Twenty-fifth Avenue and Irving Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29904 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Standard Oil Company of California be and is

hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Auto Parking Station, F. W. Williams, Page and Stanyan Streets.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. W. Williams be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors. to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the southeast corner of Page and Stanyan streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor Suhr the following resolution was *re-referred to the Fire Committee*:

Auto Supply Station, G. Sanfilippo, Cortland Avenue and Folsom Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. Sanfilippo be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Cortland avenue and Folsom street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Supply Station Transfer, N. L. Ferrari, Mission and Maynard Streets.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29905 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That N. L. Ferrari be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Shell Company of California by Resolution No. 20530 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Mission and Maynard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:45 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Colman was elected to preside.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amendment of Ordinance Requiring Records to Be Kept by Repairers and Storers of Damaged Autos.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Bill No. 8740, Ordinance No. 8239 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 8107 (New Series), entitled "Requiring repairers or storers of damaged, partly demolished or injured automobiles, and every person, firm or corporation conducting a public garage to keep a record of the receipt or storage of such automobiles; providing a punishment for any violation thereof and repealing Ordinance No. 4407 (New Series)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 8107 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Every person, firm or corporation engaged within the City and County of San Francisco in the business of repairing automobiles and every person, firm or corporation conducting a public garage and every person, firm or corporation engaged in the storage of automobiles shall keep a record of the receipt for repair or storage of every damaged, partly demolished or injured automobile, which shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Chief of Police or any officer detailed by him. Such record shall contain the name and address of the person, firm or corporation from whom such automobile was purchased or taken in exchange or for storage or to whom sold, the name and make, state license number, motor number, style and seating capacity thereof, a full and complete description of the damages, demolition or injury and the cause and date thereof and the name and address of the owner thereof as ascertained from the person, firm or corporation from whom such automobile was received. Said record shall be written in the English language in a clear and legible manner.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Ordering Paving, Etc., Crossing of Forty-first Avenue and Ulloa Street, Expense of Property Owners.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8741, Ordinance No. 8240 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in five installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Forty-first avenue and Ulloa street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manhole, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Ordering the Improvement of Josiah Avenue Between Lakeview and Summit Avenues, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8742, Ordinance No. 8241 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Josiah avenue between Lakeview avenue and Summit avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of one side-sewer, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Ordering the Improvement of Fifteenth Street Between Buena Vista Avenue and Roosevelt Way, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8743, Ordinance No. 8242 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fifteenth street between the westerly line of Buena Vista terrace produced and Roosevelt way, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Ordering Improvement of Moraga Street Between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth Avenues, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8744, Ordinance No. 8243 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Moraga street between the easterly line of Forty-fifth avenue and Forty-sixth avenue, including the crossing of Moraga street and Forty-fifth avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of 8-inch, 15-inch and 18-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on 18-inch ironstone pipe sewer and side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks, Broderick Street Between Chestnut Street and Marina Boulevard and Other Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8745, Ordinance No. 8244 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County

of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Broderick street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, Divisadero street between Chestnut street and Marina boulevard, Jefferson street between Scott street and Baker street, North Point street between Scott street and Baker street, Bay street between Scott street and Baker street, Beach street between Scott street and Baker street, Francisco street between Scott street and Lyon street, Lombard street between Baker street and Lyon street, Lyon street (east one-half only) between Lombard street and Bay street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks, Lower Terrace Between Roosevelt Way and Levant Street and Other Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8746, Ordinance No. 8245 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one

year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lower terrace between Roosevelt way and Levant street, Shrader street between Carmel street and Seventeenth street, Seventeenth street between Shrader street and Cole street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed, and the improvement of Natoma street between Third street and Fourth street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks of the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks, Kirkham Street Between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth Avenues and Other Streets, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8747, Ordinance No. 8246 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kirkham street between Forty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue, Ninth avenue (west one-half only) between Ortega and Pacheco streets, Balboa street between Twenty-ninth and Thirty-first avenues, Fifteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, Forty-eighth avenue between Lawton and Taraval streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks, Stockton Street and Columbus Avenue, Expense of Property Owners.

Also, Bill No. 8748, Ordinance No. 8247 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 9, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments, that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the north one-half of Chestnut street from the east line of Stockton street to a line parallel with and thirty-seven feet six inches (37 ft. 6 in.) east of the east line of Stockton street, and the east one-half of Stockton street from the north line of Chestnut street to a line parallel with and eighty feet (80 ft.) north of the north line of Chestnut street, and the northeast one-half of Columbus avenue from the intersection of the south line of Chestnut street to a line parallel with and two hundred twenty-nine feet and nine and five-eighths inches (229 ft. 9⅝ in.) parallel with the south line of Chestnut street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full width, where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks of the full official width have not been constructed to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8749, Ordinance No. 8248 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Brussels street between Olmstead and Dwight streets; Forty-fifth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets and crossing of Forty-fifth avenue and Ortega street; Italy avenue between Athens and Moscow streets; Lisbon street between Russia and France avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues; Moraga street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues and crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-ninth avenue; Niagara avenue between San Jose and Miguel avenues; Phelps street between Bancroft and Carroll avenues; Santiago street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues and crossing of Sanitago street and Thirty-eighth avenue; Twenty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Twenty-ninth avenue

between Lawton and Moraga streets; Thirtieth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Thirtieth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets; Vicente street between Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues; Innes avenue between Mendell and Newhall streets; crossings of Thirtieth avenue and Lawton street and Thirtieth avenue and Moraga street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains laid thereon; no water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Brussels street between Olmstead and Dwight streets; Forty-fifth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets and crossing of Forty-fifth avenue and Ortega street; Italy avenue between Athens and Moscow streets; Lisbon street between Russia and France avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues; Moraga street between Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues and crossing of Moraga street and Twenty-ninth avenue; Niagara avenue between San Jose avenue and Miguel street; Phelps street between Bancroft and Carroll avenues; Sanitago street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues and crossing of Sanitago street and Thirty-eighth avenue; Twenty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Thirtieth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Thirtieth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets; Vicente street between Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues; Innes avenue between Mendell and Newhall streets; crossings of Thirtieth avenue and Lawton street and Thirtieth avenue and Moraga street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Establishing Grades on Peninsula Avenue Between Bay Shore and Lathrop Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8750, Ordinance No. 8249 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Peninsula avenue between Bay Shore avenue southerly line and Lathrop avenue northerly line.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Peninsula avenue between Bay Shore avenue southerly line and Lathrop avenue northerly line are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed October 5, 1928.

Peninsula Avenue.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 41.10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 40.10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Lathrop avenue northerly line, 32.00 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Lathrop avenue northerly line, 32.00 feet.

On Peninsula avenue between Bay Shore avenue southerly line and Lathrop avenue northerly line, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Width of Sidewalks on Alpine Terrace Between Waller and Fourteenth Streets at 10 Feet.

Also, Bill No. 8751, Ordinance No. 8250 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 23, 1928, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-four, to read as follows:

Section 1054. The width of sidewalks on Alpine Terrace between Waller street and Fourteenth street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Underground District, Broadway Between Larkin Street and Van Ness Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8752, Ordinance No. 8251 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1-Y.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after February 1, 1929, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 31, Broadway from the east side of Larkin street to the east side of Van Ness avenue, approximately 1000 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $53,642.91, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Action Deferred.

The following items were *laid over one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs	$34.91
Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance	83.32
Tablet & Ticket Co., directory service, City Hall	90.00
League of California Municipalities, dues for years 1927 and 1928	140.00

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium—Appropriation 56.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, for maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of October, 1928 (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928)	$ 3,775.12

Park Fund.

(2) J. A. Bryant, final payment, construction of extension to Kezar Stadium (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928)	49,322.91
(3) Golden Gate Atlas Materials Co., concrete furnished for Kezar Stadium (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928)	1,530.00
(4) Old Homestead Bakery, bread furnished for parks (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928)	731.95
(5) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928)	3,098.32
(6) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks and squares (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928)	4,028.91

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(7) Fulcher Industrial Equipment Co., T rail and angle bars (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928)	$ 568.22
(8) Hitchcock & Tinkler Equipment Co., 22 dump cars (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928)	1,870.00
(9) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928)	930.05
(10) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928)	1,368.24
(11) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, for reimbursement of revolving fund for account of expenditures (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928)	3,096.52
(12) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928)	2,005.66
(13) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928)	5,075.00
(14) Hitchcock & Tinkler Equipment Co., 46 dump cars (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928)	3,910.40
(15) Pacific Coast Steel Co., steel bars (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928)	1,648.14
(16) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928)	4,011.32
(17) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928)	729.30
(18) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter and cheese (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928)	545.43

(19) Soule Steel Co., prepaid freight charges (claim dated Oct. 25, 28) 555.58
(20) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 2,554.52
(21) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 676.93
(22) Fulchur Industrial Equipment Co., T rail and angle bars (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 540.59
(23) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 573.93
(24) Pacific Coast Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928) 741.26
(25) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, matching Hetch Hetchy employees' contributions to the Retirement Fund for months of July, August and September, 1928 (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 763.31
(26) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 522.00
(27) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 781.48
(28) Shell Company of California, gasoline, etc. (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 684.92
(29) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium covering insurance on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 4,392.43
(30) George Tay Co., pipe and fittings (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 616.07
(31) Western Well Works, Inc., sinking test bore (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 2,608.00

Park Fund.

(32) The McGilvray-Raymond Granite Co., granite coping for Huntington Square (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) ..... $ 1,075.00
(33) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium for acount of Park employees (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) .. 530.18
(34) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) 1,339.07
(35) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) 617.09
(36) Swift & Co., meats for park restaurants (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) 899.86
(37) The Texas Co., fuel oil for parks (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) 757.71

Boulevard Bonds.

(38) Municipal Construction Co., payment for street work in connection with Lot 25 in Block 2365, as per the Asessor's Block Books, acquired for Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) .. .. $ 1,128.45

San Francisco War Memorial Trust Fund.

(39) E. J. Treacy, first payment, construction of sewer and appurtenances in Franklin street between Fulton and Grove streets (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) ..... $ 2,100.00

County Road Fund.

(40) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for improvement of Harding boulevard (claim dated Oct. 12, 1928) .. .. $ 2,765.25

Municipal Railway Fund.

(41) Felice Castro, widow of Municipal Railway employee, compensation insurance for account of death of W. R. Castro (claim dated Oct. 18, 1928) ..... $ 921.30
(42) Lucien Farley, twenty-fifth payment, compensation insurance for account of injuries sustained (claim dated Oct. 23, 1928) 1,407.51

School Bonds, 1923.

(43) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, to match contributions to retirement fund by employees engaged on school bond constructions, year 1927-1928 (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928) ..... $ 747.43

Special School Tax.

(44) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928).... $ 1,447.50
(45) H. Ankers, second payment, painting additions to Jefferson School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 861.75
(46) Ashley, Evers & Hayes, first payment, architectural services for Geary Street School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 1,536.00
(47) Carl F. Ernst, second payment, mechanical equipment for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 621.75
(48) Carl F. Ernst, second payment, plumbing work for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928).... 1,438.33
(49) R. Flatland, third payment, electrical work for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 910.64
(50) Hamilton Construction Co., third payment, general construction of Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 7,552.12
(51) Frank J. Reilly, final payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 40,126.46
(52) Dodge A. Riedy, second payment, architectural services for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 1,569.49
(53) The Scott Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for additions to Jefferson School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928).. 1,209.76
(54) Sorensen & Haggmark, second payment, general construction of addition to John Swett School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 5,899.35
(55) P. J. Enright, third payment, mechanical equipment for Golden Gate School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 2,275.12
(56) D. Zelinsky & Sons, final payment, painting for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 3,737.75

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(57) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, reserve for depreciation for month of October, per Charter requirement (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928)..... $14,583.00
(58) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for insurance covering Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928) 874.73

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(59) Robert W. Jamison, sixth and final payment for furnishing and installing rail bonds for the Sunset line of Municipal Railways, contract 160 (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) ..... $ 2,944.51
(60) American Brass Company, second and final payment for furnishing and delivering electric cables for Sunset line of Municipal Railways, contract 156, Section A (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 5,292.84

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 57.

(61) Garret W. McEnerney, attorney for judgment creditors, one-tenth of final judgment, seventh installment, per schedule attached, as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated Nov. 20, 1928) $11,287.73
(62) Arthur J. Hearst, attorney for judgment creditors, second installment, one-tenth of final judgment, per schedule attached, as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 12,995.14
(63) Arthur J. Hearst, attorney for judgment creditor, second installment, one-tenth of final judgment, per sched-

ule attached, as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated Oct. 16, 1928) 3,060.90

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(64) E. J. Treacy, for installation of pedestrian markers, under contract with Board of Supervisors (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928) .. ..$ 4,378.39
(65) Inlaid Floor Co., machine sanding and waxing of floor of main Auditorium hall (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928)..... 1,240.00
(66) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928) 1,500.00
(67) Kresteller Motor Co., one Ford touring auto for use of Police Department (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928)..... 512.50
(68) Dudley B. Perkins, repair of Police Department motorcycles (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928)..... 554.97
(69) Daniel J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense for November (claim dated Nov. 1, 1928) 750.00
(70) Brandt Automatic Cashier Co., two model 63 cashiers and cabinets for the Assessor (claim dated Oct. 30, 1928).. 503.50
(71) H. H. Larsen Co., first payment, general construction of Sunset Police Station (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 4,331.25
(72) The Texas Co., fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 29, 1928) 1,778.05
(73) California Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928) 3,022.06
(74) Dairydale San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream for San Francisco and Isolation hospitals (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928) 3,996.83
(75) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928) 1,907.64
(76) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruits and vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928)..... 1,060.94
(77) Maggini Motor Co., one Ford coupe, less allowance on old car, Department of Public Health (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 615.50
(78) Spring Valley Water Co., water service through Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 14,955.30

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(79) American LaFrance & Foamite Corp., two pump and hose motor apparatus for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) $21,480.00
(80) Mack International Motor Truck Corp., two pumping engines for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928).. 12,250.00

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(81) George J. Curran, in full settlement for account of damages due to injuries sustained on or about June 6, 1928 (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) .....$ 650.00
(82) Joseph L. Bayles, in full settlement for acount of damages and personal injuries sustained by reason of accident, January 17, 1927 (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 16,250.00
(83) William L. Newton, in full settlement for account of damages and injuries sustained August 4, 1927, due to accident (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 7,125.00

County Road Fund.

(84) Joint Highway District No. 9 (counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz), for expense of continuation of engineering survey and incidental expense in connection with proposed highway under direction of counties above named; per appropriation by Resolution No. 29810, New Series (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928).....$ 2,750.00
(85) Joint Highway District No. 10 (counties of San Fran-

cisco and San Mateo), for expense of making preliminary engineering survey and incidental expense in connection with the extension of Junipero Serra boulevard southerly into San Mateo County; per appropriation by Resolution No. 29810, New Series (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928)..... 4,250.00

Spring Valley Acquisition Expense, Rate Litigation Fund.

(86) S. G. Clowd, salary 17 days, of October, 1828, at rate of $200 per month .....$ 110.70
(87) Oak Blue Print Co., six photostat maps..... 9.00

Appropriation, $545,000, Bernal Cut, Contract No. 1.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $545,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Bernal Cut Bonds for the construction of Bernal Cut, Contract No. 1, San Jose avenue, Dolores street and Randall street to San Jose avenue, Diamond street and Monterey boulevard, as per contract awarded to MacDonald & Kahn, Inc., at $504,829.39, and for engineering, inspection and possible extras, $40,170.61.

(Recommendation by the Board of Public Works—Resolution No. 103707, Second Series.)

Payments for Lands Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) H. M. Thurber, for Lot 6 in Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. ———— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 31, 1928).....$ 4,040.00
(2) L. B. Hammond and Janette Hammond, for Lot 5 in Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. ———— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 31, 1928).. 5,625.00
(3) Carlo Bellanca and Anna Bellanca, for Lot 29 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. ———— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 8,250.00
(4) Mervyn D. Sullivan, for Lot 11 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. ———— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 6,500.00
(5) George W. Dunand and George W. Geil, for portions of Lots 67, 69 and 70 in Block 7145, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. ———— (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated October 31, 1928) 3,140.00

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Appropriation, $1,261.58, Reimbursement for Painting Traffic Signs, Etc.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,261.58 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 57, to the credit of Budget Item No. 428B, Department of Public

Works; being reimbursement for the cost of painting traffic signs, lines and curbing for traffic regulation during month of September, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $3,105, Payment to Armida Chittka, Satisfaction of Judgment, Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of three thousand one hundred and five dollars ($3,105) be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the Bay Shore Boulevard Bonds, and to be payable to Armida Chittka (formerly Armida Papini), in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in action entitled "City and County of San Francisco v. Armida Chittka et al.," and numbered 199756 of the records in eminent domain, for the acquisition of land for Bay Shore boulevard. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

City Attorney to Compromise Claim of Anna Perkins for $1,500, Municipal Railway Accident.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29906 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Anna Perkins was injured while attempting to board a Municipal street car on the —— day of ———— and suit has been brought by said Anna Perkins and Harry Perkins, her husband, against the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, City Attorney John J. O'Toole has this day recommended a compromise and settlement of said suit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to compromise and settle said suit for the sum of fifteen hundred dollars ($1500); said suit is entitled "Anna Perkins and Harry Perkins, her husband, plaintiffs, v. Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, defendants," and numbered 178729 on the files of the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Accepting Offer of L. B. Hammond et al. to Sell Land for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29907 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

L. B. Hammond and Janette A. Hammond, $5,625—All of Lot 5 of Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Accepting Offers of G. W. Dunand et al. for Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29908 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

George W. Dunand and George W. Geil, $3,140—Portion of Assessor's Lots Nos. 67, 69 and 70, Block 7145, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Arthur B. Elliott, $233—Portion of Lot No. 26-N, Block 6968, as per the Assessor's Block Books of City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Accepting Offers of Mervyn D. Sullivan et al. to Sell Land for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29909 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Mervyn D. Sullivan, $6,500—All of Lot 11, Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the improvements on the above described lot are to remain the property of M. D. Sullivan, and are subject to a 60-day notice of removal after October 31, 1928.

Carlo Bellanca and Anna Bellanca, $8,250—All of Lot 29 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the improvements on the above described lot are to remain the property of Carlo Bellanca et ux., subject to a notice of removal on January 2, 1929, or within 30 days thereafter.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy

of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Accepting Offer of H. M. Thurber to Sell Land for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29910 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:
H. M. Thurber, $4,040—All of Lot 6 Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.
And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Execute Deed to John Kolstad.

Also, Resolution No. 29911 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the terms of Resolution No. 29868 (New Series), the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby authorized and instructed to execute a deed to John Kolstad in the name of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Payment to Crocker First National Bank for Services as Fiscal Agents.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $870.04 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment to Crocker First National Bank out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 23, for cost of exchange and services rendered as fiscal agents for the City and County of San Francisco, being for the six months ending Oct 1, 1928 (claim dated Oct. 6, 1928).

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bank of Italy, southwest corner of Green and Stockton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boardman Bros., 463 Ivy avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Buchan, 3171 Twenty-second avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

A. Cana, 1171 Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Balboa Elementary School), north side of Seneca avenue, 100 feet west of Delano avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. H. Denke and Bell Bros., east side of Hyde street, 100 feet south of Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Harris, 3855 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Hoare, 287 Sanchez street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. K. Kelleher, 147 Ashbury street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, east side of Parker avenue, 371 feet north of Euclid avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. A. Maggini, 1426 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. H. McKnew, north side of Chestnut street, 220 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Modglin, south side of Forty-third avenue, 200 feet south of Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Emile Nelson, 1245 Oak street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Anthony Parenti, west side of Hyde street, 72 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Rose, 3236 Pacific street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stein & Kreig, northwest corner Page and Cole streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

The Viavi Co., 636 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit, H. H. Anderson, 2340 Lombard Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hans H. Anderson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted McHardy & Cumberpatch by Resolution No. 21445 (New Series) for premises at 2340 Lombard street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit, Jack Sockolov, 2318 Fillmore Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jack Sockolov be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Louis Sockolov by Resolution No. 18056 (New Series) for premises at 2318 Fillmore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit, Jack Sockolov, 2343 Fillmore Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jack Sockolov be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Louis Sockolov by Resolution No. 22581 (New Series) for premises at 2343 Fillmore street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit, Peters & Company, 955 Post Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Peters & Company be and is hereby granted per-

mission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 955 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week* on motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Auto Supply Station, E. B. Bridgett, Faxon and Ocean Avenues.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. B. Bridgett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Faxon and Ocean avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was *rereferred to Fire Committee*:

Auto Supply Station, John Gold, West Portal Avenue and Vicente Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Gold be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of West Portal avenue and Vicente street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over two weeks*:

Ordinance Regulating Parades and Processions.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along, or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four (24) hours previous to its forming or marching and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police. Provided, however, that no parade or procession shall occupy or march upon any street in the Central Traffic District unless special permit in writing has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Any person who, upon making application, has been denied such written permit may refer such application to the "Committee on Parades" for further consideration and action.

Section 4. The Police Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to act as a "Committee on Parades" for the purposes of this ordinance.

Said Committee is hereby given the right and it shall be its duty to consider and act upon any application for a permit, provided for in Section 3 hereof, that has been referred to it in accordance with the provisions of said section. The findings of such Committee shall be binding upon the Chief of Police.

Section 5. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges and the apparatus of the Fire Department, responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department and Fire Marshal and auxiliary fire apparatus, belonging to any person, firm or corporation when such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment and the United States mail wagons shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 6. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession are within one (1) block of said crossings and the intersections and said line shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 7. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert said parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over and along the nearest cross street from such fire, accident or emergency and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 9. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Masquerade Ball Permit, Otto Knoch.

On recommendation of Police Committee.

Resolution No. 29912 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Otto Knoch be and he is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball in Majestic Ballroom, 1805 Geary street, Thanksgiving Eve, November 28, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Mayor Authorized to Sell Old Buildings in Civic Center.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 29913 (New Series), as follows

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction in accordance with provisions of the Charter the certain shack building situate in the Civic Center and fronting on and along McAllister street from Hyde street easterly.

The Board of Public Works is requested to prescribe conditions for the razing and removal of said structures by the purchaser thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

American Trust Company, Permission to Install Two Ducts From 418 Montgomery Street to Russ Building and Two Standard Manholes.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 29914 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That American Trust Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to install two ducts in which will be placed two 2¼-inch copper tubes between the office of the company, 418 Montgomery street, and its branch office in the Russ Building, and two standard manholes, to be located in Montgomery street at such positions as the City Engineer shall determine.

The said ducts and manholes shall be laid to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Provided, American Trust Company shall at all times, while exercising said privilege, keep in repair the roadway of the space occupied by said ducts.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Closing Fulton Street from Van Ness Avenue to Franklin Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29915 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning Fulton street from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street.

Whereas, this Board has by Resolution No. 29644 (New Series) declared its intention to close up and abandon Fulton street from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street, situated in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of Fulton street from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said Fulton street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said Fulton street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 29644 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said Fulton street is nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses

thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of Fulton street from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue to the easterly line of Franklin street be and the same is hereby ordered and that said Fulton street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official paper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Resolution No. 29916 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

713 Battery street, 18 feet—L. Saroni Wholesale Sugar Co.

731 Battery street, 27 feet—Euclid Candy Co.

60 Bartlett street, 27 feet—Cohen Furniture Co. (warehouse).

583-587 Howard street, 27 feet—Bristol-Myers Drug Sundries Co.

633 Laguna street, 18 feet—Purity Candy Company.

305 Pacific street, 27 feet—Creamery Package Co. (warehouse).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Award of Contract, Foodstuffs.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing foodstuffs during the 4-months' period, viz.: November, December, 1928, and January, February, 1929, on bids submitted October 1, 1928 (Proposal No. 410), and tabulation thereof on file in the Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee, and the awards, herein approved by it, same are made to the following, viz.:

Bid No.	Contractor	Amount of Bond
1.	Martinelli Fish Co.	$ 200
2.	Dodge, Sweeney & Co.	100
3.	Del Monte Meat Co.	1,000
4.	Sherry Bros., Inc.	None
5.	Fred L. Hilmer Co.	100
6.	Morris & Company	1,000
7.	California Meat Co.	1,000
9.	O'Brien, Spotorno, Mitchell & Compagno Bros.	None
10.	Bay City Market	200
11.	South S. F. Packing & Provision Co.	200
12.	Geo. W. Caswell Co.	None

14. Baumgarten Bros. ..	1,000
18. Western Meat Company	None
19. Libby, McNeill & Libby	None
20. St. Charles Market	100
21. H. E. Teller Co.	500
24. Swift & Company	100
27. Smith, Lynden & Co.	100
29. McCarthy Bros. ..	500
30. Challenge Cream & Butter Association	100
32. F. E. Booth Company	500
33. J. A. Folger & Co.	None
34. Roth-Winter-Walsh	None
35. Western States Grocery Co.	100
36. California Supply Co.	None
37. M. J. B. Co.	None

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Various Street Purposes.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

Fell street, Fillmore to Steiner streets	$ 2,200.00
Fell street, Pierce to Scott streets	2,200.00
Fremont street, Mission to Howard streets	4,500.00
Eighteenth avenue, Judah to Kirkham streets	3,000.00
Grant avenue, Pine to Filbert streets	6,500.00
Jessie street, Ninth to Tenth streets	1,120.00
Natoma street, Fremont to First streets	3,250.00
Pleasant street, Taylor to Jones streets	3,500.00
Ritch street, Brannan to Townsend streets	5,125.00
Total	$31,395.00

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Auto Supply Station, Moore & Smart.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Moore & Smart be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Third and Brannan streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the following matter was *laid over two weeks*:

Granting Associated Oil Company Supply Station, Twenty-fifth Avenue and Geary Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwesterly corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

August 6, 1928—*Passed to print.*

August 13, 1928—*Over three weeks* (*September* 3*rd*).

October 1, 1928—*Upon notice of reconsideration given by Supervisor Shannon.*

Referred.

The following matter was *referred to the City Planning Committee*:

Zone Change, Southwest Corner Van Ness Avenue and Bay Street.

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Bay street for a distance of 112 feet 6 inches on Van Ness avenue and for a distance of 123 feet on Bay street, in the Commercial District in stead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

October 8, 1928—*Over one week.*

October 15, 1928—*Over three weeks* (*November* 5, 1928).

Leave of Absence, Andrew F. Mahony, Police Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Attached hereto is an application made by the Honorable Andrew F. Mahony, a member of the Police Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of one week, commencing October 25, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this permission?

Respectfully,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER, Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29918 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor Acting Mayor Franck R. Havenner, Hon. Andrew F. Mahony, a member of the Police Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence,

for a period of one week, commencing October 25, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

October 25, 1928.

To Honorable James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Sir: Under the provisions of Section 3, Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make application for permission to leave the State of California for a period of one week, commencing October 25, 1928.

If this application meets with your approval, kindly forward the same to the Board of Supervisors with your recommendation thereon.

Yours respectfully,

ANDREW F. MAHONY, Police Commissioner.

Leave of Absence, A. I. Esberg. Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., October 24, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. A. I. Esberg, member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing October 27, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29919 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. A. I. Esberg, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of sixty days, commencing October 27, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Sigmund Stern.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., November 2, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Playground Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent herself from the State of California, for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing November 7, 1928.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29920 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Playground Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of sixty days, commencing November 7, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Leave of Absence, Timothy A. Reardon, President Board of Public Works.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., November 2, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My dear Colleagues: The Honorable Timothy A. Reardon, President of the Board of Public Works, has made application to me for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State, commencing November 10, 1928, for the period of twenty-one (21) days, to attend the Metal Trades Convention at New Orleans, Louisiana.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave?

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29921 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Timothy A. Reardon, president of the Board of Public Works, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of twenty-one days, commencing November 10, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Toud, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Public Utilities Committee to Take Charge of Golden Gate Bridge Proceedings.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 29922 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is published that the Superior Court has denied the protests in the matter of the proposed Golden Gate bridge and it will now be necessary to carry on proceedings to further the construction of the project for which permit has been issued by the United States War Department; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Committee be authorized and directed to take charge of the future proceedings in this matter on behalf of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Theatrical Mutual Association All-Night Show.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 29923 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Chief of Police be and is hereby requested to permit the Theatrical Mutual Association to run their Annual Sick and Death Benefit Show, to be hold at the Golden Gate Theater, Saturday, November 10, 1928, all night.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Incinerator Plant to Be Opened for Inspection.

Supervisor Toner presented:

Resolution No. 29924 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there was expended on the block bounded by Kansas, De Haro and Army streets a Henan-Freud Incinerator, costing, complete, including the land, $392,704; and

Whereas, a part of this plant has been abandoned and the equipment has been removed by persons unknown, which necessitated the boarding up of all windows and doors throughout the entire plant. The boarding up has been done in such a manner that it will take a blacksmith and carpenter to effect an entrance so that the plant may be inspected; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and hereby is requested, upon three days' notice by the Health Committee, to take down parts of this boarding so that a thorough investigation can be made of the entire plant.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Powers—3.

Letter of Thanks, Relief of West Indies-Florida Hurricane Sufferers.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication—From Samuel Knight, chairman San Francisco Chapter, American Red Cross, thanking Board of Supervisors for generous appropriation for relief of West Indies-Florida hurricane sufferers.

Read and *filed.*

Death of Carl G. Larsen.

Supervisor Gallagher announced the death of Carl G. Larsen and suggested a suitable service to commemorate his donations of park lands. It was moved and carried by rising vote that the Board of Supervisors attend the funeral services in a body; that the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee recommend to the Park authorities the erection of a suitable memorial to the memory of Carl G. Larsen, and that a public funeral under the auspices of the City, with the Municipal band and R. O. T. C., be held.

Death of Percy L. Henderson.

Supervisor Gallaher called attention to the passing of Percy L. Henderson, former State Senator and Chief Wharfinger, and moved that the Clerk be instructed to prepare suitable resolutions of condolences to be sent to the family of the deceased. It was further moved that when the Board adjourn that it do so out of respect to his memory.

Motion *carried* by rising vote.

Investigation of Operating Expenses of Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Finance Committee be authorized by the Board of Supervisors to request the Railroad Commission to get a detailed report of the operating expenses of the Market Street Railway Company of the last nine months of the present year. He called attention to a previous report showing large increases in officers' salaries, etc., advertising account increases and in accounts audited but not paid. He asked for further light on these matters; also, he asked that the Board use its influence to have the Federal Trade Commission continue the investigation it has begun in these matters.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 47

Tuesday, November 13, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, November 13, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 22, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Hasten Sewer Bond Work.

November 10, 1928.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: The Committee on Streets and Commercial Development wishes to congratulate the Board, the voters of San Francisco and all those who assisted in securing the successful passage of the Sewers Bond Issue at the election of November 6th.

The Committee requests that the necessary procdeure be hastened so that the first block of bonds may be sold at an early date, and the work proceed without delay, as conditions as regards sewers are in a deplorable state in several sections of the City.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
Chairman,
ALFRED RONCOVIERI,
Member,
FRED SUHR,
Member,
COMMITTEE ON STREETS AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT.

Read and *filed.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Turkeys.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day for furnishing turkeys for San Francisco institutions and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

X-Ray Films.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day for furnishing X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Showcases for Memorial Museum.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day for furnishing 24 showcases for Memorial Museum, Golden Gate Park, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Printing Report, Bureau of Engineering.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this day for printing report of Bureau of Engineering and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over until meeting of November* 19, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*:

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

The following ordinance, heretofore refused passage by the following vote, is up on notice of reconsideration given by Supervisor Marks at last meeting:

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 4 and 6 and adding a new section, to be numbered Section 6-A, to Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled, "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Sections Nos. 4 and 6 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), the title to which is hereinabove recited, are hereby amended, and there is added thereto a new section, to be numbered 6-A, said amendment and new section to read as follows:

Section 4. Before any person shall engage in the business of dealing in any second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, he must make application to the Board of Police Commissioners for a permit therefor, which said Board may, by resolution, grant permission to said applicant to receive a license from the Tax Collector upon the payment of the license fee required; provided, however, that said Board shall have the power to revoke said permission upon good cause being shown.

Section 6. The term "furniture and household goods," as used in this ordinance, shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor covering, crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 6-A. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Finally passed—September 17, 1928.

October 22, 1928—*Refused passage by the following vote*:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr—9.

Noes—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Toner—5.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Marks, having voted with the prevailing side, gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the foregoing action at this meeting.

October 29, 1928—*Over two weeks.*

Licenses for Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Bill No. 8708, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be numbered Section 62-B, relative to license fee on dealers in second-hand furniture and household goods.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered Section 62-B, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, to read as follows:

Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Section 62-B. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling or exchanging second-hand furniture and household goods, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, shall (after securing a quarterly permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to carry on said business), pay a license of ten ($10) dollars per quarter.

The term "furniture and household goods" as used in this ordinance shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings, crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 2. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

September 24, 1928—*Over one week.*
October 1, 1928—*Over one week.*
October 8, 1928—*Over one week, Special Order*, 11 *a. m.*
October 15, 1928—*Over one week, Special Order*, 11 *a. m.*
Repealing Ordinance passed to print Monday, October 8, 1928.
October 22, 1928—*Over one week.*
October 29, 1928—*Over two weeks.*

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Relative to Larsen Park, Golden Gate Heights.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Carl G. Larsen donated to the City, by deed of gift, that certain tract of land situate on Golden Gate Heights and bounded by Rockridge drive and Twelfth avenue as delineated on the map of said Golden Gate Heights, which deed was heretofore accepted by the City; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said certain land hereinabove referred to be and the same is hereby set aside and dedicated to park purposes and the same is hereby invested in the Park Commission for its maintenance and supervision in accordance with provisions of the Charter.

October 1, 1928—*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*
October 29, 1928—*Over two weeks.*
November 5, 1928—*Over one week.*

Amendment Proposed.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved to insert "Provided, however, that if the Board of Park Commissioners desires to make use of the land for any other purpose than park purposes, this resolution shall become null and void and the land shall revert to the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors."

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation for Improvement of Great Highway at the Ocean Beach.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29926 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $90,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended for the improvement of the Great Highway at the Ocean Beach.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Auto Parking Station, F. W. Williams, Page and Stanyan Streets.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29928 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. W. Williams be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the southeast corner of Page and Stanyan streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $55,397.27, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs.....	$34.91
Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance.....	83.32
Tablet & Ticket Co., directory service, City Hall.....	90.00
League of California Municipalities, dues for years 1927 and 1928	140.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby

authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) The Howard Ehmke Co., field cover for Kezar Stadium (claim dated Nov. 9, 1928).....$ 960.82
(2) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 9, 1928) 877.55

Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 54.

(3) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., street decorations for account of conventions, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928).....$ 775.00
(4) Rudolph Theurkauf, construction of miniture map of San Francisco Airport, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928)..... 618.50
(5) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing, advertising, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928)..... 2,444.39

County Road Fund.

(6) Board of Park Commissioners, improvement of Harding boulevard (claim dated Nov. 9, 1928).....$ 3,936.50

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(7) The Elliott-Horne Co., paving in Redwood City along the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct right of way (claim dated Nov. 1, 1928) .....$ 2,157.97

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) Atlas Rock Co., gravel and sand (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928) . . ..$ 854.11
(9) Cement Gun Construction Co., cement gun work (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928)..... 956.25
(10) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., trench diggers, picks, etc. (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928)..... 552.05
(11) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., vegetables and fruits (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928)..... 500.94
(12) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928) 5,014.15
(13) Utah Fuel Co., coal (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928)..... 644.81
(14) Atlas Rock Co., gravel and sand (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 1,731.22
(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund expenditures, per attached vouchers (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 1,778.12
(16) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated dated Oct. 13, 1928)..... 2,005.66

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(17) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 1, 1928).....$ 708.87

Special School Tax.

(18) Kohler & Chase, pianos for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Nov. 6, 1928).....$ 988.00
(19) Kohler & Chase, pianos for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Nov. 6, 1928)..... 790.00

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(20) Kleiber Motor Co., two Kleiber trucks for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928).....$ 4,000,00

Playground Fund.

(21) Anchor Post Fence Co., installing fence at Ocean View Playground (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928).....$ 1,850.00

(22) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928) 1,259.54
(23) McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928) 972.03
(24) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928) 784.77
(25) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928) 791.37

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(26) John J. Dailey, legal services, month of November, 1928, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928) .....$ 850.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(27) Dion R. Holm, legal services for November, 1928, telephone rate litigation (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928) .....$ 850.00
(28) N. Randall Ellis, engineering service for November, 1928, telephone rate litigation (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928). 750.00
(29) Flynn & Collins, one Ford roadster for Police Department (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928) 522.50
(30) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928) 660.00
(31) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of Feeble Minded (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928) 9,830.00
(32) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Calendar, Journal, etc. (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928) 1,125.40
(33) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928) 939.82
(34) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928) 4,773.09
(35) Dr. Jos. M. Toner, expense incurred visiting cities throughout the United States in the investigation of garbage incineration and disposal, including transportation, hotels, meals and incidentals (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928). 1,648.30
(36) Hugh McGill, final payment, Guttenberg street sewer extension (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 1,445.76
(37) Raymond C. Ashworth, professional services as chief valuation engineer, in connection with valuation of the Market Street Railway properties (claim dated Nov. 1, 1928) 900.00
(38) L. M. Wilber, M. D., Supt. San Francisco Hospital, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 3,000.00
(39) Geo. T. Caswell Co., coffee for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 875.00
(40) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 575.51
(41) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 1,740.10

1928 *Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund.*

(42) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, professional services, part payment, covering preliminary report on Hetch Hetchy and Spring Valley Water Bonds (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928) . . ..$ 7,500.00

County Road Fund.

(43) Fageol Motor Sales Co., eight motor trucks with dump bodies for the Department of Public Works, per contract awarded by Resolution No. 29643 (New Series) and for which appropriation was made by Resolution No. 29690 (New Series) (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928) $36,576.00

Payment to Crocker First National Bank for Services as Fiscal Agents.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $870.04 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment to Crocker First National Bank out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 23, for cost of exchange and services rendered as fiscal agents for the City and County of San Francisco, being for the six months ending October 1, 1928 (claim dated October 6, 1928).

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Offers, Lands for Alemany Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29929 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Adam H. Lieb, $65—Portion of Lot 4, Block 6786, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

John Hyde, $57—Portion of Lot 14, Block 7132, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

F. O. Hoedt and Ethel Hoedt, $600—Portion of Lot 43a, Block 7150, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

H. H. Smith and Annie D. Smith, $75—Portion of Lot 26, Block 7151, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Franz A. Wolpert, $300—Lot 1, Block 7134, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offers, Lands for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29930 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Agostino Cerruti, $750—Portion of Lot 34, Block 7146, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

As a further consideration, Agostino Cerruti is to receive a deed from the City and County of San Francisco to a small triangular strip of land 3 feet by 18 feet, more or less, lying between the remaining portion of the above mentioned Lot 34 and the southerly

line of the proposed Alemany boulevard, which was acquired for street purposes and is no longer necessary for street purposes.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed from the City and County of San Francisco to said Agostino Cerruti, conveying said portion of land hereinabove described.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offers, Lands for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29931 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Louis Riviere, $3,200—

Parcel 1—The westerly portion of Lot 19, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel "A"—The easterly portion of Lot 19, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Amanda Hansberg, $1,800—

Parcel 1—The northerly portion of Lots 30 and 31, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel "B"—The southerly portion of Lots 30 and 31, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be It Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of said Parcel "A", purchased from said Louis Riviere, and said Parcel "B", purchased from said Amanda Hansberg, the deeds to said parcels "A" and "B" be taken in the name of the City Title Insurance Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the direction of said City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offers, Lands for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29932 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, to accepted:

Mary E. Whearty and Alice Murphy, $600—Portion of Lot 20, Block 5392, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Oscar Hansberg and Amanda Hansberg, $3,945—Lot 32, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jerome J. Weinstein and Edith A. Weinstein, $2,377—

Parcel 1. Portion of Lot 7, Block 5474, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lots Nos. 5 and 6, Block 5474, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

(As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offers, Property for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29933 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Paul F. Fratessa, $6,750—Lot 22, Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantor is to vacate the above described premises on or before December 15, 1928.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offers, Lands for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29934 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

H. Falck, $4,000—All of Lot 7 of Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the City and County of San Francisco is to pay the entire cost of the Vicente street work.

Sophie O'Donnell, $11,337.50—The westerly one-half of Lot 1 of Block 2313, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

G. E. Chapman, $1,350—All of Lots 17 and 23 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

B. E. Harmer and W. E. Merkelback, $4,000—All of Lot 10 of Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the City and County of San Francisco is to pay the entire cost of the Vicente street work, including the crossing.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offer, Lands for Extension of Margaret Avenue and Louisburg Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29935 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the extending of Margaret avenue and Louisburg street at their intersection with Ridge lane, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Terigio Barbato and Rosaria Barbato, $300—Portion of Lot 42, Block 7049, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount includes damages in full to remaining property of the said Terigio Barbato and Rosaria Barbato and to the improvements partially located thereon.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Accepting Offers, Land for Sewer Easement.

Also, Resolution No. 29936 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following parties to sell to the City and County of San Francisco a surface and subsurface sewer easement on and over the following described land required for the construction of a sewer from Margaret avenue to Majestic avenue, between Lake View avenue and Ridge lane, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Bernard B. Reilly and Margaret Reilly, $225—The southwesterly 3 feet of Lot 11, Block 7049, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Bay City Parlor No. 104, Native Sons of the Golden West, $225—Portion of Lot 34, Block 7049, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said easement to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Mayor to Sell Property on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 29937 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 357 Arlington street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling house and appurtenances to be removed by the purchaser within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue of 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Cabinet Shop Permit, R. Brandlein & Co., 2183 Bryant Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Brandlein & Co. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop at 2183 Bryant street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Appropriations for Various Purposes.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29938 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers, Budget Item 36.

(1) For cost of construction of sewer in Carolina street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, additional . . . . . $ 500.00

(2) For cost of construction of sewer in Visitacion avenue between Delta and Schwerin streets, at school property.. 225.00

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item 33.

(3) For cost of constructing sidewalks in front of City property on Thirtieth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets . . . . . $ 144.00

County Road Fund.

(4) For the improvement of Montgomery street between Green and Union streets, additional for repair of wall in connection with said improvement . . . . . $ 175.00

Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 54.

(5) For City's participation in First Annual California Live Stock and Baby Beef Show, to be held at the Union Stock Yards, South San Francisco, Nov. 13, 14 and 15, 1928....$ 500.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations for Street and Sewer Construction and Bridge Repair.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Reconstruction, Budget Item No. 73.

(1) For the reconstruction of Green street from Polk street to Van Ness avenue.....$ 6,500.00

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers, Budget Item 36.

(2) For cost of construction of sewer, manhole, catchbasin, multiple inlet and culvert at the intersection of Portola drive and Waithman way..... 919.50

County Road Fund.

(3) For cost of repairing the flooring (roadway) of the Third street bridge at Channel..... 5,000.00

Appropriations for Street Reconstruction.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the following street reconstructions, to-wit:

(1) Taylor street, from Columbus avenue to Bay street, additional . . ..$ 2,400.00
(2) Naples street between Geneva avenue and Rolph street, including removal of pergola..... 1,700.00
(3) Mason street, from Post to Bush streets..... 7,500.00
(4) Lombard street from Polk street to Van Ness avenue.. 1,500.00
(5) Green street, from Polk to Larkin streets..... 6,500.00
(6) Mason street, from Clay to Washington streets..... 4,000.00
(7) Leavenworth street, from California to Clay streets.. 5,000.00

Construction of Laguna Honda Boulevard, Contract No. 1.

Also, Bill No. 8754, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of Laguna Honda boulevard (Seventh avenue) from Lawton street to Plaza street, Contract No. 1; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work, to be paid out of County Road Fund, and authorizing progressive payments, to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the construction of Laguna Honda boulevard (Seventh avenue) from Lawton street to Plaza street, Contract No. 1, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifica-

tions prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work, the expense of which is to be paid out of County Road Fund.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of said Laguna Honda boulevard, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. S. Sullivan, 1340 Monterey boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

R. L. Lindsay, north side of Duboce avenue, 50 feet east of Pearl street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Viggo Rasmussen, 3720 Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Falvey, east side of Stanyan street, 100 feet south of Page street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Falvey, southeast corner of Page and Stanyan streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Falvey, south side of Page street, 150 feet east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Police Station), west side of Twenty-fourth avenue, 265 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Industrial Lubricants, 1449 Carroll avenue, 15-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, the following matter was *laid over until November* 19, 1928, *and made a Special Order for* 11 *a. m.*:

Auto Supply Station, E. B. Bridgett, Faxon and Ocean Avenues.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. B. Bridgett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Faxon and Ocean avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution, heretofore adopted, was reconsidered and rescinded and, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Denying Auto Parking Station, J. R. Ewing, Jr., Taylor Street, North of Turk Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied J. R. Ewing, Jr., to maintain and operate an automobile parking

station on the east side of Taylor street, approximately 175 feet north of Turk street.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Providing for Removal of Weeds From Streets, Sidewalks and Private Property, Etc.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8755, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Declaring noxious or dangerous weeds growing upon the streets or sidewalks, or upon private property, within the City and County of San Francisco, to be a public nuisance, providing for their removal and destruction, and creating a lien upon the property fronting upon such streets or sidewalks or upon which said nuisance exists for the cost of abating the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. All weeds growing upon the streets or sidewalks or upon private property within the City and County of San Francisco, which bear seeds of a wingy or downy nature or attain such a large growth as to become a fire menace when dry, or which are otherwise noxious or dangerous, are hereby declared to be a public nuisance.

Section 2. Whenever any such weeds are growing upon any street or sidewalk or private property, the Board of Public Works shall report the same to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors shall then, by resolution, declare the same to be a public nuisance. Said resolution shall refer to the street by the name under which it is commonly known, and describe the property upon which or in front of which said nuisance exists by giving the lot and block number of the same according to the Assessor's Block Book; and no other description of said property shall be required. Any number of streets, sidewalks or parcels of private property may be included in one and the same resolution.

Section 3. After the passage of said resolution, the Board of Public Works shall cause to be conspicuously posted in front of the property on which or in front of which said nuisance exists, at not more than one hundred feet in distance apart, notices headed "Notice to Destroy Weeds," such heading to be in words not less than one inch in height and substantially in the following form:

NOTICE TO DESTROY WEEDS.

Notice is hereby given, that on the ——— day of ————————, 19—, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco passed a resolution declaring that noxious or dangerous weeds were growing upon or in front of the property on ———————— street, in said City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described in said resolution, and that the same constitute a public nuisance which must be abated by the removal of said noxious or dangerous weeds, otherwise they will be removed and the nuisance will be abated by the municipal authorities, in which case the cost of such removal shall be assessed upon the lots and lands from which or in front of which such weeds are removed, and such cost will constitute a lien upon such lots or lands until paid. Reference is hereby made to said resolution for further particulars.

All property owners having any objections to the proposed removal of such weeds are hereby notified to attend a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to

be held (give date), when their objections will be heard and given due consideration.

Dated this ——— day of ————————, 19—.

BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS.

By ————————————,

Secretary.

Said notices shall be posted at least five days prior to the time for hearing objections by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. At the time stated in the notices the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall hear and consider all objections or protests, if any, to the proposed removal of weeds, and may continue the hearing from time to time. Upon the conclusion of said hearing the Board of Supervisors, by motion or resolution, shall allow or overrule any or all objections, whereupon the Board of Supervisors shall be deemed to have acquired jurisdiction to proceed and perform the work of removal, and the decision of the said Board on the matter shall be deemed final and conclusive.

Section 5. After final action has been taken by the Board of Supervisors on the disposition of any protests or objections, or in case no protests or objections have been received, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by motion or resolution, shall order the Board of Public Works to abate said nuisance by having the weeds referred to removed, and the said Board of Public Works is hereby expressly authorized to enter upon private property for that purpose. Any property owner shall have the right to have any such weeds removed at his own expense providing the same is done prior to the arrival of the Board of Public Works to do the same.

Section 6. The Board of Public Works shall keep an account of the cost of abating such nuisance in front of or on each separate lot or parcel of land where the work is done by that department, and shall render an itemized report in writing to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco showing the cost of removing such weeds on each separate lot, or in front thereof, or both; provided, that before said report is submitted to said Board of Supervisors, copy of the same shall be posted for at least three days prior thereto in a conspicuous place in the City Hall of said City and County of San Francisco, together with a notice of the time when said report shall be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for confirmation.

Section 7. At the time fixed for receiving and considering said report, the Board of Supervisors shall hear the same, together with any objections which may be raised by any of the property owners liable to be assessed for the work of abating said nuisance and thereupon make such modifications in the report as they deem necessary, after which, by motion or resolution, said report shall be confirmed. The amounts of the cost for abating such nuisance in front of or upon the various parcels of land mentioned in said report shall constitute special assessments against the respective parcels of land, and as thus made and confirmed shall constitute a lien on said property for the amount of such assessments, respectively. After confirmation of said report, a copy shall be turned over to the Assessor and the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco, whereupon it shall be the duty of said officers to add the amounts of the respective assessments to the next regular bills for taxes levied against the said respective lots and parcels of land for municipal purposes, and thereafter said amounts shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as ordinary municipal taxes are collected, and shall be subject to the same penalties and the same procedure under foreclosure and sale in case of delinquency as provided for ordinary municipal taxes.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Ramsell Street Between Sargent and Randolph Streets, Payable Ten Installments.

Also, Bill No. 8756, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ramsell street between Sargent and Randolph streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying brick manholes, Y branches and side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Twenty-third Avenue, South of Lincoln Way, Removal of Sand.

Also, Bill No. 8757, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the

period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the east one-half of Twenty-third avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet south of the south line of Lincoln way to a line parallel with and one hundred and seventy-five (175) feet south of the south line of Lincoln way, by the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area and the construction of a bulkhead.

Bidder is to name price per lineal foot of bulkhead for this work.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Twenty-third Avenue, North of Irving Street, Removal of Sand, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8758, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Twenty-third avenue from a line parallel with and two hundred and fifty (250) feet north of the north line of Irving street to a line parallel with and two hundred and seventy-five (275) feet north of the north line of Irving street, by the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area and the construction of a bulkhead.

Bidder is to name price per lineal foot of bulkhead for this work.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Improvement of Balboa Street From East Line of Twenty-first Avenue, Removal of Sand, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8759, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November

5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the north one-half of Balboa street from the east line of Twenty-first avenue to a line parallel with and thirty-two feet six inches (32 ft. 6 in.) east of the east line of Twenty-first avenue and on the east one-half of Twenty-first avenue from the north line of Balboa street to a line parallel with and one hundred feet (100 ft.) north of the north line of Balboa street by the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area and the construction of a bulkhead.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Evans Avenue Between Quint and Phelps Streets, Payable Twenty Installments.

Also, Bill No. 8760, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Evans avenue between Quint street and Phelps street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of a brick catchbasin with 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert; and by the

construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Improvement of Twenty-first Avenue Between Ortega and Pacheco Streets, Payable Ten Installments.

Also, Bill No. 8761, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-first avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Twenty-first Avenue Between Noriega and Ortega Streets, Payable Ten Installments.

Also, Bill No. 8762, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-first avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Noriega Street Between Thirty-first and Thirty-second Avenues, Etc., Payable Ten Installments.

Also, Bill No. 8763, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Noriega street between the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-second avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues with Noriega street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of 8-inch, 15-inch and 18-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, Y branches and sidesewers; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Width of Sidewalks, Havelock Street Between Circular Avenue and Westerly Termination.

Also, Bill No. 8764, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 23, 1928, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-five, to read as follows:

Section 1055. The width of sidewalks on Havelock street, the northerly side of, between Circular avenue and its westerly termination, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Havelock street, the southerly side of, between Circular avenue and its westerly termination, shall be three (3) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Releasing, Cancelling and Annulling Contract Between Theodore Cohn and Board of Public Works, Sidewalks on Tara Street, and Repealing Ordinance No. 7734 (New Series).

Also, Bill No. 8765, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Releasing, cancelling and annulling that certain contract made and entered into by and between Theodore Cohn and the Board of Public Works on December 2, 1927, for the construction of sidewalks on Tara street from Geneva avenue to Ocean avenue and repealing Ordinance No. 7734 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That certain contract made and entered into by and between Theodore Cohn and the Board of Public Works on December 2, 1927, for the construction of sidewalks on Tara street from Geneva avenue to Ocean avenue is hereby canceled and annuled, and Theodore Cohn is hereby released from said contract for the reason that the work has been completed under private contract.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7734 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Tara street from Geneva avenue to Ocean avenue, is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades on Chester Avenue Between Randolph Street and a Line Parallel With and 350 Feet Southerly Therefrom, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8766, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Chester avenue between Randolph street and a line parallel with and 350 feet southerly therefrom, and on Randolph street between Chester avenue and its westerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Chester avenue between Randolph street and a line parallel with and 350 feet southerly therefrom, and on Randolph street between Chester avenue and its westerly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed October 29, 1928:

Chester Avenue.

Randolph street, 220.00 feet.
190 feet southerly from Randolph street, 215.00 feet.
290 feet southerly from Randolph street, 205.00 feet.
350 feet southerly from Randolph street, 204.00 feet.

Randolph Street.

Chester avenue, 220.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.

Westerly termination, 213.70 feet.

On Chester avenue between Randolph street and a line parallel with and 350 feet southerly therefrom, and on Randolph street between Chester avenue and its westerly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Minerva Street and on Orizaba Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8767, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Minerva street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues, and on Orizaba avenue between Lobos and Montana streets.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Alameda Street and on Treat Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8768, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Alameda street between Florida and Harrison streets; on Treat avenue between Florida and Alameda streets, and on Alabama street between Alameda and Fifteenth streets.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Mendell Street Between Innes and Jerrold Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8769, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Mendell street between Innes and Jerrold avenues.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Clarendon Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8770, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Clarendon avenue between the easterly boundary of the San Miguel Rancho and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 385 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard, and on Stanyan street between Belgrave and Mountain Spring avenues.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Deed of Easement for Sewer Right of Way in Chester Street From Aug. J. Lang, Jr., and L. Josephine Lang, His Wife.

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 29941 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed of easement five feet in width, dated October 22, 1928, from Aug. J. Lang, Jr., and L. Josephine Lang, his wife, to the City and County of San Francisco as hereinafter described be and the same is hereby accepted.

Commencing at the intersection of the westerly line of Chester street with the northerly line of Lot 36 in Block 8, Ocean View Park, as said lot and block are laid down and delineated upon that certain map entitled "Plat of Ocean View Park," filed July 20, 1908, in the

office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco in Liber "G" of Maps, at pages 36 and 37; running thence westerly and along said northerly line of Lot 36 100 feet; thence at a right angle southerly and along the westerly line of Lots 36, 35, 34 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 5 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 70 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 95 feet to the westerly line of Chester street, and thence at a right angle northerly 5 feet to the intersection of the westerly line of Chester street with the northerly line of lot and point of commencement.

The said easement is for the purpose of installing and forever maintaining a public sewer, with the right to enter upon the property and install said sewer or to perform any acts necessary for the maintenance of said sewer.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Approval of Map Showing Widening of Worcester Avenue From Ralston Street Southeasterly.

Also, Resolution No. 29942 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 104027 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Worcester avenue from Ralston street southeasterly; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Worcester avenue from Ralston street southeasterly is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Intention to Close Portion of Buena Vista Avenue at Northeast Corner of Waller Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29943 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a small irregular portion of Buena Vista avenue at the northeast corner of Waller street as hereinafter described be closed and abandoned as a public street;

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon a portion of Buena Vista avenue at the northeast corner of Waller street, described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the northerly line of Waller street and the northeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue (East), thence deflecting 60 deg. 19 min. to the right from the northerly line of Waller street and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue (East) 12 feet 9 inhes to the first angle point northerly from the northerly line of Waller street; thene deflecting 165 deg. 35 min. to the left and running southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue (East), produced southeasterly, 11 feet 5¾ inches to the northerly line of Waller street produced westerly; thence deflecting 74 deg. 44 min. to the left and running easterly along the northerly line of Waller street produced westerly 3 feet 3½ inches to an intersection with the northeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue (East) and the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of a portion of Buena Vista avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public

Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Buena Vista avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Change of Grades on Nevada Street, on Ogden Avenue and Crescent Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 29944 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 104008 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted October 26, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed October 29, 1928, to-wit:

On Nevada street between Tompkins and Crescent avenues;

On Ogden avenue between Putnam and Prestiss streets;

On Crescent avenue between Putnam and Prentiss streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades in contemplated notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Change of Grades on Twenty-sixth Avenue Between Quintara and Rivera Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29945 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 104005 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted October 26, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed October 29, 1928, to-wit:

On Twenty-sixth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades in contemplated notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

General Engineering and Dry Dock Company Permission to Lay Pipe Line for Air From No. 1100 Sansome Street Across Gaines Street to No. 206 Green Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29946 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That General Engineering and Dry Dock Company be

and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to lay a pipe line for air from rear of building at No. 1100 Sansome street across Gaines street to rear of building at No. 206 Green street.

The said pipe line shall be laid to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Provided General Engineering and Dry Dock Company shall at all times, while exercising said privilege, keep in repair the roadway of the space of two feet over said pipe line.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Approval of Map of Anglo Alley From Pacheco Street Southerly.

Also, Resolution No. 29947 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 103939 (Second Series) approve a map showing the opening of Anglo alley from Pacheco street southerly; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the opening of Anglo alley from Pacheco street southerly be and the same is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Approval of Map Showing Widening of Twentieth Street at Noe Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29948 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 104082 (Second Series) approve a map showing the widening of Twentieth street at Noe street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Twentieth street at Noe street is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Deed From Heyman Bros. for the Opening of Anglo Alley From Pacheco Street Southerly.

Also, Resolution No. 29949 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 10th day of October, 1928, between Heyman Bros. (a corporation) and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) to land for the opening of Anglo alley from Pacheco street southerly, approved by Resolution No. 103939 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land covered by said deed is hereby declared an open public alley.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Extension of Thirty Days' Time, Laguna Honda Boulevard Sewer.

Also, Resolution No. 29950 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. C. W. and H. H. Haun are hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after October 30, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the sewer in Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southerly.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by extra work.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Extension of Time, Clarence B. Eaton, to Complete Improvement of Rodeo Avenue Between Arleta and Teddy Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. 29951 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after October 25, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Rodeo avenue between Arleta and Teddy avenues, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work has been delayed by public service corporations removing services.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Referred.

The following resolution was *referred to the Streets Committee*:

Rope Off North One-half of Width of Green Street, Commencing at the West Line of Steiner Street, Thence Westerly for a Distance of 242 Feet 6 Inches in Front of Church and School Property From 12 Noon to 1 P. M. on Each School Day.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the provisions of Resolution No. 27856 (New Series), granting permission to the Rev. Martin P. Ryan, pastor of St. Vincent de Paul Church, 2320 Green street, "to rope off from 12 noon to 1 p. m. each school day the roadway of Green street from Steiner street to Pierce street," be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

"To rope off the north one-half of the width of Green street, commencing at the west line of Steiner street, thence westerly for a distance of 242 feet 6 inches, in front of the church and school property, from 12 noon to 1 p. m., on each school day."

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering the Improvement of Laidley Street Between Thirtieth and Noe Streets, Payable Twenty Installments.

On recommendation of the Streets Committee.

Bill No. 8771, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San

Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Laidley street from the existing pavement opposite the intersection of Thirtieth street and Laidley street to the existing pavement opposite the intersection of Laidley and Noe streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of Class "A" and Class "B" concrete with accompanying reinforced steel to be included in reinforced concrete retaining walls and fence; by the construction of ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, Y branches, and side-sewers; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of red warning lights to be furnished and installed on concrete fence; by the construction of waterbound macadam pavements with oiled surface and with depths of 4 inches and 8 inches, respectively; all to conform to Plan A-7788 on file in the office of the City Engineer.

The City and County of San Francisco to pay one-half of the cost of the work.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Blasting on Twentieth Street Between Vermont Street and San Bruno Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Twentieth street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue for grading purposes; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Adopted.

The following matters were *adopted*:

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity Committee.

Resolution No. 29952 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

Chatham place, north of Bush street.

Webb street between California and Sacramento streets.

Burritt street, south of Bush street.
Ellick lane, north of California street.
North side Stevenson street, first and second west Fifth street.
West side Mint avenue, north of Mission street.
North side Jessie street, first and second west of Seventh street.
East side Jessie street, first and second west of Seventh street.
North and south sides Stevenson street, east of Ninth street.
East and west sides Laskie street, north of Mission street.
North side Minna street, first and second west Sixth street.
South side Minna street, first and second west Sixth street.
North side Minna street, first and second west First street.
South side Minna street, first and second west First street.
South side Sherwood place, east of Third street.
South side Hunt street, east of Third street.
Southwest corner Vallejo and Davis streets.
West side Davis street between Broadway and Vallejo street.
North side Vallejo street between Front and Battery streets.
North side Broadway between Front and Battery streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Gough and Linden streets.
North and south sides Lombard street between Franklin and Webster streets.
North and south sides Greenwich street between Octavia and Fillmore streets.
North and south sides Filbert street between Franklin and Fillmore streets.
East and west sides Franklin street between Lombard and Filbert streets.
East and west sides Gough street between Lombard and Union streets.
East and west sides Octavia street between Chestnut and Union streets.
East and west sides Laguna street between Lombard and Union streets.
East and west sides Buchanan street between Chestnut and Union streets.
East and west sides Webster street between Lombard and Union streets.
Harris place, east of Laguna street.
North and south sides Jersey street between Dolores and Douglass streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Jersey and Sanchez streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Jersey and Noe streets.
North and south sides Twenty-fifth street between Noe and Douglass streets.
North and south sides Clipper street between Dolores and Douglass streets.
North and south sides Twenty-sixth street between Sanchez and Castro streets.
East side Sanchez street between Twenty-fourth and Noe streets.
East side Sanchez street between Jersey and Twenty-fifth streets.
East side Noe street between Twenty-fourth and Jersey streets.
East side Chattanooga street between Twenty-fourth and Jersey streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Sanchez and Jersey streets.
Twentieth street, west of Castro street.
North and south sides Rosemont street, off Fourteenth street.
East and west sides Eureka street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets.

Remove 400 *C. P. M. R.*

Northwest corner Gough and Haight streets.
Southwest corner Gough and Rose streets.
Southwest corner Gough and Page streets.
Southwest corner Gough and Lily streets.
Northeast corner Gough and Oak streets.

Southeast corner Gough and Hickory streets.
Northeast corner Gough and Fell streets.
Southeast corner Gough and Ivy streets.
Southeast corner Gough and Grove streets.
Northeast corner Gough and Fulton streets.
Northeast corner Gough and Ashe streets.
East side Gough street between Grove and Fulton streets.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Burritt street, south of Bush street.
Ellick lane, north of California street.
Chatham place, north of Bush street.
Harris place, east of Laguna street.
Laskie street, north of Mission street.
Sherwood place, east of Third street.
Hunt street, east of Third street.
Webb street between California and Sacramento streets.
Crocker street, near Otis street.
Ralston and Sargent streets.
Peninsular avenue and Lathrop street.
Peninsular avenue between Bayshore avenue and Lathrop street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

North and south sides Stevenson street, west of Fifth street.
Mint avenue, west of Mint.
North and south sides Jessie street, west of Seventh street.
Stevenson street, east of Ninth street.
North and south sides Minna street, west of Sixth street.
North and south sides Minna street, west of First street.
Corner Vallejo and Davis streets.
Davis street between Broadway and Vallejo street.
Vallejo street between Front and Battery streets.
Broadway between Battery and Front streets.
Sanchez and Jersey streets.
Sanchez street between Jersey and Twenty-fifth streets.
Anderson street between Powhattan and Esmeralda avenues.
Bannock and Geneva avenues.
Andover street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues.
Moultrie street between Tompkins and Ogden streets.
Moscow street between Brazil and Persia avenues.
Lapidge street (fourth pole south of Eighteenth street).
Vicksburg and Jersey streets.
Parker avenue, north of Turk street (third pole).
Parker avenue between Geary street and St Rose's avenue (opposite 264).
Arkansas street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets.
Rockland and Larkin streets.
Twentieth street, west of Castro street.
Brussells street between Wilde and Harkness streets.
Forty-fifth avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.
Rosemont street (off Fourteenth street).
Fourteenth street between Dolores and Rosemont streets.
Ramsell street between Stanley and Randolph streets.
Eureka street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets.
Lyon street between Grove and Fulton streets.
South side Clay street between Mason and Taylor streets.

Install 300 *W.*

Front of Penial Mission, Natoma street, south side, west of Third street.

Install 400 *C. P. Refractor No.* 4634.

South side Jersey street between Dolores and Douglass streets.
North side Twenty-fifth street between Noe and Douglass streets.
North side Clipper street between Dolores and Douglass streets.

North side Twenty-sixth street between Sanchez and Castro streets.
Southwest corner Jersey and Sanchez streets.
Southwest corner Jersey and Noe streets.
East side Sanchez street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth streets.
East side Chattanooga street between Twenty-fourth and Jersey streets.
East side Noe street between Twenty-fourth and Jersey streets.

Install 400 *C. P. Refractor No.* 4274.

North and south sides Lombard street between Franklin and Webster streets.
North and south sides Greenwich street between Octavia and Fillmore streets.
North and south sides Filbert street between Franklin and Fillmore streets.
Northwest corner Lombard and Laguna streets.
Northwest corner Lombard and Buchanan streets.
Southwest corner Greenwich and Laguna streets.
Southwest corner Greenwich and Buchanan streets.
Southwest corner Greenwich and Webster streets.
Northwest corner Filbert and Franklin, Gough, Octavia, Laguna, Buchanan and Webster streets.
East side Franklin street between Lombard and Filbert streets.
East side Gough street between Lombard and Union streets.
East side Octavia street between Chestnut and Union streets.
East side Laguna street between Lombard and Union streets.
East side Buchanan street between Chestnut and Filbert streets.
East side Webster street between Greenwich and Union streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

Northwest and southeast corners Gough and Rose, Page, Lily, Oak, Hickory, Fell, Linden, Hayes, Ivy, Grove, Fulton and Ashe streets.
Northwest corner Gough and Haight streets.
East and west sides Gough street between Fulton and Grove streets.
Southeast and northwest corners Gough and McAllister streets.

Move Electric.

Oloran street between Santa Rosa avenue and Colonial way, to Santa Rosa avenue and Colonial way.
Jersey street, near Vicksburg street.
Jersey street between Vicksburg and Sanchez streets.
Lapidge street, move one pole north.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. R.*

First and second poles, east side Columbus avenue, south of Green street.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. R.*

South side Great Highway and Judah street.
Southeast corner Judah street and La Playa (terminal of loop of Municipal Railway).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Switchboard Service, Assessor.

Also, Resolution No. 29953 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company be authorized and requested to install switchboard service with necessary trunk lines in the Assessor's office, City Hall, the cost and maintenance thereof to be defrayed by the Assessor.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Traffic Ordinance Amendments.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Bill No. 8772, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Article I is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings, respectively, ascribed to them in this section.

Street—Every way set apart for public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A street with a roadway of less than twenty (20) feet.

Blind Alley—An alley which has only one end available for ingress or egress.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the roadway included within the prolongation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers or materials.

Passenger Loading Zone—The space adjacent to the curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers.

Vehicles—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District—That portion of this City bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from the east line of First street to the west line of Sixth street; Sixth street from the south line of Mission street to the

south line of Market street; the intersection of Sixth street, Market street, Golden Gate avenue and Taylor street; Taylor street from the north line of Golden Gate avenue to the north line of Sutter street; Sutter street from the west line of Taylor street to the east line of Grant avenue; Grant avenue from the south line of Sutter street to the north line of California street; California street from the west line of Grant avenue to the south line of Market street; Market street from the north line of California street to the west line of First street; First street from the north line of Market street to the south line of Mission street.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also, any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction of Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 3. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, except in passenger loading zones, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the central traffic district or in any business district during any hour of any day.

(2) In any loading zone, between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day.

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day.

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of the day.

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public building between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays.

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business.

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom at any hour of any day.

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) During the times specified in subsection (a) of this section, passenger vehicles shall not stand longer than five (5) minutes in a passenger loading zone, and it shall be unlawful to stand any commercial vehicle in such zone.

(d) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained by the Police Department to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones and passenger loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance; provided that a curb space of not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones and passenger loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in an emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following matters were *adopted*:

Award of Contract, Sugar.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 29954 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Sussman, Wormser & Co. on bid submitted November 5, 1928 (Proposal No. 441), for furnishing the following, viz.: Sugar. Definite quantities for immediate delivery, f. o. b. vendor's establishment.

Sugar, beet, dry granulated, white; in 100-pound sacks.

	Number of sacks
San Francisco Hospital	300
Laguna Honda Home	300
San Francisco Health Farm	10
Emergency Hospital	4
County Jails	38
City Prison	14
Juvenile Detention Home	12
Total	678

Price per 100 pounds, $4.939.

Resolved, That this contract not being a term award no bond is required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Award of Contract, Lighting Fixtures.

Also, Resolution No. 29955 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore on November 5, 1928 (Proposal No. 438), submitted for furnishing lighting fixtures for public buildings as same may be ordered from time to time during the Fiscal Year 1928-29.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Award of Contract, Beef.

Also, Resolution No. 29956 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Del Monte Meat Co. on bid submitted October 22, 1928 (Proposal No. 433), for furnishing the following, viz.: Beef for Laguna Honda Home.

15,000 pounds beef, fresh from young cows and heifers, not under five hundred pounds; fore-quarters only; to be delivered as ordered from time to time during the four-months' term, viz.: November, December, 1928, and January, February, 1929.

Price per pound, $0.1798.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $300 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Award of Contract, Safety Zone Buttons.

Also, Resolution No. 29957 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore on October 22, 1928 (Proposal No. 430), submitted for furnishing 1000 safety zone buttons for "Traffic," Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Award of Contract, Furniture.

Also, Resolution No. 29958 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing manufactured furniture, folding tables and benches for lunch room for School Department on bid submitted October 22, 1928 (Proposal No. 426), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—200 folding lunch room tables, $7.20 each; The Woodcraft Co.

Item No. 2—350 benches, $2.40 each; Home Mfg. Co.

Item No. 3 (a)—500 primary tables, 21-inch, $2.52 each; R. Brandlein & Co.

Item No. 3 (b)—500 primary tables, 23-inch, $2.54 each; R. Brandlein & Co.

Item No. 3 (c)—500 primary tables, 25-inch, $2.70 each; R. Brandlein & Co.

Item No. 4—100 storage chests, with shelves, $15.40 each; R. Brandlein & Co.

Resolved, That bonds for faithful performance of contract be required as follows, viz.:

The Woodcraft Co., bond required, $300; Home Manufacturing Co., Inc., $200; R. Brandlein & Co., $1,000.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Rescinding Resolution No. 29725 (New Series) and Awarding Contract for Safety Zone Buttons.

Also, Resolution No. 29959 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 29725 (New Series), approved October 11, 1928, rejecting all bids submitted September 17, 1928 (Proposal No. 418), be hereby rescinded; and

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Enterprise Foundry Company on said Proposal No. 418 for furnishing 1000 safety zone buttons, cast steel, with one bolt hole, for "Traffic", Board of Supervisors, at $2.60 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Award of Contract, Paper Drinking Cups.

Also, Resolution No. 29960 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract heretofore made to Standard Paper Co. by Resolution No. 29269 (New Series), approved July 20, 1928, for furnishing on Proposal No. 361, Item No. 1010, paper drinking cups, be hereby rescinded; and

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Building Supplies Co. on bid dated October 24, 1928, for furnishing said paper drinking cups during the balance of the Fiscal Year 1928-29 at $2.60 per 1000.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Award of Contract, Machinery.

Also, Resolution No. 29961 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing machinery for general shop, Daniel Webster Junior High School, and sheet metal shop equipment for Daniel Webster Junior High School and Ethan Allen School on bid submitted October 22, 1928 (Proposal No. 428), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following:

Bid No. 2—Pacific States Electric Co.
Bid No. 3—The Electric Corporation of San Francisco.
Bid No. 5—Marshall-Newell Supply Co.
Bid No. 6—C. F. Bulotti Machinery Co.
Bid No. 7—General Machinery & Supply Company.
Bid No. 8—C. W. Marwedel.
Bid No. 9—Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
Bid No. 12—Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
Bid No. 13—Pratt & Whitney Co.
Bid No. 14—Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.
Bid No. 16—The A. J. Glesener Co.
Bid No. 18—Horace E. Stallman.
Bid No. 19—Peninsula Iron & Steel Company.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be not required, as above is for definite quantities for immediate delivery.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Advisory Corps, Redwood Empire Association.

On recommendation of Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport Committee.

Resolution No. 29962 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Redwood Empire Association has requested the Board of Supervisors to appoint two of its members to officiate as members of the Countywide Advisory Corps of the Redwood Empire Association, and has suggested the appointment of the chairman of the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee and the chairman of the Streets and Commercial Development Committee; therefore, be it

Resolved, That Supervisor Milo F. Kent and Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher be and they are hereby appointed to represent the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on the Advisory Corps of the Redwood Empire Association for the Fiscal Year 1928-29.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Award of Contract, Pay Checks.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 29963 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Golden West Lithograph Company on bid submitted October 22, 1928 (Proposal No. 432), for furnishing the following, viz.: 247,958 pay checks (lithographed warrants) for Auditor for the sum of $730.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. E. J. Mott, Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., November 9, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, for leave of absence, commencing November 12 and

extending to January 1, 1929, with permission to leave the State of California.

Will you please concur with me in granting this request?

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 29964 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period commencing November 12 and extending to January 1, 1929, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:20 p. m., took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

Ernest Bloch Awarded Prize, Symphony "America."

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 29965 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Ernest Bloch, San Francisco composer, has been awarded the prize offered by "Musical America" for the best symphony by an American composer, the judges being a large group of the greatest musicians in America; and

Whereas, his symphony, called "America," is to be given simultaneous production, on or about December 20 of this year, in San Francisco, New York, Boston, Philadelphia and numerous other cities with important symphony orchestras; and

Whereas, Ernest Bloch has decided to stay in San Francisco in spite of many urgent invitations from other cities, that he may be present at the rendition of his work by the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra and the Municipal Chorus of San Francisco, on December 20, at the Civic Auditorium, under the auspices of the City government; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Ernest Bloch be given a vote of appreciation by this body, not only for the addition to the literature of great world music which his symphony, "America," has made, but also for bringing honor to San Francisco and to the tradition of musical attainment of which its citizenry may justly be proud, and that he may further be commended for electing to remain in San Francisco for the premier of his great work, in company of his fellow-citizens, rather than to accept the invitation of larger centers in the East; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution, together with an

invitation to visit this Board, in order that he may be congratulated in person, be addressed to Ernest Bloch.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Transfer of Hospital Permit.

Supervisor Toner presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sutter Hospital be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred hospital permit heretofore granted Wakefield Hospital for premises at 1065 Sutter street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Referred to Public Health Committee.

Salary, Female Sheriff Deputy.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to Ordinance No. 8073 (New Series), and in accordance with Section 2 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet salary increase of "1 Female Deputy," Budget Item No. 257 (Sheriff's Office), from $1,920 to $2,580, which said salary of said female deputy is hereby fixed at $2,580, effective from and after November 1, 1928.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Masquerade Ball Permit, Finnish Sisterhood.

Supervisor Andriano presented:

Resolution No. 29966 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 8073 (New Series), and permission to hold a masquerade ball in Finnish Brotherhood Hall, 425 Hoffman avenue, Saturday evening, November 24, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Marks, Schmidt—3.

Petition for Ornamental Lights on Hyde Street.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Petition of property owners on Hyde street, from Market street to Pine street, for the installation of ornamental street lamps under the assessment plan as laid down in the Statutes of 1911-1915, the same to be paid for by property benefited.

Referred to the Board of Public Works for specifications, estimate of cost and outline of assessment district. Subject matter over one week.

Declaring the Policy of Accepting Certain Streets in the Crocker-Amazon, Forest Hill and Forest Hill Extension Tracts.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, certain streets in the Crocker-Amazon, Forest Hill and Forest Hill Extension Tracts have never been accepted by the City and County of San Francisco, and as a consequence thereof no repairs or cleaning can be done by the Department of Public Works; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors declare as its policy, if legal means can be found, the acceptance of these streets, and that the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development be authorized to prepare, in conjunction with the City Attorney, the necessary legislation to legalize the acceptance of said streets; and be it

Further Resolved, That as soon as said legislation is prepared and adopted, that the Board of Public Works be requested to recommend to this Board that said streets be accepted by the City and County of San Francisco.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved adoption.

Privilege of the Floor.

Assistant City Engineer Healy declared that the Board of Public Works did not recommend the acceptance of these streets, but would be in favor of the compromise proposed by former Supervisor Harrelson that the property owners and the City share fifty-fifty the expense of reconditioning the streets, whereupon the Board of Public Works would recommend acceptance.

Amendment.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved as an amendment that Assistant City Engineer Healy's proposition that the property owners and the City share fifty-fifty the expense of reconditioning streets in the Crocker-Amazon Tract be accepted.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner—10.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Suhr—5.

Excused from voting—Supervisor McGovern—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Inspection of Garbage Incinerator.

Supervisor Toner announced that the members of the Board would assemble at 10:15 a. m. tomorrow to examine the incinerator at Army and De Haro streets. The Clerk was requested to notify members.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 4:50 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 48

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, November 19, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 19, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Stanton—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented a resolution providing for plan of extending Van Ness avenue by widening Twelfth street from Mission to Howard streets and appropriating $200,000 out of Van Ness Avenue Extension Bonds for said purpose, which resolution was *ordered on the clip for next Monday's meeting.*

Proceedings in re Resolution No. 29965, Extending Vote of Appreciation to Ernest Bloch.

After the transaction of other business the following proceedings were had in relation to Resolution No. 29965, extending a vote of appreciation and an invitation to visit the Board to Ernest Bloch:

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, at the meeting last week, the Board adopted a resolution in honor of our distinguished fellow citizen, Mr. Ernest Bloch, who is recognized today as the world's foremost composer of music. The Board invited Mr. Bloch to visit us in order that we might, in a personal way, express our appreciation of the work that he has done while living in San Francisco, and I would ask, at this time, that the Clerk read again the resolution adopted by this Board last week.

The Clerk (reading): "Resolution No. 29965 (New Series).

Whereas, Ernest Bloch, San Francisco composer, has been awarded the prize offered by "Musical America" for the best symphony by an American composer, the judges being a large group of the greatest musicians in America; and

Whereas, his symphony, called "America," is to be given simultaneous production on or about December 20 of this year in San Francisco, New York, Boston, Philadelphia and numerous other cities with important symphony orchestras; and

Whereas, Ernest Bloch has decided to stay in San Francisco, in spite of many urgent invitations from other cities, that he may be present at the rendition of his work by the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra and the Municipal Chorus of San Francisco, on December 20, at the

Civic Auditorium, under the auspices of the City government; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Ernest Bloch be given a vote of appreciation by this body, not only for the addition to the literature of great world music which his symphony "America" has made, but also for bringing honor to San Francisco and to the tradition of musical attainment of which its citizenry may justly be proud, and that he may further be commended for electing to remain in San Francisco for the premier of his great work, in company with his fellow-citizens, rather than to accept the invitation of larger centers in the East; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution, together with an invitation to visit this Board, in order that he may be congratulated in person, be addressed to Ernest Bloch.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy, Schmidt."

The Chairman: Mr. Havenner.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, in response to the invitation conveyed by this resolution a group of citizens of San Francisco who take a very active interest in the musical affairs of our community have brought Mr. Bloch to us this morning. They include Miss Maizie Musgrove, Mrs. Cecilia Stone, Frederick J. Koster, Redfern Mason, Alfred Hertz, John Coty, Mr. M. Weill, Albert Patton, Mrs. Marcus Koshland, Hans Leschke and Albert Elkus.

Mr. Chairman, I desire to say again that I think that San Francisco is notably distinguished by the recent, and not so very recent at that, acquisition among our citizenship of Mr. Bloch. Mr. Bloch today stands at the very eminence of musical prestige in the world. We have invited him here today to congratulate him upon his notable work which has recently won the prize offered by "Musical America." In recognition of that distinguished work arrangements have been made by the Auditorium Committee of this Board, and by the Musical Association, to produce it in San Francisco in the Auditorium, concurrently with its production in a number of cities elswhere, as recited in that resolution. It gives me a great deal of pleasure, I esteem it a high privilege, to be able to present Mr. Bloch to the Board this morning, and to renew congratulations to the people of San Francisco that he has decided to make this his home city. I would like to introduce Mr. Bloch. (Standing applause.)

Mr. Ernest Bloch: I feel very honored and very proud at such a wonderful reception. I certainly was surprised when I heard about that when I came back from a trip abroad. I had no idea, when I wrote this symphony two years ago here in San Francisco, of what would happen. One never knows what will happen when one writes a work. I wrote a work for the American people. And I hope I have succeeded. Since I came to this country twelve years ago my love for this country has grown, and I hope my understanding of this country has grown, too. And what I have felt in America and what has incited me to write a work on America, was that the possibilities of the future were not closed. More than any other country in the world this country is in the making. We have many centuries before us, and that great idea that America is the future, can be the future, can hold the future, not only for America but for the whole world, this idea I have tried to express in music, and I hope that, when you hear this symphony, you will all feel that it is not my symphony but that it is your symphony, that it will unite all of the people of this country, no matter how high a position they may have, and no matter how humble a position they may fill, that it will unite them all in one common feeling of solidarity and love. If I have done that, then I will really feel complimented above all. (Applause.)

The Chairman: It seems very appropriate that we should be greet-

ing Mr. Bloch on the hundredth anniversary of the death of Franz Schubert, and it is my wish, and I know the fond wish of the members of this Board, Mr. Bloch, that your fame will spread, and that on your hundredth anniversary the name of Bloch will be as famous as is the name of Schubert. (Applause.)

Supervisor Marks: Mr. Chairman, I desire to make a motion. I move you that the proceedings of this Board this morning, together with the resolution upon which the Board has acted and the remarks which have been made be suitably engrossed and presented in the name of San Francisco to Mr. Ernest Bloch.

Supervisor Todd: I second that.

Supervisor Marks: I do that, Mr. Chairman, for the reason that Mr. Bloch has taken the means of bringing the City of San Francisco, musically and culturally, to the attention of the entire civilized world, and I think that, by his latest achievement, he will greatly advance the activity and the interest of San Francisco in things which are cultural. Mr. Bloch has selected the universal language to express his hope and his desire for the future of America. He has done it in a way which, I am told by experts, will bring his renown to the entire world. We are particularly proud, I think, in San Francisco, of our musical people. We are proud, of course, of Ernest Bloch, we are proud of Yehudi Menuhin, we are proud of Alfred Hertz. (Applause.) We are proud of a host of men who have proved that San Francisco believes, traditionally, that "Man does not live by bread alone but by the feeding of the spirit." This man has accomplished something, I think, momentous for San Francisco, and I present my motion to the Board.

The Chairman: You have heard the motion.

Supervisor Havenner: I will second the motion.

The Chairman: No objection? Such will be the order.

Supervisor Colman: In seconding it I, too, would like to express my pleasure at the knowledge that Mr. Bloch is going to stay in San Francisco. It means a great deal to San Francisco. If, perchance, we look at it in a hard-boiled way, we might be excused also at taking pleasure in the knowledge that San Francisco will become a center for music, and we might tell Mr. Bloch, that in this Board of Supervisors he will receive the staunchest support, in fact, if I might boast a little bit, I cannot help but point, with a great deal of pride and pleasure, at the accomplishment in the way of the development of music by this Board of Supervisors, brought about by the splendid pioneering efforts of our colleague, Supervisor Emmet Hayden. (Applause.) I think we might safely call Supervisor Hayden the father of municipal music in San Francisco. And it reflects great credit upon the Board to see fit to follow the example of Supervisor Hayden and to tread the path which he started upon. I know that Mr. Bloch will be glad to know of that, and that he will feel that he will have the heartiest support of this Board of Supervisors in developing San Francisco in a musical way. And we are most happy and very proud that you are going to be one of us. (Applause.)

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, I think it would be very appropriate if Supervisor Hayden would say just a few words on this occasion.

The Chairman: Mr. Hayden. (Applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board and our distinguished guest, Mr. Bloch: It is needless for me to say, Mr. Bloch, following my interest in music municipally, backed by this Board of Supervisors for many years, that naturally I am proud to have you here today in our presence, and have you the recipient of the congratulations and the felicitations of this Board of Supervisors who I know have done more for music as a legislative body than any city or any such institution in any city in America. I think that we have set the pace for music municipally by which other cities might follow. I do not think, looking over the histories of the cities musically, that

there is any city to compare with San Francisco for her interest in music.

We are indebted also to Mr. Alfred Hertz, in the beginning, for laying the foundation of musical symphonies. At that very beginning Mr. Hertz took the responsibility of presenting to the people of San Francisco symphonic compositions under the auspices of the City, at a price within the reach of all pocket books and with repertoires that soon were appreciated by the people of San Francisco. Up to that time the opportunity of enjoying the best in symphonic compositions was at the disposal of a few people in San Francisco, under the auspices of the San Francisco Musical Association. But when the City of San Francisco took over their responsibility and sponsored a series of concerts, headed by Mr. Hertz, we then realized that there was an opportunity of reaching people who never had the opportunity of hearing these great compositions before. And how soon they appreciated it and how quickly they were educated by Mr. Hertz is attested now by the fact that on every occasion on which Mr. Hertz presents his concerts at the Municipal Auditorium you will find that vast amphitheater filled to overflowing, such is the love of music by the people of San Francisco, Mr. Bloch. Now, you come into our midst as a great composer and bring us a composition that is peculiarly and essentially American. And how proud are we as Americans, and how proud are we as San Franciscans, to know that you will be a part of our citizenry, taking your place with us and helping us, with Mr. Hertz, in the great cause of music! Indeed, we are to be congratulated, Mr. Bloch, that you have decided to take your place with us and help us along in the great cause of music. (Applause.)

The Chairman: Mr. Havenner.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, before we conclude our consideration of this resolution, I think that it would be very desirable if we could hear from some one who is qualified to give us just a little intimate expert opinion of Mr. Bloch's new work, and I think that there is nobody better qualified than Dr. Hertz, who is with us today.

The Chairman: Dr. Hertz. (Applause.)

Dr. Hertz: I wish I would have had warning before I had this opportunity to speak before this wonderful gathering here. But I want only to say, in a few words, that I was very proud of serving as one of the judges at the contest "Musical America" offered. We had ninety-two scores offered for the contest, and by no means were they mediocre. There were some very outstanding works of exceedingly gifted composers amongst them. The average was far beyond any average which was offered in a similar contest before. There were ninety-two scores and still there was only one outstanding masterpiece among them, and my four fellow judges and myself we agreed unanimously on the choice of the new symphony of Mr. Bloch as the one outstanding masterpiece among the ninety-two compositions offered. And I am very proud of being here and being allowed to share the homage we are doing to this great master. I am proud of calling him a personal friend, and I think we will be mighty proud when the 20th of December comes when you all hear this work. This work, which as Mr. Bloch before said, was dedicated to America, the past, present and the future of America, that idea has been expressed in this work in the most magnificient way. It winds up in an anthem which, I sincerely believe, will be adopted by America in the future as the most wonderful expression of the unlimited possibilities in the future of America. And while at this time the Municipal Chorus is going to sing this anthem, I know that, in the near future, you all will join, without being asked, in this most magnificient expression of this great country of ours. I thank you. (Applause.)

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, in conclusion, it seems very fitting that we hear from the chairman of the Auditorium Commit-

tee who has made the arrangements for the initial production of Mr. Bloch's work in San Francisco, Mr. McSheehy. (Applause.)

The Chairman: Mr. McSheehy.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman, members of the Symphony Orchestra that are here, including our honored guest, Mr. Bloch, whom I have never had the pleasure of meeting before, but whom I have heard a great deal of, I would simply say this: That I have followed Mr. Havenner and Mr. Hayden, Mr. Hayden, the father of municipal music, and Mr. Havenner who followed the footsteps of Mr. Hayden. And I am attempting and trying to follow the footsteps of both of those gentlemen, as chairman of the committee, by encouraging symphony, encouraging music, encouraging everything that means for the development of music in San Francisco. I happen to be, as was stated here a minute ago by Supervisor Colman, more or less of a hard shell order, sometimes called hard boiled, when it comes down to finances. But irrespective of what my reputation might be in that line, I have tried to be practical, and I am trying to be practical in every manner and form in reference to the management of the Auditorium. And I have realized that the seats in the Auditorium are not as comfortable as they might be, and today we are advertising for bids for from one to four thousand opera chairs for our Auditorium. Those bids will be receivable this week or next week, and in the very near future we will have not less than one thousand, and if our finances will permit, perhaps three thousand opera chairs, chairs that are commensurable to the comfort and the welfare of the music-loving people in San Francisco. I have tried to look at things from a practical standpoint. We are also trying to make arrangements for the erection of a new canopy. And we are trying to do everything we can, with the one thought in mind, and that is, to deveop music in San Francisco, because we realize what it means to the future of San Francisco. San Francisco before the fire had a reputation second to none in the United States, I might say. We all remember the old Tivoli and we remember how well the music lovers of San Francisco attended the concerts in that old theater on Eddy street, and we want to continue that, and we want their patronage here in San Francisco, whether they live in San Francisco or whether they live down the Peninsula.

Now, I can say this to you, Mr. Bloch, I am more than pleased that you are going to become a resident of San Francisco because I know what it will mean to our symphonies in reference to attendance and everything pertaining to it. And I want to say this, that I consult with Supervisor Hayden time in and time out in reference to the management of the Auditorium, and especially in reference to the concerts that are held there. And we are going along just as Supervisor Hayden has gone along, and we still consider Supervisor Hayden as the father of municipal music, and at all times we will continue to consult him and ask his good advice in that matter. And on behalf of the City of San Francisco I welcome you, and I hope that conditions in reference to the seats, in reference to the decorations and in reference to everything pertaining to the Auditorium will be perhaps better than they have been in the past. I thank you. (Applause.)

The Chairman: Anybody else desire to express themselves? Mr. Roncovieri.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Mr. Chairman: It has been said by someone, "I would rather write the songs of a nation than to write its laws." In this instance we are told by Mr. Hertz that a marvelous inspiring anthem concludes this masterpiece. I have this hope that that anthem may become the national song of this country. We all know the "Star Spangled Banner," and we reverence it and love it, and it is difficult to sing because of its tremendous compass. I have every hope, and I wish it with all my heart, that a hundred years from now that Supervisor Shannon has alluded will come as a glorious

tribute to Mr. Bloch, particularly for this anthem that he has written. And in a practical way, I would suggest, if not make a motion, that Mr. Hertz be given authority to employ as many musicians as may be required to carry out the ideas expressed on paper by Mr. Bloch. I know that a great work requires not only good musicians but many of them. I have no idea of the number that would be required. But I think this should be an exceptional concert, not a concert in the ordinary sense. This should be heralded to the world. Paris, London, the great centers of music should hear of this particular concert on the 20th of next month. They should hear of it through the musical newspapers that reach in every corner of the civilized world. I would want this concert, I repeat, to be heralded all over the world as one of the greatest that has ever been given in San Francisco, or the greatest, and that it has been composed by one of our own fellow citizens of San Francisco. I am very happy to greet Mr. Bloch as one of our San Francisco citizens. We will now be on the music map more than ever. And I trust that the Finance Committee, I repeat, will authorize Mr. Hertz to go the limit, if it takes 250 or 200 of the finest musicians—and we have some splendid artists in this City—to produce that work as the ideals of the poet musician exist in his mind, for he will no doubt be present at the rehearsals, so that the greatest expression that is possible, through the musicians, should be given to his work. So I suggest to the Finance Committe, or I will present it as a motion if the Finance Committee desire, that they permit Mr. Hertz to go the full limit to produce this work, so that the world will hear of it. Again I welcome Mr. Bloch in our midst. I trust that his life will be long and happy. (Applause.)

The Chairman: I think, Mr. Roncovieri, your suggestion can be taken care of by the Auditorium Committee. The motion before the house is the motion of Mr. Marks. If there is no objection, we will consider it carried. So ordered.

It has been a great pleasure, Mr. Bloch, to welcome you in the group of music-loving citizens that have accompanied you, and I assure you that we will all look forward with fond anticipation toward the 20th of December. Thank you very much.

Supervisor Hayden: Before Mr. Bloch goes, I would request that he be personally presented to each member of the Board by the chair.

The Chairman: Yes, it will be a great pleasure. Mr. Bloch wants to speak.

Mr. Ernest Bloch: I just want to thank you all once more, and I am more moved than I can say. You will allow me to add a few words: The greatest art is the art of living. We have not learned it yet. The most difficult art is the art of governing, as you know, And all that comes on account of this thing, human nature. Human nature is imperfect, we are all striving to make it better. The change of labels does not change very much, you realize when you have seen revolutions in countries that changed the labels but the people and the cities remained the same. The only change that may come is through the heart of man. When man's heart changes then humanity will change for the best. And music is one of the things that can help change the hearts of men. Without being musicians, you may ask me why, and I will tell you just that, that when we are in a concert—and no matter whether we are rich or poor, or whether our heads are higher in intelligence than that of a simpler man—all our feet touch the same earth, and when we hear that music, all our ears hear that same music and all our hearts are beating together. Thus we are no more separated, but united in one single ideal. In that sense music can do enormously for the improvement of the civilization of men. And I am delighted to see that the Board of Supervisors in this City has understood what an enormous asset music is. It is not a luxury, I do not believe in luxuries, but, as you say it so well, man is not living of bread alone, and we need spiritual nourishment. The more men believe the better it will be for them to be happy and

for you to govern them and help them get along together. I have always understood my mission as an artist just to help the best I could. I wrote this work, but without you I could not have been able to write it. I have been more happy in San Francisco than in any other place I have lived in the United States. (Applause.) I have found friends and devoted friends around me, amidst musicians, amidst nonmusicians, and you see I had friends that I did not know because I had you in the silence helping the cause of music, and who helps the cause of music helps me. Thus in that atmosphere, with a feeling of freedom, with a feeling of the beauty of the country and this beautiful city, with the desire that everybody has to make good, I felt inspired. And I must say I had struggled hard in America, and I had not always been happy, but in San Francisco, in spite of sickness and in spite of doubts sometimes—every man has them—I got back my great faith in America. And that is why you and this City made it possible for me to be just a humble little instrument to give vent to a great ideal. My whole symphony was built upon this anthem. I wrote the anthem first: "America, America, thy name is in my heart." This is the beginning of it. And the whole symphony was written with this anthem which points, not to the present because America is young, we want an America bigger and better and greater and an example to the whole world, and we can do it here in this City, we can start here. And that is the whole idea of the symphony. And I hope that when you feel it you will all feel that spirit, with only one single heart beating. (Standing applause.)

(Thereupon Mr. Bloch is presented to the members of the Board of Supervisors.)

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Hospital Equipment.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing hospital equipment, viz.: X-ray apparatus and cardiograph for Laguna Honda Home and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Hardware and Castings and Lumber.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing hardware, castings and lumber and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Relative to Larsen Park, Golden Gate Heights.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Carl G. Larsen donated to the City, by deed of gift, that certain tract of land situate on Golden Gate Heights and bounded by Rockridge drive and Twelfth avenue as delineated on the map of said Golden Gate Heights, which deed was heretofore accepted by the City; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said certain land hereinabove referred to be and the same is hereby set aside and dedicated to park purposes and the same is hereby invested in the Park Commission for its maintenance and supervision in accordance with provisions of the Charter.

October 1, 1928—*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

October 29, 1928—*Over two weeks.*

November 5, 1928—*Over one week.*

Amendment Proposed.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved to insert "Provided, however, that if the Board of Park Commissioners

desires to make use of the land for any other purpose than park purposes, this resolution shall become null and void and the land shall revert to the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors."

Action Deferred.

Rezoning Judah Street.

The resolution of Supervisor Todd requesting the City Planning Commission to take up for consideration the question of rezoning Judah street between Tenth and Twenty-fifth avenues for commercial purposes was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 11 *a. m.*

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION

Granting Associated Oil Company Supply Station, Twenty-fifth Avenue and Geary Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwesterly corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

October 1, 1928—*Refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Toner—8.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy Stanton, Suhr, Todd—8.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the foregoing action at next meeting.

October 8, 1928—*Over four weeks.*

November 5, 1928—*Over two weeks.*

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

Referred to Police Committee.

The following ordinance, heretofore refused passage by the following vote, was taken up on notice of reconsideration given by Supervisor Marks at last meeting and together with proposed substitute offered by Supervisor Marks were *referred to the Police Committee*:

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 4 and 6 and adding a new section, to be numbered Section 6-A, to Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled, "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Sections Nos. 4 and 6 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), the title to which is hereinabove recited, are hereby amended, and there is added thereto a new section, to be numbered 6-A, said amendment and new section to read as follows:

Section 4. Before any person shall engage in the business of dealing in any second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other busi-

ness, he must make application to the Board of Police Commissioners for a permit therefor, which said Board may, by resolution, grant permission to said applicant to receive a license from the Tax Collector upon the payment of the license fee required; provided, however, that said Board shall have the power to revoke said permission upon good cause being shown.

Section 6. The term "furniture and household goods," as used in this ordinance, shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor covering, crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 6-A. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Finally passed—September 17, 1928.

October 22, 1928—*Refused passage by the following vote*:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr—9.

Noes—Supervisors Marks, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd—4.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Toner—5.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Marks, having voted with the prevailing side, gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the foregoing action at this meeting.

October 29, 1928—*Over two weeks.*

Licenses for Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Bill No. 8708, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be numbered Section 62-B, relative to license fee on dealers in second-hand furniture and household goods.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section to be numbered Section 62-B, is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, to read as follows:

Dealers in Second-Hand Furniture and Household Goods.

Section 62-B. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying, selling or exchanging second-hand furniture and household goods, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, shall (after securing a quarterly permit from the Board of Police Commissioners to carry on said business), pay a license of ten ($10) dollars per quarter.

The term "furniture and household goods" as used in this ordinance shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings, crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 2. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

September 24, 1928—*Over one week.*

October 1, 1928—*Over one week.*

October 8, 1928—*Over one week, Special Order*, 11 *a. m.*

October 15, 1928—*Over one week, Special Order*, 11 *a. m.*

Repealing Ordinance passed to print Monday, October 8, 1928.

October 22, 1928—*Over one week.*

October 29, 1928—*Over two weeks.*

Proposed Substitute for Bill No. 8690, Ordinance No. 8196 (New Series).

In place and stead of proposed Section No. 4, as amended in the above Bill No. 8690, substitute the following:

Section 4. Every person, firm or corporation dealing in second-hand furniture and household goods, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, shall keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles sold or purchased, in such manner and form as may be hereafter prescribed by the Board of Police Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and such person, firm or corporation shall render a full and complete report of such purchases and sales as may be required from time to time by the Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the purpose of enabling the said Chief of Police or any police officer to locate any lost or stolen goods, wares, merchandise, furniture or household goods or to facilitate the apprehension of persons suspected or accused of committing any crime, the said persons, firms or corporations shall permit an examination of the premises in which they transact such business, including their stock of goods and their books and accounts, and shall otherwise assist and cooperate with the said Chief of Police or any such police officer in so recovering the said lost or stolen goods, wares, merchandise, furniture or household goods or apprehending all persons suspected or accused of stealing the same.

Section 4a. The Board of Police Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to adopt rules and regulations governing the making and recording of such reports in pursuance with the terms of this ordinance, and such rules and regulations for the conduct of the business of said dealers in second-hand furniture and household goods as will enable the Chief of Police to enforce and carry out the meaning and intent of this ordinance.

Section 4b. Any person firm or corporation or their agents violating any of the provisions of this ordinance or failing to comply with any direction or order of the Board of Police Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance by the Chief of Police or any police officer shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:20 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29967 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium—Appropriation 56.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, for maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of October, 1928 (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928) .. ..$ 3,775.12

Park Fund.

(2) J. A. Bryant, final payment, construction of extension to Kezar Stadium (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928)..... 49,322.91
(3) Golden Gate Atlas Materials Co., concrete furnished for Kezar Stadium (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928)..... 1,530.00
(4) Old Homestead Bakery, bread furnished for parks (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 731.95
(5) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928)..... 3,098.32
(6) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks and squares (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928)..... 4,028.91

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(7) Fulcher Industrial Equipment Co., T rail and angle bars (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928) .....$ 568.22
(8) Hitchcock & Tinkler Equipment Co., 22 dump cars (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928) 1,870.00
(9) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928) 930.05
(10) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928) 1,368.24
(11) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, for reimbursement of revolving fund for account of expenditures (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928) 3,096.52
(12) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928) 2,005.66
(13) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 5,075.00
(14) Hitchcock & Tinkler Equipment Co., 46 dump cars (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 3,910.40
(15) Pacific Coast Steel Co., steel bars (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 1,648.14
(16) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 4,011.32
(17) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 729.30
(18) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter and cheese (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 545.43
(19) Soule Steel Co., prepaid freight charges (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 555.58
(20) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 2,554.52
(21) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 676.93
(22) Fulcher Industrial Equipment Co., T rail and angle bars (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 540.59
(23) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 573.93
(24) Pacific Coast Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928) 741.26
(25) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, matching Hetch Hetchy employees' contributions to the Retirement Fund for months of July, August and September, 1928 (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 763.31

(26) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 522.00
(27) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 781.48
(28) Shell Company of California, gasoline, etc. (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 684.92
(29) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium covering insurance on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 4,392.43
(30) George Tay Co., pipe and fittings (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 616.07
(31) Western Well Works, Inc., sinking test bore (claim dated Oct. 26, 1928) 2,608.00

Park Fund.

(32) The McGilvray-Raymond Granite Co., granite coping for Huntington Square (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928).....$ 1,075.00
(33) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium for acount of Park employees (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928).. 530.18
(34) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) 1,339.07
(35) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) 617.09
(36) Swift & Co., meats for park restaurants (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) 899.86
(37) The Texas Co., fuel oil for parks (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) 757.71

Boulevard Bonds.

(38) Municipal Construction Co., payment for street work in connection with Lot 25 in Block 2365, as per the Asessor's Block Books, acquired for Sunset Parkway (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) .. ..$ 1,128.45

San Francisco War Memorial Trust Fund.

(39) E. J. Treacy, first payment, construction of sewer and appurtenances in Franklin street between Fulton and Grove streets (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) .....$ 2,100.00

County Road Fund.

(40) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for improvement of Harding boulevard (claim dated Oct. 12, 1928) .. ..$ 2,765.25

Municipal Railway Fund.

(41) Felice Castro, widow of Municipal Railway employee, compensation insurance for account of death of W. R. Castro (claim dated Oct. 18, 1928) .....$ 921.30
(42) Lucien Farley, twenty-fifth payment, compensation insurance for account of injuries sustained (claim dated Oct. 23, 1928) 1,407.51

School Bonds, 1923.

(43) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, to match contributions to retirement fund by employees engaged on school bond constructions, year 1927-1928 (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928) .....$ 747.43

Special School Tax.

(44) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)....$ 1,447.50
(45) H. Ankers, second payment, painting additions to Jefferson School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 861.75
(46) Ashley, Evers & Hayes, first payment, architectural services for Geary Street School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 1,536.00
(47) Carl F. Ernst, second payment, mechanical equipment for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 621.75

(48) Carl F. Ernst, second payment, plumbing work for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928).... 1,438.33
(49) R. Flatland, third payment, electrical work for Edward Robeson Taylor School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 910.64
(50) Hamilton Construction Co., third payment, general construction of Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 7,552.12
(51) Frank J. Reilly, final payment, general construction of annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 40,126.46
(52) Dodge A. Riedy, second payment, architectural services for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 1,569.49
(53) The Scott Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for additions to Jefferson School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928).. 1,209.76
(54) Sorensen & Haggmark, second payment, general construction of addition to John Swett School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 5,899.35
(55) P. J. Enright, third payment, mechanical equipment for Golden Gate School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 2,275.12
(56) D. Zelinsky & Sons, final payment, painting for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 3,737.75

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(57) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, reserve for depreciation for month of October, per Charter requirement (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928).....$14,583.00
(58) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for insurance covering Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 24, 1928) 874.73

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(59) Robert W. Jamison, sixth and final payment for furnishing and installing rail bonds for the Sunset line of Municipal Railways, contract 160 (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) .. ..$ 2,944.51
(60) American Brass Company, second and final payment for furnishing and delivering electric cables for Sunset line of Municipal Railways, contract 156, Section A (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 5,292.84

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 57.

(61) Garret W. McEnerney, attorney for judgment creditors, one-tenth of final judgment, seventh installment, per schedule attached, as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated Nov. 20, 1928) $11,287.73
(62) Arthur J. Hearst, attorney for judgment creditors, second installment, one-tenth of final judgment, per schedule attached, as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated Oct. 25, 1928) 12,995.14
(63) Arthur J. Hearst, attorney for judgment creditor, second installment, one-tenth of final judgment, per schedule attached, as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated Oct. 16, 1928) 3,060.90

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(64) E. J. Treacy, for installation of pedestrian markers, under contract with Board of Supervisors (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928) .. ..$ 4,378.39
(65) Inlaid Floor Co., machine sanding and waxing of floor of main Auditorium hall (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928)..... 1,240.00
(66) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928) 1,500.00
(67) Kresteller Motor Co., one Ford touring auto for use of Police Department (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928)..... 512.50

(68) Dudley B. Perkins, repair of Police Department motorcycles (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928) 554.97
(69) Daniel J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense for November (claim dated Nov. 1, 1928) 750.00
(70) Brandt Automatic Cashier Co., two model 63 cashiers and cabinets for the Assessor (claim dated Oct. 30, 1928).. 503.50
(71) H. H. Larsen Co., first payment, general construction of Sunset Police Station (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 4,331.25
(72) The Texas Co., fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 29, 1928) 1,778.05
(73) California Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928) 3,022.06
(74) Dairydale San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream for San Francisco and Isolation hospitals (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928) 3,996.83
(75) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928) 1,907.64
(76) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruits and vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 30, 1928)..... 1,060.94
(77) Maggini Motor Co., one Ford coupe, less allowance on old car, Department of Public Health (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 615.50
(78) Spring Valley Water Co., water service through Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 14,955.30

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(79) American LaFrance & Foamite Corp., two pump and hose motor apparatus for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928) $21,480.00
(80) Mack International Motor Truck Corp., two pumping engines for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928).. 12,250.00

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(81) George J. Curran, in full settlement for account of damages due to injuries sustained on or about June 6, 1928 (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) .....$ 650.00
(82) Joseph L. Bayles, in full settlement for acount of damages and personal injuries sustained by reason of accident, January 17, 1927 (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 16,250.00
(83) William L. Newton, in full settlement for account of damages and injuries sustained August 4, 1927, due to accident (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 7,125.00

County Road Fund.

(84) Joint Highway District No. 9 (counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz), for expense of continuation of engineering survey and incidental expense in connection with proposed highway under direction of counties above named; per appropriation by Resolution No. 29810, New Series (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928).....$ 2,750.00
(85) Joint Highway District No. 10 (counties of San Francisco and San Mateo), for expense of making preliminary engineering survey and incidental expense in connection with the extension of Junipero Serra boulevard southerly into San Mateo County; per appropriation by Resolution No. 29810, New Series (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928)..... 4,250.00

Spring Valley Acquisition Expense, Rate Litigation Fund.

(86) S. G. Clowd, salary 17 days, of October, 1828, at rate of $200 per month .....$ 110.70
(87) Oak Blue Print Co., six photostat maps..... 9.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Appropriation, $545,000, Bernal Cut, Contract No. 1.

Also, Resolution No. 29968 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $545,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Bernal Cut Bonds for the construction of Bernal Cut, Contract No. 1, San Jose avenue, Dolores street and Randall street to San Jose avenue, Diamond street and Monterey boulevard, as per contract awarded to MacDonald & Kahn, Inc., at $504,829.39, and for engineering, inspection and possible extras, $40,170.61.

(Recommendation by the Board of Public Works—Resolution No. 103707, Second Series.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Payments for Lands Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29969 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) H. M. Thurber, for Lot 6 in Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29910 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 31, 1928) ..... $ 4,040.00

(2) L. B. Hammond and Janette Hammond, for Lot 5 in Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 29907 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 31, 1928) .. 5,625.00

(3) Carlo Bellanca and Anna Bellanca, for Lot 29 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29909 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 31, 1928) 8,250.00

(4) Mervyn D. Sullivan, for Lot 11 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29909 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated October 31, 1928) 6,500.00

(5) George W. Dunand and George W. Geil, for portions of Lots 67, 69 and 70 in Block 7145, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29908 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated October 31, 1928) 3,140.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Various Street Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 29970 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

Fell street, Fillmore to Steiner streets	$ 2,200.00
Fell street, Pierce to Scott streets	2,200.00
Fremont street, Mission to Howard streets	4,500.00
Eighteenth avenue, Judah to Kirkham streets	3,000.00
Grant avenue, Pine to Filbert streets	6,500.00
Jessie street, Ninth to Tenth streets	1,120.00
Natoma street, Fremont to First streets	3,250.00

Pleasant street, Taylor to Jones streets	3,500.00
Ritch street, Brannan to Townsend streets	5,125.00
Total	$31,395.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Establishing Set-Back Lines Along Portion of Eighteenth Avenue.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8753, Ordinance No. 8252 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back line along portion of Eighteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 8th day of October, 1928, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 183 to establish set-back line along a portion of Eighteenth avenue and fixed the 5th day of November, 1928, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back line is hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Kirkham street and running thence northerly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back line and the street line, except as provided in said ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Oil Tank Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29971 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bank of Italy, southwest corner of Green and Stockton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boardman Bros., 463 Ivy avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Buchan, 3171 Twenty-second avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

A. Cana, 1171 Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Balboa Elementary School), north side of Seneca avenue, 100 feet west of Delano avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. H. Denke and Bell Bros., east side of Hyde street, 100 feet south of Eddy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Harris, 3855 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Hoare, 287 Sanchez street, 1500 gallons capacity.
P. K. Kelleher, 147 Ashbury street, 1500 gallons capacity.
H. O. Lindeman, east side of Parker avenue, 371 feet north of Euclid avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.
A. A. Maggini, 1426 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.
A. H. McKnew, north side of Chestnut street, 220 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.
M. Modglin, south side of Forty-third avenue, 200 feet south of Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.
Emile Nelson, 1245 Oak street, 1500 gallons capacity.
Anthony Parenti, west side of Hyde street, 72 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.
Mrs. Rose, 3236 Pacific street, 1500 gallons capacity.
Stein & Kreig, northwest corner Page and Cole streets, 1500 gallons capacity.
The Viavi Co., 636 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.
The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Transfer of Garage Permit, H. H. Anderson, 2340 Lombard Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29972 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hans H. Anderson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted McHardy & Cumberpatch by Resolution No. 21445 (New Series) for premises at 2340 Lombard street.
The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Transfer of Garage Permit, Jack Sockolov, 2318 Fillmore Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29973 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jack Sockolov be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Louis Sockolov by Resolution No. 18056 (New Series) for premises at 2318 Fillmore street.
The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Transfer of Garage Permit, Jack Sockolov, 2343 Fillmore Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29974 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jack Sockolov be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Louis Sockolov by Resolution No. 22581 (New Series) for premises at 2343 Fillmore street.
The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Garage Permit, Peters & Company, 955 Post Street.

Also, Resolution No. 29975 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Peters & Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 955 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Auto Supply Station, Moore & Smart, Third and Brannan Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 29976 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Moore & Smart be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Third and Brannan strets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $81,221.89, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Action Deferred.

The following items were *laid over one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance.....	$104.15
Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs.....	37.60

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Set-Back Lines on Golden Gate Avenue Repealed.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 29977 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 29064 (New Series) as pertains to the establishing of set-back lines along Golden Gate avenue between Central avenue and Masonic avenue be and is hereby rescinded.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Set-Back Line, Seventeenth Avenue, Etc.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8773, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7756 (New Series), entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth, Twenty-seventh, Thirty-fourth and Fortieth avenues," as pertains to the establishment of set-back line on the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue between Kirkham street and Lawton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 7756 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, as pertains to the establishment of set-back line on the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 104 feet southerly from Kirkham street and running thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southerly to Lawton street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Amending Set-Back Line Ordinance, Twenty-second Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8774, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6656 (New Series), entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Hearst avenue, Twenty-second avenue and Nineteenth avenue," as pertains to set-back line along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue between Quintara street and Rivera street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6656 (New Series). the title of which is above recited, as pertains to the establishment of set-back line along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue between Quintara street and Rivera street is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Quintara street and running thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southerly to the northerly line of Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Desmond Street.

Also, Bill No. 8775, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeast corner of Desmond street and Visitacion avenue, for a distance of 90 feet on Visitacion avenue and 100 feet on Desmond street, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the day of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of 90 days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Spring Valley Subdivision, Sloat Boulevard, Junipero Serra Boulevard, Nineteenth and Ocean Avenues.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 13 and 14 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District, as now zoned:

Beginning at a point distant 290 feet at right angles westerly from the prolongation of the westerly line of Ingleside Terraces (as shown on the amended map thereof filed in Map Book G, at page 93, records of the City and County of San Francisco) and distant 100 feet at right angles southerly from the prolongation of the southerly line of Ocean avenue; thence northerly and parallel to the said prolongation of the westerly line of Ingleside Terraces to a point distant 137.5 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard; thence westerly and parallel to the southerly line of Sloat boulevard to a point distant 115 feet at right angles easterly from the prolongation of the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence southerly and parallel to said prolongation of the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue a distance of 970 feet, more or less, to a point distant 62.5 feet at right angles northwesterly from the prolongation of the center line tangent of the "M" car line right of way, Municipal Railways (said tangent lying between said point and the intersection of Sloat boulevard with Junipero Serra boulevard); thence northeasterly and parallel to said prolongation of the tangent of the "M" car line, Municipal Railways, to a point distant 100 feet at right angles southwesterly from the said prolongation of the southerly line of Ocean avenue; thence southeasterly and parallel to the said prolongation of the southerly line of Ocean avenue to the point of beginning.

Also, beginning at a point distant 137.5 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard and distant 115 feet at right angles westerly from the prolongation of the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence southerly and parallel to the prolongation of the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue a distance of 1150 feet; thence westerly and parallel to the southerly line of Sloat boulevard a distance of 270 feet; thence northerly and parallel to the prolongation of the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue a distance of 1150 feet; thence easterly and parallel to the southerly line of Sloat boulevard a distance of 270 feet to the point of beginning.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Phillips was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board as follows:

Mr. Jos. Phillips (Right of Way Agent): Mr. Chairman and members of the Board of Supervisors, this matter first came up when I took up with the Spring Valley Water Company the acquisition of necessary rights of way for the Nineteenth avenue extension and Junipero Serra boulevard. Many times in the past in negotiating with the Spring Valley Company I have asked for the donation of any land that we neded, and particularly in our Hetch Hetchy project the water company has always agreed to my requests. In line with that, I asked for the entire donation of all the necessary lands required for

these two boulevards, and Mr. Eastman, the president of the company, said he would go part way with me under certain conditions. He said the streets in the district are 75 feet in width, and said, "You only have an easement on Junipero Serra boulevard for motor driven vehicles, and we will give you the fee for that 45-foot easement and additional land on that boulevard and also on Nineteenth avenue up to 70 feet in width, providing the City will agree to commercially zone the property fronting on Junipero Serra and Sloat boulevards." I told him that while he might look upon the City as one organization, that here in the hall we did not confuse those matters, but I would take it up with the necessary authorities. I spoke to Mr. O'Shaughnessy, the chairman of the Finance Committee, and the chairman of the Streets Committee, and they said the thing ought to go through on its merits without mixing the two items together. In line with that, the matter was submited to the City Planning Commission asking for zoning of this area of land fronting on Sloat and Junipero Serra boulevards, and it meant a great deal of opposition, particularly on the part of the St. Francis Home Owners' Association, represented by Mr. R. M. Searles, their president, and several other property owners. These people met with the Planning Commission and said if certain things were done they would withdraw their objections, and the plan has been revised several times. First it was discussed leaving the intersection of Ocean avenue extended across Junipero Serra boulevard in the commercial zoning, inasmuch as it was commercially zoned across the street, and keeping off Junipero Serra and Sloat for the balance of the distance. That finally was changed again by eliminating those two corners of Ocean avenue and Junipero Serra and leaving it with Nineteenth avenue zoned, but still keeping off of the boulevards. It finally came to this plan of keeping the commercial zoning entirely off of Nineteenth avenue. Now the company agreed not only in keeping off of the boulevards, but agreed to put in a 20-foot parking strip adjacent to the boulevard, install a private street of their own, install the sidewalk, and then 105 feet of land reserved for residential purposes, and back of that to be a commercial zoning. This met with everybody's approval in the Zoning Commission, but since that time there was one lady who was present at the commission and who offered no objection, who I believe has since sent letters to various members of the Board of Supervisors. The letters that you have heard read by Supervisor Hayden were drawn, I understand, by Mr. Searles, the attorney who is president of the St. Francis Home Owners' Association, and the company adopted that letter and signed and sent it down to your Board.

Supervisor Hayden: Couldn't these conditions be written into the deed?

Mr. Philips: It is a condition that Mr. Searles, as an attorney, said was all—or as far as the company should go. Of course, that is only a matter of good faith, and you can come in and make application to this Board and if the Board sees fit you can change the zoning. All that means in the letter is as a matter of good faith the company will not later ask for any commercial zone on either of these three boulevards, and then they will put that in the deed as a matter of good faith for the next purchaser. If the next purchaser should come in and as for the zoning that deed would be shown to him. It is merely a matter of good faith on the part of any succeeding owner.

Referred.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *ordered referred to the Joint Committee on City Planning, Streets and Finance.*

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated November 9, 1928) ..... $ 500.50

Boulevard Bond Issue Fund.

(2) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, second payment, improvement of Alemany boulevard, Section "E," Contract 5 (claim dated November 14, 1928) ..... $ 7,650.00
(3) Eaton & Smith, sixth payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "B," Contract 4 (claim dated November 14, 1928) 10,500.00
(4) Federal Construction Co., fourth payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section "B," Contract 3 (claim dated November 14, 1928) 27,000.00
(5) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, third payment, improvement of Great highway, Contract 8 (claim dated November 14, 1928) 21,000.00
(6) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., sixth payment, construction of Ocean Beach explanade, Section "E," Contract 1 (claim dated November 14, 1928) 24,000.00

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(7) C. S. Abbott, collector for Modesto Irrigation District, for Irrigation District taxes on San Francisco property lying within the Modesto Irrigation District (claim dated November 14, 1928) 771.68
(8) A. McSweeney, Tax Collector for San Mateo County, for taxes on San Francisco-owned Hetch Hetchy property in County of San Mateo (claim dated November 14, 1928) 1,414.35
(9) Ed. Whitmore, Tax Collector of Stanislaus County, for taxes on San Francisco-owned Hetch Hetchy property in County of Stanislaus (claim dated November 14, 1928)... 869.30
(10) J. G. White, Tax Collector for Tuolumne County, for taxes on San Francisco-owned Hetch Hetchy property (claim dated November 14, 1928) 8,891.10

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(11) The American Rolling Mill Company of California, galvanized iron, Hech Hetchy construction (claim dated November 9, 1928) ..... $ 1,603.41
(12) Safety Cable Co., armored cable (claim dated November 9, 1928) 875.25
(13) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated November 9, 1928) 3,008.49
(14) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated November 10, 1928) 649.26
(15) Wilsey, Bennett Co., eggs (claim dated November 9, 1928) 561.89
(16) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., twenty-second payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels under Proposition C, Contract 113 (claim dated November 14, 1928) 57,598.24
(17) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, twenty-second payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels under Proposition E, Contract 113 (claim dated November 13, 1928)..... 8,593.08
(18) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer's Revolving Fund (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 10,000.00
(19) Atlas Rock Co., gravel and sand (claim dated November 5, 1928) 853.65

(20) General Electric Co., electric supplies (claim dated November 5, 1928) 1,040.37
(21) Harron, Rickard & McCone Co., one concrete mixer (claim dated November 7, 1928) 1,785.00
(22) Loop Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated November 5, 1928) 507.13
(23) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated November 7, 1928) 1,099.11
(24) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated November 5, 1928) 572.40
(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of Revolving Fund for account expenditures (claim dated November 5, 1928) 831.24
(26) Henry H. Patterson and William D. Patterson, for certain easements in the County of Alameda required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct (claim dated November 7, 1928). 750.00
(27) Western Well Works, Inc., one deep well pump (claim dated November 5, 1928) 2,900.00

Special School Tax.

(28) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints for schools (claim dated November 5, 1928) .....$ 685.01
(29) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated November 5, 1928) 735.49
(30) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated November 5, 1928) 1,335.10
(31) George H. Tay Co., plumbing fixtures for schools (claim dated November 5, 1928) 636.59
(32) Frank J. Reilly, extra order on general construction of Paul Revere School (claim dated November 5, 1928)..... 1,300.00
(33) Newberry, Pearce Electric Co., fourth payment, electrical work on additions and alterations to the Jefferson School (claim dated November 7, 1928)..... 669.38
(34) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated November 7, 1928)... 2,482.42
(35) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for month of October (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 1,300.00
(36) A. Lettich, final payment, mechanical equipment for Paul Revere School (claim dated November 8, 1928)..... 4,487.77
(37) Jas. W. Reid, second payment, architectural services for Marina Elementary School (claim dated November 8, 1928) 3,864.00
(38) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for Paul Revere School (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 1,545.83

County Road Fund.

(39) James M. Smith, first payment, construction of Kezar Stadium roadway (claim dated November 14, 1928).....$25,500.00
(40) Western Paving Co., improvement of Lower terrace between Saturn and Levant streets, City's contribution (claim dated November 5, 1928) 1,500.00
(41) T. I. Butler Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated November 5, 1928)..... 2,710.16
(42) California Rock Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated November 3, 1928)..... 2,078.88
(43) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand for street reconstruction (claim dated November 5, 1928)..... 3,021.46
(44) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street reconsctruction (claim dated November 5, 1928)..... 1,182.73
(45) San Francisco Gravel Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated November 5, 1928)..... 583.91
(46) The Texas Co., fuel oil for street reconstruction (claim dated November 5, 1928) 747.41
(47) Yosemite Portland Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated November 3, 1928)..... 1,200.57
(48) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing

of streets (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 512.72
(49) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street reconstruction (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 4,856.28
(50) San Francisco Materials Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 872.62
(51) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 905.14

Municipal Railway Fund.

(52) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated November 2, 1928).....$ 1,981.95
(53) Market Street Railway Co., repairs for account Municipal Railway, and for operation of Municipal Railway cars over tracks of Market Street Railway Co (claim dated November 7, 1928) 1,085.04
(54) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric supplies (claim dated November 3, 1928)..... 730.53

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(55) Robert W. Jamison, final payment, installing electric conductors, etc., for Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 158 (claim dated November 13, 1928).....$ 3,095.24
(56) Eaton & Smith, seventh payment, paving and track construction on Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 155 (claim dated November 10, 1928)..... 60,984.87

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(57) Anna Perkins and Harry Perkins, in full satisfaction of claim in that certain Superior Court Action No. 178729 (claim dated November 13, 1928).....$ 1,500.00

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(58) California Decorating Company, decorating and signs furnished for account of celebration of opening of Duboce-Sunset tunnel and operation of N line of Municipal Railways (claim dated November 19, 1928).....$ 1,060.00
(59) Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor and Treasurer of Columbus Day Celebration Committee, for expense of observance of Columbus Day, 1928 (claim dated November 19, 1928).... 1,588.25

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(60) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated November 16, 1928) .....$ 8,220.99
(61) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated November 16, 1928) 1,090.00
(62) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated November 16, 1928) 8,134.67
(63) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 34,107.84
(64) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928)..... 3,526.04
(65) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 12,755.38
(66) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 1,220.00
(67) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928)..... 2,579.07
(68) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 2,006.31
(69) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 1,344.28
(70) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928)..... 840.00
(71) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928)..... 694.00
(72) Earle C. Anthony, Inc., one Packard sedan for use of District Attorney (claim dated November 19, 1928)..... 2,260.00

(73) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, November 3 to December 3, 1928)..... 1,120.75
(74) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for month of October (claim dated November 19, 1928)..... 60,387.44
(75) General Electric Co., City Hall lighting (claim dated November 8, 1928) 1,837.50
(76) Board of Public Works, Budget Item No. 428B, reimbursement for labor and material performed, furnished and used in connection with alterations to Traffic Bureau, Hall of Justice (claim dated November 7, 1928)..... 1,711.00
(77) Shell Company of California, gasoline and oil furnished for street cleaning (claim dated November 8, 1928) 563.18
(78) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sewer repairs (claim dated November 8, 1928) 621.75
(79) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 1,218.45
(80) Meyer Rosenberg, first payment, construction of runway and drainage at Municipal Airport, Mills Field (claim dated November 14, 1928) 9,000.00
(81) California Metal & Radiator Works, repairs to Fire Department apparatus (claim dated October 31, 1928).... 578.60
(82) County Line Service Station, one Ford roadster for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 517.50
(83) Doherty Bros., 3 Ford trucks for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 2,520.00
(84) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 1,241.01
(85) Flynn & Collins, 2 Ford trucks and 1 Ford roadster for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 2,197.50
(86) Kresteller Motor Co., 1 Ford roadster for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 507.50
(87) Maggini Motor Car Co., 3 Ford trucks and 1 Ford roadster for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 3,037.50
(88) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 2,049.32
(89) Remensperger Bros, 1 Ford roadster for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 507.50
(90) Spring Valley Water Co., water service and resetting of hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 2,918.62
(91) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 502.72
(92) Tire Service Co., tires and tubes for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 1,125.17
(93) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated November 15, 1928) 649.00
(94) A. McSweeney, Tax Collector, San Mateo County, taxes on lands for account of Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated November 15, 1928) 590.70
(95) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 30, 1928)..... 780.00

Municipal Railway Fund.

(96) Hancock Bros., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated November 10, 1928).....$ 1,104.00

Boulevard Bond Issue.

(97) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, second payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section D, Contract 7 (claim dated November 16, 1928).....$36,000.00

Payments for Properties Required for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Mary E. Whearty and Alice Murphy, for portion of Lot 20 in Block 5392 (25 x 100), as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29932, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928).....$ 600.00

(2) Oscar Hansberg and Amanda Hansberg, for Lot 32 in Block 5465 (25 x 100), as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Bay Shore boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29932, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928).. 3,945.00

(3) Jerome J. Weinstein and Edith A. Weinstein, for portion of Lot 7 (25 x 56 x 35, irregular), and portions of Lots 5 and 6 (35 x 48, irregular) in Block 5474, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29932, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 2,377.00

(4) Louis Riviere, for Lot 19 in Block 5465 (25 x 100), as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Bay Shore boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29931, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 3,200.00

(5) F. O. Hoedt, for portion of Lot 43A in Block 7150, as per the Assessor's Block Books (112 x 27), required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29929, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928).... 600.00

(6) Agostino Cerruti, for portion of Lot 34 (25 x 20, irregular) in Block 7146, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Alemany boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29930, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 750.00

(7) Paul F. Fratessa, for Lot 22 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and approved by Resolution No. 29933, New Series (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) 6,750.00

(8) H. Falck, for Lot 7 in Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and approved by Resolution No. 29934, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928) 4,000.00

(9) Sophie O'Donnell, for Lot 1 (the westerly one-half) in Block 2313, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and approved by Resolution No. 29934, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 11,337.50

(10) G. E. Chapman, for Lots 17 and 33 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and approved by Resolution No. 29934, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 1,350.00

(11) B. E. Harmer and W. E. Merkelback, for Lot 10 in Block 2437, as per Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and approved by Resolution No. 29934, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 4,000.00

(12) Amanda Hansberg, for Lots 30 and 31 in Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29931, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 1,800.00

Appropriations Out of Special School Tax for School Equipment and Painting.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby

set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the purchase of equipment for the John Swett Junior High School .. ..$ 2,516.62

(2) For the purchase of equipment for the Daniel Webster Junior High School (Request of the Board of Education).. 3,665.72

(3) For the painting of the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School, per contract awarded to J. A. Mohr & Son, Inc. (Request of Board of Public Works)..... 7,497.00

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Compromise Litigation, Damage Claim, Peter McHenry, Municipal Railway.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29978 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Peter McHenry was injured in a collision of a Municipal car and an automobile on November 20, 1927, when said car collided with an automobile driven by William E. Foley, and has brought suit against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of ten thousand one hundred and twenty-five ($10,125) dollars; and

Whereas, City Attorney John J. O'Toole has this day recommended a compromise and settlement of said suit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized and empowered to compromise and settle said suit for the sum of fifty ($50) dollars, said suit being entitled "Peter McHenry, plaintiff, v. William E. Foley and City and County of San Francisco, defendants," and numbered 192499 upon the files of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco .

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Compromise of Litigation, Damage Claim, Margaret McHenry, Municipal Railway Accident.

Also, Resolution No. 29979 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Margaret McHenry was injured in a collision of a Municipal car and an automobile on November 20, 1927, and has brought suit against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of fifteen thousand five hundred forty-two ($15,542) dollars; and

Whereas, City Attorney John J. O'Toole has this day recommended a compromise and settlement of said suit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized and empowered to compromise and settle said suit for the sum of seven hundred ($700) dollars, said suit being entitled "Margaret McHenry, plaintiff, v. William E. Foley and City and County of San Francisco, defendants," and numbered 31754 upon the files of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Accepting Offer, William N. Nutter et al., Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29980 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following

land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

William N. Nutter, H. N. Nutter and Nellie Nutter, $1,500—Portion of Lots Nos. 1 and 1A, Block 6308, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of ell encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Accepting Offer, Anton Delucchi et al., to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29981 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Anton Delucchi, $3,276—

Parcel 1. The westerly portion of Lot 20, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel "A". The easterly portion of Lot 20, Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Anthony Kot and Mikola Gyluch, $2,835—

Parcel 1. The westerly portion of Lot 1, Block 5472, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel "B". The easterly portion of Lot 1, Block 5472, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of said Parcel "A," purchased from said Anton Delucchi, and said Parcel "B," purchased from said Anthony Kot and Mikola Gyluch, the deeds to said Parcels "A" and "B" be taken in the name of the City Title Insurance Company for the City and County of San Francisco and under the direction of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Accepting Offers, Land for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 29982 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Margaret Kennedy, $8,500—All of Lot 39 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantor is to vacate the above described premises on or before January 1, 1929.

Sarah A. Hink, $7,680—All of Lot 13 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantor is to vacate the above described premises on or before February 15, 1929.

Robert Miller and Emily Miller, $3,000—All of Lot 43 of Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Frank T. Myers and Doris Myers, $7,100—All of Lot 12 of Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantors are to vacate the above described premises on or before December 31, 1928.

William M. and A. C. Gillihand, $1,625—All of Lot 10 of Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property and if the same is found satisfactory to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Accepting Offers, Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 29983 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Aug. J. Lang, Jr., $27,850—All of Lots 6, 7, 8 and 10, Block 7124; all of Lots 11, 15, 16, 17 and 20, Block 7125; all of Lots 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, Block 7126; all of Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 and 9, Block 7127; all of Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, Block 7128; all of Lots 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, Block 7130; all of Lots 1, 2, 6, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 26, Block 7132; all of Lots 2, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and 5, Block 7133, and all of Lots 5, 28 and 29, Block 7134, all as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Felix Vanneste and Emma Vanneste, $2,347—Portion of Lot 1, Block 7146, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

G. Celenteno and Mary Celenteno, $3,240—Portion of Lot 33, Block 7146, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactroy, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Plans, Etc., Construction of Fire House No. 47.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 8776, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 47, and ordering the construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 47, to be erected on City property, being the gore lot at Point Lobos avenue, Geary street and Forty-second avenue, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, which plans and specifications are hereby approved; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said Fire Department Engine House No. 47 in accordance with said plans and specifications.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 47, to be erected on City property, being the gore lot at Point Lobos avenue, Geary street and Forty-second avenue, which construction is hereby ordered; and to enter into contract for the construction of said Fire Department Engine House No. 47 in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, and which plans and specifications are hereby approved.

Section 2. This Ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Allen & Co., southeast corner of El Camino Del Mar and Lake street, 750 gallons capacity.

M. I. Epp, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 137 feet west of Steiner street, 150 gallons capacity.

M. I. Epp, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 150 feet west of Steiner street, 150 gallons capacity.

F. LeBlond, 2625 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Lenha, 2745 Divisadero street, 600 gallons capacity.

James McCoy, 2832 Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. E. O'Brien, north side of Anza street, 53 feet east of Twenty-first avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Palm Hotel, 808 Kearny street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Sorenson, 3360 Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Arthur Stevens, west side of Thirty-third avenue, 150 feet south of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station, Chas. D. Freeman, Southwest Corner Eighth Avenue and Judah Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. D. Freeman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Eighth avenue and Judah street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Denying Auto Parking Station, E. H. Smith, Howard Street, East of Sixth Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 29984 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied E. H. Smith to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Howard street, 225 feet east of Sixth street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Referred.

The following resolution was *referred to the Fire Committee*:

Denying Auto Supply Station, Shell Company, Southeast Corner Twenty-third Avenue and Irving Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Shell Company of California to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Twenty-third avenue and Irving street.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Hand Laundry Permit, Louella Lotti, 925 Kearny Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louella Lotti be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 925 Kearny street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m.*:

Auto Supply Station, E. B. Bridgett, Faxon and Ocean Avenues.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. B. Bridgett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Faxon and Ocean avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Referred.

The following resolution was, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *referred to the Fire Committee*:

Denying Auto Parking Station, J. R. Ewing, Jr., Taylor Street, North of Turk Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied J. R. Ewing, Jr., to maintain and operate an automobile parking station

on the east side of Taylor street, approximately 175 feet north of Turk street.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

Board of Public Works to Prepare Designs and Specifications and Call for Bids for Street Lighting Standards.

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to prepare designs and specifications and to call for bids for the construction of approximately 650 reinforced concrete spun street lighting standards for use on the boulevards and streets of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to prepare designs and specifications and to call for bids for the construction of approximately 650 reinforced concrete spun street lighting standards for use on the boulevards and streets of San Francisco.

Section 2. This Ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordinance Regulating Parades and Processions.

Also, Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along, or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four (24) hours previous to its forming or marching, and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police. Provided, however, that no parade or procession shall occupy or march upon any street in the Central Traffic District unless special permit in writing has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Any person who, upon making application, has been denied such written permit may refer such application to the "Committee on Parades" for further consideration and action.

Section 4. The Police Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to act as a "Committee on Parades" for the purposes of this ordinance.

Said Committee is hereby given the right and it shall be its duty to consider and act upon any application for a permit, provided for in Section 3 hereof, that has been referred to it in accordance with the provisions of said section. The findings of such Committee shall be binding upon the Chief of Police.

Section 5. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass, and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges and the apparatus of the Fire Department, responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department and Fire Marshal and

auxiliary fire apparatus, belonging to any person, firm or corporation, wren such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported, when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment, and the United States mail wagons, shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 6. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession are within one (1) block of said crossings and the intersections and said line shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 7. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert said parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over and along the nearest cross street from such fire, accident or emergency and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 9. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Spur Track, Bluxome Street Between Fourth and Fifth Streets.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8777, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Plant Rubber and Asbestos Works to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Bluxome street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Plant Rubber and Asbestos Works to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Bluxome street, as follows:

Beginning at a point at the end of existing spur track on the northwesterly side of Bluxome street, said point being 10 feet, more or less, southeasterly from northwesterly line of Bluxome street and 370 feet, more or less, southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Fourth street, thence in a southwesterly direction parallel to the northwesterly line of Bluxome street for a distance of 20 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City

Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by Plant Rubber and Asbestos Works; provided, that Plant Rubber and Asbestos Works shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Resolution No. 29985 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36, of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

443 Battery street, 45 feet; Jones-Thierbach Coffee Company.

1001 California street, 27 feet; apartment, S. Morshead.

85 Fifth street, 27 feet; Pickwick Hotel.

1336 Irving street, 27 feet; Irving Theater.

1009-1015 Market street, 27 feet; California Phonograph Company and The Necktie Shop.

1008 Mission street, 27 feet; T. Rickey Wholesale Tobacco Company.

910 O'Farrell street, 18 feet; Splitdorf-Bethlehem Co.

340 Sansome street, 27 feet; Levaggi & Tiscornia.

343 Sansome street, 27 feet; Security Building.

3225 Twenty-second street, 27 feet; Ramona Cake Shop, Columbia Outfitting Company, 1 elevator.

Powell street at Sutter, 45 feet; Sir Francis Drake Hotel.

453 Sutter street, 27 feet; Sir Francis Drake Hotel.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Award of Contract, Turkeys.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 29986 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to O'Brien, Spotorno, Mitchell & Compagno Bros. on bid submitted November 13, 1928 (Proposal No. 440), for furnishing the following, viz.: Turkeys for Thanksgiving.

Turkey: California, dressed, fresh, clear skin and free from bruises, 12 to 15 pounds each; as selected.

Quantities required: Note—The City to have the right to increase said quantities not to exceed 10 per cent.

Department of Public Health, 4110 pounds; Police, 60 pounds; Juvenile Detention Home, 75 pounds; Park Restaurant activities, 250 pounds; total, 4495 pounds, at $0.429 per pound.

Resolved, That no bond be required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Award of Contract, Leather Belting.

Also, Resolution No. 29987 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pacific Mill and Mine Supply Company, Inc., on bid submitted November 5, 1928 (Proposal No. 439), for furnishing the following, viz.: Leather belting for School Department. To be furnish and installed in the following schools, viz.: Balboa High School, Cayuga and Onondaga avenues; Everett Junior High School, Church and Sixteenth streets; Portola Junior High School, Bacon street between Berlin and Girard streets.

Item No. 1—300 feet 1½-inch belt, 19 cents.
Item No. 2—30 feet 1¾-inch belt, 22 cents.
Item No. 3—550 feet 2-inch belt, 25 cents.
Item No. 4—100 feet 2¼-inch belt, 28 cents.
Item No. 5—1000 feet 2½-inch belt, 31 cents.
Item No. 6—250 feet 2¾-inch belt, 34 cents.
Item No. 7—750 feet 3-inch belt, 38 cents.
Item No. 8—65 feet 3½-inch belt, 44 cents.
Item No. 9—250 feet 4-inch belt, 50 cents.
Item No. 10—80 feet main drive belt, 6-inch, $1.15.
Item No. 11—40 feet main drive belt, 7-inch, $1.34.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $300 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Award of Contract, Printing Annual Report, Bureau of Engineering.

Also, Resolution No. 29988 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Donaldson Printing and Publishing Company on bid submitted November 13, 1928 (Proposal No. 444), for furnishing the following, viz.: 400 copies Annual Report, Bureau of Engineering, 1927-28, for the sum of $572.10.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $100 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Award of Contract, Motor Trucks.

Also, Resolution No. 29989 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Fageol Motor Sales Company on bid submitted July 9, 1928 (Proposal No. 395), for furnishing the following, viz.: Four motor trucks with dump bodies, 5-ton, for the sum of $18,288.

Note: Above award is additional to award heretofore made to said company by Resolution No. 29642 (New Series), approved September 25, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Accepting Offer of R. W. Browning et al. to Sell Land Required for Sunset Parkway.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 29990 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

R. W. Browning and Eunice S. Browning, $7,620—All of Lot 5 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantors shall retain possession of the house situated on the above-described land, without cost, until February 1, 1929, subject to a 30-day notice of removal.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation for Payment for Property for Sunset Parkway.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7,620 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorized in payment to R. W. Browning and Eunice S. Browning, being payment for all of Lot 5 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 29990 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway. (Claim dated November 17, 1928.)

Widening The Embarcadero.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest and necessity demand that The Embarcadero be widened on the west side from the north line of Clay street to the south line of Merchant street, for the relief of general traffic, passenger-carrying bus lines, fire apparatus and emergencies arising—that the inadequate facilities for handling of all traffic at this point are paramount.

Further Resolved, That the Streets and Commercial Development Committee consult with the Harbor Commissioners in making a proper survey of the situation, and, after conference, report back to this Board their recommendations.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Reception to Spanish School Ship.

The Clerk read the following:

Communication, from Mayor, transmitting correspondence from Secretary of State relative to proposed visit of Spanish School Ship "Juan Sebastian Elcano" to the port of San Francisco, February 28 to March 7, coming through the Panama Canal, and requesting suitable reception.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Reception to French School Ship.

Communication, from Mayor, transmitting correspondence from Secretary of State relative to proposed visit of French School Ship "Edgard Quinet" to the port of San Francisco, December 19-27, and suggesting suitable reception.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

Supervisor Suhr presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That McDonald & Kahn be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts during the construction of the Bernal Cut, Contract No. 1, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said McDonald & Kahn, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Auditor to Transfer Funds to Cover Compensation of Elevator Operator, Hall of Justice.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 29991 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor be and is hereby authorized and requested to transfer to the credit of Appropriation 29A (Budget Item No. 403) the sum of $465, to cover compensation of an elevator operator for three months' employment at the Hall of Justice.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Havenner. Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, McGovern, Stanton—4.

Olympic Games in San Francisco.

Supervisor Suhr presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people of the State of California, at the general election held November 6, 1928, approved a bond issue for one million dollars, to defray the expense of holding the Olympic games at Los Angeles, California, in the year 1932; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors urge the Olympic Games Committee to use their best efforts in securing the holding of a portion of these games in San Francisco and vicinity.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Accommodations of Superior Court.

Supervisor Marks raised the question as to how long it would be before the accommodations requested by Judge Johnson, Presiding Judge of the Superior Courts, would be available.

Hon. Walter Perry Johnson, County Clerk Harry I. Mulcrevy, Su-

pervisor Chas. J. Powers and Committee Clerk Thos. McGinnis were heard in the matter.

Supervisor Powers declared that one courtroom was practically completed and that the Board of Public Works' men would go in and start on the second tomorrow morning.

Bridge Matters.

Supervisor Havenner: A meeting has been called for tomorrow morning—I think all members of the Board were notified of it by letter—and the Senator, the Congressman, Mayor and Governor Young, I believe, is expected to be present, the City Engineer and City Attorney and members of the Board, to discuss the plan which has been at least tentatively discussed by representatives of the Board concerning the bill now pending before Congress to provide for the assignment of that permit, if it be received from Congress, to the State Highway Commission, which has made the suggestion that it be constructed and be financed by the State Highway Commission as a public highway over the bridge. This would involve the authorization by the State Legislature at its next session to the State Highway Commission to do this work and empowering them to issue bonds that would be payable wholly out of revenue and not be a charge against the State's credit. That meeting, at the suggestion of Senator Johnson and others, was arranged for tomorrow morning at 11 o'clock in the State Building, and I assume at that time we can discuss the general matter of what sort of representation the City of San Francisco should have in Washington when the bill is again presented.

Supervisor McSheehy: On that same subject I have here two letters that were addressed to this Board during the week.

The Chairman: Will you permit an interruption? I see that Judge Johnson is here.

* * * * * * *

Supervisor Havenner: I hope as many members of the Board as possible will come to the conference in the Governor's office in the State Building tomorrow at 11 o'clock. As most of you know, some time ago a conference was held with representatives of the State Highway Commission with the idea in view that the cause of the San Francisco Bay Bridge before Congress would be very considerably strengthened if the State of California could be made the sponsor, or at least a coproponent, of the application to Congress for this permit. The viewpoint was that San Francisco would never maintain a bridge to be the only highway entrance to this city across the bay as a money-making project, for we are not going to charge people for the privilege of coming into our city, and the theory of a publicly owned bridge would call for its amortization as rapidly as possible so that at the earliest possible date it might be made a part of the free public highway system of California. That is the ideal to which San Francisco as a city and also, I believe, the people of San Francisco are committed. They want a highway across the bay to bring people in here and to allow our people to go out at their pleasure. Therefore, as I see it, and as the other officials of the city who participated in that conference look at the problem, what is ultimately desired is a bridge of that character that will operate for the convenience of the outgoing and incoming public in San Francisco. Now it is proposed, therefore, that in view of the various objections that have been made to any further large scale extension of the municipal credit of San Francisco, that the State itself be asked to interest itself in this project, perhaps to sponsor it, and that an amendment be made to the present State law which governs the operation of the State Highway Commission that will enable that Highway Commission to construct this bridge and to finance it as the State of New York now, through its port authorities, finances bridges and tunnels, by the issuance of bonds which shall be payable wholly out of revenue. This plan was outlined in considerable detail to the head of the Highway Commis-

sion and his attorneys and engineers. They have been studying it for the past two months. They have represented to us privately that they are satisfied with their investigation; that they believe the plan is feasible; that they see no legal or constitutional objection to an amendment of the State law governing the operation of the State Highway Commission which would make this possible. The senior Senator from California, who so ably handled our bill in the upper House of Congress, and Mr. Welch, who handled it in the lower House of Congress, have both given their private assent to the plan. The newspapers have announced that the Governer of the State has been studying it, and that he is very favorably impressed. The head of the Highway Commission told me that he was of the opinion that the Governor would be able to be present tomorrow. The questions to be considered will be, first to hear from the Highway Commission and its attorneys as to the result of their study of this tentative plan. If they think the plan feasible and express themselves as in favor of it as a State institution—and I have been privately advised that they are in that position—then the question will be discussed whether San Francisco shall amend the bill now before Congress to provide either that the name of the State of California be substituted for the name of the City and County of San Francisco in the application for this permit, or whether the City and County of San Francisco shall remain the applicant and the amendment provide the right of assignment to the State of California. I believe personally that the prospects for obtaining this bridge across the bay are far greater today than they have ever been before. As the members of this Board know, Mr. Hoover, our next President, has on several occasions publicly and without restriction to the representatives of this Board of Supervisors, expressed himself as favorable to the official plan for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, the plan that was adopted by this Board upon the recommendation of our Commission of Engineers. He has publicly stated in our presence that he did not think that the objections of the Navy were meritorious enough to block the granting of this permit, and he has publicly stated that it was his intention to help the City of San Francisco in every way possible to obtain this permit. Now, that being the case, we are already virtually assured by every kind of legislative precedent that this bill can be passed through the Senate, because it passed with the unanimous recommendation of the Senate Committee. The bill is still in the committee of the House, but if the President of the United States, as I think we have a right to assume that he will do, will take a favorable attitude towards this legislation, then on the strength of my legislative experience in Washington I am willing to venture the prediction that it will come out of the House Committee, and that it will be passed through the House. Our position in Washington would certainly be strengthened if the State of California, the home State of the new President and its Governor, one of our strongest supporters, would appear in Washington and urgently advocate the passage of our bill. I believe that the prospects are extremely bright, and I believe that we should go ahead carefully and thoroughly consider this proposition. If we believe it to be to the advantage of the bridge project to the end of our obtaining permission to build this highway across the bay, we should proceed to make the necessary amendments and proceed to support the State administration if it decides to submit this legislation at the session of the Legislature next January. I am hopeful that the state administration will determine to make this an administration measure, and if it does I think it will be passed by the Legislature without delay. I think if the legislative authority is given to enable the State to act, and with the State administration behind us, that it is almost a certainty that we will win success in our application to Congress this year. I hope the members will see fit to be present in the morning.

Communications.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Communication, from A. B. C. Dohrman et al., urging that San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge be made adequate for transcontinental train service.

Also, *communication*, from Adolph Uhl, declaring that City will relinquish a distinct advantage if it permits the State to construct the San Francisco-Oakland Bridge.

Read and *referred to Bridge Committee.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 5:10 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 17, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 49

Monday, November 26, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 26, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 29, 1928, was considered read and approved.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Paints, Painters' Supplies and Glass.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing paints, painters' supplies and glass and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Linseed Oil, Shellac, Turpentine, Lead, Litharge, Mineral Brown and Putty.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing linseed oil, shellac, turpentine, lead, litharge, mineral brown and putty and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Lighting Fixtures for Public Buildings as Same May Be Ordered From Time to Time During the Fiscal Year 1928-29.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing lighting fixtures for public buildings, as same may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year 1928-1929 and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Extra Parts for Fire Hydrants for Fire Department.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing extra parts for fire hydrants for Fire Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Death of Former Mayor Eugene E. Schmitz.

His Honor the Mayor announced with regret the death of Eugene E. Schmitz, former Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and directed that the Journal of the Board record the fact of his demise.

The Mayor: Gentlemen of the Board and my fellow citizens, it is with very deep regret that I announce officially the passing away of a former Mayor of this city and also one of your colleagues, the Hon-

orable Eugene E. Schmitz. I think before we begin the active duties of this meeting that our minutes should record the fact that former Mayor Eugene E. Schmitz is dead. I was shocked to see in the headlines of the afternoon papers the news of his passing. That evening before going home I visited Mrs. Schmitz and conveyed to her the sympathies of all the members of this Board and of the Mayor at his untimely death. She naturally was heart-broken, as was the daughter who was present. She wishes me to extend to you her deep appreciation of the thoughts which you had for her husband and for the public servant that represented San Francisco on so many occasions. Her message of appreciation I give to each and every one of you now. I can remember well, after Senator Phelan had retired from active municipal life, the election of Eugene E. Schmitz, who for three consecutive terms was elected Mayor of this city. He was succeeded by Dr. Edward Robeson Taylor, then by the Honorable P. H. McCarthy, and then by your Mayor. Eugene E. Schmitz helped in the advancement of this city. He gave his time and gave his energy to San Francisco. He was a very popular man. He had a magnetism about him that attracted everyone to him. He was a life member of this Board of Supervisors, because every Mayor who has served the city has a seat upon the Board until he passes away, so the city has not only lost a former Mayor, but has also lost the experience and the courtesy and the kindly advice and the ever-faithful devotion to the upbuilding of San Francisco of a life member of the Board of Supervisors. As the Mayor of this city I can only pay a sorrowful tribute to his memory, and I hope that when this Board adjourns today that it will adjourn out of respect to him, sending to the widow and to the two daughters and to his brother their deepest respect, their kindest sympathies at the passing of former Mayor Eugene E. Schmitz and a life member of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, eleven years ago next January Eugene E. Schmitz and myself took our seats as members of this Board of Supervisors. I served with him for eight years. I was his seat mate for eight years. Perhaps I saw as much of Eugene E. Schmitz during those eight years as any man on this Board. As you have well said, he had a personality that was unique, a magnetic presence that but few possess, and when you knew him you found in him a lovable character, found him one that was always seeking to help his fellow man. It was almost impossible for him to say no to one who sought his aid, and having that characteristic it is needless to say that he was often imposed upon. That was his failing, if it was a failing, but he could not help that, for it seemed to be a part of the wonderful personality that was his, and came perhaps from the deep and abiding generosity that he had for his fellow man. Like you, I feel deeply grieved here today at the death of Eugene Schmitz. He was a man who would go as far for a friend as one man could go justly for another, and here in San Francisco he had a host of friends. I move that when we adjourn here today we do so out of respect to the memory of our former Mayor and our former colleague, Eugene E. Schmitz, and that we engross and send to the widow a fitting expression of our sympathy; that you, Mr. Mayor, appoint a committee to carry out the purpose of the motion.

Supervisor Colman: I will second the intent of Supervisor McSheehy. I desire to say a word with respect to the memory of my former colleague. When I first came to the Board, through your appointment, seven years ago, Supervisor Schmitz was a member of the Board. He sat across the aisle from me for four years and we became very close friends. Mr. Mayor, I want to pay our late colleague this tribute: In those four years of fairly intimate contact regarding the city's business if Eugene E. Schmitz told you he was going to vote a certain way you could gamble on it, for he would vote that way, and his word was absolutely as good as his bond. And that is a very splendid position for a Supervisor or anyone else in public office to

take. I have tried to make it a rule to take people as I found them myself, and I found my association with Gene Schmitz to be of a very helpful character. I was new and he gave me good advice, sincere and honorable advice. Eugene Schmitz conducted himself upon this Board with ability, and his votes were of a constructive nature. Former Supervisor W. H. Harrelson requests me to say a word for him and to add a token of his respect, to express his regret at the passing of former Supervisor Schmitz. Also, I would like to have this letter read into the record (reading): "My dear Supervisor Colman: If an appropriate opportunity offers at the meeting of the Board today I shall be glad if you will add my word of eulogy to the many that will, undoubtedly, be voiced in memory of our former colleague, Eugene E. Schmitz, whose recent sudden death we all mourn; also to convey my sincere sympathy to the bereaved widow and family for the irreparable loss they have sustained. Four years of intimate association with one in public office are sufficient to accurately measure the character of that one. During my four years of association with Eugene Schmitz as a member of the Board of Supervisors I grew to like him exceedingly. He was at all times a gentleman, kindly, courteous and considerate. Among his other qualities I greatly admired his courage and fearlessness. What he had to say he uttered frankly and unafraid; one always knew exactly where he stood on any question, that it was his desire that everyone should be aware of this. Nor was he wont to modify any statement he made or position he took because of possible adverse criticism. In the passing of Eugene Schmitz San Francisco loses an interesting personality. His kindly smile and warm handclasp made the day brighter to all with whom he came into contact. He will be missed by very many friends, of whom I am glad to count myself one.

"Very sincerely yours,

"John A. McGregor."

I am glad to second the motion that has been made, and to add my word of sincere tribute to the memory of our departed colleague.

Supervisor Hayden: I am very sorry that I was not in the room when you paid a tribute of respect to the memory of a former member of this Board of Supervisors and a former Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco. I appreciate, however, what you might well have said, and most likely did say here, for I know that you realize the manner of man that he was. We who knew him intimately here and had contact with him as a member of the Board of Supervisors know full well that he was a man of experience in municipal law; that he knew the charter from cover to cover; that he was well versed in parliamentary law, well versed in the proceedings of the Board of Supervisors. We know he was a kindly man. We know he was a courageous man. We also know, as Supervisor Colman has said, that when he gave his word on any matter before this Board you could depend upon the fact that he would carry it out to the greatest extent. I heard Supervisor McSheehy make some reference to engrossed resolutions and that a committee be appointed to that effect, and I heartily concur with that idea, Mr. Mayor, because that would be an opportunity of placing before the family of our late Supervisor and former Mayor the feelings of this Board of Supervisors and the Mayor in regard to the passing of Eugene Schmitz. Indeed, Mr. Mayor, it is with a great deal of regret that we take this opportunity to reflect for one moment on the death of our former colleague, for especially we who have known him and associated with him on this Board can do naught but speak kindly of him.

The Mayor: It has been moved and seconded that when this Board adjourns today that it will do so out of respect to the memory of former Mayor Eugene E. Schmitz and life member of the Board of Supervisors. May I, therefore, with your permission, and at your request, order flowers from Golden Gate Park to be placed upon the vacant chair and upon the vacant desk of your former colleague and a

former Mayor of this City? It will please and touch the hearts of three sorrowful women and will help to heal the grief that is theirs, and make that dear sweet little nun feel that the prayers she has been offering for her father find still another voice in the kindly attitude of the people of this city and in the flowers they send from Golden Gate Park to him who served this city and county for so many years. If no objection, that will be the order, and the Board when it adjourns today will do so on motion out of respect to the memory of Eugene E. Schmitz. All in favor please rise. (All members of the Board stand.) It is unanimously adopted. I will appoint on the committee to draft the resolution Supervisor McSheehy, Supervisor Colman and Supervisor Hayden.

Supervisor Roncovieri expressed his sympathy and concurred in all that was said, and asked permission to take part in the preparation of a suitable memorial to Eugene E. Schmitz.

Presentation, Frank E. Edwards, Mayor of Seattle.

His Honor the Mayor introduced Mr. Frank E. Edwards, Mayor of Seattle, and Mr. James Creehan, Judge, of Seattle, who are visiting San Francisco.

Mayor Edwards addressed the Board and extended a very cordial invitation to Mayor Rolph and the Board of Supervisors to visit Seattle, and expressed the kindly feeling of the people of both communities for each other.

Judge Creehan also addressed the Board, expressing his appreciation of the visit and concurred with the remarks of Mayor Edwards.

Supervisor Gallagher responded, and extended a hearty welcome to the visiting officials from Seattle.

Supervisor Havenner was also heard and expressed, on behalf of the people of San Francisco, the most friendly feeling and appreciation of those of Seattle and Washington state, and referred to his recent pleasant visit there with a committee of the Board, and the many courtesies extended.

His Honor Mayor Rolph was excused from the meeting and Supervisor Shannon was called to preside.

Referred to Joint Committee.

Supervisor Havenner presented the following resolution, out of order, and moved suspension of the rules and its adoption:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by resolution, unanimously adopted on June 6, 1927, the Board of Supervisors is committed to the policy of withholding appropriations from funds resulting from sale of War Memorial Bonds until the official plans for the War Memorial have been approved by a majority of the duly constituted representatives of war veteran organizations; and

Whereas, by virtue of that resolution the Board of Supervisors has justly recognized as paramount the rights of the ex-service men and women of San Francisco, it being the deeds of such men, living as well as dead, that the War Memorial is intended to commemorate; and

Whereas, Charter Amendment No. 32, as approved by the people, provides for the appointment of a board of trustees to administer all matters pertaining to that War Memorial, and states: "The Mayor in making appointments shall give due consideration to the veterans of all wars in which the United States has engaged"; and

Whereas, Charter Amendment No. 32 invests in the Board of Supervisors the duty of confirming the appointments of all members of the board of trustees selected by the Mayor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors respectfully urge and recommend that his Honor the Mayor appoint a majority of the members of the Board of War Memorial Trustees from that portion of the citizenry

of San Francisco as has seen service with the army, navy or marine corps in time of war; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the advice and counsel of the war veteran organizations, through the medium of the properly constituted representatives, be sought before said appointments are made, it being the opinion of the Board of Supervisors that every class or group of the people of San Francisco, with a confidence inspired by service in time of war, may look to such men for purposeful loyalty and unselfish devotion to San Francisco in time of peace.

The question was presented on motion to suspend the rules for adoption of the resolution.

Discussed by Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Colman and Schmidt.

The motion on suspension of the rules was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Toner—5.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

On motion of Supervisor Andriano, the resolution was *referred to the Joint Committee on Public Welfare and Auditorium.*

Referred.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the following matter was *referred to the City Attorney*:

Relative to Larsen Park, Golden Gate Heights.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Carl G. Larsen donated to the City, by deed of gift, that certain tract of land situate on Golden Gate Heights and bounded by Rockridge drive and Twelfth avenue as delineated on the map of said Golden Gate Heights, which deed was heretofore accepted by the City; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said certain land hereinabove referred to be and the same is hereby set aside and dedicated to park purposes and the same is hereby invested in the Park Commission for its maintenance and supervision in accordance with provisions of the Charter.

October 1, 1928—*Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.*

October 29, 1928—*Over two weeks.*

November 5, 1928—*Over one week.*

November 19, 1928—*Over one week.*

Amendment Proposed.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved to insert "Provided, however, that if the Board of Park Commissioners desires to make use of the land for any other purpose than park purposes, this resolution shall become null and void and the land shall revert to the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors."

Rezoning Judah Street.

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Todd, was taken up:

Resolution No. 29992 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the loss of time to the people of the Sunset District incident to the obtaining of signatures on a new petition can be obviated by this Board through a request from the Board to the City Planning Commission, to hear and consider this proposed change, in lieu of the initiation of such a proceeding by petition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be and it is hereby requested to hear and consider a proposed change in the classification of both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Tenth ave-

nue and the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, and to extend to the depth of the rear lot line, to a Commercial District instead of Second Residential District, as now constituted, and to transmit to this Board, at its earliest convenience, its recommendation in connection therewith.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Andriano—1.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Toner—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION

Granting Associated Oil Company Supply Station, Twenty-fifth Avenue and Geary Street.

Resolution No. 29993 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwesterly corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

October 1, 1928—*Refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Toner—8.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy Stanton, Suhr, Todd—8.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Schmidt—2.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration of the foregoing action at next meeting.

October 8, 1928—*Over four weeks.*

November 5, 1928—*Over two weeks.*

November 19, 1928—*Over one week.*

Reconsideration of the foregoing resolution was had and the resolution was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Toner—10.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Kent, McSheehy, Stanton, Todd—5.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Special Order for Hearing.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the hearing in the matter of extension of Van Ness avenue was *made a Special Order for* 2:30 *p. m., December* 10, 1928.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 1 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being agsent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 29994 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) The Howard Ehmke Co., field cover for Kezar Stadium (claim dated Nov. 9, 1928).....$ 960.82
(2) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 9, 1928) 877.55

Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 54.

(3) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., street decorations for account of conventions, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928).....$ 775.00
(4) Rudolph Theurkauf, construction of miniture map of San Francisco Airport, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928)..... 618.50
(5) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing, advertising, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928)..... 2,444.39

County Road Fund.

(6) Board of Park Commissioners, improvement of Harding boulevard (claim dated Nov. 9, 1928).....$ 3,936.50

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(7) The Elliott-Horne Co., paving in Redwood City along the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct right of way (claim dated Nov. 1, 1928) .....$ 2,157.97

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) Atlas Rock Co., gravel and sand (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928) . . ..$ 854.11
(9) Cement Gun Construction Co., cement gun work (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928)..... 956.25
(10) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., trench diggers, picks, etc. (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928)..... 552.05
(11) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., vegetables and fruits (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928)..... 500.94
(12) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928) 5,014.15
(13) Utah Fuel Co., coal (claim dated Oct. 29, 1928)..... 644.81
(14) Atlas Rock Co., gravel and sand (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 1,731.22
(15) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund expenditures, per attached vouchers (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 1,778.12
(16) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated dated Oct. 13, 1928)..... 2,005.66

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(17) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers attached (claim dated Nov. 1, 1928).....$ 708.87

Special School Tax.

(18) Kohler & Chase, pianos for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Nov. 6, 1928).....$ 988.00

(19) Kohler & Chase, pianos for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Nov. 6, 1928)..... 790.00

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(20) Kleiber Motor Co., two Kleiber trucks for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1928).....$ 4,000,00

Playground Fund.

(21) Anchor Post Fence Co., installing fence at Ocean View Playground (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928).....$ 1,850.00

(22) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 1,259.54

(23) McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 972.03

(24) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 784.77

(25) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 791.37

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(26) John J. Dailey, legal services, month of November, 1928, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928).....$ 850.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(27) Dion R. Holm, legal services for November, 1928, telephone rate litigation (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928).....$ 850.00

(28) N. Randall Ellis, engineering service for November, 1928, telephone rate litigation (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928). 750.00

(29) Flynn & Collins, one Ford roadster for Police Department (claim dated Nov. 5, 1928)..... 522.50

(30) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928)..... 660.00

(31) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of Feeble Minded (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928)..... 9,830.00

(32) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Calendar, Journal, etc. (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928) 1,125.40

(33) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928) 939.82

(34) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928) 4,773.09

(35) Dr. Jos. M. Toner, expense incurred visiting cities throughout the United States in the investigation of garbage incineration and disposal, including transportation, hotels, meals and incidentals (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928). 1,648.30

(36) Hugh McGill, final payment, Guttenberg street sewer extension (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 1,445.76

(37) Raymond C. Ashworth, professional services as chief valuation engineer, in connection with valuation of the Market Street Railway properties (claim dated Nov. 1, 1928) 900.00

(38) L. M. Wilber, M. D., Supt. San Francisco Hospital, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 3,000.00

(39) Geo. T. Caswell Co., coffee for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 875.00

(40) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 575.51

(41) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 1,740.10

1928 *Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund.*

(42) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, professional services, part payment, covering preliminary report on Hetch Hetchy and Spring Valley Water Bonds (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928) . . . . . $ 7,500.00

County Road Fund.

(43) Fageol Motor Sales Co., eight motor trucks with dump bodies for the Department of Public Works, per contract awarded by Resolution No. 29643 (New Series) and for which appropriation was made by Resolution No. 29690 (New Series) (claim dated Nov. 13, 1928) $36,576.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Authorizations.

Also, Resolution No. 29995 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe (claim dated November 9, 1928) . . . . . $ 500.50

Boulevard Bond Issue Fund.

(2) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, second payment, improvement of Alemany boulevard, Section "E," Contract 5 (claim dated November 14, 1928) . . . . . $ 7,650.00

(3) Eaton & Smith, sixth payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Section "B," Contract 4 (claim dated November 14, 1928) 10,500.00

(4) Federal Construction Co., fourth payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section "B," Contract 3 (claim dated November 14, 1928) 27,000.00

(5) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, third payment, improvement of Great highway, Contract 8 (claim dated November 14, 1928) 21,000.00

(6) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., sixth payment, construction of Ocean Beach explanade, Section "E," Contract 1 (claim dated November 14, 1928) 24,000.00

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(7) C. S. Abbott, collector for Modesto Irrigation District, for Irrigation District taxes on San Francisco property lying within the Modesto Irrigation District (claim dated November 14, 1928) 771.68

(8) A. McSweeney, Tax Collector for San Mateo County, for taxes on San Francisco-owned Hetch Hetchy property in County of San Mateo (claim dated November 14, 1928) 1,414.35

(9) Ed. Whitmore, Tax Collector of Stanislaus County, for taxes on San Francisco-owned Hetch Hetchy property in County of Stanislaus (claim dated November 14, 1928) . . . 869.30

(10) J. G. White, Tax Collector for Tuolumne County, for taxes on San Francisco-owned Hetch Hetchy property (claim dated November 14, 1928) 8,891.10

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(11) The American Rolling Mill Company of California, galvanized iron, Hech Hetchy construction (claim dated November 9, 1928) . . . . . $ 1,603.41

(12) Safety Cable Co., armored cable (claim dated November 9, 1928) 875.25

(13) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated November 9, 1928) 3,008.49
(14) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated November 10, 1928) 649.26
(15) Wilsey, Bennett Co., eggs (claim dated November 9, 1928) 561.89
(16) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., twenty-second payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels under Proposition C, Contract 113 (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 57,598.24
(17) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, twenty-second payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels under Proposition E, Contract 113 (claim dated November 13, 1928)..... 8,593.08
(18) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer's Revolving Fund (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 10,000.00
(19) Atlas Rock Co., gravel and sand (claim dated November 5, 1928) 853.65
(20) General Electric Co., electric supplies (claim dated November 5, 1928) 1,040.37
(21) Harron, Rickard & McCone Co., one concrete mixer (claim dated November 7, 1928)..... 1,785.00
(22) Loop Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated November 5, 1928) 507.13
(23) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated November 7, 1928) 1,099.11
(24) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated November 5, 1928) 572.40
(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of Revolving Fund for account expenditures (claim dated November 5, 1928) 831.24
(26) Henry H. Patterson and William D. Patterson, for certain easements in the County of Alameda required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct (claim dated November 7, 1928). 750.00
(27) Western Well Works, Inc., one deep well pump (claim dated November 5, 1928) 2,900.00

Special School Tax.

(28) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints for schools (claim dated November 5, 1928) .....$ 685.01
(29) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated November 5, 1928) 735.49
(30) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated November 5, 1928) 1,335.10
(31) George H. Tay Co., plumbing fixtures for schools (claim dated November 5, 1928)..... 636.59
(32) Frank J. Reilly, extra order on general construction of Paul Revere School (claim dated November 5, 1928)..... 1,300.00
(33) Newberry, Pearce Electric Co., fourth payment, electrical work on additions and alterations to the Jefferson School (claim dated November 7, 1928)..... 669.38
(34) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing work for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated November 7, 1928)... 2,482.42
(35) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for month of October (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 1,300.00
(36) A. Lettich, final payment, mechanical equipment for Paul Revere School (claim dated November 8, 1928)..... 4,487.77
(37) Jas. W. Reid, second payment, architectural services for Marina Elementary School (claim dated November 8, 1928) 3,864.00
(38) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for Paul Revere School (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 1,545.83

County Road Fund.

(39) James M. Smith, first payment, construction of Kezar Stadium roadway (claim dated November 14, 1928).....$25,500.00
(40) Western Paving Co., improvement of Lower terrace be-

tween Saturn and Levant streets, City's contribution (claim dated November 5, 1928) 1,500.00
(41) T. I. Butler Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated November 5, 1928)..... 2,710.16
(42) California Rock Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated November 3, 1928)..... 2,078.88
(43) Coast Rock & Gravel Co., sand for street reconstruction (claim dated November 5, 1928)..... 3,021.46
(44) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street reconsctruction (claim dated November 5, 1928)..... 1,182.73
(45) San Francisco Gravel Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated November 5, 1928)..... 583.91
(46) The Texas Co., fuel oil for street reconstruction (claim dated November 5, 1928) 747.41
(47) Yosemite Portland Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated November 3, 1928)..... 1,200.57
(48) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing of streets (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 512.72
(49) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street reconstruction (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 4,856.28
(50) San Francisco Materials Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 872.62
(51) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated November 9, 1928)..... 905.14

Municipal Railway Fund.

(52) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated November 2, 1928).....$ 1,981.95
(53) Market Street Railway Co., repairs for account Municipal Railway, and for operation of Municipal Railway cars over tracks of Market Street Railway Co (claim dated November 7, 1928) 1,085.04
(54) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric supplies (claim dated November 3, 1928)..... 730.53

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(55) Robert W. Jamison, final payment, installing electric conductors, etc., for Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 158 (claim dated November 13, 1928).....$ 3,095.24
(56) Eaton & Smith, seventh payment, paving and track construction on Sunset line of Municipal Railways, Contract 155 (claim dated November 10, 1928)..... 60,984.87

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(57) Anna Perkins and Harry Perkins, in full satisfaction of claim in that certain Superior Court Action No. 178729 (claim dated November 13, 1928).....$ 1,500.00

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(58) California Decorating Company, decorating and signs furnished for account of celebration of opening of Duboce-Sunset tunnel and operation of N line of Municipal Railways (claim dated November 19, 1928).....$ 1,060.00
(59) Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor and Treasurer of Columbus Day Celebration Committee, for expense of observance of Columbus Day, 1928 (claim dated November 19, 1928).... 1,588.25

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(60) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated November 16, 1928) .....$ 8,220.99
(61) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated November 16, 1928) 1,090.00
(62) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated November 16, 1928) 8,134.67
(63) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 34,107.84

(64) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 3,526.04
(65) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 12,755.38
(66) The Albertinum, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 1,220.00
(67) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 2,579.07
(68) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 2,006.31
(69) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 1,344.28
(70) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 840.00
(71) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated November 13, 1928) 694.00
(72) Earle C. Anthony, Inc., one Packard sedan for use of District Attorney (claim dated November 19, 1928) 2,260.00
(73) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, November 3 to December 3, 1928) 1,120.75
(74) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for month of October (claim dated November 19, 1928) 60,387.44
(75) General Electric Co., City Hall lighting (claim dated November 8, 1928) 1,837.50
(76) Board of Public Works, Budget Item No. 428B, reimbursement for labor and material performed, furnished and used in connection with alterations to Traffic Bureau, Hall of Justice (claim dated November 7, 1928) 1,711.00
(77) Shell Company of California, gasoline and oil furnished for street cleaning (claim dated November 8, 1928) 563.18
(78) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sewer repairs (claim dated November 8, 1928) 621.75
(79) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated November 9, 1928) 1,218.45
(80) Meyer Rosenberg, first payment, construction of runway and drainage at Municipal Airport, Mills Field (claim dated November 14, 1928) 9,000.00
(81) California Metal & Radiator Works, repairs to Fire Department apparatus (claim dated October 31, 1928) 578.60
(82) County Line Service Station, one Ford roadster for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 517.50
(83) Doherty Bros., 3 Ford trucks for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 2,520.00
(84) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 1,241.01
(85) Flynn & Collins, 2 Ford trucks and 1 Ford roadster for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 2,197.50
(86) Kresteller Motor Co., 1 Ford roadster for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 507.50
(87) Maggini Motor Car Co., 3 Ford trucks and 1 Ford roadster for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 3,037.50
(88) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 2,049.32
(89) Remensperger Bros, 1 Ford roadster for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 507.50
(90) Spring Valley Water Co., water service and resetting of hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 2,918.62
(91) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 502.72
(92) Tire Service Co., tires and tubes for Fire Department (claim dated October 31, 1928) 1,125.17

(93) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated November 15, 1928) 649.00

(94) A. McSweeney, Tax Collector, San Mateo County, taxes on lands for account of Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated November 15, 1928) 590.70

(95) Healey & Donaldson, tobacco for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 30, 1928)..... 780.00

Municipal Railway Fund.

(96) Hancock Bros., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated November 10, 1928).....$ 1,104.00

Boulevard Bond Issue.

(97) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, second payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section D, Contract 7 (claim dated November 16, 1928).....$36,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Payments for Properties Required for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. 29996 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Mary E. Whearty and Alice Murphy, for portion of Lot 20 in Block 5392 (25 x 100), as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29932, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928).....$ 600.00

(2) Oscar Hansberg and Amanda Hansberg, for Lot 32 in Block 5465 (25 x 100), as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Bay Shore boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29932, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928).. 3,945.00

(3) Jerome J. Weinstein and Edith A. Weinstein, for portion of Lot 7 (25 x 56 x 35, irregular), and portions of Lots 5 and 6 (35 x 48, irregular) in Block 5474, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29932, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 2,377.00

(4) Louis Riviere, for Lot 19 in Block 5465 (25 x 100), as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Bay Shore boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29931, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 3,200.00

(5) F. O. Hoedt, for portion of Lot 43A in Block 7150, as per the Assessor's Block Books (112 x 27), required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29929, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928).... 600.00

(6) Agostino Cerruti, for portion of Lot 34 (25 x 20, irregular) in Block 7146, as per the Assessor's Block Books required for Alemany boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29930, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 750.00

(7) Paul F. Fratessa, for Lot 22 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and approved by Resolution No. 29933, New Series (claim dated Nov. 2, 1928) 6,750.00

(8) H. Falck, for Lot 7 in Block 2437, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and approved by Resolution No. 29934, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928) 4,000.00

(9) Sophie O'Donnell, for Lot 1 (the westerly one-half) in

Block 2313, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and approved by Resolution No. 29934, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 11,337.50

(10) G. E. Chapman, for Lots 17 and 33 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and approved by Resolution No. 29934, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 1,350.00

(11) B. E. Harmer and W. E. Merkelback, for Lot 10 in Block 2437, as per Assessor's Block Books, required for Sunset Parkway, and approved by Resolution No. 29934, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 4,000.00

(12) Amanda Hansberg, for Lots 30 and 31 in Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books, required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and approved by Resolution No. 29931, New Series (claim dated Nov. 7, 1928)..... 1,800.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Appropriations Out of Special School Tax for School Equipment and Painting.

Also, Resolution No. 29997 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the purchase of equipment for the John Swett Junior High School .. ..$ 2,516.62

(2) For the purchase of equipment for the Daniel Webster Junior High School (Request of the Board of Education).. 3,665.72

(3) For the painting of the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School, per contract awarded to J. A. Mohr & Son, Inc. (Request of Board of Public Works)..... 7,497.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Appropriations for Street and Sewer Construction and Bridge Repair.

Also, Resolution No. 29998 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Reconstruction, Budget Item No. 73.

(1) For the reconstruction of Green street from Polk street to Van Ness avenue.....$ 6,500.00

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers, Budget Item 36.

(2) For cost of construction of sewer, manhole, catchbasin, multiple inlet and culvert at the intersection of Portola drive and Waithman way..... 919.50

County Road Fund.

(3) For cost of repairing the flooring (roadway) of the Third street bridge at Channel..... 5,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Appropriations for Street Reconstruction.

Also, Resolution No. 29999 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the following street reconstructions, to-wit:

(1) Taylor street, from Columbus avenue to Bay street, additional	$ 2,400.00
(2) Naples street between Geneva avenue and Rolph street, including removal of pergola	1,700.00
(3) Mason street, from Post to Bush streets	7,500.00
(4) Lombard street from Polk street to Van Ness avenue	1,500.00
(5) Green street, from Polk to Larkin streets	6,500.00
(6) Mason street, from Clay to Washington streets	4,000.00
(7) Leavenworth street, from California to Clay streets	5,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Construction of Laguna Honda Boulevard, Contract No. 1.

Also, Bill No. 8754, Ordinance No. 8253 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of Laguna Honda boulevard (Seventh avenue) from Lawton street to Plaza street, Contract No. 1; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work, to be paid out of County Road Fund, and authorizing progressive payments, to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of the construction of Laguna Honda boulevard (Seventh avenue) from Lawton street to Plaza street, Contract No. 1, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work, the expense of which is to be paid out of County Road Fund.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of said Laguna Honda boulevard, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Appropriation for Payment for Property for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 30000 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7,620 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorized in payment to R. W. Browning and Eunice S. Browning, being payment for all of Lot 5 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 29990 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway. (Claim dated November 17, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Plans, Etc., Construction of Fire House No. 47.

Also, Bill No. 8776, Ordinance No. 8254 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 47, and ordering the construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 47, to be erected on City property, being the gore lot at Point Lobos avenue, Geary street and Forty-second avenue, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, which plans and specifications are hereby approved; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said Fire Department Engine House No. 47 in accordance with said plans and specifications.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 47, to be erected on City property, being the gore lot at Point Lobos avenue, Geary street and Forty-second avenue, which construction is hereby ordered; and to enter into contract for the construction of said Fire Department Engine House No. 47 in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, and which plans and specifications are hereby approved.

Section 2. This Ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Payment to Crocker First National Bank for Services as Fiscal Agents.

Also, Resolution No. 30001 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $870.04 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment to Crocker First National Bank out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 23, for cost of exchange and services rendered as fiscal agents for the City and County of San Francisco, being for the six months ending October 1, 1928 (claim dated October 6, 1928).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Amending Set-Back Line, Seventeenth Avenue, Etc.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8773, Ordinance No. 8255 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7756 (New Series), entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth, Twenty-seventh, Thirty-fourth and Fortieth avenues," as pertains to the establishment of set-back line on the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue between Kirkham street and Lawton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2, Ordinance No. 7756 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, as pertains to the establishment of set-back line on the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 104 feet southerly from Kirkham street and running thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence south

erly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southerly to Lawton street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Amending Set-Back Line Ordinance, Twenty-second Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8774, Ordinance No. 8256 (New Series), as follows:

Amending so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6656 (New Series) entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Hearst avenue Twenty-second avenue and Nineteenth avenue," as pertains to set-back line along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue between Quintara street and Rivera street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6656 (New Series). the title of which is above recited, as pertains to the establishment of set-back line along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue between Quintara street and Rivera street is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Quintara street and running thence southerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet. said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southerly to the northerly line of Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Desmond Street.

Also, Bill No. 8775, Ordinance No. 8257 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeast corner of Desmond street and Visitacion avenue, for a distance of 90 feet on Visitacion avenue and 100 feet on Desmond street. in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the day of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of 90 days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 30002 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. S. Sullivan, 1340 Monterey boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

R. L. Lindsay, north side of Duboce avenue, 50 feet east of Pearl street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Viggo Rasmussen, 3720 Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Falvey, east side of Stanyan street, 100 feet south of Page street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Falvey, southeast corner of Page and Stanyan streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Falvey, south side of Page street, 150 feet east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Police Station), west side of Twenty-fourth avenue, 265 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Industrial Lubricants, 1449 Carroll avenue, 15-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Cabinet Shop Permit, R. Brandlein & Co., 2183 Bryant Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30003 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. Brandlein & Co. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop at 2183 Bryant street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

Also, Resolution No. 30004 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Allen & Co., southeast corner of El Camino Del Mar and Lake street, 750 gallons capacity.

M. I. Epp, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 137 feet west of Steiner street, 150 gallons capacity.

M. I. Epp, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 150 feet west of Steiner street, 150 gallons capacity.

F. LeBlond, 2625 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Lenha, 2745 Divisadero street, 600 gallons capacity.

James McCoy, 2832 Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. E. O'Brien, north side of Anza street, 53 feet east of Twenty-first avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Palm Hotel, 808 Kearny street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Sorenson, 3360 Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Arthur Stevens, west side of Thirty-third avenue, 150 feet south of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Hand Laundry Permit, Louella Lotti, 925 Kearny Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30005 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louella Lotti be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 925 Kearny street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Providing for Removal of Weeds From Streets, Sidewalks and Private Property, Etc.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8755, Ordinance No. 8258 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring noxious or dangerous weeds growing upon the streets or sidewalks, or upon private property, within the City and County of San Francisco, to be a public nuisance, providing for their removal and destruction, and creating a lien upon the property fronting upon such streets or sidewalks or upon which said nuisance exists for the cost of abating the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. All weeds growing upon the streets or sidewalks or upon private property within the City and County of San Francisco, which bear seeds of a wingy or downy nature or attain such a large growth as to become a fire menace when dry, or which are otherwise noxious or dangerous, are hereby declared to be a public nuisance.

Section 2. Whenever any such weeds are growing upon any street or sidewalk or private property, the Board of Public Works shall report the same to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors shall then, by resolution, declare the same to be a public nuisance. Said resolution shall refer to the street by the name under which it is commonly known, and describe the property upon which or in front of which said nuisance exists by giving the lot and block number of the same according to the Assessor's Block Book; and no other description of said property shall be required. Any number of streets, sidewalks or parcels of private property may be included in one and the same resolution.

Section 3. After the passage of said resolution, the Board of Public Works shall cause to be conspicuously posted in front of the property on which or in front of which said nuisance exists, at not more than one hundred feet in distance apart, notices headed "Notice to Destroy Weeds," such heading to be in words not less than one inch in height and substantially in the following form:

NOTICE TO DESTROY WEEDS.

Notice is hereby given, that on the ——— day of ————————, 19—, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco passed a resolution declaring that noxious or dangerous weeds were growing upon or in front of the property on ———————— street, in said City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described in said resolution, and that the same constitute a public nuisance which must be abated by the removal of said noxious or dangerous weeds, otherwise they will be removed and the nuisance will be abated by the municipal authorities, in which case the cost of such removal shall be assessed upon the lots and lands from which or in front of which such weeds are removed, and such cost will constitute a lien upon such lots or lands until

paid. Reference is hereby made to said resolution for further particulars.

All property owners having any objections to the proposed removal of such weeds are hereby notified to attend a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to be held (give date), when their objections will be heard and given due consideration.

Dated this ——— day of ————————, 19—.

BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS.

By ————————————,

Secretary.

Said notices shall be posted at least five days prior to the time for hearing objections by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. At the time stated in the notices the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall hear and consider all objections or protests, if any, to the proposed removal of weeds, and may continue the hearing from time to time. Upon the conclusion of said hearing the Board of Supervisors, by motion or resolution, shall allow or overrule any or all objections, whereupon the Board of Supervisors shall be deemed to have acquired jurisdiction to proceed and perform the work of removal, and the decision of the said Board on the matter shall be deemed final and conclusive.

Section 5. After final action has been taken by the Board of Supervisors on the disposition of any protests or objections, or in case no protests or objections have been received, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by motion or resolution, shall order the Board of Public Works to abate said nuisance by having the weeds referred to removed, and the said Board of Public Works is hereby expressly authorized to enter upon private property for that purpose. Any property owner shall have the right to have any such weeds removed at his own expense providing the same is done prior to the arrival of the Board of Public Works to do the same.

Section 6. The Board of Public Works shall keep an account of the cost of abating such nuisance in front of or on each separate lot or parcel of land where the work is done by that department, and shall render an itemized report in writing to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco showing the cost of removing such weeds on each separate lot, or in front thereof, or both; provided, that before said report is submitted to said Board of Supervisors, copy of the same shall be posted for at least three days prior thereto in a conspicuous place in the City Hall of said City and County of San Francisco, together with a notice of the time when said report shall be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for confirmation.

Section 7. At the time fixed for receiving and considering said report, the Board of Supervisors shall hear the same, together with any objections which may be raised by any of the property owners liable to be assessed for the work of abating said nuisance and thereupon make such modifications in the report as they deem necessary, after which, by motion or resolution, said report shall be confirmed. The amounts of the cost for abating such nuisance in front of or upon the various parcels of land mentioned in said report shall constitute special assessments against the respective parcels of land, and as thus made and confirmed shall constitute a lien on said property for the amount of such assessments, respectively. After confirmation of said report, a copy shall be turned over to the Assessor and the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco, whereupon it shall be the duty of said officers to add the amounts of the respective assessments to the next regular bills for taxes levied against the said respective lots and parcels of land for

municipal purposes, and thereafter said amounts shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as ordinary municipal taxes are collected, and shall be subject to the same penalties and the same procedure under foreclosure and sale in case of delinquency as provided for ordinary municipal taxes.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Ordering the Improvement of Ramsell Street Between Sargent and Randolph Streets, Payable Ten Installments.

Also, Bill No. 8756, Ordinance No. 8259 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ramsell street between Sargent and Randolph streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying brick manholes, Y branches and side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Ordering the Improvement of Twenty-third Avenue, South of Lincoln Way, Removal of Sand.

Also, Bill No. 8757, Ordinance No. 8260 (Nep Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication

filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the east one-half of Twenty-third avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet south of the south line of Lincoln way to a line parallel with and one hundred and seventy-five (175) feet south of the south line of Lincoln way, by the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area and the construction of a bulkhead.

Bidder is to name price per lineal foot of bulkhead for this work.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Ordering the Improvement of Twenty-third Avenue, North of Irving Street, Removal of Sand, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8758, Ordinance No. 8261 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Twenty-third avenue from a line parallel with and two hundred and fifty (250) feet north of the north line of Irving street to a line parallel with and two hundred and seventy-five (275) feet north of the north line of Irving street, by the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area and the construction of a bulkhead.

Bidder is to name price per lineal foot of bulkhead for this work.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Ordering Improvement of Balboa Street From East Line of Twenty-first Avenue, Removal of Sand, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8759, Ordinance No. 8262 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the north one-half of Balboa street from the east line of Twenty-first avenue to a line parallel with and thirty-two feet six inches (32 ft. 6 in.) east of the east line of Twenty-first avenue and on the east one-half of Twenty-first avenue from the north line of Balboa street to a line parallel with and one hundred feet (100 ft.) north of the north line of Balboa street by the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area and the construction of a bulkhead.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Ordering the Improvement of Evans Avenue Between Quint and Phelps Streets, Payable Twenty Installments.

Also, Bill No. 8760, Ordinance No. 8263 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Evans avenue between Quint street and Phelps street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of a brick catchbasin with 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Ordering Improvement of Twenty-first Avenue Between Ortega and Pacheco Streets, Payable Ten Installments.

Also, Bill No. 8761, Ordinance No. 8264 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment

when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-first avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Ordering the Improvement of Twenty-first Avenue Between Noriega and Ortega Streets, Payable Ten Installments.

Also, Bill No. 8762, Ordinance No. 8265 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-first avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Ordering the Improvement of Noriega Street Between Thirty-first and Thirty-second Avenues, Etc., Payable Ten Installments.

Also, Bill No. 8763, Ordinance No. 8266 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Noriega street between the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-second avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues with Noriega street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of 8-inch, 15-inch and 18-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, Y branches and sidesewers; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Width of Sidewalks, Havelock Street Between Circular Avenue and Westerly Termination.

Also, Bill No. 8764, Ordinance No. 8267 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 23, 1928, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and fifty-five, to read as follows:

Section 1055. The width of sidewalks on Havelock street, the northerly side of, between Circular avenue and its westerly termination, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Havelock street, the southerly side of, between Circular avenue and its westerly termination, shall be three (3) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Releasing, Cancelling and Annulling Contract Between Theodore Cohn and Board of Public Works, Sidewalks on Tara Street, and Repealing Ordinance No. 7734 (New Series).

Also, Bill No. 8765, Ordinance No. 8268 (New Series), as follows:

Releasing, cancelling and annulling that certain contract made and entered into by and between Theodore Cohn and the Board of Public Works on December 2, 1927, for the construction of sidewalks on Tara street from Geneva avenue to Ocean avenue and repealing Ordinance No. 7734 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That certain contract made and entered into by and between Theodore Cohn and the Board of Public Works on December 2, 1927, for the construction of sidewalks on Tara street from Geneva avenue to Ocean avenue is hereby canceled and annuled, and Theodore Cohn is hereby released from said contract for the reason that the work has been completed under private contract.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7734 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Tara street from Geneva avenue to Ocean avenue, is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades on Chester Avenue Between Randolph Street and a Line Parallel With and 350 Feet Southerly Therefrom, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8766, Ordinance No. 8269 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Chester avenue between Randolph street and a line parallel with and 350 feet southerly therefrom, and on Randolph street between Chester avenue and its westerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Chester avenue between Randolph street and a line parallel with and 350 feet southerly therefrom, and on Randolph street between Chester avenue and its westerly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed October 29, 1928:

Chester Avenue.

Randolph street, 220.00 feet.
190 feet southerly from Randolph street, 215.00 feet.
290 feet southerly from Randolph street, 205.00 feet.
350 feet southerly from Randolph street, 204.00 feet.

Randolph Street.

Chester avenue, 220.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.

Westerly termination, 213.70 feet.

On Chester avenue between Randolph street and a line parallel with and 350 feet southerly therefrom, and on Randolph street between Chester avenue and its westerly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Minerva Street and on Orizaba Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8767, Ordinance No. 8270 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Minerva street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues, and on Orizaba avenue between Lobos and Montana streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Alameda Street and on Treat Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8768, Ordinance No. 8271 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Alameda street between Florida and Harrison streets; on Treat avenue between Florida and Alameda streets, and on Alabama street between Alameda and Fifteenth streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Mendell Street Between Innes and Jerrold Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8769, Ordinance No. 8272 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Mendell street between Innes and Jerrold avenues.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Clarendon Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8770, Ordinance No. 8273 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Clarendon avenue between the easterly boundary of the San Miguel Rancho and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 385 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard, and on Stanyan street between Belgrave and Mountain Spring avenues.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.
Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Ordering the Improvement of Laidley Street Between Thirtieth and Noe Streets, Payable Twenty Installments.

Also, Bill No. 8771, Ordinance No. 8274 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November

5, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Laidley street from the existing pavement opposite the intersection of Thirtieth street and Laidley street to the existing pavement opposite the intersection of Laidley and Noe streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of Class "A" and Class "B" concrete with accompanying reinforced steel to be included in reinforced concrete retaining walls and fence; by the construction of ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, Y branches, and side-sewers; by the construction of brick catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of red warning lights to be furnished and installed on concrete fence; by the construction of waterbound macadam pavements with oiled surface and with depths of 4 inches and 8 inches, respectively; all to conform to Plan A-7788 on file in the office of the City Engineer.

The City and County of San Francisco to pay one-half of the cost of the work.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Blasting on Twentieth Street Between Vermont Street and San Bruno Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 30006 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Twentieth street between Vermont street and San Bruno avenue for grading purposes; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Spur Track, Bluxome Street Between Fourth and Fifth Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8777, Ordinance No. 8275 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Plant Rubber and Asbestos Works to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Bluxome street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Plant Rubber and Asbestos Works to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along Bluxome street, as follows:

Beginning at a point at the end of existing spur track on the northwesterly side of Bluxome street, said point being 10 feet, more or less, southeasterly from northwesterly line of Bluxome street and 370 feet, more or less, southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Fourth street, thence in a southwesterly direction parallel to the northwesterly line of Bluxome street for a distance of 20 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by Plant Rubber and Asbestos Works; provided, that Plant Rubber and Asbestos Works shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. 30007 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That McDonald & Kahn be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts during the construction of the Bernal Cut, Contract No. 1, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said McDonald & Kahn, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Traffic Ordinance Amendments.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Bill No. 8772, Ordinance No. 8276 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordi-

nance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sections Nos. 1 of Article I, 26 of Article IV, 35 and 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Article I is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings, respectively, ascribed to them in this section.

Street—Every way set apart for public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A street with a roadway of less than twenty (20) feet.

Blind Alley—An alley which has only one end available for ingress or egress.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the roadway included within the prolongation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers or materials.

Passenger Loading Zone—The space adjacent to the curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles to load or unload passengers.

Vehicles—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District—That portion of this City bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from the east line of First street to the west line of Sixth street; Sixth street from the south line of Mission street to the south line of Market street; the intersection of Sixth street, Market street, Golden Gate avenue and Taylor street; Taylor street from the north line of Golden Gate avenue to the north line of Sutter street; Sutter street from the west line of Taylor street to the east line of Grant avenue; Grant avenue from the south line of Sutter street to the north line of California street; California street from the west line of Grant avenue to the south line of Market street; Market street from the north line of California street to the west line of First street; First street from the north line of Market street to the south line of Mission street.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of

three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also, any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction of Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Section 26 of Article IV is hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

One Way Streets—

Section 26. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 3. Sections 35 and 36 of Article V are hereby amended to read as follows:

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places—

Section 35. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a crosswalk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the curb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within fifteen (15) feet of an intersection ahead on the right half of a roadway;

(5) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection to the rear on the right half of a roadway;

(6) Within ten (10) feet of an intersection formed by a street and an alley; provided, that nothing contained in paragraphs (4), (5) and (6) of this section shall apply to intersections formed by a street and a blind alley;

(7) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station;

(8) At any place not to exceed 10 feet from the driveway to a garage when designated by official markings.

Upon all streets within the central traffic district or any business district the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5) and (6) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area, omitting any crosswalk area.

Provisions of paragraph (8) of this section shall be designated by placing red paint or other red material upon the curb at locations designated by the Police Department. Records shall be kept of all zones so established.

Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places—

Section 36. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes, except in passenger loading zones, nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the central traffic district or in any business district during any hour of any day.

(2) In any loading zone, between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day.

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day.

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of the day.

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly and westerly property lines at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day.

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public building between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays and legal holidays.

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet before the entrance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business.

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and seventy-five (75) feet therefrom at any hour of any day.

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand; provided, however, that

this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) During the times specified in subsection (a) of this section, passenger vehicles shall not stand longer than five (5) minutes in a passenger loading zone, and it shall be unlawful to stand any commercial vehicle in such zone.

(d) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained by the Police Department to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones and passenger loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance; provided that a curb space of not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones and passenger loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in an emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $90,292.52, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance	$104.15
Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs	37.60

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Company, library janitor service (claim dated October 13, 1928)	$ 1,620.00
(2) J. E. French Company, one auto truck (claim dated October 31, 1928)	925.00
(3) Foster & Futernick Company, binding library books (claim dated October 31, 1928)	1,326.15
(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated October 31, 1928)	1,965.61
(5) Sather Gate Book Shop, library books (claim dated October 31, 1928)	2,460.27

(6) San Francisco News Company, library books (claim dated October 31, 1928) 2,080.40

Park Fund.

(7) The Howard Ehmke Company, field cover for Kezar Stadium (claim dated November 23, 1928).....$ 1,500.00
(8) Frank Food Company, foodstuffs for parks (claim dated November 23, 1928) 527.59
(9) National Ice Cream Company, ice cream for parks (claim dated November 23, 1928)..... 1,190.25
(10) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for parks (claim dated November 23, 1928) 722.19
(11) San Francisco Dairy Company, milk, etc., for parks (claim dated November 23, 1928)..... 501.03

Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(12) County Road Fund, reimbursement for expenditures in connection with covering of side sewer trenches (claim dated November 17, 1928).....$ 2,329.85

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(13) Atlas Rock Company, sand and gravel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated November 14, 1928).....$ 2,756.10
(14) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund for account of expenditures (claim dated November 14, 1928) 760.00
(15) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated November 14, 1928) 6,016.98
(16) Shell Company of California, gasoline, grease, etc. (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 676.71
(17) Utah Fuel Company, coal (claim dated November 14, 1928) 655.00

County Road Fund.

(18) Board of Park Commissioners, improvement of Harding boulevard (claim dated November 23, 1928).....$ 1,876.34
(19) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match contributions of employments charged to County Road Fund (claim dated November 19, 1928)..... 1,493.44
(20) Acme Gravel Company, gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated November 16, 1928)..... 1,220.25
(21) Acme Gravel Company, gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated November 16, 1928)..... 1,010.93
(22) T. I. Butler Company, gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated November 16, 1928)..... 1,970.05
(23) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Company, cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated November 16, 1928) 913.27
(24) Pacific Portland Cement Company, cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated November 16, 1928).... 505.76

Auditorium Fund.

(25) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for popular concert of November 8 (claim dated November 16, 1928).....$ 2,000.00

Playground Fund.

(26) Meyer Rosenberg, loam for Glen Park Playground (claim dated November 21, 1928).....$ 2,599.60
(27) Meyer Rosenberg, loam for Ocean View Playground (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 5,301.90
(28) Meyer Rosenberg, rental of equipment for account of playgrounds (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 2,222.36

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(29) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, 1925, for materials, supplies and equipment furnished, and services

rendered Hetch Hetchy Operative Fund for months of August and September (claim dated November 14, 1928)..$ 9,880.56

Boulevard Bonds.

(30) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match contributions of employees engaged on and charged to boulevard construction (claim dated November 19, 1928) .....$ 588.11

Municipal Railway Fund.

(31) General Petroleum Company, gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated November 17, 1928).....$ 1,966.61
(32) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement for month of October, per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated November 17, 1928)..... 1,378.46
(33) Market Street Railway Company, electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated November 17, 1928) 3,393.30
(34) Ohio Brass Company, electric railway supplies (claim dated November 17, 1928) 501.12
(35) Pacific Gas & Electric Company, electricity furnished during month of October (claim dated November 17, 1928) 39,972.31
(36) Penney, Stephenson & Bossana, professional services in connection with installation of property and equipment ledgers, Municipal Railways (claim dated November 17, 1928) 570.00
(37) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match contributions of Municipal Railway Employees (claim dated November 8, 1928)..... 7,004.73
(38) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to provide prior service cost of pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated November 8, 1928)..... 577.53

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(39) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund, for account of expenditures (claim dated November 14, 1928) .....$ 568.00

Special School Tax.

(40) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match City employees' contributions, chargeable to Special School Tax (claim dated November 19, 1928).....$ 843.99

General Fund.

(41) Haas Brothers, groceries for County jails (claim dated November 15, 1928) .....$ 560.40
(42) California Meat Company, meat for County jails (claim dated November 15, 1928) 581.73
(43) Philadelphia Shoe Company, shoes for County jail inmates (claim dated November 15, 1928)..... 598.00
(44) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County jails (claim dated November 15, 1928) 824.18
(45) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's personal and nonpersonal expense for November (claim dated November 26, 1928).. 732.08
(46) George H. Trask, metal book cases for Recorder (claim dated November 26, 1928) 639.50
(47) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Company, steel file cases for Justices' Clerk's office (claim dated November 26, 1928) 979.00
(48) Flynn & Collins, one Ford auto for Police Department (claim dated November 19, 1928)..... 502.50
(49) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Police Department (claim dated November 19, 1928)..... 1,273.86
(50) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated November 19, 1928)..... 1,323.32

(51) Special School Tax, refund for account of stock withdrawals during months of July, August and September for general repairs to buildings (claim dated November 15, 1928) 1,539.88

(52) Pacific Gas & Electric Company, lighting public buildings (claim dated November 16, 1928)..... 4,651.65

(53) Spring Valley Water Company, water for public buildings (claim dated November 16, 1928)..... 2,311.06

(54) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of expenditures in connection with covering of main sewer trenches during October (claim dated November 17, 1928) 560.49

(55) Dan P. Maher Paint Company, paints, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 558.44

(56) Scatena-Galli Fruit Company, fruits and vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 31, 1928)... 1,231.31

(57) J. P. Glaser & Co., rebuilding bake oven, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 985.00

(58) McCarthy Brothers, coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 800.00

(59) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., canned goods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 984.50

(60) Sperry Flour Company, flour, etc., for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 30, 1928)..... 664.60

(61) Baumgarten Brothers, meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 852.40

(62) Blue Ribbon Products Company, coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 607.75

(63) California Meat Company, meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 4,864.94

(64) Dairydale San Francisco Dairy Company, milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 2,304.95

(65) Fred L. Hilmer Company, butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 1,824.68

(66) Sherry Brothers, Inc., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 1,908.29

(67) Western Meat Company, meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 587.26

(68) Spring Valley Water Company, water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 30, 1928)..... 1,602.59

(69) Spring Valley Water Company, water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 30, 1928)..... 1,167.79

(70) Phillips & Van Orden Company, printing ballots (claim dated November 13, 1928)..... 764.75

(71) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing index of names for Registrar (claim dated November 13, 1928)..... 3,024.85

(72) Levison Printing Company, printing tally lists, etc., for Registrar (claim dated November 13, 1928)..... 2,644.83

(73) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing 250,000 pamphlets, election November 6 (claim dated November 13, 1928) 9,792.00

Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(74) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of expenditures for materials in connection with covering of side sewer trenches during October (claim dated November 17, 1928) .....$ 562.85

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 57.

(75) Vogelsang & Brown, as attorneys for judgment creditors, sixth installment of final judgment for refund of taxes paid, as per schedule attached, and approved by City Attorney (claim dated November 29, 1928).....$ 3,105.65

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(76) California State Automobile Association, for advertising of the City and County of San Francisco, and the development of tourist travel to this section of California, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated November 26, 1928) ..... $ 2,500.00

(77) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of convention of National Association of City Passenger Agents, held in San Francisco October 19-21, 1928 (claim dated November 26, 1928)..... 778.30

Payments for Properties for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Aug. J. Lang, Jr., for all of Lots 6, 7, 8 and 10 in Block 7124; all of Lots 11, 15, 16, 17 and 20 in Block 7125; all of Lots 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 in Block 7126; all of Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 and 9 in Block 7127; all of Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 in Block 7128; all of Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 in Block 7130; all of Lots 1, 2, 6, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 26 in Block 7132; all of Lots 2, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and 5 in Block 7133, and all of Lots 5, 28 and 29 in Block 7134, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; approved by Resolution No. ———, and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... $27,850.00

(2) Felix Vanneste and Emma Vanneste, for portion of Lot 1 in Block 7146 (50 x 20), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 2,347.00

(3) G. Celetano and Mary Celetano, for a portion of Lot 33 in Block 7146 (60 x 40), as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 3,240.00

(4) William N. Nutter, H. N. Nutter and Nellie Nutter, for portions of Lots 1 and 1-A in Block 6308 (100 x 31, irregular), as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928) 1,500.00

(5) Anton Delucchi, for purchase of Lot 20 in Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 3,276.00

(6) Anthony Kot and Nikola Gyluch, for Lot 1 in Block 5472, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 2,835.00

(7) Margaret Kennedy et al., for Lot 39 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 8,500.00

(8) Sarah A. Hink, for Lot 13 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 7,680.00

(9) Robert Miller, for Lot 43 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ———

(New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 3,000.00

(10) Frank T. Myers and Doris Myers, for Lot 12 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 7,100.00

(11) Wm. M. and A. C. Gillihand, for Lot 10 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 1,625.00

Payments for Properties Required for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Albert Stolzenberg and Clara Stolzenberg, for portion of Lots 3, 4, 18 and 19 (16,123 square feet approximately) in Block 5477, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 21, 1928)..$ 7,828.00

(2) Annie E. Elliott, for portion of Lot 5 in Block 5100 (75 x 20), as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 736.00

(3) Herbert James Seal, for all of Lots 18 and 19 in Block 7125, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 600.00

(4) Stephen J. Hughes and Mary B. Hughes, for all of Lots 33 and 34 in Block 7134, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 21, 1928) 600.00

(5) Edward Spichtig and Adele Spichtig, for a portion of Lot 31 in Block 7146, as per the Assessor's Block Books (40 x 115, irregular), approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 7,280.00

(6) Arthur and Bernard Quinn, for Lot 40 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 10,000.00

(7) Lizzie M. Parker, for Lot 6 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 675.00

(8) James A. Bacigalupi, for Block 1817, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 70,000.00

(9) A. H. Wilder, for Lot 36 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 8,650.00

(10) Harvey J. Boutin and Estelle A. Boutin, for Lot 7 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. ——— (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 6,375.00

Appropriating $10,500, Satisfaction of Judgment, Sophie J. Friedman et al.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand five hundred dollars ($10,500) be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to bet set aside and appropriated out of the "Bernal Cut" Bonds, and to be payable as hereinafter mentioned, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled City and County of San Francisco vs. Sophie J. Friedman and Jacob Friedman, defendants, and numbered 195126 of the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of land for boulevard purposes; that said sum of $10,500 under the terms and provisions of said judgment to be payable to defendants, Sophie J. Friedman and Jacob Friedman, subject to a first lien of Anglo-California Trust Company, a corporation, in the sum of $4,000, with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent (6%) per annum from November 1st, 1928; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

City Attorney to Compromise Henry Schindle Litigation.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30008 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Henry Schindle was injured by being struck by a Municipal car on or about June 16, 1927, and has brought suit against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of $21,235; and

Whereas, said City Attorney John J. O'Toole has this day recommended a compromise and settlement of said suit; now, therefore,

Be it Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to compromise and settle said suit for the sum of $1,250, said suit being entitled "Henry Schindle, Plaintiff, vs. City and County of San Francisco, Defendant," and numbered 187900 upon the files of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Accepting Offers to Sell Lands for Bay Shore Boulevard Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 30009 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Albert Stolzenberg and Clara Stolzenberg, $7,828—Portion of Lots Nos. 3 and 4, 18 and 19, Block 5477, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

John Wickstrom and A. M. Samuelson, $43.05—Portion of Lot 1, Block 5066-B, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Annie E. Elliott, $736—Portion of Lot 5, Block 5100, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to

said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Accepting Offer of E. M. Sink for Lands Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30010 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite her name, be accepted:

E. M. Sink, $1—Portion of Lot 30, Block 7133, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

As a further consideration, E. M. Sink is to receive a deed from the City and County of San Francisco to a small triangular strip of land 7 feet by 76 feet, more or less, lying between the remaining portion of the above mentioned Lot 30 and the proposed southerly line of the Alemany boulevard, which was acquired for street purposes and is no longer necessary for street purposes.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed from the City and County of San Francisco to said E. M. Sink, conveying said portion of land hereinabove described.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Accepting Offer of J. E. Robertson to Sell Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30011 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

J. E. Robertson, $450—

Parcel 1. The northwesterly portion of Lot 31, Block 7133, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. The southeasterly portion of Lot 31, Block 7133, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of said Parcel 2, purchased from said J. E. Robert-

son, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title & Trust Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the direction of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Accepting Offer of Harvey J. Boutin et al. to Sell Land Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 30012 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Harvey J. Boutin and Estelle A. Boutin, $6,375—All of Lot 7 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the improvements on the above-described lot are to remain the property of Harvey J. Boutin and Estelle A. Boutin, and are to be removed within sixty (60) days from the recording of the deed.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Accepting Offers of H. J. Seal et al. to Sell Lands Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30013 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Herbert James Seal, $600—All of Lots 18 and 19, Block 7125, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Stephen J. Hughes and Mary B. Hughes, $600—All of Lots Nos. 33 and 34, Block 7134, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Edward Spichtig and Adele Spichtig, $7,280—Portion of Lot 31, Block 7146, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount includes, in addition to payment for the above-described parcel, payment in full for damages to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above-described parcel; said improvements to be relocated by the said Edward Spichtig and Adele Spichtig within thirty (30) days after recordation of the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Accepting Offers of A. and B. Quinn et al. to Sell Lands for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 30014 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Arthur and Bernard Quinn, $10,000—All of Lot 40 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantors are to retain possession of the building, and are to vacate on or before January 10, 1929.

Lizzie M. Parker, $675—All of Lot 6 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

James A. Bacigalupi, $70,000—All of Block 1817, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

A. H. Wilde, $8,650—All of Lot 36 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantors are to vacate the above-described premises on or before February 1, 1929.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Sunset Parkway Lands.

Also, Resolution No. 30015 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of the Sunset Parkway, and known as 1234 Thirty-sixth avenue, 1247 Thirty-sixth avenue, 1234 Thirty-seventh avenue, 1254 Thirty-seventh avenue, 2483 Thirty-sixth avenue, 2495 Thirty-sixth avenue.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Boulevard Bond Issue.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

$3,500 for Sewer Easement in Visitacion Valley.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 36, and authorized in payment to Edward J. Tobin, sole resident executor of the last will and testament of Mary A. Tobin, deceased, for an easement for right of way over a strip of land 10 feet wide; said easement being for the so-called Visitacion Valley Sewer.

The Superior Court, on the 19th day of June, 1928, did, by order thereof, duly given, made and entered in the matter of the estate of Mary A. Tobin, deceased, confirm the sale of said easement of said right of way to the City and County of San Francisco as described in deed of easement, dated August 27, 1928.

$2,000 for Publicity and Advertising, Californians Inc.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising Fund," to Californians Inc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Appropriation, $8,730, Installation of Traffic Signals.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8,730 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 57, "for the installation of traffic signals, and purchase of devices for traffic control," for the installing of safety zone buttons, traffic turning buttons and pedestrian lane markers; per award of contract to E. J. Treacy.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Appropriation, $3,000, Credit From Stationery to Furniture Fund.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30016 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside out of "Stationery, etc.," Budget Item No. 85, Bureau of Supplies, to the credit of "Furniture, etc.," Budget Item No. 86, Bureau of Supplies. (Request of Purchaser of Supplies, dated Nov. 23, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company.

Also, Resolution No. 30017 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of September and October, 1928, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County, under terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

September—

Parkside Transit, etc.	$561.39
Gough Street Railroad Co.....	41.46
Parnassus avenue, etc.	311.10

October—

Parkside Transit, etc.	$571.96
Gough Street Railroad Co.	43.46
Parnassus avenue, etc.	323.86

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Accepting Offers of Releases, Twin Peaks Tunnel Easement.

Also, Resolution No. 30018 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco purchased from John E. Schmid and Frank Schmid subsurface easements for the Twin Peaks tunnel; and

Whereas, the said deeds to said easements contained clauses holding the City and County of San Francisco liable for any future settlement caused by the construction of the Twin Peaks tunnel; and

Whereas, said John E. Schmid and Frank Schmid have offered to settle with the City and give the City full releases for damages which have occurred since 1914, and for all future damages to the following described properties, for the amounts set forth opposite their respective names, viz.:

John E. Schmid, $500—Lot 7, Block 2690, as per the current Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and known as 136 Caselli avenue.

Frank Schmid, $400—Lot 5, Block 2690, as per the current Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and known as 118 Caselli avenue.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of said persons to deliver to the City said releases, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be and the same are hereby accepted.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of the money to the above mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company.

Also, Resolution No. 30019 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement of the Market Street Railway Company for the year ended December 31, 1927, showing franchise percentages due the City and County from passenger receipts in the sum of $50,973.48, be and the same is hereby accepted; and

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit said sum of $50,973.48 with the Treasurer of the City and County, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Payment of Salaries of Traffic Bureau Employees.

Also, Resolution No. 30020 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 57, and authorized in payment of salaries of Traffic Bureau employees for the month of November, 1928, to-wit:

Traffic engineer	$300.00
Assistant traffic engineer	227.00
Clerk-stenographer	175.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Board of Works, Reimbursements for Expenditures.

Also, Resolution No. 30021 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of the hereinafter designated Budget Items, Department of Public Works, to the credit of Budget Item No. 448, Department of Public Works; being reimbursements to the Bureau of Stores and Yards for expenditures in connection with repairs to equipment, to-wit:

From Budget Item No. 515, Expense Account $5275	$1,090.38
From Budget Item No. 536, Expense Account 5024	601.83
From Budget Item No. 549, Expense Account 5013	244.15
From Budget Item No. 561, Expense Account 4142	79.50

(Request of Department of Public Works, Resolution 104230, Second Series.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

R. A. Crothers, north side Turk street, 102 feet 6 inches west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Davis, 2222 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jay Gittelsohn, east side Spruce street, 65 feet north of Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

William P. Goss, southwest corner Geary street and Twenty-ninth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Great Western Power Company of California, two oil storage tanks in block bounded by Burke and Evans avenues and Jennings and India streets.

Vicent Lassio, southwest corner of Chestnut and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. William Laysaght, 5203 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. A. Lundstrom, southwest corner of Fourth and Perry streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, 3030 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mr. McKellip, west side Van Ness avenue, 90 feet south of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hugh McLean, south side Grove street, 100 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. T. Morris, northwest corner Pierce and McAllister streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Popemdidior, southeast corner of Fifteenth and Minna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Risdon Brothers, north side of Bay street, 143 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thomas Scoble, northwest corner Thirty-ninth avenue and Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hibernia Savings & Loan Society, southeast corner Eighteenth and Castro streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

L. C. Gensen, 160 Russ street, 5 horsepower.

Simons Millinery Company, 989 Market street, 15 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Transfer, Renaldo Cecanti, 924 Sansome Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Renaldo Cecanti be and is hereby granted permission to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted to Grossi & Co., by Resolution No. 20965 (New Series), for premises at 924 Sansome street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Denying Quinn, Reilley & McDermott Supply Station Permit, Southwest Corner San Bruno and Raymond Avenues.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Quinn, Reilley & McDermott to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of San Bruno and Raymond avenues.

Adopted.

The following resolution were *adopted*:

Denying Laundry Permit, Lee Wing, 476 Eddy Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 30022 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Lee Wing to maintain and operate a laundry at 476 Eddy street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Denying Auto Parking Station Permit, J. P. Fennell, North Side McAllister Street, East of Hyde Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30023 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied J. P. Fennell to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Auto Supply Station, Chas. D. Freeman, Southwest Corner Eighth Avenue and Judah Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. D. Freeman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Eighth avenue and Judah street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd, Toner—11.

Noes—Supervisors Shannon, Suhr—2.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Stanton—5.

Refused Passage.

The following matter was taken up and *refused passage* by the following vote:

Auto Supply Station, E. B. Bridgett, Faxon and Ocean Avenues.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. B. Bridgett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the southwest corner of Faxon and Ocean avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—8.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McSheehy, McGovern, Marks—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Transfer of Hospital Permit.

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sutter Hospital be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred hospital permit heretofore granted Wakefield Hospital for premises at 1065 Sutter street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Stable Permit, John Gunn.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Gunn be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a stable to accommodate thirty horses, at 740 La Playa street, said maintenance and operation to comply with the provisions of Section 198, Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Stable Permit Denied, Frank Barone.

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Resolution No. 30024 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Frank Barone to maintain and operate a stable for one horse in rear of premises No. 329 Twenty-seventh street (on the alley).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Referred.

The following matter was *referred to the Lighting Committee* and meeting called for 12 o'clock noon Friday:

Board of Public Works to Prepare Designs and Specifications and Call for Bids for Street Lighting Standards.

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to prepare designs and specifications and to call for bids for the construction of approximately 650 reinforced concrete spun street lighting standards for use on the boulevards and streets of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and directed to prepare designs and specifications and to call for bids for the construction of approximately 650 reinforced concrete spun street lighting standards for use on the boulevards and streets of San Francisco.

Section 2. This Ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordinance Regulating Parades and Processions.

On recommendation of Police and Licenses Committee.

Bill No. 8778, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along, or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four (24) hours previous to its forming or marching, and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police. Provided, however, that no parade or procession shall occupy or march upon any street in the Central

Traffic District unless special permit in writing has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Any person who, upon making application, has been denied such written permit may refer such application to the "Committee on Parades" for further consideration and action.

Section 4. The Police Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to act as a "Committee on Parades" for the purposes of this ordinance.

Said Committee is hereby given the right and it shall be its duty to consider and act upon any application for a permit, provided for in Section 3 hereof, that has been referred to it in accordance with the provisions of said section. The findings of such Committee shall be binding upon the Chief of Police.

Section 5. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass, and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges and the apparatus of the Fire Department, responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department and Fire Marshal and auxiliary fire apparatus, belonging to any person, firm or corporation, wren such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported, when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment, and the United States mail wagons, shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 6. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession are within one (1) block of said crossings and the intersections and said line shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 7. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert said parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over and along the nearest cross street from such fire, accident or emergency and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 9. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Award of Contract, X-Ray Supplies.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 30025 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted November 13, 1928 (Proposal No. 443), for furnishing the following, viz.:

X-Ray Supplies for San Francisco Hospital.

Item No. 1—360 dozen Buck's X-Ray films, 8x10, $2.77 per dozen, Hirsch & Kaye.

Item No. 2—360 dozen Buck's R-Ray films, 11x14, $5.58 per dozen, Hirsch & Kaye.

Item No. 3—144 dozen Buck's X-Ray films, 14x17, $8.43 per dozen, Kahn & Co.

Item No. 4—72 cans Buck's X-Ray developer powder, size No. 4, $3.26 per can, Hirsch & Kaye.

Item No. 5—100 pounds Barium sulphate, U. S. P., $0.22 per pound, Hirsch & Kaye.

Resolved, That no bonds be required, as said goods are for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Resolution No. 30026 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

775 Geary street, 27 feet long, apartments; 1 oil intake; trades entrance.

317 Leavenworth street, 27 feet long, Hotel Burbank.

52-54 Main street, 27 feet long, A. L. Boyden Company.

1625 Polk street, 27 feet long, St. John's Apartments; Schwartz steam table; 1 oil intake.

308-310 Turk street, 27 feet long, Fox Film Corporation; film booking office.

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be rescinded and reestablished as specified herein, in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

45 McAllister street, from 18 feet to 27 feet, Hotel Shaw.

509 Montgomery street, from 54 feet to 45 feet, Office Towel Supply Company; Honig-Cooper Company; Typewriter Exchange.

608 Sacramento street, 18 feet, Blaine Cigar Company.

453-463 Powell street, from 36 feet to 27 feet, Press Club building; Bank of Italy; Lincoln Taxi; Owl Drug Company.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS. BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Award of Contract, Curtains for Auditorium.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 30027 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to J. L. Stuart Manufacturing Co. on bid submitted October 29, 1928 (Proposal No. 435), for the following, viz.: Curtains for Auditorium, to furnish and install complete waffle cloth curtains under main balcony in Exposition Auditorium within 45 days for the sum of $1,371.15.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when

award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

On recommendation of Police Committee.

Resolution No. 30028 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Native Sons and Native Daughters of the Golden West to conduct a masquerade ball at the Exposition Auditorium Wednesday evening, November 28, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr-Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Construction Work to Be Speeded.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 30029 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the winter season is approaching and with it the problem of unemployment; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee of this Board call a conference and make an immediate survey of public projects in course of construction or contemplated and determine the amount of construction work that can be speeded so that men can be kept working during the winter months.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Opening of Theresa Street.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, report of Streets Committee on opening of Theresa street was *laid over one week.*

Purchase of Local-Made Goods.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 30030 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee of this Board be authorized to assist in every manner possible the city-wide campaign by the business men of this community to prevail upon all the public in building or reconstruction of real property, in the buying and selling of all commodities to insist in the purchase of locally-made goods in order to increase to a maximum the manufacturing of home industries and the increasing of San Francisco payrolls.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

White Uniforms for Traffic Officers.

A resolution was presented by Supervisor Gallagher providing for the adoption of white uniforms for traffic officers on night duty.

Referred to the Joint Committee on Police and Traffic.

Municipal Control of Harbor.

Supervisors Stanton and Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 30031 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is vital to the future commercial prosperity of San Francisco that the harbor be under municipal management and control, which the people of this City have approved by Charter Amendment formally enacted; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Legislative Committee of the Board of Supervisors is authorized and directed to prepare the necessary bill for submission to the Legislature by which the harbor may be transferred to this municipality and request the Legislature to act promptly thereon; and be it

Further Resolved, That the members of the Senate and Assembly of San Francisco be requested to use every honorable means to promote the passage of the proposed act.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—15.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Marks—3.

Death of Jeremiah Deasy.

Supervisor Shannon announced the death of former Supervisor Jeremiah Deasy, the father of Hon. Judge Daniel C. Deasy. The following resolution was *unanimously adopted by rising vote* and the Board adjourned out of respect to his memory:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has removed from our midst a splendid citizen in the person of the late Jeremiah Deasy; and

Whereas, the said Jeremiah Deasy exhibited during life qualities of excellent character and high moral worth by his rearing a good family, by his contribution to the business world of San Francisco and by his fidelity to his religious convictions; and

Whereas, the said Jeremiah Deasy served the people of the City and County of San Francisco honestly and faithfully for several years as a member of the Board of Supervisors and as a member of the Board of Election Commissioners; be it therefore,

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby commend the sterling character and life of Jeremiah Deasy and extend sympathy and condolences to his relatives on his loss; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to his son, Honorable Daniel C. Deasy, and that it be spread on the minutes of this Board of Supervisors.

Amendment to Permit Transfer of Bay Bridge Franchise to State of California.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 30032 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is pending in the Senate of the United States Senate Bill No. 1762, introduced by Senator Hiram W. Johnson of California, and there is also pending in the House of Representatives of the United States a companion bill to said Senate bill, known as House of Representatives Bill No. 7467, introduced by Congressman Richard J. Welch of the Fifth Congressional District of California, each of which said

bills grant to the City and County of San Francisco the right to construct and maintain a bridge over the Bay of San Francisco from Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco to a point near the South Mole of San Antonio Estuary in the County of Alameda, which said bridge is to be constructed in accordance with the report and plans prepared by Robert N. Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot and John D. Galloway, Board of Engineers, and filed in the office of the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco on the 5th day of May, 1927, and a certified copy of which said report and plans is on file in the office of the Secretary of War of the United States; and

Whereas, it is now deemed advisable that, if possible, said bridge to be located as aforesaid should be constructed by the State of California or some governmental agency thereof, and to that end suitable legislation will be presented to the 48th Session of the Legislature of the State of California, to convene on the 2d day of January, 1929, authorizing the said State of California or some governmental agency thereof to finance, construct, operate and maintain said bridge as a part of the State highway system of California; and

Whereas, any such legislation adopted by the Legislature of the State of California would not become effective prior to the adjournment of the coming session of Congress on March 4, 1929, and it is therefore not advisable that the Congress of the United States should issue to the State of California or to any of its governmental agencies at the present time a permit to construct and maintain said bridge; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Honorable Hiram W. Johnson and Honorable Richard J. Welch be requested to offer an amendment to the bills introduced by them respectively in the Senate and in the House of Representatives of the United States, which said amendment shall provide that when a permit is granted by the Congress of the United States to the City and County of San Francisco to construct said transbay bridge, that the said City and County shall by the act of its Board of Supervisors have the right of transferring said permit to the State of California or to some governmental agency thereof; and be it

Further Resolved, That Honorable Hiram W. Johnson, Honorable Samuel M. Shortridge, Honorable Richard J. Welch and Honorable Florence P. Kahn be requested to use all reasonable efforts not inconsistent with the rules of the United States Senate and the House of Representatives to obtain the passage of said bill as amended at the coming session of Congress.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Toner—11.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Todd—6.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 5:10 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 17, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 50

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, December 3, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 3, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 3, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Registrar of Voters Certifies Approved Bond Propositions.

The following was read by the Clerk and *filed*:

November 27, 1928.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I, J. H. Zemansky, Registrar of Voters for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, do hereby certify that at the special election held in said City and County on the 6th day of November, 1928, the following bond propositions, duly submitted to the electors thereof, were approved:

No. 55—Sewer Bonds.

No. 56—Health Bonds.

J. H. ZEMANSKY,
Registrar of Voters.

(Statement of votes attached.)

Registrar of Voters Certifies Approved Charter Amendments.

The following was read by the Clerk and *filed*:

November 27, 1928.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I, J. H. Zemansky, Registrar of Voters for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, do hereby certify that at the general election held in said City and County on the 6th day of November, 1928, the following Charter Amendments, duly submitted to the electors thereof, were approved:

No. 26—Firemen's Pensions.

No. 29—Detective Sergeants.

No. 31—City Planning Commission.

No. 32—War Memorial.

No. 34—Library Tax.

No. 35—Palace of Fine Arts.

No. 36—Federal Building in Civic Center.

No. 37—Retirement System.
No. 38—Purchaser of Supplies Revolving Fund.
No. 40—Payment of School Demands.

J. H. ZEMANSKY,
Registrar of Voters.

(Statement of votes attached.)

Telephone Operator for Assessor's Office.

The following was read:

Communication, from Mayor, recommending that there be created in the Assessor's office a full-time position, to be designated "Telephone Operator" at a compensation of $150 per month.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Additional Deputy for Public Defender.

Communication, from Mayor, recommending the creation of an additional position of deputy Public Defender in the office of Public Defender Frank Egan.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Theresa Street Opening.

Report of Streets Committee on opening of Theresa street west of Alemany boulevard.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Tobacco, Etc.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing tobacco, cigars and cigarettes on December 3, 1928, between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $55,397.27, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs.....	$37.60
Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance.....	104.15

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Declaring Result of Bond Election for Hospitals.

Resolution No. 30033 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring the result of the special election held on the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of authorizing the incurring of a

bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit:

Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarging Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of health centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings.

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8198 (New Series), calling a special election, and Resolution No. 29733 (New Series), giving notice of the same, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the sixth day of November, 1928, whereat was submitted to and determined by the qualified voters of said City and County the following proposition:

To incur a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit:

Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a psychopathic building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of Health Centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings.

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Whereas, said election was held and conducted in form and manner and in every respect required by law, and the returns thereof canvassed, and the result thereof determined and declared as required by law; and

Whereas, the Board of Election Commissioners of said City and County filed on November 27, 1928, in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, its certificate showing the facts above recited; and setting forth in detail the total number of votes cast at such election, and the number of votes cast and counted for and against the aforesaid proposition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors as follows.

1. It is hereby declared that the special election held on the sixth day of November, 1928, was held and conducted and the votes cast and counted thereat, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof determined and declared, in all respects, and in time, form and manner as required by the laws of the State of California and the Charter and Ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

2. It is hereby declared that the total number of votes cast at said special election was 142,368.

3. It is hereby declared that of the votes so cast, 104,027 were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, and that 38,341

votes were cast and counted as being against and not in favor of said proposition herein set forth.

4. It is hereby declared and determined that more than two-thirds of all the votes cast at said special election were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, and were sufficient to authorize, and did authorize, the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition.

5. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Declaring Result of Bond Election for Sewer System.

Also, Resolution No. 30034 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring the result of the special election held on the sixth day of November, 1928, for the purpose of authorizing the incurring of a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit, the construction and extension of the sewer system in the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 8197 (New Series), calling a special election, and Resolution No. 29732 (New Series), diving notice of the same, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the sixth day of November, 1928, whereat was submitted to and determined by the qualified voters of said City and County the following proposition:

To incur a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of two million two hunded thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit:

The construction and extension of the sewer system in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero streets through the Fillmore valley and Hayes valley to Tenth and Harrison streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market streets to Fifteenth and Harrison streets; College Hill tunnel from Mission street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose avenue and Army street; Alemany boulevard (Islais creek) from Mission street to the vicinity of Revere avenue and Industrial street; Twenty-third avenue and Geary street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh avenue and Geary street to Twenty-third avenue and Lake street.

Bonds issued for the construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Whereas, said election was held and conducted in form and manner and in every respect as required by law, and the returns thereof canvassed, and the result thereof determined and declared as required by law; and

Whereas, the Board of Election Commissioners of said City and County filed on November 27, 1928, in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, its certificate showing the facts above recited; and setting forth in detail the total number of votes cast at such election, and the number of votes cast and counted for and against the aforesaid proposition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors as follows:

1. It is hereby declared that the special election held on the sixth day of November, 1928, was held and conducted and the votes cast and counted thereat, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof determined and declared, in all respects, and in time, form

and manner as required by the laws of the State of California, and the Charter and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

2. It is hereby declared that the total number of votes cast at said special election was 130,230.

3. It is hereby declared that of the votes so cast, 88,448 were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, and that 41,782 votes were cast and counted as being against and not in favor of said proposition herein set forth.

4. It is hereby declared and determined that more than two-thirds of all the votes cast at said special election were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, and were sufficient to authorize and did authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition.

5. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Geneva Avenue.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8779, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series) entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwesterly side of Geneva avenue, commencing at a point forty (40) feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Huron avenue and running thence northwesterly forty (40) feet for a depth of eighty (80) feet, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District, as now zoned, and also, commencing at a point eighty (80) feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Huron avenue, and running thence northwesterly one hundred fifty-seven (157) feet for a depth of eighty (80) feet in the Second Residential instead of in the First Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for a period of one hundred fifty (150) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Eliminating Set-Back Line at Twenty-Second Avenue and Ulloa Street.

Also Bill No. 8780, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6471 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Taraval street and running thence southerly 33 feet 4 inches.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6471 (New Se-

ries) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Taraval street and running thence southerly 33 feet 4 inches is hereby repealed.

Zone Change, Geary Street and Presidio Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8781, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeasterly corner of Geary street and Presidio avenue, for a distance of 159 feet 10 inches on Presidio avenue and 138 feet 5 inches on Geary street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Zone Change, California and Steiner Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8782, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of California street and Steiner street, for a distance of 51 feet 3 inches on California street and 120 feet on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Zone Change, Thirtieth Street, East of Sanchez Street.

Also, Bill No. 8783, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone May, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side

of Thirtieth street commencing at a point 50 feet easterly from Sanchez street, and running thence easterly 25 feet, and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Zone Change, Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street.

Also, Bill No. 8784, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Santiago street, for a distance of 100 feet on Nineteenth avenue and 90 feet on Santiago street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)	$3,093.94
(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)	3,223.03
(3) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)	860.02

Auditorium Fund.

(4) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Elsa Alsen, as soloist, at concert of November 22d (claim dated Nov. 23, 1928)	$1,000.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(5) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928)	$787.97
(6) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928)	766.94
(7) Fairbanks-Morse & Co., electric pump and parts (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928)	881.73
(8) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928)	1,319.35
(9) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928)	608.40
(10) Pacific Coast Steel Co., steel (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928)	1,578.02

(11) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 1,934.89
(12) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for account of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 4,439.35
(13) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 10,591.47
(14) The American Rolling Mill Co., iron (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 1,088.31
(15) A. M. Castle Co., iron and steel (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 511.70
(16) Cement Gun Construction Co., one cement gun and parts (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 1,768.76
(17) Celite Products Co., celite for concrete (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 1,062.00
(18) General Electric Co., locomotive parts (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 1,119.51
(19) Grier & Mead, one 2-cylinder reciprocating engine (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 700.00
(20) Louis G. Henes, emery wheel grinder and steam hammer (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 1,439.00
(21) Herberts-Moore Machinery Co., one Cincinnati 28-inch Climax shaper, with motor, etc. (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 2,445.00
(22) Hickok & Hickok, steel (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 529.35
(23) Hitchcock & Tinkler Equipment Co., three rotary positive blowers (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 2,400.00
(24) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 2,036.49
(25) McDonald & Harrington, lumber (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 664.03
(26) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) ... 648.69
(27) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 1,152.65
(28) Overkamp Machinery Co., lathe, chucks and keyseater (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 3,547.52
(29) O. G. Peterson, explosives (claim dated No. 24, 1928) ... 833.03
(30) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 586.65
(31) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 1,044.00
(32) Sherry Bros., butter and cheese (claim dated Nov. 23, 1928) 1,038.84
(33) Robert A. Smith, Inc., Chevrolet roadster (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 624.00
(34) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) ... 817.77
(35) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) .. 882.71

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(36) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, amount set aside as reserve for depreciation, per charter requirements; being for month of November (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) $14,583.00
(37) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium covering insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 697.58

Special School Tax.

(38) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds during August (claim dated Nov. 27, 1928) $1,300.00
(39) Meyer Bros., final payment, construction of addition to Daniel Webster School (claim dated Nov. 27, 1928) 3,757.67
(40) Carl F. Ernst, third payment, plumbing for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 687.77

(41) Hamilton Construction Co., fourth payment, general construction of Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 4,899.38
(42) P. J. Enright, final payment, for mechanical equipment installed in Golden Gate School (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 3,558.44
(43) H. Schulte & Son, tables for Balboa High School (claim dated Nov. 27, 1928) 2,868.00
(44) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Nov. 21, 1928) 847.54

Boulevard Bond Fund.

(45) Louis J. Cohn, final payment for construction of storm drain for Bay Shore boulevard, crossing Alemany boulevard (claim dated Nov. 21, 1928) $1,519.12

County Road Fund.

(46) Fay Improvement Co., first payment, for improvement of Santiago street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues (claim dated Nov. 21, 1928) 4,500.00
(47) T. E. Treacy, widening and paving of Buena Vista Terrace between Roosevelt way and Buena Vista avenue, including reconstruction of angular corner (claim dated Nov. 21, 1928) 3,155.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(48) General Electric Co., contractors, for Dept. of Electricity, account of traffic signals (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) $1,535.70
(49) San Francisco Stove Works, castings for traffic signals, Dept. of Electricity (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 721.44
(50) Daniel J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense for month of December (claim dated Dec. 1, 1928) 750.00
(51) J. E. Scully, final payment for removal, relocating and restoration of Club House in Aquatic Park (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 2,995.67
(52) American La France & Foamite Co., parts for Fire Dept. apparatus (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 1,044.76
(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 15,049.90
(54) Union Oil Co. of California, fuel oil for Fire Dept. (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 531.30
(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water used for street sprinkling during October (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 797.25
(56) Sewer Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for materials, supplies, equipment and hauling furnished and used in construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer during October (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 2,054.49
(57) Sewer Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for account of labor furnished in construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer during October (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 4,684.27
(58) Street Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for labor furnished in the redressing of curbs during October (claim dated Nov. 21, 1928) 2,134.00
(59) Street Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for materials, supplies, equipment and hauling furnished and used in construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer during month of September (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 2,893.18
(60) Sewer Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for labor furnished in construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer during September (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 4,296.31
(61) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to

Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Dec. 3, 1928) 1,500.00
(62) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service furnished Dept. of Public Health (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928)..... 534.94
(63) Illinois Pacific Glass Co., bottles furnished Dept. of Public Health (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928)..... 1,063.11
(64) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 1,683.22

Payments for Properties Required for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Construction Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Francisco Parodi, for Lot 9 in Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 30036 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 28, 1928).....$ 2,800.00
(2) The Schlage Co., for portion of Lot 3 (50 x 424), in Block 5087, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30036 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 28, 1928) 15,273.00
(3) Albert Panther and Pauline Panther, for release of damages on Lot 28 in Block 5069, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30035 (New Series), incurred in connection with opening of Bay Shore boulevard (also known as 133 Tunnel avenue) (claim dated November 28, 1928) 1,372.00
(4) H. Sturm and Hattie Sturm, for release of damages on Lot 27 in Block 5069 (also known as 141 Tunnel avenue), incurred in connection with opening of Bay Shore boulevard, as authorized by Resolution No. 30035 (New Series) (claim dated November 28, 1928)..... 1,385.00
(5) William F. Nohs and Louise J. Nohs, for release of damages on Lot 26 in Block 5069, as per the Assessor's Block Books (also known as 145 Tunnel avenue), and incurred in connection with opening of Bay Shore boulevard, authorized by Resolution No. 30035 (New Series) (claim dated November 28, 1928) 1,365.00
(6) Wm. H. Fitzgerald, for Lot 16 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 7,670.00
(7) Patrick Biggins, for Lots 2 and 32 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928)..... 1,350.00
(8) A. E. H. Smith, for Lot 35 in Block 3098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 2,600.00
(9) Dennis J. Ahern, for Lot 11 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 675.00
(10) J. H. Boyd, for Lot 6 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 6,650.00
(11) Wm. F. Raymond, for Lot 17 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No.

30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 6,550.00

(12) George W. Nichols, for Lot 12 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 7,800.00

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Offers, Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30035 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the proposed Bay Shore boulevard have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the grading and construction of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard to the proposed official rade, and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Bay Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, viz.:

Albert Panther and Pauline Panther, $1,372—Lot 28, Block 5069, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 133 Tunnel avenue.

H. Sturm and Hattie Sturm, $1,385—Lot 27, Block 5069, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 141 Tunnel avenue.

William F. Nohs and Louise J. Nohs, $1,365—Lot 26, Block 5069, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 145 Tunnel avenue.

Baptist Sylvestro and Tina Sylvestro, $185—Lot 25, Block 5069, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 153 Tunnel avenue.

Alice Teter, $115—Lot 24, Block 5069, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 159 Tunnel avenue.

Jose de Ycaza and Anita de Ycaza, $65—Lot 23, Block 5069, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as 163 Tunnel avenue.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of said specified amounts to the above-mentioned persons upon receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offers, Lands for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30036 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Francesco Parodi, $2,800—Lot 9, Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The Schlage Company, $15,273—Portion of Lot 3, Block 5087, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offers, Lands for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Wm. H. Fitzgerald, $7,670—All of Lot 16 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantors shall occupy the premises without expense for sixty days after the recording of the deed or until March 1, 1929.

Patrick Biggins, $1,350—All of Lots 2 and 32 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

A. E. H. Smith, $2,600—All of Lot 35 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Dennis J. Ahern, $675—All of Lot 11 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. H. Boyd, $6,650—All of Lot 6 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the improvements on the above-described land are to remain the property of J. H. Boyd, and are to be removed within sixty days from the recording of the deed.

Wm. F. Raymond, $6,550—All of Lot 17 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the improvements on the above-described land are to remain the property of Wm. F. Raymond, and are to be removed on or before March 1, 1929.

George W. Nichols, $7,800—All of Lot 12 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written agreement on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer, Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30038 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following name owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Frederick G. Wolff, $300—All of Lot 21, Block 7120, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on

behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Appropriations for Street Work.

Also, Resolution No. 30039 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 33, for the following street work in front of City property, to-wit:

(1) Twelfth avenue, between Forestside and Taraval streets	$115.00
(2) Taraval street and Funston avenue	109.98
(3) Josiah street, between Lakeview and Ridge lane	500.00
(4) Webster and Bay streets	45.00
(5) Twenty-fifth avenue, between Santiago and Taraval streets	499.00
(6) Twenty-sixth avenue, between Moraga and Noriega streets	415.00
(7) Thirtieth avenue, between Moraga and Noriega streets	40.00
(8) Evans avenue and Quint street	76.81
(9) Vallejo street, from Kearny to Montgomery streets	210.30

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations for Street Improvements.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, for the improvement of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) La Playa, from Judah to Kirkham, at City property	$2,687.50
(2) Delano avenue, from Oneida to Seneca avenues, at City property	1,942.00
(3) Laidley street between Fairmount and Miguel streets, removal of material from construction of roadway on lower side	625.60

Appropriations for Sewer Construction.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 36, to-wit:

(1) For construction of sewers and appurtenances in Quintara street between Fortieth and Forty-sixth avenues, and in Pacheco street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues (award of contract to Martin Murphy at $21,455; and for engineering, incidentals, extras, $1,945)	$23,400.00
(2) For cost of constructing sewage pumping station at Fitzgerald avenue and Griffith street (award of contract to Ajax Construction Co. at $3,641; engineering, incidentals, extras, $559)	4,200.00

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

City Attorney to Compromise Litigation, Irving N. Gabriel v. City, Municipal Railway Accident.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30040 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Irving N. Gabriel was injured while a passenger on a Municipal street car at Geary and Van Ness avenue on the 15th day of September, 1927, and has brought suit against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars; and

Whereas, City Attorney John J. O'Toole has this day recommended a compromise and settlement of said suit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized and empowered to compromise and settle said suit in the sum of $350, said suit being entitled, "Irving N. Gabriel, Plaintiff, vs. City and County of San Francisco, a Municipal Corporation, Defendant," and numbered 191018 upon the files of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Test Borings, Laidley Street Slide.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 8785, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the taking of test borings in connection with the slide adjacent to Laidley street, from Roanoke street to Mateo street; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications for said test borings, and to enter into contract for the taking of said test borings, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, and which are hereby approved.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The taking of test borings in connection with the slide adjacent to Laidley street, from Roanoke street to Mateo street, is hereby ordered; and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the taking of said test borings, and to enter into contract for the taking of said test borings, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, and which are hereby approved.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Transfer of Garage Permit, J. D. Campbell, 547-555 Washington Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. D. Campbell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted to Albert Rossi et al., by Resolution No. 20380 (New Series), for premises at 547-555 Washington street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee.

Resolution No. 30041 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it hereby is authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

East and west sides Masonic avenue between Haight and Waller.
East and west sides Masonic avenue between Waller and Frederick.
East and west sides Downey street between Waller and Frederick.
North and south sides Frederick street between Cole and Stanyan.
North and south sides Parnassus avenue between Belvedere and Shrader.
North and south sides Grattan street between Cole and Stanyan.
North and south sides Alma street between Cole and Stanyan.
East and west sides Cole street between Carl and Grattan.
East and west sides Shrader street between Carl and Alma.
West side Willard street between Frederick and Carl.

Install 400 *M. R.*

East and west sides Masonic avenue between Waller and Frederick.
East and west sides Downey street between Waller and Frederick.
North side Frederick street between Cole and Shrader.
North side Frederick street between Shrader and Stanyan.
South side Frederick street, opposite Shrader.
South side Parnassus avenue between Belvedere and Cole.
South side Parnassus avenue between Cole and Shrader.
South side Grattan street between Cole and Shrader.
North side Grattan street between Shrader and Stanyan.
North side Alma street between Cole and Shrader.
South side Alma street between Shrader and Stanyan.
East side Cole street between Carl street and Parnassus avenue.
East side Cole street between Parnassus avenue and Grattan.
Southwest corner Cole and Carl streets.
West side Shrader street between Carl street and Parnassus avenue.
East side Shrader street between Parnassus avenue and Grattan.
East side Shrader street between Grattan and Alma.
Southwest corner Shrader and Grattan.
Southeast corner Shrader and Alma.
West side Willard street between Frederick and Carl.
Grace street, 100 feet south of Mission.

Install 250 *C. P.*

Portola drive between Fowler avenue and Evelyn way.
Juanita street and Teresita boulevard.
Evelyn way, opposite Chaves avenue.
Evelyn way between Teresita boulevard and Chaves way.
Chaves way between Evelyn way and Agua way.
Agua way and Chaves way.
Agua way between Teresita boulevard and Chaves way.
Rockdale street, opposite Chaves way.
Teresita boulevard, opposite El Sereno.

Install 600 *M. R.*

East and west sides Masonic avenue between Haight and Waller.
Northeast corner Masonic avenue and Waller.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering the Improvement of Portola Drive From Twenty-fourth Street to Fowler Avenue, Estimated Cost of $130,000.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8786, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Portola drive from Twenty-fourth street to Fowler avenue, approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of one hundred and thirty thousand dollars, to be derived from the County Road Fund, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, having recommended the ordering of the improvement of the Portola drive from Twenty-fourth street to Fowler avenue, the said improvement will consist of grading, drainage, the construction of retaining walls, and paving of approximately 4000 feet from Twenty-fourth street to Fowler avenue, the boulevard to be 100 feet in width, including two 10-foot sidewalks, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of one hundred and thirty thousand dollars, to be derived from County Road Fund.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of said Portola drive conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Extension of Time, Meyer Rosenberg, to Complete Improvement of Morse Street Between Concord and Pope Streets.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 30042 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Meyer Rosenberg is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after September 24, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Morse street between Concord and Pope streets, under public contract.

This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the work has been delayed to permit the fill to settle.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Closing and Abandoning All That Portion of Rivera Street From the Westerly Line of Twenty-second Avenue to the Easterly Line of Twenty-fourth Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 30043 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 29781 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon all that portion of Rivera street from the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, situated in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of said portion of Rivera street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Rivera street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Rivera street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 29781 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Rivera street is nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of all of that portion of Rivera street from the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of Rivera street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Change of Grades on Thirtieth, Thirty-first and Thirty-third Avenues Between Quintara and Rivera Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 30044 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 104143 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted November 7, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed November 8, 1928, to-wit:

On Thirtieth, Thirty-first and Thirty-third avenues between Quintara and Rivera streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Change of Grades on Andover Street Between Benton Avenue and Its Southerly Termination.

Also, Resolution No. 30045 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 104142 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted November 7, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board filed November 8, 1928, to-wit:

On Andover street between the southerly line of Benton avenue and its southerly termination.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades on Euclid Avenue.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8787, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Euclid avenue between Parker avenue and a line parallel with and 120 feet easterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Euclid avenue between Parker avenue and a line parallel with and 120 feet easterly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed November 8, 1928, to-wit:

Euclid Avenue.

120 feet easterly from Parker avenue, 220.80 feet.

64 feet easterly from Parker avenue, 218.00 feet.

Parker avenue, 217.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Euclid avenue between Parker avenue and a line parallel with and 120 feet easterly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Extension of Time, Improvement on Geneva Avenue Between San Jose Avenue and Delano Avenue.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 30046 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific States Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after November 4, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Geneva avenue between San Jose avenue and Delano avenue, under public contract. This extension of time is granted upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works for the reason that the delay has been caused by the installation of service pipes.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Method of Assessment for the Improvement of Thornton Avenue Between Third Street and Latona Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30047 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Thornton avenue between the westerly line of Third street and the westerly line of Latona street produced, including the intersection of Thornton avenue and Latona street, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 102632 (Second Series) as determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 104157 (Second Series) be and the same is hereby confirmed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering the Improvement of Thornton Avenue Between Third Street and Latona Street, Etc., Payable in Twenty Installments.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8788, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 14, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thornton avenue between the westerly line of Third street and the westerly line of Latona street produced, including the intersection of Thornton avenue and Latona street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete steps 5 feet in width in the sidewalk area between Third street and the easterly line of Latona street produced; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway between Third street and the easterly line of Latona street produced; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners and opposite the intersection of Latona street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on either side of the proposed stairway from Third street to Latona street produced; by the construction of side-sewers; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts between the easterly and westerly lines of Latona street produced, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway between the easterly and westerly lines of Latona street produced.

The method of assessment for said improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 104157 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Closing and Abandoning Portion of Webster Street Between Chestnut and Bay Streets.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 30048 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning all that portion of Webster street lying between the northerly line of Chestnut street and the southerly line of Bay street.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 29779 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon all that portion of Webster street lying between the northerly line of Chestnut street and the southerly line of Bay street, situated in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of all that portion of Webster street lying between the northerly line of Chestnut street and the southerly line of Bay street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Webster street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Webster street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 29779 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Webster street is nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of all that portion of Webster street lying between the northerly line of Chestnut street and the southerly line of Bay street be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of Webster street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Closing and Abandoning Anza Street From the Westerly Line of Thirtieth Avenue to the Easterly Line of Thirty-second Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 30049 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning all of that portion of Anza street from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 29780 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon all of that portion of Anza street from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, situated in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of said portion of Anza street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing of said portion of Anza street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Anza street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 29780 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said street are nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of all of that portion of Anza street from the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue be and the same is hereby ordered and

that portion of Anza street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Intention to Close Portion of Twenty-third Avenue Between Quintara and Santiago Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 30050 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning all that portion of Twenty-third avenue between the southerly line of Quintara street and the northerly line of Santiago street.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 29785 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon all that portion of Twenty-third avenue between the southerly line of Quintara street and the northerly line of Santiago street, situated in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of said portion of Twenty-third avenue was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Twenty-third avenue were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Twenty-third avenue; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 29785 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Twenty-third avenue are nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of all of that portion of Twenty-third avenue between the southerly line of Quintara street and the northerly line of Santiago street be and the same is hereby ordered and that all of that portion of Twenty-third avenue between the southerly line of Quintara street and the northerly line of Santiago street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Intention to Close Thirty-first Avenue Between Geary and Balboa Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 30051 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning all of that portion of Thirty-first avenue from the southerly line of Geary street to the northerly line of Balboa street.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 29786 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon all of that portion of Thirty-first avenue from the southerly line of Geary street to the northerly line of Balboa street, situated in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of said portion of Thirty-first avenue was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Thirty-first avenue were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Thirty-first avenue; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 29786 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Thirty-first avenue are nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of all of that portion of Thirty-first avenue from the southerly line of Geary street to the northerly line of Balboa street be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of Thirty-first avenue be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Approving Map Showing Widening of Hanover Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30052 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 104298 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Hanover street at Pope and Prague streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Hanover street at Pope and Prague streets is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Deed to Land From Crocker Estate Company for Widening of Hanover Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30053 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 9th day of November, 1928, between Crocker Estate Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to land for the widening of Hanover street at Pope and Prague streets is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Withdrawn.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the application for the following was *permitted to be withdrawn*:

Denying Quinn, Reilley & McDermott Supply Station Permit, Southwest Corner San Bruno and Raymond Avenues.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Quinn, Reilley & McDermott to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of San Bruno and Raymond avenues.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Resolution No. 30054 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with provisions of Paragraph "C", Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

23-25 Ellis street, 27 feet; Gillette Building, freight elevator, oil intake.

1329 Fillmore street, 27 feet; New Fillmore Theatre.

133-141 Fremont street, 18 feet; Mailler-Searles Machine Co., Craig Machine Co.

1010-1012 Howard street, 27 feet; J. L. Ash Co. (bookmakers), 1 elevator.

1231 Howard street, 18 feet; Langendorf Bakery Co.

5 Mission street, 27 feet; Hercules Rubber Co.

1140-1142 Mission street, 27 feet; Supreme Products Co., Napa Soda Co.

800 Powell street, 27 feet; University Club Building.

Resolved, That the following loading zone, of the length specified, be rescinded as specified herein, in front of or near the following address, in accordance with provisions of Paragraph "C", Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

491 Post street, existing length 27 feet, new length 0 feet; First Congregational Church.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Award of Contract, Athletic Goods.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 30055 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing athletic goods on bids submitted October 29, 1928 (Proposal No. 429), as follows, viz.:

General Conditions.

Quantities: It is stipulated that the City has the option of increasing the quantities herein stated 20 per cent provided that the City exercises said option within 15 days after the official award of contract.

Awards: Awards herein are all made on sample submitted by the respective bidders.

Part I—For School Department (Spring Term, 1929). Delivery: About January 1, 1929. Department of Physical Education (attention Ray Daugherty), Room 4, Mission Grammar School, Mission, near Sixteenth street.

Item No. 1—Baseballs, official league, Wilson official league No. W-1500, 15, at $10.50 per dozen, McMullin & McNamara.

Item No. 2—Bats, baseball, no award.

Item No. 3—Masks, catchers', D. & M. No. M 9, 1, at $33 per dozen, Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 4—Mitts, catchers', Wilson Big League Model No. 510, 2, at $36 per dozen, McMullin & McNamara.

Item No. 5—Mitts, basemen's, genuine horsehide, Reach No. R, 1, at $23.10 per dozen, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Part II—For Playground Commissioners (requirements for 6 months' period). Delivery: January 1, 1929. (Attention N. R. Center or John Mooney), Room 5, City Hall.

Item No. 21—Handballs, rubber, black, 2¼ inch, Spalding No. 106, 25, at $3.60 per dozen, A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 22—Inflators, with nipples soldered on, No. 4, 3, at $9 per dozen, Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 23—Whistles, nickel plated, Police Special No. 314, 6, at $2.50 per dozen, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 24—Nets for tennis, tarred, 30 thread, reinforced on top, size 42.6 by 3.3, K. & M. No. 3042, 6, at $96 per dozen, McMullin & McNamara.

Item No. 25—Tennis balls (delivery 20 dozen at a time), Wilson Championship No. A 100, 100, at $3.40 per dozen, McMullin & McNamara.

Item No. 26—Frames for tennis rackets (2 awards), Wilson Onwentsia No. A550F, 5, at $37.20 per dozen, McMullin & McNamara; Alexander, 10, at $39 per dozen, Phil B. Bakeart Co.

Item No. 27—Nets for volley balls, 27 feet, 12-thread, No. 60, 4, at $15 per dozen, Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 28—Volley balls, Reach 12 W, 12, at $39.99 per dozen, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 29—Bladders for volley balls, Reach No. 5 L, 3, at $5.99 per dozen, Adolph Blaich, Inc.

Item No. 30—Bladders for basket balls, D. & M. No. 18 AS, 3, at $9 per dozen, Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 31—Soccer footballs, chrome, Wilson No. 56, 10, at $55 per dozen, Winchester-Simmons Co.

Item No. 32—Bladders for soccer balls, Reach No. E 5A, 3, at $6.66 per dozen, Adolph Blaich, Inc.

Item No. 33—Footballs, American Gunnison leather, Official Interscholastic Wilson No. OR, 15, at $48 per dozen, McMullin & McNamara.

Item No. 34—Bladders for footballs, Reach No. E5 DH, 6, at $6.66 per dozen, Adolph Blaich, Inc.

Item No. 35—Baseballs, regulation (delivery 20 dozen at a time), Wilson Official League No. W1500, 120, at $10.50 per dozen, McMullin & McNamara.

Item No. 37—Playground balls, outseam, kapok center, size 12 inches (delivery 20 dozen at a time), Reach No. P 12, 200, at $11.65 per dozen, Adolph Blaich, Inc.

Item No. 38—Bats, regulation baseball, Reach Player's Model No. PM, 40, at $15.54 per dozen; Adolph Blaich, Inc.

Item No. 39—Bats, baseball, junior second growth white ash, Louisville Slugger 40 BRJ (manufactured by Hillerich & Bradsby Co.), 5, at $6.60 per dozen, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 40—Lacers, with wooden handles, No. 2, 4, at $0.66 per dozen, Adolph Blaich, Inc.

Item No. 42—Bases, outdoor, white canvas bags stuffed, Reach No. E3, 2, at $53.28 per dozen sets, Adolph Blaich, Inc.

Item No. 43—Mitts, catchers', Wilson Big League No. 510, 7, at $36 per dozen, McMullin & McNamara.

Item No. 44—Gloves, fielders', genuine horsehide, Reach No. 2 GL, 8, at $23.31 per dozen, Adolph Blaich, Inc.

Item No. 45—Mitts, basemen's, Reach No. R, 6, at $23.10 per dozen, Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 46—Masks, catchers', D. & M. No. M9, 4, at $33 per dozen, Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 48—Basket-balls, no award.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be not required, as above is for definite quantities for immediate delivery.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except where award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Award of Contract, Fire Hydrant Parts.

Also, Resolution No. 30056 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to M. Greenberg's Sons on bid submitted November 26, 1928 (Proposal No. 422), for furnishing the following, viz.: Extra parts for fire hydrants for Fire Department.

Item No. 1—50 hydrant bodies, completely assembled, $34 each.

Items Nos. 10 and 11—36 caps, complete with cap chains, 75 cents each.

Item No. 5—50 6-inch flanged risers, $3.75 each.

Item No. 6—25 8-inch flanged risers, $4.75 each.

Item No. 7—12 12-inch flanged risers, $5.75 each.

Item No. 12—24 hydrant valve spindles, $2.75 each.

Item No. 13—36 stuffing boxes, $1.75 each.

Items Nos. 23, 24 and 25—12 valve stuffing boxes, complete with valve glands and separators, $1.50 each.

Item No. 28—6 sets (2 each) valve discs, $3.75 per set.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $300 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Award of Contract, Show Cases.

Also, Resolution No. 30057 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Coast Cabinet Company on bid submitted November 13, 1928 (Proposal No. 445),

for furnishing the following, viz.: 24 show cases (glass omitted) for M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum, Golden Gate Park, at $65 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $300 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Auditor to Cancel Erroneous Assessments.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 30058 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property now owned by the City and County of San Francisco is on the Assessment Rolls for the year 1928, and that such assessments should be canceled and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel the following assessments for the year 1928:

Vol. 28—Block 4626a, Lot 1; Block 4627a, Lot 1; Block 4628a, Lot 1; Block 4647a, Lots 10 and 11; Block 4648a, Lots 1 and 2; Block 4649a, Lot 1; Block 4651, Lot 14—W. H. Spaulding.

Also, the following City property, erroneously carried into the 1928 Assessment Roll and taxed:

Vol. 19, Block 2703, Lots 9 and 10; Vol. 20, Block 2944, Lot 1, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804a, Political Code.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Leaves of Absence, Supervisors J. Emmett Hayden and J. M. Toner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., December 3, 1928.

To Whom It May Concern:

Application has been made to me by Hon. J. Emmet Hayden and Dr. J. M. Toner, members of the Board of Supervisors, for leaves of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of ten days commencing December 4, 1928.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leaves of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 30059 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. Emmet Hayden and Dr. J. M. Toner, members of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing December 4, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer of John H. Davis, Land for Widening Duboce Avenue.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 30060 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following land, required for the widening of Duboce avenue, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

John H. Davis, $3,500—Lot 15, Block 1259, as per Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $1,980.33, Redwood Empire Association, Printing Booklets, Etc.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,980.33 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 54, and authorized in payment to the Redwood Empire Association; being payment for printing of booklets, maps, printing and publicity for account of the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated December 3, 1928).

Relative to Meeting of Board of Trustees, War Memorial Buildings.

Supervisor McSheehy announced that there would be an open meeting in the chambers of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial, at which time a report would be rendered as to the officers of the Board.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Joint Committee on Auditorium and Public Welfare, to which a resolution, presented by Supervisor Havenner on war veteran representation on the Board of Trustees, be requested to report on said resolution.

Supervisor Andriano raised the point of order that the motion was out of order because contrary to the rules of the Board. When the resolution was presented to this Board a motion was made to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and the motion was lost. Therefore, the resolution was referred to the Joint Committee and the rules give the committee thirty days to report.

The Chair ruled the point of order not well taken and the motion in order.

Supervisor Havenner said: "If no other member of the Board desires to speak, I desire, as the author of the resolution, to make a statement for the record: I think a rather unprecedented situation has been created here by the insistent remarks of certain members of the Board, and denying my own interpretation of the clear wording of this resolution. I think that it is a rule of parliamentary courtesy, in every legislative body, to accept the author's own statement that there is no hidden or extraneous meaning in the plain wording of the resolution or ordinance. More than a year ago I introduced in this Board of Supervisors a resolution committing this Board to the policy that none of

the bond moneys voted by the people for the War Memorial would be expended until such time as the plans for the buildings had been approved by a majority of the duly constituted representatives of the veteran organizations of San Francisco. That resolution was adopted by a unanimous vote. After the passage of No. 32 a number of representative war veterans of San Francisco spoke to me about the advisability of respectfully calling the attention of the Mayor to that policy of the Board of Supervisors, which is still of record, and respectfully suggesting to him that the new Board of War Memorial Trustees should be constituted of at least a majority of men who had seen service, either in the army, navy or marine corps during the war—men or women for that matter. At the time that I introduced my resolution, over a year ago, the controversy which has been injected into these proceedings over two of the gentlemen serving now on the unofficial War Board had never been raised. That resolution, of course, has nothing to do with any controversy of the American Legion or any other organization concerning the attitude of certain of its members. The resolution which I have just introduced is in entire keeping with the spirit of the former resolution. It would be absurd, I think, to endeavor to reduce the representation of war veterans on the new Board of War Memorial Trustees, while the Board of Supervisors, by unanimous vote, is committed to the policy that they must be consulted concerning the plans of these buildings before we ever vote an appropriation. My later resolution is absolutely in keeping with the former resolution, and was inspired by exactly the same motives. It had no relation whatever to any controversy in the American Legion or any other organization concerning the actions of any of the members of these organizations. I desire to go on record again as officially and formally repudiating the attempt to read into my resolution any other intent or purpose than the plain meaning and intent as conveyed by its wording. The resolution would not preclude the appointment of these two men, or any other men, over and above this majority prescribed or even included in that majority if the Mayor so saw fit. It would not preclude their appointment. It merely respectfully suggests to the Mayor a policy on the part of the Supervisors that we hope to be able to confirm a majority of the members of the new board as men who have seen service in the United States Military Service. That is the whole purpose of my resolution. Now, my objection to delay is not that I think there would be any inherent harm in it, but because I do not subscribe to the sufficiency of the argument for delay. It has been admitted here on this floor this morning that this public meeting, called by the unofficial Board, unofficially so far as the City and County is concerned, now handling the privately subscribed funds for the War Memorial, it has been admitted here that they will not, of course, discuss publicly the question whether there should or should not be a majority of war veterans on the new Board of Trustees. I do not think there is a single member of this Board who believes that—if he is using any common sense—that these gentlemen are going to permit that question to be discussed at this public meeting where they are going to report on their trusteeship, or that they are going to stand for the principle of recommending a policy to the Board of Supervisors concerning a resolution which is solely within the hands of this Board. I stated my reasons on Friday when the committee met, and I repeat them now, that the argument for delay has no merit whatever, and if this resolution should be allowed to come out of committee in the usual course, without delay, supported by an insufficient reason."

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher's motion was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—9.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—8.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Gallagher raised the point of order that there was a time limit in the resolution requiring that immediate reconsideration be voted, or the intent of the resolution would be defeated.

The Chair ruled the point of order well taken and the notice of reconsideration out of order.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 1:05 p. m. took a recess until 3 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 3 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

Boys' Aid Society Trustees.

Supervisor Colman inquired as to the status of the matter of the appointment of trustees of the Boys' Aid Society, recently taken over by the City.

Supervisor Havenner replied that someone unauthorized had already selected and submitted names of seven trustees, to which action, as chairman of the Finance Committee, he offered objection, since the law provides that the selection and appointment must be made by the Board of Supervisors. He declared further that a majority of the list submitted consisted of women and that although he had no objection to the appointment of women, in this case, considering that the organization was entirely for boys, the majority of the trustees should be men. "Supervisor Gallagher and myself," he said, "are to have a conference this afternoon with Mr. John McGregor and the Welfare and Finance Committe will report the outcome to the Board."

Reception to Stanford Football Team.

Upon reconvening, *Supervisor Havenner* called attention to the overwhelming victory of Stanford University football team over the Army team in New York on Thanksgiving Day and declared that as a Stanford graduate he was very proud of their achievement. He said: "I think that the success of Stanford and the other two western teams has perhaps been the greatest 'ad' for the Pacific Coast during the past year, and I want, as chairman, the privilege of offering a motion that the homecoming of the Stanford team be made the occasion of a suitable reception at the hands of the City.

Supervisor Hayden announced that he had already prepared a resolution on the subject, which was thereupon presented:

Resolution No. 30061 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Stanford football team, by its victory over the Army

team recently at New York City reflected great honor and credit on the State of California; and

Whereas, his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., arranged for the New York police band to play in the Stanford section while the big game was in progress; and

Whereas, The San Francisco Bulletin has proposed that the victorious players be given a joyous home-coming welcome; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and Board of Supervisors of this City give this occasion their official approval and that the Municipal Band assist in the greeting to be given them.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by *Supervisor Marks*, moved that a Committee on Arrangements of three members be appointed.

Motion *carried*.

Supervisors Shannon, Marks and Havenner appointed.

Supervisor Havenner suggested that appointment of a committee to act with the Finance Committee in finding a way for financing out of Publicity and Advertising Fund a proposition of holding champion East vs. West football contests in San Francisco as a matter of publicity for this City.

Supervisor McSheehy called attention to the successful presentation of the football game between Stanford and University of California at the Civic Auditorium recently under the auspices of the Auditorium Committee. Sherman & Clay was given credit for the idea, which Supervisor McSheehy said should be repeated each year.

Invitation Accepted, Pasadena "Tournament of Roses."

Supervisor Kent moved that the Board of Supervisors accept the invitation of the city of Pasadena to attend the next Pasadena "Tournament of Roses," and that the Publicity and Welfare Committee be authorized to make necessary arrangements.

Motion *carried*.

Report of Public Welfare Committee on Supervisor Havenner's Resolution Providing for Majority Representation of War Veterans on Board of Trustees for the War Memorial.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, December 3, 1928.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: A majority of the members of the Public Welfare and Auditorium Committees, to whom was referred Supervisor Havenner's resolution relative to appointment by the Mayor of the Trustees for the War Memorial, recommends the adoption of said resolution by the Board.

Respectfully submitted,

MILO F. KENT.
ANDREW J. GALLAGHER.
F. R. HAVENNER.
F. J. McGOVERN.

Resolution.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented and read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 30102 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by resolution unanimously adopted on June 6, 1927, the Board of Supervisors is committed to the policy of withholding appropriations from funds resulting from sale of War Memorial Bonds until the official plans for the War Memorial have been approved by

a majority of the duly constituted representatives of war veteran organizations; and

Whereas, by virtue of that resolution the Board of Supervisors have justly recognized as paramount the rights of the ex-service men and women of San Francisco, it being the deeds of such men, living as well as dead, that the War Memorial is intended to commemorate; and

Whereas, Charter Amendment No. 32, as approved by the people, provides for the appointment of a Board of Trustees to administer all matters pertaining to that War Memorial, and states, "The Mayor in making appointments shall give due consideration to the veterans of all wars in which the United States has engaged"; and

Whereas, Charter Amendment No. 32 invests in the Board of Supervisors the duty of confirming the appointments of all members of the Board of Trustees selected by the Mayor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors respectfully urge and recommend that his Honor the Mayor appoint a majority of the members of the Board of War Memorial Trustees from that portion of the citizenry of San Francisco who have been in service with the Army, Navy or Marine Corps in time of war; and be it

Further Resolved, That the advice and counsel of the war veteran organizations, through the medium of the properly constituted representatives be sought before said appointments are made, it being the opinion of the Board of Supervisors that every class or group of the people of San Francisco, with a confidence inspired by service in time of war, may look to such men for purposeful loyalty and unselfish devotion to San Francisco in time of peace.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing resolution be laid over one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Suhr, Toner—7.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the foregoing resolution and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—11.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Supervisor Shannon explained his vote by saying he voted *no* without prejudice.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at next meeting.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved suspension of the rules for immediate reconsideration.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Suhr, Toner—7.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Merger of Golden Gate and Southern Pacific Ferries.

Supervisor Gallagher called attention to the proposed merger of the Golden Gate Ferry with the Southern Pacific and other ferries, and asked if the Public Utilities Committee was giving the matter any consideration. It was pointed out that in the franchise of the Golden Gate Ferry was a stipulation that no assignment of the franchise could be made without permission of the Board of Supervisors. Supervisor Shannon agreed that the Public Utilities Committee would give the matter consideration to the end that San Francisco's interests are protected. The Clerk was requested to get all the records in connection with the granting of the Golden Gate franchise and have them available for the committee when it meets.

Supervisor Havenner called to the attention of the Chairman of the Public Utilities Committee a published report to the effect that the Citizens Committee which handled the propaganda for Charter Amendment No. 24, granting an indeterminate franchise to the Market Street Railway Company, which was defeated at the recent election, was now taking active steps to perpetuate itself and it is rumored that plans are on for resubmission of the proposition before the Legislature adjourns. The announcement says the Citizens Committee is to continue for the education of the public. He suggested that the Board of Supervisors consider the appointment of a committee for the purpose of educating the public on the merits of municipal ownership.

Anthem "America."

On suggestion of Supervisor Havenner, the Clerk was authorized to have the score of the anthem "America" printed with the transcript of the tribute recently paid in the Board to its author, Ernest Block.

Reception to Lieutenant-Commander Hamilton Vose Bryan.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 30062 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Lieutenant Commander Hamilton Vose Bryan, U. S. N., a native of San Francisco, is returning to his home city after an absence of fourteen years; and

Whereas, during that time he has distinguished himself as an officer of the U. S. Navy by his heroic work in Odessa during the World War and later was the recipient of a silver life-saving medal of honor in recognition of his service in bravely rescuing a comrade from drowning on October 27, 1927; and

Whereas, Lieutenant Commander Bryan will be in San Francisco within a week on his way to China to take command of a destroyer; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Rolph be respectfully requested to invite Lieutenant Commander Bryan to be the guest of the City of San Francisco during his stay and to be formally presented to the Board of Supervisors next Monday, December 10th.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Supervisors Marks, Gallagher and Kent were appointed a Committee of Reception.

Committee of Welcome, W. B. Foshay Co.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 30063 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the W. B. Foshay Company, operators of public utility services throughout the United States, are about to establish their Pacific Coast headquarters in San Francisco; and

Whereas, the completion of a new building for their offices here will be commemorated with dedication ceremonies on Wednesday, December 12, 1928; and

Whereas, the location of the W. B. Foshay Company central offices in San Francisco will result in the commercial development of this City and will make it the focal point from which an increasing number of public utility services throughout the West will be administered; therefore,

Resolved, That this Board officially welcome the entrance of this new business into San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a committee of this Board be named to welcome Mr. W. B. Foshay upon his arrival in the City and to participate in the dedication of the new Foshay Building.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 24, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 51

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, December 10, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 10, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 10, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of November 5 and 13, 1928, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

War Memorial Veterans' Committee.

Communication: From J. C. Claridge, secretary of the Advisory Board, to all Veterans' War Memorial committees, advising of the creation of the Advisory Board to all Veterans' War Memorial committees and that the personnel of the board is as follows: H. J. Boyd, James B. Burns and H. W. Glensor.

Read and *filed.*

Revision of Gasoline Station Tax Ordinance.

Communication: From Joe Connelly, president Motor Service Association, advising of the unanimous approval of a resolution requesting the revision of the ordinance under which gasoline service stations are at present taxed, with a view of obtaining such changes in this ordinance as will be fair to all gasoline sellers and City alike.

Referred to Police Committee.

War Veterans Endorse Havenner Resolution for War Memorial Trustee Representation.

Communication: From Charles O. Carlston, department adjutant, Veterans of Foreign Wars, Department of California and Nevada, requesting on behalf of comrades who are resident of San Francisco that favorable consideration be given Supervisor Havenner's resolution providing for majority representation of war veterans on Board of War Memorial Trustees.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District Incorporated.

Communication: From Secretary of State Frank C. Jordan, stating that in accordance with Section 4 of Chapter 228 of the Statutes of 1923, as amended, he has issued a certificate of the incorporation

of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, and filed the same in his office, as provided in Section 3 of said Act.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Presentation of Lieutenant-Commander Hamilton Vose Bryan, U. S. N., to the Board of Supervisors.

After the transaction of other business, the following proceedings were had in relation to the formal presentation of Lieutenant Commander Hamilton Vose Bryan, U. S. N., to the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board: I am going to ask the indulgence of the Board for a few minutes, so that I might have the opportunity with you to extend to Lieutenant Commander Hamilton Vose Bryan a welcome home to the City of San Francisco. Last Monday you adopted a resolution making Lieutenant Commander Bryan a guest of the City of San Francisco on his arrival here the following Thursday morning. The terms of the resolution are as follows:

"Whereas, Lieutenant Commander Hamilton Vose Bryan, U. S. N., a native of San Francisco, is returning to his home city after an absence of fourteen years; and

"Whereas, during that time he has distinguished himself as an officer of the U. S. Navy by his heroic work in Odessa during the World War and later was the recipient of a silver life-saving medal of honor in recognition of his service in bravely rescuing a comrade from drowning on October 27, 1927; and

"Whereas, Lieutenant Commander Bryan will be in San Francisco within a week on his way to China to take command of a destroyer; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That his Honor Mayor Rolph be respectfully requested to invite Lieutenant Commander Bryan to be the guest of the City of San Francisco during his stay and to be formally presented to the Board of Supervisors next Monday, December 10th.

"*Adopted* by the Board of Supervisors December 3, 1928.

"Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

"Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

"J. S. DUNNIGAN Clerk.

"Approved December 7, 1928.

"JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor."

The Lieutenant Commander was met at the Ferry by a representative of the Red Cross and by a representative of the Mayor's office, and today he is here by our invitation so that he might be presented to you, and you, in turn, show your appreciation of his wonderful work in the nation. The Lieutenant Commander was born in the City of San Francisco. He is a product of our public schools, he is a graduate of the Pacific Heights School and the Cogswell Polytechnic School. He graduated from the United States Navy in 1913 and he has not been back here in San Francisco since that time, over fourteen years. But during that time Lieutenant Commander Bryan has distinguished himself as an officer of the Navy. He rendered heroic service to our country during the World War in the city of Odessa, and later, in 1927, he received the life-saving medal of honor from the Treasury Department for rescuing a comrade who was at the point of drowning. And now he is here in San Francisco on his way to take a command in China. Mr. Bryan, we welcome you home to San Francisco as one of our native sons of San Francisco, as one of our citizens. You know too well the reputation of San Francisco in so far as the Navy is concerned. It is safe to say that San Francisco is the favorite port of the officers and men of the United States Navy. On one occasion I heard a distinguished Admiral say that the two cities loved around the world as the favorite cities of the United States Navy were Shanghai and San Francisco. A few years ago you well

remember when the Atlantic Fleet was transferred to the Pacific to take its place on these shores, led by Admiral Bob Evans. San Francisco at that time turned out en masse and gave them a reception they have never forgotten. And later another mightier armada was again welcomed in the way for which San Francisco is well known. And on all these occasions, Lieutenant Commander, San Francisco always extends a welcoming hand to the officers and men of the United States Navy. We know that they all appreciate San Francisco, and we appreciate them. You come here as one of our own, and we are glad to know of the service that you have rendered the Navy and your country, and while I am thinking of those representatives of the Navy that were born in California, and of whom we have reason to be justly proud, I want to recall to the members of this Board, and to the Lieutenant Commander, the memory of Rear Admiral Vogelsang. On an occasion when he came here with a midshipman's fleet, and as a son of California, we gave him a public reception in the rotunda of this City Hall, showing that we at all times appreciate the work of our native Californians.

You are here today, Lieutenant Commander, as a guest of the City of San Francisco, and that is an honor that is due you, and I am glad to have this opportunity, after the expressions that I have just made as to your nativity, and as to your heroic service in the United States Navy, I am glad to have this opportunity, Mr. Chairman, through you, of presenting Lieutenant Commander Hamilton Vose Bryan to our colleagues and member of the Board of Supervisors. (Standing applause.)

Lieutenant Commander Bryan: Your Honor, Mr. Chairman, and gentlemen of the Board: I want to thank you very, very deeply for this honor you have given me, and tell you how very much I appreciate coming back to San Francisco and having such a welcome expressed and being able to come before you gentlemen and hear the words of one of your members, such kind words, that it makes me feel so thoroughly at home. The only unfortunate thing that I have to recall is that during the last fourteen years I have been unable to have duty in San Francisco. The ways of the service ordered me abroad, South America and the Near East, and so it was impossible, as things worked out for me, to be ordered here. After my China cruise it is my wish and hope that I can be with this fleet here and be back among my friends in San Francisco. There is no place in the world like San Francisco, and wherever I have been and met people from here or had contacts with its newspapers and letters from my friends, it always brought back the same memories that I had when I was a boy in the city. And I feel exactly that way now. It is my home and I love it and always will. Thank you very much. (Applause.)

The Chairman: I am going to ask Supervisor Colman to respond to the Lieutenant Commander.

Supervisor Colman: Mr. Chairman and Lieutenant Commander Hamilton Vose Bryan, colleagues and fellow citizens: We are very proud to welcome you, particularly as one of our own. When a San Franciscan, by his own efforts, covers his name with glory, some of that glory belongs to his native city. So we share a pride in your achievements, and I might say, in honoring you today, we are very happy that, at the same time, we honor your charming mother, Mrs. Prentiss Cobb Hale, a San Francisco lady who always finds time to take part in every activity for the advancement of San Francisco, and a lady who, after the war, always had time to do things for ex-service men, no doubt on account of her son. I am sure the people of San Francisco will follow your career with affectionate interest. And speaking for my colleagues, as well as for the people of San Francisco, we wish you happiness and success. (Applause.)

On motion of Supervisor Suhr, the foregoing was *ordered transcribed and a copy sent to Lieutenant-Commander Bryan.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Hospital Supplies, Roller Bandages, Absorbent Cotton, Gauze, Lint, Oiled Muslin, Adhesive Plaster.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing hospital supplies, roller bandages, absorbent cotton, gauze, lint, oiled muslin and adhesive plaster and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Chairs for Auditorium, Upholstered Portable Chairs in Groups.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing chairs for Auditorium, upholstered portable chairs in groups and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Rebinding Books for School Department That May Be Ordered From Time to Time During the Term Commencing at Date and Ending June 30, 1929.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for rebinding books for School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Geneva Avenue.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8779, Ordinance No. 8277 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series) entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwesterly side of Geneva avenue, commencing at a point forty (40) feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Huron avenue and running thence northwesterly forty (40) feet for a depth of eighty (80) feet, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District, as now zoned, and also, commencing at a point eighty (80) feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Huron avenue, and running thence northwesterly one hundred fifty-seven (157) feet for a depth of eighty (80) feet in the Second Residential instead of in the First Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for a period of one hundred fifty (150) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Eliminating Set-Back Line at Twenty-Second Avenue and Ulloa Street.

Also, Bill No. 8780, Ordinance No. 8278 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6471 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Taraval street and running thence southerly 33 feet 4 inches.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6471 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Taraval street and running thence southerly 33 feet 4 inches is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Zone Change, Geary Street and Presidio Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8781, Ordinance No. 8279 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeasterly corner of Geary street and Presidio avenue, for a distance of 159 feet 10 inches on Presidio avenue and 138 feet 5 inches on Geary street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Zone Change, California and Steiner Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8782, Ordinance No. 8280 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of California street and Steiner street, for a distance of 51 feet 3 inches on California street and 120 feet on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its ap-

proval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Zone Change, Thirtieth Street, East of Sanchez Street.

Also, Bill No. 8783, Ordinance No. 8281 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 7 of the Use of Property Zone May, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Thirtieth street commencing at a point 50 feet easterly from Sanchez street, and running thence easterly 25 feet, and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy. Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Zone Change, Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street.

Also, Bill No. 8784, Ordinance No. 8282 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Santiago street, for a distance of 100 feet on Nineteenth avenue and 90 feet on Santiago street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District as now zoned.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for a period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30064 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Company, library janitor service (claim dated October 13, 1928).....$ 1,620.00
(2) J. E. French Company, one auto truck (claim dated October 31, 1928) 925.00
(3) Foster & Futernick Company, binding library books (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 1,326.15
(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated October 31, 1928) 1,965.61
(5) Sather Gate Book Shop, library books (claim dated October 31, 1928) 2,460.27
(6) San Francisco News Company, library books (claim dated October 31, 1928) 2,080.40

Park Fund.

(7) The Howard Ehmke Company, field cover for Kezar Stadium (claim dated November 23, 1928).....$ 1,500.00
(8) Frank Food Company, foodstuffs for parks (claim dated November 23, 1928) 527.59
(9) National Ice Cream Company, ice cream for parks (claim dated November 23, 1928)..... 1,190.25
(10) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for parks (claim dated November 23, 1928) 722.19
(11) San Francisco Dairy Company, milk, etc., for parks (claim dated November 23, 1928)..... 501.03

Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(12) County Road Fund, reimbursement for expenditures in connection with covering of side sewer trenches (claim dated November 17, 1928).....$ 2,329.85

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(13) Atlas Rock Company, sand and gravel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated November 14, 1928).....$ 2,756.10
(14) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund for account of expenditures (claim dated November 14, 1928) 760.00
(15) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated November 14, 1928) 6,016.98
(16) Shell Company of California, gasoline, grease, etc. (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 676.71
(17) Utah Fuel Company, coal (claim dated November 14, 1928) 655.00

County Road Fund.

(18) Board of Park Commissioners, improvement of Harding boulevard (claim dated November 23, 1928).....$ 1,876.34
(19) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match contributions of employments charged to County Road Fund (claim dated November 19, 1928)..... 1,493.44
(20) Acme Gravel Company, gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated November 16, 1928)..... 1,220.25
(21) Acme Gravel Company, gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated November 16, 1928)..... 1,010.93
(22) T. I. Butler Company, gravel for reconstruction of streets (claim dated November 16, 1928)..... 1,970.05

(23) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Company, cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated November 16, 1928) 913.27
(24) Pacific Portland Cement Company, cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated November 16, 1928).... 505.76

Auditorium Fund.

(25) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for popular concert of November 8 (claim dated November 16, 1928).....$ 2,000.00

Playground Fund.

(26) Meyer Rosenberg, loam for Glen Park Playground (claim dated November 21, 1928).....$ 2,599.60
(27) Meyer Rosenberg, loam for Ocean View Playground (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 5,301.90
(28) Meyer Rosenberg, rental of equipment for account of playgrounds (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 2,222.36

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(29) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, 1925, for materials, supplies and equipment furnished, and services rendered Hetch Hetchy Operative Fund for months of August and September (claim dated November 14, 1928)..$ 9,880.56

Boulevard Bonds.

(30) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match contributions of employees engaged on and charged to boulevard construction (claim dated November 19, 1928) .....$ 588.11

Municipal Railway Fund.

(31) General Petroleum Company, gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated November 17, 1928).....$ 1,966.61
(32) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement for month of October, per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated November 17, 1928)..... 1,378.46
(33) Market Street Railway Company, electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated November 17, 1928) 3,393.30
(34) Ohio Brass Company, electric railway supplies (claim dated November 17, 1928) 501.12
(35) Pacific Gas & Electric Company, electricity furnished during month of October (claim dated November 17, 1928) 39,972.31
(36) Penney, Stephenson & Bossana, professional services in connection with installation of property and equipment ledgers, Municipal Railways (claim dated November 17, 1928) 570.00
(37) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match contributions of Municipal Railway Employees (claim dated November 8, 1928)..... 7,004.73
(38) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to provide prior service cost of pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated November 8, 1928)..... 577.53

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(39) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund, for account of expenditures (claim dated November 14, 1928) .....$ 568.00

Special School Tax.

(40) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match City employees' contributions, chargeable to Special School Tax (claim dated November 19, 1928).....$ 843.99

General Fund.

(41) Haas Brothers, groceries for County jails (claim dated November 15, 1928) ..... $ 560.40
(42) California Meat Company, meat for County jails (claim dated November 15, 1928) 581.73
(43) Philadelphia Shoe Company, shoes for County jail inmates (claim dated November 15, 1928)..... 598.00
(44) Old Homestead Bakery, bread for County jails (claim dated November 15, 1928) 824.18
(45) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's personal and nonpersonal expense for November (claim dated November 26, 1928).. 732.08
(46) George H. Trask, metal book cases for Recorder (claim dated November 26, 1928) 639.50
(47) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Company, steel file cases for Justices' Clerk's office (claim dated November 26, 1928) 979.00
(48) Flynn & Collins, one Ford auto for Police Department (claim dated November 19, 1928)..... 502.50
(49) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Police Department (claim dated November 19, 1928)..... 1,273.86
(50) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated November 19, 1928)..... 1,323.32
(51) Special School Tax, refund for account of stock withdrawals during months of July, August and September for general repairs to buildings (claim dated November 15, 1928) 1,539.88
(52) Pacific Gas & Electric Company, lighting public buildings (claim dated November 16, 1928)..... 4,651.65
(53) Spring Valley Water Company, water for public buildings (claim dated November 16, 1928)..... 2,311.06
(54) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of expenditures in connection with covering of main sewer trenches during October (claim dated November 17, 1928) 560.49
(55) Dan P. Maher Paint Company, paints, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 558.44
(56) Scatena-Galli Fruit Company, fruits and vegetables for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 31, 1928)... 1,231.31
(57) J. P. Glaser & Co., rebuilding bake oven, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 985.00
(58) McCarthy Brothers, coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 800.00
(59) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., canned goods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 984.50
(60) Sperry Flour Company, flour, etc., for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 30, 1928)..... 664.60
(61) Baumgarten Brothers, meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 852.40
(62) Blue Ribbon Products Company, coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 607.75
(63) California Meat Company, meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 4,864.94
(64) Dairydale San Francisco Dairy Company, milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 2,304.95
(65) Fred L. Hilmer Company, butter for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 1,824.68
(66) Sherry Brothers, Inc., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 1,908.29
(67) Western Meat Company, meat for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 31, 1928)..... 587.26
(68) Spring Valley Water Company, water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated October 30, 1928)..... 1,602.59
(69) Spring Valley Water Company, water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated October 30, 1928)..... 1,167.79

(70) Phillips & Van Orden Company, printing ballots (claim dated November 13, 1928) 764.75
(71) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing index of names for Registrar (claim dated November 13, 1928) 3,024.85
(72) Levison Printing Company, printing tally lists, etc., for Registrar (claim dated November 13, 1928) 2,644.83
(73) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing 250,000 pamphlets, election November 6 (claim dated November 13, 1928) 9,792.00

Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(74) County Road Fund, reimbursement for account of expenditures for materials in connection with covering of side sewer trenches during October (claim dated November 17, 1928) .....$ 562.85

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 57.

(75) Vogelsang & Brown, as attorneys for judgment creditors, sixth installment of final judgment for refund of taxes paid, as per schedule attached, and approved by City Attorney (claim dated November 29, 1928).....$ 3,105.65

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(76) California State Automobile Association, for advertising of the City and County of San Francisco, and the development of tourist travel to this section of California, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated November 26, 1928) .....$ 2,500.00
(77) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of convention of National Association of City Passenger Agents, held in San Francisco October 19-21, 1928 (claim dated November 26, 1928)..... 778.30

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Authorizations.

Also, Resolution No. 30065 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)..... $3,093.94
(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 3,223.03
(3) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 860.02

Auditorium Fund.

(4) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Elsa Alsen, as soloist, at concert of November 22d (claim dated Nov. 23, 1928) $1,000.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(5) Atlas Rock Co., sand and gravel (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) $787.97
(6) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 766.94
(7) Fairbanks-Morse & Co., electric pump and parts (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928)..... 881.73
(8) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928)..... 1,319.35
(9) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 608.40

(10) Pacific Coast Steel Co., steel (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 1,578.02
(11) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 1,934.89
(12) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for account of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 4,439.35
(13) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 10,591.47
(14) The American Rolling Mill Co., iron (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 1,088.31
(15) A. M. Castle Co., iron and steel (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 511.70
(16) Cement Gun Construction Co., one cement gun and parts (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 1,768.76
(17) Celite Products Co., celite for concrete (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 1,062.00
(18) General Electric Co., locomotive parts (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 1,119.51
(19) Grier & Mead, one 2-cylinder reciprocating engine (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 700.00
(20) Louis G. Henes, emery wheel grinder and steam hammer (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 1,439.00
(21) Herberts-Moore Machinery Co., one Cincinnati 28-inch Climax shaper, with motor, etc. (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 2,445.00
(22) Hickok & Hickok, steel (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 529.35
(23) Hitchcock & Tinkler Equipment Co., three rotary positive blowers (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 2,400.00
(24) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 2,036.49
(25) McDonald & Harrington, lumber (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 664.03
(26) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) ... 648.69
(27) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 1,152.65
(28) Overkamp Machinery Co., lathe, chucks and keyseater (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 3,547.52
(29) O. G. Peterson, explosives (claim dated No. 24, 1928) ... 833.03
(30) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 586.65
(31) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 1,044.00
(32) Sherry Bros., butter and cheese (claim dated Nov. 23, 1928) 1,038.84
(33) Robert A. Smith, Inc., Chevrolet roadster (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) 624.00
(34) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) ... 817.77
(35) Wilsey Bennett Co., eggs (claim dated Nov. 24, 1928) .. 882.71

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(36) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, amount set aside as reserve for depreciation, per charter requirements; being for month of November (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) $14,583.00
(37) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium covering insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Nov. 26, 1928) 697.58

Special School Tax.

(38) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds during August (claim dated Nov. 27, 1928) $1,300.00
(39) Meyer Bros., final payment, construction of addition to Daniel Webster School (claim dated Nov. 27, 1928) 3,757.67
(40) Carl F. Ernst, third payment, plumbing for Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 687.77

(41) Hamilton Construction Co., fourth payment, general construction of Balboa Elementary School (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 4,899.38
(42) P. J. Enright, final payment, for mechanical equipment installed in Golden Gate School (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 3,558.44
(43) H. Schulte & Son, tables for Balboa High School (claim dated Nov. 27, 1928) 2,868.00
(44) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Everett Jr. High School (claim dated Nov. 21, 1928) 847.54

Boulevard Bond Fund.

(45) Louis J. Cohn, final payment for construction of storm drain for Bay Shore boulevard, crossing Alemany boulevard (claim dated Nov. 21, 1928) $1,519.12

County Road Fund.

(46) Fay Improvement Co., first payment, for improvement of Santiago street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues (claim dated Nov. 21, 1928) 4,500.00
(47) T. E. Treacy, widening and paving of Buena Vista Terrace between Roosevelt way and Buena Vista avenue, including reconstruction of angular corner (claim dated Nov. 21, 1928) 3,155.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(48) General Electric Co., contractors, for Dept. of Electricity, account of traffic signals (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) $1,535.70
(49) San Francisco Stove Works, castings for traffic signals, Dept. of Electricity (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928) 721.44
(50) Daniel J. O'Brien, Police contingent expense for month of December (claim dated Dec. 1, 1928) 750.00
(51) J. E. Scully, final payment for removal, relocating and restoration of Club House in Aquatic Park (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 2,995.67
(52) American La France & Foamite Co., parts for Fire Dept. apparatus (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 1,044.76
(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Dept. hydrants (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 15,049.90
(54) Union Oil Co. of California, fuel oil for Fire Dept. (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 531.30
(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water used for street sprinkling during October (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 797.25
(56) Sewer Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for materials, supplies, equipment and hauling furnished and used in construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer during October (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 2,054.49
(57) Sewer Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for account of labor furnished in construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer during October (claim dated Nov. 22, 1928) 4,684.27
(58) Street Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for labor furnished in the redressing of curbs during October (claim dated Nov. 21, 1928) 2,134.00
(59) Street Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for materials, supplies, equipment and hauling furnished and used in construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer during month of September (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 2,893.18
(60) Sewer Repair Dept., Board of Public Works, reimbursement for labor furnished in construction of Golden Gate Heights sewer during September (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 4,296.31
(61) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Dec. 3, 1928) 1,500.00

(62) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service furnished Dept. of Public Health (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928)..... 534.94
(63) Illinois Pacific Glass Co., bottles furnished Dept. of Public Health (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928)..... 1,063.11
(64) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1928)..... 1,683.22

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Payments for Properties for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 30066 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Issue, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Aug. J. Lang, Jr., for all of Lots 6, 7, 8 and 10 in Block 7124; all of Lots 11, 15, 16, 17 and 20 in Block 7125; all of Lots 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 in Block 7126; all of Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 and 9 in Block 7127; all of Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 in Block 7128; all of Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 in Block 7130; all of Lots 1, 2, 6, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 26 in Block 7132; all of Lots 2, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and 5 in Block 7133, and all of Lots 5, 28 and 29 in Block 7134, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; approved by Resolution No. 29983, and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928).....$27,850.00

(2) Felix Vanneste and Emma Vanneste, for portion of Lot 1 in Block 7146 (50 x 20), as per the Assessor's Block Books, as approved by Resolution No. 29983 (New Series), and required for the opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 2,347.00

(3) G. Celetano and Mary Celetano, for a portion of Lot 33 in Block 7146 (60 x 40), as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29983 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 3,240.00

(4) William N. Nutter, H. N. Nutter and Nellie Nutter, for portions of Lots 1 and 1-A in Block 6308 (100 x 31, irregular), as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29980 (New Series), and required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928) 1,500.00

(5) Anton Delucchi, for purchase of Lot 20 in Block 5465, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29981 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 3,276.00

(6) Anthony Kot and Nikola Gyluch, for Lot 1 in Block 5472, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29981 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 2,835.00

(7) Margaret Kennedy et al., for Lot 39 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29982 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 8,500.00

(8) Sarah A. Hink, for Lot 13 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29982 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 7,680.00

(9) Robert Miller, for Lot 43 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29982

(New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 3,000.00

(10) Frank T. Myers and Doris Myers, for Lot 12 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29982 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 7,100.00

(11) Wm. M. and A. C. Gillihand, for Lot 10 in Block 2365, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 29982 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 14, 1928)..... 1,625.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Payments for Properties Required for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. 30067 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Albert Stolzenberg and Clara Stolzenberg, for portion of Lots 3, 4, 18 and 19 (16,123 square feet approximately) in Block 5477, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 30009 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 21, 1928)..$ 7,828.00

(2) Annie E. Elliott, for portion of Lot 5 in Block 5100 (75 x 20), as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 30009 (New Series), and required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 736.00

(3) Herbert James Seal, for all of Lots 18 and 19 in Block 7125, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 30009 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 600.00

(4) Stephen J. Hughes and Mary B. Hughes, for all of Lots 33 and 34 in Block 7134, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 30013 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 600.00

(5) Edward Spichtig and Adele Spichtig, for a portion of Lot 31 in Block 7146, as per the Assessor's Block Books (40 x 115, irregular), approved by Resolution No. 30013 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 7,280.00

(6) Arthur and Bernard Quinn, for Lot 40 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 30014 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 10,000.00

(7) Lizzie M. Parker, for Lot 6 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 30014 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 675.00

(8) James A. Bacigalupi, for Block 1817, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 30014 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 70,000.00

(9) A. H. Wilder, for Lot 36 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved by Resolution No. 30014 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 8,650.00

(10) Harvey J. Boutin and Estelle A. Boutin, for Lot 7 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, approved

by Resolution No. 30012 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 21, 1928)..... 6,375.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Appropriating $10,500, Satisfaction of Judgment, Sophie J. Friedman et al.

Also, Resolution No. 30068 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand five hundred dollars ($10,500) be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to bet set aside and appropriated out of the "Bernal Cut" Bonds, and to be payable as hereinafter mentioned, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled City and County of San Francisco vs. Sophie J. Friedman and Jacob Friedman, defendants, and numbered 195126 of the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of land for boulevard purposes; that said sum of $10,500 under the terms and provisions of said judgment to be payable to defendants, Sophie J. Friedman and Jacob Friedman, subject to a first lien of Anglo-California Trust Company, a corporation, in the sum of $4,000, with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent (6%) per annum from November 1st, 1928; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

$3,500 for Sewer Easement in Visitacion Valley.

Also, Resolution No. 30069 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 36, and authorized in payment to Edward J. Tobin, sole resident executor of the last will and testament of Mary A. Tobin, deceased, for an easement for right of way over a strip of land 10 feet wide; said easement being for the so-called Visitacion Valley Sewer.

The Superior Court, on the 19th day of June, 1928, did, by order thereof, duly given, made and entered in the matter of the estate of Mary A. Tobin, deceased, confirm the sale of said easement of said right of way to the City and County of San Francisco as described in deed of easement, dated August 27, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

$2,000 for Publicity and Advertising, Californians Inc.

Also, Resolution No. 30070 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising Fund," to Californians Inc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent,

McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Appropriation, $8,730, Installation of Traffic Signals.

Also, Resolution No. 30071 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8,730 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 57, "for the installation of traffic signals, and purchase of devices for traffic control," for the installing of safety zone buttons, traffic turning buttons and pedestrian lane markers; per award of contract to E. J. Treacy.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Payments for Properties Required for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. 30072 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bond Construction Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Francisco Parodi, for Lot 9 in Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as approved by Resolution No. 30036 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 28, 1928).....$ 2,800.00

(2) The Schlage Co., for portion of Lot 3 (50 x 424), in Block 5087, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30036 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated November 28, 1928) 15,273.00

(3) Albert Panther and Pauline Panther, for release of damages on Lot 28 in Block 5069, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30035 (New Series), incurred in connection with opening of Bay Shore boulevard (also known as 133 Tunnel avenue) (claim dated November 28, 1928) 1,372.00

(4) H. Sturm and Hattie Sturm, for release of damages on Lot 27 in Block 5069 (also known as 141 Tunnel avenue), incurred in connection with opening of Bay Shore boulevard, as authorized by Resolution No. 30035 (New Series) (claim dated November 28, 1928)..... 1,385.00

(5) William F. Nohs and Louise J. Nohs, for release of damages on Lot 26 in Block 5069, as per the Assessor's Block Books (also known as 145 Tunnel avenue), and incurred in connection with opening of Bay Shore boulevard, authorized by Resolution No. 30035 (New Series) (claim dated November 28, 1928) 1,365.00

(6) Wm. H. Fitzgerald, for Lot 16 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 7,670.00

(7) Patrick Biggins, for Lots 2 and 32 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928)..... 1,350.00

(8) A. E. H. Smith, for Lot 35 in Block 3098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 2,600.00

(9) Dennis J. Ahern, for Lot 11 in Block 2098, as per the

Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 675.00

(10) J. H. Boyd, for Lot 6 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 6,650.00

(11) Wm. F. Raymond, for Lot 17 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 6,550.00

(12) George W. Nichols, for Lot 12 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and approved by Resolution No. 30037 (New Series), required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated November 27, 1928) 7,800.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Appropriations for Street Improvements.

Also, Resolution No. 30073 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, for the improvement of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) La Playa, from Judah to Kirkham, at City property.... $2,687.50

(2) Delano avenue, from Oneida to Seneca avenues, at City property 1,942.00

(3) Laidley street between Fairmount and Miguel streets, removal of material from construction of roadway on lower side 625.60

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Appropriations for Sewer Construction.

Also, Resolution No. 30074 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 36, to-wit:

(1) For construction of sewers and appurtenances in Quintara street between Fortieth and Forty-sixth avenues, and in Pacheco street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues (award of contract to Martin Murphy at $21,455; and for engineering, incidentals, extras, $1,945).....$23,400.00

(2) For cost of constructing sewage pumping station at Fitzgerald avenue and Griffith street (award of contract to Ajax Construction Co. at $3,641; engineering, incidentals, extras, $559) 4,200.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Test Borings, Laidley Street Slide.

Bill No. 8785, Ordinance No. 8283 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the taking of test borings in connection with the slide adjacent to Laidley street, from Roanoke street to Mateo street; au-

thorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications for said test borings, and to enter into contract for the taking of said test borings, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, and which are hereby approved.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The taking of test borings in connection with the slide adjacent to Laidley street, from Roanoke street to Mateo street, is hereby ordered; and the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the taking of said test borings, and to enter into contract for the taking of said test borings, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, and which are hereby approved.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Appropriation, $1,980.33, Redwood Empire Association, Printing Booklets, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. 30075 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,980.33 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 54, and authorized in payment to the Redwood Empire Association; being payment for printing of booklets, maps, printing and publicity for account of the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated December 3, 1928).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 30076 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

R. A. Crothers, north side Turk street, 102 feet 6 inches west of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Davis, 2222 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jay Gittelsohn, east side Spruce street, 65 feet north of Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

William P. Goss, southwest corner Geary street and Twenty-ninth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Great Western Power Company of California, two oil storage tanks in block bounded by Burke and Evans avenues and Jennings and India streets.

Vicent Lassio, southwest corner of Chestnut and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. William Laysaght, 5203 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. A. Lundstrom, southwest corner of Fourth and Perry streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Machinery Exchange, 3030 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mr. McKellip, west side Van Ness avenue, 90 feet south of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hugh McLean, south side Grove street, 100 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. T. Morris, northwest corner Pierce and McAllister streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Popemdidior, southeast corner of Fifteenth and Minna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Risdon Brothers, north side of Bay street, 143 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thomas Scoble, northwest corner Thirty-ninth avenue and Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hibernia Savings & Loan Society, southeast corner Eighteenth and Castro streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

L. C. Gensen, 160 Russ street, 5 horsepower.

Simons Millinery Company, 989 Market street, 15 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Garage Transfer, Renaldo Cecanti, 924 Sansome Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30077 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Renaldo Cecanti be and is hereby granted permission to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted to Grossi & Co., by Resolution No. 20965 (New Series), for premises at 924 Sansome street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Auto Supply Station, Chas. D. Freeman, Southwest Corner Eighth Avenue and Judah Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30078 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. D. Freeman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Eighth avenue and Judah street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Transfer of Garage Permit, J. D. Campbell, 547-555 Washington Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30079 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. D. Campbell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted to Albert Rossi et al., by Resolution No. 20380 (New Series), for premises at 547-555 Washington street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Transfer of Hospital Permit.

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Resolution No. 30080 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sutter Hospital be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred hospital permit heretofore granted Wakefield Hospital for premises at 1065 Sutter street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Stable Permit, John Gunn.

Also, Resolution No. 30081 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Gunn be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a stable to accommodate thirty horses, at 740 La Playa street, said maintenance and operation to comply with the provisions of Section 198, Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Ordinance Regulating Parades and Processions.

On recommendation of Police and Licenses Committee.

Bill No. 8778, Ordinance No. 8284 (New Series), as follows:

Regulating holding of parades and processions over and along the public streets, and preventing interference by any person without authority; empowering the Chief of Police to establish lines along routes of procession, and restricting certain districts and hours for processions other than funeral processions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No person shall, without authority of law, interfere with or obstruct the free and uninterrupted passage in, upon, along, or through any street of any procession or disturb any assemblage of people met for the purpose of a funeral for the burial of the dead.

Section 2. No parade or procession shall occupy or march on any street unless written notice of the time and route of such procession or parade be given to the Chief of Police not less than twenty-four (24) hours previous to its forming or marching, and said Chief of Police may designate the street or streets such procession or parade can occupy, and when so designated the officer in charge of such procession or parade shall direct the same only along the route designated by the Chief of Police. Provided, however, that no parade or procession shall occupy or march upon any street in the Central Traffic District unless special permit in writing has been granted by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Any person who, upon making application, has been denied such written permit may refer such application to the "Committee on Parades" for further consideration and action.

Section 4. The Police Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to act as a "Committee on Parades" for the purposes of this ordinance.

Said Committee is hereby given the right and it shall be its duty to consider and act upon any application for a permit, provided for in Section 3 hereof that has been referred to it in accordance with

the provisions of said section. The findings of such Committee shall be binding upon the Chief of Police.

Section 5. The Chief of Police is hereby empowered to establish lines, if he deem it necessary, on both sides of the street along the proposed route over which the parade or procession is to pass, and no person or vehicle of any kind, excepting those having accredited badges and the apparatus of the Fire Department, responding to an alarm of fire, the vehicles of the Police Department and Fire Marshal and auxiliary fire apparatus, belonging to any person, firm or corporation, wren such apparatus is responding to a call to a fire, and any vehicle belonging to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting persons to the premises of the persons transported, when such vehicle is responding to a call to a fire or to a burglar alarm, ambulances, whether of public or private character, or any other vehicle when such vehicle is employed in carrying a sick or injured person to a hospital or other place for relief or treatment, and the United States mail wagons, shall pass over or through said lines.

Section 6. The lines at the intersecting streets and crossings along the line of march of such parade or procession are within one (1) block of said crossings and the intersections and said line shall be removed when the parade or procession has passed.

Section 7. In case of fire, accident or any emergency along the line of march of such parade or procession the Chief of Police or the police officer in charge of the detail on the streets along which such parade or procession shall pass is hereby given full power to divert said parade or procession around such fire, accident or emergency over and along the nearest cross street from such fire, accident or emergency and shall direct the return of such parade or procession to the line of march originally authorized.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to funeral processions or to parades or processions composed wholly of the military or naval forces of the United States or of the State of California.

Section 9. The "Central Traffic District" referred to herein is that portion of the City defined as the "Central Traffic District" in Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 10. Any ordinance or part thereof in conflict herewith is hereby expressly repealed.

Section 11. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Ordering the Improvement of Portola Drive From Twenty-fourth Street to Fowler Avenue, Estimated Cost of $130,000.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8786, Ordinance No. 8285 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Portola drive from Twenty-fourth street to Fowler avenue, approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of one hundred and thirty thousand dollars, to be derived from the County Road Fund, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, having recommended the ordering of the improvement of the Portola drive from Twenty-fourth street to Fowler avenue, the said improvement will consist of grading, drainage, the construction of retaining walls, and paving of approximately 4000 feet from Twenty-fourth street to Fowler avenue, the

boulevard to be 100 feet in width, including two 10-foot sidewalks, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contract for said work at an approximate cost of one hundred and thirty thousand dollars, to be derived from County Road Fund.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of said Portola drive conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of the Board of Public Works and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Establishing Grades on Euclid Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8787, Ordinance No. 8286 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Euclid avenue between Parker avenue and a line parallel with and 120 feet easterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Euclid avenue between Parker avenue and a line parallel with and 120 feet easterly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed November 8, 1928, to-wit:

Euclid Avenue.

120 feet easterly from Parker avenue, 220.80 feet.

64 feet easterly from Parker avenue, 218.00 feet.

Parker avenue, 217.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Euclid avenue between Parker avenue and a line parallel with and 120 feet easterly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Ordering the Improvement of Thornton Avenue Between Third Street and Latona Street, Etc., Payable in Twenty Installments.

Also Bill No. 8788, Ordinance No. 8287 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 14, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Fran-

cisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thornton avenue between the westerly line of Third street and the westerly line of Latona street produced, including the intersection of Thornton avenue and Latona street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete steps 5 feet in width in the sidewalk area between Third street and the easterly line of Latona street produced; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway between Third street and the easterly line of Latona street produced; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners and opposite the intersection of Latona street; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on either side of the proposed stairway from Third street to Latona street produced; by the construction of side-sewers; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts between the easterly and westerly lines of Latona street produced, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway between the easterly and westerly lines of Latona street produced.

The method of assessment for said improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 104157 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $59,243.27, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance..... $104.15

Spring Valley Water Company, water for horse troughs..... 28.91

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Eighteenth Avenue, North of Geary Street.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8789, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specic uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 3 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 50 feet, and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Silver Avenue Between Colby and Bowdoin Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8790, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Silver Avenue, between Colby street and Bowdoin street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Northeast Corner Pine and Steiner Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8791, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeast corner of Pine street and Steiner street, for a distance of 102 feet on Pine street and 80 feet on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium—Appropriation 56.

(1) Maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of November (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)..... $4,112.56

Park Fund.

(2) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928)..... $519.28

(3) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Park employees (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928)..... 556.82

Library Fund.

(4) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for Public Libraries (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)..... $810.00

(5) Foster & Futernick Co., binding of library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)..... 2,341.07

(6) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 1,053.19

(7) Sather Gate Book Shop, library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 652.67

(8) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 1,014.05

(9) Houghton-Mifflin Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 572.13

San Francisco War Memorial Trust Fund.

(10) E. J. Treacy, final payment for construction of sewer and appurtenances in Franklin street between Fulton and Grove streets (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... $1,908.40

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(11) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), twenty-third payment, construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset Tunnel (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).... $9,327.50

(12) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), twenty-third (A) payment, extra work, on construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset Tunnel (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 29,680.58

County Road Fund.

(13) California Rock Co., gravel, etc., for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928)..... $1,997.14

(14) Coast Rock and Gravel Co., sand, etc., for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928)..... 4,184.29

(15) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928)..... 905.14

(16) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928)..... 1,322.80

(17) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928)..... 1,215.02

(18) The Texas Co., fuel oil for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928)..... 828.94

(19) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928)..... 880.75

(20) Daniel C. McCabe, removing sand from Thirty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 1,500.00

(21) The Fay Improvement Co., reconstruction of Montgomery street between Green and Union streets, extra work (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928) 3,132.84

(22) The Spring Valley Water Co., lowering high-pressure mains in Vallejo street between Kearny and Montgomery streets (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928) 600.00

Auditorium Fund.

(23) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of Frieda Hempel, soloist at concert of December 4, 1928 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928) $2,000.00

(24) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at concert of December 4, 1928 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 2,000.00

(25) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at concert of November 22, 1928 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928) 2,000.00

(26) San Francisco Opera Association, refund of indemnity bond covering occupancy of Auditorium September 12 to October 3, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928) 1,000.00

Boulevard Bond Fund.

(27) Louis J. Cohn, fourth payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section A, Contract 6 (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) $7,800.00

(28) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, third payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section D, Contract 7 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 20,250.00

(29) Eaton & Smith, seventh payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Section B, Contract 4 (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 16,050.00

(30) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, third payment, improvement of Alemany boulevard, Section E, Contract 5 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 11,850.00

(31) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, fourth payment, improvement of the Great Highway, Contract 8 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 45,000.00

(32) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., seventh payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, Section E, Contract 1 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 27,000.00

Special School Tax.

(33) F. C. Amoroso, first payment, alterations to playfield at Pacific Heights School (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) $1,785.00

(34) R. Flatland, fourth payment, electrical work for addition to Edward R. Taylor School (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 748.13

(35) Meyer Bros., fourth payment, additions and alterations to Jefferson School (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 7,660.66

(36) American Painting and Decorating Co., first payment, painting for addition to Edward Robeson School (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 1,311.81

(37) Amoroso & Filippis, fourth payment, general construction of Edward Robeson School (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 5,653.50

(38) O. Monson, first payment, finish carpentry on Edward Robeson School (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 6,553.50

(39) Sorenson & Haggmark, third payment, addition to John Swett School (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 2,725.99

(40) W. P. Fuller & Co., white lead in oil for school buildings (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 532.50

(41) George H. Tay Co., drinking fountains for various schools (claim dated Dec. 3, 1928) 867.50

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(42) Margaret McHenry, in full settlement of all claims for damages sustained by reason of accident of Nov. 20, 1928 (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) ..... $ 700.00

(43) Henry Schindle, in full settlement of all claims for damages sustained by reason of accident occurring June 16, 1927 (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 1,250.00

Municipal Railway Fund.

(44) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions of employees during November (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) $2,112.14

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(45) Monson Bros., removing old floor, Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928) ..... $ 1,223.75

(46) Kleiber Motor Co., one auto ambulance for use of Coroner (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 3,700.00

(47) United Drayage, Rigging & Warehouse Co., delivery and return of voting machines (claim dated Nov. 27, 1928) 3,379.95

(48) Oscar Aaron, first payment, plumbing for Sunset Police Station (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 824.82

(49) H. H. Larsen Co., second payment, general construction of Sunset Police Station (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 6,582.50

(50) H. Leopold Machine & Gear Works, installing belt conveyer for Street Repair Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1928) 504.03

(51) T. M. Gallagher, construction of sewer in Carolina street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets (claim dated Dec. 1, 1928) 1,200.00

(52) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House and Hall of Justice (claim dated Dec. 1, 1928) 1,513.54

(53) County Road Fund, reimbursement for materials and supplies used for street reconstruction during October (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 2,132.71

(54) County Road Fund, reimbursement for materials and supplies used in street reconstruction during October (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 1,498.70

(55) County Road Fund, reimbursement for materials and supplies used for street reconstruction, October (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 514.83

(56) D. C. McCabe, first payment, furnishing, delivering and erecting street signs (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 1,200.00

(57) Meyer Rosenberg, second payment, construction of runway and drainage at San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 10,800.00

(58) Edward Lowe Motors Co., one Lincoln auto for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 5,105.75

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished, and installing of hydrants, for Fire Dept. (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 3,082.44

(60) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928) 1,608.75

(61) Dion R. Holm, legal services, month of December, in telephone rate litigation (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 850.00

(62) R. Randall Ellis, engineering services, month of December, in telephone rate litigation (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 750.00

(63) Market Street Railway Co., refund of first installment of taxes paid on property situate at Frederick and Willard streets, purchased by the City and County as per agreement of sale dated March 12, 1923 (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928) ... 1,336.50

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(64) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, for expense of printing, advertising, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928).. $9,179.90

(65) San Francisco Summer Symphony Association, Thos. F. Boyle (Auditor), Treasurer; for the expense of holding Summer Symphony Concerts, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco; additional (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928) 4,500.00

County Road Fund.

(66) Frank Flint, Treasurer of Board of Directors of Proposed Joint Highway District composed of San Francisco, Marin, Sonoma, Mendocino and Humboldt counties, for the expense of preliminary survey, etc., of said Proposed Joint Highway District, as per appropriation by Resolution No. 29691, New Series (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)..... $2,000.00

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(67) John J. Dailey, for legal services during December in matter of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928)..... $850.00

City Attorney to Condemn Lands Required for Health Center Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Grove street, distant thereon 249 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 80 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 80 feet to the southerly line of Grove street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Grove street, distant thereon 225 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 80 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 80 feet to the southerly line of Grove street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Ivy street, distant thereon 201 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said northerly line 48 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 80 feet to the southerly line of Grove street; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 120 feet to the northerly line of Ivy street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Grove street, distant thereon 177 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 80 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 80 feet to the southerly line of Grove street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Ivy street, distant thereon 141 feet easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said northerly line 36 feet 2½ inches; thence at right angles northerly 120 feet to the southerly line of Grove street; thence at right angles westerly 34 feet; thence at right angles southerly 86 feet 8¾ inches; thence northwesterly 2 feet 5 inches to a point in a line drawn at right angles northerly to the northerly line of Ivy street from the point of beginning, said point being distant northerly along said line 34 feet 3⅛ inches from said northerly line of Ivy street; thence southerly along said line so drawn 34 feet 3⅛ inches to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 6. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Ivy street, distant thereon 177 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said northerly line 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 40 feet to the northerly line of Ivy street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 7. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Ivy street, distant thereon 249 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said northerly line 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 40 feet to the northerly line of Ivy street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 8. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-second street and the easterly line of Potrero avenue, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 713 feet; thence at right angles easterly 200 feet; thence at right angles southerly 313 feet; thence at right angles easterly 80 feet; thence at right angles southerly 400 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-second street; thence at right angles westerly along said northerly line 280 feet to the easterly line of Potrero avenue and the point of beginning.

Being all of Block 78 and a portion of Block 77 of the Rancho Del Potrero Nuevo, as per map thereof recorded in May Book "C and D", at page 78, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 9. All of Block 90 of the Rancho Del Potrero Nuevo, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," at page 78, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, for general purposes of the Board of Health and particularly for the enlarging of the Central Emergency Hospital and the establishment of a Health Center Building, Psythopathic Building, Cancer Institute and other buildings. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Offers for Lands for Bay Shore Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30082 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Margaret A. Vessing (formerly known as Madge A. Manion), $854—Portion of Lot 1, Block 5512, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Charles Kiesil and Vera Kiesil, $3,250—Assessor's Lot 8, Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Bodinson Manufacturing Co., $8,877—Portion of Lot 3-A, Block 5087, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Joseph Rodiack and Alfrida Rodiack, $3,449—Portion of Lot 7, Block 5100, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount includes damages in full to remaining portion of the above mentioned lot, caused by the establishment of the Bay Shore boulevard and to the buildings now partially on the above described land; said buildings to be removed by said Joseph Rodiack within 30 days after recordation of deed to said City and County of San Francisco. (All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Kate E. Cashin, $737—Portion of Lot 6, Block 5100, as per the Assessor's Block Books of City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Accepting Offer of Release of Claim for Damages, Bay Shore Boulevard Construction.

Also, Resolution No. 30083 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owner of property adjacent to the proposed Bay Shore boulevard has offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to her property or the buildings thereon caused by the grading and construction of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard to the proposed official grade, and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Bay Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, viz.:

Irma Ferrera, as administratrix of the estate of Allessio Del Carlo, $515—Lots 32 and 33, Block 5376, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 185 Boutwell street.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of said specified amount to the above mentioned person upon receipt of the proper release.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Accepting Offers for Lands for Sunset Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30083 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following de-

scribed land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Esther Gruhn and Harriet Herbst, $2,025—All of Lots 18, 19 and 20 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

John Milne Mather and Anne Mather, $7,520—All of Lot 14 of Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the grantors shall occupy the above described premises without expense 90 days after the recording of the deed.

Elizabeth Jones, $3,000—All of Lot 28 of Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

E. A. Kroncke and Emma Kroncke, $1,525—All of Lots 13 and 14 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 30084 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 420 Miguel street, 33 Academy street, 3606 Mission street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchaser within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Bernal Cut Bonds, issue of 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $1,100, Payment to William F. Eckhardt et al., Satisfaction of Judgment for Land for Bernal Cut Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of eleven hundred dollars ($1,100) be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the "Bernal Cut" Bonds, and to be payable to William F. Eckhardt and Elizabeth Eckhardt, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled, City and County of San Francisco vs. William F. Eckhardt and Elizabeth Eckhardt, defendants, and numbered 195126 of the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of land for boulevard purposes; and be it further

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Appropriation, $5,000, Payment to Martin J. Gallagher et al., in Satisfaction of Judgment for Land for Bernal Cut Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the Bernal Cut Bonds, and to be payable as hereinafter mentioned, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in action entitled, City and County of San Francisco vs. Martin J. Gallagher and Margaret Gallagher, defendants, and numbered 195126 of the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of land for Bernal Cut; that said sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) under the terms and provisions of said judgment, to be payable to defendants, subject to a first lien of The San Francisco Bank in the sum one thousand two hundred dollars ($1,200) with interest thereon at six per cent (6%) per annum from November 1, 1928. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Amending Additional Positions Ordinance, Telephone Operator for Assessor's Office.

Also, Bill No. 8792, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to Section 9 thereof, to be known as Subdivision (m).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 9 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended by adding a new subdivision thereto to be known as Subdivision (m), and to read as follows:

(m) One telephone operator at a salary of $1,800 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Assessor's office.)

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Appropriations to Reimburse Health Department Funds for Repair Work Performed by Board of Public Works.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30086 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby set aside and appropriated out of the hereinafter designated Budget Items, Department of Public Health, to the credit of Budget Item No. 528-B, Bureau of Building Repair; being reimbursements for work performed by the Board of Public Works for the Department of Public Health, to-wit:

From Budget Item No. 795, the sum of $221.

From Budget Item No. 842, the sum of $90.79.

From Budget Item No. 993, the sum of $3,542.86.

From Budget Item No. 51, the sum of $52.30.

(Request of Board of Heath, dated Dec. 4, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriations for School Construction.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the following school construction, to-wit:

For construction of an addition to the Polytechnic High School, to be erected on city property bounded by Frederick, Willard and Stanyan streets and Arguello Boulevard, to-wit:

(1) General construction (Mahoney Bros. contract)	$121,644.00
(2) Plumbing work (George Frankel contract)	6,147.00
(3) Mechanical equipment (Scott Co.)	6,220.00
(4) Extras, incidentals and inspection	3,000.00
(5) Architect's fee, additional	2,570.00
	$139,581.00

For the cost of additional mechanical equipment for the boiler room, shop and academic buildings of the Polytechnic High School, to-wit:

(6) Per award of contract to J. E. O'Mara	$21,633.00
(7) For extras, incidentals and inspection	1,000.00
	$22,633.00

For the cost of constructing the Harrison Street Warehouse on school property situated on Harrison street between Tenth and Eleventh streets, for school purposes, to-wit:

(8) Per award to Barrett & Hilp	$68,781.00
(9) Extras, incidentals and engineering	2,500.00
	$71.281.00

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Appropriation, $195, Reimbursement for Furnishing Gas Steam Radiators for Western Addition Police Station.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30087 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $195 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Repairs to Public Buildings", Budget Item No. 53, to the credit of Bureau of Repair, Dept. of Public Works, Budget Item No. 428-B; being reimbursement for the furnishing and installing of gas steam radiators in Western Addition Police Station.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations for Various Purposes.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated accounts of the General Fund, for the following purposes, to-wit:

City Hall Repairs and Painting, Budget Item No. 54.

(1) For the cost of fitting up rooms in the City Hall for the use of the Superior Courts (to the credit of Bureau of Building Repair, Dept. of Public Works, Budget Item No. 428-B) $5,238.12

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 53.

(2) For cost of repairing brick work on Boiler No. 3 at the Laguna Honda Home $998.00

(3) For cost of enclosing entrance to courtroom at Hall of Justice, occupied by Judge Golden) $600.00

County Road Fund.

(4) For cost of laying an eight-inch water main in Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fifth streets, in connection with the paving of said street $2,000.00

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

F. Antonioli, north side of Francisco street, 120 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christenson Bros., north side of Ellis street, 138 feet 6 inches west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Earl J. Osborne, 3476 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Payne, 212 Sixteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. S. Mallock, 1424 Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

St. Ignatius High School, east side of Stanyan street, 200 feet south of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Van Herrick, southeast corner of Waller and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Williams & Co., 459 Clementina street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Hee Tai Wo & Co., 1109 Grant avenue, 5 horsepower.

Wong Bing Tai, 2107 Larkin street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Denying Auto Parking Station Permit, Milton Huot, North Side of Ellis Street, 137 Feet 6 Inches East of Mason Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 30088 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Milton Huot to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Ellis street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Mason street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Denying Auto Parking Station Permit, John B. Daley, 677 Howard Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30089 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion

of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied John B. Daley to maintain and operate an automobile parking station at 677 Howard street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Granting Laundry Permit, J. T. Mondot, 138 Eddy Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. T. Mondot be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a French laundry at 138 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Transfer, W. E. Taylor, Northwest Corner of Eddy and Buchanan Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. E. Taylor be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at the northwest corner of Eddy and Buchanan streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Granting Laundry Permit, City of Paris French Laundry, 1531 Union Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City of Paris French Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a French laundry at 1531 Union street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Granting Auto Parking Station Permit, F. B. Hutchinson, South Side of Mission Street, 100 Feet West of Main Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. B. Hutchinson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Mission street, 100 feet west of Main street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Granting Auto Supply Station, James M. O'Day, Northwest Corner Twenty-sixth Avenue and Taraval Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. O'Day be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and Taraval street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Auto Supply Station, John Gold, West Portal Avenue and Vicente Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Gold be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of West Portal avenue and Vicente street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Masquerade Ball Permit, United Order of Redmen.

On recommendation of Police and Licenses Committee.

Resolution No. 30090 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Grand Lodge of California, United Order of Redmen, be and it is hereby granted permisson to hold a masquerade ball in Redmen's Hall, 3053 Sixteenth street, Saturday evening, January 5, 1929.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Taxicab Stand Permits.

Also, Resolution No. 30091 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Blue Bird Cab Company—1529 Powell street, one cab.

Yellow Checker Cab Company—1690 Jackson street, one cab; 501 Taylor street, one cab.

Luxor Cab Company—408 O'Farrell street, one cab; 2053 Fillmore street, one cab; 1215 McAllister street, one cab; 382 Ellis street, one cab; 155 Taylor street, one cab.

Peerless Cab Company—600 Eddy street, one cab.

Red Line Motor Tours—401 Mason street, one cab.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Cancellation of Taxicab Stand Permits.

Also, Resolution No. 30092 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following taxicab stand permits are hereby cancelled:

Luxor Cab Company—553 Divisadero street, 610 Van Ness avenue.

William Gormley—698 Vallejo street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Resolution No. 30093 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified,

be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36 of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

25 Beale street, 27 feet—Glaser Shoe Co. and Sunshine Mfg. Co. (one elevator).

149-163 Beale street, 27 feet—Krogh Pump Co.
425-427 Broadway, 27 feet—Vulcan Macaroni Factory.
836 Montgomery street, 27 feet—Bankers Print Co. (one elevator).
540 Sacramento street, 27 feet—P. G. and E. substation.
750 Seventh street, 36 feet—Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
790 Seventh street, 27 feet—Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
355 Stockton street, 27 feet—Kriess Furniture Co. (one oil intake).
540 Washington street, 27 feet—Carle & Sons Print Co. (one elevator).

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be rescinded and reestablished, as specified herein, in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36, Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

50-60 First street, existing length 27 feet, new length 0 feet—Langley & Michaels Co. (one elevator).

341-349 Stockton street, existing length 45 feet, new length 18 feet—Alma Hotel, Nile Cafe and O'Connor Co. (two elevators).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Award of Contract, Tobacco.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 30094 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted December 3, 1928 (Proposal No. 446), as follows, viz.:

Part One—Tobacco.

Item No. 1—5500 pounds smoking, cut plug; in 2-ounce packages, Cornet brand (for Laguna Honda Home) at $0.41 per pound; Glaser Bros.

Item No. 2—2000 pounds chewing, pressed natural leaf, in 16-ounce plugs, Rainbow brand (for Laguna Honda Home) at $0.41 per pound; Glaser Bros.

Item No. 3—130 gross granulated, in 1-ounce bags, "Buffalo" (for County Jails) at $5.17 per gross; Glaser Bros.

Part Two—Cigarettes for Park.

Item No. 4—160,000 Camel, retailing at 15 cents per package, $5.37 per 1000; Thomas B. Rickey.

Item No. 5—200,000 Chesterfield, retailing at 15 cents per package, $5.37 per 1000; Thomas B. Rickey.

Item No. 6—160,000 Lucky Strike, retailing at 15 cents per package, $5.292 per 1000; American Tobacco Co. (expires March 31, 1929).

Item No. 7—50,000 Old Gold, retailing at 15 cents per package, $5.3802 per 1000; P. Lorillard Co.

Item No. 8—3000 Fatima, retailing at 20 cents per package, $7.17 per 1000; Thomas B. Rickey.

Item No. 9—5000 Herbert Tareyton, retailing at 2 for 35 cents, $6.27 per 1000; Thomas B. Rickey.

Item No. 10—5000 Marlboro, retailing at 20 cents per package, $7.53 per 1000; Thomas B. Rickey.

Delivery to be made to points designated in purchase orders, as same may be issued from time to time during the 6-months' period commencing January 1 and ending June 30, 1929, for such lots as may be required by the Park Commissioners.

Part Three—Cigars for Park.

Item No. 11—1500 Natividad, Panatella, at $6.243 per 100; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 12—1500 Natividad, Ideal, at $9.124 per 50; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 13—2000 Optimo, St. Francis, at $9.124 per 50; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 14—2000 Optimo, Kings, at $11.045 per 50; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 15—1000 Roi Tan, Panatella, at $7.13 per 50; H. L. Judell & Co.

Item No. 16—1000 Roi Tan, Broadway, at $9.13 per 50; H. L. Judell & Co.

Item No. 17—1500 El Primo, Delmonico, at $7.203 per 50; Staple Cigar Co.

Item No. 18—1500 El Primo, Cabinets, at $9.124 per 50; Staple Cigar Co.

Item No. 19—1000 Chancellor, Liberty, at $7.13 per 50; H. L. Judell & Co.

Item No. 20—1000 Chancellor, Invincible, at $9.13 per 50; H. L. Judell & Co.

Item No. 21—1500 Robt. Burns, Perfecto, at $4.516 per 50; General Cigar Co., Inc.

Item No. 22—1500 Robt. Burns, Panatella, at $3.565 per 50; General Cigar Co., Inc.

Item No. 23—3000 Van Dyke, Bankers, at $3.565 per 50; General Cigar Co., Inc.

Item No. 24—1500 White Owls, at $2.543 per 50; General Cigar Co., Inc.

Delivery to be made to points designated in purchase orders, as same may be issued from time to time during the 6-months' period commencing January 1 and ending June 30, 1929, for such lots as may be required by the Park Commissioners.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of contract be required in the following amounts, viz.:

Glaser Bros., $500; Thomas B. Rickey, $100; Staple Cigar Co., none; H. L. Judell & Co., none; General Cigar Co., none; American Tobacco Co., $100; Bauer Hess, $100; P. Lorillard Co., none.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Award of Contract, Ward Beds, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Resolution No. 30095 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to The Colson Co. of the Pacific on bid submitted November 14, 1928 (Proposal No. 425, supplement) for furnishing the following, viz.: 66 steel ward beds for San Francisco Hospital, Hall Catalogue No. 51, with Mt. Sinai bottom, height of head, 50½ inches, height of foot, 35½ inches, fabric, 27 inches, pillars, 1-inch, 14-gauge, cross rods, ½-inch, 16 gauge, tubular sides, 1½-inch, 13 gauge, with fracture bar on end of bed at $30.50 each.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Extension of Time, Fire Hose Contract.

Also, Resolution No. 30096 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of time of 30 days be hereby granted to

The American Rubber Manufacturing Company upon its first petition therefor and upon recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, on contract (Proposal No. 415) for furnishing 5000 feet 1½-inch fire hose heretofore awarded by Resolution No. 29722 (New Series), approved October 11, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Award of Contract, Hospital Equipment, Laguna Honda Home.

Also, Resolution No. 30097 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing hospital equipment for Laguna Honda Home on bid submitted November 19, 1928 (Proposal No. 442), as follows, viz.: Part 1—X-ray apparatus.

Item No. 1—1 Snook transformer, with control stand and rectifier, Victor 2348, less cabinet, $1,594.80; Victor X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 2—1 Coolidge regulator, Victor 2474, $36.90; Victor X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 3—1 Coolidge transformer, Victor 2313, $50.40; Victor X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 4—1 Coolidge ammeter, Victor 2006, $36.90; Victor X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 5—1 Milliamperemeter scale 0-50, 0-500, Victor 2730, $45; Victor X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 6—1 Victor Kearsley stabilizer, Victor 2565, $177.50; Victor X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 7—1 Cassette Changer, automatic horizontal shift, Kelleket 345, $230; The Kelley-Koett Mfg. Co.

Item No. 8—1 Bush combination radiographic and fluoroscopic table, all-wood type, with No. 2 tube stand, open type lead glass bowl and side rails, $945; Bush Electric Corporation.

Item No. 9—1 radiator type Coolidge tube, 30 M. A., $125; Victor X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 10—1 radiator type Coolidge tube, 10 M. A., $125; Victor X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 11—1 Bucky diaphragm, Victor, $315; Victor X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 12—1 Impervo, 3 comp. unit tank, Victor A-3649, complete with legs; no award.

Item No. 13—Cassettes and double intensifying screens, Buck:

(a) 4 size 8x10 inches, for the sum of $102.60; R. L. Scherer Co.

(b) 2 size 11x14 inches, for the sum of $82.70; R. L. Scherer Co.

(c) 2 size 14x17 inches, for the sum of $116.10; R. L. Scherer Co.

Part 2—

Item No. 14—1 cardiograph, Victor electrocardiograph, complete, $1,908.90; Victor X-Ray Corporation.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That no bonds be required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Award of Contract, Hardware, Castings and Lumber.

Also, Resolution No. 30098 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing supplies in the following classes, viz.:

Class 7—Hardware and Castings.

Class 11—Lumber.

That may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing January 1 and ending June 30, 1929, on bids submitted November 19, 1928 (Proposal No. 434), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, and which is by this reference made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee, and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

No. of Bid	Contractor	Amount of bond required
1	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.....	$ 100
2	American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co..	1,000
6	Kortick Mfg. Co.....	None required
8	General Machinery & Supply Co.....	None required
9	M. Greenberg's Sons.....	$300
11	Enterprise Foundry Co.....	300
12	Dan P. Maher Paint Co.....	None required
14	Steiger & Kerr Stove & Foundry Co...	$300
15	San Francisco Lumber Co.....	None required
16	San Francisco Stove Works.....	$ 300
17	J. H. McCallum.....	1,000
18	C. W. Marwedel.....	None required
20	Winchester Simmons Co.....	None required
No. of Bid	Contractor	Amount of bond required
21	A. J. Glesener Co.....	$100
23	Waterhouse-Weinstock-Scovel Co	None required
24	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.....	$100
25	Tayler & Spotswood Co.....	None required

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as are required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Award of Contract, Linseed Oil, Shellac, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. 30099 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing linseed oil, shellac, turpentine, lead, litharge, mineral brown and putty, that may be ordered from time to time during the tri-annual term commencing January 1 and ending April 30, 1929, on bids submitted November 26, 1928 (Proposal No. 437), and tabulation thereof on file in the Bureau of Supplies, which is by this reference made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein to the lowest bidders on the respective items approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

W. P. Fuller & Co., Dan P. Maher Paint Co.

Resolved, That no bonds be required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee.

Resolution No. 30100 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

North and south sides Golden Gate avenue between Laguna and Scott.
North and south sides Turk street between Laguna and Broderick.
North and south sides Eddy street between Van Ness avenue and Fillmore street.
North and south sides Eddy street between Divisadero and Broderick.
East and west sides Octavia street between McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue.
East and west sides Laguna street between McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue.
East and west sides Buchanan street between McAllister and Eddy.
East and west sides Webster street between McAllister and Eddy.
East and west sides Steiner street between Fulton and Eddy.
East and west sides Pierce street between McAllister and Eddy.
East and west sides Scott street between McAllister and Eddy.
North and south sides Larch street between Buchanan and Webster.
North and south sides Elm street between Pierce and Scott.
North and south sides Hancock street between Sanchez and Noe.
Grant avenue north of Lombard street.
Alta street west of Montgomery.
Lombard street, first and second west of Hyde.
East and west sides Octavia street between Sacramento and California.
Northeast and southwest corners Octavia and Sacramento streets.
North side Sacramento street, west of Octavia.
Northeast and southwest corners Sacramento and Laguna streets.
East and west sides Laguna street, north of Sacramento.
North and south sides Chestnut street between Powell and Stockton.
North and south sides Green street between Laguna and Buchanan.
Northwest corner Green and Buchanan streets.
North and south sides Green street between Buchanan and Webster.
Taylor street between Bay and Francisco.
Twelfth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.
East and west sides Third avenue between Parnassus and Irving streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Third avenue and Irving street.
East and west sides Third avenue between Irving and Hugo streets.
West side Third avenue between Hugo street and Lincoln way.
North and south sides Tehama street between Fourth and Fifth.
Lyon street, south of Pacific avenue.
McCoppin and Otis streets.
Duboce avenue, west of Mission street.
Duboce avenue and Woodward street.
Duboce avenue, west of Stevenson street.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Southeast corner Golden Gate avenue and Pierce street.
Northwest corner Golden Gate avenue and Scott streets.
Southeast corner Turk and Broderick streets.
Northeast corner Eddy and Broderick streets.
Southeast corner Eddy and Franklin streets.
Fulton street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.
Southwest corner Vallejo street, west of Columbus avenue.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Northwest corner Golden Gate avenue and Laguna street.
Southeast corner Turk and Laguna streets.
Southeast corner Eddy and Gough streets.
Northwest corner Eddy and Laguna streets.

Remove 100 *W.*

Clarendon alley between Mission and Valencia streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Twenty-eighth street between Sanchez and Noe.
Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Diamond.
Twenty-eighth street between Noe and Castro.
Twenty-eighth street between Church and Sanchez.

Twenty-first avenue between Ulloa and Taraval streets.
Forty-third avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.
Thirty-fourth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets.

Install 250 *M. R. Refractor No.* 4274.

North side Larch street between Buchanan and Webster.
North side Elm street between Pierce and Scott.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

North and south sides Turk street between Laguna and Broderick.
North and south sides Eddy street between Van Ness avenue and Fillmore street.
North and south sides Eddy street between Divisadero and Broderick.
Northeast and southwest corners Turk and Laguna streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Turk and Buchanan streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Turk and Webster streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Turk and Steiner streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Turk and Pierce streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Turk and Scott streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Turk and Broderick streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Eddy and Franklin streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Eddy and Gough streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Eddy and Octavia streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Eddy and Laguna streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Eddy and Buchanan streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Eddy and Webster streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Eddy and Broderick streets.

Install 400 *M. R., Refractor No.* 4274.

North and south sides Golden Gate avenue between Laguna and Scott streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Golden Gate avenue and Laguna street.
Northeast and southwest corners Golden Gate avenue and Buchanan street.
Northeast and southwest corners Golden Gate avenue and Webster street.
Northeast and southwest corners Golden Gate avenue and Steiner street.
Northeast and southwest corners Golden Gate avenue and Pierce street.
Northeast and southwest corners Golden Gate avenue and Scott street.
East side Octavia street between McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue.
East side Buchanan street between McAllister and Eddy streets.
East side Webster street between McAllister and Eddy streets.
East side Steiner street between Fulton and Eddy streets.
East side Pierce street between McAllister and Eddy streets.
East side Scott street between McAllister and Eddy streets.

Change 400 *M. R.*

Southeast corner Twenty-fourth and Howard streets to pole on southeast corner of Howard and Twenty-fourth streets.
East side Harriett street, one pole north of present location.
Washington street between Leavenworth and Hyde streets, one pole east.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Haight street between Webster and Fillmore streets (2 in block).
Haight street between Pierce and Scott streets (2 in block).
Northwest corner Hyde and Vallejo streets.
East side Hyde street between Vallejo and Green streets.
Northwest corner Hyde and Green streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Third avenue and Irving street.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Opposite 3231 Market street.
South side Market street, second pole west of Eagle street.
Stockton and Filbert streets.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Southwest corner Vallejo street, west line of Columbus avenue.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Green street between Laguna and Buchanan streets.
Green street between Buchanan and Webster streets.
Green and Buchanan streets.
Talbot street between Visitacion and Sunnydale avenues.
Desmond street between Visitacion and Leland avenues.
Teddy avenue between Alpha and Rodeo.
Alpha and Campbell streets.
Schwerin street and Geneva avenue.
Washington street, front of 1478.
Hancock street between Noe and Sanchez streets.
Fifteenth avenue and Wawona street.
East side Harriett street, second pole north of Folsom street.
North side Bryant street, opposite 843.
Judah street at Twenty-ninth, Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-eighth and Forty-first avenues.
Southeast corner Thornton avenue and Reddy street.
Grant avenue between Lombard and Chestnut streets.
Grant avenue, opposite Gerke street.
Innes avenue and Newhall street.
Innes avenue between Mendell and Newhall streets.
Scott street between Filbert and Union streets.
Alta street, west of Washington street.
Alta and Montgomery streets.
Lombard street between Hyde and Larkin streets.
Telegraph place and Kearny street.
Moscow street between Russia and Persia avenues.
Moscow street between Russia and France avenues.
Munich street and Excelsior avenue.
Munich street and France avenue.
Prague street between Russia and Persia avenues.
Laidley street, front of 226.
Hammerton street between Chenery and Bosworth streets.
Thirtieth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.
East and west sides Third avenue between Parnassus avenue and Irving street.
East side Third avenue between Irving and Hugo streets.
East side Third avenue between Hugo street and Lincoln way.
Twenty-eighth street, west of Sanchez street.
Twenty-eighth street, east of Sanchez street.
Twenty-eighth street, west of Castro street.
Twenty-eighth street, east of Diamond street.
Valley street, west of Castro street.
Chenery street, third pole west of Miguel street.
Twenty-fifth street and Pennsylvania avenue.
Taylor street between Bay and Francisco streets.
Octavia street between California and Sacramento streets.
Octavia and Sacramento streets.
Sacramento street, west of Octavia street.
Sacramento street, east of Laguna street.
Sacramento and Laguna streets.
Laguna street between Sacramento and Clay streets.
Chestnut street, west of Stockton street.
Chestnut street, opposite school.

Twenty-first avenue between Ulloa and Taraval streets, one pole south of present location.

Thirty-fourth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets.

Seventh avenue between California and Lake streets.

Flournoy street between Rhine street and De Long avenue.

Front of No. 71 and No. 143 Villa Terrace.

Eureka street, opposite 367.

Twenty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Belcher street between Duboce avenue and Fourteenth street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Suhr, Toner—5.

Committee to Confer With Tax Commission.

Supervisor Todd moved the appointment of a committee to confer with the State Tax Commission in reference to some adjustment of taxes paid by San Francisco under present "dual tax system" and which, it is alleged, is greatly to this city's detriment.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:05 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

The following resolution was taken up on notice of reconsideration given by Supervisor McSheehy at last meeting:

Van Ness Avenue Extension. (On clip.)

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on November 8, 1927, a bond issue of $500,000 was carried for the extension of Van Ness avenue from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street, extending southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes, approximate length one-sixteenth (1/16th) of a mile, with 125 feet; and

Whereas, on October 8, 1928, Plan No. 8332 was submitted by the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission street to Howard street and the same failed of approval by this Board on said date wholly on account of the prohibitive cost; and

Whereas, the widening of Twelfth street on both sides to a width of 125 feet, as per plans made a part hereof, can be executed and completed for the sum of $200,000, thereby effecting a saving of $300,000.

Resolved, That this plan be adopted and that the sum of $200,000 be set aside out of the Van Ness Avenue Extension Bond Fund, which

will effect a saving of $300,000 to the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco.

December 10, 1928—*Refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—5.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Privilege of the Floor.

Frank O'Brien, Secretary of Central Mission Improvement Association; *P. J. Kelly*, representing automobile dealers on Van Ness avenue; *Mr. McMullen*, representing property owners, and *J. Kelly*, representing Central Federation of Improvement Clubs, were granted the privilege of the floor and opposed the foregoing resolution and expressed themselves in favor of the plan for a straight line extension of Van Ness avenue as recommended by the Streets Committee and the City Engineer.

Supervisor Kent's Substitute Resolution.

Supervisor Kent presented the following as a substitute for the foregoing:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, debate of the extension of Van Ness avenue has developed many new facts as to its potential usefulness; and

Whereas, the creation of Howard street into a main boulevard is ultimately necessary as a result of the Van Ness avenue problem; and

Whereas, there must be a solution of the traffic problem at the southern end of Howard street by the creation of new streets to connect with the Bay Shore boulevard to the east and the Bernal Cut to the west; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Engineer be instructed to prepare plans and estimates of the cost of acquisition of necessary lands, construction and completion of a greater Howard street boulevard, from a point at Mission and Van Ness avenue, extending southerly and connecting with the Bay Shore boulevard to the east and Bernal Cut to the west.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Gallagher raised the point of order that Supervisor Kent's resolution should be referred to the Streets Committee, that the resolution does not, by its terms, delay the Van Ness avenue extension resolution.

Whereupon, Supervisor Kent's resolution was *referred to the Streets Committee.*

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton moved to postpone one week and the City Engigineer requested to bring in maps showing proposed extensions of boulevards to outlying districts and traffic maps and studies as requested by Supervisor Havenner.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Stanton, Toner—7.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Supervisor McSheehy's Resolution Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor McSheehy's resolution and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—5.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Supervisor McSheehy, before the vote was announced, changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice of reconsideration.
Supervisor Gallagher moved that the rules be suspended for the purpose of immediate reconsideration.
Motion *lost* by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd—11.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Toner—6.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the subject matter be made a Special Order of Business for 11 a. m. next Monday.
Supervisor Havenner suggested that information requested in Supervisor Stanton's motion be obtained by the Clerk.

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

Supervisor Havenner's War Memorial Resolution.

Supervisor McSheehy moved reconsideration of the vote whereby Supervisor Havenner's resolution providing for majority representation of war veterans on the Board of Trustees was adopted at a previous meeting.
Supervisor Hayden moved to postpone notice of reconsideration for two weeks.
Motion *lost* by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—7.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—9.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.
Excused—Supervisor Suhr—1.

Reconsideration Refused.

Whereupon, the roll was called on reconsideration of the Havenner resolution and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Toner—6.
Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Suhr—2.

Joint Committee to Report on Plans for War Memorial Buildings.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:
Resolution No. 30103 (New Series), as follows:
Whereas, at a public meeting of the War Memorial Trustees, held in the Board of Supervisors' chambers December 6, 1928, after a complete discussion by the trustees and others of the proposed plans, etc., it appeared that there was dissatisfaction among the veterans of all wars as to these plans; and
Whereas, it is advisable that this work proceed at the earliest possible date, and to that end these differences be harmonized, if possible; therefore, be it
Resolved, That a committee of three members of this Board be appointed by the chair to serve with a joint committee consisting of his

Honor the Mayor, three representatives of the present Board of War Memorial Trustees and three representatives of the veterans' organizations of San Francisco to study the differences that have arisen over the plans for the War Memorial project, and report to this Board any suggestions as to a solution of the problems involved; and be it

Further Resolved, That this committee be authorized, in so far as this Board can do so, to call meetings and conferences as in its judgment are advisable to bring about an agreement among all parties affected to permit the actual construction to proceed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner—15.

No—Supervisor Andriano—1.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Suhr—2.

(*Supervisors Marks, Hayden and Kent appointed.*)

National High School Football in San Francisco.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and *refused passage* by the following vote:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on Thanksgiving Day, November 29, 1928, at Kezar Stadium, before 50,000 people, the Lowell High School football team won the championship of San Francisco for the third consecutive time.

Whereas, in Chicago, on the 8th day of December, 1928, the Tilden Technical High School football team won the championship of that city; and

Whereas, the Tilden Technical High School have stated that they will come to San Francisco and play the Lowell High School in the Kezar Stadium on the 22d day of December of this year, provided there is a guarantee that their expenses will be paid; and

Whereas, from the interest expressed by the people of San Francisco in attending the Lowell-Polytechnic High School championship football game in such numbers on Thanksgiving Day of this year, it is to be expected that the same interest will be manifested by the citizens of San Francisco in attending this contest between the champion high school of Chicago and the champion high school of San Francisco; and

Whereas, at a meeting of the Board of Education, to be held on December 11, 1928, at 4 p. m., their approval will be requested for this game, and from all that I can learn from both the faculty and the students, it appears certain that the request to hold the game will be granted; and

Whereas, this contest will have for its purpose the establishing of a precedent that will mean the holding of a high school football championship game between a high school of the East and a high school of the West annually in our own Kezar Stadium that will seat 65,000 people, and will, in a most forcible manner, demonstrate to the people of the East the wonderful climate that we have here.

Resolved, That this Board sanction the holding of this game and the Public Welfare Committee be requested to set aside the sum of $7,000 out of the Publicity and Advertising Fund as a guarantee for the expense of bringing this team from Chicago to San Francisco and that said sum so guaranteed be repaid to the Public Welfare Committee from the receipts of said game.

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Todd, Toner—6.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Suhr—4.

Special Committee to Represent San Francisco in State Legislature.

Supervisors Marks, Schmidt and McGovern were appointed as a special committee to represent San Francisco in the State Legislature and

were requested to call a conference at earliest possible date with San Francisco's delegation to consider matters of interest to this City.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Contracts for Symphony Artists.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be authorized to enter into and execute contracts for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco with the following named persons for services in connection with the Pop Concerts to be held during the months of December, 1928; January, February and March, 1929, at the compensation herein specified:

Musical Association of San Francisco, for five (5) concerts by the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, Alfred Hertz, conductor, at the rate of two thousand ($2,000) dollars for each concert.

Madame Frieda Hempel, for a song recital at the cost of two thousand ($2,000) dollars.

Reinald Werrenrath, for a song recital at the cost of twelve hundred ($1200) dollars.

Donald Pirnie, for a song recital at the cost of five hundred ($500) dollars.

Death of Reverend Father Mulligan, Pastor of St Joseph's Church.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 30101 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has called the Reverend Patrick J. Mulligan, pastor of St. Joseph's Church and an outstanding clergyman and member of this community for many years. He was identified with the progress of this City for many years and was dearly beloved by his parishioners and all with whom he came in contact; and

Whereas, he served with distinction as Secretary to the Archbishop, an office which required considerable tact and skill, and he was ever interested in the material and spiritual welfare of the district in which he was located, taking an active interest in all matters which would advance that locality; therefore,

Resolved, That it is with profound regret that we regard his passing; that the community's loss cannot be adequately measured, and that we join with others in their expressions of sorrow; and that when this Board adjourns, it does so as a tribute of respect to his memory.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Suhr—4.

Death of William A. Carle.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 30104 (New Series) as follows:

Whereas, William A. Carle was called to his eternal rest on December 7, 1928; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors extends to his mother, Grata A. Carle, and brother, Jackson T. Carle, its sincere sympathy and condolence at their sad bereavement; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread upon the minutes and that when the Board adjourns it do so out of respect to his memory.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Mc-

Govern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Suhr—4.

Death of John E. McCauley.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 30105 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in the passing of John E. McCauley, Chief Engineer of the Civic Auditorium, who died on December 4, 1928, the City of San Francisco has lost a faithful and efficient employee; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors express to his wife and family its sincere sympathy and regret in their hour of bereavement; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread upon the minutes and that when the Board adjourns it do so out of respect to his memory.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Toner—14.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Suhr—4.

Explanation of Votes.

Supervisor Marks, Roncovieri and Toner declared that if they had been present at the morning session they would have voted aye on all uncontested matters.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 8:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 31, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 52

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, December 17, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 17, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 17, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Havenner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of November 19 and 26, 1928, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Telegram From Supervisor Gallagher.

Sonora, Calif., Dec. 16, 1928.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall:

Am leaving here for meeting. Please defer matters in which Streets or Fire committees have to sponsor till about eleven. Tell Bury to work for Shannon this afternoon. Anderson will not be in till tonight.

8:17AM Dec 17 ANDREW J. GALLAGHER.

Read and *filed.*

Relative to East-West Football Game.

Communication, from University of Idaho, declaring that management, control or official connection with East-West college game suggested is not feasible for the Pacific Coast Intercollegiate Athletic Conference as an organization. No objection, however, to the acceptance by any member of the conference of an invitation to play said game in San Francisco.

Read and *filed.*

Letter of Thanks.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from California Wool Growers Association, thanking Board for its liberal contribution toward success of its Twenty-first Annual Convention.

Read and *filed.*

Relative to Stanford Football Victory.

Communication, from Alfred R. Masters, Board of Athletic Control, Stanford University, expressing appreciation of the wonderful reception accorded the victorious Stanford football team by the City of San Francisco on its return from New York after the Army game.

Also, Mayor's letter of congratulation on same subject.

Read and *filed.*

Telegram, Boulder Dam.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Calif., Dec. 15, 1928.

Hon. Hiram W. Johnson, United States Senator, Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator Johnson: Congratulations on your wonderful victory after years of hard work and exasperating opposition. Three cheers for Boulder Dam and you and Congressman Swing.

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Read and *filed*.

Golden Gate Bridge District.

Supervisor Kent called attention to recent developments in the matter of the construction of the proposed Golden Gate Bridge and declared that it was necessary that the Board of Supervisors nominate and elect a Board of Trustees to function in the near future.

Supervisor Kent moved that James D. Phelan, Matt I. Sullivan, Charles Kendrick, F. C. McDonald and Supervisor Franck R. Havenner be selected.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment that the subject matter be referred to the Public Utilties Committee.

Amendment *carried*.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

The following matter, laid over on notice of reconsideration given by Supervisor McSheehy, was taken up:

Van Ness Avenue Extension. (On clip.)

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on November 8, 1927, a bond issue of $500,000 was carried for the extension of Van Ness avenue from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street, extending southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes, approximate length one-sixteenth (1/16th) of a mile, with 125 feet; and

Whereas, on October 8, 1928, Plan No. 8332 was submitted by the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission street to Howard street and the same failed of approval by this Board on said date wholly on account of the prohibitive cost; and

Whereas, the widening of Twelfth street on both sides to a width of 125 feet, as per plans made a part hereof, can be executed and completed for the sum of $200,000, thereby effecting a saving of $300,000.

Resolved, That this plan be adopted and that the sum of $200,000 be set aside out of the Van Ness Avenue Extension Bond Fund, which will effect a saving of $300,000 to the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco.

December 10, 1928—*Refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—5.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—12.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

December 10, 1928—Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that be would move for reconsideration at this meeting.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved reconsideration of the vote whereby the foregoing resolution was refused passage at the last meeting.

Communications.

The following were read by the Clerk:

December 14, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The eleventh hour proposal to change the course of

the extension of Van Ness avenue to Howard street was discussed by a representative meeting of the Mission Street Merchants Association, property owners, business and professional men, which emphatically, for the second time, went on record in favor of the original plan as adopted by the voters at the election in November, 1927.

The extension as carried by the voters after due consideration will be of greater benefit to the entire City of San Francisco and in the last analysis will be less costly and hazardous than the alternate plan, which will necessitate the construction of a bridge over or else an underpass under the net work of railroad tracks which cross Twelfth street.

The Board of Supervisors, after careful and lengthy analysis and consideration, presented this first plan to the voters at the bond election in November, 1927, and recommended its adoption. This plan was carried and thereby become a mandate which should be respected and not ignored. In addition the Association also believes the expert knowledge of the City Engineer's Department should be given the greatest consideration.

The Mission Street Merchants Association therefore requests the Board of Supervisors to at once put through its original intention and extend Van Ness avenue to Howard street.

Very truly yours,

MISSION STREET MERCHANTS ASSOCIATION,
Gus Lachman, President.
J. C. Marshall, Secretary.

Filed.

San Francisco, December 14, 1928.

Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen: This Association favors the plan of the City Engineer for the straight extension of Van Ness avenue, and hereby expresses to your Board its desire that no change in his plans be made by your Board.

SUNSET TRANSPORTATION AND
DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION.
C. F. Griffin, M. D., Secretary.

Filed.

December 14, 1928.

The Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen—Re Van Ness Avenue Extension:

At a special meeting called for the purpose, the Valencia Street Association has unanimously gone on record in favor of the original plan, as adopted at the election of November, 1927.

After a careful analysis of the entire situation, the plan as originally proposed by the City Engineer was considered by far the most logical, economical and desirable, due to a number of reasons. The alternate plan, calling for the widening of Twelfth street, as proposed by Supervisor McSheehy, would necessitate the construction of a bridge or underpass at the railroad track crossing at Twelfth street. It would necessitate a goose-neck angle at Twelfth and Mission, which is held highly undesirable, and when all of the details were taken into consideration, the saving in the estimation of the Committee, and based upon the expert knowledge of the City Engineer's office, would be negligible in comparison to the greater benefits, greater efficiency and greater beauty of the original plan.

We trust you will not be swerved from the original decision to run the Van Ness avenue extension in a straight line from Market diagonally to Howard street.

Respectfully yours,

VALENCIA STREET ASSOCIATION,
By Fred Braun, Secretary.

Filed.

Hon. Sylvan J. Lazarus, representing the Mission Merchants Improvement Association, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board favoring the route recommended by the City Engineer and by the Streets Committee.

Whereupon, the roll being called on reconsideration, the same was *refused* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers—4.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Approval of Plans for Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

Resolution No. 30106 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 102381 (Second Series), recommend the approval of Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby approves said Plan No. L-8332 of the City Engineer for the extension of Van Ness avenue from Mission to Howard streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, McGovern, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers—4.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Communication From City Attorney in re Claim of Benton C. Fremont et al. to Lands at Black Point, Also Known as Point San Jose, and Now Occupied by United States Government as Fort Mason Military Reservation.

The following was read by the Clerk:

December 15, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Dears Sirs: Your Honorable Board has asked me to report upon the above matter to the end that you may give proper consideration to the request of Mr. Benton C. Fremont that the claim made by himself and other descendants of the late General John C. Fremont may be acted upon by the Congress of the United States.

The lands in question are embraced within the area bounded by Bay street, Van Ness avenue, Laguna street and the waters of the Bay, and comprise some fifty-five acres. Prior to the year 1863 these lands, together with adjoining lands, were owned and occupied by various individuals and held as private holdings. Among the owners was Jessie Benton Fremont, the wife of General John C. Fremont, who owned Lots Nos. 4 and 5, Block 106, Lots 2 and 3 of Block 107, Lots 1, 2 and 3 of Block 108, and Lots 1 and 2 of Block 178 of the Western Addition.

Another owner was Leonidas Haskel, whom it is claimed owned (and I believe that the claim is correct) Blocks 181, 182, 183, 174, 175, 176 and 103, the south one-half of Block 177, the south one-half of Block 109, the south one-half of Block 106, 2 lots in Block 110, and a small corner in Block 180 of the Western Addition. The Haskel interests are now represented by Mr. Benton C. Fremont.

Among other owners were George Eggleton and Emile Grisar, who claimed to own the south one-half of Block 105, Western Addition.

The lands occupied by the persons above mentioned comprise all of the property now occupied by the Military Reservation known as Fort Mason, with the exception of two blocks bounded by North Point, Jeffer-

son, Gough and Franklin streets, which was in the laying out of the Western Addition blocks reserved as a public park, as were many other squares in that addition to the city. While records are not available the maps of the period show the last mentioned lands designated as Alcatraz Park. I have no doubt but that the title to this park was vested in the city.

Records are not available to show just what possession the various owners of the above described property had. Mr. Benton Fremont advises me that General Fremont and his family resided upon the lands above described claimed to have been owned by Mrs. Fremont, and had improved their holdings, for which they had paid $42,000, to the extent of some $40,000 worth of improvements, and Leonidas Haskel and his family resided upon the property which the Haskel heirs now claim.

On October 3, 1863, the United States military authorities at San Francisco, acting under the direction of the Secretary of War, and as a military measure made necessary by reason of the existing war, took possession of a tract of land embraced in a semi-circle with a radius of eight hundred feet, drawn from the outermost portion of Black Point. The lands embraced in the semi-circle included not only the present Fort Mason Reservation, but extended northerly to the southerly line of Chestnut street, easterly to the corner of Hyde and Jefferson streets and westerly to Webster street. I am advised that all occupants of the property within the semi-circle mentioned were removed from their holdings and the property was occupied by United States military forces immediately following October 3, 1863, and that this occupation continued until July 1, 1870. At the time that the property was occupied by the military forces, General Fremont was in active service, but those occupying his home were actually evicted thereafter.

On July 1, 1870, the Congress of the United States passed an act, dealing with all of the lands taken as above mentioned. The portions of the act pertinent to the instant case reading as follows:

"That all right and title of the United States to the military reservation in the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California, known as the Point San Jose Military Reservation, be and the same is hereby relinquished and granted to the City and County and to its successors for the uses and purposes as follows: First, to maintain all streets and alleys now laid out upon the official map of the City and County. Second, and then in trust to grant and convey the remainder of said lands to the parties severally who are at the date of the passage of this act in the actual bona fide possession thereof by themselves or their tenants, and in such parcels as the same are so held and possessed by them, or who if they have not such possession, were deprived thereof by the United States military authorities when they went into possession of such military reservation, or who were dispossessed thereof by intruders of or trespassers against whom possession may be recovered by legal process."

By the terms of the act mentioned the portion of the military reservation which is at the present time occupied by the Government, i. e., the present Fort Mason Reservation, was excepted from the operation of the act and retained by the Government.

Subsequent to the passage of the act above mentioned, all of the lands taken by the military authorities in 1863, with the exception of the present Fort Mason Reservation, were returned to the various owners in conformity with the provisions of the act.

I have not had opportunity to make any investigation regarding the record title to the property heretofore claimed to have been occupied by Mrs. Fremont, Leonidas Haskel, Eggleton or Grisar, with the exception that there has been submitted to me a report of the California Title Insurance and Trust Company, under date of September 18, 1901, certifying that on the 2d day of October, 1863, the title of the property above described, as having been occupied by General and Mrs. Fremont, was vested in Mrs. Jessie Benton Fremont, free and clear of all incumbrances.

This land as well as the other lands within the semi-circle occupied by the Government was within the limits of the four square leagues of land to which the Pueblo, or village of Yerba Buena, the predecessor of the present City of San Francisco, was entitled to under the Spanish and Mexican law, and with the merging of Yerba Buena into San Francisco, the latter city succeeded to the rights of the former to these lands.

Shortly after the acquisition of California, the United States established a Board of Land Commissioners to pass upon all claims to the lands in San Francisco held by virtue of Spanish or Mexican grants, or otherwise. In 1852 San Francisco presented to the Commission her claim for the four square leagues to which she succeeded from the Pueblo. The Government appeared in the proceeding but made no claim to Point San Jose or the lands adjacent thereto. In 1854 the Commission announced its decision confirming the claim of San Francisco to practically all of the lands claimed, including Point San Jose and the adjacent lands. Shortly after this confirmation the Supreme Court of California, in Norton vs. Hyatt, gave expression to the following language:

"The confirmation of the city title by the United States Land Commissioners and the dismissal by the Attorney-General of the appeal taken from their decision, has settled that no title to lands within the limits of that city can hereafter be acquired from the United States."

Basing its action upon this decision, the City disposed of a great portion of the Western Addition, including lands on Point San Jose, to private owners, and created Alcatraz Park and other parks in this particular addition. Many of these titles were afterwards confirmed by the Van Ness Ordinance, passed by the City in 1855. While I have not the opportunity of examining the record of Mrs. Fremont's title, the fact that the California Title Insurance and Trust Co. has certified it as being good, leads me to believe that it was confirmed, either to her or to her predecessors, under the ordinance.

In a personal and unofficial letter, under date of December 14, 1895, addressed to Mrs. Fremont by Honorable Stephen J. Field, former Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of California, and at that date an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, relative to her claim to lands in question, Judge Field said: "At different times I expressed to General Fremont my opinion as to the equity of his claim and the duty of Congress to compensate him for his loss, occasioned by the Government taking the property he claimed, and I shall express the same opinion whenever I am properly called upon for it."

On different occasions, in the Senate of the United States, and in the House of Representatives, bills have been favorably reported granting to Mrs. Fremont relief for the taking of her property, but the bills failed to receive the approval of both houses.

On February 10, 1893, a bill passed the Congress directing the Court of Claims to adjudicate the claim of Mrs. Fremont, but the court held the Act unconstitutional without going into the merits of the case.

On February 14, 1901, the Senate of the State of California memorialized the Congress of the United States to take favorable action on Mrs. Fremont's claim.

From the above it would appear that it was both officially and unofficially recognized that Mrs. Fremont had some claim against the Government for the taking of her property.

Conclusions: From the foregoing it would appear:

(a) That Mrs. Fremont was in possession of certain property at Black Point on October 3, 1863, and that, according to the report of the California Pacific Title and Trust Company, her title thereto was good.

(b) That on that date Mrs. Fremont and other occupants of property situate on Black Point were ordered to vacate their properties by

the military authorities of the United States, who took possession of the same for military purposes.

(c) That Mrs. Fremont was not compensated for the taking of her property.

(d) That pursuant to the Act of Congress of July 1, 1870, a considerable portion of the property occupied by the military authorities in October, 1863, has been returned to those who owned it on that date, but that the property formerly occupied by Mrs. Fremont, Haskel, Eggleton, Grisar and the City was excepted from the provisions of the Act.

(e) That the right of the United States Government to the property which was returned to the former owners was identical with its right to the property of Mrs. Fremont, Haskel, Eggleton and Grisar, and if these persons were included in the Act, it, too, would have returned.

(f) That the title of the United States Government to Alcatraz Park was no stronger than to any of the other property occupied in 1863, and this property would have been returned to the City if it was included in the provisions of the Act of July 1, 1870.

Mr. Benton Fremont's Request.

Mr. Benton Fremont is the grandson of General John C. and Jessie Benton Fremont, both of whom are long since dead. He intends to ask the Congress to enact a bill similar to the Act of July 1, 1870, ceding to San Francisco the property now occupied by Fort Mason, to be by the City granted to those who were the owners thereof on October 3, 1863. He has expressed a willingness to permit the City to acquire at a reasonable price any or all the property which he or the other heirs of General Fremont, or the heirs of Leonidas Haskel, may receive should such a bill be enacted. His desire is that the Board of Supervisors should by suitable resolution petition the Congress to pass such a bill, for the reason that if the bill affording him relief is not endorsed by Municipal or State authority, in all probability the matter will be referred to the Court of Claims, and even if that tribunal should act favorably upon it, all he could expect to receive was a monetary compensation based upon the value of his family's property at the time it was taken, together with interest on that amount from the date of the taking.

While efforts were from time to time made by General Fremont, and after his death by his widow, for the return of her property, or for compensation for its taking, these efforts were not concluded, for the reason that General Fremont was in active military service almost to the time of his death, and his two sons, who afterwards took up the cause for their mother, were engaged in like service, one in the Army and one in the Navy, and much of their time was spent on duty absent from the United States, hence their claimed inability to prosecute the claim. While these facts are given by Mr. Benton Fremont as a reason for permitting the claim to remain dormant since his mother's death, they are not sufficient to prevent the statute of limitations from having run against the claim, and the only way that relief can now be granted is by Congressional action.

I understand that the claim of the Government to the Fort Mason Reservation is based upon an executive order issued by President Fillmore in 1851, reserving from the public lands of California a certain one hundred acres of land for military purposes, and that Black Point was a portion of this tract. This order was, however, never proclaimed, nor was it ever filed in the land office in California, and was for many years looked upon as of no effect, and the land covered by it was allotted to private owners. In 1867, however, the Supreme Court of the United States held the order valid, and that those who occupied this land did so without right. The Act of July 1, 1870, was the result of this decision, which restored to the original owners practically one-half the acreage affected by the executive order of the President. Mr. Fremont's contention is, that his predecessors were

as much entitled to relief from Congress as those who obtained it in 1870, as the same facts pertain in both instances.

There are many other facts relative to the matter which have come under my observation, but I refrain from adding to this already very lengthy report, as they neither change the facts reported or the conclusions reached herein.

Mr. Fremont has submitted to me the enclosed resolution, asking that I transmit it to your Honorable Board, with his request that it be adopted, as he believes, as I have said, that its adoption will be of material assistance to him in having the Congress pass upon the merits of this claim.

Sincerely,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Read and *filed*.

San Francisco, December 15th, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Confirming a statement made by City Attorney John J. O'Toole, in a letter under this date addressed by him to your Honorable Board relative to the claim to be made to the Government of the United States by myself on behalf of the heirs of General John C. Fremont and the heirs of Leonidas Haskel for the restoration of certain lands now occupied by the Government as the Fort Mason Military Reservation, which statement is to the effect that should these lands be restored I would be willing to permit the City to acquire them for public purposes at a reasonable price, I will state: That should these lands be restored, I will permit them to be appraised by three disinterested appraisers, and will accept from the City for said lands, or such portion thereof as the City may desire to acquire, the price fixed by said appraisers, less a discount of five per cent. And if Alcatraz Park should be restored to the City, I will exchange with the City, area for area, for Alcatraz Park, a sufficient amount of land around the entire bay frontage of the property now occupied by the United States Government for the Fort Mason Military Reservation, to enable the City to slope the sides of the reservation for use as park purposes, it being understood that none of the flat land shall be taken for this purpose. Should the area required for this purpose differ from the area of Alcatraz Park, difference to be paid by either party at appraised price.

This offer is contingent upon its acceptance within six months from the date of title being finally confirmed in claimants to lands above mentioned.

BENTON FREMONT, Personally.

And as attorney in fact: S. A. Fremont, Capt. John C. Fremont, Juliet Hull, Jessie Benton Fremont, the heirs of Leonidas Haskel.

Read and *filed*.

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton moved the suspension of the rules and the consideration of the foregoing matter.

No objection.

Privilege of the Floor.

Whereupon Benton Fremont and City Attorney Jno. O'Toole were granted the privilege of the floor and were heard relative to the foregoing matter.

Adopted.

Thereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 30107 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, as the successor of the Mexican Pueblo known as Yerba Buena, and afterwards San Francisco, became entitled to the pueblo lands belonging to the said pueblo,

and thereafter by ordinance duly and regularly passed (known as the Van Ness Ordinance) granted to the respective parties in possession at a given date title to certain portions of said land; and

Whereas, a part of said lands so granted consisted of the area of about 100 acres embracing the present site of Fort Mason and lands contiguous thereto west of Van Ness avenue; and

Whereas, thereafter the Supreme Court of the State of California validated the said Van Ness Ordinance and confirmed the titles thereunder; and

Whereas, the grantees of said city, holding the title of said city to such lands, and their successors in interest, improved many parts of the said property and were in possession thereof in undisputed claim and right; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco reserved two square blocks of said pueblo land for a park, known as Alcatraz Square; and

Whereas, in 1863 the Secretary of War directed the Commanding General at San Francisco to take possession of said 100 acres and fortify the same in whole or in part as a war emergency measure in anticipation of privateer attack on San Francisco, and in pursuance of said direction the occupants of said 100 acres of land were dispossessed by the military authorities without compensation and as a war emergency, and referred for relief to Washington; and

Where, the dispossessed owners, subsequent to the war, appealed to Congress for relief, and thereafter Congress, by an act passed in 1870, restored about 50 acres of said land to the owners thereof, but no relief was given to the other grantees of said city, though entitled thereto; and

Whereas, it is to the interest of the City of San Francisco that the areas involved should be restored to the rightful owners to be made the site of homes, and the City should repossess itself of said Alcatraz Square, and enlarge its park areas if the City so desire; and

Whereas, the State of California did, on February 14, 1901, after a careful investigation of the facts and records, memorialize the Congress of the United States to exact relief legislation for the benefit of Mrs. Jessie Benton Fremont; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, through the Board of Supervisors thereof, do hereby respectfully memorialize the National Congress to enact the proper legislation like unto that of said Act of 1870 restoring the balance of said land to the parties entitled thereto or their successors in interest; and

Resolved Further, That the Mayor and the Clerk of this Board send a copy of this resolution to the Honorable Hiram W. Johnson and the Honorable Samuel M. Shortridge, United States Senators from California, and to the respective Congressmen from California, with the request that they cooperate in all proper ways to the securing of such legislation.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher—2.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Turkeys for Christmas.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. this date for furnishing turkeys for Christmas and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Providing for Issuance and Redemption of Sewer Bonds.

Bill No. 8793, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and

County of San Francisco to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit:

The construction and extension of the sewer system in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market streets to Fifteenth and Harrison streets; College Hill Tunnel from the Mission street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose avenue and Army street; Alemany boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission street to the vicinity of Revere avenue and Industrial street; Twenty-third avenue and Geary street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh avenue and Geary street to Twenty-third avenue and Lake street, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the sixth day of November, 1928.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the sixth day of November, 1928, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 8197 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 29732 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 30034 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the sixth day of November, 1928, and the provisions of the Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 8197 (New Series) and Resolution No. 29732 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the purpose of the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit:

The construction and extension of the sewer system in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market streets to Fifteenth and Harrison streets; College Hill Tunnel from the Mission street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose avenue and Army street; Alemany boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission street to the vicinity of Revere avenue and Industrial street; Twenty-third avenue and Geary street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh avenue and Geary street to Twenty-third avenue and Lake street.

That such bonds shall be called "Sewer Bonds, 1929," shall be 2200 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 2200, both inclusive, and shall be payable one hundred thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one hundred thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest

thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.

SEWER BOND, 1929.

No. ——. $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor

and Treasurer and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

.....
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. (Seal)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———, 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Sewer Bond" dated January 1, 1929, number ———.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 8197 (New Series) and Resolution No. 29732 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "1929 Sewer Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of 1929 Sewer Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "1929 Sewer Bond Construction Fund," which fund

is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Providing for Issuance and Redemption of Hospital Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8794, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit:

Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a Psychopathic Building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adajacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extennsion of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of health centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the sixth day of November, 1928.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the sixth day of November, 1928, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 8198 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 29733 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 30033 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such spcial election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat;

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the sixth day of November, 1928, and the provisions of the Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 8198 (New Series), and Resolution No. 29733 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the purpose of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of certain permanent improvements, to-wit:

Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a Psychopathic Building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco

Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of Health Centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings.

That such bonds shall be called "Hospital Bonds 1929," shall be 3500 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 3500, both inclusive, and shall be payable one hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
HOSPITAL BOND 1929.

No..... $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ....., 19..., one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County, voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constition or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the

payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....,
Mayor.

.....,
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....,
Auditor.

.....,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
(Seal.)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No.———— $————

On .....1, 19..., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ... dollars ($.....) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Hospital Bonds" dated January 1, 1929, number.....

.....,
Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond, to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ..., 19....

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ....., and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 8198 (New Series) and Resolution No. 29733 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "1929 Hospital Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of 1929 Hospital Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "1929 Hospital Bond Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used execlusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing the Mayor to Execute Contracts in Connection With Pop Concerts.

On recommendation of Auditorium Committee.

Resolution No. 30108 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be authorized to enter into and execute contracts for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco with the following named persons for services in connection with the Pop Concerts to be held during the months of December, 1928; January, February and March, 1929, at the compensation herein specified:

Musical Association of San Francisco, for five (5) concerts by the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, Alfred Hertz, conductor, at the rate of two thousand ($2,000) dollars for each concert.

Madame Frieda Hempel, for a song recital at the cost of two thousand ($2,000) dollars.

Reinald Werrenrath, for a song recital at the cost of twelve hundred ($1200) dollars.

Donald Pirnie, for a song recital at the cost of five hundred ($500) dollars.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Eighteenth Avenue, North of Geary Street.

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 8789, Ordinance No. 8288 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specic uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 3 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 50 feet, and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Silver Avenue Between Colby and Bowdoin Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8790, Ordinance No. 8289 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Silver Avenue, between Colby street and Bowdoin street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Northeast Corner Pine and Steiner Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8791, Ordinance No. 8290 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeast corner of Pine street and Steiner street, for a distance of 102 feet on Pine street and 80 feet on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on the date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30109 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium—Appropriation 56.

(1) Maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of November (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928) $4,112.56

Park Fund.

(2) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928) $519.28

(3) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Park employees (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928) 556.82

Library Fund.

(4) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for Public Libraries (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) $810.00

(5) Foster & Futernick Co., binding of library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 2,341.07

(6) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 1,053.19

(7) Sather Gate Book Shop, library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 652.67

(8) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 1,014.05

(9) Houghton-Mifflin Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 572.13

San Francisco War Memorial Trust Fund.

(10) E. J. Treacy, final payment for construction of sewer and appurtenances in Franklin street between Fulton and Grove streets (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) $1,908.40

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(11) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), twenty-third payment, construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset Tunnel (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) $9,327.50

(12) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), twenty-third (A) payment, extra work, on construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset Tunnel (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 29,680.58

County Road Fund.

(13) California Rock Co., gravel, etc., for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) $1,997.14

(14) Coast Rock and Gravel Co., sand, etc., for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 4,184.29

(15) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 905.14
(16) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 1,322.80
(17) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 1,215.02
(18) The Texas Co., fuel oil for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 828.94
(19) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for reconstruction of streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 880.75
(20) Daniel C. McCabe, removing sand from Thirty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets (claim dated Nov. 28, 1928) 1,500.00
(21) The Fay Improvement Co., reconstruction of Montgomery street between Green and Union streets, extra work (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928) 3,132.84
(22) The Spring Valley Water Co., lowering high-pressure mains in Vallejo street between Kearny and Montgomery streets (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928) 600.00

Auditorium Fund.

(23) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of Frieda Hempel, soloist at concert of December 4, 1928 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928) $2,000.00
(24) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at concert of December 4, 1928 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 2,000.00
(25) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at concert of November 22, 1928 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928) 2,000.00
(26) San Francisco Opera Association, refund of indemnity bond covering occupancy of Auditorium September 12 to October 3, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928) 1,000.00

Boulevard Bond Fund.

(27) Louis J. Cohn, fourth payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section A, Contract 6 (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) $7,800.00
(28) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, third payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section D, Contract 7 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 20,250.00
(29) Eaton & Smith, seventh payment, construction of Alemany boulevard, Section B, Contract 4 (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 16,050.00
(30) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, third payment, improvement of Alemany boulevard, Section E, Contract 5 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 11,850.00
(31) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, fourth payment, improvement of the Great Highway, Contract 8 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 45,000.00
(32) Healy-Tibbitts Construction Co., seventh payment, construction of Ocean Beach Esplanade, Section E, Contract 1 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 27,000.00

Special School Tax.

(33) F. C. Amoroso, first payment, alterations to playfield at Pacific Heights School (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) $1,785.00
(34) R. Flatland, fourth payment, electrical work for addition to Edward R. Taylor School (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 748.13
(35) Meyer Bros., fourth payment, additions and alterations to Jefferson School (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 7,660.66
(36) American Painting and Decorating Co., first payment, painting for addition to Edward Robeson School (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 1,311.81

(37) Amoroso & Filippis, fourth payment, general construction of Edward Robeson School (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 5,653.50
(38) O. Monson, first payment, finish carpentry on Edward Robeson School (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 6,553.50
(39) Sorenson & Haggmark, third payment, addition to John Swett School (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 2,725.99
(40) W. P. Fuller & Co., white lead in oil for school buildings (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 532.50
(41) George H. Tay Co., drinking fountains for various schools (claim dated Dec. 3, 1928) 867.50

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(42) Margaret McHenry, in full settlement of all claims for damages sustained by reason of accident of Nov. 20, 1928 (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) ..... $ 700.00
(43) Henry Schindle, in full settlement of all claims for damages sustained by reason of accident occurring June 16, 1927 (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 1,250.00

Municipal Railway Fund.

(44) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions of employees during November (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) $2,112.14

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(45) Monson Bros., removing old floor, Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928) ..... $ 1,223.75
(46) Kleiber Motor Co., one auto ambulance for use of Coroner (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 3,700.00
(47) United Drayage, Rigging & Warehouse Co., delivery and return of voting machines (claim dated Nov. 27, 1928) 3,379.95
(48) Oscar Aaron, first payment, plumbing for Sunset Police Station (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 824.82
(49) H. H. Larsen Co., second payment, general construction of Sunset Police Station (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 6,582.50
(50) H. Leopold Machine & Gear Works, installing belt conveyer for Street Repair Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1928) 504.03
(51) T. M. Gallagher, construction of sewer in Carolina street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets (claim dated Dec. 1, 1928) 1,200.00
(52) The Texas Company, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House and Hall of Justice (claim dated Dec. 1, 1928) 1,513.54
(53) County Road Fund, reimbursement for materials and supplies used for street reconstruction during October (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 2,132.71
(54) County Road Fund, reimbursement for materials and supplies used in street reconstruction during October (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 1,498.70
(55) County Road Fund, reimbursement for materials and supplies used for street reconstruction, October (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 514.83
(56) D. C. McCabe, first payment, furnishing, delivering and erecting street signs (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 1,200.00
(57) Meyer Rosenberg, second payment, construction of runway and drainage at San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 10,800.00
(58) Edward Lowe Motors Co., one Lincoln auto for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 5,105.75
(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished, and installing of hydrants, for Fire Dept. (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 3,082.44
(60) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928) 1,608.75

(61) Dion R. Holm, legal services, month of December, in telephone rate litigation (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928)..... 850.00
(62) R. Randall Ellis, engineering services, month of December, in telephone rate litigation (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 750.00
(63) Market Street Railway Co., refund of first installment of taxes paid on property situate at Frederick and Willard streets, purchased by the City and County as per agreement of sale dated March 12, 1923 (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)... 1,336.50

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(64) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, for expense of printing, advertising, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928).. $9,179.90
(65) San Francisco Summer Symphony Association, Thos. F. Boyle (Auditor), Treasurer; for the expense of holding Summer Symphony Concerts, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco; additional (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928) 4,500.00

County Road Fund.

(66) Frank Flint, Treasurer of Board of Directors of Proposed Joint Highway District composed of San Francisco, Marin, Sonoma, Mendocino and Humboldt counties, for the expense of preliminary survey, etc., of said Proposed Joint Highway District, as per appropriation by Resolution No. 29691, New Series (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)..... $2,000.00

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(67) John J. Dailey, for legal services during December in matter of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928)..... $850.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

City Attorney to Condemn Lands Required for Health Center Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 30110 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Grove street, distant thereon 249 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 80 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 80 feet to the southerly line of Grove street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Grove street, distant thereon 225 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 80 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 80 feet to the southerly line of Grove street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Ivy street, distant thereon 201 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of

Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said northerly line 48 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 80 feet to the southerly line of Grove street; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 120 feet to the northerly line of Ivy street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Grove street, distant thereon 177 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 80 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 80 feet to the southerly line of Grove street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Ivy street, distant thereon 141 feet easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said northerly line 36 feet 2½ inches; thence at right angles northerly 120 feet to the southerly line of Grove street; thence at right angles westerly 34 feet; thence at right angles southerly 86 feet 8¾ inches; thence northwesterly 2 feet 5 inches to a point in a line drawn at right angles northerly to the northerly line of Ivy street from the point of beginning, said point being distant northerly along said line 34 feet 3⅛ inches from said northerly line of Ivy street; thence southerly along said line so drawn 34 feet 3⅛ inches to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 6. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Ivy street, distant thereon 177 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said northerly line 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 40 feet to the northerly line of Ivy street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 7. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Ivy street, distant thereon 249 feet 2½ inches easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue, and running thence easterly along said northerly line 24 feet; thence at right angles northerly 40 feet; thence at right angles westerly 24 feet; thence at right angles southerly 40 feet to the northerly line of Ivy street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block No. 68, Western Addition.

Parcel 8. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-second street and the easterly line of Potrero avenue, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 713 feet; thence at right angles easterly 200 feet; thence at right angles southerly 313 feet; thence at right angles easterly 80 feet; thence at right angles southerly 400 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-second street; thence at right angles westerly along said northerly line 280 feet to the easterly line of Potrero avenue and the point of beginning.

Being all of Block 78 and a portion of Block 77 of the Rancho Del Potrero Nuevo, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", at page 78, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 9. All of Block 90 of the Rancho Del Potrero Nuevo, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," at page 78, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, for general purposes of the Board of Health and particularly for the enlarging of the Central Emergency Hospital and the establishment of a Health Center Building, Psychopathic Building, Cancer Institute and other buildings. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land

and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Appropriation, $1,100, Payment to William F. Eckhardt et al., Satisfaction of Judgment for Land for Bernal Cut Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30111 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of eleven hundred dollars ($1,100) be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the "Bernal Cut" Bonds, and to be payable to William F. Eckhardt and Elizabeth Eckhardt, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in that certain action entitled, City and County of San Francisco vs. William F. Eckhardt and Elizabeth Eckhardt, defendants, and numbered 195126 of the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of land for boulevard purposes; and be it further

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Appropriation, $5,000, Payment to Martin J. Gallagher et al., in Satisfaction of Judgment for Land for Bernal Cut Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30112 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the Bernal Cut Bonds, and to be payable as hereinafter mentioned, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in action entitled, City and County of San Francisco vs. Martin J. Gallagher and Margaret Gallagher, defendants, and numbered 195126 of the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of land for Bernal Cut; that said sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) under the terms and provisions of said judgment, to be payable to defendants, subject to a first lien of The San Francisco Bank in the sum one thousand two hundred dollars ($1,200) with interest thereon at six per cent (6%) per annum from November 1, 1928. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Amending Additional Positions Ordinance, Telephone Operator for Assessor's Office.

Also, Bill No. 8792, Ordinance No. 8291 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to Section 9 thereof, to be known as Subdivision (m).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 9 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended by adding

a new subdivision thereto to be known as Subdivision (m), and to read as follows:

(m) One telephone operator at a salary of $1,800 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(Assessor's office.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Appropriations for School Construction.

Also, Resolution No. 30113 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the following school construction, to-wit:

For construction of an addition to the Polytechnic High School, to be erected on city property bounded by Frederick, Willard and Stanyan streets and Arguello Boulevard, to-wit:

(1) General construction (Mahoney Bros. contract)	$121,644.00
(2) Plumbing work (George Frankel contract)	6,147.00
(3) Mechanical equipment (Scott Co.)	6,220.00
(4) Extras, incidentals and inspection	3,000.00
(5) Architect's fee, additional	2,570.00
	$139,581.00

For the cost of additional mechanical equipment for the boiler room, shop and academic buildings of the Polytechnic High School, to-wit:

(6) Per award of contract to J. E. O'Mara	$21,633.00
(7) For extras, incidentals and inspection	1,000.00
	$22,633.00

For the cost of constructing the Harrison Street Warehouse on school property situated on Harrison street between Tenth and Eleventh streets, for school purposes, to-wit:

(8) Per award to Barrett & Hilp	$68,781.00
(9) Extras, incidentals and engineering	2,500.00
	$71.281.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Appropriations for Various Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 30114 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated accounts of the General Fund, for the following purposes, to-wit:

City Hall Repairs and Painting, Budget Item No. 54.

(1) For the cost of fitting up rooms in the City Hall for the use of the Superior Courts (to the credit of Bureau of Building Repair, Dept. of Public Works, Budget Item No. 428-B) ... $5,238.12

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 53.

(2) For cost of repairing brick work on Boiler No. 3 at the Laguna Honda Home	$998.00
(3) For cost of enclosing entrance to courtroom at Hall of Justice, occupied by Judge Golden)	$600.00

County Road Fund.

(4) For cost of laying an eight-inch water main in Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fifth streets, in connection with the paving of said street..... $2,000.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 30115 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

F. Antonioli, north side of Francisco street, 120 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christenson Bros., north side of Ellis street, 138 feet 6 inches west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Earl J. Osborne, 3476 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Payne, 212 Sixteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. S. Mallock, 1424 Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

St. Ignatius High School, east side of Stanyan street, 200 feet south of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Van Herrick, southeast corner of Waller and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Williams & Co., 459 Clementina street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Hee Tai Wo & Co., 1109 Grant avenue, 5 horsepower.

Wong Bing Tai, 2107 Larkin street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Granting Laundry Permit, J. T. Mondot, 138 Eddy Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30116 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. T. Mondot be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a French laundry at 138 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Garage Transfer, W. E. Taylor, Northwest Corner of Eddy and Buchanan Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 30117 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. E. Taylor be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at the northwest corner of Eddy and Buchanan streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Granting Laundry Permit, City of Paris French Laundry, 1531 Union Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30118 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City of Paris French Laundry be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a French laundry at 1531 Union street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Granting Auto Parking Station Permit, F. B. Hutchinson, South Side of Mission Street, 100 Feet West of Main Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30119 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. B. Hutchinson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Mission street, 100 feet west of Main street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Granting Auto Supply Station, James M. O'Day, Northwest Corner Twenty-sixth Avenue and Taraval Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30120 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. O'Day be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and Taraval street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $78,998.80, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Atlas Rock Co., gravel (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... $676.86
(2) Atlas Rock Co., gravel (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 1,756.43
(3) Atlas Rock Co., gravel (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 1,939.62
(4) American Blower Corporation, one blower engine (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 670.00
(5) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 516.98
(6) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 962.17
(7) Fleda O. Bunting, payment for easement in Alameda County, required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Coast Range Division (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 2,250.00
(8) Celite Products Co., celite for concrete (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 1,062.00
(9) Graybar Electric Co., Inc., electric parts (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 521.06
(10) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 747.45
(11) Hitchcock & Tinkler Equipment Co., electric locomotives, dump cars, etc (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 17,655.08
(12) Hooper & Jennings, foodstuffs (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 503.74
(13) Ingersoll Rand Co. of California, valves, nozzles, etc. (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 598.75
(14) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 604.34
(15) Loop Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).. 905.13
(16) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 1,856.32
(17) Macdonald & Harrington, lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 514.30
(18) Chas. Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).. 695.82
(19) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 1,725.66
(20) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 17,048.11
(21) United States Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 594.60
(22) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)... 1,042.45
(23) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)... 1,073.09
(24) E. K. Wood Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 606.37
(24a) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)..... 10,028.30
(25) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)..... 1,591.35
(26) John H. Thieler, Treasurer of the City and County, reimbursement for same amount advanced as deposit with County Clerk of Santa Clara County in connection with court action in the acquiring of Hetch Hetchy right of way in Alameda County (City and County v. Wm. J. Roney et al.) (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 10,000.00

Publicity and Advertising.

(27) Capitol Decorating Co., street decorations for account of Armistice Day Celebration (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928).... $1,010.00

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(28) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for relief of destitute women and children (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928)..... $1,260.00

1928 *Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund.*

(29) A. Carlisle & Co., lithographing 24,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)..... $3,250.00
(30) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, professional services re final opinion on $4,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, proportion of installment (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)..... 600.00
(31) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., lithographing 41,000 Spring Valley Water Bonds (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928).. 5,322.50

Boulevard Bond Issue Construction Fund.

(32) Federal Construction Co., fifth payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section B, Contract 3 (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928).....$12,450.00

Municipal Railway Fund.

(33) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., railway brake shoes (claim dated Dec. 8, 1928)..... $2,220.84

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(34) Street Repair Department (County Road Fund), Department of Public Works, reimbursement for paving on Geary street during September and October, 1928 (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)..... $4,344.20

Special School Tax.

(35) Park Commission, for care of school grounds during November (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928)..... $1,300.00
(36) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Balboa High School (claim dated Dec. 11, 1928)..... 905.70
(37) Rucker-Fuller Co., desks for Balboa High School (claim dated Dec. 11, 1928)..... 2,177.60

County Road Fund.

(38) James M. Smith, second payment, construction of Kezar Stadium roadway (claim dated Dec. 8, 1928).....$28,000.00
(39) McHugh Improvement Co., grading and constructing curbs on roadway of La Playa between Judah and Kirkham streets (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 2,687.50
(40) Pacific States Construction Co., improvement of Delano avenue between Oneida and Seneca avenues (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 1,942.00
(41) Meyer Rosenberg, grading on Laidley street between Fairmont and Miguel streets (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928).. 625.60
(42) T. I. Butler Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928)..... 1,871.22
(43) T. I. Butler Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928)..... 1,639.76
(44) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, sand for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928)..... 982.50

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(45) Haas Brothers, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928).....$ 2,157.75
(46) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 1,223.05
(47) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928).... 910.57
(48) California Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 3,040.04
(49) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 526.75

(50) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928) 2,329.80
(51) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928) 2,142.47
(52) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928) 4,232.73
(53) The Texas Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928) 2,211.86
(54) The Worthington Co., vacuum pumps for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928) 3,357.00
(55) Frederick A. Hamilton, sheets for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928) 510.00
(56) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928) 670.00
(57) Sussman, Wormser & Co., sugar for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928) 1,504.80
(58) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 12,628.55
(59) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 3,695.12
(60) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 35,578.73
(61) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 1,999.46
(62) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 2,537.10
(63) Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 1,237.10
(64) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 824.60
(65) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 1,297.97
(66) Presbyterian Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 549.87
(67) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, State Schools (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) month of October 732.90
(68) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, State Schools, month of November (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 741.33
(69) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928) 8,202.24
(70) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928) 8,207.20
(71) Eureka Benevolent Association, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928) 1,050.66
(72) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for November (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928) 58,787.05
(73) California State Automobile Association, traffic signs, through Police Department (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928) 775.10
(74) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Calendar, Journal, etc. (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928) .. 514.51
(75) The Bulletin, advertising election notice (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928) 601.20
(76) George Windeler Co., redwood tank for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 11, 1928) 2,950.00
(77) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Dec. 11, 1928) 2,014.56
(78) Shell Company, gasoline and oil, street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928) 1,331.97
(79) Raymond C. Ashworth, professional services as Chief Valuation Engineer in connection with valuation of properties of the Market Street Railway, including expenses, month of November (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928) 900.00

(80) E. J. & M. J. Treacy, constructing 10-inch manhole and 12-inch culvert from Edgardo place through right of way to Lombard street, and making connections (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 650.00

(81) Charles F. Taylor, first payment, compensation insurance for account of death of Thos. C. Taylor, street sweeper (claim dated Nov. 23, 1928) 668.32

Payment of Tax Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 57, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated persons; being one-tenth of amounts of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Cushing & Cushing, attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) $33,780.82

(2) To Hugo D. Newhouse, attorney for judgment creditor, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928) 20,399.23

(3) To Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe, attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec 12, 1928) 6,632.50

(4) To Leslie E. Burke and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 944.33

(5) To Leslie E. Burke and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 13,045.79

Payments for Properties Required for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons and companies; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Louis Depaoli and Lorenzo Ferreiros, for all of Lot 2 in Block 7135, and all of Lots 34 and 35 in Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) $900.00

(2) H. Lorentzen, for all of Lots 3 and 4 in Block 7132, as per the Assessor's Block Books (50x100), and authorized by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series), and required for opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 600.00

(3) James Madison Estate Co., for all of Lots 21 and 22 in Block 7117, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 700.00

(4) J. W. Wright & Sons Investment Co., for all of Lots 11, 12 and 13 in Block 7126, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) .. 1,200.00

(5) Geo. Goldstein, Gertrude Goldstein and Ida A. Wallfisch, for all of Lots 18, 19, 20 and 21 in Block 7118, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 1,400.00

(6) Salarac Land Company, for all of Lots 35 and 36 in Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series) and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 600.00

Payments for Properties Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Fund, Bond Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the Sunset Parkway, to-wit:

(1) Esther Gruhn and Harriet Herbst, for Lots 18, 19 and 20 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30084, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....$ 2,025.00

(2) John Milne Mather and Anne Mather, for Lot 14 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30084, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 7,520.00

(3) Elizabeth Jones, for Lot 28 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30084, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 3,000.00

(4) E. A. Kroncke and Emma Kroncke, for Lots 13 and 14 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30084, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 1,525.00

Payments for Properties and Damages to Property, for Account Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Fund, Bond Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons and company; being payments for property and for damage to property, required for and for account of the Bay Shore boulevard, to-wit:

(1) Margaret A. Vessing, for portion of Lot 1 in Block 5512, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and authorized by Resolution No. 30082, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)....$ 854.00

(2) Charles Kiesel and Vera Kiesel, for Lot 8 in Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and authorized by Resolution No. 30082, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).... 3,250.00

(3) Bodinson Manufacturing Co., for a portion of Lot 3-A in Block 5087, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and authorized by Resolution No. 30082, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 8,877.00

(4) Joseph Rodiack and Alfrida Rodiack, for portion of Lot 7 in Block 5100, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30082, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 3,449.00

(5) Kate E. Cashin, for portion of Lot 6 in Block 5100, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30082, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).... 737.00

(6) Irma Ferrera, as administratrix of the estate of Allessio Del Carlo, deceased, for damages to Lots 32 and 33 in Block 5376 (No. 185 Boutwell street), incurred in connection with construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30083, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 515.00

Payment for Property Required for Widening of Duboce Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to John H. Davis; being payment for property—Lot 15 in Block 1259, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 30060 (New Series), and required for the widening of Duboce avenue (claim dated December 5, 1928).

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Appropriations for Various Street Improvements.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30121 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the cost of the following street improvements, to-wit:

(1) For improvement of the roadway of ambulance entrance to the Isolation Department of the San Francisco Hospital .. .. $ 240.00

(2) For the setting of 45 feet of curbing on Francisco street, fronting property of J. Delucchi, between Powell and Mason streets 45.00

(3) For cost of repairing and resetting curbing on the angular corners in Balboa Terrace as follows: Northeast Junipro Serra and Monterey; southeast Junipero Serra and Darien; west side Junipero Serra and Ocean avenue; northeast and northwest San Fernando and Darien; southeast and southwest San Fernando and Monterey; southeast and southwest San Leandro and Monterey; San Leandro between Monterey and Darien; northeast and northwest San Leandro and Darien; northeast and northwest Santa Anna and Darien; southeast and southwest Santa Anna and Monterey; southeast and southwest San Benito and Monterey, and northwest San Benito and Darien way..... 500.00

(4) For cost of City's portion of reconstruction of Harrison street between Fourteenth and Twenty-second streets.... 476.80

(5) For the widening of Parker avenue between California street and Euclid avenue, additional..... 210.73

(6) For cost of improving crossing of Foerster street and Melrose avenue 24.60

(7) For cost of constructing curb on the northerly side of Wawona street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, and sidewalk on angular corners adjacent thereto..... 324.00

(8) For damage to property at 131 Mangels avenue, due to the improvement of the roadway of Mangels avenue..... 500.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer of Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30122 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named corporation to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite its name, be accepted:

J. G. Walker Company, $3,467—Portion of Lot 9, Block 5099, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Appropriations for Street Work in Front of City Property and Emergency Repairs.

Also, Resolution No. 30123 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 33, for the expense of street work in front of and chargeable to City property, and for street emergency repairs, to-wit:

Cayuga and Lyell streets	$ 29.80
Portola drive	207.00
Treat avenue and Harrison street	479.28
Baker and Jefferson streets	452.60
Eighth avenue from Noriega to Ortega streets	40.00
Clark and Drumm streets	30.00
Thirty-sixth avenue and Santiago street	150.00
Twin Peaks boulevard	148.08
Tennessee and Eighteenth streets	30.00
Pacific and Leavenworth streets	30.00
Baker street between Jefferson and Bay streets	450.94
Funston and Twelfth avenues	41.40
Divisadero street between Beach and North Point streets	259.90
Evans avenue between Rankin and Napoleon streets	36.03
Noe and Duncan streets	307.50
Swiss avenue between Sussex and Surrey	105.20
Italy and Mission streets	225.00
Ulloa between Forty-second and Forty-third streets	10.00
Twenty-second and Castro streets	81.87
Balboa between Thirty-first and Thirty-second streets	76.69
Thirty-first avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets	138.60
Vicente between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues	467.02
Laidley street between Fairmont and Miguel streets	396.00
Taraval street and Funston avenue	99.00
LaSalle and Mendell streets	80.00
Capitol avenue, from Lakeview north	144.00
Stoneman and Manchester streets	22.50

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriations for Street Work Chargeable to City Property.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 33, for street work chargeable to City property, to-wit:

(1) Improvement of Alabama street between Norwich and Ripley streets (C. L. Harney)	1,244.00
(2) Improvement of Beach and North Point streets (M. J. Lynch)	519.75
(3) Improvement of Wawona street east of Fifteenth avenue (Fay Imp. Co.)	542.96
(4) Improvement of Portola drive, by fill-in (J. P. Holland)	1,503.80

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Appropriations for Various Purposes.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30124 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Hetchy Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(1) For the cost of completing survey in connection with the construction of a road from Hog Ranch to a junction with the old Tioga road; additional..... $500.00

Street Work in Front of City Property Budget Item 33.

(2) For cost of repairs to steps on Filbert street between Montgomery and Sansome streets..... $150.00

(3) For payment to S. A. Gusti for damage to his property at Sansome and Green streets due to street reconstruction by the City..... 500.00

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927.

(4) For construction of storm drain at Bay Shore crossing of Alemany boulevard; additional to enable final payment, Contract No. 2..... $500.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations Out of Special School Tax—Requests of Board of Education.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the reconstruction of Mission Park, in fulfillment of agreement made at the time the School Department was permitted to use Mission Park.....$10,289.00

(2) For payment to the Francesca Maternity Hospital and Babies' Aid Society, in lieu of performance of certain work upon cottage moved from Twenty-ninth avenue, near Geary street, to Thirtieth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets, in accordance with agreement entered into by the Board of Education and the Francesca Maternity Hospital and Babies' Aid Society..... 2,303.00

(Recommendations of the Board of Education, December 5, 1928.)

Appropriations for Various Improvements.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item 53.

(1) For cost of painting, with two coats, the ceilings and walls of the Police garage in Hall of Justice..... $757.50

County Road Fund.

(2) For cost of construction of an asphaltic and artificial stone walk through Jefferson Park..... $556.70

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers Budget Item 36.

(3) For the construction of sewers in Golden Gate Heights; additional $16,000.00

Bernal Cut Bond Fund.

(4) For the construction of a vehicular bridge over the Bernal Cut at Richland avenue (including additional engineering and inspection at $1,500).....$26,500.00

Additional Appropriation for Improvement of the Great Highway.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $93,877.25 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bond Fund, Issue 1927, for the improvement of the Great Highway, by the placing thereon of an additional two inches of rock, calling for a minimum of ten-inch thickness, and of a surfacing with a two-inch Topeka top, and for minor gutter changes; additional.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Releases of Claim for Damage, Alemany Boulevard.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30125 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owner of property adjacent to the proposed Alemany boulevard has offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to her property or the building thereon caused by the establishment of grades on the proposed Alemany boulevard and adjacent streets and the grading and construction of the proposed Alemany boulevard to the proposed official grade and the grading and construction of adjacent streets to said proposed Alemany boulevard; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely:

M. J. Freeman, $130—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 25, Block 7134, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 22 Victoria street.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above-mentioned person upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Alemany Boulevard Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 30126 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, and known as 91 Regent street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling house and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Boulevard Bond Issue Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Sunset Parkway Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 30127 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of the Sunset Parkway, and known as 1246 Thirty-seventh avenue, 1250 Thirty-seventh avenue, 1262 Thirty-seventh avenue, 1270 Thirty-seventh avenue, 2362 Thirty-seventh avenue, 2444 Thirty-seventh avenue, 2446 Thirty-seventh avenue, 1231 Thirty-sixth avenue, 1259 Thirty-sixth avenue, 1263 Thirty-sixth avenue, 1267 Thirty-sixth avenue, 1275 Thirty-sixth avenue, 2467 Thirty-sixth avenue.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Boulevard Bond Issue.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer of Land for Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 30128 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bernal Cut, for the sum set forth opposite her name, be accepted:

Elizabeth Anderson, $400—Portion of Lot 3, Block 6754, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept on behalf of the City deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record the said deed, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer of Land for Sunset Parkway Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 30129 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described

land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

W. T. Hinricks, J. C. Loshuertos and Wilhelm Harde, $14,500—All of Lot 1 of Block 2013, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer of Land for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 30130 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Frank Canepa and Clement Casentin, $675—All of Lot 29, of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer of Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30131 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite his name, be accepted:

Jos. Souza, $437—Portion of Lots 26P, 26Q and 26R, Block 6968, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer of Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30132 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following

described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

Louis Depaoli and Lorenzo Ferreiros, $900—All of Lot 2, Block 7135, and all of Lots 34 and 35, Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

H. Lorentzen, $600—All of Lots 3 and 4, Block 7132, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

James Madison Estate Company, $700—All of Lots 21 and 22, Block 7117, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. W. Wright & Sons Investment Co., $1,200—All of Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 7128, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

George Goldstein, Gertrude Goldstein and Ida A. Wallfisch, $1,400—All of Lots 18, 19, 20 and 21, Block 7118, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Salarac Land Company, $600—All of Lots 35 and 36, Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

G. Uraconiso, $1—Portion of Lot 8, Block 7149, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Offer of Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30133 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

John Wickstrom and Marie Wickstrom, $1,538—Portion of Lot 29, Block 5069, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood that $1,520 of the above-mentioned sum includes payment in full for all claims of damages accruing to or which might accrue to the remaining portion of the land of said John Wickstrom and Marie Wickstrom, or to the building or improvements situated on the land hereinabove described or the adjacent portion of said land, caused by the opening, widening, grading and construction of the Bay Shore boulevard and its connecting streets in the vicinity of the land hereinabove described, or the remaining portion of said land of John and Marie Wickstrom. (All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. Castagnini, southwest corner Wayland and Yale streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gini Francini, west side Cervantes boulevard, 137 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. W. Kepner, 19 Jordan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

E. Nelson, east side Dolores street, 60 feet north of Fourteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Rundquist, west side Guerrero street, 351 feet south of Twenty-third street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permit, L. E. Collins, Ninth and Mission Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. E. Collins be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Bay Counties Oil Company by Resolution No. 24377 (New Series), for premises at the southeast corner of Ninth and Mission streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Auto Supply Station Permit, Bernard R. Kerns, Mission and Tingley Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bernard R. Kerns be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to Frank Petterle by Resolution No. 20662 (New Series), for premises at southwest corner of Mission and Tingley streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Auto Supply Station Permit, Associated Oil Company, Haight Street, East of Stanyan Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Sleep & Walters by Resolution No. 21881 (New Series), for premises on north side of Haight street, 110 feet east of Stanyan street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, othewrise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 12 *o'clock noon*:

Auto Supply Station, John Gold, West Portal Avenue and Vicente Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Gold be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of West Portal avenue and Vicente street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m.*:

Police Permit Required—Second-hand Business.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 4 and 6, and adding a new section, to be numbered Section 6A, to Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers, or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Sections Nos. 4 and 6 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), the title to which is hereinabove recited, are hereby amended; and there is added thereto a new section, to be numbered 6A, said amendment and new section to read as follows:

Section 4. Before any person shall engage in the business of dealing in any second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, he must make application to the Board of Police Commissioners for a permit therefor, which said Board may, by resolution, grant permission to said applicant to receive a license from the Tax Collector upon the payment of the license fee required; provided, however, that said Board shall have the power to revoke said permission upon good cause being shown; provided, that the Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue any permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue any license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct the business of dealing in second-hand furniture and household goods within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line of any church or school; provided, further, that no other restrictions as to the location of the place of business operated or to be operated by any person, firm or corporation desiring to engage in the business of dealing in second-hand furniture and household goods shall be considered by the Board of Police Commissioners or the Tax Collector.

Section 6. The term "furniture and household goods" as used in this ordinance shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings, crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 6A. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Conveyance of Land by Spring Valley Water Company to Capuchino Golf Corporation.

On recommendation of Public Buildings Committee.

Resolution No. 30134 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, upon recommendation of the City Engineer, that the City and County of San Francisco consent to the conveyance by Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation, to Capuchino Golf Corporation of two (2) small parcels of land lying easterly of the Skyline boulevard, in the vicinity of Lake San Andreas, in return for the conveyance by the Capuchino Golf Corporation, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, to the Spring Valley Water Company of a certain parcel of land lying westerly of the Skyline boulevard, in the vicinity of Lake San Andreas.

The parcels of land now the property of the Spring Valley Water Company being smaller in area than the parcel to be acquired from the Capuchino Golf Corporation, it is understood and agreed that the price for the company's properties mentioned in its offer to the City and County of San Francisco will remain unchanged. Said offer or option shall remain in full force and effect.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Improvement of Phelps Street Between Donner and Egbert Avenues.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8817, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Phelps street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the con-

struction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of the crossing of Phelps street and Egbert avenue by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks, brick catchbasins with appurtenances, and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the angular corners; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Improvement of Thirty-second Avenue Between Rivera and Santiago Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8818, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirty-second avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, and of Forty-first avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering the Improvement of Twenty-third Avenue Between Noriega and Ortega Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8795, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street

Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-third avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Improvement of Harkness Avenue Between Cowden and Mills Streets and Other Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8796, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following streets and avenues, where not already so improved:

Harkness avenue between Cowden and the easterly line of Mill street produced southerly; Mill street between Harkness avenue and Ankeny street; Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof; and the improvement of the crossing of Harkness avenue and Goettingen street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial

stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of Harkness avenue between Brussels street and Goettingen street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Cortland Avenue and Other Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8797, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Cortland avenue from Banks street to San Bruno avenue; Tompkins avenue (north one-half only) from Banks street to Prentiss street; Jarboe avenue from Prentiss street to Nevada street; Nebraska street from Cortland avenue to Powhattan avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed, and the improvement of Banks street from Jarboe avenue to Powhattan avenue, Prentiss street from Tompkins avenue to Eugenia avenue, Nevada street from Jarboe avenue to Powhattan avenue, Putnam street from Jarboe avenue to Cortland avenue, Anderson street (east one-half only) from Ogden avenue to Tompkins avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Forty-fourth Avenue, Clement and Geary Streets, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8798, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-fourth avenue, Clement street to Geary street; Fulton street (north one-half only), Twenty-sixth avenue to Thirty-first avenue; Judah street, Eleventh avenue to Twelfth avenue; Eighteenth avenue (east one-half only), Kirkham street to Lawton street; Thirty-eighth avenue, Lincoln way to Irving street; Forty-fifth avenue, Kirkham street to Lawton street; Lawton street, Forty-seventh avenue to Great Highway; Kirkham street (north one-half only), Eighteenth avenue to Nineteenth avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed; and the construction of walks five feet six inches in width, to conform with the existing walks on Cerritos avenue (south one-half only), Ocean avenue to Urbano drive, where artificial stone sidewalks five feet six inches in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Improvement of Harrison Street Between Fifteenth and Sixteenth Streets, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8799, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that

the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments;. that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Harrison street between the southerly line of Fifteenth street on the westerly side produced easterly and the northerly line of Sixteenth street, including the intersection of Fifteenth street and the intersection of Treat avenue; and the improvement of that portion of Treat avenue between Harrison street and a line at right angles with the westerly line of Treat avenue at its intersection with the easterly line of Harrison street, except those portions required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon; by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement (black base) and an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 6589 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Divisadero Street.

Also, Bill No. 8800, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 6589 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the easterly side of Divisadero street from Fell street to a line parallel with and 165 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6589 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the easterly side of Divisadero street from Fell street to a line parallel with and 165 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 6985 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Lundy's Lane.

Also, Bill No. 8801, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 6985 (New Series), ordering construction of sidewalks on Lundy's lane between Virginia and Fair avenues and other streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6985 (New Series), ordering construction of sidewalks on Lundy's lane between Virginia and Fair avenues and other streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7257 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Jarboe Street.

Also, Bill No. 8802, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7257 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Jarboe street between Banks and Folsom streets and other streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7257 (New Series), ordering the construc-

tion of sidewalks on Jarboe street between Banks and Folsom streets and other streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7324 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Bulkheads on Twenty-first Avenue and Balboa Street.

Also, Bill No. 8803, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7324 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on Twenty-first avenue and Balboa street and removal of sand.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7324 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on Twenty-first avenue and Balboa street and removal of sand, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7417 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Geary Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8804, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7417 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Geary street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7417 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Geary street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth avenues, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7523 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Geary Street Between Fifth and Sixth Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8805, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7523 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Geary street between Fifth and Sixth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7523 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Geary street between Fifth and Sixth avenues, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Releasing, Cancelling and Annulling Contract for Construction of Sidewalks on Lyon Street, North of California Street.

Also, Bill No. 8806, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Releasing, cancelling and annulling that certain contract made and entered into by and between George E. McHugh and the Board of Public Works on June 15, 1927, for the construction of sidewalks on Lyon street north of California street, and repealing Ordinance No. 7530 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That certain contract made and entered into by and between George E. McHugh and the Board of Public Works on June 15, 1927, for the construction of sidewalks on Lyon street north of California street, is hereby cancelled and annulled and George E. McHugh is hereby released from said contract.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7530 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7720 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Lakeview Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8807, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows

Repealing Ordinance No. 7720 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Lakeview avenue between San Jose and Margaret avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7720 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Lakeview avenue between San Jose and Margaret avenues, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7903 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Bulkheads on Twenty-sixth Avenue, South of Anza Street.

Also, Bill No. 8808, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7903 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on Twenty-sixth avenue south of Anza street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7903 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on Twenty-sixth avenue south of Anza street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 8023 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Lobos Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8809, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 8023 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Lobos avenue between Capitol and Orizaba avenues and other streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 8023 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Lobos avenue between Capitol and Orizaba avenues and other streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 8241 (New Series), Ordering Improvement of Josiah Avenue Between Lakeview and Summit Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8810, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 8241 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Josiah avenue between Lakeview and Summit avenues, where not already improved.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 8241 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Josiah avenue between Lakeview and Summit avenues, where not already improved, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Widths of Sidewalks on Cunningham Place.

Also, Bill No. 8811, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 1051 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 4, 1928, by amending Section 1051 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 1051. The width of sidewalks on Cunningham place, the northerly side of, between Valencia street and its westerly termination, shall be two (2) feet and six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Cunningham place, the southerly side of, between Valencia street and a point 100 feet westerly from Valencia street, shall be two (2) feet and six (6) inches.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Establishing Grades on Chester and St. Charles Avenues, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8812, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Chester and St. Charles avenues between Payson street and Belle avenue, on Payson street between St. Charles and Chester avenues, and on Palmetto and Belle avenues between St. Charles avenue and their termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Chester and St. Charles avenues between Payson street and Belle avenue, on Payson street between St. Charles and Chester avenues, and on Palmetto and Belle avenues between St. Charles avenue and their termination, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed November 22, 1928.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Providing for Conditional Acceptance of the Roadways of Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8813, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadways of Andover street between Athens and Moscow streets, Elsie street between Coso and Esmeralda avenues, France avenue between Mission and London streets, Faith street between San Bruno and Holladay avenues, Head street between Shields and Garfield streets, Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets, San Miguel street between Ridge lane and Mt. Vernon avenue, Romain street between Grand View avenue and Douglass street, Ramsell street between Shields and Garfield streets, Wright street between Holladay avenue and Montcalm street, Blanche street between Twenty-third street and its northerly termination. Paved with concrete. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein. No water mains have been laid therein.

Providing for Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8814, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Balhi court between Cayuga avenue and its easterly termination, Delano avenue between Ocean avenue and Seneca avenue, including the crossing of Delano avenue and Oneida avenue, and Oneida avenue between San Jose avenue and Delano avenue and between Delano avenue and Otsego avenue; Foerster street between Melrose and Kenyon avenues, including the intersections of Foerster street and Melrose avenue and Foerster street and Teresita boulevard; Grafton avenue between Faxon and Jules avenues and crossing of Grafton and Jules avenues; Huron avenue between Farragut and Whipple avenues, Hawes street between Palou and Quesada avenues, Keith street between Revere and Shafter

avenues, Keith street between Thomas and Underwood avenues, Moscow street between France and Italy avenues, Moscow street between Italy and Amazon avenues and the crossings of Moscow street and France avenue and Moscow street and Italy avenue, Nineteenth street between Carolina and De Haro streets and De Haro street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets and crossing of Nineteenth and De Haro streets, Prague street between Brazil and Persia avenues, Shafter avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets, Thirty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Vicente street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues and crossing of Vicente street and Twenty-fifth avenue, crossing of Vienna street and Peru avenue, crossing of Huron and Whipple avenues, crossing of Persia avenue and Prague street. Paved with asphaltic concrete. Sewers and gas mains laid therein. No water mains have been laid therein.

Providing for Full Acceptance of the Roadways of Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8815, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadways of Roosevelt way between Buena Vista terrace and Masonic avenue and between Masonic avenue and Plato street, including the crossing of Roosevelt way and Buena Vista terrace and the intersection of Park Hill and Fifteenth streets and Plato street; Roosevelt way between Masonic avenue and States street and between Plato and Seventeenth street, including the crossing of Sixteenth street and Masonic avenue and intersections of States street, Clifford terrace, Lower Terrace, Saturn street and Seventeenth street; Seventeenth street between Roosevelt way and Clayton street, Wawona street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues, San Jose avenue between Mt. Vernon and Ottawa avenues and crossing of San Jose avenue and Ottawa avenue. Paved with asphaltic concrete. Basalt and brick, and curbs laid therein. Sewers, gas and water mains laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Rereferred.

The following matter was *rereferred to the Streets Committee*:

Denying Extension of Time to M. J. Lynch to Complete Improvement of Banks Street.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Lynch be and is hereby denied an extension of ninety days' time from October 12, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Banks street between Tompkins and Crescent avenues, including the crossing of Banks street and Ogden avenue, under public contract entered into July 14, 1928.

This extension of time is denied for the reason that no work has been done by the contractor.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Extension of Thirty Days' Time for Improvement of Marina Boulevard.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 30135 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from November 12, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Marina boulevard between Webster and Laguna streets under public contract.

This extension of time is granted, upon the recommendation of the

Board of Public Works, for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Extension of Time to Charles L. Harney to Complete Improvement of Polk Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30136 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Charles L. Harney is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after December 5, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Polk street between North Point and Beach streets under public contract.

This extension of time is granted, upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, for the reason that surveys have been ordered and delay has been occasioned by waiting for an agreement with the Market Street Railway in regard to the replacing of the existing rail.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Extension of Time to Louis J. Cohn to Complete Bay Shore Boulevard, Potrero to Silver Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. 30137 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Cohn is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after December 17, 1928, within which to complete the construction of Bay Shore boulevard, Section A, from Potrero to Silver avenues.

This extension of time is granted, upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by reconstruction of water and gas mains and by the realignment of the tracks of the Market Street Railway Company.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Extension of Time to Hanrahan Company to Complete Alemany Boulevard, Contract No. 10.

Also, Resolution No. 30138 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hanrahan Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after December 20, 1928, within which to complete the construction of Alemany boulevard, Section D1, Sickles to Orizaba avenues, Contract No. 10.

This extension of time is granted, upon the recommendation of the Board of Public Works, for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by necessity of obtaining right of way by City.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Intention to Change Grades, Maggie Alley.

Also, Resolution No. 30139 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 104485 (Second

Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted December 7, 1928, and written recommendation of said Board, filed December 8, 1928, to-wit:

Maggie Alley.

Northerly line of, at Jones street easterly line, 105.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Jones street easterly line, 104.30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

36 feet easterly from Jones street, 102.68 feet.

91.50 feet easterly from Jones street, 97.80 feet.

137.50 feet easterly from Jones street, 98.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Maggie alley between Jones street and a line parallel with and 137 feet 6 inches easterly therefrom be changed to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting in Sunset District.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the California Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts during the construction of sewers and paving in portions of district bounded by Rivera street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues, Twenty-fifth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Twenty-fourth to Thirty-first avenues between Rivera and Quintara streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Resolution No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the California Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Closing a Small Irregular Portion of Buena Vista Terrace at Waller Street.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 30140 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a small irregular portion of Buena Vista avenue at the northeast corner of Waller street.

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 29943 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon a portion of Buena Vista avenue, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, as hereinafter more particularly described;

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Buena Vista avenue was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco;

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandoning of said portion of Buena Vista avenue were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days or at all;

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said portion of Buena Vista avenue;

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 29943 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Buena Vista avenue is nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco;

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of a portion of Buena Vista avenue be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of Buena Vista avenue be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The said portion of Buena Vista avenue hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the northerly line of Waller street and the northeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue (east), thence deflecting 60 deg. 19 min. to the right from the northerly line of Waller street, and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue (east) 12 feet 9 inches to the first angle point northerly from the northerly line of Waller street; thence deflecting 165 deg. 35 min. to the left and running southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue (east), produced southeasterly, 11 feet 5¾ inches to the northerly line of Waller street produced westerly; thence deflecting 74 deg. 44 min. to the left and running easterly along the northerly line of Waller street, produced westerly, 3 feet 3½ inches to an intersection with the northeasterly line of Buena Vista avenue (east) and the point of beginning. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Ordering Test Borings, Third Street and Channel Street Bridge Site.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8816, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering test borings at Third street and Channel street bridge site

in order to ascertain foundation conditions thereat; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $4,500.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of test borings at Third street and Channel street bridge site in order to ascertain foundation conditions, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $4,500.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Approval of Map of Subdivision No. 2, Geneva Terraces.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 30141 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 104384 (Second Series) approve Map Subdivision No. 2, Geneva Terraces, San Francisco, California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Map Subdivision No. 2, Geneva Terraces, San Francisco, California, be and the same is hereby approved.

Further Resolved, That the lands delineated and designated thereon as Seneca avenue, Delano avenue, Cayuga avenue, Seminole avenue, Niagara avenue, Shawnee avenue, Mohawk avenue and Rome street are hereby declared open public streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Accepting Deed to Land for Opening of Streets as Shown on Map of Subdivision No. 2, Geneva Terraces.

Also, Resolution No. 30142 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 4th day of November, 1928, between California Pacific Title and Trust Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands for street purposes as shown on map entitled "Map Subdivision No. 2, Geneva Terraces, San Francisco, California," is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared to be open public streets, to-wit:

Seneca avenue, Delano avenue, Cayuga avenue, Seminole avenue, Niagara avenue, Shawnee avenue, Mohawk avenue and Rome street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Resolution No. 30143 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accord-

ance with provisions of Paragraph "C," Section 36, of Article V of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series):

1233 California street, 18 feet—1233 California Street Apartments.
1550 California street, 18 feet—Golden Gate Undertaking Co.
1447 Divisadero street, 18 feet—H. Ross Kamp Plumbing Co., 1 elevator.
1453 Divisadero street, 18 feet—F. W. Meyer Grocery.
308 Eddy street, 27 feet—Herald Hotel; 1 elevator.
116 Fremont street, 36 feet—Coffin-Redington Drug Co.; 1 elevator.
1416 Franklin street, 27 feet—Herring Furniture Co.
393 Leavenworth street, 27 feet—Abbots Van Co.; A. Hoffman Cigar Factory; 1 elevator.
235-245 Mission street, 36 feet—Horsford Bros. Sup. Co.; DePree Print Co.; 1 elevator.
624 Post street, 27 feet—Hotel Atherton; oil intake.
2398 Sacramento street, 45 feet—Clinic entrance of Stanford Lane Hospital.
230 Sansome street, 27 feet—James Rolph Jr.; Landis & Ellis.
806 Stockton street, 18 feet—Yuen Ling Co., H. Lew Co.
2136 Sutter street, 18 feet—Schnell Catering Co.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Referred.

The following matter was *ordered referred to the Welfare Committee* by the following vote:

Board of Public Works Requested to Prepare Plans and Specifications for Wiring and Furnishing Power for Lighting 500 Arterial Stop Signs.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be authorized and requested to prepare plans and specifications for wiring and furnishing power for lighting two (2) twenty-five (25) watt lamps per sign for five hundred (500) arterial stop signs of the "Carter" type, to be located at various points throughout the City.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—11.
Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Todd—3.
Absent—Supervisors Andriano, Deasy, Marks, Suhr—4.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Appointment of Trustees of Adjustment School.

On recommendation of Finance and Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 30144 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 8193 (New Series), organizing and establishing an adjustment school in the City and County of San Francisco, the following are hereby chosen and appointed trustees for said adjustment school:

From the Board of Education: D. C. Murphy, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, Ira Coburn, William F. Benedict.

From the Probation Committee of the Juvenile Court: Rev. Father John Sullivan, Charles DeY. Elkus, Miss Ruth Turner.

The attention of said trustees is directed to Section 3 of Ordinance No. 8193 (New Series), which provides that said trustees shall so

classify themselves by lot that two shall hold office for two years, two for four years and three for six years.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Street Lights.

On recommendation of Lighting Committee.

Resolution No. 30145 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove, install and change street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

Filbert street between Powell and Mason streets.
East and west sides Powell street between Vallejo and Green streets.
East and west sides Powell street between Union and Green streets.
East and west sides Jones street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.
Scotland street, north of Filbert street.
Jones street, north of Chestnut street.
Stockton and Pfeiffer streets.
Northeast and southwest corners Jones and Greenwich streets.
Northwest corner Noe and Fifteenth streets.
North side Fifteenth street between Noe and Castro streets.
South side Fifteenth street, first and second east of Castro street.
Northeast and southwest corners Fifteenth and Castro streets.

Install 250 *C. P.*

Justin drive between Murray and College avenues.
Northwest corner Kensington way and Ulloa street.
Near No. 30 San Felipe way (3 standards).

Install 250 *M. R.*

Scotland street, north of Filbert street.
Cassadero court, off Whittier street.
Brooklyn place, south side of Sacramento street between Grant avenue and Stockton street.

Change 100 *C. P. to* 250 *C. P.*

Dorchester way between Ulloa street and Claremont boulevard (3).

Install 400 *M. R.*

Northeast corner Duncan and Dolores streets.
Northeast corner Twenty-eighth and Dolores streets.
South side Nineteenth street, front of 3931.
Larkin street between Union and Filbert streets, Greenwich, Lombard and Chestnut streets.
Twenty-eighth avenue and Kirkham street.
Eighteenth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets.
Forty-sixth avenue and Quintara street.
Forty-sixth avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets.
Corbett avenue, opposite No. 225.
Twenty-seventh avenue and Moraga street.
Stevenson street, about 150 feet east of Fourth street.
Pole northeast of fire house between Third and Fourth streets.
Pole southwest corner fire house, Third and Fourth streets.
West side Jones street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.
East side Jones street between Greenwich and Lombard streets (opposite school).
Stockton and Pfeiffer streets.
Chestnut street and Bellair place.
Jones and Greenwich streets.

Carl street between Shrader and Stanyan streets.
Woodland street between Parnassus avenue and the Forest.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. R.*

Corner Wool street and Cortland avenue.
Corner Anderson street and Cortland avenue.
East and west sides Filbert street between Powell and Mason streets.
East and west sides Powell street between Vallejo and Green streets.
East and west sides Powell street between Union and Green streets.
Northwest corner Fifteenth and Noe streets.
South side Fifteenth street between Noe and Castro streets.
Southeast corner Fifteenth and Castro streets.

Install 300 *C. P.*

West side Fourth street, in front of 450 and 470, Poles Nos. 118 and 48.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Award of Contract, Hospital Supplies.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 30146 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing hospital supplies for Department of Public Health on bid submitted December 10, 1928 (Proposal No. 449), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—1400 rolls bandages, roller gauze, 44x40 mesh, 1x10 yards, per roll, $0.745—H. F. Dugan.

Item No. 2—1062 pounds cotton, absorbent, plain, best grade, long fiber, absolutely free from seeds and other particles, in 1-pound packages; emergency; per pound, $0.30—H. F. Dugan.

Item No. 3—100 rolls gauze, plain absorbent, 24x20 mesh, 18 inches wide, in 100-yard rolls, per roll, $3.50—Seabury & Johnson.

Item No. 4—1012 rolls gauze, plain, 16x20 mesh, 36 inches wide when flat, in 100-yard rolls, per roll, $2.70—Seabury & Johnson.

Item No. 5—200 pounds lint, absorbent, in 1-pound cartons, per pound, $0.95—Seabury & Johnson.

Item No. 6—27 rolls muslin, oiled, in 5-yard rolls, per roll, $3.50—Seabury & Johnson.

Item No. 7—878 rolls plaster, adhesive, zinc oxide, in rolls 12 inches by 5 yards, per roll, $0.75—Seabury & Johnson.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries, or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved: That no bonds be required, as said supplies are for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.
Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

Award of Contract, Rebinding Books.

Also, Resolution No. 30147 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Foster & Futernick Company for rebinding books for School Department that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing at date and ending June 30, 1929, on bid submitted December 10, 1928 (Proposal No. 450), at the following rates, viz.:

Text Books.

Line Number	Number of Copies of Same Title	Price per Copy, Standard Text	Price per Copy, extra sizes, unusually thick or large volumes, such as Fite's History of U. S.
1	1 to 5	$0.75	$0.89
2	6 to 9	$0.73	$0.87
3	10 to 19	$0.70	$0.84
4	20 to 29	$0.65	$0.80
5	30 to 49	$0.60	$0.75
6	50 to 99	$0.55	$0.70
7	100 to 199	$0.51	$0.66
8	200 to 349	$0.48	$0.63
9	350 to 499	$0.46	$0.60
10	500 and over	$0.44	$0.58

Library Books, Fiction, Etc.

Line Number	Height	Price per Copy
1	6½ inches	$0.60
2	8 inches	$0.80
3	10 inches	$0.90
4	12 inches	$1.00

Magazines—Periodicals.

Line Number	Height	Price per Copy
1	10 inches	$1.65
2	12 inches	$1.90
3	15 inches	$2.15
4	17 inches	$2.50

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McGovern, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—17.

Absent—Supervisor Deasy—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Opening of Theresa Street.

The report of the Streets Committee on the opening of Theresa street was, on motion, *laid over two weeks.*

Spring Valley Water Company Employees' Data.

Supervisor Havenner requested that the Clerk ask the Spring Valley Water Company to furnish the Board of Supervisors, at the earliest convenient moment, a complete list of its payroll and information as to the length of service of persons on said payroll and for the guidance of the Board of Supervisors, the Civil Service Commission and the Board of Public Works and explanation of the kind of duties performed by each employee.

So ordered.

Boulder Dam Victory Congratulations.

Supervisor Havenner requested that the Clerk be directed to prepare, on behalf of the Board, a resolution of congratulations to Senator Johnson and Representative Swing on the Boulder Dam victory.

So ordered.

Legislative Conference.

Supervisor Havenner requested that the Legislative Committee of the Board arrange, at the earliest possible date, a conference between the Board of Supervisors and the San Francisco Legislative delegation in order to discuss, prior to the opening of the session, the major matters in which we are concerned.

So ordered.

Recognition of Golden Gate Bridge Author.

Supervisor Havenner suggested to the chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, in connection with the proposed Golden Gate Bridge project, that it would be appropriate for this Board to adopt a resolution of appreciation of the efforts of J. H. Wilkins, former newspaperman and now Mayor of San Rafael, who, he said, was the author publicly of the idea of the Golden Gate Bridge.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 2:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 31, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 23—New Series No. 53

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, December 24, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 24, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 24, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Toner was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 3, 1928, was considered read and approved.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

750 Copies Auditor's Annual Report, 1927-28.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing 750 copies Auditor's Annual Report, 1927-28, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Nurses' Uniforms for San Francisco Hospital.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing nurses' uniforms, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Privilege of the Floor.

Subsequently, *Mr. Grundy* and one other bidder on nurses' uniforms were heard, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, in explanation of the fact that they were a few minutes late in presenting their bids and that they had been closed out. Motion was made that they be permitted to file same with Supplies Committee, to which the other bids had been referred.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks—3.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd, Toner—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, McSheehy, Shannon, Suhr—5.

Mattresses and Pillows for Department of Public Health.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. for furnishing mattresses and pillows for Department of Public Health, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30149 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Atlas Rock Co., gravel (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	$676.86
(2) Atlas Rock Co., gravel (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	1,756.43
(3) Atlas Rock Co., gravel (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	1,939.62
(4) American Blower Corporation, one blower engine (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	670.00
(5) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	516.98
(6) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat, etc. (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)	962.17
(7) Fleda O. Bunting, payment for easement in Alameda County, required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Coast Range Division (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	2,250.00
(8) Celite Products Co., celite for concrete (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)	1,062.00
(9) Graybar Electric Co., Inc., electric parts (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	521.06
(10) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)	747.45
(11) Hitchcock & Tinkler Equipment Co., electric locomotives, dump cars, etc (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	17,655.08
(12) Hooper & Jennings, foodstuffs (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)	503.74
(13) Ingersoll Rand Co. of California, valves, nozzles, etc. (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	598.75
(14) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	604.34
(15) Loop Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..	905.13
(16) Chas. R. McCormick Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	1,856.32
(17) Macdonald & Harrington, lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)	514.30
(18) Chas. Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..	695.82
(19) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)	1,725.66
(20) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	17,048.11
(21) United States Rubber Co., rubber goods (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....	594.60
(22) Western Meat Co., meats (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)...	1,042.45
(23) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)...	1,073.09
(24) E. K. Wood Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)	606.37
(24a) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928).....	10,028.30
(25) United Materials Co., lumnite cement (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)	1,591.35
(26) John H. Thieler, Treasurer of the City and County, reimbursement for same amount advanced as deposit with County Clerk of Santa Clara County in connection with court action in the acquiring of Hetch Hetchy right of way	

in Alameda County (City and County v. Wm. J. Roney et al.) (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 10,000.00

Publicity and Advertising.

(27) Capitol Decorating Co., street decorations for account of Armistice Day Celebration (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928).... $1,010.00

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(28) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for relief of destitute women and children (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928)..... $1,260.00

1928 *Hetch Hetchy Construction Fund.*

(29) A. Carlisle & Co., lithographing 24,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)..... $3,250.00
(30) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, professional services re final opinion on $4,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, proportion of installment (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)..... 600.00
(31) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., lithographing 41,000 Spring Valley Water Bonds (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928).. 5,322.50

Boulevard Bond Issue Construction Fund.

(32) Federal Construction Co., fifth payment, improvement of Bay Shore boulevard, Section B, Contract 3 (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928).....$12,450.00

Municipal Railway Fund.

(33) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., railway brake shoes (claim dated Dec. 8, 1928)..... $2,220.84

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(34) Street Repair Department (County Road Fund), Department of Public Works, reimbursement for paving on Geary street during September and October, 1928 (claim dated Dec. 10, 1928)..... $4,344.20

Special School Tax.

(35) Park Commission, for care of school grounds during November (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928)..... $1,300.00
(36) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for Balboa High School (claim dated Dec. 11, 1928)..... 905.70
(37) Rucker-Fuller Co., desks for Balboa High School (claim dated Dec. 11, 1928)..... 2,177.60

County Road Fund.

(38) James M. Smith, second payment, construction of Kezar Stadium roadway (claim dated Dec. 8, 1928).....$28,000.00
(39) McHugh Improvement Co., grading and constructing curbs on roadway of La Playa between Judah and Kirkham streets (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 2,687.50
(40) Pacific States Construction Co., improvement of Delano avenue between Oneida and Seneca avenues (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 1,942.00
(41) Meyer Rosenberg, grading on Laidley street between Fairmont and Miguel streets (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928).. 625.60
(42) T. I. Butler Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928)..... 1,871.22
(43) T. I. Butler Co., rock and gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928)..... 1,639.76
(44) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, sand for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928)..... 982.50

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(45) Haas Brothers, groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928).....$ 2,157.75
(46) Old Homestead Bakery, bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 1,223.05

(47) South San Francisco Packing & Provision Co., supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928).... 910.57
(48) California Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 3,040.04
(49) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 526.75
(50) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 2,329.80
(51) Fred L. Hilmer Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 2,142.47
(52) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 4,232.73
(53) The Texas Co., fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 2,211.86
(54) The Worthington Co., vacuum pumps for San Francisco
(55) Frederick A. Hamilton, sheets for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 510.00
(56) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 670.00
(57) Sussman, Wormser & Co., sugar for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 1,504.80
(58) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 12,628.55
(59) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 3,695.12
(60) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 35,578.73
(61) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 1,999.46
(62) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 2,537.10
(63) Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 1,237.10
(64) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 824.60
(65) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 1,297.97
(66) Presbyterian Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 549.87
(67) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, State Schools (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) month of October 732.90
(68) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, State Schools, month of November (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 741.33
(69) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928) 8,202.24
(70) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928)..... 8,207.20
(71) Eureka Benevolent Association, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928)..... 1,050.66
(72) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for November (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928)..... 58,787.05
(73) California State Automobile Association, traffic signs, through Police Department (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928).... 775.10
(74) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing Supervisors' Calendar, Journal, etc. (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928).. 514.51
(75) The Bulletin, advertising election notice (claim dated Dec. 7, 1928)..... 601.20
(76) George Windeler Co., redwood tank for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 11, 1928)..... 2,950.00
(77) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Dec. 11, 1928)..... 2,014.56

(78) Shell Company, gasoline and oil, street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928) 1,331.97

(79) Raymond C. Ashworth, professional services as Chief Valuation Engineer in connection with valuation of properties of the Market Street Railway, including expenses, month of November (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928) 900.00

(80) E. J. & M. J. Treacy, constructing 10-inch manhole and 12-inch culvert from Edgardo place through right of way to Lombard street, and making connections (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 650.00

(81) Charles F. Taylor, first payment, compensation insurance for account of death of Thos. C. Taylor, street sweeper (claim dated Nov. 23, 1928) 668.32

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Payment of Tax Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. 30150 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 57, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated persons; being one-tenth of amounts of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Cushing & Cushing, attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) $33,780.82

(2) To Hugo D. Newhouse, attorney for judgment creditor, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928) 20,399.23

(3) To Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe, attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec 12, 1928) 6,632.50

(4) To Leslie E. Burke and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 944.33

(5) To Leslie E. Burke and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928) 13,045.79

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Payments for Properties Required for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. 30151 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons and companies; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Louis Depaoli and Lorenzo Ferreiros, for all of Lot 2 in Block 7135, and all of Lots 34 and 35 in Block 7136, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) $900.00

(2) H. Lorentzen, for all of Lots 3 and 4 in Block 7132, as per the Assessor's Block Books (50x100), and authorized by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series), and required for opening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 600.00

(3) James Madison Estate Co., for all of Lots 21 and 22 in Block 7117, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized

by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 700.00

(4) J. W. Wright & Sons Investment Co., for all of Lots 11, 12 and 13 in Block 7126, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).. 1,200.00

(5) Geo. Goldstein, Gertrude Goldstein and Ida A. Wallfisch, for all of Lots 18, 19, 20 and 21 in Block 7118, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 1,400.00

(6) Salarac Land Company, for all of Lots 35 and 36 in Block 7135, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 30132 (New Series) and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 600.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Payments for Properties Required for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 30152 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Fund, Bond Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the Sunset Parkway, to-wit:

(1) Esther Gruhn and Harriet Herbst, for Lots 18, 19 and 20 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30084, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).....$ 2,025.00

(2) John Milne Mather and Anne Mather, for Lot 14 in Block 1714, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30084, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 7,520.00

(3) Elizabeth Jones, for Lot 28 in Block 2389, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30084, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 3,000.00

(4) E. A. Kroncke and Emma Kroncke, for Lots 13 and 14 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30084, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)..... 1,525.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Payments for Properties and Damages to Property, for Account Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30153 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Fund, Bond Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons and company; being payments for property and for damage to property, required for and for account of the Bay Shore boulevard, to-wit:

(1) Margaret A. Vessing, for portion of Lot 1 in Block 5512, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and authorized by Resolution No. 30082, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928)....$ 854.00

(2) Charles Kiesel and Vera Kiesel, for Lot 8 in Block 5466, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and authorized by Resolution No. 30082, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).... 3,250.00

(3) Bodinson Manufacturing Co., for a portion of Lot 3-A in

Block 5087, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and authorized by Resolution No. 30082, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 8,877.00

(4) Joseph Rodiack and Alfrida Rodiack, for portion of Lot 7 in Block 5100, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30082, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 3,449.00

(5) Kate E. Cashin, for portion of Lot 6 in Block 5100, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30082, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928).... 737.00

(6) Irma Ferrera, as administratrix of the estate of Allessio Del Carlo, deceased, for damages to Lots 32 and 33 in Block 5376 (No. 185 Boutwell street), incurred in connection with construction of the Bay Shore boulevard, and as authorized by Resolution No. 30083, New Series (claim dated Dec. 5, 1928) 515.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Payment for Property Required for Widening of Duboce Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 30154 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to John H. Davis; being payment for property—Lot 15 in Block 1259, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 30060 (New Series), and required for the widening of Duboce avenue (claim dated December 5, 1928).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Appropriations for Street Work Chargeable to City Property.

Also, Resolution No. 30155 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 33, for street work chargeable to City property, to-wit:

(1) Improvement of Alabama street between Norwich and Ripley streets (C. L. Harney)..... 1,244.00

(2) Improvement of Beach and North Point streets (M. J. Lynch) 519.75

(3) Improvement of Wawona street east of Fifteenth avenue (Fay Imp. Co.)..... 542.96

(4) Improvement of Portola drive, by fill-in (J. P. Holland) 1,503.80

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Appropriations Out of Special School Tax—Requests of Board of Education.

Also, Resolution No. 30156 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the reconstruction of Mission Park, in fulfillment of agreement made at the time the School Department was permitted to use Mission Park.....$10,289.00

(2) For payment to the Francesca Maternity Hospital and Babies' Aid Society, in lieu of performance of certain work upon cottage moved from Twenty-ninth avenue, near Geary street, to Thirtieth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets, in accordance with agreement entered into by the Board of Education and the Francesca Maternity Hospital and Babies' Aid Society..... 2,303.00
(Recommendations of the Board of Education, December 5, 1928.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Appropriations for Various Improvements.

Also, Resolution No. 30157 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item 53.

(1) For cost of painting, with two coats, the ceilings and walls of the Police garage in Hall of Justice..... $757.50

County Road Fund.

(2) For cost of construction of an asphaltic and artificial stone walk through Jefferson Park..... $556.70

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers Budget Item 36.

(3) For the construction of sewers in Golden Gate Heights; additional $16,000.00

Bernal Cut Bond Fund.

(4) For the construction of a vehicular bridge over the Bernal Cut at Richland avenue (including additional engineering and inspection at $1,500).....$26,500.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Additional Appropriation for Improvement of the Great Highway.

Also, Resolution No. 30158 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $93,877.25 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Boulevard Bond Fund, Issue 1927, for the improvement of the Great Highway, by the placing thereon of an additional two inches of rock, calling for a minimum of ten-inch thickness, and of a surfacing with a two-inch Topeka top, and for minor gutter changes; additional.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Providing for Issuance and Redemption of Sewer Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8793, Ordinance No. 8292 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit:

The construction and extension of the sewer system in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore street from the vicinity

of Sutter and Divisadero streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market streets to Fifteenth and Harrison streets; College Hill Tunnel from the Mission street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose avenue and Army street; Alemany boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission street to the vicinity of Revere avenue and Industrial street; Twenty-third avenue and Geary street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh avenue and Geary street to Twenty-third avenue and Lake street, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the sixth day of November, 1928.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the sixth day of November, 1928, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 8197 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 29732 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 30034 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the sixth day of November, 1928, and the provisions of the Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 8197 (New Series) and Resolution No. 29732 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars ($2,200,000) for the purpose of the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit:

The construction and extension of the sewer system in the City and County of San Francisco in and along Fillmore street from the vicinity of Sutter and Divisadero streets through the Fillmore Valley and Hayes Valley to Tenth and Harrison streets; Fourteenth, Market and Fifteenth streets from the vicinity of Fourteenth and Market streets to Fifteenth and Harrison streets; College Hill Tunnel from the Mission street viaduct to the vicinity of San Jose avenue and Army street; Alemany boulevard (Islais Creek) from Mission street to the vicinity of Revere avenue and Industrial street; Twenty-third avenue and Geary street from the vicinity of Twenty-seventh avenue and Geary street to Twenty-third avenue and Lake street.

That such bonds shall be called "Sewer Bonds, 1929," shall be 2200 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 2200, both inclusive, and shall be payable one hundred thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one hundred thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the

Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
SEWER BOND, 1929.

No. ——. $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or

lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

.....
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. (Seal)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ———— $————

On ———, 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Sewer Bond" dated January 1, 1929, number ———.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 8197 (New Series) and Resolution No. 29732 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "1929 Sewer Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of 1929 Sewer Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "1929 Sewer Bond Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Providing for Issuance and Redemption of Hospital Bonds.

Also, Bill No. 8794, Ordinance No. 8293 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent improvements, to-wit:

Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a Psychopathic Building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adajacent to the Civic Center and additional lands, allowing for the extennsion of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of health centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the sixth day of November, 1928.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the sixth day of November, 1928, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 8198 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 29733 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 30033 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such spcial election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat;

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the sixth day of November, 1928, and the provisions of the Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 8198 (New Series), and Resolution No. 29733 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) for the purpose of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of certain permanent improvements, to-wit:

Permanent buildings within and without the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as additions to the Tuberculosis Preventorium Health Farm in San Mateo County, and in San Francisco County construct a Psychopathic Building, Cancer Institute, additions to the Laguna Honda Home, additional stories to be added to the present ward buildings and Tuberculosis Hospital on the San Francisco Hospital site, enlarge Central Emergency Hospital, including a health center building on lands adjacent to the Civic Center and additional

lands, allowing for the extension of the San Francisco Hospital and the purchase of existing hospitals or institutions, erection of Health Centers in districts throughout San Francisco, and the purchase of all necessary lands, buildings, equipment and furnishings.

That such bonds shall be called "Hospital Bonds 1929," shall be 3500 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 3500, both inclusive, and shall be payable one hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated January 1, 1929, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.

HOSPITAL BOND 1929.

No..... $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ....., 19..., one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County, voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full

faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of January, 1929.

.....,
Mayor.

.....,
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....,
Auditor.

.....,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
(Seal.)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No.———— $————

On .....1, 19..., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ... dollars ($.....) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Hospital Bonds" dated January 1, 1929, number.....

.....,
Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond, to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ..., 19....

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ....., and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 8198 (New Series) and Resolution No. 29733 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "1929 Hospital Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of 1929 Hospital Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "1929 Hospital Bond Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used execlusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Oil Tank Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 30159 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. Castagnini, southwest corner Wayland and Yale streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gini Francini, west side Cervantes boulevard, 137 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. W. Kepner, 19 Jordan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

E. Nelson, east side Dolores street, 60 feet north of Fourteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Rundquist, west side Guerrero street, 351 feet south of Twenty-third street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Auto Supply Station Permit, L. E. Collins, Ninth and Mission Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 30160 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. E. Collins be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Bay Counties Oil Company by Resolution No. 24377 (New Series), for premises at the southeast corner of Ninth and Mission streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Transfer of Auto Supply Station Permit, Bernard R. Kerns, Mission and Tingley Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 30161 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bernard R. Kerns be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to Frank Petterle by Resolution No. 20662 (New Series), for premises at southwest corner of Mission and Tingley streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Transfer of Auto Supply Station Permit, Associated Oil Company, Haight Street, East of Stanyan Street.

Also, Resolution No. 30162 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Sleep & Walters by Resolution No. 21881 (New Series), for premises on north side of Haight street, 110 feet east of Stanyan street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, othewrise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Ordering Improvement of Phelps Street Between Donner and Egbert Avenues.

On recommendation of Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Bill No. 8817, Ordinance No. 8294 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the

period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Phelps street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of the crossing of Phelps street and Egbert avenue by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks, brick catchbasins with appurtenances, and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the angular corners; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Ordering Improvement of Thirty-second Avenue Between Rivera and Santiago Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8818, Ordinance No. 8295 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirty-second avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, and of Forty-first avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Ordering the Improvement of Twenty-third Avenue Between Noriega and Ortega Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8795, Ordinance No. 8296 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-third avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Ordering Improvement of Harkness Avenue Between Cowden and Mills Streets and Other Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8796, Ordinance No. 8297 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its

office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following streets and avenues, where not already so improved:

Harkness avenue between Cowden and the easterly line of Mill street produced southerly; Mill street between Harkness avenue and Ankeny street; Twenty-seventh street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof; and the improvement of the crossing of Harkness avenue and Goettingen street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of Harkness avenue between Brussels street and Goettingen street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Cortland Avenue and Other Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8797, Ordinance No. 8298 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment

when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Cortland avenue from Banks street to San Bruno avenue; Tompkins avenue (north one-half only) from Banks street to Prentiss street; Jarboe avenue from Prentiss street to Nevada street; Nebraska street from Cortland avenue to Powhattan avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed, and the improvement of Banks street from Jarboe avenue to Powhattan avenue, Prentiss street from Tompkins avenue to Eugenia avenue, Nevada street from Jarboe avenue to Powhattan avenue, Putnam street from Jarboe avenue to Cortland avenue, Anderson street (east one-half only) from Ogden avenue to Tompkins avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Forty-fourth Avenue, Clement and Geary Streets, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8798, Ordinance No. 8299 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-fourth avenue, Clement street to Geary street; Fulton street (north one-half only), Twenty-sixth avenue to Thirty-first avenue; Judah street, Eleventh avenue to Twelfth avenue; Eighteenth avenue (east one-half only), Kirkham street to Lawton street; Thirty-eighth avenue, Lincoln way to Irving street; Forty-fifth avenue, Kirkham street to Lawton street; Lawton street, Forty-seventh avenue to Great Highway; Kirkham street (north one-half only), Eighteenth avenue to Nineteenth avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or

bituminous sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed; and the construction of walks five feet six inches in width, to conform with the existing walks on Cerritos avenue (south one-half only), Ocean avenue to Urbano drive, where artificial stone sidewalks five feet six inches in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Ordering Improvement of Harrison Street Between Fifteenth and Sixteenth Streets, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8799, Ordinance No. 8300 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1928, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Harrison street between the southerly line of Fifteenth street on the westerly side produced easterly and the northerly line of Sixteenth street, including the intersection of Fifteenth street and the intersection of Treat avenue; and the improvement of that portion of Treat avenue between Harrison street and a line at right angles with the westerly line of Treat avenue at its intersection with the easterly line of Harrison street, except those portions required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon; by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of side sewers; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement (black base) and an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Repealing Ordinance No. 6589 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Divisadero Street.

Also, Bill No. 8800, Ordinance No. 8301 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 6589 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the easterly side of Divisadero street from Fell street to a line parallel with and 165 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6589 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the easterly side of Divisadero street from Fell street to a line parallel with and 165 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Repealing Ordinance No. 6985 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Lundy's Lane.

Also, Bill No. 8801, Ordinance No. 8302 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 6985 (New Series), ordering construction of sidewalks on Lundy's lane between Virginia and Fair avenues and other streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6985 (New Series), ordering construction of sidewalks on Lundy's lane between Virginia and Fair avenues and other streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7257 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Jarboe Street.

Also, Bill No. 8802, Ordinance No. 8303 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7257 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Jarboe street between Banks and Folsom streets and other streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7257 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Jarboe street between Banks and Folsom streets and other streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7324 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Bulkheads on Twenty-first Avenue and Balboa Street.

Also, Bill No. 8803, Ordinance No. 8304 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7324 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on Twenty-first avenue and Balboa street and removal of sand.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7324 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on Twenty-first avenue and Balboa street and removal of sand, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7417 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Geary Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8804, Ordinance No. 8305 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7417 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Geary street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7417 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Geary street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth avenues, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7523 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Geary Street Between Fifth and Sixth Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8805, Ordinance No. 8306 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7523 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Geary street between Fifth and Sixth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7523 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Geary street between Fifth and Sixth avenues, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Releasing, Cancelling and Annulling Contract for Construction of Sidewalks on Lyon Street, North of California Street.

Also, Bill No. 8806, Ordinance No. 8307 (New Series), as follows:

Releasing, cancelling and annulling that certain contract made and entered into by and between George E. McHugh and the Board of Public Works on June 15, 1927, for the construction of sidewalks on Lyon street north of California street, and repealing Ordinance No. 7530 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That certain contract made and entered into by and between George E. McHugh and the Board of Public Works on June 15, 1927, for the construction of sidewalks on Lyon street north of Cali-

fornia street, is hereby cancelled and annulled and George E. McHugh is hereby released from said contract.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7530 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7720 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Lakeview Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8807, Ordinance No. 8308 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7720 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Lakeview avenue between San Jose and Margaret avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7720 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Lakeview avenue between San Jose and Margaret avenues, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7903 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Bulkheads on Twenty-sixth Avenue, South of Anza Street.

Also, Bill No. 8808, Ordinance No. 8309 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7903 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on Twenty-sixth avenue south of Anza street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7903 (New Series), ordering the construction of bulkheads on Twenty-sixth avenue south of Anza street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Repealing Ordinance No. 8023 (New Series), Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Lobos Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8809, Ordinance No. 8310 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 8023 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Lobos avenue between Capitol and Orizaba avenues and other streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 8023 (New Series), ordering the construction of sidewalks on Lobos avenue between Capitol and Orizaba avenues and other streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Repealing Ordinance No. 8241 (New Series), Ordering Improvement of Josiah Avenue Between Lakeview and Summit Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8810, Ordinance No. 8311 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 8241 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Josiah avenue between Lakeview and Summit avenues, where not already improved.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 8241 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Josiah avenue between Lakeview and Summit avenues, where not already improved, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Fixing Widths of Sidewalks on Cunningham Place.

Also, Bill No. 8811, Ordinance No. 8312 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 1051 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 4, 1928, by amending Section 1051 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 1051. The width of sidewalks on Cunningham place, the northerly side of, between Valencia street and its westerly termination, shall be two (2) feet and six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Cunningham place, the southerly side of, between Valencia street and a point 100 feet westerly from Valencia street, shall be two (2) feet and six (6) inches.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Establishing Grades on Chester and St. Charles Avenues, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8812, Ordinance No. 8313 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Chester and St. Charles avenues between Payson street and Belle avenue, on Payson street between St. Charles and Chester avenues, and on Palmetto and Belle avenues between St. Charles avenue and their termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Chester and St. Charles avenues between Payson street and Belle avenue, on Payson street between St. Charles and Chester avenues, and on Palmetto and Belle avenues between St. Charles avenue and their termination, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed November 22, 1928.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Providing for Conditional Acceptance of the Roadways of Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8813, Ordinance No. 8314 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadways of Andover street between Athens and Moscow streets, Elsie street between Coso and Esmeralda avenues, France avenue between Mission and London streets, Faith street between San Bruno and Holladay avenues, Head street between Shields and Garfield streets, Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets, San Miguel street between Ridge lane and Mt. Vernon avenue, Romain street between Grand View avenue and Douglass street, Ramsell street between Shields and Garfield streets, Wright street between Holladay avenue and Montcalm street, Blanche street between Twenty-third street and its northerly termination. Paved with concrete. Sewers and gas mains have been laid therein. No water mains have been laid therein.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Providing for Conditional Acceptance of Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8814, Ordinance No. 8315 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Balhi court between Cayuga avenue and its easterly termination, Delano avenue between Ocean avenue and Seneca avenue, including the crossing of Delano avenue and Oneida avenue, and Oneida avenue between San Jose avenue and Delano avenue and between Delano avenue and Otsego avenue; Foerster street between Melrose and Kenyon avenues, including the intersections of Foerster street and Melrose avenue and Foerster street and Teresita boulevard; Grafton avenue between Faxon and Jules avenues and crossing of Grafton and Jules avenues; Huron avenue between Farragut and Whipple avenues, Hawes street between Palou and Quesada avenues, Keith street between Revere and Shafter avenues, Keith street between Thomas and Underwood avenues, Moscow street between France and Italy avenues, Moscow street between Italy and Amazon avenues and the crossings of Moscow street and France avenue and Moscow street and Italy avenue, Nineteenth street between Carolina and De Haro streets and De Haro street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets and crossing of Nineteenth and De Haro streets, Prague street between Brazil and Persia avenues. Shafter avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets, Thirty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Vicente street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues and crossing of Vicente street and Twenty-fifth avenue, crossing of Vienna street and Peru avenue, crossing of Huron and Whipple avenues, crossing of Persia avenue and Prague street. Paved with asphaltic concrete. Sewers and gas mains laid therein. No water mains have been laid therein.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Providing for Full Acceptance of the Roadways of Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8815, Ordinance No. 8316 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadways of Roosevelt way between Buena Vista terrace and Masonic avenue and between Masonic avenue and Plato street, including the crossing of Roosevelt way and

Buena Vista terrace and the intersection of Park Hill and Fifteenth streets and Plato street; Roosevelt way between Masonic avenue and States street and between Plato and Seventeenth street, including the crossing of Sixteenth street and Masonic avenue and intersections of States street, Clifford terrace, Lower Terrace, Saturn street and Seventeenth street; Seventeenth street between Roosevelt way and Clayton street, Wawona street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues, San Jose avenue between Mt. Vernon and Ottawa avenues and crossing of San Jose avenue and Ottawa avenue. Paved with asphaltic concrete. Basalt and brick, and curbs laid therein. Sewers, gas and water mains laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Blasting in Sunset District.

Also, Resolution No. 30163 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the California Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts during the construction of sewers and paving in portions of district bounded by Rivera street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues, Twenty-fifth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Twenty-fourth to Thirty-first avenues between Rivera and Quintara streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Resolution No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the California Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Ordering Test Borings, Third Street and Channel Street Bridge Site.

Also, Bill No. 8816, Ordinance No. 8317 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering test borings at Third street and Channel street bridge site in order to ascertain foundation conditions thereat; approving and adopting plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $4,500.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works having recommended the ordering of test borings at Third street and Channel street bridge site in order to ascertain foundation conditions, the same is hereby ordered to be done in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to enter into contract for said work at an estimated cost of $4,500.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $88,207.04, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Contract With Pacific Sangerbund for Services With Symphony Orchestra.

On recommendation of Auditorium Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be authorized to enter into and execute contracts for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco with the following named organization for services in connection with the Pop Concert to be held during the month of February, 1929, at the compensation herein specified:

Pacific Sangerbund, services with San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, in Civic Auditorium, February 7, 1929, at the rate of one thousand dollars ($1,000) in full payment of such service.

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) Atlas Rock Co., gravel furnished (claim dated Dec. 15, 1928)	$ 2,708.45
(2) Atlas Rock Co., gravel furnished (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928)	3,518.47
(3) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928)	706.12
(4) Tuolumne Foundry & Machine Works, car wheels, bearings, etc. (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928)	564.20
(5) Fulcher Industrial Equipment Co., steel rail and angle bars (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928)	1,360.50
(6) R. M. Gardiner Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928)	829.49
(7) Gunn, Carle & Co., corrugated steel (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928)	1,122.21
(8) J. R. Hanify Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928)	604.42
(9) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928)	647.82
(10) Loop Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928)	1,103.97
(11) MacDonald & Harrington, lumber (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928)	625.60
(12) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928)	675.65
(13) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., twenty-third payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division, Contract 113 (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928)	59,676.79

County Road Fund.

(14) T. I. Butler Co., crushed rock for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928).....$ 611.60
(15) W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., paving brick for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 13, 1928)..... 1,971.35
(16) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928)..... 1,818.41
(17) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928)..... 4,860.79
(18) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match contributions of employees engaged on County Road Fund work (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928)..... 1,272.75
(19) Calaveras Cement Co., cement for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928)..... 1,355.93
(20) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street reconstruction (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928)..... 6,358.37

Special School Tax.

(21) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match contributions from city employees engaged on work payable for out of Special School Tax (claim dated Dec. 14, 1928) .....$ 736.38

Municipal Railway Fund.

(22) Frank F. Bedler, machinery for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928).....$ 2,310.00
(23) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928)..... 1,617.20
(24) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928)..... 3,384.42
(25) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for November, under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928) 1,110.83
(26) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928)..... 41,181.38
(27) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for employees' pensions, etc. (claim dated Dec. 11, 1928)..... 7,143.79
(28) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., armature coils, etc., for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928)..... 672.80

Boulevard Bond Fund, Issue 1927.

(29) The McHugh Improvement Co., payment for street work assessable to Lots 6, 7, 8, 9, 9A and 10 of Block 2437, per Assessor's Block Books, fronting property acquired by the City for Sunset Parkway (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928).....$ 3,436.00
(30) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, furnishing 2000 specifications of 64 pages (claim dated Dec. 15, 1928)..... 903.50
(31) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to match contributions from employees engaged on boulevard construction (claim dated Dec. 15, 1928)..... 602.21

Playground Fund:

(32) Dyer Brothers, steel beams for playgrounds (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928).....$ 501.29
(33) J. H. McCallum Lumber Co., lumber for playgrounds (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928)..... 535.83

Tearing Up Streets Fund.

(34) County Road Fund, reimbursement for expenditures in connection with covering of side sewer trenches during November (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928).....$ 1,516.28

Park Fund.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928).....$ 999.18

(36) Frank Food Co., foodstuffs for parks (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928) 672.78
(37) Golden State Milk Products Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928)..... 755.46
(38) Mutual Stores, Inc., bread, etc., for parks (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928) 727.83

M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum—Appropriation 58.

(39) J. W. Bender Roofing & Paving Co., roofing for deYoung Memorial Museum (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928).....$ 692.00

County Road Fund.

(40) McHugh Improvement Co., paving Thirtieth avenue between Geary and Anza, and crossing (claim dated Dec. 19, 1928) .....$ 4,930.00
(41) Municipal Construction Co., improvement of Utah street, between Twenty-fifth street and its southerly termination, fronting Starr King School (claim dated Dec. 19, 1928)... 1,800.00

Special School Tax.

(42) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., shop equipment for Everett Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928)..$ 738.22

Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1928.

(43) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928).....$ 757.74
(44) J. P. Holland, Inc., red rock furnished for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928)..... 1,931.16

Tax Judgments—Appropriation 58.

(45) Keyes & Erskine, one-tenth of judgment pursuant to writ of mandate, with interest, as approved by the City Attorney (claim dated Dec. 6, 1928).....$ 9,877.90

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(46) General Petroleum Co., gasoline furnished Police Department (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928).....$ 1,340.37
(47) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., Police Department (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928)..... 663.69
(48) General Electric Co., solenoids for Department of Electricity (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)..... 3,888.84
(49) Mutual Stores, Inc., bread for County Jails (claim dated Dec. 15, 1928)..... 623.61
(50) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for Civic Center beautification (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928)..... 667.92
(51) The Spring Valley Water Co., water used for street sprinkling (claim dated Dec. 17, 1928)..... 718.34
(52) The Texas Co., fuel oil for Hall of Justice and Civic Center power house (claim dated Dec. 18, 1928)..... 1,582.67
(53) Flynn & Collins, one Ford truck, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)..... 836.00
(54) General Petroleum Corporation, gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)..... 900.95
(55) Graham Fuel & Drayage Co., coal and wood, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)..... 635.36
(56) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928)..... 1,454.05
(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928)..... 984.40
(58) Baumgarten Bros., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928)..... 1,779.89
(59) California Meat Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928)..... 4,445.66
(60) Dairydale San Francisco Dairy, milk, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928)..... 2,243.95

(61) Del Monte Meat Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 4,754.71
(62) Dodge-Sweeney Co., groceries, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 889.00
(63) Haas Bros., groceries, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 1,569.00
(64) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 1,040.33
(65) Pratt Low Preserving Co., canned fruit, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 845.55
(66) Sherry Bros., eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 1,587.09
(67) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 635.00
(68) Sussman-Wormser Co., sugar, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 1,518.01
(69) H. E. Teller Co., coffee, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 660.00
(70) Texas Company, fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 2,044.06
(71) Pacific Fire Extinguisher Co., pumping engine for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 13,489.00
(72) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 2,328.99
(73) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 610.90
(74) The Texas Company, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928) 1,411.40
(75) Sonoma State Hospital, maintenance of feeble minded (claim dated Dec. 24, 1928) 9,870.00
(76) Jewell Steel & Malleable Company, 1000 traffic turning buttons (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 1,480.00
(77) Mullen Manufacturing Co., shelving for San Francisco Law Library (claim dated Dec. 24, 1928) 1,706.00
(78) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street for period Dec. 3, 1928, to Jan. 3, 1929 (claim dated Dec. 24, 1928) 1,120.75
(79) Fay Improvement Co., improvement of city's frontage on Twenty-second avenue, between Quintara and Rivera streets, with crossings (claim dated Dec. 19, 1928) 4,287.17
(80) Sewer Repair Department (Appropriation 36-B), Department of Public Works, reimbursement for materials, supplies, equipment and hauling furnished and used during November on the Golden Gate Heights sewer (claim dated Dec. 19, 1928) 1,377.01
(81) Sewer Repair Department (Appropriation 36A1), Department of Public Works, reimbursement for labor furnished and used during November for the construction of the Golden Gate Heights sewer (claim dated Dec. 19, 1928) 2,682.24

Payments for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named claimants; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

Sunset Parkway.

(1) Frank Canepa and Clement Casentin, for Lot 29 in Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, authorized by Resolution No. 30130, New Series (claim dated Dec. 31, 1928).. $675.00
(2) W. T. Hinricks, J. C. Loshuertos and William Harde, for Lot 1 in Block 2013, as per the Assessor's Block Books, and

authorized by Resolution No. 30129, New Series (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 14,500.00

Bay Shore Boulevard.

(3) John Wickstrom and Marie Wickstrom, for portion of Lot 29 in Block 5069 (6 x 17), and payment in full for all claims of damage to adjoining property of owners, as authorized by Resolution No. 30133, New Series (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) $1,538.00

(4) J. G. Walker Co., portion of Lot 9 in Block 5099 (45 x 153), as per the Assessor's Block Books, and authorized by Resolution No. 30122, New Series (claim dated Dec. 12, 1928) 3,467.00

Payments for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be set aside and appropriated out of Boulevard Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named claimants; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) John L. Ryan, for Lots 10 and 21 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 30167 (New Series), and required for the Sunset Parkway (claim dated Dec. 19, 1928) $1,525.00

(2) C. M. Eachus, for Lot 28 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 30167 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated Dec. 19, 1928).. .. .. 675.00

(3) Fred A. Meyer, for Lot 23 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 30167 (New Series), and required for Sunset Parkway (claim dated Dec. 19, 1928).. .. .. 675.00

(4) James Lane and Mary Lane, for portion of Lot 32 of Block 7146, as per the Assessor's Block Books, as authorized by Resolution No. 30166 (New Series), and required for Alemany boulevard (claim dated Dec. 19, 1928)..... 2,950.00

Payment for Account Release of Damage to Crops and Improvements, Bernal Cut Construction.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,775 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Bernal Cut Bonds, and authorized in payment to Giovanni Viola, George Vittori et al., for release of damage to crops and improvements situated on land leased by Giovanni Viola et al., to-wit: Lot 4 in Block 6754A; damage being in connection with construction operations of the Bernal Cut. (Claim dated Dec. 19, 1928.) Authorized by Resolution No. 30164 (New Series).

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Offers, Release of Claim for Damages, Bernal Cut.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30164 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer made by the following named persons, lessees of the hereinafter described property, to release the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, its contractors or agents, from all claims of damage incurred in connection with the opening of Bernal Cut, to crops and the improvements on the below described parcel of land or the building thereon caused by the sloping

of fills, widening, grading and construction of the Bernal Cut and its connecting streets, between Bosworth street and Gorham street, San Francisco, be accepted:.

Giovanni Viola, George Vittori, Frank Viola, Enrico Viola and Giovanni Chiata, $2,775—Lot 4, Block 6754A, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is authorized to pay said sum to said claimant upon the receipt of the proper release.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Accepting Offer of Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30165 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named person to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite her name, be accepted:

E. M. Sink, $1—Portion of Lot 30, Block 7133, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

As a further consideration for said conveyance it is hereby understood that the small parcel of land described below shall become the property of E. M. Sink. Said property is described as follows:

The southeasterly portion of Lot 31, Block 7133, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolution No. 30010 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Accepting Offer of Land for Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30166 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offer of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, for the sum set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

James Lane and Mary Lane, $2,950—Portion of Lot 32, Block 7146, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

The above amount includes, in addition to payment for the above described parcel, payment in full for the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described parcel; said improvements to be relocated by the said James Lane and Mary Lane within thirty (30) days after recordation of the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, a deed conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deed, together with a copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Accepting Offers of Land for Sunset Parkway.

Also, Resolution No. 30167 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described land, required for the Sunset Parkway, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

John L. Ryan, $1,525—All of Lots 10 and 21 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

C. M. Eachus, $675—All of Lot 28 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Fred A. Meyer, $675—All of Lot 23 of Block 2098, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said property, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said property to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Waiver of Claim for Damages, Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Also, Resolution No. 30168 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, upon recommendation of the City Engineer and the City Attorney, that the offer of the following named person to waive all claims for damages which he may have against the City and County of San Francisco by reason of the loss of water serving his land and the drying up of springs and loss of water on his land situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, possibly due to the construction of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnel, for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

G. B. Musante, $3,000—Lots Nos. 1, 2 and 3, the south ½ of the northeast ¼, the southeast ¼ of the northwest ¼, the northeast ¼ of the southwest ¼, the northwest ¼ of the southeast ¼, and the east ½ of the southeast ¼ of Section 6, Township 2 South, Range 16 East, M. D. B. and M., and the southwest ¼ of the northwest ¼ and the northwest ¼ of the southwest ¼ of Section 5, Township 2 South, Range 16 East, M. D. B. and M.

be and the same is hereby accepted.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to supervise the payment of said sum to said claimant upon the receipt of a deed conveying to the City and County of San Francisco a clear title to the right to all of such water as may hereafter seep or percolate from the said ranch into said tunnel, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by said City and County, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $20,000, Payment to Frank Carlson et al., Satisfaction of Judgment, School Land.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of twenty thousand dollars ($20,000) be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be set aside and appropriated out of the Special School Tax Funds and to be payable as hereinafter mentioned, in full satisfaction of that certain judgment in action entitled "City and County of San Francisco v. Frank Oscar Carlson and Annie Carlson, defendants," and numbered 198444 in the records thereof, in eminent domain, for the acquisition of land for school purposes; that said sum of twenty thousand dollars ($20,000) under the terms and provisions of said judgment, to be payable to Frank Oscar Carlson and Annie Carlson. Said judgment was entered in the records of the County on December 12, 1928, in Volume 290 of Judgments, page 61. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine all papers necessary in the consummation of the satisfaction of said judgment.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Acceptance of Franchise Percentages From Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for Year Ending September 30, 1928.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30169 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $221,935.82, representing franchise percentages due the City and County from the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for the year ending September 30, 1928, be and the same is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County said sum of $221,935.82, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Accepting Franchise Percentages From Market Street Railway.

Also, Resolution No. 30170 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company, showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of November, 1928, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co. etc.	$502.20
Gough Street Railroad	40.65
Parnassus Avenue, etc.	268.66

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Transfer of Funds, Department of Public Works.

Also, Resolution No. 30171 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts of the Department of Public Works, to the credit of "Stores and Yards," Budget Item No. 448, Department of Public Works; being reimbursement for expenditures in connection with repair of equipment for the different bureaus of the Department of Public Works, to-wit:

From Bureau of Street Repair, Budget Item No. 515.....	$943.31
From Bureau Street Cleaning, Budget Item No. 536.....	522.52
From Bureau Sewer Repair, Budget Item No. 549.....	137.00
From Auto Maintenance, Budget Item No. 561.....	98.38
From Bureau of Engineering, Budget Item No. 490.....	9.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Appropriations for the Traffic Bureau.

Also, Resolution No. 30172 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 57, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For December salary of Traffic Engineer.....	$300.00
(2) For December salary of Assistant Traffic Engineer.....	225.00
(3) For December salary of clerk-stenographer (Traffic Bureau)	175.00
(4) For the expense of a traffic speed study both before and after the installation of the new traffic signal system on Market street	400.00

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations for Various Purposes.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(1) For the expense of a national broadcast of the East-West Shrine Charity Football Game, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.....	$3,500.00

Special School Tax.

(2) For the cost of electrical work for the addition to the addition to the Polytechnic High School, including engineering, inspection and extras (per award of contract to Edward F. Dowd at $6,700)	$7,200.00

County Road Fund.

(3) For the cost of removing sand from Thirty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets.....	$900.00

Appropriations for the Reconstruction of Certain Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, to-wit:

(1) Sixth avenue, from Irving to Judah streets	$1,500.00
(2) Fifth avenue, from Irving to Judah streets	1,350.00
(3) Stockton street, from the tunnel to Filbert street	6,300.00
(4) Pacific street, from Columbus avenue to The Embarcadero	7,350.00
(5) Jones street, from Union to Filbert streets	4,850.00

Authorizing and Ordering Street Reconstruction.

Also, Bill No. 8819, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows

Authorizing and auditing the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1929, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 8073 (New Series), approved June 5, 1928.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $32,500 payable out of Item No. 73, Budget 1928-1929, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) Jones street, Pine to California streets	$4,500.00
(2) Taylor street, Pine to California streets	4,500.00
(3) Kearny street, Geary street to Columbus avenue	7,800.00
(4) Lincoln way, from Arguello boulevard westerly	10,000.00
(5) Clay street, from Larkin to Hyde streets	4,200.00
(6) Seventh avenue, from Fulton to Cabrillo streets	1,500.00
	$32,500.00

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Sealed Proposals for the Purchase of $41,000,000 Spring Valley Bonds.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30173 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that on the 14th day of January, 1929, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

Forty-one million dollars of San Francisco Spring Valley Bonds, Issue of July 1, 1928, comprising forty-one thousand bonds of $1,000 denomination, four and one-half per cent, maturing each year from 1930 to 1970, inclusive.

The Finance Committee shall fix the terms and conditions of sale.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Bothin Realty Co., 341 Market street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Wyman Cooke. southeast corner of Washington and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. A. Hawkins, 2850 Nineteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gustave B. Henno, east side of Arguello boulevard, 150 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Infants' Shelter, southwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Ortega street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Legallett, north side of Pine street, 50 feet east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., east side of Jones street, 125 feet north of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. A. Shaffer, northeast corner of Hyde and Lombard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Stanley, southwest corner of Montalvo avenue and Dorantes avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

United Rubber Corporation, east side of Arkansas street, 100 feet south of Sixteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Granting Public Garage Permit, Frank H. Proctor, Nos. 113-145 Battery Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank H. Proctor be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 113-145 Battery street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Granting Union Oil Company Automobile Supply Station Permit, Southwest Corner Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Union Oil Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Santiago street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Granting Standard Oil Company Automobile Supply Station Permit, Northeast Corner Pine and Steiner Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Standard Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station at the northeast corner of Pine and Steiner streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Granting John Gold Automobile Supply Station Permit, West Portal Avenue and Vicente Street.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Gold be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of West Portal avenue and Vicente street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Motion.

Supervisor Powers moved rereference to Fire Committee.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Stanton—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—8.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Resolution Defeated.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the resolution and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Stanton—6.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Suhr, Todd, Toner—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week* by the following vote:

Police Permit Required—Second-hand Business.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 4 and 6, and adding a new section, to be numbered Section 6A, to Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers, or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Sections Nos. 4 and 6 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), the title to which is hereinabove recited, are hereby amended; and there is added thereto a new section, to be numbered 6A, said amendment and new section to read as follows:

Section 4. Before any person shall engage in the business of dealing in any second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, he must make application to the Board of Police Commissioners for a permit therefor, which said Board may, by resolution, grant permission to said applicant to receive a license from the Tax Collector upon the payment of the license fee required; provided, however, that said Board shall have the power to revoke said permission upon good cause being shown; provided, that the Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue any permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue any license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct the business of dealing in second-hand furniture and household goods

within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line of any church or school; provided, further, that such restrictions shall not apply to any second-hand furniture and household goods business now being conducted within such distances; provided, further, that no other restrictions as to the location of the place of business operated or to be operated by any person, firm or corporation desiring to engage in the business of dealing in second-hand furniture and household goods shall be considered by the Board of Police Commissioners or the Tax Collector.

Section 6. The term "furniture and household goods," as used in this ordinance, shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings, crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 6A. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Toner—8.

Noes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Todd—6.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern, Shannon, Suhr—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Erection of Towers on Roofs for Broadcasting.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 8821, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the erection of towers on the roofs of Class A and B buildings, for the purpose of carrying the antenna of high standard radiophone broadcasting stations, and repealing Ordinance No. 5785 (New Series), approved December 28, 1922.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Towers for the purpose of carrying the antenna of high standard radiophone broadcasting stations may be erected on the roofs of Class A and Class B buildings only.

Such towers shall be constructed of structural steel that has been galvanized after fabrication. They shall be designed to resist in every direction, without exceeding the stresses specified in Sections 48 and 49 of the San Francisco Building Laws, all stresses caused by dead and imposed loads and a horizontal force of thirty (30) pounds per square foot, acting on the areas of all surfaces of the tower projected normal to the direction of the force.

All towers shall be securely anchored to the supporting structure, which shall be sufficient to carry all tower stresses in addition to those of the building without exceeding the permissible dead and live load stresses. No material less than one-eighth inch (⅛") in thickness will be permitted in any part subject to stress. Upon completion of erection all of the steel work shall be given a coat of paint suitable for application to galvanized surfaces.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 5785 (New Series), approved December 28, 1922, is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Board of Public Works to Enter Into Lease With Isabelle McGeehon et al.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee and the City Engineer.

Resolution No. 30174 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and it is hereby authorized to enter into a lease with Isabella McGeehon, Mary C. Gerow and Elizabeth O'Meara, for a period of five (5) years, commencing December 1, 1928, at a rental not to exceed one hundred fifty and 00/100 dollars ($150.00) per year, for certain rights and privileges, in connection with the construction of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, on, across, through and over the following described land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

The south ½ of the northwest ¼, the southwest ¼, and the west ½ of the southeast ¼, Section 30, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M.

The above-mentioned rights and privileges are as follows:

1. The right to develop, take and use all surplus water from those certain springs known as Sulphur Spring, Railroad Spring and Laurel Spring, also known as Hillside Spring.

2. The right to construct, maintain, operate and patrol and repair a water pipe line from the springs on the land hereinabove described to the adjacent lands of City and County of San Francisco. (All as per written offer on file.)

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Appointment of Sciots' Good Will Messengers to Hawaii.

On recommendation of Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport Committee.

Resolution No. 30175 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Oakland Pyramid No. 2, Ancient Egyptian Order of Sciots, is planning a special cruise to Hawaii on the steamship "Maui," leaving San Francisco on March 27, 1929, and

Whereas, Oakland Pyramid of Sciots has requested the appointment of representatives from each of the cities bordering on San Francisco Bay to accompany them on this cruise as good-will messengers, and

Whereas, his Honor the Mayor has recommended that the invitation be accepted and that an official representative of the City be appointed by the Board of Supervisors to accompany the Sciots on this trip; therefore be it

Resolved, That Supervisor Milo F. Kent, chairman of the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee, be and he is hereby appointed as San Francisco's official representative to Honolulu on this good-will tour.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Resolution No. 30176 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following list of loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "d," Section 36 of Ordi-

nance No. 7691 (New Series), as amended November 27, 1928, by Ordinance No. 8276 (New Series):

25 Fremont street, 27 feet, A. Bauer Co., 1 elevator.

33-41 Fremont street, 27 feet, Wood & Little Pump Co.

45 Fremont street, 18 feet, Field-Ernst Envelope Co., 1 elevator.

18 Hawthorne street, 27 feet, Smith-Emery Chemical Co., 1 elevator.

669-671 Howard street, 27 feet, Sierra Club Products Co., 1 elevator.

724-726 Larkin street, 27 feet, Everbright Mfg. Co., Larkin Laundry.

39 Merchant street, 18 feet, Seaman's Church Institute freight entrance.

1012 Mission street, 27 feet, Nu-On Products Co., Puresh Nursery Co.

720-724 Montgomery street, 27 feet, Three Medals Macaroni Co., Stevens Plumbing Co., 2 elevators.

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be rescinded and reestablished as specified herein, in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "d," Section 36 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), as amended November 27, 1928, by Ordinance No. 8276 (New Series):

1550 California street, now 18 feet, to be abolished, Golden Gate Undertaking Co.

52 Kearny street, from 36 feet to 27 feet, Maskey Building, Maskey's Confectionery & Lunch, 1 elevator.

117-123 Kearny street, from 36 feet to 18 feet, Hastings, Pelicano Rossi Floral Co., 3 elevators (McMahon & Keyser, tailors).

216-228 Sutter street, now 36 feet, to be abolished, W. & J. Sloane, furniture dealers.

382 Sutter street, now 18 feet, to be abolished to facilitate loading of street car.

480 Sutter street, now 27 feet, to be abolished to facilitate loading of street car.

405 Taylor street, now 36 feet, to be abolished, Hotel Californian.

Resolved, That the following list of pasenger loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "d," Section 36 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), as amended November 27, 1928, by Ordinance No. 8276 (New Series):

1550 California street, 18 feet, Golden Gate Undertaking Co.

216-228 Sutter street, 36 feet, W. & J. Sloane, furniture dealers.

405 Taylor street, 36 feet, Hotel Californian.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Award of Contract, Turkeys.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 30177 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to O'Brien, Spotorno, Mitchell & Compagno Bros. on bid submitted December 17, 1928 (Proposal No. 454), for furnishing the following, viz.: Turkeys for Christmas.

Turkey: California dressed, fresh, clear skin and free from bruises, 12 to 15 pounds each, as selected.

Note: The City to have the right to increase said quantities not to exceed 10 per cent.

Department	Number of pounds
San Francisco Hospital	1500
Laguna Honda Home	2400
Emergency Hospital Service	60
San Francisco Health Farm	180
City Prison	45

Juvenile Detention Home	45
Park—restaurant activities	150
Total	4410

At $0.36 per pound.

Resolved, That no bond be required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Award of Contract, Crockery.

Also, Resolution No. 30178 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Mangrum & Otter, Inc., on bid submitted October 15, 1928 (Proposal No. 421), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Crockery: Vitrified porcelain hotel crockery, McNicol. Every article to bear the impress of the manufacturer. The descriptions given below relate to "Shenango Pottery Co.'s Price List No. 17," issued October 1, 1927.

Note: Measurements are over all.

Part 1—For San Francisco Hospital—Two Green Line Decoration.

Item No. 1—100 dozen coffee cups, Phila, welded handles (page in catalog 25, No. in catalog 4-140) at $1.87 per dozen.

Item No. 2—100 dozen coupe fruits, 5¼-inch, roll edge (page in catalog 17, No. in catalog Clad) at $1.07 per dozen.

Item No. 3—50 dozen dinner plates, 9-inch, roll edge (page in catalog 21, No. in catalog 11-261) at $2.49 per dozen.

Item No. 4—100 dozen Phila saucers, roll edge (page in catalog 25, No. in catalog Rat) at $1.10 per dozen.

Item No. 5—100 dozen dessert plates, 6⅝-inch, roll edge (page in catalog 21, No. in catalog 11-261) at $1.38 per dozen.

Item No. 6—25 dozen salad bowls, 6-inch, roll edge (page in catalog 23, No. in catalog 8-220) at $2.45 per dozen.

Item No. 7—5 dozen 30s Globe covered sugar bowls, 17½-ounce (page in catalog 24, No. in catalog 3-95) at $6.08 per dozen.

Item No. 8—5 dozen shell pickles, 8-inch (page in catalog 19, No. in catalog 9-236) at $3.82 per dozen.

Item No. 9—200 dozen soup bowls, low foot, 5⅛-inch (page in catalog 12, No. in catalog 5-170) at $2.69 per dozen.

Item No. 10—10 dozen 36s pitchers, Rocaille, 1 1/3 pint (page in catalog 20, No. in catalog 3-107) at $5.68 per dozen.

Part 2—For Laguna Honda Home—Two Green Line Decoration.

Item No. 11—50 dozen coffee cups, Phila, welded handles (page in catalog 25, No. in catalog 4-140) at $1.87 per dozen.

Item No. 12—50 dozen Phila, saucers, roll edge (page in catalog 25, No. in catalog Rat) at $1.10 per dozen.

Item No. 13—50 dozen dinner plates, 9-inch, roll edge (page in catalog 21, No. in catalog 11-261) at $2.49 per dozen.

Item No. 14—50 dozen dessert plates, 6⅝-inch, roll edge (page in catalog 21, No. in catalog 11-261) at $1.38 per dozen.

Item No. 15—50 dozen coupe fruits, 5¼-inch, roll edge (page in catalog 17, No. in catalog Clad) at $1.07 per dozen.

Item No. 16—4 dozen 30s Globe covered sugar bowls, 17½-ounce (page in catalog 24, No. in catalog 3-95) at $6.08 per dozen.

Item No. 17—50 dozen individual butters, roll edge 3⅜-inch (page in catalog 13, No. in catalog 13-299) at $0.70 per dozen.

Item No. 18—4 dozen 6s pitchers, Rocaille, 5-pint (page in catalog 20, No. in catalog 3-107) at $23.97 per dozen.

Part 3—For Laguna Honda Home—Plain White.

Item No. 19—200 dozen coffee cups, Phila, welded handle (page in catalog 25, No. in catalog 4-140) at $1.76 per dozen.

Item No. 20—200 dozen Sontum saucers, roll edge (page in catalog 25, No. in catalog Rapid) at $0.95 per dozen.

Item No. 21—100 dozen dinner plates, 9-inch, roll edge (page in catalog 21, No. in catalog 11-261) at $2.24 per dozen.

Item No. 22—50 dozen custards, unhandled (page in catalog 15, No. in catalog 2-67) at $1.59 per dozen.

(90-day delivery.)

Fill-in orders: Above prices guaranteed for 30 days.

Memo: Total value, $2,768.25.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Relative to Appointment of Congressman Richard J. Welch as Golden Gate Bridge Director.

The following matters were read by the Clerk:

Washington, D. C.

Supervisor Warren Shannon, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

As Supervisor I fathered Golden Gate bridge project. As member of bridge association I followed it perseveringly for years and successfully fought entrenched private interests. Returned it to Board clean with no commitments as to patents, royalties, et cetera. Bridge is physically feasible. Some high in authority in San Francisco would kill project by overestimating costs. Financial feasibility of bridge should be determined by its friends. Until costs are determined by unprejudiced engineers I would like to continue work as a director. I secured War Department approval, which still stands.

RICHARD J. WELCH, M. C.

Washington, D. C.

Supervisor J. Emmett Hayden, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Would like to retain my identification with Golden Gate bridge project as a director. Made desire known to several members of Board. Would have spoken to you and other members except for the fact that I was confined to my home with influenza before leaving for Washington. Regardless of contrary reports War Department ruling secured by me still stands.

RICHARD J. WELCH, M. C.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Warren Shannon.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California, December 22, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My dear Colleagues:

The Honorable Warren Shannon, Supervisor of this City and County,

has made application to me for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State, commencing December 29th, for a period of ten days.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave?

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 30148 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Warren Shannon, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing December 29, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Milton Marks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California, December 24, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My dear Colleagues:

The Honorable Milton Marks, Supervisor of this City and County, has made application to me for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State, commencing December 28th, for a period of ten days.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave?

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 30182 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Milton Marks, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing December 28, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Appointment of Directors Golden Gate Bridge.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 30179 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is a part of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, which said Bridge and Highway District has been organized under and pursuant to the provisions of an Act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled, "To provide for the incorporation and organization and management of bridge and highway districts, etc., approved May 25, 1923, and the acts amendatory thereof"; and

Whereas, the Secretary of the State of the State of California has issued his certificate of incorporation declaring the said Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District to have been duly incorporated, formed and organized, and has notified the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco of the formation and organization of said Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District; and

Whereas, under and pursuant to the provisions of the Act last aforesaid, it is the duty of the said Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to appoint six persons to act as members of

the Board of Directors of said Bridge and Highway District; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in regular meeting assembled, does hereby appoint as directors for the City and County of San Francisco of said Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, the following persons: Warren Shannon, Carl A. Henry, William P. Stanton, William P. Filmer, Franck R. Havenner and Richard J. Welch; and be it

Further Resolved, That said persons hereby appointed as Directors of said Bridge and Highway District shall by lot classify themselves so that three of said persons shall hold office for a period of two years from and after the date of their appointment, and the remaining three thereof shall hold office for a period of four years from and after the date of their appointment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Suhr, Todd, Toner—13.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Excused from Voting—Supervisors Havenner, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Submission to State Tax Commission of Proposed Plan for Revision of Dual Tax System Affecting San Francisco's Interests.

Resolution No. 30180 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in 1911 the State of California put into operation a tax system which can be generally classified as a dual tax system which, briefly explained, means the segregation of sources of revenue for State purposes from sources of revenue for the support of County and Municipal Government; and

Whereas, the operation of the present tax system has demonstrated that a great number of inequities exist and that certain counties are discriminated against; and

Whereas, it appears that the City and County of San Francisco would greatly benefit financially if the State tax system was revised; and

Whereas, it is believed that if the State were authorized and empowered to collect a direct tax by applying the County tax rate to the assessed valuation of the properties appearing on the Operative Roll in each County and, after deducting from the revenue so derived that amount of money necessary for the support of the State Government which would properly come from this source, then to refund the balance so collected to each County on the basis of its Operative Roll, that the fundamental principle of taxation would be more nearly realized and a far more equitable distribution of tax revenues would result than under the present system of taxing gross income of public utilities on a fixed percentage basis; and

Whereas, the Legislature at its last session authorized the Governor to appoint a State Tax Commission to make a study and survey of the State taxation system and to report at the coming session of the Legislature its recommendations for the revision of said State taxation laws; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Special Committee appointed by the Board to consider possible changes or revisions in the State taxation system affecting San Francisco's interests be authorized to submit its plan or suggestions to the Board of Supervisors for its consideration and approval, and after the Board has acted thereon forward the recommendations to the California Tax Commission which is now in session preparing its report to the Legislature for its consideration and recommendation.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Wm. J. O'Neill Appointed Chauffeur to Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 30181 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That William J. O'Neill be and is hereby appointed chauffeur to the Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Shell Oil Co. Commended for Christmas Display and Gifts to Children.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 30183 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Shell Oil Company of California has, at its station located at Fell and Baker streets, decorated and beautified such station for the Christmas season in such a manner as to favorably attract city-wide attention and make it an object of civic pride and worthy of recognition as such; and

Whereas, by its distribution of sweets and toys to the kiddies, one and all without discrimination, the company instills boundless and exceeding joy into the hearts of these little children, many of whom will know no other beneficence, which, inherently, children expect at the Yuletide season; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby tenders its expression of warm gratitude to the Shell Oil Company of California for the commendable and noteworthy attitude which the company evidences toward the populace of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Suhr, Todd, Toner—16.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, McGovern—2.

Renumbering Streets in Sunset and Richmond Districts.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on account of the extensive home building operations which have taken place in the last few years in the Sunset and Richmond Districts, and

Whereas, the house numbers and streets are somewhat confusing to the postal authorities, various delivery men and visitors to the City, by reason of the streets running through and being intercepted by the Park; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors designate all streets north of the Park from Arguello boulevard to the Esplanade as North First avenue, North Second avenue and North Third avenue, etc., and all streets to the south of the Park from First avenue to the beach as South First avenue, South Second avenue, South Third avenue, etc.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Pasadena Rose Tournament.

Supervisor Kent moved that, in conjunction with the Public Welfare Committee, there be added a "booster delegation" consisting of Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Marks, Roncovieri, Toner and Shannon to attend the Pasadena Rose Tournament.

So ordered.

(Supervisor Marks declined to act officially.)

Season's Greetings.

Supervisor Havenner, at the request of Chairman Toner, made a brief address congratulating the Board of Supervisors and the people of San Francisco on the outstanding achievements of the Board during the past year, which, he said, were of such a character as to elicit a great deal of satisfaction to himself and the members of the Board responsible for such notable accomplishments, particularly in the matter of boulevard and highway extensions. He extended the greetings of the season to the members of the Board and the people of San Francisco.

Garbage Receipts.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), entitled:

"Providing for the issuance of receipts by refuse collectors and for the contents thereof."

Referred to the Judiciary and Health Committees.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 1:10 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 3, 1929.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 23—New Series No. 54

Monday, December 31, 1928

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 31, 1928, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 31, 1928, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 10, 1928, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS.

Supervisor Gallagher Explains Absence.

The following was read by the Clerk and *filed*:

December 29, 1928.

To Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Dear Sirs: Mr. Andrew J. Gallagher has been under my care for the last six weeks, suffering from recurrent attacks of this year's so-called "influenza," which is really a persistent streptococcic infection of the nose and throat, with a strong tendency to relapse.

He apparently recovers and then gets sick again. This has happened several times, so I have advised him to go south for a couple of weeks in search of warmer weather and see if he cannot permanently throw off the condition.

Respectfully,

DR. L. A. SMITH,
135 Stockton Street.

Senator Hiram Johnson Acknowledges Congratulatory Telegram on Boulder Dam.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, *filed, and ordered spread in Journal*:

December 24, 1928.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan: I acknowledge receipt of your telegram conveying to me the congratulations of the Board of Supervisors upon the passage of the Boulder Dam bill. I appreciate greatly the telegram and ask you to convey to the Board of Supervisors my thanks for it. I rejoice with you and with them that this monumental project, with such possibilities for our State, is nearing its consummation.

With the season's greetings to you and to the Board, I am

Sincerely yours,

HIRAM W. JOHNSON.

Congressman Welch Thanks Board for His Appointment as Golden Golden Gate Bridge Director.

The following was read and ordered *filed*:

Washington, December 31, 1928.

John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Kindly convey to the Board of Supervisors my appreciation for permitting me to continue the work that I enjoy as a Golden Gate Bridge director. This bridge can be built and should be built if bonds can be amortized by tolls and revenues. The problem of amortization must be determined by its friends. The transbay bridge project is now in better shape in Washington than at any time since its inception. Build the bridges, continue highway development, create port district to include San Francisco and San Mateo counties, placing financial security of harbor in counties behind port, and take from Los Angeles, Oakland and other rival ports the power that has been exercised in the past to veto our harbor bonds. Extend sea wall to south, reclaim tide lands for commerce and industry. That should be our program for the new year. Toward that end San Francisco's legislators in Washington, Sacramento and San Francisco should work in unison. Happy New Year to all.

RICHARD J. WELCH, M. C.

Communication From Frank C. Jordan, Secretary of State, Advising That Names of San Francisco Directors of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District Have Been Filed in His Office.

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

Sacramento, December 28, 1928.

J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: Acknowledging the receipt of your favor of December 27, together with Resolution No. 30179, adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on December 24, 1928, appointing Warren Shannon, Carl A. Henry, William P. Stanton, William P. Filmer, Franck R. Havenner and Richard J. Welch as directors for the City and County of San Francisco of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, we advise that this resolution was filed in this office as of this date, December 28, 1928.

Very truly yours,

FRANK C. JORDAN, Secretary of State.

By ROBERT V. JORDAN, Deputy.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Suhr, the following matter was *laid over two weeks*:

Theresa Street.

Consideration of report of Streets Committee on opening of Theresa street on the westerly side of Alemany boulevard.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Mimeograph and Neostyle Stencils for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m., this date, for furnishing Mimeograph and Neostyle stencils for School Department, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

One Hundred Fire Hydrants for Fire Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m., this date, for furnishing 100 fire hydrants for Fire Department, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $38,172.93, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 54.

(1) Capital Decorating Co., installing electric lights on Market street from The Embarcadero to Montgomery street, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 31, 1928) $850.00

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(2) Celite Products Co., celite for concrete (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928) $1,062.00

(3) Cement Gun Construction Co., equipment (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928) 569.24

(4) G. & M. Gravel Co., gunite sand and concrete mix (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928) 662.82

(5) R. A. Hansen, stove oil (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928)..... 517.60

(6) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 1,044.00

(7) Cement Gun Construction Co. of California, cement gun work (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928)..... 776.25

(8) R. T. McClelland, concrete mixer parts (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 625.00

(9) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928) 9,025.47

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(10) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve for depreciation, as specified by Section 16, Article XII of Charter, for December (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928)..$14,584.00

County Road Fund.

(11) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement and final bill for Harding boulevard improvement, to December 4 (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928)..... $722.66

(12) Fay Improvement Co., payment in full for improvement of Marina boulevard, from Webster to Lyon streets (claim dated Dec. 24, 1928) 3,803.29

Special School Tax.

(13) Jennison Machinery Co., shop equipment for Everett Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 26, 1928)..... $1,533.00

General Fund, 1928-1929.

(14) D. C. McCabe, second payment, furnishing, delivering and erecting street signs, Contract 6 (claim dated Dec. 19, 1928) $1,500.00

(15) Chas. L. Harney, improvement of Alabama street between Norwich and Ripley streets (claim dated Dec. 26, 1928) 1,244.00
(16) J. P. Holland, Inc., improvement of Portola drive, by fill (claim dated Dec. 26, 1928)..... 1,503.80
(17) M. J. Lynch, construction of artificial stone sidewalks on Beach and on North Point streets between Divisadero and Scott streets (claim dated Dec. 26, 1928)..... 519.75
(18) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 31, 1928) 903.52
(19) The Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 31, 1928) 2,702.47
(20) Pacific Malleable Castings Co., 1000 galvanized traffic turning buttons (claim dated Dec. 31, 1928)..... 1,380.00
(21) Protecto Floor Leather Mat Co., leather mats furnished City Prison (claim dated Dec. 31, 1928)..... 951.40
(22) Patrick & Co., license plates furnished (claim dated Dec. 31, 1928) 2,590.25
(23) Herbert F. Dugan, drug sundries, Emergency Hospitals (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928)..... 523.30
(24) Geo. W. Caswell Co., coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)..... 875.00
(25) Golden States Milk Products Co., ice cream, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)..... 501.60
(26) Building Supplies Co., supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1928)..... 664.54
(27) J. Emmet Hayden, expense as member of Supervisors' Committee investigating garbage disposal in Eastern and California cities (claim dated Dec. 31, 1928)..... 873.49
(28) James B. McSheehy, expense as member of Supervisors' Committee investigating garbage disposal in Eastern and California cities (claim dated Dec. 31, 1928)..... 857.93
(29) Dr. J. M. Toner, expense as member of Supervisors' Committee investigating garbage disposal in Eastern and California cities (claim dated Dec. 31, 1928)..... 870.37

California Palace Legion of Honor—Appropriation 59.

(30) P. W. French & Co., payment for tapestry "Gathering of Manna," of the set of "Moses and Aaron" (claim dated Dec. 21, 1928) $12,500.00

Payment Tax Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 57, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being one-tenth of amounts of judgments, plus interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) Gerald C. Halsey, assigned judgment by Henry Dederky, Jr. (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928)..... $510.79
(2) Drown, Leicester & Drown, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 20, 1928).... 3,417.47
(3) Drown, Leicester & Drown, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 4, 1928).... 40,280.94

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing Three Assistant Registry Clerks (Temporary) in Office of County Clerk.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30195 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 8073 (New Series) and in accordance with Section 2 thereof, the County Clerk is hereby au-

thorized to appoint three assistant registry clerks (temporary), for account of three "Extra Sessions Courts," authorized to convene January 2, 1929; and

Further Resolved, That the Auditor be and is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer to Budget Item No. 231, fiscal year 1928-1929, of requisite amount to compensate said three assistant registry clerks.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Creating Additional Position, Chauffeur, Board of Supervisors.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 8821, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," by adding a new subdivision to Section 4 thereof, to be known as subdivision (y).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended by the adding of a new subdivision thereto, to read as follows:

Subdivision (y). One chauffeur, at a salary of $2,400 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect as of January 1, 1929.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Additional Deputy Public Defender.

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 30184 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 8073 (New Series) and in accordance with Section 2 thereof, the Public Defender be and he is hereby authorized to appoint one additional Deputy Public Defender at a salary of $3,900 a year, to take effect from and after January 1, 1929; and

Further Resolved, That the Auditor be and is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer, to Budget Item No. 200, fiscal year 1928-1929, of requisite amount to compensate such additional Deputy Public Defender.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on Bay Shore Boulevard Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 30185 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, and known as 71 Wheat street, 74 Crane street, 80 Crane street and 90 Crane street.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

The proceeds derived from said sale shall be deposited to the credit of Boulevard Bond Issue Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Accepting Offers, Land for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 30186 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands, required for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, for the sums set forth opposite their respective names, be accepted:

I. C. Scharff and Mary J. Crowe, $4,000—Portion of Lot 1, Block 6309, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The portion of the building now located on the above described land is to be removed by said I. C. Scharff and Mary J. Crowe within ninety (90) days from date of recordation of deed conveying the above mentioned land to City and County of San Francisco. Said above mentioned sum of $4,000 includes cost of moving said portion of said building.

(All as per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Bay View Land Company, $2,063.80—Portion of Lots 5, 6, and 7, Block 5036, and portion of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, Block 5037, as per the Assessor's Block Books of City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said properties, and if the same is found satisfactory, to accept, on behalf of the City, deeds conveying said properties to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Accepting Offers, Lands for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. 30187 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the offers of sale made by the following named persons to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the following described lands situated on the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sums set forth opposite their names, be accepted:

Eugenia da Gloria Faria, $1,500—A parcel of land containing 2.6 acres, more or less, and being a portion of that certain tract of land containing 6.5 acres, more or less, owned by Eugenia da Gloria Faria, and located about 1900 feet southeasterly from County Road No. 1008 on the northeasterly side of the State Highway running from Niles to Mission San Jose. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Maria Emilia Macial, $450—A strip of land 80 feet wide through the lands of Maria Emilia Macial (also known as Maria Emelia Maciel) in Ex-Mission Survey No. 67. Containing 0.297 acres, more or less. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

Antonio J. Alvarnas, $700—A strip of land 80 feet wide through the lands of Antonio J. Alvarnas in Ex-Mission Survey No. 67, containing

0.465 acres, more or less. (As per detailed description and written offer on file.)

And the City Attorney is hereby authorized to examine the title to said lands and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying said lands to said City and County of San Francisco, free and clear of all encumbrances, and to record said deeds, together with copy of this resolution, in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank and Boiler Permits.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

S. W. Band, east side of Sixteenth avenue, 625 feet north of Ortega street, 2000 gallons capacity.

A. Devincenzi, 898 Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Feerick, east side of Thirtieth avenue, 27 feet 3 inches north of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. F. Fenmore, 849 Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Fireman, 681 Ivy street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. G. Hannan, west side of Fillmore street, 430 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. G. Kincannon, southwest corner of Lombard and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

John F. Mues, 44 Palm avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Oscar H. Ostlund, 738 Funston avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., northwest corner of Shrader and Carmel streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., west side of Milton street, 100 feet north of Bosworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Sturgis, 95 West Clay Park, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Zambelli, 245 Sanchez street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Castagnini and Harcourt, southwest corner of Wayland and Yale streets, 30 horse-power boiler.

United Rubber Corporation, east side of Arkansas street, 100 feet south of Sixteenth street, 50 horse-power boiler.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Denying Automobile Parking Station—Wm. W. Haley—East Side of Taylor Street, 92 Feet 6 Inches North of Turk Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. 30188 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Wm. W.

Haley to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the east side of Taylor street, 92 feet 6 inches north of Turk street.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Granting Public Garage Permit Transfer—Raymond A. Barrett—410 Bush Street.

On recommendation of Fire Committee.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Raymond A. Barrett (St. George Garage) be and is hereby granted permission to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 410 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Granting Cabinet Shop Permit—A. B. Murray—Northeast Corner of Fourth and Welsh Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Austin B. Murray be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop on the northeast corner of Fourth and Welsh streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Mayor to Sell Building, Northwest Corner Dorland and Dolores Streets.

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 30189 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, a certain old frame building situate on property of the City and County of San Francisco, which property is located at the northwest corner of Dorland and Dolores streets.

The Board of Public Works is respectfully requested to prepare conditions for the removal of the building from the premises by the purchaser thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Board of Public Works to Lease Chas. B. Rumble Lands, Hetch Hetchy Project.

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 30190 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, upon recommendation of the City Engineer, That the Board of Public Works is authorized to enter into a lease of certain lands purchased from Charles B. Rumble, situated in the County of Stanislaus, State of California, for construction purposes on the Hetch Hetchy Project, and temporarily not required for such purposes; said

lease to run to C. J. Rumble for a period commencing January 1, 1929, and ending December 31, 1929, in consideration of a payment of a 25 per cent share in crop proceeds to the City and County of San Francisco.

The Stanislaus Land and Abstract Company is also authorized to execute said lease as to such portion of said lands to which said company holds title.

The City Attorney is authorized to prepare and supervise the execution of said lease. Rentals thereunder will be paid into the Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue of 1910.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Police Permit Required—Second-hand Business.

Bill No. ————, Ordinance No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections Nos. 4 and 6, and adding a new section, to be numbered Section 6A, to Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), entitled "Requiring dealers in second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description other than furniture and household goods, either as pawnbrokers, or otherwise, to keep a record of all purchases and sales of said articles, and to make a report of the same and deliver to the Chief of Police such report daily; also regulating the manner of conducting such business."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Sections Nos. 4 and 6 of Ordinance No. 2365 (New Series), the title to which is hereinabove recited, are hereby amended; and there is added thereto a new section, to be numbered 6A, said amendment and new section to read as follows:

Section 4. Before any person shall engage in the business of dealing in any second-hand goods, wares, merchandise, or articles of any description, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business, he must make application to the Board of Police Commissioners for a permit therefor, which said Board may, by resolution, grant permission to said applicant to receive a license from the Tax Collector upon the payment of the license fee required; provided, however, that said Board shall have the power to revoke said permission upon good cause being shown; provided, that the Board of Police Commissioners shall not issue any permit and the Tax Collector shall not issue any license to any person, firm or corporation to conduct the business of dealing in second-hand furniture and household goods within a distance of two hundred (200) feet from the front line of any church or school, or within one hundred (100) feet of the property line of any church or school; provided, further, that such restrictions shall not apply to any second-hand furniture and household goods business now being conducted within such distances; provided, further, that no other restrictions as to the location of the place of business operated or to be operated by any person, firm or corporation desiring to engage in the business of dealing in second-hand furniture and household goods shall be considered by the Board of Police Commissioners or the Tax Collector.

Section 6. The term "furniture and household goods," as used in this ordinance, shall mean and include beds, bedding, tables, desks, chairs, stoves, floor coverings, crockery, glassware, kitchen and cooking utensils.

Section 6A. The terms of this ordinance shall not apply to any licensed and bonded auctioneer.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Masquerade Ball Permit, San Francisco Schwaben Verein.

On recommendation of Police Committee.

Resolution No. 30191 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Schwaben Verein be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball in California Hall, Turk and Polk streets, Saturday evening, January 19, 1929.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Loading Zones.

On recommendation of Traffic and Safety Committee.

Resolution No. 30192 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following list of loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "d," Section 36 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), as amended November 27, 1928, by Ordinance No. 8276 (New Series):

650 Bush street, 27 feet, Colonial Hotel.

775-783 Bush street, 36 feet, Hotel Rosebro, Fink's Bakery, 1 oil intake.

790-798 Bush street, 27 feet, Bush and Mason Grocery.

661-663 Clay street, 27 feet, Wah Hing Ling Co., M. G. Gee Co., 1 elevator.

1740 Franklin street, 27 feet, L'Ray Arms Apartments, 1 oil intake.

252 Leavenworth street, 27 feet, American Market, Rockaway Grill.

333-341 Market street, 36 feet, Machine Exchange, 1 elevator.

1155-1167 McAllister street, 36 feet, Royal Ice Cream Co., Pioneer Furniture Co.

2626-2630 Mission street, 27 feet, Bank of America of California, Pacific States Bank.

606 Post street, 18 feet, Alvarado Hotel, P. C. Food Store, 1 elevator.

239 Turk street, 18 feet, Yellow Taxi Co., 4 oil intakes.

Resolved, That the following loading zones, of the lengths specified, be rescinded and reestablished as specified herein, in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "d," Section 36 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), as amended November 27, 1928, by Ordinance No. 8276 (New Series):

85 Geary street, now 45 feet, to be abolished, I. Magnin & Co. Inc.

106 Grant avenue, now 36 feet, to be abolished, Livingston Bros.

232 Grant avenue, now 27 feet, to be abolished, The White House.

121 Post street, now 27 feet, to be abolished, O'Connor, Moffatt & Co.

178 Post street, now 36 feet, to be abolished, The White House.

731 Sansome street, from 45 feet to 54 feet, Tea Garden Co., Cresta Blanca Liquid Sunshine Co.

245 Sutter street, now 36 feet, to be abolished, The White House.

595 Sutter street, now 18 feet, to be abolished, Women's Club (Francisca Club).

315 Market street, from 54 feet to 36 feet, Spiro Sport Goods Co., Levenson Hardware Co.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Passenger Loading Zones.

Also, Resolution No. 30193 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following list of passenger loading zones, of the lengths specified, be established in front of or near the following addresses, in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph "d," Section 36 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), as amended November 27, 1928, by Ordinance No. 8276 (New Series):

85 Geary street, 45 feet, I. Magnin & Co. Inc.
106 Grant avenue, 36 feet, Livingston Bros.
232 Grant avenue, 27 feet, The White House.
121 Post street, 27 feet, O'Connor, Moffatt & Co.
178 Post street, 36 feet, The White House.
245 Sutter street, 36 feet, The White House.
595 Sutter street, 18 feet, Women's Club (Francisca Club).

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Award of Contract—Auditor's Report, 1927-1928.

On recommendation of Supplies Committee.

Resolution No. 30194 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to John Kitchen, Jr., Company on bid submitted December 24, 1928 (Proposal No. 455), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Auditor's Report, 1927-1928.

750 copies Auditor's Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928. Size, 11 x 8¼ inches. Style of composition as per City sample. Quality of paper, Substance No. 60 Clipper M. F. Book or equal. Cover stock, 20 x 26, 65-pound Ripple Finish Buckeye or equal, light gray color. Binding to be side wire stitched, 3 stitches. Cover scored. One insert 13 x 15½. Two inserts 11 x 16 printed one side on substance No. 16, English bond or equal, to be folded and tipped in. Complete, for the sum of $875.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $100 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.
Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

State Park Commission to Select Russian Gulch Project as Part of Coast Line Highway Development.

Supervisor Suhr presented:

Resolution No. 30196 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people of the State of California on November 6, 1928, approved a bond issue of $6,000,000 for the acquisition of lands in the State for park purposes, said purchases to be contingent upon their being matched by private subscription; and

Whereas, this Board has been informed that the Russian Gulch project (Project No. 2305) has been included in the list of areas to be acquired, said prospective park lands being situated between Fort Bragg and Mendocino, in the Redwood Empire; and

Whereas, this Board has given active support to the development of the Redwood Empire, not only with regard to the construction of highways as a means of access, particular reference being made to the proposed Coastline Highway, but also as the premier recreation center of the State of California, as well as the entire Nation, and as this project is contiguous thereto and will form an added attraction to said Redwood Empire; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors urges the State Park Commission to make an early selection and advancement of the Russian Gulch project as a part of their program, as it is meritorious and of inestimable value to the Coastline Highway development and will be one of the most wonderful scenic attractions in connection therewith; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Redwood League and other organizations lend their active support to give this desirable and worthy project their financial support in order to secure the necessary State aid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Chief Assistant Clerk Rogers to Represent Board of Supervisors at Sacramento.

Resolution No. 30197 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there will be pending in the State Legislature at Sacramento many measures vitally affecting the interests of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is important and necessary that the Board of Supervisors have a representative permanently located at Sacramento, to keep in touch with the progress of this legislation and to keep the Board advised from time to time as to the status of the measures that the Board may be favoring or opposing; therefore, be it

Resolved, That John W. Rogers, Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board, be and is hereby delegated to represent the Board of Supervisors at the Legislature during the coming session.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Leave of Absence, Herbert Fleishhacker.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., December 27, 1928.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My dear Colleagues: The Honorable Herbert Fleishhacker, President of the Board of Park Commissioners, has made application for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for the period commencing January 10, 1929, to June 10, 1929. Will you please concur with me in granting this leave?

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 30198 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Herbert Fleishhacker, President of the Board of Park Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period commencing January 10, 1929, to June 10, 1929, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Andriano, Colman, Havenner, Kent, McGovern, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton, Suhr, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Toner—8.

Relative to Appointment of Golden Gate Bridge Directors.

His Honor Mayor Rolph, after the transaction of other business, called attention to Resolution No. 30179 (New Series), providing for the appointment of the following named as directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District: Carl A. Henry, William P. Stanton, William P. Filmer, Franck R. Havenner and Richard J. Welch. He declared that inasmuch as this is a State matter he had no authority to act on or veto the resolution, but acting on the authority given by the Charter, he wished to bring to the attention of the Board matters which he thinks are of vital importance to the City of San Francisco, and for the purpose of giving the Board an opportunity to review its action of December 24th, which, he said, has resulted in storms of protest in the press and over the telephone. He called attention to a writ of review pending in the Supreme Court, which, if issued, will stay proceedings, thereby making the appointment of directors premature. He stated that we must have public confidence in order to carry the bonds. He also called attention to the Charter provision that no employee of the City can draw two salaries. The Supervisors on the directorate of the bridge district will get $20 each meeting for not more than three meetings a month. He mentioned E. L. Finlay, editor, of Santa Rosa, and Robert Dollar as being opposed to politics in bridge appointments. He suggested that the Board reconsider the matter on the question of the validity of the action taken and the advisability of appointing Supervisors as directors.

Mr. George E. Ames was granted the privilege of the floor and heard on the pending matter.

City Attorney John O'Toole was also heard.

Supervisor Gardiner of Marin was also heard.

Motion.

Whereupon, a motion was made and *carried* that the Board recess until 10 o'clock Thursday, January 3, 1929, to consider the subject matter.

Thereupon, Supervisor Havenner presented the following resolution and asked that it be placed on the calendar for that meeting.:

Consideration of the Matter of the Appointment of Bridge Directors—Supervisor Havenner's Resolution.

Resolution No. ———— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors did, pursuant to the provisions of an Act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled "An Act to provide for the incorporation and organization and management of bridge and highway districts," by resolution duly adopted on the 24th day of December, 1928, appoint six residents of the City and County of San Francisco directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District; and

Whereas, four of said six persons so appointed were, at the date of their respective appointments, and now are, holding office, either as officials of the City and County of San Francisco or of the United States; and

Whereas, there has been a widespread and well-defined objection voiced to the fact that a majority of the directors of said bridge and highway district appointed from San Francisco have been persons who are already holding public office; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby respectfully call upon the four persons heretofore appointed as directors of said bridge and highway district, who were at the date of their respective appointments, holding other public office, to resign as directors of said bridge and highway district, and that upon said resignations being

tendered they be forthwith accepted, and that consideration be then given to the appointment of the successors to said persons so resigning.

Columbia Broadcasting Company Thanked.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk be directed to express the appreciation of the Board to the Columbia Broadcasting Company for its gratuitous service in broadcasting the East and West Shrine football game recently held in Kezar Staudium.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board, at the hour of 1:15 p. m., took a recess until Thursday, January 3, 1929, at 10 a. m., to consider matters relating to the appointment of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District directors. The Clerk was instructed to issue a call of the Board.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 14, 1929.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.